Usenet Newsgroup Archive: rec.radio.amateur.policy

2002-11 - 2002-12

These Usenet messages were preserved as a plain-text archive. This PDF was generated by Digital Library of Amateur Radio & Communications from the source text file in 2026.

Date span: 2002-11-01 through 2002-12-31

Source text: rec.radio.amateur.policy.txt

Source item: https://archive.org/details/usenet-rec

Messages included in this PDF: 4,893

Messages are presented chronologically by archived date.

Binary or encoded payloads are not printed as blocks of encoded text; a placeholder appears where such material was detected. The original source text is unchanged.

1. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-01 00:45:49 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0210311645.48039a39@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54190

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021031144558.10201.00000084@mb-ch.aol.com>...
> >Huh?? 2m Packet is out there in volumes right now and you're
> >surrounded with it. In your own back yard you have nodes at W3PP
> >(Laurel DE) on 145.670 (FRC), AA1K (Felton DE) on 145.530 (FRC) and
> >WR3L (Baltimore) on 145.610/440.950 (PVRC). Each of which serves a
> >multitude of users right in your countrified neighborhood. What does
>
> Brian:
>
> The only node I can hit from this list is Jon AA1K's PacketCluster node.

I appreciate the problem, I inhale the k2gys node close to full
quieting because he's only abt ten miles away. I hear bits & pieces of
w3frc which is abt 25 miles away. Because I only have a 19" coathanger
whip glomed onto an old iron radiator in the room here for a 2m
"antenna". This nonsense is obviously fixable with a bit of effort on
both our parts.

> It's
> useful for DX'ing, but doesn't provide typical PBBS services I'm used to from
> the old days.

See following.

> I would need a very reliable band opening to get into any of
> those other nodes.

Utility-level ham digital comms like 2m packet will never get anywhere
if ya gotta "go dxing" for openings to access the nodes to get plugged
in. Put up a 15 foot glass stick and stand back.

>
> >Are local work & the DX clusters bad things? Whatta ya want outta two
> >meters?
>
> No, they're not bad things -- but I want to be able to send/receive general
> packet message traffic, NTS traffic, and BBS type messages the way I used to be
> able to on the local MSYS BBS's.

My primary point throughout this thread has been that 2m packet as a
mode from the technical and operational standpoints is still very much
alive and doing quite well despite the fact that it's not the huge
buzz it was 10-20 years back. To the extent that, as you put it, it's
not even on most ham's screens any longer. Depending on the definition
of "most hams".

With the capability and infrastucture obviously still in place it's upon the users and user groups to make use of packet or not. I submit that what I perceive as your complaint with 2m packet as a mode is invalid, your complaint lies with the apparent lack of users who share your thinking. Us dxers are there, it's on you and/or like-minded souls to convince the NTS types and BBSers to climb back in. Nobody owns no packet freqs, this is ham radio.

>
> >And by the way, it's not so "local", a packet sent from Virginia pops
> >up on screens all over New England within seconds.
>
> Yeah, but it's just a DX spotter's report.
>
For the most part yes, but there are still a lot of chat-room type exchanges runing thru the clusters. Including some RRAP-style rants and broadsides.

Fact is that 2m packet has survived nicely *only* because it's the one mode (or whatever ya wanna call it) which beats the Internet hands-down for dxer's specialized purposes, specifically for the spots. Spots only have value if available onscreen in something close to real time and only on a regional basis where all or most of the users are dealing with the same HF propagation condx.

The Internet "spots nets" draw time-delayed irrelevant spots like barnyards draw flies, they've been tested any number of times vs. packet and they've come up beyond useless given current technologies and economics. Thus 2m packet lives on.

> 73 de Larry, K3LT

2. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-01 00:54:59 UTC
From: Dan Finn <djfinn2@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <n3kw9.616$oq4.347@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 54191

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message >
> The Japanese have had a nocode HF license for years, power output is
> limited to 10 watts.
>
> w3rv

I could support that...with the output power limited to 10 watts or less.
Sort of like putting a governor on the engine.

3. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-01 01:09:09 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021031200909.27509.00000130@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 54192

In article <1035994984.861789@a217-118-32-43.bluecom.no>, "Bjorn Henrik Vangstein" <la5mdaREMOVE@bluezone.no> writes:

>is it true that they in UK have a morse free license which give access to
>the HF bands?
>
It's not a "morse free license".

See:

http://www.rsgb.org/foundation/questionsandanswers.htm

for details of the "Morse assessment" used with the Foundation licence.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-01 02:42:51 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC1EA2B.E9C86DCF@mailcity.net>
Source record: 54201

```
Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Brian wrote:
>
> > yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021031143726.10201.00000081@mb-ch.aol.com>...
> > > >Morse code tells you as much about a person's technical abilities as
> > > >does his ability to tap dance.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Lloyd:
> > >
> > > Right -- but it tells you everything about his abilities as a capable and
> > > effective amateur radio communications provider.
> > >
> > > 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
> > Reminds me of the time you created a scenario where only Morse Code
> > could save your victim. You would probably opt to die in real life
> > than accept effective amateur radio communications via repeater-talkie
> > from a "shack on his hip" no-coder.
>
> You're never gonna get it are you Slim? There are still, from time to time, situations
when nothing except
> Morse is available to use. For you that means there is nothing to use.

Name some, not hypothetical made up situations, but real life
situations that have happened.
```

5. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-01 02:47:44 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210311847.5579d0ca@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54194

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DC1457B.7F3AEB58@townsqr.com>...
> Lloyd wrote:
>
> > On 31 Oct 2002 03:27:48 GMT, Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:
> > > Could be. If so, it's for all the hopeless idiots who can't learn a simple
> > > thing like the Morse Code.
> >
> > Morse code tells you as much about a person's technical abilities as
> > does his ability to tap dance.
>
> To it's all the same

Really? I'll be waiting for one of Larry's comments about "tap
dancers of color with their hands out."

6. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-01 02:50:49 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210311850.4eef4c34@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54195

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021031143726.10201.00000081@mb-ch.aol.com>...
> >Morse code tells you as much about a person's technical abilities as
> >does his ability to tap dance.
> >
>
> Lloyd:
>
> Right -- but it tells you everything about his abilities as a capable and
> effective amateur radio communications provider.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Reminds me of the time you created a scenario where only Morse Code
could save your victim. You would probably opt to die in real life
than accept effective amateur radio communications via repeater-talkie
from a "shack on his hip" no-coder.

7. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-01 02:56:10 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0210311856.5d1a858e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54196

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0210310606.24a7d2b3@posting.google.com>...
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0210301904.18a4f043@posting.google.com>...
> > kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0210300700.411e3954@posting.google.com>...
> >
> > > Bitching on you is normal and acceptable practice right or wrong.
> >
> > Must be some kind of universal constant in Kelly-land. Hopefully you
> > were born sterile or got mumps in your early teens.
>
> Three degreed technoid daughters (the eldest learned 10wpm at age 13
> just for the hell of it) and five granchullins, deal with it.
>
> w3rv

Daughters? No thanks. You keep on dealing with them.

At least the grandchildren have someone elses last name (at least I hope they do).

8. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-01 03:01:28 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC1FCD1.1E50DC1C@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54197

Brian wrote:

> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DC1457B.7F3AEB58@townsqr.com>...
> > Lloyd wrote:
> >
> > > On 31 Oct 2002 03:27:48 GMT, Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:
> > > > Could be. If so, it's for all the hopeless idiots who can't learn a simple
> > > > thing like the Morse Code.
> > >
> > > Morse code tells you as much about a person's technical abilities as
> > > does his ability to tap dance.
> >
> > To it's all the same
>
> Really? I'll be waiting for one of Larry's comments about "tap
> dancers of color with their hands out."

Is that anything like nocoders of any color withtheir hands out?

9. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-01 03:03:08 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC1FD34.93FFAD6B@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54198

Brian wrote:

> yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021031143726.10201.00000081@mb-ch.aol.com>...
> > >Morse code tells you as much about a person's technical abilities as
> > >does his ability to tap dance.
> > >
> >
> > Lloyd:
> >
> > Right -- but it tells you everything about his abilities as a capable and
> > effective amateur radio communications provider.
> >
> > 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
> Reminds me of the time you created a scenario where only Morse Code
> could save your victim. You would probably opt to die in real life
> than accept effective amateur radio communications via repeater-talkie
> from a "shack on his hip" no-coder.

You're never gonna get it are you Slim? There are still, from time to time, situations when nothing except
Morse is available to use. For you that means there is nothing to use.

10. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-01 04:30:52 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC211C4.796FBFE1@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54199

JJ wrote:

> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >
> > >Morse code tells you as much about a person's technical abilities as
> > >does his ability to tap dance.
> > >
> >
> > Lloyd:
> >
> > Right -- but it tells you everything about his abilities as a capable and
> > effective amateur radio communications provider.
>
> Well, I know some amateurs who can copy code quit well, but far as
> being capable and effective at amateur radio communications, they
> are pretty pathetic. So what does that say Larry?

In your opinion they may be pathetic.But when you're all out of options they
will still have one.

11. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-01 04:32:21 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC2121D.814724E@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54200

Lllllllloyd wrote:

> On Thu, 31 Oct 2002 17:31:24 -0600, Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@mindspring.com> wrote:
> > And poor Llllloooyyyydddd can't even do 5 words per minute.....how many
> > years you been trying LLLlllllooooyyyydddd? seven eight?
> >
> > Oh and I believe the record for copy by ear was 74.... by a fella called
> > McElroy, someone come in here and correct that. I know damn well it wasn't
> > 90.
>
> As usual, you are a dollar short and a day late. The New York stock
> exchange used American Morse code, and Harry claims that people using
> that variation can go a lot faster than the slower International
> variety. American Morse was the original code.
>
> I sat and watched him copy International Morse Code at 45 wpm one
> night. He claimed that International Morse slowed him down, because
> he had to think longer, but he could (and can) still copy it at a very
> high speed. Harry is now 86.
>
> Are you bilingual in code? Can you copy American Morse?
>
> I thought not.

So just what is it that you're bragging about???

12. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-01 08:47:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211010047.422b7538@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54202

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<apsb5v$41p$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>...

> Alabama used to have . . .

> At one time you literally had to wait till the middle of the night to
> keyboard anyone because of the heavy BBS forwarding. Then it all went away.

Right. A much better idea came along for only a few bucks a month for
a connection to the whole planet and ya doan have have to wait until
the wee hours to make the connection. Or pay for & maintain the
infrastructure. The results were as predictable as the tides.

> Now we have APRS. That burps out and tells the world about the wx and
> someones location.

I need somebody to explain to me what the attraction to APRS is about.
I don't get it.

> And a DX Packet cluster that takes three nodes to get to.

Ya got my curiosity up with that one. What's with the cluster being
three nodes away?? What nodes? How do ya do that?? Tongue-in-cheek
perhaps?

>
> Thats it. Ive been running a beacon for a week. No replies at all.
> Pathetic.

Side issue along these lines: 2m 'simplex' ssb seems to be gathering
some interest & activity hereabouts. No worn-out old machine
"politics", no idiotic roger beeps, no waiting in line to get on the
freq, no hyperfrenetic timers, 3Khz total bandwidth per qso/group
(Read: very spectrally efficient), freqs do not require the
"approval" of some ordained neighborhood committee of radio cops,
likewise "channel spacing", ssb has much longer "legs" than FM has. Ad
nauseam.

SSB blew HF FM off the ham bands back in dinosaur days.

History repeats itself.

Figger it.

w3rv

13. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-01 08:58:39 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211010058.1a08b384@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54203

> > >
> > > Must be some kind of universal constant in Kelly-land. Hopefully you
> > > were born sterile or got mumps in your early teens.
> >
> > Three degreed technoid daughters (the eldest learned 10wpm at age 13
> > just for the hell of it) and five granchullins, deal with it.
> >
> > w3rv
>
> Daughters? No thanks. You keep on dealing with them.

It's blatently obvious why you'd much rather just have to deal with
boys instead of WOMEN.

w3rv

14. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-01 12:46:08 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211010446.fd7ac6c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54204

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DC1FD34.93FFAD6B@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021031143726.10201.00000081@mb-ch.aol.com>...
> > > >Morse code tells you as much about a person's technical abilities as
> > > >does his ability to tap dance.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Lloyd:
> > >
> > > Right -- but it tells you everything about his abilities as a capable and
> > > effective amateur radio communications provider.
> > >
> > > 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
> > Reminds me of the time you created a scenario where only Morse Code
> > could save your victim. You would probably opt to die in real life
> > than accept effective amateur radio communications via repeater-talkie
> > from a "shack on his hip" no-coder.
>
> You're never gonna get it are you Slim? There are still, from time to time, situations
when nothing except
> Morse is available to use. For you that means there is nothing to use.

Dick, just because I advocate the complete removal of a Morse Code
exam from licensing requirements doesn't mean that I didn't learn the
code. And I'll take the chance that I'll never encounter your one in
a billion situation.

Meanwhile, guys like you constantly quipping, "Can't remember where I
put my microphone," are more likely to screw up when it comes to real
emergency comms.

Because you just don't get it.

15. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-01 12:48:08 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211010448.745b3cb4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54205

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DC1FCD1.1E50DC1C@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DC1457B.7F3AEB58@townsqr.com>...
> > > Lloyd wrote:
> > >
> > > > On 31 Oct 2002 03:27:48 GMT, Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:
> > > > > Could be. If so, it's for all the hopeless idiots who can't learn a simple
> > > > > thing like the Morse Code.
> > > >
> > > > Morse code tells you as much about a person's technical abilities as
> > > > does his ability to tap dance.
> > >
> > > To it's all the same
> >
> > Really? I'll be waiting for one of Larry's comments about "tap
> > dancers of color with their hands out."
>
> Is that anything like nocoders of any color withtheir hands out?

I'm not sure.

16. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-01 13:28:35 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC28FCB.18DA600D@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54206

JJ wrote:

> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Brian wrote:
> >
> > > yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021031143726.10201.00000081@mb-ch.aol.com>...
> > > > >Morse code tells you as much about a person's technical abilities as
> > > > >does his ability to tap dance.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > Lloyd:
> > > >
> > > > Right -- but it tells you everything about his abilities as a capable and
> > > > effective amateur radio communications provider.
> > > >
> > > > 73 de Larry, K3LT
> > >
> > > Reminds me of the time you created a scenario where only Morse Code
> > > could save your victim. You would probably opt to die in real life
> > > than accept effective amateur radio communications via repeater-talkie
> > > from a "shack on his hip" no-coder.
> >
> > You're never gonna get it are you Slim? There are still, from time to time, situations
when nothing except
> > Morse is available to use. For you that means there is nothing to use.
>
> Name some, not hypothetical made up situations, but real life
> situations that have happened.

I'm not gonna keep babysitting you guys who don't keep up or pay attention. Get on Google and find them, they've
been posted right here over the past few years.

17. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-01 13:41:16 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC292C3.AE35A0F7@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54207

Brian wrote:

> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DC1FD34.93FFAD6B@townsqr.com>...
> > Brian wrote:
> >
> > > yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021031143726.10201.00000081@mb-ch.aol.com>...
> > > > >Morse code tells you as much about a person's technical abilities as
> > > > >does his ability to tap dance.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > Lloyd:
> > > >
> > > > Right -- but it tells you everything about his abilities as a capable and
> > > > effective amateur radio communications provider.
> > > >
> > > > 73 de Larry, K3LT
> > >
> > > Reminds me of the time you created a scenario where only Morse Code
> > > could save your victim. You would probably opt to die in real life
> > > than accept effective amateur radio communications via repeater-talkie
> > > from a "shack on his hip" no-coder.
> >
> > You're never gonna get it are you Slim? There are still, from time to time, situations
when nothing except
> > Morse is available to use. For you that means there is nothing to use.
>
> Dick, just because I advocate the complete removal of a Morse Code
> exam from licensing requirements doesn't mean that I didn't learn the
> code. And I'll take the chance that I'll never encounter your one in
> a billion situation.
>
> Meanwhile, guys like you constantly quipping, "Can't remember where I
> put my microphone," are more likely to screw up when it comes to real
> emergency comms.
>
> Because you just don't get it.

Well, there ya go again, or should I say Still? Clueless to the bitter end, that's our boy Slim.
Show where I ever said any such thing about my microphone, on the other hand all I ever had to say about CW is that
it provides one more mode to hams, one which is easier to implement under austere conditions, and which is well

known to be of much greater efficiency than any of your esteemed voice modes- which I am more than well equipped to
use as well.
Your attempts to belittle anyone who knows how to use CW and advocates its use are well documented right here -
somehow you feel its justified to transfer blame for your own personal mshortcomings to someone else, anyone else,
everyone else. You have nothing to hide because you exposed it all long ago, right here.

18. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-01 13:42:22 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021101084222.02322.00000511@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 54208

>Subject: Re: The Future of Packet Radio
>From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
>Date: 11/1/02 2:58 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <d22b54c3.0211010058.1a08b384@posting.google.com>

>It's blatently obvious why you'd much rather just have to deal with
>boys instead of WOMEN.

Women for me, thanks, as long as:

(1) ...they're old enough to vote

(2) ...they're too young for Medicare

(3) ...they have a spontaneous pulse and respirations

Everything else is negotiable, English prefered but not required.
Licensed Amateurs or Pilots given preference.

=)

73

Steve, K4YZ

19. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-01 16:12:57 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <apu9ardfb1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54209

I have no idea what the 'attraction' is for APRS. Obviously someone likes
it a lot. It is going 24/7 here.

What I mean by 3 nodes away.,.. is packet termonology. A node is basically
a digi repeater thingy...hi. My DX Cluster is in Huntsville. About 100
miles to my north. To access it I must go via Gadsden, to Birmingham, to
Hunstville. 3 Nodes.

Of course SSB will whip FM butts in the long haul catagory. But for
channalized style of operation FM is clearly the winner.

I enjoy weak signal work at V/Uhf and I do it with SSB/CW/Digital. Not FM.

Dan/W4NTI

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0211010047.422b7538@posting.google.com...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<apsb5v$41p$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>...
>
> > Alabama used to have . . .
>
> > At one time you literally had to wait till the middle of the night to
> > keyboard anyone because of the heavy BBS forwarding. Then it all went
away.
>
> Right. A much better idea came along for only a few bucks a month for
> a connection to the whole planet and ya doan have have to wait until
> the wee hours to make the connection. Or pay for & maintain the
> infrastructure. The results were as predictable as the tides.
>
> > Now we have APRS. That burps out and tells the world about the wx and
> > someones location.
>
> I need somebody to explain to me what the attraction to APRS is about.
> I don't get it.
>
> > And a DX Packet cluster that takes three nodes to get to.
>
> Ya got my curiosity up with that one. What's with the cluster being
> three nodes away?? What nodes? How do ya do that?? Tongue-in-cheek
> perhaps?
>
> >
> > Thats it. Ive been running a beacon for a week. No replies at all.
> > Pathetic.

>
> Side issue along these lines: 2m 'simplex' ssb seems to be gathering
> some interest & activity hereabouts. No worn-out old machine
> "politics", no idiotic roger beeps, no waiting in line to get on the
> freq, no hyperfrenetic timers, 3Khz total bandwidth per qso/group
> (Read: very spectrally efficient), freqs do not require the
> "approval" of some ordained neighborhood committee of radio cops,
> likewise "channel spacing", ssb has much longer "legs" than FM has. Ad
> nauseam.
>
> SSB blew HF FM off the ham bands back in dinosaur days.
>
> History repeats itself.
>
> Figger it.
>
>
> w3rv

20. Re: What's the deal with 220?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-01 16:36:42 UTC
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DC2AD9A.D19D49BD@psu.edu>
Source record: 54210

N2EY wrote:

> So the real question is this: How do we get more hams on-the-air, actually
> using the bands and repeaters we have?

I think a start would be for some manufacturers to make 144,222,440 tribander HT's instead of 6 meters. We might find people actually using the band then. It's a matter of making things a little easier for 222's use. I'm not about to go out and buy a single frequency 222 mHz unit, but I would buy a tribander with it before I would buy a tribander that had 6 meters.

I did notice one tribander that had that feature. That's a good start. Once people have it on their ht's, they might think about building a repeater on that band.

- Mike KB3EIA -

21. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-01 17:18:16 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC2B758.9FE6BACE@mailcity.net>
Source record: 54214

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> JJ wrote:
>
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > > yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021031143726.10201.00000081@mb-ch.aol.com>...
> > > > > >Morse code tells you as much about a person's technical abilities as
> > > > > >does his ability to tap dance.
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Lloyd:
> > > > >
> > > > > Right -- but it tells you everything about his abilities as a capable and
> > > > > effective amateur radio communications provider.
> > > > >
> > > > > 73 de Larry, K3LT
> > > >
> > > > Reminds me of the time you created a scenario where only Morse Code
> > > > could save your victim. You would probably opt to die in real life
> > > > than accept effective amateur radio communications via repeater-talkie
> > > > from a "shack on his hip" no-coder.
> > >
> > > You're never gonna get it are you Slim? There are still, from time to time,
situations when nothing except
> > > Morse is available to use. For you that means there is nothing to use.
> >
> > Name some, not hypothetical made up situations, but real life
> > situations that have happened.
>
> I'm not gonna keep babysitting you guys who don't keep up or pay attention. Get on Google
and find them, they've
> been posted right here over the past few years.

Ah, the old "I can't back up my statement so you go find it
yourself" trick.

22. Re: "Frequency" -- the movie

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-01 17:48:48 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3DC2BE80.3DD8EF24@uci.edu>
Source record: 54211

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Sorry, Steve, not until you provide a responsive reply to my previous
> two requests for YOU to provide a quote from me stating that I sought
> an appointment as an EC. I have stated clearly, now for the third time,
> that I don't consider myself qualified for, nor do I seek, a leadership
> role in ARES.

Then why do you think they would have felt threatened by you? Seems an
unreasonable point of view if what you describe is correct.

23. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-01 17:51:11 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3DC2BF0F.287A2DEB@uci.edu>
Source record: 54212

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> Could be. If so, it's for all the hopeless idiots who can't learn a simple
> thing like the Morse Code.

Quite honestly, even as a code enthusiast, I think there are more
important things to know.

24. An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-01 18:51:00 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <o2Aw9.1399$7n.136393@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54213
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

Following courtesy of N0AX, from the CQ-Contest reflector.

Some of you have expressed an interest in increasing your CW speed, and this is the weekend to do it. The annual ARRL CW Sweepstakes runs from 2100Z on Saturday to 0300Z Monday (Sunday evening) on 80 through 10 meters (not on 30, 17, or 12-meters). While you might recoil in horror at the high code speeds, tune wayyyyyyy up in the bands and there will be some folks going nice and slow. The Novice bands on 80, 40, 15, and 10 meters often have a number of slow-speed stations hanging out up there. Don't be afraid to jump in there and give 'em a call. I *guarantee* your code speed will double with just a few hours at the key.

Here's how it works...

1) You hear somebody calling "CQ SS CQ SS de N0AX"
2) Send your call ONCE - "W7VMI" - don't send their call and don't send yours twice or three times. If they don't copy your call on the first try, they'll send "AGN" or "?" or just CQ again. So call 'em again. If they're going too fast, send "QRS" and they'll slow down.
3) If they hear you, they'll send something like this - "W7VMI 107 A N0AX 53 CO" What the heck does that mean?
- W7VMI is your call to let you know they're talking to you
- 107 is the number of the contact in the contest for them (their next contact will be 108, etc.)
- A is their entry class (low power) - there are A, B, M, Q, S, and U classes
- Then they send their call
- 53 is the last two digits of the first year they were licensed - it's called a "check"
- CO means Colorado, their ARRL Section (there are 80 - some are states, others aren't, all are two or three letters)
4) If you don't get it all, it's perfectly OK to send "QRS PSE, AGN" - which means "Slow down, send it again, please"
5) If you do get it - way to go! Here's what you send...
- Their call
- The number this contact is in the contest for you - if it's your first send "1" and pat yourself on the back
- Your class (QRP is Q, <150W is A, >150W is B, M is multioperator, S is a school club, and U is unlimited...don't ask)
- Your call
- The last two digits of the first year you were licensed - if you got your license last year, it's "01", for example
- Your section, "WWA" for Western Washington, maybe, or "IL" for

Illinois, or "PQ" for Province Quebec - ah, but oui!
6) If they don't get it, they may say...with a question mark, maybe...
- "AGN" - send everything all over again
- "NR" - repeat just the number a couple of times
- "PREC" or just "PR" - repeat your class letter, it's called "precedence" for a number of reasons you don't care about
- "CALL" - repeat your call (this is rare)
- "CK" - repeat the two digits of the year, your check
- "SEC" or "QTH" - repeat your section
7) They may ask YOU to QRS, you speed demon, so do it with a smile!
8) If they copy everything, they'll say a short "TU" (for thanks) or "R" (for Roger) or "QSL" (for received OK) and then just send their CQ or maybe just their call and away you both may go.
9) Sometimes, it just doesn't work out - QRM (interference) or QRN (static) or QSB (fading) or the cat could cough up a hairball on the rug requiring immediate action. Don't take it personally, just go find somebody else to call. It's a no-fault deal.
10) If you get tired of "Searching and Pouncing", then tighten your belt, mop your brow, cock your hat at a jaunty angle and call CQ! It's easy - don't have a cow, man, just call "CQ SS CQ SS de W7VMI W7VMI" and listen, repeat if necessary. Soon you'll get an answer. Just play back the above steps with you as the call-ee.

What's the object? Make as many contacts as you can. Try to contact as many different sections as you can. Try to spell your name from the last letters of the calls you work. Work your home state. Work your brother's state. Nobody can stop at just one QSO...

It's a lot of fun - the hours will fly by. Keep a simple paper log the first time out to make it easy - you can worry about entering it on a computer later. There are complete rules and instructions for operating and scoring and sending in the log on the ARRL Web site http://www.arrl.org/contests/rules/2002/nov-sweeps-rules.html. Come spring of 2003, you can click on over to the contest results and wonder-of-wonders, there your call will be with the mighty titans in the very same font size just a few lines away. Woo-hoo!!

Go for it!

��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

25. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-01 21:24:00 UTC
From: mike coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DC2F0F1.9498B313@psu.edu>
Source record: 54215
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:
>
> Following courtesy of N0AX, from the CQ-Contest reflector.
>
> Some of you have expressed an interest in increasing your CW speed, and
> this is the weekend to do it. The annual ARRL CW Sweepstakes runs from
> 2100Z on Saturday to 0300Z Monday (Sunday evening) on 80 through 10
> meters (not on 30, 17, or 12-meters). While you might recoil in horror
> at the high code speeds, tune wayyyyyyy up in the bands and there will
> be some folks going nice and slow. The Novice bands on 80, 40, 15, and
> 10 meters often have a number of slow-speed stations hanging out up
> there. Don't be afraid to jump in there and give 'em a call. I
> *guarantee* your code speed will double with just a few hours at the
> key.
>
> Here's how it works... <snip>

And *that* is a much better way to entice people to work on their CW
than the negative stuff often posted. Good post, Hans

Mike KB3EIA -

26. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-01 22:24:48 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC2FF30.C823D2DD@mailcity.net>
Source record: 54220

```
Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3DC1FD34.93FFAD6B@townsqr.com...
> > Brian wrote:
> >
> > > yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
> news:<20021031143726.10201.00000081@mb-ch.aol.com>...
> > > > >Morse code tells you as much about a person's technical abilities as
> > > > >does his ability to tap dance.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > Lloyd:
> > > >
> > > > Right -- but it tells you everything about his abilities as a capable
> and
> > > > effective amateur radio communications provider.
> > > >
> > > > 73 de Larry, K3LT
> > >
> > > Reminds me of the time you created a scenario where only Morse Code
> > > could save your victim. You would probably opt to die in real life
> > > than accept effective amateur radio communications via repeater-talkie
> > > from a "shack on his hip" no-coder.
> >
> > You're never gonna get it are you Slim?
> > There are still, from time to time, situations when nothing except
> > Morse is available to use.
>
> Such "nothing except morse is available" from time to time incidents
> haven't been shown to be of any substance to constitute a
> valid reason/rational. Indeed, such incidents seem to be
> almost nonexistent where safety and/or emergency requirements
> are involved.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

You could probably count on two fingers the number of times in the
past oh say 10 years, when the situation arose where nothing but
Morse was available in a real lifesaving emergency. I am not
knocking code, just this old argument how it is going to be the
only thing to save the world someday doesn't hold water.
```

27. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-01 23:17:17 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC319C5.E23D318A@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54216

JJ wrote:

> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > JJ wrote:
> >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Brian wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021031143726.10201.00000081@mb-ch.aol.com>...
> > > > > > >Morse code tells you as much about a person's technical abilities as
> > > > > > >does his ability to tap dance.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Lloyd:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Right -- but it tells you everything about his abilities as a capable and
> > > > > > > effective amateur radio communications provider.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > 73 de Larry, K3LT
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Reminds me of the time you created a scenario where only Morse Code
> > > > > > could save your victim. You would probably opt to die in real life
> > > > > > than accept effective amateur radio communications via repeater-talkie
> > > > > > from a "shack on his hip" no-coder.
> > > > >
> > > > > You're never gonna get it are you Slim? There are still, from time to time,
situations when nothing except
> > > > > Morse is available to use. For you that means there is nothing to use.
> > > >
> > > > Name some, not hypothetical made up situations, but real life
> > > > situations that have happened.
> > >
> > > I'm not gonna keep babysitting you guys who don't keep up or pay attention. Get on
Google and find them, they've
> > > been posted right here over the past few years.
> >
> > Ah, the old "I can't back up my statement so you go find it
> > yourself" trick.

Hey, JJ, you're not the only johnny-cum-lately that has dropped into the game just before
go home time with nary a
clue. It's all in google and I ain't gonna do your work for you. If you'd rather remain

clueless that's just fine by me.

28. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-01 23:41:45 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <apv3hi$66b$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54217

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3DC1FD34.93FFAD6B@townsqr.com...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021031143726.10201.00000081@mb-ch.aol.com>...
> > > >Morse code tells you as much about a person's technical abilities as
> > > >does his ability to tap dance.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Lloyd:
> > >
> > > Right -- but it tells you everything about his abilities as a capable
and
> > > effective amateur radio communications provider.
> > >
> > > 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
> > Reminds me of the time you created a scenario where only Morse Code
> > could save your victim. You would probably opt to die in real life
> > than accept effective amateur radio communications via repeater-talkie
> > from a "shack on his hip" no-coder.
>
> You're never gonna get it are you Slim?
> There are still, from time to time, situations when nothing except
> Morse is available to use.

Such "nothing except morse is available" from time to time incidents
haven't been shown to be of any substance to constitute a
valid reason/rational. Indeed, such incidents seem to be
almost nonexistent where safety and/or emergency requirements
are involved.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

29. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-01 23:45:19 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <apv4a5$32h$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54218

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3DC28FCB.18DA600D@townsqr.com...
> JJ wrote:
>
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > > yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021031143726.10201.00000081@mb-ch.aol.com>...
> > > > > >Morse code tells you as much about a person's technical abilities
as
> > > > > >does his ability to tap dance.
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Lloyd:
> > > > >
> > > > > Right -- but it tells you everything about his abilities as a
capable and
> > > > > effective amateur radio communications provider.
> > > > >
> > > > > 73 de Larry, K3LT
> > > >
> > > > Reminds me of the time you created a scenario where only Morse Code
> > > > could save your victim. You would probably opt to die in real life
> > > > than accept effective amateur radio communications via
repeater-talkie
> > > > from a "shack on his hip" no-coder.
> > >
> > > You're never gonna get it are you Slim? There are still, from time to
time, situations when nothing except
> > > Morse is available to use. For you that means there is nothing to use.
> >
> > Name some, not hypothetical made up situations, but real life
> > situations that have happened.
>
> I'm not gonna keep babysitting you guys who don't keep up or pay
attention.
> Get on Google and find them, they've
> been posted right here over the past few years.

Translation, there are none of substance.
More important, there are non that justify
any need to continue morse as a requirement.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

30. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-01 23:53:56 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <ouEw9.1697$7n.170105@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54219

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:apv3hi$66b$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...

> such incidents seem to be almost nonexistent where safety
> and/or emergency requirements are involved.

Bill,

"Almost" only counts in horseshoes and a-bombs.

During the Red River floods a couple years ago, we used CW extensively for communications from the disaster site in Grand Forks, ND to the Salvation Army warehouse/shipping center in Brooklyn Park, MN. This was due to marginal propagation on the 75 and 40 meter HF paths between the two locations (about 340 miles apart).

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

31. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 01:04:06 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211011704.756c8a84@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54221

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DC292C3.AE35A0F7@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DC1FD34.93FFAD6B@townsqr.com>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > > yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021031143726.10201.00000081@mb-ch.aol.com>...
> > > > > >Morse code tells you as much about a person's technical abilities as
> > > > > >does his ability to tap dance.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > Lloyd:
> > > > > >
> > > > > Right -- but it tells you everything about his abilities as a capable and
> > > > > effective amateur radio communications provider.
> > > > > >
> > > > > 73 de Larry, K3LT
> > > > >
> > > > Reminds me of the time you created a scenario where only Morse Code
> > > > could save your victim. You would probably opt to die in real life
> > > > than accept effective amateur radio communications via repeater-talkie
> > > > from a "shack on his hip" no-coder.
> > >
> > > You're never gonna get it are you Slim? There are still, from time to time,
situations when nothing except
> > > Morse is available to use. For you that means there is nothing to use.
> >
> > Dick, just because I advocate the complete removal of a Morse Code
> > exam from licensing requirements doesn't mean that I didn't learn the
> > code. And I'll take the chance that I'll never encounter your one in
> > a billion situation.
> >
> > Meanwhile, guys like you constantly quipping, "Can't remember where I
> > put my microphone," are more likely to screw up when it comes to real
> > emergency comms.
> >
> > Because you just don't get it.
>
> Well, there ya go again, or should I say Still? Clueless to the bitter end, that's our
boy Slim.
> Show where I ever said any such thing about my microphone, on the other hand all I ever
had to say about CW is that

> it provides one more mode to hams, one which is easier to implement under austere conditions, and which is well
> known to be of much greater efficiency than any of your esteemed voice modes- which I am more than well equipped to
> use as well.

Dick, Dick, Dick, and I mean that sincerely. When are you going to actually take a look at a real HF radio and notice that they all have ssb switches? As a matter of fact, name one radio made today that is CW only (excluding hobbyist qrp rigs). Even the simplest handy talkies have built in microphones, but lack a CW switch.

> Your attempts to belittle anyone who knows how to use CW and advocates its use are well documented right here -
> somehow you feel its justified to transfer blame for your own personal mshortcomings to someone else, anyone else,
> *everyone* else. You have nothing to hide because you exposed it all long ago, right here.

You can use CW all day long and it wouldn't bother me one bit. How does that belittle you?

Your contempt for anyone not in love with your favorite mode is what has been documented here. That and your psk-31 failures.

32. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 01:11:13 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211011711.79cb8fff@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54222

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0211010058.1a08b384@posting.google.com>...
> > > >
> > > > Must be some kind of universal constant in Kelly-land. Hopefully you
> > > > were born sterile or got mumps in your early teens.
> > > >
> > > > Three degreed technoid daughters (the eldest learned 10wpm at age 13
> > > > just for the hell of it) and five granchullins, deal with it.
> > > >
> > > > w3rv
> > >
> > > Daughters? No thanks. You keep on dealing with them.
> >
> > It's blatently obvious why you'd much rather just have to deal with
> > boys instead of WOMEN.
> >
> > w3rv

I've "dealt" with women. I now have four sons from the experience.

33. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 02:14:16 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211011814.1317296d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54223

"Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<n3kw9.616$oq4.347@news.bellsouth.net>...
> "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message >
> > The Japanese have had a nocode HF license for years, power output is
> > limited to 10 watts.
> >
> > w3rv
>
> I could support that...with the output power limited to 10 watts or less.
> Sort of like putting a governor on the engine.

At this late point so could I, the damned code test war is worn out at best. One dvantage the M3 license seems to offer is that they can get at least a taste of all the HF bands without having enough of a signal to screw the bands up with any mode. Plus they would have the opportunity to at least get a sniff of cw and out of that should fall some who decide to pursue CW further and upgrade with real code tests. For as long as they last anywhere. When ya think about it it's really like we had in the 50s when U.S. Novices were restricted in power output and restricted to operating in certain band segements. Of course the FCC put big teeth into the Novice ticket by making it non-renewable. Whatever. Both the Novice and M3 licenses are/were good "learner's permits" and good ideas in their times and had similar thinking behind their creation. IMO the Brits did a better job of it last year than the FCC did a half century ago.

I like the ten hours of class requirement for the M3. Hell, the M3s might even actually learn something beyond how to memorize the question pools. Dump that requirement in here and the free-lunchers would go apeshit again and we'd have anoher RRAP war.

w3rv

34. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 02:45:11 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <apvea2eki1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54224

```
"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DC2FF30.C823D2DD@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> >
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:3DC1FD34.93FFAD6B@townsqr.com...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > > yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
> > news:<20021031143726.10201.00000081@mb-ch.aol.com>...
> > > > > >Morse code tells you as much about a person's technical abilities
as
> > > > > >does his ability to tap dance.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > Lloyd:
> > > > > >
> > > > > Right -- but it tells you everything about his abilities as a
capable
> > and
> > > > > effective amateur radio communications provider.
> > > > > >
> > > > > 73 de Larry, K3LT
> > > > >
> > > > Reminds me of the time you created a scenario where only Morse Code
> > > > could save your victim. You would probably opt to die in real life
> > > > than accept effective amateur radio communications via
repeater-talkie
> > > > from a "shack on his hip" no-coder.
> > >
> > > You're never gonna get it are you Slim?
> > > There are still, from time to time, situations when nothing except
> > > Morse is available to use.
> >
> > Such "nothing except morse is available" from time to time incidents
> > haven't been shown to be of any substance to constitute a
> > valid reason/rational. Indeed, such incidents seem to be
> > almost nonexistent where safety and/or emergency requirements
> > are involved.
> >
> > Cheers,
> > Bill K2UNK
```

>
> You could probably count on two fingers the number of times in the
> past oh say 10 years, when the situation arose where nothing but
> Morse was available in a real lifesaving emergency. I am not
> knocking code, just this old argument how it is going to be the
> only thing to save the world someday doesn't hold water.

We are in violent agreement :-)

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

35. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 02:52:54 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <apvf0kjho1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54225

"K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:ouEw9.1697$7n.170105@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:apv3hi$66b$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
>
> > such incidents seem to be almost nonexistent where safety
> > and/or emergency requirements are involved.
>
> Bill,
>
> "Almost" only counts in horseshoes and a-bombs.

Well, handgranades too...

> During the Red River floods a couple years ago, we used CW extensively for
> communications from the disaster site in Grand Forks, ND to the Salvation
> Army warehouse/shipping center in Brooklyn Park, MN. This was due to
> marginal propagation on the 75 and 40 meter HF paths between the two
> locations (about 340 miles apart).
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB

So based on the above, is the Salvation Army now endorsing
continued need for CW testing?

Does the local emergency
management group(s) in Grand Forks mandate a need
for morse capable operators?

Did the incident describeded convince the FCC that
code is needed for HF hams?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

36. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 03:46:58 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC34AB2.A10C9FA3@mailcity.net>
Source record: 54240

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> >Quite honestly, even as a code enthusiast, I think there are more
> >important things to know.
>
> Yawn -- we've heard that before, ad nauseum. The problem is, most of the
> people saying that don't really know much more about ham radio than how to pick
> up a microphone and operate the PTT switch. Moreover, those who DO know the
> Morse Code also usually end up being the ones who know a LOT of all the other
> "more important things to know" in the first place.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Did it ever dawn on your small brain Larry, that there very well
may be no code licensees out there with engineering degrees that
know more about radio than you could ever hope to know? Some of
them may be designing electronic gear more complicated than you
could ever hope to see.

37. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 04:03:04 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC34E78.8AC0BE72@mailcity.net>
Source record: 54241

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> JJ wrote:
>
> . I am not
>
> > knocking code, just this old argument how it is going to be the
> > only thing to save the world someday doesn't hold water.
>
> Then you can relax, JJ, if circumstances ever happen where the world will
> depend on CW saving it it'll be long gone anyway. By then all you folks who can't
> see off the end of your noses will have dropped it from your bag of tricks and
> couldn't use it if your life did depend on it.
>
> CW has always been another method of communication, tho better than most for
> those who learn it to the level of a communications skill. No one ever even
> hinted that it was anything more, and the "saves the world" scenario has always
> been nothing more than a figment of a feverish Nocoder's nightmare.

I will repeat, I am not anti code, I sat in front of an FCC
examiner to pass my CW test, but I rarely use CW anymore. It just
isn't the way I want to communicate, but I could if need be. I am
just can't subscribe to this idiot ideal put forth by some here
that if you don't use Morse code you are not a real ham or somehow
your are inferior to those who do. Larry seems to think because of
his code skills he is some kind of superior ham above everyone
else. As far as knowing code makes one more knowledgeable in ham
radio, I bet there are some no code licensees out there that could
put Larry and many others to shame with their knowledge of radio
and I bet when they attempt to join any ham radio emergency
organization, they don't come in with the Larry "I am superior"
attitude that turns everyone off.

38. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 04:10:14 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC35E6C.54656A56@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54226

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:

> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:apv3hi$66b$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
>
> > such incidents seem to be almost nonexistent where safety
> > and/or emergency requirements are involved.
>
> Bill,
>
> "Almost" only counts in horseshoes and a-bombs.
>
> During the Red River floods a couple years ago, we used CW extensively for
> communications from the disaster site in Grand Forks, ND to the Salvation
> Army warehouse/shipping center in Brooklyn Park, MN. This was due to
> marginal propagation on the 75 and 40 meter HF paths between the two
> locations (about 340 miles apart).

Hans, you will note that Bill finds it necessary to jump in with his "almost never" mantra every time "CW" and "emergency" find their way into the same post. Ya gotta wonder what it is that makes him so nervous about it all.

73, Dick

39. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 04:18:45 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC3606B.81385EB9@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54227

JJ wrote:

. I am not

> knocking code, just this old argument how it is going to be the
> only thing to save the world someday doesn't hold water.

Then you can relax, JJ, if circumstances ever happen where the world will depend on CW saving it it'll be long gone anyway. By then all you folks who can't see off the end of your noses will have dropped it from your bag of tricks and couldn't use it if your life did depend on it.

CW has always been another method of communication, tho better than most for those who learn it to the level of a communications skill. No one ever even hinted that it was anything more, and the "saves the world" scenario has always been nothing more than a figment of a feverish Nocoder's nightmare.

40. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 04:21:19 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <us6km9l2u9l959@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Yep, Dan; you are correct. We did have a KWM-2 in the 2nd position running into a 30L-1. The Heathkit was the 3rd position and was used for RTTY. The Collins rigs were very nice and would still make a darned good station today. I think (remember, this was 35 years ago!) there was a 250 (possibly 500) Hz filter for CW on the 32 receiver. Sweet.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message news:appjqh$2u7$2@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> The transmitter you are thinking about to complete the S-line was the
> 32S-1/2/3. I think most of the military/MARS stations used KWM2A
> transceivers. The one with the crystal switch adjacent to the band switch.
> I am quite familiar with them all. Still a good station for ssb.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:us0hc6e4nt736c@corp.supernews.com...
> > Come to think of it, Mopar, we had a KWM-2 and a 30L-1 in the second
> > position of that shack on Guam. It was a 75S-3 and (dang, getting old ...
> > can't remember) whatever with the Henry that was in position #1. Position
> > #3 was a Heathkit transmitter and two receivers for diversity reception
> > (between two antennas - one at 60 feet, the other at 102 feet) which we
> used
> > for RTTY. I had a ball with RTTY.
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
> >
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021030004620.769$Qi@newsreader.com...
> > > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> > > >> Newsflash - check your newsgroups. You're both posting to both
> > > > rec.radio.cb and radio.amateur.policy. I'll admit Windows is nasty,
> but
> > > > check the headings. As far as Mopar, 30L-1? What's wrong with a
> Henry
> > > > 2K? Had a lot of fun with that one on Guam.
> > > >

> > > I know where my posts are going,and I think JJ just got schooled.
> > >
> > > As far as the Henry,wish I could say I have one but I don't. The 30L-1
> has
> > > been rock solid for me though..I take it easy on the tubes and keep it
> in
> > a
> > > fairly clean enviroment.
> > >
> > > --
> > > Don't crush em..restore em..
> > > ---------- http://NewsReader.Com/ ----------
> > > Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40
> >
> >
>
>

41. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 04:32:11 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC3638F.57F8E2C1@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54229

Brian Kelly wrote:

> . Both the Novice and M3 licenses are/were good
> "learner's permits" and good ideas in their times and had similar
> thinking behind their creation. IMO the Brits did a better job of it
> last year than the FCC did a half century ago.

I expect FCC grew weary of all the protestations of NCI types, and caved.

>
> I like the ten hours of class requirement for the M3. Hell, the M3s
> might even actually learn something beyond how to memorize the
> question pools. Dump that requirement in here and the free-lunchers
> would go apeshit again and we'd have anoher RRAP war.

Considering how stale Brainiac's lamentations have become, not to say repetitious or anything, and
FCC's towel-tossing makes good sense. Who would inflict such stuff on themselves indifinitely except
us rrap-ers?
I can't wait for No Test International to start up. It's coming.

42. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 04:36:29 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC36492.7B49C723@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54230

Bill Sohl wrote:

>
> Did the incident describeded convince the FCC that
> code is needed for HF hams?

Nothing will ever again do that, Bill, all the nocoders' wailing will trump actual usage of CW in any conceivable case.

43. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 04:44:56 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC3668E.84557C94@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54231

Brian wrote:

>
> Your contempt for anyone not in love with your favorite mode is what
> has been documented here.

You missed it again, still. My contempt is reserved for those -you're the prime example- who blame others for their own
failure to develop such a mundane skill as 13wpm code, and who sat and whined for the better part of two decades instead
of just getting it done. Somehow its always the fault of anyone but you, and you have no idea when to stop.

> That and your psk-31 failures.

Ah, yes, I find and ID a shortcoming of the mode and you find it convenient to convert that to "my failure". You are
hereby directed to Google to straighten yourself out in the remote chance such a thing is actually possible. I frankly
don't hold out any hope.

44. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 04:47:57 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021101234757.10683.00002901@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 54232

>Quite honestly, even as a code enthusiast, I think there are more
>important things to know.

Yawn -- we've heard that before, ad nauseum. The problem is, most of the people saying that don't really know much more about ham radio than how to pick up a microphone and operate the PTT switch. Moreover, those who DO know the Morse Code also usually end up being the ones who know a LOT of all the other "more important things to know" in the first place.

73 de Larry, K3LT

45. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 04:50:20 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021101235020.10683.00002902@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 54233

>Well, I know some amateurs who can copy code quit well, but far as
>being capable and effective at amateur radio communications, they
>are pretty pathetic. So what does that say Larry?

I'd say that your observation is self-serving and hardly worth the paper it's printed on. I believe my observations are more objective than yours.

73 de Larry, K3LT

46. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 04:56:27 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021101235627.10683.00002907@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 54234

>Larry doesn't get it...
>The issue comes down to the lack of any speific need to have someone
>learn morse...not IF they can learn it. The question is now simply
>turning on one's personal desire to learn and use morse IF they
>so choose. No different than people who "could" learn to
>drive a manual gearbox automobile but choose not to. No need,
>no harm, no issue!
>
>Cheers,
>Bill K2UNK

Bill:

The "specific need" to learn Morse code is obvious -- radio amateurs cannot exploit the many benefits and advantages of this mode unless they DO learn it!

BTW, I've just traded in my 5-speed manual gearbox 2001 Toyota Corolla LE for a 2003 Toyota Corolla LE with automatic transmission. I'm still going to know how to drive a stick shift, however, and may get a beater of a pickup truck to keep in practice.

73 de Larry, K3LT

47. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 05:00:56 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021102000056.10683.00002909@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 54235

>Reminds me of the time you created a scenario where only Morse Code
>could save your victim. You would probably opt to die in real life
>than accept effective amateur radio communications via repeater-talkie
>from a "shack on his hip" no-coder.

If a shack-on-his-hip no-coder ever saved my life with his HT, I'd show my gratitude by teaching him the Morse Code. I couldn't think of a more valuable reward to give him.

73 de Larry, K3LT

48. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 05:04:22 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021102000422.10683.00002910@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 54236

>Ah, the old "I can't back up my statement so you go find it
>yourself" trick.

No, more like the old "why should I waste valuable bandwidth by re-posting something that's already there" trick. Now -- get busy and do your OWN research! If you can't, or won't, you're just another lazy, no-nothing, no-coder!

73 de Larry, K3LT

49. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 05:07:13 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021102000713.17907.00000256@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 54237

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329
Tech - 205,394
Tech Plus - 128,860
General - 112,677
Advanced - 99,782
Extra - 78,750
Total - 674,792

As of October 31, 2002:

Novice - 36,506 (decrease of 12,823)
Tech - 246,075 (increase of 40,651)
Tech Plus - 74,842 (decrease of 54,415)
General - 139,423 (increase of 26,746)
Advanced - 84,534 (decrease of 15,248)
Extra - 102,975 (increase of 24,225)
Total - 684,355 (increase of 9,563)

This total is close to, if not higher than, the previous high number.

73 de Jim, N2EY

50. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 05:13:13 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021102001313.10683.00002914@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 54238

>You could probably count on two fingers the number of times in the
>past oh say 10 years, when the situation arose where nothing but
>Morse was available in a real lifesaving emergency. I am not
>knocking code, just this old argument how it is going to be the
>only thing to save the world someday doesn't hold water.

One thing's for sure -- Morse code certainly WON'T "save the world unless there are radio amateurs who know how to use it with proficiency! In a real, flat-out, global disaster, I'll bet there could be literally hundreds of opportunities to communicate electronically by Morse/CW under conditions which would render any other mode useless. The problem is, we'll never know unless and until this skill is really needed in a real-world crisis. And the lazy whiners who couldn't be bothered to learn this valuable skill are dumbing down ALL of amateur radio in their desire to push a button and talk.

73 de Larry, K3LT

51. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 05:19:36 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <IfJw9.2334$t4.240620@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54239

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote

> So based on the above, is the Salvation Army now endorsing
> continued need for CW testing?
>
> Does the local emergency
> management group(s) in Grand Forks mandate a need
> for morse capable operators?
>
> Did the incident describeded convince the FCC that
> code is needed for HF hams?

Bill,

Please have the decency to not insult us by trying to put words in my mouth.

I think I've been pretty clear here for several years in NOT supporting CW tests, and was only pointing out to you that CW still finds significant use among hams, INCLUDING use during emergency communications. I expect that usage will continue long after 2003, 2007, 2011, or whenever the test requirement goes away.

With all kind wishes,

Hans, K0HB

52. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 13:49:52 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC3E649.15BE1E33@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54242

JJ wrote:

> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >
> > >Quite honestly, even as a code enthusiast, I think there are more
> > >important things to know.
> >
> > Yawn -- we've heard that before, ad nauseum. The problem is, most of the
> > people saying that don't really know much more about ham radio than how to pick
> > up a microphone and operate the PTT switch. Moreover, those who DO know the
> > Morse Code also usually end up being the ones who know a LOT of all the other
> > "more important things to know" in the first place.
> >
> > 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
> Did it ever dawn on your small brain Larry, that there very well
> may be no code licensees out there with engineering degrees that
> know more about radio than you could ever hope to know? Some of
> them may be designing electronic gear more complicated than you
> could ever hope to see.

NONE of which has anything whatever to do with ham radio licensing. If it did, the FCC would just give all engineers a license with no further testing.

53. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 14:10:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC3EAFE.46189328@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54243

JJ wrote:

> I
> just can't subscribe to this idiot ideal put forth by some here
> that if you don't use Morse code you are not a real ham or somehow
> your are inferior to those who do.

One ham is capable of communicating under conditions which make normal radio communications impossible. That ham has become well qualified at the use of Morse code. A second ham has your attitude and thus refused to learn it. So you can't discern any difference in the qualifications of the two? Are you wearing blinders?

> Larry seems to think because of
> his code skills he is some kind of superior ham above everyone
> else.

No, just more qualified as a ham than any ham who can't understand that an additional well-adapted, very efficient mode is potentially a valuable asset to the ARS, and not useless just because it's "old".

Just because FCC is dropping the requirement in no way negates that value. FCC does what it does for reasons other than communications value, as has been made abundantly clear.

> As far as knowing code makes one more knowledgeable in ham
> radio, I bet there are some no code licensees out there that could
> put Larry and many others to shame with their knowledge of radio
> and I bet when they attempt to join any ham radio emergency
> organization, they don't come in with the Larry "I am superior"
> attitude that turns everyone off.

There are always some "superior" people out there, in this field or that. What they are NOT superior at is communications on ham radio under the most austere conditions where only simply implemented modes are available and others are not, or won't work as needed. Larry wins hands down here, right along with the other CW ops, and all the others remain in the dark of their own choosing.

If we had a perfect world where the most efficient modes were always available everwhere, all the time, this would not be so. I think even the most rabid nocoder might be able to understand this isn't the case and most likely will never be.

54. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 16:13:32 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq0tkodbp1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54244
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ya'll will probably get a kick out of this story then...

Circa early 67
Place Bien Hoa RVN, runway area.

A Collins representive was putting on a demonstration of the strength of the equipment.

A C-130 cargo aircraft was to demonstrate the survivability of the equipment when air dropped using the standard out the rear end with parachute trick often used then.

The aircraft would fly at several hunderd miles an hour just above the runway and kick out the pallet loaded with various items...including the KWM2A, 516F-2 consule and the 30L-1 all packed in their individual Samsonite suitcases.

Of course being a military operation there were lots of delays. By the time the aircraft finally showed up for the drop we had been told the equipment was so tough that nothing could hurt it.

The C-130 rolled in... the pallet came out the back..the shutes deployed and the pallet skidded along the runway for several yards...and came to a halt next to a tanker truck full of JP-4.

Over we all went, anxiously opened the first suitcase...the Speaker Consul was perfect...not even a scratch. Impressed we then anxiously opened both the remaining suitcases.

The equipment looked great...except that every tube was either busted or flopping around inside. We looked at the Collins representive....and in true salesman style said " I never said those cheap tubes would make it"..

Dan/W4NTI

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021102004246.265$fA@newsreader.com...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> >> Yep, Dan; you are correct. We did have a KWM-2 in the 2nd position
> > running into a 30L-1. The Heathkit was the 3rd position and was used
for
> > RTTY. The Collins rigs were very nice and would still make a darned
good
> > station today. I think (remember, this was 35 years ago!) there was a
> > 250 (possibly 500) Hz filter for CW on the 32 receiver. Sweet.
> >

> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
> >
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:appjqh$2u7$2@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > The transmitter you are thinking about to complete the S-line was the
> > > 32S-1/2/3. I think most of the military/MARS stations used KWM2A
> > > transceivers. The one with the crystal switch adjacent to the band
> > switch.
> > > I am quite familiar with them all. Still a good station for ssb.
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> > >
> > > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> > > news:us0hc6e4nt736c@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > Come to think of it, Mopar, we had a KWM-2 and a 30L-1 in the second
> > > > position of that shack on Guam. It was a 75S-3 and (dang, getting
> > > > old
> > ...
> > > > can't remember) whatever with the Henry that was in position #1.
> > Position
> > > > #3 was a Heathkit transmitter and two receivers for diversity
> > > > reception (between two antennas - one at 60 feet, the other at 102
> > > > feet) which we
> > > used
> > > > for RTTY. I had a ball with RTTY.
> > > >
> > > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > > Jim
> > > >
> > > > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:20021030004620.769$Qi@newsreader.com...
> > > > > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> > > > > >> Newsflash - check your newsgroups. You're both posting to both
> > > > > > rec.radio.cb and radio.amateur.policy. I'll admit Windows is
> > > > > > nasty,
> > > but
> > > > > > check the headings. As far as Mopar, 30L-1? What's wrong with
a
> > > Henry
> > > > > > 2K? Had a lot of fun with that one on Guam.
> > > > > >
> > > > > I know where my posts are going,and I think JJ just got schooled.
> > > > >
> > > > > As far as the Henry,wish I could say I have one but I don't. The
> > > > > 30L-1
> > > has
> > > > > been rock solid for me though..I take it easy on the tubes and
keep
> > > > > it

> > > in
> > > > a
> > > > > fairly clean enviroment.
> > > > >
> > > >
> >
> See Jim,you're not as old as you thought you were. I still have the
> complete S line station,and JJ(Circumfrence) has it too(minus the 30L-1).
>
> Collins is some pretty tight gear. ;)
>
> --
> Don't crush em..restore em..
> ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40

55. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 17:20:04 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <us82m6d41cc885@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54245

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021102001313.10683.00002914@mb-mq.aol.com...
> >You could probably count on two fingers the number of times in the
> >past oh say 10 years, when the situation arose where nothing but
> >Morse was available in a real lifesaving emergency. I am not
> >knocking code, just this old argument how it is going to be the
> >only thing to save the world someday doesn't hold water.
>
> One thing's for sure -- Morse code certainly WON'T "save the world unless
there
> are radio amateurs who know how to use it with proficiency! In a real,
> flat-out, global disaster, I'll bet there could be literally hundreds of
> opportunities to communicate electronically by Morse/CW under conditions
which
> would render any other mode useless. The problem is, we'll never know
unless
> and until this skill is really needed in a real-world crisis. And the
lazy
> whiners who couldn't be bothered to learn this valuable skill are dumbing
down
> ALL of amateur radio in their desire to push a button and talk.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>
>

In a real flat-out global disaster (using your hypothetically impossible
scenario), there *WILL* literally hundreds of opportunities to communicate,
under your hypothetical conditions. Only thing is, there is no disaster
great enough or territory huge enough to completely render "any" other mode
useless, Larry.

In a real disaster situation, there will (hopefully--and I think there will)
be enough amateurs with such a diversity of capabilities that your perceived
notion nothing could be done will remain simply perception.

Kim W5TIT

56. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 17:31:34 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <us83bnt95cmb70@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54246

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021031143726.10201.00000081@mb-ch.aol.com...
> >Morse code tells you as much about a person's technical abilities as
> >does his ability to tap dance.
> >
>
> Lloyd:
>
> Right -- but it tells you everything about his abilities as a capable and
> effective amateur radio communications provider.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Y'know? I just got to pondering on this, and other sentiments like it that Larry has made in the past. Seems Larry's angst is the failure of people to reach for higher goals and their willingness to "whine" about why they don't.

Yet, from Larry himself we have many times been witness to his declaration of his capability to succeed further in life--he just "chooses" to remain a bus driver; even though he has all this esteemed HR background, his successes and potential could-have-beens-if-they'd-just-let-him in the military--you know...on and on.

Well, Larry. Question is, what makes it so OK for you to "just stay" where you are even though you could be more; when it's not OK for people to choose what of amateur radio they will get involved with? What makes you so sure we don't know "everything about your abilities as a capable and effective" human being when you've chosen to go just so far--even though you profess to have the capability to go further? And, don't relate it to "whining" because if you don't think you're whining when you note how incapable your superiors are/were of recognizing your attributes, you're simply choosing to ignore the fact that you're whining yourself.

I think we're getting to know more of Larry than most of us would really like to... I'd like to see that answer, Larry.

Kim W5TIT

57. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 17:39:38 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <us83qs3oj48dfc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54247

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3DC3EAFE.46189328@townsqr.com...
>
>
> JJ wrote:
>
> > I
> > just can't subscribe to this idiot ideal put forth by some here
> > that if you don't use Morse code you are not a real ham or somehow
> > your are inferior to those who do.
>
> One ham is capable of communicating under conditions which make normal
radio
> communications impossible. That ham has become well qualified at the use
of Morse code.
> A second ham has your attitude and thus refused to learn it. So you can't
discern any
> difference in the qualifications of the two? Are you wearing blinders?
>
>
>
> > Larry seems to think because of
> > his code skills he is some kind of superior ham above everyone
> > else.
>
> No, just more qualified as a ham than any ham who can't understand that
an additional
> well-adapted, very efficient mode is potentially a valuable asset to the
ARS, and not
> useless just because it's "old".
>

No, it's pretty much that Larry only has ham radio to make him "*feel*"
[emphasis on feel] superior to others. He isn't. Let's use one of the
Larry-style impossible scenarios: Imagine if every radio amateur could know
Larry--as he has portrayed himself here in the newsgroup (he may be an
entirely different person face-to-face). Now, imagine that most radio
amateurs are quite capable of using and understanding CW. Now, imagine the
attitude of most radio amateurs when they hear a CW signal coming from K3LT
in an emergency situation and how willing most radio amateurs are going to
be to welcome his input with open arms and *know* that he is capable of
contributing positively to the scene.

Yeah, like I've said before: Larry may "know" CW. Larry doesn't portray
himself as someone I'd personally like to have active in a disaster. Fact

is, I'd pretty much prefer to fall off a cliff rather than deal with the likes of a K3LT. He may be an effective *mode* user. He is *NOT* an effective communicator.

Same for you, by the way, Dick.

Kim W5TIT

58. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 17:56:59 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <us84rdtdl7vfa7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54249

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:apn31t$jp4$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> Where has you folks been? Packet radio was doing its thing country wide
> starting in the early 80s or so. It was so hot to trot you couldn't find
a
> place to park. The BBS's were clobbering the keyboarders and it was
turning
> into total bedlam.
>
> Where did it all go? Why did it all end??? Did a large hunk of rock from
> the meteor belt flip out and trash the hub? Nope.....were on it right
now.
> Its called the internet.
>
> Been there done that.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

Hi, Dan. Well, that's true--the internet that is. However, as a fairly new person to ham radio and as a casual observer of society in general, I think there's an even bigger reason for the decline of packet radio, CW, SSTV, etc.

Used to be, in the good 'ol days (right?) that ham radio was probably a great distraction for--mostly--men. Right? Yet, in the 'ol days, pleasure and "wasting time" just wasn't acceptable. I mean, I've even seen recent video of men wearing SUITS and TIES to a baseball game back then! So, while ham radio was a "hobby" (to use a loose term), it also had to have a purpose.

Couple that with financial constraints of most people in the 'ol days, and with the fact that most of us did not live in or near cities, and you have a situation where: more experimentation was done, more was asked of lesser stations (how many people could actually GET a great antenna system, let alone have it up) and--let's face it--the technology was no doubt more fascinating then than now. I mean, after, it's gotten to be "old hat" to see men walk in space, right? Hell, now they are conducting whole construction projects in space.

We (the younger/newer of us?--and I am 47!) have grown up with beeps, lights flashing, and can't even keep up with the next greatest more funner technological gadget! So, the propensity for "us" to sit down and put something together, take the time we don't have to wrangle up a packet station, etc., etc., just isn't there. Now, not saying that isn't sad--but

it's a fact.

Ham radio for "us" is much less than it was for those in the good 'ol days. Now, not only is it OK to waste time, we seek activities that enable it. We are so very busy at work and at impressing each other, that we welcome something that is brainless and pretty much takes our minds OFF those things we have to live with today. Life moves incredibly fast these days, and most choose not to be distracted by things that are going to make us think even longer out of our work environments. I mean, for me, it is everything I can do to get home and collapse to the boob tube--and that isn't even something I desired even just 10 years ago.

Most of us wake up with work on our mind, have to tend to taking care of kids and parents, get to the office, work all day (while, mind you, getting calls from the kids and parents) get out of the office, tend to taking care of more kids and parents, don't even have time to cook a real dinner and sit down for a family meal, and go to bed thinking about the next day's work. Think we can squeeze some time in there for putting together and playing with a packet station? Think we'll take the time away from something else to spend the time it would take to learn CW to a useable rate?

Hell, I don't even have time to pick up a microphone anymore! But, it's there if I need it.

Well, I went on longer than I'd intended, but you've gotten the point, I'm sure. Make any sense?

Kim W5TIT

59. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 18:00:12 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <us84likui0jb62@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54248
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Bwaahaha. Great story, Dan. Thanks for the laugh.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:aq0tkodbp1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> Ya'll will probably get a kick out of this story then...
>
> Circa early 67
> Place Bien Hoa RVN, runway area.
>
> A Collins representive was putting on a demonstration of the strength of
the
> equipment.
>
> A C-130 cargo aircraft was to demonstrate the survivability of the
equipment
> when air dropped using the standard out the rear end with parachute trick
> often used then.
>
> The aircraft would fly at several hunderd miles an hour just above the
> runway and kick out the pallet loaded with various items...including the
> KWM2A, 516F-2 consule and the 30L-1 all packed in their individual
> Samsonite suitcases.
>
> Of course being a military operation there were lots of delays. By the
time
> the aircraft finally showed up for the drop we had been told the equipment
> was so tough that nothing could hurt it.
>
> The C-130 rolled in... the pallet came out the back..the shutes deployed
and
> the pallet skidded along the runway for several yards...and came to a halt
> next to a tanker truck full of JP-4.
>
> Over we all went, anxiously opened the first suitcase...the Speaker Consul
> was perfect...not even a scratch. Impressed we then anxiously opened both
> the remaining suitcases.
>
> The equipment looked great...except that every tube was either busted or
> flopping around inside. We looked at the Collins representive....and in
> true salesman style said " I never said those cheap tubes would make it"..
>

> Dan/W4NTI
>

60. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 18:04:46 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC413BE.F82C9844@mailcity.net>
Source record: 54251

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> >Well, I know some amateurs who can copy code quit well, but far as
> >being capable and effective at amateur radio communications, they
> >are pretty pathetic. So what does that say Larry?
>
> I'd say that your observation is self-serving and hardly worth the paper it's
> printed on. I believe my observations are more objective than yours.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

As if your rantings aren't self serving, you a laugh a minute
Roll.

61. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 18:08:47 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC414AF.939B59B6@mailcity.net>
Source record: 54252

Mophead wrote:

> Is that anything like the attitude you bring to rec.radio.cb ?
>
> The same JJ who claims to have *NEVER* used a CB ?
>
> What a friggin hypocrite.

What have I been hypocritical about? Yes I have NEVER used a cb.
Nothing hypocritical about that. You are a cber, not a ham, you
operate illegally, talk about being a hypocrite.

62. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 18:16:32 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC41680.6474C76@mailcity.net>
Source record: 54253

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> JJ wrote:
>
> > I
> > just can't subscribe to this idiot ideal put forth by some here
> > that if you don't use Morse code you are not a real ham or somehow
> > your are inferior to those who do.
>
> One ham is capable of communicating under conditions which make normal radio
> communications impossible. That ham has become well qualified at the use of Morse code.
> A second ham has your attitude and thus refused to learn it. So you can't discern any
> difference in the qualifications of the two? Are you wearing blinders?
>
> > Larry seems to think because of
> > his code skills he is some kind of superior ham above everyone
> > else.
>
> No, just more qualified as a ham than any ham who can't understand that an additional
> well-adapted, very efficient mode is potentially a valuable asset to the ARS, and not
> useless just because it's "old".
>
> Just because FCC is dropping the requirement in no way negates that value. FCC does
> what it does for reasons other than communications value, as has been made abundantly
> clear.
>
> > As far as knowing code makes one more knowledgeable in ham
> > radio, I bet there are some no code licensees out there that could
> > put Larry and many others to shame with their knowledge of radio
> > and I bet when they attempt to join any ham radio emergency
> > organization, they don't come in with the Larry "I am superior"
> > attitude that turns everyone off.
>
> There are always some "superior" people out there, in this field or that. What they
> are NOT superior at is communications on ham radio under the most austere conditions
> where only simply implemented modes are available and others are not, or won't work as
> needed. Larry wins hands down here, right along with the other CW ops, and all the
> others remain in the dark of their own choosing.
>
> If we had a perfect world where the most efficient modes were always available
> everwhere, all the time, this would not be so. I think even the most rabid nocoder
> might be able to understand this isn't the case and most likely will never be.

I suppose you are proficient in ALL modes available to hams. No? Then you are an inferior ham operator. There are some digital modes that may be superior in communicating than CW under certain conditions. CW is not the save all you wish it to be and to look down on others who choose to not use that mode speaks to your gigantic self serving ego. You and Larry want to believe that somehow you are going to be call upon to save the world with your CW skills...don't hold your breath, it isn't going to happen. You must be Larry's twin.

63. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 18:19:11 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC4171F.782EF416@mailcity.net>
Source record: 54254

W5TIT - Kim wrote:

> In a real disaster situation, there will (hopefully--and I think there will)
> be enough amateurs with such a diversity of capabilities that your perceived
> notion nothing could be done will remain simply perception.
>
> Kim W5TIT

There will be, it just that Dickieboy and Larry can't except the
fact that their CW skills may not (probably will not) be needed at
all. That is the only way they can feel important. "Hey everybody,
look at us, we know Morse code."

64. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 18:56:11 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC41FCB.8BFCB663@mailcity.net>
Source record: 54256

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> One ham is capable of communicating under conditions which make normal radio
> communications impossible. That ham has become well qualified at the use of Morse code.
> A second ham has your attitude and thus refused to learn it. So you can't discern any
> difference in the qualifications of the two? Are you wearing blinders?

Are you set up to run all modes? If not then you are less
qualified as a ham than those who can. Can you copy code in
French? Spanish? German? Arabic? NO? Well there are hams who can
in one or more of those and other languages, so you are certainly
underqualified as a ham.

65. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 19:21:21 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DC425AD.8ACB21A6@earthlink.net>
Source record: 54250

W5TIT - Kim wrote:

> No, it's pretty much that Larry only has ham radio to make him "*feel*"
> [emphasis on feel] superior to others.

You don't know that.

> He isn't.

You don't know that.

>
> Larry doesn't portray himself as someone I'd personally like to have active > in a disaster.

Who are you to be choosey in a disaster?

> Fact is, I'd pretty much prefer to fall off a cliff rather than deal with the
> likes of a K3LT.

I'll vote for that scenario.

Dave K8MN

66. Re: Has anyone considered this?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 20:40:38 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <us8e2g2opihi45@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54255
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan, I got one on a salesman. He was selling some emc receivers (over $60,000). He stated they were so accurate that you could calibrate them on a commercial FM radio station. I simply asked if he would choose the single tone, I'd be happy to choose the deviation; otherwise he could pick the deviation and I'd pick the tone. Then let's see him calibrate it. Ohhhh .. the Bessel function. Ouch. No carrier.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message news:aq0tkodbp1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> Ya'll will probably get a kick out of this story then...
>
> Circa early 67
> Place Bien Hoa RVN, runway area.
>
> A Collins representive was putting on a demonstration of the strength of the
> equipment.
>
> A C-130 cargo aircraft was to demonstrate the survivability of the equipment
> when air dropped using the standard out the rear end with parachute trick
> often used then.
>
> The aircraft would fly at several hunderd miles an hour just above the
> runway and kick out the pallet loaded with various items...including the
> KWM2A, 516F-2 consule and the 30L-1 all packed in their individual
> Samsonite suitcases.
>
> Of course being a military operation there were lots of delays. By the time
> the aircraft finally showed up for the drop we had been told the equipment
> was so tough that nothing could hurt it.
>
> The C-130 rolled in... the pallet came out the back..the shutes deployed and
> the pallet skidded along the runway for several yards...and came to a halt
> next to a tanker truck full of JP-4.
>
> Over we all went, anxiously opened the first suitcase...the Speaker Consul
> was perfect...not even a scratch. Impressed we then anxiously opened both
> the remaining suitcases.

>
> The equipment looked great...except that every tube was either busted or
> flopping around inside. We looked at the Collins representive....and in
> true salesman style said " I never said those cheap tubes would make it"..
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20021102004246.265$fA@newsreader.com...
> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> > >> Yep, Dan; you are correct. We did have a KWM-2 in the 2nd position
> > > running into a 30L-1. The Heathkit was the 3rd position and was used
> for
> > > RTTY. The Collins rigs were very nice and would still make a darned
> good
> > > station today. I think (remember, this was 35 years ago!) there was a
> > > 250 (possibly 500) Hz filter for CW on the 32 receiver. Sweet.
> > >
> > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > Jim
> > >
> > > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > > news:appjqh$2u7$2@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > > The transmitter you are thinking about to complete the S-line was
the
> > > > 32S-1/2/3. I think most of the military/MARS stations used KWM2A
> > > > transceivers. The one with the crystal switch adjacent to the band
> > > switch.
> > > > I am quite familiar with them all. Still a good station for ssb.
> > > >
> > > > Dan/W4NTI
> > > >
> > > > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:us0hc6e4nt736c@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > > Come to think of it, Mopar, we had a KWM-2 and a 30L-1 in the
second
> > > > > position of that shack on Guam. It was a 75S-3 and (dang, getting
> > > > > old
> > > ...
> > > > > can't remember) whatever with the Henry that was in position #1.
> > > Position
> > > > > #3 was a Heathkit transmitter and two receivers for diversity
> > > > > reception (between two antennas - one at 60 feet, the other at 102
> > > > > feet) which we
> > > > used
> > > > > for RTTY. I had a ball with RTTY.
> > > > >
> > > > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > > > Jim

> > > > >
> > > > > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:20021030004620.769$Qi@newsreader.com...
> > > > > > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
> > > > > > >> Newsflash - check your newsgroups. You're both posting to
both
> > > > > > > rec.radio.cb and radio.amateur.policy. I'll admit Windows is
> > > > > > > nasty,
> > > > but
> > > > > > > check the headings. As far as Mopar, 30L-1? What's wrong
with
> a
> > > > Henry
> > > > > > > 2K? Had a lot of fun with that one on Guam.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > I know where my posts are going,and I think JJ just got
schooled.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > As far as the Henry,wish I could say I have one but I don't. The
> > > > > > 30L-1
> > > > has
> > > > > > been rock solid for me though..I take it easy on the tubes and
> keep
> > > > > > it
> > > > in
> > > > > > a
> > > > > > fairly clean enviroment.
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > >
> > See Jim,you're not as old as you thought you were. I still have the
> > complete S line station,and JJ(Circumfrence) has it too(minus the
30L-1).
> >
> > Collins is some pretty tight gear. ;)
> >
> > --
> > Don't crush em..restore em..
> > ------------------- http://NewsReader.Com/ --------------------
> > Usenet Newsgroup Service go#40
>
>

67. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 21:31:49 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211021331.2f04d1a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54257

brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0211011711.79cb8fff@posting.google.com>...
> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0211010058.1a08b384@posting.google.com>...
> > > > >
> > > > > Must be some kind of universal constant in Kelly-land. Hopefully you
> > > > > were born sterile or got mumps in your early teens.
> > > > >
> > > > > Three degreed technoid daughters (the eldest learned 10wpm at age 13
> > > > > just for the hell of it) and five granchullins, deal with it.
> > > > >
> > > > > w3rv
> > > >
> > > Daughters? No thanks. You keep on dealing with them.
> > >
> > It's blatently obvious why you'd much rather just have to deal with
> > boys instead of WOMEN.
> >
> > w3rv
>
> I've "dealt" with women. I now have four sons from the experience.

Two strokes, one involuntary squirt and done, big freaking deal. That
ain't the point. Not that you'll ever get the point. Any point.

w3rv

68. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 21:42:04 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021102164204.15163.00000308@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 54258

In article <3DC34AB2.A10C9FA3@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
writes:

>> Yawn -- we've heard that before, ad nauseum. The problem is, most of the
>> people saying that don't really know much more about ham radio than how to
>pick
>> up a microphone and operate the PTT switch. Moreover, those who DO know the
>> Morse Code also usually end up being the ones who know a LOT of all the
>other
>> "more important things to know" in the first place.
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>Did it ever dawn on your small brain Larry, that there very well
>may be no code licensees out there with engineering degrees that
>know more about radio than you could ever hope to know? Some of
>them may be designing electronic gear more complicated than you
>could ever hope to see.

He can't. If the transmitter is more complicated than a two-tube
MOPA he gets lost and waves his morse key around like a
sword. :-)

69. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 21:42:05 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021102164205.15163.00000309@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 54259

In article <IfJw9.2334$t4.240620@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:

>"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote
>
>> So based on the above, is the Salvation Army now endorsing
>> continued need for CW testing?
>>
>> Does the local emergency
>> management group(s) in Grand Forks mandate a need
>> for morse capable operators?
>>
>> Did the incident describeded convince the FCC that
>> code is needed for HF hams?
>
>Bill,
>
>Please have the decency to not insult us by trying to put words in my mouth.

Go for it, superchief! I remember the Red River flooding all too well and the lack of news coverage of all that amateur radio stuff on the major news services...and back then YOU put a a lot of words to me that weren't in a kind manner. :-)

>I think I've been pretty clear here for several years in NOT supporting CW
>tests, and was only pointing out to you that CW still finds significant use
>among hams, INCLUDING use during emergency communications. I expect that
>usage will continue long after 2003, 2007, 2011, or whenever the test
>requirement goes away.

I'm sure the ARRL will do just as fine a job of promoting morsemanship over the same period of time...along with all of the other morsemen "radio experts" doing roadrunner imitations.

Mighty are the fallen...

70. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 21:42:06 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021102164206.15163.00000310@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 54261

In article <20021101235627.10683.00002907@mb-mq.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>>Larry doesn't get it...
>>The issue comes down to the lack of any speific need to have someone
>>learn morse...not IF they can learn it. The question is now simply
>>turning on one's personal desire to learn and use morse IF they
>>so choose. No different than people who "could" learn to
>>drive a manual gearbox automobile but choose not to. No need,
>>no harm, no issue!
>>
>>Cheers,
>>Bill K2UNK
>
>Bill:
>
>The "specific need" to learn Morse code is obvious -- radio amateurs cannot
>exploit the many benefits and advantages of this mode unless they DO learn
>it!

That's just an oxymoron statement, Larry. It doesn't qualify as a specific need for FCC rulings.

OOK CW has gone the way of all obsolete skills. It just dies hard because a lot of many-certificated self-described "radio experts" insist everyone be "as good as they" in morsemanship.

A few of them morsemen have turned into morse zombies in here.
:-)

>BTW, I've just traded in my 5-speed manual gearbox 2001 Toyota Corolla LE for
a
>2003 Toyota Corolla LE with automatic transmission. I'm still going to know
>how to drive a stick shift, however, and may get a beater of a pickup truck to
>keep in practice.

Poor baby...didn't learn truck driving in military service? :-)

Why do you tout automotive riceboxes?

Are you caught in the clutch? :-)

71. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 21:42:07 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021102164207.15163.00000311@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 54260

In article <3DC3638F.57F8E2C1@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>Brian Kelly wrote:
>
>> . Both the Novice and M3 licenses are/were good
>> "learner's permits" and good ideas in their times and had similar
>> thinking behind their creation. IMO the Brits did a better job of it
>> last year than the FCC did a half century ago.
>
> I expect FCC grew weary of all the protestations of NCI types, and caved.

Oh? :-) That means the ARRL is falling down on the job!

Did the FCC "cave" on 90-53? NCI didn't exist then.

> Considering how stale Brainiac's lamentations have become, not to say
>repetitious or anything, and
>FCC's towel-tossing makes good sense. Who would inflict such stuff on
>themselves indifinitely except
>us rrap-ers?
> I can't wait for No Test International to start up. It's coming.

I'm waiting for Carroll to admit that Shannon's laws exist. Or that
the New Madrid Fault will cause a quake in his 'hood soon (Dick
read all about it in a book once, he said). I'm waiting for him to
admit that the word "telegraphy" also applies to teleprinters.

I'm not waiting, really...Dickie won't admit anything wrong...just
like every other qualified master morseman won't admit they are
wrong. Their past is not only prologue, it is de rigeur for the
future. :-)

72. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 21:42:08 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021102164208.15163.00000312@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 54262

In article <apvf0kjho1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>"Kï¿½HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
>news:ouEw9.1697$7n.170105@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>>
>> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>> news:apv3hi$66b$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
>>
>> > such incidents seem to be almost nonexistent where safety
>> > and/or emergency requirements are involved.
>>
>> Bill,
>>
>> "Almost" only counts in horseshoes and a-bombs.
>
>Well, handgranades too...
>
>> During the Red River floods a couple years ago, we used CW extensively for
>> communications from the disaster site in Grand Forks, ND to the Salvation
>> Army warehouse/shipping center in Brooklyn Park, MN. This was due to
>> marginal propagation on the 75 and 40 meter HF paths between the two
>> locations (about 340 miles apart).
>>
>> ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>So based on the above, is the Salvation Army now endorsing
>continued need for CW testing?
>
>Does the local emergency
>management group(s) in Grand Forks mandate a need
>for morse capable operators?
>
>Did the incident describeded convince the FCC that
>code is needed for HF hams?

Bill, I remember seeing the Red River flooding on CNN and the
major news broadcast networks. Nice video and audio along
with obvious olive drab radio Humvees of the NG in the back-
ground with nice long whip antennas in the bent-over NVIS
position. I doubt that the NG units were busy strapping on
old J-47 leg keys. :-)

Come to think of it, none of the TV networks carried anything
in video or audio about any amateur radio emergency comm

going on. I don't recall them doing it for the Missouri and Mississippi rivers, either. No doubt that was all the fault of the networks... :-)

I really don't think the FCC have been fully convinced of the terrible NEED of the morse test for amateur licensing from any event in the last dozen years. Of course, all the terrific old morsemen in here will say otherwise in no uncertain words. They KNOW... :-)

73. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 22:00:35 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DC44B03.A2949DBB@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 54263

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

>
> One thing's for sure -- Morse code certainly WON'T "save the world unless there
> are radio amateurs who know how to use it with proficiency! In a real,
> flat-out, global disaster, I'll bet there could be literally hundreds of
> opportunities to communicate electronically by Morse/CW under conditions which
> would render any other mode useless. The problem is, we'll never know unless
> and until this skill is really needed in a real-world crisis. And the lazy
> whiners who couldn't be bothered to learn this valuable skill are dumbing down
> ALL of amateur radio in their desire to push a button and talk.

Maybe true 50 years ago back in the vacuum tube era, but in today's
solid state rigs the voice circuits are only a small portion of the entire
rig. Which essentially means that if that rig (and a power source like
a car battery) survives a disaster well enough for CW to function, odds
are that the mic and SSB function will also survive. And voice modes
do have the advantage that non hams could make use of the rig while
the ham has to get some rest. That would be fine by FCC rules in an
emergency big enough. A local doctor or nurse could, once the ham
establishes the radio contacts (emergency net), use the mic directly
to order up medical supplies or extra help or whatever without having
to explain to the ham medical terms needed to communicate the needs.
Saves time if nothing else. You can talk faster than 20wpm anyway.
And today's rigs are reliable enough to not require a ham to babysit
the station once the setup is running.

74. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-02 22:04:06 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DC44BD6.56521AE3@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 54264

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> >Reminds me of the time you created a scenario where only Morse Code
> >could save your victim. You would probably opt to die in real life
> >than accept effective amateur radio communications via repeater-talkie
> >from a "shack on his hip" no-coder.
>
> If a shack-on-his-hip no-coder ever saved my life with his HT, I'd show my
> gratitude by teaching him the Morse Code. I couldn't think of a more valuable
> reward to give him.
>

Sounds like a penalty.... :-)

Seriously, that would get him heading towards his general or extra.

75. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 01:25:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC48931.35008A72@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54265

JJ wrote:

> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > JJ wrote:
> >
> > > I
> > > just can't subscribe to this idiot ideal put forth by some here
> > > that if you don't use Morse code you are not a real ham or somehow
> > > your are inferior to those who do.
> >
> > One ham is capable of communicating under conditions which make normal radio
> > communications impossible. That ham has become well qualified at the use of Morse code.
> > A second ham has your attitude and thus refused to learn it. So you can't discern any
> > difference in the qualifications of the two? Are you wearing blinders?
> >
> > > Larry seems to think because of
> > > his code skills he is some kind of superior ham above everyone
> > > else.
> >
> > No, just more qualified as a ham than any ham who can't understand that an additional
> > well-adapted, very efficient mode is potentially a valuable asset to the ARS, and not
> > useless just because it's "old".
> >
> > Just because FCC is dropping the requirement in no way negates that value. FCC does
> > what it does for reasons other than communications value, as has been made abundantly
> > clear.
> >
> > > As far as knowing code makes one more knowledgeable in ham
> > > radio, I bet there are some no code licensees out there that could
> > > put Larry and many others to shame with their knowledge of radio
> > > and I bet when they attempt to join any ham radio emergency
> > > organization, they don't come in with the Larry "I am superior"
> > > attitude that turns everyone off.
> >
> > There are always some "superior" people out there, in this field or that. What they
> > are NOT superior at is communications on ham radio under the most austere conditions
> > where only simply implemented modes are available and others are not, or won't work as
> > needed. Larry wins hands down here, right along with the other CW ops, and all the
> > others remain in the dark of their own choosing.
> >
> > If we had a perfect world where the most efficient modes were always available
> > everwhere, all the time, this would not be so. I think even the most rabid nocoder
> > might be able to understand this isn't the case and most likely will never be.

>
> I suppose you are proficient in ALL modes available to hams. No?
> Then you are an inferior ham operator. There are some digital
> modes that may be superior in communicating than CW under certain
> conditions. CW is not the save all you wish it to be and to look
> down on others who choose to not use that mode speaks to your
> gigantic self serving ego. You and Larry want to believe that
> somehow you are going to be call upon to save the world with your
> CW skills...don't hold your breath, it isn't going to happen. You
> must be Larry's twin.

JJ, whatever I may be you just showed yourself to be a very inferior thinker.

There are a virtually infinite number of modes available to hams. The only limit is that any new mode or code be published ana made for the public and of course the FCC. So no one even knows what all modes available are, much less has proficiency in all of them.

Many new modes are of superior efficiency to CW. Unfortunately few of them if any are widely
available to hams where CW mode is incorporated into virtually every HF rig made. Anyh rig that can produce an RF carrier can be pressed into service as a CW transmitter, no key needed
if none is at hand. Now try to do that with any of your new digital modes. I assume you're informed enough to understand the point.

And if you'd just do a tiny bit of reading before mouthing off you'd easily see that no one intends to use CW for a disaster or emergency where better modes are available and work as needed. Of course when your mind is made up it's futile to try to confuse you with facts, so
press on with the ignorant rant.

76. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 01:28:02 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211021728.199b2b5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54266

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DC3638F.57F8E2C1@townsqr.com>...
> Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> > . Both the Novice and M3 licenses are/were good
> > "learner's permits" and good ideas in their times and had similar
> > thinking behind their creation. IMO the Brits did a better job of it
> > last year than the FCC did a half century ago.
>
> I expect FCC grew weary of all the protestations of NCI types, and caved.

The FCC made up it's mind to drop the code tests long before the NPRM
popped up and there are NCI members who agree with that in retrospect.
The FCC had ongoing hassles with test waivers so they eliminated the
underlying source of the hassles and that was the end of the code
tests and the waivers. Dirt simple common sense decision and there
wasn't any more to it than that. The NPRM showed up later as the
mandatory procedure the FCC had to trudge thru to codify the decision.

In the process NCI conveniently came along and presented the FCC with
an opportunity to show that they had support for their decision.
Ancient bureaucrat's maneuver. All the warfare here and all the
responses to the NPRM were complete wastes of time & energy, the deed
had already been done.

> >
> > I like the ten hours of class requirement for the M3. Hell, the M3s
> > might even actually learn something beyond how to memorize the
> > question pools. Dump that requirement in here and the free-lunchers
> > would go apeshit again and we'd have anoher RRAP war.
>
> Considering how stale Brainiac's lamentations have become, not to say
> repetitious or anything,

We need to send him a pacifier. A case of pacifiers.

>and
> FCC's towel-tossing makes good sense. Who would inflict such stuff on themselves
indifinitely except
> us rrap-ers?
> I can't wait for No Test International to start up. It's coming.

Like tomorrow's high tide is coming, absolutely predictable.

w3rv

77. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 01:33:56 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq1uepssr1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54267

Yes indeed you do have many valid points. I just happen to think there is a LOT more to it than not enough time and too many gadgets. And your not all that far removed from my age....I am 56. I was first licensed at 13. And yes it was amazing that I could use a kit transmitter that I built into a hunk of wire strung out my bedroom window and talk all the way to NYC....and things have become so much easier and the luster is gone.

But there is more to do in ham radio. There is moonbounce, there is AO40, there is television, there is...etc...etc.

No I think the major problem with ham radio is whats wrong with our society. Too damn many people wanting something for nothing. And for the most part getting it.

Dan/W4NTI

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message news:us84rdtdl7vfa7@corp.supernews.com...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:apn31t$jp4$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Where has you folks been? Packet radio was doing its thing country wide
> > starting in the early 80s or so. It was so hot to trot you couldn't find
> a
> > place to park. The BBS's were clobbering the keyboarders and it was
> turning
> > into total bedlam.
> >
> > Where did it all go? Why did it all end??? Did a large hunk of rock from
> > the meteor belt flip out and trash the hub? Nope.....were on it right
> now.
> > Its called the internet.
> >
> > Been there done that.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> Hi, Dan. Well, that's true--the internet that is. However, as a fairly new
> person to ham radio and as a casual observer of society in general, I think
> there's an even bigger reason for the decline of packet radio, CW, SSTV,
> etc.

>
> Used to be, in the good 'ol days (right?) that ham radio was probably a
> great distraction for--mostly--men. Right? Yet, in the 'ol days,
pleasure
> and "wasting time" just wasn't acceptable. I mean, I've even seen recent
> video of men wearing SUITS and TIES to a baseball game back then! So,
while
> ham radio was a "hobby" (to use a loose term), it also had to have a
> purpose.
>
> Couple that with financial constraints of most people in the 'ol days, and
> with the fact that most of us did not live in or near cities, and you have
a
> situation where: more experimentation was done, more was asked of lesser
> stations (how many people could actually GET a great antenna system, let
> alone have it up) and--let's face it--the technology was no doubt more
> fascinating then than now. I mean, after, it's gotten to be "old hat" to
> see men walk in space, right? Hell, now they are conducting whole
> construction projects in space.
>
> We (the younger/newer of us?--and I am 47!) have grown up with beeps,
lights
> flashing, and can't even keep up with the next greatest more funner
> technological gadget! So, the propensity for "us" to sit down and put
> something together, take the time we don't have to wrangle up a packet
> station, etc., etc., just isn't there. Now, not saying that isn't
sad--but
> it's a fact.
>
> Ham radio for "us" is much less than it was for those in the good 'ol
days.
> Now, not only is it OK to waste time, we seek activities that enable it.
We
> are so very busy at work and at impressing each other, that we welcome
> something that is brainless and pretty much takes our minds OFF those
things
> we have to live with today. Life moves incredibly fast these days, and
most
> choose not to be distracted by things that are going to make us think even
> longer out of our work environments. I mean, for me, it is everything I
can
> do to get home and collapse to the boob tube--and that isn't even
something
> I desired even just 10 years ago.
>
> Most of us wake up with work on our mind, have to tend to taking care of
> kids and parents, get to the office, work all day (while, mind you,
getting
> calls from the kids and parents) get out of the office, tend to taking
care

> of more kids and parents, don't even have time to cook a real dinner and
sit
> down for a family meal, and go to bed thinking about the next day's work.
> Think we can squeeze some time in there for putting together and playing
> with a packet station? Think we'll take the time away from something else
> to spend the time it would take to learn CW to a useable rate?
>
> Hell, I don't even have time to pick up a microphone anymore! But, it's
> there if I need it.
>
> Well, I went on longer than I'd intended, but you've gotten the point, I'm
> sure. Make any sense?
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

78. No Code Tech Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 04:00:13 UTC
From: "I. Belch" <Burp@GastroDiscomfort.com>
Message-ID: <aq26u2goh0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 54268

Check out the No Code Tech site Dan seems to favor....
http://www.insidechris.com

Not to worry...it is a clean site...

79. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 04:51:57 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021102235157.02399.00001065@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 54269

>Subject: Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/2/02 3:42 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021102164204.15163.00000308@mb-da.aol.com>

>He can't. If the transmitter is more complicated...(SNIP)

_____
IIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIIII
1 3 5 7 9 20 40

Creep factor just went back up.

Lennie commenting about something other than "the CW test issue", contrary
to his assertion that that's ALL he's interested in....

Go figure...

K4YZ

80. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 05:10:23 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC4AFBF.AE288D5A@mailcity.net>
Source record: 54274

```
Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> JJ wrote:
>
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > JJ wrote:
> > >
> > > > I
> > > > just can't subscribe to this idiot ideal put forth by some here
> > > > that if you don't use Morse code you are not a real ham or somehow
> > > > your are inferior to those who do.
> > >
> > > One ham is capable of communicating under conditions which make normal radio
> > > communications impossible. That ham has become well qualified at the use of Morse
code.
> > > A second ham has your attitude and thus refused to learn it. So you can't discern any
> > > difference in the qualifications of the two? Are you wearing blinders?
> > >
> > > > Larry seems to think because of
> > > > his code skills he is some kind of superior ham above everyone
> > > > else.
> > >
> > > No, just more qualified as a ham than any ham who can't understand that an additional
> > > well-adapted, very efficient mode is potentially a valuable asset to the ARS, and not
> > > useless just because it's "old".
> > >
> > > Just because FCC is dropping the requirement in no way negates that value. FCC does
> > > what it does for reasons other than communications value, as has been made abundantly
> > > clear.
> > >
> > > > As far as knowing code makes one more knowledgeable in ham
> > > > radio, I bet there are some no code licensees out there that could
> > > > put Larry and many others to shame with their knowledge of radio
> > > > and I bet when they attempt to join any ham radio emergency
> > > > organization, they don't come in with the Larry "I am superior"
> > > > attitude that turns everyone off.
> > >
> > > There are always some "superior" people out there, in this field or that. What they
> > > are NOT superior at is communications on ham radio under the most austere conditions
> > > where only simply implemented modes are available and others are not, or won't work
as
> > > needed. Larry wins hands down here, right along with the other CW ops, and all the
> > > others remain in the dark of their own choosing.
```

> > >
> > > If we had a perfect world where the most efficient modes were always available
> > > everwhere, all the time, this would not be so. I think even the most rabid nocoder
> > > might be able to understand this isn't the case and most likely will never be.
> >
> > I suppose you are proficient in ALL modes available to hams. No?
> > Then you are an inferior ham operator. There are some digital
> > modes that may be superior in communicating than CW under certain
> > conditions. CW is not the save all you wish it to be and to look
> > down on others who choose to not use that mode speaks to your
> > gigantic self serving ego. You and Larry want to believe that
> > somehow you are going to be call upon to save the world with your
> > CW skills...don't hold your breath, it isn't going to happen. You
> > must be Larry's twin.
>
> JJ, whatever I may be you just showed yourself to be a very inferior thinker.
>
> There are a virtually infinite number of modes available to hams. The only limit is that
> any new mode or code be published ana made for the public and of course the FCC. So no
one
> even knows what all modes available are, much less has proficiency in all of them.
>
> Many new modes are of superior efficiency to CW. Unfortunately few of them if any are
widely
> available to hams where CW mode is incorporated into virtually every HF rig made. Anyh
rig
> that can produce an RF carrier can be pressed into service as a CW transmitter, no key
needed
> if none is at hand. Now try to do that with any of your new digital modes. I assume
you're
> informed enough to understand the point.
>
> And if you'd just do a tiny bit of reading before mouthing off you'd easily see that no
one
> intends to use CW for a disaster or emergency where better modes are available and work
as
> needed. Of course when your mind is made up it's futile to try to confuse you with facts,
so
> press on with the ignorant rant.

I don't argue with you on any of that, just this attitude that if
a ham does not use code any more or has a no code license that
somehow he is an inferior ham. This idea that using code somehow
make one superior is stupid.
I would really hate to see code disappear from ham radio as it has
been a tradition from the beginning, and I don't believe it will
even if the code test is dropped from all license classes. There
well always be those who find it interesting enough to use it.
Just don't use it as some sort of status symbol.

81. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 05:29:28 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq2ca3eoo1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54270

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021101235627.10683.00002907@mb-mq.aol.com...
> >Larry doesn't get it...
> >The issue comes down to the lack of any speific need to have someone
> >learn morse...not IF they can learn it. The question is now simply
> >turning on one's personal desire to learn and use morse IF they
> >so choose. No different than people who "could" learn to
> >drive a manual gearbox automobile but choose not to. No need,
> >no harm, no issue!
> >
> >Cheers,
> >Bill K2UNK
>
> Bill:
>
> The "specific need" to learn Morse code is obvious -- radio amateurs
cannot
> exploit the many benefits and advantages of this mode unless they DO learn
it!
>
> BTW, I've just traded in my 5-speed manual gearbox 2001 Toyota Corolla LE
for a
> 2003 Toyota Corolla LE with automatic transmission. I'm still going to
know
> how to drive a stick shift, however, and may get a beater of a pickup
truck to
> keep in practice.

The need to learn morse under your statement is simply
to do so IF you want to. THAT is the issue...mandatory
testing of a mode that has clearly fallen from any
need for mandatory testing. The fact that you and I
can drive manual gearbox autos/trucks doesn't lead
to any need for everyone to be mandated to be tested on
how to drive a manual gearbox vehicle.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

> 73 de Larry, K3LT

82. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 05:36:09 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq2cv1$4kf$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54271

"K&#65533;HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:IfJw9.2334$t4.240620@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote
>
> > So based on the above, is the Salvation Army now endorsing
> > continued need for CW testing?
> >
> > Does the local emergency
> > management group(s) in Grand Forks mandate a need
> > for morse capable operators?
> >
> > Did the incident describeded convince the FCC that
> > code is needed for HF hams?
>
> Bill,
>
> Please have the decency to not insult us by trying to put words in my
mouth.
>
> I think I've been pretty clear here for several years in NOT supporting CW
> tests, and was only pointing out to you that CW still finds significant
use
> among hams, INCLUDING use during emergency communications. I expect that
> usage will continue long after 2003, 2007, 2011, or whenever the test
> requirement goes away.
>
> With all kind wishes,

Hans,

Likewise, I do not oppose the USE of morse by anyone.
I simply note that there is NO justification for continued testing
of morse. The very few incidental uses of morse in
whatever emergency circumstances may have been involved
are insufficient as any basis for retention of code teting.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

83. Re: No Code Tech Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 06:43:50 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <us9hdib6j2o880@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54272

Cute. I was over at a friend's shack last year. The poor guy was showing me his shack .... he was bothering to translate the CW (about 30 words per minute - nice and slow) ... he suddenly stopped and stated 'Oh - I forgot - you can copy CW'. Yep, and we've been friends for almost 40 years. Oh well. Who cares? I've put 40 on paper for the U. S. Navy. That was the fastest tape they had at Bainbridge, MD. Sigh.... it is amazing when folks talk about CW being totally obsolete ... there was a time I could put CW on hard copy on a typewriter faster than most people could type. Ok, so they can cut and paste in Winblows. Great. Sometime, get a life and put your own thoughts on paper. Please don't make me wait for your 20 words per minute or less capabilities.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"I. Belch" <Burp@GastroDiscomfort.com> wrote in message
news:aq26u2goh0@pita.alt.net...
> Check out the No Code Tech site Dan seems to favor....
> http://www.insidechris.com
>
> Not to worry...it is a clean site...
>
>

84. Re: No Code Tech Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 07:03:52 UTC
From: Fat Ted <Swimmer@Chappaquiddic.com>
Message-ID: <aq2hmepso0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 54273

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:us9hdib6j2o880@corp.supernews.com...
> Cute. I was over at a friend's shack last year. The poor guy was showing
> me his shack .... he was bothering to translate the CW (about 30 words per
> minute - nice and slow) ... he suddenly stopped and stated 'Oh - I
forgot -
> you can copy CW'. Yep, and we've been friends for almost 40 years. Oh
> well. Who cares? I've put 40 on paper for the U. S. Navy. That was the
> fastest tape they had at Bainbridge, MD. Sigh.... it is amazing when
folks
> talk about CW being totally obsolete ... there was a time I could put CW
on
> hard copy on a typewriter faster than most people could type. Ok, so they
> can cut and paste in Winblows. Great. Sometime, get a life and put your
> own thoughts on paper. Please don't make me wait for your 20 words per
> minute or less capabilities.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>
> "I. Belch" <Burp@GastroDiscomfort.com> wrote in message
> news:aq26u2goh0@pita.alt.net...
> > Check out the No Code Tech site Dan seems to favor....
> > http://www.insidechris.com
> >
> > Not to worry...it is a clean site...

And aside from tooting your own horn, what is the point?

85. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 11:10:07 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211030310.17e113f3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54275

Your message:
"Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<apu9ardfb1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>...
> I have no idea what the 'attraction' is for APRS. Obviously someone likes
> it a lot. It is going 24/7 here.

OK, I wuz hoping . . nobody knows . . must be classified . .

>
> What I mean by 3 nodes away.,.. is packet termonology. A node is basically
> a digi repeater thingy...hi.

Really? (??!)

> My DX Cluster is in Huntsville. About 100
> miles to my north. To access it I must go via Gadsden, to Birmingham, to
> Hunstville. 3 Nodes.

Interesting. You're saying that even in a basically rural state like
Alabama there is enough packet infrastructure up and running to let
you go three hops to a cluster. And the cluster does exist. Yeah, I
think this strongly supports my contention that 2m packet is far from
dead, very far from dead.

>
> Of course SSB will whip FM butts in the long haul catagory. But for
> channalized style of operation FM is clearly the winner.

Channelized vhf/uhf ham comms is the problem. Seems to getting worn
out in some circles, "it ain't ham radio". Or something.

Per previous I think I'm sensing something new in this regard. Some
hams at least around here are apparently tired of the same old same
old operating restraints associated with FM repeater comms. Over the
past six-eight weeks I've heard maybe a half dozen brief exchanges
during busy hours on the machines like "Joe, let's take it 144.2xx"
and poof they're gone. In all the years I've been lurking the machines
this is new. I've jumped with them a couple times and listened,
they're running "simplex" ssb a la 75m ssb. I can't decode it very
well with my FM-only gear but it's obvious what they're up to.

Mobile to mobile, mobile to home station, etc. It's not classic weak
signal work at all, it's making use of ssb's ability to do much of
what FM can't do without a repeater for regional comms. My guess is
that the recent availability of multimode multiband underdash xcvrs
like the IC-706 and others like it are increasingly being used to duck
around the machines for one-on-one chats with 2m ssb. There are

currently a couple scheduled weekly 2m ssb roundtables in the area.
Would work for me, I had a helluva lot more fun on 2m phone when it
was AM then later FM simplex before the band got "channelized".

>

>
> Dan/W4NTI

w3rv

86. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 13:38:01 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC534FE.75C04B74@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54276

JJ wrote:

> I don't argue with you on any of that, just this attitude that if
> a ham does not use code any more or has a no code license that
> somehow he is an inferior ham.

As a pereson, no. Just his knowledge and skill set. And his lack of ability at
BASIC amateur radio communications. There is no more basic a skill in ham radio
than the use of Morse code.

> This idea that using code somehow
> make one superior is stupid.

So you're arguing that one who is capable cannot be superior to one who is not.
We see.

>
> I would really hate to see code disappear from ham radio as it has
> been a tradition from the beginning, and I don't believe it will
> even if the code test is dropped from all license classes. There
> well always be those who find it interesting enough to use it.
> Just don't use it as some sort of status symbol.

Sorry, that's life and the Way it Is. Those who can, do. Those who can't whine about those
who
can. Or get off their duffs and just do it.

87. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 15:17:19 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq3evv$q1a$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54277

You got that right Brian. I first got on 2 AM in 1961. We had crystal controlled TX and VFO receive. Seperate 2m converter into the SX-111A. Tx was a HB jobber with a single 832a in it. Worked great into the 10 element fed with 300 ohm and a homebrew balun.

Dan/W4NTI

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message news:d22b54c3.0211030310.17e113f3@posting.google.com...
I had a helluva lot more fun on 2m phone when it
> was AM then later FM simplex before the band got "channelized".

>
> w3rv

88. Re: No Code Tech Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 15:39:00 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021103103900.02528.00001111@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 54278

>http://www.insidechris.com

Cool, would make a good screensaver.

89. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 16:28:56 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC54EC8.C184866A@mailcity.net>
Source record: 54280

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> So you're arguing that one who is capable cannot be superior to one who is not.
> We see.
>
There is that "I know code therefore I am a superior ham" attitude
that turns others off. Instead of you and Larry having this ahole
attitude that no code license holders are so inferior, why not
take the an attitude of, Ok now that they have obtained the entry
class license and have gained an insight into what ham radio is
about, encourage them to attend a class and learn the code and
upgrade? Maybe even stoop so low as to elmer one yourself.
Oh I know why, if they did that, then all these hams might become
proficient in code like you and you would have no one to look down
upon to make yourself feel superior.

> >
> > I would really hate to see code disappear from ham radio as it has
> > been a tradition from the beginning, and I don't believe it will
> > even if the code test is dropped from all license classes. There
> > well always be those who find it interesting enough to use it.
> > Just don't use it as some sort of status symbol.
>
> Sorry, that's life and the Way it Is. Those who can, do. Those who can't whine about
those who
> can. Or get off their duffs and just do it.

There you go again, your superior "I know code, you don't
therefore I must be superior", attitude. I bow to the almighty
code god.

90. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 17:12:00 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021103121200.07684.00002286@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 54279

>Subject: Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/2/02 3:42 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021102164208.15163.00000312@mb-da.aol.com>

>Come to think of it, none of the TV networks carried anything
> in video or audio about any amateur radio emergency comm
> going on. I don't recall them doing it for the Missouri and
> Mississippi rivers, either. No doubt that was all the fault of
> the networks

Come to think of it, none of the TV networks carried anything in video or audio about any professional radio engineers manning thier stations and making sure they were on the air. And since it was never reported in the general media, we must assume by Andersonian logic, that it never happened.

Nor did I recall any stories about professional radio technicians laboring long hours to keep public service repeaters and other commercial, business and common carrier systems operating. Again, except for generalized "public utilities workers" stories telling of how they were laboring to restore services, we never heard (or saw) any items on how radio technicians contributed to the restoration of services.

But since the press didn't mention them by name or title, I guess it never happened, huh Lennie?

>I really don't think the FCC have been fully convinced of the
> terrible NEED of the morse test for amateur licensing from any
> event in the last dozen years.

What YOU think and $2.00 will get you an overpriced cup of coffee at Starbucks. The rest is regulatory history which the rest of the concious world has accepted and moved on with.

Now, you resurface with your insulting rhetoric and foolish behaviour for WHAT reason?

91. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 18:49:51 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <usasaml55lcla4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54281

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3DC425AD.8ACB21A6@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> > No, it's pretty much that Larry only has ham radio to make him "*feel*"
> > [emphasis on feel] superior to others.
>
> You don't know that.
>
> > He isn't.
>
> You don't know that.
>

Yeah, I think I pretty much do, Dave. Your higher regard for Larry is so
noted.

> >
> > Larry doesn't portray himself as someone I'd personally like to have
active > in a disaster.
>
> Who are you to be choosey in a disaster?
>

Uh, well, whomever I am, I suppose. What's your point?

> >
> > Fact is, I'd pretty much prefer to fall off a cliff rather than deal
with the
> > likes of a K3LT.
>
> I'll vote for that scenario.
>
> Dave K8MN

Obviously, I would too. Otherwise, I wouldn't have said it. Again, what
exactly is your point?

Kim W5TIT

92. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 19:25:16 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021103142516.17289.00000246@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 54284

In article <aq1uepssr1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> writes:

>Yes indeed you do have many valid points. I just happen to think there is a
>LOT more to it than not enough time and too many gadgets. And your not all
>that far removed from my age....I am 56. I was first licensed at 13. And
>yes it was amazing that I could use a kit transmitter that I built into a
>hunk of wire strung out my bedroom window and talk all the way to NYC....and
>things have become so much easier and the luster is gone.
>
>But there is more to do in ham radio. There is moonbounce, there is AO40,
>there is television, there is...etc...etc.
>
>No I think the major problem with ham radio is whats wrong with our society.
>Too damn many people wanting something for nothing. And for the most part
>getting it.

So, society has been "different" in the past? Nooooo... :-)

The thinking of this particular pro-code "society" is that everyone
is supposed to think-enjoy-become-virtual behavorial clones to the
"society" members. That's a grandiose, smug viewpoint of self-
definition. To a few it arouses hate and anger in those who cannot
accept any deviation from Their norms. Not a good attitude for the
general part of the "society."

On-off keying codings started in when that was all that was possible.
It happened when radio technology...all of electronics...was terribly
primitive. Technology has changed and the regulations and
standards have changed as well. Some in the "society" cannot
accept that...or cannot learn...or are too ego-prone to allow change
to benefit others. That results in the insults and put-downs
scribbled by the beepers against the NCTA.

93. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 19:25:17 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021103142517.17289.00000247@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 54282

In article <3DC534FE.75C04B74@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>> I would really hate to see code disappear from ham radio as it has
>> been a tradition from the beginning, and I don't believe it will
>> even if the code test is dropped from all license classes. There
>> well always be those who find it interesting enough to use it.
>> Just don't use it as some sort of status symbol.
>
>Sorry, that's life and the Way it Is. Those who can, do. Those who can't
>whine about those who
>can. Or get off their duffs and just do it.

NO, that is NOT the "Way it is."

Morse code testing got into regulations through legal necessity
of regulating a growing chaotic condition in radio communication.
It was law made by humans...and it can be unmade by humans.

It is axiomatic in human behavior to resist change. Change is a
disturbance of things familiar and represents a potential threat to
survival. Such behavior becomes more evident with age among
those who have acquired a particular skill long ago and use that
acquisition as a disguise, a rationale to get away from learning
anything new...or they use that to put down others who don't
accept their Way of life.

The Supreme Being did not proclaim on-off keying codings as
any sort of "basic communications." The Being installed basic
communications in humans millennia ago. Humans devised
on-off keying codings. Humans put code testing into regulations.
Humans can take code testing out of regulations.

THAT's the "way" it is.

94. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 19:25:18 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021103142518.17289.00000248@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 54283

In article <3DC4AFBF.AE288D5A@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
writes:

>> And if you'd just do a tiny bit of reading before mouthing off you'd
>easily see that no one
>> intends to use CW for a disaster or emergency where better modes are
>available and work as
>> needed. Of course when your mind is made up it's futile to try to confuse
>you with facts, so
>> press on with the ignorant rant.
>
>I don't argue with you on any of that, just this attitude that if
>a ham does not use code any more or has a no code license that
>somehow he is an inferior ham. This idea that using code somehow
>make one superior is stupid.

I see it as being egocentric. Some have bent their whole life into
becoming so-called experts in communications arts of their
youth. They bought into earlier concepts, made it there, and
have refused to change.

They cannot accept change because such change would rob them
of their feelings of superiority. They need that superiority status.
Seemingly desperately so.

>I would really hate to see code disappear from ham radio as it has
>been a tradition from the beginning, and I don't believe it will
>even if the code test is dropped from all license classes. There
>well always be those who find it interesting enough to use it.
>Just don't use it as some sort of status symbol.

Morse codings won't disappear overnight. The only radio service
using it regularly...or even part-time...is as an avocational activity
by amateurs (non-professionals). It is a recreational pursuit.

If you feel that recreations and avocations must be run, regulated,
and maintained like some military or public safety service, then
insist on all the militia trappings of "tradition," "standards" to keep
the past forever in the future. Form ranks, dress-right-dress, count
cadence while in virtual uniform, marching to a common drumbeat.

I became an electronics hobbyist in 1947 and enjoyed it. I became
a professional in radio communications in 1953 while in military
service (HF comm, trans-Pacific, 24/7). Never once in those 55
years since have I ever been required to know, learn, or use morse
codings for any occupational or avocational purpose. The only

remaining radio services requiring morse code skills on HF today (in the USA) is amateur radio and maritime radio on the Great Lakes (!). In maritime radio, internationally, GMDSS has replaced the old 500 KHz international distress calling frequency (by CW).

If morse code testing "must" become a regulatory mandate to "keep tradition" in an avocation, then I say that the FCC should rename US amateur radio as the Archaic Radiotelegraphy Service. That would forever enshrine code proficiency as the premiere skill so touted by the NCTA.

95. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 19:49:28 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <c5fx9.1910$0x.776@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 54285

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:aq2ca3eoo1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> The need to learn morse under your statement is simply
> to do so IF you want to. THAT is the issue...mandatory
> testing of a mode that has clearly fallen from any
> need for mandatory testing.

Very true, Bill. But hasn't the no-code Technician's license addressed the issue of mandatory code testing preventing folks from becoming hams?

> The fact that you and I
> can drive manual gearbox autos/trucks doesn't lead
> to any need for everyone to be mandated to be tested on
> how to drive a manual gearbox vehicle.

That's funny, Bill. My first driver's license was indeed a "full privileged" license as I took the exam in a standard shift car. Those who took their exams in an automatic transmissioned cars were licensed solely for vehicles so equipped. If you were pulled over or involved in a traffic accident while driving a standard and you handed the officer a driver's license endorsed solely for automatic transmissioned vehicles, the officer would hand the license back to you and repeat the request for a "valid" license...for it was a good as not having any license at all.

> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

96. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 21:25:32 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq44htkii1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54286

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:c5fx9.1910$0x.776@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:aq2ca3eoo1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> > The need to learn morse under your statement is simply
> > to do so IF you want to. THAT is the issue...mandatory
> > testing of a mode that has clearly fallen from any
> > need for mandatory testing.
>
> Very true, Bill. But hasn't the no-code Technician's license addressed the
> issue of mandatory code testing preventing folks from becoming hams?

Only for VHF and up. The issue today (2002) is that there
is NO reason for mandatory code testing for any band
segment/use.

> > The fact that you and I
> > can drive manual gearbox autos/trucks doesn't lead
> > to any need for everyone to be mandated to be tested on
> > how to drive a manual gearbox vehicle.
>
> That's funny, Bill. My first driver's license was indeed a "full
privileged"
> license as I took the exam in a standard shift car. Those who took their
> exams in an automatic transmissioned cars were licensed solely for
vehicles
> so equipped.

In what state was that so? and when?

Today, NO state makes any distinction for automaic vs manual gearbox
licensing for regular driver's licenses. I have asked many times for anyone
to identify
any state that might still make such a distinction and no one has ever
identified such a situation still existing.

I was first licensed in 1957 to drive in NY state
with NO restrictions even though I and others took the test on an automatic
vehicle. I took the test on my dad';s 1955 Plymouth and was immediately
able to then drive my 1950 Ford (manual).

> If you were pulled over or involved in a traffic accident while
> driving a standard and you handed the officer a driver's license endorsed
> solely for automatic transmissioned vehicles, the officer would hand the
> license back to you and repeat the request for a "valid" license...for it
> was a good as not having any license at all.

Ditto my original questions above... what state and when?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

97. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 21:37:25 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC59714.86FA24D3@mailcity.net>
Source record: 54356

Brian wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DC319C5.E23D318A@townsqr.com>...
> > JJ wrote:
>
> > > Ah, the old "I can't back up my statement so you go find it
> > > yourself" trick.
> >
> > Hey, JJ, you're not the only johnny-cum-lately that has dropped into the game just
before go home time with nary a
> > clue. It's all in google and I ain't gonna do your work for you. If you'd rather remain
clueless that's just fine by me.
>
> Johnny WHAT lately? Clean it up DICK.

Some people seem to like to live in the gutter.

98. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 21:40:39 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC597D7.3C94CCE4@mailcity.net>
Source record: 54357

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

>
> The notion of "Morse code as a status symbol" exists only in the minds of those
> who can't be bothered to learn it. For the rest of us, it's a practical,
> useful communications skill.

Then why do you look down your superior nose at the no code hams?
>

99. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 21:48:09 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <tQgx9.2514$0x.442@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 54287

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:aq44htkii1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net...
>
> "Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
> news:c5fx9.1910$0x.776@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> > "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:aq2ca3eoo1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > The need to learn morse under your statement is simply
> > > to do so IF you want to. THAT is the issue...mandatory
> > > testing of a mode that has clearly fallen from any
> > > need for mandatory testing.
> >
> > Very true, Bill. But hasn't the no-code Technician's license addressed
the
> > issue of mandatory code testing preventing folks from becoming hams?
>
> Only for VHF and up. The issue today (2002) is that there
> is NO reason for mandatory code testing for any band
> segment/use.

Well, we have a difference of opinion there. I believe that all "privileges"
should be earned. (I know, I know...the FCC says...) I personally don't
think the writtens constitute much of a challenge, particularly with a
published Q & A pool. A 5-wpm code test for full HF privies insufficient?
It'd actually be funny...if it weren't so pathetic.

> > > The fact that you and I
> > > can drive manual gearbox autos/trucks doesn't lead
> > > to any need for everyone to be mandated to be tested on
> > > how to drive a manual gearbox vehicle.
> >
> > That's funny, Bill. My first driver's license was indeed a "full
> privileged"
> > license as I took the exam in a standard shift car. Those who took their
> > exams in an automatic transmissioned cars were licensed solely for
> vehicles
> > so equipped.
>
> In what state was that so? and when?

Jamaica, West Indies.

> Today, NO state makes any distinction for automaic vs manual gearbox
> licensing for regular driver's licenses. I have asked many times for
anyone

> to identify
> any state that might still make such a distinction and no one has ever
> identified such a situation still existing.
>
> I was first licensed in 1957 to drive in NY state
> with NO restrictions even though I and others took the test on an
automatic
> vehicle. I took the test on my dad';s 1955 Plymouth and was immediately
> able to then drive my 1950 Ford (manual).
>
> > If you were pulled over or involved in a traffic accident while
> > driving a standard and you handed the officer a driver's license
endorsed
> > solely for automatic transmissioned vehicles, the officer would hand the
> > license back to you and repeat the request for a "valid" license...for
it
> > was a good as not having any license at all.
>
> Ditto my original questions above... what state and when?

Ditto mine. Bot, those "red stripe" police officers were the worst! (fond
memories though)

> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

100. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 21:59:48 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211031359.398eab14@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54288

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0211021331.2f04d1a@posting.google.com>...
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0211011711.79cb8fff@posting.google.com>...
> > kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0211010058.1a08b384@posting.google.com>...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Must be some kind of universal constant in Kelly-land. Hopefully you
> > > > > > were born sterile or got mumps in your early teens.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Three degreed technoid daughters (the eldest learned 10wpm at age 13
> > > > > > just for the hell of it) and five granchullins, deal with it.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > w3rv
> > > > >
> > > > > Daughters? No thanks. You keep on dealing with them.
> > > >
> > > > It's blatently obvious why you'd much rather just have to deal with
> > > > boys instead of WOMEN.
> > > >
> > > > w3rv
> > >
> > > I've "dealt" with women. I now have four sons from the experience.
> >
> > Two strokes, one involuntary squirt and done, big freaking deal. That
> > ain't the point. Not that you'll ever get the point. Any point.
> >
> > w3rv

Poor Kelly only gets two strokes? Go see a doctor.

101. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 22:05:49 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq46lv$k94$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54289

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3DC534FE.75C04B74@townsqr.com...
>
> JJ wrote:
> > I don't argue with you on any of that, just this attitude that if
> > a ham does not use code any more or has a no code license that
> > somehow he is an inferior ham.
>
> As a pereson, no. Just his knowledge and skill set. And his lack of
ability at
> BASIC amateur radio communications. There is no more basic a skill in ham
radio
> than the use of Morse code.

A skill set, however, that has been shown to be no longer a needed
one to be a good communicator. Learn and use it all you wish. I have
nothing against anyone using morse, learning morse and/or
encouraging others to do so. I am against the requirement only.

> > I would really hate to see code disappear from ham radio as it has
> > been a tradition from the beginning,

It was NOT a tradition in the beginning. It was THE ONLY mode
in those early years. The fact that morse was extensively used
in amateur, civiliam and military communictaions well into
the 60s is what made it a tradition. As a tradition, however,
today it has no validity as a requirement for amateur testing.

The burden to keep morse an active mode will, once it is ended as
a requirement, become wholly the responsibility of those that
profess to wanting the mode to continue to be learned
used.

> > ... and I don't believe it will
> > even if the code test is dropped from all license classes. There
> > well always be those who find it interesting enough to use it.
> > Just don't use it as some sort of status symbol.
>
> Sorry, that's life and the Way it Is.

True to a point. Labeling morse skill or any other skill is strictly
a personal opinion. I can appreciate those that know morse well
as being more cable of such use than me. What I find fault with
is the small minded attitudes of some that suggests that other hams
with a multitude of skills, often both technical as well as
operating skills, are viewed as "not capable" of of lower

capability than those that have morse knwoledge. While that may
have been true in 1960...it is not at all so in 2002.

> Those who can, do.

Those that want to are free to do so. Defining morse as a
requirment is not, however, valid anymore.

> Those who can't whine about those who
> can. Or get off their duffs and just do it.

Odd, I don't recall anyone whining about anyone
simply because they know morse code. The complaint,
as I have voiced it above, is in those that laud themselves
because they know code and belittle those that choose
not to know code and/or oppose code as a test
requirement.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

102. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 22:08:04 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211031408.21e21968@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54290

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DC3668E.84557C94@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> >
> > Your contempt for anyone not in love with your favorite mode is what
> > has been documented here.
>
> You missed it again, still. My contempt is reserved for those -you're the prime example-
who blame others for their own
> failure to develop such a mundane skill as 13wpm code, and who sat and whined for the
better part of two decades instead
> of just getting it done. Somehow its always the fault of anyone but you, and you have no
idea when to stop.

Dick, I've never sat and whined or blamed anyone else about anything.
I gave 13wpm my best shot and it just didn't happen.

Meanwhile, I still considered it an unnecessary and irrelevant
requirement. Wonder why it went away?

> > That and your psk-31 failures.
>
> Ah, yes, I find and ID a shortcoming of the mode and you find it convenient to convert
that to "my failure". You are
> hereby directed to Google to straighten yourself out in the remote chance such a thing is
actually possible. I frankly
> don't hold out any hope.

PSK-31 is just fine as a mode. It rate it right up there with any
other mode. I especially like the stand alone FCC exam for PSK-31
that, should you happen to fail it, banishes you to the novice cw
wastelands forever.

But you'll never get the analogy, so more wasted words on the Dick.

103. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 22:11:17 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211031411.18828733@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54291

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DC28FCB.18DA600D@townsqr.com>...
> JJ wrote:
>
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > > yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021031143726.10201.00000081@mb-ch.aol.com>...
> > > > > >Morse code tells you as much about a person's technical abilities as
> > > > > >does his ability to tap dance.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Lloyd:
> > > > > >
> > > > > Right -- but it tells you everything about his abilities as a capable and
> > > > > effective amateur radio communications provider.
> > > > > >
> > > > > 73 de Larry, K3LT
> > > > >
> > > > Reminds me of the time you created a scenario where only Morse Code
> > > > could save your victim. You would probably opt to die in real life
> > > > than accept effective amateur radio communications via repeater-talkie
> > > > from a "shack on his hip" no-coder.
> > >
> > > You're never gonna get it are you Slim? There are still, from time to time,
situations when nothing except
> > > Morse is available to use. For you that means there is nothing to use.
> >
> > Name some, not hypothetical made up situations, but real life
> > situations that have happened.
>
> I'm not gonna keep babysitting you guys who don't keep up or pay attention. Get on Google
and find them, they've
> been posted right here over the past few years.

In other words, The Dick has no examples of life. Stuck in Walter Mitty Land.

I'll bet his copy of "Little Billy Beeper" is about worn out.

104. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 22:14:17 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211031414.7e1703b2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54292

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DC319C5.E23D318A@townsqr.com>...
> JJ wrote:

> > Ah, the old "I can't back up my statement so you go find it
> > yourself" trick.
>
> Hey, JJ, you're not the only johnny-cum-lately that has dropped into the game just before go home time with nary a
> clue. It's all in google and I ain't gonna do your work for you. If you'd rather remain clueless that's just fine by me.

Johnny WHAT lately? Clean it up DICK.

105. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 22:26:58 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq4864$tf3$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54293

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:tQgx9.2514$0x.442@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:aq44htkii1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net...
> >
> > "Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
> > news:c5fx9.1910$0x.776@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> > > "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > > news:aq2ca3eoo1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > > The need to learn morse under your statement is simply
> > > > to do so IF you want to. THAT is the issue...mandatory
> > > > testing of a mode that has clearly fallen from any
> > > > need for mandatory testing.
> > >
> > > Very true, Bill. But hasn't the no-code Technician's license addressed
> the
> > > issue of mandatory code testing preventing folks from becoming hams?
> >
> > Only for VHF and up. The issue today (2002) is that there
> > is NO reason for mandatory code testing for any band
> > segment/use.
>
> Well, we have a difference of opinion there. I believe that all
"privileges"
> should be earned. (I know, I know...the FCC says...) I personally don't
> think the writtens constitute much of a challenge, particularly with a
> published Q & A pool. A 5-wpm code test for full HF privies insufficient?
> It'd actually be funny...if it weren't so pathetic.

Where we differ is is in what should be used for "earning" privileges.
The requirements which constitute the entry gate to amateur
licensing needs to be justified and rational. At one time morse
was an appropriate requirement...that is not true anymore.

> > > > The fact that you and I
> > > > can drive manual gearbox autos/trucks doesn't lead
> > > > to any need for everyone to be mandated to be tested on
> > > > how to drive a manual gearbox vehicle.
> > >
> > > That's funny, Bill. My first driver's license was indeed a "full
> > privileged"
> > > license as I took the exam in a standard shift car. Those who took
their
> > > exams in an automatic transmissioned cars were licensed solely for
> > vehicles

> > > so equipped.
> >
> > In what state was that so? and when?
>
> Jamaica, West Indies.

WHEN?
In fact, when I was in Jamaica in 1968 while in the Navy,
there was no need for a manual license certyification to rent
a car. Seems any USA license did just find. Mine was, at the
time, from Florida.

> > Today, NO state makes any distinction for automaic vs manual gearbox
> > licensing for regular driver's licenses. I have asked many times for
> anyone
> > to identify
> > any state that might still make such a distinction and no one has ever
> > identified such a situation still existing.
> >
> > I was first licensed in 1957 to drive in NY state
> > with NO restrictions even though I and others took the test on an
> automatic
> > vehicle. I took the test on my dad';s 1955 Plymouth and was immediately
> > able to then drive my 1950 Ford (manual).
> >
> > > If you were pulled over or involved in a traffic accident while
> > > driving a standard and you handed the officer a driver's license
> endorsed
> > > solely for automatic transmissioned vehicles, the officer would hand
the
> > > license back to you and repeat the request for a "valid" license...for
> it
> > > was a good as not having any license at all.
> >
> > Ditto my original questions above... what state and when?
>
> Ditto mine.

Last I checked, Jamaica wasn't a state. Again, when
was it?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

Bot, those "red stripe" police officers were the worst! (fond
> memories though)

106. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 22:28:21 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211031428.7106c351@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54294

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DC3EAFE.46189328@townsqr.com>...
> JJ wrote:
>
> > I
> > just can't subscribe to this idiot ideal put forth by some here
> > that if you don't use Morse code you are not a real ham or somehow
> > your are inferior to those who do.
>
> One ham is capable of communicating under conditions which make normal radio
> communications impossible. That ham has become well qualified at the use of Morse code.
> A second ham has your attitude and thus refused to learn it. So you can't discern any
> difference in the qualifications of the two? Are you wearing blinders?

At one time CW was the only mode (after spark was outlawed). Now it
is merely one of many, many modes. Do you know why there are many,
many modes? Do you even know why you are wearing blinders?

107. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 22:32:57 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211031432.26c9d079@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54295

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0211011814.1317296d@posting.google.com>...
> "Dan Finn" <djfinn2@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:<n3kw9.616$oq4.347@news.bellsouth.net>...
> > "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message >
> > > The Japanese have had a nocode HF license for years, power output is
> > > limited to 10 watts.
> > >
> > > w3rv
> >
> > I could support that...with the output power limited to 10 watts or less.
> > Sort of like putting a governor on the engine.
>
> At this late point so could I, the damned code test war is worn out at
> best. One dvantage the M3 license seems to offer is that they can get
> at least a taste of all the HF bands without having enough of a signal
> to screw the bands up with any mode. Plus they would have the
> opportunity to at least get a sniff of cw and out of that should fall
> some who decide to pursue CW further and upgrade with real code tests.
> For as long as they last anywhere. When ya think about it it's really
> like we had in the 50s when U.S. Novices were restricted in power
> output and restricted to operating in certain band segements. Of
> course the FCC put big teeth into the Novice ticket by making it
> non-renewable. Whatever. Both the Novice and M3 licenses are/were good
> "learner's permits" and good ideas in their times and had similar
> thinking behind their creation. IMO the Brits did a better job of it
> last year than the FCC did a half century ago.
>
> I like the ten hours of class requirement for the M3. Hell, the M3s
> might even actually learn something beyond how to memorize the
> question pools. Dump that requirement in here and the free-lunchers
> would go apeshit again and we'd have anoher RRAP war.
>
> w3rv

Make the exams as big as you want - as long as you can keep them relavent.

108. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 22:38:47 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211031438.7a7d6f95@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54296

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DC3638F.57F8E2C1@townsqr.com>...
> Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> > . Both the Novice and M3 licenses are/were good
> > "learner's permits" and good ideas in their times and had similar
> > thinking behind their creation. IMO the Brits did a better job of it
> > last year than the FCC did a half century ago.
>
> I expect FCC grew weary of all the protestations of NCI types, and caved.

I -suspect- the FCC quit the charade concerning how relavent the code
was.

> > I like the ten hours of class requirement for the M3. Hell, the M3s
> > might even actually learn something beyond how to memorize the
> > question pools. Dump that requirement in here and the free-lunchers
> > would go apeshit again and we'd have anoher RRAP war.
>
> Considering how stale Brainiac's lamentations have become, not to say repetitious or
anything, and
> FCC's towel-tossing makes good sense. Who would inflict such stuff on themselves
indifinitely except
> us rrap-ers?
> I can't wait for No Test International to start up. It's coming.

You need to go start Mega Exams International. Lay awake all night
thinking up irrelavent questions.

109. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 22:49:15 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211031449.2d9d93cf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54297

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0211021728.199b2b5@posting.google.com>...
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DC3638F.57F8E2C1@townsqr.com>...

> > Considering how stale Brainiac's lamentations have become, not to say
> > repetitious or anything,
>
> We need to send him a pacifier. A case of pacifiers.

Two-stroke Kelly, with all those prolific daughters, you need to hold
on to the pacifiers.

110. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 22:55:28 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021103175528.11284.00003378@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 54298

>I bow to the almighty
>code god.
>

Its about time you low lifes learned your place.

111. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 22:58:42 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021103175842.11284.00003379@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 54299

>I -suspect- the FCC quit the charade concerning how relavent the code
>was.

Well then the FCC should stop the Charade with written, its a bigger Joke than
the CW Test.

112. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 22:59:32 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq49qn$ar0$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54300

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3DC3638F.57F8E2C1@townsqr.com...
>
> Brian Kelly wrote:
> > Both the Novice and M3 licenses are/were good
> > "learner's permits" and good ideas in their times and had similar
> > thinking behind their creation. IMO the Brits did a better job of it
> > last year than the FCC did a half century ago.
>
> I expect FCC grew weary of all the protestations of NCI types, and caved.

Interesting...so you now are crediting NCI with
actually influencing the outcome. Seems like not too
long ago you and others were of the opinion that
the FCC made up their mind without any help from
NCI type's input.

> > I like the ten hours of class requirement for the M3. Hell, the M3s
> > might even actually learn something beyond how to memorize the
> > question pools. Dump that requirement in here and the free-lunchers
> > would go apeshit again and we'd have anoher RRAP war.
>
> Considering how stale Brainiac's lamentations have
> become, not to say repetitious or anything, and
> FCC's towel-tossing makes good sense. Who would
> inflict such stuff on themselves indifinitely except
> us rrap-ers?

Strange, when Carl and I met with the FCC before
the R&O was issued the FCC staffers seemed to be
quite capable of dealing with input from anyone.

> I can't wait for No Test International to start up. It's coming.

Yawn, same old hollow prediction. I'll say this agin,
as I have previously, if ANYONE petitions or otherwise
starts an organization that wants to end ALL testing,
I will be an active opponent of such...as will, I believe,
all other NCI directors.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

113. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 23:12:16 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DC5AD17.B37CBEFD@earthlink.net>
Source record: 54351

W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3DC425AD.8ACB21A6@earthlink.net...
> > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> >
> > > No, it's pretty much that Larry only has ham radio to make him "*feel*"
> > > [emphasis on feel] superior to others.
> >
> > You don't know that.
> >
> > > He isn't.
> >
> > You don't know that.
> >
>
> Yeah, I think I pretty much do, Dave. Your higher regard for Larry is so
> noted.

How much is "pretty much", Kim? How is my observation that you don't
know something related to my holding or not holding Larry in esteem?

> > >
> > > Larry doesn't portray himself as someone I'd personally like to have
> active > in a disaster.
> >
> > Who are you to be choosey in a disaster?
> >
>
> Uh, well, whomever I am, I suppose. What's your point?

If I needed help in a disaster, I'd accept it even from you. I don't
hold you in high esteem.

> > >
> > > Fact is, I'd pretty much prefer to fall off a cliff rather than deal
> with the
> > > likes of a K3LT.
> >
> > I'll vote for that scenario.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Obviously, I would too. Otherwise, I wouldn't have said it. Again, what
> exactly is your point?

My point was "pretty much" as I wrote it. I'd almost pay Larry to show up so we could see if you heave yourself into the abyss rather than accept aid from him. You're just blowing smoke.

Dave K8MN

114. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 23:15:38 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021103181538.29169.00000153@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 54352

>The burden to keep morse an active mode will, once it is ended as
>a requirement, become wholly the responsibility of those that
>profess to wanting the mode to continue to be learned
>used.

Except for those of us who have always claimed that it is the *requirement*
that keeps Morse code being learned and used. Personally, I have never claimed
that "Morse code can stand on it's own" -- mainly because most potential hams
do not desire to dely their gratification long enough to learn how to use it.
Therefore, the requirement must be re-introduced and strengthened in order to
permit future Amateur Radio Operators to be able to employ this mode and
exploit it's many benefits and advantages.

>> > ... and I don't believe it will
>> > even if the code test is dropped from all license classes. There
>> > well always be those who find it interesting enough to use it.
>> > Just don't use it as some sort of status symbol.

The notion of "Morse code as a status symbol" exists only in the minds of those
who can't be bothered to learn it. For the rest of us, it's a practical,
useful communications skill.

>> Sorry, that's life and the Way it Is.
>
>True to a point. Labeling morse skill or any other skill is strictly
>a personal opinion. I can appreciate those that know morse well
>as being more cable of such use than me. What I find fault with
>is the small minded attitudes of some that suggests that other hams
>with a multitude of skills, often both technical as well as
>operating skills, are viewed as "not capable" of of lower
>capability than those that have morse knwoledge. While that may
>have been true in 1960...it is not at all so in 2002.

I'm not sure who wrote this, but the typos and misspellings completely remove
any hope of credibility for what was said.

>> Those who can, do.

And those who don't want to, whine.

>
>Those that want to are free to do so. Defining morse as a
>requirment is not, however, valid anymore.

It is more valid today as it ever has been.

>> Those who can't whine about those who
>> can. Or get off their duffs and just do it.
>
>Odd, I don't recall anyone whining about anyone
>simply because they know morse code. The complaint,
>as I have voiced it above, is in those that laud themselves
>because they know code and belittle those that choose
>not to know code and/or oppose code as a test
>requirement.

Most of those who oppose code testing as a licensing requirement don't know what they are talking about, and aren't qualified to have an opinion.

WHO determines who's qualified to have an opinion on this matter?

I do!

73 de Larry, K3LT
Judge of qualifications for having opinions on code testing in the ARS

115. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 23:20:26 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DC5AF11.425CE35@earthlink.net>
Source record: 54353

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3DC34AB2.A10C9FA3@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
> writes:
>
> >> Yawn -- we've heard that before, ad nauseum. The problem is, most of the
> >> people saying that don't really know much more about ham radio than how to
> >pick
> >> up a microphone and operate the PTT switch. Moreover, those who DO know the
> >> Morse Code also usually end up being the ones who know a LOT of all the
> >other
> >> "more important things to know" in the first place.
> >>
> >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
> >Did it ever dawn on your small brain Larry, that there very well
> >may be no code licensees out there with engineering degrees that
> >know more about radio than you could ever hope to know? Some of
> >them may be designing electronic gear more complicated than you
> >could ever hope to see.
>
> He can't. If the transmitter is more complicated than a two-tube
> MOPA he gets lost and waves his morse key around like a
> sword. :-)

Aren't you the guy who is only an advocate for the removal of morse
testing in amateur radio exams and who sticks to the issues in civil
debate? Naw, must have been some other Len Anderson.

Dave K8MN

116. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 23:26:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DC5B02A.9F49956B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 54354

Len Over 21 wrote:

> OOK CW has gone the way of all obsolete skills. It just dies hard
> because a lot of many-certificated self-described "radio experts"
> insist everyone be "as good as they" in morsemanship.
>

Did you happen to tune a receiver to any of the CW portions of any HF bands this weekend, Leonard? That obsolete skill was in use by thousands of folks.
By the way, haven't you described yourself as a radio expert hereabouts?

Dave K8MN

117. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 23:29:27 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021103182927.29169.00000154@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 54355

>As of October 31, 2002:
>
>Novice - 36,506 (decrease of 12,823)
>Tech - 246,075 (increase of 40,651)
>Tech Plus - 74,842 (decrease of 54,415)
>General - 139,423 (increase of 26,746)
>Advanced - 84,534 (decrease of 15,248)
>Extra - 102,975 (increase of 24,225)
>Total - 684,355 (increase of 9,563)
>
>This total is close to, if not higher than, the previous high number.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

Jim:

But...but...but...I thought we were supposed to GAIN tens of thousands of eager, bright, computer-literate, technically-savvy young people when the burden of high-speed code testing for a General-class license or above was lifted from their shoulders! We were supposed to experience a Digital Revolution in amateur radio that would give us virtual internet-like data transfer capabilities, without any user fees! What happened???

73 de Larry, K3LT

118. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-03 23:57:34 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <OJix9.3489$0x.693@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 54358

```
"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:aq4864$tf3$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
>
> "Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
> news:tQgx9.2514$0x.442@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> > "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:aq44ht$kii$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net...
> > >
> > > "Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
> > > news:c5fx9.1910$0x.776@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> > > > "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:aq2ca3$eoo$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > > > The need to learn morse under your statement is simply
> > > > > to do so IF you want to. THAT is the issue...mandatory
> > > > > testing of a mode that has clearly fallen from any
> > > > > need for mandatory testing.
> > > >
> > > > Very true, Bill. But hasn't the no-code Technician's license
addressed
> > the
> > > > issue of mandatory code testing preventing folks from becoming hams?
> > >
> > > Only for VHF and up. The issue today (2002) is that there
> > > is NO reason for mandatory code testing for any band
> > > segment/use.
> >
> > Well, we have a difference of opinion there. I believe that all
> "privileges"
> > should be earned. (I know, I know...the FCC says...) I personally don't
> > think the writtens constitute much of a challenge, particularly with a
> > published Q & A pool. A 5-wpm code test for full HF privies
insufficient?
> > It'd actually be funny...if it weren't so pathetic.
>
> Where we differ is is in what should be used for "earning" privileges.
> The requirements which constitute the entry gate to amateur
> licensing needs to be justified and rational. At one time morse
> was an appropriate requirement...that is not true anymore.
>
> > > > > The fact that you and I
> > > > > can drive manual gearbox autos/trucks doesn't lead
> > > > > to any need for everyone to be mandated to be tested on
> > > > > how to drive a manual gearbox vehicle.
> > > >
```

> > > > That's funny, Bill. My first driver's license was indeed a "full
> > > privileged"
> > > > license as I took the exam in a standard shift car. Those who took
> their
> > > > exams in an automatic transmissioned cars were licensed solely for
> > > vehicles
> > > > so equipped.
> > >
> > > In what state was that so? and when?
> >
> > Jamaica, West Indies.
>
> WHEN?

1983

> In fact, when I was in Jamaica in 1968 while in the Navy,
> there was no need for a manual license certyification to rent
> a car. Seems any USA license did just find. Mine was, at the
> time, from Florida.

One of those "courtesies" that some view as inequities. For example, my wife passed a code test in her native Ecuador by just sending a few characters and was granted a Novato (Novice) license. She now gets to ply her hobby here to the limit of her license, NOT to exceed those of an Amateur Extra. There are only two license classes in HC land, Novato & General, and their Novice has considerably more privies than our equivalent. It's a courtesy, like our recirocal agreement. Police officers in 6Y land will honor a foreign national's driver's license. I suspect it works like that all around the world.

> > > Today, NO state makes any distinction for automaic vs manual gearbox
> > > licensing for regular driver's licenses. I have asked many times for
> > anyone
> > > to identify
> > > any state that might still make such a distinction and no one has ever
> > > identified such a situation still existing.

I sincerely doubt this exists in the USA.

> > > I was first licensed in 1957 to drive in NY state
> > > with NO restrictions even though I and others took the test on an
> > automatic
> > > vehicle. I took the test on my dad';s 1955 Plymouth and was
immediately
> > > able to then drive my 1950 Ford (manual).
> > >
> > > > If you were pulled over or involved in a traffic accident while
> > > > driving a standard and you handed the officer a driver's license
> > endorsed
> > > > solely for automatic transmissioned vehicles, the officer would hand
> the

> > > > license back to you and repeat the request for a "valid"
license...for
> > it
> > > > was a good as not having any license at all.
> > >
> > > Ditto my original questions above... what state and when?
> >
> > Ditto mine.
>
> Last I checked, Jamaica wasn't a state. Again, when
> was it?

Er...ah, I'll give you the benefit of the doubt, Bill. Jamaica is an island
in the Carribean. (Hence "West Indies.") It is divided into three of what
you *might* consider states, Cornwall, Middlesex, and Surrey. These are
futher divided into parishes. I took my exam in Montego Bay, which is
located in the parish of St. James. (Cornwall)

> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
> Bot, <Oops, "Boy" or "Bwoy." Lol!> those "red stripe" police officers were
the worst! (fond
> > memories though)

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

P.S. If you know any Jamaicans that remember taking their driver's
license exam...ask them about the "pencil and the ramp."

119. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 00:35:21 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021103193521.21153.00001812@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 54359

>But...but...but...I thought we were supposed to GAIN tens of thousands of
>eager, bright, computer-literate, technically-savvy young people when the
>burden of high-speed code testing for a General-class license or above was
>lifted from their shoulders! We were supposed to experience a Digital
>Revolution in amateur radio that would give us virtual internet-like data
>transfer capabilities, without any user fees! What happened???
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT

Removal of code requirement for lowest licence class is a good thing, it definately increased membership. One factor is the lack increase in licence numbers is the lack of publicity. People aren't aware of Ham Radio, so they dont join.

Also, the majority of Hams are appliance operators. I have been to several clubs. The clubs, Hamfests, Conventions, etc are composed of those that are not interested in much more than antenna setup. These clubs are usually appliance operator clubs.

Also, when they see a bunch of appliance operators, contesters, codeword users, they believe it is some strange (and uninteresting) cult.

As a result, the technically savvy people you mention do not become interested and do not join.

Why not include such activities at club meetings? Or make Field Day more technically oriented?

For those interested in radio direction finding, kit building, design projects, test equipment operation, troubleshooting projects, AND antenna setups, I propose the following new type of club. How about an Amateur Radio Experimenter's Club?

I have mentioned this before. I dont feel this exists in Ham Radio today. (Perhaps in the past). I dont feel QRP clubs qualify as it is too codeword using, and tends to emphacize CW.

I am in the MA/NH area. I have several interesting project ideas, parts, equipment,etc. Anyone interested in this type of club?

120. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 01:10:07 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq4hfg$dn4$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54360

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in
message news:3DC5B02A.9F49956B@earthlink.net...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> > OOK CW has gone the way of all obsolete skills. It just dies hard
> > because a lot of many-certificated self-described "radio experts"
> > insist everyone be "as good as they" in morsemanship.
>
> Did you happen to tune a receiver to any of the CW portions of any HF
> bands this weekend, Leonard? That obsolete skill was in use by
> thousands of folks.
> By the way, haven't you described yourself as a radio expert hereabouts?

On any given weekend there are thousands of folks driving
"obsolete" automobiles...myself included. Using and
enjoying such vehicles does not change the fact that they
are, for all intents and purposes, obsolete.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

121. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 01:17:51 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq4k5e$5ri$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54362

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:OJix9.3489$0x.693@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:aq4864$tf3$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> >
> > "Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
> > news:tQgx9.2514$0x.442@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> > > "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > > news:aq44htkii1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > >
> > > > "Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
> > > > news:c5fx9.1910$0x.776@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> > > > > "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:aq2ca3eoo1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > > > > The need to learn morse under your statement is simply
> > > > > > to do so IF you want to. THAT is the issue...mandatory
> > > > > > testing of a mode that has clearly fallen from any
> > > > > > need for mandatory testing.
> > > > >
> > > > > Very true, Bill. But hasn't the no-code Technician's license
> addressed
> > > the
> > > > > issue of mandatory code testing preventing folks from becoming
hams?
> > > >
> > > > Only for VHF and up. The issue today (2002) is that there
> > > > is NO reason for mandatory code testing for any band
> > > > segment/use.
> > >
> > > Well, we have a difference of opinion there. I believe that all
> > "privileges"
> > > should be earned. (I know, I know...the FCC says...) I personally
don't
> > > think the writtens constitute much of a challenge, particularly with a
> > > published Q & A pool. A 5-wpm code test for full HF privies
> insufficient?
> > > It'd actually be funny...if it weren't so pathetic.
> >
> > Where we differ is is in what should be used for "earning" privileges.
> > The requirements which constitute the entry gate to amateur
> > licensing needs to be justified and rational. At one time morse
> > was an appropriate requirement...that is not true anymore.
> >
> > > > > > The fact that you and I

> > > > > > can drive manual gearbox autos/trucks doesn't lead
> > > > > > to any need for everyone to be mandated to be tested on
> > > > > > how to drive a manual gearbox vehicle.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > That's funny, Bill. My first driver's license was indeed a "full
> > > > privileged"
> > > > > > license as I took the exam in a standard shift car. Those who took
> > their
> > > > > > exams in an automatic transmissioned cars were licensed solely for
> > > > vehicles
> > > > > > so equipped.
> > > > >
> > > > > In what state was that so? and when?
> > > >
> > > Jamaica, West Indies.
> > >
> > WHEN?
> >
> 1983
>
> > In fact, when I was in Jamaica in 1968 while in the Navy,
> > there was no need for a manual license certyification to rent
> > a car. Seems any USA license did just find. Mine was, at the
> > time, from Florida.
>
> One of those "courtesies" that some view as inequities. For example, my
wife
> passed a code test in her native Ecuador by just sending a few characters
> and was granted a Novato (Novice) license. She now gets to ply her hobby
> here to the limit of her license, NOT to exceed those of an Amateur Extra.
> There are only two license classes in HC land, Novato & General, and their
> Novice has considerably more privies than our equivalent. It's a courtesy,
> like our recirocal agreement. Police officers in 6Y land will honor a
> foreign national's driver's license. I suspect it works like that all
around
> the world.
>
> > > > Today, NO state makes any distinction for automaic vs manual gearbox
> > > > licensing for regular driver's licenses. I have asked many times
for
> > > anyone
> > > > > to identify
> > > > any state that might still make such a distinction and no one has
ever
> > > > identified such a situation still existing.
>
> I sincerely doubt this exists in the USA.

Not sure how to read that...
Are you agreeing that no USA state makes the distinction as I claim,

or are you doubting my assertion that is the case?

> > > > I was first licensed in 1957 to drive in NY state
> > > > with NO restrictions even though I and others took the test on an
> > > automatic
> > > > vehicle. I took the test on my dad';s 1955 Plymouth and was
> immediately
> > > > able to then drive my 1950 Ford (manual).
> > > >
> > > > > If you were pulled over or involved in a traffic accident while
> > > > > driving a standard and you handed the officer a driver's license
> > > endorsed
> > > > > solely for automatic transmissioned vehicles, the officer would
hand
> > the
> > > > > license back to you and repeat the request for a "valid"
> license...for
> > > it
> > > > > was a good as not having any license at all.
> > > >
> > > > Ditto my original questions above... what state and when?
> > >
> > > Ditto mine.
> >
> > Last I checked, Jamaica wasn't a state. Again, when
> > was it?
>
> Er...ah, I'll give you the benefit of the doubt, Bill. Jamaica is an
island
> in the Carribean. (Hence "West Indies.") It is divided into three of what
> you *might* consider states, Cornwall, Middlesex, and Surrey. These are
> futher divided into parishes. I took my exam in Montego Bay, which is
> located in the parish of St. James. (Cornwall)

By state, I was referring only to those 50 states of the USA.
No disrespect was intended toward Jamaica. I recognize
it as a soverign nation. Other than a brief visit compliments
of the US Navy, I haven't otherwise been there.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

122. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 01:36:39 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq4j5cbta1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54361

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021103181538.29169.00000153@mb-ba.aol.com...
> >The burden to keep morse an active mode will, once it is ended as
> >a requirement, become wholly the responsibility of those that
> >profess to wanting the mode to continue to be learned
> >used.
>
> Except for those of us who have always claimed that it is the
requirement
> that keeps Morse code being learned and used. Personally, I have never
claimed
> that "Morse code can stand on it's own" -- mainly because most potential
hams
> do not desire to dely their gratification long enough to learn how to use
it.
> Therefore, the requirement must be re-introduced and strengthened in order
to
> permit future Amateur Radio Operators to be able to employ this mode and
> exploit it's many benefits and advantages.
>
> >> > ... and I don't believe it will
> >> > even if the code test is dropped from all license classes. There
> >> > well always be those who find it interesting enough to use it.
> >> > Just don't use it as some sort of status symbol.
>
> The notion of "Morse code as a status symbol" exists only in the minds of
those
> who can't be bothered to learn it. For the rest of us, it's a practical,
> useful communications skill.
>
> >> Sorry, that's life and the Way it Is.
> >
> >True to a point. Labeling morse skill or any other skill is strictly
> >a personal opinion. I can appreciate those that know morse well
> >as being more cable of such use than me. What I find fault with
> >is the small minded attitudes of some that suggests that other hams
> >with a multitude of skills, often both technical as well as
> >operating skills, are viewed as "not capable" of of lower
> >capability than those that have morse knwoledge. While that may
> >have been true in 1960...it is not at all so in 2002.
>
> I'm not sure who wrote this, but the typos and misspellings completely
remove
> any hope of credibility for what was said.

>
> >> Those who can, do.
>
> And those who don't want to, whine.
>
>
> >
> >Those that want to are free to do so. Defining morse as a
> >requirment is not, however, valid anymore.
>
> It is more valid today as it ever has been.
>
> >> Those who can't whine about those who
> >> can. Or get off their duffs and just do it.
> >
> >Odd, I don't recall anyone whining about anyone
> >simply because they know morse code. The complaint,
> >as I have voiced it above, is in those that laud themselves
> >because they know code and belittle those that choose
> >not to know code and/or oppose code as a test
> >requirement.
>
> Most of those who oppose code testing as a licensing requirement don't
know
> what they are talking about, and aren't qualified to have an opinion.
>
> WHO determines who's qualified to have an opinion on this matter?
>
> I do!
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> Judge of qualifications for having opinions on code testing in the ARS

Larry,

Please be sure to file such comments with the FCC at the
appropriate time. I'm sure they don't realize you are the
sef appointed "Judge of qualifications for having opinions
on code testing in the ARS."

ROTFLMAO!

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

123. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 01:58:56 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211031758.6f7f3914@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54363

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021101084222.02322.00000511@mb-df.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: The Future of Packet Radio
> >From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
> >Date: 11/1/02 2:58 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <d22b54c3.0211010058.1a08b384@posting.google.com>
>
> >It's blatently obvious why you'd much rather just have to deal with
> >boys instead of WOMEN.
>
> Women for me, thanks, as long as:
>
> (1) ...they're old enough to vote
>
> (2) ...they're too young for Medicare
>
> (3) ...they have a spontaneous pulse and respirations
>
> Everything else is negotiable, English prefered but not required.
> Licensed Amateurs or Pilots given preference.

I'll vote for that . . done both, actually.

First one was a leggy skinny young blonde. I drove her batty with beeping, dxing & contesting, she drove me batty and I finally told her to take a hike. So she married another W3 1x2 hardcore beeper and got a Tech Plus, she's on the machines in the Indianapolis area.

The pilot is an instrument-rated redhead IBM mahout, Southern gal, learned to fly at Nashville Int'l BNA. Kinda like pillow talk one nite she told me about the time she soloed in her brother-in-law's Mooney. She was on base with the tower op coaching the turn. "An incoming American Airlines pilot came on and told the tower he wuz gonna do a go-around so that the lady would have the runway all to herself. Wasn't that nice of him?" Sez Kelly. "No Sandra, he wasn't being nice, he didn't wanna be anywhere near your airspace."

I had to start all over the next nite.

>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

w3rv

124. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 02:09:34 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <yFkx9.4475$0x.2295@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 54364

```
"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:aq4k5e$5ri$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
>
> "Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
> news:OJix9.3489$0x.693@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> > "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:aq4864$tf3$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> > >
> > > "Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
> > > news:tQgx9.2514$0x.442@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> > > > "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:aq44ht$kii$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > > >
> > > > > "Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
> > > > > news:c5fx9.1910$0x.776@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> > > > > > "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:aq2ca3$eoo$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > > > > > The need to learn morse under your statement is simply
> > > > > > > to do so IF you want to. THAT is the issue...mandatory
> > > > > > > testing of a mode that has clearly fallen from any
> > > > > > > need for mandatory testing.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Very true, Bill. But hasn't the no-code Technician's license
> > addressed
> > > > > the
> > > > > > issue of mandatory code testing preventing folks from becoming
> hams?
> > > > >
> > > > > Only for VHF and up. The issue today (2002) is that there
> > > > > is NO reason for mandatory code testing for any band
> > > > > segment/use.
> > > >
> > > > Well, we have a difference of opinion there. I believe that all
> > > "privileges"
> > > > should be earned. (I know, I know...the FCC says...) I personally
> don't
> > > > think the writtens constitute much of a challenge, particularly with
a
> > > > published Q & A pool. A 5-wpm code test for full HF privies
> > insufficient?
> > > > It'd actually be funny...if it weren't so pathetic.
> > >
> > > Where we differ is is in what should be used for "earning" privileges.
> > > The requirements which constitute the entry gate to amateur
```

> > > licensing needs to be justified and rational. At one time morse
> > > was an appropriate requirement...that is not true anymore.
> > >
> > > > > > > The fact that you and I
> > > > > > > can drive manual gearbox autos/trucks doesn't lead
> > > > > > > to any need for everyone to be mandated to be tested on
> > > > > > > how to drive a manual gearbox vehicle.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > That's funny, Bill. My first driver's license was indeed a "full
> > > > > privileged"
> > > > > > license as I took the exam in a standard shift car. Those who
took
> > > their
> > > > > > exams in an automatic transmissioned cars were licensed solely
for
> > > > > vehicles
> > > > > > so equipped.
> > > > >
> > > > In what state was that so? and when?
> > > >
> > > Jamaica, West Indies.
> > >
> > WHEN?
> >
> 1983
> >
> > > In fact, when I was in Jamaica in 1968 while in the Navy,
> > > there was no need for a manual license certyification to rent
> > > a car. Seems any USA license did just find. Mine was, at the
> > > time, from Florida.
> >
> > One of those "courtesies" that some view as inequities. For example, my
> wife
> > passed a code test in her native Ecuador by just sending a few
characters
> > and was granted a Novato (Novice) license. She now gets to ply her hobby
> > here to the limit of her license, NOT to exceed those of an Amateur
Extra.
> > There are only two license classes in HC land, Novato & General, and
their
> > Novice has considerably more privies than our equivalent. It's a
courtesy,
> > like our recirocal agreement. Police officers in 6Y land will honor a
> > foreign national's driver's license. I suspect it works like that all
> around
> > the world.
> >
> > > > > > Today, NO state makes any distinction for automaic vs manual
gearbox
> > > > > licensing for regular driver's licenses. I have asked many times

> for
> > > > anyone
> > > > > to identify
> > > > > any state that might still make such a distinction and no one has
> ever
> > > > > identified such a situation still existing.
> >
> > I sincerely doubt this exists in the USA.
>
> Not sure how to read that...
> Are you agreeing that no USA state makes the distinction as I claim,
> or are you doubting my assertion that is the case?

Agreed.

> > > > > I was first licensed in 1957 to drive in NY state
> > > > > with NO restrictions even though I and others took the test on an
> > > > automatic
> > > > > vehicle. I took the test on my dad';s 1955 Plymouth and was
> > immediately
> > > > > able to then drive my 1950 Ford (manual).
> > > > >
> > > > > > If you were pulled over or involved in a traffic accident while
> > > > > > driving a standard and you handed the officer a driver's license
> > > > endorsed
> > > > > > solely for automatic transmissioned vehicles, the officer would
> hand
> > > the
> > > > > > license back to you and repeat the request for a "valid"
> > license...for
> > > > > it
> > > > > > was a good as not having any license at all.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Ditto my original questions above... what state and when?
> > > > >
> > > > Ditto mine.
> > >
> > > Last I checked, Jamaica wasn't a state. Again, when
> > > was it?
> >
> > Er...ah, I'll give you the benefit of the doubt, Bill. Jamaica is an
> island
> > in the Carribean. (Hence "West Indies.") It is divided into three of
what
> > you *might* consider states, Cornwall, Middlesex, and Surrey. These are
> > futher divided into parishes. I took my exam in Montego Bay, which is
> > located in the parish of St. James. (Cornwall)
>
> By state, I was referring only to those 50 states of the USA.
> No disrespect was intended toward Jamaica. I recognize
> it as a soverign nation. Other than a brief visit compliments

> of the US Navy, I haven't otherwise been there.

Understood. :-)

> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

125. Re: Fat Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 02:37:53 UTC
From: bigballs <bigballshere@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3dc5dde1$1@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 54365
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DC57400.35D4A00C@mailcity.net...
>
>
> AC6V wrote:
> >
> > Go to URL:
> > http://www.adrenalineadventures.net/news/330.html
> > And find nearly 20% of americans are obese
>
> And over half of the population is over weight.

OK, so we're fat. Fine!
Get this video and sit in the easy chair
and eat chips and drink beer in yer
undearwear while watchin it....!!!]

click here: http://www.varmintvideos.com/

126. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 02:46:02 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DC5DF69.7FB683DD@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 54367

WA8ULX wrote:

> >I -suspect- the FCC quit the charade concerning how relavent the code
> >was.
>
> Well then the FCC should stop the Charade with written, its a bigger Joke than
> the CW Test.

One could say that that all the testing really needed would be for rules and regs, and electrical and RF exposure safety questions. And maybe a question or two to test for knowledge to understand why you shouldn't set your rig to 14.349 USB and transmit (the bandwidth of your signal will bleed over the edge of the band and you'd be out of band).

But given that we are the only radio service that is allowed to use non-type accepted transmitters and pick which band and frequency we feel like using (as long as it conforms with bandplans), we should have some knowledge of radio matters and pass a basic test on such.

What does seem a little silly is requiring a higher grade of license to use say 14.161 vs. 14.321 That exists mainly because it is easy to determine the carrier frequency a ham is using from a distant FCC monitoring site. It's a lot harder to determine power level. "Is he using a beam pointing at us?".

127. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 03:10:43 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <usbpls6i8s0n06@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54368

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3DC5AD17.B37CBEFD@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3DC425AD.8ACB21A6@earthlink.net...
> > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > >
> > > > No, it's pretty much that Larry only has ham radio to make him
"*feel*"
> > > > [emphasis on feel] superior to others.
> > >
> > > You don't know that.
> > >
> > > > He isn't.
> > >
> > > You don't know that.
> > >
> >
> > Yeah, I think I pretty much do, Dave. Your higher regard for Larry is
so
> > noted.
>
> How much is "pretty much", Kim? How is my observation that you don't
> know something related to my holding or not holding Larry in esteem?
>
> > > >
> > > > Larry doesn't portray himself as someone I'd personally like to have
> > active > in a disaster.
> > >
> > > Who are you to be choosey in a disaster?
> > >
> >
> > Uh, well, whomever I am, I suppose. What's your point?
>
> If I needed help in a disaster, I'd accept it even from you. I don't
> hold you in high esteem.
>
> > > > >
> > > > > Fact is, I'd pretty much prefer to fall off a cliff rather than deal
> > with the
> > > > > likes of a K3LT.
> > > >
> > > > I'll vote for that scenario.

> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Obviously, I would too. Otherwise, I wouldn't have said it. Again,
what
> > exactly is your point?
>
> My point was "pretty much" as I wrote it. I'd almost pay Larry to show
> up so we could see if you heave yourself into the abyss rather than
> accept aid from him. You're just blowing smoke.
>
> Dave K8MN

I'll say it for the first time of you: you are hilarious.

Kim W5TIT

128. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 03:22:58 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC5F659.76C9B890@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54369

Brian wrote:

> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DC3668E.84557C94@townsqr.com>...
> > Brian wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > Your contempt for anyone not in love with your favorite mode is what
> > > has been documented here.
> >
> > You missed it again, still. My contempt is reserved for those -you're the prime
example- who blame others for their own
> > failure to develop such a mundane skill as 13wpm code, and who sat and whined for the
better part of two decades instead
> > of just getting it done. Somehow its always the fault of anyone but you, and you have
no idea when to stop.
>
> Dick, I've never sat and whined or blamed anyone else about anything.

So now you don't remember. That's singularly unsurprising.

> I gave 13wpm my best shot and it just didn't happen.
>

More unsurprises. When one spends all his learning time bitching about how useless what
he's "attempting" to learn it seldom
happens.

>
> Meanwhile, I still considered it an unnecessary and irrelevant
> requirement. Wonder why it went away?
>

Too many whiners like you.

>
> > > That and your psk-31 failures.
> >
> > Ah, yes, I find and ID a shortcoming of the mode and you find it convenient to convert
that to "my failure". You are
> > hereby directed to Google to straighten yourself out in the remote chance such a thing
is actually possible. I frankly
> > don't hold out any hope.
>
> PSK-31 is just fine as a mode. It rate it right up there with any
> other mode.

You would. And that is the extent of your ability to compare and rate modes. More unsprising revelations.

> I especially like the stand alone FCC exam for PSK-31
> that, should you happen to fail it, banishes you to the novice cw
> wastelands forever.
>
> But you'll never get the analogy, so more wasted words on the Dick.

Oh, I get it, I got the skinny on you long ago. You'd rather sit bitching for half your life rather than go through a minor
learning process you think "unnecessary".
As a veteran of two enlistments in the military myself, I must say it is really remarkable that you made it. Must be an
aritifact of the times. Whiners are pandered to even in the military these days.

129. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 03:25:24 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC5F6EC.C7DC67D0@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54370

Brian wrote:

> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DC28FCB.18DA600D@townsqr.com>...
> > JJ wrote:
> >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Brian wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021031143726.10201.00000081@mb-ch.aol.com>...
> > > > > > >Morse code tells you as much about a person's technical abilities as
> > > > > > >does his ability to tap dance.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Lloyd:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Right -- but it tells you everything about his abilities as a capable and
> > > > > > > effective amateur radio communications provider.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > 73 de Larry, K3LT
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Reminds me of the time you created a scenario where only Morse Code
> > > > > > could save your victim. You would probably opt to die in real life
> > > > > > than accept effective amateur radio communications via repeater-talkie
> > > > > > from a "shack on his hip" no-coder.
> > > > >
> > > > > You're never gonna get it are you Slim? There are still, from time to time,
situations when nothing except
> > > > > Morse is available to use. For you that means there is nothing to use.
> > > >
> > > > Name some, not hypothetical made up situations, but real life
> > > > situations that have happened.
> > >
> > > I'm not gonna keep babysitting you guys who don't keep up or pay attention. Get on
Google and find them, they've
> > > been posted right here over the past few years.
> >
> > In other words, The Dick has no examples of life.

Hans posted the proof just yesterday for you to ignore right along with the Google archive. Poor fella. Maybe W3RV will see to it you get your case of pacifiers.

130. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 03:26:40 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC5F738.741F1A58@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54371

Brian wrote:

> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DC319C5.E23D318A@townsqr.com>...
> > JJ wrote:
>
> > > Ah, the old "I can't back up my statement so you go find it
> > > yourself" trick.
> >
> > Hey, JJ, you're not the only johnny-cum-lately that has dropped into the game just
before go home time with nary a
> > clue. It's all in google and I ain't gonna do your work for you. If you'd rather remain
clueless that's just fine by me.
>
> Johnny WHAT lately? Clean it up DICK.

Clean up that cesspool you use for a mind.

131. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 03:37:47 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC5F9D2.F8F8AC30@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54372

Bill Sohl wrote:

>
> > I expect FCC grew weary of all the protestations of NCI types, and caved.
>
> Interesting...so you now are crediting NCI with
> actually influencing the outcome. Seems like not too
> long ago you and others were of the opinion that
> the FCC made up their mind without any help from
> NCI type's input.
>

You flatter yourself way too much. Your reference was back when the FCC actually had funding, backing of officeholders and honest to gawd clout. This is the New Age when no one gets their sensitivities stepped upon for any reason whatever.

(re: No Code Test International)

> Yawn, same old hollow prediction. I'll say this agin,
> as I have previously, if ANYONE petitions or otherwise
> starts an organization that wants to end ALL testing,
> I will be an active opponent of such...as will, I believe,
> all other NCI directors.

Keep flattering yourself long as you want, Bill. NCI was not even a blip on the screen. An afternoon of amusement for some staffers, maybe, a break from their routine duties no doubt. But if you 'got what you wanted' it was purely coincidence. FCC had its mind made up long before you and Squiggy made fools of yourselves. The medical waiver fiasco was more than enough to do it. The path of least resistance wins everytime.

132. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 03:38:52 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <gZIx9.3829$z5.2816545@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54366

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0211031432.26c9d079@posting.google.com...
> Make the exams as big as you want - as long as you can keep them
relavent.

Actually the arguments that are being used to eliminate the CW requirement can also be used to eliminate all but the FCC rules from the amateur tests. Afterall why should a person answer any questions about PSK, RTTY, Amtor, Packet, propagation, eletronic theory, and so on when all they ever intend to do is pick up a mike and talk. If CW is irrelevant so is everything else but the FCC rules. This is the road to complete chaos.

Also I have never looked down at the no coders. I encourage them to take a stab at upgrades including CW and would happily elmer any who wanted me to. Instead too many (not all) would rather wait until the requirements are made easier, "dumbed down". So why should I not be upset. I've offered to help and gotten no takers.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

133. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 03:51:33 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC5FD0C.A5E17683@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54373

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> >As of October 31, 2002:
> >
> >Novice - 36,506 (decrease of 12,823)
> >Tech - 246,075 (increase of 40,651)
> >Tech Plus - 74,842 (decrease of 54,415)
> >General - 139,423 (increase of 26,746)
> >Advanced - 84,534 (decrease of 15,248)
> >Extra - 102,975 (increase of 24,225)
> >Total - 684,355 (increase of 9,563)
> >
> >This total is close to, if not higher than, the previous high number.
> >
> >73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Jim:
>
> But...but...but...I thought we were supposed to GAIN tens of thousands of
> eager, bright, computer-literate, technically-savvy young people when the
> burden of high-speed code testing for a General-class license or above was
> lifted from their shoulders! We were supposed to experience a Digital
> Revolution in amateur radio that would give us virtual internet-like data
> transfer capabilities, without any user fees! What happened???
>

All that blown smoke disapated in the whirlwind rush to upgrade to the Lite
licenses.

134. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 04:13:14 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC60220.5A7BC5B4@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54374

Robert Casey wrote:

> WA8ULX wrote:
>
> > >I -suspect- the FCC quit the charade concerning how relavent the code
> > >was.
> >
> > Well then the FCC should stop the Charade with written, its a bigger Joke than
> > the CW Test.
>
> One could say that that all the testing really needed would be for rules
> and regs, and electrical and RF exposure safety questions. And maybe a
> question or two to test for knowledge to understand why you shouldn't
> set your rig to 14.349 USB and transmit (the bandwidth of your signal
> will bleed over the edge of the band and you'd be out of band).
>
> But given that we are the only radio service that is allowed to use non-
> type accepted transmitters and pick which band and frequency we feel
> like using (as long as it conforms with bandplans), we should have some
> knowledge of radio matters and pass a basic test on such.
>
> What does seem a little silly is requiring a higher grade of license to
> use say 14.161 vs. 14.321 That exists mainly because it is easy to
> determine the carrier frequency a ham is using from a distant FCC
> monitoring site. It's a lot harder to determine power level. "Is he
> using a beam pointing at us?".

.....or is he running 100 watts and experiencing particularly good propagation to my area?

So it's all a matter of decision as to what is or isn't "required" along with the reasons. The reason for several classes of licenses has long been the idea of incentives to promote learning among ham radio operators. Increased code speeds were for the same reason.
Incentive licensing was an excellent idea, but wasn't so excellently implemented. The fallout will probably prevent any ham radio licensee from ever having privileges withdrawn due to rules change for as long as the memory of all the protesting lasts. But it was still a very good idea. To suggest otherwise is to admit that a dumbed down ham radio is a good thing and will be in the future. For a dumbed down ham radio is what we have.

135. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 04:19:42 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DC5F54C.4090402@adelphia.net>
Source record: 54375

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> But...but...but...I thought we were supposed to GAIN tens of thousands of
> eager, bright, computer-literate, technically-savvy young people when the
> burden of high-speed code testing for a General-class license or above was
> lifted from their shoulders! We were supposed to experience a Digital
> Revolution in amateur radio that would give us virtual internet-like data
> transfer capabilities, without any user fees! What happened???

Just to be sure, Larry.. You don't really want to gain huge numbers of hams do you? I've heard the arguments, and to have the ARS "explode" in size kind of worries me. The total increase we have now is a little worrisome.

- Mike KB3EIA -

136. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 04:23:48 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq4sqn$p27$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54376

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3DC5F9D2.F8F8AC30@townsqr.com...
>
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> >
> > > I expect FCC grew weary of all the protestations of NCI types, and
caved.
> >
> > Interesting...so you now are crediting NCI with
> > actually influencing the outcome. Seems like not too
> > long ago you and others were of the opinion that
> > the FCC made up their mind without any help from
> > NCI type's input.
> >
>
> You flatter yourself way too much. Your reference was back when the FCC
actually
> had funding, backing of officeholders and honest to gawd clout. This is
the New
> Age when no one gets their sensitivities stepped upon for any reason
whatever.
>
> (re: No Code Test International)
>
>
> > Yawn, same old hollow prediction. I'll say this agin,
> > as I have previously, if ANYONE petitions or otherwise
> > starts an organization that wants to end ALL testing,
> > I will be an active opponent of such...as will, I believe,
> > all other NCI directors.
>
> Keep flattering yourself long as you want, Bill. NCI was not even a blip
on the
> screen. An afternoon of amusement for some staffers, maybe, a break from
their
> routine duties no doubt. But if you 'got what you wanted' it was purely
> coincidence. FCC had its mind made up long before you and Squiggy made
fools of
> yourselves.

On what basis do you consider I (and Carl) made fools of ourselves?
We had a most pleasant time with the FCC. Very congenial, no

one rushed us and the questions we were asked were logical and
to the point. If, as you appear to believe, the FCC had already
made up their minds, then that is of little concern to me since
the outcome was as we desired. Seems like a win to me...your
,ileage may vary.

> The medical waiver fiasco was more than enough to do it. The path
> of least resistance wins everytime.

Quite the pessimist aren't you?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

137. 73 and thanks for all the Q's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 05:46:04 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <wQnx9.18$fn6.7413@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54377

From our club W0AA, I worked over a thousand stations on CW over the past weekend during the Sweepstakes Radiosport Contest, and only recognized 3 rrap'ers.

KB3IEO
W4NTI
N2EY

Thanks for the points.

--
&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

138. Where's CAM been?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 07:14:12 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aq5t2r81nao@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54393

Did I miss something or has Cecil totally disappeared from R.R.A.P.? I enjoyed his posts in the past....

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

139. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 07:29:47 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aq5t2s91nao@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54391

Gee.... if the code was so important as you say you value it, should you already be teaching code??? Seems like a more viable utilization of your efforts and energy with a positive end result, than bitching about the "no-coders."

Granted, some will forever resist you, but there will be some that will "take the bait" and run with it. (becoming morse code fans....) I guess you gotta decide which is more important to you, preservation of something you apparently hold dear, or just sitting around bitching about the problem.

For example (but in a completely different way) in the fire service, we can bitch all day long about fires starting from accidental sources such as kids playing with fire, or from needless reasons such as the lack of fire safety products such as fire extinguishers and smoke detectors. Or we can go out and educate the public, with the hopes of at least positively reaching at least one person. If we reach more, GREAT! Although amateur radio and the fire service are two completely different entities, this is about the closest comparison I can come up with.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021102000056.10683.00002909@mb-mq.aol.com...
> >Reminds me of the time you created a scenario where only Morse Code
> >could save your victim. You would probably opt to die in real life
> >than accept effective amateur radio communications via repeater-talkie
> >from a "shack on his hip" no-coder.
>
> If a shack-on-his-hip no-coder ever saved my life with his HT, I'd show my
> gratitude by teaching him the Morse Code. I couldn't think of a more
valuable
> reward to give him.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

140. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 08:09:54 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aq5t2t01nao@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54392

I feel the same about APRS..... damned if I wanna post/publicize where I am at every minute. I can see some practical uses in the case of an emergency, but for day to day usage, its not for me.

It is tenfold more popular around here (where I live) over packet, which is a damned shame. Feel like an ass for investing in packet equipment and all there is, is the same 3-5 people in the area, as well as only being able to reach out 1 node away (next city over). I do however make sure that the packet equipment does work, as I see that it is a great local based communication tool in an emergency situation. Good for some of the messages that you do not want to verbalize over a voice frequency.... Only hope there is still others that have that same capability when the time comes.

If packet allowed more speed, and possibly better file sharing, maybe it would serve more of a purpose, but about the only good use I see is simple text messages at an incredibly slow rate in comparision to what is now being done in wireless networking etc.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:apu9ardfb1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> I have no idea what the 'attraction' is for APRS. Obviously someone likes
> it a lot. It is going 24/7 here.
>

141. ARNewsline 1316 - Nov 1 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 09:03:48 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021104040348.25874.00003726@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 54378

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1316 – November 1, 2002

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1316 with a release date of Friday, November 1st, 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T.

A child is saved thanks to C-B radio in Australia and Ireland opts to restructure with slow-code. These stories are first on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1316 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

RESCUE RADIO: CB HELPS SAVE HAM RADIO OPERATORS DAUGHTER’S LIFE

The daughter of a ham radio operator is alive after he to turns to C-B radio for help. It happened down-under in Australia as we hear from Q-News Graham Kemp, V-K-4-B-B:

--
Recently on holiday at Finley, S. NSW, Eric VK2TRD's daughter Tegan, 12, had a very narrow escape, after she was bitten twice by a Tiger Snake! After emergency treatment at Finley Hospital she was transferred by Ambulance to Shepparton, Vic.

Travelling to Shepparton Eric called five times on the 2m repeater seeking guidance to the Hospital, no response. Disgusted, he called on the UHF CB repeater and got instant help.

Over 6 days there, nothing was heard on the repeater but the ident., and that was when Eric fired it up. On 2m simplex, only 2 farmers using it as an intercom, no c/s's.

Tegan, that night suffered cardiac respiratory arrest and was in intensive care for two days. Now at home she is doing OK. A traumatic time for all.

For the Amateur Radio Nrewsline, I’M graham Kemp, VK4BB of Q-News Australia.
--

Once the ordeal was over, VK2TRD paused to thank all who helped in his daughters rescue. This included the Shepparton CB’ers for their immediate response.

**

RESTRUCTURING: IARU REGION I MEETS NOV 10

The International Amateur Radio Union Region 1 Conference is slated for the tiny nation of San Marino beginning on November 10th. And according to the W5YI Report's Fred Maia, it could be a rather hot meeting. The reason -- two words: Morse code.

Writing in the November 1st issue of his newsletter, Maia says that the subject of Morse proficiency testing among those serving on the High Frequency Committee is shaping up to be controversial. On one side are two giants. Germany's Deutscher Amateur Radio Club and the Union of Russian Radio Amateurs are two national societies who are already on record as opposing dropping Morse testing as an international requirement.

Leading the charge to eliminate manual telegraphy tests is the Radio Society of Great Britain. It says that Region 1 if the International Amateur Radio Union should formally endorse the October 2001 resolution of the IARU Administrative Council in respect to abolishing mandatory Morse exams.

Both the Deutscher Amateur Radio Club and the RSGB have submitted well crafted conference documents stating their respective positions. How this will all work out will be known at the close of the conference on November 15th.

**

RESTRUCTURING: IRELAND ADOPTS 5 WPM

Meantime, restructuring and slow code have come to Ireland. Amateur Radio Newsline's Henry Feinberg, K2SSQ, has the details:

--
According to Echo Ireland, that's the journal of the Irish Radio Transmitters Society, a set of new amateur radio regulations were signed into law by the Regulator in the Republic of Ireland on September 11th. The newws is just getting out and the main points of the new regulations governing ham radio are as follows.

First, the Morse code test speed has been reduced to 5 words per minute to bring it in line with whats become the defacto world wide standard. More important, that there is no longer any age restriction on a persoin getting an Irish ham radio license. This means that anyone -- any age in Ireland can brccome a ham. And up until now, there had been a probationary period of a year before a Full Licence could be issued. Now, thats gone too.

On the technical side, the maximum power permitted on each band is now listed in decibles per watt R-M-S at the output of the transmitter. This is good news because it effectively allows a power increase for Irish amateurs. The old regulations specified that limits were regarded as input power rather than measured at the R-F output jack.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Henry Feinberg, K2SSQ.
--

We will have more news from around the world later on in this weeks Amateur Radio Newsline report.

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the Amador County Amateur Radio Club Net serving Pine Grove California.

(5 sec pause here)

**

ENFORCEMENT: YOU CANT USE THOSE RADIOS ON FIRE CHANNELS

Back on this side of the Atlantic, the FCC has told a fire department to stop using illegally modified ham radio gear. The FCC's Daryl Duckworth, NN0W, explains:

--
Duckworth: "The Enforcement Bureau has notified the Bon Secour Fire and Rescue Department in Alabama that the Amateur equipment they were using was contrary to Commission rules because it had been modified to operate on Public Safety frequencies. The letter referred to several Icom IC-V8 handie talkies."
--

The note went to Karl Bingert who is the Chief Bon Secour Fire & Rescue Department. It told him that the use of Amateur Radio units of any kind, modified to operate on its frequencies, is against the Commission's rules and would result in enforcement action by the FCC. (FCC, RAIN)

**

ENFORCEMENT: FCC BACKS REPEATER OWNERS BAN OF UNWANTED USER

The FCC is once again backing up a repeater operator who wants to ban a particular user from the system. Its all taking place in Ohio. Again, the FCC's Daryl Duckworth tells us about the situation and the agency's position in favor of the people running the machine:

--
Duckworth: "The Enforcement Bureau sent a Warning Notice to Billy R. Marshall, N8ORF, warning him to stay off of the W8HFF repeater system in the Toledo Ohio area. The Toledo Mobile Radio Association had issued two letters to Marshall requesting him to stay off the repeater due to his failure to follow operational rules.

The Enforcement Bureau reminded Marshall that control operators may take whatever steps are necessary to insure complience with the rules and that they will be backed up by the Commission"
--

Marshall was given 20 days from the date of receipt of the FCC letter to reply and outline what steps he has taken to comply. (FCC, RAIN)

**

ENFORCEMENT: PHONE COMPANIES FINED

Two companies have learned the expensive way that it does not pay to try to grab another telephone company’s customers. This, as the FCC issues two Notices of Apparent Liability proposing a total of $6,560,000 in fines against two operator service providers for taking advantage of consumers.

The companies named are Opticom Corporation and ASC. The FCC says that the massive fines are based on Opticom apparent sixty-four violations, and another eighteen apparent by ASC. The term apparent is the FCC’s way of alleging a violation has taken place. The Commission says that it calculated the proposed fines by using the $80,000 per violation amount previously used in cases involving egregious slamming. Take your browser over to http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-226534A1.doc to learn more. (FCC)

**

RESCUE RADIO: EMCOM SUSPENDS NATIONAL EMERGENCY ALERT NOTIFICATION SYSTEM

EMCOM -- the emergency alerting system has suspended its the National Emergency Alert Notification System operations effective October 25th. Our producer, Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, has the nitty-gritty:

--
In making the announcement, EMCOM officials said that what they term as a stand-down also affects the Emergency Information Web Sites maintained by EMCOM for every state, county and municipality in the nation. Also impacted are over 1 million other web pages that reportedly utilized the automatically updated EMCOM Homeland Security Status Bar or the PubAlert notification bulletin pop-up system.

By way of background, for the first six months after the 9/11 tragedy, EMCOM offered to provide access to full use of the system to any community's emergency agencies for $500 per month. During that period there were no takers, even though EMCOM had notified almost every senator, representative, and agency in the nations capital.

So the bottom line is this: The EMCOM alert system is dead for now but hopefully not gone forever.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF.
--

In making the announcement, EMCOM took the opportunity to express its gratitude to the hams, ARES and RACES operators who helped to get the system off the ground.

More about EMCOM is on the web at www.comdinet.com/emcom (EMCOM release)

**

RESCUE RADIO: SKYWARN RECOGNITION DAY

On a more positive note, Larry Boyd, KB4GFT says in an Internet posting that The 2002 SKYWARN Recognition Day will occur on Saturday December 7th. It will begin at 00:00 UTC and run for 24 hours.

SKYWARN Recognition Day was created in 1999 by the National Weather Service and the American Radio Relay League. It honors the contributions that volunteer SKYWARN radio operators make to the National Weather Service.

Last year 80 National Weather Service offices participated. The list of this years participants and other information is on the web at hamradio.noaa.gov (KB4GFT)

**

RADIO RULES: RULES REWRITE ON MEDIA CONSOLIDATION

The Federal Communications Commission has embarked on a major rewrite of rules that limit the consolidation of media companies. The overhaul, which FCC officials first announced in June, is likely to conclude by the middle of next year when the agency issues final rules.

Many observers expect the agency to relax the current media ownership restrictions, although FCC officials stressed during a recent meeting that they had not reached any conclusions. The FCC is seeking comment on whether it should keep or change six specific media consolidation rules, including bans on common ownership of a newspaper and television station or television and radio stations in the same media market, as well as a limit on the percentage of the national audience that any station may reach.

Many of the rules were written decades ago before cable and satellite-based television and the Internet. The proceeding is being conducted under a statute that requires the FCC to periodically review its rules to determine whether they remain in the public interest. (FCC)

**

COMMUNICATIONS LAW: JUDGE THROWS OUT PAY AS YOU CLICK CASE

Its a big court win for all of us. This as a federal court says no to a law suit that could have cost all of us a lot of dollars as we clicked our way across the World Wide Web. Amateur Radio Newsline’s Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, has the details:

--

U.S. District Judge Colleen McMahon has rejected a claim by the B-T Group that it owns the patent on those single-click hyperlinks that make the Web what it is. It’s lawsuit accused Internet service provider Prodigy Communications Corp., of infringing on the B-T patent.

But Judge McMahon rejected the claim that each Web server on the Internet is a central computer and thus the Internet falls within the patent's scope. Her decision, filed in a White Plains, New York Federal Court concluded that no jury could find that Prodigy infringes on the B-T patent.

The B-T suit had been viewed as a test case that could have opened the door for the company to challenge other Internet service providers and demand licensing fees. These fees could have added a lot to the annual cost of anyone surfing the net.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, in Los Angeles.
--

With the potential of billions of dollars at stake, its not known if B-T Group will appeal the judges decision or re-file the case. (Published news reports)

**

HAM RADIO TRAINING: AMATEUR RADIO LICENSE GUIDE – THE PROGRAM

Stuart Cole, N5LBZed, tells us by e-mail about a computer program that he has just finished writing. Its called "Amateur Radio License Guide" and is a modern update of the DOS version that he brought out back in 1999.

According to Cole, the program is based on the official ham radio question pool, is divided into three sections and does cover training all classes of license. More information is in cybrspace at http://cableone.net/sacole/ (N5LBZ)

**

THE WORLD ABOVE 50 MHZ: WRITER ASKS YOUR HELP

Gene Zimmerman, W3ZZ, says over the VHF Reflector that his next World Above 50 MHz column in QST Magazine will include a discussion of VHF+ clubs and groups. This refers to weak signal groups that meet regularly, VHF groups that sponsor annual conferences like Central States VHF Society, contest groups and others interested in the non-repeater world of VHF and UHF communications.

Gene says that he has already mined a lot of data from Internet sites but I would like his information to be accurate and up to date. He has identified the PackRats; the Rochester VHF Group, the South East V-H-F Society and about a dozen others as falling into this category. He asks that anyone connected with these groups please contact him by e-mail to confirm the information he already has. He also wants a person or point of contact to send readers to if someone wishes to find out more information about how to particular group. Gene’s e-mail is w3zz@arrl.org. (VHF Reflector)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today’s radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org.

**

EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: AM MODULATION IMPROVEMENT ANNOUNCED

A new modulation technique for AM broadcasting is being promoted by broadcast industry pioneer Mike Dorrough, KO6NM. Dorrough claims the Advanced Modulation System can increase carrier modulation to 200% while improving the performance, range and sound quality of Amplitude Modulated radio.

According to the CGC Communicator, KO6NM recently discussed the system at an SBE meeting in Sacramento and plans other presentations.

Ross du Clair, WA6VWY is the Chief Engineer for Clear Channel in Sacramento. He attended the Sacramento meeting and is quoted in Radio World On-Line as saying, "This is real and it does work."

As previously reported, Mike Dorrough was the recipient of the National Association of Broadcasters Radio Engineering Achievement Award in 2000. This, for his contributions to audio processing and signal monitoring. Details of the new Advanced Modulation System will appear in an upcoming issue of Radio World magazine. (CGC Communicator)

**

EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: PERSONAL LOCATORS FOR KIDS

In the wake of multiple child abductions, parents are turning to satellite-based locator bracelets to track their children. The bracelets carry transponders that will permit parents keeps tabs on their kids over via the Internet or by telephone.

Wherify Wireless plans to release its three-ounce G-P-S equipped Personal Locators next month. The device uses satellite and cellular technology and has a built-in numeric pager. It allows the wearer to contact an emergency law enforcement service in worst-case scenarios

Each unit costs 400 dollars and is accompanied by about 30 dollars in service fees. Experts on missing children warn such devices are not foolproof and could give parents a false sense of security. Still many parents are willing to try the locators a try. (Scienceonline)

**

RADIO IN SPACE: SOYUZ FLIES AGAIN

Some good news for the astro-ham team on board the International Space Station. The Soyuz rockets are flying again.

On Wednesday October 30th a manned Soyuz rocket with three cosmonauts on board blasted off from Russia's Baikonur cosmodrome bound for I-S-S. The Soyuz-TMA booster rocket lifted as planned at 03:11 GMT with no reported malfunction on its way to orbit.

As reported last week, the launch of this Soyuz was delayed after the fatal crash of a similar design unmanned cargo rocket October 15th. During this Soyuz 12-day mission, the Russian and Belgian crew will replace a rescue capsule docked at the ISS. (Published reports)

**

CONVENTIONS/HAMFESTS/CONFERENCES: MARTLESHAM MICROWAVE IN NOVEMBER

Turning to the ham radio conference scene, work that the Martlesham Microwave Round Table 2002 will be held this year at Adastral Park, Martlesham Heath, England. The dates are Saturday the 9th and Sunday the 10th of November.

Highlights include a visit to the Royal Air Force’s Defense Radar Museum at R-A-F in Neatishead and an evening dinner in Ipswich. All of this plus the usual flea market, lectures and the UK Microwave Group Annual General Meeting. For ore information e-mail g3xdy@btinternet.com (GB2RS)

**

CONVENTIONS/HAMFESTS/CONFERENCES: EUROPEAN RADIO SYMPOSIYUM IN BARCELONA

The 2002 European Radio Symposium is slated for November 13th in Barcelona, Spain. Convergence, digitization and electronic audience measurement will be key topics tackled in this symposium. Speakers include David Rogerson of Strategic Media Solutions. (Media Network)

**

CONVENTIONS/HAMFESTS/CONFERENCES: BIG TURNOUT FOR ARRL/TAPR DCC

According to a recent ARRL Letter over a hundred the worlds most active Amateur Radio digital enthusiasts turned up in Denver, Colorado, September 13th to the 15. This, for the 2002 joint ARRL and TAPR Digital Communications Conference.

This marked the 21st such Digital Communications Conference held. The agenda ranged from Automatic Position Reporting System topics to high-speed digital networking and software-defined radio. All agree it was well worth the trouble of traveling to the mile-high city to attend. (ARRL)

**

DX

In D-X, word that 3D2AG, will be working on Rapa Island through the 28th of November. He plans to operate as FO5RK on CW and SSB. This, during his late afternoon, nighttime and early morning hours. Rapa is part of the Austral Island group. (RSGB)

And SMZ0WKA is in Cuba until the 10th of November and is active as T48W. Look for him on 160 through to 6 meters, with an emphasis on the lower bands. QSL this one as directed on the air. (RSGB)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: W6XYZ/KTLA AT 60

And finally this week, one of the nations pioneering television stations is in the midst of celebrating its 60th anniversary. That station is KTLA television in Los Angeles. And almost from its inception, ham radio has played a role in its success. Roy Neal, K6DUE, takes a look at KTLA and some of the hams who helped it to make history:

--
DATELINE “HOLLYWOOD.” ON A HIGH AND WINDY HILL, OVERLOOKING THE FAMOUS DISTRICT OF LOS ANGELES, IN GIANT BLOCK LETTERS, THERES A SIGN THAT READS HOLLYWOOD. AT THE TOP OF THE HILL, THERE’S ALSO A RADIO TOWER WITH A HISTORY. THAT’S THE SITE OF THE FIRST TELEVISION STATION WEST OF THE

MISSISSIPPI.

IT WENT ON THE AIR IN SEPTEMBER OF 1942 AS W6XYZ, AN XPERIMENTAL TELEVISION STATION WITH STUDIOS ON THE PARAMOUNT FILM LOT. IN OCTOBER OF 1942. THE STATION EVEN BROADCAST INSIDE A MOTION PICTURE STUDIO, FEATURING THE MOVIE "THIS GUN FOR HIRE."

BY 1946, W6XYZ WAS GONE, REPLACED BY THE CALL KTLA. THE GUIDING GENIUS BEHIND THE STATION WAS KLAUS LANDSBERG, WHO CAME TO THE UNITED STATES AFTER ESCAPING FROM NAZI GERMANY. IN THE LANDSBERG BOOK, NOTHING WAS IMPOSSIBLE. SO KTLA RACKED UP AN IMPRESSIVE LIST OF FIRSTS WHILE PIONEERING TELEVISION.

ON THE FIRST OF JANUARY, 1946, THEY BROADCAST THE TOURNAMENT OF ROSES PARADE…LIVE. IN 1955, KTLA BECAME THE FIRST LOS ANGELES STATION TO BROADCAST THE PARADE IN COLOR.

IN MAY OF 1952, LANDSBERG DROPPED RELAY STATIONS ON MOUNTAIN TOPS TO BEAM AN ATOMIC BOMB TEST FROM NEVADA TO LOS ANGELES. HE CALLED IT OPERATION BIG SHOT.

AND IN MAY OF 1958, BUYING EQUIPMENT FROM NBC, KTLA WENT ON THE AIR WITH ITS TELECOPTER…A HELICOPTER EQUIPPED TO COVER LOS ANGELES FROM THE AIR!

ONE OF THE MOST POPULAR SHOWS LANDSBERG PRODUCED WAS LAWRENCE WELK, A PROGRAM THAT STILL EXISTS IN SYNDICATION.

MANY OF THE STATION'S ENGINEERING STAFF WERE RADIO AMATEURS. FOR EXAMPLE, THE INVENTOR OF THE HAM RADIO REPEATER, THE LATE ART GENTRY, W6MEP. THIS REPORTER REMEMBERS MANY FUN TIMES WHILE TALKING WITH THE AVUNCULAR MR. GENTRY FROM THE REPEATER SITE ONCE OCCUPIED BY W6XYZ.

IN 1964, FILM AND TV STAR GENE AUTRY BOUGHT THE STATION AND AMONG THE ENGINEERS HE BROUGHT IN WAS LOYD SIGMON, W6LQ. "SIG" IS PROBABLY BEST KNOWN AS THE FATHER OF THE SIGALERT TRAFFIC MONITORING AND PUBLIC ADVISORY SYSTEM.

W6LQ AND W6MEP WERE TWO OF THE BETTER KNOWN HAMS WHO HELPED KTLA AS THE STATION PIONEERED EARLY DAY TELEVISION UNDER THE GUIDING AEGIS OF KLAUS LANDSBERG.

FOR THE AMATEUR RADIO NEWSLINE, ROY NEAL, K6DUE
--

KTLA is owned these days by Tribune Broadcasting and is part of the Warner Brothers -- or W-B Television Network. More important, its history is one rich in break-through technology and innovation. Its a pioneering station that made television happen. You can read more about it in cyberspace at the website you will find in this weeks amateur Radio Newsline report.

More on the fascinating history of W6ZYZ and KTLA is in cyberspace at http://ktla.trb.com/about/station/ktla-about-history1.htmlstory (Tnx K6DUE, CGC Communicator, others)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I’m Don Wilbanks, KC5MFA, saying 73 and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

142. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 11:40:22 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211040340.209fdf1f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54379

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message > > all other NCI directors.
>
> Keep flattering yourself long as you want, Bill. NCI was not even a blip on the
> screen. An afternoon of amusement for some staffers, maybe, a break from their
> routine duties no doubt. But if you 'got what you wanted' it was purely
> coincidence. FCC had its mind made up long before you and Squiggy made fools of
> yourselves. The medical waiver fiasco was more than enough to do it. The path
> of least resistance wins everytime.

Bingo. Right on the button. Game over. Let's go home. When's the next game?

w3rv

143. Re: 73 and thanks for all the Q's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 12:39:35 UTC
From: OM <OM@OldTimer73.org>
Message-ID: <aq5pp2$ig1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 54380

Humor an old timer. I take it the term, "Q's" means
QSO?

Tnx es 73,

pre 1960 ham

Tnx = thank you, es=and
Both c.w. abbreviations were used in pre 1960 ham radio.

"K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:wQnx9.18$fn6.7413@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> From our club W0AA, I worked over a thousand stations on CW over the past
> weekend during the Sweepstakes Radiosport Contest, and only recognized 3
> rrap'ers.
>
> KB3IEO
> W4NTI
> N2EY
>
> Thanks for the points.
>
> --
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
> http://www.mnyarc.org
> http://www.k0bsa.org
>
>
>
>
>

144. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 13:06:17 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq5sdo$r6c$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54382

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0211040340.209fdf1f@posting.google.com...
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message > > all other NCI
directors.
> >
> > Keep flattering yourself long as you want, Bill. NCI was not even a
blip on the
> > screen. An afternoon of amusement for some staffers, maybe, a break
from their
> > routine duties no doubt. But if you 'got what you wanted' it was purely
> > coincidence. FCC had its mind made up long before you and Squiggy made
fools of
> > yourselves. The medical waiver fiasco was more than enough to do it.
The path
> > of least resistance wins everytime.
>
> Bingo. Right on the button. Game over. Let's go home. When's the next
game?
> w3rv

I doubt Dick wants any next game. He is still complaining
about losing the last one.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

145. Re: 73 and thanks for all the Q's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 13:20:30 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211040520.79d1bad1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54381

"K HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:<wQnx9.18$fn6.7413@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> From our club W0AA, I worked over a thousand stations on CW over the past
> weekend during the Sweepstakes Radiosport Contest, and only recognized 3
> rrap'ers.
>
> KB3IEO
> W4NTI
> N2EY
>
> Thanks for the points.
>
> --
> ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB

Makes you wonder if all the CW Proponents are really serious about CW, doens't it?

146. Re: 73 and thanks for all the Q's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 13:41:01 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC68734.F37FE192@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54383

OM wrote:

> Humor an old timer. I take it the term, "Q's" means
> QSO?

More New Age shorthand.

147. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 13:44:37 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211040544.205a0632@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54384

"Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:<gZlx9.3829$z5.2816545@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:f45722ac.0211031432.26c9d079@posting.google.com...
> > Make the exams as big as you want - as long as you can keep them
> relavent.
>
> Actually the arguments that are being used to eliminate the CW requirement
> can also be used to eliminate all but the FCC rules from the amateur tests.
> Afterall why should a person answer any questions about PSK, RTTY, Amtor,
> Packet, propagation, eletronic theory, and so on when all they ever intend
> to do is pick up a mike and talk. If CW is irrelevant so is everything else
> but the FCC rules. This is the road to complete chaos.

Really? How does a SSB signal propogate? How wide is a SSB signal?
How is it different from an AM signal? A DSB signal? How do you know
if you're still within your authorization? Safety?

Now I do agree that how long a fax images takes to transmit is
irrelavent.

> Also I have never looked down at the no coders. I encourage them to take a
> stab at upgrades including CW and would happily elmer any who wanted me to.
> Instead too many (not all) would rather wait until the requirements are made
> easier, "dumbed down". So why should I not be upset. I've offered to help
> and gotten no takers.
>
> Dee Flint, N8UZE

Dee, I have never looked down upon no-coders either. However, when I
returned to the USA in December 1991, with my "technician" license, I
was thought to be a no-coder and did take a lot of crap from
"superior" hams. And why should you be upset with no-coders? I'm
not. Lots of people don't want to jump through unnecessary and
irrelavent government hoops.

73, Brian

148. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 13:46:27 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211040546.65179101@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54385

Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:<3DC5DF69.7FB683DD@ix.netcom.com>...
> WA8ULX wrote:
>
> > >I -suspect- the FCC quit the charade concerning how relavent the code
> > >was.
> >
> > Well then the FCC should stop the Charade with written, its a bigger Joke than
> > the CW Test.
>
> One could say that that all the testing really needed would be for rules
> and regs, and electrical and RF exposure safety questions. And maybe a
> question or two to test for knowledge to understand why you shouldn't
> set your rig to 14.349 USB and transmit (the bandwidth of your signal
> will bleed over the edge of the band and you'd be out of band).
>
> But given that we are the only radio service that is allowed to use non-
> type accepted transmitters and pick which band and frequency we feel
> like using (as long as it conforms with bandplans), we should have some
> knowledge of radio matters and pass a basic test on such.
>
> What does seem a little silly is requiring a higher grade of license to
> use say 14.161 vs. 14.321 That exists mainly because it is easy to
> determine the carrier frequency a ham is using from a distant FCC
> monitoring site. It's a lot harder to determine power level. "Is he
> using a beam pointing at us?".

Good answer. Brian

149. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 13:49:07 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211040549.39dbfd1c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54386

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DC60220.5A7BC5B4@townsqr.com>...
> Robert Casey wrote:
>
> > WA8ULX wrote:
> > >
> > > >I -suspect- the FCC quit the charade concerning how relavent the code
> > > >was.
> > > >
> > > Well then the FCC should stop the Charade with written, its a bigger Joke than
> > > the CW Test.
> > >
> > One could say that that all the testing really needed would be for rules
> > and regs, and electrical and RF exposure safety questions. And maybe a
> > question or two to test for knowledge to understand why you shouldn't
> > set your rig to 14.349 USB and transmit (the bandwidth of your signal
> > will bleed over the edge of the band and you'd be out of band).
> > >
> > But given that we are the only radio service that is allowed to use non-
> > type accepted transmitters and pick which band and frequency we feel
> > like using (as long as it conforms with bandplans), we should have some
> > knowledge of radio matters and pass a basic test on such.
> > >
> > What does seem a little silly is requiring a higher grade of license to
> > use say 14.161 vs. 14.321 That exists mainly because it is easy to
> > determine the carrier frequency a ham is using from a distant FCC
> > monitoring site. It's a lot harder to determine power level. "Is he
> > using a beam pointing at us?".
>
> ......or is he running 100 watts and experiencing particularly good propagation to
> my area?
>
> So it's all a matter of decision as to what is or isn't "required" along with the
> reasons. The reason for several classes of licenses has long been the idea of
> incentives to promote learning among ham radio operators. Increased code speeds were
> for the same reason.
> Incentive licensing was an excellent idea, but wasn't so excellently implemented.
> The fallout will probably prevent any ham radio licensee from ever having privileges
> withdrawn due to rules change for as long as the memory of all the protesting lasts.
> But it was still a very good idea. To suggest otherwise is to admit that a dumbed
> down ham radio is a good thing and will be in the future. For a dumbed down ham
> radio is what we have.

Dick, I disagree that incentive licensing was a good thing, and I
disagree that the existing exams are less rigorous than the ones you

took. If anything, they are more rigorous.

73, Brian

150. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 13:54:30 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021104085430.26004.00003485@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 54387

>Lots of people don't want to jump through unnecessary and
>irrelavent government hoops.
>
>73, Brian

Good, then lets get rid of the stupid written test we now have. Im sure there is some group out there that can finish the JOB NCI started.

151. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 13:56:12 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <00vx9.10874$0x.6642@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 54388

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:aq5sdo$r6c$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net...
> I doubt Dick wants any next game. He is still complaining
> about losing the last one.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

I think we ALL lost in the last one. :-(

Too bad the FCC couldn't put it to a vote. IMHO, that would've been the truly fairest method. Poll ALL licensed amateurs as WE set the standard for OUR hobby/service. I work for a data processing co. and an election accross approx. 680,000 is not such a large feat.

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

152. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 13:57:02 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211040557.34881eeb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54389

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DC5F659.76C9B890@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DC3668E.84557C94@townsqr.com>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > Your contempt for anyone not in love with your favorite mode is what
> > > > has been documented here.
> > >
> > > You missed it again, still. My contempt is reserved for those -you're the prime
example- who blame others for their own
> > > failure to develop such a mundane skill as 13wpm code, and who sat and whined for the
better part of two decades instead
> > > of just getting it done. Somehow its always the fault of anyone but you, and you have
no idea when to stop.
> >
> > Dick, I've never sat and whined or blamed anyone else about anything.
>
> So now you don't remember. That's singularly unsurprising.

Its a singularly distinctive accomplishment.

> > I gave 13wpm my best shot and it just didn't happen.
>
> More unsurprises. When one spends all his learning time bitching about how useless what
he's "attempting" to learn it seldom
> happens.

Unfortunately, while I was learning and practicing code to increase my
copy speed, I bought in to the concept that code was good for me, that
I needed more code to be a real ham, and that I would be a failure if
I didn't achieve faster code speeds.

Those are all the principles that people like you put in my head.

> > Meanwhile, I still considered it an unnecessary and irrelevant
> > requirement. Wonder why it went away?
>
> Too many whiners like you.

All them whiners at the FCC?

> > > > That and your psk-31 failures.
> > >

> > > Ah, yes, I find and ID a shortcoming of the mode and you find it convenient to convert that to "my failure". You are
> > > hereby directed to Google to straighten yourself out in the remote chance such a thing is actually possible. I frankly
> > > don't hold out any hope.
> >
> > PSK-31 is just fine as a mode. It rate it right up there with any
> > other mode.
>
> You would. And that is the extent of your ability to compare and rate modes. More unsprising revelations.

Oh Great Karnac, you rate the modes for all us lesser hams to see.

> > I especially like the stand alone FCC exam for PSK-31
> > that, should you happen to fail it, banishes you to the novice cw
> > wastelands forever.
> >
> > But you'll never get the analogy, so more wasted words on the Dick.
>
> Oh, I get it, I got the skinny on you long ago. You'd rather sit bitching for half your life rather than go through a minor
> learning process you think "unnecessary".

I want you to learn skulling and be proficient at the olympic level.

> As a veteran of two enlistments in the military myself, I must say it is really remarkable that you made it. Must be an
> aritifact of the times. Whiners are pandered to even in the military these days.

Wow, how did my singularly distinctive service in the military find
its way into this discussion? Did you flashback to ChiComs
overrunning your position and CW saved you?

153. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 13:59:23 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211040559.f8526e0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54390

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DC5F738.741F1A58@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DC319C5.E23D318A@townsqr.com>...
> > > JJ wrote:
>
> > > > Ah, the old "I can't back up my statement so you go find it
> > > > yourself" trick.
> > >
> > > Hey, JJ, you're not the only johnny-cum-lately that has dropped into the game just
before go home time with nary a
> > > clue. It's all in google and I ain't gonna do your work for you. If you'd rather
remain clueless that's just fine by me.
> >
> > Johnny WHAT lately? Clean it up DICK.
>
> Clean up that cesspool you use for a mind.

Innocent Dick. Try "come" instead and we'll get along just fine.

154. Early 1900's spark station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 14:19:03 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC6901E.24397C9E@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54394

Any of you guys who would like to see what an early 1900's spark station looked and sounded like on the air, click this link.

http://users.ids.net/~newsm/massie.html

Dick

155. Re: 73 and thanks for all the Q's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 14:21:27 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC690AE.D7F0CA89@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54395

Brian wrote:

> "K HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:<wQnx9.18$fn6.7413@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> > From our club W0AA, I worked over a thousand stations on CW over the past
> > weekend during the Sweepstakes Radiosport Contest, and only recognized 3
> > rrap'ers.
> >
> > KB3IEO
> > W4NTI
> > N2EY
> >
> > Thanks for the points.
> >
> > --
> > ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> Makes you wonder if all the CW Proponents are really serious about CW, doens't it?

Typical comment from the airhead section. Not all of us are contesters, nor are all of us
where we have our stations
available.

By such a lamebrained remark you show your total misapprehension of the entire idea behind
knowing Morse code.

156. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 14:22:37 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC690F5.B36867B2@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54396

Bill Sohl wrote:

> "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:d22b54c3.0211040340.209fdf1f@posting.google.com...
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message > > all other NCI
> directors.
> > >
> > > Keep flattering yourself long as you want, Bill. NCI was not even a
> blip on the
> > > screen. An afternoon of amusement for some staffers, maybe, a break
> from their
> > > routine duties no doubt. But if you 'got what you wanted' it was purely
> > > coincidence. FCC had its mind made up long before you and Squiggy made
> fools of
> > > yourselves. The medical waiver fiasco was more than enough to do it.
> The path
> > > of least resistance wins everytime.
> >
> > Bingo. Right on the button. Game over. Let's go home. When's the next
> game?
> > w3rv
>
> I doubt Dick wants any next game. He is still complaining
> about losing the last one.

You're about as vacant-skulled as your buddy Slim. I lost nothing. Ham radio
lost a lot.

157. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 14:24:08 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq6002$ne1$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54397

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:00vx9.10874$0x.6642@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:aq5sdo$r6c$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net...
> > I doubt Dick wants any next game. He is still complaining
> > about losing the last one.
> >
> > Cheers,
> > Bill K2UNK
>
> I think we ALL lost in the last one. :-(

You are entitled to your opinion. I and many others clearly
disagree.

> Too bad the FCC couldn't put it to a vote. IMHO, that would've been the
> truly fairest method. Poll ALL licensed amateurs as WE set the standard
for
> OUR hobby/service. I work for a data processing co. and an election
> across approx. 680,000 is not such a large feat.

The creation, changing or deletion of FCC regulations
is NOT the sole province of already licensed amateur licensees.
Never has been, never should be, never will be.

That is no different than state laws on driver requirements.
There would be nothing fair about a "licensed hams only"
vote to determine amateur rules because it would ignore
the rest of the public that has the same "right"
to comment and be heard as someone already licensed.

As an aside, even if your proposal was done, would you "allow"
non-code tested hams to vote?

What about Novice and code tested techs
who only passed a 5wpm test?

In 1999 when the issue was open for comment,
No code tested hams plus those that only passed
a 5 wpm test constituted over 50% of ALL hams.

If the question to be voted on was elimination of 13 and 20 wpm
testing, then just what would you expect the outcome of the vote
to have been with over 1/2 of all hams not having a license
that called for 13 or 20 wpm?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

158. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 14:28:18 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC69249.B35D8DBA@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54398

Brian wrote:

> Dick, I disagree that incentive licensing was a good thing, and I
> disagree that the existing exams are less rigorous than the ones you
> took. If anything, they are more rigorous.

And you base this on vast experience as a longtime fully qualified ham, tested by the FCC, with years of administering VE exams behind you.

Shows what you know about rigor.

159. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 14:33:57 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC6939C.23AB33A5@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54399

KC8PMX wrote:

>). I do however make sure that the
> packet equipment does work, as I see that it is a great local based
> communication tool in an emergency situation.

But forget trying to use it on HF. It's virtually useless.

160. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 14:39:49 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq6163$5am$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54400

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3DC690F5.B36867B2@townsqr.com...
>
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> > "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> > news:d22b54c3.0211040340.209fdf1f@posting.google.com...
> > > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message > > all other NCI
> > directors.
> > > >
> > > > Keep flattering yourself long as you want, Bill. NCI was not even a
> > blip on the
> > > > screen. An afternoon of amusement for some staffers, maybe, a break
> > from their
> > > > routine duties no doubt. But if you 'got what you wanted' it was
purely
> > > > coincidence. FCC had its mind made up long before you and Squiggy
made
> > fools of
> > > > yourselves. The medical waiver fiasco was more than enough to do it.
> > The path
> > > > of least resistance wins everytime.
> > > >
> > > Bingo. Right on the button. Game over. Let's go home. When's the next
> > game?
> > > w3rv
> >
> > I doubt Dick wants any next game. He is still complaining
> > about losing the last one.
>
> You're about as vacant-skulled as your buddy Slim.

Always the silver-tongued commentor.

> I lost nothing. Ham radio lost a lot.

Opinion is yours, mine obviously differs.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

161. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 14:45:01 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021104094501.28999.00000135@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 54401

>Opinion is yours, mine obviously differs.
>
>Cheers,
>Bill K2UNK

Please tell us what Ham Radio has gained.

162. Re: 73 and thanks for all the Q's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 15:07:01 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <p2wx9.554$En4.64646@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54402

"OM" <OM@OldTimer73.org> wrote

> Humor an old timer. I take it the term, "Q's" means
> QSO?

"C"

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

163. Re: 73 and thanks for all the Q's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 15:08:32 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <Q3wx9.557$En4.61439@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54403

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote

>
> More New Age shorthand.
>

More Old Age grousing and grumbling?

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

164. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 15:24:20 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <Eiwx9.11643$0x.7668@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 54404

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:aq6002$ne1$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net...
>
> "Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
> news:00vx9.10874$0x.6642@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> > "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:aq5sdo$r6c$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > I doubt Dick wants any next game. He is still complaining
> > > about losing the last one.
> > >
> > > Cheers,
> > > Bill K2UNK
> >
> > I think we ALL lost in the last one. :-(
>
> You are entitled to your opinion. I and many others clearly
> disagree.

I respect your opinion too, Bill. :-)

> > Too bad the FCC couldn't put it to a vote. IMHO, that would've been the
> > truly fairest method. Poll ALL licensed amateurs as WE set the standard
> for
> > OUR hobby/service. I work for a data processing co. and an election
> > across approx. 680,000 is not such a large feat.
>
> The creation, changing or deletion of FCC regulations
> is NOT the sole province of already licensed amateur licensees.
> Never has been, never should be, never will be.

Heck, open it up to the public at large too. Those with an interest...cast
away! (Vote, that is.)

> That is no different than state laws on driver requirements.
> There would be nothing fair about a "licensed hams only"
> vote to determine amateur rules because it would ignore
> the rest of the public that has the same "right"
> to comment and be heard as someone already licensed.
>
> As an aside, even if your proposal was done, would you "allow"
> non-code tested hams to vote?

All US licensed amateurs. Absentee ballots for those residing abroad as
well. Let's be fair, right?

> What about Novice and code tested techs
> who only passed a 5wpm test?

Everybody.

> In 1999 when the issue was open for comment,
> No code tested hams plus those that only passed
> a 5 wpm test constituted over 50% of ALL hams.

Then the NCI cause has little or nothing to worry about, right?

> If the question to be voted on was elimination of 13 and 20 wpm
> testing, then just what would you expect the outcome of the vote
> to have been with over 1/2 of all hams not having a license
> that called for 13 or 20 wpm?

I'd expect the 13-wpm and/or 20-wpm requirement would be voted out, fair and square.

> Cheers,

"Hiccup!"

> Bill K2UNK

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

165. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 15:27:30 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021104102730.01247.00002796@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 54405

>Subject: Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/3/02 1:25 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021103142517.17289.00000247@mb-mr.aol.com>

>The Supreme Being did not proclaim on-off keying codings as
>any sort of "basic communications."

Nor did the Supreme Being proclaim "We believe these truths to be self evident...", however we find THAT document to be sufficient as the "basic" rules of human freedom and independence, and IT was written by men.

It's NOT necessary for God to proclaim anything/everything in order for it to be true.

>THAT's the "way" it is.

Yep...THAT is the way it is...Putz.

Steve

166. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 15:32:18 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021104103218.01247.00002797@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 54406

>Subject: Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/3/02 1:25 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021103142518.17289.00000248@mb-mr.aol.com>

>It is a recreational pursuit.

It is ALSO a recereational pursuit. It is not EXCLUSIVELY a recreational pursuit.

>If you feel that recreations and avocations must be run, regulated,
>and maintained like some military or public safety service, then
>insist on all the militia trappings of "tradition," "standards" to keep
>the past forever in the future. Form ranks, dress-right-dress, count
>cadence while in virtual uniform, marching to a common drumbeat.

You really have a way of humiliating yourself, Anderson. Wife's tying you to the bedposts not enough, eh...?

>Never once in those 55
>years since have I ever been required to know, learn, or use morse
>codings for any occupational or avocational purpose.

Never once did you have the intestinal fortitude to take an Amateur Radio examination.

Wherever it was you were, please go back there.

167. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 15:43:07 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021104104307.01247.00002798@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 54408

>Subject: Re: The Future of Packet Radio
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/3/02 1:25 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021103142516.17289.00000246@mb-mr.aol.com>

>>No I think the major problem with ham radio is whats wrong with our society.
>>Too damn many people wanting something for nothing. And for the most part
>>getting it.
>
> So, society has been "different" in the past? Nooooo.

Yesssssss...Society has made numeorous changes in the past century.
Unfortunately, not all of them good for civilization in general in all
respects.

Too bad you ween't concious for some of them.

>The thinking of this particular pro-code "society" is that everyone
>is supposed to think-enjoy-become-virtual behavorial clones to the
>"society" members.

Switch "pro-code" to snowboarders, surfers, dancers, x-boxers, etc, and
the same statement may be true of countless pursuits.

That you wish to abuse us with your insulting rhetoric as if we are the
only ones who don't want you (in particular) around is, well, rhetorical.

>That results in the insults and put-downs
> scribbled by the beepers against the NCTA.

This is cute...AND typical for you, Herr Putziness. You "disappear" (I
was hoping it was a stroke) for several weeks, then when you resurface, you
dive right in with insults and irrelevant insulting rhetoric of your own.

THEN you accuse OTHERS of "insults and put-downs". I guess you think no
one in this NG has the "vision" to see through your pathological lying and
Goebbelsesque writing style.

Whew....What an idiot.

168. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 15:43:08 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <gAwx9.45151$Mb3.2207621@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 54407

Dick Carroll, W0EX, wrote:

>> I can't wait for No Test International to start up. It's coming

Bill Sohl, K2UNK, wrote:

> Yawn, same old hollow prediction. I'll say this agin,
> as I have previously, if ANYONE petitions or otherwise
> starts an organization that wants to end ALL testing,
> I will be an active opponent of such...as will, I believe,
> all other NCI directors.

As Founder and Lord High Commander of No Test International
(NTI), I personally take umbrage at this threat from NCI.

We, the multitudinous multitudes of NTI, think that,
in 21st Century America, personal responsibility is
the premier measure of fitness to serve in any
capacity, whether it be as an Amateur Radio Operator
or as President of the United States. And there is
no higher degree of responsibility than financial
responsibility, nor one so easily determined from
external sources. Those with the greatest sense of
financial responsibility, and therefore, moral integrity,
are those who have been able to accumulate the greatest
amount of personal wealth.

Consequently, we at NTI are in the process of petitioning
the FCC to abolish all operator licensing in the Amateur
Radio Service and to issue only station licenses to
individuals. The qualifications for such station licenses
will be:

1) Net personal worth in excess of $250,000 as verified
by one of the Big Three credit-reporting firms,

2) The posting of a monetary bond in the amount of
$10,000 to facilitate the collection of forfeitures
subsequent to the issuance of NAL's by the Commission,
and

3) Ownership of a parcel of real property greater than
5 acres in contiguous area and free from C.C.& R.'s
and/or zoning restrictions to allow for the construction
of an "effective" antenna system.

We at NTI firmly believe that these licensing changes will elevate the Amateur Radio Service to the high level of excellence it so richly deserves and which has been denied it so long by the discredited social-engineering policies of Incentive Licensing, No-Code Technicians and Slow-Code Extras.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb @ arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

169. Re: Where's CAM been?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 15:59:41 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <NPwx9.636$En4.70934@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54409

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote

> Did I miss something or has Cecil totally disappeared from R.R.A.P.? I
> enjoyed his posts in the past....

Cecil is a bright fella. Perhaps he figured out he was wasting his time
here. The old "pearls before swine" deal......

Hmmmmmmmm...........

Goodbye and thanks for all the fish.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Q. Does rrap help stamp out ignorance?

A. That depends on whether by "stamp out" you mean "eliminate" or
"reproduce rapidly in great quantity."

170. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 16:07:15 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021104110715.01247.00002801@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 54410

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 11/3/02 6:35 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021103193521.21153.00001812@mb-fx.aol.com>

>Removal of code requirement for lowest licence class is a good thing, it
>definately increased membership.

The initial changes to Amateur Radio were almost exclusively within
Amatuer Radio itself. Those who took advantage of the opportunity to "upgrade"
on April 15th 2000.

Yes, there was a brief surge of new licensee's, but the "growth" of
Amateur Radio has once again stalled at some miserly single digit rate.

>One factor is the lack increase in licence
>numbers is the lack of publicity. People aren't aware of Ham Radio, so they
>dont join.

Getting people to "join" is not the problem. Amateur Radio in the United
States is bigger than it's ever been. The problem is getting them past the
"rush hour" or "honey do" traffic on 2 meters.

>Also, the majority of Hams are appliance operators.

100% of CB operators are applicance operators. 100% of Gameboy players
are appliance operators. 100% of video fans are appliance operators. So what?
Those who want to experiment with new modes/bands/techniques do it...those who
don't, don't.

>Also, when they see a bunch of appliance operators, contesters, codeword
>users,
>they believe it is some strange (and uninteresting) cult.

Oh...? So the "codewords" used by model airplane flyers makes them a
cult? The "codewords" I use in the ER make ME part of a cult? Using
"ten-codes" make police officers a cult?

Every hobby, professional pursuit, sport, etc has "codewords". Ever watch
Monday Night Football? Whew! Talk about "codewords".

>As a result, the technically savvy people you mention do not become
>interested
>and do not join.

Bravo Sierra. Lennie the Liar espouses his "remove the code and they will
come" rantings about his alleged "professional" radio buddies just waiting in
the wings to join Amateur Radio. However codeless access to over 97% of all

Amateur Radio allocations has been in place since February of 1991, yet there is no bulwark of "professional" Amateurs in the ranks.

If that "technically savvy" subset is waiting for that last 3% to be codefree, then all they have wasted is thier own opporutnities. Too bad for them.

>Why not include such activities at club meetings? Or make Field Day more
>technically oriented?

Why don't YOU make it more "technically oriented"...? YOU set up a sun-powered station. YOU set up a wind generator. If no one else wants to do it, YOU do it, but don't sit around whining about what "they" don't do....YOU do it.

>For those interested in radio direction finding, kit building, design
>projects,
>test equipment operation, troubleshooting projects, AND antenna setups, I
>propose the following new type of club. How about an Amateur Radio
>Experimenter's Club?

How about advertising in "QEX" and see what happens? Why not stand up during the "New Business" part of the next "regular" club meeting and propose exactly that?

>I have mentioned this before. I dont feel this exists in Ham Radio today.
>(Perhaps in the past). I dont feel QRP clubs qualify as it is too codeword
>using, and tends to emphacize CW.

What "codewords"...? And CW is emphasized because it is uniquely suited, along with PSK31, for very low or extremely low power operations. That's surprising?

>I am in the MA/NH area. I have several interesting project ideas, parts,
>equipment,etc. Anyone interested in this type of club?

You're in the wrong forum to get any serious or significant response. Get an ad in QST, QEX, CQ or Worldradio.

Steve, K4YZ

171. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 16:10:57 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq666q$3io$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54411

Lets let the little Democrats have their way Bruce. Drop all license requirements and go for it. Oh wait a minute....that has already been done.....think they call it CB.

Dan/W4NTI

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message news:20021104085430.26004.00003485@mb-fc.aol.com...
> >Lots of people don't want to jump through unnecessary and
> >irrelavent government hoops.
> >
> >73, Brian
>
> Good, then lets get rid of the stupid written test we now have. Im sure there
> is some group out there that can finish the JOB NCI started.

172. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 16:11:01 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021104111101.01247.00002803@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 54412

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>Date: 11/3/02 10:19 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3DC5F54C.4090402@adelphia.net>

>Just to be sure, Larry.. You don't really want to gain huge numbers of
>hams do you? I've heard the arguments, and to have the ARS "explode" in
>size kind of worries me. The total increase we have now is a little
>worrisome.

I wouldn't worry about it, Mike. When one considers that most of the repeaters are laying quiet now anyway, it couldn't be a bad thing to double the size of Amateur Radio...not that it will happen.

My bet is that even if we dropped the code test today, once we got past the first 90 day "honeymoon", we'd be right back to our single digit growth rate. I seriously doubt Amateur Radio will ever top 1M licensees and it will never see that many ACTIVE licensees. I bet that dropping the code tomorrow would see us get to 750K and top out there.

73

Steve, K4YZ

173. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 16:16:20 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211040816.7c143a3b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54413

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0211040340.209fdf1f@posting.google.com>...
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message > > all other NCI directors.
> >
> > Keep flattering yourself long as you want, Bill. NCI was not even a blip on the
> > screen. An afternoon of amusement for some staffers, maybe, a break from their
> > routine duties no doubt. But if you 'got what you wanted' it was purely
> > coincidence. FCC had its mind made up long before you and Squiggy made fools of
> > yourselves. The medical waiver fiasco was more than enough to do it. The path
> > of least resistance wins everytime.
>
>
> Bingo. Right on the button. Game over. Let's go home. When's the next game?
>
> w3rv

Revisionist History!!!

You both were mad as hell at the time and took NCI very seriously.
Now its all spilled milk and soured grapes.

You need a better cover story.

Next?

174. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 16:18:11 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021104111811.23943.00004147@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 54414

>Lets let the little Democrats have their way Bruce. Drop all license
>requirements and go for it. Oh wait a minute....that has already been
>done.....think they call it CB.
>
>Dan/W4NTI

Dan I cant wait till they start selling of 2 meters and 440, I can hear them crying now.

175. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 16:19:01 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211040819.7d1a428e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54415

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<aq4sqn$p27$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>...
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3DC5F9D2.F8F8AC30@townsqr.com...
> >
> >
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > > I expect FCC grew weary of all the protestations of NCI types, and
> caved.
> > >
> > > Interesting...so you now are crediting NCI with
> > > actually influencing the outcome. Seems like not too
> > > long ago you and others were of the opinion that
> > > the FCC made up their mind without any help from
> > > NCI type's input.
> > >
> >
> > You flatter yourself way too much. Your reference was back when the FCC
> actually
> > had funding, backing of officeholders and honest to gawd clout. This is
> the New
> > Age when no one gets their sensitivities stepped upon for any reason
> whatever.
> >
> > (re: No Code Test International)
> >
> >
> > > Yawn, same old hollow prediction. I'll say this agin,
> > > as I have previously, if ANYONE petitions or otherwise
> > > starts an organization that wants to end ALL testing,
> > > I will be an active opponent of such...as will, I believe,
> > > all other NCI directors.
> >
> > Keep flattering yourself long as you want, Bill. NCI was not even a blip
> on the
> > screen. An afternoon of amusement for some staffers, maybe, a break from
> their
> > routine duties no doubt. But if you 'got what you wanted' it was purely
> > coincidence. FCC had its mind made up long before you and Squiggy made
> fools of
> > yourselves.
>

> On what basis do you consider I (and Carl) made fools of ourselves?
> We had a most pleasant time with the FCC. Very congenial, no
> one rushed us and the questions we were asked were logical and
> to the point. If, as you appear to believe, the FCC had already
> made up their minds, then that is of little concern to me since
> the outcome was as we desired. Seems like a win to me...your
> ,ileage may vary.
>
> > The medical waiver fiasco was more than enough to do it. The path
> > of least resistance wins everytime.
>
> Quite the pessimist aren't you?
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

Odd that Dick took NCI so seriously, now he revises history and NCI
was irrelavent to the situation.

Brian

176. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 16:19:11 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq66m1$3q6$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54416

We don't need millions of hams. We need about 500000 of on the air operators. That will stop all the crabbing about 'numbers'. If 1/10 th of the presently licensed operators would fire up on one weekend a year it would make the CQ World Wide Phone contest sound like a low QRM event.

And I remember when an EXTRA license actually meant something. All it is now is.....well you fill in the blanks.

Dan/W4NTI

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:3DC5F54C.4090402@adelphia.net...
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
>
> > But...but...but...I thought we were supposed to GAIN tens of thousands of
> > eager, bright, computer-literate, technically-savvy young people when the
> > burden of high-speed code testing for a General-class license or above was
> > lifted from their shoulders! We were supposed to experience a Digital
> > Revolution in amateur radio that would give us virtual internet-like data
> > transfer capabilities, without any user fees! What happened???
>
>
> Just to be sure, Larry.. You don't really want to gain huge numbers of
> hams do you? I've heard the arguments, and to have the ARS "explode" in
> size kind of worries me. The total increase we have now is a little
> worrisome.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

177. Re: 73 and thanks for all the Q's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 16:20:59 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq66pk$t6n$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54417

Your welcome.....oh and what is wrong with VO1MP? He shows up for a very short shot then goes QRT. I got em all but Newfoundland.

Dan/W4NTI

"K&#65533;HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message news:wQnx9.18$fn6.7413@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> From our club W0AA, I worked over a thousand stations on CW over the past
> weekend during the Sweepstakes Radiosport Contest, and only recognized 3
> rrap'ers.
>
> KB3IEO
> W4NTI
> N2EY
>
> Thanks for the points.
>
> --
> &#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
> http://www.mnyarc.org
> http://www.k0bsa.org
>
>
>
>
>

178. Re: 73 and thanks for all the Q's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 16:23:06 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq675nlod1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54418

Speaking of which....I was quite impressed at the CW skills of the SS contestants this year. Very few repeats needed and some serious speeds. And if/when a ? was asked a C was understood. Who says CW is going downhill?

Dan/W4NTI

"K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message news:p2wx9.554$En4.64646@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "OM" <OM@OldTimer73.org> wrote
>
> > Humor an old timer. I take it the term, "Q's" means
> > QSO?
>
> "C"
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
> http://www.mnyarc.org
> http://www.k0bsa.org
>
>
>
>
>
>

179. Re: 73 and thanks for all the Q's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 16:24:04 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq675qlod2@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54420

Now there is something to ponder.

Dan/W4NTI

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0211040520.79d1bad1@posting.google.com...

> Makes you wonder if all the CW Proponents are really serious about CW,
doens't it?

180. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 16:27:32 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq675rlod3@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54419

Way back when packet was first allowed on HF I got on and tried it out. It sucks.

A few years later I tried it again. It sucks.

Dan/W4NTI

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:3DC6939C.23AB33A5@townsqr.com...
>
>
> KC8PMX wrote:
>
> >). I do however make sure that the
> > packet equipment does work, as I see that it is a great local based
> > communication tool in an emergency situation.
>
> But forget trying to use it on HF. It's virtually useless.
>

181. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 16:29:38 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq679i$f0l$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54421

Isn't that how the 'New Left' operates?

Dan/W4NTI

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021104104307.01247.00002798@mb-mk.aol.com...

I guess you think no
> one in this NG has the "vision" to see through your pathological lying and
> Goebbelsesque writing style.
>
> Whew....What an idiot.
>
>
>
>

182. Re: Early 1900's spark station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 16:37:50 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq686v$j30$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54422

Neato Dick.....That sound was familiar tho....think I heard it on this weeking going CQSS...hi.

Dan/W4NTI

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:3DC6901E.24397C9E@townsqr.com...
> Any of you guys who would like to see what an early 1900's
> spark station looked and sounded like on the air, click this
> link.
>
> http://users.ids.net/~newsm/massie.html
>
> Dick
>

183. Re: 73 and thanks for all the Q's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 16:48:07 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DC6A4BA.CE7B07F2@earthlink.net>
Source record: 54423

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:
>
> From our club W0AA, I worked over a thousand stations on CW over the past
> weekend during the Sweepstakes Radiosport Contest, and only recognized 3
> rrap'ers.
>
> KB3IEO
> W4NTI
> N2EY
>
> Thanks for the points.

Sorry, Hans. I'm continuing my boycott of ARRL contests over the issue
of doing away with Section News and contest line scores in QST. I'm
also withholding donations of funds for any purpose. The introduction
of the "Diamond Club" makes it likely that the boycott will continue for
a long time.

Dave K8MN

184. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 16:58:12 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DC6A710.8857C26F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 54424

Brian wrote:
>
> "Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:<gZlx9.3829$z5.2816545@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>...
> > "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:f45722ac.0211031432.26c9d079@posting.google.com...
> > > Make the exams as big as you want - as long as you can keep them
> > relavent.
> >
> > Actually the arguments that are being used to eliminate the CW requirement
> > can also be used to eliminate all but the FCC rules from the amateur tests.
> > Afterall why should a person answer any questions about PSK, RTTY, Amtor,
> > Packet, propagation, eletronic theory, and so on when all they ever intend
> > to do is pick up a mike and talk. If CW is irrelevant so is everything else
> > but the FCC rules. This is the road to complete chaos.
>
> Really? How does a SSB signal propogate? How wide is a SSB signal?
> How is it different from an AM signal? A DSB signal? How do you know
> if you're still within your authorization? Safety?
>
> Now I do agree that how long a fax images takes to transmit is
> irrelavent.
>
> > Also I have never looked down at the no coders. I encourage them to take a
> > stab at upgrades including CW and would happily elmer any who wanted me to.
> > Instead too many (not all) would rather wait until the requirements are made
> > easier, "dumbed down". So why should I not be upset. I've offered to help
> > and gotten no takers.
> >
> > Dee Flint, N8UZE
>
> Dee, I have never looked down upon no-coders either. However, when I
> returned to the USA in December 1991, with my "technician" license, I
> was thought to be a no-coder and did take a lot of crap from
> "superior" hams. And why should you be upset with no-coders? I'm
> not. Lots of people don't want to jump through unnecessary and
> irrelavent government hoops.

I might decide that paying my taxes is unnecessary and irrelevant. I
might decide that the speed limits are unnecessary and irrelevant. I
might take it into my head that the secrecy forms I signed when joing
the Foreign Service are simply government hoops.

How did you come to take "crap" from other hams? Did you walk into a
conversation with that omnipresent chip on your shoulder or were they

giving you guff about bootlegging from Somalia?

Dave K8MN

185. Re: 73 and thanks for all the Q's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 16:58:34 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211040858.22abb6f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54425

"K HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:<wQnx9.18$fn6.7413@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> From our club W0AA, I worked over a thousand stations on CW over the past
> weekend during the Sweepstakes Radiosport Contest, and only recognized 3
> rrap'ers.

Didja work n2ey? He wuz supposed to be in there.

>
> KB3IEO
> W4NTI
> N2EY
>
> Thanks for the points.
>
> --
> ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB

w3rv

186. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 17:50:56 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211040950.16e91743@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54426

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<aq3evv$q1a$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>...
> You got that right Brian. I first got on 2 AM in 1961. We had crystal
> controlled TX and VFO receive. Seperate 2m converter into the SX-111A. Tx
> was a HB jobber with a single 832a in it. Worked great into the 10 element
> fed with 300 ohm and a homebrew balun.
>

. . . Benton Harbor Lunchbox here. "Modded" coat hanger lashed to the
chimney. Worked three states with by golly. 'Course the farthest was
Delaware which is abt 15 miles away . . heh.

> Dan/W4NTI

w3rv

187. ARRL members. Vote for me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 18:13:19 UTC
From: Candidates@arrl.org
Message-ID: <9s83nd.candi.votes.3348.news@arrl.org>
Source record: 54427
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

Dear ARRL members and Nickel extra's,

I'm running for a position on the ARRL Board of Directors.
I've been a ham since 1987 and an extra class since
1989. I've always worked and wanted what is best for amateur
radio, but now I see the light. If you elect me to the ARRL Board of
Directors, I promise I'll voluntarily stick my head up my ass like all
previous and current ARRL Board members have done since 1997, and I promise to
work with my colleagues on the Board in their continuing efforts
to further dumb down amateur radio.

Thanks for your support

Ray Deo

188. Re: Fat Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 18:21:01 UTC
From: the el-cheapo ham radio op <bigfatalhohoho@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3dc6bac6@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 54428
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"bigballs" <bigballshere@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3dc5dde1$1@snipnews.snip.net...
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3DC57400.35D4A00C@mailcity.net...
> >
> >
> > AC6V wrote:
> > >
> > > Go to URL:
> > > http://www.adrenalineadventures.net/news/330.html
> > > And find nearly 20% of americans are obese
> >
> > And over half of the population is over weight.
>
> OK, so we're fat. Fine!
> Get this video and sit in the easy chair
> and eat chips and drink beer in yer
> undearwear while watchin it....!!!]
>
> click here: http://www.varmintvideos.com/
>
>

CHECK OUT THIS ONE TOO!!!!!

http://www.funny.alt-neustadt.at/Clips-General/York.mpg

189. Re: ARRL members. Vote for me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 18:21:59 UTC
From: the el-cheapo ham radio op <bigfatalhohoho@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3dc6bac7@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 54429
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave

You got my vote Ray!

Here's a funny mpeg that makes me wet
myself in my panties!

http://www.funny.alt-neustadt.at/Clips-General/York.mpg

"Ray Deo" <Candidates@arrl.org> wrote in message
news:9s83nd.candi.votes.3348.news@arrl.org...
> Dear ARRL members and Nickel extra's,
>
> I'm running for a position on the ARRL Board of Directors.
> I've been a ham since 1987 and an extra class since
> 1989. I've always worked and wanted what is best for amateur
> radio, but now I see the light. If you elect me to the ARRL Board of
> Directors, I promise I'll voluntarily stick my head up my ass like all
> previous and current ARRL Board members have done since 1997, and I
promise to
> work with my colleagues on the Board in their continuing efforts
> to further dumb down amateur radio.
>
> Thanks for your support
>
> Ray Deo

190. Re: 73 and thanks for all the Q's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 18:39:45 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <R9zx9.795$En4.88675@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54430

--
&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org
"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0211040858.22abb6f@posting.google.com...
> "K HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:<wQnx9.18$fn6.7413@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> > From our club W0AA, I worked over a thousand stations on CW over the
past
> > weekend during the Sweepstakes Radiosport Contest, and only recognized 3
> > rrap'ers.
>
> Didja work n2ey? He wuz supposed to be in there.
>
> >
> > KB3IEO
> > W4NTI
> > N2EY

No, I didn't work n2ey -- in fact I didn't even hear any stations with a
lowercase callsign. As I mentioned, I did work N2EY though.

73, de Hans, K0HB

191. Re: Fat Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 18:51:28 UTC
From: AC6V <ac6v@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <Qkzx9.76066$C53.4164398@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 54431
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

FOLKS -- MY POST HAS GOTTEN TOTALLY DISCONBOBBLED -- HERE IS WHAT HAPPENED BOTTOM LINE

Audio test sed -- Hams are obese -- his quoted poll sed 18%
AC6V sed -- National Stats AMA sez americans are 20% obese. So I asked him whats the point of his post -- giving Hams a Bad Rap and stupid stereotyping. Our stats follow the national average. I would never make a post like this -- but felt compelled to answer.
Further I sed "So What"

AUDIOTEST WROTE:

Audiotest3" <audiotest3@aol.com> wrote in message news:20021103114331.02528.00001121@mb-df.aol.com...
> Ham Radio operators tend to be fat, in a greater percentage than the general
> population. One just has to attend a random Hamfest and this would confirm
> this.
>
> I saw a survey on eham.com on fat Hams and I could not believe it.
>
> --
> Are you a FAT HAM? Calculate your BMI! (Body Mass Index) Divide your weight in
> Kilograms by your height in metres squared. eg. BMI 100/(1.8 x 1.8) = 30.86
>
> The results will make you think...
> Posted: Oct 30, 2002 (856 votes, 43 comments) by VK5LA
> Survey Results
> 18.5 or less - Underweight 1% (12)
> 18.5 - 24.9 - Normal 33% (284)
> 25.0 - 29.9 - Overweight 25% (217)
> 30.0 - 39.9 - Obese 11% (92)
> 40 or Greater Extremely Obese 7% (56)
> I hate surveys! 23% (195)
> --
> I suspect that many of those that hate surveys would be fat also. These
> results, along with visual observation, and one can conclude that a significant
> percentage of Hams are fat.
>
> Being overweight makes one less capable for emergency communications
> (regardless of test scores) activities. Here's a quote. "What I find the

most
> interesting going to various hamfests including Dayton. The amount of hams
who
> can barely get around. And these are the operators who are going to save
the
> world! "
>
> Here's another quote from a licenced Ham. "Just judging from my
observations at
> hamfests I think a higher than average number of hams are overweight.
However,
> remember that the average ham is about 60 years gold and ham radio is a
> sedentary hobby anyway."
>
> Ham Radio operators tend to be overweight. There is a logical reason for
this.
> Lack of physical exercise and poor restraint in one's diet makes one
> overweight. Spending hours on contests,nets, CW, etc requires little
physical
> activity. If one is in a hobby with little physical activity one tends to
gain
> weight. So, "active" contesters tend to be inactive.
>
> Here another quote. "I was amazed when I found out how big I'd become -
130kg!
> Most likely due to lots of sitting around operating and listening on the
radio,
> so I did some research and was quite suprised that I will need to lose
around
> 50 kilograms to get back to a normal weight. I haven't weighed that since
high
> school! But I have gone on a diet and am now getting regular exersize (
I'm
> walking 4 km a day at the moment) If your not careful, Ham radio can be
> hazardous to you health! (apologies to the fit hams out there!) Cheers!"

==========
===
I REPLIED
Go to URL:
http://www.adrenalineadventures.net/news/330.html
And find nearly 20% of americans are obese
QUOTE:The 2000 national obesity average of 19.8 percent is up from 12
percent in 1991, according to a government survey. Twenty-two states had a
rate last year of 20 percent or higher, while no state had a rate that high
in 1991, the authors said in Wednesday's Journal of the American Medical
Association.

According to the statistics you quoted only 18% of hams owned up to
obesity -- less than the national average !!!!!!

So why would you single out Amateur Radio Operators ???

Besides what the hell difference does it make ???

Take your generalizations to rec.obese.overweight -- perhaps

Rod 6'1" 71 years old and 195 lbs.

This kind of uninformed post places a bad rap on Amateur Radio -- why do you
want to do this ????
-
I Doubt, Therefore I Might Be
73 From AC6V, Rod In Oceanside, CA
http://ac6v.com
==========
THEN SOMEONE CHOPPED IT UP SO APPEARS I MADE THE FIRST POST --
I Only informed him that about 20% of the population is classified obese and
his stats showed hams about the same or a little less.

-
"the el-cheapo ham radio op" <bigfatalhohoho@aol.com> wrote in message
news:3dc6bac6@snipnews.snip.net...
>
> "bigballs" <bigballshere@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3dc5dde1$1@snipnews.snip.net...
> >
> > "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> > news:3DC57400.35D4A00C@mailcity.net...
> > >
> > >
> > > AC6V wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Go to URL:
> > > > http://www.adrenalineadventures.net/news/330.html
> > > > And find nearly 20% of americans are obese
> > >
> > > And over half of the population is over weight.
> >
> > OK, so we're fat. Fine!
> > Get this video and sit in the easy chair
> > and eat chips and drink beer in yer
> > undearwear while watchin it....!!!]
> >
> > click here: http://www.varmintvideos.com/
> >
> >
>
> CHECK OUT THIS ONE TOO!!!!!
>
> http://www.funny.alt-neustadt.at/Clips-General/York.mpg
>
>
>

>
>

192. Re: ARRL members. Vote for me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 19:31:40 UTC
From: "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus@mcn.net>
Message-ID: <wWzx9.832$En4.97182@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54432
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

Thats why I canceled my league membership!

Vote Republican.

KB7ADL

Candidates@arrl.org (Ray Deo) wrote in
news:9s83nd.candi.votes.3348.news@arrl.org:

> Dear ARRL members and Nickel extra's,
>
> I'm running for a position on the ARRL Board of Directors.
> I've been a ham since 1987 and an extra class since
> 1989. I've always worked and wanted what is best for amateur
> radio, but now I see the light. If you elect me to the ARRL Board of
> Directors, I promise I'll voluntarily stick my head up my ass like all
> previous and current ARRL Board members have done since 1997, and I
> promise to work with my colleagues on the Board in their continuing
> efforts to further dumb down amateur radio.
>
> Thanks for your support
>
> Ray Deo
>

193. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 19:54:18 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq6jbddvk1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54433

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021104094501.28999.00000135@mb-ba.aol.com...
> >Opinion is yours, mine obviously differs.
> >
> >Cheers,
> >Bill K2UNK
>
> Please tell us what Ham Radio has gained.

Odds are there are more than a handful of good new hams that might
otherwise not have become hams. Beyond that, I don't have any
need to justify.

More to the point, just what has ham radio lost?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

194. Re: ARRL members. Vote for me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 20:00:14 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021104150014.12366.00000261@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 54434

>Directors, I promise I'll voluntarily stick my head up my ass like all
>previous and current ARRL Board members have done since 1997, and I promise
>to
>work with my colleagues on the Board in their continuing efforts
>to further dumb down amateur radio.
>
>Thanks for your support
>
>Ray Deo

Way to go, you sound like a Perfect person for the ARRL job.

195. Re: Where's CAM been?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 20:15:03 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211041215.4d49d887@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54435

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:<aq5t2r81nao@enews4.newsguy.com>...
> Did I miss something or has Cecil totally disappeared from R.R.A.P.? I
> enjoyed his posts in the past....

He's all over dot antennas. Much more intelligent way to spend yer
time than is futzing around in this funny farm.

w3rv

196. Re: Where's CAM been?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 20:15:33 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211041215.35847cb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54436

"K HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:<NPwx9.636$En4.70934@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote
>
> > Did I miss something or has Cecil totally disappeared from R.R.A.P.? I
> > enjoyed his posts in the past....
>
> Cecil is a bright fella. Perhaps he figured out he was wasting his time
> here. The old "pearls before swine" deal......
>
> Hmmmmmmmm...........
>
> Goodbye and thanks for all the fish.
>
> ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB

I stumbled across him on .antenna

He appears very happy there.

197. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 20:19:05 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211041219.309b1ace@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54437

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021104085430.26004.00003485@mb-fc.aol.com>...
> >Lots of people don't want to jump through unnecessary and
> >irrelavent government hoops.
> >
> >73, Brian
>
> Good, then lets get rid of the stupid written test we now have. Im sure there
> is some group out there that can finish the JOB NCI started.

Bruce, you do that. Then you'll be labeled a lazy whiner who waits
for the gubmn't to make it easy for you, blah blah blah, even thought
you've passed all the exams anyway.

So knock yerself out.

198. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 20:22:00 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211041222.3fa7e95f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54438

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DC69249.B35D8DBA@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > Dick, I disagree that incentive licensing was a good thing, and I
> > disagree that the existing exams are less rigorous than the ones you
> > took. If anything, they are more rigorous.
>
> And you base this on vast experience as a longtime fully qualified ham, tested by the
FCC,
> with years of administering VE exams behind you.
>
>
> Shows what you know about rigor.

Poor DICK. You again appear to have forgotten that I can read. Those
darned Nuns even showed me how to use the dewey decimal system, and I
went back and looked up the licensing manual that you had available to
use back in the swell old days.

Much, much more difficult material today.

199. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 20:23:53 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021104152353.12488.00000157@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 54439

>Odds are there are more than a handful of good new hams that might
>otherwise not have become hams.

I see, so they have not made in great improvments to Ham Radio.

200. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 20:24:45 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211041224.1cd1c8c3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54440

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DC5F6EC.C7DC67D0@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DC28FCB.18DA600D@townsqr.com>...
> > > JJ wrote:
> > >
> > > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021031143726.10201.00000081@mb-ch.aol.com>...
> > > > > > >Morse code tells you as much about a person's technical abilities as
> > > > > > >does his ability to tap dance.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Lloyd:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Right -- but it tells you everything about his abilities as a capable and
> > > > > > > effective amateur radio communications provider.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > 73 de Larry, K3LT
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Reminds me of the time you created a scenario where only Morse Code
> > > > > > could save your victim. You would probably opt to die in real life
> > > > > > than accept effective amateur radio communications via repeater-talkie
> > > > > > from a "shack on his hip" no-coder.
> > > > >
> > > > > You're never gonna get it are you Slim? There are still, from time to time,
situations when nothing except
> > > > > Morse is available to use. For you that means there is nothing to use.
> > > >
> > > > Name some, not hypothetical made up situations, but real life
> > > > situations that have happened.
> > >
> > > I'm not gonna keep babysitting you guys who don't keep up or pay attention. Get on
Google and find them, they've
> > > been posted right here over the past few years.
> >
> > In other words, The Dick has no examples of life.
>
> Hans posted the proof just yesterday for you to ignore right along with the Google
archive.

> Poor fella. Maybe W3RV will see to it you get your case of pacifiers.

Yes, he said that there was this great big CW contest and you didn't show up to show your support for the mode that you insist no one can live without.

Hollow words. Hollow mind.

201. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 20:26:14 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021104152614.25604.00000302@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 54444

In article <f45722ac.0211040557.34881eeb@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
>news:<3DC5F659.76C9B890@townsqr.com>...
>> Brian wrote:
>>
>> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
>news:<3DC3668E.84557C94@townsqr.com>...
>> > > Brian wrote:
>> > >
>> > > >
>> > > > Your contempt for anyone not in love with your favorite mode is what
>> > > > has been documented here.
>> > >
>> > > You missed it again, still. My contempt is reserved for those -you're
>the prime example- who blame others for their own
>> > > failure to develop such a mundane skill as 13wpm code, and who sat and
>whined for the better part of two decades instead
>> > > of just getting it done. Somehow its always the fault of anyone but
>you, and you have no idea when to stop.
>> >
>> > Dick, I've never sat and whined or blamed anyone else about anything.
>>
>> So now you don't remember. That's singularly unsurprising.
>
>Its a singularly distinctive accomplishment.
>
>> > I gave 13wpm my best shot and it just didn't happen.
>>
>> More unsurprises. When one spends all his learning time bitching about how
>useless what he's "attempting" to learn it seldom
>> happens.
>
>Unfortunately, while I was learning and practicing code to increase my
>copy speed, I bought in to the concept that code was good for me, that
>I needed more code to be a real ham, and that I would be a failure if
>I didn't achieve faster code speeds.
>
>Those are all the principles that people like you put in my head.
>
>> > Meanwhile, I still considered it an unnecessary and irrelevant
>> > requirement. Wonder why it went away?
>>

>> Too many whiners like you.
>
>All them whiners at the FCC?
>
>> > > > That and your psk-31 failures.
>> > >
>> > > Ah, yes, I find and ID a shortcoming of the mode and you find it
>convenient to convert that to "my failure". You are
>> > > hereby directed to Google to straighten yourself out in the remote
>chance such a thing is actually possible. I frankly
>> > > don't hold out any hope.
>> >
>> > PSK-31 is just fine as a mode. It rate it right up there with any
>> > other mode.
>>
>> You would. And that is the extent of your ability to compare and rate
>modes. More unsprising revelations.
>
>Oh Great Karnac, you rate the modes for all us lesser hams to see.
>
>> > I especially like the stand alone FCC exam for PSK-31
>> > that, should you happen to fail it, banishes you to the novice cw
>> > wastelands forever.
>> >
>> > But you'll never get the analogy, so more wasted words on the Dick.
>>
>> Oh, I get it, I got the skinny on you long ago. You'd rather sit bitching
>for half your life rather than go through a minor
>> learning process you think "unnecessary".
>
>I want you to learn skulling and be proficient at the olympic level.
>
>> As a veteran of two enlistments in the military myself, I must say it is
>really remarkable that you made it. Must be an
>> aritifact of the times. Whiners are pandered to even in the military these
>days.
>
>Wow, how did my singularly distinctive service in the military find
>its way into this discussion? Did you flashback to ChiComs
>overrunning your position and CW saved you?

Brian, you may have this person confused with another, newer
radio amateur from Missouri...the one who "saved his entire
brigade (about 4000) in Vietnam" with a trusty AN/PRC-25
voice-only VHF radio.

According to Carroll's previous messages he was trained (at
Fort Monmouth, NJ) in television production as a member of the
US Army Signal Corps. He did not indicate any re-enlistment in
those messages, nor did he indicate any US Army experience
in HF communications of any kind.

When I went to the Signal School at Fort Monmouth in 1952 (before Carroll), there was no such school as "television production" nor was there any MOS for that. No "whiners" were tolerated there in 1952 nor much of the US Army up to 1956. Apparently the US Army tolerated TV whiners later?

US military draft ended on 27 Jan 73. For 29 years the US military has been entirely voluntary. One cannot say the same for morse code testing for an amateur radio license having privileges below 30 MHz. The PCTA is still "at war!" :-)

Len Anderson
Life Member IEEE
ex-RA16408336 (a volunteer when the draft was law)

202. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 20:26:16 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021104152616.25604.00000303@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 54441

In article <00vx9.10874$0x.6642@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>, "Bert Craig"
<wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> writes:

>"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>news:aq5sdo$r6c$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net...
>> I doubt Dick wants any next game. He is still complaining
>> about losing the last one.
>>
>> Cheers,
>> Bill K2UNK
>
>I think we ALL lost in the last one. :-(
>
>Too bad the FCC couldn't put it to a vote. IMHO, that would've been the
>truly fairest method. Poll ALL licensed amateurs as WE set the standard for
>OUR hobby/service. I work for a data processing co. and an election accross
>approx. 680,000 is not such a large feat.

Not logical from the FCC's regulatory task. The FCC is empowered
by law to regulate ALL US civil radio. ALL of it for approximately
300 million citizens. Much of the spectrum allocated to radio amateurs
is shared with other radio service users, some of those radio services
are government or military and are not directly regulated by the FCC.

There are several mechanisms for communicating with the US
government. The FCC issues Notices of Proposed Rulemaking or
NPRMs and invites comments from all citizens. The FCC does
consider all such comments. Reports and Orders (R&O) when
published describe many such comments. Comments on NPRMs
are public documents. Comments are not restricted to directly-
affected radio services but may include all radio service users or
citizens who are not directly affected by such NPRMs...or even
R&Os. Nothing is "secret" (as in voting), all is out in the open.
That process on regulatory decisions is about as democratic as
any law-making process can be in my estimation. It has worked
well for decades.

There is also a quasi-governmental process in establishing test
question content. For US radio amateurs this is the VEC
Question Pool Committee. All in the VEC QPC must be licensed
radio amateurs. FCC approves or disapproves questions and
answers and does not even specify a certain percentage of questions
to be about specific subjects. Relatively recent Part 97 changes
have enabled that. All the FCC requires is a total number of test
questions and the minimum number of correct questions required

to pass a written test element. The choice of questions is left up to the VEC QPC.

A democratic process has already been established in US amateur radio. No "nationwide vote" ONLY of members in a specific US radio service is required.

Restriction of "voting" would not be to democratic process principles considering that many US amateur bands are shared with other radio services, some of those being prime while amateurs are secondary users. To have a fair vote, those other radio services must also be allowed to participate in the voting.

Len Anderson
Life Member IEEE

203. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 20:26:17 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021104152617.25604.00000304@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 54443

In article <gZlx9.3829$z5.2816545@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>, "Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net> writes:

>"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
>news:f45722ac.0211031432.26c9d079@posting.google.com...
>> Make the exams as big as you want - as long as you can keep them
>relavent.
>
>Actually the arguments that are being used to eliminate the CW requirement
>can also be used to eliminate all but the FCC rules from the amateur tests.

That's not logical from the standpoint of the single government agency tasked with responsibility of regulating all US civil radio. The FCC makes the regulations...of ALL civil radio users in the USA...and those radio services must all coexist on the EM spectrum, often sharing allocated bands.

FCC amateur radio regulations give extraordinary freedom of amateur radio activity compared to all other radio services (possible exception with Part 15 devices). The FCC does NOT mandate exclusive OOK CW use on any HF amateur radio band; the only exclusive CW allocations are two small slivers of bands above 30 MHz. Radio amateurs in the USA are free to exercise their optional choice of any allocated mode.

The FCC has chosen licensing as one tool in their overall regulatory task. That overall regulatory task involves all US civil radio and the FCC must consider all radio services in establishing regulatory requirements.

>Afterall why should a person answer any questions about PSK, RTTY, Amtor,
>Packet, propagation, eletronic theory, and so on when all they ever intend
>to do is pick up a mike and talk. If CW is irrelevant so is everything else
>but the FCC rules.

No. The FCC does not mandate exclusive OOK CW use by any licensed radio amateur...therefore the rationale for continued morse testing disappears.

As long as the FCC mandates specific technical requirements on transmitters, that justifies test questions in regard to available modes and modulations. Within the limits of an amateur radio license, any US radio amateur is free to choose any allocated mode/modulation.

>This is the road to complete chaos.

Subjective opinion.

Many radio amateurs considered the establishment of Citizens
Band radio, class C and D, in 1958 to be "chaos." That was 44
years ago, two generations since. There was never any test of
any kind for CB, the initial licensing a formality for the first few
years.

>Also I have never looked down at the no coders. I encourage them to take a
>stab at upgrades including CW and would happily elmer any who wanted me to.
>Instead too many (not all) would rather wait until the requirements are made
>easier, "dumbed down". So why should I not be upset. I've offered to help
>and gotten no takers.
>
>Dee Flint, N8UZE

Having started in HF radio communications in early 1953, I've never
had any reason, requirement, or specification (for electronic design
engineering) to use or specify any OOK CW. Except for maritime
radio services within the territorial boundaries of the USA, no other
US radio service requires any morse coding proficiency. "CW" has
been on the decline for a half century. "CW" does not indicate any
proficiency in radio communications other than manual telegraphy
skills that came into communications in 1844. Radio as a com-
munication medium began in 1896, using on-off keyed codings
because that was the only way to transmit intelligence over primitive
radio.

I cannot fathom this "attachment" to an archaic human skill that can
be done by only a small percentage of humans. There is NO valid
justification for tested, demonstrated skill in morsemanship for any
radio license having privileges of operation below 30 MHz...except by
an equally-archaic ITU-R recommendation, S25.5.

Len Anderson
Life Member IEEE

204. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 20:26:19 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021104152619.25604.00000305@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 54442

In article <3DC5B02A.9F49956B@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> OOK CW has gone the way of all obsolete skills. It just dies hard
>> because a lot of many-certificated self-described "radio experts"
>> insist everyone be "as good as they" in morsemanship.
>
>Did you happen to tune a receiver to any of the CW portions of any HF
>bands this weekend, Leonard? That obsolete skill was in use by
>thousands of folks.

I did not. Why should I? "CW" skill was only demonstrated in
amateur radio this weekend.

Amateur radio is the only radio service in the USA that has any
OOK CW regularity. Other than maritime radio within the borders
of the USA, morse codings are not required by test or use in any
other radio service. Regularity is beneficial?

As Bill Sohl pointed out, many thousands of antique/classic car
owners enjoy restoring and driving their vehicles...but the regular
vehicles on the roads are modern, efficient ones.

There is a rivalry between Southern California and Arizona as to who
has the best stagecoaches...but only in the motion picture industry
(a billion dollar business in this corner of the country). Those
stagecoaches are built with care of the finest available material for
their purpose. Stagecoaches were once a mainstay of transport in
the western USA. Stagecoaches are no longer used in any public
transport. A few parks have them for amusement of a few.

There are about as many members of the Academy of Model
Aeronautics as there are in the American Radio Relay League
(about 170 thousand). The AMA has never claimed or stressed
any importance or close ties to modern aeronautics...modeling is
a hobby, a recreation involving flying, and includes contesting.

>By the way, haven't you described yourself as a radio expert hereabouts?

No. I've described myself as a professional in radio and electronics,
which is true...I just don't work regular hours at it now.

I took a two-hour test at the Chicago FCC field office to pass a First
Class Radiotelephone (Commercial) license exam in 1956 after
spending three years of active military duty at US Army HF radio

station ADA at Tokyo (ADA transmitters had 43 of them, ranging from 1 KW to 40 KW output). I've gotten paid (fairly well) as an electronics design engineer in the aerospace industry, on RF ranging from VLF on up through Ku-band. One USA patent in radio (sole inventor, assigned to RCA Corporation). Contributor and Associate Editor at Ham Radio Magazine over a five year period. I've been a hobbyist in electronics (not necessarily just radio) since 1947. I know fairly well how radio works.

Am I an "expert" in radio? No. Never claimed that. I've never taken any morse code proficiency test...therefore I cannot ever be an "expert" about amateur radio. Further, I've never had to use, or even build into any electronic design any OOK CW coding capability between 1960 to now. 42 years. No need.

Amateur radio seems to be a fun hobby involving radio. Why does Heil obviously troll with personal insinuations about "expertise"? Does he feel threatened by those with more technical knowledge and experience than he in radio? [rhetorical question]

Len Andeson
Life Member IEEE

205. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 20:27:26 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021104152726.12488.00000158@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 54445

>Bruce, you do that. Then you'll be labeled a lazy whiner who waits
>for the gubmn't to make it easy for you, blah blah blah, even thought
>you've passed all the exams anyway.
>
>So knock yerself out.
>

Why not do it, the present written is a Joke, its outdated, and serves no
usefull puropse.

206. Re: 73 and thanks for all the Q's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 20:29:01 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211041229.64fa2166@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54446

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DC690AE.D7F0CA89@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > "K HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:<wQnx9.18$fn6.7413@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> > > From our club W0AA, I worked over a thousand stations on CW over the past
> > > weekend during the Sweepstakes Radiosport Contest, and only recognized 3
> > > rrap'ers.
> > >
> > > KB3IEO
> > > W4NTI
> > > N2EY
> > >
> > > Thanks for the points.
> > >
> > > --
> > > ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >
> > Makes you wonder if all the CW Proponents are really serious about CW, doens't it?
>
> Typical comment from the airhead section. Not all of us are contesters, nor are all of us
where we have our stations
> available.

DICK, DICK, DICK. I thought that this was the mode that you could use
from anywhere, with scrabbled together odds and ends, that doesn't
even need much in the way of propagation?

And you're always prepared, right?

> By such a lamebrained remark you show your total misapprehension of the entire idea
behind knowing Morse code.

Misapprehension? Was I wrongly arrested?

207. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 22:39:06 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq6su7$3c4$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54447

I worked lots of kids with those Heath lunchbox. I got me a Heath sixer here and it still works fine.

Remember how you would call CQ for ten or more minutes then announce what part of two meters you were listening to for a call? Those old rushboxes made tuning e a s y hi. Unless you had two or more stations on the same band that is... hi.

Dan/W4NTI

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message news:d22b54c3.0211040950.16e91743@posting.google.com...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message news:<aq3evv$q1a$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>...
> > You got that right Brian. I first got on 2 AM in 1961. We had crystal
> > controlled TX and VFO receive. Seperate 2m converter into the SX-111A. Tx
> > was a HB jobber with a single 832a in it. Worked great into the 10 element
> > fed with 300 ohm and a homebrew balun.
> >
>
> . . . Benton Harbor Lunchbox here. "Modded" coat hanger lashed to the
> chimney. Worked three states with by golly. 'Course the farthest was
> Delaware which is abt 15 miles away . . heh.
>
>
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
> w3rv

208. Re: ARRL members. Vote for me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-04 22:39:47 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq6t8r$m2d$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54448

Must be a liberal too... hi.

Dan/W4NTI

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021104150014.12366.00000261@mb-fo.aol.com...
> >Directors, I promise I'll voluntarily stick my head up my ass like all
> >previous and current ARRL Board members have done since 1997, and I
promise
> >to
> >work with my colleagues on the Board in their continuing efforts
> >to further dumb down amateur radio.
> >
> >Thanks for your support
> >
> >Ray Deo
>
> Way to go, you sound like a Perfect person for the ARRL job.
>

209. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 00:19:12 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021104191912.07628.00002387@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 54449

>Subject: Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/4/02 2:26 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021104152616.25604.00000303@mb-cp.aol.com>

>Restriction of "voting" would not be to democratic process principles
>considering that many US amateur bands are shared with other
>radio services, some of those being prime while amateurs are
>secondary users. To have a fair vote, those other radio services
>must also be allowed to participate in the voting.

Lengthy diatribe on the regulatory process noted.

The comments made were relative to the regulation of the Amateur service,
NOT other services which may cohabit our bands.

>A democratic process has already been established in US amateur
>radio. No "nationwide vote" ONLY of members in a specific US
>radio service is required.

He didn't say anything about it being required, and clearly expressed it
as an opinion.

Steve

210. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 00:28:37 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021104192837.07628.00002388@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 54450

>Subject: Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/4/02 2:26 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021104152619.25604.00000305@mb-cp.aol.com>

>I did not. Why should I? "CW" skill was only demonstrated in
> amateur radio this weekend.

No it wasn't...it's demonstrated EVERY day. An active member of the
Amateur Radio service would know this. Even an unlicensed ACTIVE SWL would
know this.

>The AMA has never claimed or stressed
> any importance or close ties to modern aeronautics...modeling is
> a hobby, a recreation involving flying, and includes contesting.

But one that does NOT have a codified requirement to provide certain
services as a part of its regulatory structure. I know you like to ignore the
truth, Your Geezerness, but refer to 47CFR97.1 for this rule.

>>By the way, haven't you described yourself as a radio expert hereabouts?
>
>No. I've described myself as a professional in radio and electronics,
>which is true...I just don't work regular hours at it now.

No, you've used the word "expert" on occassions too numerous to count. A
lie, Herr Anderson...a lie.

>I took a two-hour test at the Chicago FCC field office...(SNIP)

Yet another opportunity to delve into his resume.

>Am I an "expert" in radio? No. Never claimed that.

Yes you have. Another lie in the same post.

> I've never
> taken any morse code proficiency test...therefore I cannot ever
> be an "expert" about amateur radio.

You could not be an "expert" on Amateur Radio if HPM himself tatooed his
callsign on your butt.

>Amateur radio seems to be a fun hobby involving radio. Why
> does Heil obviously troll with personal insinuations about
> "expertise"?

Ity's not a troll if it's true. You've said it then denied it hoping that
the uninformed or unaware would be impressed with your humbleness or humility.

Unfortunately you are just humiliating.

>Does he feel threatened by those with more
> technical knowledge and experience than he in radio?

Since it's you we're talking about, I doubt he does.

> Life Member IEEE

Relevant in an Amateur Radio NG...WHY...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

211. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 00:46:12 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DC714E9.A0BC1F70@earthlink.net>
Source record: 54451

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3DC5B02A.9F49956B@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> >> OOK CW has gone the way of all obsolete skills. It just dies hard
> >> because a lot of many-certificated self-described "radio experts"
> >> insist everyone be "as good as they" in morsemanship.
> >
> >Did you happen to tune a receiver to any of the CW portions of any HF
> >bands this weekend, Leonard? That obsolete skill was in use by
> >thousands of folks.
>
> I did not. Why should I? "CW" skill was only demonstrated in
> amateur radio this weekend.

Why should you? Because it might preclude your making similar false statements. All of amateur radio's modes were likely in use over the weekend but the Sweepstakes CW 'test would have provided you wall to wall signals--none of which you would have been capable of decoding without assistance.

> Amateur radio is the only radio service in the USA that has any
> OOK CW regularity.

Good thing this discussion takes place in an amateur radio newsgroup then.

> Other than maritime radio within the borders
> of the USA, morse codings are not required by test or use in any
> other radio service. Regularity is beneficial?

You can take that up in a maritime radio newsgroup.

> As Bill Sohl pointed out, many thousands of antique/classic car
> owners enjoy restoring and driving their vehicles...but the regular
> vehicles on the roads are modern, efficient ones.

Amateur CW use doesn't usually fall into the antique/classic category, though it can. Most morse communication takes places with modern, efficient equipment.

> There is a rivalry between Southern California and Arizona as to who
> has the best stagecoaches...but only in the motion picture industry
> (a billion dollar business in this corner of the country). Those

> stagecoaches are built with care of the finest available material for
> their purpose. Stagecoaches were once a mainstay of transport in
> the western USA. Stagecoaches are no longer used in any public
> transport. A few parks have them for amusement of a few.

That's fine for stagecoaches. Morse is used now by thousands on a daily
basis.
Maybe you've heard about it.

> There are about as many members of the Academy of Model
> Aeronautics as there are in the American Radio Relay League
> (about 170 thousand). The AMA has never claimed or stressed
> any importance or close ties to modern aeronautics...modeling is
> a hobby, a recreation involving flying, and includes contesting.

I know you must have a real point here somewhere.

> >By the way, haven't you described yourself as a radio expert hereabouts?
>
> No. I've described myself as a professional in radio and electronics,
> which is true...I just don't work regular hours at it now.
>
> I took a two-hour test at the Chicago FCC field office to pass a First
> Class Radiotelephone (Commercial) license exam in 1956 after
> spending three years of active military duty at US Army HF radio
> station ADA at Tokyo (ADA transmitters had 43 of them, ranging
> from 1 KW to 40 KW output). I've gotten paid (fairly well) as an
> electronics design engineer in the aerospace industry, on RF
> ranging from VLF on up through Ku-band. One USA patent in
> radio (sole inventor, assigned to RCA Corporation). Contributor
> and Associate Editor at Ham Radio Magazine over a five year
> period. I've been a hobbyist in electronics (not necessarily just
> radio) since 1947. I know fairly well how radio works.
>
> Am I an "expert" in radio? No. Never claimed that. I've never
> taken any morse code proficiency test...therefore I cannot ever
> be an "expert" about amateur radio. Further, I've never had to
> use, or even build into any electronic design any OOK CW
> coding capability between 1960 to now. 42 years. No need.

Ah ha. Yet I recall no licensed radio amateurs here calling themselves
experts in radio. I can only recall you giving them the title in
derision. Yet some of those individuals likely have as much or more
knowledge of radio than you. They are also radio amateurs.

> Amateur radio seems to be a fun hobby involving radio. Why
> does Heil obviously troll with personal insinuations about
> "expertise"? Does he feel threatened by those with more
> technical knowledge and experience than he in radio?
> [rhetorical question]
>
> Len Andeson

> Life Member IEEE

Why does Heil troll? Funny line, Len. Yours was the troll and it was you--the guy who is only interested in removing morse testing in the amateur radio service (in which you are not a participant)--who showed up with the caustic comments after frequent outpourings of your desire for civil debate. You're pathetic.

Dave K8MN

212. Re: 73 and thanks for all the Q's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 01:05:33 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211041705.49f489b7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54452

"K HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:<R9zx9.795$En4.88675@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> --
> ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
> http://www.mnyarc.org
> http://www.k0bsa.org
> "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:d22b54c3.0211040858.22abb6f@posting.google.com...
> > "K HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
> news:<wQnx9.18$fn6.7413@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> > > From our club W0AA, I worked over a thousand stations on CW over the
> past
> > > weekend during the Sweepstakes Radiosport Contest, and only recognized 3
> > > rrap'ers.
> >
> > Didja work n2ey? He wuz supposed to be in there.
> >
> > >
> > > KB3IEO
> > > W4NTI
> > > N2EY
>
> No, I didn't work n2ey

Duh, so you did. He ran a 5w K2.

-- in fact I didn't even hear any stations with a
> lowercase callsign. As I mentioned, I did work N2EY though.

Duh again.

>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

w3rv (lower case)

213. Re: 73 and thanks for all the Q's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 02:08:53 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211041808.1cccd61f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54453

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<aq675qlod2@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>...

> Now there is something to ponder.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Yes, indeed.

And DICK whines that he wasn't where his station was. And I thought
he said a CW station could be cobbled together from a chewing gum
wrapper and a singing Hallmark card.

In any case, its supposed to be real easy for any of the old timers.

214. Re: Early 1900's spark station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 02:09:25 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DC7286A.2010408@adelphia.net>
Source record: 54454

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Any of you guys who would like to see what an early 1900's
> spark station looked and sounded like on the air, click this
> link.

Thanks for the link, Dick. Thing is, I thought that the spark gaps did make a tone from the damping of the spark. I seem to recall a sound file of this at some point in the past.

Is it possible that I am confusing this with the huge alternator stations?

- Mike KB3EIA -

215. Re: 73 and thanks for all the Q's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 02:10:13 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211041810.d97ccb3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54455

"K HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:<R9zx9.795$En4.88675@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> --
> ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
> http://www.mnyarc.org
> http://www.k0bsa.org
> "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:d22b54c3.0211040858.22abb6f@posting.google.com...
> > "K HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
> news:<wQnx9.18$fn6.7413@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> > > From our club W0AA, I worked over a thousand stations on CW over the
> past
> > > weekend during the Sweepstakes Radiosport Contest, and only recognized 3
> > > rrap'ers.
> >
> > Didja work n2ey? He wuz supposed to be in there.
> >
> > >
> > > KB3IEO
> > > W4NTI
> > > N2EY
>
> No, I didn't work n2ey -- in fact I didn't even hear any stations with a
> lowercase callsign. As I mentioned, I did work N2EY though.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Chuckle.

216. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 02:15:21 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211041815.6e17796b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54456

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3DC6A710.8857C26F@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > "Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:<gZlx9.3829$z5.2816545@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>...
> > > "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> > > news:f45722ac.0211031432.26c9d079@posting.google.com...
> > > > Make the exams as big as you want - as long as you can keep them
> > > relavent.
> > >
> > > Actually the arguments that are being used to eliminate the CW requirement
> > > can also be used to eliminate all but the FCC rules from the amateur tests.
> > > Afterall why should a person answer any questions about PSK, RTTY, Amtor,
> > > Packet, propagation, eletronic theory, and so on when all they ever intend
> > > to do is pick up a mike and talk. If CW is irrelevant so is everything else
> > > but the FCC rules. This is the road to complete chaos.
> >
> > Really? How does a SSB signal propogate? How wide is a SSB signal?
> > How is it different from an AM signal? A DSB signal? How do you know
> > if you're still within your authorization? Safety?
> >
> > Now I do agree that how long a fax images takes to transmit is
> > irrelavent.
> >
> > > Also I have never looked down at the no coders. I encourage them to take a
> > > stab at upgrades including CW and would happily elmer any who wanted me to.
> > > Instead too many (not all) would rather wait until the requirements are made
> > > easier, "dumbed down". So why should I not be upset. I've offered to help
> > > and gotten no takers.
> > >
> > > Dee Flint, N8UZE
> >
> > Dee, I have never looked down upon no-coders either. However, when I
> > returned to the USA in December 1991, with my "technician" license, I
> > was thought to be a no-coder and did take a lot of crap from
> > "superior" hams. And why should you be upset with no-coders? I'm
> > not. Lots of people don't want to jump through unnecessary and
> > irrelavent government hoops.
>
> I might decide that paying my taxes is unnecessary and irrelevant. I
> might decide that the speed limits are unnecessary and irrelevant. I
> might take it into my head that the secrecy forms I signed when joing
> the Foreign Service are simply government hoops.

You might. Do so and see how far it gets you.

> How did you come to take "crap" from other hams? Did you walk into a
> conversation with that omnipresent chip on your shoulder

No, that was Larry.

or were they
> giving you guff about bootlegging from Somalia?
>
> Dave K8MN

I said "superior" hams. The guff I get about that is just from you guys.

217. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 02:16:40 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211041816.64259b14@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54457

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021104111811.23943.00004147@mb-md.aol.com>...
> >Lets let the little Democrats have their way Bruce. Drop all license
> >requirements and go for it. Oh wait a minute....that has already been
> >done.....think they call it CB.
> >
> >Dan/W4NTI
>
> Dan I cant wait till they start selling of 2 meters and 440, I can hear them
> crying now.

Who's gonna cry? The only thing on 2M are the repeater IDers.

218. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 02:20:22 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211041820.5bdf92c7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54458

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<aq6002$ne1$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>...

> The creation, changing or deletion of FCC regulations
> is NOT the sole province of already licensed amateur licensees.
> Never has been, never should be, never will be.

I think Dick and Jim have already told how inventive licensing was
foisted upon the amateur radio service by hams inside the FCC. I
think they've done enough damage to the ARS for one century.

219. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 02:26:36 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211041826.188eacc7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54459

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20021102164207.15163.00000311@mb-da.aol.com>...
>
> I'm not waiting, really...Dickie won't admit anything wrong...just
> like every other qualified master morseman won't admit they are
> wrong. Their past is not only prologue, it is de rigeur for the
> future. :-)

We're doomed.

220. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 02:28:42 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211041828.13b912f0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54460

Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> wrote in message news:<3DC2BF0F.287A2DEB@uci.edu>...
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >
> > Could be. If so, it's for all the hopeless idiots who can't learn a simple
> > thing like the Morse Code.
>
> Quite honestly, even as a code enthusiast, I think there are more
> important things to know.

Hey, Jim. Are these other important things already covered by present exams?

Brian

221. Re: ARRL members. Vote for me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 02:33:02 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211041833.7b6d786d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54461
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

Candidates@arrl.org (Ray Deo) wrote in message
news:<9s83nd.candi.votes.3348.news@arrl.org>...
> Dear ARRL members and Nickel extra's,
>
> I'm running for a position on the ARRL Board of Directors.
> I've been a ham since 1987 and an extra class since
> 1989. I've always worked and wanted what is best for amateur
> radio, but now I see the light. If you elect me to the ARRL Board of
> Directors, I promise I'll voluntarily stick my head up my ass like all
> previous and current ARRL Board members have done since 1997, and I promise to
> work with my colleagues on the Board in their continuing efforts
> to further dumb down amateur radio.
>
> Thanks for your support
>
> Ray Deo

Ray, not nice. Come up with something substantive - like Dave Heil
has - and more people will pay attention.

222. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 02:47:48 UTC
From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net
Message-ID: <aq7bgk$1tdf$1@heap.juniper.net>
Source record: 54462

Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:
>>As of October 31, 2002:
>>
>>Novice - 36,506 (decrease of 12,823)
>>Tech - 246,075 (increase of 40,651)
>>Tech Plus - 74,842 (decrease of 54,415)
>>General - 139,423 (increase of 26,746)
>>Advanced - 84,534 (decrease of 15,248)
>>Extra - 102,975 (increase of 24,225)
>>Total - 684,355 (increase of 9,563)
>>
>>This total is close to, if not higher than, the previous high number.
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Jim:
>
>But...but...but...I thought we were supposed to GAIN tens of thousands of
>eager, bright, computer-literate, technically-savvy young people when the
>burden of high-speed code testing for a General-class license or above was
>lifted from their shoulders!

Note that if the average ham keeps his license for 40 years before tiring of it or kicking the bucket (the 40 year number is the best match for the rate of license expiries observed in the AH0A numbers), then it requires more than 1400 new licensees per month just to keep the total number of hams from shrinking. In the period since restructuring the ARS has been attracting new hams at slightly higher than this rate. Almost 50,000 of those 684,355 hams, or about 7% of the total, obtained their license after restructuring, so there have indeed been "tens of thousands" of new hams gained.

Unfortunately the more interesting question, which is what the 50,000 number would have been had the high-speed code tests not been removed, is impossible to answer with certainty. One can only speculate.

> We were supposed to experience a Digital
>Revolution in amateur radio that would give us virtual internet-like data
>transfer capabilities, without any user fees! What happened???

I think they only restructured licensing and license requirements, but not physics. Whether the end result of restructuring on technology used by amateurs turns out better or worse than it would have been without restructuring is, however, another of those questions whose answer cannot be known. You need to know two outcomes to make a comparison, but we'll

only know the outcome with restructuring.

Dennis Ferguson

223. Re: Where's CAM been?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 03:07:46 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021104220746.17289.00000289@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 54463

In article <NPwx9.636$En4.70934@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:

>"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote
>
>> Did I miss something or has Cecil totally disappeared from R.R.A.P.? I
>> enjoyed his posts in the past....

He likes antennas better these days. Check out rraa
>
>Cecil is a bright fella. Perhaps he figured out he was wasting his time
>here. The old "pearls before swine" deal......

>Hmmmmmmmm...........
>
>Goodbye and thanks for all the fish.

It's "so long and thanks for all the fish"

>ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
>--
> Q. Does rrap help stamp out ignorance?
>
> A. That depends on whether by "stamp out" you mean "eliminate" or
> "reproduce rapidly in great quantity."

HAW!

73 es "don't panic" de Jim, N2EY
>

224. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 03:23:16 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC747EA.5F5E1EC6@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54464

Brian wrote:

> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DC5F6EC.C7DC67D0@townsqr.com>...
> > Brian wrote:
> >
> > > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DC28FCB.18DA600D@townsqr.com>...
> > > > JJ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021031143726.10201.00000081@mb-ch.aol.com>...
> > > > > > > >Morse code tells you as much about a person's technical abilities as
> > > > > > > >does his ability to tap dance.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Lloyd:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Right -- but it tells you everything about his abilities as a capable and
> > > > > > > > effective amateur radio communications provider.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > 73 de Larry, K3LT
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Reminds me of the time you created a scenario where only Morse Code
> > > > > > > could save your victim. You would probably opt to die in real life
> > > > > > > than accept effective amateur radio communications via repeater-talkie
> > > > > > > from a "shack on his hip" no-coder.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > You're never gonna get it are you Slim? There are still, from time to time,
situations when nothing except
> > > > > > Morse is available to use. For you that means there is nothing to use.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Name some, not hypothetical made up situations, but real life
> > > > > situations that have happened.
> > > > >
> > > > I'm not gonna keep babysitting you guys who don't keep up or pay attention. Get on
Google and find them, they've
> > > > been posted right here over the past few years.
> > > >
> > > In other words, The Dick has no examples of life.

> >
> > Hans posted the proof just yesterday for you to ignore right along with the Google archive.
> > Poor fella. Maybe W3RV will see to it you get your case of pacifiers.
>
> Yes, he said that there was this great big CW contest and you didn't
> show up to show your support for the mode that you insist no one can
> live without.

That isn't what I referred to and you SHOULD know it, assuming your kids aren't doing your reading for you (good
possibility). He recited a case where he and others in his area used CW on the air during an emergency when other modes
couldn't handle the job. But you always ignore reality.

>
>
> Hollow words. Hollow mind.

Don't you ever get tired of all the echos?

225. Re: Early 1900's spark station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 03:34:39 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC74A95.C5DD7F16@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54465

Mike Coslo wrote:

> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > Any of you guys who would like to see what an early 1900's
> > spark station looked and sounded like on the air, click this
> > link.
>
> Thanks for the link, Dick. Thing is, I thought that the spark gaps did
> make a tone from the damping of the spark. I seem to recall a sound file
> of this at some point in the past.
>
> Is it possible that I am confusing this with the huge alternator stations?

I believe you are. The Andresson (sp?) generators (one is still fired up on occasion to make commemorative transmissions on LF) actually ran at a radio frequency, if I got it right, while the spark rigs used a tuned circuit to resonate the energy contained in the spark that occurred in the region of the tuned circuit's resonant frequency. That accounts at least in part for why they were so broad. The bandwidth would have been limited partly by the Q of the tuned circuit.
There were also more than one type of spark transmitter, and they didn't all sound alike.
Mind you, I'm certainly no authority on spark. Just read some on the subject. :-)

Dick

226. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 03:46:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DC73F43.AB0D39FF@earthlink.net>
Source record: 54466

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DC6A710.8857C26F@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:

> > > Dee, I have never looked down upon no-coders either. However, when I
> > > returned to the USA in December 1991, with my "technician" license, I
> > > was thought to be a no-coder and did take a lot of crap from
> > > "superior" hams. And why should you be upset with no-coders? I'm
> > > not. Lots of people don't want to jump through unnecessary and
> > > irrelavent government hoops.
> >
> > I might decide that paying my taxes is unnecessary and irrelevant. I
> > might decide that the speed limits are unnecessary and irrelevant. I
> > might take it into my head that the secrecy forms I signed when joing
> > the Foreign Service are simply government hoops.
>
> You might. Do so and see how far it gets you.

...about as far as your whining about code testing has gotten you here.
The principle is the same. You simply decide which regulations strike
you as unnecessary or irrelevant, place chip on shoulder, strike a pose
and dare anyone to knock the chip off.

> > How did you come to take "crap" from other hams? Did you walk into a
> > conversation with that omnipresent chip on your shoulder
>
> No, that was Larry.

Oh, you walked into a conversation with Larry while wearing your chip.

> > or were they
> > giving you guff about bootlegging from Somalia?

> I said "superior" hams. The guff I get about that is just from you guys.

So you wisely decided on damage control by keeping mum on the Somalia
stuff after finding out that the "superior" hams weren't impressed by
you?

Dave K8MN

227. Re: Where's CAM been?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 03:48:01 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DC73F85.8070200@adelphia.net>
Source record: 54467

Brian wrote:

> "K HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:<NPwx9.636$En4.70934@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
>
>>"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote
>>
>>
>>>Did I miss something or has Cecil totally disappeared from R.R.A.P.? I
>>>enjoyed his posts in the past....
>>>
>>Cecil is a bright fella. Perhaps he figured out he was wasting his time
>>here. The old "pearls before swine" deal......
>>
>>Hmmmmmmmm...........
>>
>>Goodbye and thanks for all the fish.
>>
>>ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
>>
>
> I stumbled across him on .antenna
>
> He appears very happy there.
>

Yup. There are only three topics here. Morse Code, Character
assassination related to Morse code, and lately the strange general
character assassination of a group who just plumb hate each other.

I pay a little attention to the group, but it's just because hope springs
eternal. Perhaps there will be something really relevant to policy here.
But I've been dissapointed.

On the same topic, whtever happened to "RFI" our ARRL connection? Maybe
he gave up on the group too.

- Mike KB3EIA -

228. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 03:58:21 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DC741F2.2000507@adelphia.net>
Source record: 54468

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> Why don't YOU make it more "technically oriented"...? YOU set up a
> sun-powered station. YOU set up a wind generator. If no one else wants to do
> it, YOU do it, but don't sit around whining about what "they" don't do....YOU
> do it.

Steve, I think the reason Mr Vshah doesn't do this is that (I strongly suspect) he isn't a ham. I've asked point blank if he was, and get no reply.

And if he is, you question is very well put. No one is keeping him from doing this. I suggest reading some books, doing a little surfing on homebrewing, and then letting 'er rip.

- Mike KB3EIA -

229. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 04:03:49 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DC74336.2000709@adelphia.net>
Source record: 54469

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

>>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>Date: 11/3/02 10:19 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <3DC5F54C.4090402@adelphia.net>
>>
>
>>Just to be sure, Larry.. You don't really want to gain huge numbers of
>>hams do you? I've heard the arguments, and to have the ARS "explode" in
>>size kind of worries me. The total increase we have now is a little
>>worrisome.
>>
>
> I wouldn't worry about it, Mike. When one considers that most of the
> repeaters are laying quiet now anyway, it couldn't be a bad thing to double the
> size of Amateur Radio...not that it will happen.
>
> My bet is that even if we dropped the code test today, once we got past
> the first 90 day "honeymoon", we'd be right back to our single digit growth
> rate. I seriously doubt Amateur Radio will ever top 1M licensees and it will
> never see that many ACTIVE licensees. I bet that dropping the code tomorrow
> would see us get to 750K and top out there.

Probably correct, Steve. Let's face it, there will probably never be a
large segment of the population who ever even think about becoming hams.
It just isn't that sort of hobby. Not that that is a bad thing.

At this point, it might be interesting if Jim could get us some idea of
number of Hams as a percentage of total population. Care to chime in, Jim?

- Mike KB3EIA -

230. Re: Early 1900's spark station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 04:06:28 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DC743DA.1030503@adelphia.net>
Source record: 54470

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Any of you guys who would like to see what an early 1900's
> spark station looked and sounded like on the air, click this
> link.
>
> http://users.ids.net/~newsm/massie.html
>
> Dick
>
>

I wonder what speed a person could manage on that key? I presume that the sound was made with the key, and once you got past the CQ, it was pretty rough.

- Mike KB3EIA -

231. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 04:30:18 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021104233018.11198.00002008@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 54471

>If packet allowed more speed, and possibly better file sharing, maybe it
>would serve more of a purpose, but about the only good use I see is simple
>text messages at an incredibly slow rate in comparision to what is now being
>done in wireless networking etc.

Does wireless networking reliably cover paths of tens of miles from one TX/RX site to another? Packet does. Signal strengths that are below useless for FM/SSB voice can still carry packet messages with perfect copy. Packet is a perfect low-tech communications solution for emergency situations -- just like CW.

73 de Larry, K3LT

232. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 04:31:44 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021104233144.11198.00002010@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 54472

>KC8PMX wrote:
>
>>). I do however make sure that the
>> packet equipment does work, as I see that it is a great local based
>> communication tool in an emergency situation.
>
> But forget trying to use it on HF. It's virtually useless.

Dick:

Perhaps if more people were using packet on HF, it wouldn't be so useless!

73 de Larry, K3LT

233. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 04:49:37 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021104234937.26452.00001133@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 54473

Its been a while since Lance Bass has "been a Ham."

How come Ham Radio membership did not increase due to this celebrity? And how come Lance dosn't proclaim he is a Ham? Its not in the news.

Guess that the idea that this was supposed to increase the number of young Hams is now stale.

234. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 05:01:37 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <usek5tqrm7bd3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54474

I don't know why it should. The in thing now is something for nothing. Why study when you can buy one of those 'export' radios with all the uppers and lowers. No wonder so few folks buy ssb rigs. Despite the superiority of ssb over am, that danged 'clarifier' sure is tough to learn - and who wants to learn?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message news:20021104234937.26452.00001133@mb-cq.aol.com...
> Its been a while since Lance Bass has "been a Ham."
>
> How come Ham Radio membership did not increase due to this celebrity? And how
> come Lance dosn't proclaim he is a Ham? Its not in the news.
>
> Guess that the idea that this was supposed to increase the number of young Hams
> is now stale.

235. Re: Early 1900's spark station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 05:23:12 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC755C0.3175C27F@mailcity.net>
Source record: 54479

Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > Any of you guys who would like to see what an early 1900's
> > spark station looked and sounded like on the air, click this
> > link.
>
> Thanks for the link, Dick. Thing is, I thought that the spark gaps did
> make a tone from the damping of the spark. I seem to recall a sound file
> of this at some point in the past.

The rotating spark gap could produce a rough tone.

236. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 05:30:05 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DC7575D.14311E0D@mailcity.net>
Source record: 54480

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote
>
> > I don't know why it should. The in thing now is something for nothing.
>
> Bullshit!!!!

No, you can't even get that for nothing anymore.

237. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 06:00:23 UTC
From: K<unk>HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <X7Jx9.1657$En4.187554@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54475

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote

> I don't know why it should. The in thing now is something for nothing.

Bullshit!!!!

<unk><unk><unk> 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

The following, written by a wise ham, is about 4-5 years old,
but it bears repeating here.

Enjoy

73, de Hans, K0HB

---Begin Forwarded message---

I am an Extra. I was proud of it. I had
a certificate on the wall issued by the FCC to prove it. Then
in 1968 along cam incentive licensing. That was in a previous life,
because in 1968 I died and became a bigot. I thought that incentive
licensing was the final acchievement, the culmination and triumph
of my being a better radio ham than lowly generals or conditionals.

I gloated with glee when the generals were kicked out of half of each
band. I gloated that I could now operate among my own peer group
on 14, 205. I even could gloat of my linear amplifier and my
102 ft tower that made my signal something to be reckoned with. I
won the CQ WW WPX contest (for Alaska) one year. Oh, man, I was
on top.

But in 1996, folks, the fellows from the local club came to me.
Ramon, help us out. You are an Extra. We need a VE. So I said
okay, I'll go see what these lowlifes are up to and put an end to
this nonsense.

But, it didn't work out that way. I was converted. I saw the light.
I saw that these guys weren't low lifers, they wanted to be HAMS.
I had to recognize that you can't really build the equivalent of
a TS-450. In the old days, CW was the state of the art, you mostly
built your gear. CW testing was appropriate. So I said to myself,
lets hold your tongue and see what these guys are up to. So the club
went on to create a whole new generation of radio hams. These guys

are good. They are polite, they say "roger" and not "10-4 good buddy" They say "Is this frequency in use, or is anyone listening on the repeater, instead of "breaker-breaker 19". In other words, these guys CARED for ham radio, its traditions and its values. They wanted to BELONG.

Okay, I said, lets go ahead and get the exams from the Anchorage VEC and put them to the test. Sure enough, they got licensed and are now on the air. Their calls are a giveaway, like WL7CSG, but by golly, you couldn't tell them apart from a real ham otherwise. And why can't you? Because they ARE real hams.

What about the code? Well, like homebuilding it has its time and place. Nowadays a TS-450 costs the same as an old DX-100, or BC-610 or some old time monstrosity. These guys want to get on the air and enjoy the hobby. Go moose hunting, fishing, picnicking and keeping in touch with the crew back in town or over the next hill. Sounds no different than me! Must be real hams. Many have expressed interest in HF. They know the code is a requirement. And they wonder why. They do not intend to use CW. Why take a morse test? The FCC does not give a public speaking test before they allow you to operate on 20 ssb. Why not? Because at the time the test was devised CW was the principal mode, phone was an oddity for experimenters, and the FCC tested in appropriate areas.

But somewhere along the line this principle became corrupted. It has become a symbol of elitism, bigotism, intolerance. And the saddest part is I am a CW man. I like being on 14,005. I like to chase CW DX. But browbeating some one else for what I like is not proper. No sir. Lets test what needs to be tested, and not test what does not need to be tested. Have no fear of the no coders, for they cannot intrude in the cw subbands unless they know the code.

Now if someone was proposing to do away with CW, or eliminate the separation of the various incompatible modes to the detriment of CW, then I think that by golly, I would deem that very prejudicial, elitist, or bigoted and LOUDLY would I protest. But the Code TEST is a different thing altogether. It bears no relationship to what goes on in the cw bands. We did not learn the code at the FCC office. We learned it at home or, in my case, at an old man that was my elmer. When I took my code test for novice in 1959 I was qualified to operate CW. If there had been no use for CW, I think that with a bit different training I could have been competent to operate on 20 meters a.m. phone. But I was trained on CW. That was the core of amateur radio back then. AS a young child I ran wires all over the neighborhood and hooked up telegraph keys and sounders to other similarly minded kids homes. Lots of fun. But today, CW is not what the public expects of amateur radio. Times have changed. I have changed. I have seen the light.

And as for my Extra class license; all of a sudden I am not ashamed of it anymore, because a few months after my eyes were open I realized

that was not a prejudiced s.o.b. upper-class-bigoted-elitist Extra. No sir. I was instead a VE, a teacher, a person around which people gathered to learn from. Sure, I am human, I love the attention and probably some praise, but my attitude about me being BETTER just vanished. Better is relative.

The cycle of life goes on forever. Babies are born, old men die. The torch passes on. The teenager that we are so sure just "doesn't have it" "or it was different in my day" soon enough becomes the daughter of my grandchild. Soon enough she is 28. I am an old man. Soon enough I will die. And I sure do not want people to think "thank god that old idiot died". No, I want them to say, "my teacher, my Elmer died. I learned a lot from him. And when his time comes, and we as a hobby have evolved into maybe faster than light commo to the stars, using some exotic techniques and modes, the PRINCIPLE will still exist and carry on.

Do we make fun of Marconi because he implemented the coherer detector and the spark transmitter? (I do not say invented). Do we make fun because Sir Hiram Percy Maxim, W1AW, used a spark set? Of course not. In fact, those guys were brave souls at the cutting edge of technology. Think of it: the cutting edge of technology! Things have changed folks, lets get on the bandwagon.

1. Eliminate the code test.
2. Retain cw sub bands.
3. Eliminate incentive licensing.
4. Institute just two license classes. Entry level or apprentice, and full amateur with ALL privileges including the right to operate CW on the cw bands (if he learns how). This is not to create an elite crust, but to provide for an easy entry step.
5. Educate, and maybe test, our new hams in radio operating, ham traditions and values, on the air etiquette, radio lore, and maybe even the dreaded Order of the Wouff Hong! Or the Retisnitch.
6. Lets make each new ham someone we can be proud off, and say, "Hey, he is coming right along!"
7. Lets take over the ARRL from within and make it a society that all hams can be proud to belong to. Let them represent all hams, not just the members. Lets change the system from within. Lets get rid of the creators of incentive licensing.

And let us remember, that upon creation of the incentive licensing program, the Extra Class did not get ONE SINGLE kilocycle of spectrum space, or one single KC of phone band. No sir, what they did is took the existing generals and told them to get out of this portion of the band while us extras enjoy the new freedom from associating with the likes of you.

8. I am not sure yet, haven't made up my mind, but I think that this Vanity call sigh program is aptly named. Vanity. The FCC has always issued call signs in sequential blocks. Started with 1AA in 1922, then W1AA in '27 and now they are up to KD4

or some such. And hams dearly love their calls. Mine is shorter than yours because (insert your favorite excuse here). I am starting to think that this vanity call sign is somehow...smelly. What if the FCC made ALL ham calls 2 x 3, like WA6MNV, and then issued the calls at random. Maybe you and I take the license test same day, I get WA6MNV, you get KD6URL, and a week later the next new ham gets WA6AEF. It would just be the luck of the draw, a totally random, non-elitist call sign program, computer generated etc. No one could say, I am better, or have more years in service, or have done this on that, and that is why I am AL7X and you have the lowly call of WA6VSE or WL7CSG. This program would entail all brand new calls for everyone. Everyone. AT random. It would generate an instant protest, I am sure, but once done, its a done deal and a whole bunch of squabbling will end.

Just thinking about the above call sign deal, but you can see where I am leading to. A society where all hams are equal, and one that is blind to age, years as a ham, or the holder of a level of license class that is "better" and has "a better call" than that "low life no code over there".

If you think that is extreme, be assured that in the 1950's the W x 3 calls, like W2FCJ started running out (as a novice he would have been WN2FCJ). Anyway, the FCC got to W2ZZZ, and call area by call area went to the K calls. Formerly K calls were overseas territories, like K4 for Puerto rice, K7 for Alaska. The K x 2 calls like K2GM were mainland us calls, only K x 3 were territories. But the FCC freed this block of calls, by assigning KP4, KH6, KL7 etc to territories, and they started again all over with K2AAA until those were done with. Now, in the late 50's, the W2's had prejudice against the K2's. Remember when Dorothy, K2MGA worked or ran CQ magazine. Big furor. "She is a K2 not a W2!" Already the seeds of elitism had been planted.

There IS a place for elitism. You are elite, when your fellow hams look UP to you, and admire you, and want to be like you. As a teacher, a fine example. But a short call, an Extra Class license, 40 years as a ham, or blind, egotistical pride does not automatically make a person "Elite". That should be a status earned by each individual according to his own performance in society. Hiram Percy Maxim W1AW was not "elite" because he had a short call, one with the letter after number being A. And he was not elite because he was president and founder of ARRL, or because he wrote the Rotten QRM and other articles on Lids and poor operating practices. No sir. He was elite because he earned the instant respect of ALL amateurs when he was instrumental in getting allocations for ham operators from a government (navy) that wanted to keep it to themselves and control it, never share, and prohibit hamming. Now THAT is what he did, and he gave us all a wonderful gift, and in 30 years may

his work still be admired and be fruitful.

This attitude that "I passed a 13 wpm, or I passed a 20 wpm
code test, so I am better than you no code low life" has got
to stop right_now! There is, after all, an easy answer. Say
in my case, I became an extra in 1962 or 63 when the license
first became available. The test was all on a.m. phone, cw and
things like that. All gear was strictly tubes. But a new
ham in 1996 could rightfully say to me, and he would be 100%
correct in saying "So what Ram�n. 20 WPM, gee, that is good,
but is it relevant? I just took, and passed a test on
solid state rigs, VLSI chips, digital techniques, frequency
synthesizers, narrow band FM, SSTV television and beam antennas."

So, as far as a TEST goes, who is the better ham? Me, because
I took a 20 WPM test and a written on topics like heising
modulation, rf ammeters, and splatter on a.m. transmitters?
Or is he the better ham because his test was on the cutting edge
of the amateur radio art, even if it was no-code.

So think about this. In 1962 I took the prescribed test. It
was on techniques appropriate to THAT era. He took his test in
1996, and his test reflects THIS era, just like the test in
the year 2046 will have questions totally inconceivable to us
right now.

Maybe I am the poorer ham. After all, an old geezer with
obsolete knowledge. Now of course, I could say, but wait! I
have followed the radio art. I repair solid state 2-way
commercial radios, I am a computer nerd. I even build
plug in cards for my computers here (and some even WORK).
BUT all that is moot, because friends, we are talking about
tests, not what you know 30 odd years after the test.

So each of us is a full blown ham, and each one has proved his
worth by taking the latest version of the ham test at the
time it was given. He is a ham. So am I. He is proud of it.
I am proud of mine. But I am also happy and proud for HIM,
because instead of whining, complaining, etc., he buckled down
studied and passed this 1996 test. So he has proven his
commitment to the process of becoming a ham.

And that folks is that counts and what sets hams apart from
CB'ers who only follow the rule of instant gratification.

So when we say we must keep the code test, we have one word too
much in there. We should keep testing, yes, to prove the
commitment the individual has to ham radio. But to say that
Code, or for that matter a Triode Push Pull R.F. amplifier
with link coupling and neutralization is somehow better than
one on a DDS frequency synthesizer is totally ridiculous. Its
comparing apples to oranges. And I pray that in the year 2046

the test will be appropriate to that age and time, and that my great grandchildren will also sweat the test, spending countless hours studying and visiting the elmers, and finally get the ticket one October morning, and fire up their Franistat set, and work Rigel 6 on the first call! Now we are talking, fellows. Lets drop the narrow view and get this hobby of ours off its arse and moving ahead!

Ramon, AL7X. VE, CW man. Pro no-code. Anti incentive licensing. Proud of the hobby. Lets see it move forward. Respect all modes and facets.

238. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 06:22:09 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aq94d041dit@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54302

Even if I chose to send in the morse code and general CSCE's, I still cannot operate HF as I do not have any equipment at this point for those frequencies, probably won't for quite some time......

PSK31 seems to have more usage around the area, but do not see anyone using it in the VHF/UHF+ ranges, as there is not much equipment out there other than FM, except for that RCI 6 meter rig..... Unless ya buy a multi-bander/do-everything rig.

Cheers,
--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3DC6939C.23AB33A5@townsqr.com...
>
>
> KC8PMX wrote:
>
> >). I do however make sure that the
> > packet equipment does work, as I see that it is a great local based
> > communication tool in an emergency situation.
>
> But forget trying to use it on HF. It's virtually useless.
>

239. Re: Where's CAM been?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 06:24:32 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aq94d151dit@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54303

I see... I just seemed to enjoy seeing yet another perspective on the topics discussed here.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message news:NPwx9.636$En4.70934@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote
>
> > Did I miss something or has Cecil totally disappeared from R.R.A.P.? I
> > enjoyed his posts in the past....
>
> Cecil is a bright fella. Perhaps he figured out he was wasting his time
> here. The old "pearls before swine" deal.....
>
> Hmmmmmmmm.....
>
> Goodbye and thanks for all the fish.
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Q. Does rrap help stamp out ignorance?
>
> A. That depends on whether by "stamp out" you mean "eliminate" or
> "reproduce rapidly in great quantity."
>
>
>
>
>
>

240. Re: Where's CAM been?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 06:25:04 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aq94d261dit@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54301

Gonna have to resubscribe to that one....

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

>
> > ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> I stumbled across him on .antenna
>
> He appears very happy there.

241. Re: Where's CAM been?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 06:33:04 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aq94d471dit@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54304

I don't know if I would say that.... there is clearly a few idiots, but there are some good people here too. From time to time I get enough of value to continue to download the messages from this newsgroup into Outlook Express....

I kinda sorta see this group as a family..... albeit dysfunctional at times, but for the most part we get along.
For example, Larry Roll.... I don't hate or dislike him. I may not agree with him about certain things, and as well, he and I might actually agree on certain things too.... After all, on newsgroups, all we are, is just FONTS. I will make my own decisions about people here after meeting them, and talking with them for a bit. Text does not cut it as an all-inclusive decision maker for me..... Either way, newsgroups are more of an entertainment source for me, as I can peruse them while at work, and once in a while, I might find something worthwhile to learn too! (Never take sh*t on here toooooo serious, it is not worth it.)

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0211041215.4d49d887@posting.google.com...
> "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:<aq5t2r81nao@enews4.newsguy.com>...
> > Did I miss something or has Cecil totally disappeared from R.R.A.P.? I
> > enjoyed his posts in the past....
>
>
> He's all over dot antennas. Much more intelligent way to spend yer
> time than is futzing around in this funny farm.
>
> w3rv

242. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 06:54:04 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC7794F.C1F2AD1A@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54476

The man's living in a utopian dream world. His wistful rant is loaded
with, as you say,

" BULLSHIT!"

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:

> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote
>
> > I don't know why it should. The in thing now is something for nothing.
>
> Bullshit!!!!
>
> ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
> http://www.mnyarc.org
> http://www.k0bsa.org
>
> The following, written by a wise ham, is about 4-5 years old,
> but it bears repeating here.
>
> Enjoy
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> ---Begin Forwarded message---
>
> I am an Extra. I was proud of it. I had
> a certificate on the wall issued by the FCC to prove it. Then
> in 1968 along cam incentive licensing. That was in a previous life,
> because in 1968 I died and became a bigot. I thought that incentive
> licensing was the final acchievement, the culmination and triumph
> of my being a better radio ham than lowly generals or conditionals.
>
> I gloated with glee when the generals were kicked out of half of each
> band. I gloated that I could now operate among my own peer group
> on 14, 205. I even could gloat of my linear amplifier and my
> 102 ft tower that made my signal something to be reckoned with. I
> won the CQ WW WPX contest (for Alaska) one year. Oh, man, I was
> on top.
>
> But in 1996, folks, the fellows from the local club came to me.
> Ramon, help us out. You are an Extra. We need a VE. So I said
> okay, I'll go see what these lowlifes are up to and put an end to

> this nonsense.
>
> But, it didn't work out that way. I was converted. I saw the light.
> I saw that these guys weren't low lifers, they wanted to be HAMS.
> I had to recognize that you can't really build the equivalent of
> a TS-450. In the old days, CW was the state of the art, you mostly
> built your gear. CW testing was appropriate. So I said to myself,
> lets hold your tongue and see what these guys are up to. So the club
> went on to create a whole new generation of radio hams. These guys
> are good. They are polite, they say "roger" and not "10-4 good
> buddy" They say "Is this frequency in use, or is anyone listening
> on the repeater, instead of "breaker-breaker 19". In other words,
> these guys CARED for ham radio, its traditions and its values. They
> wanted to BELONG.
>
> Okay, I said, lets go ahead and get the exams from the Anchorage VEC
> and put them to the test. Sure enough, they got licensed and are
> now on the air. Their calls are a giveaway, like WL7CSG, but by
> golly, you couldn't tell them apart from a real ham otherwise. And
> why can't you? Because they ARE real hams.
>
> What about the code? Well, like homebuilding it has its time and
> place. Nowadays a TS-450 costs the same as an old DX-100, or BC-610
> or some old time monstrosity. These guys want to get on the air and
> enjoy the hobby. Go moose hunting, fishing, picnicking and keeping
> in touch with the crew back in town or over the next hill. Sounds
> no different than me! Must be real hams. Many have expressed
> interest in HF. They know the code is a requirement. And they wonder
> why. They do not intend to use CW. Why take a morse test? The
> FCC does not give a public speaking test before they allow you to
> operate on 20 ssb. Why not? Because at the time the test was
> devised CW was the principal mode, phone was an oddity for
> experimenters, and the FCC tested in appropriate areas.
>
> But somewhere along the line this principle became corrupted. It
> has become a symbol of elitism, bigotism, intolerance. And the
> saddest part is I am a CW man. I like being on 14,005. I like to
> chase CW DX. But browbeating some one else for what I like is not
> proper. No sir. Lets test what needs to be tested, and not test
> what does not need to be tested. Have no fear of the no coders,
> for they cannot intrude in the cw subbands unless they know the code.
>
> Now if someone was proposing to do away with CW, or eliminate the
> separation of the various incompatible modes to the detriment of
> CW, then I think that by golly, I would deem that very prejudicial,
> elitist, or bigoted and LOUDLY would I protest. But the Code
> TEST is a different thing altogether. It bears no relationship to
> what goes on in the cw bands. We did not learn the code at the FCC
> office. We learned it at home or, in my case, at an old man that
> was my elmer. When I took my code test for novice in 1959 I was

> qualified to operate CW. If there had been no use for CW, I think
> that with a bit different training I could have been competent to
> operate on 20 meters a.m. phone. But I was trained on CW. That was
> the core of amateur radio back then. AS a young child I ran wires
> all over the neighborhood and hooked up telegraph keys and sounders
> to other similarly minded kids homes. Lots of fun. But today, CW
> is not what the public expects of amateur radio. Times have changed.
> I have changed. I have seen the light.
>
> And as for my Extra class license; all of a sudden I am not ashamed
> of it anymore, because a few months after my eyes were open I realized
> that was not a prejudiced s.o.b. upper-class-bigoted-elitist Extra.
> No sir. I was instead a VE, a teacher, a person around which people
> gathered to learn from. Sure, I am human, I love the attention
> and probably some praise, but my attitude about me being BETTER just
> vanished. Better is relative.
>
> The cycle of life goes on forever. Babies are born, old men die.
> The torch passes on. The teenager that we are so sure just "doesn't
> have it" "or it was different in my day" soon enough becomes the
> daughter of my grandchild. Soon enough she is 28. I am an old man.
> Soon enough I will die. And I sure do not want people to think
> "thank god that old idiot died". No, I want them to say, "my teacher,
> my Elmer died. I learned a lot from him. And when his time comes,
> and we as a hobby have evolved into maybe faster than light commo
> to the stars, using some exotic techniques and modes, the PRINCIPLE
> will still exist and carry on.
>
> Do we make fun of Marconi because he implemented the coherer detector
> and the spark transmitter? (I do not say invented). Do we make
> fun because Sir Hiram Percy Maxim, W1AW, used a spark set? Of
> course not. In fact, those guys were brave souls at the cutting edge
> of technology. Think of it: the cutting edge of technology!
> Things have changed folks, lets get on the bandwagon.
>
> 1. Eliminate the code test.
> 2. Retain cw sub bands.
> 3. Eliminate incentive licensing.
> 4. Institute just two license classes. Entry level or apprentice,
> and full amateur with ALL privileges including the right to
> operate CW on the cw bands (if he learns how). This is not to
> create an elite crust, but to provide for an easy entry step.
> 5. Educate, and maybe test, our new hams in radio operating, ham
> traditions and values, on the air etiquette, radio lore, and
> maybe even the dreaded Order of the Wouff Hong! Or the Retisnitch.
> 6. Lets make each new ham someone we can be proud off, and say,
> "Hey, he is coming right along!"
> 7. Lets take over the ARRL from within and make it a society that
> all hams can be proud to belong to. Let them represent all
> hams, not just the members. Lets change the system from within.

> Lets get rid of the creators of incentive licensing.
>
> And let us remember, that upon creation of the incentive licensing
> program, the Extra Class did not get ONE SINGLE kilocycle of
> spectrum space, or one single KC of phone band. No sir, what they
> did is took the existing generals and told them to get out of this
> portion of the band while us extras enjoy the new freedom from
> associating with the likes of you.
>
> 8. I am not sure yet, haven't made up my mind, but I think that
> this Vanity call sigh program is aptly named. Vanity. The
> FCC has always issued call signs in sequential blocks. Started
> with 1AA in 1922, then W1AA in '27 and now they are up to KD4
> or some such. And hams dearly love their calls. Mine is shorter
> than yours because (insert your favorite excuse here). I am
> starting to think that this vanity call sign is somehow...smelly.
> What if the FCC made ALL ham calls 2 x 3, like WA6MNV, and then
> issued the calls at random. Maybe you and I take the license test
> same day, I get WA6MNV, you get KD6URL, and a week later the next
> new ham gets WA6AEF. It would just be the luck of the draw,
> a totally random, non-elitist call sign program, computer generated
> etc. No one could say, I am better, or have more years in
> service, or have done this on that, and that is why I am AL7X
> and you have the lowly call of WA6VSE or WL7CSG. This program
> would entail all brand new calls for everyone. Everyone. AT
> random. It would generate an instant protest, I am sure, but
> once done, its a done deal and a whole bunch of squabbling will
> end.
>
> Just thinking about the above call sign deal, but you can see
> where I am leading to. A society where all hams are equal, and
> one that is blind to age, years as a ham, or the holder of
> a level of license class that is "better" and has "a better call"
> than that "low life no code over there".
>
> If you think that is extreme, be assured that in the 1950's the
> W x 3 calls, like W2FCJ started running out (as a novice he would
> have been WN2FCJ). Anyway, the FCC got to W2ZZZ, and call area
> by call area went to the K calls. Formerly K calls were overseas
> territories, like K4 for Puerto rice, K7 for Alaska. The K x 2
> calls like K2GM were mainland us calls, only K x 3 were territories.
> But the FCC freed this block of calls, by assigning KP4, KH6, KL7
> etc to territories, and they started again all over with K2AAA
> until those were done with. Now, in the late 50's, the W2's
> had prejudice against the K2's. Remember when Dorothy, K2MGA
> worked or ran CQ magazine. Big furor. "She is a K2 not a W2!"
> Already the seeds of elitism had been planted.
>
> There IS a place for elitism. You are elite, when your fellow
> hams look UP to you, and admire you, and want to be like you.

> As a teacher, a fine example. But a short call, an Extra Class
> license, 40 years as a ham, or blind, egotistical pride does
> not automatically make a person "Elite". That should be a
> status earned by each individual according to his own performance
> in society. Hiram Percy Maxim W1AW was not "elite" because he
> had a short call, one with the letter after number being A.
> And he was not elite because he was president and founder of
> ARRL, or because he wrote the Rotten QRM and other articles on
> Lids and poor operating practices. No sir. He was elite
> because he earned the instant respect of ALL amateurs when he
> was instrumental in getting allocations for ham operators from
> a government (navy) that wanted to keep it to themselves and
> control it, never share, and prohibit hamming. Now THAT is what
> he did, and he gave us all a wonderful gift, and in 30 years may
> his work still be admired and be fruitful.
>
> This attitude that "I passed a 13 wpm, or I passed a 20 wpm
> code test, so I am better than you no code low life" has got
> to stop right_now! There is, after all, an easy answer. Say
> in my case, I became an extra in 1962 or 63 when the license
> first became available. The test was all on a.m. phone, cw and
> things like that. All gear was strictly tubes. But a new
> ham in 1996 could rightfully say to me, and he would be 100%
> correct in saying "So what Ramï¿½n. 20 WPM, gee, that is good,
> but is it relevant? I just took, and passed a test on
> solid state rigs, VLSI chips, digital techniques, frequency
> synthesizers, narrow band FM, SSTV television and beam antennas."
>
> So, as far as a TEST goes, who is the better ham? Me, because
> I took a 20 WPM test and a written on topics like heising
> modulation, rf ammeters, and splatter on a.m. transmitters?
> Or is he the better ham because his test was on the cutting edge
> of the amateur radio art, even if it was no-code.
>
> So think about this. In 1962 I took the prescribed test. It
> was on techniques appropriate to THAT era. He took his test in
> 1996, and his test reflects THIS era, just like the test in
> the year 2046 will have questions totally inconceivable to us
> right now.
>
> Maybe I am the poorer ham. After all, an old geezer with
> obsolete knowledge. Now of course, I could say, but wait! I
> have followed the radio art. I repair solid state 2-way
> commercial radios, I am a computer nerd. I even build
> plug in cards for my computers here (and some even WORK).
> BUT all that is moot, because friends, we are talking about
> tests, not what you know 30 odd years after the test.
>
> So each of us is a full blown ham, and each one has proved his
> worth by taking the latest version of the ham test at the

> time it was given. He is a ham. So am I. He is proud of it.
> I am proud of mine. But I am also happy and proud for HIM,
> because instead of whining, complaining, etc., he buckled down
> studied and passed this 1996 test. So he has proven his
> commitment to the process of becoming a ham.
>
> And that folks is that counts and what sets hams apart from
> CB'ers who only follow the rule of instant gratification.
>
> So when we say we must keep the code test, we have one word too
> much in there. We should keep testing, yes, to prove the
> commitment the individual has to ham radio. But to say that
> Code, or for that matter a Triode Push Pull R.F. amplifier
> with link coupling and neutralization is somehow better than
> one on a DDS frequency synthesizer is totally ridiculous. Its
> comparing apples to oranges. And I pray that in the year 2046
> the test will be appropriate to that age and time, and that my
> great grandchildren will also sweat the test, spending countless
> hours studying and visiting the elmers, and finally get the
> ticket one October morning, and fire up their Franistat
> set, and work Rigel 6 on the first call! Now we are talking,
> fellows. Lets drop the narrow view and get this hobby of
> ours off its arse and moving ahead!
>
> Ramon, AL7X. VE, CW man. Pro no-code. Anti incentive licensing.
> Proud of the hobby. Lets see it move
> forward. Respect all modes and facets.

243. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 07:00:15 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC77AC4.C7E2086C@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54477

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> >KC8PMX wrote:
> >
> >>). I do however make sure that the
> >> packet equipment does work, as I see that it is a great local based
> >> communication tool in an emergency situation.
> >
> > But forget trying to use it on HF. It's virtually useless.
>
> Dick:
>
> Perhaps if more people were using packet on HF, it wouldn't be so useless!

Noooooo, Larry, it would still be just as useless. ANY noise whatever busts packets as they are sent. So few are acknowledged that a successful transmission is virtually impossible. Retry, retry etc etc etc, timeout. That's all you get.

When I was doing serious packet, I tried everything I could come up with to make it better. No go. I recall actually making a single successful QSO, on 40 meters with a ham in Brownsville, Tx. The band was exceptionally quiet, not a bit of noise. I'm sure I don't need to tell you that is extremely rare.

244. Re: Early 1900's spark station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 07:05:45 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC77C0E.967C67B0@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54478

Mike Coslo wrote:

> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > Any of you guys who would like to see what an early 1900's
> > spark station looked and sounded like on the air, click this
> > link.
> >
> > http://users.ids.net/~newsm/massie.html
> >
> > Dick
> >
> >
>
> I wonder what speed a person could manage on that key? I presume that
> the sound was made with the key, and once you got past the CQ, it was
> pretty rough.
>

Those ops got to be pretty good at it. And it was dangerous because in most installations the key had high voltage on it. Some of those old brasspounders could really crank it out with a hand key, as did the Titanics ops after the the ship struck the iceberg, and the op on the Carpathia which rescued the survivors. He handled a huge amount of CW traffic as the ship sailed on to New York.

What was sent in the sample was "CQ de PN".

245. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 07:39:06 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC783DE.5B35227D@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54481

Dennis Ferguson wrote:

> Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:
> >>As of October 31, 2002:
> >>
> >>Novice - 36,506 (decrease of 12,823)
> >>Tech - 246,075 (increase of 40,651)
> >>Tech Plus - 74,842 (decrease of 54,415)
> >>General - 139,423 (increase of 26,746)
> >>Advanced - 84,534 (decrease of 15,248)
> >>Extra - 102,975 (increase of 24,225)
> >>Total - 684,355 (increase of 9,563)
> >>
> >>This total is close to, if not higher than, the previous high number.
> >>
> >>73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >Jim:
> >
> >But...but...but...I thought we were supposed to GAIN tens of thousands of
> >eager, bright, computer-literate, technically-savvy young people when the
> >burden of high-speed code testing for a General-class license or above was
> >lifted from their shoulders!
>
> Note that if the average ham keeps his license for 40 years before tiring
> of it or kicking the bucket (the 40 year number is the best match for the
> rate of license expiries observed in the AH0A numbers), then it requires
> more than 1400 new licensees per month just to keep the total number of
> hams from shrinking. In the period since restructuring the ARS has been
> attracting new hams at slightly higher than this rate. Almost 50,000 of
> those 684,355 hams, or about 7% of the total, obtained their license after
> restructuring, so there have indeed been "tens of thousands" of new hams
> gained.
>

The only flaw in that thinking that I'm familiar with is the fact that there are sure to be hundreds of thousands of hams who have lost all interest other than renewing their license against the day when they may regain it. I estimate as much as 40 to 50 percent of licensees are not active. They have no gear, no antennas, no current knowledge of what is happening with ham radio, just a license they renew when it comes due because they "might someday use it again". I know a bunch of people in that category. I think the 40 year thing is incorrect for that reason if no other. Virtually all hams I ever knew kept renewing the license as long as they could do so. And that doesn't address the many silent keys who are still in the active database for up to 10 years. I know of a number

of those, too.

So I'm convinced (no hard proof, of course) that the number of actual hams who are interested, active and involved and even basically informed, is far fewer than the license numbers would cause one to believe.

>
> Unfortunately the more interesting question, which is what the 50,000 number
> would have been had the high-speed code tests not been removed, is impossible
> to answer with certainty. One can only speculate.
>
> > We were supposed to experience a Digital
> >Revolution in amateur radio that would give us virtual internet-like data
> >transfer capabilities, without any user fees! What happened???
>
> I think they only restructured licensing and license requirements, but
> not physics. Whether the end result of restructuring on technology used
> by amateurs turns out better or worse than it would have been without
> restructuring is, however, another of those questions whose answer cannot
> be known. You need to know two outcomes to make a comparison, but we'll
> only know the outcome with restructuring.

One thing we can be sure of - the Internet and other current technology has siphoned off a good number of prospective hams. Cellphones with generous or no time limits have even killed off the "Honey bring home a gallon of milk and a loaf of bread" crowd as a source of nocode licensees. Many of them have abandoned ham radio as no longer useful in the cellphone age but remain active in the license database and thus count in the totals. No one knows how many.

The bottom line is as it has always been- only those who are intrigued by the 'magic' of radio and find a certain 'romance' in communicating over the airwaves will become hams, though many of the nocode techs seem to have missed out on that as a reason. Technology of today makes that attraction a faded phenomena for far more people than was once the case. Satellites, cellphones and the Internet. Just what is it about ham radio that you find so different and attractive? they ask.

You're certainly right- there's no way anyone can know the true numbers. Trends are another matter.

Dick

246. Re: ARRL members. Vote for me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 08:54:40 UTC
From: TwoLip <Anon@Anon.com>
Message-ID: <aq80u5$t2a$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 54482
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0211041833.7b6d786d@posting.google.com...
> Candidates@arrl.org (Ray Deo) wrote in message
news:<9s83nd.candi.votes.3348.news@arrl.org>...
> > Dear ARRL members and Nickel extra's,
> >
> > I'm running for a position on the ARRL Board of Directors.
> > I've been a ham since 1987 and an extra class since
> > 1989. I've always worked and wanted what is best for amateur
> > radio, but now I see the light. If you elect me to the ARRL Board of
> > Directors, I promise I'll voluntarily stick my head up my ass like all
> > previous and current ARRL Board members have done since 1997, and I
promise to
> > work with my colleagues on the Board in their continuing efforts
> > to further dumb down amateur radio.
> >
> > Thanks for your support
> >
> > Ray Deo
>
> Ray, not nice. Come up with something substantive - like Dave Heil
> has - and more people will pay attention.

Any way you cut it there is no really good reason to join the ARRL. Perhaps
this is why 75% of licensed Hams steer clear of the ARRL. Ask yourself what
you gain versus what you spend.
The ARRL is to blame. They offer nothing save for a magazine and a logo.
Gee...why bother? Why waste the money?
I certainly do not need the ARRL....do you?

247. Re: ARRL members. Vote for me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 12:57:53 UTC
From: "Mark D. Fossum" <n0nsv@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <3DC7C051.8050407@amsat.org>
Source record: 54483
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

I thought you canceled because of the No-Code issue Vince. Or was that
when you handed in your VE Cert?

Mark

Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL wrote:
> Thats why I canceled my league membership!
>
> Vote Republican.
>
> KB7ADL

248. Re: ARRL members. Vote for me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 13:31:58 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021105083158.12366.00000271@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 54484

>Any way you cut it there is no really good reason to join the ARRL. Perhaps
>this is why 75% of licensed Hams steer clear of the ARRL. Ask yourself what
>you gain versus what you spend.
>The ARRL is to blame. They offer nothing save for a magazine and a logo.
>Gee...why bother? Why waste the money?
>I certainly do not need the ARRL....do you?
>

You think thats Bad, now there out begging for donations to defend Ham Radio.
You can bet mine is the MAIL, yea right.

249. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 13:50:30 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021105085030.02710.00001487@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 54485

>Subject: Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/4/02 2:26 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021104152617.25604.00000304@mb-cp.aol.com>

>>Afterall why should a person answer any questions about PSK, RTTY, Amtor,
>>Packet, propagation, eletronic theory, and so on when all they ever intend
>>to do is pick up a mike and talk. If CW is irrelevant so is everything else
>>but the FCC rules.

> No. The FCC does not mandate exclusive OOK CW use by any
> licensed radio amateur...therefore the rationale for continued morse
> testing disappears.

Nor does the FCC "mandate" any of the modes cited in the above, and the "logic" of testing for modes that the candidate may or may not elect to use IS comparable to the arguments being used by the NCTA (namely YOU, Lennie...) against Morse Code testing.

>Many radio amateurs considered the establishment of Citizens
> Band radio, class C and D, in 1958 to be "chaos." That was 44
> years ago, two generations since. There was never any test of
> any kind for CB, the initial licensing a formality for the first few
> years.

And you wouldn't call what presently exists on 11 meters "chaos"..?!?!

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! !

I challenged you before to drive across town with a "legal" CB and try to conduct "legal" Part 95 operation on any legal CB channel, Your Witlessness. I reintroduce the challenge.

>Having started in HF radio communications in early 1953, I've never
>had any reason, requirement, or specification (for electronic design
>engineering) to use or specify any OOK CW.

Congratulations. We are so impressed. Not.

>I cannot fathom this "attachment" to an archaic human skill that can
>be done by only a small percentage of humans.

I cannot fathom your obsession with imposing your meaningless drivvel into an Internet newsgroup for an avocation of which you have no vested interest and have expressed open contempt for, but you do it.

As for Morse Code being able to be done by "only a small percentage of humans", I dare you to produce the study that backs that up.

>There is NO valid
> justification for tested, demonstrated skill in morsemanship for any
> radio license having privileges of operation below 30 MHz...except by
> an equally-archaic ITU-R recommendation, S25.5.

"Subjective opinion".

>Len Anderson
> Life Member IEEE

Putz

250. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 15:17:38 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211050717.599bf78@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54486

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021104152726.12488.00000158@mb-fo.aol.com>...
> >Bruce, you do that. Then you'll be labeled a lazy whiner who waits
> >for the gubmn't to make it easy for you, blah blah blah, even thought
> >you've passed all the exams anyway.
> >
> >So knock yerself out.
> >
>
> Why not do it, the present written is a Joke, its outdated, and serves no
> usefull puropse.

Bruce, just because you waited around till they changed the standards,
then took advantage of the new standards, doesn't mean I have to.

251. Re: ARRL members. Vote for me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 15:55:46 UTC
From: "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus@mcn.net>
Message-ID: <6SRx9.2036$En4.255624@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54487
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

I canceled my membership after reading the Leagues proposal, and canceled my VE Certification when restructuring took effect. After a year went by I got re-accredited again and have been giving radio exams again. The local VE team said they were glad to have me back. But I won't join the ARRL again until they decide to wake up and do something to improve amateur radio. I know the ARRL won't work to improve things though because it would hurt the corporate back account. The ARRL won't be happy until there is a free ham license in every Cracker Jack box along with a flyer for ARRL memebership.

KB7ADL

"Mark D. Fossum" <n0nsv@amsat.org> wrote in news:3DC7C051.8050407
@amsat.org:

>
> I thought you canceled because of the No-Code issue Vince. Or was that
> when you handed in your VE Cert?
>
> Mark
>
>
> Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL wrote:
>> Thats why I canceled my league membership!
>>
>> Vote Republican.
>>
>> KB7ADL
>
>

252. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 16:07:24 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0211050807.7d6faa83@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54488

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<aq6002$ne1$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>...
> "Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
> news:00vx9.10874$0x.6642@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> > "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:aq5sdo$r6c$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net...
>
> > Too bad the FCC couldn't put it to a vote. IMHO, that would've been the
> > truly fairest method. Poll ALL licensed amateurs as WE set the standard
> > for
> > OUR hobby/service. I work for a data processing co. and an election
> > across approx. 680,000 is not such a large feat.

The FCC DID poll all radio amateurs - and all interested parties. The
NPRM comment process was/is open to all who wanted their voices heard.
No license required.
>
> The creation, changing or deletion of FCC regulations
> is NOT the sole province of already licensed amateur licensees.
> Never has been, never should be, never will be.

Agreed. But of those who comment to the FCC on amateur radio licensing
issues, the vast majority are "already licensed radio amateurs".
>
> That is no different than state laws on driver requirements.
> There would be nothing fair about a "licensed hams only"
> vote to determine amateur rules because it would ignore
> the rest of the public that has the same "right"
> to comment and be heard as someone already licensed.

Not only can individuals comment to the FCC, but groups and companies
can, and do. Like Kenwood and the ARRL.

The interesting thing is that when the results of the NPRM comments
were counted and categorized, a majority favored at least two code
test speeds.
IOW, the majority opinion among those who bothered to express one was
that
not only should code testing be retained, but it should be retained at
a
level greater than the absolute minimum 5 wpm test.

But FCC is under no obligation to follow the majority opinion.
>
> As an aside, even if your proposal was done, would you "allow"
> non-code tested hams to vote?

Sure - why not?
>
> What about Novice and code tested techs
> who only passed a 5wpm test?

Sure - why not?
>
> In 1999 when the issue was open for comment,
> No code tested hams plus those that only passed
> a 5 wpm test constituted over 50% of ALL hams.

Fine - but that doesn't mean they would agree with lowering the code test speeds, or complete elimination.

Does the fact that BSEEs and MSEEs exceed PH.DEEs mean they would support lowering the requirements for a Ph.D in EE? I don't think so.

Does the fact that the vast majority of drivers hold only "regular" drivers' licenses mean they would support reduction of requirements for a CDL or motorcycle license? I don't think so.

> If the question to be voted on was elimination of 13 and 20 wpm
> testing, then just what would you expect the outcome of the vote
> to have been with over 1/2 of all hams not having a license
> that called for 13 or 20 wpm?

Judging by the comments to the FCC, I think the majority would have voted to retain at least one of those speeds, if not both. Reduction to 5 wpm for all was a minority view among those who bothered to "vote" (comment) when it counted.

But again, FCC is not required to follow the majority opinion.

As for ARRL, which is by far the largest amateur radio organization in the world, full voting membership is available to all licensed radio amateurs - regardless of license class, number of years licensed, test location, test method, or code speed.

Today is election day - how many Americans will vote?

73 de Jim, N2EY

253. Re: ARRL members. Vote for me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 16:19:09 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq8r1t$p5g$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54489
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

The ARRL has done many things over the last 40 years that I disagree with.
And they have done a lot that I do agree with. You can say the exact same
thing of a political party. Or the local Sheriff office.

All you folks that keep badmouthing the ARRL just remember one
thing......without the ARRL we would NOT EVEN HAVE Amateur Radio. Spend
some bux and read "200 meters and down" Get an education.

Dan/W4NTI

"TwoLip" <Anon@Anon.com> wrote in message news:aq80u5$t2a$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:f45722ac.0211041833.7b6d786d@posting.google.com...
> > Candidates@arrl.org (Ray Deo) wrote in message
> news:<9s83nd.candi.votes.3348.news@arrl.org>...
> > > Dear ARRL members and Nickel extra's,
> > >
> > > I'm running for a position on the ARRL Board of Directors.
> > > I've been a ham since 1987 and an extra class since
> > > 1989. I've always worked and wanted what is best for amateur
> > > radio, but now I see the light. If you elect me to the ARRL Board of
> > > Directors, I promise I'll voluntarily stick my head up my ass like all
> > > previous and current ARRL Board members have done since 1997, and I
> promise to
> > > work with my colleagues on the Board in their continuing efforts
> > > to further dumb down amateur radio.
> > >
> > > Thanks for your support
> > >
> > > Ray Deo
> >
> > Ray, not nice. Come up with something substantive - like Dave Heil
> > has - and more people will pay attention.
>
> Any way you cut it there is no really good reason to join the ARRL.
Perhaps
> this is why 75% of licensed Hams steer clear of the ARRL. Ask yourself
what
> you gain versus what you spend.
> The ARRL is to blame. They offer nothing save for a magazine and a logo.
> Gee...why bother? Why waste the money?
> I certainly do not need the ARRL....do you?
>

>
>
>
>
>
>

254. Re: ARRL members. Vote for me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 16:21:40 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq8r6a$g70$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54490
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

Oh Bull Vince. Oh BTW...how can you be a VE with the ARRL and NOT BE A
MEMBER? Thats a no no....at least it was the last time I bothered with it.

Dan/W4NTI

"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus@mcn.net> wrote in message
news:6SRx9.2036$En4.255624@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...

The ARRL won't be
> happy until there is a free ham license in every Cracker Jack box along
> with a flyer for ARRL memebership.
>
> KB7ADL
>
>
> "Mark D. Fossum" <n0nsv@amsat.org> wrote in news:3DC7C051.8050407
> @amsat.org:
>
> >
> > I thought you canceled because of the No-Code issue Vince. Or was that
> > when you handed in your VE Cert?
> >
> > Mark
> >
> >
> > Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL wrote:
> >> Thats why I canceled my league membership!
> >>
> >> Vote Republican.
> >>
> >> KB7ADL
> >
> >
>

255. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 16:25:43 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <biSx9.19949$0x.6479@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 54491

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0211050807.7d6faa83@posting.google.com...
> The FCC DID poll all radio amateurs - and all interested parties. The
> NPRM comment process was/is open to all who wanted their voices heard.
> No license required.
> The interesting thing is that when the results of the NPRM comments
> were counted and categorized, a majority favored at least two code
> test speeds.
> IOW, the majority opinion among those who bothered to express one was
> that
> not only should code testing be retained, but it should be retained at
> a
> level greater than the absolute minimum 5 wpm test.
>
> But FCC is under no obligation to follow the majority opinion.

Oops!

> > If the question to be voted on was elimination of 13 and 20 wpm
> > testing, then just what would you expect the outcome of the vote
> > to have been with over 1/2 of all hams not having a license
> > that called for 13 or 20 wpm?
>
> Judging by the comments to the FCC, I think the majority would have
> voted to retain at least one of those speeds, if not both. Reduction
> to 5 wpm for all was a minority view among those who bothered to
> "vote" (comment) when it counted.

Keep 5-wpm and 13-wpm, drop 20-wpm.

> But again, FCC is not required to follow the majority opinion.

Ain't that a blip?!

> Today is election day - how many Americans will vote?

Count this vote! It's more than just a privilege...it's every American's
duty.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

256. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 16:33:09 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq8rs6$k8d$2@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54492

Totally agree. As a result of the FCC temporary authorization to allow un-attended digital on HF we all learned that packet was useless. This led to far more robust and usable modes that do indeed work at HF.

Packet is great for landline and/or direct noise free, path distrotion paths.

And BTW Larry Packet and CW are not in the same ballgame for emergency com. Packet is much more suited than CW would be for large text transfers, such as names of casualties...etc. CW would be usable for short messages over difficult paths.

Even at V/Uhf on Packet you can have a outstanding signal and have sufficient distortion of the waveform to make for retry city. If you ever operated it extensively you would know that.

Dan/W4NTI

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:3DC77AC4.C7E2086C@townsqr.com...
>
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> > >KC8PMX wrote:
> > >
> > >>). I do however make sure that the
> > >> packet equipment does work, as I see that it is a great local based
> > >> communication tool in an emergency situation.
> > >
> > > But forget trying to use it on HF. It's virtually useless.
> >
> > Dick:
> >
> > Perhaps if more people were using packet on HF, it wouldn't be so useless!
>
> Noooooo, Larry, it would still be just as useless. ANY noise whatever busts
> packets as they are sent. So few are acknowledged that a successful
> transmission is virtually impossible. Retry, retry etc etc etc, timeout.
> That's all you get.
>
> When I was doing serious packet, I tried everything I could come up with to
> make it better. No go. I recall actually making a single successful QSO,

on 40
> meters with a ham in Brownsville, Tx. The band was exceptionally quiet,
not a
> bit of noise. I'm sure I don't need to tell you that is extremely rare.
>

257. Re: Early 1900's spark station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 16:38:59 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq8s6puai1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54493

I think your thinking of the device known as a 'rotary spark gap'. I remember visiting that site and the sound was like a musical note. Quite distinctive from the roar of King Spark on the bands (DC to Daylight).

Dan/W4NTI

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:3DC7286A.2010408@adelphia.net...
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > Any of you guys who would like to see what an early 1900's
> > spark station looked and sounded like on the air, click this
> > link.
>
>
> Thanks for the link, Dick. Thing is, I thought that the spark gaps did
> make a tone from the damping of the spark. I seem to recall a sound file
> of this at some point in the past.
>
> Is it possible that I am confusing this with the huge alternator stations?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
>
>

258. Re: Early 1900's spark station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 16:40:39 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq8s9t$6v6$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54494

Now you know why they had a device knows as a 'Flameproof Key'.

Dan/W4NTI

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3DC77C0E.967C67B0@townsqr.com...
>
>
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > > Any of you guys who would like to see what an early 1900's
> > > spark station looked and sounded like on the air, click this
> > > link.
> > >
> > > http://users.ids.net/~newsm/massie.html
> > >
> > > Dick
> > >
> > >
> >
> > I wonder what speed a person could manage on that key? I presume that
> > the sound was made with the key, and once you got past the CQ, it was
> > pretty rough.
> >
>
> Those ops got to be pretty good at it. And it was dangerous because in
> most installations the key had high voltage on it. Some of those old
> brasspounders could really crank it out with a hand key, as did the
> Titanics ops after the the ship struck the iceberg, and the op on the
> Carpathia which rescued the survivors. He handled a huge amount of CW
> traffic as the ship sailed on to New York.
>
> What was sent in the sample was "CQ de PN".
>
>
>

259. Re: ARRL members. Vote for me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 17:07:00 UTC
From: BDKING <kingrat@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1831c4c1d1daf7c9989749@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 54495
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

In article <aq8r1t$p5g$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>,
w4ntinospam@mindspring.com says...
> The ARRL has done many things over the last 40 years that I disagree with.
> And they have done a lot that I do agree with. You can say the exact same
> thing of a political party. Or the local Sheriff office.
>
> All you folks that keep badmouthing the ARRL just remember one
> thing.....without the ARRL we would NOT EVEN HAVE Amateur Radio. Spend
> some bux and read "200 meters and down" Get an education.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "TwoLip" <Anon@Anon.com> wrote in message news:aq80u5$t2a$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:f45722ac.0211041833.7b6d786d@posting.google.com...
> > > Candidates@arrl.org (Ray Deo) wrote in message
> > news:<9s83nd.candi.votes.3348.news@arrl.org>...
> > > > > Dear ARRL members and Nickel extra's,
> > > > >
> > > > > I'm running for a position on the ARRL Board of Directors.
> > > > > I've been a ham since 1987 and an extra class since
> > > > > 1989. I've always worked and wanted what is best for amateur
> > > > > radio, but now I see the light. If you elect me to the ARRL Board of
> > > > > Directors, I promise I'll voluntarily stick my head up my ass like all
> > > > > previous and current ARRL Board members have done since 1997, and I
> > promise to
> > > > > work with my colleagues on the Board in their continuing efforts
> > > > > to further dumb down amateur radio.
> > > > >
> > > > > Thanks for your support
> > > > >
> > > > > Ray Deo
> > > >
> > > > Ray, not nice. Come up with something substantive - like Dave Heil
> > > > has - and more people will pay attention.
> > >
> > > Any way you cut it there is no really good reason to join the ARRL.
> Perhaps
> > > this is why 75% of licensed Hams steer clear of the ARRL. Ask yourself
> what
> > > you gain versus what you spend.
> > > The ARRL is to blame. They offer nothing save for a magazine and a logo.

> > Gee...why bother? Why waste the money?
> > I certainly do not need the ARRL....do you?
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>

Back in the old days it was a good thing, but starting with the incentive liscensing, the ARRL has done more to screw up ham radio than help it. The CW requirement turned off a ton of people, including myself. I did pass the 5WPM almost 30 years ago, but working CW was/is something I never wanted to do. I tried several times to get to 13WPM, but I get to about 8WPM, and I couldn't take it anymore, it annoys the crap out of me, regardless of what pitch I listen to it at. I never understood the CW fanatics anyway, I had no objections to making the written test harder, but they didn't seem to understand what a turn off it is to some people, and they managed to keep the higher speed requirement until it's probably too late to generate any real increase in new hams. The internet is a much bigger draw to most kids than radio..

Now that I can upgrade without any more code, I have lost most of the desire to do so. I haven't even keyed up an HT in about a year or so...

BDK

260. Re: Fat Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 17:14:03 UTC
From: bpnjensen@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <37af7e1c.0211050914.631c06d2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54496
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"the el-cheapo ham radio op" <bigfatalhohoho@aol.com> wrote in message
news:<3dc6bac6@snipnews.snip.net>...
> "bigballs" <bigballshere@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3dc5dde1$1@snipnews.snip.net...
> >
> > "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> > news:3DC57400.35D4A00C@mailcity.net...
> > >
> > >
> > > AC6V wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Go to URL:
> > > > http://www.adrenalineadventures.net/news/330.html
> > > > And find nearly 20% of americans are obese
> > >
> > > And over half of the population is over weight.
> >
> > OK, so we're fat. Fine!
> > Get this video and sit in the easy chair
> > and eat chips and drink beer in yer
> > undearwear while watchin it....!!!]
> >
> > click here: http://www.varmintvideos.com/
> >
> >
>
> CHECK OUT THIS ONE TOO!!!!!
>
> http://www.funny.alt-neustadt.at/Clips-General/York.mpg

Somebody is here is a sick latent murderer, and deserves to be at the
point of his own gun.

Bruce Jensen

261. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 17:49:32 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021105124932.28717.00004399@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 54497

>Bruce, just because you waited around till they changed the standards,
>then took advantage of the new standards, doesn't mean I have to.
>

Your full of it, I was an advanced not some Low Life CBplusser like yourself

262. Re: ARRL members. Vote for me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 17:55:17 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <9CTx9.2201$En4.225839@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54498
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

Gutless anonymous "TwoLip" <Anon@Anon.com> wrote in message

> I certainly do not need the ARRL....do you?

Yes. If for no other reason than their staunch defense of our frequencies
at Washington and Geneva.

Without spectrum, there is no Amateur Radio service.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

263. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 18:05:49 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <1MTx9.2224$En4.272876@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54499

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote

> The man's living in a utopian dream world.

To some extent, I think you are right. But hey, his thoughts sure resonate
with my "cockeyed optimist" outlook on life.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
"Life is a short journey between two eternities, and the trip is far to
short for me to worry about how fast you can beep."

264. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 18:31:12 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0211051031.5cd4e047@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54500

dennis@wawa.juniper.net (Dennis Ferguson) wrote in message
news:<aq7bgk$1tdf$1@heap.juniper.net>...
> Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:
> >>As of October 31, 2002:
> >>
> >>Novice - 36,506 (decrease of 12,823)
> >>Tech - 246,075 (increase of 40,651)
> >>Tech Plus - 74,842 (decrease of 54,415)
> >>General - 139,423 (increase of 26,746)
> >>Advanced - 84,534 (decrease of 15,248)
> >>Extra - 102,975 (increase of 24,225)
> >>Total - 684,355 (increase of 9,563)
> >>
> >>This total is close to, if not higher than, the previous high number.
> >>
> >>73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >Jim:
> >
> >But...but...but...I thought we were supposed to GAIN tens of thousands of
> >eager, bright, computer-literate, technically-savvy young people when the
> >burden of high-speed code testing for a General-class license or above was
> >lifted from their shoulders!

Some people promised that before the Tech lost its code test and
before the 2000 restructuring. Didn't happen. And probably won't
happen next year when, in all probability, all code testing for a US
ham license disappears.

Not all predictions come true, and not all promises are kept.
>
> Note that if the average ham keeps his license for 40 years before tiring
> of it or kicking the bucket (the 40 year number is the best match for the
> rate of license expiries observed in the AH0A numbers), then it requires
> more than 1400 new licensees per month just to keep the total number of
> hams from shrinking.

Agreed - works out to an annual dropout rate of 2.5%. But not all
years, or months, are created equal. Some months have more VE sessions
than others, for example. The vanity call rules changed in 1994,
resulting in a large number of renewals (vanity call results in a
renewal so FCC doesn't have to prorate the fee). Etc.

> In the period since restructuring the ARS has been
> attracting new hams at slightly higher than this rate. Almost 50,000 of

> those 684,355 hams, or about 7% of the total, obtained their license after
> restructuring, so there have indeed been "tens of thousands" of new hams
> gained.

Lessee, about 30 months since restructuring, about 1500 per month,
45,000. Close enough.

> Unfortunately the more interesting question, which is what the 50,000 number
> would have been had the high-speed code tests not been removed, is impossible
> to answer with certainty.

13 and 20 wpm are certainly not "high speed".

Also note that the restructuring dramatically reduced the written
testing as well. Fewer written tests (3 vs. 5) and fewer total
questions.

>One can only speculate.

Then let's do a little speculating. Prior to the restructuring, the
number of US hams was fluctuating around 675,000. Now it's fluctuating
around 683,000. Total variation is only a percent or so.

Check out

http://www.ah0a.org

and note how the number has wandered around since 1997. Note that in
the two years or so before restructuring, the numbers grew from around
672,000 to 676,000. Also note how the numbers topped out in March of
2001 at around 684,000, then started to slide.

Also check out the implosion of Japanese amateur radio, particularly
the number of stations.
>
> > We were supposed to experience a Digital
> >Revolution in amateur radio that would give us virtual internet-like data
> >transfer capabilities, without any user fees! What happened???
>
> I think they only restructured licensing and license requirements, but
> not physics.

Yeah, verily!

> Whether the end result of restructuring on technology used
> by amateurs turns out better or worse than it would have been without
> restructuring is, however, another of those questions whose answer cannot
> be known. You need to know two outcomes to make a comparison, but we'll
> only know the outcome with restructuring.

And that outcome is overlaid with a bunch of other effects, like
sunspots, economic conditions, CC&Rs, terrorism, war, other
communications options, etc.

--

But what really matters is not the total number of hams but rather the total number of active hams.

73 de Jim, N2EY

(One of those eager, bright, computer-literate, technically-savvy young people.)

265. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 20:11:20 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h544yik.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 54305

On Tue, 5 Nov 2002 10:33:09 -0600, Dan/W4NTI wrote:

>Even at V/Uhf on Packet you can have a outstanding signal and have
>sufficient distortion of the waveform to make for retry city. If you ever
>operated it extensively you would know that.

Yes, I have a hard time connecting to and checking traffic on the
local (2-m) ARES/RACES packet BBS because of all the other
ARES/RACES members/stations sending ID/beacon UIs. I wish that they
would stop so that my ID/beacon UI comes through.....

And if HF packet is useless, why not use PACTOR or AMTOR/SITOR like
the big ships do?

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

266. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 20:34:06 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021105153406.16646.00000193@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 54306

In article <f45722ac.0211041820.5bdf92c7@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>news:<aq6002$ne1$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>...
>
>> The creation, changing or deletion of FCC regulations
>> is NOT the sole province of already licensed amateur licensees.
>> Never has been, never should be, never will be.
>
>I think Dick and Jim have already told how inventive licensing was
>foisted upon the amateur radio service by hams inside the FCC. I
>think they've done enough damage to the ARS for one century.

Their arguments seem founded on their own personal
preferences and they try to bolster those arguments based
on "tenure" in amateur radio and the usual human territorial
imperative (turf) feelings. They all "bought into" the older
standards and practices and, possibly, because of that they
insist that all others who come later do as they did. About
their strongest public reason for maintenance of the old is that
it is "tradition" and some vague mentions of "service."

[the word "service" in Title 47 CFR denotes a type and kind
of radio activity...it is a regulatory term, not a per se or de
facto term equivalent to "public service"]

The FCC must regulate ALL of the US civil radio spectrum, not
just amateur radio, and many allocated amateur bands are
already shared with other civil and government radio services.
The FCC is not obliged, by law or any virtual moral purpose to
listen solely to radio service users in regards to regulations for
that particular radio service.

A problem in an open-access, unmoderated newsgroup is that
many with long tenure as licensees have the "turf" view of
virtual "ownership" over who can say what. That is their
personal viewpoint and results in the heckling and personal
attacks seen in here. None of them "own" amateur radio or any
other radio service yet they feel compelled to boss others not
agreeing with them.

Amateur radio in the USA is, slowly, losing the PCTAs. Times
have changed but these old-timers (chronological or mental) do
not like change. Conditions in their hobby must remain the same
for them to feel secure, comfortable. Change is uncomfortable and

threatens their security. The end result is the kind of heckling and personal attacks aimed at those who threaten their self-defined superiority in an avocational activity.

Len Anderson
Life Member IEEE

267. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 20:41:22 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC83B39.F0EFC65B@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54307

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:

> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote
>
> > The man's living in a utopian dream world.
>
> To some extent, I think you are right. But hey, his thoughts sure resonate
> with my "cockeyed optimist" outlook on life.

You might be surprised how much of his experience parallels my own. But I sure disagree-evidently with you as well- on a few major points. That's what makes the world go round.

Dick

268. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 20:48:58 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC83D01.A13088B9@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54308

Phil Kane wrote:

> if HF packet is useless, why not use PACTOR or AMTOR/SITOR like
> the big ships do?

Exactly. PACTOR suffers from none of the HF ills that packet does.

Dick

269. Re: Early 1900's spark station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 20:49:30 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021105154930.14820.00000206@mb-ff.aol.com>
Source record: 54309

In article <3DC74A95.C5DD7F16@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>> Is it possible that I am confusing this with the huge alternator
>stations?
>
> I believe you are. The Andresson (sp?) generators (one is still fired up on
>occasion
>to make commemorative transmissions on LF) actually ran at a radio frequency,
>if I got
>it right, while the spark rigs used a tuned circuit to resonate the energy
>contained
>in the spark that occurred in the region of the tuned circuit's resonant
>frequency.

"Alexanderson" is the name, at one time the virtual king of old
high-power radio. Those were AC generators capable, at best, of
reaching frequencies just beyond VLF.

The Swedish Televerket Museum does a one-hour, one-day-a-
year commemorative transmission on VLF. They have a web site
for those interested in very old-time radio.

There are plenty of web sites of historical interest in old radio other
than the ARRL. Try searching for "Thomas H. White" to find a
great deal of historical information on old radio days from a larger
radio world perspective.

Len Anderson
Life Member IEEE

270. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 21:05:50 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021105160550.17589.00002866@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 54310

>And if HF packet is useless, why not use PACTOR or AMTOR/SITOR like
> the big ships do?
>
>---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Why not use the Internet it works alot better

271. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 23:22:56 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021105182256.00112.00000285@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 54311

In article <biSx9.19949$0x.6479@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>, "Bert Craig"
<wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> writes:

>"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>news:c2356669.0211050807.7d6faa83@posting.google.com...
>> The FCC DID poll all radio amateurs - and all interested parties. The
>> NPRM comment process was/is open to all who wanted their voices heard.
>> No license required.
>> The interesting thing is that when the results of the NPRM comments
>> were counted and categorized, a majority favored at least two code
>> test speeds.
>> IOW, the majority opinion among those who bothered to express one was
>> that
>> not only should code testing be retained, but it should be retained at
>> a
>> level greater than the absolute minimum 5 wpm test.
>>
>> But FCC is under no obligation to follow the majority opinion.
>
>Oops!

Well, there you have it, Bert.

FCC has made it easy for interested parties to submit comments on NPRMs. One
can send in paper copies, electronic files, or use their website for either
directly-entered brief comments or attached files.

FCC set up a longer-than-usual comment period for the restructuring petition.
Most of the then-regulars of rrap commented. Some did reply comments. All but
one that I know of got their comments in before the deadline.
>
>> > If the question to be voted on was elimination of 13 and 20 wpm
>> > testing, then just what would you expect the outcome of the vote
>> > to have been with over 1/2 of all hams not having a license
>> > that called for 13 or 20 wpm?
>>
>> Judging by the comments to the FCC, I think the majority would have
>> voted to retain at least one of those speeds, if not both. Reduction
>> to 5 wpm for all was a minority view among those who bothered to
>> "vote" (comment) when it counted.
>
>Keep 5-wpm and 13-wpm, drop 20-wpm.

That was the ARRL proposal.

5 wpm for General, 12/13 wpm for Advanced, 20 wpm for Extra. Lots of other
variations. FCC said 5 wpm for all.
>
>> But again, FCC is not required to follow the majority opinion.
>
>Ain't that a blip?!

Yep - but it's a fact. Also has its upside.
>
>> Today is election day - how many Americans will vote?
>
>Count this vote! It's more than just a privilege...it's every American's
>duty.

Agreed! Everyone in my house is who eligible has already voted today.

73 de Jim, N2EY

272. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 23:41:32 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h54esel.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 54312

On 05 Nov 2002 21:05:50 GMT, WA8ULX wrote:

>>And if HF packet is useless, why not use PACTOR or AMTOR/SITOR like
>> the big ships do?

> Why not use the Internet it works alot better

Because the Internet is not ham radio.

Next question.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

273. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-05 23:53:30 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq9lu5$8hv$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54313

Where no on a ship Phil. And I have done AMTOR. WOrks fine. We were discussing how sorry AX-25 Packet is on HF.

Dan/W4NTI

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message news:cuvyxnansvbet.h544yik.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Tue, 5 Nov 2002 10:33:09 -0600, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> >Even at V/Uhf on Packet you can have a outstanding signal and have
> >sufficient distortion of the waveform to make for retry city. If you ever
> >operated it extensively you would know that.
>
> Yes, I have a hard time connecting to and checking traffic on the
> local (2-m) ARES/RACES packet BBS because of all the other
> ARES/RACES members/stations sending ID/beacon UIs. I wish that they
> would stop so that my ID/beacon UI comes through.....
>
> And if HF packet is useless, why not use PACTOR or AMTOR/SITOR like
> the big ships do?
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

274. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 00:07:26 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aq9mhp$fo1$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54314

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0211050807.7d6faa83@posting.google.com...
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<aq6002$ne1$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>...
> > "Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
> > news:00vx9.10874$0x.6642@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> > > "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > > news:aq5sdo$r6c$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net...
> > >
> > > Too bad the FCC couldn't put it to a vote. IMHO, that would've been
the
> > > truly fairest method. Poll ALL licensed amateurs as WE set the
standard
> > > for
> > > OUR hobby/service. I work for a data processing co. and an election
> > > across approx. 680,000 is not such a large feat.
>
> The FCC DID poll all radio amateurs - and all interested parties. The
> NPRM comment process was/is open to all who wanted their voices heard.
> No license required.

True, but that is a bit different than a "direct" polling of amateurs
(or others) which Bert would like to see happen.

> > The creation, changing or deletion of FCC regulations
> > is NOT the sole province of already licensed amateur licensees.
> > Never has been, never should be, never will be.
>
> Agreed. But of those who comment to the FCC on amateur radio licensing
> issues, the vast majority are "already licensed radio amateurs".

True...but even as such, it is not a "vote" to determine
overall preferences. The FCC weighs the rational
of the comments...both pro and con.

> > That is no different than state laws on driver requirements.
> > There would be nothing fair about a "licensed hams only"
> > vote to determine amateur rules because it would ignore
> > the rest of the public that has the same "right"
> > to comment and be heard as someone already licensed.
>
> Not only can individuals comment to the FCC, but groups and companies
> can, and do. Like Kenwood and the ARRL.

Correct.

> The interesting thing is that when the results of the NPRM comments
> were counted and categorized, a majority favored at least two code
> test speeds.

That's because the ARRL position as originlly positioned
was the "compromise". It was several weeks after the opening
of the NPRM before NCI had a stated position that called
for only one test.

> IOW, the majority opinion among those who bothered to express one was
> that
> not only should code testing be retained, but it should be retained at
> a
> level greater than the absolute minimum 5 wpm test.
>
> But FCC is under no obligation to follow the majority opinion.
> >
> > As an aside, even if your proposal was done, would you "allow"
> > non-code tested hams to vote?
>
> Sure - why not?
> >
> > What about Novice and code tested techs
> > who only passed a 5wpm test?
>
> Sure - why not?
> >
> > In 1999 when the issue was open for comment,
> > No code tested hams plus those that only passed
> > a 5 wpm test constituted over 50% of ALL hams.
>
> Fine - but that doesn't mean they would agree with lowering the code
> test speeds, or complete elimination.
>
> Does the fact that BSEEs and MSEEs exceed PH.DEEs mean they would
> support lowering the requirements for a Ph.D in EE? I don't think so.
>
> Does the fact that the vast majority of drivers hold only "regular"
> drivers' licenses mean they would support reduction of requirements
> for a CDL or motorcycle license? I don't think so.
>
> > If the question to be voted on was elimination of 13 and 20 wpm
> > testing, then just what would you expect the outcome of the vote
> > to have been with over 1/2 of all hams not having a license
> > that called for 13 or 20 wpm?
>
> Judging by the comments to the FCC, I think the majority would have
> voted to retain at least one of those speeds, if not both. Reduction
> to 5 wpm for all was a minority view among those who bothered to
> "vote" (comment) when it counted.
>
> But again, FCC is not required to follow the majority opinion.

>
> As for ARRL, which is by far the largest amateur radio organization in
> the world, full voting membership is available to all licensed radio
> amateurs - regardless of license class, number of years licensed, test
> location, test method, or code speed.
>
> Today is election day - how many Americans will vote?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

275. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 01:18:15 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021105201815.19280.00000234@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 54315

In article <3DC783DE.5B35227D@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

Dennis Ferguson wrote:

>> Note that if the average ham keeps his license for 40 years before tiring
>> of it or kicking the bucket (the 40 year number is the best match for the
>> rate of license expiries observed in the AH0A numbers), then it requires
>> more than 1400 new licensees per month just to keep the total number of
>> hams from shrinking. In the period since restructuring the ARS has been
>> attracting new hams at slightly higher than this rate. Almost 50,000 of
>> those 684,355 hams, or about 7% of the total, obtained their license after
>> restructuring, so there have indeed been "tens of thousands" of new hams
>> gained.
>>
>
> The only flaw in that thinking that I'm familiar with is the fact that there
>are sure to be hundreds of thousands of hams who have lost all interest other
>than renewing their license against the day when they may regain it. I
estimate
>as much as 40 to 50 percent of licensees are not active. They have no gear, no
>antennas, no current knowledge of what is happening with ham radio, just a
>license they renew when it comes due because they "might someday use it
>again". I know a bunch of people in that category.

So do I, but often their inactivity is not due to lack of interest. Usually
it's lack
of time/money/space/energy.

>I think the 40 year thing is incorrect
>for that reason if no other. Virtually all hams I ever knew kept renewing the
>license as long as they could do so.

I think the 40 year thing is about right for the "average" length of licensure.
But
that doesn't mean a ham is active for all 40 years.

>And that doesn't address the many silent
>keys who are still in the active database for up to 10 years. I know of a
>number of those, too.

Same here, too. FCC will cancel an SK's license, but they need reliable
paperwork.
(I suspect most of the licenses canceled by the FCC are SKs).

The vanity callsign program has actually helped in this regard because if
someone

wants an SK's call, FCC first has to cancel the old license.
>
> So I'm convinced (no hard proof, of course) that the number of actual hams
>who
>are interested, active and involved and even basically informed, is far fewer
>than the license numbers would cause one to believe.

That has been the case for longer than I've been licensed.
>
>> Unfortunately the more interesting question, which is what the 50,000
>>number
>> would have been had the high-speed code tests not been removed, is
>impossible
>> to answer with certainty. One can only speculate.
>>
>> > We were supposed to experience a Digital
>> >Revolution in amateur radio that would give us virtual internet-like data
>> >transfer capabilities, without any user fees! What happened???
>>
>> I think they only restructured licensing and license requirements, but
>> not physics. Whether the end result of restructuring on technology used
>> by amateurs turns out better or worse than it would have been without
>> restructuring is, however, another of those questions whose answer cannot
>> be known. You need to know two outcomes to make a comparison, but we'll
>> only know the outcome with restructuring.
>
> One thing we can be sure of - the Internet and other current technology has
>siphoned off a good number of prospective hams.

Yup.

>Cellphones with generous or no
>time limits have even killed off the "Honey bring home a gallon of milk and a
>loaf of bread" crowd as a source of nocode licensees. Many of them have
>abandoned
>ham radio as no longer useful in the cellphone age but remain active in the
>license database and thus count in the totals. No one knows how many.
>
> The bottom line is as it has always been- only those who are intrigued by
>the 'magic' of radio and find a certain 'romance' in communicating over the
>airwaves will become hams, though many of the nocode techs seem to have
>missed out on that
>as a reason. Technology of today makes that attraction a faded phenomena for
>far more people than was once the case. Satellites, cellphones and the
Internet.
>Just what is it about ham radio that you find so different and attractive?
they
>ask.

If they have to ask, no answer is adequate.

I saw an interesting article about this a few years back. Basically, the reasons
people become hams are three:

1) They want to communicate
2) They want to mess around with radio technology
3) They want to operate 2 way radio stations

Most hams have a mixture of these three reasons, in varying amounts. The honeydew folks are almost pure Reason 1, and have all but disappeared in areas with good cheap cellphone coverage. Cheap manufactured gear has put a big dent in Reason 2, as well.

> You're certainly right- there's no way anyone can know the true numbers.
>Trends are another matter.

What's impossible to gauge is all of the various overlapping influences. For example,
ham radio has historically grown well in bad economic times and not done so well
during boomtimes - note how the stagnation of the '60s turned into the growth of the
'70s and early '80s. And the fastest growth in the history of US ham radio happened
during the Great Depression, despite the fact that the regs had gotten much tougher
in 1929.

73 de Jim, N2EY

276. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 01:39:59 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021105203959.24115.00004129@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 54316

> Because the Internet is not ham radio.
>

Of course it isnt, thats why it works so well, why would anyone wont to use an outdated service like Ham Radio?

277. Homebrewers parts sources

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 01:56:13 UTC
From: k0hb@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <4f903e52.0211051756.37cbb69a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54317

http://www.globalspec.com/ProductFinder/Telecommunications_Networking/RF_Microwave_Wireless_Components?se=ggpp

278. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 02:40:42 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211051840.2b6ee026@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54318

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DC783DE.5B35227D@townsqr.com>...

> The only flaw in that thinking that I'm familiar with is the fact that there are
> sure to be hundreds of thousands of hams who have lost all interest other than
> renewing their license against the day when they may regain it.

I wonder if that's anything like claiming that a cw rig could be
cobbled together at any time, from just about anything, and used to
communicate successfully, and believes it so much so that he wants
everyone else to learn cw and believe like he believes?

But then misses an invitation to have a cw qso with Hans because he
wasn't where his store bought radio was.

That kind of lost interest?

279. Re: ARRL members. Vote for me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 02:45:48 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211051845.10cdc31e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54319
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

"TwoLip" <Anon@Anon.com> wrote in message news:<aq80u5$t2a$0@pita.alt.net>...
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:f45722ac.0211041833.7b6d786d@posting.google.com...
> > Candidates@arrl.org (Ray Deo) wrote in message
> news:<9s83nd.candi.votes.3348.news@arrl.org>...
> > > Dear ARRL members and Nickel extra's,
> > >
> > > I'm running for a position on the ARRL Board of Directors.
> > > I've been a ham since 1987 and an extra class since
> > > 1989. I've always worked and wanted what is best for amateur
> > > radio, but now I see the light. If you elect me to the ARRL Board of
> > > Directors, I promise I'll voluntarily stick my head up my ass like all
> > > previous and current ARRL Board members have done since 1997, and I
> promise to
> > > work with my colleagues on the Board in their continuing efforts
> > > to further dumb down amateur radio.
> > >
> > > Thanks for your support
> > >
> > > Ray Deo
> >
> > Ray, not nice. Come up with something substantive - like Dave Heil
> > has - and more people will pay attention.
>
> Any way you cut it there is no really good reason to join the ARRL. Perhaps
> this is why 75% of licensed Hams steer clear of the ARRL. Ask yourself what
> you gain versus what you spend.
> The ARRL is to blame. They offer nothing save for a magazine and a logo.
> Gee...why bother? Why waste the money?

> I certainly do not need the ARRL....do you?

No. The ARRL needs me. They need MEMBERS to dissent, not
disinterested amateurs who have no vision of the future of amateur
radio.

280. Re: ARRL members. Vote for me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 02:52:07 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211051852.76fc3aaf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54320
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

BDKING <kingrat@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
news:<MPG.1831c4c1d1daf7c9989749@news.buckeye-express.com>...

> Back in the old days it was a good thing, but starting with the incentive
> liscensing, the ARRL has done more to screw up ham radio than help it.
> The CW requirement turned off a ton of people, including myself. I did
> pass the 5WPM almost 30 years ago, but working CW was/is something I
> never wanted to do. I tried several times to get to 13WPM, but I get to
> about 8WPM, and I couldn't take it anymore, it annoys the crap out of me,
> regardless of what pitch I listen to it at. I never understood the CW
> fanatics anyway, I had no objections to making the written test harder,
> but they didn't seem to understand what a turn off it is to some people,
> and they managed to keep the higher speed requirement until it's probably
> too late to generate any real increase in new hams. The internet is a
> much bigger draw to most kids than radio..
>
> Now that I can upgrade without any more code, I have lost most of the
> desire to do so. I haven't even keyed up an HT in about a year or so...
>
>
> BDK

Sometimes when I'm in a McDonalds about rush hour, and all the french
fry and microwave oven and cash register beepers going off at the same
time, I feel like I'm back with my code tapes and breaking pencil
leads.

Talk about anxiety.

281. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 02:54:57 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211051854.25b30a8e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54321

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021105124932.28717.00004399@mb-mv.aol.com>...
> >Bruce, just because you waited around till they changed the standards,
> >then took advantage of the new standards, doesn't mean I have to.
> >
>
> Your full of it, I was an advanced not some Low Life CBplusser like yourself

So you didn't take advantage of lowered standards?

How did you do it then?

How is it possible for the VE's to administer an exam from an expired question pool?

282. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 03:01:28 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211051901.713b4d5e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54323

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3DC73F43.AB0D39FF@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DC6A710.8857C26F@earthlink.net>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> >
> > > > Dee, I have never looked down upon no-coders either. However, when I
> > > > returned to the USA in December 1991, with my "technician" license, I
> > > > was thought to be a no-coder and did take a lot of crap from
> > > > "superior" hams. And why should you be upset with no-coders? I'm
> > > > not. Lots of people don't want to jump through unnecessary and
> > > > irrelavent government hoops.
> > >
> > > I might decide that paying my taxes is unnecessary and irrelevant. I
> > > might decide that the speed limits are unnecessary and irrelevant. I
> > > might take it into my head that the secrecy forms I signed when joing
> > > the Foreign Service are simply government hoops.
> >
> > You might. Do so and see how far it gets you.
>
> ...about as far as your whining about code testing has gotten you here.
> The principle is the same. You simply decide which regulations strike
> you as unnecessary or irrelevant, place chip on shoulder, strike a pose
> and dare anyone to knock the chip off.

I think you have me confused with a Duracell commercial.

> > > How did you come to take "crap" from other hams? Did you walk into a
> > > conversation with that omnipresent chip on your shoulder
> >
> > No, that was Larry.
>
> Oh, you walked into a conversation with Larry while wearing your chip.

If you say so.

> > > or were they
> > > giving you guff about bootlegging from Somalia?
>
> > I said "superior" hams. The guff I get about that is just from you guys.
>
> So you wisely decided on damage control by keeping mum on the Somalia
> stuff after finding out that the "superior" hams weren't impressed by
> you?

>
> Dave K8MN

Say what you want, I had permission. Do you still think a military commander cannot grant permission to operate in Somalia? You are neither a government official of Somalia, a military commander, nor a compliance agent of the FCC.

But you do make a lot of noise like a banty rooster.

283. Re: ARRL members. Vote for me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 03:13:04 UTC
From: BDKING <kingrat@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.183252c9e8b459ac98974b@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 54322
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

In article <f45722ac.0211051852.76fc3aaf@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com says...
> BDKING <kingrat@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
news:<MPG.1831c4c1d1daf7c9989749@news.buckeye-express.com>...
>
> > Back in the old days it was a good thing, but starting with the incentive
> > liscensing, the ARRL has done more to screw up ham radio than help it.
> > The CW requirement turned off a ton of people, including myself. I did
> > pass the 5WPM almost 30 years ago, but working CW was/is something I
> > never wanted to do. I tried several times to get to 13WPM, but I get to
> > about 8WPM, and I couldn't take it anymore, it annoys the crap out of me,
> > regardless of what pitch I listen to it at. I never understood the CW
> > fanatics anyway, I had no objections to making the written test harder,
> > but they didn't seem to understand what a turn off it is to some people,
> > and they managed to keep the higher speed requirement until it's probably
> > too late to generate any real increase in new hams. The internet is a
> > much bigger draw to most kids than radio..
> >
> > Now that I can upgrade without any more code, I have lost most of the
> > desire to do so. I haven't even keyed up an HT in about a year or so...
> >
> >
> > BDK
>
> Sometimes when I'm in a McDonalds about rush hour, and all the french
> fry and microwave oven and cash register beepers going off at the same
> time, I feel like I'm back with my code tapes and breaking pencil
> leads.
>
> Talk about anxiety.
>

It seemed like the code tapes were at exactly the right pitch to drive me
up the wall almost instantly. If I can drop it down to about 150hz or
so, it's ok for a few minutes, but at 800, it's like nails on a
chalkboard!! It's funny, but the nails on a chalkboard never bothered me
until I hit 40, now it kills me..

BDK

284. Re: Early 1900's spark station

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 03:17:05 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DC889CC.8070903@adelphia.net>
Source record: 54324

Len Over 21 wrote:

> There are plenty of web sites of historical interest in old radio other
> than the ARRL. Try searching for "Thomas H. White" to find a
> great deal of historical information on old radio days from a larger
> radio world perspective.

Thanks, Len. I'm looking right now.

Mike -KB3EIA -

285. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 03:21:09 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211051921.71450a7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54325

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021105085030.02710.00001487@mb-dd.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 11/4/02 2:26 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <20021104152617.25604.00000304@mb-cp.aol.com>
>
> >>Afterall why should a person answer any questions about PSK, RTTY, Amtor,
> >>Packet, propagation, eletronic theory, and so on when all they ever intend
> >>to do is pick up a mike and talk. If CW is irrelevant so is everything else
> >>but the FCC rules.
>
> > No. The FCC does not mandate exclusive OOK CW use by any
> > licensed radio amateur...therefore the rationale for continued morse
> > testing disappears.
>
> Nor does the FCC "mandate" any of the modes cited in the above, and the
> "logic" of testing for modes that the candidate may or may not elect to use IS
> comparable to the arguments being used by the NCTA (namely YOU, Lennie...)
> against Morse Code testing.

Thus, why a separate, exclusive ~quasi-Morse~ exam?

> >Many radio amateurs considered the establishment of Citizens
> > Band radio, class C and D, in 1958 to be "chaos." That was 44
> > years ago, two generations since. There was never any test of
> > any kind for CB, the initial licensing a formality for the first few
> > years.
>
> And you wouldn't call what presently exists on 11 meters "chaos"..?!?!
>
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! !

Is that anything like 11M laughter?

> I challenged you before to drive across town with a "legal" CB and try to
> conduct "legal" Part 95 operation on any legal CB channel, Your Witlessness. I
> reintroduce the challenge.

Then how do you do it? How does anyone else do it? 100% of the pers
on CB are illegal?

How did you laugh again? Bahaaaa?

> >Having started in HF radio communications in early 1953, I've never
> >had any reason, requirement, or specification (for electronic design

> >engineering) to use or specify any OOK CW.
>
> Congratulations. We are so impressed. Not.
>
> >I cannot fathom this "attachment" to an archaic human skill that can
> >be done by only a small percentage of humans.
>
> I cannot fathom your obsession with imposing your meaningless drivvel into
> an Internet newsgroup for an avocation of which you have no vested interest and
> have expressed open contempt for, but you do it.

He has an interest.

> As for Morse Code being able to be done by "only a small percentage of
> humans", I dare you to produce the study that backs that up.

Just look at us.

> >There is NO valid
> > justification for tested, demonstrated skill in morsemanship for any
> > radio license having privileges of operation below 30 MHz...except by
> > an equally-archaic ITU-R recommendation, S25.5.
>
> "Subjective opinion".

Um, that as much as the FCC said.

> >Len Anderson
> > Life Member IEEE
>
> Putz

Is that another CB phrase?

286. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 03:33:14 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211051933.42b8cbac@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54326

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20021104152614.25604.00000302@mb-cp.aol.com>...

> US military draft ended on 27 Jan 73. For 29 years the US
> military has been entirely voluntary. One cannot say the same
> for morse code testing for an amateur radio license having
> privileges below 30 MHz. The PCTA is still "at war!" :-)
>
> Len Anderson
> Life Member IEEE
> ex-RA16408336 (a volunteer when the draft was law)

They're still trying to conscript pers into the Morse Service.

Meanwhile, I think chopstick use is a much more important skill than morse. I can think of needing to eat and stay alive in situations where I won't have a fork handy, but not of a severe enough nature to require a radio to order from the Oily Wok. And I'll have my chopsticks at the ready when the ChiComs overrun our position, waiting for Hopsing to serve me a steaming plate of rice, kimchi, and a couple of yaki mandu and fish eye kim bop.

Brian
Life Member REAL LIFE

287. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 03:39:00 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211051938.d91c164@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54327

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DC747EA.5F5E1EC6@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DC5F6EC.C7DC67D0@townsqr.com>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DC28FCB.18DA600D@townsqr.com>...
> > > > > JJ wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021031143726.10201.00000081@mb-ch.aol.com>...
> > > > > > > > >Morse code tells you as much about a person's technical abilities as
> > > > > > > > >does his ability to tap dance.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Lloyd:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Right -- but it tells you everything about his abilities as a capable and
> > > > > > > > > effective amateur radio communications provider.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > 73 de Larry, K3LT
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Reminds me of the time you created a scenario where only Morse Code
> > > > > > > > could save your victim. You would probably opt to die in real life
> > > > > > > > than accept effective amateur radio communications via repeater-talkie
> > > > > > > > from a "shack on his hip" no-coder.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You're never gonna get it are you Slim? There are still, from time to time,
situations when nothing except
> > > > > > > Morse is available to use. For you that means there is nothing to use.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Name some, not hypothetical made up situations, but real life
> > > > > > situations that have happened.
> > > > >
> > > > > I'm not gonna keep babysitting you guys who don't keep up or pay attention. Get
on Google and find them, they've
> > > > > been posted right here over the past few years.
> > > >

> > > > In other words, The Dick has no examples of life.
> > >
> > > Hans posted the proof just yesterday for you to ignore right along with the Google archive.
> > > Poor fella. Maybe W3RV will see to it you get your case of pacifiers.
> >
> > Yes, he said that there was this great big CW contest and you didn't
> > show up to show your support for the mode that you insist no one can
> > live without.
>
> That isn't what I referred to and you SHOULD know it, assuming your kids aren't doing your reading for you (good
> possibility). He recited a case where he and others in his area used CW on the air during an emergency when other modes
> couldn't handle the job. But you always ignore reality.

How odd that you say that. I read Han's invitation to join him in a CW contest.

> > Hollow words. Hollow mind.
>
> Don't you ever get tired of all the echos?

Its what I've come to expect from you.

288. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 04:00:29 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h54qkym.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 54328

On 06 Nov 2002 01:39:59 GMT, WA8ULX wrote:

>> Because the Internet is not ham radio.
>>
>
>Of course it isnt, thats why it works so well, why would anyone wont
>to use an outdated service like Ham Radio?

Because (a) it's fun,
(b) we hold Federal licenses that permit such operation, and
(c) some of us still regard radio communication as bloody
magic, including some of us who have advanced degrees in
electronics.

<ggg>

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

289. Re: Homebrewers parts sources

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 04:41:36 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021105234136.27831.00002784@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 54329

This is not a POLICY issue.

Try to put postings in the appropriate forum so the forum serves its purpose and doesn't become an "every topic" forum.

This "Homebrewer's parts sources" should go to rec.radio.amateur.homebrew.

290. Re: Homebrewers parts sources

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 04:55:49 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DC8A0F3.2030603@adelphia.net>
Source record: 54330

Vshah101 wrote:

> This is not a POLICY issue.

Nor is 80 percent of what is poosted (sic) here. We all get used to it tho'.

- Mike KB3EIA -

291. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 05:26:42 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC8B657.4D7E793D@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54331

Brian wrote:

> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DC783DE.5B35227D@townsqr.com>...
>
> > The only flaw in that thinking that I'm familiar with is the fact that there are
> > sure to be hundreds of thousands of hams who have lost all interest other than
> > renewing their license against the day when they may regain it.
>
> I wonder if that's anything like claiming that a cw rig could be
> cobbled together at any time, from just about anything, and used to
> communicate successfully, and believes it so much so that he wants
> everyone else to learn cw and believe like he believes?
>
> But then misses an invitation to have a cw qso with Hans because he
> wasn't where his store bought radio was.
>
> That kind of lost interest?

If any of that was anything more than the speculation of a strange mind, it still wouldn't be anything nearly as lame as your case, sitting on your lazy ass whining about the test requirements for decades just because you thought it over and decided that some part of the testing didn't meet your personal definition of appropriate.

292. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 05:45:58 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC8BADB.890E60D6@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54332

Brian wrote:

>
> > That isn't what I referred to and you SHOULD know it, assuming your kids aren't doing your reading for you (good
> > possibility). He recited a case where he and others in his area used CW on the air during an emergency when other modes
> > couldn' handle the job. But you always ignore reality.t
>
> How odd that you say that. I read Han's invitation to join him in a CW contest.

Your reading comprehension is no better than your mental cognization. He noted AFTER the contest that he had worked a few rrap
CW ops. Maybe your kids ARE doing your reading for you. I didn't get on at all simply because I wasn't home and decided it
wouldn't be proper etiquette to drill holes in the brick home of my host so as to run antenna cables outside. So I left my
portable rig at home. Got a problem with that? You would.

As it happens, I don't care that much for contests, though I will sometimes work them for a while just to give out contacts to
those who do it seriously for score. I much prefer snooping for the weak signals of DX stations below the band clutter or just
plain relaxing ragchewing. Got a problem with that? You would.

> > > Hollow words. Hollow mind.
> >
> > Don't you ever get tired of all the echos?

>
> Its what I've come to expect from you.

It'll be cold day down below when you hear any echos from me.

293. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 05:55:34 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aqb50h53op@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54339

> Does wireless networking reliably cover paths of tens of miles from one
TX/RX
> site to another? Packet does. Signal strengths that are below useless
for
> FM/SSB voice can still carry packet messages with perfect copy. Packet is
a
> perfect low-tech communications solution for emergency situations -- just
like
> CW.
>
Well.... There is an wireless internet provider in the Mt. Pleasant, MI area that has an effective coverage range of 20-30 miles right now as we speak! Taking that similar technology and applying it towards ham usage would be great. Blows the doors right off of 300-1200 baud rates. In regards to just passing messages the current packet structure works fine, but for any of the other "enjoyment" factors of utilizing wireless digital comm's the speed is just not there. There are technologies currently allowing speeds that make packet look like a stone-age item.

I am considering moving to a township that is within that coverage area described above, merely to get faster connection speeds that 28.8k (with a 56k modem) due to the cruddy phone lines in this area. Currently in my rural area DSL and Cablemodems are STILL not available!!! :((Gotta love Charter Communications and Ameritech!)

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

294. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 05:56:44 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aqb50i63op@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54338

True.... but that could also be said about 15-20 other bands/modes/times of day etc....

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

> >
> > But forget trying to use it on HF. It's virtually useless.
>
> Dick:
>
> Perhaps if more people were using packet on HF, it wouldn't be so useless!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

295. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 11:40:24 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211060340.58bfe119@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54333

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<aq6su7$3c4$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>...
> I worked lots of kids with those Heath lunchbox.

In my case I wuz not a kid when I fired up my 2m Lunchbox. I wuz most
of the way thru eng school and well into my second hunnert dxcc
countries. Wherin lies my primary interest in 2m comms. Then and still
is. There's a reason for that.

> I got me a Heath sixer
> here and it still works fine.

Izzit a yellow version with Civil Defense decals?

> Remember how you would call CQ for ten or more minutes then announce what
> part of two meters you were listening to for a call?

Never called a CQ above 30Mhz in me life and never tuned for a qso
either. That might change.

> Those old rushboxes
> made tuning e a s y hi.

Superhissorodynes? Something like that wasn't it?

> Unless you had two or more stations on the same
> band that is... hi.

That's absolute fact, which is howcum doing any "tuning around" din
matter, ya got the whole band like it or not. Not that it mattered, as
you point out 2m was not exactly flooded with signals.

Verbosity on my part beckons. Again.

Obviously we all come from different directions in ham radio,
different hot buttons, different "visions", different incentives for
getting into the hobby in the first place, etc. I have mine. At least
part of which involves this thread.

I built my first of several HF rcvrs as a member of the single-digit
age set 1946-47 and got eaten up by swl dxing. I eventually took my
hobby to the next level and got into ham radio in 1953 or so as a
Novice when I wuz in high school so that I could go dxing both ways.
My first q as a General was with g5zk on 3.505 the day my license
arrived and it's only gotten better since then. Close to six decades
later HF dxing is 95% of the reason I'm still in the hobby.

Wherin lies the only reason I bothered with the Lunchbox. Once I got into ham radio and it became known that I was into dxing I became involved with the far more experienced "neighborhood" dxers. Most of those not very surprisingly were/are members of the Frankford Radio Club which is one of the Big Three dxers & dx contest clubs in the U.S. and which is based here in Philly as it has been since 1927.

As is still the case they were into recruiting and twisting young clueless dx chasing munchkins like myself into becoming hardcore FRC dx contest point generators and I got ate up at that level too. Ya join, there are "expectations". Peer presure is hell when yer 16. Insidious old farts they wuz but in retrospect I got no bitches. Part of their whole scene was their vhf comms.

The "dx spots networks" were not magically hatched come 2m packet in the early '80s, far from it. Spots networks had been fully implemented four decades earlier when these guys were sharing real-time spots on their 5m AM freq in the late '30s. After The War it moved to 6m AM. By the time I got into it in the 1958-60 timeframe the network was in the process of moving to 2m thus cometh my Lunchbox. With all due respect I barely considered 2m ops as real ham radio. The Luncbox was nothing more to me than a regional intercom, a station peripheral which was my "spots net I/O device". I got it running on the freq and from there the only controls I used were the power switch and the tx/rx switch. Which is not to say that we didn't have a lot of fun yakking at each other on the freq between contests of course. But come a contest however and iron-fisted no-BSing discipline ruled. I'm still known for very terse vhf phone ops.

The club net went from there to FM simplex a few years later, then to the club machine which still booms the region, then to packet. Interesting thrashes all the way and I'm glad I was there to be part of it. Today there are no spots on phone, they're in the cluster so ya can yak yer brains out on the machine and nobody tells ya "Shaddup dummy, there's a contest underway!".

The usual progression scenario from '30s 5m AM to New Millenium 2m packet for the spots comms.

Heh.

>
> Dan/W4NTI

w3rv (lower case)(the shift key on my paddles is broke)

296. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 12:10:01 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211060410.29e75103@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54334

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DC8B657.4D7E793D@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DC783DE.5B35227D@townsqr.com>...
> >
> > > The only flaw in that thinking that I'm familiar with is the fact that there are
> > > sure to be hundreds of thousands of hams who have lost all interest other than
> > > renewing their license against the day when they may regain it.
> >
> > I wonder if that's anything like claiming that a cw rig could be
> > cobbled together at any time, from just about anything, and used to
> > communicate successfully, and believes it so much so that he wants
> > everyone else to learn cw and believe like he believes?
> >
> > But then misses an invitation to have a cw qso with Hans because he
> > wasn't where his store bought radio was.
> >
> > That kind of lost interest?
>
>
>
> If any of that was anything more than the speculation of a strange mind, it still
wouldn't
> be anything nearly as lame as your case, sitting on your lazy ass whining about the test
> requirements for decades just because you thought it over and decided that some part of
the
> testing didn't meet your personal definition of appropriate.

Oh, I had an interest. So much so that I petitioned my government to
remove outdated, irrelevant, and superfluous testing requirements from
the amateur radio service.

Sometimes going against the flow takes guts and a lot more work.
Other people just go with the flow, and ask "How high?"

Meanwhile you missed an opportunity to show the world that you
actually practice what you preach. Or do you just preach?

297. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 13:07:10 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021106080710.04214.00000309@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 54335

In article <3DC74336.2000709@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
writes:

>> I wouldn't worry about it, Mike. When one considers that most of the
>> repeaters are laying quiet now anyway, it couldn't be a bad thing to double
>the
>> size of Amateur Radio...not that it will happen.

The more the merrier, I say.
>>
>> My bet is that even if we dropped the code test today, once we got
>>past
>> the first 90 day "honeymoon", we'd be right back to our single digit growth
>> rate. I seriously doubt Amateur Radio will ever top 1M licensees and it
>will
>> never see that many ACTIVE licensees. I bet that dropping the code
>tomorrow
>> would see us get to 750K and top out there.

Probably not even that much. Note the growth before and after the 1991 and 2001
changes, and you'll see that after the initial surge the growth settles down
to not much more than the old rate.

It's kind of like selling VCRs. At first, VCRs were expensive, and few people
had them. Every time the price dropped, sales jumped. For me, $300 was the
"buy" level. Now you can get one for a third of that.

But somewhere along the way, the market saturated, meaning that almost everyone
who wants a VCR has one. VCR sales are now driven by replacement of old ones,
upgrading to a fancier model, and population increases. For most folks,
dropping the price will not cause them to run out and buy a VCR.

> Probably correct, Steve. Let's face it, there will probably never be a
>large segment of the population who ever even think about becoming hams.
>It just isn't that sort of hobby. Not that that is a bad thing.
>
> At this point, it might be interesting if Jim could get us some idea of

>number of Hams as a percentage of total population. Care to chime in, Jim?

Here ya go. All numbers are approximate:

1930: US population 122 million, US hams 18,000, 1 ham per 6778 Americans

1940: US population 131 million, US hams 50,000, 1 ham per 2620 Americans

1950: US population 150 million, US hams 90,000, 1 ham per 1667 Americans

1960: US population 179 million, US hams 230,000, 1 ham per 779 Americans

1970: US population 223 million, US hams 270,000, 1 ham per 825 Americans

1980: US population 227 million, US hams 350,000, 1 ham per 649 Americans

1990: US population 249 million US hams 550,000, 1 ham per 453 Americans

2000: US population 281 million, US hams 683,000, 1 ham per 411 Americans

Even using these approximate numbers, it's clear that the trend has been steadily upwards in terms of population, with the exception of the 1960s, when the US population boomed but the US ham population virtually stagnated. Most of the reasons for that low growth had little to do with ham radio itself (Vietnam, civil rights, baby boom, women's lib, etc).

73 de Jim, N2EY

298. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 13:28:37 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021106082837.02664.00001764@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 54336

>Subject: Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/5/02 2:34 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021105153406.16646.00000193@mb-cr.aol.com>

>[the word "service" in Title 47 CFR denotes a type and kind
> of radio activity...it is a regulatory term, not a per se or de
> facto term equivalent to "public service"]

Sigh.....tired of reiterating the obvious to The Supreme Idiot.

47CFR97.1 >>S P E C I F I C A L L Y<< cites the Amateur Radio Service's expectation of providing PUBLIC SERVICE as part of it's "Basis and Purpose".

>A problem in an open-access, unmoderated newsgroup is that
> many with long tenure as licensees have the "turf" view of
> virtual "ownership" over who can say what.

No, Your Smegmaness, our biggest "complaint" is having spineless scum like YOU trying to "tell" us how things should be based upon your NON Amateur Radio related experiences...

>Len Anderson
> Life Member IEEE

You forgot "Putz Extrodinaire"

299. Re: Homebrewers parts sources

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 13:34:31 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021106083431.02664.00001766@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 54337

>Subject: Re: Homebrewers parts sources
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 11/5/02 10:41 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021105234136.27831.00002784@mb-mf.aol.com>

>This is not a POLICY issue.

So who the !@#$ are you to make these pronouncements?

Just another anonymous troll, ALLEGING to be a licensed Amateur, hiding behind an AOL screen name with no given name, no call sign...Not even an "AOL" profile.

Stick it, "Vshah"...

>This "Homebrewer's parts sources" should go to rec.radio.amateur.homebrew.

Would you care to be told where YOU should go?

Steve, K4YZ

300. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 14:03:51 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021106090351.29169.00000232@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 54340

>Sometimes going against the flow takes guts and a lot more work.

Guts my ASS, in your case it was pure whinning, or because of your inability or desire to put forth the effort. Now all you clowns have left is your desire to try and justify your Dumbed Down License. Face it, without the Standards being lowered to alloy you access to HF, you would still be on the outside looking in. And most likely
you would be still Whinning how you were being mistreated because you couldnt or wouldnt learn the Basic material to be a real ham.

301. Republicans WIN BIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 14:05:55 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021106090555.29169.00000233@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 54341

I bet all you CBplussers and No-Coders are crying this Morning.

302. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 15:16:41 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC9409F.7A8CCD59@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54342

Brian wrote:

>
> Oh, I had an interest. So much so that I petitioned my government to
> remove outdated, irrelevant, and superfluous testing requirements from
> the amateur radio service.
>

No, you sat on your whining ass and blamed it all on someone else.

>
> Sometimes going against the flow takes guts and a lot more work.
> Other people just go with the flow, and ask "How high?"
>

You? guts and work? har de har har!!!!!

> Meanwhile you missed an opportunity to show the world that you
> actually practice what you preach. Or do you just preach?

It's more than clear that you have no idea what I've been talking about all this time.Of course
that's all *my* fault, too.

303. Re: ARRL members. Vote for me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 15:48:39 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqbdku$b9b$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54343
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

I thought you were going to say...you thought you were back in school
learning how to read pictures for the McDonald job.

Dan/W4NTI

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0211051852.76fc3aaf@posting.google.com...
> BDKING <kingrat@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
news:<MPG.1831c4c1d1daf7c9989749@news.buckeye-express.com>...
>
> > Back in the old days it was a good thing, but starting with the
incentive
> > liscensing, the ARRL has done more to screw up ham radio than help it.
> > The CW requirement turned off a ton of people, including myself. I did
> > pass the 5WPM almost 30 years ago, but working CW was/is something I
> > never wanted to do. I tried several times to get to 13WPM, but I get to
> > about 8WPM, and I couldn't take it anymore, it annoys the crap out of
me,
> > regardless of what pitch I listen to it at. I never understood the CW
> > fanatics anyway, I had no objections to making the written test harder,
> > but they didn't seem to understand what a turn off it is to some people,
> > and they managed to keep the higher speed requirement until it's
probably
> > too late to generate any real increase in new hams. The internet is a
> > much bigger draw to most kids than radio..
> >
> > Now that I can upgrade without any more code, I have lost most of the
> > desire to do so. I haven't even keyed up an HT in about a year or so...
> >
> >
> > BDK
>
> Sometimes when I'm in a McDonalds about rush hour, and all the french
> fry and microwave oven and cash register beepers going off at the same
> time, I feel like I'm back with my code tapes and breaking pencil
> leads.
>
> Talk about anxiety.

304. Re: ARRL members. Vote for me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 15:50:27 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqbdluvar1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54344
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

Any excuse is a good one right? All you have to do is reduce the frequency
of the tone. The testing teams are required to accomidate you.

Dan/W4NTI

"BDKING" <kingrat@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.183252c9e8b459ac98974b@news.buckeye-express.com...
> In article <f45722ac.0211051852.76fc3aaf@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com says...
> > BDKING <kingrat@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
news:<MPG.1831c4c1d1daf7c9989749@news.buckeye-express.com>...
> >
> > > Back in the old days it was a good thing, but starting with the
incentive
> > > liscensing, the ARRL has done more to screw up ham radio than help it.
> > > The CW requirement turned off a ton of people, including myself. I did
> > > pass the 5WPM almost 30 years ago, but working CW was/is something I
> > > never wanted to do. I tried several times to get to 13WPM, but I get
to
> > > about 8WPM, and I couldn't take it anymore, it annoys the crap out of
me,
> > > regardless of what pitch I listen to it at. I never understood the CW
> > > fanatics anyway, I had no objections to making the written test
harder,
> > > but they didn't seem to understand what a turn off it is to some
people,
> > > and they managed to keep the higher speed requirement until it's
probably
> > > too late to generate any real increase in new hams. The internet is a
> > > much bigger draw to most kids than radio..
> > >
> > > Now that I can upgrade without any more code, I have lost most of the
> > > desire to do so. I haven't even keyed up an HT in about a year or
so...
> > >
> > >
> > > BDK
> >
> > Sometimes when I'm in a McDonalds about rush hour, and all the french
> > fry and microwave oven and cash register beepers going off at the same
> > time, I feel like I'm back with my code tapes and breaking pencil
> > leads.
> >
> > Talk about anxiety.

> >
>
>
> It seemed like the code tapes were at exactly the right pitch to drive me
> up the wall almost instantly. If I can drop it down to about 150hz or
> so, it's ok for a few minutes, but at 800, it's like nails on a
> chalkboard!! It's funny, but the nails on a chalkboard never bothered me
> until I hit 40, now it kills me..
>
> BDK

305. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 15:55:11 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqbe10$t9p$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54345

The government caputulated like this once before in my memory. They called it 'bussing'.

Dan/W4NTI

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message news:20021106090351.29169.00000232@mb-ba.aol.com...
> >Sometimes going against the flow takes guts and a lot more work.
>
> Guts my ASS, in your case it was pure whinning, or because of your inability or
> desire to put forth the effort. Now all you clowns have left is your desire to
> try and justify your Dumbed Down License. Face it, without the Standards being
> lowered to alloy you access to HF, you would still be on the outside looking
> in. And most likely
> you would be still Whinning how you were being mistreated because you couldnt
> or wouldnt learn the Basic material to be a real ham.

306. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 15:56:42 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqbe41$l50$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54346

Careful Dick....he will call in his liberal buddies to beat you up.

Dan/W4NTI

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3DC9409F.7A8CCD59@townsqr.com...
>
>
> Brian wrote:
>
> >
> > Oh, I had an interest. So much so that I petitioned my government to
> > remove outdated, irrelevant, and superfluous testing requirements from
> > the amateur radio service.
> >
>
> No, you sat on your whining ass and blamed it all on someone else.
>
> >
> > Sometimes going against the flow takes guts and a lot more work.
> > Other people just go with the flow, and ask "How high?"
> >
>
> You? guts and work? har de har har!!!!!
>
>
> > Meanwhile you missed an opportunity to show the world that you
> > actually practice what you preach. Or do you just preach?
>
> It's more than clear that you have no idea what I've been talking about
all this time.Of course
> that's all *my* fault, too.
>

307. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 16:01:24 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqbeku$v70$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54347

Phil is right...its bloody magic. I sacrifice a goat to my antenna field
the day before a major contest.

Dan/W4NTI

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h54qkym.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On 06 Nov 2002 01:39:59 GMT, WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >> Because the Internet is not ham radio.
> >>
> > >Of course it isnt, thats why it works so well, why would anyone wont
> > >to use an outdated service like Ham Radio?
>
> Because (a) it's fun,
> (b) we hold Federal licenses that permit such operation, and
> (c) some of us still regard radio communication as bloody
> magic, including some of us who have advanced degrees in
> electronics.
>
> <ggg>
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

308. Re: ARRL members. Vote for me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 16:06:22 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021106110622.21371.00003690@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 54348

>I thought you were going to say...you thought you were back in school
>learning how to read pictures for the McDonald job.
>
>Dan/W4NTI

Thats probably true Dan, he could even get a Real Ham License untill they started giving them Away.

309. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 16:12:08 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqbeu9ouh1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54349

Very interesting indeed. No my lunchbox is a beige color and no CD stickers. I did have a Gonset Communicator (2m) II that had CD stickers on it and that is what I used to tune the 'whole band'.. hi.

Dan/W4NTI
"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message news:d22b54c3.0211060340.58bfe119@posting.google.com...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message news:<aq6su7$3c4$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>...
> > I worked lots of kids with those Heath lunchbox.
>
> In my case I wuz not a kid when I fired up my 2m Lunchbox. I wuz most
> of the way thru eng school and well into my second hunnert dxcc
> countries. Wherin lies my primary interest in 2m comms. Then and still
> is. There's a reason for that.
>
> > I got me a Heath sixer
> > here and it still works fine.
>
> Izzit a yellow version with Civil Defense decals?
>
> > Remember how you would call CQ for ten or more minutes then announce what
> > part of two meters you were listening to for a call?
>
> Never called a CQ above 30Mhz in me life and never >

310. Re: Republicans WIN BIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 16:14:17 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqbffo$nb2$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54350

Think we could get the FCC to get rid of incentive licensing ???

Dan/W4NTI

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021106090555.29169.00000233@mb-ba.aol.com...
> I bet all you CBplussers and No-Coders are crying this Morning.

311. Re: Republicans WIN BIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 16:36:18 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021106113618.11293.00003931@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 54501

>Think we could get the FCC to get rid of incentive licensing ???
>
>Dan/W4NTI

Dan I think you mean decentive licensing. I will bet you Brian and probably Andy have a picture of Bill and Hillary Tattoed on his lieberal body.

312. Re: Where's CAM been?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 17:26:34 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211060926.c72960f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54503

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:<aq94d471dit@enews2.newsguy.com>...

>
> I kinda sorta see this group as a family..... albeit dysfunctional

. . understatement of the week.

RRAP is not the only dot policy NG, there are a jillion of 'em and
they're all notorious and they all have a several characteristics in
common: (a) There are always at least a few far-end senior gurus in
the field. (b) They all draw an inordinate number of cranks per
capita. (c) There are always a few utterly clueless nitwits looking
for a life. (d) there are always lurkers who read but never log on.
(e) Most of the bandwith is sucked up by keyboard fist fights.

> Either way, newsgroups are more of an
> entertainment source for me, as I can peruse them while at work, and once in
> a while, I might find something worthwhile to learn too!

One of the founding principles of the Internet is to be an asset to
education. The opportunity to learn is why I spend a lot of time
surfing the technical newsgroups like dot antennas and others outside
ham radio, in my profession, etc. Those are real resources. RRAP is
not.

> (Never take sh*t
> on here toooooo serious, it is not worth it.)

There isn't a dot policy NG anywhere which can possibly be taken
seriously.

> --
> Ryan, KC8PMX
> FF/MFR
> REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
>

> >
> > He's all over dot antennas. Much more intelligent way to spend yer
> > time than is futzing around in this funny farm.
> >
> > w3rv

w3rv

313. Re: ARRL members. Vote for me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 17:36:38 UTC
From: BDKING <kingrat@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.18331d35523fc477989752@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 54502
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

In article <aqbdluvar1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>,
w4ntinospam@mindspring.com says...
> Any excuse is a good one right? All you have to do is reduce the frequency
> of the tone. The testing teams are required to accomidate you.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "BDKING" <kingrat@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
> news:MPG.183252c9e8b459ac98974b@news.buckeye-express.com...
> > In article <f45722ac.0211051852.76fc3aaf@posting.google.com>,
> > brianburke@juno.com says...
> > > BDKING <kingrat@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
> news:<MPG.1831c4c1d1daf7c9989749@news.buckeye-express.com>...
> > >
> > > > Back in the old days it was a good thing, but starting with the
> incentive
> > > > liscensing, the ARRL has done more to screw up ham radio than help it.
> > > > The CW requirement turned off a ton of people, including myself. I did
> > > > pass the 5WPM almost 30 years ago, but working CW was/is something I
> > > > never wanted to do. I tried several times to get to 13WPM, but I get
> to
> > > > about 8WPM, and I couldn't take it anymore, it annoys the crap out of
> me,
> > > > regardless of what pitch I listen to it at. I never understood the CW
> > > > fanatics anyway, I had no objections to making the written test
> harder,
> > > > but they didn't seem to understand what a turn off it is to some
> people,
> > > > and they managed to keep the higher speed requirement until it's
> probably
> > > > too late to generate any real increase in new hams. The internet is a
> > > > much bigger draw to most kids than radio..
> > > >
> > > > Now that I can upgrade without any more code, I have lost most of the
> > > > desire to do so. I haven't even keyed up an HT in about a year or
> so...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > BDK
> > >
> > > Sometimes when I'm in a McDonalds about rush hour, and all the french
> > > fry and microwave oven and cash register beepers going off at the same
> > > time, I feel like I'm back with my code tapes and breaking pencil

> > > leads.
> > >
> > > Talk about anxiety.
> > >
> >
> >
> > It seemed like the code tapes were at exactly the right pitch to drive me
> > up the wall almost instantly. If I can drop it down to about 150hz or
> > so, it's ok for a few minutes, but at 800, it's like nails on a
> > chalkboard!! It's funny, but the nails on a chalkboard never bothered me
> > until I hit 40, now it kills me..
> >
> > BDK
>
>
>

I took the 5WPM almost 30 years ago, I'm done with CW, period. I wanted to get the general just to shoot the breeze with my friend down south a couple of times a week. I still might get it, the written is a nonsense test anyway. Some of the questions are insane. As far as any excuse being a good one, as far as CW is concerned, yeah. I resented having it shoved down my throat, kind of like having a religion shoved down my throat when I dont want it. For some hams, CW is a religion. Why, I don't have a clue. I was heavy into RTTY decoding for a while, and I didn't think learning all about it should have been manditory, like the CW fanatics do with it.

I've never seen/heard a test where they could do anything to change the pitch anyway, it seems like it's 800-1K and that's it. It's on a PC, or it's on tape. Not to mention the goofy spacing they used when a friend of mine took the thing about 10 years ago. It was kind of funny, it was like someone just randomly keying dots and dashes. The look on the guys faces that were taking the test was great. Nobody passed, but they went and got another tape and all but two passed on that one. My friend was one of the two, he was rattled by the first tape, and couldn't get calmed down enough to pass. What a waste of 5 hours of standing around!

BDK

314. Re: Homebrewers parts sources

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 18:46:36 UTC
From: k0hb@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <4f903e52.0211061046.11115e4c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54504

vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) wrote in message
news:<20021105234136.27831.00002784@mb-mf.aol.com>...
> This is not a POLICY issue.
>
> Try to put postings in the appropriate forum so the forum serves its purpose
> and doesn't become an "every topic" forum.
>
> This "Homebrewer's parts sources" should go to rec.radio.amateur.homebrew.

Fine, anonymous punk, go put it there then.

It is my POLICY to post things where-ever strikes my at the moment.
That makes it a POLICY issue. So there. Neener, neener, neener!

With all kind wishes,

Hans

315. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 18:50:54 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021106135054.14856.00000048@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 54505

In article <3DC783DE.5B35227D@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>Dennis Ferguson wrote:
>
>> Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:
>> >>As of October 31, 2002:
>> >>
>> >>Novice - 36,506 (decrease of 12,823)
>> >>Tech - 246,075 (increase of 40,651)
>> >>Tech Plus - 74,842 (decrease of 54,415)
>> >>General - 139,423 (increase of 26,746)
>> >>Advanced - 84,534 (decrease of 15,248)
>> >>Extra - 102,975 (increase of 24,225)
>> >>Total - 684,355 (increase of 9,563)
>> >>
>> >>This total is close to, if not higher than, the previous high number.
>> >>
>> >>73 de Jim, N2EY
>> >
>> >Jim:
>> >
>> >But...but...but...I thought we were supposed to GAIN tens of thousands of
>> >eager, bright, computer-literate, technically-savvy young people when the
>> >burden of high-speed code testing for a General-class license or above was
>> >lifted from their shoulders!
>>
>> Note that if the average ham keeps his license for 40 years before tiring
>> of it or kicking the bucket (the 40 year number is the best match for the
>> rate of license expiries observed in the AH0A numbers), then it requires
>> more than 1400 new licensees per month just to keep the total number of
>> hams from shrinking. In the period since restructuring the ARS has been
>> attracting new hams at slightly higher than this rate. Almost 50,000 of
>> those 684,355 hams, or about 7% of the total, obtained their license after
>> restructuring, so there have indeed been "tens of thousands" of new hams
>> gained.
>
> The only flaw in that thinking that I'm familiar with is the fact that there
>are
>sure to be hundreds of thousands of hams who have lost all interest other
>than
>renewing their license against the day when they may regain it. I estimate
>as
>much as 40 to 50 percent of licensees are not active. They have no gear, no

>antennas, no current knowledge of what is happening with ham radio, just a
>license they renew when it comes due because they "might someday use it
>again". I
>know a bunch of people in that category. I think the 40 year thing is
>incorrect
>for that reason if no other. Virtually all hams I ever knew kept renewing the
>license as long as they could do so. And that doesn't address the many silent
>keys who are still in the active database for up to 10 years. I know of a
>number
>of those, too.

Interesting. Knowledge of what silent keys know? :-)

In a recent ARRL website poll, almost 10 percent of respondents
said they had NO amateur radio station equipment.

> So I'm convinced (no hard proof, of course) that the number of actual hams
>who
>are interested, active and involved and even basically informed, is far fewer
>than the license numbers would cause one to believe.

:-)

>> Unfortunately the more interesting question, which is what the 50,000
>number
>> would have been had the high-speed code tests not been removed, is
>impossible
>> to answer with certainty. One can only speculate.

Where is there a "good ham" requirement to demonstrate
high-speed/high-rate morsemanship in amateur radio?

Is "good amateur practice" supposed to be a living museum
for morse code skill?

> One thing we can be sure of - the Internet and other current technology has
>siphoned off a good number of prospective hams. Cellphones with generous or
>no
>time limits have even killed off the "Honey bring home a gallon of milk and a
>loaf of bread" crowd as a source of nocode licensees. Many of them have
>abandoned
>ham radio as no longer useful in the cellphone age but remain active in the
>license database and thus count in the totals. No one knows how many.

The Census Bureau knows, several communications industry
groups know the quantity of cellular telephones...approximately
one in every three US citizens is a cellphone subscriber. That is
roughly 100 million cell phones in the USA.

To miss the average citizens' use of cellphones in public areas
is akin to being both blind and deaf. US and world cellular
telephone subscriber growth has been going on for a decade.

> The bottom line is as it has always been- only those who are intrigued by
>the
>'magic' of radio and find a certain 'romance' in communicating over the
>airwaves
>will become hams, though many of the nocode techs seem to have missed out on
>that
>as a reason. Technology of today makes that attraction a faded phenomena for
>far
>more people than was once the case. Satellites, cellphones and the Internet.
>Just
>what is it about ham radio that you find so different and attractive? they
>ask.

Why do you ask about asking? :-)

Other radio services' radio use has been increasing steadily
since the end of WW2. We've had communications satellites
for two decades to give us quick, reliable international
communications. We've had available direct-dial long-distance
telephony for longer, now abetted by fiber optic cables carrying
tens of thousands of simultaneous telephone conversations.
A quarter of a century ago, humans on earth talked to humans
on the moon. Television is going digital and promises a clearer
picture than possible with old analog modulation methods. The
shortwave broadcast stations already have a digital standard
that has been proven on a three-year on-air testing program.
Government and military users of HF already have an Automatic
Link Establishment standard and are beginning to use it. VHF
and UHF vehicular/portable equipment has become ubiquitous
in business and utility and public safety communications during
the last three decades. GMDSS, instituted by the maritime
world, has replaced the old bottom-of-MF distress calling.

Some old-timers are stuck in standards and practices of their
long-ago youth, touting morse code skills as the ultimate in
amateur radio...and are vainly trying to interest younger people
into their retrograde interests. :-)

Why is there such a "need" to relive the 1930s and 1940s?

Len Anderson
Life Member IEEE (no dues whatsoever, no extra charges)

316. Re: Republicans WIN BIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 21:20:59 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0211061320.135f3721@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54507

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021106090555.29169.00000233@mb-ba.aol.com>...
> I bet all you CBplussers and No-Coders are crying this Morning.

Normally I wouldn't respond to such an obvious troll, BUT...

Let's look at the history:

Incentive Licensing came about during the '60s - JFK/LBJ/Great Society era.

Medical waivers: 1990, by direct "request" of Papa Bush in the Oval Office.

First US nocodetest ham license: 1991 - Papa Bush still in charge, and highly popular.

2000 restructuring: Tail end of Clinton era.

2003 projected end of S25.5: Dubya in the White House, GOP in both houses of Congress.

The pattern should be obvious.

Look who is in charge of the FCC, btw.

73 de Jim, N2EY

317. Re: ARRL members. Vote for me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 21:41:23 UTC
From: Dave Stadt <dhstadt@ameritech.net>
Message-ID: <70gy9.4656$mN6.1821264@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54506
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"BDKING" <kingrat@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message > > >
> > > It seemed like the code tapes were at exactly the right pitch to drive
me
> > > up the wall almost instantly. If I can drop it down to about 150hz or
> > > so, it's ok for a few minutes, but at 800, it's like nails on a
> > > chalkboard!! It's funny, but the nails on a chalkboard never bothered
me
> > > until I hit 40, now it kills me..
> > >
> > > BDK
>
>
> I took the 5WPM almost 30 years ago, I'm done with CW, period. I wanted
> to get the general just to shoot the breeze with my friend down south a
> couple of times a week. I still might get it, the written is a nonsense
> test anyway. Some of the questions are insane. As far as any excuse being
> a good one, as far as CW is concerned, yeah. I resented having it shoved
> down my throat, kind of like having a religion shoved down my throat when
> I dont want it. For some hams, CW is a religion. Why, I don't have a
> clue. I was heavy into RTTY decoding for a while, and I didn't think
> learning all about it should have been manditory, like the CW fanatics do
> with it.
>
> I've never seen/heard a test where they could do anything to change the
> pitch anyway, it seems like it's 800-1K and that's it. It's on a PC, or
> it's on tape. Not to mention the goofy spacing they used when a friend of
> mine took the thing about 10 years ago. It was kind of funny, it was
> like someone just randomly keying dots and dashes. The look on the guys
> faces that were taking the test was great. Nobody passed, but they went
> and got another tape and all but two passed on that one. My friend was
> one of the two, he was rattled by the first tape, and couldn't get calmed
> down enough to pass. What a waste of 5 hours of standing around!
>
>
> BDK

Amateurs having to learn CW is akin to automobile drivers having to learn
the meaning if gee and haw.

318. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 21:50:46 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DC98ED3.2010002@adelphia.net>
Source record: 54508

N2EY wrote:

> Here ya go. All numbers are approximate:
>
> 1930: US population 122 million, US hams 18,000, 1 ham per 6778 Americans
>
> 1940: US population 131 million, US hams 50,000, 1 ham per 2620 Americans
>
> 1950: US population 150 million, US hams 90,000, 1 ham per 1667 Americans
>
> 1960: US population 179 million, US hams 230,000, 1 ham per 779 Americans
>
> 1970: US population 223 million, US hams 270,000, 1 ham per 825 Americans
>
> 1980: US population 227 million, US hams 350,000, 1 ham per 649 Americans
>
> 1990: US population 249 million US hams 550,000, 1 ham per 453 Americans
>
> 2000: US population 281 million, US hams 683,000, 1 ham per 411 Americans
>

Excellent, and thank you Jim! A person would have to put a good spin oon
this to claim that the ARS is dying.

319. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 22:02:31 UTC
From: AC6V <ac6v@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <Xjgy9.5941$Ku.525233@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 54509

Add
1917 --- about 6,000
1928 -- about 17,000
Oct 31 2002 -- 684,355

From Ham History
http://ac6v.com/history.htm

--
I Doubt, Therefore I Might Be
73 From AC6V, Rod In Oceanside, CA
http://ac6v.com

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:3DC98ED3.2010002@adelphia.net...
> N2EY wrote:
>
>
> > Here ya go. All numbers are approximate:
> >
> > 1930: US population 122 million, US hams 18,000, 1 ham per 6778
Americans
> >
> > 1940: US population 131 million, US hams 50,000, 1 ham per 2620
Americans
> >
> > 1950: US population 150 million, US hams 90,000, 1 ham per 1667
Americans
> >
> > 1960: US population 179 million, US hams 230,000, 1 ham per 779
Americans
> >
> > 1970: US population 223 million, US hams 270,000, 1 ham per 825
Americans
> >
> > 1980: US population 227 million, US hams 350,000, 1 ham per 649
Americans
> >
> > 1990: US population 249 million US hams 550,000, 1 ham per 453
Americans
> >
> > 2000: US population 281 million, US hams 683,000, 1 ham per 411
Americans
> >
>

>
> Excellent, and thank you Jim! A person would have to put a good spin oon
> this to claim that the ARS is dying.
>

320. Re: Republicans WIN BIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 22:04:17 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021106170417.17568.00003005@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 54510

>Dubya in the White House, GOP in both
>houses of Congress.

Sounds like you are un Happy that the Dems got beaten BAD

321. Those sparky sounds

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 22:14:12 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DC99451.2050908@adelphia.net>
Source record: 54511

Well, Len and Dick got me started on this spark thing, and I found a good link with audio clips of several different spark modes, including spark telephony. Absolutely fascinating stuff! Lots of other informative stuff there also.

http://www.kwarc.on.ca/hammond/spark.html

- Mike KB3EIA -

322. Re: Republicans WIN BIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 22:36:12 UTC
From: Web <WebFx27@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <wPgy9.2921$h4.1284@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 54512

CB No coders..does he really believe they care?
hard to believe that!

My suggestion...Take a Prozac and relax

then

Take a Viagra and go F*ck yourself

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021106090555.29169.00000233@mb-ba.aol.com...
> I bet all you CBplussers and No-Coders are crying this Morning.

323. CW WHINERS SHUT THE HELL UP !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 23:42:46 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqc9dq$r2f$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54513
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Whine whine whine....all we hear is whine whine whine. You people have it made. All you have to do is memorize 25 letters of Morse Code. Wait around till your good buddy at the club decides its time to give a test. And you go down to your local club and take it.

How would you like to have to save money for a YEAR. Get a train ticket and travel 110 miles to a strange city. Spend the evening and get up at 5 am to get to the Federal Building downtown Pittsburgh PA. Wait in line while they process 300 applications. Sit in a room so large you can almost see the other end of it from the rear. Take the CW test as it is echoing all over the room. Oh BTW I was 13 at the time and my mother had to come with me because I was too young to ride the train alone. One more thing...that was the General at 13 wpm. HARD COPY for one solid minute out of 5minutes. Then you had to send by using a STRAIGHT KEY at 13 wpm.

I don't want to hear it.

Dan/W4NTI

324. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 23:48:19 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqc9o6jva1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54514

Because it harkens to a simpler time perhaps. Or maybe because its fun.

Replace "need" with desire.

Dan/W4NTI

> Why is there such a "need" to relive the 1930s and 1940s?
>
> Len Anderson
> Life Member IEEE (no dues whatsoever, no extra charges)

325. Re: Republicans WIN BIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 23:51:02 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqc9t0$5bo$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54515

I have those pictures also. In the most appropiate place I can put them.

Dan/W4NTI

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021106113618.11293.00003931@mb-fi.aol.com...
> >Think we could get the FCC to get rid of incentive licensing ???
> >
> >Dan/W4NTI
>
> Dan I think you mean decentive licensing. I will bet you Brian and
probably
> Andy have a picture of Bill and Hillary Tattoed on his lieberal body.

326. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-06 23:57:02 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021106185702.12726.00000268@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 54516

In article <aq9mhp$fo1$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>news:c2356669.0211050807.7d6faa83@posting.google.com...
>> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>news:<aq6002$ne1$1@slb7.atl.mindspring.net>...
>> > "Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
>> > news:00vx9.10874$0x.6642@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
>> > > "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>> > > news:aq5sdo$r6c$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net...
>> >
>> > > Too bad the FCC couldn't put it to a vote. IMHO, that would've been
>the
>> > > truly fairest method. Poll ALL licensed amateurs as WE set the
>standard
>> > > for
>> > > OUR hobby/service. I work for a data processing co. and an election
>> > > across approx. 680,000 is not such a large feat.
>>
>> The FCC DID poll all radio amateurs - and all interested parties. The
>> NPRM comment process was/is open to all who wanted their voices heard.
>> No license required.
>
>True, but that is a bit different than a "direct" polling of amateurs
>(or others) which Bert would like to see happen.

I'm sure most of us would like to see such a poll conducted, but it's just not
gonna happen unless somebody ponies up the bucks. 684,000 hams at how much per
ham to create a questionaire, mail it out, and process the replies?
Fugetaboudit.

FCC's comment process allows any and all to have their say. The really sad
thing is that despite the ease with which comments can be filed, there was such
a small response.
>
>> > The creation, changing or deletion of FCC regulations
>> > is NOT the sole province of already licensed amateur licensees.
>> > Never has been, never should be, never will be.
>>
>> Agreed. But of those who comment to the FCC on amateur radio licensing
>> issues, the vast majority are "already licensed radio amateurs".
>
>True...but even as such, it is not a "vote" to determine
>overall preferences.

It can be. Do you think we'd have only 5 wpm code if the response had been
90-95% in favor of, say, 5/13/20?

>The FCC weighs the rational

[rationale]

>of the comments...both pro and con.

Which has its upside and its downside.
>
>> > That is no different than state laws on driver requirements.
>> > There would be nothing fair about a "licensed hams only"
>> > vote to determine amateur rules because it would ignore
>> > the rest of the public that has the same "right"
>> > to comment and be heard as someone already licensed.
>>
>> Not only can individuals comment to the FCC, but groups and companies
>> can, and do. Like Kenwood and the ARRL.
>
>Correct.

I think Kenwood was quoted more by the FCC than anybody else ;-)
>
>> The interesting thing is that when the results of the NPRM comments
>> were counted and categorized, a majority favored at least two code
>> test speeds.
>
>That's because the ARRL position as originlly positioned
>was the "compromise".

So? The main point is that the majority of those who petitioned did NOT, repeat
NOT, want the bare minimum.

>It was several weeks after the opening
>of the NPRM before NCI had a stated position that called
>for only one test.

So? The comment period was extra-long, and all comments were and are viewable
on the FCC website. No secrets.

73 de Jim, N2EY

327. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 00:15:01 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <usjcgt1c6i6b58@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54518

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:aq5t2t01nao@enews4.newsguy.com...
> I feel the same about APRS..... damned if I wanna post/publicize where I
am
> at every minute. I can see some practical uses in the case of an
emergency,
> but for day to day usage, its not for me.
>
> It is tenfold more popular around here (where I live) over packet, which
is
> a damned shame. Feel like an ass for investing in packet equipment and
all
> there is, is the same 3-5 people in the area, as well as only being able
to
> reach out 1 node away (next city over). I do however make sure that the
> packet equipment does work, as I see that it is a great local based
> communication tool in an emergency situation. Good for some of the
messages
> that you do not want to verbalize over a voice frequency.... Only hope
> there is still others that have that same capability when the time comes.
>
> If packet allowed more speed, and possibly better file sharing, maybe it
> would serve more of a purpose, but about the only good use I see is simple
> text messages at an incredibly slow rate in comparision to what is now
being
> done in wireless networking etc.
>
>
> --
> Ryan, KC8PMX
> FF/MFR
> REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
>
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:apu9ardfb1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> > I have no idea what the 'attraction' is for APRS. Obviously someone
likes
> > it a lot. It is going 24/7 here.
> >
>
>
>
>

APRS is in "constant experimental mode" <BIG GRIN> re: your comment about broadcasting where you are all the time.

APRS proves itself valuable in situations such as S&R, lost you-name-it, and of course, storm chasing/spotting.

Kim W5TIT

328. Re: 73 and thanks for all the Q's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 00:17:07 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <usjckqn6a0cc94@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54519

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3DC690AE.D7F0CA89@townsqr.com...
>
>
> Brian wrote:
>
> > "K HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:<wQnx9.18$fn6.7413@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> > > From our club W0AA, I worked over a thousand stations on CW over the
past
> > > weekend during the Sweepstakes Radiosport Contest, and only recognized
3
> > > rrap'ers.
> > >
> > > KB3IEO
> > > W4NTI
> > > N2EY
> > >
> > > Thanks for the points.
> > >
> > > --
> > > ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >
> > Makes you wonder if all the CW Proponents are really serious about CW,
doens't it?
>
> Typical comment from the airhead section. Not all of us are contesters,
nor are all of us where we have our stations
> available.
>
> By such a lamebrained remark you show your total misapprehension of the
entire idea behind knowing Morse code.
>

I swear I've never seen anyone so willing and desperate to be miserable as
poor, poor little Dick...sheesh

Kim W5TIT

329. gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 00:18:00 UTC
From: Ron Jarrell <jarrell@babylon5.cc.vt.edu>
Message-ID: <aqcbfo$t4g$2@solaris.cc.vt.edu>
Source record: 54517
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Does any one know for a fact (rather than just having an opinion, I've got plenty of those myself :-)) whether a GMRS license allows you to talk to any other GMRS licensee, or just people operating under your license?

I've gotten into an argument over it, and part 95 is not being helpful, honestly. Reading 95.1a, 95.3, 95.21, and 95.181, all seem to pretty clearly state that a license allows the licensees, and designated people inside the family (I'm talking the current individual licenses of course, not a legacy business license) to operate a given gmrs "system", i.e. a set of units, and to communicate. It seems to only allow you to operate within that "system". Unless you're having a 95.181(d) emergency. The one place that opens it up is 95.101(a), which does state that a "GMRS license authorizes a GMRS station to transmit to other GMRS stations at any geographical location within [us control]" But, even then, elsewhere stations have been defined as being units with an system.

Basically what we're trying to decide is whether it's legal for a pair of fully licensed families with GMRS units to talk to between the families on a joint outing, say, if we went to, oh, Disneyworld or anyplace where operating at GMRS power rather than FRS levels might be necessary due to distance or terrain. We could clearly do that legally under FRS laws, but, then, we'd be at FRS power levels.

330. Re: Republicans WIN BIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 00:24:54 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021106192454.25465.00000116@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 54520

> then
>
> Take a Viagra and go F*ck yourself
>

You mean like you guys have done to Ham Radio.

331. Re: CW WHINERS SHUT THE HELL UP !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 00:27:01 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <usjd7d92064qbb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54523

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:aqc9dq$r2f$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> Whine whine whine....all we hear is whine whine whine. You people have it
> made. All you have to do is memorize 25 letters of Morse Code. Wait
around
> till your good buddy at the club decides its time to give a test. And you
> go down to your local club and take it.
>
> How would you like to have to save money for a YEAR. Get a train ticket
and
> travel 110 miles to a strange city. Spend the evening and get up at 5 am
to
> get to the Federal Building downtown Pittsburgh PA. Wait in line while
they
> process 300 applications. Sit in a room so large you can almost see the
> other end of it from the rear. Take the CW test as it is echoing all over
> the room. Oh BTW I was 13 at the time and my mother had to come with me
> because I was too young to ride the train alone. One more thing...that
was
> the General at 13 wpm. HARD COPY for one solid minute out of 5minutes.
> Then you had to send by using a STRAIGHT KEY at 13 wpm.
>
> I don't want to hear it.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

Uh, if you don't want to "hear" it, Dan, why'd you just spend so much time
writing it. And, why do you spend enough time reading/hearing it that you
voluntarily get yourself into a tizzy? Nothing else to do?

Kim W5TIT

332. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 00:28:18 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021106192818.25465.00000117@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 54521

>APRS proves itself valuable in situations such as S&R, lost you-name-it,

WOW, I didnt know every body had an APRS unit strapped to there Body.

333. Re: Republicans WIN BIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 00:31:49 UTC
From: Web <WebFx27@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Vviy9.4758$h4.4173@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 54522

if all you live for is ham radio..... then you are beyond help

Web

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021106192454.25465.00000116@mb-ch.aol.com...
> > then
> >
> > Take a Viagra and go F*ck yourself
> >
>
> You mean like you guys have done to Ham Radio.

334. Re: CW WHINERS SHUT THE HELL UP !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 00:52:54 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqcdha$tb2$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54524

At least I didn't put in for a vanity call that shows disrespect to yourself and your gender. But I must admit it is a lot better than a certain female from Georgia that chose WA4SEX.

Dan/W4NTI

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message news:usjd7d92064qbb@corp.supernews.com...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:aqc9dq$r2f$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Whine whine whine....all we hear is whine whine whine. You people have it
> > made. All you have to do is memorize 25 letters of Morse Code. Wait
> around
> > till your good buddy at the club decides its time to give a test. And you
> > go down to your local club and take it.
> >
> > How would you like to have to save money for a YEAR. Get a train ticket
> and
> > travel 110 miles to a strange city. Spend the evening and get up at 5 am
> to
> > get to the Federal Building downtown Pittsburgh PA. Wait in line while
> they
> > process 300 applications. Sit in a room so large you can almost see the
> > other end of it from the rear. Take the CW test as it is echoing all over
> > the room. Oh BTW I was 13 at the time and my mother had to come with me
> > because I was too young to ride the train alone. One more thing...that
> was
> > the General at 13 wpm. HARD COPY for one solid minute out of 5minutes.
> > Then you had to send by using a STRAIGHT KEY at 13 wpm.
> >
> > I don't want to hear it.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >
>
> Uh, if you don't want to "hear" it, Dan, why'd you just spend so much time
> writing it. And, why do you spend enough time reading/hearing it that you
> voluntarily get yourself into a tizzy? Nothing else to do?
>
> Kim W5TIT

>
>

335. Re: CW WHINERS SHUT THE HELL UP !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 00:56:12 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021106195612.12384.00000248@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 54525

>But I must admit it is a lot better than a certain female
>from Georgia that chose WA4SEX.
>
>Dan/W4NTI

Dan shes probably better looking than TIT

336. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 01:19:19 UTC
From: Packrat <spam@n7uic.net>
Message-ID: <usjft56sc6ltaa@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54526
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The answer is yes. The license gives you the authority to transmit. Anyone
can receive. The only restriction is that you don't talk to
furiners(foreigners)

"Ron Jarrell" <jarrell@babylon5.cc.vt.edu> wrote in message
news:aqcbfo$t4g$2@solaris.cc.vt.edu...
> Does any one know for a fact (rather than just having an opinion, I've got
> plenty of those myself :-)) whether a GMRS license allows you to talk to
> any other GMRS licensee, or just people operating under your license?
>
> I've gotten into an argument over it, and part 95 is not being helpful,
> honestly. Reading 95.1a, 95.3, 95.21, and 95.181, all seem to pretty
> clearly state that a license allows the licensees, and designated
> people inside the family (I'm talking the current individual licenses
> of course, not a legacy business license) to operate a given gmrs
> "system", i.e. a set of units, and to communicate. It seems to only
> allow you to operate within that "system". Unless you're having a
> 95.181(d) emergency. The one place that opens it up is 95.101(a), which
> does state that a "GMRS license authorizes a GMRS station to transmit to
> other GMRS stations at any geographical location within [us control]"
> But, even then, elsewhere stations have been defined as being units
> with an system.
>
> Basically what we're trying to decide is whether it's legal for a pair
> of fully licensed families with GMRS units to talk to between the
> families on a joint outing, say, if we went to, oh, Disneyworld or
> anyplace where operating at GMRS power rather than FRS levels might be
> necessary due to distance or terrain. We could clearly do that legally
> under FRS laws, but, then, we'd be at FRS power levels.

337. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 02:15:58 UTC
From: Phil Stripling <phil_stripling@cieux.zzn.com>
Message-ID: <3qr8dy2kgx.fsf@shell4.tdl.com>
Source record: 54527
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ron Jarrell <jarrell@babylon5.cc.vt.edu> writes:

> Does any one know for a fact (rather than just having an opinion, I've got
> plenty of those myself :-)) whether a GMRS license allows you to talk to
> any other GMRS licensee, or just people operating under your license?

Let's look at your statements and at the Regs.

>
> I've gotten into an argument over it, and part 95 is not being helpful,
> honestly. Reading 95.1a, 95.3, 95.21, and 95.181, all seem to pretty
> clearly state that a license allows the licensees, and designated
> people inside the family (I'm talking the current individual licenses
> of course, not a legacy business license) to operate a given gmrs
> "system", i.e. a set of units, and to communicate. It seems to only
> allow you to operate within that "system".

Sec. 95.1 The General Mobile Radio Service (GMRS).

(a) The GMRS is a land mobile radio service
available to persons for short-distance two-way
communications to facilitate the activities of
licensees and their immediate family members.
Each licensee manages a system consisting of one
or more stations.

So a system is defined as one or more stations.

Sec. 95.23 Mobile station description.

(a) A mobile station is one or more units
which transmit while moving or during temporary
stops at unspecified points.

So a station is one or more units. I guess the issue is back in 95.1; I
read this as saying that GMRS is used to facilitate the activities of
licensees. Oh, and since family members are permitted to be station
operators, we can facilitate their activities as well. (95.179(a))

But let's look here, too:

Sec. 95.101 What the license authorizes.

(a) A GMRS license authorizes a GMRS station
to transmit messages to other GMRS stations at any
geographical location within or over the territorial
limits of any area where radio services are regulated

by the FCC. These points are listed in Appendix A.

So a licensee can transmit to other GMRS stations. A station is one or more units -- no restriction to family members; see 95.23 excerpt above.

> Unless you're having a
> 95.181(d) emergency. The one place that opens it up is 95.101(a), which
> does state that a "GMRS license authorizes a GMRS station to transmit to
> other GMRS stations at any geographical location within [us control]"
> But, even then, elsewhere stations have been defined as being units
> with an system.

Read that again -- tell me why you think it's limited to _family_members_. 95.101 doesn't use unit or system. A licensee manages a system consisting of one or more stations; a system is one or more transmitting units used by station operators; a station is one or more units which transmit; a station may transmit messages to other stations.

>
> Basically what we're trying to decide is whether it's legal for a pair
> of fully licensed families with GMRS units to talk to between the
> families on a joint outing, say, if we went to, oh, Disneyworld or
> anyplace where operating at GMRS power rather than FRS levels might be
> necessary due to distance or terrain. We could clearly do that legally
> under FRS laws, but, then, we'd be at FRS power levels.

Where in the regs do you find the prohibition. It does _not_ say that licensees may communicate only to their immediate family members. You say, 'It seems to only allow you to operate within that "system".' I don't see that anywhere in the regs.

--
Philip Stripling | email to the replyto address is presumed
Legal Assistance on the Web | spam and read later. email to philip@
http://www.PhilipStripling.com/ | civex.com is read daily.

338. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 02:26:14 UTC
From: 'Doc <w5lz@cwis.net>
Message-ID: <3DC9CF46.A12E0B39@cwis.net>
Source record: 54528
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ron,
Yes, you can.
'Doc

339. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 02:44:39 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211061844.6b40c83@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54529

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20021105153406.16646.00000193@mb-cr.aol.com>...
>
> Amateur radio in the USA is, slowly, losing the PCTAs. Times
> have changed but these old-timers (chronological or mental) do
> not like change. Conditions in their hobby must remain the same
> for them to feel secure, comfortable. Change is uncomfortable and
> threatens their security. The end result is the kind of heckling and
> personal attacks aimed at those who threaten their self-defined
> superiority in an avocational activity.
>
> Len Anderson
> Life Member IEEE

Len, I've said that the actuarial tables will eventually take care of
"old-fartism." I'm not immune to the actuarial tables either, so
don't you sensitive types go calling me names again. Meanwhile, I
don't mind change. I've lived with it all my life. Some of it is
even fun.

340. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 02:44:58 UTC
From: "G. M. Alf" <gmalf.ears@verizon.net>
Message-ID: <klkjsuomqmgt7ik5uamimpdcs43plbrg9h@4ax.com>
Source record: 54530
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Thu, 7 Nov 2002 00:18:00 +0000 (UTC), Ron Jarrell
<jarrell@babylon5.cc.vt.edu> wrote:

>Does any one know for a fact (rather than just having an opinion, I've got
>plenty of those myself :-)) whether a GMRS license allows you to talk to
>any other GMRS licensee, or just people operating under your license?

Yes, you can talk to any licensed GMRS operator. You can also talk to
any FRS radio user on the interstitial frequencies (FRS channels 1 -
7.) The FRS user does not need a license to talk to you but you must
ID as required.

GMRS base stations can also talk to each other. This was not allowed
in earlier rules but that prohibition has been eliminated.

Mike

341. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 02:55:00 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211061855.52bf117@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54531

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DC8BADB.890E60D6@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> >
> > > That isn't what I referred to and you SHOULD know it, assuming your kids aren't doing your reading for you (good
> > > possibility). He recited a case where he and others in his area used CW on the air during an emergency when other modes
> > > couldn' handle the job. But you always ignore reality.t
> >
> > How odd that you say that. I read Han's invitation to join him in a CW contest.
>
> Your reading comprehension is no better than your mental cognization. He noted AFTER the contest that he had worked a few rrap
> CW ops. Maybe your kids ARE doing your reading for you. I didn't get on at all simply because I wasn't home and decided it
> wouldn't be proper etiquette to drill holes in the brick home of my host so as to run antenna cables outside. So I left my
> portable rig at home. Got a problem with that? You would.
>
> As it happens, I don't care that much for contests, though I will sometimes work them for a while just to give out contacts to
> those who do it seriously for score. I much prefer snooping for the weak signals of DX stations below the band clutter or just
> plain relaxing ragchewing. Got a problem with that? You would.
>
>
> > > Hollow words. Hollow mind.
> > >
> > > Don't you ever get tired of all the echos?
>
> >
> > Its what I've come to expect from you.
>
> It'll be cold day down below when you hear any echos from me, from me, from me, from me....

OK, DICK, its cold enough here in Ohio, my own personal hell.

Meanwhile, here is Hans' invitation for you to join him in a QSO.
Pahleeze notice the date. Pahleeze notice anything at all. Quick,
someone check DICK's pulse.

73, Brian

From: Kï¿½HB (KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET)
Subject: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

View this article only
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.amateur.misc
Date: 2002-11-01 10:51:54 PST

Following courtesy of N0AX, from the CQ-Contest reflector.

Some of you have expressed an interest in increasing your CW speed, and
this is the weekend to do it. The annual ARRL CW Sweepstakes runs from
2100Z on Saturday to 0300Z Monday (Sunday evening) on 80 through 10
meters (not on 30, 17, or 12-meters). While you might recoil in horror
at the high code speeds, tune wayyyyyyy up in the bands and there will
be some folks going nice and slow. The Novice bands on 80, 40, 15, and
10 meters often have a number of slow-speed stations hanging out up
there. Don't be afraid to jump in there and give 'em a call. I
guarantee your code speed will double with just a few hours at the
key.

Here's how it works...

1) You hear somebody calling "CQ SS CQ SS de N0AX"
2) Send your call ONCE - "W7VMI" - don't send their call and don't send
yours twice or three times. If they don't copy your call on the first
try, they'll send "AGN" or "?" or just CQ again. So call 'em again. If
they're going too fast, send "QRS" and they'll slow down.
3) If they hear you, they'll send something like this - "W7VMI 107 A
N0AX 53 CO" What the heck does that mean?
- W7VMI is your call to let you know they're talking to you
- 107 is the number of the contact in the contest for them (their
next contact will be 108, etc.)
- A is their entry class (low power) - there are A, B, M, Q, S, and
U classes
- Then they send their call
- 53 is the last two digits of the first year they were licensed -
it's called a "check"
- CO means Colorado, their ARRL Section (there are 80 - some are
states, others aren't, all are two or three letters)
4) If you don't get it all, it's perfectly OK to send "QRS PSE, AGN" -
which means "Slow down, send it again, please"
5) If you do get it - way to go! Here's what you send...
- Their call
- The number this contact is in the contest for you - if it's your
first send "1" and pat yourself on the back
- Your class (QRP is Q, <150W is A, >150W is B, M is

multioperator,
S is a school club, and U is unlimited...don't ask)
- Your call
- The last two digits of the first year you were licensed - if you
got your license last year, it's "01", for example
- Your section, "WWA" for Western Washington, maybe, or "IL" for
Illinois, or "PQ" for Province Quebec - ah, but oui!
6) If they don't get it, they may say...with a question mark, maybe...
- "AGN" - send everything all over again
- "NR" - repeat just the number a couple of times
- "PREC" or just "PR" - repeat your class letter, it's called
"precedence" for a number of reasons you don't care about
- "CALL" - repeat your call (this is rare)
- "CK" - repeat the two digits of the year, your check
- "SEC" or "QTH" - repeat your section
7) They may ask YOU to QRS, you speed demon, so do it with a smile!
8) If they copy everything, they'll say a short "TU" (for thanks) or
"R"
(for Roger) or "QSL" (for received OK) and then just send their CQ or
maybe just their call and away you both may go.
9) Sometimes, it just doesn't work out - QRM (interference) or QRN
(static) or QSB (fading) or the cat could cough up a hairball on the
rug
requiring immediate action. Don't take it personally, just go find
somebody else to call. It's a no-fault deal.
10) If you get tired of "Searching and Pouncing", then tighten your
belt, mop your brow, cock your hat at a jaunty angle and call CQ!
It's
easy - don't have a cow, man, just call "CQ SS CQ SS de W7VMI W7VMI"
and
listen, repeat if necessary. Soon you'll get an answer. Just play
back
the above steps with you as the call-ee.

What's the object? Make as many contacts as you can. Try to contact
as
many different sections as you can. Try to spell your name from the
last letters of the calls you work. Work your home state. Work your
brother's state. Nobody can stop at just one QSO...

It's a lot of fun - the hours will fly by. Keep a simple paper log
the
first time out to make it easy - you can worry about entering it on a
computer later. There are complete rules and instructions for
operating
and scoring and sending in the log on the ARRL Web site
http://www.arrl.org/contests/rules/2002/nov-sweeps-rules.html. Come
spring of 2003, you can click on over to the contest results and
wonder-of-wonders, there your call will be with the mighty titans in
the
very same font size just a few lines away. Woo-hoo!!

Go for it!

ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

342. Re: ARRL members. Vote for me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 02:56:36 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211061856.57963060@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54532
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

Dan, you gotta be kidding. The french fry cook doubles as a volunteer examiner?

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<aqbdluvar1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>...
> Any excuse is a good one right? All you have to do is reduce the frequency
> of the tone. The testing teams are required to accomidate you.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "BDKING" <kingrat@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
> news:MPG.183252c9e8b459ac98974b@news.buckeye-express.com...
> > In article <f45722ac.0211051852.76fc3aaf@posting.google.com>,
> > brianburke@juno.com says...
> > > BDKING <kingrat@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
> news:<MPG.1831c4c1d1daf7c9989749@news.buckeye-express.com>...
> > >
> > > > Back in the old days it was a good thing, but starting with the
> incentive
> > > > liscensing, the ARRL has done more to screw up ham radio than help it.
> > > > The CW requirement turned off a ton of people, including myself. I did
> > > > pass the 5WPM almost 30 years ago, but working CW was/is something I
> > > > never wanted to do. I tried several times to get to 13WPM, but I get
> to
> > > > about 8WPM, and I couldn't take it anymore, it annoys the crap out of
> me,
> > > > regardless of what pitch I listen to it at. I never understood the CW
> > > > fanatics anyway, I had no objections to making the written test
> harder,
> > > > but they didn't seem to understand what a turn off it is to some
> people,
> > > > and they managed to keep the higher speed requirement until it's
> probably
> > > > too late to generate any real increase in new hams. The internet is a
> > > > much bigger draw to most kids than radio..
> > > >
> > > > Now that I can upgrade without any more code, I have lost most of the
> > > > desire to do so. I haven't even keyed up an HT in about a year or
> so...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > BDK
> > >
> > > Sometimes when I'm in a McDonalds about rush hour, and all the french

> > > fry and microwave oven and cash register beepers going off at the same
> > > time, I feel like I'm back with my code tapes and breaking pencil
> > > leads.
> > >
> > > Talk about anxiety.
> > >
> >
> >
> > It seemed like the code tapes were at exactly the right pitch to drive me
> > up the wall almost instantly. If I can drop it down to about 150hz or
> > so, it's ok for a few minutes, but at 800, it's like nails on a
> > chalkboard!! It's funny, but the nails on a chalkboard never bothered me
> > until I hit 40, now it kills me..
> >
> > BDK

343. Re: ARRL members. Vote for me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 03:00:59 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211061900.7e51ac76@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54533
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

Dan, air-photo and satellite interp, and digital image processing in
no way reminds me of beeping french fryers at McDonalds. How odd to
think it would.

But if theat's what you think is important for amateur radio, you just
keep thinking that.

73, Brian

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<aqbdku$b9b$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>...
> I thought you were going to say...you thought you were back in school
> learning how to read pictures for the McDonald job.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:f45722ac.0211051852.76fc3aaf@posting.google.com...
> > BDKING <kingrat@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
> news:<MPG.1831c4c1d1daf7c9989749@news.buckeye-express.com>...
> >
> > > Back in the old days it was a good thing, but starting with the
> incentive
> > > liscensing, the ARRL has done more to screw up ham radio than help it.
> > > The CW requirement turned off a ton of people, including myself. I did
> > > pass the 5WPM almost 30 years ago, but working CW was/is something I
> > > never wanted to do. I tried several times to get to 13WPM, but I get to
> > > about 8WPM, and I couldn't take it anymore, it annoys the crap out of
> me,
> > > regardless of what pitch I listen to it at. I never understood the CW
> > > fanatics anyway, I had no objections to making the written test harder,
> > > but they didn't seem to understand what a turn off it is to some people,
> > > and they managed to keep the higher speed requirement until it's
> probably
> > > too late to generate any real increase in new hams. The internet is a
> > > much bigger draw to most kids than radio..
> > >
> > > Now that I can upgrade without any more code, I have lost most of the
> > > desire to do so. I haven't even keyed up an HT in about a year or so...
> > >
> > >
> > > BDK
> >
> > Sometimes when I'm in a McDonalds about rush hour, and all the french

> > fry and microwave oven and cash register beepers going off at the same
> > time, I feel like I'm back with my code tapes and breaking pencil
> > leads.
> >
> > Talk about anxiety.

344. Re: ARRL members. Vote for me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 03:02:58 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211061902.24fb7436@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54534

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021106110622.21371.00003690@mb-mu.aol.com>...
> >I thought you were going to say...you thought you were back in school
> >learning how to read pictures for the McDonald job.
> >
> >Dan/W4NTI
>
> Thats probably true Dan, he could even get a Real Ham License untill they
> started giving them Away.

OH YEAH?

You're such a blowhard. I actually look forward to your stuff - keep posting.

345. Re: Republicans WIN BIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 03:07:42 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211061907.2a0198d2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54535

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021106113618.11293.00003931@mb-fi.aol.com>...
> >Think we could get the FCC to get rid of incentive licensing ???
> >
> >Dan/W4NTI
>
> Dan I think you mean decentive licensing. I will bet you Brian and probably
> Andy have a picture of Bill and Hillary Tattoed on his lieberal body.

I would cut off any body part that had those two tatooed on it.

346. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 03:23:08 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211061923.625fb862@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54536

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021106090351.29169.00000232@mb-ba.aol.com>...
> >Sometimes going against the flow takes guts and a lot more work.
>
> Guts my ASS, in your case it was pure whinning, or because of your inability or
> desire to put forth the effort. Now all you clowns have left is your desire to
> try and justify your Dumbed Down License. Face it, without the Standards being
> lowered to alloy you access to HF, you would still be on the outside looking
> in. And most likely
> you would be still Whinning how you were being mistreated because you couldnt
> or wouldnt learn the Basic material to be a real ham.

Pure whinning, huh?

You're the one who whon big-time.

In your beyond ignorant postings you try and try, in a Dukes of Hazard
sort of way, to sound knowledgeable about the amateur radio service,
constantly claiming "dumming down" of the ARS. But anyone who reads
you stuff knows you couldn't possibly even read the questions on an
exam let alone answer them correctly.

Then $omehow you pull off EXTRA-LITE and make fun of others who do the
same.

You got a wind fall off the labor of others.

347. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 03:24:54 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211061924.683e2c67@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54537

It's "capitulated." We call it "capitulated."

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<aqbe10$t9p$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>...
> The government caputulated like this once before in my memory. They called
> it 'bussing'.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021106090351.29169.00000232@mb-ba.aol.com...
> > >Sometimes going against the flow takes guts and a lot more work.
> > >
> > Guts my ASS, in your case it was pure whinning, or because of your
> inability or
> > desire to put forth the effort. Now all you clowns have left is your
> desire to
> > try and justify your Dumbed Down License. Face it, without the Standards
> being
> > lowered to alloy you access to HF, you would still be on the outside
> looking
> > in. And most likely
> > you would be still Whinning how you were being mistreated because you
> couldnt
> > or wouldnt learn the Basic material to be a real ham.

348. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 03:29:24 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211061929.1aad770e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54538

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DC9409F.7A8CCD59@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> >
> > Oh, I had an interest. So much so that I petitioned my government to
> > remove outdated, irrelevant, and superfluous testing requirements from
> > the amateur radio service.
> >
>
> No, you sat on your whining ass and blamed it all on someone else.

Geez, not only would it appear that you've lost in the elections, but
you've lost in the ARS as well. Or did your dog die?

> > Sometimes going against the flow takes guts and a lot more work.
> > Other people just go with the flow, and ask "How high?"
> >
>
> You? guts and work? har de har har!!!!!

Yep.

> > Meanwhile you missed an opportunity to show the world that you
> > actually practice what you preach. Or do you just preach?
>
> It's more than clear that you have no idea what I've been talking about all this time.Of
course
> that's all *my* fault, too.

Oh, I completely understand what you've been talking about all along.
Stuff like the Titanic and Sparkies.

349. Re: 73 and thanks for all the Q's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 03:55:32 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DC9E434.A914D945@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 54539

Br

> > No, I didn't work n2ey -- in fact I didn't even hear any stations with a
> > lowercase callsign. As I mentioned, I did work N2EY though.
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> Chuckle.

And I thought CW was case insensitive.....

350. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 03:59:14 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DC9E511.9247F39C@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 54540

Vshah101 wrote:

> Its been a while since Lance Bass has "been a Ham."
>
> How come Ham Radio membership did not increase due to this celebrity? And how
> come Lance dosn't proclaim he is a Ham? Its not in the news.
>
> Guess that the idea that this was supposed to increase the number of young Hams
> is now stale.

Maybe he and his band can record a song and video featuring ham radio?
Except the FCC says no music over ham radio.....

351. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 04:08:08 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h56kphp.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 54541
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Wed, 6 Nov 2002 17:19:19 -0800, Packrat® wrote:

>The answer is yes. The license gives you the authority to transmit. Anyone
>can receive. The only restriction is that you don't talk to
>furiners(foreigners)

You got the right answer but with the wrong reasoning.

Except for emergency situations and certain paging operations,
one-way communications are not permitted in GMRS.

HOWEVER, the rules have always been interpreted to permit
intercommunication between stations, not restricted to those
stations within a single system, if the message content is
related to the personal business of the licensee(s).

The rule wording is a bit confusing for someone who isn't all
that familiar with how FCC rules are interpreted, but that's
the kind of stuff that I do for a living....piece of cake.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
ARRL Volunteer Counsel

352. Re: ARRL members. Vote for me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 04:19:30 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DC9F816.CFEC29D3@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54542
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

BDKING wrote:

> In article <aqbdluvar1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>,
> w4ntinospam@mindspring.com says...
> > Any excuse is a good one right? All you have to do is reduce the frequency
> > of the tone. The testing teams are required to accomidate you.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > "BDKING" <kingrat@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
> > news:MPG.183252c9e8b459ac98974b@news.buckeye-express.com...
> > > In article <f45722ac.0211051852.76fc3aaf@posting.google.com>,
> > > brianburke@juno.com says...
> > > > BDKING <kingrat@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
> > news:<MPG.1831c4c1d1daf7c9989749@news.buckeye-express.com>...
> > > >
> > > > > Back in the old days it was a good thing, but starting with the
> > incentive
> > > > > liscensing, the ARRL has done more to screw up ham radio than help it.
> > > > > The CW requirement turned off a ton of people, including myself. I did
> > > > > pass the 5WPM almost 30 years ago, but working CW was/is something I
> > > > > never wanted to do. I tried several times to get to 13WPM, but I get
> > to
> > > > > about 8WPM, and I couldn't take it anymore, it annoys the crap out of
> > me,
> > > > > regardless of what pitch I listen to it at. I never understood the CW
> > > > > fanatics anyway, I had no objections to making the written test
> > harder,
> > > > > but they didn't seem to understand what a turn off it is to some
> > people,
> > > > > and they managed to keep the higher speed requirement until it's
> > probably
> > > > > too late to generate any real increase in new hams. The internet is a
> > > > > much bigger draw to most kids than radio..
> > > > >
> > > > > Now that I can upgrade without any more code, I have lost most of the
> > > > > desire to do so. I haven't even keyed up an HT in about a year or
> > so...
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > BDK
> > > >
> > > Sometimes when I'm in a McDonalds about rush hour, and all the french

> > > > fry and microwave oven and cash register beepers going off at the same
> > > > time, I feel like I'm back with my code tapes and breaking pencil
> > > > leads.
> > > >
> > > > Talk about anxiety.
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> > > It seemed like the code tapes were at exactly the right pitch to drive me
> > > up the wall almost instantly. If I can drop it down to about 150hz or
> > > so, it's ok for a few minutes, but at 800, it's like nails on a
> > > chalkboard!! It's funny, but the nails on a chalkboard never bothered me
> > > until I hit 40, now it kills me..
> > >
> > > BDK
> >
> >
> >
>
> I took the 5WPM almost 30 years ago, I'm done with CW, period. I wanted
> to get the general just to shoot the breeze with my friend down south a
> couple of times a week. I still might get it, the written is a nonsense
> test anyway. Some of the questions are insane. As far as any excuse being
> a good one, as far as CW is concerned, yeah. I resented having it shoved
> down my throat, kind of like having a religion shoved down my throat when
> I dont want it. For some hams, CW is a religion. Why, I don't have a
> clue. I was heavy into RTTY decoding for a while, and I didn't think
> learning all about it should have been manditory, like the CW fanatics do
> with it.
>
> I've never seen/heard a test where they could do anything to change the
> pitch anyway, it seems like it's 800-1K and that's it. It's on a PC, or
> it's on tape. Not to mention the goofy spacing they used when a friend of
> mine took the thing about 10 years ago. It was kind of funny, it was
> like someone just randomly keying dots and dashes. The look on the guys
> faces that were taking the test was great. Nobody passed, but they went
> and got another tape and all but two passed on that one. My friend was
> one of the two, he was rattled by the first tape, and couldn't get calmed
> down enough to pass. What a waste of 5 hours of standing around!
>
> BDK

BD, it would be very easy to conclude that most anything you and Slim do is a
waste.

353. Re: CW WHINERS SHUT THE HELL UP !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 04:20:48 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqcq4l$ff3$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54543
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:aqc9dq$r2f$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> Whine whine whine....all we hear is whine whine whine. You people have it
> made. All you have to do is memorize 25 letters of Morse Code. Wait
around
> till your good buddy at the club decides its time to give a test. And you
> go down to your local club and take it.
>
> How would you like to have to save money for a YEAR. Get a train ticket
and
> travel 110 miles to a strange city. Spend the evening and get up at 5 am
to
> get to the Federal Building downtown Pittsburgh PA. Wait in line while
they
> process 300 applications. Sit in a room so large you can almost see the
> other end of it from the rear. Take the CW test as it is echoing all over
> the room. Oh BTW I was 13 at the time and my mother had to come with me
> because I was too young to ride the train alone. One more thing...that
was
> the General at 13 wpm. HARD COPY for one solid minute out of 5minutes.
> Then you had to send by using a STRAIGHT KEY at 13 wpm.
>
> I don't want to hear it.

Yea...and I bet you had to walk uphill both ways in the snow...yada, yada,
yada...

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

354. Re: Republicans WIN BIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 04:26:54 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqcq4m$ff3$2@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54544

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021106090555.29169.00000233@mb-ba.aol.com...
> I bet all you CBplussers and No-Coders are crying this Morning.

Why should No-Coders be crying? I applaud the outcome.
Indeed, the role of government as seen from a true Republican is
"less government"...which means we do NOT want government
licensing requirements that have NO justification.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

355. Re: Republicans WIN BIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 05:04:03 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021107000403.24908.00002778@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 54545

> I bet all you CBplussers and No-Coders are crying this Morning.
>

Except for the ones who happen to be Republicans!

73 de Larry, K3LT

356. Re: Republicans WIN BIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 05:08:19 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021107000819.24908.00002779@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 54546

>Why should No-Coders be crying? I applaud the outcome.
>Indeed, the role of government as seen from a true Republican is
>"less government"...which means we do NOT want government
>licensing requirements that have NO justification.
>
>Cheers,
>Bill K2UNK

Good point, Bill. However, in the case of the Morse Code, that particular licensing requirement have/had a justification which is/was very beneficial to the ARS.

73 de Larry, K3LT

357. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 05:23:11 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <usju6bku77it0e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54547

Hans,

Would it help if I said member, NCI after my name? Hmmmm .... I'd best do a reality check here ... did I mention CW? Ummm ...

On the quote you supplied - believe it or not, I actually agree about two license classes. All of the classes have caused a problem. When I was first licensed, I was a novice. The general class had full access to HF. The tech license was a slippery slope for someone who failed 13 words per minute. You could get that license, but were often stuck with it for life since you would have a problem upgrading from 5 to 13 words per minute. I've actually considered getting my old callsign (WB2OSP) back; but why pay money for it? I'd love to see all callsigns a 2 X 3 (except, perhaps, for special event stations and leave W1AW alone). When I mention the something for nothing, I refer to folks trying to infer that the tests are real difficult (yep, multiple guess with only 4 choices - again a disclaimer - I'm not discussing CW). Of course, with what is sometimes occuring on 14.313 and 75 meters, perhaps we only need one test - a sanity test. ;)

Didn't mean to raise your blood pressure ...

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message news:1MTx9.2224$En4.272876@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote
>
> > The man's living in a utopian dream world.
>
> To some extent, I think you are right. But hey, his thoughts sure resonate
> with my "cockeyed optimist" outlook on life.
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> "Life is a short journey between two eternities, and the trip is far to
> short for me to worry about how fast you can beep."
>
>
>

358. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 06:18:39 UTC
From: Phil Stripling <phil_stripling@cieux.zzn.com>
Message-ID: <3qn0olnbr4.fsf@shell4.tdl.com>
Source record: 54548
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>SNIP<
> stations within a single system, if the message content is
> related to the personal business of the licensee(s).
>SNIP<

Hi, Phil,

Uh, personal business? :-> What does _that_ mean?

--
Philip Stripling | email to the replyto address is presumed
Legal Assistance on the Web | spam and read later. email to philip@
http://www.PhilipStripling.com/ | civex.com is read daily.

359. Re: ARRL members. Vote for me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 07:37:29 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aqdqt412s04@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54557
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

```
And dammit.... the sandwichs we buy from there OUGHTA look like they do in
the pictures!!!!!! If I had ever bothered to work for McDonalds, I sure as
hell would take a little more pride in what I make for a customer! :)

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:aqbdku$b9b$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> I thought you were going to say...you thought you were back in school
> learning how to read pictures for the McDonald job.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:f45722ac.0211051852.76fc3aaf@posting.google.com...
> > BDKING <kingrat@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
> news:<MPG.1831c4c1d1daf7c9989749@news.buckeye-express.com>...
> >
> > > Back in the old days it was a good thing, but starting with the
> incentive
> > > liscensing, the ARRL has done more to screw up ham radio than help it.
> > > The CW requirement turned off a ton of people, including myself. I did
> > > pass the 5WPM almost 30 years ago, but working CW was/is something I
> > > never wanted to do. I tried several times to get to 13WPM, but I get
to
> > > about 8WPM, and I couldn't take it anymore, it annoys the crap out of
> me,
> > > regardless of what pitch I listen to it at. I never understood the CW
> > > fanatics anyway, I had no objections to making the written test
harder,
> > > but they didn't seem to understand what a turn off it is to some
people,
> > > and they managed to keep the higher speed requirement until it's
> probably
> > > too late to generate any real increase in new hams. The internet is a
> > > much bigger draw to most kids than radio..
> > >
> > > Now that I can upgrade without any more code, I have lost most of the
> > > desire to do so. I haven't even keyed up an HT in about a year or
so...
> > >
```

> > >
> > > BDK
> >
> > Sometimes when I'm in a McDonalds about rush hour, and all the french
> > fry and microwave oven and cash register beepers going off at the same
> > time, I feel like I'm back with my code tapes and breaking pencil
> > leads.
> >
> > Talk about anxiety.
>
>

360. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 07:50:44 UTC
From: Ron Jarrell <jarrell@babylon5.cc.vt.edu>
Message-ID: <aqd60k$885$1@solaris.cc.vt.edu>
Source record: 54550
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

In alt.radio.family Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote:
> You got the right answer but with the wrong reasoning.

> Except for emergency situations and certain paging operations,
> one-way communications are not permitted in GMRS.

> HOWEVER, the rules have always been interpreted to permit
> intercommunication between stations, not restricted to those
> stations within a single system, if the message content is
> related to the personal business of the licensee(s).

> The rule wording is a bit confusing for someone who isn't all
> that familiar with how FCC rules are interpreted, but that's
> the kind of stuff that I do for a living....piece of cake.

Thanks. Gee, we'd just happened to say to each other "You know, probably the only one who could tell us for sure would be a lawyer" :-).

Although that brings up a parallel concept. If a bunch of us, each with our own individual licenses (or at least one per family) all volunteer at something (parade, sci fi con, something like that), where we're not employees, is it a legitimate non-business use to talk to each other about what we're doing?

361. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 08:01:16 UTC
From: Ron Jarrell <jarrell@babylon5.cc.vt.edu>
Message-ID: <aqd6kc$885$2@solaris.cc.vt.edu>
Source record: 54551
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In alt.radio.family Phil Stripling <phil_stripling@cieux.zzn.com> wrote:
> Where in the regs do you find the prohibition. It does _not_ say that
> licensees may communicate only to their immediate family members. You say,
> 'It seems to only allow you to operate within that "system".' I don't see
> that anywhere in the regs.

I guess what was confusing was that licensees managed systems of stations,
and under the section on what the license allowed it talked about supporting
the personal or business activities of the licensee and the people using
the license, and elsewhere it specifically listed only family members as people
who would use your license. (95.181 and 95.179). So from that it was starting to
sound like you could only talk to people operating under the same license, and
only family members were allowed to share a license. Of course, 95.33(a)1-4
had to go confuse things by talking about how it was acceptable to share stations,
but didn't say a thing about licenses.

I do get a good chuckle over the FCC site regularly referring to part 95 as having
been "clearly written so as to be easy to understand."

362. Re: No Code Tech Site

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 08:42:08 UTC
From: Ginger Eakle <virginiaeakle@peoplepc.com>
Message-ID: <usk6g1bsj4mhd8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54549

Ha ha ha, so funny I forgot to laugh. Looks like I. Belch has a lot of free time to come up with some lame site like that.
"I. Belch" <Burp@GastroDiscomfort.com> wrote in message news:aq26u2goh0@pita.alt.net...
> Check out the No Code Tech site Dan seems to favor....
> http://www.insidechris.com
>
> Not to worry...it is a clean site...
>
>

363. Re: Republicans WIN BIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 10:57:49 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021107055749.15530.00000407@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 54552

In article <aqcq4m$ff3$2@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20021106090555.29169.00000233@mb-ba.aol.com...
>> I bet all you CBplussers and No-Coders are crying this Morning.
>
>Why should No-Coders be crying? I applaud the outcome.
>Indeed, the role of government as seen from a true Republican is
>"less government"...which means we do NOT want government
>licensing requirements that have NO justification.
>
I don't think he gets that, Bill. He didn't get the fact that things like
medical waivers and the Tech losing its code test came about under Papa Bush,
while Incentive Licensing happened during the JFK/LBJ Camelot/Great Society
years. And the highly probable end of all code testing next year (assuming
S25.5 goes away) will be under an even more Republican administration.

See the pattern?

Of course the 2000 restructuring was at the tail end of the Clinton
administration.

73 de Jim, N2EY

364. Re: CW WHINERS SHUT THE HELL UP !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 11:35:40 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211070335.39e90580@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54553
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<aqcq4l$ff3$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:aqc9dq$r2f$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Whine whine whine....all we hear is whine whine whine. You people have it
> > made. All you have to do is memorize 25 letters of Morse Code. Wait
> around
> > till your good buddy at the club decides its time to give a test. And you
> > go down to your local club and take it.
> >
> > How would you like to have to save money for a YEAR. Get a train ticket
> and
> > travel 110 miles to a strange city. Spend the evening and get up at 5 am
> to
> > get to the Federal Building downtown Pittsburgh PA. Wait in line while
> they
> > process 300 applications. Sit in a room so large you can almost see the
> > other end of it from the rear. Take the CW test as it is echoing all over
> > the room. Oh BTW I was 13 at the time and my mother had to come with me
> > because I was too young to ride the train alone. One more thing...that
> was
> > the General at 13 wpm. HARD COPY for one solid minute out of 5minutes.
> > Then you had to send by using a STRAIGHT KEY at 13 wpm.
> >
> > I don't want to hear it.
>
> Yea...and I bet you had to walk uphill both ways in the snow...yada, yada,
> yada...
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

Cardboard in his shoe to cover the holes...

365. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 12:46:01 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DCA6ECE.66BAC78B@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54554

Brian wrote:

> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DC8BADB.890E60D6@townsqr.com>...
> > Brian wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > > That isn't what I referred to and you SHOULD know it, assuming your kids aren't
doing your reading for you (good
> > > > possibility). He recited a case where he and others in his area used CW on the air
during an emergency when other modes
> > > > couldn' handle the job. But you always ignore reality.t
> > >
> > > How odd that you say that. I read Han's invitation to join him in a CW contest.
> >
> > Your reading comprehension is no better than your mental cognization. He noted AFTER
the contest that he had worked a few rrap
> > CW ops. Maybe your kids ARE doing your reading for you. I didn't get on at all simply
because I wasn't home and decided it
> > wouldn't be proper etiquette to drill holes in the brick home of my host so as to run
antenna cables outside. So I left my
> > portable rig at home. Got a problem with that? You would.
> >
> > As it happens, I don't care that much for contests, though I will sometimes work them
for a while just to give out contacts to
> > those who do it seriously for score. I much prefer snooping for the weak signals of DX
stations below the band clutter or just
> > plain relaxing ragchewing. Got a problem with that? You would.
> >
> >
> > > > > Hollow words. Hollow mind.
> > > >
> > > > Don't you ever get tired of all the echos?
> > >
> > >
> > > Its what I've come to expect from you.
> >
> > It'll be cold day down below when you hear any echos from me, from me, from me, from
me....
>
> OK, DICK, its cold enough here in Ohio, my own personal hell.
>
> Meanwhile, here is Hans' invitation for you to join him in a QSO.
> Pahleeze notice the date. Pahleeze notice anything at all

One can easily see that you never take your own advice. Note the introductory paragraph, as...

>

> .misc
> Date: 2002-11-01 10:51:54 PST
>
>
> Following courtesy of N0AX, from the CQ-Contest reflector.
>
> Some of you have expressed an interest in increasing your CW speed,
> and
> this is the weekend to do it.

So now, just where did you ever get the idea that *I* wanted to increase *my* code speed?

Start paying attention. I know that's a tough assignment after all these years of your childlike whiny I-gotta-have-it-my-way
protesting (you DO remind me of my 5 year old grandson except he's also smart as well as still a child).

366. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 13:07:08 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021107080708.28322.00000256@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 54556

In article <3DC98ED3.2010002@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>
>> Here ya go. All numbers are approximate:
>>
>> 1930: US population 122 million, US hams 18,000, 1 ham per 6778 Americans
>>
>> 1940: US population 131 million, US hams 50,000, 1 ham per 2620 Americans
>>
>> 1950: US population 150 million, US hams 90,000, 1 ham per 1667 Americans
>>
>> 1960: US population 179 million, US hams 230,000, 1 ham per 779 Americans
>>
>> 1970: US population 223 million, US hams 270,000, 1 ham per 825 Americans
>>
>> 1980: US population 227 million, US hams 350,000, 1 ham per 649 Americans
>>
>> 1990: US population 249 million US hams 550,000, 1 ham per 453 Americans
>>
>> 2000: US population 281 million, US hams 683,000, 1 ham per 411 Americans
>>
>
>
> Excellent, and thank you Jim!

Don't forget to add in the numbers provided by AC6V:

1917 --- about 6,000
1928 -- about 17,000

>A person would have to put a good spin oon
>this to claim that the ARS is dying.

Dan, W4NTI, says it better than I can. Here are his words:

"We don't need millions of hams. We need about 500000 of on the air
operators. That will stop all the crabbing about 'numbers'. If 1/10 th of
the presently licensed operators would fire up on one weekend a year it
would make the CQ World Wide Phone contest sound like a low QRM event."

Well said!

What really matters is how many ACTIVE hams there are, and what form their
on-air activity takes. Posting on newsgroups doesn't count.

You heard what CW SS is like. That was the result of perhaps a few thousand stations. Anyone who labels CW as "obsolete skill" just isn't in touch with reality on the HF ham bands, as this past weekend proves. That doesn't mean there MUST be a test for the skill, but it does mean the skill is not "obsolete".

And SS is just an NA contest that lasts 30 hours, with 6 hours off required. CQ WW and ARRL DX tests - those are the biggies. Whole world pounding in for two solid days.

73 de Jim, N2EY

367. Re: Republicans WIN BIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 13:10:10 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211070510.2a14a6d3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54555

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021107000403.24908.00002778@mb-dh.aol.com>...
> > I bet all you CBplussers and No-Coders are crying this Morning.
> >
>
> Except for the ones who happen to be Republicans!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Gifts like this only come along once in a lifetime, so they better not squander it.

Brian

368. Re: Republicans WIN BIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 14:15:14 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021107091514.29225.00000255@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 54558

>I would cut off any body part that had those two tatooed on it.

So you could mount those parts in your Trailer

369. Re: Republicans WIN BIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 14:17:38 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021107091738.29225.00000257@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 54559

>Except for the ones who happen to be Republicans!
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT

Very few No-coders are republican

370. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 15:37:20 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DCA88D5.2DFBC3DE@earthlink.net>
Source record: 54560

Brian wrote:
>
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20021105153406.16646.00000193@mb-cr.aol.com>...
> >
> > Amateur radio in the USA is, slowly, losing the PCTAs. Times
> > have changed but these old-timers (chronological or mental) do
> > not like change. Conditions in their hobby must remain the same
> > for them to feel secure, comfortable. Change is uncomfortable and
> > threatens their security. The end result is the kind of heckling and
> > personal attacks aimed at those who threaten their self-defined
> > superiority in an avocational activity.
> >
> > Len Anderson
> > Life Member IEEE
>
> Len, I've said that the actuarial tables will eventually take care of
> "old-fartism."

Actually, the actuarial tables simply remove one group of what you call
"old farts" and substitutes another. Thus has it always been; thus it
shall always be. Len is, after all, a member of the worst sect of "old
farts"--the kind who is miffed because he can't do what the other "old
farts" are doing. In his case, that would be amateur radio.

> I'm not immune to the actuarial tables either, so
> don't you sensitive types go calling me names again.

If you're very, very lucky, you'll someday become an "old fart".

> Meanwhile, I
> don't mind change. I've lived with it all my life.

I've preferred bills to change.

Dave K8MN

371. Re: CW DINOSAURS SHUT THE HELL UP !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 17:22:56 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqe7hfdpr1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54561
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Another idiotic statement by Lloyd the loser. He is so damn ignorant that he does not know that 25 letters of Morse is what is being sent to qualify for the 5 wpm test. Dumbass

Dan/W4NTI

"Lloyd" <Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm> wrote in message news:aqc9dq$r2f$2@taranews.net...
> On Wed, 6 Nov 2002 17:42:46 -0600, Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote:
> > Whine whine whine....all we hear is whine whine whine. You people have it
> > made. All you have to do is memorize 25 letters of Morse Code. Wait around
>
> their are 26 letters in my alphabet Dan, plus 10 numbers and some
> punctation marks. you need to learn to count.
>
> but keep on whining. all of the pple who want to keep cw as a hazing
> ritual whine like you do.
>
> but they have lost. lost. got that? whine all the rest of your life
> and your hazing ritual still wont come back.
>
> sorry dinosaur. your time has passed.
>
> with all good wishes,
> Lloyd

372. Re: CW WHINERS SHUT THE HELL UP !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 17:28:40 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqe7s7jtk2@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54562
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

No, it was in June.

Dan/W4NTI

> Yea...and I bet you had to walk uphill both ways in the snow...yada, yada,
> yada...
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
>
>

373. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 17:51:04 UTC
From: Phil Stripling <phil_stripling@cieux.zzn.com>
Message-ID: <3qu1it9sl3.fsf@shell4.tdl.com>
Source record: 54563
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ron Jarrell <jarrell@babylon5.cc.vt.edu> writes:

As a prequel to my comments here, see my other posts concerning Phil Kane's comments.

> I guess what was confusing was that licensees managed systems of
> stations, and under the section on what the license allowed it talked
> about supporting the personal or business activities of the licensee and
> the people using the license, and elsewhere it specifically listed only
> family members as people who would use your license. (95.181 and 95.179).

179 says, in part:
Sec. 95.179 Individuals who may be station operators.

(a) An individual GMRS system licensee may permit
immediate family members to be station operators in
his or her GMRS system. Immediate family members are
the: [list of members omitted]

This is a list of people who may be station operators: the licensee and his
or her immediate family members.

181 says, again in part:
Sec. 95.181 Permissible communications.

(a) A station operator for an individual who is
licensed in the GMRS (other than an employee of that
individual) may communicate two-way voice messages
concerning the licensee's personal or business activities
(see Sec. 95.179).

I see a limitation on _what_ may be transmitted, but not to _whom_ it may
be transmitted. The other sections state that transmissions may be to
"other GMRS stations at any geographical location ... ." (Section 95.101)
Again, there is no restriction on transmissions to family members.

> So from that it was starting to sound like you could only talk to people
> operating under the same license, and only family members were allowed to
> share a license.

I don't see that myself, but I know reasonable people often disagree.

> Of course, 95.33(a)1-4 had to go confuse things by
> talking about how it was acceptable to share stations, but didn't say a
> thing about licenses.

I _think_ this is supposd to keep repeaters from being commercial.

>
> I do get a good chuckle over the FCC site regularly referring to part 95
> as having been "clearly written so as to be easy to understand."

One of the problems with all regulations is that you have to know what the intent was before you can understand what the regs are saying. 95.33 is a good example. Repeaters are never mentioned in the section; is that what they're talking about? Who can tell?

To add to the confusion, my local REACT club has an old club license (these are no longer authorized), so it falls within the regs which I've ignored here that deal with non-individual licensees. And we're back to my quandry over Phil Kane's post: is our participation in charitable events as communications teams a part of our 'business'? If we didn't have a club license, would our participation on individual licenses be a part of our personal activities? As a lawyer, I appear to be able to transmit messages concerning my business activities (I guess I can call the opposing lawyer on his GMRS radio and tell him I'm suing? :-> I can't quite figure out how I can use it "concerning the licensee's ... business activities," but I'm sure someone will as it applies to us lawyers).

The beer's on me, Ron, the next time you're in the San Francisco Bay Area. I'm not likely to be in Vermont in the forseeable future. Brrrr!

--
Philip Stripling | email to the replyto address is presumed
Legal Assistance on the Web | spam and read later. email to philip@
http://www.PhilipStripling.com/ | civex.com is read daily.

374. Re: ARRL members. Vote for me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 18:23:22 UTC
From: BDKING <kingrat@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.183479a412f6832d989753@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 54564
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

```
In article <3DC9F816.CFEC29D3@townsqr.com>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>
>
> BDKING wrote:
>
> > In article <aqbdlu$var$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>,
> > w4ntinospam@mindspring.com says...
> > > Any excuse is a good one right? All you have to do is reduce the frequency
> > > of the tone. The testing teams are required to accomidate you.
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> > >
> > > "BDKING" <kingrat@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
> > > news:MPG.183252c9e8b459ac98974b@news.buckeye-express.com...
> > > > In article <f45722ac.0211051852.76fc3aaf@posting.google.com>,
> > > > brianburke@juno.com says...
> > > > > BDKING <kingrat@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
> > > news:<MPG.1831c4c1d1daf7c9989749@news.buckeye-express.com>...
> > > > >
> > > > > > Back in the old days it was a good thing, but starting with the
> > > incentive
> > > > > > liscensing, the ARRL has done more to screw up ham radio than help it.
> > > > > > The CW requirement turned off a ton of people, including myself. I did
> > > > > > pass the 5WPM almost 30 years ago, but working CW was/is something I
> > > > > > never wanted to do. I tried several times to get to 13WPM, but I get
> > > to
> > > > > > about 8WPM, and I couldn't take it anymore, it annoys the crap out of
> > > me,
> > > > > > regardless of what pitch I listen to it at. I never understood the CW
> > > > > > fanatics anyway, I had no objections to making the written test
> > > harder,
> > > > > > but they didn't seem to understand what a turn off it is to some
> > > people,
> > > > > > and they managed to keep the higher speed requirement until it's
> > > probably
> > > > > > too late to generate any real increase in new hams. The internet is a
> > > > > > much bigger draw to most kids than radio..
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Now that I can upgrade without any more code, I have lost most of the
> > > > > > desire to do so. I haven't even keyed up an HT in about a year or
> > > so...
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
```

> > > > > > BDK
> > > > >
> > > > > Sometimes when I'm in a McDonalds about rush hour, and all the french
> > > > > fry and microwave oven and cash register beepers going off at the same
> > > > > time, I feel like I'm back with my code tapes and breaking pencil
> > > > > leads.
> > > > >
> > > > > Talk about anxiety.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > It seemed like the code tapes were at exactly the right pitch to drive me
> > > > up the wall almost instantly. If I can drop it down to about 150hz or
> > > > so, it's ok for a few minutes, but at 800, it's like nails on a
> > > > chalkboard!! It's funny, but the nails on a chalkboard never bothered me
> > > > until I hit 40, now it kills me..
> > > >
> > > > BDK
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> > I took the 5WPM almost 30 years ago, I'm done with CW, period. I wanted
> > to get the general just to shoot the breeze with my friend down south a
> > couple of times a week. I still might get it, the written is a nonsense
> > test anyway. Some of the questions are insane. As far as any excuse being
> > a good one, as far as CW is concerned, yeah. I resented having it shoved
> > down my throat, kind of like having a religion shoved down my throat when
> > I dont want it. For some hams, CW is a religion. Why, I don't have a
> > clue. I was heavy into RTTY decoding for a while, and I didn't think
> > learning all about it should have been manditory, like the CW fanatics do
> > with it.
> >
> > I've never seen/heard a test where they could do anything to change the
> > pitch anyway, it seems like it's 800-1K and that's it. It's on a PC, or
> > it's on tape. Not to mention the goofy spacing they used when a friend of
> > mine took the thing about 10 years ago. It was kind of funny, it was
> > like someone just randomly keying dots and dashes. The look on the guys
> > faces that were taking the test was great. Nobody passed, but they went
> > and got another tape and all but two passed on that one. My friend was
> > one of the two, he was rattled by the first tape, and couldn't get calmed
> > down enough to pass. What a waste of 5 hours of standing around!
> >
> > BDK
>
> BD, it would be very easy to conclude that most anything you and Slim do is a
> waste.
>
>
And I guess your folks named you right, eh DICK???

Another ham that makes us all look like aholes..

BDK

375. Re: Republicans WIN BIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 19:21:13 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqeeh5rpu1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54565

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021107000819.24908.00002779@mb-dh.aol.com...
> >Why should No-Coders be crying? I applaud the outcome.
> >Indeed, the role of government as seen from a true Republican is
> >"less government"...which means we do NOT want government
> >licensing requirements that have NO justification.
> >
> >Cheers,
> >Bill K2UNK
>
> Good point, Bill.

Thanks,

> However, in the case of the Morse Code, that particular
> licensing requirement have/had a justification which
> is/was very beneficial to the ARS.
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

You are entitled to that opinion....it appears, however, the FCC and
others (myself) do not agree.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

376. Re: Republicans WIN BIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 19:28:10 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqef3e$mg9$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54569

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021107055749.15530.00000407@mb-ct.aol.com...
> In article <aqcq4m$ff3$2@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>
> >"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20021106090555.29169.00000233@mb-ba.aol.com...
> >> I bet all you CBplussers and No-Coders are crying this Morning.
> >
> >Why should No-Coders be crying? I applaud the outcome.
> >Indeed, the role of government as seen from a true Republican is
> >"less government"...which means we do NOT want government
> >licensing requirements that have NO justification.
> >
> I don't think he gets that, Bill. He didn't get the fact that things like
> medical waivers and the Tech losing its code test came about under Papa
Bush,
> while Incentive Licensing happened during the JFK/LBJ Camelot/Great
Society
> years. And the highly probable end of all code testing next year (assuming
> S25.5 goes away) will be under an even more Republican administration.
>
> See the pattern?
>
> Of course the 2000 restructuring was at the tail end of the Clinton
> administration.

In the end, the decisions being made by the FCC regarding amateur
license requirements are pretty far removed from the philosophical
and political positions of democrats as opposed to republicans.
Medical waivers were far more likly the case of the FCC understanding
that without such waivers, the courts would rule against a 13/20 requirement
for handicapped hams anyway. Once that happened, the rest follows
in progression. I firmly believe the courts would not
require any morse test if it was challenged on that basis if it wasn't
for the international treaty.

Add to the above the fact that morse is largely a non-used mode
outside of amateur radio and any past justification for a "pool of
trained morse operators" goes up in smoke.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

377. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 19:28:49 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h57op5r.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 54566
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 06 Nov 2002 22:18:39 -0800, P(il Stripling wrote:

>"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:
>
>>SNIP<
>> stations within a single system, if the message content is
>> related to the personal business of the licensee(s).
>>SNIP<
>
>Hi, Phil,
>
>Uh, personal business? :-> What does _that_ mean?

Judge Samuel Conti (N Calif Federal District) once made a
hand-written change to a search warrant we had applied for, changing
the "standard" wording of "...using necessary force..." to read
"...with force deemed appropriate..." When I asked him
(respectfully, of course) what that meant, he replied "screw up and
you will find out". <ggg>

It was my typo. Section 95.181(a) uses the phrase "...concerning
the licensee's personal or business activities". The "or" got lost.

Sorry for the confusion.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

378. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 19:28:50 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h57oxes.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 54567
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Thu, 7 Nov 2002 07:50:44 +0000 (UTC), Ron Jarrell wrote:

>Thanks. Gee, we'd just happened to say to each other "You know, probably the only
>one who could tell us for sure would be a lawyer" :-).

Best if said lawyer is a specialist in communications law (guillty
as charged).
>
>Although that brings up a parallel concept. If a bunch of us, each
>with our own individual licenses (or at least one per family) all
>volunteer at something (parade, sci fi con, something like that), where
>we're not employees, is it a legitimate non-business use to talk to
>each other about what we're doing?

That's exactly what REACT does nowadays - they gave up on CB Channel
9 a long time ago. GMRS has been used for such activities almost
from the beginning.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

379. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 19:28:50 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h57p13t.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 54568
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Thu, 7 Nov 2002 08:01:16 +0000 (UTC), Ron Jarrell wrote:

>I do get a good chuckle over the FCC site regularly referring to part 95 as having
>been "clearly written so as to be easy to understand."

Go read Subpart D (for Dummies, as some of my colleagues say).

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

380. Re: Republicans WIN BIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 19:29:38 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqef3e$mg9$2@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54570

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021107091738.29225.00000257@mb-ba.aol.com...
> >Except for the ones who happen to be Republicans!
> >
> >73 de Larry, K3LT
>
> Very few No-coders are republican

And you know this based on what facts?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

381. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 19:30:42 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqef3g$mg9$3@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54571
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Phil Stripling" <phil_stripling@cieux.zzn.com> wrote in message
news:3qn0olnbr4.fsf@shell4.tdl.com...
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:
>
> >SNIP<
> > stations within a single system, if the message content is
> > related to the personal business of the licensee(s).
> >SNIP<
>
> Hi, Phil,
>
> Uh, personal business? :-> What does _that_ mean?

Pretty much anything you want it to be.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

382. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 19:35:10 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqefbn$5eb$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54572
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Phil Stripling" <phil_stripling@cieux.zzn.com> wrote in message
news:3qu1it9sl3.fsf@shell4.tdl.com...
> Ron Jarrell <jarrell@babylon5.cc.vt.edu> writes:
>
> As a prequel to my comments here, see my other posts concerning Phil
Kane's comments.
>
> > I guess what was confusing was that licensees managed systems of
> > stations, and under the section on what the license allowed it talked
> > about supporting the personal or business activities of the licensee and
> > the people using the license, and elsewhere it specifically listed only
> > family members as people who would use your license. (95.181 and
95.179).
>
> 179 says, in part:
> Sec. 95.179 Individuals who may be station operators.
>
> (a) An individual GMRS system licensee may permit
> immediate family members to be station operators in
> his or her GMRS system. Immediate family members are
> the: [list of members omitted]
>
> This is a list of people who may be station operators: the licensee and
his
> or her immediate family members.
>
> 181 says, again in part:
> Sec. 95.181 Permissible communications.
>
> (a) A station operator for an individual who is
> licensed in the GMRS (other than an employee of that
> individual) may communicate two-way voice messages
> concerning the licensee's personal or business activities
> (see Sec. 95.179).
>
> I see a limitation on _what_ may be transmitted, but not to _whom_ it may
> be transmitted.

It would be tough to say what is prohibited. I could claim anything
I talk about to be "my personal or business activity". Who could
argue otherwise?

> The other sections state that transmissions may be to
> "other GMRS stations at any geographical location ... ." (Section 95.101)

> Again, there is no restriction on transmissions to family members.

Agreed.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

383. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 20:07:42 UTC
From: Ridge Runner <RidgeRunner@NoLicenseRequiredFreeband.net>
Message-ID: <aqeh5b$2eu$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 54573
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Your first mistake was in applying for license. By doing so, you
voluntarily subjected yourself to unconstitutional bureaucratic
rules. No one in my county ever applies for a bureaucratic license
of any type. We are "state citizens," not subject to unconstitutional
federal bureaucratic rules. We do not allow federal bureaucrats
to trample the U.S. Constitution and the Bill of Rights.

Ridge Runner

"Ron Jarrell" <jarrell@babylon5.cc.vt.edu> wrote in message
news:aqcbfo$t4g$2@solaris.cc.vt.edu...
> Does any one know for a fact (rather than just having an opinion, I've got
> plenty of those myself :-)) whether a GMRS license allows you to talk to
> any other GMRS licensee, or just people operating under your license?
>
> I've gotten into an argument over it, and part 95 is not being helpful,
> honestly. Reading 95.1a, 95.3, 95.21, and 95.181, all seem to pretty
> clearly state that a license allows the licensees, and designated
> people inside the family (I'm talking the current individual licenses
> of course, not a legacy business license) to operate a given gmrs
> "system", i.e. a set of units, and to communicate. It seems to only
> allow you to operate within that "system". Unless you're having a
> 95.181(d) emergency. The one place that opens it up is 95.101(a), which
> does state that a "GMRS license authorizes a GMRS station to transmit to
> other GMRS stations at any geographical location within [us control]"
> But, even then, elsewhere stations have been defined as being units
> with an system.
>
> Basically what we're trying to decide is whether it's legal for a pair
> of fully licensed families with GMRS units to talk to between the
> families on a joint outing, say, if we went to, oh, Disneyworld or
> anyplace where operating at GMRS power rather than FRS levels might be
> necessary due to distance or terrain. We could clearly do that legally
> under FRS laws, but, then, we'd be at FRS power levels.

384. Re: Republicans WIN BIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 20:16:40 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021107151640.10636.00005563@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 54574

>And you know this based on what facts?
>
>Cheers,
>Bill K2UNK

Published Dem fact sheets

385. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 20:54:20 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021107155420.07828.00003055@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 54575

>> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20021105153406.16646.00000193@mb-cr.aol.com>..

>> Meanwhile, I
>> don't mind change. I've lived with it all my life.

From your "CV", it would appear so...usually faster than it took to unpack
your bags.

One wonder's why.

=)

386. Re: Republicans WIN BIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 22:29:03 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqephn$k55$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54576

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021107151640.10636.00005563@mb-mq.aol.com...
> >And you know this based on what facts?
> >
> >Cheers,
> >Bill K2UNK
>
> Published Dem fact sheets

Truly the most incompetent reponse you could have made.

Next you are going to tell us you crossreferenced the
democratic "fact sheets" against the names of various NCI
members...of which you have no knowledge other than
of a handful who state their membership in this newsgroup.

Sayonnora wa8ulx...enough playing in you silly
sandbox. Your response shows no understanding
what-so-ever of political affiliations, etc.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

387. Re: ARRL members. Vote for me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 22:59:25 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DCAF04D.34F592CF@mailcity.net>
Source record: 54584
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

BDKING wrote:

> >
> >
> And I guess your folks named you right, eh DICK???
>
> Another ham that makes us all look like aholes..
>
> BDK

Naw, one thing about dickie and larry, they make the rest of the
hams, including the no codes, look really good by comparison.

388. Re: Republicans WIN BIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 23:32:30 UTC
From: Web <WebFx27@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <iKCy9.21821$h4.6009@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 54577

i think he's a communist

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021106090555.29169.00000233@mb-ba.aol.com...
> I bet all you CBplussers and No-Coders are crying this Morning.

389. Re: Republicans WIN BIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 23:45:03 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021107184503.29198.00000165@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 54578

>Next you are going to tell us you crossreferenced the
>democratic "fact sheets" against the names of various NCI
>members.

Your right about NCI, but I wasnt going to mentioned it, but thanks anyway for the confirmation

390. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 23:48:05 UTC
From: Ron Jarrell <jarrell@babylon5.cc.vt.edu>
Message-ID: <aqeu3l$148$1@solaris.cc.vt.edu>
Source record: 54579
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

In alt.radio.family Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote:
> On Thu, 7 Nov 2002 07:50:44 +0000 (UTC), Ron Jarrell wrote:

>>Thanks. Gee, we'd just happened to say to each other "You know, probably the only
>>one who could tell us for sure would be a lawyer" :-).

> Best if said lawyer is a specialist in communications law (guillty
> as charged).
>>
>>Although that brings up a parallel concept. If a bunch of us, each
>>with our own individual licenses (or at least one per family) all
>>volunteer at something (parade, sci fi con, something like that), where
>>we're not employees, is it a legitimate non-business use to talk to
>>each other about what we're doing?

> That's exactly what REACT does nowadays - they gave up on CB Channel
> 9 a long time ago. GMRS has been used for such activities almost
> from the beginning.

Great! Thanks a lot for the info; it should open up all sorts of
possibilities. I'm correct in my assumption that everyone (or at least
every family) needs to buy their own license, since there's no non-individual
licenses being given out?

391. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-07 23:54:33 UTC
From: Ron Jarrell <jarrell@babylon5.cc.vt.edu>
Message-ID: <aqeufp$148$2@solaris.cc.vt.edu>
Source record: 54580
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In alt.radio.family Phil Stripling <phil_stripling@cieux.zzn.com> wrote:
> Ron Jarrell <jarrell@babylon5.cc.vt.edu> writes:

> To add to the confusion, my local REACT club has an old club license (these
> are no longer authorized), so it falls within the regs which I've ignored
> here that deal with non-individual licensees. And we're back to my quandry
> over Phil Kane's post: is our participation in charitable events as
> communications teams a part of our 'business'? If we didn't have a club
> license, would our participation on individual licenses be a part of our
> personal activities? As a lawyer, I appear to be able to transmit messages
> concerning my business activities (I guess I can call the opposing lawyer
> on his GMRS radio and tell him I'm suing? :-> I can't quite figure out how
> I can use it "concerning the licensee's ... business activities," but I'm
> sure someone will as it applies to us lawyers).

Yea, that's one of the things some of us here would like to do; support
local events. We've got a bunch of FRS units we use now, and some aging
and near-death green dot and blue dot units (which we have a perfectly useless
license for, thanks to murs)... We were looking at GMRS as a extremely
cost effective way to consolidate systems, and keep the range we need for
the extreme ends of our stuff.

> The beer's on me, Ron, the next time you're in the San Francisco Bay
> Area. I'm not likely to be in Vermont in the forseeable future. Brrrr!

Virginia, actually. vt.edu stands for Virginia Tech. Vermont is
actually uvm.edu. As I recall it stands for the latin for "university
of the green hills" or some such. Years ago, when people had to start
picking domain names, I argued for vatech.edu, because vt.edu would be
potentially confused with vermont, given that vt is the darn state code
for vermont. I was told "noooo, that'll never happen. and vatech.edu is
too much to type." Sheesh. For the record, yea, happens all the time!

Much colder than the bay, but not nearly as bad as vermont.

392. Re: Republicans WIN BIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 00:02:14 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqev9b$f73$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54581

I'm impressed Brian. And I thought you were a knee-jerk bleeding heart commie liberal. Sorry.

Dan/W4NTI

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message news:f45722ac.0211061907.2a0198d2@posting.google.com...

> I would cut off any body part that had those two tatooed on it.

393. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 00:25:37 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021107192537.22144.00002224@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 54582

>Maybe he and his band can record a song and video featuring ham radio?

Exactly. Lets hear Lance Bass proudly proclaim he is a Ham. He could do this in press interviews or even in a music video as you just suggested.

>Except the FCC says no music over ham radio.....
He doesn't have to do this over Ham Radio. He could praise Ham Radio in press releases and in music videos.

None of his videos would be interpreted as Ham Radio by the general population or we would have heard about it.

394. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 00:52:49 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021107195249.22144.00002232@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 54583

>An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Education is nice, but how is contesting a "sport"? And, about CW, who wants to tap keys all the time to communicate?.

395. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 01:03:32 UTC
From: Phil Stripling <phil_stripling@cieux.zzn.com>
Message-ID: <3qlm4498kb.fsf@shell4.tdl.com>
Source record: 54586
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Ron Jarrell <jarrell@babylon5.cc.vt.edu> writes:

> Virginia, actually. vt.edu stands for Virginia Tech. Vermont is
> actually uvm.edu. As I recall it stands for the latin for "university
> of the green hills" or some such.

Yeah! You're right -- I know someone from UVM once in Texas, and it means green mountains. I couldn't figure out why it wasn't UV, but that leads to its own misunderstanding, I guess.

> Much colder than the bay, but not nearly as bad as vermont.

You're still on for a beer (or drink of your choice) next time you're in the area.

--
Philip Stripling | email to the replyto address is presumed
Legal Assistance on the Web | spam and read later. email to philip@
http://www.PhilipStripling.com/ | civex.com is read daily.

396. Re: Republicans WIN BIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 01:07:41 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021107200741.00112.00000316@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 54585

In article <aqef3e$mg9$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20021107055749.15530.00000407@mb-ct.aol.com...
>> In article <aqcq4m$ff3$2@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
>> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>>
>> >"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
>> >news:20021106090555.29169.00000233@mb-ba.aol.com...
>> >> I bet all you CBplussers and No-Coders are crying this Morning.
>> >
>> >Why should No-Coders be crying? I applaud the outcome.
>> >Indeed, the role of government as seen from a true Republican is
>> >"less government"...which means we do NOT want government
>> >licensing requirements that have NO justification.
>> >
>> I don't think he gets that, Bill. He didn't get the fact that things like
>> medical waivers and the Tech losing its code test came about under Papa
>Bush,
>> while Incentive Licensing happened during the JFK/LBJ Camelot/Great
>Society
>> years. And the highly probable end of all code testing next year (assuming
>> S25.5 goes away) will be under an even more Republican administration.
>>
>> See the pattern?
>>
>> Of course the 2000 restructuring was at the tail end of the Clinton
>> administration.
>
>In the end, the decisions being made by the FCC regarding amateur
>license requirements are pretty far removed from the philosophical
>and political positions of democrats as opposed to republicans.

Maybe. But the pattern is pretty consistent since the end of WW2, at least.
Even back as far as 50 years ago: FCC enacts a big restructuring in 1951 after
years of discussion, (HST in White House) then in late 1952 (right after
Eisenhower is elected) chucks out most of it so that Generals and Conditionals
get everything. Eisenhower administration gave us 27 MHz cb, too.

The first time FCC proposed a nocode ham ticket was in 1975 (Ford
administration). Second time was 1983 (Reagan administration). VE system? 1983.

Correlation is not proof of causation, of course. But it sure is a correlation,
dontcha think?

>Medical waivers were far more likly the case of the FCC understanding
>that without such waivers, the courts would rule against a 13/20 requirement
>for handicapped hams anyway.

What courts? Waivers were the result of a foreign king asking Papa Bush for a favor. Plain and simple. No courts involved.

> Once that happened, the rest follows in progression.

Papa Bush also wanted an end to maritime Morse requirements, because they effectively mandated that ships have radio operators. Said ops have to be paid, and shore stations (with operators) have to exist for them.

>I firmly believe the courts would not
>require any morse test if it was challenged on that basis if it wasn't
>for the international treaty.

I don't believe that, but it's a moot point. Nobody has ever brought such a case to court, have they? And it's not likely in the foreseeable future.

>Add to the above the fact that morse is largely a non-used mode
>outside of amateur radio and any past justification for a "pool of
>trained morse operators" goes up in smoke.

The idea that Morse MUST be tested so that there is a reserve of trained operators for other services was once valid, but not any more. But that is only one reason for a Morse test, and not one I've agreed with for at least 25 years.

Fun historical fact: There were about 50,000 licensed US hams on Pearl Harbor day. About 25,000 of them served in our nation's armed services during WW2, where there Morse and technical skills were needed. Many thousands of others served in nonmilitary but war-related ways (merchant marine, instructors at radio schools, development of things like radar and the proximity fuse, etc.)

Yes, Morse is largely a non-used mode outside of amateur radio. But it's extensively used in amateur radio, and it's amateur radio that we're talking about.

73 de Jim, N2EY

397. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 01:23:01 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DCB2039.A5158781@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54587

Vshah101 wrote:

> >An Invitation to CW Radiosport
>
> Education is nice, but how is contesting a "sport"? And, about CW, who wants to
> tap keys all the time to communicate?.

See? you don't know as much as you thought, do you?

398. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 01:37:14 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021107203714.22144.00002243@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 54588

From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
>> The only flaw in that thinking that I'm familiar with is the fact that
>there are
>> sure to be hundreds of thousands of hams who have lost all interest other
>than
>> renewing their license against the day when they may regain it.
>
>I wonder if that's anything like claiming that a cw rig could be
>cobbled together at any time, from just about anything, and used to
>communicate successfully, and believes it so much so that he wants
>everyone else to learn cw and believe like he believes?
>
>But then misses an invitation to have a cw qso with Hans because he
>wasn't where his store bought radio was.
>
>That kind of lost interest?
>
That's an interesting perspective. It shows the justifications used. CW could
be easily built, yet the people that say this are the people that don't build!

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>Now all you clowns have left is your desire to
>try and justify your Dumbed Down License. Face it, without the Standards
>being
>lowered to alloy you access to HF, you would still be on the outside looking
>in.

No, the real dumbing down of "Ham" Radio, is requiring Morse Code in order to
obtain a higher class license.

The sad thing is you honestly believe that memorizing an encoding scheme is
more important in Amateur Radio than the testing material. And those that
memorize the encoding scheme should have the higher class license.

>And most likely
>you would be still Whinning how you were being mistreated because you couldnt
>or wouldnt learn the Basic material to be a real ham.

I am all for learning the material to get the license.

You just said it to be a "real" Ham you have to learn Morse. This is consistent
with my claim that Ham radio is a cult. Who wants to communicate by tapping
keys? So, its a boring cult.

I am not a "Ham".

399. Re: CW WHINERS SHUT THE HELL UP !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 01:45:19 UTC
From: g0mem <isembardbinladen@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <QGEy9.1263$8P5.27@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk>
Source record: 54589
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:aqc9dq$r2f$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> Whine whine whine....all we hear is whine whine whine.

Where is this whine?? Can I have a bottle. I like red Bordeaux whine :*)

G0MEM

400. Re: Republicans WIN BIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 03:00:31 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqf9eu$1ul$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54590

```
"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021107200741.00112.00000316@mb-fz.aol.com...
> In article <aqef3e$mg9$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20021107055749.15530.00000407@mb-ct.aol.com...
> >> In article <aqcq4m$ff3$2@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> >> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
> >>
> >> >"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> >> >news:20021106090555.29169.00000233@mb-ba.aol.com...
> >> >> I bet all you CBplussers and No-Coders are crying this Morning.
> >> >
> >> >Why should No-Coders be crying? I applaud the outcome.
> >> >Indeed, the role of government as seen from a true Republican is
> >> >"less government"...which means we do NOT want government
> >> >licensing requirements that have NO justification.
> >> >
> >> I don't think he gets that, Bill. He didn't get the fact that things
like
> >> medical waivers and the Tech losing its code test came about under Papa
> >Bush,
> >> while Incentive Licensing happened during the JFK/LBJ Camelot/Great
> >Society
> >> years. And the highly probable end of all code testing next year
(assuming
> >> S25.5 goes away) will be under an even more Republican administration.
> >>
> >> See the pattern?
> >>
> >> Of course the 2000 restructuring was at the tail end of the Clinton
> >> administration.
> >
> >In the end, the decisions being made by the FCC regarding amateur
> >license requirements are pretty far removed from the philosophical
> >and political positions of democrats as opposed to republicans.
>
> Maybe. But the pattern is pretty consistent since the end of WW2, at
least.
> Even back as far as 50 years ago: FCC enacts a big restructuring in 1951
after
> years of discussion, (HST in White House) then in late 1952 (right after
> Eisenhower is elected) chucks out most of it so that Generals and
```

Conditionals
> get everything. Eisenhower administration gave us 27 MHz cb, too.
>
> The first time FCC proposed a nocode ham ticket was in 1975 (Ford
> administration). Second time was 1983 (Reagan administration). VE system?
1983.
>
> Correlation is not proof of causation, of course. But it sure is a
correlation,
> dontcha think?

Well it makes for an interesting theory anyway.

> >Medical waivers were far more likly the case of the FCC understanding
> >that without such waivers, the courts would rule against a 13/20
requirement
> >for handicapped hams anyway.
>
> What courts? Waivers were the result of a foreign king asking Papa Bush
for a
> favor. Plain and simple. No courts involved.

True...BUT, had waivers not come about in the manner in which they did,
the courts would have been engaged by someone...especially with the
ADA.

> > Once that happened, the rest follows in progression.
>
> Papa Bush also wanted an end to maritime Morse requirements, because they
> effectively mandated that ships have radio operators. Said ops have to be
paid,
> and shore stations (with operators) have to exist for them.
>
> >I firmly believe the courts would not
> >require any morse test if it was challenged on that basis if it wasn't
> >for the international treaty.
>
> I don't believe that, but it's a moot point. Nobody has ever brought such
a
> case to court, have they? And it's not likely in the foreseeable future.

Ditto my comment above.

> >Add to the above the fact that morse is largely a non-used mode
> >outside of amateur radio and any past justification for a "pool of
> >trained morse operators" goes up in smoke.
>
> The idea that Morse MUST be tested so that there is a reserve of trained
> operators for other services was once valid, but not any more.

Agreed.

> But that is only
> one reason for a Morse test, and not one I've agreed with for at least 25
> years.

Any other reasons have been rejected by the FCC.

> Fun historical fact: There were about 50,000 licensed US hams on Pearl
Harbor
> day. About 25,000 of them served in our nation's armed services during
WW2,
> where there Morse and technical skills were needed. Many thousands of
others
> served in nonmilitary but war-related ways (merchant marine, instructors
at
> radio schools, development of things like radar and the proximity fuse,
etc.)
>
> Yes, Morse is largely a non-used mode outside of amateur radio. But it's
> extensively used in amateur radio, and it's amateur radio that we're
talking
> about.

But use alone, even if only in amateur radio, has NOT been accepted
by the FCC as a rational to continue code testing.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

401. Re: Republicans WIN BIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 03:29:27 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DCB3DDB.437C7A15@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54591

Bill Sohl wrote:

> Medical waivers were far more likly the case of the FCC understanding
> that without such waivers, the courts would rule against a 13/20 requirement
> for handicapped hams anyway

More "make the facts fit my agenda" on your part. Never once did FCC ever indicate that it ever considered such a situation. Nor was the medical waiver rule the result of any FCC proceeding whatever. Bush41 got a call from Jordan, he made one to someone in charge at the FCC and presto! we got medical waivers.

402. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 03:29:47 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h589vxu.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 54593
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On Thu, 7 Nov 2002 14:35:10 -0500, Bill Sohl wrote:

>It would be tough to say what is prohibited. I could claim anything
>I talk about to be "my personal or business activity". Who could
>argue otherwise?

That's why it was written that way. It would exclude
communications relating to someone else's personal or business
axtivities in which the sender were not involved. See my tale
of woe in another post on this same thread.

The GMRS rules were rewritten several years ago in an effort to
exclude it being used for company business communication (wanted
to get them all on Business Radio or even ESMR/Nextel) but ooops,
there were lots and lots of those pesky company licensees that
they had to grandfather somehow.

The main benefit of the rewrite for me was to authorize all GMRS
licensees to use all GMRS frequencies except for two channels
north of Line A, but the latter were grandfathered anyhow if
they were licensed for those channels at the time of the
rewrite (as I was).

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

403. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 03:29:48 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h58d6iv.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 54592
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 07 Nov 2002 09:51:04 -0800, Phil Stripling wrote:

>And we're back to my quandry
>over Phil Kane's post: is our participation in charitable events as
>communications teams a part of our 'business'? If we didn't have a club
>license, would our participation on individual licenses be a part of our
>personal activities?

Tes on both.

Early in my career with the FCC we cited a railroad for
transmitting non-business communication because train crew
members were monitored having an extended discussion over what
to order for lunch. We received a multi-page reply back from
their legal department citing (with attachments) the fact that
their contract with the union obligated the railroad to provide
a meal to the train crew if certain work conditions existed,
yakety yackety yack, and therefore the cited communication was
in fact official business of the railroad. We had to agree with
them and from that point on we were always quite liberal in
stretching interpretation of "personal and business activities"
as applied to most radio communications. That attitude did not
carry over to the prohibited types of communication in the
Amateur Radio Service (Sect. 97.113), though.

>As a lawyer, I appear to be able to transmit messages concerning my
>business activities (I guess I can call the opposing lawyer
>on his GMRS radio and tell him I'm suing? :->

And there goes security and client confidentiality out the window......

>I can't quite figure out how I can use it "concerning the licensee's ...
>business activities," but I'm sure someone will as it applies to us
>lawyers).

Simple - if you are the licensee. Business activities include
such things as ordering office supplies, when to open the
office on weekends, why to pay the rent on time, etc. I would
steer very clear of discussions imnvolving cases - GMRS is even
more insecure than cellphones.

If you are referring to the client as the licensee, not so
simple. The rules do not permit an "agent" to operate under
the license, only an employee.

>The beer's on me, Ron, the next time you're in the San Francisco Bay
>Area. I'm not likely to be in Vermont in the forseeable future. Brrrr!

How are things going with the SMCBA and the court project? Are
the court and the county clerk's offices ready to be dragged
kicking and screaming into the 1990s? I lost touch with that
project when I moved up here.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From a Clearing in the Silicon Forest
Beaverton (Washington County) Oregon

Retired and Loving Every Minute of It
Work was getting in the way of my hobbies

404. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 03:49:30 UTC
From: Phil Stripling <phil_stripling@cieux.zzn.com>
Message-ID: <3qadkkbu0l.fsf@shell4.tdl.com>
Source record: 54594
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

> How are things going with the SMCBA and the court project? Are
> the court and the county clerk's offices ready to be dragged
> kicking and screaming into the 1990s? I lost touch with that
> project when I moved up here.

Well, I'm sorry to say that it hasn't happened _yet_. The legal technology section disbanded from lack of attendance -- after you left, so I'm assuming you were holding it together. :-)

Keep out of touch on that stuff, and let's stick to beer -- when are _you_ due back down here?

--
Philip Stripling | email to the replyto address is presumed
Legal Assistance on the Web | spam and read later. email to philip@
http://www.PhilipStripling.com/ | civex.com is read daily.

405. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 03:54:49 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DCB3579.4331C917@earthlink.net>
Source record: 54595

Vshah101 wrote:
>
> >An Invitation to CW Radiosport
>
> Education is nice, but how is contesting a "sport"? And, about CW, who wants to
> tap keys all the time to communicate?.

Let your education beging now. Contesting is sport in that it is
competitive. Winning takes skill and experience and planning. The
people who want to tap keys all the time to communicate are the
participants. Any more questions I can clear up for you?

Dave K8MN

406. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 04:03:10 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021107230310.21553.00005546@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 54596

>No, the real dumbing down of "Ham" Radio, is requiring Morse Code in order to
>obtain a higher class license.

I wasnt refering to CW, if you read what I posted i never mentioned CW, what I
was saying the Written is a Joke

>I am all for learning the material to get the license.
>

Learning the Material is ome thingf but with the Phooney written test we have
now no one is learning anything

>I am not a "Ham"

GOOD

407. Re: Republicans WIN BIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 05:03:46 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021108000346.28838.00000158@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 54597

>> Good point, Bill.
>
>Thanks,
>
>> However, in the case of the Morse Code, that particular
>> licensing requirement have/had a justification which
>> is/was very beneficial to the ARS.
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>You are entitled to that opinion....it appears, however, the FCC and
>others (myself) do not agree.
>
>Cheers,
>Bill K2UNK

Bill:

Well, thank God we live in a country where we get to disagree with each other, and we both get to keep living! If the Democrats had won a bi-cameral majority last Tuesday, I'm not so sure that would continue to be the case for very long!

73 de Larry, K3LT

408. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 05:07:10 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021108000710.06308.00000378@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 54598

In article <20021107195249.22144.00002232@mb-cv.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) writes:

>>An Invitation to CW Radiosport
>
>Education is nice, but how is contesting a "sport"?

It's a competitive activity where skill and endurance are tested. Also tests equipment performance. Just like auto racing, sailboat racing, target shooting and a bunch of others. It's nice, too.

>And, about CW, who wants to
>tap keys all the time to communicate?.

There were several thousand of us on the air last weekend doing just that. It's a lot of fun, once one has the basic skills. Have you ever actually tried it?

btw, it's a pretty good bet that almost everyone who posts here is communicating by tapping the keys of their keyboards.

--

It occurs to me that the "tapping the keys" phrase sounds a lot like ol' Masa/Cutie Boy of some years back. Since "vshah" won't give his name or callsign, he could be almost anybody. Fascinating.

April 2, 2003 is less than six months away - will Masa make good on his 1998 bet?

73 de Jim, N2EY

409. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 07:03:27 UTC
From: ryanhass@sbcglobal.net
Message-ID: <c999093.0211072303.370c4977@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54599
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Phil Stripling <phil_stripling@cieux.zzn.com> wrote in message

> To add to the confusion, my local REACT club has an old club license (these
> are no longer authorized), so it falls within the regs which I've ignored
> here that deal with non-individual licensees. And we're back to my quandry
> over Phil Kane's post: is our participation in charitable events as
> communications teams a part of our 'business'? If we didn't have a club
> license, would our participation on individual licenses be a part of our
> personal activities?

Yes it would, and without the content and other restrictions
that are imposed on non-individual licenses. Namely you can put up
any amount of repeaters, and any amount of stations. Wacky ain't it.

>As a lawyer, I appear to be able to transmit messages
> concerning my business activities (I guess I can call the opposing lawyer
> on his GMRS radio and tell him I'm suing? :-> I can't quite figure out how
> I can use it "concerning the licensee's ... business activities," but I'm
> sure someone will as it applies to us lawyers).
>
> The beer's on me, Ron, the next time you're in the San Francisco Bay
> Area. I'm not likely to be in Vermont in the forseeable future. Brrrr!

410. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 13:28:00 UTC
From: Ronnie's CB Supermart <Ron@Ronniescbsupermart1.com>
Message-ID: <aqge3p$88d$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 54600
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Quit cross-posting this Clarence Darrow crap to rec.cb

Ronnie
Prop. of Ronnie's CB Supermart
(special on amps this month, $1 per watt)

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h56kphp.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
On Wed, 6 Nov 2002 17:19:19 -0800, Packrat wrote:

>The answer is yes. The license gives you the authority to transmit.
Anyone
>can receive. The only restriction is that you don't talk to
>furiners(foreigners)

You got the right answer but with the wrong reasoning.

Except for emergency situations and certain paging operations,
one-way communications are not permitted in GMRS.

HOWEVER, the rules have always been interpreted to permit
intercommunication between stations, not restricted to those
stations within a single system, if the message content is
related to the personal business of the licensee(s).

The rule wording is a bit confusing for someone who isn't all
that familiar with how FCC rules are interpreted, but that's
the kind of stuff that I do for a living....piece of cake.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
ARRL Volunteer Counsel

411. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 14:15:02 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DCBD52C.8F0D301@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54651

N2EY wrote:

It occurs to me that the "tapping the keys" phrase sounds a lot like ol'

> Masa/Cutie Boy of some years back. Since "vshah" won't give his name or
> callsign, he could be almost anybody. Fascinating.

Yeah, indeed! So THAT'S who that maroon is! :-)

>
> April 2, 2003 is less than six months away - will Masa make good on his 1998
> bet?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

412. RP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 15:08:50 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0211080708.52cf0b6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54652

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<aqf9eu$1ul$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>...
>
> Well it makes for an interesting theory anyway.

Yup. Most important, it goes against the idea that "liberals" or
"democrats" have somehow been responsible for changes in license
requirements that are perceived by many as "lowering the standards".
>
> > >Medical waivers were far more likly the case of the FCC understanding
> > >that without such waivers, the courts would rule against a 13/20
> requirement
> > >for handicapped hams anyway.
> >
> > What courts? Waivers were the result of a foreign king asking Papa Bush
> for a
> > favor. Plain and simple. No courts involved.
>
> True...BUT, had waivers not come about in the manner in which they did,
> the courts would have been engaged by someone...especially with the
> ADA.

Groups like Handi-Hams go back well before 1990, yet I know of no
action by them or any other advocacy group to challenge FCC test
requirements. Just not an issue. ADA is meant to insure equal access,
not equal result. Example: allowing examinees to speak the received
code, use a keyboard or Braillewriter instead of writing.
>
> > > Once that happened, the rest follows in progression.
> >
> > Papa Bush also wanted an end to maritime Morse requirements, because they
> > effectively mandated that ships have radio operators. Said ops have to be
> > paid,
> > and shore stations (with operators) have to exist for them.

Oil business has a lotta ships out there.
> >
> > >I firmly believe the courts would not
> > >require any morse test if it was challenged on that basis if it wasn't
> > >for the international treaty.
> >
> > I don't believe that, but it's a moot point. Nobody has ever brought such
> > a
> > case to court, have they? And it's not likely in the foreseeable future.
>

> Ditto my comment above.

Double ditto mine.

Howcum the writtens haven't been challenged on handicapped grounds?
Some could claim they are unnecessarily discriminatory against
non-techno types.
>
> > >Add to the above the fact that morse is largely a non-used mode
> > >outside of amateur radio and any past justification for a "pool of
> > >trained morse operators" goes up in smoke.
> >
> > The idea that Morse MUST be tested so that there is a reserve of trained
> > operators for other services was once valid, but not any more.
>
> Agreed.

I'd say the "pool of Morse operators" thing ended with the maritime
changes. Not before.
>
> > But that is only
> > one reason for a Morse test, and not one I've agreed with for at least 25
> > years.
>
> Any other reasons have been rejected by the FCC.

FCC isn't infallible. FCC gave us incentive licensing, repeater rules
that were stricter than those for commercial services, 27 MHz cb, and
lax enforcement. FCC also punishes hams for the misdeeds of nonhams
(RF power amplifier restrictions).

One thing many hams I know would like is a strap-on 100-150 W amp for
the HF QRP rigs. 3-5 watts drive, 100-150 watts out. Run QRP when
conditions permit and when you're in the field or on battery, kick in
the amp when needed. Would be a big help in compliance with the
minimum power rule, plus you don't lug the amp around in the field.
But such amps are illegal unless homebrewed by a ham because a cber of
freebander might misuse them. In fact, selling kits for such an amp is
illegal.

Does this stop any cber or freebanders from running high power? Of
course not, they just buy amps from vendors who flout the law. But FCC
still thinks hams must not be restricted.
>
> > Fun historical fact: There were about 50,000 licensed US hams on Pearl
> Harbor
> > day. About 25,000 of them served in our nation's armed services during
> WW2,
> > where there Morse and technical skills were needed. Many thousands of
> others
> > served in nonmilitary but war-related ways (merchant marine, instructors
> at

> > radio schools, development of things like radar and the proximity fuse,
> etc.)
> >
> > Yes, Morse is largely a non-used mode outside of amateur radio. But it's
> > extensively used in amateur radio, and it's amateur radio that we're
> talking
> > about.
>
> But use alone, even if only in amateur radio, has NOT been accepted
> by the FCC as a rational to continue code testing.

See rant above about FCC logic wrt amateur rules.

Here's another point:

One thing bedeviling the FCC is the continued existence of freebanders
and cbers running excessive power and violating the rules. FCC would
be much happier if all those folks got ham licenses, so FCC has an
interest in making ham licenses easy to get. Thus the changes in both
code and written test requirements over the past 20 years or so.

73 de Jim, N2EY

413. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 15:23:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021108102328.07665.00003357@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 54653

>Subject: Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 11/7/02 11:07 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021108000710.06308.00000378@mb-fm.aol.com>

>Since "vshah" won't give his name or
>callsign, he could be almost anybody.

He COULD be "anybody", but in my book, he's just a nobody.

73

Steve, K4YZ

414. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 15:26:23 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021108102623.07665.00003358@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 54654

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 11/7/02 7:37 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021107203714.22144.00002243@mb-cv.aol.com>

>I am all for learning the material to get the license.

Followed by...

>I am not a "Ham".

Anonymous AND unlicensed.

What a creep. And getting creepier by the second. Another trolling,
antagonizing PUTZ.

415. Re: RP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 15:55:06 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021108105506.12366.00000343@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 54655

>Howcum the writtens haven't been challenged on handicapped grounds?
>Some could claim they are unnecessarily discriminatory against
>non-techno types.

Thats an easy one, there not complaing because the Test are so lame, all thats needed is Memorization and guess work, no real Techno knowledge required.

416. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 17:36:38 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211080936.5de064cd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54656

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20021108000710.06308.00000378@mb-fm.aol.com>...
> In article <20021107195249.22144.00002232@mb-cv.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com
> (Vshah101) writes:
>
> >>An Invitation to CW Radiosport
> > >
> > >Education is nice, but how is contesting a "sport"?
> >
> > It's a competitive activity where skill and endurance are tested. Also tests
> > equipment performance. Just like auto racing, sailboat racing, target shooting
> > and a bunch of others. It's nice, too.
> >
> > >And, about CW, who wants to
> > >tap keys all the time to communicate?.
> >
> > There were several thousand of us on the air last weekend doing just that. It's
> > a lot of fun, once one has the basic skills. Have you ever actually tried it?
> >
> > btw, it's a pretty good bet that almost everyone who posts here is
> > communicating by tapping the keys of their keyboards.
> >
> > --
> >
> > It occurs to me that the "tapping the keys" phrase sounds a lot like ol'
> > Masa/Cutie Boy of some years back. Since "vshah" won't give his name or
> > callsign, he could be almost anybody. Fascinating.
> >
> > April 2, 2003 is less than six months away - will Masa make good on his 1998
> > bet?
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY

Did he propmise to "crap his hands" again? Did he promise to visit
Steve and make amends?

417. Re: Republicans WIN BIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 17:38:23 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211080938.a06552d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54657

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<aqev9b$f73$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>...
> I'm impressed Brian. And I thought you were a knee-jerk bleeding heart
> commie liberal. Sorry.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:f45722ac.0211061907.2a0198d2@posting.google.com...
>
> > I would cut off any body part that had those two tatooed on it.

Why would you think that? What did Ron Reagan promise to do for America?

To get government off our backs and out of our pockets.

418. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 18:13:46 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211081013.19633e15@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54658

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<ap2h2d$7sb$0@208.206.142.96>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> >
> > I was never a No-Code Tech. You and Dick simply misunderstood.
>
>
> Larry can speak for himself but Dick sure never misunderstood. You went
> WAY out to make it completely clear that you blamed me and other Extras
> for your own shortcomings, for your refusal to exert the effort to learn
> and pass 13 wpm. It was all OUR fault. You never ever had a grip on
> reality.

Dick, don't be absurd. I didn't even know you (who does?) at the
time. I merely pointed out some Morse Myths, such as "benevolent
Extra Class operators cruising the Novice CW subbands handing out
contacts and offering encouragement." Get over it.

> You're far worse than any NCT I ever knew of, and I've know a bunch of
> them many of whom I VE'd into the hobby. But what could anyone expect of
> a military person who believes "Yeah, go ahead" is as good as written
> permission,

Wow, now there's something worse than an NCT. Who would have thought?

So basically, when your Commander gave an order, you told him it
wasn't legal until he put it in writing. No wonder you're called
DICK.

419. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 18:18:25 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211081018.398b8adf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54659

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021107155420.07828.00003055@mb-mn.aol.com>...
> >> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20021105153406.16646.00000193@mb-cr.aol.com>..
>
> >> Meanwhile, I
> >> don't mind change. I've lived with it all my life.
>
> From your "CV", it would appear so...usually faster than it took to unpack
> your bags.
>
> One wonder's why.
>
> =)

Huh?

420. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 18:24:53 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211081024.50c00c95@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54660

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DCA6ECE.66BAC78B@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DC8BADB.890E60D6@townsqr.com>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > > That isn't what I referred to and you SHOULD know it, assuming your kids aren't
doing your reading for you (good
> > > > > possibility). He recited a case where he and others in his area used CW on the
air during an emergency when other modes
> > > > > couldn' handle the job. But you always ignore reality.t
> > > >
> > > > How odd that you say that. I read Han's invitation to join him in a CW contest.
> > >
> > > Your reading comprehension is no better than your mental cognization. He noted AFTER
the contest that he had worked a few rrap
> > > CW ops. Maybe your kids ARE doing your reading for you. I didn't get on at all simply
because I wasn't home and decided it
> > > wouldn't be proper etiquette to drill holes in the brick home of my host so as to run
antenna cables outside. So I left my
> > > portable rig at home. Got a problem with that? You would.
> > >
> > > As it happens, I don't care that much for contests, though I will sometimes work them
for a while just to give out contacts to
> > > those who do it seriously for score. I much prefer snooping for the weak signals of
DX stations below the band clutter or just
> > > plain relaxing ragchewing. Got a problem with that? You would.
> > >
> > >
> > > > > Hollow words. Hollow mind.
> > > > >
> > > > > Don't you ever get tired of all the echos?
>
> > > >
> > > > Its what I've come to expect from you.
> > >
> > > It'll be cold day down below when you hear any echos from me, from me, from me, from
me....
> >
> > OK, DICK, its cold enough here in Ohio, my own personal hell.
> >
> > Meanwhile, here is Hans' invitation for you to join him in a QSO.

> > Pahleeze notice the date. Pahleeze notice anything at all
>
> One can easily see that you never take your own advice. Note the introductory paragraph,
as...
>
> >
>
> > .misc
> > Date: 2002-11-01 10:51:54 PST

Nice editing, DICK. But I don't read .misc. Its here on rrap. Brian

> > Following courtesy of N0AX, from the CQ-Contest reflector.
> >
> > Some of you have expressed an interest in increasing your CW speed,
> > and
> > this is the weekend to do it.
>
> So now, just where did you ever get the idea that *I* wanted to increase *my* code speed?

Code is good for you. Faster code is even better. Are you claiming
that you are the fastest codester in the world?

Or maybe code isn't all that important, even to the most embittered OM
on policy.

421. Re: Republicans WIN BIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 18:58:14 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DCC178D.2513B1A@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54661

Brian wrote:

> What did Ron Reagan promise to do for America?
>
> To get government off our backs and out of our pockets.

He sure didn't promise you a pass on the Morse code.

422. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 19:10:17 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DCC1A5F.5A3AF6A9@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54662

Brian wrote:

>
> > So now, just where did you ever get the idea that *I* wanted to increase *my* code speed?
>
> Code is good for you. Faster code is even better. Are you claiming
> that you are the fastest codester in the world?

You're an imbecile. You have always been an embecile. You will always be an enbecile. Pitiful..

423. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 21:05:01 UTC
From: Ole Mountain Man Base <LittleJoe@Olemountain3084.net>
Message-ID: <aqh8sn$id2$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 54663
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You dont needs a lisense and you dont needs to worry bout
silly govmint rules & regs. Round here nobody has a lisense and
nobody worry bout gettin one cuz you dont needs a dam
govmint lisense!!!!!!!!!!!!! dam govmint got to dam much power
aneway. now you dont like my pinion then dont lisen and
quit cross posting to rec.cb got it ???????
Screw the feds!

Old mountain man base, lower side of 28045

"Ron Jarrell" <jarrell@babylon5.cc.vt.edu> wrote in message
news:aqcbfo$t4g$2@solaris.cc.vt.edu...
> Does any one know for a fact (rather than just having an opinion, I've got
> plenty of those myself :-)) whether a GMRS license allows you to talk to
> any other GMRS licensee, or just people operating under your license?
>
> I've gotten into an argument over it, and part 95 is not being helpful,
> honestly. Reading 95.1a, 95.3, 95.21, and 95.181, all seem to pretty
> clearly state that a license allows the licensees, and designated
> people inside the family (I'm talking the current individual licenses
> of course, not a legacy business license) to operate a given gmrs
> "system", i.e. a set of units, and to communicate. It seems to only
> allow you to operate within that "system". Unless you're having a
> 95.181(d) emergency. The one place that opens it up is 95.101(a), which
> does state that a "GMRS license authorizes a GMRS station to transmit to
> other GMRS stations at any geographical location within [us control]"
> But, even then, elsewhere stations have been defined as being units
> with an system.
>
> Basically what we're trying to decide is whether it's legal for a pair
> of fully licensed families with GMRS units to talk to between the
> families on a joint outing, say, if we went to, oh, Disneyworld or
> anyplace where operating at GMRS power rather than FRS levels might be
> necessary due to distance or terrain. We could clearly do that legally
> under FRS laws, but, then, we'd be at FRS power levels.

424. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 21:14:33 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DCC2939.3080904@psu.edu>
Source record: 54664

Good one, Dick. You get the quick retort of the day award!! 8^)

- mike KB3EIA -

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Vshah101 wrote:
>
>
>>>An Invitation to CW Radiosport
>>
>>Education is nice, but how is contesting a "sport"? And, about CW, who wants to
>>tap keys all the time to communicate?.
>
>
> See? you don't know as much as you thought, do you?
>

425. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 21:19:48 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DCC2A74.4090207@psu.edu>
Source record: 54665

Vshah101 wrote:
>>An Invitation to CW Radiosport
>
>
> Education is nice, but how is contesting a "sport"? And, about CW, who wants to
> tap keys all the time to communicate?.

I'm heavily involved in the PAQSO party. If you don't think that
contesting is a sport, I suggest you subscribe to their reflector.

The competition is very intense. Fun too, but I'd be interested in the
responses you would get if you posted your query there.

As for wanting to tap keys, whatcha doing here (unless you use voice
recognition software to compose messages! ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

426. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 21:28:50 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DCC2C92.8060004@psu.edu>
Source record: 54666

N2EY wrote:
> In article <20021107195249.22144.00002232@mb-cv.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com
> (Vshah101) writes:
>
>
>>>An Invitation to CW Radiosport
>>
>>Education is nice, but how is contesting a "sport"?
>
>
> It's a competitive activity where skill and endurance are tested.

Speaking of endurance, how come every time I'm on a run, I have to pee?

- Mike KB3EIA -

427. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 22:06:06 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021108170606.23230.00000019@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 54667

>Subject: Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport
>From: Mike Coslo mjc5@psu.edu
>Date: 11/8/02 3:28 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3DCC2C92.8060004@psu.edu>

>Speaking of endurance, how come every time I'm on a run, I have to pee?

I spell relief f-o-l-e-y c-a-t-h-e-t-e-r.

But don't try to find shoes that match the bag...!

73

Steve, K4YZ

428. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 22:25:48 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DCC4833.28A996C0@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54668

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Brian wrote:
>
> >
> > > So now, just where did you ever get the idea that *I* wanted to increase *my* code speed?
> >
> > Code is good for you. Faster code is even better. Are you claiming
> > that you are the fastest codester in the world?
>
> You're an imbecile. You have always been an embecile. You will always be an enbecile. Pitiful..

Even my spellchecker wouldn't mess with that one! :-)

429. Re: CW WHINERS SHUT THE HELL UP !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 23:09:57 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqhg84$b4c$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54669
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

'Americans and the British...the same people seperated by a common language'

George S. Patton

"g0mem" <isembardbinladen@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:QGEy9.1263$8P5.27@news-binary.blueyonder.co.uk...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:aqc9dq$r2f$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Whine whine whine....all we hear is whine whine whine.
>
>
> Where is this whine?? Can I have a bottle. I like red Bordeaux whine :*)
>
> G0MEM
>
>

430. Re: Republicans WIN BIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 23:31:51 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqhiggbkk1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54670

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021108000346.28838.00000158@mb-ba.aol.com...
> >> Good point, Bill.
> >
> >Thanks,
> >
> >> However, in the case of the Morse Code, that particular
> >> licensing requirement have/had a justification which
> >> is/was very beneficial to the ARS.
> >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
> >You are entitled to that opinion....it appears, however, the FCC and
> >others (myself) do not agree.
> >
> >Cheers,
> >Bill K2UNK
>
> Bill:
>
> Well, thank God we live in a country where we get to disagree with each
other,
> and we both get to keep living! If the Democrats had won a bi-cameral
majority
> last Tuesday, I'm not so sure that would continue to be the case for very
long!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Larry,

That's a thought I agree with you on.
The usual motus operandi (sp?) of liberal
democrats is to condemn not only the other
sides viewpoints...but they also condemn
the mere stating of contrary opinion.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

431. Re: RP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 23:40:41 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqhigibkk2@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54671

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0211080708.52cf0b6@posting.google.com...
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<aqf9eu$1ul$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>...
> >
> > Well it makes for an interesting theory anyway.
>
> Yup. Most important, it goes against the idea that "liberals" or
> "democrats" have somehow been responsible for changes in license
> requirements that are perceived by many as "lowering the standards".
> >
> > > >Medical waivers were far more likly the case of the FCC understanding
> > > >that without such waivers, the courts would rule against a 13/20
> > requirement
> > > >for handicapped hams anyway.
> > >
> > > What courts? Waivers were the result of a foreign king asking Papa
Bush
> > for a
> > > favor. Plain and simple. No courts involved.
> >
> > True...BUT, had waivers not come about in the manner in which they did,
> > the courts would have been engaged by someone...especially with the
> > ADA.
>
> Groups like Handi-Hams go back well before 1990, yet I know of no
> action by them or any other advocacy group to challenge FCC test
> requirements. Just not an issue. ADA is meant to insure equal access,
> not equal result. Example: allowing examinees to speak the received
> code, use a keyboard or Braillewriter instead of writing.
> >
> > > > Once that happened, the rest follows in progression.
> > >
> > > Papa Bush also wanted an end to maritime Morse requirements, because
they
> > > effectively mandated that ships have radio operators. Said ops have to
be
> > > paid,
> > > and shore stations (with operators) have to exist for them.
>
> Oil business has a lotta ships out there.
> > >
> > > >I firmly believe the courts would not
> > > >require any morse test if it was challenged on that basis if it

wasn't
> > > >for the international treaty.
> > >
> > > I don't believe that, but it's a moot point. Nobody has ever brought
such
> > > a
> > > case to court, have they? And it's not likely in the foreseeable
future.
> >
> > Ditto my comment above.
>
> Double ditto mine.
>
> Howcum the writtens haven't been challenged on handicapped grounds?
> Some could claim they are unnecessarily discriminatory against
> non-techno types.

Being a non-techno type isn't viewed anywhere or by anybody
as being a handicap.

> > > >Add to the above the fact that morse is largely a non-used mode
> > > >outside of amateur radio and any past justification for a "pool of
> > > >trained morse operators" goes up in smoke.
> > >
> > > The idea that Morse MUST be tested so that there is a reserve of
trained
> > > operators for other services was once valid, but not any more.
> >
> > Agreed.
>
> I'd say the "pool of Morse operators" thing ended with the maritime
> changes. Not before.

Works for me.

> > > But that is only
> > > one reason for a Morse test, and not one I've agreed with for at least
25
> > > years.
> >
> > Any other reasons have been rejected by the FCC.
>
> FCC isn't infallible.

So just which reason that wasn't accepted by the FCC
should not have been rejected?

> FCC gave us incentive licensing, repeater rules
> that were stricter than those for commercial services, 27 MHz cb, and
> lax enforcement. FCC also punishes hams for the misdeeds of nonhams
> (RF power amplifier restrictions).

> One thing many hams I know would like is a strap-on 100-150 W amp for
> the HF QRP rigs. 3-5 watts drive, 100-150 watts out. Run QRP when
> conditions permit and when you're in the field or on battery, kick in
> the amp when needed. Would be a big help in compliance with the
> minimum power rule, plus you don't lug the amp around in the field.
> But such amps are illegal unless homebrewed by a ham because a cber of
> freebander might misuse them. In fact, selling kits for such an amp is
> illegal.

They aren't totally illegal. The limiting factor is when they can
be used in the 27-28MHz range.

> Does this stop any cber or freebanders from running high power? Of
> course not, they just buy amps from vendors who flout the law. But FCC
> still thinks hams must not be restricted.

Agreed.

> > > Fun historical fact: There were about 50,000 licensed US hams on Pearl
> > Harbor
> > > day. About 25,000 of them served in our nation's armed services during
> > WW2,
> > > where there Morse and technical skills were needed. Many thousands of
> > others
> > > served in nonmilitary but war-related ways (merchant marine,
instructors
> > at
> > > radio schools, development of things like radar and the proximity
fuse,
> > etc.)
> > >
> > > Yes, Morse is largely a non-used mode outside of amateur radio. But
it's
> > > extensively used in amateur radio, and it's amateur radio that we're
> > talking
> > > about.
> >
> > But use alone, even if only in amateur radio, has NOT been accepted
> > by the FCC as a rational to continue code testing.
>
> See rant above about FCC logic wrt amateur rules.

Many hams USE spanish, and other languages. There's
no reason or rational to require knowledge of Spanish.

> Here's another point:
>
> One thing bedeviling the FCC is the continued existence of freebanders
> and cbers running excessive power and violating the rules. FCC would
> be much happier if all those folks got ham licenses, so FCC has an
> interest in making ham licenses easy to get. Thus the changes in both
> code and written test requirements over the past 20 years or so.

I totally disagree that the FCC had any such expectation
or hope on that basis.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

432. Re: Republicans WIN BIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 23:42:53 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqhigjbkk3@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54672

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3DCB3DDB.437C7A15@townsqr.com...
>
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> > Medical waivers were far more likly the case of the FCC understanding
> > that without such waivers, the courts would rule against a 13/20
requirement
> > for handicapped hams anyway
>
> More "make the facts fit my agenda" on your part. Never once did FCC
ever
> indicate that it ever considered such a situation. Nor was the medical
waiver
> rule the result of any FCC proceeding whatever. Bush41 got a call from
Jordan,
> he made one to someone in charge at the FCC and presto! we got medical
waivers.

I never claimed it to be a fact...only speculation of what MIGHT
have happened if Bush 41 had no intervened at the time.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

433. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 23:53:15 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021108185315.02922.00002288@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 54673

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>It occurs to me that the "tapping the keys" phrase sounds a lot like ol'
>Masa/Cutie Boy of some years back.

I am not this person.

From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>btw, it's a pretty good bet that almost everyone who posts here is
>communicating by tapping the keys of their keyboards.

I do not have to learn an encoding scheme to do that. Morse code is an encoding scheme. Its not that I can't do it. I have learned more complicated encoding schemes. I just dont find Morse Code useful and/or interesting,

>It's a competitive activity where skill and endurance are tested.

It makes people feel like the have skills at the expense of real skills, like distance, and setup time. Endurance=how fast you can turn the radio dials and log in contacts.

>> a lot of fun, once one has the basic skills. Have you ever actually tried
>it?

Yes, at Field Day. Contesting is not fun. After about 20 QSOs it gets boring. Don't you get bored doing the same thing over and over again for hours?

Also, how can someone find Morse Code interesting? Its curious to see what motivates a newcomer to want to learn code. Who wants to be able to recognize some dot and dash patterns when they don't have to? And all the other language abbrevations. This is just plain weird.

I am not a "Ham". I am not a cult follower.

434. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-08 23:58:45 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021108185845.02922.00002293@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 54674

>
>I think they only restructured licensing and license requirements, but
>not physics.

Hams will find a way to restructure physics.

435. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 00:39:48 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DCC5972.50505@adelphia.net>
Source record: 54675

Vshah101 wrote:

> Also, how can someone find Morse Code interesting? Its curious to see what
> motivates a newcomer to want to learn code. Who wants to be able to recognize
> some dot and dash patterns when they don't have to? And all the other language
> abbrevations. This is just plain weird.

Who wants to poke sticks at hams who do enjoy morse code? Who doesn't enjoy the ARS, yet still feels compelled to post in a policy group for amateur radio?

It's a free newsgroup, you're welcome to post here regardless of your inclination. But if you are confused as to hams can possibly enjoy their chosen hobby, allow how some hams have a little trouble understanding why you would find any point in being interested when you aren't interested

> I am not a "Ham".

Now there is a real surprise!

> I am not a cult follower.

But you must have enough free time to constantly post in a group in which you have avowed disinterest.

....but enough of you wasting my time..... plonkeroo!

- Mike KB3EIA -

436. Military thanks!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 00:46:19 UTC
From: Doug Martin <martind231@nospam.ncvillage.net>
Message-ID: <34065030AD.1863268A298@nospam.ncvillage.net>
Source record: 54676
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Military Thanks!

If you are so inclined, visit the Department of Defense web page below and sign a brief message thanking the men and women of the U.S. military services for defending our freedom. The compiled list of names will be sent out to our soldiers at the end of the month. So far, there are only about 1,206,647 names. What a shame. National Military Appreciation Month (please pass it on to your email friends)

http://www.defendamerica.mil/nmam.html

(takes 10 seconds)

437. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 00:59:16 UTC
From: Skateboard'n <trashysteelhauler@amillnear.you>
Message-ID: <E5Zy9.3321$0t.324620442@newssvr17.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 54677
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> pulled his/her/it's big finger outa' his/her/it's nose long enough to peck more of he/her/it's ludicrous ramblings....

<<< YAWN >>>>

> Anyone can sit and listen to the conversations any day and quickly figure
> out that they are drivers.

So... from this statement I see that you're ASSuming.

> "Whut's yer twenny thar, DRIVAH"? "AH tan fer thar, DRIVAH. AH just topped
> the hill at MP
> 21 with 34,000 pounds thar, DRIVAH" And I can go up the road a-ways to a
> Pilot Travel Center,
> visit the CB radio case and find all the Galaxy and Connex 'reddios' I want,
> and it doesn't take
> rocket science to figure out who these illegal rigs are aimed at.

Yet....once again I ask you "Einstein"..... where in _ANY_ of those clips is there any kind of references that match your mindless accusations?

> Truck
> stops? Truck drivers? Ten
> Meter radios in the case? Now WHO would be most likely to visit a truck
> stop?

More of your mindless.... ASSumptions.

> It makes no difference. Since Mr. Walden (WB7COE) was appointed to the
> House Sub-com-
> mittee on Communications (chaired by Billy Tauzin), letters have been
> a-flying.
>< snip the trolling by the kid>

But how will he get any work done with you squatted under his desk?

Once again......
I really didn't think the question was really that hard.... yet you keep making an ass out of yourself & side stepping my question.

Is it maybe that..... you're just an over zealous puppet & really can't answer a simple question?

--
_____l Tom
__/ l gofast24@prodigy.net
/________l_____________________
O OO\ O O\

438. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 01:22:19 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021108202219.13494.00000371@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 54678

In article <3DCC2C92.8060004@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> In article <20021107195249.22144.00002232@mb-cv.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com
>> (Vshah101) writes:

>>>>An Invitation to CW Radiosport

>>>Education is nice, but how is contesting a "sport"?

>> It's a competitive activity where skill and endurance are tested.

> Speaking of endurance, how come every time I'm on a run, I have to pee?

'Cause you haven't learned to get totally empty before you start. Been there, done that. (2 Philadelphia Independence Marathons, many half marathons and 10 milers)

I used to be an evening runner. Now I'm a morning runner, and I have to get up at least 45 minutes before heading out the door so there's time to get things cleared out.

I have found that my running performance is directly correlated to contest endurance.

One thing I'd really like to try is radio-orienteering. Runners with DFing receivers have to find a series of hidden QRP beacon transmitters and return to the start point in the least time. Fastest runner is not always the winner. Tally Ho!

73 de Jim, N2EY

439. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 01:36:37 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021108203637.11226.00000003@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 54679

>Subject: Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 11/8/02 5:53 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021108185315.02922.00002288@mb-dd.aol.com>

>I am not a "Ham". I am not a cult follower.

You are a creep and antagonist...An anonymous troll.

Putz.

440. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 01:39:00 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021108203900.11226.00000004@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 54680

>Subject: Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport
>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>Date: 11/8/02 6:39 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3DCC5972.50505@adelphia.net>

>Who wants to poke sticks at hams who do enjoy morse code? Who doesn't
>enjoy the ARS, yet still feels compelled to post in a policy group for
>amateur radio?

Must be in the water...we know ANOTHER antogistic troll who hates Amateur
Radio yet feels compelled to harass the newsgroups with his venomous spittle.

Muhammed had his Malvo, I guess.....

441. Re: Republicans WIN BIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 02:35:19 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211081835.6f55178b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54681

N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0211061320.135f3721@posting.google.com>...
> wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021106090555.29169.00000233@mb-ba.aol.com>...
> > I bet all you CBplussers and No-Coders are crying this Morning.
>
> Normally I wouldn't respond to such an obvious troll, BUT...
>
> Let's look at the history:
>
> Incentive Licensing came about during the '60s - JFK/LBJ/Great Society
> era.
>
> Medical waivers: 1990, by direct "request" of Papa Bush in the Oval
> Office.
>
> First US nocodetest ham license: 1991 - Papa Bush still in charge, and
> highly popular.
>
> 2000 restructuring: Tail end of Clinton era.
>
> 2003 projected end of S25.5: Dubya in the White House, GOP in both
> houses of Congress.
>
> The pattern should be obvious.
>
> Look who is in charge of the FCC, btw.

There's another side to this coin which seems to put Powell Junior's
tits in a bit of a wringer. Note that the worst of the worst blatently
illegal swl BC pirate stations are the bible-pounding right wing
lunatic fringe types, Dubya's incarnation ye olde "silent majority"
supporters.

"Implications"? I think so.

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

442. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 02:53:11 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h5a7gnz.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 54682
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

On 07 Nov 2002 19:49:30 -0800, Phil Stripling wrote:

>Keep out of touch on that stuff, and let's stick to beer -- when are _you_
>due back down here?

I was down there three weeks ago to co-conduct a CLE seminar on amateur radio regulatory issues, particularly tower zoming and permit issues and what the FCC is doing to/for amateur radio, at the ARRL Pacific Division Convention (Pacificon).

I co-conduct that dog-and-pony show twice a year - in Concord, CA at Pacificon in October, and in Seaside, OR at SeaPac, the ARRL Northwestern Division Convention in June. An easy 4 units of CLE for those attorneys who attend it.

(That brings this discussion back to amateur radio policy.....)

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

443. Re: CW WHINERS SHUT THE HELL UP !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 05:23:26 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021109002326.08236.00001012@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 54684

In article <aqhg84$b4c$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> writes:

>
>'Americans and the British...the same people seperated by a common language'
>
>George S. Patton

I believe that with a little research, you'll find that this quote is correctly
attributed to British playwright George Bernard Shaw, as credited by
Gen. Patton during a speech he made in England, and as depicted in
the movie "Patton."

73 de Larry, K3LT

444. Re: Military thanks!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 05:23:27 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021109002327.08236.00001013@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 54683

In article <34065030AD.1863268A298@nospam.ncvillage.net>, "Doug Martin"
<martind231@nospam.ncvillage.net> writes:

>
>Military Thanks!
>

On behalf of my fellow veterans, you're welcome!

To all currently serving members of the United States Armed Forces,
you have my sincere gratitude and total support in your present and
future efforts to preserve peace, freedom, and justice.

God bless you one and all.

73 de K3LT
Lawrence J. Roll
MSgt, USAF (Retired)

445. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 06:15:30 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DCCA7F1.F7FA0E4F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 54685

Vshah101 wrote:
>
> From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> >It occurs to me that the "tapping the keys" phrase sounds a lot like ol'
> >Masa/Cutie Boy of some years back.
>
> I am not this person.
>
> From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> >btw, it's a pretty good bet that almost everyone who posts here is
> >communicating by tapping the keys of their keyboards.
>
> I do not have to learn an encoding scheme to do that. Morse code is an encoding
> scheme. Its not that I can't do it. I have learned more complicated encoding
> schemes. I just dont find Morse Code useful and/or interesting,
>
> >It's a competitive activity where skill and endurance are tested.
>
> It makes people feel like the have skills at the expense of real skills, like
> distance, and setup time. Endurance=how fast you can turn the radio dials and
> log in contacts.
>
> >> a lot of fun, once one has the basic skills. Have you ever actually tried
> >it?
>
> Yes, at Field Day. Contesting is not fun. After about 20 QSOs it gets boring.
> Don't you get bored doing the same thing over and over again for hours?
>
> Also, how can someone find Morse Code interesting? Its curious to see what
> motivates a newcomer to want to learn code. Who wants to be able to recognize
> some dot and dash patterns when they don't have to? And all the other language
> abbrevations. This is just plain weird.
>
> I am not a "Ham". I am not a cult follower.

This begs the question: Why are you then spending your time trolling in
an amateur radio newsgroup?

Dave K8MN

446. MURS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 08:36:47 UTC
From: Zero Tolerance <CuChi@anon.com>
Message-ID: <aqihceoap0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 54686

I had precicted some months ago that the newly allocated MURS frequencies would soon be overrun with CB types. A few of the talking heads out here opined that I was off base and was beating a panic drum. Well, I was right. Take the time to see what Rad Shack is offering now...they have a first generation MURS radio that is available to all..it will not be long before echo boxes are to follow...

447. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 10:27:42 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211090227.20538ea5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54687

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DCC4833.28A996C0@townsqr.com>...
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > Brian wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > > So now, just where did you ever get the idea that *I* wanted to increase *my* code speed?
> > >
> > > Code is good for you. Faster code is even better. Are you claiming
> > > that you are the fastest codester in the world?
> >
> > You're an imbecile. You have always been an embecile. You will always be an enbecile. Pitiful..
>
> Even my spellchecker wouldn't mess with that one! :-)

Ah fuggit it. The thought was right which is all that matters, just reboot . .

w3rv

448. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 10:54:24 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211090254.1dd40179@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54688

brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0211051933.42b8cbac@posting.google.com>...
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20021104152614.25604.00000302@mb-cp.aol.com>...
>
> >
> > US military draft ended on 27 Jan 73. For 29 years the US
> > military has been entirely voluntary. One cannot say the same
> > for morse code testing for an amateur radio license having
> > privileges below 30 MHz. The PCTA is still "at war!" :-)
> >
> > Len Anderson
> > Life Member IEEE
> > ex-RA16408336 (a volunteer when the draft was law)
>
> They're still trying to conscript pers into the Morse Service.
>
> Meanwhile, I think chopstick use is a much more important skill than
> morse. I can think of needing to eat and stay alive in situations
> where I won't have a fork handy, but not of a severe enough nature to
> require a radio to order from the Oily Wok. And I'll have my
> chopsticks at the ready when the ChiComs overrun our position, waiting
> for Hopsing to serve me a steaming plate of rice, kimchi, and a couple
> of yaki mandu and fish eye kim bop.

I'd like to be there when a REAL SLUG actually got tossed in yer
direction.

>
> Brian
> Life Member REAL LIFE

Welp that being that case and you being a REAL HAM wih a REAL LIFE we
oughta be able to latch up on the air right? It's only a 400 mile hop,
how easy izzat? Name the freq & mode Brainiac. Or, after years of
BSing here instead of doing REAL RADIO, are you still trying to figger
out how to put up and feed a silly piece of wire?

I thought so.

w3rv

449. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 11:01:19 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DCCF943.F895FB5@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54689

Vshah101 wrote:

> From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> >It occurs to me that the "tapping the keys" phrase sounds a lot like ol'
> >Masa/Cutie Boy of some years back.
>
> I am not this person.

Sure looks like you are to me, as well.

>
>
> From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> >btw, it's a pretty good bet that almost everyone who posts here is
> >communicating by tapping the keys of their keyboards.
>
> I do not have to learn an encoding scheme to do that. Morse code is an encoding
> scheme.

So you know no more about it than that. Yet you claim expertise. That makes you look pretty stupid.

> Its not that I can't do it. I have learned more complicated encoding
> schemes. I just dont find Morse Code useful and/or interesting,
>
>

And you don't understand why so many others do. But you insist on claiming knowledge of Morse code operations as though you fully understood it. That makes you look stupid.

> >It's a competitive activity where skill and endurance are tested.
>
> It makes people feel like the have skills at the expense of real skills, like
> distance, and setup time.

That's your misperception, and you have lots of good company. Burke for one.

> Endurance=how fast you can turn the radio dials and
> log in contacts.
>

Some call that a small part of radio sport. If you think that's all there is to it you're very wrong.

>
> >> a lot of fun, once one has the basic skills. Have you ever actually tried
> >it?

>
> Yes, at Field Day. Contesting is not fun. After about 20 QSOs it gets boring.
> Don't you get bored doing the same thing over and over again for hours?
>

Because you do everyone must?

>
> Also, how can someone find Morse Code interesting? Its curious to see what
> motivates a newcomer to want to learn code. Who wants to be able to recognize
> some dot and dash patterns when they don't have to? And all the other language
> abbrevations. This is just plain weird.

That's your personal misperception. The fact that you can't see beyond it makes
you look stupid.

>
>
> I am not a "Ham".

In any other age that would be obvious.

> I am not a cult follower.

So what the hell you doing hanging out here trolling?

450. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 11:02:05 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DCCF972.D34445D4@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54690

Vshah101 wrote:

> >
> >I think they only restructured licensing and license requirements, but
> >not physics.
>
> Hams will find a way to restructure physics.

If you're not Cootie Boy you're his clone.

451. Re: Republicans WIN BIG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 13:55:20 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211090555.1ddbc219@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54691

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DCC178D.2513B1A@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > What did Ron Reagan promise to do for America?
> >
> > To get government off our backs and out of our pockets.
>
> He sure didn't promise you a pass on the Morse code.

I passed the Morse Code exam when it was actually Morse Code.

452. Re: RP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 13:59:53 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211090559.64254151@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54692

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<aqhigibkk2@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>...
> "N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:c2356669.0211080708.52cf0b6@posting.google.com...
> > "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:<aqf9eu$1ul$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>...

>
> > > > But that is only
> > > > one reason for a Morse test, and not one I've agreed with for at least
> 25
> > > > years.
> > >
> > > Any other reasons have been rejected by the FCC.
> >
> > FCC isn't infallible.
>
> So just which reason that wasn't accepted by the FCC
> should not have been rejected?

This could get interesting.

453. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 14:03:18 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211090603.34c4ff96@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54693

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DCC1A5F.5A3AF6A9@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> >
> > > So now, just where did you ever get the idea that *I* wanted to increase *my* code speed?
> >
> > Code is good for you. Faster code is even better. Are you claiming
> > that you are the fastest codester in the world?
>
> You're an imbecile. You have always been an embecile. You will always be an enbecile. Pitiful..

Poor DICK. Runs out of rationale again, resorts to name calling.
Always resorts to name calling; always will resort to name calling.

454. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 14:05:21 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211090605.3cdb576d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54694

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DCC4833.28A996C0@townsqr.com>...
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > Brian wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > > So now, just where did you ever get the idea that *I* wanted to increase *my* code speed?
> > >
> > > Code is good for you. Faster code is even better. Are you claiming
> > > that you are the fastest codester in the world?
> >
> > You're an imbecile. You have always been an embecile. You will always be an enbecile. Pitiful..
>
> Even my spellchecker wouldn't mess with that one! :-)

Technologically challenged?

455. Re: ARRL members. Vote for me.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 14:07:58 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211090607.66d082a5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54695
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.scanner,rec.radio.shortwave,rec.radio.amateur.space

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:<3DCAF04D.34F592CF@mailcity.net>...
> BDKING wrote:
>
> > >
> > >
> > And I guess your folks named you right, eh DICK???
> >
> > Another ham that makes us all look like aholes..
> >
> > BDK
>
> Naw, one thing about dickie and larry, they make the rest of the
> hams, including the no codes, look really good by comparison.

Man, I've never looked so good! Thanks DICK, thanks Larry.

73, Brian

456. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 14:15:46 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211090615.7349cc81@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54696

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:<usju6bku77it0e@corp.supernews.com>...
> Hans,
>
> Would it help if I said member, NCI after my name? Hmmmm .... I'd best do a
> reality check here ... did I mention CW? Ummm ...
>
> On the quote you supplied - believe it or not, I actually agree about two
> license classes.

I like two license classes also. A beginners licenses limited for
safety reasons and material written to perhaps the 8th grade level,
and a full license.

All of the classes have caused a problem. When I was
> first licensed, I was a novice. The general class had full access to HF.
> The tech license was a slippery slope for someone who failed 13 words per
> minute. You could get that license, but were often stuck with it for life
> since you would have a problem upgrading from 5 to 13 words per minute.
> I've actually considered getting my old callsign (WB2OSP) back; but why pay
> money for it? I'd love to see all callsigns a 2 X 3 (except, perhaps, for
> special event stations and leave W1AW alone). When I mention the something
> for nothing, I refer to folks trying to infer that the tests are real
> difficult

Not difficult from that standpoint. Just -far- more technical then
the material DICK/W0EX was faced with 50 years ago.

(yep, multiple guess with only 4 choices - again a disclaimer -
> I'm not discussing CW). Of course, with what is sometimes occuring on
> 14.313 and 75 meters, perhaps we only need one test - a sanity test.
> ;)

No one here qualifies.

> Didn't mean to raise your blood pressure ...
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA

Brian

457. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 14:24:45 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211090624.42e872f3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54697

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021106192818.25465.00000117@mb-ch.aol.com>...
> >APRS proves itself valuable in situations such as S&R, lost you-name-it,
>
> WOW, I didnt know every body had an APRS unit strapped to there Body.

Bruce, you're back sliding again. Here's a couple of hints.

there: a location or direction
thair: when DICK says "there"
their: shows ownereship
they're: as in "they are"

458. Re: MURS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 14:28:52 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021109092852.02922.00002401@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 54698

>Subject: Re: MURS
>From: K nospam@nowhere.com
>Date: 11/9/02 3:15 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <ym4z9.70457$ar4.121934@sccrnsc03>

>Oh My God, Someone call the FCC and cancel all radio spectrum use except
>for cell phones

That may not be too far from the truth. Read comments on Chairman
Powell's plans to reorganize spectrum management to a "consumer friendly"
initiative.

I am all for making spectrum available for new technologies as they become
available, or reorganizing allocations as necessary as need arises, but his
initiative sounds a bit dangerous to me.

73

Steve, K4YZ

459. Re: CW WHINERS SHUT THE HELL UP !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 14:31:26 UTC
From: LRod@removethispartpobox.com
Message-ID: <3dcd1b11.580881509@netnews.att.net>
Source record: 54699
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 8 Nov 2002 17:09:57 -0600, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote:

>'Americans and the British...the same people seperated by a common language'
>
>George S. Patton

Right first name, wrong last name.

George Bernard Shaw

LRod

Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite

Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999

http://www.woodbutcher.net

460. Re: MURS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 14:33:34 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021109093334.02922.00002404@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 54700

>Subject: MURS
>From: "Zero Tolerance" CuChi@anon.com
>Date: 11/9/02 2:36 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <aqihceoap0@pita.alt.net>

>I had precicted some months ago that the newly allocated MURS frequencies
>would soon be overrun with CB types. A few of the talking heads out here
>opined that I was off base and was beating a panic drum.

Sounds like Horsestewed Stew. I said the same thing. I am hearing
truckers on 151.82from 15 to 20 miles away. That's NOT coming from guys
operating 2 watts on rubber duckies! He inisists that I am lying.

>Well, I was right.
>Take the time to see what Rad Shack is offering now...they have a first
>generation MURS radio that is available to all..it will not be long before
>echo boxes are to follow..

Youyouyou gotgotgot thatthatthat rightrightright goodgoodgood
buddybuddybuddy.....seventythirdsthirdsthirds ononon yayaya.....

Steve, K4YZ

461. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 14:35:41 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021109093541.02922.00002405@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 54601

>Subject: Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?
>From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
>Date: 11/9/02 4:54 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <d22b54c3.0211090254.1dd40179@posting.google.com>

>Welp that being that case and you being a REAL HAM wih a REAL LIFE we
>oughta be able to latch up on the air right? It's only a 400 mile hop,
>how easy izzat? Name the freq & mode Brainiac. Or, after years of
>BSing here instead of doing REAL RADIO, are you still trying to figger
>out how to put up and feed a silly piece of wire?

I'd like to be in on that QSO! Maybe we can get his Left Coast mentor to
fire up that Part 15 rig and join in...???

73

Steve, K4YZ

462. Re: CW WHINERS SHUT THE HELL UP !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 14:52:03 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqj7i1atf1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54602

Thank you. I noticed that only you and LROD noticed that.

Dan/W4NTI

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021109002326.08236.00001012@mb-cg.aol.com...
> In article <aqhg84$b4c$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> writes:
>
> >
> >'Americans and the British...the same people seperated by a common
language'
> >
> >George S. Patton
>
> I believe that with a little research, you'll find that this quote is
correctly
> attributed to British playwright George Bernard Shaw, as credited by
> Gen. Patton during a speech he made in England, and as depicted in
> the movie "Patton."
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

463. Re: Military thanks!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 14:54:06 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqj7i2atf2@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54603

DITTO. And if you want to send a MARS GRAM to ANY US SERVICEMAN do a lookup for ARMY MARS in google. Then send a MARSGRAM.

Dan/W4NTI

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message news:20021109002327.08236.00001013@mb-cg.aol.com...
> In article <34065030AD.1863268A298@nospam.ncvillage.net>, "Doug Martin"
> <martind231@nospam.ncvillage.net> writes:
>
> >
> >Military Thanks!
> >
>
> On behalf of my fellow veterans, you're welcome!
>
> To all currently serving members of the United States Armed Forces,
> you have my sincere gratitude and total support in your present and
> future efforts to preserve peace, freedom, and justice.
>
> God bless you one and all.
>
> 73 de K3LT
> Lawrence J. Roll
> MSgt, USAF (Retired)
>
>

464. Re: MURS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 14:57:18 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqj7s0$u04$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54604

MURS will never catch on with the echo brain, er box, types. You cain't
get the wattmeter to SWAING.

Dan/W4NTI

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021109093334.02922.00002404@mb-dd.aol.com...
> >Subject: MURS
> >From: "Zero Tolerance" CuChi@anon.com
> >Date: 11/9/02 2:36 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <aqihceoap0@pita.alt.net>
>
> >I had precicted some months ago that the newly allocated MURS frequencies
> >would soon be overrun with CB types. A few of the talking heads out here
> >opined that I was off base and was beating a panic drum.
>
> Sounds like Horsestewed Stew. I said the same thing. I am hearing
> truckers on 151.82from 15 to 20 miles away. That's NOT coming from guys
> operating 2 watts on rubber duckies! He inisists that I am lying.
>
> >Well, I was right.
> >Take the time to see what Rad Shack is offering now...they have a first
> >generation MURS radio that is available to all..it will not be long
before
> >echo boxes are to follow..
>
> Youyouyou gotgotgot thatthatthat rightrightright goodgoodgood
> buddybuddybuddy........seventythirdsthirdsthirds ononon yayaya......
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>

465. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 15:03:22 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021109100322.27813.00003929@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 54605

>> I do not have to learn an encoding scheme to do that. Morse code is an
>encoding
>> scheme.
>
> So you know no more about it than that. Yet you claim expertise. That makes
>you
>look pretty stupid.

I never claimed expertise. I never claimed I knew Morse code. I only know two letters (for SOS).

>But you insist on claiming
>knowledge of Morse code operations as though you fully understood it.

Morse code is a substitution of dots and dashes for letters. Therefore it is an encoding scheme.
Its an encoding scheme one must recognize and be able to send real-time. This is obvious.

Others may find this interesting or not. I do not find it interesting and don't understand why others do.

>> Yes, at Field Day. Contesting is not fun. After about 20 QSOs it gets
>boring.
>> Don't you get bored doing the same thing over and over again for hours?
>>
>
>Because you do everyone must?
>

No, but the majority of non-Hams would. Contesting would not be the way to get new members into Ham Radio.

>And all the other language
>> abbrevations. This is just plain weird.
>
> That's your personal misperception. The fact that you can't see beyond it
>makes
>you look stupid.

Its a "misperception" shared by many. I mentioned Ham Radio to a non-Ham he said it's some cult. He also asked if they still used Morse Code. He also compared Hamfests to a Star Trek convention.

466. Re: MURS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 15:05:20 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021109100520.02961.00002724@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 54606

>Subject: Re: MURS
>From: "Dan/W4NTI" w4ntinospam@mindspring.com
>Date: 11/9/02 8:57 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <aqj7s0$u04$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>

>MURS will never catch on with the echo brain, er box, types. You cain't
>get the wattmeter to SWAING

I dunno, Dan! I'm only 20 +/- miles from Interstate 24, and I am hearing enough traffic on 151.82 and .88 to know there's more than a couple rigs out there! The guys who are anxious to get away from the "10-4 good buddy" crowd will move first, however when the other guys realize that that is where everyone one else went, it won't take long.

73

Steve, K4YZ

467. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 15:19:07 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021109101907.24115.00005572@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 54607

>Bruce, you're back sliding again.

Good

468. Re: MURS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 15:23:08 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021109102308.24115.00005577@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 54608

>The guys who are anxious to get away from the "10-4 good buddy" crowd
>will move first, however when the other guys realize that that is where
>everyone one else went, it won't take long.
>
>73
>
>Steve, K4YZ

I hope so, I have a Bunch of GE Deltas at 110 Watts that I would love to get rid of.

469. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 15:25:26 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021109102526.02913.00002553@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 54609

>Subject: Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 11/9/02 9:03 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021109100322.27813.00003929@mb-mf.aol.com>

>Others may find this interesting or not. I do not find it interesting and
>don't
>understand why others do.

I don't understand why others like punk rock or Chevrolet's, but I do not
go into any newsgroups on those topics and harrass them about it.

>No, but the majority of non-Hams would. Contesting would not be the way to
>get
>new members into Ham Radio.

Proof of stupidity. MANY persons enter MANY activities for contesting
purposes. I personally know SEVERAL Hams who are hardly ever on the air EXCEPT
for contests.

You obviously do not understand the human spirit to compete.

>Its a "misperception" shared by many. I mentioned Ham Radio to a non-Ham he
>said it's some cult.

I think you're lying. No...I KNOW you are lying.

>He also asked if they still used Morse Code. He also
>compared Hamfests to a Star Trek convention.

How can he compare one to the other if he's NOT a licensed ham nor been to
a hamfest?

What a lying putz. ANOTHER lying putz, I should say.

Steve, K4YZ

470. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 15:33:56 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <usqb48ngg75514@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54611

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021106192818.25465.00000117@mb-ch.aol.com...
> >APRS proves itself valuable in situations such as S&R, lost you-name-it,
>
> WOW, I didnt know every body had an APRS unit strapped to there Body.

Apply yourself, Waddles...use whatever brain cells you have left. Not everyone has a CW station "strapped to" their (note the possessive usage of the word, there) body either. You see, those trained in Emergency Communication are *prepared* with what they can contribute--but they may very well not have anything "strapped to" their body at all times.

Good grief, man, how much alcohol *have* you consumed in your life?

Kim W5TIT

471. Re: CW WHINERS SHUT THE HELL UP !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 15:35:15 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <usqb6opvku714a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54610

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:aqcdha$tb2$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> At least I didn't put in for a vanity call that shows disrespect to
yourself
> and your gender. But I must admit it is a lot better than a certain
female
> from Georgia that chose WA4SEX.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

Ah...so you have no intellectual response to the question. That's quite OK,
none was actually expected.

Kim W5TIT

> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> news:usjd7d92064qbb@corp.supernews.com...
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:aqc9dq$r2f$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > Whine whine whine....all we hear is whine whine whine. You people
have
> it
> > > made. All you have to do is memorize 25 letters of Morse Code. Wait
> > around
> > > till your good buddy at the club decides its time to give a test. And
> you
> > > go down to your local club and take it.
> > >
> > > How would you like to have to save money for a YEAR. Get a train
ticket
> > and
> > > travel 110 miles to a strange city. Spend the evening and get up at 5
> am
> > to
> > > get to the Federal Building downtown Pittsburgh PA. Wait in line
while
> > they
> > > process 300 applications. Sit in a room so large you can almost see
the
> > > other end of it from the rear. Take the CW test as it is echoing all
> over
> > > the room. Oh BTW I was 13 at the time and my mother had to come with
me
> > > because I was too young to ride the train alone. One more

thing...that
> > was
> > > the General at 13 wpm. HARD COPY for one solid minute out of
5minutes.
> > > Then you had to send by using a STRAIGHT KEY at 13 wpm.
> > >
> > > I don't want to hear it.
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Uh, if you don't want to "hear" it, Dan, why'd you just spend so much
time
> > writing it. And, why do you spend enough time reading/hearing it that
you
> > voluntarily get yourself into a tizzy? Nothing else to do?
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
> >
> >
>
>

472. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 15:58:07 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <usqchlc238j77@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54613

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:us83bnt95cmb70@corp.supernews.com...
> "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> news:20021031143726.10201.00000081@mb-ch.aol.com...
> > >Morse code tells you as much about a person's technical abilities as
> > >does his ability to tap dance.
> > >
> >
> > Lloyd:
> >
> > Right -- but it tells you everything about his abilities as a capable
and
> > effective amateur radio communications provider.
> >
> > 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
> Y'know? I just got to pondering on this, and other sentiments like it
that
> Larry has made in the past. Seems Larry's angst is the failure of people
to
> reach for higher goals and their willingness to "whine" about why they
> don't.
>
> Yet, from Larry himself we have many times been witness to his declaration
> of his capability to succeed further in life--he just "chooses" to remain
a
> bus driver; even though he has all this esteemed HR background, his
> successes and potential could-have-beens-if-they'd-just-let-him in the
> military--you know...on and on.
>
> Well, Larry. Question is, what makes it so OK for you to "just stay"
where
> you are even though you could be more; when it's not OK for people to
choose
> what of amateur radio they will get involved with? What makes you so sure
> we don't know "everything about your abilities as a capable and effective"
> human being when you've chosen to go just so far--even though you profess
to
> have the capability to go further? And, don't relate it to "whining"
> because if you don't think you're whining when you note how incapable your
> superiors are/were of recognizing your attributes, you're simply choosing
to
> ignore the fact that you're whining yourself.
>

> I think we're getting to know more of Larry than most of us would really
> like to... I'd like to see that answer, Larry.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

So...let's see. In review: no response from Larry. Silence is golden.

Kim W5TIT

473. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 15:59:30 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <usqck7s5lo2aa8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54612

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:us83qs3oj48dfc@corp.supernews.com...
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3DC3EAFE.46189328@townsqr.com...
> >
> >
> > JJ wrote:
> >
> > > I
> > > just can't subscribe to this idiot ideal put forth by some here
> > > that if you don't use Morse code you are not a real ham or somehow
> > > your are inferior to those who do.
> >
> > One ham is capable of communicating under conditions which make normal
> radio
> > communications impossible. That ham has become well qualified at the use
> of Morse code.
> > A second ham has your attitude and thus refused to learn it. So you
can't
> discern any
> > difference in the qualifications of the two? Are you wearing blinders?
> >
> >
> >
> > > Larry seems to think because of
> > > his code skills he is some kind of superior ham above everyone
> > > else.
> >
> > No, just more qualified as a ham than any ham who can't understand
that
> an additional
> > well-adapted, very efficient mode is potentially a valuable asset to the
> ARS, and not
> > useless just because it's "old".
> >
>
> No, it's pretty much that Larry only has ham radio to make him "*feel*"
> [emphasis on feel] superior to others. He isn't. Let's use one of the
> Larry-style impossible scenarios: Imagine if every radio amateur could
know
> Larry--as he has portrayed himself here in the newsgroup (he may be an
> entirely different person face-to-face). Now, imagine that most radio
> amateurs are quite capable of using and understanding CW. Now, imagine
the

> attitude of most radio amateurs when they hear a CW signal coming from
K3LT
> in an emergency situation and how willing most radio amateurs are going to
> be to welcome his input with open arms and *know* that he is capable of
> contributing positively to the scene.
>
> Yeah, like I've said before: Larry may "know" CW. Larry doesn't portray
> himself as someone I'd personally like to have active in a disaster. Fact
> is, I'd pretty much prefer to fall off a cliff rather than deal with the
> likes of a K3LT. He may be an effective *mode* user. He is *NOT* an
> effective communicator.
>
> Same for you, by the way, Dick.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

Welp, and lessee again. No response, again. 'Smatta, Larry? Cat got yer
tongue?

Kim W5TIT

474. Re: gmrs usage

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 16:13:17 UTC
From: "Professor Snotblower, Esq." <Snotblower@AssortedNutsAndFruits.org>
Message-ID: <aqjc5s$3m2$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 54614
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Arcane bureaucratic psycho-babble "rules," neither understood
nor much less obeyed by anyone. Such bureaucratic
psycho-babble serves the sole purpose of justifying the
position of the bureaucrat who composes said "rules." Similar
bureaucratic nonsense accounts for tens of thousands of
overpaid federal "positions" held by bureaucrats who compose
and crank out arcane useless rules by the bushel every year, while
we the taxpayer foot the bill by paying federal taxes to support
such bureaucratic nonsense. Get a clue! The mood is shifting in
the country, as evidenced by last Tuesday's elections. Joe Six
Pack is sick and tired, fed up, pissed off, and pissed on, working
12 hours a day to pay lavish salaries and benefits to federal
bureaucrats whose sole purpose in life is to compose "rules" which
make Joe's life more miserable and more difficult to support his
growing family. I've seen all kinds of shit and abuse heaped on
truck drivers by the Sanhedrin in this NG. Got news for you
"talking heads," as another poster calls you fools, truck drivers ARE
America, and paper-pushing rule-writing federal bureaucrats are
SHIT. That's right, SHIT, not fit to breath the smoke blown out
of the exhaust pipes of an 18-wheeler. Here's my message for
you, FUCK YOU and FUCK the horse you rode in on!!!!!!!!
Get a clue & Get a life.

Professor Snotblower, Esq., Ph.D, R.P.E. Master of Bullshit
Chairman, Electronics & Truck Driving Dept.
University of Southern Exposure

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h589vxu.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Thu, 7 Nov 2002 14:35:10 -0500, Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> >It would be tough to say what is prohibited. I could claim anything
> >I talk about to be "my personal or business activity". Who could
> >argue otherwise?
>
> That's why it was written that way. It would exclude
> communications relating to someone else's personal or business
> axtivities in which the sender were not involved. See my tale
> of woe in another post on this same thread.
>
> The GMRS rules were rewritten several years ago in an effort to
> exclude it being used for company business communication (wanted
> to get them all on Business Radio or even ESMR/Nextel) but ooops,
> there were lots and lots of those pesky company licensees that

> they had to grandfather somehow.
>
> The main benefit of the rewrite for me was to authorize all GMRS
> licensees to use all GMRS frequencies except for two channels
> north of Line A, but the latter were grandfathered anyhow if
> they were licensed for those channels at the time of the
> rewrite (as I was).
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

475. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 16:15:42 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021109111542.25510.00000214@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 54615

>Good grief, man, how much alcohol *have* you consumed in your life?
>
>Kim W5TIT

Probably not enough to look at your TITS

476. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 16:19:22 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021109111922.25510.00000215@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 54616

>Apply yourself, Waddles...use whatever brain cells you have left.

Well TIT, why dont you apply yourself and get a REAL HAM LICENSE

>You see, those trained in Emergency
>Communication are *prepared* with what they can contribute--but they may
>very well not have anything "strapped to" their body at all times.
>

And Im sure most are not HAMS

477. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 16:23:03 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021109112303.25510.00000216@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 54617

. A beginners licenses limited for
>safety reasons and material written to perhaps the 8th grade level,
>and a full license.

Well you got your wish, thats what we have now. Well maybe not to the 8th grade level, more like 3rd or 4th Grade.

478. Re: Military thanks!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 16:29:07 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqjd6odcn1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54618

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021109002327.08236.00001013@mb-cg.aol.com...
> In article <34065030AD.1863268A298@nospam.ncvillage.net>, "Doug Martin"
> <martind231@nospam.ncvillage.net> writes:
>
> >Military Thanks!
>
> On behalf of my fellow veterans, you're welcome!
>
> To all currently serving members of the United States Armed Forces,
> you have my sincere gratitude and total support in your present and
> future efforts to preserve peace, freedom, and justice.
>
> God bless you one and all.
>
> 73 de K3LT
> Lawrence J. Roll
> MSgt, USAF (Retired)

Mega dittos!
Bill K2UNK (USN 1966-70, ETN2)

479. Re: Military thanks!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 18:01:19 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021109130119.22267.00002463@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 54619

>Subject: Re: Military thanks!
>From: "Bill Sohl" billsohl@mindspring.com
>Date: 11/9/02 10:29 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <aqjd6odcn1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
>
>
>"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
>news:20021109002327.08236.00001013@mb-cg.aol.com...
>> In article <34065030AD.1863268A298@nospam.ncvillage.net>, "Doug Martin"
>> <martind231@nospam.ncvillage.net> writes:
>>
>> >Military Thanks!
>>
>> On behalf of my fellow veterans, you're welcome!
>>
>> To all currently serving members of the United States Armed Forces,
>> you have my sincere gratitude and total support in your present and
>> future efforts to preserve peace, freedom, and justice.
>>
>> God bless you one and all.
>>
>> 73 de K3LT
>> Lawrence J. Roll
>> MSgt, USAF (Retired)
>
>Mega dittos!
>Bill K2UNK (USN 1966-70, ETN2)

To those who still bear the flag against those who prefer tyranny and fear
to trust and freedom...Godspeed.

And to those who carried that flag before them, God Bless You.

Semper Fidelis

Gunnery Sergeant Steven J Robeson, LPN USMC (ret'd)
(Captain, Tennessee Wing, CAP/USAF Auxiliary)

Paratus et Vigilans

480. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 18:25:20 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211091025.d1310da@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54620

Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<3DCC2C92.8060004@psu.edu>...
> N2EY wrote:
> > In article <20021107195249.22144.00002232@mb-cv.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com
> > (Vshah101) writes:
> >
> >
> > >>>An Invitation to CW Radiosport
> > >>
> > >>Education is nice, but how is contesting a "sport"?
> > >
> > >
> > > It's a competitive activity where skill and endurance are tested.
> >
> Speaking of endurance, how come every time I'm on a run, I have to pee?

Because you're a contester and you're being tested. NEVER drop a run.
EVER. Get a bucket like the rest of us use. Get a "pilot's relief
tube". And Robeson shove yer Foleys SIDEWAYS!

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

w3rv lower case Prostate surgery victim. Foleys . . . #$@&**!

481. Re: MURS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 18:59:04 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h5bfaq1.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 54621

On Sat, 09 Nov 2002 09:15:10 GMT, K wrote:

> Oh My God, Someone call the FCC and cancel all radio spectrum use except
>for cell phones.

There are folks in the industry who are doing just that.

Money talks.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

482. Re: Military thanks!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 19:47:51 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <usqpio7gga2v24@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54622
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Doug Martin" <martind231@nospam.ncvillage.net> wrote in message
news:34065030AD.1863268A298@nospam.ncvillage.net...
> Military Thanks!
>
> If you are so inclined, visit the Department of Defense web page below
> and sign a brief message thanking the men and women of the U.S.
> military services for defending our freedom. The compiled list of
> names will be sent out to our soldiers at the end of the month. So
> far, there are only about 1,206,647 names. What a shame. National
> Military Appreciation Month (please pass it on to your email friends)
>
> http://www.defendamerica.mil/nmam.html
>
> (takes 10 seconds)

I was number 1,291,566 to sign!

God Bless the U.S.A. & those who defend it!!!

--
'73' From KB9TMP

483. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 20:10:51 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DCD7A0E.28DCB617@townsqr.com>
Source record: 54623

Brian wrote:

> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DCC1A5F.5A3AF6A9@townsqr.com>...
> > Brian wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > > So now, just where did you ever get the idea that *I* wanted to increase *my* code
speed?
> > >
> > > Code is good for you. Faster code is even better. Are you claiming
> > > that you are the fastest codester in the world?
> >
> > You're an imbecile. You have always been an embecile. You will always be an enbecile.
Pitiful..
>
> Poor DICK. Runs out of rationale again, resorts to name calling.
> Always resorts to name calling; always will resort to name calling.

Son, you are what you are, and no other words properly describe it.

484. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 20:33:51 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021109153351.21124.00003752@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 54624

>>No, but the majority of non-Hams would. Contesting would not be the way to
>>get
>>new members into Ham Radio.
>
> Proof of stupidity. MANY persons enter MANY activities for contesting
>purposes.

You used the wrong word. The word is "competetive", not "contesting". This is consistant with my previous post that contesting makes people feel they have skills at the expense of real skills like distance scores, station setup time, radio direction finding. The skill (potentially) involved in contesting is a side effect of the end goal.

Lets say contesting is competetive. Then, its still boring. Contesting would not be the way to get new members into Ham Radio because the activity is boring.

I personally know SEVERAL Hams who are hardly ever on the air
>EXCEPT
>for contests.

Good for them. I have nothing against that.

>Its a "misperception" shared by many. I mentioned Ham Radio to a non-Ham he
>said it's some cult

I mentioned Ham Radio and the non-Ham DID ask if that was some kind of cult.

>>He also asked if they still used Morse Code. He also
>>compared Hamfests to a Star Trek convention.
>
> How can he compare one to the other if he's NOT a licensed ham nor been
>to
>a hamfest?
>

He must have heard that Ham Radio operators used Morse code from somewhere. I told him about Hamfests and then he asked if that was like Star Trek conventions.

So, you see, there's a perfectly logical reason for what he said.

485. Re: CW WHINERS SHUT THE HELL UP !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 20:59:59 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqjt09$dv4$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54625

Respond to what Twit? Your feeble attempt at a troll?

I'm not in a tizzy, whatever that is. Nor do I feel I waste my time here.
When I do I'll find something else to do.

Dan/W4NTI

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:usqb6opvku714a@corp.supernews.com...

> > > Uh, if you don't want to "hear" it, Dan, why'd you just spend so much
> time
> > > writing it. And, why do you spend enough time reading/hearing it that
> you
> > > voluntarily get yourself into a tizzy? Nothing else to do?
> > >
> > > Kim W5TIT
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
> >

486. Re: MURS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 21:02:03 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqjtc9$e7a$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54626

Perhaps we could get the CBplussers to follow also?

Dan/W4NTI

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021109100520.02961.00002724@mb-dd.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: MURS
> >From: "Dan/W4NTI" w4ntinospam@mindspring.com
> >Date: 11/9/02 8:57 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <aqj7s0$u04$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
>
> >MURS will never catch on with the echo brain, er box, types. You cain't
> >get the wattmeter to SWAING
>
> I dunno, Dan! I'm only 20 +/- miles from Interstate 24, and I am
hearing
> enough traffic on 151.82 and .88 to know there's more than a couple rigs
out
> there! The guys who are anxious to get away from the "10-4 good buddy"
crowd
> will move first, however when the other guys realize that that is where
> everyone one else went, it won't take long.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

487. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 21:06:42 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqjtu8gvb1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54627

Yes there is a logical reason for what he said. Its called coke and it
don't come in a bottle.

Dan/W4NTI

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021109153351.21124.00003752@mb-fx.aol.com...

> So, you see, there's a perfectly logical reason for what he said.
>

488. Re: MURS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 21:13:14 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021109161314.28980.00000296@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 54628

>Perhaps we could get the CBplussers to follow also?
>
>Dan/W4NTI

Not a Bad Idea Dan.

489. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 21:35:54 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021109163554.17878.00000321@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 54629

In article <3DCC5972.50505@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
writes:

>Vshah101 wrote:
>
>> Also, how can someone find Morse Code interesting? Its curious to see what
>> motivates a newcomer to want to learn code. Who wants to be able to
>recognize
>> some dot and dash patterns when they don't have to? And all the other
>language
>> abbrevations. This is just plain weird.
>
> Who wants to poke sticks at hams who do enjoy morse code? Who doesn't
>enjoy the ARS, yet still feels compelled to post in a policy group for
>amateur radio?

If the epitome of amateur radio experience is morse code use on
the air, then the "stick poking" charge is valid.

Unfortunately, the "expertise" self-description of use of morse code
as the paramount method of communication is a label applied by
only the PCTA regulars in this chatroom. It is virtual, not real.

Those who feel "injured" or even "outraged" by a demonstrated non-
love of morse code should recognize they are not "leaders" of
standards or excellence except within their own clique/cult.

> It's a free newsgroup, you're welcome to post here regardless of your
>inclination. But if you are confused as to hams can possibly enjoy their
>chosen hobby, allow how some hams have a little trouble understanding
>why you would find any point in being interested when you aren't interested

In order to GET INTO legal amateur radio on frequencies below
30 MHz it is necessary to demonstrate International Morse Code
proficiency as part of the amateur radio license test. Since a
morse code test had been part of the test since the first US radio
regulatory agency of 1914 and never removed for privileges below
30 MHz, it means that every single US radio amateur with such
privileges is/was code-tested. That, by itself, is not a reason for
the continued existance of the code test...or that "all" radio amateurs
"enjoy their hobby."

It is a misuse of logic to equate "interest" with morse code skill or as
some de facto "affirmation of devotion to standards/tradition" to be
demonstrated. Morse code testing is only a legal requirement to get
into the hobby. Only one other radio service in the USA requires such

skill and it is a commercial service.

The false charges of "hate" or "intolerance" leveled against those who are NCTAs indicate only that PCTAs using such phrases are simply trying to heckle, abuse, bully NCTAs for not living up to their personal standards. See Robeson, Heil, Carroll, Roll, et al, statements in here in public view.

>> I am not a "Ham".
>
> Now there is a real surprise!

The label of "ham" originally came from professional ratiotelegraphers regarding amateurs. It was a derogatory term used by pros.

Those radio amateurs who remain steadfast in living a hobby lifestyle of yesteryear generally express antipathy towards radio professionals. It should be rather obvious that "ham" is a contraction of the word "amateur" sufficient that it does not contain emotional baggage referring to an amateur status. Radio amateurs often consider themselves "professional" in their amateur radio activities just by virtue of tenure and activity. Unless they derive income from such amateur radio activities, they are not, legally, professionals.

>> I am not a cult follower.
>
> But you must have enough free time to constantly post in a group in which
>you have avowed disinterest.

Again, false charges. Several of them.

"Interest" does not require absolute belief-obeyance of standards and practices as demanded by a minority of amateur radio licensees or the largest US amateur radio national membership organization.

Strict, near absolute adherence to outdated standards and practices in a hobby activity is seen by many as a "cult following." Especially so when such cult members demand keeping regulations carrying the code test as a pass-fail test element...simply because they personally chose to take such a test. Those who demand to keep the standards and practices of their youth for all those yet to come have not demonstrated any viable reason for such regulation retention other than they did it, therefore others must do so also. That is a rather obvious indicator of a "cult following."

"Constantly" using "free time" to post opposing opinions is invalid. That is merely emotionally-loaded phrasing based on personal perceptions...an effort to heckle another of opposing opinion and to self-righteously claim their way is "the only way." It isn't the only way.

> ....but enough of you wasting my time..... plonkeroo!

If your personal time is "wasted" in this chatroom, why take such (valuable?) personal time to reply?

Rhetorical question. Obviously you wish to reply to this opposing viewpoint and to claim your personal preferrences in amateur radio are shared by all radio amateurs or at least represent the mainstream opinion in US amateur radio. That indicates a self-righteous attitude aligned with the PCTA group.

The NCTA is of the opinion that removal of the code test represents an element of freedom in a non-professional avocational activity involving radio. Such test removal does not guarantee anything but a freedom from that current restriction in regulations.

Those who advocated keeping the code test have yet to validate it for practical purpose in regulation. All they can come up with is the trite "it is what hams DO" or that they had to test for it, therefore all others have to also. Strictly personal preferences embelished with self-described amateur patriotism as if their way was the only way. Invalid.

Leonard H. Anderson
Life Member IEEE
ex-RA16408336, US Army 1952-1960

490. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 21:44:55 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211091344.76a774b8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54630

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021109093541.02922.00002405@mb-dd.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?
> >From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
> >Date: 11/9/02 4:54 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <d22b54c3.0211090254.1dd40179@posting.google.com>
>
> >Welp that being that case and you being a REAL HAM wih a REAL LIFE we
> >oughta be able to latch up on the air right? It's only a 400 mile hop,
> >how easy izzat? Name the freq & mode Brainiac. Or, after years of
> >BSing here instead of doing REAL RADIO, are you still trying to figger
> >out how to put up and feed a silly piece of wire?
>
> I'd like to be in on that QSO! Maybe we can get his Left Coast mentor to
> fire up that Part 15 rig and join in...???
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

I'd not engage any of you clowns on the air. I don't trust that your
rudeness here would translate to anything but rudeness on the air.

491. Re: Military thanks!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 22:45:43 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DCD9017.6B9DBB8@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 54631
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
>
>
> I was number 1,291,566 to sign!
>
> God Bless the U.S.A. & those who defend it!!!
>
> --
> '73' From KB9TMP
```

Number 1,294,166 here, at 22:43 zulu. That's about 3800
over 10 hours since the above (IIRC the times listed in post
headers are zulu).

492. Re: MURS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 23:37:27 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021109183727.29038.00000337@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 54632

>How much?
>
>--
>Don't crush em..restore em.

With or without the Cables. They are all tunned and operational on 2 meters right now, but with a very little work they could be on just about any Freq you wanted.

493. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 23:38:49 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021109183849.29038.00000338@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 54633

>I'd not engage any of you clowns on the air. I don't trust that your
>rudeness here would translate to anything but rudeness on the air.
>

Good, I dont talk to CBers on the AIR.

494. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 23:41:19 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DCD9D3D.3060205@adelphia.net>
Source record: 54634

Brian Kelly wrote:

> Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<3DCC2C92.8060004@psu.edu>...
>
>>N2EY wrote:
>>
>>>In article <20021107195249.22144.00002232@mb-cv.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com
>>>(Vshah101) writes:
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>>An Invitation to CW Radiosport
>>>>>
>>>>Education is nice, but how is contesting a "sport"?
>>>>
>>>
>>>It's a competitive activity where skill and endurance are tested.
>>>
>> Speaking of endurance, how come every time I'm on a run, I have to pee?
>>
>
> Because you're a contester and you're being tested. NEVER drop a run.
> EVER. Get a bucket like the rest of us use. Get a "pilot's relief
> tube".

I passed the test, at least I don't stop while the run is going on. Poor
old Vshah doesn't understand the thrill of working a late night shift on
75 meters, and working non-stop till dawn, with no breaks.

- Mike KB3EIA -

495. Re: MURS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 23:50:54 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <ybhz9.3612$Aq5.381820@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54635

"Zero Tolerance" <CuChi@anon.com> wrote

> Take the time to see what Rad Shack is offering now...they have a first
> generation MURS radio that is available to all..it will not be long before
> echo boxes are to follow...

Radio Shack also offer ham radio equipment. Sears Roebuck sold ham radio
equipment for a while (re-labled Midland boxes).

What's your point?

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

496. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-09 23:52:10 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021109185210.01314.00003779@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 54636

>Subject: Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport
>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>Date: 11/9/02 5:41 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3DCD9D3D.3060205@adelphia.net>

>I passed the test, at least I don't stop while the run is going on. Poor
>old Vshah doesn't understand the thrill of working a late night shift on
>75 meters, and working non-stop till dawn, with no breaks.

This creep can't keep up with his own shadow, let alone a "run" during a
contest...Let's kiss this creep off.

73

Steve, K4YZ

497. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 00:01:06 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021109190106.01314.00003781@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 54637

>Subject: Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 11/9/02 2:33 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021109153351.21124.00003752@mb-fx.aol.com>

>You used the wrong word. The word is "competetive", not "contesting".

You're unfamiliar with the definition of either. A contest IS
competitive, and vice versa.

>This is
>consistant with my previous post that contesting makes people feel they have
>skills at the expense of real skills like distance scores, station setup
>time,
>radio direction finding. The skill (potentially) involved in contesting is a
>side effect of the end goal.

You want to define only certain "skills" as "competitive". I know folks
who can set up the finest stations but can't do the actual on the air operating
worth beans...And again vice versa...I know guys (and a couple gals) who are
the finest operators who can't tell you the difference between "9-1-1" and a
"PL 2-5-9"

>Lets say contesting is competetive. Then, its still boring.

It's boring to YOU. So move on. There's a ton of stuff to do within
Amateur Radio that I am sure you will find satisfying...IF you get a license.

>Contesting would
>not be the way to get new members into Ham Radio because the activity is
>boring.

Contesting would not be the way to get "everyone" into Amateur Radio. It
has and does still appeal to certain people for JUST that reason.

Sorry you're too narrowminded to see it.

>I personally know SEVERAL Hams who are hardly ever on the air
>>EXCEPT
>>for contests.
>
>Good for them. I have nothing against that.

Yes you do. You've said it here.

>So, you see, there's a perfectly logical reason for what he said.

No, there's not. There's no way for anyone to make an analogy between any two events or circumstances that they have not personally experienced.

Troll...troll...troll...What a PUTZ.

Steve, K4YZ

498. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 00:12:07 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021109191207.01314.00003782@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 54638

>Subject: Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/9/02 3:35 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021109163554.17878.00000321@mb-cv.aol.com>

>That, by itself, is not a reason for
> the continued existance of the code test...or that "all" radio amateurs
> "enjoy their hobby."

Yes there is...It's called "the law". The United States is required to adhere to treaties that it has signed on to, and we are bound by ITU commitments.

Lennie the Rear Area War Hero, who lambastes fellow veterans and tries to embellish his own "war record" by associating himself with fellow veterans KIA'd three years before he was in-theater, would have the uninformed and the ignorant beleive that it's a simple matter of ignoring international treaties.

Leonard H. Anderson, ex-RA-16408336, US Army 1952-1960 is a fool.

The United States has put itself up as the milestone by which democracies should be gauged. And right we should. But we CANNOT be that watermark if we talk highly from one side of our mouths and then do just the opposite on the other hand.

Leonard, we either abide by the law, or we will be as ripe for targeting as a "rouge" nation as Iraq is.

That this particular law pisses you off is irrelevant.

>>> I am not a cult follower.
>>
>> But you must have enough free time to constantly post in a group in
>which
>>you have avowed disinterest.
>
> Again, false charges. Several of them

Not at all surprising in as much as Lennie the Loser is the exact same mold. No Amateur license...no vested interest in Amateur Radio...Avowed to not BE licensed...In other words, a TROLL.

I can't imagine spending as much of my retired years being as foolish and as venomous as Lennie is. Now we have "vshah". I am not convinced they are not one in the same.

Steve

499. Re: MURS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 02:19:20 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021109211920.25618.00000151@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 54639

>Either way,I assume you'd like to part with the hardware that goes with
>them,too.
>

Of course E-Mail me direct and we can discuss further

500. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 02:57:14 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211091857.7246afa4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54640

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0211091025.d1310da@posting.google.com>...
> Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<3DCC2C92.8060004@psu.edu>...
> > N2EY wrote:
> > > In article <20021107195249.22144.00002232@mb-cv.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com
> > > (Vshah101) writes:
> > >
> > >
> > >>>An Invitation to CW Radiosport
> > >>
> > >>Education is nice, but how is contesting a "sport"?
> > >
> > >
> > > It's a competitive activity where skill and endurance are tested.
> >
> > Speaking of endurance, how come every time I'm on a run, I have to pee?
>
> Because you're a contester and you're being tested. NEVER drop a run.
> EVER. Get a bucket like the rest of us use. Get a "pilot's relief
> tube". And Robeson shove yer Foleys SIDEWAYS!
>
>
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> w3rv lower case Prostate surgery victim. Foleys . . . #$@&**!

Impotent?

501. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 03:02:42 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211091902.b6a6c3b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54641

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021109112303.25510.00000216@mb-ch.aol.com>...
> . A beginners licenses limited for
> >safety reasons and material written to perhaps the 8th grade level,
> >and a full license.
>
> Well you got your wish, thats what we have now. Well maybe not to the 8th grade
> level, more like 3rd or 4th Grade.

You're comments, "there, their, they're," are so friggin unworthy of
being made by an amateur. Go back to #19 or maybe you're parole
officer can pull some strings and get you into a GED class or
something.

You've got a lot of improving to do.

502. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 03:05:33 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211091905.15ce86d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54642

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021109111922.25510.00000215@mb-ch.aol.com>...
> >Apply yourself, Waddles...use whatever brain cells you have left.
>
> Well TIT, why dont you apply yourself and get a REAL HAM LICENSE
>
> >You see, those trained in Emergency
> >Communication are *prepared* with what they can contribute--but they may
> >very well not have anything "strapped to" their body at all times.
> >
>
> And Im sure most are not HAMS

Broose, you musta missd it, but she's got a real ham call. That means
she's got a real ham license.

I didn't see you're call under the CW contacts by K0HB. Whatsaaa
matter?

503. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 03:08:56 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211091908.14151469@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54643

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021109111542.25510.00000214@mb-ch.aol.com>...
> >Good grief, man, how much alcohol *have* you consumed in your life?
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
>
> Probably not enough to look at your TITS

Oh, you go the other way? Figures.

I had you all wrong about your Technician Sister, but the way you
posed the questions sure made it sound like you had married her.
Either way, you're still the CBer in your question.

504. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 03:10:36 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021109221036.05087.00000422@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 54645

In article <20021108185315.02922.00002288@mb-dd.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) writes:

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>>It occurs to me that the "tapping the keys" phrase sounds a lot like ol'
>>Masa/Cutie Boy of some years back.
>
>I am not this person.

Then you won't have to make good on his bet of April 2, 1998.

But you sure do sound like him sometimes.
>
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>>btw, it's a pretty good bet that almost everyone who posts here is
>>communicating by tapping the keys of their keyboards.
>
>I do not have to learn an encoding scheme to do that.

Yes, you do. The written word is an "encoding scheme" for the spoken word. Unless you use voice recognition and test translation systems, you have to know the "encoding scheme" to post or read this newsgroup, or any data mode.

>Morse code is an encoding scheme.

That's part of it, yes. It's a unique mode, however, because it can be aural, visual or tactile, and yet it's text-based.

>Its not that I can't do it.

Can you do Morse or not?

>I have learned more complicated encoding
>schemes.

Such as?

>I just dont find Morse Code useful and/or interesting,

Understood. But your posts indicate that you don;t think anyone should find it useful, or interesting.
>
>>It's a competitive activity where skill and endurance are tested.
>
>It makes people feel like the have skills at the expense of real skills, like
>distance, and setup time.

What ARE you talking about?

>Endurance=how fast you can turn the radio dials and
>log in contacts.

There's a lot more to it than that.
>
>>> a lot of fun, once one has the basic skills. Have you ever actually tried
>>it?
>
>Yes, at Field Day.

You did Morse on Field Day?

> Contesting is not fun. After about 20 QSOs it gets boring.

For YOU. Not for others.

>Don't you get bored doing the same thing over and over again for hours?

Not if it's something I enjoy.

But nobody is saying you must contest, or use Morse code.
>
>Also, how can someone find Morse Code interesting?

It's unique and different.

>Its curious to see what
>motivates a newcomer to want to learn code.

For me it was the desire to get the license, and then to contact other hams on
the air.

>Who wants to be able to recognize
>some dot and dash patterns when they don't have to?

It's fun, for one thing.

> And all the other language
>abbrevations. This is just plain weird.

No, what's weird is your telling others what they should and should not like.

Do you criticize other sports and hobbies?

>I am not a "Ham".

I realize that you have some unique definition of "ham" that is somehow
different from "radio amateur" and "amateur radio operator", but to everyone
else those three terms mean the same thing. Get used to it.

> I am not a cult follower.

Then what is your interest?

73 de Jim, N2EY

505. Re: RP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 03:10:41 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021109221041.05087.00000423@mb-bj.aol.com>
Source record: 54644

In article <aqhigibkk2@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

(N2EY wrote):

>> Howcum the writtens haven't been challenged on handicapped grounds?
>> Some could claim they are unnecessarily discriminatory against
>> non-techno types.
>
>Being a non-techno type isn't viewed anywhere or by anybody
>as being a handicap.

Let me expand on this a bit.

Most people don't find the written tests to be impossibly difficult. But there
are some
people who have learning disabilities which make the writtens much more
difficult. I
elmered one guy who tried and failed the old Advanced written 9 times before I
met
him. His problem was that he just wasn't very good at math and formulas, and
the old
Advanced was full of 'em. I've known others who find memorizing things like
band edges
and mode designators to be extremely difficult. These people are not "stupid",
"lazy" or
"unmotivated" - they simply have specific learning disabilities. Intelligence
is at least a
seventh-order vector quantity, not a scalar one.

>> > > >Add to the above the fact that morse is largely a non-used mode
>> > > >outside of amateur radio and any past justification for a "pool of
>> > > >trained morse operators" goes up in smoke.
>> > >
>> > > The idea that Morse MUST be tested so that there is a reserve of
>trained
>> > > operators for other services was once valid, but not any more.
>> >
>> > Agreed.
>>
>> I'd say the "pool of Morse operators" thing ended with the maritime
>> changes. Not before.
>
>Works for me.

End of 1997.
>
>> > > But that is only
>> > > one reason for a Morse test, and not one I've agreed with for at least
>25
>> > > years.
>> >
>> > Any other reasons have been rejected by the FCC.
>>
>> FCC isn't infallible.
>
>So just which reason that wasn't accepted by the FCC
>should not have been rejected?

Here are some (just my opinion):

1) License tests should be about the things the license allows the licensee to do, and that are commonly done by licensees. Lots of Morse code on the HF ham bands. And there's no reasonable way to test Morse skill on a written test.

2) Morse has a symbiotic relationship with simple radio equipment. Very useful for those who want to build and learn about basic radio.

3) Hams using Morse are overwhelmingly well-behaved on the air while using the mode. Check the FCC enforcement actions involving licensed hams - practically all of them are for voice operation.

4) Morse is spectrum- and power-efficient, allowing hams to get the most out of their HF bands without having to invest in data modes.

Of course the FCC disagrees, and it's FCC opinion that makes the rules.
>
>> FCC gave us incentive licensing, repeater rules
>> that were stricter than those for commercial services, 27 MHz cb, and
>> lax enforcement. FCC also punishes hams for the misdeeds of nonhams
>> (RF power amplifier restrictions).

Do we need more proof of FCC fallibility? IOW, just because FCC believes it doesn't make it right, or good for the ARS. Just legal.
>
>> One thing many hams I know would like is a strap-on 100-150 W amp for
>> the HF QRP rigs. 3-5 watts drive, 100-150 watts out. Run QRP when
>> conditions permit and when you're in the field or on battery, kick in
>> the amp when needed. Would be a big help in compliance with the
>> minimum power rule, plus you don't lug the amp around in the field.
>> But such amps are illegal unless homebrewed by a ham because a cber of
>> freebander might misuse them. In fact, selling kits for such an amp is
>> illegal.
>
>They aren't totally illegal. The limiting factor is when they can
>be used in the 27-28MHz range.

No, there's more to it than that. Even without 10-12 meter coverage, such amps cannot be FCC approved for sale. Drive level is too low.

>
>> Does this stop any cber or freebanders from running high power? Of
>> course not, they just buy amps from vendors who flout the law. But FCC
>> still thinks hams must not be restricted.
>
>Agreed.
>
Actually, that should read "hams should be restricted". Thanks for seeing the
intended meaning rather than the typo.

>> > > Fun historical fact: There were about 50,000 licensed US hams on Pearl
>> > Harbor
>> > > day. About 25,000 of them served in our nation's armed services during
>> > WW2,
>> > > where there Morse and technical skills were needed. Many thousands of
>> > others
>> > > served in nonmilitary but war-related ways (merchant marine,
>instructors
>> > at
>> > > radio schools, development of things like radar and the proximity
>fuse,
>> > etc.)
>> > >
>> > > Yes, Morse is largely a non-used mode outside of amateur radio. But
>it's
>> > > extensively used in amateur radio, and it's amateur radio that we're
>> > talking
>> > > about.
>> >
>> > But use alone, even if only in amateur radio, has NOT been accepted
>> > by the FCC as a rational to continue code testing.
>>
>> See rant above about FCC logic wrt amateur rules.
>
>Many hams USE spanish, and other languages. There's
>no reason or rational to require knowledge of Spanish.

How many US hams use Spanish or other languages on the air, compared to how
many use Morse on the air? The number is very small.

Which brings up a question - is it possible for a person (say, a legal resident
alien intending to become a citizen) to get an FCC ham license if they do not
speak a word of English?

>> Here's another point:
>>
>> One thing bedeviling the FCC is the continued existence of freebanders
>> and cbers running excessive power and violating the rules. FCC would
>> be much happier if all those folks got ham licenses, so FCC has an
>> interest in making ham licenses easy to get. Thus the changes in both
>> code and written test requirements over the past 20 years or so.

>
>I totally disagree that the FCC had any such expectation
>or hope on that basis.

Your opinion noted, Bill, but please consider the following possibility:

Suppose, just suppose, my theory is correct, and FCC wants to reduce ham license requirements as much as possible in order to counteract the freebander/illegal cber problems. Do you think FCC would tell any of us about it? Of course not!

73 de Jim, N2EY

506. Remembrance Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 03:15:18 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <abkz9.4009$Aq5.413364@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54646

We used to call it Armistice Day, now we call it Veterans Day. The
Canadians have it right -- they call it Remembrance Day.

A Canadian soldier wrote the following in 1915 during the Battle of Ypres.

In Flanders fields the poppies blow
Between the crosses, row on row,
That mark our place; and in the sky
The larks, still bravely singing, fly
Scarce heard amid the guns below.

We are the Dead. Short days ago
We lived, felt dawn, saw sunset glow,
Loved, and were loved, and now we lie
In Flanders fields.

Take up our quarrel with the foe:
To you from failing hands we throw
The torch; be yours to hold it high.
If ye break faith with us who die
We shall not sleep, though poppies grow
In Flanders fields.

507. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 03:39:40 UTC
From: Unclaimed Mysteries <k4doh@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqkkdmeem1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54647

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021106192818.25465.00000117@mb-ch.aol.com...
> >APRS proves itself valuable in situations such as S&R, lost you-name-it,
>
> WOW, I didnt know every body had an APRS unit strapped to there Body.

Please do not be giving Mr. Ashcroft any more ideas. Thanky.

73 Corry K4DOH

--
It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net

508. Re: L Davies in trouble once again.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 03:46:17 UTC
From: juju bees <eddierabvtz3003@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3dcdd682@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 54648
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Rollo" <nobody@nowhere.com> wrote in message
news:1105_1036894489@netnews.attbi.com...
>
> Gee, there's no KV4FZ in the FCC database.
> You sure you aren't bullshitting?
> Using a phony callsign is a bad thing, you know.

"Phony callsigns" are a bad thing you say....??

Apparently on ham radio of late it's OK. (?)

2 see what I mean, listen into the Eastern Regional Patriot's Net
on LSB ham radio 3.860 mHz at 8:00 PM Eastern time.
This net meets every night including weekends.
There are occasionally one or two un-licenced "militia radio"
stations that are acknowledged by he NCS, check in and then
converse with regular hams on this Net, using tactical
callsigns (non-ham radio calls) like "Orange Blossom" and
similar monikers. This happens on an almost nightly basis.
Been listening in for 2 months to all this and seems the
FCC couldn't care less.

509. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 04:04:11 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021109230411.10702.00006221@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 54649

>I had you all wrong about your Technician Sister, but the way you
>posed the questions sure made it sound like you had married her.
>Either way, you're still the CBer in your question.
>
>

I guess you need to ask your Mother, SON.

510. Re: MURS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 04:13:18 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <usrn7bk1uvqedf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54650

Phil. Thank heavens. Someone who understands. Money talks. Yepper. Now just wait until we get the equivalent of eliminating all rules and road tests for drivers' licenses. It will be the same. On MURS open, Stewart allows that 2 watts into a 12 dBi gain antenna on both ends will allow likely 60, perhaps 80, mile communication. Someone will figure out it is easier to use a 6 dBi stick and a 50 watt radio. Unfortunately, many think the hams are simply trying to enforce regulations - if some of these folks thought about what would happen if there were no rules for the road - no traffic lights - no stop signs - no speed limits .... this could be where we are headed. Granted it won't happen overnight, but ...

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA
"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h5bfaq1.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Sat, 09 Nov 2002 09:15:10 GMT, K wrote:
>
> > Oh My God, Someone call the FCC and cancel all radio spectrum use except
> >for cell phones.
>
> There are folks in the industry who are doing just that.
>
> Money talks.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

511. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 04:29:19 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211092029.e98aa5b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54751

brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0211091344.76a774b8@posting.google.com>...
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021109093541.02922.00002405@mb-dd.aol.com>...
> > >Subject: Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?
> > >From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
> > >Date: 11/9/02 4:54 AM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <d22b54c3.0211090254.1dd40179@posting.google.com>
>
> > >Welp that being that case and you being a REAL HAM wih a REAL LIFE we
> > >oughta be able to latch up on the air right? It's only a 400 mile hop,
> > >how easy izzat? Name the freq & mode Brainiac. Or, after years of
> > >BSing here instead of doing REAL RADIO, are you still trying to figger
> > >out how to put up and feed a silly piece of wire?
> >
> > I'd like to be in on that QSO! Maybe we can get his Left Coast mentor to
> > fire up that Part 15 rig and join in...???
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> I'd not engage any of you clowns on the air. I don't trust that your
> rudeness here would translate to anything but rudeness on the air.

OK, there it is. The only "band/mode" Brainiac runs is RRAP. Thought so. End of.

w3rv

512. Re: MURS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 04:53:04 UTC
From: Opie <CrispyCritters@stirfry.com>
Message-ID: <aqkol2$ps8$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 54752

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:usrn7bk1uvqedf@corp.supernews.com...
> Phil. Thank heavens. Someone who understands. Money talks. Yepper.
Now
> just wait until we get the equivalent of eliminating all rules and road
> tests for drivers' licenses. It will be the same. On MURS open, Stewart
> allows that 2 watts into a 12 dBi gain antenna on both ends will allow
> likely 60, perhaps 80, mile communication. Someone will figure out it is
> easier to use a 6 dBi stick and a 50 watt radio. Unfortunately, many
think
> the hams are simply trying to enforce regulations - if some of these folks
> thought about what would happen if there were no rules for the road - no
> traffic lights - no stop signs - no speed limits .... this could be where
we
> are headed. Granted it won't happen overnight, but ...
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> news:cuvyxnansvbet.h5bfaq1.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> > On Sat, 09 Nov 2002 09:15:10 GMT, K wrote:
> >
> > > Oh My God, Someone call the FCC and cancel all radio spectrum use
except
> > >for cell phones.
> >
> > There are folks in the industry who are doing just that.
> >
> > Money talks.
> >
> > ---
> > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Here is just a bit of info on the murs allocations...

MURS permits the use of a variety of emission modes, but the most common is
analog voice using FM modulation. Data communications are permitted, but
under the new rules, the FCC will prohibit image transmissions. [95.401]

Very narrow bandwidth transmissions (maximum 11.25 KHz channel bandwidth,
with +/- 2.5 KHz deviation) are permissible on all five MURS channels. Under
the new rules, the older +/- 5 KHz deviation signals (with a maximum 20 KHz
channel bandwidth) will also again be permissible, but only on the two upper
channels (in the 154 MHz band). [95.631]

Under the new rules, the FCC will prohibit continuous transmissions in any mode. [95.631(j)]

Under the new rules, the maximum permissible Transmitter Power Output (TPO) will be 2 Watts. There will be no limit on antenna gain. [95.639(h)]

MURS is intended for short-range local communications. Under the new rules, antenna height will be limited to 20 feet above structure or 60 feet above ground, whichever is the greater. [95.1315] Repeaters will not be allowed. [95.1311]

No license is needed. MURS is available for unlicensed business or personal use as described in this discussion. [95.1301]

Radios suitable for use on the MURS channels are now available from dozens of different manufacturers, and are sold by several online companies and by consumers electronics store chains.

513. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 05:02:20 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211092102.7e6fd3d6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54753

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021109093541.02922.00002405@mb-dd.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?
> >From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
> >Date: 11/9/02 4:54 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <d22b54c3.0211090254.1dd40179@posting.google.com>
>
> >Welp that being that case and you being a REAL HAM wih a REAL LIFE we
> >oughta be able to latch up on the air right? It's only a 400 mile hop,
> >how easy izzat? Name the freq & mode Brainiac. Or, after years of
> >BSing here instead of doing REAL RADIO, are you still trying to figger
> >out how to put up and feed a silly piece of wire?
>
> I'd like to be in on that QSO!

As was 100% predicted he weenied out. "You won't be nice to me".
Bwaaahaha! I've run into some lame excuses but that one wins the cut
glass fly swatter.

No radio, no antenna, no balls, no ham, no life, no reason to be in
the FCC database, no surprise. At all.

> Maybe we can get his Left Coast mentor to
> fire up that Part 15 rig and join in...???

Ug, can't even start to imagine where that "roundtable" would lead . .
.

>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

w3rv

514. MURS Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 05:06:45 UTC
From: Opie <CrispyCritters@stirfry.com>
Message-ID: <aqkpelrsc0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 54754

May I connect an external antenna or power amplifier to my MURS radio?

The FCC Rules permit connecting an external antenna to your MURS radio. However, the new FCC Rules will limit the height of your antenna to a maximum of 20 feet over the mounting structure (exclusive of the tower, mast or pole on which it is mounted), or to 60 feet above ground, whichever is greater. (These are the same limits that apply to the original Citizens Band Radio Service at 27 MHz.) [95.1315]

What are the MURS channels?

Channel (MHz) [95.632]

151.820 MHz
151.880 MHz
151.940 MHz
154.570 MHz
154.600 MHz

Must I identify my MURS station or transmissions?

You do not need to identify your MURS station by any particular callsign or other designation.

May I set my MURS radios up as a repeater station?

The new MURS Rules will prohibit MURS repeaters. This prohibition will include both conventional repeaters (which retransmit a signal nearly simultaneously on a different frequency) and store-and-forward repeaters (which retransmit the signal after a time delay, often [but not necessarily] on the same frequency). [95.1311]

May I use my MURS radio to transmit data communications?

Yes, so long as the emissions are authorized in 95.633 of the FCC Rules. The maximum authorized bandwidth is 11.25 KHz on the three 151 MHz frequencies (151.820 MHz, 151.800 MHz and 151.940 MHz). Under the new rules, the maximum authorized bandwidth will be 20.0 KHz on the two 154 MHz frequencies (154.570 MHz and 154.600 MHz). [95.631(

What kind of range can I get from a MURS radio?

At the 150 MHz frequencies of MURS, communications range is dependent on antenna height and on the surrounding environment. Range between two handheld MURS radios will vary, but should be between a half mile to perhaps several miles (in open terrain with no obstacles). If you are using the radios inside a vehicle, the range will be somewhat less.

An advantage of MURS is that you may connect an external antenna to your radio. Using an antenna mounted on the vehicle's roof, and communicating with another similar unit, you should expect to get at least a couple of miles (except in the most harsh conditions), and possibly up to ten miles or more.

Using a base station-type antenna, you should be able to communicate with a vehicular-type MURS unit described in the previous paragraph over a range of three to perhaps ten or fifteen miles. From that same base station, you might get two to six miles communicating with a MURS handheld radio.

Base-to-base station communications should be possible over at least several miles, perhaps up to twenty miles or more on a clear channel. However, this kind of operation is not consistent with the traditional use of these frequencies for short-range base-to-mobile and mobile-to-mobile communications.

You can check out http://www.provide.net/~prsg/murshome.htm for more information.
Of course the CB'ers will soon find a way to trash out this new service....

515. ARNewsline 1317 - Nov 8 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 05:22:13 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021110002213.29198.00000215@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 54755

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1317 – November 8, 2002

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1317 with a release date of hFriday, November 8, 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T. Some good numbers for ham radio here and across the seas. The hobby is growing once again. Find out the details on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1317 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

RESTRUCTURING: GOOD NUMBERS IN THE USA

The numbers are in and they speak for themselves. Restructuring is finally having a very positive impact on ham radio growth here in the United States. Amateur Radio Newsline's David Black, KB4KCH, has the good word:

--
Last year at this time there were nearly 683,000 hams licensed by the FCC. As of October this year, there are 2235 fewer--but numbers are not always what they seem. The reality is that ham radio in the United States is growing once again.

First, the General and Extra class have increased substantially since the 13 and 20 word per minute Morse code exams were eliminated April 15th of 2000. In fact, the number of people holding these licenses has increased by well over 25,000 for each license class. And that's great news for ham radio equipment suppliers since they rely on higher dollar high frequency transceiver sales for much of their yearly income.

The Technician class is holding its own, too. The number of new Tech license holders is keeping pace with the number of hams either upgrading or dropping out of amateur radio. The net change is close to zero.

Only the Novice and Advanced classes are seeing significant drops in numbers. No new Novice or Advanced class tickets have been issued since April, 2000. And while existing licenses can be modified and renewed, it appears as if that's just not happening among Novices. They appear to be fading away, and quickly -- there were 65-thousand Novices in 1997 -- only about 37-thousand, though, today.

The bottom line is that for the last 12 months ham radio has seen an average of 16-hundred brand new ham licenses issued each month. That's an improvement of 160 a month over the previous year. And that improvement is very good news for ham radio.

As to the state with the largest number of hams? It's still California which can now claim being home to more than one seventh of all the nations hams. Latest figures show there are more than 100,000 hams now living in California.

The state with the fewest hams? North Dakota -- only 1575 hams there.

Reporting from the Amateur Radio Newsline's South-East Bureau in Birmingham, Alabama, I'm David Black, KB4KCH.
--

The bottom line is this. Restructuring seems to be doing the job it was intended to do. Its now up to those in the hobby to work to swell its ranks even more. (W5YI)

**

RESTRUCTURING: 5000TH UK FOUNDATION LICENSE CELEBRATED

The United States is not the only place where ham radio is growing. In the United Kingdom Andrew Finch, M3FMA, is only 11 years old and suffers from dyslexia. But nothing was going to keep the young scout from becoming a ham. And now he is the 5000th person to obtain that nations new and very popular Foundation Class license. Jeramy Boot, G4NJH, has more:

--
The Radiocommunications Agency has been so pleased with the numbers taking up the license, that it decided to commemorate the 5000th amateur with a special award. Alan Betts of the Agency's Amateur Radio section, commented: "The radio amateur community was around 50,000 strong before the new license was introduced, and so this level of interest is very welcome".

He also added "The License has proved very popular with young people and amateur radio is very much an up-and-coming hobby for them".

The presentation of Andrew's prize took place at a ceremony held at the Radiocommunications Agency's monitoring station in Baldock, Hertfordshire on Tuesday the 22nd of October. Andrew was also presented with membership of the Radio Society of Great Britain by its President, Bob Whelan, G3PJT, who also presented a host of radio amateur goodies and books.

Andrew's day was not over after the ceremony - he was given a tour of the Radiocommunications Agency monitoring station at Baldock. 'Heartbeat FM' from Hertfordshire then conducted an interview with him before he was whisked off for another interview with 'Dream FM' of Chelmsford.

Jeramy Boot, G4NJH.
--

Andrew had told his parents that he was going to begin saving his pocket money to buy a radio. He won’t have to do that. Among the gifts he received for becoming the 5000th Foundation license holder was a brand new F-T 817 transceiver donated by Yaesu. The Foundation License has only been available to U-K residents since earlier this year. (GB2RS)

**

EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: HAM RADIO INVITED TO DIGI RADIO EXPERIMENT

Ham radio has been asked to be a part of an important digital radio experiment. Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, has the details:

--
Digital Radio Mondiale, or DRM, is a project aimed to revitalize the short-wave, medium-wave and long-wave AM radio broadcast bands. This, by using digital signals to provide near-FM quality.

Radio amateurs and serious broadcast-band listeners have been invited to become part of the DRM Software Radio Project. They may also be among the first to access the initial DRM programs when test transmissions begin next month.

James Briggs is the DRM Projects Co-ordinator with Merlin Communications in Dorset England. Biggs says that the project relies on adding an extra down-converter board to existing receivers and then using software to decode the signal via a PC soundcard.

The interface is simple and the sound -- hopefully -- will be superb.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF.
--

To find out how you can play a part in the DRM experiment take your web browser to www.drmrx.org (Media Network)

**

RADIO LAW: CONGRESS AND CCR'S

Some good news and some bad news in the drive by the ARRL to get Congress to ban deed restrictions on hams who want to put up towers and antennas. The good news is that several more members of both houses have signed on as co-sponsors in recent weeks. The bad news is that no action took place on the issue before Congress adjourned for elections and there's little chance of any action once it returns to close out the year. The bottom line: The ARRL says that it will try again when Congress reconvenes in January of 2003. (ARRL)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the K5TYR Repeater serving Tyler Texas.

(5 sec pause here)

**

ENFORCEMENT: FCC PROBES JAMMING OF THE HURRICANE WATCH NET

The FCC is asking a Morrison Colorado ham to explain why he allegedly interfered with an onging hurricane emergency net. The FCC's Daryl Duckworth, NN0W, tells us about the case:

--
Duckworth: “The Enforcement Bureau opened an inquiry into allegations that Merle W. Garbe, W0SBE, of Morrison Colorado interfered with the Hurricane Watch Net on 14.325 MHz on October 3rd of 2002 during its Hurricane Isadore operation.”
--

The FCC letter to Garbe notes that the Hurricane Watch Net is operated to assist the National Hurricane Center in Miami, other government agencies and people in a target area of a hurricane system. The letter goes on to note that the agency considers this service to be one of the most important purposes of the Amateur Radio Service .

**

ENFORCEMENT: NO RADIO CALL-IN SHOW PERMITTED ON 75 METERS

The FCC is asking a California ham why he allegedly ran a radio call in show on 3.830 MHz and requested shortwave listeners to participate. The regulatory agency alleges that James C. Watkins, KI6GU, of Burbank ran such an operation last August 16th and 24th and used a reverse autoptach system to facilitate the call-ins.

Along with the FCC inquiry Watkins was furnished 9 pages of material relating to the complaints against him. He was given the customary 30 days from the date of the Commission inquiry to respond. (FCC)

**

ENFORCEMENT: BIG FINE AGAINST FLORIDA PIRATE BROADCASTER

The FCC has levied a $10,000 fine against a Florida man who has allegedly been operating a radio transmitter without a license. Richard Munoz of Naples was issued the forfeiture notice for operation of a radio station on 105.1 MHz without Commission authorization. Amateur Radio Newsline’s Bill Burnett, KT4SB, is in Miami with the details:

--
The story begins on April 20 if this year. This, when agents from the Tampa Office investigate a complaint alleging that an unlicensed radio station was transmitting on frequency 105.1 MHz in the Naples, Florida area.

A search of Commission records shows that there was no FM radio station licensed on 105.1 MHz in Naples. So, using a mobile direction finding vehicle, the agents trace the station to the Tree of Life Church in Naples.

The agents then interview several witnesses at the church who identify Richard Muñoz as the operator of the radio station transmitting on 105.1 MHz. The witnesses also provide the agents a business card identifying Richard Muñoz as the pastor of a Spanish-language ministry called Mission Possible Ministries. A brochure advertises a radio program called “Mission Possible" on 105.1 MHz.

The agents interview Muñoz. He admits that his ministry owns the radio station equipment and is operating it.

The agents ask Muñoz if he had a license for the station. He replies that a friend has submitted an application to the FCC. Also that the friend has advised him that he could operate the station while the paperwork was being processed.

The agents advise Muñoz that he cannot operate the station without a license and directed him to terminate the unlicensed operation. Muñoz requested additional time to notify his listeners that the station had to go off the air. The agents again tell Muñoz that he could not operate the station without a license and order him to take the station off the air. Muñoz directs another person to turn off the transmitter.

But the story does not end there. On April 30th the FCC'sTampa Office receives another complaint alleging that an unlicensed FM station is again operating on 105.1 MHz in Naples. Also that it is causing interference to the reception of an FM broadcast station licensed to operate in that area.
On May 14th, agents again trace the station to the Tree of Life Church and on May 17th the Tampa Office issued a warning letter to Andrew dealing, the head pastor of the Tree of Life Church. The warning letter advises DeLong that operation of a radio station without a license violates Section 301 of the Act, ordered him to cease operation of the unlicensed station transmitting on 105.1 MHz immediately.
Then, on May 24, 2002, the Tampa Office receives a letter from Pastor DeLong. In the letter, DeLong states that Richard Muñoz is the operator of the radio station transmitting on 105.1 MHz. Also that that Muñoz leases space for the radio station from the Tree of Life Church, and that the Tree of Life Church had no control over the operations of the radio station.
Based on the facts before it, On June 5th the Tampa Office issues an Notice of Apparant Liability for a $10,000 forfeiture to Richard Muñoz for operating a radio station without a license in willful and repeated violation of Section 301 of the Act. In his response to the notice, Muñoz admits that he operated the unlicensed station on 105.1 MHz, but requests cancellation of the forfeiture. He tells the FCC that his church, Mission Possible Ministries, had agreed to purchase 50% of the radio station for $15,000 from Daniel Morisma approximately eight months earlier. According to the reports, Muñoz maintains that Morisma told him that there was an application for the radio station on file at the FCC and that the station could go on the air while they were waiting for the application to be processed.
According to Muñoz, Morisma told him that the station should go back on the air because the FCC would not visit again, and that if the FCC did visit again, it would issue a second warning before proceeding with any penalties. Muñoz asserts that the station had been using the time between the FCC’s first and second visit to explain to listeners that they would soon be off the air because they had been lied to and scammed and that the station was a week away from dismantling when the FCC visited the station the second time.
But the FCC sees it another way. In its order the FCC says that it is unfortunate that Muñoz may have been duped into believing that there was an application for the radio station on file with the FCC and that he could put the station on the air while the application was being processed.
None-the-less says the FCC, Muñoz clearly knew following the inspection by its agents on April 20th that the station was unlicensed.
The bottom line. The FCC says that under these circumstances, it concludes

that no reduction of the forfeiture on this basis is warranted.
From Miami, I'm Bill Burnetrt, KT4SB, reporting for the Amateur Radio Newsline.
--
Muñoz was given the customary 30 days to pay the fine. If he fails to do so the FCC indicates that other punitive action may be taken.

**

WITH THE SCOUTS: JOTA FOLLOW-UP

ARRL Educational Programs Coordinator, Jean Wolfgang, WB3IOS, reports that so far over 125 scouting Jamoboree on the Air or JOTA survey have been received for this years event. The reports show over 9079 Scouts, 2855 visitors, and 657 hams participated. This is a substantial improvement over last year when only 65 surveys were returned!

But says Wolfgang, there are several state we have not heard from. They are Delaware, Hawaii, Idaho, Mississippi, Montana, Nebraska, North Dakota, South Dakota, Utah, Vermont, and Wyoming.

If you participated in JOTA 2002 and have not yet completed the ARRL survey please have a representative of your JOTA event complete and submit the form as soon as possible. You will find it on the web at http://www.arrl.org/FandES/ead/jotalog. (WB3IOS)

**
BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today’s radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org.

**

HAM RADIO BUSINESS: HAS HAMVENTION 2003 GOT A DEAL FOR YOU

The planners of Hamvention 2003 say -- have they got a deal for you! The Hamvention, in cooperation with the Dayton Montgomery Convention and Visitors Bureau has put together a special V-I-P package. It will do to five of the first 500 who purchase a preregistration ticket before Novermber 15th.

The five lucky winners will be chosen in a randon drawing in early December. They will receive two nights of hotel accommodations during Hamvention, dinner for two at Jay’s Restaurant, a V-I-P badge, a special souvenir package and the most important prize of all. An on-site V-I-P parking pass for the show! Thats right -- parking almost at the Hara Arena front door.

More information on this special Hamvention 2003 promotion is available by e-mail to tickets@hamvention.org. You can also phone during business hours Eastern time to area code 937-276-6930. (Dayton Hamvention)

**

HAM RADIO BUSINESS: WORLDRADIO EDITOR DEPARTS FOR CAREER IN LAW ENFORCEMENT

One ham who may not be at Hamvention 2003 is Worldradio Magazine editor Rick McCusker, WF6O. This is because McCusker has announced that he is leaving the post in a major career change.

Accordong to McCusker, he has been in training for some time to become a peace officer. He has also been working as a Reserve Depuity Sheriff in his spare time. Now, he has accepted a full time position with the Sacramento California County Sheriff’s Department.

Duruing his tenure at Worldradio, McCusker completely redesigned and modernized the magazine’s look. He took most columns by-monthly permitting the addition of new writers representing emerging technologies in the hobby. His legacy is a well respected publication with a steadilly growing reader base.

McCusker’s last day at Worldradio will be on Wednesday November 13th. At airtime no replacement editor has been named. (ARNewsline™)

**

THE CHANGING OF THE GUARD: KL7Y LOST IN MOTORCYCLE ACCIDENT

Some sad news. Word that famed contest operator Daniel Robbins, KL7Y, of Wassilia Alaska has died in a motorcycle accident while in Hawaii. According to the Honolulu Star Bulletin, the 54 year old Robbins died Thursday October 31st after he lost control of a motorcycle while riding in Kona and drove into a lava field. The Fire Department said that Robbins, who was not wearing a helmet, suffered head and other injuries.

KL7Y was a friend to many inside and outside of the world of ham radio contest operations. He had just completed operating the CQ World Wide SSB contest from KH7R a few days before the accident that took his life. A memorial guest list for his friends to pay their respects has been set up on line at www.contesting.com. (K7JA, contesting.com)

**

ON THE AIR: THE MIGHTY MO CELEBRATES VETERANS DAY

The “Mighty Mo” is coming to the ham radio airwaves for a very special event. Bob Maguire, KA2WXU, reports over the Internet that on November 9, 10, and 11 the Battleship Missouri Amateur Radio Club will be on the air each day from 17:00 to 04:00 U-T-C on 14.263, 21.363 and 28.363MHZ, in honor of Veteran's Day weekend. There is no special event QSL card for Veteran's Day event but if you Q-S-L via KH6BB you will receive a regular Mighty Mo QSL in return. More information is on the web at www.kh6bb.org. (KA2WXU)

**

ON THE AIR: UARC CELEBRATES 75TH ANNIVERSARY

The Utah amateur Radio Club will celebrate its 75th anniversary with an on-the-air event beginning Saturday November 9th and continuing through November 10th. Operation will be on most high frequency bands with emphasis on 20 meter SSB. The call sign to listen for is W7SP. For a special commemorative card, QSL as directed on the air. (N7HVF)

**

INTERNATIONAL – INDIA: PIRATES PERSIST

The president of the Amateur Radio Society of India, reports a significant number of pirate operations using call signs VU4A, VU7A and similar other call signs continues. VU2SDN says that a blanket ban exists on operations from Andaman, Nicobar and Lakshadweep islands, and that VU4 and VU7-prefix call signs have not being assigned for 10 years. The only exception was the brief 1993 VU2JPS operation from the Andaman Islands. Quoted in the ARRL Letter, VU2SDN says that call signs that begin with VU2 followed by two or three letters or with VU3 followed by three letters are the only valid call signs for India. (ARRL)

**

INTERNATIONAL – USSR: A WALL OF SOUND

Radio Liberty's broadcasts to the Union of Soviet Socialist Republics were the target of Soviet noise jamming for several decades. But Media Network reveals that the Russian operators actually left frequencies undisturbed so their friends could hear the programs. Now, you can too with a 30 second audio sample of what jamming sounded like for those of you too young to remember. Its on the Radio Netherlannds website. The URL is http://www.rnw.nl/realradio/features/html/jamming021018.html (Media Network)

**

DX

In D-X, a surprise U-S to Japan long path opening surprised many South-West region hams the morning of October 31st. At about 15:45 UTC, D44TD was worked as far west as Arizona. while N6XQ was reported to be 599+ into JA-land, Unfortunately for many U-S hams the surprise opening took place while they were away at work and preceeded an X-class flare a bit later in the day. (K7JA)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: SOLVING AN AGE OLD QUESTION

For nearly a century, mathematicians have been stumped by one of the math world's most difficult problems. This is a conjecture by French scientist Henri Poincare about the properties of three-dimensional space. And now, it appears as id a British math whiz claims to have solved the problem. Amateur Radio Newslines Henry Feinberg, K2SSQ, has the puzzeling story:

--
Jules Henri Poincare was dubbed by E.T. Bell as the "Last Universalist. A man a who was at ease in all branches of mathematics.

Poincare was also a 19th century professor of astronomy who made fundamental contributions regarding the motions of the planets. He went on to found the field of topology, a branch of geometry, and even competed with Albert Einstein in the study of relativity.

Before Poincare, mathematicians fully understood two-dimensional space, such as the Earth's surface, and could list all the possible shapes of two-dimensional surfaces and use mathematical calculations to distinguish between them. But The math mystery Poincare raised regarded the properties of three-dimensional space. His question, or conjecture, was whether the two-dimensional calculations could be easily modified to answer similar questions about three-dimensional spaces.

He was pretty sure the answer was yes but couldn't prove it mathematically. Nearly 100 years later, math whizzes remain stuck, except for one. His name is Martin Dunwoody.

Dunwoody is described as a British mathematics expert. His answer is barely six pages long and only an outline. And as you might expect, Dunwoody's solution - complete with formulas and diagrams - has been praised and challenged in England.

No, we will not try to explain all the math here. What is interesting is the reaction of the scientific community. Professor Ian Stewart of the University of Warwick, one of Britain's most respected mathematicians calls it the first good shot at this problem in years,. But Colin Rourke, another University of Warwick mathematician, disagreed. Rourke says that when he raised a problem in the solution, Dunwoody admitted on his Web site that it could be difficult to overcome.

Once the math community has accepted the solution, the Clay Mathematics Institute in Cambridge, Mass., must conduct its own review. Only if that proves successful will Dunwoody be rewarded. And if the reaction of the British math professors is any indication, this conrriversy could rage on in math circles for years.

Adding it all up for the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Henry Feinberg, K2SSQ.
--

Even if Dunwoody continues to refine his answer and eventually is proven correct, it could be a long time before it's official. First, he must get it published in a mathematics journal, then undergo a two-year waiting period of international review. (Science Today)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I’m Ken Butler, W1NNR, saying 73 and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

**
Terminal Break
**
RAIN Promo

516. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 05:28:12 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DCDEE58.277998E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 54756

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3DCC5972.50505@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
> writes:
>
> >Vshah101 wrote:
> >
> >> Also, how can someone find Morse Code interesting? Its curious to see what
> >> motivates a newcomer to want to learn code. Who wants to be able to
> >recognize
> >> some dot and dash patterns when they don't have to? And all the other
> >language
> >> abbrevations. This is just plain weird.
> >
> > Who wants to poke sticks at hams who do enjoy morse code? Who doesn't
> >enjoy the ARS, yet still feels compelled to post in a policy group for
> >amateur radio?
>
> If the epitome of amateur radio experience is morse code use on
> the air, then the "stick poking" charge is valid.

Or if thousands and thousands of amateur radio operators worldwide spend
part of their time, much of their time or just a little of their time,
the "stick poking" charge is valid.

> Unfortunately, the "expertise" self-description of use of morse code
> as the paramount method of communication is a label applied by
> only the PCTA regulars in this chatroom. It is virtual, not real.

Only a couple of regulars here consider morse use to be "paramount".
Many others use a variety of modes available to them. At least two
posters in the newsgroup don't have any modes at all available to them
on the ham bands.

> Those who feel "injured" or even "outraged" by a demonstrated non-
> love of morse code should recognize they are not "leaders" of
> standards or excellence except within their own clique/cult.
>
> > It's a free newsgroup, you're welcome to post here regardless of your
> >inclination. But if you are confused as to hams can possibly enjoy their
> >chosen hobby, allow how some hams have a little trouble understanding
> >why you would find any point in being interested when you aren't interested
>
> In order to GET INTO legal amateur radio on frequencies below
> 30 MHz it is necessary to demonstrate International Morse Code

> proficiency as part of the amateur radio license test. Since a
> morse code test had been part of the test since the first US radio
> regulatory agency of 1914 and never removed for privileges below
> 30 MHz, it means that every single US radio amateur with such
> privileges is/was code-tested.

No kidding, Len? You're a regular fountain of information.

> That, by itself, is not a reason for
> the continued existance of the code test...or that "all" radio amateurs
> "enjoy their hobby."

Good thing, too. That isn't the sole reason for the existence of a
morse test.

> It is a misuse of logic to equate "interest" with morse code skill or as
> some de facto "affirmation of devotion to standards/tradition" to be
> demonstrated. Morse code testing is only a legal requirement to get
> into the hobby. Only one other radio service in the USA requires such
> skill and it is a commercial service.

So you're telling us that we can be HF licensed hams or commercial ops?
Great news!

> The false charges of "hate" or "intolerance" leveled against those who
> are NCTAs indicate only that PCTAs using such phrases are simply
> trying to heckle, abuse, bully NCTAs for not living up to their personal
> standards. See Robeson, Heil, Carroll, Roll, et al, statements in here
> in public view.

I've never leveled charges of hate or intolerance at you, Len. You must
be making this stuff up as you go along. Can you provide one of my
statements to that effect since you say they are in public view?

> >> I am not a "Ham".
> >
> > Now there is a real surprise!
>
> The label of "ham" originally came from professional ratiotelegraphers
> regarding amateurs. It was a derogatory term used by pros.

It is not known for certain how the term came to be used. We have only
several theories.

> Those radio amateurs who remain steadfast in living a hobby lifestyle
> of yesteryear generally express antipathy towards radio professionals.

Your latest straw man? I don't know any radio amateurs who live a hobby
lifestyle of yesteryear and I know hundred and hundreds of hams. I
don't know any of them who exhibit antipathy toward radio
professionals. Maybe this is a thing reserved for you alone.

> It should be rather obvious that "ham" is a contraction of the word
> "amateur" sufficient that it does not contain emotional baggage

> referring to an amateur status. Radio amateurs often consider
> themselves "professional" in their amateur radio activities just by
> virtue of tenure and activity.

I don't know any radio amateurs who believe themselves to be
professional radio amateurs by virtue of anything. You ARE making this
stuff up, aren't you?

> Unless they derive income from such
> amateur radio activities, they are not, legally, professionals.

No kidding?

> >> I am not a cult follower.
> >
> > But you must have enough free time to constantly post in a group in
> which
> >you have avowed disinterest.
>
> Again, false charges. Several of them.
>
> "Interest" does not require absolute belief-obeyance of standards and
> practices as demanded by a minority of amateur radio licensees or
> the largest US amateur radio national membership organization.

Yeah, there must be loads of guys interested up to the point where they
actually have to meet the licensing requirements for becoming a radio
amateur.

> Strict, near absolute adherence to outdated standards and practices
> in a hobby activity is seen by many as a "cult following."

Straw man number two? Which radio amateurs adhere to the outdated
standards and practices? Who sees such as a "cult following"?

> Especially
> so when such cult members demand keeping regulations carrying
> the code test as a pass-fail test element...simply because they
> personally chose to take such a test.

Izzat why radio amateurs want to keep the morse test around--because
they chose to take the morse test? This might be straw man number
three. Sorry, you can't call 'em "cult members". You haven't been able
to prop up an earlier straw man.

> Those who demand to keep
> the standards and practices of their youth for all those yet to come
> have not demonstrated any viable reason for such regulation retention
> other than they did it, therefore others must do so also. That is a
> rather obvious indicator of a "cult following."

Not everyone became a radio amateur in his youth. Numerous reasons have
been provided other than those you've provided but you have chosen to
reject them.

That makes them no less valid. I think you might have joined one of
those anti-morse cults, Leonardo.

> "Constantly" using "free time" to post opposing opinions is invalid.
> That is merely emotionally-loaded phrasing based on personal
> perceptions...an effort to heckle another of opposing opinion and to
> self-righteously claim their way is "the only way." It isn't the only
> way.

Quit heckling others of an opposing opinion and telling us what isn't
the way.

(snip)

> Leonard H. Anderson
> Life Member IEEE
> ex-RA16408336, US Army 1952-1960

David E. Heil K8MN
Life Member ARRL
Life Member QCWA
ex-AF12832692, US Air Force 1968-72

517. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 06:22:42 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021110012242.25792.00000924@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 54757

In article <usqchlc238j77@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>> Yet, from Larry himself we have many times been witness to his declaration
>> of his capability to succeed further in life--he just "chooses" to remain
>a
>> bus driver; even though he has all this esteemed HR background, his
>> successes and potential could-have-beens-if-they'd-just-let-him in the
>> military--you know...on and on.

Kim:

I've recently turned down two offers for HR positions -- one in NY and
another in Indianapolis. Neither pays as well as my present job, and
would require me to relocate at my expense -- in the case of NY, to a
state where I don't have any wish to live.

>> Well, Larry. Question is, what makes it so OK for you to "just stay"
>where
>> you are even though you could be more;

Could be "more" what, Kim? More dissatisfied with my job? More in
debt? More likely to have to dig my car out of a snowbank every morning
for four months of the year?

>when it's not OK for people to
>choose
>> what of amateur radio they will get involved with?

I don't recall ever making any such statement, Kim. Provide the usual
quotes. If not, you're lying, as usual.

>>What makes you so sure
>> we don't know "everything about your abilities as a capable and effective"
>> human being when you've chosen to go just so far--even though you profess
>to
>> have the capability to go further? And, don't relate it to "whining"
>> because if you don't think you're whining when you note how incapable your
>> superiors are/were of recognizing your attributes, you're simply choosing
>to
>> ignore the fact that you're whining yourself.

Kim, while I was in the Air Force, I did my own share of whining -- but
because I was already surrounded by whiners, there was no way to be
heard above the din! One of our favorite jokes in the AF was "What is the
difference between a pilot like a jet engine? Because when the plane lands,
the jet engine stops whining!"

>> I think we're getting to know more of Larry than most of us would really
>> like to... I'd like to see that answer, Larry.
>>
>> Kim W5TIT

I hope you weren't disappointed, Kim.

73 de Larry, K3LT

518. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 06:22:44 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021110012244.25792.00000925@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 54758

In article <usqck7s5lo2aa8@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>> > > I
>> > > just can't subscribe to this idiot ideal put forth by some here
>> > > that if you don't use Morse code you are not a real ham or somehow
>> > > your are inferior to those who do.

I'm not sure who originally posted the above, however, here's my reply.

It is possible that if you don't use the Morse Code, you can be a "real ham"
and not be inferior to those who do. However, it's not very likely.

>> > > Larry seems to think because of
>> > > his code skills he is some kind of superior ham above everyone
>> > > else.

No, just superior to those hams who, for whatever reasons, cannot be
bothered to learn the code at a useful proficiency level. I have clearly
superior communications capabilities over them -- without regard to
any technical skill they claim to have (but usually don't).

>> > No, just more qualified as a ham than any ham who can't understand
>>> that an additional well-adapted, very efficient mode is potentially a
>>>valuable asset to the ARS, and not useless just because it's "old".

Precisely. Single sideband and all other voice modes are "old," but they're
never made an issue of with regard to their technical relevance. Why
is that?

>> No, it's pretty much that Larry only has ham radio to make him "*feel*"
>> [emphasis on feel] superior to others. He isn't. Let's use one of the
>> Larry-style impossible scenarios: Imagine if every radio amateur could
>know
>> Larry--as he has portrayed himself here in the newsgroup (he may be an
>> entirely different person face-to-face). Now, imagine that most radio
>> amateurs are quite capable of using and understanding CW. Now, imagine
>the
>> attitude of most radio amateurs when they hear a CW signal coming from
>K3LT
>> in an emergency situation and how willing most radio amateurs are going to
>> be to welcome his input with open arms and *know* that he is capable of
>> contributing positively to the scene.
>>
>> Yeah, like I've said before: Larry may "know" CW. Larry doesn't portray
>> himself as someone I'd personally like to have active in a disaster.

How do you know that Kim? In any event, I hope you never get a chance
to find out!

>>Fact
>> is, I'd pretty much prefer to fall off a cliff rather than deal with the
>> likes of a K3LT.

Please, Kim, don't do anything like that just to demonstrate your dislike for
li'l 'ole Moi.

>>He may be an effective *mode* user. He is *NOT* an
>> effective communicator.

Somehow, Kim, I don't consider *you* to be the final judge of that.
I rather suspect that nobody else in this newsgroup does, either.

>> Same for you, by the way, Dick.

I'm sure Dick's not losing any sleep over your opinion of him, either.

>> Kim W5TIT
>>
>>
>
>Welp, and lessee again. No response, again. 'Smatta, Larry? Cat got yer
>tongue?

Nope. I've been taking a long vacation up in New York with my family.

73 de Larry, K3LT

519. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 07:34:48 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021110023448.19671.00000015@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 54759

>Subject: Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 11/9/02 11:28 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3DCDEE58.277998E@earthlink.net>

>No kidding, Len? You're a regular fountain of information.

You mean Lennie is that little cherub in the park that
is...uhhhh..."voiding" in the pond?

Why am I not surprised?

73

Steve, K4YZ

520. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 11:34:47 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021110063447.21134.00003763@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 54760

>Subject: Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?
>From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
>Date: 11/9/02 3:44 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <f45722ac.0211091344.76a774b8@posting.google.com>

>
>I'd not engage any of you clowns on the air. I don't trust that your
>rudeness here would translate to anything but rudeness on the air.

What "rude", Brian?

You incessantly insist on demonstrating a complete lack of awareness of certain operating and practice matters, then get indignant when you get "called" on it.

Sorry you think that's "rude", but if YOU insist on taking a dump on your own shoes, please forgive me for laughing at you.

You DO make it SOOOOOOOO easy.

73

Steve, K4YZ

PS: Happy Veteran's Day

521. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 12:32:19 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211100432.6c6ed498@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54761

brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0211091857.7246afa4@posting.google.com>...
> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0211091025.d1310da@posting.google.com>...
> > Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<3DCC2C92.8060004@psu.edu>...
> > > N2EY wrote:
> > > > In article <20021107195249.22144.00002232@mb-cv.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com
> > > > (Vshah101) writes:
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >>>An Invitation to CW Radiosport
> > > >>
> > > >>Education is nice, but how is contesting a "sport"?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > It's a competitive activity where skill and endurance are tested.
> > >
> > > Speaking of endurance, how come every time I'm on a run, I have to pee?
> >
> > Because you're a contester and you're being tested. NEVER drop a run.
> > EVER. Get a bucket like the rest of us use. Get a "pilot's relief
> > tube". And Robeson shove yer Foleys SIDEWAYS!
> >
> >
> > >
> > > - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> > w3rv lower case Prostate surgery victim. Foleys . . . #$@&**!
>
> Impotent?

Bend over boy.

522. Re: RP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 13:56:32 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211100556.5fcde6dd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54762

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20021109221041.05087.00000423@mb-bj.aol.com>...
> In article <aqhigibkk2@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>
> (N2EY wrote):
>
> >> Howcum the writtens haven't been challenged on handicapped grounds?
> >> Some could claim they are unnecessarily discriminatory against
> >> non-techno types.
> >
> >Being a non-techno type isn't viewed anywhere or by anybody
> >as being a handicap.
>
> Let me expand on this a bit.
>
> Most people don't find the written tests to be impossibly difficult. But there
> are some
> people who have learning disabilities which make the writtens much more
> difficult.

Jim, we've got a functional illiterate on here who recently passed his Extra.

523. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 14:04:46 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211100604.6a96da1f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54763

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0211092029.e98aa5b@posting.google.com>...
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0211091344.76a774b8@posting.google.com>...
> > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021109093541.02922.00002405@mb-dd.aol.com>...
> > > >Subject: Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?
> > > >From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
> > > >Date: 11/9/02 4:54 AM Central Standard Time
> > > >Message-id: <d22b54c3.0211090254.1dd40179@posting.google.com>
>
> > > >Welp that being that case and you being a REAL HAM wih a REAL LIFE we
> > > >oughta be able to latch up on the air right? It's only a 400 mile hop,
> > > >how easy izzat? Name the freq & mode Brainiac. Or, after years of
> > > >BSing here instead of doing REAL RADIO, are you still trying to figger
> > > >out how to put up and feed a silly piece of wire?
> > >
> > > I'd like to be in on that QSO! Maybe we can get his Left Coast mentor to
> > > fire up that Part 15 rig and join in...???
> > >
> > > 73
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > I'd not engage any of you clowns on the air. I don't trust that your
> > rudeness here would translate to anything but rudeness on the air.
>
> OK, there it is. The only "band/mode" Brainiac runs is RRAP. Thought so. End of.

No, not end of. I'll not leave here. Your bullying will not chase me
away. I'm here for the rest of your life.

Meanwhile, do you consider yourself rude on the air, also? Can you
cite an instance when you jammed or tried to disrupt another's
communication?

524. Re: ARNewsline 1317 - Nov 8 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 15:27:15 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqlts9$62v$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54764
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Sounds like a democrat trying to explain the election to me.

Dan/W4NTI

"Lloyd" <Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm> wrote in message
news:002023.291982111@taranews.net...
> On 10 Nov 2002 05:20:23 GMT, Radionews <radionews@aol.com> wrote:
> > Last year at this time there were nearly 683,000 hams licensed by the
FCC. As
> > of October this year, there are 2235 fewer--but numbers are not always
what
> > they seem. The reality is that ham radio in the United States is
growing once
> > again.
>
> There are 2235 fewer hams than last year, but "ham radio is growing once
> again." hahahahahahaha! what has KB4KCH been smoking?

525. Re: MURS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 15:28:26 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqltup$mq1$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54765

Lets talk it up.

Dan/W4NTI

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021109161314.28980.00000296@mb-ba.aol.com...
> >Perhaps we could get the CBplussers to follow also?
> >
> >Dan/W4NTI
>
> Not a Bad Idea Dan.

526. Re: MURS

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 16:03:31 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021110110331.28187.00000384@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 54766

>Lets talk it up.
>
>Dan/W4NTI

I think thats a Good Idea. With all the good FM Commercial units available with 50 to 100 Watts output, those Freqs could be put to good use for Truckers and CBplussers. Most of the Radios can be easily set on any Freq. And of course its Illegal to push the PTT button on the Mic, but these Commercial units have great Receivers.

527. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 16:29:32 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021110112932.21948.00003196@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 54767

>Subject: Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?
>From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
>Date: 11/10/02 8:04 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <f45722ac.0211100604.6a96da1f@posting.google.com>

>Can you
>cite an instance when you jammed or tried to disrupt another's
>communication?

(This is freakin' hillarious...compounded by Brian's lack of exactly what
he said...)

Can you prove he didn't, Brian...???

Bwwwhhahahahahahahahahaha!!!!!!

Steve

528. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 17:14:34 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <ust5d4ma2qf14d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54769

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021109111542.25510.00000214@mb-ch.aol.com...
> >Good grief, man, how much alcohol *have* you consumed in your life?
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
>
> Probably not enough to look at your TITS
>

Oh, I'm sure your imagination serves you well. One would suppose
something's got to.

Kim W5TIT

529. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 17:15:39 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <ust5f5epr4d262@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54770

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021109111922.25510.00000215@mb-ch.aol.com...
> >Apply yourself, Waddles...use whatever brain cells you have left.
>
> Well TIT, why dont you apply yourself and get a REAL HAM LICENSE
>
> >You see, those trained in Emergency
> >Communication are *prepared* with what they can contribute--but they may
> >very well not have anything "strapped to" their body at all times.
> >
>
> And Im sure most are not HAMS
>
>

Man, I'll tell ya what. I don't know if you were ever "one of those" crappy
CBers that everyone loves to hate--but you sure do act like you were.

Kim W5TIT

530. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 17:16:52 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <ust5heh4nmdb80@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54771

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021109112303.25510.00000216@mb-ch.aol.com...
> . A beginners licenses limited for
> >safety reasons and material written to perhaps the 8th grade level,
> >and a full license.
>
> Well you got your wish, thats what we have now. Well maybe not to the 8th
grade
> level, more like 3rd or 4th Grade.
>

And, we all know you'd recognize the 3rd/4th grade level before you'd
recognize the 8th grade level...

Kim W5TIT

531. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 17:17:42 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021110121742.00704.00000998@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 54768

In article <f45722ac.0211091905.15ce86d@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>> Well TIT, why dont you apply yourself and get a REAL HAM LICENSE
>>
>> >You see, those trained in Emergency
>> >Communication are *prepared* with what they can contribute--but they may
>> >very well not have anything "strapped to" their body at all times.
>> >
>>
>> And Im sure most are not HAMS
>
>Broose, you musta missd it, but she's got a real ham call. That means
>she's got a real ham license.

Brian:

There are no more Real Ham(tm) licenses. Only dumbed-down, slow-code,
fake Ham Wannabe licenses. The last Real Ham(tm) (General or above)
was licensed on April 14, 2000.

>I didn't see you're call under the CW contacts by K0HB. Whatsaaa
>matter?

You didn't see mine, or a lot of other Real Ham(tm) calls, either.
Point???

73 de Larry, K3LT

532. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 17:29:21 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <ust68schbnta87@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54772

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021110012242.25792.00000924@mb-fc.aol.com...
> In article <usqchlc238j77@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>
> >> Yet, from Larry himself we have many times been witness to his
declaration
> >> of his capability to succeed further in life--he just "chooses" to
remain
> >a
> >> bus driver; even though he has all this esteemed HR background, his
> >> successes and potential could-have-beens-if-they'd-just-let-him in the
> >> military--you know...on and on.
>
> Kim:
>
> I've recently turned down two offers for HR positions -- one in NY and
> another in Indianapolis. Neither pays as well as my present job, and
> would require me to relocate at my expense -- in the case of NY, to a
> state where I don't have any wish to live.
>
> >> Well, Larry. Question is, what makes it so OK for you to "just stay"
> >where
> >> you are even though you could be more;
>
> Could be "more" what, Kim? More dissatisfied with my job? More in
> debt? More likely to have to dig my car out of a snowbank every morning
> for four months of the year?
>
> >when it's not OK for people to
> >choose
> >> what of amateur radio they will get involved with?
>
> I don't recall ever making any such statement, Kim. Provide the usual
> quotes. If not, you're lying, as usual.
>
> >>What makes you so sure
> >> we don't know "everything about your abilities as a capable and
effective"
> >> human being when you've chosen to go just so far--even though you
profess
> >to
> >> have the capability to go further? And, don't relate it to "whining"
> >> because if you don't think you're whining when you note how incapable

your
> >> superiors are/were of recognizing your attributes, you're simply
choosing
> >to
> >> ignore the fact that you're whining yourself.
>
> Kim, while I was in the Air Force, I did my own share of whining -- but
> because I was already surrounded by whiners, there was no way to be
> heard above the din! One of our favorite jokes in the AF was "What is the
> difference between a pilot like a jet engine? Because when the plane
lands,
> the jet engine stops whining!"
>
> >> I think we're getting to know more of Larry than most of us would
really
> >> like to... I'd like to see that answer, Larry.
> >>
> >> Kim W5TIT
>
> I hope you weren't disappointed, Kim.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>

In what? You, or your answers? You are an eternal disappointment to me,
Larry. Your answers are pat, practiced, and boring.

Kim W5TIT

533. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 17:34:17 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <ust6i3inhjmgfa@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54773

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021110012244.25792.00000925@mb-fc.aol.com...
> In article <usqck7s5lo2aa8@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>
> >> > > I
> >> > > just can't subscribe to this idiot ideal put forth by some here
> >> > > that if you don't use Morse code you are not a real ham or somehow
> >> > > your are inferior to those who do.
>
> I'm not sure who originally posted the above, however, here's my reply.
>
> It is possible that if you don't use the Morse Code, you can be a "real
ham"
> and not be inferior to those who do. However, it's not very likely.
>
>
> >> > > Larry seems to think because of
> >> > > his code skills he is some kind of superior ham above everyone
> >> > > else.
>
> No, just superior to those hams who, for whatever reasons, cannot be
> bothered to learn the code at a useful proficiency level. I have clearly
> superior communications capabilities over them -- without regard to
> any technical skill they claim to have (but usually don't).
>

Ya just asked me in another post to provide you with real examples, Larry.
Well, though it will be far above your intelligence to comprehend, the above
is quite a typical example. So, I'll ask the question again: then why don't
you apply yourself in your career...never mind the excuses of where you do
or don't want to live, etc.? *WHY* is it OK for you accept limitations you
have on yourself, but it's not OK for someone--a ham radio operator in this
case--to decide that they don't wish to expend the time to learn CW at a
"useful proficiency level."

Go ahead, let's see you shooting yourself in the foot--yet again.

Kim W5TIT

534. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 17:54:36 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021110125436.10854.00006393@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 54775

>And, we all know you'd recognize the 3rd/4th grade level before you'd
>recognize the 8th grade level...
>
>Kim W5TIT

After being around all you CBplussers, it has become very easy to recognize

535. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 17:55:05 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211100955.3ab86242@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54774

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021110063447.21134.00003763@mb-fx.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?
> >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> >Date: 11/9/02 3:44 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <f45722ac.0211091344.76a774b8@posting.google.com>
>
> >
> >I'd not engage any of you clowns on the air. I don't trust that your
> >rudeness here would translate to anything but rudeness on the air.
>
> What "rude", Brian?
>
> You incessantly insist on demonstrating a complete lack of awareness of
> certain operating and practice matters, then get indignant when you get
> "called" on it.
>
> Sorry you think that's "rude", but if YOU insist on taking a dump on your
> own shoes, please forgive me for laughing at you.
>
> You DO make it SOOOOOOOO easy.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
> PS: Happy Veteran's Day

Like when Kelly thought I was going to put up an antenna at HIS house?

You just don't like my message: no unnecessary, superfluous, or
arbitrary licensing regulations. Just because you jumped through all
the stupid hoops, means we have to keep those stupid hoops so you
won't feel as though you wasted your time. It really is that simple.

So you pick, pick, pick anything I have to death.

Functional illiterate Bruce and bigot Larry are your ally. You've
even turned on Hans.

Now you see Masa behind every post.

You're whacko!

536. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 17:58:04 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021110125804.10854.00006394@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 54776

>Oh, I'm sure your imagination serves you well.

Yes your right, can you say Jersey, or Heffer.

537. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 17:59:45 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021110125945.10854.00006395@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 54777

>Man, I'll tell ya what. I don't know if you were ever "one of those" crappy
>CBers that everyone loves to hate--but you sure do act like you were.
>
>Kim W5TIT

No I wasnt, but it sure sounds like you know a Few of them.

538. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 18:03:16 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021110130316.10779.00006287@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 54778

>You just don't like my message: no unnecessary, superfluous, or
>arbitrary licensing regulations.

Isnt sad how this Wanna B Ham Can never become a real Ham, all he can do is try and Justify his CBplusser Status.

539. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 18:06:34 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <ust81b5fek9l42@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54779

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021110012244.25792.00000925@mb-fc.aol.com...

> In article <usqck7s5lo2aa8@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
<snip>
> >> Yeah, like I've said before: Larry may "know" CW. Larry doesn't
portray
> >> himself as someone I'd personally like to have active in a disaster.

I can say without a doubt that as the R.A.C.E.S. Officer for the
Orange County (Indiana) Emergency Management Agency.
If there was a disaster here, and I needed a good CW operator,
I would jump at the chance to have Larry volunteer his time.
When you have a need for people to do a job in an emergency,
you don't pick and choose them by their personality, you pick
them for two reasons only, their ABILITY and their
AVAILABILITY!

--
W.W. Warren - KB9TMP
R.A.C.E.S. Officer - 59-605
Orange County E.M.A.

540. VHF R/C model control in Canada?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 18:38:24 UTC
From: Jean-Fran&#65533;ois Joly <va2jfj@rac.ca>
Message-ID: <xlxz9.1068$md7.91653@news20.bellglobal.com>
Source record: 54780
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hello!

I was wondering, I read that here in Canada it is permitted to control r/c models on frequencies from 30MHz and up. Would that mean I could use the 2 meter band to control models or are there anymore restrictions?

Thanks,
Jean-Fran&#65533;ois

541. Re: CW WHINERS SHUT THE HELL UP !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 20:36:00 UTC
From: Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92C29CB9D324Felektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 54781

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in
news:aqj7i1atf1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net:

> Thank you. I noticed that only you and LROD noticed that.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> news:20021109002326.08236.00001012@mb-cg.aol.com...
>> In article <aqhg84$b4c$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
>> <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> writes:
>>
>> >
>> >'Americans and the British...the same people seperated by a common
>> >language'
>> >
>> >George S. Patton
>>
>> I believe that with a little research, you'll find that this quote is
>> correctly attributed to British playwright George Bernard Shaw, as
>> credited by Gen. Patton during a speech he made in England, and as
>> depicted in the movie "Patton."
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>>
>
>

Wrong nationality, though. He was an Irish citizen, not British.

73 de Alun, N3KIP, (G8VUK, G0VUK)

542. Re: RP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 20:58:17 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021110155817.20031.00000533@mb-cm.aol.com>
Source record: 54782

In article <20021109221041.05087.00000423@mb-bj.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <aqhigibkk2@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
><billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>
>(N2EY wrote):
>
>>> Howcum the writtens haven't been challenged on handicapped grounds?
>>> Some could claim they are unnecessarily discriminatory against
>>> non-techno types.
>>
>>Being a non-techno type isn't viewed anywhere or by anybody
>>as being a handicap.
>
>Let me expand on this a bit.
>
>Most people don't find the written tests to be impossibly difficult. But
>there are some
>people who have learning disabilities which make the writtens much more
>difficult. I
>elmered one guy who tried and failed the old Advanced written 9 times before
>I met
>him. His problem was that he just wasn't very good at math and formulas, and
>the old
>Advanced was full of 'em. I've known others who find memorizing things like
>band edges
>and mode designators to be extremely difficult. These people are not
>"stupid", "lazy" or
>"unmotivated" - they simply have specific learning disabilities.
>Intelligence is at least a seventh-order vector quantity, not a scalar one.

Most humans don't have any aptitude for on-off coding patterns
representing letters, numbers, or punctuation. If they had such
an aptitude, the armed forces of the US would not have had such
tests for military recruits for over two decades.

Most humans DO have a natural ability to perceive human sounds
and images, therefore they evolved the many written and spoken
languages of the world. Most humans DO have an aptitude for
abstract thought wherein they can communicate thoughts and
ideas and participate in knowledge sharing through human
languages.

Laws of a land are written in the language of that land. In the
specific case of radio regulatory activities, the US FCC has
written tests for certain radio operator licenses. Answers to
such written tests form the basis for the agency to grant licenses.
in regard to knowledge of regulations, radio-electronics theory,
and common practices. If someone has a "handicap" whereby
they cannot convey knowledge for a test, it is unreasonable to
grant them responsibility inherent in a license on the basis of that
"handicap."

>>> I'd say the "pool of Morse operators" thing ended with the maritime
>>> changes. Not before.
>>
>>Works for me.
>
>End of 1997.

The end of the "pool need" happened, de facto, earlier than that.
GMDSS was planned largely BY the maritime community.
Maritime radio is a very small part of the overall international radio
services and activities.

>>So just which reason that wasn't accepted by the FCC
>>should not have been rejected?
>
>Here are some (just my opinion):
>
>1) License tests should be about the things the license allows the licensee to
>do, and that are commonly done by licensees. Lots of Morse code on the HF ham
>bands. And there's no reasonable way to test Morse skill on a written test.

By the same token, there's "no reasonable way" to test any other
mode/modulation on a written test. A written language cannot
effectively convey skill/ability with a spoken language, or typing (as
in data/teleprinter communications), or any other allocated mode or
modulation.

The FCC allows each and every US amateur radio licensee to use
any allocated mode on any allocated band. They do not mandate
a specific one to be used in lieu of any other mode/modulation. The
FCC thus allows ANY allocated mode/modulation.

Given the rules/regulations of optional choice, it is unreasonable to
suppost a specific mode test such as for International Morse Code
testing at any rate.

>2) Morse has a symbiotic relationship with simple radio equipment. Very useful
>for those who want to build and learn about basic radio.

"Symbiotic relationship?!?" Nonsense, gobbledegook babble.

There is NO "symbiote" living within any radio, simple or complex.
A radio is simply a bit of electronics hardware that operates on
principles of known physics. Any "magic" or "biological" aspects

of or with radio are purely subjective, emotional thoughts.

"Usefulness in building and learning radio" is not a regulatory item
or requirement in any Part of Title 47 CFR. The Communications
Act has not defined the FCC as any sort of "school" for learning
radio arts nor is it any academic achievement body whereby a
radio license is a certificate of learning.

>3) Hams using Morse are overwhelmingly well-behaved on the air while using the
>mode. Check the FCC enforcement actions involving licensed hams - practically
>all of them are for voice operation.

Morse code mode at a rate of 5 to 20 or even to 40 WPM does not
lend itself well to emotionally-laden words/phrases of inappropriate
behavior. It carries NO inflections, tonalities, or common human
clues available in common human visual-audible communications.
On-off monotonic codings are ill-suited to either insults or
expressions of any emotion.

>4) Morse is spectrum- and power-efficient, allowing hams to get the most out
of
>their HF bands without having to invest in data modes.

Yes and no. In terms of "simple" radio hardware, circuitry possible
with vacuum tube active devices and with electromechanical "data"
machinery, on-off codings ARE simpler. However, the "spectrum
efficiency" is related mainly to receivers employing narrowband
filters, those filters both complex and costly. On-off codings applied
to on-off keyed transmitters can result in rather broad bandwidths
without attention to turn-on and turn-off times of the carrier.

US radio amateurs are all allocated a number of bands ABOVE HF
where a great number of modes and modulations are allowed. As
many above HF as those at HF or high MF. By comparison, the
number of modes/modulations in HF or high MF is less than those
above HF.

As to ACTUAL "spectral efficiency," simple on-off codings are NOT
the most efficient. Information Theory has proven that since the
Shannon Laws were formulated back in 1947.

>Of course the FCC disagrees, and it's FCC opinion that makes the rules.

The FCC is not any sort of academic ruling body. It is simply a
regulatory agency tasked with regulating all US civil radio. The
FCC makes decisions on radio regulatory matters on the basis
of US citizens' (and some special interest groups) submitted
opinions. ALL US citizens, it should be noted.

>Do we need more proof of FCC fallibility? IOW, just because FCC believes it
>doesn't make it right, or good for the ARS. Just legal.

Do we need more proof of long-time radio amateurs' fallibility?
Just because some long-time radio amateurs have established

their own particular personal preferrences for "goodness" in US
amateur radio does not make them "right" for all others.

>>Many hams USE spanish, and other languages. There's
>>no reason or rational to require knowledge of Spanish.
>
>How many US hams use Spanish or other languages on the air, compared to how
>many use Morse on the air? The number is very small.

Irrelevant question-answer. It would be as meaningless to ask the
question in any Latin American country, about their own civil radio
regulatory agencies, as to whether they require a knowledge of
a primary language other than Spanish (Portuguese for Brazil). :-)

The "primary mode" on HF amateur bands is voice. More
communications is conveyed by voice than by on-off morse codings.

>>> Here's another point:
>>>
>>> One thing bedeviling the FCC is the continued existence of freebanders
>>> and cbers running excessive power and violating the rules. FCC would
>>> be much happier if all those folks got ham licenses, so FCC has an
>>> interest in making ham licenses easy to get. Thus the changes in both
>>> code and written test requirements over the past 20 years or so.
>>
>>I totally disagree that the FCC had any such expectation
>>or hope on that basis.
>
>Your opinion noted, Bill, but please consider the following possibility:
>
>Suppose, just suppose, my theory is correct, and FCC wants to reduce ham
>license requirements as much as possible in order to counteract the
>freebander/illegal cber problems. Do you think FCC would tell any of us about
>it? Of course not!

Speculating on speculations is a nonsense exercise.

If "illegal operators" were "bedeviling" the FCC, the FCC would have
acted long before this. Long-time radio amateurs seem to be the
ones "bedeviled" about the "hoardes of cbers running high power."
Long-time radio amateurs of 1958 were sorely vexed (some out-
right furious) that the FCC would dare to issue a license to anyone
on HF without a "legal test!" :-) The situation has continued with
new generations of pedestal-mounted "legal amateurs" rabidly
decrying these infidels of their territory on HF. Ho-hum.

The FCC is merely in the task of regulating ALL of US civil radio.
They are not tasked with promoting or demoting US amateur radio
nor are they there to say which is "good" and which is "bad" except
as defined in law. Since 1958 the FCC removed the need for written-
paper licenses on CB and increased the number of channels from 23
to 40. The FCC also created a new 72 MHz band for model R/C use

to expand the original Class C CB telecommand allocations.

As to the FCC "not telling us all" about anything, the contrary seems evident to anyone who has bothered to read their Reports and Orders or their Notices of Proposed Rulemaking on any radio service. They clearly indicate what their "reasons" are and how they come to certain decisions. If those certain decisions are not to personal preferrences, that is no cause to claim "conspiracy."

Len Anderson
Life Member IEEE

543. more nightly bootleggers on 75?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 21:22:42 UTC
From: juju bees <eddierabvtz3003@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3dcece23@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 54783
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Last night again "Orange Blossom" and one
other station (name only used ?) checked
in 3.860 at a little after 8:00 PM
without the benefit of a proper FCC
issued Amateur Station callsign.
I guess it's OK 4 anyone with a "political adjenda"
to transmit without a ham licence and the NCS on
this freq will check them righ it without any contest
as to their validity to use a ham transmitter...
Stick a fork in in? ham radio's traditional quality is going bye-bye?
Note also that 3.850 USB (+/1 10kc) seems to being used by
shrimp boaters along the Miss/La Gulf Coast also for chit-chat
w/profanity from midnite onward at times too..

"juju bees" <eddierbvtz3003@aol.com> wrote in message
news:3dcdd682@news.earthlink.net...
>
> "Rollo" <nobody@nowhere.com> wrote in message
> news:1105_1036894489@netnews.attbi.com...
> >
> > Gee, there's no KV4FZ in the FCC database.
> > You sure you aren't bullshitting?
> > Using a phony callsign is a bad thing, you know.
>
> "Phony callsigns" are a bad thing you say....??
>
> Apparently on ham radio of late it's OK. (?)
>
> 2 see what I mean, listen into the Eastern Regional Patriot's Net
> on LSB ham radio 3.860 mHz at 8:00 PM Eastern time.
> This net meets every night including weekends.
> There are occasionally one or two un-licenced "militia radio"
> stations that are acknowledged by he NCS, check in and then
> converse with regular hams on this Net, using tactical
> callsigns (non-ham radio calls) like "Orange Blossom" and
> similar monikers. This happens on an almost nightly basis.
> Been listening in for 2 months to all this and seems the
> FCC couldn't care less.
>
>

544. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 22:23:30 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211101423.38b8d39f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54784

brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0211100604.6a96da1f@posting.google.com>...
> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0211092029.e98aa5b@posting.google.com>...
> > brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0211091344.76a774b8@posting.google.com>...
> > > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021109093541.02922.00002405@mb-dd.aol.com>...
> > > > >Subject: Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?
> > > > >From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
> > > > >Date: 11/9/02 4:54 AM Central Standard Time
> > > > >Message-id: <d22b54c3.0211090254.1dd40179@posting.google.com>
>
> > > > >Welp that being that case and you being a REAL HAM wih a REAL LIFE we
> > > > >oughta be able to latch up on the air right? It's only a 400 mile hop,
> > > > >how easy izzat? Name the freq & mode Brainiac. Or, after years of
> > > > >BSing here instead of doing REAL RADIO, are you still trying to figger
> > > > >out how to put up and feed a silly piece of wire?
> > > >
> > > > I'd like to be in on that QSO! Maybe we can get his Left Coast mentor to
> > > > fire up that Part 15 rig and join in...???
> > > >
> > > > 73
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > I'd not engage any of you clowns on the air. I don't trust that your
> > > rudeness here would translate to anything but rudeness on the air.
> >
> > OK, there it is. The only "band/mode" Brainiac runs is RRAP. Thought so. End of.
>
>
> No, not end of. I'll not leave here. Your bullying will not chase me
> away.

Then howcum you're "afraid" of me "bullying" you on the air?? What's
the difference??

> I'm here for the rest of your life.

Your problem, I could care less where you spend yer life, here,
Somalia, in a latrine, who could possibly care?

>
> Meanwhile, do you consider yourself rude on the air, also? Can you

> cite an instance when you jammed or tried to disrupt another's
> communication?

Of course not numbnuts. Note that my proposed qso has a precedent in RRAP, the night an improbable group of both NCTA and PCTA types got on 7.037 and had a ball. You couldn't get into it then and you still can't.

OK, you can't copy cw, fine, neither can a lotta people. So I invite you to pick the mode for a bit of a repeat of that memoable event and you still can't cut it.

Because you're a WEENIE, radio and otherwise! The prototype RRAP WEENIE!

You better hang around here 'cause it's obviously as close as you can come to ham radio.

w3rv

545. Re: MURS Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 22:35:32 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ustnq5s39u3f92@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54785

Well, this sounds like an amateur griping, but if you check out MURS open, you'll find modded rigs being offered for sale (only 5 watts on MURS channels so far, but the direction is there). Also advice on using ham rigs on MURS and FRS. The usual "don't quote rules to me" along with the no harm done excuses. Once higher power stations start getting aboard (this shouldn't happen real soon, only once those channels start getting filled up) folks will then start running 'uppers' and 'lowers'. You'll then find them in the business only band along with (possibly, but there is a fair frequency jump) 2 meters. Stewart mentioned using 12 dBi gain antennas at both ends of a circuit along with 2 watt radios and stated that communications should be possible over 60 miles. This may well be true (how no one wanted to hear about scatter), but it would be cheaper to modify a couple of 50 watt 2 meter rigs and use a much cheaper 6 dBi vertical mounted up fairly well as opposed to using an expensive antenna, tower, and rotator. Whether this happens (quite likely) or not, the problem is that everyone is planning their own private wide-range communications system. Totally inconsistent with the traditional use of these frequencies. My guess as far as not wanting to get amateur licenses, that it isn't the amateurs nor the tests - my guess (and it is a personal opinion) is that these folks do NOT want to have to give a valid callsign as it makes them much more traceable.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Opie" <CrispyCritters@stirfry.com> wrote in message
news:aqkpelrsc0@pita.alt.net...
> May I connect an external antenna or power amplifier to my MURS radio?
>
> The FCC Rules permit connecting an external antenna to your MURS radio.
> However, the new FCC Rules will limit the height of your antenna to a
> maximum of 20 feet over the mounting structure (exclusive of the tower,
mast
> or pole on which it is mounted), or to 60 feet above ground, whichever is
> greater. (These are the same limits that apply to the original Citizens
Band
> Radio Service at 27 MHz.) [95.1315]
>
> What are the MURS channels?
>
> Channel (MHz) [95.632]
>
> 151.820 MHz
> 151.880 MHz
> 151.940 MHz
> 154.570 MHz

> 154.600 MHz
>
>
> Must I identify my MURS station or transmissions?
>
> You do not need to identify your MURS station by any particular callsign
or
> other designation.
>
> May I set my MURS radios up as a repeater station?
>
> The new MURS Rules will prohibit MURS repeaters. This prohibition will
> include both conventional repeaters (which retransmit a signal nearly
> simultaneously on a different frequency) and store-and-forward repeaters
> (which retransmit the signal after a time delay, often [but not
necessarily]
> on the same frequency). [95.1311]
>
> May I use my MURS radio to transmit data communications?
>
> Yes, so long as the emissions are authorized in 95.633 of the FCC Rules.
The
> maximum authorized bandwidth is 11.25 KHz on the three 151 MHz frequencies
> (151.820 MHz, 151.800 MHz and 151.940 MHz). Under the new rules, the
maximum
> authorized bandwidth will be 20.0 KHz on the two 154 MHz frequencies
> (154.570 MHz and 154.600 MHz). [95.631(
>
> What kind of range can I get from a MURS radio?
>
> At the 150 MHz frequencies of MURS, communications range is dependent on
> antenna height and on the surrounding environment. Range between two
> handheld MURS radios will vary, but should be between a half mile to
perhaps
> several miles (in open terrain with no obstacles). If you are using the
> radios inside a vehicle, the range will be somewhat less.
>
> An advantage of MURS is that you may connect an external antenna to your
> radio. Using an antenna mounted on the vehicle's roof, and communicating
> with another similar unit, you should expect to get at least a couple of
> miles (except in the most harsh conditions), and possibly up to ten miles
or
> more.
>
> Using a base station-type antenna, you should be able to communicate with
a
> vehicular-type MURS unit described in the previous paragraph over a range
of
> three to perhaps ten or fifteen miles. From that same base station, you
> might get two to six miles communicating with a MURS handheld radio.

>
> Base-to-base station communications should be possible over at least
several
> miles, perhaps up to twenty miles or more on a clear channel. However,
this
> kind of operation is not consistent with the traditional use of these
> frequencies for short-range base-to-mobile and mobile-to-mobile
> communications.
>
> You can check out http://www.provide.net/~prsg/murshome.htm for more
> information.
> Of course the CB'ers will soon find a way to trash out this new
service....
>
>

546. Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 22:53:49 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <1sBz9.5224$Aq5.566643@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54786

We're a diverse crowd of individualists, each with strongly held ideas which often conflict with other strongly held ideas, and we bicker and fight here like a crowd of step-siblings who fight each other, sometimes I think just for the pleasure of bickering. Odds are pretty good that underneath it all we have a lot more in common than we really want to acknowledge.

We're all (well, almost all) licensed hams, and most of us have passed at least a 5WPM Morse test.

I propose a Rrap net, each Wednesday evening at 0300UTC (10 PM EST, 9 PM CST, 8 PM MST, 7 PM PST) on 28.350, a QRG available to everyone who has passed the 5WPM Morse test. If there's other traffic on that freq, we can just move up to the first clear spot.

Be there, or be square.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

547. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 23:03:24 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021110180324.19883.00000029@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 54787

So only those that favor Morse code can participate in a policy net? This is very biased and unfair!

Both no coders and coders should be included.

>We're a diverse crowd of individualists, each with strongly held ideas which
>often conflict with other strongly held ideas, and we bicker and fight here
>like a crowd of step-siblings who fight each other, sometimes I think just
>for the pleasure of bickering. Odds are pretty good that underneath it all
>we have a lot more in common than we really want to acknowledge.
>
>We're all (well, almost all) licensed hams, and most of us have passed at
>least a 5WPM Morse test.
>
>I propose a Rrap net, each Wednesday evening at 0300UTC (10 PM EST, 9 PM
>CST, 8 PM MST, 7 PM PST) on 28.350, a QRG available to everyone who has
>passed the 5WPM Morse test. If there's other traffic on that freq, we can
>just move up to the first clear spot.
>
>Be there, or be square.
>

548. Re: ARNewsline 1317 - Nov 8 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 23:09:41 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0211102305360.1024-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 54788

On 10 Nov 2002, Radionews wrote:
>Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1317 – November 8, 2002

That's right - keep spamming this newgroup with your crap (instead of "rec.radio.info" where it's supposed to go - per the "rec.radio" charter). [And they can't even get it correct - look at the character set problems above!]

Still paying yourself that salary, ITF? That's the only, real explanation of why this could cost you $1,000.00/month, while the competing service from Albany, NY ("TWIAR") can do it with $100.00/month.

549. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 23:33:04 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <Q0Cz9.5283$Aq5.572553@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54789

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote

> So only those that favor Morse code can participate in a policy net? This
is
> very biased and unfair!
>
> Both no coders and coders should be included.

Take it up with the FCC.

In any case, Technician licensees and unlicensed persons are welcome to participate as 3rd party participants. Go to QRZ.COM and do a search on your ZIP code and find an HF licensee who will host you.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

550. Re: MURS Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 23:38:30 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h5dnaw2.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 54790

On Sun, 10 Nov 2002 17:35:32 -0500, Jim Hampton wrote:

>my guess (and it is a personal opinion) is that these folks do NOT
>want to have to give a valid callsign as it makes them much more
>traceable.

When the Powers-That-Be decided to eliminate the CB license w/address, and later eliminated the station location from the amateur license records, some of us in the field enforcement business moaned amd groaned that this would make it harder to enforce compliance.

Cooler heads pointed out that we would have to DF the station for positive identification anyhow, and the use of a call sign or the presence of an address in a data base did not make the DF task any easier or more accurate.

In an enforcement action relying on the call sign alone without a DF, the licensee could easily claim the Dude Defense - "some other dude was using my call sign". Whether it would hold up or not is another story. Judges are not that stupid, and an authenticated recording presented as evidence usually cooks the violator's goose.

The main advantage of holding the license (or complying with the rules for blanket authorization as in CB, MURS, and FRS) is to avoid the substantial monetary penalties for unauthorized operation and/or the unannounced visit from the U S Marshal with a Search and Seizure Warrant if the FCC gets ticked off enough.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

551. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 23:46:33 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <ustsc54hmp4o90@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54792

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021110121742.00704.00000998@mb-ba.aol.com...
> In article <f45722ac.0211091905.15ce86d@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >> Well TIT, why dont you apply yourself and get a REAL HAM LICENSE
> >>
> >> >You see, those trained in Emergency
> >> >Communication are *prepared* with what they can contribute--but they
may
> >> >very well not have anything "strapped to" their body at all times.
> >> >
> >>
> >> And Im sure most are not HAMS
> >
> >Broose, you musta missd it, but she's got a real ham call. That means
> >she's got a real ham license.
>
> Brian:
>
> There are no more Real Ham(tm) licenses. Only dumbed-down, slow-code,
> fake Ham Wannabe licenses. The last Real Ham(tm) (General or above)
> was licensed on April 14, 2000.
>

Sorry, Larry. From my perspective, you are quite wrong. I have just as real a ham license as yours. That you choose to think of it differently is one of Larry's World concepts. So, you can choose to think however you wish; though you have no impact or decision-making power on ham radio licensees. Your dogma about "dumbed-down" is just that: dogma. It is unsubstantiated, insupportable, and falls outside the guidelines and rules as set forth by the governmental agency that issues amateur radio licenses.

Dream on, maybe Larry's World will become a reality for you some day.

Kim W5TIT

552. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 23:47:44 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <ustseemsg2nfab@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54791

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021110125436.10854.00006393@mb-mq.aol.com...
> >And, we all know you'd recognize the 3rd/4th grade level before you'd
> >recognize the 8th grade level...
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
>
> After being around all you CBplussers, it has become very easy to
recognize

ROFLMAO!!! You dig holes as quickly and deeply as good 'ol Larry.

Kim W5TIT

553. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 23:53:17 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <ustsooeonckq11@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54793

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021110125804.10854.00006394@mb-mq.aol.com...
> >Oh, I'm sure your imagination serves you well.
>
> Yes your right, can you say Jersey, or Heffer.

That's spelled H-E-I-F-E-R, Mr.
I-display-how-stupid-I-am-every-time-I-touch-a-key. Ya know, Waddles? If you would take just a few moments to review your posts *before* you send them, you might be able to fake us out and make us think you're at least a little smart.

I usually think it's pretty cheesy and petty of people to pick on typing/grammatical errors when they have no other recourse to argue with. But I've got loads of recourse with you and you are just too funny. At least Dick and Larry have good typing and spelling behind them.

Kim W5TIT

554. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 23:57:20 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <ustt0bo1ocvo56@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54794

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021110125945.10854.00006395@mb-mq.aol.com...
> >Man, I'll tell ya what. I don't know if you were ever "one of those"
crappy
> >CBers that everyone loves to hate--but you sure do act like you were.
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
>
> No I wasnt, but it sure sounds like you know a Few of them.
>

I did, and still do, have a CB radio. However, by what standards are you juding "them." If one were to label all CB users based upon the nitwits, then wouldn't it stand that all amateur radio operators should be labeled based upon the likes of those frequencies on amateur radio that are so famous, such as those in the 80M segment? Or, based upon how you act here in this newsgroup?

Kim W5TIT

555. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-10 23:59:03 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <ustt3j40fu3f72@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54795

"KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message
news:ust81b5fek9l42@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> news:20021110012244.25792.00000925@mb-fc.aol.com...
>
> > In article <usqck7s5lo2aa8@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
> > <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
> <snip>
> > >> Yeah, like I've said before: Larry may "know" CW. Larry doesn't
> portray
> > >> himself as someone I'd personally like to have active in a disaster.
>
> I can say without a doubt that as the R.A.C.E.S. Officer for the
> Orange County (Indiana) Emergency Management Agency.
> If there was a disaster here, and I needed a good CW operator,
> I would jump at the chance to have Larry volunteer his time.
> When you have a need for people to do a job in an emergency,
> you don't pick and choose them by their personality, you pick
> them for two reasons only, their ABILITY and their
> AVAILABILITY!
>
> --
> W.W. Warren - KB9TMP
> R.A.C.E.S. Officer - 59-605
> Orange County E.M.A.
>
>

And, I disagree wholeheartedly with you. If I were in a net where I heard Larry sign on, I would expect that net to quickly degrade. So, I would not welcome his involvement.

Kim W5TIT

556. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 00:09:31 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <usttocq4ng7219@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54796

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021110180324.19883.00000029@mb-df.aol.com...
> So only those that favor Morse code can participate in a policy net? This
is
> very biased and unfair!
>
> Both no coders and coders should be included.
>

Uh, exactly what HF frequency would you suggest for the non-CW licensed folks? :) However, a huge effort would be to accommodate those who cannot get on HF by providing relay messages into the net from those HF stations who wish to and can hear local stations on non-CW licensed frequencies! heh heh

Kim W5TIT

> >
> >We're a diverse crowd of individualists, each with strongly held ideas
which
> >often conflict with other strongly held ideas, and we bicker and fight
here
> >like a crowd of step-siblings who fight each other, sometimes I think
just
> >for the pleasure of bickering. Odds are pretty good that underneath it
all
> >we have a lot more in common than we really want to acknowledge.
> >
> >We're all (well, almost all) licensed hams, and most of us have passed at
> >least a 5WPM Morse test.
> >
> >I propose a Rrap net, each Wednesday evening at 0300UTC (10 PM EST, 9 PM
> >CST, 8 PM MST, 7 PM PST) on 28.350, a QRG available to everyone who has
> >passed the 5WPM Morse test. If there's other traffic on that freq, we
can
> >just move up to the first clear spot.
> >
> >Be there, or be square.
> >
>
>

557. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 00:12:15 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <usttsaop4nsl3b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54797

"K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:Q0Cz9.5283$Aq5.572553@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote
>
> > So only those that favor Morse code can participate in a policy net?
This
> is
> > very biased and unfair!
> >
> > Both no coders and coders should be included.
>
> Take it up with the FCC.
>
> In any case, Technician licensees and unlicensed persons are welcome to
> participate as 3rd party participants. Go to QRZ.COM and do a search on
> your ZIP code and find an HF licensee who will host you.
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
> http://www.mnyarc.org
> http://www.k0bsa.org
>
>

In any case, I can't see a net like this being any kind of group discussion
I'd like to hear on HF. I can't imagine Larry being civil on the air to
me...oh, wait, Larry wouldn't be there any way...ROFLMAO...

Kim W5TIT

558. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 00:53:35 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021110195335.23999.00006055@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 54798

>OFLMAO!!! You dig holes as quickly and deeply as good 'ol Larry.
>
>Kim W5TIT
>

So TIT, when are you going to Post a Picture of your TITs on the Internet.
Maybe you could come up with a WEB Site with your TITS displayed next to your 2 Meter CBHT.

559. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 00:59:13 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021110195913.23999.00006058@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 54799

>That's spelled H-E-I-F-E-R

So you do know the correct spelling of Heffer, are you refered to as a Heffer.

>If
>you would take just a few moments to review your posts *before* you send
>them, you might be able to fake us out and make us think you're at least a
>little smart.

Nope wont happen, I prefer it this way.

>But I've got loads of recourse with you and you are just too funny.

Come on TIT show us your TITs so we can see how funny you are.

>At
>least Dick and Larry have good typing and spelling behind them.
>
>Kim W5TIT

Good, thats there choice.

560. Re: RP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 00:59:44 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqmvoc$c8k$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54800

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021109221041.05087.00000423@mb-bj.aol.com...
> In article <aqhigibkk2@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>
>
> (N2EY wrote):
>
> >> Howcum the writtens haven't been challenged on handicapped grounds?
> >> Some could claim they are unnecessarily discriminatory against
> >> non-techno types.
> >
> >Being a non-techno type isn't viewed anywhere or by anybody
> >as being a handicap.
>
> Let me expand on this a bit.
>
> Most people don't find the written tests to be impossibly difficult. But
there
> are some
> people who have learning disabilities which make the writtens much more
> difficult. I
> elmered one guy who tried and failed the old Advanced written 9 times
before I
> met him. His problem was that he just wasn't very good at
> math and formulas, and the old
> Advanced was full of 'em. I've known others who find memorizing things
like
> band edges and mode designators to be extremely difficult.
> These people are not "stupid", "lazy" or
> "unmotivated" - they simply have specific learning disabilities.
Intelligence
> is at least a seventh-order vector quantity, not a scalar one.

So? Even in schools, students with learning disabilities don't get a free
pass
on tests. They get extended test times, but they still have to pass the
same
test. We do the same thing for handicaped hams now...e.g. we can
read the test to a candidate who has vision problems.

> >> > > >Add to the above the fact that morse is largely a non-used mode
> >> > > >outside of amateur radio and any past justification for a "pool of
> >> > > >trained morse operators" goes up in smoke.
> >> > >

> >> > > The idea that Morse MUST be tested so that there is a reserve of
> >trained
> >> > > operators for other services was once valid, but not any more.
> >> >
> >> > Agreed.
> >>
> >> I'd say the "pool of Morse operators" thing ended with the maritime
> >> changes. Not before.
> >
> >Works for me.
>
> End of 1997.
> >
> >> > > But that is only
> >> > > one reason for a Morse test, and not one I've agreed with for at
> >> > > least 25 years.
> >> >
> >> > Any other reasons have been rejected by the FCC.
> >>
> >> FCC isn't infallible.
> >
> >So just which reason that wasn't accepted by the FCC
> >should not have been rejected?
>
> Here are some (just my opinion):
>
> 1) License tests should be about the things the license allows the
licensee to
> do, and that are commonly done by licensees. Lots of Morse code on the HF
ham
> bands. And there's no reasonable way to test Morse skill on a written
test.

So if a ham doesn't pass a morse test, maybe we should have
the rules say that ham shouldn't be allowed to operate morse.

> 2) Morse has a symbiotic relationship with simple radio equipment. Very
useful
> for those who want to build and learn about basic radio.

The relationship may be symbiotic forsome...BUT it is in NO way
needed for anyone to actually learn radio basics.

> 3) Hams using Morse are overwhelmingly well-behaved on the air while using
the
> mode. Check the FCC enforcement actions involving licensed hams -
practically
> all of them are for voice operation.

No such proof exists for that statement. The reality is that
it is far easier for the FCC to listen and hear violations when
voice is used vs morse.

> 4) Morse is spectrum- and power-efficient, allowing hams to get the most
out of
> their HF bands without having to invest in data modes.

So? That has been true for years yet hams are free
to NOT use morse at all. Indeed, if this argument had any
merit, then rules/regs should have called for use of morse
rather than voice for most communications.

> Of course the FCC disagrees, and it's FCC opinion that makes the rules.

You nailed it on that point.

> >> FCC gave us incentive licensing, repeater rules
> >> that were stricter than those for commercial services, 27 MHz cb, and
> >> lax enforcement. FCC also punishes hams for the misdeeds of nonhams
> >> (RF power amplifier restrictions).
>
> Do we need more proof of FCC fallibility? IOW, just because FCC believes
it
> doesn't make it right, or good for the ARS. Just legal.

If the FCC is wrong, just how/who makes that determination...and via
what mechanism. The courts are the arbiter of FCC rules/regs if
someone "appeals" FCC rulings, but that is not usually a path that
hams can take for $$$ reasons if nothing else.

> >> One thing many hams I know would like is a strap-on 100-150 W amp for
> >> the HF QRP rigs. 3-5 watts drive, 100-150 watts out. Run QRP when
> >> conditions permit and when you're in the field or on battery, kick in
> >> the amp when needed. Would be a big help in compliance with the
> >> minimum power rule, plus you don't lug the amp around in the field.
> >> But such amps are illegal unless homebrewed by a ham because a cber of
> >> freebander might misuse them. In fact, selling kits for such an amp is
> >> illegal.
> >
> >They aren't totally illegal. The limiting factor is when they can
> >be used in the 27-28MHz range.
>
> No, there's more to it than that. Even without 10-12 meter coverage, such
amps
> cannot be FCC approved for sale. Drive level is too low.

OK.

> >> Does this stop any cber or freebanders from running high power? Of
> >> course not, they just buy amps from vendors who flout the law. But FCC
> >> still thinks hams must not be restricted.
> >
> >Agreed.
> >
> Actually, that should read "hams should be restricted". Thanks for seeing
the
> intended meaning rather than the typo.

OK again.

> >> > > Fun historical fact: There were about 50,000 licensed US hams on
Pearl
> >> > Harbor
> >> > > day. About 25,000 of them served in our nation's armed services
during
> >> > WW2,
> >> > > where there Morse and technical skills were needed. Many thousands
of
> >> > others
> >> > > served in nonmilitary but war-related ways (merchant marine,
> >> > instructors at radio schools,
> >> > >development of things like radar and the proximity fuse, etc.)
> >> > >
> >> > > Yes, Morse is largely a non-used mode outside of amateur radio. But
> >it's
> >> > > extensively used in amateur radio, and it's amateur radio that
we're
> >> > talking about.
> >> >
> >> > But use alone, even if only in amateur radio, has NOT been accepted
> >> > by the FCC as a rational to continue code testing.
> >>
> >> See rant above about FCC logic wrt amateur rules.
> >
> >Many hams USE spanish, and other languages. There's
> >no reason or rational to require knowledge of Spanish.
>
> How many US hams use Spanish or other languages on the air, compared to
how
> many use Morse on the air? The number is very small.

The point is that no one is "required" to engage in contacts
at all, using any language or mode.

> Which brings up a question - is it possible for a person (say, a legal
resident
> alien intending to become a citizen) to get an FCC ham license if they do
not
> speak a word of English?

Not sure,maybe a VE out there has the answer.

> >> Here's another point:
> >>
> >> One thing bedeviling the FCC is the continued existence of freebanders
> >> and cbers running excessive power and violating the rules. FCC would
> >> be much happier if all those folks got ham licenses, so FCC has an
> >> interest in making ham licenses easy to get. Thus the changes in both
> >> code and written test requirements over the past 20 years or so.
> >
> >I totally disagree that the FCC had any such expectation

> >or hope on that basis.
>
> Your opinion noted, Bill, but please consider the following possibility:
>
> Suppose, just suppose, my theory is correct, and FCC wants to reduce ham
> license requirements as much as possible in order to counteract the
> freebander/illegal cber problems. Do you think FCC would tell any of us
about
> it? Of course not!

Conspiracy Theory 101 :-)

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

561. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 01:05:52 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021110200552.23999.00006060@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 54701

>I did, and still do, have a CB radio

Thats not a surprise.

>Or, based upon how you act here
>in this newsgroup?
>
>Kim W5TIT

The way I act here, has no bearing on my actions on the Air, this Newsgroup is nothing more that a place for cheap laughs.

562. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 01:07:47 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <DpDz9.5440$Aq5.586351@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54702

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote

>
> In any case, I can't see a net like this being any kind of group
discussion
> I'd like to hear on HF. I can't imagine Larry being civil on the air to
> me...oh, wait, Larry wouldn't be there any way...ROFLMAO...
>

We already have had a similar "net" one evening about 2 years ago. The participants were Cecil Moore, Jim Kelley, Brian Kelley, Dick Carroll, and me. At some time or other over the years on rrap I have disagreed violently on some point with each of these guys, but since the short chats we had that evening I find it a lot easier to understand their views (even if we still violently disagree on some points).

You can obviously opt out or even eavesdrop at your receiver and ROTFLYAO, but it will be a loss for all of us.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

563. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 01:08:33 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021110200833.23999.00006062@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 54703

>If I were in a net where I heard
>Larry sign on, I would expect that net to quickly degrade. So, I would not
>welcome his involvement.
>
>Kim W5TIT
>

What you would prefer would be 10-4s and other stupidity from your CBplusser
Buddies. By the way what is your CB Handle, is it Big TIT or Little TIT?

564. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 01:13:30 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021110201330.23999.00006064@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 54704

>Uh, exactly what HF frequency would you suggest for the non-CW licensed
>folks? :) However, a huge effort would be to accommodate those who cannot
>get on HF by providing relay messages into the net from those HF stations
>who wish to and can hear local stations on non-CW licensed frequencies! heh
>heh
>
>Kim W5TIT
>

Your right, we would have to provide a means of allowing the Challenged Folks,
such as yourself, a way to fell you were not being left out.

565. Re: RP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 01:19:03 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <bADz9.5450$Aq5.587927@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54705

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote

>
> Which brings up a question - is it possible for a person (say, a legal
resident
> alien intending to become a citizen) to get an FCC ham license if they do
not
> speak a word of English?
>

I have a foggy memory that ARRL VEC offered Spanish language exams in KP4.
(Or maybe it was just a proposal that got shot down....) In any case, I
don't see any prohibition against translation services in FCC rules.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

566. Re: MURS Questions

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 01:33:51 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <usu28g654ni12a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54706

Phil, it was quite a bit different back when I was a novice. My elmer, Russ, W2ZS sk, had a sched with me whilst I visited my grandparents' place at Black Lake, NY. I threw up a 40 meter dipole and checked the frequency. Then I tuned up. Funny, that stupid dipole sure didn't seem to want to load properly (I was using a Heathkit DX-40). Today, of course, I'd stop and find out why the thing didn't want to load; youthful exuberance kept me from being bothered by details. I called for perhaps 15 minutes with nothing heard. Perhaps less than two weeks later I realized why that dipole didn't load. Seems when you have the bandswitch on 20 meters, that dipole becomes a full wave antenna with a high input impedance. The high impedance and low power did not prevent the FCC from hearing it and sending me a warning notice about harmonics. I always check out anything suspicious now ;) Actually, they were pretty nice about the whole thing, but I had to change my shorts the day the notice arrived!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message news:cuvyxnansvbet.h5dnaw2.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> When the Powers-That-Be decided to eliminate the CB license
> w/address, and later eliminated the station location from the
> amateur license records, some of us in the field enforcement
> business moaned amd groaned that this would make it harder to
> enforce compliance.
>
> Cooler heads pointed out that we would have to DF the station
> for positive identification anyhow, and the use of a call sign
> or the presence of an address in a data base did not make the
> DF task any easier or more accurate.
>
> In an enforcement action relying on the call sign alone without
> a DF, the licensee could easily claim the Dude Defense - "some
> other dude was using my call sign". Whether it would hold up
> or not is another story. Judges are not that stupid, and an
> authenticated recording presented as evidence usually cooks the
> violator's goose.
>
> The main advantage of holding the license (or complying with
> the rules for blanket authorization as in CB, MURS, and FRS) is
> to avoid the substantial monetary penalties for unauthorized
> operation and/or the unannounced visit from the U S Marshal
> with a Search and Seizure Warrant if the FCC gets ticked off
> enough.
>

> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

567. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 01:56:41 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <usu3ipccdprtd7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54707

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:ustt3j40fu3f72@corp.supernews.com...
> "KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:ust81b5fek9l42@corp.supernews.com...
> >
> > "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> > news:20021110012244.25792.00000925@mb-fc.aol.com...
> >
> > > In article <usqck7s5lo2aa8@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
> > > <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
> > <snip>
> > > >> Yeah, like I've said before: Larry may "know" CW. Larry doesn't
> > portray
> > > >> himself as someone I'd personally like to have active in a
disaster.
> >
> > I can say without a doubt that as the R.A.C.E.S. Officer for the
> > Orange County (Indiana) Emergency Management Agency.
> > If there was a disaster here, and I needed a good CW operator,
> > I would jump at the chance to have Larry volunteer his time.
> > When you have a need for people to do a job in an emergency,
> > you don't pick and choose them by their personality, you pick
> > them for two reasons only, their ABILITY and their
> > AVAILABILITY!
> >
> > --
> > W.W. Warren - KB9TMP
> > R.A.C.E.S. Officer - 59-605
> > Orange County E.M.A.
> >
> >
>
> And, I disagree wholeheartedly with you. If I were in a net where I heard
> Larry sign on, I would expect that net to quickly degrade. So, I would
not
> welcome his involvement.
>
> Kim W5TIT

So in other words if Larry was net control of an "emergency" net and
you heard him running that net you would turn your back on helping
because of your conflict with him. Seems to me to be a very petty
reason for not helping out. If you can't put personalities aside long
enough to do a needed job then maybe it's best that you didn't help.

And if Larry turned it into some kind of a CW contest for "real hams"
only, he wouldn't be needed either, but somehow I don't think he would
let his opinions stand in the way of doing the job at hand.

--
W.W. Warren - KB9TMP
R.A.C.E.S. Officer - 59-605
Orange County E.M.A.

568. Re: 73 and thanks for all the Q's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 02:16:57 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <usu4p9c32oc3c7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54708

I'm going to have to turn in my ticket. I forgot the cw combination to shift cases (I remember the teletypes **did** have a letters and figures key to shift).

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim aa2qa (dang, there I go again)

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message news:3DC9E434.A914D945@ix.netcom.com...
> Br
>
> > > No, I didn't work n2ey -- in fact I didn't even hear any stations with a
> > > lowercase callsign. As I mentioned, I did work N2EY though.
> > >
> > > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >
> > Chuckle.
>
> And I thought CW was case insensitive.....
>
>

569. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 02:39:05 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DCF1867.6080502@adelphia.net>
Source record: 54709

Len Over 21 wrote:

<A whole lot of stuff snipped>

> Those who advocated keeping the code test have yet to validate it
> for practical purpose in regulation. All they can come up with is the
> trite "it is what hams DO" or that they had to test for it, therefore
> all others have to also. Strictly personal preferences embelished
> with self-described amateur patriotism as if their way was the only
> way. Invalid.
>
> Leonard H. Anderson
> Life Member IEEE
> ex-RA16408336, US Army 1952-1960
>

Quite frankly, your characterization of me as a PCTA is amusing. If you want to know what I think of as the "epitome" or "pinnacle" of the ARS is, I think it is homebrewing.

I am amazed at your ability to read between lines to the point of exclusion of the content of the letter.

You seem to like to equate my posts as some sort of attack on no-coders. I can assure you it is not, but I think you are trying to intimidate me into not posting. Why would you do this> Should I not post my opinions here?

- Mike KB3EIA -

570. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 02:46:04 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DCF1A0B.3030204@adelphia.net>
Source record: 54710

Vshah101 wrote:

> So only those that favor Morse code can participate in a policy net? This is
> very biased and unfair!

You do know that any frequency that will gove coverage to most of the
people here will not be a frequency that non-coded hams have access to?

In your case, the answer is to work with a hf-privelige licensed ham,
since you don't have a license. Then we can talk.

- Mike KB3EIA -

571. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 02:46:59 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211101846.4ea677b1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54711

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021110130316.10779.00006287@mb-mq.aol.com>...
> >You just don't like my message: no unnecessary, superfluous, or
> >arbitrary licensing regulations.
>
> Isn[']t [it] sad how this Wanna B Ham Can never become a real Ham, all he can do is try
> and Justify his CBplusser Status.

I guess this really is the best you can do. I'm reminded of the Far
Side comic where the boy is pushing against the entrance door to a
school building. A sign on the door says, "PULL." Another sign above
the door says, "School For The Gifted."

572. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 02:48:18 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211101848.6e3e3840@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54712

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DCD7A0E.28DCB617@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DCC1A5F.5A3AF6A9@townsqr.com>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > > So now, just where did you ever get the idea that *I* wanted to increase *my*
code speed?
> > > >
> > > > Code is good for you. Faster code is even better. Are you claiming
> > > > that you are the fastest codester in the world?
> > >
> > > You're an imbecile. You have always been an embecile. You will always be an enbecile.
Pitiful..
> >
> > Poor DICK. Runs out of rationale again, resorts to name calling.
> > Always resorts to name calling; always will resort to name calling.
>
> Son, you are what you are, and no other words properly describe it.

And you are what you are, DICK.

573. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 02:51:30 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211101851.b56669f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54713

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021110121742.00704.00000998@mb-ba.aol.com>...
> In article <f45722ac.0211091905.15ce86d@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >> Well TIT, why dont you apply yourself and get a REAL HAM LICENSE
> >>
> >> >You see, those trained in Emergency
> >> >Communication are *prepared* with what they can contribute--but they may
> >> >very well not have anything "strapped to" their body at all times.
> >> >
> >>
> >> And Im sure most are not HAMS
> >
> >Broose, you musta missd it, but she's got a real ham call. That means
> >she's got a real ham license.
>
> Brian:
>
> There are no more Real Ham(tm) licenses. Only dumbed-down, slow-code,
> fake Ham Wannabe licenses. The last Real Ham(tm) (General or above)
> was licensed on April 14, 2000.

Broose has one of them new Extra licenses. Go talk to him about it
sometime.

> >I didn't see you're call under the CW contacts by K0HB. Whatsaaa
> >matter?
>
> You didn't see mine, or a lot of other Real Ham(tm) calls, either.
> Point???
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Point is you pay lip service to CW, but you don't walk the walk. Not
even a little bit.

574. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 02:53:02 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DCF1B8E.52D41363@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 54714

WA8ULX wrote:

>
> So TIT, when are you going to Post a Picture of your TITs on the Internet.
> Maybe you could come up with a WEB Site with your TITS displayed next to your 2
> Meter CBHT.

http://www.birdcollection.co.uk/graphics/bird-gallery/tit/tit-g1.jpg

575. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 02:54:22 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211101854.7954da42@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54715

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021109230411.10702.00006221@mb-mq.aol.com>...
> >I had you all wrong about your Technician Sister, but the way you
> >posed the questions sure made it sound like you had married her.
> >Either way, you're still the CBer in your question.
> >
> >
>
> I guess you need to ask your Mother, SON.

She always said you were a CBer.

576. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 02:55:39 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021110215539.25082.00003902@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 54717

>A sign on the door says, "PULL." Another sign above
>the door says, "School For The Gifted."
>

Is that the School you went to?

577. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 02:56:28 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211101856.2854b07c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54716

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0211100432.6c6ed498@posting.google.com>...
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0211091857.7246afa4@posting.google.com>...
> > kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0211091025.d1310da@posting.google.com>...
> > > Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<3DCC2C92.8060004@psu.edu>...
> > > > N2EY wrote:
> > > > > In article <20021107195249.22144.00002232@mb-cv.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com
> > > > > (Vshah101) writes:
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >>>An Invitation to CW Radiosport
> > > > >>
> > > > >>Education is nice, but how is contesting a "sport"?
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > It's a competitive activity where skill and endurance are tested.
> > > >
> > > > Speaking of endurance, how come every time I'm on a run, I have to pee?
> > >
> > > Because you're a contester and you're being tested. NEVER drop a run.
> > > EVER. Get a bucket like the rest of us use. Get a "pilot's relief
> > > tube". And Robeson shove yer Foleys SIDEWAYS!
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > - Mike KB3EIA -
> > >
> > > w3rv lower case Prostate surgery victim. Foleys . . . #$@&**!
> >
> > Impotent?
>
> Bend over boy.

Oh no, another Lambda member in drag.

578. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 03:01:41 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021110220141.25082.00003903@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 54719

>Broose has one of them new Extra licenses. Go talk to him about it
>sometime.

Bruce upgraded from Advanced you upgrade from CBPlusser status, you also have yet to upgrade to the give away EXTRA, I thought CW was the problem?

579. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 03:01:50 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DCF1D9E.385AF816@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 54718

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:

> We're a diverse crowd of individualists, each with strongly held ideas which
> often conflict with other strongly held ideas, and we bicker and fight here
> like a crowd of step-siblings who fight each other, sometimes I think just
> for the pleasure of bickering. Odds are pretty good that underneath it all
> we have a lot more in common than we really want to acknowledge.
>
> We're all (well, almost all) licensed hams, and most of us have passed at
> least a 5WPM Morse test.
>
> I propose a Rrap net, each Wednesday evening at 0300UTC (10 PM EST, 9 PM
> CST, 8 PM MST, 7 PM PST) on 28.350, a QRG available to everyone who has
> passed the 5WPM Morse test. If there's other traffic on that freq, we can
> just move up to the first clear spot.

Isn't propagation on 10 at 10PM local time dead, even with good sunspot
cycle peak? It's 10PM as I write this in NJ (3:00 zulu) and the Sun set
about 5 hours ago.

580. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 03:06:39 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqn6k30215@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54720

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021110195913.23999.00006058@mb-md.aol.com...
> >That's spelled H-E-I-F-E-R
>
> So you do know the correct spelling of Heffer, are you refered to as a
Heffer.

No, you're thinking of the publisher of Playboy magazine ;)

581. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 03:14:16 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqn72b037o@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54723

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:ustsc54hmp4o90@corp.supernews.com...
> Sorry, Larry. From my perspective, you are quite wrong. I have just as
> real a ham license as yours. That you choose to think of it differently
is
> one of Larry's World concepts. So, you can choose to think however you
> wish; though you have no impact or decision-making power on ham radio
> licensees. Your dogma about "dumbed-down" is just that: dogma. It is
> unsubstantiated, insupportable, and falls outside the guidelines and rules
> as set forth by the governmental agency that issues amateur radio
licenses.
>
> Dream on, maybe Larry's World will become a reality for you some day.
>
> Kim W5TIT

To Larry's way of thinking, something that can't survive without a
government mandate is "dumbing down." I prefer to call it welfare support,
but we've had this argument before.

582. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 03:20:04 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqn7d703uv@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54722

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:ustt0bo1ocvo56@corp.supernews.com...
> "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021110125945.10854.00006395@mb-mq.aol.com...
> > >Man, I'll tell ya what. I don't know if you were ever "one of those"
> crappy
> > >CBers that everyone loves to hate--but you sure do act like you were.
> > >
> > >Kim W5TIT
> >
> > No I wasnt, but it sure sounds like you know a Few of them.
> >
>
> I did, and still do, have a CB radio. However, by what standards are you
> juding "them." If one were to label all CB users based upon the nitwits,
> then wouldn't it stand that all amateur radio operators should be labeled
> based upon the likes of those frequencies on amateur radio that are so
> famous, such as those in the 80M segment? Or, based upon how you act here
> in this newsgroup?
>
> Kim W5TIT

It's interesting; I've met many CBers that are more pleasant than some of the hams I've met. Even prior to the April 2000 license change, CBers were more friendly than the locals on two meters. All of which is passe, now that internet technology has this wonderful thing known as "voice chat rooms."

583. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 03:21:21 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqn7fm044e@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54721

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021110200552.23999.00006060@mb-md.aol.com...
> >I did, and still do, have a CB radio
>
> Thats not a surprise.
>
> >Or, based upon how you act here
> >in this newsgroup?
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
>
> The way I act here, has no bearing on my actions on the Air, this
Newsgroup is
> nothing more that a place for cheap laughs.

...and you're proud to provide most of the laughs, aren't you?

584. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 03:38:44 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021110223844.28838.00000223@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 54724

>No, you're thinking of the publisher of Playboy magazine ;)
>

No Im afraid Hef wouldnt be interested in her

585. Re: RP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 03:39:09 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021110223909.28322.00000361@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 54726

In article <bADz9.5450$Aq5.587927@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:

>> Which brings up a question - is it possible for a person (say, a legal
>>resident alien intending to become a citizen) to get an FCC ham license
>> if they do not speak a word of English?
>
>I have a foggy memory that ARRL VEC offered Spanish language exams in
> KP4.
>(Or maybe it was just a proposal that got shot down....) In any case, I
>don't see any prohibition against translation services in FCC rules.

Interesting info! One problem I can see is that FCC (or someone) would have to certify that the Spanish exams were accurate translations of the approved English Q&A. And I don't know if non-English 605 forms exist.

At any rate, the lack of non-English exams at most VE sessions effectively requires that prospective hams know English well enough to take the test.

73 de Jim, N2EY

586. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 03:39:10 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021110223910.28322.00000362@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 54725

In article <DpDz9.5440$Aq5.586351@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Ki¿½HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:

>"Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote
>
>>
>> In any case, I can't see a net like this being any kind of group
>>discussion I'd like to hear on HF.

Then you lack imagination.

>> I can't imagine Larry being civil on the air to
>> me...

I've worked Larry on the air (2 meter FM, btw). Quite civil.

>oh, wait, Larry wouldn't be there any way..

Why not? You don't like HF, anyway.

Could you be civil to Larry on the air, Kim? I recall your descriptions of harrassing folks on 2 meters....
>
>We already have had a similar "net" one evening about 2 years ago.

March 1, 2001. Starting time 0330Z, 7037 kHz.

>The
>participants were Cecil Moore, Jim Kelley, Brian Kelley, Dick Carroll, and
>me.

W6RCA (now W5DXP), AC6XG, W3RV, W0EX, K0HB - and me, N2EY.

Above info is from my log. I still keep one, even though FCC doesn't require it anymore. Comes in handy at times.

>At some time or other over the years on rrap I have disagreed violently
>on some point with each of these guys, but since the short chats we had that
>evening I find it a lot easier to understand their views (even if we still
>violently disagree on some points).

Same here. Other than the above net, I've worked W6RCA, K0HB, K3LT, AC6XG K4YZ and W3RV on the air. Actually conducted antenna comparison tests with Cecil. Everyone was well behaved.

73 de Jim, N2EY

587. Re: RP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 04:48:01 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <5EGz9.5793$Aq5.620401@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54727

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote

>
> At any rate, the lack of non-English exams at most VE sessions effectively
> requires that prospective hams know English well enough to take the test.
>

I'm sure some ACLU types might get excited about that, but since there are many major languages and hundreds (perhaps thousands) of dialects spoken in the world, I guess I'd think it pretty likely that you are correct. And it doesn't bother me a bit. If I go to Italy or Russia or Mongolia or Mexico or Brazil or Ghana and wish to take an Amateur Radio test, I'll expect to have to be fluent in the mother tongue of that country in order to understand the test material.

Life's a bitch, ain't it!

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

588. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 06:52:00 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021111015200.11087.00001169@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 54729

In article <ust68schbnta87@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>
>In what? You, or your answers? You are an eternal disappointment to me,
>Larry. Your answers are pat, practiced, and boring.
>
>Kim W5TIT

Kim:

The same is true of your questions. However, you are not a
disappointment to me. To be one, I would have to care about and
respect your opinions, which I don't.

73 de Larry, K3LT

589. Re: CW WHINERS SHUT THE HELL UP !!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 06:52:00 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021111015200.11087.00001168@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 54731

In article <Xns92C29CB9D324Felektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>, Alun Palmer
<elektros@yahoo.com> writes:

>>> I believe that with a little research, you'll find that this quote is
>>> correctly attributed to British playwright George Bernard Shaw, as
>>> credited by Gen. Patton during a speech he made in England, and as
>>> depicted in the movie "Patton."
>>>
>>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>>>
>>
>>
>
>Wrong nationality, though. He was an Irish citizen, not British.
>
>73 de Alun, N3KIP, (G8VUK, G0VUK)
>

Alun:

So he was. I sit corrected, thank you.

73 de Larry, K3LT

590. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 06:52:01 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021111015201.11087.00001170@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 54733

In article <ust6i3inhjmgfa@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>
>Ya just asked me in another post to provide you with real examples, Larry.
>Well, though it will be far above your intelligence to comprehend, the above
>is quite a typical example. So, I'll ask the question again: then why don't
>you apply yourself in your career...never mind the excuses of where you do
>or don't want to live, etc.? *WHY* is it OK for you accept limitations you
>have on yourself, but it's not OK for someone--a ham radio operator in this
>case--to decide that they don't wish to expend the time to learn CW at a
>"useful proficiency level."
>
>Go ahead, let's see you shooting yourself in the foot--yet again.
>
>Kim W5TIT

Kim:

Asked and answered -- repeatedly. My fingertips are getting worn to
the knuckles responding to the same question you keep asking, but
lack the reading comprehension to understand the answer. I could
care less if you think I'm shooting myself in the foot. They're my feet,
I'll be the one to worry about them -- thank you just the same.

73 de Larry, K3LT

591. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 06:52:02 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021111015202.11087.00001171@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 54734

In article <ust81b5fek9l42@corp.supernews.com>, "KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com>
writes:

>
>I can say without a doubt that as the R.A.C.E.S. Officer for the
>Orange County (Indiana) Emergency Management Agency.
>If there was a disaster here, and I needed a good CW operator,
>I would jump at the chance to have Larry volunteer his time.
>When you have a need for people to do a job in an emergency,
>you don't pick and choose them by their personality, you pick
>them for two reasons only, their ABILITY and their
>AVAILABILITY!

And If I was able to help, all you'd get out of me is good CW
operation! I wouldn't have time for any of the so-called "personality"
crapola that Kim keeps whining about.

Kim is one of these people who plays judge, jury, and executioner
on people based solely on whether or not they rubber-stamp HER
actions and opinions. Since I have a mind of my own, Kim is
naturally threatened by that, and would do anything in her power
to exclude me from participation in local amateur radio public
service solely on that basis. Since I've had the audacity to
challenge the propriety of her vanity call sign, which I consider to
be demeaning to female hams and inappropriate for a family-oriented
hobby/service such as ours, she's been on the outs with me.
So be it. It's her problem, not mine.

73 de Larry, K3LT

592. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 06:52:03 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021111015203.11087.00001173@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 54728

In article <ustsooeonckq11@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>At least Dick and Larry have good typing and spelling behind them.

Kim:

Thanks for the compliment, but actually, it's just normal typing and
spelling. No more or less than I would expect from anyone else.

73 de Larry, K3LT

593. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 06:52:03 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021111015203.11087.00001174@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 54732

In article <f45722ac.0211101851.b56669f@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>
>> >I didn't see you're call under the CW contacts by K0HB. Whatsaaa
>> >matter?
>>
>> You didn't see mine, or a lot of other Real Ham(tm) calls, either.
>> Point???
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>Point is you pay lip service to CW, but you don't walk the walk. Not
>even a little bit.

Brian:

Any time you think you're ready to put my ability to "walk the walk" on
CW to a one-on-one test, please let me know.

73 de Larry, K3LT

594. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 06:52:03 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021111015203.11087.00001172@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 54737

In article <ustsc54hmp4o90@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>> Brian:
>>
>> There are no more Real Ham(tm) licenses. Only dumbed-down, slow-code,
>> fake Ham Wannabe licenses. The last Real Ham(tm) (General or above)
>> was licensed on April 14, 2000.
>>
>
>Sorry, Larry. From my perspective, you are quite wrong.

Kim:

Well, you're entitled to your perspective. I've never tried to imply that you
weren't.

>I have just as
>real a ham license as yours. That you choose to think of it differently is
>one of Larry's World concepts.

I'm sure I'm not the only one who holds the same opinion.

>So, you can choose to think however you
>wish; though you have no impact or decision-making power on ham radio
>licensees. Your dogma about "dumbed-down" is just that: dogma. It is
>unsubstantiated, insupportable, and falls outside the guidelines and rules
>as set forth by the governmental agency that issues amateur radio licenses.

Kim, you should know by now that I'm one of the last people who would
concern himself with rules set by a governmental agency which is pandering
to politically-correct liberal whiners who want something for nothing.

>Dream on, maybe Larry's World will become a reality for you some day.
>
>Kim W5TIT

Well, as of Nov 5, we're a lot closer to Larry's World than we've ever been in
my lifetime!

73 de Larry, K3LT

595. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 06:52:04 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021111015204.11087.00001175@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 54736

In article <aqn72b037o@enews3.newsguy.com>, "Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com>
writes:

>
>To Larry's way of thinking, something that can't survive without a
>government mandate is "dumbing down." I prefer to call it welfare support,
>but we've had this argument before.
>

Krell:

Yes, we have, but seem to be unable to understand my POV. I think
that the government has a perfect right and responsibility to regulate
activities which utilize finite public resources, such as the RF spectrum.
I believe the code testing requirements (of the Pre-Restructuring Era)
were valid and essential to ensuring that Amateur Radio operators had
the knowledge and skills necessary to provide reliable backup
communications capability in all potential situations. It's a simple fact
that the Morse Code does provide a solidly reliable communications
platform which offers unique benefits to the ARS. However, it cannot
be exploited unless amateurs learn to use it with a reasonable level
of proficiency. Thus, the former code testing requirements were, IMHO,
essential to preserving the nature of the ARS' emergency communications
potential.

The only thing that was "mandated" by the government was the
"dumbing down" of the ARS -- as requested by a bunch of lazy whiners
who couldn't see the benefit of the Morse code due to not having given
themselves much of a chance to learn it at a useful proficiency level.
Unfortunately, reducing, and/or eventually eliminating, the code testing
requirements doesn't seem to have done much to give the ARS a
shot in the arm now, has it? Our growth is virtually non-existant, and
probably won't even keep up with future losses. In the meantime, we're
no better off from a standpoint of advancing the state-of-the-art in
communications technology. All the promises of the no-coders were,
as usual, empty and self-serving.

73 de Larry, K3LT

596. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 06:52:05 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021111015205.11087.00001176@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 54730

In article <usttsaop4nsl3b@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>
>In any case, I can't see a net like this being any kind of group discussion
>I'd like to hear on HF. I can't imagine Larry being civil on the air to
>me...oh, wait, Larry wouldn't be there any way...ROFLMAO...
>
>Kim W5TIT

Kim:

I can't do 10-meter SSB from my own QTH for TVI reasons, but I'm sure
I could arrange to participate from a friend's QTH some evening. I think
it would be interesting to hear everyone "live" and in their own voices.
Don't be surprised if we even start caring for each other as fellow human
beings a bit more if/when we do!

73 de Larry, K3LT

597. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 06:52:05 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021111015205.11087.00001177@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 54735

In article <1sBz9.5224$Aq5.566643@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:

>
>I propose a Rrap net, each Wednesday evening at 0300UTC (10 PM EST, 9 PM
>CST, 8 PM MST, 7 PM PST) on 28.350, a QRG available to everyone who has
>passed the 5WPM Morse test. If there's other traffic on that freq, we can
>just move up to the first clear spot.
>

Hansl:

Nice idea, but 20-meter PSK31 would work a lot better for me. I'm sure
it would be no problem for those of us in the group who promised a
"Digital Revolution" with reduced code testing!

73 de Larry, K3LT

598. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 07:35:10 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aqpcve42oqj@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54901

Larry,

The thing I find to be most ironic, is that the same issues occuring now are almost the same issues that I have been reading in the early 70's ham mags..... Not much has changed in 30 years I guess...

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

> Brian:
>
> There are no more Real Ham(tm) licenses. Only dumbed-down, slow-code,
> fake Ham Wannabe licenses. The last Real Ham(tm) (General or above)
> was licensed on April 14, 2000.
>
> >I didn't see you're call under the CW contacts by K0HB. Whatsaaa
> >matter?
>
> You didn't see mine, or a lot of other Real Ham(tm) calls, either.
> Point???
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

599. Re: RP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 10:07:19 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021111050719.28322.00000364@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 54738

In article <aqmvoc$c8k$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

(N2EY wrote):
>> >> Howcum the writtens haven't been challenged on handicapped grounds?
>> >> Some could claim they are unnecessarily discriminatory against
>> >> non-techno types.
>> >
>> >Being a non-techno type isn't viewed anywhere or by anybody
>> >as being a handicap.
>>
>> Let me expand on this a bit.
>>
>> Most people don't find the written tests to be impossibly difficult. But
>> there are some people who have learning disabilities which make the
>> writtens much more difficult. I elmered one guy who tried and failed
>> the old Advanced written 9 times before I met him. His problem was
>> that he just wasn't very good at math and formulas, and the old
>> Advanced was full of 'em. I've known others who find memorizing things
>> like band edges and mode designators to be extremely difficult.
>> These people are not "stupid", "lazy" or
>> "unmotivated" - they simply have specific learning disabilities.
>> Intelligence is at least a seventh-order vector quantity, not a scalar one.
>
>So?

Point is that trying to quantify "intelligence" with a single number leads to
huge inaccuracies. Also, what appears to be easy to most people is not
easy for everyone, but the tests are unchanged because nobody has
challenged them.

>Even in schools, students with learning disabilities don't get a free
>pass on tests. They get extended test times, but they still have to pass the
>same test.

For many types of test, extending the test time IS changing the test. The SATs
and ACTs are a classic example, because they involve huge numbers of questions.
PE license exam is another one. Part of the test is time efficiency.

Given unlimited time on a test like the SAT, most people will get a higher
score than
when timed.

>We do the same thing for handicaped hams now...e.g. we can
>read the test to a candidate who has vision problems.

But what about the person who has trouble memorizing things like the band edges?

Argument still comes down to this: Much of what is in the writtens is not absolutely
necessary for the legal and competent operation of an amateur station, yet it is required of all applicants, regardless of how difficult they find the material. Back
when ham rigs had to be manually tuned and analog VFOs could not be prevented from
out of band operation, there may have been some justification for lots of memorized data.
Those were days when out-of-band operations due to technical trouble were common,
too. And that out-of-band operation often stepped on another service, too. How common is that sort of thing today

>> >> FCC isn't infallible.
>> >
>> >So just which reason that wasn't accepted by the FCC
>> >should not have been rejected?
>>
>> Here are some (just my opinion):
>>
>> 1) License tests should be about the things the license allows the
>>licensee to do, and that are commonly done by licensees. Lots of
>> Morse code on the HF ham bands. And there's no reasonable way
>> to test Morse skill on a written test.
>
>So if a ham doesn't pass a morse test, maybe we should have
>the rules say that ham shouldn't be allowed to operate morse.

Only if there are Morse-only parts of the bands.
>
>> 2) Morse has a symbiotic relationship with simple radio equipment. Very
>> useful for those who want to build and learn about basic radio.
>
>The relationship may be symbiotic forsome...BUT it is in NO way
>needed for anyone to actually learn radio basics.

Not an absolute need. But in the amateur radio community, it's a definite relationship. Case in point: Some time back on rec.radio.amateur.homebrew, there was a request for designs of HF ham transceivers that could be easily and cheaply homebrewed by raw beginners without much money or skill. How many of them do you think could build an SSB transceiver from scratch as a first project?
>
>> 3) Hams using Morse are overwhelmingly well-behaved on the air while using
>> the mode. Check the FCC enforcement actions involving licensed hams -
>> practically all of them are for voice operation.
>
>No such proof exists for that statement.

Sure it does. Just look at the enforcement letters.

> The reality is that it is far easier for the FCC to listen and hear
violations when
>voice is used vs morse.

Most FCC enforcement action is complaint-driven. Somebody writes in and
gives specific, usable information.

Here's a challenge to anyone who doubts 3): Spend an equal amount of time
over several evenings to 80 and 75 meters (Part 97 lists them as separate
bands, btw).
Or any HF hamband, adjusting the time of day for best activity and propagation.

Compare the offenses heard on 'phone vs. CW.

Of course such a test requires the ability to understand the Morse.

Note the recounting of unidentified operations ("Orange Blossom") in another
thread here in rrap.

>> 4) Morse is spectrum- and power-efficient, allowing hams to get the most
>>out of their HF bands without having to invest in data modes.
>
>So? That has been true for years yet hams are free
>to NOT use morse at all.
>Indeed, if this argument had any
>merit, then rules/regs should have called for use of morse
>rather than voice for most communications.

The test insures that they have at least a basic ability to use it. Or at least
the test used to insure that.
>
>> Of course the FCC disagrees, and it's FCC opinion that makes the rules.
>
>You nailed it on that point.

Of course! But that doesn't make the FCC right.
>
>> >> FCC gave us incentive licensing, repeater rules
>> >> that were stricter than those for commercial services, 27 MHz cb, and
>> >> lax enforcement. FCC also punishes hams for the misdeeds of nonhams
>> >> (RF power amplifier restrictions).
>>
>> Do we need more proof of FCC fallibility? IOW, just because FCC believes
>>it doesn't make it right, or good for the ARS. Just legal.
>
>If the FCC is wrong, just how/who makes that determination...and via
>what mechanism.

Anyone can make the determination. Doesn't change the law, though.

>The courts are the arbiter of FCC rules/regs if
>someone "appeals" FCC rulings, but that is not usually a path that
>hams can take for $$$ reasons if nothing else.

Exactly.

>> >> One thing many hams I know would like is a strap-on 100-150 W amp for
>> >> the HF QRP rigs. 3-5 watts drive, 100-150 watts out. Run QRP when
>> >> conditions permit and when you're in the field or on battery, kick in
>> >> the amp when needed. Would be a big help in compliance with the
>> >> minimum power rule, plus you don't lug the amp around in the field.
>> >> But such amps are illegal unless homebrewed by a ham because a cber of
>> >> freebander might misuse them. In fact, selling kits for such an amp is
>> >> illegal.
>> >
>> >They aren't totally illegal. The limiting factor is when they can
>> >be used in the 27-28MHz range.
>>
>> No, there's more to it than that. Even without 10-12 meter coverage, such
>> amps
>> cannot be FCC approved for sale. Drive level is too low.
>
>OK.
>
Point is, it's not hams' misbehaving that got us those restrictive rules. And
people wonder
why hams don't respect cbers and freebanders....

>> >> Does this stop any cber or freebanders from running high power? Of
>> >> course not, they just buy amps from vendors who flout the law. But FCC
>> >> still thinks hams must not be restricted.
>> >
>> >Agreed.
>> >
>> Actually, that should read "hams should be restricted". Thanks for seeing
>>the
>> intended meaning rather than the typo.
>
>OK again.

>> >Many hams USE spanish, and other languages. There's
>> >no reason or rational to require knowledge of Spanish.
>>
>> How many US hams use Spanish or other languages on the air, compared to
>>how
>> many use Morse on the air? The number is very small.
>
>The point is that no one is "required" to engage in contacts
>at all, using any language or mode.

Follow that logic to its end, and you'd have no testing at all. Since I'm not
required to operate 6 meters or SSB, why should I have to learn anything
about 6 meters or SSB just to get a license to operate 40 CW?

>> >> Here's another point:
>> >>
>> >> One thing bedeviling the FCC is the continued existence of freebanders

>> >> and cbers running excessive power and violating the rules. FCC would
>> >> be much happier if all those folks got ham licenses, so FCC has an
>> >> interest in making ham licenses easy to get. Thus the changes in both
>> >> code and written test requirements over the past 20 years or so.
>> >
>> >I totally disagree that the FCC had any such expectation
>> >or hope on that basis.
>>
>> Your opinion noted, Bill, but please consider the following possibility:
>>
>> Suppose, just suppose, my theory is correct, and FCC wants to reduce ham
>> license requirements as much as possible in order to counteract the
>> freebander/illegal cber problems. Do you think FCC would tell any of us
>> about it? Of course not!
>
>Conspiracy Theory 101 :-)

Not a consiracy at all, just a policy motivation. And your answer evades the question - do you really think FCC would admit that the above was part of their motivation, if that were true? Particularly knowing the storm of trouble it would unleash?

73 de Jim, N2EY

600. Re: more nightly bootleggers on 75?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 12:55:27 UTC
From: Bob Thomas <Avondales@bthomasavondales.com>
Message-ID: <aqo9apskk0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 54739
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Gulf Coast shrimpers have used 3850 kHz for years. They
do not have amateur licenses and just ignore hams who ask
them for call signs. Shrimpers also use 7235, 3945, & several
other ham freqs. I've complained on and off over the years,
written letters, etc. All to no avail.

Bob

"juju bees" <eddierabvtz3003@aol.com> wrote in message
news:3dcece23@snipnews.snip.net...
> Last night again "Orange Blossom" and one
> other station (name only used ?) checked
> in 3.860 at a little after 8:00 PM
> without the benefit of a proper FCC
> issued Amateur Station callsign.
> I guess it's OK 4 anyone with a "political adjenda"
> to transmit without a ham licence and the NCS on
> this freq will check them righ it without any contest
> as to their validity to use a ham transmitter...
> Stick a fork in in? ham radio's traditional quality is going bye-bye?
> Note also that 3.850 USB (+/1 10kc) seems to being used by
> shrimp boaters along the Miss/La Gulf Coast also for chit-chat
> w/profanity from midnite onward at times too..
>
> "juju bees" <eddierbvtz3003@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:3dcdd682@news.earthlink.net...
> >
> > "Rollo" <nobody@nowhere.com> wrote in message
> > news:1105_1036894489@netnews.attbi.com...
> > >
> > > Gee, there's no KV4FZ in the FCC database.
> > > You sure you aren't bullshitting?
> > > Using a phony callsign is a bad thing, you know.
> >
> > "Phony callsigns" are a bad thing you say....??
> >
> > Apparently on ham radio of late it's OK. (?)
> >
> > 2 see what I mean, listen into the Eastern Regional Patriot's Net
> > on LSB ham radio 3.860 mHz at 8:00 PM Eastern time.
> > This net meets every night including weekends.
> > There are occasionally one or two un-licenced "militia radio"
> > stations that are acknowledged by he NCS, check in and then
> > converse with regular hams on this Net, using tactical

> > callsigns (non-ham radio calls) like "Orange Blossom" and
> > similar monikers. This happens on an almost nightly basis.
> > Been listening in for 2 months to all this and seems the
> > FCC couldn't care less.
> >
> >
>
>

601. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 13:56:41 UTC
From: spoonerism ouananiche <ole4sleaky@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3dcfb72d@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 54740

"K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:1sBz9.5224$Aq5.566643@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> I propose a Rrap net, each Wednesday evening at 0300UTC (10 PM EST, 9 PM
> CST, 8 PM MST, 7 PM PST) on 28.350, a QRG available to everyone who has
> passed the 5WPM Morse test. If there's other traffic on that freq, we can
> just move up to the first clear spot.
>
> Be there, or be square.
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB

```
10 Meter propagation shuts down long before 10:00PM.
You may be lucky if you get out 5 miles on 10 at this time.
I would suggest a 40 or 75 meter nightime freq instead to get
much wider coverage.
.
```

602. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 16:13:47 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <%GQz9.5934$tW4.731625@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54741

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote

>
> Isn't propagation on 10 at 10PM local time dead, even with good sunspot
> cycle peak? It's 10PM as I write this in NJ (3:00 zulu) and the Sun set
> about 5 hours ago.
>
>

It will probably be spotty, but I selected 10M because it is the band we can likely get the most RRAP participation in, given that every license class except for Tech (without Morse) have voice spectrum there.

I listened to the NCDXF/IARU beacon system at 28.200 last night AT 0300Z, and heard the 4U1UN and W6WX nodes down to10W, and the W6WX node down to 1W. (I'm located in Minnesota).

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

603. Re: more nightly bootleggers on 75?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 17:00:19 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqonmn$p39$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54742
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I have heard them working on off the wall freqs all through the specturm.
Upper Side Band. Most hams can't figure out to turn to USB and move the
dial.

Dan/W4NTI

"Bob Thomas" <Avondales@bthomasavondales.com> wrote in message
news:aqo9apskk0@pita.alt.net...
> Gulf Coast shrimpers have used 3850 kHz for years. They
> do not have amateur licenses and just ignore hams who ask
> them for call signs. Shrimpers also use 7235, 3945, & several
> other ham freqs. I've complained on and off over the years,
> written letters, etc. All to no avail.
>
> Bob
>
> "juju bees" <eddierabvtz3003@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:3dcece23@snipnews.snip.net...
> > Last night again "Orange Blossom" and one
> > other station (name only used ?) checked
> > in 3.860 at a little after 8:00 PM
> > without the benefit of a proper FCC
> > issued Amateur Station callsign.
> > I guess it's OK 4 anyone with a "political adjenda"
> > to transmit without a ham licence and the NCS on
> > this freq will check them righ it without any contest
> > as to their validity to use a ham transmitter...
> > Stick a fork in in? ham radio's traditional quality is going bye-bye?
> > Note also that 3.850 USB (+/1 10kc) seems to being used by
> > shrimp boaters along the Miss/La Gulf Coast also for chit-chat
> > w/profanity from midnite onward at times too..
> >
> > "juju bees" <eddierbvtz3003@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:3dcdd682@news.earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > "Rollo" <nobody@nowhere.com> wrote in message
> > > news:1105_1036894489@netnews.attbi.com...
> > > > >
> > > > Gee, there's no KV4FZ in the FCC database.
> > > > You sure you aren't bullshitting?
> > > > Using a phony callsign is a bad thing, you know.
> > > >
> > > "Phony callsigns" are a bad thing you say....??
> > >

> > > Apparently on ham radio of late it's OK. (?)
> > >
> > > 2 see what I mean, listen into the Eastern Regional Patriot's Net
> > > on LSB ham radio 3.860 mHz at 8:00 PM Eastern time.
> > > This net meets every night including weekends.
> > > There are occasionally one or two un-licenced "militia radio"
> > > stations that are acknowledged by he NCS, check in and then
> > > converse with regular hams on this Net, using tactical
> > > callsigns (non-ham radio calls) like "Orange Blossom" and
> > > similar monikers. This happens on an almost nightly basis.
> > > Been listening in for 2 months to all this and seems the
> > > FCC couldn't care less.
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

604. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 17:22:47 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqop0r$386$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54743

That frequency at that time ....the only 'net' will be via groundwave.

Dan/W4NTI

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:usttsaop4nsl3b@corp.supernews.com...
> "K HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
> news:Q0Cz9.5283$Aq5.572553@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > "Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote
> >
> > > So only those that favor Morse code can participate in a policy net?
> This
> > is
> > > very biased and unfair!
> > >
> > > Both no coders and coders should be included.
> >
> > Take it up with the FCC.
> >
> > In any case, Technician licensees and unlicensed persons are welcome to
> > participate as 3rd party participants. Go to QRZ.COM and do a search on
> > your ZIP code and find an HF licensee who will host you.
> >
> > ... 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > --
> > Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
> > http://www.mnyarc.org
> > http://www.k0bsa.org
> >
> >
>
> In any case, I can't see a net like this being any kind of group
discussion
> I'd like to hear on HF. I can't imagine Larry being civil on the air to
> me...oh, wait, Larry wouldn't be there any way...ROFLMAO...
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

605. Re: RP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 18:32:17 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <RISz9.6104$tW4.751032@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54744

N2EY <n2ey@aol.com> wrote

>
> At any rate, the lack of non-English exams at most VE sessions effectively
> requires that prospective hams know English well enough to take the test.
>

"We, the sensible people of the United States, in an attempt to help
everyone
get along, restore some semblance of justice, avoid any more riots,
keep our nation safe, promote positive behavior, and secure the blessings of
debt free liberty to ourselves and our great-great-great-grandchildren,
hereby
try one more time to ordain and establish some common sense guidelines for
the
terminally whiny, guilt ridden, delusional, and other liberal, bedwetters.

We hold these truths to be self-evident: That a whole lot of people are
confused
by the Bill of Rights, and are so dim that they require a Bill of No Rights.

ARTICLE I: You do not have the right to a new car, big screen TV or any
other form of wealth. More power to you if you can legally acquire them, but
no one is guaranteeing anything.

ARTICLE II: You do not have the right to never be offended. This country is
based on freedom, and that means freedom for everyone-not just you! You may
leave the room, turn the channel, express a different opinion, etc., but the
world is full of idiots, and probably always will be.

ARTICLE III: You do not have the right to be free from harm. If you stick a
screwdriver in your eye, learn to be more careful; do not expect the tool
manufacturer to make you and all your relatives independently wealthy.

ARTICLE IV: You do not have the right to free food and housing. Americans
are the most charitable people to be found, and will gladly help anyone in
need, but we are quickly growing weary of subsidizing generation after
generation of professional couch potatoes who achieve nothing more
than the creation of another generation of professional couch potatoes.

ARTICLE V: You do not have the right to free health care. That would be
nice, but from the looks of public housing, we're just not interested in
public health care.

ARTICLE VI: You do not have the right to physically harm other people. If
you kidnap, rape, intentionally maim, or kill someone, don't be surprised if
the rest of us want to see you fry in the electric chair.

ARTICLE VII: You do not have the right to the possessions of others. If you rob, cheat or coerce away the goods or services of other citizens, don't be surprised if the rest of us get together and lock you away in a place where you still won't have the right to a big screen color TV or a life of leisure.

ARTICLE VIII: You don't have the right to demand that our children risk their lives in foreign wars to soothe your aching conscience. We hate oppressive governments and won't lift a finger to stop you from going to fight if you'd like. However, we do not enjoy parenting the entire world and do not want to spend so much of our time battling each and every little tyrant with a military uniform and a funny hat.

ARTICLE IX: You don't have the right to a job. All of us sure want all of you to have one, and will gladly help you along in hard times, but we expect you to take advantage of the opportunities of education and vocational training laid before you to make yourself useful.

ARTICLE X: You do not have the right to happiness. Being an American means that you have the right to pursue happiness - which by the way, is a lot easier if you are unencumbered by an overabundance of idiotic laws created by those of you who were confused by the Bill of Rights."

��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

606. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 18:38:01 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021111133801.29069.00000483@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 54745

In article <Q0Cz9.5283$Aq5.572553@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:

>"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote
>
>> So only those that favor Morse code can participate in a policy net? This is
>> very biased and unfair!
>>
>> Both no coders and coders should be included.
>
>Take it up with the FCC.
>
>In any case, Technician licensees and unlicensed persons are welcome to
>participate as 3rd party participants. Go to QRZ.COM and do a search on
>your ZIP code and find an HF licensee who will host you.
>
>ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB

...and don't ever forget to sit in the back of the bus on your way
there... :-)

607. Re: RP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 18:38:02 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021111133802.29069.00000485@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 54746

In article <20021111050719.28322.00000364@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>>Conspiracy Theory 101 :-)
>
>Not a consiracy at all, just a policy motivation. And your answer evades the
>question - do you really think FCC would admit that the above was part of
>their motivation, if that were true? Particularly knowing the storm of
>trouble it would unleash?

Speculation on a speculation and then trying to pass it off as an important question that "must be answered" is not a criterion to demand anything.

The "motivation" of the FCC was all spelled out in the Communications Act that created the FCC. Their task is to regulate all US civil radio.

If you wish to ascribe certain emotionally-biased "motivations" to the FCC's decisions, then you are simply describing decisions that apparently upset you personally. The FCC is tasked with regulation of all US civil radio for all citizens...not a minority of a minority group in a single radio service.

Of course, if you don't like the FCC's decisions you can withdraw to some on-the-air clique (for CW-tested licensees only) or make up interesting "conspiracy" theories of how you and your friends are being persecuted. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

Len Anderson

608. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 18:38:02 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021111133802.29069.00000484@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 54748

In article <1sBz9.5224$Aq5.566643@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:

>We're a diverse crowd of individualists, each with strongly held ideas which
>often conflict with other strongly held ideas, and we bicker and fight here
>like a crowd of step-siblings who fight each other, sometimes I think just
>for the pleasure of bickering. Odds are pretty good that underneath it all
>we have a lot more in common than we really want to acknowledge.

The psychology behind the motivations of existing in a public venue is much more complex than you realize. No degree is required to understand that if one has been involved in computer-modem communications on BBSs or Internet newsgroups.

>We're all (well, almost all) licensed hams, and most of us have passed at
>least a 5WPM Morse test.

Why is any morse test passing required at all?

Aren't the "specifications" you've outlined based on your own personal preferences, traditional aspects, and all that?

Moving off of a newsgroup and into a licensed-amateurs-only venue seems to be just an effort to get rid of "disturbing" or unwanted commentary by those who do not share your personal opinions. Anyplace else that would be called denial...or wearing blinders or ear protectors ("hear no evil, see no evil" monkeyshines).

All civil radio regulations are for the entire citizenry and are in the public domain. Commentary in, of, about them should also be in public.

>I propose a Rrap net, each Wednesday evening at 0300UTC (10 PM EST, 9 PM
>CST, 8 PM MST, 7 PM PST) on 28.350, a QRG available to everyone who has
>passed the 5WPM Morse test. If there's other traffic on that freq, we can
>just move up to the first clear spot.
>
>Be there, or be square.

...or be elitist or in a certain clique, withdrawing from "bad" public commentary on radio regulations that apply to all citizens.

609. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 18:38:03 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021111133803.29069.00000486@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 54747

In article <3DCF1867.6080502@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
writes in either a fit of irritation or an attempt at trolling:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> Those who advocated keeping the code test have yet to validate it
>> for practical purpose in regulation. All they can come up with is the
>> trite "it is what hams DO" or that they had to test for it, therefore
>> all others have to also. Strictly personal preferences embelished
>> with self-described amateur patriotism as if their way was the only
>> way. Invalid.
>>
>> Leonard H. Anderson
>> Life Member IEEE
>> ex-RA16408336, US Army 1952-1960
>
> Quite frankly, your characterization of me as a PCTA is amusing. If you

>want to know what I think of as the "epitome" or "pinnacle" of the ARS
>is, I think it is homebrewing.

I made a GENERAL statement that applies to ALL PCTAs.

Some PCTAs have mild opinions on the retention of the code test.
Some other PCTAs seem to have almost-rabid responses to
elimination of the code test. THOSE are the ones I addressed in
my general statement.

> I am amazed at your ability to read between lines to the point of
>exclusion of the content of the letter.

I sent no "letter." I posted a message in a public newsgroup. As
such venues go, any public message is open to any sort of
commentary by anyone...whether or not it relates directly to the
content of a previous message.

Please keep that in mind since it is the de facto method of
public messaging that began first on a section of ARPANET that
became USENET in the 70s and 80s, finally coming into public
view when the Internet was formed and went public-access in
1991.

> You seem to like to equate my posts as some sort of attack on
no-coders.
>I can assure you it is not, but I think you are trying to intimidate me
>into not posting. Why would you do this> Should I not post my opinions here?

You seem to be suffering a common malady of reading public messages on your personal computer and assuming they are just for you or about you. They aren't. All public messages have the same content on anyone's computer, do not necessarily apply to one individual.

If you feel "intimidated" that is strictly your own interpretation.

Some cannot stand strong statements of opposite viewpoints, especially when they have no valid arguable viewpoints. Those individuals (general group) should leave and find a venue that satisfies them (one has suggested a morse-tested-only on-air net schedule). Or, one can remain in a newsgroup and attack those with opposite opinions using personal epithets and pejoratives. Some (a few in here) use that ploy and that IS an attempt at intimidation.

If you feel that each and every statement on a subject opposite to your own personal opinion is an "intimidation," then I would suggest psychological therapy. Remember, this is a public-access venue and your own personal opinions are not the model for citizens regulated by a federal agency.

Len Anderson
Life Member IEEE
Veteran, US Army 1952-1960

610. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 19:21:15 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <LqTz9.6195$tW4.758089@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54749

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote

: Why is any morse test passing required at all?

I have no idea. Take it up with the PTCA.

: Aren't the "specifications" you've outlined based on your own
: personal preferences, traditional aspects, and all that?

No.

: Moving off of a newsgroup and into a licensed-amateurs-only
: venue seems to be just an effort to get rid of "disturbing" or
: unwanted commentary by those who do not share your
: personal opinions.

It may seem that way to you, but I have invited everyone to participate, and
have suggested a way that even unlicensed folks can participate.

: All civil radio regulations are for the entire citizenry and are in
: the public domain. Commentary in, of, about them should also
: be in public.

28.200MHz is of course a frequency which can be monitored by the entire
citizenry, even if they chose not to participate directly.

: ...or be elitist or in a certain clique, withdrawing from "bad" public
: commentary on radio regulations that apply to all citizens.

I haven't suggested any sort of "withdrawal", and all citizens are still
free to give "bad" public commentary.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

611. Re: RP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-11 23:15:01 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqpdo0etl1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54750

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021111050719.28322.00000364@mb-fy.aol.com...
> In article <aqmvoc$c8k$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>
> (N2EY wrote):
> >> >> Howcum the writtens haven't been challenged on handicapped grounds?
> >> >> Some could claim they are unnecessarily discriminatory against
> >> >> non-techno types.
> >> >
> >> >Being a non-techno type isn't viewed anywhere or by anybody
> >> >as being a handicap.
> >>
> >> Let me expand on this a bit.
> >>
> >> Most people don't find the written tests to be impossibly difficult.
But
> >> there are some people who have learning disabilities which make the
> >> writtens much more difficult. I elmered one guy who tried and failed
> >> the old Advanced written 9 times before I met him. His problem was
> >> that he just wasn't very good at math and formulas, and the old
> >> Advanced was full of 'em. I've known others who find memorizing things
> >> like band edges and mode designators to be extremely difficult.
> >> These people are not "stupid", "lazy" or
> >> "unmotivated" - they simply have specific learning disabilities.
> >> Intelligence is at least a seventh-order vector quantity, not a scalar
one.
> >
> >So?
>
> Point is that trying to quantify "intelligence" with a single number leads
to
> huge inaccuracies. Also, what appears to be easy to most people is not
> easy for everyone, but the tests are unchanged because nobody has
> challenged them.
>
> >Even in schools, students with learning disabilities don't get a free
> >pass on tests. They get extended test times, but they still have to pass
the
> >same test.
>
> For many types of test, extending the test time IS changing the test. The
SATs
> and ACTs are a classic example, because they involve huge numbers of

questions.
> PE license exam is another one. Part of the test is time efficiency.
>
> Given unlimited time on a test like the SAT, most people will get a higher
> score than
> when timed.

Do ADA students get more time on SATs?

How is the PE test administered for someone who s blind?

> >We do the same thing for handicaped hams now...e.g. we can
> >read the test to a candidate who has vision problems.
>
> But what about the person who has trouble memorizing things like the band
> edges?

They get those questions wrong?

Actually, I see NO reason to memorize band edges anyway.
That's what a frequency chart is used for...and the fact that band
edges can and do change, makes memorization pretty silly (IMHO).
If I am in doubt about an operating frequency I look it up.

> Argument still comes down to this: Much of what is in the writtens is not
> absolutely
> necessary for the legal and competent operation of an amateur station, yet
it
> is required of all applicants, regardless of how difficult they find the
> material.

At this point, your argument isn't accepted by the FCC...BUT it
can be noted that these "other" subject areas don't stand on a
separate pass/fail application of the material in the tests.

> Back
> when ham rigs had to be manually tuned and analog
> VFOs could not be prevented from
> out of band operation, there may have been some justification for lots of
> memorized data.
> Those were days when out-of-band operations due to technical trouble were
> common,
> too. And that out-of-band operation often stepped on another service, too.
How
> common is that sort of thing today

No idea.

> >> >> FCC isn't infallible.
> >> >
> >> >So just which reason that wasn't accepted by the FCC
> >> >should not have been rejected?
> >>

> >> Here are some (just my opinion):
> >>
> >> 1) License tests should be about the things the license allows the
> >>licensee to do, and that are commonly done by licensees. Lots of
> >> Morse code on the HF ham bands. And there's no reasonable way
> >> to test Morse skill on a written test.
> >
> >So if a ham doesn't pass a morse test, maybe we should have
> >the rules say that ham shouldn't be allowed to operate morse.
>
> Only if there are Morse-only parts of the bands.

So you ARE saying if a ham that hasn't shown proficiency
of morse at even 5wpm then that ham shouldn't be allowed
to operate morse?

If yes, then how do we explain the ONLY two morse only subbands are
VHF and ANYONE even no-code techs can operate there using
morse as slow as they wish.

> >> 2) Morse has a symbiotic relationship with simple radio equipment. Very
> >> useful for those who want to build and learn about basic radio.
> >
> >The relationship may be symbiotic forsome...BUT it is in NO way
> >needed for anyone to actually learn radio basics.
>
> Not an absolute need. But in the amateur radio community, it's a definite
> relationship.

No it isn't at all...UNLESS an individual wants to make it so for
his/her own learning process.

> Case in point: Some time back on rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,
> there was a request for designs of HF ham transceivers that could be
easily
> and cheaply homebrewed by raw beginners without much money or skill. How
> many of them do you think could build an SSB transceiver from scratch as a
> first project?

Bottom line...it is purely optional at the individuals request.
In fact, you don't need tobuild anything to become knowledgeable
about radio basics. Building may be helpful for people, but
it sure isn't needed.

> >> 3) Hams using Morse are overwhelmingly well-behaved on the air while
using
> >> the mode. Check the FCC enforcement actions involving licensed hams -
> >> practically all of them are for voice operation.
> >
> >No such proof exists for that statement.
>
> Sure it does. Just look at the enforcement letters.

That just sez those using the mode find it less capable of
violations...it also is far less susecptable (sp) to detection
even if violations are going on.

> > The reality is that it is far easier for the FCC to listen and hear
> violations when
> >voice is used vs morse.
>
> Most FCC enforcement action is complaint-driven. Somebody writes in and
> gives specific, usable information.

And since more operation is voice, the majority will certainly
be tilted towards voice related violations...especially for
abuses of language.

> Here's a challenge to anyone who doubts 3): Spend an equal amount of time
> over several evenings to 80 and 75 meters (Part 97 lists them as separate
> bands, btw).
> Or any HF hamband, adjusting the time of day for best activity and
propagation.
>
> Compare the offenses heard on 'phone vs. CW.
>
> Of course such a test requires the ability to understand the Morse.
>
> Note the recounting of unidentified operations ("Orange Blossom") in
another
> thread here in rrap.
>
> >> 4) Morse is spectrum- and power-efficient, allowing hams to get the
most
> >>out of their HF bands without having to invest in data modes.
> >
> >So? That has been true for years yet hams are free
> >to NOT use morse at all.
> >Indeed, if this argument had any
> >merit, then rules/regs should have called for use of morse
> >rather than voice for most communications.
>
> The test insures that they have at least a basic ability to use it. Or at
least
> the test used to insure that.

IF and only IF they want to use it. We already know that most
people don't and, having passed the test, they abondon any
further pursuit of morse.

> >> Of course the FCC disagrees, and it's FCC opinion that makes the rules.
> >
> >You nailed it on that point.
>
> Of course! But that doesn't make the FCC right.

Bzzt, if they are wrong, it is ONLY your opinion. It takes
a court case to deterimine the FCC erred in any decision.

> >> >> FCC gave us incentive licensing, repeater rules
> >> >> that were stricter than those for commercial services, 27 MHz cb,
and
> >> >> lax enforcement. FCC also punishes hams for the misdeeds of nonhams
> >> >> (RF power amplifier restrictions).
> >>
> >> Do we need more proof of FCC fallibility? IOW, just because FCC
believes
> >>it doesn't make it right, or good for the ARS. Just legal.
> >
> >If the FCC is wrong, just how/who makes that determination...and via
> >what mechanism.
>
> Anyone can make the determination. Doesn't change the law, though.

Exactly!

> >The courts are the arbiter of FCC rules/regs if
> >someone "appeals" FCC rulings, but that is not usually a path that
> >hams can take for $$$ reasons if nothing else.
>
> Exactly.

Ditto.

> >> >> One thing many hams I know would like is a strap-on 100-150 W amp
for
> >> >> the HF QRP rigs. 3-5 watts drive, 100-150 watts out. Run QRP when
> >> >> conditions permit and when you're in the field or on battery, kick
in
> >> >> the amp when needed. Would be a big help in compliance with the
> >> >> minimum power rule, plus you don't lug the amp around in the field.
> >> >> But such amps are illegal unless homebrewed by a ham because a cber
of
> >> >> freebander might misuse them. In fact, selling kits for such an amp
is
> >> >> illegal.
> >> >
> >> >They aren't totally illegal. The limiting factor is when they can
> >> >be used in the 27-28MHz range.
> >>
> >> No, there's more to it than that. Even without 10-12 meter coverage,
such
> >> amps
> >> cannot be FCC approved for sale. Drive level is too low.
> >
> >OK.
> >
> Point is, it's not hams' misbehaving that got us those restrictive rules.
And

> people wonder
> why hams don't respect cbers and freebanders....

I respect all CBers (assuming they operate legally).

When a CBer does NOT operate legally, they get no
respect from me. Freebanders deserve NO respect from anyone.

> >> >> Does this stop any cber or freebanders from running high power? Of
> >> >> course not, they just buy amps from vendors who flout the law. But
FCC
> >> >> still thinks hams must not be restricted.
> >> >
> >> >Agreed.
> >> >
> >> Actually, that should read "hams should be restricted". Thanks for
seeing
> >>the
> >> intended meaning rather than the typo.
> >
> >OK again.
>
> >> >Many hams USE spanish, and other languages. There's
> >> >no reason or rational to require knowledge of Spanish.
> >>
> >> How many US hams use Spanish or other languages on the air, compared to
> >>how
> >> many use Morse on the air? The number is very small.
> >
> >The point is that no one is "required" to engage in contacts
> >at all, using any language or mode.
>
> Follow that logic to its end, and you'd have no testing at all. Since I'm
not
> required to operate 6 meters or SSB, why should I have to learn anything
> about 6 meters or SSB just to get a license to operate 40 CW?

You don't have to. We determined a long time ago that you can
ignore several subject areas and still pass.

> >> >> Here's another point:
> >> >>
> >> >> One thing bedeviling the FCC is the continued existence of
freebanders
> >> >> and cbers running excessive power and violating the rules. FCC would
> >> >> be much happier if all those folks got ham licenses, so FCC has an
> >> >> interest in making ham licenses easy to get. Thus the changes in
both
> >> >> code and written test requirements over the past 20 years or so.
> >> >
> >> >I totally disagree that the FCC had any such expectation
> >> >or hope on that basis.

> >>
> >> Your opinion noted, Bill, but please consider the following
possibility:
> >>
> >> Suppose, just suppose, my theory is correct, and FCC wants to reduce
ham
> >> license requirements as much as possible in order to counteract the
> >> freebander/illegal cber problems. Do you think FCC would tell any of us
> >> about it? Of course not!
> >
> >Conspiracy Theory 101 :-)
>
> Not a consiracy at all, just a policy motivation. And your answer evades
the
> question - do you really think FCC would admit that the above was part of
> their motivation, if that were true? Particularly knowing the storm of
trouble
> it would unleash?

I think the FCC has far more on their minds than the path you
postulate on. I'll stick with the conspiracy theory 101 comment.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

612. Re: 73 and thanks for all the Q's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 01:49:35 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211111749.73a09894@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54902

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:<usu4p9c32oc3c7@corp.supernews.com>...
> I'm going to have to turn in my ticket. I forgot the cw combination to
> shift cases (I remember the teletypes **did** have a letters and figures key
> to shift).

It has a bell, too.

Ding, ding, ding.

613. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 01:54:35 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211111754.1c5efe6f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54903

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021110215539.25082.00003902@mb-dh.aol.com>...
> >A sign on the door says, "PULL." Another sign above
> >the door says, "School For The Gifted."
> >
>
> Is that the School you went to?

Of course, but I pulled on the door. I see you're still pushing.

614. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 02:01:25 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211111801.6db4af9b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54904

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0211090254.1dd40179@posting.google.com>...
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message

> I'd like to be there when a REAL SLUG actually got tossed in yer
> direction.

I'll bet you'd have liked to have been a lot of things. You could
have been there if you'd been in the service. But...but no, you set
your sights on being an Extra in the Amateur Service.

615. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 02:04:48 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211111804.543a9ed7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54905

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0211092102.7e6fd3d6@posting.google.com>...

> As was 100% predicted he weenied out. "You won't be nice to me".
> Bwaaahaha! I've run into some lame excuses but that one wins the cut
> glass fly swatter.
>
> No radio, no antenna, no balls, no ham, no life, no reason to be in
> the FCC database, no surprise. At all.

I'm suprised Cecil took you guys up. I won't suck up, don't want in
your little click.

616. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 02:09:31 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211111809.7e72caee@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54906

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021110220141.25082.00003903@mb-dh.aol.com>...
> >Broose has one of them new Extra licenses. Go talk to him about it
> >sometime.
>
> Bruce upgraded from Advanced you upgrade from CBPlusser status, you also have
> yet to upgrade to the give away EXTRA, I thought CW was the problem?

Broose sat on his lard butt and waited for the "Great Dumbing Down" of
the ARS, then while bad mouthing everyone else snuck in and got Extra.

617. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 02:12:52 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211111812.271aa54@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54907

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021111015203.11087.00001174@mb-fi.aol.com>...
> In article <f45722ac.0211101851.b56669f@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >
> > >I didn't see you're call under the CW contacts by K0HB. Whatsaaa
> > >matter?
> >
> > You didn't see mine, or a lot of other Real Ham(tm) calls, either.
> > Point???
> >
> > 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
> >Point is you pay lip service to CW, but you don't walk the walk. Not
> >even a little bit.
>
> Brian:
>
> Any time you think you're ready to put my ability to "walk the walk" on
> CW to a one-on-one test, please let me know.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Larry, Hans gave you the opportunity and the invitation. You blew it.
Maybe he'll give you another chance sometime soon. Or you can take
Kelly up on his offer. No, no, not the offer to be nearby when you're
being shot at, but the offer to get together on the air. I'm sure you
two have a lot in common.

73, Brian

618. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 02:14:49 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211111814.6c08f4ce@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54908

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021110195913.23999.00006058@mb-md.aol.com>...
> >That's spelled H-E-I-F-E-R
>
> So you do know the correct spelling of Heffer, are you refered to as a Heffer.
>
> >If
> >you would take just a few moments to review your posts *before* you send
> >them, you might be able to fake us out and make us think you're at least a
> >little smart.
>
> Nope wont happen, I prefer it this way.
>
> >But I've got loads of recourse with you and you are just too funny.
>
> Come on TIT show us your TITs so we can see how funny you are.
>
> >At
> >least Dick and Larry have good typing and spelling behind them.
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
>
> Good, thats there choice.

The inability to spell and poor grammar works better for him over at
the food stamp office.

619. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 02:25:36 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211111825.1bc9080b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54909

"spoonerism ouananiche" <ole4sleaky@aol.com> wrote in message
news:<3dcfb72d@snipnews.snip.net>...
> "Kï¿½HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
> news:1sBz9.5224$Aq5.566643@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> >
> > I propose a Rrap net, each Wednesday evening at 0300UTC (10 PM EST, 9 PM
> > CST, 8 PM MST, 7 PM PST) on 28.350, a QRG available to everyone who has
> > passed the 5WPM Morse test. If there's other traffic on that freq, we can
> > just move up to the first clear spot.
> >
> > Be there, or be square.
> >
> > ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> 10 Meter propagation shuts down long before 10:00PM.
> You may be lucky if you get out 5 miles on 10 at this time.
> I would suggest a 40 or 75 meter nightime freq instead to get
> much wider coverage.
> .

Or we can all apply for experimental prives on 5mhz. Call it an ARRL
prop test or something.

620. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 02:27:58 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211111827.7157dad@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54910

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20021111133802.29069.00000484@mb-mg.aol.com>...
> In article <1sBz9.5224$Aq5.566643@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
> <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:
>
> >We're a diverse crowd of individualists, each with strongly held ideas which
> >often conflict with other strongly held ideas, and we bicker and fight here
> >like a crowd of step-siblings who fight each other, sometimes I think just
> >for the pleasure of bickering. Odds are pretty good that underneath it all
> >we have a lot more in common than we really want to acknowledge.
>
> The psychology behind the motivations of existing in a public
> venue is much more complex than you realize. No degree is
> required to understand that if one has been involved in computer-
> modem communications on BBSs or Internet newsgroups.
>
> >We're all (well, almost all) licensed hams, and most of us have passed at
> >least a 5WPM Morse test.
>
> Why is any morse test passing required at all?
>
> Aren't the "specifications" you've outlined based on your own
> personal preferences, traditional aspects, and all that?
>
> Moving off of a newsgroup and into a licensed-amateurs-only
> venue seems to be just an effort to get rid of "disturbing" or
> unwanted commentary by those who do not share your
> personal opinions. Anyplace else that would be called
> denial...or wearing blinders or ear protectors ("hear no evil,
> see no evil" monkeyshines).
>
> All civil radio regulations are for the entire citizenry and are in
> the public domain. Commentary in, of, about them should also
> be in public.
>
> >I propose a Rrap net, each Wednesday evening at 0300UTC (10 PM EST, 9 PM
> >CST, 8 PM MST, 7 PM PST) on 28.350, a QRG available to everyone who has
> >passed the 5WPM Morse test. If there's other traffic on that freq, we can
> >just move up to the first clear spot.
> >
> >Be there, or be square.
>
> ...or be elitist or in a certain clique, withdrawing from "bad" public
> commentary on radio regulations that apply to all citizens.

Maybe Bruce will counter-suggest one of his 275 uppers and lowers that is illegally available to everyone.

621. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 02:38:30 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211111838.5699cb9e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54911

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:<aqpcve42oqj@enews4.newsguy.com>...
> Larry,
>
> The thing I find to be most ironic, is that the same issues occuring now are
> almost the same issues that I have been reading in the early 70's ham
> mags..... Not much has changed in 30 years I guess...

Except that the Morse Exam should have gone away thirty years ago.

622. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 03:05:55 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021111220555.25465.00000245@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 54912

>Broose sat on his lard butt and waited for the "Great Dumbing Down" of
>the ARS, then while bad mouthing everyone else snuck in and got Extra.
>
>

Bruce only did it for 1 reason. A bunch of No-Code Flunkies bet me $250.00 I couldnt pass it with out studying. Well not only did I take there $250.00, I also had the pleasure of laughing at them because they flunked there upgrade Now for you, you not only set on your Dead ASS and whinned, like a little boy, about how you were being mistreated and being punished because of CW Testing. And here it is, about a year for you, and you still havent Upgraded to the Give Away Extra.Why, because your either to damn dumb, or you would prefer to whine some more in Hopes that the FCC will give you another Hand Out.

623. Re: RP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 03:08:07 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021111220807.06308.00000461@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 54913

In article <5EGz9.5793$Aq5.620401@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote
>
>> At any rate, the lack of non-English exams at most VE sessions effectively
>> requires that prospective hams know English well enough to take the test.
>
>I'm sure some ACLU types might get excited about that, but since there are
>many major languages and hundreds (perhaps thousands) of dialects spoken in
>the world, I guess I'd think it pretty likely that you are correct.

Sounds reasonable.

>And it doesn't bother me a bit.

Nor I.

>If I go to Italy or Russia or Mongolia or Mexico
>or Brazil or Ghana and wish to take an Amateur Radio test, I'll expect to
>have to be fluent in the mother tongue of that country in order to
>understand the test material.

Yup.

And from the earliest days, Morse code has been the "mother tongue" of amateur radio.
>
>Life's a bitch, ain't it!

Not at all. Quite wonderful, actually, if one takes the journey between eternities wisely. Kurt Vonnegut is still with us at age 80, and his books will be with us as long as we preserve them. That's a good thing.

73 de Jim, N2EY

re-reading Slaughterhouse Five on Veterans Day.

624. Re: RP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 03:08:10 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021111220810.06308.00000462@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 54915

In article <aqpdo0etl1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>> For many types of test, extending the test time IS changing the test. The
>> SATs and ACTs are a classic example, because they involve huge numbers of
>> questions.PE license exam is another one. Part of the test is time
efficiency.
>>
>> Given unlimited time on a test like the SAT, most people will get a higher
>> score than when timed.
>
>Do ADA students get more time on SATs?

There are a many accomodations given to students with documented learning
disabilites and physical handicaps. Extra time is one of them, and is somewhat
common.

A few years back a prospective lawyer sued and won a case involving extra time
on the bar exam due to learning disabilities.

Note that qualifying disabilities go far beyond obvious physical ones like
blindness or various kinds of paralysis.
>
>How is the PE test administered for someone who s blind?

I don;t know. I would imagine that the test would be available in Braille, or
would be read to the applicant. Time is an issue in the PE tests, too.
>
>> >We do the same thing for handicaped hams now...e.g. we can
>> >read the test to a candidate who has vision problems.
>>
>> But what about the person who has trouble memorizing things like the band
>> edges?
>
>They get those questions wrong?

Exactly.
>
>Actually, I see NO reason to memorize band edges anyway.
>That's what a frequency chart is used for...and the fact that band
>edges can and do change, makes memorization pretty silly (IMHO).
>If I am in doubt about an operating frequency I look it up.

The need for band edge questions can be argued both ways. Example:
Can you guarantee that all hams will have a band edge chart available whenever
needed?

>> Argument still comes down to this: Much of what is in the writtens is not
>> absolutely necessary for the legal and competent operation of an amateur
station, yet
>> it is required of all applicants, regardless of how difficult they find the
>> material.
>
>At this point, your argument isn't accepted by the FCC...BUT it
>can be noted that these "other" subject areas don't stand on a
>separate pass/fail application of the material in the tests.

Not a single area, no. But combine a few areas of ignorance and failure is
quite possible.
And the question still remains: Why are things that are not essential to the
legal operation of
an amateur station on the written test?
>
>> Back
>> when ham rigs had to be manually tuned and analog
>> VFOs could not be prevented from
>> out of band operation, there may have been some justification for lots of
>> memorized data.
>> Those were days when out-of-band operations due to technical trouble were
>> common,
>> too. And that out-of-band operation often stepped on another service, too.
>>How
>> common is that sort of thing today
>
>No idea.

Quite uncommon, judging by the reports of FCC enforcement. Compared to other
enforcement actions, violations caused by technical malfunctions like
unintentional straying out-of-band are quite rare nowadays.
>
>> >> >> FCC isn't infallible.
>> >> >
>> >> >So just which reason that wasn't accepted by the FCC
>> >> >should not have been rejected?
>> >>
>> >> Here are some (just my opinion):
>> >>
>> >> 1) License tests should be about the things the license allows the
>> >>licensee to do, and that are commonly done by licensees. Lots of
>> >> Morse code on the HF ham bands. And there's no reasonable way
>> >> to test Morse skill on a written test.
>> >
>> >So if a ham doesn't pass a morse test, maybe we should have
>> >the rules say that ham shouldn't be allowed to operate morse.
>>
>> Only if there are Morse-only parts of the bands.
>
>So you ARE saying if a ham that hasn't shown proficiency
>of morse at even 5wpm then that ham shouldn't be allowed

>to operate morse?

Nope - I'm saying that there should be Morse-only parts of each of the ham bands.
>
>If yes, then how do we explain the ONLY two morse only subbands are
>VHF and ANYONE even no-code techs can operate there using
>morse as slow as they wish.

How many actually do that?

The 50.0-50.1 and 144.0-144.1 segments are legacies from 40+ years ago, created as a haven for EME, MS and other weak-signal work. Oddly enough, the 2 meter slot was once 147.9-148.
>
>> >> 2) Morse has a symbiotic relationship with simple radio equipment. Very
>> >> useful for those who want to build and learn about basic radio.
>> >
>> >The relationship may be symbiotic forsome...BUT it is in NO way
>> >needed for anyone to actually learn radio basics.
>>
>> Not an absolute need. But in the amateur radio community, it's a definite
>> relationship.
>
>No it isn't at all...UNLESS an individual wants to make it so for
>his/her own learning process.

How many hams actually build their own rigs these days, Bill? How many have you built in, say, the last 10 years?
>
>> Case in point: Some time back on rec.radio.amateur.homebrew,
>> there was a request for designs of HF ham transceivers that could be
>>easily
>> and cheaply homebrewed by raw beginners without much money or skill. How
>> many of them do you think could build an SSB transceiver from scratch as a
>> first project?
>
>Bottom line...it is purely optional at the individuals request.

For the folks involved, homebrew was the only way they were going to get on the air.

>In fact, you don't need tobuild anything to become knowledgeable
>about radio basics. Building may be helpful for people, but
>it sure isn't needed.

That's true if a person wants purely theoretical knowledge. Theoreticla knowledge doesn't require a license, either. Our licenses are for operating, not building.

And amateur radio is about practical radio as well as theoretical.
>
>> >> 3) Hams using Morse are overwhelmingly well-behaved on the air while

>using
>> >> the mode. Check the FCC enforcement actions involving licensed hams -
>> >> practically all of them are for voice operation.
>> >
>> >No such proof exists for that statement.
>>
>> Sure it does. Just look at the enforcement letters.
>
>That just sez those using the mode find it less capable of
>violations...

Suppose you are right about that, and CW is less capable of violations than other mode. If so, you've ust given a very good reason to support and promote use of the mode!

>it also is far less susecptable (sp) to detection
>even if violations are going on.

Then why would the users of more-susceptible-to-detection modes like SSB behave the way they do?

See my challenge, below.

>> > The reality is that it is far easier for the FCC to listen and hear
>> violations when
>> >voice is used vs morse.
>>
>> Most FCC enforcement action is complaint-driven. Somebody writes in and
>> gives specific, usable information.
>
>And since more operation is voice, the majority will certainly
>be tilted towards voice related violations...especially for
>abuses of language.

There is almost as much CW operation as voice on the HF amateur bands. Just take a listen some time.
>
>> Here's a challenge to anyone who doubts 3): Spend an equal amount of time
>> over several evenings to 80 and 75 meters (Part 97 lists them as separate
>> bands, btw).
>> Or any HF hamband, adjusting the time of day for best activity and
>propagation.
>>
>> Compare the offenses heard on 'phone vs. CW.

It's quite interesting that nobody else has actually done this and reported their findings.
>>
>> Of course such a test requires the ability to understand the Morse.
>>
>> Note the recounting of unidentified operations ("Orange Blossom") in
>>another thread here in rrap.

>> The test insures that they have at least a basic ability to use it. Or at
>least
>> the test used to insure that.
>
>IF and only IF they want to use it. We already know that most
>people don't and, having passed the test, they abondon any
>further pursuit of morse.

Most hams don't build their own rigs, either. Shall we remove all of the technical stuff from the exams? Many hams forget all about those things after the license is in hand.

>
>> >> Of course the FCC disagrees, and it's FCC opinion that makes the rules.
>> >
>> >You nailed it on that point.
>>
>> Of course! But that doesn't make the FCC right.
>
>Bzzt, if they are wrong, it is ONLY your opinion.

If they are "right", it's only YOUR opinion, too.

>It takes
>a court case to deterimine the FCC erred in any decision.

Right and wrong does not always correlate to the law. How many years and protests did it take to get rid of the 55 mph law?

>> >> >> One thing many hams I know would like is a strap-on 100-150 W amp
>for
>> >> >> the HF QRP rigs. 3-5 watts drive, 100-150 watts out. Run QRP when
>> >> >> conditions permit and when you're in the field or on battery, kick
>in
>> >> >> the amp when needed. Would be a big help in compliance with the
>> >> >> minimum power rule, plus you don't lug the amp around in the field.
>> >> >> But such amps are illegal unless homebrewed by a ham because a cber
>of
>> >> >> freebander might misuse them. In fact, selling kits for such an amp
>is
>> >> >> illegal.
>> >> >
>> >> >They aren't totally illegal. The limiting factor is when they can
>> >> >be used in the 27-28MHz range.
>> >>
>> >> No, there's more to it than that. Even without 10-12 meter coverage,
>such
>> >> amps
>> >> cannot be FCC approved for sale. Drive level is too low.
>> >
>> >OK.
>> >
>> Point is, it's not hams' misbehaving that got us those restrictive rules.
>And

>> people wonder
>> why hams don't respect cbers and freebanders....
>
>I respect all CBers (assuming they operate legally).

Me too. How many of them do you think there are, compared to illegal ones?
>
>When a CBer does NOT operate legally, they get no
>respect from me. Freebanders deserve NO respect from anyone.

>> >> >Many hams USE spanish, and other languages. There's
>> >> >no reason or rational to require knowledge of Spanish.
>> >>
>> >> How many US hams use Spanish or other languages on the air, compared to
>> >>how
>> >> many use Morse on the air? The number is very small.
>> >
>> >The point is that no one is "required" to engage in contacts
>> >at all, using any language or mode.
>>
>> Follow that logic to its end, and you'd have no testing at all. Since I'm
>not
>> required to operate 6 meters or SSB, why should I have to learn anything
>> about 6 meters or SSB just to get a license to operate 40 CW?
>
>You don't have to. We determined a long time ago that you can
>ignore several subject areas and still pass.

But you cannot ignore all of the areas that you are not interested in and still
pass.

>> Not a conspiracy at all, just a policy motivation. And your answer evades
>the
>> question - do you really think FCC would admit that the above was part of
>> their motivation, if that were true? Particularly knowing the storm of
>> trouble
>> it would unleash?
>
>I think the FCC has far more on their minds than the path you
>postulate on. I'll stick with the conspiracy theory 101 comment.

My idea explains all of the FCC's actions wrt making the licenses easier to
get. Doesn't prove anything, but nobody has disproved the idea either.

73 de Jim, N2EY

625. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 03:08:11 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021111220811.06308.00000463@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 54914

In article <20021110180324.19883.00000029@mb-df.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) writes:

>So only those that favor Morse code can participate in a policy net?

No, that's not what Hans proposed. 28.350 kHz is in the 'pnone/image part of the band, and is available to all US licensed hams except Technicians who have not passed a code test. "Favor" of Morse code or code tests is not required.

Hans has also proposed a way for those who do not hold the required license to participate - just find a ham with the required license who will allow you to participate using his/her station, and be the control operator for you.

There's also a very simple option: Get the required license yourself.

>This is very biased and unfair!

How so? Is it biased and unfair to require a license?

Do you want to muzzle the discussions of hams with licenses, saying they cannot discuss amateur radio policy matters on the air because YOU don't have the required license? Sure sounds like it.

>Both no coders and coders should be included.

What frequency and mode do you suggest?

The only thing I see wrong with Hans' idea is that the frequency and time do not match well for the required coverage at this part of the sunspot cycle. A 20 meter QRG somewhat earlier in the evening would cover the whole country fairly well at this time of year, based on how the bands behaved a few weekends ago.

20 meters requires at least a General license, but said license requires no more code testing than any other class. Code testing is still required by the FCC, due to the requirements of S25.5.

73 de Jim, N2EY

626. GMRS over-the-counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 03:23:05 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqpshj$317$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54916

FYI...

Just returned from some shopping and noticed that GMRS portables are
being marketed in "Sam's Club" (similar store to BJ's, Coostco, etc.).
The sign did say FCC license required...but it didn't say it was required
to purchase. Price was $59 for a pair complete with charger units.

Interestingly, the units are "made" by Southwestern Bell.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

627. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 03:23:24 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqps0i01nk7@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54917

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0211111814.6c08f4ce@posting.google.com...
> wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021110195913.23999.00006058@mb-md.aol.com>...

> The inability to spell and poor grammar works better for him over at
> the food stamp office.

I make typos from time to time, and I sometimes forget to check spelling and grammar. I admit that I am not perfect. But when someone like Babbling Bruce comes along, and doesn't care how they make themselves appear, they deserve every bit of ridicule that can be heaped upon them. He's too stupid to realize that the "CB plussers" are laughing at him.

628. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 04:05:57 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <aqpun5$n9b$0@208.206.142.89>
Source record: 54918

Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> > I'd not engage any of you clowns on the air. I don't trust that your
> > rudeness here would translate to anything but rudeness on the air.
>
> OK, there it is. The only "band/mode" Brainiac runs is RRAP. Thought so. End of.
>
> w3rv

Just confirms that which we all along suspected. He may hold a license,
but......

629. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 04:15:15 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <aqpv8j$ov6$0@208.206.142.89>
Source record: 54919

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > Unfortunately, the "expertise" self-description of use of morse code
> > as the paramount method of communication is a label applied by
> > only the PCTA regulars in this chatroom. It is virtual, not real.
>
> Only a couple of regulars here consider morse use to be "paramount".

Hmmm, who might they be, Dave? I don't recall ever reading anyone here
who espoused that view, although many of the codebashing whining idiots
insist on accusing some CW supporters of that inanity.

630. Re: RP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 04:35:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <aqq0dk$r4o$0@208.206.142.89>
Source record: 54920

Brian wrote:
>
> Jim, we've got a functional illiterate on here who recently passed his Extra.

But, but, but..... you said the exams today are much more rigerous!!!

631. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 04:42:06 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <aqq0qu$r4o$1@208.206.142.89>
Source record: 54921

Brian wrote:
>
> You're whacko!

You'd surely recognize whacko. You see him in the mirror each morning.

632. Re: 73 and thanks for all the Q's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 04:54:38 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DD0898E.269C8E88@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 54922

Brian wrote:

> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:<usu4p9c32oc3c7@corp.supernews.com>...
> > I'm going to have to turn in my ticket. I forgot the cw combination to
> > shift cases (I remember the teletypes **did** have a letters and figures key
> > to shift).
>
> It has a bell, too.
>
> Ding, ding, ding.

I understand that it was frowned upon to ring that bell when calling CQ.

I remember some silly games played with old teletypes in the college ham club in the 1970s. Like running a paper tape with the holes encoded to produce:
"Hi, I'm (victim of fun poking), I'm going to jerk off now.
(up shift, down shift, up shift, down shift, up shift, down shift, up shift, down shift, up shift, down shift, up shift, down shift, up shift, down shift, up shift, down shift, up shift, down shift, up shift, down shift). Ahhhhhh..... "

No, we didn't send that over the air!

633. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 05:00:55 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <aqq1u7uts0@208.206.142.89>
Source record: 54923

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >OFLMAO!!! You dig holes as quickly and deeply as good 'ol Larry.
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
> >
>
> So TIT, when are you going to Post a Picture of your TITs on the Internet.
> Maybe you could come up with a WEB Site with your TITS displayed next to your 2
> Meter CBHT.

Oh, she had her photo on QRZ when she first came onto rrap but soon
took it off. I doubt she wanted too many people to know what she looks
like. As one who saw the photo, that comes as no surprise.

634. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 05:00:57 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DD08B08.62669DA9@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 54924

N2EY wrote:

>
> What frequency and mode do you suggest?
>
> The only thing I see wrong with Hans' idea is that the frequency and time do
> not match well for the required coverage at this part of the sunspot cycle. A
> 20 meter QRG somewhat earlier in the evening would cover the whole country
> fairly well at this time of year, based on how the bands behaved a few weekends
> ago.

Saturday afternoon on 10 meters SSB on that freq. would work fine (unless a
contest is going on). Or 28.005 CW. Chase off any freebanders :-)
4PM EST would work across the CONUS.

>

635. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 05:06:02 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <aqq27quts1@208.206.142.89>
Source record: 54925

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >If I were in a net where I heard
> >Larry sign on, I would expect that net to quickly degrade. So, I would not
> >welcome his involvement.
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
> >
>
> What you would prefer would be 10-4s and other stupidity from your CBplusser
> Buddies. By the way what is your CB Handle, is it Big TIT or Little TIT?

Tipsy Titsy?

636. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 05:13:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <aqq2ks$100$0@208.206.142.89>
Source record: 54926

Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> <A whole lot of stuff snipped>
>
> > Those who advocated keeping the code test have yet to validate it
> > for practical purpose in regulation. All they can come up with is the
> > trite "it is what hams DO" or that they had to test for it, therefore
> > all others have to also. Strictly personal preferences embelished
> > with self-described amateur patriotism as if their way was the only
> > way. Invalid.
> >
> > Leonard H. Anderson
> > Life Member IEEE
> > ex-RA16408336, US Army 1952-1960
> >
>
> Quite frankly, your characterization of me as a PCTA is amusing. If you
> want to know what I think of as the "epitome" or "pinnacle" of the ARS
> is, I think it is homebrewing.
>
> I am amazed at your ability to read between lines to the point of
> exclusion of the content of the letter.
>
> You seem to like to equate my posts as some sort of attack on no-coders.
> I can assure you it is not, but I think you are trying to intimidate me
> into not posting. Why would you do this> Should I not post my opinions here?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Mike we long ago learned to conclusion that Anderson hates ham radio
with his entire being. Why that is so we can't conclusively say, but it
is more than apparent it has something to do with his inability to cause
himself to qualify for a top ham radio license, thus he wants nothing to
do with any of it other than to use his ample idle time to continuously
denigrate all of ham radio and everyone who is involved in it, save his
little butty Brian Nurke who is about a sad a case as is Anderson.

637. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 05:19:42 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DD08F6E.CD8982B2@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 54927

WA8ULX wrote:

> >Broose sat on his lard butt and waited for the "Great Dumbing Down" of
> >the ARS, then while bad mouthing everyone else snuck in and got Extra.
> >
> >
>
> Bruce only did it for 1 reason. A bunch of No-Code Flunkies bet me $250.00 I
> couldnt pass it with out studying. Well not only did I take there $250.00, I
> also had the pleasure of laughing at them because they flunked there upgrade
> Now for you, you not only set on your Dead ASS and whinned, like a little boy,
> about how you were being mistreated and being punished because of CW Testing.
> And here it is, about a year for you, and you still havent Upgraded to the Give
> Away Extra.Why, because your either to damn dumb, or you would prefer to whine
> some more in Hopes that the FCC will give you another Hand Out.

My high school English teacher would grade your composition paper "F"
if you wrote "there" for their". Besides, how would the no-coders know
if you snuck a look at the question pools the night before the test late
at night at home alone? I got my "extra lite" a few years ago, and I
probably could have passed without studying. But like any reasonably
good student in school, I took legal advantage of the resources available and
did some studying.

638. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 05:35:33 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021112003533.04047.00000160@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 54928

>As one who saw the photo, that comes as no surprise.

I missed the Photo, but I can imagine

639. Re: RP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 05:36:39 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <aqq417$45b$0@208.206.142.89>
Source record: 54929

> > Not a consiracy at all, just a policy motivation. And your answer evades
> the
> > question - do you really think FCC would admit that the above was part of
> > their motivation, if that were true? Particularly knowing the storm of
> trouble
> > it would unleash?
>
> I think the FCC has far more on their minds than the path you
> postulate on. I'll stick with the conspiracy theory 101 comment.

So you can't see that by this viewpoint they further those other plans.
Unsurprising.

640. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 05:46:33 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021112004633.04047.00000162@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 54930

>My high school English teacher would grade your composition paper "F"
>if you wrote "there" for their".

This isnt an English Class

>Besides, how would the no-coders know
>if you snuck a look at the question pools the night before the test late
>at night at home alone?

Because the Fools were at a Hamfest preparing to take the test. Thats when they made the Bet, and I just walked in with them, and took it. I got 100% and the 2 Fools failed. The Extra written was a Joke. It took me 8 minutes from the time I started till the time I finished. Oh, and the No-Coders are still No-Coders and still have no Clue what there doing.

641. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 05:56:32 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aqqt2t34ne@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54944

Kinda like I stated earlier here before..... newsgroups are primarly an entertainment source as well as the occasional learning source.... To me everyone here is merely a FONT or TYPESET until I actually meet them either on the air or in person. Can't speak for others here, but I do care about others here in newsgroups as well as on the air, even if mine or their politics/policies/procedures are not in agreement.

On a lighter note, since you said something about not picking up a microphone..... wouldya just listen in?? :)

73's,

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

> I can't do 10-meter SSB from my own QTH for TVI reasons, but I'm sure
> I could arrange to participate from a friend's QTH some evening. I think
> it would be interesting to hear everyone "live" and in their own voices.
> Don't be surprised if we even start caring for each other as fellow human
> beings a bit more if/when we do!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

642. Re: RP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 11:56:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021112065602.21163.00004020@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 54931

>Subject: Re: RP
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/10/02 2:58 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021110155817.20031.00000533@mb-cm.aol.com>

>>2) Morse has a symbiotic relationship with simple radio equipment. Very
>useful
>>for those who want to build and learn about basic radio.
>
> "Symbiotic relationship?!?" Nonsense, gobbledegook babble.

The "nonsense, gobbledegok, babble" is vcoming from someone who SHOULD know better...and ALLEDGED radio electronics "engineer" (no evidence yet provided other than some dubiously plagerized quotes)

>There is NO "symbiote" living within any radio...(SNIP)

Good thing he said "symbiotic" rather than "symbiote" then, huh..???

>A radio is simply a bit of electronics hardware that operates on
> principles of known physics. Any "magic" or "biological" aspects
> of or with radio are purely subjective, emotional thoughts.

Lennie, you were making a reasonably well stated argument until you started in on THIS crap again. NO ONE stated anything about "magic" or argued the physics of radio.

The use of Morse Code, or more appropriately, the use of continuous wave modulation, IS "symbiotic" with SIMPLE radio equipment.

In recent years the proliferation of integrated devices has made it easier to also use other more "complex" modes. However the most basic AND efficient of radio transmitters remains the CW transmitter.

This is a fact, undisputed even by REAL engineers.

>"Usefulness in building and learning radio" is not a regulatory item
> or requirement in any Part of Title 47 CFR.

In those exact words..?!?! No. However, Your Antagonisticness, you are redirected once again to the REGULATORY language of the basis and purpose of the Amateur Radio Service as codified in 47CFR97.1 as it pertains to the expectation of taking the opportunity to do EXACTLY that as a licensee in the Amateur Service...Why heck, I bet even YOU might learn a thing or two about radio if you were to participate.

>Morse code mode at a rate of 5 to 20 or even to 40 WPM does not
> lend itself well to emotionally-laden words/phrases of inappropriate

> behavior.

It does, however, end to be a mode used in a more mannerly and
professional maner than those who are prone to quick gratification and easy
antagonism of rendering a profanity or insult via the spoken word.

>It carries NO inflections, tonalities, or common human
> clues available in common human visual-audible communications.

Wrong. It DOES carry inflections and can carry "tonalities" that make it
as distictive as a spoken word. Those proficient in it's use know this to be
true, and it is well documented in the histroy of radiocommunication...NOT just
Amateur communication, either...

>On-off monotonic codings are ill-suited to either insults or
> expressions of any emotion.

"Ill-suited" only if you are not proficient in the use of Morse Code
itself. One could say that the use of sign language is just as ill-suited,
however a single, rasied middle finger "says it all" as well as the spoken
phrase it is commonly accepted as representing.

>Do we need more proof of long-time radio amateurs' fallibility?...(SNIP)

Lennie, if you'd learn to stick to simple facts and technically valid
facts, you'd be more accepted...HOWEVER you find it necessary to attack Amateur
Radio operators personally then wonder why your innate wisdom is not
universally accepted.

>The "primary mode" on HF amateur bands is voice. More
> communications is conveyed by voice than by on-off morse codings.

And you have determined this to be true...HOW?

Facts? Tables? Studies? Polls? You have expressed a statement here.
Now please justify it with facts.

>Speculating on speculations is a nonsense exercise.

You seem to enjoy doing it.

>If "illegal operators" were "bedeviling" the FCC, the FCC would have
> acted long before this.

BULL! The FCC long admitted to NOT chasing these creeps due to economic
and manpower deficiencies, NOT a lack of desire to do it!

>Long-time radio amateurs seem to be the
> ones "bedeviled" about the "hoardes of cbers running high power."

Only in the sense that WE (Amateurs) are the ones who pay a price for
THIER abuses. It is legally licensed and operating Amateurs who cannot buy
amplifiers that can operate on OUR allocations without modification due to
someone else's misdeeds.

Started off strong, Lennie, got emotional then personal. Same antagonistic crap as usual.

Get original, Putz. You're too old to be THAT boring.

Steve

643. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 12:00:04 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021112070004.21163.00004021@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 54932

>Subject: Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 11/10/02 5:03 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021110180324.19883.00000029@mb-df.aol.com>
>
>So only those that favor Morse code can participate in a policy net? This is
>very biased and unfair!
>
>Both no coders and coders should be included.

How? How do you propose that I communicate with a "no coder" in
Washington state for a live, real-time net when I am in southeastern
Tennessee...?

Sorry...You lose.

Steve, K4YZ

644. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 12:06:45 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021112070645.21163.00004023@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 54933

>Subject: Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/11/02 12:38 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021111133801.29069.00000483@mb-mg.aol.com>

>>"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote
>>
>>> So only those that favor Morse code can participate in a policy net? This
>is
>>> very biased and unfair!
>>>
>>> Both no coders and coders should be included.

>In article <Q0Cz9.5283$Aq5.572553@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
><KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:

>>Take it up with the FCC.

>...and don't ever forget to sit in the back of the bus on your way
>there...

The entire point is moot...."Vshah" has admitted to ALSO being an
unlicensed antagonist, much like Lennie the Loser. Both can sit on the
sidelines and stew while we take our turns at the mic, rather than take ANY
seat "on the bus".

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA

Peas in a pod.

Putzs...Or is it putzii...???

645. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 12:18:42 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021112071842.21163.00004024@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 54934

>Subject: Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/11/02 12:38 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021111133802.29069.00000484@mb-mg.aol.com>

>Why is any morse test passing required at all?

Laws....treaties....regulations...All thoroughly beated to death.

>Moving off of a newsgroup and into a licensed-amateurs-only
> venue seems to be just an effort to get rid of "disturbing" or
> unwanted commentary by those who do not share your
> personal opinions

OR....It COULD be an attempt to utilize the very licenses that we all (or most all, anyway) possess.

>Anyplace else that would be called
> denial...or wearing blinders or ear protectors ("hear no evil,
> see no evil" monkeyshines).

You are welcome to step right on down to the nearest VE session and spend 30 or 45 minutes passing the tests so that YOU may drive over to the local HRO shoppe and add the necessary equipment to your "SWL shack" to join us.

No one will deny you the opportunity to do so.

>All civil radio regulations are for the entire citizenry and are in
> the public domain. Commentary in, of, about them should also
> be in public.

And so they shall...I can almost swear that NONE of us will be using any crypto gear to effect our communications in, Your Putziness.

>>Be there, or be square.
>
> ...or be elitist or in a certain clique, withdrawing from "bad" public
> commentary on radio regulations that apply to all citizens.

I do not recall any provision in Part 97 denying you (or anyone else in particular) access to an Amateur license, Lennie. And once you ahve it, you will be free to join ANY net you care to join, in any mode you care to use, on any subject you care to discuss...NOT that anyone will care to discuss it WITH you...

I doubt you could find a test by a VE who could get you onto the FCC database by THIS Wednesday, Herr Geezer, however I am sure you could be licensed and on-the-air by NEXT Wednesday...IF you wanted to be...

Looking forward to hearing some not-so-familiar/familiar voices on the air...Two of which WON'T be there....!

K4YZ

646. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 12:21:36 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021112072136.21163.00004026@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 54935

>Subject: Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)
>From: "Dan/W4NTI" w4ntinospam@mindspring.com
>Date: 11/11/02 11:22 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <aqop0r$386$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>

>That frequency at that time ....the only 'net' will be via groundwave.

Dan's right. An earlier hour?

73

Steve, K4YZ

647. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 12:24:58 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021112072458.21163.00004027@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 54936

>Subject: Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/11/02 12:38 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021111133803.29069.00000486@mb-mg.aol.com>

> Veteran, US Army 1952-1960

No doubt due to the sense of humor and tolerance of some very lenient
superiors.

648. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 12:33:58 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021112073358.04214.00000462@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 54937

In article <3DD08B08.62669DA9@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>Saturday afternoon on 10 meters SSB on that freq. would work fine (unless a
>contest is going on).

That could work.

>Or 28.005 CW.

Not open to Novices or Techs who have passed Element 1. I think you meant
28.105.

The first rrap on-air group was on CW.

>Chase off any freebanders :-)

That's why Tech+s and Novices got 10 meters in the first place...

>4PM EST would work across the CONUS.

Works out to 1 PM on the West Coast. Certainly possible.

73 de Jim, N2EY

649. Re: 73 and thanks for all the Q's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 12:56:39 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211120456.2d69c4d4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54938

Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:<3DD0898E.269C8E88@ix.netcom.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:<usu4p9c32oc3c7@corp.supernews.com>...
> > > I'm going to have to turn in my ticket. I forgot the cw combination to
> > > shift cases (I remember the teletypes **did** have a letters and figures key
> > > to shift).
> >
> > It has a bell, too.
> >
> > Ding, ding, ding.
>
> I understand that it was frowned upon to ring that bell when calling CQ.
>
> I remember some silly games played with old teletypes in the college ham club in
> the 1970s. Like running a paper tape with the holes encoded to produce:
> "Hi, I'm (victim of fun poking), I'm going to jerk off now.
> (up shift, down shift, up shift, down shift, up shift, down shift, up shift, down shift,
> up shift, down shift, up shift, down shift, up shift, down shift, up shift, down shift,
> up shift, down shift, up shift, down shift). Ahhhhhh..... "
>
> No, we didn't send that over the air!

Christmas Eve, remote tour Korea, w/o family. The wx station was
quiet, then jingle bells started playing. It was nice. I'll never
forget the sound of jingle bells played on a teletype.

Of course, there was all the usual NOTAMS and PIREPS about a fat guy
in a red suit and eight tiny reindeer.

650. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 13:02:21 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211120502.e623113@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54939

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<aqq2ks$100$0@208.206.142.89>...
> Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> > Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > <A whole lot of stuff snipped>
> >
> > > Those who advocated keeping the code test have yet to validate it
> > > for practical purpose in regulation. All they can come up with is the
> > > trite "it is what hams DO" or that they had to test for it, therefore
> > > all others have to also. Strictly personal preferences embelished
> > > with self-described amateur patriotism as if their way was the only
> > > way. Invalid.
> > >
> > > Leonard H. Anderson
> > > Life Member IEEE
> > > ex-RA16408336, US Army 1952-1960
> > >
> >
> > Quite frankly, your characterization of me as a PCTA is amusing. If you
> > want to know what I think of as the "epitome" or "pinnacle" of the ARS
> > is, I think it is homebrewing.
> >
> > I am amazed at your ability to read between lines to the point of
> > exclusion of the content of the letter.
> >
> > You seem to like to equate my posts as some sort of attack on no-coders.
> > I can assure you it is not, but I think you are trying to intimidate me
> > into not posting. Why would you do this> Should I not post my opinions here?
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> Mike we long ago learned to conclusion that Anderson hates ham radio
> with his entire being. Why that is so we can't conclusively say, but it
> is more than apparent it has something to do with his inability to cause
> himself to qualify for a top ham radio license, thus he wants nothing to
> do with any of it other than to use his ample idle time to continuously
> denigrate all of ham radio and everyone who is involved in it, save his
> little butty Brian Nurke who is about a sad a case as is Anderson.

Mike, DICK, I see none of that in his postings. I see Len putting
forth a very rational argument for the elimination of all code
testing. I think Len would be the last person to try to keep someone

from posting their opinions. On the other hand, I see a lot of maneuvering to keep Len from participating here.

73, Brian

651. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 13:04:22 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211120504.168389b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54940

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<aqq1u7uts0@208.206.142.89>...
> WA8ULX wrote:
> >
> > >OFLMAO!!! You dig holes as quickly and deeply as good 'ol Larry.
> > >
> > >Kim W5TIT
> > >
> >
> > So TIT, when are you going to Post a Picture of your TITs on the Internet.
> > Maybe you could come up with a WEB Site with your TITS displayed next to your 2
> > Meter CBHT.
>
>
> Oh, she had her photo on QRZ when she first came onto rrap but soon
> took it off. I doubt she wanted too many people to know what she looks
> like. As one who saw the photo, that comes as no surprise.

DICK, post your photo. I want to see if you have beady eyes, a tiny
little head, a hump on your shoulder,...

652. Re: RP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 13:06:16 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211120506.31841e79@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54941

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<aqq0dk$r4o$0@208.206.142.89>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Jim, we've got a functional illiterate on here who recently passed his Extra.
>
>
>
> But, but, but..... you said the exams today are much more rigerous!!!

Rigerous?

I never said that.

I said "rigorous."

And I never ruled out VEC corruption.

653. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 13:09:36 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211120509.627a9416@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54942

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021111220555.25465.00000245@mb-ch.aol.com>...
> >Broose sat on his lard butt and waited for the "Great Dumbing Down" of
> >the ARS, then while bad mouthing everyone else snuck in and got Extra.
> >
> >
>
> Bruce only did it for 1 reason. A bunch of No-Code Flunkies bet me $250.00 I
> couldnt pass it with out studying. Well not only did I take there $250.00, I
> also had the pleasure of laughing at them because they flunked there upgrade
> Now for you, you not only set on your Dead ASS and whinned, like a little boy,
> about how you were being mistreated and being punished because of CW Testing.
> And here it is, about a year for you, and you still havent Upgraded to the Give
> Away Extra.Why, because your either to damn dumb, or you would prefer to whine
> some more in Hopes that the FCC will give you another Hand Out.

Great Story! Bruce, you need to have this one published in QST. If
you start now you might make the April issue, you know how far in
advance they lay out the magazine.

654. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 13:12:41 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211120512.6888e9b0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54943

"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:<aqps0i01nk7@enews3.newsguy.com>...
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:f45722ac.0211111814.6c08f4ce@posting.google.com...
> > wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
> news:<20021110195913.23999.00006058@mb-md.aol.com>...
>
> > The inability to spell and poor grammar works better for him over at
> > the food stamp office.
>
> I make typos from time to time, and I sometimes forget to check spelling and
> grammar. I admit that I am not perfect. But when someone like Babbling Bruce
> comes along, and doesn't care how they make themselves appear, they deserve
> every bit of ridicule that can be heaped upon them. He's too stupid to
> realize that the "CB plussers" are laughing at him.

Krell, yes, everyone makes typos, but Bruice sees it as some kind of
badge of courage. The rest of us see it as the mark of a functional
illiterate.

655. Re: GMRS over-the-counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 13:33:10 UTC
From: Bob Thomas <Avondales@bthomasavondales.com>
Message-ID: <aqqvtf$5rd$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 54945

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:aqpshj$317$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> FYI...
>
> Just returned from some shopping and noticed that GMRS portables are
> being marketed in "Sam's Club" (similar store to BJ's, Coostco, etc.).
> The sign did say FCC license required...but it didn't say it was required
> to purchase. Price was $59 for a pair complete with charger units.
>
> Interestingly, the units are "made" by Southwestern Bell.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
>
I have seen them in Circuit City and even Walgreens. Just for
the heck of it, I ask store personnel about the license. Many
clerks will say it is not necessary to apply for a license. The papers
are there just to fulfill a legal requirement to sell the units in the
store.

B. Thomas

656. Re: GMRS over-the-counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 14:40:49 UTC
From: johniacono2@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021112094049.22629.00000035@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 54946

>Many
>clerks will say it is not necessary to apply for a license. The papers
>are there just to fulfill a legal requirement to sell the units in the
>store.

That just shows what clerks do not know. More than likely, it is a managment policy in order to sell radios. I fight this battle with the managment where I work all the time.

657. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 15:11:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DD11A23.47BE3D23@earthlink.net>
Source record: 54947

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > > Unfortunately, the "expertise" self-description of use of morse code
> > > as the paramount method of communication is a label applied by
> > > only the PCTA regulars in this chatroom. It is virtual, not real.
> > >
> > Only a couple of regulars here consider morse use to be "paramount".
>
> Hmmm, who might they be, Dave? I don't recall ever reading anyone here
> who espoused that view, although many of the codebashing whining idiots
> insist on accusing some CW supporters of that inanity.

On reflection, Larry might be the only one. By the way, I'm quite
amused over Len and Cutie Boy's replacement debating the inequity of
having a r.r.a.p. roundtable on the air.

Dave K8MN

658. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 15:21:32 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqr69m$db6$3@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54948

How did you do on the CW test? Or should I say ... how long did it take
for you to go to sleep listening to it?

Dan/W4NTI

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021112004633.04047.00000162@mb-cg.aol.com...
> >My high school English teacher would grade your composition paper "F"
> >if you wrote "there" for their".
>
> This isnt an English Class
>
> >Besides, how would the no-coders know
> >if you snuck a look at the question pools the night before the test late
> >at night at home alone?
>
> Because the Fools were at a Hamfest preparing to take the test. Thats
when
> they made the Bet, and I just walked in with them, and took it. I got 100%
and
> the 2 Fools failed. The Extra written was a Joke. It took me 8 minutes
from the
> time I started till the time I finished. Oh, and the No-Coders are still
> No-Coders and still have no Clue what there doing.
>
>

659. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 15:25:16 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqr6gkmsr1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54949

Why are the CBplussers laughing at him? Because he makes a few typos or a minor spelling error? I think their laughing at him because their too ignorant to understand what he is trying to say to them. You too apparantly.

Dan/W4NTI

"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:aqps0i01nk7@enews3.newsguy.com...
>
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:f45722ac.0211111814.6c08f4ce@posting.google.com...
> > wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
> news:<20021110195913.23999.00006058@mb-md.aol.com>...
>
> > The inability to spell and poor grammar works better for him over at
> > the food stamp office.
>
> I make typos from time to time, and I sometimes forget to check spelling and
> grammar. I admit that I am not perfect. But when someone like Babbling Bruce
> comes along, and doesn't care how they make themselves appear, they deserve
> every bit of ridicule that can be heaped upon them. He's too stupid to
> realize that the "CB plussers" are laughing at him.
>
>

660. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 15:26:38 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqr6j6$mh9$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54950

You know what amazes me? Yes the discussions are basically the same....and
NOW the CW is basically history....you wannabe hams are STILL COMPLAINING.

Dan/W4NTI

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0211111838.5699cb9e@posting.google.com...
> "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:<aqpcve42oqj@enews4.newsguy.com>...
> > Larry,
> >
> > The thing I find to be most ironic, is that the same issues occuring now
are
> > almost the same issues that I have been reading in the early 70's ham
> > mags..... Not much has changed in 30 years I guess...
>
> Except that the Morse Exam should have gone away thirty years ago.

661. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 15:38:20 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021112103820.06614.00000064@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 55001

>You know what amazes me? Yes the discussions are basically the same....and
>NOW the CW is basically history....you wannabe hams are STILL COMPLAINING.
>
>Dan/W4NTI

Dan the only reason they are still Whinning ,is because they are still trying to justify there Dumb Down License. The CW test is a Joke, the written is an even a Bigger Joke, and they know they can never come up to Real Ham Status, because the FCC took that away from them. So now they are stuck with a Dumb Down CBplusser License, and they can never obtain REAL HAM STATUS.

662. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 15:40:05 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021112104005.08282.00000031@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 55002

>Subject: Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 11/12/02 9:11 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3DD11A23.47BE3D23@earthlink.net>

>By the way, I'm quite
>amused over Len and Cutie Boy's replacement debating the inequity of
>having a r.r.a.p. roundtable on the air.

Dittos. As if policy matters weren't discussed until there was an
Internet on which to do it...!!!

What a pair, eh?

73

Steve, K4YZ

663. Re: GMRS over-the-counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 16:59:41 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ut2csea1o51578@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55003

This is why MURS was created. Too many radios weren't being licensed. I see this occurring for the UHF radios also. No one wants to bother with rules (especially the vendors). One of my co-workers bought a boat two years ago and was seeing who he could talk to from his boat radio - while the thing was sitting in his driveway!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Bob Thomas" <Avondales@bthomasavondales.com> wrote in message news:aqqvtf$5rd$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:aqpshj$317$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> > FYI...
> >
> > Just returned from some shopping and noticed that GMRS portables are
> > being marketed in "Sam's Club" (similar store to BJ's, Coostco, etc.).
> > The sign did say FCC license required...but it didn't say it was required
> > to purchase. Price was $59 for a pair complete with charger units.
> >
> > Interestingly, the units are "made" by Southwestern Bell.
> >
> > Cheers,
> > Bill K2UNK
> >
> >
> I have seen them in Circuit City and even Walgreens. Just for
> the heck of it, I ask store personnel about the license. Many
> clerks will say it is not necessary to apply for a license. The papers
> are there just to fulfill a legal requirement to sell the units in the
> store.
>
> B. Thomas
>
>
>
>

664. Re: GMRS over-the-counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 18:08:28 UTC
From: spoonerism ouananiche <ole4sleaky@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3dd143a5@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 55004

Our WalMart is selling them like that also.
GMRS and FRS radios side-by-side.
"Plug and Play" sale - wonder how long it
will be B4 we see cheap Chinese 2 Meter HT's
sold the same way at WalMart??

Ps: check out truckstops also - cash & carry.
FRS and GMRS in blister packs - add batteries
and your on the air......

(most truckers are now using FRS radios
in additionm to CH-19)

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:aqpshj$317$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> FYI...
>
> Just returned from some shopping and noticed that GMRS portables are
> being marketed in "Sam's Club" (similar store to BJ's, Coostco, etc.).
> The sign did say FCC license required...but it didn't say it was required
> to purchase. Price was $59 for a pair complete with charger units.
>
> Interestingly, the units are "made" by Southwestern Bell.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
>
>

665. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 18:08:51 UTC
From: K HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <TsbA9.992$Ta6.98079@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 55005

I'm not sure why her 'looks' have anything to do with rrap, but I remember she looked like your typical "lady down the street" .... just sort of 'regular'.

 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:aqq1u7uts0@208.206.142.89...
:
:
: WA8ULX wrote:
: >
: > >OFLMAO!!! You dig holes as quickly and deeply as good 'ol Larry.
: > >
: > >Kim W5TIT
: > >
: >
: > So TIT, when are you going to Post a Picture of your TITs on the Internet.
: > Maybe you could come up with a WEB Site with your TITS displayed next to your 2
: > Meter CBHT.
:
:
: Oh, she had her photo on QRZ when she first came onto rrap but soon
: took it off. I doubt she wanted too many people to know what she looks
: like. As one who saw the photo, that comes as no surprise.
:

666. Re: GMRS over-the-counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 18:33:55 UTC
From: Doug <TexasT@dougstexas.org>
Message-ID: <aqrhhb$b0i$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 55006

The Rat Shacks here in Houston sell a limited selection of
marine radios. I've heard people buying them make comments
like, there's no CB crazies on them and I got one in both cars
and at home, they're good for keeping the family in contact without
having to pay cell phone bills, etc. It never occurs to them they
need a license, and the clerks just want to make a sale. I could be
wrong, but I think all this sort of thing started after the FCC closed
its monitoring stations around the country in 1996. A shame, but I
guess nobody cares anymore.

Doug in Houston

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:ut2csea1o51578@corp.supernews.com...
> This is why MURS was created. Too many radios weren't being licensed. I
> see this occurring for the UHF radios also. No one wants to bother with
> rules (especially the vendors). One of my co-workers bought a boat two
> years ago and was seeing who he could talk to from his boat radio - while
> the thing was sitting in his driveway!
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
> "Bob Thomas" <Avondales@bthomasavondales.com> wrote in message
> news:aqqvtf$5rd$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:aqpshj$317$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > FYI...
> > >
> > > Just returned from some shopping and noticed that GMRS portables are
> > > being marketed in "Sam's Club" (similar store to BJ's, Coostco, etc.).
> > > The sign did say FCC license required...but it didn't say it was
> required
> > > to purchase. Price was $59 for a pair complete with charger units.
> > >
> > > Interestingly, the units are "made" by Southwestern Bell.
> > >
> > > Cheers,
> > > Bill K2UNK
> > >
> > >
> > I have seen them in Circuit City and even Walgreens. Just for
> > the heck of it, I ask store personnel about the license. Many

> > clerks will say it is not necessary to apply for a license. The papers
> > are there just to fulfill a legal requirement to sell the units in the
> > store.
> >
> > B. Thomas
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

667. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 19:02:18 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021112140218.21317.00000620@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 55008

In article <LqTz9.6195$tW4.758089@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Ki¿½HB"
<KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:

>"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote
>
>: Why is any morse test passing required at all?
>
>I have no idea. Take it up with the PTCA.

I have. They refuse to discuss the subject. They are only
interested in insulting NCTAs.

>: Aren't the "specifications" you've outlined based on your own
>: personal preferences, traditional aspects, and all that?
>
>No.

Questionable. Your commentary, intermittent as it is, is clearly
on the side of morse code as a personal preference and in the
encouragement of others to use that mode...strong enough to put
you on the PCTA side.

Removal of the code test will result in greater freedom to get into
amateur radio, enjoying the many non-morse-code aspects of that
hobby. Morse code mode or on-off keying codings are not
mandated by US amateur radio regulations for any US radio
amateur. Any US radio amateur has the option of choice for any
allocated mode or modulation.

>: Moving off of a newsgroup and into a licensed-amateurs-only
>: venue seems to be just an effort to get rid of "disturbing" or
>: unwanted commentary by those who do not share your
>: personal opinions.
>
>It may seem that way to you, but I have invited everyone to participate, and
>have suggested a way that even unlicensed folks can participate.

Yes, it "seems that way" to me. This newsgroup ALREADY EXISTS.

Your "invitation" is open ONLY to already-licensed amateurs due to
EM spectrum regulations in the USA. In the face of an already-
existing public venue for discussion, the "invitation" is viewed as a
rather elitist grouping of participants.

>: All civil radio regulations are for the entire citizenry and are in
>: the public domain. Commentary in, of, about them should also
>: be in public.

>
>28.200MHz is of course a frequency which can be monitored by the entire
>citizenry, even if they chose not to participate directly.

This newsgroup already exists, is public and not moderated.
Further, the messages and discussion content are locally stored
and distributed, are not intangible as are most radio
communications. You have not given details of any freedom of
expression on this proposed amateur-radio-only gathering.

>: ...or be elitist or in a certain clique, withdrawing from "bad" public
>: commentary on radio regulations that apply to all citizens.
>
>I haven't suggested any sort of "withdrawal", and all citizens are still
>free to give "bad" public commentary.

Perhaps you are subconsciously paraphrasing Senator Goldwater's
presidential campaign phrasing to be: Elitism in the defense of
old-time amateur radio is not a vice. :-)

Len Anderson
Veteran, US Army 1952-1960

668. Re: RP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 19:02:19 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021112140219.21317.00000621@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 55010

In article <20021111220807.06308.00000461@mb-fm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <5EGz9.5793$Aq5.620401@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
><KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:
>
>>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote
>>
>>> At any rate, the lack of non-English exams at most VE sessions effectively
>>> requires that prospective hams know English well enough to take the test.
>>
>>I'm sure some ACLU types might get excited about that, but since there are
>>many major languages and hundreds (perhaps thousands) of dialects spoken in
>>the world, I guess I'd think it pretty likely that you are correct.
>
>Sounds reasonable.
>
>>And it doesn't bother me a bit.
>
>Nor I.
>
>>If I go to Italy or Russia or Mongolia or Mexico
>>or Brazil or Ghana and wish to take an Amateur Radio test, I'll expect to
>>have to be fluent in the mother tongue of that country in order to
>>understand the test material.
>
>Yup.
>
>And from the earliest days, Morse code has been the "mother tongue" of
>amateur radio.

In the "earliest days" of ALL RADIO, on-off codings were the ONLY
practical means of conveying any intelligence in that medium.

The ONLY practical means with primitive radio technology.

To say that on-off morse codings are the "mother tongue" is to
say that damped sinewave generation through "spark" or rotary
generators are the "mother" of all transmitters. No one "speaks"
spark or Alexanderson alternators these days.

International Morse Code became the "mother tongue" of all on-off
keying codes by international agreement, not by any other means.
Up to that international agreement, the number of different on-off
codings in international use in all of radio, amateurs included, were
several dozen.

When radio first began (recognized as 1806), teleprinting telegraphy
was already in use and beginning to make inroads into landline
telegraphy, displacing manual telegraphy. By the time of the first
US radio regulatory agency (1912), teleprinting telegraphy was
increasing on landlines, so much so that it was a definite threat to
all manual telegraphy, both nationally and internationally. So much
so that international agreements were sought to achieve a common
standard to permit international communications. The first, the 5-
level "Baudot" code, is not considered the "mother tongue" of
teleprinting telegraphy, yet it was already carrying more "traffic" in
landline communications than manual telegraphy.

>>Life's a bitch, ain't it!
>
>Not at all. Quite wonderful, actually, if one takes the journey between
>eternities wisely. Kurt Vonnegut is still with us at age 80, and his books
>will be with us as long as we preserve them. That's a good thing.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>re-reading Slaughterhouse Five on Veterans Day.

Len Anderson
...back from Veterans Day ceremonies shared with fellow veterans
of the US military

669. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 19:02:20 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021112140220.21317.00000622@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 55007

In article <aqr6j6$mh9$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> writes:

>You know what amazes me? Yes the discussions are basically the same....and
>NOW the CW is basically history....you wannabe hams are STILL COMPLAINING.
>
>Dan/W4NTI

Test element 1 for a US amateur radio license having below-30-MHz
privileges has NOT been eliminated.

ITU-R Recommendation S25.5 remains the same as it was a year
ago, two years ago. WRC-03 is not until next year.

...and the PCTAs are STILL COMPLAINING about alleged
complaints of NCTAs.

Len Anderson
Veteran, US Army 1952-1960

670. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 19:02:21 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021112140221.21317.00000623@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 55009

In article <f45722ac.0211120502.e623113@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
>news:<aqq2ks$100$0@208.206.142.89>...
>> Mike Coslo wrote:
>> >
>> > Len Over 21 wrote:
>> >
>> > > Those who advocated keeping the code test have yet to validate it
>> > > for practical purpose in regulation. All they can come up with is
>the
>> > > trite "it is what hams DO" or that they had to test for it,
>therefore
>> > > all others have to also. Strictly personal preferences embelished
>> > > with self-described amateur patriotism as if their way was the only
>> > > way. Invalid.
>> > >
>> > > Leonard H. Anderson
>> > > Life Member IEEE
>> > > ex-RA16408336, US Army 1952-1960
>> >
>> > Quite frankly, your characterization of me as a PCTA is amusing.
>If you
>> > want to know what I think of as the "epitome" or "pinnacle" of the ARS
>> > is, I think it is homebrewing.
>> >
>> > I am amazed at your ability to read between lines to the point of
>> > exclusion of the content of the letter.
>> >
>> > You seem to like to equate my posts as some sort of attack on
>no-coders.
>> > I can assure you it is not, but I think you are trying to intimidate me
>> > into not posting. Why would you do this> Should I not post my opinions
>here?
>> >
>> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>> Mike we long ago learned to conclusion that Anderson hates ham radio
>> with his entire being. Why that is so we can't conclusively say, but it
>> is more than apparent it has something to do with his inability to cause
>> himself to qualify for a top ham radio license, thus he wants nothing to
>> do with any of it other than to use his ample idle time to continuously
>> denigrate all of ham radio and everyone who is involved in it, save his

>> little butty Brian Nurke who is about a sad a case as is Anderson.
>
>Mike, DICK, I see none of that in his postings. I see Len putting
>forth a very rational argument for the elimination of all code
>testing. I think Len would be the last person to try to keep someone
>from posting their opinions. On the other hand, I see a lot of
>maneuvering to keep Len from participating here.

"Rational arguments" are not wanted by some. Rational arguments threaten their boasts of superiority, self-perception of expertise in an avocational radio activity.

The FCC is tasked with regulation of all civil US radio and accepts input/commentary from all citizens, in or out of any particular radio service. Those who wish to preserve their own standing in a group, to rationalize that position, all wish to exclude any they perceive to be "threatening" to them...hence the bullying tactics, intimidation, personal insults, and charges of "not being one of 'us'" to attempt exclusion from discussion.

Bullies and insulters cannot (observably) argue a subject. They are fixed-tuned to low-level thinking and must attack the person of anyone with an opposite-to-theirs opinion. Ordinarily, that might be generalized as a survival tactic. By appearances in here, all it becomes is a form of sociopathy known as bullying.

Interestingly, the majority of the bully-insulter group are PCTAs. Removal of the code test would end their federal guarantee of certificated skill in a singular aspect of radio operation. In short, they would no longer have "bragging rights" to their "superiority." All the claims to "brotherhood in a community" sound farcical when they go into bully-insult-intimidation mode about the code test removal. Any change in regulations is a threat to survival through braggadoccio. See terms like "extra lite," "nickel extra" and "pre-restructuring (superiority)." All are used to demean new US radio amateurs in order to boost their own self-perceived standings.

Len Anderson
Veteran, US Army 1952-1960

671. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 19:46:52 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DD15AAC.4020305@psu.edu>
Source record: 55011

Brian wrote:

>
> Mike, DICK, I see none of that in his postings. I see Len putting
> forth a very rational argument for the elimination of all code
> testing. I think Len would be the last person to try to keep someone
> from posting their opinions. On the other hand, I see a lot of
> maneuvering to keep Len from participating here.

A couple thoughts Brian:

The thread isn't even about elimination of CW. It's about an initial invitation to get involved in a contest, then a Mr Vshah who apparently thinks that most aspects of ham radio are not to his interest.

Not every thread has to be about the elimination of CW. It's a boring subject to me at least. Now if we wanted to discuss the post CW world for amateurs, that might be worthwhile.

As for Mr Anderson's arguments about elimination of CW, sure, they are pretty fair arguments. But they are put together in a way that is not going to win many converts. But then, I doubt that is the purpose.

But then, IIR, he believes that manners are a sign of weakness.

- Mike KB3EIA -

672. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 19:52:58 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <u_cA9.1181$Ta6.113987@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 55012

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote

:
: Questionable. Your commentary, intermittent as it is, is clearly
: on the side of morse code as a personal preference and in the
: encouragement of others to use that mode...strong enough to put
: you on the PCTA side.
:

I am certainly a flag waving member of the PCU(se)A, but I'm not a member of the PCT(est)A. I think a lot of others here are (K2UNK comes to mind) similarly inclined. I doubt that I'm the only person who has both a FISTS number and an NCI number, since the two are not incompatible in their goals. FISTS promotes the USE of Morse, NCI promotes the end of Morse TESTS. I find no conflict in supporting both of those aims.

:
: Removal of the code test will result in greater freedom to get into
: amateur radio, enjoying the many non-morse-code aspects of that
: hobby.
:

See my public comments to FCC on this matter. Do you find any disagreement?

:
: This newsgroup already exists, is public and not moderated.
: Further, the messages and discussion content are locally stored
: and distributed, are not intangible as are most radio
: communications. You have not given details of any freedom of
: expression on this proposed amateur-radio-only gathering.
:

There are virtually thousands and thousands of "amateur-radio-only" gatherings occurring every day, each of which has absolute freedom of expression (within the bounds of avoiding foul and obscene language). To my personal knowledge, those gatherings have discussed (among other things) Morse testing for over 40 years, long before this newsgroup was available.

As you have correctly pointed out many times, the amateur radio spectrum is very public venue and we are prohibited from disguising the meaning of our transmissions. Therefore your objection to an on-the-air gathering of hams who also meet on rrap is puzzling. We promise not to talk in secret codes (the QRG chosen is voice) to hide our nefarious ideas.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.

http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

673. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 21:48:54 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021112164854.15435.00000146@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 55013

>Subject: Re: The Future of Packet Radio
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/12/02 1:02 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021112140220.21317.00000622@mb-cf.aol.com>

>Test element 1 for a US amateur radio license having below-30-MHz
> privileges has NOT been eliminated.

ITU S25 has not been repealed. The United States has reduced, to the lowest reasonable level, the code test that still meets the spirit and letter of the law.

You remember the "law", don't you, Lennie...?!?!

>Veteran, US Army 1952-1960

I suppose you do. You claim to have been a veteran of the Armed Forces, therefore I have to assume that you remember the oath you took to uphold and defend the Constitution of the United States...The SAME Constitution which empowers Congress to make treaties with other Nations. It also requires that we honor our promises to adhere to those treaties.

Leonard H. Anderson, a known pathological liar and antagonist in this forum, would have the uninformed and unaware believe that there is some conspiracy afoot to deny "the deserving" an Amateur Radio license.

What hog wash.

You DO have some guts, Lennie...constantly bulldozing forward with your insane rhetoric and unfounded black helo theories on Amateur Radio Policy. I say guts because it must take some to constantly make so ready a fool of yourself in public.

Thanks for the laughs, Lennie!

674. Re: GMRS over-the-counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 21:49:47 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqrtcjaur1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55014

"Bob Thomas" <Avondales@bthomasavondales.com> wrote in message
news:aqqvtf$5rd$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:aqpshj$317$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> > FYI...
> >
> > Just returned from some shopping and noticed that GMRS portables are
> > being marketed in "Sam's Club" (similar store to BJ's, Coostco, etc.).
> > The sign did say FCC license required...but it didn't say it was
required
> > to purchase. Price was $59 for a pair complete with charger units.
> >
> > Interestingly, the units are "made" by Southwestern Bell.
> > Cheers,
> > Bill K2UNK

> I have seen them in Circuit City and even Walgreens. Just for
> the heck of it, I ask store personnel about the license. Many
> clerks will say it is not necessary to apply for a license. The papers
> are there just to fulfill a legal requirement to sell the units in the
> store.
> B. Thomas

Sure has the making of another CB debacle.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

>
>
>

675. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 22:08:13 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021112170813.15435.00000151@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 55015

>Subject: Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/12/02 1:02 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021112140218.21317.00000620@mb-cf.aol.com>

>Removal of the code test will result in greater freedom to get into
> amateur radio, enjoying the many non-morse-code aspects of that
> hobby. Morse code mode or on-off keying codings are not
> mandated by US amateur radio regulations for any US radio
> amateur. Any US radio amateur has the option of choice for any
> allocated mode or modulation

Heard this rhetoric before. Back in 1990, just before they enacted the No Code Technician. We were going to get hoardes of new licensees in the service due to the removal of the Morse Code requirement from the Technician Class license.

The elimination of the Morse Code exam from the Technician license granted access to 97% of all U.S. Amateur allocations

We also heard such rhetoric when the Novice Class license was "enhanced" to allow voice on 10 meters and allowed new VHF voice privileges. (1987...?)

Neither provided the leaps or bounds expected. Growth rate/losses have remained almost constant.

>>It may seem that way to you, but I have invited everyone to participate, and
>>have suggested a way that even unlicensed folks can participate.
>
>Yes, it "seems that way" to me. This newsgroup ALREADY EXISTS.

Your only regret is that you won't have any way to antagonize us on the air. No problem. I am sure you can record, then have transcribed, the comments from all participants, then take great lengths to respond to each in turn here.

None of your "rights" have been abridged.

Furthermore, Amateur Radio policy matters have been a subject of discussion on those very same airways since the inception of Amateur Radio, and your whining about where certain discussion should be held is hillarious.

>Your "invitation" is open ONLY to already-licensed amateurs due to
> EM spectrum regulations in the USA. In the face of an already-
> existing public venue for discussion, the "invitation" is viewed as a
> rather elitist grouping of participants.

A course of action has already been made available to you. Go to one of your "token" Amateur buddies, tell them what you want to do, and I am sure, considering your great wealth of radio knowledge, will let you sit down in front of the mic of their stations.

>This newsgroup already exists, is public and not moderated.

So what? Someone creates an on-line newsgroup therefore all other venues of discussion are now illegal or inappropriate?

> Further, the messages and discussion content are locally stored
> and distributed, are not intangible as are most radio
> communications. You have not given details of any freedom of
> expression on this proposed amateur-radio-only gathering.

What details does he need? You want a "record"...? Tape record it and have it transcribed.

>Len Anderson

Creep.

676. Re: RP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 22:12:31 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021112171231.15435.00000152@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 55016

>Subject: Re: RP
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/12/02 1:02 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021112140219.21317.00000621@mb-cf.aol.com>

>Len Anderson
> ...back from Veterans Day ceremonies shared with fellow veterans
> of the US military

I am sure they'd second guess the company they were keeping if they knew how you've tried to "embellish" your military career in this forum.

Putz.

677. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 22:28:23 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021112172823.15435.00000160@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 55017

>Subject: Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/12/02 1:02 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021112140221.21317.00000623@mb-cf.aol.com>

>"Rational arguments" are not wanted by some. Rational arguments
> threaten their boasts of superiority, self-perception of expertise in
> an avocational radio activity.

You have offered very little in "rational arguement" in this forum, Lennie. You start off with "rational" sounding rhetoric, then quickly digress into black helo theories and personal attacks.

>Bullies and insulters cannot (observably) argue a subject.

...But you DO try, Lennie...

>Ordinarily, that might
> be generalized as a survival tactic. By appearances in here, all it
> becomes is a form of sociopathy known as bullying.

You should know. You have tried your best to be good at it.

>Veteran, US Army 1952-1960

I find it interesting that when myself or any several others of this forum mention their previous service, we are blasted, by you, as trying to somehow make it relevent to Amateur Radio.

You also make quick work of anyone who suggests anything of historical or nostalgic value in Amateur Radio as being "stagnant" or some other equally insulting adjective. Obviously this does NOT apply to you...???

Once again Veteran's Day greetings were passed around, yet Leonard H. Anderson did not take any time to acknowledge or participate in those words of appreciation...Yet you take the opportunity to "brag" about attending Veteran-related functions outside this forum.

Of course I wouldn't expect that from a rear area widget who attempted to embellish his own service on the honor of those who fell in battle.

>Len Anderson

Creep.

678. Re: RP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 23:05:35 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <3PfA9.1438$Ta6.144835@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 55018

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote

:
: When radio first began (recognized as 1806), teleprinting telegraphy
: was already in use and beginning to make inroads into landline
: telegraphy, displacing manual telegraphy.
:

Really?

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

679. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 23:09:31 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqs1fk02dcm@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55021

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:aqr6gkmsr1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> Why are the CBplussers laughing at him? Because he makes a few typos or a
> minor spelling error? I think their laughing at him because their too
> ignorant to understand what he is trying to say to them. You too
> apparantly.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

...and you too, apparently, don't know the difference between "their" and
"they're"

680. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 23:14:03 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqs287tnn1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55019

I just had a heck of an idea.....how about all you half-hams learn CW?
Then you won't feel left out.

(Hey Bruce...how ya like that one....'HALF-HAMS' ??}

Dan/W4NTI

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021110201330.23999.00006064@mb-md.aol.com...
> >Uh, exactly what HF frequency would you suggest for the non-CW licensed
> >folks? :) However, a huge effort would be to accommodate those who
cannot
> >get on HF by providing relay messages into the net from those HF stations
> >who wish to and can hear local stations on non-CW licensed frequencies!
heh
> >heh
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
> >
>
> Your right, we would have to provide a means of allowing the Challenged
Folks,
> such as yourself, a way to fell you were not being left out.

681. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 23:21:21 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021112182121.01415.00000238@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 55020

>(Hey Bruce...how ya like that one....'HALF-HAMS' ??}
>
>Dan/W4NTI

I think you are giving them to much Credit Dan

682. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-12 23:44:18 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DD19270.7010807@adelphia.net>
Source record: 55022

Len Over 21 wrote:

> Your "invitation" is open ONLY to already-licensed amateurs due to
> EM spectrum regulations in the USA. In the face of an already-
> existing public venue for discussion, the "invitation" is viewed as a
> rather elitist grouping of participants.

You are quite welcome to come to my QTH and participate in the net. That may be impractical-I don't know your location.

Perhaps another ham here can help.

I don't know if you have any suggestions on a different way we can all get together, but if you do, let's hear them.

- Mike KB3EIA -

683. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 00:22:11 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqs634$31r$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55023

Let me help you a bit here 'Krell'. I dont give a shit if you don't like
the spelling or the proooooose. Pay attention to what is being said.
Otherwise shut the hell up.

Dan/W4NTI

"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aqs1fk02dcm@enews1.newsguy.com...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:aqr6gkmsr1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Why are the CBplussers laughing at him? Because he makes a few typos or
a
> > minor spelling error? I think their laughing at him because their too
> > ignorant to understand what he is trying to say to them. You too
> > apparantly.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
> ...and you too, apparently, don't know the difference between "their" and
> "they're"
>
>

684. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 00:22:47 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqs635$31r$2@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55024

Love it !!!....wonder if I spelled that right?

Dan/W4NTI

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021112182121.01415.00000238@mb-mu.aol.com...
> >(Hey Bruce...how ya like that one....'HALF-HAMS' ??}
> >
> >Dan/W4NTI
>
> I think you are giving them to much Credit Dan

685. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 00:27:32 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <ut37hc2vuntma3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55027

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021110201330.23999.00006064@mb-md.aol.com...
> >Uh, exactly what HF frequency would you suggest for the non-CW licensed
> >folks? :) However, a huge effort would be to accommodate those who
cannot
> >get on HF by providing relay messages into the net from those HF stations
> >who wish to and can hear local stations on non-CW licensed frequencies!
heh
> >heh
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
> >
>
> Your right, we would have to provide a means of allowing the Challenged
Folks,
> such as yourself, a way to fell you were not being left out.

In what way would I be left out, Mr. I-don't-have-a-brain?

Kim W5TIT

686. Re: GMRS over-the-counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 00:27:41 UTC
From: Bob Stevens <ganderatc@yahoo.ca>
Message-ID: <10hA9.11218$QZ.2717@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 55025

It's hard to enforce GMRS because, to the best of my knowledge, there is no identification required to use them (unlike ham radio, callsign ever 10 minutes), so most people just get by with it. I don't think they're used much except illegally, sad to say.

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message news:aqpshj$317$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> FYI...
>
> Just returned from some shopping and noticed that GMRS portables are
> being marketed in "Sam's Club" (similar store to BJ's, Coostco, etc.).
> The sign did say FCC license required...but it didn't say it was required
> to purchase. Price was $59 for a pair complete with charger units.
>
> Interestingly, the units are "made" by Southwestern Bell.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
>
>

687. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 00:39:09 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211121639.2984f3e1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55026

"K HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:<1sBz9.5224$Aq5.566643@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> We're a diverse crowd of individualists, each with strongly held ideas which
> often conflict with other strongly held ideas, and we bicker and fight here
> like a crowd of step-siblings who fight each other, sometimes I think just
> for the pleasure of bickering. Odds are pretty good that underneath it all
> we have a lot more in common than we really want to acknowledge.
>
> We're all (well, almost all) licensed hams, and most of us have passed at
> least a 5WPM Morse test.
>
> I propose a Rrap net, each Wednesday evening at 0300UTC (10 PM EST, 9 PM
> CST, 8 PM MST, 7 PM PST) on 28.350, a QRG available to everyone who has
> passed the 5WPM Morse test. If there's other traffic on that freq, we can
> just move up to the first clear spot.
>
> Be there, or be square.
>
> ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB

28.200 at 10pm eh? great troll, congratulations.

w3rv

lower case, the shift key on me paddles is broke.

688. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 00:41:25 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <ut38bbccf8pp02@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55028

"KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message
news:usu3ipccdprtd7@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> news:ustt3j40fu3f72@corp.supernews.com...
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > And, I disagree wholeheartedly with you. If I were in a net where I
heard
> > Larry sign on, I would expect that net to quickly degrade. So, I would
> not
> > welcome his involvement.
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
>
> So in other words if Larry was net control of an "emergency" net and
> you heard him running that net you would turn your back on helping
> because of your conflict with him. Seems to me to be a very petty
> reason for not helping out. If you can't put personalities aside long
> enough to do a needed job then maybe it's best that you didn't help.
>

Realistically? Realistically, it is my belief we'd never hear Larry as net control of an emergency net. So, I don't expect to ever encounter the situation you describe above. That having been said, it is not probable that I would be participating in a net wherein Larry was net control...as it seems to me he has stated himself many times that he does not even own a microphone. Since Larry would not be on a phone net, and I would not be on a CW net, we would not have to make any decisions about dealing with each other.

Moreover, if you cannot recognize my unwillingness to "work" with Larry, and that I realize that would be a detriment to a net, then I say you're making a pretty piss-poor decision to call me on that. I would much rather have persons with deeprooted dislikes for each other stay off a net than to have their participation. You're describing an emergency in quotation marks above--and I presume that to mean a typical emergency: weather, etc. However, in a true disaster, I would be hard pressed not to join and help. I don't know what the situation is like where you are, but here there is plenty, plenty of help--and those who choose to stay out of a net are hardly missed.

>
> And if Larry turned it into some kind of a CW contest for "real hams"

> only, he wouldn't be needed either, but somehow I don't think he would
> let his opinions stand in the way of doing the job at hand.
>
>
> --
> W.W. Warren - KB9TMP
> R.A.C.E.S. Officer - 59-605
> Orange County E.M.A.
>
>

It would be interesting, at best, to see/hear how Larry would handle an emergency net. I'll never forget one of my first encounters with Larry here, where he demanded that in an emergency I'd *have* to turn over my equipment to him and, if I did not, he would confiscate it. Like to see that happen, too.

By the way, last I was studying, Orange County emergency response operations from the amateur community should, in my opinion, be a model for many in the country. If what people have told me is true (that RACES/ARES work extremely close and well together; that other radio services are often employed; that non-amateurs are encouraged to participate for support services, etc.), then you should boiler-plate that and get the word out.

Kim W5TIT

689. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 00:48:32 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <ut38omlkpjo9c1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55030

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021111015202.11087.00001171@mb-fi.aol.com...
> In article <ust81b5fek9l42@corp.supernews.com>, "KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com>
> writes:
>
> >
> >I can say without a doubt that as the R.A.C.E.S. Officer for the
> >Orange County (Indiana) Emergency Management Agency.
> >If there was a disaster here, and I needed a good CW operator,
> >I would jump at the chance to have Larry volunteer his time.
> >When you have a need for people to do a job in an emergency,
> >you don't pick and choose them by their personality, you pick
> >them for two reasons only, their ABILITY and their
> >AVAILABILITY!
>
> And If I was able to help, all you'd get out of me is good CW
> operation! I wouldn't have time for any of the so-called "personality"
> crapola that Kim keeps whining about.
>
> Kim is one of these people who plays judge, jury, and executioner
> on people based solely on whether or not they rubber-stamp HER
> actions and opinions. Since I have a mind of my own, Kim is
> naturally threatened by that, and would do anything in her power
> to exclude me from participation in local amateur radio public
> service solely on that basis. Since I've had the audacity to
> challenge the propriety of her vanity call sign, which I consider to
> be demeaning to female hams and inappropriate for a family-oriented
> hobby/service such as ours, she's been on the outs with me.
> So be it. It's her problem, not mine.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>

OH GAWD, Larry...you're getting so rhetorical. You are--get this clear now,
full of shit about my callsign. Hey, tell ya what...why don't you challenge
it again, eh? Yeah, start another thread on my callsign. It's that time of
year and you're bored.

By the way, read all between "one" and "opinions" while you look at yourself
in the mirror. Heard yourself, didn't ya?

Kim W5TIT

690. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 00:54:28 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <ut393qg0955e59@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55031

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:aqq1u7uts0@208.206.142.89...
>
>
> WA8ULX wrote:
> >
> > >OFLMAO!!! You dig holes as quickly and deeply as good 'ol Larry.
> > >
> > >Kim W5TIT
> > >
> >
> > So TIT, when are you going to Post a Picture of your TITs on the
Internet.
> > Maybe you could come up with a WEB Site with your TITS displayed next to
your 2
> > Meter CBHT.
>
>
> Oh, she had her photo on QRZ when she first came onto rrap but soon
> took it off. I doubt she wanted too many people to know what she looks
> like. As one who saw the photo, that comes as no surprise.

I am smashingly gorgeous, Dick. I took it off because I couldn't stand the
thought of Larry drooling over it every night!

Kim W5TIT

691. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 00:56:53 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <ut398anoeasi8e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55029

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021112103820.06614.00000064@mb-fi.aol.com...
> >You know what amazes me? Yes the discussions are basically the
same....and
> >NOW the CW is basically history....you wannabe hams are STILL
COMPLAINING.
> >
> >Dan/W4NTI
>
> Dan the only reason they are still Whinning ,is because they are still
trying
> to justify there Dumb Down License. The CW test is a Joke, the written is
an
> even a Bigger Joke, and they know they can never come up to Real Ham
Status,
> because the FCC took that away from them. So now they are stuck with a
Dumb
> Down CBplusser License, and they can never obtain REAL HAM STATUS.
>

Oh Lord. Real? You mean as in equal to you? You have got to be kidding...

Kim W5TIT

692. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 00:58:27 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <ut39bej8475nbb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55033

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:aqs634$31r$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> Let me help you a bit here 'Krell'. I dont give a shit if you don't like
> the spelling or the proooooose. Pay attention to what is being said.
> Otherwise shut the hell up.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

heh heh heh...well, well, well. Krell, you got 'im.

Kim W5TIT

> "Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:aqs1fk02dcm@enews1.newsguy.com...
> >
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:aqr6gkmsr1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > Why are the CBplussers laughing at him? Because he makes a few typos
or
> a
> > > minor spelling error? I think their laughing at him because their too
> > > ignorant to understand what he is trying to say to them. You too
> > > apparantly.
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > ...and you too, apparently, don't know the difference between "their"
and
> > "they're"
> >
> >
>
>

693. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 01:00:39 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <ut39fe3i62d1f4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55036

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:aqs287tnn1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> I just had a heck of an idea.....how about all you half-hams learn CW?
> Then you won't feel left out.
>
> (Hey Bruce...how ya like that one....'HALF-HAMS' ??}
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

Uh, Dan...you're showing your slip. Hans' proposal was at 28.350, was it
not?

Kim W5TIT

> "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021110201330.23999.00006064@mb-md.aol.com...
> > >Uh, exactly what HF frequency would you suggest for the non-CW licensed
> > >folks? :) However, a huge effort would be to accommodate those who
> cannot
> > >get on HF by providing relay messages into the net from those HF
stations
> > >who wish to and can hear local stations on non-CW licensed frequencies!
> heh
> > >heh
> > >
> > >Kim W5TIT
> > >
> >
> > Your right, we would have to provide a means of allowing the Challenged
> Folks,
> > such as yourself, a way to fell you were not being left out.
>
>

694. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 01:11:54 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021112201154.09022.00000010@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 55032

>Love it !!!....wonder if I spelled that right?
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>

Dont you love the way they can only comment on spelling, they still have a terrible time trying to Justify there dumb down license.

695. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 01:14:40 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021112201440.09022.00000011@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 55034

Well TIT you finally came back, so where are those Puppies posted. If they look good, maybe you can get Credit for 5 WPM CW.

696. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 01:17:08 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021112201708.09022.00000012@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 55035

>Oh Lord. Real? You mean as in equal to you? You have got to be kidding...
>
>Kim W5TIT
>

Dont worry you could never be an EQUAL to me or any REAL HAM. By the way, where are the pictures, your not ashamed of them are you?.

697. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 01:19:03 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021112201903.09022.00000013@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 55037

TIT if you dont want to show both of them Puppies just show us 1, we will get the picture.

698. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 01:27:06 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021112202706.09022.00000014@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 55038

>I am smashingly gorgeous, Dick. I took it off because I couldn't stand the
>thought of Larry drooling over it every night!
>
>Kim W5TIT
>

Yea you are TIT, I think the word you were looking for is BUTT UGLY, not gorgeous.

699. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 01:37:02 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <aqsabutkp0@208.206.142.90>
Source record: 55039

Brian wrote:
>
> > Mike we long ago learned to conclusion that Anderson hates ham radio
> > with his entire being. Why that is so we can't conclusively say, but it
> > is more than apparent it has something to do with his inability to cause
> > himself to qualify for a top ham radio license, thus he wants nothing to
> > do with any of it other than to use his ample idle time to continuously
> > denigrate all of ham radio and everyone who is involved in it, save his
> > little butty Brian Nurke who is about a sad a case as is Anderson.
>
> Mike, DICK, I see none of that in his postings.

OF course you don't! You never see anything you prefer not to see.

700. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 01:39:42 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021112203942.13494.00000474@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 55040

In article <3DD19270.7010807@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
writes:

>Perhaps another ham here can help.
>
Here's a way almost anyone who reads this can participate in an on-the-air
amateur radio policy discussion on the ham bands:

1) Set up an effective station for the amateur band and mode to be used.
2) Obtain the necessary license by passing the required tests.
3) Operate the station in 1) as required by the governmental agency who granted
the license in 2).

The fact that someone passes a test to obtain a license does not in any way
indicate agreement with the test requirements.

73 de Jim, N2EY

701. Re: GMRS over-the-counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 02:01:29 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h5hj9o4.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 55041

On Tue, 12 Nov 2002 13:33:55 -0500, Doug wrote:

>I could be
>wrong, but I think all this sort of thing started after the FCC closed
>its monitoring stations around the country in 1996.

It started as a fallout of the "CB is for everyone" craze of
the '70s and was exacerbated by the FCC's misguided and
ineffective shift (for political reasons) from agressive
enforcement to encouraging voluntary compliance "through
education" in the '80s.

And I have to say it again - the monitoring stations are not
"closed" in the sense of "dismantled" - they were automated,
remotely controlled, and therefore are unattended although
fully functional for what they were intended for - HF DFing.

Tracking and identifying unlicensed mobile or fixed installations
of that type was never the function of the monitoring stations anyhow.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

702. Re: GMRS over-the-counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 02:01:30 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h5hjir5.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 55042

On Wed, 13 Nov 2002 00:27:41 GMT, Bob Stevens wrote:

>It's hard to enforce GMRS because, to the best of my knowledge, there is no
>identification required to use them (unlike ham radio, callsign ever 10
>minutes), so most people just get by with it. I don't think they're used
>much except illegally, sad to say.

Section 95.119 of the Rules requires station ID with GMRS call sign
in the same manner as amateur station ID but at 15 minute intervals
rather than 10 minute intervals.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

703. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 02:38:34 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021112213834.27775.00004619@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 55043

I never claimed to be unlicensed or an antagonist. I claimed I did not know Morse. Stop calling people creep and putz.

When you first proposed the idea, it did seem you were sneaking in Morse code as a requirement.
About actually USING your license. This makes sense, I would have to admit.

I agree with this statement made by lenover21@aol.com :
Removal of the code test will result in greater freedom to get into amateur radio, enjoying the many non-morse-code aspects of that hobby.

The reason license numbers did not increase with no code could be from several factors. Many people do not know about Ham Radio. Some people do not find contesting or ragchewing all that fun. Ham Radio is presented from these aspects, rather than radio direction finding, antenna setups, construction activities. Even if they are not EEs, people like activities where they interact with. Lastly, some people may find Hams strange. All of this has nothing to do with code. Eliminating code requirement WILL help the numbers.

It is Ham Radio's loss, repelling the technically inclined in favor of Morse code.

Not only is Ham a hobby, it is also a service. As a service, it matters that the license classes mean something. Also, pride in the hobby, should make the license and other certificates such as antenna modeling, emergency communications, should be very meaningful.

704. Re: GMRS over-the-counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 02:40:25 UTC
From: Papa <Bigpapa@cbbigpapa.net>
Message-ID: <aqse1h$5pv$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 55044

Just another useless "rule," not worth the paper its printed
on, unless it is actually enforced, and you and I know that is
not happening and never will.

Bpapa

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h5hjir5.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Wed, 13 Nov 2002 00:27:41 GMT, Bob Stevens wrote:
>
> > Section 95.119 of the Rules requires station ID with GMRS call sign
> in the same manner as amateur station ID but at 15 minute intervals
> rather than 10 minute intervals.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

705. Re: GMRS over-the-counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 02:55:56 UTC
From: Harmon T Whittaker <htw1@trantronicsincorg.com>
Message-ID: <aqseuk$7mh$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 55045

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h5hj9o4.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Tue, 12 Nov 2002 13:33:55 -0500, Doug wrote:
>
> And I have to say it again - the monitoring stations are not
> "closed" in the sense of "dismantled" - they were automated,
> remotely controlled, and therefore are unattended although
> fully functional for what they were intended for - HF DFing.
>
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
"Fully functional" ? Better check your sources a little
closer for accurate information. Travel funds are eating the
budget up alive trying to keep these "automated, remotely
controlled" pieces of junk operational!!!!!! And half the dam
sites, which were in the boondocks in the 1940s, are now
sitting in the middle of thriving metro areas, on prime real estate,
along with the attendant electronic interference. Pretty shitty
planning but then how can one expect federal bureaucrats to
have any long-range vision beyond their next greedy selfish
promotion. The value of the real estate these dinosaurs sit on is
what will do most of them in within the next few years, from what
my sources tell me. Uncle Sam stands to recoup what he originally
spent on the land in the 1940s, a couple hundred times over!!!!!

H.T. Whittaker

706. Re: GMRS over-the-counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 03:06:39 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h5hmmy6.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 55046

On Tue, 12 Nov 2002 21:40:25 -0500, Papa wrote:

> Just another useless "rule," not worth the paper its printed
>on, unless it is actually enforced, and you and I know that is
>not happening and never will.

Unless "they" want to get you for some reason and then it's
"gotcha". Ask Al Capone.... <ggg>

CYA is the name of the game.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

707. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 03:10:20 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DD1C29C.5070104@psu.edu>
Source record: 55047

Vshah101 wrote:

> I agree with this statement made by lenover21@aol.com :
> Removal of the code test will result in greater freedom to get into
> amateur radio, enjoying the many non-morse-code aspects of that
> hobby.

Yes, that's right. That isn't a good argument tho' because there probably won't be *that* many more hams coming in.

> The reason license numbers did not increase with no code could be from several
> factors. Many people do not know about Ham Radio.

True, like many people don't know about a lot of things. This isn't a high profile type activity

> Some people do not find
> contesting or ragchewing all that fun. Ham Radio is presented from these
> aspects, rather than radio direction finding, antenna setups, construction
> activities. Even if they are not EEs, people like activities where they
> interact with.

Let's look at your list

Contesting - I just love it. You can learn a lot from it

Ragchewing - These people talk more in one hour than I do in a whole day. Double-yuck.

Radio direction finding - A cure for insomnia in my book.

Antenna setups - Interesting, but there's probably more bravo sierra going on in this area than any other area of hamdom.

Construction activities - Now your talking! This is what I think ALL hams should be doing.

My point is there are so many activities because there are so many interests. Some of what interests you leaves me cold, and we all know how you feel about contesting. But.. It's all good, man.

> Lastly, some people may find Hams strange.

Don't look now, but Hams ARE strange. If we were "normal" people we would be watching "Survivor" or some other equally bad waste of time on television instead of working DX or other hobby activity. We would be doing "popular things" because well, they were the popular things to do! I'm quite happy not being normal, I'll bet most other hams are too.

> It is Ham Radio's loss, repelling the technically inclined in favor of Morse
> code.

I am just about 100 percent technically inclined, and I can assure you
the two are not opposed

- Mike KB3EIA -

708. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 04:00:42 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <aqsipa$eu5$0@208.206.142.90>
Source record: 55048

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >I am smashingly gorgeous, Dick. I took it off because I couldn't stand the
> >thought of Larry drooling over it every night!
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
> >
>
> Yea you are TIT, I think the word you were looking for is BUTT UGLY, not
> gorgeous.

I think you're right!

709. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 04:08:08 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <aqsj78fpn0@208.206.142.90>
Source record: 55049

Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> Vshah101 wrote:
>
> > I agree with this statement made by lenover21@aol.com :
> > Removal of the code test will result in greater freedom to get into
> > amateur radio, enjoying the many non-morse-code aspects of that
> > hobby.
>
> Yes, that's right. That isn't a good argument tho' because there
> probably won't be *that* many more hams coming in.
>
> > The reason license numbers did not increase with no code could be from several
> > factors. Many people do not know about Ham Radio.
>
> True, like many people don't know about a lot of things. This isn't a
> high profile type activity
>
> > Some people do not find
> > contesting or ragchewing all that fun. Ham Radio is presented from these
> > aspects, rather than radio direction finding, antenna setups, construction
> > activities. Even if they are not EEs, people like activities where they
> > interact with.
>
> Let's look at your list
>
> Contesting - I just love it. You can learn a lot from it
>
> Ragchewing - These people talk more in one hour than I do in a whole
> day. Double-yuck.
>
> Radio direction finding - A cure for insomnia in my book.
>
> Antenna setups - Interesting, but there's probably more bravo sierra
> going on in this area than any other area of hamdom.
>
> Construction activities - Now your talking! This is what I think ALL
> hams should be doing.
>
> My point is there are so many activities because there are so many
> interests. Some of what interests you leaves me cold, and we all know
> how you feel about contesting. But.. It's all good, man.
>
> > Lastly, some people may find Hams strange.

>
> Don't look now, but Hams ARE strange. If we were "normal" people we
> would be watching "Survivor" or some other equally bad waste of time on
> television instead of working DX or other hobby activity. We would be
> doing "popular things" because well, they were the popular things to do!
> I'm quite happy not being normal, I'll bet most other hams are too.
>
>
> > It is Ham Radio's loss, repelling the technically inclined in favor of Morse
> > code.
>
> I am just about 100 percent technically inclined, and I can assure you
> the two are not opposed

He and all the other code bashers will never understand the pure
simplicity of Morse code operations on ham radio. Sure is their loss.

710. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 04:08:22 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211122008.69893a86@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55050

Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<3DD15AAC.4020305@psu.edu>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> >
> > Mike, DICK, I see none of that in his postings. I see Len putting
> > forth a very rational argument for the elimination of all code
> > testing. I think Len would be the last person to try to keep someone
> > from posting their opinions. On the other hand, I see a lot of
> > maneuvering to keep Len from participating here.
>
>
> A couple thoughts Brian:
>
> The thread isn't even about elimination of CW. It's about an initial
> invitation to get involved in a contest, then a Mr Vshah who apparently
> thinks that most aspects of ham radio are not to his interest.

It's part of the baggage.

> Not every thread has to be about the elimination of CW. It's a boring
> subject to me at least. Now if we wanted to discuss the post CW world
> for amateurs, that might be worthwhile.

Only if you could get the likes of DICK and Bruice to be able to
envision it in other than apocalyptic terms.

> As for Mr Anderson's arguments about elimination of CW, sure, they are
> pretty fair arguments. But they are put together in a way that is not
> going to win many converts. But then, I doubt that is the purpose.
>
> But then, IIR, he believes that manners are a sign of weakness.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Look, you complained of being bored. He's trying to keep it from
getting too boring.

711. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 04:09:09 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021112230909.16751.00000362@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 54851

>I think you're right!
>
>

You would think if she was so proud of them Puppies, that she decided to use it in her Callsign, that she would at least post a picture of them. Come on TIT were all waiting, show us your TITs.

712. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 04:16:41 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211122016.4ef82605@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54852

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021112004633.04047.00000162@mb-cg.aol.com>...
> >My high school English teacher would grade your composition paper "F"
> >if you wrote "there" for their".
>
> This isnt an English Class
>
> >Besides, how would the no-coders know
> >if you snuck a look at the question pools the night before the test late
> >at night at home alone?
>
> Because the Fools were at a Hamfest preparing to take the test. Thats when
> they made the Bet, and I just walked in with them, and took it. I got 100% and
> the 2 Fools failed. The Extra written was a Joke. It took me 8 minutes from the
> time I started till the time I finished. Oh, and the No-Coders are still
> No-Coders and still have no Clue what there doing.

Eight minutes, huh? No studying, huh?

How could you have read the questions and done the math in eight minutes?

I don't think so.

How much did this exam actually cost you?

713. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 04:21:24 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211122021.4b1e67d5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54853

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021112182121.01415.00000238@mb-mu.aol.com>...
> >(Hey Bruce...how ya like that one....'HALF-HAMS' ??}
> >
> >Dan/W4NTI
>
> I think you are giving them to[o] much Credit[,] Dan[.]

"Too"

714. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 04:42:25 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DD1D831.3D4C096@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 54854

WA8ULX wrote:

> Well TIT you finally came back, so where are those Puppies posted. If they
> look good, maybe you can get Credit for 5 WPM CW.

She has a tech plus, which means she has already completed the code
requirements. So she's qualified to operate 28.350

715. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 05:30:59 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DD1E3B0.8010907@adelphia.net>
Source record: 54855

Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <3DCF1867.6080502@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
> writes in either a fit of irritation or an attempt at trolling:

<some snippage>

>> I am amazed at your ability to read between lines to the point of
>>exclusion of the content of the letter.
>>
>
> I sent no "letter." I posted a message in a public newsgroup. As
> such venues go, any public message is open to any sort of
> commentary by anyone...whether or not it relates directly to the
> content of a previous message.

I see. In your haste to find problems with my post, you chose to latch upon a letter versus post definition. If you reread my *post*, I wasn't even referring to any *post* by you, I was referring to your ability to read between the lines of *my* letter, or *post* if you wish.

>> You seem to like to equate my posts as some sort of attack on
>>
> no-coders.
>
>>I can assure you it is not, but I think you are trying to intimidate me
>>into not posting. Why would you do this> Should I not post my opinions here?

> You seem to be suffering a common malady of reading public
> messages on your personal computer and assuming they are
> just for you or about you. They aren't. All public messages have
> the same content on anyone's computer, do not necessarily
> apply to one individual.

Umm.. you commented on my *post* If you wanted to make general statements, not connected to my post, you could do what I sometimes do, which is to delete the content of the reply before posting my own message.

>
> If you feel "intimidated" that is strictly your own interpretation.

I only asked if you wer trying to intimidate me. Now that I know you weren't, I'm happy.

> Some cannot stand strong statements of opposite viewpoints,
> especially when they have no valid arguable viewpoints. Those
> individuals (general group) should leave and find a venue that

> satisfies them (one has suggested a morse-tested-only on-air
> net schedule).

I like differing viewpoints. Keeps the mind sharp.

> Or, one can remain in a newsgroup and attack
> those with opposite opinions using personal epithets and
> pejoratives.

Not me!

> If you feel that each and every statement on a subject opposite
> to your own personal opinion is an "intimidation," then I would
> suggest psychological therapy.

I certainly don't have a problem with differing opinions, and thank you
for the recommendation. 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

716. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 05:54:58 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113005458.19536.00000031@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 54856

>Eight minutes, huh? No studying, huh?
>

Look Jerk I dont care what you believe

>I don't think so.

Why because your Damn Dumb to pass it after a year with your Welfare General.

>How much did this exam actually cost you?

717. Re: GMRS over-the-counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 05:57:55 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aqtjqf41clp@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54866

These are being sold locally here at Wallyworld (Walmart) next to the FRS crap, and alot of these appear dangerously similar in appearance. This definitely has me concerned as to the marketing strategies of some of the mass merchandisers out there. Sounds like more encouragement to delicense services such as MURS, etc... And there is no sign notating license required at my local Walmart.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:aqpshj$317$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> FYI...
>
> Just returned from some shopping and noticed that GMRS portables are
> being marketed in "Sam's Club" (similar store to BJ's, Coostco, etc.).
> The sign did say FCC license required...but it didn't say it was required
> to purchase. Price was $59 for a pair complete with charger units.
>
> Interestingly, the units are "made" by Southwestern Bell.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
>
>

718. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 06:09:23 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aqtjqg51clp@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54868

As far as this whole damned net proposal..... y'all just need to pick a time/freq./mode and go with it. Christ, with all the varied abilities/availabilities etc... the net will never get off the ground. Just do it, and those that CAN participate, WILL and those that CAN'T, won't. Like Nike says, "Just Do It."

I am even saying this being one of those that won't be able, but with more time being spent on discussion, it will never get off of the ground.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3DD08B08.62669DA9@ix.netcom.com...
> N2EY wrote:
>
> >
> > What frequency and mode do you suggest?
> >
> > The only thing I see wrong with Hans' idea is that the frequency and
time do
> > not match well for the required coverage at this part of the sunspot
cycle. A
> > 20 meter QRG somewhat earlier in the evening would cover the whole
country
> > fairly well at this time of year, based on how the bands behaved a few
weekends
> > ago.
>
> Saturday afternoon on 10 meters SSB on that freq. would work fine (unless
a
> contest is going on). Or 28.005 CW. Chase off any freebanders :-)
> 4PM EST would work across the CONUS.
>
> >
>
>
>
>

719. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 06:22:23 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aqtjqh61clp@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54867

Dunno if necessarily agree with that.... I think the exam should stay as long as code-tested hams are still alive..... Once they pass on, then make the changes. I can see their side of the arguement of "I had to do it, so do you...." in my own current field of employment.

In the meantime... if the requirements dictate a certain action.... I will comply with that, even if I don't agree with it, to gain the privileges that go along with it.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0211111838.5699cb9e@posting.google.com...
> "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:<aqpcve42oqj@enews4.newsguy.com>...
> > Larry,
> >
> > The thing I find to be most ironic, is that the same issues occuring now
are
> > almost the same issues that I have been reading in the early 70's ham
> > mags..... Not much has changed in 30 years I guess...
>
> Except that the Morse Exam should have gone away thirty years ago.

720. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 11:41:28 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqtdin019t1@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54857

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:aqs634$31r$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> Let me help you a bit here 'Krell'. I dont give a shit if you don't like
> the spelling or the proooooose. Pay attention to what is being said.
> Otherwise shut the hell up.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

People who don't care how they look to others don't deserve attention, no
matter what they have to say.

721. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 11:50:08 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqte1v01b9k@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54858

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:aqs287tnn1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> I just had a heck of an idea.....how about all you half-hams learn CW?
> Then you won't feel left out.
>
> (Hey Bruce...how ya like that one....'HALF-HAMS' ??}
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

You know, some people have a lot of nerve complaining about "welfare hams"
when they themselves sit around all day and collect disability checks,
leaching off of the taxpayers. Those same people are perfectly capable of
getting jobs, evidenced by their daily postings on newsgroups.

722. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 13:18:33 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211130518.89e9f3b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54859

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0211101423.38b8d39f@posting.google.com>...
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0211100604.6a96da1f@posting.google.com>...
> > kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0211092029.e98aa5b@posting.google.com>...
> > > brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0211091344.76a774b8@posting.google.com>...
> > > > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021109093541.02922.00002405@mb-dd.aol.com>...
> > > > > >Subject: Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?
> > > > > >From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
> > > > > >Date: 11/9/02 4:54 AM Central Standard Time
> > > > > >Message-id: <d22b54c3.0211090254.1dd40179@posting.google.com>
>
> > > > > >Welp that being that case and you being a REAL HAM wih a REAL LIFE we
> > > > > >oughta be able to latch up on the air right? It's only a 400 mile hop,
> > > > > >how easy izzat? Name the freq & mode Brainiac. Or, after years of
> > > > > >BSing here instead of doing REAL RADIO, are you still trying to figger
> > > > > >out how to put up and feed a silly piece of wire?
> > > > >
> > > > > I'd like to be in on that QSO! Maybe we can get his Left Coast mentor to
> > > > > fire up that Part 15 rig and join in...???
> > > > >
> > > > > 73
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > I'd not engage any of you clowns on the air. I don't trust that your
> > > > rudeness here would translate to anything but rudeness on the air.
> > >
> > > OK, there it is. The only "band/mode" Brainiac runs is RRAP. Thought so. End of.
> >
> >
> > No, not end of. I'll not leave here. Your bullying will not chase me
> > away.
>
> Then howcum you're "afraid" of me "bullying" you on the air?? What's
> the difference??

Kellie, you mean to tell us that you're an Old-Timey Extra and you
don't know the difference between the internet and Ham Radio?

> > I'm here for the rest of your life.
>

> Your problem, I could care less where you spend yer life, here,
> Somalia, in a latrine, who could possibly care?

Obviously you do. Why do you need so badly to get me on the radio to
talk to you?

> > Meanwhile, do you consider yourself rude on the air, also? Can you
> > cite an instance when you jammed or tried to disrupt another's
> > communication?
>
> Of course not numbnuts. Note that my proposed qso has a precedent in
> RRAP, the night an improbable group of both NCTA and PCTA types got on
> 7.037 and had a ball. You couldn't get into it then and you still
> can't.

Don't want to with the likes of you. Deal with it, but don't cry
about it.

> OK, you can't copy cw, fine, neither can a lotta people. So I invite
> you to pick the mode for a bit of a repeat of that memoable event and
> you still can't cut it.
>
> Because you're a WEENIE, radio and otherwise! The prototype RRAP
> WEENIE!
>
> You better hang around here 'cause it's obviously as close as you can
> come to ham radio.
>
> w3rv

The playground bully that never grew up.

Oh, its good to see you at your finest. Kellie, you be all you can
be.

723. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 13:22:21 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211130522.2e79d7cc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54860

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<aqsipa$eu5$0@208.206.142.90>...
> WA8ULX wrote:
> >
> > >I am smashingly gorgeous, Dick. I took it off because I couldn't stand the
> > >thought of Larry drooling over it every night!
> > >
> > >Kim W5TIT
> > >
> >
> > Yea you are TIT, I think the word you were looking for is BUTT UGLY, not
> > gorgeous.
>
>
> I think you're right!

Are you guys drunk again? You're behavior is dispicable.

724. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 13:25:21 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211130525.9e2851c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54861

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<aqsabutkp0@208.206.142.90>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > > Mike we long ago learned to conclusion that Anderson hates ham radio
> > > with his entire being. Why that is so we can't conclusively say, but it
> > > is more than apparent it has something to do with his inability to cause
> > > himself to qualify for a top ham radio license, thus he wants nothing to
> > > do with any of it other than to use his ample idle time to continuously
> > > denigrate all of ham radio and everyone who is involved in it, save his
> > > little butty Brian Nurke who is about a sad a case as is Anderson.
> >
> > Mike, DICK, I see none of that in his postings.
>
>
>
> OF course you don't! You never see anything you prefer not to see.

OH, gosh! Do you mean that I'm guilty of PCTA-like prejudices?

725. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 13:38:05 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211130538.26160603@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54862

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021113005458.19536.00000031@mb-ba.aol.com>...
> >Eight minutes, huh? No studying, huh?
> >
>
> Look Jerk I dont care what you believe
>
> >I don't think so.
>
> Why because your Damn Dumb to pass it after a year with your Welfare General.
>
> >How much did this exam actually cost you?

Bruce, I simply don't believe you.

And why snip/hide the part about a person not being able to read the
questions and do the math in 8 minutes?

I think that is a cogent part of my belief that you might have paid
more than the ordinary reimbursement of $10 for VE expenses. Or maybe
it really took a lot longer than 8 minutes, or you did in fact STUDY
for the exam.

In any case, your story as it is just doesn't wash. You're free to
modify it at any time.

Unless you want us to belive that you are some kind of genius; re:
their, there, they're. Pfft.

Can you remember which hams you took the bet money off of? Names,
calls? Which VEC, location, date? CSCE's? Can you remember what you
did with the all that money? Receipts?

726. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 13:43:22 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113084322.21139.00000348@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 54863

>You know, some people have a lot of nerve complaining about "welfare hams"
>when they themselves sit around all day and collect disability checks,
>leaching off of the taxpayers.

You Idiot, some people dont need your Welfare Check, some people have made enough resources thru there life time that can live comfortable.

727. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 13:44:28 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113084428.21139.00000349@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 54864

>Are you guys drunk again? You're behavior is dispicable.
>

Kiss Off Clown

728. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 13:48:29 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211130548.3527fb81@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54865

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<aqr6gkmsr1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>...
> Why are the CBplussers laughing at him? Because he makes a few typos or a
> minor spelling error? I think their laughing at him because their too
> ignorant to understand what he is trying to say to them. You too
> apparantly.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Dan, exactly what message do you think Bruce is trying to get out if
we could only understand him?

It's sad that you don't see that Bruce has no message. His is just a
long line of misspelled insults. Always has been, always will be.

And yes, I/we are laughing at Bruce. Ever since he asked "If a CBer
marries a Technician, is she still his sister?" At first I thought
his question was just another slash and stab at Tech's or Tech's with
code. But after doing a QRZ search, it would appear that there was
female Technician in his area with the same last name as Bruce,
possibly a sister. You have to wonder.

729. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 13:51:09 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113085109.21139.00000350@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 54869

>I think that is a cogent part of my belief that you might have paid
>more than the ordinary reimbursement of $10 for VE expenses.

Why is that only way you could get a License?

730. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 14:39:04 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113093904.21153.00004076@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 54870

>Subject: Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)
>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>Date: 11/12/02 5:44 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3DD19270.7010807@adelphia.net>

>I don't know if you have any suggestions on a different way we can all
>get together, but if you do, let's hear them

Lennie's only satisfaction will come with the RO ordering the cessation of
all Amateur Radio activities and the silencing of all Amateur Radio stations.

And Lennie's in SoCAL.

73

Steve, K4YZ

731. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 15:04:34 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113100434.21153.00004084@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 54871

>Subject: Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 11/12/02 8:38 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021112213834.27775.00004619@mb-mf.aol.com>

>I never claimed to be unlicensed or an antagonist.

You HAVE claimed to be unlicensed and you ARE an antagonist.

>I claimed I did not know Morse.

"I am not a "HAM"....vshah101@aol.com

>Stop calling people creep and putz.

Stop being one.

>When you first proposed the idea, it did seem you were sneaking in Morse code
>as a requirement.

You are mixing attributes...get your quotes straight.

And it IS a requirement. No one may operate an Amateur Radio station as a control operator on frequencies below 30mHz. Hans clearly indicated he was hearing beacons on 28.200 mHz. Of course any licensed Amateur with any practical experience on HF KNOWS this is the subband used for propagation beacons.

>About actually USING your license. This makes sense, I would have to admit.

Well, gee whillikers...we're so proud.

>I agree with this statement made by lenover21@aol.com :
>Removal of the code test will result in greater freedom to get into
>amateur radio, enjoying the many non-morse-code aspects of that
>hobby.

It will make Amateur Radio easier for SOME people. It will not necessarily make it better.

>The reason license numbers did not increase with no code could be from
>several
>factors.

Amateur Radio appeals to certain persons for many reasons, always has...always will. It's not "everyman's hobby".

>Many people do not know about Ham Radio.

And many more do. Amateur Radio is more well know today than it was 50 years ago.

>Some people do not find contesting or ragchewing all that fun.

Then thank God that's NOT all there is to do, eh? Moonbounce, PACKET, Emergency Services, telemetery, satellites, Public Service, etc etc etc.

>Eliminating code requirement WILL help the numbers.

Those that don't know about Amateur radio today will probably NOT know about Amateur Radio when the Morse requirement is finally deleted. Furthermore, those who know about it but are wating for the Morse element to be lifted are probably already licensed as NCT's and are simply biding thier time.

>It is Ham Radio's loss, repelling the technically inclined in favor of Morse
>code.

So...ALL the "technically inclined" are refusing to get an Amateur Radio license because of a Morse Code requirement for access to 3% of all Amateur allocations...???

Nearly all "technical experimentation" is conducted in the VHF/UHF spectrum. Those who are NOT seeking Amateur licensure because of Morse Code are those who want access to HF for DXing purposes...period.

>Not only is Ham a hobby, it is also a service. As a service, it matters that
>the license classes mean something.

Almost all Public Service and ARES activities are on 2 meters, requiring no more than a Technician Class license.

Please cite the reference where the class of license is relevent. I am a former EC and I can tell you that ANYONE who is a licensed Amateur is welcome, Novice, Technician, General, Advanced or Extra.

>Also, pride in the hobby, should make the
>license and other certificates such as antenna modeling, emergency
>communications, should be very meaningful.

English is a second language to you, isn't it?

ALL of the activities you cite can be done by ANY Amateur...even the few remaining Novices that may be active. Morse Code is irrelevent to all of those activities.

Now...your NEXT argument?

Steve

732. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 15:21:13 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113102113.21153.00004085@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 54872

>Subject: Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport
>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>Date: 11/12/02 11:30 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3DD1E3B0.8010907@adelphia.net>

>I see. In your haste to find problems with my post, you chose to latch
>upon a letter versus post definition.

Welcome to the World of Lennie. If you can't make sense, make waves.

>Umm.. you commented on my *post* If you wanted to make general
>statements, not connected to my post, you could do what I sometimes do,
>which is to delete the content of the reply before posting my own message.

Lennie loves to aregue about how "anyone" can comment on any other comments being made in this forum, but as soon as you interject a comment into a thread wherein HE feels no one else belongs (ie: a "private" exchange) he'll quickly admonish the interloper. "Rules" only pertain to others...Not to Lennie.

>I only asked if you wer trying to intimidate me. Now that I know you
>weren't, I'm happy.

Lennie's only "intimidating" if you don't really read his posts. He comes across arrogantly, however most of his posts are very hollow. Same rhetoric...same accusastions

And speaking of accusations, I wonder where Lennie's proof of ARRL dishonesty are...??? Still hasn't made any inroads to providing TAHT material.

>>Or, one can remain in a newsgroup and attack
>>those with opposite opinions using personal epithets and
>> pejoratives.
>
>Not me!

Lennie likes to make these accusations of OTHERS using "personal epithets and perjoratives", but is wont to accept responsibility for his OWN use of terms like "thug", "jackboot", "Nazi", etc etc etc.

>> If you feel that each and every statement on a subject opposite
>>to your own personal opinion is an "intimidation," then I would
>>suggest psychological therapy.
>
>I certainly don't have a problem with differing opinions, and thank you
>for the recommendation.

We've been making the same recommendation to Lennie. It's hard to understand how a person with his alleged education and experience in radio can spend so much time in an Internet Newsgroup assailing and antagonizing a group of people whose principal reason for being here IS about enjoying radio.

I don't think Lennie ever really "enjoyed" radio. It was "a job". Lennie can quote reams of technical material from websites he plagerizes, but Lennie has never sat down in front of a radio and OPERATED it. He has absolutely ZERO understanding of how a person can find pleasure in the radio itself.

His loss. It's certainly not Amateur Radio's loss to NOT have him.

73

Steve, K4YZ

733. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 16:49:01 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021113114901.25792.00001194@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 54873

In article <20021112202706.09022.00000014@mb-fo.aol.com>, wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) writes:

>>I am smashingly gorgeous, Dick. I took it off because I couldn't stand the
>>thought of Larry drooling over it every night!
>>
>>Kim W5TIT
>>
>
>Yea you are TIT, I think the word you were looking for is BUTT UGLY, not
>gorgeous.

Drooling? Is that what I was doing, while hunched down over the toilet bowl? I don't think so!

73 de Larry, K3LT

734. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 16:49:01 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021113114901.25792.00001195@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 54874

In article <3DD11A23.47BE3D23@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>> > Only a couple of regulars here consider morse use to be "paramount".
>>
>> Hmmm, who might they be, Dave? I don't recall ever reading anyone here
>> who espoused that view, although many of the codebashing whining idiots
>> insist on accusing some CW supporters of that inanity.
>
>On reflection, Larry might be the only one. By the way, I'm quite
>amused over Len and Cutie Boy's replacement debating the inequity of
>having a r.r.a.p. roundtable on the air.
>
>Dave K8MN

I consider actual operational skill in the use of Morse code to be
important enough to justify code testing for an HF-privileged license
at a speed of at least 12 WPM, preferably 12 - 13 WPM for
the equivalent of a General class ticket, and 20 WPM for Extra,
just like the olden days.

If you disagree with this, Dave, please explain.

73 de Larry, K3LT

735. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 16:56:52 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <ut512himv6nl9c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54875

```
"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:ut38bbccf8pp02@corp.supernews.com...
> "KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:usu3ipccdprtd7@corp.supernews.com...
> >
> > "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> > news:ustt3j40fu3f72@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > And, I disagree wholeheartedly with you. If I were in a net where I
> heard
> > > Larry sign on, I would expect that net to quickly degrade. So, I
would
> > not
> > > welcome his involvement.
> > >
> > > Kim W5TIT
> >
> > So in other words if Larry was net control of an "emergency" net and
> > you heard him running that net you would turn your back on helping
> > because of your conflict with him. Seems to me to be a very petty
> > reason for not helping out. If you can't put personalities aside long
> > enough to do a needed job then maybe it's best that you didn't help.
> >
>
> Realistically? Realistically, it is my belief we'd never hear Larry as
net
> control of an emergency net. So, I don't expect to ever encounter the
> situation you describe above. That having been said, it is not probable
> that I would be participating in a net wherein Larry was net control...as
it
> seems to me he has stated himself many times that he does not even own a
> microphone. Since Larry would not be on a phone net, and I would not be
on
> a CW net, we would not have to make any decisions about dealing with each
> other.
>
> Moreover, if you cannot recognize my unwillingness to "work" with Larry,
and
> that I realize that would be a detriment to a net, then I say you're
making
> a pretty piss-poor decision to call me on that. I would much rather have
> persons with deeprooted dislikes for each other stay off a net than to
```

have
> their participation. You're describing an emergency in quotation marks
> above--and I presume that to mean a typical emergency: weather, etc.
> However, in a true disaster, I would be hard pressed not to join and help.
> I don't know what the situation is like where you are, but here there is
> plenty, plenty of help--and those who choose to stay out of a net are
hardly
> missed.

Here in this county there are only 63 licensed hams and I've only heard
maybe
25 of them on the radio ever. And getting people to volunteer for stuff is
like
pulling teeth, UNLESS they get to run a blue light and siren and ACT
important.

>
> >
> > And if Larry turned it into some kind of a CW contest for "real hams"
> > only, he wouldn't be needed either, but somehow I don't think he would
> > let his opinions stand in the way of doing the job at hand.
> >
> >
> > --
> > W.W. Warren - KB9TMP
> > R.A.C.E.S. Officer - 59-605
> > Orange County E.M.A.
> >
> >
>
> It would be interesting, at best, to see/hear how Larry would handle an
> emergency net. I'll never forget one of my first encounters with Larry
> here, where he demanded that in an emergency I'd *have* to turn over my
> equipment to him and, if I did not, he would confiscate it. Like to see
> that happen, too.

Without a law officer and a rep from the FCC nobody gets my equipment!!!

>
> By the way, last I was studying, Orange County emergency response
operations
> from the amateur community should, in my opinion, be a model for many in
the
> country. If what people have told me is true (that RACES/ARES work
> extremely close and well together; that other radio services are often
> employed; that non-amateurs are encouraged to participate for support
> services, etc.), then you should boiler-plate that and get the word out.

We are working on getting the R.A.C.E.S. program started now. But
getting some of the 63 or so hams in this county interested is a big
problem.
Right now we have maybe 5 who have showed interest in it. And of those

5 two are already volunteer fire, one is in the EMA already, one is the local ham radio club president, and one fellow who is disabled and not able to do a lot of the things we need other than babysit the radios.

Manpower is in VERY short supply here!

>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

--
W.W. Warren - KB9TMP
R.A.C.E.S. Officer - 59-605
Orange County (Indiana) E.M.A.

736. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 17:23:54 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113122354.09032.00000036@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 54876

>Drooling? Is that what I was doing, while hunched down over the toilet
>bowl? I don't think so!
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT

Probably, shes so proud of her Callsign, but still refuses to shows us why.
Come on TIT, we all have assumed the hugging toilet position waiting for the
pictures.

737. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 17:38:03 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqu2ttlgm1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54877

When you were in the Air Force Twit did you perchance get around some chemicals? Other than than please explain your thought process.

Dan/W4NTI

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message news:ut39bej8475nbb@corp.supernews.com...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:aqs634$31r$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Let me help you a bit here 'Krell'. I dont give a shit if you don't like
> > the spelling or the proooooose. Pay attention to what is being said.
> > Otherwise shut the hell up.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> heh heh heh...well, well, well. Krell, you got 'im.
>
> Kim W5TIT
> >

738. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 17:41:03 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqu2rb$4l8$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54878

And those that live their lives trying to impress others are complete
morons.

Dan/W4NTI

"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aqtdin019t1@enews3.newsguy.com...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:aqs634$31r$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Let me help you a bit here 'Krell'. I dont give a shit if you don't
like
> > the spelling or the proooooose. Pay attention to what is being said.
> > Otherwise shut the hell up.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
> People who don't care how they look to others don't deserve attention, no
> matter what they have to say.
>
>

739. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 17:42:15 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqu2tj$9or$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54879

Hmmmmmmm !!! Grin.

Dan/W4NTI

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0211130548.3527fb81@posting.google.com...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4ntinospam@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<aqr6gkmsr1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>...
> > Why are the CBplussers laughing at him? Because he makes a few typos or
a
> > minor spelling error? I think their laughing at him because their too
> > ignorant to understand what he is trying to say to them. You too
> > apparantly.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
> Dan, exactly what message do you think Bruce is trying to get out if
> we could only understand him?
>
> It's sad that you don't see that Bruce has no message. His is just a
> long line of misspelled insults. Always has been, always will be.
>
> And yes, I/we are laughing at Bruce. Ever since he asked "If a CBer
> marries a Technician, is she still his sister?" At first I thought
> his question was just another slash and stab at Tech's or Tech's with
> code. But after doing a QRZ search, it would appear that there was
> female Technician in his area with the same last name as Bruce,
> possibly a sister. You have to wonder.

740. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 17:46:11 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqu34uefg1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54880

What was the name of that chemical again Twit?

I think you need to take a reading comprehension course.

Dan/W4NTI

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:ut39fe3i62d1f4@corp.supernews.com...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:aqs287tnn1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> > I just had a heck of an idea.....how about all you half-hams learn CW?
> > Then you won't feel left out.
> >
> > (Hey Bruce...how ya like that one....'HALF-HAMS' ??}
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> Uh, Dan...you're showing your slip. Hans' proposal was at 28.350, was it
> not?
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
> > "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021110201330.23999.00006064@mb-md.aol.com...
> > > >Uh, exactly what HF frequency would you suggest for the non-CW
licensed
> > > >folks? :) However, a huge effort would be to accommodate those who
> > cannot
> > > >get on HF by providing relay messages into the net from those HF
> stations
> > > >who wish to and can hear local stations on non-CW licensed
frequencies!
> > heh
> > > >heh
> > > >
> > > >Kim W5TIT
> > > >
> > >
> > > Your right, we would have to provide a means of allowing the
Challenged
> > Folks,
> > > such as yourself, a way to fell you were not being left out.
> >
> >

>
>

741. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 17:46:36 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aqu35n$h1n$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54881

I know...I know.

Dan/W4NTI

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021112201154.09022.00000010@mb-fo.aol.com...
> >Love it !!!....wonder if I spelled that right?
> >
> >Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> Dont you love the way they can only comment on spelling, they still have a
> terrible time trying to Justify there dumb down license.

742. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 18:18:54 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113131854.23999.00007061@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 54882

>When you were in the Air Force Twit did you perchance get around some
>chemicals? Other than than please explain your thought process.
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>

Was TIT in the Air Force?

743. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 18:22:59 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0211131022.4915712a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54883

Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<3DD1C29C.5070104@psu.edu>...
> Vshah101 wrote:
>
> > Removal of the code test will result in greater freedom to get into
> > amateur radio, enjoying the many non-morse-code aspects of that
> > hobby.

False.

"Getting into" amateur radio (that is, obtaining an FCC license)has
not required a code test for more than 11 years. The highest class of
US amateur radio license has required only a minimal 5 wpm receiving
test for the past 2-1/2 years. Licenses are available to all legal
residents who can pass the tests.

Reducing the test requirements will result in greater EASE of
obtaining a license, not more freedom.

Notice that the person Vshah101 quotes has never obtained an amateur
license, and Vshah101 won't tell us 'his' callsign, license class, or
even 'his' name.
>
> Yes, that's right. That isn't a good argument tho' because there
> probably won't be *that* many more hams coming in.

The dropping of the code test for Tech in 1991 resulted in a surge of
new hams for a while, but it was not sustained. Growth since the April
2000 restructuring has not been much greater than before those changes
took effect.
>
> > The reason license numbers did not increase with no code could be from > several
> > factors. Many people do not know about Ham Radio.
>
> True, like many people don't know about a lot of things. This isn't a
> high profile type activity
>
Dropping the code test did not, and will not, improve the
publicity/public awareness situation in any way.

> > Some people do not find
> > contesting or ragchewing all that fun.

True - but many HAMS find those activities to be a lot of fun.

> >Ham Radio is presented from these
> > aspects, rather than radio direction finding, antenna setups, construction
> > activities.

Presented by whom? Where?

> > Even if they are not EEs, people like activities where they
> > interact with.

This sentence makes no sense. Interact with what?
>
> Let's look at your list
>
> Contesting - I just love it. You can learn a lot from it

Same here. Contesting is also technically related, because it quickly shows the strengths and weaknesses of various rig and antenna setups. Many of the technical advances in amateur radio came from contesters and DXers trying to improve station performance.
>
> Ragchewing - These people talk more in one hour than I do in a whole
> day. Double-yuck.

I love a good CW ragchew, where the ops know what they are doing. Interesting discussions using a unique mode of communication.
>
> Radio direction finding - A cure for insomnia in my book.

I would like to try radio-orientering, which combines cross-country running with RDF.
>
> Antenna setups - Interesting, but there's probably more bravo sierra
> going on in this area than any other area of hamdom.

You got THAT right!
>
> Construction activities - Now your talking! This is what I think ALL
> hams should be doing.

I agree, but it's not in the cards for most hams due to lack of interest, skill, and basic economics.

Some of us do build quite a bit, though. Complete amateur radio stations, as amateurs, with amateur resources.
>
> My point is there are so many activities because there are so many
> interests. Some of what interests you leaves me cold, and we all know
> how you feel about contesting. But.. It's all good, man.

Exactly. There's no one pinnacle - it's a whole mountain range.
>
> > Lastly, some people may find Hams strange.
>
> Don't look now, but Hams ARE strange. If we were "normal" people we
> would be watching "Survivor" or some other equally bad waste of time on
> television instead of working DX or other hobby activity. We would be
> doing "popular things" because well, they were the popular things to do!
> I'm quite happy not being normal, I'll bet most other hams are too.

Do not confuse "normal" with "average" or "strange" with "unusual".
They are not the same thing. Hams are often unusual, and not average,
but most of the ones I know are quite normal people.
>
> > It is Ham Radio's loss, repelling the technically inclined in favor of Morse
> > code.
>
> I am just about 100 percent technically inclined, and I can assure you
> the two are not opposed

Let's see, I've got BSEE and MSEE, been a ham since age 13, build or
restore practically all my ham gear (including things like the tables)
and I love to use Morse code.

Does any of this absolutely prove the need for code tests? Of course
not. Nor do the oft-repeated counterarguments disprove it. Except for
the most basic testing of rules and regs, license test requirements
are purely a matter of opinion, nothing more.

The two are not opposed at all - for most people.

But consider this, Mike:

Except for a few people who learned Morse elsewhere, most would-be
hams don;t have any prior code skill. The code test acts as a sort of
Great Equalizer, because almost everyone starts out as a clueless
newbie with the mode. Morse cannot be learned by simply reading a
book, visiting some websites or picking up a little bit here and
there. You cannot cut-and-paste your way to a new skill, or rely on
your past achievements or claims to get around it.

It's a skill that is easily measured and cannot be faked. And it puts
a Final Authority wannabe on the same footing as a Young Squirt. It is
precisely this equalizing effect that makes some folks hate it so
much.

73 de Jim, N2EY

744. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 19:45:22 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <mZxA9.2807$Bh1.279438@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54884

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote :

: And it puts a Final Authority wannabe on the same footing
: as a Young Squirt. It is precisely this equalizing effect that
: makes some folks hate it so much.

I read this several times -- I understand the words, but I don't understand what you're trying to say.

One thing I know for damned sure -- I'm against anything that has an equalizing effect! Equality breeds mediocrity. Your attention is invited to Vonnegut's "Harrison Bergeron", perhaps the most frightening story ever written.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
E=IR. It's not just a good idea, it's the LAW.

745. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 21:05:33 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113160533.00366.00004935@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 54885

>Subject: Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)
>From: "Kï¿½HB" KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET
>Date: 11/13/02 2:45 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <mZxA9.2807$Bh1.279438@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
>
>
>
>
>"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote :
>
>: And it puts a Final Authority wannabe on the same footing
>: as a Young Squirt. It is precisely this equalizing effect that
>: makes some folks hate it so much.
>
>I read this several times -- I understand the words, but I don't understand
>what you're trying to say.

Just that almost all prospective hams today start out at the same point wrt code skill. The Ph.D in EE has no head start on the middle schooler. They both have to start at the same place, with the same goal.

>One thing I know for damned sure -- I'm against anything that has an
>equalizing effect! Equality breeds mediocrity. Your attention is invited
>to Vonnegut's "Harrison Bergeron", perhaps the most frightening story ever
>written.

That story is about equality of result being given priority over all else. Not what I'm talking about at all.

But a great story nonetheless. And, yes, very scary.

btw, your list of nonrights was right on target. I've got a "Bill of Responsibilities" that is pretty similar. Perhaps I should post it. Sample: "Your right to free speech is matched by a responsibility to tell the truth and not intentionally spread lies, rumors or propaganda."

73 de Jim, N2EY

Welcome To The Monkey House

746. Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 21:13:19 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113161319.00366.00004940@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 54886

There seems to be some dispute over the possibility of passing the Extra written in eight minutes.

The odd thing is that it is disputed by someone who has not passed said test.

I was curious about the eight minute claim, so I surfed over to AA9PW's exam practice site and took a practice Extra exam online.

To make it more of a sporting course I did not use a calculator, slide rule or pencil/pen/paper. Did what little math was required in my head.

With absolutely no preparation, I scored 94% in an elapsed time of seven minutes. And learned a few things about the rules for earth stations and RACES.

So it can be done.

73 de Jim, N2EY

747. Re: RP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 21:17:20 UTC
From: Brother Lloyd/N0VFP <lloyd_vfp@msn.com>
Message-ID: <3dd2be6e_5@corp-goliath.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 54887

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021112171231.15435.00000152@mb-cr.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: RP
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 11/12/02 1:02 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <20021112140219.21317.00000621@mb-cf.aol.com>
>
> >Len Anderson
> > ...back from Veterans Day ceremonies shared with fellow veterans
> > of the US military
>
> I am sure they'd second guess the company they were keeping if they
knew
> how you've tried to "embellish" your military career in this forum.
>
> Putz.

STFU
--
Lloyd Davies - N0VFP
God created only so many perfect heads...
the rest he covered with hair !
ICOM R-75 Hallicrafters S-120
Radio Shack Dx-398/ATS-909/DX-440/DX-100
Pro-92 Pro 2050 - ADI HT-204 FT-470
http://clik.to/n0vfp

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

748. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 21:43:54 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DD2C79A.E0FDD18C@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 54888

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

>
> So...ALL the "technically inclined" are refusing to get an Amateur Radio
> license because of a Morse Code requirement for access to 3% of all Amateur
> allocations...???
>
>

It would be more meaningful to measure that logarithmically, not linearly.
A few megahertz slice of microwave allocation is generally less meaningful
than a hundred kilohertz of 20 meters. Propagation is the difference.
Also remember that things at 2300 MHz act the same as at 2310MHz,
but things at 4MHz are significantly different than at 14 MHz, though both
are separate by 10MHz. He higher frequency bands allow wider bandwidth
signals, but you are limited to line of sight above a gigahertz or so, without
the aid of a satellite. At HF, you can go around the world.
By that method, our allocations below 30 MHz are worth 0.1843, those
above 30M but below 300GHz worth 0.2502. So you need to pass
a code test to access 42% of our allocations.

749. Re: GMRS over-the-counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 21:46:36 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DD2C83C.5E516899@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 54889

Bill Sohl wrote:

> FYI...
>
> Just returned from some shopping and noticed that GMRS portables are
> being marketed in "Sam's Club" (similar store to BJ's, Coostco, etc.).
> The sign did say FCC license required...but it didn't say it was required
> to purchase. Price was $59 for a pair complete with charger units.
>

As long as they stay out of the ham bands, lets not worry about it.
We're not radio spectrum vigilantes...

750. Re: RP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 21:49:29 UTC
From: Diana Moon Glompers <W2DNE@JUNO.COM>
Message-ID: <JNzA9.2936$Bh1.293814@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54890

"Brother Lloyd/N0VFP" <lloyd_vfp@msn.com> wrote

>
> STFU

HEY BRO LLOYD,

ARE YOU THE SAME DUDE WHO SAID:

> Just because I posted a small reatraction about KK7HO does not
> mean I am sheadding my values which I hold dear. My views on
> race, jews, and homosexuals will always be the same. Great
> People like Brother Stair, Pete Peters, David J. Smith and .
> other great teachers of the Word of God, King James 1611.
>
> I pledge my loyalty to the Liberty Net. I give my life
> for it, and for the things the net stands for.
>
> I also pledge my life and loyalty to KV4FZ and the P.U.K.E
> and Stumpjumpers. For united we stand.
>
> Matthew 5:11,12
>
> 11 Blessed are ye, when men shall revile you, and persecute
> you, and shall say all manner of evil against you falsely,
> for my sake.
>
> 12 Rejoice, and be exceeding glad: for great is your reward
> in heaven: for so persecuted they the prophets which were
> before you.
>
> I John 4:4
>
> 4 Ye are of God, little children, and have overcome them:
> because greater is he that is in you, than he that is in
> the world.
>
> LLoyd Davies, N0VFP /4 NCI 2841
> P.U.K.E. Chief of Communications

IF THAT YOU, I CAN ONLY SAY

" Way to go, God! You sure screwed the pooch badly on this guy!"

751. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 22:09:11 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113170911.11232.00004817@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 54891

>The odd thing is that it is disputed by someone who has not passed said test.
>

There lies the problem, Bryan cant do it any probably 2 hours.

>To make it more of a sporting course I did not use a calculator, slide rule
>or
>pencil/pen/paper. Did what little math was required in my head.
>
>With absolutely no preparation, I scored 94% in an elapsed time of seven
>minutes. And learned a few things about the rules for earth stations and
>RACES.
>
>So it can be done.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Of course you can, and anyone else can also. I did not use a Calculator or anything for the Math either, actually it would have probably slowed me down. I do have to say you were faster than me, maybe if you had used the other minute you also would have scored 100%.
As I have stated before the Written is a Joke, and once the CW Test is gone the written should follow, it really serves no purpose.

752. Re: RP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 22:18:05 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113171805.16772.00000217@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 54892

>Subject: Re: RP
>From: "Brother Lloyd/N0VFP" lloyd_vfp@msn.com
>Date: 11/13/02 3:17 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3dd2be6e_5@corp-goliath.newsgroups.com>

>STFU

Ooooooooohhhh!!!!!!

Think that one up all by yourself, or have you been watching MTV or VH1
lately?

>ICOM R-75 Hallicrafters S-120
>Radio Shack Dx-398/ATS-909/DX-440/DX-100
>Pro-92 Pro 2050 - ADI HT-204 FT-470

Still haven't moved this stuff on eBay, huh? I might make an offer on the
S-120.

Steve

753. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 22:22:32 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113172232.16772.00000218@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 54893

>Subject: Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)
>From: Robert Casey wa2ise@ix.netcom.com
>Date: 11/13/02 3:43 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3DD2C79A.E0FDD18C@ix.netcom.com>

>It would be more meaningful to measure that logarithmically, not
linearly...(SNIP TO....)

> So you need to pass a code test to access 42% of our allocations.

Nice try, Robert. I can still turn the knob a lot more times at VHF/UHF
before rolling across signals than I can HF.

I still call it 97/3. Your mileage obviously varies, was humorous, but
not a very accurate representation.

73

Steve, K4YZ

754. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 22:23:47 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113172347.16772.00000220@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 54894

>Subject: Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)
>From: Robert Casey wa2ise@ix.netcom.com
>Date: 11/13/02 3:43 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3DD2C79A.E0FDD18C@ix.netcom.com>

>He higher frequency bands allow wider bandwidth
>signals, but you are limited to line of sight above a gigahertz or so,
>without
>the aid of a satellite.

You need to talk to K7JA and company to find out why you are in error
here, Robert.

73

Steve, K4YZ

755. Re: GMRS over-the-counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 22:30:20 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113173020.16772.00000221@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 54895

>Subject: Re: GMRS over-the-counter
>From: Robert Casey wa2ise@ix.netcom.com
>Date: 11/13/02 3:46 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3DD2C83C.5E516899@ix.netcom.com>

>As long as they stay out of the ham bands, lets not worry about it.
>We're not radio spectrum vigilantes...

Guess you wouldn't call the fire department if you saw a neighbor's house on fire?

Nor would you call the police if you saw your neighbor's wife or daughter being raped?

Would you call 9-1-1 if you saw a driver swerving across the road in a school zone?

Robert, that "it's not my business" attitude is why crime is allowed to proliferate in almost every major city in the United States.

Today those GMRS radios may not be a problem to "us", but will be in the furure. Also, they ARE already becomming a problem to LEGALLY licensed GMRS users

So...At what point do YOU "nip it in the bud"...??? Or are you one of those guys who wait for "them" to do something about it...?!?!?

73

Steve, K4YZ

756. Re: GMRS over-the-counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 22:42:37 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <xzAA9.2987$Bh1.300035@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54896

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote

:
: As long as they stay out of the ham bands, lets not worry about it.
: We're not radio spectrum vigilantes...
:

Robert, Robert, Robert.....

Don't you understand.............?

The control freaks worry about EVERYTHING

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
P=IE. Not just a good idea, it happens to be the LAW!

757. Re: GMRS over-the-counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 22:46:15 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <XCAA9.2990$Bh1.300733@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54897

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote

: The sign did say FCC license required...but it didn't say it was required
: to purchase.

It isn't illegal to own a GMRS radio, or a ham radio for that matter,
whether you have a license or not.

Which brings up the next logical question..... "What's your point, Bill?"

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

758. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 22:57:15 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DD2D8E8.40702@adelphia.net>
Source record: 54898

Brian wrote:

> Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<3DD15AAC.4020305@psu.edu>...
>
>>Brian wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Mike, DICK, I see none of that in his postings. I see Len putting
>>>forth a very rational argument for the elimination of all code
>>>testing. I think Len would be the last person to try to keep someone
>>>from posting their opinions. On the other hand, I see a lot of
>>>maneuvering to keep Len from participating here.
>>>
>>
>> A couple thoughts Brian:
>>
>> The thread isn't even about elimination of CW. It's about an initial
>>invitation to get involved in a contest, then a Mr Vshah who apparently
>>thinks that most aspects of ham radio are not to his interest.
>>
>
> It's part of the baggage.
>
>
>> Not every thread has to be about the elimination of CW. It's a boring
>>subject to me at least. Now if we wanted to discuss the post CW world
>>for amateurs, that might be worthwhile.
>>
>
> Only if you could get the likes of DICK and Bruice to be able to
> envision it in other than apocalyptic terms.
>
>
>> As for Mr Anderson's arguments about elimination of CW, sure, they are
>>pretty fair arguments. But they are put together in a way that is not
>>going to win many converts. But then, I doubt that is the purpose.
>>
>> But then, IIR, he believes that manners are a sign of weakness.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
> Look, you complained of being bored. He's trying to keep it from
> getting too boring.

>

Now that I can accept! 8^)

759. Re: RP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 23:47:27 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113184727.10597.00001445@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 54954

In article <20021112171231.15435.00000152@mb-cr.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>> ...back from Veterans Day ceremonies shared with fellow veterans
>> of the US military
>
> I am sure they'd second guess the company they were keeping if they knew
>how you've tried to "embellish" your military career in this forum.

My Army serial number was RA16408336. Check it out at NARA anytime (National Archives and Records Administration). I was on active duty from 13 Mar 52 to 16 Feb 56, thereafter in inactive reserve until receiving an Honorable Discharge from military service in April 1960.

My longest unit assignment was with the Far East Command Signal Service Battalion, 8235th Army Unit, based in Tokyo, Japan, that battalion serving Far East Command HQ's needs in signal services. My ending rank was Sergeant (E-5, now signifying Staff Sergeant) and MOS was (under numbering system then used) 281.6, micro-wave radio relay operations and maintenance supervisor. Prior to microwave radio relay work I had a temporary MOS of Fixed Station Transmitter Operations and Supervision...transmitters site of Army station ADA had 43 HF transmitters ranging in power outputs of 1 to 40 KW.

Absolutely NONE of the above is "embellished" in any way and may be referenced for accuracy with US government documents and publications. For further reference, keep reading the Stars and Stripes military newspaper, Pacific edition, for more information scheduled some time in December, 2002.

Eugene Rosenbaum, N2JTV, served in the same Company, same Battalion, as I did and during my assignment time. He can verify the above about ADA and false allegations of "embellishments."

On 1 Jul 50, a C-54 carrying 19 members of the 8235th and 5 flight crew crashed in Korea. All aboard were killed. Men and equipment of the 8235th had been ordered to Korea to reinforce communications there in the opening weeks of the Korean War. Reference: Associated Press wire service. The billet for Hq, A, and C Companies of the 8235th, Hardy Barracks, was renamed that in honor of one of those killed, Corporal Elmer Hardy. Reference: Korean War Project website and Pacific Stars and Stripes military newspaper.

> Putz.

Shove it, sweetums.

760. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 23:47:30 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113184730.10597.00001447@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 54951

In article <20021112203942.13494.00000474@mb-mj.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <3DD19270.7010807@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
>writes:
>
>>Perhaps another ham here can help.
>>
>Here's a way almost anyone who reads this can participate in an on-the-air
>amateur radio policy discussion on the ham bands:
>
>1) Set up an effective station for the amateur band and mode to be used.
>2) Obtain the necessary license by passing the required tests.
>3) Operate the station in 1) as required by the governmental agency who
>granted
>the license in 2).
>
>The fact that someone passes a test to obtain a license does not in any way
>indicate agreement with the test requirements.

In fewer words: No one can discuss the subject of GETTING IN
amateur radio without ALREADY BEING IN. That's not free speech
or free assembly, just exclusivity and limited participation.

This newsgroup already exists, is public access, unmoderated. No
time or frequency limit exists for communications, discussion, or
participation.

761. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 23:47:30 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113184730.10597.00001446@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 54955

In article <20021112213834.27775.00004619@mb-mf.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) writes:

>I never claimed to be unlicensed or an antagonist. I claimed I did not know
>Morse. Stop calling people creep and putz.

Such individuals can only "argue" in such a manner. :-)

>When you first proposed the idea, it did seem you were sneaking in Morse code
>as a requirement.
>About actually USING your license. This makes sense, I would have to admit.
>
>I agree with this statement made by lenover21@aol.com :
>Removal of the code test will result in greater freedom to get into
>amateur radio, enjoying the many non-morse-code aspects of that
>hobby.

In the general line of thought of others, as presented in messages here, they view amateur radio as a "lifestyle," an alternate reality where they can claim status, rank, and privilege through a certain license class and the "authority" to identify themselves with federally-certificated call letters. Morse code proficiency is viewed by them to be the penultimate in amateur skill achievement; why else would they mention/promote/imply the "goodness" of morse code skills? NO other radio service in the USA ranks morse code skill as the most necessary...if that radio service ever considered morse code at all (most did/do not).

>The reason license numbers did not increase with no code could be from several
>factors. Many people do not know about Ham Radio. Some people do not find
>contesting or ragchewing all that fun. Ham Radio is presented from these
>aspects, rather than radio direction finding, antenna setups, construction
>activities. Even if they are not EEs, people like activities where they
>interact with. Lastly, some people may find Hams strange. All of this has
>nothing to do with code. Eliminating code requirement WILL help the numbers.

A few, such as myself, do not see any reason for retention of the standards and practices of the 1930s to be continued into this new millenium. Morse code skill is one of those old standards and practices. Morse code is still required as a separate pass-fail test element for below-30-MHz privileges even if the FCC does not mandate code use over and above any other mode/modulation.

>It is Ham Radio's loss, repelling the technically inclined in favor of Morse
>code.

Those who prize titles, rank, status and had passed morse code tests in the past will not give up their indicator of "superiority." They want, perhaps need, the title, rank, etc., to maintain their self-image. Such seems of paramount importance to them and removal of an indicator of "superiority" for others means they cannot use a federally-certified title, callsign, or ranking to hold against others. Those have absolutely no regard for others who might enter amateur radio later...they must "reign" now.

>Not only is Ham a hobby, it is also a service. As a service, it matters that
>the license classes mean something. Also, pride in the hobby, should make the
>license and other certificates such as antenna modeling, emergency
>communications, should be very meaningful.

The word "service" throughout Title 47 CFR, all Parts, is a regulatory term denoting a type and kind of radio activity.

License classes had evolved, by political lobbying activities, into a six-class, rank-status-privilege federal certificate program by the time of 1998 and NPRM 98-143. As a result there was a definite class-distinction environment already developed in the US amateur radio community. The FCC was aware of that, many others involved in radio had seen it. To some degree the ARRL supported it since most of the political lobbying for the changes in amateur regulations had come from the ARRL. The ARRL's input seems to have supported the long-time membership who also favored morse code use; to them it was unthinkable to change entry standards that existed when the old-timers first entered amateur radio.

"Pride in a hobby" need not be codified into law. The FCC is tasked only in regulating US civil radio. The FCC is not tasked to support amateur radio over and above any other radio service, nor is it an academic institution "grading" proficiency by issuing "certificates of achievement." The FCC uses licensing as one tool (of many) in the regulatory task.

"Pride in a hobby" or any other activity, for that matter, is a subjective condition. Each person has individual and unique feelings about their activity. "Pride" can be bolstered and even directed by membership organizations. It does not have to be supported by law to exist...and that is where many seem to be confused, mistaking their own efforts to meet the law as it was in their youth to what others have to meet now or in the future. No one person's "pride" is a model for all others to follow.

An amateur radio license, any class, is simply a permission to operate in allocated US amateur radio bands under conditions regulated in Part 97, Title 47 CFR, granted by the FCC. It is no more than that, not a "degree," not an academic achievement, nothing but a permission to operate. A license has never been anything else but a permission, a grant, even with the three US radio regulating agencies existing before the FCC was created by the Communications Act of 1934.

Many others have USED an amateur radio license for a "greater"
purpose, far exaggerating its importance. That is their problem,
not the government's problem or anyone else's.

762. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 23:47:31 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113184731.10597.00001448@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 54900

In article <3DD19270.7010807@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> Your "invitation" is open ONLY to already-licensed amateurs due to
>> EM spectrum regulations in the USA. In the face of an already-
>> existing public venue for discussion, the "invitation" is viewed as a
>> rather elitist grouping of participants.
>
> You are quite welcome to come to my QTH and participate in the net.
That
>may be impractical-I don't know your location.

Southern California. If you need my mailing address, it is in all
bylines of articles I wrote in Ham Radio Magazine in the 1980s.
That's still my mailing address.

> Perhaps another ham here can help.
>
> I don't know if you have any suggestions on a different way we can all
>get together, but if you do, let's hear them.

I thought that was clearly obvious. THIS newsgroup already exists,
is public-access to anyone with a computer, modem, and an ISP
account. No amateur radio license required, no code test
required.

It would appear your vexation is simply a well-disguised need for
exclusivity...as if the only persons who can discuss GETTING IN
amateur radio are those ALREADY licensed. If so, please
contact the FCC and ask for an NPRM to have that exclusivity
installed in Part 97, Title 47 CFR.

763. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 23:47:32 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113184732.10597.00001449@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 54952

In article <u_cA9.1181$Ta6.113987@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:

>As you have correctly pointed out many times, the amateur radio spectrum is
>very public venue and we are prohibited from disguising the meaning of our
>transmissions. Therefore your objection to an on-the-air gathering of hams
>who also meet on rrap is puzzling. We promise not to talk in secret codes
>(the QRG chosen is voice) to hide our nefarious ideas.

You are correct in that an amateur radio network is open to
public view. You are incorrect in such definitions of extending
to free speech through full participation...in order to participate
in this net one has to already be IN amateur radio.

"Third party (meaning unlicensed in amateur radio)" comments
will seldom be acceptible to a nearly-all already-licensed group,
will they? Few in here accept such.

Morse code testing primarily affects those NOT in amateur
radio. Restricting participation in a forum of those ALREADY
IN amateur radio is simply elitist or exclusionary. An Internet
newsgroup is already established for public access. There is
little common sense in "requiring" another forum which is not
nearly so public.

764. Re: RP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 23:47:33 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113184733.10597.00001450@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 54899

In article <3PfA9.1438$Ta6.144835@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Ki¿½HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:

>"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote
>
>: When radio first began (recognized as 1806), teleprinting telegraphy
>: was already in use and beginning to make inroads into landline
>: telegraphy, displacing manual telegraphy.
>
>Really?

The year should have been 1896 and the beginning of radio as a communications medium occurred in Italy and Russia.

My error on mistyping 1896 as "1806."

765. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-13 23:47:34 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113184734.10597.00001451@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 54953

In article <3DD15AAC.4020305@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:

>Brian wrote:
>
>> Mike, DICK, I see none of that in his postings. I see Len putting
>> forth a very rational argument for the elimination of all code
>> testing. I think Len would be the last person to try to keep someone
>> from posting their opinions. On the other hand, I see a lot of
>> maneuvering to keep Len from participating here.
>
> A couple thoughts Brian:
>
> The thread isn't even about elimination of CW. It's about an initial
>invitation to get involved in a contest, then a Mr Vshah who apparently
>thinks that most aspects of ham radio are not to his interest.

Subject threads tend to change course over time...

> Not every thread has to be about the elimination of CW. It's a boring
>subject to me at least. Now if we wanted to discuss the post CW world
>for amateurs, that might be worthwhile.

Those who find certain topics "boring" should simply skip over
those topics.

This particular newsgroup was created to discuss the code test
issue.

> As for Mr Anderson's arguments about elimination of CW, sure, they are
>pretty fair arguments. But they are put together in a way that is not
>going to win many converts. But then, I doubt that is the purpose.

Mr. Anderson is not in the public relations field. Is Mr. Coslo in
that field? :-)

As to things "put together in a way not to win many converts," I
suggest a perusal of the past year's comments from Mr. Robeson,
Mr. Carroll, Mr. Heil, plus a few from Mr. Brakob. Those are FAR
more in the "not to win any converts" (to their side) than any of
mine. See continuing tag phrases of "putz," "creep" and so forth.

> But then, IIR, he believes that manners are a sign of weakness.

No. CAPITULATION to the viewpoints of others IS a sign of
weakness.

Debate on issues, any issue, can be done "mannerly" but it is a
mistake or a deliberate misdirection of alleged intent to claim that

strong non-support of your personal viewpoints is "ill-mannered."

Strong debate is simply strong debate. Some cannot take such
things...and those people should leave lest they upset themselves.

766. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 00:03:58 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <OLBA9.3101$Bh1.310621@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54956

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote

:
: Restricting participation in a forum of those ALREADY
: IN amateur radio is simply elitist or exclusionary. An Internet
: newsgroup is already established for public access.
:

Aw gee......

....there already is an internet newsgroup for boatanchors -- so it is simply elitist and exclusionary for hams to have boatanchor nets on the radio outside of rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors.

....there already is an internet newsgroup for DX -- so it is simply elitist and exclusionary for hams to have DX nets on the radio outside of rec.radio.amateur.DX.

....there already is an internet newsgroup for misc. -- so it is simply elitist and exclusionary for hams to have miscellaneous nets on the radio outside of rec.radio.amateur.misc.

....there already is an internet newsgroup for antennae -- so it is simply elitist and exclusionary for hams to have antenna nets on the radio outside of rec.radio.amateur.antenna.

Go have the Chaplain punch your TS chit.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

767. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 00:30:33 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DD2EEC5.1060904@adelphia.net>
Source record: 54957

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> "N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote :
>
> : And it puts a Final Authority wannabe on the same footing
> : as a Young Squirt. It is precisely this equalizing effect that
> : makes some folks hate it so much.
>
> I read this several times -- I understand the words, but I don't understand
> what you're trying to say.
>
> One thing I know for damned sure -- I'm against anything that has an
> equalizing effect! Equality breeds mediocrity. Your attention is invited
> to Vonnegut's "Harrison Bergeron", perhaps the most frightening story ever
> written.

Nope, nothing to get wound up about, Hans. I understand Jim well. I'm definitely not stupid, and yet for a variety of reasons, Morse code was a real problem for me. It put me on equal ground with those who may have had troubles with the written exam, which wasn't hard at all. (for me)

- Mike KB3EIA -

768. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 00:35:43 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113193543.16691.00000374@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 54958

>Subject: Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/13/02 5:47 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021113184730.10597.00001447@mb-mq.aol.com>

>In fewer words: No one can discuss the subject of GETTING IN
> amateur radio without ALREADY BEING IN. That's not free speech
> or free assembly, just exclusivity and limited participation.

Whine whine whine.

>This newsgroup already exists, is public access, unmoderated. No
> time or frequency limit exists for communications, discussion, or
> participation.

So do the spectrum allocations and reception techniques used in Amateur
Radio.

Anyone may listen to the conversations. Even you, Your Putziness.

Anyone who cares to participate may do so without fear of restriction or
exclusion. Just take the test like millions of Americans have done in the last
80 years.

Invitations have been extended to those who do not posses a license to
join in. Lennie, you frequently try to "make hay" oof the fact taht you have
"good friends" who are licensed. So...Ask them.

Or are you afraid that one of us might suggest to them that they review
this forum and see how you REALLY behave...???

Otherwise....

booo hooo hooo

Steve

769. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 00:46:37 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113194637.16691.00000385@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 54959

>Subject: Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/13/02 5:47 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021113184731.10597.00001448@mb-mq.aol.com>

>I thought that was clearly obvious. THIS newsgroup already exists,
> is public-access to anyone with a computer, modem, and an ISP
> account. No amateur radio license required, no code test
> required.

However it DOES require the possession of a computer ($500+ for a computer of adequate service), modem (another $25-50) and a subscription to an ISP to get here. (Another $9.95 to $20+ and more, depending on dial-up, DSL or satellite)

Therefore it is not nearly as "unrestricted" as you'd have it believed.

Therefore, since there MIGHT be an underpriviledged person with an interest in "getting into" Amateur Radio that cannot otherwise participate in this forum, I suggest that ALL discussions regarding "getting into" Amateur Radio be restricted TO Amateur Radio.

A quick trip to Wal-Mart reveals several "portable" radios with HF coverage, one of which has CW/SSB capability for under $110.

Stations participating in the "How To Get Into Amateur Radio" roundtable will, alternatively, announce the ARRL's address and their 800 numbers, thereby making it "free", to less that 40 cents in postage for any motivated respondant.

No reference to Lennie the Loser necessary.

>If so, please
> contact the FCC and ask for an NPRM to have that exclusivity
> installed in Part 97, Title 47 CFR.

Nice try, Your Geezerness. You lose.

770. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 00:48:10 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113194810.16691.00000386@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 54960

>Subject: Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)
>From: "Kï¿½HB" KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET
>Date: 11/13/02 6:03 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <OLBA9.3101$Bh1.310621@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

>Go have the Chaplain punch your TS chit.

How about if we have the Chaplain punch him instead? Maybe it would wake
the loser up...???

Steve

771. Re: RP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 01:05:19 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113200519.16691.00000388@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 54961

>Subject: Re: RP
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/13/02 5:47 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021113184727.10597.00001445@mb-mq.aol.com>

>> I am sure they'd second guess the company they were keeping if they
>knew
>>how you've tried to "embellish" your military career in this forum.
>
> My Army serial number was....(SNIP)

Your Army number, status as voluntary enlistee, dates of active or reserve service or rank on final disposition have NOTHING to do with your attempts to embellish the character of your service by associating yourself with Soldiers who died in battle.

They also have NOTHING to do with Amateur Radio POLICY. Your tenure as a radio clerk at ADA has NOTHING to do with Amateur Radio POLICY.

>My longest unit assignment was...(SNIP)

Absolutely irrelevent to the subject of this forum...Amateur Radio POLICY.

>Absolutely NONE of the above is "embellished" in any way and...(SNIP)

...and has nothing to do with previous attempts by you, in this forum, to associate yourself with those who fell in battle.

>Eugene Rosenbaum, N2JTV...(SNIP)

Yes, yes, yes, Lennie...one of your "token" Amateur Radio buddies.

>He can verify the above about ADA and false allegations of "embellishments"

The embellishments occured in this forum...NOT in Korea. It occured with your cowardly attempts to "impress" the veterans in this forum by hoping no one caught the time line disparity between the events of active combat in Korea and when you actually arrived in-theater.

>On 1 Jul 50, a C-54 carrying 19 members of the 8235th and 5 flight
>crew crashed in Korea. All aboard were killed....(SNIP)

A tragedy, to be sure, but again, ABSOLUTELY IRRELEVENT to any Amateur Radio POLICY discussion in this forum, and ABSOLUTELY IRRELEVENT as it pertains to YOUR service.

Your broaching of the events is nothing more than an attempt by you to embellish your military service. You were a rear area radio clerk...nothing else.

YOU WERE NOT THERE when those Soldiers died.

>Shove it, sweetums.

Right up your lying kazoo, Lennie...With enthusiasm.

And you're STILL a putz.

772. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 01:12:27 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqut3001369@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54965

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0211130522.2e79d7cc@posting.google.com...
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<aqsipa$eu5$0@208.206.142.90>...
> > WA8ULX wrote:
> > >
> > > >I am smashingly gorgeous, Dick. I took it off because I couldn't
stand the
> > > >thought of Larry drooling over it every night!
> > > >
> > > >Kim W5TIT
> > > >
> > >
> > > Yea you are TIT, I think the word you were looking for is BUTT UGLY,
not
> > > gorgeous.
> > >
> >
> > I think you're right!
>
> Are you guys drunk again? You're behavior is dispicable.

It's obvious that they're bitter, old men who don't care about their
behavior, or how others see them. Yet, they expect people to care about what
they have to say. The word "credibility" is not in their vocabulary.

773. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 01:14:49 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqut6b013km@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54966

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021113084428.21139.00000349@mb-cu.aol.com...
> >Are you guys drunk again? You're behavior is dispicable.
> >
>
> Kiss Off Clown

Bruce, if you'd want someone to kiss your ass, all you'd have to do is
wiggle your ears to give them a target.

774. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 01:17:26 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113201726.16691.00000392@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 54962

>Subject: Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/13/02 5:47 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021113184730.10597.00001446@mb-mq.aol.com>

>In the general line of thought of others, as presented in messages
> here, they view amateur radio as a "lifestyle,"...SNIP

Too bad you're not a part of it, eh Lennie?

> ...an alternate reality
> where they can claim status, rank, and privilege through a certain
> license class and the "authority" to identify themselves with
> federally-certificated call letters.

Federally ISSUED call letters, Lennie. Care for one of your own? You can have it, you know...

Or better yet, why not send $10 (or is it more...?) to "CRB Research" as seen in "Popular Communicaitons" and other radiocommunications related magazines, and they will neatly emboss your name and "Registered Monitor Station" "callsign"..then YOU can have your "call" too, Lennie...

You might even sneak it by DMV and get it on your car tags...Although I am sure you could have it put on almost any other of California's plethora of "vanity" tags.

>A few, such as myself, do not see any reason for retention of the
> standards and practices of the 1930s to be continued into this new
> millenium.

Already "argued" and put to bed by all EXCEPT Lennie. The FCC has already said it's piece on the matter, and when no longer bound by treaties to which the United States is signatory, will revisit the issue.

Until then it's all Lennie has left in life...that last great windmill to tilt at before his day is done.

>They want, perhaps need, the title, rank, etc., to maintain their
>self-image.

This from a guy who feels it necessary to append his 1950's era Army service number to his "signature" in an Amateur Radio related forum.

>The word "service" throughout Title 47 CFR, all Parts, is a regulatory
> term denoting a type and kind of radio activity.

And the FCC has specified in 47CFR97.1 what SERVICE it expects to reap from the Amateur Service.

>Many others have USED an amateur radio license for a "greater"
> purpose, far exaggerating its importance. That is their problem,
> not the government's problem or anyone else's

The greater purpose is hardly "exaggerat(ed)". It's well chronicled and archived. Sorry this ruins yor rants, Your Lyingness.

Putz.

775. Re: GMRS over-the-counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 01:20:07 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h5jciw7.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 54963

On Wed, 13 Nov 2002 22:42:37 GMT, KØHB wrote:

> P=IE. Not just a good idea, it happens to be the LAW!

My wife, who is an electric power engineering designer, is convinced
that to certain Eastern European immigrant engineers whose work she
routinmely corrects, it's Ohm's Recommendation.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

776. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 01:23:46 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqutn40150m@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54964

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:aqu35n$h1n$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> I know...I know.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021112201154.09022.00000010@mb-fo.aol.com...
> > >Love it !!!....wonder if I spelled that right?
> > >
> > >Dan/W4NTI
> > >
> >
> > Dont you love the way they can only comment on spelling, they still have
a
> > terrible time trying to Justify there dumb down license.

Look to the FCC if you want justification. They're the ones that implemented the current license structure. The rest of us don't have to justify anything to people that don't matter.

777. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 01:26:07 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqutrh015dp@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54971

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021113084322.21139.00000348@mb-cu.aol.com...
> >You know, some people have a lot of nerve complaining about "welfare
hams"
> >when they themselves sit around all day and collect disability checks,
> >leaching off of the taxpayers.
>
> You Idiot, some people dont need your Welfare Check, some people have made
> enough resources thru there life time that can live comfortable.

You seem vehement in your response. Whatsamatter, Brucie? I hit a nerve?

778. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 01:41:44 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aquv0h$s0k$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54967

Thats what she said somewhere up here in USENET land. Can't remember what thread it was.

I knew lots of gals in the military. Hard to tell them from the guys as I recall.

Dan/W4NTI

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message news:20021113131854.23999.00007061@mb-md.aol.com...
> >When you were in the Air Force Twit did you perchance get around some
> >chemicals? Other than than please explain your thought process.
> >
> >Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> Was TIT in the Air Force?

779. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 01:45:09 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aquv6u$vp9$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54968

No 'Krell' you do indeed have to justify your presense on our Amateur bands. You didn't pay the same dues as we did. Thus you are not qualified in the same way. Then you and your ilk have to spend hours and hours trying to justify your existance on the bands.

Don't you understand 'Krell' that as long as you and your ilk keep bitching and moaning and attacking those that had to really pay the dues that we are going to continue screwing with you? Or are you really as stupid as you sound ??

Dan/W4NTI

"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:aqutn40150m@enews2.newsguy.com...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> Look to the FCC if you want justification. They're the ones that implemented
> the current license structure. The rest of us don't have to justify anything
> to people that don't matter.
>
>

780. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 01:49:03 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aquve8$l3q$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54969

Minimal math? Dang.....I needed a slide rule when I took mine.

Dan/W4NTI

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021113161319.00366.00004940@mb-fz.aol.com...
> There seems to be some dispute over the possibility of passing the Extra
> written in eight minutes.
>
> The odd thing is that it is disputed by someone who has not passed said
test.
>
> I was curious about the eight minute claim, so I surfed over to AA9PW's
exam
> practice site and took a practice Extra exam online.
>
> To make it more of a sporting course I did not use a calculator, slide
rule or
> pencil/pen/paper. Did what little math was required in my head.
>
> With absolutely no preparation, I scored 94% in an elapsed time of seven
> minutes. And learned a few things about the rules for earth stations and
RACES.
>
> So it can be done.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

781. Re: GMRS over-the-counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 01:49:57 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ut60apo7oicf55@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54972

But who has the Joules to enforce it?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h5jciw7.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
On Wed, 13 Nov 2002 22:42:37 GMT, K&#65533;HB wrote:

> P=IE. Not just a good idea, it happens to be the LAW!

My wife, who is an electric power engineering designer, is convinced
that to certain Eastern European immigrant engineers whose work she
routinmely corrects, it's Ohm's Recommendation.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

782. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 01:50:09 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aquvga$v8l$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54970

Gee I can hardly wait.

Dan/W4NTI

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021113170911.11232.00004817@mb-fe.aol.com...
> >The odd thing is that it is disputed by someone who has not passed said
test.
> >
>
>
> There lies the problem, Bryan cant do it any probably 2 hours.
>
> >To make it more of a sporting course I did not use a calculator, slide
rule
> >or
> >pencil/pen/paper. Did what little math was required in my head.
> >
> >With absolutely no preparation, I scored 94% in an elapsed time of seven
> >minutes. And learned a few things about the rules for earth stations and
> >RACES.
> >
> >So it can be done.
> >
> >73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
>
> Of course you can, and anyone else can also. I did not use a Calculator
or
> anything for the Math either, actually it would have probably slowed me
down.
> I do have to say you were faster than me, maybe if you had used the other
> minute you also would have scored 100%.
> As I have stated before the Written is a Joke, and once the CW Test is
gone
> the written should follow, it really serves no purpose.

783. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 01:52:26 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ut60fedcms0gc4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54973

Well, it took me a couple of hours ... of course, that included taking the novice, tech, general, advance, extra, and 20 wpm cw test - and waiting for them to be graded ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message news:20021113161319.00366.00004940@mb-fz.aol.com...
> There seems to be some dispute over the possibility of passing the Extra
> written in eight minutes.
>
> The odd thing is that it is disputed by someone who has not passed said test.
>
> I was curious about the eight minute claim, so I surfed over to AA9PW's exam
> practice site and took a practice Extra exam online.
>
> To make it more of a sporting course I did not use a calculator, slide rule or
> pencil/pen/paper. Did what little math was required in my head.
>
> With absolutely no preparation, I scored 94% in an elapsed time of seven
> minutes. And learned a few things about the rules for earth stations and RACES.
>
> So it can be done.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

784. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 02:08:55 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <ut61ef8ativc27@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 54974

Dan, it would have helped. In my case, I knew I had far more answers than I needed ... I simply checked off some answers on the resonant frequency questions (didn't want to extract square roots by hand; I can, but opted not to ..)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message news:aquve8$l3q$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> Minimal math? Dang.....I needed a slide rule when I took mine.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021113161319.00366.00004940@mb-fz.aol.com...
> > There seems to be some dispute over the possibility of passing the Extra
> > written in eight minutes.
> >
> > The odd thing is that it is disputed by someone who has not passed said
> test.
> >
> > I was curious about the eight minute claim, so I surfed over to AA9PW's
> exam
> > practice site and took a practice Extra exam online.
> >
> > To make it more of a sporting course I did not use a calculator, slide
> rule or
> > pencil/pen/paper. Did what little math was required in my head.
> >
> > With absolutely no preparation, I scored 94% in an elapsed time of seven
> > minutes. And learned a few things about the rules for earth stations and
> RACES.
> >
> > So it can be done.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

785. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 02:28:51 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DD30A7D.7060901@adelphia.net>
Source record: 54975

Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <3DD15AAC.4020305@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:

> Those who find certain topics "boring" should simply skip over
> those topics.

But.... the original topic was something I was interested in. And posted in. How do I manage to know what the content is before I open it?

> This particular newsgroup was created to discuss the code test
> issue.

So I guess when the code test is history, the group will be too. Pity that, there are so many other (and much more important) things to discuss here.

>> As for Mr Anderson's arguments about elimination of CW, sure, they are
>>pretty fair arguments. But they are put together in a way that is not
>>going to win many converts. But then, I doubt that is the purpose.
>>
>
> Mr. Anderson is not in the public relations field. Is Mr. Coslo in
> that field? :-)

Is being in public relations a prerequisite for manners? No, I'm not specifically in the Public relations field. But I've been the president of a youth sports group, Vice president of an astronomy group, Captain of my Ice hockey team, and an active member of my local amateur radio club. My point? I can get things done without being rude and abrasive. Most of these folk don't suggest I get psychological help either.

> As to things "put together in a way not to win many converts," I
> suggest a perusal of the past year's comments from Mr. Robeson,
> Mr. Carroll, Mr. Heil, plus a few from Mr. Brakob. Those are FAR
> more in the "not to win any converts" (to their side) than any of
> mine. See continuing tag phrases of "putz," "creep" and so forth.

I think I've commented on my disagreement with them in calling you names.

>
>> But then, IIR, he believes that manners are a sign of weakness.
>>
>
> No. CAPITULATION to the viewpoints of others IS a sign of
> weakness.
>

> Debate on issues, any issue, can be done "mannerly" but it is a
> mistake or a deliberate misdirection of alleged intent to claim that
> strong non-support of your personal viewpoints is "ill-mannered."

Strong non-support, I can handle. Your mode of operation is not merely strong non support though. It is antagonistic to a pretty fair degree. It's okay though, if you really want to take that style. I have had to deal with a few of those type (mainly in the sports league). It's sad, because they never could figure out why they received no support for their cause.

> Strong debate is simply strong debate. Some cannot take such
> things...and those people should leave lest they upset themselves.

Aww, suggesting I "leave" now?

- Mike KB3EIA -

786. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 02:34:19 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DD30BBE.7040101@adelphia.net>
Source record: 54976

Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <3DD19270.7010807@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
> writes:
>
>
>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>>
>>> Your "invitation" is open ONLY to already-licensed amateurs due to
>>> EM spectrum regulations in the USA. In the face of an already-
>>> existing public venue for discussion, the "invitation" is viewed as a
>>> rather elitist grouping of participants.
>>>
>> You are quite welcome to come to my QTH and participate in the net.
>>
> That
>
>>may be impractical-I don't know your location.
>>
>
> Southern California. If you need my mailing address, it is in all
> bylines of articles I wrote in Ham Radio Magazine in the 1980s.
> That's still my mailing address.

Probably won't be practical, as I live in Pennsylvania.

>> I don't know if you have any suggestions on a different way we can all
>>get together, but if you do, let's hear them.
>>
>
> I thought that was clearly obvious. THIS newsgroup already exists,
> is public-access to anyone with a computer, modem, and an ISP
> account. No amateur radio license required, no code test
> required.

It might be nice to have a forum where we can speak directly together.
BTW, you could have mentioned something such as netmeeting to get together.

> It would appear your vexation is simply a well-disguised need for
> exclusivity...as if the only persons who can discuss GETTING IN
> amateur radio are those ALREADY licensed. If so, please
> contact the FCC and ask for an NPRM to have that exclusivity
> installed in Part 97, Title 47 CFR.

Vexation? I offered you a microphone to use in this get together. Gee,
I'm beginning to thing some people don't like me very much.

- Mike KB3EIA -

787. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 02:35:04 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DD30BF4.4000909@adelphia.net>
Source record: 54977

Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <3DD19270.7010807@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
> writes:
>
>
>>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>>
>>> Your "invitation" is open ONLY to already-licensed amateurs due to
>>> EM spectrum regulations in the USA. In the face of an already-
>>> existing public venue for discussion, the "invitation" is viewed as a
>>> rather elitist grouping of participants.
>>>
>> You are quite welcome to come to my QTH and participate in the net.
>>
> That
>
>>may be impractical-I don't know your location.
>>
>
> Southern California. If you need my mailing address, it is in all
> bylines of articles I wrote in Ham Radio Magazine in the 1980s.
> That's still my mailing address.

Probably won't be practical, as I live in Pennsylvania.

>> I don't know if you have any suggestions on a different way we can all
>>get together, but if you do, let's hear them.
>>
>
> I thought that was clearly obvious. THIS newsgroup already exists,
> is public-access to anyone with a computer, modem, and an ISP
> account. No amateur radio license required, no code test
> required.

It might be nice to have a forum where we can speak directly together.
BTW, you could have mentioned something such as netmeeting to get together.

> It would appear your vexation is simply a well-disguised need for
> exclusivity...as if the only persons who can discuss GETTING IN
> amateur radio are those ALREADY licensed. If so, please
> contact the FCC and ask for an NPRM to have that exclusivity
> installed in Part 97, Title 47 CFR.

Vexation? I offered you a microphone to use in this get together. Gee,
I'm beginning to think some people don't like me very much.

- Mike KB3EIA -

788. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 02:41:43 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113214143.16751.00000684@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 54978

>Minimal math? Dang.....I needed a slide rule when I took mine.
>

Dan, the only reason for Minimal Math is the present Day written is a JOKE

789. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 02:41:43 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113214143.16718.00000435@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 54979

From: lenover21@aol.com
>>In the general line of thought of others, as presented in messages
>> here, they view amateur radio as a "lifestyle,"...SNIP

Ham Radio is a "lifestyle", in my opinion also. There is a commonality that goes beyond amateur radio. One is use of the word "Ham", another is hatred of CB (Ham retailers provide crystals in a continuous range, except the 27MHz value is missing!). There are many more examples of "Ham" radio culture.

. >> ...an alternate reality
>> where they can claim status, rank, and privilege through a certain
>> license class and the "authority" to identify themselves with
>> federally-certificated call letters.
These are call call signs. You make them sound evil ("alternate reality"). Its what Hams make of it that it becomes an alternate reality..

>Or better yet, why not send $10 (or is it more...?) to "CRB Research" as
>seen in "Popular Communicaitons" and other radiocommunications related
>magazines, and they will neatly emboss your name and "Registered Monitor
>Station" "callsign".
Only an obcessed Ham would do that.

>You might even sneak it by DMV and get it on your car tags...Although I am
>sure you could have it put on almost any other of California's plethora of
>"vanity" tags.
Only an obcessed Ham would do that. I have seen call signs on licence plates.

>The FCC has already
>said it's piece on the matter, and when no longer bound by treaties to which
>the United States is signatory, will revisit the issue.
You know thats not true.Hams will fight for Morse Code long after the FCC lifts it.

>>They want, perhaps need, the title, rank, etc., to maintain their
>>self-image.
Actually they want to mislead by tying the rankings to Morse code.

>>Many others have USED an amateur radio license for a "greater"
>> purpose, far exaggerating its importance.
What is the "greater" purpose. To have more radio toys to play with?

790. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 02:43:27 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113214327.16751.00000685@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 54980

>Well, it took me a couple of hours ... of course, that included taking the
>novice, tech, general, advance, extra, and 20 wpm cw test - and waiting for
>them to be graded ;)
>
>73 from Rochester, NY
>Jim

That sounds reasonable, but the Testing then was different.

791. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 02:45:26 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113214526.16751.00000686@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 54981

>Thats what she said somewhere up here in USENET land. Can't remember what
>thread it was.
>
>I knew lots of gals in the military. Hard to tell them from the guys as I
>recall.
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>

Yea I know what you mean Dan, most of them were Uglier than HOME MAD SIN.

792. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 02:46:34 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113214634.16718.00000436@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 54982

>By that method, our allocations below 30 MHz are worth 0.1843, those
>above 30M but below 300GHz worth 0.2502. So you need to pass
>a code test to access 42% of our allocations.
So is that how code test for higher class is justified?

As a side note. Previously I mentioned there was not a Worked All Bands award as there is a Worked All States award. This is incorrect. I saw this briefly mentioned somewhere.

793. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 02:47:28 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113214728.16751.00000687@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 54983

>Bruce, if you'd want someone to kiss your ass, all you'd have to do is
>wiggle your ears to give them a target.

I have your Target, I also have my Hands ready to hold your EARS.

794. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 02:48:56 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113214856.16751.00000688@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 54984

>The word "credibility" is not in their vocabulary.
>

Sounds like a word to describe the NO CODE CBplussers

795. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 03:00:19 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113220019.16718.00000438@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 54985

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>As I have stated before the Written is a Joke, and once the CW Test is gone
>the written should follow, it really serves no purpose.

I don't feel CW should be mandatory. Certainly the technical license classes should not be tied to learning CW.

Anyone can pass any license class in a day or two of memorizing the license pool questions. The subject material is very good and relevant - IF one learns the material.

The test and license preparation classes should be changed to learn the material, not just memorize the answers.

796. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 03:03:00 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113220300.16808.00000491@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 54986

>The test and license preparation classes should be changed to learn the
>material, not just memorize the answers.
>

Exactly

797. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 03:05:31 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021113220531.25792.00001229@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 54987

In article <aqtjqh61clp@enews2.newsguy.com>, "KC8PMX"
<kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> writes:

>Dunno if necessarily agree with that.... I think the exam should stay as
>long as code-tested hams are still alive..... Once they pass on, then make
>the changes. I can see their side of the arguement of "I had to do it, so
>do you...." in my own current field of employment.

Ryan:

The "I had to do it, so do you" argument is a construct of the NCTA --
it has never been the position of the PCTA, myself in particular. I have
consistently supported code testing on the basis of the need to preserve
the capability to exploit the many benefits and advantages of the Morse/
CW mode among amateur radio operators. However, the "I had to do
it, so do you" argument is based on the much denied, but real truth of
their own motivation -- laziness, and a desire for an easy way "in" to
the ARS and high-power, HF phone privileges.

>In the meantime... if the requirements dictate a certain action.... I will
>comply with that, even if I don't agree with it, to gain the privileges that
>go along with it.

If only everyone else held this attitude, the ARS could have been
spared it's ugliest, most controversial debate -- and we'd still have
reasonable and purposeful licensing requirements.

73 de Larry, K3LT

798. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 03:29:55 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqv53l01nai@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54993

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021113214728.16751.00000687@mb-fc.aol.com...
> >Bruce, if you'd want someone to kiss your ass, all you'd have to do is
> >wiggle your ears to give them a target.
>
> I have your Target, I also have my Hands ready to hold your EARS.

I seem to have hit another nerve with Babbling Brucie. All he can do is post a lame "I know you are, but what am I?" response. No brains, and no originality.

"Fat, drunk, and stupid, is no way to go through life, son."

799. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 03:31:09 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqv55v01nf1@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54992

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021113214856.16751.00000688@mb-fc.aol.com...
> >The word "credibility" is not in their vocabulary.
> >
>
> Sounds like a word to describe the NO CODE CBplussers

Sounds like? You mean to say that you're too stupid to use a dictionary as
well?

800. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 03:41:37 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <aqv5pj01p9u@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 54996

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:aquv6u$vp9$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> No 'Krell' you do indeed have to justify your presense on our Amateur
bands.

This is a newsgroup. Not an amateur band.

> You didn't pay the same dues as we did. Thus you are not qualified in the
> same way. Then you and your ilk have to spend hours and hours trying to
> justify your existance on the bands.
>
> Don't you understand 'Krell' that as long as you and your ilk keep
bitching
> and moaning and attacking those that had to really pay the dues that we
are
> going to continue screwing with you? Or are you really as stupid as you
> sound ??
>
> Dan/W4NTI

...and what does the FCC have to say about the matter? Do you have an answer
to that, or do you just have more personal delusions about what the license
requirements really are?

801. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 03:46:10 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113224610.15163.00000582@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 54989

In article <3DD2C79A.E0FDD18C@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
>>
>> So...ALL the "technically inclined" are refusing to get an Amateur
>Radio
>> license because of a Morse Code requirement for access to 3% of all Amateur
>> allocations...???
>>
>>
>
>It would be more meaningful to measure that logarithmically, not linearly.
>A few megahertz slice of microwave allocation is generally less meaningful
>than a hundred kilohertz of 20 meters. Propagation is the difference.
>Also remember that things at 2300 MHz act the same as at 2310MHz,
>but things at 4MHz are significantly different than at 14 MHz, though both
>are separate by 10MHz. He higher frequency bands allow wider bandwidth
>signals, but you are limited to line of sight above a gigahertz or so,
>without
>the aid of a satellite. At HF, you can go around the world.
>By that method, our allocations below 30 MHz are worth 0.1843, those
>above 30M but below 300GHz worth 0.2502. So you need to pass
>a code test to access 42% of our allocations.
>
HAW! That's a GOOD one!

I thought you were serious for about a millisecond, then the sublime humor of
it hit me. Beautiful, just beautiful.

73 de Jim, N2EY

802. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 03:46:11 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113224611.15163.00000583@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 54990

In article <OLBA9.3101$Bh1.310621@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:

>....there already is an internet newsgroup for boatanchors -- so it is
>simply elitist and exclusionary for hams to have boatanchor nets on the
>radio outside of rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors.

Yup.
>
>....there already is an internet newsgroup for DX -- so it is simply elitist
>and exclusionary for hams to have DX nets on the radio outside of
>rec.radio.amateur.DX.

Or packet clusters.
>
>....there already is an internet newsgroup for misc. -- so it is simply
>elitist and exclusionary for hams to have miscellaneous nets on the radio
>outside of rec.radio.amateur.misc.

'zactly
>
>....there already is an internet newsgroup for antennae -- so it is simply
>elitist and exclusionary for hams to have antenna nets on the radio outside
>of rec.radio.amateur.antenna.

Etc.

In fact, if we follow that reasoning to its logical conclusion, we see that it's elitist and exclusionary for hams to talk about any subject at all on the air, because not everyone has an amateur license.

And even if all license requirements are dropped, it will still be elitist and exclusionary against those who don't have ham radio equipment, or antennas, or who cannot be on the air when a discussion is scheduled.

Horrors!

>Go have the Chaplain punch your TS chit.

Yea, verily. And maybe read Harrison Bergeron while waiting in line.

73 de Jim, N2EY

803. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 03:46:12 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113224612.15163.00000584@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 54988

In article <ut60fedcms0gc4@corp.supernews.com>, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@frontiernet.net> writes:

>Well, it took me a couple of hours ... of course, that included taking the
>novice, tech, general, advance, extra, and 20 wpm cw test - and waiting for
>them to be graded ;)

I don't know how long it took me back in 1970, when I took the Extra at the age of 16. Summer between sophomore and junior years of high school, hot muggy subway ride, yada yada yada.

Back then you could not do it all at once - you needed at least two years' experience as a General, Conditional or Advanced before they'd even let you near the Extra.

I didn't use a slide rule back then because I didn't have one, and the math was simple enough not to need it. One of the tricks of solving multiguess questions is that it's sometimes easier to work backwards from the answer than to derive the answer "forwards". Another trick is knowing certain basic formulas, like

LC = 25,330/F^2

(L in uH, C in uuF, F in MHz)

eliminates the need to do square roots in order to figure out most resonance problems.

Surf on over to AA9PW's site and see how you do.

But heck, seven minutes is a long time compared to the code test, which only took 5 minutes....

73 de Jim, N2EY

804. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 03:48:49 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DD31D20.2CBB73E5@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 54991

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:

> We're a diverse crowd of individualists, each with strongly held ideas which
> often conflict with other strongly held ideas, and we bicker and fight here
> like a crowd of step-siblings who fight each other, sometimes I think just
> for the pleasure of bickering. Odds are pretty good that underneath it all
> we have a lot more in common than we really want to acknowledge.
>
> We're all (well, almost all) licensed hams, and most of us have passed at
> least a 5WPM Morse test.
>
> I propose a Rrap net, each Wednesday evening at 0300UTC (10 PM EST, 9 PM
> CST, 8 PM MST, 7 PM PST) on 28.350, a QRG available to everyone who has
> passed the 5WPM Morse test. If there's other traffic on that freq, we can
> just move up to the first clear spot.

Did anyone get on the air? Heard nothing here in NNJ. Called CQ a few
times too. Nothing. Not suprising, as propagation on 10 at 10PM is
usually poor. I have seen band openings on 10 after 10PM, but that is
rare.

805. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 03:53:49 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021113225349.16808.00000519@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 54994

>Sounds like? You mean to say that you're too stupid to use a dictionary as
>well?
>
>

No, but your word will suffice

806. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 03:59:48 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <UcFA9.3483$Bh1.334248@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54995

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote
:
: Did anyone get on the air? Heard nothing here in NNJ. Called CQ a few
: times too. Nothing. Not suprising, as propagation on 10 at 10PM is
: usually poor. I have seen band openings on 10 after 10PM, but that is
: rare.
:

Short band toward the east. Worked one guy in Madison, WI (about 350 miles)
who wanted to know what a "wrap" was! Conditions toward the west were
better, but not great. I could just barely hear the W6WX ncdxf beacon.
Antenna is TH7 at 65 feet.

Let's try Saturday at 1700 UTC (12Noon EST, 11AM CST, etc.), same QRG.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

807. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 05:04:07 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211132104.1d594528@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54997

brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0211120502.e623113@posting.google.com>...
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<aqq2ks$100$0@208.206.142.89>...
>
> Mike, DICK, I see none of that in his postings. I see Len putting
> forth a very rational argument for the elimination of all code
> testing. I think Len would be the last person to try to keep someone
> from posting their opinions. On the other hand, I see a lot of
> maneuvering to keep Len from participating here.

Yo Brainiac, by precisely what mechanism(s) would it be even remotely
possible for anybody or any group to selectively keep you and/or The
Putz from "partcipating here"??

Unless "here" became the ham bands of course.

>
> 73, Brian

w3rv

w3rv

808. Re: RP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 05:39:17 UTC
From: Brother Lloyd/N0VFP <brotherlloyd@barf.org>
Message-ID: <3dd33400_3@corp-goliath.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 54998

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021112171231.15435.00000152@mb-cr.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: RP
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 11/12/02 1:02 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <20021112140219.21317.00000621@mb-cf.aol.com>
>
> >Len Anderson
> > ...back from Veterans Day ceremonies shared with fellow veterans
> > of the US military
>
> I am sure they'd second guess the company they were keeping if they
knew
> how you've tried to "embellish" your military career in this forum.
>
> Putz.

Now was that very nice? I dont think it was to me. You are the one who
should be called "Putz"

Did you ever serve in the military?

Lloyd Davies/N0VFP
B.A.R.F. Chief of Communications
Computer Expert
Icom R-75, ATS 909, Dx-398.
ADI-204, HTX-252

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

809. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 06:42:26 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DD345EB.939320B9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 54999

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3DD11A23.47BE3D23@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >> > Only a couple of regulars here consider morse use to be "paramount".
> >>
> >> Hmmm, who might they be, Dave? I don't recall ever reading anyone here
> >> who espoused that view, although many of the codebashing whining idiots
> >> insist on accusing some CW supporters of that inanity.
> >
> >On reflection, Larry might be the only one. By the way, I'm quite
> >amused over Len and Cutie Boy's replacement debating the inequity of
> >having a r.r.a.p. roundtable on the air.
> >
> >Dave K8MN
>
> I consider actual operational skill in the use of Morse code to be
> important enough to justify code testing for an HF-privileged license
> at a speed of at least 12 WPM, preferably 12 - 13 WPM for
> the equivalent of a General class ticket, and 20 WPM for Extra,
> just like the olden days.
>
> If you disagree with this, Dave, please explain.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

I understand your view on testing, Larry, and I don't disagree with it
though we know that those days are never coming back. I wrote of your
position--and you may correct me if I understood it incorrectly--that
you are not a "phone man" except for the occasional use of 2m FM.

Dave K8MN

810. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 06:47:14 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DD34725.E24A3FE8@earthlink.net>
Source record: 55000

N2EY wrote:
>
> There seems to be some dispute over the possibility of passing the Extra
> written in eight minutes.
>
> The odd thing is that it is disputed by someone who has not passed said test.
>
> I was curious about the eight minute claim, so I surfed over to AA9PW's exam
> practice site and took a practice Extra exam online.
>
> To make it more of a sporting course I did not use a calculator, slide rule or
> pencil/pen/paper. Did what little math was required in my head.
>
> With absolutely no preparation, I scored 94% in an elapsed time of seven
> minutes. And learned a few things about the rules for earth stations and RACES.
>
> So it can be done.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

That and you and your pal did pretty darned well on Field Day too!

Dave K8MN

811. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 07:02:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DD34A7F.D6655058@earthlink.net>
Source record: 54801

Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <20021112203942.13494.00000474@mb-mj.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> writes:
>
> >In article <3DD19270.7010807@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
> >writes:
> >
> >>Perhaps another ham here can help.
> >>
> >Here's a way almost anyone who reads this can participate in an on-the-air
> >amateur radio policy discussion on the ham bands:
> >
> >1) Set up an effective station for the amateur band and mode to be used.
> >2) Obtain the necessary license by passing the required tests.
> >3) Operate the station in 1) as required by the governmental agency who
> >granted
> >the license in 2).
> >
> >The fact that someone passes a test to obtain a license does not in any way
> >indicate agreement with the test requirements.
>
> In fewer words: No one can discuss the subject of GETTING IN
> amateur radio without ALREADY BEING IN. That's not free speech
> or free assembly, just exclusivity and limited participation.

You've discussed GETTING IN without ALREADY BEING IN. You've discussed,
dismissed and shown rudeness to anyone not sharing your view of amateur
radio utopia. That, by God, is free speech. Convincing others to share
your views has not been your long suit.

> This newsgroup already exists, is public access, unmoderated. No
> time or frequency limit exists for communications, discussion, or
> participation.

You'll forgive radio amateurs if they wish to discuss policy on the
amateur bands, wont' you, Len? I know of nothing which states that you
must be included. You could have been a participant in amateur radio
for decades but for inertia.

Dave K8MN

812. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 07:10:04 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DD34C35.E9ADE8A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 54802

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <20021112213834.27775.00004619@mb-mf.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com
> (Vshah101) writes:
>
> >I never claimed to be unlicensed or an antagonist. I claimed I did not know
> >Morse. Stop calling people creep and putz.
>
> Such individuals can only "argue" in such a manner. :-)
>
> >When you first proposed the idea, it did seem you were sneaking in Morse code
> >as a requirement.
> >About actually USING your license. This makes sense, I would have to admit.
> >
> >I agree with this statement made by lenover21@aol.com :
> >Removal of the code test will result in greater freedom to get into
> >amateur radio, enjoying the many non-morse-code aspects of that
> >hobby.
>
> In the general line of thought of others, as presented in messages
> here, they view amateur radio as a "lifestyle," an alternate reality
> where they can claim status, rank, and privilege through a certain
> license class and the "authority" to identify themselves with
> federally-certificated call letters.

Awwwwwwww. You can get some call letters too, Len. Just pass an exam.
Who are these folks who consider amateur radio a lifestyle? Is your
internet badgering of radio amateurs be considered a lifestyle?

> Many others have USED an amateur radio license for a "greater"
> purpose, far exaggerating its importance. That is their problem,
> not the government's problem or anyone else's.

It chafes you. It would appear to be your problem.

Dave K8MN

813. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 07:13:58 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DD34D1F.267E87B2@earthlink.net>
Source record: 54803

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3DD19270.7010807@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> >> Your "invitation" is open ONLY to already-licensed amateurs due to
> >> EM spectrum regulations in the USA. In the face of an already-
> >> existing public venue for discussion, the "invitation" is viewed as a
> >> rather elitist grouping of participants.
> >>
> > You are quite welcome to come to my QTH and participate in the net.
> That
> >may be impractical-I don't know your location.
>
> Southern California. If you need my mailing address, it is in all
> bylines of articles I wrote in Ham Radio Magazine in the 1980s.
> That's still my mailing address.
>
> > Perhaps another ham here can help.
> >
> > I don't know if you have any suggestions on a different way we can all
> >get together, but if you do, let's hear them.
>
> I thought that was clearly obvious. THIS newsgroup already exists,
> is public-access to anyone with a computer, modem, and an ISP
> account. No amateur radio license required, no code test
> required.
>
> It would appear your vexation is simply a well-disguised need for
> exclusivity...as if the only persons who can discuss GETTING IN
> amateur radio are those ALREADY licensed. If so, please
> contact the FCC and ask for an NPRM to have that exclusivity
> installed in Part 97, Title 47 CFR.

What precludes licensed radio amateurs from getting on the air and discussing policy without consulting or including you? After all, we can always leave you a message here or just look up your address in an old copy of the now-defunt Ham Radio magazine if something should come up where your expert guidance is necessary.

Dave K8MN

814. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 07:17:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DD34DF5.F64BBFCD@earthlink.net>
Source record: 54804

Len Over 21 wrote:

> Morse code testing primarily affects those NOT in amateur
> radio. Restricting participation in a forum of those ALREADY
> IN amateur radio is simply elitist or exclusionary. An Internet
> newsgroup is already established for public access. There is
> little common sense in "requiring" another forum which is not
> nearly so public.

Your stuff reads like a plug for the California Democratic Party gone horribly wrong. How about if those of us who are hams get on the air and you do whatever it is you do? Let's celebrate our diversity!

Dave K8MN

815. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 07:32:10 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114023210.08282.00000511@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 54805

>Subject: Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 11/13/02 8:41 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021113214143.16718.00000435@mb-cq.aol.com>

>One is use of the word "Ham"...(SNIP)

Oh no...we have a NICKNAME...! How dare we!

>...another is hatred of
>CB (Ham retailers provide crystals in a continuous range, except the 27MHz
value is missing!).

(1) Amatuer Radio operators have certain laws imposed on them because of
the illeal activities of CBers...Should there NOT be some reason for disgust?

(2) The FCC MANDATES that non-Part 95 radios NOT be sold in a manner
which readily allows them to operate on 11 meters. It's got nothing to do with
the retailers "hating" CBers.

>There are many more examples of "Ham" radio culture.

Whoopie dooo........

There's evidence of "surfer" culture in the United States....As there is
hip-hop, Elvis worship, geneaology-related "culture", etc etc etc.

SO WHAT...?!?!

Putz.

816. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 07:34:34 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114023434.08282.00000512@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 54806

>Subject: Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)
>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>Date: 11/13/02 8:34 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3DD30BBE.7040101@adelphia.net>

>Vexation? I offered you a microphone to use in this get together. Gee,
>I'm beginning to thing some people don't like me very much.

See what I mean, Mike? He's a putz, through and through.

73

Steve, K4YZ

817. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 07:37:16 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114023716.08282.00000513@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 54807

>Subject: Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 11/13/02 8:46 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021113214634.16718.00000436@mb-cq.aol.com>

>As a side note. Previously I mentioned there was not a Worked All Bands award
>as there is a Worked All States award. This is incorrect. I saw this briefly
>mentioned somewhere.

My suspicions are confirmed...English IS a second language to this "guy".
Also, Amateur Radio is an unknown quantity to him. Just another angry
anaonymous putz whose taken up a fight without knowing what he's fighting
for/over.

K4YZ

818. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 07:39:20 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114023920.08282.00000514@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 54808

>Subject: Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)
>From: Robert Casey wa2ise@ix.netcom.com
>Date: 11/13/02 9:48 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3DD31D20.2CBB73E5@ix.netcom.com>

>Did anyone get on the air? Heard nothing here in NNJ.

I was there at 0310. Heard some very weak, below the noise threshold
voice, but nothing I could discern.

73

Steve, K4YZ

819. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 07:51:38 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114025138.08282.00000517@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 54809

>Subject: Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport
>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>Date: 11/13/02 8:28 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3DD30A7D.7060901@adelphia.net>

>>This particular newsgroup was created to discuss the code test
>>issue.

>So I guess when the code test is history, the group will be too. Pity
>that, there are so many other (and much more important) things to
>discuss here.

You see, Mike, Lennie LOVES to bash Amateurs over a perceived lack of desire to evolve, yet Lennie is the first one to steadfastly try and hold the conversations STRICTLY to the Morse Code issue.

It irks the Dickens out of him that Hams have OTHER issues they want to discuss.

>>As to things "put together in a way not to win many converts," I
>>suggest a perusal of the past year's comments from Mr. Robeson,
>>Mr. Carroll, Mr. Heil, plus a few from Mr. Brakob. Those are FAR
>>more in the "not to win any converts" (to their side) than any of
>>mine. See continuing tag phrases of "putz," "creep" and so forth.
>
>I think I've commented on my disagreement with them in calling you names.

I have already "won" my share of "converts" having successfully taught numerous Amateur Radio licensing classes and proctored many more VE tests. Lennie has yet to produce the name of ONE person he's helped become licensed, himself included.

As for calling Lennie "names", what have I called him that he's not manifested in his behaviour?

73

Steve, K4YZ

820. Re: RP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 07:53:38 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114025338.08282.00000518@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 54810

>Subject: Re: RP
>From: "Brother Lloyd/N0VFP" brotherlloyd@barf.org
>Date: 11/13/02 11:39 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3dd33400_3@corp-goliath.newsgroups.com>

>Now was that very nice? I dont think it was to me. You are the one who
>should be called "Putz"

Whatever spins your propellor, Lalalaloyd.

>Did you ever serve in the military?

Are you blind or just ignorant?

K4YZ

821. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 07:58:26 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114025826.08282.00000520@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 54811

>Subject: Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 11/14/02 1:17 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3DD34DF5.F64BBFCD@earthlink.net>

>Your stuff reads like a plug for the California Democratic Party gone
>horribly wrong.

"This is your brain...This is your brain on drugs" is what comes to mind
when I read Lennie's tripe.

>How about if those of us who are hams get on the air and
>you do whatever it is you do? Let's celebrate our diversity!

Yeah...Let's celebrate it on the air..AWAY from Lennie!

73

Steve, K4YZ

822. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 13:00:10 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211140500.445d5b82@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54812

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021113220531.25792.00001229@mb-fc.aol.com>...
> In article <aqtjqh61clp@enews2.newsguy.com>, "KC8PMX"
> <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> writes:
>
> >Dunno if necessarily agree with that.... I think the exam should stay as
> >long as code-tested hams are still alive..... Once they pass on, then make
> >the changes. I can see their side of the arguement of "I had to do it, so
> >do you...." in my own current field of employment.
>
> Ryan:
>
> The "I had to do it, so do you" argument is a construct of the NCTA --
> it has never been the position of the PCTA, myself in particular.

No, it's not a "position," its the underlying theme for every word
that comes out of DICK's mout and yours.

I have
> consistently supported code testing on the basis of the need to preserve
> the capability to exploit the many benefits and advantages of the Morse/
> CW mode among amateur radio operators.

We're quite aware of your spin.

However, the "I had to do
> it, so do you" argument is based on the much denied, but real truth of
> their own motivation -- laziness, and a desire for an easy way "in" to
> the ARS and high-power, HF phone privileges.

Larry, why didn't you go through life as your father did? Are you
lazy?

> >In the meantime... if the requirements dictate a certain action.... I will
> >comply with that, even if I don't agree with it, to gain the privileges that
> >go along with it.
>
> If only everyone else held this attitude, the ARS could have been
> spared it's ugliest, most controversial debate -- and we'd still have
> reasonable and purposeful licensing requirements.

If only the ARS had been spared you, Larry. Just as you didn't follow
your father in his career, we'll not necessarily follow Hiram in his
semi-professional amateur career.

823. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 13:01:56 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211140501.5d623a1a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54813

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021113085109.21139.00000350@mb-cu.aol.com>...
> >I think that is a cogent part of my belief that you might have paid
> >more than the ordinary reimbursement of $10 for VE expenses.
>
> Why[,] is that only way you could get a License?

If I had bought my license, don't you think I'd have a brand spankin
new Extra like you?

73, Brian

824. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 13:04:38 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211140504.6a11567b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54814

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<aqs634$31r$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>...
> Let me help you a bit here 'Krell'. I dont give a shit if you don't like
> the spelling or the proooooose. Pay attention to what is being said.
> Otherwise shut the hell up.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Dan, wow! Maybe you need to take a break from rrap and cool down.

825. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 13:07:18 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211140507.217a23f3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54815

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021113084428.21139.00000349@mb-cu.aol.com>...
> >Are you guys drunk again? You're behavior is dispicable.
> >
>
> Kiss Off Clown

Them's fighting words.

826. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 13:08:56 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211140508.77ccb62e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54816

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021113214728.16751.00000687@mb-fc.aol.com>...
> >Bruce, if you'd want someone to kiss your ass, all you'd have to do is
> >wiggle your ears to give them a target.
>
> I have your Target, I also have my Hands ready to hold your EARS.

Another homosexual inuendo, much like those of Kelly.

I wonder if that Extra ticket is worth it. It appears to have some
unintended consequences.

827. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 13:17:27 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211140517.30fde75d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54817

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20021113161319.00366.00004940@mb-fz.aol.com>...
> There seems to be some dispute over the possibility of passing the Extra
> written in eight minutes.
>
> The odd thing is that it is disputed by someone who has not passed said test.

Jim, don't be silly. I passed the Extra exam. I've even told you
that right here on rrap. I can only imagine why you wouldn't remember
something like that? Except it doesn't open you argument well, or its
just another PCTA double standard.

> I was curious about the eight minute claim, so I surfed over to AA9PW's exam
> practice site and took a practice Extra exam online.
>
> To make it more of a sporting course I did not use a calculator, slide rule or
> pencil/pen/paper. Did what little math was required in my head.
>
> With absolutely no preparation, I scored 94% in an elapsed time of seven
> minutes. And learned a few things about the rules for earth stations and RACES.

Advanced Bruce claims to have done it in 8 minutes, no studying, and
100% score. All on a whim. And took $250 away from two "CB
Plussers."

> So it can be done.

You didn't score 100%.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Jim, your postings on rrap do not imply that you are a functional
illiterate, unless you've had your spouse post for you all these
years.

Bruce on the other hand, could not have read the questions in 8
minutes, let alone do the math and answer them.

828. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 13:26:04 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211140526.53c0a550@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54818

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0211132104.1d594528@posting.google.com>...
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0211120502.e623113@posting.google.com>...
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<aqq2ks$100$0@208.206.142.89>...
> >
> > Mike, DICK, I see none of that in his postings. I see Len putting
> > forth a very rational argument for the elimination of all code
> > testing. I think Len would be the last person to try to keep someone
> > from posting their opinions. On the other hand, I see a lot of
> > maneuvering to keep Len from participating here.
>
> Yo Brainiac, by precisely what mechanism(s) would it be even remotely
> possible for anybody or any group to selectively keep you and/or The
> Putz from "partcipating here"??

Kellie, precisely? Is that what you want? You want precision?

The excluding mechanism is called "Moderate."

The group has talked of going moderated and excluding non-amateurs.

Is that precise enough for you? Would THAT exclude Len?

You can't remember what you say from one day to the next, only as long
as you get your jabs in at me.

829. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 13:35:13 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211140535.1e280eef@posting.google.com>
Source record: 54819

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021112201154.09022.00000010@mb-fo.aol.com>...
> >Love it !!!....wonder if I spelled that right?
> >
> >Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> Don[']t you love the way they can only comment on spelling[;](,) they still have a
> terrible time trying to Justify the[i]r(e) dumb[ed] down license.

Bruce, comments on your spelling ARE in context, as you constantly refer to other amateurs as dumbed down "CB Plussers" and how the written exams are a joke.

Then, you claim to have read all the questions on an Extra exam, did the math, circle the right answer, and score 100%. All in 8 minutes, on a whim, without ever studying, and take $250 away from a couple of stupid "CB Plussers."

Yes, we would be dumb if we believed that.

Maybe in your own mind you are testing the rest of us. If we believed your "story" you could then exclaim that we really are dumb.

830. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 13:57:17 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114085717.08263.00000507@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 54820

>Subject: Re: Eight Minutes?
>From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
>Date: 11/14/02 7:17 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <f45722ac.0211140517.30fde75d@posting.google.com>

>Jim, don't be silly. I passed the Extra exam. I've even told you
>that right here on rrap. I can only imagine why you wouldn't remember
>something like that? Except it doesn't open you argument well, or its
>just another PCTA double standard.

Hmmmmmm...QRZ says you're still a General. So what's the hang-up?

>Advanced Bruce claims to have done it in 8 minutes, no studying, and
>100% score. All on a whim. And took $250 away from two "CB
>Plussers."

Suuuuuuuure he did. And I ahve a bridge in New York I'd like to sell them
too.

831. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 14:10:33 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114091033.06642.00000623@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 54821

>If I had bought my license, don't you think I'd have a brand spankin
>new Extra like you?
>
>73, Brian

No you probably couldnt affort it.

832. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 14:13:04 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114091304.06642.00000624@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 54822

>Jim, don't be silly. I passed the Extra exam.

Then why doesnt it say so in the Data base.

833. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 14:16:57 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114091657.06642.00000625@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 54823

>Then, you claim to have read all the questions on an Extra exam, did
>the math,

What Math, maybe 2 or 3 Questions Tops.

>Yes, we would be dumb if we believed that.
>

I dont care if you believe it or not.

>If we believed
>your "story" you could then exclaim that we really are dumb.

Dont need no Story to prove that.

834. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 14:41:50 UTC
From: Reality Check <me@myaddress.net>
Message-ID: <bhd7tu0ijcc64un3emkmhm8gpgtjlul8qp@4ax.com>
Source record: 54824
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Went in a CB shop Monday. Lots of 100+ watt rigs for sale many
of them on display tuned to frequencies in the 10M band. No
surprise except for the arrogance. Took a bunch of digital
pictures to forward to the FCC field office. I don't suppose
it will do any good but I did my part.

On Sat, 09 Nov 2002 00:59:16 GMT, "Skateboard'n"
<trashysteelhauler@amillnear.you> wrote:

>"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> pulled his/her/it's big finger
>outa' his/her/it's nose long enough to peck more of he/her/it's ludicrous
>ramblings....
>
><<<< YAWN >>>>
>
>> Anyone can sit and listen to the conversations any day and quickly figure
>> out that they are drivers.
>
>So... from this statement I see that you're ASSuming.
>
>> "Whut's yer twenny thar, DRIVAH"? "AH tan fer thar, DRIVAH. AH just
>topped
>> the hill at MP
>> 21 with 34,000 pounds thar, DRIVAH" And I can go up the road a-ways to a
>> Pilot Travel Center,
>> visit the CB radio case and find all the Galaxy and Connex 'reddios' I
>want,
>> and it doesn't take
>> rocket science to figure out who these illegal rigs are aimed at.
>
>Yet....once again I ask you "Einstein"..... where in _ANY_ of those clips is
>there any kind of references that match your mindless accusations?
>
>> Truck
>> stops? Truck drivers? Ten
>> Meter radios in the case? Now WHO would be most likely to visit a truck
>> stop?
>
>More of your mindless.... ASSumptions.
>
>
>> It makes no difference. Since Mr. Walden (WB7COE) was appointed to the
>> House Sub-com-
>> mittee on Communications (chaired by Billy Tauzin), letters have been

>> a-flying.
>>< snip the trolling by the kid>
>
>But how will he get any work done with you squatted under his desk?
>
>Once again......
>I really didn't think the question was really that hard.... yet you keep
>making an ass out of yourself & side stepping my question.
>
>Is it maybe that..... you're just an over zealous puppet & really can't
>answer a simple question?

835. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 14:45:22 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114094522.17289.00000443@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 54825

In article <3DD34725.E24A3FE8@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> There seems to be some dispute over the possibility of passing the Extra
>> written in eight minutes.
>>
>> The odd thing is that it is disputed by someone who has not passed said
>test.
>>
>> I was curious about the eight minute claim, so I surfed over to AA9PW's
>exam
>> practice site and took a practice Extra exam online.
>>
>> To make it more of a sporting course I did not use a calculator, slide rule
>or
>> pencil/pen/paper. Did what little math was required in my head.
>>
>> With absolutely no preparation, I scored 94% in an elapsed time of seven
>> minutes. And learned a few things about the rules for earth stations and
>RACES.
>>
>> So it can be done.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>
>That and you and your pal did pretty darned well on Field Day too!

Thanks for the kind words, Dave. But my group (Jug Southgate's Bunch) didn't do
nearly so well as you and your buddy. But we did OK for a couple of
battery-powered 5 watt rigs, a homebrew dipole and trap vertical. Learned a
lot, too. See QST for June, 1994, for a story about one of our Field Days some
years ago.

Try the online practice exams and see how ya do. almost as much fun as a
contest!

73 de Jim, N2EY

836. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 15:05:22 UTC
From: tools <medtools@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <SYOA9.193$pi7.34603@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54826
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

So..... you think that a "CB" shop cannot sell and display Ham gear? What
law do you think was being broken? Reality Check indeed.....
"Reality Check" <me@myaddress.net> wrote in message
news:bhd7tu0ijcc64un3emkmhm8gpgtjlul8qp@4ax.com...
>
> Went in a CB shop Monday. Lots of 100+ watt rigs for sale many
> of them on display tuned to frequencies in the 10M band. No
> surprise except for the arrogance. Took a bunch of digital
> pictures to forward to the FCC field office. I don't suppose
> it will do any good but I did my part.
>
> On Sat, 09 Nov 2002 00:59:16 GMT, "Skateboard'n"
> <trashysteelhauler@amillnear.you> wrote:
>
> >"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> pulled his/her/it's big finger
> >outa' his/her/it's nose long enough to peck more of he/her/it's ludicrous
> >ramblings....
> >
> ><<< YAWN >>>>
> >
> >> Anyone can sit and listen to the conversations any day and quickly
figure
> >> out that they are drivers.
> >
> >So... from this statement I see that you're ASSuming.
> >
> >> "Whut's yer twenny thar, DRIVAH"? "AH tan fer thar, DRIVAH. AH just
> >topped
> >> the hill at MP
> >> 21 with 34,000 pounds thar, DRIVAH" And I can go up the road a-ways to
a
> >> Pilot Travel Center,
> >> visit the CB radio case and find all the Galaxy and Connex 'reddios' I
> >want,
> >> and it doesn't take
> >> rocket science to figure out who these illegal rigs are aimed at.
> >
> >Yet....once again I ask you "Einstein"..... where in _ANY_ of those clips
is
> >there any kind of references that match your mindless accusations?
> >
> >> Truck
> >> stops? Truck drivers? Ten

> >> Meter radios in the case? Now WHO would be most likely to visit a
truck
> >> stop?
> >
> >More of your mindless.... ASSumptions.
> >
> >
> >> It makes no difference. Since Mr. Walden (WB7COE) was appointed to
the
> >> House Sub-com-
> >> mittee on Communications (chaired by Billy Tauzin), letters have been
> >> a-flying.
> >>< snip the trolling by the kid>
> >
> >But how will he get any work done with you squatted under his desk?
> >
> >Once again......
> >I really didn't think the question was really that hard.... yet you keep
> >making an ass out of yourself & side stepping my question.
> >
> >Is it maybe that..... you're just an over zealous puppet & really can't
> >answer a simple question?
>
>

837. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 15:17:33 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DD3BE8D.9040208@psu.edu>
Source record: 54827

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>>Subject: Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)
>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>Date: 11/13/02 8:34 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <3DD30BBE.7040101@adelphia.net>
>
>
>>Vexation? I offered you a microphone to use in this get together. Gee,
>>I'm beginning to thing some people don't like me very much.
>
>
> See what I mean, Mike?

Frustrating.

- mike KB3EIA -

838. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 15:44:32 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DD3C4E0.40801@psu.edu>
Source record: 54828

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> I have already "won" my share of "converts" having successfully taught
> numerous Amateur Radio licensing classes and proctored many more VE tests.
> Lennie has yet to produce the name of ONE person he's helped become licensed,
> himself included.
>
> As for calling Lennie "names", what have I called him that he's not
> manifested in his behaviour?

Oh, no argument there, Steve. It's just a matter of personal style. My tendencies are to keep it real civil. And I honed it keeping "sports parents" in line. (not an easy task - many sports parents make some people here look like Mother Theresa) 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

839. Wheres TIT???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 16:12:42 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114111242.19592.00000064@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 54829

TIT hasnt been around in awhile, I bet shes getting pictures made for all of us to see.

840. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 16:31:19 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DD3D00D.5680C064@earthlink.net>
Source record: 54830

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)
> >From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
> >Date: 11/13/02 8:46 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <20021113214634.16718.00000436@mb-cq.aol.com>
>
> >As a side note. Previously I mentioned there was not a Worked All Bands award
> >as there is a Worked All States award. This is incorrect. I saw this briefly
> >mentioned somewhere.
>
> My suspicions are confirmed...English IS a second language to this "guy".
> Also, Amateur Radio is an unknown quantity to him. Just another angry
> anaonymous putz whose taken up a fight without knowing what he's fighting
> for/over.
>
> K4YZ

Careful, Steve! English is a second language to most of us here in West Virginia too. Short quiz:

Q What do you call a person who speaks three languages?

A Trilingual

Q What do you call a person who speaks two languages?

A Bilingual

Q What do you call a person who speaks only one language?

A An American

Dave K8MN

841. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 16:40:46 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DD3D227.4BD9FA7C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 54831

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3DD34725.E24A3FE8@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:

> >That and you and your pal did pretty darned well on Field Day too!
>
> Thanks for the kind words, Dave. But my group (Jug Southgate's Bunch) didn't do
> nearly so well as you and your buddy. But we did OK for a couple of
> battery-powered 5 watt rigs, a homebrew dipole and trap vertical. Learned a
> lot, too. See QST for June, 1994, for a story about one of our Field Days some
> years ago.

Don't forget that we ran 20 times the power with the FT-1000 MP "Field
and Stream".

Dave K8MN

842. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 18:05:20 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114130520.08569.00000012@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 54832

>Subject: Re: Eight Minutes?
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 11/14/02 8:57 AM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021114085717.08263.00000507@mb-cv.aol.com>
>
>>Subject: Re: Eight Minutes?
>>From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
>>Date: 11/14/02 7:17 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <f45722ac.0211140517.30fde75d@posting.google.com>
>
>>Jim, don't be silly. I passed the Extra exam. I've even told you
>>that right here on rrap. I can only imagine why you wouldn't remember
>>something like that? Except it doesn't open you argument well, or its
>>just another PCTA double standard.
>
> Hmmmmmm...QRZ says you're still a General. So what's the hang-up?
>
>>Advanced Bruce claims to have done it in 8 minutes, no studying, and
>>100% score. All on a whim. And took $250 away from two "CB
>>Plussers."
>
> Suuuuuuuure he did. And I ahve a bridge in New York I'd like to sell
>them
>too.
>
Let's look at this logically, shall we?

First off, the test in question is the current Extra written test, Element 4.
50 multiple choice questions, you need 37 correct to pass.

WA8ULX claims to have taken it in 8 minutes, gotten 100% and collected some bet
money. FCC database says he is an Extra, and his license was last modified 30
June 2000.

I was able to get a 94% grade on a practice test in seven minutes, so it IS
possible for someone with some radio knowledge to pass Element 4 in a short
time period.

I wasn't at WA8ULX's VE session back in 2000, but there is nothing impossible
or contradictory in his claim. His frequent spelling and grammatical mistakes
in postings, and his rather raw way of expressing himself here have little
bearing on his ability to pass the Extra written. The FCC tests for amateur
radio licenses do not test expressive language, spelling or grammar skills.

N0IMD claims to have "passed the Extra test", but the FCC database says he
holds a General class license, last modified 23 January 2002. So if he has

indeed passed Element 4, it has not been processed.

There is no contradiction in WA8ULX's claims, but there IS an apparent contradiction in N0IMD's claims. Why should a reasonable, unbiased person reject the claims of WA8ULX and accept the claim of N0IMD, given the FCC database and other information?

If N0IMD is referring to old Element 4B, that is not the test under discussion in this thread. And there is no direct external evidence that N0IMD ever passed that element.

If anyone is "being silly", it's not me. If N0IMD has actually passed the test under discussion (Element 4), the FCC database should reflect it.

Before April 15, 2000, "the Extra test" included both a 20 wpm code test (or waiver), and old Element 4B.

So here's a straight question:

Has N0IMD passed Element 4 at a VE session?

Yes or no?

73 de Jim, N2EY

843. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 18:11:14 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <pHRA9.16330$wO4.5744@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 54834
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:SYOA9.193$pi7.34603@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> So..... you think that a "CB" shop cannot sell and display Ham gear?
What
> law do you think was being broken? Reality Check indeed.............

Once again (and I cannot grasp why this is SO hard to understand), any radio
sold in the USA
actually has 2 (that's T W O) kinds of approval for sale; 1) FCC approval
to be sold stating that
the equipment in question meets FCC specifications for use in the intended
service. It applies to
commercially manufactured radios. 2) FCC certification for use in any
service other than Amateur.
Now, what that means is that, even though a radio MAY be intended for sale
by a manufacturer in
the Amateur Service, it STILL must be approved by FCC according to certain
criteria set up by FCC's own labs. Any OTHER radio marketed to OTHER
services must be FCC-approved, and
as a proof of said sale authority, have a sticker so stating that (para)
"This device meets all FCC re-
quirements for spectral purity according to Part XXX, Title 47, US
Code........................." Those
Galaxy, Connex, Magnum so-called "10 Meter" trash has NO such authority, no
such sticker, and
appear on a list of equipment (which I will once again post here in the
faint hope that you might grasp the reasons why said equipment cannot be
legally sold in the US) that has been determined by FCC's test labs NOT to
meet one or more of their test criteria. Without that approval, FCC can
most certainly raid, seize, and fine outlets that sell such equipment. Like
you, tools, some of those outlets themselves believe they have a "right" to
import and sell such junk, but they don't. It
is only a matter of time before the sh** hits the fan. ....and I
can't wait! Hehe!

BTW, today I was listening to 28.085 and it sounded just LIKE CB 19 complete
with echos, squeaks, and some driver saying F*** every other word describing
his dispatcher while sounding like he was talking down a drain pipe. Back
soon with the complete list.

J

> >
> >

>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.391 / Virus Database: 222 - Release Date: 9/21/02

844. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 18:12:10 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114131210.08569.00000013@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 54833

>Subject: Re: Eight Minutes?
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 11/14/02 11:40 AM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <3DD3D227.4BD9FA7C@earthlink.net>
>
>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> In article <3DD34725.E24A3FE8@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
><k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> writes:
>
>> >That and you and your pal did pretty darned well on Field Day too!
>>
>> Thanks for the kind words, Dave. But my group (Jug Southgate's Bunch)
>didn't do
>> nearly so well as you and your buddy. But we did OK for a couple of
>> battery-powered 5 watt rigs, a homebrew dipole and trap vertical. Learned a
>> lot, too. See QST for June, 1994, for a story about one of our Field Days
>some
>> years ago.
>
>Don't forget that we ran 20 times the power with the FT-1000 MP "Field
>and Stream".

oh mama! That rig alone cost more than our whole operation. I'm not jealous or complaining - it's the folks with the good setups that make scores like mine possible.

What were you using for antennas?

--

FD note: A quick look through the listings reveals a few rrap regulars, like W4NTI, K8MN and myself. I suspect Hans was part of the W0AA.operation (?) Anybody else actually operate on FD? What callsign was used?

73 de Jim, N2EY

Grand Poobah, Jug Southgate's Bunch

845. Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 18:27:44 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DD3EB20.9020004@psu.edu>
Source record: 54835

Since the other thread has gone through the usual rrap muation, I didn't want to see the topic go away.

Sooo, we probably really do want to move the frequency down some. Last night, I could hear some really weak signals, that's about it.

I'm good for just about any hf frequency, dare I even suggest... 75 meters? 40 would be fine also. Saturday daytime isn't too good for me, so 20 meters would be marginal.

Any thoughts?

- Mike KB3EIA -

846. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?//Here is the list of equipment that does NOT have FCC approval

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 18:33:21 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <c0SA9.16347$wO4.6939@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 54836
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:pHRA9.16330$wO4.5744@news.bellsouth.net...
>
> tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:SYOA9.193$pi7.34603@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > So..... you think that a "CB" shop cannot sell and display Ham gear?
> What
> > law do you think was being broken? Reality Check indeed.....

This is the "law" that is broken by the sale of uncertified/approved
equipment.

It comes direct from FCC's web site.

ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST The FCC's Office of Engineer and Technology (OET) has evaluated the devices listed below and has concluded that these devices are not only amateur transceivers but can easily be altered for use as Citizens Band (CB) transceivers as well. As such, OET has further concluded that these devices cannot legally be imported or marketed within the United States for the reasons discussed below. Further, the FCC General Council has issued a decision in a specific case involving one manufacturer and has concluded that dual use CB and amateur radios of the kind at issue may not be approved under the Commission's rules and are in violation of several rules including the RF power level limits of 47 CFR 95.639. (letter from Christopher J. Wright, FCC-OGC to John F. Atwood, US Customs Service, dated May 17, 1999). Transceivers used in the Amateur Radio Service below 30 MHz do not require FCC authorization prior to being imported into or marketed within the United States, but transceivers for other services, including the CB Radio Service (CB), do require Commission approval. The transceivers listed herein and other similar models operate in the amateur "10-meter band" and are often referred to as "10-meter" radios or "export" radios. The amateur 10-meter band uses frequencies that are very close to the channels set aside for use in the CB service. Some of the transceivers that manufacturers call "10-meter" radios either operate on CB frequencies as manufactured and imported or are designed such that internal circuits can readily be activated by a user, a service technician or a dealer to operate on CB frequencies. According to Section 95.603(c) of the Commission's rules, a CB transmitter is a transmitter that operates or is intended to operate at a station authorized for the CB service. 47C.F.R. • 95.603(c). The Commission's equipment authorization experts in the FCC Laboratory have

determined that the transceivers listed herein and other similar models at issue here are intended for use on the CB frequencies as well as those in the amateur service because they have built-in capability to operate on CB frequencies. This capability can be readily activated by moving or removing a jumper plug, cutting or splicing a wire, plugging in a connector, or other simple means. Thus, all the transceivers listed herein and similar models fall within the definition of a CB transmitter. See 47C.F.R. ? 95.603(c). A CB transmitter must be certificated by the FCC prior to marketing or importation. 47 C.F.R. ?? 95.603(c); 2.803. Moreover, the dual use CB and amateur radios of the kind at issue here may not be certified under the Commission's rules. Section 95.655(a) states: "..([CB] Transmitters with frequency capability for the Amateur Radio Services . will not be certificated.)" See also Amendment of Part 95, Subpart E, Technical Regulations in the Personal Radio Services Rules, FCC 88-256, 1888 WL 488084 (August 17, 1988). This clarification was added to explicitly foreclose the possibility of certification of dual use CB and amateur radios, see id, and thereby deter use by CB operators of frequencies allocated for amateur radio use. In addition, the Commission's equipment authorization experts have determined that these devices violate or appear to violate a number of the rules governing CB devices. For example, they may use emission types not permitted, or emit RF power at a level in excess of the levels permitted in the CB radio service. See 47 C.F.R. ? 95.639. In view of the foregoing, the following "10-meter" transceivers are not acceptable for importation or marketing into/within the United States. Importation and marketing of these units is illegal pursuant to Section 302(b) of the Communications Act and Section 2.803 of the rules. Willful violations of the Rules and the Act may subject the violator to a monetary forfeiture of not more than $11,000 for each violation or each day of a continuing violation. The Commission continues to review this type of equipment, and additional makes and models may be added to this list in the future. LIST OF TRANSCEIVERS ILLEGAL TO IMPORT OR MARKET GALAXY - models: DX33HML, DX 44V, DX55V, DX66V, DX 73V, DX 77HML, DX 88HL, DX99V and Saturn Turbo CONNEX - models: 3300, 3300HP-ZX, 3300 PLUS and CX-3800 MIRAGE - models: 44, Galaxy 88, 9900 and 2950EX NORTH STAR - models: NS-3000 and NS-9000 PRESIDENT - models: Grant, J.F.K., Jackson and Lincoln PRO STAR - model: 240 RANGER - models: AR-3500 and RCI-2950 TEK - model: HR-3950 UNIDEN - models: HR-2510 and HR-2600 SUPER STAR - model: 121 For further information concerning the listed transceivers or similar models, contact Ray LaForge or Gary Hendrickson at the FCC Laboratory, 7435 Oakland Mills Road, Columbia, MD 21046, (301) 362-3041 or (301) 362-3043 respectively, or E-mail: rlaforge@fcc.gov

>
>
> J
>
>
> > >
> > >
> >
> >

>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.391 / Virus Database: 222 - Release Date: 9/21/02
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.391 / Virus Database: 222 - Release Date: 9/21/02

847. Re: WHERE'S PORKBUTT?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 19:02:51 UTC
From: dim bulb charlie <14313fun@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3dd3f372@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 54837
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Brother Lloyd/N0VFP" <brotherlloyd@barf.org> wrote in message
news:3dd3e4c5_2@corp-goliath.newsgroups.com...
> I miss Porkbutt.

http://www.geocities.com/crochetsal/porkbutt.html

848. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 20:04:17 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114150417.03712.00000507@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 54840

In article <f45722ac.0211140504.6a11567b@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
>news:<aqs634$31r$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>...
>> Let me help you a bit here 'Krell'. I dont give a shit if you don't like
>> the spelling or the proooooose. Pay attention to what is being said.
>> Otherwise shut the hell up.
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
>Dan, wow! Maybe you need to take a break from rrap and cool down.

This chatroom has turned into a travesty of the WWF, testosterone
tinged terrible temper tantrums all over. :-)

849. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 20:04:17 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114150417.03712.00000506@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 54841

In article <20021113220019.16718.00000438@mb-cq.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) writes:

>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>>As I have stated before the Written is a Joke, and once the CW Test is gone
>>the written should follow, it really serves no purpose.
>
>I don't feel CW should be mandatory. Certainly the technical license classes
>should not be tied to learning CW.
>
>Anyone can pass any license class in a day or two of memorizing the license
>pool questions. The subject material is very good and relevant - IF one
>learns the material.
>
>The test and license preparation classes should be changed to learn the
>material, not just memorize the answers.

As far as the written test is concerned, the content of the questions is left up to the VEC Question Pool Committee. The VEC are made up entirely of already-licensed amateurs.

Just the same, the FCC is not an academic institution and does not presume to "certify technical competence" in radio through any written test. The FCC uses licensing and license testing as one tool of regulating civil radio use in the USA in regards to US radio operators.

Since the FCC does not mandate OOK CW over any other mode/modulation by any licensed radio amateur, any class, there is little logic in a national requirement for an International Morse Code separate test. The only reason the test exists at all is ITU-R Recommendation S25.5.

It is up to individual private organizations to set up teaching courses in radio and electronics for radio amateurs. Of course, a private non-academic organization cannot grant pretty certificates to hang on a wall to show off to others or fancy after-the-name initials or callsigns used in place of titles of nobility. :-)

850. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 20:04:19 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114150419.03712.00000508@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 54845

In article <3DD30A7D.7060901@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> In article <3DD15AAC.4020305@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:
>
>> Those who find certain topics "boring" should simply skip over
>> those topics.
>
> But.... the original topic was something I was interested in. And
posted
>in. How do I manage to know what the content is before I open it?
>
>> This particular newsgroup was created to discuss the code test
>> issue.
>
> So I guess when the code test is history, the group will be too. Pity
>that, there are so many other (and much more important) things to
>discuss here.

The Class Distinction between "coders" and "no-coders" is firmly
established in US amateur radio. The snide, sarcastic, and insulting
commentary against "no-coders" will continue until the code key is
removed from the last, cold "coder's" fist.

> Is being in public relations a prerequisite for manners? No, I'm not
>specifically in the Public relations field. But I've been the president
>of a youth sports group, Vice president of an astronomy group, Captain
>of my Ice hockey team, and an active member of my local amateur radio
>club. My point? I can get things done without being rude and abrasive.
>Most of these folk don't suggest I get psychological help either.

Well, perhaps they should? An obsession with titles, rank, and
privilege is not normal behavior. Or is it?

So, in your quest for "good manners," what are you disguising?
Is it a need to parrot the morals-manners as eschewed in monthly
issues of QST? Is it a firm, undying Belief in the inherent "goodness"
of morse code for radio amateurs? Is it a necessity to continue the
standards and practices of radio of a half century ago far into the
future while the rest of the radio world progresses continually?

I see no critique of the rather obvious ill-mannered abrasiveness of
others in this newsgroup-chatroom. Such is done on a regular basis
by licensed radio amateurs. Does the amateur license permit a

double standard of behavior in a public communications venue?
Apparently it does yet such is not in the radio rules.

> I think I've commented on my disagreement with them in calling you
>names.

...and what has such "comment" accomplished? They continue,
unremitting. :-)

Yet, you seek a long "discussion" with me on charges of "ill-mannered
behavior" without pointing out specifics. What you seem to be doing
is simply an attempt to silence an unlicensed-in-the-amateur-service
citizens because their viewpoints are contrary to yours.

> Strong non-support, I can handle. Your mode of operation is not merely
>strong non support though.

I do not - absolutely - support a morse code test for any amateur radio
license.

>It is antagonistic to a pretty fair degree.

To those who have an almost religious fervor on keeping the
standards and practices of over a half century ago in a hobby
activity I am absolutely convinced that I appear "antagonistic."

To those who wish to "rule" on opinions by their own personal
desires-standards, I am absolutely convinced that I appear
"antagonistic."

Individuals of those two stated groups have replied in OVERLY
ANTAGONISTIC commentary through personal insults, false
statements, etc. Those are condoned. Interesting. Double-
standard, etc.

>It's okay though, if you really want to take that style.

You would prefer that all be "Mr. Nice" and agree with your
viewpoints, yes?

>I have had to deal with a few of those type (mainly in the sports league).

Had you been IN a military service, you would have learned that
"being nice" does not coincide with any military mission, either.
For that matter, team sports (a civil surrogate for warfare) is not
conducive to "being nice."

> It's sad,
>because they never could figure out why they received no support for
>their cause.

Nice try. :-)

It's too bad that so many long-time "coders" continue to demand that
amateur radio standards and practices remain frozen in the state

they were in when they were first licensed in their youth. They insist
that "their way" is the only one and are outraged that younger people
do not see the future with their "clarity of vision" (that actually suffers
a great deal of mental astigmatism). Not only that, they feel the
"right" to insult all those that do not see things their way. Sad, yes.

>> Strong debate is simply strong debate. Some cannot take such
>> things...and those people should leave lest they upset themselves.
>
> Aww, suggesting I "leave" now?

Your choice. It's always been an option.

Feel free to accept things as they are now forever and ever if you wish.
Feel free to support the status quo and everyone thinking-believing
alike. There is comfort in such sameness...and in taking moral-
ethical edicts from a certain membership organization on what to like
and what not to like. All marching to the same drumbeat is very
uniform, safe, secure.

851. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 20:04:20 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114150420.03712.00000509@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 54843

In article <3DD34A7F.D6655058@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <20021112203942.13494.00000474@mb-mj.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>> writes:
>>
>> >In article <3DD19270.7010807@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo
><mcoslo@adelphia.net>
>> >writes:
>> >
>> >>Perhaps another ham here can help.
>> >>
>> >Here's a way almost anyone who reads this can participate in an on-the-air
>> >amateur radio policy discussion on the ham bands:
>> >
>> >1) Set up an effective station for the amateur band and mode to be used.
>> >2) Obtain the necessary license by passing the required tests.
>> >3) Operate the station in 1) as required by the governmental agency who
>> >granted the license in 2).
>> >
>> >The fact that someone passes a test to obtain a license does not in any way
>> >indicate agreement with the test requirements.
>>
>> In fewer words: No one can discuss the subject of GETTING IN
>> amateur radio without ALREADY BEING IN. That's not free speech
>> or free assembly, just exclusivity and limited participation.
>
>You've discussed GETTING IN without ALREADY BEING IN. You've discussed,
>dismissed and shown rudeness to anyone not sharing your view of amateur
>radio utopia. That, by God, is free speech. Convincing others to share
>your views has not been your long suit.

Free speech on an EXISTING INTERNET NEWSGROUP, yes.

Such free speech has been met by some, like yourself, trying to
control content through ill-mannered sarcasm and general
bullying whenever opinions are contrary to your personal views.

>> This newsgroup already exists, is public access, unmoderated. No
>> time or frequency limit exists for communications, discussion, or
>> participation.
>

>You'll forgive radio amateurs if they wish to discuss policy on the
>amateur bands, wont' you, Len?

No problem if those policy matters affect ONLY those who are
already licensed.

FCC regulations apply to ALL CITIZENS whether they are licensed
or unlicensed in a particular radio service. FCC has been like that
ever since 1934.

The FCC is not like the State Department.

>I know of nothing which states that you must be included.

I know of nothing which states Dave Heil must be Ruler or
Chief Spokesman for US amateur radio, either. Why do you
assume that role?

>You could have been a participant in amateur radio
>for decades but for inertia.

Now, now, you displayed bad manners again. Mr. Coslo will
not be pleased.

I chose a career involving professional radio, mostly in a civilian
life with private corporations...not as a functionary in some third-
world US embassy.

Please continue to act out the stereotypical "ugly American."
That won't endear you to ARRL public relations, but I'm sure it
makes you happy.

852. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 20:04:21 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114150421.03712.00000510@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 54842

In article <aqv5pj01p9u@enews3.newsguy.com>, "Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com>
writes:

>"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
>news:aquv6u$vp9$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
>> No 'Krell' you do indeed have to justify your presense on our Amateur
>bands.
>
>This is a newsgroup. Not an amateur band.

To me it has become just another Chatroom "taken over" by a few
who are acting more and more like barbarians waving their J-38s
like swords. :-)

>> You didn't pay the same dues as we did. Thus you are not qualified in the
>> same way. Then you and your ilk have to spend hours and hours trying to
>> justify your existance on the bands.

I'm curious how many "dues" Dan "paid" to justify the amateur
4 mm band. :-)

>> Don't you understand 'Krell' that as long as you and your ilk keep bitching
>> and moaning and attacking those that had to really pay the dues that we are
>> going to continue screwing with you? Or are you really as stupid as you
>> sound ??
>>
>> Dan/W4NTI
>
>...and what does the FCC have to say about the matter? Do you have an answer
>to that, or do you just have more personal delusions about what the license
>requirements really are?

It looks like Dan is caught trying to rationalize what he wrote in a fit
of the angries as well as defending his long tenure in amateurism.

In many of the 2600+ Comments on NPRM 98-143, "tenure" and "long
experience in ham radio" were considered Very Significant to the
licensed Commenters. Apparently such consider amateur radio to be
a guild, craft, or union where rank-status-privilege is qualified by tenure
and seniority. Judging by the statements in the final Restructuring
R&O, the FCC was basing its decisions on the present and the future
instead of holding onto the standards and practices of a half century
ago.

853. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 20:04:25 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114150425.03712.00000512@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 54838

In article <3DD30BBE.7040101@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> In article <3DD19270.7010807@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo
><mcoslo@adelphia.net>
>> writes:

> Vexation? I offered you a microphone to use in this get together. Gee,
>I'm beginning to thing some people don't like me very much.

No problem. Send me round-trip air fare and a check to cover
food and lodging (one day) in PA from Los Angeles and I will
use that microphone. :-)

854. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 20:04:25 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114150425.03712.00000511@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 54844

In article <20021113214143.16718.00000435@mb-cq.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) writes:

>From: lenover21@aol.com
>>>In the general line of thought of others, as presented in messages
>>> here, they view amateur radio as a "lifestyle,"...SNIP
>
>Ham Radio is a "lifestyle", in my opinion also. There is a commonality that
>goes beyond amateur radio. One is use of the word "Ham", another is hatred of
>CB (Ham retailers provide crystals in a continuous range, except the 27MHz
>value is missing!). There are many more examples of "Ham" radio culture.
>
>. >> ...an alternate reality
>>> where they can claim status, rank, and privilege through a certain
>>> license class and the "authority" to identify themselves with
>>> federally-certificated call letters.

>These are call call signs. You make them sound evil ("alternate reality").
>Its what Hams make of it that it becomes an alternate reality..

Very true. The federal merit badge is used as an academic title after a signed name. It's part of the human fascination with rank, titles, status to proclaim their greater wonderfulness over others. :-)

>>Or better yet, why not send $10 (or is it more...?) to "CRB Research" as
>>seen in "Popular Communicaitons" and other radiocommunications related
>>magazines, and they will neatly emboss your name and "Registered Monitor
>>Station" "callsign".

>Only an obcessed Ham would do that.

A lot more have fallen for that and it doesn't have to be a "ham."

That's just an organization trying to make extra money hiring a company (also wanting to make money) to do that service. It falls into the fantasy and fascination with titles.

>>You might even sneak it by DMV and get it on your car tags...Although I am
>>sure you could have it put on almost any other of California's plethora of
>>"vanity" tags.

>Only an obcessed Ham would do that. I have seen call signs on licence plates.

I don't see anything wrong with call signs on a vehicle license plate...unless the driver wants to communicate with the horn beeping out morse code nastygrams. :-)

>>The FCC has already
>>said it's piece on the matter, and when no longer bound by treaties to which
>>the United States is signatory, will revisit the issue.

>You know thats not true.Hams will fight for Morse Code long after the FCC
>lifts it.

The real, tangible Class Distinction of "coders" versus "no-coders"
has been firmly established in US amateur radio. It's all a part of
the rank/status/title thing of those who made it using morse showing
they are "superior" to those who don't care for morse code.

>>>They want, perhaps need, the title, rank, etc., to maintain their
>>>self-image.

>Actually they want to mislead by tying the rankings to Morse code.

Yes. It is an accomplishment...but also a virtual mountain made
out of a large molehill. Rather than shovel real dirt about radio
theory and get dirty in the learning process, they wave their morse
shovels around and demand others shoveling snit like they did.

>>>Many others have USED an amateur radio license for a "greater"
>>> purpose, far exaggerating its importance.

>What is the "greater" purpose. To have more radio toys to play with?

Self-importance...or a fantasy that time stood still a half century
ago so that the standards and practices of radio of back then is
still the thing. It isn't. Ego won't let them say otherwise.

855. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 20:04:26 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114150426.03712.00000513@mb-co.aol.com>
Source record: 54839

In article <OLBA9.3101$Bh1.310621@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Ki¿½HB"
<KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:

>"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote
>
>: Restricting participation in a forum of those ALREADY
>: IN amateur radio is simply elitist or exclusionary. An Internet
>: newsgroup is already established for public access.
>
>Aw gee......
>
>....there already is an internet newsgroup for boatanchors -- so it is
>simply elitist and exclusionary for hams to have boatanchor nets on the
>radio outside of rec.radio.amateur.boatanchors.

Several, in fact. There's a whole "boatanchor ring" organized.

Those newsgroups and nets don't use radio as a primary medium,
do they? :-)

>....there already is an internet newsgroup for DX -- so it is simply elitist
>and exclusionary for hams to have DX nets on the radio outside of
>rec.radio.amateur.DX.

<same comment...>

>Go have the Chaplain punch your TS chit.

No need. I'm the one who makes his punch and keeps it sharp.
:-)

THIS chatroom already exists and could be used for policy
discussions on amateur radio regulations. Too bad it isn't. It
seems to consist of a lot of repressed testosterone tainted
terrible temper tantrums exhibited by those who are outraged
that no one follows their Sacred Words of (ancient) Wisdom.

856. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 21:45:25 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114164525.11693.00000022@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 54846

>Subject: Net?
>From: Mike Coslo mjc5@psu.edu
>Date: 11/14/02 1:27 PM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <3DD3EB20.9020004@psu.edu>

> Sooo, we probably really do want to move the frequency down some. Last
>night, I could hear some really weak signals, that's about it.

> I'm good for just about any hf frequency, dare I even suggest... 75
>meters? 40 would be fine also. Saturday daytime isn't too good for me,
>so 20 meters would be marginal.
>
> Any thoughts?
>
The main reason for using 10 'phone is to include Tech Pluses and Novices, and to use a mode most hams are skilled in. Propagation being what it is. 10 would almost have to be a daytime operation.

For evening use, 40 would be best, except for the megawatt SWBC signals.

20 meters, early in the evening, is probably the best option. But it still excludes Novices and Tech Pluses. And those without licenses, rigs, antennas, free time, etc.

Historically, what worked the best was 40 CW. EPA to 6 land and everywhere in between.

73 de Jim, N2EY

857. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 21:52:04 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114165204.11693.00000023@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 54847

>Subject: Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)
>From: Mike Coslo mjc5@psu.edu
>Date: 11/14/02 10:17 AM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <3DD3BE8D.9040208@psu.edu>
>
>
>
>Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>>>Subject: Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)
>>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>>Date: 11/13/02 8:34 PM Central Standard Time
>>>Message-id: <3DD30BBE.7040101@adelphia.net>
>>
>>
>>>Vexation? I offered you a microphone to use in this get together. Gee,
>>>I'm beginning to thing some people don't like me very much.
>>
>>
>> See what I mean, Mike?
>
>
> Frustrating.
>
Mike,

It's only frustrating if you take it seriously. Or keep responding to it.

There are folks here who are quite capable of having a well-mannered, cilvil discussion. Like Bill Sohl, K2UNK. He and I disagree about almost everyhting wrt amateur radio testing - particularly code testing. So do many others. Yet it's all done in a well-mannered fashion. One can respect him and still disagree.

Ask yourself this:

If you were traveling around the country, and had some free time, who on this NG would you make an effort to meet in person? And who would you make an effort to avoid meeting in person?

73 de Jim, N2EY

858. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 21:54:39 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114165439.25082.00005214@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 54848

>If you were traveling around the country, and had some free time, who on this
>NG would you make an effort to meet in person?

Most would probably want to meet me.

859. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 23:24:33 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ar1baq$fd1$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 54849

Yes this is not a amateur band. It is intitled rec.radio.amateur.policy.
Thus it is about amateur radio policy.

I don't give a rip what the FCC says about the matter. The point is Im
right. And you know it.

Dan/W4NTI

"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:aqv5pj01p9u@enews3.newsguy.com...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:aquv6u$vp9$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> > No 'Krell' you do indeed have to justify your presense on our Amateur
> bands.
>
> This is a newsgroup. Not an amateur band.
>
> > You didn't pay the same dues as we did. Thus you are not qualified in
the
> > same way. Then you and your ilk have to spend hours and hours trying to
> > justify your existance on the bands.
> >
> > Don't you understand 'Krell' that as long as you and your ilk keep
> bitching
> > and moaning and attacking those that had to really pay the dues that we
> are
> > going to continue screwing with you? Or are you really as stupid as you
> > sound ??
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
> ...and what does the FCC have to say about the matter? Do you have an
answer
> to that, or do you just have more personal delusions about what the
license
> requirements really are?
>
>

860. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 23:25:16 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <whWA9.20$%a.4536@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 54850

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote

: I suspect Hans was part of the W0AA.operation (?)

Nope, I was at W0EF on Saturday, then at K0RT on Sunday. I operated W0AA
during SS CW last week. This week will be at my own station (K0HB)
multi-oping with K0CKB for voice SS.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

861. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 23:26:47 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ar1bf8$8jf$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55101

The FCC consists of political appointees that may or may not have any knowledge and/or experience in radio. So you can not expect them to understand.

Dan/W4NTI

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message news:20021114150421.03712.00000510@mb-co.aol.com...
> In article <aqv5pj01p9u@enews3.newsguy.com>, "Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com>
> writes:
>
> >"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> >news:aquv6u$vp9$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> >> No 'Krell' you do indeed have to justify your presense on our Amateur
> >bands.
> >
> >This is a newsgroup. Not an amateur band.
>
> To me it has become just another Chatroom "taken over" by a few
> who are acting more and more like barbarians waving their J-38s
> like swords. :-)
>
> >> You didn't pay the same dues as we did. Thus you are not qualified in
the
> >> same way. Then you and your ilk have to spend hours and hours trying
to
> >> justify your existance on the bands.
>
> I'm curious how many "dues" Dan "paid" to justify the amateur
> 4 mm band. :-)
>
> >> Don't you understand 'Krell' that as long as you and your ilk keep
bitching
> >> and moaning and attacking those that had to really pay the dues that we
are
> >> going to continue screwing with you? Or are you really as stupid as
you
> >> sound ??
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >...and what does the FCC have to say about the matter? Do you have an
answer
> >to that, or do you just have more personal delusions about what the
license

> >requirements really are?
>
> It looks like Dan is caught trying to rationalize what he wrote in a
fit
> of the angries as well as defending his long tenure in amateurism.
>
> In many of the 2600+ Comments on NPRM 98-143, "tenure" and "long
> experience in ham radio" were considered Very Significant to the
> licensed Commenters. Apparently such consider amateur radio to be
> a guild, craft, or union where rank-status-privilege is qualified by
tenure
> and seniority. Judging by the statements in the final Restructuring
> R&O, the FCC was basing its decisions on the present and the future
> instead of holding onto the standards and practices of a half century
> ago.

862. Re: Wheres TIT???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 23:28:07 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DD43187.EACBF97A@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 55102

WA8ULX wrote:

> TIT hasnt been around in awhile, I bet shes getting pictures made for all of
> us to see.

Here it is:
http://www.birdcollection.co.uk/graphics/bird-gallery/tit/tit-g1.jpg

863. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 23:31:41 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ar1boe$b7s$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55103

Did W5TWIT tell you to say that Lennie?

Dan/W4NTI

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021114150417.03712.00000507@mb-co.aol.com...
> In article <f45722ac.0211140504.6a11567b@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> >news:<aqs634$31r$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>...
> >> Let me help you a bit here 'Krell'. I dont give a shit if you don't
like
> >> the spelling or the proooooose. Pay attention to what is being said.
> >> Otherwise shut the hell up.
> >>
> >> Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >Dan, wow! Maybe you need to take a break from rrap and cool down.
>
> This chatroom has turned into a travesty of the WWF, testosterone
> tinged terrible temper tantrums all over. :-)

864. Re Field Day (was Eight Minutes?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 23:44:06 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DD434FF.813E07E9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 55104

N2EY wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Eight Minutes?
> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >Date: 11/14/02 11:40 AM Eastern Standard Time
> >Message-id: <3DD3D227.4BD9FA7C@earthlink.net>
> >
> >N2EY wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <3DD34725.E24A3FE8@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> ><k8mn@earthlink.net>
> >> writes:
> >
> >> >That and you and your pal did pretty darned well on Field Day too!
> >>
> >> Thanks for the kind words, Dave. But my group (Jug Southgate's Bunch)
> >didn't do
> >> nearly so well as you and your buddy. But we did OK for a couple of
> >> battery-powered 5 watt rigs, a homebrew dipole and trap vertical. Learned a
> >> lot, too. See QST for June, 1994, for a story about one of our Field Days
> >some
> >> years ago.
> >
> >Don't forget that we ran 20 times the power with the FT-1000 MP "Field
> >and Stream".
>
> oh mama! That rig alone cost more than our whole operation. I'm not jealous or
> complaining - it's the folks with the good setups that make scores like mine
> possible.

It's N8NN's new rig. I'm an Omni VI Plus man (but damned if I'm taking
it out to Field Day, heh heh).

> What were you using for antennas?

A 200 foot dipole up about forty feet, fed with open wire line.

> --
>
> FD note: A quick look through the listings reveals a few rrap regulars, like
> W4NTI, K8MN and myself. I suspect Hans was part of the W0AA.operation (?)
> Anybody else actually operate on FD? What callsign was used?

I remember a CW contact with Dan but don't know if we worked you or
not. If so, it might have been on one of Bert's shifts.

Dave K8MN

865. Re: Wheres TIT???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 23:45:41 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114184541.10567.00000735@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 55105

>Here it is:
>http://www.birdcollection.co.uk/graphics/bird-gallery/tit/tit-g1.jpg
>
>
>

No thats not her

866. Re: Wheres TIT???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 23:48:08 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114184808.10567.00000736@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 55106

>
>

I think TIT is to embarassed about her Callsign.

867. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 23:55:08 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ar1ct301m2d@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55119

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0211140508.77ccb62e@posting.google.com...
> wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021113214728.16751.00000687@mb-fc.aol.com>...
> > >Bruce, if you'd want someone to kiss your ass, all you'd have to do is
> > >wiggle your ears to give them a target.
> >
> > I have your Target, I also have my Hands ready to hold your EARS.
>
> Another homosexual inuendo, much like those of Kelly.
>
> I wonder if that Extra ticket is worth it. It appears to have some
> unintended consequences.

"Dueling Banjos" ought to be played during every post that Babbling Brucie
makes.

868. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 23:57:44 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114185744.02664.00003736@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 55107

>Subject: Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/14/02 2:04 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021114150419.03712.00000508@mb-co.aol.com>

> The Class Distinction between "coders" and "no-coders" is firmly
> established in US amateur radio. The snide, sarcastic, and insulting
> commentary against "no-coders" will continue until the code key is
> removed from the last, cold "coder's" fist.

And proof once again that Lennie's "I am not against code use, just code testing" rhetoric is exactly that...rhetoric.

>Well, perhaps they should? An obsession with titles, rank, and
> privilege is not normal behavior. Or is it?

from the same guy who winces at being called a "technician", or who regularly appends his 1950's era Army rank and service number to his signature line. Whooya! Lennie's on a roll tonight, folks.

>>I think I've commented on my disagreement with them in calling you
>>names.
>
>...and what has such "comment" accomplished? They continue,
> unremitting.

Quit being a putz and I will quit calling you a putz. Stop lying and I will stop calling you a liar. Stop making accusations of dishonesty where no dishonesty exisits and MAYBE you'll be treated like "one of the guys".

> What you seem to be doing
> is simply an attempt to silence an unlicensed-in-the-amateur-service
> citizens because their viewpoints are contrary to yours.

"I want to see an end to code testing" is an opinion.

"The ARRL is dishonest" is a blatant lie, one of numerous lie's promulgated by you. That is NOT a "viewpoint".

>To those who have an almost religious fervor on keeping the
> standards and practices of over a half century ago in a hobby
> activity I am absolutely convinced that I appear "antagonistic."

With two exceptions, every Extra Class licensee in this forum has supported the phase out of Morse Code testing in one manner or another.

Lennie does not acknowledge this as it would put an end to his "rationale" for being here.

Your antagonism is directly related to your use of insensitive and caustic adjectives used to describe anyone who does not support YOUR opinions (which from your behaviour is just about everyone).

869. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 23:59:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114185902.02664.00003737@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 55109

>Subject: Net?
>From: Mike Coslo mjc5@psu.edu
>Date: 11/14/02 12:27 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3DD3EB20.9020004@psu.edu>

>I'm good for just about any hf frequency, dare I even suggest... 75
>meters? 40 would be fine also. Saturday daytime isn't too good for me,
>so 20 meters would be marginal.

Sixty meters would be perfect! Let's hope we can get NTIA to quit
screwing around!

73

Steve, K4YZ

870. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-14 23:59:31 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DD4389B.E2ADAB5E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 55108

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3DD30A7D.7060901@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> >> In article <3DD15AAC.4020305@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:
> >>

> The Class Distinction between "coders" and "no-coders" is firmly
> established in US amateur radio. The snide, sarcastic, and insulting
> commentary against "no-coders" will continue until the code key is
> removed from the last, cold "coder's" fist.

You'd actually have no way of knowing if your claim is valid or not. I certainly do not discriminate in any way toward those hams who have not passed a morse test. I chat with a number of them on local repeaters several evenings each week. The issue of code rarely comes up unless one of them happens to comment on a discussion of contacts made on HF. Usually the comment takes the form of "one of these days..." or "I'm going to take my code test...".

> > Is being in public relations a prerequisite for manners? No, I'm not
> >specifically in the Public relations field. But I've been the president
> >of a youth sports group, Vice president of an astronomy group, Captain
> >of my Ice hockey team, and an active member of my local amateur radio
> >club. My point? I can get things done without being rude and abrasive.
> >Most of these folk don't suggest I get psychological help either.
>
> Well, perhaps they should? An obsession with titles, rank, and
> privilege is not normal behavior. Or is it?

Where have you been?

> I see no critique of the rather obvious ill-mannered abrasiveness of
> others in this newsgroup-chatroom. Such is done on a regular basis
> by licensed radio amateurs. Does the amateur license permit a
> double standard of behavior in a public communications venue?
> Apparently it does yet such is not in the radio rules.

Are you writing of comments generated in response to your insulting, foam-flecked vitriol?

> > I think I've commented on my disagreement with them in calling you
> >names.

>
> ...and what has such "comment" accomplished? They continue,
> unremitting. :-)

I'm almost starting to feel sorry for you. Almost.

> To those who have an almost religious fervor on keeping the
> standards and practices of over a half century ago in a hobby
> activity I am absolutely convinced that I appear "antagonistic."

Even relative newcomers to the newsgroup find you antagonistic.

> To those who wish to "rule" on opinions by their own personal
> desires-standards, I am absolutely convinced that I appear
> "antagonistic."

You mean that I should give up my ideas and go with the view of someone
not involved in amateur radio?

> Individuals of those two stated groups have replied in OVERLY
> ANTAGONISTIC commentary through personal insults, false
> statements, etc. Those are condoned. Interesting. Double-
> standard, etc.

What do you call your OVERLY ANTAGONISTIC insults, false statements,
cute Nazi names and diminuitives? Under what standard do they fall?

> You would prefer that all be "Mr. Nice" and agree with your
> viewpoints, yes?

That's what you want the rest of us to do. Is this a one-way street?

> >I have had to deal with a few of those type (mainly in the sports league).
>
> Had you been IN a military service, you would have learned that
> "being nice" does not coincide with any military mission, either.
> For that matter, team sports (a civil surrogate for warfare) is not
> conducive to "being nice."

At ease, Len. This isn't a military operation. You're off duty now.

> It's too bad that so many long-time "coders" continue to demand that
> amateur radio standards and practices remain frozen in the state
> they were in when they were first licensed in their youth. They insist
> that "their way" is the only one and are outraged that younger people
> do not see the future with their "clarity of vision" (that actually suffers
> a great deal of mental astigmatism). Not only that, they feel the
> "right" to insult all those that do not see things their way. Sad, yes.

Tell us again who you are and why I should give credence to your view of
amateur radio.

Dave K8MN

871. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 00:00:48 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114190048.02664.00003738@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 55110

>Subject: Re: The Future of Packet Radio
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/14/02 2:04 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021114150417.03712.00000507@mb-co.aol.com>

>This chatroom has turned into a travesty of the WWF, testosterone
> tinged terrible temper tantrums all over.

An interesting comment from a person who describes others as "thugs" and
"jackbooted Nazi's"

Pot/Kettle/Black

Putz

872. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 00:03:52 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ar1ddf01n5o@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55121

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:ar1bf8$8jf$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> The FCC consists of political appointees that may or may not have any
> knowledge and/or experience in radio. So you can not expect them to
> understand.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

That's why NPRs are released for public comment before they are implemented.
The best argument wins. If you knew anything about how rules are
implemented, you'd understand how stupid your statement is.

873. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 00:04:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114190428.02664.00003740@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 55111

>Subject: Re: Eight Minutes?
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 11/14/02 12:05 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021114130520.08569.00000012@mb-da.aol.com>

>So here's a straight question:
>
>Has N0IMD passed Element 4 at a VE session?
>
>Yes or no?

It's probably filed away with his T5 authorization and logs.

73

Steve, K4YZ

874. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 00:07:54 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DD43A93.58E0F636@earthlink.net>
Source record: 55112

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3DD34A7F.D6655058@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <20021112203942.13494.00000474@mb-mj.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
> >(N2EY)
> >> writes:
> >>
> >> >In article <3DD19270.7010807@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo
> ><mcoslo@adelphia.net>
> >> >writes:
> >> >
> >> >>Perhaps another ham here can help.
> >> >>
> >> >Here's a way almost anyone who reads this can participate in an on-the-air
> >> >amateur radio policy discussion on the ham bands:
> >> >
> >> >1) Set up an effective station for the amateur band and mode to be used.
> >> >2) Obtain the necessary license by passing the required tests.
> >> >3) Operate the station in 1) as required by the governmental agency who
> >> >granted the license in 2).
> >> >
> >> >The fact that someone passes a test to obtain a license does not in any way
> >> >indicate agreement with the test requirements.
> >>
> >> In fewer words: No one can discuss the subject of GETTING IN
> >> amateur radio without ALREADY BEING IN. That's not free speech
> >> or free assembly, just exclusivity and limited participation.
> >
> >You've discussed GETTING IN without ALREADY BEING IN. You've discussed,
> >dismissed and shown rudeness to anyone not sharing your view of amateur
> >radio utopia. That, by God, is free speech. Convincing others to share
> >your views has not been your long suit.
>
> Free speech on an EXISTING INTERNET NEWSGROUP, yes.

So? Free speech is free speech regardless of venue. Do you believe
yourself to have a god-given right to express yourself on the amateur
bands without having passed a license exam?

> Such free speech has been met by some, like yourself, trying to
> control content through ill-mannered sarcasm and general

> bullying whenever opinions are contrary to your personal views.

Uhhhh, Len? That sounds awfully much like what it is you do here.

> >> This newsgroup already exists, is public access, unmoderated. No
> >> time or frequency limit exists for communications, discussion, or
> >> participation.
> >
> >You'll forgive radio amateurs if they wish to discuss policy on the
> >amateur bands, wont' you, Len?
>
> No problem if those policy matters affect ONLY those who are
> already licensed.

Bravo Sierra, O wizened one!

> FCC regulations apply to ALL CITIZENS whether they are licensed
> or unlicensed in a particular radio service. FCC has been like that
> ever since 1934.

And? I talk about amateur radio policy at N8NN's place or at K8IP's
place. You weren't invited.

> The FCC is not like the State Department.

Doh! And China isn't much like Argentina.

> >I know of nothing which states that you must be included.
>
> I know of nothing which states Dave Heil must be Ruler or
> Chief Spokesman for US amateur radio, either. Why do you
> assume that role?

I can speak of policy matters, my new rig or gardening on the ham bands
using the mode of my choice and there is absolutely nothing you can do
about it. Any licensed ham can do so. You aren't licensed? Tough!

> >You could have been a participant in amateur radio
> >for decades but for inertia.
>
> Now, now, you displayed bad manners again. Mr. Coslo will
> not be pleased.

How is a simple statement of the obvious "bad manners"?

> I chose a career involving professional radio, mostly in a civilian
> life with private corporations...not as a functionary in some third-
> world US embassy.

We aren't discussing careers, Len. We're discussing amateur radio. Do
you consider the folks in Finland to be "third world"?

> Please continue to act out the stereotypical "ugly American."
> That won't endear you to ARRL public relations, but I'm sure it
> makes you happy.

I can't be "the Ugly American" in discussing American amateur radio matters with another American. Funny you didn't think of that.

Dave K8MN

875. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 00:09:45 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DD43B0D.C48ED3BA@earthlink.net>
Source record: 55113

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <20021113214143.16718.00000435@mb-cq.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com
> (Vshah101) writes:
>
> >From: lenover21@aol.com
> >>>In the general line of thought of others, as presented in messages
> >>> here, they view amateur radio as a "lifestyle,"...SNIP
> > >
> >Ham Radio is a "lifestyle", in my opinion also. There is a commonality that
> >goes beyond amateur radio. One is use of the word "Ham", another is hatred of
> >CB (Ham retailers provide crystals in a continuous range, except the 27MHz
> >value is missing!). There are many more examples of "Ham" radio culture.
> > >
> >. >> ...an alternate reality
> >>> where they can claim status, rank, and privilege through a certain
> >>> license class and the "authority" to identify themselves with
> >>> federally-certificated call letters.
>
> >These are call call signs. You make them sound evil ("alternate reality").
> >Its what Hams make of it that it becomes an alternate reality..
>
> Very true. The federal merit badge is used as an academic
> title after a signed name. It's part of the human fascination with
> rank, titles, status to proclaim their greater wonderfulness over
> others. :-)
>
> >>Or better yet, why not send $10 (or is it more...?) to "CRB Research" as
> >>seen in "Popular Communicaitons" and other radiocommunications related
> >>magazines, and they will neatly emboss your name and "Registered Monitor
> >>Station" "callsign".
>
> >Only an obcessed Ham would do that.
>
> A lot more have fallen for that and it doesn't have to be a "ham."
>
> That's just an organization trying to make extra money hiring a
> company (also wanting to make money) to do that service. It falls
> into the fantasy and fascination with titles.
>
> >>You might even sneak it by DMV and get it on your car tags...Although I am
> >>sure you could have it put on almost any other of California's plethora of
> >>"vanity" tags.
>

> >Only an obcessed Ham would do that. I have seen call signs on licence plates.
>
> I don't see anything wrong with call signs on a vehicle license
> plate...unless the driver wants to communicate with the horn
> beeping out morse code nastygrams. :-)
>
> >>The FCC has already
> >>said it's piece on the matter, and when no longer bound by treaties to which
> >>the United States is signatory, will revisit the issue.
>
> >You know thats not true.Hams will fight for Morse Code long after the FCC
> >lifts it.
>
> The real, tangible Class Distinction of "coders" versus "no-coders"
> has been firmly established in US amateur radio. It's all a part of
> the rank/status/title thing of those who made it using morse showing
> they are "superior" to those who don't care for morse code.
>
> >>>They want, perhaps need, the title, rank, etc., to maintain their
> >>>self-image.
>
> >Actually they want to mislead by tying the rankings to Morse code.
>
> Yes. It is an accomplishment...but also a virtual mountain made
> out of a large molehill. Rather than shovel real dirt about radio
> theory and get dirty in the learning process, they wave their morse
> shovels around and demand others shoveling snit like they did.
>
> >>>Many others have USED an amateur radio license for a "greater"
> >>> purpose, far exaggerating its importance.
>
> >What is the "greater" purpose. To have more radio toys to play with?
>
> Self-importance...or a fantasy that time stood still a half century
> ago so that the standards and practices of radio of back then is
> still the thing. It isn't. Ego won't let them say otherwise.

I'll consider the above exchange as water seeking its own level.

Dave K8MN

876. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 00:11:13 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ar1dr801oe4@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55120

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:ar1baq$fd1$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net...
> Yes this is not a amateur band. It is intitled rec.radio.amateur.policy.
> Thus it is about amateur radio policy.
>
> I don't give a rip what the FCC says about the matter. The point is Im
> right. And you know it.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

If you don't care about what the FCC says, then you're more self centered
than what you claim I am...and you know it!

877. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 00:11:45 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114191145.02664.00003742@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 55114

>Subject: Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/14/02 2:04 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021114150425.03712.00000511@mb-co.aol.com>

>>These are call call signs. You make them sound evil ("alternate reality").
>>Its what Hams make of it that it becomes an alternate reality..
>
> Very true. The federal merit badge is used as an academic
> title after a signed name. It's part of the human fascination with
> rank, titles, status to proclaim their greater wonderfulness over
> others

From the spoiled child who doesn't HAVE one...

>>>Or better yet, why not send $10 (or is it more...?) to "CRB Research" as
>>>seen in "Popular Communicaitons" and other radiocommunications related
>>>magazines, and they will neatly emboss your name and "Registered Monitor
>>>Station" "callsign".
>
>>Only an obcessed Ham would do that.

Vshah ought to read something other than this forum and accquaint himself
with real-world hobbyists.

>>Only an obcessed Ham would do that. I have seen call signs on licence
>plates.
>
> I don't see anything wrong with call signs on a vehicle license
> plate...unless the driver wants to communicate with the horn
> beeping out morse code nastygrams

VShah...Why would I use a "pretend" callsign for license plates when I have
a REAL one?

Lennie...At least we CAN communicate with the horn. At least it would be
heard by more than your single raised finger of salutation.

>The real, tangible Class Distinction of "coders" versus "no-coders"
> has been firmly established in US amateur radio.

Oh? You ahve determined this through which scientific sampling
methodology?

>>Actually they want to mislead by tying the rankings to Morse code.
>
> Yes. It is an accomplishment...but also a virtual mountain made

> out of a large molehill.

From the mouth of one who can't even pass the no code test.

> Rather than shovel real dirt about radio
> theory and get dirty in the learning process, they wave their morse
> shovels around and demand others shoveling snit like they did.

Some of us did both. Sorry you don't agree.

>>What is the "greater" purpose. To have more radio toys to play with?
>
> Self-importance...or a fantasy that time stood still a half century
> ago so that the standards and practices of radio of back then is
> still the thing. It isn't. Ego won't let them say otherwise.

Whew! A lambasting on ego from a person who can't wear a hat in public
due to his...I am SO ashamed!

NOT!

Steve, K4YZ

878. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 00:13:52 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114191352.02664.00003743@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 55115

>Subject: Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 11/14/02 10:31 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3DD3D00D.5680C064@earthlink.net>

>Q What do you call a person who speaks only one language?
>
>A An American
>

In my case...a trilingual American! (Do different dialects of "American"
count too? We could mkae it a lot more than three!)

73

Steve, K4YZ

879. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 00:17:22 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114191722.02664.00003744@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 55116

>Subject: Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/14/02 2:04 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021114150420.03712.00000509@mb-co.aol.com>

>I chose a career involving professional radio, mostly in a civilian
> life with private corporations...not as a functionary in some third-
> world US embassy.

At least Dave Heil's name is KNOWN to others, Lennie. What did Lennie Anderson do in HIS life to gain acknowledgement? Penned a few dubiously original articles in a now defunct Amateur Radio magazine?

>Please continue to act out the stereotypical "ugly American."
> That won't endear you to ARRL public relations, but I'm sure it
> makes you happy.

I bet it's far less aggrevious to ARRL than being called dishonest by a creep who won't pony-up the "evidence" of such dishonesty.

880. Re: Wheres TIT???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 00:18:55 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ar1e9m01pqv@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55124

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021114111242.19592.00000064@mb-ba.aol.com...
> TIT hasnt been around in awhile, I bet shes getting pictures made for all
of
> us to see.

Babbling Brucie can't wait to see them. What do you expect from someone who
has a sneeze guard for a screen saver?

881. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 00:28:31 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ar1f3fqbq0@208.206.142.104>
Source record: 55117

Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0211120502.e623113@posting.google.com>...
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<aqq2ks$100$0@208.206.142.89>...
> >
> > Mike, DICK, I see none of that in his postings. I see Len putting
> > forth a very rational argument for the elimination of all code
> > testing. I think Len would be the last person to try to keep someone
> > from posting their opinions. On the other hand, I see a lot of
> > maneuvering to keep Len from participating here.
>
> Yo Brainiac, by precisely what mechanism(s) would it be even remotely
> possible for anybody or any group to selectively keep you and/or The
> Putz from "partcipating here"??
>
> Unless "here" became the ham bands of course.
>
> >
> > 73, Brian
>
> w3rv
>

Ya know, I used to think that Slim was just misguided, but he
subsequently proved himself to be ignorant, in spades.

882. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?//Here is the list of equipment that does NOT have FCC approval

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 00:40:28 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <RFydnUvhZanm30mgXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 55118
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Thanks for the repost Jerry, I think some need a reminder once in a while.

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
news:c0SA9.16347$wO4.6939@news.bellsouth.net...
:
: This is the "law" that is broken by the sale of uncertified/approved
: equipment.
:
: It comes direct from FCC's web site.
:
:
: ----------
--
: ----
:
:
:
:
:
: ILLEGAL CB TRANSCEIVER LIST The FCC's Office of Engineer and Technology
: (OET) has evaluated the devices listed below and has concluded that these
: devices are not only amateur transceivers but can easily be altered for
use
: as Citizens Band (CB) transceivers as well. As such, OET has further
: concluded that these devices cannot legally be imported or marketed within
: the United States for the reasons discussed below. Further, the FCC
General
: Council has issued a decision in a specific case involving one
manufacturer
: and has concluded that dual use CB and amateur radios of the kind at issue
: may not be approved under the Commission's rules and are in violation of
: several rules including the RF power level limits of 47 CFR 95.639.
(letter
: from Christopher J. Wright, FCC-OGC to John F. Atwood, US Customs Service,
: dated May 17, 1999). Transceivers used in the Amateur Radio Service below
30
: MHz do not require FCC authorization prior to being imported into or
: marketed within the United States, but transceivers for other services,
: including the CB Radio Service (CB), do require Commission approval. The
: transceivers listed herein and other similar models operate in the amateur
: "10-meter band" and are often referred to as "10-meter" radios or "export"

: radios. The amateur 10-meter band uses frequencies that are very close to
: the channels set aside for use in the CB service. Some of the transceivers
: that manufacturers call "10-meter" radios either operate on CB frequencies
: as manufactured and imported or are designed such that internal circuits
can
: readily be activated by a user, a service technician or a dealer to
operate
: on CB frequencies. According to Section 95.603(c) of the Commission's
rules,
: a CB transmitter is a transmitter that operates or is intended to operate
at
: a station authorized for the CB service. 47C.F.R. &#65533; 95.603(c). The
: Commission's equipment authorization experts in the FCC Laboratory have
: determined that the transceivers listed herein and other similar models at
: issue here are intended for use on the CB frequencies as well as those in
: the amateur service because they have built-in capability to operate on CB
: frequencies. This capability can be readily activated by moving or
removing
: a jumper plug, cutting or splicing a wire, plugging in a connector, or
other
: simple means. Thus, all the transceivers listed herein and similar models
: fall within the definition of a CB transmitter. See 47C.F.R. &#65533; 95.603(c).
A
: CB transmitter must be certificated by the FCC prior to marketing or
: importation. 47 C.F.R. &#65533;&#65533; 95.603(c); 2.803. Moreover, the dual use CB and
: amateur radios of the kind at issue here may not be certified under the
: Commission's rules. Section 95.655(a) states: "..([CB] Transmitters with
: frequency capability for the Amateur Radio Services . will not be
: certificated.)" See also Amendment of Part 95, Subpart E, Technical
: Regulations in the Personal Radio Services Rules, FCC 88-256, 1888 WL
488084
: (August 17, 1988). This clarification was added to explicitly foreclose
the
: possibility of certification of dual use CB and amateur radios, see id,
and
: thereby deter use by CB operators of frequencies allocated for amateur
radio
: use. In addition, the Commission's equipment authorization experts have
: determined that these devices violate or appear to violate a number of the
: rules governing CB devices. For example, they may use emission types not
: permitted, or emit RF power at a level in excess of the levels permitted
in
: the CB radio service. See 47 C.F.R. &#65533; 95.639. In view of the foregoing,
the
: following "10-meter" transceivers are not acceptable for importation or
: marketing into/within the United States. Importation and marketing of
these
: units is illegal pursuant to Section 302(b) of the Communications Act and
: Section 2.803 of the rules. Willful violations of the Rules and the Act

may
: subject the violator to a monetary forfeiture of not more than $11,000 for
: each violation or each day of a continuing violation. The Commission
: continues to review this type of equipment, and additional makes and
models
: may be added to this list in the future. LIST OF TRANSCEIVERS ILLEGAL TO
: IMPORT OR MARKET GALAXY - models: DX33HML, DX 44V, DX55V, DX66V, DX 73V,
DX
: 77HML, DX 88HL, DX99V and Saturn Turbo CONNEX - models: 3300, 3300HP-ZX,
: 3300 PLUS and CX-3800 MIRAGE - models: 44, Galaxy 88, 9900 and 2950EX
NORTH
: STAR - models: NS-3000 and NS-9000 PRESIDENT - models: Grant, J.F.K.,
: Jackson and Lincoln PRO STAR - model: 240 RANGER - models: AR-3500 and
: RCI-2950 TEK - model: HR-3950 UNIDEN - models: HR-2510 and HR-2600 SUPER
: STAR - model: 121 For further information concerning the listed
transceivers
: or similar models, contact Ray LaForge or Gary Hendrickson at the FCC
: Laboratory, 7435 Oakland Mills Road, Columbia, MD 21046, (301) 362-3041 or
: (301) 362-3043 respectively, or E-mail: rlaforge@fcc.gov
:
: >
: >
: > J
: >
: >
: > > >
: > > >
: > >
: > >
: >
: >
: > ---
: > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
: > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
: > Version: 6.0.391 / Virus Database: 222 - Release Date: 9/21/02
: >
: >
: >
:
:
: ---
: Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
: Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
: Version: 6.0.391 / Virus Database: 222 - Release Date: 9/21/02
:
:
:

883. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 00:45:55 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ar1g43tku0@208.206.142.104>
Source record: 55122

Brian wrote:
>
> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0211132104.1d594528@posting.google.com>...
> > brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0211120502.e623113@posting.google.com>...
> > > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<aqq2ks$100$0@208.206.142.89>...
> > >
> > > Mike, DICK, I see none of that in his postings. I see Len putting
> > > forth a very rational argument for the elimination of all code
> > > testing. I think Len would be the last person to try to keep someone
> > > from posting their opinions. On the other hand, I see a lot of
> > > maneuvering to keep Len from participating here.
> >
> > Yo Brainiac, by precisely what mechanism(s) would it be even remotely
> > possible for anybody or any group to selectively keep you and/or The
> > Putz from "partcipating here"??
>
> Kellie, precisely? Is that what you want? You want precision?

From you, coherence would do.

>
> The excluding mechanism is called "Moderate."
>
> The group has talked of going moderated and excluding non-amateurs.
>
> Is that precise enough for you? Would THAT exclude Len?
>
> You can't remember what you say from one day to the next, only as long
> as you get your jabs in at me.

Beside your crap Bruce comes across as downright lettered.

884. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 00:50:33 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211141650.6c6099c8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55123

Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<3DD3EB20.9020004@psu.edu>...
> Since the other thread has gone through the usual rrap muation, I
> didn't want to see the topic go away.
>
> Sooo, we probably really do want to move the frequency down some. Last
> night, I could hear some really weak signals, that's about it.
>
> I'm good for just about any hf frequency, dare I even suggest... 75
> meters? 40 would be fine also. Saturday daytime isn't too good for me,
> so 20 meters would be marginal.
>
> Any thoughts?

Yeah, I have some "thoughts". This drill was very successful a couple years ago. The freq was 7.037. The mode was CW. That particular RRAP net spanned the entire continent east to west and north to south. For my own part I did it with a 100w underdash TS-50 mobile rig and a lame end-fed random wire strung thru some trees. Took three-four posts in RRAP to set the net sked up and it went down like clockwork. Versus multiple threads then thud nothing.

The model is there, it worked becuase the choices of freq & mode were based on commonly known & accepted ham radio folk-level physics going back to the Lord of the Reddios Hiram Percy's days on the bands fer cripes sake.

To wit: 40m is the only even half-reliable choice for transcontinental comms any evening in the U.S. CW was chosen as the mode for even more obvious reasons. There was no way in hell I could have copied AC6XG's weak signal if he had been running SSB in the garbage up the band. He was Q5 all the way with CW.

Yeah, sure, I unnerstand . . A 40 CW RRAP net "would not be all inclusive".

But it worked see and those pore things who would be "excluded" from inclusion in a repeat of the successul model done it to themselves.

If there was ever a glaring example of why free lunches and "all inclusive think" doesn't work this current idiotic thrash is IT.

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

w3rv

885. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 00:50:36 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021114195036.28561.00001411@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 55126

In article <3DD345EB.939320B9@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>> I consider actual operational skill in the use of Morse code to be
>> important enough to justify code testing for an HF-privileged license
>> at a speed of at least 12 WPM, preferably 12 - 13 WPM for
>> the equivalent of a General class ticket, and 20 WPM for Extra,
>> just like the olden days.
>>
>> If you disagree with this, Dave, please explain.
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>I understand your view on testing, Larry, and I don't disagree with it
>though we know that those days are never coming back. I wrote of your
>position--and you may correct me if I understood it incorrectly--that
>you are not a "phone man" except for the occasional use of 2m FM.
>
>Dave K8MN

Dave:

I am not personally opposed to phone operation, just to the fact that most hams pursue it as their only mode of operation, and consider the act of speaking into a microphone as an adequate, if not exceptional, demonstration of their communications skills. For that, I personally give zero credit. It has been proved time and again that any idiot can pick up a microphone and talk into it, and most idiots do. I believe that being a fully-functional ham, capable of providing emergency communications under the most austere conditions, out of necessity requires operational proficiency in CW. If you ain't got it, you ain't got it -- it's as simple as that. Any ham who can only communicate by talking is going to run out of communications capability under a lot of real-world emergency conditions, whereas a CW-proficient ham will be able to keep on going. Digital mode capability is good, and I pursue digital mode technology myself as my primary emergency capability -- but without a solid CW backup ability, I wouldn't consider myself adequately prepared.

I don't use phone on HF for two reasons: I was totally put off the concept by a run-in with a Ten - Ten Number Nazi while I was living in Colorado in 1991, and since moving to Delaware, I've been an apartment dweller, and low-power CW and digital modes just work better for me under my austere operating conditions. I am not against HF Phone as a mode, just what goes on there. Contests generally don't expose me to the worst of HF phone operation's problems, so I do use it for them and will be

participating in the November Sweepstakes Phone contest this weekend with my club, The Dover ARC, W3DOV. I hope we get a Clean Sweep!

So, the short answer is, no, I'm not a "phone man" per se. I am primarily a CW and digital mode operator, though lately I'd have to put digital in first place. However, I believe any ham who does not possess CW proficiency at a useful level (above 13 WPM) to have zeroed himself out as far as his true emergency communications capability is concerned, and, as a result, need not be taken seriously as a ham.

73 de Larry, K3LT

886. Re: Wheres TIT???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 00:50:37 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021114195037.28561.00001412@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 55125

In article <20021114111242.19592.00000064@mb-ba.aol.com>, wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) writes:

>
> TIT hasnt been around in awhile, I bet shes getting pictures made for all of >us to see.
>

Uh oh. Thanks for the warning!

73 de Larry, K3LT

887. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 01:05:47 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114200547.25044.00005194@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 55127

>"Dueling Banjos" ought to be played during every post that Babbling Brucie
>makes.
>

An dont you forget it, I cant wait to hear Brian Squeal like a little PIG

888. Re: Wheres TIT???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 01:07:36 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114200736.25044.00005196@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 55128

>Uh oh. Thanks for the warning!
>
>73 de Larry, K3LT

On second thought maybe she couldnt find anyone to take the pictures.

889. Re: GMRS over-the-counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 01:14:53 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ar1hsn$pj9$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55129

"K&#65533;HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:XCAA9.2990$Bh1.300733@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
>
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote
>
> : The sign did say FCC license required...but it didn't say it was
required
> : to purchase.
>
> It isn't illegal to own a GMRS radio, or a ham radio for that matter,
> whether you have a license or not.

Agreed.

> Which brings up the next logical question..... "What's your point,
Bill?"

Just seems to be the same path that the ultimate downfall
of CB as a licensed service followed.

Time will tell.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

890. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 01:16:49 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <ut8j61k8dq9j04@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55132

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021112201440.09022.00000011@mb-fo.aol.com...
> Well TIT you finally came back, so where are those Puppies posted. If they
> look good, maybe you can get Credit for 5 WPM CW.

Ya know, a good insulter knows facts about the person they are trying to
insult. Look up my license class, nitwit.

Kim W5TIT

891. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 01:17:58 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <ut8j86kl3afd36@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55133

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021112201708.09022.00000012@mb-fo.aol.com...
> >Oh Lord. Real? You mean as in equal to you? You have got to be
kidding...
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
> >
>
> Dont worry you could never be an EQUAL to me or any REAL HAM. By the way,
where
> are the pictures, your not ashamed of them are you?.
>

Oh hell no. Nothing to be ashamed of! Yeah, you're right about never being
equal to you. It would take me the rest-of-my-life+ to get down to your
level.

Kim W5TIT

892. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 01:19:26 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <ut8jb0l5sc997d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55054

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021112201903.09022.00000013@mb-fo.aol.com...
> TIT if you dont want to show both of them Puppies just show us 1, we will
get
> the picture.

Ah, sorry ya poor bloke. You won't score here any better than you do
elsewhere. I'm not that desperate, either.

Kim W5TIT

893. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 01:21:04 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <ut8je0h5lg2jcc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55134

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3DD1D831.3D4C096@ix.netcom.com...
> WA8ULX wrote:
>
> > Well TIT you finally came back, so where are those Puppies posted. If
they
> > look good, maybe you can get Credit for 5 WPM CW.
>
> She has a tech plus, which means she has already completed the code
> requirements. So she's qualified to operate 28.350
>
>

Aw, c'mon, Robert! Quit giving him the answers! ;o

Kim W5TIT

894. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 01:21:52 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <ut8jfglrh6cqed@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55136

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021113005458.19536.00000031@mb-ba.aol.com...
> >Eight minutes, huh? No studying, huh?
> >
>
> Look Jerk I dont care what you believe
>
> >I don't think so.
>
> Why because your Damn Dumb to pass it after a year with your Welfare
General.
>
> >How much did this exam actually cost you?
>
>

How many other bandwagons are you on, Waddles?

Kim W5TIT

895. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 01:22:41 UTC
From: R-75 MAN <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3dd44965_4@corp-goliath.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 55130

How about some of my favorites

14.313
14.275
3.950
3.898
3.894.5
3885 (AM Mode)
3875
3865
3855
3853

--
Lloyd
"The R-75 Man"
"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021114185902.02664.00003737@mb-dd.aol.com...
> >Subject: Net?
> >From: Mike Coslo mjc5@psu.edu
> >Date: 11/14/02 12:27 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <3DD3EB20.9020004@psu.edu>
>
> >I'm good for just about any hf frequency, dare I even suggest... 75
> >meters? 40 would be fine also. Saturday daytime isn't too good for me,
> >so 20 meters would be marginal.
>
> Sixty meters would be perfect! Let's hope we can get NTIA to quit
> screwing around!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

896. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 01:25:14 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ar1iddthq1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55131

And if you new anything about Amateur Radio you would know how stupid your statements sound.

Dan/W4NTI

"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:ar1ddf01n5o@enews4.newsguy.com...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:ar1bf8$8jf$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> > The FCC consists of political appointees that may or may not have any
> > knowledge and/or experience in radio. So you can not expect them to
> > understand.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> That's why NPRs are released for public comment before they are implemented.
> The best argument wins. If you knew anything about how rules are
> implemented, you'd understand how stupid your statement is.
>
>

897. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 01:28:55 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <ut8jso84d9js1f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55138

```
"KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message
news:ut512himv6nl9c@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> news:ut38bbccf8pp02@corp.supernews.com...
> > "KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:usu3ipccdprtd7@corp.supernews.com...
> > >
> > > "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> > > news:ustt3j40fu3f72@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > And, I disagree wholeheartedly with you. If I were in a net where I
> > heard
> > > > Larry sign on, I would expect that net to quickly degrade. So, I
> would
> > > not
> > > > welcome his involvement.
> > > >
> > > > Kim W5TIT
> > >
> > > So in other words if Larry was net control of an "emergency" net and
> > > you heard him running that net you would turn your back on helping
> > > because of your conflict with him. Seems to me to be a very petty
> > > reason for not helping out. If you can't put personalities aside long
> > > enough to do a needed job then maybe it's best that you didn't help.
> > >
> >
> > Realistically? Realistically, it is my belief we'd never hear Larry as
> net
> > control of an emergency net. So, I don't expect to ever encounter the
> > situation you describe above. That having been said, it is not probable
> > that I would be participating in a net wherein Larry was net
control...as
> it
> > seems to me he has stated himself many times that he does not even own a
> > microphone. Since Larry would not be on a phone net, and I would not be
> on
> > a CW net, we would not have to make any decisions about dealing with
each
> > other.
> >
> > Moreover, if you cannot recognize my unwillingness to "work" with Larry,
```

> and
> > that I realize that would be a detriment to a net, then I say you're
> making
> > a pretty piss-poor decision to call me on that. I would much rather
have
> > persons with deeprooted dislikes for each other stay off a net than to
> have
> > their participation. You're describing an emergency in quotation marks
> > above--and I presume that to mean a typical emergency: weather, etc.
> > However, in a true disaster, I would be hard pressed not to join and
help.
> > I don't know what the situation is like where you are, but here there is
> > plenty, plenty of help--and those who choose to stay out of a net are
> hardly
> > missed.
>
> Here in this county there are only 63 licensed hams and I've only heard
> maybe
> 25 of them on the radio ever. And getting people to volunteer for stuff is
> like
> pulling teeth, UNLESS they get to run a blue light and siren and ACT
> important.
>
> >
> > >
> > > And if Larry turned it into some kind of a CW contest for "real hams"
> > > only, he wouldn't be needed either, but somehow I don't think he would
> > > let his opinions stand in the way of doing the job at hand.
> > >
> > >
> > > --
> > > W.W. Warren - KB9TMP
> > > R.A.C.E.S. Officer - 59-605
> > > Orange County E.M.A.
> > >
> > >
> >
> > It would be interesting, at best, to see/hear how Larry would handle an
> > emergency net. I'll never forget one of my first encounters with Larry
> > here, where he demanded that in an emergency I'd *have* to turn over my
> > equipment to him and, if I did not, he would confiscate it. Like to see
> > that happen, too.
>
> Without a law officer and a rep from the FCC nobody gets my equipment!!!
>
>
> >
> > By the way, last I was studying, Orange County emergency response
> operations
> > from the amateur community should, in my opinion, be a model for many in

> the
> > country. If what people have told me is true (that RACES/ARES work
> > extremely close and well together; that other radio services are often
> > employed; that non-amateurs are encouraged to participate for support
> > services, etc.), then you should boiler-plate that and get the word out.
>
> We are working on getting the R.A.C.E.S. program started now. But
> getting some of the 63 or so hams in this county interested is a big
> problem.
> Right now we have maybe 5 who have showed interest in it. And of those
> 5 two are already volunteer fire, one is in the EMA already, one is the
> local ham radio club president, and one fellow who is disabled and not
> able to do a lot of the things we need other than babysit the radios.
>
> Manpower is in VERY short supply here!
>
>
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
> >
> >
>
> --
> W.W. Warren - KB9TMP
> R.A.C.E.S. Officer - 59-605
> Orange County (Indiana) E.M.A.
>
>

Oh, Jeeze...silly me. It was in Florida that I was speaking. When I posted this the other evening, I was going back over some old, old stuff when I was all gung ho on getting some really effective EmComm going in this area. Have tried three huge efforts and all three have failed--for specifically the reasons you mention. People are long on ideas and saying "attaboy, go for it" but very, very short on putting up or shutting up.

I gave up a few months ago...although I am going to begin working with the ARRL EC Courses again.

Kim W5TIT

898. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 01:31:20 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ar1ior$1du$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55135

```
Ill look fer ya. Ill be doing W4NTI probably single op again. Cain't seem
to get anyone interested to do a multi.
```

Dan/W4NTI
"K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:whWA9.20$%a.4536@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote
>
> : I suspect Hans was part of the W0AA.operation (?)
>
> Nope, I was at W0EF on Saturday, then at K0RT on Sunday. I operated W0AA
> during SS CW last week. This week will be at my own station (K0HB)
> multi-oping with K0CKB for voice SS.
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
> http://www.mnyarc.org
> http://www.k0bsa.org
>
>

899. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 01:34:17 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <ut8k6u9uud3a15@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55140

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:aquv0h$s0k$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net...
> Thats what she said somewhere up here in USENET land. Can't remember what
> thread it was.
>
> I knew lots of gals in the military. Hard to tell them from the guys as I
> recall.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021113131854.23999.00007061@mb-md.aol.com...
> > >When you were in the Air Force Twit did you perchance get around some
> > >chemicals? Other than than please explain your thought process.
> > >
> > >Dan/W4NTI
> > >
> >
> > Was TIT in the Air Force?
>
>

You're either very mistaken or full of lies on that one, Dan. I have never said anything about me being in the military because I've never been in the military. I was raised a military brat--but never joined.

Kim W5TIT

900. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 01:37:12 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <ut8kc87noh908f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55141

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:aquv6u$vp9$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> No 'Krell' you do indeed have to justify your presense on our Amateur
bands.
> You didn't pay the same dues as we did. Thus you are not qualified in the
> same way. Then you and your ilk have to spend hours and hours trying to
> justify your existance on the bands.
>

What a crock. I mean, seriously, you can *think* or *demand* all you want
that some licensed amateur must "justify" their presence. But, you're
wrong, Dan, big time. I get on the air any time I want, any where I'm
authorized and I talk.

>
> Don't you understand 'Krell' that as long as you and your ilk keep
bitching
> and moaning and attacking those that had to really pay the dues that we
are
> going to continue screwing with you? Or are you really as stupid as you
> sound ??
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

Dan, it sounds to me like we're quite happy with things the way they are,
and that you and those like you are the ones bitching and moaning. I've
never complained once about my being an licensed radio amateur, and I never
complained about the process.

And, uh, just FYI since you don't seem to get it: the FCC implemented the
current and every other licensing process.

Kim W5TIT

901. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 01:37:38 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211141737.5271fb9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55137

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021114085717.08263.00000507@mb-cv.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Eight Minutes?
> >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> >Date: 11/14/02 7:17 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <f45722ac.0211140517.30fde75d@posting.google.com>
>
> >Jim, don't be silly. I passed the Extra exam. I've even told you
> >that right here on rrap. I can only imagine why you wouldn't remember
> >something like that? Except it doesn't open you argument well, or its
> >just another PCTA double standard.
>
> Hmmmmmm...QRZ says you're still a General. So what's the hang-up?
>
> >Advanced Bruce claims to have done it in 8 minutes, no studying, and
> >100% score. All on a whim. And took $250 away from two "CB
> >Plussers."
>
> Suuuuuuuure he did. And I ahve a bridge in New York I'd like to sell them
> too.

His Extra ticket got lost by by the bureau along with his T5 cards.

902. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 01:37:50 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <ut8kdeij0gd8a9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55142

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021113214526.16751.00000686@mb-fc.aol.com...
> >Thats what she said somewhere up here in USENET land. Can't remember
what
> >thread it was.
> >
> >I knew lots of gals in the military. Hard to tell them from the guys as
I
> >recall.
> >
> >Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> Yea I know what you mean Dan, most of them were Uglier than HOME MAD SIN.

Couldn't stand the competition, huh?

Kim W5TIT

903. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 01:39:01 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <ut8kfmn1rcd2df@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55143

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3DD31D20.2CBB73E5@ix.netcom.com...
> "K HB" wrote:
>
> > We're a diverse crowd of individualists, each with strongly held ideas
which
> > often conflict with other strongly held ideas, and we bicker and fight
here
> > like a crowd of step-siblings who fight each other, sometimes I think
just
> > for the pleasure of bickering. Odds are pretty good that underneath it
all
> > we have a lot more in common than we really want to acknowledge.
> >
> > We're all (well, almost all) licensed hams, and most of us have passed
at
> > least a 5WPM Morse test.
> >
> > I propose a Rrap net, each Wednesday evening at 0300UTC (10 PM EST, 9 PM
> > CST, 8 PM MST, 7 PM PST) on 28.350, a QRG available to everyone who has
> > passed the 5WPM Morse test. If there's other traffic on that freq, we
can
> > just move up to the first clear spot.
>
> Did anyone get on the air? Heard nothing here in NNJ. Called CQ a few
> times too. Nothing. Not suprising, as propagation on 10 at 10PM is
> usually poor. I have seen band openings on 10 after 10PM, but that is
> rare.
>

Are "we" doing it? For real? Hell, I'll give it a try next Wednesday...

Kim W5TIT

904. Re: Wheres TIT???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 01:39:17 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211141739.2e9e78b1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55139

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021114111242.19592.00000064@mb-ba.aol.com>...
> TIT hasnt been around in awhile, I bet shes getting pictures made for all of
> us to see.

She's trying to find somebody with a wide enough lens.

905. Re: Wheres TIT???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 01:43:46 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <ut8koibp0b9pae@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55053

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021114184808.10567.00000736@mb-mv.aol.com...
> >
> >
>
> I think TIT is to embarassed about her Callsign.

How many brain cells did you kill to arrive at that pearl of wisdom?
ROFLMAO...

Kim W5TIT

906. Re: Wheres TIT???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 01:45:03 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <ut8kr314k7kvea@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55144

"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ar1e9m01pqv@enews4.newsguy.com...
>
> "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021114111242.19592.00000064@mb-ba.aol.com...
> > TIT hasnt been around in awhile, I bet shes getting pictures made for
all
> of
> > us to see.
>
> Babbling Brucie can't wait to see them. What do you expect from someone
who
> has a sneeze guard for a screen saver?
>
>

Psssssst, that's not a sneeze guard...LOL

Kim W5TIT

907. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 01:56:28 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114205628.25072.00004911@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 55145

>How many other bandwagons are you on, Waddles?
>
>Kim W5TIT
>

Now TIT come on where are the PICS

908. Re: Wheres TIT???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 01:58:20 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114205820.25072.00004914@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 55146

>She's trying to find somebody with a wide enough lens.
>
>

Do they make them that BIG?

909. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 02:00:42 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114210042.25072.00004915@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 55147

>I've
>never complained once about my being an licensed radio amateur, and I never
>complained about the process.

Of course you didnt, it was a Free Handout. By the way where are the Pictures?

910. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 02:01:58 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114210158.25072.00004916@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 55148

>Couldn't stand the competition, huh?
>
>Kim W5TIT

Is that why you never joined TIT, where is the pictures?

911. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 02:02:48 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114210248.10597.00001544@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 55051

In article <ar1boe$b7s$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> writes:

>Did W5TWIT tell you to say that Lennie?

No. :-) It's fairly obvious that this venue isn't for discussions,
it's largely about a bunch of regulars trying to hold onto their
old-time standards and practices values to insure they have
something to feel "superior" to others. Hence, the "chatroom"
label.

Don't take testosterone supplements and use your computer.
:-)

912. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 02:02:48 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114210248.10597.00001545@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 55149

In article <ar1f3fqbq0@208.206.142.104>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>Ya know, I used to think that Slim was just misguided, but he
>subsequently proved himself to be ignorant, in spades.

Those who have much experience with shovels know all about
spades. :-)

913. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 02:02:49 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114210249.10597.00001546@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 55150

In article <ar1bf8$8jf$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> writes:

>The FCC consists of political appointees that may or may not have any
>knowledge and/or experience in radio. So you can not expect them to
>understand.

That isn't true for the personnel in the Office of Electronics and
Technology at the FCC. The Commissioners are appointees
but they do have some knowledge of radio or they wouldn't have
been appointed in the first place.

A problem you may be having is that the FCC doesn't boost or
favor amateurs. It isn't an adjunct to the ARRL. The FCC has to
regulate ALL US civil radio and there are many, many different
radio services to be regulated in the USA.

914. Re: Wheres TIT???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 02:03:17 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114210317.25072.00004917@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 55052

>Psssssst, that's not a sneeze guard...LOL
>
>Kim W5TIT
>

Is it Bigger than your TITS

915. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 02:52:13 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DD4615D.A333DD7F@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 55055

Dave Heil wrote:

>
> Q What do you call a person who speaks only one language?
>
> A An American
>

Well, there's no obvious choice of a second language. In
school we were offered Spanish or French. Spanish
isn't at all useful unless you need to talk to the landscaper,
and the French hate Americans anyway..... :-)

916. Re: GMRS over-the-counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 02:58:59 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DD462F3.E0CCB8AC@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 55056

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> >Subject: Re: GMRS over-the-counter
> >From: Robert Casey wa2ise@ix.netcom.com
> >Date: 11/13/02 3:46 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <3DD2C83C.5E516899@ix.netcom.com>
>
> >As long as they stay out of the ham bands, lets not worry about it.
> >We're not radio spectrum vigilantes...
>
> Guess you wouldn't call the fire department if you saw a neighbor's house
> on fire?
>
> Nor would you call the police if you saw your neighbor's wife or daughter
> being raped?
>
> Would you call 9-1-1 if you saw a driver swerving across the road in a
> school zone?
>

Misuse of GMRS radios is more equivalent to someone doing 60 on
an interstate posted for 55 on a day with good weather. It's more
like being a tattletale in grammar school than telling the police about
an emergency involving life and property danger.

917. Re: Wheres TIT???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 03:17:45 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114221745.21158.00001136@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 55057

>How many brain cells did you kill to arrive at that pearl of wisdom?
>ROFLMAO...
>
>Kim W5TIT

Does that mean your not happy with your Call Sign TIT. Your the one who picked it, your the one who paid for it. Just show us the TITs. I promise no one will laugh. And dont worry you dont have to ask Brian or NCI if its all right.

918. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 03:19:31 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <7JZA9.583$KT4.81658095@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 55058
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

"Reality Check" <me@myaddress.net> wrote in message
news:bhd7tu0ijcc64un3emkmhm8gpgtjlul8qp@4ax.com...
>
> Went in a CB shop Monday. Lots of 100+ watt rigs for sale many
> of them on display tuned to frequencies in the 10M band. No
> surprise except for the arrogance. Took a bunch of digital
> pictures to forward to the FCC field office. I don't suppose
> it will do any good but I did my part.

Troll Post.

Landshark

--
If you are implying that people, who
hold ham licenses, should be somehow
held to a higher moral standard than
the "common man", then that would
be faulty logic. A ham license is only
a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
"badge of morality".

919. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 03:21:31 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114222131.21158.00001137@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 55059

>You won't score here any better than you do
>elsewhere.

Oh I score very well.

> I'm not that desperate, either.
>
>Kim W5TIT

I didnt say you were desperate. We just want to see what your so proud of that you decided to include it in your Call Sign.

920. TIT I just took a private poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 03:28:44 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114222844.21256.00001009@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 55061

TIT, I just took a private poll, of all the real Hams on this group, and they all promised me they would not laugh. Now I know it wasnt a sceintific Poll, and I have my doubts about a few of them, but if any of them laugh, I will reveal to you who promised not to laugh. Now lets see them and stop stalling. Dont be shy were all waiting.

921. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 03:28:57 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114222857.21134.00004894@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 55060

You present some good arguments for CW for emergency communications. However, not everyone wants to get into emergency communications.

922. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 03:30:36 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ar1ph40v77@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55063

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021114200547.25044.00005194@mb-dh.aol.com...
> >"Dueling Banjos" ought to be played during every post that Babbling
Brucie
> >makes.
> >
>
> An dont you forget it, I cant wait to hear Brian Squeal like a little PIG

Why don't you just admit that you're gay? After all, you keep making these
homosexual remarks about "grabbing ears" and making people "squeal like a
pig."

923. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 03:36:37 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ar1pse0vl6@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55064

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:ar1iddthq1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> And if you new anything about Amateur Radio you would know how stupid your
> statements sound.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

At least I know how to eliminate a "Bugbear" virus from my incoming e-mails,
which, from what I've read, is more than what can be said of you.

924. Re: GMRS over-the-counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 03:44:09 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h5ldyz0.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 55062

On Thu, 14 Nov 2002 21:58:59 -0500, Robert Casey wrote:

>Misuse of GMRS radios is more equivalent to someone doing 60 on
>an interstate posted for 55 on a day with good weather. It's more
>like being a tattletale in grammar school than telling the police about
>an emergency involving life and property danger.

To those of us who regard the regulated use of GMRS as something of
value, the offense is more equivalent to holding up a convenience
store at gunpoint than it is to throwing a piece of kleenex on the
sidewalk.

What are YOU doing to protect the value of your license and the
privileges granted thereunder, whatever the service?
---
73 de K2ASP / KAE8605 - Phil Kane

925. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 03:51:58 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DD46F5E.267F6856@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55078

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> Any ham
> who can only communicate by talking is going to run out of communications
> capability under a lot of real-world emergency conditions, whereas a
> CW-proficient ham will be able to keep on going.

Name one situation in the last five years where cw was the only
mode available in a real world emergency condition.

926. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 03:52:06 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021114225206.21134.00004898@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 55065

>I believe any ham who does not possess CW
>proficiency at a useful level (above 13 WPM) to have zeroed himself
>out as far as his true emergency communications capability is concerned,

You give some good arguments for CW for emergency communications. However, not every amateur wants to get into emergency communications. Also, not every amateur is interested in Contesting.

>and, as a result, need not be taken seriously as a ham.
Here we are - if you do not know CW, you are not a "true" Ham.

927. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 03:58:20 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DD470DC.80C939DB@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55080

Vshah101 wrote:

>
> Ham Radio is a "lifestyle", in my opinion also.

Then you need to rethink your priorities.

928. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 04:14:10 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ar1sai$2j8$0@208.206.142.114>
Source record: 55066

```
Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > In article <20021113214143.16718.00000435@mb-cq.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com
> > (Vshah101) writes:
> >
> > >From: lenover21@aol.com
> > >>>In the general line of thought of others, as presented in messages
> > >>> here, they view amateur radio as a "lifestyle,"...SNIP
> > >
> > >Ham Radio is a "lifestyle", in my opinion also. There is a commonality that
> > >goes beyond amateur radio. One is use of the word "Ham", another is hatred of
> > >CB (Ham retailers provide crystals in a continuous range, except the 27MHz
> > >value is missing!). There are many more examples of "Ham" radio culture.
> > >
> > >. >> ...an alternate reality
> > >>> where they can claim status, rank, and privilege through a certain
> > >>> license class and the "authority" to identify themselves with
> > >>> federally-certificated call letters.
> >
> > >These are call call signs. You make them sound evil ("alternate reality").
> > >Its what Hams make of it that it becomes an alternate reality..
> >
> > Very true. The federal merit badge is used as an academic
> > title after a signed name. It's part of the human fascination with
> > rank, titles, status to proclaim their greater wonderfulness over
> > others. :-)
> >
> > >>Or better yet, why not send $10 (or is it more...?) to "CRB Research" as
> > >>seen in "Popular Communicaitons" and other radiocommunications related
> > >>magazines, and they will neatly emboss your name and "Registered Monitor
> > >>Station" "callsign".
> >
> > >Only an obcessed Ham would do that.
> >
> > A lot more have fallen for that and it doesn't have to be a "ham."
> >
> > That's just an organization trying to make extra money hiring a
> > company (also wanting to make money) to do that service. It falls
> > into the fantasy and fascination with titles.
> >
> > >>You might even sneak it by DMV and get it on your car tags...Although I am
> > >>sure you could have it put on almost any other of California's plethora of
```

> > >>"vanity" tags.
> >
> > >Only an obcessed Ham would do that. I have seen call signs on licence plates.
> >
> > I don't see anything wrong with call signs on a vehicle license
> > plate...unless the driver wants to communicate with the horn
> > beeping out morse code nastygrams. :-)
> >
> > >>The FCC has already
> > >>said it's piece on the matter, and when no longer bound by treaties to which
> > >>the United States is signatory, will revisit the issue.
> >
> > >You know thats not true.Hams will fight for Morse Code long after the FCC
> > >lifts it.
> >
> > The real, tangible Class Distinction of "coders" versus "no-coders"
> > has been firmly established in US amateur radio. It's all a part of
> > the rank/status/title thing of those who made it using morse showing
> > they are "superior" to those who don't care for morse code.
> >
> > >>>They want, perhaps need, the title, rank, etc., to maintain their
> > >>>self-image.
> >
> > >Actually they want to mislead by tying the rankings to Morse code.
> >
> > Yes. It is an accomplishment...but also a virtual mountain made
> > out of a large molehill. Rather than shovel real dirt about radio
> > theory and get dirty in the learning process, they wave their morse
> > shovels around and demand others shoveling snit like they did.
> >
> > >>>Many others have USED an amateur radio license for a "greater"
> > >>> purpose, far exaggerating its importance.
> >
> > >What is the "greater" purpose. To have more radio toys to play with?
> >
> > Self-importance...or a fantasy that time stood still a half century
> > ago so that the standards and practices of radio of back then is
> > still the thing. It isn't. Ego won't let them say otherwise.
>
> I'll consider the above exchange as water seeking its own level.

And the very obvious leak will take care of that, too.

929. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 04:19:11 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ar1sjv$2j8$1@208.206.142.114>
Source record: 55068

Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<3DD3EB20.9020004@psu.edu>...
> > Since the other thread has gone through the usual rrap muation, I
> > didn't want to see the topic go away.
> >
> > Sooo, we probably really do want to move the frequency down some. Last
> > night, I could hear some really weak signals, that's about it.
> >
> > I'm good for just about any hf frequency, dare I even suggest... 75
> > meters? 40 would be fine also. Saturday daytime isn't too good for me,
> > so 20 meters would be marginal.
> >
> > Any thoughts?
>
> Yeah, I have some "thoughts". This drill was very successful a couple
> years ago. The freq was 7.037. The mode was CW. That particular RRAP
> net spanned the entire continent east to west and north to south. For
> my own part I did it with a 100w underdash TS-50 mobile rig and a lame
> end-fed random wire strung thru some trees. Took three-four posts in
> RRAP to set the net sked up and it went down like clockwork. Versus
> multiple threads then thud nothing.
>
> The model is there, it worked becuase the choices of freq & mode were
> based on commonly known & accepted ham radio folk-level physics going
> back to the Lord of the Reddios Hiram Percy's days on the bands fer
> cripes sake.
>
> To wit: 40m is the only even half-reliable choice for
> transcontinental comms any evening in the U.S. CW was chosen as the
> mode for even more obvious reasons. There was no way in hell I could
> have copied AC6XG's weak signal if he had been running SSB in the
> garbage up the band. He was Q5 all the way with CW.
>
> Yeah, sure, I unnerstand . . A 40 CW RRAP net "would not be all
> inclusive".
>
> But it worked see and those pore things who would be "excluded" from
> inclusion in a repeat of the successul model done it to themselves.
>
> If there was ever a glaring example of why free lunches and "all
> inclusive think" doesn't work this current idiotic thrash is IT.
>

What he said!

930. Re: GMRS over-the-counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 04:19:15 UTC
From: Feng Lo Young <FooManChooChoo@youverystupidamelicanboy.com>
Message-ID: <ar1sir$364$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 55067

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message >

> To those of us who regard the regulated use of GMRS as something of
> value, the offense is more equivalent to holding up a convenience
> store at gunpoint than it is to throwing a piece of kleenex on the
> sidewalk.
>
> What are YOU doing to protect the value of your license and the
> privileges granted thereunder, whatever the service?
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP / KAE8605 - Phil Kane
>
>
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAh
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH

Feng Lo Young
brother of fung gu too

931. Re: Wheres TIT???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 04:20:22 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ar1sm6$2j8$2@208.206.142.114>
Source record: 55069

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <20021114111242.19592.00000064@mb-ba.aol.com>, wa8ulx@aol.com
> (WA8ULX) writes:
>
> >
> > TIT hasnt been around in awhile, I bet shes getting pictures made for all of
> >us to see.
> >
>
> Uh oh. Thanks for the warning!
>

You know the drill. Beauty is only skin deep, but ugly goes all the way
to the bone.

932. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 04:48:16 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DD47CA1.1010008@adelphia.net>
Source record: 55070

WA8ULX wrote:

>>If you were traveling around the country, and had some free time, who on this
>>NG would you make an effort to meet in person?
>>
>
> Most would probably want to meet me.
>

First I laughed... Then I realized you were right! 8^)

- mike KB3EIA -

933. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 05:01:08 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DD47FA4.8010507@adelphia.net>
Source record: 55071

N2EY wrote:

> There are folks here who are quite capable of having a well-mannered, cilvil
> discussion. Like Bill Sohl, K2UNK. He and I disagree about almost everyhting
> wrt amateur radio testing - particularly code testing. So do many others. Yet
> it's all done in a well-mannered fashion. One can respect him and still
> disagree.

Yup, I agree. I don't need agreement, just a good argument, presented in
a good fashion.

> Ask yourself this:
>
> If you were traveling around the country, and had some free time, who on this
> NG would you make an effort to meet in person? And who would you make an effort
> to avoid meeting in person?

I'd enjoy eyeball QSO's with you, Cecil, Larry, Brian Burke, Dick
Carrol,WA8ULX, Kim, Steve, Bill S., and Dan. That's a diverse group for
sure.

- Mike KB3EIA -

934. Only 41 shopping days.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 05:24:08 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <Yx%A9.641$B85.67141@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 55072

For the Radio Kop on your list.....

http://www.westid.com/

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

935. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 05:37:10 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DD48811.70201@adelphia.net>
Source record: 55073

Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <3DD30A7D.7060901@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
> writes:
<some snippage>

>> Is being in public relations a prerequisite for manners? No, I'm not
>>specifically in the Public relations field. But I've been the president
>>of a youth sports group, Vice president of an astronomy group, Captain
>>of my Ice hockey team, and an active member of my local amateur radio
>>club. My point? I can get things done without being rude and abrasive.
>>Most of these folk don't suggest I get psychological help either.
>>
>
> Well, perhaps they should? An obsession with titles, rank, and
> privilege is not normal behavior. Or is it?

Umm, I was elected to these positions. These good folk would have to be a
tad disturbed themselves to elect a unbalanced individual to run their show.

>
> So, in your quest for "good manners," what are you disguising?
> Is it a need to parrot the morals-manners as eschewed in monthly
> issues of QST? Is it a firm, undying Belief in the inherent "goodness"
> of morse code for radio amateurs? Is it a necessity to continue the
> standards and practices of radio of a half century ago far into the
> future while the rest of the radio world progresses continually?

I've been a member of ARRL for about 6 months now. The Morse issue isn't
very important to me.

Good manners are important for everyone everywhere.

> I see no critique of the rather obvious ill-mannered abrasiveness of
> others in this newsgroup-chatroom. Such is done on a regular basis
> by licensed radio amateurs. Does the amateur license permit a
> double standard of behavior in a public communications venue?
> Apparently it does yet such is not in the radio rules.

Here you come closest to having a point. You are right that I don't come
down on others for their treatment of you, at least every time there is
some name calling. I'm letting you fight your own battles there. But if
asked, I'll let anyone know I don't agree with that. Just as I told
Steve, I don't agree, but it is a matter of style.

> Yet, you seek a long "discussion" with me on charges of "ill-mannered
> behavior" without pointing out specifics.

If you comment on my posts, you will recieve responses from me. If Steve were to comment on my posts, disagreeing with me, he would recieve replies. It's kind of how this newsgroup thingy works. :^)

> What you seem to be doing
> is simply an attempt to silence an unlicensed-in-the-amateur-service
> citizens because their viewpoints are contrary to yours.

What exactly is my viewpoint?

> You would prefer that all be "Mr. Nice" and agree with your
> viewpoints, yes?

Nope, I prefer people to play nice, but to *have* to share my viewpoint would be a bad move. I can be wrong. I would like to be right. If a person has a different view than me, I want to know why. If I can be convinced, I'll change my mind. Simple and direct.

>>> Strong debate is simply strong debate. Some cannot take such
>>> things...and those people should leave lest they upset themselves.

If this were real debate.....

- Mike KB3EIA -

936. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 05:42:33 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021115004233.25072.00004974@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 55074

>First I laughed... Then I realized you were right! 8^)
>
> - mike KB3EIA

I can understand you have probably always wanted to meet a REAL Ham, if the truth was known you probably wish you could be as good as me.

937. Re: Only 41 shopping days.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 05:45:28 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021115004528.25072.00004975@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 55075

>For the Radio Kop on your list.....
>
>
> http://www.westid.com/
>

Oh no shouldnt have posted that, now every CBplusser will have to have one.

938. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 05:48:44 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021115004844.25072.00004976@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 55076

>"grabbing ears" and making people "squeal like a
>pig."

Do you want to squeal like a Pig?

939. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 05:49:44 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021115004944.25072.00004977@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 55077

>Here we are - if you do not know CW, you are not a "true" Ham.
>

Exactly

940. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 05:52:58 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DD48B74.6783FE51@earthlink.net>
Source record: 55079

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3DD345EB.939320B9@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >> I consider actual operational skill in the use of Morse code to be
> >> important enough to justify code testing for an HF-privileged license
> >> at a speed of at least 12 WPM, preferably 12 - 13 WPM for
> >> the equivalent of a General class ticket, and 20 WPM for Extra,
> >> just like the olden days.
> >>
> >> If you disagree with this, Dave, please explain.
> >>
> >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
> >I understand your view on testing, Larry, and I don't disagree with it
> >though we know that those days are never coming back. I wrote of your
> >position--and you may correct me if I understood it incorrectly--that
> >you are not a "phone man" except for the occasional use of 2m FM.
> >
> >Dave K8MN
>
> Dave:
>
> I am not personally opposed to phone operation, just to the fact that most
> hams pursue it as their only mode of operation, and consider the act of
> speaking into a microphone as an adequate, if not exceptional, demonstration
> of their communications skills. For that, I personally give zero credit. It
> has been proved time and again that any idiot can pick up a microphone
> and talk into it, and most idiots do. I believe that being a fully-functional
> ham, capable of providing emergency communications under the most
> austere conditions, out of necessity requires operational proficiency in
> CW. If you ain't got it, you ain't got it -- it's as simple as that. Any ham
> who can only communicate by talking is going to run out of communications
> capability under a lot of real-world emergency conditions, whereas a
> CW-proficient ham will be able to keep on going. Digital mode
> capability is good, and I pursue digital mode technology myself as my
> primary emergency capability -- but without a solid CW backup ability,
> I wouldn't consider myself adequately prepared.
>
> I don't use phone on HF for two reasons: I was totally put off the concept
> by a run-in with a Ten - Ten Number Nazi while I was living in Colorado
> in 1991, and since moving to Delaware, I've been an apartment dweller,
> and low-power CW and digital modes just work better for me under my

> austere operating conditions. I am not against HF Phone as a mode, just
> what goes on there. Contests generally don't expose me to the worst of
> HF phone operation's problems, so I do use it for them and will be
> participating in the November Sweepstakes Phone contest this weekend
> with my club, The Dover ARC, W3DOV. I hope we get a Clean Sweep!
>
> So, the short answer is, no, I'm not a "phone man" per se. I am primarily
> a CW and digital mode operator, though lately I'd have to put digital in
> first place. However, I believe any ham who does not possess CW
> proficiency at a useful level (above 13 WPM) to have zeroed himself
> out as far as his true emergency communications capability is concerned,
> and, as a result, need not be taken seriously as a ham.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

I stand corrected. Then we have no one who meets Len's stated
criterion.

Dave K8MN

941. Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 05:58:22 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021115005822.25072.00004980@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 55081

Well Guys its been fun, I will be away for a few days, but look forward to getting back to the excitment. I hope you all can carry on without me, and try not to miss me. Oh w5TIT I will be in your area for a few days, look forward to working you on 1 of the 2 Meter CBChannels.

942. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 06:07:29 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DD48ED9.5C8D1EE1@earthlink.net>
Source record: 55082

Robert Casey wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
> >
> > Q What do you call a person who speaks only one language?
> >
> > A An American
> >
>
> Well, there's no obvious choice of a second language. In
> school we were offered Spanish or French. Spanish
> isn't at all useful unless you need to talk to the landscaper,
> and the French hate Americans anyway..... :-)

I took a year of French but took two years of Latin. I've been trying
to find a native speaker for the past thirty-five years. ;-o

Dave K8MN

943. Re: Only 41 shopping days.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 06:23:12 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <ut94m94lqfdc46@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55083

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021115004528.25072.00004975@mb-dh.aol.com...
> >For the Radio Kop on your list.......
> >
> >
> > http://www.westid.com/
> >
>
> Oh no shouldnt have posted that, now every CBplusser will have to have
one.

Badges? We don't need no stinking badges!!!
Especially at $60 each!

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

944. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 06:35:33 UTC
From: Perculator <rcranus@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <Z2Sdnb6tu4goCEmgXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 55084
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

"Landshark" <S3Buell@SBCGlobal.Net.> wrote in message
news:7JZA9.583$KT4.81658095@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com...
:
: "Reality Check" <me@myaddress.net> wrote in message
: news:bhd7tu0ijcc64un3emkmhm8gpgtjlul8qp@4ax.com...
: >
: > Went in a CB shop Monday. Lots of 100+ watt rigs for sale many
: > of them on display tuned to frequencies in the 10M band. No
: > surprise except for the arrogance. Took a bunch of digital
: > pictures to forward to the FCC field office. I don't suppose
: > it will do any good but I did my part.
:
: Troll Post.
:
: Landsharki

Damn, you sure it is? How would you really know
:
: --
: If you are implying that people, who
: hold ham licenses, should be somehow
: held to a higher moral standard than
: the "common man", then that would
: be faulty logic. A ham license is only
: a "permit" to use radio, it is not a
: "badge of morality".
:
:

945. Re: Wheres TIT???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 10:18:04 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211150218.700f7798@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55085

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021114205820.25072.00004914@mb-dh.aol.com>...
> >She's trying to find somebody with a wide enough lens.
> >
> >
>
> Do they make them that BIG?

Nikon Nikkor 8mm 180 degree fisheye lens is one possibility. A tad
pricey and ya would have to stand back ten yards but maybe . . .

946. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 11:51:35 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021115065135.06308.00000522@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 55087

In article <aquve8$l3q$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> writes:

>Minimal math? Dang.....I needed a slide rule when I took mine.
>
There were no more than three questions involving any sort of semi-serious
math. Most could be solved by inspection of the four answers provided. Ignoring
them still left 47 other questions, and you need 37 to pass. Pure random
guessing would be right 25% of the time, too.

Go to one of the online practice sites and try one, Dan. You'll surprise
yourself.

73 de Jim, N2EY

947. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 11:51:36 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021115065136.06308.00000523@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 55086

In article <3DD46F5E.267F6856@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Any ham
>> who can only communicate by talking is going to run out of communications
>> capability under a lot of real-world emergency conditions, whereas a
>> CW-proficient ham will be able to keep on going.
>
>Name one situation in the last five years where cw was the only
>mode available in a real world emergency condition.
>
Here are three:

On December 31, 1997, the crew of the freighter MV Oak was rescued after an SOS call on 500 kHz. This was on the last day of that all ships had to carry CW/Morse equipment. 26 were rescued. It's not ham radio, and today such a rescue would be effected by other radio systems. But it was less than 5 years ago.

During the ice storms here in the Northeast a few winters back (1998-99?), power and communications were disrupted across much of Quebec, Ontario and upper New York state. Hams used CW/Morse extensively when SSB would not get through due to poor propagation, limited power and makeshift antennas.

K0HB has posted that during the Red River flood a few springs back, hams used Morse/CW when SSB would not get through.

Would PSK31 or other data modes have worked in the above cases? Maybe - but they were not available when needed.

Does any of this absolutely prove that ALL hams MUST be codetested? Of course not. But the fact remains that Morse/CW has been used in recent emergency situations when other available modes could not do the job.

73 de Jim, N2EY

948. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 13:18:50 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211150518.2f04c9ea@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55088

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021114085717.08263.00000507@mb-cv.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Eight Minutes?
> >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> >Date: 11/14/02 7:17 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <f45722ac.0211140517.30fde75d@posting.google.com>
>
> >Jim, don't be silly. I passed the Extra exam. I've even told you
> >that right here on rrap. I can only imagine why you wouldn't remember
> >something like that? Except it doesn't open you argument well, or its
> >just another PCTA double standard.
>
> Hmmmmmm...QRZ says you're still a General. So what's the hang-up?

I took the general, advanced, and extra exams in the early 90's.

> >Advanced Bruce claims to have done it in 8 minutes, no studying, and
> >100% score. All on a whim. And took $250 away from two "CB
> >Plussers."
>
> Suuuuuuuure he did. And I ahve a bridge in New York I'd like to sell them
> too.

I'll sell him the Cuyahoga River.

949. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 13:20:13 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211150520.58f338e5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55089

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0211141737.5271fb9@posting.google.com>...
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021114085717.08263.00000507@mb-cv.aol.com>...
> > >Subject: Re: Eight Minutes?
> > >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> > >Date: 11/14/02 7:17 AM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <f45722ac.0211140517.30fde75d@posting.google.com>
>
> > >Jim, don't be silly. I passed the Extra exam. I've even told you
> > >that right here on rrap. I can only imagine why you wouldn't remember
> > >something like that? Except it doesn't open you argument well, or its
> > >just another PCTA double standard.
> >
> > Hmmmmmm...QRZ says you're still a General. So what's the hang-up?
>
> His Extra ticket got lost by by the bureau along with his T5 cards.

See my reply to Steve.

Why/How could an FCC license get lost by the Buro? I don't get it.

950. Re: Only 41 shopping days.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 13:29:46 UTC
From: Larry <Freebander@FreebandForever.net>
Message-ID: <ar2st2glq0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 55090

HA HA, I'd love to see one of the pea-brained radio
vigilantes who haunt this group flash a badge like that
in the face of some truck driver and then have the badge
fed to him down his fat greasy little vigilante throat.
"Wa WA WA WA, I saw you operating from your truck
on 10m; I'm gonna have Riley send you a letter."

Larry

"K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:Yx%A9.641$B85.67141@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> For the Radio Kop on your list.....
>
>
> http://www.westid.com/
>
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB

951. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 13:31:14 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021115083114.26172.00000001@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 55091

>I took the general, advanced, and extra exams in the early 90's.

Where did you take it at home, is that something like being half pregant

.>I'll sell him the Cuyahoga River.
>

I will call the 2 CBplussers and tell them you have it For Sale, maybe they
will buy it.

952. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 13:34:43 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ar2t5j$h6a$0@208.206.142.69>
Source record: 55092

JJ wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > Any ham
> > who can only communicate by talking is going to run out of communications
> > capability under a lot of real-world emergency conditions, whereas a
> > CW-proficient ham will be able to keep on going.
>
> Name one situation in the last five years where cw was the only
> mode available in a real world emergency condition.

JJ, If you really wanted to know this you could have already researched it in Google and got more than you asked for. Since you have not, and clearly do not wish to do so, it is more than clear that you are just using this standard wave-of-the-hand dismissal of code as a viable and potentially ONLY means of communications.

It was only a week or so ago that Hans, K0HB, who wants to do away with all code testing, noted in a post here on rrap that CW was used in the flood emergencies a couple years ago in the Dakotas when poor HF propagation and noise conditions made SSB unusable. If you had been paying attention you'd already know of this post, and now you must find it yourself if you want your question answered.

Also, on the very last day of Maritime CW activity on 500 khz -about 5 years ago- a ship in distress near England used CW to call for help. That's in the archives also. So your refusal to acknowledge the facts -which are available to you as well as the rest of us- tells us a lot about you. And it ain't pretty.

953. Re: GMRS over-the-counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 13:38:46 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021115083846.01065.00005694@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 55093

>Subject: Re: GMRS over-the-counter
>From: "Bill Sohl" billsohl@mindspring.com
>Date: 11/14/02 7:14 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <ar1hsn$pj9$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>

>> It isn't illegal to own a GMRS radio, or a ham radio for that matter,
>> whether you have a license or not.
>
>Agreed.
>
>> Which brings up the next logical question..... "What's your point,
>Bill?"
>
>Just seems to be the same path that the ultimate downfall
>of CB as a licensed service followed.
>
>Time will tell.

It's already telling, Bill. 151.82 and 151.88mHz (MURS) are aleady active with 18 wheeler traffic. Again, I live a considerable distance from the Interstate, and I think we can rest-assured that they are NOT using "legal" MURS gear.

FRS channels are not that acitve in this area yet, but much of what I hear is profanity and child's play. Why did wee throw 14 valuable UHF channels away for toys?

GMRS frequencies are becoming more active with the sale of GMRS HT's at Wal-Mart, but I never hear callsigns...Of course with the traffic I hear, I don't think they'd want anyone to kow who they are. The local PD is also using them for "talk-around".

73

Steve, K4YZ

954. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 13:41:08 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211150541.5f156fdf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55094

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20021114130520.08569.00000012@mb-da.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Eight Minutes?
> >From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
> >Date: 11/14/02 8:57 AM Eastern Standard Time
> >Message-id: <20021114085717.08263.00000507@mb-cv.aol.com>
> >
> >>Subject: Re: Eight Minutes?
> >>From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> >>Date: 11/14/02 7:17 AM Central Standard Time
> >>Message-id: <f45722ac.0211140517.30fde75d@posting.google.com>
>
> >>Jim, don't be silly. I passed the Extra exam. I've even told you
> >>that right here on rrap. I can only imagine why you wouldn't remember
> >>something like that? Except it doesn't open you argument well, or its
> >>just another PCTA double standard.
> >
> > Hmmmmmm...QRZ says you're still a General. So what's the hang-up?
> >
> >>Advanced Bruce claims to have done it in 8 minutes, no studying, and
> >>100% score. All on a whim. And took $250 away from two "CB
> >>Plussers."
> >
> > Suuuuuuuure he did. And I ahve a bridge in New York I'd like to sell
> >them
> >too.
> >
> Let's look at this logically, shall we?
>
> First off, the test in question is the current Extra written test, Element 4.
> 50 multiple choice questions, you need 37 correct to pass.
>
> WA8ULX claims to have taken it in 8 minutes, gotten 100% and collected some bet
> money. FCC database says he is an Extra, and his license was last modified 30
> June 2000.
>
> I was able to get a 94% grade on a practice test in seven minutes, so it IS
> possible for someone with some radio knowledge to pass Element 4 in a short
> time period.

That's 9.6 seconds per question, reading the question, doing the math,
reading the answers, and selecting the right answer EVERY time. On a
Lark, without studying.

Bruce is Rain Man. Wonder how he does with the ponies?

> I wasn't at WA8ULX's VE session back in 2000, but there is nothing impossible
> or contradictory in his claim. His frequent spelling and grammatical mistakes
> in postings, and his rather raw way of expressing himself here have little
> bearing on his ability to pass the Extra written. The FCC tests for amateur
> radio licenses do not test expressive language, spelling or grammar skills.
>
> N0IMD claims to have "passed the Extra test", but the FCC database says he
> holds a General class license, last modified 23 January 2002. So if he has
> indeed passed Element 4, it has not been processed.

Correct. I passed the General, the Advanced, and the Extra in the
early 90's. Without an accompanying Farnsworth/Morse CSCE, the
upgrade is not processed.

But I've gone over this with you before.

> There is no contradiction in WA8ULX's claims,

Except that Bruce in an apparent functional illiterate.

but there IS an apparent
> contradiction in N0IMD's claims. Why should a reasonable, unbiased person
> reject the claims of WA8ULX and accept the claim of N0IMD, given the FCC
> database and other information?
>
> If N0IMD is referring to old Element 4B, that is not the test under discussion
> in this thread. And there is no direct external evidence that N0IMD ever passed
> that element.

Yes, element 4b. So should DICK, while resting on his laurels, when
refering to the Extra exam that he passed, do I get to tell him its
not the Extra exam of today and therefore is not valid to the
discussion?

> If anyone is "being silly", it's not me. If N0IMD has actually passed the test
> under discussion (Element 4), the FCC database should reflect it.

You're being silly by claiming that the advanced and the extra, today
combined, are not equivalent to today's extra.

Yes or No?

And Bruce is being silly by non-stop badmouthing people who have
entered the ARS under different licensing requirement than he, to then
get himself to Extra and still badmouth the newcomers.

Yes or No?

> Before April 15, 2000, "the Extra test" included both a 20 wpm code test (or
> waiver), and old Element 4B.

The 20wpm is administered as a separate exam. At least I was able to
take the written separately. I passed the Advanced and the Extra
written elements.

> So here's a straight question:
>
> Has N0IMD passed Element 4 at a VE session?
>
> Yes or no?

No, not the latest version of Extra. Has DICK?

955. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 13:42:02 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211150542.45fe10b7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55095

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021114190428.02664.00003740@mb-dd.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Eight Minutes?
> >From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> >Date: 11/14/02 12:05 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <20021114130520.08569.00000012@mb-da.aol.com>
>
> >So here's a straight question:
> >
> >Has N0IMD passed Element 4 at a VE session?
> >
> >Yes or no?
>
> It's probably filed away with his T5 authorization and logs.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

My T5 permission was verbal. It cannot be filed.

956. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 13:47:09 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ar2tstjnb0@208.206.142.69>
Source record: 55096

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3DD46F5E.267F6856@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
> writes:
>
> >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >> Any ham
> >> who can only communicate by talking is going to run out of communications
> >> capability under a lot of real-world emergency conditions, whereas a
> >> CW-proficient ham will be able to keep on going.
> >
> >Name one situation in the last five years where cw was the only
> >mode available in a real world emergency condition.
> >
> Here are three:
>
> On December 31, 1997, the crew of the freighter MV Oak was rescued after an SOS
> call on 500 kHz. This was on the last day of that all ships had to carry
> CW/Morse equipment. 26 were rescued. It's not ham radio, and today such a
> rescue would be effected by other radio systems. But it was less than 5 years
> ago.
>
> During the ice storms here in the Northeast a few winters back (1998-99?),
> power and communications were disrupted across much of Quebec, Ontario and
> upper New York state. Hams used CW/Morse extensively when SSB would not get
> through due to poor propagation, limited power and makeshift antennas.
>
> K0HB has posted that during the Red River flood a few springs back, hams used
> Morse/CW when SSB would not get through.
>
> Would PSK31 or other data modes have worked in the above cases? Maybe - but
> they were not available when needed.
>
> Does any of this absolutely prove that ALL hams MUST be codetested? Of course
> not. But the fact remains that Morse/CW has been used in recent emergency
> situations when other available modes could not do the job.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Dang, Jim, ya did his research for him. But I bet you didn't change his
mindset.

957. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 13:50:47 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211150550.2da2f975@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55097

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021113170911.11232.00004817@mb-fe.aol.com>...
> >The odd thing is that it is disputed by someone who has not passed said test.
> >
>
>
> There lies the problem, Bryan cant do it any probably 2 hours.
>
> >To make it more of a sporting course I did not use a calculator, slide rule
> >or
> >pencil/pen/paper. Did what little math was required in my head.
> >
> >With absolutely no preparation, I scored 94% in an elapsed time of seven
> >minutes. And learned a few things about the rules for earth stations and
> >RACES.
> >
> >So it can be done.
> >
> >73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
>
> Of course you can, and anyone else can also. I did not use a Calculator or
> anything for the Math either, actually it would have probably slowed me down.
> I do have to say you were faster than me, maybe if you had used the other
> minute you also would have scored 100%.
> As I have stated before the Written is a Joke, and once the CW Test is gone
> the written should follow, it really serves no purpose.

Lets see. 50 questions in 8 minutes (480 seconds) comes to 9.6
seconds per question. Jim did it in 7 minutes (420 seconds) which is
8.4 seconds per question. Missed three.

You're right! He should have slowed down and gotten 100%. No point
in rushing an exam when there is no payoff in either an upgrade or a
pot of "CB-Plusser" money.

Hi!

958. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 13:52:17 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ar2tut028g5@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55204

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021115004844.25072.00004976@mb-dh.aol.com...
> >"grabbing ears" and making people "squeal like a
> >pig."
>
> Do you want to squeal like a Pig?

More homosexual comments...

You know, Bruce, you don't have to be ashamed of being gay, so why hide
behind such remarks?

959. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 13:53:34 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021115085334.26007.00000005@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 55099

>That's 9.6 seconds per question, reading the question, doing the math,
>reading the answers, and selecting the right answer EVERY time. On a
>Lark, without studying.

You dont have to believe it, doesnt matter to me, but others here have taken the same test on line and have beaten my time. So I guess eveyone here is lying except you. I think the real problem is you cant pass it, so you are trying to come up with another reason why testing is un fair to you.
It took your great NCI leader over a year to pass it, and as he had said many times it was the CW that was his down fall, yea right, so whats your excusse now?

960. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 13:54:18 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211150554.77e5f88d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55098

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<aqq27quts1@208.206.142.89>...
> WA8ULX wrote:
> >
> > >If I were in a net where I heard
> > >Larry sign on, I would expect that net to quickly degrade. So, I would not
> > >welcome his involvement.
> > >
> > >Kim W5TIT
> > >
> >
> > What you would prefer would be 10-4s and other stupidity from your CBplusser
> > Buddies. By the way what is your CB Handle, is it Big TIT or Little TIT?
>
>
> Tipsy Titsy?

I used to think you guys always went straight for the jugular.

Now it straight to the jug, or should I say jugs. ;)

961. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 13:57:09 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211150557.4db2ecee@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55100

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021114091033.06642.00000623@mb-fi.aol.com>...
> >If I had bought my license, don't you think I'd have a brand spankin
> >new Extra like you?
> >
> >73, Brian
>
> No you probably couldnt affort it.

True, I don't spend my days trying to outwit "CB-Plussers." Extra's
are more of a challenge, till you upgraded, anyway.

962. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 13:58:03 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021115085803.26007.00000006@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 55201

>Correct. I passed the General, the Advanced, and the Extra in the
>early 90's. Without an accompanying Farnsworth/Morse CSCE, the
>upgrade is not processed.

So in other words you didnt take the whole test you just wimped out

963. Re: GMRS over-the-counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 13:58:52 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021115085852.01065.00005699@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 55202

>Subject: Re: GMRS over-the-counter
>From: Robert Casey wa2ise@ix.netcom.com
>Date: 11/14/02 8:58 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3DD462F3.E0CCB8AC@ix.netcom.com>

>Misuse of GMRS radios is more equivalent to someone doing 60 on
>an interstate posted for 55 on a day with good weather. It's more
>like being a tattletale in grammar school than telling the police about
>an emergency involving life and property danger.

Apathy is apathy, Robert, regardless of wether we are discussing speeding
or violating FCC R & R's.

I grew up throught the first CB boondoggle, and it appears the current
crop of Commissioners is ripe to do it again.

Along with manufacturers anxious to sell products regardless of wether
they will be used appropriatley, there are those who compound the problem with
their "it ain't my problem" attitudes.

At first the CB problem was just the occasional user exceeding thier 5
minute limit or using the wrong channel for diffrent licensee contacts. DXing
and avoiding the use of legally issued callsigns followed with Cycle 18 and the
proliferation of linears.

Now it's an international problem. It's worst from 26 to 28mHz, but there
are now rampant abuses throughout the spectrum. "Legal" users throughout the
world are either denied access or must tolerate severe QRM almost 24/7.

Had this been nipped in the bud, that band might still be available for
productive LMR use. Assignment to a minimally regulated radio service was
stupid from the start...Not enforcing the regulations that WERE in force at the
time was worse.

If we drop the hammer from the git-go with enforcement actions (and make
the widest dissemination of those actions) it is the best possilbe thing that
could happen if the "new" services are to really flourish.

Failing to enforce the law means we all suffer eventually. Failing to
report infractions that we are aware of (and have reasonably accurate evidence
of) means that we cut our own throats eventually.

Case in point (and close to home): Federal prohibitions on linear
amplifiers that can operate between 24-35mHz...That was not imposed becasue of
anything we (Amateurs) did...it was to curb their illegal use by CBers.

Stick your head in the sand if you care to, Robert, but how long until the
"wide band" radios we enjoy now become a thing of the past due to "freebanding"

abuses?

Don't think they'll do it? Congress is great for knee jerk reactions. Remember Newt Gingrich and the tape recorded cellphone call? That ONE misuse of a scanner led to the implementation of the Electronic Communicaiotns Privacy Act, and the reason we can't buy a "full coverage" scanner.

73

Steve, K4YZ

964. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 14:05:00 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021115090500.26007.00000007@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 55203

>My T5 permission was verbal. It cannot be filed.

Isnt it woderfull how none of his feats can be proved.
By the way Brian I took Commercial Pilots Exam, I passed the written, but can you believe those fools wanted me to prove I could actually FLY an Airplane, I tried to convince them I was never going to fly one, I just wanted the License, and those fools still wouldnt give me one. Maybe I should have formed "No Fly International" and then Whinned to the FAA.

965. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 14:09:41 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021115090941.26007.00000008@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 55205

>You know, Bruce, you don't have to be ashamed of being gay, so why hide
>behind such remarks?
>

So does that mean you want to Squeal like a Pig, I bet you have a lot of times,
come on show us how you squeal

966. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 14:14:42 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021115091442.01065.00005703@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 55207

>Subject: Re: Eight Minutes?
>From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
>Date: 11/15/02 7:41 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <f45722ac.0211150541.5f156fdf@posting.google.com>

>Correct. I passed the General, the Advanced, and the Extra in the
>early 90's. Without an accompanying Farnsworth/Morse CSCE, the
>upgrade is not processed.

Just who in the heck do you think believes THIS crap, Brian...?!?!

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! !

>But I've gone over this with you before.

And you were wrong if you asserted that you had to send a CSCE for a test
you previously passed and already had a license issued for.

>You're being silly by claiming that the advanced and the extra, today
>combined, are not equivalent to today's extra.
>
>Yes or No?

No, they are not. New licensee's are NOT requied to pass Element 4A
anymore.

If you already had a General you already ahd "evidence" of having passed
the code test.

Sheesh.

967. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 14:15:09 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211150615.3cb5901f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55206

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3DD48ED9.5C8D1EE1@earthlink.net>...
> Robert Casey wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > Q What do you call a person who speaks only one language?
> > >
> > > A An American
> > >
> >
> > Well, there's no obvious choice of a second language. In
> > school we were offered Spanish or French. Spanish
> > isn't at all useful unless you need to talk to the landscaper,
> > and the French hate Americans anyway..... :-)
>
> I took a year of French but took two years of Latin. I've been trying
> to find a native speaker for the past thirty-five years. ;-o
>
> Dave K8MN

Attend Mass. At least they used to say it in Latin, typically with an
Irish or Polish accent. ;)

968. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 14:15:40 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021115091540.01065.00005704@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 55208

>Subject: Re: Eight Minutes?
>From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
>Date: 11/15/02 7:42 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <f45722ac.0211150542.45fe10b7@posting.google.com>

>My T5 permission was verbal. It cannot be filed.

Then it was not legal. Period.

73

Steve, K4YZ

969. Re: Only 41 shopping days.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 14:16:56 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211150616.69f11097@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55209

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021115004528.25072.00004975@mb-dh.aol.com>...
> >For the Radio Kop on your list.......
> >
> >
> > http://www.westid.com/
> >
>
> Oh no shouldnt have posted that, now every CBplusser will have to have one.

That might dilute your prestige if they have one, too.

970. Re: Only 41 shopping days.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 14:40:44 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021115094044.01574.00000995@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 55210

>That might dilute your prestige if they have one, too.
>

Now come on Brian tell the truth, you are probably buying a bunch of them for all your CBplusser Buddies for Christmas. I can hear it now, see all of us CBplussers have Badges we are better than you real Hams and we can prove it, see our Badges.

971. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 14:50:15 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <HQ7B9.8020$vM1.523459@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 55211

"WA8ULX" posted:

> ...Oh w5TIT I will be in your area for a few days, look forward to
> working you on 1 of the 2 Meter CBChannels.

You don't suppose he's stalking her, do you?

972. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 14:55:09 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ar31sd$sp6$0@208.207.71.164>
Source record: 55212

Brian wrote:
>
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021114085717.08263.00000507@mb-cv.aol.com>...
> > >Subject: Re: Eight Minutes?
> > >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> > >Date: 11/14/02 7:17 AM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <f45722ac.0211140517.30fde75d@posting.google.com>
> >
> > >Jim, don't be silly. I passed the Extra exam. I've even told you
> > >that right here on rrap. I can only imagine why you wouldn't remember
> > >something like that? Except it doesn't open you argument well, or its
> > >just another PCTA double standard.
> >
> > Hmmmmmm...QRZ says you're still a General. So what's the hang-up?
>
> I took the general, advanced, and extra exams in the early 90's.
>

No. What you took was PART of the exams. The written part. If you had
taken the exams, you'd be an Extra. You are not.

973. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 15:08:24 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021115100824.26172.00000005@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 55213

>You don't suppose he's stalking her, do you?
>

Give me a Break. Im not desperate

974. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 15:14:04 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021115101404.01045.00005701@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 55214

>Subject: Re: Well Guys its been fun
>From: "K7JEB" k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net
>Date: 11/15/02 8:50 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <HQ7B9.8020$vM1.523459@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>

>You don't suppose he's stalking her, do you

Considering her State of residence and the propensity of a great many
Texans to carry firearms of great and many calibre, I hope for his sake he has
better things to do.

Anyway, considering who we're talking about, she'll smell him coming a
long way's off! More than enough time to make a strategic relocation!

73

Steve, K4YZ

975. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 15:16:31 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021115101631.01045.00005702@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 55215

>Subject: Re: Eight Minutes?
>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>Date: 11/15/02 8:55 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <ar31sd$sp6$0@208.207.71.164>

>> I took the general, advanced, and extra exams in the early 90's.
>>
>
>
>No. What you took was PART of the exams. The written part. If you had
>taken the exams, you'd be an Extra. You are not.

He'd be an Advanced, anyway, since the Code Test for Extra then was 20WPM.
He would have had credit for 13WPM for the Advanced.

Bottom line is..."Where's the beef...?" It's oviously NOT in his wallet!

73

Steve, K4YZ

976. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 15:17:59 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021115101759.01045.00005703@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 55216

>Subject: Re: Well Guys its been fun
>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>Date: 11/15/02 9:08 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021115100824.26172.00000005@mb-fi.aol.com>

>Give me a Break. Im not desperate

But you ARE incredibly stupid.

977. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 15:19:35 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021115101935.20074.00000004@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 55217

>Anyway, considering who we're talking about, she'll smell him coming a
>long way's off!

Steve are you going there. By the way guys, I am not going in her direction, im actually going to the Tenn

978. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 15:56:08 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ar35n2$8op$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55218

No Bruce and they never will get it. With her convuluted thinking process
its no wonder she is no longer in the AF.

Dan/W4NTI

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021114210042.25072.00004915@mb-dh.aol.com...
> >I've
> >never complained once about my being an licensed radio amateur, and I
never
> >complained about the process.
>
> Of course you didnt, it was a Free Handout. By the way where are the
Pictures?

979. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 16:03:17 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ar35rl$217$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55219

Could be....glad to hear I recalled that wrong. Makes me think better of the AF now. So tell me TWIT are you the product of the military school system?

Dan/W4NTI

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message news:ut8k6u9uud3a15@corp.supernews.com...

> You're either very mistaken or full of lies on that one, Dan. I have never
> said anything about me being in the military because I've never been in the
> military. I was raised a military brat--but never joined.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

980. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 16:10:49 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ar36a1bei1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55220

Thats another MAJOR CHANGE that shows how the exam proceedures have been downgraded.

When you took the test at the FCC office you had ONE CHANCE. If you failed you took it again. THE WHOLE THING. The next time you traveled to the FCC office.

No such thing as code credit or partial exam credit.

Incentive licensing to the max.

Dan/W4NTI

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:ar31sd$sp6$0@208.207.71.164...

> No. What you took was PART of the exams. The written part. If you had
> taken the exams, you'd be an Extra. You are not.

981. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?//Here is the list of equipment that does NOT have FCC approval

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 16:23:58 UTC
From: Rick Adams <adamsr@NOSPAM.voyager.net>
Message-ID: <45D3CD477E2D6D31.1B711B1EB2DDE1E0.4052E07084F9E8FE@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 55221
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

```
On Thu, 14 Nov 2002 13:33:21 -0500, "Jerry Oxendine"
<joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>
>Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
>news:pHRA9.16330$wO4.5744@news.bellsouth.net...
>>
>> tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>> news:SYOA9.193$pi7.34603@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>> > So..... you think that a "CB" shop cannot sell and display Ham gear?
>> What
>> > law do you think was being broken? Reality Check indeed.............
>
>
>
>This is the "law" that is broken by the sale of uncertified/approved
>equipment.
>
>It comes direct from FCC's web site.
>
>
>-------------------------------------------------------------------------
>The
>transceivers listed herein and other similar models operate in the amateur
>"10-meter band" and are often referred to as "10-meter" radios or "export"
>radios. The amateur 10-meter band uses frequencies that are very close to
>the channels set aside for use in the CB service. Some of the transceivers
>that manufacturers call "10-meter" radios either operate on CB frequencies
>as manufactured and imported or are designed such that internal circuits can
>readily be activated by a user, a service technician or a dealer to operate
>on CB frequencies. According to Section 95.603(c) of the Commission's rules,
>a CB transmitter is a transmitter that operates or is intended to operate at
>a station authorized for the CB service. 47C.F.R. ï¿½ 95.603(c). The
>Commission's equipment authorization experts in the FCC Laboratory have
>determined that the transceivers listed herein and other similar models at
>issue here are intended for use on the CB frequencies as well as those in
>the amateur service because they have built-in capability to operate on CB
>frequencies. This capability can be readily activated by moving or removing
>a jumper plug, cutting or splicing a wire, plugging in a connector, or other
>simple means. Thus, all the transceivers listed herein and similar models
>fall within the definition of a CB transmitter. See 47C.F.R. ï¿½ 95.603(c).

Problem . . .
```

Most Yaesu transceivers can be easily modified (often with a simple change effected through the menuing system, as is the case with the FT-920) to transmit on CB frequencies (and, in most cases, on other unauthorized bands as well). This is, of course, true of most (if not all) brands of Transceivers.

By the definition cited above _all_ such transceivers would be treated as illegal for marketing in the United States. Is there a rationale (in the law itself) for including only transceivers limited to 10m operations (which, of course, many _legitimate_ amateur transceivers are designed for) or is this an arbitrary application of a regulation that, technically, should include all transceivers capable of such modification?

I'm _not_ supporting the use of 10m rigs for CB use, obviously. But I do question the legitimacy of limiting the sales of radios that _are_ designed for amateur use (and are often far less expensive than comparable "approved" 10m rigs) on a basis that by any logic can and should be applied to _all_ transceivers capable of similar modifications.

Can anyone clarify this one?

73 de KE8HH, Rick

--

Rick Adams--KE8HH
adamsr@NOSPAM.voyager.net

"... and the only measure of your worth and your deeds will be the love you leave behind when you're gone."

Fred Small, J.D., "Everything Possible"

982. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 16:58:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DD5277C.5C958300@earthlink.net>
Source record: 55222

Brian wrote:
>
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021114085717.08263.00000507@mb-cv.aol.com>...
> > >Subject: Re: Eight Minutes?
> > >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> > >Date: 11/14/02 7:17 AM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <f45722ac.0211140517.30fde75d@posting.google.com>
> >
> > >Jim, don't be silly. I passed the Extra exam. I've even told you
> > >that right here on rrap. I can only imagine why you wouldn't remember
> > >something like that? Except it doesn't open you argument well, or its
> > >just another PCTA double standard.
> >
> > Hmmmmmm...QRZ says you're still a General. So what's the hang-up?
>
> I took the general, advanced, and extra exams in the early 90's.

Taking exams and passing exams are two very different things. In the
early '90s, passing the Extra meant passing a 20 wpm morse test. Did
you do that too? If not, you didn't "pass the Extra".

Dave K8MN

983. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 17:02:54 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DD52890.D8CDB172@earthlink.net>
Source record: 55223

Brian wrote:
>
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021114190428.02664.00003740@mb-dd.aol.com>...
> > >Subject: Re: Eight Minutes?
> > >From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> > >Date: 11/14/02 12:05 PM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <20021114130520.08569.00000012@mb-da.aol.com>
> >
> > >So here's a straight question:
> > >
> > >Has N0IMD passed Element 4 at a VE session?
> > >
> > >Yes or no?
> >
> > It's probably filed away with his T5 authorization and logs.
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> My T5 permission was verbal. It cannot be filed.

No kidding? Then it can't be seen or verified either. It is just
written on the wind.

Dave K8MN

984. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 17:13:57 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0211150913.32e363be@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55224

vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) wrote in message
news:<20021113220019.16718.00000438@mb-cq.aol.com>...
> From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
> >As I have stated before the Written is a Joke, and once the CW Test is gone
> >the written should follow, it really serves no purpose.
>
> I don't feel CW should be mandatory.

CW isn't mandatory. US hams aren't required to use it on the air at
all. And it's been possible to "get into" US amateur radio (get an FCC
license) without a code test for the past 11-1/2 years.

> Certainly the technical license classes
> should not be tied to learning CW.

What do you mean by "technical license classes"?
>
> Anyone can pass any license class in a day or two of memorizing the license
> pool questions.

I'm sure there are people who would have a very hard time memorizing
the license pool questions in a day or two.

> The subject material is very good and relevant - IF one learns
> the material.

Agreed.

But the technical aspects of the test are not mandatory, just as CW is
not mandatory. US hams are not required to build anything, nor to
experiment, nor to actually use most of what's on the written test.
Yet passing the written test is mandatory for all classes of license.

If someone says there should be no code test because code use is not
required, then by the same logic the technical aspects of the written
test should be removed because their use is not required.

As to relevancy, almost any survey of hams will show that more hams
use CW/Morse (on HF/MF anyway) than build their own radios. So it
logically follows that CW/Morse skill is "more relevant" to HF/MF
amateur radio than technical skill and knowledge. Of course, there's
no reason that a ham can't have it both ways - technical skill and
operating skill are not contradictory.

> The test and license preparation classes should be changed to learn the
> material, not just memorize the answers.

Have you ever taken such a class? I haven't - my license test preparation was all self-study. The classes I know of try to teach the material, not the test. But with public question pools, their success is limited.

Tell us how the written tests can be changed so that simple memorization won't work.

73 de Jim, N2EY

985. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 17:19:29 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0211150919.734d4813@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55225

"K HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:<TsbA9.992$Ta6.98079@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> I'm not sure why her

[Kim]

>'looks' have anything to do with rrap, but I remember
> she looked like your typical "lady down the street" .... just sort of
> 'regular'.
>
> ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB

If people are going to be judged on appearance, then a look at various bios and photos can be quite interesting and illuminating. Worth a quick surf over to qrz.com, anyway. The "pot-kettle" saying comes to mind....

73 de Jim, N2EY

986. Re: Do Truckers use 10 meters ?//Here is the list of equipment that does NOT have FCC approval

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 17:21:44 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <A4aB9.120$%j3.79@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 55226
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Rick Adams <adamsr@NOSPAM.voyager.net> wrote in message
news:45D3CD477E2D6D31.1B711B1EB2DDE1E0.4052E07084F9E8FE@lp.airnews.net...
> On Thu, 14 Nov 2002 13:33:21 -0500, "Jerry Oxendine"
> <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote:
>
> > >
> > >Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message
> > >news:pHRA9.16330$wO4.5744@news.bellsouth.net...
> > >>
> > >> tools <medtools@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > >> news:SYOA9.193$pi7.34603@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > >> > So..... you think that a "CB" shop cannot sell and display Ham
gear?
> > >> What
> > >> > law do you think was being broken? Reality Check indeed.....
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >This is the "law" that is broken by the sale of uncertified/approved
> > >equipment.
> > >
> > >It comes direct from FCC's web site.
> > >
> > >
>
>--
-
> >The
> > >transceivers listed herein and other similar models operate in the
amateur
> > >"10-meter band" and are often referred to as "10-meter" radios or
"export"
> > >radios. The amateur 10-meter band uses frequencies that are very close to
> > >the channels set aside for use in the CB service. Some of the
transceivers
> > >that manufacturers call "10-meter" radios either operate on CB
frequencies
> > >as manufactured and imported or are designed such that internal circuits
can
> > >readily be activated by a user, a service technician or a dealer to
operate

> >on CB frequencies. According to Section 95.603(c) of the Commission's
rules,
> >a CB transmitter is a transmitter that operates or is intended to operate
at
> >a station authorized for the CB service. 47C.F.R. 95.603(c). The
> >Commission's equipment authorization experts in the FCC Laboratory have
> >determined that the transceivers listed herein and other similar models
at
> >issue here are intended for use on the CB frequencies as well as those in
> >the amateur service because they have built-in capability to operate on
CB
> >frequencies. This capability can be readily activated by moving or
removing
> >a jumper plug, cutting or splicing a wire, plugging in a connector, or
other
> >simple means. Thus, all the transceivers listed herein and similar models
> >fall within the definition of a CB transmitter. See 47C.F.R. 95.603(c).
>
> Problem . . .
>
> Most Yaesu transceivers can be easily modified (often with a
> simple change effected through the menuing system, as is the case with
> the FT-920) to transmit on CB frequencies (and, in most cases, on
> other unauthorized bands as well). This is, of course, true of most
> (if not all) brands of Transceivers.
>
> By the definition cited above _all_ such transceivers would be
> treated as illegal for marketing in the United States. Is there a
> rationale (in the law itself) for including only transceivers limited
> to 10m operations (which, of course, many _legitimate_ amateur
> transceivers are designed for) or is this an arbitrary application of
> a regulation that, technically, should include all transceivers
> capable of such modification?
>
> I'm _not_ supporting the use of 10m rigs for CB use,
> obviously. But I do question the legitimacy of limiting the sales of
> radios that _are_ designed for amateur use (and are often far less
> expensive than comparable "approved" 10m rigs) on a basis that by any
> logic can and should be applied to _all_ transceivers capable of
> similar modifications.
>
> Can anyone clarify this one?
>
> 73 de KE8HH, Rick
>
> --
>
> Rick Adams--KE8HH
> adamsr@NOSPAM.voyager.net

I am not even sure that multi-band transceivers COULD be completely stopped from being modi-
fied lest it hinder the multi-band operation itself. I don't know. It is clear, however, to me that the
single-band, 10 Meter rigs on the list are there for one reason; that being to try (not successfully) to
limit dual-use transceivers and to stop their being used by illegals on the ham bands. One thing that
may be a legal plus if the "list" were challenged is that most of these radios have "band" switches.
If a radio is a so-called "10 Meter" radio, then it implies ONE band. If so, it has no need for "band" switches and, therefore, does not meet criteria for a single-band, 10 Meter radio. And we
all know what those radios are REALLY for (wink, wink), right?

73

Jerry
>
> "... and the only measure of your worth and your deeds
> will be the love you leave behind when you're gone."
>
> Fred Small, J.D., "Everything Possible"

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.391 / Virus Database: 222 - Release Date: 9/22/02

987. Re: Only 41 shopping days.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 18:05:32 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DD5376C.4F621739@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55230

Larry wrote:
>
> HA HA, I'd love to see one of the pea-brained radio
> vigilantes who haunt this group flash a badge like that
> in the face of some truck driver and then have the badge
> fed to him down his fat greasy little vigilante throat.
> "Wa WA WA WA, I saw you operating from your truck
> on 10m; I'm gonna have Riley send you a letter."
>
> Larry

Go back to the children's band with the rest of the children. Come
back here when you grow up.

988. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 18:09:07 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DD53843.2C38D85D@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55231

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> Also, on the very last day of Maritime CW activity on 500 khz -about 5
> years ago- a ship in distress near England used CW to call for help.
> That's in the archives also. So your refusal to acknowledge the facts
> -which are available to you as well as the rest of us- tells us a lot
> about you. And it ain't pretty.

And even the military and maritime service has abandoned cw. The
only thing that "aint pretty" here is you unless someone thinks
your face that looks like an ass is pretty.

989. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 18:37:15 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <v9bB9.1393$B85.157742@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 55227

--
&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
:
: I took a year of French but took two years of Latin. I've been trying
: to find a native speaker for the past thirty-five years. ;-o
:

"I was recently on a tour of Latin America, and the only regret
I have was that I didn't study Latin harder in school so I could
converse with those people."

-- Dan Quayle, Vice President of the United States
of America during the reign of George Bush the First

990. Re: GMRS over-the-counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 18:48:21 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h5mia50.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 55228

On 15 Nov 2002 13:38:46 GMT, Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> FRS channels are not that acitve in this area yet, but much of
>what I hear is profanity and child's play. Why did wee throw 14
>valuable UHF channels away for toys?

Because RadioShack and Motorola pushed the FCC to do it under a
"give the private sector whatever they ask for" administration.

Of corse, RS and the offshore crapifiers muscled The Big Em
out of the field, so enter MURS stage right.....

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

991. Re: GMRS over-the-counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 18:48:21 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h5mj351.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 55229

On 15 Nov 2002 13:58:52 GMT, Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

>
>If we drop the hammer from the git-go with enforcement actions
>(and make the widest dissemination of those actions) it is the best
>possilbe thing that could happen if the "new" services are to really
>flourish.

Those of us who believed in that are mostly retired by now. Those
who remain have been sadly excluded from high level policymaking
positions.

>Don't think they'll do it? Congress is great for knee jerk reactions.

Especially when the subject is radio communications and the regulation
thereof.

>Remember Newt Gingrich and the tape recorded cellphone call? That
>ONE misuse of a scanner led to the implementation of the Electronic
>Communicaiotns Privacy Act, and the reason we can't buy a "full
>coverage" scanner.

Sorry, Steve, that event was well after the ECPA was enacted and the
FCC in turn was required to ban "general coverage: 800 Mhz scanners.
These were instigated by the cellphone protection and privacy lobbies.

The only fallout of that Gingrich affair was the prosecution of the
media (radio ?) for releasing the intercept, and the court found
them not guilty under the Ellsberg/Mew York Times third-party-release
theory.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

992. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 20:32:37 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ar3ll5$93l$0@208.206.142.109>
Source record: 55232

K7JEB wrote:
>
> "WA8ULX" posted:
>
> > ...Oh w5TIT I will be in your area for a few days, look forward to
> > working you on 1 of the 2 Meter CBChannels.
>
> You don't suppose he's stalking her, do you?

If he is he's hard up for something to stalk!

993. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 20:45:17 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ar3mctatt0@208.206.142.109>
Source record: 55233

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021114190428.02664.00003740@mb-dd.aol.com>...
> > > >Subject: Re: Eight Minutes?
> > > >From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> > > >Date: 11/14/02 12:05 PM Central Standard Time
> > > >Message-id: <20021114130520.08569.00000012@mb-da.aol.com>
> > >
> > > >So here's a straight question:
> > > >
> > > >Has N0IMD passed Element 4 at a VE session?
> > > >
> > > >Yes or no?
> > >
> > > It's probably filed away with his T5 authorization and logs.
> > >
> > > 73
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > My T5 permission was verbal. It cannot be filed.
>
> No kidding? Then it can't be seen or verified either. It is just
> written on the wind.
>

By his alleged logic he has been an Extra for all this time. Strange
though, seems there's a small matter of a piece of paper called a
license, granting PERMISSION.
Doncha love how he changes the rules to fit his whim?

994. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 21:09:09 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021115160909.13117.00000078@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 55234

>Subject: Re: Eight Minutes?
>From: "Dan/W4NTI" w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com
>Date: 11/15/02 11:10 AM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <ar36a1bei1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
>
>Thats another MAJOR CHANGE that shows how the exam proceedures have been
>downgraded.
>
>When you took the test at the FCC office you had ONE CHANCE. If you failed
>you took it again. THE WHOLE THING. The next time you traveled to the FCC
>office.

Yup. In fact, you had to pass the appropriate code tests (receiving AND sending) before they'd even let you try the written.

>
>No such thing as code credit or partial exam credit.

Exactly. And no "pay another fee, have another go" either. You could not retest until 30 days had elapsed.

And don't forget about needing two years' experience to even try the Extra.

>Incentive licensing to the max.

Those rules existed before the changes of 1968.

73 de Jim, N2EY

995. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 21:23:41 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021115162341.13117.00000079@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 55235

>Subject: Re: Eight Minutes?
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 11/15/02 9:14 AM Eastern Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021115091442.01065.00005703@mb-mk.aol.com>
>
>>Subject: Re: Eight Minutes?
>>From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
>>Date: 11/15/02 7:41 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <f45722ac.0211150541.5f156fdf@posting.google.com>
>
>>Correct. I passed the General, the Advanced, and the Extra in the
>>early 90's. Without an accompanying Farnsworth/Morse CSCE, the
>>upgrade is not processed.
>
> Just who in the heck do you think believes THIS crap, Brian...?!?!
>
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! !

Steve,

Before April 15, 2000, a person could take and pass all the writtens, yet still have a Tech license. Passing 5 wpm would only get a Tech Plus. So it's quite possible that N0IMD had a Tech Plus back then, and CSCEs for 3B, 4A, and 4B. Getting an upgrade from those CSCEs would have required 13 or 20 wpm code, OR a waiver for same.
>
>>But I've gone over this with you before.
>
> And you were wrong if you asserted that you had to send a CSCE for a
>test
>you previously passed and already had a license issued for.
>
I think you misunderstand, Steve.

>>You're being silly by claiming that the advanced and the extra, today
>>combined, are not equivalent to today's extra.
>>
>>Yes or No?
>
> No, they are not. New licensee's are NOT requied to pass Element 4A
>anymore.

That's right. The Q&A pools from old Elements 4A and 4B were combined and revised to make up new Element 4. Some questions were eliminated in the process.

Old 4A was 50 questions, old 4B was 40 questions. New 4 is 50 questions. Which is "more rigorous" or "harder to pass"? That's an opinion question. Some think having to do 2 tests totalling 90 q's is easier, others think it's harder.

> If you already had a General you already ahd "evidence" of having passed
>the code test.
>
> Sheesh.

But N0IMD was a Tech Plus before restructuring.

Whether he passed the old elements is beside the point, because the discussion is about current Element 4. There is no evidence that N0IMD has passed that test, or even tried it, yet he denies the possibility that someone else has done it in eight minutes.

Seems that N0IMD confuses the ability to pass an amateur radio exam with the ability to write posts here that are grammatically correct and well punctuated. Oddly enough, the claims of KB9RQZ (remember him?) were never so scrutinized by N0IMD.

Fascinating.

73 de Jim, N2EY

996. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 21:29:51 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0211151329.44a24a36@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55236

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:<3DD47FA4.8010507@adelphia.net>...
> N2EY wrote:
>
> > There are folks here who are quite capable of having a well-mannered, cilvil
> > discussion. Like Bill Sohl, K2UNK. He and I disagree about almost everyhting
> > wrt amateur radio testing - particularly code testing. So do many others. Yet
> > it's all done in a well-mannered fashion. One can respect him and still
> > disagree.

And Bill is not the only one like this.
>
> Yup, I agree. I don't need agreement, just a good argument, presented > in
> a good fashion.
>
http://www.duke.edu/~pms5/humor/argument.html

73 de Jim, N2EY

997. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 22:22:04 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211151422.40fff541@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55237

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021115101935.20074.00000004@mb-dh.aol.com>...
> >Anyway, considering who we're talking about, she'll smell him coming a
> >long way's off!
>
> Steve are you going there. By the way guys, I am not going in her direction, im
> actually going to the Tenn

Then why scare her and say you're coming for a visit?

998. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 22:25:31 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211151425.78463848@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55238

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021115101631.01045.00005702@mb-mk.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Eight Minutes?
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> >Date: 11/15/02 8:55 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <ar31sd$sp6$0@208.207.71.164>
>
> >> I took the general, advanced, and extra exams in the early 90's.
> >
> >No. What you took was PART of the exams. The written part. If you had
> >taken the exams, you'd be an Extra. You are not.

Never claimed I was.

> He'd be an Advanced, anyway, since the Code Test for Extra then was 20WPM.
> He would have had credit for 13WPM for the Advanced.

Steve, thanks for the vote of confidence. No, I would have been a
General had I passed the 13wpm exam in 1987. I've never progressed
beyond 9wpm.

> Bottom line is..."Where's the beef...?" It's oviously NOT in his wallet!

Yeh, that's true. I keep it nearby, though.

Brian

999. Re: GMRS over-the-counter

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 22:26:33 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021115172633.21094.00004574@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 55239

>Subject: Re: GMRS over-the-counter
>From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop
>Date: 11/15/02 12:48 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <cuvyxnansvbet.h5mj351.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>

>>Remember Newt Gingrich and the tape recorded cellphone call? That
>>ONE misuse of a scanner led to the implementation of the Electronic
>>Communicaiotns Privacy Act, and the reason we can't buy a "full
>>coverage" scanner.
>
> Sorry, Steve, that event was well after the ECPA was enacted and the
> FCC in turn was required to ban "general coverage: 800 Mhz scanners.
> These were instigated by the cellphone protection and privacy lobbies.

You're right, of course. I stand corrected. Hiowever it WAS the ECPA's
provisions under which the scanners were banned. My bust.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1000. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 22:27:51 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211151427.27d6ed0c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55240

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3DD5277C.5C958300@earthlink.net>...

> Taking exams and passing exams are two very different things. In the
> early '90s, passing the Extra meant passing a 20 wpm morse test. Did
> you do that too? If not, you didn't "pass the Extra".
>
> Dave K8MN

I -passed- the General, Advanced, and Extra writtens. Feel better?

1001. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 22:29:30 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211151429.ad52081@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55241

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<ar31sd$sp6$0@208.207.71.164>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021114085717.08263.00000507@mb-cv.aol.com>...
> > > >Subject: Re: Eight Minutes?
> > > >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> > > >Date: 11/14/02 7:17 AM Central Standard Time
> > > >Message-id: <f45722ac.0211140517.30fde75d@posting.google.com>
>
> > > >Jim, don't be silly. I passed the Extra exam. I've even told you
> > > >that right here on rrap. I can only imagine why you wouldn't remember
> > > >something like that? Except it doesn't open you argument well, or its
> > > >just another PCTA double standard.
> > >
> > > Hmmmmmm...QRZ says you're still a General. So what's the hang-up?
> >
> > I took the general, advanced, and extra exams in the early 90's.
> >
>
>
> No. What you took was PART of the exams. The written part. If you had
> taken the exams, you'd be an Extra. You are not.

Like Dave said, taking exams and passing exams are two different
things. I passed General through Extra on the written exams.

1002. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 22:31:12 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021115173112.21094.00004575@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 55242

>Subject: Re: Eight Minutes?
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 11/15/02 3:23 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021115162341.13117.00000079@mb-mb.aol.com>

>
>But N0IMD was a Tech Plus before restructuring.

Therein lies the confusion, then...

Then he never "passed" the Extra. If he took the written
"pre-restrcutruing", "passing the Extra" required passing of all written
elements AND the requisite Code test.

He obviously never did that.

>Seems that N0IMD confuses the ability to pass an amateur radio exam with the
>ability to write posts here that are grammatically correct and well
>punctuated.

Uh oh, Jim!

>Oddly enough, the claims of KB9RQZ (remember him?) were never so
>scrutinized by N0IMD.

NOW you did it!

73

Steve, K4YZ

1003. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 22:32:03 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211151432.4528a345@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55243

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021114085717.08263.00000507@mb-cv.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Eight Minutes?
> >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> >Date: 11/14/02 7:17 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <f45722ac.0211140517.30fde75d@posting.google.com>

> >Advanced Bruce claims to have done it in 8 minutes, no studying, and
> >100% score. All on a whim. And took $250 away from two "CB
> >Plussers."
>
> Suuuuuuuure he did. And I ahve a bridge in New York I'd like to sell them
> too.

Exactly.

1004. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 22:38:10 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211151438.166f067@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55244

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021115090500.26007.00000007@mb-fi.aol.com>...
> >My T5 permission was verbal. It cannot be filed.
>
> Isnt it woderfull how none of his feats can be proved.
> By the way Brian I took Commercial Pilots Exam, I passed the written, but can
> you believe those fools wanted me to prove I could actually FLY an Airplane, I
> tried to convince them I was never going to fly one, I just wanted the License,
> and those fools still wouldnt give me one. Maybe I should have formed "No Fly
> International" and then Whinned to the FAA.

Steve, they do have a "No Fly" day in China. Everyone in China has to
kill flies. Anyway, do you know what the PCTA say when anyone tries
to bring up any other field that requires licensing?

Meanwhile, I sure wished I had it written down when my commander
granted permission for me to operate from Somalia. Live and learn.

Now you'll excuse me while I go take $250 from a couple of
"CB-Plussers" by taking the Extra exam without error in UNDER 7
minutes, all on a lark and having never studied.

1005. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 22:40:11 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211151440.687bb51f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55245

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021115091540.01065.00005704@mb-mk.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Eight Minutes?
> >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> >Date: 11/15/02 7:42 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <f45722ac.0211150542.45fe10b7@posting.google.com>
>
> >My T5 permission was verbal. It cannot be filed.
>
> Then it was not legal. Period.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Am I under apprehension?

1006. Re: Only 41 shopping days.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 22:44:03 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211151444.5598f908@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55246

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021115094044.01574.00000995@mb-mu.aol.com>...
> >That might dilute your prestige if they have one, too.
> >
>
> Now come on Brian tell the truth, you are probably buying a bunch of them for
> all your CBplusser Buddies for Christmas. I can hear it now, see all of us
> CBplussers have Badges we are better than you real Hams and we can prove it,
> see our Badges.

Sorry Bruce, I don't have the money to do that. I'm selling my sick
leave to buys some stuff for the kids Christmas.

Now If I were a genius like you, I could just lift money from "CB
Plussers" on a whim.

1007. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 22:53:39 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211151453.77b952fc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55247

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<ar2tstjnb0@208.206.142.69>...
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > In article <3DD46F5E.267F6856@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
> > writes:
> >
> > >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > >> Any ham
> > >> who can only communicate by talking is going to run out of communications
> > >> capability under a lot of real-world emergency conditions, whereas a
> > >> CW-proficient ham will be able to keep on going.
> > >
> > >Name one situation in the last five years where cw was the only
> > >mode available in a real world emergency condition.
> > >
> > Here are three:
> >
> > On December 31, 1997, the crew of the freighter MV Oak was rescued after an SOS
> > call on 500 kHz. This was on the last day of that all ships had to carry
> > CW/Morse equipment. 26 were rescued. It's not ham radio, and today such a
> > rescue would be effected by other radio systems. But it was less than 5 years
> > ago.
> >
> > During the ice storms here in the Northeast a few winters back (1998-99?),
> > power and communications were disrupted across much of Quebec, Ontario and
> > upper New York state. Hams used CW/Morse extensively when SSB would not get
> > through due to poor propagation, limited power and makeshift antennas.
> >
> > K0HB has posted that during the Red River flood a few springs back, hams used
> > Morse/CW when SSB would not get through.

Hams used Morse/CW last night. What was the emergency? If Hans
message had not gotten through, how catastrophic would the results
have been? What outcome did the CW message impact?

> > Would PSK31 or other data modes have worked in the above cases? Maybe - but
> > they were not available when needed.
> >
> > Does any of this absolutely prove that ALL hams MUST be codetested? Of course
> > not. But the fact remains that Morse/CW has been used in recent emergency
> > situations when other available modes could not do the job.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

> Dang, Jim, ya did his research for him. But I bet you didn't change his
> mindset.

Dick, he didn't change your mindset enough to operate CW when a fellow
amateur asked you to, re: radiosport.

1008. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 22:57:57 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211151457.533d53bf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55248

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<ar1g43tku0@208.206.142.104>...

> Beside your crap Bruce comes across as downright lettered.

...and the birds flock together.

1009. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-15 23:36:11 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ar40cn$er5$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55249

The Russians and most of the old Eastern Block have not abandoned CW. And Im not just talking about ham radio. And from what I hear are going to vote NO to dropping it to 5wpm.

Dan/W4NTI

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DD53843.2C38D85D@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> >
> > Also, on the very last day of Maritime CW activity on 500 khz -about 5
> > years ago- a ship in distress near England used CW to call for help.
> > That's in the archives also. So your refusal to acknowledge the facts
> > -which are available to you as well as the rest of us- tells us a lot
> > about you. And it ain't pretty.
>
> And even the military and maritime service has abandoned cw. The
> only thing that "aint pretty" here is you unless someone thinks
> your face that looks like an ass is pretty.

1010. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 00:07:12 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021115190712.23141.00000380@mb-mt.aol.com>
Source record: 55251

In article <20021113220531.25792.00001229@mb-fc.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>In article <aqtjqh61clp@enews2.newsguy.com>, "KC8PMX" ><kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> writes:
>
>>Dunno if necessarily agree with that.... I think the exam should stay as >>long as code-tested hams are still alive..... Once they pass on, then make >>the changes. I can see their side of the arguement of "I had to do it, so >>do you...." in my own current field of employment.
>
>Ryan:
>
>The "I had to do it, so do you" argument is a construct of the NCTA --
>it has never been the position of the PCTA, myself in particular. I have
>consistently supported code testing on the basis of the need to preserve
>the capability to exploit the many benefits and advantages of the Morse/
>CW mode among amateur radio operators.

You have not proved this to be viable, either for OOK CW codings in-use or for testing.

The FCC is not chartered as an old-time communications mode preservation agency. The FCC does not mandate OOK CW codings over and above any other mode/modulation for any US radio amateur on any allocated band.

There has been NO, repeat NO, evidence of any "need" to preserve any mode/modulation. None. All you have presented is your own personal opinion.

>However, the "I had to do
>it, so do you" argument is based on the much denied, but real truth of
>their own motivation -- laziness, and a desire for an easy way "in" to
>the ARS and high-power, HF phone privileges.

Sorry, but that phrasing *IS* a de facto demonstration of your demands that all must do as you did.

>>In the meantime... if the requirements dictate a certain action.... I will >>comply with that, even if I don't agree with it, to gain the privileges that >>go along with it.
>
>If only everyone else held this attitude, the ARS could have been
>spared it's ugliest, most controversial debate -- and we'd still have
>reasonable and purposeful licensing requirements.

No, you would have an unchanging regulation structure permitting nothing new in amateur radio in at least the last half century. No new modes, no new bands, everything proceding as it always had been (since 1934) and having no relationship to the changing state of the radio art in the rest of the radio world.

1011. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 00:07:14 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021115190714.23141.00000381@mb-mt.aol.com>
Source record: 55252

In article <3DD53843.2C38D85D@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
writes:

>Dick Carroll wrote:
>
>> Also, on the very last day of Maritime CW activity on 500 khz -about 5
>> years ago- a ship in distress near England used CW to call for help.
>> That's in the archives also. So your refusal to acknowledge the facts
>> -which are available to you as well as the rest of us- tells us a lot
>> about you. And it ain't pretty.
>
>And even the military and maritime service has abandoned cw. The
>only thing that "aint pretty" here is you unless someone thinks
>your face that looks like an ass is pretty.

Returned insults aside, consider the date of the alleged "saving by
morse code" story. The last day of December...also known as
New Year's Eve.

Newspapers and wire services have long had a practice of inventing
(as in making up, writing fiction) "fillers" to pad out news copy. This
has been done by generation after generation of new journalists
while stuck on bad-hours work shifts. Most of these stories are
obvious fiction, short, happening in a faraway place, innocuous
having little worth to anyone except as humor. Once in a while the
filler writers get carried away. That "MV Oak" story may be one of
those.

If anyone can find the registry of this Motor Vessel Oak, please
post it or a reference to where such evidence may be found.

Someone may reply to the above, shouting in anger that the ARRL
or some amateur publication published it (so it must be true!). The
fact that some information is published on real paper with real ink
or at some prestigious venue is no guarantee of truthfulness. The
(considered distinguished) Washington Post, the same paper that
carried the Watergate break-in story, had (much later) published
several weeks of a series about a young immigrant's struggle to
survive in a new land. Several other publications praised this work
and it was up for a Pulitzer Prize. Problem was that it was fake,
a fabrication, even to photographs accompanying the story. The
Pulitzer was withdrawn and the journalist fired from the Post.

Back to the mighty Oak story. Consider that it was supposed to
happen on the last day of maritime morse code (for emergency)
communications which was also New Year's Eve, traditionally a

date for imbibing alcohol. Consider also no evidence of registry of such a vessel. Consider also that it was spread by those who strongly favored morse code use...and testing. Coincidences? It is highly improbable that all those things would have happened as indicated on the date given.

The international maritime world adopted the GMDSS system to replace the old radio operator morse keying distress calls on 500 KHz for several years before this alleged MV Oak incident. The origins are well documented. On the Internet, simply search for SOLAS (Safety Of Life At Sea) or GMDSS (Global Marine Distress and Safety System) and read the references and statements, noting dates.

PCTAs have long repeated the same myth that GMDSS "will not work" according to just one source, a retired mariner who appears to have never used the new system. That myth completely over-looked the many years of research and testing and field trials of the eventual GMDSS...done by mariners and those in the maritime business. "It must be Morse done by an experienced morseman" is the battle-cry of the mythtakes. Nonsense, it is simple denial.

1012. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 00:07:15 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021115190715.23141.00000382@mb-mt.aol.com>
Source record: 55253

In article <20021115065136.06308.00000523@mb-fm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <3DD46F5E.267F6856@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
>writes:
>
>>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>>> Any ham
>>> who can only communicate by talking is going to run out of communications
>>> capability under a lot of real-world emergency conditions, whereas a
>>> CW-proficient ham will be able to keep on going.
>>
>>Name one situation in the last five years where cw was the only
>>mode available in a real world emergency condition.
>>
>Here are three:
>
>On December 31, 1997, the crew of the freighter MV Oak was rescued after an
>SOS
>call on 500 kHz. This was on the last day of that all ships had to carry
>CW/Morse equipment. 26 were rescued. It's not ham radio, and today such a
>rescue would be effected by other radio systems. But it was less than 5 years
>ago.

And it is a highly suspect story, given the date and "last day of 500
KHz emergency use" and some difficulty in verifying any registry of
this alleged "MV Oak." See my comment to JJ.

>During the ice storms here in the Northeast a few winters back (1998-99?),
>power and communications were disrupted across much of Quebec, Ontario and
>upper New York state. Hams used CW/Morse extensively when SSB would not get
>through due to poor propagation, limited power and makeshift antennas.

Creating editing makes that appear that hams were the savior of
all in Quebec, Ontario provinces of Canada and New York state.
Somehow, Canadians and Americans managed to survive by their
own infrastructure of communications.

>K0HB has posted that during the Red River flood a few springs back, hams used
>Morse/CW when SSB would not get through.

CNN, CBS, NBC, and ABC all covered the Red River flooding by
journalists on the scene, most using video-audio linking by satellite
with the rest of the nation. On some of the video, NG humvees
carrying radio equipment were in the background, such radios not
equipped for "CW." Some of the on-the-scene interviews were done

by telephone to nearby locations.

>Would PSK31 or other data modes have worked in the above cases? Maybe - but
>they were not available when needed.

Not quite true. "Other modes" were simply not mentioned. Since
the claimants were not on-site in any case, all one has is the word
of those who promote morse code use. :-)

>Does any of this absolutely prove that ALL hams MUST be codetested? Of course
>not. But the fact remains that Morse/CW has been used in recent emergency
>situations when other available modes could not do the job.

No. The only "fact" remaining is that some CLAIMS exist by only a
few individuals...and those individuals are avid promoters of morse
code use.

1013. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 00:07:17 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021115190717.23141.00000383@mb-mt.aol.com>
Source record: 55250

In article <3DD48811.70201@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> In article <3DD30A7D.7060901@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo
><mcoslo@adelphia.net>
>> writes:
> <some snippage>
>
>>> Is being in public relations a prerequisite for manners? No, I'm not
>>>specifically in the Public relations field. But I've been the president
>>>of a youth sports group, Vice president of an astronomy group, Captain
>>>of my Ice hockey team, and an active member of my local amateur radio
>>>club. My point? I can get things done without being rude and abrasive.
>>>Most of these folk don't suggest I get psychological help either.
>>
>> Well, perhaps they should? An obsession with titles, rank, and
>> privilege is not normal behavior. Or is it?
>
> Umm, I was elected to these positions. These good folk would have to be
a tad disturbed themselves to elect a unbalanced individual to run their show.

You haven't answered any question.

First of all, are you "obsessed with titles, rank, and privilege?"

Second, were you elected into US amateur radio service or did you
seek it personally?

>> So, in your quest for "good manners," what are you disguising?
>> Is it a need to parrot the morals-manners as eschewed in monthly
>> issues of QST? Is it a firm, undying Belief in the inherent "goodness"
>> of morse code for radio amateurs? Is it a necessity to continue the
>> standards and practices of radio of a half century ago far into the
>> future while the rest of the radio world progresses continually?
>
> I've been a member of ARRL for about 6 months now. The Morse issue
isn't very important to me.

Then why interject yourself into a running thread and argue for one
side versus the other?

> Good manners are important for everyone everywhere.

Then why do you target one individual and ignore others?

>> I see no critique of the rather obvious ill-mannered abrasiveness of
>> others in this newsgroup-chatroom. Such is done on a regular basis
>> by licensed radio amateurs. Does the amateur license permit a
>> double standard of behavior in a public communications venue?
>> Apparently it does yet such is not in the radio rules.
>
> Here you come closest to having a point. You are right that I don't
come
>down on others for their treatment of you, at least every time there is
>some name calling. I'm letting you fight your own battles there. But if
>asked, I'll let anyone know I don't agree with that. Just as I told
>Steve, I don't agree, but it is a matter of style.

I'll ask again, why do you interject yourself into a running thread and
ignore other, obvious disputes and name-calling?

>> Yet, you seek a long "discussion" with me on charges of "ill-mannered
>> behavior" without pointing out specifics.
>
> If you comment on my posts, you will recieve responses from me. If
Steve
>were to comment on my posts, disagreeing with me, he would recieve
>replies. It's kind of how this newsgroup thingy works. :^)

I'm aware of the manner/tradition of computer-modem newsgroups
since USENET in the 1980s. Been there, done that...

>> What you seem to be doing
>> is simply an attempt to silence an unlicensed-in-the-amateur-service
>> citizens because their viewpoints are contrary to yours.
>
> What exactly is my viewpoint?

Unknown. You SEEM to be slightly spoiling for some dispute
under a somewhat transparent "reason" by discussing "manners."

>> You would prefer that all be "Mr. Nice" and agree with your
>> viewpoints, yes?
>
> Nope, I prefer people to play nice, but to *have* to share my viewpoint

>would be a bad move. I can be wrong. I would like to be right. If a
>person has a different view than me, I want to know why. If I can be
>convinced, I'll change my mind. Simple and direct.

If you want to play "20 Questions," please seek another venue.

>>>> Strong debate is simply strong debate. Some cannot take such
>>>> things...and those people should leave lest they upset themselves.
>
> If this were real debate.....

Real debate requires formulating a base on real items, not
myths, and countering statements of others the same way.

Real debate doesn't gratuitously insult the opponent in place of a counter-argument. Real debate isn't about intimidating the opponent with implied physical force, personal insults, or telling them to be quiet or go away. Real debate isn't about claiming that personal desires and certification are a model for others to follow as a baseline.

Real debate is tough. It means keeping one's cool and on the subject, not the opponent's personal appearance, manner, facial expressions, or tone of voice. It isn't for weenies or those who want to play barbarian, taking the other side solely by force of arms.

This newsgroup has evolved into a Chatroom with the PCTA regulars trying to control (not moderate) it by intimidating others. In another venue it would be called heckling or bullying.

1014. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 00:19:48 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021115191948.27467.00000557@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 55254

In article <ar40cn$er5$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> writes:

>The Russians and most of the old Eastern Block have not abandoned CW. And Im
>not just talking about ham radio. And from what I hear are going to vote NO
>to dropping it to 5wpm.

Da, comrade!

The "Russians" (USSR) pulled out of Afghanistan after failing
to subdue the Taliban. The USA pulled into Afghanistan and
essentially liberated the country from Taliban rule. If the USSR
used "CW" in radio there...and the US military didn't, what
conclusion do you want to come to? "Better Red than dead?!?"

1015. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 01:02:26 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DD59926.6010805@adelphia.net>
Source record: 55255

N2EY wrote:

> http://www.duke.edu/~pms5/humor/argument.html

By Gaw! The precursor to rrap! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1016. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 01:19:18 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DD59D18.4040708@adelphia.net>
Source record: 55256

Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <20021115065136.06308.00000523@mb-fm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> writes:
>
>
>>In article <3DD46F5E.267F6856@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
>>writes:
>>
>>
>>>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>>>
>>>>Any ham
>>>>who can only communicate by talking is going to run out of communications
>>>>capability under a lot of real-world emergency conditions, whereas a
>>>>CW-proficient ham will be able to keep on going.
>>>>
>>>Name one situation in the last five years where cw was the only
>>>mode available in a real world emergency condition.
>>>
>>>
>>Here are three:
>>
>>On December 31, 1997, the crew of the freighter MV Oak was rescued after an
>>SOS
>>call on 500 kHz. This was on the last day of that all ships had to carry
>>CW/Morse equipment. 26 were rescued. It's not ham radio, and today such a
>>rescue would be effected by other radio systems. But it was less than 5 years
>>ago.
>>
>
> And it is a highly suspect story, given the date and "last day of 500
> KHz emergency use" and some difficulty in verifying any registry of
> this alleged "MV Oak." See my comment to JJ.

http://www.deadmedia.org/notes/29/293.html

http://www.users.zetnet.co.uk/rdixon/crs/500close.htm

http://www.geocities.com/~sandusky99/98jan9.htm

Certainly the second and third links are morse-centric, but unless a
fabrication on the link page, the first is a UPI story.

>
>

>>During the ice storms here in the Northeast a few winters back (1998-99?),
>>power and communications were disrupted across much of Quebec, Ontario and
>>upper New York state. Hams used CW/Morse extensively when SSB would not get
>>through due to poor propagation, limited power and makeshift antennas.
>>
>
> Creating editing makes that appear that hams were the savior of
> all in Quebec, Ontario provinces of Canada and New York state.
> Somehow, Canadians and Americans managed to survive by their
> own infrastructure of communications.

How would you word it?

- Mike KB3EIA -

1017. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 01:42:18 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DD5A279.1050505@adelphia.net>
Source record: 55257

Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <3DD48811.70201@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
> writes:
<some snippage>

>>> Well, perhaps they should? An obsession with titles, rank, and
>>> privilege is not normal behavior. Or is it?
>>>
>> Umm, I was elected to these positions. These good folk would have to be
>>
> a tad disturbed themselves to elect a unbalanced individual to run their show.
>
> You haven't answered any question.

> First of all, are you "obsessed with titles, rank, and privilege?"
>
> Second, were you elected into US amateur radio service or did you
> seek it personally?

Actually your two questions were: Well perhaps they should? Referring to
your suggestion that I seek psychological help, and the second was some
presumed obsession with rank, title, etc.

I answered the first. These people sought me out, not the reverse.

As for an obsession with title, rank, and privelege, For the most part, I
could care less. I do like the extra priveleges of the Extra license,
and the privelege of using my drivers license.

>
>>> So, in your quest for "good manners," what are you disguising?
>>> Is it a need to parrot the morals-manners as eschewed in monthly
>>> issues of QST? Is it a firm, undying Belief in the inherent "goodness"
>>> of morse code for radio amateurs? Is it a necessity to continue the
>>> standards and practices of radio of a half century ago far into the
>>> future while the rest of the radio world progresses continually?
>>>
>> I've been a member of ARRL for about 6 months now. The Morse issue
>>
> isn't very important to me.
>
> Then why interject yourself into a running thread and argue for one
> side versus the other?

The name of the thread is An invitation to CW Radiosport. It is something
I am interested in. IIRC, it was you who interjected into the thread.

>> Good manners are important for everyone everywhere.
>>
>
> Then why do you target one individual and ignore others?

If say Steve were to start calling me a putz or something like that, I
would certainly be chastizing him. I will not fight your fights for you.

> I'll ask again, why do you interject yourself into a running thread and
> ignore other, obvious disputes and name-calling?

The name of the thread is An invitation to CW Radiosport. It is
something I am interested in. IIRC, it was you who interjected into the
thread.

If say Steve were to start calling me a putz or something like that, I
would certainly be chastizing him. I will not fight your fights for you.

>>> Yet, you seek a long "discussion" with me on charges of "ill-mannered
>>> behavior" without pointing out specifics.
>>>
>> If you comment on my posts, you will recieve responses from me. If
>>
> Steve
>
>>were to comment on my posts, disagreeing with me, he would recieve
>>replies. It's kind of how this newsgroup thingy works. :^)
>>
>
> I'm aware of the manner/tradition of computer-modem newsgroups
> since USENET in the 1980s. Been there, done that...
>
>
>>> What you seem to be doing
>>> is simply an attempt to silence an unlicensed-in-the-amateur-service
>>> citizens because their viewpoints are contrary to yours.
>>>
>> What exactly is my viewpoint?
>>
>
> Unknown. You SEEM to be slightly spoiling for some dispute
> under a somewhat transparent "reason" by discussing "manners."
>
>
>>> You would prefer that all be "Mr. Nice" and agree with your
>>> viewpoints, yes?
>>>
>> Nope, I prefer people to play nice, but to *have* to share my viewpoint
>>
>
>>would be a bad move. I can be wrong. I would like to be right. If a
>>person has a different view than me, I want to know why. If I can be
>>convinced, I'll change my mind. Simple and direct.

>>
>
> If you want to play "20 Questions," please seek another venue.

So your purpose here is not to discuss or convince?

- Mike KB3EIA -

1018. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 02:53:12 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DD5B318.D1451092@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55264

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > In article <3DD46F5E.267F6856@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
> > writes:
> >
> > >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > >> Any ham
> > >> who can only communicate by talking is going to run out of communications
> > >> capability under a lot of real-world emergency conditions, whereas a
> > >> CW-proficient ham will be able to keep on going.
> > >
> > >Name one situation in the last five years where cw was the only
> > >mode available in a real world emergency condition.
> > >
> > Here are three:
> >
> > On December 31, 1997, the crew of the freighter MV Oak was rescued after an SOS
> > call on 500 kHz. This was on the last day of that all ships had to carry
> > CW/Morse equipment. 26 were rescued. It's not ham radio, and today such a
> > rescue would be effected by other radio systems. But it was less than 5 years
> > ago.

> >
> > During the ice storms here in the Northeast a few winters back (1998-99?),
> > power and communications were disrupted across much of Quebec, Ontario and
> > upper New York state. Hams used CW/Morse extensively when SSB would not get
> > through due to poor propagation, limited power and makeshift antennas.

And what was all this cw traffic, just some hams sending reports
back and forth to themselves? Are you saying there were no other
means of communications? No other agencies could communicate? All
telephone lines were inoperative to all points? The only way the
world heard of this emergency was via ham radio cw?

> >
> > K0HB has posted that during the Red River flood a few springs back, hams used
> > Morse/CW when SSB would not get through.

And again, were they passing emergency traffic? How much? Are you
saying that no other agencies, highway patrol, sheriff's dept.,
police departments could communicate? It was either ham radio cw
or nothing?

> >
> > Would PSK31 or other data modes have worked in the above cases? Maybe - but
> > they were not available when needed.
> >
> > Does any of this absolutely prove that ALL hams MUST be codetested? Of course
> > not. But the fact remains that Morse/CW has been used in recent emergency
> > situations when other available modes could not do the job.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Dang, Jim, ya did his research for him. But I bet you didn't change his
> mindset.

If you mean that cw is going to save the world, you're right.

1019. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 02:55:31 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DD5B3A3.95DEABEF@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55265

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> The Russians and most of the old Eastern Block have not abandoned CW. And Im
> not just talking about ham radio. And from what I hear are going to vote NO
> to dropping it to 5wpm.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Sounds good to me.

1020. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 02:57:43 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DD5B427.28EB4438@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55266

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <ar40cn$er5$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> writes:
>
> >The Russians and most of the old Eastern Block have not abandoned CW.
>
> Nor have American hams.

And they won't even if it is dropped as a requirement. It is just
that knowing cw isn't the savior of the world, nor does it make
one a better ham than some other. Dick and Larry are proof of
that.

1021. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 03:01:52 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DD5B520.4C385EA@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55267

Mike Coslo wrote:

>
> Actually your two questions were: Well perhaps they should? Referring to
> your suggestion that I seek psychological help, and the second was some
> presumed obsession with rank, title, etc.
>
> I answered the first. These people sought me out, not the reverse.
>
> As for an obsession with title, rank, and privelege, For the most part, I
> could care less.

You mean "I couldn't care less".

1022. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 03:32:58 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021115223258.13494.00000541@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 55258

In article <20021115173112.21094.00004575@mb-fx.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>Subject: Re: Eight Minutes?
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 15 Nov 2002 22:31:12 GMT
>
>>Subject: Re: Eight Minutes?
>>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>>Date: 11/15/02 3:23 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20021115162341.13117.00000079@mb-mb.aol.com>
>
>>
>>But N0IMD was a Tech Plus before restructuring.
>
> Therein lies the confusion, then...
>
> Then he never "passed" the Extra.

Nor the Advanced. Nor the General, until after restructuring.

>If he took the written
>"pre-restrcutruing", "passing the Extra" required passing of all written
>elements AND the requisite Code test.

Or a medical waiver of said code test (after the 1990 rules changes).
>
> He obviously never did that.

Exactly. Nor passed the current Extra written, Element 4, which has been in place for more than 2-1/2 years now.

Remember how we were told that code testing was the "barrier"?

>>Seems that N0IMD confuses the ability to pass an amateur radio exam with the
>>ability to write posts here that are grammatically correct and well
>>punctuated.
>
> Uh oh, Jim!

WUzzat? i can Write as slopply as any One Steeve i Chews knot too, mosta the time
>
>>Oddly enough, the claims of KB9RQZ (remember him?) were never so
>>scrutinized by N0IMD.
>
> NOW you did it!

bwahaahaa!

But is it not true?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1023. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 03:32:59 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021115223259.13494.00000542@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 55261

In article <d22b54c3.0211141650.6c6099c8@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>This drill was very successful a couple
>years ago. The freq was 7.037. The mode was CW. That particular RRAP
>net spanned the entire continent east to west and north to south. For
>my own part I did it with a 100w underdash TS-50 mobile rig and a lame
>end-fed random wire strung thru some trees. Took three-four posts in
>RRAP to set the net sked up and it went down like clockwork. Versus
>multiple threads then thud nothing.
>
>The model is there, it worked becuase the choices of freq & mode were
>based on commonly known & accepted ham radio folk-level physics going
>back to the Lord of the Reddios Hiram Percy's days on the bands fer
>cripes sake.
>
>To wit: 40m is the only even half-reliable choice for
>transcontinental comms any evening in the U.S. CW was chosen as the
>mode for even more obvious reasons. There was no way in hell I could
>have copied AC6XG's weak signal if he had been running SSB in the
>garbage up the band. He was Q5 all the way with CW.

Then let's just do it. How about 7037 at 9 PM EST on Wednesdays? Alternate QRG 3537 in case MUF is too low.
>
>Yeah, sure, I unnerstand . . A 40 CW RRAP net "would not be all
>inclusive".

It will include all who are willing to make the effort to be there. Most of said effort is the effort to get a rig on the air and actually use it. If someone can't make it, fine, no harm done.
>
>But it worked see and those pore things who would be "excluded" from
>inclusion in a repeat of the successul model done it to themselves.

The invitation includes all interested parties.

>If there was ever a glaring example of why free lunches and "all
>inclusive think" doesn't work this current idiotic thrash is IT.

I think that is Hans' point all along.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1024. Re: Re Field Day (was Eight Minutes?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 03:33:00 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021115223300.13494.00000543@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 55259

In article <3DD434FF.813E07E9@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>> oh mama! That rig alone cost more than our whole operation. I'm not jealous
>or
>> complaining - it's the folks with the good setups that make scores like
>mine
>> possible.
>
>It's N8NN's new rig. I'm an Omni VI Plus man (but damned if I'm taking
>it out to Field Day, heh heh).

There's a Barracuda Rule about that.

Are you going to go for an Orion?

My Southgate Type 6 and Type 7 rigs have seen a few Field Days. Whatta job! I
built a K2 in part to make FD easier. Smallest rig I ever owned!
>
>> What were you using for antennas?
>
>A 200 foot dipole up about forty feet, fed with open wire line.

beautiful, just beautiful.
>> --
>>
>> FD note: A quick look through the listings reveals a few rrap regulars,
>like
>> W4NTI, K8MN and myself. I suspect Hans was part of the W0AA.operation (?)
>> Anybody else actually operate on FD? What callsign was used?
>
>I remember a CW contact with Dan but don't know if we worked you or
>not. If so, it might have been on one of Bert's shifts.

I don't think we did - I would have seen your call when I redid the logs. Next
year!

--

A thought on participation in ARRL contests vs. Diamond clubs and QST line
scores:

One of the criteria for including or excluding stuff from QST is popularity. If
contest participation drops, they will see it as a drop in popularity of the
contest and confirmation of their decision to remove the scores, rather than as
a protest. End result is shooting oneself in the foot, as it were.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1025. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 03:33:00 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021115223300.13494.00000544@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 55262

In article <3DD59926.6010805@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>
>> http://www.duke.edu/~pms5/humor/argument.html
>
> By Gaw! The precursor to rrap! 8^)
>
No it isn't!

73 de Jim (nudge, nudge) N2EY

1026. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 03:33:01 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021115223301.13494.00000545@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 55260

In article <ar40cn$er5$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> writes:

>The Russians and most of the old Eastern Block have not abandoned CW.

Nor have American hams.

>And Im
>not just talking about ham radio. And from what I hear are going to vote NO
>to dropping it to 5wpm.

No speed is specified by S25.5. 5 wpm is adequate to meet the treaty, even
though anyone with a working knowledge of Morse knows that 5 wpm represents the
barest minimum of skill.

Even if all of the former Eastern Bloc/Helsinki convention countries support
retention of S25.5, it is extremely doubtful that they will have enough votes
to carry the day and preserve S25.5. Even if they do, all that will happen in
the USA is retention of Element 1.

73 de Jim, N2EY.

1027. Re: Only 41 shopping days.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 03:53:59 UTC
From: Larry <Freebander@FreebandForever.net>
Message-ID: <ar4ffe$559$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 55263

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DD5376C.4F621739@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Larry wrote:
> >
> > HA HA, I'd love to see one of the pea-brained radio
> > vigilantes who haunt this group flash a badge like that
> > in the face of some truck driver and then have the badge
> > fed to him down his fat greasy little vigilante throat.
> > "Wa WA WA WA, I saw you operating from your truck
> > on 10m; I'm gonna have Riley send you a letter."
> >
> > Larry
>
> Go back to the children's band with the rest of the children. Come
> back here when you grow up.

Go on a diet FATSO!

1028. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 05:55:15 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ar4mk3kpc0@208.206.142.67>
Source record: 55268

JJ wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> >
> > Also, on the very last day of Maritime CW activity on 500 khz -about 5
> > years ago- a ship in distress near England used CW to call for help.
> > That's in the archives also. So your refusal to acknowledge the facts
> > -which are available to you as well as the rest of us- tells us a lot
> > about you. And it ain't pretty.
>
> And even the military and maritime service has abandoned cw. The
> only thing that "aint pretty" here is you unless someone thinks
> your face that looks like an ass is pretty.

JJ if you had half a brain you'd be dangerous. We have nothing to fear
from you.

1029. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 06:01:43 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ar4n07kpc1@208.206.142.67>
Source record: 55269

Brian wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<ar1g43tku0@208.206.142.104>...
>
> > Beside your crap Bruce comes across as downright lettered.
>
> ...and the birds flock together.

Yerp. You and Lennie make a small but distinct flock.

1030. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 06:10:20 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ar4ngc$n8e$0@208.206.142.67>
Source record: 55270

JJ wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > In article <ar40cn$er5$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
> > <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> writes:
> >
> > >The Russians and most of the old Eastern Block have not abandoned CW.
> >
> > Nor have American hams.
>
> And they won't even if it is dropped as a requirement. It is just
> that knowing cw isn't the savior of the world,

So why do you insist that someone here ever said it will?

nor does it make
> one a better ham than some other. Dick and Larry are proof of
> that.

You're too much of a fool to understand that it's no more than one more mode - the most basic easily implemented mode of all. Refusing to learn to use it makes a ham equal to those who did only in your feeble mind.

1031. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 12:28:19 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021116072819.06308.00000527@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 55271

In article <3DD5B318.D1451092@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
writes:

>Dick Carroll wrote:
>>
>> N2EY wrote:
>> >
>> > In article <3DD46F5E.267F6856@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
>> > writes:
>> >
>> > >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> > >> Any ham
>> > >> who can only communicate by talking is going to run out of
>communications
>> > >> capability under a lot of real-world emergency conditions, whereas a
>> > >> CW-proficient ham will be able to keep on going.
>> > >
>> > >Name one situation in the last five years where cw was the only
>> > >mode available in a real world emergency condition.
>> > >
>> > Here are three:
>> >
>> > On December 31, 1997, the crew of the freighter MV Oak was rescued after
>an SOS
>> > call on 500 kHz. This was on the last day of that all ships had to carry
>> > CW/Morse equipment. 26 were rescued. It's not ham radio, and today such a
>> > rescue would be effected by other radio systems. But it was less than 5
>years
>> > ago.
>
>> >
>> > During the ice storms here in the Northeast a few winters back
>(1998-99?),
>> > power and communications were disrupted across much of Quebec, Ontario
>and
>> > upper New York state. Hams used CW/Morse extensively when SSB would not
>get
>> > through due to poor propagation, limited power and makeshift antennas.
>
>And what was all this cw traffic, just some hams sending reports
>back and forth to themselves?

It was whatever communications was being handled by the amateur HF SSB nets,
but which could not get through on SSB.

>Are you saying there were no other
>means of communications? No other agencies could communicate?

In some cases, yes. Electric power, commercial communications and transportation were disrupted for many days. Repair efforts were hampered by the lack of transportation. The ice storm conditions were widespread, not localized in a few small areas. Winter auroral conditions sometimes made SSB unintelligible even when signal strength was adequate.

>All
>telephone lines were inoperative to all points?

Why must they have been inoperative "to all points"? Wouldn't loss of telephone communication to some points needing communication constitute an emergency?

>The only way the
>world heard of this emergency was via ham radio cw?

What you're really saying is that the ice storm wasn't an emergency at all, and that amateur radio wasn't needed. Were you there?

>> > K0HB has posted that during the Red River flood a few springs back, hams
>used
>> > Morse/CW when SSB would not get through.
>
>And again, were they passing emergency traffic? How much? Are you
>saying that no other agencies, highway patrol, sheriff's dept.,
>police departments could communicate? It was either ham radio cw
>or nothing?

See above. Do you think that amateur radio plays no role in emergencies?
>
>> > Would PSK31 or other data modes have worked in the above cases? Maybe -
>but
>> > they were not available when needed.
>> >
>> > Does any of this absolutely prove that ALL hams MUST be codetested? Of
>course
>> > not. But the fact remains that Morse/CW has been used in recent emergency
>> > situations when other available modes could not do the job.

Please note the above paragraph. I am not claiming that the use of CW/Morse in emergencies by hams is some sort of proof that ALL hams MUST be CW/Morse tested. If CW/Morse skill in emergencies was that important, it would not only need to be tested upon license grant, but also retested at intervals to insure that all hams retained the skill. That's obviously not going to happen.

>> Dang, Jim, ya did his research for him.

No, I answered a simple, straightforward request:

"Name one situation in the last five years where cw was the only mode available in a real world emergency condition."

with at least three examples. There's at least one more - see KT4ST's accounts here of a hurricane (Floyd?) back in 1999.

>> But I bet you didn't change his mindset.
>
>If you mean that cw is going to save the world, you're right.

JJ's responses really seem to be that amateur radio isn't going to "save the world" .
73 de Jim, N2EY

1032. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 13:40:14 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DD64AC2.9484679D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 55272

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DD5277C.5C958300@earthlink.net>...
>
> > Taking exams and passing exams are two very different things. In the
> > early '90s, passing the Extra meant passing a 20 wpm morse test. Did
> > you do that too? If not, you didn't "pass the Extra".
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> I -passed- the General, Advanced, and Extra writtens. Feel better?

I feel that you've arrived at the truth. Do YOU feel better now?

Dave K8MN

1033. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 14:03:54 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021116090354.01247.00005924@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 55273

>Subject: Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/15/02 6:07 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021115190717.23141.00000383@mb-mt.aol.com>

>Then why interject yourself into a running thread and argue for one
> side versus the other?

WHOA YOUR OBNOXIOUSNESS!

We have had about one-too-many of Lennie the Creep's lambastings about
RRAP being a "public" forum and how anyone can comment on anyone else's posts
made therein.

Sorry you don't like your own rules, Your Scuminess.

>Then why do you target one individual and ignore others?

Maybe because there's not a two-facedness exhibited by the other
side...such as the one you blatantly display in this very post.

>I'll ask again, why do you interject yourself into a running thread and
> ignore other, obvious disputes and name-calling?

I'll reiterate...Maybe because it's one side doesn't display a
two-facedness like the one YOU display...Such as antagonizing someone over
jumping into a running thread, all-the-while having archived posts of
lambasting others for suggesting YOU not do it...?!?!

>I'm aware of the manner/tradition of computer-modem newsgroups
> since USENET in the 1980s. Been there, done that..

...but not very well, it appears.

>If you want to play "20 Questions," please seek another venue

Mike, Lennie loves to "suggest" that "engineers" would add a great asset
to Amateur Radio, yet when asked direct questions, even of purely technical
matters, Lennie scowls about how no one dare to impose upon him that which he
would do to others.

>Real debate doesn't gratuitously insult the opponent in place
> of a counter-argument.

Then why do you do it?

>Real debate isn't about intimidating the
> opponent with implied physical force, personal insults, or telling
> them to be quiet or go away.

WHOA AGAIN, YOUR PUTZINESS!

Let's back up a few lines...

Mike said...: >>Nope, I prefer people to play nice, but to *have* to
share my viewpoint
>>would be a bad move. I can be wrong. I would like to be right. If a
>>person has a different view than me, I want to know why. If I can be
>>convinced, I'll change my mind. Simple and direct.

To which YOU replied: >If you want to play "20 Questions," please seek
another venue.

There we have it again in black and white...TWO FACED TWIT!

Geeze, you make this too easy!

>Real debate is tough. It means keeping one's cool and on the
> subject, not the opponent's personal appearance, manner,
> facial expressions, or tone of voice. It isn't for weenies or those
> who want to play barbarian, taking the other side solely by force
> of arms.

You mean like calling people jackbooted thugs, elitists, nazis, etc,
Lennie? You mean like "veterans" who call others "Dill Sahgent" and
"muh-reene", all-the-while trying to associate themselves with KIA's who died
two and a half years before you were even in the service?

>This newsgroup has evolved into a Chatroom with the PCTA
> regulars trying to control (not moderate) it by intimidating others.

And of course Lennie NEVER tries to intimidate or control the
NG...yeeeeeeah...Riiiiiiight.

>In another venue it would be called heckling or bullying.

What other venue would THAT be, Lennie? According to Google you haven't
posted in ANY other NG under any of your screen names in at least four years.

Actually, what YOU do in THIS newsgroup is heckle and bully. You're not
very good at it, and you sem to like humiliating yourself, but that's OK...It's
actually fun to watch.

Putz.

1034. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 14:08:35 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021116090835.01247.00005928@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 55274

>Subject: Re: Net?
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 11/15/02 9:32 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021115223259.13494.00000542@mb-mj.aol.com>

>Then let's just do it. How about 7037 at 9 PM EST on Wednesdays? Alternate
>QRG
>3537 in case MUF is too low.

At the risk of leaving the Tech's out, anyone QRV on 160 meters?

73

Steve, K4YZ

1035. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 14:50:15 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DD65B23.9050908@adelphia.net>
Source record: 55275

JJ wrote:

>
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>
>> Actually your two questions were: Well perhaps they should? Referring to
>>your suggestion that I seek psychological help, and the second was some
>>presumed obsession with rank, title, etc.
>>
>> I answered the first. These people sought me out, not the reverse.
>>
>> As for an obsession with title, rank, and privelege, For the most part, I
>>could care less.
>>
>
> You mean "I couldn't care less".
>

Oops, you're right, JJ! I'm beginning to sound like my teenage son!
Thanks for the correction.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1036. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 14:51:04 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DD65B8E.94AD4A85@earthlink.net>
Source record: 55276

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Net?
> >From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> >Date: 11/15/02 9:32 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <20021115223259.13494.00000542@mb-mj.aol.com>
>
> >Then let's just do it. How about 7037 at 9 PM EST on Wednesdays? Alternate
> >QRG
> >3537 in case MUF is too low.
>
> At the risk of leaving the Tech's out, anyone QRV on 160 meters?

In the words of VP Cheney: Big time!

Dave K8MN

1037. Re: Re Field Day (was Eight Minutes?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 15:11:23 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DD6600B.5650EE9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 55277

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3DD434FF.813E07E9@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >> oh mama! That rig alone cost more than our whole operation. I'm not jealous
> >or
> >> complaining - it's the folks with the good setups that make scores like
> >mine
> >> possible.
> >
> >It's N8NN's new rig. I'm an Omni VI Plus man (but damned if I'm taking
> >it out to Field Day, heh heh).
>
> There's a Barracuda Rule about that.

I'd have been happy to supply an SB-102 :)

> Are you going to go for an Orion?

I'm thinking about it. First I'd have to sell the Omni VI and one of
the Titans...any maybe the car.
>
> My Southgate Type 6 and Type 7 rigs have seen a few Field Days. Whatta job! I
> built a K2 in part to make FD easier. Smallest rig I ever owned!

I find the little K2 fascinating.

> >> What were you using for antennas?
> >
> >A 200 foot dipole up about forty feet, fed with open wire line.
>
> beautiful, just beautiful.

It did work quite well but the tuner acted peculiar on 10m.

> A thought on participation in ARRL contests vs. Diamond clubs and QST line
> scores:
>
> One of the criteria for including or excluding stuff from QST is popularity. If
> contest participation drops, they will see it as a drop in popularity of the
> contest and confirmation of their decision to remove the scores, rather than as
> a protest. End result is shooting oneself in the foot, as it were.

It would seem that popularity would be a yardstick for inclusion or
exclusion but recent board decisions contradict that statement. Contest
participation is up yet line scores are out. DXCC participation is up

but recent "How's DX?" colunms are small.

I agree with Hans that member activities belong in QST. The removal of "Section News" irritates me. Being on the receiving end of two-faced babble from my Roanoke Division Director and of dismissive condesent from the ARRL President fanned the flames. The Diamond Club is elitist pap which is at odds for the ARRL's raison d'etre. My ARRL contest non-participation will last as long as I think the protest serves any value. My withholding of donations to any ARRL program will likely last as long as Mary Hobart does. If the League is really that hard up for money, perhaps they could begin charging ten bucks each for Old Timers Club or Rag Chewers Club certificates. Oops!--Too late. They're already doing that. Maybe they could fire Mary and her assistant.

Dave K8MN

1038. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 15:23:15 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DD662DE.1030101@adelphia.net>
Source record: 55278

N2EY wrote:

> In article <3DD5B318.D1451092@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
> writes:

<some snippage here>

> In some cases, yes. Electric power, commercial communications and
> transportation were disrupted for many days. Repair efforts were hampered by
> the lack of transportation. The ice storm conditions were widespread, not
> localized in a few small areas. Winter auroral conditions sometimes made SSB
> unintelligible even when signal strength was adequate.
>
>
>>All
>>telephone lines were inoperative to all points?
>>
>
> Why must they have been inoperative "to all points"? Wouldn't loss of telephone
> communication to some points needing communication constitute an emergency?
>
>
>>The only way the
>>world heard of this emergency was via ham radio cw?
>>
>
> What you're really saying is that the ice storm wasn't an emergency at all, and
> that amateur radio wasn't needed. Were you there?

I was visiting Ontario the next summer, and aside from the news reports
during the disaster, the locals I met related that the power outages
were huge and unbelievably (their words) widespread. They said that the
only thing that kept it from being worse was that it was unusually warm.
Otherwise there would have been many people frozen to death.

<more snippage>

>
> JJ's responses really seem to be that amateur radio isn't going to "save the
> world" .

I think we have a case of CW haters simply reiterating their hate for CW.
When asked for instances of CW being used in an emergency, rather than
"thanks for the info" we get a belittlement of the circumstances. That
is not very kind toward the people stuck within those circumstances.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1039. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 15:49:45 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DD66909.B15C8981@earthlink.net>
Source record: 55279

Len Over 21 wrote:

> Returned insults aside, consider the date of the alleged "saving by
> morse code" story. The last day of December...also known as
> New Year's Eve.

I've considered the date. Now what?
>
> Newspapers and wire services have long had a practice of inventing
> (as in making up, writing fiction) "fillers" to pad out news copy.

What are you using as proof of this latest black helicopter scenario?

> This
> has been done by generation after generation of new journalists
> while stuck on bad-hours work shifts. Most of these stories are
> obvious fiction, short, happening in a faraway place, innocuous
> having little worth to anyone except as humor. Once in a while the
> filler writers get carried away. That "MV Oak" story may be one of
> those.

Like your charges of ARRL dishonesty, this one one will never be backed
by fact.

> If anyone can find the registry of this Motor Vessel Oak, please
> post it or a reference to where such evidence may be found.
>
> Someone may reply to the above, shouting in anger that the ARRL
> or some amateur publication published it (so it must be true!). The
> fact that some information is published on real paper with real ink
> or at some prestigious venue is no guarantee of truthfulness. The
> (considered distinguished) Washington Post, the same paper that
> carried the Watergate break-in story, had (much later) published
> several weeks of a series about a young immigrant's struggle to
> survive in a new land. Several other publications praised this work
> and it was up for a Pulitzer Prize. Problem was that it was fake,
> a fabrication, even to photographs accompanying the story. The
> Pulitzer was withdrawn and the journalist fired from the Post.

But the newspaper took action over the publication of the material.
What of your little "false filler" charge?

> Back to the mighty Oak story. Consider that it was supposed to
> happen on the last day of maritime morse code (for emergency)
> communications which was also New Year's Eve, traditionally a
> date for imbibing alcohol.

Oh, I get it. Because some people drink on New Year's Eve, the story
can't be true! That's quite a deduction on your part, Len.

> Consider also no evidence of registry
> of such a vessel.

Who, besides you, says that there is no evidence of registry?

> Consider also that it was spread by those who
> strongly favored morse code use...and testing.

Newspapers? Wire services?

> Coincidences?

I'd be more inclined to believe that several newspaper and radio/TV
accounts of a story are more reliable than the speculations of an
uninvolved individual on the USA's west coast.

> It is highly improbable that all those things would have happened
> as indicated on the date given.

What makes it highly improbable, Len? On what date(s) would the events
have been likely?

> The international maritime world adopted the GMDSS system to
> replace the old radio operator morse keying distress calls on
> 500 KHz for several years before this alleged MV Oak incident.
> The origins are well documented. On the Internet, simply search
> for SOLAS (Safety Of Life At Sea) or GMDSS (Global Marine
> Distress and Safety System) and read the references and
> statements, noting dates.

What does this have to do with the event which you suspect as a
fabrication? Why should we believe any of the things published on the
internet since your charges make it likely that they too could be
fabrications?

> PCTAs have long repeated the same myth that GMDSS "will not
> work" according to just one source, a retired mariner who appears
> to have never used the new system. That myth completely over-
> looked the many years of research and testing and field trials of
> the eventual GMDSS...done by mariners and those in the maritime
> business.

Hans Boeltzig ,OH2EA, retired in the late 1990's after decades as a
maritime communications officer. On his former ship's first run with
the new equipment and a new Filipino comm officer, the ship wasn't heard
from for days. Drop Hans a line if you desire confirmation.

> "It must be Morse done by an experienced morseman"
> is the battle-cry of the mythtakes. Nonsense, it is simple denial.

Where is your proof of the battle cry claim? Who made the claim? When
was the claim made? On what dates was this claim made? Where is
documentation of such a claim to be found? If published on real paper

with real ink, how can we be certain that it is not a fabrication? If published using only electrons and viewed on a computer monitor, how can we be certain that it is not a fabrication? Will such have a bearing on participation in CW Radiosport?

Dave K8MN

1040. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 15:59:03 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DD66B36.5A65D780@earthlink.net>
Source record: 55280

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3DD48811.70201@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> >> In article <3DD30A7D.7060901@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo
> ><mcoslo@adelphia.net>
> >> writes:

> Real debate doesn't gratuitously insult the opponent in place
> of a counter-argument.

But, Len, you do that.

> Real debate isn't about intimidating the
> opponent with implied physical force, personal insults, or telling
> them to be quiet or go away.

But, Len, you do that. In fact, you've just suggested that one
participant go away.

> Real debate isn't about claiming
> that personal desires and certification are a model for others to
> follow as a baseline.

But, Len, you're telling us that your views should take priority over
the views of other posters.

> Real debate is tough. It means keeping one's cool and on the
> subject,

But, Len, you haven't always done this.

> not the opponent's personal appearance, manner,
> facial expressions, or tone of voice.

I've never once been swayed by your facial expression or tone of voice.

> It isn't for weenies or those
> who want to play barbarian, taking the other side solely by force
> of arms.

I don't think of you as a barbarian but I've certainly thought of you as
a weenie.

> This newsgroup has evolved into a Chatroom with the PCTA
> regulars trying to control (not moderate) it by intimidating others.
> In another venue it would be called heckling or bullying.

No, the newsgroup is certainly not a chat room. A chat room is a chat
room.
This is a newsgroup. When you drop your off topic snide comments, it is
heckling and bullying. Why are the rules different for you?

Dave K8MN

1041. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 16:36:21 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021116113621.07628.00005432@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 55281

>Subject: Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 11/16/02 9:49 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3DD66909.B15C8981@earthlink.net>

>> Newspapers and wire services have long had a practice of inventing
>> (as in making up, writing fiction) "fillers" to pad out news copy.

>What are you using as proof of this latest black helicopter scenario?

Awwwww.....come ON Dave! Don't ya know...??? The ARRL has spent billions in blackhat money to infiltrate the world's news agencies and flood them with deceptive pro-code news items. They even purposely sabotaged a thrid-world freighter with no GMDSS gear on board so they had to revert to "ancient" LF distress gear. Cost them extra to have the "hit" fall on New Years Eve...Union wages, ya know.

BUT...! They sold the movie rights to Steven Spielberg, and with some ghost writing by Steven King, it ought to be in Theaters by THIS New Years Eve...!!! Ought to outdraw that Potter kid 5-to-1 !

>Once in a while the
>> filler writers get carried away. That "MV Oak" story may be one of
>> those.
>
>Like your charges of ARRL dishonesty, this one one will never be backed
>by fact.

Another item to add to the "Waiting For Lennie To Back Up His Wierd Claims" file. I am into volume 3 already.

>> Consider also that it was spread by those who
>> strongly favored morse code use...and testing.
>
>Newspapers? Wire services

The ARRL, Dave...! Didn't you read my comments above...?!?!

Sheesh! =)

>> It is highly improbable that all those things would have happened
>> as indicated on the date given.
>
>What makes it highly improbable, Len? On what date(s) would the events
>have been likely?

Friday the 13th? April 1st? October 31st? February 14th? September 18th?

>What does this have to do with the event which you suspect as a
>fabrication? Why should we believe any of the things published on the
>internet since your charges make it likely that they too could be
>fabrications?

Whew! Makes ya dizzy, doesn't it, Dave? I love how easy you can fold The Putzy One's own "logic" back on him. Does he REALLY think these things through? What kind of engineer doesn't evaluate his plan before publishing it?

>> "It must be Morse done by an experienced morseman"
>> is the battle-cry of the mythtakes. Nonsense, it is simple denial.

>Where is your proof of the battle cry claim? Who made the claim? When
>was the claim made? On what dates was this claim made? Where is
>documentation of such a claim to be found? If published on real paper
>with real ink, how can we be certain that it is not a fabrication? If
>published using only electrons and viewed on a computer monitor, how can
>we be certain that it is not a fabrication? Will such have a bearing on
>participation in CW Radiosport?

More questions for the file, Dave. Think he'll ever answer them?
well...I SHOULD say "answer them honestly"...!

73

Steve, K4YZ

1042. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 16:48:07 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021116114807.07665.00005250@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 55282

>Subject: Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 11/16/02 10:36 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021116113621.07628.00005432@mb-mn.aol.com>

>BUT...! They sold the movie rights to Steven Spielberg, and with some
>ghost writing by Steven King, it ought to be in Theaters by THIS New Years
>Eve...!!! Ought to outdraw that Potter kid 5-to-1 !

Oh yeah...I forgot!

They have bought the rights to Gordon Lightfoot's "Ballad of the Edmund Fitzgerald". They plan to re-write the lyrics to tell of the tragic sinking of the MV Oak in order to perpetuate Morse Code testing in the Amateur Radio Service.

On a freighter of steel
that was bent at the keel
was a story of sleight and deception
sank by a spook crew
sent by "you know who"
to allow Morse Code reception....

This could be fun! Wonder if I can get a share of the royalties...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

1043. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 16:50:27 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021116115027.07665.00005251@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 55283

>Subject: Re: Eight Minutes?
>From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
>Date: 11/15/02 4:40 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <f45722ac.0211151440.687bb51f@posting.google.com>

>Am I under apprehension?

Your "apprehension", Brian, should occur every time you go to hit the
"send" key for another RRAP posting that can't be substantiated.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1044. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 17:00:44 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021116120044.09268.00001253@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 55284

In article <20021115190712.23141.00000380@mb-mt.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) writes:

>>If only everyone else held this attitude, the ARS could have been
>>spared it's ugliest, most controversial debate -- and we'd still have
>>reasonable and purposeful licensing requirements.
>
> No, you would have an unchanging regulation structure permitting
> nothing new in amateur radio in at least the last half century. No
> new modes, no new bands, everything proceding as it always had
> been (since 1934) and having no relationship to the changing state
> of the radio art in the rest of the radio world.

Lennie:

That is one of the most absurd statements I've ever seen on this newsgroup. Once again, you expound the age-old fallacy that those of us who support code testing requirements are some kind of Luddites who don't want anything new to be implemented in the ARS. Nothing could be further from the truth, and my own operation is proof that I don't personally think that way. Get a grip, man.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1045. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 17:00:44 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021116120044.09268.00001252@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 55285

In article <3DD46F5E.267F6856@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>> Any ham
>> who can only communicate by talking is going to run out of communications
>> capability under a lot of real-world emergency conditions, whereas a
>> CW-proficient ham will be able to keep on going.
>
>Name one situation in the last five years where cw was the only
>mode available in a real world emergency condition.

No, I won't.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1046. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 17:04:02 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DD67A82.68E74C7E@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55288

N2EY wrote:
.
> >And what was all this cw traffic, just some hams sending reports
> >back and forth to themselves?
>
> It was whatever communications was being handled by the amateur HF SSB nets,
> but which could not get through on SSB.

Which was? Was it emergency traffic that was aiding other agencies
or just hams using cw to send report back and forth to themselves?

> >Are you saying there were no other
> >means of communications? No other agencies could communicate?
>
> In some cases, yes. Electric power, commercial communications and
> transportation were disrupted for many days. Repair efforts were hampered by
> the lack of transportation. The ice storm conditions were widespread, not
> localized in a few small areas. Winter auroral conditions sometimes made SSB
> unintelligible even when signal strength was adequate.

So were the ham using cw to send information to and from on behalf
of all these agencies that could not communicate?

>
> >All
> >telephone lines were inoperative to all points?
>
> Why must they have been inoperative "to all points"? Wouldn't loss of telephone
> communication to some points needing communication constitute an emergency?
>
> >The only way the
> >world heard of this emergency was via ham radio cw?
>
> What you're really saying is that the ice storm wasn't an emergency at all, and
> that amateur radio wasn't needed. Were you there?
>
> >> > K0HB has posted that during the Red River flood a few springs back, hams
> >used
> >> > Morse/CW when SSB would not get through.
> >
> >And again, were they passing emergency traffic? How much? Are you
> >saying that no other agencies, highway patrol, sheriff's dept.,
> >police departments could communicate? It was either ham radio cw
> >or nothing?
>

> See above. Do you think that amateur radio plays no role in emergencies?

Certainly it does, and I have participated in many emergencies,
but have yet to see where the only means of communication was cw
only.

> >
> >> > Would PSK31 or other data modes have worked in the above cases? Maybe -
> >but
> >> > they were not available when needed.
> >> >
> >> > Does any of this absolutely prove that ALL hams MUST be codetested? Of
> >course
> >> > not. But the fact remains that Morse/CW has been used in recent emergency
> >> > situations when other available modes could not do the job.
>
> Please note the above paragraph. I am not claiming that the use of CW/Morse in
> emergencies by hams is some sort of proof that ALL hams MUST be CW/Morse
> tested. If CW/Morse skill in emergencies was that important, it would not only
> need to be tested upon license grant, but also retested at intervals to insure
> that all hams retained the skill. That's obviously not going to happen.
>
> >> Dang, Jim, ya did his research for him.
>
> No, I answered a simple, straightforward request:
>
> "Name one situation in the last five years where cw was the only mode available
> in a real world emergency condition."
>
> with at least three examples. There's at least one more - see KT4ST's accounts
> here of a hurricane (Floyd?) back in 1999.
>
> >> But I bet you didn't change his mindset.
> >
> >If you mean that cw is going to save the world, you're right.
>
> JJ's responses really seem to be that amateur radio isn't going to "save the
> world" .
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Now you got it, not with cw anyway.

1047. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 17:07:27 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DD67B4F.66DCB919@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55289

Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
>
> > In article <3DD5B318.D1451092@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
> > writes:
>
> <some snippage here>
>
> > In some cases, yes. Electric power, commercial communications and
> > transportation were disrupted for many days. Repair efforts were hampered by
> > the lack of transportation. The ice storm conditions were widespread, not
> > localized in a few small areas. Winter auroral conditions sometimes made SSB
> > unintelligible even when signal strength was adequate.
> >
> >
> >>All
> >>telephone lines were inoperative to all points?
> >>
> >
> > Why must they have been inoperative "to all points"? Wouldn't loss of telephone
> > communication to some points needing communication constitute an emergency?
> >
> >
> >>The only way the
> >>world heard of this emergency was via ham radio cw?
> >>
> >
> > What you're really saying is that the ice storm wasn't an emergency at all, and
> > that amateur radio wasn't needed. Were you there?
>
> I was visiting Ontario the next summer, and aside from the news reports
> during the disaster, the locals I met related that the power outages
> were huge and unbelievably (their words) widespread. They said that the
> only thing that kept it from being worse was that it was unusually warm.
> Otherwise there would have been many people frozen to death.
>
> <more snippage>
>
> >
> > JJ's responses really seem to be that amateur radio isn't going to "save the
> > world" .
>
> I think we have a case of CW haters simply reiterating their hate for CW.

> When asked for instances of CW being used in an emergency, rather than
> "thanks for the info" we get a belittlement of the circumstances. That
> is not very kind toward the people stuck within those circumstances.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

I am not a cw hater, the only thing I would hate is to see it go
way. I just can't subscribe to the theory put forth here by some
who think hams using cw are going to save the world and if a ham
doesn't use or care for cw then that somehow lowers his status.

1048. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 17:18:34 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DD67DEA.E877147@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55291

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3DD5B318.D1451092@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
> writes:
>
> >
> >And again, were they passing emergency traffic? How much? Are you
> >saying that no other agencies, highway patrol, sheriff's dept.,
> >police departments could communicate? It was either ham radio cw
> >or nothing?
>
> JJ:
>
> Is that so hard to believe, or is it that you just don't *want* to believe it?
> That's the problem with you no-coders.

Don't flatter yourself Roll, I was a ham operator long before no
code was ever thought of. I have been licensed since 1961, how
long have you? Since 1981 you say? Hey Roll, I got 20 years on you
as a licensed ham, you're just a wet behind the ear newbie, a much
inferior ham than I am.
Now go talk to the cbers, you could probably learn a lot.

You know nothing about CW because
> you have had no practical experience in using it at a useful proficiency
> level, therefore you tend to disbelieve it's potential. It all boils down to
> two things -- laziness and whining.

As a novice I could only use cw on HF, I sat in front of an FCC
examiner for my General and Advanced license and I can still copy
and send CW, so don't try to inflate your big ego by attempting to
degrade my abilities. It just chaps your butt to think that CW is
just not as important to ham radio as you would like.
>
> >> >
> >> > Would PSK31 or other data modes have worked in the above cases? Maybe -
> >but
> >> > they were not available when needed.
>
> And if they had been available, they more than likely would have been utilized
> by hams who also had good CW skills as a backup. For some strange reason,
> CW-proficient hams seem to dominate the landscape in ham radio digital mode
> usage.
>
> >> > Does any of this absolutely prove that ALL hams MUST be codetested? Of

> >course
> >> > not. But the fact remains that Morse/CW has been used in recent emergency
> >> > situations when other available modes could not do the job.
> >> >
> >> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Why DOESN'T that mean that all hams should be code tested, Jim? I believe
> you've
> just proved, beyond a doubt that code testing all hams is a valid and essential
> part of the licensing process. Or are you just trying to avoid the social
> consequences of a hard-core PCTA stance?

Larry thinks that since he had to do it all hams should. Face it
Larry, CW is a minor part of ham radio today and it isn't going to
change. Deal with it newbie.

1049. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 17:20:32 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DD67E60.A92D3BF5@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55292

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> JJ wrote:
> >
> > N2EY wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <ar40cn$er5$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
> > > <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> writes:
> > >
> > > >The Russians and most of the old Eastern Block have not abandoned CW.
> > >
> > > Nor have American hams.
> >
> > And they won't even if it is dropped as a requirement. It is just
> > that knowing cw isn't the savior of the world,
>
> So why do you insist that someone here ever said it will?
>
> nor does it make
> > one a better ham than some other. Dick and Larry are proof of
> > that.
>
> You're too much of a fool to understand that it's no more than one more
> mode - the most basic easily implemented mode of all. Refusing to learn
> to use it makes a ham equal to those who did only in your feeble mind.

And the ability to use cw as making one a superior ham is only in
your feeble mind.

1050. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 17:23:42 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DD67F1E.6E8B5F4C@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55293

```
Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> JJ wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > Also, on the very last day of Maritime CW activity on 500 khz -about 5
> > > years ago- a ship in distress near England used CW to call for help.
> > > That's in the archives also. So your refusal to acknowledge the facts
> > > -which are available to you as well as the rest of us- tells us a lot
> > > about you. And it ain't pretty.
> >
> > And even the military and maritime service has abandoned cw. The
> > only thing that "aint pretty" here is you unless someone thinks
> > your face that looks like an ass is pretty.
>
> JJ if you had half a brain you'd be dangerous. We have nothing to fear
> from you.

And I heard that medical research wants you to donate your brain
upon your death, because they want one that has never been used.
```

1051. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 17:24:52 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DD67F64.C8D460D8@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55294

```
Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3DD46F5E.267F6856@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
> writes:
>
> >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >> Any ham
> >> who can only communicate by talking is going to run out of communications
> >> capability under a lot of real-world emergency conditions, whereas a
> >> CW-proficient ham will be able to keep on going.
> >
> >Name one situation in the last five years where cw was the only
> >mode available in a real world emergency condition.
>
> No, I won't.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Why am I not surprised?
```

1052. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 17:25:43 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021116122543.25792.00001398@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 55286

In article <3DD5B318.D1451092@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
writes:

>
>And again, were they passing emergency traffic? How much? Are you
>saying that no other agencies, highway patrol, sheriff's dept.,
>police departments could communicate? It was either ham radio cw
>or nothing?

JJ:

Is that so hard to believe, or is it that you just don't *want* to believe it?
That's the problem with you no-coders. You know nothing about CW because
you have had no practical experience in using it at a useful proficiency
level, therefore you tend to disbelieve it's potential. It all boils down to
two things -- laziness and whining.

>> >
>> > Would PSK31 or other data modes have worked in the above cases? Maybe -
>but
>> > they were not available when needed.

And if they had been available, they more than likely would have been utilized
by hams who also had good CW skills as a backup. For some strange reason,
CW-proficient hams seem to dominate the landscape in ham radio digital mode
usage.

>> > Does any of this absolutely prove that ALL hams MUST be codetested? Of
>course
>> > not. But the fact remains that Morse/CW has been used in recent emergency
>> > situations when other available modes could not do the job.
>> >
>> > 73 de Jim, N2EY

Why DOESN'T that mean that all hams should be code tested, Jim? I believe
you've
just proved, beyond a doubt that code testing all hams is a valid and essential
part of the licensing process. Or are you just trying to avoid the social
consequences of a hard-core PCTA stance?

73 de Larry, K3LT

1053. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 17:29:10 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DD68066.F2EE4B54@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55295

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <20021115190712.23141.00000380@mb-mt.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
> (Len Over 21) writes:
>
> >>If only everyone else held this attitude, the ARS could have been
> >>spared it's ugliest, most controversial debate -- and we'd still have
> >>reasonable and purposeful licensing requirements.
> > >
> > > No, you would have an unchanging regulation structure permitting
> > > nothing new in amateur radio in at least the last half century. No
> > > new modes, no new bands, everything proceding as it always had
> > > been (since 1934) and having no relationship to the changing state
> > > of the radio art in the rest of the radio world.
>
> Lennie:
>
> That is one of the most absurd statements I've ever seen on this
> newsgroup. Once again, you expound the age-old fallacy that
> those of us who support code testing requirements are some kind
> of Luddites who don't want anything new to be implemented in
> the ARS. Nothing could be further from the truth, and my own
> operation is proof that I don't personally think that way. Get a
> grip, man.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

It isn't the fact that you support code testing Roll, it is the
fact of you and dickieboys superior attitude about it and think
that any ham who does not care for the use of cw is somehow less
than you. Get a grip yourself newbie.

1054. Re: Only 41 shopping days.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 17:44:26 UTC
From: Hiram Hambone <offfreqssb@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3dd68494@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 55287

...just what we really need!! Copies of POLICE ID
type badges being sold to goofy hams so they can
use them to impersonate Law Enforcement Officers.

(..and *PLEASE* don't tell me that some hams among
us will not purchase these things for the SOLE PURPOSE
of impersonating a Police Officer to intimidate civilians.
In case you didn't know it, impersonating Law Enforcement
is a Felony in most States)

Real nice.

I hope that some fatass ham with a ballcap on
his head who thinks he's "big shit" flashes one
of those "ham badges" and then get's their sorry
ass tossed in jail.

"K&#65533;HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:Yx%A9.641$B85.67141@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> For the Radio Kop on your list.....
>
>
> http://www.westid.com/
>
>
> &#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
> http://www.mnyarc.org
> http://www.k0bsa.org
>
>
>

1055. Re: Only 41 shopping days.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 18:09:25 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DD689D5.AA0FE4AC@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55297

Hiram Hambone wrote:
>
> ...just what we really need!! Copies of POLICE ID
> type badges being sold to goofy hams so they can
> use them to impersonate Law Enforcement Officers.
>
> (..and *PLEASE* don't tell me that some hams among
> us will not purchase these things for the SOLE PURPOSE
> of impersonating a Police Officer to intimidate civilians.
> In case you didn't know it, impersonating Law Enforcement
> is a Felony in most States)
>
> Real nice.
>
> I hope that some fatass ham with a ballcap on
> his head who thinks he's "big shit" flashes one
> of those "ham badges" and then get's their sorry
> ass tossed in jail.

So how long have you been a cber?

1056. Re: Re Field Day (was Eight Minutes?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 19:15:17 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211161115.304dec65@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55290

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20021115223300.13494.00000543@mb-mj.aol.com>...

> >
> >It's N8NN's new rig. I'm an Omni VI Plus man (but damned if I'm taking
> >it out to Field Day, heh heh).
>
> There's a Barracuda Rule about that.

Ya mean like . . . a certain TS-940 maybe . . ?

THAT fiasco ain't gonna happen again. I agree with Dave's decision not
to put the Top Gun radio out in the woods, do it to somebody else's
radio.

>
> Are you going to go for an Orion?

Not if he has any sense.

>
> My Southgate Type 6 and Type 7 rigs have seen a few Field Days. Whatta job! I
> built a K2 in part to make FD easier. Smallest rig I ever owned!

I know where ya can get a radio which is only a little bigger than a
K2 but pumps out 20 times as many watts than the K2 does on all bends
below 54Mhz . . has a FD carrying handle too . .

> >
> >> What were you using for antennas?
> >
> >A 200 foot dipole up about forty feet, fed with open wire line.
>
> beautiful, just beautiful.
> >> --
> >>
> >> FD note: A quick look through the listings reveals a few rrap regulars,
> like
> >> W4NTI, K8MN and myself. I suspect Hans was part of the W0AA.operation (?)
> >> Anybody else actually operate on FD? What callsign was used?
> >
> >I remember a CW contact with Dan but don't know if we worked you or
> >not. If so, it might have been on one of Bert's shifts.
>
> I don't think we did - I would have seen your call when I redid the logs. Next
> year!
>
> --

>
> A thought on participation in ARRL contests vs. Diamond clubs and QST line
> scores:
>
> One of the criteria for including or excluding stuff from QST is popularity. If
> contest participation drops, they will see it as a drop in popularity of the
> contest and confirmation of their decision to remove the scores, rather than as
> a protest. End result is shooting oneself in the foot, as it were.

Putting it another way:

(1) Contest popularity is increasing, not decreasing.
(2) QST has little or nothing to do with the popularity of contesting.
(3) If contests are not reported in QST conetsters will go elsewhere
for what they're interested in and QST loses.

I'm still waiting for Hare to tell me how much it costs the ARRL per
page of QST to publish contest results.

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

1057. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 19:35:39 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211161135.6b78ff57@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55296

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20021115223259.13494.00000542@mb-mj.aol.com>...

.....

> >have copied AC6XG's weak signal if he had been running SSB in the
> >garbage up the band. He was Q5 all the way with CW.
>
> Then let's just do it. How about 7037 at 9 PM EST

Too early for the left coasters, oughta be 10 - 10:30pm EST.

> on Wednesdays? Alternate QRG
> 3537 in case MUF is too low.

Some specific Wednesday nite. The MUF hasn't been below 7Mhz for years
except as a result of a few solar events, 40 will work, 80 is too much
of a stretch, not that many 80m antennas around and the left coasters
don't do well here before our midnite on 80m. The alternative to 40m
should be 20m.

> The invitation includes all interested parties.
>
> >If there was ever a glaring example of why free lunches and "all
> >inclusive think" doesn't work this current idiotic thrash is IT.
>
> I think that is Hans' point all along.

Maybe. Let his operation play out before another shot is taken.

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

1058. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 20:15:12 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021116151512.29847.00000663@mb-fs.aol.com>
Source record: 55298

In article <20021116120044.09268.00001253@mb-cu.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>That is one of the most absurd statements I've ever seen on this
>newsgroup. Once again, you expound the age-old fallacy that
>those of us who support code testing requirements are some kind
>of Luddites who don't want anything new to be implemented in
>the ARS.

Larrie,

Your truth is out there...

You champion morse code testing because you passed it. It gave you rank, status, privilege in an avocation involving radio. You keep on connecting your own personal desires as the model for all radio amateurs of the future to follow...and then denigrate those who oppose your viewpoints.

You fit the "Luddite" label in everything but destroying machinery.

>Nothing could be further from the truth, and my own
>operation is proof that I don't personally think that way.

QED. What you do is "champion" yet offer no proof other than claims in this newsgroup.

At the same time you continue to post myths and half-truths denigrating "no-coders" who were supposed to be in US amateur radio "in hordes, advancing the state of the art (of ham radio)."

What has Larrie Roll done to advance the state of the amateur radio art? Continue to exist?!?

>Get a grip, man.

No need. I have a full set of luggage.

Don't let the bus door hit your ass on the way out...

1059. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 20:15:12 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021116151512.29847.00000662@mb-fs.aol.com>
Source record: 55299

In article <3DD5B520.4C385EA@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
writes:

>Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>
>> Actually your two questions were: Well perhaps they should?
>Referring to
>> your suggestion that I seek psychological help, and the second was some
>> presumed obsession with rank, title, etc.
>>
>> I answered the first. These people sought me out, not the reverse.
>>
>> As for an obsession with title, rank, and privelege, For the most
>part, I
>> could care less.
>
>You mean "I couldn't care less".

Coslo cares a great deal...otherwise he wouldn't be building that
big mountain out of all the posting.

It's a fairly common tactic in computer-modem communications.
Those who have overly-personal attachment to a set of ideas,
mores, ethics, etc., wish to have all think as they do or be as
important as they think they are. They will willingly fight anyone
who threatens their self-perception on a personal level without
considering the actual subject matter.

As such, everything they find that is contrary to their personal
beliefs is open season for personal attacks on the person having
contrary ideas...the subject matter be damned.

Coslo tried to misdirect on claiming "the subject title is CW
radiosport" and then connecting that with personal activities
involving his local activities. That connection is invalid but he
claims outrage (albeit mild) and attempts to charge "wrong-
doing" because of "not following the subject thread." :-)

"CW" is a familiar term in amateur radio for on-off keyed morse
codings. "CW" is a required pass-fail test element for a US
amateur radio license having below-30-MHz privileges. To
legally engage in "CW Radiosport" one has to pass an amateur
radio license test involving manual morse code testing. There
is no requirement whatsoever to pass some local test on being
"sought out for officership" in a local organization.

Beep, beep.

1060. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 20:28:44 UTC
From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net
Message-ID: <ar69ps$82h$1@heap.juniper.net>
Source record: 55300

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:
> If anyone can find the registry of this Motor Vessel Oak, please
> post it or a reference to where such evidence may be found.

I don't think the Bahamas has their ship registry online, but the
MV Oak has been to the Great Lakes and this is recorded online. See

http://www.boatnerd.com/pictures/salty/saltynop.htm#o

Dennis Ferguson

1061. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 22:17:52 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ar6fuh018m2@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55151

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DD68066.F2EE4B54@mailcity.net...

> > That is one of the most absurd statements I've ever seen on this
> > newsgroup. Once again, you expound the age-old fallacy that
> > those of us who support code testing requirements are some kind
> > of Luddites who don't want anything new to be implemented in
> > the ARS. Nothing could be further from the truth, and my own
> > operation is proof that I don't personally think that way. Get a
> > grip, man.
> >
> > 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
> It isn't the fact that you support code testing Roll, it is the
> fact of you and dickieboys superior attitude about it and think
> that any ham who does not care for the use of cw is somehow less
> than you. Get a grip yourself newbie.

There are those, such as Larry, that will forever cling to hazing ritual of
code testing. Instead of helping amateur radio grow, all they can do is
complain and reminisce about the good 'ol days.

1062. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-16 22:52:23 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DD6CC0F.5326F2CE@earthlink.net>
Source record: 55152

Len Over 21 wrote:

> It's a fairly common tactic in computer-modem communications.
> Those who have overly-personal attachment to a set of ideas,
> mores, ethics, etc., wish to have all think as they do or be as
> important as they think they are. They will willingly fight anyone
> who threatens their self-perception on a personal level without
> considering the actual subject matter.

You seem to have an overly personal attachment to the idea of doing away with the requirement for a morse test in the amateur radio service in which you are not a participant. You think that all others should believe as you do. You come out scratching and clawing on a personal level against those who threaten your self-perception.

> As such, everything they find that is contrary to their personal
> beliefs is open season for personal attacks on the person having
> contrary ideas...the subject matter be damned.

Ummmmm, you are guilty of this one as well.

> "CW" is a familiar term in amateur radio for on-off keyed morse
> codings. "CW" is a required pass-fail test element for a US
> amateur radio license having below-30-MHz privileges. To
> legally engage in "CW Radiosport" one has to pass an amateur
> radio license test involving manual morse code testing.

Uh, yes. No one was encouraging illegal participation.

Dave K8MN

1063. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 00:28:47 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021116192847.21163.00005180@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 55153

>Subject: Re: The Future of Packet Radio
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/16/02 2:15 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021116151512.29847.00000663@mb-fs.aol.com>

>What has Larrie Roll done to advance the state of the amateur
> radio art?

WHOA! This from a guy who's last "contribution" to Amatuer Radio was to pen a few dubiously original articles in a now-defunct magazine almost 20 years ago!

>Don't let the bus door hit your ass on the way out.

And only yesterday, His Scuminess was lambasting others, accusing them of trying to force others from a public forum...Yet here this lying two-faced punk does it himself...AGAIN!

Geeeeezis...WHAT a PUTZ!

1064. Re: Re Field Day (was Eight Minutes?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 01:02:30 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211161702.a04127d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55154

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3DD434FF.813E07E9@earthlink.net>...
>
> It's N8NN's new rig. I'm an Omni VI Plus man (but damned if I'm taking
> it out to Field Day, heh heh).

Yeh, I don't think a forklift is part of the field day equation. ;)

1065. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 01:06:34 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211161706.1145043b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55155

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021116115027.07665.00005251@mb-mn.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Eight Minutes?
> >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> >Date: 11/15/02 4:40 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <f45722ac.0211151440.687bb51f@posting.google.com>
>
> >Am I under apprehension?
>
> Your "apprehension", Brian, should occur every time you go to hit the
> "send" key for another RRAP posting that can't be substantiated.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Which posting can't be substantiated?

1066. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 01:08:29 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211161708.6a1ef626@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55156

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3DD64AC2.9484679D@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DD5277C.5C958300@earthlink.net>...
> >
> > > Taking exams and passing exams are two very different things. In the
> > > early '90s, passing the Extra meant passing a 20 wpm morse test. Did
> > > you do that too? If not, you didn't "pass the Extra".
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > I -passed- the General, Advanced, and Extra writtens. Feel better?
>
> I feel that you've arrived at the truth. Do YOU feel better now?
>
> Dave K8MN

It's what I said. It's as true now as when I passed the exams.

1067. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 01:10:43 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211161710.5ebe5ea7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55157

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20021115160909.13117.00000078@mb-mb.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Eight Minutes?
> >From: "Dan/W4NTI" w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com
> >Date: 11/15/02 11:10 AM Eastern Standard Time
> >Message-id: <ar36a1bei1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
> >
> >Thats another MAJOR CHANGE that shows how the exam proceedures have been
> >downgraded.
> >
> >When you took the test at the FCC office you had ONE CHANCE. If you failed
> >you took it again. THE WHOLE THING. The next time you traveled to the FCC
> >office.
>
> Yup. In fact, you had to pass the appropriate code tests (receiving AND
> sending) before they'd even let you try the written.
> >
> >No such thing as code credit or partial exam credit.
>
> Exactly. And no "pay another fee, have another go" either. You could not retest
> until 30 days had elapsed.
>
> And don't forget about needing two years' experience to even try the Extra.
>
> >Incentive licensing to the max.
>
> Those rules existed before the changes of 1968.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Oh, I agree 100%. Lets limit US involvement in amateur radio to 150,000 persons.

1068. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 01:14:36 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211161714.23e21f6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55158

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021115085334.26007.00000005@mb-fi.aol.com>...
> >That's 9.6 seconds per question, reading the question, doing the math,
> >reading the answers, and selecting the right answer EVERY time. On a
> >Lark, without studying.
>
> You dont have to believe it, doesnt matter to me, but others here have taken
> the same test on line and have beaten my time. So I guess eveyone here is lying
> except you. I think the real problem is you cant pass it, so you are trying to
> come up with another reason why testing is un fair to you.
> It took your great NCI leader over a year to pass it, and as he had said many
> times it was the CW that was his down fall, yea right, so whats your excusse
> now?

So Bruces rises to the top on the backs of people he despises. No
wonder you think your license is worthless. Get a "Sharpie" and write
"VOID" across the front of your license and send it to Riley. And
give the $250 dollars back to those "CB-Plussers." They probably have
families to feed.

1069. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 01:16:55 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211161716.ce6d9e2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55159

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021115085803.26007.00000006@mb-fi.aol.com>...
> >Correct. I passed the General, the Advanced, and the Extra in the
> >early 90's. Without an accompanying Farnsworth/Morse CSCE, the
> >upgrade is not processed.
>
> So in other words you didnt take the whole test you just wimped out

You're the one who wimped out. You waited for NCI to finish their
work so you could finally be like Larry.

I took as much of test as you did, and took it years earlier.

1070. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 01:22:57 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211161722.73cbcbf0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55160

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021115091442.01065.00005703@mb-mk.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Eight Minutes?
> >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> >Date: 11/15/02 7:41 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <f45722ac.0211150541.5f156fdf@posting.google.com>
>
> >Correct. I passed the General, the Advanced, and the Extra in the
> >early 90's. Without an accompanying Farnsworth/Morse CSCE, the
> >upgrade is not processed.
>
> Just who in the heck do you think believes THIS crap, Brian...?!?!
>
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! !

I do. I was there.

> >But I've gone over this with you before.
>
> And you were wrong if you asserted that you had to send a CSCE for a test
> you previously passed and already had a license issued for.

And you are wrong for saying that is what I am saying. Don't you ever
get anything right?

> >You're being silly by claiming that the advanced and the extra, today
> >combined, are not equivalent to today's extra.
> >
> >Yes or No?
>
> No, they are not. New licensee's are NOT requied to pass Element 4A
> anymore.

Steve, c'mon, you can't be that stupid. Did you sleep through the
last few years on rrap?

> If you already had a General you already ahd "evidence" of having passed
> the code test.
>
> Sheesh.

Steve, you can sheesh all day long, but until you get your facts
straight, there's just no talking to you. Your mind's made up and
that's all there is to it. So, you remain uninformed and wrong.

1071. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 01:48:13 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211161748.14c92c2c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55161

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20021115162341.13117.00000079@mb-mb.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Eight Minutes?
> >From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
> >Date: 11/15/02 9:14 AM Eastern Standard Time
> >Message-id: <20021115091442.01065.00005703@mb-mk.aol.com>
> >
> >>Subject: Re: Eight Minutes?
> >>From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> >>Date: 11/15/02 7:41 AM Central Standard Time
> >>Message-id: <f45722ac.0211150541.5f156fdf@posting.google.com>
>
> >>Correct. I passed the General, the Advanced, and the Extra in the
> >>early 90's. Without an accompanying Farnsworth/Morse CSCE, the
> >>upgrade is not processed.
> >
> > Just who in the heck do you think believes THIS crap, Brian...?!?!
> >
> > HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> Steve,
>
> Before April 15, 2000, a person could take and pass all the writtens, yet still
> have a Tech license. Passing 5 wpm would only get a Tech Plus. So it's quite
> possible that N0IMD had a Tech Plus back then, and CSCEs for 3B, 4A, and 4B.
> Getting an upgrade from those CSCEs would have required 13 or 20 wpm code, OR a
> waiver for same.

And before that, a person could be a Novice, pass the General writtens
(the FCC split that exam just prior to my testing, got a CSCE for the
second part that expired after one year) and be called a Technician,
then about 1994 get renamed as a Technician Plus. Then take the
General (again), the Advanced, and the Extra, and in one year those
CSCEs expire and no license is granted.

We've covered this ground before.

> >
> >>But I've gone over this with you before.
> >
> > And you were wrong if you asserted that you had to send a CSCE for a
> >test
> >you previously passed and already had a license issued for.
> >
> I think you misunderstand, Steve.

I really think you misunderstand, Steve.

> >>You're being silly by claiming that the advanced and the extra, today
> >>combined, are not equivalent to today's extra.
> >>
> >>Yes or No?
> >
> > No, they are not. New licensee's are NOT requied to pass Element 4A
> >anymore.
>
> That's right. The Q&A pools from old Elements 4A and 4B were combined and
> revised to make up new Element 4. Some questions were eliminated in the
> process.
>
> Old 4A was 50 questions, old 4B was 40 questions. New 4 is 50 questions. Which
> is "more rigorous" or "harder to pass"? That's an opinion question. Some think
> having to do 2 tests totalling 90 q's is easier, others think it's harder.

If Bruce did it... (but I don't really think he did, not under the
conditions he is claiming).

> > If you already had a General you already ahd "evidence" of having passed
> >the code test.
> >
> > Sheesh.
>
> But N0IMD was a Tech Plus before restructuring.
>
> Whether he passed the old elements is beside the point, because the discussion
> is about current Element 4. There is no evidence that N0IMD has passed that
> test, or even tried it, yet he denies the possibility that someone else has
> done it in eight minutes.

I don't deny it. QRZ wouldn't lie, would it?

I said I don't believe it.

The conditions were:

1. without studying
2. on a bet ($250)
3. two "CB-Plussers"
4. in eight minutes
5. with no errors
6. by a functional illiterate

> Seems that N0IMD confuses the ability to pass an amateur radio exam

They're passed every day. No confusion here.

with the
> ability to write posts here that are grammatically correct and well
> punctuated.

Thank you. But I do make mistakes.

> Oddly enough, the claims of KB9RQZ (remember him?) were never so
> scrutinized by N0IMD.

Who admitted to having dyslexia and other brain disorders. Who didn't claim to have passed the Extra exam on a lark, without studying, on a bet, for $250, from two CB-Plussers, in 8 minutes, without error. Who didn't mispell every other word when telling other people how dumb they were.

And I had commented on ocassion that I had no idea what he was trying to say.

> Fascinating.

Indeed. He was more believable than Bruce.

So now you are the Champion of Bruce!

You libs always need a cause, no matter how dispicable.

Did you see his announcement where he was going to visit Kim, then changed his story when someone described his planned visit as "stalking?"

1072. Re: Lance Bass is now a ham!!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 01:56:22 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211161756.52171da8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55162

N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0211150919.734d4813@posting.google.com>...
> "K HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:<TsbA9.992$Ta6.98079@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> > I'm not sure why her
>
> [Kim]
>
> >'looks' have anything to do with rrap, but I remember
> > she looked like your typical "lady down the street" .... just sort of
> > 'regular'.
> >
> > ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> If people are going to be judged on appearance, then a look at various
> bios and photos can be quite interesting and illuminating. Worth a
> quick surf over to qrz.com, anyway. The "pot-kettle" saying comes to
> mind....
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Yeh, the "pot, kettle, black" station has no operator.

1073. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 02:11:50 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021116211150.19764.00000072@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 55163

>Now you'll excuse me while I go take $250 from a couple of
>"CB-Plussers" by taking the Extra exam without error in UNDER 7
>minutes, all on a lark and having never studied.
>

That will never happen on your part, you are not smart enough

1074. Re: Only 41 shopping days.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 02:24:01 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021116212401.19764.00000073@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 55164

>Now If I were a genius like you, I could just lift money from "CB
>Plussers" on a whim.
>
>

If you were smart enough you could, but it appears your not.

1075. Re: REPOST: The no-code end game

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 02:32:55 UTC
From: "Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org>
Message-ID: <ar6v2i12068@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55166
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Dave Johnson" <dh2311@ncvillage.net> wrote in message
news:0937830FA3.38158898DFE@ncvillage.net...
> Originally posted by Bruce Perens, K6BP, this is well worth rereading:
>
> ====(begin repost)====
> NO-CODE: THE END-GAME
>
> You may know me: I'm Bruce Perens K6BP. I'm the founder of No-Code
> International. Outside of Amateur Radio, I'm probably best known as
> one of the founders of the Open Source movement in computer software,
> and an early Linux developer. You can read my bio at http://perens.com/ .

No modesty there. If you don't believe how great he is, just ask him.

--

Regards,
Joe S.

1076. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 02:47:41 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ar700d$93e$0@208.206.142.72>
Source record: 55165

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <20021115190712.23141.00000380@mb-mt.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
> (Len Over 21) writes:
>
> > No, you would have an unchanging regulation structure permitting
> > nothing new in amateur radio in at least the last half century. No
> > new modes, no new bands, everything proceding as it always had
> > been (since 1934) and having no relationship to the changing state
> > of the radio art in the rest of the radio world.
>
> Lennie:
>
> That is one of the most absurd statements I've ever seen on this
> newsgroup.

What the hey, what should we expect from the creepiest of creeps? At
least
pedophiles hang out in areas where they have an actual interest in what
transpires.
Maybe the Putz has just become misplaced or suffered an involuntary
transfer.

Once again, you expound the age-old fallacy that
> those of us who support code testing requirements are some kind
> of Luddites who don't want anything new to be implemented in
> the ARS. Nothing could be further from the truth

It's the most ubiquitous and the classic of the code-haters many
lamebrained and easily refuted mantras. Same one as the idiot JJ has
been using here lately.

1077. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 04:04:54 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DD71566.F1DF8472@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55168

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >
> > In article <20021115190712.23141.00000380@mb-mt.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
> > (Len Over 21) writes:
> >
> > > No, you would have an unchanging regulation structure permitting
> > > nothing new in amateur radio in at least the last half century. No
> > > new modes, no new bands, everything proceding as it always had
> > > been (since 1934) and having no relationship to the changing state
> > > of the radio art in the rest of the radio world.
> >
> > Lennie:
> >
> > That is one of the most absurd statements I've ever seen on this
> > newsgroup.
>
> What the hey, what should we expect from the creepiest of creeps? At
> least
> pedophiles hang out in areas where they have an actual interest in what
> transpires.
> Maybe the Putz has just become misplaced or suffered an involuntary
> transfer.
>
> Once again, you expound the age-old fallacy that
> > those of us who support code testing requirements are some kind
> > of Luddites who don't want anything new to be implemented in
> > the ARS. Nothing could be further from the truth
>
> It's the most ubiquitous and the classic of the code-haters many
> lamebrained and easily refuted mantras. Same one as the idiot JJ has
> been using here lately.

Poor dickie and larry, really chaps their butts that now someone
can become an extra just like them with only a 5 wpm code test.

1078. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 04:37:16 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021116233716.17907.00000557@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 55167

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329
Tech - 205,394
Tech Plus - 128,860
General - 112,677
Advanced - 99,782
Extra - 78,750
Total - 674,792

As of November 15, 2002:

Novice - 36,397 (decrease of 12,932)
Tech - 246,664 (increase of 41,270)
Tech Plus - 74,581 (decrease of 54,279)
General - 139,532 (increase of 26,855)
Advanced - 84,483 (decrease of 15,299)
Extra - 103,026 (increase of 25,276)
Total - 684,683 (increase of 9,891)

73 de Jim, N2EY

1079. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 13:04:35 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DD793CC.2959162C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 55169

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DD64AC2.9484679D@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DD5277C.5C958300@earthlink.net>...
> > >
> > > > Taking exams and passing exams are two very different things. In the
> > > > early '90s, passing the Extra meant passing a 20 wpm morse test. Did
> > > > you do that too? If not, you didn't "pass the Extra".
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > I -passed- the General, Advanced, and Extra writtens. Feel better?
> >
> > I feel that you've arrived at the truth. Do YOU feel better now?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> It's what I said. It's as true now as when I passed the exams.

That is not what you stated. Your previous claim to have "passed the
Extra exam" was erroneous. You passed part of it.

Dave K8MN

1080. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 13:38:17 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021117083817.13494.00000560@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 55170

In article <3DD71566.F1DF8472@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
writes:

>really chaps their butts that now someone
>can become an extra just like them with only a 5 wpm code test.
>
It's been possible to get any class of US ham license with 5 wpm since 1990.
All the restructuring did on that account was eliminate the need for a medical
waiver.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1081. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 15:11:13 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021117101113.24071.00000009@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 55171

>Subject: Re: The Future of Packet Radio
>From: earlebyrd@sun.up
>Date: 11/16/02 6:36 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <c8pdtucc3esgu3l980c0j7saq8u6q66rmd@4ax.com>

>So what! Just answer his question.

Dear Scummy Anonymous Troll:

No question was put to me by His Lyingness. Now crawl back into bed with your mother, sister, brother, or whoever is absorbing your body fluids this week.

I love how almost all of Lennie's "colleagues" are anonymous...MegHz, Vshash, "earlybyrd", etc...

Some SHOULD be...Brian (who is licensed but doesn't know a thing about proper licensing of stations or meeting "DX" criteria")...and "Markie", an illiterate pathological liar who thinks we can't subtract (like his age from the year the draft ended).

Some following, Lennie...Some following.

1082. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 16:19:27 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DD7C18F.3919BD8F@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55188

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > > nor does it make
> > > > one a better ham than some other. Dick and Larry are proof of
> > > > that.
> > >
> > > You're too much of a fool to understand that it's no more than one more
> > > mode - the most basic easily implemented mode of all. Refusing to learn
> > > to use it makes a ham equal to those who did only in your feeble mind.
> >
> > And the ability to use cw as making one a superior ham is only in
> > your feeble mind.
>
> If you're too dense to understand that one who is capable in a skill is
> more
> qualified that one who is not, well we'll just let that fact speak for
> itself.

More qualified that what? It just makes you more qualified in the
use of CW and outdated mode and nothing else.
And you're too dense (no if about it) to understand that just
because you consider yourself so proficient in cw does not make
you any better than any other ham, not even a no coder.

1083. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 16:20:14 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DD7C1BE.2D9EC6E7@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55192

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3DD67F64.C8D460D8@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
> writes:
>
> >> >Name one situation in the last five years where cw was the only
> >> >mode available in a real world emergency condition.
> >>
> >> No, I won't.
> >>
> >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
> >Why am I not surprised?
>
> JJ:
>
> No need to be surprised. I'm owed at least a decade's worth of
> proof I've requested from other posters before I'll jump through any
> hoops for anyone else. However, I'm not holding my breath
> waiting for it, and neither should you.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Come back in a few years when you have some real experience and
something of real value to say, newbie.

1084. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 17:00:11 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021117120011.23768.00001555@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 55172

In article <3DD67F64.C8D460D8@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
writes:

>> >Name one situation in the last five years where cw was the only
>> >mode available in a real world emergency condition.
>>
>> No, I won't.
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>Why am I not surprised?

JJ:

No need to be surprised. I'm owed at least a decade's worth of
proof I've requested from other posters before I'll jump through any
hoops for anyone else. However, I'm not holding my breath
waiting for it, and neither should you.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1085. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 17:00:12 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021117120012.23768.00001556@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 55173

In article <ar6fuh018m2@enews3.newsguy.com>, "Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com>
writes:

>There are those, such as Larry, that will forever cling to hazing ritual of
>code testing. Instead of helping amateur radio grow, all they can do is
>complain and reminisce about the good 'ol days.

Is there anyone out there in the group, who have known me longer
than Krell, who can remember me EVER "reminiscing about the
good 'ol days?"

73 de Larry, K3LT

1086. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 17:33:56 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <utfl6i5mi2i180@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55178

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021114210042.25072.00004915@mb-dh.aol.com...
> >I've
> >never complained once about my being an licensed radio amateur, and I
never
> >complained about the process.
>
> Of course you didnt, it was a Free Handout. By the way where are the
Pictures?

You didn't want to see them, you were given the link.

Kim W5TIT

1087. Re: Wheres TIT???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 17:36:59 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <utflc9il9g2q14@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55176

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021114221745.21158.00001136@mb-cu.aol.com...
> >How many brain cells did you kill to arrive at that pearl of wisdom?
> >ROFLMAO...
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
>
> Does that mean your not happy with your Call Sign TIT. Your the one who
picked
> it, your the one who paid for it. Just show us the TITs. I promise no one
will
> laugh. And dont worry you dont have to ask Brian or NCI if its all right.

I don't know if you're just being ignorant, or what, Waddles. I really like my callsign. Lots of people like my callsign. Yes, I did pick it and yes I like it. What, exactly, is your point--if you, for once, have one?

Oh, and if you're drooling so desperately for tits...ya might want to get a job, earn a little money and run on down to the club; you're bound to find someone who's desperate enough to take you there. Alcohol does wonders for perception.

Kim W5TIT

1088. Re: TIT I just took a private poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 17:40:33 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <utfliv4ugdaic1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55177

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021114222844.21256.00001009@mb-cu.aol.com...
> TIT, I just took a private poll, of all the real Hams on this group, and
they
> all promised me they would not laugh. Now I know it wasnt a sceintific
Poll,
> and I have my doubts about a few of them, but if any of them laugh, I will
> reveal to you who promised not to laugh. Now lets see them and stop
stalling.
> Dont be shy were all waiting.

Men with no intelligence and weaknesses often find strength in raping women. Are *you* one of those kinds of men, Waddles? Just in case you have some kind of sick notion that bothering me look at my tits is going to intimidate me, you should be forewarned that, to me, you're nothing but a limp mouse in the clutches of a tiny pussycat... In other words: your desire to see my tits translates to your weakness for any kind of intellect.

Kim W5TIT

1089. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 17:44:32 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ar8ki0rjl0@208.207.71.156>
Source record: 55174

JJ wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <20021115190712.23141.00000380@mb-mt.aol.com>, lenover21@aol.com
> > > (Len Over 21) writes:
> > >
> > > > No, you would have an unchanging regulation structure permitting
> > > > nothing new in amateur radio in at least the last half century. No
> > > > new modes, no new bands, everything proceding as it always had
> > > > been (since 1934) and having no relationship to the changing state
> > > > of the radio art in the rest of the radio world.
> > >
> > > Lennie:
> > >
> > > That is one of the most absurd statements I've ever seen on this
> > > newsgroup.
> >
> > What the hey, what should we expect from the creepiest of creeps? At
> > least
> > pedophiles hang out in areas where they have an actual interest in what
> > transpires.
> > Maybe the Putz has just become misplaced or suffered an involuntary
> > transfer.
> >
> > Once again, you expound the age-old fallacy that
> > > those of us who support code testing requirements are some kind
> > > of Luddites who don't want anything new to be implemented in
> > > the ARS. Nothing could be further from the truth
> >
> > It's the most ubiquitous and the classic of the code-haters many
> > lamebrained and easily refuted mantras. Same one as the idiot JJ has
> > been using here lately.
>
> Poor dickie and larry, really chaps their butts that now someone
> can become an extra just like them with only a 5 wpm code test.

And poor JJ is too brainlocked to understand what that and no-test
tests have done to ham radio. There is no longer anything extra about
an Extra class license.

1090. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 17:45:30 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <utfls8giuns4af@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55180

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021115005822.25072.00004980@mb-dh.aol.com...
> Well Guys its been fun, I will be away for a few days, but look forward to
> getting back to the excitment. I hope you all can carry on without me, and
try
> not to miss me. Oh w5TIT I will be in your area for a few days, look
forward to
> working you on 1 of the 2 Meter CBChannels.

Waddles, you could forget "working" me anywhere. I have no desire whatsoever to meet/know/speak to such an idiot. I doubt you'll find many people at all who wish to speak with you if you act like you do on this newsgroup. We're not used to lowering ourselves to nitwit standards.

Kim W5TIT

1091. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 17:47:50 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <utfm0ket1q6m20@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55181

"K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> wrote in message
news:HQ7B9.8020$vM1.523459@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> "WA8ULX" posted:
>
> > ...Oh w5TIT I will be in your area for a few days, look forward to
> > working you on 1 of the 2 Meter CBChannels.
>
> You don't suppose he's stalking her, do you?
>
>

The caution is so noted. I do believe this personality is quite capable of danger.

Kim W5TIT

1092. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 17:49:10 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ar8kqmrjl1@208.207.71.156>
Source record: 55175

> > nor does it make
> > > one a better ham than some other. Dick and Larry are proof of
> > > that.
> >
> > You're too much of a fool to understand that it's no more than one more
> > mode - the most basic easily implemented mode of all. Refusing to learn
> > to use it makes a ham equal to those who did only in your feeble mind.
>
> And the ability to use cw as making one a superior ham is only in
> your feeble mind.

If you're too dense to understand that one who is capable in a skill is
more
qualified that one who is not, well we'll just let that fact speak for
itself.

1093. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 17:53:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ar8l1s$th2$0@208.207.71.156>
Source record: 55179

JJ wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >
> > In article <3DD5B318.D1451092@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
> > writes:
> >
> > >
> > >And again, were they passing emergency traffic? How much? Are you
> > >saying that no other agencies, highway patrol, sheriff's dept.,
> > >police departments could communicate? It was either ham radio cw
> > >or nothing?
> >
> > JJ:
> >
> > Is that so hard to believe, or is it that you just don't *want* to believe it?
> > That's the problem with you no-coders.
>
> Don't flatter yourself Roll, I was a ham operator long before no
> code was ever thought of. I have been licensed since 1961

Aw, shucks! BFD.

, how
> long have you? Since 1981 you say? Hey Roll, I got 20 years on you
> as a licensed ham, you're just a wet behind the ear newbie, a much
> inferior ham than I am.
> Now go talk to the cbers

What, join you in your favorite pursuit? No, I don't think so. You've
got that well covered.

>
> You know nothing about CW because
> > you have had no practical experience in using it at a useful proficiency
> > level, therefore you tend to disbelieve it's potential. It all boils down to
> > two things -- laziness and whining.
>
> As a novice I could only use cw on HF, I sat in front of an FCC
> examiner for my General and Advanced license and I can still copy
> and send CW, so don't try to inflate your big ego by attempting to
> degrade my abilities.

So? Like many hundreds of thousands of others. Larry included, as it
happens.

> It just chaps your butt to think that CW is
> just not as important to ham radio as you would like.

Just a small part of a large problem, O Dense One. Nothing is important
to ham
radio except "gimmie what I want when I want it".

1094. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 17:53:09 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <utfmal6l1ucf40@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55182

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:ar35rl$217$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net...
> Could be....glad to hear I recalled that wrong. Makes me think better of
> the AF now. So tell me TWIT are you the product of the military school
> system?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> news:ut8k6u9uud3a15@corp.supernews.com...
>
> > You're either very mistaken or full of lies on that one, Dan. I have
> never
> > said anything about me being in the military because I've never been in
> the
> > military. I was raised a military brat--but never joined.
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
> >
> >
>
>

One would have to ask why you're so curious about, me, Dan?

Kim W5TIT

1095. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 17:54:56 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <utfmdun1i03e99@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55183

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0211151422.40fff541@posting.google.com...
> wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021115101935.20074.00000004@mb-dh.aol.com>...
> > >Anyway, considering who we're talking about, she'll smell him coming a
> > >long way's off!
> >
> > Steve are you going there. By the way guys, I am not going in her
direction, im
> > actually going to the Tenn
>
> Then why scare her and say you're coming for a visit?

heh heh. Scare? Brian, puhleeze....he's "threatened" coming my way once
before. It's the greatest CB tactic in the world.

Kim W5TIT

1096. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 18:00:59 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <utfmp9qgh8foaf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55184

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021116120044.09268.00001252@mb-cu.aol.com...
> In article <3DD46F5E.267F6856@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
> writes:
>
> >Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >> Any ham
> >> who can only communicate by talking is going to run out of
communications
> >> capability under a lot of real-world emergency conditions, whereas a
> >> CW-proficient ham will be able to keep on going.
> >
> >Name one situation in the last five years where cw was the only
> >mode available in a real world emergency condition.
>
> No, I won't.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

The real weakness in Larry's argument is not that he can't or won't prove
any real-world emergency situation that substantiates his claims about CW.
It's in the fact that he actually believes what he says about CW.

Kim W5TIT

1097. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 18:06:53 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ar8lk401h6c@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55189

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021117120012.23768.00001556@mb-md.aol.com...
> In article <ar6fuh018m2@enews3.newsguy.com>, "Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com>
> writes:
>
> >There are those, such as Larry, that will forever cling to hazing ritual
of
> >code testing. Instead of helping amateur radio grow, all they can do is
> >complain and reminisce about the good 'ol days.
>
> Is there anyone out there in the group, who have known me longer
> than Krell, who can remember me EVER "reminiscing about the
> good 'ol days?"
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Reminiscing, bitching, proselytizing, or whatever word you chose to call
your postings, you've been posting the same dreck since the days of Fidonet.
You're as bad as those New Yorkers that still cry about the Dodgers moving
to Los Angeles.

1098. Re: Wheres TIT???

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 18:16:17 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021117131617.10956.00000076@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 55185

>Alcohol does wonders for
>perception.
>
>Kim W5TIT
>

Are you speaking from experience?

1099. Re: TIT I just took a private poll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 18:19:16 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021117131916.10956.00000077@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 55186

>Men with no intelligence and weaknesses often find strength in raping women.

Your Idiot

>you're nothing but a limp mouse in
>the clutches of a tiny pussycat..

Thats rather cute, but I think I will pass, NOOOOO thank you.

1100. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 18:20:04 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021117132004.10956.00000078@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 55187

>You didn't want to see them, you were given the link.
>
>Kim W5TIT
>

Yes I do, no I was not.

1101. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 18:22:45 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021117132245.10956.00000079@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 55190

>We're not used to lowering ourselves to nitwit standards.
>
>Kim W5TIT

Oh yes you are,or you will still be on CB.

1102. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 18:23:25 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021117132325.10956.00000080@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 55191

>The caution is so noted. I do believe this personality is quite capable of
>danger.
>
>Kim W5TIT
>

Tit thats the least of your worries.

1103. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 18:25:05 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021117132505.10956.00000081@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 55193

>One would have to ask why you're so curious about, me, Dan?
>
>Kim W5TIT

Because hes making a list of TOP CBplussers in the US, and so far your Winning.

1104. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 18:27:57 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021117132757.10956.00000082@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 55194

> Brian, puhleeze....he's "threatened" coming my way once
>before.

TIT no need to worry, it will never happen

1105. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 18:29:33 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021117132933.10956.00000083@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 55195

>It's in the fact that he actually believes what he says about CW.
>
>Kim W5TIT
>
>

And most real Hams believe what they say about CBplussers

1106. Re: MURS gets boost

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 18:39:37 UTC
From: mryan <mryan301@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <InRB9.31477$WL3.12141@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 55196
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.family,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.digital.misc,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"HI" is the telegrafhic "laugh". The poster was either an Amateur Radio
operator (HAM) pointing out something as humurous, or it was a typo.
<nomoreass@joe.mamas> wrote in message
news:k2fatuo3cpuku84acbm8gl8oopeh3rk2ll@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 16 Nov 2002 03:51:21 +1100, Barry OGrady wrote:
> >On Tue, 4 Jun 2002 19:18:10 -0500, "Dan/W4NTI" wrote:
> >>Good point... hi.
> >
> >Hello.
>
> i dont think thats what he ment
>

1107. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 20:01:11 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021117150111.04214.00000534@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 55198

In article <d22b54c3.0211161135.6b78ff57@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20021115223259.13494.00000542@mb-mj.aol.com>...
>
>.............
>
>> >have copied AC6XG's weak signal if he had been running SSB in the
>> >garbage up the band. He was Q5 all the way with CW.
>>
>> Then let's just do it. How about 7037 at 9 PM EST
>
>Too early for the left coasters, oughta be 10 - 10:30pm EST.

Tough. 10 PM is kinda late for me. Plus I'm not going to suggest a schedule that conflicts with Hans' proposal.

>
>> on Wednesdays? Alternate QRG
>> 3537 in case MUF is too low.
>
>Some specific Wednesday nite. The MUF hasn't been below 7Mhz for years
>except as a result of a few solar events, 40 will work, 80 is too much
>of a stretch, not that many 80m antennas around and the left coasters
>don't do well here before our midnite on 80m. The alternative to 40m
>should be 20m.

Makes sense with the condx we've had recently.

>> The invitation includes all interested parties.
>>
>> >If there was ever a glaring example of why free lunches and "all
>> >inclusive think" doesn't work this current idiotic thrash is IT.
>>
>> I think that is Hans' point all along.
>
>Maybe. Let his operation play out before another shot is taken.

Not me. Here's the deal:

I'm going to try to be on 7037 CW, plus-minus QRM at 9 PM EST (that's 0200 UTC) every Wednesday from now on. Alternate QRGs are 14037 and 3537, in that order. This is an informal roundtable/discussion operation. All are invited to participate. Subject is whatever you want to discuss, consistent with FCC rules and good amateur practice.

If you don't have the required license, or station, or skills, get them or do a third-party thing at the station of someone who does have 'em.

If it bothers someone that hams are talking about ham radio issues using ham radio as a medium rather than the internet, too bad. They have the same opportunity to particpate as I - in fact, they have more opportunity, because it's easier, quicker and cheaper to get on 7037 CW now than in the past. When a complainer starts paying for my time, my computer, my phone line and my AOL membership, maybe I'll consider that they might have a legitimate beef.

I don't guarantee that I will always show up, just that I'll do my best to be there.

73 de Jim, N2EY

CU on 7037

1108. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 20:01:12 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021117150112.04214.00000535@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 55197

In article <3DD67A82.68E74C7E@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>.
>> >And what was all this cw traffic, just some hams sending reports
>> >back and forth to themselves?
>>
>> It was whatever communications was being handled by the amateur HF SSB
>> nets,but which could not get through on SSB.
>
>Which was? Was it emergency traffic that was aiding other agencies
>or just hams using cw to send report back and forth to themselves?

I don't know - I wasn't there. Accounts by those who were there say that at
least some of the communications were for agencies liike the Red Cross and
state emergency agencies.

Besides, what does it matter? The challenge was for 'someone in an emergency
situation using CW when no other mode was available'. Examples were give, You
can easily research the accounts posted here on rrap by using google - that is,
if you really want the info.
>
>> >Are you saying there were no other
>> >means of communications? No other agencies could communicate?
>>
>> In some cases, yes. Electric power, commercial communications and
>> transportation were disrupted for many days. Repair efforts were hampered
>> by
>> the lack of transportation. The ice storm conditions were widespread, not
>> localized in a few small areas. Winter auroral conditions sometimes made
>> SSB
>> unintelligible even when signal strength was adequate.
>
>So were the ham using cw to send information to and from on behalf
>of all these agencies that could not communicate?

I don't know. See the posts of those who were there.

http://www.google.com
>
>> >All
>> >telephone lines were inoperative to all points?
>>
>> Why must they have been inoperative "to all points"? Wouldn't loss of
>>telephone

>> communication to some points needing communication constitute an emergency?

Well?

>> >The only way the
>> >world heard of this emergency was via ham radio cw?
>>
>> What you're really saying is that the ice storm wasn't an emergency at all,
>>and
>> that amateur radio wasn't needed. Were you there?
>>
>> >> > K0HB has posted that during the Red River flood a few springs back,
>hams
>> >used
>> >> > Morse/CW when SSB would not get through.
>> >
>> >And again, were they passing emergency traffic? How much? Are you
>> >saying that no other agencies, highway patrol, sheriff's dept.,
>> >police departments could communicate? It was either ham radio cw
>> >or nothing?
>>
>> See above. Do you think that amateur radio plays no role in emergencies?
>
>Certainly it does, and I have participated in many emergencies,
>but have yet to see where the only means of communication was cw
>only.

The experiences of others are different. Nobody is claiming that CW/Morse is
used in all emergencies, or even most emergencies. But there ARE examples of
recent emergency situations where CW/Morse was the only mode available that
would do the job.
>
>> >
>> >> > Would PSK31 or other data modes have worked in the above cases? Maybe
>-
>> >but
>> >> > they were not available when needed.

The above is a very important point. I'm not claiming that other modes would
not have worked - only that, of the AVAILABLE modes at the time, CW/Morse was
the only one that worked in a few cases.
>> >> >
>> >> > Does any of this absolutely prove that ALL hams MUST be codetested? Of
>> >course
>> >> > not. But the fact remains that Morse/CW has been used in recent
>emergency
>> >> > situations when other available modes could not do the job.
>>
>> Please note the above paragraph. I am not claiming that the use of CW/Morse
>in
>> emergencies by hams is some sort of proof that ALL hams MUST be CW/Morse

>> tested. If CW/Morse skill in emergencies was that important, it would not
>only
>> need to be tested upon license grant, but also retested at intervals to
>insure
>> that all hams retained the skill. That's obviously not going to happen.
>>
>> >> Dang, Jim, ya did his research for him.
>>
>> No, I answered a simple, straightforward request:
>>
>> "Name one situation in the last five years where cw was the only mode
>available
>> in a real world emergency condition."
>>
>> with at least three examples. There's at least one more - see KT4ST's
>accounts
>> here of a hurricane (Floyd?) back in 1999.
>>
>> >> But I bet you didn't change his mindset.
>> >
>> >If you mean that cw is going to save the world, you're right.
>>
>> JJ's responses really seem to be that amateur radio isn't going to "save
>> the world" .

>Now you got it, not with cw anyway.

Not with ANY mode.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1109. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 23:23:26 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021117182326.24784.00000927@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 55199

In article <f45722ac.0211161710.5ebe5ea7@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20021115160909.13117.00000078@mb-mb.aol.com>...
>> >Subject: Re: Eight Minutes?
>> >From: "Dan/W4NTI" w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com
>> >Date: 11/15/02 11:10 AM Eastern Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <ar36a1bei1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
>> >
>> >Thats another MAJOR CHANGE that shows how the exam proceedures have been
>> >downgraded.
>> >
>> >When you took the test at the FCC office you had ONE CHANCE. If you
>failed
>> >you took it again. THE WHOLE THING. The next time you traveled to the
>FCC
>> >office.
>>
>> Yup. In fact, you had to pass the appropriate code tests (receiving AND
>> sending) before they'd even let you try the written.
>> >
>> >No such thing as code credit or partial exam credit.
>>
>> Exactly. And no "pay another fee, have another go" either. You could not
>retest
>> until 30 days had elapsed.
>>
>> And don't forget about needing two years' experience to even try the Extra.
>
>>
>> >Incentive licensing to the max.
>>
>> Those rules existed before the changes of 1968.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Oh, I agree 100%. Lets limit US involvement in amateur radio to 150,000
>persons.

Don't forget to require all to pass a strict International Morse Code
test, too! "The few, the overly proud, the amateur morsemen!"

1110. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 23:23:28 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021117182328.24784.00000928@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 55200

In article <3DD6CC0F.5326F2CE@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> It's a fairly common tactic in computer-modem communications.
>> Those who have overly-personal attachment to a set of ideas,
>> mores, ethics, etc., wish to have all think as they do or be as
>> important as they think they are. They will willingly fight anyone
>> who threatens their self-perception on a personal level without
>> considering the actual subject matter.
>
>You seem to have an overly personal attachment to the idea of doing away
>with the requirement for a morse test in the amateur radio service in
>which you are not a participant.

A morse code test is still required to BE a participant in amateur
radio below 30 MHz. One cannot be a participant without passing
that separate pass-fail test element. That requirement is a matter
of codified law which was passed long ago. Codified law can be
changed. There is a large movement among both licensed and
unlicensed hobbyists to change regulations to eliminate the code
test. According to Herr Robust's arrogant demands, one cannot
BE anything worthwhile without passing HIS requirements. Why?

>You think that all others should believe as you do.

Incorrect phrasing. I do not think that all should believe as YOU
do, that all amateur radio requirements should remain at the level
at which YOU demand tested expertise.

Are you now the arbiter on what is "good and proper" in amateur
radio?!? YOU don't control the radio regulations, do you? Yet
you demand that certain regulations remain solely on the grounds
of your demands.

Removal of the code test will be nothing more than the removal of
a superfluous and unneccessary government test by the same
government agency that does NOT mandate any morse code use
over and above any other allocated mode/modulation, any band,
by any radio amateur.

>You come out scratching and clawing on a personal
>level against those who threaten your self-perception.

"Scratching and clawing?!?" :-) :-) :-)

What in the world are you imagining?

I've pointed out that the government does not require any mode over and above any other mode on any allocated band yet the International Morse Code test is the ONLY mode manually tested. I've pointed out that the only government agency in the US granting amateur radio licenses has ALREADY stated that it does not think that any morse code test has any relevance to them for licensing radio amateurs. I've pointed out that several international organizations are promoting a rewrite of (at least) ITU-R Recommendation S25.5 to eliminate the morse code test for any amateur radio license. I've pointed out that the US adminstration's preliminary views for WRC-03 include not only rewriting S25.5 but nearly all of the Recommendations in S25, which do not include any mention of amateur radio code testing for licenses. I've pointed out that other radio services in the US have either reduced their morse code operator skill requirements to zero or (for the majority) never considered that as a mode in those radio services.

None of that was any sort of "self-perception." The only possible perception is that morse code is simply an outmoded method of communication that is either not used in other radio services or not considered useful in granting any radio operator licenses in the USA.

The only "scratching and clawing" seems to come from the old-timers in amateur radio who favor the mode for several personal reasons: It gives them rank/status/privilege to hold themselves as "superior" to others in radio...yet it carries absolutely NO radio technical skill accomplishment credentials; they have become Believers in the standards and practices of a half century or more ago and (subconsciously) want all others in the future to preserve that ad infinitum; they need the rationales of "elitism" as told to them by a national membership organization who has been espousing the old-time modes since its inception.

Removal of the code test for future radio amateurs will NOT affect these PCTA morsemen in amateur radio licensing. They will not be locked out of any amateur radio pursuits or privileges. They will not have their licenses taken away because the morse code test has ended. Only their "feelings" will be hurt if the code test is eliminated.

So...these PCTA want radio regulations to preserve their "feelings?" Codify law to favor these old-timers and forget about the future and all the amateurs who may want to enter later? Of course. They want it all as they made it, nothing else. They demand it. They scratch, claw, insult, and demean anyone who resents their self-perceived "expertise" and delusions of grandeur in a hobby activity. They are Morsemen...the few, the overly-proud.

1111. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 23:36:56 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021117183656.06308.00000537@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 55351

In article <utfls8giuns4af@corp.supernews.com>, "Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>Waddles, you could forget "working" me anywhere.

Kim,

My curiosity has gotten the better of me.

Where does the name "Waddles" come from? The person in question doesn't look
fat in his qrz.com picture.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1112. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 23:36:57 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021117183657.06308.00000538@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 55352

In article <3DD43A93.58E0F636@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Subject: Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)
>From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>Date: Fri, 15 Nov 2002 00:07:54 GMT
>
>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <3DD34A7F.D6655058@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
><k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> writes:
>>
>> >Len Over 21 wrote:
>> >>
>> >> In article <20021112203942.13494.00000474@mb-mj.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>> >(N2EY)
>> >> writes:
>> >>
>> >> >In article <3DD19270.7010807@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo
>> ><mcoslo@adelphia.net>
>> >> >writes:
>> >> >
>> >> >>Perhaps another ham here can help.
>> >> >>
>> >> >Here's a way almost anyone who reads this can participate in an
>on-the-air
>> >> >amateur radio policy discussion on the ham bands:
>> >> >
>> >> >1) Set up an effective station for the amateur band and mode to be
>used.
>> >> >2) Obtain the necessary license by passing the required tests.
>> >> >3) Operate the station in 1) as required by the governmental agency who
>> >> >granted the license in 2).
>> >> >
>> >> >The fact that someone passes a test to obtain a license does not in any
>way
>> >> >indicate agreement with the test requirements.
>> >>
>> >> In fewer words: No one can discuss the subject of GETTING IN
>> >> amateur radio without ALREADY BEING IN. That's not free speech
>> >> or free assembly, just exclusivity and limited participation.
>> >
>> >You've discussed GETTING IN without ALREADY BEING IN. You've discussed,

>> >dismissed and shown rudeness to anyone not sharing your view of amateur
>> >radio utopia. That, by God, is free speech. Convincing others to share
>> >your views has not been your long suit.
>>
>> Free speech on an EXISTING INTERNET NEWSGROUP, yes.
>
>So? Free speech is free speech regardless of venue. Do you believe
>yourself to have a god-given right to express yourself on the amateur
>bands without having passed a license exam?

You have it exactly backwards, Dave.

Mr. Anderson does not want to express himself on the amateur bands at all. If he did, he'd have gotten a license and set up a station long ago.

The USA has had amateur licenses with no code test for 11-1/2 years now, and for the past 2-1/2 years the maximum code test has been 5 wpm. Yet Mr. Anderson's Extra is still in its box.

>> Such free speech has been met by some, like yourself, trying to
>> control content through ill-mannered sarcasm and general
>> bullying whenever opinions are contrary to your personal views.
>
>Uhhhh, Len? That sounds awfully much like what it is you do here.

It's exactly what Mr. Anderson does here. Note that no examples of this behavior by you (K8MN) are provided.
>
>> >> This newsgroup already exists, is public access, unmoderated. No
>> >> time or frequency limit exists for communications, discussion, or
>> >> participation.
>> >
>> >You'll forgive radio amateurs if they wish to discuss policy on the
>> >amateur bands, wont' you, Len?
>>
>> No problem if those policy matters affect ONLY those who are
>> already licensed.

Well, there you have it. Mr. Anderson thinks that licensed amateurs should not discuss amateur radio policy matters on the air unless such policy matters affect only already-licensed hams. In other words, full-scale censorship of what we can talk about on the air, far beyond the realm of the FCC rules.
>
>> FCC regulations apply to ALL CITIZENS whether they are licensed
>> or unlicensed in a particular radio service. FCC has been like that
>> ever since 1934.
>
>And? I talk about amateur radio policy at N8NN's place or at K8IP's
>place. You weren't invited.

FCC regulations apply to noncitizens in the USA as well as citizens. They apply to those who are too young to vote, too.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1113. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-17 23:39:16 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211171539.32fca70c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55353

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20021117150111.04214.00000534@mb-cc.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0211161135.6b78ff57@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
> >news:<20021115223259.13494.00000542@mb-mj.aol.com>...
> >
> >.............
> >
> >> >have copied AC6XG's weak signal if he had been running SSB in the
> >> >garbage up the band. He was Q5 all the way with CW.
> >>
> >> Then let's just do it. How about 7037 at 9 PM EST
> >
> >Too early for the left coasters, oughta be 10 - 10:30pm EST.
>
> Tough. 10 PM is kinda late for me. Plus I'm not going to suggest a schedule
> that conflicts with Hans' proposal.
>
> >> on Wednesdays? Alternate QRG
> >> 3537 in case MUF is too low.
> >
> >Some specific Wednesday nite. The MUF hasn't been below 7Mhz for years
> >except as a result of a few solar events, 40 will work, 80 is too much
> >of a stretch, not that many 80m antennas around and the left coasters
> >don't do well here before our midnite on 80m. The alternative to 40m
> >should be 20m.
>
> Makes sense with the condx we've had recently.
>
> >> The invitation includes all interested parties.
> >>
> >> >If there was ever a glaring example of why free lunches and "all
> >> >inclusive think" doesn't work this current idiotic thrash is IT.
> >>
> >> I think that is Hans' point all along.
> >
> >Maybe. Let his operation play out before another shot is taken.
>
> Not me. Here's the deal:
>
> I'm going to try to be on 7037 CW, plus-minus QRM at 9 PM EST (that's 0200 UTC)
> every Wednesday from now on. Alternate QRGs are 14037 and 3537, in that order.

> This is an informal roundtable/discussion operation. All are invited to
> participate. Subject is whatever you want to discuss, consistent with FCC rules
> and good amateur practice.
>
> If you don't have the required license, or station, or skills, get them or do a
> third-party thing at the station of someone who does have 'em.
>
> If it bothers someone that hams are talking about ham radio issues using ham
> radio as a medium rather than the internet, too bad. They have the same
> opportunity to particpate as I - in fact, they have more opportunity, because
> it's easier, quicker and cheaper to get on 7037 CW now than in the past.

AMEN!

> When a
> complainer starts paying for my time, my computer, my phone line and my AOL
> membership, maybe I'll consider that they might have a legitimate beef.

BAD Jimmy . . yer damned right!

>
> I don't guarantee that I will always show up, just that I'll do my best to be
> there.

This is good. Put up or shaddup time again, da rubber hits da road or it DON'T.

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> CU on 7037

If yer gonna bandhop gimmee a holler on 146.510 simplex too willya?

b.

1114. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 00:26:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021117192608.21094.00005024@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 55354

>Subject: Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/17/02 5:23 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021117182328.24784.00000928@mb-mp.aol.com>

>A morse code test is still required to BE a participant in amateur
> radio below 30 MHz.

Only because of international treaty requirements.

>One cannot be a participant without passing
> that separate pass-fail test element.

The law...it's the law...Treaties negotiatied and approved by the
Congress...No one in THIS forum.

>That requirement is a matter
> of codified law which was passed long ago. Codified law can be
> changed.

That law has been changed three times since 1987 and is pending the change
of international treaty for it's final deletion. Only your obsession makes it
a whipping boy here, Lennie.

>There is a large movement among both licensed and
> unlicensed hobbyists to change regulations to eliminate the code
> test.

Even NCI has admitted that there's not much more left to do and has
decided on a new agenda and called for a slate of new officers to determine the
future of THAT organization.

>According to Herr Robust's arrogant demands, one cannot
> BE anything worthwhile without passing HIS requirements. Why?

There's no one here named "Herr Robust", and no one here has made any such
demands.

>>You think that all others should believe as you do.
>
> Incorrect phrasing.

No, it's right on the money. You make a point of being angrily
antagonistic towards anyone who even remotely suggests ANY course of action
other than that which YOU have suggested....To the point of profanity and slur.

>Are you now the arbiter on what is "good and proper" in amateur
> radio?!? YOU don't control the radio regulations, do you? Yet

> you demand that certain regulations remain solely on the grounds
> of your demands.

Why shouldn't he be? At least he has some experience in Amateur Radio matters. And in any case, the very same question could be redirected at YOU with the same ferocity. You seem to think that you have some mantle of invincibility because you are NOT licensed.

>Removal of the code test will be nothing more than the removal of
> a superfluous and unneccessary government test by the same
> government agency that does NOT mandate any morse code use
> over and above any other allocated mode/modulation, any band,
> by any radio amateur.

Opinion only. The actual practicality of Morse Code will never be fully appreciated by those who never allowed themselves the freedom to accept it.

>>You come out scratching and clawing on a personal
>>level against those who threaten your self-perception.
>
> "Scratching and clawing?!?"

Yep. Perfect analogy!

>What in the world are you imagining?

Too bad you can't read your bile objectively. It's really embarrasssing to think that you have the education and professional experience that you alledge, then see you behave the way you do.

>None of that was any sort of "self-perception." The only possible
> perception is that morse code is simply an outmoded method of
> communication that is either not used in other radio services or
> not considered useful in granting any radio operator licenses in
> the USA.

That Morse Code is not used by any other service is irrelevant. And it's "outmoded ONLY in YOUR opinion. Judging by actual on-the-air use, it's not that outmoded!

>Removal of the code test for future radio amateurs will NOT affect
> these PCTA morsemen in amateur radio licensing.

Not to obtain a license, perhaps, but it WILL affect Amateur Radio of which we ARE vested partiicipant...UNLIKE you!

>So...these PCTA want radio regulations to preserve their "feelings?"

Nope...to maintain the functionality of Amateur Radio.

>They are Morsemen...the few, the overly-proud.

Not overly proud...just licensed.

G'nite Lennie, ya PUTZ!

1115. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 01:05:40 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021117200540.24638.00000081@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 55355

>Where does the name "Waddles" come from?

Its just a comparison of what most of her dream men would look like, and probably what she is use to.

1116. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 01:23:50 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ar9ff0$82c$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55356

I am in the middle of the Sweepstakes Contest so I dont have much time.... I
am printing it out and will look it over tomorrow. You can count on W4NTI
to be on and you best show up...grin.

Bet you don't have 4 people that shows up.

Dan/W4NTI

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0211171539.32fca70c@posting.google.com...
> n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20021117150111.04214.00000534@mb-cc.aol.com>...
> > In article <d22b54c3.0211161135.6b78ff57@posting.google.com>,
kelly@dvol.com
> > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> >
> > >n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
> > >news:<20021115223259.13494.00000542@mb-mj.aol.com>...
> > >
> > >.............
> > >
> > >> >have copied AC6XG's weak signal if he had been running SSB in the
> > >> >garbage up the band. He was Q5 all the way with CW.
> > >>
> > >> Then let's just do it. How about 7037 at 9 PM EST
> > >
> > >Too early for the left coasters, oughta be 10 - 10:30pm EST.
> >
> > Tough. 10 PM is kinda late for me. Plus I'm not going to suggest a
schedule
> > that conflicts with Hans' proposal.
> > >
> > >> on Wednesdays? Alternate QRG
> > >> 3537 in case MUF is too low.
> > >
> > >Some specific Wednesday nite. The MUF hasn't been below 7Mhz for years
> > >except as a result of a few solar events, 40 will work, 80 is too much
> > >of a stretch, not that many 80m antennas around and the left coasters
> > >don't do well here before our midnite on 80m. The alternative to 40m
> > >should be 20m.
> >
> > Makes sense with the condx we've had recently.
> >
> > >> The invitation includes all interested parties.
> > >>

> > >> >If there was ever a glaring example of why free lunches and "all
> > >> >inclusive think" doesn't work this current idiotic thrash is IT.
> > >>
> > >> I think that is Hans' point all along.
> > >
> > >Maybe. Let his operation play out before another shot is taken.
> >
> > Not me. Here's the deal:
> >
> > I'm going to try to be on 7037 CW, plus-minus QRM at 9 PM EST (that's
0200 UTC)
> > every Wednesday from now on. Alternate QRGs are 14037 and 3537, in that
order.
>
> > This is an informal roundtable/discussion operation. All are invited to
> > participate. Subject is whatever you want to discuss, consistent with
FCC rules
> > and good amateur practice.
> >
> > If you don't have the required license, or station, or skills, get them
or do a
> > third-party thing at the station of someone who does have 'em.
> >
> > If it bothers someone that hams are talking about ham radio issues using
ham
> > radio as a medium rather than the internet, too bad. They have the same
> > opportunity to particpate as I - in fact, they have more opportunity,
because
> > it's easier, quicker and cheaper to get on 7037 CW now than in the past.
>
> AMEN!
>
>
> > When a
> > complainer starts paying for my time, my computer, my phone line and my
AOL
> > membership, maybe I'll consider that they might have a legitimate beef.
>
> BAD Jimmy . . yer damned right!
>
> >
> > I don't guarantee that I will always show up, just that I'll do my best
to be
> > there.
>
> This is good. Put up or shaddup time again, da rubber hits da road or it
DON'T.
>
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY

> >
> > CU on 7037
>
> If yer gonna bandhop gimmee a holler on 146.510 simplex too willya?
>
> b.

1117. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 02:38:41 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021117213841.11087.00001802@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 55357

In article <20021116233716.17907.00000557@mb-cs.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>
>As of November 15, 2002:
>
>Novice - 36,397 (decrease of 12,932)
>Tech - 246,664 (increase of 41,270)
>Tech Plus - 74,581 (decrease of 54,279)
>General - 139,532 (increase of 26,855)
>Advanced - 84,483 (decrease of 15,299)
>Extra - 103,026 (increase of 25,276)
>Total - 684,683 (increase of 9,891)
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

Jim:

Less than ten thousand new hams -- total -- in over 2.5 years of Restructuring???

But...but...but...wwwwe were pppppromised -- promised, I tell you -- that if only the mean-spirited, politically-incorrect, ozone-hole-expanding, ethnically-cleansing, spotted-owl-killing, rainforest-depleting, anti-woman, anti-choice, anti-everything-thats-good code testing requirements were reduced and eliminated, we'd get a mass influx of enlightened, technically-involved, computer-literate, politically-correct, diverse, trans-gendered, bi-sexual, female, young, socially-conscious new hams wearing hand-woven fabrics, Birkenstock sandals, pony tails, and little round eyeglasses. They would then tear down our antiquated, analog, CW-dominated ham radio infrastructure and replace it with a high-speed digital communications system that would give us the equivalent of a free, over-the-air World Wide Web with voice, data, image, and sound access via tiny, shirt-pocket sized devices which they homebrewed in the back of their VW microbusses with the floral pattern paint design and the large peace sign on the front.

What happened?

73 de Larry, K3LT

1118. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 02:38:42 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021117213842.11087.00001803@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 55358

In article <3DD71566.F1DF8472@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
writes:

>> It's the most ubiquitous and the classic of the code-haters many
>> lamebrained and easily refuted mantras. Same one as the idiot JJ has
>> been using here lately.
>
>Poor dickie and larry, really chaps their butts that now someone
>can become an extra just like them with only a 5 wpm code test.

JJ:

It's true that you can become an Extra with just a 5 WPM code
test, but you can't become an Extra "just like us." All you can
become is a dumbed-down, give-away, Nickle Extra who doesn't
have the operational knowledge and skill Dick and I had as Novices.
I would think that if anyone's butts were chapped, it would be
those of you and your Post-Restructuring Extra-class hangers-on,
who can only hold up a piece a paper and claim "equality" with
your Pre-Restructuring superiors. Alas, you are doomed to live
in a world of guilt-by-association. You are not my equal. I am
your superior. You are a pathetic, withered, miserable excuse
for a ham radio operator who will never achieve the maximum
potential possible under the Pre-Restructuring licensing system.
I look down upon you from my lofty perch, and weep with pity!

73 de Larry, K3LT

Sniff, sniff -- what's that fishy smell? Ooooops -- better replace my
lofty perch!

1119. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 03:36:10 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ar9n0c02kr6@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55372

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021003223747.09987.00002689@mb-fp.aol.com...
> Two-and-a-half years into Restructuring, and we've gained only 8.5
kilohams?
> Where are all the eager young computer-literate electronic wizards we were
> promised? We should have seen our numbers almost double by now, in
> the absence of high-speed code testing! This "growth" is barely what
we've
> seen during the same period in the Pre-Restructuring Era! I'd dearly love
> to know the average age of those 39K new Techs we gained in the last
> 2.5 years! Is there any known source of such demographic data regarding
> the ARS?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

No, you just like to twist statements made by NCI into some bizarre absolute
that can never be achieved.

1120. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 03:58:53 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DD865A1.4E0DA361@earthlink.net>
Source record: 55359

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3DD6CC0F.5326F2CE@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> >> It's a fairly common tactic in computer-modem communications.
> >> Those who have overly-personal attachment to a set of ideas,
> >> mores, ethics, etc., wish to have all think as they do or be as
> >> important as they think they are. They will willingly fight anyone
> >> who threatens their self-perception on a personal level without
> >> considering the actual subject matter.
> >
> >You seem to have an overly personal attachment to the idea of doing away
> >with the requirement for a morse test in the amateur radio service in
> >which you are not a participant.
>
> A morse code test is still required to BE a participant in amateur
> radio below 30 MHz. One cannot be a participant without passing
> that separate pass-fail test element. That requirement is a matter
> of codified law which was passed long ago. Codified law can be
> changed. There is a large movement among both licensed and
> unlicensed hobbyists to change regulations to eliminate the code
> test. According to Herr Robust's arrogant demands, one cannot
> BE anything worthwhile without passing HIS requirements. Why?

Regardless, Len, to use your own words: You have an overly personal
attachment to the idea of doing away with morse testing. If "overly
personal" works in one direction, it works just as well in the other. I
haven't made any demands at all, arrogant or otherwise. To the "Herr
Robust" term, is this more of your taking the high ground and not using
personal insult and denigration? You are so laughably transparent!

> >You think that all others should believe as you do.
>
> Incorrect phrasing. I do not think that all should believe as YOU
> do, that all amateur radio requirements should remain at the level
> at which YOU demand tested expertise.

I'm not demanding "expertise" and, in fact, no expertise at all is
required--only basic familiarity.

> Are you now the arbiter on what is "good and proper" in amateur
> radio?!? YOU don't control the radio regulations, do you? Yet

> you demand that certain regulations remain solely on the grounds
> of your demands.

Wait a minute, Len. You have decided that amateur radio should adopt
your views. Are you now the arbiter on what is "good and proper" in
amateur radio?
YOU don't control the radio regulations, do? Yet you demand that
certain regulations be changed solely on the grounds of your demands.

> Removal of the code test will be nothing more than the removal of
> a superfluous and unneccessary government test by the same
> government agency that does NOT mandate any morse code use
> over and above any other allocated mode/modulation, any band,
> by any radio amateur.

A test does not become superfluous and unnecessary based on your say so.

> >You come out scratching and clawing on a personal
> >level against those who threaten your self-perception.
>
> "Scratching and clawing?!?" :-) :-) :-)
>
> What in the world are you imagining?

...a lonely and embittered old fellow with too much time on his hands.

> I've pointed out that the government does not require any mode
> over and above any other mode on any allocated band yet the
> International Morse Code test is the ONLY mode manually
> tested. I've pointed out that the only government agency in the US
> granting amateur radio licenses has ALREADY stated that it does
> not think that any morse code test has any relevance to them for
> licensing radio amateurs. I've pointed out that several international
> organizations are promoting a rewrite of (at least) ITU-R
> Recommendation S25.5 to eliminate the morse code test for any
> amateur radio license. I've pointed out that the US adminstration's
> preliminary views for WRC-03 include not only rewriting S25.5 but
> nearly all of the Recommendations in S25, which do not include
> any mention of amateur radio code testing for licenses. I've pointed
> out that other radio services in the US have either reduced their
> morse code operator skill requirements to zero or (for the majority)
> never considered that as a mode in those radio services.

Your surely have pointed out those things. You've also pointed out that
there should be a minimum age requirement for radio amateurs. You've
also glossed over the fact that morse is widely used within amateur
radio so it matters little what other radio services do with regard to
morse testing.

> None of that was any sort of "self-perception." The only possible
> perception is that morse code is simply an outmoded method of
> communication that is either not used in other radio services or
> not considered useful in granting any radio operator licenses in

> the USA.

Other radio services have little bearing on what takes place in the amateur service. Morse code is used daily by thousands worldwide in the amateur service. Something in wide use is hardly outmoded. Your use of that term indicates a lack of awareness on your part.

> The only "scratching and clawing" seems to come from the old-
> timers in amateur radio who favor the mode for several personal
> reasons: It gives them rank/status/privilege to hold themselves
> as "superior" to others in radio...yet it carries absolutely NO
> radio technical skill accomplishment credentials; they have
> become Believers in the standards and practices of a half
> century or more ago and (subconsciously) want all others in the
> future to preserve that ad infinitum; they need the rationales of
> "elitism" as told to them by a national membership organization
> who has been espousing the old-time modes since its inception.

I've asked you on several occasions to back up your wild claims of what you believe licensed radio amateurs who support code testing believe. All the drivel above must reflect a need on your part, to rationalize your envy of those who do what you can't do.

>
> Removal of the code test for future radio amateurs will NOT affect
> these PCTA morsemen in amateur radio licensing. They will not
> be locked out of any amateur radio pursuits or privileges. They
> will not have their licenses taken away because the morse code
> test has ended. Only their "feelings" will be hurt if the code test
> is eliminated.

Absurd. Those who are active on the bands must deal with newcomers entering amateur radio regularly. Any change introduced to amateur radio effects all those who are regular participants. Are you a regular participant?

> So...these PCTA want radio regulations to preserve their "feelings?"

Straw man alert! No one has ever made such a claim.

> Codify law to favor these old-timers and forget about the future and
> all the amateurs who may want to enter later? Of course. They
> want it all as they made it, nothing else.

Straw man number two! The regs have been changed. Newcomers can't possibly do it as I have done it.

> They demand it.

Straw man number three!

> They scratch, claw, insult, and demean anyone who resents their
> self-perceived "expertise" and delusions of grandeur in a hobby
> activity. They are Morsemen...the few, the overly-proud.

Scratching and clawing? What on earth can you be imagining? I've asked you before: Why is insulting and demeaning okay for you but not for others? I've also asked you what constitutes "overly-proud". Have you had time to jin together some coherent answers?

Dave K8MN

1121. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 03:59:34 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DD865A6.8CEA0132@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55367

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> JJ wrote:
> >
> > Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <3DD5B318.D1451092@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
> > > writes:
> > >
> > > >
> > > >And again, were they passing emergency traffic? How much? Are you
> > > >saying that no other agencies, highway patrol, sheriff's dept.,
> > > >police departments could communicate? It was either ham radio cw
> > > >or nothing?
> > >
> > > JJ:
> > >
> > > Is that so hard to believe, or is it that you just don't *want* to believe it?
> > > That's the problem with you no-coders.
> >
> > Don't flatter yourself Roll, I was a ham operator long before no
> > code was ever thought of. I have been licensed since 1961
>
> Aw, shucks! BFD.
>
> , how
> > long have you? Since 1981 you say? Hey Roll, I got 20 years on you
> > as a licensed ham, you're just a wet behind the ear newbie, a much
> > inferior ham than I am.
> > Now go talk to the cbers
>
> What, join you in your favorite pursuit? No, I don't think so. You've
> got that well covered.

Sorry to disappoint you dickieboy but I never owned or operated a
cb radio.

1122. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 04:14:34 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DD8694F.D973A5F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 55360

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3DD43A93.58E0F636@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Subject: Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)
> >From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> >Date: Fri, 15 Nov 2002 00:07:54 GMT
> >
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <3DD34A7F.D6655058@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> ><k8mn@earthlink.net>
> >> writes:

> >So? Free speech is free speech regardless of venue. Do you believe
> >yourself to have a god-given right to express yourself on the amateur
> >bands without having passed a license exam?
>
> You have it exactly backwards, Dave.
>
> Mr. Anderson does not want to express himself on the amateur bands at all. If
> he did, he'd have gotten a license and set up a station long ago.

> The USA has had amateur licenses with no code test for 11-1/2 years now, and
> for the past 2-1/2 years the maximum code test has been 5 wpm. Yet Mr.
> Anderson's Extra is still in its box.

I remember well his "Extra out of the box" puffery. It was one of those
"overly-proud" statements he makes on occasion. This one comes back to
bite him now and again.

> >> Such free speech has been met by some, like yourself, trying to
> >> control content through ill-mannered sarcasm and general
> >> bullying whenever opinions are contrary to your personal views.
> >
> >Uhhhh, Len? That sounds awfully much like what it is you do here.
>
> It's exactly what Mr. Anderson does here. Note that no examples of this
> behavior by you (K8MN) are provided.
> >
> >> >> This newsgroup already exists, is public access, unmoderated. No
> >> >> time or frequency limit exists for communications, discussion, or
> >> >> participation.
> >> >

> >> >You'll forgive radio amateurs if they wish to discuss policy on the
> >> >amateur bands, wont' you, Len?
> >>
> >> No problem if those policy matters affect ONLY those who are
> >> already licensed.
>
> Well, there you have it. Mr. Anderson thinks that licensed amateurs should not
> discuss amateur radio policy matters on the air unless such policy matters
> affect only already-licensed hams. In other words, full-scale censorship of
> what we can talk about on the air, far beyond the realm of the FCC rules.

...and it is clear from Len's post that he believes that this newsgroup
is the only approved venue for such discussion.

> >> FCC regulations apply to ALL CITIZENS whether they are licensed
> >> or unlicensed in a particular radio service. FCC has been like that
> >> ever since 1934.
> >
> >And? I talk about amateur radio policy at N8NN's place or at K8IP's
> >place. You weren't invited.
>
> FCC regulations apply to noncitizens in the USA as well as citizens. They apply
> to those who are too young to vote, too.

We frequently discussed U.S. amateur radio policy with some of the Finns
who are not U.S. citizens but who old U.S. amateur licenses through the
VE group which K3FK and I were involved with in Helsinki in the
mid-1990s. That was back in the days when I first encountered Leonard
H. here. He had no amateur license then either and it never once dawned
on me to consult him about discussing policy at any place or time which
I chose to do so. I'm thinking of getting on the air this very evening
and discussing amateur radio policy with already licensed hams just to
spite Len.

Dave K8MN

1123. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 04:16:29 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DD8699D.D4B172D7@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55369

Newbie Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> JJ:
>
> It's true that you can become an Extra with just a 5 WPM code
> test, but you can't become an Extra "just like us." All you can
> become is a dumbed-down, give-away, Nickle Extra who doesn't
> have the operational knowledge and skill Dick and I had as Novices.

There is that "I am a much superior ham because I passed the 20 wpm Morse test" attitude again. Anyone who gets the Extra under the 5 wpm requirements is just as good and Extra as you and dickieboy, no even better because they probably don't have this superior attitude and ego problem you have. You just can't stand to think of other hams getting the Extra ticket without have to take the 20 wpm test like you did. It means that your Extra ticket doesn't hold any special meaning over anyone else's and that chaps your butt. I bet there are a lot of those "dumbed-down, give-away, Nickel Extra's" out there that have improved there cw skills and could beat you at it hands down.

> I would think that if anyone's butts were chapped, it would be
> those of you and your Post-Restructuring Extra-class hangers-on,
> who can only hold up a piece a paper and claim "equality" with
> your Pre-Restructuring superiors. Alas, you are doomed to live
> in a world of guilt-by-association. You are not my equal. I am
> your superior.

Your are a no nothing newbie, I got you beat by 20 years in ham radio. Your are correct, I am not your equal, I am far superior to you in ham radio.

> You are a pathetic, withered, miserable excuse
> for a ham radio operator who will never achieve the maximum
> potential possible under the Pre-Restructuring licensing system.

Were you looking in the mirror when you said that?

> I look down upon you from my lofty perch, and weep with pity!

Careful you don't fall off into your own droppings and smother.

1124. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 04:18:17 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DD86A09.E393C1BF@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55370

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >
> > In article <3DD71566.F1DF8472@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
> > writes:
> >
> > >> It's the most ubiquitous and the classic of the code-haters many
> > >> lamebrained and easily refuted mantras. Same one as the idiot JJ has
> > >> been using here lately.
> > >
> > >Poor dickie and larry, really chaps their butts that now someone
> > >can become an extra just like them with only a 5 wpm code test.
> >
> > JJ:
> >
> > It's true that you can become an Extra with just a 5 WPM code
> > test, but you can't become an Extra "just like us." All you can
> > become is a dumbed-down, give-away, Nickle Extra who doesn't
> > have the operational knowledge and skill Dick and I had as Novices.
> > I would think that if anyone's butts were chapped, it would be
> > those of you and your Post-Restructuring Extra-class hangers-on,
> > who can only hold up a piece a paper and claim "equality" with
> > your Pre-Restructuring superiors. Alas, you are doomed to live
> > in a world of guilt-by-association. You are not my equal. I am
> > your superior. You are a pathetic, withered, miserable excuse
> > for a ham radio operator who will never achieve the maximum
> > potential possible under the Pre-Restructuring licensing system.
> > I look down upon you from my lofty perch, and weep with pity!
>
> And you can engrave that in stone, for that's truly the *Way It IS*

In your feeble mind anyway. Maybe they will engrave it in stone,
on your head stone someday that is, for it would be true as far as
you're concerned.

1125. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 05:18:22 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021118001822.04612.00000737@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 55362

In article <ar8l1s$th2$0@208.207.71.156>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>> As a novice I could only use cw on HF, I sat in front of an FCC
>> examiner for my General and Advanced license and I can still copy
>> and send CW, so don't try to inflate your big ego by attempting to
>> degrade my abilities.
>
>
> So? Like many hundreds of thousands of others. Larry included, as it
>happens.
>
>
>> It just chaps your butt to think that CW is
>> just not as important to ham radio as you would like.
>
>
>
>Just a small part of a large problem, O Dense One. Nothing is important
>to ham
>radio except "gimmie what I want when I want it".

Dick:

Darn it all, you've done it again -- defined the entire No-Code Test Agenda
in eight words!

73 de Larry, K3LT

1126. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 05:18:23 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021118001823.04612.00000738@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 55361

In article <utfmp9qgh8foaf@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>The real weakness in Larry's argument is not that he can't or won't prove
>any real-world emergency situation that substantiates his claims about CW.
>It's in the fact that he actually believes what he says about CW.
>
>Kim W5TIT

Kim:

I believe absolutely everything I say about CW -- or I wouldn't be
saying it. How does this define weakness -- other than in the twisted
caverns of your mind?

73 de Larry, K3LT

1127. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 05:46:55 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ar9usf$4vv$0@208.206.142.81>
Source record: 55363

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <20021116233716.17907.00000557@mb-cs.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> writes:
>
> >
> >As of November 15, 2002:
> >
> >Novice - 36,397 (decrease of 12,932)
> >Tech - 246,664 (increase of 41,270)
> >Tech Plus - 74,581 (decrease of 54,279)
> >General - 139,532 (increase of 26,855)
> >Advanced - 84,483 (decrease of 15,299)
> >Extra - 103,026 (increase of 25,276)
> >Total - 684,683 (increase of 9,891)
> >
> >73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Jim:
>
> Less than ten thousand new hams -- total -- in over 2.5 years of
> Restructuring???
>
> But...but...but...wwwwe were ppppromised -- promised, I tell you --
> that if only the mean-spirited, politically-incorrect, ozone-hole-expanding,
> ethnically-cleansing, spotted-owl-killing, rainforest-depleting, anti-
> woman, anti-choice, anti-everything-thats-good code testing requirements
> were reduced and eliminated, we'd get a mass influx of enlightened,
> technically-involved, computer-literate, politically-correct, diverse,
> trans-gendered, bi-sexual, female, young, socially-conscious
> new hams wearing hand-woven fabrics, Birkenstock sandals, pony
> tails, and little round eyeglasses. They would then tear down our
> antiquated, analog, CW-dominated ham radio infrastructure and
> replace it with a high-speed digital communications system that would
> give us the equivalent of a free, over-the-air World Wide Web with voice,
> data, image, and sound access via tiny, shirt-pocket sized devices which
> they homebrewed in the back of their VW microbusses with the
> floral pattern paint design and the large peace sign on the front.
>
> What happened?

Well,.....

1128. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 05:49:12 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ar9v0o$4vv$1@208.206.142.81>
Source record: 55364

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3DD71566.F1DF8472@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
> writes:
>
> >> It's the most ubiquitous and the classic of the code-haters many
> >> lamebrained and easily refuted mantras. Same one as the idiot JJ has
> >> been using here lately.
> >
> >Poor dickie and larry, really chaps their butts that now someone
> >can become an extra just like them with only a 5 wpm code test.
>
> JJ:
>
> It's true that you can become an Extra with just a 5 WPM code
> test, but you can't become an Extra "just like us." All you can
> become is a dumbed-down, give-away, Nickle Extra who doesn't
> have the operational knowledge and skill Dick and I had as Novices.
> I would think that if anyone's butts were chapped, it would be
> those of you and your Post-Restructuring Extra-class hangers-on,
> who can only hold up a piece a paper and claim "equality" with
> your Pre-Restructuring superiors. Alas, you are doomed to live
> in a world of guilt-by-association. You are not my equal. I am
> your superior. You are a pathetic, withered, miserable excuse
> for a ham radio operator who will never achieve the maximum
> potential possible under the Pre-Restructuring licensing system.
> I look down upon you from my lofty perch, and weep with pity!

And you can engrave that in stone, for that's truly the *Way It IS*

1129. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 05:50:04 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ar9v2c$4vv$2@208.206.142.81>
Source record: 55365

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >Where does the name "Waddles" come from?
>
> Its just a comparison of what most of her dream men would look like, and
> probably what she is use to.

NO, guys, it's what she DOES.

1130. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 05:51:03 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ar9v47$4vv$3@208.206.142.81>
Source record: 55366

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> > Brian, puhleeze....he's "threatened" coming my way once
> >before.
>
> TIT no need to worry, it will never happen

Aw shucks, just when her hopes and expectations were raised....

1131. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 06:13:53 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ara0f1$a80$0@208.206.142.81>
Source record: 55368

JJ wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > > > nor does it make
> > > > > one a better ham than some other. Dick and Larry are proof of
> > > > > that.
> > > >
> > > > You're too much of a fool to understand that it's no more than one more
> > > > mode - the most basic easily implemented mode of all. Refusing to learn
> > > > to use it makes a ham equal to those who did only in your feeble mind.
> > >
> > > And the ability to use cw as making one a superior ham is only in
> > > your feeble mind.
> >
> > If you're too dense to understand that one who is capable in a skill is
> > more
> > qualified that one who is not, well we'll just let that fact speak for
> > itself.
>
> More qualified that what? It just makes you more qualified in the
> use of CW and outdated mode and nothing else.
> And you're too dense (no if about it) to understand that just
> because you consider yourself so proficient in cw does not make
> you any better than any other ham, not even a no coder.

Poor JJ, not really very bright, are you? Who said anything about
"better"?
Just more qualified as a communicator. If you think CW is outmoded that
means you
don't really pay much attention to what goes on within HF ham radio.
Your loss.

1132. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 07:18:47 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <rv0C9.12144$vM1.913935@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 55371

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:

> Less than ten thousand new hams -- total -- in over 2.5 years of
> Restructuring???
>
> But...but...but...wwwwe were ppppromised -- promised, I tell you --
> that if only the mean-spirited, politically-incorrect, ozone-hole-expanding,
> ethnically-cleansing, spotted-owl-killing, rainforest-depleting, anti-
> woman, anti-choice, anti-everything-thats-good code testing requirements
> were reduced and eliminated, we'd get a mass influx of enlightened,
> technically-involved, computer-literate, politically-correct, diverse,
> trans-gendered, bi-sexual, female, young, socially-conscious
> new hams wearing hand-woven fabrics, Birkenstock sandals, pony
> tails, and little round eyeglasses. They would then tear down our
> antiquated, analog, CW-dominated ham radio infrastructure and
> replace it with a high-speed digital communications system that would
> give us the equivalent of a free, over-the-air World Wide Web with voice,
> data, image, and sound access via tiny, shirt-pocket sized devices which
> they homebrewed in the back of their VW microbusses with the
> floral pattern paint design and the large peace sign on the front.
>
> What happened?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Larry, that would have happened if restructuring had happened back
in the 60's instead of incentive licensing. Now it's 21st-century
Republicanism. If you are strong enough politically and economically,
then you seize the RF bandwidth you need to save the children and to
hell with socialist government radio licenses.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

1133. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 07:31:40 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <arapma32llb@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55381

>
> The "I had to do it, so do you" argument is a construct of the NCTA --
> it has never been the position of the PCTA, myself in particular. I have
> consistently supported code testing on the basis of the need to preserve
> the capability to exploit the many benefits and advantages of the Morse/
> CW mode among amateur radio operators. However, the "I had to do
> it, so do you" argument is based on the much denied, but real truth of
> their own motivation -- laziness, and a desire for an easy way "in" to
> the ARS and high-power, HF phone privileges.

Whether or not if it is a legitamite talking point of the pro-coders, it is the perception from what I see.

>
> >In the meantime... if the requirements dictate a certain action.... I
will
> >comply with that, even if I don't agree with it, to gain the privileges
that
> >go along with it.
>
> If only everyone else held this attitude, the ARS could have been
> spared it's ugliest, most controversial debate -- and we'd still have
> reasonable and purposeful licensing requirements.
>

Thanks, but it is how I feel about alot of things. In the fire service, there is a ton of stuff that I will probably never use, for instance.... in our current coverage area there are no high-rise structures, or structures above 3 floors. I still was tested on the knowledge of that even if I never get on a department where there are high-rise type structures. It is similar to the morse code in my own personal opinion.... I had to qualify for it, but don't use it.

You got into ham radio for your reasons, and others got into for their reasons. As well as I too. As long as you are not breaking the law/rules, enjoy the hobby to the fullest, and to hell with those that work against you.

Thats the way I see it....

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

1134. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 07:56:46 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DD89D3E.5775A301@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 55373

>
> I look down upon you from my lofty perch, and weep with pity!
>

Yes, the driver seat in the bus does put you up high.....

:-) (smile)

1135. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 08:02:40 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DD89EA0.D3B61494@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 55374

JJ wrote:

> Newbie Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> > JJ:
> >
> > It's true that you can become an Extra with just a 5 WPM code
> > test, but you can't become an Extra "just like us." All you can
> > become is a dumbed-down, give-away, Nickle Extra who doesn't
> > have the operational knowledge and skill Dick and I had as Novices.
>
> There is that "I am a much superior ham because I passed the 20
> wpm Morse test" attitude again. Anyone who gets the Extra under
> the 5 wpm requirements is just as good and Extra as you and
> dickieboy, no even better because they probably don't have this
> superior attitude and ego problem you have. You just can't stand
> to think of other hams getting the Extra ticket without have to
> take the 20 wpm test like you did. It means that your Extra ticket
> doesn't hold any special meaning over anyone else's and that chaps
> your butt. I bet there are a lot of those "dumbed-down, give-away,
> Nickel Extra's" out there that have improved there cw skills and
> could beat you at it hands down.
>

Larry would never live it down if Kim takes and passes the General (unless her tech + is pre 1987) and the Extra writtens. Question pools and practice tests abound, so it should be likely.....

Kim, do it. It would bust his b*lls..... :-)

1136. Re: Only 41 shopping days.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 13:02:52 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211180502.11e448d9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55375

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021116212401.19764.00000073@mb-ba.aol.com>...
> >Now If I were a genius like you, I could just lift money from "CB
> >Plussers" on a whim.
> >
> >
>
> If you were smart enough you could, but it appears your not.

Many things are not as they appear. For instance, taking an Extra
exam on a whim, w/o studying, scoring 100%, in 8 minutes, and taking
$250 from a couple of CB Plussers.

You're the King of Appearance.

1137. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 13:05:53 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211180505.12a37567@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55376

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3DD793CC.2959162C@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DD64AC2.9484679D@earthlink.net>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DD5277C.5C958300@earthlink.net>...
> > > >
> > > > > Taking exams and passing exams are two very different things. In the
> > > > > early '90s, passing the Extra meant passing a 20 wpm morse test. Did
> > > > > you do that too? If not, you didn't "pass the Extra".
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > > I -passed- the General, Advanced, and Extra writtens. Feel better?
> > >
> > > I feel that you've arrived at the truth. Do YOU feel better now?
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > It's what I said. It's as true now as when I passed the exams.
>
> That is not what you stated. Your previous claim to have "passed the
> Extra exam" was erroneous. You passed part of it.
>
> Dave K8MN

Did I pass a Morse exam or a Farnsworth exam?

1138. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 13:18:32 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021118081832.27699.00005782@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 55377

>Subject: Re: The Future of Packet Radio
>From: earlebyrd@sun.up
>Date: 11/17/02 10:07 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <0pfftu4qcn4i774atatks0lflnopftcpik@4ax.com>

>Here's his question, directed at YOU. Idiot!

Anonymous Scumbag,

Nope...wasn't "directed" at me. Now...Mommy hasn't had her share of
Sonny's wanggie this morning...better get back to what you do best...

1139. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 13:22:03 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021118082203.27699.00005783@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 55378

>Subject: Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 11/17/02 10:14 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3DD8694F.D973A5F@earthlink.net>

>I chose to do so. I'm thinking of getting on the air this very evening
>and discussing amateur radio policy with already licensed hams just to
>spite Len.

My CAP unit drills from 0030Z to 0230Z, Dave, but otherwise would love to
meet you. Forty meters?

73

Steve, K4YZ

1140. New Record In Amateur (Non) Testing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 13:41:07 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021118084107.27699.00005785@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 55379

Interesting the comments by others on taking the present Amateur Extra exam in 8 minutes.

It has come to me that Leonard H. Anderson, (lenover21@aol.com, lenof21@aol.com, nocwtest@aol.com, averyfine@aol.com, and others...probably "meghz", "earlybyrd" and vshah@aol.com.), a person alleged to have accomplished a "professional" radio career, once boasted that he would take the "Extra Lite out of the box".

Well...it's now been approximately 1,314,000 minutes since the inception of the "Extra Lite", and Lennie has yet to keep his boast. Go figure. What did we expect from a pathological liar?

And if one considers there's been NO Morse Code test required for access to 97% of Amateur allocations for over 11 years, Lennie has wasted over 12,614,400 minutes getting a license.

Whew...What a waste.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1141. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 13:47:40 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021118084740.27699.00005786@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 55380

>ubject: Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport
>From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net (Dennis Ferguson)
>Date: 11/16/02 2:28 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <ar69ps$82h$1@heap.juniper.net>
>
>Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:
>> If anyone can find the registry of this Motor Vessel Oak, please
>> post it or a reference to where such evidence may be found.
>
>I don't think the Bahamas has their ship registry online, but the
>MV Oak has been to the Great Lakes and this is recorded online. See...(SNIP)

ZING! OUCH! MEDIC!

1142. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 14:54:25 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021118095425.29900.00000062@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 55382

> Aw shucks, just when her hopes and expectations were raised....

I know, shes probably very upset, she probably even went out of her way and had
a Big Dinner all ready for me.

1143. Re: New Record In Amateur (Non) Testing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 15:06:27 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021118100627.29900.00000063@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 55383

>Interesting the comments by others on taking the present Amateur Extra
>exam in 8 minutes.

Was so interesting about it, the written is a Bigger Joke than the CW Test

1144. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 15:59:59 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DD90E78.2A031E73@earthlink.net>
Source record: 55384

```
Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DD793CC.2959162C@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DD64AC2.9484679D@earthlink.net>...
> > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DD5277C.5C958300@earthlink.net>...
> > > > >
> > > > > > Taking exams and passing exams are two very different things. In the
> > > > > > early '90s, passing the Extra meant passing a 20 wpm morse test. Did
> > > > > > you do that too? If not, you didn't "pass the Extra".
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > >
> > > > > I -passed- the General, Advanced, and Extra writtens. Feel better?
> > > > >
> > > > I feel that you've arrived at the truth. Do YOU feel better now?
> > > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > It's what I said. It's as true now as when I passed the exams.
> >
> > That is not what you stated. Your previous claim to have "passed the
> > Extra exam" was erroneous. You passed part of it.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Did I pass a Morse exam or a Farnsworth exam?

If you are writing of the day you "passed your Extra", the answer would
be: neither.

Dave K8MN
```

1145. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 16:02:48 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DD90F26.73D28306@earthlink.net>
Source record: 55385

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)
> >From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >Date: 11/17/02 10:14 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <3DD8694F.D973A5F@earthlink.net>
>
> >I chose to do so. I'm thinking of getting on the air this very evening
> >and discussing amateur radio policy with already licensed hams just to
> >spite Len.
>
> My CAP unit drills from 0030Z to 0230Z, Dave, but otherwise would love to
> meet you. Forty meters?

Sorry we couldn't hook up, Steve. I joined the bunch on 3.875 for a
chat.
I felt like asking Andy 9L1AB his views on morse testing but he was busy
running a pileup on 40m CW.

Dave K8MN

1146. This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 17:39:03 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arb8jbmcv1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55386

I have been active in contesting for many years. I have been a participant in the Sweepstakes contest since the early sixties.

In this contest part of the exchange is the sending of the 'check' this is the year first licensed.

In the phone contest in particular I noticed a LARGE INCREASE in relativley new licensees. I.E. 00, 01, 99 etc. I also heard several YL voices out there and a couple of kids even. Everyone having a great time.

Things are looking up for ham radio after all.

Just my opinion.

Dan/W4NTI

1147. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 17:50:02 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <eL9C9.5006$%32.477705@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 55387

--
&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org
"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote

```
:
: In the phone contest in particular I noticed a LARGE INCREASE in
relativley
: new licensees. I.E. 00, 01, 99 etc. I also heard several YL voices out
: there and a couple of kids even. Everyone having a great time.
:

Young people are drawn to competitions, and radiosport contesting can be
called a huge global electronic splatball game. It may be the most
important tool we have to bring newcomers into the hobby.

Each year on SS Phone weekend we bill our club station (W0EF) as "The
University of Contesting" and it is always a great draw for younger/newer
hams in the club. In 2 or 4 hour shifts, depending on demand, we team up a
newbie with an experienced contester as a mentor in the 'second chair'.
Within 15 minutes it's pretty hard to tell which is which!
```

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

```
PS: Nice to work you and Larry (at W3DOV) this weekend. Wonder if any
other rrap'ers were active.
```

1148. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 18:02:15 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211181002.1be5c4e3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55388

Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:<3DD89EA0.D3B61494@ix.netcom.com>...
> JJ wrote:
>
> > Newbie Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >
> > > JJ:
> > >
> > > It's true that you can become an Extra with just a 5 WPM code
> > > test, but you can't become an Extra "just like us." All you can
> > > become is a dumbed-down, give-away, Nickle Extra who doesn't
> > > have the operational knowledge and skill Dick and I had as Novices.
> >
> > There is that "I am a much superior ham because I passed the 20
> > wpm Morse test" attitude again. Anyone who gets the Extra under
> > the 5 wpm requirements is just as good and Extra as you and
> > dickieboy, no even better because they probably don't have this
> > superior attitude and ego problem you have. You just can't stand
> > to think of other hams getting the Extra ticket without have to
> > take the 20 wpm test like you did. It means that your Extra ticket
> > doesn't hold any special meaning over anyone else's and that chaps
> > your butt. I bet there are a lot of those "dumbed-down, give-away,
> > Nickel Extra's" out there that have improved there cw skills and
> > could beat you at it hands down.
> >
>
> Larry would never live it down if Kim takes and passes the General
> (unless her tech + is pre 1987) and the Extra writtens. Question pools
> and practice tests abound, so it should be likely.....
>
> Kim, do it. It would bust his b*lls..... :-)

Wouldn't work. Larry has long ago laid the foundation for segregating
the ARS, and the wall has broken beer bottles embedded in the top. I
pity the poor person who tries to cross over.

Even Bruce wouldn't dare. The only way he can hold his head tall, is
by claiming the exam is a farce and that without studying he took $250
from CB Plussers....

1149. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 18:04:39 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211181004.6e79512a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55389

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021117101113.24071.00000009@mb-cv.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: The Future of Packet Radio
> >From: earlebyrd@sun.up
> >Date: 11/16/02 6:36 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <c8pdtucc3esgu3l980c0j7saq8u6q66rmd@4ax.com>
>
> >So what! Just answer his question.
>
> Dear Scummy Anonymous Troll:
>
> No question was put to me by His Lyingness. Now crawl back into bed with
> your mother, sister, brother, or whoever is absorbing your body fluids this
> week.
>
> I love how almost all of Lennie's "colleagues" are anonymous...MegHz,
> Vshash, "earlybyrd", etc...
>
> Some SHOULD be...Brian (who is licensed but doesn't know a thing about
> proper licensing of stations or meeting "DX" criteria")...and "Markie", an
> illiterate pathological liar who thinks we can't subtract (like his age from
> the year the draft ended).
>
> Some following, Lennie...Some following.

And some are pathological about Cutie Boy, Mark, and Len.

Steve, it's a sickness. Go get help.

1150. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 18:16:02 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0211181016.c63de50@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55390

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021117213841.11087.00001802@mb-fi.aol.com>...
> In article <20021116233716.17907.00000557@mb-cs.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> writes:

> >As of November 15, 2002:
> >
> >Novice - 36,397 (decrease of 12,932)
> >Tech - 246,664 (increase of 41,270)
> >Tech Plus - 74,581 (decrease of 54,279)
> >General - 139,532 (increase of 26,855)
> >Advanced - 84,483 (decrease of 15,299)
> >Extra - 103,026 (increase of 25,276)
> >Total - 684,683 (increase of 9,891)
> >
> >73 de Jim, N2EY

btw, the new total is probably an all-time high.
>
> Jim:
>
> Less than ten thousand new hams -- total -- in over 2.5 years of
> Restructuring???

Nope. A net gain of almost 10,000 total. The number of new hams is
much greater because the totals are reduced by expirations.
>
> But...but...but...wwwwe were ppppromised -- promised, I tell you --

Promised by whom?

> that if only the mean-spirited, politically-incorrect, ozone-hole-expanding,
> ethnically-cleansing, spotted-owl-killing, rainforest-depleting, anti-
> woman, anti-choice, anti-everything-thats-good code testing requirements
> were reduced and eliminated, we'd get a mass influx of enlightened,
> technically-involved, computer-literate, politically-correct, diverse,
> trans-gendered, bi-sexual, female, young, socially-conscious
> new hams wearing hand-woven fabrics, Birkenstock sandals, pony
> tails, and little round eyeglasses.

You forgot organic, vegan, New Age and yellow dog.

> They would then tear down our
> antiquated, analog, CW-dominated ham radio infrastructure and
> replace it with a high-speed digital communications system that would
> give us the equivalent of a free, over-the-air World Wide Web with voice,

> data, image, and sound access via tiny, shirt-pocket sized devices which
> they homebrewed in the back of their VW microbusses with the
> floral pattern paint design and the large peace sign on the front.
>
> What happened?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

They're busy trying to work DXCC on SSB. ;-)

There's something odd here, though. I've been called a "liberal" or even just a "lib" for daring to support code testing, incentive licensing, meaningful written tests and operating skills - even though I can't recall ever naming a political party affiliation or candidate preference here.

OTOH, it's clear that nobody familiar with your views would call you a "liberal" except as a joke - or insult.

Yet our views on keeping or abolishing code testing, incentive licensing, meaningful written tests and operating skills are virtually identical.

So is code testing a "liberal" concept or a "conservative" one? How about the concept of eliminating code testing?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1151. Re: New Record In Amateur (Non) Testing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 18:21:54 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <6daC9.5034$%32.482560@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 55391

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

:
: Well...it's now been approximately 1,314,000 minutes since the
inception
: of the "Extra Lite", and Lennie has yet to keep his boast. Go figure.
What
: did we expect from a pathological liar?
:

The Armenian judges gave it a 9.8 on the Olympic Troll-O-Meter,
but they were over-ruled by the umpires in instant replay, who
award it a 2.6

The Armenian judges lodged a formal protest!

It was sufficiently trollish, of course, but way too obvious.
It was poorly written, poorly executed, and was so incredibly
lame as to lack the true drawing power of a really masterful
troll. Maybe as high as a 3.3 for the sheer stupidity of the
premise, but a 9.8? Never! The Armenian judges tear their
hair out, throw their balalaikas down in dismay, and perform
the traditional Armenian Dismay Chant! They demand a recount!

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB
Lord High Keeper of the Troll-O-Meter

1152. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 18:47:27 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DD935BF.7C3A99EF@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55394

Robert Casey wrote:
>
> >
> > I look down upon you from my lofty perch, and weep with pity!
> >
>
> Yes, the driver seat in the bus does put you up high.....
>
> :-) (smile)

LOL!!!!

1153. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 19:07:10 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <yTaC9.140$az.5@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 55392

"K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:eL9C9.5006$%32.477705@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
>
> --
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
> http://www.mnyarc.org
> http://www.k0bsa.org
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote
>
>
> :
> : In the phone contest in particular I noticed a LARGE INCREASE in
> relativley
> : new licensees. I.E. 00, 01, 99 etc. I also heard several YL voices out
> : there and a couple of kids even. Everyone having a great time.
> :
>
> Young people are drawn to competitions, and radiosport contesting can be
> called a huge global electronic splatball game. It may be the most
> important tool we have to bring newcomers into the hobby.
>
> Each year on SS Phone weekend we bill our club station (W0EF) as "The
> University of Contesting" and it is always a great draw for younger/newer
> hams in the club. In 2 or 4 hour shifts, depending on demand, we team up
a
> newbie with an experienced contester as a mentor in the 'second chair'.
> Within 15 minutes it's pretty hard to tell which is which!
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
> http://www.mnyarc.org
> http://www.k0bsa.org
>
> PS: Nice to work you and Larry (at W3DOV) this weekend. Wonder if any
> other rrap'ers were active.

I got a taste on 28.470. :-)

--
73 de Bert

WA2SI

1154. Re: Only 41 shopping days.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 20:13:27 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DD949E7.19B1BB0C@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 55393

B

> Many things are not as they appear. For instance, taking an Extra
> exam on a whim, w/o studying, scoring 100%, in 8 minutes, and taking
> $250 from a couple of CB Plussers.
>

Come to think of it, how would you prove a score of 100%?
Yes, you'd have the successful completion paperwork, but that
only indicates that you passed. I don't think the VEs would
be allowed to show other people the actual completed and
scored test, for privacy issues.

It didn't take me that long to do the extra written, but I took my
time to avoid dumb errors. So the claim of 100% in 8
minutes doesn't seem that far fetched, but how do you prove it?

1155. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 21:11:19 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021118161119.14856.00000588@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 55400

In article <20021117183657.06308.00000538@mb-fm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>Mr. Anderson does not want to express himself on the amateur bands at all. If >he did, he'd have gotten a license and set up a station long ago.

"Express myself on the amateur bands?" :-)

I prefer USPS overnight, FedEx, and UPS for expressing.

>The USA has had amateur licenses with no code test for 11-1/2 years now, and >for the past 2-1/2 years the maximum code test has been 5 wpm. Yet Mr. >Anderson's Extra is still in its box.

The code test is still there. Why is it still there?

Mr. Anderson's First Class Radiotelephone license is still in the box, too...since 1956. :-)

>It's exactly what Mr. Anderson does here. Note that no examples of this >behavior by you (K8MN) are provided.

Mr. Heil has adequately provided numerous examples of arrogant behavior and denigration of others' persons in lieu of statements on subjects.

Mr. N2EY should look into Google. :-)

>Well, there you have it. Mr. Anderson thinks that licensed amateurs should >not
>discuss amateur radio policy matters on the air unless such policy matters >affect only already-licensed hams. In other words, full-scale censorship of >what we can talk about on the air, far beyond the realm of the FCC rules.

Mr. N2EY has it wrong, AGAIN. Why go to an essentially private venue for "discussion" when THIS newsgroup already exists, unmoderated, open to anyone who has access to the Internet (no license required)?

>FCC regulations apply to noncitizens in the USA as well as citizens. They >apply to those who are too young to vote, too.

Yes, six-year-old children can become licensed. Nine-year-old children can become extras. Be proud of a service where full licensing can be passed by children. It will make you feel very grown-up and important! :-)

1156. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 21:11:20 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021118161120.14856.00000590@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 55395

In article <20021117120012.23768.00001556@mb-md.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>Is there anyone out there in the group, who have known me longer
>than Krell, who can remember me EVER "reminiscing about the
>good 'ol days?"

ABSOLUTELY!!! :-)

It's all in Google to borrow N2EY's famous comment... :-)

1157. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 21:11:20 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021118161120.14856.00000589@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 55401

In article <20021117213842.11087.00001803@mb-fi.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>It's true that you can become an Extra with just a 5 WPM code
>test, but you can't become an Extra "just like us." All you can
>become is a dumbed-down, give-away, Nickle Extra who doesn't
>have the operational knowledge and skill Dick and I had as Novices.
>I would think that if anyone's butts were chapped, it would be
>those of you and your Post-Restructuring Extra-class hangers-on,
>who can only hold up a piece a paper and claim "equality" with
>your Pre-Restructuring superiors. Alas, you are doomed to live
>in a world of guilt-by-association. You are not my equal. I am
>your superior. You are a pathetic, withered, miserable excuse
>for a ham radio operator who will never achieve the maximum
>potential possible under the Pre-Restructuring licensing system.
>I look down upon you from my lofty perch, and weep with pity!

You forgot to add "modest" and "self-effacing." :-)

1158. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 21:11:21 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021118161121.14856.00000591@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 55398

In article <c8pdtucc3esgu3l980c0j7saq8u6q66rmd@4ax.com>, earlebyrd@sun.up
writes:

>On 17 Nov 2002 00:28:47 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote:
>>>What has Larrie Roll done to advance the state of the amateur
>>> radio art?
>
>> WHOA! This from a guy who's last "contribution" to Amatuer Radio was
>to
>>pen a few dubiously original articles in a now-defunct magazine almost 20
>years
>>ago!
>
>So what! Just answer his question.

Ham Radio Magazine stopped publication in 1990 when its parent,
Communications Technology, Inc., was sold to CQ Communications.
That is not "almost 20 years ago."

The entire 22-year article collection of Ham Radio Magazine is
available on a three-CD-ROM set ($150) from either CQ or ARRL.

As to "dubiousness" of articles, anyone is able to verify that on
inspection of article contents and references. The editors in
chief were all licensed US radio amateurs...Jim Fisk, Alf Wilson,
Rich Rosen, Terry Northrup.

1159. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 21:11:24 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021118161124.14856.00000592@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 55399

In article <3DD865A1.4E0DA361@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Regardless, Len, to use your own words: You have an overly personal
>attachment to the idea of doing away with morse testing.

Incorrect. I've pointed out what others, both "participants" and
"non-participants" (in amateur radio) have stated, how the
present radio regulations and international recommendations
are in regards to morse code testing for an amateur radio
license having below-30-MHz privileges.

While updating and streamlining law seems "overly personal"
to you, question yourself on why you wish to keep outmoded,
outdated regulations and deprive future amateurs from such
restrictions. You seem overly concerned with keeping all radio
amateur regulations at the state at which you achieved your
amateur license. Why? [rhetorical...it is glaringly obvious to
other readers...]

>If "overly personal" works in one direction, it works just as well in
>the other.

Wrong. Persistence in trying to update and simplify some
minor regulations which affect others, not yourself, is not
obsessive. It is just updating and simplification, a normal
situation in US law.

Your own amateur license status is in no way affected by any
removal of a code test. You will still have the same operating
privileges...by all the precedent of past "grandfather" rulings.

The attempt to keep outdated and outmoded regulations and
a complex class structure in an avocational activity simply to
suit personal desires at the expense of others is considered
obsessive...and totalitarian in nature to some.

>Wait a minute, Len. You have decided that amateur radio should adopt
>your views.

No. I've been pointing out changes in amateur radio regulations
to remove outdated and outmoded requirements which affect
others, principally the "non-participants" not yet licensed.

YOU have decided to attempt, by whatever means, to suppress
changes in US amateur radio regulations that contradict your
personal desires, ideas, and concepts. Such is evident by your

postings here, targeting individuals whose opinions are contrary
to yours...demanding that they cease commenting on the "basis"
of being "non-participants."

Unless there has been some revision of Communications Acts
since 1934, the US government does NOT restrict comment,
talk, discussion solely by licensed "participants." Yet you
insist that "participation" through licensing is "required."

>Are you now the arbiter on what is "good and proper" in
>amateur radio?

No, but then neither are you. You are not in the Federal
Communications Commission, are not an authorized official
appointed by them, nor do you have any special position
over and above any other free citizen on the United States.

You greatly misdirect the issue. Removal of the morse code
test for a US amateur radio license having below-30-MHz
privileges will NOT affect your personal amateur radio license.
The morse code test affects ONLY those not yet licensed in
amateur radio or who do not yet have below-30-MHz privileges.
Getting into amateur radio is NOT the same as "participation."

>YOU don't control the radio regulations, do?

I've never claimed to be a US radio regulator...as you seem to
claim insofar as amateur radio is concerned.

>Yet you demand that
>certain regulations be changed solely on the grounds of your demands.

I do not "demand." I point out regulations as they are, the
state of the entire radio art, the other groups and individuals
who argue their case for removal and changes of outdated,
outmoded regulations in an avocational radio activity.

YOU demand "participation" through licensing in order to
simply discuss and argue amateur regulation changes. Such
a demand does not have any legal standing.

>A test does not become superfluous and unnecessary based on your say so.

True on a single individual's "say so." Heil overlooks the fact
that removal of the morse code test for amateur radio licensing
is NOT that of a single individual or even a single group. The
United States Adminstration position on ITU-R Recommendation
S25 is to change it at WRC-03 and remove the morse code test.
That same view is held by the International Amateur Radio Union.
No Code International is a separate organization, composed of
both "participants" and "non-participants" in amateur radio who
are seeking the removal of the morse code test. Several other
nations' administrations are seeking the elimination of the morse
code test at WRC-03.

In the entire world of radio, morse code mode communications
has either been declining rapidly or was never considered when
a radio service was created. Morse code mode is used primarily
in amateur radio and even there its use is secondary and on HF
amateur bands.

There is absolutely nothing in the Communications Act of 1934
or subsequent revisions that establishes morse code mode as
something that should be kept inviolate as both a license test
requirement and as a mode in use to be preserved forever. That
mode is as subject to change/removal as any other mode.

US amateur radio is NOT a living museum of the past radio art
nor is there any moral, ethical, or legal reason to keep morse
code testing for licensing other than an ITU-R Recommendation.
That Recommendation (S25) is an item of discussion in the
preliminary WRC (now under way) and for WRC-03 next year.

>
>> >You come out scratching and clawing on a personal
>> >level against those who threaten your self-perception.
>>
>> "Scratching and clawing?!?" :-) :-) :-)
>>
>> What in the world are you imagining?
>
>...a lonely and embittered old fellow with too much time on his hands.

Lonely? Hardly. Bitter? No again. Resolute? Yes. Arguing
reasons to change radio regulations, absolutely yes. Persistent?
Yes. Too much time on my hands? No, not enough to satisfy
all my interests even though I've had a career in radio-electronics,
was working HF communications before Heil, was active in more
different radio services than Heil in communicating, designing, and
building radio equipment.

>Your surely have pointed out those things. You've also pointed out that
>there should be a minimum age requirement for radio amateurs.

Why is that a "sin?" :-) Do you wish to be "proud" of a license
test that can be passed by six-year-olds? Do you want
CHILDREN as "responsible control operators" in amateur radio?
:-)
I've dropped that comment to the FCC in 1999 and haven't
mentioned it since except to defend the original statement
when already-licensed long-timers want to level charges
about it.

>You've
>also glossed over the fact that morse is widely used within amateur
>radio so it matters little what other radio services do with regard to
>morse testing.

No. I've accepted an ARRL poll set of statistics indicating that
on-off morse code keying is the second most-used mode and
not emphasized that this poll concerned only HF band use.

The major reason for morse code testing for licensing in 1912
was that on-off keying coding was the ONLY practical mode of
communications then and all radio services used that mode.
It made sense then and for the first radio regulating agency's
task of mitigating radio interference. In the following 90 years
of regulated radio, other radio services have either dropped
morse code use or never considered it as a mode when a radio
service was created.

Amateur radio must share the EM spectrum with all other radio
services. If those other radio services do not use morse code,
there is no reason for morse code licensing in order to mitigate
interference. The FCC stated in 1990 that it does not consider
morse code proficiency as sufficient for its needs to regulate
amateur radio by means of licensing. The FCC reiterated
that statement in 1999 in the R&O implementing US amateur
radio regulation restructuring.

>Other radio services have little bearing on what takes place in the
>amateur service.

Incorrect. Allocated mateur radio bands are not all exclusive for
amateur use. The only reason for government licensing testing of
morse code proficiency was to aid their task of mitigating
interference...by a then-common communications mode used by
all other radio services. If all other radio services do not use
morse code, there is no reason for testing as part of licensing.

>Morse code is used daily by thousands worldwide in the
>amateur service. Something in wide use is hardly outmoded.

OTHER modes are MORE widely used by more thousands,
worldwide. Other modes have NEVER been tested as part of
amateur radio licensing in the USA. The FCC does not mandate
morse code use over and above any other allocated mode, any
allocated band, any class of US amateur radio license.

>Your use of that term indicates a lack of awareness on your part.

Incorrect again. Being a "participant" in amateur radio does
not yield you any "expertise" in knowing more than others who
have worked in the larger field of radio.

>I've asked you on several occasions to back up your wild claims of what
>you believe licensed radio amateurs who support code testing believe.

...and I keep replying by pointing out the obvious PCTA's comments
in here. They appear to believe in retention of the same testing as
they had to pass (a long time ago) for all who come after them.
They want to preserve a mode which they, personally, passed, some

having learned greater proficiency in that mode. In other words, they judge others on their personal model. The FCC does not regulate radio based on anyone's personal model. The FCC is tasked to regulate US civil radio for ALL citizens.

>All the drivel above must reflect a need on your part, to rationalize
>your envy of those who do what you can't do.

"Envy?" I have no "envy" on becoming a self-centered bigot demanding others to obey their wishes.

Heil forgets that I started in HF radio communications before he did, have worked in many different radio services in the commercial sector AND in US government communications. Further, I've learned much more about radio physics, being able to design and build as well as operate. I have no "envy" of some minority amateurs after a professional career in radio-electronics covering a wider portion of the EM spectrum than is allocated to US amateurs..

>Absurd. Those who are active on the bands must deal with newcomers
>entering amateur radio regularly. Any change introduced to amateur
>radio effects all those who are regular participants.

The FCC is not tasked with satisfying just the "regular participants." The FCC is tasked with regulating US civil radio for ALL citizens.

If socialized medicine ever advances in the USA, I'm sure some medical-psychiatric help can be obtained to soothe the injured feelings of "regular participants" in US amateur radio. :-)

>> So...these PCTA want radio regulations to preserve their "feelings?"
>
>Straw man alert! No one has ever made such a claim.

Strawman allegation Burn Down! Heil just did with a tale of having to coexist regularly with beginners. :-)

>> Codify law to favor these old-timers and forget about the future and
>> all the amateurs who may want to enter later? Of course. They
>> want it all as they made it, nothing else.
>
>Straw man number two! The regs have been changed. Newcomers can't
>possibly do it as I have done it.

...and the bitterness of burning straw persists... :-)

Poor baby.

>others? I've also asked you what constitutes "overly-proud". Have you
>had time to jin together some coherent answers?

The British colloquialism is "gen," not "jin." :-)

Yes, I've GENerated a number of coherent statements preceding this. So have others on the NCTA side. The PCTAs still resort to the

personal denigration when attempting to argue their case. Status
quo in this newsgroup-cum-chatroom-for-regulars. :-)

1160. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 21:11:25 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021118161125.14856.00000593@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 55396

In article <3DD6CC0F.5326F2CE@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> It's a fairly common tactic in computer-modem communications.
>> Those who have overly-personal attachment to a set of ideas,
>> mores, ethics, etc., wish to have all think as they do or be as
>> important as they think they are. They will willingly fight anyone
>> who threatens their self-perception on a personal level without
>> considering the actual subject matter.
>
>You seem to have an overly personal attachment to the idea of doing away
>with the requirement for a morse test in the amateur radio service in
>which you are not a participant.

Being a "participant" in any radio service is not required by any
law or regulation since the passage of the Communications Act
of 1934. Being a "participant" does not qualify one more or less
than any other citizen.

No one in the FCC is required to be a "participant" in any radio
service they regulate in the USA.

ANY "non-participant" of ANY radio service is permitted to
comment freely and openly to the FCC about that or any other
radio service. If you look closely at the law you will find that
is legally true.

"Participation" in a radio service does not yield automatic
"authority" over others in regards to the law on radio
regulations.

>You think that all others should believe as you do.

I believe in the basic freedoms guaranteed to US citizens by
the US Constitution. I believe that US law should be kept
reasonably free from totalitarian rule by insiders for their own
personal benefit. I believe in free speech and free assembly,
not in private enclaves where only "ruling parties" may run
things at the expense of others.

I do NOT believe in letting certain power-seekers and loud-
mouths and bullies push others around as if they "owned"
authority because they decided they were "chief."

Obviously Heil does not believe in the things I do...

>You come out scratching and clawing on a personal
>level against those who threaten your self-perception.

I will come out with virtual thermonuclear warfare against those who assume authority without being granted such authority. That is FAR more than "scratching and clawing" as you inelegantly phrased it.

I am not the one obsessed with trying to shove others around just because others have differing opinions. You DO that verbally, targeting ONLY those whose opinions differ from yours.

1161. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 21:11:26 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021118161126.14856.00000594@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 55397

In article <ar69ps$82h$1@heap.juniper.net>, dennis@wawa.juniper.net (Dennis Ferguson) writes:

>Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:
>> If anyone can find the registry of this Motor Vessel Oak, please
>> post it or a reference to where such evidence may be found.
>
>I don't think the Bahamas has their ship registry online, but the
>MV Oak has been to the Great Lakes and this is recorded online. See
>
> http://www.boatnerd.com/pictures/salty/saltynop.htm#o
>
>Dennis Ferguson

Agreed that it is on a private website listing as once having been in the Great Lakes...one of many.

A problem is that this is a non-official website and there is no indication of WHEN this MV Oak was there. If it was there after 1997 then the original "last day of maritime CW" story would be bogus. The authentication of the listing rests with the person doing the listing. It is not a government site.

I'll just point out the coincidences of when that "last day" incident is supposed to have occurred:

1. Happened on New Year's Eve.
2. Happened on day before switching to GMDSS.
3. Reported by those who worked "CW" for a living.

That's an incredible set of circumstances. I'm not saying it didn't happen, just showing a case to suspect it from too many convenient circumstances.

1162. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 21:44:51 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <nbdC9.4744$fY3.506697@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 55402

WATWOSI wrote

:
: I got a taste on 28.470. :-)
:

28.470? Wut's wid dat?

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

1163. Re: New Record In Amateur (Non) Testing

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 21:55:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021118165502.21134.00005864@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 55403

>Subject: Re: New Record In Amateur (Non) Testing
>From: "Kï¿½HB" KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET
>Date: 11/18/02 12:21 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <6daC9.5034$%32.482560@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

>It was sufficiently trollish, of course...(SNIP)

Hans...Would you like me to send you the .50$ to your QSL address?

This being, of course, so you can call someone who cares!

>They demand a recount!

It will be a while...they are still working on a couple of your past
posts.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1164. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 22:03:03 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <rsdC9.215$s02.205@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 55405

"K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:nbdC9.4744$fY3.506697@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> WATWOSI wrote
>
> :
> : I got a taste on 28.470. :-)
> :
>
> 28.470? Wut's wid dat?
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB

Hi Hans,

I'm none too fond of contesting, but when I heard a friend calling contest
on 10m...I obliged w/the contact. I remember giving him the year I was first
licensed along with the number of contacts made during this "sweepstake."
(Yes, I was patient and waited my turn.) Take care, Hans. :-)

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI
a.k.a. WaTwoSi :-)

1165. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 22:04:54 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <audC9.4765$fY3.509542@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 55404

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote

:
: Things are looking up for ham radio after all.
:

Highlights at K0HB --

-- Started on 15M ran and ran and ran and ran.
-- Sweep in the log at 0251Z on Sat evening.
-- Did anyone notice how many 'recent' checks there were?
-- Good condx on 15/20 bailed out poor showing on 40.

Lowlights at K0HB

-- 40M prop was waaaaaaaay long .. Hope it's the same for CQWW DX!
-- About 200 Q's less than last year (1334 vs. 1504)
-- Some goofus recorded me and kept playing it back on top of incoming
exchanges.
-- Never could get 10M to work well, so missed out on the W6 feeding
frenzy.
-- Son had tickets to Vikes/Pack game. Vikes won.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

1166. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 22:09:27 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021118170927.21134.00005866@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 55406

>Subject: Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/18/02 3:11 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021118161126.14856.00000594@mb-mu.aol.com>

>Agreed that it is on a private website listing as once having
> been in the Great Lakes...one of many.
>
> A problem is that this is a non-official website and there is no
> indication of WHEN this MV Oak was there

Whew...Lennie ask's for proof of the existence of the MV Oak, is presented with same, then STILL has the temerity to "challenge" it's existence.

Whay am I NOT surprised?

>The authentication of the listing rests with
> the person doing the listing. It is not a government site.

Un huh...The govenment site is the ONLY site that can be absolutely correct. Uh huh.

>I'll just point out the coincidences of when that "last day"
> incident is supposed to have occurred:
>
> 1. Happened on New Year's Eve.
> 2. Happened on day before switching to GMDSS.
> 3. Reported by those who worked "CW" for a living.

None of which is relevent to the occurence of the MV Oak encountering an emergency which necessitated it's calling for assistance...in this ase on 500kHz via Morse Code.

Here's three coincidences of my own observation:

The MV Oak was registered in a 3rd world nation not known for it's lavish safety budget.

The MV Oak is known to exist and to sail the route it was on.

Ships at sea tend to experience disasters on the sea and occassionally need to call for help.

>That's an incredible set of circumstances. I'm not saying it
> didn't happen, just showing a case to suspect it from too
> many convenient circumstances.

Your only reason for "suspect(ing)" this is that it foils your numerous rants, Oh Putzy One.

Sheesh.

Steve, K4YZ

1167. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 22:14:52 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021118171452.21134.00005868@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 55407

>Subject: Re: The Future of Packet Radio
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/18/02 3:11 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021118161121.14856.00000591@mb-mu.aol.com>

>Ham Radio Magazine stopped publication in 1990 when its parent,
> Communications Technology, Inc., was sold to CQ Communications.
> That is not "almost 20 years ago."

Ooooops! Got One!

>The entire 22-year article collection of Ham Radio Magazine is
> available on a three-CD-ROM set ($150) from either CQ or ARRL

I understand I can get reprints of Hustler and Playboy on CD-ROM
too...Does that mean that all of those 18 and 19 year old girls in them are
still 18 or 19?

>As to "dubiousness" of articles, anyone is able to verify that on
> inspection of article contents and references. The editors in
> chief were all licensed US radio amateurs...Jim Fisk, Alf Wilson,
> Rich Rosen, Terry Northrup

Oh, I don't doubt that the MATERIAL is correct...I just doubt it was
original work of the by-lined author, Lennie. Unless you can provide me with
some sort of verifyable, documentary evidence that an impartial, knowledgeable
person witnessed the work as being YOUR original work, then I doubt it's YOUR
work..UNLESS, of course, we simply define "work" as the effort expended to take
the research of others to compile into an article that you put your name to.

Got Proof?

Steve, K4YZ

1168. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 22:26:31 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021118172631.21134.00005875@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 55408

>Subject: Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/18/02 3:11 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021118161119.14856.00000588@mb-mu.aol.com>

>>The USA has had amateur licenses with no code test for 11-1/2 years now, and
>>for the past 2-1/2 years the maximum code test has been 5 wpm. Yet Mr.
>>Anderson's Extra is still in its box.
>
> The code test is still there. Why is it still there?

Congress...They have stated that the United States won't unilaterally,
except in cases of War, fail to adhere to treaties that it is signatory to.

What part of "LAW" do you not agree with?

AND, Your Putziness, the code test was still scheduled to "be there" when
you made your boast that you would get that "Extra Lite" out of the box. Ergo,
the presence of lack of the code test is irrelevent.

>>Well, there you have it. Mr. Anderson thinks...(SNIP)

>Mr. N2EY has it wrong, AGAIN...(SNIP)

Noted that Lennie can be addressed as "Mr. Anderson", and has never been
addressed as anything except by his given names by Jim Miccolis, yet cannot
extend the same courtesy to him.

Typical.

>Why go to an essentially private
> venue for "discussion" when THIS newsgroup already exists,
> unmoderated, open to anyone who has access to the Internet (no
> license required)?

Amateur Radio allocations are anything EXCEPT private. Previous case law
has given that Amateur allocations are "public domain" and do not enjoy the
protection of the law as it pertains to "privacy".

Amateur Radio allocations, including the one's suggested here, are easily
intercepted by any person with inexpensive, readily available receivers.
Indeed, the average citizen can listen to Amateur Radio communications far more
inexpensively than they could arrive at THIS forum....For that matter they
could PARTICIPATE in an on-the-air activity far more inexpensively than they
could arrive at this forum.

>Yes, six-year-old children can become licensed. Nine-year-old
> children can become extras. Be proud of a service where full

> licensing can be passed by children. It will make you feel very
> grown-up and important!

It does make me feel grown-up and important when a child can move beyond SpongeBob and Scooby-doo and move into something a bit more challenging.

Not that YOU would know ANYTHING about that challenge, Lennie. I see you are still prominently absent from the FCC database.

Putz

1169. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 22:28:50 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <CQdC9.425$s02.211@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 55410

"K&#65533;HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:audC9.4765$fY3.509542@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> -- Some goofus recorded me and kept playing it back on top of incoming
> exchanges.

That's a shame. I just will never understand what motivates such
individuals? :-(

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

1170. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 22:30:22 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021118173022.21134.00005878@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 55409

>Subject: Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/18/02 3:11 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021118161125.14856.00000593@mb-mu.aol.com>

>I will come out with virtual thermonuclear warfare against
> those who assume authority without being granted such
> authority. That is FAR more than "scratching and
> clawing" as you inelegantly phrased it.

Nothing you have to offer is even remotely akin to "warfare", Lennie.
You are defenseless against those with the experience to speak knowledgeably on this topic. Your only "argument" is that otehr radio services do not use Morse Code. That is not an "arguement"...It's an excuse.

>I am not the one obsessed with trying to shove others
> around just because others have differing opinions. You
> DO that verbally, targeting ONLY those whose opinions
> differ from yours.

Why would someone "shove (others) around" with whom they agreed?

Sheesh.

K4YZ

1171. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 22:40:53 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021118174053.21134.00005883@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 55411

>Subject: Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/18/02 3:11 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021118161124.14856.00000592@mb-mu.aol.com>

>>If "overly personal" works in one direction, it works just as well in
>>the other.
>
> Wrong. Persistence in trying to update and simplify some
> minor regulations which affect others, not yourself, is not
> obsessive. It is just updating and simplification, a normal
> situation in US law.

"Minor regulations" do not need changing.

AL radio regulations that pertain to or bear weight upon Part 97
licensee's affects ALL licensee's, present or future.

>Your own amateur license status is in no way affected by any
> removal of a code test.

However the Amateur Service as a whole is affected, ergo it IS our
business and it DOES affect us.

>The attempt to keep outdated and outmoded regulations and
> a complex class structure in an avocational activity simply to
> suit personal desires at the expense of others is considered
> obsessive...and totalitarian in nature to some.

"Totalitarian" only to those who look for reasons to blame their failures
on someone...ANYone...for those failures. Such as yourself, for example...

>>Wait a minute, Len. You have decided that amateur radio should adopt
>>your views.
>
> No. I've been pointing out changes in amateur radio regulations
> to remove outdated and outmoded requirements which affect
> others, principally the "non-participants" not yet licensed.

Oh? How could Amateur Radio adopt those "changes in amateur radio
regulations) WITHOUT adopting YOUR views, Lennie?

>YOU have decided to attempt, by whatever means, to suppress
> changes in US amateur radio regulations that contradict your
> personal desires, ideas, and concepts

Whew...You mean like YOU have by open communication with the FCC in
response to public inquiries, Lennie? Ooooooohhhh...EVIL Dave! EVIL!

>In the entire world of radio, morse code mode communications
> has either been declining rapidly or was never considered when
> a radio service was created.

Not relevent to Amateur Radio, Lennie.

>Morse code mode is used primarily
> in amateur radio and even there its use is secondary and on HF
> amateur bands.

Oh? You know this from WHAT scientific polling process? From WHAT
practical experience?

>US amateur radio is NOT a living museum of the past radio art
> nor is there any moral, ethical, or legal reason to keep morse
> code testing for licensing other than an ITU-R Recommendation.
> That Recommendation (S25) is an item of discussion in the
> preliminary WRC (now under way) and for WRC-03 next year.

WHEW! Lennie actually acknowledges that there are "reasons" for the
present existence of Morse Code testing. And PROPERLY cites the relevent
paragraph....NOW if we could get Lennie to ADMIT that the FCC has made
significant changes to accomodate the letter AND spirit of the United States'
compliance with treaties it signs.

Naaaaah. I ask too much!

1172. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 22:45:35 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021118174535.21153.00005681@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 55412

>Subject: Re: This weekends contest
>From: "Bert Craig" wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM
>Date: 11/18/02 4:28 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <CQdC9.425$s02.211@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>

>Kï¿½HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
>news:audC9.4765$fY3.509542@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...

>> -- Some goofus recorded me and kept playing it back on top of incoming
>> exchanges.

>That's a shame. I just will never understand what motivates such
>individuals? :-(

Gee...can't imagine ANY person wanting to harrass or antagonized licensed
Amateurs in any forum, could you...???

ESPECIALLY if one or two certain persons discovered, in an Interent forum,
for example, that a person that they disliked or disagreed with were going to
be operating a certain time under a certain callsign.

Can't imagine WHO would do that...Hey Lennie, YOU are the "radio
professiona"...can YOU imagine anyone doing such a thing?

73

Steve, K4YZ

1173. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 22:54:10 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arbr25$vf5$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55413

Thanks for the Q Hans. Sure have a good signal.

Dan/W4NTI

"K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:eL9C9.5006$%32.477705@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
>
> --
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
> http://www.mnyarc.org
> http://www.k0bsa.org
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote
>
>
> :
> : In the phone contest in particular I noticed a LARGE INCREASE in
> relativley
> : new licensees. I.E. 00, 01, 99 etc. I also heard several YL voices out
> : there and a couple of kids even. Everyone having a great time.
> :
>
> Young people are drawn to competitions, and radiosport contesting can be
> called a huge global electronic splatball game. It may be the most
> important tool we have to bring newcomers into the hobby.
>
> Each year on SS Phone weekend we bill our club station (W0EF) as "The
> University of Contesting" and it is always a great draw for younger/newer
> hams in the club. In 2 or 4 hour shifts, depending on demand, we team up
a
> newbie with an experienced contester as a mentor in the 'second chair'.
> Within 15 minutes it's pretty hard to tell which is which!
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
> http://www.mnyarc.org
> http://www.k0bsa.org
>
> PS: Nice to work you and Larry (at W3DOV) this weekend. Wonder if any
> other rrap'ers were active.
>

>
>
>

1174. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 22:57:25 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arbr88kkn1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55414

Next weekend is CQWWDX CW...a real hams contest. Slugfest is more like it.
I will be there of course....Unfortunatly Alabama and Auburn are playing on
that weekend. May have to sacrifice some time.

Dan/W4NTI

"K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:audC9.4765$fY3.509542@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote
>
> :
> : Things are looking up for ham radio after all.
> :
>
> Highlights at K0HB --
>
> -- Started on 15M ran and ran and ran and ran.
> -- Sweep in the log at 0251Z on Sat evening.
> -- Did anyone notice how many 'recent' checks there were?
> -- Good condx on 15/20 bailed out poor showing on 40.
>
> Lowlights at K0HB
>
> -- 40M prop was waaaaaaaay long .. Hope it's the same for CQWW DX!
> -- About 200 Q's less than last year (1334 vs. 1504)
> -- Some goofus recorded me and kept playing it back on top of incoming
> exchanges.
> -- Never could get 10M to work well, so missed out on the W6 feeding
> frenzy.
> -- Son had tickets to Vikes/Pack game. Vikes won.
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
> http://www.mnyarc.org
> http://www.k0bsa.org
>
>
>
>

1175. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 22:59:18 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arbrc2$a1q$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55415

Thats as bad as the SSTV goof that didn't respond when I asked if the freq was in use. I then proceeded to work a good ten stations. Then he comes on top of me with SSTV and bitches because Im on 14.233.

Instead of getting into a 'p' contest I left. I took Rileys advise.

Dan/W4NTI

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:CQdC9.425$s02.211@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> "K&#65533;HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
> news:audC9.4765$fY3.509542@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > -- Some goofus recorded me and kept playing it back on top of
incoming
> > exchanges.
>
> That's a shame. I just will never understand what motivates such
> individuals? :-(
>
> --
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
>
>

1176. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 23:40:15 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211181540.31361fb4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55416

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3DD90E78.2A031E73@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DD793CC.2959162C@earthlink.net>...
> > > Brian wrote:

> > > > It's what I said. It's as true now as when I passed the exams.
> > >
> > > That is not what you stated. Your previous claim to have "passed the
> > > Extra exam" was erroneous. You passed part of it.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Did I pass a Morse exam or a Farnsworth exam?
>
> If you are writing of the day you "passed your Extra", the answer would
> be: neither.
>
> Dave K8MN

And if I'm not?

1177. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 23:41:22 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211181541.d6b532a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55417

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20021117182326.24784.00000927@mb-mp.aol.com>...
> In article <f45722ac.0211161710.5ebe5ea7@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
> >news:<20021115160909.13117.00000078@mb-mb.aol.com>...
> >> >Subject: Re: Eight Minutes?
> >> >From: "Dan/W4NTI" w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com
> >> >Date: 11/15/02 11:10 AM Eastern Standard Time
> >> >Message-id: <ar36a1bei1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
> >> >
> >> >Thats another MAJOR CHANGE that shows how the exam proceedures have been
> >> >downgraded.
> >> >
> >> >When you took the test at the FCC office you had ONE CHANCE. If you
> failed
> >> >you took it again. THE WHOLE THING. The next time you traveled to the
> FCC
> >> >office.
> >>
> >> Yup. In fact, you had to pass the appropriate code tests (receiving AND
> >> sending) before they'd even let you try the written.
> >> >
> >> >No such thing as code credit or partial exam credit.
> >>
> >> Exactly. And no "pay another fee, have another go" either. You could not
> retest
> >> until 30 days had elapsed.
> >>
> >> And don't forget about needing two years' experience to even try the Extra.
>
> >>
> >> >Incentive licensing to the max.
> >>
> >> Those rules existed before the changes of 1968.
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >Oh, I agree 100%. Lets limit US involvement in amateur radio to 150,000
> >persons.
>
> Don't forget to require all to pass a strict International Morse Code
> test, too! "The few, the overly proud, the amateur morsemen!"

Don't forget Farnsworth. Not even CB Bruce could pass the Farnsworth exam.

1178. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-18 23:43:26 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211181543.1799ce82@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55418

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<utfmdun1i03e99@corp.supernews.com>...
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:f45722ac.0211151422.40fff541@posting.google.com...
> > wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
> news:<20021115101935.20074.00000004@mb-dh.aol.com>...
> > > >Anyway, considering who we're talking about, she'll smell him coming a
> > > >long way's off!
> > >
> > > Steve are you going there. By the way guys, I am not going in her
> direction, im
> > > actually going to the Tenn
> >
> > Then why scare her and say you're coming for a visit?
>
> heh heh. Scare? Brian, puhleeze....he's "threatened" coming my way once
> before. It's the greatest CB tactic in the world.
>
> Kim W5TIT

He's a miserable excuse... of a man, of a ham, of a CBer.

1179. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 00:02:54 UTC
From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net
Message-ID: <arbv3e$2ore$1@heap.juniper.net>
Source record: 55419

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:
>dennis@wawa.juniper.net (Dennis Ferguson) writes:
>>Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:
>>> If anyone can find the registry of this Motor Vessel Oak, please
>>> post it or a reference to where such evidence may be found.
>>
>>I don't think the Bahamas has their ship registry online, but the
>>MV Oak has been to the Great Lakes and this is recorded online. See
>>
>> http://www.boatnerd.com/pictures/salty/saltynop.htm#o
>
> Agreed that it is on a private website listing as once having
> been in the Great Lakes...one of many.
>
> A problem is that this is a non-official website and there is no
> indication of WHEN this MV Oak was there.

Here's another site that shows a very attractive picture of the Oak in
the Welland Canal in 1999:

http://www.wellandcanal.ca/salties/o/oak/oak.htm

This one isn't official either, but is independent unofficial confirmation
of about the only thing that the ship's registry would tell you, i.e. the
ship exists.

> If it was there
> after 1997 then the original "last day of maritime CW" story
> would be bogus.

Why would that be? I don't recall any report saying the ship was
lost, only that it asked for assistance. This one says the ship
was towed to Liverpool:

http://www.geocities.com/~sandusky99/98jan9.htm

Note that this one mentions that they also received a modern
EPIRB broadcast, and quotes someone from the British Coast Guard
saying that they hadn't had a Morse distress call in years, so I'm
having difficulty seeing why this would have been made up to fool
anyone about the current importance of Morse to maritime safety. What
would be the point?

Dennis Ferguson

1180. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 00:31:08 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021118193108.10431.00000137@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 55420

>Don't forget Farnsworth. Not even CB Bruce could pass the Farnsworth exam.
>

Lets see, its been over a year and Dummy Brian still cant pass the Extra.

1181. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 00:32:45 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021118193245.10431.00000138@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 55421

>He's a miserable excuse... of a man, of a ham, of a CBer.
>

An Dummy Brian still cant pass the Extra, I thought it was the CW that was the problem.

1182. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 00:44:44 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <utj2dardebrt72@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55424

Hans, I never thought of that. I've never had any use for contests, but your post got me to rethink that. If it provides something fun for the young crowd, I'm all for it.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message news:eL9C9.5006$%32.477705@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
>
> --
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
> http://www.mnyarc.org
> http://www.k0bsa.org
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote
>
>
> :
> : In the phone contest in particular I noticed a LARGE INCREASE in
> relativley
> : new licensees. I.E. 00, 01, 99 etc. I also heard several YL voices out
> : there and a couple of kids even. Everyone having a great time.
> :
>
> Young people are drawn to competitions, and radiosport contesting can be
> called a huge global electronic splatball game. It may be the most
> important tool we have to bring newcomers into the hobby.
>
> Each year on SS Phone weekend we bill our club station (W0EF) as "The
> University of Contesting" and it is always a great draw for younger/newer
> hams in the club. In 2 or 4 hour shifts, depending on demand, we team up
a
> newbie with an experienced contester as a mentor in the 'second chair'.
> Within 15 minutes it's pretty hard to tell which is which!
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
> http://www.mnyarc.org
> http://www.k0bsa.org
>

> PS: Nice to work you and Larry (at W3DOV) this weekend. Wonder if any
> other rrap'ers were active.
>
>
>
>

1183. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 00:47:10 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <utj2hstlg4p3af@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55422

Hey Bert, a good friend of mine used to be WA2SEY and we called him the watusi also ;)
Unfortunately, he opted for a vanity call. I'm still considering applying for a vanity call and get my old call back (WB2OSP - the old sour puss from Rottenchester, NY).

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:rsdC9.215$s02.205@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> "K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
> news:nbdC9.4744$fY3.506697@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > WATWOSI wrote
> >
> > :
> > : I got a taste on 28.470. :-)
> > :
> >
> > 28.470? Wut's wid dat?
> >
> > ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> Hi Hans,
>
> I'm none too fond of contesting, but when I heard a friend calling contest
> on 10m...I obliged w/the contact. I remember giving him the year I was
first
> licensed along with the number of contacts made during this "sweepstake."
> (Yes, I was patient and waited my turn.) Take care, Hans. :-)
>
> --
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
> a.k.a. WaTwoSi :-)
>
>

1184. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 00:53:39 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <utj3ba1p62ra29@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55426

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021117183656.06308.00000537@mb-fm.aol.com...
> In article <utfls8giuns4af@corp.supernews.com>, "Kim"
> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>
> >Waddles, you could forget "working" me anywhere.
>
> Kim,
>
> My curiosity has gotten the better of me.
>
> Where does the name "Waddles" come from? The person in question doesn't
look
> fat in his qrz.com picture.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

Well, not that someone would have to be any certain weight before they would waddle, right?

The callsign. It's much like L8R being the "equivalent" of the word l-a-t-e-r. WA8ULX=waitulx=waddles. N2EY=an(y)twoeye? Nah, don't think it works with your callsign.

Kim W5TIT

1185. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 00:54:43 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <utj3d8co1n4144@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55432

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021117200540.24638.00000081@mb-ch.aol.com...
> >Where does the name "Waddles" come from?
>
> Its just a comparison of what most of her dream men would look like, and
> probably what she is use to.

I only have one dream man. I married him.

Kim W5TIT

1186. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 00:57:35 UTC
From: Clay N4AOX <wyn@att.net>
Message-ID: <30gC9.13645$vM1.1002473@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 55423

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0211181016.c63de50@posting.google.com...

> Promised by whom?

Oh, do you want a roll call? Let's see.... Bruce Perens, Carl Stevenson,
Bill Sohl, Gary Coffman, and all the other NCI creeps... shall we continue?

73,
Clay N4AOX

1187. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 00:59:42 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <utj3midv2essd4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55429

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021118001823.04612.00000738@mb-ch.aol.com...
> In article <utfmp9qgh8foaf@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>
> >The real weakness in Larry's argument is not that he can't or won't prove
> >any real-world emergency situation that substantiates his claims about
CW.
> >It's in the fact that he actually believes what he says about CW.
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
>
> Kim:
>
> I believe absolutely everything I say about CW -- or I wouldn't be
> saying it. How does this define weakness -- other than in the twisted
> caverns of your mind?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

I think it's very interesting that someone would say that an opposing point of view comes from the "twisted caverns" of someone's mind. But, you've done that forever and forever you will.

Final: it is my opinion that your belief of CW (to the fanatic level you take it) is quite weak. That's all there is to it, Larry.

Kim W5TIT

1188. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 01:02:26 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <utj3rmitnfjt17@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55428

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3DD89EA0.D3B61494@ix.netcom.com...
> JJ wrote:
>
> > Newbie Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >
> > > JJ:
> > >
> > > It's true that you can become an Extra with just a 5 WPM code
> > > test, but you can't become an Extra "just like us." All you can
> > > become is a dumbed-down, give-away, Nickle Extra who doesn't
> > > have the operational knowledge and skill Dick and I had as Novices.
> >
> > There is that "I am a much superior ham because I passed the 20
> > wpm Morse test" attitude again. Anyone who gets the Extra under
> > the 5 wpm requirements is just as good and Extra as you and
> > dickieboy, no even better because they probably don't have this
> > superior attitude and ego problem you have. You just can't stand
> > to think of other hams getting the Extra ticket without have to
> > take the 20 wpm test like you did. It means that your Extra ticket
> > doesn't hold any special meaning over anyone else's and that chaps
> > your butt. I bet there are a lot of those "dumbed-down, give-away,
> > Nickel Extra's" out there that have improved there cw skills and
> > could beat you at it hands down.
> >
>
> Larry would never live it down if Kim takes and passes the General
> (unless her tech + is pre 1987) and the Extra writtens. Question pools
> and practice tests abound, so it should be likely.....
>
> Kim, do it. It would bust his b*lls..... :-)
>

Well, Robert. [holding back a huge laugh, here] Larry butsted his b*lls a
long time ago. Must have. A real man would never act like he does, would
he? :)

Kim W5TIT

1189. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 01:04:59 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <utj40fgfr9f761@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55430

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021118095425.29900.00000062@mb-fe.aol.com...
> > Aw shucks, just when her hopes and expectations were raised....
>
> I know, shes probably very upset, she probably even went out of her way
and had
> a Big Dinner all ready for me.

No. I've been wondering why you said you'd "be in my area," then said you were going to TN. I couldn't figure out why one would go through Texas to get to TN...if TN is all they were going to.

Well, that is, until I realized who it is that's doing the traveling...by the way, have you left yet?

Kim W5TIT

1190. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 01:05:02 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021118200502.26026.00000053@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 55425

>Oh, do you want a roll call? Let's see.... Bruce Perens, Carl Stevenson,
>Bill Sohl, Gary Coffman, and all the other NCI creeps... shall we continue?
>
>73,
>Clay N4AOX

And Carl took over a year to pass the Extra, after he got his Free Upgrade. And Carl is the 1 that had all these new Wonderful Modes that he was going to bring to Ham Radio once he got his handout License.

1191. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 01:08:51 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DD98F09.CCE43E00@earthlink.net>
Source record: 55427

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3DD865A1.4E0DA361@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Regardless, Len, to use your own words: You have an overly personal
> >attachment to the idea of doing away with morse testing.
>
> Incorrect. I've pointed out what others, both "participants" and
> "non-participants" (in amateur radio) have stated, how the
> present radio regulations and international recommendations
> are in regards to morse code testing for an amateur radio
> license having below-30-MHz privileges.

No pointing out by you was necessary. The regs are are clear to
participants and non-participant alike. Besides, as you very well know,
that isn't all you've done by any stretch.

> While updating and streamlining law seems "overly personal"
> to you, question yourself on why you wish to keep outmoded,
> outdated regulations and deprive future amateurs from such
> restrictions. You seem overly concerned with keeping all radio
> amateur regulations at the state at which you achieved your
> amateur license. Why? [rhetorical...it is glaringly obvious to
> other readers...]

Straw man alert! a) You do not determine what regs are outdated and
outmoded.
b) The regs are not now, nor have they been for a long, long time as
they were when I obtained licensing.

> >If "overly personal" works in one direction, it works just as well in
> >the other.
>
> Wrong. Persistence in trying to update and simplify some
> minor regulations which affect others, not yourself, is not
> obsessive. It is just updating and simplification, a normal
> situation in US law.
>

How is my persistence any different than your persistence, Len? Your
statements are simply ridiculous.

> Your own amateur license status is in no way affected by any
> removal of a code test. You will still have the same operating
> privileges...by all the precedent of past "grandfather" rulings.

Yet I'll be in contact with those who obtain their licenses sans morse testing. An unavoidable shift in skills and attitude will take place. I have every right to concern over these issues.

> The attempt to keep outdated and outmoded regulations and
> a complex class structure in an avocational activity simply to
> suit personal desires at the expense of others is considered
> obsessive...and totalitarian in nature to some.

What outdated and outmoded regulations, Leonard--the ones which you decide ar such? Since you are a non-participant, I'd say you've overly-personalized and obsessed over amateur radio regulations which have no bearing on you whatever.

>
> >Wait a minute, Len. You have decided that amateur radio should adopt
> >your views.
>
> No. I've been pointing out changes in amateur radio regulations
> to remove outdated and outmoded requirements which affect
> others, principally the "non-participants" not yet licensed.

I've been pointing out how silly some of your ideas seem to me. I love the part about a minimum age for licensees. Any change in an avocation effects all who partcipate. Those changes don't have anything to do with non-participants.

> YOU have decided to attempt, by whatever means, to suppress
> changes in US amateur radio regulations that contradict your
> personal desires, ideas, and concepts. Such is evident by your
> postings here, targeting individuals whose opinions are contrary
> to yours...demanding that they cease commenting on the "basis"
> of being "non-participants."

and YOU have decided to attempt, by whatever means to change U.S. Amateur radio regs despite the fact that you are not a licensee (nor have you even attempted to become a licensee). This is all very evident by your postings and your targeting of individuals whose opinions are contrary to you yours. You've even invited one poster to leave because you didn't believe he was strong enough to bear up under heavy debate tactics.

> Unless there has been some revision of Communications Acts
> since 1934, the US government does NOT restrict comment,
> talk, discussion solely by licensed "participants." Yet you
> insist that "participation" through licensing is "required."

Your comments have been made. I've pointed out that no one is likely to adopt the views of a non-participant on how amateur radio should be.

> >Are you now the arbiter on what is "good and proper" in
> >amateur radio?
>
> No, but then neither are you. You are not in the Federal

> Communications Commission, are not an authorized official
> appointed by them, nor do you have any special position
> over and above any other free citizen on the United States.

Yep. That was my point. You asked if I was the arbiter and I reflected your stuff back to you. You are not in the FCC, are not an authorized official appointed by them, nor do you have any special position over and above any other citizen. Why should I fold up my opinions and support yours?

> You greatly misdirect the issue. Removal of the morse code
> test for a US amateur radio license having below-30-MHz
> privileges will NOT affect your personal amateur radio license.

Incorrect.

> The morse code test affects ONLY those not yet licensed in
> amateur radio or who do not yet have below-30-MHz privileges.
> Getting into amateur radio is NOT the same as "participation."

One cannot be a participant without first "getting in".

> >YOU don't control the radio regulations, do?
>
> I've never claimed to be a US radio regulator...as you seem to
> claim insofar as amateur radio is concerned.

Why should anyone then accept your views over mine? After all, I have nearly forty years experience as a radio amateur. You have, well...none.

> >Yet you demand that
> >certain regulations be changed solely on the grounds of your demands.
>
> I do not "demand." I point out regulations as they are, the
> state of the entire radio art, the other groups and individuals
> who argue their case for removal and changes of outdated,
> outmoded regulations in an avocational radio activity.

Right. In your world, only others "demand". You "point out". You can declare regulation as "outmoded/outdated" with a wave of your magic wand.

> YOU demand "participation" through licensing in order to
> simply discuss and argue amateur regulation changes. Such
> a demand does not have any legal standing.

Oh no, Len. I don't demand. I simply point out.

> >A test does not become superfluous and unnecessary based on your say so.
>
> True on a single individual's "say so." Heil overlooks the fact
> that removal of the morse code test for amateur radio licensing
> is NOT that of a single individual or even a single group. The

> United States Adminstration position on ITU-R Recommendation
> S25 is to change it at WRC-03 and remove the morse code test.
> That same view is held by the International Amateur Radio Union.
> No Code International is a separate organization, composed of
> both "participants" and "non-participants" in amateur radio who
> are seeking the removal of the morse code test. Several other
> nations' administrations are seeking the elimination of the morse
> code test at WRC-03.

NCI does not represent even once percent of radio amateurs. Do you, by
the way, know anything at all of the IARU?

> In the entire world of radio, morse code mode communications
> has either been declining rapidly or was never considered when
> a radio service was created. Morse code mode is used primarily
> in amateur radio and even there its use is secondary and on HF
> amateur bands.

Wowsers! Good thing this group is not a general radio group and that it
concerns itself only with amateur radio. You know anything about morse
use at VHF/UHF by hams? I didn't think so.

> There is absolutely nothing in the Communications Act of 1934
> or subsequent revisions that establishes morse code mode as
> something that should be kept inviolate as both a license test
> requirement and as a mode in use to be preserved forever. That
> mode is as subject to change/removal as any other mode.

I've seen nothing mandating removal of morse testing in the act cited.

> US amateur radio is NOT a living museum of the past radio art
> nor is there any moral, ethical, or legal reason to keep morse
> code testing for licensing other than an ITU-R Recommendation.
> That Recommendation (S25) is an item of discussion in the
> preliminary WRC (now under way) and for WRC-03 next year.

No, ham radio isn't a museum. It is a place where thousands of
individuals use morse to communicate each and every day of the week all
over the planet.

> >
> >> >You come out scratching and clawing on a personal
> >> >level against those who threaten your self-perception.
> >>
> >> "Scratching and clawing?!?" :-) :-) :-)
> >>
> >> What in the world are you imagining?
> >
> >...a lonely and embittered old fellow with too much time on his hands.
>
> Lonely? Hardly. Bitter? No again.

What the hell do you mean, "Hardly" and "No again". You asked what I
was imagining. You can't dismiss my response.

> Resolute? Yes.

No, I wasn't imagining you as resolute.

> Arguing
> reasons to change radio regulations, absolutely yes.

I can certainly imagine you arguing.

> Persistent?
> Yes.

No, that wasn't one of the things I imagined.

> Too much time on my hands? No, not enough to satisfy
> all my interests even though I've had a career in radio-electronics,
> was working HF communications before Heil, was active in more
> different radio services than Heil in communicating, designing, and
> building radio equipment.

How can you afford to spend large amounts of your precious time posting
here at the expense of your other interests?

Heil doesn't know that you were active in "more different" radio
services than Heil so we'll dismiss that as pure speculation on your
part. Though you were working in radio and electronics before Heil,
that'll likely even out through those actuarial tables Brian B. keeps
coughing up. That aside, this group isn't about careers in radio and
electronics. It is about amateur radio. Heil has been an active ham
for thirty-nine years. Despite your professed decades-long "interest"
in amateur radio, none of the actuarial tables in existence see you
catching up.

> >Your surely have pointed out those things. You've also pointed out that
> >there should be a minimum age requirement for radio amateurs.
>
> Why is that a "sin?" :-) Do you wish to be "proud" of a license
> test that can be passed by six-year-olds? Do you want
> CHILDREN as "responsible control operators" in amateur radio?
> :-)

No sin, merely myopic on your part. Yes, I can be proud that a
youngster passes a test which some adults (do I need to name any?) don't
even attempt. If the FCC says licensed children may be control
operators, I have no problem with it. After all, as you've often
pointed out, the FCC is the only body responsible for regulating amateur
radio in the United States. The FCC has never instituted a minimum age
requirement.

> I've dropped that comment to the FCC in 1999 and haven't
> mentioned it since except to defend the original statement
> when already-licensed long-timers want to level charges

> about it.

Dropping a comment isn't the same as reversing your position. There's
no real defense possible given that the FCC hasn't had a history of
problems with young people in amateur radio.

> >You've
> >also glossed over the fact that morse is widely used within amateur
> >radio so it matters little what other radio services do with regard to
> >morse testing.
>
> No. I've accepted an ARRL poll set of statistics indicating that
> on-off morse code keying is the second most-used mode and
> not emphasized that this poll concerned only HF band use.

Nonetheless, you've conveniently glossed over the fact. In addition,
the ARRL poll did not concern itself with worldwide amateur use of
morse.

> The major reason for morse code testing for licensing in 1912
> was that on-off keying coding was the ONLY practical mode of
> communications then and all radio services used that mode.
> It made sense then and for the first radio regulating agency's
> task of mitigating radio interference. In the following 90 years
> of regulated radio, other radio services have either dropped
> morse code use or never considered it as a mode when a radio
> service was created.
>
> Amateur radio must share the EM spectrum with all other radio
> services. If those other radio services do not use morse code,
> there is no reason for morse code licensing in order to mitigate
> interference. The FCC stated in 1990 that it does not consider
> morse code proficiency as sufficient for its needs to regulate
> amateur radio by means of licensing. The FCC reiterated
> that statement in 1999 in the R&O implementing US amateur
> radio regulation restructuring.

I'm not much worried about mitigating interference to other services.
Morse can be used in the mitigation of interference between two or more
amateur stations. The FCC still found reasons to retain morse testing,
didn't it?

> >Other radio services have little bearing on what takes place in the
> >amateur service.
>
> Incorrect. Allocated mateur radio bands are not all exclusive for
> amateur use.

Your "Incorrect" is itself incorrect. I wrote "little bearing" and I
meant "little bearing".

The only reason for government licensing testing of
> morse code proficiency was to aid their task of mitigating

> interference...by a then-common communications mode used by
> all other radio services. If all other radio services do not use
> morse code, there is no reason for testing as part of licensing.

Government is still testing for morse in order to obtain an HF license.

> >Morse code is used daily by thousands worldwide in the
> >amateur service. Something in wide use is hardly outmoded.
>
> OTHER modes are MORE widely used by more thousands,
> worldwide. Other modes have NEVER been tested as part of
> amateur radio licensing in the USA. The FCC does not mandate
> morse code use over and above any other allocated mode, any
> allocated band, any class of US amateur radio license.

Do you start the frothing before you start writing in caps? The fact
that government doesn't find it necessary to test for other modes should
tell you that government doesn't see a need for it. I wasn't discussing
OTHER modes though, LEN. I was DISCUSSING the fact that MORSE is in
WIDE USE WORLDWIDE by radio amateurs.

> >Your use of that term indicates a lack of awareness on your part.
>
> Incorrect again. Being a "participant" in amateur radio does
> not yield you any "expertise" in knowing more than others who
> have worked in the larger field of radio.

If you want to snip out the part containing the term used, I'll simply
ignore your out-of-context response...

> >I've asked you on several occasions to back up your wild claims of what
> >you believe licensed radio amateurs who support code testing believe.
>
> ...and I keep replying by pointing out the obvious PCTA's comments
> in here. They appear to believe in retention of the same testing as
> they had to pass (a long time ago) for all who come after them.

I don't find your claim to be based in fact. I think you're being
untruthful.

> They want to preserve a mode which they, personally, passed, some
> having learned greater proficiency in that mode. In other words, they
> judge others on their personal model. The FCC does not regulate
> radio based on anyone's personal model. The FCC is tasked to
> regulate US civil radio for ALL citizens.

I don't believe that any number hold that view any more than I lump Bill
Sohl in with you.

> >All the drivel above must reflect a need on your part, to rationalize
> >your envy of those who do what you can't do.
>
> "Envy?" I have no "envy" on becoming a self-centered bigot

> demanding others to obey their wishes.

Ahh, Len, I think you do have envy and I think you have a problem with
anyone who doesn't kow-tow to your radio expertise.

> Heil forgets that I started in HF radio communications before he
> did, have worked in many different radio services in the commercial
> sector AND in US government communications.

Heil can't help it that you were born before he was. Heil will likely
outlive you and will be in HF radio communications long after you're
gone. Heil worked in a number of radio services and doesn't feel that
Anderson's boasts mean anything in a newsgroup concerned with amateur
radio. Anderson hasn't obtained an amateur radio license nor is he
likely to do so.

> Further, I've
> learned much more about radio physics, being able to design and
> build as well as operate. I have no "envy" of some minority
> amateurs after a professional career in radio-electronics covering
> a wider portion of the EM spectrum than is allocated to US amateurs..

Then you'll be a smash in the rec.radio.professional.entire.spectrum
newsgroup and the talk of all of your fellows. We minority amateurs
will continue doing what it is that minority amateurs do.

> >Absurd. Those who are active on the bands must deal with newcomers
> >entering amateur radio regularly. Any change introduced to amateur
> >radio effects all those who are regular participants.
>
> The FCC is not tasked with satisfying just the "regular participants."
> The FCC is tasked with regulating US civil radio for ALL citizens.

Really?

> If socialized medicine ever advances in the USA, I'm sure some
> medical-psychiatric help can be obtained to soothe the injured
> feelings of "regular participants" in US amateur radio. :-)

This isn't a medical newsgroup, Len. It deals with amateur radio and
you earlier claim STILL doesn't wash. It isn't factual.

> >> So...these PCTA want radio regulations to preserve their "feelings?"
> >
> >Straw man alert! No one has ever made such a claim.
>
> Strawman allegation Burn Down! Heil just did with a tale of having
> to coexist regularly with beginners. :-)

Heil made no claim about "feelings" at all. Heil made a statement
refuting your claim about "preserving feelings".

> >> Codify law to favor these old-timers and forget about the future and
> >> all the amateurs who may want to enter later? Of course. They

> >> want it all as they made it, nothing else.
> >
> >Straw man number two! The regs have been changed. Newcomers can't
> >possibly do it as I have done it.
>
> ...and the bitterness of burning straw persists... :-)
>
> Poor baby.

You can "Poor baby" all you like. You weren't able to back up your claim and I was able to successfully refute it.

> >others? I've also asked you what constitutes "overly-proud". Have you
> >had time to jin together some coherent answers?
>
> The British colloquialism is "gen," not "jin." :-)

Grab your encyclopedia and look it up. I'm not British.

> Yes, I've GENerated a number of coherent statements preceding this.

Where can we find them. They certainly haven't been posted here. What constitutes "overly-proud"?

> So have others on the NCTA side. The PCTAs still resort to the
> personal denigration when attempting to argue their case. Status
> quo in this newsgroup-cum-chatroom-for-regulars. :-)

What would you call it when you begin with the "Herr Robust", "Sieg Heil" or "poor baby" comments? Why do you repeatedly chastise others for what you do on a regular basis?

Dave K8MN

1192. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 01:25:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DD992EA.BC391660@earthlink.net>
Source record: 55431

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3DD6CC0F.5326F2CE@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> >> It's a fairly common tactic in computer-modem communications.
> >> Those who have overly-personal attachment to a set of ideas,
> >> mores, ethics, etc., wish to have all think as they do or be as
> >> important as they think they are. They will willingly fight anyone
> >> who threatens their self-perception on a personal level without
> >> considering the actual subject matter.
> >
> >You seem to have an overly personal attachment to the idea of doing away
> >with the requirement for a morse test in the amateur radio service in
> >which you are not a participant.
>
> Being a "participant" in any radio service is not required by any
> law or regulation since the passage of the Communications Act
> of 1934. Being a "participant" does not qualify one more or less
> than any other citizen.

I wasn't writing of a law or regulation. I was writing of a fellow who
is not a radio amateur but who is obsessed in an overly-personal way,
with the regulaton of amateur radio in the United States.

> No one in the FCC is required to be a "participant" in any radio
> service they regulate in the USA.

Ah, but you aren't with the FCC and you don't regulate amateur radio.
If you don't regulate and you don't participate, what is any of it to
you?

> ANY "non-participant" of ANY radio service is permitted to
> comment freely and openly to the FCC about that or any other
> radio service. If you look closely at the law you will find that
> is legally true.

You've commented. Now what? Am I supposed to embrace your views? The
FCC has to listen to you. I don't.

> "Participation" in a radio service does not yield automatic
> "authority" over others in regards to the law on radio
> regulations.

Just because I know more about something based on the experience which comes from long participation doesn't mean folks aren't free to seek the advice of an absolute outsider with no experience in amateur radio. You may find a couple of people who think seeking your advice would be productive.

> >You think that all others should believe as you do.
>
> I believe in the basic freedoms guaranteed to US citizens by
> the US Constitution. I believe that US law should be kept
> reasonably free from totalitarian rule by insiders for their own
> personal benefit. I believe in free speech and free assembly,
> not in private enclaves where only "ruling parties" may run
> things at the expense of others.

I don't know anyone who believes in other than what you've written above.
Do you believe that those who regulate amateur radio in the U.S. are involved in totalitarian rule for their own benefit? I don't. Do you believe that amateur radio operators in the U.S. are a ruling party? I don't. That's where your stuff begins to come apart.

> I do NOT believe in letting certain power-seekers and loud-
> mouths and bullies push others around as if they "owned"
> authority because they decided they were "chief."

You're a loud mouth and a bully and you're attempting to tell us how amateur radio should be in the United States. You don't own authority and you aren't chief.

> Obviously Heil does not believe in the things I do...

I don't live in California. I'm not a Democrat and I'm not a liberal. I have decades more experience as a radio amateur than you. I've never ever attempted to tell someone who has experience in an avocation how that avocation should be regulated or run when I am not a participant in that avocation. You are correct. I certainly don't believe as you do.

> >You come out scratching and clawing on a personal
> >level against those who threaten your self-perception.
>
> I will come out with virtual thermonuclear warfare against
> those who assume authority without being granted such
> authority. That is FAR more than "scratching and
> clawing" as you inelegantly phrased it.

Thermonuclear warfare? More like "fart and fall". Tell us about the authority over amateur radio you're attempting to grant yourself.

> I am not the one obsessed with trying to shove others
> around just because others have differing opinions. You
> DO that verbally, targeting ONLY those whose opinions
> differ from yours.

Do you target people who are in agreement with you? You aren't involved in amateur radio yet you want amateur radio to be shaped according to your desires. If that isn't obsessing, I don't know what is.

Dave K8MN

1193. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 01:32:29 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DD994A4.4CE4FB4B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 55433

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DD90E78.2A031E73@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DD793CC.2959162C@earthlink.net>...
> > > > Brian wrote:
>
> > > > > It's what I said. It's as true now as when I passed the exams.
> > > >
> > > > That is not what you stated. Your previous claim to have "passed the
> > > > Extra exam" was erroneous. You passed part of it.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > Did I pass a Morse exam or a Farnsworth exam?
> >
> > If you are writing of the day you "passed your Extra", the answer would
> > be: neither.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> And if I'm not?

Then your attempt at misdirection would be irrelevant.

Dave K8MN

1194. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 01:36:01 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021118203601.26007.00000075@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 55434

>he callsign. It's much like L8R being the "equivalent" of the word
>l-a-t-e-r. WA8ULX=waitulx=waddles. N2EY=an(y)twoeye? Nah, don't think it
>works with your callsign.
>
>Kim W5TIT

Boy your easy, lets see TIT; Big TIT, Little TIT, Fat TIT, UGLY TIT.

1195. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 01:37:33 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021118203733.26007.00000076@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 55435

>Larry butsted his b*lls a
>long time ago. Must have. A real man would never act like he does, would
>he? :)
>
>Kim W5TIT
>
>

TIT tell us about a REAL MAN, or at least what you think a REAL MAN is.

1196. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 01:38:00 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021118203800.27509.00000356@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 55436

In article <3DD8694F.D973A5F@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>> >So? Free speech is free speech regardless of venue. Do you believe
>> >yourself to have a god-given right to express yourself on the amateur
>> >bands without having passed a license exam?
>>
>> You have it exactly backwards, Dave.
>>
>> Mr. Anderson does not want to express himself on the amateur bands at all.
>If
>> he did, he'd have gotten a license and set up a station long ago.
>
>> The USA has had amateur licenses with no code test for 11-1/2 years now,
>and
>> for the past 2-1/2 years the maximum code test has been 5 wpm. Yet Mr.
>> Anderson's Extra is still in its box.
>
>I remember well his "Extra out of the box" puffery. It was one of those
>"overly-proud" statements he makes on occasion. This one comes back to
>bite him now and again.

January 19, 2000, right here on rrap. 'Twas in a post with his usual longwinded
insults and biased analysis of amateurs and amateur radio.

Like the following:

>> >> Such free speech has been met by some, like yourself, trying to
>> >> control content through ill-mannered sarcasm and general
>> >> bullying whenever opinions are contrary to your personal views.
>> >
>> >Uhhhh, Len? That sounds awfully much like what it is you do here.
>>
>> It's exactly what Mr. Anderson does here. Note that no examples of this
>> behavior by you (K8MN) are provided.

There was a guy named Mark Morgan who used to post enormous amounts of verbiage
here. Claimed to have a 236 IQ and some sort of severe language disability.
Grammar, punctuation and spelling were so bad they made 'ULX's stuff look like
Shakespeare. I useta respond to Mark's stuff as a test of my ability to dig a
message out of the noise.

When asked why he didn't use the spellchecker built into his newsgroup reader,
he said it "slowed him down too much". IOW, he wasn't going to allow himself to
be limited by things like spelling and grammar.

Mr. Anderson does a similar thing. But instead of spelling and grammar, Mr. A
isn't going to allow himself to be limited by things like facts, logic, or the
idea that he should practice what he preaches.

>> >> >> This newsgroup already exists, is public access, unmoderated. No
>> >> >> time or frequency limit exists for communications, discussion, or
>> >> >> participation.
>> >> >
>> >> >You'll forgive radio amateurs if they wish to discuss policy on the
>> >> >amateur bands, wont' you, Len?
>> >>
>> >> No problem if those policy matters affect ONLY those who are
>> >> already licensed.
>>
>> Well, there you have it. Mr. Anderson thinks that licensed amateurs should
>not
>> discuss amateur radio policy matters on the air unless such policy matters
>> affect only already-licensed hams. In other words, full-scale censorship of
>> what we can talk about on the air, far beyond the realm of the FCC rules.

There's an example. He wants to censor others, but those same rules don't apply
to him.
>
>...and it is clear from Len's post that he believes that this newsgroup
>is the only approved venue for such discussion.
>
Even though this venue is not open to those without a computer, or without
internet service.

>> >> FCC regulations apply to ALL CITIZENS whether they are licensed
>> >> or unlicensed in a particular radio service. FCC has been like that
>> >> ever since 1934.
>> >
>> >And? I talk about amateur radio policy at N8NN's place or at K8IP's
>> >place. You weren't invited.
>>
>> FCC regulations apply to noncitizens in the USA as well as citizens. They
>apply
>> to those who are too young to vote, too.
>
>We frequently discussed U.S. amateur radio policy with some of the Finns
>who are not U.S. citizens but who old U.S. amateur licenses through the
>VE group which K3FK and I were involved with in Helsinki in the
>mid-1990s. That was back in the days when I first encountered Leonard
>H. here. He had no amateur license then either and it never once dawned
>on me to consult him about discussing policy at any place or time which
>I chose to do so. I'm thinking of getting on the air this very evening
>and discussing amateur radio policy with already licensed hams just to
>spite Len.

Ask yourself this question: Why do you (or anyone) respond to Mr. Anderson at
all? It's abundantly clear that he is not here for civil discussion about

amateur radio policy issues.

7037 CW 9 PM EST Wednesdays

73 de Jim, N2EY

1197. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 01:39:25 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021118203925.26007.00000077@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 55437

```
>.by
>the way, have you left yet?
>
>Kim W5TIT
>
```

Yea I already left and came back, went to SAN FRAN instead.

1198. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 01:42:16 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <arc4mo01uus@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55438

"Clay N4AOX" <wyn@att.net> wrote in message
news:30gC9.13645$vM1.1002473@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:c2356669.0211181016.c63de50@posting.google.com...
>
> > Promised by whom?
>
> Oh, do you want a roll call? Let's see.... Bruce Perens, Carl Stevenson,
> Bill Sohl, Gary Coffman, and all the other NCI creeps... shall we
continue?
>
> 73,
> Clay N4AOX

Then go to google.com and post their words to that effect.

1199. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 02:08:45 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021118210845.28322.00000481@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 55439

In article <ar9ff0$82c$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> writes:

>You can count on W4NTI
>to be on and you best show up...grin.

Like I said - I don't guarantee but I'll do my best. And there's always next Wednesday. But I'll bet I hear that big sig of yours, just like in CW SS for the past I-don't-know-how-many years.
>
>Bet you don't have 4 people that shows up.

Last time we had about a half dozen. That's more than get involved in most rrap threads.

But even if it's only two of us, we'll have a decent ragchew.

7037 CW plusminus QRM, 9 PM EST, Wednesdays.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1200. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 02:08:46 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021118210846.28322.00000482@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 55440

In article <utj3ba1p62ra29@corp.supernews.com>, " Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20021117183656.06308.00000537@mb-fm.aol.com...
>> In article <utfls8giuns4af@corp.supernews.com>, "Kim"
>> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>>
>> >Waddles, you could forget "working" me anywhere.
>>
>> Kim,
>>
>> My curiosity has gotten the better of me.
>>
>> Where does the name "Waddles" come from? The person in question doesn't
>> look fat in his qrz.com picture.
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY

>Well, not that someone would have to be any certain weight before they would
>waddle, right?

True, very true.

Did you see the qrz.com picture?
>
>The callsign. It's much like L8R being the "equivalent" of the word
>l-a-t-e-r. WA8ULX=waitulx=waddles.

HAW!!! That's a GOOD one!!

>N2EY=an(y)twoeye? Nah, don't think it
>works with your callsign.
>
Try "entoohey".

73 de Jim, N2EY

1201. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 02:29:02 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <arc7le$7fb$0@208.207.71.163>
Source record: 55441

Robert Casey wrote:
>
> Larry would never live it down if Kim takes and passes the General
> (unless her tech + is pre 1987) and the Extra writtens. Question pools
> and practice tests abound, so it should be likely.....
>
> Kim, do it. It would bust his b*lls..... :-)

Are you kidding? Even a bleak like Kim could do that. Anyone who can
read and write and had any useful memory at all can.

1202. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 02:37:29 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <utj9dub1ict304@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55449

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021118203601.26007.00000075@mb-fi.aol.com...
> >he callsign. It's much like L8R being the "equivalent" of the word
> >l-a-t-e-r. WA8ULX=waitulx=waddles. N2EY=an(y)twoeye? Nah, don't think
it
> >works with your callsign.
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
>
> Boy your easy, lets see TIT; Big TIT, Little TIT, Fat TIT, UGLY TIT.

Well, you've only got half the idea. My callsign is hard to work with also. The items you mention above are all quite workable but would more be called a nickname than a take-off on a callsign. See, ya gotta work the W5 part in, but if I can't think of anything you sure as all hell can't. Your creativity doesn't go much past "See Dick run" and you think everyone's talking about a dick.

Kim W5TIT

1203. Re: Only 41 shopping days.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 02:38:05 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <arc86d$7fb$1@208.207.71.163>
Source record: 55442

Robert Casey wrote:
>
I don't think the VEs would
> be allowed to show other people the actual completed and
> scored test, for privacy issues.

Unless there has been a change since I was an active VE they can tell
you how many questions you missed but not which ones.

1204. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 02:38:13 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <utj9fal4pehb18@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55444

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021118203733.26007.00000076@mb-fi.aol.com...
> >Larry butsted his b*lls a
> >long time ago. Must have. A real man would never act like he does,
would
> >he? :)
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
> >
> >
>
> TIT tell us about a REAL MAN, or at least what you think a REAL MAN is.

Oh, that's easy. REAL MAN: almost anything not WA8ULX.

Kim W5TIT

1205. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 02:38:50 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <utj9gfji9g312f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55446

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021118203925.26007.00000077@mb-fi.aol.com...
> >.by
> >the way, have you left yet?
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
> >
>
> Yea I already left and came back, went to SAN FRAN instead.

Ah, got *that* hard up, eh?

Kim W5TIT

1206. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 02:43:44 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <utj9pldo46eac5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55448

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021118210846.28322.00000482@mb-fy.aol.com...
> In article <utj3ba1p62ra29@corp.supernews.com>, " Kim"
> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20021117183656.06308.00000537@mb-fm.aol.com...
> >> In article <utfls8giuns4af@corp.supernews.com>, "Kim"
> >> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
> >>
> >> >Waddles, you could forget "working" me anywhere.
> >>
> >> Kim,
> >>
> >> My curiosity has gotten the better of me.
> >>
> >> Where does the name "Waddles" come from? The person in question doesn't
> >> look fat in his qrz.com picture.
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> >Well, not that someone would have to be any certain weight before they
would
> >waddle, right?
>
> True, very true.
>
> Did you see the qrz.com picture?
>

No, I didn't. If I feel like waiting around forever (dialup here), I'll go
out and look. I use the computer at work strictly for business; and this
computer here is just way slower than the office--makes me quite impatient.

> >
> >The callsign. It's much like L8R being the "equivalent" of the word
> >l-a-t-e-r. WA8ULX=waitulx=waddles.
>
> HAW!!! That's a GOOD one!!
>

Yeah, it's kind of fun. My son was always using what I call "punk" lingo.
I actually think it's more the lingo/style of hackers to use the technique.
But, it's fun.

> >
> >N2EY=an(y)twoeye? Nah, don't think it
> >works with your callsign.
> >
> Try "entoohey".
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

Heh. Makes me wonder what you've been outofey. :o Wow, now here's a thought. You could be a P2EY (is that a valid call for down thataway). If so, you'd be patooey!! <GRIN>

Kim W5TIT

1207. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 02:44:17 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211181844.48e3796d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55443

N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0211181016.c63de50@posting.google.com>...
> yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021117213841.11087.00001802@mb-fi.aol.com>...

> > But...but...but...wwwwe were ppppromised -- promised, I tell you --
>
> Promised by whom?

Ahhh, Jim gets around to asking the tuff questions. I'd love to see
Larry on "60 Minutes."

1208. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 02:48:24 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211181848.7067660d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55445

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021114091304.06642.00000624@mb-fi.aol.com>...
> >Jim, don't be silly. I passed the Extra exam.
>
> Then why doesnt it say so in the Data base.

Andy Warhol said that everyone gets 15 minutes of fame. In your case,
Bruce, you've reduced it to 8 minutes without a mistake.

1209. Re: REPOST: The no-code end game

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 02:56:16 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021118215616.21114.00006025@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 55447

>> You may know me: I'm Bruce Perens K6BP. I'm the founder of No-Code
>> International. Outside of Amateur Radio, I'm probably best known as
>> one of the founders of the Open Source movement in computer software,
>> and an early Linux developer. You can read my bio at http://perens.com/ .

So the founder of "No-Code International" is a software developer. Interesting.
Isn't developing software the act of coding?

1210. MV Oak conspiracy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 02:59:39 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DD9A8F6.80107@adelphia.net>
Source record: 55306

After exhaustive research, I have come to the conclusion that the MV Oak does not exist. Indeed, the whole MV Oak saga is a great conspiracy perpetrated on an unwitting public by the ARRL and it's CW'philic lackey's.

Evidence #1

http://www.boatnerd.com/pictures/salty/saltynop.htm#o

Note that if you take the boat's vital statistics as outlined in the web page, and add all the numbers, you will get 32,604. You see?... No? Well, if you divide 32,604 by 48.954954954954954954954954955 you will come up with the number 666! Coincidence? I think not!

Note that the ship's former name was "Verdin". This is an old Norwegian term for Morse Code rules. Yet another coincidence? I think not!

Now we move to more evidence:

http://www.geocities.com/~sandusky99/98jan9.htm

> The Oak (Bahamian-registry 13,000-gt, 21,951-dwt,
> 155.22-meter/509.25-foot motor bulk carrier built in 1981, operated by
>

Whoa! something's very wrong here. If we compare this to the preceding link, we see that in their unbridled hubris, the conspiricists made an important error. 509.25 feet? HA!, the other page has it listed at 509 feet. I want to know what became of the other three inches. This one omission throws into doubt all of the CW'phillic conspiricist's claims.

Now for the most damaging evidence of all.

http://www.wellandcanal.ca/salties/o/oak/oak.htm

Here we have the presumed Oak sailing on the Welland Canal. HA! This was a specially built stage in the Arizona Desert, where the waters of the Colorado river were diverted at great expense to make a faux Welland canal, at the same time, conveniently destroying the evidence of the faked moon landing site. Also note the lack of shadows on the water. If you'll also notice, near the stern of the ship, there is an amateur trying in vain to repair the hf antenna (he's the little dot) Which clearly indicates that there was no HF capability for the Oak regardless of where it was at. Finally, notice that the name OAK has been plainly faked on the Bow.

In conclusion, it is apparent that the conspiracist's have plainly tried to foist a huge canard on us. I rest my case.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1211. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 03:02:57 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021118220257.05603.00000106@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 55450

>See, ya gotta work the W5 part
>in,

No will just use the TIT part, thats what you seemed to be so proud of

1212. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 03:05:44 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <arc9q8$c87$0@208.207.71.163>
Source record: 55301

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >He's a miserable excuse... of a man, of a ham, of a CBer.
> >
>
> An Dummy Brian still cant pass the Extra, I thought it was the CW that was the
> problem.

No, it has always been Brian that was the problem.

1213. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 03:06:20 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021118220620.05603.00000107@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 55302

>Andy Warhol said that everyone gets 15 minutes of fame. In your case,
>Bruce, you've reduced it to 8 minutes without a mistake.

And you cant accomplished what I did in 8 Minutes, with over a years worth of practice. It would be interesting to see how many times you have already flunked it.

1214. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 03:07:20 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <arc9t8$c87$1@208.207.71.163>
Source record: 55303

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >Oh, do you want a roll call? Let's see.... Bruce Perens, Carl Stevenson,
> >Bill Sohl, Gary Coffman, and all the other NCI creeps... shall we continue?
> >
> >73,
> >Clay N4AOX
>
> And Carl took over a year to pass the Extra, after he got his Free Upgrade. And
> Carl is the 1 that had all these new Wonderful Modes that he was going to bring
> to Ham Radio once he got his handout License.

And we haven't heard squat from him since.

1215. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 03:08:01 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021118220801.05603.00000108@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 55304

>Ah, got *that* hard up, eh?
>
>Kim W5TIT
>

What is *that* is that some CBplusser Lingo?

1216. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 03:12:53 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DD9AC35.E7136262@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55314

Newbie Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3DD8699D.D4B172D7@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
> writes:
>
> >There is that "I am a much superior ham because I passed the 20
> >wpm Morse test" attitude again. Anyone who gets the Extra under
> >the 5 wpm requirements is just as good and Extra as you and
> >dickieboy, no even better because they probably don't have this
> >superior attitude and ego problem you have.
>
> Jerk Jerk:
>
> Yup -- there it is again -- because YOU ASKED FOR IT!!! You don't
> know when someone is having fun at your expense, do you? What
> a maroon!
>
> >You just can't stand
> >to think of other hams getting the Extra ticket without have to
> >take the 20 wpm test like you did.
>
> If only you knew how little time I spend thinking about that, JJ, you'd
> be amazed!
>
> >It means that your Extra ticket
> >doesn't hold any special meaning over anyone else's and that chaps
> >your butt.
>
> My Extra ticket has "meaning" only to myself and the FCC -- if and
> when I happen to be operating in the Extra-class band segments. All
> of the rest of the hyperbole is, as you have so foolishly failed to
> comprehend, just my way of winding up the no-coders. It's so easy
> to do, you see -- because they are so readily defensive of their lack of
> motivation and ambition which caused their whining to get the code
> testing requirements reduced.
>
> >I bet there are a lot of those "dumbed-down, give-away,
> >Nickel Extra's" out there that have improved there cw skills and
> >could beat you at it hands down.
>
> I certainly hope so. Know any? I don't.

You wouldn't admit it if you did, that might shatter your big ego.

>
> >> I would think that if anyone's butts were chapped, it would be
> >> those of you and your Post-Restructuring Extra-class hangers-on,
> >> who can only hold up a piece a paper and claim "equality" with
> >> your Pre-Restructuring superiors. Alas, you are doomed to live
> >> in a world of guilt-by-association. You are not my equal. I am
> >> your superior.
> >
> >Your are a no nothing newbie, I got you beat by 20 years in ham
> >radio.
>
> Are you an Extra? If so, when did you get it? What is your current
> code speed?

See, there is that status thing again. So what does my license class or my code speed have to do with it? You base everything on obtaining some kind of status. You must have a really pathetic life. You can only build yourself up by attempting to look down at others.

>
> >Your are correct, I am not your equal, I am far superior to
> >you in ham radio.
>
> Oh, really? How so? What's your call sign? I'll look you up. If I
> find you on the DXCC Honor Roll, I'll concede that you're a better
> ham than I am. I've got DXCC worked, but not confirmed.

See, there is that status superior stuff again, you put so much into this status thing. Why would I be a better ham than you if I were on the DXCC honor roll? Why does working all countries make anyone a better ham that anyone else? Even if I had DXCC you would not see me on the honor roll anyway, what would that really prove other than I spent countless hours hovered in front of the rig just to make a 5 second contact with some ham in some "rare" country. I really have better things to do with my life.

>
> >> You are a pathetic, withered, miserable excuse
> >> for a ham radio operator who will never achieve the maximum
> >> potential possible under the Pre-Restructuring licensing system.
> >
> >Were you looking in the mirror when you said that?
>
> No, your picture.

Really? Just where did you get my picture? I didn't know you were so fond of me.

>
> >> I look down upon you from my lofty perch, and weep with pity!
> >
> >Careful you don't fall off into your own droppings and smother.

>
> There was obviously something you missed in that posting...
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Come back after you grow up and learn something useful...newbie

1217. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 03:22:01 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021118222201.21114.00006050@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 55305

From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT
>But...but...but...wwwwe were ppppromised -- promised, I tell you --
>that if only the mean-spirited, politically-incorrect, ozone-hole-expanding,
>ethnically-cleansing, spotted-owl-killing, rainforest-depleting, anti-
>woman, anti-choice, anti-everything-thats-good code testing requirements
>were reduced and eliminated,
Who said code was evil?

> They would then tear down our
>antiquated, analog, CW-dominated ham radio infrastructure and
>replace it with a high-speed digital communications system that would
>give us the equivalent of a free, over-the-air World Wide Web with voice,
>data, image, and sound access via tiny, shirt-pocket sized devices which
>they homebrewed in the back of their VW microbusses
Here's why it didn't happen. The current litter of Hams is fighting progress by holding on to CW and imposing it on new members.

"xxx Amateur Radio Society" Clubs are really operator clubs. I try to bring up such projects at club meetings. There is NO interest. Clubs tend to emphacize contests, morse, antennas, and politics. The clubs tend to attract the same types of people. The people that are interested in homebrewing,radio direction finding, practical projects, etc see that that's not HAM Radio, and dont join.

1218. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 03:36:32 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DD9B1B3.1080606@adelphia.net>
Source record: 55310

N2EY wrote:

> There's something odd here, though. I've been called a "liberal" or
> even just a "lib" for daring to support code testing, incentive
> licensing, meaningful written tests and operating skills - even though
> I can't recall ever naming a political party affiliation or candidate
> preference here.
>
> OTOH, it's clear that nobody familiar with your views would call you a
> "liberal" except as a joke - or insult.
>
> Yet our views on keeping or abolishing code testing, incentive
> licensing, meaningful written tests and operating skills are virtually
> identical.
>
> So is code testing a "liberal" concept or a "conservative" one? How
> about the concept of eliminating code testing?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Neither, Jim.

One can look at the concept of the CW test as a liberal idea, because it involves the guvmint interfering in your life by putting up a roadblock to your access to the HF bands. It is a liberal concept because it is regulating, and good conservatives hate any non-market based regulation.

Now we can also look at the concept of the CW test as a conservative idea. This test is one that has been around a long time, and is status quo. It is also a litmus test of a person's desire to achieve it, which is a plus among the conservatives.

I just don't think that the political trash has a place in the argument, because whichever side you are on, the whole ham radio concept is a government administrated one in which some of the more conservative members are complaining about the de-regulation of the bands (and face it, that is exactly what it is) and the more liberal members are asking for (gasp)less regulation. And as much as I try, I have trouble imagining the transgendered as having much concern at all in what is going on in the ARS, Unless of course they are Hams, and then that makes them Hams.

Go figure! Mike KB3EIA -

1219. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 04:09:24 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021118230924.14856.00000613@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 55308

In article <3DD89EA0.D3B61494@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>
>Larry would never live it down if Kim takes and passes the General
>(unless her tech + is pre 1987) and the Extra writtens. Question pools
>and practice tests abound, so it should be likely.....
>
>Kim, do it. It would bust his b*lls..... :-)

Robert:

I believe Kim has a Pre-Restructuring Tech Plus ticket, so she could fairly easily upgrade to General or Extra simply by taking the requisite written exams. If she wishes to do so, I have absolutely nothing against it. Of course, she'll be just another dumbed-down Nickle General or Extra, but her operating privileges would be legitimate. Why would it "bust [my] b*lls" if Kim upgraded? Oh, I get it -- you're adding yourself to the list of male rrap participants who are so infatuated with Kim and her big W5-tits that you'll say anything to get on her good side! Well, I hope it works out for you...

73 de Larry, K3LT

1220. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 04:09:24 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021118230924.14856.00000612@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 55309

In article <3DD8699D.D4B172D7@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
writes:

>There is that "I am a much superior ham because I passed the 20
>wpm Morse test" attitude again. Anyone who gets the Extra under
>the 5 wpm requirements is just as good and Extra as you and
>dickieboy, no even better because they probably don't have this
>superior attitude and ego problem you have.

Jerk Jerk:

Yup -- there it is again -- because YOU ASKED FOR IT!!! You don't
know when someone is having fun at your expense, do you? What
a maroon!

>You just can't stand
>to think of other hams getting the Extra ticket without have to
>take the 20 wpm test like you did.

If only you knew how little time I spend thinking about that, JJ, you'd
be amazed!

>It means that your Extra ticket
>doesn't hold any special meaning over anyone else's and that chaps
>your butt.

My Extra ticket has "meaning" only to myself and the FCC -- if and
when I happen to be operating in the Extra-class band segments. All
of the rest of the hyperbole is, as you have so foolishly failed to
comprehend, just my way of winding up the no-coders. It's so easy
to do, you see -- because they are so readily defensive of their lack of
motivation and ambition which caused their whining to get the code
testing requirements reduced.

>I bet there are a lot of those "dumbed-down, give-away,
>Nickel Extra's" out there that have improved there cw skills and
>could beat you at it hands down.

I certainly hope so. Know any? I don't.

>> I would think that if anyone's butts were chapped, it would be
>> those of you and your Post-Restructuring Extra-class hangers-on,
>> who can only hold up a piece a paper and claim "equality" with
>> your Pre-Restructuring superiors. Alas, you are doomed to live
>> in a world of guilt-by-association. You are not my equal. I am
>> your superior.
>

>Your are a no nothing newbie, I got you beat by 20 years in ham
>radio.

Are you an Extra? If so, when did you get it? What is your current
code speed?

>Your are correct, I am not your equal, I am far superior to
>you in ham radio.

Oh, really? How so? What's your call sign? I'll look you up. If I
find you on the DXCC Honor Roll, I'll concede that you're a better
ham than I am. I've got DXCC worked, but not confirmed.

>> You are a pathetic, withered, miserable excuse
>> for a ham radio operator who will never achieve the maximum
>> potential possible under the Pre-Restructuring licensing system.
>
>Were you looking in the mirror when you said that?

No, your picture.

>> I look down upon you from my lofty perch, and weep with pity!
>
>Careful you don't fall off into your own droppings and smother.

There was obviously something you missed in that posting...

73 de Larry, K3LT

1221. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 04:10:08 UTC
From: Clay N4AOX <wyn@att.net>
Message-ID: <AQiC9.13903$vM1.1026171@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 55307

"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:arc4mo01uus@enews3.newsguy.com...
>
>
> Then go to google.com and post their words to that effect.
>
>

Sorry, it is bad karma to dig up the past postings of those creeps.

73,
Clay N4AOX

1222. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 04:46:42 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <arcfniotg0@208.207.71.163>
Source record: 55311

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> >Your are a no nothing newbie, I got you beat by 20 years in ham
> >radio.
>
> Are you an Extra? If so, when did you get it?

Of course he is! A *very* light one, post-restructuring. He already said that in an oblique way, and for certain he acts just as we all have come to expect one to act.

1223. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 05:34:26 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021119003426.28026.00001452@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 55316

In article <eL9C9.5006$%32.477705@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:

>PS: Nice to work you and Larry (at W3DOV) this weekend. Wonder if any
>other rrap'ers were active.

Hansl:

The pleasure was all mine! Congratulations on your clean sweep!
W3DOV, the Dover Amateur Radio Club, consists of myself, Mark
Holloway KE3UY, Jim Laws, K0UWO, and other local hams who
wish to contest with us. We had 212 QSO's and 65 sections for
a total of about 27.5 kilopoints. Operation was at Mark's new QTH
and antennas are all attic dipoles in his antenna-restricted
development. Next year we'll do better even if we have to resort
to a Field Day-like portable operation to get to use some real
antennas. Just hope it isn't raining all contest weekend like it was
this time, or we'll be back at Mark's.

Again, thanks for the QSO!

73 de Larry, K3LT
Vice President and Trustee
Dover Amateur Radio Club W3DOV

1224. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 05:34:28 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021119003428.28026.00001453@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 55317

Jim, N2EY, axed:

>>There's something odd here, though. I've been called a "liberal" or even just a "lib" for daring to support code testing, incentive licensing, meaningful written tests and operating skills - even though I can't recall ever naming a political party affiliation or candidate preference here.

OTOH, it's clear that nobody familiar with your views would call you a "liberal" except as a joke - or insult.

Yet our views on keeping or abolishing code testing, incentive licensing, meaningful written tests and operating skills are virtually identical.

So is code testing a "liberal" concept or a "conservative" one? How about the concept of eliminating code testing?

73 de Jim, N2EY<<

Jim:

I've given the above question a lot of thought myself. I'd have to say that trying to preserve code testing and other meaningful amateur radio licensing requirements would, in current political phraseology, have to be considered a "conservative" point of view. The "liberals" are those who want something for nothing, and who seek recourse from the government to solve their personal problems, like not being able to get high-power HF phone privileges because they, for whatever reasons, can't crack the code testing requirement. In the Pre-Restructuring Era, this was mainly accomplished by promiscuous use of medical waivers, brought to us courtesy of one whining ham in Pennsylvania, a King in a Middle East political hotbed, and an obliging U.S. State Dept. which gave said king carte blanche to meddle in U.S. domestic affairs. What SHOULD have happened was to send JY1 a polite letter thanking him for his concern in such a matter, and then very calculatedly taking absolutely no action on his proposal while he was still alive. That'll teach 'em to try to run our country! Well, that didn't happen, and as much as I would have preferred that to have occurred during the Clinton administration, we have no one to blame but President Bush (#41). I've never considered Bush 41 to be a fully signed-on conservative, and this just adds to my assessment of him. I like to think that Bush 43 wouldn't have allowed such a thing to happen.

Code testing itself is a "conservative" concept because it "conserves," or, more correctly, preserves, a valuable communications

skill within the amateur radio community. As a conservative, I
believe that government has a right and necessity to regulate
the use of valuable, finite resources, such as the RF spectrum, and
getting the most out of our amateur radio spectrum allocations
out of necessity requires radio amateurs to possess, in sufficient
numbers, Morse/CW proficiency at a useful level, in order to
exploit the benefits and advantages of this mode under conditions
in which it would permit communications to continue to take place
where other modes would fail. In a perfect world, the FCC would
have adopted a more compromising licensing scheme, such as
the one I suggested in my comments on the Restructuring NPRM,
as opposed to simply reducing code testing to the present
insignificant level which barely satisfies the existing international
treaty requirements. The FCC commissioners who tossed out the
higher speed code testing requirements were mostly liberal, if
not downright socialist, Clinton administration appointees.

Libertarians like Cecil would take credit for the Restructuring
disaster under the notion that less government is better. I don't
necessarily disagree with that concept, but I don't think it applies
in this case. I'm for less government, and more realistic
application of government authority, but I'm not an anarchist.
I could go on and on, but I think you get the idea.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1225. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 05:50:20 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <arcjes$1nb$0@208.206.142.72>
Source record: 55318

JJ wrote:
>
> > Are you an Extra? If so, when did you get it? What is your current
> > code speed?
>
> See, there is that status thing again. So what does my license
> class or my code speed have to do with it? You base everything on
> obtaining some kind of status. You must have a really pathetic
> life. You can only build yourself up by attempting to look down at
> others.

Well, there you have it. Why should he 'look down' on you or anyone
else? Could it be because you 'got yours' via the cheap and easy route?
By implication that's exactly what you have just said- that he is
'looking down' on you, therefore there must be a reason. That's it.

1226. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 07:04:49 UTC
From: R-75 MAN <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com>
Message-ID: <3dd9c4fe$1_4@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 55312

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:arb8jbmcv1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net...
>>
> Things are looking up for ham radio after all.
>
> Just my opinion.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

Here is my opinion:

I hate contests. They congest all the bands of any decent listening. I missed out almost of all 14.313 Sunday, because of you stupid, idiot contest freaks.

1227. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 07:06:48 UTC
From: R-75 MAN <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com>
Message-ID: <3dd9c576_4@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 55313

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021118174535.21153.00005681@mb-fx.aol.com...
> Can't imagine WHO would do that...Hey Lennie, YOU are the "radio
> professiona"...can YOU imagine anyone doing such a thing?
>

How about you and your butt-buddy, KR4AJ in a QRM-fest of 3865?

1228. Get ready for Stumpjumpers Thanksgiving Surprise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 07:20:11 UTC
From: R-75 MAN <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com>
Message-ID: <3dd9c899$1_4@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 55315
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Word on the street is that Herb, ex- KV4FZ, will make an appearence on 14.313 USB at high noon Eastern time.

A "Roll Call" will kick things off. Controlled by KT4TR and the Colonel will be the first check-in!

Word also has it that Hal Turner, will be making a guest appearrence on 14.313 too. He will be checking in with the help of control op, Kevin Strom, WB4AIO.

Bro. Norton and Bro. Bottle will be tuning in their R-75's. for the play by play.

Will KK4TL be tuning in from his 'frigerator box?

Profit Stair told me so......

1229. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 07:53:50 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ardb6c8qrc@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55333

Hey Larry..... Who made this promise? I never heard that description as stated below..... although the bisexual females sounds pretty good! :)

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

> But...but...but...wwwwe were pppppromised -- promised, I tell you --
> that if only the mean-spirited, politically-incorrect,
ozone-hole-expanding,
> ethnically-cleansing, spotted-owl-killing, rainforest-depleting, anti-
> woman, anti-choice, anti-everything-thats-good code testing requirements
> were reduced and eliminated, we'd get a mass influx of enlightened,
> technically-involved, computer-literate, politically-correct, diverse,
> trans-gendered, bi-sexual, female, young, socially-conscious
> new hams wearing hand-woven fabrics, Birkenstock sandals, pony
> tails, and little round eyeglasses. They would then tear down our
> antiquated, analog, CW-dominated ham radio infrastructure and
> replace it with a high-speed digital communications system that would
> give us the equivalent of a free, over-the-air World Wide Web with voice,
> data, image, and sound access via tiny, shirt-pocket sized devices which
> they homebrewed in the back of their VW microbusses with the
> floral pattern paint design and the large peace sign on the front.
>
> What happened?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

1230. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 07:58:22 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ardb6c9qrc@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55334

The elimination of the code test would be a republican value based upon the concept that political party likes is limited government intervention in our lives as possible, as a licensing process is one more level of governmental intervention in our lives. Therefore code testing as well as other forms of licensure (other that a tax revenue source) would most likely be a democrat related issue.

(Neither a dem or a rep...)

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

> So is code testing a "liberal" concept or a "conservative" one? How
> about the concept of eliminating code testing?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

1231. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 08:01:05 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ardb6d0qrc@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55331

> > >Oh, I agree 100%. Lets limit US involvement in amateur radio to
150,000
> > >persons.
^^

Isn't the above line already about where we are at? Consider the amount of ACTIVE licensees versus non-active or very infrequent usage in that answer.....

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

1232. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 08:05:43 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ardb6e1qrc@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55332

> Mr. Anderson does a similar thing. But instead of spelling and grammar,
Mr. A
> isn't going to allow himself to be limited by things like facts, logic, or
the
> idea that he should practice what he preaches.

I would say more than that, it is more of the fact that he cannot ever
respond to a post in a short 2-3 sentence paragraph or in a simple sentence.
Everpresent ramblings with supposed relations to the topic at hand.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

1233. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 11:47:11 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ard83t02sj2@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55326

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:arc9t8$c87$1@208.207.71.163...
>
>
> WA8ULX wrote:
> >
> > >Oh, do you want a roll call? Let's see.... Bruce Perens, Carl
Stevenson,
> > >Bill Sohl, Gary Coffman, and all the other NCI creeps... shall we
continue?
> > >
> > >73,
> > >Clay N4AOX
> >
> > And Carl took over a year to pass the Extra, after he got his Free
Upgrade. And
> > Carl is the 1 that had all these new Wonderful Modes that he was going
to bring
> > to Ham Radio once he got his handout License.
>
>
> And we haven't heard squat from him since.

Why should he be heard from? Most of his postings were made before the restructuring in an effort to change the status quo and build support for what he believed in. After his objective was achieved, there was no longer any need for him to try to change the minds of people that are stuck in the past.

1234. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 11:50:34 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ard8a902srt@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55327

"Clay N4AOX" <wyn@att.net> wrote in message
news:AQiC9.13903$vM1.1026171@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:arc4mo01uus@enews3.newsguy.com...
> >
> >
> > Then go to google.com and post their words to that effect.
> >
> >
>
> Sorry, it is bad karma to dig up the past postings of those creeps.
>
> 73,
> Clay N4AOX

I guess you're afraid that you'll be proved to be a liar.

1235. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 11:53:51 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <jDpC9.5011$s02.2774@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 55321

"R-75 MAN" <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com> wrote in message
news:3dd9c4fe$1_4@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:arb8jbmcv1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net...
> >>
> > Things are looking up for ham radio after all.
> >
> > Just my opinion.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >
>
> Here is my opinion:
>
> I hate contests. They congest all the bands of any decent listening. I
> missed out almost of all 14.313 Sunday, because of you stupid, idiot
contest
> freaks.

I'd like to think there's room for all of us on AR, contesters, ragchewers,
etc...

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

1236. Re: Only 41 shopping days.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 11:54:15 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211190354.2ef268e5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55319

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<arc86d$7fb$1@208.207.71.163>...
> Robert Casey wrote:
> >
> I don't think the VEs would
> > be allowed to show other people the actual completed and
> > scored test, for privacy issues.
>
>
> Unless there has been a change since I was an active VE they can tell
> you how many questions you missed but not which ones.

I'll bet they'd show it to Riley, even his scratch sheet.

1237. Re: REPOST: The no-code end game

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 11:55:24 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211190355.13db9601@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55320

vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) wrote in message
news:<20021118215616.21114.00006025@mb-fx.aol.com>...
> >> You may know me: I'm Bruce Perens K6BP. I'm the founder of No-Code
> >> International. Outside of Amateur Radio, I'm probably best known as
> >> one of the founders of the Open Source movement in computer software,
> >> and an early Linux developer. You can read my bio at http://perens.com/ .
>
> So the founder of "No-Code International" is a software developer. Interesting.
> Isn't developing software the act of coding?

You don't have to pass an EXAM to code software.

1238. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 11:57:44 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211190357.680ed8f9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55322

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021118220620.05603.00000107@mb-fc.aol.com>...
> >Andy Warhol said that everyone gets 15 minutes of fame. In your case,
> >Bruce, you've reduced it to 8 minutes without a mistake.
>
> And you cant accomplished what I did in 8 Minutes, with over a years worth of
> practice. It would be interesting to see how many times you have already
> flunked it.

Bruce, I could make up a big story and then defend it to the death, without error.

1239. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 11:59:13 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211190359.16e5b2b7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55323

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3DD994A4.4CE4FB4B@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DD90E78.2A031E73@earthlink.net>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DD793CC.2959162C@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > Brian wrote:
> >
> > > > > > It's what I said. It's as true now as when I passed the exams.
> > > > > >
> > > > > That is not what you stated. Your previous claim to have "passed the
> > > > > Extra exam" was erroneous. You passed part of it.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > >
> > > > Did I pass a Morse exam or a Farnsworth exam?
> > > >
> > > If you are writing of the day you "passed your Extra", the answer would
> > > be: neither.
> > > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > And if I'm not?
> >
> Then your attempt at misdirection would be irrelevant.
>
> Dave K8MN

CSCEs are irrelevant?

1240. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 12:01:38 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211190401.298960af@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55324

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<arc9q8$c87$0@208.207.71.163>...
> WA8ULX wrote:
> >
> > >He's a miserable excuse... of a man, of a ham, of a CBer.
> > >
> >
> > An Dummy Brian still cant pass the Extra, I thought it was the CW that was the
> > problem.
>
>
> No, it has always been Brian that was the problem.

Dick, its interesting how you and Bruce have buddied up. Any port in a storm.

1241. Re: MV Oak conspiracy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 12:04:07 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211190404.419d4725@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55325

Mike, I think you're on to something. Have you contacted Art Bell?

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:<3DD9A8F6.80107@adelphia.net>...
> After exhaustive research, I have come to the conclusion that the MV Oak
> does not exist. Indeed, the whole MV Oak saga is a great conspiracy
> perpetrated on an unwitting public by the ARRL and it's CW'philic lackey's.
>
> Evidence #1
>
> http://www.boatnerd.com/pictures/salty/saltynop.htm#o
>
> Note that if you take the boat's vital statistics as outlined in the web
> page, and add all the numbers, you will get 32,604. You see?... No?
> Well, if you divide 32,604 by 48.954954954954954954954954955 you will
> come up with the number 666! Coincidence? I think not!
>
> Note that the ship's former name was "Verdin". This is an old Norwegian
> term for Morse Code rules. Yet another coincidence? I think not!
>
> Now we move to more evidence:
>
> http://www.geocities.com/~sandusky99/98jan9.htm
>
> > The Oak (Bahamian-registry 13,000-gt, 21,951-dwt,
> > 155.22-meter/509.25-foot motor bulk carrier built in 1981, operated by
> >
>
> Whoa! something's very wrong here. If we compare this to the preceding
> link, we see that in their unbridled hubris, the conspiricists made an
> important error. 509.25 feet? HA!, the other page has it listed at 509
> feet. I want to know what became of the other three inches. This one
> omission throws into doubt all of the CW'phillic conspiricist's claims.
>
> Now for the most damaging evidence of all.
>
> http://www.wellandcanal.ca/salties/o/oak/oak.htm
>
>
> Here we have the presumed Oak sailing on the Welland Canal. HA! This was
> a specially built stage in the Arizona Desert, where the waters of the
> Colorado river were diverted at great expense to make a faux Welland
> canal, at the same time, conveniently destroying the evidence of the
> faked moon landing site. Also note the lack of shadows on the water. If
> you'll also notice, near the stern of the ship, there is an amateur

> trying in vain to repair the hf antenna (he's the little dot) Which
> clearly indicates that there was no HF capability for the Oak regardless
> of where it was at. Finally, notice that the name OAK has been plainly
> faked on the Bow.
>
> In conclusion, it is apparent that the conspiracist's have plainly tried
> to foist a huge canard on us. I rest my case.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

1242. Re: MV Oak conspiracy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 12:21:59 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021119072159.29416.00000056@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 55328

>Subject: MV Oak conspiracy
>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>Date: 11/18/02 8:59 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3DD9A8F6.80107@adelphia.net>

>In conclusion, it is apparent that the conspiracist's have plainly tried
>to foist a huge canard on us. I rest my case.

Whew, Mike! Had some free time on your hands, eh...?!?!

Problem is that SOME folks will take it as gospel! And why not...?!?
Afterall, it's "online" so it HAS to be true!

73

Steve, K4YZ

PS: GREAT job!

1243. Re: REPOST: The no-code end game

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 12:53:43 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021119075343.28322.00000499@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 55329

In article <20021118215616.21114.00006025@mb-fx.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) writes:

>>> You may know me: I'm Bruce Perens K6BP. I'm the founder of No-Code
>>> International. Outside of Amateur Radio, I'm probably best known as
>>> one of the founders of the Open Source movement in computer software,
>>> and an early Linux developer. You can read my bio at http://perens.com/ .
>
>So the founder of "No-Code International" is a software developer.
>Interesting.
>Isn't developing software the act of coding?
>
No.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1244. Re: Re Field Day (was Eight Minutes?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 12:53:43 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021119075343.28322.00000498@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 55330

In article <d22b54c3.0211161115.304dec65@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20021115223300.13494.00000543@mb-mj.aol.com>...
>
>
>> >
>> >It's N8NN's new rig. I'm an Omni VI Plus man (but damned if I'm taking
>> >it out to Field Day, heh heh).
>>
>> There's a Barracuda Rule about that.
>
>Ya mean like . . . a certain TS-940 maybe . . ?

I recall Barracuda Rule #8: Get the other guy to do the work....
>
>THAT fiasco ain't gonna happen again. I agree with Dave's decision not
>to put the Top Gun radio out in the woods, do it to somebody else's
>radio.

'zactly.
>
>> Are you going to go for an Orion?
>
>Not if he has any sense.

$3300 without the tuner or filters. Byt the time it's loaded up you could have a half dozen K2s...
>
>> My Southgate Type 6 and Type 7 rigs have seen a few Field Days. Whatta job!
>I
>> built a K2 in part to make FD easier. Smallest rig I ever owned!
>
>I know where ya can get a radio which is only a little bigger than a
>K2 but pumps out 20 times as many watts than the K2 does on all bends
>below 54Mhz . . has a FD carrying handle too . .

A K2/100 with the KAT100 tuner and a handle on the side. Won't do six meters, tho. The CP group made how many Q's on 6?
>
>> >> What were you using for antennas?
>> >
>> >A 200 foot dipole up about forty feet, fed with open wire line.
>>
>> beautiful, just beautiful.

>> >> --
>> >>
>> >> FD note: A quick look through the listings reveals a few rrap regulars,
>> like
>> >> W4NTI, K8MN and myself. I suspect Hans was part of the W0AA.operation
>(?)
>> >> Anybody else actually operate on FD? What callsign was used?
>> >
>> >I remember a CW contact with Dan but don't know if we worked you or
>> >not. If so, it might have been on one of Bert's shifts.
>>
>> I don't think we did - I would have seen your call when I redid the logs.
>Next
>> year!
>>
>> --
>>
>> A thought on participation in ARRL contests vs. Diamond clubs and QST line
>> scores:
>>
>> One of the criteria for including or excluding stuff from QST is
>popularity. If
>> contest participation drops, they will see it as a drop in popularity of
>the
>> contest and confirmation of their decision to remove the scores, rather
>than as
>> a protest. End result is shooting oneself in the foot, as it were.
>
>Putting it another way:
>
>(1) Contest popularity is increasing, not decreasing.

But if enough guys like Dave go away, it will decrease.

>(2) QST has little or nothing to do with the popularity of contesting.

Ropes a lot of beginners in areas that don;t have active clubs like FRC.

>(3) If contests are not reported in QST conetsters will go elsewhere
>for what they're interested in and QST loses.

'zactly
>
>I'm still waiting for Hare to tell me how much it costs the ARRL per
>page of QST to publish contest results.

Cost is almost nil because most of the work still has to be done to put the
info on the webpage.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1245. Re: Get ready for Stumpjumpers Thanksgiving Surprise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 14:12:07 UTC
From: bignose <slac3@weedmail.com>
Message-ID: <3dda46bb@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 55335
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

get some "new material" from k1man.

"R-75 MAN" <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com> wrote in message
news:3dd9c899$1_4@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
> Word on the street is that Herb, ex- KV4FZ, will make an appearence on
> 14.313 USB at high noon Eastern time.
>
> A "Roll Call" will kick things off. Controlled by KT4TR and the Colonel
> will be the first check-in!
>
> Word also has it that Hal Turner, will be making a guest appearrence on
> 14.313 too. He will be checking in with the help of control op, Kevin
> Strom, WB4AIO.
>
> Bro. Norton and Bro. Bottle will be tuning in their R-75's. for the play
by
> play.
>
> Will KK4TL be tuning in from his 'frigerator box?
>
> Profit Stair told me so......
>
>
>

1246. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 14:14:16 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DDA4738.7040000@psu.edu>
Source record: 55336

Dave Heil wrote:
> Len Over 21 wrote:

<some snippage>

>> The attempt to keep outdated and outmoded regulations and
>> a complex class structure in an avocational activity simply to
>> suit personal desires at the expense of others is considered
>> obsessive...and totalitarian in nature to some.
>
>
> What outdated and outmoded regulations, Leonard--the ones which you
> decide ar such? Since you are a non-participant, I'd say you've
> overly-personalized and obsessed over amateur radio regulations which
> have no bearing on you whatever.

Good point, Dave.

There are many avocations that employ class structure. Most are much
more complex than the three tiers of the ARS. Is an avocation required
to be so simple that anyone can participate without learning anything?
This does not take into account human nature, at least the part of it
dealing with challenges and betterment.

>>>Wait a minute, Len. You have decided that amateur radio should adopt
>>>your views.
>>
>> No. I've been pointing out changes in amateur radio regulations
>> to remove outdated and outmoded requirements which affect
>> others, principally the "non-participants" not yet licensed.
>
>
> I've been pointing out how silly some of your ideas seem to me. I love
> the part about a minimum age for licensees. Any change in an avocation
> effects all who partcipate. Those changes don't have anything to do
> with non-participants.

Yeah, what's with the minimum age anywhoo? If a young person can pass
the tests, they should be able to enjoy the priveleges.

>> YOU have decided to attempt, by whatever means, to suppress
>> changes in US amateur radio regulations that contradict your
>> personal desires, ideas, and concepts. Such is evident by your
>> postings here, targeting individuals whose opinions are contrary
>> to yours...demanding that they cease commenting on the "basis"
>> of being "non-participants."

>
>
> and YOU have decided to attempt, by whatever means to change U.S.
> Amateur radio regs despite the fact that you are not a licensee (nor
> have you even attempted to become a licensee). This is all very evident
> by your postings and your targeting of individuals whose opinions are
> contrary to you yours. You've even invited one poster to leave because
> you didn't believe he was strong enough to bear up under heavy debate
> tactics.

That is certainly a mistaken perception on someones part. I've always said one should never mistake good manners for weakness. It's a fundamental part of getting things done. All the inflammatory rhetoric that is almost certainly designed to anger people accomplishes virtually nothing of use.

Which leads one to the conclusion that such statements, however valid the initial premise is, are put forth only to tweak the noses of the statements intended target and to invoke retort. If the argument is then being lost, one can then cry about having their rights abridged or not tolerating other opinions.

Of course, there is a contradiction there, where one is being asked to tolerate intolerance! 8^)

<some more snippage>

>>>Your surely have pointed out those things. You've also pointed out that
>>>there should be a minimum age requirement for radio amateurs.
>>
>> Why is that a "sin?" :-) Do you wish to be "proud" of a license
>> test that can be passed by six-year-olds? Do you want
>> CHILDREN as "responsible control operators" in amateur radio?
>> :-)
>
>
> No sin, merely myopic on your part. Yes, I can be proud that a
> youngster passes a test which some adults (do I need to name any?) don't
> even attempt. If the FCC says licensed children may be control
> operators, I have no problem with it. After all, as you've often
> pointed out, the FCC is the only body responsible for regulating amateur
> radio in the United States. The FCC has never instituted a minimum age
> requirement.

Not allowing a person who *can* pass the tests to become a Ham is about the most exclusionary, elitist idea I've seen yet.

<more snippage>

>> If socialized medicine ever advances in the USA, I'm sure some
>> medical-psychiatric help can be obtained to soothe the injured
>> feelings of "regular participants" in US amateur radio. :-)
>

>
> This isn't a medical newsgroup, Len. It deals with amateur radio and
> you earlier claim STILL doesn't wash. It isn't factual.

This invitation to get professional help keeps resurfacing. And here is the crux of the issue. We are apparently assumed by some to have mental problems, and invited to get professional help, and yet some feel compelled to argue with the crazy guys and gals to try to convince them of their errors?

This is tormenting the poor crazy people, and is mean-spirited to say the least. My momma taught me not to pick on people less fortunate than myself.

- mike KB3EIA -

1247. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 14:17:44 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0211190617.14e32123@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55337

"Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<utj9pldo46eac5@corp.supernews.com>...
> > >>
> > >> Where does the name "Waddles" come from? The person in question doesn't
> > >> look fat in his qrz.com picture.
> > >>
> > >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> > >Well, not that someone would have to be any certain weight before they
> would
> > >waddle, right?
> >
> > True, very true.
> >
> > Did you see the qrz.com picture?
> >
>
> No, I didn't.

You know the old saying about pot, kettle....

>If I feel like waiting around forever (dialup here), I'll go
> out and look. I use the computer at work strictly for business; and this
> computer here is just way slower than the office--makes me quite impatient.

Broadband'll spoil ya rotten.

> > >The callsign. It's much like L8R being the "equivalent" of the word
> > >l-a-t-e-r. WA8ULX=waitulx=waddles.
> >
> > HAW!!! That's a GOOD one!!

> Yeah, it's kind of fun. My son was always using what I call "punk" lingo.
> I actually think it's more the lingo/style of hackers to use the technique.
> But, it's fun.

Also vanity license plates.
>
> > >N2EY=an(y)twoeye? Nah, don't think it
> > >works with your callsign.
> > >
> > Try "entoohey".
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Heh. Makes me wonder what you've been outofey. :o

uh oh

> Wow, now here's a
> thought. You could be a P2EY (is that a valid call for down thataway). If
> so, you'd be patooey!! <GRIN>
>
Almost worth a DXpedition to Papua New Guinea (where P2EY would be a
valid call - and it's unassigned!)

Hmmm.

Current champion of that game, however, goes to Bert Craig, WA2SI.
He's very tall, so I hear.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1248. Re: MV Oak conspiracy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 14:20:49 UTC
From: bignose <slac3@weedmail.com>
Message-ID: <3dda48c6@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 55338
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

He should tell Alex Jones instead. Alex Jones will balloon it up
to 2000x it's normal size and then find some way to tie it into the
guys who "worship owl statues" and his other perennial
favorite, the "jewish banking conspiracy". By then it't will
make the rounds on 3.860 ERPN and who knows where it
will spin off to... all in all what a bunch o knuclkeheads!

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0211190404.419d4725@posting.google.com...
> Mike, I think you're on to something. Have you contacted Art Bell?
>
> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<3DD9A8F6.80107@adelphia.net>...
> > After exhaustive research, I have come to the conclusion that the MV Oak
> > does not exist. Indeed, the whole MV Oak saga is a great conspiracy
> > perpetrated on an unwitting public by the ARRL and it's CW'philic
lackey's.
> >
> > Evidence #1
> >
> > http://www.boatnerd.com/pictures/salty/saltynop.htm#o
> >
> > Note that if you take the boat's vital statistics as outlined in the web
> > page, and add all the numbers, you will get 32,604. You see?... No?
> > Well, if you divide 32,604 by 48.954954954954954954954954955 you will
> > come up with the number 666! Coincidence? I think not!
> >
> > Note that the ship's former name was "Verdin". This is an old Norwegian
> > term for Morse Code rules. Yet another coincidence? I think not!
> >
> > Now we move to more evidence:
> >
> > http://www.geocities.com/~sandusky99/98jan9.htm
> >
> > > The Oak (Bahamian-registry 13,000-gt, 21,951-dwt,
> > > 155.22-meter/509.25-foot motor bulk carrier built in 1981, operated by
> > >
> >
> > Whoa! something's very wrong here. If we compare this to the preceding
> > link, we see that in their unbridled hubris, the conspiricists made an
> > important error. 509.25 feet? HA!, the other page has it listed at 509
> > feet. I want to know what became of the other three inches. This one
> > omission throws into doubt all of the CW'phillic conspiricist's claims.

> >
> > Now for the most damaging evidence of all.
> >
> > http://www.wellandcanal.ca/salties/o/oak/oak.htm
> >
> >
> > Here we have the presumed Oak sailing on the Welland Canal. HA! This was
> > a specially built stage in the Arizona Desert, where the waters of the
> > Colorado river were diverted at great expense to make a faux Welland
> > canal, at the same time, conveniently destroying the evidence of the
> > faked moon landing site. Also note the lack of shadows on the water. If
> > you'll also notice, near the stern of the ship, there is an amateur
> > trying in vain to repair the hf antenna (he's the little dot) Which
> > clearly indicates that there was no HF capability for the Oak regardless
> > of where it was at. Finally, notice that the name OAK has been plainly
> > faked on the Bow.
> >
> > In conclusion, it is apparent that the conspiracist's have plainly tried
> > to foist a huge canard on us. I rest my case.
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -

1249. Re: MV Oak conspiracy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 14:21:23 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DDA48E3.2090901@psu.edu>
Source record: 55339

Brian wrote:
> Mike, I think you're on to something. Have you contacted Art Bell?

Hmmm.. Let me work on it a little more. 8^)

- mike KB3EIA -

1250. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 14:23:07 UTC
From: bignose <slac3@weedmail.com>
Message-ID: <3dda4951@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 55340
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The only purpost this contest served was to tie-up
the HF bands with more poor amateur practice
and hams running excessive power and qrm'ing
everything and everyone. (and all to get their call listed
in a QST contest log - what a waste of time and energy)

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:arb8jbmcv1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net...
> I have been active in contesting for many years. I have been a
participant
> in the Sweepstakes contest since the early sixties.
>
> In this contest part of the exchange is the sending of the 'check' this is
> the year first licensed.
>
> In the phone contest in particular I noticed a LARGE INCREASE in
relativley
> new licensees. I.E. 00, 01, 99 etc. I also heard several YL voices out
> there and a couple of kids even. Everyone having a great time.
>
> Things are looking up for ham radio after all.
>
> Just my opinion.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>

1251. Re: MV Oak conspiracy

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 14:26:45 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DDA4A25.90409@psu.edu>
Source record: 55341

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>>Subject: MV Oak conspiracy
>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>Date: 11/18/02 8:59 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <3DD9A8F6.80107@adelphia.net>
>
>
>>In conclusion, it is apparent that the conspiracist's have plainly tried
>>to foist a huge canard on us. I rest my case.
>
>
> Whew, Mike! Had some free time on your hands, eh...?!?!

Heh, heh, I took yesterday afternoon off to work on a magloop antenna
I'm building, and stayed outside long enough to get really cold. So I
could have been suffering from thermally induced goofies. 8^)

> Problem is that SOME folks will take it as gospel! And why not...?!?
> Afterall, it's "online" so it HAS to be true!
>
Hmm.. as a diehard skeptic in these matters, that would be a hoot -
starting my own conspiracy.

> PS: GREAT job!

Thanks Steve.

- mike KB3EIA -

1252. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 14:29:42 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021119092942.19574.00000097@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 55342

>Bruce, I could make up a big story and then defend it to the death, without
>error.
>

An still cant pass the Extra.

1253. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 14:30:41 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021119093041.19574.00000098@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 55343

>CSCEs are irrelevant?

Expired ones sure are

1254. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 14:31:51 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021119093151.19574.00000099@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 55344

>Dick, its interesting how you and Bruce have buddied up. Any port in a
>storm.
>

A Brian still cant pass the Extra, after a years worth of studying.

1255. Re: Re Field Day (was Eight Minutes?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 14:36:08 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021119093608.19574.00000100@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 55345

>I recall Barracuda Rule #8: Get the other guy to do the work....

Isnt that why we have CBplussers, mainly grunt work, an to get the coffee.

1256. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 14:43:01 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <V5sC9.5157$s02.3566@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 55346

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0211190617.14e32123@posting.google.com...
> Current champion of that game, however, goes to Bert Craig, WA2SI.
> He's very tall, so I hear.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Although the call is a small tribute to my father's height/nickname, I too
am just a shade above 6' 4". ;-)

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

1257. Re: Only 41 shopping days.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 15:35:37 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ardlo9$4j8$0@208.206.142.91>
Source record: 55347

Brian wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<arc86d$7fb$1@208.207.71.163>...
> > Robert Casey wrote:
> > >
> > I don't think the VEs would
> > > be allowed to show other people the actual completed and
> > > scored test, for privacy issues.
> >
> >
> > Unless there has been a change since I was an active VE they can tell
> > you how many questions you missed but not which ones.
>
> I'll bet they'd show it to Riley, even his scratch sheet.

I'll bet they send the entire test session to their VEC. SO?

1258. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 15:37:08 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ardlr4$4j8$1@208.206.142.91>
Source record: 55348

```
Bert Craig wrote:
>
> "R-75 MAN" <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com> wrote in message
> news:3dd9c4fe$1_4@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
> >
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:arb8jb$mcv$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net...
> > >>
> > > Things are looking up for ham radio after all.
> > >
> > > Just my opinion.
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Here is my opinion:
> >
> > I hate contests. They congest all the bands of any decent listening. I
> > missed out almost of all 14.313 Sunday, because of you stupid, idiot
> contest
> > freaks.
>
> I'd like to think there's room for all of us on AR, contesters, ragchewers,
> etc...

Sure there is. If I don't feel like participating, I go to 30 meters.
On CW. :-)
```

1259. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 15:37:42 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ardls6$4j8$2@208.206.142.91>
Source record: 55349

Brian wrote:
>
> wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021118220620.05603.00000107@mb-fc.aol.com>...
> > >Andy Warhol said that everyone gets 15 minutes of fame. In your case,
> > >Bruce, you've reduced it to 8 minutes without a mistake.
> >
> > And you cant accomplished what I did in 8 Minutes, with over a years worth of
> > practice. It would be interesting to see how many times you have already
> > flunked it.
>
> Bruce, I could make up a big story and then defend it to the death, without error.

COULD?

1260. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 15:40:28 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ardm1c$4j8$3@208.206.142.91>
Source record: 55350

```
Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DD994A4.4CE4FB4B@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DD90E78.2A031E73@earthlink.net>...
> > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DD793CC.2959162C@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > > > > > It's what I said. It's as true now as when I passed the exams.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > That is not what you stated. Your previous claim to have "passed the
> > > > > > > Extra exam" was erroneous. You passed part of it.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Did I pass a Morse exam or a Farnsworth exam?
> > > > >
> > > > > If you are writing of the day you "passed your Extra", the answer would
> > > > > be: neither.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > > And if I'm not?
> > >
> > > Then your attempt at misdirection would be irrelevant.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > CSCEs are irrelevant?

When they expire unused after a year, they're as irrelevant as "Yeah,
go ahead" permission.
```

1261. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 15:42:19 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ardm4r$4j8$4@208.206.142.91>
Source record: 55451

Brian wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<arc9q8$c87$0@208.207.71.163>...
> > WA8ULX wrote:
> > >
> > > >He's a miserable excuse... of a man, of a ham, of a CBer.
> > > >
> > >
> > > An Dummy Brian still cant pass the Extra, I thought it was the CW that was the
> > > problem.
> >
> >
> > No, it has always been Brian that was the problem.
>
> Dick, its interesting how you and Bruce have buddied up. Any port in a storm.

Sun's shining brightly here. Sorry you have foul weather, but it's no
surprise. Ever hear of Joe Bysxflx, one of Al Capp's characters? Went
around with a rain cloud over his head all the time? You must be his
clone.

1262. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 15:45:23 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ardmaj$4j8$5@208.206.142.91>
Source record: 55452

Krell wrote:
>
> "Clay N4AOX" <wyn@att.net> wrote in message
> news:AQiC9.13903$vM1.1026171@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> >
> > "Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:arc4mo01uus@enews3.newsguy.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > Then go to google.com and post their words to that effect.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > Sorry, it is bad karma to dig up the past postings of those creeps.
> >
> > 73,
> > Clay N4AOX
>
> I guess you're afraid that you'll be proved to be a liar.

No but you're proved a fool for making such an assertion instead of looking it up for yourself. Google has it all, but you've got to get off your ass and do some searching-just like you had to get off your ass if you ever learned the Morse code. But you don't *really* want to know. Same difference.

1263. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 15:46:28 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ardmck$4j8$6@208.206.142.91>
Source record: 55453

Krell wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:arc9t8$c87$1@208.207.71.163...
> >
> >
> > WA8ULX wrote:
> > >
> > > >Oh, do you want a roll call? Let's see.... Bruce Perens, Carl
> Stevenson,
> > > >Bill Sohl, Gary Coffman, and all the other NCI creeps... shall we
> continue?
> > > >
> > > >73,
> > > >Clay N4AOX
> > >
> > > And Carl took over a year to pass the Extra, after he got his Free
> Upgrade. And
> > > Carl is the 1 that had all these new Wonderful Modes that he was going
> to bring
> > > to Ham Radio once he got his handout License.
> >
> >
> > And we haven't heard squat from him since.
>
> Why should he be heard from? Most of his postings were made before the
> restructuring in an effort to change the status quo and build support for
> what he believed in. After his objective was achieved, there was no longer
> any need for him to try to change the minds of people that are stuck in the
> past.

Unhuh. So what's your excuse?

1264. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 16:06:07 UTC
From: Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92CB67EF4D4B3elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 55454

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in
news:ardls6$4j8$2@208.206.142.91:

>
>
> Brian wrote:
>>
>> wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
>> news:<20021118220620.05603.00000107@mb-fc.aol.com>...
>> > >Andy Warhol said that everyone gets 15 minutes of fame. In your
>> > >case, Bruce, you've reduced it to 8 minutes without a mistake.
>> >
>> > And you cant accomplished what I did in 8 Minutes, with over a years
>> > worth of practice. It would be interesting to see how many times you
>> > have already flunked it.
>>
>> Bruce, I could make up a big story and then defend it to the death,
>> without error.
>
>
> **COULD**?
>

It took me 17 minutes to pass the Extra written test on qrz.com, but half
of that was waiting for web pages to load, so it could be done in 8 mins
with paper or a faster connection. But I guesstimated all the calculations
in my head. If it was for real I probably would have tried to be more
acccurate. I think that someone who hadn't already passed it would take
longer for that reason, so that makes it all rather artificial. N3KIP

1265. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 16:19:39 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DDA649B.5000301@psu.edu>
Source record: 55455

KC8PMX wrote:
> The elimination of the code test would be a republican value based upon the
> concept that political party likes is limited government intervention in our
> lives as possible, as a licensing process is one more level of governmental
> intervention in our lives. Therefore code testing as well as other forms of
> licensure (other that a tax revenue source) would most likely be a democrat
> related issue.
>
> (Neither a dem or a rep...)

100 percent correct!

- Mike KB3EIA -

1266. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 16:20:33 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DDA64D1.7060801@psu.edu>
Source record: 55456

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> Jim:
>
> I've given the above question a lot of thought myself. I'd have to say
> that trying to preserve code testing and other meaningful amateur
> radio licensing requirements would, in current political phraseology,
> have to be considered a "conservative" point of view.

100 percent correct!

- mike KB3EIA -

1267. Re: Get ready for Stumpjumpers Thanksgiving Surprise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 16:50:30 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ardqdc$ju7$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55457
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Just like going fishing in a barrel.

Dan/W4NTI

"R-75 MAN" <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com> wrote in message
news:3dd9c899$1_4@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
> Word on the street is that Herb, ex- KV4FZ, will make an appearence on
> 14.313 USB at high noon Eastern time.
>
> A "Roll Call" will kick things off. Controlled by KT4TR and the Colonel
> will be the first check-in!
>
> Word also has it that Hal Turner, will be making a guest appearrence on
> 14.313 too. He will be checking in with the help of control op, Kevin
> Strom, WB4AIO.
>
> Bro. Norton and Bro. Bottle will be tuning in their R-75's. for the play
by
> play.
>
> Will KK4TL be tuning in from his 'frigerator box?
>
> Profit Stair told me so......
>
>
>

1268. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 16:55:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ardqcultb1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55458
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Whats a matter 'bignose' you too stupid to know how to change your band
selector to 30, 17 or 12 meters?

Or are you crystal controlled?

Dan/W4NTI

"bignose" <slac3@weedmail.com> wrote in message
news:3dda4951@snipnews.snip.net...
> The only purpost this contest served was to tie-up
> the HF bands with more poor amateur practice
> and hams running excessive power and qrm'ing
> everything and everyone. (and all to get their call listed
> in a QST contest log - what a waste of time and energy)
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:arb8jbmcv1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net...
> > I have been active in contesting for many years. I have been a
> participant
> > in the Sweepstakes contest since the early sixties.
> >
> > In this contest part of the exchange is the sending of the 'check' this
is
> > the year first licensed.
> >
> > In the phone contest in particular I noticed a LARGE INCREASE in
> relativley
> > new licensees. I.E. 00, 01, 99 etc. I also heard several YL voices out
> > there and a couple of kids even. Everyone having a great time.
> >
> > Things are looking up for ham radio after all.
> >
> > Just my opinion.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >
>
>

1269. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 16:58:10 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ardqis$oe4$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55459

There is but some folks would bitch if they were hanged with a new rope.

Dan/W4NTI

> I'd like to think there's room for all of us on AR, contesters,
ragchewers,
> etc...
>
> --
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
>
>

1270. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 17:02:21 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021119120221.00238.00001542@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 55460

>It took me 17 minutes to pass the Extra written test on qrz.com, but half
>of that was waiting for web pages to load, so it could be done in 8 mins
>with paper or a faster connection. But I guesstimated all the calculations
>in my head. If it was for real I probably would have tried to be more
>acccurate. I think that someone who hadn't already passed it would take
>longer for that reason, so that makes it all rather artificial. N3KIP
>

Yes its very easy to do in 8 minutes, I even handed him back the Blank sheet of paper, I didnt need the paper, it was that easy. I didnt worry about being accurate, because it wasnt my goal to get 100% on the Extra. My goal was to collect the $250.00. And I knew I could pass it. What I should have done was shoot for 5 Minutes, but at the time I wasnt trying for speed.

1271. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 17:42:00 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <IJuC9.6934$%32.651329@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 55461

"R-75 MAN" <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com> wrote

: Here is my opinion:
:
: I hate contests. They congest all the bands of any decent listening.

You obviously have a P1SS P00R receiver.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
The home of Minnesota RadioSport http://www.W0AA.org

1272. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 17:52:46 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0211190952.4fb353d1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55462

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021119003428.28026.00001453@mb-fp.aol.com>...
> Jim, N2EY, axed:

> So is code testing a "liberal" concept or a "conservative" one? How
> about the concept of eliminating code testing?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY<<
>
> Jim:
>
> I've given the above question a lot of thought myself. I'd have to say
> that trying to preserve code testing and other meaningful amateur
> radio licensing requirements would, in current political phraseology,
> have to be considered a "conservative" point of view.

Then why are such requirements having such a hard time surviving?

> The "liberals"
> are those who want something for nothing, and who seek recourse
> from the government to solve their personal problems, like not being
> able to get high-power HF phone privileges because they, for whatever
> reasons, can't crack the code testing requirement.

Here's another concept: Rights without responsibilties.

> In the Pre-
> Restructuring Era, this was mainly accomplished by promiscuous
> use of medical waivers, brought to us courtesy of one whining ham
> in Pennsylvania, a King in a Middle East political hotbed, and an
> obliging U.S. State Dept. which gave said king carte blanche to
> meddle in U.S. domestic affairs. What SHOULD have happened was
> to send JY1 a polite letter thanking him for his concern in such a
> matter, and then very calculatedly taking absolutely no action on his
> proposal while he was still alive. That'll teach 'em to try to run our
> country! Well, that didn't happen, and as much as I would have
> preferred that to have occurred during the Clinton administration,
> we have no one to blame but President Bush (#41). I've never
> considered Bush 41 to be a fully signed-on conservative, and this
> just adds to my assessment of him.

"Thousand Points of Light", as it were. But he was VP for Reagan for 8
years prior to becoming President! He was also instrumental in getting
rid of marine use of Morse on MF - no more radio officers on oil
tankers, dontcha know.

> I like to think that Bush 43
> wouldn't have allowed such a thing to happen.

Me, too, but we will probably see the complete end of Morse testing
next year, on his watch, and under the guidance of Mr. Powell as head
of FCC.
>
> Code testing itself is a "conservative" concept because it
> "conserves," or, more correctly, preserves, a valuable communications
> skill within the amateur radio community. As a conservative, I
> believe that government has a right and necessity to regulate
> the use of valuable, finite resources, such as the RF spectrum, and
> getting the most out of our amateur radio spectrum allocations
> out of necessity requires radio amateurs to possess, in sufficient
> numbers, Morse/CW proficiency at a useful level, in order to
> exploit the benefits and advantages of this mode under conditions
> in which it would permit communications to continue to take place
> where other modes would fail.

SOME other modes would fail.

> In a perfect world, the FCC would
> have adopted a more compromising licensing scheme, such as
> the one I suggested in my comments on the Restructuring NPRM,
> as opposed to simply reducing code testing to the present
> insignificant level which barely satisfies the existing international
> treaty requirements. The FCC commissioners who tossed out the
> higher speed code testing requirements were mostly liberal, if
> not downright socialist, Clinton administration appointees.

You have their names?
>
> Libertarians like Cecil would take credit for the Restructuring
> disaster under the notion that less government is better. I don't
> necessarily disagree with that concept, but I don't think it applies
> in this case. I'm for less government, and more realistic
> application of government authority, but I'm not an anarchist.
> I could go on and on, but I think you get the idea.

The concept of "less government is better" is just as invalid as its
socialist opposite of "government needs to do everything for
everybody". Both are oversimplifications that lead to disaster.

The problem with the "conservative" and "liberal" labels is that they
are caricatures rather than meaningful descriptions. I much prefer the
terms "responsible" and "irresponsible". Example: All law-abiding
Americans have the right to amateur radio licenses - IF they
demonstrate the requisite level of responsibility to use a limited,
shared resource.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1273. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 17:55:15 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211190955.71518c61@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55463

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<arcjes$1nb$0@208.206.142.72>...
> JJ wrote:
> >
> > > Are you an Extra? If so, when did you get it? What is your current
> > > code speed?
> >
> > See, there is that status thing again. So what does my license
> > class or my code speed have to do with it? You base everything on
> > obtaining some kind of status. You must have a really pathetic
> > life. You can only build yourself up by attempting to look down at
> > others.
>
> Well, there you have it. Why should he 'look down' on you or anyone
> else? Could it be because you 'got yours' via the cheap and easy route?
> By implication that's exactly what you have just said- that he is
> 'looking down' on you, therefore there must be a reason. That's it.

Then there are those who went the superfluous and irrelevant route.

I call them the "How High?" (should I jump, and I want you to jump, too) crowd.

That's it.

1274. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 18:06:13 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0211191006.61a9bbbb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55464

"Clay N4AOX" <wyn@att.net> wrote in message
news:<30gC9.13645$vM1.1002473@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> "N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:c2356669.0211181016.c63de50@posting.google.com...
>
> > Promised by whom?
>
> Oh, do you want a roll call? Let's see.... Bruce Perens, Carl Stevenson,
> Bill Sohl...

I cannot recall a single post by Bill Sohl, K2UNK, promising any sort of extra growth, new technology, or other pie-in-the-sky benefit from reducing or eliminating code testing. Nor can I recall any instances of him saying that procodetest folks were "luddites", "nazis", "stuck in the past", "jackbooted thugs", "elitist" or had a "I had to do it so you have to do it too" attitude.

Not one, and I've read many, many of them.

Bill's anticodetest argument is simple and elegant, and boils down to a few basic concepts (remember, these are Bill's arguments, NOT mine):

1) All laws and government rules and regulations must constantly re-justify their existence by showing that bad things would happen without said laws and government rules/regs.

2) The justifications for code testing (in his opinion) have decreased to the point that they no longer support the existence of the tests.

3) Thus, code testing should be reduced as much as possible under existing constraints (S25.5), and eliminated if/when those constraints disappear.

Simple, concise and clear. And it all comes down to an OPINION question. I disagree comnpletely with Bill's opinion, but I respect him nonetheless.

Other folks may have made all sorts of wild claims, promises and predictions re code testing and its abolition, but not K2UNK. Not that I've ever seen, anyway.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1275. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 18:14:54 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211191014.6e2b1ff7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55465

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021119003428.28026.00001453@mb-fp.aol.com>...
> Jim, N2EY, axed:
>
> There's something odd here, though. I've been called a "liberal" or
> even just a "lib" for daring to support code testing, incentive
> licensing, meaningful written tests and operating skills - even though
> I can't recall ever naming a political party affiliation or candidate
> preference here.
>
> OTOH, it's clear that nobody familiar with your views would call you a
> "liberal" except as a joke - or insult.

And there are those who know me and would say the same. But there are
those on RRAP who claim that by wanting to do away with superfluous
and irrelevant licensing requirements is a liberal idea.

> Yet our views on keeping or abolishing code testing, incentive
> licensing, meaningful written tests and operating skills are virtually
> identical.

Mine are not.

> So is code testing a "liberal" concept or a "conservative" one? How
> about the concept of eliminating code testing?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY<<

Maintaining price supports for code is liberal.

> Jim:
>
> I've given the above question a lot of thought myself. I'd have to say
> that trying to preserve code testing and other meaningful amateur
> radio licensing requirements would, in current political phraseology,
> have to be considered a "conservative" point of view.

Of course not. Reagan promised to get government off our backs and
out of our pockets. He would not want to continue irrelevant and
superfluous testing requirements for government licenses.

The "liberals"
> are those who want something for nothing, and who seek recourse
> from the government to solve their personal problems, like not being
> able to get high-power HF phone privileges because they, for whatever
> reasons, can't crack the code testing requirement.

... the personal problem of not having anyone to talk to because the mode cannot attract enough usage based upon its own merits. Or the personal problem of your self-worth being diminished because I don't have to do something superfluous, irrelevant, or stupid as you have done. Hazing comes to mind.

In the Pre-
> Restructuring Era, this was mainly accomplished by promiscuous
> use of medical waivers, brought to us courtesy of one whining ham
> in Pennsylvania, a King in a Middle East political hotbed, and an
> obliging U.S. State Dept. which gave said king carte blanche to
> meddle in U.S. domestic affairs. What SHOULD have happened was
> to send JY1 a polite letter thanking him for his concern in such a
> matter, and then very calculatedly taking absolutely no action on his
> proposal while he was still alive. That'll teach 'em to try to run our
> country! Well, that didn't happen, and as much as I would have
> preferred that to have occurred during the Clinton administration,
> we have no one to blame but President Bush (#41). I've never
> considered Bush 41 to be a fully signed-on conservative, and this
> just adds to my assessment of him. I like to think that Bush 43
> wouldn't have allowed such a thing to happen.

He also gave the orders to cut military strength by more than half (which Clinton gladly implemented), and sent us into Somalia (which clinton gets blamed for).

> Code testing itself is a "conservative" concept because it
> "conserves," or, more correctly, preserves, a valuable communications
> skill within the amateur radio community.

Did you see the Alpha advertisement in the December QST for the "What Hath God Wrought?" 1841 replica telegraph key? You can have one, too, for just $129.

As a conservative, I
> believe that government has a right and necessity to regulate
> the use of valuable, finite resources, such as the RF spectrum, and
> getting the most out of

Your plan is sure to squeeze the most (people) OUT of the ARS. Some efficiency. You don't see anymore job ads wanting Morse Operators anymore.

our amateur radio spectrum allocations
> out of necessity requires radio amateurs to possess, in sufficient
> numbers, Morse/CW proficiency at a useful level, in order to
> exploit the benefits and advantages of this mode under conditions
> in which it would permit communications to continue to take place
> where other modes would fail.

And CW never fails? If the government wanted to support the continued use of Morse Code, they wouldn't have pulled the plug on the Coast Guard.

In a perfect world, the FCC would
> have adopted a more compromising licensing scheme, such as
> the one I suggested in my comments on the Restructuring NPRM,
> as opposed to simply reducing code testing to the present
> insignificant level which barely satisfies the existing international
> treaty requirements.

Yet many people struggle learning what you call the "insignificant"
level. Perhaps that has something to do with why the governemnt has
abandoned the code. It just isn't worth it.

The FCC commissioners who tossed out the
> higher speed code testing requirements were mostly liberal, if
> not downright socialist, Clinton administration appointees.

You don't see that Powell kid bringing it back, do you?

> Libertarians like Cecil

who quit NCI

would take credit for the Restructuring
> disaster under the notion that less government is better. I don't
> necessarily disagree with that concept, but I don't think it applies
> in this case.

Noooooooooo. Morse is holy.

I'm for less government, and more realistic
> application of government authority, but I'm not an anarchist.

You are.

> I could go on and on, but I think you get the idea.

You have. We do.

> 73 de Larry, K3LT

73, Brian

1276. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 18:17:02 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211191017.7ab093b5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55466

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021118200502.26026.00000053@mb-fi.aol.com>...
> >Oh, do you want a roll call? Let's see.... Bruce Perens, Carl Stevenson,
> >Bill Sohl, Gary Coffman, and all the other NCI creeps... shall we continue?
> >
> >73,
> >Clay N4AOX

Why do you call these people creeps?

> And Carl took over a year to pass the Extra, after he got his Free Upgrade. And
> Carl is the 1 that had all these new Wonderful Modes that he was going to bring
> to Ham Radio once he got his handout License.

Yep, a $29 sound card put a lot of codesters out of business.

1277. Re: Is there a radio band for these requirements?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 18:17:37 UTC
From: the ole bignose <slac3@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3dda8049@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 55467
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan is right. What the heck? You don't
need no "steenkin badges" on ham radio anymore! (do you ??)
Some guy who calls himself "orange blossom" checks into
the 75 Meter 3.860 Militia Net at 8:00
PM EST every night. Guy don't have any ham call
and is welcome checked in by the NCS "no-problemo".
[O.B. is allegedly with the Michigan Militia
out of Dexter Mich. Mr. FCC] Guess what also..
..they've now established 7.275 mHz SSB
(40m) as the "24/7 Michigan Militia callup freq"
or so said someone up there last nite. No license
needed too! real nice.

(So much for Mr Hollingsworth's "stellar"
enforcement record eh folks....?? Better send
in Homeland Security pronto Uncle Sam...)

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:arb87r$v5v$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> Just pick a frequency. Operate on it. Claim its yours because no one else
> is there. Call it Freeband Too.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "10squared" <news@firewallinabox.com> wrote in message
> news:3dd86d0b_7@nopics.sjc...
> > Hello,
> >
> > Is there a band and appropriate radios for the following set of
> > requirements:
> >
> > 1) Must allow commercial traffic
> > 2) Air to ground, ground to ground in hilly terrain, and ground to air
> > communications of up to 20 miles.
> > 3) Portable equipment (handheld)
> > 4) Little competing traffic
> > 5) Under $300 per radio
> >
> >
> > I know that amateur equipment is disallowed due to the commercial usage
> > requirement. Aviation bands are out due to line of sight nature of
signal
> > at aviation freqs. Not sure about CB because of amount of competing
> > traffic, but this seems to be around the right frequency. Any

suggestions,
> > such as a commercial band around the CB freqs? Thanks in advance.
> >
> > Cheers,
> >
> > Jim
>
>

1278. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 19:01:24 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <8UvC9.9276$s02.1286@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 55470

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ardlr4$4j8$1@208.206.142.91...
>
>
> Bert Craig wrote:
> >
> > "R-75 MAN" <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com> wrote in message
> > news:3dd9c4fe$1_4@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
> > >
> > > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> > > news:arb8jbmcv1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > >>
> > > > Things are looking up for ham radio after all.
> > > >
> > > > Just my opinion.
> > > >
> > > > Dan/W4NTI
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > Here is my opinion:
> > >
> > > I hate contests. They congest all the bands of any decent listening.
I
> > > missed out almost of all 14.313 Sunday, because of you stupid, idiot
> > contest
> > > freaks.
> >
> > I'd like to think there's room for all of us on AR, contesters,
ragchewers,
> > etc...
>
>
> Sure there is. If I don't feel like participating, I go to 30 meters.
> On CW. :-)

...where 200 watts goes a looooooong way. :-)

Hi Dick, how've you been?

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

1279. Re: Is there a radio band for these requirements?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 19:12:32 UTC
From: Mon-452 <dscmon452@dititaldscmon452.com>
Message-ID: <are2do$761$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 55471
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
The 5 & 6 mHz bands are alive with militia activity, also
some 7 mHz activity too. 5550, 6660, many others.

Mon-452
Detroit

"the ole bignose" <slac3@aol.com> wrote in message
news:3dda8049@snipnews.snip.net...
> Dan is right. What the heck? You don't
> need no "steenkin badges" on ham radio anymore! (do you ??)
> Some guy who calls himself "orange blossom" checks into
> the 75 Meter 3.860 Militia Net at 8:00
> PM EST every night. Guy don't have any ham call
> and is welcome checked in by the NCS "no-problemo".
> [O.B. is allegedly with the Michigan Militia
> out of Dexter Mich. Mr. FCC] Guess what also..
> ..they've now established 7.275 mHz SSB
> (40m) as the "24/7 Michigan Militia callup freq"
> or so said someone up there last nite. No license
> needed too! real nice.
>
> (So much for Mr Hollingsworth's "stellar"
> enforcement record eh folks....?? Better send
> in Homeland Security pronto Uncle Sam...)
>
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:arb87r$v5v$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Just pick a frequency. Operate on it. Claim its yours because no one
else
> > is there. Call it Freeband Too.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > "10squared" <news@firewallinabox.com> wrote in message
> > news:3dd86d0b_7@nopics.sjc...
> > > Hello,
> > >
> > > Is there a band and appropriate radios for the following set of
> > > requirements:
> > >
> > > 1) Must allow commercial traffic
> > > 2) Air to ground, ground to ground in hilly terrain, and ground to air
> > > communications of up to 20 miles.
```

> > > 3) Portable equipment (handheld)
> > > 4) Little competing traffic
> > > 5) Under $300 per radio
> > >
> > >
> > > I know that amateur equipment is disallowed due to the commercial
usage
> > > requirement. Aviation bands are out due to line of sight nature of
> signal
> > > at aviation freqs. Not sure about CB because of amount of competing
> > > traffic, but this seems to be around the right frequency. Any
> suggestions,
> > > such as a commercial band around the CB freqs? Thanks in advance.
> > >
> > > Cheers,
> > >
> > > Jim
> >
> >
>
>
>
>

1280. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 19:50:32 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDA9608.EAF04A3@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55483

Dickieboy Carroll wrote:
>
> JJ wrote:
> >
> > > Are you an Extra? If so, when did you get it? What is your current
> > > code speed?
> >
> > See, there is that status thing again. So what does my license
> > class or my code speed have to do with it? You base everything on
> > obtaining some kind of status. You must have a really pathetic
> > life. You can only build yourself up by attempting to look down at
> > others.
>
> Well, there you have it. Why should he 'look down' on you or anyone
> else? Could it be because you 'got yours' via the cheap and easy route?
> By implication that's exactly what you have just said- that he is
> 'looking down' on you, therefore there must be a reason. That's it.

You really have a problem with reading comprehension don't you
dickieboy.
As I stated earlier, I obtained my Novice ticket in 1961, there
was no cheap and easy route. How long have you been a ham dickie?
For my upgrades I sat in front of an FCC examiner at the FCC field
office in Dallas, Texas for my code test and written exams. Same
thing for my FCC First Class commercial ticket. So there you have
it, you and newbie Larry look down upon anyone else who obtains a
license today because they did not get it the way you did. More
ego status crap. The only reason you and newbie Larry look down
upon any one else is to boost your giant egos and a feeble attempt
to make yourselves feel somehow important.

1281. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 19:54:05 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDA96DD.B4142129@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55484

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> >
> > >Your are a no nothing newbie, I got you beat by 20 years in ham
> > >radio.
> >
> > Are you an Extra? If so, when did you get it?
>
> Of course he is! A *very* light one, post-restructuring. He already
> said that in an oblique way, and for certain he acts just as we all have
> come to expect one to act.

There you're wrong again dickieboy, I hold an Advanced class, you see I don't feel it necessary to get an Extra just to feel superior as you and newbie Larry do. I never said in *any* way that I was an Extra. Why would you are newbie Larry even ask what class license I hold if this eqo status thing wasn't so important to you?

1282. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 20:00:27 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <vLwC9.7115$%32.666850@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 55472

"R-75 MAN" <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com> wrote in message
news:3dda85a6$1_4@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
:
: "K&#65533;HB" <KAYZEROalwaysaZERO@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
: news:IJuC9.6934$%32.651329@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
: > "R-75 MAN" <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com> wrote
: >
: > : Here is my opinion:
: > :
: > : I hate contests. They congest all the bands of any decent listening.
: >
: > You obviously have a P1SS P00R receiver.
: >
:
:
: Personal attacks - cheap refuge of dimwits!
:
: You use them - go figure!
:

Hey, I didn't attack you. I just commented on your lame ICOM receiver.

Do you identify so closely with a piece of junk electronics that criticism
becomes a personal attack?

Go finger.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Minnesota RadioSport home http://www.W0AA.org

1283. Re: REPOST: The no-code end game

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 20:03:58 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDA992E.446E5E3E@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55487

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <20021118215616.21114.00006025@mb-fx.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com
> (Vshah101) writes:
>
> >>> You may know me: I'm Bruce Perens K6BP. I'm the founder of No-Code
> >>> International. Outside of Amateur Radio, I'm probably best known as
> >>> one of the founders of the Open Source movement in computer software,
> >>> and an early Linux developer. You can read my bio at http://perens.com/ .
> > >
> > >So the founder of "No-Code International" is a software developer.
> > >Interesting.
> > >Isn't developing software the act of coding?
> > >
> > No.

Yes, I have written a lot of software code. Writing code is common
programming jargon.

1284. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 20:06:18 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDA99BA.B0F9A8F5@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55490

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<arc9q8$c87$0@208.207.71.163>...
> > > WA8ULX wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >He's a miserable excuse... of a man, of a ham, of a CBer.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > An Dummy Brian still cant pass the Extra, I thought it was the CW that was the
> > > > problem.
> > >
> > >
> > > No, it has always been Brian that was the problem.
> >
> > Dick, its interesting how you and Bruce have buddied up. Any port in a storm.
>
> Sun's shining brightly here. Sorry you have foul weather, but it's no
> surprise. Ever hear of Joe Bysxflx, one of Al Capp's characters? Went
> around with a rain cloud over his head all the time? You must be his
> clone.

I thought that was spelled Bsyxfxl.

1285. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 20:25:27 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0211191225.517affd4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55473

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<arc9t8$c87$1@208.207.71.163>...
> WA8ULX wrote:
> >
> > >Oh, do you want a roll call? Let's see.... Bruce Perens, Carl Stevenson,
> >
> > And Carl took over a year to pass the Extra, after he got his Free Upgrade. > >And
> > Carl is the 1 that had all these new Wonderful Modes that he was going to bring
> > to Ham Radio once he got his handout License.

Carl told us again and again that amateur radio "needed to be dragged into the 21st century" and that "better modes and modulations" were the solution to all our problems. I recall clearly a debate about how DSSS could allegedly be used on our HF ham bands without serious problems - even though it was shown clearly that a single QRP DSSS station would raise everyone's noise floor for miles around.

He said we should be building a sort of wireless internet system on the ham bands, with gateways to the wired internet, and that this would bring all sorts of folks to ham radio. When asked how the interconnections would filter out commercial and porno-type content, he had a few vague ideas but nothing practical.

We got all sorts of lectures about how hams needed to get some eval boards and start homebrewing the next generation of digital radio communications, DSP, PICs, PLGAs and lots of other stuff. Some folks actually got lectured on being too cheap and/or lazy to have "real jobs" and elaborate basement workshops.

Also a grab-bag of epithets like "olde fartz", "luddites" and "FUD". Popular amateur pursuits like contesting and DXing were putdown by Carl as "electronic paintball wars" and our regulations as "dinosaur dung encrusted". Vanity callsigns and such were "juvenile" and "elitist" - he'd stick with his 2x3 forever. "No setasides for legacy modes" was another slogan, fighting the idea of setting aside 300 kHz on the low end of 2 meters for weaksignal/narrowband modes.

"Better Modes And Modulations" - remember that catchphrase?
>
> And we haven't heard squat from him since.

Yes, we have. Soon after getting his upgrade (a year and a half after April 15, 2000) he was here on rrap for a bit. Told us all how he got 100% on the Extra exam (just like Bruce).

What was surreal was the next part. He also bragged about how he was up to 70+ DXCC countries, using just a 100 W class transceiver

(manufactured) and a simple antenna (also manufactured). It took several direct questions to drag out the fact that his DXCC electronic paintball trophies were all gathered using SSB voice, a legacy mode from the 1920s. Soon after, he sported a new 2x1 vanity callsign.

Now, there's nothing wrong with chasing DX, getting the countries total up, working SSB, using manufactured gear or vanity calls. He sure sounded like he was having lots of fun, and that's a good thing. But it sure was weird reading all that stuff after years and years of long, angry, abusive lectures about how we hams must do just the opposite.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1286. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 20:42:58 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021119154258.00202.00001436@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 55474

>Told us all how he got
>100% on the Extra exam (just like Bruce).
>

Bruce Did, Carl probably just slightly passed it, after who knows how many trys.

>What was surreal was the next part. He also bragged about how he was
>up to 70+ DXCC countries, using just a 100 W class transceiver
>(manufactured) and a simple antenna (also manufactured). It took
>several direct questions to drag out the fact that his DXCC electronic
>paintball trophies were all gathered using SSB voice, a legacy mode
>from the 1920s. Soon after, he sported a new 2x1 vanity callsign.

Thats no surprise, Carl could have cared less about Ham Radio, his only interest was getting on HF SSB.

>But it sure was weird reading all that stuff after years and years of
>long, angry, abusive lectures about how we hams must do just the
>opposite.
>
>73 de Jim, N2E

Carl is a fine Example of NCIs leadership, tell any lie we can to get what we want. Just look at Brian he worships Carl. But brian is even dumber than Carl he cant even pass the EXTRA

1287. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 20:46:47 UTC
From: R-75 MAN <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com>
Message-ID: <3dda85a6$1_4@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 55468

"K&#65533;HB" <KAYZEROalwaysaZERO@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:lJuC9.6934$%32.651329@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "R-75 MAN" <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com> wrote
>
> : Here is my opinion:
> :
> : I hate contests. They congest all the bands of any decent listening.
>
> You obviously have a P1SS P00R receiver.
>

Personal attacks - cheap refuge of dimwits!

You use them - go figure!

1288. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 20:47:32 UTC
From: R-75 MAN <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com>
Message-ID: <3dda85d3_4@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 55469

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:arbrc2$a1q$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> Thats as bad as the SSTV goof that didn't respond when I asked if the freq
> was in use. I then proceeded to work a good ten stations. Then he comes
on
> top of me with SSTV and bitches because Im on 14.233.
>
> Instead of getting into a 'p' contest I left. I took Rileys advise.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

Then why did'nt you get your ass off the SSTV freq?

1289. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 21:30:38 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021119163038.22730.00000603@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 55476

In article <AQiC9.13903$vM1.1026171@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>, "Clay N4AOX" <wyn@att.net> writes:

>"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:arc4mo01uus@enews3.newsguy.com...
>>
>> Then go to google.com and post their words to that effect.
>
>Sorry, it is bad karma to dig up the past postings of those creeps.

...our karma ran over your dogma... :-)

1290. Re: REPOST: The no-code end game

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 21:30:38 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021119163038.22730.00000604@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 55477

In article <20021119075343.28322.00000499@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <20021118215616.21114.00006025@mb-fx.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com
>(Vshah101) writes:
>
>>>> You may know me: I'm Bruce Perens K6BP. I'm the founder of No-Code
>>>> International. Outside of Amateur Radio, I'm probably best known as
>>>> one of the founders of the Open Source movement in computer software,
>>>> and an early Linux developer. You can read my bio at http://perens.com/ .
>>
>>So the founder of "No-Code International" is a software developer.
>>Interesting.
>>Isn't developing software the act of coding?
>>
>No.

Incorrect. Had you done any computer program coding you would
have found out that "coding" describes that activity, is widely used
by computer program writers, both professional and amateur.

Feel free to go to the ACM and correct them if you wish. :-)

1291. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 21:30:39 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021119163039.22730.00000605@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 55475

In article <f45722ac.0211190359.16e5b2b7@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>> Then your attempt at misdirection would be irrelevant.
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
>CSCEs are irrelevant?

Anything contrary to Heil's opinions/messages/statements
is "irrelevant." :-)

1292. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 21:30:39 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021119163039.22730.00000606@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 55478

In article <20021118203800.27509.00000356@mb-cb.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>Ask yourself this question: Why do you (or anyone) respond to Mr. Anderson at
>all? It's abundantly clear that he is not here for civil discussion about
>amateur radio policy issues.

If you wish a definition of "civility" in discussion about entering US amateur radio as being total, absolute, irrevocable biased towards your own personal desires, wishes, opinions, and parroting what a membership organization has led you to believe, then your question is correct as stated.

Unfortunately, such a definition is not a definition but rather a declaration. A rather biased, totalitarian declaration of "do it your way." Shrug.

>7037 CW 9 PM EST Wednesdays

Feel free to go over to a semi-private venue to "discuss" anything. Perhaps you can work out a system where the only ones who can get into US amateur radio are those who are already in US amateur radio. Same logic. :-)

1293. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 21:30:41 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021119163041.22730.00000607@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 55481

In article <3DD992EA.BC391660@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>> Being a "participant" in any radio service is not required by any
>> law or regulation since the passage of the Communications Act
>> of 1934. Being a "participant" does not qualify one more or less
>> than any other citizen.
>
>I wasn't writing of a law or regulation. I was writing of a fellow who
>is not a radio amateur but who is obsessed in an overly-personal way,
>with the regulaton of amateur radio in the United States.

I'm just writing about the US radio regulations covering GETTING
INTO US amateur radio.

That does not relate directly to those ALREADY IN US amateur
radio, does it?

Tsk, tsk, I point out some facts...which are seemingly opposite
to Heil's viewpoints and suddenly such opposition becomes
obsessive! :-)

Isn't Heil rather obsessed with the preoccupation of declarations
against certain individuals in this newsgroup who are not in
agreement with him?

>> No one in the FCC is required to be a "participant" in any radio
>> service they regulate in the USA.
>
>Ah, but you aren't with the FCC and you don't regulate amateur radio.
>If you don't regulate and you don't participate, what is any of it to
>you?

Heil is not in the FCC. Heil has NO legal, moral, or ethical
"authority" over anyone else to challenge or control the motives
of any other citizen of the USA as to what anyone, to any
degree, is "interested" in anything. Yet Heil continues to dwell
on "participation" as a prerequisite for ANY discussion of US
amateur radio regulations. The preoccupation seems obsessive.

>You've commented. Now what? Am I supposed to embrace your views? The
>FCC has to listen to you. I don't.

Heil is free to overlook anything and not reply. Heil is already IN
US amateur radio and regulations concerning getting INTO US
amateur radio do not apply directly to him. Heil seems obsessed
with controlling the motives, intentions, interests of others to fit

some predefined model of behavior. Why? The simplest act is
simply to ignore any protests but Heil will not...he must continue
to dwell on certain specifics. The conclusion would be that Heil
isn't really interested in discussing regulations that affect future
US radio amateurs, only in preserving his own status, and, most
of all, to attempt "winning" an argument any way he can.

>I don't know anyone who believes in other than what you've written
>above.
>Do you believe that those who regulate amateur radio in the U.S. are
>involved in totalitarian rule for their own benefit? I don't.

Heil's own commentary in message content is contrary to that
disavowal.

>You're a loud mouth and a bully and you're attempting to tell us how
>amateur radio should be in the United States. You don't own authority
>and you aren't chief.

It would seem that Heil doesn't accept ANYTHING other than his
own views...all must accept his viewpoints and have "discussions"
wherein they all agree with what he says...or they are accused of
being "loud mouths, bullying, and attempting to tell us [Heil] what
to do." QED. :-)

>I don't live in California. I'm not a Democrat and I'm not a liberal.

Irrelevant. Geo-political situations are not of concern in this
"discussion."

>I have decades more experience as a radio amateur than you.

Irrelevant again. US radio regulations' discussion or commentary
do not concern "decades of experience" except for the fanciful
notion that such long experience is a "qualifier."

On another irrelevant personal note, I have at least a decade or
more in radio experience in both government and commercial
radio than Heil. Shrug.

>I've never
>ever attempted to tell someone who has experience in an avocation how
>that avocation should be regulated or run when I am not a participant in
>that avocation.

Heil is a Janus, then. He has commented on the FCC's actions,
rulings, declarations. The FCC is NOT a "participant" in US amateur
radio yet it is THE agency regulating ALL of US civil radio.

>Do you target people who are in agreement with you? You aren't involved
>in amateur radio yet you want amateur radio to be shaped according to
>your desires. If that isn't obsessing, I don't know what is.

Heil does not realize what his own behavior is like to others.

But, NOBODY can tell him what to do, can they? :-)

1294. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 21:30:42 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021119163042.22730.00000608@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 55480

In article <3DD98F09.CCE43E00@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Straw man alert! a) You do not determine what regs are outdated and
>outmoded.

I and others have long since determined that morse code testing,
as well as the mode itself, are outdated and outmoded.

If they were not outdated and outmoded, they would still be used
in other radio services. They are not so used in relatively newer
radio services which were created without thought of using morse
code modes...or their use in a few radio services is limited to
automatic ID or a few maritime installations. All except amateur
radio service.

>b) The regs are not now, nor have they been for a long, long time as
>they were when I obtained licensing.

Test element 1 remains in current US amateur radio regulations
for licensing having below-30-MHz privileges. That is International
Morse Code cognition. Same as back in 1912 and the first US
radio regulating agency.

>How is my persistence any different than your persistence, Len? Your
>statements are simply ridiculous.

Views in opposition to Heil's are often called by him as "ridiculous."
:-)

>Yet I'll be in contact with those who obtain their licenses sans morse
>testing. An unavoidable shift in skills and attitude will take place. I
>have every right to concern over these issues.

Everyone has the freedom to "be concerned." If Heil wishes to
have law added to ease his "concern," he is free to write his
elected government official and request legislative release from
"concern" worry.

>What outdated and outmoded regulations, Leonard--the ones which you
>decide ar such?

Myself and thousands upon thousands of others have come to
an opinion that morse code testing is both outdated and outmoded.
Heil has judged such opinions as not allowable for discussion by
his commentary.

>Since you are a non-participant, I'd say you've
>overly-personalized and obsessed over amateur radio regulations which
>have no bearing on you whatever.

Heil doesn't understand that regulations on license testing will
affect NON-participants more than participants. By dwelling on
the "only-participants-can-talk-about-it-others-shut-up" supposed
qualifier, he demonstrates a clear desire to have regulations His
way. He is already IN amateur radio so he thinks regulations
concerning getting into amateur radio do not apply to "non-
participants." Ergo, his notion is that no one can get into
amateur radio unless they are already in amateur radio. :-)

>I've been pointing out how silly some of your ideas seem to me. I love
>the part about a minimum age for licensees. Any change in an avocation
>effects all who partcipate. Those changes don't have anything to do
>with non-participants.

A reiteration of Heil's notion that one can only get into amateur
radio unless they are already in amateur radio. "Non-participants"
do not apply... :-)

>and YOU have decided to attempt, by whatever means to change U.S.
>Amateur radio regs despite the fact that you are not a licensee (nor
>have you even attempted to become a licensee).

So...more of the same circular logic.

Heil is not legally, morally, or ethically authorized to limit, control,
or moderate anything about anyone...and he continues to act
ex-officio in that "capacity" and then feigns "concern" about
changes he, personally, does not like. :-)

>Your comments have been made. I've pointed out that no one is likely to
>adopt the views of a non-participant on how amateur radio should be.

Irrelevant. Heil is not a "participant" in anyone else's life, yet
he attempts to control others. He has no authority to do so.
If Heil does not like certain viewpoints, he has the freedom to
ignore them or simply overlook them. Unfortunately, he does
not and dwells or is preoccupied with denigrating comments
to those whose opinions differ from his.

>Yep. That was my point. You asked if I was the arbiter and I reflected
>your stuff back to you.

You have assumed such a role of arbiter. See the necessity
for "being a participant in order to become a participant" illogic
you have repeated. :-)

>You are not in the FCC, are not an authorized
>official appointed by them, nor do you have any special position over
>and above any other citizen. Why should I fold up my opinions and
>support yours?

"Your opinion" seems to concentrate on the exclusivity of US
regulations on getting into amateur radio ONLY applying to
to those already in amateur radio. Besides being illogical, it
demonstrates a control syndrome, a manifesting of the human
territorial imperative ("turf" in common vernacular) wherein only
certain individuals "rule." US radio regulations apply to ALL
US citizens whether they are in that radio service or not.

>One cannot be a participant without first "getting in".

...and one cannot get in without already being in? :-)

>Why should anyone then accept your views over mine? After all, I have
>nearly forty years experience as a radio amateur. You have,
>well...none.

I have well over forty years experience in radio...military, commercial,
government radio...largely as a professional. Heil's view is that this
is "not applicable" to anything involving amateur radio. Heil's view
is that no one can get into amateur radio without already being in
amateur radio. :-)

>Right. In your world, only others "demand". You "point out". You can
>declare regulation as "outmoded/outdated" with a wave of your magic
>wand.

Thousands upon thousands of "wands" have already been waved
by others. Once upon a time, a mere 90 years ago, the ONLY
practical means of radio communications was by on-off keying
mode for ALL radio services. Ninety years later there are only two
US radio services which require separate manual testing of morse
code proficiency and one of those does not require morse-tested
operator licenses for below-30-MHz communication privileges.
MOST of the radio services in US civil radio do NOT require ANY
morse code proficiency because it was never considered viable
for those radio services.

But, US amateur radio is "different." Despite one of the definitions
of US amateur radio saying it is for "improving the radio art," a
still-required test for a license having below-30-MHz privileges is
manual morse code proficiency. Yes, it is the consideration of
thousands upon thousands of both "participants" and "non-
participants" that morse code testing is both OUTDATED and
OUTMODED. Live with it.

1295. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 21:30:43 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021119163043.22730.00000609@mb-bg.aol.com>
Source record: 55479

In article <arbv3e$2ore$1@heap.juniper.net>, dennis@wawa.juniper.net (Dennis Ferguson) writes:

>Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:
>>dennis@wawa.juniper.net (Dennis Ferguson) writes:
>>>Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:
>>>> If anyone can find the registry of this Motor Vessel Oak, please
>>>> post it or a reference to where such evidence may be found.
>>>
>>>I don't think the Bahamas has their ship registry online, but the
>>>MV Oak has been to the Great Lakes and this is recorded online. See
>>>
>>> http://www.boatnerd.com/pictures/salty/saltynop.htm#o
>>
>> Agreed that it is on a private website listing as once having
>> been in the Great Lakes...one of many.
>>
>> A problem is that this is a non-official website and there is no
>> indication of WHEN this MV Oak was there.
>
>Here's another site that shows a very attractive picture of the Oak in
>the Welland Canal in 1999:
>
> http://www.wellandcanal.ca/salties/o/oak/oak.htm
>
>This one isn't official either, but is independent unofficial confirmation
>of about the only thing that the ship's registry would tell you, i.e. the
>ship exists.

Fine. It still exists. That's not confirmation of the story.
The UK coast guard web statements are believable.

>Why would that be? I don't recall any report saying the ship was
>lost, only that it asked for assistance. This one says the ship
>was towed to Liverpool:
>
> http://www.geocities.com/~sandusky99/98jan9.htm

Thank you for the correction. Correction noted.

>Note that this one mentions that they also received a modern
>EPIRB broadcast, and quotes someone from the British Coast Guard
>saying that they hadn't had a Morse distress call in years, so I'm
>having difficulty seeing why this would have been made up to fool
>anyone about the current importance of Morse to maritime safety. What
>would be the point?

My only "point" was in the coincidences of when the event happened, principally on New Year's Eve and on the last day of worldwide agreed 500 KHz International Distress Frequency using International Morse Code. That incident was used as a prime example of morse code viability by PCTAs in here.

No one seems to have noted the date coincidences. New Year's Eve is a traditional time of merriment in any country using the "western" calendar system. Newspapers and wire services have low-ranking staffers on that night's duty and such journalists are prone to inventing stories at that time; this has been documented in personal biographies of prominent journalists and columnists (the late Jack Smith of L.A. Times for one such). That it happened on the night before the international cut-over to GMDSS seemed to be a "convenient" coincidence for the purposes of boosting amateur radio use of morse code.

Note the commentary in this newsgroup on other threads. Pointing out a remarkable time-date coincidence becomes a serio-comic diatribe of "conspiracy" according to some PCTAs. Exaggeration for emotional effect.

As far as GMDSS is concerned, the PCTA keep using a single derogatory statement against it from a retired mariner and avowed morseman, yet GMDSS was internationally conceived and implemented by the maritime community, based on testing and operation by non-morsemen. No comment on that. If it is about morse, the PCTA will exemplify a single statement by a morse-man at the exclusion of anything else.

1296. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 21:34:53 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <areaq0$p9a$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55482

Shut up Lloyd before someone steals your Cheerios again.

Dan/W4NTI

"R-75 MAN" <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com> wrote in message
news:3dda85d3_4@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:arbrc2$a1q$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Thats as bad as the SSTV goof that didn't respond when I asked if the
freq
> > was in use. I then proceeded to work a good ten stations. Then he
comes
> on
> > top of me with SSTV and bitches because Im on 14.233.
> >
> > Instead of getting into a 'p' contest I left. I took Rileys advise.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> Then why did'nt you get your ass off the SSTV freq?
>
>
>

1297. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 21:42:12 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <areavl01hto@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55488

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ardmck$4j8$6@208.206.142.91...
> > > > And Carl took over a year to pass the Extra, after he got his Free
> > Upgrade. And
> > > > > Carl is the 1 that had all these new Wonderful Modes that he was
going
> > to bring
> > > > > to Ham Radio once he got his handout License.
> > >
> > >
> > > And we haven't heard squat from him since.
> >
> > Why should he be heard from? Most of his postings were made before the
> > restructuring in an effort to change the status quo and build support
for
> > what he believed in. After his objective was achieved, there was no
longer
> > any need for him to try to change the minds of people that are stuck in
the
> > past.
>
> Unhuh. So what's your excuse?

Excuse for what? (Like you really care)

1298. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 21:51:23 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <arebgs01iuk@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55489

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ardmaj$4j8$5@208.206.142.91...
>
>
> Krell wrote:
> >
> > "Clay N4AOX" <wyn@att.net> wrote in message
> > news:AQiC9.13903$vM1.1026171@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> > >
> > > "Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:arc4mo01uus@enews3.newsguy.com...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Then go to google.com and post their words to that effect.
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > > Sorry, it is bad karma to dig up the past postings of those creeps.
> > >
> > > 73,
> > > Clay N4AOX
> >
> > I guess you're afraid that you'll be proved to be a liar.
>
>
>No but you're proved a fool for making such an assertion instead of
> looking it up for yourself. Google has it all, but you've got to get off
> your ass and do some searching-just like you had to get off your ass if
> you ever learned the Morse code. But you don't *really* want to know.
> Same difference.

I don't have anything to prove. Clay made the original statement about the
"NCI creeps" promising a massive increase in the number of hams, so the
burden of proof is on him.

1299. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 21:54:01 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <ZpyC9.7294$%32.679637@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 55485

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote

: Perhaps you can work out a system where the only ones who
: can get into US amateur radio are those who are already in US
: amateur radio. Same logic. :-)

You are completely free to join us on 40M. Just take action as described in
97.501.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
RadioSport Minnesota http://www.W0AA.org W0AA
DX Minnesota http://www.TCDXA.org W0TDX
Public Service Minnesota http://www.TCFMC.org W0EF

1300. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 21:57:21 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <arebs301jin@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55492

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0211190401.298960af@posting.google.com...
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<arc9q8$c87$0@208.207.71.163>...
> > WA8ULX wrote:
> > >
> > > >He's a miserable excuse... of a man, of a ham, of a CBer.
> > > >
> > >
> > > An Dummy Brian still cant pass the Extra, I thought it was the CW that
was the
> > > problem.
> >
> >
> > No, it has always been Brian that was the problem.
>
> Dick, its interesting how you and Bruce have buddied up. Any port in a
storm.

The way that Bruce keeps making homsexual comments it doesn't surprise me.
Maybe they're "special friends" with each other.

1301. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 21:59:58 UTC
From: Clay N4AOX <wyn@att.net>
Message-ID: <yvyC9.15014$vM1.1108685@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 55486

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ardmaj$4j8$5@208.206.142.91...
> No but you're proved a fool for making such an assertion instead of
> looking it up for yourself. Google has it all, but you've got to get off
> your ass and do some searching-just like you had to get off your ass if
> you ever learned the Morse code. But you don't *really* want to know.
> Same difference.

Gee Dick, you have erupted with some pretty serious politically incorrect
stuff here. It appears you share some views with Joe Walsh, WB6ACU
"Ordinary, Average Ham (and Rock Legend)". Did you catch his interview in
the November 2002 CQ Mag? This is a very interesting story, but too spicy
for QST, I'm sure. In fact, I am surprised that W5YI allowed it to be
published. I'll bet Richard and Gail get some heat over this!
".................
<lots of good stuff snipped>
CQ: When did you upgrade to Extra class?
JW: Not too long ago--about a year ago. I wanted to get it before they
changed all the rules. I wanted to take the actual exam and do the code.
CQ: How do you feel about the demise of Morse code ....and the
now-minimal requirements for amateur radio licensing?
JW: Oh, I think it is obsolete and [its demise] was
inevitable..............I think any amateur who's worth a damn knows Morse
code. I don't have much use for people who don't even attempt to learn
it........
<lots more good stuff snipped>.."

So shame on you. You're obviously a demented Luddite in the extreme fringes
of Amateur Radio.;-)

73,
Clay N4AOX

1302. Re: Is there a radio band for these requirements?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 22:10:59 UTC
From: Tom Sevart <n2uhcNOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <arecsi$h7vke$1@ID-52518.news.dfncis.de>
Source record: 55491
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Mon-452" <dscmon452@dititaldscmon452.com> wrote in message
news:are2do$761$0@pita.alt.net...
> The 5 & 6 mHz bands are alive with militia activity, also
> some 7 mHz activity too. 5550, 6660, many others.
>

6990 LSB 0200Z.

Tom

1303. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 23:26:30 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021119182630.00406.00005854@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 55493

>Subject: Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/19/02 3:30 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021119163039.22730.00000606@mb-bg.aol.com>

>Feel free to go over to a semi-private venue to "discuss" anything.
> Perhaps you can work out a system where the only ones who
> can get into US amateur radio are those who are already in US
> amateur radio. Same logic.

You have been invited to participate via the stations of more than one
person here, and you ahve no license.

Furtehrmore, the "venue" of the radiowaves is much closer to "public" than
"private" viv-a-vis the Internet when one considers what it costs to get to the
point of being able to access the internet.

A few days study and $300 well-spent dollars will put a person on the air.
It takes that $300 twice over, a subscription to an ISP and a telephone line
(at $30/mo service charge) to get to this forum.

Amateur Radio is far more "public" than "private".

Wanna join?

Steve, K4YZ

1304. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 23:30:32 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021119183032.20043.00000106@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 55494

>The way that Bruce keeps making homsexual comments it doesn't surprise me.

Are you a HOMO Krell? I got a felling you and Brian might be lovers.

1305. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 23:35:35 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021119183535.00406.00005855@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 55495

>Subject: Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/19/02 3:30 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021119163043.22730.00000609@mb-bg.aol.com>

>My only "point" was in the coincidences of when the event
> happened...(SNIP)

(Laughing aggressively at Lennie's strawgrasping...)

Would you NOT answer an SOS, in ANY mode on December 31st ?...or April 1st...? Or February 14th...? Or october 31st?

What days ARE credible to receive a distress call on? Would you ignore a call on April 12th?

>No one seems to have noted the date coincidences.

>No one seems to have noted the date coincidences. New Year's
> Eve is a traditional time of merriment in any country using the
> "western" calendar system.

I'll remember to put out an alert to all users of the Julian calander to have all vessles put in to port on December 30th this year to avoid any confusion.

>Newspapers and wire services have
> low-ranking staffers on that night's duty and such journalists are
> prone to inventing stories at that time; this has been documented
> in personal biographies of prominent journalists and columnists
> (the late Jack Smith of L.A. Times for one such).

He's from LA, Lennie...there was the problem.

>Note the commentary in this newsgroup on other threads. Pointing
> out a remarkable time-date coincidence becomes a serio-comic
> diatribe of "conspiracy" according to some PCTAs. Exaggeration
> for emotional effect.

Huh....?!?!

Lennie, when I have had a stressful day or need to deaprt reality for a bit, I read your "stuff" and am amazed that you are not yet institutionalized. Whew...

Thanks for the laughs, though..

Steve

1306. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 23:46:40 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021119184640.00406.00005858@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 55496

>Subject: Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/19/02 3:30 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021119163041.22730.00000607@mb-bg.aol.com>

>In article <3DD992EA.BC391660@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>writes:

>>I wasn't writing of a law or regulation. I was writing of a fellow who
>>is not a radio amateur but who is obsessed in an overly-personal way,
>>with the regulaton of amateur radio in the United States.
>
> I'm just writing about the US radio regulations covering GETTING
> INTO US amateur radio.
>
> That does not relate directly to those ALREADY IN US amateur
> radio, does it?

YES, it DOES, Lennie....When those new licensess will be sharing the same
spectrum as I, it sure DOES "directly relate" to me!

>Heil is already IN
> US amateur radio and regulations concerning getting INTO US
> amateur radio do not apply directly to him

YES, they DO.

>It would seem that Heil doesn't accept ANYTHING other than his
> own views.

If YOU were paying attention you'd know better...However I feel you
already do....

>>I don't live in California. I'm not a Democrat and I'm not a liberal.
>
> Irrelevant. Geo-political situations are not of concern in this
> "discussion."

Sure they are. With little exception, one can almost always count on
California to represent the bizzare and obtuse.

>>I have decades more experience as a radio amateur than you.
>
> Irrelevant again.

No...Only irrelevent to YOU. However YOU are irrelevent to Amateur Radio!

'nite, Lennie!

1307. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-19 23:48:07 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021119184807.00406.00005859@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 55497

>Subject: Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/19/02 3:30 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021119163042.22730.00000608@mb-bg.aol.com>

>>Straw man alert! a) You do not determine what regs are outdated and
>>outmoded.
>
> I and others have long since determined that morse code testing,
> as well as the mode itself, are outdated and outmoded

WHOA! End of discussion! LENNIE has decided what's outmoded for us now!

Geee! Glad he made up our minds for us!

(snivveling putz)

1308. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 00:48:02 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DDADBFC.24A016A3@earthlink.net>
Source record: 55498

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <f45722ac.0211190359.16e5b2b7@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >> Then your attempt at misdirection would be irrelevant.
> >>
> >> Dave K8MN
> >
> >CSCEs are irrelevant?
>
> Anything contrary to Heil's opinions/messages/statements
> is "irrelevant." :-)

I have to ask, Len. Is this another example of you taking the high road
and only debating removal of morse testing in a civil manner? :)

Aren't you the fellow who frequently dismisses the comments of others
with a brusque "Irrelevant"?

Dave K8MN

1309. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 00:55:26 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DDADD6E.6CD00616@earthlink.net>
Source record: 55499

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <20021118203800.27509.00000356@mb-cb.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> writes:
>
> >Ask yourself this question: Why do you (or anyone) respond to Mr. Anderson at
> >all? It's abundantly clear that he is not here for civil discussion about
> >amateur radio policy issues.
>
> If you wish a definition of "civility" in discussion about entering US
> amateur radio as being total, absolute, irrevocable biased towards
> your own personal desires, wishes, opinions, and parroting what
> a membership organization has led you to believe, then your
> question is correct as stated.

I think he meant that you are short on "civil". The rest of your less
than factual bleatings are discounted.

> Unfortunately, such a definition is not a definition but rather a
> declaration.

Pardon me. Are you writing of Jim's or yours?

> A rather biased, totalitarian declaration of "do it your
> way." Shrug.

Why so stingy with the verbs, Len?

> >7037 CW 9 PM EST Wednesdays
>
> Feel free to go over to a semi-private venue to "discuss" anything.
> Perhaps you can work out a system where the only ones who
> can get into US amateur radio are those who are already in US
> amateur radio. Same logic. :-)

Maybe you didn't get the word. Amateur radio is open to all and even
the unlicensed may listen. For some of the unlicensed, listening would
be a new experience.

Dave K8MN

1310. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 01:37:29 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021119203729.14881.00000074@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 55500

In article <20021119154258.00202.00001436@mb-md.aol.com>, wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) writes:

>>Told us all how he got
>>100% on the Extra exam (just like Bruce).
>>
>
>Bruce Did, Carl probably just slightly passed it, after who knows how many
>trys.
>
Carl says he got 100% correct on the first try. Why should anyone doubt that? I'd be surprised if he didn't get 100%.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1311. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 01:38:40 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDAE7A0.9FA1609A@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55518

Newbie Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3DDA96DD.B4142129@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
> writes:
>
> >There you're wrong again dickieboy, I hold an Advanced class, you
> >see I don't feel it necessary to get an Extra just to feel
> >superior as you and newbie Larry do. I never said in *any* way
> >that I was an Extra. Why would you are newbie Larry even ask what
> >class license I hold if this eqo status thing wasn't so important
> >to you?
>
> I'm going to come right out and say why. I think anyone who could
> be a ham for over 40 years and NOT be an Extra is proving precisely
> how lazy and unmotivated he is. Getting my Extra was probably the
> easiest thing I've done in my entire life -- and I got mine in the
> Pre-Restructuring, testing-before-the-FCC-examiner Era. It took me
> 16 months to go from nothing to Extra -- after having spent the
> previous 14 years whining about the code testing requirements!

Well goodie for you but there you go with this status thing again
newbie larryboy. Just what is so important about having an Extra
class license? I have no need for an Extra class as I have access
to all the frequencies that I need with the Advanced, unlike you,
a newbie, and dickieboy I don't need it for status to make myself
feel somehow important.
I had a long time friend that was a blind ham, if he were alive
today he would have been a ham for 70 years this year. Bill was
the hams ham, he taught Novice classes, participated in RACES,
worked DX, fixed his own ham gear, climbed his tower to install
antennas and much more. He never belittled anyone, especially
about some silly class of license, he was a real gentleman that
make you and dickieboy look like snot nosed school yard bullies.
He held one of those 'piddling little Advanced, class' license you
hold in such disrespect, was a General class for many years. Even
though he could copy 35-40 wpm with no problem, remembering it all
without writing it down, and could have probably aced the Extra.
So even with your Extra class status you could not have stood in
his shadow with his 'piddling little Advanced class license'.
I am glad that in my ham career most of the hams I have known come
closer to being like my friend Bill than such as the likes of
newbie you and dickieboy. Thank goodness there are more like that
than like you.
Too bad you and dickieboy have such pathetic lives that you cannot

accept people for what they are and see their potential, instead
of what you think they should be, which of course is never up to
your lofty false standards.

>
> I'm not necessarily saying that you need an Extra-class license to
> be a full-fledged ham,

Really? It would be hard to tell from the things you post on the
group.
What do you mean by 'not necessarily', you don't think that any
one who holds a ham ticket to be a full-fledged ham.

> but if you're going to be telling other hams
> what kind of hams they are, you'd better be one if THEY are!

> You
> and your piddling little Advanced class ticket don't have a leg to
> stand on to tell Dick and me what kind of hams we are!

Piddling little Advance class eh, see there you go with this
status and ego thing again you can only make yourself feel good by
belittling others. See newbie, you think that any ham that is not
an Extra and operates cw is just not as superior as your are. Your
are so hung up on your status you really look silly, newbie.
As far as telling someone what kind of ham they are, just holding
an Extra class ticket certainly does not prevent one from being a
jackass and a twit, you and dickieboy are positive proof of that.

1312. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 01:46:18 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021119204618.26026.00000076@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 55501

>Carl says he got 100% correct on the first try. Why should anyone doubt that?
>I'd be surprised if he didn't get 100%.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

I would be, Carl has already proven his ability to lie, besides why would it take him over a year to upgrade?

1313. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 02:04:03 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <areqij$8n1$0@208.207.71.157>
Source record: 55502

Brian wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<arcjes$1nb$0@208.206.142.72>...
> > JJ wrote:
> > >
> > > > Are you an Extra? If so, when did you get it? What is your current
> > > > code speed?
> > >
> > > See, there is that status thing again. So what does my license
> > > class or my code speed have to do with it? You base everything on
> > > obtaining some kind of status. You must have a really pathetic
> > > life. You can only build yourself up by attempting to look down at
> > > others.
> >
> > Well, there you have it. Why should he 'look down' on you or anyone
> > else? Could it be because you 'got yours' via the cheap and easy route?
> > By implication that's exactly what you have just said- that he is
> > 'looking down' on you, therefore there must be a reason. That's it.
>
> Then there are those who went the superfluous and irrelevant route.
>
> I call them the "How High?" (should I jump, and I want you to jump, too) crowd.
>
> That's it.

From a dude that prefers to sit outside in the cold on his butt whining
about requirements which were and are essentially trivial. Just because
he thinks he knows more about necessaries than anyone else. YOU'RE it.

1314. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 02:08:04 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <areqjb07en@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55508

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021119183032.20043.00000106@mb-dh.aol.com...
> >The way that Bruce keeps making homsexual comments it doesn't surprise
me.
>
> Are you a HOMO Krell? I got a felling you and Brian might be lovers.

Nope. I'm straight and happily married, thank you very much. However, a
check with some of your aquaintances in the W8AL and W8NP radio clubs
reveals quite some interesting stuff about you...

...and it's too bad K8JZN is a silent key. It would be interesting to see
what he had to say about you.

1315. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 02:19:02 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021119211902.23768.00001706@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 55503

In article <c2356669.0211191225.517affd4@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) writes:

>Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message >news:<arc9t8$c87$1@208.207.71.163>...
>> WA8ULX wrote:
>> >
>> > >Oh, do you want a roll call? Let's see.... Bruce Perens, Carl >Stevenson,
>> >
>> > And Carl took over a year to pass the Extra, after he got his Free >Upgrade. > >And
>> > Carl is the 1 that had all these new Wonderful Modes that he was going to >bring
>> > to Ham Radio once he got his handout License.
>
>Carl told us again and again that amateur radio "needed to be dragged >into the 21st century" and that "better modes and modulations" were >the solution to all our problems. I recall clearly a debate about how >DSSS could allegedly be used on our HF ham bands without serious >problems - even though it was shown clearly that a single QRP DSSS >station would raise everyone's noise floor for miles around.

Not a good "recall." Spread-spectrum does not involve just direct-sequencing. It can frequency hop. The US military does this in the standard small-unit radio for all branches, operational for over 13 years now. With a power output adjustment it is "QRP" capable. :-)

In about two years of past posting, "a single QRP DSSS station would raise noise floors" only under certain conditions, but that would only bother the narrowbanders using older modes and modulations.

>He said we should be building a sort of wireless internet system on >the ham bands, with gateways to the wired internet, and that this >would bring all sorts of folks to ham radio. When asked how the >interconnections would filter out commercial and porno-type content, >he had a few vague ideas but nothing practical.

Sorry, but you misdirect greatly there. "Internet-like" was meant ONLY in the TCP/IP *type* of coding. It was never meant as a carbon-copy of present day Internet as you claim above.

>We got all sorts of lectures about how hams needed to get some eval >boards and start homebrewing the next generation of digital radio

>communications, DSP, PICs, PLGAs and lots of other stuff. Some folks
>actually got lectured on being too cheap and/or lazy to have "real
>jobs" and elaborate basement workshops.

Some examples were presented on actually building and
experimenting with new hardware and software. That's hardly
"lecturing" is it? Do you want to keep US amateur radio on a
two-transistor "Tuna Tin" technology diet in this new
millennium?

>Also a grab-bag of epithets like "olde fartz", "luddites" and "FUD".
>Popular amateur pursuits like contesting and DXing were putdown by
>Carl as "electronic paintball wars" and our regulations as "dinosaur
>dung encrusted".

...and the PCTA and pro-code side is "blameless" and "never calls
the other side names?!?" They most certainly do and did, well
before NPRM 98-143 was made public by the FCC.

>Vanity callsigns and such were "juvenile" and
>"elitist" - he'd stick with his 2x3 forever. "No setasides for legacy
>modes" was another slogan, fighting the idea of setting aside 300 kHz
>on the low end of 2 meters for weaksignal/narrowband modes.

You seem to be making a BIG THING out of a few mentions,
exhibiting overmuch spite about PAST newsgroup content.

>Now, there's nothing wrong with chasing DX, getting the countries
>total up, working SSB, using manufactured gear or vanity calls. He
>sure sounded like he was having lots of fun, and that's a good thing.
>But it sure was weird reading all that stuff after years and years of
>long, angry, abusive lectures about how we hams must do just the
>opposite.

It's still "very weird" reading Mr. N2EY's brag about "only using
own-designed and built" ham rigs and then finding him praising
a KIT that he assembled, designed by others, parts fabricated
by others. Yup, yup, he ONLY works the bands with rigs he
designed himself. :-)

If you have some sort of "dispute" with others NOT in this chatroom,
try taking it up with them directly, in another venue. Keeping up
this spiteful sort of wailing about the past and your poor, injured
sensibilities from message injury is a tiresome thing to see.

The future begins right after now. It cannot be accessed in Google.

1316. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 02:19:59 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021119211959.28026.00001474@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 55504

In article <IJuC9.6934$%32.651329@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:

>
>You obviously have a P1SS P00R receiver.

Hans:

Yeah, I used to own a receiver designed by P one SS and PO zero R myself. Dang thing had no selectivity whatsoever.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1317. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 02:37:30 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021119213730.28561.00001749@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 55505

In article <3DDA96DD.B4142129@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
writes:

>There you're wrong again dickieboy, I hold an Advanced class, you
>see I don't feel it necessary to get an Extra just to feel
>superior as you and newbie Larry do. I never said in *any* way
>that I was an Extra. Why would you are newbie Larry even ask what
>class license I hold if this eqo status thing wasn't so important
>to you?

I'm going to come right out and say why. I think anyone who could
be a ham for over 40 years and NOT be an Extra is proving precisely
how lazy and unmotivated he is. Getting my Extra was probably the
easiest thing I've done in my entire life -- and I got mine in the
Pre-Restructuring, testing-before-the-FCC-examiner Era. It took me
16 months to go from nothing to Extra -- after having spent the
previous 14 years whining about the code testing requirements!

I'm not necessarily saying that you need an Extra-class license to
be a full-fledged ham, but if you're going to be telling other hams
what kind of hams they are, you'd better be one if THEY are! You
and your piddling little Advanced class ticket don't have a leg to
stand on to tell Dick and me what kind of hams we are!

73 de Larry, K3LT

1318. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 02:39:15 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aresn3$s6m$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55506

"Clay N4AOX" <wyn@att.net> wrote in message
news:30gC9.13645$vM1.1002473@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> "N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:c2356669.0211181016.c63de50@posting.google.com...
>
> > Promised by whom?
>
> Oh, do you want a roll call? Let's see.... Bruce Perens, Carl Stevenson,
> Bill Sohl, Gary Coffman, and all the other NCI creeps... shall we
continue?
> Clay N4AOX

BULL!

I defy anyone to find any reference where I ever made such a claim.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1319. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 02:42:31 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <aresqn$f04$0@208.207.71.157>
Source record: 55507

Bert Craig wrote:
>

> > > I'd like to think there's room for all of us on AR, contesters,
> ragchewers,
> > > etc...
> >
> >
> > Sure there is. If I don't feel like participating, I go to 30 meters.
> > On CW. :-)
>
> ...where 200 watts goes a looooooong way. :-)
>
> Hi Dick, how've you been?
>

Hey Bert, 'deed it does go a long way. You hear lots of real QRP there,
too.

Getting on fine here, how's hamming these days?

Did you see the new QRP CW transceiver from Dave Benson at Small Wonder
Labs called the Rock Mite? He also has a new 10 watt PEP SSB (a nd of
course digital) rig in the works. He's making them for a developing
Asian country and wants comments as to whether or not there'd be a
market for it to ham radio. I've already told him YES! Surf on over to

<http://www.smallwonderlabs.com>

and check all this out, and email him your comments. He's a fine fella
and a large part of what keeps this hobby moving. A skillful high-tech
engineer, he is a supporter and proponent of CW, as can be seen by his
products. I have no idea whether he is for or against code testing, I
didn't ask and couldn't care less. I gotta save that for all the heavy
duty blametossing bitchers like Brain Burke.

Dick

1320. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 02:55:28 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <areued$q10$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55510

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021119213730.28561.00001749@mb-mv.aol.com...
> In article <3DDA96DD.B4142129@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
> writes:
>
> >There you're wrong again dickieboy, I hold an Advanced class, you
> >see I don't feel it necessary to get an Extra just to feel
> >superior as you and newbie Larry do. I never said in *any* way
> >that I was an Extra. Why would you are newbie Larry even ask what
> >class license I hold if this eqo status thing wasn't so important
> >to you?
>
> I'm going to come right out and say why. I think anyone who could
> be a ham for over 40 years and NOT be an Extra is proving precisely
> how lazy and unmotivated he is.

Talk about unadulterated garbage. Just what makes you
the arbiter of someone being lazy because they decided
to NOT pursue an Extra...or an Advanced..or whatever.

YOU are entitled to your narrowminded opinion, but
that's it. Others such as myself will call you on that
opinion.

> Getting my Extra was probably the
> easiest thing I've done in my entire life -- and I got mine in the
> Pre-Restructuring, testing-before-the-FCC-examiner Era. It took me
> 16 months to go from nothing to Extra -- after having spent the
> previous 14 years whining about the code testing requirements!

Wonerful, wonerful...you get a gold star.

> I'm not necessarily saying that you need an Extra-class license to
> be a full-fledged ham, but if you're going to be telling other hams
> what kind of hams they are, you'd better be one if THEY are! You
> and your piddling little Advanced class ticket don't have a leg to
> stand on to tell Dick and me what kind of hams we are!

It's not telling you what kind of ham YOU are, its you telling
all other hams what you think of them.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1321. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 02:55:42 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021119215542.21124.00006578@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 55509

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/19/02 8:19 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021119211902.23768.00001706@mb-md.aol.com>

>>Vanity callsigns and such were "juvenile" and
>>"elitist" - he'd stick with his 2x3 forever. "No setasides for legacy
>>modes" was another slogan, fighting the idea of setting aside 300 kHz
>>on the low end of 2 meters for weaksignal/narrowband modes.
>
> You seem to be making a BIG THING out of a few mentions,
> exhibiting overmuch spite about PAST newsgroup content.

Pot/kettle/black

Lennie, WHY do you ALWAYS manage to rub your nose in your own "business"...?!?!

If anyone, other than me, can make great hay out of "past newsgroup content" it's YOU!

In tha last 24 hours you've done the very same thing no less than seven times.

And the items Jim cited were more than a few "mentions". Carl was PROLIFIC in his asssertions of digital salvation.

Now...if you have nothing of interest to bring to the forum, move along, Little Putzy, move along.

>The future begins right after now. It cannot be accessed in Google.

And "we" already KNOW what the future holds for Lennie the Licenseless....NOTHING.

1322. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 02:58:08 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <areuee$q10$2@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55511

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0211191006.61a9bbbb@posting.google.com...
> "Clay N4AOX" <wyn@att.net> wrote in message
news:<30gC9.13645$vM1.1002473@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> > "N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:c2356669.0211181016.c63de50@posting.google.com...
> >
> > > Promised by whom?
> >
> > Oh, do you want a roll call? Let's see.... Bruce Perens, Carl
Stevenson,
> > Bill Sohl...
>
> I cannot recall a single post by Bill Sohl, K2UNK, promising any sort
> of extra growth, new technology, or other pie-in-the-sky benefit from
> reducing or eliminating code testing. Nor can I recall any instances
> of him saying that procodetest folks were "luddites", "nazis", "stuck
> in the past", "jackbooted thugs", "elitist" or had a "I had to do it
> so you have to do it too" attitude.
>
> Not one, and I've read many, many of them.
>
> Bill's anticodetest argument is simple and elegant, and boils down to
> a few basic concepts (remember, these are Bill's arguments, NOT mine):
>
> 1) All laws and government rules and regulations must constantly
> re-justify their existence by showing that bad things would happen
> without said laws and government rules/regs.
>
> 2) The justifications for code testing (in his opinion) have decreased
> to the point that they no longer support the existence of the tests.
>
> 3) Thus, code testing should be reduced as much as possible under
> existing constraints (S25.5), and eliminated if/when those constraints
> disappear.
>
> Simple, concise and clear. And it all comes down to an OPINION
> question. I disagree comnpletely with Bill's opinion, but I respect
> him nonetheless.
>
> Other folks may have made all sorts of wild claims, promises and
> predictions re code testing and its abolition, but not K2UNK. Not that
> I've ever seen, anyway.
>

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Thanks Jim, you saved me typing it again.
We have agreed on many points to disagree and
discuss, argue. debate in a rational fashion.
I too repect you for your views and commitment.

Thanks again.

Bill K2UNK

1323. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 03:13:39 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211191913.6567b49a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55512

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021118171452.21134.00005868@mb-fx.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: The Future of Packet Radio
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 11/18/02 3:11 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <20021118161121.14856.00000591@mb-mu.aol.com>

> >The entire 22-year article collection of Ham Radio Magazine is
> > available on a three-CD-ROM set ($150) from either CQ or ARRL
>
> I understand I can get reprints of Hustler and Playboy on CD-ROM
> too...Does that mean that all of those 18 and 19 year old girls in them are
> still 18 or 19?

I dunno. Send them to Bruce Without Error, as he's the
self-proclaimed RRAP expert on those.

1324. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 03:21:33 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211191921.247d9ea9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55513

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021119120221.00238.00001542@mb-md.aol.com>...
> >It took me 17 minutes to pass the Extra written test on qrz.com, but half
> >of that was waiting for web pages to load, so it could be done in 8 mins
> >with paper or a faster connection. But I guesstimated all the calculations
> >in my head. If it was for real I probably would have tried to be more
> >acccurate. I think that someone who hadn't already passed it would take
> >longer for that reason, so that makes it all rather artificial. N3KIP
>
> Yes its very easy to do in 8 minutes, I even handed him back the Blank sheet
> of paper, I didnt need the paper, it was that easy. I didnt worry about being
> accurate, because it wasnt my goal to get 100% on the Extra. My goal was to
> collect the $250.00. And I knew I could pass it. What I should have done was
> shoot for 5 Minutes, but at the time I wasnt trying for speed.

They say if you're going to tell one, to tell a big one. Next time
say you did it in under a minute, and the prize was $1,000, still
without studying and still without error. It really doesn't matter at
this point.

1325. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 03:26:22 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211191926.5199b787@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55515

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20021119163039.22730.00000605@mb-bg.aol.com>...
> In article <f45722ac.0211190359.16e5b2b7@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >> Then your attempt at misdirection would be irrelevant.
> >>
> >> Dave K8MN
> >
> >CSCEs are irrelevant?
>
> Anything contrary to Heil's opinions/messages/statements
> is "irrelevant." :-)

Yep, someday when the FCC changes the rules again we might not be
scrambling to recover our Morse Code CSCE, we might be scrambling to
recover which version of the Extra we passed.

Yeh, CSCEs are irrelevant. So is the Section News, apparently.

1326. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 03:29:14 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211191929.56bee94@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55516

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021118193108.10431.00000137@mb-cg.aol.com>...
> >Don't forget Farnsworth. Not even CB Bruce could pass the Farnsworth exam.
> >
>
> Lets see, its been over a year and Dummy Brian still cant pass the Extra.

Bruce Without Error seized upon NCI victory to get his Extra.

Everyone gave Cecil a hard time, but Bruce Without Error gets a pass.

What's up with that?

1327. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 03:30:52 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211191930.353ce61@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55517

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:<ardb6d0qrc@enews1.newsguy.com>...
> > > >Oh, I agree 100%. Lets limit US involvement in amateur radio to
> 150,000
> > > >persons.
> ^^
> Isn't the above line already about where we are at? Consider the amount of
> ACTIVE licensees versus non-active or very infrequent usage in that
> answer.....

Dunno, I've never worked that many US Licensees during Field Day.

1328. Re: Only 41 shopping days.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 03:41:46 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211191941.7b184f5a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55519

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<ardlo9$4j8$0@208.206.142.91>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<arc86d$7fb$1@208.207.71.163>...
> > > Robert Casey wrote:
> > > >
> I don't think the VEs would
> > > > be allowed to show other people the actual completed and
> > > > scored test, for privacy issues.
> > >
> > > Unless there has been a change since I was an active VE they can tell
> > > you how many questions you missed but not which ones.
> >
> > I'll bet they'd show it to Riley, even his scratch sheet.
>
> I'll bet they send the entire test session to their VEC. SO?

I would hope SO.

1329. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 03:42:20 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021119224220.05625.00000119@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 55520

>Bruce Without Error seized upon NCI victory to get his Extra.
>

Brian after given a FREE upgrade still unable to pass the EXTRA after at least 1 Year. I guess hes still waiting for the next Free Give away. Brian who claims to have passed the written before still cant pass the Extra, which most people are passing in less than 10 Minutes with no studying.

1330. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 03:43:59 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021119224359.05625.00000120@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 55521

>Yep, someday when the FCC changes the rules again we might not be
>scrambling to recover our Morse Code CSCE, we might be scrambling to
>recover which version of the Extra we passed.

There it is folks, hes waiting for the next hand out.

1331. Re: Re Field Day (was Eight Minutes?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 03:44:33 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211191944.36aebc78@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55522

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021119093608.19574.00000100@mb-ba.aol.com>...
> >I recall Barracuda Rule #8: Get the other guy to do the work....
>
> Isn[']t that why we have CBplussers, mainly [to do the] grunt work, an[d] to get the
coffee.[?]

If they did all that, what would Bruce Without Error do?

1332. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 03:50:10 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021119225010.05625.00000121@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 55523

>They say if you're going to tell one, to tell a big one. Next time
>say you did it in under a minute, and the prize was $1,000, still
>without studying and still without error.

Yes Brian but you see that would lieing. So go ahead give us your reason for not being able to pass the EXTRA. Here let me help you, its outdated, Im never going to use the Info so why should I be made to take the Test. So brian tell us your reason why you shouldnt have to take the written.

1333. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 03:57:50 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021119225750.22850.00000577@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 55526

In article <ZpyC9.7294$%32.679637@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Ki¿½HB"
<KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> writes:

>"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote
>
>: Perhaps you can work out a system where the only ones who
>: can get into US amateur radio are those who are already in US
>: amateur radio. Same logic. :-)
>
>You are completely free to join us on 40M. Just take action as described in
>97.501.

You are completely free to not comment...or you can continue to
do the ascerbicity thing in this chatroom, er newsgroup, which is
license-free and open to anyone with an Internet connection. :-)

1334. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 03:57:51 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021119225751.22850.00000578@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 55525

In article <3DDADBFC.24A016A3@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <f45722ac.0211190359.16e5b2b7@posting.google.com>,
>> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>>
>> >> Then your attempt at misdirection would be irrelevant.
>> >>
>> >> Dave K8MN
>> >
>> >CSCEs are irrelevant?
>>
>> Anything contrary to Heil's opinions/messages/statements
>> is "irrelevant." :-)
>
>I have to ask, Len. Is this another example of you taking the high road
>and only debating removal of morse testing in a civil manner? :)
>
>Aren't you the fellow who frequently dismisses the comments of others
>with a brusque "Irrelevant"?

Something that does not pertain to the subject under discussion
is normally classified as "irrelevant." :-)

Oh, my, Heil is UPSET about brusqueness! Tsk, tsk, tsk. :-)

1335. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 03:57:53 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021119225753.05625.00000122@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 55524

>However, a
>check with some of your aquaintances in the W8AL and W8NP radio clubs
>reveals quite some interesting stuff about you...

How interesting, since I never belonged to either Club. Maybe you would like to the provide the Info, I would be more than happy to contact them to verify your Info.

>...and it's too bad K8JZN is a silent key. It would be interesting to see
>what he had to say about you.
>

Yes Bill was a great Man, knew him very well, and Im sure if Bill was still alive he would have nothing but good things to say.

1336. Re: Re Field Day (was Eight Minutes?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 04:01:53 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021119230153.24579.00000144@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 55527

>If they did all that, what would Bruce Without Error do?
>

Supervise them

1337. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 04:23:36 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <c7EC9.1972$4j5.1033285@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 55514

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:utj2dardebrt72@corp.supernews.com...
> Hans, I never thought of that. I've never had any use for contests, but
> your post got me to rethink that. If it provides something fun for the
> young crowd, I'm all for it.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>

I sometimes casually contest and once in awhile (Field Day and Sweepstakes)
participate fully. There a lot of things one can learn during the contest.
Here's some of the things that one might learn.

1. How to handle poor band conditions.
2. How to handle crowded band conditions.
3. How and when to use the fancy features on the radio. And why the radio
has them.
4. Personal experience of the unique propagation characteristics of each
band.
5. What the bands can do when propagation is good.

There's probably other things one can learn.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

1338. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 04:25:41 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <arf2s5$uq6$0@208.207.71.157>
Source record: 55528

JJ wrote:
>

< a ton of uninformed garbage which was mercifully snipped to relieve rrap of recycling it yet again<

JJ, you really should try to keep up. None of this would be going on if not for a few knarly dipschitz like you and Brain Burke who still bitch about the "requirements" and how they kept them from ham radio (what a load of garbage THAT is).

You have no clue to what my background is and how many NON extras I've brought into the hobby, so why not just admit it and stop trying to pretend?

1339. Re: REPOST: The no-code end game

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 04:50:46 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <GwEC9.7412$fY3.752768@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 55529

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote

:
: You don't have to pass an EXAM to code software.
:

You don't have to pass an EXAM to code Morse either.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Minnesota RadioSport http://www.W0AA.org
Minnesota DX http://www.TCDXA.org
Minnesota Public Service http://www.TCFMC.org

1340. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 04:51:40 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021119235140.28561.00001757@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 55530

In article <3DDAE7A0.9FA1609A@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
writes:

>> I'm going to come right out and say why. I think anyone who could
>> be a ham for over 40 years and NOT be an Extra is proving precisely
>> how lazy and unmotivated he is. Getting my Extra was probably the
>> easiest thing I've done in my entire life -- and I got mine in the
>> Pre-Restructuring, testing-before-the-FCC-examiner Era. It took me
>> 16 months to go from nothing to Extra -- after having spent the
>> previous 14 years whining about the code testing requirements!

SNIPPAGE

>I had a long time friend that was a blind ham,

MORE SNIPPAGE

JJ:

Brother! Well, every no-code whiner seems to have the same story
about a blind, deaf, lame, bald, HIV-positive, hangnail-infested,
dandruff-suffering ham friend who, in their own opinion, embodies
perfection in a ham radio operator for whatever reasons. I can relate
such stories myself, but I don't, because I don't need to fall back on
using others as examples to support my personal opinions. You,
however, are someone who definitely needs to do this.

>he was a real gentleman that
>make you and dickieboy look like snot nosed school yard bullies.

MORE SNIPPAGE

>Too bad you and dickieboy have such pathetic lives that you cannot
>accept people for what they are and see their potential, instead
>of what you think they should be, which of course is never up to
>your lofty false standards.

What makes you think that Dick and I lead "pathetic lives?" My life
is a very full and happy one, and I enjoy the hobby of ham radio
with many local hams who know me, and my opinions, very well
indeed -- but they don't have to call me names because of them!

>> I'm not necessarily saying that you need an Extra-class license to
>> be a full-fledged ham,
>
>Really? It would be hard to tell from the things you post on the
>group.

If you choose not to believe me, there's not much I can do about
that.

>What do you mean by 'not necessarily', you don't think that any
>one who holds a ham ticket to be a full-fledged ham.

Not necessarily. That statement stands on it's own, and anyone
with a fifth-grade reading comprehension level should be able to
figure it out.

>> but if you're going to be telling other hams
>> what kind of hams they are, you'd better be one if THEY are!
>
>> You
>> and your piddling little Advanced class ticket don't have a leg to
>> stand on to tell Dick and me what kind of hams we are!
>
>Piddling little Advance class eh, see there you go with this
>status and ego thing again you can only make yourself feel good by
>belittling others. See newbie, you think that any ham that is not
>an Extra and operates cw is just not as superior as your are. Your
>are so hung up on your status you really look silly, newbie.
>As far as telling someone what kind of ham they are, just holding
>an Extra class ticket certainly does not prevent one from being a
>jackass and a twit, you and dickieboy are positive proof of that.

You see, there is where you lose the argument. I don't recall ever
calling you names like "jackass" or "twit." However, you have no
problem slinging such mud at Dick and me. Face it, JJ, you're a
classic NCTA whiner, and when your arguments fail to gain any
traction, you resort to name calling. I rest my case.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1341. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 05:24:54 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DDB1CCD.76B4E468@earthlink.net>
Source record: 55531

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3DDADBFC.24A016A3@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <f45722ac.0211190359.16e5b2b7@posting.google.com>,
> >> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
> >>
> >> >> Then your attempt at misdirection would be irrelevant.
> >> >>
> >> >> Dave K8MN
> >> >
> >> >CSCEs are irrelevant?
> >>
> >> Anything contrary to Heil's opinions/messages/statements
> >> is "irrelevant." :-)
> >
> >I have to ask, Len. Is this another example of you taking the high road
> >and only debating removal of morse testing in a civil manner? :)
> >
> >Aren't you the fellow who frequently dismisses the comments of others
> >with a brusque "Irrelevant"?
>
> Something that does not pertain to the subject under discussion
> is normally classified as "irrelevant." :-)

...apparently only when the "something" is written by other than Leonard
H. Anderson.

> Oh, my, Heil is UPSET about brusqueness! Tsk, tsk, tsk. :-)

I said you were brusque. I did not write that I was upset. Whatsa
matter, old boy? Don't you have any response toward sticking to your
stated goals and methods?

Dave K8MN

1342. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 05:56:21 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DDB23E6.267F72B7@earthlink.net>
Source record: 55532

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3DD992EA.BC391660@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >> Being a "participant" in any radio service is not required by any
> >> law or regulation since the passage of the Communications Act
> >> of 1934. Being a "participant" does not qualify one more or less
> >> than any other citizen.
> >
> >I wasn't writing of a law or regulation. I was writing of a fellow who
> >is not a radio amateur but who is obsessed in an overly-personal way,
> >with the regulaton of amateur radio in the United States.
>
> I'm just writing about the US radio regulations covering GETTING
> INTO US amateur radio.

Overly-obsessed is overly-obsessed, Len no matter which end of the regs
interest you.

> That does not relate directly to those ALREADY IN US amateur
> radio, does it?

Yes, it most certainly does.

> Tsk, tsk, I point out some facts...which are seemingly opposite
> to Heil's viewpoints and suddenly such opposition becomes
> obsessive! :-)

Len, if my interests can be called "overly-obsessed" by you, you
interests can certainly seem "overly-obsessed" to me. You, after all,
were the individual who brought up the unsubstantiated term. For a guy
who claims to debate, you don't seem to know much about it.

> Isn't Heil rather obsessed with the preoccupation of declarations
> against certain individuals in this newsgroup who are not in
> agreement with him?

Yeah, but not overly so.

> >> No one in the FCC is required to be a "participant" in any radio
> >> service they regulate in the USA.
> >
> >Ah, but you aren't with the FCC and you don't regulate amateur radio.
> >If you don't regulate and you don't participate, what is any of it to
> >you?
>

> Heil is not in the FCC. Heil has NO legal, moral, or ethical
> "authority" over anyone else to challenge or control the motives
> of any other citizen of the USA as to what anyone, to any
> degree, is "interested" in anything. Yet Heil continues to dwell
> on "participation" as a prerequisite for ANY discussion of US
> amateur radio regulations. The preoccupation seems obsessive.

Yes, but I didn't bring up the issue of no one at FCC being required to be a ham. They regulate radio and are paid to do so. They don't have to be radio amateurs. On the other hand, I AM a radio amateur. You CLAIM a decades-old interest in amatuer radio but you've never quite gotten around to actually becoming a ham. That makes you quite a unique fellow.

> >You've commented. Now what? Am I supposed to embrace your views? The
> >FCC has to listen to you. I don't.
>
> Heil is free to overlook anything and not reply.

Heil is equally free not to overlook and to reply to his heart's content.

> Heil is already IN
> US amateur radio and regulations concerning getting INTO US
> amateur radio do not apply directly to him.

Incorrect. Every new individual who gets on the air has the potential to effect my amateur radio operations. By the way, "Heil" is already in amateur radio in Guinea-Bissau, Sierra Leone, Botswana, Tanzania and Finland--not just IN U.S. amateur radio.

> Heil seems obsessed
> with controlling the motives, intentions, interests of others to fit
> some predefined model of behavior. Why?

I don't control your motives. I suspect them.
I don't control your intentions. I counter them.
I don't control your interests. I disagree with them.

> The simplest act is
> simply to ignore any protests but Heil will not...he must continue
> to dwell on certain specifics.

"Heil" isn't bound to ignore your stuff. You're correct. Heil will not.
There's much in those specifics. Did you intend to just sweep 'em under the rug?

> The conclusion would be that Heil
> isn't really interested in discussing regulations that affect future
> US radio amateurs, only in preserving his own status, and, most
> of all, to attempt "winning" an argument any way he can.

That'd be another false conclusion you've come to there, Leonard old boy.

> >I don't know anyone who believes in other than what you've written
> >above.
> >Do you believe that those who regulate amateur radio in the U.S. are
> >involved in totalitarian rule for their own benefit? I don't.
>
> Heil's own commentary in message content is contrary to that
> disavowal.

How so? Where have I ever accused those regulating amateur radio of totalitarian rule for their own benefit? I asked you the question. I not that you did not provide an answer.

> >You're a loud mouth and a bully and you're attempting to tell us how
> >amateur radio should be in the United States. You don't own authority
> >and you aren't chief.
>
> It would seem that Heil doesn't accept ANYTHING other than his
> own views...all must accept his viewpoints and have "discussions"
> wherein they all agree with what he says...or they are accused of
> being "loud mouths, bullying, and attempting to tell us [Heil] what
> to do." QED. :-)

You mean that I won't change my views to those you hold. Right-o, Leonard!
I wasn't writing, by the way, about "others". I was writing about you in particular.

>
> >I don't live in California. I'm not a Democrat and I'm not a liberal.
>
> Irrelevant. Geo-political situations are not of concern in this
> "discussion."

Why not--because you've declared them out of bounds? I agreed with you, Len. I don't share your beliefs.

> >I have decades more experience as a radio amateur than you.
>
> Irrelevant again. US radio regulations' discussion or commentary
> do not concern "decades of experience" except for the fanciful
> notion that such long experience is a "qualifier."

It is as relevant as your snipped comments regarding your I've-got-more-experience-in-radio-and-electronics-than-you comment which preceded my response. It would seem to be more relevant in this amateur radio venue.

> On another irrelevant personal note, I have at least a decade or
> more in radio experience in both government and commercial
> radio than Heil. Shrug.

That's the kind of comment to which I reponded. I'll likely catch up at some point, Len.

> >I've never
> >ever attempted to tell someone who has experience in an avocation how
> >that avocation should be regulated or run when I am not a participant in
> >that avocation.
>
> Heil is a Janus, then. He has commented on the FCC's actions,
> rulings, declarations. The FCC is NOT a "participant" in US amateur
> radio yet it is THE agency regulating ALL of US civil radio.

Do me the favor of reading what I wrote. Now read what you wrote. Don't you feel like a fool when your rant doesn't reflect what was written?

> >Do you target people who are in agreement with you? You aren't involved
> >in amateur radio yet you want amateur radio to be shaped according to
> >your desires. If that isn't obsessing, I don't know what is.
>
> Heil does not realize what his own behavior is like to others.

Oh! Stop, Len! You're cracking me up!!!! You could do stand up.

> But, NOBODY can tell him what to do, can they? :-)

Just the missus since my retirement.

Dave K8MN

1343. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 06:36:52 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DDB2DA0.E7D4B22D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 55533

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3DD98F09.CCE43E00@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Straw man alert! a) You do not determine what regs are outdated and
> >outmoded.
>
> I and others have long since determined that morse code testing,
> as well as the mode itself, are outdated and outmoded.

You mean you were on a standards committee or something, Len?
Hoooooohawwww!

> If they were not outdated and outmoded, they would still be used
> in other radio services. They are not so used in relatively newer
> radio services which were created without thought of using morse
> code modes...or their use in a few radio services is limited to
> automatic ID or a few maritime installations. All except amateur
> radio service.

Amateur radio is not other radio services. Morse is still widely used
in amateur radio. This newsgroup is about amateur radio. This
newsgroup deals with amateur radio.

> >b) The regs are not now, nor have they been for a long, long time as
> >they were when I obtained licensing.
>
> Test element 1 remains in current US amateur radio regulations
> for licensing having below-30-MHz privileges. That is International
> Morse Code cognition. Same as back in 1912 and the first US
> radio regulating agency.

I'll write it again. Maybe you can have someone explain it to you: The
regs are not now, nor have they been for a long, long time as they were
when I obtained licensing.

> >How is my persistence any different than your persistence, Len? Your
> >statements are simply ridiculous.
>
> Views in opposition to Heil's are often called by him as "ridiculous."
> :-)

Yes, they are--especially when they are things like instituting a
minimum age requirement in amateur radio. Now, what I asked was: How is
my persistence any different than you persistence?

> >Yet I'll be in contact with those who obtain their licenses sans morse
> >testing. An unavoidable shift in skills and attitude will take place. I
> >have every right to concern over these issues.
>
> Everyone has the freedom to "be concerned." If Heil wishes to
> have law added to ease his "concern," he is free to write his
> elected government official and request legislative release from
> "concern" worry.

"Concern" worry? What in the world are you babbling about?

> >What outdated and outmoded regulations, Leonard--the ones which you
> >decide ar such?
>
> Myself and thousands upon thousands of others have come to
> an opinion that morse code testing is both outdated and outmoded.
> Heil has judged such opinions as not allowable for discussion by
> his commentary.

That must have been some standards committee meeting! When were you placed in charge of standards? Where are the invisible thousands of others? You've commented. The thousands of others will have to speak for themselves.

> >Since you are a non-participant, I'd say you've
> >overly-personalized and obsessed over amateur radio regulations which
> >have no bearing on you whatever.
>
> Heil doesn't understand that regulations on license testing will
> affect NON-participants more than participants.

Heil doesn't understand that because is isn't the case. Any change in the regs effects those already licensed as much as those who enter amateur radio in the future.

> By dwelling on
> the "only-participants-can-talk-about-it-others-shut-up" supposed
> qualifier, he demonstrates a clear desire to have regulations His
> way.

You are a non-participant. You've talked. I don't agree with you. I'd much rather have the regs my way than your way. Do you feel differently?

> He is already IN amateur radio so he thinks regulations
> concerning getting into amateur radio do not apply to "non-
> participants." Ergo, his notion is that no one can get into
> amateur radio unless they are already in amateur radio. :-)

Electro-grin discounted. I have not made such a statement.

> >I've been pointing out how silly some of your ideas seem to me. I love
> >the part about a minimum age for licensees. Any change in an avocation
> >effects all who partcipate. Those changes don't have anything to do
> >with non-participants.

>
> A reiteration of Heil's notion that one can only get into amateur
> radio unless they are already in amateur radio. "Non-participants"
> do not apply... :-)

I have not made such a statement. Care to produce a quote?

> >and YOU have decided to attempt, by whatever means to change U.S.
> >Amateur radio regs despite the fact that you are not a licensee (nor
> >have you even attempted to become a licensee).
>
> So...more of the same circular logic.

Well, if your statement was a falsehood the first and second times, it
is likely to be a falsehood this time.

> Heil is not legally, morally, or ethically authorized to limit, control,
> or moderate anything about anyone...and he continues to act
> ex-officio in that "capacity" and then feigns "concern" about
> changes he, personally, does not like. :-)

"Heil" is legally permitted to express his views and to think them
superior to anything you have come up with. My concern is not feigned.
My disagreement is not feigned. My laughter at your shennanigans is not
feigned. When you write of you and your few thousand followers, are you
addressing changes in amateur radio licensing which you personally want
to see made?

> >Your comments have been made. I've pointed out that no one is likely to
> >adopt the views of a non-participant on how amateur radio should be.
>
> Irrelevant. Heil is not a "participant" in anyone else's life, yet
> he attempts to control others.

Let stop right here for clarification. In what way am I attempting to
control you, Leonard?

> He has no authority to do so.
> If Heil does not like certain viewpoints, he has the freedom to
> ignore them or simply overlook them.

He also has the freedom not to overlook or ignore them. Look, Len are
you attempting to control me?

> Unfortunately, he does not

Unfortunate for you, I guess...

> and dwells or is preoccupied with denigrating comments
> to those whose opinions differ from his.

Am I "overly-preoccupied" or just "preoccupied"? Most folks don't see
any denigrating comments from me. It is usually the folks who
themselves make denigrating comments who are on the receiving end. Are

you one of those folks?

> >Yep. That was my point. You asked if I was the arbiter and I reflected
> >your stuff back to you.
>
> You have assumed such a role of arbiter. See the necessity
> for "being a participant in order to become a participant" illogic
> you have repeated. :-)

That's as untrue now as the first time you wrote it. I don't know if it
is a deliberate attempt at falsehood on your part but it would seem so.

> >You are not in the FCC, are not an authorized
> >official appointed by them, nor do you have any special position over
> >and above any other citizen. Why should I fold up my opinions and
> >support yours?
>
> "Your opinion" seems to concentrate on the exclusivity of US
> regulations on getting into amateur radio ONLY applying to
> to those already in amateur radio. Besides being illogical, it
> demonstrates a control syndrome, a manifesting of the human
> territorial imperative ("turf" in common vernacular) wherein only
> certain individuals "rule." US radio regulations apply to ALL
> US citizens whether they are in that radio service or not.

No, that has never been my opinion. I've pointed out that it is quite
peculiar for someone outside any avocation to attempt to change the
regulations for that avocation. The words you've used like
"overly-obsessed", "preoccupied" and such certainly apply to such a
person. How much of a control syndrome would it be for a person in no
way involved in amateur radio and who is not paid to regulate amateur
radio to lobby for a minimum age requirement in order to gain entry?
>
> >One cannot be a participant without first "getting in".
>
> ...and one cannot get in without already being in? :-)

Plenty of folks get in. YOU haven't gotten in.

>
> >Why should anyone then accept your views over mine? After all, I have
> >nearly forty years experience as a radio amateur. You have,
> >well...none.
>
> I have well over forty years experience in radio...military, commercial,
> government radio...largely as a professional.

Irrelevant (to use your method).

> Heil's view is that this
> is "not applicable" to anything involving amateur radio.

Nope. Heil's view is that it is not amateur radio.

> Heil's view
> is that no one can get into amateur radio without already being in
> amateur radio. :-)

The Big Lie technique? I've not said anything like that.

> >Right. In your world, only others "demand". You "point out". You can
> >declare regulation as "outmoded/outdated" with a wave of your magic
> >wand.
>
> Thousands upon thousands of "wands" have already been waved
> by others. Once upon a time, a mere 90 years ago, the ONLY
> practical means of radio communications was by on-off keying
> mode for ALL radio services. Ninety years later there are only two
> US radio services which require separate manual testing of morse
> code proficiency and one of those does not require morse-tested
> operator licenses for below-30-MHz communication privileges.
> MOST of the radio services in US civil radio do NOT require ANY
> morse code proficiency because it was never considered viable
> for those radio services.

Let's not worry about one of them. The only one we need concern
ourselves with here is the amateur service and what is viable and in
daily use in the amateur service.

> But, US amateur radio is "different." Despite one of the definitions
> of US amateur radio saying it is for "improving the radio art," a
> still-required test for a license having below-30-MHz privileges is
> manual morse code proficiency. Yes, it is the consideration of
> thousands upon thousands of both "participants" and "non-
> participants" that morse code testing is both OUTDATED and
> OUTMODED. Live with it.

You have a mouse in your pocket? Your invisible pals will have to speak
for themselves. Morse testing is not outdated or outmoded because you
say so and a minimum age requirement for amateur radio is an absurd idea
not championed by anyone but you. What are you, some kind of a control
freak?

Dave K8MN

1344. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 06:45:26 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DDB2FA6.3D6A2AB6@earthlink.net>
Source record: 55534

Len Over 21 wrote:

>
> No one seems to have noted the date coincidences. New Year's
> Eve is a traditional time of merriment in any country using the
> "western" calendar system. Newspapers and wire services have
> low-ranking staffers on that night's duty and such journalists are
> prone to inventing stories at that time; this has been documented
> in personal biographies of prominent journalists and columnists
> (the late Jack Smith of L.A. Times for one such). That it happened
> on the night before the international cut-over to GMDSS seemed
> to be a "convenient" coincidence for the purposes of boosting
> amateur radio use of morse code.

Not only were they drunken journalists--they were drunken, low-ranking,
code test supporting journalists!

> Note the commentary in this newsgroup on other threads. Pointing
> out a remarkable time-date coincidence becomes a serio-comic
> diatribe of "conspiracy" according to some PCTAs. Exaggeration
> for emotional effect.

Oh, we're not laughing AT you, Len. We're laughing WITH you ;-)

Dave K8MN

1345. How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 07:05:22 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <SuGC9.58739$P31.32144@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 55535

Hello, all.

I've been lurking in this group for the past month or so and have found some of the threads (and the opinions they espouse) to be interesting, to say the least.

I've a very new ham. I knew essentially nothing about this hobby 3 months ago. I just got my license on 10/2/02, and have not had much of a chance to really delve into anything ham-related yet. I've got a small handheld radio I bought for myself as a gift for passing the first exam. So far, I've mostly listened in to see how things work. I am planning on buying a multiband HF rig in the near future, after I pass the CW requirements.

I've done lots of reading about amateur radio in a very short amount of time, and I've been an avid lurker to the "pro code / no code" battles (not just here, but elsewhere, too). Given that I am so new to CW, I am officially staying neutral on that subject as of now. I am learning CW, but slowly. Working 50+ hour weeks and having other responsibilities kinda puts CW study low on the priority list. I have given myself a 6 month deadline, then I'll take the CW exam if I feel I'm ready at that point. Okay, enough of the background.

One thing that has me slightly dismayed since I started lurking here is this attitude that seems to pervade the contributions of *some* long-time amateur radio people (certainly not *all*) that today's licensing tests basically mean nothing, and that those who take them are necessarily inferior operators as a result of the "dumbed-down" requirements for passing today's licensing exams. I'd like to ask the folks that feel this way one non-rhetorical question. If you really believe that new hams are inferior to the established ones as a result of today's tests being less difficult than when you took them, is this the new ham's fault?

If we neophytes decide that we want to get a ticket, we are told we need to take an exam. So, we buy the books we are told to buy and we study (hopefully), we take the test and (hopefully) we pass it. While today's tests may seem laughably easy to some of you, they are the reality of what we new hams are given to work with- through no fault of our own. I was not privy to the wrangling that went on over at the FCC headquarters when licensing exams were being restructured, nor do I know who all asked for the restructuring in the first place.

At the time I took the Tech test, I had no knowledge that the previous entry-level exams for an amateur radio license were so much harder than the one I took. I still have a paper from the FCC that shows I hold an amateur radio license (50 MHz and above- for now). I have no sense of relativity when it comes to the test I took and the tests that you established amateurs

took so many years ago. Even if I *wanted* to take a harder test to upgrade my license, just to prove that I could pass such an exam, it wouldn't be given to me now... so why should it matter?

I decided to try amateur radio because some of my friends were into it, and I thought I'd see what they were so excited about. Turns out that I like it, yet from hearing the less-than-complementary things that some people have to say about us "No-Code Techs", you'd think that we really weren't wanted anywhere in the hobby. I really hope that this is not the opinion of most established hams. Many of us current newbies will go on to upgrade our licenses- but even then, we may be looked down upon by the old timers because we will take "dumbed-down" upgrade exams, too. How can we win?

I really hope that the majority of long-time radio amateurs don't believe that we newly-licensed radio amateurs are inferior just because the tests we took to get our tickets may not have been as hard as the ones they took.

Personally, I believe the proof of an operator's skill is shown through actual on-air practice, and not necessarily from what you had to digest to pass a test. There is *always* the opportunity to continue our skills and educational levels way past what we knew when first coming into the hobby (like getting a driver's license- do you really know everything about driving after a 6-hour behind the wheel course?). In fact, the little bit of theory I learned while studying for the Tech test got me interested enough in electronics that I went and bought a SW receiver kit, just so I could learn how to put together a radio from scratch.

Many of my ham friends have serious backgrounds in electronics, computers and a variety of engineering fields. But not all of us hams have degrees in any of these subjects (or even a degree at all) so we will have to learn the technical theory on the upgrade exams without the benefit of any previous educational background. Even if we upgrade to General or "nickel Extra" in the future, what control do we new folks now have over present licensing requirements? While I have no idea what the, say, 1965 entry-level exams were like, they probably were harder than today's Technician exams. But there are new modes for us modern hams to use that weren't in existence 20 or even 10 years ago, too, so the opportunities for continued learning seem endless. To me, this is an "on-the-job" hobby, and the test you take to enter it is just that- entry level. One can continue on as far as they wish to go in the hobby. For some, the hobby may even spur them on to pursue a new career.

This group seems to see a lot of postings on the CW requirements (yeah, I know, duh). As I said, I'm not taking a stand one way or another on CW right now- I'm still too new to CW and have not been on the HF bands so that I can be an adequate judge of its value. Can you established hams convey something beyond a contempt for the present requirements for getting a ticket and teach me what's great about this hobby? I would really like to learn!

I am (presently) a No-Code Technician. I had nothing to do with the easing of the FCC's licensing requirements, the "dumbing down" of the exam contents or anything related to them. I took the Technician exam as it is now, and I

don't know anything about "how it used to be" years ago- other than that it was probably more technically challenging than today's exam, and that the licensing structure was quite different from the way it is now. These facts have little relevance to what I, as a new ham, would like to learn about this hobby today.

I would like to know what you established amateurs think about the newcomers to this hobby. What is your advice, what makes you most happy about the hobby? What keeps you coming back for more? Again, I'm not looking for a valuation on the merits (or lack of) in CW testing; I'm just curious as to how we newcomers to the hobby are viewed by the cross-section of established amateurs who post here. I don't belong to a radio club yet, and have not interacted a whole lot with other hams since I am so new. I am not a whiner, and I am not adverse to CW or any other amateur radio modes, so please do not anticipate me as such. I am asking for input, because I'd really like to know more about the various things this hobby has to offer, but I'd really like to absorb the same without wearing the label "No-Code Tech" with the requisite shaming and baggage that *some* established amateurs seem to want to tack onto us beginners.

Thanks.

Jackie
KC0ODY

1346. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 07:06:10 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <arfvvu21rrd@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55545

Yeah.... but political references don't necessary have direct ties to ham radio as ham radio is one thing and politics is a different field. Unfortunately the politics in ham radio itself do nothing but to work on destroying ham radio....

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:3DDA649B.5000301@psu.edu...
>
>
> KC8PMX wrote:
> > The elimination of the code test would be a republican value based upon the
> > concept that political party likes is limited government intervention in our
> > lives as possible, as a licensing process is one more level of governmental
> > intervention in our lives. Therefore code testing as well as other forms of
> > licensure (other that a tax revenue source) would most likely be a democrat
> > related issue.
> >
> > (Neither a dem or a rep...)
>
>
> 100 percent correct!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

1347. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 08:18:39 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <arfggvblu0@208.206.142.111>
Source record: 55536

"Jackie L." wrote:
>
> Hello, all.
>
> I've been lurking in this group for the past month or so and have found some
> of the threads (and the opinions they espouse) to be interesting, to say the
> least.
>
> I've a very new ham. I knew essentially nothing about this hobby 3 months
> ago. I just got my license on 10/2/02, and have not had much of a chance to
> really delve into anything ham-related yet. I've got a small handheld radio
> I bought for myself as a gift for passing the first exam. So far, I've
> mostly listened in to see how things work. I am planning on buying a
> multiband HF rig in the near future, after I pass the CW requirements.
>
> I've done lots of reading about amateur radio in a very short amount of
> time, and I've been an avid lurker to the "pro code / no code" battles (not
> just here, but elsewhere, too). Given that I am so new to CW, I am
> officially staying neutral on that subject as of now. I am learning CW, but
> slowly. Working 50+ hour weeks and having other responsibilities kinda puts
> CW study low on the priority list. I have given myself a 6 month deadline,
> then I'll take the CW exam if I feel I'm ready at that point. Okay, enough
> of the background.
>
> One thing that has me slightly dismayed since I started lurking here is this
> attitude that seems to pervade the contributions of *some* long-time amateur
> radio people (certainly not *all*) that today's licensing tests basically
> mean nothing, and that those who take them are necessarily inferior
> operators as a result of the "dumbed-down" requirements for passing today's
> licensing exams. I'd like to ask the folks that feel this way one
> non-rhetorical question. If you really believe that new hams are inferior to
> the established ones as a result of today's tests being less difficult than
> when you took them, is this the new ham's fault?
>
> If we neophytes decide that we want to get a ticket, we are told we need to
> take an exam. So, we buy the books we are told to buy and we study
> (hopefully), we take the test and (hopefully) we pass it. While today's
> tests may seem laughably easy to some of you, they are the reality of what
> we new hams are given to work with- through no fault of our own. I was not
> privy to the wrangling that went on over at the FCC headquarters when
> licensing exams were being restructured, nor do I know who all asked for the
> restructuring in the first place.
>
> At the time I took the Tech test, I had no knowledge that the previous

> entry-level exams for an amateur radio license were so much harder than the
> one I took. I still have a paper from the FCC that shows I hold an amateur
> radio license (50 MHz and above- for now). I have no sense of relativity
> when it comes to the test I took and the tests that you established amateurs
> took so many years ago. Even if I *wanted* to take a harder test to upgrade
> my license, just to prove that I could pass such an exam, it wouldn't be
> given to me now... so why should it matter?
>
> I decided to try amateur radio because some of my friends were into it, and
> I thought I'd see what they were so excited about. Turns out that I like it,
> yet from hearing the less-than-complementary things that some people have to
> say about us "No-Code Techs", you'd think that we really weren't wanted
> anywhere in the hobby. I really hope that this is not the opinion of most
> established hams. Many of us current newbies will go on to upgrade our
> licenses- but even then, we may be looked down upon by the old timers
> because we will take "dumbed-down" upgrade exams, too. How can we win?
>
> I really hope that the majority of long-time radio amateurs don't believe
> that we newly-licensed radio amateurs are inferior just because the tests we
> took to get our tickets may not have been as hard as the ones they took.
>
> Personally, I believe the proof of an operator's skill is shown through
> actual on-air practice, and not necessarily from what you had to digest to
> pass a test. There is *always* the opportunity to continue our skills and
> educational levels way past what we knew when first coming into the hobby
> (like getting a driver's license- do you really know everything about
> driving after a 6-hour behind the wheel course?). In fact, the little bit of
> theory I learned while studying for the Tech test got me interested enough
> in electronics that I went and bought a SW receiver kit, just so I could
> learn how to put together a radio from scratch.
>
> Many of my ham friends have serious backgrounds in electronics, computers
> and a variety of engineering fields. But not all of us hams have degrees in
> any of these subjects (or even a degree at all) so we will have to learn the
> technical theory on the upgrade exams without the benefit of any previous
> educational background. Even if we upgrade to General or "nickel Extra" in
> the future, what control do we new folks now have over present licensing
> requirements? While I have no idea what the, say, 1965 entry-level exams
> were like, they probably were harder than today's Technician exams. But
> there are new modes for us modern hams to use that weren't in existence 20
> or even 10 years ago, too, so the opportunities for continued learning seem
> endless. To me, this is an "on-the-job" hobby, and the test you take to
> enter it is just that- entry level. One can continue on as far as they wish
> to go in the hobby. For some, the hobby may even spur them on to pursue a
> new career.
>
> This group seems to see a lot of postings on the CW requirements (yeah, I
> know, duh). As I said, I'm not taking a stand one way or another on CW right
> now- I'm still too new to CW and have not been on the HF bands so that I can
> be an adequate judge of its value. Can you established hams convey something

> beyond a contempt for the present requirements for getting a ticket and
> teach me what's great about this hobby? I would really like to learn!
>
> I am (presently) a No-Code Technician. I had nothing to do with the easing
> of the FCC's licensing requirements, the "dumbing down" of the exam contents
> or anything related to them. I took the Technician exam as it is now, and I
> don't know anything about "how it used to be" years ago- other than that it
> was probably more technically challenging than today's exam, and that the
> licensing structure was quite different from the way it is now. These facts
> have little relevance to what I, as a new ham, would like to learn about
> this hobby today.
>
> I would like to know what you established amateurs think about the newcomers
> to this hobby. What is your advice, what makes you most happy about the
> hobby? What keeps you coming back for more? Again, I'm not looking for a
> valuation on the merits (or lack of) in CW testing; I'm just curious as to
> how we newcomers to the hobby are viewed by the cross-section of established
> amateurs who post here. I don't belong to a radio club yet, and have not
> interacted a whole lot with other hams since I am so new. I am not a whiner,
> and I am not adverse to CW or any other amateur radio modes, so please do
> not anticipate me as such. I am asking for input, because I'd really like to
> know more about the various things this hobby has to offer, but I'd really
> like to absorb the same without wearing the label "No-Code Tech" with the
> requisite shaming and baggage that *some* established amateurs seem to want
> to tack onto us beginners.
>
> Thanks.
>
> Jackie
> KC0ODY

Hi Jackie, and welcome to ham radio. I wish for you the satisfying
longtime experience it has been for me. You have made it more than clear
in your post that you'll have no problems and that you're the sort of
ham that will be a credit to the service. That's very gratifying to see.

Not to worry- the beef most of us old codgers have is definitely not
with you and your friends, rather with a fairly small group of chronic
griper-duffers who seem to have convinced themselves that they were
smarter and more "right" than anyone else including the FCC on the
subject of code testing requirements. Mostly they procrastinated and
whined longterm, some for decades, rather than do a useful job of
handling code testing. There's really no credible excuse for their
behavior and any reasonable explanation would have to include personal
problems on their part.
The single factor that torqued me most and caused my participation in
these ongoing "conversations" was the accusation of some of them that
I was at fault for tolerating code testing (a hazing ritual to them).
Fact is, I ran a VE team for 8 years and tested hundreds of new hams of
all license classes into ham radio.
Most of those folks chose and choose to ignore the simple fact that

Morse code on radio is the most basic mode of radiocommunications to have existed to this time, and is useable anytime, anywhere - but only if the operator is capable of using it, which means learning it and developing at least minimal skill. If one can't develop the basic skill of communicating using Morse code at some useful level s/he cannot be said to be basically qualified in radiocommunications. It's that simple. Neither license class nor time of your testing have anything to do with it. You can't be faulted because of when you developed an interest in ham radio and became licensed. You do as we did, that is to meet the requirements in place at the time. Note that the whiners did not, rather they whine and procrastinate to this day.

Good luck with the study of Morse code. Remember that perseverance and staying with it will virtually guarantee your success. I have no doubt you'll have your General in less time than you think. Try to practice 20 minutes a day and you'll see.

73, Dick W0EX

1348. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 08:52:22 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021120035222.21143.00006184@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 55537

>Subject: Re: Eight Minutes?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/19/02 9:57 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021119225751.22850.00000578@mb-fa.aol.com>

>>Aren't you the fellow who frequently dismisses the comments of others
>>with a brusque "Irrelevant"?
>
> Something that does not pertain to the subject under discussion
> is normally classified as "irrelevant."

It's rather interseting how things that disprove your rants are always
"irrelevent", isn't it, Lennie?

Sheesh. Grade school excuses.

Steve

1349. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 08:55:12 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021120035512.21143.00006185@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 55538

>Subject: Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/19/02 9:57 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021119225750.22850.00000577@mb-fa.aol.com>

>You are completely free to not comment...or you can continue to
> do the ascerbicity thing in this chatroom, er newsgroup, which is
> license-free and open to anyone with an Internet connection.

Read that as: "Has $700, an ISP subscription and a phone line"

Not exactly "open"

Steve

1350. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 09:00:01 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021120040001.21143.00006186@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 55539

>Subject: Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 11/20/02 12:45 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3DDB2FA6.3D6A2AB6@earthlink.net>

>Oh, we're not laughing AT you, Len. We're laughing WITH you ;-)

Not me...I'm laughing directly in his face.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1351. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 11:29:24 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211200329.335c3814@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55540

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021119235140.28561.00001757@mb-mv.aol.com>...
> In article <3DDAE7A0.9FA1609A@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
> writes:
>
> >> I'm going to come right out and say why. I think anyone who could
> >> be a ham for over 40 years and NOT be an Extra is proving precisely
> >> how lazy and unmotivated he is. Getting my Extra was probably the
> >> easiest thing I've done in my entire life -- and I got mine in the
> >> Pre-Restructuring, testing-before-the-FCC-examiner Era. It took me
> >> 16 months to go from nothing to Extra -- after having spent the
> >> previous 14 years whining about the code testing requirements!
>
> SNIPPAGE
>
> >I had a long time friend that was a blind ham,
>
> MORE SNIPPAGE
>
> JJ:
>
> Brother! Well, every no-code whiner seems to have the same story
> about a blind, deaf, lame, bald, HIV-positive, hangnail-infested,
> dandruff-suffering ham friend who, in their own opinion, embodies
> perfection in a ham radio operator for whatever reasons.

I think this is the part where Mr. Finn is outraged (if only it didn't
depend on who posted it).

1352. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 11:34:01 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211200334.1948b9c8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55541

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<areqij$8n1$0@208.207.71.157>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<arcjes$1nb$0@208.206.142.72>...
> > > JJ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Are you an Extra? If so, when did you get it? What is your current
> > > > > code speed?
> > > >
> > > > See, there is that status thing again. So what does my license
> > > > class or my code speed have to do with it? You base everything on
> > > > obtaining some kind of status. You must have a really pathetic
> > > > life. You can only build yourself up by attempting to look down at
> > > > others.
> > >
> > > Well, there you have it. Why should he 'look down' on you or anyone
> > > else? Could it be because you 'got yours' via the cheap and easy route?
> > > By implication that's exactly what you have just said- that he is
> > > 'looking down' on you, therefore there must be a reason. That's it.
> >
> > Then there are those who went the superfluous and irrelevant route.
> >
> > I call them the "How High?" (should I jump, and I want you to jump, too) crowd.
> >
> > That's it.
>
>
> From a dude that prefers to sit outside in the cold on his butt whining
> about requirements which were and are essentially trivial. Just because
> he thinks he knows more about necessaries than anyone else. YOU'RE it.

The FCC agrees with my point of view. You're the one out in the cold.

1353. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 12:12:52 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021120071252.17289.00000514@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 55542

In article <20021119204618.26026.00000076@mb-fi.aol.com>, wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) writes:

>>Carl says he got 100% correct on the first try. Why should anyone doubt >that?
>>I'd be surprised if he didn't get 100%.
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>I would be, Carl has already proven his ability to lie, besides why would it >take him over a year to upgrade?
>
Where has Carl "proven his ability to lie"? Give us some examples. Posting things that are in error is not "lying" unless the poster knows they are in error and posts them to deceive the reader.

There are plenty of reasons that a ham could take a year to upgrade. Work and life responsibilities are one example. Having to study for the test is another. Simple ability to get to a VE session could delay things.

Heck, it took me two whole years (Aug 1968 to Aug 1970) to upgrade from Advanced to Extra.

How many years were you a ham before upgrading, Bruce?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1354. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 12:16:30 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <y2LC9.29310$s02.4684@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 55543

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:aresqn$f04$0@208.207.71.157...
>
>
> Bert Craig wrote:
> >
>
> > > > I'd like to think there's room for all of us on AR, contesters,
> > ragchewers,
> > > > etc...
> > >
> > >
> > > Sure there is. If I don't feel like participating, I go to 30 meters.
> > > On CW. :-)
> >
> > ...where 200 watts goes a looooooong way. :-)
> >
> > Hi Dick, how've you been?
> >
>
>
> Hey Bert, 'deed it does go a long way. You hear lots of real QRP there,
> too.
>
> Getting on fine here, how's hamming these days?
>
> Did you see the new QRP CW transceiver from Dave Benson at Small Wonder
> Labs called the Rock Mite? He also has a new 10 watt PEP SSB (a nd of
> course digital) rig in the works. He's making them for a developing
> Asian country and wants comments as to whether or not there'd be a
> market for it to ham radio. I've already told him YES! Surf on over to
>
> <http://www.smallwonderlabs.com>
>
> and check all this out, and email him your comments. He's a fine fella
> and a large part of what keeps this hobby moving. A skillful high-tech
> engineer, he is a supporter and proponent of CW, as can be seen by his
> products. I have no idea whether he is for or against code testing, I
> didn't ask and couldn't care less. I gotta save that for all the heavy
> duty blametossing bitchers like Brain Burke.
>
> Dick

Hi Dick,

I read a review of the SW Rock Mite in WorldRadio. It looks like a real winner. I find that I'm kinda getting into QRP. Ever since my trip to SA, I vowed that I'd be better prepared (As opposed to not at all, hi hi.) to be able to operate QRP CW abroad. Enter the Wilderness SST for 30m. ;-)

Perhaps the Rock Mite shall be my next build project? I'd like to get some "build" practice in before I tackle...you guessed it, an Elekraft K2/100. I want my soldering skills in top form and my patience factor high for that one. I love the thought of using a rig that's A) Supporting an American workforce. and B) Built by moir. Right now, I'm reading all the manuals, building tips, and other related articles on the Elekraft website. I want to be well familiar with this baby as it'll eventually become my main rig. Jim, N2EY, any comments and/or tips you might have would be greatly appreciated.

I'll be sending an e-mail in support of the new rig for the ARS. Take care, Dick and Jim. :-)

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

P.S. I'm in "kid on Christmas morning" mode, Mr. UPS man dropped off a Kent TP-1 yesterday. I'm using my new found spare time (3 day work-week) to practice with it. I seem to constantly send an extra dit. Oh well, practice, practice, and more practice...

1355. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 12:32:36 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <EhLC9.29602$s02.21479@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 55544

"Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote in message
news:SuGC9.58739$P31.32144@rwcrnsc53...
> Hello, all.
>
> I've been lurking in this group for the past month or so and have found
some
> of the threads (and the opinions they espouse) to be interesting, to say
the
> least.
>
> I've a very new ham. I knew essentially nothing about this hobby 3 months
> ago. I just got my license on 10/2/02, and have not had much of a chance
to
> really delve into anything ham-related yet. I've got a small handheld
radio
> I bought for myself as a gift for passing the first exam. So far, I've
> mostly listened in to see how things work. I am planning on buying a
> multiband HF rig in the near future, after I pass the CW requirements.
>
> I've done lots of reading about amateur radio in a very short amount of
> time, and I've been an avid lurker to the "pro code / no code" battles
(not
> just here, but elsewhere, too). Given that I am so new to CW, I am
> officially staying neutral on that subject as of now. I am learning CW,
but
> slowly. Working 50+ hour weeks and having other responsibilities kinda
puts
> CW study low on the priority list. I have given myself a 6 month deadline,
> then I'll take the CW exam if I feel I'm ready at that point. Okay, enough
> of the background.

Hi Jackie,

Your story is quite similar to my own. Long hours at work (Sure beats NOT
working!) and our newborn lad conspired to steal any spare time I had. I'd
given myself a year deadline to upgrade. That in itself is a good first step
and I note that, as an unbiased newbie, your decision to "just do it" is a
good harbinger of your success. 20 mins. to half-an-hour daily will yield
surprising results. I personally used the ARRL CD's and found them to be
quite good.

> One thing that has me slightly dismayed since I started lurking here is
this
> attitude that seems to pervade the contributions of *some* long-time
amateur

> radio people (certainly not *all*) that today's licensing tests basically
> mean nothing, and that those who take them are necessarily inferior
> operators as a result of the "dumbed-down" requirements for passing
today's
> licensing exams. I'd like to ask the folks that feel this way one
> non-rhetorical question. If you really believe that new hams are inferior
to
> the established ones as a result of today's tests being less difficult
than
> when you took them, is this the new ham's fault?
>
> If we neophytes decide that we want to get a ticket, we are told we need
to
> take an exam. So, we buy the books we are told to buy and we study
> (hopefully), we take the test and (hopefully) we pass it. While today's
> tests may seem laughably easy to some of you, they are the reality of what
> we new hams are given to work with- through no fault of our own. I was not
> privy to the wrangling that went on over at the FCC headquarters when
> licensing exams were being restructured, nor do I know who all asked for
the
> restructuring in the first place.
>
> At the time I took the Tech test, I had no knowledge that the previous
> entry-level exams for an amateur radio license were so much harder than
the
> one I took. I still have a paper from the FCC that shows I hold an amateur
> radio license (50 MHz and above- for now). I have no sense of relativity
> when it comes to the test I took and the tests that you established
amateurs
> took so many years ago. Even if I *wanted* to take a harder test to
upgrade
> my license, just to prove that I could pass such an exam, it wouldn't be
> given to me now... so why should it matter?
>
> I decided to try amateur radio because some of my friends were into it,
and
> I thought I'd see what they were so excited about. Turns out that I like
it,
> yet from hearing the less-than-complementary things that some people have
to
> say about us "No-Code Techs", you'd think that we really weren't wanted
> anywhere in the hobby. I really hope that this is not the opinion of most
> established hams. Many of us current newbies will go on to upgrade our
> licenses- but even then, we may be looked down upon by the old timers
> because we will take "dumbed-down" upgrade exams, too. How can we win?
>
> I really hope that the majority of long-time radio amateurs don't believe
> that we newly-licensed radio amateurs are inferior just because the tests
we
> took to get our tickets may not have been as hard as the ones they took.

>
> Personally, I believe the proof of an operator's skill is shown through
> actual on-air practice, and not necessarily from what you had to digest to
> pass a test. There is *always* the opportunity to continue our skills and
> educational levels way past what we knew when first coming into the hobby
> (like getting a driver's license- do you really know everything about
> driving after a 6-hour behind the wheel course?). In fact, the little bit
of
> theory I learned while studying for the Tech test got me interested enough
> in electronics that I went and bought a SW receiver kit, just so I could
> learn how to put together a radio from scratch.
>
> Many of my ham friends have serious backgrounds in electronics, computers
> and a variety of engineering fields. But not all of us hams have degrees
in
> any of these subjects (or even a degree at all) so we will have to learn
the
> technical theory on the upgrade exams without the benefit of any previous
> educational background. Even if we upgrade to General or "nickel Extra" in
> the future, what control do we new folks now have over present licensing
> requirements? While I have no idea what the, say, 1965 entry-level exams
> were like, they probably were harder than today's Technician exams. But
> there are new modes for us modern hams to use that weren't in existence 20
> or even 10 years ago, too, so the opportunities for continued learning
seem
> endless. To me, this is an "on-the-job" hobby, and the test you take to
> enter it is just that- entry level. One can continue on as far as they
wish
> to go in the hobby. For some, the hobby may even spur them on to pursue a
> new career.
>
> This group seems to see a lot of postings on the CW requirements (yeah, I
> know, duh). As I said, I'm not taking a stand one way or another on CW
right
> now- I'm still too new to CW and have not been on the HF bands so that I
can
> be an adequate judge of its value. Can you established hams convey
something
> beyond a contempt for the present requirements for getting a ticket and
> teach me what's great about this hobby? I would really like to learn!
>
> I am (presently) a No-Code Technician. I had nothing to do with the easing
> of the FCC's licensing requirements, the "dumbing down" of the exam
contents
> or anything related to them. I took the Technician exam as it is now, and
I
> don't know anything about "how it used to be" years ago- other than that
it
> was probably more technically challenging than today's exam, and that the
> licensing structure was quite different from the way it is now. These

facts
> have little relevance to what I, as a new ham, would like to learn about
> this hobby today.
>
> I would like to know what you established amateurs think about the
newcomers
> to this hobby. What is your advice, what makes you most happy about the
> hobby? What keeps you coming back for more? Again, I'm not looking for a
> valuation on the merits (or lack of) in CW testing; I'm just curious as to
> how we newcomers to the hobby are viewed by the cross-section of
established
> amateurs who post here. I don't belong to a radio club yet, and have not
> interacted a whole lot with other hams since I am so new. I am not a
whiner,
> and I am not adverse to CW or any other amateur radio modes, so please do
> not anticipate me as such. I am asking for input, because I'd really like
to
> know more about the various things this hobby has to offer, but I'd really
> like to absorb the same without wearing the label "No-Code Tech" with the
> requisite shaming and baggage that *some* established amateurs seem to
want
> to tack onto us beginners.
>
> Thanks.
>
> Jackie
> KC0ODY

Don't worry about that stuff. Trust me, there are way more helpful folks on AR than there are negative. Although there may be some "family squabbles" on this NG, I'd bet there's not one person on this NG who wouldn't give you their luckiest shirt right off their back if they thought it would help you in any way. I'm living proof of that as ywo of this NG's "toughies" put me on the right track wrt upgrading my ticket and refining my operating practices. You're in good company. If I can help you with anything at all, feel free to drop me an e-mail. If you want my ARRL CW CD's are yours to borrow. Welcome to a "way cool" (My niece's saying.) hobby and I wish you nothing but continued success. :-)

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

1356. Ham Tower Incident On TV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 13:23:34 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <q1MC9.30723$s02.20181@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 55546

Did anybody catch that 'What Were They Thinking' show last night? One of the segments showed an 83 yr. old ham attempting to make adjustments to either his 3 el. tribander or his VHF crossed-yagi array. In either case, he fell over backwards and lodged his leg between the tribander boom (I think) and the tower. This left him dangling precariously at approx. 5 ft. while the local FD used the hook and ladder to effect the rescue. Moral: be super careful on towers and use a harness (I didn't see him wearing one.) or you might get hurt AND put on public display...on national TV! Poor chap. :-(On a good note, the report did say that he recovered fully and will likely still attempt to "fine tune" his antenna. (Albeit in a safer manner.)

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

1357. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 13:36:44 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <utn3v8mspb4r6f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55547

"Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote in message
news:SuGC9.58739$P31.32144@rwcrnsc53...
> Hello, all.
>
> I've been lurking in this group for the past month or so and have found
some
> of the threads (and the opinions they espouse) to be interesting, to say
the
> least.

<SNIP>

>I am asking for input, because I'd really like to
> know more about the various things this hobby has to offer, but I'd really
> like to absorb the same without wearing the label "No-Code Tech" with the
> requisite shaming and baggage that *some* established amateurs seem to
want
> to tack onto us beginners.
>
> Thanks.
>
> Jackie
> KC0ODY

Jackie

Welcome to Amateur Radio! In the ham radio world I'm
not to far ahead of you on the "time line". I've been licensed
since 1998 and just got the code test passed this October,
with the General passed this month. Also some of the same
guys that are "anal retentive" about the Morse code helped
me with pointers on how to learn it. Those who put down
hams because of their license level in this NG are a very
vocal but very small part of Amateur Radio as a whole.
The best advice you could get at this point is just remember
there is always one person out there in life that gets their
jollies from raining on people's parades! We just seem to
have a higher than normal percentage here. Just keep on
studying that code and it will come to you, if I can do it
ANYONE can. BTW check out the ARRL website they
have the code practice audio files online for download at:
http://www.arrl.org/w1aw/morse.html they helped me a
lot in the learning of the code.

And remember he biggest problem with the grouches in
this group is they don't get enough fiber in their diet! ;^)

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

1358. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 13:39:54 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021120083954.18499.00000235@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 55548

>Where has Carl "proven his ability to lie"? Give us some examples.

Im not about to Re-post all of his rantings and Lies, do a search you can read all of them.

>How many years were you a ham before upgrading, Bruce?
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY
>

25 years and really wasnt interested in upgrading, even when I did take the test, if you want to call it a test..

1359. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 13:41:31 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021120084131.18499.00000236@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 55549

PS. I still havent used the Extra privilages probably never will.

1360. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 15:56:19 UTC
From: Clay N4AOX <wyn@att.net>
Message-ID: <DgOC9.23571$hK4.2039998@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 55550

>
> BULL!
>
> I defy anyone to find any reference where I ever made such a claim.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
>
>
Neither can any reference be found where ol 'UNK claimed :

"I am a member, a BoD, a financial supporter, a cheer leader for NCI,
but I disagree with statements made by.....................

(Roll Call)
.
.

Bruce Perens
Carl Stevenson
Bill Suhl
Gary Coffman
.
.
.
.
Other NCI Creeps.

You are known by the company you keep, unless otherwise noted.

73,
Clay N4AOX

1361. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 16:37:56 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <argdp4$4gv$0@208.207.71.162>
Source record: 55551

Brian wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<areqij$8n1$0@208.207.71.157>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<arcjes$1nb$0@208.206.142.72>...
> > > > JJ wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > Are you an Extra? If so, when did you get it? What is your current
> > > > > > code speed?
> > > > >
> > > > > See, there is that status thing again. So what does my license
> > > > > class or my code speed have to do with it? You base everything on
> > > > > obtaining some kind of status. You must have a really pathetic
> > > > > life. You can only build yourself up by attempting to look down at
> > > > > others.
> > > >
> > > > Well, there you have it. Why should he 'look down' on you or anyone
> > > > else? Could it be because you 'got yours' via the cheap and easy route?
> > > > By implication that's exactly what you have just said- that he is
> > > > 'looking down' on you, therefore there must be a reason. That's it.
> > >
> > > Then there are those who went the superfluous and irrelevant route.
> > >
> > > I call them the "How High?" (should I jump, and I want you to jump, too) crowd.
> > >
> > > That's it.
> >
> >
> > From a dude that prefers to sit outside in the cold on his butt whining
> > about requirements which were and are essentially trivial. Just because
> > he thinks he knows more about necessaries than anyone else. YOU'RE it.
>
> The FCC agrees with my point of view. You're the one out in the cold.

Really? You haven't even picked up your Extra lite now that it's a
freebee. What's holding you up, Brain?

1362. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 16:39:01 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <argdr5$4gv$1@208.207.71.162>
Source record: 55552

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <20021119204618.26026.00000076@mb-fi.aol.com>, wa8ulx@aol.com
> (WA8ULX) writes:
>
> >>Carl says he got 100% correct on the first try. Why should anyone doubt
> >that?
> >>I'd be surprised if he didn't get 100%.
> >>
> >>73 de Jim, N2EY
> >>
> >I would be, Carl has already proven his ability to lie, besides why would it
> >take him over a year to upgrade?
> >>
> Where has Carl "proven his ability to lie"? Give us some examples. Posting
> things that are in error is not "lying" unless the poster knows they are in
> error and posts them to deceive the reader.
>

First you'd better have Cecil check his Webster's on that one.

1363. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 16:39:43 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <argds7$rr6$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55553

I just looked over a sample "Extra" test. Im ashamed to say I am one.

Dan/W4NTI

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021119225010.05625.00000121@mb-fc.aol.com...
> >They say if you're going to tell one, to tell a big one. Next time
> >say you did it in under a minute, and the prize was $1,000, still
> >without studying and still without error.
>
> Yes Brian but you see that would lieing. So go ahead give us your reason
for
> not being able to pass the EXTRA. Here let me help you, its outdated, Im
never
> going to use the Info so why should I be made to take the Test. So brian
tell
> us your reason why you shouldnt have to take the written.

1364. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 16:46:40 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021120114640.24623.00000170@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 55554

>I just looked over a sample "Extra" test. Im ashamed to say I am one.
>
>Dan/W4NTI

Thats what I have been saying DAN, the whole written testing scheme is a JOKE.
Not only did they dumb Down the Code Test, they Dumbed Down the written.
And then we have Clowns like Brian who cant pass it.

1365. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 16:47:46 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDBBCB2.6163EB69@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55564

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> JJ wrote:
> >
>
> < a ton of uninformed garbage which was mercifully snipped to relieve
> rrap of recycling it yet again<
>
> JJ, you really should try to keep up. None of this would be going on if
> not for a few knarly dipschitz like you and Brain Burke who still bitch
> about the "requirements" and how they kept them from ham radio (what a
> load of garbage THAT is).

You should really try and keep up dickieboy. Show me one of my
posts were I have complained about the requirements. All I argue
with is your and newbie larry's attitude toward those whom you
think are substandard hams just because they don't hold an Extra
ticket or prefer to operate cw like you two 'superior hams' do. As
for those who do complain about the requirements keeping them from
ham radio, I agree, that is garbage, if anyone wants the ticket
then do what is necessary and get it or go find another hobby like
cb that requires nothing.
It isn't the class of license that determines a real ham, it is
the person themselves and you and newbie larry prove even an Extra
class can be a complete jackass.
>
> You have no clue to what my background is and how many NON extras I've
> brought into the hobby, so why not just admit it and stop trying to
> pretend?

And the same goes for you. So just how long have you been a ham?

1366. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 16:48:23 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021120114823.24623.00000171@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 55555

>Really? You haven't even picked up your Extra lite now that it's a
>freebee. What's holding you up, Brain?

Hes waiting for another handout.

1367. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 16:54:46 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDBBE56.BE13E7FC@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55567

Newbie Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3DDAE7A0.9FA1609A@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
> writes:
>
> >> I'm going to come right out and say why. I think anyone who could
> >> be a ham for over 40 years and NOT be an Extra is proving precisely
> >> how lazy and unmotivated he is. Getting my Extra was probably the
> >> easiest thing I've done in my entire life -- and I got mine in the
> >> Pre-Restructuring, testing-before-the-FCC-examiner Era. It took me
> >> 16 months to go from nothing to Extra -- after having spent the
> >> previous 14 years whining about the code testing requirements!
.

You're so hung up on your lofty status so I'm going to come right
out and say it. I think anyone who has retired from the AF with
all these skills, has a college degree, and after all these years
can only drive a bus is proving precisely how lazy and unmotivated
he is.
Even though you went from nothing to Extra in 16 months, you're
still nothing.
Now I have spent enough time on a newbie with an ego and an
attitude.

1368. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 17:07:14 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDBC142.F0D426E5@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55569

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3DDAE7A0.9FA1609A@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
> writes:
>
> >> I'm going to come right out and say why. I think anyone who could
> >> be a ham for over 40 years and NOT be an Extra is proving precisely
> >> how lazy and unmotivated he is. Getting my Extra was probably the
> >> easiest thing I've done in my entire life -- and I got mine in the
> >> Pre-Restructuring, testing-before-the-FCC-examiner Era. It took me
> >> 16 months to go from nothing to Extra -- after having spent the
> >> previous 14 years whining about the code testing requirements!
>
> SNIPPAGE
>
> >I had a long time friend that was a blind ham,
>
> MORE SNIPPAGE
>
> JJ:
>
> Brother! Well, every no-code whiner seems to have the same story
> about a blind, deaf, lame, bald, HIV-positive, hangnail-infested,
> dandruff-suffering ham friend who, in their own opinion, embodies
> perfection in a ham radio operator for whatever reasons. I can relate
> such stories myself, but I don't, because I don't need to fall back on
> using others as examples to support my personal opinions. You,
> however, are someone who definitely needs to do this.
>
> >he was a real gentleman that
> >make you and dickieboy look like snot nosed school yard bullies.
>
> MORE SNIPPAGE
>
> >Too bad you and dickieboy have such pathetic lives that you cannot
> >accept people for what they are and see their potential, instead
> >of what you think they should be, which of course is never up to
> >your lofty false standards.
>
> What makes you think that Dick and I lead "pathetic lives?" My life
> is a very full and happy one, and I enjoy the hobby of ham radio
> with many local hams who know me, and my opinions, very well
> indeed -- but they don't have to call me names because of them!
>

> >> I'm not necessarily saying that you need an Extra-class license to
> >> be a full-fledged ham,
> >
> >Really? It would be hard to tell from the things you post on the
> >group.
>
> If you choose not to believe me, there's not much I can do about
> that.
>
> >What do you mean by 'not necessarily', you don't think that any
> >one who holds a ham ticket to be a full-fledged ham.
>
> Not necessarily. That statement stands on it's own, and anyone
> with a fifth-grade reading comprehension level should be able to
> figure it out.
>
> >> but if you're going to be telling other hams
> >> what kind of hams they are, you'd better be one if THEY are!
> >
> >> You
> >> and your piddling little Advanced class ticket don't have a leg to
> >> stand on to tell Dick and me what kind of hams we are!
> >
> >Piddling little Advance class eh, see there you go with this
> >status and ego thing again you can only make yourself feel good by
> >belittling others. See newbie, you think that any ham that is not
> >an Extra and operates cw is just not as superior as your are. Your
> >are so hung up on your status you really look silly, newbie.
> >As far as telling someone what kind of ham they are, just holding
> >an Extra class ticket certainly does not prevent one from being a
> >jackass and a twit, you and dickieboy are positive proof of that.
>
> You see, there is where you lose the argument. I don't recall ever
> calling you names like "jackass" or "twit." However, you have no
> problem slinging such mud at Dick and me. Face it, JJ, you're a
> classic NCTA whiner, and when your arguments fail to gain any
> traction, you resort to name calling. I rest my case.

No I don't loose the argument, it is obvious that you and
dickieboy hold contempt for other hams who did not do it like you
did. You are so proud of your extra status and your cw abilities,
that you look down your nose at anyone else who chooses not to
achieve the same thing. That is the only way you can make yourself
feel good. I know it really hurts you and dickie to think it, but
a no-code ham is just as much a ham as you are, you really should
learn to deal with it.
Your and dickie are positive proof that holding an extra class
license does not make one a real ham. Being a real ham is not
dependent upon the class of license held, it is an attitude and
you and dickei have one, it is just the wrong one.

1369. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 17:07:14 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDBC142.F0D426E5@mailcity.net.mirrorpost>
Source record: 55572

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3DDAE7A0.9FA1609A@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
> writes:
>
> >> I'm going to come right out and say why. I think anyone who could
> >> be a ham for over 40 years and NOT be an Extra is proving precisely
> >> how lazy and unmotivated he is. Getting my Extra was probably the
> >> easiest thing I've done in my entire life -- and I got mine in the
> >> Pre-Restructuring, testing-before-the-FCC-examiner Era. It took me
> >> 16 months to go from nothing to Extra -- after having spent the
> >> previous 14 years whining about the code testing requirements!
>
> SNIPPAGE
>
> >I had a long time friend that was a blind ham,
>
> MORE SNIPPAGE
>
> JJ:
>
> Brother! Well, every no-code whiner seems to have the same story
> about a blind, deaf, lame, bald, HIV-positive, hangnail-infested,
> dandruff-suffering ham friend who, in their own opinion, embodies
> perfection in a ham radio operator for whatever reasons. I can relate
> such stories myself, but I don't, because I don't need to fall back on
> using others as examples to support my personal opinions. You,
> however, are someone who definitely needs to do this.
>
> >he was a real gentleman that
> >make you and dickieboy look like snot nosed school yard bullies.
>
> MORE SNIPPAGE
>
> >Too bad you and dickieboy have such pathetic lives that you cannot
> >accept people for what they are and see their potential, instead
> >of what you think they should be, which of course is never up to
> >your lofty false standards.
>
> What makes you think that Dick and I lead "pathetic lives?" My life
> is a very full and happy one, and I enjoy the hobby of ham radio
> with many local hams who know me, and my opinions, very well
> indeed -- but they don't have to call me names because of them!
>

> >> I'm not necessarily saying that you need an Extra-class license to
> >> be a full-fledged ham,
> >
> >Really? It would be hard to tell from the things you post on the
> >group.
>
> If you choose not to believe me, there's not much I can do about
> that.
>
> >What do you mean by 'not necessarily', you don't think that any
> >one who holds a ham ticket to be a full-fledged ham.
>
> Not necessarily. That statement stands on it's own, and anyone
> with a fifth-grade reading comprehension level should be able to
> figure it out.
>
> >> but if you're going to be telling other hams
> >> what kind of hams they are, you'd better be one if THEY are!
> >
> >> You
> >> and your piddling little Advanced class ticket don't have a leg to
> >> stand on to tell Dick and me what kind of hams we are!
> >
> >Piddling little Advance class eh, see there you go with this
> >status and ego thing again you can only make yourself feel good by
> >belittling others. See newbie, you think that any ham that is not
> >an Extra and operates cw is just not as superior as your are. Your
> >are so hung up on your status you really look silly, newbie.
> >As far as telling someone what kind of ham they are, just holding
> >an Extra class ticket certainly does not prevent one from being a
> >jackass and a twit, you and dickieboy are positive proof of that.
>
> You see, there is where you lose the argument. I don't recall ever
> calling you names like "jackass" or "twit." However, you have no
> problem slinging such mud at Dick and me. Face it, JJ, you're a
> classic NCTA whiner, and when your arguments fail to gain any
> traction, you resort to name calling. I rest my case.

No I don't loose the argument, it is obvious that you and
dickieboy hold contempt for other hams who did not do it like you
did. You are so proud of your extra status and your cw abilities,
that you look down your nose at anyone else who chooses not to
achieve the same thing. That is the only way you can make yourself
feel good. I know it really hurts you and dickie to think it, but
a no-code ham is just as much a ham as you are, you really should
learn to deal with it.
Your and dickie are positive proof that holding an extra class
license does not make one a real ham. Being a real ham is not
dependent upon the class of license held, it is an attitude and
you and dickei have one, it is just the wrong one.

1370. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 17:09:30 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDBC1CA.1A972BD1@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55570

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> I just looked over a sample "Extra" test. Im ashamed to say I am one.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Oh my, you should not say that, newbie larry and dickieboy put a
lot of stock in holding that extra ticket.

1371. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 17:10:06 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DDBC1EE.8C7C8783@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 55556

Good God, Kim.
Are those tired old men who haven't gotten laid in fourty years
still giving you a bad time about your call?!?

1372. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 17:10:07 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <argfli$dr8$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55557

"Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote in message
news:SuGC9.58739$P31.32144@rwcrnsc53...
> Hello, all.
>
> I've been lurking in this group for the past month or so and have found
some
> of the threads (and the opinions they espouse) to be interesting, to say
the
> least.
>
> I've a very new ham. I knew essentially nothing about this hobby 3 months
> ago. I just got my license on 10/2/02, and have not had much of a chance
to
> really delve into anything ham-related yet. I've got a small handheld
radio
> I bought for myself as a gift for passing the first exam. So far, I've
> mostly listened in to see how things work. I am planning on buying a
> multiband HF rig in the near future, after I pass the CW requirements.
>

Keep at it. and Welcome to ham radio.

> I've done lots of reading about amateur radio in a very short amount of
> time, and I've been an avid lurker to the "pro code / no code" battles
(not
> just here, but elsewhere, too). Given that I am so new to CW, I am
> officially staying neutral on that subject as of now. I am learning CW,
but
> slowly. Working 50+ hour weeks and having other responsibilities kinda
puts
> CW study low on the priority list. I have given myself a 6 month deadline,
> then I'll take the CW exam if I feel I'm ready at that point. Okay, enough
> of the background.
>
> One thing that has me slightly dismayed since I started lurking here is
this
> attitude that seems to pervade the contributions of *some* long-time
amateur
> radio people (certainly not *all*) that today's licensing tests basically
> mean nothing, and that those who take them are necessarily inferior
> operators as a result of the "dumbed-down" requirements for passing
today's
> licensing exams. I'd like to ask the folks that feel this way one
> non-rhetorical question. If you really believe that new hams are inferior
to

> the established ones as a result of today's tests being less difficult
than
> when you took them, is this the new ham's fault?

No its not the new hams fault if he passes a test that was dumbed down.
But it is a problem when those same 'new hams' downgrade and badmouth
those that did indeed pass a tougher test. Not to mention the 20 wpm MORSE
both send and receive and NOT mulitple guess.

>
> If we neophytes decide that we want to get a ticket, we are told we need
to
> take an exam. So, we buy the books we are told to buy and we study
> (hopefully), we take the test and (hopefully) we pass it. While today's
> tests may seem laughably easy to some of you, they are the reality of what
> we new hams are given to work with- through no fault of our own. I was not
> privy to the wrangling that went on over at the FCC headquarters when
> licensing exams were being restructured, nor do I know who all asked for
the
> restructuring in the first place.

True.

>
> At the time I took the Tech test, I had no knowledge that the previous
> entry-level exams for an amateur radio license were so much harder than
the
> one I took. I still have a paper from the FCC that shows I hold an amateur
> radio license (50 MHz and above- for now). I have no sense of relativity
> when it comes to the test I took and the tests that you established
amateurs
> took so many years ago. Even if I *wanted* to take a harder test to
upgrade
> my license, just to prove that I could pass such an exam, it wouldn't be
> given to me now... so why should it matter?

See above.

>
> I decided to try amateur radio because some of my friends were into it,
and
> I thought I'd see what they were so excited about. Turns out that I like
it,
> yet from hearing the less-than-complementary things that some people have
to
> say about us "No-Code Techs", you'd think that we really weren't wanted
> anywhere in the hobby. I really hope that this is not the opinion of most
> established hams. Many of us current newbies will go on to upgrade our
> licenses- but even then, we may be looked down upon by the old timers
> because we will take "dumbed-down" upgrade exams, too. How can we win?

You can not win. There is nothing to win. What you can do is stop rubbing
salt
into the wound. Best thing is to not broach the subject at all. And ignore
those
that keep bringing it up. Just my opinion.

>
> I really hope that the majority of long-time radio amateurs don't believe
> that we newly-licensed radio amateurs are inferior just because the tests
we
> took to get our tickets may not have been as hard as the ones they took.
>
> Personally, I believe the proof of an operator's skill is shown through
> actual on-air practice, and not necessarily from what you had to digest to
> pass a test. There is *always* the opportunity to continue our skills and
> educational levels way past what we knew when first coming into the hobby
> (like getting a driver's license- do you really know everything about
> driving after a 6-hour behind the wheel course?). In fact, the little bit
of
> theory I learned while studying for the Tech test got me interested enough
> in electronics that I went and bought a SW receiver kit, just so I could
> learn how to put together a radio from scratch.

Correct. Like any other worthwhile venture in life, you need to prove
yourself
to your peers. Although it seems many 'new hams' dont feel this is
necessary.
In fact they come off as knowing it all right out of the gate. Thus
immediate
confrontation.

>
> Many of my ham friends have serious backgrounds in electronics, computers
> and a variety of engineering fields. But not all of us hams have degrees
in
> any of these subjects (or even a degree at all) so we will have to learn
the
> technical theory on the upgrade exams without the benefit of any previous
> educational background. Even if we upgrade to General or "nickel Extra" in
> the future, what control do we new folks now have over present licensing
> requirements? While I have no idea what the, say, 1965 entry-level exams
> were like, they probably were harder than today's Technician exams. But
> there are new modes for us modern hams to use that weren't in existence 20
> or even 10 years ago, too, so the opportunities for continued learning
seem
> endless. To me, this is an "on-the-job" hobby, and the test you take to
> enter it is just that- entry level. One can continue on as far as they
wish
> to go in the hobby. For some, the hobby may even spur them on to pursue a
> new career.
>
I am in the catagory you describe. No degree. But learned as I went along.

Managed to make a worthwhile career out of electronics and all of that was
because of Ham Radio. But I also learned to roll with the punches.

Do you think this 'problem' is unique to the present Amateur situation? Not
hardly.
I was a 14 year old boy when I first fired up on 75 AM phone. Now that was
a
education. And did I try and buck the system? No. I learned the system
and now
am at the other end of the stick.

> This group seems to see a lot of postings on the CW requirements (yeah, I
> know, duh). As I said, I'm not taking a stand one way or another on CW
right
> now- I'm still too new to CW and have not been on the HF bands so that I
can
> be an adequate judge of its value. Can you established hams convey
something
> beyond a contempt for the present requirements for getting a ticket and
> teach me what's great about this hobby? I would really like to learn!

First thing you need to do is learn something about the Amateur Radio
SERVICE.
I highly recommend you pick up a copy of "200 meters and down" from the
ARRL.
This will give you a good background in what this SERVICE is all about.

Or for a extremely good history from the beginning to now go to Google and
search
for "Wayback Machine by William Continelli, W2XOY".

>
> I am (presently) a No-Code Technician. I had nothing to do with the easing
> of the FCC's licensing requirements, the "dumbing down" of the exam
contents
> or anything related to them. I took the Technician exam as it is now, and
I
> don't know anything about "how it used to be" years ago- other than that
it
> was probably more technically challenging than today's exam, and that the
> licensing structure was quite different from the way it is now. These
facts
> have little relevance to what I, as a new ham, would like to learn about
> this hobby today.
>
> I would like to know what you established amateurs think about the
newcomers
> to this hobby. What is your advice, what makes you most happy about the
> hobby? What keeps you coming back for more? Again, I'm not looking for a
> valuation on the merits (or lack of) in CW testing; I'm just curious as to
> how we newcomers to the hobby are viewed by the cross-section of
established

> amateurs who post here. I don't belong to a radio club yet, and have not
> interacted a whole lot with other hams since I am so new. I am not a
whiner,
> and I am not adverse to CW or any other amateur radio modes, so please do
> not anticipate me as such. I am asking for input, because I'd really like
to
> know more about the various things this hobby has to offer, but I'd really
> like to absorb the same without wearing the label "No-Code Tech" with the
> requisite shaming and baggage that *some* established amateurs seem to
want
> to tack onto us beginners.
>

I view the newcomers with fear. I think too many have NO IDEA of what Ham
Radio is
all about. And bring too many CBisms with them. I think some of them
actually want to
destroy ham radio.

I also view them with envy. They are at a point in their lives that they
have a tremendous
oppurtunity to advance the state of the art. I wish I would have had the
same chance.

I view them with pity at times. Knowing that you can not go back in time.
And wondering if
in the name of progress any of the good things will remain. Such as hams
helping hams. Feeling
to be part of a large group of decent people. Having the respect of your
community. And be
willing and able to help your country when needed.

And you thought Ham Radio was just a giant CB eh?

Again Jackie...welcome and hang on. You are in for the ride of your life.

Dan/W4NTI

> Thanks.
>
> Jackie
> KC0ODY
>
>

1373. Re: Ham Tower Incident On TV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 17:18:13 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <argg4dajq1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55558

Going by experience....he probably got tired of waiting for help from;

A. His kids
B. His ham buds
C. His local club

So he did it himself with near tragic results.

Dan/W4NTI

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:q1MC9.30723$s02.20181@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> Did anybody catch that 'What Were They Thinking' show last night? One of
the
> segments showed an 83 yr. old ham attempting to make adjustments to either
> his 3 el. tribander or his VHF crossed-yagi array. In either case, he fell
> over backwards and lodged his leg between the tribander boom (I think) and
> the tower. This left him dangling precariously at approx. 5 ft. while the
> local FD used the hook and ladder to effect the rescue. Moral: be super
> careful on towers and use a harness (I didn't see him wearing one.) or you
> might get hurt AND put on public display...on national TV! Poor chap.
:-(On
> a good note, the report did say that he recovered fully and will likely
> still attempt to "fine tune" his antenna. (Albeit in a safer manner.)
>
> --
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
>
>

1374. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 17:28:54 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDBC656.DCBD04C6@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55574

A REAL ham "Jackie L." wrote:

>
> One thing that has me slightly dismayed since I started lurking here is this
> attitude that seems to pervade the contributions of *some* long-time amateur
> radio people (certainly not *all*) that today's licensing tests basically
> mean nothing, and that those who take them are necessarily inferior
> operators as a result of the "dumbed-down" requirements for passing today's
> licensing exams.

I see you have met newbie larry and dickieboy and a few others.

> I'd like to ask the folks that feel this way one
> non-rhetorical question. If you really believe that new hams are inferior to
> the established ones as a result of today's tests being less difficult than
> when you took them, is this the new ham's fault?

Now you are putting them on the spot. According to them you will
never attain the status they have as you did not have to 'do it
like they did'.

>
> If we neophytes decide that we want to get a ticket, we are told we need to
> take an exam. So, we buy the books we are told to buy and we study
> (hopefully), we take the test and (hopefully) we pass it. While today's
> tests may seem laughably easy to some of you, they are the reality of what
> we new hams are given to work with- through no fault of our own. I was not
> privy to the wrangling that went on over at the FCC headquarters when
> licensing exams were being restructured, nor do I know who all asked for the
> restructuring in the first place.
>
> At the time I took the Tech test, I had no knowledge that the previous
> entry-level exams for an amateur radio license were so much harder than the
> one I took. I still have a paper from the FCC that shows I hold an amateur
> radio license (50 MHz and above- for now).

But according to some, you're not a 'real ham'. A no-code just
can't be a 'real ham'.

> I have no sense of relativity
> when it comes to the test I took and the tests that you established amateurs
> took so many years ago. Even if I *wanted* to take a harder test to upgrade
> my license, just to prove that I could pass such an exam, it wouldn't be
> given to me now... so why should it matter?
>
> I decided to try amateur radio because some of my friends were into it, and

> I thought I'd see what they were so excited about. Turns out that I like it,
> yet from hearing the less-than-complementary things that some people have to
> say about us "No-Code Techs", you'd think that we really weren't wanted
> anywhere in the hobby. I really hope that this is not the opinion of most
> established hams. Many of us current newbies will go on to upgrade our
> licenses- but even then, we may be looked down upon by the old timers
> because we will take "dumbed-down" upgrade exams, too. How can we win?
>
> I really hope that the majority of long-time radio amateurs don't believe
> that we newly-licensed radio amateurs are inferior just because the tests we
> took to get our tickets may not have been as hard as the ones they took.
>
> Personally, I believe the proof of an operator's skill is shown through
> actual on-air practice, and not necessarily from what you had to digest to
> pass a test. There is *always* the opportunity to continue our skills and
> educational levels way past what we knew when first coming into the hobby
> (like getting a driver's license- do you really know everything about
> driving after a 6-hour behind the wheel course?). In fact, the little bit of
> theory I learned while studying for the Tech test got me interested enough
> in electronics that I went and bought a SW receiver kit, just so I could
> learn how to put together a radio from scratch.
>
> Many of my ham friends have serious backgrounds in electronics, computers
> and a variety of engineering fields. But not all of us hams have degrees in
> any of these subjects (or even a degree at all) so we will have to learn the
> technical theory on the upgrade exams without the benefit of any previous
> educational background. Even if we upgrade to General or "nickel Extra" in
> the future, what control do we new folks now have over present licensing
> requirements? While I have no idea what the, say, 1965 entry-level exams
> were like, they probably were harder than today's Technician exams. But
> there are new modes for us modern hams to use that weren't in existence 20
> or even 10 years ago, too, so the opportunities for continued learning seem
> endless. To me, this is an "on-the-job" hobby, and the test you take to
> enter it is just that- entry level. One can continue on as far as they wish
> to go in the hobby. For some, the hobby may even spur them on to pursue a
> new career.
>
> This group seems to see a lot of postings on the CW requirements (yeah, I
> know, duh). As I said, I'm not taking a stand one way or another on CW right
> now- I'm still too new to CW and have not been on the HF bands so that I can
> be an adequate judge of its value. Can you established hams convey something
> beyond a contempt for the present requirements for getting a ticket and
> teach me what's great about this hobby? I would really like to learn!
>
> I am (presently) a No-Code Technician. I had nothing to do with the easing
> of the FCC's licensing requirements, the "dumbing down" of the exam contents
> or anything related to them. I took the Technician exam as it is now, and I
> don't know anything about "how it used to be" years ago- other than that it
> was probably more technically challenging than today's exam, and that the
> licensing structure was quite different from the way it is now. These facts

> have little relevance to what I, as a new ham, would like to learn about
> this hobby today.
>
> I would like to know what you established amateurs think about the newcomers
> to this hobby.

In spite of what newbie Larry, who has only been licensed some 20
years, and I don't know about dickieboy, he may not have been
licensed even that long, believe about me or anyone else, but I
have been licensed for over 40 years, and I think you are and will
be a credit to ham radio. You posting shows you have the right
attitude and will not put your emphasis on some status rating as
larry and dicieboy do. You are already more of a ham than they can
ever hope to be.

> What is your advice, what makes you most happy about the
> hobby? What keeps you coming back for more? Again, I'm not looking for a
> valuation on the merits (or lack of) in CW testing; I'm just curious as to
> how we newcomers to the hobby are viewed by the cross-section of established
> amateurs who post here.

Don't base anything on how newcomers are viewed by the
cross-section of hams based on how newbie larry and dickieboy and
some others on this forum view newcomers. They are the extreme
minority who hold such disdain for those lesser class licensees.

> I don't belong to a radio club yet, and have not
> interacted a whole lot with other hams since I am so new. I am not a whiner,
> and I am not adverse to CW or any other amateur radio modes, so please do
> not anticipate me as such. I am asking for input, because I'd really like to
> know more about the various things this hobby has to offer, but I'd really
> like to absorb the same without wearing the label "No-Code Tech" with the
> requisite shaming and baggage that *some* established amateurs seem to want
> to tack onto us beginners.
>
> Thanks.
>
> Jackie
> KC0ODY

Welcome to ham radio, fortunately you will meet many very nice
folks in ham radio, but you also have to accept that you will meet
the minority elitist as well.

1375. News Release: "No Written International" Founded.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 17:29:30 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DDBC67A.4B406765@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 55559

News Release:
"No-Written International" Founded.

11 November 2002, Coordinated Press:
Following the outstanding successes of "No-Code International,"
a group of progressive amateurs has incorporated
"No-Written International," a society dedicated to removing
antiquated written exams as an obstacle to an Amateur Radio license.

"Written testing is an antiquated, obsolete system used to
limit access to this hobby to an electronically gifted elite,"
said David Heilter, first president of NWI.
"The amateur airwaves belong to the public. It's past time that we
improved entrance standards, the same way standards have been
'improved' in the American education system. Written exams
oppress the electronic- and regulation- challenged in our
society. They are a throw-back to a time when work, determination
and accomplishment were the standards. We need to leave these
outdated notions behind and move toward a more equal system."

The ARRL responded with "Hey- Would you like a full page ad to
drum up members? We'll give ya 10% off. Such a deal!"
Officials of No-Code International could not be reached for comment.

1376. Re: News Release: "No Written International" Founded.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 17:52:19 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021120125219.26057.00000123@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 55560

>News Release:
>"No-Written International" Founded.
>
>11 November 2002, Coordinated Press:
>Following the outstanding successes of "No-Code International,"
>a group of progressive amateurs has incorporated
>"No-Written International," a society dedicated to removing
>antiquated written exams as an obstacle to an Amateur Radio license.
>
>"Written testing is an antiquated, obsolete system used to
>limit access to this hobby to an electronically gifted elite,"
>said David Heilter, first president of NWI.
>"The amateur airwaves belong to the public. It's past time that we
>improved entrance standards, the same way standards have been
>'improved' in the American education system. Written exams
>oppress the electronic- and regulation- challenged in our
>society. They are a throw-back to a time when work, determination
>and accomplishment were the standards. We need to leave these
>outdated notions behind and move toward a more equal system."
>
>The ARRL responded with "Hey- Would you like a full page ad to
>drum up members? We'll give ya 10% off. Such a deal!"
>Officials of No-Code International could not be reached for comment.
>

Good deal, where do I join up?

1377. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 18:12:01 UTC
From: Tom Ayers <kg6mvb@nospam.qsl.net>
Message-ID: <3DDBD071.AA598C1E@nospam.qsl.net>
Source record: 55563

Welcome.

I too am a new ham as of August. I went straight to General. One thing I have found is the attitude on the web - (newsgroups, qrz etc.) does NOT match the attitudes of the people I have talked to on the air. Do not use these newsgroups as an indicator. Find yourself a local club.

I have had a fascination with electronics and radio since I was a very young child. The code was my stumbling block for many years. I didn't want to go for the Novice license back in the day, as it was not renewable back then, and I feared not getting up to 13 wpm, and it ending.

The restructuring of code is what finally pushed me to get my license. I want to be able to tinker, and the HF bands have always seemed to be the place to experiment.

The local club has been very supportive, and have encouraged me to go for the Extra, and they have also encouraged several of the techs to go for the General. Most of the encouragement has come from hams in the club who have been licensed 20+ years and are old enough to be my Grandfather!

The program I used to finally learn code was from Ray Goff, G4FON. It uses the Koch method, which worked well for me. I work at least 50 hours a week, and have three kids at home, all wanting time. I installed it on my computer at work, and studied 20 minutes a day on my lunch hour. I set the program for 15 wpm with half speed (effective 7.5). This gave me an edge over the 5 wpm test speed. I took me about four weeks to get through all the characters, and about two weeks worth of practicing after that to feel comfortable. I passed the test on my first try (outside of my nerves, the test turned out to be easy). You can download the program from http://www.g4fon.co.uk/

73,
Tom Ayers, KG6MVB

"Jackie L." wrote:
>
> Hello, all.
>
> I've been lurking in this group for the past month or so and have found some
> of the threads (and the opinions they espouse) to be interesting, to say the
> least.
>
> I've a very new ham. I knew essentially nothing about this hobby 3 months

> ago. I just got my license on 10/2/02, and have not had much of a chance to
> really delve into anything ham-related yet. I've got a small handheld radio
> I bought for myself as a gift for passing the first exam. So far, I've
> mostly listened in to see how things work. I am planning on buying a
> multiband HF rig in the near future, after I pass the CW requirements.
>
> I've done lots of reading about amateur radio in a very short amount of
> time, and I've been an avid lurker to the "pro code / no code" battles (not
> just here, but elsewhere, too). Given that I am so new to CW, I am
> officially staying neutral on that subject as of now. I am learning CW, but
> slowly. Working 50+ hour weeks and having other responsibilities kinda puts
> CW study low on the priority list. I have given myself a 6 month deadline,
> then I'll take the CW exam if I feel I'm ready at that point. Okay, enough
> of the background.
>
> One thing that has me slightly dismayed since I started lurking here is this
> attitude that seems to pervade the contributions of *some* long-time amateur
> radio people (certainly not *all*) that today's licensing tests basically
> mean nothing, and that those who take them are necessarily inferior
> operators as a result of the "dumbed-down" requirements for passing today's
> licensing exams. I'd like to ask the folks that feel this way one
> non-rhetorical question. If you really believe that new hams are inferior to
> the established ones as a result of today's tests being less difficult than
> when you took them, is this the new ham's fault?
>
> If we neophytes decide that we want to get a ticket, we are told we need to
> take an exam. So, we buy the books we are told to buy and we study
> (hopefully), we take the test and (hopefully) we pass it. While today's
> tests may seem laughably easy to some of you, they are the reality of what
> we new hams are given to work with- through no fault of our own. I was not
> privy to the wrangling that went on over at the FCC headquarters when
> licensing exams were being restructured, nor do I know who all asked for the
> restructuring in the first place.
>
> At the time I took the Tech test, I had no knowledge that the previous
> entry-level exams for an amateur radio license were so much harder than the
> one I took. I still have a paper from the FCC that shows I hold an amateur
> radio license (50 MHz and above- for now). I have no sense of relativity
> when it comes to the test I took and the tests that you established amateurs
> took so many years ago. Even if I *wanted* to take a harder test to upgrade
> my license, just to prove that I could pass such an exam, it wouldn't be
> given to me now... so why should it matter?
>
> I decided to try amateur radio because some of my friends were into it, and
> I thought I'd see what they were so excited about. Turns out that I like it,
> yet from hearing the less-than-complementary things that some people have to
> say about us "No-Code Techs", you'd think that we really weren't wanted
> anywhere in the hobby. I really hope that this is not the opinion of most
> established hams. Many of us current newbies will go on to upgrade our
> licenses- but even then, we may be looked down upon by the old timers

> because we will take "dumbed-down" upgrade exams, too. How can we win?
>
> I really hope that the majority of long-time radio amateurs don't believe
> that we newly-licensed radio amateurs are inferior just because the tests we
> took to get our tickets may not have been as hard as the ones they took.
>
> Personally, I believe the proof of an operator's skill is shown through
> actual on-air practice, and not necessarily from what you had to digest to
> pass a test. There is *always* the opportunity to continue our skills and
> educational levels way past what we knew when first coming into the hobby
> (like getting a driver's license- do you really know everything about
> driving after a 6-hour behind the wheel course?). In fact, the little bit of
> theory I learned while studying for the Tech test got me interested enough
> in electronics that I went and bought a SW receiver kit, just so I could
> learn how to put together a radio from scratch.
>
> Many of my ham friends have serious backgrounds in electronics, computers
> and a variety of engineering fields. But not all of us hams have degrees in
> any of these subjects (or even a degree at all) so we will have to learn the
> technical theory on the upgrade exams without the benefit of any previous
> educational background. Even if we upgrade to General or "nickel Extra" in
> the future, what control do we new folks now have over present licensing
> requirements? While I have no idea what the, say, 1965 entry-level exams
> were like, they probably were harder than today's Technician exams. But
> there are new modes for us modern hams to use that weren't in existence 20
> or even 10 years ago, too, so the opportunities for continued learning seem
> endless. To me, this is an "on-the-job" hobby, and the test you take to
> enter it is just that- entry level. One can continue on as far as they wish
> to go in the hobby. For some, the hobby may even spur them on to pursue a
> new career.
>
> This group seems to see a lot of postings on the CW requirements (yeah, I
> know, duh). As I said, I'm not taking a stand one way or another on CW right
> now- I'm still too new to CW and have not been on the HF bands so that I can
> be an adequate judge of its value. Can you established hams convey something
> beyond a contempt for the present requirements for getting a ticket and
> teach me what's great about this hobby? I would really like to learn!
>
> I am (presently) a No-Code Technician. I had nothing to do with the easing
> of the FCC's licensing requirements, the "dumbing down" of the exam contents
> or anything related to them. I took the Technician exam as it is now, and I
> don't know anything about "how it used to be" years ago- other than that it
> was probably more technically challenging than today's exam, and that the
> licensing structure was quite different from the way it is now. These facts
> have little relevance to what I, as a new ham, would like to learn about
> this hobby today.
>
> I would like to know what you established amateurs think about the newcomers
> to this hobby. What is your advice, what makes you most happy about the
> hobby? What keeps you coming back for more? Again, I'm not looking for a

> valuation on the merits (or lack of) in CW testing; I'm just curious as to
> how we newcomers to the hobby are viewed by the cross-section of established
> amateurs who post here. I don't belong to a radio club yet, and have not
> interacted a whole lot with other hams since I am so new. I am not a whiner,
> and I am not adverse to CW or any other amateur radio modes, so please do
> not anticipate me as such. I am asking for input, because I'd really like to
> know more about the various things this hobby has to offer, but I'd really
> like to absorb the same without wearing the label "No-Code Tech" with the
> requisite shaming and baggage that *some* established amateurs seem to want
> to tack onto us beginners.
>
> Thanks.
>
> Jackie
> KC0ODY

--
Money is no object to me...

I have no money, therefore it is not an object.

1378. Re: News Release: "No Written International" Founded.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 18:18:32 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <YlQC9.570$lt3.54720@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 55561

"David Stinson" <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote

: Following the outstanding successes of "No-Code International,"
: a group of progressive amateurs has incorporated
: "No-Written International," a society dedicated to removing
: antiquated written exams as an obstacle to an Amateur Radio license.

The Armenian judges gave it a 9.8 on the Olympic Troll-O-Meter,
but they were over-ruled by the umpires in instant replay, who
award it a 2.6

The Armenian judges lodged a formal protest!

It was sufficiently trollish, of course, but way too obvious.
It was poorly written, poorly executed, and was so incredibly
lame as to lack the true drawing power of a really masterful
troll. Maybe as high as a 3.3 for the sheer stupidity of the
premise, but a 9.8? Never! The Armenian judges tear their
hair out, throw their balalaikas down in dismay, and perform
the traditional Armenian Dismay Chant and Pout! They
demand a recount!

Regards,

Hans, K0HB
Lord High Keeper of the Troll-O-Meter

1379. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 18:26:45 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <BiQC9.40460$dr6.37992@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 55562

Jackie L. <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote in message
news:SuGC9.58739$P31.32144@rwcrnsc53...
<<snipped for brevity>>
> Thanks.
>
> Jackie
> KC0ODY

Jackie,

All I can say is don't let the old frumpy, grumpy sourpusses stop you from taking advantage of what
the licenses of the 21 century give you. That "king on the hill" syndrome has been going on forever.
When they ran out of "W" calls and issued WA and WB calls, the old farts made fun of that. When
the "K" calls came along (not necessarily in the order presented), the old frumps sniffed and HAR-
UMPHED about that.

What it REALLY is about is C H A N G E. Old geezers don't like things to change; they would rather they bring back 1950 Buicks and Collins 32V2s. It reminds them that they are fast becoming
irrelevant. Hey, I'm 54 and becoming irrelevant, too! But I have determined certain things that will
keep me from ever becoming an old grumpy Gus. I refuse to drive staid 4-door sedans and stop in
the road to make a right turn into a driveway. I will NOT wear fedora hats or duster/golf caps and
poke slowly down the road oblivious to those who are behind me. I keep a keen sense of humor,
even on the corny, but clean, side. I'd rather be roller skating than reading the paper. And I LOVE
the new rigs and technology that are on the market.

What you are hearing is more the moanings of old, bald men who cannot stand for things to be different. "Why, back in 1956, we did it this h'yar way, and I don't see no reason to change it".
You will find that, not only in ham radio, but on the job where old buzzards have held forth since
the company started and grump and grouse about anything new.

Don't let 'em get to you. Enjoy your hobby and snicker at them behind their backs!
73

Jerry
K4KWH
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.391 / Virus Database: 222 - Release Date: 9/20/02

1380. Re: News Release: "No Written International" Founded.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 18:51:32 UTC
From: the ole bignose Yankee <peaslac3@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3ddbd9bd@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 55565

"David Stinson" <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3DDBC67A.4B406765@ix.netcom.com...
> News Release:
> "No-Written International" Founded.
>
> 11 November 2002, Coordinated Press:
> Following the outstanding successes of "No-Code International,"
> a group of progressive amateurs has incorporated
> "No-Written International," a society dedicated to removing
> antiquated written exams as an obstacle to an Amateur Radio license.

You don't have to worry at all
about the written test! Just write your
answers down on a cheat-sheet
and put them into THIS before the VEC
session begins......

clickinzie here:
http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=732791807

1381. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 18:54:24 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <ATQC9.627$It3.58636@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 55566

"Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote

: One thing that has me slightly dismayed since I started lurking here is
this
: attitude that seems to pervade the contributions of *some* long-time
amateur
: radio people (certainly not *all*) that today's licensing tests basically
: mean nothing, and that those who take them are necessarily inferior
: operators as a result of the "dumbed-down" requirements for passing
today's
: licensing exams.

Jackie,

First, you have to realize that rrap has almost NOTHING to do with real
amateur radio, and doesn't reflect in the slightest what REALLY goes on in
the hobby.

Second, you are not responsible for what entry qualifications exist when you
took the test.

Just jump in and enjoy. Fortunately, in this area we're blessed with a
great support structure of clubs for almost every interest, so you don't
need to use rrap as a resource!

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Minnesota RadioSport http://www.W0AA.org
Minnesota DX http://www.TCDXA.org
Twin Cities General Interest club: http://www.TCFMC.org
Minnesota ARRL connection: http://www.arrl.org/sections/MN.html
Minnesota youth: http://www.mnyarc.org
Minnesota scouts: http://www.k0bsa.org

1382. Re: News Release: "No Written International" Founded.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 19:07:39 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021120140739.19632.00000162@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 55568

>You don't have to worry at all
>about the written test! Just write your
>answers down on a cheat-sheet
>and put them into THIS before the VEC
>session begins......
>
>clickinzie here:
>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=732791807
>

I will bet you Brian is Bidding on it

1383. Re: News Release: "No Written International" Founded.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 19:07:39 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021120140739.19632.00000162@mb-ba.aol.com.mirrorpost>
Source record: 55571

>You don't have to worry at all
>about the written test! Just write your
>answers down on a cheat-sheet
>and put them into THIS before the VEC
>session begins......
>
>clickinzie here:
>http://cgi.ebay.com/ws/eBayISAPI.dll?ViewItem&item=732791807
>

I will bet you Brian is Bidding on it

1384. Re: News Release: "No Written International" Founded.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 19:24:01 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DDBE151.53A7B19E@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 55573

> Hans, K0HB
> Lord High Keeper of the Troll-O-Meter

It's a free country. You're entitled to express
your asinine, bogus opinion.
(heh heh)...

1385. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 19:51:38 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021120145138.19994.00000086@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 55575

>A no-code just
>can't be a 'real ham'.

And they never will be, nothing more than a CBplusser

1386. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 20:57:52 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DDBF750.5030400@psu.edu>
Source record: 55576

Dave Heil wrote:

<A whole bunch of stuff snipped>

> You have a mouse in your pocket? Your invisible pals will have to speak
> for themselves. Morse testing is not outdated or outmoded because you
> say so and a minimum age requirement for amateur radio is an absurd idea
> not championed by anyone but you. What are you, some kind of a control
> freak?

To use the increasingly difficult to follow first to third person
shifts in this argument....

It would appear to some in the amateur community that Heil has firmly
and over-obsessively struck the nail upon the head. Coslo is one of those.

Isn't "overly obsessive" kind of redundant?

1387. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 21:27:39 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDBFE4B.5E6B4693@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55589

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >A no-code just
> >can't be a 'real ham'.
>
> And they never will be, nothing more than a CBplusser

You, newbie larry, and dickieboy must really get along together.

1388. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 21:29:43 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDBFEC7.A70992C9@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55590

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:

> Jackie,
>
> First, you have to realize that rrap has almost NOTHING to do with real
> amateur radio, and doesn't reflect in the slightest what REALLY goes on in
> the hobby.
>
> Second, you are not responsible for what entry qualifications exist when you
> took the test.

And you are just as much a ham as any other ham. Maybe more so
than some.

1389. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 21:41:15 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DDC017B.70502@psu.edu>
Source record: 55577

Jackie L. wrote:
> Hello, all.
>
> I've been lurking in this group for the past month or so and have found some
> of the threads (and the opinions they espouse) to be interesting, to say the
> least.
>
> I've a very new ham. I knew essentially nothing about this hobby 3 months
> ago. I just got my license on 10/2/02, and have not had much of a chance to
> really delve into anything ham-related yet. I've got a small handheld radio
> I bought for myself as a gift for passing the first exam. So far, I've
> mostly listened in to see how things work. I am planning on buying a
> multiband HF rig in the near future, after I pass the CW requirements.

Welcome to Ham Radio, Jackie. You're on the right path to lurk and
learn. But don't hold off too long.

Join a club, and if you like, join the ARRL.

<some snippage>
>
> One thing that has me slightly dismayed since I started lurking here is this
> attitude that seems to pervade the contributions of *some* long-time amateur
> radio people (certainly not *all*) that today's licensing tests basically
> mean nothing, and that those who take them are necessarily inferior
> operators as a result of the "dumbed-down" requirements for passing today's
> licensing exams. I'd like to ask the folks that feel this way one
> non-rhetorical question. If you really believe that new hams are inferior to
> the established ones as a result of today's tests being less difficult than
> when you took them, is this the new ham's fault?

Let me make note of something here, Jackie. Your stumbling onto this
group is not the best thing that happened to you at this stage of your
hobby. rrap is pretty raw and at times downright ugly.

That being said, I have a few comments about the testing process:

1. The tickets are a license to learn, not an end in themselves. That
some here believe that the tests are dumbed down, that's too bad. I've
seen what the older tests contain, and they are also not all that
technically challenging. Then again, what is not technically challenging
for me might be very much so for others.

2. For me, the Morse code part ot the testing process was very
difficult indeed. Some here hold me as a dumbed down ham for that.

3. I've been in Electronics for almost 30 years now, mostly audio and
digital, I'm getting my feet wet in RF now. The testing does not make
the Ham - the Ham makes the ham.

> If we neophytes decide that we want to get a ticket, we are told we need to
> take an exam. So, we buy the books we are told to buy and we study
> (hopefully), we take the test and (hopefully) we pass it. While today's
> tests may seem laughably easy to some of you, they are the reality of what
> we new hams are given to work with- through no fault of our own. I was not
> privy to the wrangling that went on over at the FCC headquarters when
> licensing exams were being restructured, nor do I know who all asked for the
> restructuring in the first place.

My read on this is that people gain experience and knowledge as time
goes on. They might *remember* their test as more difficult. But they
forget that everyone starts out completely ignorant of the material.

Besides, I don't care what you did on the test. It's what you do after
becoming a ham that is of interest.

> At the time I took the Tech test, I had no knowledge that the previous
> entry-level exams for an amateur radio license were so much harder than the
> one I took.

They weren't harder.

> I still have a paper from the FCC that shows I hold an amateur
> radio license (50 MHz and above- for now). I have no sense of relativity
> when it comes to the test I took and the tests that you established amateurs
> took so many years ago. Even if I *wanted* to take a harder test to upgrade
> my license, just to prove that I could pass such an exam, it wouldn't be
> given to me now... so why should it matter?

It doesn't matter at all. We return to what the tests accomplish and
what they are for.

The ham needs to know enough safety related material so that they don't
harm themselves or others.

The ham needs to know proper operations procedures, so that they do not
transmit out of band or interfere with other amateurs or services.

You learned this in studying for and passing your technician exam. You
did what was asked and required.

> I decided to try amateur radio because some of my friends were into it, and
> I thought I'd see what they were so excited about. Turns out that I like it,
> yet from hearing the less-than-complementary things that some people have to
> say about us "No-Code Techs", you'd think that we really weren't wanted
> anywhere in the hobby. I really hope that this is not the opinion of most
> established hams. Many of us current newbies will go on to upgrade our
> licenses- but even then, we may be looked down upon by the old timers
> because we will take "dumbed-down" upgrade exams, too. How can we win?

Like I noted before, you found this newsgroup waaaay too early in your hobby. I could see us scaring a person completely away from the hobby.

<some more snippage>

> driving after a 6-hour behind the wheel course?). In fact, the little bit of
> theory I learned while studying for the Tech test got me interested enough
> in electronics that I went and bought a SW receiver kit, just so I could
> learn how to put together a radio from scratch.

Now your talking. I am firmly convinced that amateurs should do as much homebrewing as possible. Good job!

Seriously, I think homebrewing is more important than most other aspects of the ARS.

> Many of my ham friends have serious backgrounds in electronics, computers
> and a variety of engineering fields. But not all of us hams have degrees in
> any of these subjects (or even a degree at all) so we will have to learn the
> technical theory on the upgrade exams without the benefit of any previous
> educational background.

But you will have the advantage of really "loving the learning" as you are doing it for just that reason, loving to learn.

Even if we upgrade to General or "nickel Extra" in
> the future, what control do we new folks now have over present licensing
> requirements? While I have no idea what the, say, 1965 entry-level exams
> were like, they probably were harder than today's Technician exams. But
> there are new modes for us modern hams to use that weren't in existence 20
> or even 10 years ago, too, so the opportunities for continued learning seem
> endless. To me, this is an "on-the-job" hobby, and the test you take to
> enter it is just that- entry level. One can continue on as far as they wish
> to go in the hobby. For some, the hobby may even spur them on to pursue a
> new career.

Once upon I time, I started a thread here about comparing the new tests to the old. No significant difference. The main difference was that the technology changed, so did the questions.

> This group seems to see a lot of postings on the CW requirements (yeah, I
> know, duh). As I said, I'm not taking a stand one way or another on CW right
> now- I'm still too new to CW and have not been on the HF bands so that I can
> be an adequate judge of its value. Can you established hams convey something
> beyond a contempt for the present requirements for getting a ticket and
> teach me what's great about this hobby? I would really like to learn!

First not all of us have contempt for the present testing. I support CW testing because I think it is an important part of the whole ham experience. But I won't lose any sleep when it goes away.

CW proficiency does not make you a better ham, it only makes you better at CW.

> I would like to know what you established amateurs think about the newcomers
> to this hobby. What is your advice, what makes you most happy about the
> hobby? What keeps you coming back for more? Again, I'm not looking for a
> valuation on the merits (or lack of) in CW testing; I'm just curious as to
> how we newcomers to the hobby are viewed by the cross-section of established
> amateurs who post here. I don't belong to a radio club yet, and have not
> interacted a whole lot with other hams since I am so new. I am not a whiner,
> and I am not adverse to CW or any other amateur radio modes, so please do
> not anticipate me as such. I am asking for input, because I'd really like to
> know more about the various things this hobby has to offer, but I'd really
> like to absorb the same without wearing the label "No-Code Tech" with the
> requisite shaming and baggage that *some* established amateurs seem to want
> to tack onto us beginners.

Now we have to undo some of the damage done by your finding this group. 8^)

With one or two notable exceptions, everyone here is an okay person. If you were to QSO with them on the air, you would probably think "what a bunch of nice guys! But here in the newsgroup, we let fly with the opinions, and some of those opinions are strong indeed. but we run a complete spectrum of opinions, from vehemently anti-code to vehemently pro-code. As in any other group, it is best to throw away the fringe and listen to the middle.

So now that you are here, type up a storm, and give us a "new hams" perspective. Have fun, the ARS is a great hobby, and remember, rrap is just one of the rough neighborhoods in it

1390. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 22:13:42 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0211201413.28087501@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55578

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:<y2LC9.29310$s02.4684@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>...
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:aresqn$f04$0@208.207.71.157...

> > Bert Craig wrote:

> > > > > > I'd like to think there's room for all of us on AR, contesters,
> ragchewers,
> > > > > > etc...
> > > > >
> > > > > Sure there is. If I don't feel like participating, I go to 30 meters.
> > > > > On CW. :-)
> > > >
> > > > ...where 200 watts goes a looooooong way. :-)
> > > >
> > > > Hi Dick, how've you been?

> > Hey Bert, 'deed it does go a long way. You hear lots of real QRP there,
> > too.
> >
> > Getting on fine here, how's hamming these days?
> >
> > Did you see the new QRP CW transceiver from Dave Benson at Small Wonder
> > Labs called the Rock Mite? He also has a new 10 watt PEP SSB (a nd of
> > course digital) rig in the works. He's making them for a developing
> > Asian country and wants comments as to whether or not there'd be a
> > market for it to ham radio. I've already told him YES! Surf on over to
> >
> > <http://www.smallwonderlabs.com>
> >
> > and check all this out, and email him your comments. He's a fine fella
> > and a large part of what keeps this hobby moving. A skillful high-tech
> > engineer, he is a supporter and proponent of CW, as can be seen by his
> > products. I have no idea whether he is for or against code testing, I
> > didn't ask and couldn't care less. I gotta save that for all the heavy
> > duty blametossing bitchers like Brain Burke.
> >
> > Dick

There are many QRP transceiver kits and designs out there, ranging
from very simple to quite complex. Oddly enough, almost all of them
are cw or digital, and were/are designed by long-time Extras with 2x1
or 1x2 callsigns...;-)

One really exciting thing about the QRP folks is how common the design
and construction of complete, functional rigs has become among them.
Somebody gets an idea and turns it into a working rig, then puts it on
the air and makes contacts. I recall one ham who participated in FD a
few years back by sitting down at the bench at starting time. He then
proceeded to designing a new QRP rig, build it, and make FD contacts.
Had a fairly respectable score, too.
>
> Hi Dick,
>
> I read a review of the SW Rock Mite in WorldRadio. It looks like a real
> winner. I find that I'm kinda getting into QRP. Ever since my trip to SA, I
> vowed that I'd be better prepared (As opposed to not at all, hi hi.) to be
> able to operate QRP CW abroad. Enter the Wilderness SST for 30m. ;-)
>
> Perhaps the Rock Mite shall be my next build project? I'd like to get some
> "build" practice in before I tackle...you guessed it, an Elekraft K2/100. I
> want my soldering skills in top form and my patience factor high for that
> one. I love the thought of using a rig that's A) Supporting an American
> workforce. and B) Built by moir. Right now, I'm reading all the manuals,
> building tips, and other related articles on the Elekraft website. I want to
> be well familiar with this baby as it'll eventually become my main rig. Jim,
> N2EY, any comments and/or tips you might have would be greatly appreciated.

The Rock Mite is an amazing bit of work, but it's a VERY limited rig.
Output is 10 dB down from typical 5W QRP, and there are only two QRG
available. Not a general purpose QRP CW rig at all, but looks like a
bit of fun and only one evening to build (if that).

As a practice project, you might consider one of the SW+ series.
Although more expensive and complex, power output is 2.5 W and they
are tunable. All the Elecraft (note spelling) and most other QRP
designs require toroid winding, so you might consider a "build
practice" kit that includes toroid winding. Just a consideration.

The K2 is not that difficult to build if one has some soldering and
assembly skills, the right tools, and patience. Building mine was much
easier and faster than designing and building my homebrew rigs from
scratch, but still a bit of a challenge and a learning experience. One
real budget-saver is the fact that you can buy and build a "bare" K2,
then add the various options later.

Do you read the Elecraft reflector?

> I'll be sending an e-mail in support of the new rig for the ARS. Take care,
> Dick and Jim. :-)

Me too..

And keep practicing with that key!

73 de Jim, N2EY

7037 CW Wednesdays at 9 PM EST

1391. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 22:23:41 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arh213$17d$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55579

The general test I took in 1961 was eons ahead of the present Extra. Hard to believe but that explains a lot of what I hear on the air.

Dan/W4NTI

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message news:20021120114640.24623.00000170@mb-ch.aol.com...
> >I just looked over a sample "Extra" test. Im ashamed to say I am one.
> >
> >Dan/W4NTI
>
> Thats what I have been saying DAN, the whole written testing scheme is a JOKE.
> Not only did they dumb Down the Code Test, they Dumbed Down the written.
> And then we have Clowns like Brian who cant pass it.

1392. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 22:37:03 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0211201437.13a3b206@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55580

"Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote in message
news:<SuGC9.58739$P31.32144@rwcrnsc53>...
> Hello, all.
>
> I've been lurking in this group for the past month or so and have found some
> of the threads (and the opinions they espouse) to be interesting, to say the
> least.
>
> I've a very new ham. I knew essentially nothing about this hobby 3 months
> ago. I just got my license on 10/2/02, and have not had much of a chance to
> really delve into anything ham-related yet. I've got a small handheld radio
> I bought for myself as a gift for passing the first exam. So far, I've
> mostly listened in to see how things work. I am planning on buying a
> multiband HF rig in the near future, after I pass the CW requirements.

Welcome and congratulations, Jackie!
>
> I've done lots of reading about amateur radio in a very short amount of
> time, and I've been an avid lurker to the "pro code / no code" battles (not
> just here, but elsewhere, too). Given that I am so new to CW, I am
> officially staying neutral on that subject as of now. I am learning CW, but
> slowly. Working 50+ hour weeks and having other responsibilities kinda puts
> CW study low on the priority list. I have given myself a 6 month deadline,
> then I'll take the CW exam if I feel I'm ready at that point. Okay, enough
> of the background.

Good luck and keep at it. There are lots of online resources to help
you learn code, such as N0HFF's book on the subject and G4FON's
software (just two examples). Most is free for the download.
>
> One thing that has me slightly dismayed since I started lurking here is this
> attitude that seems to pervade the contributions of *some* long-time amateur
> radio people (certainly not *all*) that today's licensing tests basically
> mean nothing, and that those who take them are necessarily inferior
> operators as a result of the "dumbed-down" requirements for passing today's
> licensing exams.

I don't think anyone is necessarily "inferior" simply because of the
changes in testing. But the level of knowledge and skill necessary to
pass the tests for the various US ARS licenses has dropped over the
past several decades. Most of this has come about because of the FCC's
desire/need to save money, not because of anyhting hams have done.

>I'd like to ask the folks that feel this way one
> non-rhetorical question. If you really believe that new hams are inferior to

> the established ones as a result of today's tests being less difficult than
> when you took them, is this the new ham's fault?

Of course not! But at the same time, a reduction in test standards and
method means those who pass said test will, on average, be less
qualified unless they have learned more than the test requires.
>
> If we neophytes decide that we want to get a ticket, we are told we need to
> take an exam. So, we buy the books we are told to buy and we study
> (hopefully), we take the test and (hopefully) we pass it. While today's
> tests may seem laughably easy to some of you, they are the reality of what
> we new hams are given to work with- through no fault of our own. I was not
> privy to the wrangling that went on over at the FCC headquarters when
> licensing exams were being restructured, nor do I know who all asked for the
> restructuring in the first place.

Not that it matters, either.

> At the time I took the Tech test, I had no knowledge that the previous
> entry-level exams for an amateur radio license were so much harder than the
> one I took. I still have a paper from the FCC that shows I hold an amateur
> radio license (50 MHz and above- for now). I have no sense of relativity
> when it comes to the test I took and the tests that you established amateurs
> took so many years ago. Even if I *wanted* to take a harder test to upgrade
> my license, just to prove that I could pass such an exam, it wouldn't be
> given to me now... so why should it matter?

The important point is this: What really matters about a ham license
is what the holder does with it after it's earned.
>
> I decided to try amateur radio because some of my friends were into it, and
> I thought I'd see what they were so excited about. Turns out that I like it,
> yet from hearing the less-than-complementary things that some people have to
> say about us "No-Code Techs", you'd think that we really weren't wanted
> anywhere in the hobby. I really hope that this is not the opinion of most
> established hams. Many of us current newbies will go on to upgrade our
> licenses- but even then, we may be looked down upon by the old timers
> because we will take "dumbed-down" upgrade exams, too. How can we win?

Simple - just enjoy the parts of the ARS experience that you find to
be fun and ignore the naysayers.
>
> I really hope that the majority of long-time radio amateurs don't believe
> that we newly-licensed radio amateurs are inferior just because the tests we
> took to get our tickets may not have been as hard as the ones they took.

Most don't feel that way, from what I've seen. But you can certainly
understand that folks will argue over the requirements. Opinions range
from "let's have one class of license and make it really easy to get"
to "we need lots MORE license classes, tests and qualifications".
Somewhere in the middle is the system we've got.
>

> Personally, I believe the proof of an operator's skill is shown through
> actual on-air practice, and not necessarily from what you had to digest to
> pass a test.

I agree 100%. The license test does, however, indicate that a certain
minimum level of knowledge/skill was met at the time of the test.

> There is *always* the opportunity to continue our skills and
> educational levels way past what we knew when first coming into the hobby
> (like getting a driver's license- do you really know everything about
> driving after a 6-hour behind the wheel course?). In fact, the little bit of
> theory I learned while studying for the Tech test got me interested enough
> in electronics that I went and bought a SW receiver kit, just so I could
> learn how to put together a radio from scratch.
>
> Many of my ham friends have serious backgrounds in electronics, computers
> and a variety of engineering fields. But not all of us hams have degrees in
> any of these subjects (or even a degree at all) so we will have to learn the
> technical theory on the upgrade exams without the benefit of any previous
> educational background.

Many of us wound up becoming engineers because ham radio led us that
way. Me, for instance...

> Even if we upgrade to General or "nickel Extra" in
> the future, what control do we new folks now have over present licensing
> requirements?

You can send comments to the FCC, same as any other interested party.
FCC makes it easy to submit comments online, too. Whenever a change is
proposed, you can let your voice be heard.

> While I have no idea what the, say, 1965 entry-level exams
> were like, they probably were harder than today's Technician exams. But
> there are new modes for us modern hams to use that weren't in existence 20
> or even 10 years ago, too, so the opportunities for continued learning seem
> endless. To me, this is an "on-the-job" hobby, and the test you take to
> enter it is just that- entry level. One can continue on as far as they wish
> to go in the hobby. For some, the hobby may even spur them on to pursue a
> new career.

That's what happened to me, 35+ years ago.
>
> This group seems to see a lot of postings on the CW requirements (yeah, I
> know, duh). As I said, I'm not taking a stand one way or another on CW right
> now- I'm still too new to CW and have not been on the HF bands so that I can
> be an adequate judge of its value. Can you established hams convey something
> beyond a contempt for the present requirements for getting a ticket and
> teach me what's great about this hobby? I would really like to learn!

One great thing is that there is so much to do. Some of it is easy,
while other stuff requires an investment of time and effort. For me,
the main attractions are communicating in a mode that is unique (CW)

on the air, and building/operating radio equipment - including rigs I
have designed myself.

There are some folks who use the ARS as a way of venting their
negativism, but they are relatively few. Noisy, though.
>
> I am (presently) a No-Code Technician.

No, you're a Technician. At least that's what it says on every
Technician license I've ever seen. ;-)

> I had nothing to do with the easing
> of the FCC's licensing requirements, the "dumbing down" of the exam contents
> or anything related to them. I took the Technician exam as it is now, and I
> don't know anything about "how it used to be" years ago- other than that it
> was probably more technically challenging than today's exam, and that the
> licensing structure was quite different from the way it is now. These facts
> have little relevance to what I, as a new ham, would like to learn about
> this hobby today.

What would you like to learn?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1393. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 22:42:26 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uto3umrj5ki852@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55581

Jackie, welcome to amateur radio! You ARE a ham, period. I think it is more important to get in and start enjoying rather than waiting for the code test. Sometimes even older folks can change their mind - I was not particularly in favor of the various contests (are there any weekends set aside for NO contesting?), but one of the folks in this group mentioned this was a lot of fun for the newer licensees - and I changed my thinking quite a bit. 180 degrees, in fact.

If you lurk long enough, you find a lot of the friction is over the code thing. Don't worry, everyone will get over it. The big thing is to **enjoy**. You might even check into Echo Link (yes, there is an argument over whether or not this is amateur radio - so what?). You can use your computer to connect to repeaters all over the world and talk to folks that are mobile, using an HT, or even using a base station. I've got Echo Link on my PC - it's just another facet of amateur radio. I don't have a link handy, but you can use any search engine and get a copy of the free program. The instructions will be with it. You must have a valid amateur radio license to use it (they check) - and you DO have a valid license!

Just be thankful you don't have the hang-ups that some of the guys in here have (I'm tired of the old threads about Kim's callsign as well as the constant CW vs. no CW) - just enjoy and let the old codgers that have a hang-up just take a few more blood pressure pills ;-)

Welcome to amateur radio & 73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1394. Re: News Release: "No Written International" Founded.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 22:43:23 UTC
From: Clay N4AOX <wyn@att.net>
Message-ID: <feUC9.24014$hK4.2073955@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 55582

"David Stinson" <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3DDBC67A.4B406765@ix.netcom.com...
> News Release:
> "No-Written International" Founded.
>
> 11 November 2002, Coordinated Press:
> Following the outstanding successes of "No-Code International,"
> a group of progressive amateurs has incorporated
> "No-Written International," a society dedicated to removing
> antiquated written exams as an obstacle to an Amateur Radio license.
>
> "Written testing is an antiquated, obsolete system used to
> limit access to this hobby to an electronically gifted elite,"
> said David Heilter, first president of NWI.
> "The amateur airwaves belong to the public. It's past time that we
> improved entrance standards, the same way standards have been
> 'improved' in the American education system. Written exams
> oppress the electronic- and regulation- challenged in our
> society. They are a throw-back to a time when work, determination
> and accomplishment were the standards. We need to leave these
> outdated notions behind and move toward a more equal system."
>
> The ARRL responded with "Hey- Would you like a full page ad to
> drum up members? We'll give ya 10% off. Such a deal!"
> Officials of No-Code International could not be reached for comment.

Hey Dave,

Quick, what is the mailing address of NWI? I want to send in a
contribution in honor of
Bruce Perens
Carl Stevenson
Bill Suhl
.
.
.
and others. ;-)

Beautiful!!!!

73,
Clay N4AOX

1395. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 22:43:50 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arh3ff$1l6$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55583

I worked my first 'Rock Mite' the other afternoon on 20 cw. He was solid S7 with the usual band fade. Yapped back and forth for a good 20minutes.

He was MOBILE into a Hustler 20 m whip.

Dan/W4NTI

1396. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 22:47:15 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021120174715.05542.00002308@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 55585

>The general test I took in 1961 was eons ahead of the present Extra. Hard
>to believe but that explains a lot of what I hear on the air.
>
>Dan/W4NTI

Dan thats my biggest complaint, Im sure CW is dead as far as Testing goes, but with the written as Dumbed Down as it has become, I can see nothing left of Ham Radio but a Glorified CB Service.

1397. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 22:47:33 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uto48a53nn2292@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55584

Dan, I agree. My copy (having not copied Morse code between 1969 and 1993) was not 100% when I retested in 1993. I did, however, write a program that sent and received Morse code and did not want to debug it at 15 or 20 words per minute. Whew, what an hour's worth of debugging at 35 will do for your Morse. Besides, I did pass the 20 in 1966 as well as a commercial telegraph ticket back then. And copied 40 words per minute on paper for a good 5 minutes with NO errors for the Navy in 1967. Can I gripe? ;-)

This thread is interesting, no? Dang it, anyone with an amateur license IS a ham. Period.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message news:argfli$dr8$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net...
>
> No its not the new hams fault if he passes a test that was dumbed down.
> But it is a problem when those same 'new hams' downgrade and badmouth
> those that did indeed pass a tougher test. Not to mention the 20 wpm MORSE
> both send and receive and NOT mulitple guess.
>

1398. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 22:49:36 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0211201449.2ee3066a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55586

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3DDADD6E.6CD00616@earthlink.net>...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > In article <20021118203800.27509.00000356@mb-cb.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> > writes:
> >
> > >Ask yourself this question: Why do you (or anyone) respond to Mr. Anderson at
> > >all? It's abundantly clear that he is not here for civil discussion about
> > >amateur radio policy issues.
> >
> > If you wish a definition of "civility" in discussion about entering US
> > amateur radio as being total, absolute, irrevocable biased towards
> > your own personal desires, wishes, opinions, and parroting what
> > a membership organization has led you to believe, then your
> > question is correct as stated.
>
> I think he meant that you are short on "civil". The rest of your less
> than factual bleatings are discounted.

What I mean is that Mr. Anderson has repeatedly demonstrated that he
does not engage in civil, well-mannered discussion in this newsgroup
with those who disagree with him.

And you didn't answer my question, Dave - why do you (or anyone)
respond to Mr. Anderson, given the many examples of how he behaves in
the face of a differing opinion?
>
> > >7037 CW 9 PM EST Wednesdays
> >
> > Feel free to go over to a semi-private venue to "discuss" anything.
> > Perhaps you can work out a system where the only ones who
> > can get into US amateur radio are those who are already in US
> > amateur radio. Same logic. :-)
>
> Maybe you didn't get the word. Amateur radio is open to all and even
> the unlicensed may listen.

It should be clear from his comments that Mr. Anderson is against
radio amateurs' actually using the amateur radio bands to discuss
amateur radio issues - even though such discussion is clearly within
FCC and ITU rules.
In other words, he wants to be the censor - or radio cop of a service
he
does not participate in. Why should anyone take him seriously?

Almost all of the unlicensed may become licensed by following the established procedures.

> For some of the unlicensed, listening would be a new experience.

Exactly.

73 de Jim, N2EY

Don't forget, 7037 CW 9 PM EST Wednesdays. All are invited.

1399. Re: Ham Tower Incident On TV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 22:50:48 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uto4ec9ppdh560@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55587

Dan, about choice 'A' - change that to grandkids ... ;-)
I'll give the guy credit to be that active at his age; anyone should be careful with any antenna activity, however. Come to think of it, how many of us have been up on the roof (cleaning gutters like I did today) with no one around? Not too smart ...

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message news:argg4dajq1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> Going by experience....he probably got tired of waiting for help from;
>
> A. His kids
> B. His ham buds
> C. His local club
>
> So he did it himself with near tragic results.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
> news:q1MC9.30723$s02.20181@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> > Did anybody catch that 'What Were They Thinking' show last night? One of
> the
> > segments showed an 83 yr. old ham attempting to make adjustments to
either
> > his 3 el. tribander or his VHF crossed-yagi array. In either case, he
fell
> > over backwards and lodged his leg between the tribander boom (I think)
and
> > the tower. This left him dangling precariously at approx. 5 ft. while
the
> > local FD used the hook and ladder to effect the rescue. Moral: be super
> > careful on towers and use a harness (I didn't see him wearing one.) or
you
> > might get hurt AND put on public display...on national TV! Poor chap.
> :-(On
> > a good note, the report did say that he recovered fully and will likely
> > still attempt to "fine tune" his antenna. (Albeit in a safer manner.)
> >
> > --
> > 73 de Bert
> > WA2SI
> >

> >
>
>

1400. 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 23:06:24 UTC
From: rettysnitch@ugerumf.com
Message-ID: <arrl.doesnt.care.anymore@all.com>
Source record: 55592
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
pass all elements required for their license class.

Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.

Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
to improve things.

Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.

Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.

73, de Wouff Hong

1401. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 23:07:34 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uto5dr1nbhana3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55588

True, but I found myself at sea when we had an SOS on 500 KHz. The idiots at NPN, NPO, and others were calling virtually on his frequency. What a mess. Then there was the time propagation stopped (too close for HF) and we switched to VLF. A lot of interesting things I learned in the US Navy too (actually applied from previous learning). Then there was a typhoon that knocked out the coast guard station on Saipan, but that's a story for Hans to tell ...

Propagation you learn in a hurry without contests. Whilst the higher power stations fought at night on 75 to talk down the Eastern seaboard, I was using 25 watts on 160 to talk across the US. Heck, I used to ragchew with Norm, VK2NP, for hours on end using teletype. Lots of phone patches. 9:30 AM to around 10:30 AM on Guam back to the states using 10 meters - 20 meters later in the day.

As far as band conditions - I had a ball working novices on the Eastern seaboard of the US from Guam. You didn't need a contest to give you fun. They were limited to 75 watts, crystal control, back then. Yes, one used 500 Hz (or less) filters on them. BTW, more interesting was if your radio DIDN'T have all those fancy features ...
Back in the 60s, I was using a Halliscratchers S-20R, probably one of the WORST receivers I've ever used.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

1402. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-20 23:44:22 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021120184422.01555.00003435@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 55591

>You, newbie larry, and dickieboy must really get along together.

You must really love Cbers

1403. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 00:16:47 UTC
From: Randy <rchapman8@houston.rr.com>
Message-ID: <PBVC9.11377$Gc.230389@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 55593
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021120184902.729$84@newsreader.com...
> rettysnitch@ugerumf.com (Wouff Hong) wrote:
> > Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> > pass all elements required for their license class.
> >
> > Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.
> >
> > Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for
Extra.
> >
> > Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
> >
> > Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> > to improve things.
> >
> > Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.
> >
> > Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.
> >
> Step 8: don't cross post stink bait to 5 groups.

Step 9: Ban morons like Wouff Hong from Usenet access.

1404. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 00:18:54 UTC
From: Ron Hardin <rhhardin@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <3DDC266E.31CA@mindspring.com>
Source record: 55594
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Wouff Hong wrote:
>
> Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.

Whoa, I've been renewing since I was 12.
--
Ron Hardin
rhhardin@mindspring.com

On the internet, nobody knows you're a jerk.

1405. 160 Meter Band Plan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 00:24:22 UTC
From: tim english <te@usol.com>
Message-ID: <2m9otu8g1ujagnuj8ufqqscagi28mafn7f@4ax.com>
Source record: 55595
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Curious if anyone knows what the current 160 meter band plan is these days ? 10-15 years ago stateside CW activity occupied 1800-1820 or therabouts and the DX window was around 1825 as I recall. Having just put a new 160 inverted el up I have yet to hear anything down in the very low end of the band and all the cw activity seems to be from 1820 and up and the dx spots I see are up around 1830-1835. What gives ? Can anyone shed some light ?
--
Tim, K8TJ

1406. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 00:27:32 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <ULVC9.1275$It3.99745@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 55596
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Wouff Hong" <rettysnitch@ugerumf.com> wrote

: Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
: pass all elements required for their license class.
:
: Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.
:
: Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
:

....CLIP......

0 2 4 6 8 10
/
/
/
/
/
/
/
/
TROLL-O-METER

1407. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 00:31:50 UTC
From: "B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com>
Message-ID: <3DDC2976.A186B0F7@bubbles.com>
Source record: 55597
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:
>
> ....CLIP......
>
> 0 2 4 6 8 10
> /
> /
> /
> /
> /
> /
> /
> /
> TROLL-O-METER

Shit, my needle broke off and is still spinning...

1408. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 00:33:50 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arh9l3apq1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55598

Thank you Jim. CW is important to me. But maybe not to others. I have no problem with that. I just am sick and tired of hearing those that did do it are some kind of a goof or something.

As far as Im concerned there are plenty of CW ops around to last me the rest of my life. But more are ALWAYS welcome.

Dan/W4NTI

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message news:uto48a53nn2292@corp.supernews.com...
> Dan, I agree. My copy (having not copied Morse code between 1969 and 1993)
> was not 100% when I retested in 1993. I did, however, write a program that
> sent and received Morse code and did not want to debug it at 15 or 20 words
> per minute. Whew, what an hour's worth of debugging at 35 will do for your
> Morse. Besides, I did pass the 20 in 1966 as well as a commercial telegraph
> ticket back then. And copied 40 words per minute on paper for a good 5
> minutes with NO errors for the Navy in 1967. Can I gripe? ;-)
>
> This thread is interesting, no? Dang it, anyone with an amateur license IS
> a ham. Period.
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:argfli$dr8$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net...
> >
> > No its not the new hams fault if he passes a test that was dumbed down.
> > But it is a problem when those same 'new hams' downgrade and badmouth
> > those that did indeed pass a tougher test. Not to mention the 20 wpm
> MORSE
> > both send and receive and NOT mulitple guess.
> >
>
>

1409. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 00:36:16 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arh9pu$ao2$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55599

In some parts of the radio spectrum I think its already made it.

BUT.....I don't see anyone stepping in to correct a new comers mistakes...on the air. So whose fault is it really?

When I was initiating my on the air experience I was corrected, it seemed on a daily basis. I didnt' get my hackles up, I payed attention. Perhaps we need some more of that...eh?

Dan/W4NTI

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message news:20021120174715.05542.00002308@mb-mq.aol.com...
> >The general test I took in 1961 was eons ahead of the present Extra. Hard
> >to believe but that explains a lot of what I hear on the air.
> >
> >Dan/W4NTI
>
> Dan thats my biggest complaint, Im sure CW is dead as far as Testing goes, but
> with the written as Dumbed Down as it has become, I can see nothing left of Ham
> Radio but a Glorified CB Service.

1410. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 00:40:21 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arha1apgo1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55600

I agree the S-20R is not much of a receiver. I've got one here piled somewhere. No one said you needed a contest to learn about propagation. But it certainly can educate one if he pays attention.

My ham radio background helped me quite a bit while in the military. Not the CW per se...but the knowledge I had picked up just by being a ham.

Dan/W4NTI

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message news:uto5dr1nbhana3@corp.supernews.com...
> True, but I found myself at sea when we had an SOS on 500 KHz. The idiots
> at NPN, NPO, and others were calling virtually on his frequency. What a
> mess. Then there was the time propagation stopped (too close for HF) and we
> switched to VLF. A lot of interesting things I learned in the US Navy too
> (actually applied from previous learning). Then there was a typhoon that
> knocked out the coast guard station on Saipan, but that's a story for Hans
> to tell ...
>
> Propagation you learn in a hurry without contests. Whilst the higher power
> stations fought at night on 75 to talk down the Eastern seaboard, I was
> using 25 watts on 160 to talk across the US. Heck, I used to ragchew with
> Norm, VK2NP, for hours on end using teletype. Lots of phone patches. 9:30
> AM to around 10:30 AM on Guam back to the states using 10 meters - 20 meters
> later in the day.
>
> As far as band conditions - I had a ball working novices on the Eastern
> seaboard of the US from Guam. You didn't need a contest to give you fun.
> They were limited to 75 watts, crystal control, back then. Yes, one used
> 500 Hz (or less) filters on them. BTW, more interesting was if your radio
> DIDN'T have all those fancy features ...
> Back in the 60s, I was using a Halliscratchers S-20R, probably one of the
> WORST receivers I've ever used.
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
>
>

>

1411. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 00:41:57 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arhactuha1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55751

Got the trusty TS-530s sitting on 7037 right now. Awaiting the event in
about a hour and a half.

Dan/W4NTI

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0211201449.2ee3066a@posting.google.com...

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Don't forget, 7037 CW 9 PM EST Wednesdays. All are invited.

1412. Re: 160 Meter Band Plan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 00:42:57 UTC
From: paulfriedman@attbi.com
Message-ID: <I_VC9.68946$WL3.29734@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 55752
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Per the ARRL web page:
1.800 - 1.810 Digital Modes
1.810 CW QRP
1.800 - 2.000 CW
1.843-2.000 SSB, SSTV and other wideband modes
1.910 SSB QRP
1.995 - 2.000 Experimental
1.999 - 2.000 Beacons

73

Paul ab0si

"tim english" <te@usol.com> wrote in message
news:2m9otu8g1ujagnuj8ufqqscagi28mafn7f@4ax.com...
> Curious if anyone knows what the current 160 meter band plan is these
> days ? 10-15 years ago stateside CW activity occupied 1800-1820 or
> therabouts and the DX window was around 1825 as I recall. Having just
> put a new 160 inverted el up I have yet to hear anything down in the
> very low end of the band and all the cw activity seems to be from 1820
> and up and the dx spots I see are up around 1830-1835. What gives ?
> Can anyone shed some light ?
> --
> Tim, K8TJ
>

1413. Re: 160 Meter Band Plan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 00:44:22 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arha8q$4ge$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55753
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Its a mess...go to the ARRL page and look around for it. Lots of changes since 15 years back.

CW is 'safe' below about 1830. The bottom ten is digital now a days.

Dan/W4NTI

"tim english" <te@usol.com> wrote in message news:2m9otu8g1ujagnuj8ufqqscagi28mafn7f@4ax.com...
> Curious if anyone knows what the current 160 meter band plan is these
> days ? 10-15 years ago stateside CW activity occupied 1800-1820 or
> therabouts and the DX window was around 1825 as I recall. Having just
> put a new 160 inverted el up I have yet to hear anything down in the
> very low end of the band and all the cw activity seems to be from 1820
> and up and the dx spots I see are up around 1830-1835. What gives ?
> Can anyone shed some light ?
> --
> Tim, K8TJ
>

1414. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 00:57:31 UTC
From: Scott <scott2rp789@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <3DDC2F7B.BD59B63F@netscape.net>
Source record: 55754
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:

> :
>
> ....CLIP......
>
> 0 2 4 6 8 10
> /
> /
> /
> /
> /
> /
> /
> /
> TROLL-O-METER

In our case:

1 3 5 7 9 +20 +40 +60
/
/
/
/
/
/
/
/
TROLL-O-METER

1415. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 01:05:21 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDC3151.6F12F165@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55767

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >You, newbie larry, and dickieboy must really get along together.
>
> You must really love Cbers

There are a lot of good cbers out there, just like there are a lot
of lousy hams, you being one of them.

1416. Re: 160 Meter Band Plan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 01:12:39 UTC
From: "M.E." <bogus@nospam.com>
Message-ID: <utochqoqcstrbd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55755
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.swap,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Just remember, that the "ARRL" band plan, does not carry any "protection" of
law/rules.
The FCC is reluctant to rule any "band plan" on any amatuer band.

--
Respond to my "real" e-mail;
kk5dr@ev1.net
"tim english" <te@usol.com> wrote in message
news:2m9otu8g1ujagnuj8ufqqscagi28mafn7f@4ax.com...
> Curious if anyone knows what the current 160 meter band plan is these
> days ? 10-15 years ago stateside CW activity occupied 1800-1820 or
> therabouts and the DX window was around 1825 as I recall. Having just
> put a new 160 inverted el up I have yet to hear anything down in the
> very low end of the band and all the cw activity seems to be from 1820
> and up and the dx spots I see are up around 1830-1835. What gives ?
> Can anyone shed some light ?
> --
> Tim, K8TJ
>

1417. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 01:41:21 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DDC3994.DBFF6AB9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 55756

Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
> <A whole bunch of stuff snipped>
>
> > You have a mouse in your pocket? Your invisible pals will have to speak
> > for themselves. Morse testing is not outdated or outmoded because you
> > say so and a minimum age requirement for amateur radio is an absurd idea
> > not championed by anyone but you. What are you, some kind of a control
> > freak?
>
> To use the increasingly difficult to follow first to third person
> shifts in this argument....
>
> It would appear to some in the amateur community that Heil has firmly
> and over-obsessively struck the nail upon the head. Coslo is one of those.
>
> Isn't "overly obsessive" kind of redundant?

It is Len-speak like: "overly-preoccupied" or "overly-proud" or "You
demand" while I "point out".

Dave K8MN

1418. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 01:47:17 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <utoepbfdclu6bb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55757
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Hans has his meter protected with an input resistor and a couple of schottky diodes across it. You need about 600 volts into it to register 10 over.
;-)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com> wrote in message
news:3DDC2976.A186B0F7@bubbles.com...
>
>
> "K&#65533;HB" wrote:
> >
> > ....CLIP.....
> >
> > 0 2 4 6 8 10
> > /
> > /
> > /
> > /
> > /
> > /
> > /
> > /
> > TROLL-O-METER
>
>
>
>
> Shit, my needle broke off and is still spinning...

1419. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 01:50:48 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DDC3BDE.6070901@adelphia.net>
Source record: 55758

N2EY wrote:

> What I mean is that Mr. Anderson has repeatedly demonstrated that he
> does not engage in civil, well-mannered discussion in this newsgroup
> with those who disagree with him.
>
> And you didn't answer my question, Dave - why do you (or anyone)
> respond to Mr. Anderson, given the many examples of how he behaves in
> the face of a differing opinion?

We probably shouldn't, and I usually don't. I was drug into the fray by his interjection with more of the anti-Morse posts in reply to one of mine on the subject of CW radiosport. It was off topic, and well, suggestions that I get psychological help and that I should leave the group because I believe in good manners just begged for a retort on my part, no?

And,....well,... you have gotten into a few donnybrooks with the lad too IIRC! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1420. Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 02:09:34 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DDC4053.8050002@adelphia.net>
Source record: 55759

Dave Heil wrote:

>
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>>Dave Heil wrote:
>>
>> <A whole bunch of stuff snipped>
>>
>>>You have a mouse in your pocket? Your invisible pals will have to speak
>>>for themselves. Morse testing is not outdated or outmoded because you
>>>say so and a minimum age requirement for amateur radio is an absurd idea
>>>not championed by anyone but you. What are you, some kind of a control
>>>freak?
>>>
>> To use the increasingly difficult to follow first to third person
>>shifts in this argument....
>>
>> It would appear to some in the amateur community that Heil has firmly
>>and over-obsessively struck the nail upon the head. Coslo is one of those.
>>
>> Isn't "overly obsessive" kind of redundant?
>>
>
> It is Len-speak like: "overly-preoccupied" or "overly-proud" or "You
> demand" while I "point out".
>
> Dave K8MN
>

Sounds like the zeta-talk that someone is posting over in the
sci.astro.amateur group.

- mike KB3EIA -

1421. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 02:32:17 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <utohsg6uvtcoe4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55760

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021118220257.05603.00000106@mb-fc.aol.com...
> >See, ya gotta work the W5 part
> >in,
>
> No will just use the TIT part, thats what you seemed to be so proud of

Well, then it isn't any work off the callsign, but have all the fun you want. My nickname most of my life has been Booby. Well, I say most of my life...I mean from school to now and mostly only with old school friends.

I don't know that I'm "proud" of my tits. For goodness sake, we women are not like you men. For instance, if you're typical...you're boastfulness and assholiness indicates quite a small dick. You'd have the problem with that and are probably making up for what you perceive as a shortcoming (no pun intended).

However, as I've said many times here and elsewhere...big boobs are a fact of life for me and I may as well have fun with it.

Kim W5TIT

1422. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 02:35:13 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <utoi23st5f8266@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55761

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021118230924.14856.00000613@mb-mu.aol.com...
> In article <3DD89EA0.D3B61494@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>
> >
> >Larry would never live it down if Kim takes and passes the General
> >(unless her tech + is pre 1987) and the Extra writtens. Question pools
> >and practice tests abound, so it should be likely.....
> >
> >Kim, do it. It would bust his b*lls..... :-)
>
> Robert:
>
> I believe Kim has a Pre-Restructuring Tech Plus ticket, so she could
> fairly easily upgrade to General or Extra simply by taking the requisite
> written exams. If she wishes to do so, I have absolutely nothing
> against it. Of course, she'll be just another dumbed-down Nickle
> General or Extra, but her operating privileges would be legitimate.
> Why would it "bust [my] b*lls" if Kim upgraded? Oh, I get it -- you're
> adding yourself to the list of male rrap participants who are so
> infatuated with Kim and her big W5-tits that you'll say anything to
> get on her good side! Well, I hope it works out for you...
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Oh, and Robert: I forgot to add that Larry is incredibly jealous and
possessive of me...so go lightly...

Kim W5TIT

1423. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 02:41:15 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <utoidgbrmbv66d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55763

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:ardqis$oe4$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> There is but some folks would bitch if they were hanged with a new rope.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>
> > I'd like to think there's room for all of us on AR, contesters,
> ragchewers,
> > etc...
> >
> > --
> > 73 de Bert
> > WA2SI
> >
> >
>
>

Cough Yeah, read some of your posts of late.

Kim W5TIT

1424. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 02:46:30 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021120214630.27737.00005901@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 55762

Hello,

I've introduced a few people to amateur radio. I don't go out and pitch for it, I bring it up if the person may be interested. Last week, I let someone borrow a copy of my ARRL Handbook. He will see the introductory chapter about Ham Radio and possibly join.

I would like to let you know that you do not have to learn Morse code, just because of the "shaming by established amateurs". Actually these very same "amateurs" should be ashamed that they are for tying a higher license class to learning Morse Code (You must learn Morse Code to go above lowest license class). Then, they denigrate the lowest license class by calling it a "Technician". In reality, some may know more about the material than Extras, but they are at the lowest license class because they cant/wont learn Morse code. This tends to misrepresent Ham Radio's correlation between license class and actual ability.

Amateur radio can be so much more than just learning Morse code. It can involve Radio Direction Finding, homebrewing (designing circuits, not beer), (non-CW) kit building, troubleshooting, antenna setups, etc. You mentioned you would like to learn more about the hobby. Those that tend to advocate and or/teach Morse code don't seem to want to do the other activities. Don't limit yourself to Morse Code. . I proposed the idea of an "Amateur Radio Experimenter's Club".

Also, don't waste your time with contesting. Contesting is finding as many stations as you can, calling CQ, and then logging it in. It gets boring after the first few contacts. Doing the same boring thing over and over again can be boring.

If you are looking for Amateur Radio, you will not likely find this in the Ham Radio clubs or in the CB hobby. If you want to "Ham", contest, Morse Code, build antennas, learn about appliance operation, brag about propagation conditions, use strange keywords, and part of the "Ham" culture, than Ham Radio and/or CB radio is for you. If you want to be much more, it is up to you to bring up at meetings the more interesting activities.

Sincerely,
vshah

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >A no-code just
> >can't be a 'real ham'.
>
> And they never will be, nothing more than a CBplusser

1425. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 02:50:36 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <utoiure9ksrge4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55765

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021119213730.28561.00001749@mb-mv.aol.com...
> In article <3DDA96DD.B4142129@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
> writes:
>
> >There you're wrong again dickieboy, I hold an Advanced class, you
> >see I don't feel it necessary to get an Extra just to feel
> >superior as you and newbie Larry do. I never said in *any* way
> >that I was an Extra. Why would you are newbie Larry even ask what
> >class license I hold if this eqo status thing wasn't so important
> >to you?
>
> I'm going to come right out and say why. I think anyone who could
> be a ham for over 40 years and NOT be an Extra is proving precisely
> how lazy and unmotivated he is.
>

And what of people who "go to college" and get degrees all just to be a
busdriver...that's a waste of money *and* effort. What of that, Larry?

>
> Getting my Extra was probably the
> easiest thing I've done in my entire life
>

Yeah, and it does look like you go for the easy ways in life. Couldn't cut
the mustard as an HR person, so ya flunk out to being a "paratransit"
operator.

>
> -- and I got mine in the
> Pre-Restructuring, testing-before-the-FCC-examiner Era. It took me
> 16 months to go from nothing to Extra -- after having spent the
> previous 14 years whining about the code testing requirements!
>

What about the years since that you've spent whining?

>
> I'm not necessarily saying that you need an Extra-class license to
> be a full-fledged ham, but if you're going to be telling other hams
> what kind of hams they are, you'd better be one if THEY are! You
> and your piddling little Advanced class ticket don't have a leg to
> stand on to tell Dick and me what kind of hams we are!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

>

And, your ticket--nor nothing else about you--doesn't give you a leg to stand on, period.

Kim W5TIT

1426. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 02:55:09 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <hWXC9.1559$lt3.122725@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 55764

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote

: Also, don't waste your time with contesting. It gets boring after
: the first few contacts.

Not if you know how to do it right! <grin>

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Minnesota RadioSport http://www.W0AA.org
Worldwide RadioSport http://www.contesting.com

1427. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 03:05:48 UTC
From: Charles R Fregeau <n5hsr@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <arhiia$1sh$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55766
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Wouff Hong" <rettysnitch@ugerumf.com> wrote in message
news:arrl.doesnt.care.anymore@all.com...
> Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.
>
> Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.
>
> Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
> Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
> Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> to improve things.
>
> Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.
>
> Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.
>
>
> 73, de Wouff Hong

Much as I dislike some of the current state of things in amateur radio, we live in the 2000's not the 1950's. We have to deal with the current reality, bad as it might be. Returning to 1952 is not going to cure the problem. We need to pull together as hams and do things without bugging the FCC for everything. We used to do that.

Charles
N5HSR, Extra

1428. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 03:07:33 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <V5YC9.1583$lt3.125058@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 55768

So where is everyone? I'm sitting on 7.037 having a nice QSO with WA2SLH in NJ, after no RRAP'ers answered my many CQ's.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB

1429. Re: News Release: "No Written International" Founded.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 03:07:48 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021120220748.27737.00005909@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 55769

>> News Release:
>> "No-Written International" Founded.
Interesting concept.

>> "No-Written International," a society dedicated to removing
>> antiquated written exams as an obstacle to an Amateur Radio license.
Except you are wrong. ANYONE can take an 8 hour licence class and pass the exam easily in the same day. So, its hardly an obstacle.

> It's past time that we
>> improved entrance standards, the same way standards have been
>> 'improved' in the American education system.
To improve standards, make the test harder and don't tie code to the licence classes. You just helped my argument. Lets add RELAVANT standards. Not false, feel good, "accomplishments" like Morse code to tell us we accomplished something.

1430. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 03:12:13 UTC
From: Brian Denley <bdenley@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <haYC9.96167$QZ.14825@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 55770
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Why not just drop the archaic code requirement, beef up the theory
requirements and get hundreds of new, excited members tomorrow? That'll be
the biggest shot in the arm the hobby has seen in decades.

--
Brian Denley
http://home.attbi.com/~bdenley/index.html

"Wouff Hong" <rettysnitch@ugerumf.com> wrote in message
news:arrl.doesnt.care.anymore@all.com...
> Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.
>
> Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.
>
> Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
> Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
> Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> to improve things.
>
> Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.
>
> Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.
>
>
> 73, de Wouff Hong

1431. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 03:17:24 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDC5044.1C9C1B87@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55790

Dick Carroll wrote:

> Hi Jackie, and welcome to ham radio. I wish for you the satisfying
> longtime experience it has been for me. You have made it more than clear
> in your post that you'll have no problems and that you're the sort of
> ham that will be a credit to the service. That's very gratifying to see.

Nothing like talking out of both sides of your mouth. First you
make it known just how little you think of no-coders then you spin
this stuff.

Lets take a look at some of the things you and newbie larry have
said about those of 'lesser rank' than you and see just how you
really feel about Jackie and his no-code ticket.

:From: Richard Carroll (w0ex@scan.missouri.org)
:Subject: Re: No code = No ham.
:Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
:Date: 1997/12/22

:Mark VandeWettering wrote:
:>
:> In article <67jquk$3pg@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>,
:> CW <rayjl@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
:> >NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.
:> NO PORK = NO HAM. NO HAM = NO CHRISTMAS DINNER.
:> --
:>

:No problem, there's plenty of turkeys among the No-Coders

:From: Dick Carroll (dixie@townsqr.com)
: Subject: Re: Want to Make Wa8ulxA Cry?
: Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
:Date: 1999/08/17

:I stand by ALL I said. IF the day should come- and
:the 10 year expiration will take care of this pretty well-
:that no-code techs are a majority of ham radio operators the
:hobby is finished. No entity can stand when it it populated
:with all beginners who met no real qualifications.

There you go, all you no-code techs are going to finish off ham
radio, dickie said it himself.

:From: Dick Carroll (dixie@townsqr.com)
:Subject: Re: Smarting-Up

:Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
:Date: 1999/04/06
:Enough of those people will convert the ARS into a
:CB/Freeband/PCS and through their sheer numbers push out the
:serious ham radio users.

And your just going to turn the ham bands into cb.

:From: Dick Carroll (dixie@townsqr.com)
: Subject: Re: Hello Bob Wexelbaum
: Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
: Date: 1999/04/30
:-as asserted by all the dodo no-coders posting here-

:One central fact that I learned early on RRAP was simply
:that No-Coders aren't about to learn anything. If they were,
:they wouldn't be no-coders! They've got more excuses, dodges
:and "not to" than reason could ever counter. The harsh
:words they don't use themselves are provoked by them and
:their pugnacious actions. It should be no surprise that
:responses should meet or exceed them.

See Jackie, even though dickie pretends to welcome you to ham
radio he
knows that since you went for the no-code ticked you are just to
lazy
to ever learn anything otherwise as he says, you wouldn't be a
no-coder.

: Amateur Radio, RIP. Alas, we hardly knew ye. And the
:no-coders barely did at all.....
:Dick C

And again he claims the no-code ticket holders are going to bury
ham
radio. Make you feel good he thinks of you that way?

And now some of Larry's whining

:From: Larry Roll K3LT (yodoc@aol.comnospam)
: Subject: Being Extra is no Longer Rare! (Don't look now, but it
never was!)
: Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
: Date: 2000/07/03 Precisely. We gave the no-coders 99.97% of the
amateur radio
:spectrum in 1991, and they proceeded to pick up their microphones
:on the 2-meter band and start whining about not being able to
:pick up their microphones on HF and whine there!

Again, just because you hold an no-code ticket and possibably
content with the terms of your license, according to newbie
larry you are just a lazy no good for nothing will never be a real
ham.

:From: Larry Roll K3LT (yodoc@aol.comnospam)
: Subject: Re: Puke.
: Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
: Date: 2001-06-02 23:19:04 PST
:I don't recall having done so other than to treat you the same
way
:I treat *all* "no-coders."

As in I treat all no-coders with total disgust.

:From: Larry Roll K3LT (yodoc@aol.comnospam)
: Subject: Re: Isn't this interesting?
: Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
: Date: 2000/08/07
:BTW -- every time I take a stool
:softener, out comes a bunch of Liberal Democrat members
:of the Clinton Administration -- and some no-coders! However,
:I believe the first situation will clear up this November! Then
all I'll
:have to flush down are the no-coders!

If you didn't get your bowels so upset about some no-code ham
being just as
good a ham as you, you wouldn't need that stool softner newbie
larryboy.

More of newbie larry's disdane for the no-code ticket holders.

:From: Larry Roll K3LT (yodoc@aol.comnospam)
: Subject: Re: Place Vote:How Many Hams use Single Banded 10 Meter
rigs.
: Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
: Date: 2001-08-26 08:50:16 PST
:Single-band 10m rigs seem to me to be geared to two groups --
:terminally microphone-obsessed No-Coders who can't conceive of
:needing to use any other band and any mode other than SSB/FM
:voice, and CB'ers looking for a higher power (usually 25 - 100w.)
:easily-modified rig that they can use to "bootleg" on 11m. I am
a
:Real Ham who regularly uses CW and digital modes and little or
:no voice; therefore a single-band rig has limitations which would
:not appeal to me.

So, according to newbie larry, if you don't use cw and digital
modes
then you can't possibly be a 'real ham'.

:From: Larry Roll K3LT (yodoc@aol.comnospam)
: Subject: Re: TO THE IDIOT THAT POSTED THAT HE WAS UPGRADING TO
GENERAL THIS
: WEEK
: Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
: Date: 2000-08-23 20:35:50 PST

:I think we can pretty much draw the conclusion that the main
problem
:the no-coders have, or had, with the code tests was their own
laziness,
:lack of motivation, and perhaps, fear of failure.

As a no-code ticker holder you are just too lazy and have no
motivation
to do any better, according to newbie larry that is. Isn't it nice
to
have such encouragement from a fellow ham?

:From: Larry Roll K3LT (yodoc@aol.comnospam)
: Subject: Re: This should choke a few big mouths
: Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
: Date: 2000/06/21
:The way hams these days tend to fall all over themselves when
someone
:shows up at a club meeting with a brand-new No-code Tech ticket,
a
:new HT, and no clue as to which direction the paper should move
when
:they open up the instruction manual, is what puzzles me.

:From: Larry Roll K3LT (yodoc@aol.comnospam)
: Subject: Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport
: Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
: Date: 2002-11-16 09:26:29 PST
:That's the problem with you no-coders. You know nothing about CW
because
:you have had no practical experience in using it at a useful
proficiency
:level, therefore you tend to disbelieve it's potential. It all
boils down to
:two things -- laziness and whining.

:From: Larry Roll K3LT (yodoc@aol.comnospam)
: Subject: Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.
: Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
: Date: 2002-07-21 08:09:10 PST
:Good. I'm impressed. Not got CW? Bzzzzzt! You're inferior to
me
:as a ham! You lose!

There is that inferior/superior attitude again, see you holders of
a
no-code ticket are, according to newbie larry, painfully inferior
to
the big man with the extra ticket who can beep.

:From: Larry Roll K3LT (yodoc@aol.comnospam)
: Subject: Re: Morse Character spacing

: Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
: Date: 2000/07/22
:Actually, I took all my "real ham" tests between the years 1981 -
1983.

So if you weren't licensed in those years like newbie larry, then
you cannot be a 'real ham'.

Yet below newbie larry says you don't even have to have a ham
license to be a 'real ham'

:From: Larry Roll K3LT (yodoc@aol.comnospam)
: Subject: Re: What's a "Real Ham?" REAL EASY... K3LT's reply
(long)
: Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
: Date: 2001-05-13 10:48:52 PST
:A Real Ham is a person who makes something of himself *as* a
:radio amateur. A Real Ham can even be someone who doesn't
:possess a license --

And then here he says:

:From: Larry Roll K3LT (yodoc@aol.comnospam)
: Subject: Re: The Future of Packet Radio
: Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
: Date: 2002-11-10 09:18:43 PST
:There are no more Real Ham(tm) licenses. Only dumbed-down,
slow-code,
:fake Ham Wannabe licenses. The last Real Ham(tm) (General or
above)
:was licensed on April 14, 2000.

Make up your mind, which is it? According to him if you were
licensed
after April 14, 2000, then you are not and cannot be a 'real ham'.
Notice his (tm) after Real Ham, he claims he inventerd the term on
Fidonet some 10 years ago. Like no one had ever used the term
before
he did, yea right. Guess he also helped Gore invent the Internet.
Would
stand to reason they would be good buddies.

:From: Larry Roll K3LT (yodoc@aol.comnospam)
: Subject: Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.
: Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
: Date: 2002-07-21 08:09:10 PST
:No, I don't. I'll call myself a Real Ham based on my own
assessment
:of what makes a Real Ham -- which includes, as a prerequisite, a
:minimum of 13 WPM code proficiency and the ability to operate CW
:comfortably and competently on-the-air -- sending by hand and
:receiving by ear and copying in writing.

So as a no-coder or even an upgrade with the 5 wpm code test,
according to newbie larry's standards you are not a 'real ham'.
Larry even referred to my Advance class ticket as a 'piddling
little Advanced class ticket'.
See newbie larry thinks if you don't hold an extra like him and
operate cw then you are a nobody. However,
Jackie, even if you do get your extra class someday, you can never
reach the lofty heights as newbie larry and
dickie becasue you can get the extra with only a 5wpm code test,
so you can never acheive the lofty status
of being a 'real ham', at least according to them.
And then we hear from the all knowing wa8ulx:

:Subject: Re: How can we win?
:Date: 20 Nov 2002 19:51:38 GMT
:From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
:Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
:Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
: References:1

:>A no-code just
:>can't be a 'real ham'.

:And they never will be, nothing more than a CBplusser

And wa8lux's bio from qrz.com June 10, 2001 which he has evidently
deleted since then, even though it still expresses his true
feelings.

:WA8ULX Lookups: 82
:BRUCE A. BEYNON
:804 W 12TH AVE
:COVINGTON LA 70433
:USA
:Detailed info Update this listing Update Policy...

:Mailing Label Postcard

:Email: WA8ULX@AOL.COM

:One of the only Real Hams left, most of the new ones are
CBplussers

So again we see the disdain for the other class of hams, you just
simply
are not 'real hams' by the definitation of a very few minority
bloated ego status eekers here (by the way Brucie, did you get
newbie larry's permission to use the term 'Real Hams' as he has
it trade marked?).

So Jackie, don't worry about all the nonsense spouted by these few
minority egos, you are already more of a ham than these knot heads
will ever be.

1432. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 03:24:49 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <5mYC9.96891$1O2.7108@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 55771

"Tom Ayers" <kg6mvb@nospam.qsl.net> wrote in message
news:3DDBD071.AA598C1E@nospam.qsl.net...
> Welcome.

Thanks!

[snip]

> The program I used to finally learn code was from Ray Goff, G4FON. It
> uses the Koch method, which worked well for me.

That's what I am using too. I also bought the ARRL CD's. I am using both;
the ARRL CDs for getting the initial familiarity with the characters, and
G4FON for listening/speed practice.

> I work at least 50
> hours a week, and have three kids at home, all wanting time. I
> installed it on my computer at work, and studied 20 minutes a day on my
> lunch hour. I set the program for 15 wpm with half speed (effective
> 7.5).

That's what I have mine set to as well. Seems like the program has quite a
few fans, and you can't beat the price!

Jackie
KC0ODY

1433. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 03:25:47 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021120222547.27737.00005912@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 55772

>Or are you crystal controlled?
>
>Dan/W4NTI

I am crystal controlled - precise.

1434. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 03:27:43 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <PoYC9.88687$NH2.5839@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 55773

"K&#65533;HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message news:ATQC9.627$It3.58636@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...

> First, you have to realize that rrap has almost NOTHING to do with real
> amateur radio, and doesn't reflect in the slightest what REALLY goes on in
> the hobby.

I kind of got a sense of that already... :-)

> Second, you are not responsible for what entry qualifications exist when
you
> took the test.
>
> Just jump in and enjoy. Fortunately, in this area we're blessed with a
> great support structure of clubs for almost every interest, so you don't
> need to use rrap as a resource!

I am in the Twin Cities Metro area... I think I should probably hook up with a local club and get to know some local hams outside of my friends, most of whom are not really active in the hobby these days.

Thanks.

Jackie

1435. Re: Ham Tower Incident On TV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 03:32:55 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021120223255.09268.00001488@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 55774

In article <uto4ec9ppdh560@corp.supernews.com>, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@frontiernet.net> writes:

>Dan, about choice 'A' - change that to grandkids ... ;-)
>I'll give the guy credit to be that active at his age; anyone should be
>careful with any antenna activity, however. Come to think of it, how many
>of us have been up on the roof (cleaning gutters like I did today) with no
>one around? Not too smart ...

Jim:

Actually, now that you mention it, it wasn't! Shame on you!
I'm sure it won't happen again -- right?

73 de Larry, K3LT

1436. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 03:32:55 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021120223255.09268.00001489@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 55775

In article <3DDBBE56.BE13E7FC@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
writes:

>You're so hung up on your lofty status so I'm going to come right
>out and say it. I think anyone who has retired from the AF with
>all these skills, has a college degree, and after all these years
>can only drive a bus is proving precisely how lazy and unmotivated
>he is.
>Even though you went from nothing to Extra in 16 months, you're
>still nothing.
>Now I have spent enough time on a newbie with an ego and an
>attitude.

JJ:

You're perfectly welcome to do my job for a month and then tell
me, to my face, how lazy and unmotivated I am. I'm not just a
bus driver, I drive a Paratransit bus, which means I transport
physically and mentally challenged people exclusively, providing
door-to-door service over a county-wide area. Not only do I have
to know where virtually every doctor's office, medical center,
hospital, business, nursing home, and school in the county is,
but I have to be able to find the homes of a large and changing
clientele, and do it quickly and accurately. I do more map reading
and basic road navigation in one day than most people do in
a year. I have to safely maneuver a vehicle that is 25 feet long,
7.5 feet wide, and 10 feet high in crowded parking lots and narrow
city streets. I have to deal with loading and unloading barely
ambulatory and wheelchair-bound passengers up to 25 times
a day. I have to be in constant communication with my dispatch
center, and deal with frequent changes to my working manifest.
It is an essential service and our clientele depends on us to
provide safe, efficient transportation in order for them to live
relatively normal lives in spite of their individual challenges.
It is a job I enjoy and which gives me a great deal of satisfaction.
So, go ahead and make fun of my job. I get a laugh out of it
myself on a regular basis!

73 de Larry, K3LT

1437. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 03:40:16 UTC
From: scott <acepilot@bloomer.net>
Message-ID: <3DDC55A0.235DDCC4@bloomer.net>
Source record: 55777
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Yes, especially with a name like Wouff Hong! QST showed a picture of
Wouff Hong years ago. Looked like a stick to beat up trolls with.

Scott
N0EDV

Scott wrote:

> "Kï¿½HB" wrote:
>
> > :
> >
> > ....CLIP......
> >
> > 0 2 4 6 8 10
> > /
> > /
> > /
> > /
> > /
> > /
> > /
> > /
> > TROLL-O-METER
>
> In our case:
>
> 1 3 5 7 9 +20 +40 +60
> /
> /
> /
> /
> /
> /
> /
> /
> TROLL-O-METER

--

Scott
http://corbenflyer.tripod.com
http://groups.yahoo.com/group/ParasolAirplanes
Building RV-4
Gotta Fly or Gonna Die!

1438. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 03:46:46 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <GGYC9.96275$QZ.15103@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 55776

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:3DDC017B.70502@psu.edu...

> Let me make note of something here, Jackie. Your stumbling onto this
> group is not the best thing that happened to you at this stage of your
> hobby. rrap is pretty raw and at times downright ugly.

Ah, yes... but I guess that is kind of why I have lurked here for some time now... Usenet groups are well-known for their bombastic arguments and strongly-held opinions ... now and then, I glean some wisdom from both sides of an argument just by reading both sides of an argument, then trying to look past the ad hominems to figure out where the posters are coming from. But I know how to use my killfile and the truly offensive posters with nothing to say other than insults will go into it.

> That being said, I have a few comments about the testing process:
>
> 1. The tickets are a license to learn, not an end in themselves.

Agreed totally. I learned quite a bit from studying for the Technician test... I learned something about electronics, antennas and how signals are propagated, none of which I knew beforehand.

> 2. For me, the Morse code part ot the testing process was very
> difficult indeed. Some here hold me as a dumbed down ham for that.

I haven't been able to devote daily practice to CW yet, but it appears that I'm learning it pretty quickly thus far. I guess if I'm going to go through the trouble of learning it, I may as well learn it well enough to use it. I would like a Vibroplex keyer on my desk because I think that "Bug" is kinda cool-looking, too. :)

> The ham needs to know proper operations procedures, so that they do not
> transmit out of band or interfere with other amateurs or services.

I jammed those band plans into my noodle first thing! Ooh, "jammed" isn't the best word to use in this instance, I guess.

> Like I noted before, you found this newsgroup waaaay too early in your
> hobby. I could see us scaring a person completely away from the hobby.

Nahh. I heard about the "Great CW Wars" from some ham friends who've been through them. Everyone's got an opinion and some just bandy theirs about more vociferously than others.

> Now your talking. I am firmly convinced that amateurs should do as much
> homebrewing as possible. Good job!

It is really cool to finally know what all those little electronic parts are for!

> Once upon I time, I started a thread here about comparing the new tests
> to the old. No significant difference. The main difference was that the
> technology changed, so did the questions.

Yeah, quite so, I would imagine. There is PSK-31 (did I get that right?), packet and other digital modes out there now that weren't there all those years ago. I don't think that one mode replaces another, it is nice that we are able to pick and choose at length which mode we want to use and when.

> First not all of us have contempt for the present testing. I support CW
> testing because I think it is an important part of the whole ham
> experience. But I won't lose any sleep when it goes away.

I'd like to try and use it when I get that part of my upgrade, just to see if I can. If I find out I don't like it, there are lots of other ways of communicating.

> CW proficiency does not make you a better ham, it only makes you better
> at CW.

I guess the same could be said of any mode one utilizes.

> Now we have to undo some of the damage done by your finding this group.
8^)
>
> With one or two notable exceptions, everyone here is an okay person.

I think I've got one of the "notable exceptions" killfiled- without mentioning names or callsigns. No sense of wasting time reading personal insults.

> you were to QSO with them on the air, you would probably think "what a
> bunch of nice guys! But here in the newsgroup, we let fly with the
> opinions, and some of those opinions are strong indeed.

That's the essence of Usenet!

>but we run a complete spectrum of opinions, from vehemently anti-code to vehemently
> pro-code. As in any other group, it is best to throw away the fringe and
> listen to the middle.
>
> So now that you are here, type up a storm, and give us a "new hams"
> perspective. Have fun, the ARS is a great hobby, and remember, rrap is
> just one of the rough neighborhoods in it

Thank you. I look forward to learning more about this hobby. I'm glad I've been able to have my eyes opened to some of the issues surrounding code/no code arguments, even if some of them have been proferred with a heaping helping of pepper!

Jackie

1439. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 03:51:00 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021120225100.09268.00001490@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 55778

In article <haYC9.96167$QZ.14825@sccrnsc02>, "Brian Denley" <bdenley@attbi.com>
writes:

>Why not just drop the archaic code requirement, beef up the theory
>requirements and get hundreds of new, excited members tomorrow? That'll be
>the biggest shot in the arm the hobby has seen in decades.

Brian:

Been there, done that. We totally dropped the code testing requirement
for a Technician class license, giving up 97% of all amateur radio
frequency allocations, in 1991. What we got wasn't a bunch of "new,
excited members," but a bunch of middle-aged to elderly white men,
who proceeded to set up on 2-meters and use it as a shorter-range
version of CB for gassing away with their cronies all day long, with
virtually no technical involvement whatsoever. Then, in 2000, we
reduced the code testing requirement for a General or Extra class
license to 5 WPM, and what we got was a bunch of "instant
upgrades" due to grandfathering of those who passed the 5 WPM
code test anytime in the history of the Amateur Radio Service and
could provide some sort of proof. These measures have created
a mere 1.5% overall growth in the last 2.5 years. Giving away
code testing entirely, and further reducing the written testing
requirements, would probably never achieve anything like an
additional 1.5% growth in the same time. You said we'd get
"hundreds" of new hams -- well that's about right. What we really
need to secure our generous spectrum allocations, and give us
some political clout, is to get our numbers up to a million or so.
Throwing away our licensing requirements hasn't achieved that
goal so far...or even given us a decent start. Got any more ideas?

73 de Larry, K3LT

1440. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 03:54:19 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDC58EB.46EEE4E0@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55797
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Peter Lampione wrote:
..
>
> Code is what keeps me getting a ham licence.
> I just don't suffer fools gladly, and the code requirement is foolish.
> If I want to waste time, there are many more pleasant ways to do it.
>
> Cheers!
>
> Peter

Just as you think the code requirement may be silly, your attitude
of letting it stop you from getting a ham license is just as
silly. If you want the license learn the code and get it. It is
your lose if you don't.
You can start out with the no-code tech to get into ham radio, and
if you find it worth your time, then learn the code and upgrade.

1441. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 03:55:16 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <EOYC9.96287$QZ.15278@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 55779

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message

[MUCH snippage]

> What would you like to learn?

I'd like to try my hand at some 6 meter DX to start out... I've signed up for the local ARES group as well, we'll see where that goes... umm, I think the DXing part appeals to me quite a bit; possibly contesting in the future, but for now it would just be fun to make contacts from all over and fill up my log book. My primary hobby is amateur astronomy. I'm part of a local astronomy club and we've recently set up a special interest group within it that is devoted to radio astronomy- we've even procured time on another club's radio dish. I am eyeing this too, though I've not joined that group yet... I've been kinda busy with local school outreach events to go there right now. But it seems pretty interesting!

Presently, my area of ARS study is to find out more about antennas and transmission, and what types of antennas are best used for what bands, and so on. Lots of great reading out there on antennas- it's almost a separate hobby within the ARS. Also, I'm interested in building a more complex transceiver in the not-too-distant future- maybe one of the Ten-Tec kits?

Thanks for the welcome too.

Jackie

1442. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 03:57:44 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <YQYC9.96964$1O2.7209@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 55780

"K&#65533;HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message

[...]

I see you are local to me Hans... I will check out the websites you list in your .sig.

Jackie

1443. Re: Ham Tower Incident On TV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 04:05:44 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <utomsv4s3qnsfd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55781

Larry, as you know, holding even an amateur extra class ticket doesn't mean one has been tested for sanity .. ;-)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message news:20021120223255.09268.00001488@mb-cu.aol.com...
>
> Actually, now that you mention it, it wasn't! Shame on you!
> I'm sure it won't happen again -- right?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

1444. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 04:05:50 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021120230550.09268.00001491@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 55783

In article <3DDC55A0.235DDCC4@bloomer.net>, scott <acepilot@bloomer.net>
writes:

>Yes, especially with a name like Wouff Hong! QST showed a picture of
>Wouff Hong years ago. Looked like a stick to beat up trolls with.
>
>Scott
>N0EDV
>

Actually, it's a tool used in a sawmill for handling boards in close
proximity to the revolving saw blade. Different configurations of the
same sort of device are used for handling the unsawn logs.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1445. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 04:06:02 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <KYYC9.96310$QZ.15040@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 55782

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
>
> Your story is quite similar to my own. Long hours at work (Sure beats NOT
> working!) and our newborn lad conspired to steal any spare time I had. I'd
> given myself a year deadline to upgrade. That in itself is a good first
step
> and I note that, as an unbiased newbie, your decision to "just do it" is a
> good harbinger of your success. 20 mins. to half-an-hour daily will yield
> surprising results. I personally used the ARRL CD's and found them to be
> quite good.

I bought those at the local hamfest last month- so far so good. I'm also using the G4FON program, which is very good, and free for the download. I have not found that I can practice every day, but even every other day seems to be working. I am learning the characters quite quickly and I think it'll mostly require just lots of practice to get comfy with the speed. The G4FON program is really good for that- it shoots out random characters at 13 WPM (I have it set at half-speed for now) and since the lessons aren't the same from one to the next, I can't memorize the lessons like I might be able to memorize the practice on CDs or tapes.

I'm finding that I'm learning the code in rhythmic fashion- that is, I'm association the characters with other sounds or phrases to help me remember them as a whole "sound" and not just dits and dahs. Seems to work for me, others' mileage may vary I guess.

>If I can help you with anything at all,
> feel free to drop me an e-mail. If you want my ARRL CW CD's are yours to
> borrow. Welcome to a "way cool" (My niece's saying.) hobby and I wish you
> nothing but continued success. :-)

Wow, thanks. I have the ARRL CW CD's, thanks, but I may well be asking some newbie questions in the near future. I'll try to keep on topic though, so I may need to take some of my questions to the "misc" or "equipment" groups in rec.radio.amateur.

Thanks much!

73- Jackie.

1446. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 04:14:18 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021120231418.18440.00000194@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 55784

> For instance, if you're typical...you're boastfulness and
>assholiness indicates quite a small dick.

WOOOOO TIT. I take it you most have Experience along those lines.

1447. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 04:18:46 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021120231846.18440.00000195@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 55785

>just like there are a lot
>of lousy hams, you being one of them.
>

You dont know that

1448. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 04:34:35 UTC
From: plampione@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <b30faff8.0211202034.1cf442eb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55786
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

I would suggest somethig rather different.

Take out the code requirement, and put in the test some DSP questions
(how to make filters, equalize volume, remove noise, and modulate in
phase, frequency, quadrature, etc) as well as more advanced circuit
design.

The code requirement is just silly.
Code is just one of the many ways to encode a signal, a way that
happens to be possible to do by hand. But why bother with it?
That it is useful in emergencies is a ridiculous thing - if your
transceiver works, you might as well talk into it, so also general
SWL, and not only hams, can understand your transmission.
Instead, with the increased ease with which signals are digitized,
DSP should really be required knowledge.
I mean, it takes so little nowadays to feed the signal into an audio
board
or better A/D converter, and then start doing things digitally...

In fact, consider this: DSP should be required because, in case of
emergency, you hook up your PC/laptop audio board to the radio, and
there you go,
modulating any way you want...

Code is what keeps me getting a ham licence.
I just don't suffer fools gladly, and the code requirement is foolish.
If I want to waste time, there are many more pleasant ways to do it.

Cheers!

Peter

Oh and one more thing: I think it is incredibly funny that in a
country where you can get a driver's licence without knowing how to
operate a stick shift, you cannot operate ham without knowing code.

There, now flame me.

rettysnitch@ugerumf.com (Wouff Hong) wrote in message
news:<arrl.doesnt.care.anymore@all.com>...
> Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.
>
> Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.
>
> Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

>
> Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
> Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> to improve things.
>
> Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.
>
> Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.
>
>
> 73, de Wouff Hong

1449. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 04:40:22 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <WsZC9.1700$It3.141898@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 55787
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Brian Denley" <bdenley@attbi.com> wrote

: Why not just drop the archaic code requirement, beef up the theory
: requirements and get hundreds of new, excited members tomorrow? That'll
be
: the biggest shot in the arm the hobby has seen in decades.

You're wrong, of course. If you look at amateur radio from the view of a non-involved historian, the only regulatory change which had a significant statistical impact was the advent of the Novice license back in the early 50's. As originally envisioned and structured, Novice was an incredible smart regulatory move, perhaps the last smart thing the FCC did for the health of the Amateur Radio service.

Other than that, changes in licensing requirements have served only to generate sales of ink, to excite the excitable minority, and to give the Unabashers another reason to cancel their ARRL membership or to buy a life membership. No other impact is evident, and won't be after this current curve in the regulatory road. Hams will still be hams, and the excitable minority will get excited all over again. In about 2012 we'll be awaiting the next "restructuring" plan. Yawn.

73, Hans, K0HB

1450. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 05:00:39 UTC
From: N8KDV <n8kdv@iserv.net>
Message-ID: <3DDC6876.D4B96733@iserv.net>
Source record: 55788
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Typical comments by someone who is simply to lazy to learn. We've heard it all before.

Peter Lampione wrote:

> I would suggest somethig rather different.
>
> Take out the code requirement, and put in the test some DSP questions
> (how to make filters, equalize volume, remove noise, and modulate in
> phase, frequency, quadrature, etc) as well as more advanced circuit
> design.
>
> The code requirement is just silly.
> Code is just one of the many ways to encode a signal, a way that
> happens to be possible to do by hand. But why bother with it?
> That it is useful in emergencies is a ridiculous thing - if your
> transceiver works, you might as well talk into it, so also general
> SWL, and not only hams, can understand your transmission.
> Instead, with the increased ease with which signals are digitized,
> DSP should really be required knowledge.
> I mean, it takes so little nowadays to feed the signal into an audio
> board
> or better A/D converter, and then start doing things digitally...
>
> In fact, consider this: DSP should be required because, in case of
> emergency, you hook up your PC/laptop audio board to the radio, and
> there you go,
> modulating any way you want...
>
> Code is what keeps me getting a ham licence.
> I just don't suffer fools gladly, and the code requirement is foolish.
> If I want to waste time, there are many more pleasant ways to do it.
>
> Cheers!
>
> Peter
>
> Oh and one more thing: I think it is incredibly funny that in a
> country where you can get a driver's licence without knowing how to
> operate a stick shift, you cannot operate ham without knowing code.
>
> There, now flame me.
>
> rettysnitch@ugerumf.com (Wouff Hong) wrote in message
news:<arrl.doesnt.care.anymore@all.com>...

> > Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> > pass all elements required for their license class.
> >
> > Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.
> >
> > Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
> >
> > Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
> >
> > Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> > to improve things.
> >
> > Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.
> >
> > Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.
> >
> >
> > 73, de Wouff Hong

1451. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 05:04:47 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <arhphf$j9s$0@208.206.142.73>
Source record: 55789

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >I just looked over a sample "Extra" test. Im ashamed to say I am one.
> >
> >Dan/W4NTI
>
> Thats what I have been saying DAN, the whole written testing scheme is a JOKE.
> Not only did they dumb Down the Code Test, they Dumbed Down the written.
> And then we have Clowns like Brian who cant pass it.

And Brain says it is "more rigorous"than it used to be. Wonder if he
means
"rigor mortis".

1452. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 05:22:54 UTC
From: "Fred Hambrecht Sr." <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <utordf8p7hmh94@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55791
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Ban everyone who is not 100 percent in accordance with your views . Who the heck would you then post with?

Get real, everyone is entitled to their opinion.

Some where between you and him is probably a reasonable solution. I have noted that of those who got a ticket with little work, many are not renewing. A thing only has value when we have to work for it, unfortunately the ticket no longer requires much effort and has no real value to the recipient.

Still stuck in my mind is sweating before a steely eyed FCC examiner, drawing schematics, sending, and receiving code. Did I enjoy the process? Nope! but I did enjoy being able to count myself among a group of fellow hams that had gone through the "baptism of fire".

I don't care a wit that some folks have 5wpm licenses, You have yours and I have mine. I feel mine is worth more, but that is my opinion. Your mileage may vary...

Allow others to have their opinion, and now that the horse is dead you may cease the use of the whip.

73 Fred W4JLE (ex V3CB, V31GR, KB4NGB, KJ4JE)
Enjoying ham radio since 1955

"Randy" <rchapman8@houston.rr.com> wrote in message
news:PBVC9.11377$Gc.230389@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
>> Step 9: Ban morons like Wouff Hong from Usenet access.
>
>

1453. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 05:28:39 UTC
From: Bill M <"exray\\@n-o- .caribe.net>">
Message-ID: <utorhtc4aefpf0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55792
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Peter Lampione wrote:
> I would suggest somethig rather different.
>
> Take out the code requirement, and put in the test some DSP questions
> (how to make filters, equalize volume, remove noise, and modulate in
> phase, frequency, quadrature, etc) as well as more advanced circuit
> design.
>
> The code requirement is just silly.
> Code is just one of the many ways to encode a signal,
snip

> I mean, it takes so little nowadays to feed the signal into an audio
> board
> or better A/D converter, and then start doing things digitally...
>
> In fact, consider this: DSP should be required because, in case of
> emergency, you hook up your PC/laptop audio board to the radio, and
> there you go,
> modulating any way you want...
>
> Code is what keeps me getting a ham licence.
> I just don't suffer fools gladly, and the code requirement is foolish.
> If I want to waste time, there are many more pleasant ways to do it.
>
> Cheers!
>
> Peter

IMHO,
Requiring A/D knowledge is equally as silly as requiring knowing code.
A compromise test of all the various technologies is clearly the logical
way to approach licensing/testing and they attempt to do this to some
degree. But technology is changing rapidly and the wheels turn slowly.
I think we are at a point where a ham should know what A/D means even
if its only picking out the correct words on a multiple choice test.
But hmmm, isn't that basically what the code test is?

Ranting off from a recent thread on r.r.a.a. one would get the
impression that knowing the brand names and specs of current products
(the Hamstick thing) is more important in making a 'good' ham.

The League is pretty much in control of testing and licensing these days
and I'm of the crowd that thinks they are doing everything bass-ackwards

and ultimately alienating radio folks (both new and old) from their organization in favor of whiz-kid technology. I don't desire things to stay quagmired in the 1950's but I don't see the need to overwhelm the bulk of the ham community with articles that are better suited for an IEEE publication. If, back in the 50s, they published *ONLY* SSB stuff, the rank and file membership would have tossed in the ARRL towel...much like the situation we see today. QST is the League for most people and basically it (they) have become nothing more than another modern electronics magazine publisher, not a mouthpiece for amateur radio as a hobby for all age groups.
(Apologies to the CBers and SWLs that I'm cross-posting to)
-Bill WX4A

1454. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 05:32:27 UTC
From: "Fred Hambrecht Sr." <w4jle@charter.net>
Message-ID: <utorvc8qashpff@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55793
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Great gobs of bovine excrement, the license is simply insufficient
motivation for you to learn code. The "suffer fools" nonsense serves only to
cover up your lack of motivation. Learning anything is simply one more
exercise in broading one's life experiences.

"Peter Lampione" <plampione@yahoo.com> wrote in message ...
> ------------ SNIP ------------
> Code is what keeps me getting a ham licence.
> I just don't suffer fools gladly, and the code requirement is foolish.
> If I want to waste time, there are many more pleasant ways to do it.
>
> Cheers!
>
> Peter
>
>

1455. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 05:36:45 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-277C47.21370720112002@newssvr21-ext.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 55794
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <WsZC9.1700$lt3.141898@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote:

> >"Brian Denley" <bdenley@attbi.com> wrote
> >
> > Why not just drop the archaic code requirement, beef up the theory
> > requirements and get hundreds of new, excited members tomorrow?
> > That'll be the biggest shot in the arm the hobby has seen in decades.
>
> You're wrong, of course.

<snip>

We now interrupt this boring thread where ham's bicker yet again about
licensing for a bit of light entertainment.

Community Service

One day a florist goes to a barber for a haircut. After the cut he
asks the barber about his bill. "I am sorry, I cannot accept money
from you I am doing community service this week." The florist is
pleased and leaves the shop.

The next morning when the barber goes to open his shop; there is a
thank you card and a dozen roses waiting at his door.

Later a cop comes in for a haircut and he also goes to pay the
barber, and the barber replies: "I am sorry I cannot accept
money from you. I am doing community service this week." The
cop is happy and leaves the shop.

The next morning the barber goes to open his shop; there is a thank
you card and a dozen donuts waiting at his door.

A Democrat comes for a haircut and when he asks the barber what
he owes, the barber replies: "I am sorry I cannot accept money
from you. I am doing, community service this week." The Democrat
is very happy and leaves.

The next morning when the barber goes to open his shop, a dozen
Democrats are lined up waiting for a free haircut.

--
Telamon�

1456. Re: 7 steps to improve politics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 05:46:52 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <gr_C9.1807$It3.153363@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 55795
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote

:
: The next morning when the barber goes to open his shop, a dozen
: Democrats are lined up waiting for a free haircut.
:

A first grade teacher proudly proclaimed to her class one day that she was a liberal Democrat. She asked her students to raise their hands if they were liberal Democrats as well. Not really knowing what a liberal Democrat was, but wanting to be like their teacher, most of their hands flew up into the air. There was, however, one exception. A girl named Lucy had not gone along with the crowd. The teacher asked her why she had decided to be different.

"Because I'm not a liberal Democrat" she replied. ''Then, what are you?'' asked the teacher,

"Why, I'm a proud conservative Republican," boasted the little girl. The teacher, a little perturbed, asked Lucy why she was a conservative Republican.

"Well", she said, "I was brought up to trust in myself instead of relying on an intrusive government to care for me and do all of my thinking. My Dad and Mom taught me these things, and they are both conservative Republicans, and so now I am a conservative Republican too." The teacher, now a little embarrassed and maybe even just a bit angry, said, "That's no reason! What if your Mom was a moron, and your dad was an idiot. What would you be then?" The teacher paused, a bit smug, certain that she'd put the girl in her place, and slowly let a sly smirk creep across her face.

"Well, if that were the case", said Lucy after a moments thought,"then, I suppose I'd be a liberal Democrat."

73, de Hans, K0HB

1457. Re: Ham Tower Incident On TV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 05:47:59 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <utossc4h8ve7b0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55796

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:utomsv4s3qnsfd@corp.supernews.com...
> Larry, as you know, holding even an amateur extra class ticket doesn't
mean
> one has been tested for sanity .. ;-)
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim

Now there is an idea for the next test question pool,
questions that determine if we are sane or not. I dare
say most of us would fail that test ;)

I have said it for years about CBs and now I can say
the same thing about Ham radios. They should make
you pass an intelligence test before letting you use
a radio to talk on!

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

1458. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 05:56:30 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0211210556190.14064-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 55798
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 20 Nov 2002, Ron Hardin wrote:
>Wouff Hong wrote:
>>
>> Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
>> pass all elements required for their license class.
>
>Whoa, I've been renewing since I was 12.
>--
>Ron Hardin
>rhhardin@mindspring.com
>
>On the internet, nobody knows you're a jerk.

...That is, until you post! :-)

1459. Re: Ham Tower Incident On TV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 05:59:49 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0211210557590.14064-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 55799

On Thu, 21 Nov 2002, KB9TMP wrote:
>"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
>news:utomsv4s3qnsfd@corp.supernews.com...
>> Larry, as you know, holding even an amateur extra class ticket doesn't
>mean
>> one has been tested for sanity .. ;-)
>>
>>
>> 73 from Rochester, NY
>> Jim
>
>Now there is an idea for the next test question pool,
>questions that determine if we are sane or not. I dare
>say most of us would fail that test ;)

Speak for yourself.

As long as you're asking questions like that, maybe the questions should probe to see if one actually HAS A LIFE OUTSIDE OF HAM RADIO. Now, from what I have observed, THAT is a 90% failure rate!

>I have said it for years about CBs and now I can say
>the same thing about Ham radios. They should make
>you pass an intelligence test before letting you use
>a radio to talk on!

Non-sequitur: Even smart people can be assholes. That proves nothing.

1460. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 05:59:55 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DDC7600.6A2388DF@earthlink.net>
Source record: 55800

N2EY wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DDADD6E.6CD00616@earthlink.net>...
> > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <20021118203800.27509.00000356@mb-cb.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> > > writes:

> And you didn't answer my question, Dave - why do you (or anyone)
> respond to Mr. Anderson, given the many examples of how he behaves in
> the face of a differing opinion?

It must be a Mount Everest sort of thing--you know, because he's here.

> > > >7037 CW 9 PM EST Wednesdays
> > >
> > > Feel free to go over to a semi-private venue to "discuss" anything.
> > > Perhaps you can work out a system where the only ones who
> > > can get into US amateur radio are those who are already in US
> > > amateur radio. Same logic. :-)
> >
> > Maybe you didn't get the word. Amateur radio is open to all and even
> > the unlicensed may listen.
>
> It should be clear from his comments that Mr. Anderson is against
> radio amateurs' actually using the amateur radio bands to discuss
> amateur radio issues - even though such discussion is clearly within
> FCC and ITU rules.
> In other words, he wants to be the censor - or radio cop of a service
> he
> does not participate in. Why should anyone take him seriously?

That's one of the things I've asked repeatedly--why anyone should take
him seriously.

> Don't forget, 7037 CW 9 PM EST Wednesdays. All are invited.

Unfortunately for me, I'm usually on the road on Wednesdays at that time
and I don't get back here until about 10:45.

Dave K8MN

1461. Re: News Release: "No Written International" Founded.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 06:06:08 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <utotuceafv8t6d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55651

<SNIPPED IT ALL>
YAWN What a waste of bandwidth!

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"there is no rule against being stupid"
Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH

1462. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 06:39:43 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <arhv3f$uj4$0@208.206.142.73>
Source record: 55652

JJ wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > Hi Jackie, and welcome to ham radio. I wish for you the satisfying
> > longtime experience it has been for me. You have made it more than clear
> > in your post that you'll have no problems and that you're the sort of
> > ham that will be a credit to the service. That's very gratifying to see.
>
> Nothing like talking out of both sides of your mouth. First you
> make it known just how little you think of no-coders then you spin
> this stuff.

You really DO enjoy being wrong, don't you? In fact you don't know the difference between a nocoder and a newcomer who is learning the code. Get your head out, JJ and smell a little sunshine. The change might just be refreshing. Jackie isn't the sort of ham that is the problem, you and Brainless Burke are.

Oh, by the way YOU are the newbie on this block. I've been licensed several years longer than you. When FCC came out with incentive licensing I jumped in and immediately took all the tests to regain all the frequency spectrum I had lost, which meant doing all the tests through Extra since I held a Conditional General at the time and got credit for only the Novice. When the VE testing system was first started, I organized and ran a VE team for the first eight years. Initially it was the only team within a hundred miles, and we drew applicants from afar, until we had licensed so many other hams who started their own VE teams that we actually found ourselves with no one left to test, and out of business because of the new teams. I was glad to let them carry it on, I had done my part.

And how many no-code techs have you VE'ed into the hobby? None? that's what I thought. You're all mouth and no go.

1463. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 06:42:06 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <arhv7u$uj4$1@208.206.142.73>
Source record: 55653

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
Different configurations of the
> same sort of device are used for handling the unsawn logs.

That device goes by the name of 'cant hook".

1464. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 07:01:37 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ari0ch$2cf$0@208.206.142.73>
Source record: 55654

JJ wrote:
>
> You're so hung up on your lofty status

JJ you're truly clueless. It isn't that any Extra has lofty status, it's just that the codebashers who "just couldn't" have so little. Anyone who wants to become a ham, *reallyh* wants to become a ham, has no problem with spending the time and effort to master the requirements to whatever level they decide is for them. EXCEPT the codebashers. If you consider them to be an asset to ham radio that's your personal problem. So code is old and has largely been replaced in the commercial market. So what? that does nothing whatever to diminish it's characteristics nor its usefulness as radio's most basic communications method and still a much used mode within ham radio. If you don't understand that your understanding must be regarded as seriously flawed.

1465. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 07:07:59 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ari0of$33t$0@208.206.142.73>
Source record: 55655

N2EY wrote:
>
> There are many QRP transceiver kits and designs out there, ranging
> from very simple to quite complex. Oddly enough, almost all of them
> are cw or digital, and were/are designed by long-time Extras with 2x1
> or 1x2 callsigns...;-)
>

There will be a clue in that statement, for anyone who is at all informed and interested in the facts. Of course you already know, but it should be plain to most everyone by now that the basic reason for this is so is that voice modes are very much inferior for communications at low power levels while many of the digital modes including CW are very much useful at power levels which leave most SSB and FM QRP signals useless under much if not most of the time.

1466. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 07:10:21 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ari0st$33t$1@208.206.142.73>
Source record: 55656

Jim Hampton wrote:
>
you find a lot of the friction is over the code
> thing. Don't worry, everyone will get over it.

Yeah, most of us are already over it. Those who are not still complain about it all being someone else's fault that they were "excluded" from ham radio by the existance of a code test. That's nonsense of the most extreme sort.

1467. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 07:29:41 UTC
From: remove_ok1sip@atlas.cz
Message-ID: <5f5159fa.0211202329.2d9ec780@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55657
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Seven nice steps to DESTROY and KILL ham radio. Only several fanatics would stay. How would you like to be re-tested for your driving license every year ? Or to be subject to regular tests from the law codex under the penalty of losing your citizenship ? BTW, do you have a written permission to use the "trademarks" Wouff-Hong, Rettysnitch and Uggerumph ?

God save the hamradio !
OK1SIP

1468. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 08:27:27 UTC
From: W6DKN <w6dkn-nospam-@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <QG0D9.2146$EY.2069@fe01>
Source record: 55658
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Brian Denley" <bdenley@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:haYC9.96167$QZ.14825@sccrnsc02...
> Why not just drop the archaic code requirement, beef up the theory
> requirements and get hundreds of new, excited members tomorrow? That'll
be
> the biggest shot in the arm the hobby has seen in decades.
>
> --
> Brian Denley

I might agree if the theory questions were "fill-in-the-blank" and "draw-the-schematic", rather than multiple choice - where the answer is right in front of you. This would help ensure that the person has some actual knowledge rather than just having memorized a few answers from a test prep book.

In reality, your proposal would not attract any significant quantity of new hams because it would make the test harder than it is now. In today's "instant gratification" mindset world, many people want every thing "now" and don't want to expend any personal effort to get it. That's why the code element is constantly being complained about by so many...

73 de W6DKN

1469. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 11:11:40 UTC
From: ON5MJ <on5mjREMOVE@skynet.be>
Message-ID: <3ddcbfc0$0$181$ba620e4c@news.skynet.be>
Source record: 55659
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
| In today's
| "instant gratification" mindset world, many people want every thing "now"
| and don't want to expend any personal effort to get it. That's why the
code
| element is constantly being complained about by so many...
```

We have the same on this side of the pond. How to avoid that radioamateurism becomes CB with 100 watts?

73 de ON5MJ - Jacques

1470. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 12:31:11 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021121073111.00112.00000582@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 55660

In article <3ddcbfc0$0$181$ba620e4c@news.skynet.be>, "ON5MJ"
<on5mjREMOVE@skynet.be> writes:

>Subject: Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.
>From: "ON5MJ" <on5mjREMOVE@skynet.be>
>Date: Thu, 21 Nov 2002 12:11:40 +0100
>
>| In today's
>| "instant gratification" mindset world, many people want every thing "now"
>| and don't want to expend any personal effort to get it. That's why the
>code
>| element is constantly being complained about by so many...
>
>We have the same on this side of the pond. How to avoid that radioamateurism
>becomes CB with 100 watts?
>
How about some sort of requirement that is unique to radioamateurism?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1471. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 12:59:10 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <yM4D9.99629$1O2.6705@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 55661

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message

> Been there, done that. We totally dropped the code testing requirement
> for a Technician class license, giving up 97% of all amateur radio
> frequency allocations, in 1991.

Since I wasn't doing this in '91, I am not aware of what amateur bands were relinquished. Do you recall which they were and why they were given up?

73

Jackie

1472. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 13:03:03 UTC
From: Larry Bratcher <lbratche@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <bQ4D9.334$9S3.311643194@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 55664
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Sure think...the hobby is declining now so let's make it that much harder to
bring people into it.

What an Idiot!

"Wouff Hong" <rettysnitch@ugerumf.com> wrote in message
news:arrl.doesnt.care.anymore@all.com...
> Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.
>
> Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.
>
> Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
> Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
> Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> to improve things.
>
> Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.
>
> Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.
>
>
> 73, de Wouff Hong

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.419 / Virus Database: 235 - Release Date: 11/14/2002

1473. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 13:10:16 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <YW4D9.98978$QZ.16102@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 55665

"JJ" <radiobuff@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DDC5044.1C9C1B87@mailcity.net...

> See Jackie, even though dickie pretends to welcome you to ham
> radio he
> knows that since you went for the no-code ticked you are just to
> lazy
> to ever learn anything otherwise as he says, you wouldn't be a
> no-coder.

I see where you are coming from, but I don't necessarily agree that I'm being personally insulted because I'm a "no-coder". Of course I'm a no-coder- I'm not the the air with a paddle because I'm still learning it. I haven't yet passed the test. The whole gist of my original post- "how can we win?" was that I felt that beginners might be discouraged by those who looked down on newbies to the hobby because they had to pass a test that didn't include code in the first place.

I didn't learn CW and choose to pass the tech and the general the first time, because I just didn't have the time to do so. It is good that one can take steps and gradually work into a higher license class. Who knows; I may end up not liking CW after I start to use it but that could go for several other modes as well. I'm not particularly interested in packet radio, for example- seems too much like chatting on the Internet to me. But to each their own.

Jackie

1474. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 13:12:56 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0211210512.180146a6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55662
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

rettysnitch@ugerumf.com (Wouff Hong) wrote in message
news:<arrl.doesnt.care.anymore@all.com>...

> Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.

No problem! btw, are YOU volunteering to be part of the VE system that
would have to administer an additional ~70,000 exams-for-renewal per
year? Or is this a "let someone else do the work" idea?
>
> Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.

Again, no problem. But how are you going to convince FCC?
>
> Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

Again, no problem. But how are you going to convince FCC? What new
argument will change their minds after they have been gradually
phasing out code testing for the past 20 years?
>
> Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

Why? And are YOU volunteering to be part of the VE system that would
have to administer the tens of thousands of additional upgrade exams
per year? Or is this a "let someone else do the work" idea?

> Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> to improve things.

Did you read the ARRL's proposal and comments on restructuring? What
should ARRL be doing that they are not doing now?
>
> Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.

Why? Enforcement is FCC's job.
>
> Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.

How many tapes have you sent to Riley?

Heck, this isn't even a new list! Just a rehash of one from May of
2000. The Troll-O-Meter judges unanimously disqualify it from
consideration due to its nonoriginal content.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1475. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 13:14:51 UTC
From: "B.Hitt" <tiny@bubbles.com>
Message-ID: <3DDCDC4B.10B01D6C@bubbles.com>
Source record: 55663
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

OK1SIP wrote:
>
> How would you like to be re-tested for your driving
> license every year ?

Sounds like a great idea. Get the old people off the road.

1476. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 13:38:37 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021121083837.07646.00006795@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 55666

>Subject: Re: How can we win?
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 11/20/02 8:46 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021120214630.27737.00005901@mb-mf.aol.com>

>Also, don't waste your time with contesting.

The rest of the post would be informed "opinion" until you blatantly tell someone to "not waste (thier) time" with contesting.

Why don't you let people find out for themselves if contesting (or any other aspect of Amateur Radio, for that matter) is for them...???

>Contesting is finding as many
>stations as you can, calling CQ, and then logging it in.

An overly simplistic and inaccurate representation of what contesting is all about.

>It gets boring after
>the first few contacts. Doing the same boring thing over and over again can
>be
>boring.

....UNLESS you happen to like trying to compete against other like-minded operators who tweak-and-tune thier stations to get every last bit of performance out of them...UNLESS you like trying to beat the skill of otehr operators, either in "single op" or "multi-op" stations.

>If you are looking for Amateur Radio, you will not likely find this in the
>Ham
>Radio clubs or in the CB hobby.

First of all...WHY would you look for "Amateur Radio" in the CB hobby?

Secondly, what DO you expect to find in a Ham Radio club...???
Again...another very unenlightened opinion from someone who obviously does not have very much experience from which to make an informed and valid opinion.

By the way, "vshah", WHAT was your callsign again...??? How may YEARS have you been an Amateur? (And in what nation?)

>If you want to "Ham", contest, Morse Code,
>build antennas, learn about appliance operation, brag about propagation
>conditions, use strange keywords, and part of the "Ham" culture, than Ham
>Radio
>and/or CB radio is for you.

English is a second language for you, isn't it?

>Sincerely,
>vshah

Who?

73

Steve, K4YZ

1477. Re: 160 Meter Band Plan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 13:46:33 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021121084633.07646.00006796@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 55667

>Subject: Re: 160 Meter Band Plan
>From: "M.E." bogus@nospam.com
>Date: 11/20/02 7:12 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <utochqoqcstrbd@corp.supernews.com>

>Just remember, that the "ARRL" band plan, does not carry any "protection" of
>law/rules.

.....however the FCC has issued citations to operators who were operating
contrary to those band plans.

>The FCC is reluctant to rule any "band plan" on any amatuer band.

True...that's why they left it to "us" to determine how we want to lay it
out, THEN they enforce that.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1478. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 14:15:16 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DDCEAA3.D94F6752@earthlink.net>
Source record: 55668

Vshah101 wrote:

> I would like to let you know that you do not have to learn Morse code, just
> because of the "shaming by established amateurs". Actually these very same
> "amateurs" should be ashamed that they are for tying a higher license class to
> learning Morse Code (You must learn Morse Code to go above lowest license
> class).

Not exactly. You have to learn morse code to obtain an HF license, not because of any shaming. You learn morse code to be able to communicate with others who are using morse code. You learn morse code for the sheer joy of learning--in much the same way one would achieve satisfaction from learning any of the other material required for licensing.

> Then, they denigrate the lowest license class by calling it a
> "Technician".

"They" call it "Technician" because that is the name of the license class.

> In reality, some may know more about the material than Extras,
> but they are at the lowest license class because they cant/wont learn Morse
> code. This tends to misrepresent Ham Radio's correlation between license > class and
actual ability.

...and your comments tend to ignore that those who have passed the Extra have passed every amateur radio exam administered by the FCC.

> Amateur radio can be so much more than just learning Morse code.

...and it is much more.

> It can involve
> Radio Direction Finding, homebrewing (designing circuits, not beer), (non-CW)
> kit building, troubleshooting, antenna setups, etc. You mentioned you would
> like to learn more about the hobby. Those that tend to advocate and or/teach
> Morse code don't seem to want to do the other activities. Don't limit yourself
> to Morse Code. . I proposed the idea of an "Amateur Radio Experimenter's Club".

One can design and build circuits without any amateur radio license whatsoever.
Your statement about those advocating or teaching morse code is not based upon any facts.

> Also, don't waste your time with contesting. Contesting is finding as many
> stations as you can, calling CQ, and then logging it in. It gets boring after
> the first few contacts. Doing the same boring thing over and over again can be

> boring.

Designing circuits all the time can be boring. Participating in radio
direction finding all of the time can be boring.

> If you are looking for Amateur Radio, you will not likely find this in the Ham
> Radio clubs or in the CB hobby.

While I'll agree that one wouldn't likely find amateur radio in the CB
hobby, I'm stumped by your comment regarding "ham clubs".

> If you want to "Ham", contest, Morse Code,
> build antennas, learn about appliance operation, brag about propagation
> conditions, use strange keywords, and part of the "Ham" culture, than Ham Radio
> and/or CB radio is for you. If you want to be much more, it is up to you to
> bring up at meetings the more interesting activities.

Yeah, I spend much many of my evenings developing strange new keywords.
It is also great fun to brag about the propagation and to allow others
to think I had something to do with bringing it about.

> Sincerely,
> vshah

Anyone see the 1960's Peter Sellers movie "The Party"?
Birdie num-nums?

Dave K8MN

1479. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 14:47:08 UTC
From: paulfriedman@attbi.com
Message-ID: <MI6D9.91851$NH2.6246@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 55669
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Jim:

Not to complain <grin>, but you skipped my favorite part.

In Step 5 you are supposed to cancel your ARRL membership. Then, in Step 6 you are supposed to complain to the organization from which you just resigned and in which you now have no standing. I think we need a step 8: LCT at 2IAO (Logical Consistency Test at 2 Ideas at Once level.)

73

Paul ab0si

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message news:c2356669.0211210512.180146a6@posting.google.com...
> rettysnitch@ugerumf.com (Wouff Hong) wrote in message news:<arrl.doesnt.care.anymore@all.com>...
>
> > > Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> > > pass all elements required for their license class.
>
> No problem! btw, are YOU volunteering to be part of the VE system that
> would have to administer an additional ~70,000 exams-for-renewal per
> year? Or is this a "let someone else do the work" idea?
> >
> > > Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.
>
> Again, no problem. But how are you going to convince FCC?
> >
> > > Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
> Again, no problem. But how are you going to convince FCC? What new
> argument will change their minds after they have been gradually
> phasing out code testing for the past 20 years?
> >
> > > Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
> Why? And are YOU volunteering to be part of the VE system that would
> have to administer the tens of thousands of additional upgrade exams
> per year? Or is this a "let someone else do the work" idea?
>
> > > Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> > > to improve things.
>

> Did you read the ARRL's proposal and comments on restructuring? What
> should ARRL be doing that they are not doing now?
> >
> > Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.
>
> Why? Enforcement is FCC's job.
> >
> > Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.
>
> How many tapes have you sent to Riley?
>
> Heck, this isn't even a new list! Just a rehash of one from May of
> 2000. The Troll-O-Meter judges unanimously disqualify it from
> consideration due to its nonoriginal content.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

1480. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 16:06:55 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021121110655.08236.00001702@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 55671

In article <b30faff8.0211202034.1cf442eb@posting.google.com>,
plampione@yahoo.com (Peter Lampione) writes:

>The code requirement is just silly.

Peter:

Pray tell us exactly what your qualifications are for making such a
statement about a mode of which you apparently know little, if
anything (proof below)?

>Code is just one of the many ways to encode a signal, a way that
>happens to be possible to do by hand. But why bother with it?
>That it is useful in emergencies is a ridiculous thing - if your
>transceiver works, you might as well talk into it, so also general
>SWL, and not only hams, can understand your transmission.

Well, if more hams were *required* to know the Morse code, and
were tested for useful proficiency in same, then this particular
statement would not be true, would it? BTW -- you may be
surprised how many SWL's can copy the Morse code at high
speeds, with or without a ham radio license.

>Instead, with the increased ease with which signals are digitized,
>DSP should really be required knowledge.

No argument here -- I am a strong proponent of digital modes!

>I mean, it takes so little nowadays to feed the signal into an audio
>board
>or better A/D converter, and then start doing things digitally...
>In fact, consider this: DSP should be required because, in case of
>emergency, you hook up your PC/laptop audio board to the radio, and
>there you go, modulating any way you want...

All true. However, I believe you'll find that the largest numbers of
users of digital modes today are also hams who are code proficient,
having been licensed and tested in the Pre-Restructuring Era. I
have had very few QSO's in PSK31 with hams who weren't also
proficient CW operators (or claimed to be).

>Code is what keeps me getting a ham licence.

Well, since the maximum requirement is only 5 WPM, there's
hardly any reason for that to be so.

>I just don't suffer fools gladly, and the code requirement is foolish.

That's funny -- most of us code testing supporters have been saying
the exact same thing for years!

>If I want to waste time, there are many more pleasant ways to do it.

I found learning and using the Morse/CW mode to be the most
pleasant and enjoyable aspect of my participation in the amateur
radio service.

>Cheers!

Cheers to you, mate!

>Peter
>
>Oh and one more thing: I think it is incredibly funny that in a
>country where you can get a driver's licence without knowing how to
>operate a stick shift, you cannot operate ham without knowing code.
>
>There, now flame me.

No need to flame you, you're entitled to your opinion -- however
ill-informed it may be.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1481. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 16:16:13 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDD06CD.5D686A5E@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55675

"Jackie L." wrote:
.
>
> I see where you are coming from, but I don't necessarily agree that I'm
> being personally insulted because I'm a "no-coder". Of course I'm a
> no-coder- I'm not the the air with a paddle because I'm still learning it. I
> haven't yet passed the test. The whole gist of my original post- "how can we
> win?" was that I felt that beginners might be discouraged by those who
> looked down on newbies to the hobby because they had to pass a test that
> didn't include code in the first place.

And that would be newbie larry, dickieboy, and wb8lux. Even if you
decided not to learn the code and were perfectly happy with the
no-code tech license, which is perfectly fine, you would be
chastised by these for being lazy and never being a 'real ham'.

1482. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 16:19:49 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <arj135$7un$0@208.207.71.171>
Source record: 55672

"Jackie L." wrote:
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3DDC5044.1C9C1B87@mailcity.net...
>
> > See Jackie, even though dickie pretends to welcome you to ham
> > radio he
> > knows that since you went for the no-code ticked you are just to
> > lazy
> > to ever learn anything otherwise as he says, you wouldn't be a
> > no-coder.
>
> I see where you are coming from, but I don't necessarily agree that I'm
> being personally insulted because I'm a "no-coder".

Jackie, that would be because you're NOT a nocoder. A nocoder is one
who totally rejects the very idea of hams needing to learn Morse code,
and constantly harangues anyone who supports CW or a code test
requirement for hams. You don't do any of this and you're learning the
code. How anyone could consider you to be a nocoder is beyond any
rational explanation. But you may have noticed there are a lot of
irrational nocoders holding forth on this NG. ;-)

Just because one holds a codeless technician license doesn't mean s/he
is a nocoder, obviously. All that is a figment of the twisted minds of
folks like JJ and Burke Turke.

Of course I'm a
> no-coder- I'm not the the air with a paddle because I'm still learning it. I
> haven't yet passed the test. The whole gist of my original post- "how can we
> win?" was that I felt that beginners might be discouraged by those who
> looked down on newbies to the hobby because they had to pass a test that
> didn't include code in the first place.
>

I hope this has been cleared up to your satisfaction.

Dick

1483. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 16:36:37 UTC
From: Larry Phipps <larry@telepostinc.com>
Message-ID: <pY7D9.2280$4j5.1223340@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 55670
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

If you really want to increase the Ham ranks, and improve people's knowledge
and skill at the same time...

Have a monthly operating contest where the winner gets $5000.
Have a monthly design contest where the best project gets $5000.

After winning, you would have to sit out for 2 years so others could
benefit.
The FCC could fund this using the revenue from the spectrum of ours that
they're selling to the cellular crowd and other big lobbies ;-)

--
73,
Larry N8LP
www.telepostinc.com/n8lp.html

<paulfriedman@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:Ml6D9.91851$NH2.6246@sccrnsc01...
> Jim:
>
> Not to complain <grin>, but you skipped my favorite part.
>
> In Step 5 you are supposed to cancel your ARRL membership. Then, in Step 6
> you are supposed to complain to the organization from which you just
> resigned and in which you now have no standing. I think we need a step 8:
> LCT at 2IAO (Logical Consistency Test at 2 Ideas at Once level.)
>
> 73
>
> Paul ab0si
>
>
> "N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:c2356669.0211210512.180146a6@posting.google.com...
> > rettysnitch@ugerumf.com (Wouff Hong) wrote in message
> news:<arrl.doesnt.care.anymore@all.com>...
> >
> > > Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> > > pass all elements required for their license class.
> >
> > No problem! btw, are YOU volunteering to be part of the VE system that
> > would have to administer an additional ~70,000 exams-for-renewal per
> > year? Or is this a "let someone else do the work" idea?
> > >

> > > Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.
> >
> > Again, no problem. But how are you going to convince FCC?
> > >
> > > Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for
> Extra.
> >
> > Again, no problem. But how are you going to convince FCC? What new
> > argument will change their minds after they have been gradually
> > phasing out code testing for the past 20 years?
> > >
> > > Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
> >
> > Why? And are YOU volunteering to be part of the VE system that would
> > have to administer the tens of thousands of additional upgrade exams
> > per year? Or is this a "let someone else do the work" idea?
> >
> > > Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> > > to improve things.
> >
> > Did you read the ARRL's proposal and comments on restructuring? What
> > should ARRL be doing that they are not doing now?
> > >
> > > Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.
> >
> > Why? Enforcement is FCC's job.
> > >
> > > Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.
> >
> > How many tapes have you sent to Riley?
> >
> > Heck, this isn't even a new list! Just a rehash of one from May of
> > 2000. The Troll-O-Meter judges unanimously disqualify it from
> > consideration due to its nonoriginal content.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

1484. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 16:37:09 UTC
From: "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <PR7D9.653$pc.134484@news.iquest.net>
Source record: 55673
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"N8KDV" <n8kdv@iserv.net> wrote in message news:3DDC6876.D4B96733@iserv.net...
> Typical comments by someone who is simply to lazy to learn. We've heard it all before.
>
Hmmm.... I had a license with code requirements (many years ago), but I also
have problems with certain kinds of motor skills. It isn't impossible, but I do tend
to find the competency of 100W+ CBers who happen to have ham licenses to
be disappointing.

My biggest frustration now is the use of SOT type packages for my RF design, but
I am working through the issues, and learning how to deal with the technical problems.
I suspect that much of the ham hobby is being supressed by the commonly available
parts technology (and at UHF+, the needed smaller dimensions.) However, from a
time and 'exclusive club' standpoint, I suggest that the competency of 95% of the hams
would be quite insufficient to build and fully understand their equipment.

This is akin to a brutish physical skill overwhelming intellectual comptency. Morse
code is only a brutish, anachronistic (most of the time) skill (which is problematical
WRT poor fine motor control.) It is apparent that some in the ham community
are compensating for lack of sufficient mental skills by making it exclusionary based upon
physical skills.

John

1485. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 16:39:00 UTC
From: "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <yT7D9.654$pc.134374@news.iquest.net>
Source record: 55674
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Fred Hambrecht Sr." <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:utorvc8qashpff@corp.supernews.com...
> Great gobs of bovine excrement, the license is simply insufficient
> motivation for you to learn code.
>
The amazing thing is the dumbing down of ham radio (but the rigs are beautiful
nowadays aren't they?) Ham radio is now almost an endorsement on CB, rather
than having to REALLY know (not just remember) something appropriate for
the current world.

John

1486. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 18:26:03 UTC
From: Kevin_Ryder <Kevin_Ryder@email.msn.com>
Message-ID: <u#JcatYkCHA.1432@cpimsnntpa03>
Source record: 55676
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

The best way to improve ham radio is for hams/ amateurs to stop thinking
they are better for they are not. Everyone Is Innocent.

KR

"John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net> wrote in message
news:yT7D9.654$pc.134374@news.iquest.net...

"Fred Hambrecht Sr." <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:utorvc8qashpff@corp.supernews.com...
> Great gobs of bovine excrement, the license is simply insufficient
> motivation for you to learn code.
>
The amazing thing is the dumbing down of ham radio (but the rigs are
beautiful
nowadays aren't they?) Ham radio is now almost an endorsement on CB,
rather
than having to REALLY know (not just remember) something appropriate for
the current world.

John

1487. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 18:31:16 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021121133116.05372.00002942@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 55677

>And that would be newbie larry, dickieboy, and wb8lux

Whos wb8lux, I dont think i have seen him post here before.

1488. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 18:57:47 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <arjabb$sk7$0@208.206.142.104>
Source record: 55678
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"John S. Dyson" wrote:
>
> "Fred Hambrecht Sr." <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:utorvc8qashpff@corp.supernews.com...
> > Great gobs of bovine excrement, the license is simply insufficient
> > motivation for you to learn code.
> >
> The amazing thing is the dumbing down of ham radio (but the rigs are beautiful
> nowadays aren't they?)

Isn't it a real paradox? The smarter and more beautiful the radios, the
dumber the hams who use them! :-)

Ham radio is now almost an endorsement on CB, rather
> than having to REALLY know (not just remember) something appropriate for
> the current world.

I certainly have no problem agreeing with this, although it really has
little or nothing to do with Morse code on ham radio. You can always use
a keyboard to send if you can't physically handle a manual key or
paddle. I may go to one of those due to arthiritis myself one of those
days. But a computer program does a really poor job of copying, as far
as any I;ve been able to evaluate shows. That will probably change if
some of you more computer literate souls choose to keep working on it.
Looks like you'd rather just dump the whole mode. That I don't
understand. I do understand why some people don't like th emode, and I
can appreciate that. There are things I don't like, too. I have no great
love for Morse code(despite the rantings of some of the more rabid
anticoders who post here), but I learned to respect it for what it is
and appreciate it for what it offers-the most basic, simple, easily
implemented mode of radiocommunications yet devised.

Does that mean I or any other CW supporter would in any way advocate
use of CW -at any time- to the exclusion of better more efficient modes?
Of course not, again despite the rumblings of some anticoders posting
here. I, and most other code supporters, routinely use advanced digital
modes, some of us use them more than CW. Again, that does nothing
whatever to diminish the qualities of Morse code. Some of us understand
the mode well enough to consider it to be a valuable asset to ham radio.
If you don't, that's certainly your privilege.

Dick

1489. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 19:09:59 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arjb25she1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55679

There you go Dick...letting the cat out of the bag. Now all these
'Anti-coders' will be begging to get on CW and QRM us off.

Dan/W4NTI

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ari0of$33t$0@208.206.142.73...
>
>
> N2EY wrote:
> >
> > There are many QRP transceiver kits and designs out there, ranging
> > from very simple to quite complex. Oddly enough, almost all of them
> > are cw or digital, and were/are designed by long-time Extras with 2x1
> > or 1x2 callsigns...;-)
> >
>
>
> There will be a clue in that statement, for anyone who is at all
> informed and interested in the facts. Of course you already know, but it
> should be plain to most everyone by now that the basic reason for this
> is so is that voice modes are very much inferior for communications at
> low power levels while many of the digital modes including CW are very
> much useful at power levels which leave most SSB and FM QRP signals
> useless under much if not most of the time.

1490. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 19:10:43 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arjb38tmn1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55680

I woulda broke in but you had such a fantastic fist I couldn't stand not listening to you.

Dan/W4NTI

"K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message news:V5YC9.1583$It3.125058@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> So where is everyone? I'm sitting on 7.037 having a nice QSO with WA2SLH in
> NJ, after no RRAP'ers answered my many CQ's.
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>

1491. Re: News Release: "No Written International" Founded.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 19:13:19 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arjbgoslj1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55681

Yeah lets add relevant stuff to the Amateur tests. How about stuff like....

What is the formula to cut a 1/2 wave diploe in free space ?

or

What is splatter in a SSB transmitter and how can it be avoided?

or

Explain why using the lowest power to maintain communications is helpful to
others on the band.

In otherwords lets get some questions on there that need to be know by the
majority out there.

Dan/W4NTI

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021120220748.27737.00005909@mb-mf.aol.com...
>
> >> News Release:
> >> "No-Written International" Founded.
> Interesting concept.
>
> >> "No-Written International," a society dedicated to removing
> >> antiquated written exams as an obstacle to an Amateur Radio license.
> Except you are wrong. ANYONE can take an 8 hour licence class and pass the
exam
> easily in the same day. So, its hardly an obstacle.
>
> > It's past time that we
> >> improved entrance standards, the same way standards have been
> >> 'improved' in the American education system.
> To improve standards, make the test harder and don't tie code to the
licence
> classes. You just helped my argument. Lets add RELAVANT standards. Not
false,
> feel good, "accomplishments" like Morse code to tell us we accomplished
> something.
>

1492. Re: Ham Tower Incident On TV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 19:14:24 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arjba5leo1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55682

But does it help if you stayed at a Holiday Inn?

Dan/W4NTI

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:utomsv4s3qnsfd@corp.supernews.com...
> Larry, as you know, holding even an amateur extra class ticket doesn't
mean
> one has been tested for sanity .. ;-)
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>
> "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> news:20021120223255.09268.00001488@mb-cu.aol.com...
> >
> > Actually, now that you mention it, it wasn't! Shame on you!
> > I'm sure it won't happen again -- right?
> >
> > 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
>
>

1493. Re: Ham Tower Incident On TV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 19:16:28 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arjbmk$td9$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55683

Won't work....Ive met many many intelligent folks that were just plain dumb
when it came to common sense.

Example;; Herb (ex KV4FZ). Rich (ex WB2OTK). Dan (W4NTI)...

See what I mean?

Dan/W4NTI

"KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message
news:utossc4h8ve7b0@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:utomsv4s3qnsfd@corp.supernews.com...
> > Larry, as you know, holding even an amateur extra class ticket doesn't
> mean
> > one has been tested for sanity .. ;-)
> >
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
>
> Now there is an idea for the next test question pool,
> questions that determine if we are sane or not. I dare
> say most of us would fail that test ;)
>
> I have said it for years about CBs and now I can say
> the same thing about Ham radios. They should make
> you pass an intelligence test before letting you use
> a radio to talk on!
>
> --
> '73' From KB9TMP
> "The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
> if you can fake that, you've got it made."
> -Groucho Marx
>
>
>

1494. Re: News Release: "No Written International" Founded.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 19:19:05 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021121141905.24472.00000191@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 55684

>What is the formula to cut a 1/2 wave diploe in free space ?
>

Dan your getting a little advanced here. Maybe we should start with, what does a Dipole look like, then the next question should be, whats it used for.

1495. Re: 160 Meter Band Plan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 19:21:27 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arjbnc$v4l$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55685

I don't know who the 'us' were that decided on putting digital modes in the bottom ten kc of the band. Thats idiotic.

Dan/W4NTI

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message news:20021121084633.07646.00006796@mb-mn.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: 160 Meter Band Plan
> >From: "M.E." bogus@nospam.com
> >Date: 11/20/02 7:12 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <utochqoqcstrbd@corp.supernews.com>
>
> >Just remember, that the "ARRL" band plan, does not carry any "protection" of
> >law/rules.
>
> .....however the FCC has issued citations to operators who were operating
> contrary to those band plans.
>
> >The FCC is reluctant to rule any "band plan" on any amatuer band.
>
> True...that's why they left it to "us" to determine how we want to lay it
> out, THEN they enforce that.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>

1496. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 19:49:04 UTC
From: nm5k@wt.net
Message-ID: <25eb70d7.0211211149.3478be54@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55686
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

plampione@yahoo.com (Peter Lampione) wrote in message
news:<b30faff8.0211202034.1cf442eb@posting.google.com>...
> I would suggest somethig rather different.
>
> Take out the code requirement, and put in the test some DSP questions
> (how to make filters, equalize volume, remove noise, and modulate in
> phase, frequency, quadrature, etc) as well as more advanced circuit
> design.

Why should this replace the code requirement? Why not learn both?
>
> The code requirement is just silly.
> Code is just one of the many ways to encode a signal, a way that
> happens to be possible to do by hand.

Better than doing something else with my hand...:/

But why bother with it?

Why not?

> That it is useful in emergencies is a ridiculous thing - if your
> transceiver works, you might as well talk into it, so also general
> SWL, and not only hams, can understand your transmission.

I don't care if the general population can understand me. They can't
talk to me, so whats the point?

> Instead, with the increased ease with which signals are digitized,
> DSP should really be required knowledge.

I know plenty enough to be able to use DSP. I have DSP built into my
radio, and I have it outboard on my computer. Most of my PSK-SSTV,
etc, etc programs also use DSP. Big deal...

> I mean, it takes so little nowadays to feed the signal into an audio
> board
> or better A/D converter, and then start doing things digitally...

You said it... So what makes it so special?
>
> In fact, consider this: DSP should be required because, in case of
> emergency, you hook up your PC/laptop audio board to the radio, and
> there you go,
> modulating any way you want...

I can train a smart monkey to do that. But overall we have a problem..
If I have an emergency, can I call you to come over to the scene and
bring a laptop? I have a radio in my car, but no laptop yet. Of
course, I could talk into the mike, or use a code key, but I want to
be stylish like you. Hummmm, you say you live many states away? Take 5
days for the laptop to get to my accident scene via UPS? Oh well...
I've still got my hand to keep me company in the meantime...

> Code is what keeps me getting a ham licence.

Why? Are you "gifted" or something?

> I just don't suffer fools gladly, and the code requirement is foolish.
> If I want to waste time, there are many more pleasant ways to do it.

Well, ok then. A man's got to know his limitations...

>
> Oh and one more thing: I think it is incredibly funny that in a
> country where you can get a driver's licence without knowing how to
> operate a stick shift, you cannot operate ham without knowing code.

What does a country have to do with this? It's international treaty.
BTW, in this state, the car you take into the driving test will
determine if you need to know how to manual shift or not. If it has a
manual transmission and you can't shift it well enough to drive, you
won't get a license. You will have to buy a new car with an automatic,
and retake the test. Expensive way to get a drivers license if you ask
me...

>
> There, now flame me.

Naw...Just pity...Anyone that lets a snail slow 5 wpm code test stand
in their way, doesn't really want a ticket too bad. What does it take
to learn? All of two weeks? Good grief...How long did it take you to
learn all about DSP?

Next.... MK

1497. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 19:59:58 UTC
From: plampione@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <b30faff8.0211211159.57a762c3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55687
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Oh I am quite willing to learn things in general!
But the point is, there are so many things to learn,
and I prefer to learn useful things to useless ones.
I'd rather spend time learning more about DSP, that can
be useful to me to post-process radio signals, than code,
that I am likely to never use.

And another poster commented that I simply not motivated enough
by amateur radio to learn code.
True, by definition.
I sometimes listen to what hams talk about; 95% of the time
they talk about their radio setup.
The idea of having to learn code, get a licence, and build an
antenna, to be able to talk about my radio on the air
is ... uh ... less than overwhelmingly enticing.

I predict that, with all the new technologies available now
(cellphones, internet, computers, etc etc), amateur radio will
simply die out for lack of interest; the ARRL and others are
doing a pityful job of getting the newer generations involved.
Too bad, because some things going on with packet radio,
computers, routing etc are incredibly cool.

They keep up this old-fashioned requirements, and they don't
realise that, as the younger people are turned off,
their radios will also eventually be turned off, when FCC
reclaims the frequencies.
They see the refusal of somebody to learn code as that person's
problem, and they don't realise that the lack of membership will
soon be their problem.

Sooner or later the FCC is going to reclaim those frequencies
due to lack of interest, and nobody in the US consituency will care,
except the 0.0001% of the population - hardly a political constituency.
Come on - listen to the actual _content_ of ham conversations:
if SW bandwidth ever becomes valuable, I don't think ARRL has a chance
to argue that there is something worthy going on there.
And the original purpose, to spread the knowledge of radio technology,
is moot now - with so many other important technologies, why would
one worry so much about radio?

Many hams are now in their 50s. I predict that by the time they are
dead, in about 25 years, amateur radio will also be dead, reduced to
a small number of frequencies used for emergency communication.
All the rest will be commercial bandwidth.

Peter

N8KDV <n8kdv@iserv.net> wrote in message news:<3DDC6876.D4B96733@iserv.net>...
> Typical comments by someone who is simply to lazy to learn. We've heard it all before.
>
> Peter Lampione wrote:
>
> > I would suggest somethig rather different.
> >
> > Take out the code requirement, and put in the test some DSP questions
> > (how to make filters, equalize volume, remove noise, and modulate in
> > phase, frequency, quadrature, etc) as well as more advanced circuit
> > design.
> >
> > The code requirement is just silly.
> > Code is just one of the many ways to encode a signal, a way that
> > happens to be possible to do by hand. But why bother with it?
> > That it is useful in emergencies is a ridiculous thing - if your
> > transceiver works, you might as well talk into it, so also general
> > SWL, and not only hams, can understand your transmission.
> > Instead, with the increased ease with which signals are digitized,
> > DSP should really be required knowledge.
> > I mean, it takes so little nowadays to feed the signal into an audio
> > board
> > or better A/D converter, and then start doing things digitally...
> >
> > In fact, consider this: DSP should be required because, in case of
> > emergency, you hook up your PC/laptop audio board to the radio, and
> > there you go,
> > modulating any way you want...
> >
> > Code is what keeps me getting a ham licence.
> > I just don't suffer fools gladly, and the code requirement is foolish.
> > If I want to waste time, there are many more pleasant ways to do it.
> >
> > Cheers!
> >
> > Peter
> >
> > Oh and one more thing: I think it is incredibly funny that in a
> > country where you can get a driver's licence without knowing how to
> > operate a stick shift, you cannot operate ham without knowing code.
> >
> > There, now flame me.
> >
> > rettysnitch@ugerumf.com (Wouff Hong) wrote in message
news:<arrl.doesnt.care.anymore@all.com>...
> > > Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> > > pass all elements required for their license class.
> > >
> > > Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.

> > >
> > > Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
> > >
> > > Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
> > >
> > > Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> > > to improve things.
> > >
> > > Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.
> > >
> > > Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.
> > >
> > >
> > > 73, de Wouff Hong

1498. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 20:27:50 UTC
From: Mike Freeman <mikef@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.BSO.4.33.0211211225250.2335-100000@shell.pacifier.com>
Source record: 55688
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On 20 Nov 2002, Wouff Hong wrote:

> Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.
>
> Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.
>
> Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
> Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
> Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> to improve things.
>
> Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.
>
> Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.
>

MMM ... you forgot Item 8: as part of all amateur radio license exams,
examinees must demonstrate the ability to solve the differential equations
from which impedance formulae and the like are derived!

Mike Freeman < K 7 U I J >
"All men tend to become that which they oppose." - Laurence van der Post

1499. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 20:31:31 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDD42A3.DA8C3C7E@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55700
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > Peter Lampione wrote:
> > ..
> > >
> > > Code is what keeps me getting a ham licence.
> > > I just don't suffer fools gladly, and the code requirement is foolish.
> > > If I want to waste time, there are many more pleasant ways to do it.
> > >
> > > Cheers!
> > >
> > > Peter
> >
> >
> >> Just as you think the code requirement may be silly, your attitude
> > of letting it stop you from getting a ham license is just as
> > silly. If you want the license learn the code and get it. It is
> > your lose if you don't.
> > You can start out with the no-code tech to get into ham radio, and
> > if you find it worth your time, then learn the code and upgrade.
> >
> Do you know you're posting to three groups this time,JJ.
>

And so are you.
```

1500. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 20:31:34 UTC
From: Mike Freeman <mikef@pacifier.com>
Message-ID: <Pine.BSO.4.33.0211211229200.2335-100000@shell.pacifier.com>
Source record: 55689
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On 20 Nov 2002 Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:

> rettysnitch@ugerumf.com (Wouff Hong) wrote:
> > Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> > pass all elements required for their license class.
> >
> > Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.
> >
> > Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
> >
> > Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
> >
> > Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> > to improve things.
> >
> > Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.
> >
> > Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.
> >
> Step 8: don't cross post stink bait to 5 groups.
>
Step 9. use correct grammar -- League is singular; hence, it's an "it" --
not a "they"!

I see a troll a-risin'!

Mike Freeman < K 7 U I J >
"All men tend to become that which they oppose." - Laurence van der Post

1501. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 20:46:46 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DDD4636.3070702@psu.edu>
Source record: 55691

Vshah101 wrote:
<some snippage>
>
> I would like to let you know that you do not have to learn Morse code, just
> because of the "shaming by established amateurs".

Hey, we agree on something, Mr Vshah! "shame" is about as stupid a
reason for learning Morse code as I ever heard of.

> Actually these very same
> "amateurs" should be ashamed that they are for tying a higher license class to
> learning Morse Code (You must learn Morse Code to go above lowest license
> class).

> Then, they denigrate the lowest license class by calling it a
> "Technician".

In my circle, being called a "real technician" is a very big compliment.

In reality, some may know more about the material than Extras,
> but they are at the lowest license class because they cant/wont learn Morse
> code. This tends to misrepresent Ham Radio's correlation between license class
> and actual ability.

Let's talk about "can't" first. I have severe tinnitus. I have two
different tones (different in each ear) ringing 24/7. I read lips and
use closed captioning on television. I don't want to hear about "can't"
It was difficult for me to learn the Morse, but that's just too bad. I
did it anyhow.

Let's talk won't. If a person "won't get into it because of refusing to
learn Morse code, they are excercising their right's Like a famous
person once said, If they don't want to come to the game, there's no way
your gonna stop them! It's just another part of the test. I doub't I'll
ever get into satellite comms in the ARS. Should I demand they remove
thos questions from the test? Its mode specific ya'know.

> Amateur radio can be so much more than just learning Morse code.

Gee, we agree again.

> Those that tend to advocate and or/teach
> Morse code don't seem to want to do the other activities. Don't limit yourself
> to Morse Code.

Whoooaah there, Mr Vshah. On what grounds do you base *that*? I hope
you can cite some good sources.

> Also, don't waste your time with contesting. Contesting is finding as many
> stations as you can, calling CQ, and then logging it in.

If you do it in the order you describe, no wonder you don't like it.
8^) and there are a lot of hams out there who disagree with you and go
contesting all the time.

> It gets boring after
> the first few contacts. Doing the same boring thing over and over again can be
> boring.

Like writing anti-contest missives in a newsgroup?

> If you are looking for Amateur Radio, you will not likely find this in the Ham
> Radio clubs or in the CB hobby. If you want to "Ham", contest, Morse Code,
> build antennas, learn about appliance operation, brag about propagation
> conditions, use strange keywords, and part of the "Ham" culture, than Ham Radio
> and/or CB radio is for you. If you want to be much more, it is up to you to
> bring up at meetings the more interesting activities.

An interesting perspective for sure. It's pretty clear that you don't
like hams, but find some sort of solace in tweaking their noses.

- mike KB3EIA -

1502. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 20:47:44 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET>
Message-ID: <QDbD9.2723$It3.242582@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 55690
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Peter Lampione" <plampione@yahoo.com> wrote

: Oh I am quite willing to learn things in general!
: But the point is, there are so many things to learn,
: and I prefer to learn useful things to useless ones.
: I'd rather spend time learning more about DSP, that can
: be useful to me to post-process radio signals, than code,
: that I am likely to never use.

Peter,

That's great news. You should know that you can get involved hip-deep in amateur radio, including DSP (pre- and post-process) without learning a single dit of Morse code. Just take the written exam, Element 2, and you'll be licensed to experiment on literally many GHz of really prime spectrum.

We'll be looking for you to join us soon. I'll bet you can teach us a thing or two.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

1503. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 21:02:41 UTC
From: "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <MKbD9.657$pc.135424@news.iquest.net>
Source record: 55692
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:arjabb$sk7$0@208.206.142.104...
>
>
> "John S. Dyson" wrote:
> >
> > "Fred Hambrecht Sr." <w4jle@charter.net> wrote in message
news:utorvc8qashpff@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Great gobs of bovine excrement, the license is simply insufficient
> > > motivation for you to learn code.
> > >
> > The amazing thing is the dumbing down of ham radio (but the rigs are beautiful
> > nowadays aren't they?)
>
>
> Isn't it a real paradox? The smarter and more beautiful the radios, the
> dumber the hams who use them! :-)
>
> Ham radio is now almost an endorsement on CB, rather
> > than having to REALLY know (not just remember) something appropriate for
> > the current world.
>
>
> I certainly have no problem agreeing with this, although it really has
> little or nothing to do with Morse code on ham radio. You can always use
> a keyboard to send if you can't physically handle a manual key or
> paddle. I may go to one of those due to arthiritis myself one of those
> days. But a computer program does a really poor job of copying, as far
> as any I;ve been able to evaluate shows. That will probably change if
> some of you more computer literate souls choose to keep working on it.
> Looks like you'd rather just dump the whole mode. That I don't
> understand.
>
Let me explain: it is an unnecessary and anachronistic barrier to entry. Frankly,
'the code' was really a prominent mode of operation at one time, but now it is
mostly a barrier to entry. It is MUCH MORE useful to center ham radio on
creative endeavors (which can also include working long distance in poor
conditions with code.) I am NOT anti-code, but I am anti-code exclusivity.

There really needs to be a basic ham license w/o code. To work at all in the
'code' bands, you'll need to pass the code test (similar to the good old reserved
bands for extra.) To be able to work anything but phone in limited bands,
you'll need the higher technical endorsements. So, there would be the
basic general ham license, which only provides limited communicator 2M,3/4M band

permissions. After that, for the real traditional 'u build it' ham stuff, then your technical endorsement would give you access to all but the code bands. There might even be multiple levels of technical endorsement (e.g. HF, UHF.) For the code bands, there would be the <10wpm bands and the >10wpm bands (or even finer grain.)

For example, more often, a ham radio operator SHOULD be able to grab a $2 PHEMT, create a simple board (with VERY FEW components) and have his/her 1dB NF 2M or 1.2GHz preamp. Nowadays, the poor schmuck will be spending $120 (or so) for some boutique preamp with 'magic parts or things' in it. (Well, 1dB NF would be a little tricky, even with a .2dB NF PHEMT, but you get my idea!!!)

Here in the US, technology is moving offshore, and even for strategic reasons, helping to motivate technology hobbies other than computer geekdom would be a VERY GOOD THING.

I'd much rather live in a world where ham operators can experiment with new or forward looking modulation schemes (sometimes with DSP), rather than only plan for a post-WWIII world, where there are a few radio sets and morse code is the only economical way to communicate.

The Morse requirement advocates seem to be approaching the fringe status of those who had stocked up their food for the YR2000 'issues'. I am NOT against Morse code, but against the prequisite for significant ham radio operation. The Ham community does have ALOT of morse operators, but TOO TOO FEW individuals who build their rigs (even significant parts of them.)

There are some cases (like you) that have the code and technology, but that isn't wrong. You would have both the code band endorsement and the experimental band endorsements!!!

John

1504. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 21:18:29 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <F4cD9.2753$lt3.246151@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 55693
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net> wrote

:
: I'd much rather live in a world where ham operators can experiment with
new
: or forward looking modulation schemes (sometimes with DSP), rather than
: only plan for a post-WWIII world, where there are a few radio sets and
: morse code is the only economical way to communicate.
:

We've got great news for you, John!

You can live in that world TODAY without studying even a single dit of Morse code! Just hustle on down to your next local VE examination session and tell them you want to take Element 2 of the exam. Within days you'll be licensed to tinker and experiment on literally GHz of prime spectrum with DSP, spread spectrum, or whatever other modulation scheme strikes your fancy. While you're at it, join AMRAD, TAPR, and AMSAT and participate in any of their dozens of digital and space-borne technology projects.

http://www.amrad.org
http://www.tapr.org
http://www.amsat.org

We're looking forward to your joining us.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

1505. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 21:41:49 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0211211341.2c6628ef@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55694

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3DDC7600.6A2388DF@earthlink.net>...
> N2EY wrote:
>
> > And you didn't answer my question, Dave - why do you (or anyone)
> > respond to Mr. Anderson, given the many examples of how he behaves in
> > the face of a differing opinion?
>
> It must be a Mount Everest sort of thing--you know, because he's here.

That's a bit illogical, Dave, because:

- Mt. Everest is a challenge to conquer
- Mt. Everest is impressive and splendid
- Mt. Everest won't go away if ignored

;-)

> > > > >7037 CW 9 PM EST Wednesdays
> > > >
> > > > Feel free to go over to a semi-private venue to "discuss" anything.
> > > > Perhaps you can work out a system where the only ones who
> > > > can get into US amateur radio are those who are already in US
> > > > amateur radio. Same logic. :-)
> > >
> > > Maybe you didn't get the word. Amateur radio is open to all and even
> > > the unlicensed may listen.
> >
> > It should be clear from his comments that Mr. Anderson is against
> > radio amateurs' actually using the amateur radio bands to discuss
> > amateur radio issues - even though such discussion is clearly within
> > FCC and ITU rules. In other words, he wants to be the censor - or
> > radio cop of a service he
> > does not participate in. Why should anyone take him seriously?
>
> That's one of the things I've asked repeatedly--why anyone should take
> him seriously.

Sometimes a question is more important than the answer.
>
> > Don't forget, 7037 CW 9 PM EST Wednesdays. All are invited.
>
> Unfortunately for me, I'm usually on the road on Wednesdays at that time
> and I don't get back here until about 10:45.

Some other night, then?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1506. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 21:47:34 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0211211347.67e1c420@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55695
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

<paulfriedman@attbi.com> wrote in message news:<Ml6D9.91851$NH2.6246@sccrnsc01>...
> Jim:
>
> Not to complain <grin>, but you skipped my favorite part.
>
> In Step 5 you are supposed to cancel your ARRL membership. Then, in Step 6
> you are supposed to complain to the organization from which you just
> resigned and in which you now have no standing. I think we need a step 8:
> LCT at 2IAO (Logical Consistency Test at 2 Ideas at Once level.)

HAW! That's absolutely correct! What's more, by leaving the
organization, its influence with the FCC (who is actually in charge of
making any and all of the proposed changes) is reduced.

Even the Bulgarian judges shake their heads in disgust over the poor
quality of the troll.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1507. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 21:57:09 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0211211357.4e09cdd5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55696
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Brian Denley" <bdenley@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:<haYC9.96167$QZ.14825@sccrnsc02>...
> Why not just drop the archaic code requirement,

FCC's hands are tied on that one. The ITU treaty requires code tests
for an HF ham license. FCC isn't going to violate the treaty - they
said so in their Report and Order. If/when the treaty changes, FCC
will most probably eliminate Element 1 - but not until then.

Meanwhile, FCC has already reduced code testing to the barest minimum
that they think meets the treaty requirement - 5 wpm. Is learning
enough Morse code to pass the current 5 wpm code test really so
difficult?

> beef up the theory
> requirements

How? What, exactly, would you change?

Many of those who commented on the recent restructuring offered
practical ways for the FCC to "beef up" the theory requirements for
the higher-class licenses. In response, FCC reduced both the number of
tests and the total number of questions for each license class.

> and get hundreds of new, excited members tomorrow?

We're getting thousands of new, excited hams each year right now. The
number of licensed hams in the USA has reached an all-time high.

> That'll be
> the biggest shot in the arm the hobby has seen in decades.

If it isn't, will you support going back to the old ways?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1508. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 22:09:22 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDD5992.20F79734@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55634

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> You really DO enjoy being wrong, don't you? In fact you don't know the
> difference between a nocoder and a newcomer who is learning the code.
> Get your head out, JJ and smell a little sunshine. The change might just
> be refreshing. Jackie isn't the sort of ham that is the problem, you and
> Brainless Burke are.

You and newbie larry have stated over and over your disdain for
those who come into the hobby via the no-code route. You and larry
even claim that hams licensed now under what you call the dumb
down requirements with the 5wpm code upgrade to any class, can
never achieve the lofty status that you and larry have, because,
as you claim, the test were harder when you got your ticket, and
you had to pass 20 wpm to get your extra. Therefore no ham today
can ever be a 'real ham' in your way of thinking, so no matter if
Jackie does go on to upgrade to extra, you and larry will never
put him on the same level you claim to be.
To you anyone who comes into the hobby and chooses not to upgrade
to extra is just plain lazy and has no ambition in your mind. Did
it ever dawn on you that a no-code ticket may just suit the needs
of some hams just fine? That maybe some have no desires to upgrade
just to get HF privileges? That does not make them any less of a
ham that the holder of and extra.
Your are the kind of ham that is a problem, the kind that thinks
that no one coming into the hobby under today's standards can ever
achieve your imagined lofty status.

1509. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 22:15:10 UTC
From: "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <JOcD9.659$pc.135886@news.iquest.net>
Source record: 55697
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Kï¿½HB" <kï¿½hb@arrl.org> wrote in message
news:F4cD9.2753$It3.246151@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net> wrote
>
> :
> : I'd much rather live in a world where ham operators can experiment with
> new
> : or forward looking modulation schemes (sometimes with DSP), rather than
> : only plan for a post-WWIII world, where there are a few radio sets and
> : morse code is the only economical way to communicate.
> :
>
> We've got great news for you, John!
>
> You can live in that world TODAY without studying even a single dit of Morse
> code! Just hustle on down to your next local VE examination session and
> tell them you want to take Element 2 of the exam.
>
(I'll be reviewing the links, perhaps to bring my viewpoint up to date, and
reconsider restarting my hobby from 25yrs ago.)

Apparently, the world has changed indeed since I had my ham radio license. I
got mine (the old tech license) a few months before my First phone just to see
what FCC tests were like. I also played around, but it was before the most
interesting stuff that makes UHF on up really cool nowadays.

When I did take the tests (both the tech class and first phone) in approx 1971,
I was VERY disappointed as to the lack of technological depth (even as a
Soph highschooler) required.

In a way, we reap what we sew, and the lack of technical requirements has
further enabled a general movement towards a dominant communicator-only class
of hams. I am VERY happy to see that some of the code prerequisites have
been lifted, and I will certainly follow your links after this post. I'd also like to
see alot of very broad ham interest, that isn't dominated by communicator
type stuff, but also include more technology than currently today. Technology
MUST NOT dominate ham radio, because it is easy to overshadow the
purpose of communication. When communication almost fully dominates the
hobby, esp archaic modes, then technology might be getting too much of
a back-seat.

I'd certainly be willing to advocate a license class for ham radio operators that
would ALMOST make that endorsement into an indicator of some technical competency.
With any significant motivation of the level needed to learn code at 13wpm or

even 5wpm, the newbie ham could almost become a naiscent EE*... (*Well, maybe that is a little optimistic, but not too far off.) Perhaps I'd be more accurate to claim that a person could at least understand the terminology and the issues of design, building, testing and even computer interface. This would provide the toolset that would allow the more motivated individuals to continue on with some self-schooling.

It is probably fairly clear that my own interests are of a technical nature (both EE and software (OS, DSP).) All of my ham hobby interest was based upon the technical and innovation side, but that had seemed to be too de-emphasized.

I'll definitely give the ham stuff a look-see again. My own interest, being technical, would also include helping people who want/need to learn how the stuff really works :-). I am also NOT INTERESTED in taking anything away from ham radio -- code is good, but almost complete understanding and significant (not necessarily complete) technical self-sufficiency is also good.

John

1510. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 22:17:30 UTC
From: "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <UQcD9.660$pc.135900@news.iquest.net>
Source record: 55698
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0211211357.4e09cdd5@posting.google.com...
>
> We're getting thousands of new, excited hams each year right now. The
> number of licensed hams in the USA has reached an all-time high.
>
I am VERY HAPPY and encouraged about this. I happen to see ham radio as
a potentially great hobby (and important in my past), but also a wonderful
strategic asset from both the historically too-deemphasized technical training
ground and also the operational (emergency and communications) aspects.

John

1511. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 22:30:21 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDD5E7D.665E0834@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55645

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >hardeeharhar...this is hilarious. Yes, Jackie...don't be fooled by those
> >who believe they are of higher ilk because they had to "do it the hard way."
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
>
> Shes right Jackie, just whinn and moan like TIT, and maybe they will give you a
> Free Handout like they did TIT.

How does one 'whinn'?

1512. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 22:31:45 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211211431.6e32c922@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55699
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

rettysnitch@ugerumf.com (Wouff Hong) wrote in message
news:<arrl.doesnt.care.anymore@all.com>...
> Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.
>
> Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.
>
> Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
> Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
> Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> to improve things.
>
> Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.
>
> Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.
>
>
> 73, de Wouff Hong

Wouffgang Larry, I don't see anything in there about White Supremacy
so maybe you're almost legitimate.

1. No Automatic Renewals - Agreed. We need to keep this economy
rolling one test fee at a time.
2. passing score 90% - Make it 100%. If Bruce Without Error can do
it, anyone should be able to do it. Some may have to study, though.
3. Code elements 13 wpm for General, 20 wpm for Extra. We've had 160
years to perfect the code. Lets at least get it up to the speed of a
greasy smelly dinasaur tty - 55wpm.
4. Make no-code license one year non-renewable. I've been told that
anyone can learn code in a matter of weeks. Make the NCT 6 weeks
non-renewable.
5. Cancel your league membership until they improve things - That's
been tried - just cancel it.
6. Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air, and
Complain to Al Gore when you see one on rrap (cc: Al Gore).
7. Turn in rule breakers to the FCC - Cuffed and stuffed? or just
send in their call sign?

1513. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 22:33:52 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211211433.62c1c594@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55601
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0211210512.180146a6@posting.google.com>...
> rettysnitch@ugerumf.com (Wouff Hong) wrote in message
news:<arrl.doesnt.care.anymore@all.com>...

> > Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>
> Again, no problem. But how are you going to convince FCC? What new
> argument will change their minds after they have been gradually
> phasing out code testing for the past 20 years?

Typo or did you really mean 20 years?

1514. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 22:34:14 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDD5F66.A95B47E0@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55646

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <yM4D9.99629$1O2.6705@sccrnsc04>, "Jackie L."
> <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:
>
> >
> >> Been there, done that. We totally dropped the code testing requirement
> >> for a Technician class license, giving up 97% of all amateur radio
> >> frequency allocations, in 1991.
> >
> >Since I wasn't doing this in '91, I am not aware of what amateur bands were
> >relinquished. Do you recall which they were and why they were given up?
> >
> >73
> >
> >Jackie
>
> Jackie:
>
> The no-code Technician class gave the licensee 97% of all available
> frequency allocations (everything above 50 MHz) without a Morse code
> test.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

What newbie larry really meant was that since the no-code tech now
has access to 97% of all amateur radio frequency allocations, that
these lowly no-code techs ruined that amount of spectrum for the
'real hams' like him.

1515. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 22:37:24 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211211437.752edbbb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55602

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021121133116.05372.00002942@mb-mq.aol.com>...
> >And that would be newbie larry, dickieboy, and wb8lux
>
> Whos wb8lux, I dont think i have seen him post here before.

Isn't he the guy who can't spell and/or has a ton of typos, but gets a
perfect score on exams?

1516. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 22:41:11 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDD6107.4A4B457C@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55648
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dee D. Flint" wrote:

>
> Several times every year, shortwave conditions are poor enough that voice
> transmissions are unitelligible. If you don't have a computer to work the
> other digital modes, the only possibility left is CW.

Depends upon just who you are trying to contact, for distant
contacts that depend upon propagation that may be true, but you
can always find a band where you can make voice transmissions, if
nothing more than VHF/UHF locally or even HF frequencies locally.
This nonsense that the conditions get so bad that all frequencies
are affected such that cw is the only mode to get through is just
that, nonsense.

1517. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 22:41:24 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211211441.7b25203a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55603

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:<EhLC9.29602$s02.21479@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>...
> "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote in message
> news:SuGC9.58739$P31.32144@rwcrnsc53...
> > Hello, all.
> >
> > I've been lurking in this group for the past month or so and have found
> some
> > of the threads (and the opinions they espouse) to be interesting, to say
> the
> > least.
> >
> > I've a very new ham. I knew essentially nothing about this hobby 3 months
> > ago. I just got my license on 10/2/02, and have not had much of a chance
> to
> > really delve into anything ham-related yet. I've got a small handheld
> radio
> > I bought for myself as a gift for passing the first exam. So far, I've
> > mostly listened in to see how things work. I am planning on buying a
> > multiband HF rig in the near future, after I pass the CW requirements.
> >
> > I've done lots of reading about amateur radio in a very short amount of
> > time, and I've been an avid lurker to the "pro code / no code" battles
> (not
> > just here, but elsewhere, too). Given that I am so new to CW, I am
> > officially staying neutral on that subject as of now. I am learning CW,
> but
> > slowly. Working 50+ hour weeks and having other responsibilities kinda
> puts
> > CW study low on the priority list. I have given myself a 6 month deadline,
> > then I'll take the CW exam if I feel I'm ready at that point. Okay, enough
> > of the background.
>
> Hi Jackie,
>
> Your story is quite similar to my own. Long hours at work (Sure beats NOT
> working!) and our newborn lad conspired to steal any spare time I had. I'd
> given myself a year deadline to upgrade. That in itself is a good first step
> and I note that, as an unbiased newbie, your decision to "just do it" is a
> good harbinger of your success. 20 mins. to half-an-hour daily will yield
> surprising results. I personally used the ARRL CD's and found them to be
> quite good.

Larry (t)Roll/K3LT has an anecdotal story about not sleeping for 7even years and single-handedly saving the world from communist agression while having to sidestep his bosses in the USAF, all while getting to Extra.

You should read it sometime. I think it's archived at the Air War College, or maybe just on Google.

1518. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 22:45:01 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211211445.391b67be@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55604

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<ari0st$33t$1@208.206.142.73>...
> Jim Hampton wrote:
> >
> you find a lot of the friction is over the code
> > thing. Don't worry, everyone will get over it.
>
>
> Yeah, most of us are already over it. Those who are not still complain
> about it all being someone else's fault that they were "excluded" from
> ham radio by the existance of a code test. That's nonsense of the most
> extreme sort.

You -were- a VE. How many people did you exclude from amateur radio?

1519. Re: 160 Meter Band Plan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 22:46:24 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211211446.425b0e5d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55605

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021121084633.07646.00006796@mb-mn.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: 160 Meter Band Plan
> >From: "M.E." bogus@nospam.com
> >Date: 11/20/02 7:12 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <utochqoqcstrbd@corp.supernews.com>
>
> >Just remember, that the "ARRL" band plan, does not carry any "protection" of
> >law/rules.
>
> .....however the FCC has issued citations to operators who were operating
> contrary to those band plans.
>
> >The FCC is reluctant to rule any "band plan" on any amatuer band.
>
> True...that's why they left it to "us" to determine how we want to lay it
> out, THEN they enforce that.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

When I brought it up, our resident communications attorney didn't like
that approach.

Let's see if he likes your approach any better.

1520. Re: 160 Meter Band Plan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 22:47:29 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211211447.1b94c618@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55606

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<arjbnc$v4l$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>...
> I don't know who the 'us' were that decided on putting digital modes in the
> bottom ten kc of the band. Thats idiotic.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

"Prolly" no cw dx there to screw up.

1521. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 22:57:46 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DDD6523.98C614F0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 55607

Brian wrote:
>
> wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021121133116.05372.00002942@mb-mq.aol.com>...
> > >And that would be newbie larry, dickieboy, and wb8lux
> >
> > Whos wb8lux, I dont think i have seen him post here before.
>
> Isn't he the guy who can't spell and/or has a ton of typos, but gets a
> perfect score on exams?

Is typing or spelling necessary for passing any of the exams? I thought
the writtens were all multiple choice.

Dave K8MN

1522. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 23:06:14 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211211506.6fc9ea1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55608
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<arjabb$sk7$0@208.206.142.104>...

> I do understand why some people don't like th emode, and I
> can appreciate that. There are things I don't like, too. I have no great
> love for Morse code(despite the rantings of some of the more rabid
> anticoders who post here), but I learned to respect it for what it is
> and appreciate it for what it offers-the most basic, simple, easily
> implemented mode of radiocommunications yet devised.

Dick, you got a fever, man? I've not once read anything like that
from you. Not once! But I accept that as an earnest remark.

And in the "easily implemented" remark, it may be easily implemented
if you've been -trained- in the USE of Morse Code, but just learning
the code characters and passing an exam doesn't make it easily
implemented. You have to use it. And to use it you've got to have
people out there not only willing to put up with every little mistake
you make, but to actually be there and work through it. Listening to
W1AW and code tapes may make one an Extra, but it doesn't make one a
CW op. Meanwhile, 160 years after the invention of morse code,
novices are segregated to spaces and places where few are willing to
go.

> Does that mean I or any other CW supporter would in any way advocate
> use of CW -at any time- to the exclusion of better more efficient modes?
> Of course not, again despite the rumblings of some anticoders posting
> here. I, and most other code supporters, routinely use advanced digital
> modes, some of us use them more than CW. Again, that does nothing
> whatever to diminish the qualities of Morse code. Some of us understand
> the mode well enough to consider it to be a valuable asset to ham radio.
> If you don't, that's certainly your privilege.

IMO, someone skilled in code needs to be on the Novice bands providing
the understanding that is required to get the next guy going with CW.
You've been a ham since Jesus was a Corporal. Make it your legacy.

> Dick

73, Brian

1523. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 23:06:48 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021121180648.05561.00003056@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 55609

>but gets a
>perfect score on exams?
>

Yep, he gets a perfect score, collects $250.00, and Brian still cant pass the Extra.

1524. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 23:08:28 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021121180828.05561.00003057@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 55610

I thought
>the writtens were all multiple choice.
>
>Dave K8MN

They are and Brian still cant pass the test, I think hes waiting for his
Welfare handout.

1525. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 23:10:08 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021121181008.28322.00000562@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 55611

In article <pY7D9.2280$4j5.1223340@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>, "Larry Phipps"
<larry@telepostinc.com> writes:

>If you really want to increase the Ham ranks, and improve people's knowledge
>and skill at the same time...
>
>Have a monthly operating contest where the winner gets $5000.

Probably illegal - the winning ham would effectively be paid for operating.

>Have a monthly design contest where the best project gets $5000.

Who decides what the "best" project is? And using what criteria?
>
>After winning, you would have to sit out for 2 years so others could
>benefit.
>The FCC could fund this using the revenue from the spectrum of ours that
>they're selling to the cellular crowd and other big lobbies ;-)

Right ;-)

73 de Jim, N2EY

1526. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 23:10:08 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021121181008.28322.00000561@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 55612

In article <yM4D9.99629$1O2.6705@sccrnsc04>, "Jackie L."
<buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:

>"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
>
>> Been there, done that. We totally dropped the code testing requirement
>> for a Technician class license, giving up 97% of all amateur radio
>> frequency allocations, in 1991.
>
>Since I wasn't doing this in '91, I am not aware of what amateur bands were
>relinquished. Do you recall which they were and why they were given up?

Larry's post is a bit misleading.

Before February 14, 1991, all US ham radio license required both a code test (at least 5 wpm) and a written test. On that date, however, the code test for the Technician class license was dropped by the FCC. Technicians then and now have all amateur operating privileges above 30 MHz, which amounts to 97% of the spectrum allocated to US hams.

All of the HF/MF bands available to US hams today (160/80/40/30/20/17/15/12/10 meters) add up to just 3,750 kHz of space - less than 6 meters all by itself! Of course, those HF/MF bands are more useful/reliable for long distance communication with simple, low power equipment and antennas than the bands above 30 MHz.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1527. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 23:13:26 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021121181326.10605.00001448@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 55613

In article <yM4D9.99629$1O2.6705@sccrnsc04>, "Jackie L."
<buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:

>
>> Been there, done that. We totally dropped the code testing requirement
>> for a Technician class license, giving up 97% of all amateur radio
>> frequency allocations, in 1991.
>
>Since I wasn't doing this in '91, I am not aware of what amateur bands were
>relinquished. Do you recall which they were and why they were given up?
>
>73
>
>Jackie

Jackie:

The no-code Technician class gave the licensee 97% of all available
frequency allocations (everything above 50 MHz) without a Morse code
test.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1528. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 23:17:15 UTC
From: Brian Denley <bdenley@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <_PdD9.76228$WL3.33033@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 55615
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

It already is CB with 100 watts. Have you ever listening to 75 meters?

--
Brian Denley
http://home.attbi.com/~bdenley/index.html

"ON5MJ" <on5mjREMOVE@skynet.be> wrote in message
news:3ddcbfc0$0$181$ba620e4c@news.skynet.be...
> | In today's
> | "instant gratification" mindset world, many people want every thing
"now"
> | and don't want to expend any personal effort to get it. That's why the
> code
> | element is constantly being complained about by so many...
>
> We have the same on this side of the pond. How to avoid that
radioamateurism
> becomes CB with 100 watts?
>
> 73 de ON5MJ - Jacques
>
>
>
>

1529. Re: News Release: "No Written International" Founded.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 23:18:14 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021121181814.06788.00000085@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 55616

From: "Dan/W4NTI"
>Yeah lets add relevant stuff to the Amateur tests. How about stuff like....
>
>What is the formula to cut a 1/2 wave diploe in free space ?
>
>or
>
>What is splatter in a SSB transmitter and how can it be avoided?
>
>or
>
>Explain why using the lowest power to maintain communications is helpful to
>others on the band.
>
>In otherwords lets get some questions on there that need to be know by the
>majority out there.
>
>Dan/W4NTI

Actually, I feel that the test material is very good and relevant, IF you actually understand the material.

The test covers the topics you mention and more. It covers a variety of areas, not just one aspect. It covers operation, regulations, practical circuits, emissions, and antennas. I feel that the relevant material is on these exams.

However, with the question pool format, you do not have to know the material to get the right answer. People recognize the answers, use memory tricks ON THE ANSWERS. Then, one week later, they cannot put up an antenna using the same formula that was on the exam. So, people are just memorizing the answers and not learning the material.

Also, I feel that there should be real preparation for these exams, instead of the "license in a day" sessions, where they give you the questions and answers.

1530. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 23:23:31 UTC
From: Brian Denley <bdenley@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <TVdD9.76246$WL3.32982@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 55617
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

I agree with Peter. As an EE, I would pass that test right now. As I have said before, we don't demand that every computer user learn 2s complement math (or even DOS) prior to allowing them to use windows, linux, etc. The very idea sounds rediculous to us. The CW requirement is no different. Just my 2 cents.

--
Brian Denley
http://home.attbi.com/~bdenley/index.html
"Peter Lampione" <plampione@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:b30faff8.0211202034.1cf442eb@posting.google.com...
> I would suggest somethig rather different.
>
> Take out the code requirement, and put in the test some DSP questions
> (how to make filters, equalize volume, remove noise, and modulate in
> phase, frequency, quadrature, etc) as well as more advanced circuit
> design.

1531. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 23:24:47 UTC
From: paulfriedman@attbi.com
Message-ID: <3XdD9.101461$QZ.16486@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 55618
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Brian:

You are wrong, you know. 75m is CB with 3000 (sic) watts.

Paul ab0si

"Brian Denley" <bdenley@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:_PdD9.76228$WL3.33033@rwcrnsc54...
> It already is CB with 100 watts. Have you ever listening to 75 meters?
>
> --
> Brian Denley
> http://home.attbi.com/~bdenley/index.html
>
> "ON5MJ" <on5mjREMOVE@skynet.be> wrote in message
> news:3ddcbfc0$0$181$ba620e4c@news.skynet.be...
> > | In today's
> > | "instant gratification" mindset world, many people want every thing
> "now"
> > | and don't want to expend any personal effort to get it. That's why the
> > code
> > | element is constantly being complained about by so many...
> >
> > We have the same on this side of the pond. How to avoid that
> radioamateurism
> > becomes CB with 100 watts?
> >
> > 73 de ON5MJ - Jacques
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1532. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 23:28:49 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211211528.555010d4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55619

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<argdp4$4gv$0@208.207.71.162>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<areqij$8n1$0@208.207.71.157>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<arcjes$1nb$0@208.206.142.72>...
> > > > > JJ wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Are you an Extra? If so, when did you get it? What is your current
> > > > > > > code speed?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > See, there is that status thing again. So what does my license
> > > > > > > class or my code speed have to do with it? You base everything on
> > > > > > > obtaining some kind of status. You must have a really pathetic
> > > > > > > life. You can only build yourself up by attempting to look down at
> > > > > > > others.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Well, there you have it. Why should he 'look down' on you or anyone
> > > > > > else? Could it be because you 'got yours' via the cheap and easy route?
> > > > > > By implication that's exactly what you have just said- that he is
> > > > > > 'looking down' on you, therefore there must be a reason. That's it.
> > > > >
> > > > > Then there are those who went the superfluous and irrelevant route.
> > > > >
> > > > > I call them the "How High?" (should I jump, and I want you to jump, too) crowd.
> > > > >
> > > > > That's it.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > From a dude that prefers to sit outside in the cold on his butt whining
> > > about requirements which were and are essentially trivial. Just because
> > > he thinks he knows more about necessaries than anyone else. YOU'RE it.
> > >
> > The FCC agrees with my point of view. You're the one out in the cold.
>
>
>
> Really? You haven't even picked up your Extra lite now that it's a
> freebee. What's holding you up, Brain?

I'm waiting for a couple of CB-Plussers to offer me $250. ;)

1533. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 23:29:51 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211211529.78029066@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55620

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021120114823.24623.00000171@mb-ch.aol.com>...
> >Really? You haven't even picked up your Extra lite now that it's a
> >freebee. What's holding you up, Brain?
>
> Hes waiting for another handout.

Yup, like you, I want to lift $250 from a couple of CB-Plussers.

1534. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 23:37:03 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <utqs031nv18j06@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55625

"K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
news:V5YC9.1583$It3.125058@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> So where is everyone? I'm sitting on 7.037 having a nice QSO with WA2SLH
in
> NJ, after no RRAP'ers answered my many CQ's.
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>

I thought about it last night (the net). OK, set me straight. Are we having a net on 7.037 or on 10M somewhere? I can do either, uh, if it's phone of course. I haven't looked at the band plan to see if you're referencing a CW freq.

Anyway, let me know.

Kim W5TIT

1535. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 23:37:16 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021121183716.06788.00000086@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 55623

From: Mike Coslo mjc5@psu.edu
>In my circle, being called a "real technician" is a very big compliment.

Nowadays, everyone is an "Engineer". The title has been so overused and devalued, that in some cases a real Technician's job is more interesting and more skilled than a title "Engineer".

What I was getting it is the perception of the Technician title. In some cases people do not investigate Ham Radio and are swayed more by the perception that the actual content.

>Let's talk won't. If a person "won't get into it because of refusing to
>learn Morse code, they are excercising their right's

I am all for people learning Morse Code if they want to. I can see that this is a useful skill in certain circumstances. I can even see how some people find it fun. Just don't impose it on those that don't want to. There are plenty of people joining Ham Radio just for Morse Code. Morse will not go away if the requirement is removed.

>there are a lot of hams out there who disagree with you and go
>contesting all the time.
I do not have anything against contesting. I can see how this can build up some useful skills. I personally do not enjoy contesting. However, I should let anyone who has not tried it decide for themselves.

>> Those that tend to advocate and or/teach
>> Morse code don't seem to want to do the other activities. Don't limit
>yourself
>> to Morse Code.
>
> Whoooaah there, Mr Vshah. On what grounds do you base *that*? I hope
>you can cite some good sources.

I can only cite my experiences. I have been to the Ham clubs and Hamfests (MA/NH) and I find most are emphacizing operating,contesting, Morse. Few seem interested in the other activities.

1536. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 23:40:14 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <utqs64ksfunc8f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55626

hardeeharhar...this is hilarious. Yes, Jackie...don't be fooled by those
who believe they are of higher ilk because they had to "do it the hard way."

Kim W5TIT

"JJ" <radiobuff@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DDC5044.1C9C1B87@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > Hi Jackie, and welcome to ham radio. I wish for you the satisfying
> > longtime experience it has been for me. You have made it more than clear
> > in your post that you'll have no problems and that you're the sort of
> > ham that will be a credit to the service. That's very gratifying to see.
>
> Nothing like talking out of both sides of your mouth. First you
> make it known just how little you think of no-coders then you spin
> this stuff.
>
> Lets take a look at some of the things you and newbie larry have
> said about those of 'lesser rank' than you and see just how you
> really feel about Jackie and his no-code ticket.
>
> :From: Richard Carroll (w0ex@scan.missouri.org)
> :Subject: Re: No code = No ham.
> :Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> :Date: 1997/12/22
>
> :Mark VandeWettering wrote:
> :>
> :> In article <67jquk$3pg@bgtnsc02.worldnet.att.net>,
> :> CW <rayjl@worldnet.att.net> wrote:
> :> >NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.
> :> NO PORK = NO HAM. NO HAM = NO CHRISTMAS DINNER.
> :> --
> :>
>
> :No problem, there's plenty of turkeys among the No-Coders
>
>
> :From: Dick Carroll (dixie@townsqr.com)
> : Subject: Re: Want to Make Wa8ulxA Cry?
> : Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> :Date: 1999/08/17

>
> :I stand by ALL I said. IF the day should come- and
> :the 10 year expiration will take care of this pretty well-
> :that no-code techs are a majority of ham radio operators the
> :hobby is finished. No entity can stand when it it populated
> :with all beginners who met no real qualifications.
>
> There you go, all you no-code techs are going to finish off ham
> radio, dickie said it himself.
>
> :From: Dick Carroll (dixie@townsqr.com)
> :Subject: Re: Smarting-Up
> :Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> :Date: 1999/04/06
> :Enough of those people will convert the ARS into a
> :CB/Freeband/PCS and through their sheer numbers push out the
> :serious ham radio users.
>
> And your just going to turn the ham bands into cb.
>
>
> :From: Dick Carroll (dixie@townsqr.com)
> : Subject: Re: Hello Bob Wexelbaum
> : Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> : Date: 1999/04/30
> :-as asserted by all the dodo no-coders posting here-
>
> :One central fact that I learned early on RRAP was simply
> :that No-Coders aren't about to learn anything. If they were,
> :they wouldn't be no-coders! They've got more excuses, dodges
> :and "not to" than reason could ever counter. The harsh
> :words they don't use themselves are provoked by them and
> :their pugnacious actions. It should be no surprise that
> :responses should meet or exceed them.
>
> See Jackie, even though dickie pretends to welcome you to ham
> radio he
> knows that since you went for the no-code ticked you are just to
> lazy
> to ever learn anything otherwise as he says, you wouldn't be a
> no-coder.
>
> : Amateur Radio, RIP. Alas, we hardly knew ye. And the
> :no-coders barely did at all.....
> :Dick C
>
> And again he claims the no-code ticket holders are going to bury
> ham
> radio. Make you feel good he thinks of you that way?
>

> And now some of Larry's whining
>
> :From: Larry Roll K3LT (yodoc@aol.comnospam)
> : Subject: Being Extra is no Longer Rare! (Don't look now, but it
> never was!)
> : Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> : Date: 2000/07/03 Precisely. We gave the no-coders 99.97% of the
> amateur radio
> :spectrum in 1991, and they proceeded to pick up their microphones
> :on the 2-meter band and start whining about not being able to
> :pick up their microphones on HF and whine there!
>
> Again, just because you hold an no-code ticket and possibably
> content with the terms of your license, according to newbie
> larry you are just a lazy no good for nothing will never be a real
> ham.
>
> :From: Larry Roll K3LT (yodoc@aol.comnospam)
> : Subject: Re: Puke.
> : Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> : Date: 2001-06-02 23:19:04 PST
> :I don't recall having done so other than to treat you the same
> way
> :I treat *all* "no-coders."
>
> As in I treat all no-coders with total disgust.
>
> :From: Larry Roll K3LT (yodoc@aol.comnospam)
> : Subject: Re: Isn't this interesting?
> : Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> : Date: 2000/08/07
> :BTW -- every time I take a stool
> :softener, out comes a bunch of Liberal Democrat members
> :of the Clinton Administration -- and some no-coders! However,
> :I believe the first situation will clear up this November! Then
> all I'll
> :have to flush down are the no-coders!
>
> If you didn't get your bowels so upset about some no-code ham
> being just as
> good a ham as you, you wouldn't need that stool softner newbie
> larryboy.
>
> More of newbie larry's disdane for the no-code ticket holders.
>
> :From: Larry Roll K3LT (yodoc@aol.comnospam)
> : Subject: Re: Place Vote:How Many Hams use Single Banded 10 Meter
> rigs.
> : Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> : Date: 2001-08-26 08:50:16 PST

> :Single-band 10m rigs seem to me to be geared to two groups --
> :terminally microphone-obsessed No-Coders who can't conceive of
> :needing to use any other band and any mode other than SSB/FM
> :voice, and CB'ers looking for a higher power (usually 25 - 100w.)
> :easily-modified rig that they can use to "bootleg" on 11m. I am
> a
> :Real Ham who regularly uses CW and digital modes and little or
> :no voice; therefore a single-band rig has limitations which would
> :not appeal to me.
>
> So, according to newbie larry, if you don't use cw and digital
> modes
> then you can't possibly be a 'real ham'.
>
> :From: Larry Roll K3LT (yodoc@aol.comnospam)
> : Subject: Re: TO THE IDIOT THAT POSTED THAT HE WAS UPGRADING TO
> GENERAL THIS
> : WEEK
> : Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> : Date: 2000-08-23 20:35:50 PST
> :I think we can pretty much draw the conclusion that the main
> problem
> :the no-coders have, or had, with the code tests was their own
> laziness,
> :lack of motivation, and perhaps, fear of failure.
>
> As a no-code ticker holder you are just too lazy and have no
> motivation
> to do any better, according to newbie larry that is. Isn't it nice
> to
> have such encouragement from a fellow ham?
>
> :From: Larry Roll K3LT (yodoc@aol.comnospam)
> : Subject: Re: This should choke a few big mouths
> : Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> : Date: 2000/06/21
> :The way hams these days tend to fall all over themselves when
> someone
> :shows up at a club meeting with a brand-new No-code Tech ticket,
> a
> :new HT, and no clue as to which direction the paper should move
> when
> :they open up the instruction manual, is what puzzles me.
>
> :From: Larry Roll K3LT (yodoc@aol.comnospam)
> : Subject: Re: An Invitation to CW Radiosport
> : Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> : Date: 2002-11-16 09:26:29 PST
> :That's the problem with you no-coders. You know nothing about CW
> because

> :you have had no practical experience in using it at a useful
> proficiency
> :level, therefore you tend to disbelieve it's potential. It all
> boils down to
> :two things -- laziness and whining.
>
>
> :From: Larry Roll K3LT (yodoc@aol.comnospam)
> : Subject: Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.
> : Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> : Date: 2002-07-21 08:09:10 PST
> :Good. I'm impressed. Not got CW? Bzzzzzt! You're inferior to
> me
> :as a ham! You lose!
>
> There is that inferior/superior attitude again, see you holders of
> a
> no-code ticket are, according to newbie larry, painfully inferior
> to
> the big man with the extra ticket who can beep.
>
>
> :From: Larry Roll K3LT (yodoc@aol.comnospam)
> : Subject: Re: Morse Character spacing
> : Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> : Date: 2000/07/22
> :Actually, I took all my "real ham" tests between the years 1981 -
> 1983.
>
> So if you weren't licensed in those years like newbie larry, then
> you cannot be a 'real ham'.
>
> Yet below newbie larry says you don't even have to have a ham
> license to be a 'real ham'
>
> :From: Larry Roll K3LT (yodoc@aol.comnospam)
> : Subject: Re: What's a "Real Ham?" REAL EASY... K3LT's reply
> (long)
> : Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> : Date: 2001-05-13 10:48:52 PST
> :A Real Ham is a person who makes something of himself *as* a
> :radio amateur. A Real Ham can even be someone who doesn't
> :possess a license --
>
> And then here he says:
>
> :From: Larry Roll K3LT (yodoc@aol.comnospam)
> : Subject: Re: The Future of Packet Radio
> : Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> : Date: 2002-11-10 09:18:43 PST

> :There are no more Real Ham(tm) licenses. Only dumbed-down,
> slow-code,
> :fake Ham Wannabe licenses. The last Real Ham(tm) (General or
> above)
> :was licensed on April 14, 2000.
>
> Make up your mind, which is it? According to him if you were
> licensed
> after April 14, 2000, then you are not and cannot be a 'real ham'.
> Notice his (tm) after Real Ham, he claims he inventerd the term on
> Fidonet some 10 years ago. Like no one had ever used the term
> before
> he did, yea right. Guess he also helped Gore invent the Internet.
> Would
> stand to reason they would be good buddies.
>
>
> :From: Larry Roll K3LT (yodoc@aol.comnospam)
> : Subject: Re: NO_CODE = NO_HAM.
> : Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> : Date: 2002-07-21 08:09:10 PST
> :No, I don't. I'll call myself a Real Ham based on my own
> assessment
> :of what makes a Real Ham -- which includes, as a prerequisite, a
> :minimum of 13 WPM code proficiency and the ability to operate CW
> :comfortably and competently on-the-air -- sending by hand and
> :receiving by ear and copying in writing.
>
> So as a no-coder or even an upgrade with the 5 wpm code test,
> according to newbie larry's standards you are not a 'real ham'.
> Larry even referred to my Advance class ticket as a 'piddling
> little Advanced class ticket'.
> See newbie larry thinks if you don't hold an extra like him and
> operate cw then you are a nobody. However,
> Jackie, even if you do get your extra class someday, you can never
> reach the lofty heights as newbie larry and
> dickie becasue you can get the extra with only a 5wpm code test,
> so you can never acheive the lofty status
> of being a 'real ham', at least according to them.
> And then we hear from the all knowing wa8ulx:
>
> :Subject: Re: How can we win?
> :Date: 20 Nov 2002 19:51:38 GMT
> :From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
> :Organization: AOL http://www.aol.com
> :Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.policy
> : References:1
>
> :>A no-code just
> :>can't be a 'real ham'.

>
> :And they never will be, nothing more than a CBplusser
>
> And wa8lux's bio from qrz.com June 10, 2001 which he has evidently
> deleted since then, even though it still expresses his true
> feelings.
>
> :WA8ULX Lookups: 82
> :BRUCE A. BEYNON
> :804 W 12TH AVE
> :COVINGTON LA 70433
> :USA
> :Detailed info Update this listing Update Policy...
>
> :Mailing Label Postcard
>
> :Email: WA8ULX@AOL.COM
>
> :One of the only Real Hams left, most of the new ones are
> CBplussers
>
> So again we see the disdain for the other class of hams, you just
> simply
> are not 'real hams' by the definitation of a very few minority
> bloated ego status eekers here (by the way Brucie, did you get
> newbie larry's permission to use the term 'Real Hams' as he has
> it trade marked?).
>
> So Jackie, don't worry about all the nonsense spouted by these few
> minority egos, you are already more of a ham than these knot heads
> will ever be.

1537. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 23:42:07 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <utqs9j2l16tne3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55627

Sounds a lot like you're whining, Larry.

Kim W5TIT

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021120223255.09268.00001489@mb-cu.aol.com...
> In article <3DDBBE56.BE13E7FC@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
> writes:
>
> >You're so hung up on your lofty status so I'm going to come right
> >out and say it. I think anyone who has retired from the AF with
> >all these skills, has a college degree, and after all these years
> >can only drive a bus is proving precisely how lazy and unmotivated
> >he is.
> >Even though you went from nothing to Extra in 16 months, you're
> >still nothing.
> >Now I have spent enough time on a newbie with an ego and an
> >attitude.
>
> JJ:
>
> You're perfectly welcome to do my job for a month and then tell
> me, to my face, how lazy and unmotivated I am. I'm not just a
> bus driver, I drive a Paratransit bus, which means I transport
> physically and mentally challenged people exclusively, providing
> door-to-door service over a county-wide area. Not only do I have
> to know where virtually every doctor's office, medical center,
> hospital, business, nursing home, and school in the county is,
> but I have to be able to find the homes of a large and changing
> clientele, and do it quickly and accurately. I do more map reading
> and basic road navigation in one day than most people do in
> a year. I have to safely maneuver a vehicle that is 25 feet long,
> 7.5 feet wide, and 10 feet high in crowded parking lots and narrow
> city streets. I have to deal with loading and unloading barely
> ambulatory and wheelchair-bound passengers up to 25 times
> a day. I have to be in constant communication with my dispatch
> center, and deal with frequent changes to my working manifest.
> It is an essential service and our clientele depends on us to
> provide safe, efficient transportation in order for them to live
> relatively normal lives in spite of their individual challenges.
> It is a job I enjoy and which gives me a great deal of satisfaction.
> So, go ahead and make fun of my job. I get a laugh out of it
> myself on a regular basis!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

>

1538. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 23:45:59 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <utqsgq7u0th7cd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55629

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021120231418.18440.00000194@mb-fp.aol.com...
> > For instance, if you're typical...you're boastfulness and
> >assholiness indicates quite a small dick.
>
> WOOOOO TIT. I take it you most have Experience along those lines.

Along what lines? Knowing guys who are assholes because of the size of their dicks...? Actually, I've only known one--my first husband. He was small and a small man also. However, in many, many conversations over the years with many women who've also had the same misfortune--it is pretty apparent that you guys with little "ones" have a real piss-poor personality.

So, yeah, I've got quite a lot of experience to use as reference. I notice that you, on the other hand, didn't bother denying the inference.

Kim W5TIT

1539. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 23:49:00 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <utqsmgic6eegbf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55630

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:arhv3f$uj4$0@208.206.142.73...
>
>
> JJ wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > > Hi Jackie, and welcome to ham radio. I wish for you the satisfying
> > > longtime experience it has been for me. You have made it more than
clear
> > > in your post that you'll have no problems and that you're the sort of
> > > ham that will be a credit to the service. That's very gratifying to
see.
> >
> > Nothing like talking out of both sides of your mouth. First you
> > make it known just how little you think of no-coders then you spin
> > this stuff.
>
>
> You really DO enjoy being wrong, don't you? In fact you don't know the
> difference between a nocoder and a newcomer who is learning the code.
> Get your head out, JJ and smell a little sunshine. The change might just
> be refreshing. Jackie isn't the sort of ham that is the problem, you and
> Brainless Burke are.
>

I think he's got it quite right, Dick. What would you say/do if someone
joined the hobby and decided *not* to learn CW? What would you do if
someone joined the hobby at entry level and decided that was just fine for
them? What would you do if someone new to the hobby actually disagreed with
something you had to say on the hobby?

Uh huh. Thought so.

Kim W5TIT

1540. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 23:52:57 UTC
From: W6DKN <w6dkn-nospam-@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <seeD9.2816$EY.2613@fe01>
Source record: 55628
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net> wrote in message
news:MKbD9.657$pc.135424@news.iquest.net...

> <<< SNIP >>>
> There really needs to be a basic ham license w/o code.

There already is - it's called a No-Code Tech.

> <<< SNIP >>>
> To work at all in the
> 'code' bands, you'll need to pass the code test (similar to the good old
reserved
> bands for extra

Let's just keep it simple. To work any band / mode below 30 mhz. you need a
higher class license (oops, that's how it is now... :>)

73 de W6DKN

1541. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 23:55:35 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <utqt2s17k8ppc6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55631

"Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote in message
news:YW4D9.98978$QZ.16102@sccrnsc02...
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3DDC5044.1C9C1B87@mailcity.net...
>
> > See Jackie, even though dickie pretends to welcome you to ham
> > radio he
> > knows that since you went for the no-code ticked you are just to
> > lazy
> > to ever learn anything otherwise as he says, you wouldn't be a
> > no-coder.
>
> I see where you are coming from, but I don't necessarily agree that I'm
> being personally insulted because I'm a "no-coder".
>

None of us are, Jackie. :) That's just a lofty ambition of Dick/Larry et
al. One would have to "feel" insulted for them to work. I don't, and don't
know anyone here or elsewhere, feel insulted by Dick, Larry, or anyone I
know/speak to. I am a Tech+ licensee and actually don't really intend on
ever going any further.

>
> Of course I'm a
> no-coder- I'm not the the air with a paddle because I'm still learning it.
I
> haven't yet passed the test. The whole gist of my original post- "how can
we
> win?" was that I felt that beginners might be discouraged by those who
> looked down on newbies to the hobby because they had to pass a test that
> didn't include code in the first place.
>

That is, generally, the attitude of the Dicks and Larrys of RRAP. It's also
an attitude I've heard expressed on the air, both HF and VHF. However, as I
said, one must feel affected by the insults in order for them to work.

Question is: what do you think of people who may not choose to learn CW, or
who will enter the hobby with a Tech license and go no further? I would
hope that you are a person who would openly and generously welcome anyone to
the hobby--regardless of how they got here or what they intend to do with
it.

>
> I didn't learn CW and choose to pass the tech and the general the first

> time, because I just didn't have the time to do so. It is good that one
can
> take steps and gradually work into a higher license class. Who knows; I
may
> end up not liking CW after I start to use it but that could go for several
> other modes as well.
>

See...the Dicks and Larrys would have a problem with that. A really big
problem. That's where the differences with them lay.

>
> I'm not particularly interested in packet radio, for
> example- seems too much like chatting on the Internet to me. But to each
> their own.
>
> Jackie
>
>

Your last line says it all. That is as it should be...in my opinion.

Kim W5TIT

1542. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-21 23:57:59 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <utqt7ai1u2l136@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55633

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021121110655.08236.00001702@mb-cg.aol.com...
> In article <b30faff8.0211202034.1cf442eb@posting.google.com>,
> plampione@yahoo.com (Peter Lampione) writes:
>
> >
> >There, now flame me.
>
> No need to flame you, you're entitled to your opinion -- however
> ill-informed it may be.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Yeah, there's a new person/ham that Larry's trying to impress.

1543. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 00:10:56 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211211610.2654ad2f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55635
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

plampione@yahoo.com (Peter Lampione) wrote in message
news:<b30faff8.0211211159.57a762c3@posting.google.com>...
> Oh I am quite willing to learn things in general!
> But the point is, there are so many things to learn,
> and I prefer to learn useful things to useless ones.
> I'd rather spend time learning more about DSP, that can
> be useful to me to post-process radio signals, than code,
> that I am likely to never use.
>
> And another poster commented that I simply not motivated enough
> by amateur radio to learn code.
> True, by definition.
> I sometimes listen to what hams talk about; 95% of the time
> they talk about their radio setup.
> The idea of having to learn code, get a licence, and build an
> antenna, to be able to talk about my radio on the air
> is ... uh ... less than overwhelmingly enticing.
>
> I predict that, with all the new technologies available now
> (cellphones, internet, computers, etc etc), amateur radio will
> simply die out for lack of interest; the ARRL and others are
> doing a pityful job of getting the newer generations involved.
> Too bad, because some things going on with packet radio,
> computers, routing etc are incredibly cool.
>
> They keep up this old-fashioned requirements, and they don't
> realise that, as the younger people are turned off,
> their radios will also eventually be turned off, when FCC
> reclaims the frequencies.
> They see the refusal of somebody to learn code as that person's
> problem, and they don't realise that the lack of membership will
> soon be their problem.
>
> Sooner or later the FCC is going to reclaim those frequencies
> due to lack of interest, and nobody in the US consituency will care,
> except the 0.0001% of the population - hardly a political constituency.
> Come on - listen to the actual _content_ of ham conversations:
> if SW bandwidth ever becomes valuable, I don't think ARRL has a chance
> to argue that there is something worthy going on there.
> And the original purpose, to spread the knowledge of radio technology,
> is moot now - with so many other important technologies, why would
> one worry so much about radio?
>

> Many hams are now in their 50s. I predict that by the time they are
> dead, in about 25 years, amateur radio will also be dead, reduced to
> a small number of frequencies used for emergency communication.
> All the rest will be commercial bandwidth.
>
> Peter
>
> N8KDV <n8kdv@iserv.net> wrote in message news:<3DDC6876.D4B96733@iserv.net>...
> > Typical comments by someone who is simply to lazy to learn. We've heard it all before.
> >
> > Peter Lampione wrote:
> >
> > > I would suggest somethig rather different.
> > >
> > > Take out the code requirement, and put in the test some DSP questions
> > > (how to make filters, equalize volume, remove noise, and modulate in
> > > phase, frequency, quadrature, etc) as well as more advanced circuit
> > > design.
> > >
> > > The code requirement is just silly.
> > > Code is just one of the many ways to encode a signal, a way that
> > > happens to be possible to do by hand. But why bother with it?
> > > That it is useful in emergencies is a ridiculous thing - if your
> > > transceiver works, you might as well talk into it, so also general
> > > SWL, and not only hams, can understand your transmission.
> > > Instead, with the increased ease with which signals are digitized,
> > > DSP should really be required knowledge.
> > > I mean, it takes so little nowadays to feed the signal into an audio
> > > board
> > > or better A/D converter, and then start doing things digitally...
> > >
> > > In fact, consider this: DSP should be required because, in case of
> > > emergency, you hook up your PC/laptop audio board to the radio, and
> > > there you go,
> > > modulating any way you want...
> > >
> > > Code is what keeps me getting a ham licence.
> > > I just don't suffer fools gladly, and the code requirement is foolish.
> > > If I want to waste time, there are many more pleasant ways to do it.
> > >
> > > Cheers!
> > >
> > > Peter
> > >
> > > Oh and one more thing: I think it is incredibly funny that in a
> > > country where you can get a driver's licence without knowing how to
> > > operate a stick shift, you cannot operate ham without knowing code.
> > >
> > > There, now flame me.
> > >

> > > rettysnitch@ugerumf.com (Wouff Hong) wrote in message
news:<arrl.doesnt.care.anymore@all.com>...
> > > > Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> > > > pass all elements required for their license class.
> > > >
> > > > Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.
> > > >
> > > > Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
> > > >
> > > > Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
> > > >
> > > > Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> > > > to improve things.
> > > >
> > > > Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.
> > > >
> > > > Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > 73, de Wouff Hong

peter.......i can see that you are in need of a mind control
transplant....please report to the nearest positive thinking building
for your yearly mind control insert battery checkup......this is just
not good ..talking to hammies like that.......shame for
shame..............

1544. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 00:14:57 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <5GeD9.2352$4j5.1258585@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 55614
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Peter Lampione" <plampione@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:b30faff8.0211202034.1cf442eb@posting.google.com...
> I would suggest somethig rather different.
>
> Take out the code requirement, and put in the test some DSP questions
> (how to make filters, equalize volume, remove noise, and modulate in
> phase, frequency, quadrature, etc) as well as more advanced circuit
> design.

Add the DSP yes, take out code not.

>
> The code requirement is just silly.
> Code is just one of the many ways to encode a signal, a way that
> happens to be possible to do by hand. But why bother with it?
> That it is useful in emergencies is a ridiculous thing - if your
> transceiver works, you might as well talk into it, so also general
> SWL, and not only hams, can understand your transmission.

Several times every year, shortwave conditions are poor enough that voice
transmissions are unitelligible. If you don't have a computer to work the
other digital modes, the only possibility left is CW.

> Instead, with the increased ease with which signals are digitized,
> DSP should really be required knowledge.
> I mean, it takes so little nowadays to feed the signal into an audio
> board
> or better A/D converter, and then start doing things digitally...
>
> In fact, consider this: DSP should be required because, in case of
> emergency, you hook up your PC/laptop audio board to the radio, and
> there you go,
> modulating any way you want...

You aren't always going to have a computer available. I know when I go
traveling, I sometimes take my radio but not my computer.

>
> Code is what keeps me getting a ham licence.
> I just don't suffer fools gladly, and the code requirement is foolish.
> If I want to waste time, there are many more pleasant ways to do it.
>
> Cheers!
>
> Peter

>
> Oh and one more thing: I think it is incredibly funny that in a
> country where you can get a driver's licence without knowing how to
> operate a stick shift, you cannot operate ham without knowing code.
>
> There, now flame me.

There's no reason to flame anyone. It is a difference of opinion that should be calmly debated.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

1545. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 00:15:17 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021121191517.10956.00000170@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 55636

>I notice
>that you, on the other hand, didn't bother denying the inference.
>
>Kim W5TIT

Well TIT, I prefer to keep you guessing, you wish I was like your previous encounters, you probably had me mistaken for Brian.

1546. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 00:15:56 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021121191556.04214.00000625@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 55638

In article <f45722ac.0211211433.62c1c594@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<c2356669.0211210512.180146a6@posting.google.com>...
>> rettysnitch@ugerumf.com (Wouff Hong) wrote in message
>news:<arrl.doesnt.care.anymore@all.com>...
>
>> > Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>>
>> Again, no problem. But how are you going to convince FCC? What new
>> argument will change their minds after they have been gradually
>> phasing out code testing for the past 20 years?
>
>Typo or did you really mean 20 years?

Mr. N2EY meant what he said. He never makes errors. He
knows the inside workings of the FCC.

Do not attempt correcting Mr. N2EY in anything. To do so
would put you up on charges of "trying to destroy US
amateur radio service!" You have been warned... :-)

1547. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 00:15:57 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021121191557.04214.00000626@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 55637

In article <f45722ac.0211211431.6e32c922@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>rettysnitch@ugerumf.com (Wouff Hong) wrote in message
>news:<arrl.doesnt.care.anymore@all.com>...
>> Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
>> pass all elements required for their license class.
>>
>> Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.
>>
>> Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
>>
>> Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>>
>> Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
>> to improve things.
>>
>> Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.
>>
>> Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.
>>
>>
>> 73, de Wouff Hong
>
>Wouffgang Larry, I don't see anything in there about White Supremacy
>so maybe you're almost legitimate.
>
>1. No Automatic Renewals - Agreed. We need to keep this economy
>rolling one test fee at a time.
>2. passing score 90% - Make it 100%. If Bruce Without Error can do
>it, anyone should be able to do it. Some may have to study, though.
>3. Code elements 13 wpm for General, 20 wpm for Extra. We've had 160
>years to perfect the code. Lets at least get it up to the speed of a
>greasy smelly dinasaur tty - 55wpm.
>4. Make no-code license one year non-renewable. I've been told that
>anyone can learn code in a matter of weeks. Make the NCT 6 weeks
>non-renewable.
>5. Cancel your league membership until they improve things - That's
>been tried - just cancel it.
>6. Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air, and
>Complain to Al Gore when you see one on rrap (cc: Al Gore).
>7. Turn in rule breakers to the FCC - Cuffed and stuffed? or just
>send in their call sign?

8. Force-feed long-timers to accept a sense of humor...too many take everything so seriously that non-acceptance of their opinions/viewpoints is tantamount to Treason Against The State!

9. Absolutely forbid any further attempts to change law of radio regulations in regards to amateur radio. The anal retentive long-timers are barely able to swallow the last restructuring. They will be unable to live with any more regulation changes.

:-)

1548. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 00:15:58 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021121191558.04214.00000627@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 55639

In article <c2356669.0211211357.4e09cdd5@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) writes:

>"Brian Denley" <bdenley@attbi.com> wrote in message
>news:<haYC9.96167$QZ.14825@sccrnsc02>...
>> Why not just drop the archaic code requirement,
>
>FCC's hands are tied on that one. The ITU treaty requires code tests
>for an HF ham license. FCC isn't going to violate the treaty - they
>said so in their Report and Order. If/when the treaty changes, FCC
>will most probably eliminate Element 1 - but not until then.

WRC-03 is next year. The preparatory meeting is happening now.

>Meanwhile, FCC has already reduced code testing to the barest minimum
>that they think meets the treaty requirement - 5 wpm. Is learning
>enough Morse code to pass the current 5 wpm code test really so
>difficult?

For some, yes. For others it is simply an ancient radio
requirement, outdated and outmoded, of value only to keep
long-timers satisfied.

The first radio hardware among amateurs was damped-wave
"spark" transmitters and galena crystal or "coherer" receivers.
If morse code "must" be learned because it was "the first mode
in radio communications," why don't the tests also include
"technical" questions on spark transmitters and crystal detectors?

>> beef up the theory
>> requirements
>
>How? What, exactly, would you change?
>
>Many of those who commented on the recent restructuring offered
>practical ways for the FCC to "beef up" the theory requirements for
>the higher-class licenses. In response, FCC reduced both the number of
>tests and the total number of questions for each license class.

The FCC *also* dropped any specifics on written test subjects,
allowing the VEC QPC to choose what those already-licensed
radio amateurs thought was applicable.

>> and get hundreds of new, excited members tomorrow?
>
>We're getting thousands of new, excited hams each year right now. The
>number of licensed hams in the USA has reached an all-time high.

So has the USA population...which keeps increasing (without
requiring code testing of citizens).

>> That'll be
>> the biggest shot in the arm the hobby has seen in decades.
>
>If it isn't, will you support going back to the old ways?

I'm sure that Mr. N2EY will rationalize some "answer" to either
condition to favor the old ways. :-)

1549. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 00:16:00 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021121191600.04214.00000628@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 55640

In article <b30faff8.0211211159.57a762c3@posting.google.com>,
plampione@yahoo.com (Peter Lampione) writes:

>Oh I am quite willing to learn things in general!
>But the point is, there are so many things to learn,
>and I prefer to learn useful things to useless ones.
>I'd rather spend time learning more about DSP, that can
>be useful to me to post-process radio signals, than code,
>that I am likely to never use.

In this chatroom (aka a newsgroup) you MUST love and
adore the old ways. If not, you will be accused of several
different perfidies, up to and including a "hidden agenda of
destroying the US amateur radio service." :-)

>And another poster commented that I simply not motivated enough
>by amateur radio to learn code.
>True, by definition.

Same here...and I got into HF communications a half century ago,
never used morse code then, haven't had to in any military or
commercial radio task since.

But, remember the arena and the starving lions therein - not loving
morse code is the same as Treason Against The State (to some)
or "not showing devotion" (to the long-timers) to the ARS. In
general, non-love of morse code will brand you as sub-human,
of little or no intelligence, and a defiler of the Church of Morse.

>I sometimes listen to what hams talk about; 95% of the time
>they talk about their radio setup.

:-)

>The idea of having to learn code, get a licence, and build an
>antenna, to be able to talk about my radio on the air
>is ... uh ... less than overwhelmingly enticing.

That's the Ham Way. :-)

>I predict that, with all the new technologies available now
>(cellphones, internet, computers, etc etc), amateur radio will
>simply die out for lack of interest; the ARRL and others are
>doing a pityful job of getting the newer generations involved.
>Too bad, because some things going on with packet radio,
>computers, routing etc are incredibly cool.

Everyone but the already-licensed long-timers knows that
change is inevitable. Change is not acceptible to those
who were licensed long ago and haven't learned much of
anything else...except to memorize ads in QST for radio
equipment designed and made by others.

>They keep up this old-fashioned requirements, and they don't
>realise that, as the younger people are turned off,
>their radios will also eventually be turned off, when FCC
>reclaims the frequencies.

According to those in the rest of the radio world, there are
a great number of new things destined for the future beyond
the present-day cellular telephone phenomenon (one in three
citizens of the USA are subscribers).

The FCC has begun discussing Spectrum Policy toward that
future (see their website).

>They see the refusal of somebody to learn code as that person's
>problem, and they don't realise that the lack of membership will
>soon be their problem.

That doesn't seem applicable in this chatroom. Already-licensed
long-timers are stuck in their little fantasy land of status quo and,
unfortunately, the "turf" concept where long occupancy somehow
gives the credence over everyone else. Already-licensed long-
timers are who they are and don't seem to care for any new-
comers...except as vassals to chide and rule over. QED Google.

>And the original purpose, to spread the knowledge of radio technology,
>is moot now - with so many other important technologies, why would
>one worry so much about radio?

The "radio" to already-licensed long-timers is that of three to five
decades ago, based on standards and practices of an even longer
time back. That "must not" change. Any attempts to change it
will result in charges of having an agenda to destroy the ARS.

In a way, that charge is true...but only in the sense that change
destroys what these already-licensed long-timers know as "radio."

>Many hams are now in their 50s. I predict that by the time they are
>dead, in about 25 years, amateur radio will also be dead, reduced to
>a small number of frequencies used for emergency communication.
>All the rest will be commercial bandwidth.

Probably not...more likely amateur radio will have changed to a
much different form than what these already-licensed long-timers
know. That is anathema to these long-timers...a horror beyond
all imagining! :-)

I'll let you know my reaction just after my 95th birthday. :-)

Len Anderson
Life Member IEEE

1550. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 00:17:23 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021121191723.10956.00000171@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 55641

>Are we
>having a net on 7.037 or on 10M somewhere? I can do either, uh, if it's
>phone of course.

Why did I know you wouldnt have any Idea if it was a CW portion of the Band

1551. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 00:18:54 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021121191854.10956.00000172@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 55642

>Yup, like you, I want to lift $250 from a couple of CB-Plussers.
>
>

Well stop Whinning and do it. Or are you afraid you will loose the Bet?

1552. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 00:19:55 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021121191955.10956.00000173@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 55643

>I'm waiting for a couple of CB-Plussers to offer me $250. ;)
>

No your just affraid they will take you for $250.

1553. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 00:21:59 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021121192159.10956.00000174@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 55644

>hardeeharhar...this is hilarious. Yes, Jackie...don't be fooled by those
>who believe they are of higher ilk because they had to "do it the hard way."
>
>Kim W5TIT

Shes right Jackie, just whinn and moan like TIT, and maybe they will give you a
Free Handout like they did TIT.

1554. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 00:29:34 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <OTeD9.2355$4j5.1260102@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 55621
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:arjabb$sk7$0@208.206.142.104...
>
>
> [snip]
> Looks like you'd rather just dump the whole mode. That I don't
> understand. I do understand why some people don't like th emode, and I
> can appreciate that. There are things I don't like, too. I have no great
> love for Morse code(despite the rantings of some of the more rabid
> anticoders who post here), but I learned to respect it for what it is
> and appreciate it for what it offers-the most basic, simple, easily
> implemented mode of radiocommunications yet devised.
>
> Does that mean I or any other CW supporter would in any way advocate
> use of CW -at any time- to the exclusion of better more efficient modes?
> Of course not, again despite the rumblings of some anticoders posting
> here. I, and most other code supporters, routinely use advanced digital
> modes, some of us use them more than CW. Again, that does nothing
> whatever to diminish the qualities of Morse code. Some of us understand
> the mode well enough to consider it to be a valuable asset to ham radio.
> If you don't, that's certainly your privilege.

Wonderfully put.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

1555. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 00:30:06 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDD7A8E.ADABEE02@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55717

"Jackie L." wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:arj135$7un$0@208.207.71.171...
>
> [snip]
>
> > I hope this has been cleared up to your satisfaction.
>
> Yes. I do have a question though- if the powers-that-be at the FCC decide
> that CW is no longer a requirement to upgrade from tech to general and
> extra, do the people who administer the tests- the VEs- have to abide by
> this ruling, or can the ARRL and the VEs decide they're going to require it
> anyway? I guess I do not completely understand how far the FCC rules reach,
> and how deep the ARRL's influence is in the testing process. Are they linked
> to one another like Siamese twins, IOW?
>
> Jackie

It is the FCC that sets the testing requirements, and VEs are
required to abide by the requirements. If this did happen (don't
hold your breath though), there would be some VEs that would wish
they could make you take the code test, but they could not.

1556. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 00:34:12 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <8YeD9.2356$4j5.1260780@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 55622
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Peter Lampione" <plampione@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:b30faff8.0211211159.57a762c3@posting.google.com...
> Oh I am quite willing to learn things in general!
> But the point is, there are so many things to learn,
> and I prefer to learn useful things to useless ones.
> I'd rather spend time learning more about DSP, that can
> be useful to me to post-process radio signals, than code,
> that I am likely to never use.

Actually learning all about DSP is useless as it's built into the radio and
I don't have to do anything.

>
> And another poster commented that I simply not motivated enough
> by amateur radio to learn code.
> True, by definition.
> I sometimes listen to what hams talk about; 95% of the time
> they talk about their radio setup.
> The idea of having to learn code, get a licence, and build an
> antenna, to be able to talk about my radio on the air
> is ... uh ... less than overwhelmingly enticing.

There is much more variety in the conversations on CW than I've typically
heard on voice. The range of topics is amazing. I communicated with
retired teachers, "talked" about eBay, etc.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

1557. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 00:35:21 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021121193521.05635.00000103@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 55647

>How does one 'whinn'?

Ask TIT she will tell you.

1558. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 00:41:17 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <N2fD9.2358$4j5.1261721@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 55624
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net> wrote in message
news:MKbD9.657$pc.135424@news.iquest.net...
>
>
> There really needs to be a basic ham license w/o code. To work at all in
the
> 'code' bands, you'll need to pass the code test (similar to the good old
reserved
> bands for extra.) To be able to work anything but phone in limited
bands,
> you'll need the higher technical endorsements. So, there would be the
> basic general ham license, which only provides limited communicator
2M,3/4M band
> permissions. After that, for the real traditional 'u build it' ham
stuff, then
> your technical endorsement would give you access to all but the code
bands.
> There might even be multiple levels of technical endorsement (e.g. HF,
UHF.)
> For the code bands, there would be the <10wpm bands and the >10wpm
> bands (or even finer grain.)

There is a basic ham license without code. It's called Technician. They
have all privileges on all ham segments above 30 megahertz. There are some
fine individuals doing great work in that realm. And some are even using
the 6m and 2m code band segments to work on their code so that they can pass
it to get on the HF bands.

Actually if you limit them to phone only until they learn higher
endorsements, too many will simply stay there. Allowing phone privileges
should be the treat that they get after proving themselves competent in
other areas of ham radio.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

1559. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 00:52:05 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arjv66ogq1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55649

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0211191926.5199b787@posting.google.com...
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20021119163039.22730.00000605@mb-bg.aol.com>...
> > In article <f45722ac.0211190359.16e5b2b7@posting.google.com>,
> > brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
> >
> > >> Then your attempt at misdirection would be irrelevant.
> > >>
> > >> Dave K8MN
> > >
> > >CSCEs are irrelevant?
> >
> > Anything contrary to Heil's opinions/messages/statements
> > is "irrelevant." :-)
>
> Yep, someday when the FCC changes the rules again we might not be
> scrambling to recover our Morse Code CSCE, we might be scrambling to
> recover which version of the Extra we passed.

Might I inquire as to what you might expect the FCC to do to the rules
that would call for such a scrambing or concern as to when anyone
actually passed their Extra?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK
.

1560. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 00:56:47 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arjvep$ar0$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55650

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:arh213$17d$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net...
> The general test I took in 1961 was eons ahead of the present Extra. Hard
> to believe but that explains a lot of what I hear on the air.

The General in 61 (and 58) was no real effort for amny people.
I passed it as a teenager with just some memorization and knowing algebra
to answer the few formula questions.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1561. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 00:58:23 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arjvi1vaq1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55701

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021120174715.05542.00002308@mb-mq.aol.com...
> >The general test I took in 1961 was eons ahead of the present Extra.
Hard
> >to believe but that explains a lot of what I hear on the air.
> >
> >Dan/W4NTI
>
> Dan thats my biggest complaint, Im sure CW is dead as far as Testing goes,
but
> with the written as Dumbed Down as it has become, I can see nothing left
of Ham
> Radio but a Glorified CB Service.

It's been over two years since restructuring. Still no "glorified CB
service."
Your vision is not coming to pass at all.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1562. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 01:02:56 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <4nfD9.2363$4j5.1264183@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 55632

"Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote in message
news:YW4D9.98978$QZ.16102@sccrnsc02...
>
> [snip]
> I see where you are coming from, but I don't necessarily agree that I'm
> being personally insulted because I'm a "no-coder". Of course I'm a
> no-coder- I'm not the the air with a paddle because I'm still learning it.
I
> haven't yet passed the test. The whole gist of my original post- "how can
we
> win?" was that I felt that beginners might be discouraged by those who
> looked down on newbies to the hobby because they had to pass a test that
> didn't include code in the first place.

No one should look down on the newbies. We all started somewhere ourselves. I try to encourage people to move on to the next step simply for the fun of the additional frequencies and their unique characteristics. By the way, once you have your call sign, you can legally work CW on the portions of 6m and 2m that are limited to that use. You don't have to pass the CW test to work it on these band segments. This will get you some on-air practice if there is activity in your area. So once you get the basics down, give it a try. Or if activity is limited, maybe you can find an "Elmer" in your local club to work with you on a scheduled basis.

>
> I didn't learn CW and choose to pass the tech and the general the first
> time, because I just didn't have the time to do so. It is good that one
can
> take steps and gradually work into a higher license class. Who knows; I
may
> end up not liking CW after I start to use it but that could go for several
> other modes as well. I'm not particularly interested in packet radio, for
> example- seems too much like chatting on the Internet to me. But to each
> their own.
>

Yeah I tried packet for awhile but I felt the same way so chose not to continue.

For some people, they find that once having learned it, it's more fun than they guessed. It's kind of like people I know who, as adults, are glad their parents made them learn piano. They hated it as a kid but as an adult, their glad they have that ability.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

1563. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 01:23:47 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ark0o7026tc@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55707

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0211211437.752edbbb@posting.google.com...
> wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021121133116.05372.00002942@mb-mq.aol.com>...
> > >And that would be newbie larry, dickieboy, and wb8lux
> >
> > Whos wb8lux, I dont think i have seen him post here before.
>
> Isn't he the guy who can't spell and/or has a ton of typos, but gets a
> perfect score on exams?

Kinda makes you think of "Rain Man" doesn't it?

1564. Re: Re Field Day (was Eight Minutes?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 01:37:09 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021121203709.17907.00000646@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 55702

In article <3DD6600B.5650EE9@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> In article <3DD434FF.813E07E9@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
><k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> writes:
>>
>> >> oh mama! That rig alone cost more than our whole operation. I'm not
>jealous
>> >or
>> >> complaining - it's the folks with the good setups that make scores like
>> >mine
>> >> possible.
>> >
>> >It's N8NN's new rig. I'm an Omni VI Plus man (but damned if I'm taking
>> >it out to Field Day, heh heh).
>>
>> There's a Barracuda Rule about that.
>
>I'd have been happy to supply an SB-102 :)

HAW!!
>
>> Are you going to go for an Orion?
>
>I'm thinking about it. First I'd have to sell the Omni VI and one of
>the Titans...any maybe the car.

His rig is worth more than his car - beautiful, just beautiful. Barracuda
material for sure.

>> My Southgate Type 6 and Type 7 rigs have seen a few Field Days. Whatta job!
>I
>> built a K2 in part to make FD easier. Smallest rig I ever owned!
>
>I find the little K2 fascinating.

Amazing rig for the price. Receiver is first-class. NP2B was running one in SS,
as were many others. With the 100W amp module they are quite a serious lil box
for under a kilobuck.

>> A thought on participation in ARRL contests vs. Diamond clubs and QST line
>> scores:
>>

>> One of the criteria for including or excluding stuff from QST is
>>popularity. If
>> contest participation drops, they will see it as a drop in popularity of
>>the
>> contest and confirmation of their decision to remove the scores, rather
>>than as
>> a protest. End result is shooting oneself in the foot, as it were.
>
>It would seem that popularity would be a yardstick for inclusion or
>exclusion but recent board decisions contradict that statement. Contest
>participation is up yet line scores are out. DXCC participation is up
>but recent "How's DX?" colunms are small.

Here's why: The anticontest types say "You are using up X pages of QST to publish the results of a contest that had less than 1% of ARRL members sending in logs". (SS typically gets less than 1700 logs per mode, and ARRL membership is about 175,000). IOW, they look for percentage, not absolute numbers. Reduced particiaption only makes the anticontest folks' argument stronger.

I don't agree with that thinking at all, but they have won the day, for now.
>
>I agree with Hans that member activities belong in QST. The removal of
>"Section News" irritates me. Being on the receiving end of two-faced
>babble from my Roanoke Division Director and of dismissive condesent
>from the ARRL President fanned the flames.

That makes three of us. If anything, there should be more room for Section News, not less.

Time for some different Directors, I say.

>The Diamond Club is elitist
>pap which is at odds for the ARRL's raison d'etre.

Answer: Donate money to the things that the Diamond Club is NOT shilling for.

> My ARRL contest
>non-participation will last as long as I think the protest serves any
>value. My withholding of donations to any ARRL program will likely last
>as long as Mary Hobart does. If the League is really that hard up for
>money, perhaps they could begin charging ten bucks each for Old Timers
>Club or Rag Chewers Club certificates. Oops!--Too late. They're
>already doing that. Maybe they could fire Mary and her assistant.

Biggest thing is to let them know how much bad feeling this new direction is causing among the membership.

73 de Jim, N2EY.

1565. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 01:41:50 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <yXfD9.102389$QZ.16898@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 55703

"JJ" <radiobuff@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DDD06CD.5D686A5E@mailcity.net...

> And that would be newbie larry, dickieboy, and wb8lux. Even if you
> decided not to learn the code and were perfectly happy with the
> no-code tech license, which is perfectly fine, you would be
> chastised by these for being lazy and never being a 'real ham'.

Hi JJ,

Since I started lurking here, I decided very early on that I would not take offense at anything that anyone posts unless they were to attack me personally. After all, stereotyping is one of the ways we humans think that we can find order in, and make some sense of, what has become an increasingly complex world. So far, the personal insults have not happened (with one insignificant- and subsequently killfiled- exception). I've been made to feel welcome here, both pro-code and no-code. Thanks to all who responded to my original post to encourage and welcome me into the hobby.

Despite the heated and sometimes nasty repartee I've witnessed in the month and a half I've lurked here, I haven't been scared off of this hobby at all. A bit confused, maybe, but not scared. Hell, I don't particularly fancy being in a place where EVERYONE agrees about EVERYTHING all the time; that gets stagnant and boring real quick.

For all its inadequacies and flaws, I believe that Usenet itself is a bastion of free speech, or as free as it gets in this medium anyway. Usenet was made for argument, debate, unfair and irrational comparisons, illogic, braggadocio, posturing, imitation, blathering, crassness, poor taste, idiocy, flakiness, paranoia, etc. While I firmly believe that at least 85% of what's posted on the newsgroups is crap (not even including the spam), once in awhile I stumble across some thoughtful discourse on some topic which makes me stop and think.

We'll see how it goes in terms of where I end up in this hobby. I am so new to it that I am whatever color comes before bright green. By posting this thread, I just wanted to see where we newbies fit in with the established hams, not only on the air but also in the dank and spooky cyberdungeon that is Usenet. Really, I guess it doesn't matter much what people think of what you do in a hobby, as long as you yourself are having fun and following whatever rules are tacked on to doing that hobby. I've never had a hobby whose basic operating rules were dictated by an arm of the federal government, though, so that part of it's quite novel.

Personal observation: I think that *every* hobby that involves amassing "stuff", whether the "stuff" is radios, coins, telescopes, cars or

what-have-you-- *and* that has a significant number of aficianados devoted to furthering that hobby-- tends to have its "entrenched elders" who consider themselves to be hobby purists and who will hold to the traditions of doing things the "way they were". There is nothing wrong with that, as long as they get enjoyment out of the hobby. But there also exists alongside of the elders a new contingent of folks who're just finding the hobby, and they will generally embrace the newer trends and methods of "doing" the hobby. Not that this is always true, but I think it may well apply to this hobby because of all the new digital and microwave modes that've sprung up over the past couple of decades.

I saw this same dynamic when I was into collecting coins years ago (that hobby has the oldtimers who don't need a "professional grading service" to tell them what a coin was worth, because grading is an ART, and it was never meant to be a science) and in amateur astronomy, my other hobby, it's the ones that love the simplicity of their 35-year-old 6" Cave Newtonian reflector, and would NEVER use a "go-to" (a device which, after a few buttons are pressed, makes the telescope point at just about anything that's cool to look at in the night sky) because you're not learning the sky that way, you are *cheating!*

Believe you me, the "go-to / no go-to" debates on the astronomy newsgroups are almost as heated as the code / no-code debates here.

Sorry if I strayed off topic to illustrate my point, but those of you hams out there who are also into astronomy-- and there are quite a few of you, I know-- will know *exactly* what I'm talking about and they will see the parallels therein.

Ennaway! Time for dinner here... oh, and just in case you didn't know (because my first name can be both a male and a female name), I'm a YL. Or, is that an XYL? In any event, I'm a divorced single mom of an awesome teenaged son who has suddenly taken a keen interest in his mom's handi-talkie!

73 to all

Jackie KC0ODY

1566. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 01:45:12 UTC
From: OC_CAM's_Razor <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <3DDD8C28.89868170@ieee.org>
Source record: 55705
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Dee D. Flint" wrote:
> Actually learning all about DSP is useless as it's built into the radio and
> I don't have to do anything.

Learning CW is useless as it's built into my SCS PTC2e modem and I
don't have to do anything. :-)
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1567. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 01:45:13 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <J_fD9.101350$nB.8079@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 55704

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3DDCEAA3.D94F6752@earthlink.net...

[snipped entire conversation because of warped sense of humor honed in on
this}

> Anyone see the 1960's Peter Sellers movie "The Party"?
> Birdie num-nums?

I think Peter Sellers was one highly underrated comedian. I mean, c'mon,
Inspector Clouseau was funny, but his range went way beyond that...

Jackie

1568. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 01:49:24 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <E2gD9.102459$QZ.17003@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 55706

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:arj135$7un$0@208.207.71.171...

[snip]

> I hope this has been cleared up to your satisfaction.

Yes. I do have a question though- if the powers-that-be at the FCC decide that CW is no longer a requirement to upgrade from tech to general and extra, do the people who administer the tests- the VEs- have to abide by this ruling, or can the ARRL and the VEs decide they're going to require it anyway? I guess I do not completely understand how far the FCC rules reach, and how deep the ARRL's influence is in the testing process. Are they linked to one another like Siamese twins, IOW?

Jackie

1569. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 01:54:12 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ark2o4hqj0@208.207.71.154>
Source record: 55708
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:
>
> We'll be looking for you to join us soon. I'll bet you can teach us a thing
> or two.
>

But first he's gotta learn a thing or two.

1570. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 01:54:40 UTC
From: Brian Denley <bdenley@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <A7gD9.74542$P31.39063@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 55709
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Oops I spelled ridiculous wrong! Sorry

--
Brian Denley
http://home.attbi.com/~bdenley/index.html
"Brian Denley" <bdenley@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:TVdD9.76246$WL3.32982@rwcrnsc54...
The
> very idea sounds rediculous to us.

> --
> Brian Denley
> http://home.attbi.com/~bdenley/index.html

1571. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 02:00:57 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ark3u0$1jt$3@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55712

Is that pathetic or what?

Dan/W4NTI

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021121191723.10956.00000171@mb-cu.aol.com...
> >Are we
> >having a net on 7.037 or on 10M somewhere? I can do either, uh, if it's
> >phone of course.
>
> Why did I know you wouldnt have any Idea if it was a CW portion of the
Band

1572. Re: News Release: "No Written International" Founded.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 02:01:45 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ark3u1$1jt$4@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55713

You may have a point there ...grin.

Dan/W4NTI

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021121141905.24472.00000191@mb-ch.aol.com...
> >What is the formula to cut a 1/2 wave diploe in free space ?
> >
>
> Dan your getting a little advanced here. Maybe we should start with, what
does
> a Dipole look like, then the next question should be, whats it used for.
>
>

1573. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 02:09:52 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ark3lg$k7u$0@208.207.71.154>
Source record: 55710

Brian wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<ari0st$33t$1@208.206.142.73>...
> > Jim Hampton wrote:
> > >
> > you find a lot of the friction is over the code
> > > thing. Don't worry, everyone will get over it.
> >
> >
> > Yeah, most of us are already over it. Those who are not still complain
> > about it all being someone else's fault that they were "excluded" from
> > ham radio by the existance of a code test. That's nonsense of the most
> > extreme sort.
>
> You -were- a VE. How many people did you exclude from amateur radio?

Since you never tested with us, none.

1574. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 02:11:05 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ark3np$k7u$1@208.207.71.154>
Source record: 55711

Dave Heil wrote:
>
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021121133116.05372.00002942@mb-mq.aol.com>...
> > > >And that would be newbie larry, dickieboy, and wb8lux
> > >
> > > Whos wb8lux, I dont think i have seen him post here before.
> >
> > Isn't he the guy who can't spell and/or has a ton of typos, but gets a
> > perfect score on exams?
>
> Is typing or spelling necessary for passing any of the exams? I thought
> the writtens were all multiple choice.
>

As always, Brain's winging it again.

1575. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 02:14:30 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ark3u2$1jt$5@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55714

Its not a hobby W5TWIT....its a SERVICE...Read part 97...show me where its called a 'hobby'.

This perchance explains your total inability to understand whats being said.

Dan/W4NTI

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message news:utqsmgic6eegbf@corp.supernews.com...
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:arhv3f$uj4$0@208.206.142.73...
> >
> >
> > JJ wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > > Hi Jackie, and welcome to ham radio. I wish for you the satisfying
> > > > longtime experience it has been for me. You have made it more than
> clear
> > > > in your post that you'll have no problems and that you're the sort
of
> > > > ham that will be a credit to the service. That's very gratifying to
> see.
> > >
> > > Nothing like talking out of both sides of your mouth. First you
> > > make it known just how little you think of no-coders then you spin
> > > this stuff.
> >
> >
> > You really DO enjoy being wrong, don't you? In fact you don't know the
> > difference between a nocoder and a newcomer who is learning the code.
> > Get your head out, JJ and smell a little sunshine. The change might just
> > be refreshing. Jackie isn't the sort of ham that is the problem, you and
> > Brainless Burke are.
> >
>
> I think he's got it quite right, Dick. What would you say/do if someone
> joined the hobby and decided *not* to learn CW? What would you do if
> someone joined the hobby at entry level and decided that was just fine for
> them? What would you do if someone new to the hobby actually disagreed
with
> something you had to say on the hobby?
>
> Uh huh. Thought so.

>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

1576. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 02:19:41 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ark47t$k7u$2@208.207.71.154>
Source record: 55715

Brian wrote:
>
> wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021120114823.24623.00000171@mb-ch.aol.com>...
> > >Really? You haven't even picked up your Extra lite now that it's a
> > >freebee. What's holding you up, Brain?
> >
> > Hes waiting for another handout.
>
> Yup, like you, I want to lift $250 from a couple of CB-Plussers.

To do that you merely have to change the $ from one hand to the other
:-)

1577. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 02:24:45 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DDD955F.2060208@adelphia.net>
Source record: 55716

Jackie,

I also used the ARL CD's to learn, but I eventually had to move to a random program because I ended up memorizing the contents. They were a pretty good start, just not a good finish.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1578. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 02:25:34 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDD959E.D150158F@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55741
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> JJ wrote:
> >
> > "Dee D. Flint" wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > Several times every year, shortwave conditions are poor enough that voice
> > > transmissions are unitelligible. If you don't have a computer to work the
> > > other digital modes, the only possibility left is CW.
> >
> > Depends upon just who you are trying to contact, for distant
> > contacts that depend upon propagation that may be true, but you
> > can always find a band where you can make voice transmissions, if
> > nothing more than VHF/UHF locally or even HF frequencies locally.
> > This nonsense that the conditions get so bad that all frequencies
> > are affected such that cw is the only mode to get through is just
> > that, nonsense.
>
> Well Junior Junior, that just shows what you know about the subject.

Are you saying dickie that conditions can get so bad that someone
can't even make a local voice contact on VHF/UHF but only on cw?
Well dickieboy, that just show what you know about the subject.

1579. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 02:30:14 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDD96B6.F6D46730@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55742

"Benjamin, KB9LFZ" wrote:
>
> Wouff Hong,
>
>
> > Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
> -Sounds like a good idea, but tis better to encourage people to move on and
> help them along the way, then bark at 'em like their bosses do.

The Novice license used to be this way, certainly inspired me to
upgrade before the year was up.

1580. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 02:33:32 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ark51s$o9b$0@208.206.142.97>
Source record: 55718
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dee D. Flint" wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:arjabb$sk7$0@208.206.142.104...
> > >
> > >
> > > [snip]
> > > Looks like you'd rather just dump the whole mode. That I don't
> > > understand. I do understand why some people don't like th emode, and I
> > > can appreciate that. There are things I don't like, too. I have no great
> > > love for Morse code(despite the rantings of some of the more rabid
> > > anticoders who post here), but I learned to respect it for what it is
> > > and appreciate it for what it offers-the most basic, simple, easily
> > > implemented mode of radiocommunications yet devised.
> > >
> > > Does that mean I or any other CW supporter would in any way advocate
> > > use of CW -at any time- to the exclusion of better more efficient modes?
> > > Of course not, again despite the rumblings of some anticoders posting
> > > here. I, and most other code supporters, routinely use advanced digital
> > > modes, some of us use them more than CW. Again, that does nothing
> > > whatever to diminish the qualities of Morse code. Some of us understand
> > > the mode well enough to consider it to be a valuable asset to ham radio.
> > > If you don't, that's certainly your privilege.
> >
> > Wonderfully put.
> >

Thank you. Sometimes the give and take here distorts things way out of
all proper perspective.

Dick

> Dee Flint, N8UZE

1581. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 02:38:24 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ark5b0$o9b$1@208.206.142.97>
Source record: 55719

W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> "Kï¿½HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
> news:V5YC9.1583$It3.125058@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > So where is everyone? I'm sitting on 7.037 having a nice QSO with WA2SLH
> in
> > NJ, after no RRAP'ers answered my many CQ's.
> >
> > ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >
> >
> >
>
> I thought about it last night (the net). OK, set me straight. Are we
> having a net on 7.037 or on 10M somewhere? I can do either, uh, if it's
> phone of course. I haven't looked at the band plan to see if you're
> referencing a CW freq.
>
> Anyway, let me know.
>
> Kim W5TIT

Well there you have it. From a *real* ham.

1582. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 02:40:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ark5e0$o9b$2@208.206.142.97>
Source record: 55720

W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021120231418.18440.00000194@mb-fp.aol.com...
> > > For instance, if you're typical...you're boastfulness and
> > >assholiness indicates quite a small dick.
> >
> > WOOOOO TIT. I take it you most have Experience along those lines.
>
> Along what lines? Knowing guys who are assholes because of the size of
> their dicks...? Actually, I've only known one--my first husband. He was
> small and a small man also. However, in many, many conversations over the
> years with many women who've also had the same misfortune--it is pretty
> apparent that you guys with little "ones" have a real piss-poor personality.
>
> So, yeah, I've got quite a lot of experience to use as reference. I notice
> that you, on the other hand, didn't bother denying the inference.
>
> Kim W5TIT

She should have tried out for brain surgury.

1583. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 02:41:21 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ark5gh$o9b$3@208.206.142.97>
Source record: 55721

W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:arhv3f$uj4$0@208.206.142.73...
> >
> >
> > JJ wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > > Hi Jackie, and welcome to ham radio. I wish for you the satisfying
> > > > longtime experience it has been for me. You have made it more than
> clear
> > > > in your post that you'll have no problems and that you're the sort of
> > > > ham that will be a credit to the service. That's very gratifying to
> see.
> > >
> > > Nothing like talking out of both sides of your mouth. First you
> > > make it known just how little you think of no-coders then you spin
> > > this stuff.
> >
> >
> > You really DO enjoy being wrong, don't you? In fact you don't know the
> > difference between a nocoder and a newcomer who is learning the code.
> > Get your head out, JJ and smell a little sunshine. The change might just
> > be refreshing. Jackie isn't the sort of ham that is the problem, you and
> > Brainless Burke are.
> >
>
> I think he's got it quite right, Dick. What would you say/do if someone
> joined the hobby and decided *not* to learn CW? What would you do if
> someone joined the hobby at entry level and decided that was just fine for
> them? What would you do if someone new to the hobby actually disagreed with
> something you had to say on the hobby?
>
> Uh huh. Thought so.

Thought WHAT so? That you can babble a paragraph without causing it to
make any sense?
>
> Kim W5TIT

1584. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 02:44:19 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021121214419.17907.00000647@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 55723

In article <utqs031nv18j06@corp.supernews.com>, "Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>OK, set me straight. Are we
>having a net on 7.037 or on 10M somewhere? I can do either,

7037 kHz. Wednesday nights. 9 PM EST, 8 PM CST, 0200 UTC

You have to upgrade to General in order to operate on 7037, though.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1585. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 02:44:20 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021121214420.17907.00000649@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 55722

In article <J_fD9.101350$nB.8079@sccrnsc03>, "Jackie L."
<buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:

>I think Peter Sellers was one highly underrated comedian. I mean, c'mon,
>Inspector Clouseau was funny, but his range went way beyond that...

Classic triple role in "Dr. Strangelove" for one.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1586. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 02:44:20 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021121214420.17907.00000648@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 55724

In article <E2gD9.102459$QZ.17003@sccrnsc02>, "Jackie L."
<buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:

>I do have a question though- if the powers-that-be at the FCC decide
>that CW is no longer a requirement to upgrade from tech to general and
>extra, do the people who administer the tests- the VEs- have to abide by
>this ruling, or can the ARRL and the VEs decide they're going to require it
>anyway?

No.

> I guess I do not completely understand how far the FCC rules reach,
>and how deep the ARRL's influence is in the testing process. Are they linked
>to one another like Siamese twins, IOW?
>
Not at all.

FCC makes the rules and sets the requirements. ARRL is the biggest amateur
radio organization in the world, with membership of about 175,000. ARRL often
petitions the FCC, but does not make the rules. Nor does ARRL always get what
it asks for.

Amateur radio license exams are administered by the VE (volunteer examiner)
system, in which licensed hams volunteer their services to conduct the exams.
The ARRL VEC is the biggest of the VE groups, but there are others. FCC
supervises the process.

73 de Jim, N2EY
ARRL member since 1968

1587. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 02:44:37 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDD9A15.809506E9@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55745

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> Still you have no clue. The people in question are the Brian Turkeys of
> the world who sat and whined while the world of ham radio passed them by
> because they "knew better" than anyone else what the requirements SHOULD
> be.

Then direct your insults at the Brian Turkeys, not the no-code
license holders in general, as you have done.

>
> Sure, I know several - of my freinds- who are in just that situation.
> And they never b whine and bitch about the requirements for upgraded
> licenses. They have no intention of facing them as "obstacles". So
> what's your point, Junior Junior? Your headgear?

Good for your friends, so when they get their 'extra light' as
some of you like to call it, will they be a 'real ham' then?

1588. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 02:46:48 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDD9A98.F44B28F2@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55746

Dick Carroll wrote:

> > >
> >
> > I think he's got it quite right, Dick. What would you say/do if someone
> > joined the hobby and decided *not* to learn CW? What would you do if
> > someone joined the hobby at entry level and decided that was just fine for
> > them? What would you do if someone new to the hobby actually disagreed with
> > something you had to say on the hobby?
> >
> > Uh huh. Thought so.
>
> Thought WHAT so? That you can babble a paragraph without causing it to
> make any sense?

I think the paragraph makes plenty of sense, it asks some very
specific questions. Can you answer them?

1589. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 02:52:43 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ark65r$qf3$0@208.206.142.97>
Source record: 55725

JJ wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> >
> > You really DO enjoy being wrong, don't you? In fact you don't know the
> > difference between a nocoder and a newcomer who is learning the code.
> > Get your head out, JJ and smell a little sunshine. The change might just
> > be refreshing. Jackie isn't the sort of ham that is the problem, you and
> > Brainless Burke are.
>
> You and newbie larry have stated over and over your disdain for
> those who come into the hobby via the no-code route.

You are STILL all wet, Junior Junior.. Possibly you just are unable to
understand what is written. That would be no surprise.

You and larry
> even claim that hams licensed now under what you call the dumb
> down requirements with the 5wpm code upgrade to any class, can
> never achieve the lofty status that you and larry have, because,
> as you claim, the test were harder when you got your ticket, and
> you had to pass 20 wpm to get your extra. Therefore no ham today
> can ever be a 'real ham' in your way of thinking, so no matter if
> Jackie does go on to upgrade to extra, you and larry will never
> put him on the same level you claim to be.

Still you have no clue. The people in question are the Brian Turkeys of
the world who sat and whined while the world of ham radio passed them by
because they "knew better" than anyone else what the requirements SHOULD
be.

> To you anyone who comes into the hobby and chooses not to upgrade
> to extra is just plain lazy and has no ambition in your mind.

Completely wrong still, again, yet, as always. Such is the fate of the
whineres and bitchers. Like you, Junior Junior.

Did
> it ever dawn on you that a no-code ticket may just suit the needs
> of some hams just fine?

Sure, I know several - of my freinds- who are in just that situation.
And they never b whine and bitch about the requirements for upgraded
licenses. They have no intention of facing them as "obstacles". So
what's your point, Junior Junior? Your headgear?

Junior Junior, grow up, or at least learn to read and follow along in a thread. No one except you and a few likeminded expects every participant to give a complete dissertation on his entire philosophy with each and every post. DUH!

1590. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 02:57:04 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <42hD9.3487$op6.1522@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 55734

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0211201413.28087501@posting.google.com...
> There are many QRP transceiver kits and designs out there, ranging
> from very simple to quite complex. Oddly enough, almost all of them
> are cw or digital, and were/are designed by long-time Extras with 2x1
> or 1x2 callsigns...;-)
>
> One really exciting thing about the QRP folks is how common the design
> and construction of complete, functional rigs has become among them.
> Somebody gets an idea and turns it into a working rig, then puts it on
> the air and makes contacts. I recall one ham who participated in FD a
> few years back by sitting down at the bench at starting time. He then
> proceeded to designing a new QRP rig, build it, and make FD contacts.
> Had a fairly respectable score, too.

I heard from a "reliable source" that the K1 is actually the Cadillac
version of the Wilderness Sierra. Hey, why mess around with the basic design
if it's a proven winner? What impresses me the most is the performance of
the receivers...reputed to be right on par with the megabuck high-end rigs.
(Mongo like!)

> The Rock Mite is an amazing bit of work, but it's a VERY limited rig.
> Output is 10 dB down from typical 5W QRP, and there are only two QRG
> available. Not a general purpose QRP CW rig at all, but looks like a
> bit of fun and only one evening to build (if that).
>
> As a practice project, you might consider one of the SW+ series.
> Although more expensive and complex, power output is 2.5 W and they
> are tunable. All the Elecraft (note spelling)

So noted, thanks. :-)

> and most other QRP
> designs require toroid winding, so you might consider a "build
> practice" kit that includes toroid winding. Just a consideration.
>
> The K2 is not that difficult to build if one has some soldering and
> assembly skills, the right tools, and patience. Building mine was much
> easier and faster than designing and building my homebrew rigs from
> scratch, but still a bit of a challenge and a learning experience. One
> real budget-saver is the fact that you can buy and build a "bare" K2,
> then add the various options later.

Hmm, lemme start writing to Santa Spouse. 0;-)

> Do you read the Elecraft reflector?

No, but I probably should. Is it on the Elecraft website?

> > I'll be sending an e-mail in support of the new rig for the ARS. Take
care,
> > Dick and Jim. :-)
>
> Me too..
>
> And keep practicing with that key!

I shall sir. :-D

> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> 7037 CW Wednesdays at 9 PM EST

For know, I have only my 10m rig up and running. This is for the well being of my 22 mo. old lad who, for reasons unknown, likes to test the effects of gravity on my gear. Luckily, nothing major has occured yet, however...

XYL has full instruction to immediately take children to in-law's QTH and await further instructions, should she come home and find a wrecked shack. All kidding aside, my lad is fascinated with the tuner and just loves spinning the dials with grape jam covered fingers. The Yaesu remains in box pending the purchase of a locking roller desk. Take care now.

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

1591. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 03:02:49 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DDD9E4E.8080607@adelphia.net>
Source record: 55726

Vshah101 wrote:

> From: Mike Coslo mjc5@psu.edu
>
>>In my circle, being called a "real technician" is a very big compliment.
>>
>
> Nowadays, everyone is an "Engineer". The title has been so overused and
> devalued, that in some cases a real Technician's job is more interesting and
> more skilled than a title "Engineer".

Quite true.

> What I was getting it is the perception of the Technician title. In some cases
> people do not investigate Ham Radio and are swayed more by the perception that
> the actual content.

Now this was a good post, with real thinking behind it (I'm serious-not being sarcastic) Good to see that.

There are some as you say, who don't care for Technicians. (the ARS version, anyhow) Tough, I say. You shouldn't let them dictate to you what you do. Even if you don't ever use it after getting the license, the HF bands offer lot's of fun.

I didn't get interested in Ham radio until after the CW tests were changed. There was a Morse code debate going on even when I started. I could have waited until the test went away, then taken the writtens and been done with it.

But we only have so many years on this earth, and I'm willing to put up with what I need to do to get the privileges. Since I became a Ham in 1999,That has given me that many more years to enjoy the hobby.

And, after all is over but the shouting, I have learned a mode that most people won't even bother to pick up afterwards.

So some us us feel that potential hams are being cheated now, because of having to learn Morse code and taking a test for it, and some of us feel that the real cheating of hams will take place after it is gone.

- Mike -

1592. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 03:04:31 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ark6rvrbc0@208.206.142.97>
Source record: 55727
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

JJ wrote:
>
> "Dee D. Flint" wrote:
>
> >
> > Several times every year, shortwave conditions are poor enough that voice
> > transmissions are unitelligible. If you don't have a computer to work the
> > other digital modes, the only possibility left is CW.
>
> Depends upon just who you are trying to contact, for distant
> contacts that depend upon propagation that may be true, but you
> can always find a band where you can make voice transmissions, if
> nothing more than VHF/UHF locally or even HF frequencies locally.
> This nonsense that the conditions get so bad that all frequencies
> are affected such that cw is the only mode to get through is just
> that, nonsense.

Well Junior Junior, that just shows what you know about the subject.

1593. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 03:06:08 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ark6v0rbc1@208.206.142.97>
Source record: 55728

Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:f45722ac.0211191926.5199b787@posting.google.com...
> > lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> news:<20021119163039.22730.00000605@mb-bg.aol.com>...
> > > In article <f45722ac.0211190359.16e5b2b7@posting.google.com>,
> > > brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
> > >
> > > >> Then your attempt at misdirection would be irrelevant.
> > > >>
> > > >> Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > >CSCEs are irrelevant?
> > >
> > > Anything contrary to Heil's opinions/messages/statements
> > > is "irrelevant." :-)
> >
> > Yep, someday when the FCC changes the rules again we might not be
> > scrambling to recover our Morse Code CSCE, we might be scrambling to
> > recover which version of the Extra we passed.
>
> Might I inquire as to what you might expect the FCC to do to the rules
> that would call for such a scrambing or concern as to when anyone
> actually passed their Extra?

For instance there's that 1987 Tech, date, for one,... or did you
forget already?

1594. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 03:06:38 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021121220638.02937.00000061@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 55729

> Len Anderson
> Life Member IEEE

Ham Radio does not seem to correlate to belonging to the IEEE.

1595. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 03:06:45 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021121220645.18588.00000260@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 55730

>It's been over two years since restructuring. Still no "glorified CB
>service."
>Your vision is not coming to pass at all.
>
>Cheers,
>Bill K2UNK

Bill I really think you have a receiver problem, or maybe an Ant problem

1596. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 03:09:00 UTC
From: "Benjamin, KB9LFZ" <KB9LFZ@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <3ddd9d2c$1_8@news.teranews.com>
Source record: 55731
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Wouff Hong,

I'm not sure where you from, but that's beside the point. I read your suggestions to improve ham radio, and, as some one who is very proud of the organization they are from you wanted to let other people know your thoughts. As we both can see, it's raised a lot of havoc and questions and that sort of thing.

I must agree with you about some your suggestions, but lets look together at what you stated.

> Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.

-I feel the same way with this, because I think everyone should retest to get their driver's license every 10 years. Now we gotte realize how much a pain in the rear this would be, and not only take people away from this great hobby. It would be a good idea instead of retake tests, but to really encourage individuals bursh up on their skills, knowledge or electronic theory, etc.
>

> Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.

-Again I agree that the level of accomplishment should be raised so people have good moral standards and treat with repect what they have their hands on when they worked hard to earn their Amateur license. 90% in manys' opinion would be to high; and it would frighten and discourage people.

> Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

-Morse code, a favorite of many, and not so great of many, but who is to say good or bad. Personally, and maybe others like yourself have to say that morse code is the the "basics" or any form or radio. Just because we had to take those tests when we got our licenses doesn't mean others have to. It would be a better idea to encourage people to do the morse code because if they do, I've found they stay in radio longer because if they have what it takes to learn the morse code; they'll generally apply the same learning habbit in other areas of radio. Please don't Misunderstand me, many people don't like it and are very active. But lets encourage and not say anyone has to this or that.

> Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

-Sounds like a good idea, but tis better to encourage people to move on and help them along the way, then bark at 'em like their bosses do.

> Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> to improve things.

-If league's don't have memberships, they can't support us and improve things. Memberships provide income for our leagues to improve things. We all should encourage people to join a league of some type.

> Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.

-Nobody is perfect in this world except God. When people who is arrogrant operate, I find out someinfo about them and kindly correct them over the phone or in some kind of private conversation. Nobody likes to be humiliated in public or at anytime. Besure anyone who does this makes sure the other person needing correction is open to it. As the cliche' goes; " A man convinced against his will, is of the same opinion still."

> Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.

-Same as above; Nobody is perfect. Constant offenders have some challange with some one or something which some one needs to find out what the deal is and help him out with it. People just doing harm out of no reason does need to be turned in however.

Hope this helps. As you can see I mentioned the word encouraged lot because many people are guilty of this, myself included. When people are guided to the direction they want to go, they will go. There is a story that goes something like this. "The sun and the wind cloud were having a contest to see who could have a man in the park below take off his coat. So the wind cloud says he'll go first and the sun hides behind him. The wind cloud blows and blows but the man in the park just holds on to his coat tighter and tighter. The wind cloud gives up and the sun comes out and just shines his warm rays down below to the man. The man removes his coat and goes pleasantly about his business." If the moral hasn't bern figured out by an intillgent individual like yourself, it is that when someone is forced to do something, they simply won't, or they'll possibly grudingly do it. Ask and encourage someone to do something and they'll give it all they got for their best effort.

Hope this Helps,

Respectfully,
~Benjamin, KB9LFZ

>
> 73, de Wouff Hong

"Wouff Hong" <rettysnitch@ugerumf.com> wrote in message
news:arrl.doesnt.care.anymore@all.com...
> Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.
>
> Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.
>
> Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

>
> Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
> Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> to improve things.
>
> Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.
>
> Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.
>
>
> 73, de Wouff Hong

1597. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 03:10:11 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021121221011.18588.00000261@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 55732

>To do that you merely have to change the $ from one hand to the other
>:-)

You got a point there, its a shame brian cant even figure that out

1598. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 03:13:08 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021121221308.18588.00000262@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 55733

> She should have tried out for brain surgury.
>

She thought about it, but they told her she would have to actually learn something, and she said forget it.

1599. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 03:23:47 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <7rhD9.3325$lt3.297655@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 55735

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote

: OK, set me straight. Are we
: having a net on 7.037 or on 10M somewhere?

There are now two rrap nets.

Net #1 -- 28.350MHz phone, 1700Z Saturdays (11:00AM CST Sat)
Net #2 -- 7.037MHz CW, 0200Z Thursdays (8:00PM CST Wed)

73, de Hans, K0HB

1600. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 03:24:38 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <ark81m$u2o$0@208.206.142.97>
Source record: 55736

"Jackie L." wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:arj135$7un$0@208.207.71.171...
>
> [snip]
>
> > I hope this has been cleared up to your satisfaction.
>
> Yes. I do have a question though- if the powers-that-be at the FCC decide
> that CW is no longer a requirement to upgrade from tech to general and
> extra, do the people who administer the tests- the VEs- have to abide by
> this ruling,

Yes.

or can the ARRL and the VEs decide they're going to require it
> anyway?

Not at all. The FCC sets the requirements for licensing and all else
follows from that.
Not that the FCC necessarily does what is in the best interests of ham
radio, more likely they'll do what is in their own bests interests. Not
that they don't consider ham radio and the effect of regulations, just
that it is open to question which is most important.

I guess I do not completely understand how far the FCC rules reach,

DEEP, all the way to the ocean floor.

> and how deep the ARRL's influence is in the testing process.

That varies from time to time and era to era. I can recall when the FCC
would almost surely accept whatever the ARRL decided. Not today.

Are they linked
> to one another like Siamese twins, IOW?

No, I believe that the introduction of $$ into FCC regulation via
spectrum auctions has changed the way FCC looks at things. Then there is
the element of congressional funding and oversight, where the dollar
signs really have an impact. We can only guess what the longterm effects
of all that will have for ham radio and our spectrum allocations down
the road as spectrum becomes more valuable and scarce. We don't generate
much economic activity by comparison to any commercial spectrum-using
service. Whether or not that will have serious impact on our future is
anybody's guess.

Dick

1601. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 03:45:39 UTC
From: CW <clinton.magers@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <DLhD9.75012$P31.39495@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 55737
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

It will also go against one of the things that ham radio is supposed to do:
advance radio.
--

CW
KC7NOD

"Nomen Nescio" <nobody@dizum.com> wrote in message
news:4a926543cae0f6b95c146c1fbe4d2479@dizum.com...
> The problem with ham radio is that it has become too easy to operate
> once you get the licence. Nobody has any respect for the rules because
> they didn't put in any more effort to get their equipment on the air
> than by reading the manual that came with it. The hobby has become
> like playing pac-man on the computer: it's entertaining but of little
> value.
>
> The way to make it valuable again is to allow hams to use only
> tube-type equipment, with no circuit boards, no computer chips; only
> good old-fashioned capacitors, resistors and inductors. Power output
> not to exceed 25 watts.
>
> Sure, it will keep the hobby to a pre-1970 or so level, technically,
> but it will also make it something that's worth doing.
>
>
>

1602. Re: 7 steps to improve politics

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 03:49:47 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <utrab0k3o55i57@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55738
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

And only in America would a "conservative" cross-post to one gazillion newsgroups ;)

(sorry, Hans, I couldn't resist that)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"K*HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message news:gr_C9.1807$It3.153363@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "Telamon" <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid> wrote
>
> :
> : The next morning when the barber goes to open his shop, a dozen
> : Democrats are lined up waiting for a free haircut.
> :
>
> A first grade teacher proudly proclaimed to her class one day that she was
> a liberal Democrat. She asked her students to raise their hands if they
> were liberal Democrats as well. Not really knowing what a liberal Democrat
> was, but wanting to be like their teacher, most of their hands flew up into
> the air. There was, however, one exception. A girl named Lucy had not gone
> along with the crowd. The teacher asked her why she had decided to be
> different.
>
>
> "Because I'm not a liberal Democrat" she replied. "Then, what are you?"
> asked the teacher,
>
>
> "Why, I'm a proud conservative Republican," boasted the little girl. The
> teacher, a little perturbed, asked Lucy why she was a conservative
> Republican.
>
>
> "Well", she said, "I was brought up to trust in myself instead of relying
> on an intrusive government to care for me and do all of my thinking. My Dad
> and Mom taught me these things, and they are both conservative Republicans,
> and so now I am a conservative Republican too." The teacher, now a little
> embarrassed and maybe even just a bit angry, said, "That's no reason! What

> if your Mom was a moron, and your dad was an idiot. What would you be
> then?" The teacher paused, a bit smug, certain that she'd put the girl in
> her place, and slowly let a sly smirk creep across her face.
>
>
> "Well, if that were the case", said Lucy after a moments thought,"then, I
> suppose I'd be a liberal Democrat."
>
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>

1603. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 03:58:34 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDDAB6A.10E64127@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55805
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dee D. Flint" wrote:

>
> Of course I was talking about getting out side the local area. If you don't
> want to get outside the local area, then VHF is fine and you can do that
> with the introductory license.

Shhhhh, don't say that too loud, you'll get dick all upset.

I did not say all frequencies by the way. I
> specifically stated shortwave (i.e. HF). However it is now apparent that I
> should have also specified communications outside the local area in my
> discussion.

Then you statement was correct, occasionally HF conditions can
degrade to the point that outside local area communications are
impossible with voice modes and maybe only cw will get through.
Although if conditions are bad enough to wipe out all HF chances
are even cw isn't going to get through either. Usually conditions
will be bad on certain bands while others are still usable.

1604. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 04:34:00 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <YsiD9.102924$nB.8561@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 55743

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:3DDD9E4E.8080607@adelphia.net...

> So some us us feel that potential hams are being cheated now, because of
> having to learn Morse code and taking a test for it, and some of us feel
> that the real cheating of hams will take place after it is gone.

I think that, in a sense, this kinda summarizes the emotional difficulties with the whole code / no-code debate. The way this green newbie sees it, it's not the actual code ITSELF that causes people to wrankle; it's the FCC's mandate that hams pass the CW test in order to gain wider HF privileges that has polarized some. Seems like the CW requirement for HD privileges is about to go away, if what I've read so far on the subject is accurate. But even if the CW requirement for increased bandwidth privileges goes aware in the near future, that doesn't mean everyone has to stop using it.

I'm not trying to play devil's advocate for either side-- now, don't flame me for thinking out loud here, but all of these possibilities have been running through my mind lately as I've read various posts here.

I'm curious why amateur radio operators aren't made to show proficiency in computer basics if they plan to do packet or digital modes. I know CW has long been a cherished part of the ARS and it is older than the ARS and that some people derive great pleasure from using it. As we add high-tech communication interfaces to the repetoire of skills available to us, why aren't we made to show proficiency at a VE test in computer skills before we go on the air via the digital modes? Maybe it's because computers and the Internet are so ubiquitous nowadays that it seems ridiculous to think that any of us who're techically savvy and eager enough to go for an amateur radio license would not know the basics of working with a PC.

So amateur bands are added and removed, and privileges on those bands certainly seem to have changed in the past couple of decades. I personally like the idea of packet radio, CW, OSCARs, the Magic Band, 2 meter popularity, digital and microwave modes and other opportunities for RF fun, not to mention the public service aspects of this whole thing, if you choose to participate in such. Perhaps CW is more of a challenge than typing on a computer keyboard... maybe just about everyone has a computer nowadays, so it's thought that computer skills don't really count... maybe CW is sufficiently difficult on any number of levels that a proficiency in CW is seen as evidence of trial by fire, or maybe not. Again, I'm just a newbie that's been looking in from the outside and free associating whilst I do. Feel free to free-associate just as I have here.

Jackie KC0ODY

1605. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 04:39:53 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <tyiD9.96619$NH2.6449@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 55744

I'm not seeing Mr. WA8LUX's posts, other than as replies that others have made to them. I figured out early on in lurk mode that he hates me and others like me. I don't know why this is, and furthermore, I don't care. He is trolling. I'm not biting. Furthermore, I'm not a "whinner", so his posts do not apply to me. Plonkity-plonk-plonk, waste of bandwidth.

KC0ODY

"JJ" <radiobuff@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DDD5E7D.665E0834@mailcity.net...
>
>
> WA8ULX wrote:
> >
> > >hardeeharhar...this is hilarious. Yes, Jackie...don't be fooled by
those
> > >who believe they are of higher ilk because they had to "do it the hard
way."
> > >
> > >Kim W5TIT
> >
> > Shes right Jackie, just whinn and moan like TIT, and maybe they will
give you a
> > Free Handout like they did TIT.
>
> How does one 'whinn'?

1606. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 04:54:47 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021121235447.10984.00000081@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 55747

> WA8LUX's posts,

WHO IS WA8LUX

1607. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 04:56:08 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021121235608.02879.00000126@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 55748

"Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
>I'm a YL.
>Or,
>> is that an XYL? I

Looks like you are already a "Ham". Also, you seem to be taking the Morse route.Why ask a question if you are already decided? For confirmation?

About "Ham" Radio, I never did "understand" it. Oh well.

1608. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 05:17:14 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <u5jD9.2401$4j5.1295983@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 55739
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"JJ" <radiobuff@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DDD6107.4A4B457C@mailcity.net...
>
>
> "Dee D. Flint" wrote:
>
> >
> > Several times every year, shortwave conditions are poor enough that
voice
> > transmissions are unitelligible. If you don't have a computer to work
the
> > other digital modes, the only possibility left is CW.
>
> Depends upon just who you are trying to contact, for distant
> contacts that depend upon propagation that may be true, but you
> can always find a band where you can make voice transmissions, if
> nothing more than VHF/UHF locally or even HF frequencies locally.
> This nonsense that the conditions get so bad that all frequencies
> are affected such that cw is the only mode to get through is just
> that, nonsense.

Of course I was talking about getting out side the local area. If you don't want to get outside the local area, then VHF is fine and you can do that with the introductory license. I did not say all frequencies by the way. I specifically stated shortwave (i.e. HF). However it is now apparent that I should have also specified communications outside the local area in my discussion.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

1609. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 05:23:01 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <VajD9.2402$4j5.1296550@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 55740

"Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote in message
news:yXfD9.102389$QZ.16898@sccrnsc02...
>
>
> Ennaway! Time for dinner here... oh, and just in case you didn't know
> (because my first name can be both a male and a female name), I'm a YL.
Or,
> is that an XYL? In any event, I'm a divorced single mom of an awesome
> teenaged son who has suddenly taken a keen interest in his mom's
> handi-talkie!
>
> 73 to all
>
> Jackie KC0ODY

If you were still married, you would be your husband's XYL. In any other capacity, you are a YL. So when you are on the air you are a YL. However if your husband were referring to you, he would speak of you as his XYL.

Dee Flint, N8UZE. (also a YL)

1610. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 05:31:52 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <arkfg8hql0@208.206.142.68>
Source record: 55749

Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> And, after all is over but the shouting, I have learned a mode that most
> people won't even bother to pick up afterwards.
>
> So some us us feel that potential hams are being cheated now, because of
> having to learn Morse code and taking a test for it, and some of us feel
> that the real cheating of hams will take place after it is gone.
>

Exactly, Mike. Few of them will have any idea what they missed.

Dick

1611. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 05:32:56 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <arkfi8hql1@208.206.142.68>
Source record: 55750

Vshah101 wrote:
>
> > Len Anderson
> > Life Member IEEE
>
> Ham Radio does not seem to correlate to belonging to the IEEE.

In this case that is entirely appropriate.

1612. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 05:34:16 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <sljD9.105230$1O2.7889@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 55801

Hi, Kim.

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:utqt2s17k8ppc6@corp.supernews.com...

> Question is: what do you think of people who may not choose to learn CW,
or
> who will enter the hobby with a Tech license and go no further? I would
> hope that you are a person who would openly and generously welcome anyone
to
> the hobby--regardless of how they got here or what they intend to do with
> it.

I feel that whatever you find to do in this hobby- be it HF contesting, talking to your buds over a local 2 meter repeater, rare long-distance QSO's on 6 meters, or conversations via packet radio- a person should have fun with it. Who can tell you how to best enjoy your hobby? Everyone is totally free to look down on your chosen mode of fun, and some people will. You pass a test, and get some bandwidth privileges to start out- even if they are VHF+- and that's a place to start. Or stay. Whatever floats your boat. If you like what you're doing, then have fun. That's how I feel.

If I wanted to get into a strictly regimented place where others told me what to do and how to do it, I would be in boot camp. I would like to explore amateur radio as a hobby, and hobbies should be FUN. I work 50+ hours per week and my free time's precious to me-- with the little bit i have, I'd like to enjoy whatever I'm doing on that down time.

> See...the Dicks and Larrys would have a problem with that. A really big
> problem. That's where the differences with them lay.

I can't control what anyone thinks of me, nor can I contorl what tests I had to pass to get my entry level license. If anyone has a problem with the fact that I took a no-code test to gain entry into the hobby and says so, either here or on the air, I will killfile them or switch frequencies. As long as I don't get personally insulted by someone because I took a certain level of test to enter the hobby at the time that I did, I won't get incensed. If someone accuses me of being a lazy no-coder CBer wannabe, then I'll killfile them. No time for jerks, nowhere. Life's too short.

KC0ODY

1613. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 05:51:35 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DDDC5CF.AB9F3F1@earthlink.net>
Source record: 55802

W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021120231418.18440.00000194@mb-fp.aol.com...
> > > For instance, if you're typical...you're boastfulness and
> > >assholiness indicates quite a small dick.
> >
> > WOOOOO TIT. I take it you most have Experience along those lines.
>
> Along what lines? Knowing guys who are assholes because of the size of
> their dicks...? Actually, I've only known one--my first husband. He was
> small and a small man also. However, in many, many conversations over the
> years with many women who've also had the same misfortune--it is pretty
> apparent that you guys with little "ones" have a real piss-poor personality.
>
> So, yeah, I've got quite a lot of experience to use as reference. I notice
> that you, on the other hand, didn't bother denying the inference.
>
> Kim W5TIT

I see that Tugboat Annie is back, Camel dangling from her lips.
You're every bit as crude as Bruce, Kim.

Dave K8MN

1614. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 05:55:23 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <fFjD9.105460$1O2.7896@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 55803

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021121235608.02879.00000126@mb-

> Looks like you are already a "Ham". Also, you seem to be taking the Morse
> route.Why ask a question if you are already decided? For confirmation?

Because it is the only way I can utilize the HF bands.

Maybe I will decide that I don't like Morse and primarily use some other
mode on HF like SSB. I don't know yet.

Just curious, how do you define "Ham"? The initial capital letter seems to
have some significance?

Jackie

1615. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 05:58:29 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <arkh25$lq3$0@208.206.142.68>
Source record: 55804

"Jackie L." wrote:
>
> I'm curious why amateur radio operators aren't made to show proficiency in
> computer basics if they plan to do packet or digital modes. I know CW has
> long been a cherished part of the ARS and it is older than the ARS and that
> some people derive great pleasure from using it. As we add high-tech
> communication interfaces to the repetoire of skills available to us, why
> aren't we made to show proficiency at a VE test in computer skills before we
> go on the air via the digital modes?

Once you learn to use Morse code on the air you will recognize why that
if there is to be a code qualification it will need to be tested. It's
the only mode that requires, -demands- that the user actually learn it
well enough to use it before it is available to be used. A few questions
about it on a written test just won't do the job. Any other mode can be
"winged" or learned on the fly, the book in one hand and keyboard in the
other so to speak. Not Morse code. You either can do it at some useful
level, even as a new user, or you cannot.
Computer programs can be made to send and receive Morse code but they
can't receive it nearly as well as the trained human ear and brain, and
are almost always a total bust in any serious amount of radio noise or
static which is common on HF. The result is printed garbage.
If one is going to use a computer there is little reason to use it on
Morse code unless that's just what you want to do. It would be better
utilized on some other more efficient digital mode.
The beauty of CW on ham radio is that it can be used very effectively
with the simplest equipment IF the operator is capable. And only if. And
ham radio if nearly the only remaining venue using any serious amount of
Morse today.

Dick

1616. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 06:03:04 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <arnpdc62nl0@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55885
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

I agree, as the politics within ham radio will kill ham radio long before
other issues will.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

> Much as I dislike some of the current state of things in amateur radio, we
> live in the 2000's not the 1950's. We have to deal with the current
> reality, bad as it might be. Returning to 1952 is not going to cure the
> problem. We need to pull together as hams and do things without bugging
the
> FCC for everything. We used to do that.
>
> Charles
> N5HSR, Extra
>
>

1617. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 06:04:49 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DDDC911.FAB11368@earthlink.net>
Source record: 55806

"Jackie L." wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3DDCEAA3.D94F6752@earthlink.net...
>
> [snipped entire conversation because of warped sense of humor honed in on
> this}
>
> > Anyone see the 1960's Peter Sellers movie "The Party"?
> > Birdie num-nums?
>
> I think Peter Sellers was one highly underrated comedian. I mean, c'mon,
> Inspector Clouseau was funny, but his range went way beyond that...

Jackie,

He was one of my favorites. I've starting to wear some of the iron oxide off the videotapes of "The Party" and "The Bobo" from frequent viewing.

Welcome to amateur radio and I hope to run into you on the air.

Dave K8MN

1618. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 06:08:05 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <9RjD9.105565$1O2.7979@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 55807

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ark81m$u2o$0@208.206.142.97...

> No, I believe that the introduction of $$ into FCC regulation via
> spectrum auctions has changed the way FCC looks at things.

Isn't that how it goes though... sigh. It's the Golden Rule- they who have
the gold make the rules.

J.

1619. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 06:09:01 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <1SjD9.104922$QZ.17490@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 55808

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:3DDD955F.2060208@adelphia.net...
> Jackie,
>
> I also used the ARL CD's to learn, but I eventually had to move to a
> random program because I ended up memorizing the contents. They were a
> pretty good start, just not a good finish.

I really like the G4FON program for this reason.

Jackie

1620. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 06:12:37 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-6F5B9C.22125921112002@newssvr13-ext.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 55809
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <A7gD9.74542$P31.39063@rwcrnsc53>,
"Brian Denley" <bdenley@attbi.com> wrote:

> Oops I spelled ridiculous wrong! Sorry
>
> --
> Brian Denley
> http://home.attbi.com/~bdenley/index.html
> "Brian Denley" <bdenley@attbi.com> wrote in message
> news:TVdD9.76246$WL3.32982@rwcrnsc54...
> The
> > very idea sounds rediculous to us.

The people that should be apologizing are the ones responding to "Wouff
Hong." They have reached a new level of "rediculous - ness."

--
Telamon

1621. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 06:14:13 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <arnpdd72nl0@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55886
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
pass all elements required for their license class.

This one I actually agree with. If the statements made by certain indivuals about "growing" with the hobby and climbing the ladder of licensure are to be true, then hams should not have a problem taking a test that should be a breeze since they have "obviously" advanced within 10 years....

Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.

Dunno about 90%, but think that 80% sounds fairly reasonable. Add more questions to the test, and choose other formats of testing other than multiple-guess too.

Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.

See not a huge problem there, but never understood why 13 for the General. Why not 12, or 14, or ??? What is so special about the 13 wpm? Anyone know why they chose 13 for the "middle" code test?

Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.

2 years seems like a fairer option.

Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
to improve things.

Hmmm.... could start a whole new newsgroup on this one.........

Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.

They are not our governing body, nor will I ever accept them as our "governing body." It is bad enough that they have taken over the testing duties.

Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.

DUUUHHHHH!! All should do that.... but follow proper policy/procedure when doing so. Also work towards the goal of getting the FCC to actually do something about that in the first place.

All in all, the problems people want to bitch about regarding ham radio stems back to the FCC each and every time. They make the rules/policy/procedures and they are the ones to blame. HOLD THE FCC responsible.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX

FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

1622. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 06:15:47 UTC
From: "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <hRjD9.682$pc.139347@news.iquest.net>
Source record: 55810
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"W6DKN" <w6dkn-nospam-@qsl.net> wrote in message news:seeD9.2816$EY.2613@fe01...
> "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net> wrote in message
> news:MKbD9.657$pc.135424@news.iquest.net...
>
> > <<< SNIP >>>
> > There really needs to be a basic ham license w/o code.
>
> There already is - it's called a No-Code Tech.
>
> > <<< SNIP >>>
> > To work at all in the
> > 'code' bands, you'll need to pass the code test (similar to the good old
> reserved
> > bands for extra
>
> Let's just keep it simple. To work any band / mode below 30 mhz. you need a
> higher class license (oops, that's how it is now... :>)
>
Actually, they should probably limit the power for the 'communicators' to less than 100W, and the higher technical licenses would allow for higher power :-). The 30MHz, I guess, is still a treaty thing, and indicative of stagnation. Maybe the 'communicators' with little technical criteria might even be limited to 10-50W at VHF and above.

The goal isn't to punish people, but to motivate people to learn how their stuff works. I suspect that the VHF bands are too full for much experimentation, but the technology for homebrew on 2GHz on up is now relatively achievable by mere mortals.

Wouldn't it be cool if people really designed/built their own stuff that makes sense to do so, rather than buying their devices from boutiques? Alot of stuff isn't really practical to build (e.g. the communicator 2M units, or walkie talkes), and the stuff that is extremely practical to build (10M transmitter) even with few mechanical skills, would be constrained by the code requirement.

So, for the newbie, where homebrew is more practical below 100MHz, the code constraints tend to continue their burden.

John

1623. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 06:17:47 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <arnpde82nl0@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55887
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

I look at the code requirement a little different..... If it is so important, then ALL radio services should have to pass at least the same minimum 5wpm as we do.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Peter Lampione" <plampione@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:b30faff8.0211202034.1cf442eb@posting.google.com...
> I would suggest somethig rather different.
>
> Take out the code requirement, and put in the test some DSP questions
> (how to make filters, equalize volume, remove noise, and modulate in
> phase, frequency, quadrature, etc) as well as more advanced circuit
> design.
>
> The code requirement is just silly.
> Code is just one of the many ways to encode a signal, a way that
> happens to be possible to do by hand. But why bother with it?
> That it is useful in emergencies is a ridiculous thing - if your
> transceiver works, you might as well talk into it, so also general
> SWL, and not only hams, can understand your transmission.
> Instead, with the increased ease with which signals are digitized,
> DSP should really be required knowledge.
> I mean, it takes so little nowadays to feed the signal into an audio
> board
> or better A/D converter, and then start doing things digitally...
>
> In fact, consider this: DSP should be required because, in case of
> emergency, you hook up your PC/laptop audio board to the radio, and
> there you go,
> modulating any way you want...
>
> Code is what keeps me getting a ham licence.
> I just don't suffer fools gladly, and the code requirement is foolish.
> If I want to waste time, there are many more pleasant ways to do it.
>
> Cheers!
>
> Peter
>
> Oh and one more thing: I think it is incredibly funny that in a

> country where you can get a driver's licence without knowing how to
> operate a stick shift, you cannot operate ham without knowing code.
>
> There, now flame me.
>
> rettysnitch@ugerumf.com (Wouff Hong) wrote in message
news:<arrl.doesnt.care.anymore@all.com>...
> > Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> > pass all elements required for their license class.
> >
> > Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.
> >
> > Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for
Extra.
> >
> > Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
> >
> > Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> > to improve things.
> >
> > Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.
> >
> > Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.
> >
> >
> > 73, de Wouff Hong

1624. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 06:18:59 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DDDCC74.FA1B84F3@earthlink.net>
Source record: 55811

"Dee D. Flint" wrote:
>
> "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote in message
> news:yXfD9.102389$QZ.16898@sccrnsc02...
> >
> >
> > Ennaway! Time for dinner here... oh, and just in case you didn't know
> > (because my first name can be both a male and a female name), I'm a YL.
> Or,
> > is that an XYL? In any event, I'm a divorced single mom of an awesome
> > teenaged son who has suddenly taken a keen interest in his mom's
> > handi-talkie!
> >
> > 73 to all
> >
> > Jackie KC0ODY
>
> If you were still married, you would be your husband's XYL. In any other
> capacity, you are a YL. So when you are on the air you are a YL. However
> if your husband were referring to you, he would speak of you as his XYL.
>
> Dee Flint, N8UZE. (also a YL)

I like to think of my former wife as my XXYL.

Dave K8MN

1625. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 06:21:23 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DDDCD05.DE66A636@earthlink.net>
Source record: 55812

Vshah101 wrote:
>
> > Len Anderson
> > Life Member IEEE
>
> Ham Radio does not seem to correlate to belonging to the IEEE.

That's okay. Len doesn't correlate to amateur radio either.

Dave K8MN

1626. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 06:26:41 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <arnpdf92nl0@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55891
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Hmmmm.... most US states already do that somewhere between every 2-4 years.... As well as they should too... And they also need to start testing senior citizens more often too..... (not gonna state what age they should start that at though...) I have worked a variety of accident scenes where the scene was clearly marked and using on the fire trucks to block the lane, with lights operational, pile-on orange cones, road flares etc, and a senior citizen still plowed into the firetruck. He claimed they could not see it..... Jeesh, you could see the scene from a freaking mile away!!!!!! Yeah, I am all for retesting on a fair basis.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"OK1SIP" <remove_ok1sip@atlas.cz> wrote in message
news:5f5159fa.0211202329.2d9ec780@posting.google.com...
> Seven nice steps to DESTROY and KILL ham radio. Only several fanatics
> would stay. How would you like to be re-tested for your driving
> license every year ? Or to be subject to regular tests from the law
> codex under the penalty of losing your citizenship ? BTW, do you have
> a written permission to use the "trademarks" Wouff-Hong, Rettysnitch
> and Uggerumph ?
>
> God save the hamradio !
> OK1SIP

1627. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 06:28:27 UTC
From: "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <91kD9.683$pc.139598@news.iquest.net>
Source record: 55813
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:N2fD9.2358$4j5.1261721@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
>
> Actually if you limit them to phone only until they learn higher
> endorsements, too many will simply stay there. Allowing phone privileges
> should be the treat that they get after proving themselves competent in
> other areas of ham radio.
>
The limitations for those without the 'technical competence' endorsement should
probably be a reasonably low power like 20-50W on VHF/UHF and/or 100W for HF.
Phone should probably be the first mode of communication, mostly because
of the relative usefulness (e.g. during normal disasters, to help with communications,
phone will more often be useful rather than code (unless there is a WWIII)). During
urban disasters, if we are having to deal with code, the situation is probably
severe enough that ham communications ettiquete would be the least of our worries.

There certainly should be reserved areas of the HF bands for code, and those
people with/without code who haven't passed the technical criteria for the
technology endorsement, will be limited in power. Really, if someone cannot
quickly whip up a preamp/active impedance match for an HF frontend that
has to work in an area near a 50kW MW transmitter without overwhelming
intermod, then it kind of worries me about how the ham is going to competently
deal with the numerous issues of using their 500W-1kW rig. It isn't just
a matter of getting on the air, but also avoiding interference with TV (including
nowadays 8VSB), AM/FM radio, and other more important services.

People do get 'on the air', and usually do the right thing, but it is VERY SAD
that too few people build their own equipment. Of course, CBers had historically
been known to simply slap on an illegal 'linear', and their rigs sometimes worked
okay also (often with anti-social interference :-)).

Of course, my attitudes about technological competency can be mapped to
the equivalent attitudes of those who have already passed the advanced
code tests. In the normal profile of natural/terror disaster, the availability of
a radiotelephone communications mechanism would be much more useful
than if the 'HRO' only had a code rig.

John

1628. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 06:32:01 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <arnpdg02nl0@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55890
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Yep... overall the hobby is declining, but it is not from the testing procedures. Anything viewed worthwile by someone is worth pursuing as well as whatever obstacles along the way. The root of the problem is in the PR side. I still run into tons of people that didn't even know ham radio existed. A much smaller group associates it with CB from my own local area experiences. We need to promote the hobby more. We need to get ham radio into the schools, associate it with the cirriculums. We need to start doing things that WILL get people coming to us, to become hams. Testing sessions with a mere few testee's definitely reflects that there is a problem with promotion of the hobby. But that would take actual action by people and god forbid that eh????

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Larry Bratcher" <lbratche@swbell.net> wrote in message
news:bQ4D9.334$9S3.311643194@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
> Sure think...the hobby is declining now so let's make it that much harder
to
> bring people into it.
>
> What an Idiot!
>
>
> "Wouff Hong" <rettysnitch@ugerumf.com> wrote in message
> news:arrl.doesnt.care.anymore@all.com...
> > Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> > pass all elements required for their license class.
> >
> > Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.
> >
> > Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for
Extra.
> >
> > Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
> >
> > Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> > to improve things.
> >
> > Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.
> >
> > Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.

> >
> >
> > 73, de Wouff Hong
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.419 / Virus Database: 235 - Release Date: 11/14/2002
>
>

1629. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 06:56:52 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <arnpdj32nl0@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55894

Yes, that is true due the fact that the overwhelming majority of digital
modes besides packet are only used on the HF frequencies, thus requiring the
code element. Too bad there wasn't even some digital activity even at least
on 6 meters (not packet either) because at least there is equipment to get
on 6m other than FM related equipment out there.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

>
> All true. However, I believe you'll find that the largest numbers of
> users of digital modes today are also hams who are code proficient,
> having been licensed and tested in the Pre-Restructuring Era. I
> have had very few QSO's in PSK31 with hams who weren't also
> proficient CW operators (or claimed to be).
>

1630. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 07:04:02 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <arnpdk42nl0@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55888

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:arh9pu$ao2$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> In some parts of the radio spectrum I think its already made it.
>
> BUT.....I don't see anyone stepping in to correct a new comers
mistakes...on
> the air. So whose fault is it really?

That's a shame.... I have done that locally speaking. Its just simply a matter of how ya do it. At first, I take the attitude of "Hey Joe Smith (or whatever name), not sure if you were aware that "(wrong activity here)" is wrong, but here's a good way of doing it......." usually works the first time. Flagrant violators obviously need to be turned in though...

>
> When I was initiating my on the air experience I was corrected, it seemed
on
> a daily basis. I didnt' get my hackles up, I payed attention. Perhaps
we
> need some more of that...eh?
>

Hey..... by all means if I error on the air, I hope that someone would take the couple of seconds to enlighten me. After all... how else would ya learn?

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

1631. Re: Ham Tower Incident On TV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 07:08:20 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <arnpdl52nl0@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55889

To back up that statement, is it not one of the "principles" to make ham radio only a part of your life, not to sacrifice other things in life, like family, employment etc?

There are some around here that eat, sleep, dream ham radio..... For me it is a part of my life, as much as family is, as much as my employment is, as my hobbies are, all small parts that make up the whole person that is known as ME! (Actually worth getting to know for those that are willing to take the time. :)

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

>
> As long as you're asking questions like that, maybe the questions should probe
> to see if one actually HAS A LIFE OUTSIDE OF HAM RADIO. Now, from what I have
> observed, THAT is a 90% failure rate!
>

1632. Re: Ham Tower Incident On TV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 07:09:41 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <arnpdm62nl0@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55893

You mean "street smarts" versus "book smarts" Dan? Think a good balance of both is more adventageous.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message news:arjbmk$td9$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> Won't work....Ive met many many intelligent folks that were just plain dumb
> when it came to common sense.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

1633. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 07:16:47 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <arnpdn72nl0@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55892

I still think that the names of the license classes should be changed to the following.....

First Class License..... Extra Class
Second Class.....Advanced Class (till they run out of currently licensed ones....)
Third Class .....General Class
Fourth Class.....Technician with code
Fifth Class.....Technician without code
Six Class.....Novice (till they run out of currently licensed ones....)

After the old novices and advanced are gone from expiration or advancement, it could be something like this:

First Class------Extra Class
Second Class---General Class
Third Class------Coded Technician
Fourth Class-----No-Coded Technician

Just a though....

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

1634. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 09:49:31 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <L4nD9.20101$vM1.1430190@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 55814

>> "Dee D. Flint" wrote:
>> Actually learning all about DSP is useless as it's built into the radio and
>> I don't have to do anything.

> "OC_CAM's_Razor" wrote:
> Learning CW is useless as it's built into my SCS PTC2e modem and I
> don't have to do anything. :-)

Learning Ohm's Law is useless as it's built into
the universe and I don't have to do anything.

K7JEB

1635. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 10:08:24 UTC
From: W6DKN <w6dkn-nospam-@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <qfnD9.3463$EY.1578@fe01>
Source record: 55815
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net> wrote in message
news:hRjD9.682$pc.139347@news.iquest.net...
<<< SNIP >>>
> Wouldn't it be cool if people really designed/built their own stuff that
makes sense to
> do so, rather than buying their devices from boutiques?

I do, and so do a lot of hams that have any working electronics knowledge,
but you don't get that by simply memorizing the answers to 30 multiple
choice questions...

> Alot of stuff isn't really
> practical to build (e.g. the communicator 2M units, or walkie talkes)

My first 2 meter rig was a kit (Heathkit), as were the first pair of walkie
talkies I ever owned (Allied Radio).

>, and the stuff
> that is extremely practical to build (10M transmitter) even with few
mechanical
> skills, would be constrained by the code requirement.

The only practical 10 meter transmitter that one with limited skills
might be able to put together would be a CW transmitter, so it would be
of no use to a person that did not know code.

> So, for the newbie, where homebrew is more practical below 100MHz, the
code
> constraints tend to continue their burden.

What burden? There is nobody forcing them to become a ham. It is something
that one does because they want to do it. And according to their level of
effort and dedication to the hobby, they are rewarded with additional
operating privileges.

73 de W6DKN

1636. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 11:47:58 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <arl59l0s60@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55817

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021121235447.10984.00000081@mb-cu.aol.com...
> > WA8LUX's posts,
>
> WHO IS WA8LUX

Now we see Babbling Brucie trying to start a typo war. Pot, kettle, black.

1637. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 11:51:05 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122065105.06308.00000609@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 55816

In article <fFjD9.105460$1O2.7896@sccrnsc04>, "Jackie L."
<buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:

>"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20021121235608.02879.00000126@mb-
>
>> Looks like you are already a "Ham". Also, you seem to be taking the Morse
>> route.Why ask a question if you are already decided? For confirmation?
>
>Because it is the only way I can utilize the HF bands.
>
>Maybe I will decide that I don't like Morse and primarily use some other
>mode on HF like SSB.

It could also turn out that you actually like Morse and use it in preference to other modes. That's what happened to me and many other hams. Morse is a unique mode because it's (usually) aurallly received and manually sent, but it's not a voice mode. In a world where we primarily communicate by talking and writing/typing/reading, many of us find Morse to be a refeshing and unique experience. Of course, it requires developing a bit of skill to use it well.

> I don't know yet.

Just keep an open mind.
>
>Just curious, how do you define "Ham"? The initial capital letter seems to
>have some significance?

Many of us have asked "Vshah101" that question. To everyone else I've met, "ham radio" and "amateur radio" mean exactly the same thing. Same for "hams" or "Hams" or "radio amateurs", etc. But "Vshah" seems to make some kind of unexplained distinction that is different from practically everybody else.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1638. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 12:49:04 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211220449.2b3314a7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55818

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20021121191556.04214.00000625@mb-cc.aol.com>...
> In article <f45722ac.0211211433.62c1c594@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
> >news:<c2356669.0211210512.180146a6@posting.google.com>...
> >> rettysnitch@ugerumf.com (Wouff Hong) wrote in message
> >news:<arrl.doesnt.care.anymore@all.com>...
> >
> >> > Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for Extra.
> >>
> >> Again, no problem. But how are you going to convince FCC? What new
> >> argument will change their minds after they have been gradually
> >> phasing out code testing for the past 20 years?
> >
> >Typo or did you really mean 20 years?
>
> Mr. N2EY meant what he said. He never makes errors. He
> knows the inside workings of the FCC.
>
> Do not attempt correcting Mr. N2EY in anything. To do so
> would put you up on charges of "trying to destroy US
> amateur radio service!" You have been warned... :-)

Gulp!!! I rescind my comments above. As a matter of fact, they were
misattributed, wasn't me at all.

;)
Brian

1639. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 12:54:30 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211220454.1183e5cf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55819

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<ark3lg$k7u$0@208.207.71.154>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<ari0st$33t$1@208.206.142.73>...
> > > Jim Hampton wrote:
> > > >
> you find a lot of the friction is over the code
> > > > thing. Don't worry, everyone will get over it.
> > >
> > >
> > > Yeah, most of us are already over it. Those who are not still complain
> > > about it all being someone else's fault that they were "excluded" from
> > > ham radio by the existance of a code test. That's nonsense of the most
> > > extreme sort.
> >
> > You -were- a VE. How many people did you exclude from amateur radio?
>
>
> Since you never tested with us, none.

So everyone who took one of your code tests (morse or farnsworth)
passed? Sounds like a Bruce Without Error story.

1640. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 13:00:57 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211220500.570fa10c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55820

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20021121214419.17907.00000647@mb-cs.aol.com>...
> In article <utqs031nv18j06@corp.supernews.com>, "Kim"
> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>
> >OK, set me straight. Are we
> >having a net on 7.037 or on 10M somewhere? I can do either,
>
> 7037 kHz. Wednesday nights. 9 PM EST, 8 PM CST, 0200 UTC
>
> You have to upgrade to General in order to operate on 7037, though.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Jim, I thought she was a Tech Plus? No need to upgrade to General.
Anyway, you're sounding mighty desperate to talk to Kim. Just look
her up on Anywho.com and give her a call.

1641. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 13:03:48 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211220503.4bdacfde@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55821

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<ark47t$k7u$2@208.207.71.154>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021120114823.24623.00000171@mb-ch.aol.com>...
> > > >Really? You haven't even picked up your Extra lite now that it's a
> > > >freebee. What's holding you up, Brain?
> > >
> > > Hes waiting for another handout.
> >
> > Yup, like you, I want to lift $250 from a couple of CB-Plussers.
>
>
> To do that you merely have to change the $ from one hand to the other
> :-)

Unlike Bruce Without Error, I can't find two CB-Plussers gullible
enough to think I'd pass and take the bet. I wonder how it was so
easy for him to find two people who thought he didn't stand a chance.

1642. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 13:07:09 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211220507.22e22212@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55822

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021119225010.05625.00000121@mb-fc.aol.com>...
> >They say if you're going to tell one, to tell a big one. Next time
> >say you did it in under a minute, and the prize was $1,000, still
> >without studying and still without error.
>
> Yes Brian but you see that would lieing. So go ahead give us your reason for
> not being able to pass the EXTRA. Here let me help you, its outdated, Im never
> going to use the Info so why should I be made to take the Test. So brian tell
> us your reason why you shouldnt have to take the written.

I passed the Extra - no lie. I'll pass it again when I get around to
it.

I see you jumped right on the coattails of NCI when you got yours.
Good going. I knew your words were hollow.

1643. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 13:09:30 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211220509.44286c29@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55823

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<argds7$rr6$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>...
> I just looked over a sample "Extra" test. Im ashamed to say I am one.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

We're ashamed you're one, too.

Yup, instead of all those VE questions, its got a little technical
information to test as well.

1644. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 13:13:22 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211220513.7715e8ca@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55824

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021119224359.05625.00000120@mb-fc.aol.com>...
> >Yep, someday when the FCC changes the rules again we might not be
> >scrambling to recover our Morse Code CSCE, we might be scrambling to
> >recover which version of the Extra we passed.
>
> There it is folks, hes waiting for the next hand out.

How is that a handout? My CSCE was for a different version of Extra
than the one you took. You claim the one you took is useless. Should
the FCC agree with you at some point in the future, they'll
restructure and make you do it again. If you can.

1645. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 13:14:38 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211220514.3ef5b35b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55825

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<arjv66ogq1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>...
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:f45722ac.0211191926.5199b787@posting.google.com...
> > lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> news:<20021119163039.22730.00000605@mb-bg.aol.com>...
> > > In article <f45722ac.0211190359.16e5b2b7@posting.google.com>,
> > > brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
> > >
> > > >> Then your attempt at misdirection would be irrelevant.
> > > >>
> > > >> Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > >CSCEs are irrelevant?
> > >
> > > Anything contrary to Heil's opinions/messages/statements
> > > is "irrelevant." :-)
> >
> > Yep, someday when the FCC changes the rules again we might not be
> > scrambling to recover our Morse Code CSCE, we might be scrambling to
> > recover which version of the Extra we passed.
>
> Might I inquire as to what you might expect the FCC to do to the rules
> that would call for such a scrambing or concern as to when anyone
> actually passed their Extra?
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

Bruce Without Error says the existing Extra exam is useless. Someday
the FCC may come around to his kind of thinking. That is all.

1646. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 13:19:37 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211220519.1bf90c1a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55826

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<ark6v0rbc1@208.206.142.97>...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> >
> > "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:f45722ac.0211191926.5199b787@posting.google.com...
> > > lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> news:<20021119163039.22730.00000605@mb-bg.aol.com>...
> > > > In article <f45722ac.0211190359.16e5b2b7@posting.google.com>,
> > > > brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
> > > >
> > > > >> Then your attempt at misdirection would be irrelevant.
> > > > >>
> > > > >> Dave K8MN
> > > > >
> > > > >CSCEs are irrelevant?
> > > >
> > > > Anything contrary to Heil's opinions/messages/statements
> > > > is "irrelevant." :-)
> > >
> > > Yep, someday when the FCC changes the rules again we might not be
> > > scrambling to recover our Morse Code CSCE, we might be scrambling to
> > > recover which version of the Extra we passed.
> >
> > Might I inquire as to what you might expect the FCC to do to the rules
> > that would call for such a scrambing or concern as to when anyone
> > actually passed their Extra?
>
>
> For instance there's that 1987 Tech, date, for one,... or did you
> forget already?

Didn't forget. I had studied for General written and code, but when I
got there, the written had been split into two tests. I passed them
both. Then the CSCE for the second part expired in a year.

Never could pass 13wpm. Maybe that's when they implemented Farnsworth
testing.

1647. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 13:20:14 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211220520.ee49a54@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55827

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021114091304.06642.00000624@mb-fi.aol.com>...
> >Jim, don't be silly. I passed the Extra exam.
>
> Then why doesnt it say so in the Data base.

I didn't get a code waiver.

1648. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 13:35:05 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <arlbq9nsp0@208.207.71.175>
Source record: 55828

Brian wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<ark3lg$k7u$0@208.207.71.154>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<ari0st$33t$1@208.206.142.73>...
> > > > Jim Hampton wrote:
> > > > >
> > you find a lot of the friction is over the code
> > > > > thing. Don't worry, everyone will get over it.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Yeah, most of us are already over it. Those who are not still complain
> > > > about it all being someone else's fault that they were "excluded" from
> > > > ham radio by the existance of a code test. That's nonsense of the most
> > > > extreme sort.
> > >
> > > You -were- a VE. How many people did you exclude from amateur radio?
> >
> >
> > Since you never tested with us, none.
>
> So everyone who took one of your code tests (morse or farnsworth)
> passed? Sounds like a Bruce Without Error story.

That's not what you asked, Dingdong. You asked how many people I
excluded from ham radio. If someone flunked the code test he excluded
himself by not learning.

1649. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 13:44:16 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122084416.29050.00000159@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 55829

>Sounds like a Bruce Without Error story.
>

Your just upset that I can pass it without error, and you cant even pass it.

1650. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 13:46:02 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122084602.29050.00000160@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 55830

>I didn't get a code waiver.
>
>

So you didnt pass it, and still cant today, even though you now dont have to worry about the Code Waiver.

1651. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 13:47:40 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122084740.29050.00000161@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 55831

>Bruce Without Error says the existing Extra exam is useless. Someday
>the FCC may come around to his kind of thinking. That is all.
>

And thats what your waiting for, another Welfare Handout.

1652. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 13:50:09 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122085009.29050.00000162@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 55832

>Should
>the FCC agree with you at some point in the future, they'll
>restructure and make you do it again. If you can.
>

Fine no problem with that, so what your excuse today?

1653. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 13:51:29 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DDE3661.2000302@psu.edu>
Source record: 55834

Bill Sohl wrote:
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:arh213$17d$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net...
>
>>The general test I took in 1961 was eons ahead of the present Extra. Hard
>>to believe but that explains a lot of what I hear on the air.
>
>
> The General in 61 (and 58) was no real effort for amny people.
> I passed it as a teenager with just some memorization and knowing algebra
> to answer the few formula questions.

That is my impression also, Bill. When I compared the two tests (an admittedly small sample) the older test would have provided no more challenge than the new one.

As a relatively new Extra, I have to note:

you have to study is a lot of questions in the pool to be prepared for the few they toss at you in the real test, This is the key to the whole process.

the letter answers are not the same as in the question pool. I cannot say this for all the questions, as I didn't memorize the letter answers, but I recognized some changes.

I took a little over a week of study in the evenings to prepare for my Extra test. Seemed about right to me.

So what would people suggest to make the tests harder?

- Mike KB3EIA -

1654. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 13:55:02 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0211220555.14c476e5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55833
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net> wrote in message
news:<hRjD9.682$pc.139347@news.iquest.net>...
> "W6DKN" <w6dkn-nospam-@qsl.net> wrote in message news:seeD9.2816$EY.2613@fe01...
> > "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net> wrote in message
> > news:MKbD9.657$pc.135424@news.iquest.net...
> >
> > > <<< SNIP >>>
> > > There really needs to be a basic ham license w/o code.
> >
> > There already is - it's called a No-Code Tech.

Actually, the licenses say "Technician". Nothing about code or nocode
on any FCC ham license. And all US hams are allowed to use Morse code,
test or no test.

A distinction needs to be made between those who are against the code
TEST, and those who are against code USE.

> > > To work at all in the
> > > 'code' bands, you'll need to pass the code test (similar to the good old
> reserved
> > > bands for extra

WHY? There's no requirement to pass such a test today. In fact, 6 and
2 meters have the only "Morse only" segments - and they are open to
use by Techs who haven't passed a code test.

> > Let's just keep it simple. To work any band / mode below 30 mhz. you need a
> > higher class license (oops, that's how it is now... :>)
> >
> Actually, they should probably limit the power for the 'communicators' to less than 100W,
> and the higher technical licenses would allow for higher power :-).

Probably a good idea, because then the RF exposure questions on the
entry-level tests could be tailored to the power levels permitted.

> The 30MHz, I guess,
> is still a treaty thing, and indicative of stagnation.

Nope. It's simply a treaty requirement. Once upon a time the
requirement was for all ham licenses. Over the years an exception has
been included for VHF/UHF because there is relatively little routine
use of Morse by hams on VHF/UHF. The limit has gradually been lowered
at various WRC conferences - 1000 MHz, 144 MHz, 30 MHz. Next year it
will probably go to 0 Hz ;-)

Before judging whether "code is dead" or "obsolete/outdated/nobody
uses it anymore", try actually LISTENING to the ham bands below 30 MHz
- particularly the lower ends of the bands, where Morse use is most
common.

This weekend would be a good time to listen.

> Maybe the 'communicators' with
> little technical criteria might even be limited to 10-50W at VHF and above.

Sure.
>
> The goal isn't to punish people, but to motivate people to learn how their stuff works. I
> suspect that the VHF bands are too full for much experimentation, but the technology
> for homebrew on 2GHz on up is now relatively achievable by mere mortals.

Plenty of room on all bands for experimentation.
>
> Wouldn't it be cool if people really designed/built their own stuff that
> makes sense to do so, rather than buying their devices from boutiques?

I do that, and have been doing so, for more thna 35 years.

> A lot of stuff isn't really
> practical to build (e.g. the communicator 2M units, or walkie talkes), and the stuff
> that is extremely practical to build (10M transmitter) even with few mechanical
> skills, would be constrained by the code requirement.
>
> So, for the newbie, where homebrew is more practical below 100MHz, the code
> constraints tend to continue their burden.

How does the requirement to learn code at 5 wpm just well enough to
pass a test unreasonably constrain the ability to build radio
equipment? Hams have been building rigs long before there were
licenses without code tests. Heck, I built my first transmitter at age
13 - right after I passed the code test. That was not at all unusual
in 1967.

The REAL "burdens" of home design and construction today are the
practical and economic constraints. Manufactured ham gear, and kits,
are inexpensive and plentiful today. What sort of projects would you
recommend for the raw newbie?
Do you think it's practical for a new ham without an extensive
electronics background to design and build an HF SSB transceiver as a
first project?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1655. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 13:58:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122085828.05529.00000062@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 55835

>Subject: Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/21/02 6:16 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021121191600.04214.00000628@mb-cc.aol.com>

>I'll let you know my reaction just after my 95th birthday

You'll never make it...

1656. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 13:59:17 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122085917.29050.00000163@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 55836

>I passed the Extra - no lie.

No you didnt, the Data Base still says no EXTRA for Brian

>I'll pass it again when I get around to
>it.

When, when they give them away?

>I see you jumped right on the coattails of NCI when you got yours.

You give NCI way to much credit, the FCC was going to do it with or without NCI.

No I didnt jump on the EXTRA when they Dumbed it Down. The only reason I did it was to show a couple of No-Codes how stupid they were, an to take $250 from them. I was more than Happy with the Advanced,and had no desire to upgrade. I still to this day have not used the Extra Privilages, and probably never will.

1657. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 14:02:14 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122090214.29050.00000164@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 55837

>We're ashamed you're one, too.

Why should you be ashamed, you still cant pass it.

>Yup, instead of all those VE questions, its got a little technical
>information to test as well.

What Technical Info, the Test is a Joke, and you still cant pass it.

1658. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 14:03:59 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122090359.05529.00000063@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 55838

>Subject: Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/21/02 6:15 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021121191556.04214.00000625@mb-cc.aol.com>

> Do not attempt correcting Mr. N2EY in anything.

This comes from a person known to be a pathological liar, unworthy of even the most thinly veiled trust, and likely, more often than not, to implement the use of profanity and slurs agains anyone who dares to suggest HIS opinions or "ideas" are not 100% "correct".

Leonard H. Anderson is two-faced and obsessed with antagonizing practicing Amateur Radio licensees.

Beware.

Steve Robeson, K4YZ

1659. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 14:04:34 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122090434.29050.00000165@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 55839

>Unlike Bruce Without Error, I can't

TRUE, its good to see you finally admit it

1660. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 14:05:54 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122090554.29050.00000166@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 55840

>I see that Tugboat Annie is back, Camel dangling from her lips.
>You're every bit as crude as Bruce, Kim.
>
>Dave K8MN

No she is worse

1661. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 14:09:01 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122090901.05529.00000064@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 55841

>Subject: Re: How can we win?
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 11/21/02 10:56 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021121235608.02879.00000126@mb-cq.aol.com>

>About "Ham" Radio, I never did "understand" it. Oh well.

Then perhaps you will accept this invitation to not let the door hit you
on the keyster on the way out?

1662. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 14:12:45 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122091245.05529.00000065@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 55842

>Subject: Re: How can we win?
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 11/21/02 5:37 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021121183716.06788.00000086@mb-dd.aol.com>

>What I was getting it is the perception of the Technician title. In some
>cases
>people do not investigate Ham Radio and are swayed more by the perception
>that
>the actual content.

People who get involved in something without knowing what they are getting into are called "fools".

>I can only cite my experiences. I have been to the Ham clubs and Hamfests
>(MA/NH) and I find most are emphacizing operating,contesting, Morse. Few
>seem
>interested in the other activities.

Then, as suggested before, DO IT YOURSELF!

People organize into clubs to share common interests or activities. If it's not to your liking, MOVE ON!

By the way. vshah...WHAT was your call?

Steve, K4YZ

1663. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 15:12:18 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DDE4952.6090600@psu.edu>
Source record: 55843

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
> In article <3DDC55A0.235DDCC4@bloomer.net>, scott <acepilot@bloomer.net>
> writes:
>
>
>>Yes, especially with a name like Wouff Hong! QST showed a picture of
>>Wouff Hong years ago. Looked like a stick to beat up trolls with.
>>
>>Scott
>>N0EDV
>>
>
>
> Actually, it's a tool used in a sawmill for handling boards in close
> proximity to the revolving saw blade. Different configurations of the
> same sort of device are used for handling the unsawn logs.

Okay, that clears that up, it would work for that.

I hope it can still be used to beat up trolls tho'! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

1664. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 15:18:00 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DDE4AA8.9070401@psu.edu>
Source record: 55845

N2EY wrote:

>
> How about some sort of requirement that is unique to radioamateurism?

General crankiness? ;^) (ducking and running)

- mike KB3EIA -

1665. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 15:21:27 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <XXrD9.2666$Fx1.178122971@newssvr21.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 55844
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Benjamin, KB9LFZ" <KB9LFZ@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:3ddd9d2c$1_8@news.teranews.com...
> Wouff Hong,
>
> I'm not sure where you from, but that's beside the point. I read your
> suggestions to improve ham radio, and, as some one who is very proud of
the
> organization they are from you wanted to let other people know your
> thoughts. As we both can see, it's raised a lot of havoc and questions and
> that sort of thing.
>
> I must agree with you about some your suggestions, but lets look together
> at what you stated.
>
>
>
> > Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> > pass all elements required for their license class.
>
> -I feel the same way with this, because I think everyone should retest to
> get their driver's license every 10 years. Now we gotte realize how much a
> pain in the rear this would be, and not only take people away from this
> great hobby. It would be a good idea instead of retake tests, but to
really
> encourage individuals bursh up on their skills, knowledge or electronic
> theory, etc.
> >
>
> > Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.
>
> -Again I agree that the level of accomplishment should be raised so people
> have good moral standards and treat with repect what they have their hands
> on when they worked hard to earn their Amateur license. 90% in manys'
> opinion would be to high; and it would frighten and discourage people.
>
>
> > Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for
Extra.
>
> -Morse code, a favorite of many, and not so great of many, but who is to
say
> good or bad. Personally, and maybe others like yourself have to say that
> morse code is the the "basics" or any form or radio. Just because we had

to
> take those tests when we got our licenses doesn't mean others have to. It
> would be a better idea to encourage people to do the morse code because if
> they do, I've found they stay in radio longer because if they have what it

> takes to learn the morse code; they'll generally apply the same learning
> habbit in other areas of radio. Please don't Misunderstand me, many people
> don't like it and are very active. But lets encourage and not say anyone
has
> to this or that.
>
>
> > Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
> -Sounds like a good idea, but tis better to encourage people to move on
and
> help them along the way, then bark at 'em like their bosses do.
>
>
> > Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> > to improve things.
>
> -If league's don't have memberships, they can't support us and improve
> things. Memberships provide income for our leagues to improve things. We
all
> should encourage people to join a league of some type.
>
>
> > Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.
>
> -Nobody is perfect in this world except God. When people who is arrogrant
> operate, I find out someinfo about them and kindly correct them over the
> phone or in some kind of private conversation. Nobody likes to be
humiliated
> in public or at anytime. Besure anyone who does this makes sure the other
> person needing correction is open to it. As the cliche' goes; " A man
> convinced against his will, is of the same opinion still."
>
>
> > Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.
>
> -Same as above; Nobody is perfect. Constant offenders have some challange
> with some one or something which some one needs to find out what the deal
is
> and help him out with it. People just doing harm out of no reason does
need
> to be turned in however.
>
> Hope this helps. As you can see I mentioned the word encouraged lot
because

> many people are guilty of this, myself included. When people are guided to
> the direction they want to go, they will go. There is a story that goes
> something like this. "The sun and the wind cloud were having a contest to
> see who could have a man in the park below take off his coat. So the wind

> cloud says he'll go first and the sun hides behind him. The wind cloud
blows
> and blows but the man in the park just holds on to his coat tighter and
> tighter. The wind cloud gives up and the sun comes out and just shines his
> warm rays down below to the man. The man removes his coat and goes
> pleasantly about his business." If the moral hasn't bern figured out by an
> intillgent individual like yourself, it is that when someone is forced to
do
> something, they simply won't, or they'll possibly grudingly do it. Ask and
> encourage someone to do something and they'll give it all they got for
their
> best effort.
>
> Hope this Helps,
>
>
> Respectfully,
> ~Benjamin, KB9LFZ

Very well said Ben!

Landshark

--
Please note that any post that is from
S3Buell_SBCGlobailnet@hotmail.com
is a FORGE. My Addy is always going to
be from SBCGlobal.Net This person has
been a troll on Rec.Radio.CB for a long
time now. If you want to send a complaint,
forward each message complete with headers
to TOSUSENET@AOL.COM. abuse@hotmail.com,
groups-abuse@google.com
Beware of George WA3MOJ

1666. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 15:39:58 UTC
From: "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus@mcn.net>
Message-ID: <idsD9.3665$OZ4.387895@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 55846
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Hey Woulff,

Let me know if you ever decide to run for the ARRL Board of Directors.
I'll re-join again and vote for you!

KB7ADL

rettysnitch@ugerumf.com (Wouff Hong) wrote in
news:arrl.doesnt.care.anymore@all.com:

> Step 1: No more automatic renewals. Individuals must retest and
> pass all elements required for their license class.
>
> Step 2: The passing score for written exams needs to be raised to 90%.
>
> Step 3: Code elements should be 13 wpm for General, and 20 wpm for
Extra.
>
> Step 4: Make the no-code license one year non-renewable.
>
> Step 5: Cancel your league membership until they decide to work
> to improve things.
>
> Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.
>
> Step 7: Turn in rule breakers to the FCC.
>
>
> 73, de Wouff Hong
>

1667. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 15:44:53 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0211220744.46cc1950@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55847

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:<42hD9.3487$op6.1522@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>...
> "N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:c2356669.0211201413.28087501@posting.google.com...
> > There are many QRP transceiver kits and designs out there, ranging
> > from very simple to quite complex. Oddly enough, almost all of them
> > are cw or digital, and were/are designed by long-time Extras with 2x1
> > or 1x2 callsigns...;-)
> >
> > One really exciting thing about the QRP folks is how common the design
> > and construction of complete, functional rigs has become among them.
> > Somebody gets an idea and turns it into a working rig, then puts it on
> > the air and makes contacts. I recall one ham who participated in FD a
> > few years back by sitting down at the bench at starting time. He then
> > proceeded to designing a new QRP rig, build it, and make FD contacts.
> > Had a fairly respectable score, too.
>
> I heard from a "reliable source" that the K1 is actually the Cadillac
> version of the Wilderness Sierra.

Makes sense.

> Hey, why mess around with the basic design
> if it's a proven winner?

Messing around ishow you get improvements.

>What impresses me the most is the performance of
> the receivers...reputed to be right on par with the megabuck high-end rigs.

I dunno about the K1, but the K2 is simply amazing. Digs right down to
the noise, unaffected by lots of strong inband signals, lots of
performance and usable features.

But it's an "electropolitically incorrect" design, at least according
to what is normally done in "modern" ham rigs. Not general coverage.
Designed for performance first, features second. CW is primary, SSB is
an add-on (which costs less than most mfr's optional filters). No
upconversion to VHF, no DDS or DSP, no passband tuning. Yet it drags
in the weak ones - often when other rigs can't.

> (Mongo like!)

[Moment of silence for dear departed N0BK]

"Don't shoot Mongo, it just makes him mad"
>

> > The Rock Mite is an amazing bit of work, but it's a VERY limited rig.
> > Output is 10 dB down from typical 5W QRP, and there are only two QRG
> > available. Not a general purpose QRP CW rig at all, but looks like a
> > bit of fun and only one evening to build (if that).
> >
> > As a practice project, you might consider one of the SW+ series.
> > Although more expensive and complex, power output is 2.5 W and they
> > are tunable. All the Elecraft (note spelling)
>
> So noted, thanks. :-)
>
> > and most other QRP
> > designs require toroid winding, so you might consider a "build
> > practice" kit that includes toroid winding. Just a consideration.
> >
> > The K2 is not that difficult to build if one has some soldering and
> > assembly skills, the right tools, and patience. Building mine was much
> > easier and faster than designing and building my homebrew rigs from
> > scratch, but still a bit of a challenge and a learning experience. One
> > real budget-saver is the fact that you can buy and build a "bare" K2,
> > then add the various options later.
>
> Hmm, lemme start writing to Santa Spouse. 0;-)

I financed my K2 and options by selling of some of the spare parts
I've amassed in 35+ years. Funny - stuff that folks practically gave
away is now worth something. "It's you or the dumpster, kid" - and I
was the kid.

> > Do you read the Elecraft reflector?
>
> No, but I probably should. Is it on the Elecraft website?

Yes - also instructions on how to subscribe so you get the emails on a
daily basis. Tremendous amount of good info.

> > > I'll be sending an e-mail in support of the new rig for the ARS. Take
> care,
> > > Dick and Jim. :-)
> >
> > Me too..
> >
> > And keep practicing with that key!
>
> I shall sir. :-D

Yea, verily.

> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> > 7037 CW Wednesdays at 9 PM EST

>
> For know, I have only my 10m rig up and running. This is for the well being
> of my 22 mo. old lad who, for reasons unknown, likes to test the effects of
> gravity on my gear. Luckily, nothing major has occured yet, however...

AAAAAAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH!!!!
>
> XYL has full instruction to immediately take children to in-law's QTH and
> await further instructions, should she come home and find a wrecked shack.
> All kidding aside, my lad is fascinated with the tuner and just loves
> spinning the dials with grape jam covered fingers. The Yaesu remains in box
> pending the purchase of a locking roller desk. Take care now.

One advantage of older gear was that it was too big and heavy for the harmonics to move. Also another reason why I always favored a basement shack.

I remember a photo in an old QST of a ham whose shack was in a closet. Took out the clothes rod and shelf, put in a shelf at desk height and another higher up for books, tuner and accessories. Open line came in through the ceiling, dropped straight down to the tuner. Inside walls and door were covered with QSLs and certificates, light was under the upper shelf. Really slick and compact setup, at a time when ham gear was neither compact nor lightweight. Open the door, pull up a chair, and operate.

Of course the real miracle is having a spare closet to begin with!

73 de Jim, N2EY

1668. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 15:49:38 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDE5212.330F9ABD@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55956

"Jackie L." wrote:
>
> "Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021121235608.02879.00000126@mb-
>
> > Looks like you are already a "Ham". Also, you seem to be taking the Morse
> > route.Why ask a question if you are already decided? For confirmation?
>
> Because it is the only way I can utilize the HF bands.
>
> Maybe I will decide that I don't like Morse and primarily use some other
> mode on HF like SSB. I don't know yet.

Then according to larry, dickie, and brucie you will never be
'real ham'.

1669. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 16:10:39 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021122111039.25792.00001846@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 55848

In article <3DDD5992.20F79734@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
writes:

>You and newbie larry have stated over and over your disdain for
>those who come into the hobby via the no-code route.

JJ:

If there is any disdain ever expressed in this newsgroup, it is from
NCTA's such as your self who hate the thought of anyone having an
opinion which differs from your own with regard to code testing. This
is the classic pattern of thought among those who occupy a politically
liberal point of view.

>You and larry
>even claim that hams licensed now under what you call the dumb
>down requirements with the 5wpm code upgrade to any class, can
>never achieve the lofty status that you and larry have, because,
>as you claim, the test were harder when you got your ticket, and
>you had to pass 20 wpm to get your extra.

You are also humor-challenged, and don't recognize well-deserved
sarcasm when it is applied to a given situation. When I make such
statements, it is always in response to some NCTA who provoked
it, and basically "had it coming." Most people don't require this to
be explained to them, but you and Brian seem to be notable
exceptions.

>Therefore no ham today
>can ever be a 'real ham' in your way of thinking, so no matter if
>Jackie does go on to upgrade to extra, you and larry will never
>put him on the same level you claim to be.

I have often stated that it is possible for someone to be a "real
ham" without ever learning the difference between a "dit" and a
"dah." All I require is that they know what they are talking about
when the speak of code testing requirements, and, IMHO, those
who have never bothered to learn the code at a useful proficiency
level have not earned that right. There are several "real hams"
in this newsgroup who HAVE passed the previous code tests
up to 20 WPM, and who do not support code testing -- but they
have not gone out of their way to whine and complain about
their existence, or of the differing opinions of those who disagree.

>To you anyone who comes into the hobby and chooses not to upgrade
>to extra is just plain lazy and has no ambition in your mind.

And, for the most part, this is precisely the case. It takes little or no effort to pick up a microphone and start talking -- and this is the objective of those who oppose code testing.

>Did
>it ever dawn on you that a no-code ticket may just suit the needs
>of some hams just fine?

I know many for which this is true, and we co-exist as fellow hams quite well. However, they aren't making it a point to denounce those of us who support code testing requirements. They just accept things for the way they are.

Keep one thing in mind, JJ -- the code testing debate was started by those on the No Code Test Agenda making preposterous statements blaming those of us who are of the Pro Code Test Agenda of all sorts of crimes against humanity. The hyperbole, and the acrimony, was started by those on YOUR side of the fence. We (the PCTA's) just simply responded -- sometimes giving back as we've received. This is a fair fight. However, it is up to the side that started it to put it to an end.

>That maybe some have no desires to upgrade
>just to get HF privileges? That does not make them any less of a
>ham that the holder of and extra.

And nobody on the PCTA side has made any such claim, except for a few loose cannons such as WA8ULX.

>Your are the kind of ham that is a problem, the kind that thinks
>that no one coming into the hobby under today's standards can ever
>achieve your imagined lofty status.

Pre-Restructuring Extra's like Dick and myself were licensed under a more challenging and comprehensive set of requirements, therefore, we do possess a higher "status" among our fellow hams extant. Now, I, for one, don't go around saying that anywhere but in this newsgroup, and mainly for the entertainment value of responding in kind to preposterous criticisms made by NCTA's such as yourself. It may be a fact that today's Post-Restructuring Extras are not equal to me in knowledge and experience even though they legitimately possess equal privileges. However, I have to live with that fact, and I do. I accept the fact that there are hams, of all license classes, who possess far superior technical skills than I do, usually because they are employed as professional electronics engineers and technicians. The problem is, the new, dumbed-down hams, with no operating experience and no communications skills beyond the ability to coordinate the action of a PTT switch with their voices, won't accept the truth of their status, and insist on politically-correct "equality" in spite of the facts. This is why it is best for *them* to keep mum on the subject, lest they incite a debate they won't want to finish, because the truth of the matter will be spoken in it's course.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1670. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 16:20:17 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122112017.24623.00000214@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 55849

>except
>for a few loose cannons such as WA8ULX.

LOOSE CANNON, thanks Larry

1671. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 16:23:28 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDE5A00.899B9BC4@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55962

Newbie Larry TRoll K3LT wrote:
>
> In article <3DDD5992.20F79734@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
> writes:
>
> >You and newbie larry have stated over and over your disdain for
> >those who come into the hobby via the no-code route.
>
> JJ:
>
> If there is any disdain ever expressed in this newsgroup, it is from
> NCTA's such as your self who hate the thought of anyone having an
> opinion which differs from your own with regard to code testing. This
> is the classic pattern of thought among those who occupy a politically
> liberal point of view.

You are the one who expresses disdain in the group larry, you are
the one
who hates the thought of any opinion different than yours. Your
attitude for
those licensed under the current rules is very clear. Here are
your exact
words again.
:There are no more Real Ham(tm) licenses. Only dumbed-down,
slow-code,
:fake Ham Wannabe licenses. The last Real Ham(tm) (General or
above)
:was licensed on April 14, 2000.

I have never said I was opposed to code testing, if you think I
did, then show my
post that said so.

>
> >You and larry
> >even claim that hams licensed now under what you call the dumb
> >down requirements with the 5wpm code upgrade to any class, can
> >never achieve the lofty status that you and larry have, because,
> >as you claim, the test were harder when you got your ticket, and
> >you had to pass 20 wpm to get your extra.
>
> You are also humor-challenged, and don't recognize well-deserved
> sarcasm when it is applied to a given situation. When I make such
> statements, it is always in response to some NCTA who provoked
> it, and basically "had it coming." Most people don't require this to

> be explained to them, but you and Brian seem to be notable
> exceptions.
>
> >Therefore no ham today
> >can ever be a 'real ham' in your way of thinking, so no matter if
> >Jackie does go on to upgrade to extra, you and larry will never
> >put him on the same level you claim to be.
>
> I have often stated that it is possible for someone to be a "real
> ham" without ever learning the difference between a "dit" and a
> "dah." All I require is that they know what they are talking about
> when the speak of code testing requirements, and, IMHO, those
> who have never bothered to learn the code at a useful proficiency
> level have not earned that right.

Here are your exact words again, maybe if you read them enough
times you will
understand what you wrote.
:There are no more Real Ham(tm) licenses. Only dumbed-down,
slow-code,
:fake Ham Wannabe licenses. The last Real Ham(tm) (General or
above)
:was licensed on April 14, 2000.

>There are several "real hams"
> in this newsgroup who HAVE passed the previous code tests
> up to 20 WPM, and who do not support code testing -- but they
> have not gone out of their way to whine and complain about
> their existence, or of the differing opinions of those who disagree.

See, according to you to be a 'real ham' they have to had passed
the 20wpm
test like you.

>
> >To you anyone who comes into the hobby and chooses not to upgrade
> >to extra is just plain lazy and has no ambition in your mind.
>
> And, for the most part, this is precisely the case. It takes little or
> no effort to pick up a microphone and start talking -- and this is
> the objective of those who oppose code testing.

Not everyone wants to be an extra class larry, I see you only have
a B.S degree,
why did you not get a Ph.D.? Just to lazy I guess. Oh, I know,
that B.S. degree is
fits you just fine as most of the stuff you spout is just that BS.

>
> >Did
> >it ever dawn on you that a no-code ticket may just suit the needs
> >of some hams just fine?

>
> I know many for which this is true, and we co-exist as fellow hams
> quite well. However, they aren't making it a point to denounce
> those of us who support code testing requirements. They just
> accept things for the way they are.

But your disdain for them is very evident. Read your words again.
:There are no more Real Ham(tm) licenses. Only dumbed-down,
slow-code,
:fake Ham Wannabe licenses. The last Real Ham(tm) (General or
above)
:was licensed on April 14, 2000.
>
> Keep one thing in mind, JJ -- the code testing debate was started
> by those on the No Code Test Agenda making preposterous
> statements blaming those of us who are of the Pro Code Test
> Agenda of all sorts of crimes against humanity. The hyperbole,
> and the acrimony, was started by those on YOUR side of the
> fence. We (the PCTA's) just simply responded -- sometimes
> giving back as we've received. This is a fair fight. However, it
> is up to the side that started it to put it to an end.
>
> >That maybe some have no desires to upgrade
> >just to get HF privileges? That does not make them any less of a
> >ham that the holder of and extra.
>
> And nobody on the PCTA side has made any such claim, except
> for a few loose cannons such as WA8ULX.

Oh, and again your words.
:There are no more Real Ham(tm) licenses. Only dumbed-down,
slow-code,
:fake Ham Wannabe licenses. The last Real Ham(tm) (General or
above)
:was licensed on April 14, 2000.
>
> >Your are the kind of ham that is a problem, the kind that thinks
> >that no one coming into the hobby under today's standards can ever
> >achieve your imagined lofty status.
>
> Pre-Restructuring Extra's like Dick and myself were licensed
> under a more challenging and comprehensive set of requirements,
> therefore, we do possess a higher "status" among our fellow hams
> extant.

Well, there is that elitist status attitude again. Just because
the
current licensees do not have to pass the 20 wpm code test and
pass
the same written exams you and dickie did, then they can never
reach

your lofty status and be 'real hams'. As your degree states, BS!

> It may be a fact that today's Post-Restructuring
> Extras are not equal to me in knowledge and experience even
> though they legitimately possess equal privileges.
> However,
> I have to live with that fact, and I do.

Oh poor thing, have to live with the fact that the current
licensees
can obtain the lofty extra status with out all the rigorous
testing
you went through. That must really be a tough thing to deal with.

> I accept the fact that there
> are hams, of all license classes, who possess far superior
> technical skills than I do, usually because they are employed as
> professional electronics engineers and technicians. The problem
> is, the new, dumbed-down hams,

See, any new ham is simply dumbed-down, no matter how much
knowledge
or skills they may possess. Your exact words again.
:There are no more Real Ham(tm) licenses. Only dumbed-down,
slow-code,
:fake Ham Wannabe licenses. The last Real Ham(tm) (General or
above)
:was licensed on April 14, 2000.

All hams licensed under the new standards, in your exact words
are "fake Ham Wannabe licenses."

> with no operating experience

I guess you started out with tons of operating experience.

> and no communications skills beyond the ability to coordinate the
> action of a PTT switch with their voices, won't accept the truth of
> their status,

And there it is again, just because someone chooses not to use cw
means
they are just a much lower status than you. More BS.

> and insist on politically-correct "equality" in spite of
> the facts.

Hey, larry, hate to burst you bubble again, but just because a ham
chooses
not to operate cw does not make them a lesser ham than you. Get
over it.

> This is why it is best for *them* to keep mum on the
> subject, lest they incite a debate they won't want to finish, because

> the truth of the matter will be spoken in it's course.

So any ham that choose not to use cw is not worthy to speak on the subject
as you, dickie and brucie, pure BS.

Spin it anyway you want larry, but you hold nothing but disdain for any ham
that did not get licensed under the same requirements you did. You hold yourself
in much higher regard that them and according to you they can never achieve your,
dickie's, and wa8lux's (I know it is really ulx brucie so don't get your shorts in
a wad), lofty bloated status.

Still claim you first coined the term "real ham" on Fideonet some 10 years ago? Maybe
you should go after those using your trademark.

1672. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 16:26:33 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDE5AB9.E6536191@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55963

"Dee D. Flint" wrote:
>
> "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote in message
> news:yXfD9.102389$QZ.16898@sccrnsc02...
> >
> >
> > Ennaway! Time for dinner here... oh, and just in case you didn't know
> > (because my first name can be both a male and a female name), I'm a YL.
> Or,
> > is that an XYL? In any event, I'm a divorced single mom of an awesome
> > teenaged son who has suddenly taken a keen interest in his mom's
> > handi-talkie!
> >
> > 73 to all
> >
> > Jackie KC0ODY

>
> If you were still married, you would be your husband's XYL. In any other
> capacity, you are a YL. So when you are on the air you are a YL. However
> if your husband were referring to you, he would speak of you as his XYL.
>
> Dee Flint, N8UZE. (also a YL)

Actually the term YL (Young Lady) applies to any female unmarried
ham of any age. The term XYL, applies to any female married ham of
any age. The term OM (Old Man) applies to any male ham of any age.

1673. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 16:38:48 UTC
From: K�HB <k�hb@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <s4tD9.3808$OZ4.395984@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 55850

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

:
: People who get involved in something without knowing what they are
getting
: into are called "fools".
:

Sometimes they're called explorers, adventure seekers, pioneers, inventors, pathfinders, and other similar things. Were it not for these people we'd still be in caves watching our kerosene-powered TV by firelight mumbling "they ain't never gonna invent radios".

73, de Hans, K0HB

1674. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 17:12:32 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021122121232.09268.00001617@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 55951

In article <ark5b0$o9b$1@208.206.142.97>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

First Kim owned up to a pathetic lack of the most basic ham radio knowledge:

>> I thought about it last night (the net). OK, set me straight. Are we
>> having a net on 7.037 or on 10M somewhere? I can do either, uh, if it's
>> phone of course. I haven't looked at the band plan to see if you're
>> referencing a CW freq.
>>
>> Anyway, let me know.
>>
>> Kim W5TIT

To which, Dick patiently replied:

> Well there you have it. From a *real* ham.

Well, only in her own mind, Dick. First of all, Kim is a Tech Plus. She
doesn't HAVE any privileges at 7.037 MHz, in any mode. Second,
what's scary is that she didn't know that. I wonder how many Tech
Pluses are happily jabbering away in the CW/DATA portions of the
HF bands?

While looking up Kim's record on QRZ.COM to verify her license class,
I was most impressed to see she had, at that moment, 1120 lookups!
Two of the most prolific DX'ers I know are averaging 365 each. I've
worked everyone there is on 20-meter CW and PSK31 and only have
330. Seems like Kim's call sign has yielded her way more than her
allotted 15 minutes of fame!

73 de Larry, K3LT

1675. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 17:16:57 UTC
From: K<unk>HB <k<unk>hb@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <dEtD9.3896$OZ4.400747@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 55952
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

--
<unk><unk><unk> 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org
"W6DKN" <w6dkn-nospam-@qsl.net> wrote

: And according to their level of effort and dedication to the hobby,
: they are rewarded with additional operating privileges.

Nope, has nothing to do with "effort and dedication".

Hang around here long enough, and you will see someone write
something like:

" A really tough written test would surely separate those
who really have an interest in the hobby.", or..

" Other, more relevant, methods can establish an applicant's
dedication to the service.", or..

" I think it is effective at minimizing the undesirables.",
or..

" ..... the key to maintaining the quality of hamming is
making it something to work for.", or..
.
"My opinion is that any obstacle you put in the way to any
achievement guarantees that only those with dedication and
strong interest will get there."

All of the above quotations, gathered from recent threads, were
made by serious and well-intentioned licensees who want the best
for the Amateur Radio Service.

All of the above quotations also completely miss the mark, in
that they suggest that the examination process is the key to
ensuring that "the right kind of people" (those who are
"worthy") become licensed and, by extension, that "the wrong
kind of people" get filtered out.

First, the testing procedure is an "entrance" exam, not a
"graduation" exam.

Second, while "interest", "dedication", and "hard work" might
be hallmarks of good amateurs, the FCC and ITU regulations

do not specify levels of interest, dedication, hard work or other measures of "worthiness" as requisites for a license. Therefore it is not the function of the examination process to determine (even if it could) if an applicant is "worthy" but rather to determine if he/she is QUALIFIED to use the spectrum assigned. There should be no "dumbing down", but neither can there be a requirement that the examination process screens out applicants who lack "commitment".

Don't get me wrong here, folks. I believe that the examination process ought to be rigorous enough to determine proper knowledge and skills so that a new licensee does not inadvertently trash the bands, hurt themselves, or harm other users/uses of the spectrum. I am not even suggesting that Morse testing is a "good thing" or a "poor idea". But I have no expectation that ANY examination can filter out "unworthy" applicants who lack the proper dedication or motivation.

Even if it could, who then would become the arbiter of "worthy"?

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
http://www.W0AA.org

1676. 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 17:37:24 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122123724.14881.00000099@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 55953

Folks:

It was 34 years ago today (Nov.22, 1968) that the first phase of rules changes known as "Incentive Licensing" became effective. Exactly one year later, the second phase became effective.

It was a Friday in 1968, too. I had a brand new Advanced at age 14, and suddenly lost full privileges. No big deal, got 'em back in 1970 after the waiting period for Extra was up.

The code test debates of the past few years are minor compared to the fury of those days...

73 de Jim, N2EY

1677. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 17:37:24 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122123724.14881.00000100@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 55954

In article <ark6v0rbc1@208.206.142.97>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>>
>> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
>> news:f45722ac.0211191926.5199b787@posting.google.com...
>> > lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> news:<20021119163039.22730.00000605@mb-bg.aol.com>...
>> > > In article <f45722ac.0211190359.16e5b2b7@posting.google.com>,
>> > > brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>> > >
>> > > >> Then your attempt at misdirection would be irrelevant.
>> > > >>
>> > > >> Dave K8MN
>> > > >
>> > > >CSCEs are irrelevant?
>> > >
>> > > Anything contrary to Heil's opinions/messages/statements
>> > > is "irrelevant." :-)
>> >
>> > Yep, someday when the FCC changes the rules again we might not be
>> > scrambling to recover our Morse Code CSCE, we might be scrambling to
>> > recover which version of the Extra we passed.
>>
>> Might I inquire as to what you might expect the FCC to do to the rules
>> that would call for such a scrambing or concern as to when anyone
>> actually passed their Extra?
>
>
> For instance there's that 1987 Tech, date, for one,... or did you
>forget already?
>
Here's another:

Just about 50 years ago (December, 1952) FCC decided that its 1951
restructuring was a bad idea, and gave all amateur operating privileges to
Generals, Advanceds, Extras and Conditionals (effective February 1953). There
were about 40,000 Advanceds back then, and about 3,000 Extras, who suddenly
found that their hard-earned licenses conveyed no more operating privileges
than the much easier to get General or Conditional.

Until 15 years later, when FCC changed its mind once again, and having an Extra
or Advanced suddenly made a big difference in HF operating privileges.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1678. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 17:48:50 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arlqm4lfb1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55955

Must be a bootlegger...he is not in the data base....grin.

Dan/W4NTI

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021121235447.10984.00000081@mb-cu.aol.com...
> > WA8LUX's posts,
>
> WHO IS WA8LUX

1679. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 17:52:22 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arlqt1$t3k$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55957

I dont much like to think of my 'X' at all.

Dan/W4NTI

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3DDDCC74.FA1B84F3@earthlink.net...
> "Dee D. Flint" wrote:
> >
> > "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote in message
> > news:yXfD9.102389$QZ.16898@sccrnsc02...
> > >
> > >
> > > Ennaway! Time for dinner here... oh, and just in case you didn't know
> > > (because my first name can be both a male and a female name), I'm a
YL.
> > Or,
> > > is that an XYL? In any event, I'm a divorced single mom of an awesome
> > > teenaged son who has suddenly taken a keen interest in his mom's
> > > handi-talkie!
> > >
> > > 73 to all
> > >
> > > Jackie KC0ODY
> >
> > If you were still married, you would be your husband's XYL. In any
other
> > capacity, you are a YL. So when you are on the air you are a YL.
However
> > if your husband were referring to you, he would speak of you as his XYL.
> >
> > Dee Flint, N8UZE. (also a YL)
>
> I like to think of my former wife as my XXYL.
>
> Dave K8MN

1680. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 18:01:35 UTC
From: AC6V <ac6v@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <3iuD9.9760$ro.394357@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 55958

If you want to see the details of the incentive licensing
See URL:
http://ac6v.com/history.htm

--
I Doubt, Therefore I Might Be
73 From AC6V, Rod In Oceanside, CA
http://ac6v.com
==========
"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021122123724.14881.00000099@mb-ct.aol.com...
> Folks:
>
> It was 34 years ago today (Nov.22, 1968) that the first phase of rules
changes
> known as "Incentive Licensing" became effective. Exactly one year later,
the
> second phase became effective.
>
> It was a Friday in 1968, too. I had a brand new Advanced at age 14, and
> suddenly lost full privileges. No big deal, got 'em back in 1970 after the
> waiting period for Extra was up.
>
> The code test debates of the past few years are minor compared to the fury
of
> those days...
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

1681. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 18:02:34 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arls01$86v$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55959

Wait a minute...this just registered....how can TIT do either? I was not aware she had 40 m authorization.

Dan/W4NTI

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ark5b0$o9b$1@208.206.142.97...
>
>
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> >
> > "K�HB" <KAYZEROHANDSOMEBOY@ELECTRICSMOKE.NET> wrote in message
> > news:V5YC9.1583$It3.125058@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > So where is everyone? I'm sitting on 7.037 having a nice QSO with
WA2SLH
> > in
> > > NJ, after no RRAP'ers answered my many CQ's.
> > >
> > > ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> > I thought about it last night (the net). OK, set me straight. Are we
> > having a net on 7.037 or on 10M somewhere? I can do either, uh, if it's
> > phone of course. I haven't looked at the band plan to see if you're
> > referencing a CW freq.
> >
> > Anyway, let me know.
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
>
>
>
> Well there you have it. From a *real* ham.

1682. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 18:11:01 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arls01$86v$2@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55960

Based on her thought process I think she did and they used her as the test subject in lab.

Dan/W4NTI

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021121221308.18588.00000262@mb-fp.aol.com...
> > She should have tried out for brain surgury.
> >
>
> She thought about it, but they told her she would have to actually learn
> something, and she said forget it.

1683. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 18:22:50 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arlsls$m8q$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55961

Uh.....I don't think so. Perhaps I missed something but the only Advanced tickets were refered to 'Class A'. And they were not being issued at that time. If you had an advanced you kept it.

Novice, 1 year non-renawable.
Technician, 5 wpm and the General test.
General, 13 wpm and the same test.
Extra, 20 wpm and a tough test.

I was General and lost most of my HF privlidges. The Generals are the ones that got screwed.

Dan/W4NTI

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021122123724.14881.00000099@mb-ct.aol.com...
> Folks:
>
> It was 34 years ago today (Nov.22, 1968) that the first phase of rules changes
> known as "Incentive Licensing" became effective. Exactly one year later, the
> second phase became effective.
>
> It was a Friday in 1968, too. I had a brand new Advanced at age 14, and
> suddenly lost full privileges. No big deal, got 'em back in 1970 after the
> waiting period for Extra was up.
>
> The code test debates of the past few years are minor compared to the fury of
> those days...
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

1684. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 18:53:57 UTC
From: BDKING <kingrat@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1848474b4628603e989794@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 55964
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <dEtD9.3896$OZ4.400747@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
k�hb@arrl.org says...
>
>
> --
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
> http://www.mnyarc.org
> http://www.k0bsa.org
> "W6DKN" <w6dkn-nospam-@qsl.net> wrote
>
> : And according to their level of effort and dedication to the hobby,
> : they are rewarded with additional operating privileges.
>
> Nope, has nothing to do with "effort and dedication".
>
> Hang around here long enough, and you will see someone write
> something like:
>
> " A really tough written test would surely separate those
> who really have an interest in the hobby.", or..
>
> " Other, more relevant, methods can establish an applicant's
> dedication to the service.", or..
>
> " I think it is effective at minimizing the undesirables.",
> or..
>
> " ..... the key to maintaining the quality of hamming is
> making it something to work for.", or..
> .
> "My opinion is that any obstacle you put in the way to any
> achievement guarantees that only those with dedication and
> strong interest will get there."
>
> All of the above quotations, gathered from recent threads, were
> made by serious and well-intentioned licensees who want the best
> for the Amateur Radio Service.
>
> All of the above quotations also completely miss the mark, in
> that they suggest that the examination process is the key to

> ensuring that "the right kind of people" (those who are
> "worthy") become licensed and, by extension, that "the wrong
> kind of people" get filtered out.
>
> First, the testing procedure is an "entrance" exam, not a
> "graduation" exam.
>
> Second, while "interest", "dedication", and "hard work" might
> be hallmarks of good amateurs, the FCC and ITU regulations
> do not specify levels of interest, dedication, hard work or other
> measures of "worthiness" as requisites for a license. Therefore it
> is not the function of the examination process to determine (even
> if it could) if an applicant is "worthy" but rather to determine
> if he/she is QUALIFIED to use the spectrum assigned. There should
> be no "dumbing down", but neither can there be a requirement that
> the examination process screens out applicants who lack
> "commitment".
>
> Don't get me wrong here, folks. I believe that the examination
> process ought to be rigorous enough to determine proper knowledge
> and skills so that a new licensee does not inadvertently trash
> the bands, hurt themselves, or harm other users/uses of the
> spectrum. I am not even suggesting that Morse testing is a
> "good thing" or a "poor idea". But I have no expectation that
> ANY examination can filter out "unworthy" applicants who lack
> the proper dedication or motivation.
>
> Even if it could, who then would become the arbiter of "worthy"?
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> http://www.W0AA.org
>
>
>
>
>

Besides, it's the Advanced and extras that cause the majority of deliberate rules violations. A tech or General might mess up, but an extra knows better and does it anyway. And how much effort should you have to put into a hobby?? Do the CW worshippers and "I hadto take a REAL test" guys have a life other than radio and are they still working? A lot of them are retired, sick and seem like they resent any changes because a new ham might not have to "go through what they did". I'm not married, but I have a full time job, other hobbies and interests, friends, pets, etc. How much of those do I have to ignore/abandon to be "worthy" to them?

A lot of people get turned off just by listening to some of the nonsense that goes on the air, and some get turned off after they get a no code ticket, and are ignored, trashed, ridiculed, talked over, etc by older hams, almost always of 20+ years experience, and almost always they seem to be Extras. If anyone should have their "plug pulled", it should be these guys. They have no excuses. The most local incident I can remember was when several hams in Detroit purposely interfered with anyone who tried to use the repeater having a call issued after the NO code was introed. This went on for some time, then they must have gotten some kind of warning, since it just suddenly stopped. AS a victim of these guys, I think something more should have been done. Andyes, I did make a complaint, complete with tapes of their nonsense.

BDK, no more CW for me, finally, it's over..

1685. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 18:56:25 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0211221056.6e76e86a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55965

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:<3DDC3BDE.6070901@adelphia.net>...
> N2EY wrote:
>
>
> > What I mean is that Mr. Anderson has repeatedly demonstrated that he
> > does not engage in civil, well-mannered discussion in this newsgroup
> > with those who disagree with him.
> >
> > And you didn't answer my question, Dave - why do you (or anyone)
> > respond to Mr. Anderson, given the many examples of how he behaves in
> > the face of a differing opinion?
>
>
> We probably shouldn't, and I usually don't.

Good policy.

> I was drug into the fray by
> his interjection with more of the anti-Morse posts in reply to one of
> mine on the subject of CW radiosport.

Par for the course. Although claiming that he's only against the morse test, his posts indicate wide ranging hatred for the mode, as well. Plus the ARRL, young people who become licensed, people in the health care professions, easterners, midwesterners, hams with 1x2 callsigns/Extra class licenses, and a host of others. Particularly those who point out errors in his information, such as noting that Amazon.com isn't a profitable company. Etc.

> It was off topic, and well,
> suggestions that I get psychological help and that I should leave the
> group because I believe in good manners just begged for a retort on my
> part, no?

Of course they did - which is why it's best not to respond.
>
> And,....well,... you have gotten into a few donnybrooks with the lad too
> IIRC! 8^)

Which is why I ask the question in the first place.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1686. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 19:12:11 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122141211.10377.00003346@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 55966

>Then according to larry, dickie, and brucie you will never be
>'real ham'.

True, so whats the problem?

1687. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 19:14:03 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122141403.10377.00003347@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 55967

>Wait a minute...this just registered....how can TIT do either? I was not
>aware she had 40 m authorization.
>
>Dan/W4NTI

She doesnt care shes a CBer. She thinks she can using any Frequency.

1688. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 19:15:34 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122141534.10377.00003348@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 55968

radiobuff what is your Callsign?

1689. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 19:16:21 UTC
From: Bill M <"exray\\@n-o- .caribe.net>">
Message-ID: <utt0k7mtf7ho6d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55969
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

BDKING wrote:

>
>
> Besides, it's the Advanced and extras that cause the majority of
> deliberate rules violations. A tech or General might mess up, but an
> extra knows better and does it anyway. And how much effort should you
> have to put into a hobby?? Do the CW worshippers and "I hadto take a
> REAL test" guys have a life other than radio and are they still working?
> A lot of them are retired, sick and seem like they resent any changes
> because a new ham might not have to "go through what they did". I'm not
> married, but I have a full time job, other hobbies and interests,
> friends, pets, etc. How much of those do I have to ignore/abandon to be
> "worthy" to them?
>
> A lot of people get turned off just by listening to some of the nonsense
> that goes on the air, and some get turned off after they get a no code
> ticket, and are ignored, trashed, ridiculed, talked over, etc by older
> hams, almost always of 20+ years experience, and almost always they seem
> to be Extras. If anyone should have their "plug pulled", it should be
> these guys. They have no excuses. The most local incident I can
> remember was when several hams in Detroit purposely interfered with
> anyone who tried to use the repeater having a call issued after the NO
> code was introed. This went on for some time, then they must have gotten
> some kind of warning, since it just suddenly stopped. AS a victim of
> these guys, I think something more should have been done. Andyes, I did
> make a complaint, complete with tapes of their nonsense.
>
>
> BDK, no more CW for me, finally, it's over..

Here's a novel idea. Let's have Extra band segments, Newbie band
segments, no-code band segments, code-only band segments and a
Dont-even-bother-with-getting-a-license segment.

Oh wait...we already have that.

-Bill M

1690. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 19:51:16 UTC
From: W6DKN <w6dkn-nospam-@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <UNvD9.3740$EY.30@fe01>
Source record: 55970
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"BDKING" <kingrat@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.1848474b4628603e989794@news.buckeye-express.com...

> A lot of people get turned off just by listening to some of the nonsense
> that goes on the air, and some get turned off after they get a no code
> ticket, and are ignored, trashed, ridiculed, talked over, etc by older
> hams, almost always of 20+ years experience, and almost always they seem
> to be Extras.

The only way this could happen to a no code tech is on a repeater (unless
he is operating out of class on another band - and then he *should* be
ignored or otherwise enticed to cease transmitting).

But basing you opinions on a very limited segment of the hobby (repeaters)
is very misleading. Repeaters (especially in larger metro areas) are often
abused by all license classes, and many "old timers" think of them as
something akin to licensed CB anyway. Try working simplex or SSB instead,
you'll find a very different group of hams.

> If anyone should have their "plug pulled", it should be
> these guys. They have no excuses. The most local incident I can
> remember was when several hams in Detroit purposely interfered with
> anyone who tried to use the repeater having a call issued after the NO
> code was introed.

Repeaters again...

> This went on for some time, then they must have gotten
> some kind of warning, since it just suddenly stopped. AS a victim of
> these guys, I think something more should have been done. Andyes, I did
> make a complaint, complete with tapes of their nonsense.
>
>
> BDK, no more CW for me, finally, it's over..

And your callsign is?

73 de W6DKN

1691. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 20:28:49 UTC
From: pm4hire@excite.com
Message-ID: <52a66032.0211221228.16b8acf3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55971
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

In this day and age, requiring folks to do code is
pure bullshit. Do we ask computer science majors to
write code in assembly language or know DOS?

HELL NO!

Unfortunately, the ham radio community is so far behind
the times that these guys don't even know what day it is.

Give your favorite ham radio operator a dixie cup and
string, b/c that's where he or she is from a technological
point of view.

The ham radio community will continue to shrink unless
it gets its collective heads out of its asses.

Hey guys, it is 2002

1692. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 20:55:30 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122155530.10909.00000188@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 55972

>In this day and age, requiring folks to do code is
>pure bullshit.

And thats why you are still a CBer.

>Unfortunately, the ham radio community is so far behind
>the times that these guys don't even know what day it is.
>

What day is it I cant remember.

>The ham radio community will continue to shrink unless
>it gets its collective heads out of its asses.

And do what turn it into CB, so you and your kind can get on Ham Radio, and
enterain us with your Whistles and Echo Boxes.

1693. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 20:59:47 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <7VwD9.4349$OZ4.427680@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 55973
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Tom Welch" <pm4hire@excite.com> wrote
:
: The ham radio community will continue to shrink unless
: it gets its collective heads out of its asses.
:

"continue to shrink"????

Actually there are more licensed hams today than ever before in history.

Doncha just hate it when someone introduces a fact and ruins your rant?

With all kind wishes,

Hans, K0HB
--
Licensed for 40 years and it just keeps getting better and better.

1694. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 22:05:32 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <arm9fo02idb@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55974

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021122090434.29050.00000165@mb-fe.aol.com...
> >Unlike Bruce Without Error, I can't
>
> TRUE, its good to see you finally admit it

...and the sarcasm flies right over Bruce's head.

1695. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 22:12:48 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <arm9tb02jak@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55976

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021122084416.29050.00000159@mb-fe.aol.com...
> >Sounds like a Bruce Without Error story.
> >
>
> Your just upset that I can pass it without error, and you cant even pass
it.

Too bad you can't say the same thing about an "English Grammar and Its
Usage" test.

1696. Immortal Truths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 22:12:59 UTC
From: codeguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <arma5b0aa@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55977

Know code = Know ham,

No code = no ham.

CW or CB. There's no other choice.

1697. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 22:28:33 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <IcyD9.4487$OZ4.438689@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 55975

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote
:
: The code test debates of the past few years are minor compared to the fury
of
: those days...
:

And it was fury well deserved.

The programs intent of dramatically increasing the technical competence of the Amateur Radio Service was not realized (if anything, todays amateurs on average have far less technical competence than they did 34 years ago), and the negative fallout of the ill-conceived social-engineering fiasco divides and splinters the Amateur Service to this day.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Grand Exhalted Liberator of the Electric Smoke

1698. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 22:43:39 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <vqyD9.113110$1O2.7219@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 55978

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DDE5212.330F9ABD@mailcity.net...

> Then according to larry, dickie, and brucie you will never be
> 'real ham'.

I don't know what anyone else thinks, but I have a piece of paper which says I'm a Technician, which means I'm a ham. If someone wants to pick apart the true definition of the term "ham" and give me reasons why they think I'm not one, or if someone terms me a "CBplusser" or some other derogatory term, that shows how willfully ignorant they are... i'ts just troll-bait, and I ain't biting. I'm a real ham because the FCC license says "amateur radio license" on it, and my name and callsign are printed there. If someone begs to differ and call me names, then I will see nothing further of their posts as theirs will go directly to my killfile from then on.

I'm always up for rational, spirited debate on the issues, but I'm never up for having to defend myself against baseless personal insults. I know that people like Bruce are probably just tossing out the crap for their own amusement. That's fine, I hope he finds it amusing when no one takes him seriously or addresses his posts anymore.

Jackie

1699. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 22:44:24 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <armc0mtol1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55979

I agree totally Hans.

Dan/W4NTI

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.org> wrote in message
news:lcyD9.4487$OZ4.438689@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote
> :
> : The code test debates of the past few years are minor compared to the
fury
> of
> : those days...
> :
>
> And it was fury well deserved.
>
> The programs intent of dramatically increasing the technical competence of
> the Amateur Radio Service was not realized (if anything, todays amateurs
on
> average have far less technical competence than they did 34 years ago),
and
> the negative fallout of the ill-conceived social-engineering fiasco
divides
> and splinters the Amateur Service to this day.
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Grand Exhalted Liberator of the Electric Smoke
>
>
>
>

1700. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 22:45:26 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <armc2b$f14$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55980

Thanks for the heads up. I keep forgetting.

Dan/W4NTI

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021122141403.10377.00003347@mb-md.aol.com...
> >Wait a minute...this just registered....how can TIT do either? I was not
> >aware she had 40 m authorization.
> >
> >Dan/W4NTI
>
> She doesnt care shes a CBer. She thinks she can using any Frequency.

1701. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 22:57:25 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122175725.09013.00000239@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 55981

so hrell what is your Callsign

1702. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 23:00:26 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122180026.09013.00000240@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 55982

>Too bad you can't say the same thing about an "English Grammar and Its
>Usage" test.
>

I can pass that to, but I would rather see you guys complain about it. Its a shame you guys cant even pass a little Dumbed Down Extra Test

1703. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 23:03:03 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122180303.09013.00000241@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 55983

>I know that
>people like Bruce are probably just tossing out the crap for their own
>amusement. That's fine, I hope he finds it amusing when no one takes him
>seriously or addresses his posts anymore.
>
>Jackie

Do you really think I care what you CBplussers think?

1704. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 23:16:33 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <lVyD9.4597$OZ4.445705@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 55984

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote

: I agree totally Hans.
:
: Dan/W4NTI

I am convinced that incentive licensing remains the hands down all time winner of the DAIOTC (Dumb Assed Idea of The Century) award.

When a lot of us got started in the hobby the bands were not subdivided like they are today and it seems that we all, regardless of license class, worked together to improve the Amateur Radio Service.

34 years later we are still sliced and diced into 6 different classes, carefully divided into our own special frequency segments, and Lord protect the Extra who suggests that a new Technician might have something to contribute to our beloved Amateur Radio service.

Back then, it seems that we all, regardless of license class, worked together to improve the Amateur Radio Service.

Back then, nobody got a special deal because they could beep faster or remember more electrical formulae than someone else. I got my Extra in 1964, well before disincentive licensing, and it was simply just another personal accomplishment, and did not "elevate" me above other hams. We all shared the same spectrum, worked together to solve the same problems, competed in contests, trouble-shot each others radios, and generally had fun together. If you forgot the numbers for the dipole formula, it was OK to ask someone else. If your Morse speed was < 10WPM the speedier guys would slow down for you, not QSY to some specially reserved band segment. The one or two "old timers" on 75 meters who didn't like newcomers ("No lids, no kids, not space cadets") were universally looked down on as poor examples of what a ham ought to act like. The Novice bands were a "cacophony of exuberance" to steal a term that K1ZZ used in a meeting here in Minneapolis. Old hands were on those Novice bands also -- it was not unusual to hear W4KFC or W5ZD patiently working WN4KKN or WV2CNL -- nobody remarked that it was "nice" of them to do that -- it was just part of being a good ham. The notion that you had earned a special band segment never occurred to anyone -- we were just

excitedly "playing in the ether", and there was no distinction on the air between Extra, Advanced, General, or Conditional -- elitist special callsign formats to celebrate the fine granulations of your test taking/memorization skills had not been invented.

We'll never return to 'back then', unfortunately.

73, de Hans, K0HB

1705. Re: Immortal Truths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 23:18:08 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122181808.24143.00000114@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 55985

>Know code = Know ham,
>No code = no ham.
>CW or CB. There's no other choice.
This is so unoriginal.

There is a choice. One can participate in a variety of interesting activities that do not involve code. For example: Homebrewing, radio direction finding, troubleshooting equipment, test equipment operation. None of these activities are for CBrs.

Your statement limits Hams to CW operation only. That only makes you a CBer that knows Morse code.

Lets change the scenario:
Lets make the test non-question pool, so its a little challenging.
Then lets get rid of the code requirement for General class.
Then lets add a new reduced license class called the Operator class, that covers operation, regulations, safety, but not practical circuits.

I''ll bet that many code advocates would end up at the Operator class than the Extra class under these requiremens. Then, you will see the real "Truth".

1706. Re: Immortal Truths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 23:36:15 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122183615.26147.00000148@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 55986

>Then lets add a new reduced license class called the Operator class, that
>covers operation, regulations, safety, but not practical circuit

They already have 1 of them its called CB.

1707. Re: Immortal Truths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 23:40:17 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122184017.26147.00000149@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 55987

>I''ll bet that many code advocates would end up at the Operator class than
>the
>Extra class under these requiremens.

And I bet the No-Code CBplussers would end up, back on CB where they belong.

1708. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 23:48:17 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <armfqj$ff1$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55989

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ark6v0rbc1@208.206.142.97...
>
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> >
> > "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:f45722ac.0211191926.5199b787@posting.google.com...
> > > lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> > news:<20021119163039.22730.00000605@mb-bg.aol.com>...
> > > > In article <f45722ac.0211190359.16e5b2b7@posting.google.com>,
> > > > brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
> > > >
> > > > >> Then your attempt at misdirection would be irrelevant.
> > > > >>
> > > > >> Dave K8MN
> > > > >
> > > > >CSCEs are irrelevant?
> > > >
> > > > Anything contrary to Heil's opinions/messages/statements
> > > > is "irrelevant." :-)
> > >
> > > Yep, someday when the FCC changes the rules again we might not be
> > > scrambling to recover our Morse Code CSCE, we might be scrambling to
> > > recover which version of the Extra we passed.
> >
> > Might I inquire as to what you might expect the FCC to do to the rules
> > that would call for such a scrambing or concern as to when anyone
> > actually passed their Extra?
>
>
> For instance there's that 1987 Tech, date, for one,... or did you
> forget already?

The example has no relavence. The Extra is the highest license
available. For something akin to the tech example to arise
would suggest a new, higher than Extra, license might be
proposed by the FCC AND that new license would somehow
make a distinction as to credit for Extra based on some past change
to the Extra itself.

What's the odds on that?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1709. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 23:50:45 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <armgauugh1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55990

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021122123724.14881.00000100@mb-ct.aol.com...
> In article <ark6v0rbc1@208.206.142.97>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
> writes:
>
> >Bill Sohl wrote:
> >>
> >> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> >> news:f45722ac.0211191926.5199b787@posting.google.com...
> >> > lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >> news:<20021119163039.22730.00000605@mb-bg.aol.com>...
> >> > > In article <f45722ac.0211190359.16e5b2b7@posting.google.com>,
> >> > > brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
> >> > >
> >> > > >> Then your attempt at misdirection would be irrelevant.
> >> > > >>
> >> > > >> Dave K8MN
> >> > > >
> >> > > >CSCEs are irrelevant?
> >> > >
> >> > > Anything contrary to Heil's opinions/messages/statements
> >> > > is "irrelevant." :-)
> >> >
> >> > Yep, someday when the FCC changes the rules again we might not be
> >> > scrambling to recover our Morse Code CSCE, we might be scrambling to
> >> > recover which version of the Extra we passed.
> >>
> >> Might I inquire as to what you might expect the FCC to do to the rules
> >> that would call for such a scrambing or concern as to when anyone
> >> actually passed their Extra?
> >
> >
> > For instance there's that 1987 Tech, date, for one,... or did you
> >forget already?
> >
> Here's another:
>
> Just about 50 years ago (December, 1952) FCC decided that its 1951
> restructuring was a bad idea, and gave all amateur operating privileges to
> Generals, Advanceds, Extras and Conditionals (effective February 1953).
There
> were about 40,000 Advanceds back then, and about 3,000 Extras, who
suddenly
> found that their hard-earned licenses conveyed no more operating

privileges
> than the much easier to get General or Conditional.
>
> Until 15 years later, when FCC changed its mind once again, and having an
Extra
> or Advanced suddenly made a big difference in HF operating privileges.

But the license itself stood on its own merits...it made no difference
when the advanced or extra had previously been passed.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1710. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-22 23:57:01 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <armgavugh2@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55991

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:3DDE3661.2000302@psu.edu...
> Bill Sohl wrote:
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:arh213$17d$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net...
> >
> >>The general test I took in 1961 was eons ahead of the present Extra.
Hard
> >>to believe but that explains a lot of what I hear on the air.
> >
> >
> > The General in 61 (and 58) was no real effort for amny people.
> > I passed it as a teenager with just some memorization and knowing
algebra
> > to answer the few formula questions.
>
>
> That is my impression also, Bill. When I compared the two tests (an
> admittedly small sample) the older test would have provided no more
> challenge than the new one.
>
> As a relatively new Extra, I have to note:
>
> you have to study is a lot of questions in the pool to be prepared for
> the few they toss at you in the real test, This is the key to the whole
> process.
>
> the letter answers are not the same as in the question pool. I cannot
> say this for all the questions, as I didn't memorize the letter answers,
> but I recognized some changes.
>
> I took a little over a week of study in the evenings to prepare for my
> Extra test. Seemed about right to me.
>
>
> So what would people suggest to make the tests harder?
> - Mike KB3EIA -

I and others have suggested expanding the variable pool
for any question invlving use of a formula to avoid any
memorization being done for those questions.

I also agree that some tests should be sub-scored so a
passing grade is needed for certain topic areas (e.g. safety, regulations,
theory, etc.)

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1711. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 00:04:23 UTC
From: BDKING <kingrat@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.1848900e38496e55989795@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 55988
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <UNvD9.3740$EY.30@fe01>, w6dkn-nospam-@qsl.net says...
>
> "BDKING" <kingrat@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
> news:MPG.1848474b4628603e989794@news.buckeye-express.com...
>
> > A lot of people get turned off just by listening to some of the nonsense
> > that goes on the air, and some get turned off after they get a no code
> > ticket, and are ignored, trashed, ridiculed, talked over, etc by older
> > hams, almost always of 20+ years experience, and almost always they seem
> > to be Extras.
>
> The only way this could happen to a no code tech is on a repeater (unless
> he is operating out of class on another band - and then he *should* be
> ignored or otherwise enticed to cease transmitting).

Yeah, it was on 2 meters. This doesn't happen a lot like it did back 10
years ago, but it still happens, and it's always veteran hams that do it,
not no code techs or anyone recently licensed.
>
> But basing you opinions on a very limited segment of the hobby (repeaters)
> is very misleading. Repeaters (especially in larger metro areas) are often
> abused by all license classes, and many "old timers" think of them as
> something akin to licensed CB anyway. Try working simplex or SSB instead,
> you'll find a very different group of hams.

If they have a 4x4 call, they aint nocoders..
>
> > If anyone should have their "plug pulled", it should be
> > these guys. They have no excuses. The most local incident I can
> > remember was when several hams in Detroit purposely interfered with
> > anyone who tried to use the repeater having a call issued after the NO
> > code was introed.
>
> Repeaters again...

Yeah, that's where no code techs usually start out!

>
> > This went on for some time, then they must have gotten
> > some kind of warning, since it just suddenly stopped. AS a victim of
> > these guys, I think something more should have been done. Andyes, I did
> > make a complaint, complete with tapes of their nonsense.
> >
> >

> > BDK, no more CW for me, finally, it's over..
>
> And your callsign is?
>
> 73 de W6DKN
>
>

I've had two, a Novice 30 years ago, and one of them hated No code techs since 91, and I won't post them here, for various reasons. I'm sure you'll trash me, but I have good reason not to. But I did the 5 WPM CW thing already, and maybe I'll upgrade one of these days, but it doesn't have the draw it did ten years ago. Too bad...

BDK

1712. Re: Immoral Truths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 00:10:39 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122191039.24143.00000116@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 55992

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>>Then lets add a new reduced license class called the Operator class, that
>>covers operation, regulations, safety, but not practical circuit
>They already have 1 of them its called CB.

CB does not require a license.

>>I''ll bet that many code advocates would end up at the Operator class than
>>the
>>Extra class under these requiremens.
>
>And I bet the No-Code CBplussers would end up, back on CB where they belong.

So, the Coders make an effort to learn the material? This has not been my experience at the Ham Radio clubs I have been to. Also, you are assuming that all No-coders want HF privileges, not the license.

The "Only" Coders know they don't actually have to learn the material so the just fall back on the question pool format, sit at a one day "license in a day" class and pass the exam in one day.
These coders don't care about the written material. But, since its so easy to pass these exams, they figure "why not?".

I am not a CBplusser. I feel that the time it would take me to learn code, I could learn alot of the technical material. I believe this would be much more useful to me and to Amateur Radio.
I would upgrade if the code requirement was eliminated. I believe many others would too.

1713. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 00:14:03 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <armhjhoqq1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 55994

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:arkh25$lq3$0@208.206.142.68...
>
>
> "Jackie L." wrote:
> >
> > I'm curious why amateur radio operators aren't made to show proficiency
in
> > computer basics if they plan to do packet or digital modes. I know CW
has
> > long been a cherished part of the ARS and it is older than the ARS and
that
> > some people derive great pleasure from using it. As we add high-tech
> > communication interfaces to the repetoire of skills available to us, why
> > aren't we made to show proficiency at a VE test in computer skills
before we
> > go on the air via the digital modes?
>
> Once you learn to use Morse code on the air you will recognize why that
> if there is to be a code qualification it will need to be tested. It's
> the only mode that requires, -demands- that the user actually learn it
> well enough to use it before it is available to be used. A few questions
> about it on a written test just won't do the job. Any other mode can be
> "winged" or learned on the fly, the book in one hand and keyboard in the
> other so to speak. Not Morse code. You either can do it at some useful
> level, even as a new user, or you cannot.

There is no reason why a "new user" of code could not start on the air
at one or two wpm using a lookup table.

If two hams want to "try" learning morse via on-the-air QSO,
what's wrong with that?

Heck, why doesn't the ARRL start out their "slow code" transmission
sessions with something less than 5 wpm?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1714. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 00:14:10 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122191410.24143.00000117@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 55993

Steps to better Amateur Radio
1. Get rid of the word "Ham"
2. Get rid of excess codewords.
3. Discourage cults such as Wouff Hong
4. Encourage Amateurs to not have their lives revolve around "Hamming".
5. Encourage Amateurs to lose weight.
6. Get more females, young people into Amateur radio.

7. Get rid of QST and bring back Ham Radio magazine
(but change the name to Amateur Radio magazine).
8. Get more people involved in: Homebrewing, radio direction finding,
troubleshooting, use of test equipment, antenna building.

9. Positive publicity - positive publicity spots in newspapers, etc.
Endorsements by celebrities, Amateur radio in movies.
10. More clout with politicians.
11. Stress educational benefits, such as geography, etc.
12. Collaboration with EE education and IEEE, not separation from them.
13. Real development activities through Amateur radio clubs.
14. Real tests of viability of certain modes by getting large numbers of people
to test them.
(Could be done in a contest).

15. Get rid of the Morse code requirement.
16. Encourage an adequate number of coders - but don't tie this to the license
classes.
17. Morse certificate for 5 wpm, Morse with distinction for 13wpm

18. Real license preparation classes to understand the material.
19. Non-question pool format for written tests.
20. Call the license classes Novice, Intermediate, Advanced instead of
Technician, General, Extra
(Clearer terminology and more respectful of achievement).
21. Simpler "Operator" class that does not include practical circuits.
(I bet with a more difficult test, many coders will end up here instead
of Extras).

22. Real Emergency communications training,
(must demonstrate on actual equipment), instead of just written test.
23. Field day preparation meetings so everyone can do their best on field day.

24. More real skill building activities
25. New awards. Instead of WAS and other volume based awards, recognition for
WAB(Worked All Bands), Distance awards, station setup time awards, etc.

1715. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 00:19:52 UTC
From: W6DKN <w6dkn-nospam-@qsl.net>
Message-ID: <IJzD9.3848$EY.3229@fe01>
Source record: 55995
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"BDKING" <kingrat@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
news:MPG.1848900e38496e55989795@news.buckeye-express.com...
> > And your callsign is?
> >
> > 73 de W6DKN
> >
> >
>
> I've had two, a Novice 30 years ago, and one of them hated No code techs
> since 91, and I won't post them here, for various reasons. I'm sure
> you'll trash me, but I have good reason not to. But I did the 5 WPM CW
> thing already, and maybe I'll upgrade one of these days, but it doesn't
> have the draw it did ten years ago. Too bad...
>

So, in effect, you have never experienced anything beyond basic entry level operation? That's not much scope of experience to be basing such a negative view of the hobby on.

Since you claim to have passed the 5 WPM element in the past, all you have to do is show proof of it to the examiner when you take your General (or higher) written element and you will have your HF ticket. Isn't that what you wanted, elevated privileges without having to take the code test?

73 de W6DKN

1716. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 00:24:28 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3DDECABC.C8EB1A4F@mailcity.com>
Source record: 55926
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Brenda Ann wrote:
>
> I'm far from a computer guru, but aren't all high level computer languages
> written in assembly/machine code? Aren't they all just basically interfaces
> between the processor (machine language) and the operator??
>
> "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net> wrote in message
> news:D_AD9.716$pc.144323@news.iquest.net...
> >
> > "craigm" <camenning@charter.net> wrote in message
> news:3DDEDE37.4060706@charter.net...
> > >
> > >
> > > Tom Welch wrote:
> > >
> > > > In this day and age, requiring folks to do code is
> > > > pure bullshit. Do we ask computer science majors to
> > > > write code in assembly language or know DOS?
> > > >
> > > > HELL NO!
> > > >
> > > .
> > >
> > > .
> > > .
> > >
> > > >
> > > > Hey guys, it is 2002
> > > >
> > >
> > > I don't know where you've been, but there are people using assembly
> > > language today (2002).
> > >
> > Good example: I wrote/re-wrote a significant amount of FreeBSD (the VM
> > code and other pieces.) Without knowing and being able to use assembly
> > or machine code, it would be a severe handicap for some groups of
> programmers.
> > However, there is usually an intimate relationship between machine code
> > and the code produced by high level languages. Understanding this
> relationship
> > and being able to exploit it can sometimes help to improve system
> performance.
> >

> >
> > >
> > > And it is for major companies, and leading edge
> > > products. Not all programming is Java and OO. Don't assume that
> > > one specific method of programming/language useless until you understand
> > > the reason for using it.
> > >
> > Of course.
> >
> > >
> > > Also, don't assume that one method for transmission is inappropriate for
> > > all communications. Some operators prefer voice, some prefer code, that
> > > is their choice.
> > >
> > Agreed.
> >
> > >
> > > Code can be thought of as a requirement so that operators have
> > > sufficient breadth in their understanding of radio communication. Isn't
> > > testing about comprehension and proficiency. These result in the
> > > privilege to operate a radio.
> > >
> > Of course, technical knowhow, and the ability to solve communications
> > problems (e.g. interference, path loss issues, reliability), are MUCH MORE
> > important nowadays to render code to be a quaint curiosity.
> >
> > John

1717. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 00:32:56 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122193256.14881.00000133@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 55996

In article <arlsls$m8q$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> writes:

>Uh.....I don't think so.

Don't think so about what, Dan?

>Perhaps I missed something but the only Advanced
>tickets were refered to 'Class A'.

Before the 1951, there were three classes of US ham license:

Class A was full privs. 13 wpm send and receive, 1 year experience as a B or C, tough written test at FCC offices only

Class B was full privs except no 'phone privs on the ham bands between 3 and 25 MHz. 13 wpm, serious written test.

Class C same as Class B except given by mail.

In 1951, Class A was renamed Advanced, Class B was renamed General, and Class C was renamed General. Three new classes (Novice, Technician and Extra) were added.

>And they were not being issued at that
>time. If you had an advanced you kept it.

FCC closed out Advanced to new issues on December 31, 1952. Then on November 22, 1967, they reopened Advanced to new issues. In '67 the Novice term became two years. But Novice stayed nonrenewable and nonretakeable.

I had one of the first two-year Novices, issued October 12, 1967. I took the Advanced in the summer of 1968 and had full privs for a few weeks betwen when the license arrived and Nov. 22, 1968.
>
>Novice, 1 year non-renawable.
>Technician, 5 wpm and the General test.
>General, 13 wpm and the same test.
>Extra, 20 wpm and a tough test.

That was the system from 1953 until 1967. Some call the reopneing of Advanced and the two-year Novice the "first phase" of Incentive Licensing, but nobody lost privileges in those changes. Only after Nov 22, 1968.
>
>I was General and lost most of my HF privlidges.

"Most"? You lost 25 kHz at the bottom of the 80/40/20/15 CW/digital segments and about half of the 80/40/20/15 'phone segments.

>The Generals are the ones
>that got screwed.

Advanceds lost too, but not as much. And those who had the 2 years experience could get Extras right away, while us newbies had to wait until we had the time. I was at the FCC office on the first day when I coudl legally take the Extra, and passed it on the first try.

See AC6V's site if you don't believe me, my history, or the QST accounts of the rules changes.

I was there.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>
>
>
>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20021122123724.14881.00000099@mb-ct.aol.com...
>> Folks:
>>
>> It was 34 years ago today (Nov.22, 1968) that the first phase of rules
>changes
>> known as "Incentive Licensing" became effective. Exactly one year later,
>the
>> second phase became effective.
>>
>> It was a Friday in 1968, too. I had a brand new Advanced at age 14, and
>> suddenly lost full privileges. No big deal, got 'em back in 1970 after the
>> waiting period for Extra was up.
>>
>> The code test debates of the past few years are minor compared to the fury
>of
>> those days...
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

1718. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 00:43:02 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDECF16.40A6EC83@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55937
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Brenda Ann wrote:
>
> I'm far from a computer guru, but aren't all high level computer languages
> written in assembly/machine code? Aren't they all just basically interfaces
> between the processor (machine language) and the operator??

Machine code is the lowest level code, when you write in machine
language you are coding directly in the language the machine
understands. An example of a high level language is BASIC which is
written using English, such as GOTO, IF, THEN, LET A = B, PRINT,
ect. The high level language either uses an interpreter, which
converts the high level code to machine language on the fly, or it
is compiled which converts the high level code to machine language
in an executable file (.exe).

1719. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 00:44:32 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DDECF70.905F4D7F@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 55997

Brian wrote:

>
>
> Unlike Bruce Without Error, I can't find two CB-Plussers gullible
> enough to think I'd pass and take the bet. I wonder how it was so
> easy for him to find two people who thought he didn't stand a chance.

Must be the ham equivalent of a pool hustler. Only works once, though.
Once you pass the extra on that bet, you can never untake the extra.
Unless you let the license lapse beyond the grace period....

1720. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 00:56:33 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DDED240.C3F5134C@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 55998

>
> Yeah, and it does look like you go for the easy ways in life. Couldn't cut
> the mustard as an HR person, so ya flunk out to being a "paratransit"
> operator.

I don't know what HR does in most companies, but in the electrical
engineering field they do little more than file forms and push paperwork.
Engineering managers pick out who to hire and who to can, who
gets how much of a raise, and other things where they decide what
to do. HR just does the paperwork to make it happen. So it would
be a boring job that didn't even pay as much as what Larry is getting
as a paratransit bus driver. So why should he quit the bus job?

1721. Re: Immoral Truths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 00:58:36 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122195836.20084.00000132@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 55999

>Also, you are assuming that
>all No-coders want HF privileges,

No Question about it, but they want the written even easier

>I feel that the time it would take me to learn code, I
>could learn alot of the technical material.

Your going to learn that much Technical Info in 1 Week, I doubt it.

>I would upgrade if the code requirement was eliminated. I believe many others
>would too.
>

Thats a given, thats how all you CBplussers got here in the first place. But as usual you want more dumbing down to occur, just so you can upgrade.

1722. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 01:00:03 UTC
From: "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <gjAD9.710$pc.144054@news.iquest.net>
Source record: 56000
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Tom Welch" <pm4hire@excite.com> wrote in message
news:52a66032.0211221228.16b8acf3@posting.google.com...
> In this day and age, requiring folks to do code is
> pure bullshit. Do we ask computer science majors to
> write code in assembly language or know DOS?
>
> HELL NO!
>
One of the biggest justifications for Ham radio licensing is
for emergency operations. It is MUCH MUCH more likely for
radiotelephone to be of immediate use (other than post-WWIII situations)
than code.

Code should be encouraged for those who like that medium, but
technical competency is much more important in general (esp for
high power operation.)

John

1723. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 01:00:57 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122200057.20084.00000134@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 55901

>Steps to better Amateur Radio
>1. Get rid of the word "Ham"
>2. Get rid of excess codewords.
>3. Discourage cults such as Wouff Hong
>4. Encourage Amateurs to not have their lives revolve around "Hamming".
>5. Encourage Amateurs to lose weight.
>6. Get more females, young people into Amateur radio.
>
>7. Get rid of QST and bring back Ham Radio magazine
> (but change the name to Amateur Radio magazine).
>8. Get more people involved in: Homebrewing, radio direction finding,
>troubleshooting, use of test equipment, antenna building.
>
>9. Positive publicity - positive publicity spots in newspapers, etc.
> Endorsements by celebrities, Amateur radio in movies.
>10. More clout with politicians.
>11. Stress educational benefits, such as geography, etc.
>12. Collaboration with EE education and IEEE, not separation from them.
>13. Real development activities through Amateur radio clubs.
>14. Real tests of viability of certain modes by getting large numbers of
>people
>to test them.
>(Could be done in a contest).
>
>15. Get rid of the Morse code requirement.
>16. Encourage an adequate number of coders - but don't tie this to the
>license
>classes.
>17. Morse certificate for 5 wpm, Morse with distinction for 13wpm
>
>18. Real license preparation classes to understand the material.
>19. Non-question pool format for written tests.
>20. Call the license classes Novice, Intermediate, Advanced instead of
>Technician, General, Extra
>(Clearer terminology and more respectful of achievement).
>21. Simpler "Operator" class that does not include practical circuits.
> (I bet with a more difficult test, many coders will end up here
>instead
>of Extras).
>
>22. Real Emergency communications training,
> (must demonstrate on actual equipment), instead of just written test.
>23. Field day preparation meetings so everyone can do their best on field
>day.

>
>24. More real skill building activities
>25. New awards. Instead of WAS and other volume based awards, recognition for
>WAB(Worked All Bands), Distance awards, station setup time awards, etc.
>
In other words just stick an application in the Box the Radio comes in, let
you fill it out and send it back and you be a Ham.

1724. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 01:03:58 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122200358.20084.00000135@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 55902

>Only works once, though.

Not true, Im working on 2 more right now, they are stupid enough to let me take
1 of them on line Test.

1725. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 01:06:25 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122200625.20084.00000136@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 55904

>but
>technical competency is much more important in general (esp for
>high power operation.)
>
>John

And you really think were getting that now with the Dumbed Down writtens.

1726. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 01:06:44 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DDED4A4.E6BE49F1@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 55903

WA8ULX wrote:

>
> No I didnt jump on the EXTRA when they Dumbed it Down. The only reason I did
> it was to show a couple of No-Codes how stupid they were, an to take $250 from
> them. I was more than Happy with the Advanced,and had no desire to upgrade. I
> still to this day have not used the Extra Privilages, and probably never will.

If you use your Advanced privileges, all you'd need to do is dial down a little
lower from 14.176 to 14.155 MHz and you'd be using your Extra. It all
sounds the same on SSB. On CW, there may be faster code going on in
the extra sections, but I haven't looked lately.

1727. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 01:18:21 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211221718.33d13fe8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55905
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"W6DKN" <w6dkn-nospam-@qsl.net> wrote in message news:<UNvD9.3740$EY.30@fe01>...
> "BDKING" <kingrat@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
> news:MPG.1848474b4628603e989794@news.buckeye-express.com...
>
> > A lot of people get turned off just by listening to some of the nonsense
> > that goes on the air, and some get turned off after they get a no code
> > ticket, and are ignored, trashed, ridiculed, talked over, etc by older
> > hams, almost always of 20+ years experience, and almost always they seem
> > to be Extras.

I've seen it.

> The only way this could happen to a no code tech is on a repeater (unless
> he is operating out of class on another band - and then he *should* be
> ignored or otherwise enticed to cease transmitting).

Lots of NCT's like fm simplex as much as the next guy. Some even use
other modes such as SSB, packet, and even CW. Why must the rudeness
only take place on repeaters? Have you never been to a club meeting
where it takes place in person?

> But basing you opinions on a very limited segment of the hobby (repeaters)
> is very misleading. Repeaters (especially in larger metro areas) are often
> abused by all license classes, and many "old timers" think of them as
> something akin to licensed CB anyway. Try working simplex or SSB instead,
> you'll find a very different group of hams.

Your comments are very limited and misleading. I've heard noisy
elitists at the Dayton Hamvention where they don't care who they
offend.

> > If anyone should have their "plug pulled", it should be
> > these guys. They have no excuses. The most local incident I can
> > remember was when several hams in Detroit purposely interfered with
> > anyone who tried to use the repeater having a call issued after the NO
> > code was introed.
>
> Repeaters again...

Limited again. But a hotspot of rudeness. I witnessed a 2by2 with
the first name Curtis berating a new ham's first QSO on the air - on a
St Louis repeater. Apalling.

> > This went on for some time, then they must have gotten
> > some kind of warning, since it just suddenly stopped. AS a victim of
> > these guys, I think something more should have been done. Andyes, I did

> > make a complaint, complete with tapes of their nonsense.
> >
> >
> > BDK, no more CW for me, finally, it's over..
>
> And your callsign is?
>
> 73 de W6DKN

Mine's N0iMD

1728. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 01:21:34 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211221721.5e6a255a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55906

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021122141534.10377.00003348@mb-md.aol.com>...
> radiobuff what is your Callsign?

Bruce Without Error, why do you need it? You gonna send him a QSL
card for an internet "QSO?"

1729. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 01:23:15 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211221723.403c1c7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55907

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<arlbq9nsp0@208.207.71.175>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<ark3lg$k7u$0@208.207.71.154>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<ari0st$33t$1@208.206.142.73>...
> > > > > Jim Hampton wrote:
> > > > > >
> you find a lot of the friction is over the code
> > > > > > thing. Don't worry, everyone will get over it.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > Yeah, most of us are already over it. Those who are not still complain
> > > > > about it all being someone else's fault that they were "excluded" from
> > > > > ham radio by the existance of a code test. That's nonsense of the most
> > > > > extreme sort.
> > > >
> > > > You -were- a VE. How many people did you exclude from amateur radio?
> > >
> > >
> > > Since you never tested with us, none.
> >
> > So everyone who took one of your code tests (morse or farnsworth)
> > passed? Sounds like a Bruce Without Error story.
>
>
> That's not what you asked, Dingdong. You asked how many people I
> excluded from ham radio. If someone flunked the code test he excluded
> himself by not learning.

Really? Then how did Bruce get Extra?

1730. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 01:26:02 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211221726.3ee5167e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55908

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021122084416.29050.00000159@mb-fe.aol.com>...
> >Sounds like a Bruce Without Error story.
> >
>
> You[']r[e] just upset that I x[can]x [have] pass[ed] it without error, and you can[']t even pass it.

I don't believe your story. You're dumber than a box of rocks.

1731. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 01:32:48 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211221732.76128838@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55909

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021122141403.10377.00003347@mb-md.aol.com>...
> >Wait a minute...this just registered....how can TIT do either? I was not
> >aware she had 40 m authorization.
> >
> >Dan/W4NTI
>
> She doesnt care shes a CBer. She thinks she can using any Frequency.

What kind of ignorant hams are you'se guys?

Callsign: W5TIT Class: Tech Plus

Oh, I forgot, you're both brilliant Extras. Pffft.

1732. Re: Immoral Truths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 01:35:09 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122203509.24143.00000118@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 55911

>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>>Also, you are assuming that
>>all No-coders want HF privileges,
>
>No Question about it, but they want the written even easier
I don't believe that. Both the General Exam and Technician exams use question pools and are very easy.

The Only Coders like the current tests because they can get the Gereral licence with not much more effort.

>>I would upgrade if the code requirement was eliminated. I believe many
>others
>>would too.
>Thats a given, thats how all you CBplussers got here in the first place. But
>as
>usual you want more dumbing down to occur, just so you can upgrade.

Are you calling ME a CBplusser? Thats generalizing. Perhaps learning Morse code at the exclusion of the material is the dumbing down of Amateur Radio.

You made the claim that No-coders are CBplussers. Your claim was just an accusation. So, I can make a similar claim: Perhaps many of the "Only" Coders want HF, but don't want to learn the material.

Lets repeat my challenge again.Lets make the test non-question pool, so its a little challenging and no longer nontrivial. Then lets get rid of the code requirement for General class.

The result is that many "only" coders will not upgrade since it will takes some effort, when currently it is a trivial amount of extra effort.

Then lets see how many "only" coders become Extras.

1733. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 01:37:05 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211221737.7a421acc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55912

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20021122123724.14881.00000099@mb-ct.aol.com>...
> Folks:
>
> It was 34 years ago today (Nov.22, 1968) that the first phase of rules changes
> known as "Incentive Licensing" became effective. Exactly one year later, the
> second phase became effective.
>
> It was a Friday in 1968, too. I had a brand new Advanced at age 14, and
> suddenly lost full privileges. No big deal, got 'em back in 1970 after the
> waiting period for Extra was up.
>
> The code test debates of the past few years are minor compared to the fury of
> those days...
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Oh, yes. This is all trivial. In the snow, uphill both ways.

1734. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 01:37:09 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122203709.04214.00000668@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 55913

In article <armgauugh1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>> Just about 50 years ago (December, 1952) FCC decided that its 1951
>> restructuring was a bad idea, and gave all amateur operating privileges to
>> Generals, Advanceds, Extras and Conditionals (effective February 1953).
>There
>> were about 40,000 Advanceds back then, and about 3,000 Extras, who
>suddenly
>> found that their hard-earned licenses conveyed no more operating
>privileges
>> than the much easier to get General or Conditional.
>>
>> Until 15 years later, when FCC changed its mind once again, and having an
>Extra
>> or Advanced suddenly made a big difference in HF operating privileges.
>
>But the license itself stood on its own merits...it made no difference
>when the advanced or extra had previously been passed.
>
That's true, Bill. But the main point is that for more than 15 years there was
no difference in privs between an Advanced and a General, and then all of a
sudden it made a difference.

Another point: Before the Great Giveaway of December 1952, it took an Advanced
or Extra for full privs. But when IL was reinstated 34 years ago today, only an
Extra got full privs.

What really matters, though, is what you expressed in another post: What are
the chances the FCC will make any sort of distinction in the future? I'd say:
Very slim to none.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1735. Re: Immoral Truths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 01:37:52 UTC
From: dafirebottle@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021122203752.02753.00000063@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 55914

```
<< Subject: Re: Immoral Truths
From: Lloyd@msn.nospammmmm (Lloyd)
Date: Fri, Nov 22, 2002 5:34 PM
Message-id: <lloyd.sucks.bigtime@taranews.net>

On 22 Nov 2002 22:12:59 GMT, The Code Guy <codeguy@aol.com> wrote:
> Know code = Know ham,
>
> No code = no ham.
>
> CW or CB. There's no other choice.

Spoken by an AOLer. Typical.

>>

And, spoken by a no code ham.......

Lloyd Davies the eternal no code tech.

Firebottle
```

1736. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 01:40:46 UTC
From: "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <sVAD9.715$pc.144217@news.iquest.net>
Source record: 55915
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:ark2o4hqj0@208.207.71.154...
>
>
> "Kï¿½HB" wrote:
> >
> > We'll be looking for you to join us soon. I'll bet you can teach us a thing
> > or two.
> >
>
>
> But first he's gotta learn a thing or two.
>
Sure, I used to be a ham... (probably before alot of these readers
where born.) Had the code and everything. Additionally,
the rules and regulations were easy... The technical part was trivial
(even as a highschooler, I didn't have to study that.) Sure, my First
Phone was a little more difficult, but still technically easy (the rules and regulations
were much more difficult.) I also had NO BENEFIT of tutoring, but
simply learn and understand very quickly (still do.) There is NO EXCUSE
for IRRESPONSIBLY avoiding the demand of technical competency,
because it is the tool used to support responsible behavior on the
shared airwaves.

Learning an anachronistic and rather less useful skill, like code is mostly
a waste of a newbies time (unless they *want* to use code.) For
typical emergency situations (nowadays), radiotelephone will be much
more useful. Trying to be elitist based upon an anachronism, has mostly
the effect of creating an exclusive club and creating a barrier in the wrong
place. If anything, the barrier MUST be on the basis of being able to
operate competently (including technical competency.)

It is good that code has been dropped on the above HF frequencies, but
even HF rules could stand some revisiting by the international organizations.

For those who claim that I might need to learn something, it would be
good to learn some respect for those who have 'been there, done that.' I
do have some problems with certain fine motor skills. The problem isnt'
any better since I was young. It isn't a handicap, but the anachronism
and simple waste of time for any operation that I would be interested in
is a serious disincentive (rather than the appropriate disincentive against
technical incompetency.)

It was good to hear that ham radio is starting to realize that they need
to look towards the future, rather than try to create a false elitism based

upon an anachronistic skill. If the elitism is based upon a currently (or future) strongly useful expertise, then there is some value. Ham radio does need to continue to self-police, but also appear to be friendly.

John

1737. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 01:41:31 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211221741.61a08733@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55916

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<ardm4r$4j8$4@208.206.142.91>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<arc9q8$c87$0@208.207.71.163>...
> > > WA8ULX wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >He's a miserable excuse... of a man, of a ham, of a CBer.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > An Dummy Brian still cant pass the Extra, I thought it was the CW that was the
> > > > problem.
> > >
> > >
> > > No, it has always been Brian that was the problem.
> >
> > Dick, its interesting how you and Bruce have buddied up. Any port in a storm.
>
>
> Sun's shining brightly here. Sorry you have foul weather, but it's no
> surprise. Ever hear of Joe Bysxflx, one of Al Capp's characters? Went
> around with a rain cloud over his head all the time? You must be his
> clone.

We had a wonderful snow today. Its going to be nippy and windy
tomorrow, perfect "beat Michigan" wweather.

1738. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 01:43:04 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211221743.43a380e1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55917

"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:<arebs301jin@enews2.newsguy.com>...
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:f45722ac.0211190401.298960af@posting.google.com...
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:<arc9q8$c87$0@208.207.71.163>...
> > > WA8ULX wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >He's a miserable excuse... of a man, of a ham, of a CBer.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > An Dummy Brian still cant pass the Extra, I thought it was the CW that
> was the
> > > > problem.
> > >
> > >
> > > No, it has always been Brian that was the problem.
> >
> > Dick, its interesting how you and Bruce have buddied up. Any port in a
> storm.
>
> The way that Bruce keeps making homsexual comments it doesn't surprise me.
> Maybe they're "special friends" with each other.

I don't think Dick has ever made such comments. Kelley and Bruce, however...

1739. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 01:44:37 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211221744.581528e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55918

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021119183032.20043.00000106@mb-dh.aol.com>...
> >The way that Bruce keeps making homsexual comments it doesn't surprise me.
>
> Are you a HOMO Krell? I got a felling you and Brian might be lovers.

You got a felling, huh?

And I've got a box of rocks...

Pffft.

1740. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 01:45:05 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDEDDA1.FC524FB6@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55854
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Krell wrote:

>
> BTW, there is a difference between assembly and machine language. Machine
> language is that which is understood by the computer - the 1s and 0s. If you
> had to write and debug a program using only 1s and 0's, you'd soon become
> frustrated after trying to debug the first couple lines of code.

Ever try sorting through a few hundred pages of a 'core dump'
(raise you hand if you know what a core dump is) deciphering the
instructions to find out why a program had an abend (abnormal
end)? At least it printed out in hex.

1741. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 01:45:43 UTC
From: Sparks <sparks@rrcexit.org>
Message-ID: <armmit$t52$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 55919

> We'll never return to 'back then', unfortunately.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
Nope, never will. Sad part is that for those of us who were
in the hobby "back then," can clearly recall when this was truly
a gentleman's hobby, practiced by gentlemen and men of technical
expertise. I can even remember attending ham radio functions in
a suit, standard attire for gentlemen. As you say, we'll never
return to "back then."

Sparks

1742. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 01:46:09 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211221746.3df39410@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55920

"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:<areqjb07en@enews3.newsguy.com>...
> "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021119183032.20043.00000106@mb-dh.aol.com...
> > >The way that Bruce keeps making homsexual comments it doesn't surprise
> me.
> >
> > Are you a HOMO Krell? I got a felling you and Brian might be lovers.
>
> Nope. I'm straight and happily married, thank you very much. However, a
> check with some of your aquaintances in the W8AL and W8NP radio clubs
> reveals quite some interesting stuff about you...
>
> ...and it's too bad K8JZN is a silent key. It would be interesting to see
> what he had to say about you.

Anything about a CBer marrying his Technician sister?

1743. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 01:46:17 UTC
From: "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <D_AD9.716$pc.144323@news.iquest.net>
Source record: 55921
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"craigm" <camenning@charter.net> wrote in message news:3DDEDE37.4060706@charter.net...
>
>
> Tom Welch wrote:
>
> > In this day and age, requiring folks to do code is
> > pure bullshit. Do we ask computer science majors to
> > write code in assembly language or know DOS?
> >
> > HELL NO!
> >
> .
>
> .
> .
>
> >
> > Hey guys, it is 2002
> >
>
> I don't know where you've been, but there are people using assembly
> language today (2002).
>
Good example: I wrote/re-wrote a significant amount of FreeBSD (the VM
code and other pieces.) Without knowing and being able to use assembly
or machine code, it would be a severe handicap for some groups of programmers.
However, there is usually an intimate relationship between machine code
and the code produced by high level languages. Understanding this relationship
and being able to exploit it can sometimes help to improve system performance.

>
> And it is for major companies, and leading edge
> products. Not all programming is Java and OO. Don't assume that
> one specific method of programming/language useless until you understand
> the reason for using it.
>
Of course.

>
> Also, don't assume that one method for transmission is inappropriate for
> all communications. Some operators prefer voice, some prefer code, that
> is their choice.
>
Agreed.

>
> Code can be thought of as a requirement so that operators have
> sufficient breadth in their understanding of radio communication. Isn't
> testing about comprehension and proficiency. These result in the
> privilege to operate a radio.
>
Of course, technical knowhow, and the ability to solve communications
problems (e.g. interference, path loss issues, reliability), are MUCH MORE
important nowadays to render code to be a quaint curiosity.

John

1744. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 01:47:35 UTC
From: craigm <camenning@charter.net>
Message-ID: <3DDEDE37.4060706@charter.net>
Source record: 55910
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Tom Welch wrote:

> In this day and age, requiring folks to do code is
> pure bullshit. Do we ask computer science majors to
> write code in assembly language or know DOS?
>
> HELL NO!
>
.

.
.

>
> Hey guys, it is 2002
>

I don't know where you've been, but there are people using assembly language today (2002). And it is for major companies, and leading edge products. Not all programming is Java and OO. Don't assume that one specific method of programming/language useless until you understand the reason for using it.

Also, don't assume that one method for transmission is inappropriate for all communications. Some operators prefer voice, some prefer code, that is their choice.

Code can be thought of as a requirement so that operators have sufficient breadth in their understanding of radio communication. Isn't testing about comprehension and proficiency. These result in the privilege to operate a radio.

(I wouldn't hire a programmer that doesn't know assembly.)

To me it is clear that code is a viable part of the hobby. When I no longer hear code in the HF bands, I may consider it to be obsolete. Since people are using it today (2002) it clearly isn't obsolete.

On the other hand arguing about this is rather pointless.

Later,

Craig

1745. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 01:53:26 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <armlia$l68$1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 55922
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

I'm far from a computer guru, but aren't all high level computer languages written in assembly/machine code? Aren't they all just basically interfaces between the processor (machine language) and the operator??

"John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net> wrote in message news:D_AD9.716$pc.144323@news.iquest.net...
>
> "craigm" <camenning@charter.net> wrote in message news:3DDEDE37.4060706@charter.net...
> >
> >
> > Tom Welch wrote:
> >
> > > In this day and age, requiring folks to do code is
> > > pure bullshit. Do we ask computer science majors to
> > > write code in assembly language or know DOS?
> > >
> > > HELL NO!
> > >
> > .
> >
> > .
> > .
> >
> > >
> > > Hey guys, it is 2002
> > >
> >
> > I don't know where you've been, but there are people using assembly
> > language today (2002).
> >
> Good example: I wrote/re-wrote a significant amount of FreeBSD (the VM
> code and other pieces.) Without knowing and being able to use assembly
> or machine code, it would be a severe handicap for some groups of programmers.
> However, there is usually an intimate relationship between machine code
> and the code produced by high level languages. Understanding this relationship
> and being able to exploit it can sometimes help to improve system performance.
>
>
> >

> > And it is for major companies, and leading edge
> > products. Not all programming is Java and OO. Don't assume that
> > one specific method of programming/language useless until you understand
> > the reason for using it.
> >
> Of course.
>
> >
> > Also, don't assume that one method for transmission is inappropriate for
> > all communications. Some operators prefer voice, some prefer code, that
> > is their choice.
> >
> Agreed.
>
> >
> > Code can be thought of as a requirement so that operators have
> > sufficient breadth in their understanding of radio communication. Isn't
> > testing about comprehension and proficiency. These result in the
> > privilege to operate a radio.
> >
> Of course, technical knowhow, and the ability to solve communications
> problems (e.g. interference, path loss issues, reliability), are MUCH MORE
> important nowadays to render code to be a quaint curiosity.
>
> John

1746. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 01:58:13 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uttokubr3ev81a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55923

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021121191517.10956.00000170@mb-cu.aol.com...
> >I notice
> >that you, on the other hand, didn't bother denying the inference.
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
>
> Well TIT, I prefer to keep you guessing, you wish I was like your previous
> encounters, you probably had me mistaken for Brian.

Oh, ho ho ho...believe me. I don't think I'm guessing at all. I think
you're pretty much an open book.

Kim W5TIT

1747. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 02:05:20 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uttp2a9pn7f50c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55924

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:ark3u0$1jt$3@slb9.atl.mindspring.net...
> Is that pathetic or what?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021121191723.10956.00000171@mb-cu.aol.com...
> > >Are we
> > >having a net on 7.037 or on 10M somewhere? I can do either, uh, if
it's
> > >phone of course.
> >
> > Why did I know you wouldnt have any Idea if it was a CW portion of the
> Band
>
>

Uh huh. Yeah. Like you guys know the band plan for the entire amateur radio spectrum... Things that can be looked up need not be memorized.

Kim W5TIT

1748. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 02:06:26 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uttp4bqqkf2p2f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55925

```
"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:ark3u2$1jt$5@slb9.atl.mindspring.net...
> Its not a hobby W5TWIT....its a SERVICE...Read part 97...show me where
its
> called a 'hobby'.
>
> This perchance explains your total inability to understand whats being
said.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> news:utqsmgic6eegbf@corp.supernews.com...
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:arhv3f$uj4$0@208.206.142.73...
> > >
> > >
> > > JJ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Hi Jackie, and welcome to ham radio. I wish for you the
satisfying
> > > > > longtime experience it has been for me. You have made it more than
> > clear
> > > > > in your post that you'll have no problems and that you're the sort
> of
> > > > > ham that will be a credit to the service. That's very gratifying
to
> > see.
> > > > >
> > > > > Nothing like talking out of both sides of your mouth. First you
> > > > > make it known just how little you think of no-coders then you spin
> > > > > this stuff.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > You really DO enjoy being wrong, don't you? In fact you don't know
the
> > > > difference between a nocoder and a newcomer who is learning the code.
> > > > Get your head out, JJ and smell a little sunshine. The change might
just
> > > > be refreshing. Jackie isn't the sort of ham that is the problem, you
and
> > > > Brainless Burke are.
```

> > >
> >
> > I think he's got it quite right, Dick. What would you say/do if someone
> > joined the hobby and decided *not* to learn CW? What would you do if
> > someone joined the hobby at entry level and decided that was just fine
for
> > them? What would you do if someone new to the hobby actually disagreed
> with
> > something you had to say on the hobby?
> >
> > Uh huh. Thought so.
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
> >
> >
>
>

For me, it's a hobby.

Kim W5TIT

1749. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 02:08:50 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uttpqr17hi0i10@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55927

```
"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:ark5gh$o9b$3@208.206.142.97...
>
>
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> >
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:arhv3f$uj4$0@208.206.142.73...
> > >
> > >
> > > JJ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Hi Jackie, and welcome to ham radio. I wish for you the
satisfying
> > > > > longtime experience it has been for me. You have made it more than
> > clear
> > > > > in your post that you'll have no problems and that you're the sort
of
> > > > > ham that will be a credit to the service. That's very gratifying
to
> > see.
> > > > >
> > > > Nothing like talking out of both sides of your mouth. First you
> > > > make it known just how little you think of no-coders then you spin
> > > > this stuff.
> > > >
> > >
> > > You really DO enjoy being wrong, don't you? In fact you don't know
the
> > > difference between a nocoder and a newcomer who is learning the code.
> > > Get your head out, JJ and smell a little sunshine. The change might
just
> > > be refreshing. Jackie isn't the sort of ham that is the problem, you
and
> > > Brainless Burke are.
> > >
> >
> > I think he's got it quite right, Dick. What would you say/do if someone
> > joined the hobby and decided *not* to learn CW? What would you do if
> > someone joined the hobby at entry level and decided that was just fine
for
> > them? What would you do if someone new to the hobby actually disagreed
```

with
> > something you had to say on the hobby?
> >
> > Uh huh. Thought so.
>
>
>
> Thought WHAT so? That you can babble a paragraph without causing it to
> make any sense?
> >
> > Kim W5TIT

Why DICK!!!! You've responded directly to one of posts...as you have many times since you've, uh, killfiled me. What, the technology a little too complicated for you?

Oh and, yes, I thought so.

Kim W5TIT

1750. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 02:19:24 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDEE5AC.F9037D2F@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55857
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Phil Kane wrote:
>
> On Fri, 22 Nov 2002 20:45:05 -0500, JJ wrote:
>
> >Ever try sorting through a few hundred pages of a 'core dump'
> >(raise you hand if you know what a core dump is)
>
> Ah, for the good old days of IBM Big Iron with 64K core main
> memory in the ers before ICs were available

And the 64K of core memory took up more space than several of
today's PC units. 512K additional memory for an IBM Model 50 CPU
came in a box the size of about three refrigerators side by side.

> and the "disk
> drive" had 128K capacity, was 2 feet across, and took 20 KW to
> operate and cool (early 60s).

With hydraulics driving the R/W heads back and forth. A head crash
was not a pretty sight.

1751. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 02:27:57 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uttqbkknv2m6af@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55935

"Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote in message
news:sljD9.105230$1O2.7889@sccrnsc04...
> Hi, Kim.
>
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> news:utqt2s17k8ppc6@corp.supernews.com...
>
> > Question is: what do you think of people who may not choose to learn CW,
> or
> > who will enter the hobby with a Tech license and go no further? I would
> > hope that you are a person who would openly and generously welcome
anyone
> to
> > the hobby--regardless of how they got here or what they intend to do
with
> > it.
>
> I feel that whatever you find to do in this hobby- be it HF contesting,
> talking to your buds over a local 2 meter repeater, rare long-distance
QSO's
> on 6 meters, or conversations via packet radio- a person should have fun
> with it. Who can tell you how to best enjoy your hobby? Everyone is
totally
> free to look down on your chosen mode of fun, and some people will. You
pass
> a test, and get some bandwidth privileges to start out- even if they are
> VHF+- and that's a place to start. Or stay. Whatever floats your boat. If
> you like what you're doing, then have fun. That's how I feel.
>
> If I wanted to get into a strictly regimented place where others told me
> what to do and how to do it, I would be in boot camp. I would like to
> explore amateur radio as a hobby, and hobbies should be FUN. I work 50+
> hours per week and my free time's precious to me-- with the little bit i
> have, I'd like to enjoy whatever I'm doing on that down time.
>
> > See...the Dicks and Larrys would have a problem with that. A really big
> > problem. That's where the differences with them lay.
>
> I can't control what anyone thinks of me, nor can I contorl what tests I
had
> to pass to get my entry level license. If anyone has a problem with the
fact
> that I took a no-code test to gain entry into the hobby and says so,
either

> here or on the air, I will killfile them or switch frequencies. As long as
I
> don't get personally insulted by someone because I took a certain level of
> test to enter the hobby at the time that I did, I won't get incensed. If
> someone accuses me of being a lazy no-coder CBer wannabe, then I'll
killfile
> them. No time for jerks, nowhere. Life's too short.
>
> KC0ODY
>
>

Excellent! And, I absolutely agree! That's what it's all about. There's a tuning dial, etc. And, you're absolutely right about jerks...anywhere. Life's too short and I work way too hard with little time to play to put up with jerks... 'cept when I come here fishin... ;)

Kim W5TIT

1752. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 02:29:03 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uttqdlep7k1be6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55936

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3DDDC5CF.AB9F3F1@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> >
> > "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021120231418.18440.00000194@mb-fp.aol.com...
> > > > For instance, if you're typical...you're boastfulness and
> > > >assholiness indicates quite a small dick.
> > >
> > > WOOOOO TIT. I take it you most have Experience along those lines.
> >
> > Along what lines? Knowing guys who are assholes because of the size of
> > their dicks...? Actually, I've only known one--my first husband. He
was
> > small and a small man also. However, in many, many conversations over
the
> > years with many women who've also had the same misfortune--it is pretty
> > apparent that you guys with little "ones" have a real piss-poor
personality.
> >
> > So, yeah, I've got quite a lot of experience to use as reference. I
notice
> > that you, on the other hand, didn't bother denying the inference.
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
>
> I see that Tugboat Annie is back, Camel dangling from her lips.
> You're every bit as crude as Bruce, Kim.
>
> Dave K8MN

And you just read the articles, right, Dave?

Kim W5TIT

1753. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 02:29:44 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122212944.27467.00000725@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 55932

In article <20021122123724.14881.00000099@mb-ct.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>Folks:
>
>It was 34 years ago today (Nov.22, 1968) that the first phase of rules
>changes
>known as "Incentive Licensing" became effective. Exactly one year later, the
>second phase became effective.
>
>It was a Friday in 1968, too. I had a brand new Advanced at age 14, and
>suddenly lost full privileges. No big deal, got 'em back in 1970 after the
>waiting period for Extra was up.

Yes, it was on the front page of the ARRL Bulletin along with your scheduled receipt of the Presidential Medal of Freedom for extraordinary accomplishments in the antique radio-telegraphy service using only your own designed and built radio equipment.

So, please tell us how much you are getting for design and development of the Elecraft K2? Er, you DO design all your own radios, didn't you? You said you did...it's recorded in Google archives...

39 years ago this date, a President of the United States was assassinated by an ex-marine in Dallas, Texas. I'm sure that was a lesser happening than you receiving an Advanced amateur radio license at age 14?

1754. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 02:29:45 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122212945.27467.00000726@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 55934

In article <9RjD9.105565$1O2.7979@sccrnsc04>, "Jackie L."
<buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:

>"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
>news:ark81m$u2o$0@208.206.142.97...
>
>> No, I believe that the introduction of $$ into FCC regulation via
>> spectrum auctions has changed the way FCC looks at things.
>
>Isn't that how it goes though... sigh. It's the Golden Rule- they who have
>the gold make the rules.

Not quite. The FCC has NEVER used the auction process for
any US amateur band. The auction process is two-fold: As a
decision tool as to which applicant gets exclusive "rights" in a
particular commercial radio service where a multiple-user
situation is not practical; as a revenue producer for the US
government general fund. Revenue from spectrum auctions does
not go to the FCC alone, is distributed according to the current
budget for all government agencies. You can check this out at
the FCC's website.

There are a great number of other radio services in US civil radio
and far more US citizens using those radio services than those
using amateur bands. The "gold" is not money per se but rather
a good-as-gold service distributed for all citizens. Many self-
centered long-timers sneer at both the government and all other
radio services except amateur radio and ill-use their caustic.
All radio users must share the EM spectrum and it is the task
of the FCC to regulate all of US civil radio. The FCC is open to
comments from all citizens about any US civil radio service.

Consider that each cellular telephone handset is both a small
two-way radio as well as an extension of the telephone system.
According to the Census Bureau, one in three Americans is a
cellular subscriber. That's very approximately 100 MILLION
subscriberships and a minimum number of little specialized two-
way radios. There are less than a million US radio amateur
licensees. There are more various vehicular radios (land, air,
sea in private water craft) than there are US radio amateur
licensees. The number of CB radios is hard to estimate but, of
all kinds of CBs there are somewhere in the neighborhood of
10 to 20 million in use, vehicular, fixed, handheld. There are
millions of low-power emitters in everything from WLANs to
garage door openers, keyless auto entry systems, cordless

telephones, microwave ovens, remote speaker and TV extenders, security devices to name just a few radio transmitters, all operating under FCC regulations. Then there is broadcasting services, microwave radio relay, public service radio services (state to local government agencies), utilities, paging, railroad radio services. Yet to come are some interesting new radio things (UWB is one of those almost here). It's a rather large list which seems unfamiliar to the dumbed-down long-timer whose entire knowledge of radio seems to come only pages of QST.

All us citizens use and enjoy at least some of these different radio services. That is the real "gold," the improvement in individual's quality of life.

1755. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 02:29:46 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122212946.27467.00000727@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 55929

In article <3DDD7A8E.ADABEE02@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff@mailcity.net>
writes:

>"Jackie L." wrote:
>>
>> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
>> news:arj135$7un$0@208.207.71.171...
>>
>> [snip]
>>
>> > I hope this has been cleared up to your satisfaction.
>>
>> Yes. I do have a question though- if the powers-that-be at the FCC decide
>> that CW is no longer a requirement to upgrade from tech to general and
>> extra, do the people who administer the tests- the VEs- have to abide by
>> this ruling, or can the ARRL and the VEs decide they're going to require it
>> anyway? I guess I do not completely understand how far the FCC rules reach,
>> and how deep the ARRL's influence is in the testing process. Are they
>linked
>> to one another like Siamese twins, IOW?
>>
>> Jackie
>
>It is the FCC that sets the testing requirements, and VEs are
>required to abide by the requirements. If this did happen (don't
>hold your breath though), there would be some VEs that would wish
>they could make you take the code test, but they could not.

The only written testing requirements that the FCC sets is a
minimum number of questions per test element. Content, all
subject matter, is decided by the VEC QPC and approved or
disapproved by the FCC. The FCC does not specify what is
required in test element 1 except a word rate...it is up to
individual VEC teams to specify content, select an option to
word rate, and whether or not to test "sending by hand."

Volunteer Examiners simply proctor license testing according
to regulations. They cannot mentor anyone into amateur radio
in the license testing process; such would be illegal. Some
ex-VEs in here have intimidated they "brought others into ham
radio" through testing, a gross distortion of the truth. Such ex-
VEs can, of course, mentor others outside of the testing
activity, but they cannot do so during the license test.

1756. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 02:29:49 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122212949.27467.00000728@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 55933

In article <dEtD9.3896$OZ4.400747@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<kï¿½hb@arrl.org> writes:

>ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
>--
> Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
> http://www.mnyarc.org
> http://www.k0bsa.org
>"W6DKN" <w6dkn-nospam-@qsl.net> wrote
>
>: And according to their level of effort and dedication to the hobby,
>: they are rewarded with additional operating privileges.
>
>Nope, has nothing to do with "effort and dedication".
>
>Hang around here long enough, and you will see someone write
>something like:
>
> " A really tough written test would surely separate those
> who really have an interest in the hobby.", or..
>
> " Other, more relevant, methods can establish an applicant's
> dedication to the service.", or..
>
> " I think it is effective at minimizing the undesirables.",
> or..
>
> " ..... the key to maintaining the quality of hamming is
> making it something to work for.", or..
> .
> "My opinion is that any obstacle you put in the way to any
> achievement guarantees that only those with dedication and
> strong interest will get there."
>
>All of the above quotations, gathered from recent threads, were
>made by serious and well-intentioned licensees who want the best
>for the Amateur Radio Service.

At first glance, it appears AS IF they were opining on the "best
for amateur radio" but they are all insisting on all newcomers
to go through the same hazing rituals as they did.

>All of the above quotations also completely miss the mark, in
>that they suggest that the examination process is the key to
>ensuring that "the right kind of people" (those who are

>"worthy") become licensed and, by extension, that "the wrong
>kind of people" get filtered out.
>
>First, the testing procedure is an "entrance" exam, not a
>"graduation" exam.

I disagree but only on a technicality. The FCC grants
permission to transmit RF in a specific radio service
according to their established radio service regulations
through licensing.

>Second, while "interest", "dedication", and "hard work" might
>be hallmarks of good amateurs, the FCC and ITU regulations
>do not specify levels of interest, dedication, hard work or other
>measures of "worthiness" as requisites for a license.

The FCC is not an academic institution, never was, nor were
the three previous radio regulating agencies in the USA. The
licensing process is just one other tool in the FCC's box for
use in regulating US civil radio.

Unfortunately, spurred on by the largest US amateur radio
membership organization, the license test has become a de
facto "certificate of learning" embodied with all the class
distinction attendant to the old six-class license structure.
It is all about rank-status-privilege on the basis of a license
class...love/interest/enjoyment of technology is very secondary
and practiced by only a small percentage...all others are bent
on proving themselves (in their eyes) as "better" than fellow
licensees.

>Therefore it
>is not the function of the examination process to determine (even
>if it could) if an applicant is "worthy" but rather to determine
>if he/she is QUALIFIED to use the spectrum assigned. There should
>be no "dumbing down", but neither can there be a requirement that
>the examination process screens out applicants who lack
>"commitment".

"Commitment" is everything. It MUST be shown to the long-
timers in order to show them they are "real hams." Long-timers
have become the ex-officio judges of comportment, moral
character, proper ethics, and (above all) adherence to the
standards and practices of the 1930s.

>Don't get me wrong here, folks. I believe that the examination
>process ought to be rigorous enough to determine proper knowledge
>and skills so that a new licensee does not inadvertently trash
>the bands, hurt themselves, or harm other users/uses of the
>spectrum. I am not even suggesting that Morse testing is a
>"good thing" or a "poor idea". But I have no expectation that
>ANY examination can filter out "unworthy" applicants who lack

>the proper dedication or motivation.
>
>Even if it could, who then would become the arbiter of "worthy"?

By all the holy writ in here, those revered arbiters are the long-timers who have defended their turf (by any means possible) and say that anyone not in agreement with them are trying to abolish US amateur radio.

The arbiters demand the status to be as quo as possible, no, repeat NO changes that lessens their importance in the self-light to their peers and the sub-human commonfolk (non-hams).

To those entrenched arbiters I say "ar-bite me." :-)

1757. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 02:29:50 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122212950.27467.00000729@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 55928

In article <arkfi8hql1@208.206.142.68>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>Vshah101 wrote:
>>
>> > Len Anderson
>> > Life Member IEEE
>>
>> Ham Radio does not seem to correlate to belonging to the IEEE.
>
> In this case that is entirely appropriate.

Okay, dickiebird, I agree that such a signing isn't amateur. The
IEEE is a professional membership organization.

IEEE Life Members remain members, voting, etc., everything the
same as "regular" members. They just don't pay any dues. Not
required once the qualifications are met. No extra money to be
paid, no "diamond" club thingie, no "VEs" needed to proctor an
entry for professionals. Over a quarter million IEEE members,
dickiebird. :-)

1758. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 02:29:51 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122212951.27467.00000730@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 55930

In article <TVdD9.76246$WL3.32982@rwcrnsc54>, "Brian Denley"
<bdenley@attbi.com> writes:

>I agree with Peter. As an EE, I would pass that test right now. As I have
>said before, we don't demand that every computer user learn 2s complement
>math (or even DOS) prior to allowing them to use windows, linux, etc. The
>very idea sounds rediculous to us. The CW requirement is no different.
>Just my 2 cents.
>
>--
>Brian Denley
>http://home.attbi.com/~bdenley/index.html
>"Peter Lampione" <plampione@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:b30faff8.0211202034.1cf442eb@posting.google.com...
>> I would suggest somethig rather different.
>>
>> Take out the code requirement, and put in the test some DSP questions
>> (how to make filters, equalize volume, remove noise, and modulate in
>> phase, frequency, quadrature, etc) as well as more advanced circuit
>> design.

Well, as a retired (from regular hours) EE, I think you both mis-
understand the whole purpose of the morse code test. You have
to know that the long-timers value morsemanship nearly over life
itself, that they worship the mode (the "keys to the kingdom"as it
were), and ALL must pay obesiance to their fantastic skills.

To these demand-venerated morsemen, anything said against
morse code is a damnation against ALL of US amateur radio.
Morse code ability is the primary skill requirement for all "real"
amateurs. Without that they are "unreal," not worthy of
consideration as human beings.

The morse code test MUST be kept to show all newcomers'
"worthiness" to coexist on the same planet with these amateur
morsemen. Long-timer morsemen demand that.

You two have been warned... :-)

1759. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 02:29:52 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122212952.27467.00000731@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 55931

In article <c2356669.0211221056.6e76e86a@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) writes:

>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>news:<3DDC3BDE.6070901@adelphia.net>...
>> N2EY wrote:
>>
>> > What I mean is that Mr. Anderson has repeatedly demonstrated that he
>> > does not engage in civil, well-mannered discussion in this newsgroup
>> > with those who disagree with him.
>> >
>> > And you didn't answer my question, Dave - why do you (or anyone)
>> > respond to Mr. Anderson, given the many examples of how he behaves in
>> > the face of a differing opinion?
>>
>> We probably shouldn't, and I usually don't.
>
>Good policy.

Just why is it "good policy" to stifle free discussion?

Or do you insist on only one viewpoint to always be "correct?"
Are your words golden for the US ARS? Of course they are,
all must think and worship as you say.

>> I was drug into the fray by
>> his interjection with more of the anti-Morse posts in reply to one of
>> mine on the subject of CW radiosport.
>
>Par for the course. Although claiming that he's only against the morse
>test, his posts indicate wide ranging hatred for the mode, as well.

Feel free to distort reality...that's also freedom of speech, but
it is a rather hateful speech you speak just because you
cannot take strong opposition to your viewpoints..

>Plus the ARRL, young people who become licensed, people in the health
>care professions, easterners, midwesterners, hams with 1x2
>callsigns/Extra class licenses, and a host of others.

Well, there you have it...Mr. N2EY wants everyone to be
subservient to his wishes/opinions...even though he states
an outright lie above.

"The Armenian judges chanted in dismay, the balalaikas
were played..." etc., etc.

Not a good trollpiece.

But, it is no doubt satisfying to the insecure who cannot
abide a strong argument to their golden viewpoints of faith.
Shrug.

1760. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 02:37:59 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uttqucidmmvna0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55938

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0211220500.570fa10c@posting.google.com...
> n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20021121214419.17907.00000647@mb-cs.aol.com>...
> > In article <utqs031nv18j06@corp.supernews.com>, "Kim"
> > <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
> >
> > >OK, set me straight. Are we
> > >having a net on 7.037 or on 10M somewhere? I can do either,
> >
> > 7037 kHz. Wednesday nights. 9 PM EST, 8 PM CST, 0200 UTC
> >
> > You have to upgrade to General in order to operate on 7037, though.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Jim, I thought she was a Tech Plus? No need to upgrade to General.
> Anyway, you're sounding mighty desperate to talk to Kim. Just look
> her up on Anywho.com and give her a call.

I think he's (Jim) talking about if I operate phone on 7037. I would not
have to upgrade, though, because I have a Control Op sitting right
here...heh heh

Kim W5TIT

1761. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 02:40:34 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uttr37jfsefi10@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55939

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0211220520.ee49a54@posting.google.com...
> wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021114091304.06642.00000624@mb-fi.aol.com>...
> > >Jim, don't be silly. I passed the Extra exam.
> >
> > Then why doesnt it say so in the Data base.
>
> I didn't get a code waiver.

heh heh heh heh <BIG GRIN> wow, news travels far and wide, doesn't it?

Kim W5TIT

1762. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 02:44:37 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <armpr40so9@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 55942
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message
news:armlia$l68$1@news1.kornet.net...
> I'm far from a computer guru, but aren't all high level computer languages
> written in assembly/machine code? Aren't they all just basically
interfaces
> between the processor (machine language) and the operator??

Yes, this is pretty much how computer languages evolved. Assembly language is still used today mostly in the development of high level languages (C, VB, Java, etc.) and operating systems, because as each new processor hits the market, they have their own instruction set.

BTW, there is a difference between assembly and machine language. Machine language is that which is understood by the computer - the 1s and 0s. If you had to write and debug a program using only 1s and 0's, you'd soon become frustrated after trying to debug the first couple lines of code. Assembly is the next step up from machine language. It uses alphanumeric representations of the 1s and 0s that the computer uses, and a compilier converts them to the machine language that the computer understands.

1763. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 02:49:34 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uttrk3g9blfff8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55940

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0211221732.76128838@posting.google.com...
> wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021122141403.10377.00003347@mb-md.aol.com>...
> > >Wait a minute...this just registered....how can TIT do either? I was
not
> > >aware she had 40 m authorization.
> > >
> > >Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > She doesnt care shes a CBer. She thinks she can using any Frequency.
>
> What kind of ignorant hams are you'se guys?
>
> Callsign: W5TIT Class: Tech Plus
>
> Oh, I forgot, you're both brilliant Extras. Pffft.

Well the really funny thing is that they're forgetting...or maybe they don't
realize, that I have a General Class OM right here in the office with me.
And, I could even cook up a good dinner for any number of Extras and they'd
be happy to get out here, chow down, visit in the office and play on
computer, etc.!

Kim W5TIT

1764. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 02:56:45 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <utts1ja1ocgq55@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55941

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:arls01$86v$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net...
> Wait a minute...this just registered....how can TIT do either? I was not
> aware she had 40 m authorization.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

It's called "Control Op" And, what do you mean by "either"?

Kim W5TIT

1765. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 03:02:25 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uttsck1fa5347e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55944

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021122121232.09268.00001617@mb-cu.aol.com...
> In article <ark5b0$o9b$1@208.206.142.97>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
> writes:
>
> First Kim owned up to a pathetic lack of the most basic ham radio
knowledge:
>
> >> I thought about it last night (the net). OK, set me straight. Are we
> >> having a net on 7.037 or on 10M somewhere? I can do either, uh, if
it's
> >> phone of course. I haven't looked at the band plan to see if you're
> >> referencing a CW freq.
> >>
> >> Anyway, let me know.
> >>
> >> Kim W5TIT
>
> To which, Dick patiently replied:
>
> > Well there you have it. From a *real* ham.
>
> Well, only in her own mind, Dick. First of all, Kim is a Tech Plus. She
> doesn't HAVE any privileges at 7.037 MHz, in any mode. Second,
> what's scary is that she didn't know that. I wonder how many Tech
> Pluses are happily jabbering away in the CW/DATA portions of the
> HF bands?
>

Larry, you really surprise me on this one because we've had this exchange
more than once. You should remember that I have a General Class hubby. I'm
very well aware of exactly the privileges I have in the ham radio spectrum.
And, if the answer hadn't been forthcoming on the CW question, it's easy
enough looked up. It's you and your type that flood your brain with useless
information, remember?

>
> While looking up Kim's record on QRZ.COM to verify her license class,
> I was most impressed to see she had, at that moment, 1120 lookups!
> Two of the most prolific DX'ers I know are averaging 365 each. I've
> worked everyone there is on 20-meter CW and PSK31 and only have
> 330. Seems like Kim's call sign has yielded her way more than her
> allotted 15 minutes of fame!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

>

Uh huh, now you're *MAYBE* getting it. I've also worked a very small amount of DX, had a ball with it, and have managed to get an actual conversation out of every one of them--through hysterical laughter and "I love its" (commenting on my callsign). There's no mistake when I give my call, phonetically or not.

So, you didn't know my license class? Is that why you had to look me up on QRZ?

Kim W5TIT

1766. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 03:06:41 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122220641.24143.00000119@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 55943

From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> Well, as a retired (from regular hours) EE, I think you both mis-
> understand the whole purpose of the morse code test.

Isn't is sad how Morse fanaticism (and other cultism) is repelling the EEs?

1767. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 03:11:18 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uttseqj12j4pb7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55945

Boy did that bring back some memories. I sort of wish I hadn't dropped my license in the early 80s (and lost my call N2JH) .... oh well, I retested in 93 - but still miss that call. Yep, I remember the old 1.800 to 1.825 - one end of 160 we were limited to 25 watts input (at least here in Rochester, NY). Sigh. Getting old ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"AC6V" <ac6v@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:3iuD9.9760$ro.394357@news2.west.cox.net...
> If you want to see the details of the incentive licensing
> See URL:
> http://ac6v.com/history.htm
>
> --
> I Doubt, Therefore I Might Be
> 73 From AC6V, Rod In Oceanside, CA
> http://ac6v.com
> ==========
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021122123724.14881.00000099@mb-ct.aol.com...
> > Folks:
> >
> > It was 34 years ago today (Nov.22, 1968) that the first phase of rules
> changes
> > known as "Incentive Licensing" became effective. Exactly one year later,
> the
> > second phase became effective.
> >
> > It was a Friday in 1968, too. I had a brand new Advanced at age 14, and
> > suddenly lost full privileges. No big deal, got 'em back in 1970 after
the
> > waiting period for Extra was up.
> >
> > The code test debates of the past few years are minor compared to the
fury
> of
> > those days...
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

1768. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 03:26:58 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122222658.05475.00003165@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 55947

>If you use your Advanced privileges, all you'd need to do is dial down a
>little
>lower from 14.176 to 14.155 MHz and you'd be using your Extra. It all
>sounds the same on SSB. On CW, there may be faster code going on in
>the extra sections, but I haven't looked lately.
>

Its not that, I just dont operate HF, havent for at least a few years

1769. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 03:28:00 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122222800.24143.00000120@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 55948

Steps to better Amateur Radio
1. Get rid of the word "Ham"
2. Get rid of excess codewords.
3. Discourage cults such as Wouff Hong
4. Encourage Amateurs to not have their lives revolve around "Hamming".
5. Encourage Amateurs to lose weight.
6. Get more females, young people into Amateur radio.

7. Get rid of QST and bring back Ham Radio magazine
(but change the name to Amateur Radio magazine).
8. Get more people involved in: Homebrewing, radio direction finding,
troubleshooting, use of test equipment, antenna building.

9. Positive publicity - positive publicity spots in newspapers, etc.
Endorsements by celebrities, Amateur radio in movies.
10. More clout with politicians.
11. Stress educational benefits, such as geography, etc.
12. Collaboration with EE education and IEEE, not separation from them.
13. Real development activities through Amateur radio clubs.
14. Real tests of viability of certain modes by getting large numbers of people
to test them.
(Could be done in a contest).

15. Get rid of the Morse code requirement.
16. Encourage an adequate number of coders - but don't tie this to the license
classes.
17. Morse certificate for 5 wpm, Morse with distinction for 13wpm

18. Real license preparation classes to understand the material.
19. Non-question pool format for written tests.
20. Call the license classes Novice, Intermediate, Advanced instead of
Technician, General, Extra
(Clearer terminology and more respectful of achievement).
21. Simpler "Operator" class that does not include practical circuits.
(I bet with a more difficult test, many coders will end up here instead
of Extras).

22. Real Emergency communications training,
(must demonstrate on actual equipment), instead of just written test.
23. Field day preparation meetings so everyone can do their best on field day.

24. More real skill building activities
25. New awards. Instead of WAS and other volume based awards, recognition for
WAB(Worked All Bands), Distance awards, station setup time awards, etc.

1770. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 03:28:46 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122222846.05475.00003166@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 55950

>Bruce Without Error, why do you need it? You gonna send him a QSL
>card for an internet "QSO?"
>
>

I dont QSL

1771. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 03:30:15 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122223015.05475.00003167@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 55851

>Really? Then how did Bruce get Extra?
>

By answering all the Questions correctly. Something you cant do.

1772. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 03:33:53 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122223353.05475.00003168@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 55852

>I don't believe your story. You're dumber than a box of rocks.

You dont have to believe it, the Data Base says Extra for WA8ULX. For Brian it says CBplusser, with a foot note which says, Brian dont even try were not giving them away just yet.

1773. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 03:40:26 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021122224026.24784.00001107@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 55853

In article <armlia$l68$1@news1.kornet.net>, "Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com>
writes:

>I'm far from a computer guru, but aren't all high level computer languages
>written in assembly/machine code? Aren't they all just basically interfaces
>between the processor (machine language) and the operator??

Yes and no. :-)

The result of a high-level computer language compilation or
interpretation is machine language instructions. The
intermediate steps are up to a compiler which may
automatically optimize resulting machine language routines
such as making several equivalent routines a sub-routine
that can be called as needed.

Most Assemblers aren't strictly direct-to-machine language
programs but include things like assigning where a jump
address is or will be, allowing macro statements (to ease
typing things over and over again). The coding itself is done
in machine language statements so the programmer has
more control of the result.

Assemblers are machine-specific, written for a family of
CPUs. High-level language compilers usually fit several CPU
families or can be converted to future familes, concentration
is on the overall task of computation. Interpreters are in the
middle of the two. :-)

There's usually a class-distinction among programmers, too,
assembler-level types sneering at C and FORTRAN coders,
the high-level language programmers sneering at assembler
"mechanics." What really matters is getting a CPU to
accomplish a task, not the way to get there. :-)

Funny...there's a parallel to amateur radio: Some long-timers
insist that the method of using morse code is of utmost
importance and the communication itself secondary. :-)

1774. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 03:46:36 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <armtms$dj8$0@208.207.71.159>
Source record: 55855

Brian wrote:
>
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<ark6v0rbc1@208.206.142.97>...
> > Bill Sohl wrote:
> > >
> > > "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> > > news:f45722ac.0211191926.5199b787@posting.google.com...
> > > > lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> > news:<20021119163039.22730.00000605@mb-bg.aol.com>...
> > > > > In article <f45722ac.0211190359.16e5b2b7@posting.google.com>,
> > > > > brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
> > > > >
> > > > > >> Then your attempt at misdirection would be irrelevant.
> > > > > >>
> > > > > >> Dave K8MN
> > > > > >
> > > > > >CSCEs are irrelevant?
> > > > >
> > > > > Anything contrary to Heil's opinions/messages/statements
> > > > > is "irrelevant." :-)
> > > >
> > > > Yep, someday when the FCC changes the rules again we might not be
> > > > scrambling to recover our Morse Code CSCE, we might be scrambling to
> > > > recover which version of the Extra we passed.
> > >
> > > Might I inquire as to what you might expect the FCC to do to the rules
> > > that would call for such a scrambing or concern as to when anyone
> > > actually passed their Extra?
> >
> >
> > For instance there's that 1987 Tech, date, for one,... or did you
> > forget already?
>
> Didn't forget. I had studied for General written and code, but when I
> got there, the written had been split into two tests. I passed them
> both. Then the CSCE for the second part expired in a year.
>
> Never could pass 13wpm. Maybe that's when they implemented Farnsworth
> testing.

Maybe so., I guess I'm not surprised that you don't know.

1775. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 04:03:35 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h6080w6.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 55856
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 22 Nov 2002 20:45:05 -0500, JJ wrote:

>Ever try sorting through a few hundred pages of a 'core dump'
>(raise you hand if you know what a core dump is)

Ah, for the good old days of IBM Big Iron with 64K core main memory in the ers before ICs were available and the "disk drive" had 128K capacity, was 2 feet across, and took 20 KW to operate and cool (early 60s).

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1776. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 04:10:15 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <GcDD9.2541$4j5.1395438@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 55946
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Tom Welch" <pm4hire@excite.com> wrote in message
news:52a66032.0211221228.16b8acf3@posting.google.com...
> In this day and age, requiring folks to do code is
> pure bullshit. Do we ask computer science majors to
> write code in assembly language or know DOS?

Some one had better know how to write in assembly language. You do need to develop ever advancing compilers etc and that may take some assembly language programming even today.

1777. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 04:26:12 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <ErDD9.2542$4j5.1397430@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 55949

"Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote in message
news:tyiD9.96619$NH2.6449@sccrnsc01...
> I'm not seeing Mr. WA8LUX's posts, other than as replies that others have
> made to them. I figured out early on in lurk mode that he hates me and
> others like me. I don't know why this is, and furthermore, I don't care.
He
> is trolling. I'm not biting. Furthermore, I'm not a "whinner", so his
posts
> do not apply to me. Plonkity-plonk-plonk, waste of bandwidth.
>
> KC0ODY

Congrats on getting your call sign.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

1778. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 04:31:00 UTC
From: Howard Lute <hnlute@XYZatt.net>
Message-ID: <MPG.1848a3cc65d9a822989aa6@netnews.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 55858
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <3DDEE5AC.F9037D2F@mailcity.net>, radiobuff0@mailcity.net
says...
>
>
> Phil Kane wrote:
> >
> > On Fri, 22 Nov 2002 20:45:05 -0500, JJ wrote:
> >
> > >Ever try sorting through a few hundred pages of a 'core dump'
> > >(raise you hand if you know what a core dump is)
> >
> > Ah, for the good old days of IBM Big Iron with 64K core main
> > memory in the ers before ICs were available
>
> And the 64K of core memory took up more space than several of
> today's PC units. 512K additional memory for an IBM Model 50 CPU
> came in a box the size of about three refrigerators side by side.
>
> > and the "disk
> > drive" had 128K capacity, was 2 feet across, and took 20 KW to
> > operate and cool (early 60s).
>
> With hydraulics driving the R/W heads back and forth. A head crash
> was not a pretty sight.
>
Change many 2314 heads in my day...1966-1971 IBM FE in SF.
--
Friend,
Howard Lute
-kell- eBay Rating: 1547
LPFM Page: http://home.att.net/~optcamel/fmradio1.htm

1779. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 04:45:03 UTC
From: Holier-Than-Thou <Critical@Anon.com>
Message-ID: <arn11n$l1j$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 55859
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021122232248.625$Qw@newsreader.com...
> "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net> wrote:
> (snip)
> >> It was good to hear that ham radio is starting to realize that they
need
> > to look towards the future, rather than try to create a false elitism
> > based upon an anachronistic skill. If the elitism is based upon a
> > currently (or future) strongly useful expertise, then there is some
> > value. Ham radio does need to continue to self-police, but also appear
> > to be friendly.
> >
> > John
> >
> Best response I've seen on this cross posted fubar'd thread.

Ah, yes. But those of you who advocate "self-policing" are the very ones who
take it upon yourselves to sometimes play "net cop" while you titter and
tatter about the poor operating procedures of others. This is, in itself, a
form of elitism in that a minority of ops willingly choose to castigate
others for operating procedures with which they do not agree. Beware of
"self-policing" and the resultant criticism of other ops...this, in the eyes
of many, has not the appearance of being friendly.
So far it would appear that "self-policing" is but a nice feel-good idea
with little merit and zero results.

1780. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 04:46:25 UTC
From: "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <uDDD9.720$pc.145285@news.iquest.net>
Source record: 55860
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Brenda Ann" <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote in message news:armlia$l68$1@news1.kornet.net...
> I'm far from a computer guru, but aren't all high level computer languages
> written in assembly/machine code? Aren't they all just basically interfaces
> between the processor (machine language) and the operator??
>
Machine code, and the textual representation of machine code: assembly language
are the lowest normal level of programming (ignore microcode, etc.) The
ultimate output of compilers and assemblers is the machine code. There are other
ways of making computers do what you want also, including 'interpreters' and/or
'emulators'.

One first creates a bunch of 'source files' that contain the program instructions
(in high level language or in assembly code), and then apply the source files to
a compile/linkage process, or directly execute the program using an 'interpreter'.
Interpreter programs can either 'execute' the code directly upon parsing (reading)
the program statements, or can create an internal version (or somewhere in
between.) In the case of compile/link-type systems, they produce a program that
can be run directly on the computer under specific operating systems that support
I/O and other functions. The simplest forms of Java are a hybrid, where you
compile the source files, but you run a program that interprets the psuedo-machine
code as if it is a real processor (well, sort of...)

There are more than I list, but off the top, I can think of at least these four methods
of 'making computers do what you want':

1) Compiler/Assembler/Linker:
These take a higher level language (assembler is slightly higher level than
machine code from a representational standpoint) than machine code, and
produce runnable machine code. This is like using Microsoft-C or MASM,
linking the output with libraries, and produce an EXE file for NT/2000. A
similar thing is normally done on Unix.

2) Traditional interpreter:
The MS Basic interpreter that Billy and friend wrote would directly execute
the text of a program, but reparsing and executing the code statement by statement.

3) Less traditional interpreter:
AFAIR, Perl creates a compiled copy of at least a part of the program, but the
'compiled' output is an internal representation that is used as a set of instructions
for software.

4) P-Code compiler/interpreter
Java and the old UCSD P-System on a PDP11 uses an explicit compiler to
produce a file that is similar to machine instructions. The difference is that the
'machine instructions' are actually created for a formally defined software

interpreter for those instructions. In some cases, Java performance has been improved so that during the 'interpretation' of those virtual instructions, native (real) machine code is made available for future execution in a given running of a program. Even further, it is possible to 'compile' those Java virtual machine instructions into an EXE-type file that would be normally directly created by a Microsoft-C or Unix-C compiler and linkage process.

So, I hope this helps to clarify, but probably is 'too much' from the standpoint starting from scratch.

John

1781. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 04:58:29 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDF0AF5.1658856F@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55873
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > Brenda Ann wrote:
> > >
> > > I'm far from a computer guru, but aren't all high level computer
> > > languages written in assembly/machine code? Aren't they all just
> > > basically interfaces between the processor (machine language) and the
> > > operator??
> > >
> >> Machine code is the lowest level code, when you write in machine
> > language you are coding directly in the language the machine
> > understands. An example of a high level language is BASIC which is
> > written using English, such as GOTO, IF, THEN, LET A = B, PRINT,
> > ect. The high level language either uses an interpreter, which
> > converts the high level code to machine language on the fly, or it
> > is compiled which converts the high level code to machine language
> > in an executable file (.exe).
> >
> You mispelt software.
>

I don't see the word software anywhere in either post. You do tend
to read things that aren't there though.
```

1782. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 05:03:03 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDF0C07.9953199E@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55874
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Howard Lute wrote:

> >
> Change many 2314 heads in my day...1966-1971 IBM FE in SF.

Ah yes, the old 'pizza oven'.

IBM FE Dallas 1967-1977, then on to other IBM things, retired
1989.

1783. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 05:03:54 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDF0C3A.CA0CDE66@mailcity.net>
Source record: 55875
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Howard Lute wrote:

> >
> Change many 2314 heads in my day...1966-1971 IBM FE in SF.

Ever work on the 'noodle picker"?

1784. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 05:10:13 UTC
From: "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <OZDD9.723$pc.145779@news.iquest.net>
Source record: 55861
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Holier-Than-Thou" <Critical@Anon.com> wrote in message news:arn11n$l1j$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> news:20021122232248.625$Qw@newsreader.com...
> > "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net> wrote:
> > (snip)
> > >> It was good to hear that ham radio is starting to realize that they
> need
> > > to look towards the future, rather than try to create a false elitism
> > > based upon an anachronistic skill. If the elitism is based upon a
> > > currently (or future) strongly useful expertise, then there is some
> > > value. Ham radio does need to continue to self-police, but also appear
> > > to be friendly.
> > >
> > > John
> > >
> > Best response I've seen on this cross posted fubar'd thread.
>
> Ah, yes. But those of you who advocate "self-policing" are the very ones who
> take it upon yourselves to sometimes play "net cop" while you titter and
> tatter about the poor operating procedures of others.
>
I agree that there is at least one 'Barney Fife' in every organization. However, it is
better that Ham radio be self-policed than to have the FCC crack down only against
things that they politically don't like. If ham radio is allowed to be a cesspool of
people who violate conventions, but technically obey the law enough to stay under
the FCCs radar, then that would diminish the hobby. I am not familiar anymore with
the ARRL guidelines, but there should certainly be some guidelines so that the 'net-police'
or the
'ham-police' people who have a little too much zeal can be helped back to
more reasonable attitudes. The various conventions and rules of etiquette need to
be taken seriously, and I seem to remember that even when I got my license a long
time ago that there were such 'conventions.'

It is much better that reasonable guidelines be instituted, and strong suggestions
be made in the guidelines that the spectrum is to be shared amongst HROs
according to the law and conventions. If there aren't reasonable guidelines, and/or
intolerant people who seem to emotionally need to be snobs, then the self
policing will sometimes fail. Frankly, from what I have heard, the current scheme
seems to work okay, but there'll always be those people with too much zeal!!! :-(.

People do tend to be cliquish, and I have made various such mistakes in
previous situations. It is important that the 'elders' avoid become
condescending or turn into control freaks.

I don't mean to compare hams with other community radio service participants, but I remember my Dad talking about various groups believing that they 'own' certain CB channels (this was back in the 'Convoy' days). It is sadly odd, if not almost funny to think that someone is so diluded into thinking that they can have dominion over a resource like that. Again, times have probably changed a little on CB, and I am not making that complaint about amateur radio (I am just seriously thinking about ham again), but even good people can become petit and cliquish in situations that lend themselves to that kind of behavior.

I really believe in ham radio as a great hobby for kids of all ages (including those who are retired :-)). Computers are great (and I am a very serious computer person), but I'd like to see ham radio compete for alot of the mindshare directed towards the CREATIVE parts of the computer hobby... (I am NOT confusing the computer WWW addict with the real computer hobby of developing tools and applications that do interesting things.)

Hopefully, I'll have collected enough info in the next week or so to be able to embark on a new hobby...

John

1785. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 05:15:55 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DDF0E7C.9139E8AE@earthlink.net>
Source record: 55862

W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:ark3u0$1jt$3@slb9.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Is that pathetic or what?
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021121191723.10956.00000171@mb-cu.aol.com...
> > > >Are we
> > > >having a net on 7.037 or on 10M somewhere? I can do either, uh, if
> it's
> > > >phone of course.
> > >
> > > Why did I know you wouldnt have any Idea if it was a CW portion of the
> > Band
> >
> >
>
> Uh huh. Yeah. Like you guys know the band plan for the entire amateur
> radio spectrum...

Uhhhh....yeah, Kim. It's like that.

> Things that can be looked up need not be memorized.

...and things which are known need not be looked up.

Dave K8MN

1786. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 05:22:52 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DDF100E.FEC8C4EE@earthlink.net>
Source record: 55863

W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3DDDC5CF.AB9F3F1@earthlink.net...
> > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > >
> > > "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20021120231418.18440.00000194@mb-fp.aol.com...
> > > > > For instance, if you're typical...you're boastfulness and
> > > > >assholiness indicates quite a small dick.
> > > >
> > > > WOOOOO TIT. I take it you most have Experience along those lines.
> > >
> > > Along what lines? Knowing guys who are assholes because of the size of
> > > their dicks...? Actually, I've only known one--my first husband. He
> was
> > > small and a small man also. However, in many, many conversations over
> the
> > > years with many women who've also had the same misfortune--it is pretty
> > > apparent that you guys with little "ones" have a real piss-poor
> personality.
> > >
> > > So, yeah, I've got quite a lot of experience to use as reference. I
> notice
> > > that you, on the other hand, didn't bother denying the inference.
> > >
> > > Kim W5TIT
> >
> > I see that Tugboat Annie is back, Camel dangling from her lips.
> > You're every bit as crude as Bruce, Kim.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> And you just read the articles, right, Dave?

Why, no Kim. Then again, I didn't do a description for the amateur
radio club or make a report on it at school or tack a graphic paragraph
on a bulletin board at the grocery store. Bruce acts like a jerk and
you respond by trying to show that you have less class than he.

Dave K8MN

1787. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 05:24:55 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021123002455.23768.00001900@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 55866

In article <lVyD9.4597$OZ4.445705@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <kï¿½hb@arrl.org> writes:

>The notion that
>you
>had earned a special band segment never occurred to anyone -- we were just
>excitedly "playing in the ether", and there was no distinction on the air
>between Extra, Advanced, General, or Conditional -- elitist special callsign
>formats to celebrate the fine granulations of your test taking/memorization
>skills had not been invented.
>
>We'll never return to 'back then', unfortunately.
>
>73, de Hans, K0HB

Hansl:

Thanks for the nifty QSL card, complete with your "elitist" call sign!
W3DOV doesn't possess it's own QSL cards yet, but they are on
the way, and you will be the first to receive one! I'll send a modified
version of one of my own to serve as an "IOU" in the meantime.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1788. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 05:24:56 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021123002456.23768.00001901@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 55864

In article <lcyD9.4487$OZ4.438689@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <kï¿½hb@arrl.org> writes:

>The programs intent of dramatically increasing the technical competence of
>the Amateur Radio Service was not realized (if anything, todays amateurs on
>average have far less technical competence than they did 34 years ago), and
>the negative fallout of the ill-conceived social-engineering fiasco divides
>and splinters the Amateur Service to this day.

Hansl:

As a ham who came into the ARS years after the Incentive Licensing "fiasco," I personally benefited from a challenging and comprehensive set of licensing requirements which insured that I had a better understanding of technical and operational concepts, and the ability to employ the Morse/CW mode at a useful proficiency level before I was granted full HF privileges. The only mistake made by the ARRL/FCC was not to allow full grandfathering of extant General-class licensees to the Extra class. At least that mistake was not repeated under the Restructuring of 2000. If it had been, the whining would have caused rivers of tears which would have caused levees to break around any low-lying areas with a large population of hams.

It is too bad that once we had a superior set of licensing requirements, the Latter Day Whiners were allowed to prevail, and set us back to the bad 'ole days of dumbed-down license testing.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1789. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 05:24:56 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021123002456.23768.00001902@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 55865

In article <uttsck1fa5347e@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>Uh huh, now you're *MAYBE* getting it. I've also worked a very small amount
>of DX, had a ball with it, and have managed to get an actual conversation
>out of every one of them--through hysterical laughter and "I love its"
>(commenting on my callsign). There's no mistake when I give my call,
>phonetically or not.

Kim:

I'm sure your call sign has provided a lot of simple-minded fun to a
lot of simple minded HF phone lusers.

>So, you didn't know my license class? Is that why you had to look me up on
>QRZ?

I was fairly certain you were a Tech Plus, but I just wanted to make sure.
BTW I blocked off the lower portion of the screen just in case you may
have decided to re-post your photo.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1790. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 05:36:53 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123003653.17878.00000611@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 55867

In article <20021122235945.204$Fb@newsreader.com>, Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com writes:

>You mispelt software.

No problem, you misspelled "misspelled" as well as "misspelt." Splat. :-)

1791. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 05:43:54 UTC
From: Bill M <"exray\\@n-o- .caribe.net>">
Message-ID: <utu5d1lc9652eb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55868
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

John S. Dyson wrote:
>
> I really believe in ham radio as a great hobby for kids of all ages (including those
> who are retired :-)). Computers are great (and I am a very serious computer
> person), but I'd like to see ham radio compete for alot of the mindshare directed
> towards the CREATIVE parts of the computer hobby... (I am NOT confusing the
> computer WWW addict with the real computer hobby of developing tools and
> applications that do interesting things.)
>
> Hopefully, I'll have collected enough info in the next week or so to be able to
> embark on a new hobby...
>
> John

You've found the key word...HOBBY. Its not a job or political position
and and if its a PITA you can (and should) just walk away and do
something else. No need to hang out on a repeater/club/band/newsgroup
if the general attitude there is such that aggravates you and takes away
from the enjoyment. No rocket science and no Morse code unless you want
it that way.
Good luck,
Bill WX4A

1792. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 05:58:28 UTC
From: "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <0HED9.725$pc.146163@news.iquest.net>
Source record: 55869
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Bill M" <""exray\"@n-o- .caribe.net> wrote in message
news:utu5d1lc9652eb@corp.supernews.com...
> John S. Dyson wrote:
> >
> > I really believe in ham radio as a great hobby for kids of all ages (including those
> > who are retired :-)). Computers are great (and I am a very serious computer
> > person), but I'd like to see ham radio compete for alot of the mindshare directed
> > towards the CREATIVE parts of the computer hobby... (I am NOT confusing the
> > computer WWW addict with the real computer hobby of developing tools and
> > applications that do interesting things.)
> >
> > Hopefully, I'll have collected enough info in the next week or so to be able to
> > embark on a new hobby...
> >
> > John
>
> You've found the key word...HOBBY. Its not a job or political position
> and and if its a PITA you can (and should) just walk away and do
> something else. No need to hang out on a repeater/club/band/newsgroup
> if the general attitude there is such that aggravates you and takes away
> from the enjoyment. No rocket science and no Morse code unless you want
> it that way.
>
I do agree with that. It would be good if the unecessary cost of entry to HF
'Morse code' could be removed (limited by treaty) however.

My interest in technical competence for HROs is based upon being able to
responsibly deal with and understand problems dealing with more than low
power transmitters. With high power comes more responsibility for local
interference and occupation of spectrum space.

I don't see a problem with Ham radio having a pure communicator class
license, where there is little or no technical or code qualification. However,
that class of operators should be limited to purchased gear at low power on
VHF/UHF.

John

John

1793. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 06:11:36 UTC
From: Holier-Than-Thou <Critical@Anon.com>
Message-ID: <arn63t$2fa$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 55870
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
"John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net> wrote in message
news:OZDD9.723$pc.145779@news.iquest.net...
>
> "Holier-Than-Thou" <Critical@Anon.com> wrote in message
news:arn11n$l1j$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021122232248.625$Qw@newsreader.com...
> > > "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net> wrote:
> > > (snip)
> > > >> It was good to hear that ham radio is starting to realize that they
> > need
> > > > to look towards the future, rather than try to create a false
elitism
> > > > based upon an anachronistic skill. If the elitism is based upon a
> > > > currently (or future) strongly useful expertise, then there is some
> > > > value. Ham radio does need to continue to self-police, but also
appear
> > > > to be friendly.
> > > >
> > > > John
> > > >
> > > Best response I've seen on this cross posted fubar'd thread.
> > >
> > Ah, yes. But those of you who advocate "self-policing" are the very ones
who
> > take it upon yourselves to sometimes play "net cop" while you titter and
> > tatter about the poor operating procedures of others.
> >
> I agree that there is at least one 'Barney Fife' in every organization.
However, it is
> better that Ham radio be self-policed than to have the FCC crack down only
against
> things that they politically don't like. If ham radio is allowed to be a
cesspool of
> people who violate conventions, but technically obey the law enough to
stay under
> the FCCs radar, then that would diminish the hobby. I am not familiar
anymore with
> the ARRL guidelines, but there should certainly be some guidelines so that
the 'net-police' or the
> 'ham-police' people who have a little too much zeal can be helped back to
> more reasonable attitudes. The various conventions and rules of
```

etiquette need to
> be taken seriously, and I seem to remember that even when I got my license
a long
> time ago that there were such 'conventions.'
>
> It is much better that reasonable guidelines be instituted, and strong
suggestions
> be made in the guidelines that the spectrum is to be shared amongst HROs
> according to the law and conventions. If there aren't reasonable
guidelines, and/or
> intolerant people who seem to emotionally need to be snobs, then the self
> policing will sometimes fail. Frankly, from what I have heard, the
current scheme
> seems to work okay, but there'll always be those people with too much
zeal!!! :-(.
>
> People do tend to be cliquish, and I have made various such mistakes in
> previous situations. It is important that the 'elders' avoid become
> condescending or turn into control freaks.
>
> I don't mean to compare hams with other community radio service
participants,
> but I remember my Dad talking about various groups believing that they
'own'
> certain CB channels (this was back in the 'Convoy' days). It is sadly
odd, if
> not almost funny to think that someone is so diluded into thinking that
they can
> have dominion over a resource like that. Again, times have probably
changed
> a little on CB, and I am not making that complaint about amateur radio (I
am
> just seriously thinking about ham again), but even good people can become
> petit and cliquish in situations that lend themselves to that kind of
behavior.
>
> I really believe in ham radio as a great hobby for kids of all ages
(including those
> who are retired :-)). Computers are great (and I am a very serious
computer
> person), but I'd like to see ham radio compete for alot of the mindshare
directed
> towards the CREATIVE parts of the computer hobby... (I am NOT confusing
the
> computer WWW addict with the real computer hobby of developing tools and
> applications that do interesting things.)
>
> Hopefully, I'll have collected enough info in the next week or so to be
able to
> embark on a new hobby...

>
> John

Well, as Roy Rogers would say...Happy Trails To You....

1794. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 06:18:50 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211222218.4e1c7d3e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55871

"K HB" <k hb@arrl.org> wrote in message
news:<lVyD9.4597$OZ4.445705@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote
>
> : I agree totally Hans.
> :
> : Dan/W4NTI
>
>
>
> I am convinced that incentive licensing remains the hands down all
> time winner of the DAIOTC (Dumb Assed Idea of The Century)
> award.

I strongly supported Incentive Licensing for a number of reasons. In
retrospect I might not today support it as strongly as I did back
then.

>
> When a lot of us got
> started in the hobby the bands were not subdivided like they are today

They certainly were subdivided and long before Incentive Licensing
came along. Techs couldn't operate below 30Mhz at all and Novices only
had wee slices of 3.5, 7, & 21Mhz cw @ 75w *input* plus some 2m phone
and no VFOs anywhere.

Incentive Licensing added a few additioanl twists to the existing
concept and set aside a lousy 25 Khz at the bottom ends of four HF
bands for Extra-only cw ops. Annoying to some, cleared a lotta local
qrm out those hot segemnts for others. Like ME. Worked.

So here we is, on one hand we came in here bitching to the heavens
about passing out freebie nocode tickets then turn around and bitch
about the FCC having tightened the screws a bit back then. Cute, gotta
love it.

The other side of the Incentive Licensing coin was that the FCC also
expanded the phone bands downward into the "dx only" portions where
U.S. ops had not been previously allowed. Operationally that was a
huge gain for us because it permitted on-freq vs. split-freq ops with
DX who were not and still are not allowed above, for instance, 3.800.
Incentive Licensing was not a one-way street at all.

By far the most pissed-off hams wrt to Incentive Licensing were the
Canadians who hung out below 3.800 and 7.200 to get away from our crap

and all of a sudden found themselves drowned by our newly enfranchised
hordes of Extras. Boy, there was some real warfare . .

Advanced Class licensses only had to take a written and lost some cw
space very few of them used anyway but they lost no phone space. I
agree with Dan, Generals got spanked but even they only lost a grand
total of 200Khz over six MF/HF bands. I ran those numbers once, turned
out that Incentive Licensing only affected something like 6% of our
<30 Mhz spectrum space. And that was before the WARC bands came along.
Big frigging deal.

Incentive Licensing was a bit of a lurch but it certainly was not a
"hand-wringing reinvention of ham radio". Note that ham radio has
grown many times over since then *despite* IL.

The message is that in the big picture today yammering on about
Incentive Licesnsing 34 years after it happened is a pointless
exercise for pedantic old farts like us with nothing better to do than
post unending reruns of ancient history, i.e., "the good old days".

> and
> it seems that we all, regardless of license class, worked together to
> improve
> the Amateur Radio Service.
>
>
> 34 years later we are still sliced and diced into 6 different classes,
> carefully
> divided into our own special frequency segments, and Lord protect the
> Extra who suggests that a new Technician might have something to
> contribute to our beloved Amateur Radio service.

Tote up the number of tech articles in QST an/or QEX written by Techs
in the past ten years vs. the number written by Extras.

>
> Back then, it seems that we all, regardless of license class, worked
> together to improve the Amateur Radio Service.

Gimme a break willya?!

>
> Back then, nobody got a special deal because they could beep faster or
> remember more electrical formulae than someone else.

Tell that to a 1953 Tech or Novice. I wuz one.

I got my Extra in
> 1964,
> well before disincentive licensing, and it was simply just another personal
> accomplishment, and did not "elevate" me above other hams. We all shared
> the
> same spectrum, worked together to solve the same problems, competed in

> contests, trouble-shot each others radios, and generally had fun together.
> If you forgot the numbers for the dipole formula, it was OK to ask someone
> else. If your Morse speed was < 10WPM the speedier guys would slow down for
> you, not QSY to some specially reserved band segment. The one or two "old
> timers" on 75 meters who didn't like newcomers ("No lids, no kids, not space
> cadets") were universally looked down on as poor examples of what a ham
> ought
> to act like.

Yeah, right. "One or two" . . not counting all the cranks with
political agendas, qrmers, slims . . You musta led one helluva
sheltered radio childhood or you have a very convenient memory.

> The Novice bands were a "cacophony of exuberance" to steal a
> term that K1ZZ used in a meeting here in Minneapolis.

No kidding, as if we had any other choices. Having only a year to get
to 13wpm or 'bye-'bye HF ham radio was the incentive from hell vs.
anything Incentive Licensing "did" to ham radio.

> Old hands
> were on those Novice bands also -- it was not unusual to hear W4KFC or W5ZD
> patiently working WN4KKN or WV2CNL -- nobody remarked that it was "nice" of
> them to do that -- it was just part of being a good ham. The notion that
> you
> had earned a special band segment never occurred to anyone

Horsepucky. Old Fartism was endemic and pervasive in the hobby in
1950. I wuz there too, ya went to club meetings and kept yer mouth
shut and listened if you wuz only a Novice or gawd forbid a wannabe.

-- we were just
> excitedly "playing in the ether", and there was no distinction on the air
> between Extra, Advanced, General, or Conditional
> -- elitist special callsign
> formats

Had precisly absolutely NOTHING to with the implementation of
Incentive Licensing in 1968, the callsigns game didn't start until
well into the 70s.

> to celebrate the fine granulations of your test taking/memorization
> skills had not been invented.

Umm . . I notice that you have one of those elitist pig 20wpm
FCC-tested 1x2 callsigns too Hans . . ?

>
> We'll never return to 'back then', unfortunately.

Thank God.

>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

w3rv

1795. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 06:46:33 UTC
From: Bill M <"exray\\@n-o- .caribe.net>">
Message-ID: <utu92ifvm5jmd4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 55872
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

John S. Dyson wrote:

>
> I do agree with that. It would be good if the unecessary cost of entry to HF
> 'Morse code' could be removed (limited by treaty) however.

VHF/UHF seems to be the experimenter's playground these days and the intl treaty doesn't prevent >30MHz licensing without code. HF will be sticky forever. You can work around the world with a watt on HF, and so can the garbage spouting out of an improperly operated rig, so the potential remains for interference to commercial services and thats why the Treaty is such as it is. Its not an argument that I'm going to try to hold my own on, however. I recall that Japan went to a 'communicator' license scheme back in the 70s-80s and no-coders were given privileges up to 20 watts on HF or something like that. The bands didn't turn into a zoo-like cacophony of echoes and roger-beeps. Maybe in Japan it did...I wouldn't know.

>
> I don't see a problem with Ham radio having a pure communicator class
> license, where there is little or no technical or code qualification. However,
> that class of operators should be limited to purchased gear at low power on
> VHF/UHF.

Well, with any drastic change like doing this on HF, there has to be some enforcement mechanism or else the existing rowdy ranks from 26-28 MHz have a real potential to screw things up for everybody on all bands. The FCC got out of the ham bizness many years ago when they turned over 'licensing' to the ARRL. The only time they even bother with enforcement of the Amateur Radio Service is when some nut goes berserk on 75 meters or a repeater and generates a ton of specific complaints. I don't think they want to go back to the patrolling mode like in the old days where if you said s**t on the air you'd automatically get an FCC notice (and a dozen ARRL OO notices)...and I don't think anybody wants to see that. But opening up HF would require such vigilence and we would all pay the price.

Bottom line is that- 1) 5 wpm ain't all that tough and 2) outsiders looking in to the amateur radio service don't necessarily have the same perspective of those already inside. Pity that it translates into a we vs. them scenario but thats human nature.

I've taught code classes with a hometown club and its very clear that some people simply can't master it but would be 'good' hams nonetheless. I'm not going to speculate about mental blocks and all that but I can

vouch for the ones that would jump up in the middle of a code-practice session and yell out "I ain't puttin' up with this s**t" and storm out of the room, yet 9-year-old kids were able to pass muster. I don't think they'd behave that way at the Driver's License office, so yeah, there's some level of committment that makes getting into the hobby something to work at, even if it may seem archaic. These same 'examples' are the same ones that will also piss and moan about putting their real name and address on a FCC License Application form.

There's a framework in place that allows most anybody whose knuckles don't drag the ground to get a ham radio license. The people who can't accomplish this...well, go figure.
/end rant

-Bill WX4A

1796. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 07:49:51 UTC
From: plampione@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <b30faff8.0211222349.40c283ed@posting.google.com>
Source record: 55876
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:<8YeD9.2356$4j5.1260780@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Peter Lampione" <plampione@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:b30faff8.0211211159.57a762c3@posting.google.com...
> > Oh I am quite willing to learn things in general!
> > But the point is, there are so many things to learn,
> > and I prefer to learn useful things to useless ones.
> > I'd rather spend time learning more about DSP, that can
> > be useful to me to post-process radio signals, than code,
> > that I am likely to never use.
>
> Actually learning all about DSP is useless as it's built into the radio and
> I don't have to do anything.

Wrong.
If you knew about DSP, you could easily hook up the radio to your laptop
and program, for instance, a scan mode that stops only on the "voice"
channels, and not simply where the signal is strong.
You could build your own noise-reducing filters.
You could get a programmable radio (Ten Tec?), and modify the firmware.

What you are saying is a bit like saying: Hey, I don't need to
know how to solder, my radio comes already soldered.

The fact is that more and more of the radio receiver functions in the
future will be done digitally. There are two main reasons:
it's more flexible, and it is easier and more repeatable
to manufacture digital ICs than analog ones.
In cell phones, in cordless phones, everywhere that radio
technology matters, digital is more and more used.
If you refuse to learn digital signal processing, pretty soon you will
understand about 5% of how a receiver works; the rest will be digital.
Of course, you will still be able to use code on archaic equipment,
but amateur radio should look forward, not backward.

Peter

Peter

1797. ARNewsline 1318 - Nov 15 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 08:22:52 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123032252.19745.00000266@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 55877

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1318 – November 15, 2002

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1318 with a release date of Friday, November 15, 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T. Ham radio is a lifeline after tornadoes hit on Sunday November 10th. Find out the details on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1318 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

RESCUE RADIO: HAM RADIO AND THE NOVEMBER 10th TORNADOES

Ham radio is called out after a series of tornadoes hit in several states east of the Continental divide. Thirty-five are confirmed dead. Damage is in the tens of millions. And ham radio becomes a life-line when all other communications fail. We start our coverage with Amateur Radio Newsline's David Black, KB4KCH, in our South-East bureau not far from one of the areas hardest hit:
--

For Alabama, the night of November 10th is one for the record books. The small town of Carbon Hill was devastated. Ten people were killed there, and damage was extensive following two tornadoes--one of them, an F3 that cut a path of destruction measuring 46 miles. In all, 12 people died in Alabama.

Two counties away from Carbon Hill, amateur radio operators were on duty at the Weather Service's Birmingham Forecast Office, where forecasters were issuing a total of 46 Tornado Warnings. The ham radio group that works with the Weather Service is called the Alabama Emergency Response Team, or ALERT. Chuck Arthur, KF4SSX, is ALERT's President:

--
Arthur: "It was very busy. We had four of our members down there from 4 p.m. until after 2 a.m. and we were busy the entire time we were down there"
--

At one point, more than half a dozen Alabama counties--stretching from eastern Tennessee to eastern Mississippi--were all under Tornado Warnings at the same time.

--
Arthur: "We are able to talk to all of the different storm-spotter nets across North Alabama and of coarse the National Weather Service coverage area and receive reports of damage, tornado touch-downs, hail and all of the important pertinent things that the Weather Service is interested in"

--

Arthur says emergency communications were conducted on VHF and UHF frequencies:

--
Arthur: “The storms came in from the South-West and moved all the way up through the North-East corner of the state three or four times in a row. We had multiple counties under tornado warnings at the same time so it makes it very busy”
--

To the north, Tennessee was slammed hard by killer twisters, too. 17 deaths were reported statewide, making the outbreak one of Tennessee's deadliest. President Bush declared 16 Tennessee counties disaster areas. From Louisiana to Pennsylvania, 88 tornadoes were reported.

Another community hit hard was Van Wert, a town in western Ohio. Fred Vobbe, W8HDU, of the DX Audio Service is in nearby Lima Ohio has that part of the story.

--
NOTE: DAVE BLACK TOSSES TO FRED VOBBE IN OHIO
--

Sunday was cool and quiet, but when storms racing across Indiana quickly it heated up the amateur community in Van Wert, Ohio. The call for amateur assistance came as Van Wert Ohio E.M.A. Director Rick McCoy, operating the Van Wert Amateur Radio Club's W8FY, alerted hams to an approaching storm.

The storm spawned one tornado in the community of Berne, Indiana, but by the time the storm arrived in Van Wert there were reports of as many as four separate funnels.

Listening to ham traffic on the 146.850 repeater and public service channels was like listening to an attack from enemy. Call after call relayed the fact that there were tornadoes on the ground. Homes, businesses, and even a large power feeder tower buckled and fell to the ground as the winds from the F4 tornadoes tore up the once pristine countryside.

The initial storm spotters tracked the storm system as it entered Van Wert county, and then exited into Putnam County where it then hit Continental, Ohio. Later the storm touched down again in Huron and Sandusky Counties on the south shore of Lake Erie.

At one point a ham radio operator solemnly reported assistance as he came across a fatal. Hams assisted in pulling people out of homes that were flattened. Four people died, two in Putnam, and two in Van Wert County. The death toll in west central Ohio could have been higher had spotters, and the Van Wert County E.M.A., not worked cooperatively together. Hams reported to the Van Wert E.M.A. and an alert system used by the county which warned a group of school kids who escaped from a theater just seconds before it was reduced to rubble, leaving a small Toyota in row one. Citizens had as much as 28 minutes to prepare for the storm due to the efforts of hams

Ham involvement did not stop with spotting. Again, working with the Van Wert County E.M.A., hams assisted in damage assessment, helped in local shelters, located downed power lines, kept traffic and the curious out of devastated areas, and assisted police, fire, and EMTS operators with communications. Some hams stayed through the night manning communication centers, which helped authorities concentrate on the task of finding the missing, and assessing the damage.

The storm was compared to the April 3rd, 1974, tornado in Xenia, Ohio, where 33 lost their lives. Had it not been for ham radio operators working closely with authorities the death toll in Van Wert and Putnam would have been much higher.

In west central Ohio, I'm Fred Vobbe, W8HDU, reporting for Newsline
--

You can see some of the damage from the storm at Fred's web site, www.vobbe.net/weather.

And one last thought: The tornadoes of November 11th are another reminder of the way a disaster can occur at any place and at any time. And when there is no other way to communicate ham radio is always ready to step in. (ARNewsline™)

**

RADIO HORROR: AMATEUR OPERATOR MURDERED IN GAMBIA

A United Kingdom ham has been killed while on vacation. And as Jeramy Boot, G4NJH, tells us, it appears as if he was the victim of a rather violent crime.

--
Ron Ford, G3NKO, has been murdered while visiting the Gambia. Ron, from Waterlooville in Hampshire, had built his own holiday accommodation in the Gambia, from where he operated as C56RF.

His body was found at the end of October and has been brought home for burial. It is thought that Ron was the victim of a robbery and the circumstances of the murder are now being investigated by officers of the Metropolitan Police.

Ron was an active member of the Royal Signals Amateur Radio Society, and was a regular check-in on the RSARS international net on 20-meters SSB from the Gambia. He had also held a number of other DX calls, including MP4BFH in Bahrain, MP4TBV from theTrucial States, VS2FN in Malaya and VS9AFR from Aden.

Jeramy Boot, G4NJH.
--

As we go to air there has been no word from Gambian officials on where its investigation of this crime is leading. (GB2RS)

**

RADIO SECURITY: BE CAREFUL WHAT YOU SAY ON THE AIR

Use discretion an the air and help defeat the terrorists. That’s the sub title of Bill Sexton’s MARS column appearing in the December issue of

Worldradio Magazine. And in it, Sexton, who holds the call N1IN says that American’s now face a new concern over the indiscreet transmission of material that my seem harmless but which could be used against us by a terrorist enemy.

By way of example, Sexton cites the well published airline schedules that were used by al-Queda terrorists to coordinate their September 11th attacks on New York and Washington. This is material still available on every airlines website.

Sexton says that we all must all be concerned with what the military calls Operational Security or OPSEC. And he indicates that it is very important for hams to consider what they are saying on the air because you can never tell who listening in. And he says that even the most seemingly innocuous tidbits of information, monitored by hostile ears are probably being handed on to the enemy’s intelligence analysts in the hope it will reveal some weakness or secret that can be used against us.

Sexton covers quite a bit about domestic security and how you can do your part. You will find it beginning on page 50 of the December issue of Worldradio Magazine. More information is on the web at www.wr6wr.com. (Worldradio)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the N9BHA repeater serving Northeast Indiana.

(5 sec pause here)

**

SPECTRUM POLICY: FCC CHAIRMAN WANTS TO REVAMP THE NATIONS RF AIRWAVES

The government's top communications regulator wants to revamp the nation's current policy on use of the public the airwaves. Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, has the details:

--
Federal Communications Commission Chairman Michael Powell says that he rejects the notion that there is not enough spectrum to go around for those who want it. And the FCC leader is proposing an almost total overhaul of the nations telecommunications policy involving radio frequencies used for everything from television broadcasts to wireless Internet devices and everything in-between.

Powell says he hopes technology and new FCC policies will take advantage of all empty and underused spectrum, possibly by using time sharing techniques. He says that recent tests conducted by his agency in five major U-S cities show that there are many under utilized spectral parcels available for sharing. This is especially true when some frequencies are used only at certain times of the day and are otherwise left empty.

What is evident is that the FCC is looking at ways to better utilize every hertz from D-C to light and beyond. And if a mandatory sharing of most spectrum is put in place there’s a good chance that all hobby and personal radio -- including ham radio -- will be sharing in some future yet to be established all-digital domain.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF.

--

The frequency bands referred to by Chairman Powell were not detailed in the news release. (Various FCC and other news releases)

**

SPECTRUM POLICY: AUSTRALIA ISSUES PAPER ON SPECTRUM USE

The United States is not the only place where spectrum use and bandspace is an issue. It’s also under study in Australia where Q-News Trevor Tague, VK4BAT, tells us what the Australian Communications Agency is doing:

--
The ACA has prepared a Discussion Paper outlining a range of issues relating to the operation of spectrum licensing and posing some questions for discussion.

The paper does not attempt to present the ACA's assessment of these issues but rather aims to provide a starting point for industry debate about the effectiveness of the spectrum licensing concept in the light of experience since its implementation in Australia some five years ago.

Trevor Tague, VK4BAT, reporting for Q-News.
--

The Australian Communications Agency is soliciting input on this matter from the citizens of V-K. (Q-News)

**

HAM RADIO EDUCATION: THE BIG PROJECT KEEPS GETTING BIGGER

Back here in the United States, the December issue of QST will feature an article on the American Radio Relay League’s Education and Technology program better known as “The Big Project”. And, according to an Internet posting to QRZed dot com by League President Jim Haynie, W5JBP, the program which got off the ground late last year grew to 26 schools in 2002.

When President Haynie proposed this project to the League’s Board of Directors, he said that it should be funded by donations, not dues. That’s exactly what has happened and he now says that he is gratified at the results to date.

W5JBP says that the Amateur Radio community has sent a loud and strong message that this is something that they want. He adds -- and we quote: “I, and the entire ARRL organization want to thank you for that support.”

At least 14 more schools are lined up to join the Big Project in 2003. The full text of Jim Hayne’s posting is at www.qrz.com. (Adapted from QRZ.COM)

**

ENFORCEMENT: WASHINGTON LAW NAILS SPAMMER

An Oregon man was ordered to pay nearly $100,000 in the first case brought under Washington state’s tough law against "spam" e-mails. Attorney General Christine Gregoire's office estimates that 28 year old Jason Heckel of Salem, sent as many as 20,000 unsolicited e-mails to Washington residents in 1998, trying to sell a $39.95 booklet called "How to Profit from the Internet."

The case was the first brought after the Legislature banned commercial e-mail with misleading information in the subject line, invalid reply addresses or disguised paths of transmission. Judge Douglass North ordered Heckel to pay a $2,000 fine and more than $94,000 in legal fees.

Heckel didn't appear in court. His lawyer Dale Crandall said he plans to appeal, Crandall says that state anti-spam laws violate the U.S. Constitution's protection of interstate commerce. (Published news reports)

**

ENFORCEMENT: OHIO HAM AGREES TO VOLUNTARY SUSPENSION

An Ohio ham has agreed to a voluntary one year suspension of his operating privileges. This after the FCC accused him of interfering with a Cincinnati area repeater system. The FCC’s Daryl Duckworth, NN0W, explains:

--
Duckworth: “The Enfocement Bureau, by agreement, imposed a one year suspension on Gary R. Weiler, KI8DI, for interference to the K8CLA repeater system in Cincinnatti. The interference occurred in March.”
--

Back in September the FCC sent Weiler a Warning Notice that alleged on several occasions he had deliberately interfered with the K8CLA repeater. That system is operated by the Cincinnati Amateur Radio Club which the FCC says assisted in tracing the interference to Weiler. By agreeing to the one year suspension Weiler avoided harsher enforcement action that could have included a hefty fine and the possible revocation of his amateur license. (FCC)

**

REPEATER COORDINATION: METROCOR SAYS COORDINATION IS BACK IN NNJ-NYC-LI

Repeater coordination appears to have returned in and around the New York City area appears. This with word that MetroCor has issued its first coordination documents on October 24th to repeater systems that have had no changes from their prior coordination.

This marks the first time for any organization to issue any coordination documents within the area since the collapse of the Tri-State Amateur Repeater Council several years ago. All repeaters in the New York City, Northern New Jersey and Long Island New York areas are being asked to file with MetroCor by

December 1st so that it can meet the submission date deadlines of the various repeater directories.

More information and necessary documents are in cyberspace at www.qsl.net/metrocor. (MetroCor)

**

RADIO LAW: COURT STRIKES DOWN DESCRIPTIVE VIDEO FOR THE BLIND

Bad news for the visually impaired. A Federal appeals court says that the FCC overstepped its authority in ordering broadcaster to provide descriptive video to accommodate the blind. Amateur Radio Newsline's Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, reports:

--
On Friday, November 8th the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit threw out Federal Communications Commission rules requiring television programs to include these oral descriptions of the action on the screen. In rendering its decision, the court said that Congress did not give the FCC the power to order the creation of this service.

The court maintained that Congress only authorized and ordered the commission to produce a report on how best to accommodate the needs of the visually impaired. Nothing more and nothing less. And that it definitely did not direct the agency to create a federal mandate that a descriptive audio service be made mandatory.

Under video description, a narrator describes the action during natural pauses between dialogue. The description is available on the secondary or SAP audio channel which is usually used for second language broadcasting.

The Motion Picture Association of America had challenged the rules in court. It claimed that the FCC was not authorized to issue them. Now, the U.S. Court of Appeals for the District of Columbia Circuit says that it agrees.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm, Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, in Los Angeles.
--

Blind advocacy groups are criticizing the court decision. They say its a setback for those who are visually impaired. (Published reports)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today's radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org.

**

HAM RADIO BUSINESS: KENWOOD TO RESTRUCTURE

Some big changes at a company considered one of ham radios “big three. Ken Butler, W1NNR, tells about the news coming from Kenwood:

--
Kenwood Corporation is merging five U.S. based subsidiaries. As part of the restructuring, Kenwood will combine home and car audio marketer Kenwood U-S-A, its repair company Kenwood Service, Kenwood Communications and Kenwood Systems with their parent Kenwood Americas. The merged entity will simply be called Kenwood.

As part of the merger, Kenwood will expand its U.S. based home and car audio engineering team. The communications business which includes Amateur Radio products will continue to maintain its own sales and marketing staff. The consolidation won't shut down any offices or warehouses and the company plans to add people in an engineering capacity.

Ken Butler, W1NNR.
--

The changes here in the United States are a are part of a total Kenwood corporate restructuring announced earlier this year. (ARNewsline™ from press release)

**

OUR HERITAGE: KFBK AT AGE 80

Former Amateur Radio Newsline reporter Ross Du Clair, WA6VWY, passes along word that Sacramento California radio station has made history. This, as KFBK-AM 1530 celebrates its 80th birthday this month.

Ross is the Chief Engineer for several stations including KFBK. He says that his station began as the broadcast arm of McClatchy Newspapers in February of 1922. McClatchy is best known as the publisher of the Sacramento Bee.

Today KFBK is owned by Clear Channel Communications, of Austin, Texas. Over the years, KFBK engineers contributed many innovations to the radio broadcast industry. This includes the creation of the Ampliphase Modulation system adopted by RCA.

The station officially celebrated its "birthday" Friday 8 November 2002 at the Sacramento Hyatt Regency. The legendary Rush Limbaugh was to be the guest of honor. Limbaugh began his meteoric rise in talk radio from the studios of KFBK. (WA6VWY)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: ISS EXPEDITION 6 ARE ALL HAMS

The new ISS Expedition 6 crew are all of licensed radio amateurs. They are U-S Astronauts Ken Bowersox KD5JBP and Don Pettit KD5MDT. Leading the team is Russian Cosmonaut Nikolai Budarin R-V3FB.

The launch of Space Shuttle Endeavour was planned for November 11th. It was halted only minutes before liftoff when a small oxygen leak was detected. A new launch date is tentatively set for Monday November 18th.

The STS-113 flight of Space Shuttle Endeavour is scheduled as an 11-day mission. During the seven days the shuttle will be docked to the Station, three spacewalks will be performed in addition to delivering the new crew. (AMSAT)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: THE LEONID METEORS ARE COMING

Sky & Telescope magazine reports the Leonid meteor shower is due to sweep across Earth during the early morning hours of Tuesday, November 19th. If the weather is clear, we could be in for a grand celestial show. And many meteor scatter enthusiasts are expected to be on the air attempting to make contact by bounding signals off the ionized meteor tails as they burn up in the planets atmosphere. (Various sources)

**

INTERNATIONAL - CANADA: RAC VP RESIGNS

Radio Amateurs of Canada has announced the resignation of is First Vice President Joe MacPherson. MacPherson cited irreconcilable interpersonal differences with some members of the Board of Directors as the reason for his reason to stand down from the RAC Executive. A replacement for MacPherson will be named within next few weeks. (RAC)

**

CONTESTS

Turning to the contest calendar, word that the Bulgarian L Z DX contest begins at 12:00 U-T-C on Saturday November 16th and runs for 24 hours. This is a C-W only event taking place on 80 through 10 meters. The approved contest exchange is an R-S-T report and your I-T-U Zone. (GB2RS)

**

DX

In D-X, word that OH0Z on Aland Island will be active during the November 23rd to the 24th CQ WW CW Contest. He will be operating in the single operator, all band category. QSLs via Ari Korhonen, OH5DX, at his callbook address. (GB2RS)

And KX7YT is active on 10, 15 and 20 meters SSB and PSK31 as S-21-Y-V from Bangladesh. He will be there through the 4th of December. Q-S-L this one as directed on the air. (Modern DX)

Higher in frequency, the GB3VHF 144 MHz propagation beacon was removed from service on November 2nd for maintenance and was expected to be for one week. (RSGB)

And the GB3BUX 50 MHz beacon has suffered antenna damage due to storms a couple of weekends ago. It is currently running at reduced capability as a result. Its sponsor says that repairs will be made as time and weather conditions permit. (RSGB)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: FCC HONORS WORLD WAR II COMANCHE CODE TALKERS

About a year ago Amateur Radio Newsline told you about a very special group of Native Americans who’s linguistic ability made battlefield communications secure during World War II. Now, the Federal Communications Commission has taken the time to honor this group for id dedication to our nation. Amateur Radio Newsline’s Norm Seeley, KI7UP, has the rest of the story:

--
The Comanche Code Talkers were members of a specialized communications unit of the U.S. Army 4th Signal Corps. And using their ancestral Comanche language they created an unbreakable code used by Allied Forces on the battlefields of Europe. Now, decades later our own Federal Communications Commission has paused to honor this group of Native Americans for their meritorious service to our nation.

Charles Chibitty of Tulsa, Oklahoma was the FCC's guest of honor at the recent ceremony. He told how he and 16 other young men, who had grown up together and were all members of the Comanche Tribe of Oklahoma, used the Comanche language and their Army communications skills to create a military intelligence code that was never broken by the enemy.

Even more interesting, Chibitty also shared anecdotes from their enlistment and training. He explained that they even had to create Comanche code words for "bomber" and "tank." These are words that did not exist in the Comanche language.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Norm Seeley, KI7UP.
--

Chibitty is a decorated veteran and the last surviving member of the 16 Comanche Code Talkers. You can read more at http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-228074A1.doc (CGC Communicator, FCC)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I’m Jim Damron, N8TMW and I’m Jeff Clark, K8JAC, saying 73 and we thank you for listening."
Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

1798. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 10:15:26 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123051526.27813.00006986@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 55878

>Subject: Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 11/22/02 6:14 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021122191410.24143.00000117@mb-cv.aol.com>

>Steps to better Amateur Radio

>1. Get rid of the word "Ham"

Why?

>2. Get rid of excess codewords.

What "codewords"..? EVERY hobby has some sort of activity-specific language...NASCAR, hang gliding, skateboarding...WHAT about Amateur Radio do you not understand? WHAT about Amateur Radio is too confusing for you?

>3. Discourage cults such as Wouff Hong

It's not a cult.

>4. Encourage Amateurs to not have their lives revolve around "Hamming".

...or hang gliding...or church activities...or sports...or television...or...

>5. Encourage Amateurs to lose weight.

You, of course, are the picture of health? You picture is on WHAT fitness magazine?

>6. Get more females, young people into Amateur radio.

How? Draft them? Club them and drag them into the cave, Tarzan? Maybe a centerfold of the month? Some snappy little 18 year old in a thong, seductively fondling some peice of Amateur gear each month?

>7. Get rid of QST and bring back Ham Radio magazine
> (but change the name to Amateur Radio magazine).

The "name" didn't have anything to do with the failure of the magazine, Anonymous One...The lack of general appeal did. QST has that. You'd get rid of QST for WHAT reason?QST embodies, to one extent or the other, ALL the ideas and programs you suggest, "Vshah". Perhaps if you paid more attetnion to the CONTENT of the magazine, NOT just all the pretty pictures!

>8. Get more people involved in: Homebrewing, radio direction finding,
>troubleshooting, use of test equipment, antenna building.

Those activities are open to any person (now) who cares to do them. What are you going to do? Make it a "requirement" to do the things YOU like to do to be a "Ham"?

>9. Positive publicity - positive publicity spots in newspapers, etc.
> Endorsements by celebrities, Amateur radio in movies.

Got money?

>10. More clout with politicians.

Got more money?

>11. Stress educational benefits, such as geography, etc.

That's already there, but YOU would undermine the ARRL in that pursuit. The ARRL sponsors numerous scholarships and encourages further technical experimentation. It's already a "happening" thing, Anonymous One.

>12. Collaboration with EE education and IEEE, not separation from them.

Where do you get this stupid stuff, "Vshah"...? No wonder you want to remain anonymous...I would too if I was making these many silly statements in public!

>13. Real development activities through Amateur radio clubs.

You mean like sponsor different activities through encouragement, support and experimentation...?

OOOOOPS! It's called the ARRL "Special Services Club's Program". Guess you missed it!

>14. Real tests of viability of certain modes by getting large numbers of
>people
>to test them.
>(Could be done in a contest).

Already being done.

>15. Get rid of the Morse code requirement.

Why? What will eliminating the Morse Code requirement do that the present licensing structure doesn't already provide?

P-L-E-A-S-E don't come at tme with all that rhetoric (already said, done, and proven false) about how all those people will knock down our doors to get licensed. "Novice Enhancement", The No Code Tech and "Restructuring" all promised to do the same thing. Present licensing already allows access to 97% of all Amateur allocations.

>16. Encourage an adequate number of coders - but don't tie this to the
>license
>classes.

And if we drop the complete code test requirements, "encouraging coders" will be just like "encouraging" the other pursuits you mentioned above...You

can lead them to water, but if you try to make em drink you'll only drown them.

>17. Morse certificate for 5 wpm, Morse with distinction for 13wpm

Already got that...It's called the ARRL Code Proficiency Certificate. You can get "distinction" as fast as you can go up to (I believe...) 45 WPM.

>18. Real license preparation classes to understand the material.

>19. Non-question pool format for written tests.

Two things we can agree on.

>20. Call the license classes Novice, Intermediate, Advanced instead of
>Technician, General, Extra

Why?

>(Clearer terminology and more respectful of achievement).

Why? Why not call them "Studied a Little", "Studied More" and "Studied a Lot" if that's what you want to achieve...? "Class C, Class B and Class A are even less offensive.

>21. Simpler "Operator" class that does not include practical circuits.
> (I bet with a more difficult test, many coders will end up here
>instead
>of Extras).

Why? I came up through the "old" test system, and studied/practiced hard in both parts. Why did code have anything to do with a siomple "operator" test? That's where most of the present "NCT's" are anyway....they are mostly just mic operators, too.

>22. Real Emergency communications training,
> (must demonstrate on actual equipment), instead of just written test.

WHAT in the heck are you talking about...?!?! First of all, as much as some try to downplay it, Field Day is all about learning how to move a radio station into a "field" enviroment, then operate it there for a period of time independent of the commercial power system or "prepared" station enviroment. The "contest" part of it allows you to have fun doing it!

Secondly, WHAT "written" test are you talking about? The ARRL's new "Emergency Communicaitons" program on-line? That, Anonymous One, is only a year old. The other 70+ years we've been doing it the "practical" way.

>23. Field day preparation meetings so everyone can do their best on field
>day.

Already happening. Do you think these multi-opertor/multi-transmitter stations that look more like a military operation come together just because a lot of guys meet at the local diner one day and say "let's just throw something together"...???

Sheesh!

>24. More real skill building activities

Such as? "Vshah", you really need to read something OTHER than RRAP to learn about what's going on in "Ham" radio beyond your obviously limited sphere of awareness. You need to read "QEX" once in a while.

>25. New awards. Instead of WAS and other volume based awards, recognition for >WAB(Worked All Bands), Distance awards, station setup time awards, etc.

You are constantly harping on the "WAS" thing, so I can only assume it's because you are an NCT with no immediate intention of upgrading.

That's a poor excuse. There are many folks who have WAS and DXCC on 50mHz and above only bands.

First of all, for your "WAB" idea, contesters and award chasers have purposely left certain bands out of routine awards to intentionally limit the activity to certain bands, thereby not "forcing" contesting-like operating on disinterested operators.

However there is 5BWAS (working WAS on the "traditional" bands, 80,40, 20 15 AND 10 meters), as there is 5BWAZ and 5BDXCC.

If you'd like to create a "new" award, I suggest you give it some thought, then talk to K1BV over at "CQ Communications". He has a book on the subject of awards that is pretty close to all-inclusive.

As for "distance" awards, I suggest you read QST a bit more thoroughly. There ARE standings for distances-worked, expecially for those who operate V/UHF.

A "distance" award on HF is moot. One QSO to Australia and you've done all you can do for "distance" on HF. There are several clubs that sponsor awards for ever-lower power QSO's for distance-worked. Again, I suggest you contact K1BV at CQ.

Lastly, Field Day is the ideal opportunity for "time to set up stations" awards. But who's gonna umpire that? I can throw a wire in the trees, drive a ground rod and be "on the air" with a "competent" station in less than 5-10 minutes. You gonna get "Samantha" from "Bewitched" to tweak her nose and pop a full HF field station up for you?

More than 50% of what you "suggest" for Amateur Radio is ALREADY a "happening thing", "Vshah"...YOU just need to pay more attention to it! Again, I suggest that your ignorance and unwillingness to expose yourself as being as uninformed as you obviously are, as reason for your anonymity...Perhaps if you'd ASK more instead of making wild assertions what ought to be...especially when your "suggestions" are already FACT.

Part of the FCC's reasoning, at one time, for the use of a "novice" identifier (WN, KN and WV callsigns) was to make it apparent that the novice licensee was just that...a novice...Not to "redicule" or otehrwise tease a person about their "newness", but to give them a helping hand. Perhaps we need to as the FCC to reinstate that? "Training Wheels" for the newly licensed?

"Vshah" needs SOMETHING so he can get some help.....

73

Steve, K4YZ

1799. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 10:24:19 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123052419.27813.00006988@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 55879

>Subject: Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/22/02 8:29 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021122212950.27467.00000729@mb-mf.aol.com>

>Okay, dickiebird, I agree that such a signing isn't amateur. The
> IEEE is a professional membership organization

But...but...but...LENNIE! Isn't that "rank/title/privilege" thinie, and
EXACTLY what you ridicule anyone with a 1x2/2x1 callsign for...???

>IEEE Life Members remain members, voting, etc., everything the
> same as "regular" members. They just don't pay any dues. Not
> required once the qualifications are met.

But...but...but...LENNIE! It IS a "rank/title/privilege" thing! THANKS
for showing us exactly how easy it is to zap a LennieRant with YOUR own
words...!!!

>Over a quarter million IEEE members,
> dickiebird.

...And almost three times as many licensed Amateurs...Also no extra money
to be paid once the qualifications are met. Too bad YOU haven't met those
qualifications yet, eh, Puztibird?

BBBWWWWHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! !

Thanks for the laugh, Lennie!

73

Steve, K4YZ
(no dues to pay, and met all the qualifications)

1800. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 10:28:35 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123052835.27813.00006990@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 55880

>Subject: Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 11/22/02 9:06 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021122220641.24143.00000119@mb-cv.aol.com>

>Isn't is sad how Morse fanaticism (and other cultism) is repelling the EEs

Too bad your ignorance blinds you, Anonymous One. I worked for an Atlanta-based company for a while that was an R & D firm. Of 15 lead engineers, 7 had Amateur licenses...None of them less than a General, and none of them a "restructured" General...All of them 13 wpm folks.

Stop being ignorant...That's Lennie's job.

Steve, K4YZ

1801. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 10:30:25 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123053025.27813.00006991@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 55881

>Subject: Re: How can we win?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/22/02 8:29 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021122212945.27467.00000726@mb-mf.aol.com>

>Not quite. The FCC has NEVER used the auction process for
> any US amateur band.

Fifty percent correct....They took the band first, therefore it was not an "amateur" band when it underwent the process. Nice try.

Steve, K4YZ

1802. Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 10:34:30 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123053430.27813.00006992@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 55882

>Subject: Re: Rrap - The Net (A proposal)
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/22/02 8:29 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021122212952.27467.00000731@mb-mf.aol.com>

>Just why is it "good policy" to stifle free discussion?

Since when is anything from YOUR keyboard "free discussion".?

>>Par for the course. Although claiming that he's only against the morse
>>test, his posts indicate wide ranging hatred for the mode, as well.
>
> Feel free to distort reality...that's also freedom of speech, but
> it is a rather hateful speech you speak just because you
> cannot take strong opposition to your viewpoints

What "opposition to your viewpoints", Lennie? You lie, you distort and
you fabricate. That's not "hateful speech", it's a matter of archived facts.

>But, it is no doubt satisfying to the insecure who cannot
> abide a strong argument to their golden viewpoints of faith.
> Shrug

A bit of sage opining from one who is himself insecure.

Cute.

Steve

1803. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 10:36:58 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123053658.27813.00006993@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 55883

>Subject: Re: 34 Years Ago Today
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/22/02 8:29 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021122212944.27467.00000725@mb-mf.aol.com>

>39 years ago this date, a President of the United States was
>assassinated by an ex-marine in Dallas, Texas.

A dark day in American history, but not relvent to Amateur Radio, even in
any reasonable analogy.

So why bring it up, save to obfuscate your lack of substance?

Steve

1804. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 10:50:31 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123055031.07780.00006645@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 55884

Steps to better Amateur Radio
1. Get rid of the word "Ham"
2. Get rid of excess codewords.
3. Discourage cults such as Wouff Hong
4. Encourage Amateurs to not have their lives revolve around "Hamming".
5. Encourage Amateurs to lose weight.
6. Get more females, young people into Amateur radio.

7. Get rid of QST and bring back Ham Radio magazine
(but change the name to Amateur Radio magazine).
8. Get more people involved in: Homebrewing, radio direction finding,
troubleshooting, use of test equipment, antenna building.

9. Positive publicity - positive publicity spots in newspapers, etc.
Endorsements by celebrities, Amateur radio in movies.
10. More clout with politicians.
11. Stress educational benefits, such as geography, etc.
12. Collaboration with EE education and IEEE, not separation from them.
13. Real development activities through Amateur radio clubs.
14. Real tests of viability of certain modes by getting large numbers of people
to test them.
(Could be done in a contest).

15. Get rid of the Morse code requirement.
16. Encourage an adequate number of coders - but don't tie this to the license
classes.
17. Morse certificate for 5 wpm, Morse with distinction for 13wpm

18. Real license preparation classes to understand the material.
19. Non-question pool format for written tests.
20. Call the license classes Novice, Intermediate, Advanced instead of
Technician, General, Extra
(Clearer terminology and more respectful of achievement).
21. Simpler "Operator" class that does not include practical circuits.
(I bet with a more difficult test, many coders will end up here instead
of Extras).

22. Real Emergency communications training,
(must demonstrate on actual equipment), instead of just written test.
23. Field day preparation meetings so everyone can do their best on field day.

24. More real skill building activities
25. New awards. Instead of WAS and other volume based awards, recognition for
WAB(Worked All Bands), Distance awards, station setup time awards, etc.

1805. Re: Immoral Truths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 13:36:49 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123083649.06624.00000001@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 55895

In article <20021122203509.24143.00000118@mb-cv.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) writes:

>Both the General Exam and Technician exams use question
>pools and are very easy.

For almost 20 years, all of the written exams have used published question pools. If you don't like it, argue with the FCC.

They are "very easy" if a person knows the material. They are not very easy to all newcomers.
>
>The Only Coders like the current tests because they can get the Gereral
>licence with not much more effort.

What is an "Only Coder"??
>
>>>I would upgrade if the code requirement was eliminated. I believe many
>>>others
>>>would too.
>>Thats a given, thats how all you CBplussers got here in the first place. But
>>as
>>usual you want more dumbing down to occur, just so you can upgrade.
>
>Are you calling ME a CBplusser? Thats generalizing.

What license do you hold, Vshah101? What is your callsign?

> Perhaps learning Morse code
>at the exclusion of the material is the dumbing down of Amateur Radio.

Nope. The written exams have changed independently of the code test. Example: Before April 15, 2000, getting a Technician required taking two separate written tests totalling 65 questions. Now the Tech requires a single 35 question test. No code test in either case. It's not the fault of new hams or old hams that FCC made that change.
>
>You made the claim that No-coders are CBplussers. Your claim was just an
>accusation.

He's just name-calling.

>So, I can make a similar claim: Perhaps many of the "Only" Coders
>want HF, but don't want to learn the material.

>Lets repeat my challenge again.Lets make the test non-question pool, so its a
>little challenging and no longer nontrivial.

I'm all for that. Now how are you going to convince the FCC to change the rules and make the tests secret again? That's the real problem.

If you can't get FCC to go along, it's not going to happen.

>Then lets get rid of the code requirement for General class.

Code testing is all but gone right now. 5 wpm, receiving only, all kinds of accommodations. S25.5 prevents total elimination.

Again, how you gonna convince the FCC?
>
>The result is that many "only" coders will not upgrade since it will takes
>some effort, when currently it is a trivial amount of extra effort.
>
>Then lets see how many "only" coders become Extras.

I got my Extra back in 1970, when the written tests were secret - no question pools. Getting to Extra back then meant taking 4 separate written tests and at least 4 separate code tests (13 wpm sending, 13 wpm receiving, 20 wpm sending, 20 wpm receiving). Ancient history - things aren't going back to that way.

Here's what "Vshah101" is really saying: He won't learn enough Morse to pass the 5 wpm code test, but he would be glad to impose written tests of far greater difficulty on all hams.

73 de Jim, N2EY

73 de Jim, N2EY

1806. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 14:02:18 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123090218.08937.00000208@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 55896

>Bruce acts like a jerk and
>you respond by trying to show that you have less class than he.
>
>Dave K8MN

Dave you got to be kidding, a JERK you say

1807. Re: Immoral Truths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 14:17:38 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123091738.08937.00000209@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 55897

>What license do you hold, Vshah101? What is your callsign?
>

That he will not answer, my guess hes a CBer, or a No-Code CBplusser

1808. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 14:48:46 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123094846.05588.00000101@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 55898

>Subject: Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 11/23/02 4:50 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021123055031.07780.00006645@mb-mn.aol.com>

>Steps to better Amateur Radio...(SNIP)

Why did you feel it necessary to post this twice?

1809. Re: Immoral Truths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 15:11:09 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123101109.07665.00006467@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 56003

>They are "very easy" if a person knows the material. They are not very easy
>to
>all newcomers.
The few young people that took the exam passed it after a one day license class, according to my local Ham club. The test is easy to all newcomers.

>What is an "Only Coder"??
This is the person that got into Ham Radio, for HF, contesting, ragchewing, etc and did not want to learn the written material.

The only coder then learned Morse code. The only coder did not learn the material, just memorized the questions in these one day license preparation session.

Since it was not too much effort, they can upgrade to General and Extra and gain those bragging rights.

The only coder often brags about how they are superior to no-coders and accuses no-coders of being CBplussers, when this may not be the case at all.

The only coder takes over Ham Radio and tries to pressure newcomers and Technicians licensed to learn the code.

>What license do you hold, Vshah101? What is your callsign?
I have a Technician class Amateur Radio license. I will upgrade to General if the code requirement goes away.

>Here's what "Vshah101" is really saying: He won't learn enough Morse to pass
>the 5 wpm code test, but he would be glad to impose written tests of far
>greater difficulty on all hams.
Correct. Morse code is irrelevant and it takes a long time to learn.

1810. Communication Corrections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 15:13:38 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <utv77brhsc469c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56004

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:arnpdk42nl0@enews2.newsguy.com...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:arh9pu$ao2$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> > In some parts of the radio spectrum I think its already made it.
> >
> > BUT.....I don't see anyone stepping in to correct a new comers
> mistakes...on
> > the air. So whose fault is it really?
>
> That's a shame.... I have done that locally speaking. Its just simply a
> matter of how ya do it. At first, I take the attitude of "Hey Joe Smith
(or
> whatever name), not sure if you were aware that "(wrong activity here)" is
> wrong, but here's a good way of doing it......." usually works the first
> time. Flagrant violators obviously need to be turned in though...
>

Are you talking about FCC Rules violations or "traditions" of amateur radio when you say "flagrant violators obviously need to be turned in though." I don't believe in "correcting" the practices of newcomers--as I've heard oldcomers using non-traditional practices as well. However, rules violations should be pointed out--more for the individual's protection than anything.

I have heard even people like Jim Haynie come on the air down here and correct people for the following:

XXXCall, from XXXCall.
(pause to wait for response)
XXXCall, from XXXCall.
(pause again)
Station not heard, XXXCall clear.

The correction Haynie made was that "station not heard" is not used on VHF frequencies [he may have even said ham radio, but I think he stated VHF]. Well, it may not be used by Haynie or people he respects and likes to communicate with; but there is no rule saying a person cannot end their call with "station not heard." In fact, I personally think it's rather proper and sends the message to all listening that the call is done, end of transmission. It also tells the person being called (in the event they are responding but not being heard) that they are not being heard.

> >
> > When I was initiating my on the air experience I was corrected, it

seemed
> on
> > a daily basis. I didnt' get my hackles up, I payed attention. Perhaps
> we
> > need some more of that...eh?
> >
>

Were you making rules violations to be corrected? Here's the thing: if someone gets on the air and starts saying, for instance, "10-4," so what? I might say something to them at a club meeting or an eyeball meeting, but I'm not about to jump on the freq police bandwagon and let that person know that "we don't do that on ham radio." Like I said, I hear oldtimers doing that and many other things that are considered "not amateur radio." I'd rather spend time communicating with someone than get into a difference over semantics with them about how they communicate. I don't care if someone says "yeah" "10-4" or "rodger dodger." If they are letting me know they heard the transmission and understand what I said, I couldn't care less about how they say it. And, the funny thing around here is, you'll hear the voice of someone whose identity is known very well, come on and make a correction of another and then end--WITHOUT a callsign ever being given. Or, typical even more is, the oldtimers will jump onto frequency making a call, right in the middle of a whole host of other people who've been ragchewing. See? It's just a matter of what mountain you choose to battle. Rules violations should be cautioned against. Communication standards in every day communication, though, is no big deal--in my opinion.

>
> Hey..... by all means if I error on the air, I hope that someone would
take
> the couple of seconds to enlighten me. After all... how else would ya
> learn?
>
>
> --
> Ryan, KC8PMX
> FF/MFR
> REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
>
>
>

If you're talking about any other than rules stuff, I'd say you enjoy being the frequency police. Just an observation.

Kim W5TIT

1811. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 15:17:49 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <utv7f5t7dv7v0f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56005

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3DDF100E.FEC8C4EE@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3DDDC5CF.AB9F3F1@earthlink.net...
> > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:20021120231418.18440.00000194@mb-fp.aol.com...
> > > > > > For instance, if you're typical...you're boastfulness and
> > > > > >assholiness indicates quite a small dick.
> > > > >
> > > > > WOOOOO TIT. I take it you most have Experience along those lines.
> > > >
> > > > Along what lines? Knowing guys who are assholes because of the size
of
> > > > their dicks...? Actually, I've only known one--my first husband.
He
> > was
> > > > small and a small man also. However, in many, many conversations
over
> > the
> > > > years with many women who've also had the same misfortune--it is
pretty
> > > > apparent that you guys with little "ones" have a real piss-poor
> > personality.
> > > >
> > > So, yeah, I've got quite a lot of experience to use as reference. I
> > notice
> > > > that you, on the other hand, didn't bother denying the inference.
> > > >
> > > Kim W5TIT
> > >
> > I see that Tugboat Annie is back, Camel dangling from her lips.
> > You're every bit as crude as Bruce, Kim.
> > >
> > Dave K8MN
> >
> > And you just read the articles, right, Dave?
>
> Why, no Kim. Then again, I didn't do a description for the amateur
> radio club or make a report on it at school or tack a graphic paragraph
> on a bulletin board at the grocery store. Bruce acts like a jerk and

> you respond by trying to show that you have less class than he.
>
> Dave K8MN
>

Dave, what a charlatan you are. My exchanges here are under your microscope for judgement, but your ridiculous carrying on with Len, Brian, etc., is quite OK? You're a fucking jerk...know that?

Kim W5TIT

1812. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 15:20:23 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <utv7lei1qcp87b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56006

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021123090218.08937.00000208@mb-fo.aol.com...
> >Bruce acts like a jerk and
> >you respond by trying to show that you have less class than he.
> >
> >Dave K8MN
>
> Dave you got to be kidding, a JERK you say

Get the idea, dork? You've offended everyone, on both sides of the
fence--you're a creep.

Kim W5TIT

1813. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 15:30:28 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123103028.07665.00006469@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 56007

> In other words just stick an application in the Box the Radio comes in, let
>you fill it out and send it back and you be a Ham.

My list does not indicate this at all, certainly not the whole list. From which
item can you arrive at this conculsion?

1814. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 15:35:01 UTC
From: "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <y7ND9.735$pc.148185@news.iquest.net>
Source record: 56008
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Bill M" <""exray\"@n-o- .caribe.net> wrote in message
news:utu92ifvm5jmd4@corp.supernews.com...
>
> Bottom line is that- 1) 5 wpm ain't all that tough and 2) outsiders
> looking in to the amateur radio service don't necessarily have the same
> perspective of those already inside. Pity that it translates into a we
> vs. them scenario but thats human nature.
>
I agree that 5wpm isn't all that tough for many people, but learning technical
competency with a REAL technical exam would be a better allocation of time
for newbies. Exclusive clubs based upon anachronisms isn't helpful. Exclusive
clubs based upon competency, public service and even creating a larger
'technical' gene pool isn't a perfect thing, but much more socially justifiable than
'secret handshakes' like Morse code.

John

1815. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 15:35:34 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <utv8gelaf62r86@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56009

"Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:EEND9.2591$4j5.1450917@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> I was being sarcastic and trying to point out that the same argument can
be
> used for anything that a person doesn't want to learn. Personally I
happen
> to think that there should be more basics included in testing. For
example,
> I think every ham should have to demonstrate that they can solder a
> connector to a coax successfully. However they don't have become
soldering
> experts.
>
> Dee Flint, N8UZE
>

Hi Dee:
That's exactly where I believe the focus should be for amateur radio licensing. I don't think the inclusion of a "mode" test (whatever mode it may happen to be) proves a thing for amateur radio licensing.

However, if there could somehow be included a test of skills that *will* absolutely be needed, then we'd have a much better system. The problem, as has been pointed out, is: how does the testing method accommodate ease, even and fair judgement of right or wrong, etc. If a testing process were introduced that does away with CW and replaces it with something like soldering, or putting together an APRS/packet station, or programming some radio or something--the VEs now have to make provision for having things like soldering guns and pieces of coax, etc. You get the picture.

I think it would be absolutely g-r-e-a-t if CW testing went away and was replaced with something that proves far more in practicality and at least *some* knowledge that will be used, indeed must be used, for good amateur practice! I would even go for the written exams being much tougher, not multiple choice, and certainly not having the question pools available. I didn't "study" for my licensing; I memorized. And, for instance, I could never remember frquency ranges/numbers, so I completely disregarded that part of even the memorization and super-guessed any that I encountered on the exams. Do I feel bad for that? Hell no. The structure allowed it, and I can look up things that I need to know.

Well, that's my two cents worth.

Kim W5TIT

1816. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 15:44:16 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <aro7og$on4$0@208.206.142.110>
Source record: 56010
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Peter Lampione wrote:
>
> What you are saying is a bit like saying: Hey, I don't need to
> know how to solder, my radio comes already soldered.
>

And that's like saying "I only want to learn what I want to learn for my license test, and that doesn't include Morse code, even though it is a requirement".

> The fact is that more and more of the radio receiver functions in the
> future will be done digitally.

Only *some* receivers. Generally built in factories by electronic professionals, not your average homebrewing ham. Yes, those receivers are marvelous. And demanding of advanced technical skills not found in the general amateur radio population, nor likely to be found there in the future where testing requirements don't demand such skill and knowledge. That's to be expected since only a small portion of hams are professionals who have that advanced training and skill. Far more are skilled at such "archaic" but very effective communications modes as radiotelegraphy. Many of those hams also are users of advanced digital modes, but few are trained in the development of those modes. Most are just happy to be users of them. That doesn't mean there are no technical skills among the general ham population. It DOES mean that technical skills among hams are far more likely to lie in other than the latest djgjtal technology. I don't see that changing as long as simple tests are all that are required for entry into ham radio.
While new hams who are serious about learning to engage in their newfound pursuits, few have the background to leap onto the digital design bandwagon. I believe fact that will forestall any great amount of digital design work by hams other than the smaller portion of the hobby who are already so engaged.

There are two main reasons:
> it's more flexible, and it is easier and more repeatable
> to manufacture digital ICs than analog ones.
> In cell phones, in cordless phones, everywhere that radio
> technology matters, digital is more and more used.

The operative term here is "used". Hams are more and more users of advanced technology and less and less designers. Most design by ordinary hams is at a level of technology below digital design using advanced ICs. I can't imagine why this should be surprising to anyone. Bu it always seems to bring forth dire predictions for the demise of the ARS

because of it. Won't happen. Our future is far more dependent on our emergency communications capabilities, and that demands little or no digital design skill.

> If you refuse to learn digital signal processing, pretty soon you will
> understand about 5% of how a receiver works; the rest will be digital.

Only the design of the newest receivers. Pure digital radio is still far in the future, save a niche element which is normal for advancement in the field. Analog radio is far from being ill, and very far from dying.

> Of course, you will still be able to use code on archaic equipment,
> but amateur radio should look forward, not backward.

That's your opinion, shared only by other similarly situated technical types. Most of us hams believe we should look both forward *and" backward. We have a great heritage and most of us won't forget where we came from and how we got here as we move into the future.

Dick

>
> Peter
>
> Peter

1817. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 15:46:09 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123104609.07665.00006476@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 56011

>
>And you really think were getting that now with the Dumbed Down writtens.
Seems like most Hams want it to be dumbed down.

Few clubs, Hamfests, Conventions emphacize technical competence.

The clubs mostly have just meetings.More active clubs with real activities,
training classes, field day preparation would improve the technical competence.

The activities can include: Homebrewing, radio direction finding, tuning
receivers, antenna building and adjusting for SWR, test equipment operation,
using the digital modes, setting up stations for field day.

1818. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 15:49:47 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <vsND9.2587$4j5.1449432@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 55899
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:arnpdd72nl0@enews2.newsguy.com...
>
> Step 6: Complain to the ARRL when-ever you hear an idiot on the air.
>
> They are not our governing body, nor will I ever accept them as our
> "governing body." It is bad enough that they have taken over the testing
> duties.
>

They are not and never have been our governing body. They are merely the
largest ORGNIZED group of amateurs. Thus we can have some clout in the
political arena and get heard by government policy makers.

Note that ARRL did not "take over" testing duties. There are many VECs
accepted by the FCC. All the VEC organizations have to meet with each other
on a regular basis and agree to each question pool, how often that pool is
changed, whether it is multiple choice, etc. If ARRL had "taken over,"
they would be in sole control of the testing. They are not. There is W%YI,
SANDARC, and a half a dozen or more other organizations.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

1819. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 15:51:04 UTC
From: OC_CAM's_Razor <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <3DDFA3E8.892A45FE@ieee.org>
Source record: 56012
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Dee D. Flint" wrote:
> I think every ham should have to demonstrate that they can solder a
> connector to a coax successfully.

I've been a ham for 50 years and an engineer for 43 years and
I still can't solder a PL-259 to RG-213.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1820. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 15:59:09 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <hBND9.2589$4j5.1450313@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 55900
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net> wrote in message
news:0HED9.725$pc.146163@news.iquest.net...
>
>
> I don't see a problem with Ham radio having a pure communicator class
> license, where there is little or no technical or code qualification.
However,
> that class of operators should be limited to purchased gear at low power
on
> VHF/UHF.
>

That's basically the definition of GMRS and FMRS.

1821. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 16:01:10 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDFA646.B46096EE@mailcity.net>
Source record: 56046
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > >
> > > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > > > Brenda Ann wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > I'm far from a computer guru, but aren't all high level computer
> > > > > languages written in assembly/machine code? Aren't they all just
> > > > > basically interfaces between the processor (machine language) and
> > > > > the operator??
> > > >
> > > >> Machine code is the lowest level code, when you write in machine
> > > > language you are coding directly in the language the machine
> > > > understands. An example of a high level language is BASIC which is
> > > > written using English, such as GOTO, IF, THEN, LET A = B, PRINT,
> > > > ect. The high level language either uses an interpreter, which
> > > > converts the high level code to machine language on the fly, or it
> > > > is compiled which converts the high level code to machine language
> > > > in an executable file (.exe).
> > > >
> > > You mispelt software.
> > >
> >
> >> I don't see the word software anywhere in either post. You do tend
> > to read things that aren't there though.
> >
> You mispelt it again..<g>

Please point out were it was 'mispelt' the first time.
```

1822. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 16:02:44 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <EEND9.2591$4j5.1450917@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 56001
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

I was being sarcastic and trying to point out that the same argument can be used for anything that a person doesn't want to learn. Personally I happen to think that there should be more basics included in testing. For example, I think every ham should have to demonstrate that they can solder a connector to a coax successfully. However they don't have become soldering experts.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

"Peter Lampione" <plampione@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:b30faff8.0211222349.40c283ed@posting.google.com...
> "Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:<8YeD9.2356$4j5.1260780@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>...
> > "Peter Lampione" <plampione@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:b30faff8.0211211159.57a762c3@posting.google.com...
> > > Oh I am quite willing to learn things in general!
> > > But the point is, there are so many things to learn,
> > > and I prefer to learn useful things to useless ones.
> > > I'd rather spend time learning more about DSP, that can
> > > be useful to me to post-process radio signals, than code,
> > > that I am likely to never use.
> >
> > Actually learning all about DSP is useless as it's built into the radio
and
> > I don't have to do anything.
>
> Wrong.
> If you knew about DSP, you could easily hook up the radio to your laptop
> and program, for instance, a scan mode that stops only on the "voice"
> channels, and not simply where the signal is strong.
> You could build your own noise-reducing filters.
> You could get a programmable radio (Ten Tec?), and modify the firmware.
>
> What you are saying is a bit like saying: Hey, I don't need to
> know how to solder, my radio comes already soldered.
>
> The fact is that more and more of the radio receiver functions in the
> future will be done digitally. There are two main reasons:
> it's more flexible, and it is easier and more repeatable
> to manufacture digital ICs than analog ones.
> In cell phones, in cordless phones, everywhere that radio
> technology matters, digital is more and more used.
> If you refuse to learn digital signal processing, pretty soon you will
> understand about 5% of how a receiver works; the rest will be digital.

> Of course, you will still be able to use code on archaic equipment,
> but amateur radio should look forward, not backward.
>
> Peter
>
>
> Peter

1823. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 16:03:25 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDFA6CD.97FDDC98@mailcity.net>
Source record: 56047
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > >
> > > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > > > Brenda Ann wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > I'm far from a computer guru, but aren't all high level computer
> > > > > languages written in assembly/machine code? Aren't they all just
> > > > > basically interfaces between the processor (machine language) and
> > > > > the operator??
> > > > >
> > > >> Machine code is the lowest level code, when you write in machine
> > > > language you are coding directly in the language the machine
> > > > understands. An example of a high level language is BASIC which is
> > > > written using English, such as GOTO, IF, THEN, LET A = B, PRINT,
> > > > ect. The high level language either uses an interpreter, which
> > > > converts the high level code to machine language on the fly, or it
> > > > is compiled which converts the high level code to machine language
> > > > in an executable file (.exe).
> > > > >
> > > > You mispelt software.
> > > >
> > >
> > >> I don't see the word software anywhere in either post. You do tend
> > > to read things that aren't there though.
> > >
> > You mispelt it again..<g>

And just for the record, how do you spell it? Every where I see
the word, it is spelled 'software'.
```

1824. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 16:08:19 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <TJND9.2592$4j5.1451353@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 56002

Many might view your proposed names as a put down of everyone who isn't an Extra. Afterall who wants to be considered "second class" or less.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message news:arnpdn72nl0@enews2.newsguy.com...
> I still think that the names of the license classes should be changed to the
> following.....
>
> First Class License..... Extra Class
> Second Class.....Advanced Class (till they run out of currently
> licensed ones....)
> Third Class .....General Class
> Fourth Class.....Technician with code
> Fifth Class.....Technician without code
> Six Class.....Novice (till they run out of currently licensed
> ones....)
>
>
> After the old novices and advanced are gone from expiration or advancement,
> it could be something like this:
>
> First Class------Extra Class
> Second Class---General Class
> Third Class------Coded Technician
> Fourth Class-----No-Coded Technician
>
>
> Just a though....
>
>
> --
> Ryan, KC8PMX
> FF/MFR
> REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
>
>
>
> "Symbolism is for the simple minded....."
>
>

>

1825. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 16:09:50 UTC
From: pm4hire@excite.com
Message-ID: <52a66032.0211230809.30598869@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56014
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Check Your Stats:

On a per capita basis, the ham radio community is shrinking and going nowhere. And on a per capita basis, Japan has twice as many ham radio operators as the United States.

The ham radio community is 50 years behind the times by holding on to this code thing.

The ham radio community has a very negative public image, so women and young people wouldn't take part in a hobby that's dominated by semingly bunch of old farts totally lacking in basic social skills.

Would you want to spend a Saturday evening having dinner with a ham radio operator?

I don't think so!

Hey guys, it is 2002, not 1952.

Tom Welch

1826. Re: Immoral Truths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 16:17:04 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <utvafmka4d6u8c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56015

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021123101109.07665.00006467@mb-mn.aol.com...
<SNIP>
> >What license do you hold, Vshah101? What is your callsign?
> I have a Technician class Amateur Radio license. I will upgrade to General
if
> the code requirement goes away.
>
> >Here's what "Vshah101" is really saying: He won't learn enough Morse to
pass
> >the 5 wpm code test, but he would be glad to impose written tests of far
> >greater difficulty on all hams.
> Correct. Morse code is irrelevant and it takes a long time to learn.

Wrong!!! Morse code is VERY relevant due to the fact that it's a
license requirement to get on HF at this time. When it's not a requirement
then it will be irrelevant, but only to getting a license not to Amateur
radio as a whole.

BTW two months ago I was just a No Code Tech, but I wanted
to get on HF and I did what was required to make it happen.
Stop whining and do some work!

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

1827. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 16:23:26 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aroa30uia1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56016

Talk about dumbing down of ham radio. Who is this geek anyway. Sounds
like Andy to me.

Dan/W4NTI

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021122200057.20084.00000134@mb-dh.aol.com...
> >Steps to better Amateur Radio
> >1. Get rid of the word "Ham"
> >2. Get rid of excess codewords.
> >3. Discourage cults such as Wouff Hong
> >4. Encourage Amateurs to not have their lives revolve around "Hamming".
> >5. Encourage Amateurs to lose weight.
> >6. Get more females, young people into Amateur radio.
> >
> >7. Get rid of QST and bring back Ham Radio magazine
> > (but change the name to Amateur Radio magazine).
> >8. Get more people involved in: Homebrewing, radio direction finding,
> >troubleshooting, use of test equipment, antenna building.
> >
> >9. Positive publicity - positive publicity spots in newspapers, etc.
> > Endorsements by celebrities, Amateur radio in movies.
> >10. More clout with politicians.
> >11. Stress educational benefits, such as geography, etc.
> >12. Collaboration with EE education and IEEE, not separation from them.
> >13. Real development activities through Amateur radio clubs.
> >14. Real tests of viability of certain modes by getting large numbers of
> >people
> >to test them.
> >(Could be done in a contest).
> >
> >15. Get rid of the Morse code requirement.
> >16. Encourage an adequate number of coders - but don't tie this to the
> >license
> >classes.
> >17. Morse certificate for 5 wpm, Morse with distinction for 13wpm
> >
> >18. Real license preparation classes to understand the material.
> >19. Non-question pool format for written tests.
> >20. Call the license classes Novice, Intermediate, Advanced instead of
> >Technician, General, Extra
> >(Clearer terminology and more respectful of achievement).
> >21. Simpler "Operator" class that does not include practical circuits.
> > (I bet with a more difficult test, many coders will end up here
> >instead

> >of Extras).
> >
> >22. Real Emergency communications training,
> > (must demonstrate on actual equipment), instead of just written
test.
> >23. Field day preparation meetings so everyone can do their best on field
> >day.
> >
> >24. More real skill building activities
> >25. New awards. Instead of WAS and other volume based awards, recognition
for
> >WAB(Worked All Bands), Distance awards, station setup time awards, etc.
> >
> In other words just stick an application in the Box the Radio comes in,
let
> you fill it out and send it back and you be a Ham.

1828. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 16:24:50 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aroa59$m5k$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56017

The clubs (at least the ones I was involved with) did those things.
Unfortunatly the 'new members' had other ideas. Catch my drift?

Dan/W4NTI

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021123104609.07665.00006476@mb-mn.aol.com...
> >
> >And you really think were getting that now with the Dumbed Down writtens.
> Seems like most Hams want it to be dumbed down.
>
> Few clubs, Hamfests, Conventions emphacize technical competence.
>
> The clubs mostly have just meetings.More active clubs with real
activities,
> training classes, field day preparation would improve the technical
competence.
>
> The activities can include: Homebrewing, radio direction finding, tuning
> receivers, antenna building and adjusting for SWR, test equipment
operation,
> using the digital modes, setting up stations for field day.

1829. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 16:31:40 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aroaid$v97$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56018

Actually Kim that is not a bad idea. Although not a new one. The old 'Communist Block' nations used a similar system. Also one had to actually accomplish specific things on the air to be able to upgrade. Example; work 20 countries on CW, log 250 cw stations to even apply for a ticket. ETC. These are just off the top of my head and just a representation of what I was told went on.

As for the US system I think it is actually detremental. Considering the on the air stuff you hear (at least on HF) something is lacking somewhere. When a Extra class operator can't even figure how to cut a 1/2 wave dipole then we are in trouble.

Dan/W4NTI

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message news:utv8gelaf62r86@corp.supernews.com...
> "Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:EEND9.2591$4j5.1450917@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> > I was being sarcastic and trying to point out that the same argument can
> be
> > used for anything that a person doesn't want to learn. Personally I
> happen
> > to think that there should be more basics included in testing. For
> example,
> > I think every ham should have to demonstrate that they can solder a
> > connector to a coax successfully. However they don't have become
> soldering
> > experts.
> >
> > Dee Flint, N8UZE
> >
>
> Hi Dee:
> That's exactly where I believe the focus should be for amateur radio
> licensing. I don't think the inclusion of a "mode" test (whatever mode it
> may happen to be) proves a thing for amateur radio licensing.
>
> However, if there could somehow be included a test of skills that *will*
> absolutely be needed, then we'd have a much better system. The problem,
as
> has been pointed out, is: how does the testing method accommodate ease,
even
> and fair judgement of right or wrong, etc. If a testing process were
> introduced that does away with CW and replaces it with something like
> soldering, or putting together an APRS/packet station, or programming some

> radio or something--the VEs now have to make provision for having things
> like soldering guns and pieces of coax, etc. You get the picture.
>
> I think it would be absolutely g-r-e-a-t if CW testing went away and was
> replaced with something that proves far more in practicality and at least
> *some* knowledge that will be used, indeed must be used, for good amateur
> practice! I would even go for the written exams being much tougher, not
> multiple choice, and certainly not having the question pools available. I
> didn't "study" for my licensing; I memorized. And, for instance, I could
> never remember frquency ranges/numbers, so I completely disregarded that
> part of even the memorization and super-guessed any that I encountered on
> the exams. Do I feel bad for that? Hell no. The structure allowed it,
and
> I can look up things that I need to know.
>
> Well, that's my two cents worth.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

1830. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 16:34:30 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aroaneonl1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56019

Correct. Considering that a major reason Amateur Radio is permitted is to be able to provide emergency communications when needed.

Knowing CW just adds to the individuals ability to provide it.

5 WPM is adequate. Those that want to go higher can. The others can stop their whinning since they wont 'have to'.

Dan/W4NTI

"Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message news:YsOD9.2598$4j5.1456356@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> However, I consider CW one of those basic skills that should be kept and
> tested on.
>
> Dee Flint, N8UZE.
>
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> news:utv8gelaf62r86@corp.supernews.com...
> > "Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> > news:EEND9.2591$4j5.1450917@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> > > I was being sarcastic and trying to point out that the same argument can
> > be
> > > used for anything that a person doesn't want to learn. Personally I
> > happen
> > > to think that there should be more basics included in testing. For
> > example,
> > > I think every ham should have to demonstrate that they can solder a
> > > connector to a coax successfully. However they don't have become
> > soldering
> > > experts.
> > >
> > > Dee Flint, N8UZE
> > >
> >
> > Hi Dee:
> > That's exactly where I believe the focus should be for amateur radio
> > licensing. I don't think the inclusion of a "mode" test (whatever mode it
> > may happen to be) proves a thing for amateur radio licensing.
> >
> > However, if there could somehow be included a test of skills that *will*
> > absolutely be needed, then we'd have a much better system. The problem,
> as

> > has been pointed out, is: how does the testing method accommodate ease,
> even
> > and fair judgement of right or wrong, etc. If a testing process were
> > introduced that does away with CW and replaces it with something like
> > soldering, or putting together an APRS/packet station, or programming
some
> > radio or something--the VEs now have to make provision for having things
> > like soldering guns and pieces of coax, etc. You get the picture.
> >
> > I think it would be absolutely g-r-e-a-t if CW testing went away and was
> > replaced with something that proves far more in practicality and at
least
> > *some* knowledge that will be used, indeed must be used, for good
amateur
> > practice! I would even go for the written exams being much tougher, not
> > multiple choice, and certainly not having the question pools available.
I
> > didn't "study" for my licensing; I memorized. And, for instance, I
could
> > never remember frquency ranges/numbers, so I completely disregarded that
> > part of even the memorization and super-guessed any that I encountered
on
> > the exams. Do I feel bad for that? Hell no. The structure allowed it,
> and
> > I can look up things that I need to know.
> >
> > Well, that's my two cents worth.
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1831. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 16:38:30 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021123113830.28561.00001951@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 56020

In article <arnpdg02nl0@enews2.newsguy.com>, "KC8PMX"
<kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> writes:

>Yep... overall the hobby is declining, but it is not from the testing
>procedures. Anything viewed worthwile by someone is worth pursuing as well
>as whatever obstacles along the way. The root of the problem is in the PR
>side. I still run into tons of people that didn't even know ham radio
>existed. A much smaller group associates it with CB from my own local area
>experiences. We need to promote the hobby more. We need to get ham radio
>into the schools, associate it with the cirriculums. We need to start doing
>things that WILL get people coming to us, to become hams. Testing sessions
>with a mere few testee's definitely reflects that there is a problem with
>promotion of the hobby. But that would take actual action by people and god
>forbid that eh????

Don't get me started on the publicity issue -- again! I've dropped that
wrecking ball on the head of any ARRL official within earshot for the
last several years -- usually with the same reply: If you want the
ARS publicized, YOU'VE gotta do it! Right. I'm going to place ads on
prime-time TV. I'm going to make Public Service Announcements on
broadcast radio and cable TV channels. I'm going to put half-page
ads in the major newspapers. Right.

What we need is mass media advertising. I've already suggested that
one way to get it is to enlist the services of ham celebrities, who have
contacts in the media industry and the talent to produce advertising
messages that will appeal to a young, technically-involved group of
potential new hams. With the ARS in what can only be called a state
of decline, I believe that the ARRL needs to make public awareness
of amateur radio it's Prime Directive. They need to reduce QST
magazine to a newsprint rag with black-and white photos, the need to
eliminate virtually all paid HQ positions (particularly the lady who came
up with the "Diamond Club" turkey), and take as much of their
overhead as possible out of the budget -- and throw that money into
a national, mass-media publicity program. Each and every ham
who is an ARRL member should then be asked to contribute the
exact sum of $100 to further finance this effort. I myself would send
the first check, as long as all of the previous steps had been already
taken.

73 de Larry, K3LT

1832. Re: Communication Corrections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 16:44:47 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arobb1mlg1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56021

Your right and your wrong Kim. Traditions and operation proceedures are
important parts of radio operation. And of course rules are rules.

I think what is being discussed is blatent violations of what is considered
'normal ham radio etiquite'. Perhaps by todays standards somewhat
antiquated but still necessary.

Using ten codes on ham radio is improper. Why? because we dont do that.

See what I mean? traditions do count for something.

All of which will change I am sure. As for Mr. ARRL......he reminds me of
others that spend most of their time off the air...grin.

Dan/W4NTI

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:utv77brhsc469c@corp.supernews.com...
> "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
> news:arnpdk42nl0@enews2.newsguy.com...
> >
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:arh9pu$ao2$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > In some parts of the radio spectrum I think its already made it.
> > >
> > > BUT.....I don't see anyone stepping in to correct a new comers
> > mistakes...on
> > > the air. So whose fault is it really?
> >
> > That's a shame.... I have done that locally speaking. Its just simply a
> > matter of how ya do it. At first, I take the attitude of "Hey Joe Smith
> (or
> > whatever name), not sure if you were aware that "(wrong activity here)"
is
> > wrong, but here's a good way of doing it......." usually works the first
> > time. Flagrant violators obviously need to be turned in though...
> >
>
> Are you talking about FCC Rules violations or "traditions" of amateur
radio
> when you say "flagrant violators obviously need to be turned in though."
I
> don't believe in "correcting" the practices of newcomers--as I've heard
> oldcomers using non-traditional practices as well. However, rules
> violations should be pointed out--more for the individual's protection
than

> anything.
>
> I have heard even people like Jim Haynie come on the air down here and
> correct people for the following:
>
> XXXCall, from XXXCall.
> (pause to wait for response)
> XXXCall, from XXXCall.
> (pause again)
> Station not heard, XXXCall clear.
>
> The correction Haynie made was that "station not heard" is not used on VHF
> frequencies [he may have even said ham radio, but I think he stated VHF].
> Well, it may not be used by Haynie or people he respects and likes to
> communicate with; but there is no rule saying a person cannot end their
call
> with "station not heard." In fact, I personally think it's rather proper
> and sends the message to all listening that the call is done, end of
> transmission. It also tells the person being called (in the event they
are
> responding but not being heard) that they are not being heard.
>
> > >
> > > When I was initiating my on the air experience I was corrected, it
> seemed
> > on
> > > a daily basis. I didnt' get my hackles up, I payed attention.
Perhaps
> > we
> > > need some more of that...eh?
> > >
> >
>
> Were you making rules violations to be corrected? Here's the thing: if
> someone gets on the air and starts saying, for instance, "10-4," so what?
I
> might say something to them at a club meeting or an eyeball meeting, but
I'm
> not about to jump on the freq police bandwagon and let that person know
that
> "we don't do that on ham radio." Like I said, I hear oldtimers doing that
> and many other things that are considered "not amateur radio." I'd rather
> spend time communicating with someone than get into a difference over
> semantics with them about how they communicate. I don't care if someone
> says "yeah" "10-4" or "rodger dodger." If they are letting me know they
> heard the transmission and understand what I said, I couldn't care less
> about how they say it. And, the funny thing around here is, you'll hear
the
> voice of someone whose identity is known very well, come on and make a
> correction of another and then end--WITHOUT a callsign ever being given.

> Or, typical even more is, the oldtimers will jump onto frequency making a
> call, right in the middle of a whole host of other people who've been
> ragchewing. See? It's just a matter of what mountain you choose to
battle.
> Rules violations should be cautioned against. Communication standards in
> every day communication, though, is no big deal--in my opinion.
>
> >
> > Hey..... by all means if I error on the air, I hope that someone would
> take
> > the couple of seconds to enlighten me. After all... how else would ya
> > learn?
> >
> >
> > --
> > Ryan, KC8PMX
> > FF/MFR
> > REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
> >
> >
> >
>
> If you're talking about any other than rules stuff, I'd say you enjoy
being
> the frequency police. Just an observation.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

1833. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 16:45:44 UTC
From: Bill M <"exray\\@n-o- .caribe.net>">
Message-ID: <utvc5nas6gdef1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

John S. Dyson wrote:
> "Bill M" <""exray\"@n-o- .caribe.net> wrote in message
news:utu92ifvm5jmd4@corp.supernews.com...
>
>>Bottom line is that- 1) 5 wpm ain't all that tough and 2) outsiders
>>looking in to the amateur radio service don't necessarily have the same
>>perspective of those already inside. Pity that it translates into a we
>>vs. them scenario but thats human nature.
>>
>
> I agree that 5wpm isn't all that tough for many people, but learning technical
> competency with a REAL technical exam would be a better allocation of time
> for newbies. Exclusive clubs based upon anachronisms isn't helpful. Exclusive
> clubs based upon competency, public service and even creating a larger
> 'technical' gene pool isn't a perfect thing, but much more socially justifiable than
> 'secret handshakes' like Morse code.
>
> John

That description of the Code fits the outsider viewpoint exactly. I'd
guess that more than half of the new 5 word-per-minuters cannot copy 5
wpm so there's hardly a secret society mentality. Last I remember the
code test was a multiple choice thing that doesn't even require solid copy.
So in some regards the code test is simply a 'trial by fire' and in
reality has been degraded so much as to be moot.
In the meantime, if int'l law says it must be done that way then I guess
one has to comply until it changes if you want to get on HF.
-BM

1834. Re: Ham Tower Incident On TV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 16:46:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arobll$e97$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56023

Certainly it is more adventageous. Unfortunatly survival of the fittest
rules.

Dan/W4NTI

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:arnpdm62nl0@enews2.newsguy.com...
> You mean "street smarts" versus "book smarts" Dan? Think a good balance
of
> both is more adventageous.
>
>
> --
> Ryan, KC8PMX
> FF/MFR
> REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
>
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:arjbmk$td9$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Won't work....Ive met many many intelligent folks that were just plain
> dumb
> > when it came to common sense.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
>
>

1835. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 16:46:39 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arobe7$i9o$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56024

And a good one too.

Dan/W4NTI

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:arnpdn72nl0@enews2.newsguy.com...
> I still think that the names of the license classes should be changed to
the
> following.....
>
> First Class License..... Extra Class
> Second Class.............Advanced Class (till they run out of currently
> licensed ones....)
> Third Class ....General Class
> Fourth Class..............Technician with code
> Fifth Class..................Technician without code
> Six Class...................Novice (till they run out of currently
licensed
> ones....)
>
>
> After the old novices and advanced are gone from expiration or
advancement,
> it could be something like this:
>
> First Class------Extra Class
> Second Class---General Class
> Third Class------Coded Technician
> Fourth Class-----No-Coded Technician
>
>
> Just a though....
>
>
> --
> Ryan, KC8PMX
> FF/MFR
> REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
>
>
>
> "Symbolism is for the simple minded....."
>
>
>

1836. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 16:49:06 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arobj4$tb4$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56025

Wont work....anything slower than 5 wpm will sound like intentional qrm and someone will turn on the DSP.

Dan/W4NTI

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message news:armhjhoqq1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:arkh25$lq3$0@208.206.142.68...
> >
> >
> > "Jackie L." wrote:
> > >
> > > I'm curious why amateur radio operators aren't made to show proficiency
> in
> > > computer basics if they plan to do packet or digital modes. I know CW
> has
> > > long been a cherished part of the ARS and it is older than the ARS and
> that
> > > some people derive great pleasure from using it. As we add high-tech
> > > communication interfaces to the repetoire of skills available to us, why
> > > aren't we made to show proficiency at a VE test in computer skills
> before we
> > > go on the air via the digital modes?
> >
> > Once you learn to use Morse code on the air you will recognize why that
> > if there is to be a code qualification it will need to be tested. It's
> > the only mode that requires, -demands- that the user actually learn it
> > well enough to use it before it is available to be used. A few questions
> > about it on a written test just won't do the job. Any other mode can be
> > "winged" or learned on the fly, the book in one hand and keyboard in the
> > other so to speak. Not Morse code. You either can do it at some useful
> > level, even as a new user, or you cannot.
>
> There is no reason why a "new user" of code could not start on the air
> at one or two wpm using a lookup table.
>
> If two hams want to "try" learning morse via on-the-air QSO,
> what's wrong with that?
>
> Heck, why doesn't the ARRL start out their "slow code" transmission
> sessions with something less than 5 wpm?

>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
>
>

1837. Re: Colonel Steve ex-AA8DP Busted (this is a VERY GOOD thing)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 16:50:01 UTC
From: the ole bignose <bernie0x19@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3ddfb1ca@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 56026
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

There's NO WAY IN HECK that this bastard Anderson
could have hid out for so long, without the help
and assistance of the so-called "patriot" community.

Perhaps the FED's and FCC ought to pay more attention
to a certain ham radio "Patriot's Net" (3.860 8PM EST),
since numerous transmissions and topics (by licenced and
unlicenced stations) were heard while monitoring this net
over the course of the past 2 weeks - and these topics
seemed to be *very concerned* on "activity" the same area of
N.C. where Anderson was caught yesterday. Coincidence??

Good job America's Most wanted. Good job BATF.
Good job and help by the ADL and Mark Pitcavage.

One down - MORE to go....

http://www.courier-journal.com/localnews/2002/11/23/ke112302s318493.htm

A tip received by ''America's Most Wanted'' and forwarded to federal
officials
led to the arrest of Kentucky fugitive Steve Anderson in rural
Cherokee County, N.C., yesterday, authorities said.

Anderson, a white supremacist who operated an illegal radio station
from his Pulaski County home, had been at large since allegedly
shooting at a Bell County sheriff's deputy on Oct. 14, 2001.
He was arrested in the mountains of southwestern North Carolina
by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms and the North
Carolina State Bureau of Investigation.

''It's a relief he's been taken into custody, especially without
incident,'' said Don York of the ATF's Louisville office.
York said the ATF captured Anderson entering a
residence, but he declined to elaborate.
Anderson was being held last night in Asheville, N.C.,
pending his transfer to Kentucky, authorities said.

York said the television program received a tip about Anderson's
whereabouts after airing its second segment on him about a month
ago. The tip was forwarded to the ATF, which worked the case
with North Carolina authorities.

Anderson is accused of shooting an automatic weapon at
Officer Scott Elder, who had pulled Anderson's truck over north
of Middlesboro for a traffic violation. Elder was unharmed after

Anderson allegedly opened fire, although his cruiser was struck about 25 times.

Police said Anderson then drove into the mountains, and a search of his property turned up explosives and guns. Anderson had attracted attention in Pulaski County several years ago by playing host to a small Ku Klux Klan rally during which a cross was burned. He also operated a shortwave radio station out of his home, where his commentary against blacks, Jews, immigrants and the federal government drew the attention of the Federal Communications Commission.

The Anti-Defamation League complained to the FCC about Anderson's broadcasts, and the agency eventually rescinded his license. Anderson continued to broadcast despite the FCC action.
Anderson was a member of the Kentucky State Militia, a civilian paramilitary group, until April 2001. The militia said it dismissed Anderson because he refused to shut down his radio operation.

According to the Anti-Defamation League, Anderson also has ties to the Christian Identity Movement, a loosely defined group that claims Jews are the offspring of the devil. Anderson also allegedly threatened an Eastern Kentucky newspaper editor who included him in a series on hate.

The ADL's director of fact-finding, Mark Pitcavage of Columbus, Ohio, said he was glad that Anderson had been caught, ''because he was a dangerous man who had already shot at a police officer and threatened more violence in his radio show. For public safety, it's a good thing.'' Pitcavage said the capture also was gratifying because ''people in militia and extremist groups *admired he wasn't caught*.''

Bell County Sheriff Harold Harbin said: ''It's good news. I knew eventually we'd catch him. It sends a message you can't get away forever.''

"signalchaser" <williamsmith208@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:utv7ncd15dria0@corp.supernews.com...
> Check out this link on Colonel Steve being busted
> http://www.courier-journal.com/localnews/2002/11/23/ke112302s318493.htm
>
>

1838. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 16:51:44 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aroc0n$69v$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56027

Good for you. For....lack of a better phrase...real hams its a service.

Dan/W4NTI

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:uttp4bqqkf2p2f@corp.supernews.com...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:ark3u2$1jt$5@slb9.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Its not a hobby W5TWIT....its a SERVICE...Read part 97...show me where
> its
> > called a 'hobby'.

> For me, it's a hobby.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

1839. Re: Ham Tower Incident On TV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 16:53:02 UTC
From: AC6V <ac6v@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <OnOD9.24490$ro.760792@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 56028

For a real hoot on the subject -- have you read "The Tower"
http://www.speroni.com/Tower.html

Another Goodie is the "Antenna"
http://www.speroni.com/Antenna.html

More humourous nonsense at URL:
http://ac6v.com/humor.htm

--
I Doubt, Therefore I Might Be
73 From AC6V, Rod In Oceanside, CA
http://ac6v.com
"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:arobll$e97$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> Certainly it is more adventageous. Unfortunatly survival of the fittest
> rules.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
> news:arnpdm62nl0@enews2.newsguy.com...
> > You mean "street smarts" versus "book smarts" Dan? Think a good balance
> of
> > both is more adventageous.
> >
> >
> > --
> > Ryan, KC8PMX
> > FF/MFR
> > REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
> >
> >
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:arjbmk$td9$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > Won't work....Ive met many many intelligent folks that were just plain
> > dumb
> > > when it came to common sense.
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >
> >
>
>

1840. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 16:57:43 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aroc3afkg1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56029

I hope some of the detractors that hang around here have read this. I have been trying to say it for years. You did it far better than I.

Thank you. And you are correct...it is not as it was and never shall be again. I too blame Incentive Licensing for the mess ham radio is in today.

Dan/W4NTI

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.org> wrote in message
news:lVyD9.4597$OZ4.445705@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote
>
> : I agree totally Hans.
> :
> : Dan/W4NTI
>
>
>
> I am convinced that incentive licensing remains the hands down all
> time winner of the DAIOTC (Dumb Assed Idea of The Century)
> award.
>
> When a lot of us got
> started in the hobby the bands were not subdivided like they are today and
> it seems that we all, regardless of license class, worked together to
> improve
> the Amateur Radio Service.
>
>
> 34 years later we are still sliced and diced into 6 different classes,
> carefully
> divided into our own special frequency segments, and Lord protect the
> Extra who suggests that a new Technician might have something to
> contribute to our beloved Amateur Radio service.
>
> Back then, it seems that we all, regardless of license class, worked
> together to improve the Amateur Radio Service.
>
> Back then, nobody got a special deal because they could beep faster or
> remember more electrical formulae than someone else. I got my Extra in
> 1964,
> well before disincentive licensing, and it was simply just another
personal
> accomplishment, and did not "elevate" me above other hams. We all shared

> the
> same spectrum, worked together to solve the same problems, competed in
> contests, trouble-shot each others radios, and generally had fun together.
> If you forgot the numbers for the dipole formula, it was OK to ask someone
> else. If your Morse speed was < 10WPM the speedier guys would slow down
for
> you, not QSY to some specially reserved band segment. The one or two "old
> timers" on 75 meters who didn't like newcomers ("No lids, no kids, not
space
> cadets") were universally looked down on as poor examples of what a ham
> ought
> to act like. The Novice bands were a "cacophony of exuberance" to steal a
> term that K1ZZ used in a meeting here in Minneapolis. Old hands
> were on those Novice bands also -- it was not unusual to hear W4KFC or
W5ZD
> patiently working WN4KKN or WV2CNL -- nobody remarked that it was "nice"
of
> them to do that -- it was just part of being a good ham. The notion that
> you
> had earned a special band segment never occurred to anyone -- we were just
> excitedly "playing in the ether", and there was no distinction on the air
> between Extra, Advanced, General, or Conditional -- elitist special
callsign
> formats to celebrate the fine granulations of your test
taking/memorization
> skills had not been invented.
>
> We'll never return to 'back then', unfortunately.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>

1841. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 16:58:32 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <YsOD9.2598$4j5.1456356@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 56013

However, I consider CW one of those basic skills that should be kept and
tested on.

Dee Flint, N8UZE.

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:utv8gelaf62r86@corp.supernews.com...
> "Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:EEND9.2591$4j5.1450917@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> > I was being sarcastic and trying to point out that the same argument can
> be
> > used for anything that a person doesn't want to learn. Personally I
> happen
> > to think that there should be more basics included in testing. For
> example,
> > I think every ham should have to demonstrate that they can solder a
> > connector to a coax successfully. However they don't have become
> soldering
> > experts.
> >
> > Dee Flint, N8UZE
> >
>
> Hi Dee:
> That's exactly where I believe the focus should be for amateur radio
> licensing. I don't think the inclusion of a "mode" test (whatever mode it
> may happen to be) proves a thing for amateur radio licensing.
>
> However, if there could somehow be included a test of skills that *will*
> absolutely be needed, then we'd have a much better system. The problem,
as
> has been pointed out, is: how does the testing method accommodate ease,
even
> and fair judgement of right or wrong, etc. If a testing process were
> introduced that does away with CW and replaces it with something like
> soldering, or putting together an APRS/packet station, or programming some
> radio or something--the VEs now have to make provision for having things
> like soldering guns and pieces of coax, etc. You get the picture.
>
> I think it would be absolutely g-r-e-a-t if CW testing went away and was
> replaced with something that proves far more in practicality and at least
> *some* knowledge that will be used, indeed must be used, for good amateur
> practice! I would even go for the written exams being much tougher, not
> multiple choice, and certainly not having the question pools available. I
> didn't "study" for my licensing; I memorized. And, for instance, I could

> never remember frquency ranges/numbers, so I completely disregarded that
> part of even the memorization and super-guessed any that I encountered on
> the exams. Do I feel bad for that? Hell no. The structure allowed it,
and
> I can look up things that I need to know.
>
> Well, that's my two cents worth.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>
>

1842. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 17:05:06 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arocgtjga1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56030

Its just like a war story. Everyone remembers it differently..hi.

One minor correction tho Brian. Incentive licensing came about in 68...yes...but it wasnt till around 72 or 73 when the 75 meter band was extended below 3.800. I was in Germany at the time and was wondering why the Geritol net was in the DX window....

Dan/W4NTI ex DA2LJ

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message news:d22b54c3.0211222218.4e1c7d3e@posting.google.com...
> "K HB" <k hb@arrl.org> wrote in message news:<lVyD9.4597$OZ4.445705@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote
> >
> > : I agree totally Hans.
> > :
> > : Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >
> >
> > I am convinced that incentive licensing remains the hands down all
> > time winner of the DAIOTC (Dumb Assed Idea of The Century)
> > award.
>
> I strongly supported Incentive Licensing for a number of reasons. In
> retrospect I might not today support it as strongly as I did back
> then.
>
> >
> > When a lot of us got
> > started in the hobby the bands were not subdivided like they are today
>
> They certainly were subdivided and long before Incentive Licensing
> came along. Techs couldn't operate below 30Mhz at all and Novices only
> had wee slices of 3.5, 7, & 21Mhz cw @ 75w *input* plus some 2m phone
> and no VFOs anywhere.
>
> Incentive Licensing added a few additioanl twists to the existing
> concept and set aside a lousy 25 Khz at the bottom ends of four HF
> bands for Extra-only cw ops. Annoying to some, cleared a lotta local
> qrm out those hot segemnts for others. Like ME. Worked.
>
> So here we is, on one hand we came in here bitching to the heavens
> about passing out freebie nocode tickets then turn around and bitch

> about the FCC having tightened the screws a bit back then. Cute, gotta
> love it.
>
> The other side of the Incentive Licensing coin was that the FCC also
> expanded the phone bands downward into the "dx only" portions where
> U.S. ops had not been previously allowed. Operationally that was a
> huge gain for us because it permitted on-freq vs. split-freq ops with
> DX who were not and still are not allowed above, for instance, 3.800.
> Incentive Licensing was not a one-way street at all.
>
> By far the most pissed-off hams wrt to Incentive Licensing were the
> Canadians who hung out below 3.800 and 7.200 to get away from our crap
> and all of a sudden found themselves drowned by our newly enfranchised
> hordes of Extras. Boy, there was some real warfare . .
>
> Advanced Class licensses only had to take a written and lost some cw
> space very few of them used anyway but they lost no phone space. I
> agree with Dan, Generals got spanked but even they only lost a grand
> total of 200Khz over six MF/HF bands. I ran those numbers once, turned
> out that Incentive Licensing only affected something like 6% of our
> <30 Mhz spectrum space. And that was before the WARC bands came along.
> Big frigging deal.
>
> Incentive Licensing was a bit of a lurch but it certainly was not a
> "hand-wringing reinvention of ham radio". Note that ham radio has
> grown many times over since then *despite* IL.
>
> The message is that in the big picture today yammering on about
> Incentive Licesnsing 34 years after it happened is a pointless
> exercise for pedantic old farts like us with nothing better to do than
> post unending reruns of ancient history, i.e., "the good old days".
>
>
> > and
> > it seems that we all, regardless of license class, worked together to
> > improve
> > the Amateur Radio Service.
> >
> >
> > 34 years later we are still sliced and diced into 6 different classes,
> > carefully
> > divided into our own special frequency segments, and Lord protect the
> > Extra who suggests that a new Technician might have something to
> > contribute to our beloved Amateur Radio service.
>
> Tote up the number of tech articles in QST an/or QEX written by Techs
> in the past ten years vs. the number written by Extras.
>
> >
> > Back then, it seems that we all, regardless of license class, worked

> > together to improve the Amateur Radio Service.
>
> Gimme a break willya?!
>
> >
> > Back then, nobody got a special deal because they could beep faster or
> > remember more electrical formulae than someone else.
>
> Tell that to a 1953 Tech or Novice. I wuz one.
>
>
> I got my Extra in
> > 1964,
> > well before disincentive licensing, and it was simply just another
personal
> > accomplishment, and did not "elevate" me above other hams. We all
shared
> > the
> > same spectrum, worked together to solve the same problems, competed in
> > contests, trouble-shot each others radios, and generally had fun
together.
> > If you forgot the numbers for the dipole formula, it was OK to ask
someone
> > else. If your Morse speed was < 10WPM the speedier guys would slow down
for
> > you, not QSY to some specially reserved band segment. The one or two
"old
> > timers" on 75 meters who didn't like newcomers ("No lids, no kids, not
space
> > cadets") were universally looked down on as poor examples of what a ham
> > ought
> > to act like.
>
> Yeah, right. "One or two" . . not counting all the cranks with
> political agendas, qrmers, slims . . You musta led one helluva
> sheltered radio childhood or you have a very convenient memory.
>
>
> > The Novice bands were a "cacophony of exuberance" to steal a
> > term that K1ZZ used in a meeting here in Minneapolis.
>
> No kidding, as if we had any other choices. Having only a year to get
> to 13wpm or 'bye-'bye HF ham radio was the incentive from hell vs.
> anything Incentive Licensing "did" to ham radio.
>
> > Old hands
> > were on those Novice bands also -- it was not unusual to hear W4KFC or
W5ZD
> > patiently working WN4KKN or WV2CNL -- nobody remarked that it was "nice"
of

> > them to do that -- it was just part of being a good ham. The notion
that
> > you
> > had earned a special band segment never occurred to anyone
>
> Horsepucky. Old Fartism was endemic and pervasive in the hobby in
> 1950. I wuz there too, ya went to club meetings and kept yer mouth
> shut and listened if you wuz only a Novice or gawd forbid a wannabe.
>
> -- we were just
> > excitedly "playing in the ether", and there was no distinction on the
air
> > between Extra, Advanced, General, or Conditional
> > -- elitist special callsign
> > formats
>
> Had precisly absolutely NOTHING to with the implementation of
> Incentive Licensing in 1968, the callsigns game didn't start until
> well into the 70s.
>
> > to celebrate the fine granulations of your test taking/memorization
> > skills had not been invented.
>
> Umm . . I notice that you have one of those elitist pig 20wpm
> FCC-tested 1x2 callsigns too Hans . . ?
>
> >
> > We'll never return to 'back then', unfortunately.
>
> Thank God.
>
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> w3rv

1843. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 17:07:29 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arocll$792$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56031

Your right on the Advanced thing. You see I missed that...I was in no position to keep up with ham radio at that point. I was in NAM.

As for my comment about losing most of my privlidges...well to me it was. I was CW only on HF after coming back from the war games and my 7010 rock was all I had.

Dan/W4NTI

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message news:20021122193256.14881.00000133@mb-ct.aol.com...
> In article <arlsls$m8q$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> writes:
>
> >Uh.....I don't think so.
>
> Don't think so about what, Dan?
>
> >Perhaps I missed something but the only Advanced
> >tickets were refered to 'Class A'.
>
> Before the 1951, there were three classes of US ham license:
>
> Class A was full privs. 13 wpm send and receive, 1 year experience as a B or C,
> tough written test at FCC offices only
>
> Class B was full privs except no 'phone privs on the ham bands between 3 and 25
> MHz. 13 wpm, serious written test.
>
> Class C same as Class B except given by mail.
>
> In 1951, Class A was renamed Advanced, Class B was renamed General, and Class C
> was renamed General. Three new classes (Novice, Technician and Extra) were
> added.
>
> >And they were not being issued at that
> >time. If you had an advanced you kept it.
>
> FCC closed out Advanced to new issues on December 31, 1952. Then on November
> 22, 1967, they reopened Advanced to new issues. In '67 the Novice term became

> two years. But Novice stayed nonrenewable and nonretakeable.
>
> I had one of the first two-year Novices, issued October 12, 1967. I took
the
> Advanced in the summer of 1968 and had full privs for a few weeks betwen
when
> the license arrived and Nov. 22, 1968.
> >
> >Novice, 1 year non-renawable.
> >Technician, 5 wpm and the General test.
> >General, 13 wpm and the same test.
> >Extra, 20 wpm and a tough test.
>
> That was the system from 1953 until 1967. Some call the reopneing of
Advanced
> and the two-year Novice the "first phase" of Incentive Licensing, but
nobody
> lost privileges in those changes. Only after Nov 22, 1968.
> >
> >I was General and lost most of my HF privlidges.
>
> "Most"? You lost 25 kHz at the bottom of the 80/40/20/15 CW/digital
segments
> and about half of the 80/40/20/15 'phone segments.
>
> >The Generals are the ones
> >that got screwed.
>
> Advanceds lost too, but not as much. And those who had the 2 years
experience
> could get Extras right away, while us newbies had to wait until we had the
> time. I was at the FCC office on the first day when I coudl legally take
the
> Extra, and passed it on the first try.
>
> See AC6V's site if you don't believe me, my history, or the QST accounts
of the
> rules changes.
>
> I was there.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >
> >
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20021122123724.14881.00000099@mb-ct.aol.com...
> >> Folks:

> >>
> >> It was 34 years ago today (Nov.22, 1968) that the first phase of rules
> >changes
> >> known as "Incentive Licensing" became effective. Exactly one year
later,
> >the
> >> second phase became effective.
> >>
> >> It was a Friday in 1968, too. I had a brand new Advanced at age 14, and
> >> suddenly lost full privileges. No big deal, got 'em back in 1970 after
the
> >> waiting period for Extra was up.
> >>
> >> The code test debates of the past few years are minor compared to the
fury
> >of
> >> those days...
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >
>
>

1844. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 17:11:13 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arocsk$8q5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56032

Thank you Brian. But next time send money.

Dan/W4NTI

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0211221732.76128838@posting.google.com...
> wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021122141403.10377.00003347@mb-md.aol.com>...
> > >Wait a minute...this just registered....how can TIT do either? I was
not
> > >aware she had 40 m authorization.
> > >
> > >Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > She doesnt care shes a CBer. She thinks she can using any Frequency.
>
> What kind of ignorant hams are you'se guys?
>
> Callsign: W5TIT Class: Tech Plus
>
> Oh, I forgot, you're both brilliant Extras. Pffft.

1845. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 17:12:21 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arocuf$8mb$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56033

Hell I do that all the time. Cook that is.

Dan/W4NTI

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:uttrk3g9blfff8@corp.supernews.com...
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:f45722ac.0211221732.76128838@posting.google.com...
> > wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
> news:<20021122141403.10377.00003347@mb-md.aol.com>...
> > > >Wait a minute...this just registered....how can TIT do either? I was
> not
> > > >aware she had 40 m authorization.
> > > >
> > > >Dan/W4NTI
> > >
> > > She doesnt care shes a CBer. She thinks she can using any Frequency.
> >
> > What kind of ignorant hams are you'se guys?
> >
> > Callsign: W5TIT Class: Tech Plus
> >
> > Oh, I forgot, you're both brilliant Extras. Pffft.
>
> Well the really funny thing is that they're forgetting...or maybe they
don't
> realize, that I have a General Class OM right here in the office with me.
> And, I could even cook up a good dinner for any number of Extras and
they'd
> be happy to get out here, chow down, visit in the office and play on
> computer, etc.!
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

1846. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 17:13:12 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arod0c$tm9$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56034

Uh....actually I do for ham radio HF and VHF at least.

Dan/W4NTI

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:uttp2a9pn7f50c@corp.supernews.com...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:ark3u0$1jt$3@slb9.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Is that pathetic or what?
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021121191723.10956.00000171@mb-cu.aol.com...
> > > >Are we
> > > >having a net on 7.037 or on 10M somewhere? I can do either, uh, if
> it's
> > > >phone of course.
> > >
> > > Why did I know you wouldnt have any Idea if it was a CW portion of the
> > Band
> > >
> > >
>
> Uh huh. Yeah. Like you guys know the band plan for the entire amateur
> radio spectrum... Things that can be looked up need not be memorized.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

1847. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 17:14:19 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arod26lug1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56035

I don't need a control op.

Dan/W4NTI

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:uttqucidmmvna0@corp.supernews.com...
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:f45722ac.0211220500.570fa10c@posting.google.com...
> > n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
> news:<20021121214419.17907.00000647@mb-cs.aol.com>...
> > > In article <utqs031nv18j06@corp.supernews.com>, "Kim"
> > > <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
> > >
> > > >OK, set me straight. Are we
> > > >having a net on 7.037 or on 10M somewhere? I can do either,
> > >
> > > 7037 kHz. Wednesday nights. 9 PM EST, 8 PM CST, 0200 UTC
> > >
> > > You have to upgrade to General in order to operate on 7037, though.
> > >
> > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> > Jim, I thought she was a Tech Plus? No need to upgrade to General.
> > Anyway, you're sounding mighty desperate to talk to Kim. Just look
> > her up on Anywho.com and give her a call.
>
> I think he's (Jim) talking about if I operate phone on 7037. I would not
> have to upgrade, though, because I have a Control Op sitting right
> here...heh heh
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

1848. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 17:14:54 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arodc6$n0v$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56036

You said you could operate on either if it was in phone.

Dan/W4NTI

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:utts1ja1ocgq55@corp.supernews.com...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:arls01$86v$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Wait a minute...this just registered....how can TIT do either? I was
not
> > aware she had 40 m authorization.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> It's called "Control Op" And, what do you mean by "either"?
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>
>
>

1849. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 17:26:38 UTC
From: paulfriedman@attbi.com
Message-ID: <iTOD9.91392$__1.53589@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 56037
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Just for the record, Bill, the code test was changed to fill-in the blank.

As a new ham (licenses 13 months -- I sat for all 4 elements at once), my feelings re CW requirements are mixed. It is certainly a key part of the history of radio and our hobby. It is certainly a valid communications mode. My objection to the 5 wpm is that it is basically neither fish nor fowl. Studying to pass a 5 wpm receive only test is not the way to learn code. A valid agreement can be made, in fact, that it is exactly the wrong way to learn code. (At 5 wpm receive only, the best way to pass the test as quickly as possible for many people is to "see" the di dahs in one's mind and translate character by character. If there is a worse way to learn code in a way that building receive speed and learning to send, I can not think of it.

Purely theoretically (treaties and such aside), I would have little problem with a send/receive test at a reasonable minimum speed (10 or the mystical 13 wpm) or eliminating the CW test completely. As currently constituted, the U.S CW test results, I think, in REDUCING interest in learning CW.

Regarding the written tests...
It would help if the VEC group found an educator to review the tests. I haven't looked at the new Extra question pool, but the old one would never pass mustard as a beginning Ed. course Too many of the wrong answers are clearly wrong merely by reading them without any knowledge of radio theory or regulations. Too many of the right answers have an easily memorized pattern. Please note that this is comment in no way addresses WHAT subjects should be in the test nor to what depth - merely a comment on the quality of the questions. That said, I do recognize that writing "good" multiple guess questions is extremely difficult.

Finally (for anyone bored enough to read this far), by far the most difficult task I faced in becoming a ham was learning to solder 259s. One aspect of voice communications I have yet to learn (more accurately "have not been willing to learn") is referring to my self in the first person plural as in "We have been licensed since 19xx and we feed our XX with a YY."

73

Paul ab0si

"Bill M" <""exray\"@n-o- .caribe.net> wrote in message
news:utvc5nas6gdef1@corp.supernews.com...
> John S. Dyson wrote:
> > "Bill M" <""exray\"@n-o- .caribe.net> wrote in message
news:utu92ifvm5jmd4@corp.supernews.com...

> >
> >>Bottom line is that- 1) 5 wpm ain't all that tough and 2) outsiders
> >>looking in to the amateur radio service don't necessarily have the same
> >>perspective of those already inside. Pity that it translates into a we
> >>vs. them scenario but thats human nature.
> >>
> >
> > I agree that 5wpm isn't all that tough for many people, but learning
technical
> > competency with a REAL technical exam would be a better allocation of
time
> > for newbies. Exclusive clubs based upon anachronisms isn't helpful.
Exclusive
> > clubs based upon competency, public service and even creating a larger
> > 'technical' gene pool isn't a perfect thing, but much more socially
justifiable than
> > 'secret handshakes' like Morse code.
> >
> > John
>
> That description of the Code fits the outsider viewpoint exactly. I'd
> guess that more than half of the new 5 word-per-minuters cannot copy 5
> wpm so there's hardly a secret society mentality. Last I remember the
> code test was a multiple choice thing that doesn't even require solid
copy.
> So in some regards the code test is simply a 'trial by fire' and in
> reality has been degraded so much as to be moot.
> In the meantime, if int'l law says it must be done that way then I guess
> one has to comply until it changes if you want to get on HF.
> -BM
>

1850. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 17:32:52 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123123252.19707.00000275@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 56038

>I
>didn't "study" for my licensing; I memorized. And, for instance, I could
>never remember frquency ranges/numbers, so I completely disregarded that
>part of even the memorization and super-guessed any that I encountered on
>the exams. Do I feel bad for that? Hell no. The structure allowed it, and
>I can look up things that I need to know.
>
>Well, that's my two cents worth.
>
>Kim W5TIT

Wheres W1RFI, he says what you claim you did to get your License isnt happening. He says it would be Imposible for that to happen. And TIT we all know your not that sharp.
So from your own confession you have proved that the Written is a JOKE, wake up ARRL.

1851. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 17:35:14 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123123514.19707.00000276@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 56039

>When a Extra class operator can't even figure how to cut a 1/2 wave dipole
>then we are in trouble.
>
>Dan/W4NTI

Thats not there worst of it, most dont even know what a Dipole is.

1852. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 17:37:31 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <utvf6ha3q71q3c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56040

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:arnpdn72nl0@enews2.newsguy.com...
> I still think that the names of the license classes should be changed to
the
> following.....
>
> First Class License..... Extra Class
> Second Class.............Advanced Class (till they run out of currently
> licensed ones....)
> Third Class ....General Class
> Fourth Class..............Technician with code
> Fifth Class..................Technician without code
> Six Class....................Novice (till they run out of currently
licensed
> ones....)
>
>
> After the old novices and advanced are gone from expiration or
advancement,
> it could be something like this:
>
> First Class------Extra Class
> Second Class---General Class
> Third Class------Coded Technician
> Fourth Class-----No-Coded Technician
>
>
> Just a though....
>
>
> --
> Ryan, KC8PMX
> FF/MFR
> REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
>
>
>
> "Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

I like it too, but I just gotta add this before
someone else thinks of it.

First Class------Extra Class
Second Class---General Class
Third Class------Coded Technician

Fourth Class-----No-Coded Technician
No Class------- CB Freebanders

;^)

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

1853. Re: Immoral Truths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 17:38:24 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123123824.19707.00000277@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 56041

>I have a Technician class Amateur Radio license

Yea we knew you were a CBplusser, but what we asked was what your Call was

1854. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 17:42:17 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123124217.19707.00000278@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 56042

>You're a fucking jerk...know that?
>
>Kim W5TIT

Boy TIT your CB isms are showing

1855. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 17:43:24 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123124324.19707.00000279@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 56043

>Get the idea, dork?

Dork, I got your DORK hanging

>You've offended everyone, on both sides of the
>fence--you're a creep.
>
>Kim W5TIT

Screw off winch

1856. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 17:48:27 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123124827.19707.00000280@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 56044

>For me, it's a hobby.
>>
>> Kim W5TIT

ThATS because your a CBer, you dont even rate CBplusser Status

1857. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 17:57:16 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123125716.23905.00000084@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 56045

>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>>When a Extra class operator can't even figure how to cut a 1/2 wave dipole
>>then we are in trouble.
>>
>>Dan/W4NTI
>
>Thats not there worst of it, most dont even know what a Dipole is.

What? You mean an Extra cannot even put up a dipole? I thought since an Extra passed the code test they are no longer CBplussers and must automatically be better Hams.

1858. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 18:18:39 UTC
From: Jim Pennino <jimp@specsol.com>
Message-ID: <arogpv$20f$1@mail.specsol.com>
Source record: 56048
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

In rec.radio.amateur.misc Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com> wrote:
> I'm far from a computer guru, but aren't all high level computer languages
> written in assembly/machine code? Aren't they all just basically interfaces
> between the processor (machine language) and the operator??

In the early days this was true, but not very much anymore.

Once you have a "high level language" compiler, you can write the next
version in the compiler language; these days mostly C.

Simple example; the GNU C and C++ compilers have been written in C and
C++ for many years now as have most compilers and operating systems.

While assembly is still used somewhat, it is nowhere as pervasive as it
once was.

--
Jim Pennino

1859. Re: Immoral Truths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 18:19:15 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123131915.23905.00000085@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 56049

From: wa8ulx@aol.com
>>I have a Technician class Amateur Radio license
>
>Yea we knew you were a CBplusser,

My license does not say CBplus on it. Who is the real CB plusser, the Technician class that understands the Material or the General or Extra that does not?

You yourself said the written test is a joke, so all the only-coder Extra has is the code. This makes this type of Extra a CBplusser, since they know CB, PLUS one single manual encoding scheme mode.

1860. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 18:45:29 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDFCCC9.E4E4124A@mailcity.net>
Source record: 56062

Len Over 21 wrote:

> The FCC grants permission to transmit RF energy through
> radio operator and station licensing. The FCC decides
> what it needs to insure regulation and interference mitigation
> that is its legal task in US civil radio. So far, the FCC has
> not established any guild, union, or academy to insure a
> "thorough radio skills knowledge" credentialism to a non-
> pecuniary radio activity (hobby).

Guys like dickie and newbie larry don't realize that most of the
ham population have lives that do not revolve around ham radio.

1861. Guts of an IC-45?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 18:55:05 UTC
From: hollandguy@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123135505.05656.00000108@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 56050

Does anyone know where the backup battery is in the IC-45 UHF radio? My manual doesn't show where it is, and I can't spot it by taking the covers off.

Many thanks.
Max

1862. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 19:16:40 UTC
From: OC_CAM's_Razor <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <3DDFD418.9B669E50@ieee.org>
Source record: 56051
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Bill M wrote:
> Last I remember the
> code test was a multiple choice thing that doesn't even require solid copy.

That has been changed.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
------== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

1863. Re: Immoral Truths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 19:40:34 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <utvmd8n0kgcp2b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56052

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021123131915.23905.00000085@mb-cv.aol.com...
> From: wa8ulx@aol.com
> >>I have a Technician class Amateur Radio license
> >
> >Yea we knew you were a CBplusser,
>
> My license does not say CBplus on it. Who is the real CB plusser, the
> Technician class that understands the Material or the General or Extra
that
> does not?
>
> You yourself said the written test is a joke, so all the only-coder Extra
has
> is the code. This makes this type of Extra a CBplusser, since they know
CB,
> PLUS one single manual encoding scheme mode.

But you still haven't responded to the question. So maybe your just hard of
hearing and need it repeated.

WHAT IS YOUR CALL SIGN Vshah101?

Mine is listed in my sig at the bottom along with my real email address.
What is yours?

--
'73' From KB9TMP kb9tmp@juno.com
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

1864. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 20:24:09 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0211231224.2f2e38a0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56053

"Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<uttqucidmmvna0@corp.supernews.com>...
> I think he's (Jim) talking about if I operate phone on 7037.

I'm talking about your operating any mode on 7037. Tech Plus privs on
40 meters include only 7100-7150. I think you knew that already Kim
;-)

> I would not
> have to upgrade, though, because I have a Control Op sitting right
> here...heh heh
>
Except that you would not be operating - the control op would be
operating, and you'd be a third party. Same as if you had no license
at all. The term "operate" has a specific meaning in the rules. i.e.
to be the control operator. Anything else is third-part stuff.

Which has some odd consequences. For example, a third party using a
control op can't work a DX station unless there is a specific third
party agreement between the DX station's country and the USA.

But I'm pretty sure Kim knew all this stuff.

Your control op could get in some hot water for working 'phone on
7037, tho.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1865. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 20:28:11 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123152811.25964.00000743@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 56054

In article <arod26lug1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> writes:

>I don't need a control op.

How about just needing some control? :-)

1866. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 20:28:11 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123152811.25964.00000744@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 56055

In article <utv7f5t7dv7v0f@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>Dave, what a charlatan you are. My exchanges here are under your microscope
>for judgement, but your ridiculous carrying on with Len, Brian, etc., is
>quite OK? You're a fucking jerk...know that?

I will question your last sentence... :-)

1867. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 20:28:12 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123152812.25964.00000745@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 56056

In article <aroc0n$69v$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> writes:

>Good for you. For....lack of a better phrase...real hams its a service.
>
>Dan/W4NTI

The word "service" used throughout Title 47 CFR is a regulatory
term denoting a type and kind of radio activity.

See also Citizens Band Radio SERVICE, Radio-Control Radio
SERVICE, Family Radio SERVICE, etc., etc., etc.

Be careful not to confuse "service" with "cerveza." :-)

1868. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 20:28:12 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123152812.25964.00000746@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 56057

In article <TJND9.2592$4j5.1451353@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>, "Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net> writes:

>Many might view your proposed names as a put down of everyone who isn't an
>Extra. Afterall who wants to be considered "second class" or less.
>
>Dee Flint, N8UZE

Dee, there are a few in here who have put down everyone not in their "superman" amateur morsemanship class...especially those not yet licensed in US amateur radio. On the basis of such well-established self-defined radio experts' postings, griping about lack of respect of their exhalted extra class shows only how badly the class distinction has become in US amateur radio.

There have already been two separate class "suggestions" posted in here, longer than Ryan's and far more sarcastic in addition to being downright funny. Was so long ago that it couldn't be found in Google archives. Those also elicited a long howl of outrage from self-important extras. :-)

1869. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 20:28:13 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123152813.25964.00000747@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 56059

In article <aroaneonl1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> writes:

>Correct. Considering that a major reason Amateur Radio is permitted is to
>be able to provide emergency communications when needed.
>
>Knowing CW just adds to the individuals ability to provide it.
>
>5 WPM is adequate. Those that want to go higher can. The others can stop
>their whinning since they wont 'have to'.
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>
>"Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
>news:YsOD9.2598$4j5.1456356@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
>> However, I consider CW one of those basic skills that should be kept and
>> tested on.

Part 97.1 has five paragraphs defining US amateur radio service.
"Emergency communications" is a general statement and only
one of those five. Now go back in time to find the actual, real
emergency communications provided ONLY by morse code
modes IN the US amateur radio service.

Nowhere in Part 97 does the FCC mandate morse code modes
over and above any other allocated mode on any allocated band.
All allocated modes are optional to use by any US radio
amateur. But...morse code mode is the ONLY mode having a
separate pass-fail test for any license having below-30-MHz
privileges. NONE of the other modes have ever had a separate
pass-fail test elements. None. Not even required by the three
other US radio regulating agencies that preceded the FCC.

There is no logical reason to keep the morse code testing for any
US amateur radio license...except to satisfy the emotional needs
of long-time amateurs who insist that all newcomers pass the
same tests as they did. Do you wish US government to satisfy
the emotional needs of a select minority group of citizens for a
hobby activity?

1870. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 20:28:14 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123152814.25964.00000748@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 56058

In article <aroaid$v97$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> writes:

>Actually Kim that is not a bad idea. Although not a new one. The old
>'Communist Block' nations used a similar system. Also one had to actually
>accomplish specific things on the air to be able to upgrade. Example; work
>20 countries on CW, log 250 cw stations to even apply for a ticket. ETC.
>These are just off the top of my head and just a representation of what I
>was told went on.

One could take that even further...require nice, distinctive
uniforms, hold formations at club meetings, march in town
parades playing rettysnitches and beating drums with whouff-
hongs. Eventually you would want to carry arms and, on a
special night celebration, go through the business districts
and smash in the windows of electronics stores selling the
hated, perverse CB and GMRS radios.

That would show that you CARE and that ham radio Means
Business!

While there is historical precedence of that, the outcome was
not pretty.

>As for the US system I think it is actually detremental. Considering the on
>the air stuff you hear (at least on HF) something is lacking somewhere.
>When a Extra class operator can't even figure how to cut a 1/2 wave dipole
>then we are in trouble.

If someone cannot do the simple arithmetic of dividing 468 by
frequency in MHz, then they are not able to function in greater
society with sufficient skills.

It's quite another problem to hold that dipole up, to raise it
without inflicting injury. See the recurring accidents on antenna
installations by radio amateurs in the publications.

Take heart. Once an amateur radio antenna is up, it apparently
survives high winds and tornados that always strikes down
stronger public service radio service antennas. So I've been told.

1871. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 20:28:16 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123152816.25964.00000749@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 56060

In article <aro7og$on4$0@208.206.142.110>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>Peter Lampione wrote:
>>
>> What you are saying is a bit like saying: Hey, I don't need to
>> know how to solder, my radio comes already soldered.
>
>And that's like saying "I only want to learn what I want to learn for my
>license test, and that doesn't include Morse code, even though it is a
>requirement".

Dickiebird was born already knowing morse code? Those ancient
requirements were already well established in amateur radio when
dickiebird was born. Well before I was born, in fact, and I am
older than the dickiebird.

Such requirements are codified law...but they are not
Pronouncements from a Divine Being Never Ever To Be
Eliminated From Law. What was made by man can be
un-made by man.

>> The fact is that more and more of the radio receiver functions in the
>> future will be done digitally.
>
> Only *some* receivers. Generally built in factories by electronic
>professionals, not your average homebrewing ham. Yes, those receivers
>are marvelous. And demanding of advanced technical skills not found in
>the general amateur radio population, nor likely to be found there in
>the future where testing requirements don't demand such skill and
>knowledge.

Oh, my, everyone must STOP their technical skills accumulation
after building a crystal set and a spark transmitter? Or should they
stop technical skills accumulation with vacuum tube architecture
radios?

Dickiebird is invited to examine the NorCal 30 now under discussion
in rec.radio.amateur.homebrew, comment on the pros and cons of
Dan Tayloe's design (N7VE). The "Tayloe mixer" is unique and
patented, does not use diode junctions at all nor is it an active
device in the normal sense. The local oscillator runs at four times
what is necessary for a direct-conversion receiver. The transmitter
supply is a boost switching converter for transformerless 12 V
out of a 3 VDC battery supply...a digital-analog circuit.

>That's to be expected since only a small portion of hams are
>professionals who have that advanced training and skill. Far more are
>skilled at such "archaic" but very effective communications modes as
>radiotelegraphy.

Yes, if the Titanic 2 is ever built and plies the Atlantic routes
again, mighty morsemen have to be at the ready to save all on
board through code communications. We have been so warned
repeatedly.

>Many of those hams also are users of advanced digital
>modes, but few are trained in the development of those modes. Most are
>just happy to be users of them.

According to past messages in here, the Dickiebird was
twittering angrily about his personal audio DSP filter (designed
by someone else) "not working correctly" due to difficulty in
setting the controls. :-)

Also, earlier, Dickiebird was arguing that "Shannon's Law"
(first published in 1947) was "not applicable to amateur radio."
[a memorable exchange that even mildly-technically-
cognizant would just as soon forget...]

>That doesn't mean there are no technical
>skills among the general ham population. It DOES mean that technical
>skills among hams are far more likely to lie in other than the latest
>djgjtal technology. I don't see that changing as long as simple tests
>are all that are required for entry into ham radio.

The FCC grants permission to transmit RF energy through
radio operator and station licensing. The FCC decides
what it needs to insure regulation and interference mitigation
that is its legal task in US civil radio. So far, the FCC has
not established any guild, union, or academy to insure a
"thorough radio skills knowledge" credentialism to a non-
pecuniary radio activity (hobby).

> While new hams who are serious about learning to engage in their
>newfound pursuits, few have the background to leap onto the digital
>design bandwagon.

Oh? Does the dickiebird twitter for ALL US radio amateurs?

Dickiebird has not yet shown he is cognizant of even middle-
knowledge-skills ANALOG radio. How can he possibly speak
about digital radio?!?

> The operative term here is "used". Hams are more and more users of
>advanced technology and less and less designers. Most design by ordinary
>hams is at a level of technology below digital design using advanced
>ICs.

Once upon a time, vacuum tubes were considered "high-tech"
by the champions of crystal set receivers and spark trans-

mitters. Those very long-ago long-timers-of-their-time
bemoaned the death of ham radio through introduction of such
complicated and confusing technology of triode vacuum tubes!

>always seems to bring forth dire predictions for the demise of the ARS
>because of it. Won't happen. Our future is far more dependent on our
>emergency communications capabilities, and that demands little or no
>digital design skill.

It seems that this "emergency communications capability"
through dickiebird's key-always-with-a-car-radio emergency
set always ready for handling morse comm.

When was the last time that morse code skills aided any
TRUE emergency IN amateur radio?

>> If you refuse to learn digital signal processing, pretty soon you will
>> understand about 5% of how a receiver works; the rest will be digital.
>
> Only the design of the newest receivers. Pure digital radio is still
>far in the future, save a niche element which is normal for advancement
>in the field. Analog radio is far from being ill, and very far from
>dying.

One in three Americans now have a cellular telephone
subscription. Over half of the cell phone handsets are nearly
all digital. That's over 50 MILLION cell phones (little two-way
radios working directly with telephone system). Is that a
"niche market?!?"

>> Of course, you will still be able to use code on archaic equipment,
>> but amateur radio should look forward, not backward.
>
> That's your opinion, shared only by other similarly situated technical
>types. Most of us hams believe we should look both forward *and"
>backward.

Few long-time amateur morseman can "look" in any direction
except scanning the "official" documents of ARRL for their
"heritage" back when on-off keying codings were the ONLY
possible means of radio communication.

>We have a great heritage and most of us won't forget where we
>came from and how we got here as we move into the future.

I come from northern Illinois. I can look forward to more of
Tales From The Morsemen in the future and how morse code
saved the world, amateur radio, and the citizens of Missouri
when the New Madrid Fault starts a quake there. :-)

1872. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 20:35:16 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0211231235.3a05e6db@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56061
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:<EEND9.2591$4j5.1450917@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>...
> I was being sarcastic and trying to point out that the same argument can be
> used for anything that a person doesn't want to learn.

Exactly. Why should I have to learn about UHF techniques to operate
HF? Why should I have to learn the VE rules if I'm not going to be a
VE?

> Personally I happen
> to think that there should be more basics included in testing.

Actually, all of the testing now is the basics!

> For example,
> I think every ham should have to demonstrate that they can solder a
> connector to a coax successfully. However they don't have become soldering
> experts.

We've been this route before, but here goes:

First off, you'd have to define "coax" and "connector". Think of all
the variations, from RG-174 to Heliax, from SMA connectors to type N.
Would soldering RG-58 to an RCA phono plug be sufficient?

Who judges the quality of the job?

What about those who are physically impaired to the extent that
soldering is impossible or very difficult?

You'd have to deal with those who would point to the wide availability
of crimp-on connectors and automated assembly. They'd say that manual
soldering is an anachronism. Heck, the UHF connector has been around
for more than 55 years!

It's possible to assemble an entire amateur radio station without coax
or soldered connectors, too.

Most of all: Our licenses are for operating, not building or
soldering.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1873. Re: Communication Corrections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 20:57:11 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0211231257.5cb05214@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56063

"Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<utv77brhsc469c@corp.supernews.com>...
> I have heard even people like Jim Haynie come on the air down here and
> correct people for the following:
>
> XXXCall, from XXXCall.
> (pause to wait for response)
> XXXCall, from XXXCall.
> (pause again)
> Station not heard, XXXCall clear.
>
> The correction Haynie made was that "station not heard" is not used on VHF
> frequencies [he may have even said ham radio, but I think he stated VHF].

He's simply mistaken.

> Well, it may not be used by Haynie or people he respects and likes to
> communicate with; but there is no rule saying a person cannot end their call
> with "station not heard." In fact, I personally think it's rather proper
> and sends the message to all listening that the call is done, end of
> transmission. It also tells the person being called (in the event they are
> responding but not being heard) that they are not being heard.

Standard procedure on EPA repeaters:

"W!#$, this is N2EY"
(long pause for W!#$ to find the mike)
"W!#$, this is N2EY"
(long pause for W!#$ to find the mike)
"Nothing heard, N2EY clear".

If the repeater was busy, this exchange would have been preceded by
"Call Please" and the correct response would be "Go ahead" as soon as
possible.
"Nothing heard" might be replaced by other phrases, such as "W!#$ not
heard"

There are at least two reasons for the "nothing heard" transmission,
particularly on a repeater:

1) to let others know that it's OK to use the machine, because the
calling station has given it back

2) to let the called station know that he/she isn't making the machine

> > > When I was initiating my on the air experience I was corrected, it
> seemed

> on
> > > a daily basis. I didnt' get my hackles up, I payed attention. Perhaps
> we
> > > need some more of that...eh?

Yup.

> Were you making rules violations to be corrected? Here's the thing: if
> someone gets on the air and starts saying, for instance, "10-4," so what?

It's not proper amateur radio procedure. "Roger" is the proper reply -
and it means "I understand" not "Yes".

> I
> might say something to them at a club meeting or an eyeball meeting, but I'm
> not about to jump on the freq police bandwagon and let that person know that
> "we don't do that on ham radio." Like I said, I hear oldtimers doing that
> and many other things that are considered "not amateur radio." I'd rather
> spend time communicating with someone than get into a difference over
> semantics with them about how they communicate. I don't care if someone
> says "yeah" "10-4" or "rodger dodger." If they are letting me know they
> heard the transmission and understand what I said, I couldn't care less
> about how they say it.

Many of us DO care. The way a community goes downhill is for people to
stop caring.

Most of all, having a standard way of doing things makes it easier and
quicker for all concerned. "Roger" is understood by everyone, and
takes only two syllables. No need for anything else.

But if it's not a clear rules violation, the best way to get the
message across is to set an example.

> And, the funny thing around here is, you'll hear the
> voice of someone whose identity is known very well, come on and make a
> correction of another and then end--WITHOUT a callsign ever being given.

That's a rules violation, and should be mentioned immediately. I've
had folks call me on a repeater without a callsign, and if they don't
ID on the second go-round I say "Who is calling me?" Works about 98%
of the time.

> Or, typical even more is, the oldtimers will jump onto frequency making a
> call, right in the middle of a whole host of other people who've been
> ragchewing. See? It's just a matter of what mountain you choose to battle.
> Rules violations should be cautioned against. Communication standards in
> every day communication, though, is no big deal--in my opinion.

Both are a big deal to many of us. But there are ways and ways to
handle both. Rules violations need to be confronted immediately.
Things which are not illegal but which are poor practice should be
dealt with by example. Or maybe a quiet word that doesn't insult the
person making the mistake.

> > Hey..... by all means if I error on the air, I hope that someone would
> > take
> > the couple of seconds to enlighten me. After all... how else would ya
> > learn?

> If you're talking about any other than rules stuff, I'd say you enjoy being
> the frequency police. Just an observation.

Good ops take pride in doing it the proper way, and learning what the
proper way is. Ryan's obviously one of those.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1874. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 21:21:15 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123162115.05504.00004005@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 56064

>What? You mean an Extra cannot even put up a dipole? I thought since an Extra
>passed the code test they are no longer CBplussers and must automatically be
>better Hams.

I havent been complaining about CW, I know thats gone, what Im talking about is
the Joke we have in the written test

1875. Re: Immoral Truths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 21:24:34 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123162434.05504.00004006@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 56065

>My license does not say CBplus on it.

Thats because the FCC is trying to make you feel good

1876. Re: Immoral Truths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 21:25:58 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123162558.05504.00004007@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 56066

>But you still haven't responded to the question. So maybe your just hard of
>hearing and need it repeated.
>
>WHAT IS YOUR CALL SIGN Vshah101?

My guess is he doesnt have one, and is just another CBer wanting to get a Free ride into Ham Radio

1877. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 21:28:10 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123162810.05504.00004009@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 56067

>You're a fucking jerk...know that?
>
> I will question your last sentence... :-)

Why would you question it, its just her true Cber self coming out.

1878. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 21:40:01 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123164001.05504.00004011@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 56068

>You're a fucking jerk...know that?
>
> I will question your last sentence... :-)

Dont question it, get use to it, this is the kind of people the Dumbed Down License is attracting. Look at her Callsign w5TIT, which she asked for, then look at her recent use of the English Language. And im sure it will get worse in time, and easily start to become common place on the AIR. So keep them Dumbed Down CBers coming. It shouldnt be much longer till all Ham Bands will sound like CB.

1879. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 22:08:30 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DDFFC5E.EC19DB5A@mailcity.net>
Source record: 56098
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

```
Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > >
> > > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > > > Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > > > > > Brenda Ann wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I'm far from a computer guru, but aren't all high level
> > > > > > > computer languages written in assembly/machine code? Aren't
> > > > > > > they all just basically interfaces between the processor
> > > > > > > (machine language) and the operator??
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >> Machine code is the lowest level code, when you write in machine
> > > > > > > language you are coding directly in the language the machine
> > > > > > > understands. An example of a high level language is BASIC which
> > > > > > > is written using English, such as GOTO, IF, THEN, LET A = B,
> > > > > > > PRINT, ect. The high level language either uses an interpreter,
> > > > > > > which converts the high level code to machine language on the
> > > > > > > fly, or it is compiled which converts the high level code to
> > > > > > > machine language in an executable file (.exe).
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You mispelt software.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > >> I don't see the word software anywhere in either post. You do tend
> > > > > > to read things that aren't there though.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You mispelt it again..<g>
> > > >
> > > >> And just for the record, how do you spell it? Every where I see
> > > > the word, it is spelled 'software'.
> > > >
> > > Like this,remember?
> >
> "Machine code is the lowest level code, when you write in machine
> language you are coding directly in the language the machine
> understands. An example of a high level language is BASIC which is
> written using English, such as GOTO, IF, THEN, LET A = B, PRINT,
> ect. The high level language either uses an interpreter, which
```

> converts the high level code to machine language on the fly, or it
> is compiled which converts the high level code to machine language
> in an executable file (.exe)".
>
So tell me just where to you see the word 'software' in the
posting, much less misspelled?

1880. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 22:22:20 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arov55cuv1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56069

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in
message news:arobj4$tb4$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> Wont work....anything slower than 5 wpm will
> sound like intentional qrm and
> someone will turn on the DSP.

> Bill K2UNK wrote:
> > There is no reason why a "new user" of code could not start
> > on the air at one or two wpm using a lookup table.
> >
> > If two hams want to "try" learning morse via on-the-air QSO,
> > what's wrong with that?
> >
> > Heck, why doesn't the ARRL start out their "slow code"
> > transmission sessions with something less than 5 wpm?

To answer Dan's comment above:
If ARRL added a 3 wpm and a 4 wpm (i.e. a 15 characters per minute
and a 20 characters per minute) segment, then why would anyone
tuning in at the appropriate time think it was QRM? In fact,
they would be looking for exactly what they find...very slow code
practice broadcasts.

When I first learned code I had a set of 78 rpm records
that included code transmission at less than 5wpm. The "very slow"
code sure sounded OK and didn't have any aspect of sounding like
QRM at all.

If, as Dan suggests, someone just thinks a 3 or 4 wpm broadcast is
intentional QRM and sets their DSP...well so what, who cares,
what's the harm?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1881. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 22:34:13 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DE00265.8D6CA050@mailcity.net>
Source record: 56210

Brian wrote:
>
> wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021122200358.20084.00000135@mb-dh.aol.com>...
> > >Only works once, though.
> >
> > Not true, I[']m working on 2 more right now,[;] they are stupid enough to let me take
> > 1 of the(m) on line [t]Test[s].
>
> Bruce Without Error sure knows a lot of CB Plussers. Wonder why that is?

Probably used to be one of them cbers himself.

1882. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 22:52:18 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arp0tc$2l1$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56071
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:arnpdg02nl0@enews2.newsguy.com...
> Yep... overall the hobby is declining, but it is not from the testing
> procedures.

So how come the number of US hams is at an all time high?
Are people just getting licenses, upgrading, etc and then not
operating at all?

> Anything viewed worthwile by someone is worth pursuing as well
> as whatever obstacles along the way. The root of the problem is in the PR
> side. I still run into tons of people that didn't even know ham radio
> existed. A much smaller group associates it with CB from my own local
area
> experiences. We need to promote the hobby more.

Agree 100%.

> We need to get ham radio
> into the schools, associate it with the cirriculums. We need to start
doing
> things that WILL get people coming to us, to become hams. Testing
sessions
> with a mere few testee's definitely reflects that there is a problem with
> promotion of the hobby. But that would take actual action by people and
god
> forbid that eh????

Agree again!

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1883. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 23:11:03 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arp213$2q8$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56072
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Tom Welch" <pm4hire@excite.com> wrote in message
news:52a66032.0211230809.30598869@posting.google.com...
> Check Your Stats:
>
> On a per capita basis, the ham radio community is shrinking

Not sure that is totally accurate. N2EY can
perhaps add more historic data to answer state the facts.

> and
> going nowhere.

That depends on what any one indivdiual wants
ham radio to be viewed as.

> And on a per capita basis, Japan has twice as
> many ham radio operators as the United States.

That troubles me not at all.

> The ham radio community is 50 years behind the
> times by holding on to this code thing.

Agree 100% if you are talking about the code TEST.
I have no problem with anyone wanting to use code as
a choosen mode.

> The ham radio community has a very negative public image, so women
> and young people wouldn't take part in a hobby that's dominated by
> semingly bunch of old farts totally lacking in basic social skills.

Actually, I think the ham radio community has almost NO
image at all in the minds and perceptions of most people.

> Would you want to spend a Saturday evening having dinner with a
> ham radio operator?

Sure. Hams are, for the most part, normal people like
everyone else. Are there extremists? Sure, but every hobby
has its extremists...be it antique cars, model trains,
or whatever.

> I don't think so!

Why not?

> Hey guys, it is 2002, not 1952.

Agreed....

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

1884. Re: ARNewsline 1318 - Nov 15 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 23:18:15 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0211232317590.15660-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 56073

SPAM SPAM SPAM

1885. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 23:23:54 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net>
Message-ID: <e6UD9.2638$4j5.1492696@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 56070
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0211231235.3a05e6db@posting.google.com...
> Most of all: Our licenses are for operating, not building or
> soldering.
>

Actually our licenses are supposed to mean that we are competent to run our stations within the requirements of the FCC and that we are responsible for the quality of our output signal.

1886. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 23:27:57 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211231527.6722c4f9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56074

"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:<arm9fo02idb@enews4.newsguy.com>...
> "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021122090434.29050.00000165@mb-fe.aol.com...
> > >Unlike Bruce Without Error, I can't
> >
> > TRUE, its good to see you finally admit it
>
> ...and the sarcasm flies right over Bruce's head.

Bruce is the type of person inventive licensing was supposed to keep out.

It was a monumental failure.

1887. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 23:32:19 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211231532.27ddf3ba@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56075

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021122200358.20084.00000135@mb-dh.aol.com>...
> >Only works once, though.
>
> Not true, I[']m working on 2 more right now,[;] they are stupid enough to let me take
> 1 of the(m) on line [t]Test[s].

Bruce Without Error sure knows a lot of CB Plussers. Wonder why that is?

1888. Re: Get ready for Stumpjumpers Thanksgiving Surprise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 23:32:39 UTC
From: herbiebabykv4fz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123183239.03027.00000059@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 56076

<< Subject: Get ready for Stumpjumpers Thanksgiving Surprise!
From: "R-75 FAT BOY" lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com
Date: Tue, Nov 19, 2002 1:20 AM
Message-id: <3dd9c899$1_4@corp-news.newsgroups.com>

Word on the street is that Herb, ex- KV4FZ, will make an appearence on 14.313 USB at high noon Eastern time.

A "Roll Call" will kick things off. Controlled by KT4TR and the Colonel will be the first check-in!

Word also has it that Hal Turner, will be making a guest appearrence on 14.313 too. He will be checking in with the help of control op, Kevin Strom, WB4AIO.

Bro. Norton and Bro. Bottle will be tuning in their R-75's. for the play by play.

Will KK4TL be tuning in from his 'frigerator box?

Profit Stair told me so......

>>

Word has it that Lloyd Davies, wannabe, dufus and failure is full of crap!

I "WILL NOT" be on the air at high noon eastern time as that obese pizza delivery boy claims.

Lloyd, you are in big trouble!

Herb KV4FZ

1889. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 23:35:38 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211231535.58a2f4e1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56077

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021122084602.29050.00000160@mb-fe.aol.com>...
> >I didn't get a code waiver.
> >
> >
>
> So you didnt pass it, and still cant today, even though you now dont have to
> worry about the Code Waiver.

Bruce Without Error, do you understand how little sense you make?

What don't I have to get a waiver for?

1890. Re: Ham Tower Incident On TV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 23:37:11 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123183711.00112.00000623@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 56079

In article <OnOD9.24490$ro.760792@news2.west.cox.net>, "AC6V" <ac6v@amsat.org>
writes:

>For a real hoot on the subject -- have you read "The Tower"
>http://www.speroni.com/Tower.html

Based on the folk song "The Sick Note" or "Why Paddy's Not At Work Today"

See:

http://groups.google.com/groups?q=n2ey+paddy+bricks&hl=en&selm=20000621214
223.17424.00003874%40nso-fg.aol.com&rnum=1

or

http://paul.merton.ox.ac.uk/work/bricklayer-song.html

and also:

http://www.snopes.com/humor/letters/bricks.htm

The song goes back to at least 1958 and the basic story to at least 1937 (if
not earlier).

73 de Jim, N2EY

1891. Re: Immoral Truths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 23:37:12 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123183712.00112.00000624@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 56080

In article <20021123101109.07665.00006467@mb-mn.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) writes:

>>They are "very easy" if a person knows the material. They are not very easy
>>to all newcomers.

>The few young people that took the exam passed it after a one day license
>class, according to my local Ham club.

Which test? The Technician, General or Extra?

And not everyone takes the one day cram courses. Most of the hams I know, old and young, actually try to learn the material. Or at least some of the material. And most of the hams I know, old and young, don't stop learning once the license is earned.

>The test is easy to all newcomers.

Have you met all of them? If not, how do you know how difficult the test is for all newcomers?
>
>>What is an "Only Coder"??

>This is the person that got into Ham Radio, for HF, contesting, ragchewing,
>etc and did not want to learn the written material.

What is wrong with getting into ham radio for HF, contesting, ragchewing, DXing or other activities, as long as they are done according to the rules? Those are the reasons most hams get licenses.
>
>The only coder then learned Morse code. The only coder did not learn the
>material, just memorized the questions in these one day license preparation
>session.

I don't know any "only coders", then.

>Since it was not too much effort, they can upgrade to General and Extra and
>gain those bragging rights.

Most hams I know, old and young, upgrade to get the operating privileges.
>
>The only coder often brags about how they are superior to no-coders and
>accuses no-coders of being CBplussers, when this may not be the case at all.

I don't know anyone whose ham license says "CBplus" or "No-code" or "only code". And I know lots of hams.
>
>The only coder takes over Ham Radio and tries to pressure newcomers and

>Technicians licensed to learn the code.

I don;t think anyone should be "pressured" to learn things. Encouraged, yes.
>
>>What license do you hold, Vshah101? What is your callsign?

>I have a Technician class Amateur Radio license.

That's half the answer. What is your callsign? KB9AVE?

> I will upgrade to General if the code requirement goes away.

Why not Extra?
>
>>Here's what "Vshah101" is really saying: He won't learn enough Morse to pass
>>the 5 wpm code test, but he would be glad to impose written tests of far
>>greater difficulty on all hams.

>Correct. Morse code is irrelevant
As KB9TMP so eloquently said:

"WRONG!!!!"

Morse code is NOT irrelevant to amateur radio. Have you ever actually LISTENED to the HF amateur bands? I don't think so.

> and it takes a long time to learn.

For some, yes. But with proper learning methods, most people can learn 5 wpm in a month or so of practice, doing 30-40 minutes per day. That's a total of 15-20 hours.

Whether or not the morse code test is irrelevant is a matter of opinion. But the code itself is quite relevant.

And the material in the written exam can take a long time to learn, too - particularly if one wants to understand it.

--

Why all the resistance to telling us your callsign?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1892. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 23:37:27 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211231537.56712c83@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56078

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<uttr37jfsefi10@corp.supernews.com>...
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:f45722ac.0211220520.ee49a54@posting.google.com...
> > wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
> news:<20021114091304.06642.00000624@mb-fi.aol.com>...
> > > >Jim, don't be silly. I passed the Extra exam.
> > >
> > > Then why doesnt it say so in the Data base.
> >
> > I didn't get a code waiver.
>
> heh heh heh heh <BIG GRIN> wow, news travels far and wide, doesn't it?
>
> Kim W5TIT

This is even better than a Far Side cartoon. This one's in real life.

1893. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 23:40:33 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211231540.52f6e39c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56081

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021122085917.29050.00000163@mb-fe.aol.com>...
> >I passed the Extra - no lie.
>
> No you didnt, the Data Base still says no EXTRA for Brian
>
> >I'll pass it again when I get around to
> >it.
>
> When,

I thought you said they were giving them away now? Which is it?

> >I see you jumped right on the coattails of NCI when you got yours.
>
> You give NCI way to much credit

NCI got you through the door, didn't they?

You are the "hordes of CBers" that Dick and Larry worried so much about.

Does anyone have a photo of this Bozo so we can make him the NCI Poster Boy?

1894. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 23:42:12 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211231542.447f4758@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56082

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021122222658.05475.00003165@mb-mq.aol.com>...
> >If you use your Advanced privileges, all you'd need to do is dial down a
> >little
> >lower from 14.176 to 14.155 MHz and you'd be using your Extra. It all
> >sounds the same on SSB. On CW, there may be faster code going on in
> >the extra sections, but I haven't looked lately.
> >
>
> Its not that, I just dont operate HF, havent for at least a few years

Welp, I'm sure Brian Kelly will have something nasty to say about an
inactive HFer such as yourself.

1895. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 23:42:54 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123184254.19963.00000133@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 56083

>Actually our licenses are supposed to mean that we are competent to run our
>stations within the requirements of the FCC and that we are responsible for
>the quality of our output signal.
>

And most new Hams have no Idea if they are doing the above, let alone how to
correct the Problems

1896. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 23:44:11 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123184411.19963.00000134@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 56084

>Bruce is the type of person inventive licensing was supposed to keep out.
>
>It was a monumental failure.
>

And your the type that the Dumb Down Licensing is supposed to bring in.

1897. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 23:45:13 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123184513.19963.00000135@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 56085

>Bruce Without Error sure knows a lot of CB Plussers. Wonder why that is?
>

Because there easy to take money from

1898. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 23:46:15 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123184615.07619.00007369@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 56086

>Subject: Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/23/02 2:28 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021123152813.25964.00000747@mb-fg.aol.com>

>Part 97.1 has five paragraphs defining US amateur radio service.
> "Emergency communications" is a general statement and only
> one of those five.

And in being "only one of those five", is STILL fully 20% of what the FCC expects from us.

Twenty percent is NOT an insignificant number.

>Now go back in time to find the actual, real
> emergency communications provided ONLY by morse code
> modes IN the US amateur radio service.

WHO said it was, Putzy One?

>There is no logical reason to keep the morse code testing for any
> US amateur radio license...(SNIP)

"...in my opinion" should have been the remainder of that statement...

> (UNSNIP)..except to satisfy the emotional needs
> of long-time amateurs who insist that all newcomers pass the
> same tests as they did.

And except to comply with international treaties and standards to which the United States is signatory...UNLESS, of course, Lennie, YOU suggest the United States should simply ignore those laws it likes and only comply with those it does?

>Do you wish US government to satisfy
> the emotional needs of a select minority group of citizens for a
> hobby activity?

I wish for the United States to be known as a nation of people who honor the laws, treaties and covenants that it is signatory to.

You DO insist on adhering to the rule of law, do you not?

73

Steve, K4YZ

1899. Re: Immoral Truths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 23:49:40 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123184940.19963.00000136@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 56087

>Why all the resistance to telling us your callsign?
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Because he doesnt have one.

1900. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 23:50:46 UTC
From: staples <cp@staples.com>
Message-ID: <S5udnTAtwv7LiX2gXTWcpQ@comcast.com>
Source record: 56088
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021123181848.334

: > > You mispelt it again..<g>

: Like this,remember?

MISSPELL is the word. Grammar boy

1901. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 23:50:52 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211231550.505baaf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56089

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<uttqucidmmvna0@corp.supernews.com>...
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:f45722ac.0211220500.570fa10c@posting.google.com...
> > n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
> news:<20021121214419.17907.00000647@mb-cs.aol.com>...
> > > In article <utqs031nv18j06@corp.supernews.com>, "Kim"
> > > <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
> > >
> > > >OK, set me straight. Are we
> > > >having a net on 7.037 or on 10M somewhere? I can do either,
> > >
> > > 7037 kHz. Wednesday nights. 9 PM EST, 8 PM CST, 0200 UTC
> > >
> > > You have to upgrade to General in order to operate on 7037, though.
> > >
> > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> > Jim, I thought she was a Tech Plus? No need to upgrade to General.
> > Anyway, you're sounding mighty desperate to talk to Kim. Just look
> > her up on Anywho.com and give her a call.
>
> I think he's (Jim) talking about if I operate phone on 7037. I would not
> have to upgrade, though, because I have a Control Op sitting right
> here...heh heh
>
> Kim W5TIT

And I was thinking these benevolent Extra's would have been curteous
enough, that if they were going to operate CW, that they would do it
in the Novice subband.

1902. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 23:51:42 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211231551.57501c63@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56090

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<arod26lug1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>...
> I don't need a control op.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

You ought to have one around anyway.

1903. Re: Eight Minutes?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 23:52:25 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123185225.19963.00000137@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 56091

>I thought you said they were giving them away now? Which is it?
>

They are

1904. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 23:52:38 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123185238.06308.00000649@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 56092

In article <e6UD9.2638$4j5.1492696@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>, "Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>news:c2356669.0211231235.3a05e6db@posting.google.com...
>> Most of all: Our licenses are for operating, not building or
>> soldering.
>>
>
>Actually our licenses are supposed to mean that we are competent to run our
>stations within the requirements of the FCC and that we are responsible for
>the quality of our output signal.
>
I'd say that was part of the definition of "operating" (as opposed to simply being a "user").

73 de Jim, N2EY

1905. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 23:54:00 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211231554.272e7b8e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56093

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<utv7f5t7dv7v0f@corp.supernews.com>...
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3DDF100E.FEC8C4EE@earthlink.net...

> > Why, no Kim. Then again, I didn't do a description for the amateur
> > radio club or make a report on it at school or tack a graphic paragraph
> > on a bulletin board at the grocery store. Bruce acts like a jerk and
> > you respond by trying to show that you have less class than he.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
> >
>
> Dave, what a charlatan you are. My exchanges here are under your microscope
> for judgement, but your ridiculous carrying on with Len, Brian, etc., is
> quite OK? You're a fucking jerk...know that?
>
> Kim W5TIT

Pretty much.

1906. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 23:56:30 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123185630.19943.00000255@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 56095

>You're a fucking jerk...know that?
>>
>> Kim W5TIT
>
>Pretty much.
>

I figured you would agree to her comments, you CBers sure stick together

1907. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-23 23:56:36 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211231556.6b92633d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56094

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021122222846.05475.00003166@mb-mq.aol.com>...
> >Bruce Without Error, why do you need it? You gonna send him a QSL
> >card for an internet "QSO?"
> >
> >
>
> I dont QSL

Lemme see. You don't operate HF with that spiffy Extra license. You don't QSL.

You're not much of a ham are you?

1908. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 00:00:23 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DE01697.C48765C3@mailcity.net>
Source record: 56101
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

staples wrote:
>
> <Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021123185145.653
>
> : >> MISSPELL is the word. Grammar boy
> : >
> : You mispelt dumbass,boy.
>
> I never typed the word "dumbass" in this thread, I see your having some
> sort of malfunction, and you still spelled *mispelt* wrong douche. I think
> your into the bottle, go sleep it off.

Never mind morph, it went right over your head.

1909. Re: Immoral Truths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 00:00:55 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123190055.07619.00007384@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 56096

>Subject: Re: Immoral Truths
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 11/23/02 9:11 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021123101109.07665.00006467@mb-mn.aol.com>

>
>>They are "very easy" if a person knows the material. They are not very easy
>>to
>>all newcomers.

>The few young people that took the exam passed it after a one day license
>class, according to my local Ham club. The test is easy to all newcomers.

The test is easy to "ALL" newcomers? Then why is there still those that
fail the written?

>The only coder then learned Morse code. The only coder did not learn the
>material, just memorized the questions in these one day license preparation
>session.
>
>Since it was not too much effort, they can upgrade to General and Extra and
>gain those bragging rights.

You should read what you write, V-shunt. That last bit about upgrading to
General and Extra simply because "the test was easy" is absolute idiocity.

>The only coder often brags about how they are superior to no-coders and
>accuses
>no-coders of being CBplussers, when this may not be the case at all.

Stop your assinine broadbrushing, V-shunt. That last comment belongs on
Brucie the Boner's shoulders alone. However, since we are deprived of your true
identity and experience/licensure, it may be true in your case. For all we
know, yo are not even a Novice, let alone any other class of licensee in the
Amateur Service.

>The only coder takes over Ham Radio and tries to pressure newcomers and
>Technicians licensed to learn the code.

Please provide names, dates, circumstances of ANYONE "pressuring" or
"forcing" anyone to learn Morse Code as it pertains to Amateur Radio.

>>What license do you hold, Vshah101? What is your callsign?

>I have a Technician class Amateur Radio license. I will upgrade to General if
>the code requirement goes away.

I seriously doubt that you have ANY license. You ignore the numerous requests to provide your callsign, ergo you remain, for all intents and purposes, anonymous and unlicensed. Both, in my book anyway, render your posts as "uninformed opinion".

>>Here's what "Vshah101" is really saying: He won't learn enough Morse to pass
>>the 5 wpm code test, but he would be glad to impose written tests of far
>>greater difficulty on all hams.

>Correct. Morse code is irrelevant and it takes a long time to learn.

Morse Code is NOT "irrelevent", nor does it take a "long time to learn"...Only the cognitive resources to understand it or the motivation to do it. Anything else is an "excuse".

Steve, K4YZ

1910. Re: Immoral Truths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 00:03:14 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123190314.07619.00007385@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 56097

>Subject: Re: Immoral Truths
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 11/23/02 12:19 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021123131915.23905.00000085@mb-cv.aol.com>

>My license does not say CBplus on it. Who is the real CB plusser, the
>Technician class that understands the Material or the General or Extra that
>does not?

That is irrelevent. Since you refuse to provide your callsign, we have no evidence that you ARE an Amateur Service licensee. No callsign...No license.

Stee, K4YZ

1911. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 00:11:25 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123191125.17907.00000689@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 56099

In article <arp213$2q8$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>"Tom Welch" <pm4hire@excite.com> wrote in message
>news:52a66032.0211230809.30598869@posting.google.com...
>> Check Your Stats:
>>
>> On a per capita basis, the ham radio community is shrinking
>
>Not sure that is totally accurate.

It is totally inaccurate in the USA.

>N2EY can
>perhaps add more historic data to answer state the facts.

Here you go:

1930: US population 122 million, US hams 18,000, 1 ham per 6778 Americans

1940: US population 131 million, US hams 50,000, 1 ham per 2620 Americans

1950: US population 150 million, US hams 90,000, 1 ham per 1667 Americans

1960: US population 179 million, US hams 230,000, 1 ham per 779 Americans

1970: US population 223 million, US hams 270,000, 1 ham per 825 Americans

1980: US population 227 million, US hams 350,000, 1 ham per 649 Americans

1990: US population 249 million US hams 550,000, 1 ham per 453 Americans

2000: US population 281 million, US hams 683,000, 1 ham per 411 Americans

Even using these approximate numbers, it's clear that the trend has been
steadily upwards in terms of population, with the exception of the 1960s, when
the US population boomed but the US ham population virtually stagnated. Most of
the reasons for that low growth had little to do with ham radio itself
(Vietnam, civil rights, baby boom, women's lib, etc).

This info was posted here back on Nov 6.

>> and
>> going nowhere.

As of yesterday, the total number of current, individual ham licenses in the
FCC database was 685,205. That's an all-time high.

It's clear that claims that US amateur radio is "dying" or "shrinking" are completely offbase, and not backed up by any facts.

>That depends on what any one indivdiual wants
>ham radio to be viewed as.
>
>> And on a per capita basis, Japan has twice as
>> many ham radio operators as the United States.
>
>That troubles me not at all.

The JA amateur radio station population has been shrinking rapidly for the past 7 years. The peak was in March 1995, when there were 1.364,316 station licenses. As of March 2002, there were 805,280. More than half a million less.

See:

http://www.ah0a.org/MPT/JA-stations.html

When analyzing JA license data, it's important to look at the station license totals, not the operator license totals. JA operator licenses are free, and never expire. One ham can have as many as four operator licenses on the books (one for each class).The JA operator license totals are an indication of the total number of Japanese folks who have ever had a ham license in the post-WW2 era.

But station licenses cost money and expire annually. They are an accurate indication of the number of hams, because only an active ham bothers to get one.
>
>> The ham radio community is 50 years behind the
>> times by holding on to this code thing.
>
>Agree 100% if you are talking about the code TEST.

You mean that in 1952 nobody but hams was using Morse code?

>I have no problem with anyone wanting to use code as
>a choosen mode.

Apparently Tom does. He doesn't specify the TEST. There ARE folks who want to end Morse code USE, not just the TEST.

>> The ham radio community has a very negative public image, so women
>> and young people wouldn't take part in a hobby that's dominated by
>> semingly bunch of old farts totally lacking in basic social skills.
>
>Actually, I think the ham radio community has almost NO
>image at all in the minds and perceptions of most people.

Exactly - and that's our biggest problem.
>
>> Would you want to spend a Saturday evening having dinner with a
>> ham radio operator?
>

>Sure.

Same here.

>Hams are, for the most part, normal people like
>everyone else. Are there extremists? Sure, but every hobby
>has its extremists...be it antique cars, model trains,
>or whatever.

Agreed.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1912. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 00:11:56 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uu06om6vma5k55@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56201

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:aroaid$v97$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> Actually Kim that is not a bad idea. Although not a new one. The old
> 'Communist Block' nations used a similar system. Also one had to actually
> accomplish specific things on the air to be able to upgrade. Example;
work
> 20 countries on CW, log 250 cw stations to even apply for a ticket. ETC.
> These are just off the top of my head and just a representation of what I
> was told went on.
>
> As for the US system I think it is actually detremental. Considering the
on
> the air stuff you hear (at least on HF) something is lacking somewhere.
> When a Extra class operator can't even figure how to cut a 1/2 wave dipole
> then we are in trouble.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

Absolutely, I agree. And, it's the things like that (antenna construction,
etc.) that would be useful all 'round to the application of ham radio. If I
learn CW to get a license, but don't really care for the mode, I've spent
some amount of time (long or short depending upon the speed at which one
learns CW) on something that I'll never really use. That just doesn't make
a lick of sense to me. For me, personally, I can't see spending what little
time I have away from "duties" to learn something I won't use. Now, on the
other hand, I'm going to need to know how to tune, how to program, how to
connect and install a radio; or I'm going to need to know how to at least
install, if not build, an antenna system; or I am going to need to know ____
(fill in the blank). It'd make a whole lot more sense to me to test someone
on that kind of skill than to test someone on a mode.

Kim W5TIT

1913. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 00:15:36 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123191536.10412.00000238@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 56100

>You're not much of a ham are you?
>

Hew Brian I got one of them EXTRAS its a shame you cant get one

1914. Re: Communication Corrections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 00:21:46 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uu07b4a2ooe3f3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56206

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:arobb1mlg1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> Your right and your wrong Kim. Traditions and operation proceedures are
> important parts of radio operation. And of course rules are rules.
>
> I think what is being discussed is blatent violations of what is
considered
> 'normal ham radio etiquite'. Perhaps by todays standards somewhat
> antiquated but still necessary.
>
> Using ten codes on ham radio is improper. Why? because we dont do that.
>
> See what I mean? traditions do count for something.
>
> All of which will change I am sure. As for Mr. ARRL......he reminds me of
> others that spend most of their time off the air...grin.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

Well, yeah. That's exactly it. Traditions will change as the demographics of amateur radio operators change. It "scares" the longer-licensed hams to see their traditions changing, but I really and truly think the important ones will always stay: courtesy, fun "rituals" (like Wouf Hong, Field Day, etc., etc.), and others. I just can't think of them right now (just got in from five hours of mowing...my brain's rattling).

It's no different, Dan, really, than when my parents are horrified that a sit-down meal with my family is more a treat these days than an every day occurrence. And, it's not as important to me to see that we wear "proper" clothes to the dinner table these days--the times have made it just more important that you "show up"...ya know? It's sad, yeah. It's even a little depressing, but it's just the world we've got today versus the world we had yesterday when life was that much simpler.

Ham radio traditions that make ham radio what it is at the heart, will always be around. But, someone saying 10-4 or "let me turn my house around" (swing the beams, etc.) just isn't a mountain I think I need to spend time on. Maybe for you that's different--and that is certainly OK.

Mr. ARRL. That's a good one! <BIGGER GRIN>

Kim W5TIT

1915. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 00:23:21 UTC
From: staples <cp@staples.com>
Message-ID: <zJecnaqTh75khn2gXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 56202
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021123185145.653

: >> MISSPELL is the word. Grammar boy
: >
: You mispelt dumbass,boy.

I never typed the word "dumbass" in this thread, I see your having some sort of malfunction, and you still spelled *mispelt* wrong douche. I think your into the bottle, go sleep it off.

1916. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 00:25:50 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uu07iomvctrvaa@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56208

I think for real hams, it depends on what they do with ham radio. For some, it is a service. For others it is a hobby. For still others, it's both. You can't convince me that a die-hard contester is doing anyone a service. You also can't convince me that a die-hard emergency communicator is in it for a hobby.

But, the important thing is that we are all hams.

Kim W5TIT

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message news:aroc0n$69v$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> Good for you. For....lack of a better phrase...real hams its a service.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> news:uttp4bqqkf2p2f@corp.supernews.com...
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:ark3u2$1jt$5@slb9.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > Its not a hobby W5TWIT....its a SERVICE...Read part 97...show me where
> > its
> > > called a 'hobby'.
>
>
> > For me, it's a hobby.
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
> >
> >
>
>

1917. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 00:26:10 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arp6choul1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56203

When the Extra was passed it used to mean something. Now all it means is more dummies in the Extra segement. Sorry, thats the facts of it.

One good thing tho....the bottom 25 of CW is still heaven. Good speeds too.

Dan/W4NTI

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message news:20021123162115.05504.00004005@mb-mq.aol.com...
> >What? You mean an Extra cannot even put up a dipole? I thought since an Extra
> >passed the code test they are no longer CBplussers and must automatically be
> >better Hams.
>
> I havent been complaining about CW, I know thats gone, what Im talking about is
> the Joke we have in the written test

1918. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 00:27:34 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arp6es$7ui$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56204

Did your mother drop you at birth, or did your dad throw you onto the
hospital floor first?

Dan/W4NTI

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021123152814.25964.00000748@mb-fg.aol.com...
> In article <aroaid$v97$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> writes:
>
> >Actually Kim that is not a bad idea. Although not a new one. The old
> >'Communist Block' nations used a similar system. Also one had to
actually
> >accomplish specific things on the air to be able to upgrade. Example;
work
> >20 countries on CW, log 250 cw stations to even apply for a ticket.
ETC.
> >These are just off the top of my head and just a representation of what I
> >was told went on.
>
> One could take that even further...require nice, distinctive
> uniforms, hold formations at club meetings, march in town
> parades playing rettysnitches and beating drums with whouff-
> hongs. Eventually you would want to carry arms and, on a
> special night celebration, go through the business districts
> and smash in the windows of electronics stores selling the
> hated, perverse CB and GMRS radios.
>
> That would show that you CARE and that ham radio Means
> Business!
>
> While there is historical precedence of that, the outcome was
> not pretty.
>
> >As for the US system I think it is actually detremental. Considering the
on
> >the air stuff you hear (at least on HF) something is lacking somewhere.
> >When a Extra class operator can't even figure how to cut a 1/2 wave
dipole
> >then we are in trouble.
>
> If someone cannot do the simple arithmetic of dividing 468 by
> frequency in MHz, then they are not able to function in greater
> society with sufficient skills.
>

> It's quite another problem to hold that dipole up, to raise it
> without inflicting injury. See the recurring accidents on antenna
> installations by radio amateurs in the publications.
>
> Take heart. Once an amateur radio antenna is up, it apparently
> survives high winds and tornados that always strikes down
> stronger public service radio service antennas. So I've been told.

1919. Why are CBplussers offended

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 00:28:08 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123192808.10412.00000239@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 56205

You CBplussers shouldnt be offended, you should be proud of your CB heritage, and the fact that you have upgraded to CBplusser status.
Just think if you were not a CBplusser you would have never known what a mic. was for. And you would have never heard terms like, SWRsss,Antenner,DB GAIN, Raiididoo. The fact that you have no Idea what the terms mean is not important, just be proud that you can now impress your CB Buddies.

1920. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 00:28:55 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uu07ogrsr8807e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56212

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:arod26lug1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> I don't need a control op.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

I don't need to test for General. (Responded in kind)

Kim W5TIT

>
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> news:uttqucidmmvna0@corp.supernews.com...
> > "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:f45722ac.0211220500.570fa10c@posting.google.com...
> > > n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
> > news:<20021121214419.17907.00000647@mb-cs.aol.com>...
> > > > In article <utqs031nv18j06@corp.supernews.com>, "Kim"
> > > > <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
> > > >
> > > > >OK, set me straight. Are we
> > > > >having a net on 7.037 or on 10M somewhere? I can do either,
> > > >
> > > > 7037 kHz. Wednesday nights. 9 PM EST, 8 PM CST, 0200 UTC
> > > >
> > > > You have to upgrade to General in order to operate on 7037, though.
> > > >
> > > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > >
> > > Jim, I thought she was a Tech Plus? No need to upgrade to General.
> > > Anyway, you're sounding mighty desperate to talk to Kim. Just look
> > > her up on Anywho.com and give her a call.
> >
> > I think he's (Jim) talking about if I operate phone on 7037. I would
not
> > have to upgrade, though, because I have a Control Op sitting right
> > here...heh heh
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
> >
> >
>
>

1921. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 00:30:03 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arp6jrddu1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56207

Yep...sorta like requiring a drivers test without the actual test of your ability to stay alive while on the road and not kill anyone.

One point, and I dont mean to annoy. If you have never actually used CW on the air...then how do you know you wont enjoy it? I have heard many many people tell me that in class. And when they were done they actually enjoyed the mode.

Dan/W4NTI

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message news:uu06om6vma5k55@corp.supernews.com...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:aroaid$v97$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Actually Kim that is not a bad idea. Although not a new one. The old
> > 'Communist Block' nations used a similar system. Also one had to
actually
> > accomplish specific things on the air to be able to upgrade. Example;
> work
> > 20 countries on CW, log 250 cw stations to even apply for a ticket.
ETC.
> > These are just off the top of my head and just a representation of what
I
> > was told went on.
> >
> > As for the US system I think it is actually detremental. Considering
the
> on
> > the air stuff you hear (at least on HF) something is lacking somewhere.
> > When a Extra class operator can't even figure how to cut a 1/2 wave
dipole
> > then we are in trouble.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> Absolutely, I agree. And, it's the things like that (antenna
construction,
> etc.) that would be useful all 'round to the application of ham radio. If
I
> learn CW to get a license, but don't really care for the mode, I've spent
> some amount of time (long or short depending upon the speed at which one
> learns CW) on something that I'll never really use. That just doesn't
make
> a lick of sense to me. For me, personally, I can't see spending what

```
little
> time I have away from "duties" to learn something I won't use. Now, on
the
> other hand, I'm going to need to know how to tune, how to program, how to
> connect and install a radio; or I'm going to need to know how to at least
> install, if not build, an antenna system; or I am going to need to know
____
> (fill in the blank). It'd make a whole lot more sense to me to test
someone
> on that kind of skill than to test someone on a mode.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>
```

1922. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 00:31:13 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uu07srro96enea@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56213

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021123123252.19707.00000275@mb-ba.aol.com...
> >I
> >didn't "study" for my licensing; I memorized. And, for instance, I could
> >never remember frquency ranges/numbers, so I completely disregarded that
> >part of even the memorization and super-guessed any that I encountered on
> >the exams. Do I feel bad for that? Hell no. The structure allowed it,
and
> >I can look up things that I need to know.
> >
> >Well, that's my two cents worth.
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
>
> Wheres W1RFI, he says what you claim you did to get your License isnt
> happening. He says it would be Imposible for that to happen. And TIT we
all
> know your not that sharp.
> So from your own confession you have proved that the Written is a JOKE,
wake
> up ARRL.
>

Gads, I wish you'd catch up. That is a debate that was had by me and many others here about three years ago. And, Ed and I are diametrically opposed to each other on the opinion of the written tests. Yes, dork, I am one who believes that the written tests are a joke.

Kim W5TIT

1923. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 00:31:45 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uu07tr27radpff@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56214

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021123123514.19707.00000276@mb-ba.aol.com...
> >When a Extra class operator can't even figure how to cut a 1/2 wave
dipole
> >then we are in trouble.
> >
> >Dan/W4NTI
>
> Thats not there worst of it, most dont even know what a Dipole is.
>

Well, look it up...

Kim W5TIT

1924. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 00:34:26 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uu082rqssl0f6e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56215

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021123124324.19707.00000279@mb-ba.aol.com...
> >Get the idea, dork?
>
> Dork, I got your DORK hanging
>

Oh wait. Ya say my CBism is showing when I use the word fuck. But, you think you have something of mine hanging, and, ... yeah, uh huh.

> >You've offended everyone, on both sides of the
> >fence--you're a creep.
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
>
> Screw off winch
>

Aw, truth hurt? Poe baby.

Kim W5TIT

1925. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 00:34:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123193428.07619.00007406@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 56209

>Subject: Re: How can we win?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/23/02 2:28 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021123152812.25964.00000746@mb-fg.aol.com>

>Dee, there are a few in here who have put down everyone not in
> their "superman" amateur morsemanship class...especially
> those not yet licensed in US amateur radio.

Dee has yet to experience being analogied with the Nazi Party by you, Lennie, as a result of failure to accept YOUR ideas.

Dee, Leonard H. Anderson is allegedly a former "radio engineer". He has no Amateur Radio license, yet pontificates wildly in this forum on how Amateur Radio should be run, both in terms of regulations that affect us and in actual operating techniques.

Leonard, or "Lennie" as I prefer to call him, has uttered countless blatant lies about Amateur Radio in general and some of us who are active licensees in particular. Among his more humourous utterences were those asserting that he was going to get the "Extra Lite out of the box", and his allegations of dishonesty of the American Radio Relay League.

He has now wasted over two and a half years on the licensing promise, and has yet, despite countless demands to do so, provided even one "fer instance" of ANY inappropriate conduct of ANY ARRL official, elected or otehrwise.

>On the basis of
> such well-established self-defined radio experts' postings,
> griping about lack of respect of their exhalted extra class
> shows only how badly the class distinction has become in US
> amateur radio.

No such "griping" about "lack of respect" exist. On the other hand, one of Lennie's favorite tag-lines is to assert how Amateurs are allegedly tied to "rank/title/priviledge", yet signs a great many of his posts with his standing as an IEEE life member or his Branch of Service and former service number from his 1950's era military service.

>Was so long ago that it
> couldn't be found in Google archives

This is interesting, in as much as I was playing with Google IRT this forum and some other threads and found posts from this and other Amateur Radio related NG's going back to the mid 90's. I doubt Lennie's assertion of the existence of the item ot which he refers.

>Those also elicited a
> long howl of outrage from self-important extras.

However not nearly as long a howl from self important ex-technicians who find it necessary to act the fool and antagonize the NG of a hobby for which they have no vested interest.

Beware him, Dee. He's neither honest nor gentlemanly.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1926. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 00:35:43 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arp6ue$c88$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56211

The 1933 Johnstown PA floods. And around the same time out in Missouri. I may have the year wrong.

Nobody said a thing about CW use exclusivly in an emergency. I think you need to take a reading improvement course.

Let me try again....knowing CW makes you a better communicator. Especially in emergency situations where it may indeed be the only way to get out.

Have you ever been in a tornado area and tried to use a cell phone? Good luck. Not too many years ago we had a earthquake out in California. The only way I could get through was on CW. Perhaps if I would have bothered on SSB it would have worked also. Whatever.

You are just anti-cw. And you are ignorant of its abilities. Or you are just plain stupid.

Dan/W4NTI

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021123152813.25964.00000747@mb-fg.aol.com...
> In article <aroaneonl1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> writes:
>
> >Correct. Considering that a major reason Amateur Radio is permitted is
to
> >be able to provide emergency communications when needed.
> >
> >Knowing CW just adds to the individuals ability to provide it.
> >
> >5 WPM is adequate. Those that want to go higher can. The others can
stop
> >their whinning since they wont 'have to'.
> >
> >Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >"Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> >news:YsOD9.2598$4j5.1456356@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> >> However, I consider CW one of those basic skills that should be kept
and
> >> tested on.
>
> Part 97.1 has five paragraphs defining US amateur radio service.
> "Emergency communications" is a general statement and only
> one of those five. Now go back in time to find the actual, real
> emergency communications provided ONLY by morse code

> modes IN the US amateur radio service.
>
> Nowhere in Part 97 does the FCC mandate morse code modes
> over and above any other allocated mode on any allocated band.
> All allocated modes are optional to use by any US radio
> amateur. But...morse code mode is the ONLY mode having a
> separate pass-fail test for any license having below-30-MHz
> privileges. NONE of the other modes have ever had a separate
> pass-fail test elements. None. Not even required by the three
> other US radio regulating agencies that preceded the FCC.
>
> There is no logical reason to keep the morse code testing for any
> US amateur radio license...except to satisfy the emotional needs
> of long-time amateurs who insist that all newcomers pass the
> same tests as they did. Do you wish US government to satisfy
> the emotional needs of a select minority group of citizens for a
> hobby activity?

1927. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 00:38:26 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uu08achsus3702@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56218

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0211231224.2f2e38a0@posting.google.com...
> "Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<uttqucidmmvna0@corp.supernews.com>...
> > I think he's (Jim) talking about if I operate phone on 7037.
>
> I'm talking about your operating any mode on 7037. Tech Plus privs on
> 40 meters include only 7100-7150. I think you knew that already Kim
> ;-)
>

No, no, Jim. I rewy, rewy did not. I don't know nuttin' about dis
stuff...I am just a dumbed down Tech+. ;)

> >
> > I would not
> > have to upgrade, though, because I have a Control Op sitting right
> > here...heh heh
> >
> Except that you would not be operating - the control op would be
> operating, and you'd be a third party. Same as if you had no license
> at all. The term "operate" has a specific meaning in the rules. i.e.
> to be the control operator. Anything else is third-part stuff.
>

Ah, semantics. I'd be operating on phone alright. I wouldn't be the
operator, though...LOL

>
> Which has some odd consequences. For example, a third party using a
> control op can't work a DX station unless there is a specific third
> party agreement between the DX station's country and the USA.
>
> But I'm pretty sure Kim knew all this stuff.
>

No, no, I rewy rewy did not...<CHORKLE>

>
> Your control op could get in some hot water for working 'phone on
> 7037, tho.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Why, Jim? :) ppfffftttt

Kim W5TIT

1928. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 00:44:39 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arp7f6$l9i$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56217

It ain't just the new ones with garbage signals. I heard a OT (Old timer) on CW with a serious 60 cycle hum. And the guy he was in comm with never mentioned it.....both were OT's according to the callbook at least.

Another WA4...was blathering away telling everyone to go to hell because he knew his Swan was working right. Never mind that he had a s9 carrier and his audio was hitting it up to 20 over. And when told about it and how to adjust it he just kept right on yappin away...qrming the hell out of all those around him.

Dan/W4NTI

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021123184254.19963.00000133@mb-dh.aol.com...
> >Actually our licenses are supposed to mean that we are competent to run
our
> >stations within the requirements of the FCC and that we are responsible
for
> >the quality of our output signal.
> >
>
> And most new Hams have no Idea if they are doing the above, let alone how
to
> correct the Problems

1929. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 00:45:19 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uu08n9g5dnbbde@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56223

"Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
news:e6UD9.2638$4j5.1492696@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:c2356669.0211231235.3a05e6db@posting.google.com...
> > Most of all: Our licenses are for operating, not building or
> > soldering.
> >
>
> Actually our licenses are supposed to mean that we are competent to run
our
> stations within the requirements of the FCC and that we are responsible
for
> the quality of our output signal.
>
>

Whew, Thank you, Dee. I kind of agree with both of you; however, the process by which we "get" our licenses is meant exactly as you said, Dee.

Once we have them, Jim, they are for what you said.

Semantics, semantics...

Kim W5TIT

1930. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 00:48:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE021CF.5560284@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56219

W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3DDF100E.FEC8C4EE@earthlink.net...
> > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3DDDC5CF.AB9F3F1@earthlink.net...
> > > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:20021120231418.18440.00000194@mb-fp.aol.com...
> > > > > > > For instance, if you're typical...you're boastfulness and
> > > > > > >assholiness indicates quite a small dick.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > WOOOOO TIT. I take it you most have Experience along those lines.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Along what lines? Knowing guys who are assholes because of the size
> of
> > > > > their dicks...? Actually, I've only known one--my first husband.
> He
> > > was
> > > > > small and a small man also. However, in many, many conversations
> over
> > > the
> > > > > years with many women who've also had the same misfortune--it is
> pretty
> > > > > apparent that you guys with little "ones" have a real piss-poor
> > > personality.
> > > > >
> > > > > So, yeah, I've got quite a lot of experience to use as reference. I
> > > notice
> > > > > that you, on the other hand, didn't bother denying the inference.
> > > > >
> > > > > Kim W5TIT
> > > >
> > > > I see that Tugboat Annie is back, Camel dangling from her lips.
> > > > You're every bit as crude as Bruce, Kim.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > And you just read the articles, right, Dave?
> >
> > Why, no Kim. Then again, I didn't do a description for the amateur

> > radio club or make a report on it at school or tack a graphic paragraph
> > on a bulletin board at the grocery store. Bruce acts like a jerk and
> > you respond by trying to show that you have less class than he.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
> >
>
> Dave, what a charlatan you are. My exchanges here are under your microscope
> for judgement, but your ridiculous carrying on with Len, Brian, etc., is
> quite OK? You're a fucking jerk...know that?

Thanks for proving my point, Kim.

Dave K8MN

1931. Re: Ham Tower Incident On TV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 00:49:35 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arp7of$d77$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56220

Thanks I'll look it over after the WWDX CW contest. BTW I really enjoy your site. Its the best on the web for ham radio in my opinion.

Dan/W4NTI

"AC6V" <ac6v@amsat.org> wrote in message news:OnOD9.24490$ro.760792@news2.west.cox.net...
> For a real hoot on the subject -- have you read "The Tower"
> http://www.speroni.com/Tower.html
>
> Another Goodie is the "Antenna"
> http://www.speroni.com/Antenna.html
>
> More humourous nonsense at URL:
> http://ac6v.com/humor.htm
>
> --
> I Doubt, Therefore I Might Be
> 73 From AC6V, Rod In Oceanside, CA
> http://ac6v.com
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:arobll$e97$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Certainly it is more adventageous. Unfortunatly survival of the fittest
> > rules.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
> > news:arnpdm62nl0@enews2.newsguy.com...
> > > You mean "street smarts" versus "book smarts" Dan? Think a good balance
> > of
> > > both is more adventageous.
> > >
> > >
> > > --
> > > Ryan, KC8PMX
> > > FF/MFR
> > > REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
> > >
> > >
> > > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> > > news:arjbmk$td9$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > > Won't work....Ive met many many intelligent folks that were just plain

> > > dumb
> > > > when it came to common sense.
> > > >
> > > > Dan/W4NTI
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

1932. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 00:50:20 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <arp7hl01s64@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56234
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DDEDDA1.FC524FB6@mailcity.net...
> Ever try sorting through a few hundred pages of a 'core dump'
> (raise you hand if you know what a core dump is) deciphering the
> instructions to find out why a program had an abend (abnormal
> end)? At least it printed out in hex.

Luckily, I haven't have to do one of those since college. Even then, it was only as part of an assignment to examine memory contents, so I knew what I was looking for. I hope I never have to use assembly language again - but I keep my Kip Irvine textbook handy, just in case.

1933. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 00:51:28 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uu092q6rnidube@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56229

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021123185630.19943.00000255@mb-dh.aol.com...
> >You're a fucking jerk...know that?
> >>
> >> Kim W5TIT
> >
> >Pretty much.
> >
>
> I figured you would agree to her comments, you CBers sure stick together

I really think that Waddles acutally believes his use of calling people
CBers is supposed to make them mad. Is that why you keep using that,
Waddles? It's funny, and cute, but not much more than that.

Kim W5TIT

1934. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 00:51:39 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arp7s2kqr1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56222

You don't do well with humor do you?

Dan/W4NTI

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:arov55cuv1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in
> message news:arobj4$tb4$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Wont work....anything slower than 5 wpm will
> > sound like intentional qrm and
> > someone will turn on the DSP.
>
> > Bill K2UNK wrote:
> > > There is no reason why a "new user" of code could not start
> > > on the air at one or two wpm using a lookup table.
> > >
> > > If two hams want to "try" learning morse via on-the-air QSO,
> > > what's wrong with that?
> > >
> > > Heck, why doesn't the ARRL start out their "slow code"
> > > transmission sessions with something less than 5 wpm?
>
> To answer Dan's comment above:
> If ARRL added a 3 wpm and a 4 wpm (i.e. a 15 characters per minute
> and a 20 characters per minute) segment, then why would anyone
> tuning in at the appropriate time think it was QRM? In fact,
> they would be looking for exactly what they find...very slow code
> practice broadcasts.
>
> When I first learned code I had a set of 78 rpm records
> that included code transmission at less than 5wpm. The "very slow"
> code sure sounded OK and didn't have any aspect of sounding like
> QRM at all.
>
> If, as Dan suggests, someone just thinks a 3 or 4 wpm broadcast is
> intentional QRM and sets their DSP...well so what, who cares,
> what's the harm?
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
>
>

1935. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 00:52:17 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE022A9.D55462C0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56224

W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021123090218.08937.00000208@mb-fo.aol.com...
> > >Bruce acts like a jerk and
> > >you respond by trying to show that you have less class than he.
> > >
> > >Dave K8MN
> >
> > Dave you got to be kidding, a JERK you say
>
> Get the idea, dork? You've offended everyone, on both sides of the
> fence--you're a creep.

Bruce is crude. You're tacky. Neither of you knows how to behave in
public.

Dave K8MN

1936. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 00:52:59 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arp7ur$f1o$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56225

But I am right...nowhere is it refered to a 'hobby'. Unless you happen to be reading the ARRL crap that is.

Dan/W4NTI

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message news:20021123152812.25964.00000745@mb-fg.aol.com...
> In article <aroc0n$69v$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> writes:
>
> >Good for you. For....lack of a better phrase...real hams its a service.
> >
> >Dan/W4NTI
>
> The word "service" used throughout Title 47 CFR is a regulatory
> term denoting a type and kind of radio activity.
>
> See also Citizens Band Radio SERVICE, Radio-Control Radio
> SERVICE, Family Radio SERVICE, etc., etc., etc.
>
> Be careful not to confuse "service" with "cerveza." :-)

1937. Re: Colonel Steve ex-AA8DP Busted (this is a VERY GOOD thing)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 00:53:45 UTC
From: "SILKY (Da Black Pimp)" <nohooch@noyomommas.com>
Message-ID: <wpVD9.19523$NV.381398@news.direcpc.com>
Source record: 56221
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

He gonna have him a "good-buddy" in the same prison cell.
"Hey, young fellow. whatchyour name? Oh,now, don't be havin' that at-ti-tude with me! Bend Over!!"

"the ole bignose" <bernie0x19@aol.com> wrote in message news:3ddfb1ca@snipnews.snip.net...
> There's NO WAY IN HECK that this bastard Anderson
> could have hid out for so long, without the help
> and assistance of the so-called "patriot" community.
>
> Perhaps the FED's and FCC ought to pay more attention
> to a certain ham radio "Patriot's Net" (3.860 8PM EST),
> since numerous transmissions and topics (by licenced and
> unlicenced stations) were heard while monitoring this net
> over the course of the past 2 weeks - and these topics
> seemed to be *very concerned* on "activity" the same area of
> N.C. where Anderson was caught yesterday. Coincidence??
>
> Good job America's Most wanted. Good job BATF.
> Good job and help by the ADL and Mark Pitcavage.
>
> One down - MORE to go....
>
> http://www.courier-journal.com/localnews/2002/11/23/ke112302s318493.htm
>
> A tip received by ''America's Most Wanted'' and forwarded to federal
> officials
> led to the arrest of Kentucky fugitive Steve Anderson in rural
> Cherokee County, N.C., yesterday, authorities said.
>
> Anderson, a white supremacist who operated an illegal radio station
> from his Pulaski County home, had been at large since allegedly
> shooting at a Bell County sheriff's deputy on Oct. 14, 2001.
> He was arrested in the mountains of southwestern North Carolina
> by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms and the North
> Carolina State Bureau of Investigation.
>
> ''It's a relief he's been taken into custody, especially without
> incident,'' said Don York of the ATF's Louisville office.
> York said the ATF captured Anderson entering a
> residence, but he declined to elaborate.
> Anderson was being held last night in Asheville, N.C.,
> pending his transfer to Kentucky, authorities said.

>
> York said the television program received a tip about Anderson's
> whereabouts after airing its second segment on him about a month
> ago. The tip was forwarded to the ATF, which worked the case
> with North Carolina authorities.
>
> Anderson is accused of shooting an automatic weapon at
> Officer Scott Elder, who had pulled Anderson's truck over north
> of Middlesboro for a traffic violation. Elder was unharmed after
> Anderson allegedly opened fire, although his cruiser was struck about 25
> times.
>
> Police said Anderson then drove into the mountains, and a search
> of his property turned up explosives and guns. Anderson had
> attracted attention in Pulaski County several years ago by playing
> host to a small Ku Klux Klan rally during which a cross was burned.
> He also operated a shortwave radio station out of his home,
> where his commentary against blacks, Jews, immigrants and
> the federal government drew the attention of the
> Federal Communications Commission.
>
> The Anti-Defamation League complained to the FCC about
> Anderson's broadcasts, and the agency eventually
> rescinded his license. Anderson continued to broadcast despite the FCC
> action.
> Anderson was a member of the Kentucky State Militia, a civilian
> paramilitary group, until April 2001. The militia said it dismissed
> Anderson because he refused to shut down his radio operation.
>
> According to the Anti-Defamation League, Anderson also has ties
> to the Christian Identity Movement, a loosely defined group
> that claims Jews are the offspring of the devil. Anderson also
> allegedly threatened an Eastern Kentucky newspaper editor who
> included him in a series on hate.
>
> The ADL's director of fact-finding, Mark Pitcavage of
> Columbus, Ohio, said he was glad that Anderson had
> been caught, ''because he was a dangerous man who
> had already shot at a police officer and threatened
> more violence in his radio show. For public
> safety, it's a good thing.'' Pitcavage said the capture
> also was gratifying because ''people in militia and
> extremist groups *admired he wasn't caught*.''
>
> Bell County Sheriff Harold Harbin said: ''It's good news.
> I knew eventually we'd catch him. It sends a
> message you can't get away forever.''
>
>
>

>
>
> "signalchaser" <williamsmith208@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:utv7ncd15dria0@corp.supernews.com...
> > Check out this link on Colonel Steve being busted
> > http://www.courier-journal.com/localnews/2002/11/23/ke112302s318493.htm
> >
> >
>
>

1938. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 00:54:36 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123195436.10503.00000255@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 56226

>Probably used to be one of them cbers himself.
>
>
>

No never was, so why dont you tell us about the good old days of CB

1939. Re: Why are CBplussers offended

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 00:56:12 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uu09bnmremv557@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56232

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021123192808.10412.00000239@mb-cg.aol.com...
> You CBplussers shouldnt be offended, you should be proud of your CB
heritage,
> and the fact that you have upgraded to CBplusser status.
> Just think if you were not a CBplusser you would have never known what a
mic.
> was for. And you would have never heard terms like, SWRsss,Antenner,DB
GAIN,
> Raiididoo. The fact that you have no Idea what the terms mean is not
important,
> just be proud that you can now impress your CB Buddies.

Offended?! Who's offended there, Waddles? With each and every post you make, you epitomize the term "CBer" in the derogatory slant that you mean it. You've been chided by both sides of the fence here in this newsgroup. And, other than Dan (for what reason who knows) I haven't seen anyone agree with you, align themselves with your opinion, or otherwise.

Now, what were you saying about CB stuff? And, why should someone feel offended by you? Maybe you can help explain that one. Oh, never mind...you haven't enough time or energy.

Kim W5TIT

1940. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 00:56:55 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123195655.10503.00000256@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 56227

>Yes, dork

Are you looking in your pants again?

1941. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 00:57:56 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123195756.10503.00000257@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 56228

>Oh wait. Ya say my CBism is showing when I use the word fuck. But, you
>think you have something of mine hanging, and, ... yeah, uh huh.

It seems to be the only language you understand

1942. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 01:01:03 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arp8dv$5ne$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56230

That was tried already. It was a failure.

Dan/W4NTI

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021123152811.25964.00000743@mb-fg.aol.com...
> In article <arod26lug1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> writes:
>
> >I don't need a control op.
>
> How about just needing some control? :-)

1943. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 01:01:41 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arp8f6rdg1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56231

Why? So I can think like you braindeads on here? Id rather shoot myself.

Dan/W4NTI

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0211231551.57501c63@posting.google.com...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<arod26lug1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>...
> > I don't need a control op.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
> You ought to have one around anyway.

1944. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 01:03:14 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arp8i3ot1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56233

Good idea...but then we would have been bitched at to slow it down to 5.
Sorry I for one can't copy 5 wpm....too slow. Im not kidding you.

Dan/W4NTI

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0211231550.505baaf@posting.google.com...
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<uttqucidmmvna0@corp.supernews.com>...
> > "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:f45722ac.0211220500.570fa10c@posting.google.com...
> > > n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
> > news:<20021121214419.17907.00000647@mb-cs.aol.com>...
> > > > In article <utqs031nv18j06@corp.supernews.com>, "Kim"
> > > > <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
> > > >
> > > > >OK, set me straight. Are we
> > > > >having a net on 7.037 or on 10M somewhere? I can do either,
> > > >
> > > > 7037 kHz. Wednesday nights. 9 PM EST, 8 PM CST, 0200 UTC
> > > >
> > > > You have to upgrade to General in order to operate on 7037, though.
> > > >
> > > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > >
> > > Jim, I thought she was a Tech Plus? No need to upgrade to General.
> > > Anyway, you're sounding mighty desperate to talk to Kim. Just look
> > > her up on Anywho.com and give her a call.
> >
> > I think he's (Jim) talking about if I operate phone on 7037. I would
not
> > have to upgrade, though, because I have a Control Op sitting right
> > here...heh heh
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
>
> And I was thinking these benevolent Extra's would have been curteous
> enough, that if they were going to operate CW, that they would do it
> in the Novice subband.

1945. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 01:03:57 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uu09q8464pk7a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56236

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:arp6jrddu1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> Yep...sorta like requiring a drivers test without the actual test of your
> ability to stay alive while on the road and not kill anyone.
>
> One point, and I dont mean to annoy. If you have never actually used CW
on
> the air...then how do you know you wont enjoy it? I have heard many many
> people tell me that in class. And when they were done they actually
enjoyed
> the mode.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

Well, I went through three phases with CW. In the first phase, I tried learning it in class so that we could start out with 5wpm. I was almost there at the time of testing, but failed. Kept on for about another few weeks or so, took the test and passed. Got on the air a few times while learning it, once the night I passed the test (making me a Tech+). Then, I had to sit through quite a few episodes while my husband learned higher speed for General.

None of us have figured out why, but the monotony of CW (the tone?) really and truly does something to me. It makes me extremely angry and depressed; and I really can't stand it. I love to watch someone doing CW, but can't watch or listen for long because, as I said, it really does put in a miserable frame of mind.

The only thing we (people who know me) can figure, is that it is the drone of it. I discovered that one day when there was a perfectly nice guy on the air; is always on the air around Dallas here. BUT, I can't stand to listen to him for more than a few minutes because he has one of those kind of voices that is monotonous...never changes pitch or tone.

Anyway, that's it in a nutshell. Ever know anyone like that? Twangy guitars do that to me to...I mean really put me in a tailspin for mood. So does the drone of an engine, noise in the office, etc. Anything that "stays the same tone."

You're not really annoying, Dan, although I detect a little bit of a Larry Roll or Dick Carroll or, Heaven forbid, WA8ULX, tone in you. I am hoping I am wrong.

Kim W5TIT

1946. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 01:06:16 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE025A7.5136D09@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56235
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Tom Welch wrote:
>
> Check Your Stats:
>
> On a per capita basis, the ham radio community is shrinking and
> going nowhere. And on a per capita basis, Japan has twice as
> many ham radio operators as the United States.

Check YOUR ststs, Tom. On a per capita basis, amateur radio is doing nicely. It is growing. Surprise!

> The ham radio community is 50 years behind the times by holding
> on to this code thing.

Your "stats" on this are what?

>
> The ham radio community has a very negative public image, so women
> and young people wouldn't take part in a hobby that's dominated by
> semingly bunch of old farts totally lacking in basic social skills.

The negative public image stuff--where can we find confirmation of it? The basic social skills part--that can be confirmed where? What kind of socially skilled individuals insults an entire group of people by calling them all "old farts"?

> Would you want to spend a Saturday evening having dinner with a
> ham radio operator?

My wife doesn't seem to mind having Saturday evening dinner with a ham radio operator. The folks who invited us to their home last Saturday evening didn't seem to mind either. I'd think most would rather have dinner with radio amateurs than with rap singers or the Clintons.

> I don't think so!

You don't think what?

> Hey guys, it is 2002, not 1952.

Yeah, and in a couple of months it'll be 2003!

Dave K8MN

1947. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 01:06:28 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uu09v0adqpapdd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56238

Isn't it just a whole lot easier to realize that being a ham doesn't a wonderful, good person make? We are all just people. There is nothing miraculous or perfect about any of us. And, to proselytize about the errors of others just doesn't make us perfect, either.

Kim W5TIT

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message news:arp7f6$l9i$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net...
> It ain't just the new ones with garbage signals. I heard a OT (Old timer)
> on CW with a serious 60 cycle hum. And the guy he was in comm with never
> mentioned it.....both were OT's according to the callbook at least.
>
> Another WA4...was blathering away telling everyone to go to hell because he
> knew his Swan was working right. Never mind that he had a s9 carrier and
> his audio was hitting it up to 20 over. And when told about it and how to
> adjust it he just kept right on yappin away...qrming the hell out of all
> those around him.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021123184254.19963.00000133@mb-dh.aol.com...
> > >Actually our licenses are supposed to mean that we are competent to run
> our
> > >stations within the requirements of the FCC and that we are responsible
> for
> > >the quality of our output signal.
> > >
> >
> > And most new Hams have no Idea if they are doing the above, let alone how
> to
> > correct the Problems
>
>

1948. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 01:08:02 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uu0a27o138rv27@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56239

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3DE022A9.D55462C0@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> >
> > "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021123090218.08937.00000208@mb-fo.aol.com...
> > > >Bruce acts like a jerk and
> > > >you respond by trying to show that you have less class than he.
> > > >
> > > >Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > Dave you got to be kidding, a JERK you say
> >
> > Get the idea, dork? You've offended everyone, on both sides of the
> > fence--you're a creep.
>
> Bruce is crude. You're tacky. Neither of you knows how to behave in
> public.
>
> Dave K8MN
>

You call this public, Dave? I should have known. I am certainly not the
nose-in-the-air kind of person you are, and I thank my creator for that.

Kim W5TIT

1949. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 01:10:11 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uu0a5vhtbttd6b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56240

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021123195756.10503.00000257@mb-cg.aol.com...
> >Oh wait. Ya say my CBism is showing when I use the word fuck. But, you
> >think you have something of mine hanging, and, ... yeah, uh huh.
>
> It seems to be the only language you understand

I think you're having way too much fun whacking off when you read my posts to you, Waddles. Go Waddle up someone else's butt for a while. Buhbye...

Kim W5TIT

1950. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 01:11:56 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123201156.29069.00000735@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 56237

In article <20021123185238.06308.00000649@mb-fm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <e6UD9.2638$4j5.1492696@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>, "Dee D.
>Flint"
><dee.hays@sbcglobal.net> writes:
>
>>"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>>news:c2356669.0211231235.3a05e6db@posting.google.com...
>>> Most of all: Our licenses are for operating, not building or
>>> soldering.
>>
>>Actually our licenses are supposed to mean that we are competent to run our
>>stations within the requirements of the FCC and that we are responsible for
>>the quality of our output signal.
>>
>I'd say that was part of the definition of "operating" (as opposed to simply
>being a "user").
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

Dee is correct. The FCC considers a US amateur radio license
to be BOTH an operator and a station license. For reference
see Parts 97.5 (b) (1), 97.101, and 97.102.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. A person unlicensed in the US amateur radio
service having to inform a glorious long-time extra class
licensee what is what in the amateur radio regulations! :-)

1951. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 01:21:20 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123202120.23990.00000094@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 56241

>>Hams are, for the most part, normal people like
>>everyone else. Are there extremists? Sure, but every hobby
>>has its extremists...be it antique cars, model trains,
>>or whatever.
Hams talk about some strange stuff that is hard to relate to. Invite a non-Ham to a Hamfest or Ham club meeting and ask this person if Hams seem like normal people.

1952. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 01:22:49 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123202249.10503.00000259@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 56242

>Thanks for proving my point, Kim.
>
>Dave K8MN
>
>

This is probably her same actions on the Ham Bands. I can hear her now, some guy gets close to her Freq and she jumps on him and tells hin to get the F of my freq, say thank you FCC for Dumbing Down. Freq.

1953. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 01:24:29 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE02A00.BE0134DB@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56243

Brian wrote:
>
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<utv7f5t7dv7v0f@corp.supernews.com>...
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3DDF100E.FEC8C4EE@earthlink.net...
>
> > > Why, no Kim. Then again, I didn't do a description for the amateur
> > > radio club or make a report on it at school or tack a graphic paragraph
> > > on a bulletin board at the grocery store. Bruce acts like a jerk and
> > > you respond by trying to show that you have less class than he.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> >
> > Dave, what a charlatan you are. My exchanges here are under your microscope
> > for judgement, but your ridiculous carrying on with Len, Brian, etc., is
> > quite OK? You're a fucking jerk...know that?
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
>
> Pretty much.

Any chance you bothered to read Kim's delightful message to Bruce?

Dave K8MN

1954. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 01:25:32 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DE02A8C.35808722@mailcity.net>
Source record: 56122

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

>
> One point, and I dont mean to annoy. If you have never actually used CW on
> the air...then how do you know you wont enjoy it? I have heard many many
> people tell me that in class. And when they were done they actually enjoyed
> the mode.

Agree, don't knock it until you have at least tried it. I had a
fellow in one of my Novice classes some years ago that hated
having to learn cw. He came into each class session complaining
about having to learn useless code and left complaining. He
sweated through the test and easily passed. He got on the air to
up his code proficiency so he could pass the 13 wpm and get his
General so he could get on phone and off cw. By the time he got up
to around 13 wpm he was having so much fun that he did not stop at
General but soon had his Extra, and seldom could you get him to
operate phone.

1955. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 01:27:38 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123202738.10503.00000260@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 56244

>I really think that Waddles acutally believes his use of calling people
>CBers is supposed to make them mad.
No I dont think it would make you mad, it probably makes you feel all warm
Inside

1956. Re: Why are CBplussers offended

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 01:30:00 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123203000.10503.00000261@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 56245

>Offended?!

I know your not offended.

1957. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 01:34:22 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DE02C9E.5E060B48@mailcity.net>
Source record: 56123

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >Probably used to be one of them cbers himself.
> >
> >
> >
>
> No never was, so why dont you tell us about the good old days of CB

Never operated a cb.

1958. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 01:34:41 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123203441.10503.00000263@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 56246

>I think you're having way too much fun whacking off when you read my posts
>to you, Waddles. Go Waddle up someone else's butt for a while. Buhbye...
>
>Kim W5TIT

Please, please , talk this way on HF, you dont have enough guts to.

1959. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 01:37:48 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DE02D52.4070006@adelphia.net>
Source record: 56247

Vshah101 wrote:

>>>Hams are, for the most part, normal people like
>>>everyone else. Are there extremists? Sure, but every hobby
>>>has its extremists...be it antique cars, model trains,
>>>or whatever.
>>>
> Hams talk about some strange stuff that is hard to relate to. Invite a non-Ham
> to a Hamfest or Ham club meeting and ask this person if Hams seem like normal
> people.

Are you serious? This is like expecting people at a computer trade show
to only speak in layman's terms. How exactly should Hams speak? What are
the forbidden words?

- Mike KB3EIA -

1960. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 01:41:58 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net>
Message-ID: <G7WD9.2656$4j5.1503209@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 56216

Don't worry. I'm a tough old bird that can't be scared by much these days.

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021123193428.07619.00007406@mb-mn.aol.com...
>
> >Subject: Re: How can we win?
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 11/23/02 2:28 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <20021123152812.25964.00000746@mb-fg.aol.com>
>
> >Dee, there are a few in here who have put down everyone not in
> > their "superman" amateur morsemanship class...especially
> > those not yet licensed in US amateur radio.
>
> Dee has yet to experience being analogied with the Nazi Party by you,
> Lennie, as a result of failure to accept YOUR ideas.
>
> Dee, Leonard H. Anderson is allegedly a former "radio engineer". He
has
> no Amateur Radio license, yet pontificates wildly in this forum on how
Amateur
> Radio should be run, both in terms of regulations that affect us and in
actual
> operating techniques.
>
> Leonard, or "Lennie" as I prefer to call him, has uttered countless
> blatant lies about Amateur Radio in general and some of us who are active
> licensees in particular. Among his more humourous utterences were those
> asserting that he was going to get the "Extra Lite out of the box", and
his
> allegations of dishonesty of the American Radio Relay League.
>
> He has now wasted over two and a half years on the licensing promise,
and
> has yet, despite countless demands to do so, provided even one "fer
instance"
> of ANY inappropriate conduct of ANY ARRL official, elected or otehrwise.
>
> >On the basis of
> > such well-established self-defined radio experts' postings,
> > griping about lack of respect of their exhalted extra class
> > shows only how badly the class distinction has become in US
> > amateur radio.
>

> No such "griping" about "lack of respect" exist. On the other hand,
one
> of Lennie's favorite tag-lines is to assert how Amateurs are allegedly
tied to
> "rank/title/priviledge", yet signs a great many of his posts with his
standing
> as an IEEE life member or his Branch of Service and former service number
from
> his 1950's era military service.
>
> >Was so long ago that it
> > couldn't be found in Google archives
>
> This is interesting, in as much as I was playing with Google IRT this
> forum and some other threads and found posts from this and other Amateur
Radio
> related NG's going back to the mid 90's. I doubt Lennie's assertion of
the
> existence of the item ot which he refers.
>
> >Those also elicited a
> > long howl of outrage from self-important extras.
>
> However not nearly as long a howl from self important ex-technicians
who
> find it necessary to act the fool and antagonize the NG of a hobby for
which
> they have no vested interest.
>
> Beware him, Dee. He's neither honest nor gentlemanly.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>

1961. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 01:42:51 UTC
From: "phil :p" <just_rtfm@yahooREMOVETHIS.com>
Message-ID: <uu0dbal3a5jb63@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56106
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

knowing assembly makes you a better HLL programmer. a few years ago there was an online "contest" among friends to see who could write the tightest yahoo chat client. i wrote four clients... using compiler BASIC (one allowing inline assembly), C, C++, and MASM. many of the "C-only" guys [you know, the ones who constantly quote the ANSI (or ISO) C standards like they're the constitution] who bragged about how efficient C compilers were and how obsolete assembler is... could not put out tight code. the guy who put out a really nice C client understood both the hardware and how his compiler (VC++) worked. you can learn a lot by using a disassembler on the compiler's output.

i've heard all the excuses of why not to learn assembly: "assembly is not portable"... well do you plan on porting your code? code is often intimately tied to the gui and OSes API (ok POSIX). "it doesn't matter because we have a 2 GHz CPU"... fine, then go use VB instead... and that is exactly what is happening. why? because 80% of boxes run windows and people want it done yesterday. IMO learning C is easy compared to grasping the win32 api.

i like procedural over OOP because it approximates the hardware better... i prefer Dennis to Bjarne and both to Anders. Gosling and Meyer... don't even ask lol. Kemeny and his contribution were brilliant... he wasn't Einstein's math assistant for nothing... i have one BASIC compiler that is quite good. Craig is right: all languages have their place (of course that place might be the trash can j/k). C is very popular (try to find a haskell compiler for a PIC MCU) but for me it's a love/hate thing because assembler was my first language. Java is always being pushed and while it makes sense for some stuff (Servlets/JSP) it's a dog for general apps.

1962. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 01:45:27 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123204527.23990.00000095@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 56248

>The basic social skills part--that can be confirmed where? What kind of
>socially skilled individuals insults an entire group of people by
>calling them all "old farts"?
Just attend a random Hamfest and you will get this impression. Ham radio is 95% male, 50% are old, a high percentage are overweight. Many have vanity license plates with their call signs.

Obcessed, cult like people who want to contest, DX, or do Morse code. Its hard to quantify, but its just strange.

1963. Re: Immoral Truths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 01:55:14 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123205514.23990.00000096@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 56250

>>Why all the resistance to telling us your callsign?
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>

Why the need to know. What does that prove?

1964. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 02:10:28 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123211028.22053.00000048@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 56102

In article <arp8i3ot1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> writes:

>Good idea...but then we would have been bitched at to slow it down to 5.
>Sorry I for one can't copy 5 wpm....too slow. Im not kidding you.

Shouldn't you work on that lack of ability more? :-)

1965. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 02:10:29 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123211029.22053.00000049@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 56103

In article <arp8dv$5ne$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> writes:

>That was tried already. It was a failure.

Was it your hospital's fault or something like that? :-)

1966. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 02:10:51 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123211051.22053.00000050@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 56105

In article <arp6ue$c88$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> writes:

>The 1933 Johnstown PA floods. And around the same time out in Missouri. I
>may have the year wrong.

1933 was 69 years ago. In that year radio was only 37 years old
as a communications medium. This is year 2002 and radio is
now 106 years old.

>Nobody said a thing about CW use exclusivly in an emergency. I think you
>need to take a reading improvement course.

I think you need to have some social counseling in Getting
Along With Others...or have you been hanging around
hospitals watching to see if newborns were deliberately
damaged at birth?

>Let me try again....knowing CW makes you a better communicator.

Only if that is the only mode available to use.

In over 49 years of transmitting RF in communications, I've never
had ANY need to know, use, or even design-in any sort of on-
off manual morse coding mode in any equipment...in the military,
in commercial life (in 7 different radio services).

Morse code is slow and both ends of a circuit are prone to human
error, compared to all other modes of communication. Even the
fastest "CW" op can't beat a 100 WPM old-style RTTY. Voice has
an equivalent word rate of 200 to 300 WPM, spoken clearly.

>Especially
>in emergency situations where it may indeed be the only way to get out.

If you look on ebay.com I'm sure you can buy a copy of the
movie "Independence Day." Nice science-fiction where morse
code Saves The World!

>Have you ever been in a tornado area and tried to use a cell phone?

No, only in a typhoon and using 4-channel FM radio relay
working into vehicular HF radio. In tornado areas I've heard
public safety communicators using their vehicular and hand-
held VHF-UHF radios no problem.

>Good luck.

Thank you. [how was your hospital treating you on your day
of birth?]

>Not too many years ago we had a earthquake out in California.

Being IN it (Northridge Earthquake, January 17, 1994), I'm
overly familiar with it. Also the earlier Whittier Narrows and
even earlier Sylmar quake in '71.

>The only way I could get through was on CW.

Your problem, not a problem for the utilities and public
safety communications on VHF and UHF. The Northridge
quake knocked out ALL primary electric power for a region
of 10 million or so population. Fire departments here were
alerted by leased lines (telephone circuits not carried through
switching centers), all the government radios worked fine, and
the downtown emergency communications center activated.
The only hard part was repairing damage and restoring order.

I still haven't heard of any amateur emergency networks that
suddenly leaped into action at about 4:30 AM when all the
primary electrical power was suddenly off. Feel free to
point out the ARRL bulletin which described all of that in
glorious detail...it was missing from national TV news.

>You are just anti-cw. And you are ignorant of its abilities. Or you are
>just plain stupid.

It would appear that Dan had an early-on accident in a
hospital? :-)

1967. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 02:10:53 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123211053.22053.00000051@mb-fl.aol.com>
Source record: 56104

In article <arp6jrddu1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> writes:

>Yep...sorta like requiring a drivers test without the actual test of your
>ability to stay alive while on the road and not kill anyone.

Has non-morse-code use killed anyone in amateur radio
yet? Or are you priming for more insults against others
not agreeing with you?

>One point, and I dont mean to annoy.

But, you do.

>If you have never actually used CW on
>the air...then how do you know you wont enjoy it?

How do you know they will?

>I have heard many many people tell me that in class.

That's wonderful...aren't you pleased? :-)

>And when they were done they actually enjoyed the mode.

Are the US amateur radio rules set up just to make
amateur morsemen happy? Is the US amateur radio
service the living museum of morsemanship?

The FCC is stuck with an ITU-R Recommendation on
amateur license testing and, as far back as 1990, did
not consider morse code skill essential for its purpose
in granting amateur radio licenses. Neither does the
FCC mandate morse code use over an above any other
allocated mode. Manual morse code skill is the ONLY
operating skill EVER tested by any of the four radio
regulating agencies in between 1912 and now. No,
repeat NO other modes were ever manually tested as
a separate pass-fail element. It doesn't make any sense
to continue morse code testing...not even to make old
morsemen "happy" in keeping up hazing rituals.

1968. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 02:16:17 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DE0365E.8050800@adelphia.net>
Source record: 56107

Vshah101 wrote:

>>The basic social skills part--that can be confirmed where? What kind of
>>socially skilled individuals insults an entire group of people by
>>calling them all "old farts"?
>>
> Just attend a random Hamfest and you will get this impression. Ham radio is 95%
> male,

Where do you get this stat? That the majority are male is in no dispute. Everyone on my hockey team is male. Most of my friends are male.

50% are old,

50 percent of the human race is over the median age. Half of all people are below average intelligence also.

> a high percentage are overweight.

An alarming percentage of all Americans are indeed overweight. I think it is because they are eating the wrong things (do you know the US nutritional guidelines call for eating the equivalent of three cups of cooked rice a day? And as people decrease the protein and fat in their diets and replace them with carbohydrates, they inexplicably get fat? Oops sorry for the rant.

Point is, if you are trying to use overweight as some sort of personality flaw, I congratulate you on your finely developed sensitivity.

> Many have vanity license
> plates with their call signs.

This is a complaint? I see lots of very expensive automobiles with vanity plates like "DADSBMW" and plenty of odd vanity plates that only make sense to the owner.

Even so, have you ever considered that if you see a vehicle with a ham vanity plate and call the callsign on the 2 meter hailing frequency, they might just answer?

> Obcessed, cult like people who want to contest, DX, or do Morse code. Its hard
> to quantify, but its just strange.

As hard to quantify as figuring out some peoples obsession with all these hams that they don't like.

- Mike KB3EIA -

1969. Re: Immoral Truths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 02:21:30 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123212130.23990.00000097@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 56108

>>>They are "very easy" if a person knows the material. They are not very easy
>>>to
>>>all newcomers.
The test is easy because of the question pool format an people are memorizing the answers. One week later, change the question slighly, with the same concept, and the person is unable to answer the question.

This could be an interesting test I can ask on one of the new General or Extra class licensed.

>Both, in my book anyway, render your posts
>as "uninformed opinion".
How can I know so much if I am "uninformed"?

>>The only coder
...
>>accuses
>>no-coders of being CBplussers, when this may not be the case at all.
...
However, since we are deprived of your
>true
>identity and experience/licensure, it may be true in your case.

I don't know where the "CBplusser" comes from. WA8ULX assumes that only people that use CBs want to be radio amateurs.

I have been homebrewing rf circuits for a while. This, in my opinion, makes me not a CBplusser. WA8ULX's opinion is that if I do not use Morse Code, I am a CBplusser.

I do not need to give out my call sign. Like I said, I am not a "Ham" and do not identify with a callsign as part of my name. Also, I want to maintain my privacy. You will just have to believe my word that I am licensed. What would my callsign prove anyway?

1970. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 02:22:24 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123212224.21317.00001040@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 56109

In article <q8XD9.2663$4j5.1508184@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>, "Dee D. Flint"
<deehays@qix.net> writes:

>"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20021123201156.29069.00000735@mb-mg.aol.com...
>> In article <20021123185238.06308.00000649@mb-fm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>(N2EY)
>> writes:
>>
>> >In article <e6UD9.2638$4j5.1492696@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>, "Dee D.
>> >Flint"
>> ><dee.hays@sbcglobal.net> writes:
>> >
>> >>"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>> >>news:c2356669.0211231235.3a05e6db@posting.google.com...
>> >>> Most of all: Our licenses are for operating, not building or
>> >>> soldering.
>> >>
>> >>Actually our licenses are supposed to mean that we are competent to run
>our
>> >>stations within the requirements of the FCC and that we are responsible
>for
>> >>the quality of our output signal.
>> >>
>> >I'd say that was part of the definition of "operating" (as opposed to
>simply
>> >being a "user").
>> >
>> >73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>> Dee is correct. The FCC considers a US amateur radio license
>> to be BOTH an operator and a station license. For reference
>> see Parts 97.5 (b) (1), 97.101, and 97.102.
>>
>> Tsk, tsk, tsk. A person unlicensed in the US amateur radio
>> service having to inform a glorious long-time extra class
>> licensee what is what in the amateur radio regulations! :-)
>
>If you are referring to me, I have been licensed since 1992 and have been an
>Extra since 1993.

I was referring to Mr. N2EY...I'm not a misogynist either. :-)

1971. Re: WA8ULX: twit filter fodder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 02:34:31 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123213431.11213.00000267@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 56110

>Welcome to my kill file, Moron. You are history.
>

Another SiSSy BOY Bites the dust

1972. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 02:37:59 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123213759.02879.00000141@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 56111

>Subject: Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/23/02 7:11 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021123201156.29069.00000735@mb-mg.aol.com>

>>>Actually our licenses are supposed to mean that we are competent to run our
>>>stations within the requirements of the FCC and that we are responsible for
>>>the quality of our output signal.
>>>
>>I'd say that was part of the definition of "operating" (as opposed to simply
>>being a "user").
>>
>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Dee is correct. The FCC considers a US amateur radio license
> to be BOTH an operator and a station license. For reference
> see Parts 97.5 (b) (1), 97.101, and 97.102.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. A person unlicensed in the US amateur radio
> service having to inform a glorious long-time extra class
> licensee what is what in the amateur radio regulations!

Tsk, tsk, tsk...Lennie the Liar putting words into somone's mouth in order
to make a (hollow and ineffective) rant.

Putz.

1973. Re: Immoral Truths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 02:40:50 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123214050.11213.00000268@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 56112

>"CBplusser" comes from.

WA8ULX was the First to coin it, it came about when the 1st No-Coder licenses were given away

>Like I said, I am not a "Ham"

We knew that, your just waiting for the next free Handout.

>You will just have to believe my word that I am licensed

Now wait a minute which is it?

>What would
>my callsign prove anyway?
>

Thats hard one, wait, I got it, it would prove that you might have a license.

1974. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 02:48:53 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123214853.02879.00000142@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 56113

>Subject: Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 11/23/02 7:21 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021123202120.23990.00000094@mb-cv.aol.com>

>>>Hams are, for the most part, normal people like
>>>everyone else. Are there extremists? Sure, but every hobby
>>>has its extremists...be it antique cars, model trains,
>>>or whatever.

>Hams talk about some strange stuff that is hard to relate to. Invite a
>non-Ham
>to a Hamfest or Ham club meeting and ask this person if Hams seem like normal
>people.

Let's invite a non-NASCAR fan to Talledega and see if they think NASCAR fans are like normal people.

Let's invite some non-Hang Gliding people to Henson's Gap Launch and see if they think people who hang glide are like normal people.

Let's invite some non-medical or nursing people to spend a night in the ER and see if they think Nurses and Doctors are like normal people.

The point being, Anonymous One, that "normal" is defined by the circumstances of the moment and one's own personal choices.

For example, I don't think it's normal to go into a newsgroup on Amateur Radio topics, alledge myself to be a licensee and then refuse to give my callsign. Nor do I deem it "normal" to not sign my name or otherwise authenticate my posts an "mine".

In other words, I don't consider someone like "you" to be like "normal" people. People who make comments in public forums and then don't identify themselves or have the intestinal fortitude to put thier name on it are untrustworthy and probably hiding something. In your case, I doubt that you are a licensee in the Amateur Radio service. Certainly your scope of experience in matters relating to the Amateur Radio service are nil.

Steve, K4YZ

1975. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 02:51:02 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net>
Message-ID: <q8XD9.2663$4j5.1508184@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 56249

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021123201156.29069.00000735@mb-mg.aol.com...
> In article <20021123185238.06308.00000649@mb-fm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
(N2EY)
> writes:
>
> >In article <e6UD9.2638$4j5.1492696@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>, "Dee D.
> >Flint"
> ><dee.hays@sbcglobal.net> writes:
> >
> >>"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> >>news:c2356669.0211231235.3a05e6db@posting.google.com...
> >>> Most of all: Our licenses are for operating, not building or
> >>> soldering.
> >>
> >>Actually our licenses are supposed to mean that we are competent to run
our
> >>stations within the requirements of the FCC and that we are responsible
for
> >>the quality of our output signal.
> >>
> >I'd say that was part of the definition of "operating" (as opposed to
simply
> >being a "user").
> >
> >73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Dee is correct. The FCC considers a US amateur radio license
> to be BOTH an operator and a station license. For reference
> see Parts 97.5 (b) (1), 97.101, and 97.102.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk. A person unlicensed in the US amateur radio
> service having to inform a glorious long-time extra class
> licensee what is what in the amateur radio regulations! :-)

If you are referring to me, I have been licensed since 1992 and have been an
Extra since 1993.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

1976. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 02:53:23 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123215323.02879.00000143@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 56114

>Subject: Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.
>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>Date: 11/23/02 7:37 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3DE02D52.4070006@adelphia.net>

>Are you serious? This is like expecting people at a computer trade show
>to only speak in layman's terms. How exactly should Hams speak? What are
>the forbidden words?

If you read his posts, Mike, I am sure that English is a second language to our anonymous troll. So how can one fully appreciate the nuances of a technically related hobby when he does not have full comprehension of the language in which he is trying to converse?

That would be like me trying to explain advanced cardiac life support in Croatia...without being able to SPEAK Croatian.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1977. Re: Immoral Truths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 03:03:03 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123220303.11213.00000271@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 56115

>Why the need to know. What does that prove?
>
>

All right sense you dont have a Callsign just give us your CB Handle

1978. Re: Immoral Truths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 03:04:20 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123220420.02879.00000144@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 56116

>Subject: Re: Immoral Truths
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 11/23/02 7:55 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021123205514.23990.00000096@mb-cv.aol.com>
>
>>>Why all the resistance to telling us your callsign?
>>>
>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>>>
>
>Why the need to know. What does that prove?

It proves you are licensed, as you alledge to be. So far, you have NOT demonstrated anything that would indicate that you have any practical experience in matters relating to Amateur Radio.

So far, my take is you are under 21, not a native, and have a pretty poor attitude towards acceptance of others. You are unlicensed, however you fancy yourself as "almost as good as licensed" because you have a close friend or relative who has included you in some Amateur Radio related activities.

So...prove me wrong.

Steve, K4YZ

1979. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 03:06:08 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123220608.11213.00000272@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 56117

>2235 fewer hams is "growing?" Better check your rose colored glasses in at
>the
>front desk.
>

Oh no say it isnt true, you mean the Dumbing Down was a complete failure other than getting No-Coders a FREE RIDE.

1980. Re: Immoral Truths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 03:10:39 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123221039.02879.00000145@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 56118

>Subject: Re: Immoral Truths
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 11/23/02 8:21 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021123212130.23990.00000097@mb-cv.aol.com>

>I do not need to give out my call sign. Like I said, I am not a "Ham" and do
>not identify with a callsign as part of my name.

Then why are you here and pretend to be one? Some would call a person who
says they are one thing when that is not accurate a "liar".

>Also, I want to maintain my privacy.

You want to maintain the illusion of being somebody special.

>You will just have to believe my word that I am licensed.

We can only take the word of a person we know. We DON'T know you, save
for a few anonymous posts here.

>What would my callsign prove anyway?

Above you claim you are not a Ham, then here you ask what good "(your)"
callsign would prove. This further leads me to believe you are not being
truthful. You can't keep your story straight.

And to answer your question, it would lend some credibility to your posts.
So far, you have not rendered anything that would leave us to believe you have
any valid practical experience in the Amateur Radio Service.

Steve, K4YZ

1981. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 03:16:43 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <arpgarjbr0@208.207.71.155>
Source record: 56119

Brian wrote:
>
> Bruce is the type of person inventive licensing was supposed to keep out.
>
> It was a monumental failure.

O, I dunno..... it did a pretty good job of keeping you out.

1982. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 03:17:56 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <arpgd4jbr1@208.207.71.155>
Source record: 56120

Brian wrote:
>
> wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021122200358.20084.00000135@mb-dh.aol.com>...
> > >Only works once, though.
> >
> > Not true, I[']m working on 2 more right now,[;] they are stupid enough to let me take
> > 1 of the(m) on line [t]Test[s].
>
> Bruce Without Error sure knows a lot of CB Plussers. Wonder why that is?

If it waddles and quacks, it's probably a duck....or Kim.

1983. Re: Immoral Truths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 03:23:36 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <arpgno$l9r$0@208.207.71.155>
Source record: 56121

N2EY wrote:
>
>
> Why all the resistance to telling us your callsign?

If that's not Cootie boy in drag it's surely Col. Mark Morgan.

1984. Re: Communication Corrections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 03:35:36 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h620zb7.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 56124

On 23 Nov 2002 12:57:11 -0800, N2EY wrote:

>Standard procedure on EPA repeaters:
>
>"W!#$, this is N2EY"
>(long pause for W!#$ to find the mike)
>"W!#$, this is N2EY"
>(long pause for W!#$ to find the mike)
>"Nothing heard, N2EY clear".

Northern California style:

"W6XXX"
(very short pause)
"Hey Johnny, you there?"
(another short pause)
(Repeat as needed)
"Negative contact. W6YYY clear"

As far as "tradition" goes, why do most communications on the New York PD radio channels use the word "Kay" after each transmission (as in "26 David to Central, Kay" ? They were doing it 40 years ago when I lived there and I would suspect that they are still doing it.

Is it related to Morse message practice (meaning "over") ?

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

1985. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 03:40:26 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <arphna$l9r$1@208.207.71.155>
Source record: 56125

Dan/W4NTI wrote:(of Lenni the Putz)

> You are just anti-cw. And you are ignorant of its abilities. Or you are
> just plain stupid.

All of the above.

1986. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 03:57:41 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <arpinlpqe0@208.207.71.155>
Source record: 56126
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Dean Johnson wrote:
>
> On Sun, 24 Nov 2002 01:06:16 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote:
> > Tom Welch wrote:
> > >
> > > Check Your Stats:
> > >
> > > On a per capita basis, the ham radio community is shrinking and
> > > going nowhere. And on a per capita basis, Japan has twice as
> > > many ham radio operators as the United States.
> >
> > Check YOUR ststs, Tom. On a per capita basis, amateur radio is doing
> > nicely. It is growing. Surprise!
>
> "Last year at this time there were nearly 683,000 hams licensed by the FCC. As
> of October this year, there are 2235 fewer ..." -- AR Newsline.
>
> 2235 fewer hams is "growing?"

Better check for the stats at the correct source instead of trying to
support an untenable position.

> Better check your rose colored glasses in at the
> front desk.

So what color do you prefer?

1987. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 04:00:46 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211232000.184a4842@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56127

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<arocgtjga1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>...
> Its just like a war story. Everyone remembers it differently..hi.
>

That's the sad truth. But then what real difference does it make?

> One minor correction tho Brian. Incentive licensing came about in
> 68...yes...but it wasnt till around 72 or 73 when the 75 meter band was
> extended below 3.800.

OK, I stand corrected, tnx. But in that general timeframe the bands
were extended downward and us Elitist Pigs did get the exclusive privs
to run with the rest of big dawgs there. It was all one big ball of
wax in my mind.

> I was in Germany at the time and was wondering why
> the Geritol net was in the DX window....

And we're still at it. Heh. At least the general behavior in the Extra
phone setasides tends to be at least a tad better than it is up the
bands.

>
> Dan/W4NTI ex DA2LJ
>

w3rv

1988. Re: Immoral Truths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 04:24:13 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123232413.02824.00000079@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 56128

>Subject: Re: Immoral Truths
>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>Date: 11/23/02 9:23 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <arpgno$l9r$0@208.207.71.155>

> If that's not Cootie boy in drag it's surely Col. **** ******

SHHHHHHHHHH ! ! ! ! !

Besides, his silly, uninformed posts notwithstanding, he DOES spell better
than "you know who", although his thoughts are about as well expressed.

73

Steve, K4YZ

1989. Re: Communication Corrections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 04:27:04 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123232704.02824.00000080@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 56129

>Subject: Re: Communication Corrections
>From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop
>Date: 11/23/02 9:35 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <cuvyxnansvbet.h620zb7.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>

>As far as "tradition" goes, why do most communications on the New
> York PD radio channels use the word "Kay" after each transmission
> (as in "26 David to Central, Kay" ?

>Is it related to Morse message practice (meaning "over") ?

Yeppers.

Even more bizzare is the practice of using "Q" signals on some south
Florida EMS and Public Service repeaters.

I bet APCO has a fit...!!!

73

Steve, K4YZ

1990. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 04:46:08 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021123234608.23990.00000100@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 56130

One can ponder about how Ham Radio could best suited
- without really considering it a question of emotional or social
behavior. Boy would that be wrong. Ham Radio could live and die on very
strange human,
emotional, and social interactions. technical merit takes a back seat in
any story of acceptance.

Look at something like the only code life-cycle. It
was at least as much a social story as a technical one. The no-code
technical mix attracted a certain kind of early adopter, and that adopter
in turn defined the culture (with some culture-hacking by code advocates). The
culture, ultimately, could not adequately support the hobby.

1991. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 04:58:54 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211232058.2bd0121e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56131

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<aroc3afkg1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>...
> I hope some of the detractors that hang around here have read this. I have
> been trying to say it for years. You did it far better than I.
>
> Thank you. And you are correct...it is not as it was and never shall be
> again. I too blame Incentive Licensing for the mess ham radio is in today.

Where does the "invention" of the nocode license fall on your list of
dumb-assed pieces of ham radio social engineering?

> Dan/W4NTI

w3rv

1992. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 06:22:24 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124012224.02917.00000117@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 56132

>Subject: Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 11/23/02 10:46 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021123234608.23990.00000100@mb-cv.aol.com>
>
>One can ponder about how Ham Radio could best suited
>- without really considering it a question of emotional or social
>behavior. Boy would that be wrong. Ham Radio could live and die on very
>strange human,
>emotional, and social interactions. technical merit takes a back seat in
>any story of acceptance.
>
> Look at something like the only code life-cycle. It
>was at least as much a social story as a technical one. The no-code
>technical mix attracted a certain kind of early adopter, and that adopter
>in turn defined the culture (with some culture-hacking by code advocates).
>The
>culture, ultimately, could not adequately support the hobby.

Congratulations, Anonymous Troll...You have mastered Orwellian newspeak.

Did ANYONE understand this jibberish?

Steve, K4YZ

1993. Re: Why are CBplussers offended

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 07:15:57 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <ce6dnXiL374t4X2gXTWcqA@comcast.com>
Source record: 56133

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:uu09bnmremv557@corp.supernews.com...
> Now, what were you saying about CB stuff? And, why should someone
feel
> offended by you? Maybe you can help explain that one. Oh, never
mind...you
> haven't enough time or energy.

Kim he's been singing the same tune for years on this news group.
Never mind the fact that many of the so called "CB Plussers" are in
fact graduate engineers who know more about electronics and or radio
communications then he has learned in a life time. In fact the
university I went to now has what is called the "Wireless Society".
Several of the members are new with their Tech licenses. Some of the
new members are the teaching faculty who hold Masters and PhD's in
Electrical Engineering, Computer Science etc. I would like like to see
him insult those people to their face the way he does everybody in
print on this news group.

--

--

--

73's de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse
Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to
communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic
Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial
Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex
transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction
functions."

1994. Re: Immoral Truths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 09:36:50 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124043650.00112.00000625@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 56135

In article <20021123205514.23990.00000096@mb-cv.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) writes:

>>>Why all the resistance to telling us your callsign?
>>>
>>>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>Why the need to know. What does that prove?

It proves that you are what you say you are.

What are you afraid of? Almost everyone else here gives their real name and callsign.

I think you are KB9AVE.

--

If a person cannot even give their real name and callsign here, why should any of us take them seriously?

73 de Jim, N2EY

1995. Re: Communication Corrections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 09:36:50 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124043650.00112.00000626@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 56136

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.h620zb7.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>On 23 Nov 2002 12:57:11 -0800, N2EY wrote:
>
>>Standard procedure on EPA repeaters:
>>
>>"W!#$, this is N2EY"
>>(long pause for W!#$ to find the mike)
>>"W!#$, this is N2EY"
>>(long pause for W!#$ to find the mike)
>>"Nothing heard, N2EY clear".
>
> Northern California style:
>
> "W6XXX"
> (very short pause)
> "Hey Johnny, you there?"
> (another short pause)
> (Repeat as needed)
> "Negative contact. W6YYY clear"
>
> As far as "tradition" goes, why do most communications on the New
> York PD radio channels use the word "Kay" after each transmission
> (as in "26 David to Central, Kay" ? They were doing it 40 years ago
> when I lived there and I would suspect that they are still doing it.

I used to hear hams doing that, 30+ years ago. Fortunately, it has died out.
>
> Is it related to Morse message practice (meaning "over") ?

Precisely.

73 de Jim, N2EY
>

1996. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 09:36:51 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124043651.00112.00000627@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 56134

In article <123194412.0023192808@ncvillage.net>, dj2301@nospam.ncvillage.net
(Dean Johnson) writes:

>On Sun, 24 Nov 2002 01:06:16 GMT, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote:
>> Tom Welch wrote:
>> >
>> > Check Your Stats:
>> >
>> > On a per capita basis, the ham radio community is shrinking and
>> > going nowhere. And on a per capita basis, Japan has twice as
>> > many ham radio operators as the United States.
>>
>> Check YOUR ststs, Tom. On a per capita basis, amateur radio is doing
>> nicely. It is growing. Surprise!
>
>"Last year at this time there were nearly 683,000 hams licensed by the FCC.
>As
>of October this year, there are 2235 fewer ..." -- AR Newsline.

AR Newsline is mistaken.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1997. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 12:27:42 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124072742.06624.00000047@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 56137

In article <arocll$792$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> writes:

>Your right on the Advanced thing. You see I missed that...

Spoken like a gentleman, sir!

>I was in no
>position to keep up with ham radio at that point. I was in NAM.

Understood.

And you were only off by a year or so. From the beginning of 1953 to mid-1967
your description was accurate.
>
>As for my comment about losing most of my privlidges...well to me it was. I
>was CW only on HF after coming back from the war games and my 7010 rock >was
all I had.

A little Comet and a piece of plate glass...

It was quite surreal for me to have passed the test, had full privs for a
couple of weeks, then lost them. Luck of the draw.

Remember when 25 kHz calibrators were all the rage so folks would know where
the subbands ended?

Here's the big question for those times: What was the FCC thinking? First
(1951) they set up a new, more extensive incentive licensing system. Then (end
of 1952) they do a quick 180 and give away all op privs to all hams except
Novices and Techs.

10 years later (1963), they dedide things have gone horribly wrong in the ARS
and they have to change it all over yet again, so they create the 1968 changes.
Which are then slowly watered down.

What was so going so wrong in 1963 that FCC thought they had to make such
drastic changes? (You should see what FCC wanted to do in 1965 - even more
draconian than what was actually done).

73 de Jim, N2EY

1998. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 13:21:36 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124082136.06308.00000654@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 56138

In article <lVyD9.4597$OZ4.445705@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <kï¿½hb@arrl.org> writes:

>I am convinced that incentive licensing remains the hands down all
>time winner of the DAIOTC (Dumb Assed Idea of The Century)
>award.

Quite an exaggeration, Hans.
>
>When a lot of us got
>started in the hobby the bands were not subdivided like they are today and
>it seems that we all, regardless of license class, worked together to
>improve the Amateur Radio Service.

When did you get started, Hans?

>34 years later we are still sliced and diced into 6 different classes,
>carefully
>divided into our own special frequency segments, and Lord protect the
>Extra who suggests that a new Technician might have something to
>contribute to our beloved Amateur Radio service.
>
Nothing new about that. 50 years ago, US hams needed an Advanced or Extra to work HF phone - ANY HF phone - except for 160, 11 or 10 meters. Techs had 220 and up, only. Some folks said Novices weren't "real hams", too.

Then (late 1952) FCC junked part of the system they had just installed (1951) and gave away all privs to all hams except Novices and Techs.

>Back then, it seems that we all, regardless of license class, worked
>together to improve the Amateur Radio Service.

Right. Did all of the AM folks help the SSB folks get their rigs going?
>
>Back then, nobody got a special deal because they could beep faster or
>remember more electrical formulae than someone else.

Sure they did!

Novices had tiny subbands because they had only passed 5 wpm and a simple written. Techs had no HF at all because, although they'd passed General theory, they hadn't done 13 wpm.

And there were Advanceds who were still mad because of the Great Giveaway of December 1952.

> I got my Extra in 1964,
>well before disincentive licensing, and it was simply just another personal

>accomplishment, and did not "elevate" me above other hams. We all shared
>the
>same spectrum, worked together to solve the same problems, competed in
>contests, trouble-shot each others radios, and generally had fun together.
>If you forgot the numbers for the dipole formula, it was OK to ask someone
>else. If your Morse speed was < 10WPM the speedier guys would slow down for
>you, not QSY to some specially reserved band segment. The one or two "old
>timers" on 75 meters who didn't like newcomers ("No lids, no kids, not space
>cadets") were universally looked down on as poor examples of what a ham
>ought to act like.

Then WHY was the FCC so unhappy with the way things were? If it was so wonderful, why did FCC want to put back the system they had junked, and then some?

>The Novice bands were a "cacophony of exuberance" to steal a
>term that K1ZZ used in a meeting here in Minneapolis. Old hands
>were on those Novice bands also -- it was not unusual to hear W4KFC or W5ZD
>patiently working WN4KKN or WV2CNL -- nobody remarked that it was "nice" of
>them to do that -- it was just part of being a good ham.

Almost all of the hams I worked as a Novice were other Novices. I still have my logs from those days.

>The notion that you
>had earned a special band segment never occurred to anyone

It occurred to the hams who suggested it to the FCC in 1964. I can get you the RM number.

>-- we were just
>excitedly "playing in the ether", and there was no distinction on the air
>between Extra, Advanced, General, or Conditional --

But there WAS a distinction between those classes vs. Novices and Techs. I was told I was not a "real ham" because I was "just a Novice".

>elitist special callsign
>formats to celebrate the fine granulations of your test taking/memorization
>skills had not been invented.

BULL!

Novices had the red letter of "N" or "V" to tell the whole world they were beginners.

Really OTs had 1x2s. OTs had 1x3s. Newbies had 2x3s. And lots of variations in between.

You could figure out most hams' "vintage" from where their calls fell in the sequence. Everybody knew that W3YIK was a veteran and WA3IYC was newbie. And you could not just BUY an oldtimey call.

>We'll never return to 'back then', unfortunately.

That much I can agree with.

73 de Jim, N2EY

1999. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 13:29:29 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <Zu4E9.35068$op6.4048@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 56139

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0211220744.46cc1950@posting.google.com...
> > Hey, why mess around with the basic design
> > if it's a proven winner?
>
> Messing around is how you get improvements.

You are, of course, quite right.

> >What impresses me the most is the performance of
> > the receivers...reputed to be right on par with the megabuck high-end
rigs.
>
> I dunno about the K1, but the K2 is simply amazing. Digs right down to
> the noise, unaffected by lots of strong inband signals, lots of
> performance and usable features.
>
> But it's an "electropolitically incorrect" design, at least according
> to what is normally done in "modern" ham rigs. Not general coverage.
> Designed for performance first, features second. CW is primary, SSB is
> an add-on (which costs less than most mfr's optional filters). No
> upconversion to VHF, no DDS or DSP, no passband tuning. Yet it drags
> in the weak ones - often when other rigs can't.

It's ALL about the receiver, isn't it? :-)

> > (Mongo like!)
>
> [Moment of silence for dear departed N0BK]

Respects solemnly paid.

> "Don't shoot Mongo, it just makes him mad"

The "Rock" Mite, a product of the tiny town of "Rock" Ridge? Alright,
alright...it was corny, got sump'n better? :-)

> > > and most other QRP
> > > designs require toroid winding,

He screamed in horror "No, not the dreaded toroid!"

> > > so you might consider a "build
> > > practice" kit that includes toroid winding. Just a consideration.
> > >
> > > The K2 is not that difficult to build if one has some soldering and
> > > assembly skills, the right tools, and patience. Building mine was much

> > > easier and faster than designing and building my homebrew rigs from
> > > scratch, but still a bit of a challenge and a learning experience. One
> > > real budget-saver is the fact that you can buy and build a "bare" K2,
> > > then add the various options later.

So noted, thank. You do realize that my greatest enemy here is the
conspiracy between my anticipation and lack of patience to "jump the gun."
(Who needs practice kits?) I'm starting with a 30m SST though. Then perhaps
an Oak Hills kit. Then maybe a K1. Then maybe, .just maybe...a bare bones
K2.

> > Hmm, lemme start writing to Santa Spouse. 0;-)
>
> I financed my K2 and options by selling of some of the spare parts
> I've amassed in 35+ years. Funny - stuff that folks practically gave
> away is now worth something. "It's you or the dumpster, kid" - and I
> was the kid.

Eternal?

> > > Do you read the Elecraft reflector?
> >
> > No, but I probably should. Is it on the Elecraft website?
>
> Yes - also instructions on how to subscribe so you get the emails on a
> daily basis. Tremendous amount of good info.

Thanks you, I'm off...

> > > > I'll be sending an e-mail in support of the new rig for the ARS.
Take
> > care,
> > > > Dick and Jim. :-)
> > >
> > > Me too..

Did you find an e-mail address?

> > > And keep practicing with that key!
> >
> > I shall sir. :-D
>
> Yea, verily.
> >
> > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > >
> > > 7037 CW Wednesdays at 9 PM EST
> >
> > For know, I have only my 10m rig up and running. This is for the well
being
> > of my 22 mo. old lad who, for reasons unknown, likes to test the effects
of
> > gravity on my gear. Luckily, nothing major has occured yet, however...

>
> AAAAAAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH!!!!
> >
> > XYL has full instruction to immediately take children to in-law's QTH
and
> > await further instructions, should she come home and find a wrecked
shack.
> > All kidding aside, my lad is fascinated with the tuner and just loves
> > spinning the dials with grape jam covered fingers. The Yaesu remains in
box
> > pending the purchase of a locking roller desk. Take care now.
>
> One advantage of older gear was that it was too big and heavy for the
> harmonics to move. Also another reason why I always favored a basement
> shack.
>
> I remember a photo in an old QST of a ham whose shack was in a closet.
> Took out the clothes rod and shelf, put in a shelf at desk height and
> another higher up for books, tuner and accessories. Open line came in
> through the ceiling, dropped straight down to the tuner. Inside walls
> and door were covered with QSLs and certificates, light was under the
> upper shelf. Really slick and compact setup, at a time when ham gear
> was neither compact nor lightweight. Open the door, pull up a chair,
> and operate.
>
> Of course the real miracle is having a spare closet to begin with!

Quite true.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

2000. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 13:30:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE0D43F.A85CC14D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56140

```
W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3DE022A9.D55462C0@earthlink.net...
> > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > >
> > > "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20021123090218.08937.00000208@mb-fo.aol.com...
> > > > >Bruce acts like a jerk and
> > > > >you respond by trying to show that you have less class than he.
> > > > >
> > > > >Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > > Dave you got to be kidding, a JERK you say
> > >
> > > Get the idea, dork? You've offended everyone, on both sides of the
> > > fence--you're a creep.
> >
> > Bruce is crude. You're tacky. Neither of you knows how to behave in
> > public.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
> >
>
> You call this public, Dave? I should have known. I am certainly not the
> nose-in-the-air kind of person you are, and I thank my creator for that.
>
> Kim W5TIT

Maybe he and Igor can get together and work out some modifications.

Yeah, I call this "public". This is a big electronic bulletin board
which can be viewed worldwide. Maybe you believed we were all gathered
at your house.

Dave K8MN
```

2001. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 14:47:55 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211240647.eb7630@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56141

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0211232058.2bd0121e@posting.google.com>...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<aroc3afkg1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>...
> > I hope some of the detractors that hang around here have read this. I have
> > been trying to say it for years. You did it far better than I.
> >
> > Thank you. And you are correct...it is not as it was and never shall be
> > again. I too blame Incentive Licensing for the mess ham radio is in today.
>
> Where does the "invention" of the nocode license fall on your list of
> dumb-assed pieces of ham radio social engineering?
>
>
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
>
> w3rv

Brian, the original amateur radio licensing in the USA had no code
exam. Don't you remember?

2002. Re: Why are CBplussers offended

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 14:49:45 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124094945.18440.00000238@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 56142

>Never mind the fact that many of the so called "CB Plussers" are in
>fact graduate engineers

Who cares no body.

>In fact the
>university I went to now has what is called the "Wireless Society".
>Several of the members are new with their Tech licenses.

WOW impressive, not.

2003. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 14:51:58 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211240651.7c59591e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56143

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<arpgarjbr0@208.207.71.155>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Bruce is the type of person inventive licensing was supposed to keep out.
> >
> > It was a monumental failure.
>
>
>
> O, I dunno..... it did a pretty good job of keeping you out.

Not since 1986.

2004. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 14:56:23 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211240656.69aa914@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56144

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<arp8f6rdg1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>...
> Why? So I can think like you braindeads on here? Id rather shoot myself.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:f45722ac.0211231551.57501c63@posting.google.com...
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:<arod26lug1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>...
> > > I don't need a control op.
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > You ought to have one around anyway.

Every station in operation requires a control operator, even if he's a
not too bright Extra.

Now how would I know that?

2005. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 15:02:18 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211240702.df37469@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56145

N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0211231224.2f2e38a0@posting.google.com>...
> "Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<uttqucidmmvna0@corp.supernews.com>...
> > I think he's (Jim) talking about if I operate phone on 7037.
>
> I'm talking about your operating any mode on 7037. Tech Plus privs on
> 40 meters include only 7100-7150. I think you knew that already Kim
> ;-)

CW only.

> > I would not
> > have to upgrade, though, because I have a Control Op sitting right
> > here...heh heh
> >
> Except that you would not be operating - the control op would be
> operating, and you'd be a third party. Same as if you had no license
> at all. The term "operate" has a specific meaning in the rules. i.e.
> to be the control operator. Anything else is third-part stuff.

W4NTI doesn't need a control op. I guess he just sits there and looks
at his nicotine stained microphone.

> Which has some odd consequences. For example, a third party using a
> control op can't work a DX station unless there is a specific third
> party agreement between the DX station's country and the USA.

Of course. When did you become a DX station?

> But I'm pretty sure Kim knew all this stuff.
>
> Your control op could get in some hot water for working 'phone on
> 7037, tho.

Obvious. But, if you gents (???) are going to operate CW, why not
between 7100 and 7150?

2006. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 15:04:06 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211240704.17e2d0fe@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56146

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<arp8i3ot1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>...
> Good idea...but then we would have been bitched at to slow it down to 5.
> Sorry I for one can't copy 5 wpm....too slow. Im not kidding you.

What would you do in an emergency? The fate of the world hangs in the
balance of you being able to copy 5wpm.

2007. Why are ONLY coders proud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 15:05:43 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124100543.23981.00000103@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 56147

>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)

>>Never mind the fact that many of the so called "CB Plussers" are in
>>fact graduate engineers
>Who cares no body.

This person and many code Nazis will simply ignore any evidence that disproves
their assertions. There is no use trying to convince them.

To the ONLY coders, real time knowledge of an encoding scheme is the ONLY thing
thats important, not knowledge of the material.

One can ponder about how Ham Radio could best suited
- without really considering it a question of emotional or social
behavior. Boy would that be wrong. Ham Radio could live and die on very
strange human,
emotional, and social interactions. technical merit takes a back seat in
any story of acceptance.

Look at something like the only code life-cycle. It
was at least as much a social story as a technical one. The no-code
technical mix attracted a certain kind of early adopter, and that adopter
in turn defined the culture (with some culture-hacking by code advocates). The
culture, ultimately, could not adequately support the hobby.

2008. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 15:07:42 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211240707.7567f35e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56148

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021123185630.19943.00000255@mb-dh.aol.com>...
> >You're a fucking jerk...know that?
> >>
> >> Kim W5TIT
> >
> >Pretty much.
> >
>
> I figured you would agree to her comments, you CBers sure stick together

Without Kim having ever said this, I've as much told Dave he was a
jerk. Without the F word, of course.

2009. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 15:09:37 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211240709.6f5ae0bd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56149

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3DE02A00.BE0134DB@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<utv7f5t7dv7v0f@corp.supernews.com>...
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3DDF100E.FEC8C4EE@earthlink.net...
>
> > > > Why, no Kim. Then again, I didn't do a description for the amateur
> > > > radio club or make a report on it at school or tack a graphic paragraph
> > > > on a bulletin board at the grocery store. Bruce acts like a jerk and
> > > > you respond by trying to show that you have less class than he.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > >
> > > Dave, what a charlatan you are. My exchanges here are under your microscope
> > > for judgement, but your ridiculous carrying on with Len, Brian, etc., is
> > > quite OK? You're a fucking jerk...know that?
> > >
> > > Kim W5TIT
> >
> > Pretty much.
>
> Any chance you bothered to read Kim's delightful message to Bruce?
>
> Dave K8MN

To be honest I don't read much of Kim's stuff. But I do read Bruce's.
He's better than a Far Side cartoon.

Why, what did Kim say?

2010. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 15:16:58 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211240716.b40f89c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56150

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<arp7ur$f1o$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>...
> But I am right...nowhere is it refered to a 'hobby'. Unless you happen to
> be reading the ARRL crap that is.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

I would guess that most people who have ever read Part 97 read the
ARRL version. That's because they don't know what to think when they
read the raw FCC version. Lucky for me the Catholics taught me to
read and think.

2011. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 15:22:26 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uu1s405j0mcb2f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56253

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DE02A8C.35808722@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> >
> > One point, and I dont mean to annoy. If you have never actually used CW
on
> > the air...then how do you know you wont enjoy it? I have heard many
many
> > people tell me that in class. And when they were done they actually
enjoyed
> > the mode.
>
> Agree, don't knock it until you have at least tried it. I had a
> fellow in one of my Novice classes some years ago that hated
> having to learn cw. He came into each class session complaining
> about having to learn useless code and left complaining. He
> sweated through the test and easily passed. He got on the air to
> up his code proficiency so he could pass the 13 wpm and get his
> General so he could get on phone and off cw. By the time he got up
> to around 13 wpm he was having so much fun that he did not stop at
> General but soon had his Extra, and seldom could you get him to
> operate phone.

JJ and Dan, Sometimes (and this isn't necessarily directed at either of you)
that is what the problem is. Someone who ends up "loving" CW and just can't
seem to live without it, and maybe even goes to the extent that they never
use phone again...yada yada yada, is the only person(s) who end up with any
kind of friendly attitude toward them. Yet, someone who ends up not liking
CW: well, that's because they're a jerk, or it's because they're too lazy,
or it's because they never gave it enough time...etc. I knew I didn't like
brussel sprouts the very first time I ever tasted one. Never have eaten
them since; won't ever eat them again.

You can't have it both ways and expect everyone to just "go along" with it.
It seems that if someone likes CW, they're OK. But, if someone doesn't like
CW, well they're a pariah on the amateur radio community. Yet, on the other
hand, I can't think of one person who doesn't care for CW that I've seen a
post from, that gets argumentative over the issue of CW itself. We argue
about the attitudes set against us (being dumbed down, being welfare blah
blah blah, being unworthy hams) but--at least me personally--appreciate that
there are people who like it and people who do not. And, whether those
folks who think CW is some kind of godly act realize it or not, most of us

who are on the opposite side of the fence really aren't at all affected by the "superiorness." We, at least I, see that attitude as a very desperate one.

Kim W5TIT

2012. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 15:25:06 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211240725.1586d4aa@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56251

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3DDD6523.98C614F0@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021121133116.05372.00002942@mb-mq.aol.com>...
> > > > >And that would be newbie larry, dickieboy, and wb8lux
> > >
> > > Whos wb8lux, I dont think i have seen him post here before.
> >
> > Isn't he the guy who can't spell and/or has a ton of typos, but gets a
> > perfect score on exams?
>
> Is typing or spelling necessary for passing any of the exams? I thought
> the writtens were all multiple choice.
>
> Dave K8MN

It's merely an indication of whether he could actually read and
understand the exam questions and answers.

Unless you think he simply went in and randomly circles a, b, c, or d
and scored 100%.

I think he's lying about a) not studying, b) his score, c) the elapsed
time, and/or d) his wager with the CBPlussers. It's also possible he
paid off the examiners. In any case, he's listed as an Extra in the
FCC database.

I'm sure you've warmly welcomed him to your elitist club.

2013. Re: WA8ULX: twit filter fodder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 15:25:54 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uu1saf48pk9p81@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56254

"Dean Johnson" <dj2301@nospam.ncvillage.net> wrote in message
news:123192412.0023192808@ncvillage.net...
> On 24 Nov 2002 00:28:08 GMT, WA8ULX <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote:
> > You CBplussers shouldnt be offended, you should be proud of your CB
heritage,
> > and the fact that you have upgraded to CBplusser status.
>
> Pontificating morons sit there on their Internet training wheels (AOL)
> and pretend to have technical credibility while they can't even quote
> Usenet posts properly or understand the rudiments of the Internet and
> TCP/IP. Then they compound the problem by posting their drivel over
> and over again to the point where their posts look like spam. Time to
> make an exception and not simply skip over the posts.
>
> Welcome to my kill file, Moron. You are history.
>
> >plonk<

Thanks, Dean and, exactly. That's what I've done. This guy is nothing more
than the equivalent of a lid.

Kim W5TIT

2014. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 15:27:06 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211240727.7b535a7f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56252

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<ark3np$k7u$1@208.207.71.154>...
> Dave Heil wrote:
> >
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021121133116.05372.00002942@mb-mq.aol.com>...
> > > > >And that would be newbie larry, dickieboy, and wb8lux
> > > >
> > > > Whos wb8lux, I dont think i have seen him post here before.
> > >
> > > Isn't he the guy who can't spell and/or has a ton of typos, but gets a
> > > perfect score on exams?
> >
> > Is typing or spelling necessary for passing any of the exams? I thought
> > the writtens were all multiple choice.
>
> As always, Brain's winging it again.

Yes, yes. A functional illiterate would pass Extra at one of your VE sessions also.

2015. Re: Communication Corrections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 15:30:25 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uu1siv2b0cl1f5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56255

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h620zb7.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On 23 Nov 2002 12:57:11 -0800, N2EY wrote:
>
> >Standard procedure on EPA repeaters:
> >
> >"W!#$, this is N2EY"
> >(long pause for W!#$ to find the mike)
> >"W!#$, this is N2EY"
> >(long pause for W!#$ to find the mike)
> >"Nothing heard, N2EY clear".
>
> Northern California style:
>
> "W6XXX"
> (very short pause)
> "Hey Johnny, you there?"
> (another short pause)
> (Repeat as needed)
> "Negative contact. W6YYY clear"
>

Yeah. I've heard it done that way also. I think it's perfectly fine. So
far, there's been two posts that think there's nothing wrong at all with
confirming that a contact was not reached. See, I thought the
longer-licensed Haynie knew what he was talking about. Pshaw.

>
> As far as "tradition" goes, why do most communications on the New
> York PD radio channels use the word "Kay" after each transmission
> (as in "26 David to Central, Kay" ? They were doing it 40 years ago
> when I lived there and I would suspect that they are still doing it.
>
> Is it related to Morse message practice (meaning "over") ?
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

Kim W5TIT

2016. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 15:35:54 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uu1st79jh4h687@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56256

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3DE0D43F.A85CC14D@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3DE022A9.D55462C0@earthlink.net...
> > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:20021123090218.08937.00000208@mb-fo.aol.com...
> > > > > >Bruce acts like a jerk and
> > > > > >you respond by trying to show that you have less class than he.
> > > > > >
> > > > > >Dave K8MN
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave you got to be kidding, a JERK you say
> > > >
> > > > Get the idea, dork? You've offended everyone, on both sides of the
> > > > fence--you're a creep.
> > >
> > > Bruce is crude. You're tacky. Neither of you knows how to behave in
> > > public.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> >
> > You call this public, Dave? I should have known. I am certainly not
the
> > nose-in-the-air kind of person you are, and I thank my creator for that.
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
>
> Maybe he and Igor can get together and work out some modifications.
>
> Yeah, I call this "public". This is a big electronic bulletin board
> which can be viewed worldwide. Maybe you believed we were all gathered
> at your house.
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, let's get something straight. I see you as a huge fake. *You* may
like to pretend to people about who you are. I don't. I will respond in
kind to things as I see fit. I will respond to things as I see fit,
regardless of what *you* or anyone else thinks of it. If I am too real a
```

person for you, then stop reading my posts. Don't do that to yourself, you poor, poor thing.

Kim W5TIT

2017. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 15:39:45 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uu1t4f34hudrfc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56257

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0211240707.7567f35e@posting.google.com...
> wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021123185630.19943.00000255@mb-dh.aol.com>...
> > >You're a fucking jerk...know that?
> > >>
> > >> Kim W5TIT
> > >
> > >Pretty much.
> > >
> >
> > I figured you would agree to her comments, you CBers sure stick together
>
> Without Kim having ever said this, I've as much told Dave he was a
> jerk. Without the F word, of course.

BIG GRIN You all do realize that when one uses the phrase "the F word"
or something similar, it is as much as saying the whole word out loud right?
Sometimes the emphasis by using that word is just pure justice. That's
especially so where Dave H. is concerned.

Kim W5TIT

2018. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 15:41:52 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uu1t8dj3raeo37@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56258

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0211240709.6f5ae0bd@posting.google.com...
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DE02A00.BE0134DB@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<utv7f5t7dv7v0f@corp.supernews.com>...
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3DDF100E.FEC8C4EE@earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > > > Why, no Kim. Then again, I didn't do a description for the
amateur
> > > > > radio club or make a report on it at school or tack a graphic
paragraph
> > > > > on a bulletin board at the grocery store. Bruce acts like a jerk
and
> > > > > you respond by trying to show that you have less class than he.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > Dave, what a charlatan you are. My exchanges here are under your
microscope
> > > > for judgement, but your ridiculous carrying on with Len, Brian,
etc., is
> > > > quite OK? You're a fucking jerk...know that?
> > > >
> > > > Kim W5TIT
> > >
> > > Pretty much.
> >
> > Any chance you bothered to read Kim's delightful message to Bruce?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
>
> To be honest I don't read much of Kim's stuff. But I do read Bruce's.
> He's better than a Far Side cartoon.
>
> Why, what did Kim say?

I think it's pretty funny that there's a reference to a "delightful message
to Bruce" when he reposts the excellent message to him. LOL

Kim W5TIT

2019. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 15:43:37 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uu1tbm1bj6746d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56259

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0211240727.7b535a7f@posting.google.com...
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<ark3np$k7u$1@208.207.71.154>...
> > Dave Heil wrote:
> > >
> > > Brian wrote:
> > > >
> > > > wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021121133116.05372.00002942@mb-mq.aol.com>...
> > > > > >And that would be newbie larry, dickieboy, and wb8lux
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Whos wb8lux, I dont think i have seen him post here before.
> > > > >
> > > > > Isn't he the guy who can't spell and/or has a ton of typos, but gets
a
> > > > > perfect score on exams?
> > > >
> > > > Is typing or spelling necessary for passing any of the exams? I
thought
> > > > the writtens were all multiple choice.
> > >
> > As always, Brain's winging it again.
>
> Yes, yes. A functional illiterate would pass Extra at one of your VE
sessions also.

ROFLMAO!!!!!!!

Kim W5TIT

2020. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 15:58:47 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <XG6E9.7440$It3.749353@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 56260

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote
:
: >I am convinced that incentive licensing remains the hands down all
: >time winner of the DAIOTC (Dumb Assed Idea of The Century)
: >award.
:
: Quite an exaggeration, Hans.

Actually in Amateur Radio terms, I consider it an understatement and a
belaborment of the obvious.

: >When a lot of us got
: >started in the hobby the bands were not subdivided like they are today
and
: >it seems that we all, regardless of license class, worked together to
: >improve the Amateur Radio Service.
:
: When did you get started, Hans?
:

Apparently before you.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

2021. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 15:59:06 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124105906.05793.00000157@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 56261

>I think he's lying about a) not studying, b) his score, c) the elapsed
>time, and/or d) his wager with the CBPlussers.

Dont care what you think, you just cant handle the fact that i did all the above and you cant pass the EXTRA.

>It's also possible he
>paid off the examiners.

Are you suggesting the Examiers commited a crime, Im sure the Examiners would love to confront you on your claims that they commited a crime.

2022. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 16:03:49 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124110349.05793.00000158@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 56262

>Yes, yes. A functional illiterate would pass Extra at one of your VE
>sessions also.
>

If so Brian what does that say about you, I guess it means you are below the level of functional illiterate. Maybe if you go cry to NCI they can see if they can get you a Mentally Handicapped Waiver.

2023. Re: WA8ULX: twit filter fodder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 16:05:14 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124110514.05793.00000159@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 56263

>Thanks, Dean and, exactly. That's what I've done. This guy is nothing more
>than the equivalent of a lid.
>
>Kim W5TIT

You liar thats exactly what you love.

2024. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 16:06:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE0F863.E2F7A40D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56264

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DDD6523.98C614F0@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021121133116.05372.00002942@mb-mq.aol.com>...
> > > > >And that would be newbie larry, dickieboy, and wb8lux
> > > >
> > > > Whos wb8lux, I dont think i have seen him post here before.
> > >
> > > Isn't he the guy who can't spell and/or has a ton of typos, but gets a
> > > perfect score on exams?
> >
> > Is typing or spelling necessary for passing any of the exams? I thought
> > the writtens were all multiple choice.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> It's merely an indication of whether he could actually read and
> understand the exam questions and answers.

I know a number of people who can read and understand but who can't
spell or write properly.

> Unless you think he simply went in and randomly circles a, b, c, or d
> and scored 100%.

Nope, I don't believe that. He might have gotten lucky on a few though.

> I think he's lying about a) not studying, b) his score, c) the elapsed
> time, and/or d) his wager with the CBPlussers. It's also possible he
> paid off the examiners. In any case, he's listed as an Extra in the
> FCC database.

You could be correct on one or more of these. Then again, you could be
totally wrong.

> I'm sure you've warmly welcomed him to your elitist club.

If you've bothered to read my posts about Bruce, you know that isn't the
case.
You're pretty quick to accuse but it is evident that you haven't paid
much attention.

Dave K8MN

2025. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 16:06:15 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE0F87E.F71F5027@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56265

```
Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DE02A00.BE0134DB@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<utv7f5t7dv7v0f@corp.supernews.com>...
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3DDF100E.FEC8C4EE@earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > > > > Why, no Kim. Then again, I didn't do a description for the amateur
> > > > > > radio club or make a report on it at school or tack a graphic paragraph
> > > > > > on a bulletin board at the grocery store. Bruce acts like a jerk and
> > > > > > you respond by trying to show that you have less class than he.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave, what a charlatan you are. My exchanges here are under your microscope
> > > > > for judgement, but your ridiculous carrying on with Len, Brian, etc., is
> > > > > quite OK? You're a fucking jerk...know that?
> > > > >
> > > > > Kim W5TIT
> > > >
> > > > Pretty much.
> > >
> > Any chance you bothered to read Kim's delightful message to Bruce?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> To be honest I don't read much of Kim's stuff. But I do read Bruce's.
> He's better than a Far Side cartoon.
>
> Why, what did Kim say?

If I quoted it, I'd be as guilty as she. Kim responded to Bruce's
crudeness by trying to "out crude" him.

Dave K8MN
```

2026. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 16:09:28 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124110928.05793.00000160@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 56266

>You could be correct on one or more of these. Then again, you could be
>totally wrong.
>

Hes totally wrong, he just wishes he could be an equal, and he knows he never will, unless the FCC gives him another handout.

2027. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 16:12:08 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124111208.05793.00000161@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 56268

>Nope, I don't believe that. He might have gotten lucky on a few though.
>

No luck involved the Test was a Joke.

2028. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 16:12:45 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE0F9F8.48D1279@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56267

```
W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3DE0D43F.A85CC14D@earthlink.net...
> > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3DE022A9.D55462C0@earthlink.net...
> > > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:20021123090218.08937.00000208@mb-fo.aol.com...
> > > > > > >Bruce acts like a jerk and
> > > > > > >you respond by trying to show that you have less class than he.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >Dave K8MN
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave you got to be kidding, a JERK you say
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Get the idea, dork? You've offended everyone, on both sides of the
> > > > > > fence--you're a creep.
> > > > >
> > > > > Bruce is crude. You're tacky. Neither of you knows how to behave in
> > > > > public.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > You call this public, Dave? I should have known. I am certainly not
> the
> > > > nose-in-the-air kind of person you are, and I thank my creator for that.
> > > >
> > > > Kim W5TIT
> > >
> > > Maybe he and Igor can get together and work out some modifications.
> > >
> > > Yeah, I call this "public". This is a big electronic bulletin board
> > > which can be viewed worldwide. Maybe you believed we were all gathered
> > > at your house.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Dave, let's get something straight. I see you as a huge fake.
```

I don't see you as getting anything straight. It isn't "fake" when I tell you that I believe you are crude and that you haven't any class. I really believe that.

> *You* may
> like to pretend to people about who you are.

What am I pretending to be that I'm not, Kim?

> I don't.

You and Alec Baldwin pretended to go away.

> I will respond in
> kind to things as I see fit. I will respond to things as I see fit,
> regardless of what *you* or anyone else thinks of it.

You've demonstrated that repeatedly. That doesn't mean that you aren't crude.

> If I am too real a
> person for you, then stop reading my posts. Don't do that to yourself, you
> poor, poor thing.

I don't see you as too real. I see you as too crude and too tacky, Tugboat.

Dave K8MN

2029. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 16:13:48 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <0V6E9.7467$lt3.750859@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 56269

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote
:
: Here's the big question for those times: What was the FCC thinking? First
: (1951) they set up a new, more extensive incentive licensing system. Then
(end
: of 1952) they do a quick 180 and give away all op privs to all hams except
: Novices and Techs.
:
: 10 years later (1963), they dedide things have gone horribly wrong in the
ARS
: and they have to change it all over yet again, so they create the 1968
changes.
: Which are then slowly watered down.
:
: What was so going so wrong in 1963 that FCC thought they had to make such
: drastic changes? (You should see what FCC wanted to do in 1965 - even
more
: draconian than what was actually done).
:

Perhaps we seemed to be having too much fun. The heyday of Amateur Radio is generally agreed to be the period from the introduction of the Novice license until the onset of (dis)incentive licensing. I consider the 1-year Novice license to be the greatest stroke of brilliance by the FCC, and 1968 incentive licensing to be it's greatest blunder, even though I had been an Amateur Extra for 4 years before.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2030. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 16:15:48 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124111548.05793.00000162@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 56270

>If I quoted it, I'd be as guilty as she. Kim responded to Bruce's
>crudeness by trying to "out crude" him.
>
>Dave K8MN
>

The truth is thats just TITs real self, coming into play. The truth is she is just a Foul Mouth Cb Trailer Trash Winch.

2031. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 16:34:03 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <%b7E9.35459$op6.14896@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 56271

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:Zu4E9.35068$op6.4048@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> So noted, thank.

> Thanks you, I'm off...

Did I actually key in these last two entries?! (As my English teacher wretches.)

BTW, I came home last night, after work and picking up my lad from a birthday party, (At which my XYL and lass stayed.) to find that my Heil mic had been used as a drumstick. Without the benefit of modern forensics, the Smucker's strawberry preserves finger prints led me to just one suspect....the very lad in my presence. Well, without a balancing parent in the house, I chuckled it off. :'-(

Besides, I was the very lad who had come home from school so many years earlier and done a back flop onto the bed....right on top of the drying balsa framework of my father's Royal P-38 Lightning. (Which was to be his masterpiece.) When he came home, he just smiled and told me "aw, that's alright. We'll get this right as rain in a jiffy."

...and so my 22 mo. old sat on my bed last night with a damp washcloth and cleaned a mic I hope to pass onto him at a <much> later date. ;-)

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

2032. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 17:58:27 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <7r8E9.7680$It3.769003@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 56272

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote

:
: Umm . . I notice that you have one of those elitist pig 20wpm
: FCC-tested 1x2 callsigns too Hans . . ?
:

Back in the 70's it seemed like a cool idea.

A few years ago when vanity calls came available, I considered retrieving my KG6AQI callsign, but it's since been re-issued to some guy in California.

73, Hans, K0HB

PS: Special request to old-timers. If you have one of my KG6AQI cards (pink color, red printing with "sparky" graphics), I'd appreciate one for my wall.

2033. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 19:51:12 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DE12DAF.348A4971@mailcity.net>
Source record: 56292

W5TIT - Kim wrote:

>
> JJ and Dan, Sometimes (and this isn't necessarily directed at either of you)
> that is what the problem is. Someone who ends up "loving" CW and just can't
> seem to live without it, and maybe even goes to the extent that they never
> use phone again...yada yada yada, is the only person(s) who end up with any
> kind of friendly attitude toward them. Yet, someone who ends up not liking
> CW: well, that's because they're a jerk, or it's because they're too lazy,
> or it's because they never gave it enough time...etc. I knew I didn't like
> brussel sprouts the very first time I ever tasted one. Never have eaten
> them since; won't ever eat them again.
>
> You can't have it both ways and expect everyone to just "go along" with it.
> It seems that if someone likes CW, they're OK. But, if someone doesn't like
> CW, well they're a pariah on the amateur radio community. Yet, on the other
> hand, I can't think of one person who doesn't care for CW that I've seen a
> post from, that gets argumentative over the issue of CW itself. We argue
> about the attitudes set against us (being dumbed down, being welfare blah
> blah blah, being unworthy hams) but--at least me personally--appreciate that
> there are people who like it and people who do not. And, whether those
> folks who think CW is some kind of godly act realize it or not, most of us
> who are on the opposite side of the fence really aren't at all affected by
> the "superiorness." We, at least I, see that attitude as a very desperate
> one.
>
> Kim W5TIT

Kim

The purpose of my story was simply to illustrate that like many
things people at first reject, they wind up liking. It was not
meant to indicate that anyone who rejects the use of cw is
anything less that one who embraces it. I just don't understand
anyone who automatically rejects the idea of cw until they have at
least given it a try (not aiming at you). I operated cw as a
Novice for several months until I upgraded, it just wasn't my cup
of tea. I still tune the cw bands occasionally and practice
copying just to keep my cw skill, but I haven't had a cw contact
in many years.

2034. Re: Communication Corrections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 20:00:14 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arrb75$9gf$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56273

Course it is. You know that. From what I understand CW was actually used
in the good ole days in the PD in some of the major cities....way back when.

Dan/W4NTI
"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h620zb7.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On 23 Nov 2002 12:57:11 -0800, N2EY wrote:
>
> >Standard procedure on EPA repeaters:
> >
> >"W!#$, this is N2EY"
> >(long pause for W!#$ to find the mike)
> >"W!#$, this is N2EY"
> >(long pause for W!#$ to find the mike)
> >"Nothing heard, N2EY clear".
>
> Northern California style:
>
> "W6XXX"
> (very short pause)
> "Hey Johnny, you there?"
> (another short pause)
> (Repeat as needed)
> "Negative contact. W6YYY clear"
>
> As far as "tradition" goes, why do most communications on the New
> York PD radio channels use the word "Kay" after each transmission
> (as in "26 David to Central, Kay" ? They were doing it 40 years ago
> when I lived there and I would suspect that they are still doing it.
>
> Is it related to Morse message practice (meaning "over") ?
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

2035. Re: Communication Corrections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 20:01:23 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arrb9a$4g6$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56274

No it hasnt died out. Just not many of us still alive that do it. Or
bother to CQ anymore on phone.

Dan/W4NTI

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021124043650.00112.00000626@mb-fz.aol.com...
> In article <cuvyxnansvbet.h620zb7.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil Kane"
> <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:
>
> >On 23 Nov 2002 12:57:11 -0800, N2EY wrote:
> >
> >>Standard procedure on EPA repeaters:
> >>
> >>"W!#$, this is N2EY"
> >>(long pause for W!#$ to find the mike)
> >>"W!#$, this is N2EY"
> >>(long pause for W!#$ to find the mike)
> >>"Nothing heard, N2EY clear".
> >
> > Northern California style:
> >
> > "W6XXX"
> > (very short pause)
> > "Hey Johnny, you there?"
> > (another short pause)
> > (Repeat as needed)
> > "Negative contact. W6YYY clear"
> >
> > As far as "tradition" goes, why do most communications on the New
> > York PD radio channels use the word "Kay" after each transmission
> > (as in "26 David to Central, Kay" ? They were doing it 40 years ago
> > when I lived there and I would suspect that they are still doing it.
>
> I used to hear hams doing that, 30+ years ago. Fortunately, it has died
out.
> >
> > Is it related to Morse message practice (meaning "over") ?
>
> Precisely.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
>
>

2036. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 20:05:40 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arrbhapue1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56275

That explains your problem then Brian. Thanks for enlightning us.

Dan/W4NTI

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0211240716.b40f89c@posting.google.com...
Lucky for me the Catholics taught me to
> read and think.

2037. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 20:15:28 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arrc3n$cs3$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56276

Thats basically my story. I passed Novice at 13 and 3 months later had my General. Was 14 by then. CW to me was a royal pain in the butt. Learned it wrong (memorization) BUT when I got on the air as a Novice I really started to enjoy it. The challenge and all that.

All of a sudden one day I was doing 15. It was almost a overnight thing. I passed the General the first sitting. Kept doing CW and enjoying picking up the speed. By the time I went to the Military in 64 I was solid at 35 and peaking at 40.

In otherwords...after a while it became fun. And still is.

Dan/W4NTI

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DE02A8C.35808722@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> >
> > One point, and I dont mean to annoy. If you have never actually used CW
on
> > the air...then how do you know you wont enjoy it? I have heard many
many
> > people tell me that in class. And when they were done they actually
enjoyed
> > the mode.
>
> Agree, don't knock it until you have at least tried it. I had a
> fellow in one of my Novice classes some years ago that hated
> having to learn cw. He came into each class session complaining
> about having to learn useless code and left complaining. He
> sweated through the test and easily passed. He got on the air to
> up his code proficiency so he could pass the 13 wpm and get his
> General so he could get on phone and off cw. By the time he got up
> to around 13 wpm he was having so much fun that he did not stop at
> General but soon had his Extra, and seldom could you get him to
> operate phone.

2038. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 20:19:59 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arrcc7$tv1$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56277

Kim,

I don't and never have felt some sort of 'superior' because I am good at CW.
I consider myself lucky to have the skill and to this day enjoy it a lot.

I understand that not all feel that way. My major problem is with those
that dont care for CW then spent hours badmouthing it right here or on the
air. It is like a personal insult and I respond. That is where Im coming
from.

If you dont want to play CW...thats fine...dont. But why does that give you
(not you in particular) the right to badmouth it?

The only purpose I can see is to start a fight. And it certainly works.

Dan/W4NTI

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:uu1s405j0mcb2f@corp.supernews.com...
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3DE02A8C.35808722@mailcity.net...
> >
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > One point, and I dont mean to annoy. If you have never actually used
CW
> on
> > > the air...then how do you know you wont enjoy it? I have heard many
> many
> > > people tell me that in class. And when they were done they actually
> enjoyed
> > > the mode.
> >
> > Agree, don't knock it until you have at least tried it. I had a
> > fellow in one of my Novice classes some years ago that hated
> > having to learn cw. He came into each class session complaining
> > about having to learn useless code and left complaining. He
> > sweated through the test and easily passed. He got on the air to
> > up his code proficiency so he could pass the 13 wpm and get his
> > General so he could get on phone and off cw. By the time he got up
> > to around 13 wpm he was having so much fun that he did not stop at
> > General but soon had his Extra, and seldom could you get him to
> > operate phone.
>

> JJ and Dan, Sometimes (and this isn't necessarily directed at either of
you)
> that is what the problem is. Someone who ends up "loving" CW and just
can't
> seem to live without it, and maybe even goes to the extent that they never
> use phone again...yada yada yada, is the only person(s) who end up with
any
> kind of friendly attitude toward them. Yet, someone who ends up not
liking
> CW: well, that's because they're a jerk, or it's because they're too lazy,
> or it's because they never gave it enough time...etc. I knew I didn't
like
> brussel sprouts the very first time I ever tasted one. Never have eaten
> them since; won't ever eat them again.
>
> You can't have it both ways and expect everyone to just "go along" with
it.
> It seems that if someone likes CW, they're OK. But, if someone doesn't
like
> CW, well they're a pariah on the amateur radio community. Yet, on the
other
> hand, I can't think of one person who doesn't care for CW that I've seen a
> post from, that gets argumentative over the issue of CW itself. We argue
> about the attitudes set against us (being dumbed down, being welfare blah
> blah blah, being unworthy hams) but--at least me personally--appreciate
that
> there are people who like it and people who do not. And, whether those
> folks who think CW is some kind of godly act realize it or not, most of us
> who are on the opposite side of the fence really aren't at all affected by
> the "superiorness." We, at least I, see that attitude as a very desperate
> one.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

2039. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 20:22:10 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arrcg9anq1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56278

Oh, excuse me Len over 21...did you say something?

Since it had nothing to do with the way I think, it must have been a misprint.

Dan/W4NTI

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message news:20021123211053.22053.00000051@mb-fl.aol.com...
> In article <arp6jrddu1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> writes:
>
> >Yep...sorta like requiring a drivers test without the actual test of your
> >ability to stay alive while on the road and not kill anyone.
>
> Has non-morse-code use killed anyone in amateur radio
> yet? Or are you priming for more insults against others
> not agreeing with you?
>
> >One point, and I dont mean to annoy.
>
> But, you do.
>
> >If you have never actually used CW on
> >the air...then how do you know you wont enjoy it?
>
> How do you know they will?
>
> >I have heard many many people tell me that in class.
>
> That's wonderful...aren't you pleased? :-)
>
> >And when they were done they actually enjoyed the mode.
>
> Are the US amateur radio rules set up just to make
> amateur morsemen happy? Is the US amateur radio
> service the living museum of morsemanship?
>
> The FCC is stuck with an ITU-R Recommendation on
> amateur license testing and, as far back as 1990, did
> not consider morse code skill essential for its purpose
> in granting amateur radio licenses. Neither does the
> FCC mandate morse code use over an above any other
> allocated mode. Manual morse code skill is the ONLY
> operating skill EVER tested by any of the four radio

> regulating agencies in between 1912 and now. No,
> repeat NO other modes were ever manually tested as
> a separate pass-fail element. It doesn't make any sense
> to continue morse code testing...not even to make old
> morsemen "happy" in keeping up hazing rituals.
>
>
>

2040. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 20:23:51 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arrcj5$77i$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56279

Kim...the point is that if you have made it to Extra you should know how ...
without having to look it up.

That particular question was on my NOVICE test in 1961.

Dan/W4NTI

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:uu07tr27radpff@corp.supernews.com...
> "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021123123514.19707.00000276@mb-ba.aol.com...
> > >When a Extra class operator can't even figure how to cut a 1/2 wave
> dipole
> > >then we are in trouble.
> > >
> > >Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > Thats not there worst of it, most dont even know what a Dipole is.
> >
>
> Well, look it up...
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

2041. Happy Thanksgiving!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 20:33:01 UTC
From: R-75 MAN <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com>
Message-ID: <3de13619_4@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 56280
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Just wanted to wish everybody a Happy Thanksgiving!

Leaving early in the morning to goto see family.

Got my Icom R-2 and DX-398 for SWL'ing!

Even taking my HT and checking out some MURS action too!

Have fun!

Lloyd
"The R-75 Man"

2042. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 20:38:07 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arrdhi$kn8$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56282

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021123211051.22053.00000050@mb-fl.aol.com...
> In article <arp6ue$c88$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> writes:
>
> >The 1933 Johnstown PA floods. And around the same time out in Missouri.
I
> >may have the year wrong.
>
> 1933 was 69 years ago. In that year radio was only 37 years old
> as a communications medium. This is year 2002 and radio is
> now 106 years old.

And I answered the question. This did not suit you, so you decided to be
deragatory.

>
> >Nobody said a thing about CW use exclusivly in an emergency. I think you
> >need to take a reading improvement course.
>
> I think you need to have some social counseling in Getting
> Along With Others...or have you been hanging around
> hospitals watching to see if newborns were deliberately
> damaged at birth?
>
No I dont. And I am not trying to get along with anyone. I am expressing
my opinion, as are you. And I don't really care if you like them or not.

> >Let me try again....knowing CW makes you a better communicator.
>
> Only if that is the only mode available to use.
>
Of course. And your point is?

> In over 49 years of transmitting RF in communications, I've never
> had ANY need to know, use, or even design-in any sort of on-
> off manual morse coding mode in any equipment...in the military,
> in commercial life (in 7 different radio services).
>

Glad to hear that. However I have. Obviously our life experiences are
different. I can understand your lack of knowledge based on your lack of
experience with the mode. Why can't you see the other side?

> Morse code is slow and both ends of a circuit are prone to human
> error, compared to all other modes of communication. Even the

> fastest "CW" op can't beat a 100 WPM old-style RTTY. Voice has
> an equivalent word rate of 200 to 300 WPM, spoken clearly.
>

Speed is NOT ALWAYS the best criteria to determine communication
capabilities. It takes two things to communicate...An operator at both
ends. Even with a tty circuit you need operators. Feeding the paper,
oiling the gears, winding the tapes and putting them into loops as required.
Been there and done that.

TTY can be disrupted with a simple carrier signal. It totally blocks the
Baudot code and you get wall to wall jibberish. A good CW op can work
through most interference. And dont try and tell me that the 'modern
macines (computers)' can decode CW without even needing a human. Show me
the machine that matches a human ear and mind, and I'll conceed defeat.
But we both know it doesnt exist. And NEVER WILL.

CW is still the ONLY mode that can get through under the most adverse
conditions utilizing the most primitave of instruments. Ask the Hanoi
Hilton pilots how they communicated?

> >Especially
> >in emergency situations where it may indeed be the only way to get out.
>
> If you look on ebay.com I'm sure you can buy a copy of the
> movie "Independence Day." Nice science-fiction where morse
> code Saves The World!

Its just a movie...but who is to say it can't be true? You don't know...you
just want to believe that.

>
> >Have you ever been in a tornado area and tried to use a cell phone?
>
> No, only in a typhoon and using 4-channel FM radio relay
> working into vehicular HF radio. In tornado areas I've heard
> public safety communicators using their vehicular and hand-
> held VHF-UHF radios no problem.
>
> >Good luck.
>
> Thank you. [how was your hospital treating you on your day
> of birth?]
>
> >Not too many years ago we had a earthquake out in California.
>
> Being IN it (Northridge Earthquake, January 17, 1994), I'm
> overly familiar with it. Also the earlier Whittier Narrows and
> even earlier Sylmar quake in '71.
>
> >The only way I could get through was on CW.
>

> Your problem, not a problem for the utilities and public
> safety communications on VHF and UHF. The Northridge
> quake knocked out ALL primary electric power for a region
> of 10 million or so population. Fire departments here were
> alerted by leased lines (telephone circuits not carried through
> switching centers), all the government radios worked fine, and
> the downtown emergency communications center activated.
> The only hard part was repairing damage and restoring order.
>
> I still haven't heard of any amateur emergency networks that
> suddenly leaped into action at about 4:30 AM when all the
> primary electrical power was suddenly off. Feel free to
> point out the ARRL bulletin which described all of that in
> glorious detail...it was missing from national TV news.
>

I have no idea, nor to I care what the ARRL did or didn't do, or what plans were implemented. I only know that from here in Alabama I got into contact via CW with a HAM STATION in the effected area and passed a message trying to find out about a third parties family. They took the traffic and we received the reply via the National Traffic System that evening on the scheduled network.

Any further questions?

> >You are just anti-cw. And you are ignorant of its abilities. Or you are
> >just plain stupid.
>
> It would appear that Dan had an early-on accident in a
> hospital? :-)
>

The only accident I have had lately was trying to fight with a pig and keeping the crude off of me.

Dan/W4NTI

2043. Re: Happy Thanksgiving!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 20:38:55 UTC
From: AC6V <ac6v@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <zNaE9.31242$ro.1210711@news2.west.cox.net>
Source record: 56281
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

R75 Man -- I took my DX-398 and matching antenna on vacation last month to Northern California and picked up all the biggies on SW + lots more.

On the Ham bands - 10M SSB heard Greece, Italy, So Europe -- later Asia, Pacific including Easter Isle. Great Combo the DX-398 and its 23 foot external Antenna.

Happy Thanksgiving from San Diego

--
I Doubt, Therefore I Might Be
73 From AC6V, Rod In Oceanside, CA
http://ac6v.com
"R-75 MAN" <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com> wrote in message
news:3de13619_4@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
> Just wanted to wish everybody a Happy Thanksgiving!
>
> Leaving early in the morning to goto see family.
>
> Got my Icom R-2 and DX-398 for SWL'ing!
>
> Even taking my HT and checking out some MURS action too!
>
> Have fun!
>
> Lloyd
> "The R-75 Man"
>
>

2044. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 21:02:24 UTC
From: K3UD <K3UD@charter.net>
Message-ID: <K3UD-1EC749.15022424112002@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56283

In article <lVyD9.4597$OZ4.445705@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"K&#65533;HB" <k&#65533;hb@arrl.org> wrote:

> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote
>
> : I agree totally Hans.
> :
> : Dan/W4NTI
>
>
>
> I am convinced that incentive licensing remains the hands down all
> time winner of the DAIOTC (Dumb Assed Idea of The Century)
> award.
>
> When a lot of us got
> started in the hobby the bands were not subdivided like they are today and
> it seems that we all, regardless of license class, worked together to
> improve
> the Amateur Radio Service.
>
>
> 34 years later we are still sliced and diced into 6 different classes,
> carefully
> divided into our own special frequency segments, and Lord protect the
> Extra who suggests that a new Technician might have something to
> contribute to our beloved Amateur Radio service.
>
> Back then, it seems that we all, regardless of license class, worked
> together to improve the Amateur Radio Service.
>
> Back then, nobody got a special deal because they could beep faster or
> remember more electrical formulae than someone else. I got my Extra in
> 1964,
> well before disincentive licensing, and it was simply just another personal
> accomplishment, and did not "elevate" me above other hams. We all shared
> the
> same spectrum, worked together to solve the same problems, competed in
> contests, trouble-shot each others radios, and generally had fun together.
> If you forgot the numbers for the dipole formula, it was OK to ask someone
> else. If your Morse speed was < 10WPM the speedier guys would slow down for
> you, not QSY to some specially reserved band segment. The one or two "old
> timers" on 75 meters who didn't like newcomers ("No lids, no kids, not space
> cadets") were universally looked down on as poor examples of what a ham

> ought
> to act like. The Novice bands were a "cacophony of exuberance" to steal a
> term that K1ZZ used in a meeting here in Minneapolis. Old hands
> were on those Novice bands also -- it was not unusual to hear W4KFC or W5ZD
> patiently working WN4KKN or WV2CNL -- nobody remarked that it was "nice" of
> them to do that -- it was just part of being a good ham. The notion that
> you
> had earned a special band segment never occurred to anyone -- we were just
> excitedly "playing in the ether", and there was no distinction on the air
> between Extra, Advanced, General, or Conditional -- elitist special callsign
> formats to celebrate the fine granulations of your test taking/memorization
> skills had not been invented.
>
> We'll never return to 'back then', unfortunately.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Hans,

Accurate, insightful, and veryt well said!

73
George
K3UD
(ex-W3GEO)

2045. Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 21:08:01 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124160801.01412.00000087@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 56284

>Subject: Why are ONLY coders proud?
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 11/24/02 9:05 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021124100543.23981.00000103@mb-cv.aol.com>

>
>This person and many code Nazis will simply ignore any evidence that
>disproves
>their assertions. There is no use trying to convince them

Didn't take long, did it...Unable to effectively state his position, he
resorts to the Nazi analogy. Move over Lennie!

>To the ONLY coders, real time knowledge of an encoding scheme is the ONLY
>thing
>thats important, not knowledge of the material.

Uh huh...yeah...right...

2046. Re: Happy Thanksgiving!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 21:19:56 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DE1427C.C0A62A5E@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 56285
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

God knows there's enough turkeys here.....

2047. Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 21:28:02 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DE14462.6B578A20@mailcity.net>
Source record: 56159

Vshah101 wrote:
>
> > Didn't take long, did it...Unable to effectively state his position, he
> >resorts to the Nazi analogy. Move over Lennie!
>
> I stated my position. His indifference to the graduate Engineer that had a
> no-code license shows that their technical knowledge is meaningless.
>
> Since the graduate Engineer did not learn an audio motary skill called Morse
> code, the graduate Engineer is equivalent to a CBplusser.
>
> >>To the ONLY coders, real time knowledge of an encoding scheme is the ONLY
> >>thing
> >>thats important, not knowledge of the material.
>
> He even says that some Extras cannot put up a dipole (this is HIS claim). So,
> the graduate Engineer that can design circuits is no good for Amateur Radio,
> since they did not learn Morse Code.
>
> So, one can conclude that the only thing important to the only coders is the
> knowledge of the code.
>
> Looks like I stated my position.

Maybe that is why they dumbed down the technical test, so folks
like wa8ulx could still obtain that Extra status.

2048. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 21:37:06 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124163706.17289.00000610@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 56289

In article <20021123002456.23768.00001901@mb-md.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>The only mistake made by the ARRL/FCC
>was not to allow full grandfathering of extant General-class licensees
>to the Extra class.

FCC was concerned about the knowledge and skills of existing hams, not just newcomers. Grandfathering would have set up an uneasy situation where the new folks had to meet much tougher requirements than those with existing licenses. And the desired effects would take a long time to percolate through the ARS because only newcomers would have to meet the new requirements.

FCC initially rejected all grandfathering suggestions. In fact, FCC wanted to demote Advanceds to General class because all existing Advanceds had taken their tests more than 10 years earlier. FCC wanted to replace the Advanced with a new "Amateur First Class" license that would require 16 wpm code (!), a new written, and 1 year experience as a General or Conditional. The initial FCC subband restrictions were even more draconian than what was eventually put into place. It was all the ARRL could do to shoot that down and get existing Advanceds grandfathered.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2049. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 21:37:07 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124163707.17289.00000611@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 56287

In article <7r8E9.7680$It3.769003@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Ki¿½HB" <ki¿½hb@arrl.org> writes:

>"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote
>:
>: Umm . . I notice that you have one of those elitist pig 20wpm
>: FCC-tested 1x2 callsigns too Hans . . ?
>:
>
>Back in the 70's it seemed like a cool idea.

I don't see Hans giving it up, either.

>
>A few years ago when vanity calls came available, I considered retrieving my
>KG6AQI callsign, but it's since been re-issued to some guy in California.

My old 2x3 Novice and Tech/Advanced calls are available. You can have either one, Hans. They did a good job for me.

73 de Jim, N2EY (sequentially issued in 1977)

2050. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 21:37:08 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124163708.17289.00000612@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 56288

In article <uu07iomvctrvaa@corp.supernews.com>, "Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>I think for real hams, it depends on what they do with ham radio. For some,
>it is a service. For others it is a hobby. For still others, it's both.

Agreed.
>You can't convince me that a die-hard contester is doing anyone a service.

Even if you are not convinced, the fact remains that contesters and DXers do
indeed perform a service. Actually two services:

- They help develop operating skills, practices and concepts
- They push the technology used in amateur radio.

For example, the first widespread use of computers in hamshacks was by
contesters automating their logging. Many of the features now considered
standard in ham gear got their because the die-hard contesters wanted those
features. Same for the performance improvements in modern gear. It's not the
casual ragchewers who push the marketplace for FT-1000s. and Orions.

>You also can't convince me that a die-hard emergency communicator is in it
>for a hobby.

Nor anyone involved in public service comms. Example: The directors of the NYC
Marathon have long said they couldn't do the race without the hams who provide
the communications.

Yet there are those who pooh-pooh the idea that ham radio is anyhting except a
hobby. They are mistaken, of course.

>But, the important thing is that we are all hams.

No - we're all "real" hams.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2051. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 21:37:44 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124163744.03027.00000067@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 56286

>>I think he's lying about a) not studying, b) his score, c) the elapsed
>>time, and/or d) his wager with the CBPlussers.
>
>Dont care what you think, you just cant handle the fact that i did all the
>above and you cant pass the EXTRA.

75% is required to pass the exam. No score is given. All that is given is pass or fail. Therefore we only know he got 75%: no more, no less.

2052. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 21:39:10 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124163910.03027.00000068@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 56290

>No luck involved the Test was a Joke.
As an only coder, you should be thankful for that.

2053. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 21:47:07 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <vNbE9.8000$lt3.804752@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 56291

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote

: >elitist special callsign
: >formats to celebrate the fine granulations of your test
taking/memorization
: >skills had not been invented.
:
: BULL!
:
: Novices had the red letter of "N" or "V" to tell the whole world they were
: beginners.
:
: Really OTs had 1x2s. OTs had 1x3s. Newbies had 2x3s. And lots of
variations in
: between.
:
: You could figure out most hams' "vintage" from where their calls fell in
the
: sequence. Everybody knew that W3YIK was a veteran and WA3IYC was newbie.
And
: you could not just BUY an oldtimey call.

Except for novices (who "upped or outed" in one year) you could NOT tell their license class, the callbook didn't contain the information, and frankly nobody CARED about your "rank". When I made Amateur Extra I had a 2x3 callsign (KG6AQI) and many Generals were on the air at the same time with 1x2 callsigns. W0TK just went SK holding a General class license. My friend W0VDI (original issue) went SK a couple of years ago with the same Technician class license he earned back in 1955. So much for being able to tell license class from a call sign.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2054. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 21:54:12 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211241354.16102c19@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56293

brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0211240647.eb7630@posting.google.com>...
> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0211232058.2bd0121e@posting.google.com>...
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<aroc3afkg1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>...
> > > I hope some of the detractors that hang around here have read this. I have
> > > been trying to say it for years. You did it far better than I.
> > >
> > > Thank you. And you are correct...it is not as it was and never shall be
> > > again. I too blame Incentive Licensing for the mess ham radio is in today.
> >
> > Where does the "invention" of the nocode license fall on your list of
> > dumb-assed pieces of ham radio social engineering?
> >
> >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >
> > w3rv
>
> Brian, the original amateur radio licensing in the USA had no code
> exam. Don't you remember?

The original 1912 U.S. ham license test *did* include a code test. And
a written you'd never in this world be able to pass.

Go back to yer HT Idiot.

w3rv

2055. Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 21:58:05 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124165805.03027.00000069@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 56294

> Didn't take long, did it...Unable to effectively state his position, he
>resorts to the Nazi analogy. Move over Lennie!

I stated my position. His indifference to the graduate Engineer that had a
no-code license shows that their technical knowledge is meaningless.

Since the graduate Engineer did not learn an audio motary skill called Morse
code, the graduate Engineer is equivalent to a CBplusser.

>>To the ONLY coders, real time knowledge of an encoding scheme is the ONLY
>>thing
>>thats important, not knowledge of the material.

He even says that some Extras cannot put up a dipole (this is HIS claim). So,
the graduate Engineer that can design circuits is no good for Amateur Radio,
since they did not learn Morse Code.

So, one can conclude that the only thing important to the only coders is the
knowledge of the code.

Looks like I stated my position.

2056. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 22:15:06 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <KbcE9.8030$lt3.804682@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 56295

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote

:
: The original 1912 U.S. ham license test *did* include a code test. :
:

Yep. 5WPM, just like today. The more things change, the more they stay the
same!

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

2057. Re: Happy Thanksgiving!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 22:23:24 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124172324.24682.00000231@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 56296

>Even taking my HT and checking out some MURS action too!
>

A lot of good MURS action here, best DX so far 74 Miles.

2058. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 22:28:27 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124172827.24682.00000232@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 56297

>75% is required to pass the exam. No score is given. All that is given is
>pass
>or fail. Therefore we only know he got 75%: no more, no less.
>

I asked they told me I missed none. But I knew that before I asked.

2059. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 22:31:30 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124173130.24682.00000233@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 56298

>As an only coder, you should be thankful for that.
>

You still cant pass the No-Code Tech, lets us know if you ever upgrade from Cb.

2060. Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 22:35:58 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124173558.24682.00000234@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 56299

>I stated my position. His indifference to the graduate Engineer that had a
>no-code license shows that their technical knowledge is meaningless.

It is meaningless, who cares that he has some Degree, is that supposed to
exempt him from testing.

2061. Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 22:40:42 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124174042.05569.00000057@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 56300

>Subject: Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 11/24/02 3:58 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021124165805.03027.00000069@mb-cq.aol.com>

Vshah...it is considered proper "netiquette" to cite the post that you are quoting. Once again, more evidence that you are not very well informed or skilled in technical matters of your own, yet you feel quite at liberty to call into question the skills of others. This is the epitome of rude.

>> Didn't take long, did it...Unable to effectively state his position, he
>>resorts to the Nazi analogy. Move over Lennie!
>
>I stated my position. His indifference to the graduate Engineer that had a
>no-code license shows that their technical knowledge is meaningless.

And you base the "meaningless" assertion on WHAT? Your own personal emotions? Because you certainly have NOT made them based upon an informed position.

>Since the graduate Engineer did not learn an audio motary skill called Morse
>code, the graduate Engineer is equivalent to a CBplusser.

"motary skill"...? WHAT language are you getting this from?

>So, one can conclude that the only thing important to the only coders is the
>knowledge of the code.
>
>Looks like I stated my position.

Hmmmmm....>>I<< have an Extra, and I dare say I can erect not only a dipole, but several other types of antennas with nothing more than a piece of paper and pencil to do a couple rough calculations. Been there...done that...

I am not, by the way, a "professional" engineer.

As for your "position", you have stated "jibberish". You are trying to impress us with your mastery of the vocabulary, however you run meaningless words together into disjointed and rambling sentences.

Try again. And include your callsign.

Steve, K4YZ

2062. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 22:41:44 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124174144.05569.00000058@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 56151

>Subject: Re: How can we win?
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 11/24/02 3:37 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021124163744.03027.00000067@mb-cq.aol.com>

>75% is required to pass the exam.

Wrong.

Steve, K4YZ

2063. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 22:43:16 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uu2lfq7j14k786@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56152

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021123164001.05504.00004011@mb-mq.aol.com...
> >You're a fucking jerk...know that?
> >
> > I will question your last sentence... :-)
>
> Dont question it, get use to it, this is the kind of people the Dumbed
Down
> License is attracting. Look at her Callsign w5TIT, which she asked for,
then
> look at her recent use of the English Language. And im sure it will get
worse
> in time, and easily start to become common place on the AIR. So keep them
> Dumbed Down CBers coming. It shouldnt be much longer till all Ham Bands
will
> sound like CB.

2 Meter already does sound like 11 meter.
And I've noticed parts of 75 meter does also.

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

2064. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 22:49:24 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124174924.05569.00000059@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 56153

>Subject: Re: 34 Years Ago Today
>From: "Kï¿½HB" kï¿½hb@arrl.org
>Date: 11/24/02 3:47 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <vNbE9.8000$It3.804752@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

>When I made Amateur Extra I had a
>2x3 callsign (KG6AQI) and many Generals were on the air at the same time
>with 1x2 callsigns.

There are still several Generals and Advanced with 1x2 calls, although I imagine they are dwindling fast. There's a couple here in 4-land.

I just had the pleasure of caring for a fellow who was 98 years old, and he was an original sparker. Made his first rig from the remanents of an electrical fan and other car parts. His face would light up when he recalled his first "DX" of 30 miles!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2065. Re: Communication Corrections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 23:01:26 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h63jgf9.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 56154

On 24 Nov 2002 04:27:04 GMT, Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

>Even more bizzare is the practice of using "Q" signals on some south
>Florida EMS and Public Service repeaters.
>
> I bet APCO has a fit...!!!

APCO is more concerned with frequenmcy coordination politics
than anything else nowadays.
---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Retired Member, APCO
Northern California Chapter

2066. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 23:04:54 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DE15B0B.7030309@adelphia.net>
Source record: 56155

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

>>Subject: Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.
>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>Date: 11/23/02 7:37 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <3DE02D52.4070006@adelphia.net>
>>
>
>>Are you serious? This is like expecting people at a computer trade show
>>to only speak in layman's terms. How exactly should Hams speak? What are
>>the forbidden words?
>>
>
> If you read his posts, Mike, I am sure that English is a second language
> to our anonymous troll. So how can one fully appreciate the nuances of a
> technically related hobby when he does not have full comprehension of the
> language in which he is trying to converse?

Hmm, possibly. How about a challenge!

Mr. Vshah! Please explain VSWR without any references to anything other
than say a non-technically oriented 18 year old person can recognize and
understand. No acronyms, no language that only those "in the "cult""
would understand. Let's say in 100 words or less.

I probably couldn't without resorting to referring to non-standard
language. But let's give it a try!

- Mike KB3EIA -

2067. Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 23:08:19 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <O5Wcna9bP9t1xnygXTWcog@comcast.com>
Source record: 56156

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021124173558.24682.00000234@mb-ch.aol.com...
> >I stated my position. His indifference to the graduate Engineer
that had a
> >no-code license shows that their technical knowledge is
meaningless.
>
> It is meaningless, who cares that he has some Degree, is that
supposed to
> exempt him from testing.

You seem to like insulting people who have a demonstrated superior technical skills to your own. At least university degrees are earned by learning the material, not by memorizing the answers to the exam using the published answers and a yellow high-liter. Just because somebody has passed the Extra exam doesn't mean a thing. I've read about those 9 and 10 year olds passing the exam and I wouldn't trust one of them to wire up a simple light switch.

--

--

--

73's de,

Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL member
NCI member

"You ask what Morse Code is good for? I'll tell you. Morse Code is used exclusively by Electronics Based life forms to communicate amongst themselves using advanced Organic Digital Signal Processors, running state of the art Artificial Intelligence Software, to perform the highly complex transmit encryption, receive decryption and error correction functions."

2068. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 23:11:22 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DE15C8F.1000507@adelphia.net>
Source record: 56157

Vshah101 wrote:

> One can ponder about how Ham Radio could best suited
> - without really considering it a question of emotional or social
> behavior. Boy would that be wrong. Ham Radio could live and die on very
> strange human,
> emotional, and social interactions. technical merit takes a back seat in
> any story of acceptance.

Huh?

> Look at something like the only code life-cycle. It
> was at least as much a social story as a technical one. The no-code
> technical mix attracted a certain kind of early adopter, and that adopter
> in turn defined the culture (with some culture-hacking by code advocates). The
> culture, ultimately, could not adequately support the hobby.

Was this written with one of those programs that outputs statements in a certain genre? For the most part this sounds like some sort of post-modern commentary. Much better are the Goth quote generators or the business-speak ones.

I reapeat, huh?

- Mike KB3EIA -

2069. Re: Happy Thanksgiving!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 23:16:01 UTC
From: llgpt@aol.commcenter
Message-ID: <20021124181601.05569.00000061@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 56158

```
<< Subject: Happy Thanksgiving!
From: "R-75 MAN" lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com
Newsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc, rec.radio.amateur.policy,
rec.radio.shortwave
Date: Sun, 24 Nov 2002 14:33:01 -0600
Lines: 14
X-Priority: 3
X-MSMail-Priority: Normal
X-Newsreader: Microsoft Outlook Express 6.00.2800.1106
X-MimeOLE: Produced By Microsoft MimeOLE V6.00.2800.1106
NNTP-Posting-Host: corp-news
Message-ID: <3de13619_4@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
X-Authenticated-User: $$xz6r-i9z4o
X-Comments: This message was posted through Newsfeeds.com
X-Comments2: IMPORTANT: Newsfeeds.com does not condone, nor support, spam or
any illegal or copyrighted postings.
X-Comments3: IMPORTANT: Under NO circumstances will postings containing illegal
or copyrighted material through this service be tolerated!!
X-Report: Please report illegal or inappropriate use to <abuse@newsfeeds.com>
You may also use our online abuse reporting from:
http://www.newsfeeds.com/abuseform.htm
X-Abuse-Info: Please be sure to forward a copy of ALL headers, INCLUDING the
body (DO NOT SEND ATTACHMENTS)
Organization: Newsfeeds.com http://www.newsfeeds.com 100,000+ UNCENSORED
Newsgroups.
Path:
lobby!ngtf-m01.news.aol.com!ngpeer.news.aol.com!pln-w!extra.newsguy.com!lo
tsanews.com!cyclone-sf.pbi.net!64.245.249.51!sfo2-feed1.news.algx.net!alle
giance!feedwest.aleron.net!aleron.net!l-out.nntp.be!corp-news.newsgroups.c
om!not-for-mail
Xref: lobby rec.radio.amateur.misc:220050 rec.radio.amateur.policy:224837
rec.radio.shortwave:308535

Just wanted to wish everybody a Happy Thanksgiving!

Leaving early in the morning to goto see family.

Got my Icom R-2 and DX-398 for SWL'ing!

Even taking my HT and checking out some MURS action too!

Have fun!

Lloyd
"The R-75 Fat Boy"
```

>>

Hope it's a one way trip asshole!

Les

2070. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 23:57:24 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124185724.24784.00001168@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 56161

In article <arrdhi$kn8$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> writes:

>"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20021123211051.22053.00000050@mb-fl.aol.com...
>> In article <arp6ue$c88$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
>> <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> writes:
>>
>> >The 1933 Johnstown PA floods. And around the same time out in Missouri.
>I
>> >may have the year wrong.
>>
>> 1933 was 69 years ago. In that year radio was only 37 years old
>> as a communications medium. This is year 2002 and radio is
>> now 106 years old.
>
>And I answered the question. This did not suit you, so you decided to be
>deragatory.

Oh, my, a simple statement solely about time and this is
"offensive" to you? Tsk, tsk, tsk.

Are all non-applicable (to you) time spans "derogatory?!?"

>> >Nobody said a thing about CW use exclusivly in an emergency. I think you
>> >need to take a reading improvement course.
>>
>> I think you need to have some social counseling in Getting
>> Along With Others...or have you been hanging around
>> hospitals watching to see if newborns were deliberately
>> damaged at birth?
>>
>No I dont. And I am not trying to get along with anyone. I am expressing
>my opinion, as are you. And I don't really care if you like them or not.

Are you related to WA8ULX? Here's a sample of your
"expressing things:"

Subject: Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.
From: "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Date: Sat, 23 Nov 2002 18:27:34 -0600

Did your mother drop you at birth, or did your dad throw you onto the
hospital floor first?

==========

>> >Let me try again....knowing CW makes you a better communicator.
>>
>> Only if that is the only mode available to use.
>>
>Of course. And your point is?

This isn't the 1930s when Kode was still King. Offensive though
the time statement may be to you, present day is 70 years
later and a lot of changes have happened in the entirety of radio.

On-off keying codings are a MINORITY mode in all of US amateur
radio. It is on the way OUT even in US amateur radio, and
certainly all but completely disappeared for communications by
radio in every other US radio service. [the only widespread on-off
keying mode in non-amateur radio is a preset digital sequence in
some keyless auto entry systems using a short-range RF link
from a key-fob size transmitter...no manual keying required or
allowed in that system]

>> In over 49 years of transmitting RF in communications, I've never
>> had ANY need to know, use, or even design-in any sort of on-
>> off manual morse coding mode in any equipment...in the military,
>> in commercial life (in 7 different radio services).
>
>Glad to hear that. However I have. Obviously our life experiences are
>different. I can understand your lack of knowledge based on your lack of
>experience with the mode. Why can't you see the other side?

I CAN see the "other side." I CAN see where on-off keyed morse
has NOT BEEN SEEN by just about every other radio service in
the world...including maritime radio distress and safety purposes.
In general, manual telegraphy is slow, is subject to errors at both
ends of a radio link even with the best of morsemanship skills
relative to nearly every other mode in use for communications.

I CANNOT see where the morse code test MUST be kept just to
satisfy some sensitive egos who champion that mode...at the
expense of countless others who may, but probably will not,
come into amateur radio because of that test requirement.

I CANNOT see the present-day welfare system that rewards a
few with aptitudes for morse just so they can have a nice
federally-guaranteed merit badge to wave at others to show how
good and superior they are in radio. [who made morsemen
some reincarnation of a Marconi?]

I CANNOT see maintenance of the last remaining Living Museum
of Old Radio Skills through the forever-maintenance of the code
test for an amateur radio license.

The FCC can't see it either.

>> Morse code is slow and both ends of a circuit are prone to human
>> error, compared to all other modes of communication. Even the

>> fastest "CW" op can't beat a 100 WPM old-style RTTY. Voice has
>> an equivalent word rate of 200 to 300 WPM, spoken clearly.
>
>Speed is NOT ALWAYS the best criteria to determine communication
>capabilities. It takes two things to communicate...An operator at both
>ends. Even with a tty circuit you need operators. Feeding the paper,
>oiling the gears, winding the tapes and putting them into loops as required.
>Been there and done that.

Go sit on the hospital floor, boy, been there, done that well before
you...but in northwest Asia, not southwest Asia. Teleprinter data
modes don't use "gears, tapes, or loops" today and didn't back in
the Gulf War [eleven years ago].

If manual telegraphy was so "error free," why were there so many
lawsuits in courts concerning landline telegraphy communications?
Landline manual telegraphy was largely interference-free and didn't
suffer fading or "having to work weak signals." Why did the rest of
the world convert to teleprinting telegraphy instead of keeping that
wonder of primitive communications called morse code?

>TTY can be disrupted with a simple carrier signal. It totally blocks the
>Baudot code and you get wall to wall jibberish.

The rest of the radio world left 5-level Baudot codings in teleprinting
behind back in the 1960s. On-off keying codings CAN be blocked
by simple BC signals...or haven't you heard of the complaints over
the 40m band and the unresolved (since 1979) broadcasters there?

>A good CW op can work through most interference.

A really good op can probably hear signals that aren't there...

>And dont try and tell me that the 'modern
>macines (computers)' can decode CW without even needing a human.

I wouldn't TRY to tell anyone such as yourself anything...my
comments here apply to other readers.

"Modern machines" such as data terminals DON'T NEED the
arrythmic Morse-Vail coding patters, they DON'T WANT THEM
since multiple-level data with or without Forward Error
Correction works so much better, faster, and with less error
than a manual morse radio circuit with two operator-"modems"
at each end.

About the last hold-out for manual morse modes (other than
amateur radio) is maritime radio...and those radios are largely
the third-world mariners. Modern maritime radio, by agreement
of the rest of the maritime world, gave up the old 500 KHz
distress-safety by morse signalling back a few years...in favor
of the GMDSS. Modern mariners in shipping use DATA modes
for messaging...faster, better all around for them. Private

mariners use voice on VHF (close in to shore) and SSB on HF
(open ocean). SGC has a very large market of their SGC-2020
to the maritime market.

>Show me
>the machine that matches a human ear and mind, and I'll conceed defeat.
>But we both know it doesnt exist. And NEVER WILL.

You are not a Luddite. You are a Deux Ex Machina Luddite.

"Modern" machines for communications were already SUPERIOR
to manual morse methods in the 1960s. "Modern" machines for
communications were BETTER in the 1930s when commercial and
military HF communications adopted SSB big-time.

>CW is still the ONLY mode that can get through under the most adverse
>conditions utilizing the most primitave of instruments. Ask the Hanoi
>Hilton pilots how they communicated?

According to their biographical statements, it was a "tap code,"
not morse or even Morse-Vail.

When were you a prisoner of war in North Vietnam?

Or were you just brainwashed without being taken prisoner?

>> >Especially
>> >in emergency situations where it may indeed be the only way to get out.
>>
>> If you look on ebay.com I'm sure you can buy a copy of the
>> movie "Independence Day." Nice science-fiction where morse
>> code Saves The World!
>
>Its just a movie...but who is to say it can't be true? You don't know...you
>just want to believe that.

Better put on your aluminum foil hat, Dan..."the truth is out there!"

>I have no idea, nor to I care what the ARRL did or didn't do, or what plans
>were implemented. I only know that from here in Alabama I got into contact
>via CW with a HAM STATION in the effected area and passed a message trying
>to find out about a third parties family. They took the traffic and we
>received the reply via the National Traffic System that evening on the
>scheduled network.

At about 2:15 PM on 17 January 1994, I answered a telephone
call from my uncle living in Florida. He had no problem calling
into my area.

On 18 January 1994 I saw first-hand the new FEMA video
message displays that were air-lifted in and set up in the
Valley. Those were even shown on national TV news that
day. Messages mostly in Spanish handwriting.

>Any further questions?

Yes...which book did you read about concerning earthquakes?
Have you been a victim of the New Madrid fault line that
affected another Macho Morseman on here?

>> >You are just anti-cw. And you are ignorant of its abilities. Or you are
>> >just plain stupid.
>>
>> It would appear that Dan had an early-on accident in a
>> hospital? :-)
>
>The only accident I have had lately was trying to fight with a pig and
>keeping the crude off of me.

==========

Subject: Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.
From: "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Date: Sat, 23 Nov 2002 18:27:34 -0600

Did your mother drop you at birth, or did your dad throw you onto the
hospital floor first?

==========

Tsk, tsk, tsk... :-)

2071. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 23:57:25 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124185725.24784.00001169@mb-mp.aol.com>
Source record: 56160

In article <arrcc7$tv1$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> writes:

>Kim,
>
>I don't and never have felt some sort of 'superior' because I am good at CW.
>I consider myself lucky to have the skill and to this day enjoy it a lot.
>
>I understand that not all feel that way. My major problem is with those
>that dont care for CW then spent hours badmouthing it right here or on the
>air. It is like a personal insult and I respond. That is where Im coming
>from.

...and the following is also where you came from:

==========

Subject: Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.
From: "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Date: Sat, 23 Nov 2002 18:27:34 -0600

Did your mother drop you at birth, or did your dad throw you onto the
hospital floor first?

==========

>If you dont want to play CW...thats fine...dont. But why does that give you
>(not you in particular) the right to badmouth it?

Quite true, BB, but what is it about CW that makes you think
you can make nasties at others because you have that skill?

For me, it's only been about half a century of experience with
Macho Morsemen proclaiming their innate "superiority" in all
of radio through morsemanship.

Granted, some of those morsemen did indeed have enough
experience and knowledge about other radio things, but those
were in the minority among the Macho Morsemen. See all
those who think that "real hams" are only those who love,
cherish and adore morse code and demand the code test be
maintained forever more.

>The only purpose I can see is to start a fight. And it certainly works.

If your feelings are so easily bruised by a few years of non-love
of morse code commentary, then I'd suggest you go bye-bye
from here. Having been IN radio communications on HF since

1953 not ever using or having to use any morse code, I've accumulated very nearly a half century of nothing but deprecating bullshit from the Macho Morsemen Melange and their beeping "superiority" through morseability.

Do you wish some kind of welfare state to support your personal desires at the expense of others? Or are you going to come up with some more "hospital" remarks?

>Dan/W4NTI
>
>"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message

2072. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-24 23:59:20 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uu2qd7qfouh671@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56162

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3DE0F9F8.48D1279@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3DE0D43F.A85CC14D@earthlink.net...
> > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3DE022A9.D55462C0@earthlink.net...
> > > > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > news:20021123090218.08937.00000208@mb-fo.aol.com...
> > > > > > > >Bruce acts like a jerk and
> > > > > > > >you respond by trying to show that you have less class than
he.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dave you got to be kidding, a JERK you say
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Get the idea, dork? You've offended everyone, on both sides of
the
> > > > > > fence--you're a creep.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Bruce is crude. You're tacky. Neither of you knows how to behave
in
> > > > > public.
> > > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > You call this public, Dave? I should have known. I am certainly
not
> > the
> > > > nose-in-the-air kind of person you are, and I thank my creator for
that.
> > > >
> > > Kim W5TIT
> > >
> > Maybe he and Igor can get together and work out some modifications.
> >
> > Yeah, I call this "public". This is a big electronic bulletin board
```

> > > which can be viewed worldwide. Maybe you believed we were all
gathered
> > > at your house.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Dave, let's get something straight. I see you as a huge fake.
>
> I don't see you as getting anything straight. It isn't "fake" when I
> tell you that I believe you are crude and that you haven't any class. I
> really believe that.
>
> > *You* may
> > like to pretend to people about who you are.
>
> What am I pretending to be that I'm not, Kim?
>
> > I don't.
>
>
> You and Alec Baldwin pretended to go away.
>
> > I will respond in
> > kind to things as I see fit. I will respond to things as I see fit,
> > regardless of what *you* or anyone else thinks of it.
>
> You've demonstrated that repeatedly. That doesn't mean that you aren't
> crude.
>
> > If I am too real a
> > person for you, then stop reading my posts. Don't do that to yourself,
you
> > poor, poor thing.
>
> I don't see you as too real. I see you as too crude and too tacky,
> Tugboat.
>
> Dave K8MN

Yet you continue to look at the "dirty" pictures, Dave. I think you're some kind of nutcase whose only outlet for naughty is when you get to be condescending to me...

Kim W5TIT

2073. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 00:02:21 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uu2qita6fsl9cb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56163

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DE12DAF.348A4971@mailcity.net...
>
>
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> >
> > JJ and Dan, Sometimes (and this isn't necessarily directed at either of
you)
> > that is what the problem is. Someone who ends up "loving" CW and just
can't
> > seem to live without it, and maybe even goes to the extent that they
never
> > use phone again...yada yada yada, is the only person(s) who end up with
any
> > kind of friendly attitude toward them. Yet, someone who ends up not
liking
> > CW: well, that's because they're a jerk, or it's because they're too
lazy,
> > or it's because they never gave it enough time...etc. I knew I didn't
like
> > brussel sprouts the very first time I ever tasted one. Never have eaten
> > them since; won't ever eat them again.
> >
> > You can't have it both ways and expect everyone to just "go along" with
it.
> > It seems that if someone likes CW, they're OK. But, if someone doesn't
like
> > CW, well they're a pariah on the amateur radio community. Yet, on the
other
> > hand, I can't think of one person who doesn't care for CW that I've seen
a
> > post from, that gets argumentative over the issue of CW itself. We
argue
> > about the attitudes set against us (being dumbed down, being welfare
blah
> > blah blah, being unworthy hams) but--at least me personally--appreciate
that
> > there are people who like it and people who do not. And, whether those
> > folks who think CW is some kind of godly act realize it or not, most of
us
> > who are on the opposite side of the fence really aren't at all affected
by
> > the "superiorness." We, at least I, see that attitude as a very

desperate
> > one.
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
>
> Kim
>
> The purpose of my story was simply to illustrate that like many
> things people at first reject, they wind up liking. It was not
> meant to indicate that anyone who rejects the use of cw is
> anything less that one who embraces it. I just don't understand
> anyone who automatically rejects the idea of cw until they have at
> least given it a try (not aiming at you). I operated cw as a
> Novice for several months until I upgraded, it just wasn't my cup
> of tea. I still tune the cw bands occasionally and practice
> copying just to keep my cw skill, but I haven't had a cw contact
> in many years.

Yeah, gotcha...please remember, I did say that I wasn't necessarily aiming at you guys, either. :)

Kim W5TIT

2074. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 00:10:15 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uu2r1mffjkcac8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56165

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:arrcc7$tv1$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net...
> Kim,
>
> I don't and never have felt some sort of 'superior' because I am good at
CW.
> I consider myself lucky to have the skill and to this day enjoy it a lot.
>
> I understand that not all feel that way. My major problem is with those
> that dont care for CW then spent hours badmouthing it right here or on the
> air. It is like a personal insult and I respond. That is where Im coming
> from.
>
> If you dont want to play CW...thats fine...dont. But why does that give
you
> (not you in particular) the right to badmouth it?
>
> The only purpose I can see is to start a fight. And it certainly works.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

That's cool, and I agree with you. I don't bad mouth CW, that would be pretty stupid. Well, wait, I do not believe as wholeheartedly in CW as the cure-all that someone like Larry, or Dick Carroll, think it is. I don't laud CW to the exclusion of other, just as easy and just as reliable modes. But, I also don't think I'm "badmouthing" CW when I say that.

At any rate, the bad karma for the whole scenario is that someone like you--who's willing, it seems, to be human about the CW issue runs into someone like me--who's willing to understand the tradition and love for CW; and we've both been muddied by the ugly debate so the first thing we do is jump all over each other because of the nasty history this debate has become. I'm speaking parenthetically, of course, because I don't really think you and I have had nasty words over the CW issue. I'm just "talking out loud" about how the whole debate gets really blown out of proportion because of the extremes like, for example Larry Roll and Len Anderson.

Those of us pretty much in the middle end up with attitudes also. It's a pity. Especially over something like CW.

Kim W5TIT

2075. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 00:15:54 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uu2v3hpei1eu99@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56174

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:arrcj5$77i$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> Kim...the point is that if you have made it to Extra you should know how
...
> without having to look it up.
>
> That particular question was on my NOVICE test in 1961.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

Dan, there's things that I do every single day at work and I still look up stuff that is easy enough looked up rather than using that memory cache. I'd pretty much be willing to bet that surgeons review surgical procedures and even anatomy, too, even thought it's a part of their job. I think frequency allocation is one of those things that can be "ignored" in terms of memorization. As my license class advances, if it ever did, I'd just look up where and what I can do.

I *know* 2M is from 144-148MHZ because I used it every single day when I was on the air. And, I know my 10M portion, 28.3-28.5, because that's easy enough remembered. However, get me to 70cm and anything else and I've got to look it up. I don't use it, don't even think about it, so don't try to retain anything about allocation. I'll look it up.

Your mileage may vary. ;)

Kim W5TIT

2076. Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 00:17:43 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <H_dE9.102007$%m4.47328@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 56164

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DE14462.6B578A20@mailcity.net...

> Maybe that is why they dumbed down the technical test, so folks
> like wa8ulx could still obtain that Extra status.

Okay, sorry to hijack this thread, but I'll rename it so that those who don't care can skip it over.

JJ- I'm not disputing the object of your slam here, but this whole thing about dumbing down tests is really starting to get annoying.

I'd like to know what the Tech test was like before it was "dumbed-down". I don't know "dumbed-down" from the purportedly "smart" test that was given before the one I took. What is the story here? Does anyone know where I can find the test question pool that was extant before I took the test this year (assuming one can be accessed online)? I'd love to know what kinds of stuff prospective Techs were tested on before the "dumbing-down" of the most recent test.

I didn't think the Tech test was at all hard. I studied the enter ARRL entry-level study book for one week, back to front, before I took the exam. Sure, I thought the test was easy. But before this, I didn't know ONE THING about radio, propagation, electronics or anything having to do with the amateur radio service before I studied. I checked through a copy of the General manual this weekend and I don't think I'll have any problems learning this material, either.

My point is that, even if the entry level test WAS dumbed-down, I actually *learned* something from studying the material for it, dammit! I am not a stupid person; I consider each and every new learning experience I'm exposed to to be valuable.

I want to learn this stuff, especially the electronics part of it, and I'd sure like to talk with other hams on the air about it. Unfortunately, my first on the air contacts have not met with much fruition, as few people want to talk with "newbies". I know there are some people out there who would like to mentor newbies and get others into the technical aspects of the hobby (even if they *did* cruise in on a dumbed-down license), so I will bide my time until I find someone to talk with who won't judge others by the test they took to enter the hobby.

Christ almighty, this "dumbed down tests" talk is making me ill, no matter which side of the fence it's coming from.

Jackie
KC0ODY

2077. Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 00:27:14 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <C7eE9.7868$OZ4.851246@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 56166

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote

:
: To the ONLY coders, real time knowledge of an encoding scheme is the ONLY
thing
: thats important, not knowledge of the material.
:

Well I've heard of pro-coders, no-coders, slow-coders, and vocoders, but
wuthaphuck is an "only coder"?

73, Hans, K0HB
--
FISTS #7149
NCI #4304

2078. Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 00:29:57 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124192957.10581.00000235@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 56167

>You seem to like insulting people who have a demonstrated superior
>technical skills to your own.

Your engineer buddies havent demostrated anything except in your little mind.

>At least university degrees are earned
>by learning the material, not by memorizing the answers to the exam
>using the published answers and a yellow high-liter.

BS most use the same process that the New Hams use to get a license

>Just because
>somebody has passed the Extra exam doesn't mean a thing.

Anymore, yes your right.

>I've read
>about those 9 and 10 year olds passing the exam and I wouldn't trust
>one of them to wire up a simple light switch.

They would probably do a better Job Then your Eng Buddies.

2079. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 00:31:19 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124193119.10581.00000236@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 56168

>2 Meter already does sound like 11 meter.
>And I've noticed parts of 75 meter does also.
>
>--
>'73' From KB9TMP

Get use to it, its just the beginning of what Dumbing Down is bringing us.

2080. Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 00:35:53 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124193553.10581.00000237@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 56169

>Maybe that is why they dumbed down the technical test, so folks
>like wa8ulx could still obtain that Extra status.
>

BS, I had an Advanced before the upgrade, and could have cared less about upgrading, only did it because of a bet.
And you what was your excusse for not upgrading sooner?

2081. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 00:44:26 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124194426.10581.00000238@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 56170

>Yet you continue to look at the "dirty" pictures, Dave. I think you're some
>kind of nutcase whose only outlet for naughty is when you get to be
>condescending to me...
>
>Kim W5TIT

Ill bet there not pictures of you.

2082. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 01:19:14 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124201914.17907.00000709@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 56173

In article <0V6E9.7467$It3.750859@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <kï¿½hb@arrl.org> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote
>:
>: Here's the big question for those times: What was the FCC thinking? First
>: (1951) they set up a new, more extensive incentive licensing system. Then
>(end of 1952) they do a quick 180 and give away all op privs to all hams except
>: Novices and Techs.

And to this day, nobody seems to know why the sudden change was made.
>:
>: 10 years later (1963), they decided things have gone horribly wrong in the
>: ARS and they have to change it all over yet again, so they create the 1968
>: changes.
>: Which are then slowly watered down.
>:
>: What was so going so wrong in 1963 that FCC thought they had to make such
>: drastic changes? (You should see what FCC wanted to do in 1965 - even
>: more draconian than what was actually done).

>Perhaps we seemed to be having too much fun.

That might just be it. After all, it was only a few years earlier that FCC created 27 MHz cb, which is the true winner of the DAIOTC (Dumb Assed Idea of The Century) award. Beats incentive licensing by a country mile.

>The heyday of Amateur Radio is
>generally agreed to be the period from the introduction of the Novice
>license until the onset of (dis)incentive licensing.

1951 to 1968 - 17 years. But for the first two years of that time, US hams needed an Advanced or Extra for full 'phone privs.

The period when Generals and above had all op privs was only 15 years and 10 months - February 1953 to November 1968. Pretty short time, compared to the 90 year history of US hams being licensed.

Perhaps we should return to the principles of that time:

- Easy-to-get starter license with minimal privs
- Lots of other license classes but almost no real distinction between them
- Few vanity calls, and none in bad taste
- All hams code tested. All who have HF phone privs tested at at least 13 wpm
- "Secret" written tests
- Lots of homebrewing

- High standards of on-air behavior
- Little or no competition from other radio services

>I consider the 1-year
>Novice license to be the greatest stroke of brilliance by the FCC, and 1968
>incentive licensing to be it's greatest blunder, even though I had been an
>Amateur Extra for 4 years before.

Didja learn all the code and theory for the Extra on your own in your early teen years, Hans?

--

I think both were smart moves in principle, but both were flawed by poor implementation. The way IL was implemented was terrible, and guaranteed to cause bad feelings.

The Novice was a great idea because it let new hams get started with minimal testing, and at the same time its privileges focused new hams towards a few well-trodden paths. The original Novice did not include 40 or 15 meter privileges!
The 75 watt xtal control limitation meant a level playing field and emphasis on simple low power rigs. My first transmitter was a homebrew 10 watt 6V6GT on 3726 kc.

But the Novice could have been a lot better:
- the subbands were too narrow
- the subbands were not harmonically related
- having 2 meter 'phone (145-147) caused many to waste time on 'phone to the detriment of developing their code speed for upgrade to General.
- the "one-shot" nature of the license meant that if a newbie couldn't get their act together in a year, they were out of luck and had to either go off the air or upgrade. Didn't matter if a new ham got sick, drafted, or ran into other circumstances like financial trouble, forced move, etc, when the year was up it was all over. Many new hams simply dropped out after a year because they couldn't make the jump to General or even Technician before the Novice ran out.

Two years, retakeable was a better idea. Five/ten years renewable was a mistake.

--

The real problem with IL was that it took privs away from folks who felt they "owned" them. Would have been much smarter to offer different incentives that would have meant more, not just getting back what had been lost. Examples: More power, vanity calls, widened phone bands. Plenty of hams would have gotten Extras in order to run a couple of kW, have their choice of callsign, and/or operate 'phone below the old limit of 3800.

One thing I remember clear as glass from my early ham days was how much complaining, whining and moaning some hams of that "heyday" did over the idea that maybe they'd have to learn some radio theory or pass a somewhat faster code test. Guess some things never change.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2083. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 01:19:15 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124201915.17907.00000710@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 56171

In article <d22b54c3.0211241354.16102c19@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>The original 1912 U.S. ham license test *did* include a code test.

Yep - 5 wpm, sending and receiving. Then after WW1 it went to 10 wpm.

>And a written you'd never in this world be able to pass.

Essay questions where you had to explain every important detail and draw diagrams to the RI's satisfaction.

Like how to put up an end-fed antenna.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2084. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 01:19:15 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124201915.17907.00000711@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 56172

In article <vNbE9.8000$It3.804752@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<kï¿½hb@arrl.org> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote
>
>: >elitist special callsign
>: >formats to celebrate the fine granulations of your test
>taking/memorization
>: >skills had not been invented.
>:
>: BULL!
>:
>: Novices had the red letter of "N" or "V" to tell the whole world they were
>: beginners.
>:
>: Really OTs had 1x2s. OTs had 1x3s. Newbies had 2x3s. And lots of
>variations in
>: between.
>:
>: You could figure out most hams' "vintage" from where their calls fell in
>the
>: sequence. Everybody knew that W3YIK was a veteran and WA3IYC was newbie.
>And
>: you could not just BUY an oldtimey call.
>
>Except for novices (who "upped or outed" in one year) you could NOT tell
>their license class, the callbook didn't contain the information, and
>frankly nobody CARED about your "rank".

Maybe not where you were. But around here in the mid-60s, it was well
established.

> When I made Amateur Extra I had a
>2x3 callsign (KG6AQI) and many Generals were on the air at the same time
>with 1x2 callsigns.

I had a 2X3 for the first ten years I was a ham. Seven of those ten years I was
an Extra. I know plenty of Extras with 2x3s even today.

>W0TK just went SK holding a General class license. My
>friend W0VDI (original issue) went SK a couple of years ago with the same
>Technician class license he earned back in 1955. So much for being able to
>tell license class from a call sign.

Here's what I wrote:

"Really OTs had 1x2s. OTs had 1x3s. Newbies had 2x3s. And lots of variations in between."

See any mention of license class?

--

73 de Jim, N2EY

2085. Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 01:28:11 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uu2vjmo2u25635@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56175

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.org> wrote in message
news:C7eE9.7868$OZ4.851246@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote
>
> :
> : To the ONLY coders, real time knowledge of an encoding scheme is the
ONLY
> thing
> : thats important, not knowledge of the material.
> :
>
> Well I've heard of pro-coders, no-coders, slow-coders, and vocoders, but
> wuthaphuck is an "only coder"?
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB
> --
> FISTS #7149
> NCI #4304
>

Oh good grief, Hans. Now you've gone and done it. You're going to raise the ire of one Dave Heil for your vulgarity. Oh wait, you're a guy...so to him it's probably OK.

Never mind.

Kim W5TIT

2086. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 01:39:25 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arrv3h$61s$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56176

Must have hit home on those 'hospital remarks'. You sure have been defensive
old boy.

Dan/W4NTI

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021124185725.24784.00001169@mb-mp.aol.com...
> In article <arrcc7$tv1$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> writes:
>
> >Kim,
> >
> >I don't and never have felt some sort of 'superior' because I am good at
CW.
> >I consider myself lucky to have the skill and to this day enjoy it a lot.
> >
> >I understand that not all feel that way. My major problem is with those
> >that dont care for CW then spent hours badmouthing it right here or on
the
> >air. It is like a personal insult and I respond. That is where Im
coming
> >from.
>
> ...and the following is also where you came from:
>
> ==
>
> Subject: Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.
> From: "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
> Date: Sat, 23 Nov 2002 18:27:34 -0600
>
> Did your mother drop you at birth, or did your dad throw you onto the
> hospital floor first?
>
> ==
>
> >If you dont want to play CW...thats fine...dont. But why does that give
you
> >(not you in particular) the right to badmouth it?
>
> Quite true, BB, but what is it about CW that makes you think
> you can make nasties at others because you have that skill?
>
> For me, it's only been about half a century of experience with
> Macho Morsemen proclaiming their innate "superiority" in all

> of radio through morsemanship.
>
> Granted, some of those morsemen did indeed have enough
> experience and knowledge about other radio things, but those
> were in the minority among the Macho Morsemen. See all
> those who think that "real hams" are only those who love,
> cherish and adore morse code and demand the code test be
> maintained forever more.
>
> >The only purpose I can see is to start a fight. And it certainly works.
>
> If your feelings are so easily bruised by a few years of non-love
> of morse code commentary, then I'd suggest you go bye-bye
> from here. Having been IN radio communications on HF since
> 1953 not ever using or having to use any morse code, I've
> accumulated very nearly a half century of nothing but deprecating
> bullshit from the Macho Morsemen Melange and their beeping
> "superiority" through morseability.
>
> Do you wish some kind of welfare state to support your personal
> desires at the expense of others? Or are you going to come up
> with some more "hospital" remarks?
>
> >Dan/W4NTI
> >
> >"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
>
>

2087. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 01:41:06 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <ScfE9.7961$OZ4.862280@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 56177

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote

:
: Here's what I wrote:
:
: "Really OTs had 1x2s. OTs had 1x3s. Newbies had 2x3s. And lots of
variations in
: between."
:
: See any mention of license class?
:

You wrote in direct response to my statement that "elitist special callsign formats to celebrate the fine granulations of your test taking/memorization skills had not been invented", a statement which is clearly license-class-centric. Then in the style of Len Anderson, you try to sidetrack that into something about "vintage". Shame on you!

I'll stick to my statement -- "elitist special callsign formats to celebrate the fine granulations of your test taking/memorization skills had not been invented." I sorta like my 1x2 callsign, but for the life of me can't understand why a Tech or General (or old Novice for that matter) is less deserving of the same.

(Now we'll hear about "effort" and "dedication" and "paying your dues" a lot of high sounding babble like that!)

73, Hans, K0HB

2088. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 01:42:14 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arrv8q$j3b$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56178

Agreed.

Dan/W4NTI

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:uu2r1mffjkcac8@corp.supernews.com...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:arrcc7$tv1$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Kim,
> >
> > I don't and never have felt some sort of 'superior' because I am good at
> CW.
> > I consider myself lucky to have the skill and to this day enjoy it a
lot.
> >
> > I understand that not all feel that way. My major problem is with those
> > that dont care for CW then spent hours badmouthing it right here or on
the
> > air. It is like a personal insult and I respond. That is where Im
coming
> > from.
> >
> > If you dont want to play CW...thats fine...dont. But why does that give
> you
> > (not you in particular) the right to badmouth it?
> >
> > The only purpose I can see is to start a fight. And it certainly works.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> That's cool, and I agree with you. I don't bad mouth CW, that would be
> pretty stupid. Well, wait, I do not believe as wholeheartedly in CW as
the
> cure-all that someone like Larry, or Dick Carroll, think it is. I don't
> laud CW to the exclusion of other, just as easy and just as reliable
modes.
> But, I also don't think I'm "badmouthing" CW when I say that.
>
> At any rate, the bad karma for the whole scenario is that someone like
> you--who's willing, it seems, to be human about the CW issue runs into
> someone like me--who's willing to understand the tradition and love for
CW;
> and we've both been muddied by the ugly debate so the first thing we do is
> jump all over each other because of the nasty history this debate has

> become. I'm speaking parenthetically, of course, because I don't really
> think you and I have had nasty words over the CW issue. I'm just "talking
> out loud" about how the whole debate gets really blown out of proportion
> because of the extremes like, for example Larry Roll and Len Anderson.
>
> Those of us pretty much in the middle end up with attitudes also. It's a
> pity. Especially over something like CW.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

2089. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 01:45:50 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arrvf9$1r0$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56179

You make it sound like the ARRL did us a favor. The reality is they PUSHED incentive licensing. A direct result of the Good old BOys in Headquarters wanting more room to play.

Dan/W4NTI

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message news:20021124163706.17289.00000610@mb-mr.aol.com...
> In article <20021123002456.23768.00001901@mb-md.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam
> (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:
>
> >The only mistake made by the ARRL/FCC
> >was not to allow full grandfathering of extant General-class licensees
> >to the Extra class.
>
> FCC was concerned about the knowledge and skills of existing hams, not just
> newcomers. Grandfathering would have set up an uneasy situation where the new
> folks had to meet much tougher requirements than those with existing licenses.
> And the desired effects would take a long time to percolate through the ARS
> because only newcomers would have to meet the new requirements.
>
> FCC initially rejected all grandfathering suggestions. In fact, FCC wanted to
> demote Advanceds to General class because all existing Advanceds had taken
> their tests more than 10 years earlier. FCC wanted to replace the Advanced with
> a new "Amateur First Class" license that would require 16 wpm code (!), a new
> written, and 1 year experience as a General or Conditional. The initial FCC
> subband restrictions were even more draconian than what was eventually put into
> place. It was all the ARRL could do to shoot that down and get existing
> Advanceds grandfathered.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

2090. Re: Communication Corrections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 01:48:43 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211241748.344c194f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56180

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:<cuvyxnansvbet.h620zb7.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>...
> On 23 Nov 2002 12:57:11 -0800, N2EY wrote:
>
> >Standard procedure on EPA repeaters:
> >
> >"W!#$, this is N2EY"
> >(long pause for W!#$ to find the mike)
> >"W!#$, this is N2EY"
> >(long pause for W!#$ to find the mike)
> >"Nothing heard, N2EY clear".
>
> Northern California style:
>
> "W6XXX"
> (very short pause)
> "Hey Johnny, you there?"
> (another short pause)
> (Repeat as needed)
> "Negative contact. W6YYY clear"
>
> As far as "tradition" goes, why do most communications on the New
> York PD radio channels use the word "Kay" after each transmission
> (as in "26 David to Central, Kay" ? They were doing it 40 years ago
> when I lived there and I would suspect that they are still doing it.
>
> Is it related to Morse message practice (meaning "over") ?
>

. . . beats the stupid roger beeps . .

> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

w3rv

2091. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 01:51:46 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arrvqnlmm1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56181

Hans,

Are these guys for real? Of course it included a 'Morse' exam. Thats all
there was in 1912. And Spark to boot.

Dan/W4NTI

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.org> wrote in message
news:KbcE9.8030$lt3.804682@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote
>
> :
> : The original 1912 U.S. ham license test *did* include a code test. :
> :
>
> Yep. 5WPM, just like today. The more things change, the more they stay
the
> same!
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
> http://www.mnyarc.org
> http://www.k0bsa.org
>
>
>
>

2092. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 01:54:35 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211241754.522952a3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56182

brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0211240651.7c59591e@posting.google.com>...
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<arpgarjbr0@208.207.71.155>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > Bruce is the type of person inventive licensing was supposed to keep out.
> > >
> > > It was a monumental failure.
> >
> >
> >
> > O, I dunno..... it did a pretty good job of keeping you out.
>
> Not since 1986.

You're one glaring example of ham radio being systematically dumbed down.

w3rv

2093. Re: Happy Thanksgiving!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 02:14:42 UTC
From: SallyTh <STR1229@aol.com>
Message-ID: <mIfE9.1445$Go1.483@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 56183
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Up yours

2094. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 02:16:23 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <XJfE9.8001$OZ4.867630@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 56184

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote

:
: Didja learn all the code and theory for the Extra on your own in your
early
: teen years, Hans?
:

Pretty much. I was 20 years old when I got my first radio license (a
Conditional), but I was around radio throughout my childhood (my Mom was a
ham, licensed from 1932 until her passing), and a lot of my school science
projects, etc., revolved around receivers, Tesla coils, and similar
electronic mischief.

73, Hans, K0HB

2095. Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 02:43:16 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124214316.07628.00007263@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 56186

From: JJ radiobuff0@mailcity.net
>Maybe that is why they dumbed down the technical test, so folks
>like wa8ulx could still obtain that Extra status.

Thats what I've been saying. The dumbed down test makes the only coder feel superior.

2096. Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 02:45:14 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124214514.07628.00007264@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 56187

>"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote
>
>:
>: To the ONLY coders, real time knowledge of an encoding scheme is the ONLY
>thing
>: thats important, not knowledge of the material.
>:
>
>Well I've heard of pro-coders, no-coders, slow-coders, and vocoders, but
>wuthaphuck is an "only coder"?
>
>73, Hans, K0HB
>--
>FISTS #7149
>NCI #4304
You read the above and you are still asking this? An only coder passed the code test, but did not understand the writtten test material (easy to do if question pool format).

The only coder only wants to code and could care less about the material.

2097. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 02:47:43 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211241847.3b26049e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56188

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021124105906.05793.00000157@mb-fc.aol.com>...
> >I think he's lying about a) not studying, b) his score, c) the elapsed
> >time, and/or d) his wager with the CBPlussers.
>
> Dont care what you think, you just cant handle the fact that i did all the
> above and you cant pass the EXTRA.

Ooops, I see where you've amended your story to exclude any lucky
guessing, also.

> >It's also possible he
> >paid off the examiners.
>
> Are you suggesting the Examiers commited a crime, Im sure the Examiners would
> love to confront you on your claims that they commited a crime.

I'm suggesting that your story stinks. It just doesn't have the ring
of truth to it. You can amend it again whenever you want.

2098. Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 02:50:03 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124215003.07628.00007268@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 56189

>>I've read
>>about those 9 and 10 year olds passing the exam and I wouldn't trust
>>one of them to wire up a simple light switch.
>
>They would probably do a better Job Then your Eng Buddies.

Wow, code must make one smart.

2099. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 02:51:20 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211241851.493ead99@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56190

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3DE0F863.E2F7A40D@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DDD6523.98C614F0@earthlink.net>...

> > > Is typing or spelling necessary for passing any of the exams? I thought
> > > the writtens were all multiple choice.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > It's merely an indication of whether he could actually read and
> > understand the exam questions and answers.
>
> I know a number of people who can read and understand but who can't
> spell or write properly.

Really?

> > Unless you think he simply went in and randomly circles a, b, c, or d
> > and scored 100%.
>
> Nope, I don't believe that. He might have gotten lucky on a few though.

Says he didn't.

> > I think he's lying about a) not studying, b) his score, c) the elapsed
> > time, and/or d) his wager with the CBPlussers. It's also possible he
> > paid off the examiners. In any case, he's listed as an Extra in the
> > FCC database.
>
> You could be correct on one or more of these. Then again, you could be
> totally wrong.

Add in: e) no lucky guesses.

Did his truth quotient just go up or down?

> > I'm sure you've warmly welcomed him to your elitist club.
>
> If you've bothered to read my posts about Bruce, you know that isn't the
> case.
> You're pretty quick to accuse but it is evident that you haven't paid
> much attention.
>
> Dave K8MN

Well at least you're not "kissing cousins."

2100. Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 02:56:57 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124215657.19688.00000285@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 56191

>Thats what I've been saying. The dumbed down test makes the only coder feel
>superior.

And you cant even pass the No-Code Tech.

2101. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 02:58:25 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211241858.503a4c91@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56192

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<uu1t8dj3raeo37@corp.supernews.com>...

> I think it's pretty funny that there's a reference to a "delightful message
> to Bruce" when he reposts the excellent message to him. LOL
>
> Kim W5TIT

Kim, I try real hard to not tell these guys to go to hell, but every
once in a while something slips out. I once told Dick to go soak his
hemmoroids in kerosene. He didn't take me up on it. Anymore I just
try to walk away without smelling like I've been wrestling a pig.

2102. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 03:00:05 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124220005.19688.00000286@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 56193

>Ooops, I see where you've amended your story to exclude any lucky
>guessing, also.
>

Your right there was no lucky guessing, as a matter of fact there was no
guessing.

>I'm suggesting that your story stinks. It just doesn't have the ring
>of truth to it.

To bad you cant except the truth, your just upset you cant pass the Extra.

2103. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 03:00:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE1923C.4A57B2B3@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56195

```
W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3DE0F9F8.48D1279@earthlink.net...
> > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3DE0D43F.A85CC14D@earthlink.net...
> > > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3DE022A9.D55462C0@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > > > > news:20021123090218.08937.00000208@mb-fo.aol.com...
> > > > > > > > >Bruce acts like a jerk and
> > > > > > > > >you respond by trying to show that you have less class than
> he.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Dave you got to be kidding, a JERK you say
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Get the idea, dork? You've offended everyone, on both sides of
> the
> > > > > > > fence--you're a creep.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Bruce is crude. You're tacky. Neither of you knows how to behave
> in
> > > > > > public.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > You call this public, Dave? I should have known. I am certainly
> not
> > > the
> > > > nose-in-the-air kind of person you are, and I thank my creator for
> that.
> > > >
> > > Kim W5TIT
> > >
> > Maybe he and Igor can get together and work out some modifications.
```

> > > >
> > > > Yeah, I call this "public". This is a big electronic bulletin board
> > > > which can be viewed worldwide. Maybe you believed we were all
> gathered
> > > > at your house.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > Dave, let's get something straight. I see you as a huge fake.
> >
> > I don't see you as getting anything straight. It isn't "fake" when I
> > tell you that I believe you are crude and that you haven't any class. I
> > really believe that.
> >
> > > *You* may
> > > like to pretend to people about who you are.
> >
> > What am I pretending to be that I'm not, Kim?
> >
> > > I don't.
> >
> >
> > You and Alec Baldwin pretended to go away.
> >
> > > I will respond in
> > > kind to things as I see fit. I will respond to things as I see fit,
> > > regardless of what *you* or anyone else thinks of it.
> >
> > You've demonstrated that repeatedly. That doesn't mean that you aren't
> > crude.
> >
> > > If I am too real a
> > > person for you, then stop reading my posts. Don't do that to yourself,
> you
> > > poor, poor thing.
> >
> > I don't see you as too real. I see you as too crude and too tacky,
> > Tugboat.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Yet you continue to look at the "dirty" pictures, Dave. I think you're some
> kind of nutcase whose only outlet for naughty is when you get to be
> condescending to me...

What dirty pictures, Kim? Is anyone who thinks you tacky or vulgar for
the material you post, a nut case? You don't seem to have any sense of
what is or isn't appropriate.

Dave K8MN

2104. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 03:01:43 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211241901.16d10184@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56194

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<uu1t4f34hudrfc@corp.supernews.com>...
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:f45722ac.0211240707.7567f35e@posting.google.com...

> > Without Kim having ever said this, I've as much told Dave he was a
> > jerk. Without the F word, of course.
>
> **BIG GRIN** You all do realize that when one uses the phrase "the F word"
> or something similar, it is as much as saying the whole word out loud right?
> Sometimes the emphasis by using that word is just pure justice. That's
> especially so where Dave H. is concerned.
>
> Kim W5TIT

That's why I try not to use it in any case.

2105. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 03:23:14 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124222314.07628.00007282@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 56196

From: "Jackie L." buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com
>I'd like to know what the Tech test was like before it was "dumbed-down". I
>don't know "dumbed-down" from the purportedly "smart" test that was given
>before the one I took. What is the story here?
This is not a productive question to ask. You'll get alot of arguing, rather
than answers.

>I want to learn this stuff, especially the electronics part of it, and I'd
>sure like to talk with other hams on the air about it. Unfortunately, my
>first on the air contacts have not met with much fruition, as few people
>want to talk with "newbies". I know there are some people out there who
>would like to mentor newbies and get others into the technical aspects of
>the hobby (even if they *did* cruise in on a dumbed-down license), so I will
>bide my time until I find someone to talk with who won't judge others by the
>test they took to enter the hobby.

The code Nazis believes code is the most important thing. They will try to put
sown those that don't try to learn the code. With the emphasis on code only,
the technical people are discouraged, and the dumbing down of amateur radio
occurs. It is clear wa8ulx and his type want code to be the only aspect.

You can get a code free technician license. However, if you want to get
involved in the technical part of Amateur Radio, I don't feel you will find it
through Ham Radio. Its just not part of the culture.

I have been to several clubs, Hamfests, conventions. I have tried to raise this
point. But, Hams do not seem to want that. Most seem to be there for appliance
operation, contesting, ragchewing, dx, etc. The only thing that comes close is
that Hams seem to get very involved with antennas and become quite good.
However, this is just so they can better outfit their radios.

Also, I found many Hams want to use strange codewords, many that begin with Q.
I guess part of the draw of Ham Radio is that they can be part of these
traditions. Most Hams are male, few are technical. Calling CQ and getting on
the air is what interests them.

You may understand technically what a Ham is saying, but you may not be able to
relate to it unless you are a true Ham. Ham seem to have some kind of
commonality. Common traditions, goals, politics, interests. You find that
either you are a "Ham" or you are not (whether or not you learn code).

The other potential activities such as homebrewing, kit building, radio
direction finding, troubleshooting, test equipment operation, etc are not
emphasized in the Ham Radio clubs.

I found it frustrating trying to find Hams that are interested in the technical
aspects. Hope you have better luck.

2106. Re: Happy Thanksgiving!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 03:37:00 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124223700.06308.00000665@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 56197

In article <3DE1427C.C0A62A5E@ix.netcom.com>, David Stinson
<arc5@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>God knows there's enough turkeys here.....

I think we're all Bozos on this bus...

73 de Jim, N2EY

2107. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 03:38:03 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net>
Message-ID: <vWgE9.2797$4j5.1633315@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 56185

"Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote in message
news:H_dE9.102007$%m4.47328@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3DE14462.6B578A20@mailcity.net...
>
> > Maybe that is why they dumbed down the technical test, so folks
> > like wa8ulx could still obtain that Extra status.
>
> Okay, sorry to hijack this thread, but I'll rename it so that those who
> don't care can skip it over.
>
> JJ- I'm not disputing the object of your slam here, but this whole thing
> about dumbing down tests is really starting to get annoying.
>
> I'd like to know what the Tech test was like before it was "dumbed-down".
I
> don't know "dumbed-down" from the purportedly "smart" test that was given
> before the one I took. What is the story here? Does anyone know where I
can
> find the test question pool that was extant before I took the test this
year
> (assuming one can be accessed online)? I'd love to know what kinds of
stuff
> prospective Techs were tested on before the "dumbing-down" of the most
> recent test.
>
> I didn't think the Tech test was at all hard. I studied the enter ARRL
> entry-level study book for one week, back to front, before I took the
exam.
> Sure, I thought the test was easy. But before this, I didn't know ONE
THING
> about radio, propagation, electronics or anything having to do with the
> amateur radio service before I studied. I checked through a copy of the
> General manual this weekend and I don't think I'll have any problems
> learning this material, either.
>
> My point is that, even if the entry level test WAS dumbed-down, I actually
> *learned* something from studying the material for it, dammit! I am not a
> stupid person; I consider each and every new learning experience I'm
exposed
> to to be valuable.
>
> I want to learn this stuff, especially the electronics part of it, and I'd

> sure like to talk with other hams on the air about it. Unfortunately, my
> first on the air contacts have not met with much fruition, as few people
> want to talk with "newbies". I know there are some people out there who
> would like to mentor newbies and get others into the technical aspects of
> the hobby (even if they *did* cruise in on a dumbed-down license), so I
will
> bide my time until I find someone to talk with who won't judge others by
the
> test they took to enter the hobby.
>
> Christ almighty, this "dumbed down tests" talk is making me ill, no matter
> which side of the fence it's coming from.
>
> Jackie
> KC0ODY

Actually I've looked over the current test pool question and do not find them to be dumbed down from when I took my tests in 1992.

The main difference is that now there is a single written for Tech whereas before one had to take the Tech and Novice written. The current Tech material includes both levels of information. It's just that now you don't have to answer quite so many questions but you still need to know all the material. Before the restructuring there was quite a bit of redundancy between the Novice and Tech writtens anyway.

The General stayed pretty much the same.

The Extra now encompasses the material that was formerly split between the Advanced and Extra pools. One has to know all the material even though the number of questions on the current Extra is less than the sum of the old Advanced and Extra test (the number of questions is however greater than the old Extra test alone).

Under the old 5 level system, the absolute hardest test was for the Advanced license. Between the time of the restructuring to 3 classes and the introduction of the new Extra question pool, some people did get a little easier written test path to Extra since they only had to take the previous Extra written and did not have to take the old Advanced written. However the new question pool for Extra was introduced this summer and that has been corrected.

Now there has been some shift in focus of the written tests due to changing technology, regulations, restructuring, etc but they are no easier than before. Granted a person does not have to take as many tests to go Tech, General, Extra, they still have to learn just as much material to be sure to cover the pool of questions from which the exams are created.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

2108. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 03:40:07 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DE19B97.A6F9C969@mailcity.net>
Source record: 56310

"Jackie L." wrote:
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3DE14462.6B578A20@mailcity.net...
>
> > Maybe that is why they dumbed down the technical test, so folks
> > like wa8ulx could still obtain that Extra status.
>
> Okay, sorry to hijack this thread, but I'll rename it so that those who
> don't care can skip it over.
>
> JJ- I'm not disputing the object of your slam here, but this whole thing
> about dumbing down tests is really starting to get annoying.
>
> I'd like to know what the Tech test was like before it was "dumbed-down". I
> don't know "dumbed-down" from the purportedly "smart" test that was given
> before the one I took. What is the story here? Does anyone know where I can
> find the test question pool that was extant before I took the test this year
> (assuming one can be accessed online)? I'd love to know what kinds of stuff
> prospective Techs were tested on before the "dumbing-down" of the most
> recent test.
>
> I didn't think the Tech test was at all hard. I studied the enter ARRL
> entry-level study book for one week, back to front, before I took the exam.
> Sure, I thought the test was easy. But before this, I didn't know ONE THING
> about radio, propagation, electronics or anything having to do with the
> amateur radio service before I studied. I checked through a copy of the
> General manual this weekend and I don't think I'll have any problems
> learning this material, either.
>
> My point is that, even if the entry level test WAS dumbed-down, I actually
> *learned* something from studying the material for it, dammit! I am not a
> stupid person; I consider each and every new learning experience I'm exposed
> to to be valuable.
>
> I want to learn this stuff, especially the electronics part of it, and I'd
> sure like to talk with other hams on the air about it. Unfortunately, my
> first on the air contacts have not met with much fruition, as few people
> want to talk with "newbies". I know there are some people out there who
> would like to mentor newbies and get others into the technical aspects of
> the hobby (even if they *did* cruise in on a dumbed-down license), so I will
> bide my time until I find someone to talk with who won't judge others by the
> test they took to enter the hobby.
>

> Christ almighty, this "dumbed down tests" talk is making me ill, no matter
> which side of the fence it's coming from.
>
> Jackie
> KC0ODY

Jackie, no offense intended, I should have put dumbed down in
quotes to indicate I was using the words of some others here. The
test are in many was easier now than they used to be, but there
are those who were licensed in the past that seem to think they
were tested when passing the test really meant something. They
should go back a few more years when the questions were essay type
and one had to draw schematics of circuits and explain in detail
how they worked. Most of those who seem to think they took the
'real exams' actually themselves took 'dumbed down' test and
couldn't pass the earlier ones if their lives depended upon it.

2109. Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 03:48:09 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DE19D79.77AB336@mailcity.net>
Source record: 56311

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >Maybe that is why they dumbed down the technical test, so folks
> >like wa8ulx could still obtain that Extra status.
> >
>
> BS, I had an Advanced before the upgrade, and could have cared less about
> upgrading, only did it because of a bet.

Yea right.

> And you what was your excusse for not upgrading sooner?

What do you mean 'upgrading sooner"? I have held and Advanced
ticket for some 35 years. Are you asking why I have not upgraded
to Extra? The Extra buys me nothing in respect to my operation on
the ham bands, and I don't need it for feel good bragging rights
as you and others do.

2110. Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 03:50:00 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DE19DE8.E46B29DE@mailcity.net>
Source record: 56312

Krell wrote:
>
> "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021124193553.10581.00000237@mb-cg.aol.com...
> > >Maybe that is why they dumbed down the technical test, so folks
> > >like wa8ulx could still obtain that Extra status.
> > >
> >
> > BS, I had an Advanced before the upgrade, and could have cared less about
> > upgrading, only did it because of a bet.
> > And you what was your excusse for not upgrading sooner?
>
> BS(.) I had an Advanced before the upgrade, and could (not) have cared less
> about
> upgrading(.) (I) only did it because of a bet.
> And you (-) what was your (excuse) for not upgrading sooner?
>
> You tell 'em, Babbling Brucey!

It seems that the more frustrated brucie gets the worse his
spelling and grammar become.

2111. Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 03:53:14 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211241953.345b6ed0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56198

vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) wrote in message
news:<20021124165805.03027.00000069@mb-cq.aol.com>...
> > Didn't take long, did it...Unable to effectively state his position, he
> >resorts to the Nazi analogy. Move over Lennie!
>
> I stated my position. His indifference to the graduate Engineer that had a
> no-code license shows that their technical knowledge is meaningless.
>
> Since the graduate Engineer did not learn an audio motary skill called Morse
> code, the graduate Engineer is equivalent to a CBplusser.
>
> >>To the ONLY coders, real time knowledge of an encoding scheme is the ONLY
> >>thing
> >>thats important, not knowledge of the material.
>
> He even says that some Extras cannot put up a dipole (this is HIS claim). So,
> the graduate Engineer that can design circuits is no good for Amateur Radio,
> since they did not learn Morse Code.
>
> So, one can conclude that the only thing important to the only coders is the
> knowledge of the code.
>
> Looks like I stated my position.

You sure did and you made your point in spades. But not the point you
think you made.

You're beyond clueless and not worth the investment in bandwith
required to comment further on your inane drivel.

Whatta idiot, you're even worse than Burke.

w3rv

30 wpm Graduate Engineer & 40m rotatable yagi designer/builder

2112. Re: Communication Corrections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 04:03:13 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h63wue1.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 56199

On 24 Nov 2002 17:48:43 -0800, Brian Kelly wrote:

>> As far as "tradition" goes, why do most communications on the New
>> York PD radio channels use the word "Kay" after each transmission
>> (as in "26 David to Central, Kay" ? They were doing it 40 years ago
>> when I lived there and I would suspect that they are still doing it.
>>
>> Is it related to Morse message practice (meaning "over") ?
>>
>
> . . . beats the stupid roger beeps . .

The land-mobile community has its own version of "roger beeps" in
the digital transmitter ID (which we call "turkey calls" or
something more obscene) when the mobile/portable unkeys.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2113. Re: Happy Thanksgiving!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 04:07:22 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DE1A1F0.7000103@adelphia.net>
Source record: 56200

N2EY wrote:

> In article <3DE1427C.C0A62A5E@ix.netcom.com>, David Stinson
> <arc5@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>
>
>>God knows there's enough turkeys here.....
>>
>
> I think we're all Bozos on this bus...

awww Mom, he didn't cry or nuthin!

- Mike KB3EIA -

2114. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 04:14:00 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ars7vh0141s@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56301

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021124194426.10581.00000238@mb-cg.aol.com...
> >Yet you continue to look at the "dirty" pictures, Dave. I think you're
some
> >kind of nutcase whose only outlet for naughty is when you get to be
> >condescending to me...
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
>
> Ill bet there not pictures of you.

I(')ll bet (they're) not pictures of you.

It's fortunate for Babbling Brucey that a license isn't required for
internet access.

2115. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 04:18:27 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ars87s014fi@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56302

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021124193119.10581.00000236@mb-cg.aol.com...
> >2 Meter already does sound like 11 meter.
> >And I've noticed parts of 75 meter does also.
> >
> >--
> >'73' From KB9TMP
>
> Get use to it, its just the beginning of what Dumbing Down is bringing us.

Get use(d) to it(.) (I)t(')s just the beginning of what (d)umbing (d)own is
bringing us.

...and Babbling Brucey, once again, exemplifies what "dumbing down" brings
to amateur radio.

2116. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 04:23:49 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ars8hu0154v@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56304

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0211241858.503a4c91@posting.google.com...
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<uu1t8dj3raeo37@corp.supernews.com>...
>
> > I think it's pretty funny that there's a reference to a "delightful
message
> > to Bruce" when he reposts the excellent message to him. LOL
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
>
> Kim, I try real hard to not tell these guys to go to hell, but every
> once in a while something slips out. I once told Dick to go soak his
> hemmoroids in kerosene. He didn't take me up on it. Anymore I just
> try to walk away without smelling like I've been wrestling a pig.

The best insult I've heard lately is from a play I saw in NYC last spring.
Estelle Getty, playing a little old Jewish lady, writes a letter in which
she tells Jesse Jackson and Louis Farakahn to "go f*** yourselves with a
kosher salami."

2117. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 04:34:14 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ars95g016gl@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56305

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021124220005.19688.00000286@mb-ba.aol.com...
> >Ooops, I see where you've amended your story to exclude any lucky
> >guessing, also.
> >
>
> Your right there was no lucky guessing, as a matter of fact there was no
> guessing.

You(')r(e) right(,) there was no lucky guessing(.) (A)s a matter of fact(,)
there was no
guessing.

> >I'm suggesting that your story stinks. It just doesn't have the ring
> >of truth to it.
>
> To bad you cant except the truth, your just upset you cant pass the
Extra.

To(o) bad you can(')t (ac)cept the truth(.) (Y)ou(')r(e) just upset you
can(')t pass the Extra.

I promise that I'll quit doing this if I see one post from Babbling Brucey
that is at least one *full* line, and no grammatical or syntax errors.
Typos are forgivable to an extent.

2118. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 04:40:33 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124234033.29016.00000215@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 56303

>It's fortunate for Babbling Brucey that a license isn't required for
>internet access.

So true, if there was one required you would be SOL

2119. Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 04:41:38 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ars9jd017po@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56307

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021124193553.10581.00000237@mb-cg.aol.com...
> >Maybe that is why they dumbed down the technical test, so folks
> >like wa8ulx could still obtain that Extra status.
> >
>
> BS, I had an Advanced before the upgrade, and could have cared less about
> upgrading, only did it because of a bet.
> And you what was your excusse for not upgrading sooner?

BS(.) I had an Advanced before the upgrade, and could (not) have cared less
about
upgrading(.) (I) only did it because of a bet.
And you (-) what was your (excuse) for not upgrading sooner?

You tell 'em, Babbling Brucey!

2120. Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 04:42:56 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ars9lr0180b@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56308

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021124215657.19688.00000285@mb-ba.aol.com...
> >Thats what I've been saying. The dumbed down test makes the only coder
feel
> >superior.
>
> And you cant even pass the No-Code Tech.

And you can(')t even pass the (n)o-(c)ode Tech.

So close, and yet, so far.

2121. Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 04:48:03 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <ars9vf018nj@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56309

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021124192957.10581.00000235@mb-cg.aol.com...
> >You seem to like insulting people who have a demonstrated superior
> >technical skills to your own.
>
> Your engineer buddies havent demostrated anything except in your little
mind.

Your engineer buddies haven(')t demo(n)strated anything except in your
little mind.

> >At least university degrees are earned
> >by learning the material, not by memorizing the answers to the exam
> >using the published answers and a yellow high-liter.
>
> BS most use the same process that the New Hams use to get a license

BS(.) (M)ost use the same process that the (n)ew (h)ams use to get a
license(.)

>
> >Just because
> >somebody has passed the Extra exam doesn't mean a thing.
>
> Anymore, yes your right.
>
> >I've read
> >about those 9 and 10 year olds passing the exam and I wouldn't trust
> >one of them to wire up a simple light switch.
>
> They would probably do a better Job Then your Eng Buddies.

They would probably do a better Job (than) your (Eng? Egg? Or maybe another
homosexual reference, such as "end?") (b)uddies.

2122. Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 04:56:11 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021124235611.07628.00007301@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 56306

>vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) wrote in message
>news:<20021124165805.03027.00000069@mb-cq.aol.com>...
>> > Didn't take long, did it...Unable to effectively state his position,
>he
>> >resorts to the Nazi analogy. Move over Lennie!
>>
>> I stated my position. His indifference to the graduate Engineer that had a
>> no-code license shows that their technical knowledge is meaningless.
>>
>> Since the graduate Engineer did not learn an audio motary skill called
>Morse
>> code, the graduate Engineer is equivalent to a CBplusser.
>>
>> >>To the ONLY coders, real time knowledge of an encoding scheme is the ONLY
>> >>thing
>> >>thats important, not knowledge of the material.
>>
>> He even says that some Extras cannot put up a dipole (this is HIS claim).
>So,
>> the graduate Engineer that can design circuits is no good for Amateur
>Radio,
>> since they did not learn Morse Code.
>>
>> So, one can conclude that the only thing important to the only coders is
>the
>> knowledge of the code.
>>
>> Looks like I stated my position.

>You're beyond clueless and not worth the investment in bandwith
>required to comment further on your inane drivel.
Calling me clueless automatically refutes my point? I was repeating what
wa8ulx stated and arriving at a conclusion, so how does that make me clueless?
From his statements, why would you arrive at a different conclusion?

2123. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 05:38:37 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <arscuk0soc@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56314

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021124234033.29016.00000215@mb-fe.aol.com...
> >It's fortunate for Babbling Brucey that a license isn't required for
> >internet access.
>
> So true, if there was one required you would be SOL

Sorry, OM, but I'd score a passing grade because...

...I know the difference between "there", "their", and "they're"...

...I know the difference between "to", "two", and "too"...

...I know the difference between "forth" and "fourth"...

I seriously doubt that the same thing could be said about you.

2124. Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 05:57:24 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021125005724.02824.00000085@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 56313

>Subject: Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 11/24/02 8:43 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021124214316.07628.00007263@mb-mn.aol.com>

>From: JJ radiobuff0@mailcity.net
>>Maybe that is why they dumbed down the technical test, so folks
>>like wa8ulx could still obtain that Extra status.
>
>Thats what I've been saying. The dumbed down test makes the only coder feel
>superior.

Then, "vshah", you've got it backwards. Mr ULX is the CBplusser for
waiting for the test to come to him, rahter than aspire to the test.

Steve, K4YZ

2125. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 06:14:05 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021125011405.02824.00000086@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 56315

>Subject: Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 11/24/02 9:23 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021124222314.07628.00007282@mb-mn.aol.com>

>The code Nazis...(SNIP)

There you go again. Nothing productive or constructive to say, so blame it on "Nazis".

>You can get a code free technician license. However, if you want to get
>involved in the technical part of Amateur Radio, I don't feel you will find
>it
>through Ham Radio. Its just not part of the culture.

I have a 20WPM Extra, and >>I<< am involved in the "technical part" of Amateur Radio. I was involed in the "technical part" of Amateur Radio as a 5WPM Novice.

And as for your "not part of the culture" comment, I refer you to almost ANY issue of QST (which YOU would force out of printing), and EVERY copy of "QEX" for more "technical parts".

As anyone with a six-grade education can see, it IS in "the culture".

YOU are just not a part of it, "vshah", otherwise you wouldn't be making such glaring mistakes.

>I have been to several clubs, Hamfests, conventions. I have tried to raise
>this
>point. But, Hams do not seem to want that. Most seem to be there for
>appliance
>operation, contesting, ragchewing, dx, etc. The only thing that comes close
>is
>that Hams seem to get very involved with antennas and become quite good.
>However, this is just so they can better outfit their radios.

As has been suggested to you on more than one occassion, if the club you are going to does not have the "projects" that YOU like, start a club of your own...Advertise in QST, CQ and Popular Communications and I bet you come up with some persons who are of like mind.

>Also, I found many Hams want to use strange codewords, many that begin with
>Q.
>I guess part of the draw of Ham Radio is that they can be part of these
>traditions.

SO WHAT...?!?! EVERY sport, past time, hobby, etc has it's own "lingo"...

I have directly asked you before and you resist answering...WHY is Amateur Radio any different than NASCAR, hang gliding, snowboarding, football, etc...?!?!

>Most Hams are male...(SNIP)

Are you looking for love in all the wrong places? You keep addressing the "mostly male" aspect of Amateur Radio. Again, SO WHAT? Most floral arrangers and nurses are FEmale...So what do you want them to do? Club the opposite gender over the head and drag them in?

>...(UNSNIP)...few are technical.

Please cite the scientific poll you gleaned this from.

>The other potential activities such as homebrewing, kit building, radio
>direction finding, troubleshooting, test equipment operation, etc are not
>emphasized in the Ham Radio clubs.

BULL ! ! ! ! ! !

>I found it frustrating trying to find Hams that are interested in the
>technical
>aspects.

Perhaps if you were one yourself you'd have better luck, "V"

Steve, K4YZ

2126. Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 06:22:48 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021125012248.02824.00000087@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 56316

>Subject: Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 11/24/02 10:56 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021124235611.07628.00007301@mb-mn.aol.com>

>Calling me clueless automatically refutes my point?

You are clueless because several of us have been trying to tell you, as tactfully as possible and with a minimal of profanity, that you keep making silly, easly disproved statements and allegations.

Your sentence structure is poor, you try to use Orwellian newspeak to bulldoze your way through arguments that you can't substantiate, and your other "evidence" is unvalidated.

>From his statements, why would you arrive at a different conclusion?

WA8ULX is known to us all as irresponsible, thoughtless and crude. He is Lennie to an exponential degree. But it is YOUR statements that are being addressed...NOT HIS!

We know Brucie's problem, and we are getting to know yours.

Steve, K4YZ

2127. Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 06:32:47 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021125013247.02762.00000095@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 56317

From a Vshah post in another thread...

>Also, I found many Hams want to use strange codewords, many that begin with
>Q.
>I guess part of the draw of Ham Radio is that they can be part of these
>traditions.

SO WHAT...?!?! EVERY sport, past time, hobby, etc has it's own "lingo"
and traditions.

I have directly asked you before and you resist answering...WHY is Amateur
Radio any different than NASCAR, hang gliding, snowboarding, football,
etc...?!?!

Would you tell a NASCAR fan that "So what...Dale's dead now forget
it"..?!? Try it and see what happens!

Know what a "wuffo", "sled ride" or a "rotor" is? A hang glider pilot
does, and they are terms that uniquely suited to that sport.

How would you score a football game without "quarters" or "downs"?

Are we getting the picture, "V"?

>Most Hams are male...(SNIP)

Are you looking for love in all the wrong places?

You keep addressing the "mostly male" aspect of Amateur Radio. Again, SO
WHAT? Most floral arrangers and nurses are FEmale...So what do you want them
to do? Club the opposite gender over the head and drag them in? If someone is
NOT interested in something, they are NOT interested!

And lastly, WHAT is your callsign?

73

Steve, K4YZ

2128. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 06:48:09 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021125014809.02762.00000096@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 56318

>Subject: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)
>From: "Jackie L." buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com
>Date: 11/24/02 6:17 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <H_dE9.102007$%m4.47328@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>

>I'd like to know what the Tech test was like before it was "dumbed-down". I
>don't know "dumbed-down" from the purportedly "smart" test that was given
>before the one I took.

Hi Jackie...welcome to the zoo!

The tests themselves have not been "dumbed down", however the way we
prepare for and administer them has.

In my not so humble opinion, opening the question pools to verbatim quotes
was a foolish thing to do.

Some "old timers" quote old texts which allegedly gave "near verbatim"
references, however they are not very accurate. I came up through the
"Incentive Licensing" program, and never once did any of the texts I used
provide such.

Also, "dumbing down" is used by some to refer to the decreased code
requirement since 15 April 2000. I prefered the 13 and 20 WPM tests myself,
however I also started at only 5WPM (as a Novice, WN8OAH) and managed to do OK!

Those that persevere in code will do so from 5WPM as well as from
13...Perhaps better.

If anything has "dumbed down" Amateur Radio, it was the opening of the
question pools that allows rote memorization of the questions.

>My point is that, even if the entry level test WAS dumbed-down, I actually
>*learned* something from studying the material for it, dammit! I am not a
>stupid person; I consider each and every new learning experience I'm exposed
>to to be valuable.

As it should be. However practical experience has shown that there is a
larger preponderance of "new hams" who just gleaned enough from the "Q & A"
manual to squeeze through. The are now solidly entrenched on one or two
repeater pairs, occassionally a simplex channel or two.

This is not to say a lot of folks haven't done (and been rewarded for the
experience) the same as you, and Amateur Radio as a whole is a richer place for
them.

>I want to learn this stuff, especially the electronics part of it, and I'd
>sure like to talk with other hams on the air about it. Unfortunately, my

>first on the air contacts have not met with much fruition, as few people
>want to talk with "newbies". I know there are some people out there who
>would like to mentor newbies and get others into the technical aspects of
>the hobby (even if they *did* cruise in on a dumbed-down license), so I will
>bide my time until I find someone to talk with who won't judge others by the
>test they took to enter the hobby.

If you get within range of Chattanooga, TN, give me a call! I'll be glad
to share the airewaves for a while!

>Christ almighty, this "dumbed down tests" talk is making me ill, no matter
>which side of the fence it's coming from.

Like I said...welcome to the zoo!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2129. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 06:48:45 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <art6hf11sok@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56332
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:arp0tc$2l1$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
>
> "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
> news:arnpdg02nl0@enews2.newsguy.com...
> > Yep... overall the hobby is declining, but it is not from the testing
> > procedures.
>
> So how come the number of US hams is at an all time high?
> Are people just getting licenses, upgrading, etc and then not
> operating at all?

Trickery in the numbers.... I can make those numbers "say" one thing, as you can have them "say" another.... Accountants do that on a daily basis.... Overall, there are not enough new hams coming into the ranks that will be needed to replace/fill-in the spots that will invariable happen due to death of the larger percentage of current hams, that being the 45-75 age group. Within 10-20 years, we will lose a good chunk of that age group either to accidental or typical health related issues.

Whether or not someone wants to argue the incentive licensing issue, or not, the whole thing won't do a darned bit of good, unless there is the public's knowledge about ham radio.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

2130. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 07:46:18 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <art6hh31sok@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56338

Larry,

I agree with this post for the most part.... Our national organizations (ARRL and others) are responsible, as well as the more "local" organizations too. There are some clubs that are doing an awesome job at this, but there are many others that are not pulling their own weight in this regard. The way I see things going recently is that each one of us can try to "sell" ham radio to our friends and family, but after we have gotten all of the people that are our friends/family licensed, we all seem to stop. (Gee, almost sounds a little like Amway.... sell to family and friends first?) Maybe Amway does have a good idere there, as in the recruitment? :)

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message news:20021123113830.28561.00001951@mb-mv.aol.com...
> In article <arnpdg02nl0@enews2.newsguy.com>, "KC8PMX"
> <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> writes:
>
> >Yep... overall the hobby is declining, but it is not from the testing
> >procedures. Anything viewed worthwile by someone is worth pursuing as well
> >as whatever obstacles along the way. The root of the problem is in the PR
> >side. I still run into tons of people that didn't even know ham radio
> >existed. A much smaller group associates it with CB from my own local area
> >experiences. We need to promote the hobby more. We need to get ham radio
> >into the schools, associate it with the cirriculums. We need to start doing
> >things that WILL get people coming to us, to become hams. Testing sessions
> >with a mere few testee's definitely reflects that there is a problem with
> >promotion of the hobby. But that would take actual action by people and god
> >forbid that eh????
>
> Don't get me started on the publicity issue -- again! I've dropped that
> wrecking ball on the head of any ARRL official within earshot for the

> last several years -- usually with the same reply: If you want the
> ARS publicized, YOU'VE gotta do it! Right. I'm going to place ads on
> prime-time TV. I'm going to make Public Service Announcements on
> broadcast radio and cable TV channels. I'm going to put half-page
> ads in the major newspapers. Right.
>
> What we need is mass media advertising. I've already suggested that
> one way to get it is to enlist the services of ham celebrities, who have
> contacts in the media industry and the talent to produce advertising
> messages that will appeal to a young, technically-involved group of
> potential new hams. With the ARS in what can only be called a state
> of decline, I believe that the ARRL needs to make public awareness
> of amateur radio it's Prime Directive. They need to reduce QST
> magazine to a newsprint rag with black-and white photos, the need to
> eliminate virtually all paid HQ positions (particularly the lady who came
> up with the "Diamond Club" turkey), and take as much of their
> overhead as possible out of the budget -- and throw that money into
> a national, mass-media publicity program. Each and every ham
> who is an ARRL member should then be asked to contribute the
> exact sum of $100 to further finance this effort. I myself would send
> the first check, as long as all of the previous steps had been already
> taken.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

2131. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 08:00:21 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <art6hj41sok@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56331

Dan.... don't sweat it..... that's just Lennie The Licenseless. :)

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:arp6es$7ui$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net...
> Did your mother drop you at birth, or did your dad throw you onto the
> hospital floor first?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021123152814.25964.00000748@mb-fg.aol.com...
> > In article <aroaid$v97$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
> > <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> writes:
> >
> > >Actually Kim that is not a bad idea. Although not a new one. The old
> > >'Communist Block' nations used a similar system. Also one had to
> actually
> > >accomplish specific things on the air to be able to upgrade. Example;
> work
> > >20 countries on CW, log 250 cw stations to even apply for a ticket.
> ETC.
> > >These are just off the top of my head and just a representation of what
> I
> > >was told went on.
> >
> > One could take that even further...require nice, distinctive
> > uniforms, hold formations at club meetings, march in town
> > parades playing rettysnitches and beating drums with whouff-
> > hongs. Eventually you would want to carry arms and, on a
> > special night celebration, go through the business districts
> > and smash in the windows of electronics stores selling the
> > hated, perverse CB and GMRS radios.
> >
> > That would show that you CARE and that ham radio Means
> > Business!
> >
> > While there is historical precedence of that, the outcome was
> > not pretty.

> >
> > >As for the US system I think it is actually detremental. Considering
the
> on
> > >the air stuff you hear (at least on HF) something is lacking somewhere.
> > >When a Extra class operator can't even figure how to cut a 1/2 wave
> dipole
> > >then we are in trouble.
> >
> > If someone cannot do the simple arithmetic of dividing 468 by
> > frequency in MHz, then they are not able to function in greater
> > society with sufficient skills.
> >
> > It's quite another problem to hold that dipole up, to raise it
> > without inflicting injury. See the recurring accidents on antenna
> > installations by radio amateurs in the publications.
> >
> > Take heart. Once an amateur radio antenna is up, it apparently
> > survives high winds and tornados that always strikes down
> > stronger public service radio service antennas. So I've been told.
>
>

2132. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 08:07:11 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <art6hj51sok@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56340

Well, that would make you superior in CW itself though..... :)

> I don't and never have felt some sort of 'superior' because I am good at
CW.
> I consider myself lucky to have the skill and to this day enjoy it a lot.

The only people that would have a "right" are the ones who at least tried,
even if they weren't sucessful. Those who never tried definitely should
keep their mouths shut about it.

>
> I understand that not all feel that way. My major problem is with those
> that dont care for CW then spent hours badmouthing it right here or on the
> air. It is like a personal insult and I respond. That is where Im coming
> from.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

2133. Re: Communication Corrections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 08:55:45 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <art6hk61sok@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56327

After all Jim, how the hell is a person gonna learn that they are screwing up in the first place, and how to correct it? I am by far not the best op out there, but willing to learn whatever it takes to get a little closer every day!

For example, one of the changes I made in operation after someone politely mentioned it to me is the phrase "For ID." I had started to use that after listening in long before actual transmission, and thought at first that was how it was done. And this method was coming from "old timers" not newbies too. I DO NOT use that anymore though......

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

>
> Good ops take pride in doing it the proper way, and learning what the
> proper way is. Ryan's obviously one of those.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

2134. Re: Communication Corrections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 09:02:33 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <art6hm71sok@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56339

> > That's a shame.... I have done that locally speaking. Its just simply a
> > matter of how ya do it. At first, I take the attitude of "Hey Joe Smith
> (or
> > whatever name), not sure if you were aware that "(wrong activity here)"
is
> > wrong, but here's a good way of doing it......." usually works the first
> > time. Flagrant violators obviously need to be turned in though...
> >
>
> Are you talking about FCC Rules violations or "traditions" of amateur
radio
> when you say "flagrant violators obviously need to be turned in though."
I
> don't believe in "correcting" the practices of newcomers--as I've heard
> oldcomers using non-traditional practices as well. However, rules
> violations should be pointed out--more for the individual's protection
than
> anything.

I would have to say the FCC Rules violations more than the "traditions."

>
> I have heard even people like Jim Haynie come on the air down here and
> correct people for the following:
>
> XXXCall, from XXXCall.
> (pause to wait for response)
> XXXCall, from XXXCall.
> (pause again)
> Station not heard, XXXCall clear.
>
> The correction Haynie made was that "station not heard" is not used on VHF
> frequencies [he may have even said ham radio, but I think he stated VHF].
> Well, it may not be used by Haynie or people he respects and likes to
> communicate with; but there is no rule saying a person cannot end their
call
> with "station not heard." In fact, I personally think it's rather proper
> and sends the message to all listening that the call is done, end of
> transmission. It also tells the person being called (in the event they
are
> responding but not being heard) that they are not being heard.
>

The way I do it on FM?

W*ABC from KC8PMX
pause for response

W*ABC from KC8PMX
pause again for response

KC8PMX

Obviously different modes have different methods of how a call would go, but two brief calls like I listed above seem adequate and allow for a reasonable time for the person to respond. The final "signing" by me I view as to let others know I am done, and whether or not someone uses the word "clear" after a callsign it actually a petty argument IMHO. It takes less than a second to say the word clear. Public safety related organizations use that word all the time.....

> > >
> > > When I was initiating my on the air experience I was corrected, it
> seemed
> > on
> > > a daily basis. I didnt' get my hackles up, I payed attention.
Perhaps
> > we
> > > need some more of that...eh?
> > >
> >
>

> Were you making rules violations to be corrected? Here's the thing: if
> someone gets on the air and starts saying, for instance, "10-4," so what?
I
> might say something to them at a club meeting or an eyeball meeting, but
I'm
> not about to jump on the freq police bandwagon and let that person know
that
> "we don't do that on ham radio." Like I said, I hear oldtimers doing that
> and many other things that are considered "not amateur radio." I'd rather
> spend time communicating with someone than get into a difference over
> semantics with them about how they communicate. I don't care if someone
> says "yeah" "10-4" or "rodger dodger." If they are letting me know they
> heard the transmission and understand what I said, I couldn't care less
> about how they say it. And, the funny thing around here is, you'll hear
the
> voice of someone whose identity is known very well, come on and make a
> correction of another and then end--WITHOUT a callsign ever being given.
> Or, typical even more is, the oldtimers will jump onto frequency making a
> call, right in the middle of a whole host of other people who've been
> ragchewing. See? It's just a matter of what mountain you choose to
battle.
> Rules violations should be cautioned against. Communication standards in
> every day communication, though, is no big deal--in my opinion.
>

Typically it was a rules related violations.... It is all about how you handle it I guess. At first, I definitely would be diplomatic about it. Kinda like the addage... the more ya yell, the less I am gonna listen! From time to time, I make errors, due to that fact that there are two different radio systems I operate on as well as amatuer radio... and sometimes they get mixed up from time to time. For example, the phonetics. I am guilty as hell of mixing up the APCO versus ITU phonetics.... in fact our Central Dispatch was severly caught off guard when I used ITU phonetics one time. (Adam, Boy, Charlie vs. Alpha Bravo Charlie and so on...) I have caught myself wanting to say 10-3 (basically a direct "yes" to a question) in response to a query that someone has posed to me, and damned near bit my tongue when saying so.... and quickly changed it to "affirmative."

> If you're talking about any other than rules stuff, I'd say you enjoy being
> the frequency police. Just an observation.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

Not at all Kim..... if it is in a manner to "help" another, I will share that with the offender.... I do not "stalk" the frequencies as it is how you are saying in that sentence. Again... it is all in the matter of how you take on the offender, and how well they recieve it. Two of the five times I have ever attempted to "correct" another ham was:

1. A simplex operator was close to an input frequency to a repeater, enough to keep triggering the repeater, and politely informed him of what was happening. He stated he wasn't aware that was happening and said thank you and adjusted frequency.

2. A different guy though you were supposed to ID at the end of a transmission. Rules state every ten minutes and at the end of conversation. And again politely informed him that he didn't need to ID right before every single time he unkeyed. He also was cool about it.

Again.... even though I do not like the term "policing" in the phrase "self-policing" but that is what we are supposed to be. And if we all learn to politely deliver those messages of erroneous operation, and all learn to take that as "constructive criticism" I guess ham radio would be a better place. We need to look at ourselves before we start bitchin' about others such as freebanders etc......

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

2135. Re: Communication Corrections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 09:03:41 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <art6hn81sok@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56329

Within the county mandated communications order for communications operation where I live, the formats would be either:

[UNIT #] to Central Dispatch

OR

Central Dispatch from [UNIT #]

The other phrase that is used more than "Copy" or any other mode of affirmation or acknowledgement used is "Message Recieved." At least in the fire service at least.

I have heard some other departments (not in our county) use the term "QSL" which also obviously has amateur radio ties as well as the "K" does.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

>
> As far as "tradition" goes, why do most communications on the New
> York PD radio channels use the word "Kay" after each transmission
> (as in "26 David to Central, Kay" ? They were doing it 40 years ago
> when I lived there and I would suspect that they are still doing it.
>
> Is it related to Morse message practice (meaning "over") ?
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

2136. Re: Ham Tower Incident On TV

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 09:09:49 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <art6ho91sok@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56333

Agreed.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:arobll$e97$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> Certainly it is more adventageous. Unfortunatly survival of the fittest
> rules.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
> news:arnpdm62nl0@enews2.newsguy.com...
> > You mean "street smarts" versus "book smarts" Dan? Think a good balance
> of
> > both is more adventageous.
> >
> >
> > --
> > Ryan, KC8PMX
> > FF/MFR
> > REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
> >
> >
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:arjbmk$td9$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > Won't work....Ive met many many intelligent folks that were just plain
> > dumb
> > > when it came to common sense.
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> > >
> > >
> > >
>
>

2137. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 09:28:10 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <art6hp01sok@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56334

I miss my "X.............." but my aim is getting better!!!!!! :)

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:arlqt1$t3k$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> I dont much like to think of my 'X' at all.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3DDDCC74.FA1B84F3@earthlink.net...
> > "Dee D. Flint" wrote:
> > >
> > > "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote in message
> > > news:yXfD9.102389$QZ.16898@sccrnsc02...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Ennaway! Time for dinner here... oh, and just in case you didn't
know
> > > > (because my first name can be both a male and a female name), I'm a
> YL.
> > > Or,
> > > > is that an XYL? In any event, I'm a divorced single mom of an
awesome
> > > > teenaged son who has suddenly taken a keen interest in his mom's
> > > > handi-talkie!
> > > >
> > > > 73 to all
> > > >
> > > > Jackie KC0ODY
> > >
> > > If you were still married, you would be your husband's XYL. In any
> other
> > > capacity, you are a YL. So when you are on the air you are a YL.
> However
> > > if your husband were referring to you, he would speak of you as his
XYL.
> > >
> > > Dee Flint, N8UZE. (also a YL)

> >
> > I like to think of my former wife as my XXYL.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
>

2138. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 09:32:50 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <art6hq11sok@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56326

Nor will you ever, other than how you operate/act as a person. There is always gonna be a**holes in every aspect of life. My attitude is to work with the ones willing to work with me in life, and to hell with the ones trying to work against me. My time is too valuable to deal with the ones that are working against me, and much better served with people that are working with me.

Do what you enjoy, associate with the ones that are willing to help you suceed in life (any aspect) and to hell with the ones that are against ya! :)

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

> I can't control what anyone thinks of me, nor can I contorl what tests I had
> to pass to get my entry level license. If anyone has a problem with the fact
> that I took a no-code test to gain entry into the hobby and says so, either
> here or on the air, I will killfile them or switch frequencies. As long as I
> don't get personally insulted by someone because I took a certain level of
> test to enter the hobby at the time that I did, I won't get incensed. If
> someone accuses me of being a lazy no-coder CBer wannabe, then I'll killfile
> them. No time for jerks, nowhere. Life's too short.
>
> KC0ODY
>
>

2139. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 09:35:35 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <art6hr21sok@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56337

That is true.... but for those who seem to think that upgrading is so "all-fired" important, that structure would probably help to encourage that....

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

"Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message news:TJND9.2592$4j5.1451353@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> Many might view your proposed names as a put down of everyone who isn't an
> Extra. Afterall who wants to be considered "second class" or less.
>
> Dee Flint, N8UZE
>
>
> "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
> news:arnpdn72nl0@enews2.newsguy.com...
> > I still think that the names of the license classes should be changed to
> the
> > following.....
> >
> > First Class License..... Extra Class
> > Second Class.............Advanced Class (till they run out of currently
> > licensed ones....)
> > Third Class ....General Class
> > Fourth Class..............Technician with code
> > Fifth Class..................Technician without code
> > Six Class....................Novice (till they run out of currently
> licensed
> > ones....)
> >
> >
> > After the old novices and advanced are gone from expiration or
> advancement,
> > it could be something like this:
> >
> > First Class------Extra Class
> > Second Class---General Class
> > Third Class------Coded Technician
> > Fourth Class-----No-Coded Technician
> >

> >
> > Just a though....
> >
> >
> > --
> > Ryan, KC8PMX
> > FF/MFR
> > REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
> >
> >
> >
> > "Symbolism is for the simple minded....."
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2140. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 09:36:48 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <art6hs31sok@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56330

See in a separate response in here.... tied to the upgrading issue.... :)

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:arobe7$i9o$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net...
> And a good one too.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
> news:arnpdn72nl0@enews2.newsguy.com...
> > I still think that the names of the license classes should be changed to
> the
> > following.....
> >
> > First Class License..... Extra Class
> > Second Class.............Advanced Class (till they run out of currently
> > licensed ones....)
> > Third Class ....General Class
> > Fourth Class..............Technician with code
> > Fifth Class..................Technician without code
> > Six Class.....................Novice (till they run out of currently
> licensed
> > ones....)
> >
> >
> > After the old novices and advanced are gone from expiration or
> advancement,
> > it could be something like this:
> >
> > First Class------Extra Class
> > Second Class---General Class
> > Third Class------Coded Technician
> > Fourth Class-----No-Coded Technician
> >
> >
> > Just a though....
> >
> >

> > --
> > Ryan, KC8PMX
> > FF/MFR
> > REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
> >
> >
> >
> > "Symbolism is for the simple minded....."
> >
> >
> >
>
>

2141. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 09:40:57 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <art6ht41sok@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56328

Hmmmm..... I was told the amount of erroneous questions when I first tested... They would not tell me where/which questions I errored in. Passed the general with 2 over passing, and missed the extra by one question. The CSCE for the General has since expired. Guess that is a VE specific thing, about the revelation of scores etc.....

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

"> 75% is required to pass the exam. No score is given. All that is given is pass
> or fail. Therefore we only know he got 75%: no more, no less.
>

2142. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 09:50:14 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <art6hu51sok@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56335

True... Republicans are doing it more and more.... Especially now. They now want to invade more and more of our privacy from day to day, with the excuse of 9/11 as their justification. (Along with the thought control, controlling what we read etc...) Screw it.. I am gonna pay for everything in cash where possible.......

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message news:arp8dv$5ne$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> That was tried already. It was a failure.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021123152811.25964.00000743@mb-fg.aol.com...
> > In article <arod26lug1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
> > <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> writes:
> >
> > >I don't need a control op.
> >
> > How about just needing some control? :-)
>
>

2143. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 09:52:24 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <art6hv61sok@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56336

How about a 6 meter net?? Something like on say 50.150USB?

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.org> wrote in message
news:7rhD9.3325$lt3.297655@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote
>
> : OK, set me straight. Are we
> : having a net on 7.037 or on 10M somewhere?
>
> There are now two rrap nets.
>
> Net #1 -- 28.350MHz phone, 1700Z Saturdays (11:00AM CST Sat)
> Net #2 -- 7.037MHz CW, 0200Z Thursdays (8:00PM CST Wed)
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

2144. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 11:36:48 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021125063648.13494.00000703@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 56320

In article <H_dE9.102007$%m4.47328@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>, "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:

>I'd like to know what the Tech test was like before it was "dumbed-down". I >don't know "dumbed-down" from the purportedly "smart" test that was given >before the one I took. What is the story here? Does anyone know where I can >find the test question pool that was extant before I took the test this year >(assuming one can be accessed online)? I'd love to know what kinds of stuff >prospective Techs were tested on before the "dumbing-down" of the most >recent test.

Jackie,

I don't know if the old tests are still online anywhere, but the old study guides and question pools still exist.

The term "dumbed-down" means different things to different folks. For some, it refers to the material itself, to others the test methods, to others the reduction in code testing. Etc. I don;t use the term because it's so vague, and derogatory.

Here's a quick history of the Tech license.

The Technician license was created as part of the restructuring of 1951 (!). It was meant to be a license for those who wanted to experiment with the then-new VHF-UHF-microwave technology. Hence the name. Technicians back then needed to pass 5 wpm code, sending and receiving, and the same written test as Generals and Conditionals. This written test consisted of about 50 questions on theory, regulations, operating practice, etc. Most were multiple choice but some required the drawing of schematic and block diagrams. Also some fairly complex math calculations. Technicians had all ham privileges above 220 MHz back then, but nothing below 220. In those days there was practically no manufactured ham radio equipment for those frequencies, so using them meant building a rig or converting WW2 surplus.

Biggest difference was that there were no question and answer pools - the tests were secret. Unless you lived more than 125 miles from an FCC exam point, you had to go to an FCC office and take the exam in front of an FCC official. (Most big and medium cities held exams at least four times a year). FCC published study guides that indicated the general area of the tests, but not the exact Q&A. These FCC guides were in essay format even though most questions were multiple choice.

A person wanting a license had to pass all the exam parts at one sitting, and if anyhting was failed, had to wait at least 30 days to retest. No credit was given for the parts that had been previously passed unless they resulted in a license. Code had to be passed before you got a try at the written.

Here's a typical question:

"A low-drift crystal for the 3500-4000 kc. amateur band is guaranteed by the manufacturer to be calibrated to within .04% of its specified frequency. Desiring to operate as close to the lower band limit of 3500 kc. as possible, for what whole-number kilocycle frequency should you order your crystal, allowing 1 kc. additional for variations from temperature and circuit constants?"

The guide included how to calculate the answer, but not a long technical explanation. There might be several questions on the general topic of crystals and tolerances, or one, or none at all. No practice tests were available, either.

About 2/3 of the questions were on technical subjects, with the rest on rules and regs.

In 1954 the test procedure for Technician was changed to by-mail using a volunteer examiner, regardless of where you lived.. FCC did this to ease their workload at the testing centers.

By the mid 1960s, the test was all multiple choice, but still secret. Technicians got 6 and then 2 meter access in those years.

In the 1970s the writtens were changed so that getting a General or Technician required also passing the Novice class written exam. This meant taking two written tests.

In 1983 the FCC created the VEC system and stopped giving the tests themselves. FCC also decided that the actual questions and answers would be in the public domain, and stopped publishing study guides. Prospective hams could see all of the Q&A that were used on the actual tests, rather than just the subject areas. The 30 day waiting period was abolished. Again, FCC did this to reduce their workload, because VEs do for free what FCC examiners got paid to do.

Throughout the period from the 1960s to the 1980s, the tests got slightly larger, but less technical and more rules-and-regs oriented.

In 1987, the written test for General and Technician was split into two parts. Getting a Tech required the Tech part, plus the Novice test, getting a General required the General, Tech and Novice tests..

In 1991 the code test for Technician was dropped. In 2000 the old Novice and Tech writtens were combined into a single 35 question test.

Main point of this long rant is that it's been a long drwan out series of gradual changes, not one big change.
>
>I didn't think the Tech test was at all hard. I studied the enter ARRL
>entry-level study book for one week, back to front, before I took the exam.
>Sure, I thought the test was easy. But before this, I didn't know ONE THING
>about radio, propagation, electronics or anything having to do with the
>amateur radio service before I studied. I checked through a copy of the
>General manual this weekend and I don't think I'll have any problems
>learning this material, either.

I don;t think there's any way to compare the old ways to the new ones for sure, because the old secret exams don't survive.
>
>My point is that, even if the entry level test WAS dumbed-down, I actually
>*learned* something from studying the material for it, dammit! I am not a
>stupid person; I consider each and every new learning experience I'm exposed
>to to be valuable.
>
>I want to learn this stuff, especially the electronics part of it, and I'd
>sure like to talk with other hams on the air about it. Unfortunately, my
>first on the air contacts have not met with much fruition, as few people
>want to talk with "newbies". I know there are some people out there who
>would like to mentor newbies and get others into the technical aspects of
>the hobby (even if they *did* cruise in on a dumbed-down license), so I will
>bide my time until I find someone to talk with who won't judge others by the
>test they took to enter the hobby.

As you can probably tell by now, I'll talk about ham radio at great length to anyone who is willing to listen/read and discuss in a civil manner.
>
>Christ almighty, this "dumbed down tests" talk is making me ill, no matter
>which side of the fence it's coming from.

Don't let it get ya. The test is the starting point, not the finish line. The changes were wrought by the FCC, not hams. The whole thing is like somebody getting mad because they paid $500 for a VCR 20 years ago, and today a VCR costs $100.

How hard were the old tests? In the '60s, back when the tests were allegedly so tough, there were lots of teenage Techs and Generals and Advanceds. Even some Extras. Heck, if I could pass them at age 14 those old tests could not have been that hard.

What matters is what we do with the license once it's earned.

73 de Jim, N2EY

If you want an even more longwinded discussion of US ham licensing since the end of WW2, I can post some links..

2145. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 11:36:49 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021125063649.13494.00000704@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 56319

In article <arrvf9$1r0$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> writes:

>You make it sound like the ARRL did us a favor.

Would you rather they went along with the FCC plan?

>The reality is they PUSHED
>incentive licensing.

Nope. FCC pushed it. ARRL folks realized that FCC wanted it so bad that total resistance was useless. Plus slightly more than half of the membership and ham community in general wanted it.

Today we have a similar situation wrt code tests. FCC wants them to go away, and ARRL realizes that total resistance is useless. Membership wants to keep them but FCC doesn't.

>A direct result of the Good old BOys in Headquarters
>wanting more room to play.

Not from what I saw.

Besides, were the old tests really that hard?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2146. Re: Immoral Truths

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 12:53:44 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211250453.15544bb1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56321

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20021124043650.00112.00000625@mb-fz.aol.com>...
> In article <20021123205514.23990.00000096@mb-cv.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com
> (Vshah101) writes:
>
> >>>Why all the resistance to telling us your callsign?
> >>>
> >>>73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >Why the need to know. What does that prove?
>
> It proves that you are what you say you are.
>
> What are you afraid of? Almost everyone else here gives their real name and
> callsign.
>
> I think you are KB9AVE.

You took "Aaron Jones" seriously.

2147. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 12:55:32 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211250455.52a43960@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56322

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0211241754.522952a3@posting.google.com>...
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0211240651.7c59591e@posting.google.com>...
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<arpgarjbr0@208.207.71.155>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Bruce is the type of person inventive licensing was supposed to keep out.
> > > >
> > > > It was a monumental failure.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > O, I dunno..... it did a pretty good job of keeping you out.
> >
> > Not since 1986.
>
>
> You're one glaring example of ham radio being systematically dumbed down.
>
> w3rv

How can that be? You've preceeded me by many years.

2148. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 12:58:42 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211250458.383e08bf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56323

I wonder why archivist Jim is silent on this one?

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<arrvqnlmm1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>...
> Hans,
>
> Are these guys for real? Of course it included a 'Morse' exam. Thats all
> there was in 1912. And Spark to boot.
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Kï¿½HB" <kï¿½hb@arrl.org> wrote in message
> news:KbcE9.8030$It3.804682@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote
> >
> > :
> > : The original 1912 U.S. ham license test *did* include a code test. :
> > :
> >
> > Yep. 5WPM, just like today. The more things change, the more they stay
> the
> > same!
> >
> > ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > --
> > Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
> > http://www.mnyarc.org
> > http://www.k0bsa.org

2149. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 13:04:42 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211250504.6ad8b150@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56324

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021124220005.19688.00000286@mb-ba.aol.com>...
> >Ooops, I see where you've amended your story to exclude any lucky
> >guessing, also.
> >
>
> Your right there was no lucky guessing, as a matter of fact there was no
> guessing.
>
> >I'm suggesting that your story stinks. It just doesn't have the ring
> >of truth to it.
>
> To bad you cant except the truth, your just upset you cant pass the Extra.

I think you are "excepting" the truth. I'll accept it when I see it.

2150. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 13:07:37 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211250507.59c78714@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56325

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021124110349.05793.00000158@mb-fc.aol.com>...
> >Yes, yes. A functional illiterate would pass Extra at one of your VE
> >sessions also.
> >
>
> If so Brian what does that say about you, I guess it means you are below the
> level of functional illiterate. Maybe if you go cry to NCI they can see if
> they can get you a Mentally Handicapped Waiver.

It says I don't come on here with boastful tales of outwitting "dumb
CB Plussers" who cannot pass a really dumb exam, while I mispell every
other word.

That's the part you don't get, Bruce.

2151. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 13:25:48 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211250525.ee2a4d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56341

"Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote in message
news:<H_dE9.102007$%m4.47328@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>...
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3DE14462.6B578A20@mailcity.net...
>
> > Maybe that is why they dumbed down the technical test, so folks
> > like wa8ulx could still obtain that Extra status.
>
> Okay, sorry to hijack this thread, but I'll rename it so that those who
> don't care can skip it over.
>
> JJ- I'm not disputing the object of your slam here, but this whole thing
> about dumbing down tests is really starting to get annoying.

Especially when its made by one of the most ignorant people on rrap.

> I'd like to know what the Tech test was like before it was "dumbed-down". I
> don't know "dumbed-down" from the purportedly "smart" test that was given
> before the one I took. What is the story here? Does anyone know where I can
> find the test question pool that was extant before I took the test this year
> (assuming one can be accessed online)? I'd love to know what kinds of stuff
> prospective Techs were tested on before the "dumbing-down" of the most
> recent test.

OK. Prior to the Technician exam there was the Novice as the entry to
Amateur Radio. It consisted of a simple written exam with some rules,
safety, operating priveleges, Ohm's Law, and a few circuits. And a
5wpm Morse Code exam.

It was simple enough that many youth passed into amateur radio via the
Novice.

The next exam up from Novice was the General, which included the
written and the 13wpm Morse Code exam. If you failed the code exam,
you became a "Technician," not a General.

In 1987, the General written was split apart to make a separate
Technician written exam, and a separate General written exam. To make
General, you had to pass the Technician written, the General written,
and 13wpm. But at that date, all Technicians and Generals still came
through the Novice exams, including the 5wpm code exam.

In 1991, that all changed. Now the Technician could bypass the code
exam and just take the Novice and the Technician writtens. It was
generally referred to as the "No Code" license.

About 1994, there was too much confusion between the Technician that had Novice code, and the Technician that had no code, so the FCC began calling the coded Technicians "TechPlus."

In 2001, the FCC did away with the Novice exams, and the VEC's folded the Novice material into the Technician written.

If you take today's General written exam, you'll be the equivalent of the "old" Technicians, sans code.

You passed the requirement. That's all thats necessary.

2152. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 14:13:33 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211250613.48b8ca8d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56342

vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) wrote in message
news:<20021124222314.07628.00007282@mb-mn.aol.com>...

>
> I have been to several clubs, Hamfests, conventions. I have tried to raise > > this
> point. But, Hams do not seem to want that. Most seem to be there for appliance
> operation, contesting, ragchewing, dx, etc. The only thing that comes close is
> that Hams seem to get very involved with antennas and become quite good.
> However, this is just so they can better outfit their radios.

Exactly, ham radio is about radio communications, always has been and
the quest for more effective communications never ends. The radio
manufacturers are today supplying affordable equipment which
represents the leading edge vs. the unaffordable often crappy gear we
had to deal with a half century ago when many of us rolled our own. I
built a half dozen or so rcvrs back when, I wouldn't waste my time on
any such thing today for real comms. So there is little or no interest
in designing & building radios these days and for the most part we're
now appliance operators. As you point out the last bastion of
homebrewing is antennas and you bet we're into antennas. Which makes
my point. We do what we have to do in order to COMMUNICATE.

>
> Also, I found many Hams want to use strange codewords, many that begin with Q.
> I guess part of the draw of Ham Radio is that they can be part of these
> traditions.

You guessed wrong, you obviously don't know what makes ham radio tick.

> Most Hams are male, few are technical. Calling CQ and getting on
> the air is what interests them.

Yup. Get comfortable with it or look somewhere else for a hobby 'cause
ham radio is not gonna change to suit your fancy.

>
> You may understand technically what a Ham is saying, but you may not be able to
> relate to it unless you are a true Ham. Ham seem to have some kind of
> commonality. Common traditions, goals, politics, interests.

Yup again. And every other hobby has similar characteristics. You're
either inside the hobby or on the outside looking in or could care
less one way or another, your options.

> You find that
> either you are a "Ham" or you are not (whether or not you learn code).

This bullshit was worn out in this NG years ago, you're very late into
the game.

> The other potential activities such as homebrewing, kit building, radio
> direction finding, troubleshooting, test equipment operation, etc are not
> emphasized in the Ham Radio clubs.

That's right, there is a strong social aspect to ham radio you
apparently have trouble dealing with. If all that interests you is
electronics geekery you're wasting your time here and at ham radio
functions, join yer local chapter of the IEEE.

>
> I found it frustrating trying to find Hams that are interested in the > technical
> aspects. Hope you have better luck.

Have you ever actually built a piece of equipment and put it on the
air? Have you ever even seen a copy of QEX? Have you ever logged into
dot homebrewing?

w3rv

2153. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 14:14:51 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021125091451.19632.00000338@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 56343

>Sorry, OM, but I'd score a passing grade because...

You couldnt even get up to bat

2154. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 14:16:25 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021125091625.19632.00000339@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 56344

>I promise that I'll quit doing this if I see one post from Babbling Brucey
>that is at least one *full* line, and no grammatical or syntax errors.
>Typos are forgivable to an extent.
>

Good we found something for you to do

2155. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 14:17:47 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021125091747.19632.00000340@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 56345

>That's the part you don't get, Bruce.
>

The part you dont get is you cant pass the EXTRA

2156. I will be out of it for a few Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 14:33:49 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021125093349.19632.00000341@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 56346

Well guys I will be out of it for a few Days, headed out of town again. I hope you all dont miss me to much, see you all whem I get back, its been fun as usuall. While Im gone I will try and think of some new stuff that will piss you all off.

2157. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 14:40:23 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <artco001ig@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56348

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021125091451.19632.00000338@mb-ba.aol.com...
> >Sorry, OM, but I'd score a passing grade because...
>
> You couldnt even get up to bat

You couldn(')t even get up to bat(.)

I see that Babbling Brucey enjoys "selective editing" when replying to
posts.

2158. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 14:42:37 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0211250642.ef32493@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56347

"K HB" <k hb@arrl.org> wrote in message
news:<ScfE9.7961$OZ4.862280@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote

:
> : Here's what I wrote:
> :
> : "Really OTs had 1x2s. OTs had 1x3s. Newbies had 2x3s. And lots of
> variations in
> : between."
> :
> : See any mention of license class?
> :
>
> You wrote in direct response to my statement that "elitist special callsign
> formats to celebrate the fine granulations of your test taking/memorization
> skills had not been invented", a statement which is clearly
> license-class-centric. Then in the style of Len Anderson, you try to
> sidetrack that into something about "vintage". Shame on you!

OUCH!!!
>
> I'll stick to my statement -- "elitist special callsign formats to celebrate
> the fine granulations of your test taking/memorization skills had not been
> invented."

And I'll stick to mine:

"Really OTs had 1x2s. OTs had 1x3s. Newbies had 2x3s. And lots of
variations in
between."

It's a "class" distinction however you cut it. Forcing the newbies to
take 2x3s while the OTs keep 1x3 or 1x2 calls simply puts the reward
on time in grade rather than license test. Heck, in that "heyday", FCC
would try to give a ham who moved a similar callsign in the new
district.

> I sorta like my 1x2 callsign,

I don't see you giving it up so a non-Extra can have it. Would you
trade it in for one like KJ0YQY? Lotta fun in the CW SS, a 2x3 call
like that.

> but for the life of me can't
> understand why a Tech or General (or old Novice for that matter) is less
> deserving of the same.

They're not less deserving. See below.

> (Now we'll hear about "effort" and "dedication" and "paying your dues" a lot
> of high sounding babble like that!)

Not from me!

The short-callsign problem is really simple: Limited supply. For example, add up all the possible 1x2 callsigns starting with W, K or N. Total: 20,280. Add in the possible 2x1s and the total doesn't reach 50,000. There are now over 100,000 Extras and 684,000 US hams, plus clubs and other group stations. How do we decide who gets the short callsigns? License class? Time in the ARS? Auction?

73 de Jim, N2EY (ex WA3IYC (1968-1977), WN3IYC (1968-1967)

2159. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 14:44:05 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <artcuu01sg@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56403

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021125091747.19632.00000340@mb-ba.aol.com...
> >That's the part you don't get, Bruce.
> >
>
> The part you dont get is you cant pass the EXTRA

The part you don(')t get is you can(')t pass the EXTRA(.)

...and the part that Babbling Brucey doesn't get, is that he can't
understand what an apostrophe is for.

2160. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 14:45:52 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <artd290241@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56402

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021125091625.19632.00000339@mb-ba.aol.com...
> >I promise that I'll quit doing this if I see one post from Babbling
Brucey
> >that is at least one *full* line, and no grammatical or syntax errors.
> >Typos are forgivable to an extent.
> >
>
> Good we found something for you to do

Good(.) (W)e found something for you to do(.)

You're going to have to do better than that, Babbling Brucey.

2161. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 15:07:06 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DE23C9A.2920B7F@mailcity.net>
Source record: 56410

JJ wrote:

>
> Jackie, no offense intended, I should have put dumbed down in
> quotes to indicate I was using the words of some others here. The
> test are in many was easier now than they used to be, but there
> are those who were licensed in the past that seem to think they
> were tested when passing the test really meant something. They
> should go back a few more years when the questions were essay type
> and one had to draw schematics of circuits and explain in detail
> how they worked. Most of those who seem to think they took the
> 'real exams' actually themselves took 'dumbed down' test and
> couldn't pass the earlier ones if their lives depended upon it.

But then again, if a cber like ulx can pass the extra, then it
must really be 'dumbed down'. ;>)

2162. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 15:07:38 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021125100738.06797.00000097@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 56350

>Subject: Re: Net?
>From: "KC8PMX" kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net
>Date: 11/25/02 3:50 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <art6hu51sok@enews1.newsguy.com>

>True... Republicans are doing it more and more.... Especially now. They now
>want to invade more and more of our privacy from day to day, with the excuse
>of 9/11 as their justification.

Where is the balance, though?

American's are screaming out for blood over this thing, both our own (for "letting" it happen") and from those who perpetrated the act.

The fact of the matter is that the weaknesses that exist in our intelligence programs happened in the Carter administration. In one of his "feel good" moves, Carter cut our abilities to surveil as we should. Intricate networks of "spooks" were dismantled, and our ability to keep informed was lost.

Furthermore, our own "open" society demands absolutely no "spying" within our own borders, but "we" also demand to be protected. HOW can we do both? The answer is we CAN'T.

If we want to be assured that no more "9/11" s occur, we are going to have to accept that there WILL be domestic spying, and that sometimes it may crimp someone's "civil rights". But we as a society must strive to find that balance.

Damned if I know how we are going to do it! Everyone has gotten into the "blame game" since the post-WTC "honeymoon" has worn off. The Republicans are trying to get a system into place to thwart another attack, and the Dems are intent on trying to prove that the White House "knew" about the attacks.

Of course had we ACTED on that "information" before hand, the ACLU would have ripped the Administrations eyes out as "arabphobes", or some such other PC term. Since they didn't, and the attacks happened, they are damned for NOT acting...

Go figure...

73

Steve, K4YZ

2163. Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 15:07:45 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE23CB5.DA1E9898@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56349

W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> "Kï¿½HB" <kï¿½hb@arrl.org> wrote in message
> news:C7eE9.7868$OZ4.851246@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > "Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote
> >
> > :
> > : To the ONLY coders, real time knowledge of an encoding scheme is the
> ONLY
> > thing
> > : thats important, not knowledge of the material.
> > :
> >
> > Well I've heard of pro-coders, no-coders, slow-coders, and vocoders, but
> > wuthaphuck is an "only coder"?
> >
> > 73, Hans, K0HB
> > --
> > FISTS #7149
> > NCI #4304
> >
>
> Oh good grief, Hans. Now you've gone and done it. You're going to raise
> the ire of one Dave Heil for your vulgarity. Oh wait, you're a guy...so to
> him it's probably OK.
>
> Never mind.

Naw, Hans doesn't get a pass but I believe his posting was partly a rib
directed at me. Unlike you, Hans didn't get into a redneck squabble
complete with vulgar descriptions size or frequency of use of the
opponents genitalia.
You're still the queen of tacky.

Dave K8MN

2164. Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 15:12:53 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE23DE9.BECCD68A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56401

Vshah101 wrote:

> You read the above and you are still asking this? An only coder passed the code
> test, but did not understand the writtten test material (easy to do if question
> pool format).
>
> The only coder only wants to code and could care less about the material.

The question was likely asked because your claims run counter to
reality.
I've been a radio amateur for 39 years and I've never encountered an
"only coder". On what plane of existence are we likely to find an "only
coder"?
Is the practice of transcendental meditation necessary in order to find
what we seek?

Dave K8MN

2165. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 15:28:23 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE24189.1E2A6CDD@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56404

Vshah101 wrote:

> You can get a code free technician license. However, if you want to get
> involved in the technical part of Amateur Radio, I don't feel you will find it
> through Ham Radio. Its just not part of the culture.

Er, excuse me there, mister vshah. Were you aware that "amateur radio"
and "ham radio" are one and the same.

> I have been to several clubs, Hamfests, conventions. I have tried to raise this
> point. But, Hams do not seem to want that.

Could it be that individuals at club meetings, hamfests and conventions
have pointed out that "amateur radio" and "ham radio" are the same thing
and that they believe your "only coder" tales to be fabrications? That
might give you a clue into why your ideas have not met with a warm
reception.

Most seem to be there for appliance
> operation, contesting, ragchewing, dx, etc. The only thing that comes close is
> that Hams seem to get very involved with antennas and become quite good.
> However, this is just so they can better outfit their radios.

That's a very good reason to "become quite good" with antennas. I can't
imagine fiddling with antennas without needing one for a transmitter or
receiver of some kind.

> Also, I found many Hams want to use strange codewords, many that begin with Q.
> I guess part of the draw of Ham Radio is that they can be part of these
> traditions.

And you a have a problem with this because...?

> Most Hams are male,

And you have a problem with this because...?

> few are technical.

Wow! How did you come by this knowledge?

> Calling CQ and getting on
> the air is what interests them.

Calling "CQ" is one of several accepted methods of establishing a
contact.
Getting on the air is what our licenses permit.

> You may understand technically what a Ham is saying, but you may not be able to
> relate to it unless you are a true Ham.

I think you may have anwered one of your own questions.

> Ham seem to have some kind of
> commonality. Common traditions, goals, politics, interests. You find that
> either you are a "Ham" or you are not (whether or not you learn code).

You may be on to something here though I think you'll find the politics
of hams varies widely.

> The other potential activities such as homebrewing, kit building, radio
> direction finding, troubleshooting, test equipment operation, etc are not
> emphasized in the Ham Radio clubs.

So you know this because you've visited most or all ham clubs?

> I found it frustrating trying to find Hams that are interested in the technical
> aspects. Hope you have better luck.

It sounds as though your failure is part of a self-fulfilling prophecy.

Dave K8MN

2166. Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 15:43:02 UTC
From: lbudney-google@nb.net
Message-ID: <417b917a.0211250743.6879c75a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56405

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
>
> At least university degrees are earned by learning the material, not by
> memorizing the answers to the exam using the published answers and a yellow
> high-liter.

Speaking as a Math PhD, you're not really correct here. The great
majority of an advanced degree is simple memorization; that's why
you'll still find PhD students cramming for exams. It is equally
possible to complete an advanced degree or an amateur license without
actually "learning the material".

The difference between a EE degree, say, and an amateur license, is
the volume of material to be learned.

--Len.

2167. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 15:50:24 UTC
From: "John S. Dyson" <dyson@iquest.net>
Message-ID: <%xrE9.823$pc.161651@news.iquest.net>
Source record: 56406
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:art6hf11sok@enews1.newsguy.com...
>
> Whether or not someone wants to argue the incentive licensing issue, or not,
> the whole thing won't do a darned bit of good, unless there is the public's
> knowledge about ham radio.
>
We have a generation of kids that are used to the overwhelming sensory
experiences of video games, and even the WWW. In order to gain more
participants, it seems to me that the issue of 'attractiveness' is more
fundamental than the licensing qualifications.

In a way, it makes little sense to decrease the qualifications if they are
already so low, and even that slight impediment is stopping participation.

People today have so many opportunities for day-to-day communications,
that there is even a bit of a movement to hide from the on-demand
communications distractions. Ham radio already has alot of competition
from other communications systems. I am not claiming that current
communications systems are equivalent to Ham Radio, but because there
is a little bit of a 'dream' that is satisfied by Cellular or the WWW (for
example) that makes the results of Ham Radio superficially less exotic
and appear to be less of a challenge. (Of course, that isn't quite true,
but appearances are sometimes more important than reality.)

Ham radio will tend to draw more interest when it can provide a compelling
and glitzy 'features.' This is a difficult thing to decide, because some of the
'best' glitzy things to do might take LOTS of bandwidth and/or might not even be
applicable to ham radio.

I suspect that there are the 'ham radio' club marketing (e.g. ARRL) are
trying to figure out some killer applications for the hobby. Of course, it is
a different kind of killer application than 'Spreadsheet', 'Video games' or
somesuch, but I suspect that there is something out there.

Ham radio already has SOME applications (contests, emergency communications,
casual communications, electronics hobby), but additional *generationally relevent*
applications need to be found.

From what I see on the outside, Ham Radio isn't dying, but given the powerful
tools available, it seems odd that more appropriate high visibility hobby
applications havent been found (or publicized much.)

John

2168. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 15:58:22 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <yMrE9.31100$hK4.2698003@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 56407

Jim, N2EY, posted:

> Here's the big question for those times: What was the FCC thinking? First
> (1951) they set up a new, more extensive incentive licensing system. Then (end
> of 1952) they do a quick 180 and give away all op privs to all hams except
> Novices and Techs.

> 10 years later (1963), they dedide things have gone horribly wrong in the ARS
> and they have to change it all over yet again, so they create the 1968 changes.
> Which are then slowly watered down.

> What was so going so wrong in 1963 that FCC thought they had to make such
> drastic changes? (You should see what FCC wanted to do in 1965 - even more
> draconian than what was actually done).

Here's a hypothesis: By 1963 the Kennedy/Johnson administration had established its influence inside the FCC in the person of one Newton Minow. I don't recall exactly when Minow gave his "Vast Wasteland" speech condemning broadcast television content, but it was sometime in that timeframe. While this sent broadcasters scurrying, I am willing to bet it also sent a very clear signal up and down the ranks of the FCC staffers to be vigilant that other media (broadcast radio, amateur radio) not become "vast wastelands" as well. Given the explosive growth of amateur radio in the 50's, mostly fueled by Conditional-class licensees with lower-middle-class backgrounds and speech characteristics, it is plausible (to me) that many of the upper-middle-class "old guard" were apprehensive that this could be the fate of amateur radio as well - band-edge to band-edge rag-chewing by unskilled "appliance operators" hardly interested in serving the "public interest, convenience and necessity". I think that for a number of FCC staffers concerned with amateur radio regulatory matters, Minow's "wake-up call" to the broadcasters was their green light to crack the Federal whip on the amateur service, which took the form of proposing, then carrying out, incentive licensing.

I realize that correlation does not imply causality. But the timing of the initiation of the FCC action and political climate of the country then does make me wonder.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb @ arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

2169. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 16:22:36 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0211250822.6d6ebcec@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56408

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021125014809.02762.00000096@mb-cq.aol.com>...

> The tests themselves have not been "dumbed down", however the way we
> prepare for and administer them has.

Well said!
>
> In my not so humble opinion, opening the question pools to verbatim
> quotes was a foolish thing to do.

Yup - but there's not much that can be done about it.
>
> Some "old timers" quote old texts which allegedly gave "near verbatim"
> references, however they are not very accurate. I came up through the
> "Incentive Licensing" program, and never once did any of the texts I used
> provide such.

Same here. But that's ancient history now. VEC system and public Q&A
pools have been around almost 20 years now, and FCC doesn't see
anyhting wrong with 'em. End of story.
>
> If anything has "dumbed down" Amateur Radio, it was the opening of the
> question pools that allows rote memorization of the questions.
>
> >My point is that, even if the entry level test WAS dumbed-down, I actually
> >*learned* something from studying the material for it, dammit! I am not a
> >stupid person; I consider each and every new learning experience I'm exposed
> >to to be valuable.
>
> As it should be. However practical experience has shown that there is a
> larger preponderance of "new hams" who just gleaned enough from the "Q & A"
> manual to squeeze through. The are now solidly entrenched on one or two
> repeater pairs, occassionally a simplex channel or two.
>
> This is not to say a lot of folks haven't done (and been rewarded for the
> experience) the same as you, and Amateur Radio as a whole is a richer place for
> them.

There are also a few (only a few!) new and not-so-new folks who think
that just because they passed the test, their learning is done.

> Like I said...welcome to the zoo!

Sometimes it seems more like "Welcome To The Monkey House"

73 de Jim, N2EY

2170. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 16:38:44 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0211250838.1d5dc60e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56409

vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) wrote in message
news:<20021124222314.07628.00007282@mb-mn.aol.com>...
>
> The code Nazis believes code is the most important thing.

Usenet 101: First person to call their opposition "nazis" or compare
them to Hitler loses.

BZZZZZT! You lose, "Vshah101".

> Most seem to be there for appliance
> operation, contesting, ragchewing, dx, etc.

How is this a bad thing? Our licenses are for operating, not building.

> The only thing that comes close is
> that Hams seem to get very involved with antennas and become quite good.
> However, this is just so they can better outfit their radios.

What other purpose is there to the theory side other than building
better radios?
>
> Also, I found many Hams want to use strange codewords, many that begin with Q.

They're called abbreviations. They make communication faster and
clearer. Compare "you are are being interfered with by another station
or stations" to "QRM".

> I guess part of the draw of Ham Radio is that they can be part of these
> traditions.

Is that a bad thing?

> Most Hams are male, few are technical.

> Calling CQ and getting on the air is what interests them.
>
Our licenses are for operating, not "being technical".

> You may understand technically what a Ham is saying, but you may not be able
>to
> relate to it unless you are a true Ham. Ham seem to have some kind of
> commonality. Common traditions, goals, politics, interests. You find that
> either you are a "Ham" or you are not (whether or not you learn code).

I have no idea what this is supposed to mean.
>
> The other potential activities such as homebrewing, kit building, radio

> direction finding, troubleshooting, test equipment operation, etc are not
> emphasized in the Ham Radio clubs.

Then start one that does emphasize those things!
>
> I found it frustrating trying to find Hams that are interested in the
> technical aspects.

Have you tried any of the technically oriented newsgroups or
reflectors? rec.radio.amateur.homebrew, for example?

I'm interested in the technical aspects and operating. Particularly
operating with Morse code. These things are not mutually exclusive.

I've been that way since before I had a license or an EE degree. In
fact, ham radio led me to EE school.

Enough of this - Vshah101 is obviously in put-down mode. Plonk!

73 de Jim, N2EY

2171. Re: Happy Thanksgiving!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 17:19:23 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0211250919.db2f84d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56411

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:<3DE1A1F0.7000103@adelphia.net>...
> N2EY wrote:
>
> > In article <3DE1427C.C0A62A5E@ix.netcom.com>, David Stinson
> > <arc5@ix.netcom.com> writes:
> >
> >
> >>God knows there's enough turkeys here.....
> >>
> >
> > I think we're all Bozos on this bus...
>
>
> awww Mom, he didn't cry or nuthin!

"It's in the water! That's why it's yellow!"

73 de Jim, N2EY

"As God is my witness, I thought turkeys could fly"

2172. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 17:44:07 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <artnl5$4kb$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56413

Excuse me but in about 1962 or maybe 63 the ARRL picked up the Incentive Licensing flag that was initially proposed by some moron at CQ Magazine.

It was batted around a bit but the LEAGE DID INDEED PUSH IT.

Between 1965 and 1968. I was out of the country so missed a lot of stuff about it then.

Dan/W4NTI

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message news:20021125063649.13494.00000704@mb-mj.aol.com...
> In article <arrvf9$1r0$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> writes:
>
> >You make it sound like the ARRL did us a favor.
>
> Would you rather they went along with the FCC plan?
>
> >The reality is they PUSHED
> >incentive licensing.
>
> Nope. FCC pushed it. ARRL folks realized that FCC wanted it so bad that total
> resistance was useless. Plus slightly more than half of the membership and ham
> community in general wanted it.
>
> Today we have a similar situation wrt code tests. FCC wants them to go away,
> and ARRL realizes that total resistance is useless. Membership wants to keep
> them but FCC doesn't.
>
> >A direct result of the Good old BOys in Headquarters
> >wanting more room to play.
>
> Not from what I saw.
>
> Besides, were the old tests really that hard?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

2173. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 17:44:56 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0211250944.220708e2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56412

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:<Zu4E9.35068$op6.4048@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>...
> "N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:c2356669.0211220744.46cc1950@posting.google.com...
>
> > >What impresses me the most is the performance of
> > > the receivers...reputed to be right on par with the megabuck high-end
> rigs.
> >
> > I dunno about the K1, but the K2 is simply amazing. Digs right down to
> > the noise, unaffected by lots of strong inband signals, lots of
> > performance and usable features.
> >
> > But it's an "electropolitically incorrect" design, at least according
> > to what is normally done in "modern" ham rigs. Not general coverage.
> > Designed for performance first, features second. CW is primary, SSB is
> > an add-on (which costs less than most mfr's optional filters). No
> > upconversion to VHF, no DDS or DSP, no passband tuning. Yet it drags
> > in the weak ones - often when other rigs can't.
>
> It's ALL about the receiver, isn't it? :-)

In some ways, yes. Ten watts of clean CW is not THAT hard to get - the
Ancient Ones were doing it 70 years ago.

And having a good receiver doesn't make the other guy hear YOU any
better.

> > > (Mongo like!)
> >
> > [Moment of silence for dear departed N0BK]
>
> Respects solemnly paid.

I miss that dry Midwestern humor.
>
> > "Don't shoot Mongo, it just makes him mad"
>
> The "Rock" Mite, a product of the tiny town of "Rock" Ridge? Alright,
> alright...it was corny, got sump'n better? :-)
>
Duke Ellington playing the baby blue piano out on the range...

"Candygram for Mongo"

"It's twue, it's twue!" (N0BK is with Madeline Kahn now).

> > > > and most other QRP
> > > > designs require toroid winding,
>
> He screamed in horror "No, not the dreaded toroid!"

"Ve haff vays ov mekking you wind zem...."
>
> > > > so you might consider a "build
> > > > practice" kit that includes toroid winding. Just a consideration.
> > > >
> > > > The K2 is not that difficult to build if one has some soldering and
> > > > assembly skills, the right tools, and patience. Building mine was much
> > > > easier and faster than designing and building my homebrew rigs from
> > > > scratch, but still a bit of a challenge and a learning experience. One
> > > > real budget-saver is the fact that you can buy and build a "bare" K2,
> > > > then add the various options later.
>
> So noted, thank. You do realize that my greatest enemy here is the
> conspiracy between my anticipation and lack of patience to "jump the gun."
> (Who needs practice kits?) I'm starting with a 30m SST though. Then perhaps
> an Oak Hills kit. Then maybe a K1. Then maybe, .just maybe...a bare bones
> K2.

Go for the K1 right off and be done with it. Anything worth doing is
worth overdoing.
>
> > > Hmm, lemme start writing to Santa Spouse. 0;-)
> >
> > I financed my K2 and options by selling of some of the spare parts
> > I've amassed in 35+ years. Funny - stuff that folks practically gave
> > away is now worth something. "It's you or the dumpster, kid" - and I
> > was the kid.
>
> Eternal?

Yea, verily. You don't know the half of it. Goes all the way back to
my "Daisy And The Dynamotor" days.

> > > > Do you read the Elecraft reflector?
> > >
> > > No, but I probably should. Is it on the Elecraft website?
> >
> > Yes - also instructions on how to subscribe so you get the emails on a
> > daily basis. Tremendous amount of good info.
>
> Thanks you, I'm off...

Interesting bunch of folks, particularly when you consider the level
of support and advice given out free by both the company and satisfied
buyers. Even if one never buys their product, there's lots to learn.

> > Of course the real miracle is having a spare closet to begin with!

> Quite true.

The way OTs kept nosy siblings and parents away from radio stuff was to leave charged-up capacitors lying around looking innocent. Couple dozen uF with several hundred volts in 'em is very educational. Can't do that today!

73 de Jim, N2EY

2174. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 17:51:09 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arto2c$p88$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56414

```
Don't lead em as much.

Women and kids are slower.

Dan/W4NTI

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:art6hp01sok@enews1.newsguy.com...
> I miss my "X.............." but my aim is getting better!!!!!! :)
>
>
> --
> Ryan, KC8PMX
> FF/MFR
> REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
>
>
>
> "Symbolism is for the simple minded....."
>
>
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:arlqt1$t3k$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> > I dont much like to think of my 'X' at all.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3DDDCC74.FA1B84F3@earthlink.net...
> > > "Dee D. Flint" wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:yXfD9.102389$QZ.16898@sccrnsc02...
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Ennaway! Time for dinner here... oh, and just in case you didn't
> know
> > > > > (because my first name can be both a male and a female name), I'm
a
> > YL.
> > > > Or,
> > > > > is that an XYL? In any event, I'm a divorced single mom of an
> awesome
> > > > > teenaged son who has suddenly taken a keen interest in his mom's
```

> > > > > handi-talkie!
> > > > >
> > > > > 73 to all
> > > > >
> > > > > Jackie KC0ODY
> > > > >
> > > > If you were still married, you would be your husband's XYL. In any
> > other
> > > > capacity, you are a YL. So when you are on the air you are a YL.
> > However
> > > > if your husband were referring to you, he would speak of you as his
> XYL.
> > > > >
> > > > Dee Flint, N8UZE. (also a YL)
> > >
> > > I like to think of my former wife as my XXYL.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> >
>
>

2175. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 17:52:45 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <arto53$67q$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56415

Reason I bothered to go for Extra was after coming back from Europe with
just a General I had to do something so I had some elbow room.

Also I didn't have a digital readout....grin.

Dan/W4NTI

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:art6hr21sok@enews1.newsguy.com...
> That is true.... but for those who seem to think that upgrading is so
> "all-fired" important, that structure would probably help to encourage
> that....
>
>
> --
> Ryan, KC8PMX
> FF/MFR
> REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
>
>
>
> "Symbolism is for the simple minded....."
>
>
> "Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> news:TJND9.2592$4j5.1451353@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> > Many might view your proposed names as a put down of everyone who isn't
an
> > Extra. Afterall who wants to be considered "second class" or less.
> >
> > Dee Flint, N8UZE
> >
> >
> > "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
> > news:arnpdn72nl0@enews2.newsguy.com...
> > > I still think that the names of the license classes should be changed
to
> > the
> > > following.....
> > >
> > > First Class License..... Extra Class
> > > Second Class.............Advanced Class (till they run out of
currently
> > > licensed ones....)
> > > Third Class ....General Class

> > > Fourth Class.............Technician with code
> > > Fifth Class.................Technician without code
> > > Six Class...................Novice (till they run out of currently
> > licensed
> > > ones....)
> > >
> > >
> > > After the old novices and advanced are gone from expiration or
> > advancement,
> > > it could be something like this:
> > >
> > > First Class------Extra Class
> > > Second Class---General Class
> > > Third Class------Coded Technician
> > > Fourth Class-----No-Coded Technician
> > >
> > >
> > > Just a though....
> > >
> > >
> > > --
> > > Ryan, KC8PMX
> > > FF/MFR
> > > REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > "Symbolism is for the simple minded....."
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2176. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 17:55:19 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <artoisgkr1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56416

Exactly....that why it is called 'DUMBED DOWN'.

Dan/W4NTI

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021124222314.07628.00007282@mb-mn.aol.com...
> From: "Jackie L." buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com
> >I'd like to know what the Tech test was like before it was "dumbed-down".
I
> >don't know "dumbed-down" from the purportedly "smart" test that was given
> >before the one I took. What is the story here?
> This is not a productive question to ask. You'll get alot of arguing,
rather
> than answers.
>
> >I want to learn this stuff, especially the electronics part of it, and
I'd
> >sure like to talk with other hams on the air about it. Unfortunately, my
> >first on the air contacts have not met with much fruition, as few people
> >want to talk with "newbies". I know there are some people out there who
> >would like to mentor newbies and get others into the technical aspects of
> >the hobby (even if they *did* cruise in on a dumbed-down license), so I
will
> >bide my time until I find someone to talk with who won't judge others by
the
> >test they took to enter the hobby.
>
> The code Nazis believes code is the most important thing. They will try to
put
> sown those that don't try to learn the code. With the emphasis on code
only,
> the technical people are discouraged, and the dumbing down of amateur
radio
> occurs. It is clear wa8ulx and his type want code to be the only aspect.
>
> You can get a code free technician license. However, if you want to get
> involved in the technical part of Amateur Radio, I don't feel you will
find it
> through Ham Radio. Its just not part of the culture.
>
> I have been to several clubs, Hamfests, conventions. I have tried to raise
this
> point. But, Hams do not seem to want that. Most seem to be there for
appliance
> operation, contesting, ragchewing, dx, etc. The only thing that comes

close is
> that Hams seem to get very involved with antennas and become quite good.
> However, this is just so they can better outfit their radios.
>
> Also, I found many Hams want to use strange codewords, many that begin
with Q.
> I guess part of the draw of Ham Radio is that they can be part of these
> traditions. Most Hams are male, few are technical. Calling CQ and getting
on
> the air is what interests them.
>
> You may understand technically what a Ham is saying, but you may not be
able to
> relate to it unless you are a true Ham. Ham seem to have some kind of
> commonality. Common traditions, goals, politics, interests. You find that
> either you are a "Ham" or you are not (whether or not you learn code).
>
> The other potential activities such as homebrewing, kit building, radio
> direction finding, troubleshooting, test equipment operation, etc are not
> emphasized in the Ham Radio clubs.
>
> I found it frustrating trying to find Hams that are interested in the
technical
> aspects. Hope you have better luck.
>
>
>
>
>
>

2177. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 18:52:37 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211251052.367fbd40@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56417

"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:<ars95g016gl@enews2.newsguy.com>...
> "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021124220005.19688.00000286@mb-ba.aol.com...
> > >Ooops, I see where you've amended your story to exclude any lucky
> > >guessing, also.
> > >
> >
> > Your right there was no lucky guessing, as a matter of fact there was no
> > guessing.
>
> You(')r(e) right(,) there was no lucky guessing(.) (A)s a matter of fact(,)
> there was no
> guessing.
>
> > >I'm suggesting that your story stinks. It just doesn't have the ring
> > >of truth to it.
> >
> > To bad you cant except the truth, your just upset you cant pass the
> Extra.
>
> To(o) bad you can(')t (ac)cept the truth(.) (Y)ou(')r(e) just upset you
> can(')t pass the Extra.
>
> I promise that I'll quit doing this if I see one post from Babbling Brucey
> that is at least one *full* line, and no grammatical or syntax errors.
> Typos are forgivable to an extent.

The sad part is that he boasts about how stupid other people are.

2178. Re: I will be out of it for a few Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 19:04:53 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <arts9401842@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56419

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021125093349.19632.00000341@mb-ba.aol.com...
> Well guys I will be out of it for a few Days, headed out of town again. I
hope
> you all dont miss me to much, see you all whem I get back, its been fun as
> usuall. While Im gone I will try and think of some new stuff that will
piss you
> all off.

Well guys, I will be out of it for a few (d)ays, headed out of town again. I
hope
you all don(')t miss me to(o) much(.) (S)ee you all whe(n - possible typo,
but knowing Brucey, one can never tell for sure) I get back(.) (I)t(')s been
fun as
(usual). While I(')m gone I will try and think of some new stuff that will
piss you
all off.

Ever notice how Babbling Brucey makes more mistakes when he's pissed off?

2179. Re: Happy Thanksgiving!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 19:20:08 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uu4tupomh3uq23@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56418

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0211250919.db2f84d@posting.google.com...
<SNIP>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> "As God is my witness, I thought turkeys could fly"

I thought I was the only WKRP fan in here!

I used to go to Cincinnati to the GM training center
there, when I was a "Mr. Goodwrench". It was always
kind of neat to watch WKRP while you were in a
motel room in Cincinnati. :^)

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

2180. Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 19:47:07 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uu4vhdc2g6m017@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56420

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3DE23DE9.BECCD68A@earthlink.net...
<SNIP>
> I've been a radio amateur for 39 years and I've never encountered an
> "only coder". On what plane of existence are we likely to find an "only
> coder"?
> Is the practice of transcendental meditation necessary in order to find
> what we seek?
>
> Dave K8MN

Didn't the "only coder" go out of existence about the time we switched
from telegraph to telephone? For a ham hasn't it always been code
plus theory to get licensed? If this is so, then there cannot be such a
thing as an 'only coder' in ham radio!

This part is for "V".
WHAT IS YOUR CALL SIGN Vshah101?
INQUIRING MINDS WANT TO KNOW!

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

2181. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 21:19:40 UTC
From: nm5k@wt.net
Message-ID: <25eb70d7.0211251319.f04f364@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56421
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Bill M <""exray\"@n-o- .caribe.net> wrote in message
> > I agree that 5wpm isn't all that tough for many people, but learning technical
> > competency with a REAL technical exam would be a better allocation of time
> > for newbies. Exclusive clubs based upon anachronisms isn't helpful. Exclusive
> > clubs based upon competency, public service and even creating a larger
> > 'technical' gene pool isn't a perfect thing, but much more socially justifiable than
> > 'secret handshakes' like Morse code.
> >
> > John
>
> That description of the Code fits the outsider viewpoint exactly. I'd
> guess that more than half of the new 5 word-per-minuters cannot copy 5
> wpm so there's hardly a secret society mentality.

Secret handshake....I had a good laugh over that one. Good
grief...Nothing has ever been secret about morse code. And the funny
part is we hams had nothing to do with implementing a code test. Also,
the license exams are not "know everything or else" types of tests.
They are just meager entrance exams. The usual ham learns much more
AFTER he gets a ticket, not before. Also, it takes many years to learn
all that can be learned about radio, or whatever else related to the
hobby. If thats even possible. I've been farting with this crap for 31
years, and I've still got a long way to go in order to even think I
might know all I need to know. The reality is most will never catch
up. Same with computers, and coding. I've done quite a bit of software
work in regard to flight sims over the years. If I drop out and rest
just for a few months, I fall so far behind the "envelope" that it's
hard to catch up again. The technology progresses that fast. It
progresses almost weekly. When I took my ham test, code was a non
issue. It was part of the test, and you learned it if you wanted a
ticket. Pretty simple really. If they drop the code test totally in
the future, fine. But until then, a 5 wpm test is required, and
whining about it here is not going to make it go away. Sheesh...It
wasn't long ago that 13 and 20 wpm were required. The code whiners
should count their blessings...To me, 5 wpm is no-code for all
practical purposes. It's too slow to be of much use on the air. :/
MK

2182. Re: I will be out of it for a few Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 21:29:49 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DE2964D.2090209@psu.edu>
Source record: 56423

Krell wrote:
> "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021125093349.19632.00000341@mb-ba.aol.com...
>
>>Well guys I will be out of it for a few Days, headed out of town again. I
>
> hope
>
>>you all dont miss me to much, see you all whem I get back, its been fun as
>>usuall. While Im gone I will try and think of some new stuff that will
>
> piss you
>
>>all off.
>
>
> Well guys, I will be out of it for a few (d)ays, headed out of town again. I
> hope
> you all don(')t miss me to(o) much(.) (S)ee you all whe(n - possible typo,
> but knowing Brucey, one can never tell for sure) I get back(.) (I)t(')s been
> fun as
> (usual). While I(')m gone I will try and think of some new stuff that will
> piss you
> all off.
>
> Ever notice how Babbling Brucey makes more mistakes when he's pissed off?

I don't care one way or the other, but I hafta point out that the
thread from his last trip is still going strong (Well guys its been fun)
Have a good trip, Bruce, and take care.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2183. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 21:33:51 UTC
From: OC_CAM's_Razor <Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.org>
Message-ID: <3DE2973F.ADC34A26@ieee.org>
Source record: 56422
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Mark Keith wrote:
> Secret handshake....I had a good laugh over that one. Good
> grief...Nothing has ever been secret about morse code.

Yep it has, Mark. W5DXP and W5FQC were the only individuals in
Madisonville High School in the early 50's who knew Morse Code.
We used it quite often to send secret messages that our teachers
couldn't understand and hardly noticed. It was particularly useful
during exams and double dates.
--
cheers, CAM http://www.qsl.net/w5dxp

-----= Posted via Newsfeeds.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeeds.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== Over 80,000 Newsgroups - 16 Different Servers! =-----

2184. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 21:42:43 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.org>
Message-ID: <nPwE9.814$ta5.185836@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 56424

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote

: Exactly....that why it is called 'DUMBED DOWN'.

Now that it's only three steps from entry to Amateur Extra, we hear all about "dumbed down" and that new hams are somehow "children of a lesser god". That attitude defies reason, if the licensee is active in the hobby. How much of the technology required to pass the Extra Class exam, when it was first offered in 1952, be appropriate today? Very little, for sure! Unless you got your license only to achieve some lifetime goal and you've never been an active ham, you know that you have to keep learning more and more about this hobby just to keep in step!

So if you're active in the hobby, the particular license test you took and when you took it and weather you took it in front of some steely-eyed FCC employee or not, becomes less and less relevant with each passing day.

If you're not active in the hobby, then you are rapidly becoming a dinosaur anyhow, again regardless of the test that you took and when you took it.

I'm not here to hear about your labor pains -- I'm here to see the new baby.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

2185. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 23:29:08 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uu5d0ko92mibc7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56425

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0211241858.503a4c91@posting.google.com...
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<uu1t8dj3raeo37@corp.supernews.com>...
>
> > I think it's pretty funny that there's a reference to a "delightful
message
> > to Bruce" when he reposts the excellent message to him. LOL
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
>
> Kim, I try real hard to not tell these guys to go to hell, but every
> once in a while something slips out. I once told Dick to go soak his
> hemmoroids in kerosene. He didn't take me up on it. Anymore I just
> try to walk away without smelling like I've been wrestling a pig.

Yeah, so noted. However, playing the xylophone is so much easier than, say,
a fiddle <CHORTLE>

Kim W5TIT

2186. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 23:30:10 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uu5d2kl6m795e9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56426

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0211241901.16d10184@posting.google.com...
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<uu1t4f34hudrfc@corp.supernews.com>...
> > "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:f45722ac.0211240707.7567f35e@posting.google.com...
>
> > > Without Kim having ever said this, I've as much told Dave he was a
> > > jerk. Without the F word, of course.
> >
> > **BIG GRIN** You all do realize that when one uses the phrase "the F
word"
> > or something similar, it is as much as saying the whole word out loud
right?
> > Sometimes the emphasis by using that word is just pure justice. That's
> > especially so where Dave H. is concerned.
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
>
> That's why I try not to use it in any case.

Ah. I'm more from the genre that figures if it fits, use it...

Kim W5TIT

2187. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 23:35:07 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uu5dbrp6ega38f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56428

"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:ars95g016gl@enews2.newsguy.com...
>
> "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021124220005.19688.00000286@mb-ba.aol.com...
> > >Ooops, I see where you've amended your story to exclude any lucky
> > >guessing, also.
> > >
> >
> > Your right there was no lucky guessing, as a matter of fact there was no
> > guessing.
>
> You(')r(e) right(,) there was no lucky guessing(.) (A)s a matter of
fact(,)
> there was no
> guessing.
>
> > >I'm suggesting that your story stinks. It just doesn't have the ring
> > >of truth to it.
> >
> > To bad you cant except the truth, your just upset you cant pass the
> Extra.
>
> To(o) bad you can(')t (ac)cept the truth(.) (Y)ou(')r(e) just upset you
> can(')t pass the Extra.
>
> I promise that I'll quit doing this if I see one post from Babbling Brucey
> that is at least one *full* line, and no grammatical or syntax errors.
> Typos are forgivable to an extent.
>

I'm actually thinking of looking up a telephone number for WA8ULX. I can't
imagine a ham radio operator actually acting like he does. I especially
can't imagine someone like him passing any kind of test where reading is
required.

Anyone all that sure that this poster isn't a poser?

Kim W5TIT

2188. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 23:36:28 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uu5ded6j61d2d6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56429

"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:arscuk0soc@enews3.newsguy.com...
>
> "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021124234033.29016.00000215@mb-fe.aol.com...
> > >It's fortunate for Babbling Brucey that a license isn't required for
> > >internet access.
> >
> > So true, if there was one required you would be SOL
>
> Sorry, OM, but I'd score a passing grade because...
>
> ...I know the difference between "there", "their", and "they're"...
>
> ...I know the difference between "to", "two", and "too"...
>
> ...I know the difference between "forth" and "fourth"...
>
> I seriously doubt that the same thing could be said about you.
>
>

Mention contraction and he thinks he's got another kid on the way...

Kim W5TIT

2189. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 23:39:43 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uu5dki8i5bjs65@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56430

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:art6hh31sok@enews1.newsguy.com...
> Larry,
>
> I agree with this post for the most part.... Our national organizations
> (ARRL and others) are responsible, as well as the more "local"
organizations
> too. There are some clubs that are doing an awesome job at this, but
there
> are many others that are not pulling their own weight in this regard. The
> way I see things going recently is that each one of us can try to "sell"
ham
> radio to our friends and family, but after we have gotten all of the
people
> that are our friends/family licensed, we all seem to stop. (Gee, almost
> sounds a little like Amway.... sell to family and friends first?) Maybe
> Amway does have a good idere there, as in the recruitment? :)
>
>
> --
> Ryan, KC8PMX
> FF/MFR
> REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
>
>

Did you ever stop to ponder that the "face" of amateur radio is changing,
the times are changing, the world is changing, etc., and so too will amateur
radio and its related requirements, R&?R, *and* traditions? This has
nothing to do with "dumbing down" or any other denigration that you can
muster up.

Things never stay the same.

Kim W5TIT

2190. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 23:41:08 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021125184108.24190.00000098@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 56427

>Subject: Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.
>From: OC_CAM's_Razor Cecil.A.Moore@ieee.org
>Date: 11/25/02 3:33 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3DE2973F.ADC34A26@ieee.org>

>We used it quite often to send secret messages that our teachers
>couldn't understand and hardly noticed. It was particularly useful
>during exams and double dates.

WhooHoo...DOn't i know that!

My best friend and I did essentially the same thing! What a great "asset"
to have at one's disposal!

73

Steve, K4YZ

Hi Ya, Cecil! Welcome back to the fray!

2191. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 23:49:18 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <2GyE9.139704$1O2.10095@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 56431

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0211250525.ee2a4d@posting.google.com...

> In 2001, the FCC did away with the Novice exams, and the VEC's folded
> the Novice material into the Technician written.
>
> If you take today's General written exam, you'll be the equivalent of
> the "old" Technicians, sans code.
>
> You passed the requirement. That's all thats necessary.

Ok, that explains things a bit better. Thanks.

jackie

2192. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 23:52:57 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <tJyE9.138778$nB.11527@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 56432

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0211250822.6d6ebcec@posting.google.com...
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021125014809.02762.00000096@mb-cq.aol.com>...

> There are also a few (only a few!) new and not-so-new folks who think
> that just because they passed the test, their learning is done.

I certainly don't consider myself one of those few. I feel like I've barely scratched the surface... I still haven't figured out what type of antenna is going to work best for my soon-to-be-bought base rig, and even when I do decide on that, I still have to figure out how to hook everything up, use an antenna tuner, read an SWR meter... and where it's all gonna be set up... these things were covered to a very small degree in the Technician study materials, but beyond that it's learn as you go.

Jackie

2193. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 23:54:00 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <sKyE9.138518$QZ.23194@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 56433

> > The code Nazis believes code is the most important thing.
>
> Usenet 101: First person to call their opposition "nazis" or compare
> them to Hitler loses.

Godwin's Law has officially been invoked!

Jackie

2194. Re: Communication Corrections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-25 23:57:50 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uu5emhfcl91j5c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56439

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:art6hm71sok@enews1.newsguy.com...
> > > That's a shame.... I have done that locally speaking. Its just simply
a
> > > matter of how ya do it. At first, I take the attitude of "Hey Joe
Smith
> > (or
> > > whatever name), not sure if you were aware that "(wrong activity
here)"
> is
> > > wrong, but here's a good way of doing it......." usually works the
first
> > > time. Flagrant violators obviously need to be turned in though...
> > >
> >
> > Are you talking about FCC Rules violations or "traditions" of amateur
> radio
> > when you say "flagrant violators obviously need to be turned in though."
> I
> > don't believe in "correcting" the practices of newcomers--as I've heard
> > oldcomers using non-traditional practices as well. However, rules
> > violations should be pointed out--more for the individual's protection
> than
> > anything.
>
> I would have to say the FCC Rules violations more than the "traditions."
>

Well, that's something that should be corrected ASAP, and listened for also,
I think. Especially on a home repeater.

> >
> > I have heard even people like Jim Haynie come on the air down here and
> > correct people for the following:
> >
> > XXXCall, from XXXCall.
> > (pause to wait for response)
> > XXXCall, from XXXCall.
> > (pause again)
> > Station not heard, XXXCall clear.
> >
> > The correction Haynie made was that "station not heard" is not used on
VHF
> > frequencies [he may have even said ham radio, but I think he stated
VHF].

> > Well, it may not be used by Haynie or people he respects and likes to
> > communicate with; but there is no rule saying a person cannot end their
> call
> > with "station not heard." In fact, I personally think it's rather
proper
> > and sends the message to all listening that the call is done, end of
> > transmission. It also tells the person being called (in the event they
> are
> > responding but not being heard) that they are not being heard.
> >
>
> The way I do it on FM?
>
> W*ABC from KC8PMX
> pause for response
>
> W*ABC from KC8PMX
> pause again for response
>
> KC8PMX
>
> Obviously different modes have different methods of how a call would go,
but
> two brief calls like I listed above seem adequate and allow for a
reasonable
> time for the person to respond. The final "signing" by me I view as to
let
> others know I am done, and whether or not someone uses the word "clear"
> after a callsign it actually a petty argument IMHO. It takes less than a
> second to say the word clear. Public safety related organizations use that
> word all the time.....
>

Absolutely. My opinion is that when we start trying to correct someone on
how they communicate on ham radio, it is much like trying to correct someone
from saying things the way they may say them "back home." Where I'm from,
the elders call a closet a clothes press. :)

> > > >
> > > > When I was initiating my on the air experience I was corrected, it
> > seemed
> > > on
> > > > a daily basis. I didnt' get my hackles up, I payed attention.
> Perhaps
> > > we
> > > > need some more of that...eh?
> > > >
> > >
> >
> > Were you making rules violations to be corrected? Here's the thing: if
> > someone gets on the air and starts saying, for instance, "10-4," so

what?
> I
> > might say something to them at a club meeting or an eyeball meeting, but
> I'm
> > not about to jump on the freq police bandwagon and let that person know
> that
> > "we don't do that on ham radio." Like I said, I hear oldtimers doing
that
> > and many other things that are considered "not amateur radio." I'd
rather
> > spend time communicating with someone than get into a difference over
> > semantics with them about how they communicate. I don't care if someone
> > says "yeah" "10-4" or "rodger dodger." If they are letting me know they
> > heard the transmission and understand what I said, I couldn't care less
> > about how they say it. And, the funny thing around here is, you'll hear
> the
> > voice of someone whose identity is known very well, come on and make a
> > correction of another and then end--WITHOUT a callsign ever being given.
> > Or, typical even more is, the oldtimers will jump onto frequency making
a
> > call, right in the middle of a whole host of other people who've been
> > ragchewing. See? It's just a matter of what mountain you choose to
> battle.
> > Rules violations should be cautioned against. Communication standards
in
> > every day communication, though, is no big deal--in my opinion.
> >
>
> Typically it was a rules related violations.... It is all about how you
> handle it I guess. At first, I definitely would be diplomatic about it.
> Kinda like the addage... the more ya yell, the less I am gonna listen!
From
> time to time, I make errors, due to that fact that there are two different
> radio systems I operate on as well as amatuer radio... and sometimes they
> get mixed up from time to time. For example, the phonetics. I am guilty
> as hell of mixing up the APCO versus ITU phonetics.... in fact our Central
> Dispatch was severly caught off guard when I used ITU phonetics one time.
> (Adam, Boy, Charlie vs. Alpha Bravo Charlie and so on...) I have caught
> myself wanting to say 10-3 (basically a direct "yes" to a question) in
> response to a query that someone has posed to me, and damned near bit my
> tongue when saying so.... and quickly changed it to "affirmative."
>
>

But, phonetics are not governed by FCC Rules, are they? Now, in a RACES or ARES or otherwise EmCom net, that's a different story. If a certain phonetics is required for communication during a net (actually of any kind) then play by that net's procedures (note: procedures as opposed to FCC Rules).

Here's one for you: how 'bout the phrase "we" when someone is speaking of only theirself? I mean, that just grates on me big time. But, I am not about to even correct or tease about it, because it's not a rules thing--it's a *preference* thing. Do I think the person makes ham radio folks sound pretty dumb when they say that? Yep. But, it's just one of those things.

> > > If you're talking about any other than rules stuff, I'd say you enjoy
> being
> > > the frequency police. Just an observation.
> > >
> > > Kim W5TIT
> > >
> > >
> >
> Not at all Kim..... if it is in a manner to "help" another, I will share
> that with the offender.... I do not "stalk" the frequencies as it is how
you
> are saying in that sentence. Again... it is all in the matter of how you
> take on the offender, and how well they recieve it. Two of the five times
I
> have ever attempted to "correct" another ham was:
>
> 1. A simplex operator was close to an input frequency to a repeater,
enough
> to keep triggering the repeater, and politely informed him of what was
> happening. He stated he wasn't aware that was happening and said thank you
> and adjusted frequency.
>

That was probably a Rules violation. In that case, and in any case, the example above is one where I wouldn't have any problem at all correcting or being corrected.

>
> 2. A different guy though you were supposed to ID at the end of a
> transmission. Rules state every ten minutes and at the end of
conversation.
> And again politely informed him that he didn't need to ID right before
every
> single time he unkeyed. He also was cool about it.
>

OK, here's an *excellent* example. If someone said, "you have to ID at the end of every transmission," I would certainly be compelled to quote R&R so that any misperception is alleviated. HOWEVER, that having been said, if someone wants to ID at the end of every transmission, that's his/her preference.

Here's the thing: it's my opinion (and I've witnessed this happen time after time around here) that if someone gets on with really bad communication skills...it soon becomes evident and they gradually find less and less

responses to their calls--until they are finally gone.

> Again.... even though I do not like the term "policing" in the phrase
> "self-policing" but that is what we are supposed to be. And if we all
learn
> to politely deliver those messages of erroneous operation, and all learn
to
> take that as "constructive criticism" I guess ham radio would be a better
> place. We need to look at ourselves before we start bitchin' about others
> such as freebanders etc......
> --
> Ryan, KC8PMX
> FF/MFR
> REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
> "Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

On your last note, yes, we are a self-policing body. However, I never took that to mean that communication preferences were to be corrected. There's nothing that grates on me more than to hear the term "we don't do it like that." Now, that is separate and apart from net policies and practices because, as I said, that's a whole different ballgame.

I guess I look at the non-net side of ham radio as a time to just kick back and relax. I am not going to worry about what or how I am saying something and I'm not going to preoccupy or worry myself about what or how someone else is saying something. I'm there to just ragchew. If I say 10-4 (which I don't and never have) once or twice, wow, no prob. If I say it all the time, I'd fully expect to lose contacts and be shunned.

By the way, I'm really enjoying this exchange...one very different from the usual exchanges here in the newsgroup. This is as it should be.

Kim W5TIT

2195. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 00:01:54 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uu5eualfdslk09@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56440

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:art6hu51sok@enews1.newsguy.com...
> True... Republicans are doing it more and more.... Especially now. They
now
> want to invade more and more of our privacy from day to day, with the
excuse
> of 9/11 as their justification. (Along with the thought control,
> controlling what we read etc...) Screw it.. I am gonna pay for everything
> in cash where possible.......
>
>
> --
> Ryan, KC8PMX
> FF/MFR
> REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
>
>

Oh, man!!! I couldn't agree with you more, Ryan. It's a scarey thing
what's happening. Here's the thing: with or without 9/11 I felt like this
would happen. The more a Conservative (Republican or otherwise) uses the
phrase "less government," the more I look over my shoulder.

9/11 just made it a whole lot easier and escalated the turn of events that
would have come about any way.

Kim W5TIT

2196. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 00:03:46 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021125190346.25792.00001990@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 56437

In article <c2356669.0211250838.1d5dc60e@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
(N2EY) writes:

>vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) wrote in message
>news:<20021124222314.07628.00007282@mb-mn.aol.com>...
>>
>> The code Nazis believes code is the most important thing.
>
>Usenet 101: First person to call their opposition "nazis" or compare
>them to Hitler loses.

...it offends those who were born after the German Nazis lost.
:-)
...and it certainly offends the new neo-nazis who just love to
do nearly the same.

>BZZZZZT! You lose, "Vshah101".

Sorry, Mr. N2EY, you are NOT the moderator in here...nor are
you any judge over "winning" or "losing."

>> Most seem to be there for appliance
>> operation, contesting, ragchewing, dx, etc.
>
>How is this a bad thing? Our licenses are for operating, not building.

Oh, my, an accomplished super-duper extra that doesn't know
the regulations?!? The FCC considers an amateur radio license
to be BOTH an operator AND station license. It is IN the rules.

>> The only thing that comes close is
>> that Hams seem to get very involved with antennas and become quite good.
>> However, this is just so they can better outfit their radios.
>
>What other purpose is there to the theory side other than building
>better radios?

1. Knowing how their "un-better" radios are so much lesser than
their "better" radios. Those who "design and build their own
ham gear" ought to be cautious about claiming "design" of an
already-designed KIT purchased from others.
2. There are several sub-parts in the US amateur radio regulations
which are the responsibility of each licensee to meet. That
responsibility is part and parcel of licensing. To say "it's only
about operating" is the same as a schoolchild trying to tell
their teacher "my dog ate my homework." :-)
3. Some in here wave the hero flag about "providing emergency

communications" (to save the nation, world, universe, etc.)
from 97.1 (a), they seem to be totally blind to 97.1 (b),
97.1 (c), and 97.1 (d). ALL those definitions in 97.1 are
equally applicable...there is no distinction given as to which
if "first" or "last."
4. To say that it is ONLY "about operating" is making it just
another CB-like radio service but with a federal license.

>> Also, I found many Hams want to use strange codewords, many that begin with
>Q.
>
>They're called abbreviations. They make communication faster and
>clearer. Compare "you are are being interfered with by another station
>or stations" to "QRM".

Yes, and "QSY" means to change frequency to anyone in old-time
HF radio, any HF radio service.

It was common 30, 20, 10 years ago, up to today to hear long-
time amateurs on HF voice use the very flat "hi-hi" instead of
actually laughing. Not a natural voice mode substitute or even
abbreviation for laughter. Apparently there is little natural
laughter among long-time HF amateurs? :-)

>> I guess part of the draw of Ham Radio is that they can be part of these
>> traditions.
>
>Is that a bad thing?

Not at all. Add in the secret handshakes, importance in appearance
through walls full of certificates, always signing their written
correspondence to anyone with the amateur call sign, and making
sure that their work title is always preceded by the word "ham" such
as "ham-actor" or "ham-butcher" or the like. Tradition or gratuitous
self-importance? :-)

>> Most Hams are male, few are technical.
>
>> Calling CQ and getting on the air is what interests them.
>>
>Our licenses are for operating, not "being technical".

Do you wish a third reminder of what the regulations say
that a US amateur license is for BOTH operator and station?

Hmmm...I had thought the designer of his K2 could correct
himself in regards to his regulations?

>I have no idea what this is supposed to mean.

I had no idea that a long-time extra ham-person forgot what
US amateur radio regulations apply to him...tsk, tsk, tsk.

>> I found it frustrating trying to find Hams that are interested in the
>> technical aspects.
>
>Have you tried any of the technically oriented newsgroups or
>reflectors? rec.radio.amateur.homebrew, for example?

There's always Electric Radio fanzine engaged in an on-going
love affair with vacuum tubes. :-)

>I'm interested in the technical aspects and operating. Particularly
>operating with Morse code. These things are not mutually exclusive.
>
>I've been that way since before I had a license or an EE degree. In
>fact, ham radio led me to EE school.

Really? I had to use a map to find some of my university
locations.

>Enough of this - Vshah101 is obviously in put-down mode. Plonk!

Yes, enough, Mr.N2EY, you want Order In The Court! :-)

2197. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 00:03:47 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021125190347.25792.00001991@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 56436

In article <d22b54c3.0211250613.48b8ca8d@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) wrote in message
>news:<20021124222314.07628.00007282@mb-mn.aol.com>...
>
>>
>> I have been to several clubs, Hamfests, conventions. I have tried to raise
>> > this
>> point. But, Hams do not seem to want that. Most seem to be there for
>appliance
>> operation, contesting, ragchewing, dx, etc. The only thing that comes close
>is
>> that Hams seem to get very involved with antennas and become quite good.
>> However, this is just so they can better outfit their radios.
>
>Exactly, ham radio is about radio communications, always has been and
>the quest for more effective communications never ends. The radio
>manufacturers are today supplying affordable equipment which
>represents the leading edge vs. the unaffordable often crappy gear we
>had to deal with a half century ago when many of us rolled our own. I
>built a half dozen or so rcvrs back when, I wouldn't waste my time on
>any such thing today for real comms.

Crystal sets don't count quite the same as "real radios." :-)

er, wasn't the quantity 26 instead of a "half dozen?"

>So there is little or no interest
>in designing & building radios these days and for the most part we're
>now appliance operators. As you point out the last bastion of
>homebrewing is antennas and you bet we're into antennas.

Oh? "Last bastion?!?" Then why so many messages in
rec.radio.amateur.homebrew?

>Which makes
>my point. We do what we have to do in order to COMMUNICATE.

er, Cool Hand Fluke, what you've got there is a "failure to
communicate." :-)

OK, now pick up your paddles and start writing more nastygrams
on the Internet... :-)

>You guessed wrong, you obviously don't know what makes ham radio tick.

Change is coming...tick...tick...tick...tick...

>Yup. Get comfortable with it or look somewhere else for a hobby 'cause
>ham radio is not gonna change to suit your fancy.

Is it going to Stay The Same just to suit your fancy?

>This bullshit was worn out in this NG years ago, you're very late into
>the game.

Oh, my, your bullshit was worn out years ago and here all can
see the same thing... :-)

>That's right, there is a strong social aspect to ham radio you
>apparently have trouble dealing with. If all that interests you is
>electronics geekery you're wasting your time here and at ham radio
>functions, join yer local chapter of the IEEE.

The IEEE is a professional membership organization. Amateur
radio is for amateur activities, by US government definition.

>Have you ever actually built a piece of equipment and put it on the
>air? Have you ever even seen a copy of QEX? Have you ever logged into
>dot homebrewing?

Have you? :-)

2198. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 00:03:48 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021125190348.25792.00001993@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 56434

In article <art6hp01sok@enews1.newsguy.com>, "KC8PMX"
<kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> writes:

>I miss my "X.............." but my aim is getting better!!!!!! :)

...what?!? Ryan the marriage-licenseless? :-)

2199. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 00:03:48 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021125190348.25792.00001992@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 56435

In article <20021124201915.17907.00000710@mb-cs.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <d22b54c3.0211241354.16102c19@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
>(Brian Kelly) writes:
>
>>The original 1912 U.S. ham license test *did* include a code test.
>
>Yep - 5 wpm, sending and receiving. Then after WW1 it went to 10 wpm.
>
>>And a written you'd never in this world be able to pass.
>
>Essay questions where you had to explain every important detail and draw
>diagrams to the RI's satisfaction.

Are those anything like the essay questions I took and passed in
1956 in a Chicago field office of the FCC for a First Class Phone?

Can't be, spark of 1912 was forbidden by 1956... :-)

My apologies for mentioning that, knowing that you didn't pass a
First, only a Second.

2200. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 00:03:51 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021125190351.25792.00001994@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 56438

In article <%xrE9.823$pc.161651@news.iquest.net>, "John S. Dyson"
<dyson@iquest.net> writes:

>"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
>news:art6hf11sok@enews1.newsguy.com...
>>
>> Whether or not someone wants to argue the incentive licensing issue, or
>not,
>> the whole thing won't do a darned bit of good, unless there is the public's
>> knowledge about ham radio.
>>
>We have a generation of kids that are used to the overwhelming sensory
>experiences of video games, and even the WWW. In order to gain more
>participants, it seems to me that the issue of 'attractiveness' is more
>fundamental than the licensing qualifications.
>
>In a way, it makes little sense to decrease the qualifications if they are
>already so low, and even that slight impediment is stopping participation.
>
>People today have so many opportunities for day-to-day communications,
>that there is even a bit of a movement to hide from the on-demand
>communications distractions. Ham radio already has alot of competition
>from other communications systems. I am not claiming that current
>communications systems are equivalent to Ham Radio, but because there
>is a little bit of a 'dream' that is satisfied by Cellular or the WWW (for
>example) that makes the results of Ham Radio superficially less exotic
>and appear to be less of a challenge. (Of course, that isn't quite true,
>but appearances are sometimes more important than reality.)

Some good thoughts, John, but I would like to add a few things:

The general public has been aware of "radio" as well as television
for over two generations of time. Long distance communications
over several mediums is readily available to the general public:
Direct-dial telephones to any place in the world that has telephones;
International TV viewable on TV broadcast news; Facsimile
machines in drug store chains and department stores; Public
Safety Radio seen and heard in-person as well as over entertainment
media; CB radio that has been around since 1958, equipment now
available in hardware and department stores.

The general public, all ages, are well aware that radio exists, has
existed in many forms for years...for the last half century at least.
To better understand the general public (the target of publicity),
one has to look at what they see, hear, sense, understand.

>Ham radio will tend to draw more interest when it can provide a compelling
>and glitzy 'features.' This is a difficult thing to decide, because some of
the
>'best' glitzy things to do might take LOTS of bandwidth and/or might not even
be
>applicable to ham radio.
>
>I suspect that there are the 'ham radio' club marketing (e.g. ARRL) are
>trying to figure out some killer applications for the hobby.

Present-day or recent past "killer aps" involving technology were devised by individuals in the beginning. Please avoid looking at the latter-day results of the general marketplace that grew those "killer aps." Please avoid trying to copy present-day high-volume entertainment applications...Pong was originally a technology-simple game that only a few in the general public enjoyed. But electronic games grew and prospered through both technology increases and business marketing efforts. Today's electronic games are many orders of magnitude above the first Pong.

Trying for an analogue to the Internet or even the old BBS electronic communications area is a mistake both to try implementation as well as trying to negatively criticize and denigrate it. Internet comm has too much infrastructure and depends largely on business to keep it going. The general public is well aware of the Internet and, from the Census Bureau through NIST, one in five US households had some form of Internet access last year.

>Of course, it is
>a different kind of killer application than 'Spreadsheet', 'Video games' or
>somesuch, but I suspect that there is something out there.
>
>Ham radio already has SOME applications (contests, emergency communications,
>casual communications, electronics hobby), but additional *generationally
>relevent* applications need to be found.

I don't quite understand the "generationally relevant" designator. If that means solely the pre-adult population of today, then I'd say that the publicity battle is lost before it has begun. That generation already has tangible wonderful entertainment devices available, surpassing what was there a decade ago and far surpassing that of two, three, four decades ago.

For those generations that passed first year of adulthood, they already know radio exists in many forms. They are living in the new millennium where the standards and practices of their avocational activities are not absolutely rooted in the 1930s. To the general public "radio" is not an arcane "mystery" or "magic," just another in a collection of commonplace technology-based things that they have available.

In order to "get through" to the general public, the publicist has to understand them and realize that the publicist's own fervent opinions about an activity won't apply to enough of them. No matter how loyal

or even religious the publicist is about their own activity, the general public just isn't going to accept that on face-value. The general public has to be shown something attractive to them as a group, not just to the publicist.

>From what I see on the outside, Ham Radio isn't dying, but given the powerful
>tools available, it seems odd that more appropriate high visibility hobby
>applications havent been found (or publicized much.)

One of the biggest obstacles is the inwards-looking general actions of the ARRL. ARRL publicizes itself primarily to other radio amateurs and rarely reaches out to the general public. That seems correct to ARRL in that they congratulate themselves unduly as to the work ARRL is doing. They must congratulate themselves to make it look like they are "doing the job" in order to gain memberships and keep current members enrolled. Despite the near-gratuitous self-praise of "public eduction" efforts, the undeniable fact is that they rarely get national exposure about amateur radio to the general public.

US amateur radio isn't "dying." Unfortunately, it has reached a stagnant point where licensees are not increasing with the general population increase. Some licensees will explode with rage that anyone dares to say "bad things" about Their activity, Their love. While they feel righteous in their wrath, they are unable to understand that the general public just isn't impressed by Their ham-love or Their wonderful personal accomplishments in an essentially-avocational activity. Those just won't make effective swayers of public opinion.

The decrease in amateur radio licensees will probably begin showing in another decade or two. Undeniable actuarial-table facts will start manifesting themselves, offspring will go towards their own interests instead of bowing to familial pressure. The old standards and practices will appear even older and less attractive. The only possible survival is to accept change rather than resist it.

2201. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 01:02:55 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DE2C834.4010901@adelphia.net>
Source record: 56441

N2EY wrote:

<some snippage>

> It's a "class" distinction however you cut it. Forcing the newbies to
> take 2x3s while the OTs keep 1x3 or 1x2 calls simply puts the reward
> on time in grade rather than license test. Heck, in that "heyday", FCC
> would try to give a ham who moved a similar callsign in the new
> district.

True enough it is a class distinction. I wrestled with the idea of going for a 1X2 for a while. It would be a great help in contesting. But after seeing the grief some new hams caught for their perfidy, I decided that KB3EIA was just fine - at least for ten years or so.

- mike KB3EIA -

2202. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 01:05:37 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aruhfnjtn1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56442

"K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> wrote in message
news:yMrE9.31100$hK4.2698003@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
>
> Jim, N2EY, posted:
>
> > Here's the big question for those times: What was the FCC thinking?
First
> > (1951) they set up a new, more extensive incentive licensing system.
Then (end
> > of 1952) they do a quick 180 and give away all op privs to all hams
except
> > Novices and Techs.
>
> > 10 years later (1963), they dedide things have gone horribly wrong in
the ARS
> > and they have to change it all over yet again, so they create the 1968
changes.
> > Which are then slowly watered down.
>
> > What was so going so wrong in 1963 that FCC thought they had to make
such
> > drastic changes? (You should see what FCC wanted to do in 1965 - even
more
> > draconian than what was actually done).
>
> Here's a hypothesis: By 1963 the Kennedy/Johnson administration
> had established its influence inside the FCC in the person of one
> Newton Minow. I don't recall exactly when Minow gave his "Vast
> Wasteland" speech condemning broadcast television content, but
> it was sometime in that timeframe. While this sent broadcasters
> scurrying, I am willing to bet it also sent a very clear signal
> up and down the ranks of the FCC staffers to be vigilant that
> other media (broadcast radio, amateur radio) not become "vast
> wastelands" as well. Given the explosive growth of amateur
> radio in the 50's, mostly fueled by Conditional-class licensees
> with lower-middle-class backgrounds and speech characteristics,
> it is plausible (to me) that many of the upper-middle-class "old
> guard" were apprehensive that this could be the fate of amateur
> radio as well - band-edge to band-edge rag-chewing by unskilled
> "appliance operators" hardly interested in serving the "public
> interest, convenience and necessity". I think that for a number
> of FCC staffers concerned with amateur radio regulatory matters,
> Minow's "wake-up call" to the broadcasters was their green light to
> crack the Federal whip on the amateur service, which took the form

> of proposing, then carrying out, incentive licensing.
>
> I realize that correlation does not imply causality. But the
> timing of the initiation of the FCC action and political climate
> of the country then does make me wonder.
> --
> Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb @ arrl.net
> Glendale, Arizona

If you go back to the actual FCC documentation you find a generic
set of complaints claiming the hams were not up to the equivalency
of their earlier hams in the eyes of industry, military, etc. The problem
wasn't a lack of knowledge...but rather the onslaught of technology
as an ever enlarging body of knowledge that couldn't be mastered
simply by learning basics.

Remember, in the 30s and 40s the entire body of technical knowledge
could pretty much be mastered by the average person with a good
slant towards science. There was no digital technology, the components
were all discrete, no solid state devices, no digital processing, no SSB
even.
Hams could be hired directly into technician type jobs by industry
and do very well. By the late 50s, that was changing...again, not
because hams knew less, but rather the fact that industry demanded
more knowledge of the technician types. Consumer electronics had
been essentially the recieveing side of a hams knowledge plus a simple
amplifier for the phonograph. Enter the TV and then color TV and
things got more complex. The same too for industry and military
electronics.

Anyway, the incentive licensing never solved that problem and, in
the long run, the industry evolved to "throw-away" products. If
you don't believe that, check out the cost of a basic function
VCR, DVD, etc. Why would anyone pay $50 an hour to have
someone attempt to repair a product that costs under $100?

Anyway, just some thoughts.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2203. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 01:07:24 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aruhlg$8es$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56444

Its already too late. Big bro already has your 'number'. If you ever had a drivers license. Bought a house. Had a bank account...had a SS number.... gone to a doctor. etc.

Not to mention your ham license. And the big one is if you ever were in the military. Active/Reserve or dependent.

Now they have legal access. Thats all.

Dan/W4NTI

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message news:uu5eualfdslk09@corp.supernews.com...
> "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
> news:art6hu51sok@enews1.newsguy.com...
> > True... Republicans are doing it more and more.... Especially now. They
> now
> > want to invade more and more of our privacy from day to day, with the
> excuse
> > of 9/11 as their justification. (Along with the thought control,
> > controlling what we read etc...) Screw it.. I am gonna pay for
everything
> > in cash where possible.......
> >
> >
> > --
> > Ryan, KC8PMX
> > FF/MFR
> > REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
> >
> >
>
> Oh, man!!! I couldn't agree with you more, Ryan. It's a scarey thing
> what's happening. Here's the thing: with or without 9/11 I felt like this
> would happen. The more a Conservative (Republican or otherwise) uses the
> phrase "less government," the more I look over my shoulder.
>
> 9/11 just made it a whole lot easier and escalated the turn of events that
> would have come about any way.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

2204. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 01:08:40 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aruhl3$8hg$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56443

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021125063649.13494.00000704@mb-mj.aol.com...
> In article <arrvf9$1r0$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> writes:
>
> >You make it sound like the ARRL did us a favor.
>
> Would you rather they went along with the FCC plan?
>
> >The reality is they PUSHED
> >incentive licensing.
>
> Nope. FCC pushed it. ARRL folks realized that FCC wanted it so bad that
total
> resistance was useless. Plus slightly more than half of the membership and
ham
> community in general wanted it.
>
> Today we have a similar situation wrt code tests. FCC wants them to go
away,
> and ARRL realizes that total resistance is useless. Membership wants to
keep
> them but FCC doesn't.
>
> >A direct result of the Good old BOys in Headquarters
> >wanting more room to play.
>
> Not from what I saw.
>
> Besides, were the old tests really that hard?

No, they were not that difficult.
The issue (see separate post) was one of increased
body of knowledge...not that hams knew less.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2205. Re: WA8ULX birthdate: That of a Satanist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 01:09:27 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aruhpcstb1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56445

Why would I want a loan of a Camel? I have a perfectly good Pick-em-up
truck.

Dan/W4NTI

"Muhamed Akhbar" <muakhbar@joma.dr.ag> wrote in message
news:arufr9$b7p$0@pita.alt.net...
> The Mullah of Mandeville, he assign me to look into blasphemer WA8ULX
> and first thing I do is look at http://www.qrz.com/detail/WA8ULX where
> it is revealed that the infidel was born in 1698.
>
> No doubt he is Crusader given long life by Satan.
>
> Time for jihad to be declared on evil Covington crusaders. Massive
> mounted camel attack by pure warriors can clean out nest of evil and
> restore the ways of the Students. Help us by loaning us your camels.
>
> 2M call in frequencies will be announced on the day of the jihad.
>
> Camels can be loaned to Dan/W4NTI.
>
> M.A.

2206. See "Codeless"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 01:33:15 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DE2CF5B.B650E94@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 56446

See "Codeless" on alt.binaries.pictures.radio.

Snicker....

2207. Re: See "Codeless"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 02:06:52 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DE2D73C.26BEBDE0@mailcity.net>
Source record: 56513

That a recent picture of you Dave?
Snicker....

David Stinson wrote:
>
> See "Codeless" on alt.binaries.pictures.radio.
>
> Snicker....

2208. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 02:18:12 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211251818.5501b8c2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56447

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021124110928.05793.00000160@mb-fc.aol.com>...
> >You could be correct on one or more of these. Then again, you could be
> >totally wrong.
> >
>
> Hes totally wrong, he just wishes he could be an equal, and he knows he never
> will, unless the FCC gives him another handout.

Bruce, your Extra exam story is simply unbelievable. You're quickly
becoming the Eighth Wonder.

2209. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 02:19:51 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h65ngo3.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 56448

On 26 Nov 2002 00:03:48 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:

>>Essay questions where you had to explain every important detail and draw
>>diagrams to the RI's satisfaction.
>
> Are those anything like the essay questions I took and passed in
> 1956 in a Chicago field office of the FCC for a First Class Phone?

I took my 'Phone license tests in 1952 (New York Field Office) and
the only essay questions were the famous "ten questions" on rules
(exact canned answers required) in Element 1, which in conjunction
with the multiple-guess Element 2 questions on radiotelephone voice
procedure qualified one for the Third Class 'Phone Permit. All the
technical questions (Element 3 for the Second Class 'Phone License
and Element 4 for the First Class 'Phone License) were multiple guess
except for correcting several diagrams. I remember the multiple-guess
format well because I screwed up a whole page of them on allowable
frequency tolerances but passed anyhow.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2210. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 02:20:12 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211251820.3d7be621@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56449

Dan, did you ever find a control op to activate your ARS?

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<arrbhapue1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>...
> That explains your problem then Brian. Thanks for enlightning us.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:f45722ac.0211240716.b40f89c@posting.google.com...
> Lucky for me the Catholics taught me to
> > read and think.

2211. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 02:20:32 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uu5n22im8u3uf1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56502

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:aruhlg$8es$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net...
> Its already too late. Big bro already has your 'number'. If you ever had
a
> drivers license. Bought a house. Had a bank account...had a SS
number....
> gone to a doctor. etc.
>
> Not to mention your ham license. And the big one is if you ever were in
the
> military. Active/Reserve or dependent.
>
> Now they have legal access. Thats all.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

With a few major differences (and more than a few). Read the Patriot Act.
Who was it who said, "even though the laws are being enacted to combat
terrorism and terrorists, how long do you think it will be before the US
Gov't. begins using its newfound powers on its own citizens, and justify it
with cause that people will bless?" It wasn't me...I just recently read or
heard that but can't think of where.

Kim W5TIT

> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> news:uu5eualfdslk09@corp.supernews.com...
> > "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
> > news:art6hu51sok@enews1.newsguy.com...
> > > True... Republicans are doing it more and more.... Especially now.
They
> > now
> > > want to invade more and more of our privacy from day to day, with the
> > excuse
> > > of 9/11 as their justification. (Along with the thought control,
> > > controlling what we read etc...) Screw it.. I am gonna pay for
> everything
> > > in cash where possible.......
> > >
> > >
> > > --
> > > Ryan, KC8PMX
> > > FF/MFR
> > > REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

> > >
> > >
> >
> > Oh, man!!! I couldn't agree with you more, Ryan. It's a scarey thing
> > what's happening. Here's the thing: with or without 9/11 I felt like
this
> > would happen. The more a Conservative (Republican or otherwise) uses
the
> > phrase "less government," the more I look over my shoulder.
> >
> > 9/11 just made it a whole lot easier and escalated the turn of events
that
> > would have come about any way.
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
> >
> >
>
>

2212. Re: I will be out of it for a few Days

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 02:23:53 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211251823.297fa656@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56450

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021125093349.19632.00000341@mb-ba.aol.com>...
> Well guys I will be out of it for a few Days

Bruce, I think you've been out of it most of your life.

2213. Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 02:26:40 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211251826.53e95918@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56501

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021125005724.02824.00000085@mb-cq.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?

> Then, "vshah", you've got it backwards. Mr ULX is the CBplusser for
> waiting for the test to come to him, rahter than aspire to the test.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

But he sure is proud of hisself, and $250 richer. No studying, no
lucky guessing, in under 8 minutes, without error. Wowee!

2214. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 03:04:29 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021125220429.00112.00000646@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 56503

In article <sKyE9.138518$QZ.23194@sccrnsc02>, "Jackie L."
<buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:

>> > The code Nazis believes code is the most important thing.
>>
>> Usenet 101: First person to call their opposition "nazis" or compare
>> them to Hitler loses.
>
>Godwin's Law has officially been invoked!
>
I just learned something - that rule has a name!

I owe ya one, Jackie!

73 de Jim, N2EY

2215. Re: Happy Thanksgiving!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 03:04:29 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021125220429.00112.00000647@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 56504

In article <uu4tupomh3uq23@corp.supernews.com>, "KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com>
writes:

>"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>news:c2356669.0211250919.db2f84d@posting.google.com...
><SNIP>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>>
>> "As God is my witness, I thought turkeys could fly"
>
>I thought I was the only WKRP fan in here!

"They're hitting the ground like sacks of wet cement! Oh, the humanity!"

Who is Gordon Sims?

"I don't go for *little* gustos, Herb"

"These....are walls"

"Red Wigglers - the Cadillac of worms"

btw, the turkey episode was based on a real radio promotion event that went
horribly wrong.
>
>I used to go to Cincinnati to the GM training center
>there, when I was a "Mr. Goodwrench". It was always
>kind of neat to watch WKRP while you were in a
>motel room in Cincinnati. :^)

TOO COOL! I don't think any station has ever held the call letters WKRP.

73 de Jim, "save the Flim!" N2EY

Answers to preference quiz: Mary Ann rather than Ginger, Bailey rather than
Jennifer, JoAnne Libeler rather than any of the others (but Robin Hartl is a
very close second).

2216. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 03:04:29 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021125220429.00112.00000645@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 56505

In article <yMrE9.31100$hK4.2698003@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> writes:

>Jim, N2EY, posted:
>
>> Here's the big question for those times: What was the FCC thinking? First
>> (1951) they set up a new, more extensive incentive licensing system. Then
>(end
>> of 1952) they do a quick 180 and give away all op privs to all hams except
>> Novices and Techs.
>
>> 10 years later (1963), they dedide things have gone horribly wrong in the
>ARS
>> and they have to change it all over yet again, so they create the 1968
>changes.
>> Which are then slowly watered down.
>
>> What was so going so wrong in 1963 that FCC thought they had to make such
>> drastic changes? (You should see what FCC wanted to do in 1965 - even more
>> draconian than what was actually done).
>
>Here's a hypothesis: By 1963 the Kennedy/Johnson administration
>had established its influence inside the FCC in the person of one
>Newton Minow. I don't recall exactly when Minow gave his "Vast
>Wasteland" speech condemning broadcast television content, but
>it was sometime in that timeframe. While this sent broadcasters
>scurrying, I am willing to bet it also sent a very clear signal
>up and down the ranks of the FCC staffers to be vigilant that
>other media (broadcast radio, amateur radio) not become "vast
>wastelands" as well.

Fascinating concept!

I have previously speculated that "Sputnik fever" may have had something to do with it, as well. In those early years of the "space race" (1957-1965 or so) it seemed like the Soviets were always there first. First artificial satellite, first animal in space, first man and woman in space, first pictures of the far side of the moon, etc., etc. Meanwhile, the USA had some spectacular failures. Of course the Soviets couldn't keep up once NASA got rolling, but in those early days there were some grim faces.

>Given the explosive growth of amateur
>radio in the 50's, mostly fueled by Conditional-class licensees
>with lower-middle-class backgrounds and speech characteristics,
>it is plausible (to me) that many of the upper-middle-class "old

>guard" were apprehensive that this could be the fate of amateur
>radio as well - band-edge to band-edge rag-chewing by unskilled
>"appliance operators" hardly interested in serving the "public
>interest, convenience and necessity".

Possibly. FCC had brough this on themselves, though. First they gave Conditionals all privileges, then they reduced the distance requirement from 125 to 75 miles. To top it off, FCC eliminated the requirement that Conditionals who moved close enough to an FCC exam point had to retest or lose their licenses.

They completely missed the real causes, though: Advances in technology such as smaller parts and better tubes, plus mass production techniques, resulted in smaller and less-expensive manufactured gear. Increasing affluence meant more hams who could afford to buy it. The introduction of SSB transceivers and grounded-grid linears also accelerated the trend away from homebrewing by reducing the cost of high power 'phone even more.

> I think that for a number
>of FCC staffers concerned with amateur radio regulatory matters,
>Minow's "wake-up call" to the broadcasters was their green light to
>crack the Federal whip on the amateur service, which took the form
>of proposing, then carrying out, incentive licensing.

Quite a reasonable theory, I'd say.

>I realize that correlation does not imply causality. But the
>timing of the initiation of the FCC action and political climate
>of the country then does make me wonder.
>
It all fits. The early articles point out that the FCC was disappointed by how few hams had gotten Extras in the 1953-1963 period.

But what still remains is the question about why the FCC did the Great Giveaway of December 1952 in the first place. Which set the stage for 1963.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2217. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 03:14:51 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <LGBE9.139982$QZ.23180@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 56506

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021125220429.00112.00000646@mb-fz.aol.com...

> I just learned something - that rule has a name!
>
> I owe ya one, Jackie!

Hehehe, I've been lurking on Usenet too long, methinks. 8^)

Jackie KC0ODY

2218. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 03:25:10 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <arupn302ov9@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56508

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:uu5dbrp6ega38f@corp.supernews.com...
>
> I'm actually thinking of looking up a telephone number for WA8ULX. I
can't
> imagine a ham radio operator actually acting like he does. I especially
> can't imagine someone like him passing any kind of test where reading is
> required.
>
> Anyone all that sure that this poster isn't a poser?
>
> Kim W5TIT

I'd like the names and phone numbers of the "CB plussers" from whom he
allegedly took $250, just to hear their side of the story.

2219. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 03:26:19 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <arupo502p29@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56507

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0211251818.5501b8c2@posting.google.com...
> wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021124110928.05793.00000160@mb-fc.aol.com>...
> > >You could be correct on one or more of these. Then again, you could be
> > >totally wrong.
> > >
> >
> > Hes totally wrong, he just wishes he could be an equal, and he knows he
never
> > will, unless the FCC gives him another handout.
>
> Bruce, your Extra exam story is simply unbelievable. You're quickly
> becoming the Eighth Wonder.

Yes, Babbling Brucey is, to quote Clint Eastwood, "a legend in his own
mind."

2220. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 03:28:26 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <arups402p8o@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56509

"Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote in message
news:sKyE9.138518$QZ.23194@sccrnsc02...
>
> > > The code Nazis believes code is the most important thing.
> >
> > Usenet 101: First person to call their opposition "nazis" or compare
> > them to Hitler loses.
>
> Godwin's Law has officially been invoked!
>
> Jackie

Godwin's Law is a cop-out.

2221. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 03:55:34 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021125225534.07703.00006929@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 56510

>Subject: Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/25/02 6:03 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021125190351.25792.00001994@mb-fc.aol.com>

>The decrease in amateur radio licensees will probably begin showing
> in another decade or two. Undeniable actuarial-table facts will
> start manifesting themselves, offspring will go towards their own
> interests instead of bowing to familial pressure. The old standards
> and practices will appear even older and less attractive. The only
> possible survival is to accept change rather than resist it.

Well, Len, a damned good post. My only question is WHO is "resisting" the change? I see the change in the technology and the Feds are going as fast as bureacracy will allow to adapt that technology.

They have already made it clear that Morse testing will be dropped when the treaty allows, so that "obstacle" (not that it is one) will be gone in a year or two.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2222. Re: Happy Thanksgiving!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 04:02:19 UTC
From: Brian Denley <bdenley@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <enCE9.117499$WL3.51250@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 56511
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Same to you and your's!! ...and to all!

--
Brian Denley
http://home.attbi.com/~bdenley/index.html

"R-75 MAN" <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com> wrote in message
news:3de13619_4@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
> Just wanted to wish everybody a Happy Thanksgiving!
>
> Leaving early in the morning to goto see family.
>
> Got my Icom R-2 and DX-398 for SWL'ing!
>
> Even taking my HT and checking out some MURS action too!
>
> Have fun!
>
> Lloyd
> "The R-75 Man"
>
>

2223. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 04:02:55 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021125230255.07703.00006931@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 56512

>Subject: Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/25/02 6:03 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021125190346.25792.00001990@mb-fc.aol.com>

>3. Some in here wave the hero flag about "providing emergency
> communications" (to save the nation, world, universe, etc.)
> from 97.1 (a), they seem to be totally blind to 97.1 (b),
> 97.1 (c), and 97.1 (d). ALL those definitions in 97.1 are
> equally applicable...there is no distinction given as to which
> if "first" or "last."

There need not be a first or last..ALL are aopplicable as to what the FCC expects the general public to glean from Amateur Radio. It just so happens that "emergency communications" usually tends to be the most visable and beneficial.

Why is that a problem for you?

> Not at all. Add in the secret handshakes, importance in appearance
> through walls full of certificates, always signing their written
> correspondence to anyone with the amateur call sign, and making
> sure that their work title is always preceded by the word "ham" such
> as "ham-actor" or "ham-butcher" or the like. Tradition or gratuitous
> self-importance?

Like signing posts in an Amateur Radio related newsgroup with one's previous Branch of Service and service number...?!?!

Tradition or gratuitous self-importance...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2224. Re: See "Codeless"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 04:33:36 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021125233336.07856.00007121@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 56514

Wow, that's really funny! Although attempting to put down no-code Technicians, this is a good picture of how the public views CODE KNOWING hams. (Not that this impression is justified).

The same poking fun at no-coders, the public will poke fun at those "Morse using Ham Radio operators".Hams are viewed as antisocial nerds.

The general public views Hams as people that are nerdy, use Morse code, and confuse them with CBers. I tried to mention Ham radio to some people. Also, I told them about Hamfests.

One person asked me if Hams use Morse code. Obviously, the public has the impression that Hams use Morse code. Then, he compared Ham Radio to a cult.

He asked me if Hams have social like events. When I mentioned Hamfests, he compared them to a Star Trek convention.

Another person (different occasion) said "breaker breaker". (To the public Ham and CB are almost the same).

If all you know is code and have no understanding of the technical material, then you are just an appliance operator. This will lead to the true dumbing down of Amateur Radio.

The public has a negative impression of Morse coders. Having enough Morse coders will serve Amateur Radio well. Having too many, or tying license class to the code will hurt the public's impression of Amateur radio. There is alot of enthusiasm for Morse coding that it will not go away if the requirement is removed.

I am willing to do the work for these types of activities. I have sincerely tried to get these types of activities at a few clubs. However, there is NO interest in the clubs. I bring up the interesting ideas at meetings, the current groups of Hams seem to want to keep it an appliance operator hobby. If you want to see these types of activities, petition your club.

One can ponder about how Ham Radio could best suited
- without really considering it a question of emotional or social behavior. Boy would that be wrong. Ham Radio could live and die on very strange human,
emotional, and social interactions. Technical merit takes a back seat in any story of acceptance.

Look at something like the only code life-cycle. It
was at least as much a social story as a technical one. The no-code technical mix attracted a certain kind of early adopter, and that adopter

in turn defined the culture (with some culture-hacking by code advocates). The culture, ultimately, could not adequately support the hobby.

By the way, I have been able to master another type of real time encoding scheme. One that I find enjoyable. I do not find Morse enjoyable or worthwhile, when I could be maintaining technical skills.

KB1XXX Technician Class Amateur Radio Licence

2225. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 04:56:58 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <as07a302a0@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56530

See below for response

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:uu5dki8i5bjs65@corp.supernews.com...
> "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
> news:art6hh31sok@enews1.newsguy.com...
> > Larry,
> >
> > I agree with this post for the most part.... Our national organizations
> > (ARRL and others) are responsible, as well as the more "local"
> organizations
> > too. There are some clubs that are doing an awesome job at this, but
> there
> > are many others that are not pulling their own weight in this regard.
The
> > way I see things going recently is that each one of us can try to "sell"
> ham
> > radio to our friends and family, but after we have gotten all of the
> people
> > that are our friends/family licensed, we all seem to stop. (Gee, almost
> > sounds a little like Amway.... sell to family and friends first?)
Maybe
> > Amway does have a good idere there, as in the recruitment? :)
> >
> >
> > --
> > Ryan, KC8PMX
> > FF/MFR
> > REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
> >
> >
>
> Did you ever stop to ponder that the "face" of amateur radio is changing,
> the times are changing, the world is changing, etc., and so too will
amateur
> radio and its related requirements, R&?R, *and* traditions? This has
> nothing to do with "dumbing down" or any other denigration that you can
> muster up.
>
> Things never stay the same.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

Where the hell in this or for that matter have I made the statement or in defense of the statement "Dumbing Down" unless it was in a quote? That is not my mantra, and if you believe so, you are definitely mistaken. What are these so called denigrations you accuse me of ??

2226. Re: Communication Corrections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 04:58:31 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <as07a712a0@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56529

As well as some public service/emergency service users also have a DTMF sounding tone at the end of their transmissions as well.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

> The land-mobile community has its own version of "roger beeps" in
> the digital transmitter ID (which we call "turkey calls" or
> something more obscene) when the mobile/portable unkeys.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

2227. Re: Communication Corrections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 05:09:16 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <as07a822a0@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56536

---major snippage---

>
> On your last note, yes, we are a self-policing body. However, I never
took
> that to mean that communication preferences were to be corrected. There's
> nothing that grates on me more than to hear the term "we don't do it like
> that." Now, that is separate and apart from net policies and practices
> because, as I said, that's a whole different ballgame.

Well... I guess I still look at the whole thing this way, if newbies are "such" lids as stated by long-timers, how do the become better operators?? I am sure that some of the long-timers in ham radio weren't perfect right out of the box, and that some of them made errors along the way. And I will state it again, its all in how a person corrects an action, diplomatically or like a rude idiot.....

>
> I guess I look at the non-net side of ham radio as a time to just kick
back
> and relax. I am not going to worry about what or how I am saying
something
> and I'm not going to preoccupy or worry myself about what or how someone
> else is saying something. I'm there to just ragchew. If I say 10-4
(which
> I don't and never have) once or twice, wow, no prob. If I say it all the
> time, I'd fully expect to lose contacts and be shunned.
>

Well, I don't ragchew much, mostly because it must be some rule that hams around here cannot operate when the sun goes down, which is usually when I *start* my day. I am a firm believer in professional in anything I do, and strive to be the best that I can be, and to learn from my mistakes. Granted I fully admit I am nowhere close to perfect, but at least I have a goal. If you look at my operational schedule, I average about 2 minutes of transmitted time per day on the average week. I do however monitor upwards of 15 hours per day.

> By the way, I'm really enjoying this exchange...one very different from
the
> usual exchanges here in the newsgroup. This is as it should be.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

Surprisingly yes, as do I. But one question, I am still interested in learning where you thought I enjoyed being some type of frequency police kind of person....

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

2228. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 05:15:50 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <as07a932a0@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56534

Hey Dan..... did ya know at one time I was married to Mrs. Right?

Mrs. ALLWAYS RIGHT!!!!!!!!!

I can say for sure, that I will never be afraid of the Devil..... shit, I married his sister!

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message news:arto2c$p88$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> Don't lead em as much.
>
> Women and kids are slower.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
>
> "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
> news:art6hp01sok@enews1.newsguy.com...
> > I miss my "X.............." but my aim is getting better!!!!!! :)
> >
> >
> > --
> > Ryan, KC8PMX
> > FF/MFR
> > REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
> >
> >
> >
> > "Symbolism is for the simple minded....."
> >
> >
> >
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:arlqt1$t3k$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > I dont much like to think of my 'X' at all.
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message

> > > news:3DDDCC74.FA1B84F3@earthlink.net...
> > > > "Dee D. Flint" wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:yXfD9.102389$QZ.16898@sccrnsc02...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Ennaway! Time for dinner here... oh, and just in case you didn't
> > know
> > > > > > > (because my first name can be both a male and a female name),
I'm
> a
> > > YL.
> > > > > > > Or,
> > > > > > > is that an XYL? In any event, I'm a divorced single mom of an
> > awesome
> > > > > > > teenaged son who has suddenly taken a keen interest in his mom's
> > > > > > > handi-talkie!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > 73 to all
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Jackie KC0ODY
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If you were still married, you would be your husband's XYL. In
any
> > > other
> > > > > > capacity, you are a YL. So when you are on the air you are a YL.
> > > However
> > > > > > if your husband were referring to you, he would speak of you as
his
> > XYL.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dee Flint, N8UZE. (also a YL)
> > > > >
> > > > > I like to think of my former wife as my XXYL.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
>
>

2229. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 05:17:33 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <as07aa42a0@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56533

Married and divorced. I may not hold *THAT* license anymore, but I can at least speak on it..... (hmmmmm... anyone else see a relation there?)

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message news:20021125190348.25792.00001993@mb-fc.aol.com...
> In article <art6hp01sok@enews1.newsguy.com>, "KC8PMX"
> <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> writes:
>
> >I miss my "X.............." but my aim is getting better!!!!!! :)
>
> ...what?!? Ryan the marriage-licenseless? :-)
>
>

2230. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 05:21:22 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <as07ab52a0@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56532

I may eventually upgrade to that point, but for the time I have at the present, the extra privileges are not important at this point. HOWEVER, I am sure later on in life I will have more time to enjoy this hobby.

I occaisionally am on 6m SSB and 99.9% monitor-only 2m/70cm.

Guess it is all about balance in life..... :)

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:arto53$67q$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> Reason I bothered to go for Extra was after coming back from Europe with
> just a General I had to do something so I had some elbow room.
>
> Also I didn't have a digital readout....grin.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
> news:art6hr21sok@enews1.newsguy.com...
> > That is true.... but for those who seem to think that upgrading is so
> > "all-fired" important, that structure would probably help to encourage
> > that....
> >
> >
> > --
> > Ryan, KC8PMX
> > FF/MFR
> > REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
> >
> >
> >
> > "Symbolism is for the simple minded....."
> >
> >
> > "Dee D. Flint" <dee.hays@sbcglobal.net> wrote in message
> > news:TJND9.2592$4j5.1451353@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> > > Many might view your proposed names as a put down of everyone who
isn't
> an

> > > Extra. Afterall who wants to be considered "second class" or less.
> > >
> > > Dee Flint, N8UZE
> > >
> > >
> > > "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
> > > news:arnpdn72nl0@enews2.newsguy.com...
> > > > I still think that the names of the license classes should be
changed
> to
> > > the
> > > > following.....
> > > >
> > > > First Class License..... Extra Class
> > > > Second Class.............Advanced Class (till they run out of
> currently
> > > > licensed ones....)
> > > > Third Class ....General Class
> > > > Fourth Class..............Technician with code
> > > > Fifth Class..................Technician without code
> > > > Six Class.....................Novice (till they run out of currently
> > > licensed
> > > > ones....)
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > After the old novices and advanced are gone from expiration or
> > > advancement,
> > > > it could be something like this:
> > > >
> > > > First Class------Extra Class
> > > > Second Class---General Class
> > > > Third Class------Coded Technician
> > > > Fourth Class-----No-Coded Technician
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Just a though....
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > Ryan, KC8PMX
> > > > FF/MFR
> > > > REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Symbolism is for the simple minded....."
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >

> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2231. Re: See "Codeless"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 05:28:45 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <hEDE9.133805$NH2.9297@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 56515

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021125233336.07856.00007121@mb-mn.aol.com...

> Wow, that's really funny! Although attempting to put down no-code
Technicians,
> this is a good picture of how the public views CODE KNOWING hams. (Not
that
> this impression is justified).

Do you really think the average Joe public-on-the-street has enough background of this hobby to differentiate between those who know CW vs. those who don't, let alone what that might mean to them? I highly doubt that those who're ignorant of amateur radio know or care what modes of communication hams use within the hobby.

> The general public views Hams as people that are nerdy, use Morse code,
and
> confuse them with CBers.

Hmm, I have to wonder which cross-section of the general public you've talked to. A couple of non-hams I've talked to had the idea that the ARS (Hams, whatever) were the folks that came in to disaster areas to help out the Red Cross and National Guard. The area I live in has suffered from several wicked tornadoes and major flooding in the past decade, and apparently local hams have been active in helping to facilitate communications in those affected areas to the point that the general public took notice. It was this service that brought the ARS/Hams to the eyes of those I talked to which were, admittedly, just a couple of people. Interesting though how the impressions you get depend on who you talk to.

> One person asked me if Hams use Morse code. Obviously, the public has the
> impression that Hams use Morse code. Then, he compared Ham Radio to a
cult.

One guy I talked to was surprised that anyone still used Morse Code. He associated it with the Old West and ship-sinking disasters and was not aware that it was still a viable mode in some venues.

> He asked me if Hams have social like events. When I mentioned Hamfests, he
> compared them to a Star Trek convention.

Did you ask this person what the basis was for this comparison? As a former coin collector, I found your average bourse to be quite filled with hobby nerds as well. But they weren't all dressed as Klingons and Vulcans, at least.

> Another person (different occasion) said "breaker breaker". (To the public
Ham
> and CB are almost the same).

Really? I always thought that CB died out in the late 70's, right after that song by C.W. McCall left the charts (I was very young when it came out, but still remember that song, echhhh).

> If all you know is code and have no understanding of the technical
material,
> then you are just an appliance operator. This will lead to the true
dumbing
> down of Amateur Radio.

I have not been in this hobby for very long, but I don't know any hams who can ONLY converse via Morse... most of the ones I've run across actually know how to speak and type too. :-)

> The public has a negative impression of Morse coders.

To the contrary, I think that this monolithic group you refer to as "the public" have little to no idea that Morse is still being used or who is still using it.

> Having too many, or tying license class
> to the code will hurt the public's impression of Amateur radio.

Again, I don't think the vast majority of the general public really know or care about the subject of Morse. I'm not talking about a small cross-section of the public like your acquaintances, friends or family; I'm talking about your average Joes and Janes who're walking in a crowded downtown area during their lunch hour. This is the section of the populace I'd like to see polled on the CW subject.

> I am willing to do the work for these types of activities.

I'm curious, then, vshah, if you would be willing to take your assertions to test them among the general public in the form of an ad-hoc poll, just to see what a small cross-section of total strangers really think about ham radio or Morse code... make up a poll, stand on a corner of a busy downtown metropolitan-area street during lunch hour, and see how many people you can get to talk to you about it. From those responses, see how many people actually have the slightest idea as to what you are referring to.

Jackie

2232. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 05:29:56 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <as07ac62a0@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56531

I am concerned that without warrants (see unreasonable search and seizure) that they are gonna be able to look at a variety of transactions done by anyone here in the US. Gee.... isn't the reason why Dan W4NTI and many others went to wars etc. in defense of our Constitution?

(for the record to anyone that aligns themselves as a republican... I am not a democrat, even though that is the stereotypical response from them....)

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

>
> Oh, man!!! I couldn't agree with you more, Ryan. It's a scarey thing
> what's happening. Here's the thing: with or without 9/11 I felt like this
> would happen. The more a Conservative (Republican or otherwise) uses the
> phrase "less government," the more I look over my shoulder.
>
> 9/11 just made it a whole lot easier and escalated the turn of events that
> would have come about any way.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

2233. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 05:34:06 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <as07ad72a0@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56535

Brian.... like I said before.... don't take whatever you see here too seriously. For me it is strictly entertainment. Nothing that important to get worked up here for..... after all, all we are here in newsgroups is merely a font..... Times New Roman I think....

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0211241858.503a4c91@posting.google.com...
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<uu1t8dj3raeo37@corp.supernews.com>...
>
> > I think it's pretty funny that there's a reference to a "delightful
message
> > to Bruce" when he reposts the excellent message to him. LOL
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
>
> Kim, I try real hard to not tell these guys to go to hell, but every
> once in a while something slips out. I once told Dick to go soak his
> hemmoroids in kerosene. He didn't take me up on it. Anymore I just
> try to walk away without smelling like I've been wrestling a pig.

2234. Re: Happy Thanksgiving!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 07:02:09 UTC
From: Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com>
Message-ID: <3DE31C71.78A45A1B@topnow.com>
Source record: 56516
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

R-75 MAN wrote:
>
> Just wanted to wish everybody a Happy Thanksgiving!
>
> Leaving early in the morning to goto see family.
>
> Got my Icom R-2 and DX-398 for SWL'ing!
>

Lloyd,

Enjoy your family reunion and the chance to listen to HF
from a new location.
Be sure to tell us about any new catches.

Don't forget to say a prayer for/keep in mind those who are
less fortunate than yourself, as befits the Thanksgiving
season.

73,

Ross
-- who thinks Thanksgiving is the best holiday. Not only do
you get to pig out on great food without feeling embarassed,
but the commercializers haven't figured out a way to strip
it of all meaning to make a buck yet. :)

> Even taking my HT and checking out some MURS action too!
>
> Have fun!
>
> Lloyd
> "The R-75 Man"

2235. Re: Happy Thanksgiving!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 07:06:13 UTC
From: Ross Archer <archer@topnow.com>
Message-ID: <3DE31D65.A019460C@topnow.com>
Source record: 56517
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

SallyTh wrote:
>
> Up yours

Hey, now. When someone behaves with grace and decorum,
let's encourage more of the same by responding in kind.

-- Just a wild thought.

-- Ross

2236. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 11:36:56 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021126063656.17907.00000729@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 56519

In article <nPwE9.814$ta5.185836@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <kï¿½hb@arrl.org> writes:

>How much of the technology required to pass the Extra Class exam, when it >was first offered in 1952, be appropriate today? Very little, for sure!

I just happen to have a 1954 License Manual right here. The Extra study guide is 279 essay-type questions and answers. Of the 279, at least 28 are "draw a schematic diagram" questions, and a few more involve block diagrams like the one of a phasing-type SSB transmitter.

The technology in the exams back then was all tubes, so a lot of it has very limited application today (magnetrons and high power transmitting tubes, for example).. Many of the rules have changed, too.

But a lot of the theory still applies - transformers, transmission lines, Ohm's Law, basics of AM, SSB, FM, TV, microwaves, antennas, receivers, transmitters, and much more. Example: in 1952 you had to figure out what the accuracy of a frequency measurement would be, given a freq meter or xtal of a given percentage accuracy. Today there are questions on the accuracy of a freq counter reading when the counter is spec'd to so many parts per million. Somebody who really understands one problem could probably figure out the other.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2237. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 12:29:10 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021126072910.06308.00000669@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 56520

In article <aruhfnjtn1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>If you go back to the actual FCC documentation you find a generic
>set of complaints claiming the hams were not up to the equivalency
>of their earlier hams in the eyes of industry, military, etc. The problem
>wasn't a lack of knowledge...but rather the onslaught of technology
>as an ever enlarging body of knowledge that couldn't be mastered
>simply by learning basics.

See also "Sputnik fever" rant in another post.
>
>Remember, in the 30s and 40s the entire body of technical knowledge
>could pretty much be mastered by the average person with a good
>slant towards science. There was no digital technology, the components
>were all discrete, no solid state devices, no digital processing, no SSB
>even.

I have to disagree with this a little, Bill. Hams were using SSB in the early
'30s. QST and other mags had TV articles even before WW2. The technology of the
'40s included radar, TV, SSB, and minaturization - though those "miniature"
components seem huge today.

Yet it was in late '52 that FCC gutted its incentive licensing program!

>Hams could be hired directly into technician type jobs by industry
>and do very well. By the late 50s, that was changing...again, not
>because hams knew less, but rather the fact that industry demanded
>more knowledge of the technician types. Consumer electronics had
>been essentially the recieveing side of a hams knowledge plus a simple
>amplifier for the phonograph. Enter the TV and then color TV and
>things got more complex. The same too for industry and military
>electronics.

True to a certain extent, but there was more to it. The field of electronics
widened far beyond what hams used, into areas that had little to do with radio
communication. A ham in the '30s and '40s had to be "technical" even to get
build a rig and get it on the air - or very rich. Even the prewar manufactured
stuff required some serious know-how in order to be used. Postwar affluence and
mass production changed all that.
>
>Anyway, the incentive licensing never solved that problem and, in
>the long run, the industry evolved to "throw-away" products. If
>you don't believe that, check out the cost of a basic function
>VCR, DVD, etc. Why would anyone pay $50 an hour to have
>someone attempt to repair a product that costs under $100?

Exactly. I recently saw a rule of thumb that said when the price of a consumer electronics item drops to the point where the typical repair is one-third the price of a replacement, repair shops die out from lack of business.

>Anyway, just some thoughts.

Pretty interesting ones. Seems to me that the FCC didn't really understand what was going on in the first place, back then.

And what it really comes down to is this: If ham radio is confined to those who have lots of technical focus and ability, it will be a very small service.

How many hams would there be, and what technology would we be using, if there were no more code tests - but all of us had to homebrew all our equipment?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2238. Re: See "Codeless"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 13:04:09 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DE37149.A05B0849@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 56521

JJ wrote:
>
> That a recent picture of you Dave?
> Snicker....

Nawww.... I'm not that handsome.
And you wouldn't catch me with a sorry Weller iron.

2239. Re: See "Codeless"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 14:08:50 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <arvv1801ab9@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56522

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021125233336.07856.00007121@mb-mn.aol.com...
> Wow, that's really funny! Although attempting to put down no-code
Technicians,
> this is a good picture of how the public views CODE KNOWING hams. (Not
that
> this impression is justified).
>
> The same poking fun at no-coders, the public will poke fun at those "Morse
> using Ham Radio operators".Hams are viewed as antisocial nerds.
>
> The general public views Hams as people that are nerdy, use Morse code,
and
> confuse them with CBers. I tried to mention Ham radio to some people.
Also, I
> told them about Hamfests.
>
> One person asked me if Hams use Morse code. Obviously, the public has the
> impression that Hams use Morse code. Then, he compared Ham Radio to a
cult.
>
> He asked me if Hams have social like events. When I mentioned Hamfests, he
> compared them to a Star Trek convention.
>
> Another person (different occasion) said "breaker breaker". (To the public
Ham
> and CB are almost the same).
>
> If all you know is code and have no understanding of the technical
material,
> then you are just an appliance operator. This will lead to the true
dumbing
> down of Amateur Radio.
>
> The public has a negative impression of Morse coders. Having enough Morse
> coders will serve Amateur Radio well. Having too many, or tying license
class
> to the code will hurt the public's impression of Amateur radio. There is
alot
> of enthusiasm for Morse coding that it will not go away if the requirement
is
> removed.
>
> I am willing to do the work for these types of activities. I have

sincerely
> tried to get these types of activities at a few clubs. However, there is
NO
> interest in the clubs. I bring up the interesting ideas at meetings, the
> current groups of Hams seem to want to keep it an appliance operator
hobby. If
> you want to see these types of activities, petition your club.

What you've stated above is what Burt Fisher has been saying for years. He
just doesn't say it as nicely as you have.

2240. Re: Colonel Steve ex-AA8DP Busted (this is a VERY GOOD thing)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 15:30:59 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uu74tpief5vqfd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56523
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Apparently there is more than just the freeband that the FCC is ignoring.
Their interest is in big money (broadcast companies).

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"the ole bignose" <bernie0x19@aol.com> wrote in message
news:3ddfb1ca@snipnews.snip.net...
> There's NO WAY IN HECK that this bastard Anderson
> could have hid out for so long, without the help
> and assistance of the so-called "patriot" community.
>
> Perhaps the FED's and FCC ought to pay more attention
> to a certain ham radio "Patriot's Net" (3.860 8PM EST),
> since numerous transmissions and topics (by licenced and
> unlicenced stations) were heard while monitoring this net
> over the course of the past 2 weeks - and these topics
> seemed to be *very concerned* on "activity" the same area of
> N.C. where Anderson was caught yesterday. Coincidence??
>
> Good job America's Most wanted. Good job BATF.
> Good job and help by the ADL and Mark Pitcavage.
>
> One down - MORE to go....
>
> http://www.courier-journal.com/localnews/2002/11/23/ke112302s318493.htm
>
> A tip received by ''America's Most Wanted'' and forwarded to federal
> officials
> led to the arrest of Kentucky fugitive Steve Anderson in rural
> Cherokee County, N.C., yesterday, authorities said.
>
> Anderson, a white supremacist who operated an illegal radio station
> from his Pulaski County home, had been at large since allegedly
> shooting at a Bell County sheriff's deputy on Oct. 14, 2001.
> He was arrested in the mountains of southwestern North Carolina
> by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms and the North
> Carolina State Bureau of Investigation.
>
> ''It's a relief he's been taken into custody, especially without
> incident,'' said Don York of the ATF's Louisville office.
> York said the ATF captured Anderson entering a
> residence, but he declined to elaborate.
> Anderson was being held last night in Asheville, N.C.,

> pending his transfer to Kentucky, authorities said.
>
> York said the television program received a tip about Anderson's
> whereabouts after airing its second segment on him about a month
> ago. The tip was forwarded to the ATF, which worked the case
> with North Carolina authorities.
>
> Anderson is accused of shooting an automatic weapon at
> Officer Scott Elder, who had pulled Anderson's truck over north
> of Middlesboro for a traffic violation. Elder was unharmed after
> Anderson allegedly opened fire, although his cruiser was struck about 25
> times.
>
> Police said Anderson then drove into the mountains, and a search
> of his property turned up explosives and guns. Anderson had
> attracted attention in Pulaski County several years ago by playing
> host to a small Ku Klux Klan rally during which a cross was burned.
> He also operated a shortwave radio station out of his home,
> where his commentary against blacks, Jews, immigrants and
> the federal government drew the attention of the
> Federal Communications Commission.
>
> The Anti-Defamation League complained to the FCC about
> Anderson's broadcasts, and the agency eventually
> rescinded his license. Anderson continued to broadcast despite the FCC
> action.
> Anderson was a member of the Kentucky State Militia, a civilian
> paramilitary group, until April 2001. The militia said it dismissed
> Anderson because he refused to shut down his radio operation.
>
> According to the Anti-Defamation League, Anderson also has ties
> to the Christian Identity Movement, a loosely defined group
> that claims Jews are the offspring of the devil. Anderson also
> allegedly threatened an Eastern Kentucky newspaper editor who
> included him in a series on hate.
>
> The ADL's director of fact-finding, Mark Pitcavage of
> Columbus, Ohio, said he was glad that Anderson had
> been caught, ''because he was a dangerous man who
> had already shot at a police officer and threatened
> more violence in his radio show. For public
> safety, it's a good thing.'' Pitcavage said the capture
> also was gratifying because ''people in militia and
> extremist groups *admired he wasn't caught*.''
>
> Bell County Sheriff Harold Harbin said: ''It's good news.
> I knew eventually we'd catch him. It sends a
> message you can't get away forever.''
>
>

>
>
>
> "signalchaser" <williamsmith208@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:utv7ncd15dria0@corp.supernews.com...
> > Check out this link on Colonel Steve being busted
> > http://www.courier-journal.com/localnews/2002/11/23/ke112302s318493.htm
> >
> >
>
>

2241. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 16:03:50 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE39AF7.DC2857D6@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56524

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <c2356669.0211250838.1d5dc60e@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
> (N2EY) writes:
>
> >vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) wrote in message
> >news:<20021124222314.07628.00007282@mb-mn.aol.com>...

> >BZZZZZT! You lose, "Vshah101".
>
> Sorry, Mr. N2EY, you are NOT the moderator in here...nor are
> you any judge over "winning" or "losing."

Darn, Len. I forgot--YOU are the moderator in here!

> >> Most seem to be there for appliance
> >> operation, contesting, ragchewing, dx, etc.
> >
> >How is this a bad thing? Our licenses are for operating, not building.
>
> Oh, my, an accomplished super-duper extra that doesn't know
> the regulations?!? The FCC considers an amateur radio license
> to be BOTH an operator AND station license. It is IN the rules.

Please show some proof that a station license indicates designing or
building something. Do you know what a station license is? Looks like
you've misunderstood the regs. "Oh my", indeed!

> >> The only thing that comes close is
> >> that Hams seem to get very involved with antennas and become quite good.
> >> However, this is just so they can better outfit their radios.
> >
> >What other purpose is there to the theory side other than building
> >better radios?
>
> 1. Knowing how their "un-better" radios are so much lesser than
> their "better" radios. Those who "design and build their own
> ham gear" ought to be cautious about claiming "design" of an
> already-designed KIT purchased from others.
> 2. There are several sub-parts in the US amateur radio regulations
> which are the responsibility of each licensee to meet. That
> responsibility is part and parcel of licensing. To say "it's only
> about operating" is the same as a schoolchild trying to tell
> their teacher "my dog ate my homework." :-)

You may build anything you like without ever taking an amateur radio
exam. What you may not do, sans license, is operate it in the amateur
service. You must have known that.

> 3. Some in here wave the hero flag about "providing emergency
> communications" (to save the nation, world, universe, etc.)
> from 97.1 (a), they seem to be totally blind to 97.1 (b),
> 97.1 (c), and 97.1 (d). ALL those definitions in 97.1 are
> equally applicable...there is no distinction given as to which
> if "first" or "last."

...but you digress.

> 4. To say that it is ONLY "about operating" is making it just
> another CB-like radio service but with a federal license.

"It" isn't totally about operating but an amateur radio license conveys
a) operating permission and b) a callsign. That's all. Your comparison
to the Citizens Band isn't valid for, as you've often pointed out, you
were there--you know. The Citizens Band was a licensed service for much
of its existence. A big difference between the two was that amateur ops
must pass a licensing exam.
The amateur license conveys much greater privileges than CBers ever had.

> >> Also, I found many Hams want to use strange codewords, many that begin with
> >Q.
> >
> >They're called abbreviations. They make communication faster and
> >clearer. Compare "you are are being interfered with by another station
> >or stations" to "QRM".
>
> Yes, and "QSY" means to change frequency to anyone in old-time
> HF radio, any HF radio service.

Did you have a point?

> It was common 30, 20, 10 years ago, up to today to hear long-
> time amateurs on HF voice use the very flat "hi-hi" instead of
> actually laughing. Not a natural voice mode substitute or even
> abbreviation for laughter. Apparently there is little natural
> laughter among long-time HF amateurs? :-)

"Q" signals are in common use among radio amateurs. I'm sorry if it
upsets you.

> >> I guess part of the draw of Ham Radio is that they can be part of these
> >> traditions.
> >
> >Is that a bad thing?
>
> Not at all. Add in the secret handshakes, importance in appearance
> through walls full of certificates, always signing their written
> correspondence to anyone with the amateur call sign, and making
> sure that their work title is always preceded by the word "ham" such

> as "ham-actor" or "ham-butcher" or the like. Tradition or gratuitous
> self-importance? :-)

Is this another example of you only being interested in removal of code
testing through your always civil debate? It still reads like your
"sour grapes" stuff of the past.

> >> Most Hams are male, few are technical.
> >
> >> Calling CQ and getting on the air is what interests them.
> >>
> >Our licenses are for operating, not "being technical".
>
> Do you wish a third reminder of what the regulations say
> that a US amateur license is for BOTH operator and station?

You could use a little refresher yourself, Len. What is it that a
station license does?

> Hmmm...I had thought the designer of his K2 could correct
> himself in regards to his regulations?
>
> >I have no idea what this is supposed to mean.
>
> I had no idea that a long-time extra ham-person forgot what
> US amateur radio regulations apply to him...tsk, tsk, tsk.

...more civil debate?

> >> I found it frustrating trying to find Hams that are interested in the
> >> technical aspects.
> >
> >Have you tried any of the technically oriented newsgroups or
> >reflectors? rec.radio.amateur.homebrew, for example?
>
> There's always Electric Radio fanzine engaged in an on-going
> love affair with vacuum tubes. :-)

...more civil debate?

> >I'm interested in the technical aspects and operating. Particularly
> >operating with Morse code. These things are not mutually exclusive.
> >
> >I've been that way since before I had a license or an EE degree. In
> >fact, ham radio led me to EE school.
>
> Really? I had to use a map to find some of my university
> locations.

...more civil debate?

> >Enough of this - Vshah101 is obviously in put-down mode. Plonk!
>

> Yes, enough, Mr.N2EY, you want Order In The Court! :-)

...more civil debate? It seems that you are having trouble sticking to your stated newsgroup goals, Leonard.

Dave K8MN

2242. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 16:10:47 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uu777n80bgt462@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56525

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:uu5eualfdslk09@corp.supernews.com...
> "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
> news:art6hu51sok@enews1.newsguy.com...
> > True... Republicans are doing it more and more.... Especially now. They
> now
> > want to invade more and more of our privacy from day to day, with the
> excuse
> > of 9/11 as their justification. (Along with the thought control,
> > controlling what we read etc...) Screw it.. I am gonna pay for
everything
> > in cash where possible.......
> >
> >
> > --
> > Ryan, KC8PMX
> > FF/MFR
> > REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
> >
> >
>
> Oh, man!!! I couldn't agree with you more, Ryan. It's a scarey thing
> what's happening. Here's the thing: with or without 9/11 I felt like this
> would happen. The more a Conservative (Republican or otherwise) uses the
> phrase "less government," the more I look over my shoulder.
>
> 9/11 just made it a whole lot easier and escalated the turn of events that
> would have come about any way.
>
> Kim W5TIT

Kim
The big difference between the Democrats and the Republicans is this:
If the Democrats wanted to increase your income tax to 100% they
would have it take effect in January of the next year, the Republicans
would fight to do the same thing and phase it in over a 7 year period.
It ends up as the same thing just a little more time to get used to it!

Politicians on the evolutionary scale are just below used car
salesmen and just above bottom feeding fish!

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."

-Groucho Marx

2243. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 16:10:54 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE39CA1.C06DDFC4@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56527

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <d22b54c3.0211250613.48b8ca8d@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>

> >That's right, there is a strong social aspect to ham radio you
> >apparently have trouble dealing with. If all that interests you is
> >electronics geekery you're wasting your time here and at ham radio
> >functions, join yer local chapter of the IEEE.
>
> The IEEE is a professional membership organization. Amateur
> radio is for amateur activities, by US government definition.

By definition, this is an amateur radio newsgroup. Perhaps you'll be
able to drum up some admiration for your life membership in the IEEE in
the rec.radio.professional.vip newsgroup. It'll have to be better than
trying to engage long time hams in a dribbling contest.

P.S. You'll likely not want to let those folks know of your being
foiled in obtaining a mere amateur radio license. If you slant your
postings toward, "I showed those uppity hams a thing or two", you'll
achieve higher status. Don't lay it on too thick as a number of them
are also hams.

Dave K8MN

2244. Re: See "Codeless"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 16:11:35 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uu77a0nk7to28f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56526

My gut feeling is that the general public (at least in areas unaffected recently by any emergencies answered by hams or cbers) doesn't know the difference and couldn't care less. Certainly the bickering between codeless techs, other licensed amateurs, and cbers does nothing either. Just my two pennies' worth (say, when did they drop the 'cents' from keyboards? I remember 'em years ago on typewriters ...)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"David Stinson" <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3DE2CF5B.B650E94@ix.netcom.com...
>
> See "Codeless" on alt.binaries.pictures.radio.
>
> Snicker....

2245. Re: See "Codeless"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 16:25:12 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uu782onjsqoi79@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56528

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:uu77a0nk7to28f@corp.supernews.com...
> My gut feeling is that the general public (at least in areas unaffected
> recently by any emergencies answered by hams or cbers) doesn't know the
> difference and couldn't care less. Certainly the bickering between
codeless
> techs, other licensed amateurs, and cbers does nothing either. Just my
two
> pennies' worth (say, when did they drop the 'cents' from keyboards? I
> remember 'em years ago on typewriters ...)
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim

Jim
They didn't drop the ¢ from the keyboard it's in the charmap
ALT + 0162.

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

2246. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 16:36:59 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as07n101pgm@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56537

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:as07ad72a0@enews4.newsguy.com...
> Brian.... like I said before.... don't take whatever you see here too
> seriously. For me it is strictly entertainment. Nothing that important
to
> get worked up here for..... after all, all we are here in newsgroups is
> merely a font..... Times New Roman I think....
>
>
> --
> Ryan, KC8PMX
> FF/MFR
> REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

...at either 10 or 12 point. I can't remember which size is the standard.

2247. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 16:40:53 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as07ub01q3e@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56538

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:as07a932a0@enews4.newsguy.com...
> Hey Dan..... did ya know at one time I was married to Mrs. Right?
>
>
> Mrs. ALLWAYS RIGHT!!!!!!!!!
>
> I can say for sure, that I will never be afraid of the Devil..... shit,
I
> married his sister!
>

Not all men are fools - some are bachelors.

2248. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 17:38:20 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211260938.4cd51c7e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56539

"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:<arupn302ov9@enews2.newsguy.com>...
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> news:uu5dbrp6ega38f@corp.supernews.com...
> >
> > I'm actually thinking of looking up a telephone number for WA8ULX. I
> can't
> > imagine a ham radio operator actually acting like he does. I especially
> > can't imagine someone like him passing any kind of test where reading is
> > required.
> >
> > Anyone all that sure that this poster isn't a poser?
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
>
> I'd like the names and phone numbers of the "CB plussers" from whom he
> allegedly took $250, just to hear their side of the story.

There are no names. There was no money.

It was all just a small man's boasting, trying to appear as a big man.

In the snow. Uphill both ways.

2249. Re: See "Codeless"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 17:39:27 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <jlOE9.2056$ta5.341089@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 56540

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote

: My gut feeling is that the general public (at least in areas unaffected
: recently by any emergencies answered by hams or cbers) doesn't know the
: difference and couldn't care less. Certainly the bickering between
codeless
: techs, other licensed amateurs, and cbers does nothing either.

The whole fight is similar to the fights between sailboaters and
motorboaters, arguing which is more technically advanced, which can get
through when conditions are bad, which is modern vs. invented in the olden
days, etc., etc., etc. Meanwhile, on the shore a group of commercial
developers is plotting to buy the lake, drain it, and build a strip mall.

Rather than band together to save our hobby, we seem hell-bent on finding
inconsequential things to fight about, splintering and diluting whatever
influence we have on regulators and the general public.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Without spectrum, there is no amateur radio.

2250. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 17:43:19 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211260943.6c0969b4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56541

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021122223353.05475.00003168@mb-mq.aol.com>...
> >I don't believe your story. You're dumber than a box of rocks.
>
> You dont have to believe it, the Data Base says Extra for WA8ULX.

The database doesn't say:

a) on a whim
b) without studying
c) no lucky guessing
d) without error
e) in 8 minutes
f) on a $250 bet with two CB Plussers

The FCC database just doesn't support your claims.

For Brian it
> says CBplusser, with a foot note which says, Brian dont even try were not
> giving them away just yet.

It doesn't say that either.

Bruce Without Error, is there no end to your untruthfullness?

2251. Re: The Future of Packet Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 17:50:35 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211260950.4aca1313@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56542

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<arpgd4jbr1@208.207.71.155>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021122200358.20084.00000135@mb-dh.aol.com>...
> > > >Only works once, though.
> > >
> > > Not true, I[']m working on 2 more right now,[;] they are stupid enough to let me take
> > > 1 of the(m) on line [t]Test[s].
> >
> > Bruce Without Error sure knows a lot of CB Plussers. Wonder why that is?
>
>
> If it waddles and quacks, it's probably a duck....or Kim.

AFLAC

2252. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 18:09:04 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021126130904.14538.00000737@mb-cl.aol.com>
Source record: 56543

In article <as07ub01q3e@enews3.newsguy.com>, "Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com>
writes:

>"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
>news:as07a932a0@enews4.newsguy.com...
>> Hey Dan..... did ya know at one time I was married to Mrs. Right?
>>
>> Mrs. ALLWAYS RIGHT!!!!!!!!!
>>
>> I can say for sure, that I will never be afraid of the Devil..... shit, I
>> married his sister!
>
>Not all men are fools - some are bachelors.

"A bachelor is one who has no children...to speak of..." :-)

2253. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 18:12:22 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <aQOE9.26057$vM1.1992715@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 56545

Jim, N2EY, replied:

> It all fits. The early articles point out that the FCC was disappointed by how
> few hams had gotten Extras in the 1953-1963 period.

> But what still remains is the question about why the FCC did the Great Giveaway
> of December 1952 in the first place. Which set the stage for 1963.

More speculation on my part:

Dewey beat Truman in '48......

Oh, wait, he didn't either, but the postwar boom was in
full swing in '52 and the whole country was becoming more
conservative, pro-business, anti-communist and, perhaps,
a little less tolerant of arbitrary government regulation.

The years during and following WWII saw radiotelephone come
into technological maturity (as did SSB a decade later, as
Bill Sohl pointed out) and one no longer had to be a
"radio genius" to put a 'phone rig on the air on any amateur
band. It was at least technically possible to expand the
privileges. And ham radio had shown itself to be a "Good
Thing" during WWII and so, perhaps, deserving of government-
policy encouragement and growth.

> I have previously speculated that "Sputnik fever" may have
> had something to do with it, as well. In those early years
> of the "space race" (1957-1965 or so) it seemed like the
> Soviets were always there first.

That was the undeniable impetus behind much of the US govt.
policy-making in that era. It seems to me that it dovetails
(to as certain extent) with my Newton Minow theory. Behind
both is the assumption that technical advancement and
technical competence and be legislated "top-down".

Ham radio seems to be somewhat like the U.S. Supreme Court.
It reads the election results... but ten years after they
happen.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb @ arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

2254. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 18:12:23 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <bQOE9.26058$vM1.1992954@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 56544

Bill Sohl, K2UNK, wrote:

> If you go back to the actual FCC documentation you find a generic
> set of complaints claiming the hams were not up to the equivalency
> of their earlier hams in the eyes of industry, military, etc. The problem
> wasn't a lack of knowledge...but rather the onslaught of technology
> as an ever enlarging body of knowledge that couldn't be mastered
> simply by learning basics.

Yeah, I remember that sad litany being recited in the pages
of QST in those days.

> Anyway, the incentive licensing never solved that problem and, in
> the long run, the industry evolved to "throw-away" products.....

It's a prime example of trying to impose a top-down, government-
mandated impetus to technological evolution. The FCC policy-
makers didn't then have the vision and foresight to predict the
future. And they don't now. But, it does seem that now they
have gotten a little smarter about keeping their R&O-signing
pens in their pockets, observing what evolves in the marketplace,
and concentrating on protecting the legal rights of the spectrum
users.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb @ arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

2255. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 18:12:24 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <cQOE9.26059$vM1.1992187@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 56546

K2UNK:
>> Remember, in the 30s and 40s the entire body of technical knowledge
>> could pretty much be mastered by the average person with a good
>> slant towards science. There was no digital technology, the components
>> were all discrete, no solid state devices, no digital processing, no SSB
>> even.

N2EY:
> I have to disagree with this a little, Bill. Hams were using SSB in the early
> '30s. QST and other mags had TV articles even before WW2. The technology of the
> '40s included radar, TV, SSB, and minaturization - though those "miniature"
> components seem huge today.

I think it was a matter of subsidiary, enabling technologies
coming on-line in the 50's that led to the widespread
adoption of SSB in the 60's. The two I have in mind are
the development of planar bipolar transistors and, most
important, encapsulated, precision, IF sideband crystal
filters. The latter allowed cheap, reproducible SSB
transceivers to be manufactured (in Japan) and to become
the dominant HF ham rig by the mid-60's.

It's true that SSB principles were well-known in the 30's,
and some advanced hams had SSB rigs on the air back then.
But, to tell the truth, they couldn't be deployed en masse
until some very humble, and very small, components came
into common practice.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb @ arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

2256. Re: See "Codeless"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 18:29:42 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DE3BD96.60604@psu.edu>
Source record: 56547

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote
>
> : My gut feeling is that the general public (at least in areas unaffected
> : recently by any emergencies answered by hams or cbers) doesn't know the
> : difference and couldn't care less. Certainly the bickering between
> codeless
> : techs, other licensed amateurs, and cbers does nothing either.
>
> The whole fight is similar to the fights between sailboaters and
> motorboaters, arguing which is more technically advanced, which can get
> through when conditions are bad, which is modern vs. invented in the olden
> days, etc., etc., etc. Meanwhile, on the shore a group of commercial
> developers is plotting to buy the lake, drain it, and build a strip mall.
>
> Rather than band together to save our hobby, we seem hell-bent on finding
> inconsequential things to fight about, splintering and diluting whatever
> influence we have on regulators and the general public.

Well said, Hans! There are too many here, both Pro-CW and Anti-CW, who
do the service a great disservice. Those who seem to hate the ARS
because it is changing in ways they do not like, and those who seem to
hate it because it is not exactly what they want it to be.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2257. Re: See "Codeless"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 18:52:18 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uu7gmijlo2ti55@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56548

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:jlOE9.2056$ta5.341089@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote
>
> : My gut feeling is that the general public (at least in areas unaffected
> : recently by any emergencies answered by hams or cbers) doesn't know the
> : difference and couldn't care less. Certainly the bickering between
> codeless
> : techs, other licensed amateurs, and cbers does nothing either.
>
> The whole fight is similar to the fights between sailboaters and
> motorboaters, arguing which is more technically advanced, which can get
> through when conditions are bad, which is modern vs. invented in the olden
> days, etc., etc., etc. Meanwhile, on the shore a group of commercial
> developers is plotting to buy the lake, drain it, and build a strip mall.
>
> Rather than band together to save our hobby, we seem hell-bent on finding
> inconsequential things to fight about, splintering and diluting whatever
> influence we have on regulators and the general public.
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Without spectrum, there is no amateur radio.

Good analogy there Hans!

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

2258. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 19:05:25 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uu7hf89c2f6uc9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56549

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:as07ad72a0@enews4.newsguy.com...
> Brian.... like I said before.... don't take whatever you see here too
> seriously. For me it is strictly entertainment. Nothing that important
to
> get worked up here for..... after all, all we are here in newsgroups is
> merely a font..... Times New Roman I think....
>
>
> --
> Ryan, KC8PMX
> FF/MFR
> REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
>
>
>
> "Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

Ryan
I use Comic Sans font here as it seems to fit the
tone of the NG rather well. Other fonts fitting
this place are, Jokeman TT, Jester TT, Kids TT,
and Cuckoo TT. :^)

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

2259. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 19:21:48 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as0hc2079a@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56550

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0211260943.6c0969b4@posting.google.com...
> wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021122223353.05475.00003168@mb-mq.aol.com>...
> > >I don't believe your story. You're dumber than a box of rocks.
> >
> > You dont have to believe it, the Data Base says Extra for WA8ULX.
>
> The database doesn't say:
>
> a) on a whim
> b) without studying
> c) no lucky guessing
> d) without error
> e) in 8 minutes
> f) on a $250 bet with two CB Plussers
>
> The FCC database just doesn't support your claims.

That's six for six. By coincidence, there was a recent news article about some computer wiz kids from Drexel University that went six for six when they hacked into the nationwide paramutual network. That's the network that handles all of the off-track horse betting in the U.S. They're now facing felony wire fraud charges. They, too, are proclaiming that they did nothing wrong.

2260. Re: See "Codeless"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 19:23:53 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as0hg207ek@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56352

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:jlOE9.2056$ta5.341089@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote
>
> : My gut feeling is that the general public (at least in areas unaffected
> : recently by any emergencies answered by hams or cbers) doesn't know the
> : difference and couldn't care less. Certainly the bickering between
> codeless
> : techs, other licensed amateurs, and cbers does nothing either.
>
> The whole fight is similar to the fights between sailboaters and
> motorboaters, arguing which is more technically advanced, which can get
> through when conditions are bad, which is modern vs. invented in the olden
> days, etc., etc., etc. Meanwhile, on the shore a group of commercial
> developers is plotting to buy the lake, drain it, and build a strip mall.

I'd like to see them do that to Lake Erie.

2261. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 19:39:45 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DE3CE01.2040006@psu.edu>
Source record: 56351

Len Over 21 wrote:

<some snippage>
>
> One of the biggest obstacles is the inwards-looking general actions of
> the ARRL. ARRL publicizes itself primarily to other radio amateurs and
> rarely reaches out to the general public. That seems correct to ARRL
> in that they congratulate themselves unduly as to the work ARRL is
> doing. They must congratulate themselves to make it look like they
> are "doing the job" in order to gain memberships and keep current
> members enrolled. Despite the near-gratuitous self-praise of "public
> eduction" efforts, the undeniable fact is that they rarely get national
> exposure about amateur radio to the general public.

Good post, and point well taken. I really hadn't looked at it in that fashion, but I believe you are correct.

> US amateur radio isn't "dying." Unfortunately, it has reached a stagnant
> point where licensees are not increasing with the general population
> increase. Some licensees will explode with rage that anyone dares to
> say "bad things" about Their activity, Their love. While they feel
> righteous in their wrath, they are unable to understand that the general
> public just isn't impressed by Their ham-love or Their wonderful
> personal accomplishments in an essentially-avocational activity. Those
> just won't make effective swayers of public opinion.

Also true. Unfortunately, (many) Hams are probably not the best people to get involved in public relations. For better or worse, many of us are techno-nerds, immersed in the technical aspects of the hobby, and not in the social graces. I myself have been guilty of this. This would hopefully be a job that the ARRL would perform. But this makes a loop with your other point regarding the ARRL - they can but don't.

<rest snipped>

- Mike KB3EIA -

2262. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 20:36:59 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DE3DB6B.FE972ACE@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 56353

"Jackie L." wrote:

> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3DE14462.6B578A20@mailcity.net...
>
> > Maybe that is why they dumbed down the technical test, so folks
> > like wa8ulx could still obtain that Extra status.
>
> Okay, sorry to hijack this thread, but I'll rename it so that those who
> don't care can skip it over.
>
> JJ- I'm not disputing the object of your slam here, but this whole thing
> about dumbing down tests is really starting to get annoying.
>
> I'd like to know what the Tech test was like before it was "dumbed-down". I
> don't know "dumbed-down" from the purportedly "smart" test that was given
> before the one I took. What is the story here? Does anyone know where I can
> find the test question pool that was extant before I took the test this year
> (assuming one can be accessed online)? I'd love to know what kinds of stuff
> prospective Techs were tested on before the "dumbing-down" of the most
> recent test.
>

Back in 1976 I took the general written and novice code to get an old
fashioned tech (now "super tech plus") license. One of the questions
went something like this: "Your linear uses 800V DC on the plates of the
output tubes. How much current can you let the tubes draw?" Here you
needed to know two things: how to calculate input power, and what the
legal power limit was back then. It's volts times amps, and 1KW input
legal limit. And the usual rules questions. And what the subband
frequency boundaries were.

See if you can find one of those infamous Bash books. What Mr Bash
did was interview people who just took the tests as they left
the FCC field offices. And publish what they remember what was
on the tests. And unlike other licensed activities like flying or bus
driving, there's no real risk to the public if someone does "fake"
his way thru the tests and gets licensed. There's no real difference
between what an extra can do vs a general other than operate on
certain subbands. Not like a pilot's license where you need to
upgrade to fly on instruments vs visual.

2263. Re: Colonel Steve ex-AA8DP Busted (this is a VERY GOOD thing)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 21:26:37 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211261326.612d7892@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56354
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"SILKY \(Da Black Pimp\)" <nohooch@noyomommas.com> wrote in message
news:<wpVD9.19523$NV.381398@news.direcpc.com>...
> He gonna have him a "good-buddy" in the same prison cell.
> "Hey, young fellow. whatchyour name? Oh,now, don't be havin' that at-ti-tude
> with me! Bend Over!!"
>
> "the ole bignose" <bernie0x19@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:3ddfb1ca@snipnews.snip.net...
> > There's NO WAY IN HECK that this bastard Anderson
> > could have hid out for so long, without the help
> > and assistance of the so-called "patriot" community.
> >
> > Perhaps the FED's and FCC ought to pay more attention
> > to a certain ham radio "Patriot's Net" (3.860 8PM EST),
> > since numerous transmissions and topics (by licenced and
> > unlicenced stations) were heard while monitoring this net
> > over the course of the past 2 weeks - and these topics
> > seemed to be *very concerned* on "activity" the same area of
> > N.C. where Anderson was caught yesterday. Coincidence??
> >
> > Good job America's Most wanted. Good job BATF.
> > Good job and help by the ADL and Mark Pitcavage.
> >
> > One down - MORE to go....
> >
> > http://www.courier-journal.com/localnews/2002/11/23/ke112302s318493.htm
> >
> > A tip received by ''America's Most Wanted'' and forwarded to federal
> > officials
> > led to the arrest of Kentucky fugitive Steve Anderson in rural
> > Cherokee County, N.C., yesterday, authorities said.
> >
> > Anderson, a white supremacist who operated an illegal radio station
> > from his Pulaski County home, had been at large since allegedly
> > shooting at a Bell County sheriff's deputy on Oct. 14, 2001.
> > He was arrested in the mountains of southwestern North Carolina
> > by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms and the North
> > Carolina State Bureau of Investigation.
> >
> > ''It's a relief he's been taken into custody, especially without
> > incident,'' said Don York of the ATF's Louisville office.
> > York said the ATF captured Anderson entering a
> > residence, but he declined to elaborate.

> > Anderson was being held last night in Asheville, N.C.,
> > pending his transfer to Kentucky, authorities said.
> >
> > York said the television program received a tip about Anderson's
> > whereabouts after airing its second segment on him about a month
> > ago. The tip was forwarded to the ATF, which worked the case
> > with North Carolina authorities.
> >
> > Anderson is accused of shooting an automatic weapon at
> > Officer Scott Elder, who had pulled Anderson's truck over north
> > of Middlesboro for a traffic violation. Elder was unharmed after
> > Anderson allegedly opened fire, although his cruiser was struck about 25
> > times.
> >
> > Police said Anderson then drove into the mountains, and a search
> > of his property turned up explosives and guns. Anderson had
> > attracted attention in Pulaski County several years ago by playing
> > host to a small Ku Klux Klan rally during which a cross was burned.
> > He also operated a shortwave radio station out of his home,
> > where his commentary against blacks, Jews, immigrants and
> > the federal government drew the attention of the
> > Federal Communications Commission.
> >
> > The Anti-Defamation League complained to the FCC about
> > Anderson's broadcasts, and the agency eventually
> > rescinded his license. Anderson continued to broadcast despite the FCC
> > action.
> > Anderson was a member of the Kentucky State Militia, a civilian
> > paramilitary group, until April 2001. The militia said it dismissed
> > Anderson because he refused to shut down his radio operation.
> >
> > According to the Anti-Defamation League, Anderson also has ties
> > to the Christian Identity Movement, a loosely defined group
> > that claims Jews are the offspring of the devil. Anderson also
> > allegedly threatened an Eastern Kentucky newspaper editor who
> > included him in a series on hate.
> >
> > The ADL's director of fact-finding, Mark Pitcavage of
> > Columbus, Ohio, said he was glad that Anderson had
> > been caught, ''because he was a dangerous man who
> > had already shot at a police officer and threatened
> > more violence in his radio show. For public
> > safety, it's a good thing.'' Pitcavage said the capture
> > also was gratifying because ''people in militia and
> > extremist groups *admired he wasn't caught*.''
> >
> > Bell County Sheriff Harold Harbin said: ''It's good news.
> > I knew eventually we'd catch him. It sends a
> > message you can't get away forever.''
> >

> >
> >
> >
> >
> > "signalchaser" <williamsmith208@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:utv7ncd15dria0@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Check out this link on Colonel Steve being busted
> > > http://www.courier-journal.com/localnews/2002/11/23/ke112302s318493.htm
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
why is it necessary for you to post this silly boring story about a
renegade ham radio operator screw up on a cb newsgroup?????......sir
this.........this...........this is 11 meters.........hello come
in.........we don't give a hoot in hell about what goes on the freekin
liberty net or whatever........get this garbage off of here and on
your own newsgroup........man,....this stuff is getting
old.............there has to be a division.............damn
it.............we are not all in this together........you guys do your
thing..............please let us do ours..........!!!!!

2264. Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 22:48:04 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <ESSE9.2386$yy.374650@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 56356
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

Wise Ones live in the depths of the D, E, and F-Layers, and in the servers at
google.com. They are the descendants of the Gods. I personally subscribe to
the theory they are the children of Thor Heyerdahl, the Viking god of Thunder
and Balsawood Raft Dxpeditions. But that's another story.

The Wise Ones control everything that happens on the air and on the Usenet,
but they can't police every pileup or every newsgroup by themselves, so every
morning, just before daylight over Katmandu, the Wise Ones select the "Mostest
Intellegentest DXer" in their layer and convey upon him the title INFLICTOR OF
HIGHER MORAL HARANGUES. This title and its duties last until the following dawn
on Bouvet, if someone is there to observe the sunrise. Otherwise your duties end
when K2ASP gets tired of your crap and sends his lawyers with a gag order.

If you are ever chosen you will know because a representative of the Wise Ones
will anoint your temples with oil from a thirty-five year old bottle of Dr.
Guano, collected on Ocean Island by VR1L in 1966. For that day you will be known
as The Chosen. Your duties will be to seek out those that don't things the way
you do them (in other words, the right way.) When you find them, it will be your
duty to correct them.

Think about it...too wide, too weak, too slow, too fast, what's your call admit,
wrong VFO, rotten fist, poor pileup control, wrong band, wrong QSL route, you
timed out the repeater, wrong lingo, not enough green stamps, you're in the DX
window, no-coders not allowed, coders suck, ... They're all yours.

When you find these wayward ones, you will lumber onto their frequency, stand up
in your operating chair and shout, I AM SMARTER THAN YOU, SHUT UP AND LISTEN.
You will now have the wayward one's undivided attention. He will think about

this very briefly, then yield to your superior intellect. He will be very receptive to your thoughts and point of view.

Lecture him and proceed to the next wrong doer.

Now for the sad part of this tale. There are pretenders and charlatans out there
who try to usurp the rightful powers of The Chosen. To you villainous few, I say
beware. The Wise Ones also created BAWA (Baddest Ass Whats Around). No matter
how bad you are, BAWA has the ability to be badder. You will know when you start
your lecture and Bawa yells back, "You're a jerk, but I'm a bigger one. LET'S
ESCALATE".

And you will have no choice.

But soon BAWA will tire of you and sink your little balsa boat. As you slip under the surface you will yell, "BUT I WAS RIGHT, DAMMIT" and you will once again prove the ancient mariners axiom -- AN OPEN MOUTH TAKES ON WATER.

dit dit

de Hans, K0HB

2265. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 22:48:53 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211261448.cdc054@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56355

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3DE39CA1.C06DDFC4@earthlink.net>...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > In article <d22b54c3.0211250613.48b8ca8d@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> > >
>
> > > >That's right, there is a strong social aspect to ham radio you
> > > >apparently have trouble dealing with. If all that interests you is
> > > >electronics geekery you're wasting your time here and at ham radio
> > > >functions, join yer local chapter of the IEEE.
> > >
> > > The IEEE is a professional membership organization. Amateur
> > > radio is for amateur activities, by US government definition.
>
> By definition, this is an amateur radio newsgroup. Perhaps you'll be
> able to drum up some admiration for your life membership in the IEEE in
> the rec.radio.professional.vip newsgroup. It'll have to be better than
> trying to engage long time hams in a dribbling contest.
>
> P.S. You'll likely not want to let those folks know of your being
> foiled in obtaining a mere amateur radio license. If you slant your
> postings toward, "I showed those uppity hams a thing or two", you'll
> achieve higher status. Don't lay it on too thick as a number of them
> are also hams.
>
> Dave K8MN

I sure hope "amateur radio" isn't being billed as an "alternative
lifestyle" choice by professional radio-electronics folks. That would
make us "odd" by comparison.

2266. Re: How can we win?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 23:11:13 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211261511.2492bd6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56357

"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:<as0hc2079a@enews2.newsguy.com>...
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:f45722ac.0211260943.6c0969b4@posting.google.com...
> > wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
> news:<20021122223353.05475.00003168@mb-mq.aol.com>...
> > > >I don't believe your story. You're dumber than a box of rocks.
> > >
> > > You dont have to believe it, the Data Base says Extra for WA8ULX.
> >
> > The database doesn't say:
> >
> > a) on a whim
> > b) without studying
> > c) no lucky guessing
> > d) without error
> > e) in 8 minutes
> > f) on a $250 bet with two CB Plussers
> >
> > The FCC database just doesn't support your claims.
>
> That's six for six. By coincidence, there was a recent news article about
> some computer wiz kids from Drexel University that went six for six when
> they hacked into the nationwide paramutual network. That's the network that
> handles all of the off-track horse betting in the U.S. They're now facing
> felony wire fraud charges. They, too, are proclaiming that they did nothing
> wrong.

His story, although entertaining, is simply preposterous.

2267. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 23:35:46 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211261535.6edda509@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56358

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3DE39CA1.C06DDFC4@earthlink.net>...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > In article <d22b54c3.0211250613.48b8ca8d@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> >
>
> > > >That's right, there is a strong social aspect to ham radio you
> > > >apparently have trouble dealing with. If all that interests you is
> > > >electronics geekery you're wasting your time here and at ham radio
> > > >functions, join yer local chapter of the IEEE.
> >
> > The IEEE is a professional membership organization. Amateur
> > radio is for amateur activities, by US government definition.
>
> By definition, this is an amateur radio newsgroup. Perhaps you'll be
> able to drum up some admiration for your life membership in the IEEE in
> the rec.radio.professional.vip newsgroup. It'll have to be better than
> trying to engage long time hams in a dribbling contest.
>
> P.S. You'll likely not want to let those folks know of your being
> foiled in obtaining a mere amateur radio license. If you slant your
> postings toward, "I showed those uppity hams a thing or two", you'll
> achieve higher status. Don't lay it on too thick as a number of them
> are also hams.

Check this: The Putz got stupid and tried his crap over in dot hombrew
a few days back via his usual "(half) vast technical superiority"
thingey and got whacked good by an OH who really does know his stuuf.
Figgered you might relate to that, bloomin' hoot.

>
> Dave K8MN

w3rv

2268. Alhadin Jbilou bin Gulari: next Al Queda attack on Buy Nothing Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-26 23:36:40 UTC
From: annihilator-7@erlenstar.demon.co.uk
Message-ID: <MPG.0d3ebef79f0d@news.bcn.ttd.net>
Source record: 56371
Body handling: 1 inline base64-encoded payload block was not displayed

http://adbusters.org/campaigns/bnd/toolbox/contacts.jhtml

Alhadin Jbilou bin Gulari, allah be praised, here are your orders:

Version: 2.6.2i

[Inline base64-encoded payload not displayed]

=+egD

Version: GnuPG v0.9.5 (FreeBSD)
Comment: For info see http://www.gnupg.org

=Dsvv

http://adbusters.org/campaigns/bnd/toolbox/contacts.jhtml

2269. Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 00:51:28 UTC
From: "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net>
Message-ID: <1JGcnbKrlbCMinmgXTWc3g@comcast.com>
Source record: 56359

"Len Budney" <lbudney-google@nb.net> wrote in message
news:417b917a.0211250743.6879c75a@posting.google.com...
> "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
> >
> > At least university degrees are earned by learning the material,
not by
> > memorizing the answers to the exam using the published answers and
a yellow
> > high-liter.
>
> Speaking as a Math PhD, you're not really correct here. The great
> majority of an advanced degree is simple memorization; that's why
> you'll still find PhD students cramming for exams.

But I'll bet that the Ph.D. dissertation was not a simple matter of memorization. I'll also wager that the year or more of pure research that went in to it was not simple memorization either. The Ph.D. dissertation, as I understand it, requires the Ph.D. candidate to do original research work in their field.

The "simple memorization" your speaking about is the necessary accumulation of theory, with the resulting formulations - theorems - and proofs to support it. However none of it does you any good without knowing how to apply it. That's where the learning part comes in. I've seen people who can reproduce every equation they have memorized at will, but don't have a clue what to do with them because they haven't "learned" to apply them.

My point about the Ham exams, they way they are now, it is entirely possible for one to pass all of them up to Extra, and not understand even basic ohm's law. For a university degree I have yet to see one that is granted where all of the exams are freely published along with all of the correct answers before one takes the exams. I've had several Hams, from Tech to Extra, that have admitted to the yellow high-liter method however.

So if WA8ULX says they, university grads, haven't proved anything, well I think they have. The integrity of the testing process is far higher for a university degree that for the current crop of Ham Radio exams. I would have more confidence that an university EE grad knows basic electronics than I would a Ham of any license class without an EE degree.

The sad state of testing in the US has been discussed at length on this group at times. If WA8ULX wants to be such a snob about being an Extra Class then he should work on getting some credibility back in to

the written testing process. There is nothing funnier than a Ham who
can pound out 45 wpm, but yet can't figure out how to wire up his key
to his rig. ;-)

--
Leland C. Scott
KC8LDO

ARRL Member
NCI Member
--
"Sometimes our enthusiasm for change
depends on our willingness to take a
chance on tomorrow by risking what
we have today."

2270. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 00:51:53 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211261651.367bfb8f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56360

"K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> wrote in message
news:<cQOE9.26059$vM1.1992187@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> K2UNK:
> >> Remember, in the 30s and 40s the entire body of technical knowledge
> >> could pretty much be mastered by the average person with a good
> >> slant towards science.

At the bench and commercial/military applied levels in the 30s & 40s
of course Bill but certainly not at the level of the technological
visionaries who gave us the bases for what we have today. ALL of it.
Particularly after Shockley did his trick which prolly forever left
ham radio in the dust wrt to ham radio leading any significnt
technological advances.

> There was no digital technology, the components
> >> were all discrete, no solid state devices, no digital processing, no SSB
> >> even.
>
> N2EY:
> > I have to disagree with this a little, Bill. Hams were using SSB in the early
> > '30s. QST and other mags had TV articles even before WW2. The technology of the
> > '40s included radar, TV, SSB, and minaturization - though those "miniature"
> > components seem huge today.
>
> I think it was a matter of subsidiary, enabling technologies
> coming on-line in the 50's that led to the widespread
> adoption of SSB in the 60's. The two I have in mind are
> the development of planar bipolar transistors and, most
> important, encapsulated, precision, IF sideband crystal
> filters. The latter allowed cheap, reproducible SSB
> transceivers to be manufactured (in Japan) and to become
> the dominant HF ham rig by the mid-60's.

I think yer at least a decade early, I don't recollect any 1965 +/-
Japanese hollow or solid state HF ssb xcvrs.

>
> It's true that SSB principles were well-known in the 30's,

Single slideband wuz PATENTED in 1923.

> and some advanced hams had SSB rigs on the air back then.

1934 to be exact, eleven years late into the game and long after AT&T
had the stuff running in their circuits.

And along comes Hedy Baby the 40's pinup and B-24 nose art queen and her producer who came up with Spread Spectrum. Two of my single-digit age "nocode" grandchullins tote throwaway SS xvrs around in their jeans and here we sits still waiting for the first ever SS qso to actually happen on the ham bands. You bet there's a message there.

Methinks it's long past time to quit hanging onto the past and accept ham radio for what it really is today. Which has nothing to do with the decades-old basic technologies involved and everything to do with . . you fill in the blanks.

> But, to tell the truth, they couldn't be deployed en masse
> until some very humble, and very small, components came
> into common practice.

w3rv

2271. Re: Happy Thanksgiving!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 00:57:50 UTC
From: "phil :)" <just_rtfm@yahooREMOVETHIS.com>
Message-ID: <uu862ee5oaou92@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56361
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Happy Thanksgiving Lloyd!

2272. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 01:02:28 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uu86rq7rq8ese0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56362

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:as07a302a0@enews4.newsguy.com...
> See below for response
>
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> news:uu5dki8i5bjs65@corp.supernews.com...
> > "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
> > news:art6hh31sok@enews1.newsguy.com...
> > > Larry,
> > >
> > > I agree with this post for the most part.... Our national
organizations
> > > (ARRL and others) are responsible, as well as the more "local"
> > organizations
> > > too. There are some clubs that are doing an awesome job at this, but
> > there
> > > are many others that are not pulling their own weight in this regard.
> The
> > > way I see things going recently is that each one of us can try to
"sell"
> > ham
> > > radio to our friends and family, but after we have gotten all of the
> > people
> > > that are our friends/family licensed, we all seem to stop. (Gee,
almost
> > > sounds a little like Amway.... sell to family and friends first?)
> Maybe
> > > Amway does have a good idere there, as in the recruitment? :)
> > >
> > >
> > > --
> > > Ryan, KC8PMX
> > > FF/MFR
> > > REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Did you ever stop to ponder that the "face" of amateur radio is
changing,
> > the times are changing, the world is changing, etc., and so too will
> amateur
> > radio and its related requirements, R&?R, *and* traditions? This has
> > nothing to do with "dumbing down" or any other denigration that you can
> > muster up.

> >
> > Things never stay the same.
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
> >
> >
>
> Where the hell in this or for that matter have I made the statement or in
> defense of the statement "Dumbing Down" unless it was in a quote? That is
> not my mantra, and if you believe so, you are definitely mistaken. What
are
> these so called denigrations you accuse me of ??
>
>

Well, it actually looks like I clicked on the wrong post to respond to when I posted the above. It looks like I was probably meaning to respond to one of the CW vs. no-CW posts.

Anyway, sorry for the confusion.

Kim W5TIT

2273. Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 01:13:50 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <i%UE9.147459$QZ.23735@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 56363

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021124214514.07628.00007264@mb-

> The only coder only wants to code and could care less about the material.

Scratching head incredulously

I don't understand... do you literally mean that there are some people out there who took and passed the CW test (at whatever speed was required at the time to obtain an upgraded license at that time) and yet didn't understand one whit of the material (I assume you mean everything in the study guides that didn't have to do with CW) and as a result, do not understand one thing about SSB, FM or anything having to do with using one's voice? Man, I pity those poor "only-coders" who don't understand the rudiments of PTT then.

Vshah, as I said earlier, I'm not going to comment on the code vs. no code debate, but your assertions smack of hyperbole. I really doubt that you can categorize hams so neatly as you have tried. What about the in-betweens who hold a somewhat neutral opinion of the whole CW vs. no CW debate, and like to explore the hobby beyond one or two modes of doing it?

73 to you,

Jackie
KC0ODY

2274. Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 02:01:46 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as18r701ggd@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56366

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote in message
news:1JGcnbKrlbCMinmgXTWc3g@comcast.com...
> The sad state of testing in the US has been discussed at length on
> this group at times. If WA8ULX wants to be such a snob about being an
> Extra Class then he should work on getting some credibility back in to
> the written testing process. There is nothing funnier than a Ham who
> can pound out 45 wpm, but yet can't figure out how to wire up his key
> to his rig. ;-)
>
> --
> Leland C. Scott
> KC8LDO

WA8ULX should work on his *own* credibility. I think there's nothing funnier
than a ham whose writing skills show that an Extra Class license is no
measure of intelligence.

2275. Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 02:05:35 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DE436B5.126C1C17@townsqr.com>
Source record: 56364

"Jackie L." wrote:

> "Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021124214514.07628.00007264@mb-
>
> > The only coder only wants to code and could care less about the material.
>
> *Scratching head incredulously*
>
> I don't understand... do you literally mean that there are some people out
> there who took and passed the CW test (at whatever speed was required at the
> time to obtain an upgraded license at that time) and yet didn't understand
> one whit of the material (I assume you mean everything in the study guides
> that didn't have to do with CW) and as a result, do not understand one thing
> about SSB, FM or anything having to do with using one's voice? Man, I pity
> those poor "only-coders" who don't understand the rudiments of PTT then.

Jackie, don't waste to much time trying to understand this dude and his "crystal clear" rantings. He's about as clueless at they come. Some of us believe he's a retread from several years ago, an induhvidual who called himself "Cutie Boy" who had little expertise in English and who departed the NG for parts not known. If it's him, and I sure believe it is based on his syntax and ability to really mess up phaseolgy in exactly the same way, his opinions won't be undestandable most of the time and pointless the rest.

Dick

2276. Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 02:14:23 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <3UVE9.148920$1O2.10928@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 56365

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021124215003.07628.00007268@mb-mn.aol.com...

{snippers}

> Wow, code must make one smart.

A facility with CW makes one good at CW and able to converse with those who also use CW. Knowing and using CW makes one CW-smart. Which is great, if this is what you like to do.

I have a working knowledge of three other languages besides English, but that doesn't make me smarter than someone who only uses English. How much value you place in using CW (or any other mode of radio communication) is a personal thing, and who can tell you who to hang out with, converse with, and how to enjoy your hobby? Being so new to amateur radio, I don't know to what extent, if any, a facility in CW makes one a better ham in general. Perhaps it depends on what you want to do in the hobby.

I've known and worked with a couple of professiorial polyglot types who treated others they perceived to be less "smart" than themselves with any sort of deference- regardless of the myriad other facilities these "lessers" may have had over the professors- so I remain unconvinced that a facility to communicate in more than one mode or language makes an a**hole any less of an a**hole. To the contrary, the more languages an a**hole can express themselves in, the more people can identify them and subsequently avoid them.

I've had other hobbies that were rife with the attitude of "this way is the only way to do this hobby, vs. that way", too. Specialization in a certain area often breeds polarization. I believe that's what happens when you get to be really good at something-- you've been there, done that, and you form opinions. Just like everyone else who has been there, done that, and has formed their own opinions, which may not coincide with others who share their hobby.

Now, if amateur radio is *mainly* a hobby, then it's something that we choose to pursue in our leisure, and we are not pressured to do it "one way or another", no matter what anyone else says. Like ragchewing on the local repeaters, DXing on 6 meters or contesting on HF? Like to help out with communications during parades, be a valuable community asset during a local disaster, or any number of other things our licenses allow us to do? Who can tell you that ANY of this is wrong, so long as such activity is sanctioned within the confines of the C.F.R. as it relates to the ARS?

However, as far as I know, right at this moment (8:00 pm CST on 11/26/02) a 5 WPM proficiency in CW is still required in order for any licensed amateur

to be able to access some-to-all of the HF bands; the procedural value of which I will suspend any value judgments on right now, but neither do I want to wait until 2003 (or whenever the FCC gets around to abolishing all CW requirements for HF privileges in the U.S.) to try out the HF bands. Who knows, I may learn CW and like to use it, or I may end up thinking that it is more trouble than it is worth. My point is that a passing knowledge of CW is, right now, required for me to be able to explore what I want to explore in this hobby.

I've always thought that more knowledge is better than less when it comes to most any subject. I'd rather *know* more and use what I do know less. There are many fun possibilities extant within this hobby. I may decide to leave many of those modes by the wayside after exploring them. As far as I am concerned, it's better to know more than less, even if you don't use all you know. This is just a personal credo I live by, so lest anyone thinks I am personally "requiring" them to live by my standards, lighten up already.

73 and nicely browned turkeys to you all,

Jackie

2277. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 02:38:03 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <feWE9.26784$vM1.2040230@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 56367

I originally wrote:

>> I think it was a matter of subsidiary, enabling technologies
>> coming on-line in the 50's that led to the widespread
>> adoption of SSB in the 60's. The two I have in mind are
>> the development of planar bipolar transistors and, most
>> important, encapsulated, precision, IF sideband crystal
>> filters. The latter allowed cheap, reproducible SSB
>> transceivers to be manufactured (in Japan) and to become
>> the dominant HF ham rig by the mid-60's.

Brian Kelly, W3RV, wrote:

> I think yer at least a decade early, I don't recollect any 1965 +/-
> Japanese hollow or solid state HF ssb xcvrs.

I agree. I misstated the part about the Japanese manufacturing.
Now that you mention it, I don't recall seeing Japanese stuff
until the mid- to late-70's.

To my mind, the 60's were the era of single-PC-board SSB
transceiver, a la Heathkit HW-101 - implemented with vacuum-
tube technology, but using those really nifty, no-alignment-
required, 9 MHz Xtal filters.

> ..... Two of my single-digit
> age "nocode" grandchullins tote throwaway SS xvrs around in their
> jeans and here we sits still waiting for the first ever SS qso to
> actually happen on the ham bands. You bet there's a message there.

I'm waiting to see the first SS cop radio system. Or SS on
the MURS channels (:-o) Or any application for SS besides
cordless telephones on 915 MHz and 2.4 GHz and military
stuff. (ps: trunked systems don't count.)

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb@arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

2278. Re: See "Codeless"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 02:43:30 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DE43152.F0025FAC@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 56368

> Meanwhile, on the shore a group of commercial
> > developers is plotting to buy the lake, drain it, and build a strip mall.

JIAHN@sea.samsung.com

>
>
> I'd like to see them do that to Lake Erie.

I had heard that you didn't need to be Jesus to walk on Lake Erie.
That it was so polluted.....

2279. Re: Meet the 5 WPM "Extra Lite"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 02:45:58 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DE431E6.1092564@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 56369

David Stinson wrote:

>
>
> --
> [Image]

Images should be posted in alt.binaries.pictures.radio
not here, you lid.... :-)

2280. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 03:27:47 UTC
From: Rollo <webmechanic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <oprgh3yqhsuoy5bj@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 56370
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 23 Nov 2002 20:42:51 -0500, phil :p <just_rtfm@yahooREMOVETHIS.com> wrote:

> knowing assembly makes you a better HLL programmer. a few years ago there
> was an online "contest" among friends to see who could write the tightest
> yahoo chat client. i wrote four clients...

<blah blah?

Chill out, Phil.
Learn Perl -- "The duct tape that holds the internet together"

2281. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 03:45:45 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021126224545.24161.00000127@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 56372

kyyz seems anxious for me to answer his questions.

>>Also, I found many Hams want to use strange codewords, many that begin with
>>Q.
>>I guess part of the draw of Ham Radio is that they can be part of these
>>traditions.
>
> SO WHAT...?!?! EVERY sport, past time, hobby, etc has it's own "lingo"
>and traditions.
These codewords are not technical lingo. They are very stylistic. Also, these codewords are not an individualistic thing - its is representative of how Hams speak.

For example, saying Q abbreviations when ordinary conversation will do. Also, ending conversations with "73". Radios are called "rigs". Amateurs are called "Hams". Also the types of conversation - hatred of CB, debating on what's "real" Ham Radio, etc are part of the "Ham Radio" culture.

At first, I did not understand this stuff. Then, when I understood it, I realized people could just use regular words to say the same thing.

That's what makes these codewords different than any hobby's terminology.

Codewords might be fine with me if they were interesting to me. Also, a cult is not necessarily bad as long as it's not a boring cult. I felt Ham Radio was a boring cult. The codewords did not catch my interest. I guess it was the end goal of the hobby that made the codewords along the way uninteresting.

I hope I clarified and did not confuse (or upset) on what I meant by codewords.

>>Most Hams are male...(SNIP)
>
> Are you looking for love in all the wrong places?
No, I did not join Amateur Radio for this purpose.

> And lastly, WHAT is your callsign?
You know I'm not "uniformed" based on my postings. I have been to several meetings and Hamfests. I do gain some benefit from it. I don't know know what telling you my callsign will prove. I DO have a technician class license and this should be enough for you. I don't have to advertise or prove I have a license anyway. I don't know why you are so insistent about it.

2/3 questions.

One can ponder about how Ham Radio could best suited

- without really considering it a question of emotional or social
behavior. Boy would that be wrong. Ham Radio could live and die on very
strange human,
emotional, and social interactions. Technical merit takes a back seat in
any story of acceptance.

Look at something like the only code life-cycle. It
was at least as much a social story as a technical one. The no-code
technical mix attracted a certain kind of early adopter, and that adopter
in turn defined the culture (with some culture-hacking by code advocates). The
culture, ultimately, could not adequately support the hobby.

By the way, I have learned another realtime encoding scheme (other than Morse
code), one which I find enjoyable. Morse code is not enjoyable or useful to me
and it would better serve me and Amateur Radio to maintain my technical skills.

V.S. ,Technician class Amateur Radio license, KB1XXX

2282. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 03:57:46 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <_oXE9.150079$1O2.10846@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 56373

Hmm... just now seeing posts from a few days ago here. My ISP must be lagging...

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message news:3DE19B97.A6F9C969@mailcity.net...

> Jackie, no offense intended, I should have put dumbed down in
> quotes to indicate I was using the words of some others here. The
> test are in many was easier now than they used to be, but there
> are those who were licensed in the past that seem to think they
> were tested when passing the test really meant something.

No offense taken, JJ, but my point was that I don't give a ripe rat's rear HOW hard the tests were back in Ought-6; I wasn't there to know or care about how "hard" the old tests were. I studied for and worked with what the powers-that-be are giving out now. If those who hate the current test pools are mad at anyone, it should NOT be us new hams. Anyone who says that new hams are "less than" what they are or are the equivalent of CB plussers need a clue enema administered... stat.

>They
> should go back a few more years when the questions were essay type
> and one had to draw schematics of circuits and explain in detail
> how they worked.

While a few schematics were on the Tech exam, I personally tried to understand all the unlabeled (and therefore not on the test) parts of the test schematics-- because I really wanted to KNOW what made an FM receiver work, albeit on a basic level. We've all gotta start someplace. And I figured that even if I wasn't asked a question about a certain schematic on the test, I could find out about it later. Which is what I'm doing now.

>Most of those who seem to think they took the
> 'real exams' actually themselves took 'dumbed down' test and
> couldn't pass the earlier ones if their lives depended upon it.

I wouldn't know and didn't have to know because the VE's aren't giving the old tests anymore... those who pine nostalgically for the "good old days" of tough tests need to wake up, because those same tests aren't being offered anymore. If some oldtimers automatically assume the newbies are inferior because of this, then they are either posturing because they can on the relatively anonymous venue of Usenet (but would never do so "on the air") or they're just bored and need to spout. Either way, get over it and let's get on with discussing the future of the hobby, wherever that may lie...

Jackie

2283. F.S. New Linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 04:15:57 UTC
From: au273@freenet.carleton.ca
Message-ID: <3DE446FC.78A66A65@freenet.carleton.ca>
Source record: 56375
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

> For Sale,

New Linear Amplifier HF-2500

If interested please E-Mail me.

O.Brown,

2284. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 04:18:25 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <IIXE9.149302$QZ.25122@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 56374

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021126224545.24161.00000127@mb-cv.aol.com...
> kyyz seems anxious for me to answer his questions.
>
> >>Also, I found many Hams want to use strange codewords, many that begin
with
> >>Q.
> >>I guess part of the draw of Ham Radio is that they can be part of these
> >>traditions.
> >
> > SO WHAT...?!?! EVERY sport, past time, hobby, etc has it's own
"lingo"
> >and traditions.
> These codewords are not technical lingo. They are very stylistic. Also,
these
> codewords are not an individualistic thing - its is representative of how
Hams
> speak.
>
> For example, saying Q abbreviations when ordinary conversation will do.
Also,
> ending conversations with "73". Radios are called "rigs". Amateurs are
called
> "Hams". Also the types of conversation - hatred of CB, debating on what's
> "real" Ham Radio, etc are part of the "Ham Radio" culture.

You seem to have something against "Ham Radio" culture vshah, which makes me wonder two things:

1- why you bothered to get into the hobby in the first place; or

2- why you bother to stay in it, if so many aspects of it bother you.

How would you like to see ham radio change? So far I've heard a lot of complaints coming from you, but outside of the Code thing, I've not heard any real concrete suggestions on how YOU would improve the hobby. Can I hear some of them that don't have to do with CW?

If you want to know what something means or why someone uses it, I figure it's prudent and most productive if you simply ask. If you don't care to use Q abbreviations when you're talking on the air, no one says you have to. All hobbies have their lingo, which is not necessarily meant to keep outsiders out, but rather it's used to keep insiders informed. No exclusion is meant by the use of lingo, at least ideally it should not be.

Allow me a couple of corollaries here: amateur astronomers need to know amateur astronomy equipment terms like Messier objects, the Herschel 400, Maksutov and Ritchie-Chretien Cassegrains, Ronchi grids, peak-to-valley determinations, apochromats v. achromats, not to mention a host of scientific astronomy terms. When you are a numismatist (coin collector) you will learn terms that few outside of the hobby know, such as off-strike, planchet, chop-marks, bourse, 2 by 2's, and of course all the grading terms that go with how to evaluate a coin. Or, if you don't ever plan to sell your coins and don't care about their resale value, you might not use much esoteric numismatic lingo. That hobby, like many others, consists of what the individual hobbyist places on it and little more.

When hobbyists of any ilk talk to one another, they use terms that fellow hobbyists often use and assume that those we're talking to either know what we are talking about, or if they are new to the hobby, that they will ask. No one comes into any hobby knowing much 'hobby talk' beforehand. It's an acquired thing. I don't see why you are so averse to this sort of thing.

J.

2285. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 04:24:45 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uu8i7uql3pou8e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56376

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021126224545.24161.00000127@mb-cv.aol.com...
> kyyz seems anxious for me to answer his questions.
>
> >>Also, I found many Hams want to use strange codewords, many that begin
with
> >>Q.
> >>I guess part of the draw of Ham Radio is that they can be part of these
> >>traditions.
> >
> > SO WHAT...?!?! EVERY sport, past time, hobby, etc has it's own
"lingo"
> >and traditions.
> These codewords are not technical lingo. They are very stylistic. Also,
these
> codewords are not an individualistic thing - its is representative of how
Hams
> speak.
>
> For example, saying Q abbreviations when ordinary conversation will do.
Also,
> ending conversations with "73". Radios are called "rigs". Amateurs are
called
> "Hams". Also the types of conversation - hatred of CB, debating on what's
> "real" Ham Radio, etc are part of the "Ham Radio" culture.
>
> At first, I did not understand this stuff. Then, when I understood it, I
> realized people could just use regular words to say the same thing.
>
> That's what makes these codewords different than any hobby's terminology.

That, CW, and the license makes this different than CB radio also!
And as far as I'm concerned that is a GOOD thing!

>
> Codewords might be fine with me if they were interesting to me. Also, a
cult is
> not necessarily bad as long as it's not a boring cult. I felt Ham Radio
was a
> boring cult. The codewords did not catch my interest. I guess it was the
end

> goal of the hobby that made the codewords along the way uninteresting.

If you don't like the way we are don't let the door hit you on your way out!

>
> I hope I clarified and did not confuse (or upset) on what I meant by
codewords.
>
> >>Most Hams are male...(SNIP)
> >
> > Are you looking for love in all the wrong places?
> No, I did not join Amateur Radio for this purpose.

Then just why DID you join (if you did) Amateur Radio?

>
> > And lastly, WHAT is your callsign?
> You know I'm not "uniformed" based on my postings. I have been to several
> meetings and Hamfests. I do gain some benefit from it. I don't know know
what
> telling you my callsign will prove.

It will prove that you do really have a callsign and aren't lieing to us!
That is what it will prove. If your playing poker and you say you have
the winning hand nobody is going to let you take the pot without showing
your cards to prove that you won!!!

> I DO have a technician class license and
> this should be enough for you.

Sorry it's not enough! Any one can say anything on the NG, show us proof!

>I don't have to advertise or prove I have a
> license anyway. I don't know why you are so insistent about it.

To prove what your saying is true then we can start believing the
rest of what you say. Just because you say it's true don't make

it true.

> 2/3 questions.

<SNIP of dead space>

> ---
> ---
> One can ponder about how Ham Radio could best suited
> - without really considering it a question of emotional or social
> behavior. Boy would that be wrong. Ham Radio could live and die on very
> strange human,
> emotional, and social interactions. Technical merit takes a back seat in
> any story of acceptance.
>
> Look at something like the only code life-cycle. It
> was at least as much a social story as a technical one. The no-code
> technical mix attracted a certain kind of early adopter, and that adopter
> in turn defined the culture (with some culture-hacking by code advocates).
The
> culture, ultimately, could not adequately support the hobby.
> ---

What a waste of bandwidth! You said less than a used car salesperson
does when he says that it's a real nice car!

> ---
>
> By the way, I have learned another realtime encoding scheme (other than
Morse
> code), one which I find enjoyable. Morse code is not enjoyable or useful
to me
> and it would better serve me and Amateur Radio to maintain my technical
skills.
> ---

And what praytell is this encoding scheme that is so enjoyable to
you? Why don't you share it with us so we too may enjoy it?

> ---
> V.S. ,Technician class Amateur Radio license, KB1XXX

```
--------------------------------------------------------------------------
---
Now be a good boy V and fill in the last 3 letters of this to
make us happy, because there is no KB1XXX!
--------------------------------------------------------------------------
---
```

2286. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 04:27:43 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <3RXE9.150035$nB.12843@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 56377
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Rollo" <webmechanic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:oprgh3yqhsuoy5bj@netnews.attbi.com...

> Learn Perl -- "The duct tape that holds the internet together"

ROTFL!! If that ain't the truth then I don't know what is...

Thanks for the big laugh, I needed that

Jackie

2287. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 04:33:14 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE44A34.3B56F137@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56378

K7JEB wrote:

>
> I think it was a matter of subsidiary, enabling technologies
> coming on-line in the 50's that led to the widespread
> adoption of SSB in the 60's. The two I have in mind are
> the development of planar bipolar transistors and, most
> important, encapsulated, precision, IF sideband crystal
> filters. The latter allowed cheap, reproducible SSB
> transceivers to be manufactured (in Japan) and to become
> the dominant HF ham rig by the mid-60's.

Actually, Japanese rigs did not begin to dominate the market until well into the seventies. The mid-sixties rigs by Drake, Swan, Hallicrafters, National, WRL and Collins (not in order of popularity) were the kings of the hill.

Dave K8MN

2288. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 04:34:10 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021126233410.06308.00000677@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 56379

In article <bQOE9.26058$vM1.1992954@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> writes:

>Bill Sohl, K2UNK, wrote:
>
>> If you go back to the actual FCC documentation you find a generic
>> set of complaints claiming the hams were not up to the equivalency
>> of their earlier hams in the eyes of industry, military, etc. The problem
>> wasn't a lack of knowledge...but rather the onslaught of technology
>> as an ever enlarging body of knowledge that couldn't be mastered
>> simply by learning basics.
>
>Yeah, I remember that sad litany being recited in the pages
>of QST in those days.
>
>> Anyway, the incentive licensing never solved that problem and, in
>> the long run, the industry evolved to "throw-away" products.....
>
>It's a prime example of trying to impose a top-down, government-
>mandated impetus to technological evolution.

"better modes and modulations"
" no setasides for legacy modes"

>The FCC policy-
>makers didn't then have the vision and foresight to predict the
>future.

Heck, they didn't even understand what was going on in the present, back then!

> And they don't now. But, it does seem that now they
>have gotten a little smarter about keeping their R&O-signing
>pens in their pockets, observing what evolves in the marketplace,
>and concentrating on protecting the legal rights of the spectrum
>users.

And reducing their administrative workload for services like the ARS.
>
73 de Jim, N2EY

2289. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 04:34:11 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021126233411.06308.00000678@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 56380

In article <cQOE9.26059$vM1.1992187@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> writes:

>K2UNK:
>>> Remember, in the 30s and 40s the entire body of technical knowledge
>>> could pretty much be mastered by the average person with a good
>>> slant towards science. There was no digital technology, the components
>>> were all discrete, no solid state devices, no digital processing, no SSB
>>> even.
>
>N2EY:
>> I have to disagree with this a little, Bill. Hams were using SSB in the
>early
>> '30s. QST and other mags had TV articles even before WW2. The technology of
>the
>> '40s included radar, TV, SSB, and minaturization - though those "miniature"
>> components seem huge today.
>
>I think it was a matter of subsidiary, enabling technologies
>coming on-line in the 50's that led to the widespread
>adoption of SSB in the 60's.

Yup.

>The two I have in mind are
>the development of planar bipolar transistors and, most
>important, encapsulated, precision, IF sideband crystal
>filters. The latter allowed cheap, reproducible SSB
>transceivers to be manufactured (in Japan) and to become
>the dominant HF ham rig by the mid-60's.

I disagree. Japanese-made ham HF SSB transceivers did not show up in the USA in sizable numbers until the early 1970s. The first models were tube-based (Henry Tempo One, which is actually a Yeasu FT-200, Kenwood TS-511S, and a matched receiver-transmitter pair from a company called Star).

Widespread use of SSB by US hams began around 1948, when W6QYT and others produced practical how-to-do-it articles on building both phasing and filter exciters. Byt the mid-1950s there were many manufacturers producing SSB gear for hams - but as separate transmitters and receivers.

The Great Leap Forward was sparked by the introduction of the Cosmophone 35 plus the Collins KWM-1 and KWM-2 SSB transceivers in the late 1950s. While these rigs were too expensive for most hams, the concept of an integrated "shack in a box" was introduced by them. By the early 1960s, other companies were producing SSB transceivers at much lower prices. The two big things about

transceiving were that it eliminated the problem of zeroing the transmitter, and it made dual use of the most expensive parts of an SSB receiver or transmitter, thus lowering the cost.

In this same time period, Collins produced the "S-line" - matching transmitter-receiver pairs that could transceive or operate as separates, as desired. And again, by the early 1960s other companies were in that game.

What really drove the change to SSB was the price. A 100 watt SSB rig is at least as effective (S/N ratio-wise) as a 500 watt (or more) AM rig with all the supermodulation and compression tricks. Once the SSB rig cost less, the die was cast.
>
>It's true that SSB principles were well-known in the 30's,
>and some advanced hams had SSB rigs on the air back then.
>But, to tell the truth, they couldn't be deployed en masse
>until some very humble, and very small, components came
>into common practice.
>
I disagree again! It's possible to build a pretty good HF SSB rig using only components and techniques available in the very early 1950s. But it took some time for the idea that transceiving was worth doing to catch on.

Here's one way the "very early 1950s SSB transceiver" could be done:

First, use the heterodyne scheme of the S-line, witha tunable first IF in the 2.5 MHz region and a 455 kHz second IF.
Next, use a crystal lattice filter made with FT-241A-type crystals.
Use the miniature tubes that were available at the time (lots of them) and the ubiquitous 6146.

To simplify band-changing, plug-in coils might be used. But a ham with adequate tools and skills could build a bandswitching one.

The earliest description of an amateur HF transceiver that uses the same variable oscillator for both transmitter and receiver frequency control is in a QST of about 1953 or 54. Oddly enough, it's a 40 meter CW rig!

73 de Jim, N2EY

2290. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 04:42:59 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE44C8E.4840A969@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56381

Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DE39CA1.C06DDFC4@earthlink.net>...
> > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <d22b54c3.0211250613.48b8ca8d@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> > > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> > >
> >
> > >That's right, there is a strong social aspect to ham radio you
> > >apparently have trouble dealing with. If all that interests you is
> > >electronics geekery you're wasting your time here and at ham radio
> > >functions, join yer local chapter of the IEEE.
> > >
> > > The IEEE is a professional membership organization. Amateur
> > > radio is for amateur activities, by US government definition.
> >
> > By definition, this is an amateur radio newsgroup. Perhaps you'll be
> > able to drum up some admiration for your life membership in the IEEE in
> > the rec.radio.professional.vip newsgroup. It'll have to be better than
> > trying to engage long time hams in a dribbling contest.
> >
> > P.S. You'll likely not want to let those folks know of your being
> > foiled in obtaining a mere amateur radio license. If you slant your
> > postings toward, "I showed those uppity hams a thing or two", you'll
> > achieve higher status. Don't lay it on too thick as a number of them
> > are also hams.
>
> Check this: The Putz got stupid and tried his crap over in dot hombrew
> a few days back via his usual "(half) vast technical superiority"
> thingey and got whacked good by an OH who really does know his stuuf.
> Figgered you might relate to that, bloomin' hoot.

Since I've been married to an OH-type for nearly 14 years, I can attest
to the fact that they usually say exactly what's on their minds. I'll
have to check the posts.

Dave K8MN

2291. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 04:53:36 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DE44773.C8791D99@townsqr.com>
Source record: 56383

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> Its already too late. Big bro already has your 'number'. If you ever had a
> drivers license. Bought a house. Had a bank account...had a SS number....
> gone to a doctor. etc.

Or paid income tax.........

2292. Re: WA8ULX birthdate: That of a Satanist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 04:53:37 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DE447B9.E915FCE2@townsqr.com>
Source record: 56384

Dan/W4NTI wrote:

> Why would I want a loan of a Camel

Golly......maybe he thinks you'd walk a mile for one.

2293. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 04:53:39 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DE45DE7.C9CA6978@townsqr.com>
Source record: 56385

"Jackie L." wrote:

> Hmm... just now seeing posts from a few days ago here. My ISP must be
> lagging...
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3DE19B97.A6F9C969@mailcity.net...
>
> > Jackie, no offense intended, I should have put dumbed down in
> > quotes to indicate I was using the words of some others here. The
> > test are in many was easier now than they used to be, but there
> > are those who were licensed in the past that seem to think they
> > were tested when passing the test really meant something.
>
> No offense taken, JJ, but my point was that I don't give a ripe rat's rear
> HOW hard the tests were back in Ought-6; I wasn't there to know or care
> about how "hard" the old tests were. I studied for and worked with what the
> powers-that-be are giving out now. If those who hate the current test pools
> are mad at anyone, it should NOT be us new hams. Anyone who says that new
> hams are "less than" what they are or are the equivalent of CB plussers
> need a clue enema administered... stat.
>
> >They
> > should go back a few more years when the questions were essay type
> > and one had to draw schematics of circuits and explain in detail
> > how they worked.
>
> While a few schematics were on the Tech exam, I personally tried to
> understand all the unlabeled (and therefore not on the test) parts of the
> test schematics-- because I really wanted to KNOW what made an FM receiver
> work, albeit on a basic level. We've all gotta start someplace. And I
> figured that even if I wasn't asked a question about a certain schematic on
> the test, I could find out about it later. Which is what I'm doing now.
>
> >Most of those who seem to think they took the
> > 'real exams' actually themselves took 'dumbed down' test and
> > couldn't pass the earlier ones if their lives depended upon it.
>
> I wouldn't know and didn't have to know because the VE's aren't giving the
> old tests anymore... those who pine nostalgically for the "good old days" of
> tough tests need to wake up, because those same tests aren't being offered
> anymore. If some oldtimers automatically assume the newbies are inferior
> because of this, then they are either posturing because they can on the
> relatively anonymous venue of Usenet (but would never do so "on the air") or

> they're just bored and need to spout. Either way, get over it and let's get
> on with discussing the future of the hobby, wherever that may lie...
>
> Jackie

Jackie, JJ seems intent on getting most of this bassackwards, repeatedly. The main complaint hasn't been (at least by me) of the material covered by the tests, it's the fact that one can easily do a concentrated memory load on the question pools and pass any of the tests without learning much of anything meaningful about the subject matter. As one of the early VE's who started and ran a team for the first eight years after the VE testing was started, I saw the full spectrum of applicants, the good, the bad and the indifferent. But the licenses they each got all said the same thing. Some learned some radio theory in preparation for the tests, some did not. It didn't matter, they all got the paper that said "Amateur Radio Operator". You'd be surprised at how many DID take a very short time to fill out the answer sheet and then turn it in with a blank sheet of paper that was supposed to have been used for calculations. Bruce ULX isn't so far out in left field at all with his claim fo 8 minutes.

Since you're actually making the necessary effort to learn something about the subject matter you're being tested on -dang, and the code too!, I can't imagine why anyone could or would see any reason to criticise you for it. I've said clearly here a number of times that newcomers have no control over the tests any more than anyone has at any time in the past. You do what's required when you do it. But strangely, most of the newcomers who want to learn the written material also seem to want to learn the code, and upgrade. That alone says a few things about those who don't.

My big beef here is with the turkeys who've hung on the fringes for a lifetime of grousing about the requirements which apparently has become so ingrained within them that they can't stop. Only the actuarial tables will take care of that and them.
Even at that, I could ignore them if only they'd drop the silly idea that somehow it's all the fault of us oldtimers who just did what was asked of us, as though we had some control over the fact that a code test has always been a part of ham radio license testing! Kinda like blaming you for the tests being "easier" now, isn't it?

Yeah, Larry Roll has said, a few times, that none of you newcomers will ever have the same sort of license we got. It just isn't possible, you simply will never have the opportunity to take the same tests we took. Of course I agree. But what can that matter to you? Or me? If you get an Extra class license just as soon as you learn 5wpm code, and why in the world shouldn't you, why should I object? Given the same circumstances I would do the same thing, of course. I hope you will make something of it that far too many today will not. It isn't you or any one like you that is to blame for the way things have gone. I'm sorry I can't say the same for the FCC or the ARRL. FCC has decided to make it far easier for anyone to get any ham radio license, however mild their level of interest.. And today the ARRL has near-zero influence at the FCC, so they couldn't head it off if they wanted to.

What that does to ham radio in the long term is anybody's guess. It isn't even "getting the numbers up" as was widely and confidently predicted by eager advocates of dropping code testing.

Dick

2294. Re: Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 05:23:08 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uu8lm8acuip06f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56386
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

Actually, Hans, some of us anoint the wise ones! ;)

BTW, I have carefully measured your 'dit dit' at the end of your post and
can pronounce it of the proper required legal spacing. ;)

Good post & 73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:ESSE9.2386$yy.374650@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
<<some extensive snipping>>
>
> dit dit
>
> de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>
>

2295. Re: See "Codeless"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 05:34:30 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <as2vbd28fp@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56472

Unfortunately my newsgroup server does not have that one.... Can anyone email that one to me?

Thanks,

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

"David Stinson" <arc5@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message news:3DE2CF5B.B650E94@ix.netcom.com...
>
> See "Codeless" on alt.binaries.pictures.radio.
>
> Snicker....

2296. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 05:35:53 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <ZQYE9.131156$WL3.62336@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 56387

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3DE45DE7.C9CA6978@townsqr.com...

> The main complaint hasn't been (at least by me) of the material covered by
the
> tests, it's the fact that one can easily do a concentrated memory load on
the
> question pools and pass any of the tests without learning much of anything
> meaningful about the subject matter.

I suppose that is one of the downsides of having such tests, where the answers to the questions in the pool can be memorized. If that possibility's there, some people will use it. I bought the Tech study book and being the thrifty person I am, I figured that since I spent the money for it, I wanted to get something out of it, even though I knew all along that I could look up the answers to the questions in the back. That's not learning though; that's rote memorization, yet some people have an amazing capacity to fool themselves into thinking that the two are equivalent. I could spend a long, long time going on about learning vs. rote memorization and how it relates to education in general-- it is a sore spot for me though, and not very pertinent to this topic, so I won't.

>As one of the early VE's who started and
> ran a team for the first eight years after the VE testing was started, I
saw the
> full spectrum of applicants, the good, the bad and the indifferent. But
the
> licenses they each got all said the same thing. Some learned some radio
theory
> in preparation for the tests, some did not. It didn't matter, they all got
the
> paper that said "Amateur Radio Operator". You'd be surprised at how many
DID
> take a very short time to fill out the answer sheet and then turn it in
with a
> blank sheet of paper that was supposed to have been used for calculations.

I have briefly looked over the material for the Extra test, and I will admit that most of the electronic theory whizzed far above my head. But I'm not an EE either, so I would not naturally be expected to know this sort of material as a matter of course. I would have to study hard for that test because I don't do electronics for a living.

> Yeah, Larry Roll has said, a few times, that none of you newcomers will
ever
> have the same sort of license we got. It just isn't possible, you simply

will
> never have the opportunity to take the same tests we took. Of course I
agree.
> But what can that matter to you? Or me?

We could say it matters, or pretend like it does, but it can't in the grand scheme of things. It may certainly matter to those who wish to continue to hold a grudge, but that's not doing the hobby any favors, for sure.

It's not the fact of this "old-test / new test" situation that concerns me, but rather the potential of a bad attitude and knee-jerk predjudice against the neophytes that could come riding along on its back. Having been born when a person was born, deciding to get into the hobby when they did when the tests were what they were-- none of that is either here or there. However, if one gets discriminatory as a result of having gotten their license when they did, and they feel as if this "seniority" means that they can treat newcomers to the hobby as if they were pariahs until they could prove their worth, I don't think that's right and it would tend to make people stay away from the hobby, which would be truly detrimental to its future, as I see it. I have heard of the "no kids, no lids, higher license class only" types who're better off being set away amongst their own, as far as I am concerned. Must be nice to be perfect, huh??

I'm not pointing fingers at anyone here, because I've seen this type of stuff in other hobbies too. No use living in the past-- people nowadays, no matter when they took their tests or what age they are, should consider that times change, standards change, and then learn to judge people on how well they conduct themselves where it counts-- on the air.

> FCC has decided to make it
> far easier for anyone to get any ham radio license, however mild their
level of
> interest..

So far I've heard all sorts of statistics bandied about on how amateur radio has either gained or lost members over the years, but I'm not sure what's accurate. If there really has been a decreasing number of amateurs in the ARS pool over the years, I would like to find out ALL the various reasons why that number has gone down. I'm not certain that it has as much to do with what people are being tested on as much as it might have to do with other things that are distracting people away from what may be perceived to be an "old-timey" hobby that cannot compete with modern high-tech pasttimes (I would say that this might have something to do with the fact that the ARS severely lacks well-aimed PR, but that's for another thread).

> What that does to ham radio in the long term is anybody's guess. It
isn't even
> "getting the numbers up" as was widely and confidently predicted by eager
> advocates of dropping code testing.

If my hunch is correct, then dropping code entirely as an HF requirement might get the numbers up either; maybe nothing will... I fear that the ARS

has too much competition in other areas nowadays in areas having nothing to do with radio...

Jackie

2297. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 05:36:56 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <as2vbe38fp@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56473

Darn.... wish I would have thought of that..... :)

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

">
> Ryan
> I use Comic Sans font here as it seems to fit the
> tone of the NG rather well. Other fonts fitting
> this place are, Jokeman TT, Jester TT, Kids TT,
> and Cuckoo TT. :^)
>
> --
> '73' From KB9TMP
> "The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
> if you can fake that, you've got it made."
> -Groucho Marx
>
>
>

2298. Re: Well Guys its been fun

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 05:39:00 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <as2vbf48fp@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56474

True... My main sentiment was referring to that nobody is really real here until I meet them either on the air or in person. Have seen some old fart hams that set it at 24pt. or higher..... instead of using a magnifier!!!!

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

> ...at either 10 or 12 point. I can't remember which size is the standard.
>
>

2299. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 07:20:31 UTC
From: BDKING <kingrat@buckeye-express.com>
Message-ID: <MPG.184e3c48b9dee76398978a@news.buckeye-express.com>
Source record: 56518
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <IJzD9.3848$EY.3229@fe01>, w6dkn-nospam-@qsl.net says...
> "BDKING" <kingrat@buckeye-express.com> wrote in message
> news:MPG.1848900e38496e55989795@news.buckeye-express.com...
> > > And your callsign is?
> > >
> > > 73 de W6DKN
> > >
> > >
> >
> > I've had two, a Novice 30 years ago, and one of them hated No code techs
> > since 91, and I won't post them here, for various reasons. I'm sure
> > you'll trash me, but I have good reason not to. But I did the 5 WPM CW
> > thing already, and maybe I'll upgrade one of these days, but it doesn't
> > have the draw it did ten years ago. Too bad...
> >
>
> So, in effect, you have never experienced anything beyond basic entry level
> operation? That's not much scope of experience to be basing such a negative
> view of the hobby on.
>
> Since you claim to have passed the 5 WPM element in the past, all you have
> to do is show proof of it to the examiner when you take your General (or
> higher) written element and you will have your HF ticket. Isn't that what
> you wanted, elevated privileges without having to take the code test?
>
> 73 de W6DKN
>
>
>
>

Yeah, it's what I wanted, but the hunger for it has passed by. I still
listen a lot, since I can do something else while doing it. If I have
the cash to buy an HF rig, I won't buy it, I will buy a new PC,or upgrade
one instead. Maybe that will change someday, who knows...

BDK

2300. Help With RCI2990DX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 07:47:39 UTC
From: frankie <phrankie@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <fhGdnfz0j5km03mgXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 56382
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Hello all. I recently bought a RCI 2990DX. Shipped to me with a frequency conversion chip that i was supposed to install if i were to use the radio in the usa. I tried to install it, and when i did the raido turned off and now it wont come back on! please help, did i burn out the mainboard? blow a fuse? -Frank

2301. Re: Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 09:19:50 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211270119.6de2647c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56388
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

"K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:<ESSE9.2386$yy.374650@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> Wise Ones live in the depths of the D, E, and F-Layers, and in the servers
> at
> google.com. They are the descendants of the Gods.

. . . . .

>
> But soon BAWA will tire of you and sink your little balsa boat. As you slip
> under the surface you will yell, "BUT I WAS RIGHT, DAMMIT" and you will once
> again prove the ancient mariners axiom -- AN OPEN MOUTH TAKES ON WATER.
>
> dit dit

Masterpiece.

>
> de Hans, K0HB

w3rv

2302. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 11:55:02 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DE4C0DD.ED06C5AF@townsqr.com>
Source record: 56389

Brian wrote:

>
> I sure hope "amateur radio" isn't being billed as an "alternative
> lifestyle" choice by professional radio-electronics folks. That would
> make us "odd" by comparison.

No surprise there, either. No surprise that you wouldn't recognize what your buddy The Putz has laid on us all along, despite the fact that you've allegedly been reading this NG for awhile. Typical of you, Slim.

2303. Re: F.S. New Linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 12:01:20 UTC
From: Martin VK2UMJ <vk2umj@REMOVEyahoo.com.au>
Message-ID: <bm2F9.84875$g9.240066@newsfeeds.bigpond.com>
Source record: 56390
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Odette Brown" <au273@freenet.carleton.ca> wrote in message
news:3DE446FC.78A66A65@freenet.carleton.ca...
> > For Sale,
>
> New Linear Amplifier HF-2500
>
> If interested please E-Mail me.
>

Perhaps some more info for those that don't have the complete info on this
amp would be handy, such as Make, Power, Frequency Range, etc, etc,
etc......

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 25/11/2002

2304. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 12:12:41 UTC
From: "phil :)" <just_rtfm@yahooREMOVETHIS.com>
Message-ID: <uu9djhbo88nuc2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56391
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

> <blah blah?
>
> Chill out, Phil.
> Learn Perl -- "The duct tape that holds the internet together"

LOL... i looked at Perl... and then RAN! :D speaking of which... have you ever visited the "99 bottles of beer" site? check it out...

http://99-bottles-of-beer.ls-la.net/

2305. Re: F.S. New Linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 12:24:42 UTC
From: "Stephen C. Robbins" <srobbinsNoSPaMDUDES@netacc.net>
Message-ID: <3de4b9e3$1@news.netacc.net>
Source record: 56392
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Martin VK2UMJ" <vk2umj@REMOVEyahoo.com.au> wrote in message
news:bm2F9.84875> Perhaps some more info for those that don't have the
complete info on this
> amp would be handy, such as Make, Power, Frequency Range, etc, etc,
> etc......
>
>

Nah. Anyone with a newsreader probably has a web browser and can just spend
5 seconds finding the web page at: http://www.command1.com/hf-2500.htm

2306. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 12:48:05 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211270448.1bd14681@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56393

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0211261535.6edda509@posting.google.com>...
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DE39CA1.C06DDFC4@earthlink.net>...
> > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <d22b54c3.0211250613.48b8ca8d@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> > > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> > >
>
> > > >That's right, there is a strong social aspect to ham radio you
> > > >apparently have trouble dealing with. If all that interests you is
> > > >electronics geekery you're wasting your time here and at ham radio
> > > >functions, join yer local chapter of the IEEE.
> > >
> > > The IEEE is a professional membership organization. Amateur
> > > radio is for amateur activities, by US government definition.
> >
> > By definition, this is an amateur radio newsgroup. Perhaps you'll be
> > able to drum up some admiration for your life membership in the IEEE in
> > the rec.radio.professional.vip newsgroup. It'll have to be better than
> > trying to engage long time hams in a dribbling contest.
> >
> > P.S. You'll likely not want to let those folks know of your being
> > foiled in obtaining a mere amateur radio license. If you slant your
> > postings toward, "I showed those uppity hams a thing or two", you'll
> > achieve higher status. Don't lay it on too thick as a number of them
> > are also hams.
>
> Check this: The Putz got stupid and tried his crap over in dot hombrew
> a few days back via his usual "(half) vast technical superiority"
> thingey and got whacked good by an OH who really does know his stuuf.
> Figgered you might relate to that, bloomin' hoot.
>
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> w3rv

Brian, you're postings are slightly more readable than Bruce's.

Are you really an engineer?

2307. Re: WA8ULX birthdate: That of a Satanist

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 12:49:45 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211270449.1baa8e53@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56394

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DE447B9.E915FCE2@townsqr.com>...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> > Why would I want a loan of a Camel
>
> Golly......maybe he thinks you'd walk a mile for one.

Maybe he's just lonely and needs a companion.

2308. Re: See "Codeless"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 12:53:55 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211270453.735c2cb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56395

Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:<3DE43152.F0025FAC@ix.netcom.com>...
> > Meanwhile, on the shore a group of commercial
> > > developers is plotting to buy the lake, drain it, and build a strip mall.
>
> JIAHN@sea.samsung.com
>
> >
> >
> > I'd like to see them do that to Lake Erie.
>
> I had heard that you didn't need to be Jesus to walk on Lake Erie.
> That it was so polluted.....

That was back when the Democrats had control of the government.

2309. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 12:54:38 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <ig3F9.27173$vM1.2089856@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 56396

I originally posted:

>> ..... The latter allowed cheap, reproducible SSB
>> transceivers to be manufactured (in Japan) and to become
>> the dominant HF ham rig by the mid-60's.

Dave, K8MN, replied:

> Actually, Japanese rigs did not begin to dominate the market until well
> into the seventies. The mid-sixties rigs by Drake, Swan, Hallicrafters,
> National, WRL and Collins (not in order of popularity) were the kings of
> the hill.

Yeah, I have to confess that my "historical research"
consisted of shuffling through my personal memories of
30 to 40 years ago - a process fraught with vagueness
and inaccuracies. I currently have the somewhat foggy
impression of being in a "Mom and Pop" ham store off
the North end of the Boeing Field runway in Seattle
and (a) overhearing a good deal of grouching about the
newly instituted incentive licensing, (b) seeing a Yaesu
transmitter/receiver pair for the first time and (c)
buying an old Viking Valiant for $50. I make the year
to be 1972. Then it fades out.....

And, I definitely misstated that mid-60's, Japanese thing.
But I'll stick with my assertion about pre-packaged 9 MHz
crystal filters being one of the enabling technologies
for low-cost SSB transceivers.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb @ arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

2310. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 12:54:38 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <ig3F9.27174$vM1.2090934@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 56397

I foolishly misstated:

>>The latter allowed cheap, reproducible SSB
>>transceivers to be manufactured (in Japan) and to become
>>the dominant HF ham rig by the mid-60's.

Which was immediately corrected by Jim, N2EY:

> I disagree. Japanese-made ham HF SSB transceivers did not
> show up in the USA in sizable numbers until the early 1970s.
> The first models were tube-based (Henry Tempo One, which is
> actually a Yeasu FT-200, Kenwood TS-511S, and a matched
> receiver-transmitter pair from a company called Star).

That's what I get for basing "historical research" on
personal memories! You're right, the Japanese invasion
took place in the 70's (and not just in ham gear, either).

K7JEB:
>> It's true that SSB principles were well-known in the 30's,
>> and some advanced hams had SSB rigs on the air back then.
>> But, to tell the truth, they couldn't be deployed en masse
>> until some very humble, and very small, components came
>> into common practice.

N2EY:
> I disagree again! It's possible to build a pretty good HF
> SSB rig using only components and techniques available in
> the very early 1950s. But it took some time for the idea
> that transceiving was worth doing to catch on.

It's a matter of degree and price/performance. My recollection
was that there were a series of breakthroughs that drove
prices of SSB gear down and performance up. The Central
Electronics 20A phasing exciter was one such - in the mid-50's.
You cited the KWM-2 and I agree. I was also struck by the
cost-effectiveness of the Swan single-banders, and later
the Heathkit HW-101.

In every case, though, I still assert that it was the enabling
technologies in materials, components, packaging and design
methodologies that were in constant ferment in the general
electronics community that provided the breakthroughs in
amateur radio. Very few were actually motivated by amateur-radio
requirements alone. I think amateur radio lost its position
as a prime mover in technological development when radio
broadcasting did - sometime around 1950.

Yet, incentive licensing was a desperate attempt to flog
that dead horse back into life, to try to push amateur radio
back into a race where the other entries had already lapped
the field a couple of times - fueled by the headlong rush
of consumer electronics. As Bill Sohl implied, it was a policy
decision rooted more in the 1930's than the 1960's, and
as such, doomed to failure. Unfortunately, it also doomed
amateur radio to artificial license-class distinctions for
the next three-plus decades.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb @ arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

2311. Re: Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 13:19:45 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021127081945.06703.00000094@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 56398

>Subject: Re: Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?
>From: "Jim Hampton" aa2qa@frontiernet.net
>Date: 11/26/02 11:23 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <uu8lm8acuip06f@corp.supernews.com>
>
>Actually, Hans, some of us anoint the wise ones!

Actually, the Wise One's look up and get out of our way!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2312. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 13:34:49 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021127083449.06703.00000095@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 56399

>Subject: Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 11/26/02 9:45 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021126224545.24161.00000127@mb-cv.aol.com>

>> SO WHAT...?!?! EVERY sport, past time, hobby, etc has it's own "lingo"
>>and traditions.

>These codewords are not technical lingo. They are very stylistic. Also, these
>codewords are not an individualistic thing - its is representative of how
>Hams
>speak.

You absolutely IGNORED the base question, Anonymous One...That is WHY should Amateur radio be ANY DIFFERENT from any one of thousands of other sport, hobbies or past times?

>That's what makes these codewords different than any hobby's terminology.

No they don't. There are literaly thousands of terms (I provided several examples in the original post of other sports) that COULD be represented with "other" language, but they are not.

Again...WHY IS AMATEUR RADIO ANY DIFFERENT?

Furthermore, EVERY sport/hobby/past time has it's own traditions, goals and milestones. You have not rendered ONE valid reason why Amateur Radio should be ANY different.

>>>Most Hams are male...(SNIP)
>>
>> Are you looking for love in all the wrong places?

>No, I did not join Amateur Radio for this purpose.

You must be, Anonymous One. You mention it at every opportunity. In reexamining some of your posts, I count no fewer than 19 occassions where you make reference to the preponderence of male participants over female. Obviously it IS an issue for you.

>> And lastly, WHAT is your callsign?

>You know I'm not "uniformed" based on my postings.

That wasn't the question, now was it?

>I have been to several meetings and Hamfests.

Ohhhh...exxxxccccuuuuussssseeeee me. "Several" meetings...Uh huh...I see. What a wealth of experience to draw from.....

>I don't know know what
>telling you my callsign will prove. I DO have a technician class license and
>this should be enough for you.

The fact is that I do NOT believe you. I DO believe you to be untruthful, and your anonymous status is to protect your ability to troll at will.

>I don't have to advertise or prove I have a license anyway.

Then why present to an Amateur Radio related newsgroup if you aren't proud enough of your achivement or man enough to stand behind your opinions...?!?!

>I don't know why you are so insistent about it.

Because I do not believe you to be truthful. Furthermore, your posts are often written from third-person experience and from that of a very poorly prepared neophyte.

>2/3 questions.

Only 66 2/3% answered...Not enough to pass. Try again.

Steve, K4YZ

2313. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 13:38:12 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021127083812.06703.00000096@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 56400

>Subject: Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"
>From: "KB9TMP" kb9tmp@juno.com
>Date: 11/26/02 10:24 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <uu8i7uql3pou8e@corp.supernews.com>

>Now be a good boy V and fill in the last 3 letters of this to
>make us happy, because there is no KB1XXX!

He can't. He doesn't have a call to fill in.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2314. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 13:44:07 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021127084407.06703.00000097@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 56451

>Subject: Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 11/26/02 9:45 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021126224545.24161.00000127@mb-cv.aol.com>
>
>kyyz seems anxious for me to answer his questions.

By the way, Anonymous Troll, my Amateur Radio callsign is K4YZ, not kyyz.

KYYZ is the callsign of an FM radio station in Great Falls, MT, owned by a corporation in San Diego, CA.

Do try to get it right.

Steve, K4YZ

2315. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 13:58:49 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021127085849.06703.00000098@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 56452

>Subject: Direct Questions for "Vshah"
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 11/25/02 12:32 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021125013247.02762.00000095@mb-cq.aol.com>

>And lastly, WHAT is your callsign?

Just a very rough guess on my part that this is KB1HMW, if all the parts were to fit the puzzle. Licensed for only a few days over a year, it would make sense.

So, "vshah", care to fess up and stand up?

Steve, K4YZ

2316. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 14:37:21 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0211270637.42b73d41@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56453

"K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> wrote in message
news:<feWE9.26784$vM1.2040230@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...

> To my mind, the 60's were the era of single-PC-board SSB
> transceiver, a la Heathkit HW-101 - implemented with vacuum-
> tube technology, but using those really nifty, no-alignment-
> required, 9 MHz Xtal filters.

I hate to do this, BUT...

Collins S-line used 455 kHz mechanical filters. Also the SBE-33/34 hybrid transceivers and the ahead-of-their-time Cosmophone 35 and 1000

National NCX-3, 200 and I think the NCX-5 used 5.2 MHz packaged xtal filters

Heath HW-100/101, SB-100/101/102, SB-300/301/303, SB-400/401 and SB-110 all used 3.395 MHz packaged xtal filters (tunable first IF 8.395-8.895MHz). An HW-101 has at least three PC boards, plus a modular VFO, and the final amplifier is point-to-point wired, btw. The Heath monobanders (HW-12/22/32) used a single-board design. They cost around $100 as new kits, without power supply. They used a different hetrodyne scheme, and xtal filters made from discrete components.

Swan 350,500,700,260 and all their variations used 5.5 MHz packaged xtal filters

Hallicrafters transceivers (SR-150, SR-400, SR-2000) used packaged filters at 1650 kHz (tunable first IF of 6-6.5 MHz)

The infamous Eico 753 (seven-drifty-three) used a 5.2 MHz xtal filter that was not packaged in a small can.

9 MHz packaged xtal filters were used in the Drake TR-3,-4 and -6. Also the Hallicrafters HT-46/SX-146 pair.

All of the above are circa-'60s vintage, and used American made filters.

Most (but not all) Ten Tec transceivers use 9 MHz filters. But they are ladder filters, not lattice filters, and they are not prepackaged in little metal cans.

The filters you recall are probably the McCoy units that were sold to homebrewers in the '60s. KVG (a German outfit!) also had a line of 9 MHz filters showing up in the USA in the late 1960s, also aimed at homebrewers. International Crystal also sold prebuilt preadjusted filters that were not in little cans.

The earliest mention of packaged HF-range crystal filters I can find in amateur literature are units by Hycon Eastern. They were featured in a 1957 QST article by W1DX ("What's Wrong With Our Present Receivers?") which described the advantages of putting the selectivity knothole as close to the antenna as possible, and of using HF-range xtal filters to reduce the number of conversions. These were 2215 kHz units - the first IF of the then-popular National NC-300 and NC-303 receivers. I have a pair of these filters (one CW, one SSB) with data sheets. 1959 price: $44 each. Inflation-adjust that to 2002 dollars.....

--

While we're on the subject of 9 MHz filters:

There's an urban legend about why hams use LSB on 75 but USB on 20. The UL sez that it's because many early SSB rigs used 9 MHz filters/sb generators and 5-5.5 MHz VFOs. Supposedly the sideband selection works out that way automatically.

But the UL is wrong. While the 9 MHz sb generation/5-5.5 MHz VFO scheme was very popular, it does not produce sideband inversion.

Generate a 9 MHz USB signal, additively mix it with a 5 MHz VFO and the result is 14 MHz USB. Generate a 9 MHz USB signal, subtractively mix it with a 5 MHz VFO and the result is 4 MHz USB.

However, if you use a filter in the 5 MHz range and a VFO in the 9 MHz range to cover 75 and 20, sideband inversion does occur as described.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2317. Re: Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 14:45:24 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DE4DA84.ADA1EEF4@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 56454

There are only three "Wise Ones" in the universe, Hans.

One is dead.

One went insane.

And *I* don't want to talk about it....

Dave S.

2318. Re: Communication Corrections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 16:04:54 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0211270804.72e6f189@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56455

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:<art6hk61sok@enews1.newsguy.com>...
> After all Jim, how the

[expletive deleted]

> is a person gonna learn that they are screwing
> up in the first place, and how to correct it?

Ryan,

I hope you did not interpret my remarks as any sort of criticism,
because they were not intended to be critical of you in any way.

> I am by far not the best op
> out there, but willing to learn whatever it takes to get a little closer
> every day!

EXACTLY! And it is that attitude which is the most important thing.

> For example, one of the changes I made in operation after someone politely
> mentioned it to me is the phrase "For ID." I had started to use that after
> listening in long before actual transmission, and thought at first that was
> how it was done. And this method was coming from "old timers" not newbies
> too. I DO NOT use that anymore though......

Perfect example!

I don't know how the "For ID" thing got started. The only place I hear
it is on VHF/UHF repeaters.

As I understand it, some folks use it to indicate that they are saying
their callsign but NOT turning it over to someone else. Yet it should
be obvious from the conversation whether the transmission is ending or
not. Also, it's good practice to let the squelch tail drop after every
repeater transmission, so the timer resets. End result: No reason at
all to say "For ID" on a repeater.

A very minor thing, of course. But minor things add up to major ones.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2319. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 16:15:11 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <jc6F9.3604$yy.501747@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 56456
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"phil :)" <just_rtfm@yahooREMOVETHIS.com> wrote

: LOL... i looked at Perl... and then RAN!

Contrary to popular belief, Perl is user friendly. It just happens to be
very selective about who its friends are.

dit dit

Hans, K0HB

2320. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 16:49:03 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DE4F77F.30706@psu.edu>
Source record: 56457

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

>>kyyz seems anxious for me to answer his questions.
>
>
> By the way, Anonymous Troll, my Amateur Radio callsign is K4YZ, not kyyz.

That must be the new no-number callsigns! ;^) Not many hams know about those.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2321. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 16:54:20 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DE4F8BC.3070605@psu.edu>
Source record: 56458

Vshah101 wrote:

> You know I'm not "uniformed" based on my postings. I have been to several
> meetings and Hamfests. I do gain some benefit from it. I don't know know what
> telling you my callsign will prove. I DO have a technician class license and
> this should be enough for you. I don't have to advertise or prove I have a
> license anyway. I don't know why you are so insistent about it.

Insistant? If you want to post anonymously, go ahead. The only problem is that people are not goping to respect your opinions very much. You'll notice that most people here post by their names. Even those who use "handles" put their names in their posts, so we know who they are, or we can look it up from a callsign.

Have the courage of your convictions.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2322. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 16:59:11 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211270859.2e3ac887@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56459

"K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> wrote in message
news:<feWE9.26784$vM1.2040230@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> I originally wrote:
>
> >> I think it was a matter of subsidiary, enabling technologies
> >> coming on-line in the 50's that led to the widespread
> >> adoption of SSB in the 60's. The two I have in mind are
> >> the development of planar bipolar transistors and, most
> >> important, encapsulated, precision, IF sideband crystal
> >> filters. The latter allowed cheap, reproducible SSB
> >> transceivers to be manufactured (in Japan) and to become
> >> the dominant HF ham rig by the mid-60's.
>
> Brian Kelly, W3RV, wrote:
>
> > I think yer at least a decade early, I don't recollect any 1965 +/-
> > Japanese hollow or solid state HF ssb xcvrs.
>
> I agree. I misstated the part about the Japanese manufacturing.
> Now that you mention it, I don't recall seeing Japanese stuff
> until the mid- to late-70's.
>
> To my mind, the 60's were the era of single-PC-board SSB
> transceiver, a la Heathkit HW-101 - implemented with vacuum-
> tube technology,

I didn't pay much attention to the JA or Heath gear between maybe 1960 and 1975 when I ducked out of ham radio completely for many years except for a rare foray into one of the local 2m machines. I jumped back in at a modest level in 1996 and I'm still catching up in many respects.

Typical retread experience: I was visiting an old ham buddy maybe 1992 or so and tuning 20 with his whizzy big ricebox. Heard a guy sign AC4?? and let out a whoop and dove for the paddles. "What are you all excited about?" asketh my buddy. "He's an AC4, that's Tibet!" He just rolled his eyes upward and patted me on the head. "No, no, he's in South Carolina ya silly, man you got a *lotta* catching up to do . . ! " Groan . .

In the '60-'75 timeframe the name of the game for serious dxing & dx contesting was Collins and Drake or fuhgeddit. There was a point in that timeframe when I seriously considered a Kenwood Twins rig but they didn't prove to be even remotely competitive vs. Collins & Drake and Heath was a giggle except in the cases of their amps.

I had a Drake 4 line separates rig and a "souped up" 75A4 which was a pretty typical station for my game. The big guns had stacks of $-Lines. The only exception to the "Collins & Drake rule" I remember was the Signal One CX seies which in the end proved to be pretty much a dud too especially for the money, it's front end just didn't hack it.

Solid state rcvrs couldn't match the performance of the hollow state types for serious HF work until close to 1980 from what I can determine. Which correlates with your comment on the timeline.

I think many would agree that the 1980 +/- Kenwood TS-940 was the Japanese breakthrough rig which finally broke the backs of both hollow state ham technology and the U.S. ham gear manufacturers. I have one of those, it really cooks and makes anything Collins ever built look really bad in comparison in a number of respects. When it's working. The thing is turning into a maintenance hog in it's old age and it's gotta go. With the rate of cost-effective development and release of new Japanse rigs today any of 'em over five years old gets to qualify as boat anchors.

> but using those really nifty, no-alignment-
> required, 9 MHz Xtal filters.

I never had to worry abt the filters in my HT-37, it wuz a PHASER . . !

>
> > ..... Two of my single-digit
> > age "nocode" grandchullins tote throwaway SS xvrs around in their
> > jeans and here we sits still waiting for the first ever SS qso to
> > actually happen on the ham bands. You bet there's a message there.
>
> I'm waiting to see the first SS cop radio system. Or SS on
> the MURS channels (:-o) Or any application for SS besides
> cordless telephones on 915 MHz and 2.4 GHz and military
> stuff. (ps: trunked systems don't count.)

Cell phones run SS. SS also has some very useful applications in the field of short-range industrial control & data handling. One of the regular lurkers in this NG has used SS for this purpose.

There are a gazillion comms "technologies" out there today which don't have any noticeable market in ham radio, SS is only one. Trunking never happened either. Despite all the BS about both we've seen in this NG over the years.

This is where I have a big problem with the farcial defense of dumbing down the hobby to "attract new blood which will take ham radio into the new millenium" via "new modes", etc. Horsepucky. They've had since 1991 to make a single move in any such direction. But then what the hell, they keep the high bands busy with thier 1920's FM technology Japanese HTs.

w3rv

2323. How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 17:14:50 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0211270914.a06e831@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56460

There seems to be some confusion as to whether ham radio in the US is growing, both in absolute terms and in reference to the US population. I posted the following some time back, but some here may have missed it.

From 1930 to the present:

1930: US population 122 million, US hams 18,000, 1 ham per 6778 Americans

1940: US population 131 million, US hams 50,000, 1 ham per 2620 Americans

1950: US population 150 million, US hams 90,000, 1 ham per 1667 Americans

1960: US population 179 million, US hams 230,000, 1 ham per 779 Americans

1970: US population 223 million, US hams 270,000, 1 ham per 825 Americans

1980: US population 227 million, US hams 350,000, 1 ham per 649 Americans

1990: US population 249 million US hams 550,000, 1 ham per 453 Americans

2000: US population 281 million, US hams 683,000, 1 ham per 411 Americans

Even using these approximate numbers, it's clear that the trend has been
steadily upwards in terms of population, with the exception of the 1960s, when
the US population boomed but the US ham population virtually stagnated. Most of
the reasons for that low growth had little to do with ham radio itself (Vietnam, civil rights, baby boom, women's lib, etc).

Of course if one looks over shorter time scales there are all sorts of peaks and valleys. For example, the present US population is projected to be 288 million, and the current US ham population is slightly more than 685,000. Which works out to 1 ham per 420 Americans, right now. That's a slight decline since the restructuring of April, 2000.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2324. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 17:23:37 UTC
From: Chuck <k8cpa*nospam*@arrl.net*nospam*>
Message-ID: <5rv9uu0b8k0im7gtlmmsbu57mvkv8pnsju@4ax.com>
Source record: 56461
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 27 Nov 2002 16:15:11 GMT, "K?HB" <k?hb@arrl.DOTORG> was
blabbling:

...
...
..."phil :)" <just_rtfm@yahooREMOVETHIS.com> wrote
...
...: LOL... i looked at Perl... and then RAN!
...
...Contrary to popular belief, Perl is user friendly. It just
happens to be
...very selective about who its friends are.
...
...dit dit
...
...Hans, K0HB

Hello Hans... you operate CW on 20 at all?

...
...

73
-Chuck K8CPA
REAL Ham's use 160, 75 and 40 meters!
REAL Ham's Use CW!
http://mywebpages.comcast.net/k8cpa/

2325. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 17:30:21 UTC
From: paulfriedman@attbi.com
Message-ID: <Ni7F9.156078$1O2.11231@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 56462
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Hans:

Good point; not a great point, but a good one. :)

Tow other languages (showing my age here) that I found equally
friend-selective: APL and whatever it is my wife speaks when she is mad.

Paul ab0si

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:jc6F9.3604$yy.501747@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
>
> "phil :)" <just_rtfm@yahooREMOVETHIS.com> wrote
>
> : LOL... i looked at Perl... and then RAN!
>
> Contrary to popular belief, Perl is user friendly. It just happens to be
> very selective about who its friends are.
>
> dit dit
>
> Hans, K0HB
>
>
>

2326. Re: This weekends contest

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 17:31:22 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <Kj7F9.17938$aT3.4537@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 56463

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0211250944.220708e2@posting.google.com...
> > > [Moment of silence for dear departed N0BK]
> >
> > Respects solemnly paid.
>
> I miss that dry Midwestern humor.

I do too, sorely.

> > The "Rock" Mite, a product of the tiny town of "Rock" Ridge? Alright,
> > alright...it was corny, got sump'n better? :-)
> >
> Duke Ellington playing the baby blue piano out on the range...
>
> "Candygram for Mongo"

> "It's twue, it's twue!" (N0BK is with Madeline Kahn now).

Cleavon Little too.

> > > > > and most other QRP
> > > > > designs require toroid winding,
> > >
> > He screamed in horror "No, not the dreaded toroid!"
>
> "Ve haff vays ov mekking you wind zem...."

I see that you can actually purchase pre-wound toroids. I know it's sorta
cheatin'...but you'll not hear me badmouth it.

> Go for the K1 right off and be done with it. Anything worth doing is
> worth overdoing.

Ok. (How's that for easy?)

> > > Yes - also instructions on how to subscribe so you get the emails on a
> > > daily basis. Tremendous amount of good info.

Done deal. I'm not used to logging on and finding 50 e-mails though. :-)

> Interesting bunch of folks, particularly when you consider the level
> of support and advice given out free by both the company and satisfied
> buyers. Even if one never buys their product, there's lots to learn.

True, you don't find that too often nowadays.

> The way OTs kept nosy siblings and parents away from radio stuff was
> to leave charged-up capacitors lying around looking innocent. Couple
> dozen uF with several hundred volts in 'em is very educational.

Trial by fire...er, spark.

> Can't
> do that today!

They'll lock you up and you'll get sued...by your own kid! What a world! A good whack on the butt might've straightened out allot of these miscreants we have sitting in our overcrowded correctional facilities. Never enough to hurt 'em, but enough to let 'em know they screwed up and a repeat-performanc e is unwise.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

2327. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 17:33:47 UTC
From: "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus@mcn.net>
Message-ID: <%l7F9.3705$yy.508652@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 56464

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in
news:uu86rq7rq8ese0@corp.supernews.com:

>> > Kim W5TIT
>> >
>> >
>>
>> Where the hell in this or for that matter have I made the statement
>> or in defense of the statement "Dumbing Down" unless it was in a
>> quote? That is not my mantra, and if you believe so, you are
>> definitely mistaken. What
> are
>> these so called denigrations you accuse me of ??
>>
>>
>
> Well, it actually looks like I clicked on the wrong post to respond to
> when I posted the above. It looks like I was probably meaning to
> respond to one of the CW vs. no-CW posts.
>
> Anyway, sorry for the confusion.
>
> Kim W5TIT

We're used to you being confused. That's what is so predictable about you
kim.

2328. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 17:47:11 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <as30oc$e7r$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56465

You know something Jackie L ?? Your just what ham radio and this newsgroup needs. Someone of the 'new ham' generation with sense. Keep it up.

Dan/W4NTI
sometimes called an Old Timer...or worse.

"Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote in message news:_oXE9.150079$1O2.10846@sccrnsc04...
> Hmm... just now seeing posts from a few days ago here. My ISP must be
> lagging...
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3DE19B97.A6F9C969@mailcity.net...
>
> > Jackie, no offense intended, I should have put dumbed down in
> > quotes to indicate I was using the words of some others here. The
> > test are in many was easier now than they used to be, but there
> > are those who were licensed in the past that seem to think they
> > were tested when passing the test really meant something.
>
> No offense taken, JJ, but my point was that I don't give a ripe rat's rear
> HOW hard the tests were back in Ought-6; I wasn't there to know or care
> about how "hard" the old tests were. I studied for and worked with what
the
> powers-that-be are giving out now. If those who hate the current test
pools
> are mad at anyone, it should NOT be us new hams. Anyone who says that new
> hams are "less than" what they are or are the equivalent of CB plussers
> need a clue enema administered... stat.
>
> >They
> > should go back a few more years when the questions were essay type
> > and one had to draw schematics of circuits and explain in detail
> > how they worked.
>
> While a few schematics were on the Tech exam, I personally tried to
> understand all the unlabeled (and therefore not on the test) parts of the
> test schematics-- because I really wanted to KNOW what made an FM receiver
> work, albeit on a basic level. We've all gotta start someplace. And I
> figured that even if I wasn't asked a question about a certain schematic
on
> the test, I could find out about it later. Which is what I'm doing now.
>
> >Most of those who seem to think they took the
> > 'real exams' actually themselves took 'dumbed down' test and

> > couldn't pass the earlier ones if their lives depended upon it.
>
> I wouldn't know and didn't have to know because the VE's aren't giving the
> old tests anymore... those who pine nostalgically for the "good old days"
of
> tough tests need to wake up, because those same tests aren't being offered
> anymore. If some oldtimers automatically assume the newbies are inferior
> because of this, then they are either posturing because they can on the
> relatively anonymous venue of Usenet (but would never do so "on the air")
or
> they're just bored and need to spout. Either way, get over it and let's
get
> on with discussing the future of the hobby, wherever that may lie...
>
> Jackie
>
>

2329. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 17:51:54 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <_C7F9.3723$yy.512941@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 56466

--
&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org
"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

```
: with the exception of the 1960s, when the US population
: boomed but the US ham population virtually stagnated. Most of
: the reasons for that low growth had little to do with ham radio itself
: (Vietnam, civil rights, baby boom, women's lib, etc).
```

```
I would expect things like civil rights, baby boom, and women's lib to
INCREASE hobby participation, not depress it. Now if you wanted to depress
participation, you could come up with some DAIOTC like incentive
licensing.... that would do it!
```

```
73, Hans, K0HB
```

2330. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 18:00:15 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <PK7F9.3730$yy.512193@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 56467
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Chuck" <k8cpa*nospam*@arrl.net*nospam*> wrote

:
: Hello Hans... you operate CW on 20 at all?
:

I've been known to.

73, Hans, K0HB

2331. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 18:07:28 UTC
From: Chuck <k8cpa*nospam*@arrl.net*nospam*>
Message-ID: <6d2auu0lbrsiuf9kijnaf3lgs3cshfjq00@4ax.com>
Source record: 56468
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 27 Nov 2002 18:00:15 GMT, "K?HB" <k?hb@arrl.DOTORG> was
blabbling:

..."Chuck" <k8cpa*nospam*@arrl.net*nospam*> wrote
...
...:
...: Hello Hans... you operate CW on 20 at all?
...:
...
...I've been known to.
...
...73, Hans, K0HB
...
...
...
...
...
...
...
...

okay, prior to posting that question, i had just finished a qso with a
guy in 7-land on 20 CW.

73
-Chuck K8CPA
REAL Ham's use 160, 75 and 40 meters!
REAL Ham's Use CW!
http://mywebpages.comcast.net/k8cpa/

2332. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 19:38:14 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021127143814.10280.00000160@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 56470

In article <3DE3CE01.2040006@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> <some snippage>
>>
>> One of the biggest obstacles is the inwards-looking general actions of
>> the ARRL. ARRL publicizes itself primarily to other radio amateurs and
>> rarely reaches out to the general public. That seems correct to ARRL
>> in that they congratulate themselves unduly as to the work ARRL is
>> doing. They must congratulate themselves to make it look like they
>> are "doing the job" in order to gain memberships and keep current
>> members enrolled. Despite the near-gratuitous self-praise of "public
>> eduction" efforts, the undeniable fact is that they rarely get national
>> exposure about amateur radio to the general public.
>
> Good post, and point well taken. I really hadn't looked at it in that
>fashion, but I believe you are correct.

Some in here still believe that ARRL "can do no wrong." :-)

ARRL is an organization involved in both profit-making and
non-profit-making activities...although their corporate status
is classified as "non-profit-making." What many don't stop to
think about is that they have an infrastructure to support...
payroll monies for all paid staffers, upkeep on W1AW and the
museum and the work places/offices for staff, not to mention
the legal fee billing and lobbying services in DC. ARRL must
keep that up to remain solvent and "in business" (so to speak).

One way to keep solvent is to advertise both products and
services so as to convince 3/4 of all licensees to join as well
as to keep the 1/4 or so current members on board. That
advertising is just another form of advertising everywhere else,
the usual emotionally-loaded phrases aimed at separating
monies from wallets. Now that isn't to say it is "bad," just
that advertising is advertising, no matter what is being "sold."

Where things go wrong in individuals' thinking is that it isn't
"advertising" but it is "sincere, well-meaning, and altruistic."
ARRL is "run" by a very small group of people, most of them
engaged in amateur radio for quite some time (ages are at
and above fortysomething) and - seemingly - having favorite
activities, likes, dislikes within the entirety of amateur radio.

One way to see the above is the preponderance of news, articles, and opinions for operation below 30 MHz and a sometimes almost near-neglect for amateur activity above 30 MHz. That in the face of about one-third of all US amateur licensees allowed to transmit above 30 MHz. ARRL's own demographics show that group to be a minority in membership even though - as a class - they are in the US majority. That's contradictory to what they boast about appealing to "all" amateurs.

> Also true. Unfortunately, (many) Hams are probably not the best people
>to get involved in public relations. For better or worse, many of us are
>techno-nerds, immersed in the technical aspects of the hobby, and not in
>the social graces. I myself have been guilty of this. This would
>hopefully be a job that the ARRL would perform. But this makes a loop
>with your other point regarding the ARRL - they can but don't.

I don't believe that "hams are mainly (as in 'many') techno-nerds." It would seem, on first inspection, that they are just personally involved with the essentially-solo radio activity of communicating. Observation (at a discrete distance) of most radio amateurs has them (mostly) alone at their radios, listening for most of the time, then communicating outward to the "band." Unless they are in voice mode there is little normal speech going on in a typical amateur station "shack." When not communicating they can be observed reading about amateur radio or working on the bench, neither activity conducive to practicing in-person communication with those not directly involved with radio.

To gain effectiveness in education and promotion of radio to non-radio-activity humans, they must present themselves to others in terms that others can understand, NOT by a baseline of what they personally prefer or consider "best, strongest, whatever."

Too many fall back on themselves in trying to promote something as in "do it this way, because that is 'fun!'" It may be fun for the individual amateur but their particular "fun" is not automatically a model of what everyone else likes or thinks interesting. All human beans differ and trying to grind them into some homogenous blend the same as the amateur bean sometimes leaves a sour taste.

2333. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 19:38:16 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021127143816.10280.00000161@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 56469

In article <cQOE9.26059$vM1.1992187@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> writes:

>K2UNK:
>>> Remember, in the 30s and 40s the entire body of technical knowledge
>>> could pretty much be mastered by the average person with a good
>>> slant towards science. There was no digital technology, the components
>>> were all discrete, no solid state devices, no digital processing, no SSB
>>> even.
>
>N2EY:
>> I have to disagree with this a little, Bill. Hams were using SSB in the
>early
>> '30s. QST and other mags had TV articles even before WW2. The technology of
>the
>> '40s included radar, TV, SSB, and minaturization - though those "miniature"
>> components seem huge today.
>
>I think it was a matter of subsidiary, enabling technologies
>coming on-line in the 50's that led to the widespread
>adoption of SSB in the 60's. The two I have in mind are
>the development of planar bipolar transistors and, most
>important, encapsulated, precision, IF sideband crystal
>filters. The latter allowed cheap, reproducible SSB
>transceivers to be manufactured (in Japan) and to become
>the dominant HF ham rig by the mid-60's.
>
>It's true that SSB principles were well-known in the 30's,
>and some advanced hams had SSB rigs on the air back then.
>But, to tell the truth, they couldn't be deployed en masse
>until some very humble, and very small, components came
>into common practice.

In the larger world of radio, HF (and even LF) SSB for pre-
WW2 times was little more than an RF extension of Type
C Carrier having four voice circuits in frequency-diversity
multiplexing. Hardly anyone in the larger radio world
bothered to think of SSB technique on single-channel
(single voice) radio links at that time. SSB grew first in
landline wired long-distance communications.

That changed after WW2 where wartime survival efforts
were not mired into the conditioned thinking of voice
communications by radio limited only to AM DSB. One
of the "conditioned thinking" items was the constant

repetition in earlier amateur radio of "Class C (finals) always having the best efficiency." Seemingly, Class B or even AB was shunned as "not being best, most efficient." That for amateur applications where the electrical input to RF output ratio meant very little in the way of "efficient" (low-cost) power consumption. Class C amplifiers were simpler to tune, simpler to operate than Class B types and their brute-force plate supply modulation by an audio power amplifier was easier to understand.

What is most interesting from a technical standpoint is that total electric power efficiency of a Class C PA modulated by a high power (half the power of the RF PA) is LESS than a low-level AM modulation transmitter using Class B or AB power amplifiers! The commercial and military users of HF SSB (four voice channels) already knew that to be true but somehow that experience didn't trickle down to single-voice-channel radio designers. :-)

Note: The post-WW2 Western Electric SSB transmitter designed around 1947-1948 had Class A amplifiers up to the final which was Class AB! 4 KW PEP, 12 KHz BW 4 voice channel "carrier" equipment (FDM) external.

The US military provided the impetus for single-voice SSB in that it provided lighter, more-efficient (for tube structures in airborne applications) communications. The major problem there was stability of rapidly-variable frequency, less emphasis on IF filtering although that was important. There were two savings on frequency stability that resulted from greatly-increased quartz crystal production during WW2 and "artificial" crystal growth techniques started then and finished after WW2...and more emphasis on linear-frequency-tuning variable oscillators with considerable stability over a wide temperature range. The "Collins PTO" design is one such landmark innovation, which, when coupled with mixing of switched quartz crystal oscillator output, enabled tuning nearly all of HF with exceptional stabiity and known (directly visible to the operator) frequency. All of that was obtained using tubes.

Collins Radio did an enormous aid to amateur radio by designing and selling several families of HF radio systems marketed first to the commercial market, then to the military (with more care on environmental factors). They could then provide those basic units slightly simplified for just amateur bands at "slightly less" cost (a relative figure since Collins commercial sell prices were way up there).

Collins' "mechanical" IF filters applied themselves well to single-voice-channel designs but they were NOT originally

intended for that purpose. Those magnetostrictive filters were intended for multi-channel FDM radio relay designs but just didn't find a big enough market niche compared to other designs.

Narrow-bandwidth crystal filters were made possible by two things in the post-WW2 times: Increased raw quartz supplies, mostly from grown crystals rather than smaller, natural quartz having only a 20% or so yield; development of better basic filter theory needing fewer resonators ("modern filter theory" as opposed to older "constant-k" and "m-derived" formulae developed for L-C filters). Those both happened just before 1955, still in the vacuum tube era.

I certainly agree that solid-state devices and off-shore production did drop both sizes and prices of amateur HF radios by the end of the 1950s...but those old boatanchor tube radios established the architectural planform that remains today. On the other hand, on-shore companies can be competitive such as the SGC-2020, designed and built in Belleview, WA, not a boatanchor but certainly used by a lot of private boat owners as well as radio amateurs.

2334. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 20:27:36 UTC
From: K�HB <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <YU9F9.3890$yy.504016@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 56471

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote
:
: One way to see the above is the preponderance of news,
: articles, and opinions for operation below 30 MHz and a
: sometimes almost near-neglect for amateur activity above
: 30 MHz.
:

"Near-neglect"? As is often the case, your opinion turns out to be in direct conflict with the facts. Examining the "news, articles, and opinions" from the two most recent issues of QST which happened to be on my desk, I find no evidence of such "near-neglect" for 30MHz and above.

In the Nov issue feature articles include the "N0GSG DSP Radio Direction Finder" for 144/420Mhz bands, "The Journey to EME on 24 GHz", and "Hams and the Rodeo-Chediski Fire" describing public service contributions primarily on 2M and 70cm. New Products reviewed include a new Alinco DJ-296T 222MHz transceiver. "Departments" include "The World Above 50MHz", "Microwaves", and "VHF/UHF Century Club Awards". (Note that "DXCC Awards", an HF centric department, was long ago removed from QST!).

In the Dec issue feature articles include "The Cu Loop Antenna for 2 Meters", "Linked Repeater Systems", and "OSCAR 40 on 24 GHz". New product reviews cover the Yaesu F-8900R (50, 144, and 430MHz bands), and the RadioShack HTX-420 (144 and 430MHz bands), and the aforementioned UHF/VHF "Departments".

Advertising for "above 30MHz" products in the magazine is pervasive, including the coveted "cover 2, cover 3, and cover 4" positions.

"Near-neglect" indeed! Another Anderson opinion discredited as baseless and unfounded in the facts.

��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

2335. Re: See "Codeless"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 20:46:17 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uuabo77t9vm68e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56475

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:as2vbd28fp@enews2.newsguy.com...
> Unfortunately my newsgroup server does not have that one.... Can anyone
> email that one to me?
>
>
> Thanks,
>
> --
> Ryan, KC8PMX
> FF/MFR
> REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

For those who can't view the picture in your
NG reader I've posted it on the internet so you
can go look at it.

http://www.angelfire.com/in3/kb9tmp/index.html

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

2336. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 21:27:12 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211271327.3a0ef7bf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56476

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3DE44C8E.4840A969@earthlink.net>...
> Brian Kelly wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DE39CA1.C06DDFC4@earthlink.net>...
> > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > >
> > > > In article <d22b54c3.0211250613.48b8ca8d@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> > > > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> > > > >
>
> > > > >That's right, there is a strong social aspect to ham radio you
> > > > >apparently have trouble dealing with. If all that interests you is
> > > > >electronics geekery you're wasting your time here and at ham radio
> > > > >functions, join yer local chapter of the IEEE.
> > > >
> > > > The IEEE is a professional membership organization. Amateur
> > > > radio is for amateur activities, by US government definition.
> > >
> > > By definition, this is an amateur radio newsgroup. Perhaps you'll be
> > > able to drum up some admiration for your life membership in the IEEE in
> > > the rec.radio.professional.vip newsgroup. It'll have to be better than
> > > trying to engage long time hams in a dribbling contest.
> > >
> > > P.S. You'll likely not want to let those folks know of your being
> > > foiled in obtaining a mere amateur radio license. If you slant your
> > > postings toward, "I showed those uppity hams a thing or two", you'll
> > > achieve higher status. Don't lay it on too thick as a number of them
> > > are also hams.
> >
> > Check this: The Putz got stupid and tried his crap over in dot hombrew
> > a few days back via his usual "(half) vast technical superiority"
> > thingey and got whacked good by an OH who really does know his stuuf.
> > Figgered you might relate to that, bloomin' hoot.
> Since I've been married to an OH-type for nearly 14 years, I can attest
> to the fact that they usually say exactly what's on their minds. I'll
> have to check the posts.

The Putz uses "averyfineman@aol.com" screen name in .homebrew. His
usual belligerent "you hams doan know squat about radio" thingey.

>
> Dave K8MN

w3rv

2337. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 21:56:16 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <as3f4amdp1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56477

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021126072910.06308.00000669@mb-fm.aol.com...
> In article <aruhfnjtn1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>
> >If you go back to the actual FCC documentation you find a generic
> >set of complaints claiming the hams were not up to the equivalency
> >of their earlier hams in the eyes of industry, military, etc. The
problem
> >wasn't a lack of knowledge...but rather the onslaught of technology
> >as an ever enlarging body of knowledge that couldn't be mastered
> >simply by learning basics.
>
> See also "Sputnik fever" rant in another post.

Agreed.

> >Remember, in the 30s and 40s the entire body of technical knowledge
> >could pretty much be mastered by the average person with a good
> >slant towards science. There was no digital technology, the components
> >were all discrete, no solid state devices, no digital processing, no SSB
> >even.
>
> I have to disagree with this a little, Bill.

I'll allow a little :-)

> Hams were using SSB in the early
> '30s. QST and other mags had TV articles even before WW2. The technology
of the
> '40s included radar, TV, SSB, and minaturization - though those
"miniature"
> components seem huge today.

But the radar and TV was pretty well beyond what any ham
might encounter.

> Yet it was in late '52 that FCC gutted its incentive licensing program!

Go figure.

> >Hams could be hired directly into technician type jobs by industry
> >and do very well. By the late 50s, that was changing...again, not
> >because hams knew less, but rather the fact that industry demanded
> >more knowledge of the technician types. Consumer electronics had
> >been essentially the recieveing side of a hams knowledge plus a simple
> >amplifier for the phonograph. Enter the TV and then color TV and

> >things got more complex. The same too for industry and military
> >electronics.
>
> True to a certain extent, but there was more to it. The field of
electronics
> widened far beyond what hams used, into areas that had little to do with
radio
> communication.

Agree. That was also might point, but I should have
been clearer on that.

> A ham in the '30s and '40s had to be "technical" even to get
> build a rig and get it on the air - or very rich. Even the prewar
manufactured
> stuff required some serious know-how in order to be used. Postwar
affluence and
> mass production changed all that.

We're still in agreement.

> >Anyway, the incentive licensing never solved that problem and, in
> >the long run, the industry evolved to "throw-away" products. If
> >you don't believe that, check out the cost of a basic function
> >VCR, DVD, etc. Why would anyone pay $50 an hour to have
> >someone attempt to repair a product that costs under $100?
>
> Exactly. I recently saw a rule of thumb that said when the price of a
consumer
> electronics item drops to the point where the typical repair is one-third
the
> price of a replacement, repair shops die out from lack of business.

And even in industry where some equipment is VERRRY expensive,
the field repair is nothing more than a plug-in (circuit board) replacement
where the faulty board is then sent to a central repair depot for
computer diagnosis and then specific component replacement/repair.

> >Anyway, just some thoughts.
>
> Pretty interesting ones. Seems to me that the FCC didn't really understand
what
> was going on in the first place, back then.

Nor did many others. Who would have forcast the amount
of transistors we could stuff into an IC chip today?

> And what it really comes down to is this: If ham radio is confined to
those who
> have lots of technical focus and ability, it will be a very small service.

Agree too. And even when you go back to the "early" days of
ham radio, not every ham was the local super tech. Many hams

were quite content to be outstanding operators.

> How many hams would there be, and what technology would we be using, if there
> were no more code tests - but all of us had to homebrew all our equipment?

I'd be gone tomorrow. I have NO desire to homebrew a reciever or transmitter. My TS-690 fits neatly on a small shelf on my home office desk and I couldn't come close to homebrewing the full range of capabilities, functionality and frequency coverage that the rig provides me.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2338. Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 22:01:35 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021127170135.19280.00000613@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 56479

In article <3UVE9.148920$1O2.10928@sccrnsc04>, "Jackie L."
<buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:

>"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20021124215003.07628.00007268@mb-mn.aol.com...
>
>{snippers}
>
>> Wow, code must make one smart.
>
>A facility with CW makes one good at CW and able to converse with those who
>also use CW. Knowing and using CW makes one CW-smart. Which is great, if
>this is what you like to do.

Jackie, hang in there with your own viewpoints, don't let all these
resident BAWAs grind you down. :-)

The BAWAs will not rest at those with opinions contrary to theirs
and their anger at such sacrilegious thoughts is mighty.

>I've always thought that more knowledge is better than less when it comes to
>most any subject. I'd rather *know* more and use what I do know less. There
>are many fun possibilities extant within this hobby. I may decide to leave
>many of those modes by the wayside after exploring them. As far as I am
>concerned, it's better to know more than less, even if you don't use all you
>know. This is just a personal credo I live by, so lest anyone thinks I am
>personally "requiring" them to live by my standards, lighten up already.

BAWAs are essentially control freaks. You MUST do as they
say you should lest you become a victim to a remarkable amount
of personal abuse of knowledge, morals, ethics, religion, parents,
sexuality "charges" all having nothing to do with a particular subject.
They have only one world view...theirs. QED Google. :-)

I got started in HF communications in early 1953, evolved into
civilian life into electronic design responsibility by 1961 and have
made a career in radio electronics. Other than turning an RF
carrier on and off manually for test and alignment purposes, I've
never had occasion to use on-off keying morse codings or even
be required to use it for communications...yet I've used and
designed-in many more modes and modulations in radios than
are allowed in amateur radio anywhere. I don't see any purpose
of a government agency requiring morse code tests for any
amateur radio license...and the FCC agrees with that, has
agreed so since 1990...thousands of others around the world are
of the same opinion, most of them licensed in amateur radio.

All that is irrelevant to the BAWAs. :-)
>
>73 and nicely browned turkeys to you all,

Thank you and have yourself a nice pie for dessert. Pumpkin.

:-)

Len Anderson
retired (from regular hours) electronic engineer person

2339. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 22:01:36 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021127170136.19280.00000614@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 56478

In article <feWE9.26784$vM1.2040230@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> writes:

>I originally wrote:
>
>>> I think it was a matter of subsidiary, enabling technologies
>>> coming on-line in the 50's that led to the widespread
>>> adoption of SSB in the 60's. The two I have in mind are
>>> the development of planar bipolar transistors and, most
>>> important, encapsulated, precision, IF sideband crystal
>>> filters. The latter allowed cheap, reproducible SSB
>>> transceivers to be manufactured (in Japan) and to become
>>> the dominant HF ham rig by the mid-60's.
>
>Brian Kelly, W3RV, wrote:
>
>> I think yer at least a decade early, I don't recollect any 1965 +/-
>> Japanese hollow or solid state HF ssb xcvrs.
>
>I agree. I misstated the part about the Japanese manufacturing.
>Now that you mention it, I don't recall seeing Japanese stuff
>until the mid- to late-70's.
>
>To my mind, the 60's were the era of single-PC-board SSB
>transceiver, a la Heathkit HW-101 - implemented with vacuum-
>tube technology, but using those really nifty, no-alignment-
>required, 9 MHz Xtal filters.
>
>> ..... Two of my single-digit
>> age "nocode" grandchullins tote throwaway SS xvrs around in their
>> jeans and here we sits still waiting for the first ever SS qso to
>> actually happen on the ham bands. You bet there's a message there.
>
>I'm waiting to see the first SS cop radio system. Or SS on
>the MURS channels (:-o) Or any application for SS besides
>cordless telephones on 915 MHz and 2.4 GHz and military
>stuff. (ps: trunked systems don't count.)

Encrypted police radios in public safety radio service is being
tried out even as everyone writes...but that doesn't have to be
any spread spectrum mode. Or does it? :-)

For civilian commercial applications of Direct Sequence Spread
Spectrum, look at WLANs (in the millions of units), the home
networking wireless link units.

There might be some experimentation in FHSS when the AN/PRC-119 and other SINCGARS family radios get phased out and go on the surplus market. ITT designed the first version of SINCGARS, General Dynamics Land Division (now disolved) bult a half hundred thousand or so, then ITT came back designing the improved SINCGARS in half the size and built the rest. As of last year, 241 thousand total SINCGARS radios were built and 239 thousand fielded to the troops. It's an "old" design dating back to before the Gulf War, operational for almost 14 years now.

The FCC allocates "some" DSSS in US amateur radio but that is only permissible above 30 MHz and regulations state that *all* SS operations must be recorded and kept for inspection for a long time, a regulation made well before 9-11-01. The FCC also permitted a select few hams to "explore" a proposed 60m amateur band with OOK CW mode...as if HF characteristics were still a "mystery" and an "unknown" in the year 2000. :-)

2340. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 22:01:37 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021127170137.19280.00000615@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 56480

In article <f45722ac.0211270448.1bd14681@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>> Check this: The Putz got stupid and tried his crap over in dot hombrew
>> a few days back via his usual "(half) vast technical superiority"
>> thingey and got whacked good by an OH who really does know his stuuf.
>> Figgered you might relate to that, bloomin' hoot.
>>
>> > Dave K8MN
>>
>> w3rv
>
>Brian, you're postings are slightly more readable than Bruce's.
>
>Are you really an engineer?

Kellie is a mechanical engineer. He knows enough electrical
theory to wire up his key to a transmitter, provided the
connection is outside the transmitter case. From there on to
a radio innards his knowledge seems limited to memorization
of manufactured radio equipment advertising literature.

There's an intermittent discussion going on in rec.radio.amateur.
homebrew under the subject thread of "Barnstorming Ideas for a
40 Meter Rig." Several good things have been raised there and
also slightly interrupted by Dan Tayloe plumping for his NorCal
30, featuring the Tayloe Mixer. Those who've read that thread
will also see that Roy Lewallen (EZNEC author) remarked about
the plumping of Tayloe. Hans Summers of the UK came on the
scene describing his 80m QRP rig and a combining of the
four-phase-switched multiplexer (heart of the Tayloe mixer) with
a Gingell polyphase network modified by Yoshida. Summers
has an excellent personal website describing his experiments
with numerous pictures and schematics and performance
measurements. I've added some comments from a technical
basis of the circuitry for discussion, not controversy, based on
analysis of the Gingell-Yoshida polyphase done in the same
way that I did on Peter Martinez' 1973 adaptation of the Gingell
network as published in Radio Communication in Pat Hawker's
column there. Hawker also published my analysis results in
RC in a 1974 column of "Technical Topics." Yoshida's
modification of the Gingell network results in an excellent
very low quadrature error over a wide audio bandwidth. [both
Gingell and Yoshida are licensed radio amateurs, Gingell
originally in the UK but now living in USA]

Kellie seems bent on doing some sort pseudo tuff-guy hollering based on past policy newsgroup disputes that didn't go in his favor. That has nothing to do with any technical topics regarding design in another newsgroup not involving policy..."policy" has not yet been mentioned there in regards to radio design.

Davie Heil also seems to want to start some contentiousness about design on his long-running demand that "interest in radio" MUST culminate with amateur radio licensing by a government or be considered "inapplicable" for discussion of any sort. :-)

The two mentioned above are shining examples of "BAWAs" where actual technological smarts gathered in work-experience-responsibility tasks are of far lesser importance than CW skill and the "accreditation" of an amateur radio license grant.

Thank you, Hans Brakob, for that illustration of BAWAs. :-)

2341. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 22:03:46 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <as3fin$s8j$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56481

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0211261651.367bfb8f@posting.google.com...
> "K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> wrote in message
news:<cQOE9.26059$vM1.1992187@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>...
> > K2UNK:
> > >> Remember, in the 30s and 40s the entire body of technical knowledge
> > >> could pretty much be mastered by the average person with a good
> > >> slant towards science.
>
> At the bench and commercial/military applied levels in the 30s & 40s
> of course Bill but certainly not at the level of the technological
> visionaries who gave us the bases for what we have today. ALL of it.
> Particularly after Shockley did his trick which prolly forever left
> ham radio in the dust wrt to ham radio leading any significnt
> technological advances.

But Shockley only perfected the transistor in the late 40s (49 I think)
and transistors at a reasonable (affordable) price only started showing
up in the late 50s.

> > There was no digital technology, the components
> > >> were all discrete, no solid state devices, no digital processing, no
SSB
> > >> even.
> >
> > N2EY:
> > > I have to disagree with this a little, Bill. Hams were using SSB in
the early
> > > '30s. QST and other mags had TV articles even before WW2. The
technology of the
> > > '40s included radar, TV, SSB, and minaturization - though those
"miniature"
> > > components seem huge today.
> >
> > I think it was a matter of subsidiary, enabling technologies
> > coming on-line in the 50's that led to the widespread
> > adoption of SSB in the 60's. The two I have in mind are
> > the development of planar bipolar transistors and, most
> > important, encapsulated, precision, IF sideband crystal
> > filters. The latter allowed cheap, reproducible SSB
> > transceivers to be manufactured (in Japan) and to become
> > the dominant HF ham rig by the mid-60's.
>
> I think yer at least a decade early, I don't recollect any 1965 +/-
> Japanese hollow or solid state HF ssb xcvrs.

Nor do I....but I could be wrong.
The biggest problem with solid state in that time frame
was the lack of power. 100 watts output called for tubes, not
transistors in the late 60s....at least for ham gear.

> > It's true that SSB principles were well-known in the 30's,
>
> Single slideband wuz PATENTED in 1923.

Agreed, and well known doesn't mean well used.

> > and some advanced hams had SSB rigs on the air back then.

But not many.

> 1934 to be exact, eleven years late into the game and long after AT&T
> had the stuff running in their circuits.
>
> And along comes Hedy Baby the 40's pinup and B-24 nose art queen and
> her producer who came up with Spread Spectrum. Two of my single-digit
> age "nocode" grandchullins tote throwaway SS xvrs around in their
> jeans and here we sits still waiting for the first ever SS qso to
> actually happen on the ham bands. You bet there's a message there.
>
> Methinks it's long past time to quit hanging onto the past and accept
> ham radio for what it really is today. Which has nothing to do with
> the decades-old basic technologies involved and everything to do with
> . . you fill in the blanks.

> > But, to tell the truth, they couldn't be deployed en masse
> > until some very humble, and very small, components came
> > into common practice.
>
> w3rv

2342. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 22:29:33 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <hHbF9.27963$vM1.2136032@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 56482

N2EY:
> The filters you recall are probably the McCoy units that were sold to
> homebrewers in the '60s. KVG (a German outfit!) also had a line of 9
> MHz filters showing up in the USA in the late 1960s, also aimed at
> homebrewers. International Crystal also sold prebuilt preadjusted
> filters that were not in little cans.

Yeah, those were the ones. OK on Heathkit using 3.3 MHz filters, too.
The homebrewers I knew in that era (I wasn't one) seemed to go ape
over those things, much more so than low-IF mechanicals or lattices.

> There's an urban legend about why hams use LSB on 75 but USB on 20.
> The UL sez that it's because many early SSB rigs used 9 MHz filters/sb
> generators and 5-5.5 MHz VFOs. Supposedly the sideband selection works
> out that way automatically.

Another bit of ham-radio traditional wisdom smashed.
Oooohhh, well.

I think this devolved into using symmetrical filters,
two SSB gen freqs, 9 MHz and 9 MHz + 3 kHz, and two
pointers on the dial - one for LSB and one for USB on
whichever band had whatever inversion.

Now, about incentive licensing......

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb @ arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

2343. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 22:29:34 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <iHbF9.27964$vM1.2136685@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 56483

Brian, W3RV, wrote (in part):

> Solid state rcvrs couldn't match the performance of the hollow state
> types for serious HF work until close to 1980 from what I can
> determine. Which correlates with your comment on the timeline.

> I think many would agree that the 1980 +/- Kenwood TS-940 was the
> Japanese breakthrough rig which finally broke the backs of both hollow
> state ham technology and the U.S. ham gear manufacturers.

Not being a serious DXer or contester or field-day op,
I'm more than happy to take your word on this, Brian.
As owner of a couple of Kenwoodys myself (850's), I
was quick to note a couple of front-end attenuators
and a pre-amp bypass switch on the front panel. Never
saw "features" like that on vacuum-tube rigs. I wonder
why.

> Cell phones run SS. SS also has some very useful applications in the
> field of short-range industrial control & data handling. One of the
> regular lurkers in this NG has used SS for this purpose.

Oh, man! How could I have forgotten about CDMA? Maybe
because I have a TDMA phone (that really sucked until
they got a lot more cell-sites infilled out here in
West Phoenix) and was just flying along on a roll. CDMA
is definitely spread-spectrum. That's a Happy Meal on
me next time you're in Phoenix, Brian.

> This is where I have a big problem with the farcial defense of dumbing
> down the hobby to "attract new blood which will take ham radio into
> the new millenium" via "new modes", etc. Horsepucky. They've had since
> 1991 to make a single move in any such direction. But then what the
> hell, they keep the high bands busy with thier 1920's FM technology
> Japanese HTs.

I will concede that the "new-blood, techno-hip, exotic modes"
argument was run into the ground during the Great Code Wars
here on r.r.a.p. And V/UHF-FM with EchoLink and IRLP sure
isn't your daddy's (or your) Heathkit DX-35 novice rig. Just
like in the 60's, though, I have no idea where the hobby/avocation
is heading. I'll just be happy if it survives.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb @ arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

2344. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 22:33:48 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021127173348.17878.00000704@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 56484

In article <YU9F9.3890$yy.504016@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:

>"Near-neglect"? As is often the case, your opinion turns out to be in
>direct conflict with the facts. Examining the "news, articles, and
>opinions" from the two most recent issues of QST which happened to be on my
>desk, I find no evidence of such "near-neglect" for 30MHz and above.

Point score on the Trollometer = barely 2.0

"Armenian judges disagreeing and chanting...balalaika music
loud in background...etc."

Well, I guess I have to rush out to my friendly, neighborhood
HRO across the street from the supermarket and inspect the
recent issue(s) for these wondrous articles proclaiming all
the "support and respect" for those above 30 MHz. :-)

Strange...Ed Hare manages to be here still... :-)

2345. 7.1 Abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 22:43:53 UTC
From: Lan <dhwehyg@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <as3hnudr1@newsg1.svr.pol.co.uk>
Source record: 56485

I must say that I am appalled at what I have heard in the last few weeks of this group, the condemnation of M3's and others makes my blood boil. The retired school teacher (allegedly) is the most self opiniated and pompous individual that I have ever had the mis fortune to listen to, his continual griping and nervous guffaws must make him the most loathed ham operator on hf. Let us all hope that he learns to moderate his self opiniated and racial views very soon.

2346. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 23:04:24 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uuakajrjnbju12@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56486

"Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote in message
news:_oXE9.150079$1O2.10846@sccrnsc04...
> Hmm... just now seeing posts from a few days ago here. My ISP must be
> lagging...
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3DE19B97.A6F9C969@mailcity.net...
>
> > Jackie, no offense intended, I should have put dumbed down in
> > quotes to indicate I was using the words of some others here. The
> > test are in many was easier now than they used to be, but there
> > are those who were licensed in the past that seem to think they
> > were tested when passing the test really meant something.
>
> No offense taken, JJ, but my point was that I don't give a ripe rat's rear
> HOW hard the tests were back in Ought-6; I wasn't there to know or care
> about how "hard" the old tests were. I studied for and worked with what
the
> powers-that-be are giving out now. If those who hate the current test
pools
> are mad at anyone, it should NOT be us new hams. Anyone who says that new
> hams are "less than" what they are or are the equivalent of CB plussers
> need a clue enema administered... stat.
>

Man! Jackie, you hit the proverbial nail on the head with that one--the
next to last sentance, that is. However, it's my best guess that new hams,
or hams licensed at a different point in time than ______ (fill in the blank
as needed, 'cause there's lots of them) are easier to target. It's also
real easy to turn on the computer, logon to the internet, call up the
newsgroup, and pontificate as needed. It's much too difficult to write the
actual agency that has all the power in the world to change what they don't
like.

The other thought that one must consider in light of the above: if the FCC
saw fit to change the process as they did, which "voice," exactly, were they
listening to? Seems the predominance is that CW is less of a testing issue
"these days" than it used to be and will become even less so as time goes
on.

> >
> >They
> > should go back a few more years when the questions were essay type
> > and one had to draw schematics of circuits and explain in detail
> > how they worked.

>
> While a few schematics were on the Tech exam, I personally tried to
> understand all the unlabeled (and therefore not on the test) parts of the
> test schematics-- because I really wanted to KNOW what made an FM receiver
> work, albeit on a basic level. We've all gotta start someplace. And I
> figured that even if I wasn't asked a question about a certain schematic
on
> the test, I could find out about it later. Which is what I'm doing now.
>

You're a better person than I. I went to a class sponsored and taught by
two Extras and one General. We were in session once a week for, I think,
about 8 weeks. Then, we tested. The class was informative, to be sure, but
I couldn't tell you the first thing of the material now. I "got into" ham
radio to chat, although Emergency Communication was my passion for a while
(no longer, due to burn-out and politics). There is/was no need for me to
know the technical stuff as I only built one antenna (from a boiler-plate
recipe) and more enjoy just the good fun and chatting we do on 2M. When I
first became a ham, I was on 10M most of the time. Haven't even had HF on
for quite some time, though there is a net tonight I might try for.

> >
> >Most of those who seem to think they took the
> > 'real exams' actually themselves took 'dumbed down' test and
> > couldn't pass the earlier ones if their lives depended upon it.
>
> I wouldn't know and didn't have to know because the VE's aren't giving the
> old tests anymore... those who pine nostalgically for the "good old days"
of
> tough tests need to wake up, because those same tests aren't being offered
> anymore.
>

You are becoming slightly more vociferous as the few weeks go by! :) Don't
let the frustration level here in this newsgroup get you. Eham.net, or even
QRZ are, sort of, fun. I mean, everyone's got an opinion, but the "fellas"
in here just can't handle the heat or the debate, and their searing will get
you to the point where I've gotten. I just don't care about "jerks" any
more. Like you said, "life is too short."

>
> If some oldtimers automatically assume the newbies are inferior
> because of this, then they are either posturing because they can on the
> relatively anonymous venue of Usenet (but would never do so "on the air")
or
> they're just bored and need to spout. Either way, get over it and let's
get
> on with discussing the future of the hobby, wherever that may lie...
>
> Jackie
>

>

Yep, you got that right. I've seen that happen around here. Some nidiot will send me some searing email, but when I contact them on the air, they're all touchy-feely friendly. It's disgusting. If ya don't like me, don't pretend to. **BELIEVE ME** if I don't like you, I'll let ya know. ;)

Speaking of like, it sure is refreshing to have a YL in here. You're, so far, much lighter sided than I am, but I like what you've got to say!

Kim W5TIT

2347. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 23:20:56 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uual9k8nu2j668@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56488

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3DE45DE7.C9CA6978@townsqr.com...
>
>
> Since you're actually making the necessary effort to learn something
about
> the subject matter you're being tested on -dang, and the code too!, I
can't
> imagine why anyone could or would see any reason to criticise you for it.
I've
> said clearly here a number of times that newcomers have no control over
the
> tests any more than anyone has at any time in the past. You do what's
required
> when you do it. But strangely, most of the newcomers who want to learn the
> written material also seem to want to learn the code, and upgrade. That
alone
> says a few things about those who don't.
>

What does it say, Dick? Why don't you let us know what you *think* it says? What is the problem with someone who gets the piece of paper and then is just plain happy to stay wherever it is they wish to stay in ham radio? You may not realize it, but by separating out and distinguishing those who "learn the written material" and "also seem to want to learn the code, and upgrade," you reveal what your true feelings are: you think anyone who chooses not to learn code and upgrade as some kind of inferior person to you.

Now, if that's not what you think, please be sure to not just send your ad nauseum attacks, but please clarify your position.

>
> Yeah, Larry Roll has said, a few times, that none of you newcomers will
ever
> have the same sort of license we got. It just isn't possible, you simply
will
> never have the opportunity to take the same tests we took. Of course I
agree.
>

Of course you do, and it's bunk, Dick. The ham radio you tested for has progressed into newer, different and ever changing technologies--some I am certain you would not understand because none of us can understand everything of ham radio.

I agree that the tests you took are not the same tests we took. However--we are equal a ham to you in every way, license grade to license grade. Different tests do make lesser or greater hams, Dick, whether that matches to your way of thinking or not.

> But what can that matter to you? Or me? If you get an Extra class license just
> as soon as you learn 5wpm code, and why in the world shouldn't you, why should I
> object? Given the same circumstances I would do the same thing, of course. I
> hope you will make something of it that far too many today will not.
>

And, I ask again. Why is it up to you to decide that someone who does not is so disappointing to the amateur community?

> It isn't
> you or any one like you that is to blame for the way things have gone. I'm
> sorry I can't say the same for the FCC or the ARRL. FCC has decided to make it
> far easier for anyone to get any ham radio license, however mild their level of
> interest.. And today the ARRL has near-zero influence at the FCC, so they
> couldn't head it off if they wanted to.
>

Dick, it's called change. Some call it progress, and I reserve judgement on change being progress. The times change and so will ham radio. Ham radio in 20-30 years will certainly even look different than what I see today. But, I say bring it on.

> What that does to ham radio in the long term is anybody's guess. It isn't even
> "getting the numbers up" as was widely and confidently predicted by eager
> advocates of dropping code testing.
>
> Dick
>
>

I wasn't paying attention enough to hear anyone promise increased numbers if CW testing was changed. However, anyone who thinks ham radio numbers would "go up" by any appreciable size in this day and time is--in my opinion--off their rocker. There's just too much technology out there to have an increase in ham radio. The internet--the very medium you even seem to be more fond of using than ham radio--supercedes almost any other avocation or passion, I would guess.

Kim W5TIT

2348. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 23:26:08 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211271526.10b85ee2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56487

"Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote in message
news:<ZQYE9.131156$WL3.62336@rwcrnsc54>...

> If my hunch is correct, then dropping code entirely as an HF requirement
> might get the numbers up either; maybe nothing will... I fear that the ARS
> has too much competition in other areas nowadays in areas having nothing to
> do with radio...
>
> Jackie

Hi Jackie, what do you know about the code exam? Serious question.
Don't read anything into it.

Thanks, Brian

2349. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 23:28:47 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uualodmvsatseb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56490

"Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus@mcn.net> wrote in message
news:%l7F9.3705$yy.508652@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in
> news:uu86rq7rq8ese0@corp.supernews.com:
>
> >> > Kim W5TIT
> >> >
> >> >
> >>
> >> Where the hell in this or for that matter have I made the statement
> >> or in defense of the statement "Dumbing Down" unless it was in a
> >> quote? That is not my mantra, and if you believe so, you are
> >> definitely mistaken. What
> > are
> >> these so called denigrations you accuse me of ??
> >>
> >>
> >
> > Well, it actually looks like I clicked on the wrong post to respond to
> > when I posted the above. It looks like I was probably meaning to
> > respond to one of the CW vs. no-CW posts.
> >
> > Anyway, sorry for the confusion.
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
>
>
> We're used to you being confused. That's what is so predictable about you
> kim.
>

Yep, and the absolute *most* predictable thing, Vince, is that you will
bitch and moan and do nothing, nothing, nothing...

Never gave up radio, eh? And people say they thought *I* was leaving...

Kim W5TIT

2350. Re: Net?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 23:30:04 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211271530.320500d7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56489

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DE44773.C8791D99@townsqr.com>...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> > Its already too late. Big bro already has your 'number'. If you ever had a
> > drivers license. Bought a house. Had a bank account...had a SS number....
> > gone to a doctor. etc.
>
> Or paid income tax.........

Sam knows more about me than my own mother.

2351. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 23:39:35 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE55786.FE88E50A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56491

```
Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DE44C8E.4840A969@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian Kelly wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DE39CA1.C06DDFC4@earthlink.net>...
> > > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > In article <d22b54c3.0211250613.48b8ca8d@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> > > > > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> > > > >
> >
> > > > > >That's right, there is a strong social aspect to ham radio you
> > > > > >apparently have trouble dealing with. If all that interests you is
> > > > > >electronics geekery you're wasting your time here and at ham radio
> > > > > >functions, join yer local chapter of the IEEE.
> > > > >
> > > > > The IEEE is a professional membership organization. Amateur
> > > > > radio is for amateur activities, by US government definition.
> > > >
> > > > By definition, this is an amateur radio newsgroup. Perhaps you'll be
> > > > able to drum up some admiration for your life membership in the IEEE in
> > > > the rec.radio.professional.vip newsgroup. It'll have to be better than
> > > > trying to engage long time hams in a dribbling contest.
> > > >
> > > > P.S. You'll likely not want to let those folks know of your being
> > > > foiled in obtaining a mere amateur radio license. If you slant your
> > > > postings toward, "I showed those uppity hams a thing or two", you'll
> > > > achieve higher status. Don't lay it on too thick as a number of them
> > > > are also hams.
> > >
> > > Check this: The Putz got stupid and tried his crap over in dot hombrew
> > > a few days back via his usual "(half) vast technical superiority"
> > > thingey and got whacked good by an OH who really does know his stuuf.
> > > Figgered you might relate to that, bloomin' hoot.
> > Since I've been married to an OH-type for nearly 14 years, I can attest
> > to the fact that they usually say exactly what's on their minds. I'll
> > have to check the posts.
>
> The Putz uses "averyfineman@aol.com" screen name in .homebrew. His
> usual belligerent "you hams doan know squat about radio" thingey.
```

I had no trouble finding Avery Labels. His stuff is posted utilizing his best "George Wilson" delivery in pontificating to the newsgroup "Dennis Mitchells".

Dave K8MN

2352. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 23:40:37 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <VJcF9.4119$yy.553484@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 56492

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote

: The FCC allocates "some" DSSS in US amateur radio but that
: is only permissible above 30 MHz and regulations state that
: *all* SS operations must be recorded and kept for inspection
: for a long time, a regulation made well before 9-11-01.

Again, Anderson is waaaaaaaayyyyyyyy behind the times in regard to Amateur Radio regulations, and the facts will spoil his troll.

The regulation in question (97.311) was revised well before 9-11-01, and most of the restrictions which Anderson rails about were removed.

The statement that "*all* SS operations must be recorded and kept for inspection" is not true and was not true even before the current revision. Such recording and retention is not/was not required for any station unless SPECIFICALLY determined necessary for a particular station by the FCC District Director -- no such "determination" is currently be in force.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

2353. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 23:48:34 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE55996.BB30542F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56493

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <YU9F9.3890$yy.504016@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
> <kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:
>
> >"Near-neglect"? As is often the case, your opinion turns out to be in
> >direct conflict with the facts. Examining the "news, articles, and
> >opinions" from the two most recent issues of QST which happened to be on my
> >desk, I find no evidence of such "near-neglect" for 30MHz and above.
>
> Point score on the Trollometer = barely 2.0
>
> "Armenian judges disagreeing and chanting...balalaika music
> loud in background...etc."
>
> Well, I guess I have to rush out to my friendly, neighborhood
> HRO across the street from the supermarket and inspect the
> recent issue(s) for these wondrous articles proclaiming all
> the "support and respect" for those above 30 MHz. :-)
>
> Strange...Ed Hare manages to be here still... :-)

All kidding aside about trolling in K0HB's accurate rebuttal to your
rant, Len, were you embarrassed to have farted and fallen yet again?
Near neglect *giggle*...

Dave K8MN

2354. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-27 23:58:13 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021127185813.03256.00000783@mb-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 56494

In article <hHbF9.27963$vM1.2136032@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> writes:

>N2EY:
>> The filters you recall are probably the McCoy units that were sold to
>> homebrewers in the '60s. KVG (a German outfit!) also had a line of 9
>> MHz filters showing up in the USA in the late 1960s, also aimed at
>> homebrewers. International Crystal also sold prebuilt preadjusted
>> filters that were not in little cans.
>
>Yeah, those were the ones. OK on Heathkit using 3.3 MHz filters, too.
>The homebrewers I knew in that era (I wasn't one) seemed to go ape
>over those things, much more so than low-IF mechanicals or lattices.

Heath crystal filters were/are 3.395 MHz units.

>> There's an urban legend about why hams use LSB on 75 but USB on 20.
>> The UL sez that it's because many early SSB rigs used 9 MHz filters/sb
>> generators and 5-5.5 MHz VFOs. Supposedly the sideband selection works
>> out that way automatically.
>
>Another bit of ham-radio traditional wisdom smashed.
>Oooohhh, well.
>
>I think this devolved into using symmetrical filters,
>two SSB gen freqs, 9 MHz and 9 MHz + 3 kHz, and two
>pointers on the dial - one for LSB and one for USB on
>whichever band had whatever inversion.

For an example of "forward-backward" tuning, go on back to
the Collins 51 series. The R-388/URR was a military version
of the 51J3 receiver. Drum dial with all bands, plus circular
dial with two different scales. :-)

A 9 MHz modulated signal difference mix with 5.0 to 5.5
MHz VFO tunes 4.0 to 3.5 MHz. An additive mix tunes
14.0 to 14.5 MHz. "Forward-backward." :-)

Sideband orientation is "normal" with one mix, "reversed"
with the other. Those could be made the same with a
modification of the double-mixing used in conventional RIT
sub-blocks of today.

2355. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 00:06:09 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021127190609.27660.00008470@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 56495

>Subject: Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/27/02 1:38 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021127143814.10280.00000160@mb-dd.aol.com>

>Some in here still believe that ARRL "can do no wrong."

I don't think anyone here believe's the ARRL "can do no wrong", but there's a diffrence between "do no wrong" and being dishonest. People make mistakes. There ARE such things as "honest" mistakes. I for one believe the ARRL's recent "Diamond Club", etc, is well-meant but wrong. It's NOT "dishonest.

We still have yet to see your "evidence" of League "dishonesty".

>All
> human beans differ and trying to grind them into some homogenous
> blend the same as the amateur bean sometimes leaves a sour
> taste.

You'd do well to ponder your own writings, Len. You talk the talk, but your walk is a bit unsteady at times.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2356. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 00:10:14 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021127191014.27660.00008471@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 56496

>Subject: Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/27/02 4:33 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021127173348.17878.00000704@mb-cv.aol.com>

>Point score on the Trollometer = barely 2.0

It ain't a troll if it's true, Len. You snipped all the rest of Hans'
post which very accurately delineated the numerous "over 30mHz" articles and
other ads.

Some would call that "misdirection". Others "obfuscation".

You've been doing well lately...don't ruin it.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2357. Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 00:23:22 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021127192322.27660.00008481@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 56498

>Subject: Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/27/02 4:01 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021127170135.19280.00000613@mb-bh.aol.com>

>BAWAs are essentially control freaks. You MUST do as they
> say you should lest you become a victim to a remarkable amount
> of personal abuse of knowledge, morals, ethics, religion, parents,
> sexuality "charges" all having nothing to do with a particular subject.
> They have only one world view...theirs.

And there's ONE person in this forum who should know all that well.

He spent a "career" in radio electronics, so overly impressed with
himself and his work to the point of believing that he has amassed all the
"qualifications" as a "professional" that he is now too good to take the same
Amateur Radio licensing exam that truck drivers, Senators, Astronauts and
housewives have taken.

He does, however, "know what's best" for us since he's spent amost a
decade in this forum or one's like it telling us how to "do it".

>I don't see any purpose
> of a government agency requiring morse code tests for any
> amateur radio license...and the FCC agrees with that, has
> agreed so since 1990...thousands of others around the world are
> of the same opinion, most of them licensed in amateur radio.
> All that is irrelevant to the BAWAs

Obviously it IS relevent. That's why we're here. That's why most of us
in this forum have agreed in one form of principle or another that it's gone,
and that we will move on. Unfortunately one of those who HASN'T moved on is
unlicensed and unexpereinced in Amatuer Radio practice.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2358. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 00:40:06 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021127194006.27890.00007690@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 56499

>2000: US population 281 million, US hams 683,000, 1 ham per 411
>Americans

1 Ham per 411 Americans = 0.00243 HamsPerAm.
If everyone in the nation became Hams, this would be HamNation.

2359. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 00:44:07 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <rFdF9.28183$vM1.2148313@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 56500

K7JEB:
>> I think it was a matter of subsidiary,
>> enabling technologies coming on-line
>> in the 50's ..... and, most important,
>> encapsulated, precision, IF sideband
>> crystal filters.

Len Anderson:
> Narrow-bandwidth crystal filters were made
> possible by two things in the post-WW2 times:
> Increased raw quartz supplies, mostly from
> grown crystals rather than smaller, natural
> quartz having only a 20% or so yield;
> development of better basic filter theory
> needing fewer resonators ("modern filter theory"
> as opposed to older "constant-k" and "m-derived"
> formulae developed for L-C filters). Those
> both happened just before 1955, still in the
> vacuum tube era....

That's the technical evolution I was alluding
to. In the end, it provided the precision-,
and cost-effective-, filtering for the SSB era
in amateur radio.

> That changed after WW2 where wartime survival
> efforts were not mired into the conditioned
> thinking of voice communications by radio
> limited only to AM DSB. One of the "conditioned
> thinking" items was the constant repetition
> in earlier amateur radio of "Class C (finals)
> always having the best efficiency." Seemingly,
> Class B or even AB was shunned as "not being
> best, most efficient." That for amateur
> applications where the electrical input to RF
> output ratio meant very little in the way of
> "efficient" (low-cost) power consumption. Class
> C amplifiers were simpler to tune, simpler to
> operate than Class B types and their brute-force
> plate supply modulation by an audio power
> amplifier was easier to understand.

Awww, come on, Len. Give us hams a break!
You're forgetting one little detail about
amateur radio regulations up until rather

recently: The transmitter power limitation was
in plate-power *input*. A linear amplifier in
AM operation running a kilowatt input, the legal
limit, would put out, maybe, 250 watts. In
plate-modulated, class-C operation, that was more
like 800 watts. And, while power cost wasn't
really an issue, final tube costs certainly were.
Hams were renown for pushing cheap, small tubes to
Herculean power levels using every trick they
could. Plate modulation was a given in this
case.

The linear-amplifier-efficiency issue actually
surfaced first in 1920's - 30's broadcasting when
Western Electric fielded a whole line of non-Doherty,
linear-amplifier transmitters that sucked up
primary power big-time. Small-station managers
rebelled and RCA got a major sales boost with
their "high-efficiency" plate-modulated rigs.
So it was kinda gospel by the end of WWII.

> I certainly agree that solid-state devices and
> off-shore production did drop both sizes and
> prices of amateur HF radios by the end of the
> 1950s...but those old boatanchor tube radios
> established the architectural planform that
> remains today.

I dunno, Len. It's a little hard to see the
architectual similarities between my old
Johnson Valiant and an Icom IC-756PROII.
For starters, I can't seem to find the spectrum
display knob on the Valiant. ;-)

The kinship is a little closer to see with
the "Gold Dust Twins", the Collins KWS-1 and
75A4.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb @ arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

2360. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 00:48:44 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211271648.6fea57c1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56551
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Chuck <k8cpa*nospam*@arrl.net*nospam*> wrote in message
news:<6d2auu0lbrsiuf9kijnaf3lgs3cshfjq00@4ax.com>...
> On Wed, 27 Nov 2002 18:00:15 GMT, "K?HB" <k?hb@arrl.DOTORG> was
> blabbling:
>
> ..."Chuck" <k8cpa*nospam*@arrl.net*nospam*> wrote
> ...
> ...:
> ...: Hello Hans... you operate CW on 20 at all?
> ...:
> ...
> ...I've been known to.
> ...
> ...73, Hans, K0HB
> ...
> ...
> ...
> ...
> ...
> ...
> ...
> ...
>
>
> okay, prior to posting that question, i had just finished a qso with a
> guy in 7-land on 20 CW.
>
>
>
> 73
> -Chuck K8CPA
> REAL Ham's use 160, 75 and 40 meters!
> REAL Ham's Use CW!
> http://mywebpages.comcast.net/k8cpa/

damn.......chuck's two way is back in business....what a
deal.....somebody slap me because i must be dreamin.....

2361. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 00:49:39 UTC
From: cheesecutter@webhideout.com
Message-ID: <fef386d5.0211271649.2950b97e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56552
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Chuck <k8cpa*nospam*@arrl.net*nospam*> wrote in message
news:<6d2auu0lbrsiuf9kijnaf3lgs3cshfjq00@4ax.com>...
> On Wed, 27 Nov 2002 18:00:15 GMT, "K?HB" <k?hb@arrl.DOTORG> was
> blabbling:
>
> ..."Chuck" <k8cpa*nospam*@arrl.net*nospam*> wrote
> ...
> ...:
> ...: Hello Hans... you operate CW on 20 at all?
> ...:
> ...
> ...I've been known to.
> ...
> ...73, Hans, K0HB
> ...
> ...
> ...
> ...
> ...
> ...
> ...
> ...
>
>
> okay, prior to posting that question, i had just finished a qso with a
> guy in 7-land on 20 CW.
>
>
>
> 73
> -Chuck K8CPA
> REAL Ham's use 160, 75 and 40 meters!
> REAL Ham's Use CW!
> http://mywebpages.comcast.net/k8cpa/

by the way chuckie.......why do you think anyone would want to talk to
you good buddy..???
```

2362. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 01:17:16 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net>
Message-ID: <w8eF9.3549$4j5.1991196@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 56497

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:uuakajrjnbju12@corp.supernews.com...
> {snip}
> Speaking of like, it sure is refreshing to have a YL in here.

In case you missed it, I am also a YL.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

2363. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 01:20:46 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uuasaaojpeche0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56553

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3DE55996.BB30542F@earthlink.net...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > In article <YU9F9.3890$yy.504016@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"K�HB"
> > <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:
> >
> > >"Near-neglect"? As is often the case, your opinion turns out to be in
> > >direct conflict with the facts. Examining the "news, articles, and
> > >opinions" from the two most recent issues of QST which happened to be
on my
> > >desk, I find no evidence of such "near-neglect" for 30MHz and above.
> >
> > Point score on the Trollometer = barely 2.0
> >
> > "Armenian judges disagreeing and chanting...balalaika music
> > loud in background...etc."
> >
> > Well, I guess I have to rush out to my friendly, neighborhood
> > HRO across the street from the supermarket and inspect the
> > recent issue(s) for these wondrous articles proclaiming all
> > the "support and respect" for those above 30 MHz. :-)
> >
> > Strange...Ed Hare manages to be here still... :-)
>
> All kidding aside about trolling in K0HB's accurate rebuttal to your
> rant, Len, were you embarrassed to have farted and fallen yet again?
> Near neglect *giggle*...
>
> Dave K8MN

Such language from a Puritan!

Kim W5TIT

2364. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 01:24:37 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uuashgfh116c5d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56554

"Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net> wrote in message
news:w8eF9.3549$4j5.1991196@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> news:uuakajrjnbju12@corp.supernews.com...
> > {snip}
> > Speaking of like, it sure is refreshing to have a YL in here.
>
> In case you missed it, I am also a YL.
>
> Dee Flint, N8UZE
>
>

WHOA!!! Yes, I did, Dee and I apologize for that! Excellent. I hope y'all hang around for a while, even if just for the fun of it! Sheesh, I don't even know how/why I missed that, Dee *is* more a woman's name, eh? Sorry!

Kim W5TIT

2365. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 01:50:27 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021127205027.28322.00000633@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 56556

In article <hHbF9.27963$vM1.2136032@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> writes:

>N2EY:
>> The filters you recall are probably the McCoy units that were sold to
>> homebrewers in the '60s. KVG (a German outfit!) also had a line of 9
>> MHz filters showing up in the USA in the late 1960s, also aimed at
>> homebrewers. International Crystal also sold prebuilt preadjusted
>> filters that were not in little cans.
>
>Yeah, those were the ones. OK on Heathkit using 3.3 MHz filters, too.

Actually, Heath used 3.395 MHz filters in their SSB gear.

>The homebrewers I knew in that era (I wasn't one) seemed to go ape
>over those things, much more so than low-IF mechanicals or lattices.

The McCoy filters and others made the design smaller and simpler, and
eliminated the need for most adjustments.
>
>> There's an urban legend about why hams use LSB on 75 but USB on 20.
>> The UL sez that it's because many early SSB rigs used 9 MHz filters/sb
>> generators and 5-5.5 MHz VFOs. Supposedly the sideband selection works
>> out that way automatically.

But it doesn't work that way, as I posted earlier.
>
>Another bit of ham-radio traditional wisdom smashed.
>Oooohhh, well.
>
>I think this devolved into using symmetrical filters,
>two SSB gen freqs, 9 MHz and 9 MHz + 3 kHz, and two
>pointers on the dial - one for LSB and one for USB on
>whichever band had whatever inversion.

Nope. Doesn't work that way, either.

Let's do the math. Remember, on SSB rigs the dial freq is usually the
suppressed carrier frequency, not the middle of the actual signal.

Filter-type USB generator with the suppressed carrier exactly on 9000 kHz.
Filter bandpass is approximately 9000.3 to 9002.7 kHz. (audio freqs of 300 to
2700 Hz).

Mix this USB signal additively with a VFO of exactly 5100 kHz and you get USB
on 14100 kHz (suppressed carrier freq).

Mix this USB signal subtractively with a VFO of exactly 5100 kHz and you get USB on 3900 kHz (suppressed carrier freq). Right frequency, wrong sideband.

One way to get LSB with this setup is to use a second filter with bandpass of 8997.3 to 8999.7 kHz (audio freqs of 300 to 2700 Hz). Since the suppressed carrier freq. and VFO freqs. don't change when you change filters, mixing this LSB signal subtractively with a 5100 kHz VFO will give you LSB on 3900 kHz. The Drake TR-3 and TR-4 did exactly this.

But filters are expensive, so another mehod can be used. Use the original filter with bandpass of 9000.3 to 9002.7 kHz, but put the carrier at 9003 kHz instead of 9000 kHz. Result is LSB with audio freqs of 300 to 2700 Hz and suppressed carrier freq of 9003 kHz.

Mix this LSB signal subtractively with a VFO of exactly 5100 kHz and you get LSB on 3903 kHz (suppressed carrier freq). Right sideband but the freq is 3 kHz off.

Some rigs simply used two dial lines. Others (Heath, Collins) built a small frequency shift circuit into the VFO that shifted its frequency exactly 3 kHz, so the same dial line could be used on both sidebands. Digital readouts can be set up to avoid the problem entirely. One form of "digital dial" simply counts each of the rig's oscillators in succession, adding or subtracting as necessary. The resultant count is the suppressed carrier frequency, by definition. The Kenwood DG-5 readout for the TS-520S is an example fo this technique.

>Now, about incentive licensing......
>
A good idea badly implemented.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2366. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 01:50:28 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021127205028.28322.00000634@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 56555

In article <20021127085849.06703.00000098@mb-dd.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>Just a very rough guess on my part that this is KB1HMW, if all the parts
>were to fit the puzzle. Licensed for only a few days over a year, it would
>make sense.

I think you outed him, Steve.

All of the info in QRZ.com matches things posted by him. No contradictions. Quite clear that if he really is licensed, that's him.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2367. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 01:50:29 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021127205029.28322.00000635@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 56557

In article <_C7F9.3723$yy.512941@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:

>"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>
>: with the exception of the 1960s, when the US population
>: boomed but the US ham population virtually stagnated. Most of
>: the reasons for that low growth had little to do with ham radio itself
>: (Vietnam, civil rights, baby boom, women's lib, etc).
>
>I would expect things like civil rights, baby boom, and women's lib to
>INCREASE hobby participation, not depress it.

Maybe, but that's not how it worked out.

In the 1960s, ham radio was considered a very "square", "establishment" activity, to use the jargon of the day. You didn't see a lot of longhair hippie Woodstock types in QST, at hamfests, etc. The close association of ham radio with conservative politics and business, MARS, Armed Forces Day and technology in general confirmed that charaterization for many young people. Not cool, man. In an era when most new hams were teenagers, this was a recipe for decreased growth. Civil rights and women's lib were/are movements against the "establishment", and ham radio was seen by many as part of that establishment.

A baby boom produces lots of babies and swells the population right away. But it takes some years before those babies are old enough to be getting ham licenses in significant numbers.

There were other influences, too. To name just one: In 1963, the FCC opened more exam points and increased the distance requirement for a Conditional from 75 to 175 miles "air line". All of a sudden there were very few places in the USA that qualified as "Conditional country".

>Now if you wanted to depress
>participation, you could come up with some DAIOTC like incentive
>licensing.... that would do it!

You might think so, but you'd be mistaken. Sorry.

The first wave of IL changes were enacted in late 1968 and the second wave in late 1969. So for most of the decade of the '60s, IL was a potentiality, not a reality. Growth actually sputtered to a halt in 1963, five years before IL became effective, and coincident with the Conditional change and other effects.

Incentive licensing was at its height in the 1970s - when there were no code waivers, no CSCEs, and most hams had to journey to the FCC office to test.

Economic times were awful, two energy crises, Watergate, Vietnam's end, etc. Yet the number of US hams soared!

If IL was such a bummer, man, why did US hamdom grow so much faster after it was enacted?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2368. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 02:16:41 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021127211641.13494.00000756@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 56558

In article <rFdF9.28183$vM1.2148313@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> writes:

>You're forgetting one little detail about
>amateur radio regulations up until rather
>recently: The transmitter power limitation was
>in plate-power *input*.

Yup. 1000 watts DC plate power input to the final stage. Oddly enough, FCC did not interpret the 500 watts of audio from a plate modulator to be "DC plate power"!

>A linear amplifier in
>AM operation running a kilowatt input, the legal
>limit, would put out, maybe, 250 watts.

Theoretical maximum is 350 watts. Same applies to screen or grid modulation.

>In
>plate-modulated, class-C operation, that was more
>like 800 watts. And, while power cost wasn't
>really an issue, final tube costs certainly were.
>Hams were renown for pushing cheap, small tubes to
>Herculean power levels using every trick they
>could. Plate modulation was a given in this
>case.

This was true even if hams didn't push the tubes beyond ratings.

Here's a practical example: Compute the cost of a plate-modulated AM rig using a pair of Class-C 812As modulated by a pair of Class B 811As. Then compute the cost of a linear amplifier capable of the same power output. Include the cost of power supply in both cases, and the cost of a modulated driver in the case of the linear amplifier. Guess what: Plate modulation wins on a watts-per-dollar basis.

Or consider what a Viking Valiant would have cost and weighed if it had not used plate modulation, but had to deliver the same power output on AM.

Because a typical ham rig is used perhaps a few hours per day, and is typically receiving more than half that time, electric power cost is but a small part of the economic equation. Equipment first-cost is a BIG part, though.

Note that all of the above has been pointed out before by me.

>The linear-amplifier-efficiency issue actually
>surfaced first in 1920's - 30's broadcasting when
>Western Electric fielded a whole line of non-Doherty,

>linear-amplifier transmitters that sucked up
>primary power big-time. Small-station managers
>rebelled and RCA got a major sales boost with
>their "high-efficiency" plate-modulated rigs.
>So it was kinda gospel by the end of WWII.

Let's take a look at some popular WW2 military transmitters, shall we?

BC-191/375 - plate modulated
AN/ART-13 - plate modulated
BC-610 - plate modulated
BC-611 - plate modulated
AN/ARC-5\SCR-274N - Screen modulated with BC-456 modulator, plate modulated with MD-7

73 de Jim, N2EY

2369. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 03:23:47 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <7%fF9.4427$yy.582256@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 56559

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote

:
: Thank you, Hans Brakob, for that illustration of BAWAs. :-)
:

http://www.bmf.org/

Cheers.

2370. Re: Just what ARE the correct ham radio licensee stats?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 03:34:18 UTC
From: paulfriedman@attbi.com
Message-ID: <_8gF9.152019$NH2.10942@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 56560
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Interesting, thanks. For clarification, it should be stated that the figures represent U.S. licenses, not world-wide. I wonder what those numbers look like.

Paul

"Doug Thompson" <DT2314@ncvillage.net> wrote in message news:123192444.0023192808@ncvillage.net...
> On 24 Nov 2002 03:57:41 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
> > Better check for the stats at the correct source instead of trying to
> > support an untenable position.
>
> The stats are in fact quite different among sources people usually
> respect.
>
> Qrz.com lists 637,404 as the total number of licenses.
>
> AH0A at http://ah0a.org/FCC, who claims to be directly reading the FCC
> databases, comes up with 683,897 (10 Nov 02).
>
> ARNewsline has widely published a figure of 680,760 licenses,
> and the FCC itself seems to have remained silent on its own web pages.
>
> The difference between the qrz.com figure and the rest is an
> astounding 46,000+ licensees, so I'll assume that qrz.com is very
> wrong.
>
> That leaves AH0A, who calculates that the average age of a ham license
> is less than 60 months (currently about 57), and that's a critical
> figure for licenses which are renewable every ten years. It means
> that the total number must eventually decline, no matter what the
> short term fluctuations may be.
>
> In fact, if you take the AH0A figures for ARS totals at
> http://ah0a.org/FCC/Licenses.html and do a linear least squares fit to
> them, you find that there is no clear trend since 2000 due to the the
> statistical fluctuations in the data.
>
> That is, his figures don't tell us whether the hobby is growing or
> declining. The ARNewsline statement that there are 2235 fewer
> amateurs than at this time last year is not revealing either, because
> the number they quoted is within the expected fluctuations.
>
> In the absence of more authoritative data, I have to say that the

> assertion that ham radio is growing is unsubstantiated by what I can
> easily find on the web. My own look at the data indicates that it
> probably indicates a hobby which is remaining static.
>
> But I'm not trying to promote that position; it's just what the AH0A
> data seem to say. Can anyone else provide a link to more reliable and
> authoritative data? I'd actually love to see some information which
> unequivocally shows that ham radio is growing.

2371. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 03:40:37 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211271940.406501e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56561

brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0211270448.1bd14681@posting.google.com>...
> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0211261535.6edda509@posting.google.com>...
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DE39CA1.C06DDFC4@earthlink.net>...
> > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > >
> > > > In article <d22b54c3.0211250613.48b8ca8d@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> > > > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> > > >
>
> > > > >That's right, there is a strong social aspect to ham radio you
> > > > >apparently have trouble dealing with. If all that interests you is
> > > > >electronics geekery you're wasting your time here and at ham radio
> > > > >functions, join yer local chapter of the IEEE.
> > > >
> > > > The IEEE is a professional membership organization. Amateur
> > > > radio is for amateur activities, by US government definition.
> > >
> > > By definition, this is an amateur radio newsgroup. Perhaps you'll be
> > > able to drum up some admiration for your life membership in the IEEE in
> > > the rec.radio.professional.vip newsgroup. It'll have to be better than
> > > trying to engage long time hams in a dribbling contest.
> > >
> > > P.S. You'll likely not want to let those folks know of your being
> > > foiled in obtaining a mere amateur radio license. If you slant your
> > > postings toward, "I showed those uppity hams a thing or two", you'll
> > > achieve higher status. Don't lay it on too thick as a number of them
> > > are also hams.
> >
> > Check this: The Putz got stupid and tried his crap over in dot hombrew
> > a few days back via his usual "(half) vast technical superiority"
> > thingey and got whacked good by an OH who really does know his stuuf.
> > Figgered you might relate to that, bloomin' hoot.
> >
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > w3rv
>
> Brian, you're postings are slightly more readable than Bruce's.
>
> Are you really an engineer?

You bet, which explains why I go over your head.

w3rv

2372. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 03:45:49 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DE59FB1.23D27BB3@townsqr.com>
Source record: 56562

Brian wrote:

> "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote in message
news:<ZQYE9.131156$WL3.62336@rwcrnsc54>...
>
> > If my hunch is correct, then dropping code entirely as an HF requirement
> > might get the numbers up either; maybe nothing will... I fear that the ARS
> > has too much competition in other areas nowadays in areas having nothing to
> > do with radio...
> >
> > Jackie
>
> Hi Jackie, what do you know about the code exam? Serious question.
> Don't read anything into it.

About as much as you, Slim. Read whatever you want into that.

2373. Re: Just what ARE the correct ham radio licensee stats?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 04:33:20 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uub74snoiefn5f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56564
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

I inadvertently responded a short time ago to such a post. I will ask everyone responding to check the cross posts to a ton of other newsgroups. This might be ok for some of the amateur groups, but I doubt rec.radio.cb is too interested in this.

Thanks & 73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

2374. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 05:15:07 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net>
Message-ID: <vDhF9.3572$4j5.2014946@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 56563

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:uuashgfh116c5d@corp.supernews.com...
> "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net> wrote in message
> news:w8eF9.3549$4j5.1991196@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> > news:uuakajrjnbju12@corp.supernews.com...
> > > {snip}
> > > Speaking of like, it sure is refreshing to have a YL in here.
> >
> > In case you missed it, I am also a YL.
> >
> > Dee Flint, N8UZE
> >
> >
>
> WHOA!!! Yes, I did, Dee and I apologize for that! Excellent. I hope
y'all
> hang around for a while, even if just for the fun of it! Sheesh, I don't
> even know how/why I missed that, Dee *is* more a woman's name, eh? Sorry!
>
> Kim W5TIT

It's more of a non-specific name actually. After all there is the actor
Billy Dee Williams. There are others too.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

2375. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 06:07:47 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021128010747.02260.00000081@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 56565

In article <VJcF9.4119$yy.553484@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:

>"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote
>
>: The FCC allocates "some" DSSS in US amateur radio but that
>: is only permissible above 30 MHz and regulations state that
>: *all* SS operations must be recorded and kept for inspection
>: for a long time, a regulation made well before 9-11-01.
>
>Again, Anderson is waaaaaaaayyyyyyyy behind the times in regard to Amateur
>Radio regulations, and the facts will spoil his troll.
>
>The regulation in question (97.311) was revised well before 9-11-01, and
>most of the restrictions which Anderson rails about were removed.

97.311 was revised as indicated in FR 51571, Sept.29, 1999

However, 97.311 (c) (3) still requires "Maintain a record,
convertible to the original information (voice, text, image, etc.)
of all spread spectrum communications transmitted."

Is anything close to that for any other allocated mode in US
amateur radio? I don't thnk so. There is still the doubt (in the
FCC or the ARRL who lobbied for the change?) that US
amatuers are the true blue legal-upholding boy-scouts that so
many PCTAs plump themselves. 97.311 (a) still warns: "SS
emission transmissions must not be used fof the purpose of
obscuring the meaning of any communication." That's
redundant since the same is said earlier in Part 97 about ALL
communications. Why repeat?

Does the FCC have a "District Director?" That looks like an
ARRL title. We might even get Phil Kane (or perhaps Phil
Karn) to remark on that. The FCC has Commissioners and
Field Offices (still) plus all the Bureau and Office honchos
in DC.

Part 97.311 (e) of the 1 October 1995 regulations was as
follows: "Station records must document all SS emission
transmissions and must be retained fora period of 1 year
following the last entry. The station records must include
sufficient information contained therein, to demodulate
all transmissions. The station records mustcontain at
least the following:"

<five items, sub-parts (1) through (5) omitted for brevity>

97.311 (f) of 1 October 1995 read: "When deemed necessary
by the EIC to secure compliance with this part, a station
licensee must:"

<three items, sub-parts (1) through (3) omitted for brevity>

>The statement that "*all* SS operations must be recorded and kept for
>inspection" is not true and was not true even before the current revision.
>Such recording and retention is not/was not required for any station unless
>SPECIFICALLY determined necessary for a particular station by the FCC
>District Director -- no such "determination" is currently be in force.

Under the older regulations, keeping everything sufficient to
"demodulate all SS transmissions" for a year was rather drastic.
No other mode was so restricted in records keeping in US
amateur radio. Almost draconian. There has been little change
besides the DS polynomial generation kinds specified. The newer
regulations don't require a year's worth of everything...they don't
specify how long once the "District Director" has "deemed it
necessary to produce records."

Of course, spread spectrum modes are not what the BAWA
honchos want since they only consider "real hams" as those
who "work DX on HF with CW." They just refer to the mode
with tired scatological cliches and could care less...and
obviously know little about it.

>With all kind wishes,

Have a happy Thanksgiving, Hans. Eat the bird. :-)

2376. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 06:35:15 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <DOiF9.141313$P31.70722@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 56566

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0211271526.10b85ee2@posting.google.com...

> Hi Jackie, what do you know about the code exam? Serious question.
> Don't read anything into it.

I only know that it's given at about 5 WPM and in the form of a QSO, and once you listen to it you are asked certain questions about what was sent, also something about 1 minute of solid copy is in there. I don't know exactly; I'm just going by what I've heard from others on this.

Jackie

2377. Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 06:36:59 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <fQiF9.143397$WL3.63697@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 56567

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021127170135.19280.00000613@mb-

>Thank you and have yourself a nice pie for dessert. Pumpkin.

Oh yeah! That's my pie! With a touch of whipped cream on the top too.

Jackie

2378. Re: Just what ARE the correct ham radio licensee stats?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 07:10:09 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021128021009.10145.00000647@mb-cd.aol.com>
Source record: 56568

In article <123192444.0023192808@ncvillage.net>, DT2314@ncvillage.net (Doug Thompson) writes:

>In the absence of more authoritative data, I have to say that the
>assertion that ham radio is growing is unsubstantiated by what I can
>easily find on the web. My own look at the data indicates that it
>probably indicates a hobby which is remaining static.
>
>But I'm not trying to promote that position; it's just what the AH0A
>data seem to say. Can anyone else provide a link to more reliable and
>authoritative data? I'd actually love to see some information which
>unequivocally shows that ham radio is growing.

The FCC has full database files for free download on its website.
A problem there is that they consolidated all the smaller regional
files into multi-megabyte whoppers that need high-speed cable
access ISPs for practical download. The various data fields are
all explained and search-and-totalize programs can be written to
work with the basic ASCII downloadable data.

As to "real numbers" now, it seems to be a case of "my numbers
source can beat up your numbers source!" :-)

2379. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 07:10:10 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021128021010.10145.00000648@mb-cd.aol.com>
Source record: 56570

In article <20021127211641.13494.00000756@mb-mj.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>Let's take a look at some popular WW2 military transmitters, shall we?
>
>BC-191/375 - plate modulated
>AN/ART-13 - plate modulated
>BC-610 - plate modulated
>BC-611 - plate modulated
>AN/ARC-5\SCR-274N - Screen modulated with BC-456 modulator, plate modulated
>with MD-7

Look all you want...:-) How about the AN/GRC-19 and its T-195?
Wowee, also plate modulated, a vehicular radio set running off
24-28 VDC drawing 44 Amps during transmit! Circa 1954.
Roughly 25,000 were built. The transmitter was capable of
tuning itself, both for the PA plate circuit and the whip antenna.
As far as I've seen it's the first use of the "Bruene Discriminator"
to implement the Collins Autotune feature fully.

By "popular" above you mean "popular in surplus markets."
The SCR-300 or BC-1000 is also "popular" and was FM with
VFO and portable. The UK adopted that after WW2 as did
several other Yurp nations. Do you want some "popular"
vehicle radio transmitters? Lots of those and almost all are
FM...which implies Class C PA biasing.

If time stood still and the vacuum tube was still King, there
might be a "point" in there somewhere. Time didn't stand
still and chassis punches are rusting all over the country.
Please try living in this millenium instead of the previous one.

2380. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 07:10:12 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021128021012.10145.00000649@mb-cd.aol.com>
Source record: 56569

In article <rFdF9.28183$vM1.2148313@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> writes:

>K7JEB:
>>> I think it was a matter of subsidiary,
>>> enabling technologies coming on-line
>>> in the 50's ..... and, most important,
>>> encapsulated, precision, IF sideband
>>> crystal filters.
>
>Len Anderson:
>> Narrow-bandwidth crystal filters were made
>> possible by two things in the post-WW2 times:
>> Increased raw quartz supplies, mostly from
>> grown crystals rather than smaller, natural
>> quartz having only a 20% or so yield;
>> development of better basic filter theory
>> needing fewer resonators ("modern filter theory"
>> as opposed to older "constant-k" and "m-derived"
>> formulae developed for L-C filters). Those
>> both happened just before 1955, still in the
>> vacuum tube era....
>
>That's the technical evolution I was alluding
>to. In the end, it provided the precision-,
>and cost-effective-, filtering for the SSB era
>in amateur radio.

I agree with you. :-)

Phasing methods didn't have the popularity of filter-type
SSB modulation or demodulation. Few really understood
phasing SSB from the late 1950s onward. By the time
Mike Gingell did his polyphase network PhD dissertation,
computers (!) were able to analyze it. Yoshida carried it
even further for a truly wideband quadrature network with
good amplitude flatness and awesome low-error relative
phase quadrature performance.

>> That changed after WW2 where wartime survival
>> efforts were not mired into the conditioned
>> thinking of voice communications by radio
>> limited only to AM DSB. One of the "conditioned
>> thinking" items was the constant repetition
>> in earlier amateur radio of "Class C (finals)

>> always having the best efficiency." Seemingly,
>> Class B or even AB was shunned as "not being
>> best, most efficient." That for amateur
>> applications where the electrical input to RF
>> output ratio meant very little in the way of
>> "efficient" (low-cost) power consumption. Class
>> C amplifiers were simpler to tune, simpler to
>> operate than Class B types and their brute-force
>> plate supply modulation by an audio power
>> amplifier was easier to understand.
>
>Awww, come on, Len. Give us hams a break!
>You're forgetting one little detail about
>amateur radio regulations up until rather
>recently: The transmitter power limitation was
>in plate-power *input*. A linear amplifier in
>AM operation running a kilowatt input, the legal
>limit, would put out, maybe, 250 watts. In
>plate-modulated, class-C operation, that was more
>like 800 watts. And, while power cost wasn't
>really an issue, final tube costs certainly were.
>Hams were renown for pushing cheap, small tubes to
>Herculean power levels using every trick they
>could. Plate modulation was a given in this
>case.

I've not forgetten nor do I "give breaks." :-)
[been there, done that with both AM and SSB early-on]

I'll put it simply: Most US radio amateurs circa 1950 to
1965 just didn't understand SSB versus AM despite
enough articles in ham periodicals. When I've had to
explain theory to them (forty- to fiftysomethings then,
myself not yet 30) with much drawing of diagrams and
vectors and keeping a controlled voice level for lengthy
periods, it demonstrated quite a bit. These were guys
IN electronics work back in the tube era. :-)

"Advancement" came about through cheaper, higher-
performance off-shore designed and manufactured rigs
of that time so that they could successfully operate on
SSB. The venerated WW2 makers either quit the ham
market a couple decades after WW2 or they just
dissolved. SGC was a bit late among the SSB
transceiver designers starting well after WW2 but the
SGC-2020 is a designed-and-made in Washington
product.

>The linear-amplifier-efficiency issue actually
>surfaced first in 1920's - 30's broadcasting when
>Western Electric fielded a whole line of non-Doherty,

>linear-amplifier transmitters that sucked up
>primary power big-time. Small-station managers
>rebelled and RCA got a major sales boost with
>their "high-efficiency" plate-modulated rigs.
>So it was kinda gospel by the end of WWII.

Broadcasters were pumping out 5 KW and more
back then on a sort of average. Figuring 15 KWHr
(electric) from the power lines starts adding up the
burden when running 18 hours a day.

>> I certainly agree that solid-state devices and
>> off-shore production did drop both sizes and
>> prices of amateur HF radios by the end of the
>> 1950s...but those old boatanchor tube radios
>> established the architectural planform that
>> remains today.
>
>I dunno, Len. It's a little hard to see the
>architectual similarities between my old
>Johnson Valiant and an Icom IC-756PROII.
>For starters, I can't seem to find the spectrum
>display knob on the Valiant. ;-)

Heh, heh, heh, you got a point there! :-)

By "architecture" I meant the block diagram
kind of structure. A major change happened
first with multiple-loop PLLs, then DDS and
fractional-N synthesizers replacing the manual
variable tuning. Another plateau change
happened when DSP started being applied to
internal bandpass filtering.

>The kinship is a little closer to see with
>the "Gold Dust Twins", the Collins KWS-1 and
>75A4.

Maybe. The first TRUE "digital tuning" receiver
I experienced was the R-390 at the ADA receiver
site...in 1954. Except for tubes, weight, gears, and
wondrous mechanicals, the block structure of that
continued to be the prototype for all others of the
next three decades, plus...

2381. Re: F.S. New Linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 08:58:27 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0211280858020.18358-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 56571
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 27 Nov 2002, Martin VK2UMJ wrote:
>"Odette Brown" <au273@freenet.carleton.ca> wrote in message
>news:3DE446FC.78A66A65@freenet.carleton.ca...
>> > For Sale,
>>
>> New Linear Amplifier HF-2500
>>
>> If interested please E-Mail me.
>>
>
>Perhaps some more info for those that don't have the complete info on this
>amp would be handy, such as Make, Power, Frequency Range, etc, etc,
>etc......

...Perhaps posting this in the RIGHT PLACE, such as rec.radio.swap.....

2382. Re: Just what ARE the correct ham radio licensee stats?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 09:08:26 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0211280900370.18358-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 56572
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 28 Nov 2002, Doug Thompson wrote:
>On 24 Nov 2002 03:57:41 GMT, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:
>> Better check for the stats at the correct source instead of trying to
>> support an untenable position.
>
>The stats are in fact quite different among sources people usually
>respect.
>
>Qrz.com lists 637,404 as the total number of licenses.
>
>AH0A at http://ah0a.org/FCC, who claims to be directly reading the FCC
>databases, comes up with 683,897 (10 Nov 02).
>
>ARNewsline has widely published a figure of 680,760 licenses,

Like that means anything. ARNewsline had a story on indian code-talkers almost two weeks ago, but they couldn't get that correct either. They said it was the Cherokees during WWII, while everyone else knows that it was the Navajo, a completely separate and distinct tribe. That's not the first time that ARN got things wrong. Don't forget that ITF pays himself a salary for writing these reports - how else could it cost $1,000.00/month, while the competing service in NY does it for $100.00/month?

>and the FCC itself seems to have remained silent on its own web pages.

However, the FCC would be the authoriative source. Once a week, they do zip up the entire amateur database for download. Why don't you download it (it's only about 58Mb compressed) and count the records yourself? Don't forget to exclude all the expired licenses within the grace period which are still in the database.

ftp://ftp.fcc.gov/pub/Bureaus/Wireless/Databases/uls

It may be in a subdirectory from there. The daily updates are in a subdirectory of the above URL called "./daily". Somewhere, there is also an HTTP interface to download the files too.

>The difference between the qrz.com figure and the rest is an
>astounding 46,000+ licensees, so I'll assume that qrz.com is very
>wrong.
>...

2383. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 12:24:08 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DE6192E.27B89FBA@townsqr.com>
Source record: 56573

N2EY wrote:

> In article <_C7F9.3723$yy.512941@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
> <kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> >
> >
> >: with the exception of the 1960s, when the US population
> >: boomed but the US ham population virtually stagnated. Most of
> >: the reasons for that low growth had little to do with ham radio itself
> >: (Vietnam, civil rights, baby boom, women's lib, etc).
> >
> >I would expect things like civil rights, baby boom, and women's lib to
> >INCREASE hobby participation, not depress it.
>
> Maybe, but that's not how it worked out.
>
> In the 1960s, ham radio was considered a very "square", "establishment"
> activity, to use the jargon of the day. You didn't see a lot of longhair hippie
> Woodstock types in QST, at hamfests, etc. The close association of ham radio
> with conservative politics and business, MARS, Armed Forces Day and technology
> in general confirmed that charaterization for many young people. Not cool, man.
> In an era when most new hams were teenagers, this was a recipe for decreased
> growth. Civil rights and women's lib were/are movements against the
> "establishment", and ham radio was seen by many as part of that establishment.
>
> A baby boom produces lots of babies and swells the population right away. But
> it takes some years before those babies are old enough to be getting ham
> licenses in significant numbers.
>
> There were other influences, too. To name just one: In 1963, the FCC opened
> more exam points and increased the distance requirement for a Conditional from
> 75 to 175 miles "air line". All of a sudden there were very few places in the
> USA that qualified as "Conditional country".
>
> >Now if you wanted to depress
> >participation, you could come up with some DAIOTC like incentive
> >licensing.... that would do it!
>
> You might think so, but you'd be mistaken. Sorry.
>
> The first wave of IL changes were enacted in late 1968 and the second wave in
> late 1969. So for most of the decade of the '60s, IL was a potentiality, not a

> reality. Growth actually sputtered to a halt in 1963, five years before IL
> became effective, and coincident with the Conditional change and other effects.
>
> Incentive licensing was at its height in the 1970s - when there were no code
> waivers, no CSCEs, and most hams had to journey to the FCC office to test.
> Economic times were awful, two energy crises, Watergate, Vietnam's end, etc.
> Yet the number of US hams soared!
>
> If IL was such a bummer, man, why did US hamdom grow so much faster after it
> was enacted?

And that, Sir, is the question that none of the Incentive Licensing bashers will ever be able to answer. Because they don't have an answer for it.

2384. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 13:05:59 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021128080559.14881.00000280@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 56574

In article <DOiF9.141313$P31.70722@rwcrnsc53>, "Jackie L."
<buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:

>I only know that it's given at about 5 WPM and in the form of a QSO, and
>once you listen to it you are asked certain questions about what was sent,
>also something about 1 minute of solid copy is in there. I don't know
>exactly; I'm just going by what I've heard from others on this.
>
Here's the format:

The test consists of a typical ham QSO, sent at 5 wpm. Lasts 5 minutes, which at 5 wpm works out to about 125 characters. Pencil and paper are provided for copy.

There are two ways to pass the exam:

1) Ten fill-in-the-blank questions are asked about the QSO, and you need to answer 7 of the 10 correctly to pass. A typical question might be "what is the callsign of the station sending the code?"

2) Copying (writing down) 25 or more consecutive characters correctly results in a passing grade, independent of the 10 questions. You can go back and correct your copy, fill in letters you missed, or even rewrite what was copied to make it more legible, after the code stops but before you hand in the papers.

The standard code test uses a tone close to 750 Hz. The 5 wpm is sent with "Farnsworth" spacing with character speed of about 13 wpm. See note below about Farnsworth spacing. Other tones, non-Farnsworth spacing, typewriters for copy, flashing lights and other accomodations are available upon advance request.

All of the VEs I have encountered want people to pass the test, and try to make the tests as fair and accomodating as the rules allow.

5 wpm is one character every 2.4 seconds.

--

A few words about Farnsworth spacing:

One of the problems with learning Morse at slow speeds is the tendency for many people to hear the code charaters as individual sounds rather than units of sound. Example: "H" is thought of as "four dits" rather than a single sound. Counting dits and dahs works up to about 8-10 wpm for most people, but then becomes a habit that must be unlearned. Solution: Send the characters fast enough that counting is impossible, but space the characters out to the low speed. This also gives you more time to write, because the spaces between charaters are exaggerated.

Example:

"Regular" Morse, as it sounds at 5 wpm:

daaaaaaah diiiit diiiit diiiit daaaaaaah daaaaaaah
daaaaaaah

"Farnsworth" Morse at 5 wpm:

dah dit dit dit dah dah dah

Most code tapes, code learning software (like the G4FON stuff), and code practice stations use Farnsworth spacing below about 12 wpm because it makes the code easier to learn and easier to copy, as well as avoiding habits that must be unlearned.

We don't teach babies to talk by speaking to them verrrryyy slowwwwly. A child hears "cat" as a single sound unit, not as the joining of three sounds. But we do limit the vocabulary and exaggerate the spaces between words. Same principle for learning foreign languages - and Morse code.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2385. Re: Just what ARE the correct ham radio licensee stats?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 13:06:00 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021128080600.14881.00000281@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 56575

In article <123192444.0023192808@ncvillage.net>, DT2314@ncvillage.net (Doug Thompson) writes:

>Qrz.com lists 637,404 as the total number of licenses.
>
>AH0A at http://ah0a.org/FCC, who claims to be directly reading the FCC
>databases, comes up with 683,897 (10 Nov 02).
>
>ARNewsline has widely published a figure of 680,760 licenses,
>and the FCC itself seems to have remained silent on its own web pages.
>
>The difference between the qrz.com figure and the rest is an
>astounding 46,000+ licensees, so I'll assume that qrz.com is very
>wrong.

When a license number is quoted, you need to know what the total consists of. Does it include club and other group licenses? Does it include all FCC ham licenses, or only those in the 50 states? Does it include expired licenses that are in the grace period, or only current, active licenses? How about RACES licenses, which FCC seems to be phasing out?

A very useful source is:

http://www.arrl.org/fcc/stats.html

which lists licenses held by individuals in the US and possessions/territories. They are broken down by state/posession and license class, and are derived directly from FCC data. Updated every day or so, and the date is given. Only downside is that only current data are shown.

The thread "ARS License Numbers" includes bimonthly updates based on these numbers. The current total is about 685,000

>That leaves AH0A, who calculates that the average age of a ham license
>is less than 60 months (currently about 57), and that's a critical
>figure for licenses which are renewable every ten years. It means
>that the total number must eventually decline, no matter what the
>short term fluctuations may be.

How does the average age correlate to anything related to growth or decline in totals?

The license term is 120 months. The midpoint is 60 months. Renewals can only be done in the last 3 months before expiration, unless you let the license expire. So a "young" database could be caused by lots of new licenses.

But in fact the "average age" is affected by many factors. The number of new licenses, and expirations/cancellations, rises and falls dramatically (see AH0A data on "new licenses" and "expired licenses" for some examples). An upgrade or address change does not generate a renewal unless the license is within 3 months of expiring, but a vanity call generates a renewal regardless of the license term. Vanity call rules changes in 1994 generated a surge of vanity calls, skewing the renewal dates. The 2000 restructuring generated a surge of upgrades, many of whom got vanity calls.

>
>In fact, if you take the AH0A figures for ARS totals at
>http://ah0a.org/FCC/Licenses.html and do a linear least squares fit to
>them, you find that there is no clear trend since 2000 due to the the
>statistical fluctuations in the data.

Agreed.

>
>That is, his figures don't tell us whether the hobby is growing or
>declining. The ARNewsline statement that there are 2235 fewer
>amateurs than at this time last year is not revealing either, because
>the number they quoted is within the expected fluctuations.

Where does ARNewsline get its data? What does the total include?

>
>In the absence of more authoritative data, I have to say that the
>assertion that ham radio is growing is unsubstantiated by what I can
>easily find on the web. My own look at the data indicates that it
>probably indicates a hobby which is remaining static.
>
>But I'm not trying to promote that position; it's just what the AH0A
>data seem to say. Can anyone else provide a link to more reliable and
>authoritative data? I'd actually love to see some information which
>unequivocally shows that ham radio is growing.
>
http://www.arrl.org/fcc/stats.html

is what I use.

>I'd actually love to see some information which
>unequivocally shows that ham radio is growing.
>
What's most important is the long-term picture rather than short-term variations, but that requires data keeping over long periods. With the demise of the Callbook, that job got harder.

Also, license totals themselves are not really the issue. What matters is the number of active hams on the air, and what they do with their license privileges.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2386. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 13:20:53 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uuc610nk2uf249@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56576

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021127085849.06703.00000098@mb-dd.aol.com...
> >Subject: Direct Questions for "Vshah"
> >From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
> >Date: 11/25/02 12:32 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <20021125013247.02762.00000095@mb-cq.aol.com>
>
> >And lastly, WHAT is your callsign?
>
> Just a very rough guess on my part that this is KB1HMW, if all the
parts
> were to fit the puzzle. Licensed for only a few days over a year, it
would
> make sense.
>
> So, "vshah", care to fess up and stand up?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve
I think you've just won the Sherlock Holmes award!

This is for Vshah;
Well? Which way is it, are you KB1HMW or not?
If not then you are an UNLICENSED whiner just
like Len Over 21 and can be totally ignored!

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

2387. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 14:01:32 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211280601.5a68fd58@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56577

"K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:<_C7F9.3723$yy.512941@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> --
> ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
> http://www.mnyarc.org
> http://www.k0bsa.org

Check this one out: http://www.k3bsa.org/

> "N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>
> : with the exception of the 1960s, when the US population
> : boomed but the US ham population virtually stagnated. Most of
> : the reasons for that low growth had little to do with ham radio itself
> : (Vietnam, civil rights, baby boom, women's lib, etc).
>
> I would expect things like civil rights, baby boom, and women's lib to
> INCREASE hobby participation, not depress it.

Welp, it obviously didn't and Incentive Licensing happened at the end
of that era. I tend to agree with Jim but that discussion falls into
the armpit of philosophical and sociolological "issues". Which I'm too
old and grumpy to screw around with.

Now if you wanted to depress
> participation, you could come up with some DAIOTC like incentive
> licensing.... that would do it!

I don't think anybody around here who is realistic and has any real
time in the saddle is advocating another Incentive Licensing
"upgrading" maneuver. Incentive Licensing was a well-intentioned (your
mileage clearly varies) experiment which pissed off some and worked
for others. In the long run it's impact on the future (today) of ham
radio has proven to be zilch based on the continued steady growth of
the hobby after 1968.

So then along comes "Reverse Incentive Licensing" a quarter century
later a la 1991 reinforced by the more recent Maneuver of The April
Past which hasn't done boo-diddly squat to jack up the Sacred Numbers
despite the wailings of it's proponents to the contrary.

Diddling the exams in the recent timeframe hasn't accomplished a
damned thing one way or the other any more than Incentive Licensing

had any long-term impact. The nocodes have goosed up the traffic on the machines the way I see it and I don't see any significance in that.

Ham radio isn't "going away" or "going down the pipe", it's fine, hams will still be beeping and yakking their buns off 25 years from now just like they were doing 25, 50 and almost 100 years ago. The big change will be better equipment. As usual.

Lotta mindless hand-wringing bullshit and minutia-hammering underway around here.

>
> 73, Hans, K0HB

w3rv

2388. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 14:04:07 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211280604.17a75e3c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56578

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DE59FB1.23D27BB3@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote in message
news:<ZQYE9.131156$WL3.62336@rwcrnsc54>...
> >
> > > If my hunch is correct, then dropping code entirely as an HF requirement
> > > might get the numbers up either; maybe nothing will... I fear that the ARS
> > > has too much competition in other areas nowadays in areas having nothing to
> > > do with radio...
> > >
> > > Jackie
> >
> > Hi Jackie, what do you know about the code exam? Serious question.
> > Don't read anything into it.
>
> About as much as you, Slim. Read whatever you want into that.

I read into it that you're a little, little man hanging on to a bygone era.

2389. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 14:16:11 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211280616.3bb9062b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56579

"K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:<VJcF9.4119$yy.553484@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote
>
> : The FCC allocates "some" DSSS in US amateur radio but that
> : is only permissible above 30 MHz and regulations state that
> : *all* SS operations must be recorded and kept for inspection
> : for a long time, a regulation made well before 9-11-01.
>
> Again, Anderson is waaaaaaaayyyyyyyy behind the times in regard to Amateur
> Radio regulations, and the facts will spoil his troll.
>
> The regulation in question (97.311) was revised well before 9-11-01, and
> most of the restrictions which Anderson rails about were removed.
>
> The statement that "*all* SS operations must be recorded and kept for
> inspection" is not true and was not true even before the current revision.
> Such recording and retention is not/was not required for any station unless
> SPECIFICALLY determined necessary for a particular station by the FCC
> District Director -- no such "determination" is currently be in force.
>
> With all kind wishes,
>
> de Hans, K0HB

The Putz scores again. Hoof. Mouth.

w3rv

2390. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 14:17:45 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021128091745.07628.00008121@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 56580

>Subject: Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 11/27/02 7:50 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021127205028.28322.00000634@mb-fy.aol.com>

>>Just a very rough guess on my part that this is KB1HMW, if all the parts
>>were to fit the puzzle. Licensed for only a few days over a year, it would
>>make sense.
>
>I think you outed him, Steve.

If it's NOT him, then by golly the coincidence of all the "clues" are
pretty darn close!

>All of the info in QRZ.com matches things posted by him. No contradictions.
>Quite clear that if he really is licensed, that's him

If it IS him, I will owe him an apology for stating he's NOT licensed. So
far, however, I see no reply.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2391. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 14:21:53 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211280621.1cd9dc1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56581

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20021127205029.28322.00000635@mb-fy.aol.com>...

> There were other influences, too. To name just one: In 1963, the FCC opened
> more exam points and increased the distance requirement for a Conditional from
> 75 to 175 miles "air line". All of a sudden there were very few places in the
> USA that qualified as "Conditional country".

Jim, I've heard again and again about how superior the testing is when
conducted by the FCC examiners. I'd like to know who, of the
participants here on rrap, held conditional licenses?

Brian

2392. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 14:26:09 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <5IpF9.28769$vM1.2212346@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 56582

K7JEB:
>> That's the technical evolution I was alluding
>> to. In the end, it provided the precision-,
>> and cost-effective-, filtering for the SSB era
>> in amateur radio.

Len Anderson:
> I agree with you. :-)

> Phasing methods didn't have the popularity of
> filter-type SSB modulation or demodulation.

True, but there was a span of time in the
mid-fifties when most hams on SSB used it
for transmitting.

It came in the form of the Central Electronics
20A exciter that did the SSB generation at
9 MHz and then mixed it up or down to all
the then-authorized amateur bands. It worked
surprisingly well, but did require periodic
adjustment of its two 'balance' controls.

I think the clincher for filter-type exciters
over phasing was the emergence of SSB transceivers
that used the filters for receive selectivity as
well as in transmitting. Plus, mobile operation
with a phasing-type rig would have been
"difficult".

> Few really understood phasing SSB from the
> late 1950s onward. By the time Mike Gingell
> did his polyphase network PhD dissertation,
> computers (!) were able to analyze it.
> Yoshida carried it even further for a truly
> wideband quadrature network with good
> amplitude flatness and awesome low-error
> relative phase quadrature performance.

The mathematical underpinnings of the process
itself are fairly straightforward - it's getting
that damned audio phase-shift network to span
the 10 octaves of "real audio" that's the pill.
The B & W network popular in the fifties barely
made it over the range of the male voice, 300 -
3000 Hz. But that was enough to get on the

air with a readable signal.

K7JEB:
>> Awww, come on, Len. Give us hams a break!

Len Anderson:
> I've not forgotten nor do I "give breaks." :-)
> [been there, done that with both AM and SSB early-on]

> I'll put it simply: Most US radio amateurs
> circa 1950 to 1965 just didn't understand SSB
> versus AM despite enough articles in ham periodicals.
> When I've had to explain theory to them (forty-
> to fiftysomethings then, myself not yet 30) with
> much drawing of diagrams and vectors and keeping
> a controlled voice level for lengthy periods,
> it demonstrated quite a bit. These were guys
> IN electronics work back in the tube era. :-)

My experience was that some did understand it and some didn't. It took me a while to get all the implications way back then, too, and I was "in the field". I didn't chase through the math behind the phasing method until I got to college.

This process happens every time there is an advance in technology and paradigms shift. It takes some time for most people to acclimatize. I take some small comfort in the fact that, when I have found myself is the situation you describe - being a technology evangelist - everything I say to someone is, at some level, remembered by them and, perhaps much later, cross-correlated with other information sources to produce the desired understanding, insight, ...and salvation from dark ignorance.

> ....The first TRUE "digital tuning" receiver
> I experienced was the R-390 at the ADA receiver
> site...in 1954. Except for tubes, weight, gears, and
> wondrous mechanicals, the block structure of that
> continued to be the prototype for all others of the
> next three decades, plus...

I dunno. For me, 'digital tuning' has to have the programmable dividers and a phase-locked loop. Or a DDS. Or a bunch of NAND gates buried somewhere inside it.

Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb @ arrl.net
Glendale, Arizona

2393. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 14:26:34 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211280626.45d4ab0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56583

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20021127205028.28322.00000634@mb-fy.aol.com>...
> In article <20021127085849.06703.00000098@mb-dd.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>
> >Just a very rough guess on my part that this is KB1HMW, if all the parts
> >were to fit the puzzle. Licensed for only a few days over a year, it would
> >make sense.
>
> I think you outed him, Steve.
>
> All of the info in QRZ.com matches things posted by him. No contradictions.
> Quite clear that if he really is licensed, that's him.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

He's close enough to visit ARRL in person.

Should they be warned?

2394. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 15:13:46 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uucd493scs5t9c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56584

"Mark Keith" <nm5k@wt.net> wrote in message
news:25eb70d7.0211211149.3478be54@posting.google.com...
> plampione@yahoo.com (Peter Lampione) wrote in message
news:<b30faff8.0211202034.1cf442eb@posting.google.com>...
> > I would suggest somethig rather different.
> >
> > Take out the code requirement, and put in the test some DSP questions
> > (how to make filters, equalize volume, remove noise, and modulate in
> > phase, frequency, quadrature, etc) as well as more advanced circuit
> > design.
>
> Why should this replace the code requirement? Why not learn both?
>

Learing the different modes of communication should be fostered, encouraged, supported and appreciated. However, learning the mode and having a test requirement on a mode is two different things.

[snip]

>
> > That it is useful in emergencies is a ridiculous thing - if your
> > transceiver works, you might as well talk into it, so also general
> > SWL, and not only hams, can understand your transmission.
>
> I don't care if the general population can understand me. They can't
> talk to me, so whats the point?
>

Your response aside, the statement that CW is "useful in emergencies is a ridiculous thing" is ridiculous in itself. *Any* mode that proves useful in emergency communication is of great value to a particular situation. It is supporting CW to the exclusion of other modes as useful, that is ridiculous.

Non-support of CW--or any other mode--because it cannot be understood by the "general public" is a pretty weak position. If someone genuinely wants to know what is being transmitted, they will take the initiative to learn to decode the transmission. Ever use a decoder on HF frequencies such as news organizations, NWS, etc.? Wow, it's great fun. But, one must take the initiative to learn what is needed to get the information of those signals.

> >
> > Instead, with the increased ease with which signals are digitized,
> > DSP should really be required knowledge.
>
> I know plenty enough to be able to use DSP. I have DSP built into my

> radio, and I have it outboard on my computer. Most of my PSK-SSTV,
> etc, etc programs also use DSP. Big deal...
>

No mode should be "required" knowledge. In my opinion, no mode test proves anything about technical capability, or anything else that would make someone a "more qualified" to be an amateur radio operator.

[snip]
> >
> > In fact, consider this: DSP should be required because, in case of
> > emergency, you hook up your PC/laptop audio board to the radio, and
> > there you go,
> > modulating any way you want...
>
> I can train a smart monkey to do that. But overall we have a problem..
> If I have an emergency, can I call you to come over to the scene and
> bring a laptop? I have a radio in my car, but no laptop yet. Of
> course, I could talk into the mike, or use a code key, but I want to
> be stylish like you. Hummmm, you say you live many states away? Take 5
> days for the laptop to get to my accident scene via UPS? Oh well...
> I've still got my hand to keep me company in the meantime...
>

Well, like I said, my position is that to support *any* mode to the exclusion of others--in a testing environment--is a huge mistake. To wit, look at the way CW itself is defended as a testing mechanism these days; partly because of its having been used as an "entry" into the realm of amateur radio for all these years.

>
> > Code is what keeps me getting a ham licence.
>
> Why? Are you "gifted" or something?
>

If the statement was supposed to have been "cose is what keeps me *from* getting a ham license," that's pure-d-bullshit, to use a popular phrase. Like I stated above, if you're interested enough, you'll learn CW. However, I repeat my strong position that CW should not be maintained as a qualifier (test) for amateur radio licensing.

[snip]
> >
> > Oh and one more thing: I think it is incredibly funny that in a
> > country where you can get a driver's licence without knowing how to
> > operate a stick shift, you cannot operate ham without knowing code.
>
> What does a country have to do with this? It's international treaty.
> BTW, in this state, the car you take into the driving test will
> determine if you need to know how to manual shift or not. If it has a
> manual transmission and you can't shift it well enough to drive, you

> won't get a license. You will have to buy a new car with an automatic,
> and retake the test. Expensive way to get a drivers license if you ask
> me...
>

The observation is a good one. Have stiffer written exams with much, much more emphasis on proper operating procedures, FCC R&R, and safety--operationally as well as in electronics theory. I can guarantee you that those things alone would have kept me out of the hobby...I am not interested in learning *that* much about ham radio to get licensed. (And some think CW is a filter--heh heh).

> >
> > There, now flame me.
>
> Naw...Just pity...Anyone that lets a snail slow 5 wpm code test stand
> in their way, doesn't really want a ticket too bad. What does it take
> to learn? All of two weeks? Good grief...How long did it take you to
> learn all about DSP?
>
>
> Next.... MK

There everyone has it. The display of a very arrogant and unfriendly attitude--to the defense of a communication mode.

Kim W5TIT

2395. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 15:25:07 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE63546.1F956B43@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56585

W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus@mcn.net> wrote in message
> news:%l7F9.3705$yy.508652@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in
> > news:uu86rq7rq8ese0@corp.supernews.com:
> >
> > >> > Kim W5TIT
> > >> >
> > >> >
> > >>
> > >> Where the hell in this or for that matter have I made the statement
> > >> or in defense of the statement "Dumbing Down" unless it was in a
> > >> quote? That is not my mantra, and if you believe so, you are
> > >> definitely mistaken. What
> > > > are
> > >> these so called denigrations you accuse me of ??
> > >>
> > >>
> > > >
> > > > Well, it actually looks like I clicked on the wrong post to respond to
> > > > when I posted the above. It looks like I was probably meaning to
> > > > respond to one of the CW vs. no-CW posts.
> > > >
> > > > Anyway, sorry for the confusion.
> > > >
> > > > Kim W5TIT
> > >
> > >
> > > We're used to you being confused. That's what is so predictable about you
> > > kim.
> > >
> >
> > Yep, and the absolute *most* predictable thing, Vince, is that you will
> > bitch and moan and do nothing, nothing, nothing...
> >
> > Never gave up radio, eh? And people say they thought *I* was leaving...

People only thought that because you told us you were leaving. You and
Alec Baldwin leave us confused.

Dave K8MN

2396. FCC Rules For Hams Using Spread Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 15:29:20 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021128102920.06308.00000689@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 56586

Hams have been using spread spectrum since 1981, when an STA was first granted. The latest rules were enacted as part of the R&O for 97-12.

Here are the current rules for SS use by hams. Note that the old record-keeping requirements only apply to specific stations when deemed necessary by the FCC for interference elimination.

--

ï¿½97.311 SS emission types.
(a) SS emission transmissions by an amateur station are authorized only for communications between points within areas where the amateur service is regulated by the FCC and between an area where the amateur service is regulated by the FCC and an amateur station in another country that permits such communications. SS emission transmissions must not be used for the purpose of obscuring the meaning of any communication.

(b) A station transmitting SS emissions must not cause harmful interference to stations employing other authorized emissions, and must accept all interference caused by stations employing other authorized emissions.

(c) When deemed necessary by a District Director to assure compliance with this Part, a station licensee must:

(1) Cease SS emission transmissions;
(2) Restrict SS emission transmissions to the extent instructed; and

(3) Maintain a record, convertible to the original information (voice, text, image, etc.) of all spread spectrum communications transmitted.

(d) The transmitter power must not exceed 100 W under any circumstances. If more than 1 W is used, automatic transmitter control shall limit output power to that which is required for the communication. This shall be determined by the use of the ratio, measured at the receiver, of the received energy per user data bit (Eb) to the sum of the received power spectral densities of noise (N0) and co-channel interference (I0). Average transmitter power over 1 W shall be automatically adjusted to maintain an Eb/(N0 + I0) ratio of no more than 23 dB at the intended receiver.

--

Hope this clears up any confusion.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2397. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 15:34:25 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE63773.5A6D1347@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56587

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <20021127085849.06703.00000098@mb-dd.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>
> >Just a very rough guess on my part that this is KB1HMW, if all the parts
> >were to fit the puzzle. Licensed for only a few days over a year, it would
> >make sense.
>
> I think you outed him, Steve.
>
> All of the info in QRZ.com matches things posted by him. No contradictions.
> Quite clear that if he really is licensed, that's him.

Nice piece of detective work on Steve's part. Now if I can only get
Barbara Streisand's voice out of my head: "Vipul.....People who need
Vipul...."

Dave K8MN

2398. WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 16:27:47 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021128112747.26184.00000269@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 56588

Wow, all the Messages to many for me to reply to. Just got back from Calif, had a Blast. Busted 3 No-Coders, and 2 CBplussers, boy do I like my work. So what are all you CBplussers up to? Any new Excusses to try and Justify your Dumb Down Licenses.

2399. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 16:50:53 UTC
From: Krell1215@NOSPAMyahoo.com
Message-ID: <as5hhd02qo4@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56589

In article <f45722ac.0211280621.1cd9dc1@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com says...
>
>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20021127205029.28322.00000635@mb-fy.a
>ol.com>...
>
>> There were other influences, too. To name just one: In 1963, the FCC opened
>> more exam points and increased the distance requirement for a Conditional
from
>> 75 to 175 miles "air line". All of a sudden there were very few places in
the
>> USA that qualified as "Conditional country".
>
>Jim, I've heard again and again about how superior the testing is when
>conducted by the FCC examiners. I'd like to know who, of the
>participants here on rrap, held conditional licenses?
>
>Brian

Want to know how superior FCC testing is? Set the way-back machine to 1982, location: The Detroit field office. The 20 WPM code test is being administered for prospective Extras. The testing tape sounds unusually slow to the examiner. She takes a set of headphones out to her supervisor and asks him if the code speed sounds slow. He agrees that it is not 20 WPM, although the label on the tape says otherwise. The supervisors solution? "Fuggehdaboutit!" That day, about 15 people received their Extra class license, and some, most likely, wouldn't have passed because of this snafu.

That's how superior FCC testing is compared to VE testing.

2400. Re: F.S. New Linear

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 17:19:49 UTC
From: nospam <xxxmmxxx@xxxspiritone.com>
Message-ID: <3DE65035.704F7DC4@xxxspiritone.com>
Source record: 56590
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Take a pill.

"D. Stussy" wrote:

> On Wed, 27 Nov 2002, Martin VK2UMJ wrote:
> >"Odette Brown" <au273@freenet.carleton.ca> wrote in message
> >news:3DE446FC.78A66A65@freenet.carleton.ca...
> >> > For Sale,
> >>
> >> New Linear Amplifier HF-2500
> >>
> >> If interested please E-Mail me.
> >>
> >
> >Perhaps some more info for those that don't have the complete info on this
> >amp would be handy, such as Make, Power, Frequency Range, etc, etc,
> >etc......
>
> ...Perhaps posting this in the RIGHT PLACE, such as rec.radio.swap.....

2401. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 19:37:19 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021128143719.00112.00000715@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 56591

In article <3DE63773.5A6D1347@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Now if I can only get
>Barbara Streisand's voice out of my head: "Vipul.....People who need
>Vipul...."

AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH!!!!!!!!!

Now you've gone and done it! That's a tactic straight from Dave Barry's "Book
Of Bad Songs".

Right back at ya:

"Someone left the cake out in the rain...."

73 de Jim, N2EY

2402. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 19:43:26 UTC
From: Big Bopper <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <nW6dndSmO8ye73ugXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 56592
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021123004628.510$wL@newsreader.com...
: "W6DKN" <w6dkn-nospam-@qsl.net> wrote:
: >> And your callsign is?
: >
: > 73 de W6DKN

: 1-800-eat shit..toll free call.

His Callsign is CBer, confirmed troll of rec.radio.cb

2403. Re: Help With RCI2990DX

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 19:45:24 UTC
From: Big Bopper <rcranus@hotmail.coms>
Message-ID: <OWadnSqrBpbk73ugXTWcpA@comcast.com>
Source record: 56593
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"frankie" <phrankie@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:fhGdnfz0j5km03mgXTWcpw@comcast.com...
: Hello all. I recently bought a RCI 2990DX. Shipped to me with a frequency
: conversion chip that i was supposed to install if i were to use the radio
in
: the usa. I tried to install it, and when i did the raido turned off and
now
: it wont come back on! please help, did i burn out the mainboard? blow a
: fuse? -Frank

What frequency was it converting?

2404. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 20:48:19 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <nivF9.168543$1O2.11840@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 56594

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021128143719.00112.00000715@mb-fz.aol.com...
> In article <3DE63773.5A6D1347@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
<k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Now if I can only get
> >Barbara Streisand's voice out of my head: "Vipul.....People who need
> >Vipul...."
>
> AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH!!!!!!!!!
>
> Now you've gone and done it! That's a tactic straight from Dave Barry's
"Book
> Of Bad Songs".
>
> Right back at ya:
>
> "Someone left the cake out in the rain...."

Now, that's just not a nice thing to do to people you like on a holiday. :-)

Jackie

2405. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 20:50:05 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211281250.4a5df505@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56595

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3DE63546.1F956B43@earthlink.net>...
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:

> > Never gave up radio, eh? And people say they thought *I* was leaving...
>
> People only thought that because you told us you were leaving. You and
> Alec Baldwin leave us confused.
>
> Dave K8MN

Poor Dave, so confused.

2406. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 21:07:16 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211281307.f08f1e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56596

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DE6192E.27B89FBA@townsqr.com>...
> N2EY wrote:
>
> > In article <_C7F9.3723$yy.512941@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
> > <kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:
> >
> > >"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> > >
> > >
> > >: with the exception of the 1960s, when the US population
> > >: boomed but the US ham population virtually stagnated. Most of
> > >: the reasons for that low growth had little to do with ham radio itself
> > >: (Vietnam, civil rights, baby boom, women's lib, etc).
> > >
> > >I would expect things like civil rights, baby boom, and women's lib to
> > >INCREASE hobby participation, not depress it.
> >
> > Maybe, but that's not how it worked out.
> >
> > In the 1960s, ham radio was considered a very "square", "establishment"
> > activity, to use the jargon of the day. You didn't see a lot of longhair hippie
> > Woodstock types in QST, at hamfests, etc. The close association of ham radio
> > with conservative politics and business, MARS, Armed Forces Day and technology
> > in general confirmed that charaterization for many young people. Not cool, man.
> > In an era when most new hams were teenagers, this was a recipe for decreased
> > growth. Civil rights and women's lib were/are movements against the
> > "establishment", and ham radio was seen by many as part of that establishment.
> >
> > A baby boom produces lots of babies and swells the population right away. But
> > it takes some years before those babies are old enough to be getting ham
> > licenses in significant numbers.
> >
> > There were other influences, too. To name just one: In 1963, the FCC opened
> > more exam points and increased the distance requirement for a Conditional from
> > 75 to 175 miles "air line". All of a sudden there were very few places in the
> > USA that qualified as "Conditional country".
> >
> > >Now if you wanted to depress
> > >participation, you could come up with some DAIOTC like incentive
> > >licensing.... that would do it!
> >
> > You might think so, but you'd be mistaken. Sorry.
> >
> > The first wave of IL changes were enacted in late 1968 and the second wave in

> > late 1969. So for most of the decade of the '60s, IL was a potentiality, not a
> > reality. Growth actually sputtered to a halt in 1963, five years before IL
> > became effective, and coincident with the Conditional change and other effects.
> >
> > Incentive licensing was at its height in the 1970s - when there were no code
> > waivers, no CSCEs, and most hams had to journey to the FCC office to test.
> > Economic times were awful, two energy crises, Watergate, Vietnam's end, etc.
> > Yet the number of US hams soared!
> >
> > If IL was such a bummer, man, why did US hamdom grow so much faster after it
> > was enacted?
>
> And that, Sir, is the question that none of the Incentive Licensing bashers will
> ever be able to answer. Because they don't have an answer for it.

People had more free time. Forty hour work weeks, paid vacations, etc.

2407. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 21:26:42 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211281326.7c2d053d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56597

Thanks a lot, Jim. I wanted another opportunity to ask the question
in a different way. But even with only onecrack at it, its obvious
that she doesn't know there are two types of exams available, wouldn't
know which one to ask for. Just as I told you during the great
Morse/Farnsworth debate. Even your lengthy diatribe on why Farnsworth
is so much better, there's still no indication that she could make a
special request of the VE and get the exam that Part 97 specifies.

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20021128080559.14881.00000280@mb-ct.aol.com>...
> In article <DOiF9.141313$P31.70722@rwcrnsc53>, "Jackie L."
> <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:
>
> >I only know that it's given at about 5 WPM and in the form of a QSO, and
> >once you listen to it you are asked certain questions about what was sent,
> >also something about 1 minute of solid copy is in there. I don't know
> >exactly; I'm just going by what I've heard from others on this.
> >
> Here's the format:
>
> The

MORSE

> test consists of a typical ham QSO, sent at 5 wpm. Lasts 5 minutes, which
> at 5 wpm works out to about 125 characters. Pencil and paper are provided for
> copy.
>
> There are two ways to pass the exam:
>
> 1) Ten fill-in-the-blank questions are asked about the QSO, and you need to
> answer 7 of the 10 correctly to pass. A typical question might be "what is the
> callsign of the station sending the code?"

If it were a QSO and not a broadcast, there would be two correct
answers.

> 2) Copying (writing down) 25 or more consecutive characters correctly results
> in a passing grade, independent of the 10 questions. You can go back and
> correct your copy, fill in letters you missed, or even rewrite what was copied
> to make it more legible, after the code stops but before you hand in the
> papers.
>
> The standard code test uses a tone close to 750 Hz.

Standard? How can it be standard?

Ooops. I jumped the gun. Which is the standard test? Morse or
Farnsworth?

The 5 wpm is sent with
> "Farnsworth" spacing with character speed of about 13 wpm.

Ahem, 13 to 15WPM. Which is as much as 3X faster than 5WPM

See note below about
> Farnsworth spacing. Other tones, non-Farnsworth spacing, typewriters for copy,
> flashing lights and other accomodations are available upon advance request.
>
> All of the VEs I have encountered want people to pass the test, and try to make
> the tests as fair and accomodating as the rules allow.

The rules (as in regulations/Part 97) say MORSE, as in Morse Code.

> 5 wpm is one character every 2.4 seconds.
>
> --
>
> A few words about Farnsworth spacing:
>
> One of the problems with learning Morse at slow speeds is the tendency for many
> people to hear the code charaters as individual sounds rather than units of
> sound. Example: "H" is thought of as "four dits" rather than a single sound.
> Counting dits and dahs works up to about 8-10 wpm for most people, but then
> becomes a habit that must be unlearned. Solution: Send the characters fast
> enough that counting is impossible, but space the characters out to the low
> speed. This also gives you more time to write, because the spaces between
> charaters are exaggerated.
>
> Example:
>
> "Regular" Morse, as it sounds at 5 wpm:
>
> daaaaaaah diiiit diiiit diiiit daaaaaaah daaaaaaah
> daaaaaaah
>
> "Farnsworth" Morse at 5 wpm:
>
> dah dit dit dit dah dah dah
>
>
> Most code tapes, code learning software (like the G4FON stuff), and code
> practice stations use Farnsworth spacing below about 12 wpm because it makes
> the code easier to learn and easier to copy, as well as avoiding habits that
> must be unlearned.
>
> We don't teach babies to talk by speaking to them verrrryyy slowwwwwly.
A child
> hears "cat" as a single sound unit, not as the joining of three sounds. But we
> do limit the vocabulary and exaggerate the spaces between words. Same principle

> for learning foreign languages - and Morse code.

And we don't teach them to read by printing "MORSE" in Part 97 and pronouncing it "FARNSWORTH" at the VE session.

Now tell her she has a choice. If you can.

2408. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 21:31:07 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211281331.3e86cba5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56598

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0211271940.406501e@posting.google.com>...

> > > Check this: The Putz got stupid and tried his crap over in dot hombrew
> > > a few days back via his usual "(half) vast technical superiority"
> > > thingey and got whacked good by an OH who really does know his stuuf.
> > > Figgered you might relate to that, bloomin' hoot.
> > >
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > w3rv
> >
> > Brian, you're postings are slightly more readable than Bruce's.
> >
> > Are you really an engineer?
>
> You bet, which explains why I go over your head.

I understand engineers just fine. Even their doodles on napkins at lunchtime.

You don't sound like any engineer I've ever worked with, which is why I ask.

What kind of engineer are you? Sanitation?

2409. Re: FCC Rules For Hams Using Spread Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 21:35:18 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021128163518.25792.00002120@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 56599

In article <20021128102920.06308.00000689@mb-fm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>ï¿½97.311 SS emission types.
>(a) SS emission transmissions by an amateur station are authorized only for
>communications between points within areas where the amateur service is
>regulated by the FCC and between an area where the amateur service is
>regulated
>by the FCC and an amateur station in another country that permits such
>communications. SS emission transmissions must not be used for the purpose of
>obscuring the meaning of any communication.

In shorter words, all the SS must be in the USA, controlled and
watched. The last sentence is redundant since the same words
are found for ALL US amateur transmissions.

>(b) A station transmitting SS emissions must not cause harmful interference to
>stations employing other authorized emissions, and must accept all
interference
>caused by stations employing other authorized emissions.

Again, redundant. Same thing applies to all modes by all amateurs,
stated earlier in Part 97.

>(c) When deemed necessary by a District Director to assure compliance with
this
>Part, a station licensee must:

Who is this "District Director?"

>(1) Cease SS emission transmissions;
>(2) Restrict SS emission transmissions to the extent instructed; and
>
>(3) Maintain a record, convertible to the original information (voice, text,
>image, etc.) of all spread spectrum communications transmitted.

No other US amateur mode is restricted to this draconian extent.

>(d) The transmitter power must not exceed 100 W under any circumstances. If
>more than 1 W is used, automatic transmitter control shall limit output power
>to that which is required for the communication. This shall be determined by
>the use of the ratio, measured at the receiver, of the received energy per
user
>data bit (Eb) to the sum of the received power spectral densities of noise
(N0)
>and co-channel interference (I0). Average transmitter power over 1 W shall be
>automatically adjusted to maintain an Eb/(N0 + I0) ratio of no more than 23 dB

>at the intended receiver.

In shorter words, restricted to QRP flea power. More enforcement
to local-only communications.

Worse yet, it restricts spread spectrum to just Direct Sequence type
with nothing applying to Frequency Hopping. Why?

>Hope this clears up any confusion.

All of the above quoting could have been done from the USGPO direct
or on the ARRL website...available to anyone.

Now show the history of US amateur radio spread spectrum since the
onset of Inventive Licensing plans. :-)

2410. Re: Just what ARE the correct ham radio licensee stats?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 21:35:19 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021128163519.25792.00002121@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 56600

In article <20021128080600.14881.00000281@mb-ct.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>Also, license totals themselves are not really the issue. What matters is the >number of active hams on the air, and what they do with their license >privileges.

Where is this informative poll and its results? :-)

About half the US amateur bands (and 99% of the spectrum space) are above 30 MHz...line-of-sight radio paths. The USA has seven time zones signifying east-west spreading well beyond LOS paths.

There is no federal requirement mandating any allocated US amateur mode over others in the same bandspace. Why does it "matter" which mode "they" use?

2411. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 21:35:22 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021128163522.25792.00002122@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 56701

In article <5IpF9.28769$vM1.2212346@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> writes:

>> Phasing methods didn't have the popularity of
>> filter-type SSB modulation or demodulation.
>
>True, but there was a span of time in the
>mid-fifties when most hams on SSB used it
>for transmitting.

...if one uses ham publication articles as a reference,
then that would be true. :-)

A problem with an absolute ("most hams on SSB") is
that such is a subjective determination, not objective.
Only those who took the time to write a manuscript,
shoot photos, draw diagrams got the attention of editors
of publications. In a very few cases, those editors who
"knew somebody" could do the pursuading for an MS.
The real value of the publishing coin was in trying to sell
a publication to earn money from advertisers to keep the
publication going. There's no guarantee that editors had
"the pulse of the readers and really knew what was going
on..." :-)

>It came in the form of the Central Electronics
>20A exciter that did the SSB generation at
>9 MHz and then mixed it up or down to all
>the then-authorized amateur bands. It worked
>surprisingly well, but did require periodic
>adjustment of its two 'balance' controls.

Some sort of balance control seemed necessary
even in higher-ranked radios. In my subjective
observation of the 60s this seemed true, including
Collins radios (in home and car of former boss Ed
Dodds, W6AFU, circa 1960 Woodland Hills, CA).

Tube-based radios had to cut corners in complexity
to be cost-competitive in the amateur market as
compared to commercial or military market. The
latter two didn't want equipment that needed so much
operating attention. Filter type mod-demod structures
were much more stable than (then) phasing types.

>I think the clincher for filter-type exciters
>over phasing was the emergence of SSB transceivers
>that used the filters for receive selectivity as
>well as in transmitting. Plus, mobile operation
>with a phasing-type rig would have been
>"difficult".

I'll agree there and add size to the equation. For
those who both drove and operated, on-frequency for
both Tx and Rx was easier and the shared-filter and
frequency-control sub-systems of transceivers fit that
bill very nicely. Filters could hold characteristics
solidly in the harsher mobile environments.

>> Few really understood phasing SSB from the
>> late 1950s onward. By the time Mike Gingell
>> did his polyphase network PhD dissertation,
>> computers (!) were able to analyze it.
>> Yoshida carried it even further for a truly
>> wideband quadrature network with good
>> amplitude flatness and awesome low-error
>> relative phase quadrature performance.
>
>The mathematical underpinnings of the process
>itself are fairly straightforward - it's getting
>that damned audio phase-shift network to span
>the 10 octaves of "real audio" that's the pill.
>The B & W network popular in the fifties barely
>made it over the range of the male voice, 300 -
>3000 Hz. But that was enough to get on the
>air with a readable signal.

When all one has is a rock and a hammer, gravel
is a good product. :-)

The early packaged quadrature phase-shift networks
were then a plateau-leap above the conventional but
could not remain "wondrous" enough to survive the
next 45 years or so. The In-Phase and Quadrature
outputs (at high impedance) and double mixers lent
the modulator an air of mystique back then. That
mystique seems to have imprinted minds of some long-
timers long enough to be viable today (even when it
is outclassed by latter-day methods of phasing). The
filter method was straightforward and could be visualized
by those who knew that AM produced two sidebands so
eliminating one yielded SSB... :-)

>My experience was that some did understand it and
>some didn't. It took me a while to get all the
>implications way back then, too, and I was "in the
>field". I didn't chase through the math behind the
>phasing method until I got to college.

In my case I did the reverse, self-learning the higher
math to understand how those things worked, then
having to be careful of revealing what I already knew
in math classes lest the instructor accuse me of
some perfidy of "getting help" from students who had
completed courses...fat chance in night classes
after working all day, heh, not much time to get such
"inside info." :-)

>This process happens every time there is an advance
>in technology and paradigms shift. It takes some
>time for most people to acclimatize. I take some
>small comfort in the fact that, when I have found
>myself is the situation you describe - being a
>technology evangelist - everything I say to someone
>is, at some level, remembered by them and, perhaps
>much later, cross-correlated with other information
>sources to produce the desired understanding, insight,
>.....and salvation from dark ignorance.

Good points. Narrowing that to the amateur radio field,
consider that the activity (in the main) is about operating
using already-designed and manufactured radios. There's
the emotional loading of advertising-reviews-bragging tends
to color real understandings of what is behind the front
panel. Ads for technical things have always been "spec
sheet fights" among competitors. Some amateurs get into
heated arguments over inconsequential-to-their-use specs
because ads have featured some particular specification.

Take "phase noise" specifications on radios with DDS or
fractional-N synthesizer frequency control. Phase noise
specs are important to CDMA cell phone handsets and base
stations and don't much matter in amateur HF operation on
any mode there. There was hardly any mention of phase
noise in older PLL-based frequency control subsystem radios
yet phase noise was there. The thing is that very, very few
amateurs have the facilities to actually measure phase noise
so their only reference is ads and reviews. Sometimes it
comes down to "my specs can beat up your specs!" :-)

The boatanchor devotees have all made mental-emotional
attachments to tube radios, probably all back when they were
young and impressed by the state-of-the-art back then. I don't
say that as a negative, just that it is a nostalgic, emotional
condition common among humans. Nothing wrong with it
until it is used as an arguing point in its favor when comparing
it to today's technology. See "real radios" statements... :-)

The polyphase quadrature network used by Gingell (then a
UK ham) for his PhD thesis had a chance of being used in
commercial multiple-channel FDM systems. It appeared
in several IEEE Transactions on Communications issues

after 1973 and publication in RSGB's Radio Communication. Kevin Schmidt, W9CF, did a thorough analysis of the Gingell polyphase (with PC help) which appeared in QEX, April 1994 (copy available on Schmidt's personal website). Tetsuo Yoshida, JA1KO, took the analysis-synthesis even farther in his article in QEX, June 1995, yielding R and C values for a wideband flat-amplitude, very low quadrature phase error realizeable network. Hans Summers, a UK ham with an outstanding website (www.hanssummers.com) used those values for a direct-conversion receiver that also incorporated a Tayloe Mixer. Summers' site has the Yoshida article.

The Gingell polyphase network is one of those breakthrough ideas that is truly new, not just an "improvement" on something already around. Yoshida took it to what appears to be an ultimate in realizeable values. Dan Tayloe did almost as much in using a fast CMOS multiplexed switch IC as a mixer...which in theory acts the same as a diode mixer...a diode mixer is nothing but a one-way switch turned "on" and "off" by the local oscillator. The difference is that the multiplexer switch isn't bothered by conventional diode forward-conduction non-linearities and has excellent IP3 qualities, much better than even a conventional diode ring or bridge mixer. Hans Summers' DC receiver polyphase network has a phase quadrature relative error of ZERO (resolution to 0.01 degree) from 100 Hz to 10 KHz with audio voltage response at each output within +/- 1 db. Extending the audio range from 25 Hz to 35 KHz has relative phase quadrature error of less than 0.06 degrees and voltage response dropping 3 db at the ends. Summers' construction, admittedly non-optimum, including two quad op-amps and the dual multiplexer-switch mixer is about the bulk of the old B&W I/Q network housed in an octal-base metal tube shell.

The Tayloe Mixer and Gingell-Yoshida polyphase network together make a formidable direct-conversion HF receiver and would also make a "stereo" DSB detector (one sideband in each ear) in a conventional receiver...in the size of a small IF can with a 6AL5 dual diode tube...and do it with true high fidelity for AM or just for SSB with easily-selectable sideband.

>> ....The first TRUE "digital tuning" receiver
>> I experienced was the R-390 at the ADA receiver
>> site...in 1954. Except for tubes, weight, gears, and
>> wondrous mechanicals, the block structure of that
>> continued to be the prototype for all others of the
>> next three decades, plus...
>
>I dunno. For me, 'digital tuning' has to have the
>programmable dividers and a phase-locked loop. Or
>a DDS. Or a bunch of NAND gates buried somewhere
>inside it.

The R-390 series (390, 390A, 391, 392) all had five
numerical digits displayed, selected by two knobs.
Collins did it with just tubes, no ICs available in '54.
A "solid design" though, good for weight training. :-)

2412. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 21:43:24 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211281343.57b9eb3d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56702

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20021127170137.19280.00000615@mb-bh.aol.com>...
> In article <f45722ac.0211270448.1bd14681@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >> Check this: The Putz got stupid and tried his crap over in dot hombrew
> >> a few days back via his usual "(half) vast technical superiority"
> >> thingey and got whacked good by an OH who really does know his stuuf.
> >> Figgered you might relate to that, bloomin' hoot.
> >>
> >> > Dave K8MN
> >>
> >> w3rv
> >
> >Brian, you're postings are slightly more readable than Bruce's.
> >
> >Are you really an engineer?
>
> Kellie is a mechanical engineer. He knows enough electrical
> theory to wire up his key to a transmitter, provided the
> connection is outside the transmitter case. From there on to
> a radio innards his knowledge seems limited to memorization
> of manufactured radio equipment advertising literature.
>
> There's an intermittent discussion going on in rec.radio.amateur.
> homebrew under the subject thread of "Barnstorming Ideas for a
> 40 Meter Rig." Several good things have been raised there and
> also slightly interrupted by Dan Tayloe plumping for his NorCal
> 30, featuring the Tayloe Mixer. Those who've read that thread
> will also see that Roy Lewallen (EZNEC author) remarked about
> the plumping of Tayloe. Hans Summers of the UK came on the
> scene describing his 80m QRP rig and a combining of the
> four-phase-switched multiplexer (heart of the Tayloe mixer) with
> a Gingell polyphase network modified by Yoshida. Summers
> has an excellent personal website describing his experiments
> with numerous pictures and schematics and performance
> measurements. I've added some comments from a technical
> basis of the circuitry for discussion, not controversy, based on
> analysis of the Gingell-Yoshida polyphase done in the same
> way that I did on Peter Martinez' 1973 adaptation of the Gingell
> network as published in Radio Communication in Pat Hawker's
> column there. Hawker also published my analysis results in
> RC in a 1974 column of "Technical Topics." Yoshida's
> modification of the Gingell network results in an excellent

> very low quadrature error over a wide audio bandwidth. [both
> Gingell and Yoshida are licensed radio amateurs, Gingell
> originally in the UK but now living in USA]
>
> Kellie seems bent on doing some sort pseudo tuff-guy hollering
> based on past policy newsgroup disputes that didn't go in his
> favor. That has nothing to do with any technical topics regarding
> design in another newsgroup not involving policy..."policy" has not
> yet been mentioned there in regards to radio design.
>
> Davie Heil also seems to want to start some contentiousness
> about design on his long-running demand that "interest in radio"
> MUST culminate with amateur radio licensing by a government
> or be considered "inapplicable" for discussion of any sort. :-)
>
> The two mentioned above are shining examples of "BAWAs"
> where actual technological smarts gathered in work-experience-
> responsibility tasks are of far lesser importance than CW skill
> and the "accreditation" of an amateur radio license grant.
>
> Thank you, Hans Brakob, for that illustration of BAWAs. :-)

Like Bruce passing the Extra exam on a whim, without studying, without any lucky guessing, on a $250 bet from two CB-Plussers, scoring 100%, in only 8 minutes, I'm not inclined to believe that Kelly is a licensed engineer. Their manner and style of speech are more akin to that of a sanitation engineer than anything requiring a formal education.

If Kelly is truly an engineer, its high time he cleaned up his act and presented himself as one.

Brian

2413. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 21:46:54 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <i9wF9.167952$QZ.27462@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 56703

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0211281326.7c2d053d@posting.google.com...

[snip]

I'm using the ARRL's 2 CD set, "Your Introduction to Morse Code", and I noticed in the back of the instruction booklet that came with the set that it appears the practices are geared towards sample QSO's of the sort that they give at the VE exams. My question is, are the CD's QSOs keyed out at the 5 WPM, so that you can get practice in writing down what you hear at the actual test speed? I can always work on speed later, but I want to be sure that when I'm through with studying via the CDs, that I'll be in good enough shape to pass the CW test.

FWIW, I'm also using the G4FON software which generates random characters via the Koch method. This has been very helpful as well. In fact, buying the ARRL CDs was probably superfluous, but since they were so highly recommended I figured it couldn't hurt to have both.

Jackie

2414. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-28 21:54:20 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211281354.67ba8352@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56704

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DE4C0DD.ED06C5AF@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> >
> > I sure hope "amateur radio" isn't being billed as an "alternative
> > lifestyle" choice by professional radio-electronics folks. That would
> > make us "odd" by comparison.
>
>
>
> No surprise there, either. No surprise that you wouldn't recognize what your buddy The
Putz
> has laid on us all along, despite the fact that you've allegedly been reading this NG for
> awhile. Typical of you, Slim.

If you're referring to Len, then just say so. I honestly don't know
why Len riles you guys so much. If you did a little introspection,
you would realize that Len, as much as you would hate to admit it, is
right about long-timerism in amateur radio.

You want time to stand still. It won't. So we wait for the actuarial
tables to purge the bad - with the good. And that's not healthy.

Why don't you guys try a little forward thinking and advocate
something other than Morse Code all the damned time?

Good luck.

2415. Re: FCC Rules For Hams Using Spread Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 00:33:52 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h6b26tn.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 56705

On 28 Nov 2002 21:35:18 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:

>>(c) When deemed necessary by a District Director to assure compliance with
>this Part, a station licensee must:
>
> Who is this "District Director?"

Are you being cute or just ignorant? The DD is what/who used to be
known as "Engineer in Charge" of an FCC Field Office - the title
changed about 5 years ago. See Section 0.314 of the Rules for the
authority delegated to a District Director.

>>(3) Maintain a record, convertible to the original information (voice, text,
>>image, etc.) of all spread spectrum communications transmitted.
>
> No other US amateur mode is restricted to this draconian extent.

If you will examine Sect. 97.103(c) you will see that the same
authority can be exercised at any amateur station - regardless of
modulation mode employed - "when deemed necessary by an EIC". They
missed changing the title when the Rules were last revised but the
authority still exists.

Prior to the Report and Order cited below, all stations using SS
were required to keep these records for all SS operations. Now it
is required only at FCC discretion, which is usually exercised
only when there is an interference situation or other suspected
violation of the Rules.

> In shorter words, restricted to QRP flea power. More enforcement
> to local-only communications.

Part of the "package".

> Worse yet, it restricts spread spectrum to just Direct Sequence type
> with nothing applying to Frequency Hopping. Why?

By Report and Order dated Sep. 3, 1999 this restriction was
removed, the mandatory record-keeping requirements were lifted,
and the "ALE" power reduction scheme for maximizing spectrum
availability was instituted.

(See FCC 97=234, WT Docket 97-12, 15 FCC Rcd 1481)

The original restrictions were the price of beling allowed to use
this particular type of modulation. The FCC is obigated to ensure
that the content of all ARS communications meet the statutory
"permitted" categories (whether they do it or not is another matter

that will not be kicked around here).

There were several of us within the agency who were familiar with the military origins and uses of SS and were dead set against allowing SS to be used because of the ease of obscuring the content and location of the transmissions. New mobile DF equipment make these restrictions unnecessary.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2416. Re: FCC Rules For Hams Using Spread Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 01:07:10 UTC
From: Ken <Ken@NoBullshitZonehaha.com>
Message-ID: <as6eie$hc0$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 56706

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message >

> There were several of us within the agency who were familiar
> with the military origins and uses of SS and were dead set
> against allowing SS to be used because of the ease of obscuring
> the content and location of the transmissions. New mobile DF
> equipment make these restrictions unnecessary.

> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>

A. You never did, do not now, and never will have/had access to what you refer to as "military communications." You do not now, never will, and never did possess the requisite security clearance. You are slinging Bullshit again. What you were allowed to see was declassified ancient technology, if even that much.

B. The "toys" which the FCC uses to DF are totally ineffective against military communications technology, even against 20 year old technology. But further discussion will not be kicked around here about that.

C. Have a nice day! And stop slinging arrogant bullshit.

Ken

2417. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 01:11:23 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DE6BEB2.1030004@adelphia.net>
Source record: 56707

Brian wrote:

> Jim, I've heard again and again about how superior the testing is when
> conducted by the FCC examiners.

Just the steely eyed ones, Brian, ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

2418. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 01:22:18 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as6f9u01gdk@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56708

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021128112747.26184.00000269@mb-fi.aol.com...
> Wow, all the Messages to many for me to reply to. Just got back from
Calif,
> had a Blast. Busted 3 No-Coders, and 2 CBplussers, boy do I like my work.
> So what are all you CBplussers up to? Any new Excusses to try and Justify
your
> Dumb Down Licenses.

Wow, all the (m)essages(.) (T)o(o) many for me to reply to. Just got back
from Calif,
(and) had a (b)last. Busted 3 No-Coders, and 2 CBplussers(.) (B)oy do I like
my work.
So what are all you CBplussers up to? Any new (excuses) to try and (j)ustify
your
(d)umb (d)own (l)icenses(?)

What were the call signs of the people you busted, and what infractions did
they commit?

2419. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 01:42:56 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE6C65A.D217F6E6@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56709

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3DE63773.5A6D1347@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Now if I can only get
> >Barbara Streisand's voice out of my head: "Vipul.....People who need
> >Vipul...."
>
> AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH!!!!!!!!!
>
> Now you've gone and done it! That's a tactic straight from Dave Barry's "Book
> Of Bad Songs".
>
> Right back at ya:
>
> "Someone left the cake out in the rain...."

Awful, ain't it?

Dave K8MN

2420. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 01:45:28 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE6C6F2.C1DE6656@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56710

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DE63546.1F956B43@earthlink.net>...
> > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> > > Never gave up radio, eh? And people say they thought *I* was leaving...
> >
> > People only thought that because you told us you were leaving. You and
> > Alec Baldwin leave us confused.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Poor Dave, so confused.

Not that confused. I didn't forget, for example, that it was a trio.
Alec Baldwin, Kim and you who were leaving in a snit (two-door
convertible snit, wasn't it?).

Dave K8MN

2421. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 01:54:16 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <cNzF9.6366$ta5.767981@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 56711

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote

: I'd like to know who, of the
: participants here on rrap, held conditional licenses?

There were no FCC offices on Guam, so my first license was Conditional. My Moms first license was Class C, also because she didn't live near an FCC office.

And??????

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

2422. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 02:14:58 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021128211458.10606.00004556@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 56712

>What were the call signs of the people you busted, and what infractions did
>they commit?
>

Im not at liberty to say.

2423. Re: FCC Rules For Hams Using Spread Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 02:28:56 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211281828.3ed05c2f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56713

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20021128102920.06308.00000689@mb-fm.aol.com>...
> Hams have been using spread spectrum since 1981, when an STA was first granted.
> The latest rules were enacted as part of the R&O for 97-12.
>
> Here are the current rules for SS use by hams. Note that the old record-keeping
> requirements only apply to specific stations when deemed necessary by the FCC
> for interference elimination.
>
> --
>
> ï¿½97.311 SS emission types.
> (a) SS emission transmissions by an amateur station are authorized only for
> communications between points within areas where the amateur service is
> regulated by the FCC and between an area where the amateur service is regulated
> by the FCC and an amateur station in another country that permits such
> communications. SS emission transmissions must not be used for the purpose of
> obscuring the meaning of any communication.
>
> (b) A station transmitting SS emissions must not cause harmful interference to
> stations employing other authorized emissions, and must accept all interference
> caused by stations employing other authorized emissions.
>
> (c) When deemed necessary by a District Director to assure compliance with this
> Part, a station licensee must:
>
>
> (1) Cease SS emission transmissions;
> (2) Restrict SS emission transmissions to the extent instructed; and
>
> (3) Maintain a record, convertible to the original information (voice, text,
> image, etc.) of all spread spectrum communications transmitted.
>
> (d) The transmitter power must not exceed 100 W under any circumstances. If
> more than 1 W is used, automatic transmitter control shall limit output power
> to that which is required for the communication. This shall be determined by
> the use of the ratio, measured at the receiver, of the received energy per user
> data bit (Eb) to the sum of the received power spectral densities of noise (N0)
> and co-channel interference (I0). Average transmitter power over 1 W shall be
> automatically adjusted to maintain an Eb/(N0 + I0) ratio of no more than 23 dB
> at the intended receiver.

Hookay so now the legalities have been cleared up beyond further
discussion.

Now kindly refer back to the comment in the first line of yer post "Hams have been using spread spectrum since 1981". When/where did those comms take place in 1981? Callsigns? I'm under the impression that SS has never been implemented in the ham bands per previous posts I've made and I'm willing to eat crow and take my spankings if I've been wrong.

Not counting any bench experiments, spare me . . .

w3rv

2424. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 02:37:00 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211281837.26e1354a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56714

vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) wrote in message
news:<20021127194006.27890.00007690@mb-mf.aol.com>...
> >2000: US population 281 million, US hams 683,000, 1 ham per 411
> >Americans
>
> 1 Ham per 411 Americans = 0.00243 HamsPerAm.
> If everyone in the nation became Hams, this would be HamNation.

Kewl, we need a Q-signal for that.

w3rv

2425. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 02:42:37 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211281842.5c7e4504@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56715

brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0211280604.17a75e3c@posting.google.com>...
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DE59FB1.23D27BB3@townsqr.com>...
> > Brian wrote:
> >
> > > "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote in message
news:<ZQYE9.131156$WL3.62336@rwcrnsc54>...
> > >
> > > > If my hunch is correct, then dropping code entirely as an HF requirement
> > > > might get the numbers up either; maybe nothing will... I fear that the ARS
> > > > has too much competition in other areas nowadays in areas having nothing to
> > > > do with radio...
> > > >
> > > > Jackie
> > >
> > > Hi Jackie, what do you know about the code exam? Serious question.
> > > Don't read anything into it.
> >
> > About as much as you, Slim. Read whatever you want into that.
>
> I read into it that you're a little, little man hanging on to a bygone era.

Yeah but see Brainiac Dick knows how to put up a stupid wire and
end-feed it to get on the air which you DON'T know how to do Good
Buddy . . now who's the "little man"?

w3rv

2426. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 03:16:16 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as6luk02e5m@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56719

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021128211458.10606.00004556@mb-mv.aol.com...
> >What were the call signs of the people you busted, and what infractions
did
> >they commit?
> >
>
> Im not at liberty to say.

I(')m not at liberty to say.

Babbling Brucey still hasn't learned how to use an apostrophe.

I suspect that this story is a fabrication. The same way that your passing
of the Extra exam and subsequent collection of $250 from a couple of
"CB-plussers" is a fabrication.

2427. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 03:16:51 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DE6EA65.2C189527@townsqr.com>
Source record: 56716

Brian wrote:

> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DE63546.1F956B43@earthlink.net>...
> > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> > > Never gave up radio, eh? And people say they thought *I* was leaving...
> >
> > People only thought that because you told us you were leaving. You and
> > Alec Baldwin leave us confused.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Poor Dave, so confused.

Aren't you supposed to be gone,too, With Alec and Kim? By
your own statement?

2428. Re: FCC Rules For Hams Using Spread Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 03:17:17 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DE6EA81.D9E66070@townsqr.com>
Source record: 56717

Phil Kane wrote:

> On 28 Nov 2002 21:35:18 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> >>(c) When deemed necessary by a District Director to assure compliance with
> >this Part, a station licensee must:
> >
> > Who is this "District Director?"
>
> Are you being cute or just ignorant?

I've never seen him, nor even an image, but five'll getcha
ten he's NOT cute!

2429. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 03:24:22 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DE6EC29.CC2E63EA@townsqr.com>
Source record: 56718

Brian wrote:

> n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20021127205029.28322.00000635@mb-fy.aol.com>...
>
> > There were other influences, too. To name just one: In 1963, the FCC opened
> > more exam points and increased the distance requirement for a Conditional from
> > 75 to 175 miles "air line". All of a sudden there were very few places in the
> > USA that qualified as "Conditional country".
>
> Jim, I've heard again and again about how superior the testing is when
> conducted by the FCC examiners. I'd like to know who, of the
> participants here on rrap, held conditional licenses?

Why I did, Brain - until 1968 when Incentive Licensing came
along, whereupon I loaded myself up and drove the 260 one
way miles to the
Kansas City FCC district office. There, in couple hours
time,
I took the 13wpm code test, the General and Advanced
writtens, the 20wpm
code test and the Extra written, and came home with my Extra
ticket.
Your point, please?

You see, I had lost some spectrum and I wanted it back. So
instead of sitting on my duff carping about how mistreated I
was, I just did it.

>
> > Hi Jackie, what do you know about the code exam? Serious question.
> > Don't read anything into it.
>
> About as much as you, Slim. Read whatever you want into that.

> >I read into it that you're a little, little man hanging on to a bygone era.

Little I may be, Slim, but a giant beside the likes of you.
And I'll put my station and it's operation up against yours
anytime. On ANY mode. Oh-
I forgot. You can't do THAT mode!

Your problem is you're still in "bygone" but can't see it.
So you accuse others of doing exactly what you are!

2430. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 03:59:39 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021128225939.03032.00000762@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 56720

>Babbling Brucey still hasn't learned how to use an apostrophe.

Dont plan on using one either

>I suspect that this story is a fabrication.

Believe what you want to believe.

>The same way that your passing
>of the Extra exam and subsequent collection of $250 from a couple of
>"CB-plussers" is a fabrication.
>

That is a fact which you and your Buddy cant stand to bad

2431. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 04:34:21 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <YhednZJgifLtc3ugXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 56721
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021128202614.259

: Kepp cross posting this drivel to rec.radio.cb,and I'll keep giving you
the
: same answer.

The word is "Keep" and you are the one who is cross posting, troll.

2432. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 04:50:09 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DE70042.BA774122@townsqr.com>
Source record: 56722

Krell wrote:

> In article <f45722ac.0211280621.1cd9dc1@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com says...
> >
> >n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
> news:<20021127205029.28322.00000635@mb-fy.a
> >ol.com>...
> >
> >> There were other influences, too. To name just one: In 1963, the FCC opened
> >> more exam points and increased the distance requirement for a Conditional
> from
> >> 75 to 175 miles "air line". All of a sudden there were very few places in
> the
> >> USA that qualified as "Conditional country".
> >
> >Jim, I've heard again and again about how superior the testing is when
> >conducted by the FCC examiners. I'd like to know who, of the
> >participants here on rrap, held conditional licenses?
> >
> >Brian
>
> Want to know how superior FCC testing is? Set the way-back machine to 1982,
> location: The Detroit field office. The 20 WPM code test is being administered
> for prospective Extras. The testing tape sounds unusually slow to the examiner.
> She takes a set of headphones out to her supervisor and asks him if the code
> speed sounds slow. He agrees that it is not 20 WPM, although the label on the
> tape says otherwise. The supervisors solution? "Fuggehdaboutit!" That day,
> about 15 people received their Extra class license, and some, most likely,
> wouldn't have passed because of this snafu.
>
> That's how superior FCC testing is compared to VE testing.

And I'm sure you were one of the 15 getting an Extra class license that day, and
this
is all a first hand story..... Right.

2433. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 04:55:52 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DE70199.805046E0@townsqr.com>
Source record: 56723

Brian wrote:

> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DE4C0DD.ED06C5AF@townsqr.com>...
> > Brian wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > I sure hope "amateur radio" isn't being billed as an "alternative
> > > lifestyle" choice by professional radio-electronics folks. That would
> > > make us "odd" by comparison.
> >
> >
> >
> > No surprise there, either. No surprise that you wouldn't recognize what your buddy The
Putz
> > has laid on us all along, despite the fact that you've allegedly been reading this NG
for
> > awhile. Typical of you, Slim.
>
> If you're referring to Len, then just say so. I honestly don't know
> why Len riles you guys so much. If you did a little introspection,
> you would realize that Len, as much as you would hate to admit it, is
> right about long-timerism in amateur radio.
>
> You want time to stand still.

And you're too dense to understand that's the last thing we advocate.

> It won't. So we wait for the actuarial
> tables to purge the bad - with the good. And that's not healthy.

Yep. You get your turn in the barrel right along with everyone else.

>
> Why don't you guys try a little forward thinking and advocate
> something other than Morse Code all the damned time?

Prolly because that's all you've ever been able to think about - how all us mean ol' Extras
screwed you out of your HF ticket.

2434. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 04:56:45 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DE701CF.1AF23372@townsqr.com>
Source record: 56724

Krell wrote:

> "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021128211458.10606.00004556@mb-mv.aol.com...
> > >What were the call signs of the people you busted, and what infractions
> did
> > >they commit?
> > >
> >
> > Im not at liberty to say.
>
> I(')m not at liberty to say.
>
> Babbling Brucey still hasn't learned how to use an apostrophe.
>
> I suspect that this story is a fabrication. The same way that your passing
> of the Extra exam and subsequent collection of $250 from a couple of
> "CB-plussers" is a fabrication.

Oh - you mean like the story about the FCC Detroit office. Right.

2435. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 04:57:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE6F3D8.EFA4CCE7@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56725

Vshah101 wrote:
>
> >2000: US population 281 million, US hams 683,000, 1 ham per 411
> >Americans
>
> 1 Ham per 411 Americans = 0.00243 HamsPerAm.
> If everyone in the nation became Hams, this would be HamNation.

Well, Vipul, if all the hams crawled between two giant slices of Wonder
Bread, we could be a ham sandwish.

Dave K8MN

2436. ARNewsline 1319 - Nov 22 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 05:12:10 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129001210.05372.00005486@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 56726

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1319 – November 22, 2002

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1319 with a release date of Friday, November 22, 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T. Ohio's Governor praises hams for their November 10th tornado response and self enforcement really works. Find out what we mean on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1319 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

RESCUE RADIO: HIGH PRAISE FOR TORNADO HAMS

Some high praise for Amateur Radio from some high ranking Ohio state officials. This following last weeks deadly tornado that hit the town of Van Wert. Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, tells us how the accolades came about:

--
Ron Moorefield, W8ILC, is the West Central Ohio ARES District Emergency Coordinator and well known throughout the area for his work in emergency communications. And on Wednesday November 13th Moorefield says that he spent time in the Ohio Emergency Management Situation Room with Govenor Bob Taft and Ohio State E-M-A Director Dale Shipley.

Moorefield tells Amateur Radio Newsline that both men stated without any reservation that it was the volunteer efforts of the regions ham radio operators that really saved the community of Van Wert on November 10th. This, through their efforts in the Skywarn program and providing early warnings. W8ILC adds that both men had a high praise for all aspects of the Amateur Radio service.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF
--

Hams across the Mid-West and into the South-Central and South East activated on November 10th. They were active in spotter and emergency nets before, during and after numerous killer tornadoes struck the region. As previously reported, this years SKYWARN recognition Day is Saturday, December 7th. (ARNewsline(tm))

**

INTERNATIONAL - SOLOMON ISLANDS: FIRST NATIVE HAMS GRADUATE

The remote Solomon Islands now has a native ham and C-B radio population of its own. This, as the first group of trainees graduate from a special licensing class. Jeramy Boot, G4NJH, has the rest of the story:

--
On the 25th of October, the Prime Minister of the Solomon Islands attended a special graduation ceremony at which he presented 21 students with certificates marking their successful completion of an amateur radio training course. The students were the first native-born Solomon Islanders to graduate from a unique school set up by Australian radio amateur Sam Voron to bring amateur radio to the people of the Solomons for the first time. All other Solomon Island licensees were ex-patriate amateurs.

At the ceremony, the deputy head of the Australian High Commission in the Solomons presented each student with a CB or amateur radio transceiver which had been donated by overseas amateurs and CB operators, while the Minister of Home Affairs gave each student an antenna.

School radio clubs might like foreign amateur radio operators visiting the Solomon Islands are welcome to stay at the school and help the students.

Jeramy Boot, G4NJH
--

Not mentioned in the story is that Sam Voron's call sign is VK2BVS. Also, school radio clubs worldwide might like to keep an eye on 28.490MHz. Thats where the Solomon Islands ham radio school has already many contacts. (GB2RS)
**
ADVANCED PROPAGATION: THE LEONID METEOR SHOWER REPORT

A possible new record for 222 MHz meteor scatter. Ron Marosco, K5LLL, in Mc Dade Texas reports over the VHF Reflector that Tom Haddon, K5VH, worked Peter Macgregor Shilton, VE3AX, during the Leonid meteor storm on Monday, November 18th. K5VH is located in Dipping Springs Texas while VE3AX is in Cayuga Ontario Canada. Ron estimates the distance between the two stations was in the order of 1300 miles.

As for himself, K5LLL says that he made only 16 QSO’s this year. He says that’s about a quarter the number made 12 months ago.

Out West, W6GYD says that he worked 14 stations on the rocks beginning at about 08:45 UTC and ending his operation at 12:01 UTC. Not bad when you consider that he was running only an Icom IC-756 bare foot to a 5 element Telrex beam at 13 meters elevation. (VHF Reflector)

**

SELF ENFORCEMENT: BEACON QRM'S SPACE – HAM TAKES IT OFF THE AIR

Now the story of a UHF beacon with a signal that was a bit to good. And as its owner explained on the VHF Reflector, a complaint came from an unexpected source. And. as Amateur Radio Newsline's Henry Feinberg, K2SSQ, tells us it had to do with the final frontier:

--
Within 48 hours of turning up his new 1296.300 Mhz beacon in Derry, New Hampshire, Ed Parsons K1TR, received a phone call and a signal report from the Chief Engineer at the Millstone Hill Observatory in Westford, MA. This would

turn out to be the first, last and only QSN report the beacon would receive.

The good news: the observatory hearing it loud and clear! The bad news: The observatory was hearing it loud and clear. So loud that the engineer told K1TR that the beacon's signal was impacting the observatory's capability to track satellites in certain directions. Also, that the recipient of the satellite tracking information, the US Air Force, would not be pleased.

It seem that the Air Force radar uses about 8 Mhz of spectrum centered at 1295 Mhz. They use an 80 foot dish for an antenna. The K1TR beacon was less than 30 miles line of sight from the observatory.

So the Ed's 23cm beacon is off the air while he finds a new home for it. Anyone with a good UHF site more than 50 miles from the town of Westford but still in New England, and who is willing to host the beacon, should contact K1TR by e-mail to esparsons@lucent.com

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Henry Feinberg, K2SSQ
--

K1TR says that a companion 33 cm beacon is still on the air. He says that signal reports are still appreciated for this one. (VHF Reflector)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, we are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the Southcoast Radio Amateur Network serving Los Angeles California.

(5 sec pause here)

**

ENFORCEMENT: FCC SAYS YES TO SCHOENBOHM

The FCC is granting the application of Herbert L. Schoenbohm for an Amateur Radio station license and a General class operators license.

According to the W5YI Report, the decision was adopted by Administrative Law Judge Arthur Steinberg on October 9th as a follow-on to a May 7th hearing to determine if the former ham possessed the requisite character qualifications to be a Commission licensee. If no objections were filed with the regulatory agency prior to November 9th, this initial decision becomes effective on November 29th.

Whether or not Schoenbohm will be granted his old KV4FZ call sign remains to be seen. It has not yet been reassigned and appears to be available callsign pool. (W5YI)

**

ENFORCEMENT: NEW MEXICO STATION FINED FOR EXCEEDING RADIATION EXPOSURE RADIATION

FCC enforcement reaches the “Land of Enchantment.” This as the agency proposes to fine A-O Broadcasting $28,000 for alleged violations of the radio frequency radiation exposure limits applicable to transmitters on towers and for other rules.

A-O Broadcasting is the licensee of FM radio station KTMN in Cloudcroft, New Mexico. Amateur Radio Newsline's Bruce Tennent, K6PZW, tells us why the fine is being levied:

--
The most serious charge by the FCC says that KTMN exceeded the radio frequency radiation exposure limits in publicly accessible areas. The FCC maintains that measurements taken by the agents showed that operation of KTMN’s transmitting antenna at only 40% of its authorized power created radio frequency radiation fields which exceeded the exposure limits for the general public by more than 300%. That engineering snafu will cost them $10,000.

Failure to install E-A-S equipment brings another $8,000 assessment while not having a main studio is another $7,000. Lastly, failure to have what the FCC calls an adequate transmission system control is a $3,000 fine.

It should be noted that this is the first case in which the Commission has proposed a monetary forfeiture for a violation of the radio frequency radiation exposure limits.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Bruce Tennant, K6PZW.

--

As usual, A-O Broadcasting has the customary time period to respond. More is on the web at http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-228609A1.doc (FCC, CGC)

**

ENFORCEMENT: CALIFORNIA RACEWAY GETS FINE REDUCED

Still with enforcement news, the famed California Speedway in the city of Fontana, California, has been fined $8,000 for operating an unlicensed one-watt FM transmitter on 104.7 MHz. The fine was reduced from $10,000 originally proposed, because of Speedway's otherwise good compliance record with the Commission's rules in regard to other FCC permits that it holds. This one is in cyberspace at http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DA-02-3105A (FCC, CGC)

**

THE WEB: UK HAM ASKS HELP IN LOCATING E-MAIL PIRATE

A United Kingdom ham says that he did not originate some nasty e-mails bearing his name and he needs your help to find out who did.

Brian Jones, G8ASO, of Worcester, England says that his name and callsign are being pirated on e-mail that carries what's being described as a rather distasteful message. According to the GB2RS News, Jones says that he is not the originator of those messages. Also, that he is equally appalled by their

content.

Since e-mail can originate anywhere in the world and is very hard to trace, G8ASO is asking your help to track down the originator. If you have knowledge of the person responsible for the unwanted messages please e-mail Brian at bajones@worcester.demon.co.uk. Your information may be useful in an investigation that is now underway. (GB2RS)

**

WITH THE HANDI HAMS: MALIBU CAMP OPEN FOR ENROLLMENT

Enrollment is open for the Handi Hams Winter Radio Camp in the Malibu California. The session runs Sunday, March 2 through Sunday, March 9,2003, at Camp Joan Mier overlooking the mighty blue Pacific Ocean.

The Malibu California Camp is open to Handi Ham members with disabilities, and will offer numerous study opportunities to upgrade licenses and learn new communications technology . If you are interested in attending please e-mail Pat Tice at wa0tda@arrl.net. More information is on the web at www.handiham.orrg (HandiHams)

**

HAM RADIO BUSINESS: KOTT REPLACES MC CUSKER AS WORLDRADIO EDITOR

Worldradio Magazine has announced the appointment of Nancy Kott, WZ8C, as its new Editor. Kott replaces Rick McCusker, WF6O, who left the magazine on 13th November for a career in Law Enforcement with the Sacramento County Sheriff's Department.

Regular listeners will recognize Kott as a long time writer of the "Positively CW" column appearing in Worldradio. She also is the Editor of the FISTS Club magazine "Keynote." For those not aware, FISTS is the world-wide More code preservation society.

Nancy Kott is a resident of Metamora Michigan. As such she is part of a new breed of magazine Editor telecommuting to work. Worldradio Magazine is headquartered in Sacramento California. (Worldradio)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today’s radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org.

**

HAM RADIO POLITICS: MEETING TO UNDERSTAND EMC COMPATIBILITY

Ham radio will have a forum of its own at next springs IEEE International Symposium on Electromagnet Compatibility slated for Istanbul Turkey from May 11th to the 16th.

World Radio 2003 will take place in Geneva in June and July 2003. There are several important issues for radio amateurs on the agenda most of them impose a threat from various interest groups on the frequencies allocated to the Amateur Radio Services.

Realizing the significance of the threat to ham radio from radio frequency compatibility issues, the Organizing Committee of the 2003 IEEE International Symposium on EMC, has allocated a special session in this Symposium to deal with problems related to the Amateur Radio Services. This will be the last chance prior to the next World Radiocommunications Conference for ham radio to meet with key persons from the international EMC and Radio community, researchers, regulators and others, exchange views and influence the decisions.

And hams with knowledge in this area are also being invited to provide formal papers, informal papers or a presentation for the conference. More details are in cyberspace at www.ortra.com/emc2003. Just click on the hyperlink marked "Radio Amateurs". (IEEE, ANS)

**

INTERNATIONAL - CANADA: CANADIAN HAMS TO PARTICIPATE IN 5 MEGS EXPERIMENT

A Canadian Amateur Radio Club will be taking part in the 5 Megs Experiment. The Marconi Amateur Radio Club of Newfoundland, under the leadership of Joe Craig, VO1NA, will be conducting a three phase propagation experiment at approximately 5.3 Mhz from the 22nd to the 25th of November, the 20th to the 23rd of December and finally from June 20th the 23rd of 2003.

The call sign will be VO1MRC with operation planned on or near 5.260, 5.269, 5.280, 5.290, 5.319 and 5.329 Mhz. Also the possibility of some time on 5.400 and 5.405 MHz as well.

All operation will be on CW and upper sideband. For further details, contact Joe Craig, VO1NA ny e-mail to jcraig@morgan.ucs.mun.ca. (RAC)

**

ON THE NET: QCWA QSO PARTY RULES ON THE WEB

N0UF reports over the Quarter Century Wireless Associations internet remailer that the rules for the 47th Annual QCWA QSO Parties are now on the QCWA web site at. Its in cyberspace at www.qcwa.org/qsoparty.htm A 2Ø03 Log Sheet and Multiplier Sheet can also be downloaded from the website as well. The 2Ø03 QCWA QSO Parties will be held on April 5th and October 4th of 2003. (QCWA)

**

DX

In D-X, Albania is on the air. A DXpedition team made up of OH2BH, OH2PM, OH5BM and OH8SR arrive in Tirana on Sunday, November 17th. They set up two stations for a one-week activation of ZA1B. Their operation includes the CQ World Wide C-W Contest, with the team's entry planned in the multi-two category. QSL via OH2BH. (OH2BH)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: GUY WIRE RETENSIONING, LAKE TAHOE STYLE

And finally this week the sad story of KTHO radio in South Lake Tahoe, Nevada. The 590 kHz A-M station was off the air for over a day recently after its AC power and phone lines were destroyed by wind, fire, and falling trees. But it did luck out in a way because its transmission tower is still standing. Well, kind of.

According to Bob Gonsett's C-G-C Communicator, the story goes this way. High winds caused trees to fall onto the guy wires of the station's 305-foot tower. Near disaster yes, but not quite.

Thanks to the Forest Service the tower did not collapse. The crew carefully cut the fallen trees piece-by-piece, gradually reducing the stress on the guy wires rather than allowing them to loosen suddenly.

Thats the good news. The bad news is that the tower is now bent. You can see what it looks like in a photo sequence taken by Bill Kingman, the Chief Engineer of KTHO. It’s in cyberspace at www.steveblodgett.com/ktho.html (CGC)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I’m Norm Seeley, KI7UP, saying Happy Thanksgiving, 73 and we thank you for listening."
Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

2437. Re: FCC Rules For Hams Using Spread Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 05:31:43 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129003143.12709.00000827@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 56727

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.h6b26tn.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>On 28 Nov 2002 21:35:18 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>>>(c) When deemed necessary by a District Director to assure compliance with
>>this Part, a station licensee must:
>>
>> Who is this "District Director?"
>
> Are you being cute or just ignorant? The DD is what/who used to be
> known as "Engineer in Charge" of an FCC Field Office - the title
> changed about 5 years ago. See Section 0.314 of the Rules for the
> authority delegated to a District Director.

Oh, heck and darn, Phil...now the resident BAWAs will have
found out and copy you, trying to make themselves look like
"knowledgeable authorities." :-)

[most don't know there IS a Part 0 and a Part 1... :-)]

Yes, I've got the GPO-printed bound volumes for 1995, including
Part 0 and most of the changes since off the GPO site. Getting
bulky and tattered...all those little post-its in there and colored
notations, kinda wish they had the old loose-leaf regs format of
years ago. :-)

> If you will examine Sect. 97.103(c) you will see that the same
> authority can be exercised at any amateur station - regardless of
> modulation mode employed - "when deemed necessary by an EIC". They
> missed changing the title when the Rules were last revised but the
> authority still exists.
>
> Prior to the Report and Order cited below, all stations using SS
> were required to keep these records for all SS operations. Now it
> is required only at FCC discretion, which is usually exercised
> only when there is an interference situation or other suspected
> violation of the Rules.

Under the old regs a whole pot-full of "records" had to be kept
for a whole year about SS. Brother Hans of the Order of St.
Hiram said "that was never so." :-)

Technically, the old DSSS emission regs went overboard in
restrictions to just three PRSG feedback points on shift
registers. That made "decryption" much, much easier since

those patterns were relatively simple and a snap to duplicate.

>> In shorter words, restricted to QRP flea power. More enforcement
>> to local-only communications.
>
> Part of the "package".

I don't agree with you. It is terribly restrictive, almost to the
point of making it the same or even less power than Part 15
WLANs...which are out there in almost a million units now.

>> Worse yet, it restricts spread spectrum to just Direct Sequence type
>> with nothing applying to Frequency Hopping. Why?
>
> By Report and Order dated Sep. 3, 1999 this restriction was
> removed, the mandatory record-keeping requirements were lifted,
> and the "ALE" power reduction scheme for maximizing spectrum
> availability was instituted.
>
> (See FCC 97=234, WT Docket 97-12, 15 FCC Rcd 1481)

"ALE?" No, the Eb/No spec is almost right out of Phil Karn's
company for CDMA. There's already a Fed Std for Automatic
Link Establishment and both commercial and government
radio users are following that...on HF. See the SHARES
group and website for illustration.

> The original restrictions were the price of beling allowed to use
> this particular type of modulation. The FCC is obigated to ensure
> that the content of all ARS communications meet the statutory
> "permitted" categories (whether they do it or not is another matter
> that will not be kicked around here).

Tsk, tsk, I sense a "homeland security office" odor in there. :-)
US amateur regs on SS got changed in 1999, not 2001.

Good grief, counselor, there's as many and more RF emitters
out there and in use than there are amateur radios. I don't see
that much restrictiveness in those other radio service Parts.

> There were several of us within the agency who were familiar
> with the military origins and uses of SS and were dead set
> against allowing SS to be used because of the ease of obscuring
> the content and location of the transmissions. New mobile DF
> equipment make these restrictions unnecessary.

Fine...now go out and try DFing a SINCGARS some time when
there's a military exercise nearby. I defy you or anyone to get
a good intercept on them. Should be at least a 100 thousand
plus in CONUS right now. :-)

There's NO problem in finding out about SS techniques (except
for those whose "diploma" ham license was granted long ago

and they stopped "post-graduate" work), either DS or FH. What I see is just the stubborn insistence on certain parties to keep amateur radio technology and operation on the simple level. That way some hams can sound off like gurus all about myth and mystique of radio, using standards and practices of 60, 70 years ago while using equipment designed and made by others today. So much about "advancing the state of the amateur radio art" through severe regulation, thanks to lobbying by a certain membership organization. :-)

2438. Re: FCC Rules For Hams Using Spread Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 05:31:45 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129003145.12709.00000828@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 56728

In article <as6eie$hc0$0@pita.alt.net>, "Ken" <Ken@NoBullshitZonehaha.com>
writes:

>> There were several of us within the agency who were familiar
>> with the military origins and uses of SS and were dead set
>> against allowing SS to be used because of the ease of obscuring
>> the content and location of the transmissions. New mobile DF
>> equipment make these restrictions unnecessary.
>
>> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
> A. You never did, do not now, and never will have/had access to
>what you refer to as "military communications." You do not now,
>never will, and never did possess the requisite security clearance.
>You are slinging Bullshit again. What you were allowed to see was
>declassified ancient technology, if even that much.

Tsk, tsk, tsk, "Ken." On a national holiday, too...

Spread spectrum techniques might have had origins in crypto-
graphic equipment (pseudo-random binary sequences) as well
the same used for signal correlation in the presence of natural
random noise...but the general techniques are NOT classified,
militarily, government, or otherwise.

DSSS has been applied to civilian, commercial WLANs for a
dozen years...about as long as its been applied to CDMA
cellular telephones. See home and office networking wireless
modems.

When the SINCGARS first contracts were let to ITT (Fort
Wayne, IN, a city not a military fort) for development into a
manufactured product, the electronic industry was aware of
it, the contract monies, etc., all the general details. Nova
Engineering did the VLSI design way back when (around 1988
or so) since VLSI was a new thing and needed for manpack
radios (AN/PRC-119). General Dynamics Land Division
(Fort Lauderdale, FL, a city not a military fort) did most of the
early SINGARS production. Both GD and Nova had websites
up over two years ago, accessible to the public. Electronic
industry trades carried all the juicy political implications over
contract awards. ITT Fort Wayne came back with an Improved
SINCGARS (half the old bulk) and did the remainder of the
production. Anyone in the electronics industry could have
learned about it from the PI office at Central Electronics
Command, Fort Monmouth, NJ. Not a terribly high

secret that it existed, nor its general details. There are probably a quarter million of those small-unit radios built and fielded now, having begun fielding in late 1989. You want to know how to operate one, all the operating details? Go to the Fort Gordon, GA, website and download your choice of two nice graphics instruction aids telling all about SINCGARS. It's over in the school section. That family is for all branches of the military and the National Guard. You can even locate the designer-manufacturer of the new airborne SINCGARS, the AN/ARC-210. SINCGARS is a frequency-hopping SS type, hopping ten times per second when selected. All it needs is a net control and a GPSS receiver sync (AN/PSN-11 connection on front panel) to be within the 4 second/24 hour limit on self-synchronization with a net control.

Want to know about DSSS? Dozens of places to find out the details on the web, in the industry trades, in textbooks, in manufacturer's promotional literature. It is widely used, much more than FHSS. It is the heart of WLANs, in radio modems for home or office networking, in cordless phones. In cellular telephony it is everywhere a CDMA (Code Division Multiple Access) cell phone system is used all over the world. None of it is a deep, dark secret...unless all you've got for input is ham contest newsletters. :-)

There's a common misconception that certain radio specs are "classified," secret, never to see the eyes of the general public. Except for specific interior cryptographic electronics, that is total mythology left over from WW2 days, a mystique of "knowing something that others don't know." Nonsense. You underestimate the radio designers of 20 to 30 years ago. The "other side" could capture any of today's military radios and try reverse-engineering it. Even if they built a 100% working hardware duplicate, it still wouldn't help. The COMMSEC (Communications Security) features are flexible enough to allow for that possibility. Spread spectrum isn't a mode for cryptographic security but its digital nature adapts easily to encryption-decryption. Military communications is already set for the "digital battlefield." It has been set for years already. The public that wishes to find that out can do so without any security clearance whatsoever.

SINCGARS isn't "ancient technology" but is the present-day "workhorse" radio for US military small-unit operations, land and air and sea-support tactical operations.

If you wanted to make some honest critique of Phil, you didn't do it right. As one whose work once required deliberately trying to intercept a SINCGARS transmission, I'd only comment that it is DAMN DIFFICULT to find and DF with some snazzy lab equipment. Frequency hoppers are in that category. With

several at all points of the compass the task is terribly hard.

As one whose childhood was during WW2 with all the phrases
like "loose lips sink ships," etc., I'd say you were just a loose
cannon shooting off your mouth about things you don't know
squat about. The world has changed since 57 years ago and
so has most of the radio world...radically so. Adapt or die.
Quit mouthing myth and mystique.

2439. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 05:59:40 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129005940.05513.00005224@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 56729

>Oh - you mean like the story about the FCC Detroit office. Right.
>
>

Did you Test In Detroit also? Thats where I got my General and Advanced

2440. Re: Just what ARE the correct ham radio licensee stats?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 06:04:01 UTC
From: Fred in Chicago <bac@newposteryahooserv.com>
Message-ID: <as6vv1$5lq$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 56730

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021128163519.25792.00002121@mb-fc.aol.com...
>
> Where is this informative poll and its results? :-)

Duh, any middle school kid with internet access could find it,
have you?
>
> About half the US amateur bands (and 99% of the spectrum space)
> are above 30 MHz...line-of-sight radio paths. The USA has seven
> time zones signifying east-west spreading well beyond LOS paths.

Oh my, resorting to post-editing? You're getting sneaky!

>
> There is no federal requirement mandating any allocated US amateur
> mode over others in the same bandspace. Why does it "matter"
> which mode "they" use?

Wanna bet? Better recheck your source of into there, if you even
have one. Wannabees everywhere, how sad!

Fred in Chicago
(Chicago Tunnell to be exact)

2441. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 06:34:16 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021129013416.18578.00000373@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 56731

>Now you've gone and done it! That's a tactic straight from Dave Barry's "Book
>Of Bad Songs".
>
>Right back at ya:
>
>"Someone left the cake out in the rain...."

Hey, that's a great song! Outstanding instrumental. I bought the Richard
Harris CD just to get that one song!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2442. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 07:54:28 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <as7ue041l97@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56748

Glad we got that cleared up. Hope your Thanksgiving went well!

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

">
> Well, it actually looks like I clicked on the wrong post to respond to
when
> I posted the above. It looks like I was probably meaning to respond to
one
> of the CW vs. no-CW posts.
>
> Anyway, sorry for the confusion.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

2443. Re: See "Codeless"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 08:05:35 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <as7ue151l97@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56747

Thanks KB9TMP!

"KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message
news:uuabo77t9vm68e@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
> news:as2vbd28fp@enews2.newsguy.com...
> > Unfortunately my newsgroup server does not have that one.... Can anyone
> > email that one to me?
> >
> >
> > Thanks,
> >
> > --
> > Ryan, KC8PMX
> > FF/MFR
> > REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
>
> For those who can't view the picture in your
> NG reader I've posted it on the internet so you
> can go look at it.
>
> http://www.angelfire.com/in3/kb9tmp/index.html
>
>
> --
> '73' From KB9TMP
> "The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
> if you can fake that, you've got it made."
> -Groucho Marx
>
>
>

2444. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 11:31:29 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as7ivj02kt5@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56734

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3DE70042.BA774122@townsqr.com...
> > Want to know how superior FCC testing is? Set the way-back machine to
1982,
> > location: The Detroit field office. The 20 WPM code test is being
administered
> > for prospective Extras. The testing tape sounds unusually slow to the
examiner.
> > She takes a set of headphones out to her supervisor and asks him if the
code
> > speed sounds slow. He agrees that it is not 20 WPM, although the label
on the
> > tape says otherwise. The supervisors solution? "Fuggehdaboutit!" That
day,
> > about 15 people received their Extra class license, and some, most
likely,
> > wouldn't have passed because of this snafu.
> >
> > That's how superior FCC testing is compared to VE testing.
>
> And I'm sure you were one of the 15 getting an Extra class license that
day, and
> this
> is all a first hand story..... Right.

It's a lot more believable than Babbling Brucey's story about collecting
$250 when he passed his Extra.

2445. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 11:36:50 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129063650.06624.00000087@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 56732

In article <20021129013416.18578.00000373@mb-fp.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>>Now you've gone and done it! That's a tactic straight from Dave Barry's
>"Book
>>Of Bad Songs".
>>
>>Right back at ya:
>>
>>"Someone left the cake out in the rain...."
>
>Hey, that's a great song! Outstanding instrumental. I bought the Richard
>Harris CD just to get that one song!

You're scaring me, Larry.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2446. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 11:36:51 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129063651.06624.00000088@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 56733

In article <3DE6C65A.D217F6E6@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> In article <3DE63773.5A6D1347@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
><k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> writes:
>>
>> >Now if I can only get
>> >Barbara Streisand's voice out of my head: "Vipul.....People who need
>> >Vipul...."
>>
>> AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH!!!!!!!!!
>>
>> Now you've gone and done it! That's a tactic straight from Dave Barry's
>"Book
>> Of Bad Songs".
>>
>> Right back at ya:
>>
>> "Someone left the cake out in the rain...."
>
>Awful, ain't it?
>
Just gets worse.

Classic book by Dave Barry. Includes chapters like "Teen Death Songs" ["Teen
Angel"]. "Songs Women Hate" {Dreams of the Everyday Housewife"] and a personal
favorite, "Songs People Get Wrong":

Opening of Beach Boys' "Help Me Rhonda":

"Well since she put me down
There's been owls pukin in my bed"

WARNING!!!

TURN AWAY NOW!!!!!

before

you

see

MUSKRAT LOVE!

73 de Jim, N2EY

2447. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 11:38:34 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as7jct02lv3@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56735

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021128225939.03032.00000762@mb-md.aol.com...
> >The same way that your passing
> >of the Extra exam and subsequent collection of $250 from a couple of
> >"CB-plussers" is a fabrication.
> >
>
> That is a fact which you and your Buddy cant stand to bad

That is a fact which you and your (b)uddy can(')t stand(.) (T)o(o) bad(.)

Statements from someone who writes a simple sentence as badly as you do are
not facts. Post some names and witnesses to your story, (IOW, evidence) and
maybe we'll believe you.

2448. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 11:45:38 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as7jq502mtu@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56736

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3DE701CF.1AF23372@townsqr.com...
> > I suspect that this story is a fabrication. The same way that your
passing
> > of the Extra exam and subsequent collection of $250 from a couple of
> > "CB-plussers" is a fabrication.
>
> Oh - you mean like the story about the FCC Detroit office. Right.

Dick, I don't care whether you believe that story or not. In fact, the person that passed it on to me may have embellished it slightly. Babbling Brucey, OTHO, expects everyone to believe his BS about how he aced the Extra, with no studying and collected $250 to boot. While he may be an Extra, I suspect that he, too, embellished the rest of *his* story.

2449. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 11:51:19 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as7k4q02nmj@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56737

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021129005940.05513.00005224@mb-mq.aol.com...
> >Oh - you mean like the story about the FCC Detroit office. Right.
> >
> >
>
> Did you Test In Detroit also? Thats where I got my General and Advanced

Did you (t)est In Detroit also? That(')s where I got my General and
Advanced(.)

Maybe I ought to start cross-posting Babbing Brucey's tirades to
rec.radio.cb so that they can have some laughs.

2450. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 12:49:01 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129074901.10561.00000325@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 56738

>Post some names and witnesses to your story, (IOW, evidence) and
>maybe we'll believe you.

Doesnt matter if you believe me.

2451. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 12:50:51 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129075051.10561.00000326@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 56739

>expects everyone to believe his BS about how he aced the
>Extra, with no studying and collected $250 to boot.

Your just hurt that you cant do the same.

2452. Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 12:57:54 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129075754.10561.00000327@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 56741

If they had the same License Testing they do now when I was first licensed, I would have Probably have been an Extra at 4 years old. And Brian and krell would still be whinnning about how tuff the EXTRA is, and there inability to pass the test.

2453. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 12:58:14 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DE76466.C4CD4C57@secret.com>
Source record: 56740
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
>
> Another jackass.

No, it's the same one, ad nauseum.

2454. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 13:10:51 UTC
From: David Stinson <arc5@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DE7675B.48F8D584@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 56742

Dave Heil wrote:

> Well, Vipul, if all the hams crawled between two giant slices of Wonder
> Bread, we could be a ham sandwish.

Could we use rye or whole wheat, please?
Brown musturd, too.

2455. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 13:32:51 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129083251.01237.00008682@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 56743

>Subject: Re: 34 Years Ago Today
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 11/28/02 1:10 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021128021010.10145.00000648@mb-cd.aol.com>

>Please try living in this millenium instead of the previous one.

Why? "Retro" and "nostalgia" fads are a multibillion dollar industry.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2456. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 13:39:32 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129083932.01237.00008683@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 56744

>Subject: Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 11/29/02 5:36 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021129063650.06624.00000087@mb-da.aol.com>

>>Hey, that's a great song! Outstanding instrumental. I bought the Richard
>>Harris CD just to get that one song!
>
>You're scaring me, Larry.

Do any of y'all have The Grass Root's "Midnight Confessions"...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2457. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 13:49:25 UTC
From: K3UD <geomac@hopkinsville.net>
Message-ID: <geomac-1DAD70.07492529112002@news.uswest.net>
Source record: 56745

In article <3DE6EC29.CC2E63EA@townsqr.com>,
Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote:

> Why I did, Brain - until 1968 when Incentive Licensing came
> along, whereupon I loaded myself up and drove the 260 one
> way miles to the
> Kansas City FCC district office. There, in couple hours
> time,
> I took the 13wpm code test, the General and Advanced
> writtens, the 20wpm
> code test and the Extra written, and came home with my Extra
> ticket.

Heard stories like that from some of the hams here in western Kentucky. Driving to Atlanta or St. Louis to take as many exams as they could in a sitting. You really had to be motivated back then if you lived in flyover country. I was fortunate to have the Philadelphia field office about 20 miles from the house :)

There used to be a waiting period to get the Extra, I think 2 years as a General or Advanced before you could test. I know this was in effect prior to incentive licensing but I guess it was eliminated when incentive licensing took effect in 68

73
George
K3UD

2458. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 14:47:40 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net>
Message-ID: <g6LF9.3763$4j5.2141269@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 56746

"Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote in message
news:i9wF9.167952$QZ.27462@sccrnsc02...
>
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:f45722ac.0211281326.7c2d053d@posting.google.com...
>
> [snip]
>
> I'm using the ARRL's 2 CD set, "Your Introduction to Morse Code", and I
> noticed in the back of the instruction booklet that came with the set that
> it appears the practices are geared towards sample QSO's of the sort that
> they give at the VE exams. My question is, are the CD's QSOs keyed out at
> the 5 WPM, so that you can get practice in writing down what you hear at
the
> actual test speed? I can always work on speed later, but I want to be sure
> that when I'm through with studying via the CDs, that I'll be in good
enough
> shape to pass the CW test.

Yes the CDs are geared towards the way it will be given in the test,
especially if they are ARRL VEs or W5YI VEs. In each minute of
transmission, there will be 5 words (i.e. 25 characters). As other posters
have mentioned, the individual letters are sent as if the speed were faster
but the letters are spaced futher apart to make it still 5 words per minute.
It's really much easier to copy this way as you have some time between the
letters to react to what you heard.

>
> FWIW, I'm also using the G4FON software which generates random characters
> via the Koch method. This has been very helpful as well. In fact, buying
the
> ARRL CDs was probably superfluous, but since they were so highly
recommended
> I figured it couldn't hurt to have both.
>

It is helpful to have practice with the simulated QSOs so I would consider
it an excellent idea to use both the CDs and software. On any software
training program, it is generally wise to set it up at the same speeds as
you expect the test to be. The material with the CDs should tell you what
those speeds are. It is probably a word speed of 5wpm but at a letter speed
equivalent to 13.

Keep at it and hope to see you on the CW bands someday.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

2459. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 15:15:58 UTC
From: Turkey <turkey@hotsnail.coms>
Message-ID: <Mc6cnXJTUNdMGXqgXTWc3w@comcast.com>
Source record: 56749
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Secret Report" <itsa@secret.com> wrote in message
news:3DE76466.C4CD4C57@secret.com...
:
:
: Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
: >
: > Another jackass.
:
:
: No, it's the same one, ad nauseum.

Another name scott Damn better not let landwhale mark BecK see it he will
add you to the list.

trying to bypass newsfilters I see huh scott.

2460. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 15:19:36 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129101936.07780.00008013@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 56750

>Subject: Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 11/28/02 9:34 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3DE63773.5A6D1347@earthlink.net>

>Nice piece of detective work on Steve's part.

Thanks, Dave, but the credit goes to "QRZ.COM"...!

>Now if I can only get
>Barbara Streisand's voice out of my head: "Vipul.....People who need
>Vipul...."

ARRRRRRRRRGH!

But the REAL question is...Will Vipul...I mean "Vshah"... own up to being KB1HMW...?!?! Or was my first assumption of his lack of licensure correct? Here I am, apology in hand, ready to admit my error if we find out that "vshah" IS KB1HMW, thus demonstrating that my presumtion of lack of licensure was invalid. However I was still correct in my assumption of his lack of tenure in Amateur Radio. That is invioable

Decisions...decisions...decisions...

73

Steve, K4YZ

2461. Re: See "Codeless"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 15:21:26 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129102126.18548.00000307@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 56601

>Thanks KB9TMP!
>

I love that Picture its so true, but there is one problem I noticed.Most CBplussers use a Soldering Torch instead of Soldering Gun, but only when working on Printed Circuit Boards.

2462. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 15:25:26 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <GFLF9.169460$NH2.11462@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 56602

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021129063651.06624.00000088@mb-da.aol.com...
> In article <3DE6C65A.D217F6E6@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
<k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:

<warning: the following reply may induce nausea>

> MUSKRAT LOVE!

This cannot go unassuaged...

"Would you Like to Fly in my Beautiful Balloon??"

You asked for it! Bwahahaha!! :-)

Jackie

2463. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 15:27:40 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <MHLF9.176282$nB.13959@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 56603

"Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net> wrote in message
news:g6LF9.3763$4j5.2141269@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...

> It is helpful to have practice with the simulated QSOs so I would consider
> it an excellent idea to use both the CDs and software. On any software
> training program, it is generally wise to set it up at the same speeds as
> you expect the test to be. The material with the CDs should tell you what
> those speeds are. It is probably a word speed of 5wpm but at a letter
speed
> equivalent to 13.

I found this one too... one can set the speed to what they want, 5 WPM using
13 WPM letters, etc. and program it for random letters, numbers and symbols
as well as text.

2464. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 15:28:20 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <oILF9.169470$NH2.11280@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 56604

"Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net> wrote in message
news:g6LF9.3763$4j5.2141269@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...

ooops... the message went before the URL got pasted:

http://www.teklasoft.com/java/applets/morse/mfiles.htm

Jackie

2465. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 15:37:30 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE789F6.1D0D9A92@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56605

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"
> >From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> >Date: 11/29/02 5:36 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <20021129063650.06624.00000087@mb-da.aol.com>
>
> >>Hey, that's a great song! Outstanding instrumental. I bought the Richard
> >>Harris CD just to get that one song!
> >
> >You're scaring me, Larry.
>
> Do any of y'all have The Grass Root's "Midnight Confessions"...?!?!

I'll confess. Mine's on vinyl. About 1969 or '70 wasn't it?
Careful now, Lenny will soon call us on the carpet for not sticking to
"the subject".

Dave K8MN

2466. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 15:42:31 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129104231.14881.00000300@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 56606

In article <geomac-1DAD70.07492529112002@news.uswest.net>, K3UD <geomac@hopkinsville.net> writes:

>There used to be a waiting period to get the Extra, I think 2 years as a
>General or Advanced before you could test. I know this was in effect
>prior to incentive licensing but I guess it was eliminated when
>incentive licensing took effect in 68

No, it wasn't eliminated right away. I had to wait two years to even try for the Extra. Got it on the first go, August 1970. The waiting period to try Extra was reduced to 1 year in 1973 and eliminated in 1976. For more than a quarter century, it's been possible to go from no license to Amateur Extra "right out of the box" if desired.

The original Advanced/Class A license had a 1 year waiting period. When the Advanced was reopened to new issues in 1967, the waiting period was eliminated.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2467. Re: FCC Rules For Hams Using Spread Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 15:42:31 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129104231.14881.00000299@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 56607

In article <d22b54c3.0211281828.3ed05c2f@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>Hookay so now the legalities have been cleared up beyond further
>discussion.

Some folks will continue to argue over them, simply because they were posted by me. Those rules are what's in effect today, though. ARRL played a big role in getting the restrictions reduced. You can read the petition, comments and Report and Order on the FCC's website (Docket 97-12)
>
>Now kindly refer back to the comment in the first line of yer post
>"Hams have been using spread spectrum since 1981". When/where did
>those comms take place in 1981? Callsigns?

The Amateur Radio Research and Development Corporation (AMRAD) got two STAs to try out SS. The first was for a year, beginning in March 1981. Experiments were carried out on frequency-hopped SSB by W4RI in McLean, VA, K2SZE in Rochester, NY, and WA3ZXW in Annapolis, MD. I don't know for sure what band was used, probably 2 meters.

On May 9, 1985, FCC amended the rules to permit all US hams to use SS above 420 MHz, effective June 1986.

Fun historical fact: John P. Costas was probably the first person to recognize the potential of nonmilitary applications of SS. Don't know if Mr. Costas is still active, but he held the call W2CRR for many years. He presented a paper titled "Poisson, Shannon and the Radio Amateur" in the Proceedings of the IRE - December, 1959

>I'm under the impression
>that SS has never been implemented in the ham bands per previous posts
>I've made and I'm willing to eat crow and take my spankings if I've
>been wrong.

Hams have used SS for amateur comms, but not widely. This is due to a number of factors, mostly the characteristics of SS (near-far problem, interference to narrow-mode users, complexity of equipment, no need for secrecy, antenna bandwidth) and the FCC rules. As can be seen, FCC rules since 1999 are not a serious restriction on amateurs who really want to try SS on UHF and above. The BIG problem is that amateurs have content restrictions that do not apply to other services, like Part 15 stuff.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2468. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 15:45:53 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE78BE0.CCBD5AFA@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56608

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>

> But the REAL question is...Will Vipul...I mean "Vshah"... own up to being
> KB1HMW...?!?! Or was my first assumption of his lack of licensure correct?
> Here I am, apology in hand, ready to admit my error if we find out that "vshah"
> IS KB1HMW, thus demonstrating that my presumtion of lack of licensure was
> invalid. However I was still correct in my assumption of his lack of tenure in
> Amateur Radio. That is invioable
>
> Decisions...decisions...decisions...

If I may respond in the style of Vipul S: The decision will be made
upon a higher plane when it has been established whether the poster was
motivated by altruism geared toward the betterment of ham culture or if
we learn that this motivation was the work of an only-troll.

Dave K8MN

2469. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 15:51:00 UTC
From: "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus@mcn.net>
Message-ID: <E1MF9.6195$yy.812283@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 56609

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in
news:uualodmvsatseb@corp.supernews.com:

>>
>> We're used to you being confused. That's what is so predictable about
>> you kim.
>>
>
> Yep, and the absolute *most* predictable thing, Vince, is that you
> will bitch and moan and do nothing, nothing, nothing...
>
> Never gave up radio, eh? And people say they thought *I* was
> leaving...
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>
>

I left the ARRL, not ham radio. I never said I was going to leave ham
radio. You all need me here and in the hobby to be a beacon of hope.

2470. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 15:52:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE78D24.8D43FB0A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56610

"Jackie L." wrote:
>
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021129063651.06624.00000088@mb-da.aol.com...
> > In article <3DE6C65A.D217F6E6@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > writes:
>
> <warning: the following reply may induce nausea>
>
> > MUSKRAT LOVE!
>
> This cannot go unassuaged...
>
> "Would you Like to Fly in my Beautiful Balloon??"
>
> You asked for it! Bwahahaha!! :-)

A worthy contribution! I like to go for the deep meaning in lyrics:

"If I were a carpenter and you were a lady, would you marry me anyway? Would you have my baby?" Back when the song and I were young, I was no carpenter and most of the girls I went out with were certainly no ladies.

Dave K8MN

2471. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 16:04:42 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <ueMF9.176428$nB.14112@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 56611

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3DE78D24.8D43FB0A@earthlink.net...
> "Jackie L." wrote:
> >
> > "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021129063651.06624.00000088@mb-da.aol.com...
> > > In article <3DE6C65A.D217F6E6@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> > <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > > writes:
> >
> > <warning: the following reply may induce nausea>
> >
> > > MUSKRAT LOVE!
> >
> > This cannot go unassuaged...
> >
> > "Would you Like to Fly in my Beautiful Balloon??"
> >
> > You asked for it! Bwahahaha!! :-)
>
> A worthy contribution! I like to go for the deep meaning in lyrics:

Heheheh. Don't remember that one.

To me, nothing says "deep" quite like this:

"OOO, eee, ooo-ah-ah, ting-tang, Walla-walla-BING-bang"

One of the things I have been known to do to annoy my boyfriend is to call him at his office, sing ONE line of some obnoxious song that I knew he'd have trouble dislodging from his head all day, then hang up. Now, you must be thinking, what an evil thing to do. I'll say in my defense that he would always do it first! I'd just always be up to returning the volley. 8^)

I realize we're far off-topic, but this has been one danged amusing thread.

Jackie
Who looks at the clock and says, "it's time to go radio shopping for myself"

2472. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 17:14:06 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uuf8i22ap2giad@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56612

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3DE63546.1F956B43@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> >
> > "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus@mcn.net> wrote in message
> > news:%l7F9.3705$yy.508652@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in
> > > news:uu86rq7rq8ese0@corp.supernews.com:
> > >
> > > >> > Kim W5TIT
> > > >> >
> > > >> >
> > > >>
> > > >> Where the hell in this or for that matter have I made the statement
> > > >> or in defense of the statement "Dumbing Down" unless it was in a
> > > >> quote? That is not my mantra, and if you believe so, you are
> > > >> definitely mistaken. What
> > > > are
> > > >> these so called denigrations you accuse me of ??
> > > >>
> > > >>
> > > >
> > > > Well, it actually looks like I clicked on the wrong post to respond
to
> > > > when I posted the above. It looks like I was probably meaning to
> > > > respond to one of the CW vs. no-CW posts.
> > > >
> > > > Anyway, sorry for the confusion.
> > > >
> > > > Kim W5TIT
> > >
> > >
> > > We're used to you being confused. That's what is so predictable about
you
> > > kim.
> > >
> >
> > Yep, and the absolute *most* predictable thing, Vince, is that you will
> > bitch and moan and do nothing, nothing, nothing...
> >
> > Never gave up radio, eh? And people say they thought *I* was leaving...
>
> People only thought that because you told us you were leaving. You and
> Alec Baldwin leave us confused.
```

>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, if the Alec Baldwin reference is supposed to be some kind of -ism that is supposed to insult me, you'll have to explain who Alec Baldwin is first. I haven't a clue to what you are referring.

Oh, I also ought to add that you need not waste your time if you do intend it to be an insult. You see, one must be deserving of another feeling insulted, and you are not.

Kim W5TIT

2473. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 17:17:32 UTC
From: Nastee <Guido@anon.com>
Message-ID: <as88fhhud0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 56616
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

"Secret Report" <itsa@secret.com> wrote in message
news:3DE76466.C4CD4C57@secret.com...
>
>
> Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com wrote:
> >
> > Another jackass.
>
>
> No, it's the same one, ad nauseum.

Typical Liberal Democrat...when the truth hurts take the low road and call
names.

2474. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 17:18:06 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uuf8pholg2mo4f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56613

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3DE6C6F2.C1DE6656@earthlink.net...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DE63546.1F956B43@earthlink.net>...
> > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > >
> > > > Never gave up radio, eh? And people say they thought *I* was
leaving...
> > >
> > > People only thought that because you told us you were leaving. You
and
> > > Alec Baldwin leave us confused.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Poor Dave, so confused.
>
> Not that confused. I didn't forget, for example, that it was a trio.
> Alec Baldwin, Kim and you who were leaving in a snit (two-door
> convertible snit, wasn't it?).
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, I did leave, when I decided. And, I came back when I decided.

Kim W5TIT

2475. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 17:20:12 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uuf8tfp8tvr5a6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56614

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3DE78D24.8D43FB0A@earthlink.net...
> "Jackie L." wrote:
> >
> > "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021129063651.06624.00000088@mb-da.aol.com...
> > > In article <3DE6C65A.D217F6E6@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> > <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > > writes:
> >
> > <warning: the following reply may induce nausea>
> >
> > > MUSKRAT LOVE!
> >
> > This cannot go unassuaged...
> >
> > "Would you Like to Fly in my Beautiful Balloon??"
> >
> > You asked for it! Bwahahaha!! :-)
>
> A worthy contribution! I like to go for the deep meaning in lyrics:
>
> "If I were a carpenter and you were a lady, would you marry me anyway?
> Would you have my baby?" Back when the song and I were young, I was no
> carpenter and most of the girls I went out with were certainly no
> ladies.
>
> Dave K8MN

Back then, you must have been no gentleman. As witnessed here, I don't see
that much has changed.

Kim W5TIT

2476. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 17:21:18 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uuf8vh7ksqsad1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56615

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3DE6EA65.2C189527@townsqr.com...
>
>
> Brian wrote:
>
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DE63546.1F956B43@earthlink.net>...
> > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> >
> > > > Never gave up radio, eh? And people say they thought *I* was
leaving...
> > >
> > > People only thought that because you told us you were leaving. You
and
> > > Alec Baldwin leave us confused.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Poor Dave, so confused.
>
>
>
> Aren't you supposed to be gone,too, With Alec and Kim? By
> your own statement?

Hey, Dick? When someone tells you they're going to sleep, do they do it
forever?

Kim W5TIT

2477. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 17:32:23 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uuf9kblimjq9c9@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56617

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:as7ue041l97@enews2.newsguy.com...
> Glad we got that cleared up. Hope your Thanksgiving went well!
>
>
> --
> Ryan, KC8PMX
> FF/MFR
> REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
>
> "Symbolism is for the simple minded....."
>
>
> ">
> > Well, it actually looks like I clicked on the wrong post to respond to
> when
> > I posted the above. It looks like I was probably meaning to respond to
> one
> > of the CW vs. no-CW posts.
> >
> > Anyway, sorry for the confusion.
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
> >
> >
>
>

Absolutely!! Hope yours did, too. Yep, I got to cook for my mother-in-law and some of the other members of my husband's family this year. 24 lb. bird, traditional bread stuffing, gravy, they cooked the rest of the offerings and I turn around tomorrow and cook yet another turkey--this one 19 and some odd pounds--along with green bean casserole, traditional bread stuffing, more gravy, peas and onion and mushroom soup casserole, mashed potatoes, and cranberry sauce (the jellied, of course) for my parents tomorrow! Whew.

Kim W5TIT

2478. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 17:36:02 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uuf9r62sd0d574@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56618

"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:as6f9u01gdk@enews4.newsguy.com...
>
> "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021128112747.26184.00000269@mb-fi.aol.com...
> > Wow, all the Messages to many for me to reply to. Just got back from
> Calif,
> > had a Blast. Busted 3 No-Coders, and 2 CBplussers, boy do I like my
work.
> > So what are all you CBplussers up to? Any new Excusses to try and
Justify
> your
> > Dumb Down Licenses.
>
> Wow, all the (m)essages(.) (T)o(o) many for me to reply to. Just got back
> from Calif,
> (and) had a (b)last. Busted 3 No-Coders, and 2 CBplussers(.) (B)oy do I
like
> my work.
> So what are all you CBplussers up to? Any new (excuses) to try and
(j)ustify
> your
> (d)umb (d)own (l)icenses(?)
>
> What were the call signs of the people you busted, and what infractions
did
> they commit?
>
>

They were the lot lizards. :) No callsigns, and just imagine the, uh,
microphone.

Kim W5TIT

2479. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 17:37:42 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uuf9u9e1j4s9ce@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56619

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021129013416.18578.00000373@mb-fp.aol.com...
> >Now you've gone and done it! That's a tactic straight from Dave Barry's
"Book
> >Of Bad Songs".
> >
> >Right back at ya:
> >
> >"Someone left the cake out in the rain...."
>
> Hey, that's a great song! Outstanding instrumental. I bought the Richard
> Harris CD just to get that one song!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Hey, Mr. Efficiency: you probably could have downloaded that one song from
the internet.

Kim W5TIT

2480. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 17:50:33 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DE7A8E9.E3495802@mailcity.net>
Source record: 56630

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >did they suck or swallow....? :)
> >
>
> They were your Typical CBplussers

So just how did you 'bust' them? Are you employed by the FCC?

2481. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 17:53:43 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129125343.13494.00000771@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 56620

In article <5IpF9.28769$vM1.2212346@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> writes:

>It came in the form of the Central Electronics
>20A exciter that did the SSB generation at
>9 MHz and then mixed it up or down to all
>the then-authorized amateur bands. It worked
>surprisingly well, but did require periodic
>adjustment of its two 'balance' controls.

The 20A was preceded by the 10A and 10B, and followed by the 100V and 200V. The former were basic low-power exciters with plug-in coils, while the latter were full featured 100 watt class complete multimode transmitters. The 100V and 200V, along with the companion 600L linear amplifier, had no tuning controls. They used a patented bandpass coupling circuit developed for them.

Even before commercial SSB ham gear, there were hams using both methods - the "W1JEO" exciter and "SSB Jr." are examples of popular homebrew SSB exciters from the early '50s. Also important was the "Cheap And Easy SSB" transmitter in a 1956 QST. This was a 75 and 20 meter phasing transmitter in the 50-100 watt class built on the chassis of a BC-458 ARC-5 transmitter.
>
>I think the clincher for filter-type exciters
>over phasing was the emergence of SSB transceivers
>that used the filters for receive selectivity as
>well as in transmitting.

Exactly. This is why phasing-type receiver designs have not achieved great popularity with hams. 40 dB of unwanted-sideband rejection in a transmitter may be OK in many ham applications, but in a receiver that's not near enough rejection for the ears of most hams, and not as good as obtainable from xtal filters.

Be sure to check out

http://redhotradio.com/

and follow the link to the NorCal 30.

This is a 30 meter CW-only (!) transceiver using the phasing method to achieve high performance (sensitivity and various dynamic-range measurse) with relatively low cost and simplicity. But it only achieves around 45-50 dB of unwanted sideband rejection. It is discussed in rec.radio.amateur.homebrew under the thread title:

Brainstorming Designs for new 40 meter txcvr..

Its designer is one of those long-time-licensed 1X2 Extras who insists on using CW, btw ;-)

>Plus, mobile operation
>with a phasing-type rig would have been
>"difficult".

It was done with the "Cheap And Easy" transmitter - in fact, that rig was specifically designed for mobile operation. A BC-453 and xtal controlled converter did the receiving in the author's mobile setup.
>
>The mathematical underpinnings of the process
>itself are fairly straightforward - it's getting
>that damned audio phase-shift network to span
>the 10 octaves of "real audio" that's the pill.
>The B & W network popular in the fifties barely
>made it over the range of the male voice, 300 -
>3000 Hz. But that was enough to get on the
>air with a readable signal.

Math or no math, it's the practical problem of maintaining both amplitude and phase balance over the frequency, amplitude and temperature ranges that limits phasing designs. Xtal filtering may be a "brute force" method, but it works when you want lots of unwanted-sideband rejection without lots of fiddling.

What matters to most hams is results, not a lot of theory, math, quoting from technical papers and rants about what "other services" are doing. SSB essentially displaced AM on the ham bands when SSB became as easy and inexpensive as AM of equivalent effectiveness. Amateur filter rigs displaced phasing for the same reasons. When someone presents practical designs for phasing transceivers that compete with filter designs in all parameters important to hams, the trend will swing that way. The NorCal 30 may be the harbinger of such designs - and it's CW-only. How about that.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2482. Re: Communication Corrections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 17:56:48 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h6ccmho.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 56621

On Sun, 24 Nov 2002 14:00:14 -0600, Dan/W4NTI wrote:

>Course it is. You know that. From what I understand CW was actually used
>in the good ole days in the PD in some of the major cities....way back when.

"Way back when" CW HF networks were used for intercity and
interstate police bulletin and message traffic, replaced in the '50s
by teleprinter. Some of those ops could bang away at 35+ wpm,
especially when sending and receiving the same bulletins day after
day.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2483. Re: FCC Rules For Hams Using Spread Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 17:56:49 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h6cd0wp.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 56622

On Thu, 28 Nov 2002 20:07:10 -0500, Ken wrote:

> A. You never did, do not now, and never will have/had access to
>what you refer to as "military communications."

My prior employment before the FCC was with the Naval Research
Laboratory and with the Strategic Air Command as a communications
engineer. You have no idea what I did or did not have access to.

>You do not now,
>never will, and never did possess the requisite security clearance.

Ditto for what security clearances and acccess I did or didn't
have.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2484. ARNewsline 1320 - Nov 29 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 18:10:32 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129131032.10484.00000397@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 56623

Amateur Radio Newsline Report 1320 - November 29, 2002

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1320 with a release date of Friday, November 29, 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T. The International space station gets a new crew and all are ham radio operators. Newly licensed Solomon Island hams take on an emergency communications challenge and good news for a California ham. These stories and more are coming your way on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1320 right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: NEW ALL HAM CREW ARRIVES AT ISS

The international Space Station has a new crew which plans to start operating the ARISS Amateur Radio station in about three weeks. They boarded the staton on Monday the 25th of November. They plan to be there for about 6 months. All three are licensed hams.

Look for Ken Bowersox KD5JBP, Nikolai Budarin RV3FB and Don Pettit, KD6MDT. They will use the Space Stations calls ... RS0ISS over Europe and NA1SS for the United States. Contacts can be heard on the downlink frequency of 145.80 MHz.

They replace Peggy Whitson, Valerie Korzun and Sergi Treschev. Valerie, RZ3FK, was very active, on the air almost every day, while Peggy conducted many memorable school contacts. (ARISS)

**

RESCUE RADIO: SOLOMON ISLAND NEWS HAMS PROVIDE DISASTER RELIEF

We reported their graduation last week. And while the signatures on their ham licenses may not yet be dry, a group of Solomon Island hams are already off on their first rescue radio adventure to Malaita Island:

--

Thats right Roy. Q-News reports that three graduates from the Amateur Radio Training School of the Solomon Islands have gone by ship to provide emergency communications for flood affected areas on Malaita Island, near Guadalcanal. With them are with 19 tons of rice along with officials of the National Disaster Council.

These new Hams will operate a ham radio station at the Red Cross clinic on South Malaita island. They will report on the distribution of supplies to the National Disaster Council HQ in the Capital of Solomon Islands.

This is the first humanitarian deployment of the school's initial graduates. It also provides the Solomon Island hams their first real experience in helping people with radio knowledge of Amateur Radio.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF.

--

The three hams making the trip are identified as H44MKA, H44WSB and H44SF. As we go to air they should be operational from a Red Cross clinic set up on the south end of the island. More information is on the web at www.h44A.com (Q-News)

**

RADIO POLITICS: HAM CCR BILL DIES AS CONGRESS CONCLUDES SESSION

The 107th Congress has adjourned without taking any action on an ARRL sponsored legislative measure to free Amateur Radio of land use restrictions commonly known as C-C and R's. The ARRL indicates it plans to try again when the 103rd Congress convenes early in 2003. (ARRL)

**

RADIO AND THE LAW: CALIFORNIA SUPREME COURT SIDES WITH HAM

Still with antennas and land use, we have an update on the court battle of Mark Abrams, WA6DPB versus the city of Rancho Palos Verdes California. Amateur radio Newsline's Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, has the good news:

--

The good news is that the California Supreme Court has upheld the right of a California property owner to use antennas on his property for any reason he wants. It did so on Friday, November 15th when it denied the request of the the City of Rancho Palos Verdes to hear an appeal of the decision of the California State Court of Appeals in the case of The City of Rancho Palos Verdes vs. Mark J. Abrams. This means that the decision of the Court of Appeals is now state law.

The earlier decision of the said that Amateur Radio operators are entitled to an "exalted" status, thus giving amateurs new hope for getting antenna arrays approved. And Abrams says that there are many precedents that can be extrapolated from this ruling, but he says that the case clearly establishes that a city in California cannot tell a resident what to do with an antennas once they approve the actual array.

According to Abrams, the legal precedent applies only to California. But he says that when most states are looking to set a precedent, they will look to either California or New York. And since there is no precedent in New York on this subject, it makes California the one to serve as a guide to other states.

For the Amateur Radio, I'm Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, in Los Angeles. (WA6DPB)
--

Abrams tells Amateur Radio Newsline that he is now going to file with the Federal Court of Appeals to declare the City's appeal of his injunction against the City of Rancho Palos Verdes as moot.

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the WE4B repeater of the Palmetto Amateur Radio Club serving Miami Florida.

(5 sec pause here)

**

RESCUE: ZL1AMO REPORTED ON LIFE SUPPORT
The Radio Society of Great Britain reports that well-known D-X'peditioner Ron Wright, ZL1AMO, has been taken ill. According to Wright's daughter Terri, her dad suffered collapsed lungs while in Fiji. He was air lifted by an emergency air ambulance to Auckland New Zealand where he was initially reported to be in critical condition and on life support.
A later report from Terri Wright says that her father is currently in stable but guarded condition. The RSGB says that Wright had been operating from Fiji as 3D2RW. Regular updates on Wrights condition are being posted to the web at www.papays.com/opdx.html (RSGB, ZL2BHF)

**

ENFORCEMENT: EX-HAM ARRESTED FOR ALLEGED ATTACK ON POLICE OFFICER

A former ham who resigned from Amateur Radio has been arrested in the hills of North Carolina following a manhunt that has lasted over a year. Steve Anderson, the ex-AA8DP, is accused of shooting at a police officer.

Anderson was a member of the Kentucky State Militia, a civilian paramilitary group, until April 2001. As previously reported, that militia group dismissed Anderson because he refused to shut down his unlicensed radio operation. (Published news reports)

**

ENFORCEMENT: ATT FINED FOR TOWER VIOLATIONS

The Federal Communications Commission has released an order imposing a $117,000 fine against AT&T Wireless Services, Inc. for safety-related violations of the Communications Act and the Commission's antenna structure rules. This action follows routine antenna structure inspections and related investigations conducted by Commission field offices throughout the country.

Before issuing the fine the FCC determined that AT&T Wireless committed seven separate violations of the antenna structure requirements as outlined under Part 17 of the Commission's rules. Included were failure to register, light, and paint antenna structures, and failure to post antenna structure registration numbers at the base of the antenna structures. (FCC)

**

ENFORCEMENT: VICTORVILLE CA PIRATE STILL ON THE AIR

Another unlicensed station has been discovered in California. According to Chris Compton writing in the CGC Communicator, he noticed a pirate operating on 90.9 MHz in Victorville while driving through the town not that long ago.

Compton, who is a Director of Engineering said that the unlicensed transmitter was taking out KGZO on 90.9 MHz in Shafter. The pirate was also heard doing commercials for his Nextel Store in Victorville.

Now here's the best part. When Compton called the unlicensed operator, the person responded that he had a "demonstration license" from the FCC and that it was good for one year. He told Compton that the licensed allowed him to "demonstrate" the line of transmitters he was selling along with custom built antennas for the FM band. He then asked Compton if I wanted to buy one.

The first monitoring of the pirate was in September. Compton said that he reported the situation to the FCC. He adds that he was in Victorville not long ago and the pirate was still on-the-air. (CGC Communicator)

**

RADIO POLITICS: JONATHAN ADELSTEIN SWORN IN AS FCC COMMISSIONER

FCC nominee Jonathan Adelstein has been sworn in to fill the Commission's remaining open slot. Adelstein will finish out the term of former Commissioner Gloria Tristani, which ends in June.

According to the ARRL Letter, the White House nominated Adelstein last February. But the nomination of the Democrat and a prot,g, of South Dakota Senator Tom Daschle had been held hostage for most of this year because of political wrangling over several judicial nominations. (ARRL)

**
CONVENTIONS AND HAMFESTS: HAM RADIO UNIVERSITY 2003

LIMARC -- The Long Island Mobile Amateur Radio Club - will hold the 2003 edition of its very popular Ham Radio University on Sunday, January 19th. Location is the East Woods School in Oyster Bay New York with the doors opening at 8 A.M. Eastern Standard Time.

Ham Radio University is a day-long session of intensive training in just about every aspect of Amateur Radio. The focus will be "hands on" with many demonstrations. And this year there will be special forums geared to the non-ham as well as the experienced ham radio operator.
There will also be a V-E testing session for those who would like to take an FCC exam and a Special Event Station set up and operational on the High Frequency bands.

Ham Radio University is a cooperative effort between over twenty clubs and organizations in the New York City- Long Island area. It is also the American Radio Relay League, New York City-Long Island Section Convention. For more information about Ham Radio University please contact George Tranos by e-mail to N2GA@arrl.org. (LIMARC)

**

GATHERINGS AND MEETINGS: MORSE CAMP IN THE U-K

A Morse code training camp is planned for the weekend of the 11th and 12th of January 2003 in the United Kingdom. The venue will be The Beacons, Simons Lane, in the town of Frodsham. For further details please contact either Catherine Pearson at RSGB HQ or Kath Wilson, the RSGB Regional Manager for North West England. Pearson can be contacted on by e-mail: toar.dept@rsgb.org.uk. Wilson can be reached at dwilson@btinternet.com (GB2RS)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today's radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org.

**

HAMVENTION 2003: "THE YEAR OF THE YOUNG"

The 2003 Dayton Hamvention will have "The Year of the Young" as its overall theme. Planners say that the show's focus will be on the young ham and on bringing 12 to 18 year olds into the Amateur Radio hobby. More information will be posted on-line at www.hamvention.org as soon as final plans are made firm. (Dayton Hamvention*f*)

**
EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: MICROSOFT EASES RESTRICTIONS ON NEW XP MEDIA CENTER

Microsoft says that it will relax restrictions on how users of its new multimedia Windows XP Media Center operating system will be able to copy and distribute TV programs. As a result of the changes, users will be able to view unprotected TV programs copied onto DVD on any PC with Windows XP Media Center Edition. TV programs with built-in copyright controls will still prevent users from making copies.

Originally, Microsoft had developed the software so that users could only watch television programs stored on the hard disk or copied onto a DVD on only one machine. That being the PC used to record the original content. (Microsoft)

**

OUR HERITAGE: REMEMBERING THE RADIO OPS OF WWW2

The event that lead to the United States becoming involved in World War 2 will be commemorated by Amateur Radio. This, as a group of survivors of the Japanese sneak attack on Perl Harbor visit the USS Hornet and take to the air this coming December 7th.

The SSB Frequencies will be 7.260, 14.320, 21.320 and 28.320 MHz. CW operations will be found on 3.530, 7.030, 14.030, 21.030 and 28.030 Mhz. Operating hours will be from 0900 to 1700 Pacific Standard Time. A special QSL Card will be made available for those who make contact. (KB6SSN)

**

INTERNATIONAL - CANADA: VC2C ON 60 METERS

An update on whats become an international version of the U-K five megs experiment. Word from Canadian that station VC2C was recently given special permission by the Canadian radio authorities to operate on the 60 meter band. It took to the air between the 21st and 25th of October. During that time, VC2C made two-way C-W contacts with four G stations, one GM and one GI operator. All contacts took place on 5.280 MHz. (RSGB)

**

INTERNATIONAL - NEW ZEALAND: NZART CALLS FOR ELECTIONS

New Zealand's national ham radio society, the NZART has placed a call for nominations for those who want to serve on the Council and as the organizations President. NZART says that New Zealand hams have until December 31st to file their nominations. (NZART)

**

ON THE AIR: WSWSS 2 METER NET GOES PSK-31

A popular weak signal net is going digital check-in. David Peters, KI6FF, says that the Western States Weak Signal Society 2 meter Southern California Technical Roundtable net held Tuesdays at 8:30 PM Pacific on 144.130 MHz is going PSK 31 only for check-ins. Once the

evenings roster is complete the net will move to SSB and help people who need to get their equipment and software setup and checked out. (VHF REflector)

**

DX

In D-X, word that G0UIH will be in Australia and active as VK2IAY/P until the 15th of December. During this period, Steve will operate from several different rare IOTA island groups. Look for him on or around 14.260, 18.145 or 21.260 MHz on SSB only.

And NK3T will be active from McMurdo Station Antarctica as KC4 slash NK3T until late January or early February. QSL via his home call.

Also, special event station ER60SB will be on the air from the 23rd of January through the 2nd February 2003. This to commemorate the 60th anniversary of the Battle of Stalingrad. QSL via ER1DA either direct to Valery Metaxa, ER1DA, P.O. Box 3000, Chisinau, MD-2071, Moldova or via the bureau. (This weeks DX courtesy GB2RS)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: FIGHTING BACK WITH A PHONE

And finally, here's one from the pages of the French e-magazine La Companion that we found surfing the Internet. Its the story of fighting back with a cellular telephone. Amateur Radio Newsline's Norm Seeley, KI7UP, has the curious details:

--

Maybe its all net-lore, but supposedly a female bus rider in a Paris suberb was annoyed by a loud-mouthed cellular telephone phone user a few rows in front of her. The fellow so boisterous that she could not even hear her companion seated right next to her.

Then the cellular bully supposedly made the mistake of mentioning his cellphone number during the conversation. A few minutes later, the lady picked up her own phone, called the man and asked him to: "...be quiet please".

But he refused. In fact he was so incensed by the call that he started to use all kinds of unsightly language. That is until he finally realizing where he was and that everyone on the tram was staring directly at him.

Undaunted, he supposedly stood up, uttered a few more choice words and exited at the next stop. The woman and her companion received a round of applause.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, Norm Seeley, KI7UP

--

And what else needs be said! (Tommorrows Technology)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I'm Roy Neal, K6DUE, saying 73 and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

2485. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 18:31:32 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129133132.12726.00000765@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 56624

In article <nivF9.168543$1O2.11840@sccrnsc04>, "Jackie L."
<buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20021128143719.00112.00000715@mb-fz.aol.com...
>> In article <3DE63773.5A6D1347@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
><k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> writes:
>>
>> >Now if I can only get
>> >Barbara Streisand's voice out of my head: "Vipul.....People who need
>> >Vipul...."
>>
>> AAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAAHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHHH!!!!!!!!!
>>
>> Now you've gone and done it! That's a tactic straight from Dave Barry's
>"Book
>> Of Bad Songs".
>>
>> Right back at ya:
>>
>> "Someone left the cake out in the rain...."
>
>Now, that's just not a nice thing to do to people you like on a holiday. :-)
>
Sorry, I should have told you to look away from the screen.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2486. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 18:31:32 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129133132.12726.00000764@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 56626

In article <ueMF9.176428$nB.14112@sccrnsc03>, "Jackie L."
<buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:

>> A worthy contribution! I like to go for the deep meaning in lyrics:
>
>Heheheh. Don't remember that one.
>
>To me, nothing says "deep" quite like this:
>
>"OOO, eee, ooo-ah-ah, ting-tang, Walla-walla-BING-bang"

"OOO eee, ooo-ah-ah, ting ting walla-walla-bang-bang"

or

"Not even the chair"[1]
>
>One of the things I have been known to do to annoy my boyfriend is to call
>him at his office, sing ONE line of some obnoxious song that I knew he'd
>have trouble dislodging from his head all day, then hang up.

That's cruel. Really cruel. Inhumanly cruel. I luv it. Get Dave Barry's book.
Ammunition.

>Now, you must
>be thinking, what an evil thing to do.

No, I'm thinking of who I can do it to.

>I'll say in my defense that he would
>always do it first! I'd just always be up to returning the volley. 8^)

"OOOMA-SHACKA, OOOMA-SHACKA, OOOMA-OOOMA-OOOMA-SHACKA" [2]

>
>I realize we're far off-topic, but this has been one danged amusing thread.

Not off-topic at all. Paybacks.
>
>Jackie
>Who looks at the clock and says, "it's time to go radio shopping for myself"

Yea, verily!

73 de Jim, N2EY

[1] "I Am, I Said" by (LOOK AWAY NOW!!!!) Neil Diamond.

[2] "Hooked On A Feeling", Blue Suede version. Drove me and coworkers nuts for two days until we could remember the rest of the song and who did it. Always reminded me of a car trying to start on a cold winter morning.

2487. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 18:31:33 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129133133.12726.00000766@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 56625

In article <GFLF9.169460$NH2.11462@sccrnsc01>, "Jackie L."
<buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20021129063651.06624.00000088@mb-da.aol.com...
>> In article <3DE6C65A.D217F6E6@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
><k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> writes:
>
><warning: the following reply may induce nausea>

Bring it on! I'm immune - Streisand, Shirley MacLaine and I have the same
birthday! BWAAHAAHAA! (not the same year, tho)
>
>> MUSKRAT LOVE!
>
>This cannot go unassuaged...
>
(cue outraged-matron voice from Three Stooges pie-fight scene):

"So you want to play rough, do you? Wellll......"
>
>"Would you Like to Fly in my Beautiful Balloon??"
>
>You asked for it! Bwahahaha!! :-)
>
OW! All right, now the gloves come off. I was goin' easy on ya 'cause yer new
here......

all

hope

abandon

"In the yearrrrrrrr, twenty-five twenty-five..."

"There's a bathroom on the right..."

"Brandy, you're a fine girl, what a gooood wife, you would be"

73 de Jim, N2EY

2488. Where's Herbie??? (a NO show ha! Ha! Ha!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 18:41:47 UTC
From: stinky hamfest bums <rooodney2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3de7b575@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 56627
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

WELL.....it's 12 o-FREAKING clock and as
of yet I've not heard anything on 14.313 of
the return of Herbie Baby - KV4FZ.

What a disappointment! I was expecting to hear
the smooth Bourbon and Gin reeken voice of
KV4FZ through the speaker, but all I hear is tired
old California Bob ranting like a typical doting
old fool on the radio about all things Republican.

Is this want we waited for ?????

WE WANT ENTERTAINMENT !!!!

Bring back our Herbie and all his TOILET TICK's
we need a Comedey Central Channel back on 14.313 !

Pfhbbbbbltttt!!!!!!!!!!! Phoooey! We want our money back!

2489. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 18:44:18 UTC
From: stinky hamfest bums <rooodney2@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3de7b60c@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 56628

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021129074901.10561.00000325@mb-cg.aol.com...
> >Post some names and witnesses to your story, (IOW, evidence) and
> >maybe we'll believe you.
>
> Doesnt matter if you believe me.

did they suck or swallow....? :)

2490. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 18:51:43 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129135143.26172.00000226@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 56629

>did they suck or swallow....? :)
>

They were your Typical CBplussers

2491. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 20:05:36 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <kMPF9.35277$GR5.9116@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 56631

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021129133132.12726.00000764@mb-mb.aol.com...
> That's cruel. Really cruel. Inhumanly cruel. I luv it. Get Dave Barry's
book.
> Ammunition.

I love Dave Barry, I've got many of his books but not all of them. Time to
round out my collection.

> >Now, you must
> >be thinking, what an evil thing to do.
>
> No, I'm thinking of who I can do it to.

Laughing... prepare to be smacked the next time they see you.

> "OOOMA-SHACKA, OOOMA-SHACKA, OOOMA-OOOMA-OOOMA-SHACKA" [2]

> [2] "Hooked On A Feeling", Blue Suede version. Drove me and coworkers nuts
for
> two days until we could remember the rest of the song and who did it.
Always
> reminded me of a car trying to start on a cold winter morning.

Urgh Ohmygod, I haven't heard that since it was coming out of my older
sister's 8-track in her '69 Mustang! LOL...

Jackie

2492. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 20:08:07 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <HOPF9.35302$GR5.8838@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 56632

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021129133133.12726.00000766@mb-mb.aol.com...

> "Brandy, you're a fine girl, what a gooood wife, you would be"

Hey, yeah, I remember that song came out when I was a wee little girl, and I recall liking it a lot... now who did it? Shoot, I can't recall. Another song I recalled liking from that same time period was "Horse With No Name" by America. I would not even have been in the double-digits in age yet... :)

Jackie
Back from the local radio store, finally having decided what to buy for myself for Christmas.

2493. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 20:17:21 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DE7CB51.D3939C54@mailcity.net>
Source record: 56638

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >So just how did you 'bust' them? Are you employed by the FCC?
>
> Like I said before, Im not a Liberty to say.

If you are not at liberty to give details, then why did you mention it. In other words, it is all made up. There is nothing to keep you from being at liberty to say if you work for the FCC or not, but I think we all know that answer, you don't. If it was a legitimate bust by the FCC then there is no secrecy, so I suppose we will see it in the FCC enforcement log soon?

2494. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 20:27:58 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129152758.17289.00000743@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 56633

In article <3DE78D24.8D43FB0A@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>A worthy contribution! I like to go for the deep meaning in lyrics:
>
>"If I were a carpenter and you were a lady, would you marry me anyway?"
>Would you have my baby?" Back when the song and I were young, I was no
>carpenter and most of the girls I went out with were certainly no
>ladies.

HAW! Ya want deep lyrics, here ya go.

"My four-speed, dual-quad, Positraction 409"

"Baby, this town rips the bones from your back
It's a death trap, it's a suicide rap".

(some will say that religious music doesn't count)

Or, just listen to the Beach Boys' "Help Me Rhonda", which is the plaintive
entreaty of a jilted boy, trying to convince Rhonda to help him forget the girl
who jilted him. Never does he say anything about Rhonda - it's all about the
gal who jilted him. Huh?

And we never do find out Rhonda's answer...

73 de Jim, N2EY

2495. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 20:27:58 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129152758.17289.00000744@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 56634

In article <3DE78BE0.CCBD5AFA@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>>
>
>> But the REAL question is...Will Vipul...I mean "Vshah"... own up to
>being
>> KB1HMW...?!?! Or was my first assumption of his lack of licensure correct?
>> Here I am, apology in hand, ready to admit my error if we find out that
>"vshah"
>> IS KB1HMW, thus demonstrating that my presumtion of lack of licensure was
>> invalid. However I was still correct in my assumption of his lack of
>tenure in
>> Amateur Radio. That is invioable
>>
>> Decisions...decisions...decisions...
>
>If I may respond in the style of Vipul S: The decision will be made
>upon a higher plane when it has been established whether the poster was
>motivated by altruism geared toward the betterment of ham culture or if
>we learn that this motivation was the work of an only-troll.
>
Yeah - what he said.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2496. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 20:58:38 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129155838.01332.00006635@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 56635

>So just how did you 'bust' them? Are you employed by the FCC?

Like I said before, Im not a Liberty to say.

2497. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 21:25:20 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DE7DB40.31D030EF@mailcity.net>
Source record: 56653

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >If you are not at liberty to give details, then why did you
> >mention it. In other words, it is all made up. There is nothing to
> >keep you from being at liberty to say if you work for the FCC or
> >not, but I think we all know that answer, you don't. If it was a
> >legitimate bust by the FCC then there is no secrecy, so I suppose
> >we will see it in the FCC enforcement log soon?
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> Sorry I cant go into details.

What else can you say about something that never happened?

2498. Re: Communication Corrections

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 21:25:55 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DE7E9A5.96D4DC80@townsqr.com>
Source record: 56636

Phil Kane wrote:

> On Sun, 24 Nov 2002 14:00:14 -0600, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> >Course it is. You know that. From what I understand CW was actually used
> >in the good ole days in the PD in some of the major cities....way back when.
>
> "Way back when" CW HF networks were used for intercity and
> interstate police bulletin and message traffic, replaced in the '50s
> by teleprinter.

The last CW relay station, operated by the Arizona Highway Patrol, was active until it was closed as no longer needed in 1972. There existed a considerable formal network of HF radiotelegraph stations coast to coast which handled reams of police traffic from the early thirties through much of the 60's. Larger cities and many state police organizations almost all maintained stations on the net 24/7 and virtually all police agencies had access since departments with a station on the net handled message traffic for those who because of smaller size did not. This network handles literally vast amounts of routine police communications traffic for virtually every department in the nation. Relays were very common since the vagaries of HF propagation made them necessary much of the time.Several frequencies were in use from 2812 kc through the 7.4 kc region. (I'm not where I can get my hands on those manuals right now and don't remember the exact 7 mhz frequencies-which of course were known as kc and mc in those days).

I have a couple of APCO(Associated Police Communications Officers) manuals from 1945 and 1951 which detail the net, the stations with callsigns, and frequencies monitored by each of them. There has been very little history recorded or retained concerning this net, but I knew and still know some of the surviving operators, many of whom were hams. Most have gone SK, though, sadly. In my archives I have a printed copy of a first person account detailing some experiences of an operator who spent twelve years working at the Flagstaff AZ CW relay station on the interstate police CW net. A couple years ago it was on "The Telegraph Office" K5RW website but has evidently been removed as I wasn't able to find it last time I looked.

Although teletype was in widespread use during much of this period, and TTY networks were in use on the east and west coasts in the more densely populated areas, radiotelegraphy was employed widely on long distance circuits mostly as a matter of economics. Recall that AT&T had a monopoly on all long distance wire circuits and their dedicated line charges were not pocket change in an era when costs were a serious concern to most governmental bodies. Today they just appropriate the money. Then they looked for ways to save taxpayers dollars. That savings came from using dual-role dispatchers, many of whom were also qualified and licensed as commercial CW operators and who handled radiotelegraph traffic as

well as their dispatching duties. Many of these same employees also held radiotelephone licenses and often did repair work on mobile and base station equipment on an as needed basis. It was indeed a cost effective system.

When I was hired by the communications division of the Highway Patrol in my state, in the early spring of 1965, I was given a CW test, and one of the main qualifications they wanted to see was my First Class Radiotelephone license. While I didn't have a commercial CW license at that time, I was informed that I might later be required to obtain one, since we still had an interstate CW relay station in service at the state capitol HQ and I was being assigned there. As it turned out, my initial assignment was changed due to an illness of another employee in a different part of the state. I was sent to replace him temporarily and by the time he returned to work they had decided to add another operator at that station, and I was given the assignment. All the in-state stations had converted to teletype about 6 months previously, although each still had the Collins CW transmitter and the HF receivers in place and ready for use. They were retained for a year before they were phased out and removed in 1966.

I was later a part of the technical crew that removed the CW transmitters (two large Wilcox units running 450TH's in the finals) and took down the dipole antenna farm at the state HQ relay station in 1975.

Dick W0EX

2499. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 21:45:49 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3de7dfe2.959699@news.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 56637

On Fri, 29 Nov 2002 11:21:18 -0600, "W5TIT - Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote:

>"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
>news:3DE6EA65.2C189527@townsqr.com...
>>
>>
>> Brian wrote:
>>
>> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<3DE63546.1F956B43@earthlink.net>...
>> > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>> >
>> > > > Never gave up radio, eh? And people say they thought *I* was
>leaving...
>> > >
>> > > People only thought that because you told us you were leaving. You
>and
>> > > Alec Baldwin leave us confused.
>> > >
>> > > Dave K8MN
>> >
>> > Poor Dave, so confused.
>>
>>
>>
>> Aren't you supposed to be gone,too, With Alec and Kim? By
>> your own statement?
>
>Hey, Dick? When someone tells you they're going to sleep, do they do it
>forever?

fraid they do Dick let his mind go to sleep years ago haven't you
noticed
>
>Kim W5TIT
>
>

2500. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 21:53:18 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as8ne102k86@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56639

"Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote in message
news:GFLF9.169460$NH2.11462@sccrnsc01...
>
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021129063651.06624.00000088@mb-da.aol.com...
> > In article <3DE6C65A.D217F6E6@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > writes:
>
> <warning: the following reply may induce nausea>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> > MUSKRAT LOVE!
>
>
> This cannot go unassuaged...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> "Would you Like to Fly in my Beautiful Balloon??"

Okay, I can't resist this...

Listen while I play

My green tambouriiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiine!

2501. Re: See "Codeless"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 22:04:27 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as8o2u02ks9@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56640
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021129102126.18548.00000307@mb-fp.aol.com...
> >Thanks KB9TMP!
> >
>
> I love that Picture its so true, but there is one problem I noticed.Most
> CBplussers use a Soldering Torch instead of Soldering Gun, but only when
> working on Printed Circuit Boards.

I love that (p)icture(.) (I)t(')s so true, but there is one problem I
noticed. Most
CBplussers use a (s)oldering (t)orch instead of (s)oldering (g)un, but only
when
working on (p)rinted (c)ircuit Boards.

While looking at these posts, it's always easy to spot Babbling Brucey's
improper use of upper case and no apostrophe's, not to mention wrong usage.
("your' vs. "you're", "there" vs. "their" vs. "they're", "to" vs. "too")
...and yes, I've cross-posted this to rec.radio.cb so that they, too, can
see what an idiot you are.
Like I said before - if you write one full line of text that has no errors
in it, I promise I'll stop.

2502. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 22:09:52 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as8od402lb1@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56645
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021129074901.10561.00000325@mb-cg.aol.com...
> >Post some names and witnesses to your story, (IOW, evidence) and
> >maybe we'll believe you.
>
> Doesnt matter if you believe me.

Doesn(')t matter if you believe me.

Yes, all that matters is that your buddies Dick and Vietnam Dan believe you, because they don't question your idiocy the way I do. Like minds think alike.

BTW, do you have some sort of phobia about using apostrophes?

2503. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 22:11:35 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as8ogb02lis@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56646
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021129135143.26172.00000226@mb-fi.aol.com...
>
> >did they suck or swallow....? :)
> >
>
> They were your Typical CBplussers

They were your (t)ypical CBplussers

Close. So close.

2504. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 22:13:10 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as8oja02lqc@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56647
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021129155838.01332.00006635@mb-mu.aol.com...
> >So just how did you 'bust' them? Are you employed by the FCC?
>
> Like I said before, Im not a Liberty to say.

Like I said before, I(')m not a (l)iberty to say.

He's probably said too much already.

2505. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 22:16:06 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as8ooq02m6i@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56648
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021129075051.10561.00000326@mb-cg.aol.com...
> >expects everyone to believe his BS about how he aced the
> >Extra, with no studying and collected $250 to boot.
>
> Your just hurt that you cant do the same.

You(')r(e) just hurt that you can(')t do the same.

I, at least, can write a sentence past a second grade level. (And this guy thinks CBers are dolts!)

2506. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 22:22:30 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as8p4q02mh8@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56644
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021129075754.10561.00000327@mb-cg.aol.com...
> If they had the same License Testing they do now when I was first
licensed, I
> would have Probably have been an Extra at 4 years old. And Brian and krell
> would still be whinnning about how tuff the EXTRA is, and there inability
to
> pass the test.

If they had the same (l)icense (t)esting they (did) when I was first
licensed, I
would have (p)robably have been an Extra at 4 years old. And Brian and
(K)rell
would still be (whining) about how tuff the EXTRA is, and (their) inability
to
pass the test.

Your writing skills show only that it is possible for any idiot to pass a
test for an amateur radio license.

2507. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 22:26:21 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129172621.10526.00006537@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 56641

>If you are not at liberty to give details, then why did you
>mention it. In other words, it is all made up. There is nothing to
>keep you from being at liberty to say if you work for the FCC or
>not, but I think we all know that answer, you don't. If it was a
>legitimate bust by the FCC then there is no secrecy, so I suppose
>we will see it in the FCC enforcement log soon?
>
>
>
>
>
>

Sorry I cant go into details.

2508. Re: See "Codeless"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 22:28:41 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129172841.10526.00006539@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 56642

>Like I said before - if you write one full line of text that has no errors
>in it, I promise I'll stop.
>
>

never happen i enjoy watching you correct things

2509. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 22:33:57 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <pXRF9.8246$ta5.923335@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 56643

"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote

: Listen while I play
:
: My green tambouriiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiine!
:

It was.....

....an itsy bitsy teensy weensy.....

.....yellow polka dot bikini

2510. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 23:17:24 UTC
From: Dave VanHorn <dvanhorn@cedar.net>
Message-ID: <3uOdnVMhvrAJaHqgXTWcqw@comcast.com>
Source record: 56649
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:as8oja02lqc@enews2.newsguy.com...
>
> "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021129155838.01332.00006635@mb-mu.aol.com...
> > >So just how did you 'bust' them? Are you employed by the FCC?
> > >
> > Like I said before, Im not a Liberty to say.
>
> Like I said before, I(')m not a((t)) (l)iberty to say.

If you're going to play English prof, do it right.
Sentences should not start with "like" either.

2511. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 23:18:41 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <IBSF9.30363$vM1.2359894@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 56650

> >Please try living in this millenium instead of the previous one.

> Why? "Retro" and "nostalgia" fads are a multibillion dollar industry.

Nostalgia sure isn't what it used to be.

K7JEB

2512. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 23:23:08 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211291523.1afb6414@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56651

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3DE6C6F2.C1DE6656@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DE63546.1F956B43@earthlink.net>...

> > Poor Dave, so confused.
>
> Not that confused. I didn't forget, for example, that it was a trio.
> Alec Baldwin, Kim and you who were leaving in a snit (two-door
> convertible snit, wasn't it?).
>
> Dave K8MN

You guys still aren't worth my time, but I make exceptions for the
sake of amateur radio.

2513. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 23:24:27 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211291524.260797fe@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56652

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DE6EA65.2C189527@townsqr.com>...

> Aren't you supposed to be gone,too, With Alec and Kim? By
> your own statement?

Dick, last time I checked this was still a free country.

2514. Krell is a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 23:33:05 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DE7F931.DA559087@secret.com>
Source record: 56654
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Three posts appeared in rec.radio.cb that are not new threads.

Krell, you are a Stupid Jerk!

2515. Re: FCC Rules For Hams Using Spread Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 23:34:36 UTC
From: Ken <Ken@NoBULLSHITzoneha.net>
Message-ID: <as8tgr$2h9$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 56655

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message

> My prior employment before the FCC was with the Naval Research
> Laboratory and with the Strategic Air Command as a communications
> engineer. You have no idea what I did or did not have access to.

Sure, and I am on George Bush's personal staff too. And before that
I was on the Queen of England's personal staff.

> Ditto for what security clearances and acccess I did or didn't
> have.

And ditto for whose staff I'm on now.

73 de Ken

> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

2516. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 23:36:50 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211291536.46b2a8b3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56656

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DE6EC29.CC2E63EA@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20021127205029.28322.00000635@mb-fy.aol.com>...
> >
> > > There were other influences, too. To name just one: In 1963, the FCC opened
> > > more exam points and increased the distance requirement for a Conditional from
> > > 75 to 175 miles "air line". All of a sudden there were very few places in the
> > > USA that qualified as "Conditional country".
> >
> > Jim, I've heard again and again about how superior the testing is when
> > conducted by the FCC examiners. I'd like to know who, of the
> > participants here on rrap, held conditional licenses?
>
>
>
> Why I did, Brain - until 1968 when Incentive Licensing came
> along, whereupon I loaded myself up and drove the 260 one
> way miles to the
> Kansas City FCC district office.

I want Dave to make note that your miles were one way. Did you ever
leave that FCC district office?

There, in couple hours
> time,
> I took the 13wpm code test, the General and Advanced
> writtens, the 20wpm
> code test and the Extra written, and came home with my Extra
> ticket.
> Your point, please?

I just wanted to know which superior hams would stand up and be
counted among the original "dumbed down" license holders, that's all.

> You see, I had lost some spectrum and I wanted it back. So
> instead of sitting on my duff carping about how mistreated I
> was, I just did it.

No doubt about it. How high?

> > > Hi Jackie, what do you know about the code exam? Serious question.
> > > Don't read anything into it.
> >
> > About as much as you, Slim. Read whatever you want into that.

>
> > >I read into it that you're a little, little man hanging on to a bygone era.
>
>
> Little I may be, Slim, but a giant beside the likes of you.
> And I'll put my station and it's operation up against yours
> anytime. On ANY mode. Oh-
> I forgot. You can't do THAT mode!

I'm allowed all legal modes, yet it was you who turned down an
invitation from Hans to operate your favorite mode. The one mode that
works when all the others fail. The one mode that can be implemented
with the guts of a Philco B&W TV. The one mode you faled to use
because you were away from the home QTH. Hi hi!!!

Your words ring hollow.

2517. Re: FCC Rules For Hams Using Spread Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 23:39:24 UTC
From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net
Message-ID: <as8trc$2sbs$1@heap.juniper.net>
Source record: 56660

Len Over 21 <lenover21@aol.com> wrote:
>>(d) The transmitter power must not exceed 100 W under any circumstances. If
>>more than 1 W is used, automatic transmitter control shall limit output power
>>to that which is required for the communication. This shall be determined by
>>the use of the ratio, measured at the receiver, of the received energy per
>user
>>data bit (Eb) to the sum of the received power spectral densities of noise
>(N0)
>>and co-channel interference (I0). Average transmitter power over 1 W shall be
>>automatically adjusted to maintain an Eb/(N0 + I0) ratio of no more than 23 dB
>>at the intended receiver.
>
> In shorter words, restricted to QRP flea power. More enforcement
> to local-only communications.
>
> Worse yet, it restricts spread spectrum to just Direct Sequence type
> with nothing applying to Frequency Hopping. Why?

I don't think the latter paragraph is exactly true. You can make the same spectral energy measurements for FHSS as for DSSS, and the 23 dB number should give you about the same advantage for either. The only problem I can see is that it adds an implementation constraint for FHSS. Measuring (N0 + I0) probably requires the reciever to have its bandpass filtering designed to receive the entire bandwidth the signal is spread across, something which will be inherently true for a DSSS receiver but which might not be the best way to build an FHSS receiver.

The first paragraph is, unfortunately, much more true than I'd like it to be, though I think the blame for this regulation may lie with the amateurs interested in spread spectrum who advocated this constraint during the proceedings (in particular Phil Karn, if I'm remembering correctly) rather than the FCC. It is not that their heart wasn't in the right place. There is a theoretical result which says that a spread spectrum communications network can be scaled to an indefinitely large number of transmitters if all transmitters use the minimum energy necessary to sustain communications, so automatic power control is sometimes viewed as a prerequisite to achieving many-user scalability. As such, the requirement for automatic power control of amateur equipment seems like a good, theoretically sound way for amateurs to achieve optimal sharing of the spectrum used for this purpose.

The big problems with this are that it presumes a particular set of applications for higher-power spread spectrum and it lifts the complexity bar for getting anything on the air at all. These are both poison for an experimental service like the ARS. Automatic power control requires

that the transmitter adjust its operation based on data only the receiver can measure, so it inherently requires bi-directional connections so the receiver can regularly transmit its measurements back to the transmitter (and vice versa). This imposes additional complexity if the receiver doesn't have much to tell the transmitter other than to provide it with power control information, since it forces the receiver to transmit solely for the purpose of sending power control information and there are reliability problems to solve if this isn't done frequently. Also, while this is still not bad if the transmission is point-to-point between a single pair of transmitter/receivers, it becomes more difficult if the transmission is point-to-multipoint (i.e. many receivers) and it is impossible to support if you want to have receivers which are entirely silent and never transmit.

Note that the constraints which automatic power control places on the application are reflected exactly in its use in industry. For cell phones, where all connections are point-to-point between the handset and base station and inherently have a substantial amount of chatter going in both directions, automatic power control is natural and a big win (not only for scalability, but for maximizing battery life in the teeny tiny handsets). For WLANs, however, many transmissions are point-to-multipoint and nearly-silent recievers are allowed to exist, so automatic power control isn't necessarily done at all (almost certainly not by the access points) and the problem of near and far transmitters is instead accomodated by dropping the data rate for the far-away and mostly-silent guys.

So automatic power control places an application constraint on an experimental service where applications are often what the experiment is about (this is increasingly true since the complexity of these devices makes it far more likely that "experiments" would involve adapting existing gear for some amateur application rather than attempting to design something from scratch). Worse, if you look at things in common use in the ARS, like repeaters, you'll find that many existing amateur applications tend to look a lot more like WLANs, where power control isn't used, than like cell phones, where it is. And, even worse, when it comes to adaptation of technology the non-power-controlled WLAN stuff is fairly accessible for amateur experimentation while the power-controlled cell phone gear is so specialized and unavailable (base station hardware, at least) that it is pretty much inaccessible.

So I think you are right about the first point, the power control requirement for amateur SS practically limits amateur power output to about that allowed for Part 15 devices. Given the lack of much of a power advantage for ARS SS versus Part 15 SS, along with the annoying and often impractical content restrictions for the ARS which don't exist under Part 15, it becomes hard to see why anyone with a desire to play with this stuff would do so under ARS rules rather than just observing the Part 15 rules. Note that the most ironic thing about the latter is that much of the Part 15 stuff is done in amateur bands anyway, so what it amounts to is that if you identify the

transmission as an amateur transmission you end up with a bunch of restrictions on what you can send and who you can talk to, while if you don't identify at all and just do it you can instead (legally) do anything you want.

I don't think any of the other restrictions on amateur SS represent much of an impediment to anything but the automatic power control constraint, certainly in conjunction with the low uncontrolled power limit and the content restrictions, is a killer. It also seems to me that the fact that the only exemption for broadband modulation in the ARS is SS is already out-of-date with respect to current WLAN technology since I don't think it is correct to call the OFDM modulation used at 5 GHz in IEEE 802.11a, and proposed for use at 2.4 GHz in 802.11g, "spread spectrum", yet there's no one on the planet who is likely interested enough to ask the FCC to add another exception for this.

All of which leads back to my very favorite amateur radio policy whine. If the ARS is to retain the unique experimental nature of the service described by its basis and purpose then it really needs to dump all the mode and content stuff and come as close to "here are your band edges and power limits, please stay inside them" regulation as is practical. In recognition that most of the stuff going on in amateur radio is operating rather than experimenting, and the long tradition of individual US amateurs behaving well only when forced to by enforcement of federal regulations, I'd be prepared to see subbands distinguished by occupied bandwidth remain at HF and below, and to see content(-transparency) restrictions remain anywhere where the bands are busy and/or long distance circuits are possible, but that's about it. It makes no sense for an ARS licensee, who has demonstrated both an awareness of the regulations concerning his operation and at least a modicum of technical competence, who is required to identify himself as the source of his transmissions and to refrain from operating for monetary considerations, to be constrained from doing anything that a Part 15 user, who can transmit anonymously, for pay, and who is permitted to be ignorant of everything up to and including the fact that his equipment is producing RF, is allowed to do, often on frequencies allocated to the ARS. Why is a large company like T-Mobile allowed to do something for profit in amateur allocations (see http://www.t-mobile.com/hotspot/) that amateurs can't even do for self-education, public service and/or fun?

I hence don't think amateur radio SS regulations are any more onerous than regulations for other modes. The automatic power control regulations are unfortunate, but are simply a specific example of what is generally wrong with ARS regulations with respect to 97.1.

Dennis Ferguson

2518. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 23:45:00 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211291545.28636de4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56657

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<uual9k8nu2j668@corp.supernews.com>...

> What does it say, Dick? Why don't you let us know what you *think* it says?
> What is the problem with someone who gets the piece of paper and then is
> just plain happy to stay wherever it is they wish to stay in ham radio? You
> may not realize it, but by separating out and distinguishing those who
> "learn the written material" and "also seem to want to learn the code, and
> upgrade," you reveal what your true feelings are: you think anyone who
> chooses not to learn code and upgrade as some kind of inferior person to
> you.

Kim, its part and parcel of Amateur Radio. If there were a license
class beyond Extra, you'd hear someone "encouraging" you to get it at
least 3X per QSO.

One license, called "Amateur Radio License," would solve it all.

2519. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 23:51:35 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211291551.4691cb1f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56658

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DE70199.805046E0@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DE4C0DD.ED06C5AF@townsqr.com>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > I sure hope "amateur radio" isn't being billed as an "alternative
> > > > lifestyle" choice by professional radio-electronics folks. That would
> > > > make us "odd" by comparison.
> > >
> > > No surprise there, either. No surprise that you wouldn't recognize what your buddy
The Putz
> > > has laid on us all along, despite the fact that you've allegedly been reading this NG
for
> > > awhile. Typical of you, Slim.
> >
> > If you're referring to Len, then just say so. I honestly don't know
> > why Len riles you guys so much. If you did a little introspection,
> > you would realize that Len, as much as you would hate to admit it, is
> > right about long-timerism in amateur radio.
> >
> > You want time to stand still.
>
> And you're too dense to understand that's the last thing we advocate.

OK, Putz. So you can't or won't explain why you want time to stand
still. Suit yourself.

> > It won't. So we wait for the actuarial
> > tables to purge the bad - with the good. And that's not healthy.
>
> Yep. You get your turn in the barrel right along with everyone else.

Hopefully my turn in the barrel is about 20 years after yours.

> > Why don't you guys try a little forward thinking and advocate
> > something other than Morse Code all the damned time?
>
> Prolly because that's all you've ever been able to think about - how all us mean ol'
Extras
> screwed you out of your HF ticket.

Putz, can't you ever get anything right? My initial license was an HF
license. I've never NOT had HF. I had to take more exams to get VHF.

And you said you were a VE? Good thing you quit. You don't know the first thing about amateur radio licensing.

2520. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-29 23:54:34 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211291554.4a3c0682@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56659

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021129075754.10561.00000327@mb-cg.aol.com>...
> If they had the same License Testing they do now when I was first licensed, I
> would have Probably have been an Extra at 4 years old. And Brian and krell
> would still be whinnning about how tuff the EXTRA is, and there inability to
> pass the test.

This is the part where Jim, Kelley, Steve, and Dave jump in and tell
you that you still couldn't pass the 20wpm code exam, you wouldn't be
an Extra.

All I'm saying is that you should thank NCI for allowing you to ride
their coattails.

2521. Re: See "Codeless"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 00:02:20 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as8v0401fna@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56669
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021129172841.10526.00006539@mb-mv.aol.com...
> >Like I said before - if you write one full line of text that has no
errors
> >in it, I promise I'll stop.
> >
> >
>
> never happen i enjoy watching you correct things

(N)ever happen. (I) enjoy watching you correct things.

...and the rest of us enjoy laughing at you...not with you.

2522. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 00:06:06 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as8v7601g09@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DE7CB51.D3939C54@mailcity.net...
>
>
> WA8ULX wrote:
> >
> > >So just how did you 'bust' them? Are you employed by the FCC?
> >
> > Like I said before, Im not a Liberty to say.
>
> If you are not at liberty to give details, then why did you
> mention it. In other words, it is all made up. There is nothing to
> keep you from being at liberty to say if you work for the FCC or
> not, but I think we all know that answer, you don't. If it was a
> legitimate bust by the FCC then there is no secrecy, so I suppose
> we will see it in the FCC enforcement log soon?

It would be interesting if Babbling Brucey had to write up the paperwork on this supposed bust. I'm sure the FCC officials would've been shaking their heads in disbelief at how someone who passed the Extra test could be so functionally illiterate.

2523. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 00:06:40 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129190640.23981.00000163@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 56661

>Subject: Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 11/29/02 9:37 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3DE789F6.1D0D9A92@earthlink.net>

>I'll confess. Mine's on vinyl. About 1969 or '70 wasn't it?

Earlier than that, methinks...My sister played it till I puked. Now I
haven't heard it in years.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2524. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 00:08:29 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as8vbl01g6f@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56680
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021129172621.10526.00006537@mb-mv.aol.com...
> >If you are not at liberty to give details, then why did you
> >mention it. In other words, it is all made up. There is nothing to
> >keep you from being at liberty to say if you work for the FCC or
> >not, but I think we all know that answer, you don't. If it was a
> >legitimate bust by the FCC then there is no secrecy, so I suppose
> >we will see it in the FCC enforcement log soon?
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
> Sorry I cant go into details.

Sorry(,) I can(')t go into details.

Like JJ said; It's probably because it's not true.

2525. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 00:10:42 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129191042.02898.00000128@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 56662

Seems like some people have fun dancing on my identity, by reminiscing about songs. All in good fun.

From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>If I may respond in the style of Vipul S: The decision will be made
>upon a higher plane when it has been established whether the poster was
>motivated by altruism geared toward the betterment of ham culture or if
>we learn that this motivation was the work of an only-troll.
Well said. Of course I want to see the betterment of Ham Radio.

From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>But the REAL question is...Will Vipul...I mean "Vshah"... own up to
>being
>KB1HMW...?!?! Or was my first assumption of his lack of licensure correct?
>Here I am, apology in hand, ready to admit my error if we find out that
>"vshah"
>IS KB1HMW, thus demonstrating that my presumtion of lack of licensure was
>invalid. However I was still correct in my assumption of his lack of tenure
>in
>Amateur Radio. That is invioable
>
>Decisions...decisions...decisions...
I don't know how you figured it out. Yes, my call sign is KB1HMW.

V.S., Technician class Amateur Radio license, KB1HMW

I have the ability to encode a table of realtime encoded symbols - more "characters" than the Morse code. However, Morse code is not enjoyable or useful to me and it would better serve me and Amateur Radio to maintain my technical skills.

2526. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 00:14:22 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129191422.02898.00000129@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 56663

To the person that corrects spelling. Let's leave that alone. Spelling and grammar should count in formal reports, but reasonable misspelling in an internet posting is okay. It is hard to maintain spelling in an internet posting and there should be some allowance. Sometimes typing quickly can cause incorrect spelling. Incorrect spelling or grammar does not mean the person is less intelligent. The content of the message is what's important.

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>If they had the same License Testing they do now when I was first licensed, I >would have Probably have been an Extra at 4 years old

I could not have passed the Extra at 4 years old because I did not have "Ham" relatives and did not know about it then. I went to a Hamfest with a coworker who is a Ham. I have been an Amateur Radio enthusiast and have "Homebrewed" the same, a while before I even heard of "Ham" Radio. (And, no, I did not come from CB - not that there's anything wrong with that).

I actually agree that the license test is very easy and a young person can pass it easily. The Technician test is probably the hardest of the three tests. The few young people that took the test with me passed the Technician test after a one-day "review" session, where all the answers to the test was given. The new members of Ham Radio had nothing to do with the license test and should not be insulted because they passed this new easier test.

Lack of interest in the "other" potential areas of amateur radio is a greater problem than the testing. This includes the old timers who are the majority of Hams. The Hams at the clubs don't seem to show any interest in things other than operating and antennas. Group projects, radio direction finding, kit building, designing circuits, using test equipment, troubleshooting, AND antennas should be included as part of club activities.

I would be willing to take an active role in any such project, but I cant if there is no interest.

2527. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 00:16:12 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE80374.CED5EFB@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56664

```
W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3DE63546.1F956B43@earthlink.net...
> > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > >
> > > "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus@mcn.net> wrote in message
> > > news:%l7F9.3705$yy.508652@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > > "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in
> > > > news:uu86rq7rq8ese0@corp.supernews.com:
> > > >
> > > > >> > Kim W5TIT
> > > > >> >
> > > > >> >
> > > > >>
> > > > >> Where the hell in this or for that matter have I made the statement
> > > > >> or in defense of the statement "Dumbing Down" unless it was in a
> > > > >> quote? That is not my mantra, and if you believe so, you are
> > > > >> definitely mistaken. What
> > > > > are
> > > > >> these so called denigrations you accuse me of ??
> > > > >>
> > > > >>
> > > > >
> > > > > Well, it actually looks like I clicked on the wrong post to respond
> to
> > > > > when I posted the above. It looks like I was probably meaning to
> > > > > respond to one of the CW vs. no-CW posts.
> > > > >
> > > > > Anyway, sorry for the confusion.
> > > > >
> > > > > Kim W5TIT
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > We're used to you being confused. That's what is so predictable about
> you
> > > > kim.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Yep, and the absolute *most* predictable thing, Vince, is that you will
> > > bitch and moan and do nothing, nothing, nothing...
> > >
> > > Never gave up radio, eh? And people say they thought *I* was leaving...
> >
```

> > People only thought that because you told us you were leaving. You and
> > Alec Baldwin leave us confused.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dave, if the Alec Baldwin reference is supposed to be some kind of -ism that
> is supposed to insult me, you'll have to explain who Alec Baldwin is first.
> I haven't a clue to what you are referring.

Oh, its much like things I feel you should know about amateur radio,
Kim. You feel that you can simply look them up if you find a need for
them. You could have done the same by looking up "Alec Baldwin". A
simple internet search would have clued you in to the fact that he is a
pretty well known movie actor.
You might have also learned that he stated (to the news media) that if
George W. Bush was elected to the presidency, he'd leave America.

> Oh, I also ought to add that you need not waste your time if you do intend
> it to be an insult. You see, one must be deserving of another feeling
> insulted, and you are not.

...or the insulted party has to be sharp enough to figure out why she
should feel insulted. It is okay with me that you didn't get it.
Others did.

Dave K8MN

2528. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 00:17:30 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE803D0.97402DAC@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56665

W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3DE6C6F2.C1DE6656@earthlink.net...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:<3DE63546.1F956B43@earthlink.net>...
> > > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Never gave up radio, eh? And people say they thought *I* was
> leaving...
> > > >
> > > > People only thought that because you told us you were leaving. You
> and
> > > > Alec Baldwin leave us confused.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > Poor Dave, so confused.
> >
> > Not that confused. I didn't forget, for example, that it was a trio.
> > Alec Baldwin, Kim and you who were leaving in a snit (two-door
> > convertible snit, wasn't it?).
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dave, I did leave, when I decided. And, I came back when I decided.

...much like Richard Nixon.

Dave K8MN

2529. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 00:18:14 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as8vtv01hek@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56683
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
news:3uOdnVMhvrAJaHqgXTWcqw@comcast.com...
>
> "Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:as8oja02lqc@enews2.newsguy.com...
> >
> > "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021129155838.01332.00006635@mb-mu.aol.com...
> > > >So just how did you 'bust' them? Are you employed by the FCC?
> > >
> > > Like I said before, Im not a Liberty to say.
> >
> > Like I said before, I(')m not a((t)) (l)iberty to say.
>
> If you're going to play English prof, do it right.
> Sentences should not start with "like" either.

Not necessarily. Many rules of English grammar are flexible. Example:

"Like father, Like son."
"Like sands through the hourglass, so are the days of our lives."

Even split infinitives have become acceptable. Example:

"To boldly go where no one has gone before" <- (politically correct version)

2530. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 00:19:08 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE80432.298498C5@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56667

W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3DE78D24.8D43FB0A@earthlink.net...
> > "Jackie L." wrote:
> > >
> > > "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20021129063651.06624.00000088@mb-da.aol.com...
> > > > In article <3DE6C65A.D217F6E6@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> > > <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > > > writes:
> > > >
> > > <warning: the following reply may induce nausea>
> > > >
> > > > MUSKRAT LOVE!
> > > >
> > > This cannot go unassuaged...
> > > >
> > > "Would you Like to Fly in my Beautiful Balloon??"
> > > >
> > > You asked for it! Bwahahaha!! :-)
> > >
> > A worthy contribution! I like to go for the deep meaning in lyrics:
> > >
> > "If I were a carpenter and you were a lady, would you marry me anyway?
> > Would you have my baby?" Back when the song and I were young, I was no
> > carpenter and most of the girls I went out with were certainly no
> > ladies.
> > >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Back then, you must have been no gentleman. As witnessed here, I don't see
> that much has changed.

Uh....Kim? The song doesn't have the word "gentleman" in the lyrics.
Thanks for playing our game though.

Dave K8MN

2531. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 00:19:21 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129191921.10542.00000361@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 56666

>BTW, do you have some sort of phobia about using apostrophes?
>

Yes

2532. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 00:20:58 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE804A0.C2AE7AA4@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56668

W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3DE6EA65.2C189527@townsqr.com...
> >
> >
> > Brian wrote:
> >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:<3DE63546.1F956B43@earthlink.net>...
> > > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Never gave up radio, eh? And people say they thought *I* was
> leaving...
> > > >
> > > > People only thought that because you told us you were leaving. You
> and
> > > > Alec Baldwin leave us confused.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > Poor Dave, so confused.
> >
> >
> >
> > Aren't you supposed to be gone,too, With Alec and Kim? By
> > your own statement?
>
> Hey, Dick? When someone tells you they're going to sleep, do they do it
> forever?
>
> Kim W5TIT

As I recall, you weren't simply going to the kitchen for a beer. You
got your tail feathers singed and were leaving in a snit (or was it an
8-cylinder huff?).

Dave K8MN

2533. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 00:22:01 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129192201.10542.00000362@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 56670

>To the person that corrects spelling. Let's leave that alone.

No lets not I enjoy seeing him do it, at least hes got something to occupy his time, besides he cant discuss anything about Ham Radio because hes just a CBplusser.

2534. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 00:22:55 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129192255.10542.00000363@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 56672

>Close. So close.
>

Damn I didnt mean to get that close

2535. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 00:24:21 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DE8137A.F2FF124@townsqr.com>
Source record: 56673

Burke-Turkey, you're nothing if not a dumschit.

Brian wrote:

> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DE6EC29.CC2E63EA@townsqr.com>...
> > Brian wrote:
> >
> > > n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20021127205029.28322.00000635@mb-fy.aol.com>...
> > >
> > > > There were other influences, too. To name just one: In 1963, the FCC opened
> > > > more exam points and increased the distance requirement for a Conditional from
> > > > 75 to 175 miles "air line". All of a sudden there were very few places in the
> > > > USA that qualified as "Conditional country".
> > >
> > > Jim, I've heard again and again about how superior the testing is when
> > > conducted by the FCC examiners. I'd like to know who, of the
> > > participants here on rrap, held conditional licenses?
> >
> >
> >
> > Why I did, Brain - until 1968 when Incentive Licensing came
> > along, whereupon I loaded myself up and drove the 260 one
> > way miles to the
> > Kansas City FCC district office.
>
> I want Dave to make note that your miles were one way. Did you ever
> leave that FCC district office?
>
> There, in couple hours
> > time,
> > I took the 13wpm code test, the General and Advanced
> > writtens, the 20wpm
> > code test and the Extra written, and came home with my Extra
> > ticket.
> > Your point, please?
>
> I just wanted to know which superior hams would stand up and be
> counted among the original "dumbed down" license holders, that's all.
>
> > You see, I had lost some spectrum and I wanted it back. So
> > instead of sitting on my duff carping about how mistreated I
> > was, I just did it.
>

> No doubt about it. How high?
>
> > > > Hi Jackie, what do you know about the code exam? Serious question.
> > > > Don't read anything into it.
> > >
> > > About as much as you, Slim. Read whatever you want into that.
> >
> > > >I read into it that you're a little, little man hanging on to a bygone era.
> >
> >
> > Little I may be, Slim, but a giant beside the likes of you.
> > And I'll put my station and it's operation up against yours
> > anytime. On ANY mode. Oh-
> > I forgot. You can't do THAT mode!
>
> I'm allowed all legal modes, yet it was you who turned down an
> invitation from Hans to operate your favorite mode. The one mode that
> works when all the others fail. The one mode that can be implemented
> with the guts of a Philco B&W TV. The one mode you faled to use
> because you were away from the home QTH. Hi hi!!!
>
> Your words ring hollow.

2536. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 00:24:33 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129192433.10542.00000364@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 56675

>I, at least, can write a sentence past a second grade level.

But dont know a thing about Ham Radio

>(And this guy
>thinks CBers are dolts!)
>

I dont think it I know it

2537. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 00:25:06 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129192506.10542.00000365@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 56674

>What else can you say about something that never happened?
>
>
>

But it did

2538. Re: Krell is a troll

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 00:25:41 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129192541.10542.00000366@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 56676

>Krell, you are a Stupid Jerk!

Who knows it

2539. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 00:27:42 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129192742.10542.00000367@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 56677

>All I'm saying is that you should thank NCI for allowing you to ride
>their coattails.
>

All I can say about NCI is thanks for alloying 2 Jerk CBplussers for giving me
250.00

2540. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 00:30:33 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DE8069F.4000204@adelphia.net>
Source record: 56678

N2EY wrote:

> OW! All right, now the gloves come off. I was goin' easy on ya 'cause yer new
> here......
>
> all
> hope
> abandon
>
> "In the yearrrrrrrr, twenty-five twenty-five..."

>
> "There's a bathroom on the right..."
>
> "Brandy, you're a fine girl, what a gooood wife, you would be"

yerr a hard, cruel man Jim!

Having my baby,
what a lovely way of saying how much you love me.
Having my baby,
what a lovely way of saying what you're thinking of me.
I can see it
Your face is glowing
I can see it in your eyes
I'm happy in knowing...

That your having my baby,
you're the woman I love and I love what it's doing to you.
Having my baby,
you're a woman in love and I love what's going through you.
The need inside you
I see it showing
Oh, the seed inside you baby do you feel it growing.
Are you happy in knowing...

That you're having my baby,
I'm a woman in love and I love what it's doing to me.
Having my baby,
I'm a woman in love and I love what's going through me.
Didn't have to keep it
Wouldn't put you through it
You could have swept it from your life but you wouldn't do it.
No, you wouldn't do it...

And you're having my baby,
I'm a woman in love and I love what it's doing to me.
Having my baby,
I'm a woman in love and I love what's going through me.

Sorry to have to resort to such strong medicine, but people were getting out of hand here! ;*)

- Mike KB3EIA -

2541. Music nostalgia (was: Direct Questions for "Vshah")

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 00:34:43 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE807CB.4EB1D0D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56681

Krell wrote:

> Okay, I can't resist this...
>
> Listen while I play
>
> My green tambouriiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiine!

Oh Gawd--I know most of those guys. Bill Bartlett and his pals were "The Lemon Pipers" from College Corners, Indiana, not far from Cincinnati. They were still driving around in a Caddy limo in 1973 (by that time primer gray) purchased with the proceeds of their single hit. Bartlett was in a band called "Starstruck" in those years. A few years later, Bill was the moving force in the band "Ramjam" which hit the charts with "Black Betty" ("Black Betty had a child...The damn thing's gone wild").

Dave K8MN

2542. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 00:34:44 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DE8079B.7050500@adelphia.net>
Source record: 56679

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> ....an itsy bitsy teensy weensy.......

> .....yellow polka dot bikini

Chewy chewy chewy chewy chewy chewy chewy chewy, you always have a mouthful of such good things to say......

- Mike KB3EIA -

2543. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 00:34:49 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DE807A9.6328CA06@mailcity.net>
Source record: 56761

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >What else can you say about something that never happened?
> >
> >
> >
>
> But it did

So you claim, but have nothing to back it up except, "I can't go into details", which means there are no details to go into.

2544. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 00:35:54 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <KJTF9.161247$WL3.66362@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 56682

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:3DE8069F.4000204@adelphia.net...

> Sorry to have to resort to such strong medicine, but people were getting
> out of hand here! ;*)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

I GIVE!!!! Mom, MAKE HIM STOP!! :-)

Jackie

2545. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 00:38:47 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DE80897.8037B369@mailcity.net>
Source record: 56762

Dave Heil wrote:

>
> Oh, its much like things I feel you should know about amateur radio,
> Kim. You feel that you can simply look them up if you find a need for
> them. You could have done the same by looking up "Alec Baldwin". A
> simple internet search would have clued you in to the fact that he is a
> pretty well known movie actor.
> You might have also learned that he stated (to the news media) that if
> George W. Bush was elected to the presidency, he'd leave America.

And we notice that he, Barbara Streisand, and a few others who
made that claim are still here.

2546. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 00:43:06 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DE8099A.F3705167@mailcity.net>
Source record: 56763
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Krell wrote:
Some of the so-called "CB-plussers" I've met
> are more of a ham than you'll ever be.

And even at that you could not call it much of an accomplishment
to be more of a ham than Brucie. Better be careful or he will
'bust' you. LOL!!!!

2547. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 00:49:27 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129194927.20054.00000350@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 56684

>Not necessarily. Many rules of English grammar are flexible. Example:

Its a shame you cant pass a Real HaM TEST

2548. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 00:51:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE80B5E.9DAFEDD8@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56685
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Krell wrote:
>
> "Dave VanHorn" <dvanhorn@cedar.net> wrote in message
> news:3uOdnVMhvrAJaHqgXTWcqw@comcast.com...
> >
> > "Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:as8oja02lqc@enews2.newsguy.com...
> > >
> > > "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20021129155838.01332.00006635@mb-mu.aol.com...
> > > > >So just how did you 'bust' them? Are you employed by the FCC?
> > > >
> > > > Like I said before, Im not a Liberty to say.
> > >
> > > Like I said before, I(')m not a((t)) (l)iberty to say.
> >
> > If you're going to play English prof, do it right.
> > Sentences should not start with "like" either.
>
> Not necessarily. Many rules of English grammar are flexible. Example:
>
> "Like father, Like son."

It seems to be "verb stingy" like some of Leonardo's stuff.

> "Like sands through the hourglass, so are the days of our lives."

How about, "Like, Daddy--you got the chord changes but you need to work
on the lyrics."?

> Even split infinitives have become acceptable. Example:
>
> "To boldly go where no one has gone before" <- (politically correct version)

That one is just a clause which has been lifted from a sentence. Not
only that, it came from the land of television where "Winton tastes good
like a cigarette should" was deemed to be correct use of English.

Dave K8MN

2549. Re: See "Codeless"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 00:51:46 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129195146.20054.00000351@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 56686

>and the rest of us enjoy laughing at you...not with you.
>
>

GOOD maybe one of these days you can get a Real ham License

2550. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 00:53:11 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE80BEC.A12E4424@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56687

Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> Kï¿½HB wrote:
>
> > ....an itsy bitsy teensy weensy.......
>
> > .....yellow polka dot bikini
>
> Chewy chewy chewy chewy chewy chewy chewy chewy, you always have a
> mouthful of such good things to say......

It doesn't get worse than Ohio Express:

"Yummy, yummy, yummy
I've got love in my tummy"...

Dave K8MN

2551. Re: FCC Rules For Hams Using Spread Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 00:53:35 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h6cy0tt.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 56688

On 29 Nov 2002 23:39:24 GMT, Dennis Ferguson wrote:

>The first paragraph is, unfortunately, much more true than I'd like it
>to be, though I think the blame for this regulation may lie with the
>amateurs interested in spread spectrum who advocated this constraint
>during the proceedings (in particular Phil Karn, if I'm remembering
>correctly) rather than the FCC. It is not that their heart wasn't
>in the right place.

Do not confuse Phil Karn with Phil Kane (or Phil Katz, for that
matter)....we all have our own niches in this business. <ggg>

>So I think you are right about the first point, the power control
>requirement for amateur SS practically limits amateur power output
>to about that allowed for Part 15 devices. Given the lack of much
>of a power advantage for ARS SS versus Part 15 SS, along with the
>annoying and often impractical content restrictions for the ARS which
>don't exist under Part 15, it becomes hard to see why anyone with a
>desire to play with this stuff would do so under ARS rules rather
>than just observing the Part 15 rules. Note that the most ironic thing
>about the latter is that much of the Part 15 stuff is done in amateur
>bands anyway, so what it amounts to is that if you identify the
>transmission as an amateur transmission you end up with a bunch of
>restrictions on what you can send and who you can talk to, while if
>you don't identify at all and just do it you can instead (legally)
>do anything you want.

This professional regulator was and still is in favor of not letting
the Part 15 stuff into the amateur bands but remember that the bands
in question are/were ISM bands, the equivalent of a public RF dump.
When I was a member of the (Bay Area) Wireless Communications
Alliance most of the other members were manufacturer technical and
sales staffers and I ranted and raved about the dangers of having a
WLAN operate in proximity of full-power amateur transmitters - mostly
front-end overload - but no one wanted to hear that (except DeWayne
and he only smiled...)

But the horse has already left the barn.....

>All of which leads back to my very favorite amateur radio policy whine.
>If the ARS is to retain the unique experimental nature of the service
>described by its basis and purpose then it really needs to dump all
>the mode and content stuff and come as close to "here are your band
>edges and power limits, please stay inside them" regulation as is
>practical.

I would agree with you on everything except content. The main thing
that is keeping the commercial interests from swallowing up the
amateur bands worldwide - and they certainly have the financial
leverage to do it - is that we do not pose commercial competition
to them - and that mandates content control.

>Why is a large company like T-Mobile allowed to do something
>for profit in amateur allocations (see http://www.t-mobile.com/hotspot/)

The public RF dump, you mean....

>that amateurs can't even do for self-education, public service and/or fun?

Money talks. Big money talks loudly.

>I hence don't think amateur radio SS regulations are any more onerous
>than regulations for other modes.

Agreed.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

2552. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 00:54:25 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129195425.20054.00000352@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 56689

>One license, called "Amateur Radio License," would solve it all.
>
>

Sure Brian, cant pass the Extra so now you think they should make it 1 License,
that way you can pretend to be a real Ham

2553. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 00:54:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE80C4D.98666BA2@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56690

Did I mention:

"Love grows where my Rosemary goes...."

We used to have a dog named Rosemary. Nothing grew where Rosemary went.

Dave K8MN

2554. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 00:58:46 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129195846.20054.00000353@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 56691

>As I recall, you weren't simply going to the kitchen for a beer.

For a Beer, she needs Keg to fill that gut she has

2555. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 01:00:42 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DE81BFF.314B97B8@townsqr.com>
Source record: 56692

Gawd, NO! First Cootie Boy's clone, and now The Colonel himself!!!!

"They're Baaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaackkkkkk......."

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:

> On Fri, 29 Nov 2002 11:21:18 -0600, "W5TIT - Kim"
> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote:
>
> >"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> >news:3DE6EA65.2C189527@townsqr.com...
> >>
> >>
> >> Brian wrote:
> >>
> >> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >news:<3DE63546.1F956B43@earthlink.net>...
> >> > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> >> >
> >> > > > Never gave up radio, eh? And people say they thought *I* was
> >leaving...
> >> > >
> >> > > People only thought that because you told us you were leaving. You
> >and
> >> > > Alec Baldwin leave us confused.
> >> > >
> >> > > Dave K8MN
> >> >
> >> > Poor Dave, so confused.
> >>
> >>
> >>
> >> Aren't you supposed to be gone,too, With Alec and Kim? By
> >> your own statement?
> >
> >Hey, Dick? When someone tells you they're going to sleep, do they do it
> >forever?
>
> fraid they do Dick let his mind go to sleep years ago haven't you
> noticed
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
> >
> >

2556. Well Im back on HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 01:06:09 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129200609.20054.00000354@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 56693

After not being on HF for a long while I decide to get back on. Well only 160 Meters, I prefer that Band because there are very few CBplussers there, why you ask, because most CBplussers cant make a Ant work there, to Technical for them,which also makes for a good CBplusser filter.

2557. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 01:15:03 UTC
From: Secret Report <itsa@secret.com>
Message-ID: <3DE81117.8AB1B827@secret.com>
Source record: 56694
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

Krell wrote:
>

Hey, Krell, go to hell.

Stop cross-posting all this drivel to rec.radio.cb, you troll.

Do you see anything wrong with this grammar?

2558. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 01:37:12 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129203712.17289.00000746@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 56699

In article <iHbF9.27964$vM1.2136685@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> writes:

>Brian, W3RV, wrote (in part):
>
>> Solid state rcvrs couldn't match the performance of the hollow state
>> types for serious HF work until close to 1980 from what I can
>> determine. Which correlates with your comment on the timeline.
>
>> I think many would agree that the 1980 +/- Kenwood TS-940 was the
>> Japanese breakthrough rig which finally broke the backs of both hollow
>> state ham technology and the U.S. ham gear manufacturers.

The Japanese displaced most of the American ham gear mfrs. a few years earlier.
Kenwood TS-520 and Yaesu FT-101 were key players in the takeover. They were not
super rigs in any sense - they simply offered a good mix of performance and
features at competitive prices.
>
>Not being a serious DXer or contester or field-day op,
>I'm more than happy to take your word on this, Brian.
>As owner of a couple of Kenwoodys myself (850's), I
>was quick to note a couple of front-end attenuators
>and a pre-amp bypass switch on the front panel. Never
>saw "features" like that on vacuum-tube rigs. I wonder
>why.
>
Because tube rigs had RF gain controls that worked.

>> Cell phones run SS. SS also has some very useful applications in the
>> field of short-range industrial control & data handling. One of the
>> regular lurkers in this NG has used SS for this purpose.

Lots of off-the-shelf Part 95 devices that only need some application
engineering to get 'em going. When the radio and antenna are cheaper than
running the cable, guess what gets installed.
>
>Oh, man! How could I have forgotten about CDMA? Maybe
>because I have a TDMA phone (that really sucked until
>they got a lot more cell-sites infilled out here in
>West Phoenix) and was just flying along on a roll. CDMA
>is definitely spread-spectrum. That's a Happy Meal on
>me next time you're in Phoenix, Brian.

I'll send the Dave's Insanity Sauce.
>
>> This is where I have a big problem with the farcial defense of dumbing

>> down the hobby to "attract new blood which will take ham radio into
>> the new millenium" via "new modes", etc. Horsepucky. They've had since
>> 1991 to make a single move in any such direction. But then what the
>> hell, they keep the high bands busy with thier 1920's FM technology
>> Japanese HTs.
>
>I will concede that the "new-blood, techno-hip, exotic modes"
>argument was run into the ground during the Great Code Wars
>here on r.r.a.p.

And where did it lead? One of the top demanders for "better modes and modulations" who decried "legacy modes", "elitist call signs" and "electronic paintball wars" shows up with a new 2x1 vanity call and tells of us his 70+ DXCC countries on HF SSB. Surreal, man, surreal.

>And V/UHF-FM with EchoLink and IRLP sure
>isn't your daddy's (or your) Heathkit DX-35 novice rig.

Why not have both?

>Just
>like in the 60's, though, I have no idea where the hobby/avocation
>is heading. I'll just be happy if it survives.

Well, let's see:

In the '40s, they said the WW2 shutdown would kill it
In the '50s, they said TVI, novices and SSB would kill it
In the '60s, they said incentive licensing and the space age would kill it
In the '70s, they said cb, FM, and Japanese rigs would kill it
In the '80s, they said computers, cell phones and the VE system would kill it
In the '90s, they said the internet and nocode ham licenses would kill it

And here we are in 2002 with more US hams than ever. Go figure.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2559. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 01:37:14 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129203714.17289.00000747@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 56698

In article <as3f4amdp1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20021126072910.06308.00000669@mb-fm.aol.com...
>> In article <aruhfnjtn1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
>> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>>
>> >If you go back to the actual FCC documentation you find a generic
>> >set of complaints claiming the hams were not up to the equivalency
>> >of their earlier hams in the eyes of industry, military, etc. The
>problem
>> >wasn't a lack of knowledge...but rather the onslaught of technology
>> >as an ever enlarging body of knowledge that couldn't be mastered
>> >simply by learning basics.
>>
>> See also "Sputnik fever" rant in another post.
>
>Agreed.

Well, there you have it.
>
>> >Remember, in the 30s and 40s the entire body of technical knowledge
>> >could pretty much be mastered by the average person with a good
>> >slant towards science. There was no digital technology, the components
>> >were all discrete, no solid state devices, no digital processing, no SSB
>> >even.
>>
>> I have to disagree with this a little, Bill.
>
>I'll allow a little :-)
>
>> Hams were using SSB in the early
>> '30s. QST and other mags had TV articles even before WW2. The technology
>of the
>> '40s included radar, TV, SSB, and minaturization - though those
>"miniature"
>> components seem huge today.
>
>But the radar and TV was pretty well beyond what any ham
>might encounter.

Most hams, yes. But some hams were builing their own TVs in the '30s and TV
transmitters in the 40s and later. Radar is another matter, because it's not
about communications. I do recall one QST article in the '50s about a pulse

modulation system that could be used in "radar mode".

Point is, most hams of 50 and more years ago had to be fairly "technical" to even get a station on the air. All that changed in the '50s and '60s.

>> Yet it was in late '52 that FCC gutted its incentive licensing program!
>
>Go figure.

Yup. Nobody seems to know why it was done. Here are two possibilities:

1) Requiring an Extra for HF 'phone was considered too much, and so the FCC went in the opposite direction
2) FCC thought that allowing more hams to use HF phone would promote development and use of SSB

>> >Hams could be hired directly into technician type jobs by industry
>> >and do very well. By the late 50s, that was changing...again, not
>> >because hams knew less, but rather the fact that industry demanded
>> >more knowledge of the technician types. Consumer electronics had
>> >been essentially the recieveing side of a hams knowledge plus a simple
>> >amplifier for the phonograph. Enter the TV and then color TV and
>> >things got more complex. The same too for industry and military
>> >electronics.
>>
>> True to a certain extent, but there was more to it. The field of
>electronics
>> widened far beyond what hams used, into areas that had little to do with
>radio
>> communication.
>
>Agree. That was also might point, but I should have
>been clearer on that.

We are in violent agremment, then.
>
>> A ham in the '30s and '40s had to be "technical" even to get
>> build a rig and get it on the air - or very rich. Even the prewar
>manufactured
>> stuff required some serious know-how in order to be used. Postwar
>affluence and
>> mass production changed all that.
>
>We're still in agreement.

Incentive licensing wasn;t going to change that trend, either. How many EE hams build their own rigs today?
>
>> >Anyway, the incentive licensing never solved that problem and, in
>> >the long run, the industry evolved to "throw-away" products. If
>> >you don't believe that, check out the cost of a basic function
>> >VCR, DVD, etc. Why would anyone pay $50 an hour to have

>> >someone attempt to repair a product that costs under $100?
>>
>> Exactly. I recently saw a rule of thumb that said when the price of a
>>consumer
>> electronics item drops to the point where the typical repair is one-third
>>the
>> price of a replacement, repair shops die out from lack of business.
>
>And even in industry where some equipment is VERRRY expensive,
>the field repair is nothing more than a plug-in (circuit board) replacement
>where the faulty board is then sent to a central repair depot for
>computer diagnosis and then specific component replacement/repair.

Exactly. Often the faulty board is not even repaired because a new one isn't
much more expensive. PCs are the perfect example - boards, drives and power
supplies are considered components and rarely if ever repaired.
>
>> >Anyway, just some thoughts.
>>
>> Pretty interesting ones. Seems to me that the FCC didn't really understand
>>what
>> was going on in the first place, back then.
>
>Nor did many others. Who would have forcast the amount
>of transistors we could stuff into an IC chip today?

Alan Turing, for one. His Turning Test hasn't been passed yet, though.
>
>> And what it really comes down to is this: If ham radio is confined to
>>those who
>> have lots of technical focus and ability, it will be a very small service.
>
>Agree too. And even when you go back to the "early" days of
>ham radio, not every ham was the local super tech. Many hams
>were quite content to be outstanding operators.

This divide goes all the way back to pre WW1. Some hams were concerned with
pushing the technology, while others were concerned with seeing what the
technology they had could do. Both are needed.
>
>> How many hams would there be, and what technology would we be using, if
>>there
>> were no more code tests - but all of us had to homebrew all our equipment?
>
>I'd be gone tomorrow. I have NO desire to homebrew a reciever or
>transmitter.

I've done several of each, plus transceivers. That doesn't mean all hams have
to.

> My TS-690 fits neatly on a small shelf on my home office
>desk and I couldn't come close to homebrewing the full range

>of capabilities, functionality and frequency coverage that the rig
>provides me.

And even if you could build a TS-690 equivalent at home from scratch, how long would it take and how much would it cost to buy all the parts?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2560. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 01:37:15 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129203715.17289.00000749@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 56695

In article <as8ne102k86@enews2.newsguy.com>, "Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com>
writes:

>Okay, I can't resist this...
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>Listen while I play
>
>My green tambouriiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiiine!
>
You gotta do better'n that!

How about.....

(WARNING! DANGER, WILL ROBINSON! HAZARDOUS AREA!! WARNING!)

"Yummy yummy yummy I got love in my tummy......"

"Timothy, Timothy, Joe was lookin' at you....."

"Inna-gadda-da-vida, baby...."

"The chair is not my son...."

And of course the William Shatner version of "Lucy in the Sky with Diamonds"

ALL YOUR BASE ARE BELONG TO US

73 de Jim, N2EY

2561. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 01:37:15 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129203715.17289.00000748@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 56697

In article <kMPF9.35277$GR5.9116@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>, "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20021129133132.12726.00000764@mb-mb.aol.com...
>> That's cruel. Really cruel. Inhumanly cruel. I luv it. Get Dave Barry's
>book.
>> Ammunition.
>
>I love Dave Barry, I've got many of his books but not all of them. Time to
>round out my collection.

When I first encountered the book in a local store, I could not read more than half a page without bursting out laughing. I am not making this up. That's a keeper.

>
>> >Now, you must
>> >be thinking, what an evil thing to do.
>>
>> No, I'm thinking of who I can do it to.
>
>Laughing... prepare to be smacked the next time they see you.

They gotta catch me first.

>
>> "OOOMA-SHACKA, OOOMA-SHACKA, OOOMA-OOOMA-OOOMA-SHACKA" [2]
>
>> [2] "Hooked On A Feeling", Blue Suede version. Drove me and coworkers nuts
>for
>> two days until we could remember the rest of the song and who did it.
>Always
>> reminded me of a car trying to start on a cold winter morning.

This was before the "Dancing Baby", too.

>
>*Urgh* Ohmygod, I haven't heard that since it was coming out of my older
>sister's 8-track in her '69 Mustang! LOL...

That means she BOUGHT that song! Bummer!

We haven't even started on Teen Death Songs yet.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2562. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 01:37:16 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129203716.17289.00000750@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 56696

In article <20021129191422.02898.00000129@mb-cq.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) writes:

>To the person that corrects spelling. Let's leave that alone.

Why? An occasional spelling, punctuation or grammatical error is one thing, but a constant barrage of obvious uncorrected errors indicates the poster just doesn't care.

>Spelling and
>grammar should count in formal reports, but reasonable misspelling in an
>internet posting is okay. It is hard to maintain spelling in an internet
>posting and there should be some allowance. Sometimes typing quickly can
>cause incorrect spelling.

One word: SPELLCHECKER. There's one built into the AOL software. Why not use it?

>Incorrect spelling or grammar does not mean the person is
>less intelligent. The content of the message is what's important.

Very true. But when a person doesn't take the time to correct multiple errors, it means they just don't care.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2563. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 01:59:04 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as95r602ne@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56754
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021129195846.20054.00000353@mb-dh.aol.com...
> >As I recall, you weren't simply going to the kitchen for a beer.
>
> For a Beer, she needs Keg to fill that gut she has

For a (b)eer(?) (S)he needs (k)eg to fill that gut she has(.)

...and Babbling Brucey prefers a bottle of Thunderbird.

2564. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 01:59:30 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <6YUF9.37763$GR5.9230@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 56700

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021129203715.17289.00000749@mb-mr.aol.com...

> And of course the William Shatner version of "Lucy in the Sky with
Diamonds"

Tell me this never happened. Please.

Did it?

Jackie

2565. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 02:00:14 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <OYUF9.161827$WL3.66272@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 56751

> We used to have a dog named Rosemary. Nothing grew where Rosemary went.

ROTFL!

Jackie

2566. Re: Well Im back on HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 02:04:03 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <as96abguk1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56752

You know your right. I had a nice chat on CW on 160 earlier with a fellow contester.

I don't get on the band that much. Where you hanging about? May see if I can find ya.

Dan/W4NTI

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message news:20021129200609.20054.00000354@mb-dh.aol.com...
> After not being on HF for a long while I decide to get back on. Well only 160
> Meters, I prefer that Band because there are very few CBplussers there, why you
> ask, because most CBplussers cant make a Ant work there, to Technical for
> them,which also makes for a good CBplusser filter.

2567. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 02:04:27 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as965a036f@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56755
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021129195425.20054.00000352@mb-dh.aol.com...
> >One license, called "Amateur Radio License," would solve it all.
> >
> >
>
> Sure Brian, cant pass the Extra so now you think they should make it 1
License,
> that way you can pretend to be a real Ham

Sure(,) Brian(.) (You) (C)an(')t pass the Extra(,) so now you think they
should make it 1 License.
(T)hat way(,) you can pretend to be a real Ham(.)

Sorry, Brucey, but an amateur radio license, as issued by the FCC, is all
one needs to be a "real ham." Some of the so-called "CB-plussers" I've met
are more of a ham than you'll ever be.

2568. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 02:08:35 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE81D88.E59A3C94@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56753

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <kMPF9.35277$GR5.9116@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>, "Jackie L."
> <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20021129133132.12726.00000764@mb-mb.aol.com...
> >> That's cruel. Really cruel. Inhumanly cruel. I luv it. Get Dave Barry's
> >book.
> >> Ammunition.
> >>
> >I love Dave Barry, I've got many of his books but not all of them. Time to
> >round out my collection.
>
> When I first encountered the book in a local store, I could not read more than
> half a page without bursting out laughing. I am not making this up. That's a
> keeper.

I'm that way with Dave Barry's books and with those by P.J. O'Rouke.

Dave K8MN

2569. Re: See "Codeless"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 02:10:38 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as96gs03qp@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56759
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021129195146.20054.00000351@mb-dh.aol.com...
> >and the rest of us enjoy laughing at you...not with you.
> >
> >
>
> GOOD maybe one of these days you can get a Real ham License

GOOD(!) (M)aybe one of these days you can get a (r)eal ham (l)icense(.)

But...but... I thought that the real ham licenses were all gone! I thought it was all a "dumbed down" license! After 4/15/2000, everyone that acquired an amateur radio license was nothing but a "CB-plusser." You said so yourself.

2570. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 02:13:32 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as96ma048e@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56758
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021129192433.10542.00000364@mb-cg.aol.com...
> >I, at least, can write a sentence past a second grade level.
>
> But dont know a thing about Ham Radio
>
> >(And this guy
> >thinks CBers are dolts!)
> >
>
> I dont think it I know it

I don't think you know it either!

2571. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 02:21:17 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as974r04se@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56760

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021129192201.10542.00000362@mb-cg.aol.com...
> >To the person that corrects spelling. Let's leave that alone.
>
> No lets not I enjoy seeing him do it, at least hes got something to occupy
his
> time, besides he cant discuss anything about Ham Radio because hes just a
> CBplusser.

By your own twisted definition, I'd have to have a tech-plus license to be a
"CB-plusser" as you call it. I have no such license.

2572. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 02:23:00 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <as978304vt@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56764

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021129203716.17289.00000750@mb-mr.aol.com...
> In article <20021129191422.02898.00000129@mb-cq.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com
> (Vshah101) writes:
>
> >To the person that corrects spelling. Let's leave that alone.
>
> Why? An occasional spelling, punctuation or grammatical error is one
thing, but
> a constant barrage of obvious uncorrected errors indicates the poster just
> doesn't care.
>
> >Spelling and
> >grammar should count in formal reports, but reasonable misspelling in an
> >internet posting is okay. It is hard to maintain spelling in an internet
> >posting and there should be some allowance. Sometimes typing quickly can
> >cause incorrect spelling.
>
> One word: SPELLCHECKER. There's one built into the AOL software. Why not
use
> it?
>
> >Incorrect spelling or grammar does not mean the person is
> >less intelligent. The content of the message is what's important.
>
> Very true. But when a person doesn't take the time to correct multiple
errors,
> it means they just don't care.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Thanks, Jim. It's too bad Babbling Brucey doesn't understand this simple
concept.

2573. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 02:30:28 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <8pVF9.8566$ta5.965349@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 56756

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote

:
: But when a person doesn't take the time to correct multiple errors,
: it means they just don't care.
:

No, it simply means that they don't consider it important. (Which is
different than your anal-retentive/condescending "they just don't care")

Eye halve a spelling chequer. It came with my pea sea
It plainly marques four my revue miss steaks eye kin knot sea.
Eye strike a key and type a word, and weight four it two say
Weather eye am wrong oar write it shows me strait a weigh.
As soon as a mist ache is maid, it nose bee fore two long
And eye can put the error rite; its rare lea ever wrong.
Eye have run this poem threw it, I am shore your pleased two no
Its letter perfect awl the weigh. My chequer tolled me sew!

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB
Grand Exhalted Liberator of the Electric Smoke

2574. Re: Just what ARE the correct ham radio licensee stats?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 02:31:29 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211291831.479b3bee@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56757

"Fred in Chicago" <bac@newposteryahooserv.com> wrote in message
news:<as6vv1$5lq$0@pita.alt.net>...
> "Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021128163519.25792.00002121@mb-fc.aol.com...
> >
> > Where is this informative poll and its results? :-)
>
> Duh, any middle school kid with internet access could find it,
> have you?
> >
> > About half the US amateur bands (and 99% of the spectrum space)
> > are above 30 MHz...line-of-sight radio paths. The USA has seven
> > time zones signifying east-west spreading well beyond LOS paths.
>
> Oh my, resorting to post-editing? You're getting sneaky!
>
> >
> > There is no federal requirement mandating any allocated US amateur
> > mode over others in the same bandspace. Why does it "matter"
> > which mode "they" use?
>
> Wanna bet? Better recheck your source of into there, if you even
> have one. Wannabees everywhere, how sad!
>

Assuming he was allowed to transmit anything on any ham band I'd like
to be there when he dumped an FM signal on 144.050 . .

>
> Fred in Chicago
> (Chicago Tunnell to be exact)

w3rv

2575. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 02:59:41 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129215941.14881.00000309@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 56765

In article <KJTF9.161247$WL3.66362@rwcrnsc54>, "Jackie L."
<buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:

>"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>news:3DE8069F.4000204@adelphia.net...
>
>> Sorry to have to resort to such strong medicine, but people were getting
>> out of hand here! ;*)
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>I GIVE!!!! Mom, MAKE HIM STOP!! :-)

Awwww.....

"ARE YOU NOT ENTERTAINED????"[1]

"She's no fun, she fell right over"[2]

73 de Jim, N2EY

[1] Maximus to the mob in "Gladiator"

[2] Adapted from "Fudd's First Law of Opposition" - "If you push something hard enough, it will fall over" - Firesign Theatre

2576. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 03:13:53 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211291913.32e2ced8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56766

brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0211281331.3e86cba5@posting.google.com>...
> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0211271940.406501e@posting.google.com>...
>
> > > > Check this: The Putz got stupid and tried his crap over in dot hombrew
> > > > a few days back via his usual "(half) vast technical superiority"
> > > > thingey and got whacked good by an OH who really does know his stuuf.
> > > > Figgered you might relate to that, bloomin' hoot.
> > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > > w3rv
> > >
> > > Brian, you're postings are slightly more readable than Bruce's.
> > >
> > > Are you really an engineer?
> >
> > You bet, which explains why I go over your head.
>
> I understand engineers just fine. Even their doodles on napkins at lunchtime.

No doubt including their solutions to double integrals right?

> You don't sound like any engineer I've ever worked with, which is why I ask.
>
> What kind of engineer are you? Sanitation?

If I wuz a sanitation engineer you wouldn't be here.

w3rv

2577. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 03:37:10 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129223710.28322.00000685@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 56767

In article <6YUF9.37763$GR5.9230@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>, "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20021129203715.17289.00000749@mb-mr.aol.com...
>
>> And of course the William Shatner version of "Lucy in the Sky with
>Diamonds"
>
>Tell me this never happened. Please.
>
>
>
>Did it?
>
Yes, it did. In fact, both William Shatner and Leonard Nimoy made an entire album each, covering various hits of the '60s. I'm not sure about DeForest Kelley.

I am not making this up.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2578. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 03:58:50 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129225850.24593.00000377@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 56768

>One word: SPELLCHECKER.

We dont need no stinkin spell checkers

2579. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 03:59:33 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129225933.24593.00000378@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 56769

>But when a person doesn't take the time to correct multiple errors,
>it means they just don't care.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY
>

So true, it also gets krell upset

2580. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 03:59:50 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DE837AC.20609@adelphia.net>
Source record: 56770

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote
>
> :
> : But when a person doesn't take the time to correct multiple errors,
> : it means they just don't care.
> :
>
> No, it simply means that they don't consider it important. (Which is
> different than your anal-retentive/condescending "they just don't care")
>
>
> Eye halve a spelling chequer. It came with my pea sea
> It plainly marques four my revue miss steaks eye kin knot sea.
> Eye strike a key and type a word, and weight four it two say
> Weather eye am wrong oar write it shows me strait a weigh.
> As soon as a mist ache is maid, it nose bee fore two long
> And eye can put the error rite; its rare lea ever wrong.
> Eye have run this poem threw it, I am shore your pleased two no
> Its letter perfect awl the weigh. My chequer tolled me sew!

And in trying to prove your point Hans, you show exactly what is wrong. I read the first sentence, figured it out and stopped right there. Its just too hard to read. Why anyone would post messages a large portion of intended readers will ignore is beyond me. In hte end, its just a urinating contest with another poster. If we are going to write in english, we might as well do it correctly.

Then again, a fellow I worked with once said "I have no respect for anyone who only knows one way to spell a word."

- Mike KB3EIA -

2581. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 04:01:45 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129230145.24593.00000379@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 56771

>It's too bad Babbling Brucey doesn't understand this simple
>concept.

You still cant figure it out, I dont care, and it also Pisses you off, which makes it more fun

2582. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 04:03:39 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DE83892.10000@adelphia.net>
Source record: 56772

Dave Heil wrote:

> Did I mention:
>
> "Love grows where my Rosemary goes...."
>
> We used to have a dog named Rosemary. Nothing grew where Rosemary went.

Good one Dave!

Best thread here in monthes - and it's OT. 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

2583. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 04:07:11 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129230711.24593.00000380@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 56773

>No lets not I enjoy seeing him do it, at least hes got something to occupy
>his
>> time, besides he cant discuss anything about Ham Radio because hes just a
>> CBplusser.
>
>By your own twisted definition, I'd have to have a tech-plus license to be a
>"CB-plusser" as you call it. I have no such license.
>

Krell you screwed up there were a whole bunch of mistakes in the above posting. Come on krell we know you dont know anything about Ham Radio so get back to work correcting the mistakes. No you have a CBplusser license, accept it be proud of it, just think you could still be nothing more than a CBer

2584. Re: WOW Just got Back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 04:10:03 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129231003.24593.00000381@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 56774

>So you claim, but have nothing to back it up except, "I can't go
>into details", which means there are no details to go into.
>
>

What ever

2585. Re: See "Codeless"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 04:11:53 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021129231153.24593.00000382@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 56776

>But...but... I thought that the real ham licenses were all gone! I thought
>it was all a "dumbed down" license! After 4/15/2000, everyone that acquired
>an amateur radio license was nothing but a "CB-plusser."

Your right, I was just trying to make you fell better and give you a little bit
of hope.

2586. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 04:11:58 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <iUWF9.8735$ta5.982108@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 56775

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote

:
: Then again, a fellow I worked with once said "I have no respect for
: anyone who only knows one way to spell a word."
:

Mee tu

2587. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 05:07:45 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uughsbfd0b6570@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56777

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021129191042.02898.00000128@mb-cq.aol.com...
> Seems like some people have fun dancing on my identity, by reminiscing
about
> songs. All in good fun.
>
> From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
> >If I may respond in the style of Vipul S: The decision will be made
> >upon a higher plane when it has been established whether the poster was
> >motivated by altruism geared toward the betterment of ham culture or if
> >we learn that this motivation was the work of an only-troll.
> Well said. Of course I want to see the betterment of Ham Radio.
>
> From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
> >But the REAL question is...Will Vipul...I mean "Vshah"... own up to
> >being
> >KB1HMW...?!?! Or was my first assumption of his lack of licensure
correct?
> >Here I am, apology in hand, ready to admit my error if we find out that
> >"vshah"
> >IS KB1HMW, thus demonstrating that my presumtion of lack of licensure was
> >invalid. However I was still correct in my assumption of his lack of
tenure
> >in
> >Amateur Radio. That is invioable
> >
> >Decisions...decisions...decisions...
> I don't know how you figured it out. Yes, my call sign is KB1HMW.
>
> ---
> V.S., Technician class Amateur Radio license, KB1HMW
> ---

<SNIP of wasted bandwidth>

> I have the ability to encode a table of realtime encoded symbols - more
> "characters" than the Morse code. However, Morse code is not enjoyable or
> useful to me and it would better serve me and Amateur Radio to maintain my
> technical skills.
> ---
>
Ok Vipul if I may call you by your first name. Thanks for owning up
on the call sign. Wouldn't it have been easier to have done it first?

Now only one question I have for you is still unanswered.

What is this "realtime encoded symbols" that is so much
better than Morse code?

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

2588. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 10:18:40 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021130051840.27871.00007716@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 56778

>Subject: Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 11/29/02 6:10 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021129191042.02898.00000128@mb-cq.aol.com>

>Well said. Of course I want to see the betterment of Ham Radio.

Good. We ALL do.

>I don't know how you figured it out. Yes, my call sign is KB1HMW.

Because I paid attention to the details, Vipul.

And you now offically have my apologies for asserting that you were unlicensed.

See...It wasn't THAT hard, now was it? The sky isn't falling, the sun will still rise, and no one is going to drag you into the street to tar-and-feather you.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2589. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 10:21:31 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021130052131.27871.00007717@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 56779

>Subject: Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 11/29/02 6:53 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3DE80BEC.A12E4424@earthlink.net>

>It doesn't get worse than Ohio Express:
>
>"Yummy, yummy, yummy
>I've got love in my tummy"...

Yes, it does...Here ae the REAL lyrics to that song:

"Dummy dummy dummy you've got life in your tummy..."

The pregnant teenager's anthem....

Steve, K4YZ

2590. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 10:38:51 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021130053851.27871.00007718@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 56780

>Subject: Re: Would have been Extra sooner
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 11/29/02 6:14 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021129191422.02898.00000129@mb-cq.aol.com>

>
>To the person that corrects spelling. Let's leave that alone. Spelling and
>grammar should count in formal reports, but reasonable misspelling in an
>internet posting is okay.

The occassional misspelled word will happen...No roblem. However there is
an attitude of malaise about our language and it's applications that is
peermeating society, and a lot of it's due to the "it's the internet...it's OK"
attitude.

It's NOT "OK". The invention of the microprocessor did not sound the
death knell of good grammar.

>It is hard to maintain spelling in an internet
>posting and there should be some allowance.

Why is it hard? Use a spellchecker. If not a spellchecker, just go back
and re-read what you wrote before hitting the "send" key. I have a terrible
habit of transposing the letter "a" with "h" in words like "have", "had", etc.
But 30 seconds of rereading my post ususally catch most of them.

>Sometimes typing quickly can cause
>incorrect spelling.

Then slow down! What's more productive? Trying to type at 60 WPM then
having to go back and correct your errors, or typing at 30 WPM and getting it
right the first time?

>Incorrect spelling or grammar does not mean the person is
>less intelligent. The content of the message is what's important.

Vipul, I would have thought that the lesson you just got taught about
"attention to detail" would have sank in by now.

Yes, the content is important. But making an impact counts more. If you
cannot effecitively express your opinion, what good is it?

>I would be willing to take an active role in any such project, but I cant if
>there is no interest.

There's an old axiom that is well known in the Armed Forces of the United
States, Vipul...it's "Lead, Follow, Or Get Out Of My Way".

You have been given some suggestions on how to go about organizing a "club" (group, team, whatever you care to call it) of like-minded individuals. Have you done it? Or are you just waiting for someone else to do it for you?

Are you one of those folks who want's to do "something", but just doesn't know where to start? Are you more of a "joiner" than a "leader"...?!?!

In the word's of a famous sports' clothing retailer..."Just Do It".

73

Steve, K4YZ

2591. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 10:49:16 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021130054916.27871.00007719@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 56781

>Subject: Re: Would have been Extra sooner
>From: "Kï¿½HB" kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG
>Date: 11/29/02 8:30 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <8pVF9.8566$ta5.965349@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

>No, it simply means that they don't consider it important. (Which is
>different than your anal-retentive/condescending "they just don't care")

What's the difference, Hans? If someone doesn't take the time to ensure
that thier post is as clear and effective as possible, then it's apparent to me
that they just don't care.

There's NO difference between "don't care" and "not important".

>Eye halve a spelling chequer.

Obviously you're sitting on it.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2592. Re: See "Codeless"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 11:24:43 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <asa70j01f8r@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56782

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021129231153.24593.00000382@mb-ch.aol.com...
> >But...but... I thought that the real ham licenses were all gone! I
thought
> >it was all a "dumbed down" license! After 4/15/2000, everyone that
acquired
> >an amateur radio license was nothing but a "CB-plusser."
>
> Your right, I was just trying to make you fell better and give you a
little bit
> of hope.

Hope for what? That I'll become like you? Sorry, but I don't relish the idea
of having a lobotomy.

2593. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 11:31:26 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <asa7d601fn6@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56783
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021129230711.24593.00000380@mb-ch.aol.com...
> >No lets not I enjoy seeing him do it, at least hes got something to
occupy
> >his
> >> time, besides he cant discuss anything about Ham Radio because hes just
a
> >> CBplusser.
> >
> >By your own twisted definition, I'd have to have a tech-plus license to
be a
> >"CB-plusser" as you call it. I have no such license.
> >
>
> Krell you screwed up there were a whole bunch of mistakes in the above
> posting. Come on krell we know you dont know anything about Ham Radio so
get
> back to work correcting the mistakes. No you have a CBplusser license,
accept
> it be proud of it, just think you could still be nothing more than a CBer

You've given me a better idea than correcting your mistakes. I'll just
cross-post the best of your gems to rec.radio.cb so that the people you've
been insulting can see how much of a moron you are.

2594. Where is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 11:46:26 UTC
From: tbryant@IHATESPAM.cannet.com
Message-ID: <asa8ei0j79@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56784

I haven't been around these newsgroups very much in the past couple of years. However, I do lurk now and then, and I've always enjoyed reading Cecil's posts. I didn't exactly agree with everything he said, but for the most part he was very informative. Where did he disappear to?

Tom - N8ECW

2595. Re: Where is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 13:34:51 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021130083451.01295.00008582@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 56785

>Subject: Where is...
>From: tbryant@IHATESPAM.cannet.com (TLB)
>Date: 11/30/02 5:46 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <asa8ei0j79@enews3.newsguy.com>

>I do lurk now and then, and I've always enjoyed reading Cecil's posts.
>I didn't exactly agree with everything he said, but for the most part he was
>very informative. Where did he disappear to?

Y'aint been paying attention! He's posted within the last few days!

Welcome back, Tom.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2596. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 14:03:59 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uuhhprgbvu06b7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56786

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0211291545.28636de4@posting.google.com...
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<uual9k8nu2j668@corp.supernews.com>...
>
> > What does it say, Dick? Why don't you let us know what you *think* it
says?
> > What is the problem with someone who gets the piece of paper and then is
> > just plain happy to stay wherever it is they wish to stay in ham radio?
You
> > may not realize it, but by separating out and distinguishing those who
> > "learn the written material" and "also seem to want to learn the code,
and
> > upgrade," you reveal what your true feelings are: you think anyone who
> > chooses not to learn code and upgrade as some kind of inferior person to
> > you.
>
> Kim, its part and parcel of Amateur Radio. If there were a license
> class beyond Extra, you'd hear someone "encouraging" you to get it at
> least 3X per QSO.
>

Well, you and I are pretty sure that is the way Dick really thinks. BUT I
figured, since he's posturing to be a friendly sort of fella around the
"newer" folk on the newsgroup, maybe he'd had a change of heart; or maybe
we've (I've) even misunderstood what he really feels about about the
questions I asked above.

I sort my messages by "time sent" so I don't know if Dick has responded to
my questions yet. However, I'll go out on a limb and "figure" that Dick
won't be answering because he knows-that we know what the answer(s) is/are.

> One license, called "Amateur Radio License," would solve it all.

I really don't have a problem with "incentive" licensing concepts or
testing. I have a huge problem with the process by which the testing is
done, and certainly have an even huger problem with arrogance on behalf of
someone who thinks they're better than a lesser licensed person. However,
the folks in here who demonstrate that sort of behavior are, by far, the
minority in ham radio (even though they have the greatest capability to
impact the impression of ham radio--that's another debate). The folks in
here who exhibit crappy attitudes are here *BECAUSE* of their crappy
attitudes...uh huh.

Kim W5TIT

2597. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 14:08:50 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uuhi36av92c642@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56787

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0211291551.4691cb1f@posting.google.com...
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DE70199.805046E0@townsqr.com>...
> >
> > Prolly because that's all you've ever been able to think about - how all
us mean ol' Extras
> > screwed you out of your HF ticket.
>
> Putz, can't you ever get anything right? My initial license was an HF
> license. I've never NOT had HF. I had to take more exams to get VHF.
> And you said you were a VE? Good thing you quit. You don't know the
> first thing about amateur radio licensing.

Ain't it amazin'? I've never seen--in this newsgroup--anyone with even the slightest credibility expressing any kind of sentiment such as Dick purports above. Yet, he acts like that is a common contention in this newsgroup--and treats you as though you are the person carrying it.

I think Dick has a bone toward someone local or on the air that he's dealt with and will hold a grudge forever against.

Kim W5TIT

2598. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 14:16:52 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uuhiurftbb3uc2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56791

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021129191422.02898.00000129@mb-cq.aol.com...
> To the person that corrects spelling. Let's leave that alone. Spelling and
> grammar should count in formal reports, but reasonable misspelling in an
> internet posting is okay.
>

Laughing hysterically "Reasonable" would be the operative word, there.

>
> It is hard to maintain spelling in an internet
> posting and there should be some allowance. Sometimes typing quickly can
cause
> incorrect spelling.
>

The greatest arena in the world for someone who is a bad speller--even not
so good at sentence structure--is a computer. All one need do is remember
to spell check and use a grammar checker. Waddles doesn't even take that
step--and his transgression against the language of American English are
many and constant: not just casual. I doubt Waddles can think fast...let
alone type fast. Let alone that he does nothing but act like a small child
would in an argument where someone's taken away his crayon.

>
> Incorrect spelling or grammar does not mean the person is
> less intelligent. The content of the message is what's important.
>

You're right. The problem is when the communication is so incoherrent that
one cannot decipher what the message really is...

Kim W5TIT

>
> From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
> >If they had the same License Testing they do now when I was first
licensed, I
> >would have Probably have been an Extra at 4 years old
>
> I could not have passed the Extra at 4 years old because I did not have
"Ham"
> relatives and did not know about it then. I went to a Hamfest with a
coworker
> who is a Ham. I have been an Amateur Radio enthusiast and have
"Homebrewed" the

> same, a while before I even heard of "Ham" Radio. (And, no, I did not come
from
> CB - not that there's anything wrong with that).
>
> I actually agree that the license test is very easy and a young person can
pass
> it easily. The Technician test is probably the hardest of the three tests.
The
> few young people that took the test with me passed the Technician test
after a
> one-day "review" session, where all the answers to the test was given. The
new
> members of Ham Radio had nothing to do with the license test and should
not be
> insulted because they passed this new easier test.
>
> Lack of interest in the "other" potential areas of amateur radio is a
greater
> problem than the testing. This includes the old timers who are the
majority of
> Hams. The Hams at the clubs don't seem to show any interest in things
other
> than operating and antennas. Group projects, radio direction finding, kit
> building, designing circuits, using test equipment, troubleshooting, AND
> antennas should be included as part of club activities.
>
> I would be willing to take an active role in any such project, but I cant
if
> there is no interest.
>

2599. Re: See "Codeless"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 14:18:10 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021130091810.26184.00000283@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 56788

>Hope for what? That I'll become like you?

You could never be that great

2600. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 14:19:39 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021130091939.26184.00000284@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 56789

>You've given me a better idea than correcting your mistakes.

No please dont stop

2601. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 14:23:46 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uuhiusrm3mchc3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56792

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3DE80374.CED5EFB@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> >
> > Dave, if the Alec Baldwin reference is supposed to be some kind of -ism
that
> > is supposed to insult me, you'll have to explain who Alec Baldwin is
first.
> > I haven't a clue to what you are referring.
>
> Oh, its much like things I feel you should know about amateur radio,
> Kim.
>

You're hilarious.

>
> You feel that you can simply look them up if you find a need for
> them.
>

Dave, I don't just "feel" that. I can.

>
> You could have done the same by looking up "Alec Baldwin".
>

You said it yourself: "if (you) find a need for them." Dave, I don't feel a
need to know what or who Alec Baldwin is. Coming from you, I knew it was
some simpleton sort of thought process, since that's pretty much all you can
muster.

>
> A
> simple internet search would have clued you in to the fact that he is a
> pretty well known movie actor.
> You might have also learned that he stated (to the news media) that if
> George W. Bush was elected to the presidency, he'd leave America.
>

Well, you see, Dave. I don't dwell on crap like that. My life is much more
exciting than living it by a book. You see, there are things I am near
certain you've said in your life that were never done. That is, unless you
consider yourself *that* far above the rest of us. And, that is your
problem.

> >
> > Oh, I also ought to add that you need not waste your time if you do
intend
> > it to be an insult. You see, one must be deserving of another feeling
> > insulted, and you are not.
>
> ...or the insulted party has to be sharp enough to figure out why she
> should feel insulted. It is okay with me that you didn't get it.
> Others did.
>
> Dave K8MN

And, it's OK (quite OK) that you don't have any impact on me at all. I consider you nothing more than a name...nothing more than an arrogant bastard who isn't worthy of affecting me in the least.

Kim W5TIT

2602. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 14:24:21 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021130092421.26184.00000285@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 56790

>I have a huge problem with the process by which the testing is
>done, and certainly have an even huger problem with arrogance on behalf of
>someone who thinks they're better than a lesser licensed person.

Were all not Equal,not just in Ham Radio but in everything. Get use to it, you
can never be an Equal

2603. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 14:24:39 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uuhj0p2ojh1he3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56793

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3DE803D0.97402DAC@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3DE6C6F2.C1DE6656@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:<3DE63546.1F956B43@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > Never gave up radio, eh? And people say they thought *I* was
> > leaving...
> > > > > >
> > > > > People only thought that because you told us you were leaving.
You
> > and
> > > > > > Alec Baldwin leave us confused.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > >
> > > > > Poor Dave, so confused.
> > > >
> > > Not that confused. I didn't forget, for example, that it was a trio.
> > > Alec Baldwin, Kim and you who were leaving in a snit (two-door
> > > convertible snit, wasn't it?).
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Dave, I did leave, when I decided. And, I came back when I decided.
>
> ...much like Richard Nixon.
>
> Dave K8MN

Why don't you take your politics to Washington, D.C., Dave? I'm sure you'll
mix right in.

Kim W5TIT

2604. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 14:26:26 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uuhj45er64q612@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56794

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3DE80432.298498C5@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> >
> >
> > Back then, you must have been no gentleman. As witnessed here, I don't
see
> > that much has changed.
>
> Uh....Kim? The song doesn't have the word "gentleman" in the lyrics.
> Thanks for playing our game though.
>
> Dave K8MN

Oh, 'twas nothing. I feel like I took advantage, though.

Kim W5TIT

2605. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 14:30:44 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <asaidu$9qm$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56796

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021129203714.17289.00000747@mb-mr.aol.com...
> In article <as3f4amdp1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20021126072910.06308.00000669@mb-fm.aol.com...
> >> In article <aruhfnjtn1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
> >> <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:
> >>
> >> >If you go back to the actual FCC documentation you find a generic
> >> >set of complaints claiming the hams were not up to the equivalency
> >> >of their earlier hams in the eyes of industry, military, etc. The
> >problem
> >> >wasn't a lack of knowledge...but rather the onslaught of technology
> >> >as an ever enlarging body of knowledge that couldn't be mastered
> >> >simply by learning basics.
> >>
> >> See also "Sputnik fever" rant in another post.
> >
> >Agreed.
>
> Well, there you have it.
> >
> >> >Remember, in the 30s and 40s the entire body of technical knowledge
> >> >could pretty much be mastered by the average person with a good
> >> >slant towards science. There was no digital technology, the
components
> >> >were all discrete, no solid state devices, no digital processing, no
SSB
> >> >even.
> >>
> >> I have to disagree with this a little, Bill.
> >
> >I'll allow a little :-)
> >
> >> Hams were using SSB in the early
> >> '30s. QST and other mags had TV articles even before WW2. The
technology
> >of the
> >> '40s included radar, TV, SSB, and minaturization - though those
> >"miniature"
> >> components seem huge today.
> >

> >But the radar and TV was pretty well beyond what any ham
> >might encounter.
>
> Most hams, yes. But some hams were builing their own TVs in the '30s and
TV
> transmitters in the 40s and later. Radar is another matter, because it's
not
> about communications. I do recall one QST article in the '50s about a
pulse
> modulation system that could be used in "radar mode".
>
> Point is, most hams of 50 and more years ago had to be fairly "technical"
to
> even get a station on the air.

But the point is that being "fairly technical" did not
encompass the scope of knowledge that constituted all of
radio technology at the time (1950s and earlier).

All that changed in the '50s and '60s.

Two things changed:
(1) The availability of cheaper commercially available rigs, and
(2) The body of knowledge that constituted electronics and
the application of electronics began to grow tremendously.

> >> Yet it was in late '52 that FCC gutted its incentive licensing program!
> >
> >Go figure.
>
> Yup. Nobody seems to know why it was done. Here are two possibilities:
>
> 1) Requiring an Extra for HF 'phone was considered too much, and so the
FCC
> went in the opposite direction
> 2) FCC thought that allowing more hams to use HF phone would promote
> development and use of SSB

Someone must have the original documentation that went with the
FCC R&O that was issued by the FCC in late '52. What
was written about the change in QST?

> >> >Hams could be hired directly into technician type jobs by industry
> >> >and do very well. By the late 50s, that was changing...again, not
> >> >because hams knew less, but rather the fact that industry demanded
> >> >more knowledge of the technician types. Consumer electronics had
> >> >been essentially the recieveing side of a hams knowledge plus a simple
> >> >amplifier for the phonograph. Enter the TV and then color TV and
> >> >things got more complex. The same too for industry and military
> >> >electronics.
> >>
> >> True to a certain extent, but there was more to it. The field of

> >> electronics widened far beyond what hams used,
> >> into areas that had little to do with radio communication.
> >
> >Agree. That was also might point, but I should have
> >been clearer on that.
>
> We are in violent agreement, then.

So be it!

> >> A ham in the '30s and '40s had to be "technical" even to get
> >> build a rig and get it on the air - or very rich. Even the prewar
> >manufactured
> >> stuff required some serious know-how in order to be used. Postwar
> >affluence and
> >> mass production changed all that.
> >
> >We're still in agreement.
>
> Incentive licensing wasn;t going to change that trend,
> either. How many EE hams
> build their own rigs today?

Exactly.

> >> >Anyway, the incentive licensing never solved that problem and, in
> >> >the long run, the industry evolved to "throw-away" products. If
> >> >you don't believe that, check out the cost of a basic function
> >> >VCR, DVD, etc. Why would anyone pay $50 an hour to have
> >> >someone attempt to repair a product that costs under $100?
> >>
> >> Exactly. I recently saw a rule of thumb that said when the
> >> price of a consumer electronics item drops
> >> to the point where the typical repair is one-third the
> >> price of a replacement, repair shops die out from lack of business.
> >
> >And even in industry where some equipment is VERRRY expensive,
> >the field repair is nothing more than a plug-in (circuit board)
replacement
> >where the faulty board is then sent to a central repair depot for
> >computer diagnosis and then specific component replacement/repair.
>
> Exactly. Often the faulty board is not even repaired because a new one
isn't
> much more expensive. PCs are the perfect example - boards, drives and
power
> supplies are considered components and rarely if ever repaired.

In the PC arena, the equipment also is almost obsolete the day
it is sold as new because the next generation of PC boards is
already in the production planning stages.

> >> >Anyway, just some thoughts.
> >>
> >> Pretty interesting ones. Seems to me that the FCC didn't really
> >> understand what was going on in the first place, back then.
> >
> >Nor did many others. Who would have forcast the amount
> >of transistors we could stuff into an IC chip today?
>
> Alan Turing, for one. His Turning Test hasn't been passed yet, though.
> >
> >> And what it really comes down to is this: If ham radio is
> >> cconfined to those who have lots
> >> of technical focus and ability, it will be a very small service.
> >
> >Agree too. And even when you go back to the "early" days of
> >ham radio, not every ham was the local super tech. Many hams
> >were quite content to be outstanding operators.
>
> This divide goes all the way back to pre WW1. Some hams were concerned
with
> pushing the technology, while others were concerned with seeing what the
> technology they had could do. Both are needed.

Agree 100%. Indeed, if all hams were only in it for
the technology then we'd have no emergency response
capability which is also a prime reason for ham radio.

> >> How many hams would there be, and what
> >> technology would we be using, if there
> >> were no more code tests - but all of us had to homebrew all our
equipment?
> >
> >I'd be gone tomorrow. I have NO desire to homebrew a reciever
> >or transmitter.
>
> I've done several of each, plus transceivers. That doesn't mean all hams
have
> to.

Agree again.

> > My TS-690 fits neatly on a small shelf on my home office
> >desk and I couldn't come close to homebrewing the full range
> >of capabilities, functionality and frequency coverage that the rig
> >provides me.
>
> And even if you could build a TS-690 equivalent at home from scratch, how
long
> would it take and how much would it cost to buy all the parts?

Yup! And the biggest issue of the two (cost and time) for
me these days is time.

Cheers and I hope everyone had a safe and wonderful
Thanksgiving with family and/or friends.

Bill K2UNK

2606. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 14:35:56 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <asaif0fvi1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 56795

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0211281307.f08f1e@posting.google.com...
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DE6192E.27B89FBA@townsqr.com>...
> > N2EY wrote:
> >
> > > In article <_C7F9.3723$yy.512941@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"K&#65533;HB"
> > > <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:
> > >
> > > >"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >: with the exception of the 1960s, when the US population
> > > >: boomed but the US ham population virtually stagnated. Most of
> > > >: the reasons for that low growth had little to do with ham radio
itself
> > > >: (Vietnam, civil rights, baby boom, women's lib, etc).
> > > >
> > > >I would expect things like civil rights, baby boom, and women's lib
to
> > > >INCREASE hobby participation, not depress it.
> > >
> > > Maybe, but that's not how it worked out.
> > >
> > > In the 1960s, ham radio was considered a very "square",
"establishment"
> > > activity, to use the jargon of the day. You didn't see a lot of
longhair hippie
> > > Woodstock types in QST, at hamfests, etc. The close association of ham
radio
> > > with conservative politics and business, MARS, Armed Forces Day and
technology
> > > in general confirmed that charaterization for many young people. Not
cool, man.
> > > In an era when most new hams were teenagers, this was a recipe for
decreased
> > > growth. Civil rights and women's lib were/are movements against the
> > > "establishment", and ham radio was seen by many as part of that
establishment.
> > >
> > > A baby boom produces lots of babies and swells the population right
away. But

> > > it takes some years before those babies are old enough to be getting
ham
> > > licenses in significant numbers.
> > >
> > > There were other influences, too. To name just one: In 1963, the FCC
opened
> > > more exam points and increased the distance requirement for a
Conditional from
> > > 75 to 175 miles "air line". All of a sudden there were very few places
in the
> > > USA that qualified as "Conditional country".
> > >
> > > >Now if you wanted to depress
> > > >participation, you could come up with some DAIOTC like incentive
> > > >licensing.... that would do it!
> > >
> > > You might think so, but you'd be mistaken. Sorry.
> > >
> > > The first wave of IL changes were enacted in late 1968 and the second
wave in
> > > late 1969. So for most of the decade of the '60s, IL was a
potentiality, not a
> > > reality. Growth actually sputtered to a halt in 1963, five years
before IL
> > > became effective, and coincident with the Conditional change and other
effects.
> > >
> > > Incentive licensing was at its height in the 1970s - when there were
no code
> > > waivers, no CSCEs, and most hams had to journey to the FCC office to
test.
> > > Economic times were awful, two energy crises, Watergate, Vietnam's
end, etc.
> > > Yet the number of US hams soared!
> > >
> > > If IL was such a bummer, man, why did US hamdom grow so much faster
after it
> > > was enacted?
> >
> > And that, Sir, is the question that none of the Incentive Licensing
bashers will
> > ever be able to answer. Because they don't have an answer for it.
>
> People had more free time. Forty hour work weeks, paid vacations, etc.

They also had more discretionary income. Some folks were undergoing economic stress, but not the entire country. Additionally, the computer avalanche had not even begun as an alternative "techie" activity.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2607. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 14:36:43 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uuhjt177v1od6f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56797

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3DE804A0.C2AE7AA4@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> >
> > Hey, Dick? When someone tells you they're going to sleep, do they do it
> > forever?
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
>
> As I recall, you weren't simply going to the kitchen for a beer. You
> got your tail feathers singed and were leaving in a snit (or was it an
> 8-cylinder huff?).
>
> Dave K8MN

Gosh, I can't figure out if you're speaking for Dick, or what. At any rate, no it wasn't in a snit at all. My "exit" the last time was because it disgusts me that there are really people like you in this life. It's like witnessing someone who'll pull into a handicap parking space with absolutely no handicap: one hates to be confronted with the reality that a person like that really is around.

However, my not being here doesn't make you and people like you go away. In fact, as someone sent to me: if I go away, it might make you more right. We all know that isn't true. I can post a message and be as truthful, cruel, nice, factual, or suppositional as I want. And, I'll always counter the misguided arrogance of an insignificant part of this hobby--for those who think like I do but choose to be silent. It was the silence of others that allowed, well...we won't go into that here.

Kim W5TIT

2608. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 14:39:53 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uuhjt2io35ni71@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56798

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DE80897.8037B369@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
> >
> > Oh, its much like things I feel you should know about amateur radio,
> > Kim. You feel that you can simply look them up if you find a need for
> > them. You could have done the same by looking up "Alec Baldwin". A
> > simple internet search would have clued you in to the fact that he is a
> > pretty well known movie actor.
> > You might have also learned that he stated (to the news media) that if
> > George W. Bush was elected to the presidency, he'd leave America.
>
> And we notice that he, Barbara Streisand, and a few others who
> made that claim are still here.

With George Dubbya around, one may not have to leave to be in their idea of
another country...

Kim W5TIT

2609. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 14:48:36 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uuhl2vgkqpkm8c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56802

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:8pVF9.8566$ta5.965349@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote
>
> :
> : But when a person doesn't take the time to correct multiple errors,
> : it means they just don't care.
> :
>
> No, it simply means that they don't consider it important. (Which is
> different than your anal-retentive/condescending "they just don't care")
>
>
> Eye halve a spelling chequer. It came with my pea sea
> It plainly marques four my revue miss steaks eye kin knot sea.
> Eye strike a key and type a word, and weight four it two say
> Weather eye am wrong oar write it shows me strait a weigh.
> As soon as a mist ache is maid, it nose bee fore two long
> And eye can put the error rite; its rare lea ever wrong.
> Eye have run this poem threw it, I am shore your pleased two no
> Its letter perfect awl the weigh. My chequer tolled me sew!
>
> With all kind wishes,
>
> de Hans, K0HB
> Grand Exhalted Liberator of the Electric Smoke
>

Your grammar checker would have really had a problem... ;)

Kim W5TIT

2610. Re: FCC Rules For Hams Using Spread Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 14:54:54 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211300654.229a8042@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56799

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20021129104231.14881.00000299@mb-ct.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0211281828.3ed05c2f@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >Hookay so now the legalities have been cleared up beyond further
> >discussion.
>
> Some folks will continue to argue over them, simply because they were posted by
> me.

Looks like. Heh.

> >
> >Now kindly refer back to the comment in the first line of yer post
> >"Hams have been using spread spectrum since 1981". When/where did
> >those comms take place in 1981? Callsigns?
>
- - - -

> Experiments were
> carried out on frequency-hopped SSB by W4RI in McLean, VA, K2SZE in Rochester,
> NY, and WA3ZXW in Annapolis, MD. I don't know for sure what band was used,
> probably 2 meters.

Over what sorts of paths? If they weren't between two stations at
different locations they were simply "bench tests". Spank me and I'll
shaddup. Heh.

- - - - - -

> As can be seen, FCC rules since 1999 are not a
> serious restriction on amateurs who really want to try SS on UHF and above.
> The
> BIG problem is that amateurs have content restrictions that do not apply to
> other services, like Part 15 stuff.

Non-sequitor, we have a whole litany of regulatory restrictions we
have to deal with which do not apply to other services including
content, transparency of the comms and a number of others and most of
those go back to the early 1900s. That's why we're called "the
amateurs". Doan like that? Then quit whining and get the commercial
licenses.

As you and others here have pointed out here SS in a usable form is
legal but is not used. At all beyond a few old experiments from what
I've read so far. Which is not the case with the whole load of modes
we do have available and do use. I don't see any show-stopping

regulatory or technical barriers to the implementation of SS on the ham bands in some form or another.

Looks to me like the problem is actually based in the investment and coordination requirements inherent in the implementation of SS on any useful scale which are far beyond the ability of hams to pull together.

I wuz there during the repeater wars . . Can you imagine "SS wars" . . ?

Cartoon fodder.

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

2611. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 14:59:55 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021130095955.20065.00000262@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 56800

>With George Dubbya around, one may not have to leave to be in their idea of
>another country...
>
>Kim W5TIT
>

Theres the problem, TIT is just Mad that she didnt get a chance with the Cigar

2612. Re: Where is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 15:02:38 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DE8D303.9070502@adelphia.net>
Source record: 56801

TLB wrote:

> I haven't been around these newsgroups very much in the past couple of years.
> However, I do lurk now and then, and I've always enjoyed reading Cecil's posts.
> I didn't exactly agree with everything he said, but for the most part he was
> very informative. Where did he disappear to?

Cecil's hangin' at r.r.a.antenna mostly these days. He did post recently
here. Note name change to ocCAM's Razor.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2613. Re: See "Codeless"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 15:24:49 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DE8E686.D48B1ED@townsqr.com>
Source record: 56803

Krell wrote:

> Sorry, but I don't relish the idea of having a lobotomy.

Oh, no problem! For you a hemorroidectomy would get the same result.

2614. Re: Just what ARE the correct ham radio licensee stats?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 15:37:12 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021130103712.14881.00000313@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 56804

In article <123192444.FA23192808@ncvillage.net>, DT2314@ncvillage.net (Doug Thompson) writes:

>On 28 Nov 2002 13:06:00 GMT, N2EY <n2ey@aol.com> wrote:
>> In article <123192444.0023192808@ncvillage.net>, DT2314@ncvillage.net (Doug
>> Thompson) writes:
>>
>> >Qrz.com lists 637,404 as the total number of licenses.
>> >
>> >AH0A at http://ah0a.org/FCC, who claims to be directly reading the FCC
>> >databases, comes up with 683,897 (10 Nov 02).
>> >
>> >ARNewsline has widely published a figure of 680,760 licenses,
>> >and the FCC itself seems to have remained silent on its own web pages.
>> >
>> >The difference between the qrz.com figure and the rest is an
>> >astounding 46,000+ licensees, so I'll assume that qrz.com is very
>> >wrong.
>>
>> When a license number is quoted, you need to know what the total consists
>of.
>> Does it include club and other group licenses? Does it include all FCC ham
>> licenses, or only those in the 50 states? Does it include expired licenses
>that
>> are in the grace period, or only current, active licenses? How about RACES
>> licenses, which FCC seems to be phasing out?
>
>As long as the same measurement is used, it doesn't matter if club or
>other licenses are included in the total. Since, I'm interested in
>the answer to the question "Is ham radio growing?" only the change in
>the total matters; not the specific kind of license. Presumably, the
>total number of club licenses, etc. is small enough and changes slowly
>enough that the influence is removed when differences are computed.

The problem is that the number of club and other licenses is not small, and the rules have changed repeatedly. There was a time when many hams had secondary station licenses for place of business, vacation home, etc. Some hams had a whole list of secondary station licenses. Then FCC dropped that option and tens of thousands of station licenses disappeared. Did amateur radio shrink because of that rules change? If you look at station licenses, the answer is yes, but if you look at operator licenses the answer is no.

>> A very useful source is:
>>
>> http://www.arrl.org/fcc/stats.html

>>
>> which lists licenses held by individuals in the US and
>possessions/territories.
>> They are broken down by state/posession and license class, and are derived
>> directly from FCC data. Updated every day or so, and the date is given.
>Only
>> downside is that only current data are shown.
>
>That's the problem with most of the data I've found. Only the AH0A
>web pages seem to contain historical data, and it is only from such
>data that a handle can be gotten on the question of growth. You can't
>determine the statistical significance of a change in the totals if
>you can't compute such things as the standard deviation of the data.

That's why I post the numbers to the thread discussed in the following
paragraph.
>
>> The thread "ARS License Numbers" includes bimonthly updates based on these
>> numbers. The current total is about 685,000
>>
>> >That leaves AH0A, who calculates that the average age of a ham license
>> >is less than 60 months (currently about 57), and that's a critical
>> >figure for licenses which are renewable every ten years. It means
>> >that the total number must eventually decline, no matter what the
>> >short term fluctuations may be.
>>
>> How does the average age correlate to anything related to growth or decline
>in
>> totals?
>
>See AH0A's explanation at http://ah0a.org/FCC/Rate.html. It is at
>least plausible, and is based upon the notion that a static ARS would
>have -- on average -- as many new licenses granted as those which had
>expired. He seems to think that he has found a way to come up with a
>valid average.

While I greatly respect AH0A, I find his logic on that particular subject to be
unconvincing.
>
>> ... What's most important is the long-term picture rather than short-term
>> variations, but that requires data keeping over long periods. With the
>demise
>> of the Callbook, that job got harder.
>>
>> Also, license totals themselves are not really the issue. What matters is
>the
>> number of active hams on the air, and what they do with their license
>> privileges.
>
>The question of growth seems to be linked in many people's minds to
>the notion of the health of ham radio. They obviously feel that a

>shrinking license base would indicate problems with the hobby,
>including its ability to preserve frequency allocations. That's what
>initially aroused my curiosity, because I can't find any evidence so
>far to support or refute the people who are claiming growth (and
>therefore "health").

Total license numbers are only one indicator, and a vague one at that. With 10 year license terms since 1983, the effect of various rules changes and social/technological/economic factors is so muddied that it's an almost-useless indicator.

What's most important is how many active, on-the-air hams there are at any given time.

Which is better:

1,000,000 US hams, of which 100,000 are active

or

500,000 US hams, of which 300,000 are active?
>
>By the way, the ARRL URL you kindly provided is in agreement with
>AH0A's current numbers (to within 30 or 40 licenses), and that gives
>me more confidence in the AH0A historical data.

Agreed.
>
>Another poster has suggested mining the FCC databases myself, and has
>given the URLs of those data. If I did that, I'd be replicating the
>AH0A effort, but would be starting off with no historical data. Since
>I don't want to spend years accumulating monthly totals, I think I'll
>go with the AH0A data and assume that ham radio is static at the
>moment.
>
Very reasonable, if "static" means "on a per capita basis"..

73 de Jim, N2EY

2615. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 16:27:59 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <jG5G9.50673$GR5.11014@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 56805

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021129223710.28322.00000685@mb-fy.aol.com...

> Yes, it did. In fact, both William Shatner and Leonard Nimoy made an
entire
> album each, covering various hits of the '60s. I'm not sure about DeForest
> Kelley.
>
> I am not making this up.

Leonard NIMOY made an covers album too?

I'd love to hear what Dave Barry has to say about this egregious effrontery
to human hearing.

J.

2616. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 16:30:18 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0211300830.68f46972@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56806

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message

> > At the bench and commercial/military applied levels in the 30s & 40s
> > of course Bill but certainly not at the level of the technological
> > visionaries who gave us the bases for what we have today. ALL of it.
> > Particularly after Shockley did his trick which prolly forever left
> > ham radio in the dust wrt to ham radio leading any significnt
> > technological advances.
>
> But Shockley only perfected the transistor in the late 40s (49 I think)
> and transistors at a reasonable (affordable) price only started showing
> up in the late 50s.

Agreed, CK722s at $7.50 a pop were not much of an incentive to use 'em
in ham radio. But as time wore on the transistor became the early ICs
in the '60s then they became what they are today. Millions of 6H6s &
6B6s in packages the size of a 6H6 socket. We can't even hope to
duplicate those ourselves, the result is today's 99% plug & play ham
radio. Took awhile but in the end . .

> > I think yer at least a decade early, I don't recollect any 1965 +/-
> > Japanese hollow or solid state HF ssb xcvrs.
>
> Nor do I....but I could be wrong.
> The biggest problem with solid state in that time frame
> was the lack of power. 100 watts output called for tubes, not
> transistors in the late 60s....at least for ham gear.

In the '60s & early '70s absolutely. The other big problem with solid
state equipment was the lousy dynamic ranges of solid state devices
vs. tubes particularly in HF receiver front ends. Solving that one
didn't happen until close to 1980. Which came three decades after
Shockley's transistor.

> > Single slideband wuz PATENTED in 1923.
>
> Agreed, and well known doesn't mean well used.
>
> > > and some advanced hams had SSB rigs on the air back then.
>
> But not many.

An early iteration of spread spectrum in ham radio.

w3rv

2617. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 16:48:01 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021130114801.06788.00000175@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 56807

>Subject: Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)
>From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
>Date: 11/30/02 8:24 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021130092421.26184.00000285@mb-fi.aol.com>

>Were all not Equal,not just in Ham Radio but in everything. Get use to it,
>you
>can never be an Equal

Thank God for that...I was afraid that there were more like you laying
around.

Putz.

2618. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 17:24:53 UTC
From: Barbra <SocialistLiberal@Anon.com>
Message-ID: <asat9aind0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 56814

"Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net> wrote in message
news:mj7G9.4108$4j5.2292748@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021130095955.20065.00000262@mb-dh.aol.com...
> > >With George Dubbya around, one may not have to leave to be in their
idea
> of
> > >another country...
> > >
> > >Kim W5TIT
> > >
> >
> > Theres the problem, TIT is just Mad that she didnt get a chance with the
> Cigar
>
> That kind of remark is uncalled for and inappropriate for this or any
other
> discussion group. By the way, I happen to support Bush but am appalled
that
> anyone would stoop to such comments about a person just because that
person
> happens to have a different political choice. Each person is entitled to
> their own opinion about politicians.
>
> Dee Flint, N8UZE
>
Well, then. My opinion of Clinton is that he is a lying, theiving,
adulterous, conniving impeached bastard. Literally.
An, no. Kim is upset not over the cigar thing. She knows Clinton would never
have looked at her twice. Therein lies HER the frustration!

--
Bill Clinton is getting $12 million for his memoirs and Hillary got $8
million for hers.

That's $20 million for two people who, for eight years, repeatedly
testified they could not remember anything.

2619. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 17:28:37 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE8F4E6.4332AF88@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56809

W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3DE80374.CED5EFB@earthlink.net...
> > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave, if the Alec Baldwin reference is supposed to be some kind of -ism
> that
> > > is supposed to insult me, you'll have to explain who Alec Baldwin is
> first.
> > > I haven't a clue to what you are referring.
> >
> > Oh, its much like things I feel you should know about amateur radio,
> > Kim.
> >
>
> You're hilarious.

Thank you.

> >
> > You feel that you can simply look them up if you find a need for
> > them.
> >
>
> Dave, I don't just "feel" that. I can.

You can but that isn't the way people engage in an avocational activity.

"Let's see. Hang glider is losing altitude....hmmmm....I'd better look
that up".

> >
> > You could have done the same by looking up "Alec Baldwin".
> >
>
> You said it yourself: "if (you) find a need for them." Dave, I don't feel a
> need to know what or who Alec Baldwin is. Coming from you, I knew it was
> some simpleton sort of thought process, since that's pretty much all you can
> muster.

I don't know how you can read what I wrote and dismiss it as "some
simpleton thought process". You both said you were leaving. Neither of
you meant it.

> >
> > A
> > simple internet search would have clued you in to the fact that he is a
> > pretty well known movie actor.
> > You might have also learned that he stated (to the news media) that if
> > George W. Bush was elected to the presidency, he'd leave America.
> >
>
> Well, you see, Dave. I don't dwell on crap like that.

Remembering something isn't dwelling on it.

> My life is much more
> exciting than living it by a book.

Right. You aren't bound by definitions and the like. I'm sure life
becomes more interesting where "chair" means "having tea".

> You see, there are things I am near
> certain you've said in your life that were never done. That is, unless you
> consider yourself *that* far above the rest of us. And, that is your
> problem.

I haven't the slightest idea what the above words are attempting to
convey.

> > >
> > > Oh, I also ought to add that you need not waste your time if you do
> intend
> > > it to be an insult. You see, one must be deserving of another feeling
> > > insulted, and you are not.
> >
> > ...or the insulted party has to be sharp enough to figure out why she
> > should feel insulted. It is okay with me that you didn't get it.
> > Others did.

> And, it's OK (quite OK) that you don't have any impact on me at all. I
> consider you nothing more than a name...nothing more than an arrogant
> bastard who isn't worthy of affecting me in the least.

If you weren't effected, you wouldn't be calling me an arrogant bastard.

Dave K8MN

2620. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 17:29:48 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <gA6G9.7498$yy.1007687@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 56810

K4YZ wrote

: The occassional misspelled word will happen...No roblem. However
there is
: an attitude of malaise about our language and it's applications that is
: peermeating society, and a lot of it's due to the "it's the
internet...it's OK"
: attitude.
:
: It's NOT "OK". The invention of the microprocessor did not sound the
: death knell of good grammar.

W5TIT wrote

: The greatest arena in the world for someone who is a bad speller--even
: not so good at sentence structure--is a computer. All one need do is
: remember to spell check and use a grammar checker.

N2EY wrote

: But when a person doesn't take the time to correct multiple errors,
: it means they just don't care.

Why don't you guys do a web search for "Spelling Kop Badge" vendors. When
you find one that you like, hop on over and flash it on
"alt.spelling.grammar.schoolmarm.corrections".

With all kind wishes,

Hans, K0HB

2621. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 17:31:34 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE8F5A3.8D8D04C0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56811

```
W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3DE803D0.97402DAC@earthlink.net...
> > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3DE6C6F2.C1DE6656@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:<3DE63546.1F956B43@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > Never gave up radio, eh? And people say they thought *I* was
> > > leaving...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > People only thought that because you told us you were leaving.
> You
> > > and
> > > > > > Alec Baldwin leave us confused.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > >
> > > > > Poor Dave, so confused.
> > > >
> > > Not that confused. I didn't forget, for example, that it was a trio.
> > > Alec Baldwin, Kim and you who were leaving in a snit (two-door
> > > convertible snit, wasn't it?).

> > Dave, I did leave, when I decided. And, I came back when I decided.
> >
> > ...much like Richard Nixon.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Why don't you take your politics to Washington, D.C., Dave? I'm sure you'll
> mix right in.

No way, Kim. I'm sticking around to see how your
You-won't-have-Dick-Nixon-to-kick-around" story turns out.

Dave K8MN
```

2622. Re: FCC Rules For Hams Using Spread Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 17:37:23 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021130123723.28322.00000691@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 56812

In article <d22b54c3.0211300654.229a8042@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>> >Now kindly refer back to the comment in the first line of yer post
>> >"Hams have been using spread spectrum since 1981". When/where did
>> >those comms take place in 1981? Callsigns?
>>
>- - - -
>
>> Experiments were
>> carried out on frequency-hopped SSB by W4RI in McLean, VA, K2SZE in
>Rochester,
>> NY, and WA3ZXW in Annapolis, MD. I don't know for sure what band was used,
>> probably 2 meters.
>
>Over what sorts of paths? If they weren't between two stations at
>different locations they were simply "bench tests". Spank me and I'll
>shaddup. Heh.

They were on-air tests between the locations listed. Might have been on HF for all I know. They were FHSS using a maximum spread of 100 kHz. Power on the order of 100 watts, dipole antennas.

Results were not any better than regular SSB.

>- - - - - -
>
>> As can be seen, FCC rules since 1999 are not a
>> serious restriction on amateurs who really want to try SS on UHF and above.
>
>> The
>> BIG problem is that amateurs have content restrictions that do not apply to
>> other services, like Part 15 stuff.
>
>Non-sequitor, we have a whole litany of regulatory restrictions we
>have to deal with which do not apply to other services including
>content, transparency of the comms and a number of others and most of
>those go back to the early 1900s. That's why we're called "the
>amateurs". Doan like that? Then quit whining and get the commercial
>licenses.

Who's whining? I'm just stating facts that some seem to forget. Part 15 has all sorts of restrictions, too.

The bands above 30 MHz are not "line of sight" by any means.
>

>As you and others here have pointed out here SS in a usable form is
>legal but is not used. At all beyond a few old experiments from what
>I've read so far.

I'm sure there's some amateur SS being done by hams right now. But it's not being done by those who moan and whine about "new modes and modulations" on newsgroups.

>Which is not the case with the whole load of modes
>we do have available and do use. I don't see any show-stopping
>regulatory or technical barriers to the implementation of SS on the
>ham bands in some form or another.
>
Nor I.

>Looks to me like the problem is actually based in the investment and
>coordination requirements inherent in the implementation of SS on any
>useful scale which are far beyond the ability of hams to pull
>together.

Why do the investment and coordination if it's not necessary?

Military use of SS makes sense because of the need for secrecy and making DF difficult/impossible. Hams have no need of either.

Nonmilitary use of SS is based in part on secrecy and part on interference avoidance without coordination. Again, hams have no need of either.

All that's left is process gain.
>
>I wuz there during the repeater wars . . Can you imagine "SS wars" . .
>?
>
>Cartoon fodder.

"Imagine if they gave a war and nobody showed up".

What the pundits forget is that the driving force behind most hams' mode choice is simply fun. If a rig or mode is fun to do, hams will use it, within the rules. If it's no fun, all the hand-wringing and preaching to the masses will have no effect.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2623. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 17:38:37 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE8F73E.59CA412D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56813

W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3DE804A0.C2AE7AA4@earthlink.net...
> > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > >
> > > Hey, Dick? When someone tells you they're going to sleep, do they do it
> > > forever?
> > >
> > > Kim W5TIT
> >
> > As I recall, you weren't simply going to the kitchen for a beer. You
> > got your tail feathers singed and were leaving in a snit (or was it an
> > 8-cylinder huff?).
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Gosh, I can't figure out if you're speaking for Dick, or what. At any rate,
> no it wasn't in a snit at all. My "exit" the last time was because it
> disgusts me that there are really people like you in this life.

That is certainly leaving in a snit, Kim. Unless your definition of
snit means, "in a cloud of flower petals".

> It's like
> witnessing someone who'll pull into a handicap parking space with absolutely
> no handicap: one hates to be confronted with the reality that a person like
> that really is around.

I apologize if it appears to you that I've pulled into your handicapped
parking space.

> However, my not being here doesn't make you and people like you go away.

No, it makes people like YOU go away.

> In
> fact, as someone sent to me: if I go away, it might make you more right. We
> all know that isn't true.

No, we don't all know that.

> I can post a message and be as truthful, cruel,
> nice, factual, or suppositional as I want. And, I'll always counter the
> misguided arrogance of an insignificant part of this hobby--for those who
> think like I do but choose to be silent.

I've always thought of you as one who stands up for truth, justice and
the American way....as you perceive it.

> It was the silence of others that
> allowed, well...we won't go into that here.

...that allowed the creation of rap music?

Dave K8MN

2624. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 17:43:04 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021130124304.10526.00006762@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 56815

> Thank God for that...I was afraid that there were more like you laying
>around.
>

Oh but there are

2625. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 17:47:47 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021130124747.10526.00006768@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 56816

>That kind of remark is uncalled for and inappropriate for this or any other
>discussion group.

Get real CBplusser

>Bush but am appalled that
>anyone would stoop to such comments about a person just because that person
>happens to have a different political choice.

Ok Miss PC correct, please call your buddys at the DMC and tell them.

2626. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 17:51:35 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021130125135.10474.00007339@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 56817

>An, no. Kim is upset not over the cigar thing. She knows Clinton would never
>have looked at her twice. Therein lies HER the frustration!
>

Well maybe it was Hillary that she had a thing for.

2627. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 18:04:41 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uuhvt36i2h307e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56818

"Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net> wrote in message
news:mj7G9.4108$4j5.2292748@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021130095955.20065.00000262@mb-dh.aol.com...
> > >With George Dubbya around, one may not have to leave to be in their
idea
> of
> > >another country...
> > >
> > >Kim W5TIT
> > >
> >
> > Theres the problem, TIT is just Mad that she didnt get a chance with the
> Cigar
>
> That kind of remark is uncalled for and inappropriate for this or any
other
> discussion group. By the way, I happen to support Bush but am appalled
that
> anyone would stoop to such comments about a person just because that
person
> happens to have a different political choice. Each person is entitled to
> their own opinion about politicians.
>
> Dee Flint, N8UZE
>
>

Hi Dee: Were you referring to me being the "anyone would stoop" person? If so, please understand that my feelings about George Dubbya, et al, don't have as much to do with that they are of any particular political choice as it does that I strongly disagree with the tactics being employed in this country (the US) at the war cry of terrorism.

That is why I said it may be that we'd not have to "leave" the US to feel like we were in another country. However, I don't think you were speaking of me being the "anyone would stoop" person. Oh, and by the way, the cigar comment really isn't something offensive, I don't think. It's rhetorical, sure, but offensive?

Kim W5TIT

2628. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 18:07:16 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uui01v2e5uicdc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56819

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3DE8F5A3.8D8D04C0@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > Why don't you take your politics to Washington, D.C., Dave? I'm sure
you'll
> > mix right in.
>
> No way, Kim. I'm sticking around to see how your
> You-won't-have-Dick-Nixon-to-kick-around" story turns out.
>
> Dave K8MN

What story is that, Dave?

Kim W5TIT

2629. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 18:20:02 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net>
Message-ID: <mj7G9.4108$4j5.2292748@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 56808

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021130095955.20065.00000262@mb-dh.aol.com...
> >With George Dubbya around, one may not have to leave to be in their idea
of
> >another country...
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
> >
>
> Theres the problem, TIT is just Mad that she didnt get a chance with the
Cigar

That kind of remark is uncalled for and inappropriate for this or any other discussion group. By the way, I happen to support Bush but am appalled that anyone would stoop to such comments about a person just because that person happens to have a different political choice. Each person is entitled to their own opinion about politicians.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

2630. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 18:20:34 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uui0raotbogabd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56822

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3DE8F4E6.4332AF88@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3DE80374.CED5EFB@earthlink.net...
> > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave, if the Alec Baldwin reference is supposed to be some kind
of -ism
> > that
> > > > > is supposed to insult me, you'll have to explain who Alec Baldwin is
> > first.
> > > > > I haven't a clue to what you are referring.
> > > >
> > > > Oh, its much like things I feel you should know about amateur radio,
> > > > Kim.
> > > >
> > >
> > > You're hilarious.
>
> Thank you.
>
> > >
> > > > You feel that you can simply look them up if you find a need for
> > > > them.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Dave, I don't just "feel" that. I can.
>
> You can but that isn't the way people engage in an avocational activity.
>

No, that is not the way *YOU* engage in an avocational activity. It is the
way *I* engage in an avocational activity.

>
> "Let's see. Hang glider is losing altitude....hmmmm....I'd better look
> that up".
>

Let's see. Dave is too ignorant to realize that things that are *critical*
would be things that need to be known and remembered. Thus, Dave is too
ignorant to realize that when one is not using bands or frequencies, their
allocations do not have to be known and remembered.
```

Good grief, Dave, if you're going to try to make someone look stupid, at least use some intelligence yourself.

> > >
> > > You could have done the same by looking up "Alec Baldwin".
> > >
> >
> > You said it yourself: "if (you) find a need for them." Dave, I don't feel a
> > need to know what or who Alec Baldwin is. Coming from you, I knew it was
> > some simpleton sort of thought process, since that's pretty much all you can
> > muster.
>
> I don't know how you can read what I wrote and dismiss it as "some
> simpleton thought process". You both said you were leaving. Neither of
> you meant it.
>

Sure we did, Dave. Why don't you use your famous look up procedures and see for how long I didn't post. I'd pretty much say that was me having left. Now, when you find the post that stated I would never be back, then we can have a little intelligent discussion.

> > >
> > > A
> > > simple internet search would have clued you in to the fact that he is a
> > > pretty well known movie actor.
> > > You might have also learned that he stated (to the news media) that if
> > > George W. Bush was elected to the presidency, he'd leave America.
> > >
> >
> > Well, you see, Dave. I don't dwell on crap like that.
>
> Remembering something isn't dwelling on it.
>
> > My life is much more
> > exciting than living it by a book.
>
> Right. You aren't bound by definitions and the like. I'm sure life
> becomes more interesting where "chair" means "having tea".
>

You having a little too much Thanksgiving Cheer over there, Dave? You're incognizant.

> >
> > You see, there are things I am near
> > certain you've said in your life that were never done. That is, unless you
> > consider yourself *that* far above the rest of us. And, that is your

> > problem.
>
> I haven't the slightest idea what the above words are attempting to
> convey.
>

Pleading ignorance doesn't change a thing, Dave.

>
> > > >
> > > > Oh, I also ought to add that you need not waste your time if you do
> > intend
> > > > it to be an insult. You see, one must be deserving of another
feeling
> > > > insulted, and you are not.
> > >
> > > ...or the insulted party has to be sharp enough to figure out why she
> > > should feel insulted. It is okay with me that you didn't get it.
> > > Others did.
>
> > And, it's OK (quite OK) that you don't have any impact on me at all. I
> > consider you nothing more than a name...nothing more than an arrogant
> > bastard who isn't worthy of affecting me in the least.
>
> If you weren't effected, you wouldn't be calling me an arrogant bastard.
>
> Dave K8MN
>

But I did, didn't I? You have your own little delusion--life's a delusion
for you, I think.

Kim W5TIT

2631. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 18:22:12 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021130132212.09042.00000269@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 56820

>I strongly disagree with the tactics being employed in this
>country (the US) at the war cry of terrorism.

We know, you think all we need to do is make love with them and the problems
will go away

2632. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 18:29:17 UTC
From: Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92D6892FC207elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 56823

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in
news:20021130054916.27871.00007719@mb-mf.aol.com:

>>Subject: Re: Would have been Extra sooner
>>From: "K�HB" k�hb@arrl.DOTORG
>>Date: 11/29/02 8:30 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <8pVF9.8566$ta5.965349@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
>
>>No, it simply means that they don't consider it important. (Which is
>>different than your anal-retentive/condescending "they just don't
>>care")
>
> What's the difference, Hans? If someone doesn't take the time to
> ensure
> that thier post is as clear and effective as possible, then it's
> apparent to me that they just don't care.
>
> There's NO difference between "don't care" and "not important".
>
>>Eye halve a spelling chequer.
>
> Obviously you're sitting on it.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>

"thier" post?

2633. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 18:30:40 UTC
From: Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92D6896C254B6elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 56825

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in
news:20021130053851.27871.00007718@mb-mf.aol.com:

>>Subject: Re: Would have been Extra sooner
>>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>>Date: 11/29/02 6:14 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20021129191422.02898.00000129@mb-cq.aol.com>
>
>>
>>To the person that corrects spelling. Let's leave that alone. Spelling
>>and grammar should count in formal reports, but reasonable misspelling
>>in an internet posting is okay.
>
> The occassional misspelled word will happen...No roblem. However
> there is
> an attitude of malaise about our language and it's applications that is
> peermeating society, and a lot of it's due to the "it's the
> internet...it's OK" attitude.
>
> It's NOT "OK". The invention of the microprocessor did not sound
> the
> death knell of good grammar.
>
>>It is hard to maintain spelling in an internet posting and there should
>>be some allowance.
>
> Why is it hard? Use a spellchecker. If not a spellchecker, just
> go back
> and re-read what you wrote before hitting the "send" key. I have a
> terrible habit of transposing the letter "a" with "h" in words like
> "have", "had", etc. But 30 seconds of rereading my post ususally catch
> most of them.
>
>>Sometimes typing quickly can cause incorrect spelling.
>
> Then slow down! What's more productive? Trying to type at 60 WPM
> then
> having to go back and correct your errors, or typing at 30 WPM and
> getting it right the first time?
>
>>Incorrect spelling or grammar does not mean the person is less
>>intelligent. The content of the message is what's important.
>
> Vipul, I would have thought that the lesson you just got taught

> about
> "attention to detail" would have sank in by now.
>
> Yes, the content is important. But making an impact counts more.
> If you
> cannot effecitively express your opinion, what good is it?
>
>>I would be willing to take an active role in any such project, but I
>>cant if there is no interest.
>
> There's an old axiom that is well known in the Armed Forces of the
> United
> States, Vipul...it's "Lead, Follow, Or Get Out Of My Way".
>
> You have been given some suggestions on how to go about organizing
> a
> "club" (group, team, whatever you care to call it) of like-minded
> individuals. Have you done it? Or are you just waiting for someone
> else to do it for you?
>
> Are you one of those folks who want's to do "something", but just
> doesn't
> know where to start? Are you more of a "joiner" than a "leader"...?!?!
>
> In the word's of a famous sports' clothing retailer..."Just Do
> It".
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

"peermeating"?

2634. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 18:59:42 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DE90A9E.D0E48561@mailcity.net>
Source record: 56837

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

>
> >Incorrect spelling or grammar does not mean the person is
> >less intelligent. The content of the message is what's important.

Anyone who can't spell a word more than one way simply has no
imigenation.

2635. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 19:03:04 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DE90B68.DCC39C54@mailcity.net>
Source record: 56838

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> > Thank God for that...I was afraid that there were more like you laying
> >around.
> >
>
> Oh but there are

True. To modify an old saying, it doesn't take all kinds to make a
world, we just happen to have all kinds. Unfortunately there are
too many like ulx.

2636. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 19:04:08 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DE90BA8.2E47100B@mailcity.net>
Source record: 56839

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> > but do not think it
> >appropriate to launch personal attacks on Kim because she doesn't care for
> >Bush.
> >
>
> I dont care what you think

And you don't think. Just like those so called 'busts' you made.

2637. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 19:05:18 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DE90BEE.9A2308F8@mailcity.net>
Source record: 56840

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >Do your research
>
> I dont have time

Translation: I don't know how.
>

2638. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 19:08:59 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021130140859.19963.00000219@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 56827

> but do not think it
>appropriate to launch personal attacks on Kim because she doesn't care for
>Bush.
>

I dont care what you think

2639. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 19:12:36 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021130141236.19963.00000220@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 56828

>Do your research

I dont have time

>By the way I am a staunch Republican and vote a straight party ticket.
>
>Dee Flint, N8UZE

Well maybe your not a CBplusser

2640. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 19:14:01 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021130141401.19963.00000221@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 56829

>but do not think it
>appropriate to launch personal attacks on Kim

I do

2641. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 19:25:17 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net>
Message-ID: <xg8G9.4220$4j5.2299160@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 56821

Kim, rest assured that I was defending your rights to believe as you wish.
I was taking exception to the cigar comment. Good political debate does not
need that kind of innuendo, etc.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:uuhvt36i2h307e@corp.supernews.com...
> "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net> wrote in message
> news:mj7G9.4108$4j5.2292748@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021130095955.20065.00000262@mb-dh.aol.com...
> > > >With George Dubbya around, one may not have to leave to be in their
> idea
> > of
> > > > >another country...
> > > > >
> > > > >Kim W5TIT
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > Theres the problem, TIT is just Mad that she didnt get a chance with
the
> > Cigar
> > >
> > > That kind of remark is uncalled for and inappropriate for this or any
> other
> > > discussion group. By the way, I happen to support Bush but am appalled
> that
> > > anyone would stoop to such comments about a person just because that
> person
> > > happens to have a different political choice. Each person is entitled
to
> > > their own opinion about politicians.
> > >
> > > Dee Flint, N8UZE
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Hi Dee: Were you referring to me being the "anyone would stoop" person?
If
> > so, please understand that my feelings about George Dubbya, et al, don't
> > have as much to do with that they are of any particular political choice
as
> > it does that I strongly disagree with the tactics being employed in this

> country (the US) at the war cry of terrorism.
>
> That is why I said it may be that we'd not have to "leave" the US to feel
> like we were in another country. However, I don't think you were speaking
> of me being the "anyone would stoop" person. Oh, and by the way, the
cigar
> comment really isn't something offensive, I don't think. It's rhetorical,
> sure, but offensive?
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>
>

2642. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 19:27:03 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net>
Message-ID: <bi8G9.4221$4j5.2298965@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 56824

I happen to agree with your opinion of the Clintons but do not think it appropriate to launch personal attacks on Kim because she doesn't care for Bush.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

"Barbra" <SocialistLiberal@Anon.com> wrote in message news:asat9aind0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net> wrote in message
> news:mj7G9.4108$4j5.2292748@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021130095955.20065.00000262@mb-dh.aol.com...
> > > >With George Dubbya around, one may not have to leave to be in their
> idea
> > of
> > > >another country...
> > > >
> > > >Kim W5TIT
> > > >
> > >
> > > Theres the problem, TIT is just Mad that she didnt get a chance with
the
> > Cigar
> > >
> > > That kind of remark is uncalled for and inappropriate for this or any
> other
> > > discussion group. By the way, I happen to support Bush but am appalled
> that
> > > anyone would stoop to such comments about a person just because that
> person
> > > happens to have a different political choice. Each person is entitled
to
> > > their own opinion about politicians.
> > >
> > > Dee Flint, N8UZE
> > >
> > Well, then. My opinion of Clinton is that he is a lying, theiving,
> adulterous, conniving impeached bastard. Literally.
> An, no. Kim is upset not over the cigar thing. She knows Clinton would
never
> have looked at her twice. Therein lies HER the frustration!
>
>

> --
> Bill Clinton is getting $12 million for his memoirs and Hillary got $8
> million for hers.
>
> That's $20 million for two people who, for eight years, repeatedly
> testified they could not remember anything.
>
>
>

2643. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 19:29:58 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net>
Message-ID: <Wk8G9.4223$4j5.2299654@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 56826

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021130124747.10526.00006768@mb-mv.aol.com...
> >That kind of remark is uncalled for and inappropriate for this or any
other
> >discussion group.
>
> Get real CBplusser

Do your research. I took my Extra class test under the old 5 level
incentive licensing program with the 20 wpm CW for Extras. Political debate
is NOT well served by disparaging personal remarks.

>
> >Bush but am appalled that
> >anyone would stoop to such comments about a person just because that
person
> >happens to have a different political choice.
>
> Ok Miss PC correct, please call your buddys at the DMC and tell them.

By the way I am a staunch Republican and vote a straight party ticket.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

2644. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 19:36:55 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021130143655.00112.00000761@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 56830

In article <3DE80BEC.A12E4424@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>It doesn't get worse than Ohio Express:
>
>"Yummy, yummy, yummy
>I've got love in my tummy"...
>
Yes, it does.

Turn

back

now

You

don't

want

to

see

this

You

were

warned....

It's

not

my

fault.

"Like a - rubber ball
You'll come bouncing back to me!

(bouncy-bouncy.....bouncy-bouncy)

73 de Jim, N2EY

2645. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 19:36:56 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021130143656.00112.00000762@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 56831

In article <jG5G9.50673$GR5.11014@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>, "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20021129223710.28322.00000685@mb-fy.aol.com...
>
>> Yes, it did. In fact, both William Shatner and Leonard Nimoy made an
>entire
>> album each, covering various hits of the '60s. I'm not sure about DeForest
>> Kelley.
>>
>> I am not making this up.
>
>Leonard NIMOY made an covers album too?

Yes. This was when the original Star Trek series was at the peak of its popularity.

>I'd love to hear what Dave Barry has to say about this egregious effrontery
>to human hearing.

Actually, he has a precise criteria for the "bad song" category. Country and western songs, operas, Neil Diamond/Barry Manilow songs, show tunes, novelty songs, comedy songs, parodies and obvious celebrity things like the Shatner record (which even Shatner himself did not take seriously) are disqualified on general principles. The "Book Of Bad Songs" focuses on pop songs that were meant to be taken seriously, and that scored high enough on the charts and playlists to imprint themselves on our collective cultural memory. IOW, songs that everyone knows and wishes they didn't. Songs you recognize on the first few bars and spend the rest of the day trying to get out of your head.

btw, if I'm ever going through the desert on a horse, I'm going to name the &^!*$ thing!

73 de Jim, N2EY

2646. Re: 5.070 MHz Tonight Pirafe Radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 19:40:33 UTC
From: Net Jockey <AllMode@Alljohnfreqs.net>
Message-ID: <asb462$92h$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 56832
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"RF Burns" <rfburns@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:JpSdnYYpnetRYXWgXTWcow@comcast.com...
> This Saturday night will be a talk show all about key downs. Tune in to
> WWCR 5.070MHz short wave at 11:00PM EST We will be taking calls.
>
>
There is notice going around about a new pirate broadcast which
will make its first transmission tonight on 6600 khz. Supposedly
between 0300 & 0700 GMT. alternate freqs. 6666 & 8800.

NJ

2647. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 20:06:22 UTC
From: Barbra <SocialistLiberal@Anon.com>
Message-ID: <asb6p5$k96$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 56833

"Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net> wrote in message
news:bi8G9.4221$4j5.2298965@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> I happen to agree with your opinion of the Clintons but do not think it
> appropriate to launch personal attacks on Kim because she doesn't care for
> Bush.
>

But it is perfectly acceptable for Kim to refer to a person on this group as
"an arrogant bastard"?
What's the difference?

2648. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 20:29:27 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021130152927.24090.00000165@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 56834

>If they had the same License Testing they do now when I was first licensed, I
>would have Probably have been an Extra at 4 years old.

Yea, only a 4 year old can master Morse Code. Tapping key is close to the toys
they play with.
Adults have trouble with it.

2649. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 20:31:37 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021130153137.11114.00000472@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 56835

>Yea, only a 4 year old can master Morse Code.

Doesnt say much for you then does it

2650. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 20:32:40 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021130153240.11114.00000473@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 56836

>But it is perfectly acceptable for Kim to refer to a person on this group as
>"an arrogant bastard"?
>What's the difference?
>

Thank you

2651. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 20:57:48 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <asb8jp027ht@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56845

"Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net> wrote in message
news:mj7G9.4108$4j5.2292748@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> That kind of remark is uncalled for and inappropriate for this or any
other
> discussion group. By the way, I happen to support Bush but am appalled
that
> anyone would stoop to such comments about a person just because that
person
> happens to have a different political choice. Each person is entitled to
> their own opinion about politicians.
>
> Dee Flint, N8UZE

Babbling Brucey is the "town drunk" of the *.policy newsgroup. He thinks that the best remark is made by whoever can belch the loudest.

2652. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 21:02:33 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <asb8sm027sq@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56847

"Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net> wrote in message
news:Wk8G9.4223$4j5.2299654@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021130124747.10526.00006768@mb-mv.aol.com...
> > >That kind of remark is uncalled for and inappropriate for this or any
> other
> > >discussion group.
> >
> > Get real CBplusser
>
> Do your research. I took my Extra class test under the old 5 level
> incentive licensing program with the 20 wpm CW for Extras. Political
debate
> is NOT well served by disparaging personal remarks.

Don't waste your energy. Babbling Brucey would prefer to live in his own
little world of ignorance than admit that someone is better than him. He's
even admitted that he's proud of his ignorance, whether he realizes it or
not.

2653. Re: See "Codeless"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 21:06:12 UTC
From: Krell <krell1215@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <asb93h0282n@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56848

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3DE8E686.D48B1ED@townsqr.com...
>
>
> Krell wrote:
>
>
> > Sorry, but I don't relish the idea of having a lobotomy.
>
>
> Oh, no problem! For you a hemorroidectomy would get the same result.

Gee, Dick! I thought I was supposed to have been in your killfile months
ago. Must have missed having me around.

2654. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 21:07:46 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <CM9G9.7734$yy.1039484@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 56841

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote

: Yea, only a 4 year old can master Morse Code. Tapping key is close to the
toys
: they play with.
: Adults have trouble with it.

Vshah,

I have no problem with the fact that you don't use Morse code. But you make
yourself look like a 3rd grader when you suggest that others who do enjoy
using it are not adult.

Just thought you'd like to know.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
SOC # 291
FISTS # 7419
NCI # 4304

2655. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 21:17:10 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021130161710.10918.00000305@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 56842

>True. To modify an old saying, it doesn't take all kinds to make a
>world, we just happen to have all kinds. Unfortunately there are
>too many like ulx.
>
>

And Unfotunately, to many CXBplussers like JJRadio Butt

2656. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 21:18:00 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021130161800.10918.00000306@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 56843

>And you don't think. Just like those so called 'busts' you made.

You dont have to believe me, thats fine.

2657. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 21:20:39 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021130162039.10918.00000307@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 56844

>But you make
>yourself look like a 3rd grader when you suggest that others who do enjoy
>using it are not adult.
>
>Just thought you'd like to know.

He knows it, its his only way to try and Justify his lack of ability to learn a basic skill.

2658. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 21:26:55 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021130162655.11074.00000260@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 56849

I see someone got a Picture of TIT, Krell says thats his kind a woman

2659. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 22:12:06 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021130171206.14856.00001236@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 56850

In article <d22b54c3.0211300830.68f46972@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>
>> > At the bench and commercial/military applied levels in the 30s & 40s
>> > of course Bill but certainly not at the level of the technological
>> > visionaries who gave us the bases for what we have today. ALL of it.
>> > Particularly after Shockley did his trick which prolly forever left
>> > ham radio in the dust wrt to ham radio leading any significnt
>> > technological advances.
>>
>> But Shockley only perfected the transistor in the late 40s (49 I think)
>> and transistors at a reasonable (affordable) price only started showing
>> up in the late 50s.

Patent for the transistor announced by Bell Laboratories in
December, 1947. Co-inventors were John Bardeen, William
Brattain, and Shockley.

>Agreed, CK722s at $7.50 a pop were not much of an incentive to use 'em
>in ham radio. But as time wore on the transistor became the early ICs
>in the '60s then they became what they are today.

In 1956 that price was for two Raytheon CK722s and a small
softcover book describing transistor action and a list of Raytheon
semiconductor products. If you look into amateur radio
publications of 1952 you will find a couple of construction
articles using Philco SPADT (?) HF-range transistors.

>Millions of 6H6s &
>6B6s in packages the size of a 6H6 socket.

What in the world are you talking about? A 6H6 is an
ancient dual diode tube. A 6B6 is an ancient diode-triode
tube. By 1950 the 6H6 was replaced by the 6AL5 and
the 6B6 by a 6AT6 in all new designs. 52 years ago.

The modern integrated circuit can contain hundreds of
thousands of TRANSISTOR JUNCTIONS. Those junctions
have no real counterpart to vacuum tubes except for FET
types...even then FETs can be made with biasing opposite
to vacuum tube supply-biasing.

>We can't even hope to
>duplicate those ourselves, the result is today's 99% plug & play ham
>radio. Took awhile but in the end . .

Since when was it standard practice to "duplicate" vacuum tubes in the hobbyist's workshop?!?

As of 30 years ago, the total number of IC structures available on the market (purchaseable by amateurs) far exceeded the number of vacuum tubes EVER made...EIA-registry transistors were already into the 4nnn range and diodes in 6nnn range, not even counting the variations included in "house number" parts.

As of 10 years ago, the number of ICs designed as "systems on a chip" (microprocessors, microcontrollers, complete AM BC receiver active devices, operational amplifiers, etc.) exceeded the number of vacuum tubes ever designed. Field Programmable Gate Arrays (FPGAs) allowed anyone with a "burner" circuit to literally "make their own" complex digital circuit.

There is an incredible availability of semiconductors today that has been growing, growing, growing over the last three decades. A quick look at any Digi-Key or Allied or Newark mail-out catalog will qualify that.

>In the '60s & early '70s absolutely. The other big problem with solid
>state equipment was the lousy dynamic ranges of solid state devices
>vs. tubes particularly in HF receiver front ends. Solving that one
>didn't happen until close to 1980.

"Lousy dynamic ranges of solid state devices?!?" :-) :-) :-)

Tube-type HF receivers were seldom specified for intermodulation. That doesn't infer that tube sets had "no" intermodulation, it just wasn't bothered with as a selling point. In actual reality, intermods existed and even remarked on in Radiotron Designer's Handbook in pre-WW2 editions. There is NO vacuum tube that has perfectly linear plate characteristics, never was. Some tube structures were attempted to approach that but did not reach that lofty goal.

Do you wish elaboration on this or are you content with myths?

The heart of the Tayloe Mixer is a CMOS multiplexer-switch, a solid-state digital-driven, analog-range, multiple SPST-switch-equivalent. It is as free from intermodulation problems of any kind as seems possible today and its sensitivity is from ambient noise on up to switch breakdown voltage. There are NO equivalent tube circuits that can possibly out-perform it as to intermods.

>Which came three decades after Shockley's transistor.

Shockley didn't invent the transistor all by hisself...although he later claimed to do that. He got stuck with having to share a Nobel Prize with two others. Poor feller.

>> > Single slideband wuz PATENTED in 1923.

But for frequency-division multiplexing (FDM) of several voice
circuits on a single pair of wires. Landline.

>> Agreed, and well known doesn't mean well used.
>>
>> > > and some advanced hams had SSB rigs on the air back then.
>>
>> But not many.
>
>An early iteration of spread spectrum in ham radio.

Nonsense. Commercial SSB of the early 1930s and beyond on
HF simply put the FDM signal on a single RF carrier and
suppressed the RF carrier, transmitter-receiver link substituting
for the single wire pair. The common type was four 3 KHz voice
channels in a 12 KHz total bandwidth, no "spreading" of anything
but imagination due to lack of knowledge of the method. :-)

Do you call DSB AM a "spread spectrum iteration" because it
takes up twice the bandwidth necessary for communications?!?
Radio amateurs did DSB AM before they tried SSB AM... :-)

2660. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 22:19:44 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net>
Message-ID: <4QaG9.4234$4j5.2315262@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 56846

"Barbra" <SocialistLiberal@Anon.com> wrote in message
news:asb6p5$k96$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net> wrote in message
> news:bi8G9.4221$4j5.2298965@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> > I happen to agree with your opinion of the Clintons but do not think it
> > appropriate to launch personal attacks on Kim because she doesn't care
for
> > Bush.
> >
>
> But it is perfectly acceptable for Kim to refer to a person on this group
as
> "an arrogant bastard"?
> What's the difference?

There's been several long running threads where he has previously and
continuously made personal attacks on her. Even I might slip under such an
assault and say inappropriate things though I hope that I've developed
enough discipline not to.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

2661. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 23:37:14 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021130183714.00112.00000763@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 56901

In article <20021130152927.24090.00000165@mb-cv.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) writes:

>Yea, only a 4 year old can master Morse Code.

Not true at all.

>Tapping key is close to the toys they play with.

The only difference between men and boys is the price of their toys.

>Adults have trouble with it.

Not this adult. Nor hundreds of thousands of others.

Sounds like you're not only against the code test, Vipul, but also against the code itself.

N2EY

2662. Re: Where is...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-11-30 23:50:32 UTC
From: tbryant@IHATESPAM.cannet.com
Message-ID: <asbis802ofj@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56904

In article <20021130083451.01295.00008582@mb-mk.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com says...
>
>>Subject: Where is...
>>From: tbryant@IHATESPAM.cannet.com (TLB)
>>Date: 11/30/02 5:46 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <asa8ei0j79@enews3.newsguy.com>
>
>>I do lurk now and then, and I've always enjoyed reading Cecil's posts.
>>I didn't exactly agree with everything he said, but for the most part he was
>>very informative. Where did he disappear to?
>
> Y'aint been paying attention! He's posted within the last few days!
>
> Welcome back, Tom.
>
>73
>
>Steve, K4YZ

My bad. I hadn't noticed the name change.

Tom - N8ECW

2663. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 00:02:34 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211301602.70aa28a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56902

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0211291913.32e2ced8@posting.google.com>...
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0211281331.3e86cba5@posting.google.com>...
> > kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0211271940.406501e@posting.google.com>...
> >
> > > > > > Check this: The Putz got stupid and tried his crap over in dot hombrew
> > > > > > a few days back via his usual "(half) vast technical superiority"
> > > > > > thingey and got whacked good by an OH who really does know his stuuf.
> > > > > > Figgered you might relate to that, bloomin' hoot.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > >
> > > > > > w3rv
> > > > >
> > > > > Brian, you're postings are slightly more readable than Bruce's.
> > > > >
> > > > > Are you really an engineer?
> > > >
> > > > You bet, which explains why I go over your head.
> > >
> > > I understand engineers just fine. Even their doodles on napkins at lunchtime.
> >
> > No doubt including their solutions to double integrals right?
> >
> >
> > > You don't sound like any engineer I've ever worked with, which is why I ask.
> > >
> > > What kind of engineer are you? Sanitation?
> >
> > If I wuz a sanitation engineer you wouldn't be here.
> >
> > w3rv

Is this the part where I tremble with fear? You're just a blowhard.

2664. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 00:05:51 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211301605.1fa2c7e6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56903

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<uuhi36av92c642@corp.supernews.com>...
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:f45722ac.0211291551.4691cb1f@posting.google.com...
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:<3DE70199.805046E0@townsqr.com>...
> > >
> > > Prolly because that's all you've ever been able to think about - how all
> us mean ol' Extras
> > > screwed you out of your HF ticket.
> >
> > Putz, can't you ever get anything right? My initial license was an HF
> > license. I've never NOT had HF. I had to take more exams to get VHF.
> > And you said you were a VE? Good thing you quit. You don't know the
> > first thing about amateur radio licensing.
>
> Ain't it amazin'? I've never seen--in this newsgroup--anyone with even the
> slightest credibility expressing any kind of sentiment such as Dick purports
> above. Yet, he acts like that is a common contention in this newsgroup--and
> treats you as though you are the person carrying it.
>
> I think Dick has a bone toward someone local or on the air that he's dealt
> with and will hold a grudge forever against.
>
> Kim W5TIT

No doubt he's confused me with someone else. He can't get even the
most basic element of my amateur career right.

I think Dick is emotionally immature.

2665. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 00:22:54 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211301622.3c4214df@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56905

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DE8137A.F2FF124@townsqr.com>...
> Burke-Turkey, you're nothing if not a dumschit.

Dixie, that may be, but you're words still ring hollow. And you've no ability to defend your position, so the names start rolling out. Typical.

You're one of those "do as I say, not as I do" kind of people. You think its OK to be that way because you have an Extra Class Amateur Radio license, and think that that makes you smarter than everyone else.

Yet you cannot figure out how to join Hans on the air for a little CWing, because you're away from your fixed-base radio. Yep, CW is going to do you a lot of good in an emergency, and thusly, every one must learn it for Dick's sake.

73 Brian

2666. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 00:24:42 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0211301624.41cae818@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56906

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0211280601.5a68fd58@posting.google.com>...

> Lotta mindless hand-wringing bullshit and minutia-hammering underway
> around here.
>
> w3rv

I see that you're still posting.

2667. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 01:04:29 UTC
From: Barbra <SocialistLiberal@Anon.com>
Message-ID: <asbo72uqc0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 56907

```
"Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net> wrote in message
news:4QaG9.4234$4j5.2315262@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Barbra" <SocialistLiberal@Anon.com> wrote in message
> news:asb6p5$k96$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net> wrote in message
> > news:bi8G9.4221$4j5.2298965@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> > > I happen to agree with your opinion of the Clintons but do not think
it
> > > appropriate to launch personal attacks on Kim because she doesn't care
> for
> > > Bush.
> > >
> >
> > But it is perfectly acceptable for Kim to refer to a person on this
group
> as
> > "an arrogant bastard"?
> > What's the difference?
>
>
> There's been several long running threads where he has previously and
> continuously made personal attacks on her. Even I might slip under such
an
> assault and say inappropriate things though I hope that I've developed
> enough discipline not to.
>
> Dee Flint, N8UZE
>
Then, in that case, that is for her to contend with. Why did you step,
unbidden, into the fray? Some folks might consider that to be meddling.
```

2668. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 01:37:15 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021130203715.06624.00000119@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 56909

In article <d22b54c3.0211300830.68f46972@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
>
>> > At the bench and commercial/military applied levels in the 30s & 40s
>> > of course Bill but certainly not at the level of the technological
>> > visionaries who gave us the bases for what we have today. ALL of it.
>> > Particularly after Shockley did his trick which prolly forever left
>> > ham radio in the dust wrt to ham radio leading any significnt
>> > technological advances.
>>
>> But Shockley only perfected the transistor in the late 40s (49 I think)
>> and transistors at a reasonable (affordable) price only started showing
>> up in the late 50s.
>
>Agreed, CK722s at $7.50 a pop were not much of an incentive to use 'em
>in ham radio. But as time wore on the transistor became the early ICs
>in the '60s then they became what they are today. Millions of 6H6s &
>6B6s in packages the size of a 6H6 socket. We can't even hope to
>duplicate those ourselves, the result is today's 99% plug & play ham
>radio. Took awhile but in the end . .

The "transistor" was/is a whole series of inventions, not just the one mentioned above. From an amateur point of view, transistors became competitive with tubes in some applications in the 50s, while in other applications they have still not completely displaced tubes. Amateur requirements are often different than those of other services, so exact comparisons with, say, military or broadcast practice can be misleading. For example, preventive maintenace tube replacement cost in a 24/7 broadcast station can make a solidstate transmitter economically advantageous, while the ham who transmits a few hundred hours per year can run a tube transmitter until the bottles fail.

>
>> > I think yer at least a decade early, I don't recollect any 1965 +/-
>> > Japanese hollow or solid state HF ssb xcvrs.
>>
>> Nor do I....but I could be wrong.
>> The biggest problem with solid state in that time frame
>> was the lack of power. 100 watts output called for tubes, not
>> transistors in the late 60s....at least for ham gear.

The main factor in ham gear was/is $ per watt of first-cost.

>
>In the '60s & early '70s absolutely. The other big problem with solid
>state equipment was the lousy dynamic ranges of solid state devices

>vs. tubes particularly in HF receiver front ends. Solving that one
>didn't happen until close to 1980. Which came three decades after
>Shockley's transistor.

Yup. Many early solidstate rxs were inferior in BDR and IMDDR to the tube sets they replaced. Doesn't just apply to ham gear, either. But over time the solidstate designs improved until they were almost as good in BDR amd IMDDR as tube designs of a few decades earlier. Smaller and less expensive, too.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2669. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 01:37:47 UTC
From: smoolb@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021130203747.06624.00000120@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 56908

In article <asaif0fvi1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

major snippage

>> > > The first wave of IL changes were enacted in late 1968 and the second
>> > > wave in
>> > > late 1969. So for most of the decade of the '60s, IL was a
>> > >potentiality, not a
>> > > reality. Growth actually sputtered to a halt in 1963, five years
>> > >before IL
>> > > became effective, and coincident with the Conditional change and other
>> > >effects.
>> > >
>> > > Incentive licensing was at its height in the 1970s - when there were
>> > >no code
>> > > waivers, no CSCEs, and most hams had to journey to the FCC office to
>> > >test.
>> > > Economic times were awful, two energy crises, Watergate, Vietnam's
>> > >end, etc.
>> > > Yet the number of US hams soared!
>> > >
>> > > If IL was such a bummer, man, why did US hamdom grow so much faster
>> > >after it
>> > > was enacted?
>> >
>> > And that, Sir, is the question that none of the Incentive Licensing
>> >bashers will
>> > ever be able to answer. Because they don't have an answer for it.
>>
>> People had more free time. Forty hour work weeks, paid vacations, etc.

I don't think people had more free time, 40 hour work weeks or paid vacations
in the 70s than they did in the '60s. The stagflation (combination of economic
stagnation and inflation at the same time) of the '70s ate into almost
everyone's real income. Energy crises quadrupled the price of gas and reduced
the resale value of big cars, homes, powerboats, etc. Many middle class
families needed two incomes to keep from sliding backwards economically.
>
>They also had more discretionary income. Some folks were undergoing
>economic stress, but not the entire country.

More discretionary income in the '70s than the '60s? I doubt it. Also, the
total economic picture in the '60s was far better than in the '70s.

>Additionally, the computer
>avalanche had not even begun as an alternative "techie" activity.

Agreed. But cb and freebanding were booming in the '70s. Quality solidstate home and auto sound systems were becoming much less expensive than their tube predecessors. And energy-efficiency was the technological frontier, not dowdy old radio.

Yet through all that, ham radio grew and thrived.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2670. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 01:54:08 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DE97A04.5B11DCB3@townsqr.com>
Source record: 56910

N2EY wrote:

> In article <jG5G9.50673$GR5.11014@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>, "Jackie L."
> <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20021129223710.28322.00000685@mb-fy.aol.com...
> >
> >> Yes, it did. In fact, both William Shatner and Leonard Nimoy made an
> >entire
> >> album each, covering various hits of the '60s. I'm not sure about DeForest
> >> Kelley.
> >>
> >> I am not making this up.
> >
> >Leonard NIMOY made an covers album too?
>
> Yes. This was when the original Star Trek series was at the peak of its
> popularity.
> >
> >I'd love to hear what Dave Barry has to say about this egregious effrontery
> >to human hearing.
>
> Actually, he has a precise criteria for the "bad song" category. Country and
> western songs, operas, Neil Diamond/Barry Manilow songs, show tunes, novelty
> songs, comedy songs, parodies and obvious celebrity things like the Shatner
> record (which even Shatner himself did not take seriously) are disqualified on
> general principles. The "Book Of Bad Songs" focuses on pop songs that were
> meant to be taken seriously, and that scored high enough on the charts and
> playlists to imprint themselves on our collective cultural memory. IOW, songs
> that everyone knows and wishes they didn't. Songs you recognize on the first
> few bars and spend the rest of the day trying to get out of your head.
>
> btw, if I'm ever going through the desert on a horse, I'm going to name the
> &^!*$ thing!

Then there was "Cracklin' Rosie....."

2671. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 02:22:30 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DE980A9.74CE8CE@townsqr.com>
Source record: 56911

Brian wrote:

> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DE8137A.F2FF124@townsqr.com>...
> > Burke-Turkey, you're nothing if not a dumschit.
>
> Dixie, that may be, but you're words still ring hollow. And you've no
> ability to defend your position, so the names start rolling out.
> Typical.
>

B-turkey, you're working overtime to make sure you're not just a generic dumschit but that you intend to be the very dumbest of dumschits. Damf'ur not about to exceed even Kim.

>
> You're one of those "do as I say, not as I do" kind of people. You
> think its OK to be that way because you have an Extra Class Amateur
> Radio license,

Lessee, now, what was it I did? Oh, just got out of my chair when FCC said it was time for Incentive Licensing and motored a two day trip to the district office to upgrade. And you? You wouldn't have had to leave town to do that,-with all the questions and their answers given to you on a platter in advance, but rather you chose to bitch and whine for half a lifetime because the licensing requirements didn't quite fit your personal view of "appropriate". Yer a real genius, pal!

> and think that that makes you smarter than everyone
> else.
>

Well, it would stretch things more than just a little to attempt to avoid besting you in that department, I'll have to admit.

>
> Yet you cannot figure out how to join Hans on the air for a little
> CWing, because you're away from your fixed-base radio.

So Hans issued a blanket invitation to all rrap'ers to QSO him on CW- NOT a personal invitation to me, as though that matters. I happened to be 800 miles from home with no way to put a rig on the air. As though the circumstances here warranted something special. You got your head screwed on sideways or has your current XYL got you biased beyond cutoff?

> Yep, CW is
> going to do you a lot of good in an emergency, and thusly, every one
> must learn it for Dick's sake.

Plain hardheaded stupidity, that's you. CW is not nor has it ever been anything more than it is - A mode that requires the operater to learn it to be able to use it. You're too much

an ignoramos to do either.]

We probably should be cheering that fact.

2672. Re: FCC Rules For Hams Using Spread Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 02:30:39 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uuit2qt6derq73@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56912

Ken,

You are simply trying to 'flame' Phil. I'm sure he can defend himself,
however, what security clearances he possessed is between him and the US of
A. Personally, I wouldn't bet against Phil.

Sincerely,
Jim AA2QA
RM3, Vietnam Vet
(and I wouldn't re-up for the RM2 or all the tea in China - once was enough)

"Ken" <Ken@NoBULLSHITzoneha.net> wrote in message
news:as8tgr$2h9$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
>
> > My prior employment before the FCC was with the Naval Research
> > Laboratory and with the Strategic Air Command as a communications
> > engineer. You have no idea what I did or did not have access to.
>
>
> Sure, and I am on George Bush's personal staff too. And before that
> I was on the Queen of England's personal staff.
>
>
> > Ditto for what security clearances and acccess I did or didn't
> > have.
>
>
> And ditto for whose staff I'm on now.
>
>
> 73 de Ken
>
>
> > ---
> > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> >
> >
>
>

2673. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 02:35:36 UTC
From: K<unk>HB <k<unk>hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <YzeG9.10110$ta5.1167532@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 56913

SMOOLB?

<unk><unk><unk> 73, de Hans, K0HB

2674. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 03:10:40 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021130221040.24579.00000369@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 56914

>Then, in that case, that is for her to contend with. Why did you step,
>unbidden, into the fray? Some folks might consider that to be meddling.

She was all upset about the Cigar thing, but TITs language doesnt seem to
offend her.

2675. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 03:11:42 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE97DD2.D599F7BB@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56915

W5TIT - Kim wrote:

> I strongly disagree with the tactics being employed in this
> country (the US) at the war cry of terrorism.

...as you understand the word.

Dave K8MN

2676. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 03:14:09 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE97E66.79A5390C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56916

W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3DE8F5A3.8D8D04C0@earthlink.net...
> > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > > Why don't you take your politics to Washington, D.C., Dave? I'm sure
> you'll
> > > mix right in.
> >
> > No way, Kim. I'm sticking around to see how your
> > You-won't-have-Dick-Nixon-to-kick-around" story turns out.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> What story is that, Dave?

You must not be paying attention. Your leaving in a snit then gradually inching your way back to full-blown, ditzy posting story.

Dave K8MN

2677. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 03:16:29 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE97EF0.B6F6EB6A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56917

"Dee D. Flint" wrote:
>
> "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021130095955.20065.00000262@mb-dh.aol.com...
> > >With George Dubbya around, one may not have to leave to be in their idea
> of
> > >another country...
> > >
> > >Kim W5TIT
> > >
> >
> > Theres the problem, TIT is just Mad that she didnt get a chance with the
> Cigar
>
> That kind of remark is uncalled for and inappropriate for this or any other
> discussion group. By the way, I happen to support Bush but am appalled that
> anyone would stoop to such comments about a person just because that person
> happens to have a different political choice. Each person is entitled to
> their own opinion about politicians.
>
> Dee Flint, N8UZE

Oh, don't worry about our Kim, Dee. She gives at least as good as she gets. Some of her earlier stuff is salted with the language of sailors. She and Bruce make good company.

Dave K8MN

2678. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 03:28:08 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DE9815C.A3DB0B25@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56918

W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3DE8F4E6.4332AF88@earthlink.net...
> > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3DE80374.CED5EFB@earthlink.net...
> > > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:

> > > >
> > > > You feel that you can simply look them up if you find a need for
> > > > them.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Dave, I don't just "feel" that. I can.
> >
> > You can but that isn't the way people engage in an avocational activity.
> >
>
> No, that is not the way *YOU* engage in an avocational activity. It is the
> way *I* engage in an avocational activity.

Oh, I certainly understand that it is the way you engage in the
avocation of amateur radio. I was perfectly clear on that.

> >
> > "Let's see. Hang glider is losing altitude....hmmmm....I'd better look
> > that up".
> >
>
> Let's see. Dave is too ignorant to realize that things that are *critical*
> would be things that need to be known and remembered. Thus, Dave is too
> ignorant to realize that when one is not using bands or frequencies, their
> allocations do not have to be known and remembered.

Oh, I see. So you only need look them up before each time you begin to
operate on a particular band? That's quite a system you have there,
Kim.

> Good grief, Dave, if you're going to try to make someone look stupid, at
> least use some intelligence yourself.

Would you like to take a poll?

> > > >
> > > > You could have done the same by looking up "Alec Baldwin".
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > You said it yourself: "if (you) find a need for them." Dave, I don't
> feel a
> > > need to know what or who Alec Baldwin is. Coming from you, I knew it
> was
> > > some simpleton sort of thought process, since that's pretty much all you
> can
> > > muster.
> > >
> > I don't know how you can read what I wrote and dismiss it as "some
> > simpleton thought process". You both said you were leaving. Neither of
> > you meant it.
> > >
>
> Sure we did, Dave. Why don't you use your famous look up procedures and see
> for how long I didn't post. I'd pretty much say that was me having left.
> Now, when you find the post that stated I would never be back, then we can
> have a little intelligent discussion.

I don't have a famous look up procedure and I think the odds are against
us ever having an intelligent discussion.

> > > > >
> > > > A
> > > > simple internet search would have clued you in to the fact that he is
> a
> > > > pretty well known movie actor.
> > > > You might have also learned that he stated (to the news media) that if
> > > > George W. Bush was elected to the presidency, he'd leave America.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > Well, you see, Dave. I don't dwell on crap like that.
> > >
> > Remembering something isn't dwelling on it.
> > >
> > > My life is much more
> > > exciting than living it by a book.
> > >
> > Right. You aren't bound by definitions and the like. I'm sure life
> > becomes more interesting where "chair" means "having tea".
> > >
>
> You having a little too much Thanksgiving Cheer over there, Dave? You're
> incognizant.

Give us your extended definition of "terrorism" again if you like, Kim.
You aren't bound by dictionaries as I recall. Feel free to correct me
if I misunderstood what you told us.

> > >
> > > You see, there are things I am near
> > > certain you've said in your life that were never done. That is, unless
> you
> > > consider yourself *that* far above the rest of us. And, that is your
> > > problem.
> > >
> > > I haven't the slightest idea what the above words are attempting to
> > > convey.
> > >
> >
> > Pleading ignorance doesn't change a thing, Dave.

It sure doesn't. I still have no idea what you are attempting to
communicate.

> > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Oh, I also ought to add that you need not waste your time if you do
> > > > > intend
> > > > > > it to be an insult. You see, one must be deserving of another
> > feeling
> > > > > > insulted, and you are not.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > ...or the insulted party has to be sharp enough to figure out why she
> > > > > > should feel insulted. It is okay with me that you didn't get it.
> > > > > > Others did.
> > > > >
> > > > > And, it's OK (quite OK) that you don't have any impact on me at all. I
> > > > > consider you nothing more than a name...nothing more than an arrogant
> > > > > bastard who isn't worthy of affecting me in the least.
> > > >
> > > > If you weren't effected, you wouldn't be calling me an arrogant bastard.

> >
> > But I did, didn't I?

Yes. That was my point.

> > You have your own little delusion--life's a delusion
> > for you, I think.

It gets a little surreal when I'm reading your posts.

Dave K8MN

2679. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 04:34:27 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021130233427.24623.00000456@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 56920

>To the person that corrects spelling. Let's leave that alone. Spelling and
>grammar should count in formal reports, but reasonable misspelling in an
>internet posting is okay.

I consider myself to be a person of average abilities, but seem to have little or no difficulty in achieving near perfect spelling and grammar while posting to internet newsgroups. For the record, so do you. I think part of the reason why we're losing our culture is because there are too many people willing to "let go" on the simple, but necessary, details of a civilized society -- such as showing respect for those who read your words.

>It is hard to maintain spelling in an internet
>posting and there should be some allowance. Sometimes typing quickly can
>cause
>incorrect spelling. Incorrect spelling or grammar does not mean the person is
>less intelligent. The content of the message is what's important.

To the contrary, I believe the content of the message gets lost when easily avoided distractions, such as incorrect spelling, punctuation and grammar cause interference in the mind of the reader.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2680. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 04:44:15 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021130234415.19280.00000667@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 56921

In article <f45722ac.0211301624.41cae818@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
>news:<d22b54c3.0211280601.5a68fd58@posting.google.com>...
>
>> Lotta mindless hand-wringing bullshit and minutia-hammering underway
>> around here.
>>
>> w3rv
>
>I see that you're still posting.

...and he has his spectrum spread too wide... :-)

2681. no code debate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 04:44:42 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DE993BA.84A4F786@mailcity.net>
Source record: 56932

You think the no code debate is a recent issue with ham radio?
Here is the guy that started it all.

Cotton Wood
Ranch,
Ten Sleep,
Wyo.

Gentilemen:
I have a Radiaphone with out KEY and I Want to use it on short
Distance Where there is no code or Ameture Stations and I Have no
use for The KEY and I do not Want to go to the Expense of Putting
a
KEY and Brushing up on Code and after I get it I will Have no use
For it in my Buisness and I can See no Reason to Compell me get
the
KEY and learn Code when there is no Code Stations in Ten Sleep or
Worland Wyoming but Plenty of Receivers that I can call up and
tell
Them What I Want So Please See if I cannot get a licens with out
the
Expense of the KEY and Brushing up on Code I have nt usd the Code
for
5 years and Canot Use it in Worland or Ten Sleep Wyo and it will
be
no good to me After I get it and I Donot See why I should get
Some-
thing that I do not need Please see what you can do my Distance of
Use is 25 miles.
U. S. Hubbell
QST for June, 1928
****

Hey Larry, in reference to spelling, grammar, and punctuation, how
is that for a long sentence?

2682. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 04:49:28 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021130234928.24623.00000457@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 56922

>>Incorrect spelling or grammar does not mean the person is
>>less intelligent. The content of the message is what's important.

I believe that incorrect spelling and grammar reflects directly on the poster's intelligence -- or, more to the point, the lack thereof.

>
>Very true. But when a person doesn't take the time to correct multiple
>errors,
>it means they just don't care.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

Precisely. It also means they have no respect for their reader, and thus do
not
deserve the reader's attention. Proper spelling, punctuation, and grammar make the difference in whether or not the author is perceived as a boor, or as an educated and intelligent person, whose words should be taken seriously.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2683. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 04:54:49 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021130235449.24623.00000458@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 56923

: But when a person doesn't take the time to correct multiple errors,
: it means they just don't care.
:

No, it simply means that they don't consider it important. (Which is
different than your anal-retentive/condescending "they just don't care")

Hansl:

Jim is an educated and intelligent person, who is qualified to make such
judgments as "people who disregard proper spelling and grammar
just don't care." What I find anal-retentive/condescending is your
criticism of someone who is trying to uphold the accepted language
standards once universally understood and followed by every high-
school graduate, but distressingly absent even from some of today's
university students.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2684. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 05:10:17 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net>
Message-ID: <ZQgG9.4269$4j5.2353541@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 56919

You'd have to read back through the thread but I have been a participant in
the thread off and on.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

"Barbra" <SocialistLiberal@Anon.com> wrote in message
news:asbo72uqc0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net> wrote in message
> news:4QaG9.4234$4j5.2315262@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Barbra" <SocialistLiberal@Anon.com> wrote in message
> > news:asb6p5$k96$0@pita.alt.net...
> > >
> > > "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net> wrote in message
> > > news:bi8G9.4221$4j5.2298965@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > I happen to agree with your opinion of the Clintons but do not think
> it
> > > > appropriate to launch personal attacks on Kim because she doesn't
care
> > for
> > > > Bush.
> > > >
> > >
> > > But it is perfectly acceptable for Kim to refer to a person on this
> group
> > as
> > > > "an arrogant bastard"?
> > > > What's the difference?
> > >
> > >
> > > There's been several long running threads where he has previously and
> > > continuously made personal attacks on her. Even I might slip under such
> an
> > > assault and say inappropriate things though I hope that I've developed
> > > enough discipline not to.
> > >
> > > Dee Flint, N8UZE
> > >
> > Then, in that case, that is for her to contend with. Why did you step,
> unbidden, into the fray? Some folks might consider that to be meddling.
>
>
>

2685. Re: Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 05:25:44 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@anythereonfreq.net>
Message-ID: <asc6fa$1ek$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 56924
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:uu8lm8acuip06f@corp.supernews.com...
> Actually, Hans, some of us anoint the wise ones! ;)
>
> BTW, I have carefully measured your 'dit dit' at the end of your post and
> can pronounce it of the proper required legal spacing. ;)
>
>
> Good post & 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>

I doubt if you can properly measure anything, given the way you
"anointed" kv4fz on 14313 over the years. Do you flame anyone
who does not support fz?

Lloyd

2686. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 05:31:24 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DE99EAC.D9CD244B@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 56925

Krell wrote:

>
>
> Want to know how superior FCC testing is? Set the way-back machine to 1982,
> location: The Detroit field office. The 20 WPM code test is being administered
> for prospective Extras. The testing tape sounds unusually slow to the examiner.
> She takes a set of headphones out to her supervisor and asks him if the code
> speed sounds slow. He agrees that it is not 20 WPM, although the label on the
> tape says otherwise. The supervisors solution? "Fuggehdaboutit!" That day,
> about 15 people received their Extra class license, and some, most likely,
> wouldn't have passed because of this snafu.
>
> That's how superior FCC testing is compared to VE testing.

Seems like a likely bureaucratic result. Easier to just let it slide
and not piss people off. Besides, so what if someone they passed
could in reality only do 19 WPM? Not a hazard to the public....

2687. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 05:40:06 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DE9A0B6.FD9679F1@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 56926

David Stinson wrote:

> Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > Well, Vipul, if all the hams crawled between two giant slices of Wonder
> > Bread, we could be a ham sandwish.
>
> Could we use rye or whole wheat, please?
> Brown musturd, too.

What about the Jewish hams?.... ah, Jewish amateurs....
Do they have pork barrel politics in Israel?........ :-)

2688. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 05:47:21 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DE9A268.5370455F@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 56927

>
> > If IL was such a bummer, man, why did US hamdom grow so much faster after it
> > was enacted?
>
> And that, Sir, is the question that none of the Incentive Licensing bashers will
> ever be able to answer. Because they don't have an answer for it.

Maybe that CB craze in 1975 got a number of people interested in talking on the radio, and decided to get the "real thing", the ham license. That's how I got into ham radio, via the CB path. Got a tech in 1976, had to go to the NYC field office (which is now condos) to take my tests. Got my "extra lite" nearly 2 years ago.

So maybe IL had no real effect, or the CB thing compensated for it.

2689. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 05:59:06 UTC
From: Barbra <LiberalSocialist@Anon.com>
Message-ID: <asc9ff$81m$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 56929

"Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net> wrote in message
news:ZQgG9.4269$4j5.2353541@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> You'd have to read back through the thread but I have been a participant
in
> the thread off and on.

Yes, you have. Still...meddling by another name......
(and name-calling?)

2690. Re: Just what ARE the correct ham radio licensee stats?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 06:10:41 UTC
From: Joe Bob Hawksley <JoeBob@HawksleyImportedRadios.com>
Message-ID: <asc93j$78f$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 56928
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.antenna,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

You are not helping by repeatedly cross posting your
ham radio crap to rec.radio.cb And you don't need to
ask anyone anything, just quit your dam cross posting to
rec.radio.cb

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:uub74snoiefn5f@corp.supernews.com...
> I inadvertently responded a short time ago to such a post. I will ask
> everyone responding to check the cross posts to a ton of other newsgroups.
> This might be ok for some of the amateur groups, but I doubt rec.radio.cb
is
> too interested in this.
>
> Thanks & 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>

2691. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 06:12:19 UTC
From: Barbra <LiberalSocialist@Anon.com>
Message-ID: <asca8jajh0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 56931

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3DE97DD2.D599F7BB@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> > I strongly disagree with the tactics being employed in this
> > country (the US) at the war cry of terrorism.
>
> ...as you understand the word.
>
> Dave K8MN

Gee. Where did I hear it said that Political Correctness is but Tyranny with
manners?
Kim...word to the wise. You would sound more credible if you could seperate
your plaintive whining from your seemingly (to you) well thought out posts.
Your credibility went from little to nil when you resorted to name-calling.
Surely someone with the intellect that you seem to pat yourself on the back
for having is above this. Or is it?
Come on, Kim. You aren't exactly batting 300 here....

2692. Re: Just what ARE the correct ham radio licensee stats?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 06:17:51 UTC
From: Bill M <"exray\\@n-o- .caribe.net>">
Message-ID: <uujabkd5l4todc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56930
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.shortwave

Joe Bob Hawksley wrote:
> You are not helping by repeatedly cross posting your
> ham radio crap to rec.radio.cb And you don't need to
> ask anyone anything, just quit your dam cross posting to
> rec.radio.cb
>
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:uub74snoiefn5f@corp.supernews.com...
>
>>I inadvertently responded a short time ago to such a post. I will ask
>>everyone responding to check the cross posts to a ton of other newsgroups.
>>This might be ok for some of the amateur groups, but I doubt rec.radio.cb
>
> is
>
>>too interested in this.
>>
>>Thanks & 73 from Rochester, NY
>>Jim

JoeBobHawksley proves a very good point. The roving hordes of savage
CBers really aren't interested in taking over the ham bands via a
no-code licensing scheme.

-BM

2693. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 06:41:29 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <ascs3d12qj5@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56933

Alrighty... my two cents for the WORST song out yet..... Starland Vocal Band, "Afternoon Delight"

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:3DE80BEC.A12E4424@earthlink.net...
> Mike Coslo wrote:
> >
> > K&#65533;HB wrote:
> >
> > > ....an itsy bitsy teensy weensy.....
> >
> > > .....yellow polka dot bikini
> >
> > Chewy chewy chewy chewy chewy chewy chewy chewy, you always have a
> > mouthful of such good things to say.....
>
> It doesn't get worse than Ohio Express:
>
> "Yummy, yummy, yummy
> I've got love in my tummy"...
>
> Dave K8MN

2694. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 13:37:02 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021201083702.17907.00000773@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 56935

In article <3DE97A04.5B11DCB3@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> In article <jG5G9.50673$GR5.11014@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>, "Jackie L."
>> <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:
>>
>> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>> >news:20021129223710.28322.00000685@mb-fy.aol.com...
>> >
>> >> Yes, it did. In fact, both William Shatner and Leonard Nimoy made an
>> >entire
>> >> album each, covering various hits of the '60s. I'm not sure about
>DeForest
>> >> Kelley.
>> >>
>> >> I am not making this up.
>> >
>> >Leonard NIMOY made an covers album too?
>>
>> Yes. This was when the original Star Trek series was at the peak of its
>> popularity.
>> >
>> >I'd love to hear what Dave Barry has to say about this egregious
>effrontery
>> >to human hearing.
>>
>> Actually, he has a precise criteria for the "bad song" category. Country
>and
>> western songs, operas, Neil Diamond/Barry Manilow songs, show tunes,
>novelty
>> songs, comedy songs, parodies and obvious celebrity things like the Shatner
>> record (which even Shatner himself did not take seriously) are disqualified
>on
>> general principles. The "Book Of Bad Songs" focuses on pop songs that were
>> meant to be taken seriously, and that scored high enough on the charts and
>> playlists to imprint themselves on our collective cultural memory. IOW,
>songs
>> that everyone knows and wishes they didn't. Songs you recognize on the
>first
>> few bars and spend the rest of the day trying to get out of your head.
>>
>> btw, if I'm ever going through the desert on a horse, I'm going to name the

>> &^!*$ thing!
>
>
>
>Then there was "Cracklin' Rosie................."
>
Neil Diamond song - disqualified on general principles. Actually not that bad,
considering the source and that it's a tribute to a cheap sparkling wine....

73 de Jim, N2EY

2695. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 13:37:03 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021201083703.17907.00000774@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 56934

In article <3DE9A268.5370455F@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>> > If IL was such a bummer, man, why did US hamdom grow so much faster after
>it
>> > was enacted?
>>
>> And that, Sir, is the question that none of the Incentive Licensing
>bashers will
>> ever be able to answer. Because they don't have an answer for it.
>
>Maybe that CB craze in 1975 got a number of people interested in
>talking on the radio, and decided to get the "real thing", the ham
>license.

Maybe. But in the '70s, the difference between getting on the air with cb and
getting a ham license was much greater than today.

>That's how I got into ham radio, via the CB path. Got
>a tech in 1976, had to go to the NYC field office (which is now
>condos) to take my tests. Got my "extra lite" nearly 2 years ago.

Many hams did the same thing. Many others simply went straight into ham radio.
Today, though, there is no reason for people not to go straight to ham radio
"right out of the box".

>So maybe IL had no real effect, or the CB thing compensated for
>it.
>
Exactly.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2696. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 13:38:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021201083828.02969.00000154@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 56936

>Subject: Re: Would have been Extra sooner
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 11/30/02 2:29 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021130152927.24090.00000165@mb-cv.aol.com>

>>If they had the same License Testing they do now when I was first licensed,
>I
>>would have Probably have been an Extra at 4 years old.
>
>Yea, only a 4 year old can master Morse Code. Tapping key is close to the
>toys
>they play with.
>Adults have trouble with it.

Vipul, where do you come up with this?

I recently proctored a VE session where six folks tested for Morse Code,
five of whom passed. None was under 21 years of age.

Also, it is considered proper "netiquette" to cite the author of posts
that you are quoting and subsequently "responding" to. I know that this has
been addressed to you before. Is there a reason you choose to show disrespect
to those whom you quote?

Steve, K4YZ

2697. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 13:39:22 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021201083922.02969.00000155@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 56937

>Subject: Re: Would have been Extra sooner
>From: JJ radiobuff0@mailcity.net
>Date: 11/30/02 12:59 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3DE90A9E.D0E48561@mailcity.net>

>Anyone who can't spell a word more than one way simply has no
>imigenation.

Phurr szcurr.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2698. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 13:44:01 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021201084401.02969.00000156@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 56938

>Subject: Re: Would have been Extra sooner
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 11/30/02 10:34 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021130233427.24623.00000456@mb-ch.aol.com>

>I think part of the reason
>why we're losing our culture is because there are too many people willing to
>"let go" on the simple, but necessary, details of a civilized society -- such
>as showing respect for those who read your words.

Bravo. Well said.

>>It is hard to maintain spelling in an internet
>>posting and there should be some allowance. Sometimes typing quickly can
>>cause
>>incorrect spelling. Incorrect spelling or grammar does not mean the person
>is
>>less intelligent. The content of the message is what's important.
>
>To the contrary, I believe the content of the message gets lost when easily
>avoided distractions, such as incorrect spelling, punctuation and grammar
>cause
>interference in the mind of the reader.

And again. Failing to take a minute to recheck an item for accuracy is
nothing but laziness. And when one consider's wen now have the technology to
even do THAT for us, it's an absolute insult.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2699. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 13:48:15 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021201084815.02969.00000157@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 56939

>Subject: Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"
>From: "KC8PMX" kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net
>Date: 12/1/02 12:41 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <ascs3d12qj5@enews2.newsguy.com>
>
>Alrighty... my two cents for the WORST song out yet..... Starland Vocal
>Band, "Afternoon Delight"

Noooooooooo! I LOVED that song! INSTANT MEMORIES reveal themselves when I hear that one! Place...time...events...the lady...the lady's lack of attire...etc etc etc! ! ! !

73

Steve, K4YZ

2700. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 13:53:37 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212010553.590f3b16@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56940

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DE980A9.74CE8CE@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DE8137A.F2FF124@townsqr.com>...

> >
> > Yet you cannot figure out how to join Hans on the air for a little
> > CWing, because you're away from your fixed-base radio.
>
> So Hans issued a blanket invitation to all rrap'ers to QSO him on CW- NOT a personal
> invitation to me, as though that matters. I happened to be 800 miles from home with no
way
> to put a rig on the air. As though the circumstances here warranted something special.
You
> got your head screwed on sideways or has your current XYL got you biased beyond cutoff?

Excuses, excuses. So you can only operate radio from home, is that
it?

> > Yep, CW is
> > going to do you a lot of good in an emergency, and thusly, every one
> > must learn it for Dick's sake.
>
> Plain hardheaded stupidity, that's you. CW is not nor has it ever been anything more than
> it is - A mode that requires the operater to learn it to be able to use it. You're too
much
> an ignoramos to do either.]
>
> We probably should be cheering that fact.

I didn't spend my golden years touting the virtues of CW (and putting
down anyone who didn't have my vision), then ignore an invitation to
operate my favorite mode for some ineffectual excuse on why I can't
operate radio away from my home QTH.

you deserve some kind of an award for that.

2701. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 13:58:13 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212010558.2fb64bf4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56941

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DE97A04.5B11DCB3@townsqr.com>...

>
> Then there was "Cracklin' Rosie................."

sounds like a witch burning song.

2702. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 14:03:51 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212010603.7663cfc5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56942

brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0211291554.4a3c0682@posting.google.com>...
> wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021129075754.10561.00000327@mb-cg.aol.com>...
> > If they had the same License Testing they do now when I was first licensed, I
> > would have Probably have been an Extra at 4 years old. And Brian and krell
> > would still be whinnning about how tuff the EXTRA is, and there inability to
> > pass the test.
>
> This is the part where Jim, Kelley, Steve, and Dave jump in and tell
> you that you still couldn't pass the 20wpm code exam, you wouldn't be
> an Extra.

Welp, I guess not. Makes me wonder How I can pass a written Extra
exam and it is subject to all kinds of petty criticism, but Bruce says
he could have done it (w/o the 20WPM code test) and you don't hear a
peep from the peanut gallery.

2703. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 14:28:40 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212010628.318781f0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56943

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20021129203712.17289.00000746@mb-mr.aol.com>...
> In article <iHbF9.27964$vM1.2136685@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
> "K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> writes:
>
> >Brian, W3RV, wrote (in part):
> >

> >> Japanese breakthrough rig which finally broke the backs of both hollow
> >> state ham technology and the U.S. ham gear manufacturers.
>
> The Japanese displaced most of the American ham gear mfrs. a few years earlier.
> Kenwood TS-520 and Yaesu FT-101 were key players in the takeover. They were not
> super rigs in any sense - they simply offered a good mix of performance and
> features at competitive prices.

OK, I guess they were the foot-in-the-door JA rigs but they didn't
bump Collins & Drake very hard so I didn't pay much attention to 'em.
On a dollar vs. performance basis Drake was the king of the hill until
the JAs started producing their heavy-duty competition grade xcvrs.
Took awhile for a lotta hams to get comfortable with xcvrs vs. the
separates they were used to.

K7JEB wrote:

> >Not being a serious DXer or contester or field-day op,
> >I'm more than happy to take your word on this, Brian.

The performance-eating cost-is-no-object types like the serious dxers
& contesters drive the competetion between the big names in the ham
radio building business at the high end which is where their big
profits and reputations come from. Collins played that game extremely
well in the post WW2 tubes era. Which is good because the technologies
& tricks the JAs implement in their $4,000 treasures eventually filter
down to their lower cost offerings.

Kenwood finally trumped Collins at the high end with their pricey
930/940 series in the 1978-1982 timeframe.

> >As owner of a couple of Kenwoodys myself (850's), I
> >was quick to note a couple of front-end attenuators
> >and a pre-amp bypass switch on the front panel. Never
> >saw "features" like that on vacuum-tube rigs.

Cheaper to implement than pots & rotary switches. Like chipsets are a
helluva lot cheaper to produce than are Collins PTOs.

>> I wonder
> >why.
> >
> Because tube rigs had RF gain controls that worked.

We know of one current-tech el cheapo ricebox which has a real RF gain control eh James?

> Lots of off-the-shelf Part 95 devices that only need some application
> engineering to get 'em going. When the radio and antenna are cheaper than
> running the cable, guess what gets installed.

One more example of a radio "technology" which is out there and in established commercial use which has little or no application in ham radio.

> >Oh, man! How could I have forgotten about CDMA? Maybe
> >because I have a TDMA phone (that really sucked until
> >they got a lot more cell-sites infilled out here in
> >West Phoenix) and was just flying along on a roll. CDMA
> >is definitely spread-spectrum. That's a Happy Meal on
> >me next time you're in Phoenix, Brian.

Been to Phoenix several times, whatta beautiful place.

My last trip there was the result of one of the most moronic & hilarious airline screwups I've ever gotten into and I've done some of those lemmee tellya . . I was on a biz trip with several fellow DuPont engineering types to Denver via the USAir Dayton hub. The flight outta Philly was late departing but they held our connecting flight at it's Dayton gate until we arrived.

So everybody gets told stay in their seats until all us Denver-bound fares got off to jump into the Denver flight. We really hoofed guided all the way by a phlanx of USAir overstimulated young podium area eager beavers who waved the usual formalities to get us loaded and outta Dayton. We were still trying to find our seats when the right seat announced he was turning onto the runway.

So we're finally strapped in and catching our breaths when the broad with the mic pipes up on the intercom "Welcome aboard Flight XXX for Phoenix". I could not supress my sneer, we wuz on our way to Phoenix instead of Denver thankew once more UselessAir for another monumental screwup.

Denver was completely socked in for the next couple days so we got to lounge about in Phoenix in the plush digs USAir provided on their dime. Swim-up bar with the centefold barkeeps in bikinis . . barely. Mostly barely. Uh-HUH.

I put a ticket to ride a 4:30 AM "departure" thru the desert on a horse (with a name) on the hotel tab for a run to the top of a mesa. Got to live a purple Arizona sunrise. Damned near got religion. Wash my mouf.

>
> I'll send the Dave's Insanity Sauce.

Don't listen to n2ey Jim, that crap would kill ya!

> >I will concede that the "new-blood, techno-hip, exotic modes"
> >argument was run into the ground during the Great Code Wars
> >here on r.r.a.p.
>
> And where did it lead? One of the top demanders for "better modes and
> modulations" who decried "legacy modes", "elitist call signs" and "electronic
> paintball wars" shows up with a new 2x1 vanity call and tells of us his 70+
> DXCC countries on HF SSB. Surreal, man, surreal.

Depth of covictions = ZERO.

> >Just
> >like in the 60's, though, I have no idea where the hobby/avocation
> >is heading. I'll just be happy if it survives.
>
> Well, let's see:
>
> In the '40s, they said the WW2 shutdown would kill it
> In the '50s, they said TVI, novices and SSB would kill it
> In the '60s, they said incentive licensing and the space age would kill it
> In the '70s, they said cb, FM, and Japanese rigs would kill it
> In the '80s, they said computers, cell phones and the VE system would kill it
> In the '90s, they said the internet and nocode ham licenses would kill it

And there it is in a nutshell.

>
> And here we are in 2002 with more US hams than ever. Go figure.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

2704. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 14:33:56 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021201093356.26094.00000350@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 56944

>Makes me wonder How I can pass a written Extra
>exam and it is subject to all kinds of petty criticism

If you could pass it you would already be an Extra. And without the 20,and without the 13, and in your case without the 5, you still cant pass it. I know I know you passed the written for the Extra. Lets see what QRZ says for Bryan, Oh is says No Extra for brian, but it does say your a CBplusser. Brian you had better run back to NCI and cry to them, maybe they can get you some sort of Waiver.

2705. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 14:53:00 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <gnpG9.8604$yy.1169526@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 56945

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote
:
: Hansl:
:
: Jim is an educated and intelligent person, who is qualified to make such
: judgments as "people who disregard proper spelling and grammar
: just don't care." What I find anal-retentive/condescending is your
: criticism of someone who is trying to uphold the accepted language
: standards once universally understood and followed by every high-
: school graduate, but distressingly absent even from some of today's
: university students.
:
: 73 de Larry, K3LT
:

What's your badge number?

73, Hansl

2706. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 14:55:44 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DEA3134.7ACBB394@townsqr.com>
Source record: 56946

N2EY wrote:

> >Then there was "Cracklin' Rosie................."
> >
> Neil Diamond song - disqualified on general principles.

Whatta you got against Neil? He did some good stuff as well as the chaff.

> Actually not that bad,
> considering the source and that it's a tribute to a cheap sparkling wine....

Um huh. But glom the lyrics and you come up "Hey Kid, who ya trying to kid?"

2707. Re: no code debate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 14:56:26 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DEA2314.1060404@adelphia.net>
Source record: 56947

JJ wrote:

> You think the no code debate is a recent issue with ham radio?
> Here is the guy that started it all.
>
>
> Cotton Wood
> Ranch,
> Ten Sleep,
> Wyo.
>
> Gentilemen:
> I have a Radiaphone with out KEY and I Want to use it on short
> Distance Where there is no code or Ameture Stations and I Have no
> use for The KEY and I do not Want to go to the Expense of Putting
> a
> KEY and Brushing up on Code and after I get it I will Have no use
> For it in my Buisness and I can See no Reason to Compell me get
> the
> KEY and learn Code when there is no Code Stations in Ten Sleep or
> Worland Wyoming but Plenty of Receivers that I can call up and
> tell
> Them What I Want So Please See if I cannot get a licens with out
> the
> Expense of the KEY and Brushing up on Code I have nt usd the Code
> for
> 5 years and Canot Use it in Worland or Ten Sleep Wyo and it will
> be
> no good to me After I get it and I Donot See why I should get
> Some-
> thing that I do not need Please see what you can do my Distance of
> Use is 25 miles.
> U. S. Hubbell

I think that might have been Bruce's grampa.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2708. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 14:58:57 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DEA23AB.8050703@adelphia.net>
Source record: 56948

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

>>Subject: Re: Would have been Extra sooner
>>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>>Date: 11/30/02 2:29 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20021130152927.24090.00000165@mb-cv.aol.com>
>>
>
>>>If they had the same License Testing they do now when I was first licensed,
>>>
>>I
>>
>>>would have Probably have been an Extra at 4 years old.
>>>
>>Yea, only a 4 year old can master Morse Code. Tapping key is close to the
>>toys
>>they play with.
>>Adults have trouble with it.
>>
>
> Vipul, where do you come up with this?
>
> I recently proctored a VE session where six folks tested for Morse Code,
> five of whom passed. None was under 21 years of age.

I learned Morse code at the ripe old age of 46.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2709. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 15:07:55 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DEA340E.E6D761B8@townsqr.com>
Source record: 56949

Brian wrote:

> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DE980A9.74CE8CE@townsqr.com>...
> > Brian wrote:
> >
> > > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DE8137A.F2FF124@townsqr.com>...
>
> > >
> > > Yet you cannot figure out how to join Hans on the air for a little
> > > CWing, because you're away from your fixed-base radio.
> >
> > So Hans issued a blanket invitation to all rrap'ers to QSO him on CW- NOT a personal
> > invitation to me, as though that matters. I happened to be 800 miles from home with no
way
> > to put a rig on the air. As though the circumstances here warranted something special.
You
> > got your head screwed on sideways or has your current XYL got you biased beyond cutoff?
>
> Excuses, excuses. So you can only operate radio from home, is that
> it?
>

No, but it seems you'd have me drive the 800 miles home just to give Hans a cw
contact.....just
the sort of thing we've come to expect from your genius.

> > > Yep, CW is
> > > going to do you a lot of good in an emergency, and thusly, every one
> > > must learn it for Dick's sake.
> >
> > Plain hardheaded stupidity, that's you. CW is not nor has it ever been anything more
than
> > it is - A mode that requires the operater to learn it to be able to use it. You're too
much
> > an ignoramos to do either.]
> >
> > We probably should be cheering that fact.
>
> I didn't spend my golden years touting the virtues of CW (and putting
> down anyone who didn't have my vision), then ignore an invitation to
> operate my favorite mode for some ineffectual excuse on why I can't
> operate radio away from my home QTH.
>
> you deserve some kind of an award for that.

Like I just said, the very sort of thing we've come to expect from you.

2710. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 15:09:07 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DEA3457.9C52F9C1@townsqr.com>
Source record: 56950

Brian wrote:

> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DE97A04.5B11DCB3@townsqr.com>...
>
> >
> > Then there was "Cracklin' Rosie................."
>
> sounds like a witch burning song.

You have a witch acquaintance named Rosie???

2711. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 15:09:24 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3DEA261D.2010905@adelphia.net>
Source record: 56851

Dee D. Flint wrote:

> You'd have to read back through the thread but I have been a participant in
> the thread off and on.
>
> Dee Flint, N8UZE

in response to yet another brave anonymous poster who wrote:

>> Then, in that case, that is for her to contend with. Why did you step,
>> unbidden, into the fray? Some folks might consider that to be meddling.

Why Dee, I'm surprised that you didn't ask "Barbra" the same thing. Its curious how someone with that pseudo-email address (check it out) mysteriously shows up right after you post about your conservative leaning ways. Methinks "Anonymous Barbra" is just someone trying to bait you.

Stepping unbidden into the fray? HAR!

Okay, yer formally invited to post on this subject Dee! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

2712. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 15:11:18 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DEA34DA.DE31C789@townsqr.com>
Source record: 56852

Brian wrote:

> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0211291554.4a3c0682@posting.google.com>...
> > wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021129075754.10561.00000327@mb-cg.aol.com>...
> > > If they had the same License Testing they do now when I was first licensed, I
> > > would have Probably have been an Extra at 4 years old. And Brian and krell
> > > would still be whinnning about how tuff the EXTRA is, and there inability to
> > > pass the test.
> >
> > This is the part where Jim, Kelley, Steve, and Dave jump in and tell
> > you that you still couldn't pass the 20wpm code exam, you wouldn't be
> > an Extra.
>
> Welp, I guess not. Makes me wonder How I can pass a written Extra
> exam and it is subject to all kinds of petty criticism, but Bruce says
> he could have done it (w/o the 20WPM code test) and you don't hear a
> peep from the peanut gallery.

By now most people would have learned that it's all in the expectactions, both those of your "peanut
gallery" and your own. It sure doesn't surprise anyone I know that it flew right over your head.

2713. Re: FCC Rules For Hams Using Spread Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 15:27:01 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212010727.2309bbd0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56853

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20021130123723.28322.00000691@mb-fy.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0211300654.229a8042@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:

> >> carried out on frequency-hopped SSB by W4RI in McLean, VA, K2SZE in
> Rochester,
> >> NY, and WA3ZXW in Annapolis, MD. I don't know for sure what band was used,
> >> probably 2 meters.
> >
> >Over what sorts of paths? If they weren't between two stations at
> >different locations they were simply "bench tests". Spank me and I'll
> >shaddup. Heh.
>
> They were on-air tests between the locations listed. Might have been on HF for
> all I know. They were FHSS using a maximum spread of 100 kHz. Power on the
> order of 100 watts, dipole antennas.
>
> Results were not any better than regular SSB.

Oh fer cripes sake . . . 100 kHz . . yeah, OK, wunnerful, so those
comms were SS in the narrow technical sense. I are not spanked. That
is *not* the SS the Squiggys of the world were ranting about when they
made the big stink about it here. They were raging about high-speed
wideband Part 15 technology with suds, Internet portals, yakity-yak.
Which were gonna save ham radio from us 20 wpm PCTA Luddites and goose
the numbers up with battalions of young nocode geeks.

> >
> >Non-sequitor, we have a whole litany of regulatory restrictions we
> >have to deal with which do not apply to other services including
> >content, transparency of the comms and a number of others and most of
> >those go back to the early 1900s. That's why we're called "the
> >amateurs". Doan like that? Then quit whining and get the commercial
> >licenses.
>
> Who's whining? I'm just stating facts that some seem to forget. Part 15 has all
> sorts of restrictions, too.

No not you whining, the whiners who are claiming that SS can't be
implemented "properly" under the present regs.

>
> The bands above 30 MHz are not "line of sight" by any means.

Eccch . . I've hit 2m machines in the Carolinas from Philly with a
first-floor coaxial dipole hung under the balcony above me. Imagine

what I could do to an SS node in the Carolinas from here . .

> I'm sure there's some amateur SS being done by hams right now. But it's not
> being done by those who moan and whine about "new modes and modulations" on
> newsgroups.

I wouldn't bet on either of those suppositions but time will tell.
There's been some futzing with this "digital voice" thing. Whatever
that is. Sounds like another Collision City.

> >Looks to me like the problem is actually based in the investment and
> >coordination requirements inherent in the implementation of SS on any
> >useful scale which are far beyond the ability of hams to pull
> >together.
>
> Why do the investment and coordination if it's not necessary?

Chicken & eqq enigma. If they don't do the investment & coordination
it ain't gonna work. If there aren't enough interested hams clumped
together in the neighborhood to fund, coordinate and run SS the
investment & coordination ain't gonna happen either. On a strictly
point-to-point basis SS would work but so do 2m FM simplex for voice
and the Internet for binaries.

>
> Military use of SS makes sense because of the need for secrecy and making DF
> difficult/impossible. Hams have no need of either.
>
> Nonmilitary use of SS is based in part on secrecy and part on interference
> avoidance without coordination. Again, hams have no need of either.

Agreed.

>
> All that's left is process gain.

Ya mean like cw & PSK31?

It might be noted that with very few exeptions there has not been a
mode used on the vhf/uhf ham bands which was not at least tried first
on HF in volume. Packet is a kissin' cousin of RTTY with repeaters and
ATV simply needs more bandwidth than is available on the ham bands
below 30Mhz.

> >
> >I wuz there during the repeater wars . . Can you imagine "SS wars" . .
> >?
> >
> >Cartoon fodder.
>
> "Imagine if they gave a war and nobody showed up".

Would prolly be the case but the FCC is not willing to let it even get
off the ground, once burned twice learned.

>
> What the pundits forget is that the driving force behind most hams' mode choice
> is simply fun. If a rig or mode is fun to do, hams will use it, within the
> rules. If it's no fun, all the hand-wringing and preaching to the masses will
> have no effect.

Absolutely. Lotta other considerations matter too. Cost is a biggie.
Complexity is another. The number of users per mode is another one.
Per a number of previous posts I don't prefer cw because I "enjoy" cw,
I use cw because of it's superior performance in weak signal work both
ways and it's widespread use. I don't yak at length with cw, if I
wanna yak I take up the bands to do my motormouthing.

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

2714. Re: FCC Rules For Hams Using Spread Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 16:08:49 UTC
From: Bill Collins <none@anonynones.net>
Message-ID: <asdc54$91k$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 56854

If he can "defend" himself, why do you find it necessary
to carry water for him? Personally, I think you are
attempting to gain favor with the r.r.a.p. Sanhedrin.

73 de Bill Collins

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:uuit2qt6derq73@corp.supernews.com...
> Ken,
>
> You are simply trying to 'flame' Phil. I'm sure he can defend himself,
> however, what security clearances he possessed is between him and the US
of
> A. Personally, I wouldn't bet against Phil.
>
> Sincerely,
> Jim AA2QA
> RM3, Vietnam Vet
> (and I wouldn't re-up for the RM2 or all the tea in China - once was
enough)
>

2715. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 16:43:42 UTC
From: tbryant@IHATESPAM.cannet.com
Message-ID: <asde7u02h7r@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56858

In article <3DEA3457.9C52F9C1@townsqr.com>, dixie@townsqr.com says...
>
>
>
>Brian wrote:
>
>> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DE97A04.5B11DCB3@town
>sqr.com>...
>>
>> >
>> > Then there was "Cracklin' Rosie................."
>>
>> sounds like a witch burning song.
>
> You have a witch acquaintance named Rosie???

While on the subject of Neil Diamond, did you know that he wrote "I'm a Believer," which was made popular by The Monkees, and recenty re-recorded by Smash Mouth?

...of course, he wrote some dreck as well.

Tom - N8ECW

2716. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 16:48:33 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uukfqei3jfe2c5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56855

"Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net> wrote in message
news:xg8G9.4220$4j5.2299160@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> Kim, rest assured that I was defending your rights to believe as you wish.
> I was taking exception to the cigar comment. Good political debate does
not
> need that kind of innuendo, etc.
>
> Dee Flint, N8UZE
>
>

I figured it was that more than anything...and that is just the way we should all be. But, there's no denying it takes a very special person to be able to have true communication--dialogue--without turning it into a war.

Kim W5TIT

2717. Re: See "Codeless"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 16:51:00 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uukfv1iujvrd11@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56856

"Krell" <krell1215@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:asb93h0282n@enews4.newsguy.com...
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3DE8E686.D48B1ED@townsqr.com...
> >
> >
> > Krell wrote:
> >
> >
> > > Sorry, but I don't relish the idea of having a lobotomy.
> >
> >
> > Oh, no problem! For you a hemorroidectomy would get the same result.
>
> Gee, Dick! I thought I was supposed to have been in your killfile months
> ago. Must have missed having me around.
>
>

heh heh heh, he makes those mistakes once in a while. He recently directly
responded to a post of mine--for the umpteenth time--

Kim W5TIT

2718. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 16:54:52 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uukg69l40si1c6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56857

```
"Barbra" <SocialistLiberal@Anon.com> wrote in message
news:asbo72$uqc$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net> wrote in message
> news:4QaG9.4234$4j5.2315262@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Barbra" <SocialistLiberal@Anon.com> wrote in message
> > news:asb6p5$k96$0@pita.alt.net...
> > >
> > > "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net> wrote in message
> > > news:bi8G9.4221$4j5.2298965@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > I happen to agree with your opinion of the Clintons but do not think
> it
> > > > appropriate to launch personal attacks on Kim because she doesn't
care
> > for
> > > > Bush.
> > > >
> > >
> > > But it is perfectly acceptable for Kim to refer to a person on this
> group
> > as
> > > > "an arrogant bastard"?
> > > > What's the difference?
> > >
> > >
> > > There's been several long running threads where he has previously and
> > > continuously made personal attacks on her. Even I might slip under such
> an
> > > assault and say inappropriate things though I hope that I've developed
> > > enough discipline not to.
> > >
> > > Dee Flint, N8UZE
> > >
> > Then, in that case, that is for her to contend with. Why did you step,
> > unbidden, into the fray? Some folks might consider that to be meddling.
> >

A) What of your "meddling"? Your "name" has never even been seen before.
B) This is a newsgroup--anyone can post to any message as they choose.
C) You just posted to a thread you've nothing to do with--by your own
classification.

Kim W5TIT
```

2719. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 16:59:48 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uukgfj43lgb95b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56859

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3DE9815C.A3DB0B25@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > >
> >
> > Let's see. Dave is too ignorant to realize that things that are
critical
> > would be things that need to be known and remembered. Thus, Dave is too
> > ignorant to realize that when one is not using bands or frequencies,
their
> > allocations do not have to be known and remembered.
>
> Oh, I see. So you only need look them up before each time you begin to
> operate on a particular band? That's quite a system you have there,
> Kim.
>

OK, Dave. I've gotten the drawing board out for you before, so I can do it
now. I *DON'T USE* any other bands/frequencies than 2M--and I haven't been
on 2M with any regularity in a long, long, long time.

> >
> > You having a little too much Thanksgiving Cheer over there, Dave?
You're
> > incognizant.
>
> Give us your extended definition of "terrorism" again if you like, Kim.
> You aren't bound by dictionaries as I recall. Feel free to correct me
> if I misunderstood what you told us.
>

Oh, just listen to some of the debate from your politicians. You'll get the
idea.

Kim W5TIT

2720. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 17:02:44 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uukgl24qka6pae@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56860

"Barbra" <LiberalSocialist@Anon.com> wrote in message
news:asca8jajh0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3DE97DD2.D599F7BB@earthlink.net...
> > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> >
> > > I strongly disagree with the tactics being employed in this
> > > country (the US) at the war cry of terrorism.
> >
> > ...as you understand the word.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Gee. Where did I hear it said that Political Correctness is but Tyranny
with
> manners?
> Kim...word to the wise. You would sound more credible if you could
seperate
> your plaintive whining from your seemingly (to you) well thought out
posts.
> Your credibility went from little to nil when you resorted to
name-calling.
> Surely someone with the intellect that you seem to pat yourself on the
back
> for having is above this. Or is it?
> Come on, Kim. You aren't exactly batting 300 here....
>
>

Credibilty? Am I being paid for my thoughts now? I am not answering to
anyone for the way in which I think. Are you? Because, your credibility is
non-existent.

Kim W5TIT

2721. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 17:05:05 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uukgpf3v1pho01@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56861

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:3DEA261D.2010905@adelphia.net...
> Dee D. Flint wrote:
>
> > You'd have to read back through the thread but I have been a participant
in
> > the thread off and on.
> >
> > Dee Flint, N8UZE
>
>
> in response to yet another brave anonymous poster who wrote:
>
> >> Then, in that case, that is for her to contend with. Why did you step,
> >> unbidden, into the fray? Some folks might consider that to be
meddling.
>
>
>
> Why Dee, I'm surprised that you didn't ask "Barbra" the same thing. Its
> curious how someone with that pseudo-email address (check it out)
> mysteriously shows up right after you post about your conservative
> leaning ways. Methinks "Anonymous Barbra" is just someone trying to bait
> you.
>
> Stepping unbidden into the fray? HAR!
>
> Okay, yer formally invited to post on this subject Dee! 8^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

MIKE!!! Now you're going to lose *your* credibility...I mean, after all, it
seems very important for--as you put it--"Barbra." LOL

Kim W5TIT

2722. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 17:20:15 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212010920.10a99519@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56862

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20021130203715.06624.00000119@mb-da.aol.com>...

> The "transistor" was/is a whole series of inventions, not just the one
> mentioned above.

I plead guilty to the use of "excessive allegory" to keep the
bandwidth down. One transistor inventor, all hollow state diodes are
6H6s, all hollow state triodes are 6B6s, etc. I don't place much value
on being anal literal, RRAP ain't an "engineering office".

> From an amateur point of view, transistors became competitive
> with tubes in some applications in the 50s,

Bloody few.

> while in other applications they
> have still not completely displaced tubes.

Bloody few again. Specifically in ham radio? I can cite a number of
Big Guns right around here who run the legal max output with ease on
HF and there ain't a single tube anywhere in their shacks. None of my
four HF/2m xcvrs even going back to the 1980 Kenwood clunker have any
tubes in 'em. Not counting the Collins and Drake boat anchors buried
in dead storage. The highest P/S voltage I need today is the 34vdc for
the clunker PA "B+". No 866s req'd. Or permitted at this point.

> Amateur requirements are often
> different than those of other services, so exact comparisons with, say,
> military or broadcast practice can be misleading.

Right, and that's why those who don't have any actual hands-on time in
the assorted flavors of ham radio have a bad time being relevant
around here.

> For example, preventive
> maintenace tube replacement cost in a 24/7 broadcast station can make a
> solidstate transmitter economically advantageous, while the ham who transmits a
> few hundred hours per year can run a tube transmitter until the bottles fail.

That gets down to the individual's personal economics. There are a
number of big ham solid state 1.5 - 2kW amps out there today but
they're much more expensive than the equivalent tube amaps. By a
factor of two or three so far.

The big trick the solid state amps bring to the game is no-tune quick
bandhopping which can't be done as well if at all with ham tube amps.
Relay-switched lo-Z bandpass filters vs. high-Z tuned tank circuits
with knobs ya gotta dork with, etc. There are flocks of hams who have

taken the big hits in their wallets to buy that capability.

> >> was the lack of power. 100 watts output called for tubes, not
> >> transistors in the late 60s....at least for ham gear.
>
> The main factor in ham gear was/is $ per watt of first-cost.

I disagree with that. Profusley. Pricey performance & features in all respects obviously sold big back when and still sell big today. You don't think for one minute that I'd buy an MFJ amp just because they're cheap do ya?

>> the lousy dynamic ranges of solid state devices
> >vs. tubes particularly in HF receiver front ends. Solving that one
> >didn't happen until close to 1980. Which came three decades after
> >Shockley's transistor.
>
> Yup. Many early solidstate rxs were inferior in BDR and IMDDR to the tube sets
> they replaced.

There were a lotta misguided attempts to jump into solid state HF ham rcvrs before the state of the art could be performance-competitive vs. tubes. The HRO-500 was the disaster radio which sank National's boat for instance.

> Doesn't just apply to ham gear, either. But over time the
> solidstate designs improved until they were almost as good in BDR amd IMDDR as
> tube designs of a few decades earlier.

The design of the devices improved.

> Smaller and less expensive, too.

Plus the current programmable chipsets allow quick & easy features to be added which we didn't have in toob days either. 40-bit DSP with 6H6s . . RIGHT!

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

2723. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 18:19:22 UTC
From: Barbra <LiberalSocialist@Anon.com>
Message-ID: <asdkrk$130$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 56864

```
"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:uukg69l40si1c6@corp.supernews.com...
> "Barbra" <SocialistLiberal@Anon.com> wrote in message
> news:asbo72$uqc$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net> wrote in message
> > news:4QaG9.4234$4j5.2315262@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> > >
> > > "Barbra" <SocialistLiberal@Anon.com> wrote in message
> > > news:asb6p5$k96$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > >
> > > > "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:bi8G9.4221$4j5.2298965@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> > > > > I happen to agree with your opinion of the Clintons but do not
think
> > it
> > > > > appropriate to launch personal attacks on Kim because she doesn't
> care
> > > for
> > > > > Bush.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > But it is perfectly acceptable for Kim to refer to a person on this
> > group
> > > as
> > > > "an arrogant bastard"?
> > > > What's the difference?
> > >
> > >
> > > There's been several long running threads where he has previously and
> > > continuously made personal attacks on her. Even I might slip under
such
> > an
> > > assault and say inappropriate things though I hope that I've developed
> > > enough discipline not to.
> > >
> > > Dee Flint, N8UZE
> > >
> > Then, in that case, that is for her to contend with. Why did you step,
> > unbidden, into the fray? Some folks might consider that to be meddling.
> >
>
> A) What of your "meddling"? Your "name" has never even been seen before.
> B) This is a newsgroup--anyone can post to any message as they choose.
```

> C) You just posted to a thread you've nothing to do with--by your own
> classification.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
Please refer to B)
No further response needed.

2724. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 18:25:42 UTC
From: Barbra <LiberalSocialist@Anon.com>
Message-ID: <asdl7a$1qo$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 56867

```
"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:uukgl24qka6pae@corp.supernews.com...
> "Barbra" <LiberalSocialist@Anon.com> wrote in message
> news:asca8j$ajh$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3DE97DD2.D599F7BB@earthlink.net...
> > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > >
> > > > I strongly disagree with the tactics being employed in this
> > > > country (the US) at the war cry of terrorism.
> > >
> > > ...as you understand the word.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Gee. Where did I hear it said that Political Correctness is but Tyranny
> with
> > manners?
> > Kim...word to the wise. You would sound more credible if you could
> seperate
> > your plaintive whining from your seemingly (to you) well thought out
> posts.
> > Your credibility went from little to nil when you resorted to
> name-calling.
> > Surely someone with the intellect that you seem to pat yourself on the
> back
> > for having is above this. Or is it?
> > Come on, Kim. You aren't exactly batting 300 here....
> >
> >
>
> Credibilty? Am I being paid for my thoughts now? I am not answering to
> anyone for the way in which I think. Are you? Because, your credibility
is
> non-existent.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
Aw, gee, TiT. That really cut me to the quick. You wield words like a
rapier.
I shan't be able to sleep for days.
```

2725. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 18:37:56 UTC
From: Barbra <LiberalSocialist@Anon.com>
Message-ID: <asdlu8$3gb$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 56868

"Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net> wrote in message
news:zvtG9.4310$4j5.2425228@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:3DEA261D.2010905@adelphia.net...
> > Why Dee, I'm surprised that you didn't ask "Barbra" the same thing. Its
> > curious how someone with that pseudo-email address (check it out)
> > mysteriously shows up right after you post about your conservative
> > leaning ways. Methinks "Anonymous Barbra" is just someone trying to bait
> > you.
>
> Didn't even think of it but wish I had!
>
> >
> > Stepping unbidden into the fray? HAR!
> >
> > Okay, yer formally invited to post on this subject Dee! 8^)
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> As I indicated in a post that I just made, participants in a debate are
not
> out of line to set or comment on the standards of conduct.
>
> Dee Flint, N8UZE
>
Debate? From what I have seen, this thread seems to be more akin to an
attack on Mr. Heil than a 'debate'. On, forgive me Dee D. Must I have a
formal invitation to join in, and just who issuses said invitations? It is
always nice to have acceptance from one's peers. Or woudn't you know about
that?

2726. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 18:39:16 UTC
From: j1aguiar@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021201133916.21441.00000449@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 56866

Wake up, any 4 year old that passes any ham license exam has someone doing it for him. I have yet to see any 4 year old who could in all honesty pass a ham license.

2727. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 19:09:35 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021201140935.18527.00000384@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 56869

>On, forgive me Dee D. Must I have a
>formal invitation to join in, and just who issuses said invitations?

I do, and you are more than welcome to jump in and say what you wish. And if Dee D, or TIT, give you any crap tell them that I invited you, and if they dont like it, tell them to hit the Bricks.

2728. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 19:11:06 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021201141106.18527.00000385@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 56870

>Wake up, any 4 year old that passes any ham license exam has someone doing it
>for him. I have yet to see any 4 year old who could in all honesty pass a ham
>license.
>

That explains why Brian hasnt passed the Extra, he cant find anyone to take it
for him.

2729. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 19:18:13 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DEA6075.35880BD5@mailcity.net>
Source record: 56876

J1aguiar wrote:
>
> Wake up, any 4 year old that passes any ham license exam has someone doing it
> for him. I have yet to see any 4 year old who could in all honesty pass a ham
> license.

Oh I dunno, Brucie passed it, so any four year old should be able
to with flying colors. Still claiming you 'busted' some ham ulx?

2730. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 19:31:21 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net>
Message-ID: <dstG9.4309$4j5.2424544@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 56863

As a participant in the debate, I want a good debate. Name calling and inappropriate language detract from any debate. Since I participated in the debate, it is appropriate to comment on the standards of that debate. Thus it would not be meddling. Now if I had sat on the sidelines and had only commented on that issue, it would be meddling.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

"Barbra" <LiberalSocialist@Anon.com> wrote in message
news:asc9ff$81m$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net> wrote in message
> news:ZQgG9.4269$4j5.2353541@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> > You'd have to read back through the thread but I have been a participant
> in
> > the thread off and on.
>
> Yes, you have. Still...meddling by another name......
> (and name-calling?)
>
>

2731. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 19:34:55 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net>
Message-ID: <zvtG9.4310$4j5.2425228@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 56865

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:3DEA261D.2010905@adelphia.net...
> Why Dee, I'm surprised that you didn't ask "Barbra" the same thing. Its
> curious how someone with that pseudo-email address (check it out)
> mysteriously shows up right after you post about your conservative
> leaning ways. Methinks "Anonymous Barbra" is just someone trying to bait
> you.

Didn't even think of it but wish I had!

>
> Stepping unbidden into the fray? HAR!
>
> Okay, yer formally invited to post on this subject Dee! 8^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

As I indicated in a post that I just made, participants in a debate are not
out of line to set or comment on the standards of conduct.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

2732. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 19:37:45 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212011137.3121cec0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56871

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DEA340E.E6D761B8@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
> > I didn't spend my golden years touting the virtues of CW (and putting
> > down anyone who didn't have my vision), then ignore an invitation to
> > operate my favorite mode for some ineffectual excuse on why I can't
> > operate radio away from my home QTH.
> >
> > you deserve some kind of an award for that.
>
> Like I just said, the very sort of thing we've come to expect from you.

He's fulla shit, he can put up an end-fed wire antenna, he posted to
that effect. He doesn't know how to put one up or how to feed the
thing.

w3rv

2733. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 19:47:32 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DEA6754.4C7C8D9B@mailcity.net>
Source record: 56879

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >so any four year old should be able
> >to with flying colors. Still claiming you 'busted' some ham ulx?

> Busted more than 1.

Yea right, I trust we will see these busts in the FCC enforcement
log brucie?

2734. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 20:54:48 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DEA7702.441DEBFF@earthlink.net>
Source record: 56874

W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3DE9815C.A3DB0B25@earthlink.net...
> > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > > >
> > >
> > > Let's see. Dave is too ignorant to realize that things that are
> *critical*
> > > would be things that need to be known and remembered. Thus, Dave is too
> > > ignorant to realize that when one is not using bands or frequencies,
> their
> > > allocations do not have to be known and remembered.
> >
> > Oh, I see. So you only need look them up before each time you begin to
> > operate on a particular band? That's quite a system you have there,
> > Kim.
> >
>
> OK, Dave. I've gotten the drawing board out for you before, so I can do it
> now. I *DON'T USE* any other bands/frequencies than 2M--and I haven't been
> on 2M with any regularity in a long, long, long time.

I understand where you are active. I also understand your view that,
should you ever decide to operate on 40m, you can look up your
authorized segment and mode information. If you ever decide to use
morse, you can look up the characters for what you intend to send and
you can write down the dits and dahs sent you by others and look 'em up
later.

> > >
> > > You having a little too much Thanksgiving Cheer over there, Dave?
> You're
> > > incognizant.
> >
> > Give us your extended definition of "terrorism" again if you like, Kim.
> > You aren't bound by dictionaries as I recall. Feel free to correct me
> > if I misunderstood what you told us.
> >
>
> Oh, just listen to some of the debate from your politicians. You'll get the
> idea.

I've watched, listened and read. I've yet to hear anyone agree with
your extended definition. I've heard nothing about them not feeling

bound by the definitions of words. Additionally, I've heard nothing from the politicians on whether they also misunderstood what you wrote earlier.

Dave K8MN

2735. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 21:15:24 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021201161524.26184.00000303@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 56875

>'Scuse me. Do you know Roger Wiseman, AB8MQ (formerly KC8JBO)?
>
>You remind me of him.
>

Never heard of him

2736. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 21:25:32 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021201162532.26184.00000305@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 56877

>so any four year old should be able
>to with flying colors. Still claiming you 'busted' some ham ulx?
>

Well that just goes to show you CBplussers are not as sharp as a 4 Year old..
Busted more than 1.

2737. Heres a good Site for you CBplussers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 21:28:50 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021201162850.26184.00000306@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 56878

http://www.egroups.com/message/DumbingDownOfHamRadio/1

2738. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 21:50:22 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net>
Message-ID: <xuvG9.4326$4j5.2441651@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 56872

Read the thread and its development more closely. Originally it was a
debate that degenerated into something else. Nor am I the one that said
anyone needed an invitation.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

"Barbra" <LiberalSocialist@Anon.com> wrote in message
news:asdlu8$3gb$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net> wrote in message
> news:zvtG9.4310$4j5.2425228@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> > news:3DEA261D.2010905@adelphia.net...
> > > Why Dee, I'm surprised that you didn't ask "Barbra" the same thing.
Its
> > > curious how someone with that pseudo-email address (check it out)
> > > mysteriously shows up right after you post about your conservative
> > > leaning ways. Methinks "Anonymous Barbra" is just someone trying to
bait
> > > you.
> >
> > Didn't even think of it but wish I had!
> >
> > >
> > > Stepping unbidden into the fray? HAR!
> > >
> > > Okay, yer formally invited to post on this subject Dee! 8^)
> > >
> > > - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> > As I indicated in a post that I just made, participants in a debate are
> not
> > out of line to set or comment on the standards of conduct.
> >
> > Dee Flint, N8UZE
> >
> Debate? From what I have seen, this thread seems to be more akin to an
> attack on Mr. Heil than a 'debate'. On, forgive me Dee D. Must I have a
> formal invitation to join in, and just who issuses said invitations? It is
> always nice to have acceptance from one's peers. Or woudn't you know about
> that?
>
>

2739. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 21:51:04 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net>
Message-ID: <cvvG9.4328$4j5.2441551@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 56873

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021201140935.18527.00000384@mb-fp.aol.com...
> >On, forgive me Dee D. Must I have a
> >formal invitation to join in, and just who issuses said invitations?
>
> I do, and you are more than welcome to jump in and say what you wish. And
if
> Dee D, or TIT, give you any crap tell them that I invited you, and if they
dont
> like it, tell them to hit the Bricks.

I have never given anyone any "crap".

Dee Flint, N8UZE

2740. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 22:01:01 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021201170101.18569.00000374@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 56880

>Yea right, I trust we will see these busts in the FCC enforcement
>log brucie?

Who said anything about the FCC, I never said I busted anybody for the FCC, you just thought that up in your little mind, no wonder your just a CBplusser

2741. Re: Happy Thanksgiving!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 22:06:17 UTC
From: Burt <k1oik@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <tJvG9.197012$NH2.13008@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 56881
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"R-75 MAN" <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com> wrote in message
news:3de13619_4@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
> Just wanted to wish everybody a Happy Thanksgiving!

So personal

2742. Re: no code debate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 22:16:27 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DEA9880.69FAB2C7@townsqr.com>
Source record: 56882

Mike Coslo wrote:

> JJ wrote:
>
> > You think the no code debate is a recent issue with ham radio?
> > Here is the guy that started it all.
> >
> >
> > Cotton Wood
> > Ranch,
> > Ten Sleep,
> > Wyo.
> >
> > Gentilemen:
> > I have a Radiaphone with out KEY and I Want to use it on short
> > Distance Where there is no code or Ameture Stations and I Have no
> > use for The KEY and I do not Want to go to the Expense of Putting
> > a
> > KEY and Brushing up on Code and after I get it I will Have no use
> > For it in my Buisness and I can See no Reason to Compell me get
> > the
> > KEY and learn Code when there is no Code Stations in Ten Sleep or
> > Worland Wyoming but Plenty of Receivers that I can call up and
> > tell
> > Them What I Want So Please See if I cannot get a licens with out
> > the
> > Expense of the KEY and Brushing up on Code I have nt usd the Code
> > for
> > 5 years and Canot Use it in Worland or Ten Sleep Wyo and it will
> > be
> > no good to me After I get it and I Donot See why I should get
> > Some-
> > thing that I do not need Please see what you can do my Distance of
> > Use is 25 miles.
> > U. S. Hubbell
>
> I think that might have been Bruce's grampa.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Yikes!!! The very first nocoder!

2743. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 22:17:28 UTC
From: K<unk>HB <k<unk>hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <YTvG9.9285$yy.1235001@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 56883

the Net Kop rose up in self-righteous indignations and wrote:

: Is there a reason you choose to show disrespect
: to those whom you quote?

Disrespect? Really? Ain't it just awful? "Tut, tut, tut" says the Church Lady.

What's your badge number?

<unk><unk><unk> 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

2744. Re: Happy Thanksgiving!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 22:31:12 UTC
From: Diana Moon Glompers <W2DNE@JUNO.COM>
Message-ID: <Q4wG9.9302$yy.1237113@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 56884
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Burt" <k1oik@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:tJvG9.197012$NH2.13008@sccrnsc01...

> So personal

Burt, you old sweetie, how nice to see you here. When are you going to post the URL for your picture of a nekid chic? Lloyd is anxious to see her.

Love always,

Diana

2745. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 23:14:05 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <1JwG9.11383$ta5.1338737@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 56885

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote

: >
: > Of course not numbnuts. Note that my proposed qso has a precedent in
: > RRAP, the night an improbable group of both NCTA and PCTA types got on
: > 7.037 and had a ball. You couldn't get into it then and you still
: > can't.
:
: Don't want to with the likes of you. Deal with it, but don't cry
: about it.
:

http://www.polar-electric.com/morse/mrp40-en/index.html

2746. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 23:37:14 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021201183714.00112.00000794@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 56886

In article <20021201133916.21441.00000449@mb-mc.aol.com>, j1aguiar@aol.com (J1aguiar) writes:

>Wake up, any 4 year old that passes any ham license exam has someone doing > it for him. I have yet to see any 4 year old who could in all honesty pass a ham
>license.

The fact that you haven't seen it doesn't mean it's impossible. I've never seen a human-powered aircraft actually fly, but I know it's possible.

Child prodigies do some amazing things. Passing the tests doesn't mean that all of the material is thoroughly understood, just that the person taking the test got at least 74% of the multiple-choices correct.

Accusing the VECs of falsifying test results is pretty serious stuff, btw. Do you have any solid evidence?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2747. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-01 23:45:26 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DEAAD5A.2A96C350@townsqr.com>
Source record: 56887

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

>Failing to take a minute to recheck an item for accuracy is

> nothing but laziness. And when one consider's wen now have the technology to
> even do THAT for us, it's an absolute insult.

Uhhhhhh......OOPS???

2748. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 00:00:25 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212011600.4f9cf44f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56888

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0212011137.3121cec0@posting.google.com>...
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DEA340E.E6D761B8@townsqr.com>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > > I didn't spend my golden years touting the virtues of CW (and putting
> > > down anyone who didn't have my vision), then ignore an invitation to
> > > operate my favorite mode for some ineffectual excuse on why I can't
> > > operate radio away from my home QTH.
> > >
> > > you deserve some kind of an award for that.
> >
> > Like I just said, the very sort of thing we've come to expect from you.
>
> He's fulla shit, he can put up an end-fed wire antenna, he posted to
> that effect. He doesn't know how to put one up or how to feed the
> thing.

Mr Engineer had no information on end-fed antennas. He kept telling
me about a ground maounted, bottom-fed antenna at his old house, but
none were endfed.

You're the one full of it.

2749. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 00:01:19 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212011601.41d7190@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56889

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20021130234415.19280.00000667@mb-bh.aol.com>...
> In article <f45722ac.0211301624.41cae818@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
> >news:<d22b54c3.0211280601.5a68fd58@posting.google.com>...
> >
> >> Lotta mindless hand-wringing bullshit and minutia-hammering underway
> >> around here.
> >>
> >> w3rv
> >
> >I see that you're still posting.
>
> ...and he has his spectrum spread too wide... :-)

I thought he was cleaning his dentures. ;^)

2750. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 00:06:10 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212011606.37cdafe6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56890

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DEA34DA.DE31C789@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0211291554.4a3c0682@posting.google.com>...
> > > wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021129075754.10561.00000327@mb-cg.aol.com>...
> > > > If they had the same License Testing they do now when I was first licensed, I
> > > > would have Probably have been an Extra at 4 years old. And Brian and krell
> > > > would still be whinnning about how tuff the EXTRA is, and there inability to
> > > > pass the test.
> > >
> > > This is the part where Jim, Kelley, Steve, and Dave jump in and tell
> > > you that you still couldn't pass the 20wpm code exam, you wouldn't be
> > > an Extra.
> >
> > Welp, I guess not. Makes me wonder How I can pass a written Extra
> > exam and it is subject to all kinds of petty criticism, but Bruce says
> > he could have done it (w/o the 20WPM code test) and you don't hear a
> > peep from the peanut gallery.
>
>
>
> By now most people would have learned that it's all in the expectactions, both those of
your "peanut
> gallery" and your own. It sure doesn't surprise anyone I know that it flew right over
your head.

You are my peanut gallery.

Now tell Bruce how its possible for him to have gotten an Extra when
he was 4 years old by takeing the written exam only.

I gotta see this.

2751. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 00:15:30 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021201191530.28849.00000237@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 56891

>Now tell Bruce how its possible for him to have gotten an Extra when
>he was 4 years old by takeing the written exam only.
>
>I gotta see this.

Look Dumb Ass, what I say was if todays standards of testing were in place then. In other words so you can understand, that would mean a 5WPM Test and todays dumb down give away written. No wonder you cant pass the EXTRA.

2752. Re: Heres a good Site for you CBplussers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 00:24:16 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uula0m8jtngf3c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56892

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021201162850.26184.00000306@mb-fi.aol.com...
> http://www.egroups.com/message/DumbingDownOfHamRadio/1

Bruce
I can't get there because it says I'm not a member!
How could it be for CBplussers if you have to
do something to get in?

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

2753. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 00:30:19 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212011630.826527a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56893

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0211281842.5c7e4504@posting.google.com>...
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0211280604.17a75e3c@posting.google.com>...
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DE59FB1.23D27BB3@townsqr.com>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > > "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote in message
news:<ZQYE9.131156$WL3.62336@rwcrnsc54>...
> > > >
> > > > > If my hunch is correct, then dropping code entirely as an HF requirement
> > > > > might get the numbers up either; maybe nothing will... I fear that the ARS
> > > > > has too much competition in other areas nowadays in areas having nothing to
> > > > > do with radio...
> > > > >
> > > > > Jackie
> > > >
> > > > Hi Jackie, what do you know about the code exam? Serious question.
> > > > Don't read anything into it.
> > >
> > > About as much as you, Slim. Read whatever you want into that.
> >
> > I read into it that you're a little, little man hanging on to a bygone era.
>
> Yeah but see Brainiac Dick knows how to put up a stupid wire and
> end-feed it to get on the air which you DON'T know how to do Good
> Buddy . . now who's the "little man"?
>
> w3rv

CB-talk fits you well, Kelley. But I don't recall Dick coming forward
with any information on end-feeding a half-wave antenna. Apparently,
he doesn't know much about it. And neither did you.

So far I count two little men. Anyone else?

2754. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 00:32:51 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uulagp1ql9g2c1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56894

"J1aguiar" <j1aguiar@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021201133916.21441.00000449@mb-mc.aol.com...
> Wake up, any 4 year old that passes any ham license exam has someone doing
it
> for him. I have yet to see any 4 year old who could in all honesty pass a
ham
> license.

Nice to see you back J1aguiar!

2755. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 00:34:20 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212011634.4464aa09@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56895

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<uuhhprgbvu06b7@corp.supernews.com>...

> I really don't have a problem with "incentive" licensing concepts or
> testing. I have a huge problem with the process by which the testing is
> done, and certainly have an even huger problem with arrogance on behalf of
> someone who thinks they're better than a lesser licensed person.

For some, its all they've got.

2756. Re: Well Im back on HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 00:37:20 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212011637.2f853243@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56896

Dan, you just got suckered.

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<as96abguk1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>...
> You know your right. I had a nice chat on CW on 160 earlier with a fellow
> contester.
>
> I don't get on the band that much. Where you hanging about? May see if I
> can find ya.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021129200609.20054.00000354@mb-dh.aol.com...
> > After not being on HF for a long while I decide to get back on. Well only
> 160
> > Meters, I prefer that Band because there are very few CBplussers there,
> why you
> > ask, because most CBplussers cant make a Ant work there, to Technical for
> > them,which also makes for a good CBplusser filter.

2757. Re: Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 01:05:41 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uulcf83fv7r143@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56897
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

I've never supported anyone on 14313. Fact is, I haven't been on 20 meters since 1969 (or maybe 1970 - not sure). You must have me confused with someone else ;-)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Lloyd" <Lloyd@anythereonfreq.net> wrote in message news:asc6fa$1ek$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:uu8lm8acuip06f@corp.supernews.com...
> > Actually, Hans, some of us anoint the wise ones! ;)
> >
> > BTW, I have carefully measured your 'dit dit' at the end of your post and
> > can pronounce it of the proper required legal spacing. ;)
> >
> >
> > Good post & 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
> >
>
> I doubt if you can properly measure anything, given the way you
> "anointed" kv4fz on 14313 over the years. Do you flame anyone
> who does not support fz?
>
> Lloyd
>
>
>

2758. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 01:07:40 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DEAB25C.EE19CC02@mailcity.net>
Source record: 56962

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >Yea right, I trust we will see these busts in the FCC enforcement
> >log brucie?
>
> Who said anything about the FCC, I never said I busted anybody for the FCC, you
> just thought that up in your little mind, no wonder your just a CBplusser

So you go around illegally busting hams?

2759. Re: Heres a good Site for you CBplussers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 01:16:40 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021201201640.05504.00006594@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 56898

>Bruce
>I can't get there because it says I'm not a member!
>How could it be for CBplussers if you have to
>do something to get in?
>
>
>--
>'73' From KB9TMP

All you have to do is Join, its Free

2760. Re: Well Im back on HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 01:19:04 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021201201904.05504.00006596@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 56899

>Dan, you just got suckered.
>

No Dan didnt, we have had 3 QSOs already. Meet you on 1875 brian, oh thats right you cant make an Antenna work there.

2761. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 01:23:17 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uuldvmk6rgfga5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56953

```
"Barbra" <LiberalSocialist@Anon.com> wrote in message
news:asdl7a$1qo$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> news:uukgl24qka6pae@corp.supernews.com...
> > "Barbra" <LiberalSocialist@Anon.com> wrote in message
> > news:asca8j$ajh$0@pita.alt.net...
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3DE97DD2.D599F7BB@earthlink.net...
> > > > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > I strongly disagree with the tactics being employed in this
> > > > > country (the US) at the war cry of terrorism.
> > > > >
> > > > ...as you understand the word.
> > > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > Gee. Where did I hear it said that Political Correctness is but
Tyranny
> > with
> > > manners?
> > > Kim...word to the wise. You would sound more credible if you could
> > seperate
> > > your plaintive whining from your seemingly (to you) well thought out
> > posts.
> > > Your credibility went from little to nil when you resorted to
> > name-calling.
> > > Surely someone with the intellect that you seem to pat yourself on the
> > back
> > > for having is above this. Or is it?
> > > Come on, Kim. You aren't exactly batting 300 here....
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Credibilty? Am I being paid for my thoughts now? I am not answering to
> > anyone for the way in which I think. Are you? Because, your
credibility
> is
> > non-existent.
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
> >
```

> Aw, gee, TiT. That really cut me to the quick. You wield words like a
> rapier.
> I shan't be able to sleep for days.
>
>

Oh, I can see you're amounting to not much more than drivel...buhbye...

Kim W5TIT

2762. Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 01:28:22 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021201202822.05457.00006195@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 56951

Q. What do you call a basement full of anti-coders?
A. A whine cellar.

Q. Why did the anti-coder scale the chain-link fence?
A. To see what was on the other side.

Q. What are the worst six years in an anti-coder's life?
A. Third Grade.

Q. Why did the anti-coder smear Preparation H all over his VHF
antenna?

A. He said he had to get ready for the skip DX during the hemmorhoid
shower.

Q. A one-armed anti-coder is hanging from a cliff with his one arm.
How
do you make him fall?
A. Wave.

Q. Why did the anti-coder get fired from the M&M factory?
A. He was throwing out all the W's.

Q. What do you call a skeleton of an anti-coder in the closet?
A. Last year's hide and go seek winner.

Q. Why do anti-coders need to work seven days a week?
A. So you don't have to retrain them on Monday.

Q. Why did the anti-coder keep a coat hanger in the back seat?
A. In case he locks the keys in his car.

Q. Why did the anti-coder get so excited after he finished a jigsaw
puzzle in only 6 months?
A. Because on the box it said "From 2-4 years."

An anti-coder walks into a radio store and tells the salesman that he
would like to buy that new HF rig over in the corner. The salesman
says, "Sorry, we don't sell to anti-coders". He leaves, goes home,
puts
on a brown wig and sunglasses and goes back to the store and tells the
salesman he wants to buy that new rig over in the corner. He says,
"Sorry, we don't sell to anti-coders." So again, he goes home, puts
on
a black wig, sunglasses and a hat and tries to buy the rig again.
Again
the salesman tells him they don't sell to anti-coders. Finally he
asks,

"How do you know I'm a anti-coder?" and the salesman replies, "Because that's not a rig, it's a microwave oven."

Q. What is it called when a anti-coder blows in another anti-coder's ear?
A. Data transfer.

Q. An anti-coder ordered a pizza and the clerk asked if he should cut it in six or twelve pieces.
A. "Six, please. I could never eat twelve pieces."

Q. What's the difference between an anti-coder and garbage?
A. Garbage gets taken out at least once a week.

Q. How does an anti-coder spell "farm"?
A. E-I-E-I-O

Q. Why don't anti-coders eat pickles?
A. They can't get their head in the jar.

Q. How do you amuse a anti-coder for hours?
A. Write 'Please turn over' on both sides of a piece of paper.

Did you hear about the anti-coders that were found frozen to death in their car at a drive-in movie theater?
They went to see "Closed for the Winter."

Q. Why did the anti-coder stare at the can of frozen orange juice for two hours?
A. Because the can said "concentrate" on it.

Q. What is the anti-coder doing when he holds his hands tightly over him ears?
A. Trying to hold on to a thought.

Q. How does an anti-coder commit suicide?
A. He gathers his welfare checks into a pile and jumps off.

Q. How do you plant dope?
A. Bury an anti-coder.

Q. How do you make a anti-coder's eyes light up?
A. Shine a flashlight in his ear.

Q. Why do anti-coders hate M&Ms?
A. They're too hard to peel.

Q. How do you keep an anti-coder busy?
A. Give him a bag of M&Ms and tell him to alphabetize them.
Q. Why does it work?
A. Because he'll say, "Does 3 come before E or does it go between M and
W?"

Q. What job function does an anti-coder have in an M&M factory?
A. Proofreading.

Q. Why can't an anti-coder make KOOL-AID?
A. Because he can't fit 8 cups of water in the little packet.

Q. What do you call 10 anti-coders at the bottom of the pool?
A. Air bubbles.

Q. What does Star Trek's "Bones" McCoy say before he performs brain surgery on an anti-coder?
A. "Space. The final frontier......".

Q. Why did the anti-coder put ice cubes in the freezer?
A. So he could keep the freezer cold.

Q. How many anti-coders does it take to play Hide and Seek?
A. One.

Q. Why couldn't the anti-coder write the number ELEVEN ?
A. He didn't know which ONE came first.

Q. How did the anti-coder burn his nose?
A. Bobbing for french fries.

Q. How can you tell if an anti-coder's been using the computer?
A. There's saliva all over the keyboard.

Q. How can you tell when a FAX had been sent from an anti-coder?
A. There's a postage stamp on it.

Q. Why does an anti-coder only change a baby's diapers every month?
A. Because it says on it , "Good for up to 20 pounds."

Q. Why do anti-coders write "TGIF" on their shoes?
A. Toes go in first.

Q. Why don't anti-coders make good pharmacists?
A. They can't get the bottle into the typewriter.

Q. What is the difference between an anti-coder and "The Titanic"?
A. They know how many men went down on "The Titanic."

Q. What does an anti-coder say when you ask him if his turn signal is on?
A. "It's on. It's off. It's on. It's off. It's on. It's off…."

Q. What do you call 4 anti-coders lying on the ground?
A. An air mattress.

Q. What do you call 10 anti-coders standing ear to ear?
A. A wind tunnel.

Q. What do you call 15 anti-coders in a circle?
A. A dope ring.

Q. What do you call a zit on a anti-coder's ass?
A. A brain tumor.

Q. How many anti-coders does it take to make chocolate-chip cookies?
A. Ten: One to mix the dough and nine to peel the Smarties.

Q. Why did the anti-coder tip-toe past the medicine cabinet?
A. So he wouldn't wake up the sleeping pills.

Q. Why did the anti-coder drive into the ditch?
A. So he could finally turn the blinker off.

Q. Why did the anti-coder try and steal a police car?
A. He saw "911" on the back and thought it was a Porsche.

Q. Did you hear about the anti-coder skydiver?
A. He missed the Earth.

Q. Where do anti-coders go to meet their relatives?
A. Palm Beach.

Q. How many anti-coders does it take to play tag?
A. One.

Q. What happened to the anti-coder tap dancer?
A. He slipped off and fell down the drain.

Q. What did the anti-coder say when he looked into a box of Cheerios?
A. "Oh look! Donut seeds!"

Q. What did the anti-coder name a zebra?
A. "Spot."

Q. Why can't anti-coders change dial lamps?
A. They keep breaking them with the hammers.

Q. What is the definition of "gross stupidity"?
A. 144 anti-coders.

There was an anti-coder driving down the road listening to the radio.
A ham was telling anti-coder joke after anti-coder joke until the
anti-coder
was mad enough that he turned the radio off. A mile down the road, he
saw
another anti-coder out in a corn field in a boat, rowing. The anti-
coder
stopped
his car jumped out and yelled, "You dummy, it's anti-coders like you
that
give us all a bad name. If I could swim I'd come out there and give
you

what's coming to you!"

Q. What is the difference between a dead anti-coder in the road, and
a

dead skunk in the road?
A. There are skid marks in front of the skunk.

Q. What did the anti-coder say about anti-coder jokes?
A. He said they might offend some Puerto Ricans.

Q. Why can't anti-coders make ice cubes?
A. They always forget the recipe.

A ham was telling an anti-coder joke to someone who happened to be an anti-coder. Halfway through, the anti-coder interrupts him and says, "Don't you know I'm an anti-coder?"

"Oh, " the ham replied, "do you want me to start over and talk slower?"

2763. Re: Heres a good Site for you CBplussers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 01:28:47 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uuldpo9hsp3afd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56900

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021201201640.05504.00006594@mb-mq.aol.com...
> >Bruce
> >I can't get there because it says I'm not a member!
> >How could it be for CBplussers if you have to
> >do something to get in?
> >
> >
> >--
> >'73' From KB9TMP
>
> All you have to do is Join, its Free

Bruce
I understand that part. I'm just saying if it's for
the CBplussers who don't want to do any work
to get a free ham license, they'll just whine cause
they have to register to join the Yahoo group.
You know signing up is to hard of work for them!

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

2764. Re: Heres a good Site for you CBplussers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 01:31:19 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021201203119.05399.00006510@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 56952

>Bruce
>I understand that part. I'm just saying if it's for
>the CBplussers who don't want to do any work
>to get a free ham license, they'll just whine cause
>they have to register to join the Yahoo group.
>You know signing up is to hard of work for them!
>
>--
>'73' From KB9TMP
>"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
>if you can fake that, you've got it made."
>-Groucho Marx

I know, i figured it would take them a week or so to figure out, and just thought I would help them along.

2765. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 01:32:13 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uulegcb578tu74@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56955

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0212011634.4464aa09@posting.google.com...
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<uuhhprgbvu06b7@corp.supernews.com>...
>
> > I really don't have a problem with "incentive" licensing concepts or
> > testing. I have a huge problem with the process by which the testing is
> > done, and certainly have an even huger problem with arrogance on behalf
of
> > someone who thinks they're better than a lesser licensed person.
>
> For some, its all they've got.

Man, you got that right...and we know it! ;)

Kim W5TIT

2766. Re: Heres a good Site for you CBplussers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 01:35:57 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uulencbarv0v47@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56956

"KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message
news:uuldpo9hsp3afd@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021201201640.05504.00006594@mb-mq.aol.com...
> > >Bruce
> > >I can't get there because it says I'm not a member!
> > >How could it be for CBplussers if you have to
> > >do something to get in?
> > >
> > >
> > >--
> > >'73' From KB9TMP
> >
> > All you have to do is Join, its Free
>
> Bruce
> I understand that part. I'm just saying if it's for
> the CBplussers who don't want to do any work
> to get a free ham license, they'll just whine cause
> they have to register to join the Yahoo group.
> You know signing up is to hard of work for them!
>
> --
> '73' From KB9TMP
> "The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
> if you can fake that, you've got it made."
> -Groucho Marx
>

Uh, just had to mention here, uh, you do realize how desperate you sound,
right?

Kim W5TIT

2767. Re: no code debate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 01:36:57 UTC
From: Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92D7D1B21EAEEelektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 56954

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in
news:3DEA9880.69FAB2C7@townsqr.com:

>
>
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>> JJ wrote:
>>
>> > You think the no code debate is a recent issue with ham radio?
>> > Here is the guy that started it all.
>> >
>> >
>> > Cotton Wood
>> > Ranch,
>> > Ten Sleep,
>> > Wyo.
>> >
>> > Gentilemen:
>> > I have a Radiaphone with out KEY and I Want to use it on short
>> > Distance Where there is no code or Ameture Stations and I Have no
>> > use for The KEY and I do not Want to go to the Expense of Putting a
>> > KEY and Brushing up on Code and after I get it I will Have no use
>> > For it in my Buisness and I can See no Reason to Compell me get the
>> > KEY and learn Code when there is no Code Stations in Ten Sleep or
>> > Worland Wyoming but Plenty of Receivers that I can call up and tell
>> > Them What I Want So Please See if I cannot get a licens with out the
>> > Expense of the KEY and Brushing up on Code I have nt usd the Code
>> > for 5 years and Canot Use it in Worland or Ten Sleep Wyo and it will
>> > be no good to me After I get it and I Donot See why I should get
>> > Some-
>> > thing that I do not need Please see what you can do my Distance of
>> > Use is 25 miles.
>> > U. S. Hubbell
>>
>> I think that might have been Bruce's grampa.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> Yikes!!! The very first nocoder!
>
>

And he was right

2768. Re: Bart Simpson uses code!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 01:39:34 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uuleu5229m8029@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56959

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3DEABA9F.74C6776D@ix.netcom.com...
> Tonight (Dec 1) on the Simpsons, Bart was using Morse to
> send a prank message to Moe's.
>

Well, hey, that's kewl...

I don't watch The Simpsons, but did you happen to catch of the message...or
was it just "fake" CW?

Kim W5TIT

2769. Bart Simpson uses code!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 01:42:55 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DEABA9F.74C6776D@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 56957

Tonight (Dec 1) on the Simpsons, Bart was using Morse to
send a prank message to Moe's.

2770. Re: no code debate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 01:46:17 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DEABB69.B8849FCE@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 56958

JJ wrote:

> You think the no code debate is a recent issue with ham radio?
> Here is the guy that started it all.
>
> Cotton Wood
> Ranch,
> Ten Sleep,
> Wyo.
>
> Gentilemen:
> I have a Radiaphone with out KEY and I Want to use it on short
> Distance Where there is no code or Ameture Stations and I Have no
> use for The KEY and I do not Want to go to the Expense of Putting
> a
> KEY and Brushing up on Code and after I get it I will Have no use
> For it in my Buisness

^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^^

Wrong service. Ham radio is to have no pecuniary interest, i.e., no business use. What radio service did they have back in 1928 for 2 way business use?

2771. Re: Heres a good Site for you CBplussers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 01:53:29 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uulf7v9m0lr45f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56960

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:uulencbarv0v47@corp.supernews.com...
> "KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:uuldpo9hsp3afd@corp.supernews.com...
> >
> > "WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021201201640.05504.00006594@mb-mq.aol.com...
> > > >Bruce
> > > >I can't get there because it says I'm not a member!
> > > >How could it be for CBplussers if you have to
> > > >do something to get in?
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >--
> > > >'73' From KB9TMP
> > >
> > > All you have to do is Join, its Free
> >
> > Bruce
> > I understand that part. I'm just saying if it's for
> > the CBplussers who don't want to do any work
> > to get a free ham license, they'll just whine cause
> > they have to register to join the Yahoo group.
> > You know signing up is to hard of work for them!
> >
> > --
> > '73' From KB9TMP
> > "The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
> > if you can fake that, you've got it made."
> > -Groucho Marx
> >
>
> Uh, just had to mention here, uh, you do realize how desperate you sound,
> right?
>
> Kim W5TIT

Kim
Desperate? How so?
According to Bruce's definition of a CBplusser I am one,
so explain this to me, how I'M DESPERATE SOUNDING!

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,

if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

2772. Re: Bart Simpson uses code!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 02:06:25 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uulg073u88uo2b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56961

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:uuleu5229m8029@corp.supernews.com...
> "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> news:3DEABA9F.74C6776D@ix.netcom.com...
> > Tonight (Dec 1) on the Simpsons, Bart was using Morse to
> > send a prank message to Moe's.
> >
>
> Well, hey, that's kewl...
>
> I don't watch The Simpsons, but did you happen to catch of the
message...or
> was it just "fake" CW?
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

It HAD to be fake code. Nobody uses that stuff anymore!
Ain't that right Kim?

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

2773. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 02:12:50 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DEAC1A2.2837B756@mailcity.net>
Source record: 56965

Brucie was headed home from his trip over Thanksgiving, where he allegedly 'busted' some hams, (yea right) and called one of his good cb buddies to tell him he would be home soon. However it was the next day before brucie arrived looking completely worn out. His cb good buddy asked why it took him so long to make a trip that should have only taken about two hours. Brucie replied, "well, I kept seeing these signs beside the road that said, 'Clean Rest rooms Ahead', boy, I did not know there were so many roadside rest rooms to be cleaned."

Brucie finally traded in his old car for a new one. Brucie asked the salesman what that other little lever on the side of the steering wheel was for. The salesman explained that it was for the turn signals. Brucie asked, "how to you dim the lights, I don't see a dimmer switch on the floor board?". The salesman showed Brucie that all he had to do was flip the turn signal lever back and forth to dim and brighten the lights.
A few days later Brucie brought the car back in and asked the salesman if he could get a dimmer switch installed on the floor board like his old car had. The salesman was a bit puzzled and asked why. Brucie replied, "Because when I try to dim the lights I keep getting my foot tangled in the steering column".

Q. What do you call a basement full of anti-coders?
A. A basement full of brucie and his fellow cbers.

Q. Why did brucie scale the chain-link fence?
A. To see what was on the other side.

Q. What were the worst six years in brucie's life?
A. Third Grade.

Q. Why did brucie and his good buddy cb neighbor smear Preparation H all over his VHF antenna?

A. He said he had to get ready for the skip DX during the hemmorhoid
shower.

Q. Brucie is hanging from a cliff with his one arm.
How do you make him fall?
A. Wave.

Q. Why did brucie get fired from the M&M factory?
A. He was throwing out all the W's.

Q. What do you call a skeleton of brucie in the closet?
A. Last year's hide and go seek winner.

Q. Why does brucie need to work seven days a week?
A. So you don't have to retrain him on Monday.

Q. Why did brucie keep a coat hanger in the back seat?
A. In case he locks the keys in his car.

Q. Why did brucie get so excited after he finished a jigsaw
puzzle in only 6 months?
A. Because on the box it said "From 2-4 years."

Brucie walks into a radio store and tells the salesman that he
would like to buy that new HF rig over in the corner. The
salesman
says, "Sorry, we don't sell to cbers". He leaves, goes home,
puts
on a brown wig and sunglasses and goes back to the store and
tells the
salesman he wants to buy that new rig over in the corner. He
says,
"Sorry, we don't sell to cbers." So again, he goes home, puts
on
a black wig, sunglasses and a hat and tries to buy the rig again.
Again
the salesman tells him they don't sell to cbers. Finally he
asks,
"How do you know I'm a cber?" and the salesman replies, "Because
that's not a rig, it's a microwave oven."

Q. What is it called when brucie blows in another cber's
ear?
A. Data transfer.

Q. Bricie ordered a pizza and the clerk asked if he should cut
it in six or twelve pieces.
A. "Six, please. I could never eat twelve pieces."

Q. What's the difference between brucie and garbage?
A. Garbage gets taken out at least once a week.

Q. How does an brucie spell "farm"?
A. E-I-E-I-O

Q. Why dosen't brucie eat pickles?
A. He can't get his head in the jar.

Q. How do you amuse brucie for hours?
A. Write 'Please turn over' on both sides of a piece of paper.

Did you hear about brucie and his cb buddies that were found
frozen to death in
their car at a drive-in movie theater?
They went to see "Closed for the Winter."

Q. Why did brucie stare at the can of frozen orange juice for
two hours?
A. Because the can said "concentrate" on it.

Q. What brucie doing when he holds his hands tightly over
him ears?
A. Trying to hold on to a thought.

Q. How does brucie commit suicide?
A. He gathers his welfare checks into a pile and jumps off.

Q. How do you plant dope?
A. Bury brucie.

Q. How do you make brucie's eyes light up?
A. Shine a flashlight in his ear.

Q. Why does brucie hate M&Ms?
A. They're too hard to peel.

Q. How do you keep brucie busy?
A. Give him a bag of M&Ms and tell him to alphabetize them.
Q. Why does it work?
A. Because he'll say, "Does 3 come before E or does it go between
M
and W?"

Q. What job function does brucie have in an M&M factory?
A. Proofreading.

Q. Why can't brucie make KOOL-AID?
A. Because he can't fit 8 cups of water in the little packet.

Q. What do you call brucie and 9 of his cb buddies at the bottom
of the pool?
A. Air bubbles.

Q. What does Star Trek's "Bones" McCoy say before he performs
brain
surgery on brucie?
A. "Space. The final frontier......".

Q. Why did brucie put ice cubes in the freezer?
A. So he could keep the freezer cold.

Q. How many of brucie and his cb buddies does it take to play
Hide and Seek?
A. One.

Q. Why couldn't brucie write the number ELEVEN ?
A. He didn't know which ONE came first.

Q. How did brucie burn his nose?
A. Bobbing for french fries.

Q. How can you tell if brucie's been using the computer?
A. There's whiteout on the monitor.

Q. How can you tell when a FAX had been sent from brucie?
A. There's a postage stamp on it.

Q. Why does brucie only change a baby's diapers every month?
A. Because it says on it , "Good for up to 20 pounds."

Q. Why does brucie write "TGIF" on their shoes?
A. Toes go in first.

Q. Why doesn't brucie make a good pharmacists?
A. He can't get the bottle into the typewriter.

Q. What is the difference between brucie and "The Titanic"?
A. They know how many men went down on "The Titanic."

Q. What does brucie say when you ask him if his turn signal is on?
A. "It's on. It's off. It's on. It's off. It's on. It's offâ□¦."

Q. What do you call brucie an 3 of his cb buddies lying on the ground?
A. An air mattress.

Q. What do you call brucie and 9 of his cb buddies standing ear to ear?
A. A wind tunnel.

Q. What do you call brucie and 14 of his cb buddies in a circle?
A. A dope ring.

Q. What do you call a zit on brucie's ass?
A. A brain tumor.

Q. How many of brucie and his cb buddies does it take to make chocolate-chip cookies?
A. Ten: One to mix the dough and nine to peel the Smarties.

Q. Why did brucie tip-toe past the medicine cabinet?
A. So he wouldn't wake up the sleeping pills.

Q. Why did brucie drive into the ditch?
A. So he could finally turn the blinker off.

Q. Why did brucie try and steal a police car?
A. He saw "911" on the back and thought it was a Porsche.

Q. Did you hear about brucie the skydiver?
A. He missed the Earth.

Q. Where does brucie go to meet his relatives?
A. Palm Beach.

Q. How many of brucie and his cb good buddies does it take to
play tag?
A. One.

Q. What happened to brucie the tap dancer?
A. He slipped off and fell down the drain.

Q. What did brucie say when he looked into a box of Cheerios?
A. "Oh look! Donut seeds!"

Q. What did brucie name a zebra?
A. "Spot."

Q. Why can't brucie change dial lamps?
A. They keep breaking them with the hammers.

Q. What is the definition of "gross stupidity"?
A. brucie and 143 of his cb good buddies.

A cber was driving down the road listening to the radio.
A ham was telling cb joke after cb joke until the
cber
was mad enough that he turned the radio off. A mile down the
road, he
saw
another cber out in a corn field in a boat, rowing. Brucie
stopped
his car jumped out and yelled, "You dummy, it's cbers like you
that
give us all a bad name. If I could swim I'd come out there and
give
you what's coming to you!"

Q. What is the difference between a dead brucie in the road, and
a
dead skunk in the road?
A. There are skid marks in front of the skunk.

Q. What did brucie say about cb jokes?
A. He said they might offend some Puerto Ricans.

Q. Why can't brucie make ice cubes?
A. He always forgets the recipe.

A ham was telling a cb joke to brucie who happened to be a
cber. Halfway through, brucie interrupts him and says,
"Don't you know I'm a cber?"
"Oh, " the ham replied, "do you want me to start over and talk
slower?"

2774. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 02:57:43 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DEACC27.50635E85@mailcity.net>
Source record: 56968

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >So you go around illegally busting hams?
> >
>
> I dont illegally bust anyone.

Just by what authority do you have to 'bust' any ham?

2775. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 03:28:30 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021201222830.05599.00006799@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 56963

>So you go around illegally busting hams?
>

I dont illegally bust anyone.

2776. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 03:32:40 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DEAD458.8130E270@mailcity.net>
Source record: 56971

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> Boy you must be Borred to go thru and change all that.

Just thought I would let everyone know the real hero of the
stories.

2777. Again, to all the fake lloyd's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 04:02:30 UTC
From: R-75 MAN <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com>
Message-ID: <3dead9c9$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 56964
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I'm gonna tell you again to stop posting in my name. I will be contacting AOL this week. To the people using my name in vain on AOL, when I get done with you, you are gonna wish you never created that screen name.

For the record - for everyone else.

1. I use MSN as my e-mail account
2. I stopped using AOL back in June 2001
3. I no longer use Terranews.com for my Usenet access. (MSN does not provide Usenet access)

So anyone else using those above ISP's - you can tell it is a fake Lloyd.

I would appreicate any help in stopping the abuse of my greatness.

Lloyd Davies - The real McCoy!

--
Jesus Christ is coming in your lifetime - R.G. Stair

2778. 3865 khz - funny QRM'ers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 04:40:08 UTC
From: R-75 MAN <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com>
Message-ID: <3deae29b$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 56966
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

This is funny tonite. Somebody is QRM'ing Criss, W8CH tonite. They are playing wav. files and white noise.

--
Jesus Christ is coming in your lifetime - R.G. Stair

2779. Re: Again, to all the fake lloyd's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 05:00:38 UTC
From: R-75 MAN <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com>
Message-ID: <3deae769$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 56967
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"KK4TL" <kk4tl@aol.com123> wrote in message
news:20021201232953.16614.00000097@mb-co.aol.com...
>> Maybe the profit and The Colonel can be cell mates.
>

But you avioded the gist of my post.

One of 2 things will happen.

1. All the fake lloyd's will give up and leave.
2. This newsgroup will be laid waste by endless flame-wars.

It's your choice. Help me fight these fake lloyd's or have no more
newsgroup.

2780. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 05:22:40 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021202002240.01534.00006044@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 56969

Boy you must be Borred to go thru and change all that.

2781. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 05:23:52 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021202002352.01534.00006045@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 56970

>Just by what authority do you have to 'bust' any ham?
>

Its a secret power

2782. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 06:34:33 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021202013433.07761.00008807@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 56972

Loosie Brucie (WA8ULX) said:

> Boy you must be Borred to go thru and change all that.

Not half as bored as the teachers who had to correct your spelling papers.

Do you take pride in being ignorant white trash? You must...you do it
sooooo well.

Steve, K4YZ

2783. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 08:48:52 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <asflbs31kmt@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 56983

I absolutely cannot stand that song..... but oh well. Did ya see the
movie P.C.U.? Love how that song was used there... :)

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021201084815.02969.00000157@mb-cq.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"
> >From: "KC8PMX" kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net
> >Date: 12/1/02 12:41 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <ascs3d12qj5@enews2.newsguy.com>
> >
> >Alrighty... my two cents for the WORST song out yet..... Starland Vocal
> >Band, "Afternoon Delight"
>
> Noooooooooo! I LOVED that song! INSTANT MEMORIES reveal themselves
when I
> hear that one! Place...time...events...the lady...the lady's lack of
> attire...etc etc etc! ! ! !
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

2784. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 10:17:36 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021202051736.14881.00000350@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 56974

In article <d22b54c3.0212010628.318781f0@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20021129203712.17289.00000746@mb-mr.aol.com>...
>> In article <iHbF9.27964$vM1.2136685@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
>> "K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> writes:
>>
>> >Brian, W3RV, wrote (in part):
>> >
>
>> >> Japanese breakthrough rig which finally broke the backs of both hollow
>> >> state ham technology and the U.S. ham gear manufacturers.
>>
>> The Japanese displaced most of the American ham gear mfrs. a few years
>earlier.
>> Kenwood TS-520 and Yaesu FT-101 were key players in the takeover. They were
>not
>> super rigs in any sense - they simply offered a good mix of performance and
>> features at competitive prices.
>
>OK, I guess they were the foot-in-the-door JA rigs but they didn't
>bump Collins & Drake very hard so I didn't pay much attention to 'em.
>On a dollar vs. performance basis Drake was the king of the hill until
>the JAs started producing their heavy-duty competition grade xcvrs.
>Took awhile for a lotta hams to get comfortable with xcvrs vs. the
>separates they were used to.

Classic market strategy. It was the '520s and '101s that took the bread-and-butter market away from the Heath-and-other-lowpriced crowd. Then when '520/101 owners wanted something better, they were already used to buying Yaecomwood, and were not averse to sinking big bucks into a TS-940 or FT-1000 or whatever.

This is where US mfrs like Cosmos and Squires-Sanders went all wrong. They tried to get into the ham market at the top, offering one or two top drawer items. While they were good items, not many hams were going to risk their entire radio piggybank.

>K7JEB wrote:
>
>> >Not being a serious DXer or contester or field-day op,
>> >I'm more than happy to take your word on this, Brian.
>
>The performance-eating cost-is-no-object types like the serious dxers

>& contesters drive the competetion between the big names in the ham
>radio building business at the high end which is where their big
>profits and reputations come from. Collins played that game extremely
>well in the post WW2 tubes era. Which is good because the technologies
>& tricks the JAs implement in their $4,000 treasures eventually filter
>down to their lower cost offerings.

Remember the above paragraph when the naysayers declare that contesters and
dxers don't contribute anyhting to the 'state of the art', whatever THAT is.
The push for better ham rigs has been driven by the "more performance
regardless of cost" types. Without those folks, Kenwood would still be selling
TS-440s or an updated equivalent - and nothing better. Which may be why some
folks hate 'em so much.
>
>Kenwood finally trumped Collins at the high end with their pricey
>930/940 series in the 1978-1982 timeframe.

And the others had to play that game or be marginalized.
>
>> >As owner of a couple of Kenwoodys myself (850's), I
>> >was quick to note a couple of front-end attenuators
>> >and a pre-amp bypass switch on the front panel. Never
>> >saw "features" like that on vacuum-tube rigs.
>
>Cheaper to implement than pots & rotary switches. Like chipsets are a
>helluva lot cheaper to produce than are Collins PTOs.

Specially when you can sell more units.
>
>>> I wonder
>> >why.
>> >
>> Because tube rigs had RF gain controls that worked.
>
>We know of one current-tech el cheapo ricebox which has a real RF gain
>control eh James?

I know of a whole bunch of 'em. Also American made stuff. Funny how things come
around.
>
>> Lots of off-the-shelf Part 95 devices that only need some application
>> engineering to get 'em going. When the radio and antenna are cheaper than
>> running the cable, guess what gets installed.
>
>Been to Phoenix several times, whatta beautiful place.
>
>My last trip there was the result of one of the most moronic &
>hilarious airline screwups I've ever gotten into and I've done some of
>those lemmee tellya . . I was on a biz trip with several fellow DuPont
>engineering types to Denver via the USAir Dayton hub. The flight outta
>Philly was late departing but they held our connecting flight at it's

>Dayton gate until we arrived.
>
>So everybody gets told stay in their seats until all us Denver-bound
>fares got off to jump into the Denver flight. We really hoofed guided
>all the way by a phlanx of USAir overstimulated young podium area
>eager beavers who waved the usual formalities to get us loaded and
>outta Dayton. We were still trying to find our seats when the right
>seat announced he was turning onto the runway.
>
>So we're finally strapped in and catching our breaths when the broad
>with the mic pipes up on the intercom "Welcome aboard Flight XXX for
>Phoenix". I could not supress my sneer, we wuz on our way to Phoenix
>instead of Denver thankew once more UselessAir for another monumental
>screwup.
>
>Denver was completely socked in for the next couple days so we got to
>lounge about in Phoenix in the plush digs USAir provided on their
>dime. Swim-up bar with the centefold barkeeps in bikinis . . barely.
>Mostly barely. Uh-HUH.
>
>I put a ticket to ride a 4:30 AM "departure" thru the desert on a
>horse (with a name) on the hotel tab for a run to the top of a mesa.
>Got to live a purple Arizona sunrise. Damned near got religion. Wash
>my mouf.

I was in Tempe for a week. In August. Loved it.
>>
>> I'll send the Dave's Insanity Sauce.
>
>Don't listen to n2ey Jim, that crap would kill ya!
>
Watta weenie!
>
>> >I will concede that the "new-blood, techno-hip, exotic modes"
>> >argument was run into the ground during the Great Code Wars
>> >here on r.r.a.p.
>>
>> And where did it lead? One of the top demanders for "better modes and
>> modulations" who decried "legacy modes", "elitist call signs" and
>>"electronic
>> paintball wars" shows up with a new 2x1 vanity call and tells of us his 70+
>> DXCC countries on HF SSB. Surreal, man, surreal.
>
>Depth of covictions = ZERO.

He just discovered the fun equation and shut up real fast.
>
>> >Just
>> >like in the 60's, though, I have no idea where the hobby/avocation
>> >is heading. I'll just be happy if it survives.
>>

>> Well, let's see:
>>
>> In the '40s, they said the WW2 shutdown would kill it
>> In the '50s, they said TVI, novices and SSB would kill it
>> In the '60s, they said incentive licensing and the space age would kill it
>> In the '70s, they said cb, FM, and Japanese rigs would kill it
>> In the '80s, they said computers, cell phones and the VE system would kill
>it
>> In the '90s, they said the internet and nocode ham licenses would kill it
>
>And there it is in a nutshell.

Of course some of us can still figure out how to put up a simple wire antenna,
feed it and get on the air.
>
>> And here we are in 2002 with more US hams than ever. Go figure.
>>
73 de Jim, N2EY

2785. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 10:17:37 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021202051737.14881.00000351@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 56973

In article <d22b54c3.0212010920.10a99519@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20021130203715.06624.00000119@mb-da.aol.com>...
>
>> The "transistor" was/is a whole series of inventions, not just the one
>> mentioned above.
>
>I plead guilty to the use of "excessive allegory" to keep the
>bandwidth down. One transistor inventor, all hollow state diodes are
>6H6s, all hollow state triodes are 6B6s, etc. I don't place much value
>on being anal literal, RRAP ain't an "engineering office".

Understood. Point I was making is that one late-'40s invention did not suddenly make all of hollowstate electronics obsolete.

>
>> From an amateur point of view, transistors became competitive
>> with tubes in some applications in the 50s,
>
>Bloody few.

Exactly.

>
>> while in other applications they
>> have still not completely displaced tubes.
>
>Bloody few again. Specifically in ham radio? I can cite a number of
>Big Guns right around here who run the legal max output with ease on
>HF and there ain't a single tube anywhere in their shacks.
>None of my
>four HF/2m xcvrs even going back to the 1980 Kenwood clunker have any
>tubes in 'em. Not counting the Collins and Drake boat anchors buried
>in dead storage. The highest P/S voltage I need today is the 34vdc for
>the clunker PA "B+". No 866s req'd. Or permitted at this point.

Do none of your computer displays use CRTs?

>
>> Amateur requirements are often
>> different than those of other services, so exact comparisons with, say,
>> military or broadcast practice can be misleading.
>
>Right, and that's why those who don't have any actual hands-on time in
>the assorted flavors of ham radio have a bad time being relevant
>around here.

>
>> For example, preventive
>> maintenace tube replacement cost in a 24/7 broadcast station can make a
>> solidstate transmitter economically advantageous, while the ham who
>>transmits a
>> few hundred hours per year can run a tube transmitter until the bottles
>fail.
>
>That gets down to the individual's personal economics. There are a
>number of big ham solid state 1.5 - 2kW amps out there today but
>they're much more expensive than the equivalent tube amaps. By a
>factor of two or three so far.

The exception that proves the rule.
>
>The big trick the solid state amps bring to the game is no-tune quick
>bandhopping which can't be done as well if at all with ham tube amps.
>Relay-switched lo-Z bandpass filters vs. high-Z tuned tank circuits
>with knobs ya gotta dork with, etc. There are flocks of hams who have
>taken the big hits in their wallets to buy that capability.

And hordes who have not.

Cover article of QST for April, 1976 shows a legal-limit no-tune solidstate HF
amp. Classic design. More'n a quarter century later, it's still cheaper to do
the job with bottles. Go figger.
>
>> >> was the lack of power. 100 watts output called for tubes, not
>> >> transistors in the late 60s....at least for ham gear.
>>
>> The main factor in ham gear was/is $ per watt of first-cost.
>
>I disagree with that. Profusley. Pricey performance & features in all
>respects obviously sold big back when and still sell big today. You
>don't think for one minute that I'd buy an MFJ amp just because
>they're cheap do ya?

That's you. Most hams will diddle a few knobs to get the suds.
>
>>> the lousy dynamic ranges of solid state devices
>> >vs. tubes particularly in HF receiver front ends. Solving that one
>> >didn't happen until close to 1980. Which came three decades after
>> >Shockley's transistor.
>>
>> Yup. Many early solidstate rxs were inferior in BDR and IMDDR to the tube
>sets
>> they replaced.
>
>There were a lotta misguided attempts to jump into solid state HF ham
>rcvrs before the state of the art could be performance-competitive vs.
>tubes. The HRO-500 was the disaster radio which sank National's boat

>for instance.

But -but - it had a syntheszier and everyhting! It was state-of-the-art! It was electropolitically correct!
>
>> Doesn't just apply to ham gear, either. But over time the
>> solidstate designs improved until they were almost as good in BDR amd IMDDR
>as
>> tube designs of a few decades earlier.
>
>The design of the devices improved.

Not just that. Their applications evolved. Note how the use of active mixers in highperformance solidstate receiver designs has all but disappeared.
>
>> Smaller and less expensive, too.
>
>Plus the current programmable chipsets allow quick & easy features to
>be added which we didn't have in toob days either. 40-bit DSP with
>6H6s . . RIGHT!

Ahh, but there's a basic factoid here: DSP cannot put back dynamic range that is lost in the stages ahead of it.
>
73 de Jim, N2EY

2786. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 10:17:38 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021202051738.14881.00000352@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 56975

Here are the number of unexpired FCC ARS licenses held by individuals on the dates listed:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329
Tech - 205,394
Tech Plus - 128,860
General - 112,677
Advanced - 99,782
Extra - 78,750
Total - 674,792

As of December 1, 2002:

Novice - 36,313 (decrease of 13,516)
Tech - 247,195 (increase of 41,801)
Tech Plus - 74,235 (decrease of 54,625)
General - 139,684 (increase of 27,007)
Advanced - 84,431 (decrease of 15,351)
Extra - 103,112 (increase of 24,362)
Total - 684,970 (increase of 10,118)

73 de Jim, N2EY

2787. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 10:51:28 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DEB4975.34FEB073@townsqr.com>
Source record: 56976

WA8ULX wrote:

> >Now tell Bruce how its possible for him to have gotten an Extra when
> >he was 4 years old by takeing the written exam only.
> >
> >I gotta see this.
>
> Look Dumb Ass, what I say was if todays standards of testing were in place
> then. In other words so you can understand, that would mean a 5WPM Test and
> todays dumb down give away written. No wonder you cant pass the EXTRA.

One does wonder how he gets past a traffic signal.

2788. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 10:55:04 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DEB4A4D.C38D7347@townsqr.com>
Source record: 56977

Brian wrote:

> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<uuhhprgbvu06b7@corp.supernews.com>...
>
> > I really don't have a problem with "incentive" licensing concepts or
> > testing. I have a huge problem with the process by which the testing is
> > done, and certainly have an even huger problem with arrogance on behalf of
> > someone who thinks they're better than a lesser licensed person.
>
> For some, its all they've got.

YOU come along and prove it, in spades. "They" gotta do nothing whatever, just wait for you to open your
yap.

2789. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 11:11:45 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DEB4E35.F5466334@townsqr.com>
Source record: 56978

N2EY wrote:

>Of course some of us can still figure out how to put up a simple wire antenna,

> feed it and get on the air.

But not the Burke Turkey. He's gotta have "advice".

Surprise = ZERO.

2790. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 11:37:36 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DEB5444.45417BBD@townsqr.com>
Source record: 56979

N2EY wrote:

> Here are the number of unexpired FCC ARS licenses held by individuals on the
> dates listed:
>
>
>
> As of December 1, 2002:
>
> Novice - 36,313 (decrease of 13,516)

Which tells me that 75% of Novices are inactive. Those who are active did a
quick upgrade to General. Why not?

>
> Tech - 247,195 (increase of 41,801)

This says that 41,801 newbies are awaiting full no-code HF. Along with a few of
the other 241,000. The rest couldn't care less about ham radio, having found it
"boring" and have already dumped their HT's.

>
> Tech Plus - 74,235 (decrease of 54,625)

Obvious- glom that easy General. Or why stop there? Extra's just a few Question
Pool memorizations away at this point. And most of the other 74,235 have just
lost interest.

>
> General - 139,684 (increase of 27,007)

Ditto up from Tech Plus.

>
> Advanced - 84,431 (decrease of 15,351)

It distresses to see that 81% of Advanced are not interested enough to bother
with the same few question pool memorizations "required" by General. Could so
many of them be inactive? Probably.

>
> Extra - 103,112 (increase of 24,362)

This is one of the more surprising and disturbing stats. What it tells me is
that interest in ham radio isn't all that great even among many licensed hams.
See below...

>
> Total - 684,970 (increase of 10,118)

ARRL membership hangs around 170K, as I recall. If we are generous and consider that a half of active hams hold ARRL membership, then about half of all licensees are inactive and uninterested in whatever happens in/to ham radio.

To me the license stats above, coupled by the attitudes and lack of motivation of some of the licensed posters here who have shown no interest in taking advantage of the easier upgrades, seem to pretty well confirm that supposition. Seems to them ham radio consists of posting drivel to rrap.

So......stats are stats, but they reveal a lot. Which is to say that they are often very misleading.

2791. Re: Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 12:54:49 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@alaqthwheresherb.com>
Message-ID: <asfl5aval0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 56980
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

```
Didn't you used to hang around with N5FX & WB4GDP
and W1BWV on 14313 ? back in the hey days of BARF?

Lloyd

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:uulcf83fv7r143@corp.supernews.com...
> I've never supported anyone on 14313. Fact is, I haven't been on 20
meters
> since 1969 (or maybe 1970 - not sure). You must have me confused with
> someone else ;-)
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>
> "Lloyd" <Lloyd@anythereonfreq.net> wrote in message
> news:asc6fa$1ek$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> > news:uu8lm8acuip06f@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Actually, Hans, some of us anoint the wise ones! ;)
> > >
> > > BTW, I have carefully measured your 'dit dit' at the end of your post
> and
> > > can pronounce it of the proper required legal spacing. ;)
> > >
> > >
> > > Good post & 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > Jim
> > >
> >
> > I doubt if you can properly measure anything, given the way you
> > "anointed" kv4fz on 14313 over the years. Do you flame anyone
> > who does not support fz?
> >
> > Lloyd
> >
> >
> >
>
>
```

2792. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 13:03:52 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <YSIG9.207929$QZ.32034@sccrnsc02>
Source record: 56981

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3DEB5444.45417BBD@townsqr.com...

> > Tech - 247,195 (increase of 41,801)
>
> This says that 41,801 newbies are awaiting full no-code HF.

I'm one of those 41,801 newbies and I'm not "awaiting full no-code HF". It's a bit difficult to lump over 41,000 people into one monolithic group and accurately attach the same motives to them all.

Jackie

2793. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 13:13:01 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212020513.6ca61dbc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56982

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021201191530.28849.00000237@mb-fe.aol.com>...
> >Now tell Bruce how its possible for him to have gotten an Extra when
> >he was 4 years old by takeing the written exam only.
> >
> >I gotta see this.
>
> Look Dumb Ass, what I say was if todays standards of testing were in place
> then. In other words so you can understand, that would mean a 5WPM Test and
> todays dumb down give away written. No wonder you cant pass the EXTRA.

Bruce, take a deep breath. If I can't say I could pass the Extra exam
when there was a 20wpm Morse code exam in-place, you can't either.
That's how it works, unless you embrace the PCTA Double Standard.

Was there a 20wpm Morse code exam in-place when you were 4 years old?

Then too bad for you.

2794. Re: Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 13:24:49 UTC
From: Bill M <"exray\\@n-o- .caribe.net>">
Message-ID: <uumno12q4te17f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56984
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

Lloyd wrote:
> Didn't you used to hang around with N5FX & WB4GDP
> and W1BWV on 14313 ? back in the hey days of BARF?
>
> Lloyd

Have you upgraded yet from Tech, Lllloyd?

-ex

2795. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 13:56:05 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DEB74B7.34EEC660@townsqr.com>
Source record: 56985

"Jackie L." wrote:

> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3DEB5444.45417BBD@townsqr.com...
>
> > > Tech - 247,195 (increase of 41,801)
> >
> > This says that 41,801 newbies are awaiting full no-code HF.
>
> I'm one of those 41,801 newbies and I'm not "awaiting full no-code HF". It's
> a bit difficult to lump over 41,000 people into one monolithic group and
> accurately attach the same motives to them all.

You are absolutely right. I should have been less stingy with my verbiage and
said "most of whom.......".

Unfortunately I fear there are far too few like you. Of course I could be
wrong, but experience tells me that I'm not.

2796. Re: FCC Rules For Hams Using Spread Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 13:59:24 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212020559.720b7d34@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56986

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0212010727.2309bbd0@posting.google.com>...
> n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20021130123723.28322.00000691@mb-fy.aol.com>...
> > In article <d22b54c3.0211300654.229a8042@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> > (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> > >> carried out on frequency-hopped SSB by W4RI in McLean, VA, K2SZE in
> Rochester,
> > >> NY, and WA3ZXW in Annapolis, MD. I don't know for sure what band was used,
> > >> probably 2 meters.
> > >
> > >Over what sorts of paths? If they weren't between two stations at
> > >different locations they were simply "bench tests". Spank me and I'll
> > >shaddup. Heh.
> >
> > They were on-air tests between the locations listed. Might have been on HF for
> > all I know. They were FHSS using a maximum spread of 100 kHz. Power on the
> > order of 100 watts, dipole antennas.
> >
> > Results were not any better than regular SSB.
>
> Oh fer cripes sake . . . 100 kHz . . yeah, OK, wunnerful, so those
> comms were SS in the narrow technical sense. I are not spanked. That
> is *not* the SS the Squiggys of the world were ranting about when they
> made the big stink about it here. They were raging about high-speed
> wideband Part 15 technology with suds, Internet portals, yakity-yak.

All of which has been possible for a long time. And which got easier
with the elimination of the "record all content" rule three years ago.

> Which were gonna save ham radio from us 20 wpm PCTA Luddites and goose
> the numbers up with battalions of young nocode geeks

There's been no code test needed for full access to all hambands for
the past 11-1/2 years. And a lot of the shouting was about SS on HF.
Don't you remember how it was demonstrated that a single QRP DSSS
station on, say, 15 meters would raise the apparent narrowband noise
level for miles around?

Simple thought experiment:

Station A is running 250W (avg) ERP to work Station B on SSB, (2.5 kHz
wide. Signals are good copy but reducing power would degrade the
signals unacceptably.

Stations C, D, E, etc. hear Station A S9+40 dB, because of better
paths to A. But since they have decent receivers, they can operate SSB
right down to the noise level (typically S0) on the same band as A
with no problems.

But suppose A and B decide to switch to DSSS. Let's say that the
spreading method spreads their signals essentially equally over 250
kHz of the band.
Now since the power that was once concentrated in 2.5 kHz is spread
over 100 times that amount of spectrum, the amount of power in a 2.5
kHz slice is reduced by 100 times - 20 dB. So instead of a single
S9+40 SSB signal 2.5 kHz wide, there's a roar of S9+40 noise 250 kHz
wide.

Suppose that the process gain from spreading permits A to reduce power
by 100 times (another 20 dB). The noise is then reduced to S9 over the
250 kHz wide slice. Stations C, D, E and any others with similar
propagation just had their S0 noise level raised to S9 by a single QRP
station. Thanks a lot.

FHSS? If it's slow, maybe your noise blanker will take it out. If it's
fast, think key clicks.

The pundits had no answer to the above thought experiment except that
C, D and E should go SS or leave the air.

> > >Non-sequitor, we have a whole litany of regulatory restrictions we
> > >have to deal with which do not apply to other services including
> > >content, transparency of the comms and a number of others and most of
> > >those go back to the early 1900s. That's why we're called "the
> > >amateurs". Doan like that? Then quit whining and get the commercial
> > >licenses.
> >
> > Who's whining? I'm just stating facts that some seem to forget. Part 15 has all
> > sorts of restrictions, too.
>
> No not you whining, the whiners who are claiming that SS can't be
> implemented "properly" under the present regs.

I don't see any of 'em trying to fix the rules. Or use the existing
rules, either. Too busy trying to up the countries total, I suppose.

Guess who was a major player in the '99 changes? ARRL. FCC got maybe
two dozen comments.
>
> > The bands above 30 MHz are not "line of sight" by any means.
>
> Eccch . . I've hit 2m machines in the Carolinas from Philly with a
> first-floor coaxial dipole hung under the balcony above me. Imagine
> what I could do to an SS node in the Carolinas from here . .

EME. Satellites. Meteor scatter, troposcatter, Es, ducting, linked
repeaters, etc., etc....
>

> > I'm sure there's some amateur SS being done by hams right now. But it's not
> > being done by those who moan and whine about "new modes and modulations" on
> > newsgroups.
>
> I wouldn't bet on either of those suppositions but time will tell.
> There's been some futzing with this "digital voice" thing. Whatever
> that is. Sounds like another Collision City.

Naw, the digital voice stuff is narrowband and for-real. Main problem
is potential digital-tower-of-Babel, and the nature of the signal
trying to be sent.

You watch, somebody like G3PLX will come up with a freeware soundcard
implementation of digivoice that sounds good and works well in
SSB-or-less bandwidth.
>
> > >Looks to me like the problem is actually based in the investment and
> > >coordination requirements inherent in the implementation of SS on any
> > >useful scale which are far beyond the ability of hams to pull
> > >together.
> >
> > Why do the investment and coordination if it's not necessary?
>
> Chicken & eqq enigma. If they don't do the investment & coordination
> it ain't gonna work. If there aren't enough interested hams clumped
> together in the neighborhood to fund, coordinate and run SS the
> investment & coordination ain't gonna happen either. On a strictly
> point-to-point basis SS would work but so do 2m FM simplex for voice
> and the Internet for binaries.

Bottom line: It needs doers, not talkers.

> > All that's left is process gain.
>
> Ya mean like cw & PSK31?

I mean like Shannon & Poisson.
>
> It might be noted that with very few exeptions there has not been a
> mode used on the vhf/uhf ham bands which was not at least tried first
> on HF in volume. Packet is a kissin' cousin of RTTY with repeaters and
> ATV simply needs more bandwidth than is available on the ham bands
> below 30Mhz.

I think one reason ATV and SSTV remain niche modes is the difficulty
of coming up with large amounts of visual stuff to send.
>
> > >I wuz there during the repeater wars . . Can you imagine "SS wars" . .
> > >?
> > >
> > >Cartoon fodder.
> >

> > "Imagine if they gave a war and nobody showed up".
>
> Would prolly be the case but the FCC is not willing to let it even get
> off the ground, once burned twice learned.

Last thing FCC wants is yet another enforcement headache.
>
> >
> > What the pundits forget is that the driving force behind most hams' mode choice
> > is simply fun. If a rig or mode is fun to do, hams will use it, within the
> > rules. If it's no fun, all the hand-wringing and preaching to the masses will
> > have no effect.
>
> Absolutely. Lotta other considerations matter too. Cost is a biggie.
> Complexity is another. The number of users per mode is another one.

All of which come down to various "fun" metrics. What fun is something
that's so expensive nobody can afford it, or so complex it is hard to
use? Or which only a few folks use at all?

> Per a number of previous posts I don't prefer cw because I "enjoy" cw,
> I use cw because of it's superior performance in weak signal work both
> ways and it's widespread use. I don't yak at length with cw, if I
> wanna yak I take up the bands to do my motormouthing.

That's you. I like a good CW ragchew with an op that knows how ta make
the paddles sing. Different communications medium, that's all.

Like the way opera should be in Italian - sings better. Or the way
rock music is meant to be played on Fenders and Gibsons, while folk
music is meant to be played on Martins (guitars).

73 de Jim, N2EY

2797. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 14:02:00 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021202090200.29085.00000445@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 56987

>Do you take pride in being ignorant white trash? You must...you do it
>sooooo well.
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Stevie you still licking them Bed Pans Clean?

2798. Re: Heres a good Site for you CBplussers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 14:04:42 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uumqjch426m3ad@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56988

"KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message
news:uulf7v9m0lr45f@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> news:uulencbarv0v47@corp.supernews.com...
> >
> > Uh, just had to mention here, uh, you do realize how desperate you
sound,
> > right?
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
>
> Kim
> Desperate? How so?
> According to Bruce's definition of a CBplusser I am one,
> so explain this to me, how I'M DESPERATE SOUNDING!
>
> --
> '73' From KB9TMP
> "The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
> if you can fake that, you've got it made."
> -Groucho Marx
>
>
>

Well, yeah. You sound desperate to be a CBPlusser so, well, by that
desperateness (heh heh), how could you be? Shhhhhhh ;)

Kim W5TIT

2799. Re: Bart Simpson uses code!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 14:05:33 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uumql1m6lhh5e2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56989

"KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message
news:uulg073u88uo2b@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> news:uuleu5229m8029@corp.supernews.com...
> > "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> > news:3DEABA9F.74C6776D@ix.netcom.com...
> > > Tonight (Dec 1) on the Simpsons, Bart was using Morse to
> > > send a prank message to Moe's.
> > >
> >
> > Well, hey, that's kewl...
> >
> > I don't watch The Simpsons, but did you happen to catch of the
> message...or
> > was it just "fake" CW?
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
> >
> >
>
> It HAD to be fake code. Nobody uses that stuff anymore!
> Ain't that right Kim?
>
> --
> '73' From KB9TMP
> "The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
> if you can fake that, you've got it made."
> -Groucho Marx
>

Oh, good grief look at ya! Don't get me in the middle of that one...LOL

Kim W5TIT

2800. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 14:08:10 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uumqps3p25cr4d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56990

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DEAD458.8130E270@mailcity.net...
>
>
> WA8ULX wrote:
> >
> > Boy you must be Borred to go thru and change all that.
>
> Just thought I would let everyone know the real hero of the
> stories.

You mean you couldn't bear to confuse him with Find & Replace, huh?
ROFLMAO...

Kim W5TIT

2801. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 14:12:39 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uumr28m2kn570b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56991

"Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote in message
news:YSIG9.207929$QZ.32034@sccrnsc02...
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3DEB5444.45417BBD@townsqr.com...
>
> > > Tech - 247,195 (increase of 41,801)
> >
> > This says that 41,801 newbies are awaiting full no-code HF.
>
> I'm one of those 41,801 newbies and I'm not "awaiting full no-code HF".
It's
> a bit difficult to lump over 41,000 people into one monolithic group and
> accurately attach the same motives to them all.
>
> Jackie
>
>

Oh, contraire, contraire Jackie. Just give it a little time and you'll see Dick fits that mold very well. He likes lumping whole courses of people together. He's got a very broad paint brush because it's too hard to paint where he needs to.

Kim W5TIT

2802. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 14:15:23 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uumr7guvs7b94@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56992

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3DEB74B7.34EEC660@townsqr.com...
>
>
> "Jackie L." wrote:
>
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:3DEB5444.45417BBD@townsqr.com...
> >
> > > > Tech - 247,195 (increase of 41,801)
> > >
> > > This says that 41,801 newbies are awaiting full no-code HF.
> >
> > I'm one of those 41,801 newbies and I'm not "awaiting full no-code HF".
It's
> > a bit difficult to lump over 41,000 people into one monolithic group and
> > accurately attach the same motives to them all.
>
> You are absolutely right. I should have been less stingy with my
verbiage and
> said "most of whom.......".
>
> Unfortunately I fear there are far too few like you. Of course I could
be
> wrong, but experience tells me that I'm not.
>

Ya see, Jackie? He tried to let go of that paint brush, just for
impression's sake of course. But, he just couldn't. First, he tries with
the use of "most of whom" and I'm sure he sat there and it really bugged
him; so he had to add back in with his "far too few like you."

Point also is that there's no need for someone to upgrade unless they want
to.<<<<period

Kim W5TIT

2803. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 15:39:54 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DEB7ECA.DC1D8D9C@mailcity.net>
Source record: 57001

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> N2EY wrote:
>
> > Here are the number of unexpired FCC ARS licenses held by individuals on the
> > dates listed:
> >
> >
> >
> > As of December 1, 2002:
> >
> > Novice - 36,313 (decrease of 13,516)
>
> Which tells me that 75% of Novices are inactive. Those who are active did a
> quick upgrade to General. Why not?
>
> >
> > Tech - 247,195 (increase of 41,801)
>
> This says that 41,801 newbies are awaiting full no-code HF. Along with a few of
> the other 241,000. The rest couldn't care less about ham radio, having found it
> "boring" and have already dumped their HT's.
>
> >
> > Tech Plus - 74,235 (decrease of 54,625)
>
> Obvious- glom that easy General. Or why stop there? Extra's just a few Question
> Pool memorizations away at this point. And most of the other 74,235 have just
> lost interest.
>
> >
> > General - 139,684 (increase of 27,007)
>
> Ditto up from Tech Plus.
>
> >
> > Advanced - 84,431 (decrease of 15,351)
>
> It distresses to see that 81% of Advanced are not interested enough to bother
> with the same few question pool memorizations "required" by General. Could so
> many of them be inactive? Probably.
>
> >
> > Extra - 103,112 (increase of 24,362)

>
> This is one of the more surprising and disturbing stats. What it tells me is
> that interest in ham radio isn't all that great even among many licensed hams.
> See below...
>
> >
> > Total - 684,970 (increase of 10,118)
>
> ARRL membership hangs around 170K, as I recall. If we are generous and consider
> that a half of active hams hold ARRL membership, then about half of all
> licensees are inactive and uninterested in whatever happens in/to ham radio.
>
> To me the license stats above, coupled by the attitudes and lack of motivation
> of some of the licensed posters here who have shown no interest in taking
> advantage of the easier upgrades, seem to pretty well confirm that supposition.
> Seems to them ham radio consists of posting drivel to rrap.
>
> So......stats are stats, but they reveal a lot. Which is to say that they are
> often very misleading.

Not everyone revolves their whole life around ham radio like you
and some others dickie. Most hams have a real life and treat ham
radio for what is is, a hobby to be pursued at leisure and have
fun instead of making it the only thing they have to live for.

2804. Re: Heres a good Site for you CBplussers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 15:44:46 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uumvuhsjeafoc7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 56993

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:uumqjch426m3ad@corp.supernews.com...
> "KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:uulf7v9m0lr45f@corp.supernews.com...
> >
> > "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> > news:uulencbarv0v47@corp.supernews.com...
> > >
> > > Uh, just had to mention here, uh, you do realize how desperate you
> sound,
> > > right?
> > >
> > > Kim W5TIT
> >
> > Kim
> > Desperate? How so?
> > According to Bruce's definition of a CBplusser I am one,
> > so explain this to me, how I'M DESPERATE SOUNDING!
> >
> > --
> > '73' From KB9TMP
> > "The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
> > if you can fake that, you've got it made."
> > -Groucho Marx
> >
> >
> >
>
> Well, yeah. You sound desperate to be a CBPlusser so, well, by that
> desperateness (heh heh), how could you be? Shhhhhhh ;)
>
> Kim W5TIT

Now here is an idea for all. Hams have a
WAS and a WAC awards. How about we
come up with a new award for CBplussers,
a WAI award. The best part of it would be
that NG contacts would count. So what do
you think about a new award? Oh BTW if
you haven't figured it out yet, the WAI
stands for Worked All Idiots! And it shouldn't
take anyone long to achieve it in here!

;^)

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

2805. Re: Again, to all the fake lloyd's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 15:46:42 UTC
From: Dafirebottle@aol.com
Message-ID: <edaa3c2a.0212020746.32571d34@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56994
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"R-75 MAN" <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com> wrote in message
news:<3dead9c9$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com>...
> I'm gonna tell you again to stop posting in my name. I will be contacting
> AOL this week. To the people using my name in vain on AOL, when I get done
> with you, you are gonna wish you never created that screen name.
>
> For the record - for everyone else.
>
> 1. I use MSN as my e-mail account
> 2. I stopped using AOL back in June 2001
> 3. I no longer use Terranews.com for my Usenet access. (MSN does not
> provide Usenet access)
>
> So anyone else using those above ISP's - you can tell it is a fake Lloyd.
>
> I would appreicate any help in stopping the abuse of my greatness.
>
> Lloyd Davies - The real McCoy!

Call Christy D and Jim Davies, I'm "sure" that they will use their
expertise to help you win over evil.....

In the meantime, get a life.

Fake Lloyd indeed......your spelling is waaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaay to
good to be the "Reel Lloyd"

Firebottle

2806. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 16:04:54 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DEB92E7.4B7CC2E8@townsqr.com>
Source record: 56995

W5TIT - Kim wrote:

> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3DEB74B7.34EEC660@townsqr.com...
> >
> >
> > "Jackie L." wrote:
> >
> > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3DEB5444.45417BBD@townsqr.com...
> > >
> > > > > > Tech - 247,195 (increase of 41,801)
> > > >
> > > > This says that 41,801 newbies are awaiting full no-code HF.
> > >
> > > I'm one of those 41,801 newbies and I'm not "awaiting full no-code HF".
> It's
> > > a bit difficult to lump over 41,000 people into one monolithic group and
> > > accurately attach the same motives to them all.
> >
> > You are absolutely right. I should have been less stingy with my
> verbiage and
> > said "most of whom.......".
> >
> > Unfortunately I fear there are far too few like you. Of course I could
> be
> > wrong, but experience tells me that I'm not.
> >
>
> Ya see, Jackie? He tried to let go of that paint brush, just for
> impression's sake of course. But, he just couldn't. First, he tries with
> the use of "most of whom" and I'm sure he sat there and it really bugged
> him; so he had to add back in with his "far too few like you."

Why even bother, Kimshe? You say repeatedly that your ONLY interest in ham
radio has ever been is 2 meters and you haven't been on that in so long you
don't remember the last time. Why even keep a license and claim -pretend- to be
a ham?

For damn sure you're NOTHING like Jackie. She's actually interested in the
hobby.

>
>
> Point also is that there's no need for someone to upgrade unless they want

> to.<<<<period
>

You're clear proof of that. Among other things.

2807. !Re: Bart Simpson uses code!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 16:13:25 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DEB94E7.3882BBD0@townsqr.com>
Source record: 56996

W5TIT - Kim wrote:

> "KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:uulg073u88uo2b@corp.supernews.com...
> >
> > "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> > news:uuleu5229m8029@corp.supernews.com...
> > > "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3DEABA9F.74C6776D@ix.netcom.com...
> > > > Tonight (Dec 1) on the Simpsons, Bart was using Morse to
> > > > send a prank message to Moe's.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Well, hey, that's kewl...
> > >
> > > I don't watch The Simpsons, but did you happen to catch of the
> > message...or
> > > was it just "fake" CW?
> > >
> > > Kim W5TIT
> > >
> > >
> >
> > It HAD to be fake code. Nobody uses that stuff anymore!
> > Ain't that right Kim?
> >
> > --
> > '73' From KB9TMP
> > "The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
> > if you can fake that, you've got it made."
> > -Groucho Marx
> >
>
> Oh, good grief look at ya! Don't get me in the middle of that one...LOL
>
> Kim W5TIT

Translation: WHEW! Thankyew for not making me try to copy any of it!

2808. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 16:15:06 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021202111506.07809.00009065@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 56997

>Subject: Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"
>From: "KC8PMX" kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net
>Date: 12/2/02 2:48 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <asflbs31kmt@enews2.newsguy.com>

>Did ya see the movie P.C.U.?

P.C.U....?!?! Nope. What was it?

73

Steve, K4YZ

2809. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 16:21:11 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <XLLG9.460$kz2.71118@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 56998

"Spelling Kop" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

:
: Not half as bored as the teachers who had to correct your spelling
papers.
:
: Do you take pride in being ignorant white trash? You must...you do
it
: sooooo well.
:

What's your badge number?

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

2810. Re: 3865 khz - funny QRM'ers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 16:37:50 UTC
From: Dafirebottle@aol.com
Message-ID: <edaa3c2a.0212020837.17c596a7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 56999
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"R-75 MAN" <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com> wrote in message
news:<3deae29b$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com>...
> This is funny tonite. Somebody is QRM'ing Criss, W8CH tonite. They are
> playing wav. files and white noise.

Go deliver a pizza you friggin' moron!

2811. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 17:38:09 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021202123809.06694.00000269@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 57000

>Subject: Re: Just had to repost this its so true
>From: "Kï¿½HB" kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG
>Date: 12/2/02 10:21 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <XLLG9.460$kz2.71118@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

>"Spelling Kop" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

Guess the trolling is getting slow in Minnesota this time of year, eh,
Hans?

>What's your badge number?

1369. What's yours?

>With all kind wishes,

Very ironic...very sad.

Steve, K4YZ

2812. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 17:48:55 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212020948.62408bd5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57002

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DEB5444.45417BBD@townsqr.com>...
> N2EY wrote:
>
> > Here are the number of unexpired FCC ARS licenses held by individuals on the
> > dates listed:

> > As of December 1, 2002:

> > Novice - 36,313 (decrease of 13,516)

> Which tells me that 75% of Novices are inactive. Those who are active did a
> quick upgrade to General. Why not?

Not that simple. An existing Novice has to pass both new Elements 2
and 3 to get a General. Plus get to a VE session, etc.

> > Tech - 247,195 (increase of 41,801)
>
> This says that 41,801 newbies are awaiting full no-code HF.

Nope. Since April 15, 2000, FCC has been renewing Tech Pluses as
Techs. And a Novice who passes Element 2 but not Element 3 gets a Tech
(new/upgrade from Novice/renew) (on FCC database, anyway). So there
are three ways to get a Tech but only two ways to drop a Tech (upgrade
or expire)

> Along with a few of
> the other 241,000. The rest couldn't care less about ham radio, having found it
> "boring" and have already dumped their HT's.

Possibly. Or they are simply satisfied with VHF/UHF and don't see any
reason to go beyond it.
>
> > Tech Plus - 74,235 (decrease of 54,625)
>
> Obvious- glom that easy General. Or why stop there? Extra's just a few Question
> Pool memorizations away at this point. And most of the other 74,235 have just
> lost interest.

Again, FCC has been renewing Tech Plus as Tech since the
restructuring. Even without any other changes, there will be no more
Tech Pluses after April 2010.
>
> > General - 139,684 (increase of 27,007)
>
> Ditto up from Tech Plus.

Or Novice, or newbies. If I was a newbie today, I'd probably go
straight for General. Or Extra.

> > Advanced - 84,431 (decrease of 15,351)
>
> It distresses to see that 81% of Advanced are not interested enough to bother
> with the same few question pool memorizations "required" by General. Could so
> many of them be inactive? Probably.

Or they just haven't got around to it yet. If somebody is happy with
Advanced privs, the trip to a VE session may not be worth it.
>
> > Extra - 103,112 (increase of 24,362)
>
> This is one of the more surprising and disturbing stats. What it tells me is
> that interest in ham radio isn't all that great even among many licensed hams.
> See below...
>
> >
> > Total - 684,970 (increase of 10,118)
>
> ARRL membership hangs around 170K, as I recall. If we are generous and consider
> that a half of active hams hold ARRL membership, then about half of all
> licensees are inactive and uninterested in whatever happens in/to ham radio.

That's about my guesstimate. With 10 year license terms, that's to be
expected.
>
> To me the license stats above, coupled by the attitudes and lack of motivation
> of some of the licensed posters here who have shown no interest in taking
> advantage of the easier upgrades, seem to pretty well confirm that supposition.
> Seems to them ham radio consists of posting drivel to rrap.

How many people post here regularly? They're in no way a
representative sample.

Here's the big question: Why waste your time arguing with the few who
post such drivel? There are lots of other folks to debate.

Main points of my posting these numbers:

1) Discussion
2) They will be in USENET archives essentially forever

73 de Jim, N2EY

2813. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 17:53:11 UTC
From: kb9rvb@arrl.net
Message-ID: <77f1797e.0212020953.1d8670db@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57003

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DEB5444.45417BBD@townsqr.com>...
> N2EY wrote:
> > Here are the number of unexpired FCC ARS licenses held by individuals on the
> > dates listed:
> > As of December 1, 2002:
> > Novice - 36,313 (decrease of 13,516)
> Which tells me that 75% of Novices are inactive. Those who are active did a
> quick upgrade to General. Why not?
> > Tech - 247,195 (increase of 41,801)
> This says that 41,801 newbies are awaiting full no-code HF. Along with a few of
> the other 241,000. The rest couldn't care less about ham radio, having found it
> "boring" and have already dumped their HT's.

Or they are perfectly happy with the privileges of UHF/VHF, having
found that antenna restrictions and covenants make HF too problematic
for the hobbyist.

> > Tech Plus - 74,235 (decrease of 54,625)
>
> Obvious- glom that easy General. Or why stop there? Extra's just a few Question
> Pool memorizations away at this point. And most of the other 74,235 have just
> lost interest.

Your answer to the Novice decrease, "Why not?" makes sense here as
well. But Novice, this category and Advanced have another common
thread -- there will not be any new assignments under the current
regulations. Of course the numbers will drop.

> > General - 139,684 (increase of 27,007)
> Ditto up from Tech Plus.
> >
> > Advanced - 84,431 (decrease of 15,351)
> It distresses to see that 81% of Advanced are not interested enough to bother
> with the same few question pool memorizations "required" by General. Could so
> many of them be inactive? Probably.

I'm not sure what this explanation means. Haven't all Advanced already
moved beyond the General pool? Or are you suggesting that the dormant
Advanceds should be taking the _Extra_ now that the WPM has be
flattened? Again, your "Why not?" is legitimate, but so is the
response, "Why?" What do they gain? And perhaps HF (with or without
code) is not the attractive participation arena that it once was.
> >
> > Extra - 103,112 (increase of 24,362)
> This is one of the more surprising and disturbing stats. What it tells me is
> that interest in ham radio isn't all that great even among many licensed hams.

> See below...
> > Total - 684,970 (increase of 10,118)
> ARRL membership hangs around 170K, as I recall. If we are generous and consider
> that a half of active hams hold ARRL membership, then about half of all
> licensees are inactive and uninterested in whatever happens in/to ham radio.
>
> To me the license stats above, coupled by the attitudes and lack of motivation
> of some of the licensed posters here who have shown no interest in taking
> advantage of the easier upgrades, seem to pretty well confirm that supposition.
> Seems to them ham radio consists of posting drivel to rrap.
>
> So......stats are stats, but they reveal a lot. Which is to say that they are
> often very misleading.

And I wonder whether HF provides enough interest in itself to
contribute to General and Extra numbers higher than what we are
seeing.

2814. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 18:07:00 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021202130700.22850.00000805@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 57005

In article <d22b54c3.0212010920.10a99519@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20021130203715.06624.00000119@mb-da.aol.com>...
>
>> The "transistor" was/is a whole series of inventions, not just the one
>> mentioned above.
>
>I plead guilty to the use of "excessive allegory" to keep the
>bandwidth down. One transistor inventor, all hollow state diodes are
>6H6s, all hollow state triodes are 6B6s, etc. I don't place much value
>on being anal literal, RRAP ain't an "engineering office".

Kellie, don't try any more bluffing and bluster about "engineering" anything. You might snow-job some youngster you are trying to impress with amateur guru-ism but you can't fool the hardware radio people...not even just in amateur newsgroups.

Vacuum tube types 6H6 and 6B6 were already OBSOLETE before you got into amateur radio. Those are pre-WW2 octal base types and the diode-triode 6B6 has a grid cap! Those are over 60 years old!

If you wish to classify ignorance as "anal literal" then that's your technical speed...but quite incorrect.

>> From an amateur point of view, transistors became competitive
>> with tubes in some applications in the 50s,
>
>Bloody few.

Now, for the time up to the mid-1950s, that IS correct. Aside from the mention of the Raytheon CK722 which was highlighted in ham store floor display stands in 1956, can you name ANY transistor of any kind other than the CK722?

>> while in other applications they
>> have still not completely displaced tubes.
>
>Bloody few again.

Sorry, solid-state electronics has COMPLETELY taken over all active device functions in today's manufactured amateur radio transceivers. Cold-cathode fluorescent displays aren't "tubes."

>Right, and that's why those who don't have any actual hands-on time in
>the assorted flavors of ham radio have a bad time being relevant
>around here.

No problem with Kellie...he doesn't seem to know the insides
of ANY amateur radio equipment of ANY era. :-)

>> For example, preventive
>> maintenace tube replacement cost in a 24/7 broadcast station can make a
>> solidstate transmitter economically advantageous, while the ham who
>transmits a
>> few hundred hours per year can run a tube transmitter until the bottles
>fail.

Did Mr. N2EY ever work in the engineering department of ANY
broadcast station?

Vacuum tubes can, and have failed on-the-air in the majority of
BC stations which are NOT 24/7 licensed stations.

It is NOT the "tube replacement cost" but the AIR TIME, the lost
time paid by advertisers who keep the station financially afloat.
Go find a rate card for any station and figure it out.

>That gets down to the individual's personal economics. There are a
>number of big ham solid state 1.5 - 2kW amps out there today but
>they're much more expensive than the equivalent tube amaps. By a
>factor of two or three so far.

That might have been true 20 years ago. High-power PAs using
tubes need HV rectifiers and HV transformers, all of which are
EXPENSE items compared to much-lower-voltage supplies for
RF transistors. On modular constructions yet to be "pioneered"
for "ham use," one module can fail completely and all the others
can handle what remains of the load.

>The big trick the solid state amps bring to the game is no-tune quick
>bandhopping which can't be done as well if at all with ham tube amps.
>Relay-switched lo-Z bandpass filters vs. high-Z tuned tank circuits
>with knobs ya gotta dork with, etc. There are flocks of hams who have
>taken the big hits in their wallets to buy that capability.

You forgot something, but permissible since you only operate
CW. :-)

A linear high-power PA can ALSO handle ANY mode on HF.
No need for that big-mama audio power amplifier to do the
equivalent of plate-modulating the RF final for DSB AM.

The 1955 era military T-195/GRC-19 put out 100 W on HF
from a mobile mounting platform...and had automatic tuning
of both the PA and the antenna. It also consumed about
1100 W from the vehicle electrical system in doing that
with tubes. Wow, there's "efficiency," right? :-)

>There were a lotta misguided attempts to jump into solid state HF ham
>rcvrs before the state of the art could be performance-competitive vs.
>tubes. The HRO-500 was the disaster radio which sank National's boat
>for instance.

The latest-model National HRO series receivers were being
sold to the USN in 1970. The HRO series tried to preserve
the "plug-in bandchanging" concept from the late 1920s into
the 1970s. National fared better by keeping up with the times
in government contracts for communications equipment, some-
thing they shifted to shortly after WW2 was over. Where
are the Hallicrafters sets? Bill Halligan's company eventually
went down. Where is RME? Where is the fabled Cosmo-
phone? DEFUNCT. Collins Radio still exists but they are
in the commercial-military market, gave up amateurism a
long time ago.

>Plus the current programmable chipsets allow quick & easy features to
>be added which we didn't have in toob days either. 40-bit DSP with
>6H6s . . RIGHT!

Whatinhell are you mumbling about "chipsets" in radios?
Try to educate yourself by learning that some/most of the
control functions are implemented through MICRO-
CONTROLLERS. Microcontrollers have PROGRAM and
DATA MEMORY...which can be changed as needed, but
design-manufacturing costs (to be competitive) puts the
memory on-board the microcontroller, "burned-in" in ROM.
The set maker can then charge a bundle to replace the
microcontroller IC. :-)

Microcontrollers CAN be made with EEPROM memory
on-board (Microchip's PIC series has many like that). The
microprocessor section isn't affected, only the data memory
and program instructions.

For the last time, the 6H6 dual diode vacuum tube was
OBSOLETE fifty years ago. Fifty years ago it was replaced
by the 7-pin miniature 6AL5 dual diode. Fifty years ago new
US Army Signal Corps radios were already using semi-
conductor diodes replacing vacuum-tube diodes (see AN/PRC-
6, -8, -9, -10) in FM discriminators.

DSP (Digital Signal Processing) uses "40 bits?!?" I don't
think so, ace. Try bit lengths from 12 to 20 for simple audio
filtering. CD-ROM decoders for voice and music use a
maximum of 24 bits in D/A conversion...and the various
Dolby sound systems. [I'm not going into CDMA in little
cellphone radios...the correction time for Kellie's postings
would take too long...:-)]

Hu? Technically...Kellie is plonked, BIG TIME. :-)

2815. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 18:07:01 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021202130701.22850.00000806@mb-fa.aol.com>
Source record: 57004

In article <20021201183714.00112.00000794@mb-fz.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <20021201133916.21441.00000449@mb-mc.aol.com>, j1aguiar@aol.com
>(J1aguiar) writes:

>Accusing the VECs of falsifying test results is pretty serious stuff, btw. Do
>you have any solid evidence?

VEC test sessions are NOT monitored by anyone else DURING
testing. All that is monitored is the FCC scanning over test
RESULTS sent to them by the VEC teams.

Now go back to the famous/infamous ARRL news page that
showed two darling six-year-olds who were reported to have
"passed" written tests for Novice and Technician, respectively.
Now let any, repeat ANY, first-grade teacher look at the
written exam questions and decide for themselves whether
SIX YEAR OLDS can read those questions well enough to
answer even 70 percent of them. Just to READ them and
be able to choose any answer.

If you say "yes they can, because...[for any reason]" then
you MUST acknowledge that (1) You don't have slightest
familiarity with six-year-olds' reading/writing comprehension;
or (2) You think anything labeled/stamped/categorized as
"ham radio material" is somehow "special" over and above
any other subject matter; or (3) Any child who is "involved" in
any way with amateur radio is somehow a "gifted child" or a
"child prodigy." All of them are nonsense, ridiculous because
of a way-too-strong identification (beyond rationality) with
amateur radio.

ARRL was being over-indulgent in that bit of "news" fluff and
the VEC group who did the alleged testing thought it all
cutesy-poo from a kindly grandfatherly feeling to kiddies
(picture included one of the VEC proctors, smiling and no
doubt feeling "proud" over the kiddies' "accomplishments."

I accuse ARRL of very mild fraud on the part of condoning such
such things and hypocrisy on the implication of passing a ham
written exam as a sort of academic certificate. In the latter, if
barely-able-to-read-and-write six-year-olds can read and mark
with even reasonable comprehension, then the written exam is
a SHAM...even under pre-restructuring standards.

No MORE evidence is needed...the evidence has already been presented although sugar-coated with parental pride and the usual propaganda of ARRL being all good things to US amateur radio and never making mistakes or illegalities.

On the charge of deliberate FALSIFICATION for whatever reason, ARRL VEC is GUILTY.

2816. Re: Well Im back on HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 18:08:16 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <asg7r8$7oj$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57006

I was surprised how loud you were so early in the evening. Ill check again about 8pm central after my MARS net.

I was on my 'trusty' inverted L. 1 radial with a 1.3 to 1 SWR. Works great.

Dan/W4NTI

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message news:20021201201904.05504.00006596@mb-mq.aol.com...
> >Dan, you just got suckered.
> >
>
> No Dan didnt, we have had 3 QSOs already. Meet you on 1875 brian, oh thats
> right you cant make an Antenna work there.

2817. Re: Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 18:09:31 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <asg7ksipp1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57007
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

Back then in the 'heyday' it would have been WA1BWV. John bought his dead guys call.

Dan/W4NTI

"Lloyd" <Lloyd@alaqthwheresherb.com> wrote in message news:asfl5aval0@pita.alt.net...
> Didn't you used to hang around with N5FX & WB4GDP
> and W1BWV on 14313 ? back in the hey days of BARF?
>
> Lloyd
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:uulcf83fv7r143@corp.supernews.com...
> > I've never supported anyone on 14313. Fact is, I haven't been on 20
> meters
> > since 1969 (or maybe 1970 - not sure). You must have me confused with
> > someone else ;-)
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
> >
> >
> > "Lloyd" <Lloyd@anythereonfreq.net> wrote in message
> > news:asc6fa$1ek$0@pita.alt.net...
> > >
> > > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> > > news:uu8lm8acuip06f@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > Actually, Hans, some of us anoint the wise ones! ;)
> > > >
> > > > BTW, I have carefully measured your 'dit dit' at the end of your
post
> > and
> > > > can pronounce it of the proper required legal spacing. ;)
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Good post & 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > > Jim
> > > >
> > >
> > > I doubt if you can properly measure anything, given the way you
> > > "anointed" kv4fz on 14313 over the years. Do you flame anyone
> > > who does not support fz?
> > >

> > > Lloyd
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2818. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 18:17:11 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212021017.56fd00ff@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57008

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DEB5444.45417BBD@townsqr.com>...

> ARRL membership hangs around 170K, as I recall. If we are generous and consider
> that a half of active hams hold ARRL membership, then about half of all
> licensees are inactive and uninterested in whatever happens in/to ham radio.
>
> To me the license stats above, coupled by the attitudes and lack of motivation
> of some of the licensed posters here who have shown no interest in taking
> advantage of the easier upgrades, seem to pretty well confirm that supposition.
> Seems to them ham radio consists of posting drivel to rrap.
>
> So......stats are stats, but they reveal a lot. Which is to say that they are
> often very misleading.

I'm reminded of a very vociferous Extra that demands "Code For All"
because there might be an emergency where only Code will pull it off,
who couldn't get himself near enough to a factory built radio and
commercial power to accept an invitation to operate in his favorite
mode. There's just not enough interest.

Yep, them's the stats!

2819. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 18:19:21 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212021019.1a60ce8f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57009

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DEB4E35.F5466334@townsqr.com>...
> N2EY wrote:
>
> >Of course some of us can still figure out how to put up a simple wire antenna,
>
> > feed it and get on the air.
>
> But not the Burke Turkey. He's gotta have "advice".
>
> Surprise = ZERO.

The end fed half wave didn't appear so simple to Dick. He had no
advice, and apparently know nothing about them.

No suprise. Talks the talk, can hardly walk.

2820. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 18:21:27 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212021021.56bc2e03@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57010

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DEB4975.34FEB073@townsqr.com>...
> WA8ULX wrote:
>
> > >Now tell Bruce how its possible for him to have gotten an Extra when
> > >he was 4 years old by takeing the written exam only.
> > >
> > >I gotta see this.
> >
> > Look Dumb Ass, what I say was if todays standards of testing were in place
> > then. In other words so you can understand, that would mean a 5WPM Test and
> > todays dumb down give away written. No wonder you cant pass the EXTRA.
>
> One does wonder how he gets past a traffic signal.

I'll bet Bruce has a CDL. Maybe Dixie, too.

2821. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 18:25:29 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212021025.2b9ccafe@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57011

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021202013433.07761.00008807@mb-mn.aol.com>...
> Loosie Brucie (WA8ULX) said:
>
> > Boy you must be Borred to go thru and change all that.
>
> Not half as bored as the teachers who had to correct your spelling papers.
>
> Do you take pride in being ignorant white trash? You must...you do it
> sooooo well.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, go check out some "Kelley-Speak" sometime. Not the wording you
would expect from an engineer.

Brian

2822. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 18:55:30 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <C0OG9.654$kz2.94300@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57012

"Spelling Kop" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

:
: 1369. What's yours?
:

There are already enough "Spelling Kops" and "Radio Kops" and "Grammar Kops"
and other such stuppa* around here, so I haven't applied for a badge.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB
--

"Beware of the man who works hard to learn something, learns it, and finds
himself no wiser than before. He is full of murderous resentment of people
who are ignorant without having come by their ignorance the hard way." --
Bokonon

"Maturity is a bitter disappointment for which no remedy exists, unless
laughter can be said to remedy anything." -- Bokonon

*stuppa -- Ask N2EY

2823. Re: Well Im back on HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 19:09:34 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021202140934.05437.00005485@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 57013

>I was surprised how loud you were so early in the evening. Ill check again
>about 8pm central after my MARS net.
>
>I was on my 'trusty' inverted L. 1 radial with a 1.3 to 1 SWR. Works
>great.

Ok sounds good Dan.

2824. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 19:14:09 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DEBB101.2090404@psu.edu>
Source record: 57014

Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <20021201183714.00112.00000794@mb-fz.aol.com>,
> n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:
>
>
>> In article <20021201133916.21441.00000449@mb-mc.aol.com>,
>> j1aguiar@aol.com (J1aguiar) writes:
>
>
>
>> Accusing the VECs of falsifying test results is pretty serious
>> stuff, btw. Do you have any solid evidence?
>
>
> VEC test sessions are NOT monitored by anyone else DURING testing.
> All that is monitored is the FCC scanning over test RESULTS sent to
> them by the VEC teams.
>
> Now go back to the famous/infamous ARRL news page that showed two
> darling six-year-olds who were reported to have "passed" written
> tests for Novice and Technician, respectively. Now let any, repeat
> ANY, first-grade teacher look at the written exam questions and
> decide for themselves whether SIX YEAR OLDS can read those questions
> well enough to answer even 70 percent of them. Just to READ them and
> be able to choose any answer.

There's a new one. In this months QST, (IIRC) there is a picture of a young lady holding something in her hand. The story goes on about how immediately after passing her test, she pulled one of her baby teeth out.

Cute? I guess so. What's the point? I think that this kind of stuff is a concession to the people who think and proclaim that "We *have* to get the *children* involved in amateur radio!"

I think this eventually does a disservice to the ARS. Is there some sort of contest to see who produces the youngest Ham?

And you point out how difficult it would be for a first grader to read and comprehend the written tests. True enough

Heres a sample question from the Technician pool, pulled up on one of the radio test web pages:

In the far field, as the distance from the source increases, how does power density vary?
A. The power density is proportional to the square of the distance

B. The power density is proportional to the square root of the distance
C. The power density is proportional to the inverse square of the distance
D. The power density is proportional to the inverse cube of the distance

Not even taking into account comprehension of the question and possible answers, (I want to hear a 6YO person explain proportional and square root and inverse and cube) what person in that age group could read those words at all?

There may not be prompting, but I would be very surprised if there wasn't.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2825. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 19:39:48 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uune7o97n6nu06@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57015

```
"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3DEB92E7.4B7CC2E8@townsqr.com...
>
>
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:3DEB74B7.34EEC660@townsqr.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > "Jackie L." wrote:
> > >
> > > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:3DEB5444.45417BBD@townsqr.com...
> > > >
> > > > > > Tech - 247,195 (increase of 41,801)
> > > > >
> > > > > This says that 41,801 newbies are awaiting full no-code HF.
> > > >
> > > > I'm one of those 41,801 newbies and I'm not "awaiting full no-code
HF".
> > It's
> > > > a bit difficult to lump over 41,000 people into one monolithic group
and
> > > > accurately attach the same motives to them all.
> > >
> > > You are absolutely right. I should have been less stingy with my
> > verbiage and
> > > said "most of whom.......".
> > >
> > > Unfortunately I fear there are far too few like you. Of course I
could
> > be
> > > wrong, but experience tells me that I'm not.
> > >
> >
> > Ya see, Jackie? He tried to let go of that paint brush, just for
> > impression's sake of course. But, he just couldn't. First, he tries
with
> > the use of "most of whom" and I'm sure he sat there and it really bugged
> > him; so he had to add back in with his "far too few like you."
>
> Why even bother, Kimshe? You say repeatedly that your ONLY interest in
ham
```

> radio has ever been is 2 meters and you haven't been on that in so long
you
> don't remember the last time. Why even keep a license and claim -pretend-
to be
> a ham?
>
> For damn sure you're NOTHING like Jackie. She's actually interested in
the
> hobby.
>
>
> >
> >
> > Point also is that there's no need for someone to upgrade unless they
want
> > to.<<<<period
> >
>
> You're clear proof of that. Among other things.
>
>

GASP Ya've broken a record, Dick! I am glad to see that you felt I had important enough things to say that you took me out of your killfile...though with all the responses I've had from you I don't think I was ever there...

Kim W5TIT

2826. Re: Bart Simpson uses code!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 19:41:07 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uunea6hj59gm31@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57016

```
"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3DEB94E7.3882BBD0@townsqr.com...
>
>
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> > "KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:uulg073u88uo2b@corp.supernews.com...
> > >
> > > "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> > > news:uuleu5229m8029@corp.supernews.com...
> > > > "Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:3DEABA9F.74C6776D@ix.netcom.com...
> > > > > Tonight (Dec 1) on the Simpsons, Bart was using Morse to
> > > > > send a prank message to Moe's.
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > Well, hey, that's kewl...
> > > >
> > > > I don't watch The Simpsons, but did you happen to catch of the
> > > message...or
> > > > was it just "fake" CW?
> > > >
> > > > Kim W5TIT
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > > It HAD to be fake code. Nobody uses that stuff anymore!
> > > Ain't that right Kim?
> > >
> > > --
> > > '73' From KB9TMP
> > > "The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
> > > if you can fake that, you've got it made."
> > > -Groucho Marx
> > >
> >
> > Oh, good grief look at ya! Don't get me in the middle of that one...LOL
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
>
> Translation: WHEW! Thankyew for not making me try to copy any of it!
>
```

What?! You're more than weird, Dick. What's the deal? Why would I worry about anyone "making" me copy CW? What's to worry?

Kim W5TIT

2827. Re: Heres a good Site for you CBplussers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 19:46:21 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uunek3j8105fb6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57017

"KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message
news:uumvuhsjeafoc7@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> news:uumqjch426m3ad@corp.supernews.com...
> > "KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:uulf7v9m0lr45f@corp.supernews.com...
> > >
> > > "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> > > news:uulencbarv0v47@corp.supernews.com...
> > > >
> > > > Uh, just had to mention here, uh, you do realize how desperate you
> > sound,
> > > > right?
> > > >
> > > > Kim W5TIT
> > >
> > > Kim
> > > Desperate? How so?
> > > According to Bruce's definition of a CBplusser I am one,
> > > so explain this to me, how I'M DESPERATE SOUNDING!
> > >
> > > --
> > > '73' From KB9TMP
> > > "The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
> > > if you can fake that, you've got it made."
> > > -Groucho Marx
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Well, yeah. You sound desperate to be a CBPlusser so, well, by that
> > desperateness (heh heh), how could you be? Shhhhhhh ;)
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
>
>
> Now here is an idea for all. Hams have a
> WAS and a WAC awards. How about we
> come up with a new award for CBplussers,
> a WAI award. The best part of it would be
> that NG contacts would count. So what do
> you think about a new award? Oh BTW if
> you haven't figured it out yet, the WAI

> stands for Worked All Idiots! And it shouldn't
> take anyone long to achieve it in here!
>
> ;^)
>
> --
> '73' From KB9TMP
> "The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
> if you can fake that, you've got it made."
> -Groucho Marx
>

There ya go, taking the easy road...again... ;)

Kim W5TIT

2828. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 20:22:09 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <RhPG9.854$hM3.120718@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57018

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote

:
: Not even taking into account comprehension of the question and possible
: answers, (I want to hear a 6YO person explain proportional and square
: root and inverse and cube) what person in that age group could read
: those words at all?
:

Maybe they got that question wrong. Sunuvagun!

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB
--
"Beware of the man who works hard to learn
something, learns it, and finds himself no wiser
than before. He is full of murderous resentment
of people who are ignorant without having come
by their ignorance the hard way." -- Bokonon

2829. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 20:26:23 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <PIPG9.859$hM3.123064@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57019

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote

:
: On the charge of deliberate FALSIFICATION for whatever reason,
: ARRL VEC is GUILTY.
:

"Sometimes the pool-pah* exceeds the power of humans to comment."

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB
--

*pool-pah: Shit storm

2830. Re: 7 steps to improve ham radio.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 22:05:05 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021202170505.03526.00001364@mb-cj.aol.com>
Source record: 57020

In article <asdl7a$1qo$0@pita.alt.net>, "Barbra" <LiberalSocialist@Anon.com>
writes:

>> Credibilty? Am I being paid for my thoughts now? I am not answering to
>> anyone for the way in which I think. Are you? Because, your credibility
>is
>> non-existent.
>>
>> Kim W5TIT
>>
>Aw, gee, TiT. That really cut me to the quick. You wield words like a
>rapier.
>I shan't be able to sleep for days.

Bruce, quit being a cross-dresser...you look worse...

2831. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-02 23:37:22 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <asgqtu$7ie$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57021

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3DEB5444.45417BBD@townsqr.com...
>
>
> N2EY wrote:
>
> > Here are the number of unexpired FCC ARS licenses held by individuals on
the
> > dates listed:
> >
> >
> >
> > As of December 1, 2002:
> >
> > Novice - 36,313 (decrease of 13,516)
>
> Which tells me that 75% of Novices are inactive. Those who are active did
a
> quick upgrade to General. Why not?

The reality, however, is that many Novices are renewing. Maybe they are
active, maybe not,
but the stats do show considerable renewal rates.

> > Tech - 247,195 (increase of 41,801)
>
> This says that 41,801 newbies are awaiting full no-code HF. Along with a
few of
> the other 241,000. The rest couldn't care less about ham radio, having
found it
> "boring" and have already dumped their HT's.

> > Tech Plus - 74,235 (decrease of 54,625)
>
> Obvious- glom that easy General. Or why stop there? Extra's just a few
Question
> Pool memorizations away at this point. And most of the other 74,235 have
just
> lost interest.
>
> >
> > General - 139,684 (increase of 27,007)
>
> Ditto up from Tech Plus.
>

> >
> > Advanced - 84,431 (decrease of 15,351)
>
> It distresses to see that 81% of Advanced are not interested enough to
bother
> with the same few question pool memorizations "required" by General. Could
so
> many of them be inactive? Probably.

Yea...that sooooo easy Xtra test makes you wonder how
they ever got to be advanced... :-)

> > Extra - 103,112 (increase of 24,362)
>
> This is one of the more surprising and disturbing stats. What it tells me
is
> that interest in ham radio isn't all that great even among many licensed
hams.
> See below...

And that is based on what logic?

> > Total - 684,970 (increase of 10,118)
>
> ARRL membership hangs around 170K, as I recall. If we are generous and
consider
> that a half of active hams hold ARRL membership, then about half of all
> licensees are inactive and uninterested in whatever happens in/to ham
radio.
>
> To me the license stats above, coupled by the attitudes and lack of
motivation
> of some of the licensed posters here who have shown no interest in taking
> advantage of the easier upgrades, seem to pretty well confirm that
supposition.
> Seems to them ham radio consists of posting drivel to rrap.
>
> So......stats are stats, but they reveal a lot. Which is to say that they
are
> often very misleading.

So much for logical analysis.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

2832. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 00:36:04 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DEC0AB8.A25D821D@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57022

JJ wrote:

> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > N2EY wrote:
> >
> > > Here are the number of unexpired FCC ARS licenses held by individuals on the
> > > dates listed:
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > As of December 1, 2002:
> > >
> > > Novice - 36,313 (decrease of 13,516)
> >
> > Which tells me that 75% of Novices are inactive. Those who are active did a
> > quick upgrade to General. Why not?
> >
> > >
> > > Tech - 247,195 (increase of 41,801)
> >
> > This says that 41,801 newbies are awaiting full no-code HF. Along with a few of
> > the other 241,000. The rest couldn't care less about ham radio, having found it
> > "boring" and have already dumped their HT's.
> >
> > >
> > > Tech Plus - 74,235 (decrease of 54,625)
> >
> > Obvious- glom that easy General. Or why stop there? Extra's just a few Question
> > Pool memorizations away at this point. And most of the other 74,235 have just
> > lost interest.
> >
> > >
> > > General - 139,684 (increase of 27,007)
> >
> > Ditto up from Tech Plus.
> >
> > >
> > > Advanced - 84,431 (decrease of 15,351)
> >
> > It distresses to see that 81% of Advanced are not interested enough to bother
> > with the same few question pool memorizations "required" by General. Could so
> > many of them be inactive? Probably.
> >

> > >
> > > Extra - 103,112 (increase of 24,362)
> >
> > This is one of the more surprising and disturbing stats. What it tells me is
> > that interest in ham radio isn't all that great even among many licensed hams.
> > See below...
> >
> > >
> > > Total - 684,970 (increase of 10,118)
> >
> > ARRL membership hangs around 170K, as I recall. If we are generous and consider
> > that a half of active hams hold ARRL membership, then about half of all
> > licensees are inactive and uninterested in whatever happens in/to ham radio.
> >
> > To me the license stats above, coupled by the attitudes and lack of motivation
> > of some of the licensed posters here who have shown no interest in taking
> > advantage of the easier upgrades, seem to pretty well confirm that supposition.
> > Seems to them ham radio consists of posting drivel to rrap.
> >
> > So......stats are stats, but they reveal a lot. Which is to say that they are
> > often very misleading.
>
> Not everyone revolves their whole life around ham radio like you
> and some others dickie. Most hams have a real life and treat ham
> radio for what is is, a hobby to be pursued at leisure and have
> fun instead of making it the only thing they have to live for.

Translation: I really couldn't care less what happens to ham radio, after all it's no more than CB these days anyway. If you do, tough stuff, live with the Grate Dumdown.

2833. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 00:47:58 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DEC0D7E.A5232EA6@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57023

Carl Zager wrote:

> I wonder whether HF provides enough interest in itself to
> contribute to General and Extra numbers higher than what we are
> seeing.

You raise a valid point. Today's "easy DX" makes HF an entirely different animal to beginning hams than it was not that many years ago. Satellites and the space program, instant
common worldwide communications via both telephone circuits and the Internet naturally make
it so. To lots of us it was an entirely different view when there was no such easy and commonplace, routine long distance comms.

2834. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 00:52:07 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DEC0E7B.326705D8@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57024

Brian wrote:

>
> I'm reminded of a very vociferous Extra that demands "Code For All"
> because there might be an emergency where only Code will pull it off,
> who couldn't get himself near enough to a factory built radio and
> commercial power to accept an invitation to operate in his favorite
> mode. There's just not enough interest.

I'm reminded of a very vociferous Novice who sat on his duff screaming "No code for me, I know more than the FCC, ARRL and all the rest of 'em. I'm right and I'll prove it if it keeps
me off the air forever!" There just wasn't enough intelligence.

>
>
> Yep, them's the stats!

So they be.

2835. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 00:57:04 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DEC0FA4.BF10EEAD@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57025

Brian wrote:

> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DEB4E35.F5466334@townsqr.com>...
> > N2EY wrote:
> >
> > >Of course some of us can still figure out how to put up a simple wire antenna,
> >
> > > feed it and get on the air.
> >
> > But not the Burke Turkey. He's gotta have "advice".
> >
> > Surprise = ZERO.
>
> The end fed half wave didn't appear so simple to Dick. He had no
> advice

For you I have no advice, that's true. You have no idea what to do with advice. No one here would waste bother to waste any of it on you.

2836. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 01:05:18 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DEC1190.B619B65E@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57026

Mike Coslo wrote:

> Len Over 21 wrote:
> > In article <20021201183714.00112.00000794@mb-fz.aol.com>,
> > n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:
> >
> >
> >> In article <20021201133916.21441.00000449@mb-mc.aol.com>,
> >> j1aguiar@aol.com (J1aguiar) writes:
> >
> >
> >
> >> Accusing the VECs of falsifying test results is pretty serious
> >> stuff, btw. Do you have any solid evidence?
> >
> >
> > VEC test sessions are NOT monitored by anyone else DURING testing.
> > All that is monitored is the FCC scanning over test RESULTS sent to
> > them by the VEC teams.
> >
> > Now go back to the famous/infamous ARRL news page that showed two
> > darling six-year-olds who were reported to have "passed" written
> > tests for Novice and Technician, respectively. Now let any, repeat
> > ANY, first-grade teacher look at the written exam questions and
> > decide for themselves whether SIX YEAR OLDS can read those questions
> > well enough to answer even 70 percent of them. Just to READ them and
> > be able to choose any answer.
>
> There's a new one. In this months QST, (IIRC) there is a picture of a
> young lady holding something in her hand. The story goes on about how
> immediately after passing her test, she pulled one of her baby teeth out.
>
> Cute? I guess so. What's the point? I think that this kind of stuff is
> a concession to the people who think and proclaim that "We *have* to get
> the *children* involved in amateur radio!"
>
> I think this eventually does a disservice to the ARS. Is there some
> sort of contest to see who produces the youngest Ham?
>
> And you point out how difficult it would be for a first grader to read
> and comprehend the written tests. True enough
>
> Heres a sample question from the Technician pool, pulled up on one of
> the radio test web pages:

>
> In the far field, as the distance from the source increases, how does
> power density vary?
> A. The power density is proportional to the square of the distance
> B. The power density is proportional to the square root of the distance
> C. The power density is proportional to the inverse square of the distance
> D. The power density is proportional to the inverse cube of the distance
>
> Not even taking into account comprehension of the question and possible
> answers, (I want to hear a 6YO person explain proportional and square
> root and inverse and cube) what person in that age group could read
> those words at all?

Mike, it's much simpler than that. If one can recognize a sentence that happens to be the answer to a question that you can match up with it -all given in the question pool in advance- it doesn't matter a whit whether or not you can even pronounce the words, much less understand what they mean. I don't doubt at all the young lady passed the test fairly. Kids are really good at memory exercises such as that.

No doubt an adult was working with her and prompting her in the study sessions.

Dick

2837. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 01:09:42 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DEC1299.110F902B@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57027

W5TIT - Kim wrote:

> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3DEB92E7.4B7CC2E8@townsqr.com...
> >
> >
> > W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> >
> > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3DEB74B7.34EEC660@townsqr.com...
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > "Jackie L." wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3DEB5444.45417BBD@townsqr.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > > > Tech - 247,195 (increase of 41,801)
> > > > > >
> > > > > > This says that 41,801 newbies are awaiting full no-code HF.
> > > > >
> > > > > I'm one of those 41,801 newbies and I'm not "awaiting full no-code
> HF".
> > > It's
> > > > > > a bit difficult to lump over 41,000 people into one monolithic group
> and
> > > > > accurately attach the same motives to them all.
> > > >
> > > > You are absolutely right. I should have been less stingy with my
> > > verbiage and
> > > > said "most of whom.......".
> > > >
> > > > Unfortunately I fear there are far too few like you. Of course I
> could
> > > be
> > > > wrong, but experience tells me that I'm not.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Ya see, Jackie? He tried to let go of that paint brush, just for
> > > impression's sake of course. But, he just couldn't. First, he tries
> with
> > > the use of "most of whom" and I'm sure he sat there and it really bugged
> > > him; so he had to add back in with his "far too few like you."
> >

> > Why even bother, Kimshe? You say repeatedly that your ONLY interest in
> ham
> > radio has ever been is 2 meters and you haven't been on that in so long
> you
> > don't remember the last time. Why even keep a license and claim -pretend-
> to be
> > a ham?
> >
> > For damn sure you're NOTHING like Jackie. She's actually interested in
> the
> > hobby.
> >
> >
> > >
> > >
> > > Point also is that there's no need for someone to upgrade unless they
> want
> > > to.<<<<period
> > >
> >
> > You're clear proof of that. Among other things.
> >
> >
>
> **GASP** Ya've broken a record, Dick! I am glad to see that you felt I had
> important enough things to say that you took me out of your
> killfile...though with all the responses I've had from you I don't think I
> was ever there...

But you have nothing to say in response to my comments. We see.

I already told you that I look in on you from time to time when it's obvious you're slamming something I posted. Never fear, I won't waste all that much of my time on you. I've got much more interesting things to do.

2838. Re: Morse free license on HF in UK?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 01:32:14 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212021732.73401521@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57028

"K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:<1JwG9.11383$ta5.1338737@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote
>
> : >
> : > Of course not numbnuts. Note that my proposed qso has a precedent in
> : > RRAP, the night an improbable group of both NCTA and PCTA types got on
> : > 7.037 and had a ball. You couldn't get into it then and you still
> : > can't.
> :
> : Don't want to with the likes of you. Deal with it, but don't cry
> : about it.
> :
>
> http://www.polar-electric.com/morse/mrp40-en/index.html

Numbnuts/T5 couldn't even handle that, all it would do is fuel some other excuse.

w3rv

2839. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 02:05:40 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212021805.61461b9a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57029

Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<3DEBB101.2090404@psu.edu>...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> > In article <20021201183714.00112.00000794@mb-fz.aol.com>,
> > n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:
> >
> >
> >> In article <20021201133916.21441.00000449@mb-mc.aol.com>,
> >> j1aguiar@aol.com (J1aguiar) writes:
> >
> >
> >
> >> Accusing the VECs of falsifying test results is pretty serious
> >> stuff, btw. Do you have any solid evidence?
> >
> >
> > VEC test sessions are NOT monitored by anyone else DURING testing.
> > All that is monitored is the FCC scanning over test RESULTS sent to
> > them by the VEC teams.
> >
> > Now go back to the famous/infamous ARRL news page that showed two
> > darling six-year-olds who were reported to have "passed" written
> > tests for Novice and Technician, respectively. Now let any, repeat
> > ANY, first-grade teacher look at the written exam questions and
> > decide for themselves whether SIX YEAR OLDS can read those questions
> > well enough to answer even 70 percent of them. Just to READ them and
> > be able to choose any answer.
>
> There's a new one. In this months QST, (IIRC) there is a picture of a
> young lady holding something in her hand. The story goes on about how
> immediately after passing her test, she pulled one of her baby teeth out.
>
> Cute? I guess so. What's the point? I think that this kind of stuff is
> a concession to the people who think and proclaim that "We *have* to get
> the *children* involved in amateur radio!"
>
> I think this eventually does a disservice to the ARS. Is there some
> sort of contest to see who produces the youngest Ham?
>
> And you point out how difficult it would be for a first grader to read
> and comprehend the written tests. True enough
>
> Heres a sample question from the Technician pool, pulled up on one of
> the radio test web pages:
>

> In the far field, as the distance from the source increases, how does
> power density vary?
> A. The power density is proportional to the square of the distance
> B. The power density is proportional to the square root of the distance
> C. The power density is proportional to the inverse square of the distance
> D. The power density is proportional to the inverse cube of the distance
>
> Not even taking into account comprehension of the question and possible
> answers, (I want to hear a 6YO person explain proportional and square
> root and inverse and cube) what person in that age group could read
> those words at all?
>
> There may not be prompting, but I would be very surprised if there wasn't.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Which is why I say that Bruce's claim is not believable: Passed Extra
on a lark, without studying, without any lucky guessing, scored 100%,
in 8 minutes, reading every question, did the math in his head, and
took $250 from a couple of "CB-Plussers." Not hardly.

I don't know what level he reads at, but he writes at 3rd grade level,
except for the profanity and sex inuendo.

2840. Re: Well Im back on HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 02:06:59 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212021806.68a1e66d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57030

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021202140934.05437.00005485@mb-mq.aol.com>...
> >I was surprised how loud you were so early in the evening. Ill check again
> >about 8pm central after my MARS net.
> >
> >I was on my 'trusty' inverted L. 1 radial with a 1.3 to 1 SWR. Works
> >great.
>
> Ok sounds good Dan.

Riiight!

2841. A christemas poEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 02:09:40 UTC
From: r75man@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021202210940.27641.00009608@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 57031

Wuz de nite befo Crimmus. And all ower da hood. ereybody wuz' sleepin dey wuz sleepin' good.

We hunged up our stockins An hoped like de' heck That old Santa Clause Be bingin our check

All o'de fambily Wuz layin in de bed`s While Ripple and Thunderbird Danced through dey heads.

I passed out inna flo Right nex to my maw When I heard sech a fuss I thunk:"it mus be de law !

I looked out thru de bars What covered my doe spectin de sheriff Wiff a warrant fo sho.

And what did I see I said "Lawd look at dat ! Ther wuz a huge watermellon Pulled by giant warf rats.

Now ober all de years Santa Clause he be white But looks liken us bros Gets a black Santa dis nite.

Faster dan a po'lees car My home boy he came. He whupped on dem warf rats an called dem by name.

On Leroy on Lonzo And on Willie Lee On Saphire,on Chenequa Dey wuz a site to see.

As he landed dat watermellon Out der in da skreet I knowed it wuz fo 'sho' Da damndest site I ebber did see

He didnt go down no chimbley He picked da lock on my doe An I sez to myself "Shit he done dis befoe".

He had his big bag Full of presents I xpect Wid air Jordans and fake gold To wear roun my neck.

But he left no good prezents Jus started stealin my shit Got my drugs , got my guns Even got my burglars kit.

Wit my stuff in de bag Out de window he flewed I woudda tried to catch him but he stole my knife too.

He jumped on dat watermellon An whipped out a switch He wuz gone in a seccon Dat son of a bitch

Nex year I be hopin Anutha Sanna we get Cuz dis here Sanna Clause Jus ain`t werf a shit

R-75 Lardass

2842. Re: Dumbed down from what? (was re: Why are ONLY coders proud?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 02:15:52 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212021815.ef7f336@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57032

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DEB4A4D.C38D7347@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<uuhhprgbvu06b7@corp.supernews.com>...
> >
> > > I really don't have a problem with "incentive" licensing concepts or
> > > testing. I have a huge problem with the process by which the testing is
> > > done, and certainly have an even huger problem with arrogance on behalf of
> > > someone who thinks they're better than a lesser licensed person.
> >
> > For some, its all they've got.
>
> YOU come along and prove it, in spades. "They" gotta do nothing whatever, just wait for
you to open your
> yap.

Dixie, you must be taking writing lessons from Kelley. Do yourself a
favor and don't.

2843. Re: Again, to all the fake lloyd's

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 02:21:19 UTC
From: CW <clinton.magers@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <zyUG9.210742$%m4.75304@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 57033
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Plonk! Gotcha!. Just like swatting flies.
"Firebottle" <Dafirebottle@aol.com> wrote in message
news:edaa3c2a.0212020746.32571d34@posting.google.com...
> "R-75 MAN" <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com> wrote in message
news:<3dead9c9$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com>...
> > I'm gonna tell you again to stop posting in my name. I will be
contacting
> > AOL this week. To the people using my name in vain on AOL, when I get
done
> > with you, you are gonna wish you never created that screen name.
> >
> > For the record - for everyone else.
> >
> > 1. I use MSN as my e-mail account
> > 2. I stopped using AOL back in June 2001
> > 3. I no longer use Terranews.com for my Usenet access. (MSN does not
> > provide Usenet access)
> >
> > So anyone else using those above ISP's - you can tell it is a fake
Lloyd.
> >
> > I would appreicate any help in stopping the abuse of my greatness.
> >
> > Lloyd Davies - The real McCoy!
>
> Call Christy D and Jim Davies, I'm "sure" that they will use their
> expertise to help you win over evil..................
>
> In the meantime, get a life.
>
> Fake Lloyd indeed......your spelling is waaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaaay to
> good to be the "Reel Lloyd"
>
> Firebottle
```

2844. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 02:39:23 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021202213923.06624.00000167@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 57034

In article <3DEBB101.2090404@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:

>In this months QST, (IIRC) there is a picture of a
>young lady holding something in her hand. The story goes on about how
>immediately after passing her test, she pulled one of her baby teeth out.
>
> Cute? I guess so. What's the point? I think that this kind of stuff is

>a concession to the people who think and proclaim that "We *have* to get
>the *children* involved in amateur radio!"

Not really. Children lose their baby teeth over a period of years. I know 9 year olds who still have some of their "baby" teeth. The girl in the picture could be 10, for all we know.

Some children are interested in radio at a very young age. I was interested in electricity and radio as far back as I can remember. Is that somehow wrong?

> I think this eventually does a disservice to the ARS. Is there some
>sort of contest to see who produces the youngest Ham?

Nope. Neither has there ever been an age limit. Nor has the licensing of young children EVER been shown to be a problem.
>
> And you point out how difficult it would be for a first grader to read
>and comprehend the written tests. True enough

There is NO, repeat NO, requirement that a person taking the test actually comprehend it. All they have to do is get enough right answers and they get a license. Been that way for at least 40 years (FCC went all-multiple-choice in the ham written exams about 1961).

It's true that most firstgraders/six-year-olds (the two are not synonymous) could not even read most of the written test. But there are obviously SOME six-year olds who can read enough of it to pass. And that's all that is required, according to the FCC.
>
> Heres a sample question from the Technician pool, pulled up on one of
>the radio test web pages:
>
>In the far field, as the distance from the source increases, how does
>power density vary?
>A. The power density is proportional to the square of the distance
>B. The power density is proportional to the square root of the distance
>C. The power density is proportional to the inverse square of the distance
>D. The power density is proportional to the inverse cube of the distance
>

> Not even taking into account comprehension of the question and possible

>answers, (I want to hear a 6YO person explain proportional and square
>root and inverse and cube) what person in that age group could read
>those words at all?

Can you or anyone guarantee that NO six year old could read the above?

Even if you could, what if that question was not on that particular ham's test? Or if it was, the ham could have gotten it wrong and still passed on other questions, as K0HB points out.

Look at the question pool in its entirety, not just one sample. Also, even a pure random guess has a 25% chance of being right. All that's needed are enough right answers, and a license is granted by FCC. If there is something wrong with that system, it's FCC's fault, not the fault of those who pass the tests. None of it is not proof of any wrongdoing.
>
> There may not be prompting, but I would be very surprised if there
wasn't.

What proof do you or anyone else have of any wrongdoing?

To accuse a VEC of wrongdoing without any evidence other than "I don't believe it" is simply illogical. I have known children who learned to read before they were 4 years old. Did they fully comprehend what they read? Of course not! But they could read, nonetheless. And the developmental accomplishments in the 2 years between 4 and 6 are enormous.

I have seen children 3 years old walk up to a computer, turn it on, enter their password, put in a CD ROM, enter various commands and start playing a game - completely unassisted. Do they fully understand the process? Of course not! Can ALL 3 year olds learn to do the same thing? Of course not! But the ones I saw understand enough to get the result they want.

Perhaps some people (not you, Mike) are just jealous of others' accomplishments....

73 de Jim, N2EY

2845. Re: 13 CM BAND SURVEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 02:46:49 UTC
From: Fred <fred@clara.net>
Message-ID: <SPUG9.22631$EY.3002@fe01>
Source record: 57035
Cross-posted to: alt.ham-radio.uwave,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.space,rec.radio.amateur.misc

"Jim" <goodmail@goodmail.com> wrote in message
news:92D8C68CBidtoken@207.217.77.25...
> 13 CM BAND SURVEY
>
> INTRODUCTION
>
> I AM CONDUCTING AN AD HOC SURVEY TO LEARN ABOUT THE AMATEUR
> RADIO COMMUNITY'S UTILIZATION LEVEL, TYPE OF USE, AND GENERAL
> EQUIPMENT CAPABILITY IN EACH OF THE BAND SEGMENTS, 2300 TO 2310
> AND 2400 TO 2450 MHZ. I AM ALSO INTERESTED IN LEARNING ABOUT
> INTERFERENCE SITUATIONS TO AND FROM UNLICENSED SPREAD SPECTRUM
> USERS OPERATING ABOVE 2400 MHZ.

{Remainder deleted}

This newsgroup [alt.ham-radio.uwave] gets less than two dozen legitimate posts per year. The Spam sent to this group is far in excess of any real posts here. Therefore if you want accurate information, this message should be posted to other active Amateur newsgroups.

Besides, it appears that this study might be done for the benefit of your employer, Black and Veatch, Inc. It certainly reads much like someone wanting to usurp this ham band for commercial use. Low participation to this questionnaire, caused by posting to a seldom used Usenet group, is certainly not grounds to claim this Amateur Radio band is not used.
I have not seen this questionnaire on any of the Microwave mailing lists where the true microwave hams congregate.

Does the ARRL know about your survey?

2846. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 03:00:20 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021202220020.19670.00000516@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 57036

>Which is why I say that Bruce's claim is not believable: Passed Extra
>on a lark, without studying, without any lucky guessing, scored 100%,
>in 8 minutes, reading every question, did the math in his head, and
>took $250 from a couple of "CB-Plussers." Not hardly.

You dont have to believe it Brian, it doesnt matter what you believe, facts are facts and you cant handle it. I think the only reason you dont believe it is because your to stupid to pass it.

2847. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 03:04:05 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021202220405.27794.00009338@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 57038

>Here's the big question: Why waste your time arguing with the few who
>post such drivel? There are lots of other folks to debate.
>
>Main points of my posting these numbers:
>
>1) Discussion
>2) They will be in USENET archives essentially forever
>
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY
Its off topic (of policy) so by definition its a troll. And, it a very large, long running troll.

And, no, I am not a creep or putz as N2EY accuses those that disagree with him.

2848. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 03:04:07 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021202220407.19670.00000517@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 57037

>There is NO, repeat NO, requirement that a person taking the test actually
>comprehend it. All they have to do is get enough right answers and they get a
>license.

Exactly ,and thats what makes the present written, and the whole testing thing
a joke.

2849. Re: Well Im back on HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 03:05:08 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021202220508.19670.00000518@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 57039

>Riiight!

Well it is aparent that Brian cant operate 160 either

2850. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 03:13:18 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021202221318.27794.00009342@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 57040

>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>> Heres a sample question from the Technician pool, pulled up on one of
>>the radio test web pages:
>>
>>In the far field, as the distance from the source increases, how does
>>power density vary?
>>A. The power density is proportional to the square of the distance
>>B. The power density is proportional to the square root of the distance
>>C. The power density is proportional to the inverse square of the distance
>>D. The power density is proportional to the inverse cube of the distance

Keeping in mind, I did not see the answer, I will take a risk and (educated) guess C - proportional to inverse square of the distance. Correct me if i'm wrong.

2851. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 03:14:13 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <9kVG9.1469$hM3.196767@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57041

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote

:
: Exactly ,and thats what makes the present written, and the whole testing
thing
: a joke.
:

"Beware of the man who works hard to learn
something, learns it, and finds himself no wiser
than before. He is full of murderous resentment
of people who are ignorant without having come
by their ignorance the hard way." -- Bokonon

2852. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 03:24:07 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DEC23D7.3518151B@mailcity.net>
Source record: 57045

Dick Carroll wrote:

> >
> > Not everyone revolves their whole life around ham radio like you
> > and some others dickie. Most hams have a real life and treat ham
> > radio for what is is, a hobby to be pursued at leisure and have
> > fun instead of making it the only thing they have to live for.
>
> Translation: I really couldn't care less what happens to ham radio, after all it's no
> more than CB these days anyway. If you do, tough stuff, live with the Grate Dumdown.

I didn't know you felt that way dickieboy.

2853. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 03:36:48 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021202223648.13494.00000829@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 57042

In article <asaidu$9qm$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>, "Bill Sohl"
<billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>But the point is that being "fairly technical" did not
>encompass the scope of knowledge that constituted all of
>radio technology at the time (1950s and earlier).

Nor did it at earlier times.
>
>All that changed in the '50s and '60s.
>
>Two things changed:
>(1) The availability of cheaper commercially available rigs, and
>(2) The body of knowledge that constituted electronics and
>the application of electronics began to grow tremendously.

Agreed on both counts.
>
>> >> Yet it was in late '52 that FCC gutted its incentive licensing program!
>> >
>> >Go figure.
>>
>> Yup. Nobody seems to know why it was done. Here are two possibilities:
>>
>> 1) Requiring an Extra for HF 'phone was considered too much, and so the
>FCC
>> went in the opposite direction
>> 2) FCC thought that allowing more hams to use HF phone would promote
>> development and use of SSB
>
>Someone must have the original documentation that went with the
>FCC R&O that was issued by the FCC in late '52. What
>was written about the change in QST?

Not much, but it boils down to this:

1) There had been "incentive licensing" for 20 years prior to the "Great
Giveaway" of December 1952.
2) FCC had developed the 1951 restructuirng over a period of four years, but
then quickly abandoned it in 1952.
3) ARRL BoD opposed the December 1952 change, but was overruled by FCC.
4) FCC was convinced that hams would progress technically without license
incentives
5) FCC also opened 40 meters to 'phone at almost the exact same time, and
announced that when 15 was opened for ham use it would have a sizable 'phone
band

FCC's reasons included:
- Promoting SSB use by hams
- Facilitating use of 'phone in emergency, public service and CD work
- TVI reduction (almost all Generals and Conditionals on 'phone used 10 meters)
- Facilitating mobile HF work (hams were first allowed to use mobile below 25 MHz in 1949)
- No longer seeing a need to require a high level of technical knowledge to operate a phone transmitter

>> >> A ham in the '30s and '40s had to be "technical" even to get
>> >> build a rig and get it on the air - or very rich. Even the prewar
>> >manufactured
>> >> stuff required some serious know-how in order to be used. Postwar
>> >affluence and
>> >> mass production changed all that.
>> >
>> >We're still in agreement.
>>
>> Incentive licensing wasn;t going to change that trend,
>> either. How many EE hams
>> build their own rigs today?
>
>Exactly.

Well, there's me. Anybody else?

>> Exactly. Often the faulty board is not even repaired because a new one
>isn't
>> much more expensive. PCs are the perfect example - boards, drives and
>power
>> supplies are considered components and rarely if ever repaired.
>
>In the PC arena, the equipment also is almost obsolete the day
>it is sold as new because the next generation of PC boards is
>already in the production planning stages.

I would not say "obsolete" at all. In fact, the PC market works the other way - due to a high level of standardization and modularization, parts of computers are easily replaced without much technical knowledge. Heck, I've assembled complete PCs from a pile of parts with just a crewdriver. No soldering, no hardware adjustments other than a few dip switches and pin jumpers. Imagine if ham rigs were like that!

>> >> And what it really comes down to is this: If ham radio is
>> >> cconfined to those who have lots
>> >> of technical focus and ability, it will be a very small service.
>> >
>> >Agree too. And even when you go back to the "early" days of
>> >ham radio, not every ham was the local super tech. Many hams
>> >were quite content to be outstanding operators.
>>
>> This divide goes all the way back to pre WW1. Some hams were concerned
>with

>> pushing the technology, while others were concerned with seeing what the
>> technology they had could do. Both are needed.
>
>Agree 100%. Indeed, if all hams were only in it for
>the technology then we'd have no emergency response
>capability which is also a prime reason for ham radio.

Public service in general, not just emergencies. NYC Marathon, for example.
>
>> >> How many hams would there be, and what
>> >> technology would we be using, if there
>> >> were no more code tests - but all of us had to homebrew all our
>equipment?
>> >
>> >I'd be gone tomorrow. I have NO desire to homebrew a reciever
>> >or transmitter.
>>
>> I've done several of each, plus transceivers. That doesn't mean all hams
>>have to.
>
>Agree again.
>
>> > My TS-690 fits neatly on a small shelf on my home office
>> >desk and I couldn't come close to homebrewing the full range
>> >of capabilities, functionality and frequency coverage that the rig
>> >provides me.
>>
>> And even if you could build a TS-690 equivalent at home from scratch, how
>>long would it take and how much would it cost to buy all the parts?
>
>Yup! And the biggest issue of the two (cost and time) for
>me these days is time.

That's another difference between the 30s/40s and today. In the days of simple rigs built with a few large parts, a ham could build a station with a few hand tools in a short time. Changes and modifications were easily made. But as designs grew more complex and miniaturization became all the go, the time-to-assemble soared. And debugging became more time consuming as well. Kits helped out by doing all the metalwork (and PC work) for you.

Just think what would be needed to build that TS-690 equivalent. First you'd need a basic circuit design. Then precision metalwork, PC board layouts, and custom parts. Software would need to be developed, too. Once assembled, the unit would need to be tested and aligned. A lot of work to do in your basement in your spare time!

>Cheers and I hope everyone had a safe and wonderful
>Thanksgiving with family and/or friends.

Hope yours was great, too, Bill.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2854. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 03:40:32 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DEC35F3.32FF9025@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57043

Vshah101 wrote:

> >Here's the big question: Why waste your time arguing with the few who
> >post such drivel? There are lots of other folks to debate.
> >
> >Main points of my posting these numbers:
> >
> >1) Discussion
> >2) They will be in USENET archives essentially forever
> >
> >
> >73 de Jim, N2EY
> Its off topic (of policy) so by definition its a troll. And, it a very large,
> long running troll.
>
> And, no, I am not a creep or putz as N2EY accuses those that disagree with him.

Get a load of this character, will ya. Gotta be the only possible candidate dumber than the dynamic duo Slim and Kimshe.....

Cootie boy's clone fer sure

2855. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 04:42:08 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021202234208.27794.00009363@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 57044

From: Dick Carroll
>> Tech - 247,195 (increase of 41,801)
>
>This says that 41,801 newbies are awaiting full no-code HF. Along with a few
>of
>the other 241,000. The rest couldn't care less about ham radio, having found
>it
>"boring" and have already dumped their HT's.
This statistic does not say anything about the motivation of those that got the
license.

However, you're right. Ham Radio currently IS boring. It is meaningless and
people get tired of it. The initial novelty of HF wears off.

>Or why stop there? Extra's just a few Question
>Pool memorizations away at this point. And most of the other 74,235 have just
>lost interest.
Exactly. It has nothing to do with technical skills or even interest. Its so
easy (for those that sacrificed their soul to Morse code), so why not?

> To me the license stats above, coupled by the attitudes and lack of
>motivation
>of some of the licensed posters here who have shown no interest in taking
>advantage of the easier upgrades, seem to pretty well confirm that
>supposition.
>Seems to them ham radio consists of posting drivel to rrap.

I have made my pitch at a few local clubs. The very small percentage that are
even open to these other possible activities never materialize (end up being
too busy, change their mind, or whatever).

I have made that sincere effort. Also, I was open to the possibilities. At
first, I thought it was just a bad club, so, foolishly, I tried another. Few
clubs and Hamfests later, I have begun to understand what "Ham" radio really
is. Ham Radio is being part of "something". Ham Radio is now an applicance
operator's club.

2856. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 08:56:42 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212030056.5d0bd9c6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57046

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20021202223648.13494.00000829@mb-mj.aol.com>...
> >
>

> 5) FCC also opened 40 meters to 'phone at almost the exact same time, and
> announced that when 15 was opened for ham use it would have a sizable 'phone
> band
>
> FCC's reasons included:
> - Promoting SSB use by hams
> - Facilitating use of 'phone in emergency, public service and CD work
> - TVI reduction (almost all Generals and Conditionals on 'phone used 10 meters)

"TVI reduction"? Yeah, right. 15M being the standard IF freq of the TV
rcvrs of those days. Whatta disaster THAT was. Musta been one of
Brainiac's precursors who came up with that one.

> >> build their own rigs today?
> >
> >Exactly.
>
> Well, there's me. Anybody else?

Deafening silence . . .

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

2857. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 08:58:16 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021203035816.24190.00000163@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 57047

>Subject: Re: Just had to repost this its so true
>From: "Kï¿½HB" kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG
>Date: 12/2/02 12:55 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <C0OG9.654$kz2.94300@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

>There are already enough "Spelling Kops" and "Radio Kops" and "Grammar Kops"
>and other such stuppa* around here, so I haven't applied for a badge.

Oooooooh...but you HAVE, Hans...You HAVE! By virtue of having presumed to publicly humiliate another over their perceived "kop" status, you set yourself up for your OWN shiny, embossed badge!

It's yours! Shine it!...Wear it!...Show it to your friends!...You EARNED it!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2858. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 09:11:46 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212030111.54b7b366@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57048

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20021202130700.22850.00000805@mb-fa.aol.com>...
>
> DSP (Digital Signal Processing) uses "40 bits?!?" I don't
> think so, ace.

Catch up with the JRC NRD-545 swl rcvr IF DSP Putz. I have one of those.

2859. More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 09:20:18 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021203042018.24190.00000164@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 57049

>Subject: Re: Would have been Extra sooner
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 12/2/02 12:07 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021202130701.22850.00000806@mb-fa.aol.com>

>>Accusing the VECs of falsifying test results is pretty serious stuff, btw.
>Do
>>you have any solid evidence?
>
> VEC test sessions are NOT monitored by anyone else DURING
> testing.

Wrong.

Please list the VE sessions that you have atteneded/participated in from
which to make such a proclimation.

>Now go back to the famous/infamous ARRL news page that
> showed two darling six-year-olds who were reported to have
> "passed" written tests for Novice and Technician, respectively.
> Now let any, repeat ANY, first-grade teacher look at the
> written exam questions and decide for themselves whether
> SIX YEAR OLDS can read those questions well enough to
> answer even 70 percent of them. Just to READ them and
> be able to choose any answer.

No one said they had to UNDERSTAND the questions OR the answers, Len. The
FCC, in all it's wisdom, took that away when it "legalized" verbatim Q & A
manuals.

>If you say "yes they can, because...[for any reason]" then
> you MUST acknowledge that (1) You don't have slightest
> familiarity with six-year-olds' reading/writing comprehension;
> or (2) You think anything labeled/stamped/categorized as
> "ham radio material" is somehow "special" over and above
> any other subject matter; or (3) Any child who is "involved" in
> any way with amateur radio is somehow a "gifted child" or a
> "child prodigy." All of them are nonsense, ridiculous because
> of a way-too-strong identification (beyond rationality) with
> amateur radio.

As pointed out above, you have forgotten the other option...The child
memorized enough of the questions from rote memorization to be able to "answer"
the questions. This is NOT cheating under present FCC/VEC rules as long as the
applicant does not use said "study guide" during the test itself.

>ARRL was being over-indulgent in that bit of "news" fluff and
> the VEC group who did the alleged testing thought it all
> cutesy-poo from a kindly grandfatherly feeling to kiddies
> (picture included one of the VEC proctors, smiling and no
> doubt feeling "proud" over the kiddies' "accomplishments."

And WTF are YOU to determine that this might not be a career-inspiring moment for these kids? I'd rather see 10,000 under-12 licensees than ONE of them out playing with drugs, illegal guns or premarital sex.

>I accuse ARRL of very mild fraud on the part of condoning such
> such things and hypocrisy on the implication of passing a ham
> written exam as a sort of academic certificate

How dare you "accuse" anyone of ANY kind of "fraud" who took advantage of codified regulations to get what they want. These are the FCC's rules...NOT any the ARRL championed or sponsored.

>In the latter, if
> barely-able-to-read-and-write six-year-olds can read and mark
> with even reasonable comprehension, then the written exam is
> a SHAM...even under pre-restructuring standards

Notice that we are (sort of) agreeing, albeit for differing reasons, Len? Actually, the TEST itself (content, manner of administration) is quite appropriate...It's the open selling of "study guides" that give away the answers verbatim that is a "sham".

>No MORE evidence is needed...the evidence has already been
> presented although sugar-coated with parental pride and the
> usual propaganda of ARRL being all good things to US amateur
> radio and never making mistakes or illegalities

What mistakes? What "illegalities"...?!?! Please show where the ARRL (or anyone acting as thier agent, ie the VE's) conducted the test improperly. Were you there? Is there corroborating evidence that the kids used "crib sheets" DURING the test? You KNOW this for a FACT...???

>On the charge of deliberate FALSIFICATION for whatever reason,
> ARRL VEC is GUILTY.

What did they falsify? And in what what jurisdiction have you been appointed or elected to the bench from which to issue a "verdict" of "guilty" or "innocent"...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

2860. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 09:37:18 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021203043718.24190.00000165@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 57050

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>Date: 12/2/02 9:40 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3DEC35F3.32FF9025@townsqr.com>

>Vshah101 wrote:

>> And, no, I am not a creep or putz as N2EY accuses those that disagree with
>him.

>Get a load of this character, will ya. Gotta be the only possible candidate
>dumber
>than the dynamic duo Slim and Kimshe

Notice that he's been unable/unwilling to post anything of any note since we learned his real identity?

What's with these people? Here's the greatest boon to "free speech" since the Bill of Rights was drafted, and they think the only way they can "honestly express" themselves is anonymously? How did American's "honestly express" themselves BEFORE the Internet?

The kid probably had some points to make, but he tried to out-talk his walk, and he stumbled. There's no dishonor is expressing an idea and being proven wrong...But there IS dishonor in making allegations and not having the "stuff" to back it up.

Vipul, you have much to learn. Please take the opportunity to do so.

Steve, K4YZ

2861. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 09:52:23 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021203045223.24190.00000166@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 57051

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 12/2/02 10:42 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021202234208.27794.00009363@mb-mf.aol.com>

>However, you're right. Ham Radio currently IS boring. It is meaningless and
>people get tired of it. The initial novelty of HF wears off.

If the licensee ONLY operates HF, and the licensee NEVER takes the time to explor all there is to explore in Amateur Radio, then indeed it may be "boring" to THAT person.

However you make a very inappropriate and unfounded allegation that, on the face of it, would make it appeara s if ALL Amateur Radio is "boring". THAT is UNTRUE.

Amateur Radio is anything BUT "meaningless".

>I have made that sincere effort. Also, I was open to the possibilities. At
>first, I thought it was just a bad club, so, foolishly, I tried another. Few
>clubs and Hamfests later, I have begun to understand what "Ham" radio really
>is. Ham Radio is being part of "something". Ham Radio is now an applicance
>operator's club.

So...In only ONE year of licensure as an Amateur Radio operator, you have sufficient "evidence" to make such a damning claim? Since the folks at these clubs do not like what YOU like, ALL Amateur Radio is "boring"...?"!?!

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! ! ! ! !

VIPUL! Believe it or not, Amateur Radio has not marked time for 80+ years waiting for YOU to come around and make your judgements of it!

If someone obtains an Amateur Radio license and then only pursuses ONE aspect of its many options, that's THEIR loss!

And if YOU continue to mope around with your head between your legs because these "clubs" are not embracing YOUR "wisdom" over what should and should ot be fun, then OH WELL! ! ! !

Even in the age of Internet and "Game Boys", I find Amatuer Radio to be anything BUT "boring". But that's because I don't sit around waiting for someone to come to me with things to do! I get out and do them!

"Ham Radio" is what the individual licensee makes of it! Now...STOP that childish moping and MOVE ON!

Steve, K4YZ

2862. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 10:00:25 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021203050025.24190.00000167@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 57052

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 12/3/02 3:37 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021203043718.24190.00000165@mb-cv.aol.com>

>>Vshah101 wrote:
>
>>> And, no, I am not a creep or putz as N2EY accuses those that disagree with
>>him.

One other thing, Vipul...You have been told before about getting your attributions correct. Jim Miccolis, N2EY, >>NEVER<< called you a "creep" or a "putz". I did. And part of the reason I did was your frequent erroneous, ill-concieved allegations, just like THIS one.

You owe Jim Miccolis an apology.

Steve, K4YZ

2863. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 11:15:41 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212030315.6eb2bb8e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57053

vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) wrote in message
news:<20021202220405.27794.00009338@mb-mf.aol.com>...

Actually, I (N2EY) wrote the following "big question":

> >Here's the big question: Why waste your time arguing with the few who
> >post such drivel? There are lots of other folks to debate.
> >
> >Main points of my posting these numbers:
> >
> >1) Discussion
> >2) They will be in USENET archives essentially forever
> >
> >
> >73 de Jim, N2EY

> Its off topic (of policy) so by definition its a troll.

What is off topic?

> And, it a very large,
> long running troll.

ARS license numbers?
>
> And, no, I am not a creep or putz as N2EY accuses those that disagree with
> him.

You are mistaken. That's just not my style. You are confusing me with
someone else.

I challenge you to produce even one example of where I referred to
anyone as a "creep" or a "putz".

Can you do it? I think not.

N2EY

2864. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 11:16:45 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212030316.3ccbea3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57054

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DEC0E7B.326705D8@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> >
> > I'm reminded of a very vociferous Extra that demands "Code For All"
> > because there might be an emergency where only Code will pull it off,
> > who couldn't get himself near enough to a factory built radio and
> > commercial power to accept an invitation to operate in his favorite
> > mode. There's just not enough interest.
>
> I'm reminded of a very vociferous Novice who sat on his duff screaming "No code for me, I
> know more than the FCC, ARRL and all the rest of 'em. I'm right and I'll prove it if it
keeps
> me off the air forever!" There just wasn't enough intelligence.

Speaking of not enough intelligence, I wonder how this Extra came up
with a No-Code Novice? There never was such a critter. Maybe that's
what happens when your brain starts drying out.

2865. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 11:21:02 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212030321.5af34f84@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57055

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DEC0FA4.BF10EEAD@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DEB4E35.F5466334@townsqr.com>...
> > > N2EY wrote:
> > >
> > > >Of course some of us can still figure out how to put up a simple wire antenna,
>
> > > > feed it and get on the air.
> > >
> > > But not the Burke Turkey. He's gotta have "advice".
> > >
> > > Surprise = ZERO.
> >
> > The end fed half wave didn't appear so simple to Dick. He had no
> > advice
>
> For you I have no advice, that's true. You have no idea what to do with advice. No one
here
> would waste bother to waste any of it on you.

Dixie, good after the fact cover story. Maybe you were just too far
away from your factory radio and commercial again. Long live Morse
Code. pffft.

2866. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 11:28:45 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212030328.7d7cfbb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57056

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021202220020.19670.00000516@mb-ba.aol.com>...
> >Which is why I say that Bruce's claim is not believable: Passed Extra
> >on a lark, without studying, without any lucky guessing, scored 100%,
> >in 8 minutes, reading every question, did the math in his head, and
> >took $250 from a couple of "CB-Plussers." Not hardly.
>
> You dont have to believe it Brian, it doesnt matter what you believe, facts
> are facts and you cant handle it. I think the only reason you dont believe it
> is because your to stupid to pass it.

So now you have facts? All I've heard were unsubstantiated and unbelievable boasts.

You can present your facts at any time. Like now.

2867. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 11:46:12 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212030346.5897ed58@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57057

"K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:<C0OG9.654$kz2.94300@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> "Spelling Kop" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> :
> : 1369. What's yours?
> :
>
> There are already enough "Spelling Kops" and "Radio Kops" and "Grammar Kops"
> and other such stuppa* around here, so I haven't applied for a badge.
>
> With all kind wishes,
>
> de Hans, K0HB

The point isn't his spelling. The point is he tells everyone that
they're stupid. With 3rd grade prose.

2868. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 12:48:53 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021203074853.01106.00009512@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 57058

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY)
>Date: 12/3/02 5:15 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <c2356669.0212030315.6eb2bb8e@posting.google.com>

>I challenge you to produce even one example of where I referred to
>anyone as a "creep" or a "putz".

Please note my comments to Vipul under another thread.

>Can you do it? I think not.

Let's see if he's as quick to offer an apology as he is to insult and
misquote.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2869. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 12:51:43 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021203075143.14881.00000375@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 57060

In article <d22b54c3.0212030056.5d0bd9c6@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20021202223648.13494.00000829@mb-mj.aol.com>...
>> >
>>
>
>> 5) FCC also opened 40 meters to 'phone at almost the exact same time, and
>> announced that when 15 was opened for ham use it would have a sizable
>'phone
>> band
>>
>> FCC's reasons included:

Addition to the list: Compensation for loss of 160 meters, which was a very popular prewar 'phone band and open to all prewar hams.

>> - Promoting SSB use by hams
>> - Facilitating use of 'phone in emergency, public service and CD work
>> - TVI reduction (almost all Generals and Conditionals on 'phone used 10
>meters)
>
>"TVI reduction"? Yeah, right.

That was one of the reasons.

Back in those days, non-Class A hams congregated on 10 meters to use 'phone because 160 was all chewed up with LORAN and power restrictions. Plus mobile on 10 was very popular.

This was in the days of plate modulated AM rigs running Class C. Any second harmonic at all would mess up Channel 2 bigtime. Third harmonic would take out Channel 6.

btw, the late Phil Rand, W1DBM, demonstrated that a Class C AM rig could be cleaned up to the point of "no TVI". He dramatized this by setting up a 100-watt class 10 meter AM rig and a TV set connected to the SAME ANTENNA, through appropriate filters. Clean picture on the TV while Phil chatted on 10. Convincing, huh?

>15M being the standard IF freq of the TV
>rcvrs of those days. Whatta disaster THAT was. Musta been one of
>Brainiac's precursors who came up with that one.

Was so bad that some mfrs. sold kits to move the IF to 41 MHz.

But 15 meters was not authorized to US hams for another year.
>
>> >> build their own rigs today?
>> >
>> >Exactly.
>>
>> Well, there's me. Anybody else?
>
>Deafening silence . . .

Don't forget Dan Tayloe, N7VE and his revolutionary NorCal 30 transceiver. That really is a "red hot radio".

73 de Jim, N2EY

2870. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 12:51:44 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021203075144.14881.00000376@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 57059

In article <20021202220407.19670.00000517@mb-ba.aol.com>, wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) writes:

>>There is NO, repeat NO, requirement that a person taking the test actually
>>comprehend it. All they have to do is get enough right answers and they get
>>a
>>license.
>
> Exactly ,and thats what makes the present written, and the whole testing
>thing
>a joke.
>
The written tests went all-multiple-choice 40 years ago
Dick Bash published his books about 30 years ago
The Q&A pools became public almost 20 years ago

If it's so bad, what are you doing to fix it?

N2EY

2871. Re: FCC Rules For Hams Using Spread Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 14:01:42 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212030601.6145455@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57061

N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0212020559.720b7d34@posting.google.com>...
> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message

>
> There's been no code test needed for full access to all hambands for
> the past 11-1/2 years.

You mean full access above 30Mc. right?

> And a lot of the shouting was about SS on HF.
> Don't you remember how it was demonstrated that a single QRP DSSS
> station on, say, 15 meters would raise the apparent narrowband noise
> level for miles around?

Of course.

> Simple thought experiment:

. . . .

> Suppose that the process gain from spreading permits A to reduce power
> by 100 times (another 20 dB). The noise is then reduced to S9 over the
> 250 kHz wide slice. Stations C, D, E and any others with similar
> propagation just had their S0 noise level raised to S9 by a single QRP
> station. Thanks a lot.

Not exactly rocket science.

>
> FHSS? If it's slow, maybe your noise blanker will take it out. If it's
> fast, think key clicks.
>
> The pundits had no answer to the above thought experiment except that
> C, D and E should go SS or leave the air.

Idiots. That's what got my back up, din do anything to "endear" the
NCI position on code tests to me . . real political geniuses . .

> Guess who was a major player in the '99 changes? ARRL. FCC got maybe
> two dozen comments.

Offhand I'll guess the League didn't "dipstick" the level of interest
in ham SS right, they still have a 1991 $20 tome on the subject on
their store bookshelves. Must be getting a bit dusty by now. Unless
they're selling copies to Ma Bell engineers.

> You watch, somebody like G3PLX will come up with a freeware soundcard
> implementation of digivoice that sounds good and works well in
> SSB-or-less bandwidth.

That would be supported. But as was the case when ssb replaced AM on the HF bands the stuff would have to have some very noteworthy advantage over ssb to become widely used. PSK31 works very well and has been around for over a decade, but it's still a novelty mode and hasn't "replaced" anything. Maybe it's nibbling at RTTY but I dunno.

> > investment & coordination ain't gonna happen either. On a strictly
> > point-to-point basis SS would work but so do 2m FM simplex for voice
> > and the Internet for binaries.
>
> Bottom line: It needs doers, not talkers.

Right - and that's the only real problem SS has with getting on the ham bands at least for development and "market research" purposes. I wouldn't have a problem in the world with the FCC if they set aside maybe 100Khz of one of the high UHF bands for SS. *IF* some credible group got really serious about it. The TAPR SS project got underway in '91, nothing done since '97 or so. Thud.

>
> > > All that's left is process gain.
> >
> > Ya mean like cw & PSK31?
>
> I mean like Shannon & Poisson.

The Poisson Pit of huge numbers . . ping, ping my NB often & loudly. Screw that . . "Poisson" has a whole different connotation in the mech eng biz.

> I think one reason ATV and SSTV remain niche modes is the difficulty
> of coming up with large amounts of visual stuff to send.

I think the minimal number of ATV comms is another example of trying to duplicate what works in commerce not grabbing much attention in hamdom.

How 'bout RRAP on live TV instead of 7.037? "OK, now cut to The Putz for his latest piece of bufoonery . . "

> That's you. I like a good CW ragchew with an op that knows how ta make
> the paddles sing. Different communications medium, that's all.
>
> Like the way opera should be in Italian - sings better.

Ugh! Talk abt the fat broad singing . .

> Or the way
> rock music is meant to be played on Fenders and Gibsons, while folk
> music is meant to be played on Martins (guitars).

I almost went to work for Martin, decided I didn't wanna relocate to Andrettiville. Woulda been an interesting gig. Anybody who thinks Martin git-fiddles are hand-made has a surprise coming when they visit the Martin factory, the place is automated out the wazoo.

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

2872. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 14:09:29 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DECC95C.84CA6F75@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57062

Brian wrote:

> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DEC0E7B.326705D8@townsqr.com>...
> > Brian wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > I'm reminded of a very vociferous Extra that demands "Code For All"
> > > because there might be an emergency where only Code will pull it off,
> > > who couldn't get himself near enough to a factory built radio and
> > > commercial power to accept an invitation to operate in his favorite
> > > mode. There's just not enough interest.
> >
> > I'm reminded of a very vociferous Novice who sat on his duff screaming "No code for me,
I
> > know more than the FCC, ARRL and all the rest of 'em. I'm right and I'll prove it if it
keeps
> > me off the air forever!" There just wasn't enough intelligence.
>
> Speaking of not enough intelligence, I wonder how this Extra came up
> with a No-Code Novice? There never was such a critter.

You, Slim, are quite good at inventing categories to suit your own personal ideal of what
is
"needed". So you invented the No Code Novice for yourself. Now you can't seem to get used
to being
one, but for sure you are.

> Maybe that's
> what happens when your brain starts drying out.

Could be, maybe you can keep us posted with a running account of what it's like.

2873. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 14:11:40 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DECC9E0.D9DE00D5@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57063

Brian wrote:

> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DEC0FA4.BF10EEAD@townsqr.com>...
> > Brian wrote:
> >
> > > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DEB4E35.F5466334@townsqr.com>...
> > > > N2EY wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >Of course some of us can still figure out how to put up a simple wire antenna,
> >
> > > > > feed it and get on the air.
> > > >
> > > > But not the Burke Turkey. He's gotta have "advice".
> > > >
> > > > Surprise = ZERO.
> > >
> > > The end fed half wave didn't appear so simple to Dick. He had no
> > > advice
> >
> > For you I have no advice, that's true. You have no idea what to do with advice. No one
here
> > would waste bother to waste any of it on you.
>
> Dixie, good after the fact cover story. Maybe you were just too far
> away from your factory radio and commercial again. Long live Morse
> Code. pffft.

Ah, I know! Why didn't I think of it before? I could have just dialed up Hans on the net
and sent
him some keyboard Supermorse! No wonder you're so upset with me!

2874. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 14:13:32 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021203091332.19707.00000511@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 57064

>If it's so bad, what are you doing to fix it?
>
>N2EY
>

Nothing, could care less at this point, if the rest of Ham radio is happy with the continuing downward spiral then fine.

2875. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 14:14:55 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uupfimps6nc1f8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57065

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3DEC1299.110F902B@townsqr.com...
>
> I already told you that I look in on you from time to time when it's
obvious
> you're slamming something I posted. Never fear, I won't waste all that
much of
> my time on you. I've got much more interesting things to do.
>

Uh huh...yeah, yeah. Sure, Dick. Wink wink. Gotcha.

Kim W5TIT

2876. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 14:26:13 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021203092613.19688.00000437@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 57066

>The point isn't his spelling. The point is he tells everyone that
>they're stupid.

You are stupid, you cant even pass the Give away EXTRA. Hell you wouldnt be a General unless the "FCC LOWERED THE STANDARDS".

2877. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 14:47:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DECD227.A7C20ACB@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57067

N2EY wrote:

> In article <20021202220407.19670.00000517@mb-ba.aol.com>, wa8ulx@aol.com
> (WA8ULX) writes:
>
> >>There is NO, repeat NO, requirement that a person taking the test actually
> >>comprehend it. All they have to do is get enough right answers and they get
> >>a
> >>license.
> >
> > Exactly ,and thats what makes the present written, and the whole testing
> >thing
> >a joke.
> >
> The written tests went all-multiple-choice 40 years ago
> Dick Bash published his books about 30 years ago
> The Q&A pools became public almost 20 years ago
>
> If it's so bad, what are you doing to fix it?

In thinking about it over a pretty long period of time including lots of VE time, the conclusion I've reached goes something like this...

What the easy tests have done is to have brought into ham radio a LOT of people who wouldn't have came under any circumstances if not for the VE system and the prepublished question pools. That is a good thing, but has a few peripheral effects which can and maybe must be considered to be negatives. One of those effects is that there can therefore exist a pool of licensed hams who really don't care much about ham radio nor about much of anything that is actually a part of it. It was all a near-freebie, to they took it just to be getting something that many consider of value for near-nothing.

Many seem to not realize that fact until they had obtained one of the easy licenses -some still don't- and now some don't really feel like abandoning it in total, so what do they do? POST DRIVEL TO RRAP! It's the sum total of their amateur radio activity.

Some of this very same category of persons never even bothered to obtain a license but hang out on ham radio newsgroups and post anyway. You find them to be soulmates with those of the same group who did initially get a license but seem to have never "got around" to doing anything constructive with it, or anything at all.

Some only wanted it for the notoriety of a vanity callsign, such as W5SEX or sum such.

Bottom line, the VE system and the question pools are probably a (GASP!) "good thing" in Martha Stewart vernacular, in that it does offer a route into the hobby that makes it much less of an ordeal to accomplish, thus more attractive to a busy generation of young and older adults, not to mention kids who have loaded schedules that would have been unthinkable in earlier generations.

The negative effects of the offshoots and the chaff which falls along the way is mostly observable on rrap and a few other such limplimbed newsgroups. You don't see a lot of it on the air, save a few outlaw groups on their chosen turf. You don't see *any* of it on the CW/data portions of the bands.

Sort of another version of those who "will", do. For those who "won't", it really doesn't effectively matter. They just fall by the wayside and are covered up by the sands of time and their own fallout.

2878. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 14:51:02 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DECC4D6.70908@psu.edu>
Source record: 57068

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote
>
> :
> : Not even taking into account comprehension of the question and possible
> : answers, (I want to hear a 6YO person explain proportional and square
> : root and inverse and cube) what person in that age group could read
> : those words at all?
> :
>
> Maybe they got that question wrong. Sunuvagun!

I was waiting for someone to bring that up. True enough, the kid could possibly get that one wrong and still pass. But there are enough questions along those lines that the kids could get lots of them wrong - to the point of not passing the test.

My point is that the kids probably aren't comprehending the test, so something else is likely helping them get the ticket. Although for the general and Extra, I contend that it would be harder to memorize the tests than to just learn them, it is probably possible to memorize the Technician tests.

And before anyone points out the exceptions of genius, I am referring to normal kids.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2879. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 15:00:00 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DECC6F0.90803@psu.edu>
Source record: 57069

Brian wrote:
> Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<3DEBB101.2090404@psu.edu>...

<some snippage>

>>
>> There may not be prompting, but I would be very surprised if there wasn't.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> Which is why I say that Bruce's claim is not believable: Passed Extra
> on a lark, without studying, without any lucky guessing, scored 100%,
> in 8 minutes, reading every question, did the math in his head, and
> took $250 from a couple of "CB-Plussers." Not hardly.
>
> I don't know what level he reads at, but he writes at 3rd grade level,
> except for the profanity and sex inuendo.

Agreed. I didn't time myself on the Extra test, but I think that it
would be difficult to get it finished in 8 minutes if I had the answers
in front of me.

And forget memorization. Two things work against that. The numbers of
questions in the question pool and that the multiple guess answers are
mixed up, that is not in the same order as in the pool.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2880. Re: Why are ONLY coders proud?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 15:16:15 UTC
From: lbudney-google@nb.net
Message-ID: <417b917a.0212030716.56097062@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57070

"Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
> "Len Budney" <lbudney-google@nb.net> wrote:
>> "Leland C. Scott" <no-spam@junk-mail.net> wrote:
>>>
>>> At least university degrees are earned by learning the material,
>>> not by memorizing the answers...
>>
>> Speaking as a Math PhD, you're not really correct here. The great
>> majority of an advanced degree is simple memorization; that's why
>> you'll still find PhD students cramming for exams.
>
> But I'll bet that the Ph.D. dissertation was not a simple matter of
> memorization...The Ph.D. dissertation, as I understand it, requires
> the Ph.D. candidate to do original research work in their field.

True enough, but I wouldn't blow that too far out of proportion. The "original research", in Mathematics at least, is publishable but not earth-shaking. What typically happens is that the advisor picks a problem which he could solve without too much difficulty himself, and which would count as a minor publication on his resume, and assigns it to his student. Original, yes, but not exactly a quest for Atlantis.

> My point about the Ham exams, they way they are now, it is entirely
> possible for one to pass all of them up to Extra, and not understand
> even basic ohm's law...

I'm not sure what you mean by "understand" here. It is certainly necessary to *apply* Ohm's law in the exam. Are you saying that we should require hams to justify Ohm's law mathematically? Or to understand differential equations sufficiently to analyze RLC circuits? Or to produce original research in the area of circuit design?

While that sounds exciting, it would seem rather extreme to me.

> So if WA8ULX says they, university grads, haven't proved anything,
> well I think they have...

A bit, but I'd be leery of going to an extreme with this. Grads are not superior beings; most basically are survivors of an endurance contest. Even a well-earned degree was 95% survival.

> The integrity of the testing process is far higher for a university degree
> that for the current crop of Ham Radio exams.

That's debatable, but you could certainly have a point. As far as regs go, the "hiliter method" seems adequate; they're just memorization

anyway. Theory, on the other hand, is easily faked by memorizing the particular answers.

> I would have more confidence that an university EE grad knows
> basic electronics than I would a Ham of any license class without an
> EE degree.

Well that certainly goes without saying! But if you raise the bar so high that only a EE grad can clear it, then the set of hams will be a strict subset of the set of EE grads. Is that the goal? If not, it would seem that another approach is required.

> There is nothing funnier than a Ham who can pound out 45 wpm, but yet can't
> figure out how to wire up his key to his rig. ;-)

It's a funny picture, true! :-)

But it is probably not reality. I'd suspect that the obsession that leads to 45wpm proficiencies is normally found together with an interest in radio history including xtal, QRP and homebrew. So if I met a man who could send 45wpm, I'd expect he probably built his rig himself and powered it off a lemon. At least, that's more likely than the situation described above.

Len.

2881. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 15:19:03 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021203101903.10429.00006926@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 57071

>Although for the
>general and Extra, I contend that it would be harder to memorize the
>tests than to just learn them, it is probably possible to memorize the
>Technician tests.

You are kidding right, ask TIT she will admit to it. Now Brian he isnt smart enough to memorize the Info for the EXTRA

>so
>something else is likely helping them get the ticket.

Now in Brians case thats whats holding him up from getting the EXTRA.

>My point is that the kids probably aren't comprehending the test,

Kids, BS if 5% of the new hams comprehend anything it would be a miracle. Why do you people want to try and pretend that the present written test means anything? It doesnt, its a JOKE.

2882. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 15:21:59 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021203102159.10408.00006661@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 57072

>Agreed. I didn't time myself on the Extra test, but I think that it
>would be difficult to get it finished in 8 minutes if I had the answers
>in front of me.

Then its apparent you are a very slow person

>And forget memorization. Two things work against that. The numbers of
>questions in the question pool and that the multiple guess answers are
>mixed up, that is not in the same order as in the pool.
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Get real

2883. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 15:24:14 UTC
From: tbryant@IHATESPAM.cannet.com
Message-ID: <asiiau037e@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 57076

In article <20021203075144.14881.00000376@mb-ct.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com says...
>
>In article <20021202220407.19670.00000517@mb-ba.aol.com>, wa8ulx@aol.com
>(WA8ULX) writes:
>
>>>There is NO, repeat NO, requirement that a person taking the test actually
>>>comprehend it. All they have to do is get enough right answers and they get
>>>a
>>>license.
>>
>> Exactly ,and thats what makes the present written, and the whole testing
>>thing
>>a joke.
>>
>The written tests went all-multiple-choice 40 years ago
>Dick Bash published his books about 30 years ago
>The Q&A pools became public almost 20 years ago
>
>If it's so bad, what are you doing to fix it?
>
>N2EY

There's no doubt that there are a lot of people upset with the current testing scheme. It's so pathically easy, that I remember a story in QST, prior to VE testing, in which a 12-year-old walked into an FCC field office with no license, and walked out an Extra. There is, however, one thing that everyone is overlooking, and that is the fact that a license is only an entry level test. It, by no means, indicates that one is an expert in the field of radio electronics. Sure, the license will get you on the air, but the learning should, I would hope, continue even after the testing is done. This applies not only to amateur radio, but to any field of study.

In a sense, this is how I became discouraged with amateur radio. It seemed that the hams I met were only concerned with working DX or the next contest that came around. They assumed, incorrectly, that I wanted the same thing. I asked about various antennas or transceivers, and the response would be, "That antenna won't do you no good in a contest." (Personally, I'm bored after ten minutes of working any contest.) Working DX today is a joke with the DX packet clusters. If your station set-up is good enough, it's like shooting fish in a barrel. The bottom line is that no ham I met wanted to grow beyond their own egos.

I should point out that my original interest in amateur radio was far from technical. I am not a technically-inclined person. I was drawn to it because I thought the ability to talk around the world and learn about different people

from different cultures was exciting, and amateur radio looked like a great way to do this. This notion was quickly put out of my head the first time I worked DX station - you don't chitchat. You exchange callsign and signal report, let the next guy work the station. I felt this to be boring and impersonal.

Tom - N8ECW

2884. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 15:31:47 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DECCE63.8060802@psu.edu>
Source record: 57073

N2EY wrote:
> In article <3DEBB101.2090404@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:
>
>
>>In this months QST, (IIRC) there is a picture of a
>>young lady holding something in her hand. The story goes on about how
>>immediately after passing her test, she pulled one of her baby teeth out.
>>
>> Cute? I guess so. What's the point? I think that this kind of stuff is
>
>
>>a concession to the people who think and proclaim that "We *have* to get
>>the *children* involved in amateur radio!"
>
>
> Not really. Children lose their baby teeth over a period of years. I know 9
> year olds who still have some of their "baby" teeth. The girl in the picture
> could be 10, for all we know.

Well, certainly didn't look it.

> Some children are interested in radio at a very young age. I was interested in
> electricity and radio as far back as I can remember. Is that somehow wrong?

Heavens no! I was ripping things apart and getting in trouble for it at
a very early age. Fortunately my Grandfather, who worked at Bendix, was
wise enough to get me a power supply and send a steady stream of old car
radios that couldn't pass QC to keep me (mostly) occupied.

>> I think this eventually does a disservice to the ARS. Is there some
>>sort of contest to see who produces the youngest Ham?
>
> Nope. Neither has there ever been an age limit. Nor has the licensing of young
> children EVER been shown to be a problem.

I'm not against kids having licenses. But I don't support them having
licenses if they don't comprehend what they should know.

>> And you point out how difficult it would be for a first grader to read
>>and comprehend the written tests. True enough
>
>
> There is NO, repeat NO, requirement that a person taking the test actually
> comprehend it. All they have to do is get enough right answers and they get a
> license. Been that way for at least 40 years (FCC went all-multiple-choice in
> the ham written exams about 1961).

Well, there should be enough comprehension for at least basic safety.
What a great thing it would be (not) if the 6yo kid passes the test
while not comprehending anything about rf safety. There are plenty of
ways then to get in trouble.

Most adults can figure out at least that there can be some danger
from even a HT, if only from the rf safety questions.

> It's true that most firstgraders/six-year-olds (the two are not synonymous)
> could not even read most of the written test. But there are obviously SOME
> six-year olds who can read enough of it to pass. And that's all that is
> required, according to the FCC.

True, there are some. I wonder how precocious these kids are? But I'm
referring to normal kids, not genii.

>> Heres a sample question from the Technician pool, pulled up on one of
>>the radio test web pages:
>>
>>In the far field, as the distance from the source increases, how does
>>power density vary?
>>A. The power density is proportional to the square of the distance
>>B. The power density is proportional to the square root of the distance
>>C. The power density is proportional to the inverse square of the distance
>>D. The power density is proportional to the inverse cube of the distance
>>
>> Not even taking into account comprehension of the question and possible
>
>
>>answers, (I want to hear a 6YO person explain proportional and square
>>root and inverse and cube) what person in that age group could read
>>those words at all?
>
>
> Can you or anyone guarantee that NO six year old could read the above?

See above.

>> There may not be prompting, but I would be very surprised if there
>
> wasn't.
>
> What proof do you or anyone else have of any wrongdoing?

None at all, just conjecture. Also being around kids for the past 18
years makes me skeptical.

> To accuse a VEC of wrongdoing without any evidence other than "I don't believe
> it" is simply illogical. I have known children who learned to read before they
> were 4 years old. Did they fully comprehend what they read? Of course not! But
> they could read, nonetheless. And the developmental accomplishments in the 2
> years between 4 and 6 are enormous.

No accusations here, Jim. I'm not even sure that the VE or parent "prompting" the child is a violation of anything. After all, the VE's are required to take applicant's handicaps into account, and there may be a determination of the persons age/reading comprehension ability as a "handicap". But if kids are passing without actually knowing anything, then it is just *WRONG*. If my son had wanted to take the Tech test, as a youg child, I would make darn sure that he knew the material.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2885. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 15:33:00 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uupk547uq7ae48@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57074

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:3DECC6F0.90803@psu.edu...
>
>
> Brian wrote:
> > Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:<3DEBB101.2090404@psu.edu>...
>
>
> <some snippage>
>
> >>
> >> There may not be prompting, but I would be very surprised if there
wasn't.
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> >
> > Which is why I say that Bruce's claim is not believable: Passed Extra
> > on a lark, without studying, without any lucky guessing, scored 100%,
> > in 8 minutes, reading every question, did the math in his head, and
> > took $250 from a couple of "CB-Plussers." Not hardly.
> >
> > I don't know what level he reads at, but he writes at 3rd grade level,
> > except for the profanity and sex inuendo.
>
>
> Agreed. I didn't time myself on the Extra test, but I think that it
> would be difficult to get it finished in 8 minutes if I had the answers
> in front of me.
>
> And forget memorization. Two things work against that. The numbers of
> questions in the question pool and that the multiple guess answers are
> mixed up, that is not in the same order as in the pool.
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

Mike: memorization is how I passed the tests. Cross out, leave out,
whatever, all wrong answers. Read the questions with the correct answers.
Do that for several weeks and a test can be passed. Some of the material is
retained, sure, but not a lot of it.

HOWEVER...I am not sure a kid could do it. Don't know.

Kim W5TIT

2886. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 15:35:12 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uupk99r1gouhf4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57075

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:3DECC6F0.90803@psu.edu...
>
>
> Brian wrote:
> > Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:<3DEBB101.2090404@psu.edu>...
>
>
> <some snippage>
>
> >>
> >> There may not be prompting, but I would be very surprised if there
wasn't.
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> >
> > Which is why I say that Bruce's claim is not believable: Passed Extra
> > on a lark, without studying, without any lucky guessing, scored 100%,
> > in 8 minutes, reading every question, did the math in his head, and
> > took $250 from a couple of "CB-Plussers." Not hardly.
> >
> > I don't know what level he reads at, but he writes at 3rd grade level,
> > except for the profanity and sex inuendo.
>
>
> Agreed. I didn't time myself on the Extra test, but I think that it
> would be difficult to get it finished in 8 minutes if I had the answers
> in front of me.
>
> And forget memorization. Two things work against that. The numbers of
> questions in the question pool and that the multiple guess answers are
> mixed up, that is not in the same order as in the pool.
>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

Whoops...I also forgot to mention that even though someone can memorize the
question/answer pool, it doesn't mean they will be a bad amateur. Bad
person=bad amateur. Good testing doesn't mean it will make a good amateur.

Kim W5TIT

2887. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 15:51:50 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <qq4H9.2242$hM3.281100@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57077

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote

: If my son had wanted to take the Tech test, as
: a youg child, I would make darn sure that he knew the material.

Here's a BFO* for you..... perhaps, in fact most likely, the parents of the children in question did exactly the same thing (made darn sure that they knew the material).

Sunuvagun!

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Most Reverend Sniffer of the Fumes of Solder

*BFO: Blinding Flash of the Obvious

2888. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 16:10:57 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212030810.2431fba8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57078

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DEB4E35.F5466334@townsqr.com>...
> N2EY wrote:
>
> >Of course some of us can still figure out how to put up a simple wire antenna, feed it and get on the air.
>
> But not

[N0IMD]

> He's gotta have "advice".

Then, as more-experienced and more-knowledgeable amateurs helping the less-experienced and less-knowledgeable, we can provide that advice.

Which I did back on June 27, in the thread "Sixty Meter Band Planning". Gave links to two antenna articles tailored to the conditions described. Both articles described simple, inexpensive, easily-built antennas.

Has that "advice" been acted on in the intervening 5 months? I don't think so. Certainly not for lack of time, as evidenced by the huge number of posts here written by the person requesting the "advice".

73 de Jim, N2EY

"Live by the foma that make you brave and kind and healthy and happy"
- Bokonon

2889. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 16:41:08 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021203114108.09042.00000313@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 57079

>But I don't support them having
>licenses if they don't comprehend what they should know.

You just got rid of most NEW HAMS

>*Most* adults can figure out at least that there can be some danger
>from even a HT, if only from the rf safety questions.

I would be surprised if any of the CBplussers had any Idea.

>After all, the VE's
>are required to take applicant's handicaps into account, and there may
>be a determination of the persons age/reading comprehension ability as a

Thats how Brian and TIT got theres

>But if kids are passing without actually knowing anything,
>then it is just *WRONG*.

A hate to give you the Bad News, but not just kids, most new CBplussers dont
have a CLUE

2890. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 16:44:27 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021203114427.09042.00000314@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 57080

>Mike: memorization is how I passed the tests. Cross out, leave out,
>whatever, all wrong answers. Read the questions with the correct answers.
>Do that for several weeks and a test can be passed. Some of the material is
>retained, sure, but not a lot of it.

You wont believe this KIM, but, thank you for telling the truth. Maybe some of the other CBplussers will finally realize the Written is a JOKE

2891. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 16:46:27 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021203114627.09042.00000315@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 57081

>Whoops...I also forgot to mention that even though someone can memorize the
>question/answer pool, it doesn't mean they will be a bad amateur.

WELL, since we realize the written doesnt solve anything, why keep it?

2892. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 16:54:44 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021203115444.09042.00000316@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 57082

>This notion was quickly put out of my head the first time I worked
>DX station - you don't chitchat. You exchange callsign and signal report, let
>
>the next guy work the station. I felt this to be boring and impersonal.
>
>Tom - N8ECW

Your so correct, I hardly ever get on HF, most contacts are your 59 QSL via
the Bureau, yea right, you can count on not getting a card from me.

2893. 90 Years Ago This Month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 17:36:16 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212030936.231c0805@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57083

It was 90 years ago this month, (December, 1912) that the Radio Act of 1912
came into effect, regulating US domestic radio. This was the beginning of government licensing of all radio transmitters in the USA.

The original proposal was to license both receivers and transmitters. This was opposed by one man - Charlews H. Stewart, pioneer amateur and communications lawyer, representative of the Wireless Association of Pennsylvania, VP of ARRL until his death in 1936, later licensed as 3ZS. ARRL would not even exist for two more years.

Stewart argued before Congress that receivers should not be licensed. He used
both legal terms regarding interstate commerce and the ownership of land, as
well as simple, clear verbal arguments: "The waves come upon our aerials, whether we seek them or not". When the final form of the Act was ratified, there was no mention of licensing receivers. All because of one amateur.

3ZS was a local. His house still stands here in Radnor Township. He was a
township supervisor for many years.

Imagine what US radio would have been like over the past 90 years if all
receivers were licensed.

For more details, see QST for December, 1952, and April, 1936.

73 de Jim, N2EY

"Live by the foma that make you brave and kind and healthy and happy"
- Bokonon

2894. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 17:43:05 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DECED29.7060209@psu.edu>
Source record: 57086

W5TIT - Kim wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:3DECC6F0.90803@psu.edu...

<Some snippage>

>> Agreed. I didn't time myself on the Extra test, but I think that it
>> would be difficult to get it finished in 8 minutes if I had the
>> answers in front of me.
>>
>> And forget memorization. Two things work against that. The numbers
>> of questions in the question pool and that the multiple guess
>> answers are mixed up, that is not in the same order as in the pool.
>> - Mike KB3EIA -

> Mike: memorization is how I passed the tests. Cross out, leave out,
> whatever, all wrong answers. Read the questions with the correct
> answers. Do that for several weeks and a test can be passed. Some of
> the material is retained, sure, but not a lot of it.
>
> HOWEVER...I am not sure a kid could do it. Don't know.

You are a Tech Plus, IIRC. I noted in one of these posts that I thought a person could possibly memorize that test. As for higher level tests, perhaps I shouldn't say forget about it. A person *could* concievably memorize the entire question pool. But it would just be easier to simply *learn* the material. And then they have shortchanged themselves.

And even for the tech test, if you took several weeks to memorize the answers, you took a couple weeks more than just learning the material.

- Mike KB3EIA -

2895. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 17:47:08 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DECEE1C.3090003@psu.edu>
Source record: 57085

W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> Whoops...I also forgot to mention that even though someone can memorize the
> question/answer pool, it doesn't mean they will be a bad amateur. Bad
> person=bad amateur. Good testing doesn't mean it will make a good amateur.

I don't equate the tests with good amateurdom.

What is a good amateur anyway?

Hopefully everyone pays attention to the safety rules at least. That
way they will remain a live amateur, if not a good one. 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

2896. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 17:50:50 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212030950.277cfe7f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57084

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021130235449.24623.00000458@mb-ch.aol.com>...
> : But when a person doesn't take the time to correct multiple errors,
> : it means they just don't care.
> :
>
> No, it simply means that they don't consider it important. (Which is
> different than your anal-retentive/condescending "they just don't care")

Well, there you have it.
>
> Hansl:
>
> Jim is an educated and intelligent person, who is qualified to make such
> judgments as "people who disregard proper spelling and grammar
> just don't care."

Thanks, Larry.

Educated? That just means I went to school and got some degrees.

Intelligent is in the mind of the beholder.

I don;t know if those things make me qualified to make a judgement or
not. Obviously Hans sees himself as qualified to make similar
judgements. Which is exactly his point, of course.

> What I find anal-retentive/condescending is your
> criticism of someone who is trying to uphold the accepted language
> standards once universally understood and followed by every high-
> school graduate, but distressingly absent even from some of today's
> university students.

Perhaps the more important point is this: The person who doesn't think
that spelling, grammar, punctuation and capitalization are important
actually weakens his own arguments in the minds of many who read them.
Sure, we humans make a lot of noise about being purely rational, but
in real life, appearances count for a lot. Ask anyone who does job
interviews.

73 de Jim, N2EY

"Live by the foma that make you brave and kind and healthy and happy"
- Bokonon

2897. Re: 90 Years Ago This Month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 18:09:16 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <gr6H9.2410$hM3.295880@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57087

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

>
> "Live by the foma that make you brave and kind and healthy and happy"
> - Bokonon
>

Foma (def): "Lies" ; "harmless untruths"; "a useful and harmless sort of horseshit".
- Bokonon

73, de Hans, K0HB

"In the beginning, God created the earth, and he looked upon it in His cosmic loneliness.

And God said, "Let Us make living creatures out of mud, so the mud can see what We have done." And God created every living creature that now moveth, and one was man. Mud as man alone could speak. God leaned close as mud as man sat up, looked around, and spoke. Man blinked. "What is the purpose of all this?" he asked politely.

"Everything must have a purpose?" asked God.

"Certainly," said man.

"Then I leave it to you to think of one for all this," said God.

And He went away."

--First Book of Bokonon, verses 2-4

2898. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 18:28:05 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021203132805.10956.00000369@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 57088

>And even for the tech test, if you took several weeks to memorize the
>answers, you took a couple weeks more than just learning the material.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Are you serious, I cant imagine any person taking that much time, I would say a day or two at TOPS

2899. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 18:47:54 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DED0A9B.7F18BC34@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57089

TLB wrote:

>There is, however, one thing that everyone is

> overlooking, and that is the fact that a license is only an entry level test.

That would be so if there were only one test! Obviously that isn't exactly what the FCC had in mind, is it? Why do they go to so much trouble to see to it that there are categories of licenses where people actually progress in knowledge and experience if "the license is only an entry level test"? Clearly this is not so. Each license is the entry to that level.

>
> It, by no means, indicates that one is an expert in the field of radio
> electronics. Sure, the license will get you on the air, but the learning
> should, I would hope, continue even after the testing is done.

Obviously, since on a practical level the tests can do little more than assure that you try to learn something about the next level. That's why the simplified and reduced tests only move the hobby toward a lower level of knowledge and experience. Tests can only assure so much, and the less the test amounts to, the less it means.

I have no idea what license you hold, and care less, but your comments here reflect a definite lack of experience in HF ham radio, as we shall comment on below. That is not a bad thing, but you clearly have missed a lot that you would seem to have benefited from is your knowledge and experience had been broader. Read on.

> This applies not
> only to amateur radio, but to any field of study.

Obviously. Beginning automobile drivers don't hop into over the road trucks and take off, they barely can keep a four wheeler between the fence posts far too often.

If a student pilot gets signed off on a Cessna 150 he would be a fool to blithely assume he was automatically capable of handling even a light twin, let along a jet.

Everyone begins somewhere. But the idea of a single license does all is foolish, even for ham radio. FCC has known this all along, but today seems bent on moving in that direction for a variety of reasons, few of which have to do with the long-term good health of the ARS. FCC these days is driven by the almighty dollar.

>
>
> In a sense, this is how I became discouraged with amateur radio. It seemed that
> the hams I met were only concerned with working DX or the next contest that
> came around. They assumed, incorrectly, that I wanted the same thing. I asked
> about various antennas or transceivers, and the response would be, "That

> antenna won't do you no good in a contest." (Personally, I'm bored after ten
> minutes of working any contest.)

Well, so so you landed in a nest of hard-core DXers, and you weren't one. So the thing to do might have been depart for more friendly turf. Some clubs are dedicated almost solely to working as many DX contests as hard as can be done, and little else. Personally I'm more in sympathy with you- I like to ragchew a little DX just for the fun of it, and have done considerable amount of just that. Yep, it's frustrating to hook up with a DX station who himself would like to ragchew only to have the DXCC driven horde descend on you and annoy (to put it mildly) with blanketing calls to him such that it's impossible to carry on. Surely you wouldn't let that be the end of your ham radio hobby, and just assume nothing more was available. In time you learn that a "dead band" holds more promise for just the type of QSO you're looking for. Often a band that seems more dead than alive will in fact be open but lightly occupied, and the DXCC crowd hasn't yet noticed. That's the time you find your niche. But you gotta "be there" looking, tuning around, evaluting, and checking the weak signals, even calling a short CQ sometimes brings 'em out. Throwing up your hands in disgust won't do it.

Actually it's a shame you don't like CW, for there is where the real ragchews take place, both with DX stations and the more interesting individuals on ham radio. You'd be really surprised who you can run into, and complete a really satisfying chat. And I don't mean hi and 'bye. But it does take a bit of effort and time spent in getting yourself capable.

> Working DX today is a joke with the DX packet
> clusters. If your station set-up is good enough, it's like shooting fish in a
> barrel.

Not for me. I've never used a packet DX cluster and probably never will. Even at that, for those who don't have the time to spend scanning the bands long-term for openings and to see who shows up, why not use the cluster? All that really changes is the way they operate. The propagation remains the same, the distance the RF travels, etc etc. The cluster is just one more high tech tool, not available until a few years ago. I thought you wanted hams to progress. That's progress.

> The bottom line is that no ham I met wanted to grow beyond their own
> egos.

Sure looks like you need to broaden your sphere of contacts. Most of the hams I ever met in person were pretty nice people, with many interests that either match mine or that I find very fascinating to even hear them talk of on some area where I have little or no knowledge or experience. In ham radio there are all sorts of people with all sorts of interests, experience, high levels of expertise and qualifications. For me, that's a big part of what makes ham radio stay interesting after so long a time. I learn more on the rec.radio.amateur.antenna newsgroup these days with far less time invested than I ever did before. There they can cut right to the heart of any subject without a lot of repetitious chaff, and frequently do. But newcomers with basic questions aren't shoved aside, either. And make no mistake, those folks hanging out there are all hams, even though some have gone far technical pursuits.
No doubt they all have egos. Sometimes they stick out like a sore thumb, but

there's usually some other heavyweight just as willing to bring the miscreant back to earth. All in all, an enjoyable place for some of us to hang out and just read, if nothing more. But if one has no interest in any of the technical aspects of ham radio, it's unlikely you'll ever discover such a spot.

> I should point out that my original interest in amateur radio was far from
> technical. I am not a technically-inclined person. I was drawn to it because I
> thought the ability to talk around the world and learn about different people
> from different cultures was exciting, and amateur radio looked like a great way
> to do this. This notion was quickly put out of my head the first time I worked
> DX station - you don't chitchat. You exchange callsign and signal report, let
> the next guy work the station.

Sometimes, and sometimes not. It doesn't have to always be that
way.Unfortunately when on the HF voice modes it often is. But one of my more
memorable SSB DX contacts was several years ago when I happened to tune across what
seemed like a fast dying 20 meter band and heard a couple of ZL's (New Zealanders)
talking local. Like me, they had thought the band to be dead for long distance
propagation, but we chatted for an hour, the three of us, about all sorts of things
including details about the regions where we lived and our work, really had
a fine visit. I agree it's a shame one can't do more of that in the DXCC atmosphere
that permeates HF SSB, but the alternative is work smarter and check the digital
modes, to include CW. If you don't you'll never learn to appreciate a weak CQ from
the other side of the world that on a near-dead band that surprises you is there.
And
try out PSK31 or RTTY or some other digital mode. Let your horizons be expanded.
You'll get far more enjoyment out of ham radio if you do.
Anyone with only a one dimensional expectation of ham radio is sure to wind up
disappointed. There is really a lot more to it than readily seems to be the case.

Dick

2900. Re: 90 Years Ago This Month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 19:12:29 UTC
From: Steve Fleckenstein <spfleck@citlinkdot.net>
Message-ID: <uuq0gsn41i1a84@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57090

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0212030936.231c0805@posting.google.com...
| Imagine what US radio would have been like over the past 90 years if
| all
| receivers were licensed.
|

Might have been better things to listen to than the majority of garbage transmitted on broadcast band today.

Oh goodie.. here comes another commercial Martha !

We now return you to your previously scheduled factoid on baldness, workout machines for the criminally insane, cooking plastic tools complete with bamboo steamer, Ho Chi Min special anniversary Lionel train set for $599.99 plus shipping and batteries.

2901. Re: Well Im back on HF

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 19:24:09 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <asj0l5$p7t$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57091

I hope he is not thinking I don't have that antenna for 160. Tell you what 'Brian'. Go do a Google lookup for last years 160 contests and see if Im not in it. Or just wait till this weekend when I post another winning or at least close to winning score on the 160 meter contest. That will be in CW of course.

Dan/W4NTI

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message news:20021202220508.19670.00000518@mb-ba.aol.com...
> >Riiight!
>
> Well it is aparent that Brian cant operate 160 either

2902. Re: Just got my new call and got back on HF!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 20:35:54 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DED15C5.41BD919F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 57092

Denise/w3tit wrote:
>
> After years on 2M fm, I upgraded to Extra, applied for a vanity call,
> and got back on HF with a brand new Icom 746PRO. Can't wait to meet
> the rest of you on the HF bands!!
>
> 73s,
> Denise/w3tit

Where's that darned "troll-o-meter" when its needed?

Dave K8MN

2903. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 20:45:30 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DED17FA.227170BA@earthlink.net>
Source record: 57093

Vshah101 wrote:

> However, you're right. Ham Radio currently IS boring. It is meaningless and
> people get tired of it. The initial novelty of HF wears off.

Why not be honest and state that you, personally find amateur radio
boring and meaningless and that you are tired of it--that the novelty of
HF has worn off for you?

> I have made my pitch at a few local clubs. The very small percentage that are
> even open to these other possible activities never materialize (end up being
> too busy, change their mind, or whatever).

Maybe amateurs in those clubs finds your approach boring or finds your
litany of complaints boring. Maybe those folks are busy enjoying
amateur radio.

> I have made that sincere effort. Also, I was open to the possibilities. At
> first, I thought it was just a bad club, so, foolishly, I tried another. Few
> clubs and Hamfests later, I have begun to understand what "Ham" radio really
> is. Ham Radio is being part of "something". Ham Radio is now an applicance
> operator's club.

No, I don't think you yet understand it. Amateur radio is partly about
being part of something. There are plenty of those who use commercial
equipment. I don't know many who haven't built at least some item they
use. I know a number who have built equipment from kits. There are
numerous fellows using homebrew amplifiers as well. You saw yourself as
open to "the possibilities" (whatever those might be). If you went to a
number of clubs and hamfests and could arouse no interest there are a
couple of additional possibilities: 1) That your manner of presentation
left something to be desired and 2) the product you are attempting to
"sell" has no market.

Maybe you only thought that amateur radio is for you. Maybe you really
want to design O'scopes for fun.

Dave K8MN

2904. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 21:09:00 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021203160900.13823.00001001@mb-fq.aol.com>
Source record: 57098

In article <3DEBB101.2090404@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
> > In article <20021201183714.00112.00000794@mb-fz.aol.com>,
> > n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:
> >
> >> In article <20021201133916.21441.00000449@mb-mc.aol.com>,
> >> j1aguiar@aol.com (J1aguiar) writes:
> >
> >> Accusing the VECs of falsifying test results is pretty serious
> >> stuff, btw. Do you have any solid evidence?
> >
> > VEC test sessions are NOT monitored by anyone else DURING testing.
> > All that is monitored is the FCC scanning over test RESULTS sent to
> > them by the VEC teams.
> >
> > Now go back to the famous/infamous ARRL news page that showed two
> > darling six-year-olds who were reported to have "passed" written
> > tests for Novice and Technician, respectively. Now let any, repeat
> > ANY, first-grade teacher look at the written exam questions and
> > decide for themselves whether SIX YEAR OLDS can read those questions
> > well enough to answer even 70 percent of them. Just to READ them and
> > be able to choose any answer.
>
>There's a new one. In this months QST, (IIRC) there is a picture of a
>young lady holding something in her hand. The story goes on about how
>immediately after passing her test, she pulled one of her baby teeth out.
>
> Cute? I guess so. What's the point? I think that this kind of stuff is

>a concession to the people who think and proclaim that "We *have* to get
>the *children* involved in amateur radio!"

The "point" seems to be that hypocrisy exists in varied states
of mind about amateur radio.

Those who proclaim (and proselyze) "must get children
involved in amateur radio" usually admit to having gotten
into the hobby as teen-agers. None of them have admitted
to getting into it as 6- to 9-year-olds with perfect understanding
of radio, English-as-a-language enough to understand all the
CW abbreviations comprehensibly, and the ability to co-exist
with mainly adults in a crowded spectrum.

Now the above is in direct conflict with the braggarts who
loudly proclaim their status and rank as "extras" and all the

"work" involved in achieving that high pinnacle of "success."
Or, if not in direct conflict, then it only proves a childishness
akin to the immature nyah-nyah of "I'm better than you are,
because!..." :-)

I fail to see where any "must" has to exist. Amateur radio is
basically a hobby, an avocation involving radio activity, despite
all the self-described patriotic bwahaha about being some kind
of "service to the nation." The government recognizes that
citizens have hobbies, enjoy them, but must regulate those
that share the EM spectrum with others.

Case in point: Part 95 radio-control of model aircraft and model
vehicles. The FCC allocated a whole new band of frequencies
(72 MHz) just for telecommand of such things. It is no "service
to the nation" as important for security of the citizens, just
a service for some hobbyists who enjoy their activity, an activity
not essential to aeronautics or any other science involved. By
membership numbers of the Academy of Model Aeronautics,
there are about 170 thousand member-model-flyers, oddly
coincident with the membership numbers of the ARRL. :-)

Radio amateurs are granted a permission to operate a trans-
mitter as part of their passing a federally-regulated (but private-
party proctored) test for a license. They receive a federal radio
callsign as part of that grant...and immediately use that federal
merit badge identity to attach it to their legal name as if it is
some noble Title or Academic Achievement Certificate! :-)
["...it is what we are!" - Jim Kelley, in here]

Model aircraft flyers don't received such a Title and rarely
append their AMA number to their name...or loudly proclaim
their activity as "authorized by the Federal Communications
Commission!" They just enjoy their activity, have fun, and
some engage in competition with other model flyers in several
different contests...on out to international competition events.

Most radio amateurs scoff at model flyers' activities, trying to
emphasize that they are "more adult" than those "playing with
toys." Then the largest amateur radio organization makes news
items of 6- and 9-year-olds "passing" written tests...as if those
children were somehow on an adult level along with the fifty-
something ("authorized by the FCC") extra-class long-timers.

> I think this eventually does a disservice to the ARS. Is there some
>sort of contest to see who produces the youngest Ham?

I don't think so. I see it only from the paternalistic interest of
those fifty-something now-grandpas who've simply lost their
self-perspective of amateur radio in their paternal zeal/pride.

Supposedly, ARRL news and other, lesser amateur news "gets
out to the public" (it doesn't, but never mind that). If so, then it

is in contradiction to the stressed "importance to the nation" of
amateur radio as some kind of "national service (and need)."

> And you point out how difficult it would be for a first grader to read
>and comprehend the written tests. True enough
>
> Heres a sample question from the Technician pool, pulled up on one of
>the radio test web pages:
>
>In the far field, as the distance from the source increases, how does
>power density vary?
>A. The power density is proportional to the square of the distance
>B. The power density is proportional to the square root of the distance
>C. The power density is proportional to the inverse square of the distance
>D. The power density is proportional to the inverse cube of the distance
>
> Not even taking into account comprehension of the question and possible

>answers, (I want to hear a 6YO person explain proportional and square
>root and inverse and cube) what person in that age group could read
>those words at all?

That challenge might be irrelevant to BEING A HAM! :-)

Under the present amateur radio regulations, the FCC makes NO
distinction on age of a licensee. Legally, ANY licensee can
operate an amateur radio transmitter entirely by themselves and
there is NO requirement for any older licensee to be present
(except in cases of allocations where there are regulations on
classes on certain frequencies). This means that, legally, a 6-
year-old or a 9-year-old or any other child licensed can transmit
worldwide on HF bands...AND be totally responsible for technical
regulations regarding that transmitter as much as any adult.

ALL of the written test elements originate with the VEC Question
Pool Committee. The content is merely approved by the FCC.
VECs are essentially private-party test proctors having no direct
standing as officials of the FCC...they are licensed radio amateurs
who volunteer their services of their own accord. Everyone has to
take their actions on faith under the regulations of all radio operator
license testing done by private organizations. However, that is no
guarantee that VECs are always honest.

>There may not be prompting, but I would be very surprised if there wasn't.

Legally that is NOT permissible according to the regulations.

However, in the case of ARRL VECs, their actions are taken as
somehow "more honest" than all other VECs, therefore they are
sacrosanct and immune from any charges. :-)

2905. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 21:09:01 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021203160901.13823.00001002@mb-fq.aol.com>
Source record: 57095

In article <3DEC0D7E.A5232EA6@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>Carl Zager wrote:
>
>> I wonder whether HF provides enough interest in itself to
>> contribute to General and Extra numbers higher than what we are
>> seeing.
>
> You raise a valid point. Today's "easy DX" makes HF an entirely different
>animal to
>beginning hams than it was not that many years ago. Satellites and the space
>program, instant
>common worldwide communications via both telephone circuits and the Internet
>naturally make
>it so. To lots of us it was an entirely different view when there was no such
>easy and
>commonplace, routine long distance comms.

February 1953: No satellites, no troposcatter systems, and only a
partial worldwide telephone-teleprinter long-distance communications
system. No personal computers, hardly any transistors useable on
HF, no integrated circuits yet, frequency synthesizers were still
being developed in electronic laboratories.

Yet, the US military, a few other militaries and some commercial
communications service companies had already established a
worldwide 24/7 communications on HF. Except for a rare solar
storm, such worldwide communications was COMMONPLACE
and ROUTINE. On HF. Known.

Where was dickiebird in that month? Not drafted yet, perhaps
worried about being taken in while still a civilian? Did he already
know everything about radio and had the stupefying skill level in
morse code? Did he ever work in any REAL military radio after
being drafted? Did he ever learn the distinction between manual
telegraphy and teleprinter telegraphy? Did he have the knowledge
of Shannon's Laws formulated six years before (he didn't know of
them 40+ years later)?

2906. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 21:09:02 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021203160902.13823.00001003@mb-fq.aol.com>
Source record: 57094

In article <3DECC4D6.70908@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:

> And before anyone points out the exceptions of genius, I am referring
>to normal kids.

To nearly every parent, Their children are geniuses, prodigies,
etc., who are "always smarter, better, etc." than others. :-)

2907. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 21:09:03 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021203160903.13823.00001004@mb-fq.aol.com>
Source record: 57097

In article <3DECD227.A7C20ACB@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
>> In article <20021202220407.19670.00000517@mb-ba.aol.com>, wa8ulx@aol.com
>> (WA8ULX) writes:
>>
>> >>There is NO, repeat NO, requirement that a person taking the test
>actually
>> >>comprehend it. All they have to do is get enough right answers and they
>get
>> >>a
>> >>license.
>> >
>> > Exactly ,and thats what makes the present written, and the whole testing
>> >thing
>> >a joke.
>> >
>> The written tests went all-multiple-choice 40 years ago
>> Dick Bash published his books about 30 years ago
>> The Q&A pools became public almost 20 years ago
>>
>> If it's so bad, what are you doing to fix it?
>
> In thinking about it over a pretty long period of time including lots of VE
>time, the conclusion I've reached goes something like this...
>
> What the easy tests have done is to have brought into ham radio a LOT of
>people
>who wouldn't have came under any circumstances if not for the VE system and
>the
>prepublished question pools. That is a good thing, but has a few peripheral
>effects which can and maybe must be considered to be negatives. One of those
>effects is that there can therefore exist a pool of licensed hams who really
>don't care much about ham radio nor about much of anything that is actually a
>part of it. It was all a near-freebie, to they took it just to be getting
>something that many consider of value for near-nothing.

In shorter words: Other, newer licensees just didn't live the Life
or have the Faith in amateur radio as the dickiebird.

> Many seem to not realize that fact until they had obtained one of the easy
>licenses -some still don't- and now some don't really feel like abandoning
>it in

>total, so what do they do? POST DRIVEL TO RRAP! It's the sum total of their
>amateur radio activity.

Dickiebird definition of "drivel:" Anything opposite to dickiebird's
opinions/viewpoints. :-)

> Some of this very same category of persons never even bothered to obtain a
>license but hang out on ham radio newsgroups and post anyway. You find them
>to be
>soulmates with those of the same group who did initially get a license but
>seem
>to have never "got around" to doing anything constructive with it, or
>anything at all.

Dickiebird never got a First Class Radiotelephone (Commercial)
license...even when the FCC supposedly "came to him and others
at Fort Monmouth." He only got a Second Class. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

Must not have been enough snow and ice in New Jersey for him
to trudge (barefoot and out of uniform) from barracks to the test
building. Tsk, tsk.

> Some only wanted it for the notoriety of a vanity callsign, such as W5SEX or
>sum such.

Some already had REAL sex before the dickiebird did... :-)

> Bottom line, the VE system and the question pools are probably a (GASP!)
>"good thing" in Martha Stewart vernacular, in that it does offer a route into
the
>hobby that makes it much less of an ordeal to accomplish, thus more
>attractive to
>a busy generation of young and older adults, not to mention kids who have
>loaded
>schedules that would have been unthinkable in earlier generations.

According to the dickiebird definition of an enjoyable hobby
activity, every "good" member must SUFFER, WORK, and
generally be miserable in order to "enjoy" later "realness." :-)

> The negative effects of the offshoots and the chaff which falls along the
>way is
>mostly observable on rrap and a few other such limplimbed newsgroups. You
>don't
>see a lot of it on the air, save a few outlaw groups on their chosen turf.
>You don't see *any* of it on the CW/data portions of the bands.

You Tellum, dickiebird!!!

> Sort of another version of those who "will", do. For those who "won't", it
>really doesn't effectively matter. They just fall by the wayside and are
>covered up by the sands of time and their own fallout.

Ja, herr oberst, all must marsch to der dickiebird drumbeat, suffer, work, be miserable, strive to meet the standards and practices of the 1930s in order to be REAL hams... :-)

...only Porky Pig can be a real ham, eventually...

2908. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 21:09:04 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021203160904.13823.00001005@mb-fq.aol.com>
Source record: 57096

In article <d22b54c3.0212030111.54b7b366@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20021202130700.22850.00000805@mb-fa.aol.com>...
>>
>> DSP (Digital Signal Processing) uses "40 bits?!?" I don't
>> think so, ace.
>
>Catch up with the JRC NRD-545 swl rcvr IF DSP Putz. I have one of those.

Kellie, you are one IGNORANT bullshit artist.

The third IF (20.22 KHz) is the level at which the 18-bit A-to-D converter works. EIGHTEEN BITS, not "40."

The internal processor is a 40-bit FP microprocessor, not at all unusual...modern PCs have that (32 to 64 bits), have had it for some time (at least 11 years).

In order to do DSP on an IF, the A/D converts the 20 KHz analog signal into an 18-bit equivalent digital one. That's the baseline for determining resolution and REAL "bit length" of DSP. The processor works only on the digital version of the signal. Depending on the type of DSP the processor can use either all-integer or mixed integer and floating-point calculation. If FP, the numeric word length (in bits) is larger than integer in order to handle the exponent of the FP value. While that calculation must be very rapid due to over-sampling (estimated at 3 X the 3rd IF), that is relatively easy to do with modern CPUs.

The result of processing is a 16-bit digital word length in the NRD-545's design. The OVERALL DSP bit length is determined by the shortest bit length, NOT the processor capability. That is SIXTEEN BITS in the D/A, not "40."

But, all you did was read the advertising blurb, saw "40 bits" and wanted to hit the newsgroup to "get back." :-) After all, you bought one of those "rice-boxes" at near $2K and had your "investment" and "honor" to protect!

You don't know even the basics of DSP yet you sling around the brown stuff to bluff others. Tsk, tsk, tsk. You don't learn...

2909. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 21:58:39 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212031358.3d6be7f6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57099

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021203092613.19688.00000437@mb-ba.aol.com>...
> >The point isn't his spelling. The point is he tells everyone that
> >they're stupid.
>
> You are stupid, you cant even pass the Give away EXTRA. Hell you wouldnt be a
> General unless the "FCC LOWERED THE STANDARDS".

Bruce, all I can say at this point is that I need to find an exam session and take the Extra written exam again. But I guess I don't need to say "Extra written exam" because there isn't any other kind anymore (i.e., no Morse exam to accompany the written). So I'll just take the "Extra exam," which is how you got your Extra - on the coattails of No Code, International. You should send Carl part of that fictitious $250 in gratitude.

When I pass the Extra exam, I won't be on RRAP telling unbelievable stories about how I did it:

1. on a Lark,
2. without Studying,
3. without any Lucky Guessing,
4. without Error,
5. in 8 minutes,
6. and collecting a $250 Bet from two unnamed CB-Plussers.
7. Then telling everyone else how stoopid they are.

2910. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 22:01:55 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212031401.1818356c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57100

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DECC95C.84CA6F75@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DEC0E7B.326705D8@townsqr.com>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > I'm reminded of a very vociferous Extra that demands "Code For All"
> > > > because there might be an emergency where only Code will pull it off,
> > > > who couldn't get himself near enough to a factory built radio and
> > > > commercial power to accept an invitation to operate in his favorite
> > > > mode. There's just not enough interest.
> > >
> > > I'm reminded of a very vociferous Novice who sat on his duff screaming "No code for
me, I
> > > know more than the FCC, ARRL and all the rest of 'em. I'm right and I'll prove it if
it keeps
> > > me off the air forever!" There just wasn't enough intelligence.
> >
> > Speaking of not enough intelligence, I wonder how this Extra came up
> > with a No-Code Novice? There never was such a critter.
>
> You, Slim, are quite good at inventing categories to suit your own personal ideal of what
is
> "needed". So you invented the No Code Novice for yourself. Now you can't seem to get used
to being
> one, but for sure you are.

No, Dick, you're the one who thinks one can get a Novice license w/o
code. You said so above.

> > Maybe that's
> > what happens when your brain starts drying out.
>
> Could be, maybe you can keep us posted with a running account of what it's like.

You'll figure it out someday, but probably not any time soon.

2911. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 22:08:50 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212031408.12a98fb9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57101

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DECC9E0.D9DE00D5@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DEC0FA4.BF10EEAD@townsqr.com>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DEB4E35.F5466334@townsqr.com>...
> > > > > N2EY wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > >Of course some of us can still figure out how to put up a simple wire antenna,
>
> > > > > > feed it and get on the air.
> > > > >
> > > > > But not the Burke Turkey. He's gotta have "advice".
> > > > >
> > > > > Surprise = ZERO.
> > > >
> > > > The end fed half wave didn't appear so simple to Dick. He had no
> > > > advice
> > >
> > > For you I have no advice, that's true. You have no idea what to do with advice. No
one here
> > > would waste bother to waste any of it on you.
> >
> > Dixie, good after the fact cover story. Maybe you were just too far
> > away from your factory radio and commercial again. Long live Morse
> > Code. pffft.

>
> Ah, I know! Why didn't I think of it before? I could have just dialed up Hans on the net
and sent
> him some keyboard Supermorse! No wonder you're so upset with me!

Dixie, are you telling me that this practical, efficient, easily
implemented, and universal mode isn't available to you away from home?

That you would have to cobble together a computer, a modem, and a
telephone connection, then write a Morse software program in order to
"get out?"

We need to rethink this whole Morse Code thingy and put more computer
hardware, software, and digital theory in the exams.

2912. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 22:13:25 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212031413.1722dd03@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57102

Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<3DECCE63.8060802@psu.edu>...
> N2EY wrote:
> > In article <3DEBB101.2090404@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:

> > Not really. Children lose their baby teeth over a period of years. I know 9
> > year olds who still have some of their "baby" teeth. The girl in the picture
> > could be 10, for all we know.

> Well, certainly didn't look it.

Looks can be deceiving, particularly when judged from a still picture.

Way back in the late 1940s, a local 9 year old went to the FCC office
and passed the Class B license on the first go. This was in the days
of 13 wpm send-and-receive tests, essay questions, draw-a-diagram,
etc., all in front of the FCC examiner. And years before the Novice.
This kid had been copying Morse since the age of 6. Still a ham today,
btw.

> > Some children are interested in radio at a very young age. I was interested in
> > electricity and radio as far back as I can remember. Is that somehow wrong?
>
>
> Heavens no! I was ripping things apart and getting in trouble for it at
> a very early age. Fortunately my Grandfather, who worked at Bendix, was
> wise enough to get me a power supply and send a steady stream of old car
> radios that couldn't pass QC to keep me (mostly) occupied.

You coulda got in a lotta trouble with that supply....
>
> >> I think this eventually does a disservice to the ARS. Is there some
> >>sort of contest to see who produces the youngest Ham?
> >
> > Nope. Neither has there ever been an age limit. Nor has the licensing of young
> > children EVER been shown to be a problem.
>
> I'm not against kids having licenses. But I don't support them having
> licenses if they don't comprehend what they should know.

What about ADULTS not comprehending what they should know?
>
> >> And you point out how difficult it would be for a first grader to read
> >>and comprehend the written tests. True enough
> >
> >
> > There is NO, repeat NO, requirement that a person taking the test actually

> > comprehend it. All they have to do is get enough right answers and they get a
> > license. Been that way for at least 40 years (FCC went all-multiple-choice in
> > the ham written exams about 1961).
>
>
> Well, there should be enough comprehension for at least basic safety.

A lot of us tried to tell that to the FCC. They did not act on our
suggestions.

> What a great thing it would be (not) if the 6yo kid passes the test
> while not comprehending anything about rf safety.

Same is true of adults. There are only a few safety questions on any
given written test. It's possible to go from zero to Extra and have
gotten all the safety questions wrong. Been that way since long before
restructuring.

> There are plenty of
> ways then to get in trouble.
>
> *Most* adults can figure out at least that there can be some danger
> from even a HT, if only from the rf safety questions.

The test alone is no guarantee. In fact, I would say that an adult is
far more dangerous from an RF safety standpoint. A young child is not
going to have the resources to assemble a high power station unaided,
but there's nothing to stop an adult with deep pockets but no real
understanding of RF safety from putting high power into a poorly sited
antenna. Look at the descriptions of high powered cb mobiles. They
aren't built by kids.

> > It's true that most firstgraders/six-year-olds (the two are not synonymous)
> > could not even read most of the written test. But there are obviously SOME
> > six-year olds who can read enough of it to pass. And that's all that is
> > required, according to the FCC.

> True, there are some. I wonder how precocious these kids are?

They are probably way ahead on the developmental scales. Part nature,
part nurture.

> But I'm
> referring to normal kids, not genii.

Genius is normal. It's just not average.

The main point is this: While MOST 6yos couldn't pass the test, that
is NO proof that a few gifted children could not. It is also NO PROOF
WHATSOEVER of wrongdoing by VEs.

> >> Heres a sample question from the Technician pool, pulled up on one of
> >>the radio test web pages:
> >>

> >>In the far field, as the distance from the source increases, how does
> >>power density vary?
> >>A. The power density is proportional to the square of the distance
> >>B. The power density is proportional to the square root of the distance
> >>C. The power density is proportional to the inverse square of the distance
> >>D. The power density is proportional to the inverse cube of the distance
> >>
> >> Not even taking into account comprehension of the question and possible
> >
> >
> >>answers, (I want to hear a 6YO person explain proportional and square
> >>root and inverse and cube) what person in that age group could read
> >>those words at all?
> >
> >
> > Can you or anyone guarantee that NO six year old could read the above?
>
> See above.

Ditto.

>
> >> There may not be prompting, but I would be very surprised if there
> >
> > wasn't.
> >
> > What proof do you or anyone else have of any wrongdoing?
>
> None at all, just conjecture. Also being around kids for the past 18
> years makes me skeptical.

I've been around kids for the past 48 years. Gifted ones can do
amazing things. And there are all kinds of gifted kids.

I am convinced that there exist children who could pass the tests as
claimed. No evidence of wrongdoing or "fraud" has been provided.

>
> > To accuse a VEC of wrongdoing without any evidence other than "I don't believe
> > it" is simply illogical. I have known children who learned to read before they
> > were 4 years old. Did they fully comprehend what they read? Of course not! But
> > they could read, nonetheless. And the developmental accomplishments in the 2
> > years between 4 and 6 are enormous.
>
> No accusations here, Jim.

There have been accusations of fraud and other worngdoing in this
thread and elsewhere, by someone who was not at the VE sessions in
question and has no evidence at all. Basic attention-seeking behavior
that most six-year-olds find immature. To give those unfounded
accusations, or the accuser, any credence whatsoever is illogical.

> I'm not even sure that the VE or parent
> "prompting" the child is a violation of anything. After all, the VE's

> are required to take applicant's handicaps into account, and there may
> be a determination of the persons age/reading comprehension ability as a
> "handicap".

I don't think the VEs would do such a thing. I don;t know any VEs who would. If anything, they'd be extra-careful, because of the possible publicity.

> But if kids are passing without actually knowing anything,
> then it is just *WRONG*.

What about adults? Kim readily admits she passed by memorizing. Worked for her.

> If my son had wanted to take the Tech test, as
> a youg child, I would make darn sure that he knew the material.

But isn't it a double standard to hold a child to a different level of performance than an adult, based solely on age?

--

And if you still think memorization won't let a kid pass the test, just talk with a kid who's fascinated by Pokemon, or Harry Potter, and see what kind of memorized detail info they can spout on demand. Why should the ham tests be any different? Take the above question - all anyone needs to do is word-associate "power density", "far field" and "inverse square" and they have it licked. Do they really need to understand Poynting vectors or do exact calculations? Nope.

Funny thing is, most of the newbies I encounter really want to learn the material. Sometime back, there was a guy on one of the other NGs or reflectors I read asking about some questions on the Extra test. They are simple Thevenin equivalent circuits (though the test doesn't call them that). The newbie was having trouble understanding how to solve the problems, so I helped him out. The important thing was that he knew there were only ten of them in the Q&A pool, and that he could easily memorize the answers. That wasn't good enough for him - he wanted to understand the material.

73 de Jim, N2EY

Time for boko-maru!

2913. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 22:14:33 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021203171433.08975.00000453@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 57103

>You should send Carl part of
>that fictitious $250 in gratitude.

I wouldnt send your Dip Shit Buddy Carl the moisture of my B.

>When I pass the Extra exam, I won't be on RRAP telling unbelievable
>stories about how I did it:

Of course not, because you will be very lucky if you do pass. My guess is it will take you 1.5 hrs to stumble your way thru it. And then when you do pass it it will be just barely. Why dont you just tell the truth, and tell us how many times you have already taken it and flunked.

>1. on a Lark,
>2. without Studying,
>3. without any Lucky Guessing,
>4. without Error,
>5. in 8 minutes,
>6. and collecting a $250 Bet from two unnamed CB-Plussers.
>7. Then telling everyone else how stoopid they are.
>

That just bugs you, knowing I could do all of the above and you cant.

2914. Re: 13 CM BAND SURVEY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 22:36:49 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0212032230590.4695-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 57104

On Mon, 2 Dec 2002, Fred wrote:
>"Jim" <goodmail@goodmail.com> wrote in message
>news:92D8C68CBidtoken@207.217.77.25...
>> 13 CM BAND SURVEY
>>
>> INTRODUCTION
>>
>> I AM CONDUCTING AN AD HOC SURVEY TO LEARN ABOUT THE AMATEUR
>> RADIO COMMUNITY'S UTILIZATION LEVEL, TYPE OF USE, AND GENERAL
>> EQUIPMENT CAPABILITY IN EACH OF THE BAND SEGMENTS, 2300 TO 2310
>> AND 2400 TO 2450 MHZ. I AM ALSO INTERESTED IN LEARNING ABOUT
>> INTERFERENCE SITUATIONS TO AND FROM UNLICENSED SPREAD SPECTRUM
>> USERS OPERATING ABOVE 2400 MHZ.
>
>{Remainder deleted}
>
>This newsgroup [alt.ham-radio.uwave] gets less than two dozen legitimate
>posts per year. The Spam sent to this group is far in excess of any real
>posts here. Therefore if you want accurate information, this message
>should be posted to other active Amateur newsgroups.
>
>Besides, it appears that this study might be done for the benefit of your
>employer, Black and Veatch, Inc. It certainly reads much like someone
>wanting to usurp this ham band for commercial use. Low participation to
>this questionnaire, caused by posting to a seldom used Usenet group, is
>certainly not grounds to claim this Amateur Radio band is not used.
>I have not seen this questionnaire on any of the Microwave mailing lists
>where the true microwave hams congregate.
>
>Does the ARRL know about your survey?

At the ARRL, W1RFI is the interference expert. I have personally reported to him the interference I have seen up there by 2.4GHz cordless phones. Believe it or not, not all of those are SS - some are actually WBFM where the bandwidth is a full MHz (or up to 1.5MHz); enough that my narrow band Kenwood TM-2400 (for those who don't know it, it's a Japanese model of the TM-742 that comes with a 1W 2.4GHz double-thick module, and does 2400-2450) sees a carrier over that entire range. Some of the FHSS cordless phones put enough RF energy into it that even with the NBFM radio, one can make out what is being said!

I have yet to detect any interference to my TM-2400 (or false carriers, etc.) due to either my microwave oven or my 802.11b WLAN equipment.

You should also ask the guys who run the W6ATN web site about any interference they may see to their ATV repeater operations.

2915. Re: FCC Rules For Hams Using Spread Spectrum

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-03 23:37:14 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021203183714.14881.00000389@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 57105

In article <d22b54c3.0212030601.6145455@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<c2356669.0212020559.720b7d34@posting.google.com>...
>> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
>
>>
>> There's been no code test needed for full access to all hambands for
>> the past 11-1/2 years.
>
>You mean full access above 30Mc. right?

Right.

>
>> And a lot of the shouting was about SS on HF.
>> Don't you remember how it was demonstrated that a single QRP DSSS
>> station on, say, 15 meters would raise the apparent narrowband noise
>> level for miles around?
>
>Of course.
>
>> Simple thought experiment:
>
>. . . .
>
>> Suppose that the process gain from spreading permits A to reduce power
>> by 100 times (another 20 dB). The noise is then reduced to S9 over the
>> 250 kHz wide slice. Stations C, D, E and any others with similar
>> propagation just had their S0 noise level raised to S9 by a single QRP
>> station. Thanks a lot.
>
>Not exactly rocket science.

The example is a bit simplified, but close enough to be illustrative of the problem.

>
>> FHSS? If it's slow, maybe your noise blanker will take it out. If it's
>> fast, think key clicks.
>>
>> The pundits had no answer to the above thought experiment except that
>> C, D and E should go SS or leave the air.
>
>Idiots.

Why call names? The facts speak for themselves.

>That's what got my back up, din do anything to "endear" the
>NCI position on code tests to me . . real political geniuses . .

>> Guess who was a major player in the '99 changes? ARRL. FCC got maybe
>> two dozen comments.
>
>Offhand I'll guess the League didn't "dipstick" the level of interest
>in ham SS right, they still have a 1991 $20 tome on the subject on
>their store bookshelves. Must be getting a bit dusty by now. Unless
>they're selling copies to Ma Bell engineers.

I doan THINK so!
>
>> You watch, somebody like G3PLX will come up with a freeware soundcard
>> implementation of digivoice that sounds good and works well in
>> SSB-or-less bandwidth.
>
>That would be supported. But as was the case when ssb replaced AM on
>the HF bands the stuff would have to have some very noteworthy
>advantage over ssb to become widely used. PSK31 works very well and
>has been around for over a decade, but it's still a novelty mode and
>hasn't "replaced" anything. Maybe it's nibbling at RTTY but I dunno.

Prolly got a lot of hams on HF keyboard modes that would not have done so
otherwise. Thassa good thing.
>
> > investment & coordination ain't gonna happen either. On a strictly
>> > point-to-point basis SS would work but so do 2m FM simplex for voice
>> > and the Internet for binaries.
>>
>> Bottom line: It needs doers, not talkers.
>
>Right - and that's the only real problem SS has with getting on the
>ham bands at least for development and "market research" purposes. I
>wouldn't have a problem in the world with the FCC if they set aside
>maybe 100Khz of one of the high UHF bands for SS.

More like dozens of MHz.

> *IF* some credible
>group got really serious about it. The TAPR SS project got underway in
>'91, nothing done since '97 or so. Thud.

Tells ya something.

>> > > All that's left is process gain.
>> >
>> > Ya mean like cw & PSK31?
>>
>> I mean like Shannon & Poisson.
>
>The Poisson Pit of huge numbers . . ping, ping my NB often & loudly.

>Screw that . . "Poisson" has a whole different connotation in the mech
>eng biz.

This ain't ME, it's EE. Still smells fishy, tho.
>
>> I think one reason ATV and SSTV remain niche modes is the difficulty
>> of coming up with large amounts of visual stuff to send.
>
>I think the minimal number of ATV comms is another example of trying
>to duplicate what works in commerce not grabbing much attention in
>hamdom.
>
>How 'bout RRAP on live TV instead of 7.037? "OK, now cut to The Putz
>for his latest piece of bufoonery . . "

That would require the granfalloon to be licensed. And have a station. Not
gonna happen.
>
>> That's you. I like a good CW ragchew with an op that knows how ta make
>> the paddles sing. Different communications medium, that's all.
>>
>> Like the way opera should be in Italian - sings better.
>
>Ugh! Talk abt the fat broad singing . .

Like I said...
>
>> Or the way
>> rock music is meant to be played on Fenders and Gibsons, while folk
>> music is meant to be played on Martins (guitars).
>
>I almost went to work for Martin, decided I didn't wanna relocate to
>Andrettiville. Woulda been an interesting gig. Anybody who thinks
>Martin git-fiddles are hand-made has a surprise coming when they visit
>the Martin factory, the place is automated out the wazoo.

Of course. They still look and sound great, though.
>
73 de Jim, N2EY

2916. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 00:04:20 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021203190420.05598.00000094@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 57106

Entire message is in respnse to K4YZ:

In a message dated 12/3/2002 5:01:11 AM Eastern Standard Time, k4yz@aol.com
writes:
>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 12/3/02 3:37 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021203043718.24190.00000165@mb-cv.aol.com>
>>Vshah101 wrote:
>
>>> And, no, I am not a creep or putz as N2EY accuses those that disagree with
>>him.
One other thing, Vipul...You have been told before about getting your
attributions correct. Jim Miccolis, N2EY, >>NEVER<< called you a "creep" or a
"putz". I did. And part of the reason I did was your frequent erroneous,
ill-concieved allegations, just like THIS one.
You owe Jim Miccolis an apology.
Steve, K4YZ

I am sorry, Jim Miccolis,N2EY. I thought you called me creep and putz, when
actually K4YZ did. By the way, neither putz, nor creep are in the dictionary.

In a message dated 12/3/2002 4:53:19 AM Eastern Standard Time, k4yz@aol.com
writes:
> Amateur Radio is anything BUT "meaningless".

Quote from long time Ham:
"I have lost interest in ham radio - it has lost it's magic. At first I was
disturbed by this, questioned it and even tried to make myself interested
again. As time went on I realized I have perhaps matured as a person and I had
broken free from those childhood (childish?) feelings and motivations.

I now realize I spent several years engaged in an activity with very little
tangible return - thousands of dollars and just as many hours in a MEANINGLESS
pursuit -a grown man playing with substitute toys as it were. If I had realized
this several years ago I would have lived a much more meaningful and productive
life I believe. I'm not bitter - just relieved, alive, and hopeful."

Wow.

>I have made that sincere effort. Also, I was open to the possibilities. At
>first, I thought it was just a bad club, so, foolishly, I tried another. Few
>clubs and Hamfests later, I have begun to understand what "Ham" radio really
>is. Ham Radio is being part of "something". Ham Radio is now an applicance
>operator's club.
So...In only ONE year of licensure as an Amateur Radio operator, you have

sufficient "evidence" to make such a damning claim? Since the folks at these clubs do not like what YOU like, ALL Amateur Radio is "boring"...?"!?!

I went to Hamfest with coworker Ham and joined club before I got my license. So, more than one year of Ham Radio.

Also, I have made the effort. I do ask nicely. I say what I'm interested in. I don't pretend to be interested in things I am not. (Last time I did that just to be friendly, someone kept talking to me about the thing I was not interested in. So, I learned it is better not to fake interest). A few people have claimed interest in my activities, only to be busy with something later. There just is not enough interest by Hams to justify the effort.

Since no one knows "73"
10-4, VS

2917. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 00:42:09 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021203194209.13719.00000607@mb-me.aol.com>
Source record: 57107

In article <c2356669.0212031413.1722dd03@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) writes:

>Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<3DECCE63.8060802@psu.edu>...

>Way back in the late 1940s, a local 9 year old went to the FCC office
>and passed the Class B license on the first go. This was in the days
>of 13 wpm send-and-receive tests, essay questions, draw-a-diagram,
>etc., all in front of the FCC examiner. And years before the Novice.
>This kid had been copying Morse since the age of 6. Still a ham today,
>btw.

Yes, and I took - and passed in one sitting - a commercial
first phone license test in 1956 at an FCC office in Chicago.
No kiddies at all in that test session, just a couple of beepers
annoying us during their radiotelegraph test elements. :-)

Never had to use morse code or morris code or mort's code
four years before that or in any time afterwards in making a
career in radio-electronics. That's 50 whole years. But...one
"must" demonstrate that code for an avocational activity today?
Of course, "hams" must be assured that newcomers do what
they are told and be "acceptible to the ham radio community!"
:-)

>> I'm not against kids having licenses. But I don't support them having
>> licenses if they don't comprehend what they should know.
>
>What about ADULTS not comprehending what they should know?

Unless there are other news, the VEC QPC are ADULTS.
They come up with the questions and answers. FCC only
approves or disapproves the questions-answers.

YOU can always communicate your wishes to the VEC QPC.
Go for it.

>> Well, there should be enough comprehension for at least basic safety.
>
>A lot of us tried to tell that to the FCC. They did not act on our
>suggestions.

Hello? The VEC QPC originates the questions and answers.
The FCC doesn't specify the topics on written test element
subjects anymore.

>> What a great thing it would be (not) if the 6yo kid passes the test
>> while not comprehending anything about rf safety.
>
>Same is true of adults. There are only a few safety questions on any
>given written test. It's possible to go from zero to Extra and have
>gotten all the safety questions wrong. Been that way since long before
>restructuring.

...which may explain some of the long-timers in here... :-)

>> *Most* adults can figure out at least that there can be some danger
>> from even a HT, if only from the rf safety questions.
>
>The test alone is no guarantee. In fact, I would say that an adult is
>far more dangerous from an RF safety standpoint. A young child is not
>going to have the resources to assemble a high power station unaided,
>but there's nothing to stop an adult with deep pockets but no real
>understanding of RF safety from putting high power into a poorly sited
>antenna. Look at the descriptions of high powered cb mobiles. They
>aren't built by kids.

FCC regulations on US amateur radio don't concentrate on
safety-of-the-licensee. They have only relatively recently
introduced the RF exposure limit guidelines...which have to
do with EVERYONE near an antenna...all radio services.

I don't remember any FCC amateur regulation which covered
any safety-of-the-licensee. Do you?

But, according to present-day amateur regulations, small
children who are licensed have perfect legality to transmit
without any adult supervision.

>> True, there are some. I wonder how precocious these kids are?
>
>They are probably way ahead on the developmental scales. Part nature,
>part nurture.

There are no FCC amateur regulations covering Intelligence
Quotient or any other measure of intelligence as qualifications
for an amateur license. No age limit, either.

>> But I'm
>> referring to normal kids, not genii.
>
>Genius is normal. It's just not average.

There are no FCC amateur regulations concerning "genius."

>The main point is this: While MOST 6yos couldn't pass the test, that
>is NO proof that a few gifted children could not. It is also NO PROOF
>WHATSOEVER of wrongdoing by VEs.

This venue is NOT a court of law, genius.

Oooo...Oooo...VEs under ARRL control can DO NO WRONG!

There are *NO* FCC amateur regulations covering intelligence,
"nurturing," licensee age, mental stability, or anything else on
licensees or potential licensees. Some inference on that for VEs
but those are passed off to VECs to control.

>> None at all, just conjecture. Also being around kids for the past 18
>> years makes me skeptical.
>
>I've been around kids for the past 48 years. Gifted ones can do
>amazing things. And there are all kinds of gifted kids.

Ah, so Jim Genius has an education certificate or education
experience? In a magnet school, perhaps? :-)

Is the word "amazing" even in the FCC amateur regulations?

Jim Genius is 48, right? "He's been around kids" for all that
time? Of course, he was one!

>I am convinced that there exist children who could pass the tests as
>claimed. No evidence of wrongdoing or "fraud" has been provided.

Jim Genius MUST be convinced. His Faith and Honor seem to
have been sullied (in his perception). :-)

There are NO FCC amateur regulations in regards to any
provisions for "genius" or intelligence in regard to testing.

>There have been accusations of fraud and other worngdoing in this
>thread and elsewhere, by someone who was not at the VE sessions in
>question and has no evidence at all. Basic attention-seeking behavior
>that most six-year-olds find immature. To give those unfounded
>accusations, or the accuser, any credence whatsoever is illogical.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. "Basic attention-seeking behavior that most
six-year-olds find immature." Oh, my, lions and tygers and
pride and prejudice, oh my! :-)

ARRL VEs are above reproach! All hail the worshippers of the
church of St. Hiram! Saintly, perfect in every way! :-)

>I don't think the VEs would do such a thing. I don;t know any VEs who
>would. If anything, they'd be extra-careful, because of the possible
>publicity.

Yes, they might get their picture on an ARRL news page!
:-)

>> But if kids are passing without actually knowing anything,
>> then it is just *WRONG*.
>
>What about adults? Kim readily admits she passed by memorizing. Worked
>for her.

So, Jim Genius never, ever memorized anything? He KNEW
things intrinsically? :-)

>> If my son had wanted to take the Tech test, as
>> a youg child, I would make darn sure that he knew the material.
>
>But isn't it a double standard to hold a child to a different level of
>performance than an adult, based solely on age?

The FCC doesn't think so, does it? There are No age limits
on US amateur radio licensing nor are there any controls on
supervision of licensed children operating within their legal
license class allocations.

No problem. If children PLAYING on the radio can cause QRM
to others, that is "permissible" to you? If children PLAYING on
the radio interfere and block some emergency communication,
that is "permissible" to you?

>And if you still think memorization won't let a kid pass the test,
>just talk with a kid who's fascinated by Pokemon, or Harry Potter, and
>see what kind of memorized detail info they can spout on demand. Why
>should the ham tests be any different?

Well, ARRL could bring back the Archie comics...that's a start
to making things childishly entertaining, isn't it? :-)

I can just see the sequels now..."Harry Potter and the Chamber
of Morse!" Wizards and fairies, oh my! :-)

>Take the above question - all
>anyone needs to do is word-associate "power density", "far field" and
>"inverse square" and they have it licked. Do they really need to
>understand Poynting vectors or do exact calculations? Nope.

Hmmm...something to bring up to the Writer's Guild of America.
Now that they have on-line registry of story ideas, Jim Genius,
you ought to contact them and file your story thing!

Just change "Mario Brothers" to "Morseo Brothers" and you
might have a winner! Poke 'm Mon...right in the aye!

[no Biot & Savart? no Oliver Heaviside? no Maxwell and his
equations?]

>Funny thing is, most of the newbies I encounter really want to learn
>the material. Sometime back, there was a guy on one of the other NGs
>or reflectors I read asking about some questions on the Extra test.
>They are simple Thevenin equivalent circuits (though the test doesn't
>call them that). The newbie was having trouble understanding how to
>solve the problems, so I helped him out.

Oh, that's such a nice story...<sniff, sniff>

>The important thing was that
>he knew there were only ten of them in the Q&A pool, and that he could
>easily memorize the answers. That wasn't good enough for him - he
>wanted to understand the material.

Another six-year-old? Perhaps eight? As old as nine?!?

>Time for boko-maru!

Why not? "Bokonon" is a FICTIONAL creation of Kurt Vonnegut.
Some of you guys are all into fantasy and role-playing and other
emotional satisfaction things...like "saving the Titanic (again) with
morse code skill" and stuff like that there. Sigh.

2918. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 00:53:31 UTC
From: B�gsn�rth th� �npr�d�ct�bl� <bogsnarth23@NOSPAM.THANKS.IMFULL.lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <fmcH9.2838$kz2.319958@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57108

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0212031413.1722dd03@posting.google.com...

: Time for boko-maru!

Not until you wash your damned feet and trim those toenails!

33

2919. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 00:54:22 UTC
From: B�gsn�rth th� �npr�d�ct�bl� <bogsnarth23@NOSPAM.THANKS.IMFULL.lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <2ncH9.2839$kz2.319692@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57109

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0212031413.1722dd03@posting.google.com...

: Time for boko-maru!

Not until you wash your damned feet and trim those toenails!

33

2920. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 01:15:31 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212031715.5e079dda@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57110

N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0212030810.2431fba8@posting.google.com>...
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DEB4E35.F5466334@townsqr.com>...
> > N2EY wrote:
> >
> > >Of course some of us can still figure out how to put up a simple wire antenna, feed it
and get on the air.
> >
> > But not
>
> [N0IMD]
>
> > He's gotta have "advice".
>
> Then, as more-experienced and more-knowledgeable amateurs helping the
> less-experienced and less-knowledgeable, we can provide that advice.
>
> Which I did back on June 27, in the thread "Sixty Meter Band
> Planning". Gave links to two antenna articles tailored to the
> conditions described. Both articles described simple, inexpensive,
> easily-built antennas.
>
> Has that "advice" been acted on in the intervening 5 months? I don't
> think so. Certainly not for lack of time, as evidenced by the huge
> number of posts here written by the person requesting the "advice".
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Jim, its up. I just don't have 60M privs yet. Do you? Brian

2921. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 01:19:49 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212031719.15206862@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57111

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021203042018.24190.00000164@mb-cv.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Would have been Extra sooner
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 12/2/02 12:07 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <20021202130701.22850.00000806@mb-fa.aol.com>
>
> >>Accusing the VECs of falsifying test results is pretty serious stuff, btw.
> Do
> >>you have any solid evidence?
> >
> > VEC test sessions are NOT monitored by anyone else DURING
> > testing.
>
> Wrong.
>
> Please list the VE sessions that you have atteneded/participated in from
> which to make such a proclimation.

If the FCC had enough people to monitor VE sessions, then we wouldn't need VEs.

So now I'm curious Steve, who is monitoring the VEs at an exam session?

2922. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 01:35:52 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <YZcH9.2915$kz2.323995@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57112

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote

: "Bokonon" is a FICTIONAL creation of Kurt Vonnegut.

Another BFOO*, Len.

Perhaps you'd benefit from further reading, like this passage --

"Midget, midget, midget, how he struts and winks,
For he knows a man's as big as what he hopes and thinks!"

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

*BFO : Blinding Phucking Obvious Observation

2923. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 02:01:52 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021203210152.05598.00000095@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 57113

>>But I don't support them having
>>licenses if they don't comprehend what they should know.
>
>You just got rid of most NEW HAMS

Wrong. I am a newly licensed "Ham" and (for the most part) understand the material.

Its only-coders, who are opposed to learning the material. They should be called CBplussers, not Technician licensed that don't want to learn the code. I don't want to challenge you, but you have given no indication that you are not a CBplusser, WA8LUX. You may very well not be a Cbplusser, but all I see is insults towards no-coders. I do not hear you saying you want Hams to learn the material.

>A hate to give you the Bad News, but not just kids, most new CBplussers dont
>have a CLUE
You assume everyone used a CB before they became a Ham. That's not true. You seem to be saying learning Morse code automatically makes you better technically and not learning it automatically makes you worse. I don't see the correlation. Morse and technical skills seem like two separate, unrelated skills.

You don't have to be pressured into learning code. For those undecided -DON'T learn Morse code.

Noone (Ham or non-Ham) likes only-coders.

There is a "secret" society in Ham radio (Wuoff Hong). At 12 midnight you must make a pledge regarding Morse code. I do not know anything else about it because I did not join it and those who join it are sworn to secrecy. I had a chance to join it at a Hamfest. The leader of ARRL was there also. Surprisingly, he came across as a very true "Ham". At dinner time a bunch of people came out in costumes and sticks (it was not near Halloween) and started chanting "Wuoff Hong, Wuoff Hong… ". Strange looks were observed from Hotel guests.

Only cost $2 dollars to join(I spent much more already that day). The Hamfest ended at 9 and I realized I would have to wait three hours for whatever this turned out to be. Without the wait, I might have stayed there just out of curiosity. Was the wait deliberately introduced as part of the "test"? I did

not like what this implied. Most at the Hamfest were of a certain gender, age, and size. It was probably okay for these type of people. I decided it was probably not worth the wait and asked for my money back. I got my money back. More importantly, I did not pledge to the cult.

2924. Re: Just got my new call and got back on HF!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 02:25:03 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <3IdH9.2983$kz2.334458@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57114

"Denise/w3tit" <DeniseD@nospam.pavillage.net> wrote

> 73s,
> Denise/w3tit

Like Dave, I think this twit is a simple (as in 'not too bright') troll, but
just in case she's for real, I sent a quick note to rholling@fcc.gov asking
him to watch for this application and deal with it.

73, Hans, K0HB
--
"The big trouble with dumb bastards is that they are too
dumb to believe there is such a thing as being smart."
- Sirens of Titan

2925. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 02:31:19 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021203213119.01332.00007849@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 57115

>You
>seem to be saying learning Morse code automatically makes you better
>technically and not learning it automatically makes you worse.

I have not been talking about CW, I have been talking about the Dumb Down Written

>WA8LUX. You may very well not be a Cbplusser, but all I see is
>insults towards no-coders

Well I dont know about WA8LUX, but So what, they are ignorant.

2926. Mr. Hollingsworth make an show on 3.865khz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 03:15:00 UTC
From: R-75 MAN <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com>
Message-ID: <3ded71a3$1_1@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 57116
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

At about 7:30pm my time, during a net that operates there, Mr. Hollingsworth checked in. I suppose he is gonna monitor the frequnecy based on complaints made by Criss, W8CH. Criss is the victim of a QRM campaign organized by newsgroupie, KR4AJ.

Stay tuned to 3.865 khz the next few nites, sounds like it could get interesting!

And as always....

--
Jesus Christ is coming in your lifetime - R.G. Stair
"The bulletin service is here to stay"- K1MAN

2927. Re: Mr. Hollingsworth make an show on 3.865khz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 03:29:59 UTC
From: B�gsn�rth th� �npr�d�ct�bl� <bogsnarth23@NOSPAM.THANKS.IMFULL.lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <XEeH9.3111$kz2.344718@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57117
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"R-75 MAN" <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com> wrote in message
news:3ded71a3$1_1@corp-news.newsgroups.com...

> Jesus Christ is coming in your lifetime - R.G. Stair

How you know dat he be coming? I jus' checked, and he don't even be
breathing hard!

2928. Re: Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 04:28:21 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uur136mbvtu4ee@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57118
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

The nearest I ever came to anything like that was in 1965. I managed to have a **reasonable** qso with Mike, W2OY, on 75. Never liked 20 meters (except when on Guam Island as it was almost always open to the states for a number of hours). 160, 80, 40, and 15 were my hangouts (mostly 160). About 80% of the contacts were CW. These days it's 440 FM. Oh, a very few folks whining over cw vs. no code, but no bad operators that I've encountered. I haven't been on HF since 1970.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Lloyd" <Lloyd@alaqthwheresherb.com> wrote in message
news:asfl5aval0@pita.alt.net...
> Didn't you used to hang around with N5FX & WB4GDP
> and W1BWV on 14313 ? back in the hey days of BARF?

2929. Re: Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 04:33:43 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uur1da18f4c2f7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57119
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

Back in the 60s, W2OY was very well known. His cq went something like "cq cq de W2OY .... no lids, kids, space cadets, or school bus riders. Only class A operators on this frequency from W2OY". He was a classic. Actually, a very good friend of mine, Tim, WB2KAO, tells me he was a nice guy in person ... I think he enjoyed 'trolling' the radio the way some folks troll the newsgroups ;-)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
ps - I use Tim's machine quite a bit. Nice bunch on there. We became friends in 1963.

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message news:asg7ksipp1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> Back then in the 'heyday' it would have been WA1BWV. John bought his dead
> guys call.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

2930. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 04:58:26 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021203235826.06732.00000176@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 57120

Entire message is in respnse to K4YZ:

In a message dated 12/3/2002 5:01:11 AM Eastern Standard Time, k4yz@aol.com
writes:
>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 12/3/02 3:37 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021203043718.24190.00000165@mb-cv.aol.com>
>>Vshah101 wrote:
>
>>> And, no, I am not a creep or putz as N2EY accuses those that disagree with
>>him.
One other thing, Vipul...You have been told before about getting your
attributions correct. Jim Miccolis, N2EY, >>NEVER<< called you a "creep" or a
"putz". I did. And part of the reason I did was your frequent erroneous,
ill-concieved allegations, just like THIS one.
You owe Jim Miccolis an apology.
Steve, K4YZ

I am sorry, Jim Miccolis,N2EY. I thought you called me creep and putz, when
actually K4YZ did. By the way, neither putz, nor creep are in the dictionary.

In a message dated 12/3/2002 4:53:19 AM Eastern Standard Time, k4yz@aol.com
writes:
> Amateur Radio is anything BUT "meaningless".

Quote from long time Ham:
"I have lost interest in ham radio - it has lost it's magic. At first I was
disturbed by this, questioned it and even tried to make myself interested
again. As time went on I realized I have perhaps matured as a person and I had
broken free from those childhood (childish?) feelings and motivations.

I now realize I spent several years engaged in an activity with very little
tangible return - thousands of dollars and just as many hours in a MEANINGLESS
pursuit -a grown man playing with substitute toys as it were. If I had realized
this several years ago I would have lived a much more meaningful and productive
life I believe. I'm not bitter - just relieved, alive, and hopeful."

Wow.

>I have made that sincere effort. Also, I was open to the possibilities. At
>first, I thought it was just a bad club, so, foolishly, I tried another. Few
>clubs and Hamfests later, I have begun to understand what "Ham" radio really
>is. Ham Radio is being part of "something". Ham Radio is now an applicance
>operator's club.
So...In only ONE year of licensure as an Amateur Radio operator, you have

sufficient "evidence" to make such a damning claim? Since the folks at these clubs do not like what YOU like, ALL Amateur Radio is "boring"...?"!?!

I went to Hamfest with coworker Ham and joined club before I got my license. So, more than one year of Ham Radio.

Also, I have made the effort. I do ask nicely. I say what I'm interested in. I don't pretend to be interested in things I am not. (Last time I did that just to be friendly, someone kept talking to me about the thing I was not interested in. So, I learned it is better not to fake interest). A few people have claimed interest in my activities, only to be busy with something later. There just is not enough interest by Hams to justify the effort.

2931. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 05:16:33 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DED8F34.EC34842F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 57121

Brian wrote:

> When I pass the Extra exam, I won't be on RRAP telling unbelievable
> stories about how I did it:
>
> 1. on a Lark,
> 2. without Studying,
> 3. without any Lucky Guessing,
> 4. without Error,
> 5. in 8 minutes,
> 6. and collecting a $250 Bet from two unnamed CB-Plussers.
> 7. Then telling everyone else how stoopid they are.

You told us just a couple of weeks ago that you'd already passed the
Extra Class exam.

Dave K8MN

2932. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 06:06:17 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <asl0qi5131i@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 57134

Real Translation: Ham radio is an integral part of my life, not my whole life. That is part of the "principles and premises" of being an amateur radio licensee.

By the way, it would be "Great Dumb-down" not Grate Dumdown. Isn't that a little bit of the pot calling the kettle black.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

(snippage)
> >
> > > So......stats are stats, but they reveal a lot. Which is to say that they are
> > > often very misleading.
> >
> > Not everyone revolves their whole life around ham radio like you
> > and some others dickie. Most hams have a real life and treat ham
> > radio for what is is, a hobby to be pursued at leisure and have
> > fun instead of making it the only thing they have to live for.
>
> Translation: I really couldn't care less what happens to ham radio, after all it's no
> more than CB these days anyway. If you do, tough stuff, live with the Grate Dumdown.

2933. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 06:13:14 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <asl0qj6131i@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 57131

If I had a dollar for every person that felt that same way, I guess I would be able to take that year long vacation. It is a sad state when you have optomistic, upbeat new hams that encounter these groups of people in some of these clubs and get turned off.. I can see how people could become rude, crude, boorish and bitter like some select people here.....

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

> I have made that sincere effort. Also, I was open to the possibilities. At
> first, I thought it was just a bad club, so, foolishly, I tried another.
Few
> clubs and Hamfests later, I have begun to understand what "Ham" radio
really
> is. Ham Radio is being part of "something". Ham Radio is now an applicance
> operator's club.
>

2934. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 06:19:12 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <asl0qk7131i@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 57130

It was a comedy movie that came out a few years ago.... if you have cable
and have comedy central on that system, they have aired that movie at least
10 times in the past 12 months.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021202111506.07809.00009065@mb-mn.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"
> >From: "KC8PMX" kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net
> >Date: 12/2/02 2:48 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <asflbs31kmt@enews2.newsguy.com>
>
> >Did ya see the movie P.C.U.?
>
> P.C.U....?!?! Nope. What was it?
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>

2935. Re: Just got my new call and got back on HF!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 06:21:59 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <asl0ql8131i@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 57132

Good luck! Jeeshh, two TITs here, this is gonna be good. I can see the comments now! :)

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

"Denise/w3tit" <DeniseD@nospam.pavillage.net> wrote in message news:310983734F.3493875AA5@nospam.pavillage.net...
> After years on 2M fm, I upgraded to Extra, applied for a vanity call,
> and got back on HF with a brand new Icom 746PRO. Can't wait to meet
> the rest of you on the HF bands!!
>
> 73s,
> Denise/w3tit

2936. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 06:34:14 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <asl0qn9131i@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 57133

Wow.... not saying I agree or disagree with your comments below, but an actual well-thought-out commentary, fairly grammatically correct and spelling fairly decent..... I am surprised, and almost even impressed. Did you have someone write that for ya Dick?

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

> >
> > If it's so bad, what are you doing to fix it?
>
> In thinking about it over a pretty long period of time including lots of VE
> time, the conclusion I've reached goes something like this...
>
> What the easy tests have done is to have brought into ham radio a LOT of people
> who wouldn't have came under any circumstances if not for the VE system and the
> prepublished question pools. That is a good thing, but has a few peripheral
> effects which can and maybe must be considered to be negatives. One of those
> effects is that there can therefore exist a pool of licensed hams who really
> don't care much about ham radio nor about much of anything that is actually a
> part of it. It was all a near-freebie, to they took it just to be getting
> something that many consider of value for near-nothing.
>
> Many seem to not realize that fact until they had obtained one of the easy
> licenses -some still don't- and now some don't really feel like abandoning it in
> total, so what do they do? POST DRIVEL TO RRAP! It's the sum total of their
> amateur radio activity.
>
> Some of this very same category of persons never even bothered to obtain a
> license but hang out on ham radio newsgroups and post anyway. You find

them to be
> soulmates with those of the same group who did initially get a license but
seem
> to have never "got around" to doing anything constructive with it, or
anything at
> all.
>
> Some only wanted it for the notoriety of a vanity callsign, such as W5SEX
or sum
> such.
>
> Bottom line, the VE system and the question pools are probably a (GASP!)
> "good thing" in Martha Stewart vernacular, in that it does offer a route
into the
> hobby that makes it much less of an ordeal to accomplish, thus more
attractive to
> a busy generation of young and older adults, not to mention kids who have
loaded
> schedules that would have been unthinkable in earlier generations.
>
> The negative effects of the offshoots and the chaff which falls along the
way is
> mostly observable on rrap and a few other such limplimbed newsgroups. You
don't
> see a lot of it on the air, save a few outlaw groups on their chosen turf.
You
> don't see *any* of it on the CW/data portions of the bands.
>
> Sort of another version of those who "will", do. For those who "won't",
it
> really doesn't effectively matter. They just fall by the wayside and are
covered
> up by the sands of time and their own fallout.
>

2937. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 10:11:33 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021204051133.02988.00000232@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 57122

>Subject: Re: Would have been Extra sooner
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 12/3/02 3:09 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021203160902.13823.00001003@mb-fq.aol.com>

>To nearly every parent, Their children are geniuses, prodigies,
> etc., who are "always smarter, better, etc." than others.

The last time you asserted any "knowledge" of children or childraising
(specifically your suggestion over an age limit for Amateur Radio), you were
asked YOUR practical expereicen in child raising

You are again asked to provide that information.

73

Steve, K4YZ

2938. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 10:27:36 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021204052736.02988.00000233@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 57123

>Subject: Re: Would have been Extra sooner
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 12/3/02 3:09 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021203160900.13823.00001001@mb-fq.aol.com>

>Amateur radio is
> basically a hobby, an avocation involving radio activity, despite
> all the self-described patriotic bwahaha about being some kind
> of "service to the nation."

Amateur Radio IS a "service to the nation", and exactly what "service" the FCC expects from us is outlined in 47CFR97.1.

>Case in point: Part 95 radio-control of model aircraft and model
> vehicles.

Not germane in any way, shape or form to AMATEUR Radio.

>It is no "service
> to the nation" as important for security of the citizens, just
> a service for some hobbyists who enjoy their activity, an activity
> not essential to aeronautics or any other science involved.

Nor is such codified in 47CFR95. It >>IS<< codified in Part 97, however.

>Model aircraft flyers don't received such a Title and rarely
> append their AMA number to their name...or loudly proclaim
> their activity as "authorized by the Federal Communications
> Commission!"

Mostly because their AMA number is NOT internationally recognized, not do they participate in that hobby for the purpose of the RADIOS...The do it to make the model operate by remote control. The radio is just a tool.

In Amateur Radio, th RADIO is the reason we are here. It IS the reason we go to the effort to get licensed.

If you were licensed and participating in Amateur Radio, you MIGHT know this.

>Most radio amateurs scoff at model flyers' activities, trying to
> emphasize that they are "more adult" than those "playing with
> toys."

Ignorant and spiteful, at the very least. A boldface lie at it's worst.

Lennie...you were doing sooooooooo good this week. You're falling back, though...

I thought there was hope for you.

Steve, K4YZ

2939. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 10:57:53 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021204055753.02988.00000234@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 57124

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 12/3/02 10:58 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021203235826.06732.00000176@mb-dd.aol.com>

>Entire message is in respnse to K4YZ

"response".

>I am sorry, Jim Miccolis,N2EY. I thought you called me creep and putz, when
>actually K4YZ did. By the way, neither putz, nor creep are in the dictionary.

Yes, they are.

>Quote from long time Ham:...(SNIP)

No author...no attribute...no facts...Just an alleged quote from ONE
alleed Amateur Radio licensee.

>Wow.

"Wow" is right, Vipul. I am amazed that anyone would cite this quote and
not identify the author. Without that authentication, the cite itself is
MEANINGLESS. and in ANY case, it is ONLY the opinion of one person, and by any
stretch of the imagination, that opinion represents only 1/750,000th of the
overall Amateur population.

Even if there were 10,000 who held that opinion, it would still represent
less than 2% of all licensees. HARDLY what I would call "impressive".

>I went to Hamfest with coworker Ham and joined club before I got my license.
>So, more than one year of Ham Radio.

I see...

>Also, I have made the effort. I do ask nicely. I say what I'm interested in.
>I
>don't pretend to be interested in things I am not.

Then let's have a bit of a civics lesson here, Vipul.

You are residing in the United States. We have chosen a democracy-type
society which means, unfortunately for you, that "majority rules". This ALSO
means that, again unfortunately for you, that if the majority choses to do one
thing over another, that the minority can either join in, or may feel free to
NOT participate and go elsewhere.

Luckily for you, you ARE residing in the United States, because in the
U.S. the minority is free to go about their way WITHOUT following the

"majority". You are cordially invited to take that path, Vipul...No one in this forum will stop you from going about your way...

It would appear that you are insisting that Amateur Radio conform to YOUR choice of specific interests, lest we be branded "boring" and "meaningless". Ain't happening, Pal.

>There just is not enough interest by Hams to justify the effort.

Then I wholeheartedly suggest that you NOT participate in this forum anymore since it can ONLY be BORING and MEANINGLESS from YOUR perspective.

The majority of Amateurs are NOT going to stop "doing" what they like in order to placate YOU.

You are still at liberty to pursue WHATEVER activities YOU find interesting, however I think you will find that if you keep trying to brand and insult people who don't fit YOUR mold, you are going to be very lonely and continuously on "the outside" of whatever interest group you are lurking.

You seem to be a very bitter and shallow person, Vipul. You have had nothing but BITTER criticism of anything to do with Amateur Radio or Amateur Radio Operators since you "joined" this forum. You have not offered one bit of CONSTRUCTIVE criticism...just criticism.

May I suggest that you consider another hobby? Hang gliding, maybe?

Steve, K4YZ

2940. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 11:07:59 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021204060759.02988.00000235@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 57125

>Subject: Re: Would have been Extra sooner
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 12/3/02 8:01 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021203210152.05598.00000095@mb-df.aol.com>

>Its only-coders, who are opposed to learning the material.

Here you are with this "only-coders" thing again, and you are STILL
ignorant of the fact that while one CAN be an Amateur without knowing the Morse
Code, one cannot be an Amateur without having passed the written exam.

Ergo, there is no such thing as an "only coder".

>I
>don't want to challenge you, but you have given no indication that you are
>not
>a CBplusser, WA8LUX.

Bruce is an Extra Class licensee, Vipul, but he is an ignorant,
functionally illiterate, trolling Extra Class licensee. He is the very epitome
of the term "white trailer trash", and should be considered "personna non
grata" when it comes to his assertions of "CBPlussers".

If you take ANYTHING he has to say with any seriousness, you are making a
fool out of yourself. That's why keep Brucie in the killfile.

Steve, K4YZ

2941. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 12:54:41 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212040454.7d6b2a59@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57126

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3DED8F34.EC34842F@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > When I pass the Extra exam, I won't be on RRAP telling unbelievable
> > stories about how I did it:
> >
> > 1. on a Lark,
> > 2. without Studying,
> > 3. without any Lucky Guessing,
> > 4. without Error,
> > 5. in 8 minutes,
> > 6. and collecting a $250 Bet from two unnamed CB-Plussers.
> > 7. Then telling everyone else how stoopid they are.
>
> You told us just a couple of weeks ago that you'd already passed the
> Extra Class exam.
>
> Dave K8MN

Yes, to both.

2942. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 12:57:05 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212040457.696d4f39@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57127

brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0212030328.7d7cfbb@posting.google.com>...
> wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021202220020.19670.00000516@mb-ba.aol.com>...
> > >Which is why I say that Bruce's claim is not believable: Passed Extra
> > >on a lark, without studying, without any lucky guessing, scored 100%,
> > >in 8 minutes, reading every question, did the math in his head, and
> > >took $250 from a couple of "CB-Plussers." Not hardly.
> >
> > You dont have to believe it Brian, it doesnt matter what you believe, facts
> > are facts and you cant handle it. I think the only reason you dont believe it
> > is because your to stupid to pass it.
>
> So now you have facts? All I've heard were unsubstantiated and unbelievable boasts.
>
> You can present your facts at any time. Like now.

I guess there aren't any facts.

2943. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 13:00:21 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212040500.64578f47@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57128

N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0212030810.2431fba8@posting.google.com>...
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DEB4E35.F5466334@townsqr.com>...
> > N2EY wrote:
> >
> > >Of course some of us can still figure out how to put up a simple wire antenna, feed it
and get on the air.
> >
> > But not
>
> [N0IMD]
>
> > He's gotta have "advice".
>
> Then, as more-experienced and more-knowledgeable amateurs helping the
> less-experienced and less-knowledgeable, we can provide that advice.
>
> Which I did back on June 27, in the thread "Sixty Meter Band
> Planning". Gave links to two antenna articles tailored to the
> conditions described. Both articles described simple, inexpensive,
> easily-built antennas.

Speaking of . . . there's a new Canadian 60m ham station on the air
"doing propagation studies" a la the ARRL and he's already worked a
bunch of U.K. dxcc entities on 60m. The first 60m dxing is a done
deal. NTIA aside.

>
> Has that "advice" been acted on in the intervening 5 months? I don't
> think so. Certainly not for lack of time, as evidenced by the huge
> number of posts here written by the person requesting the "advice".
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> "Live by the foma that make you brave and kind and healthy and happy"
> - Bokonon

w3rv

2944. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 13:08:08 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212040508.5433661e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57129

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20021203075143.14881.00000375@mb-ct.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0212030056.5d0bd9c6@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:

> >> - TVI reduction (almost all Generals and Conditionals on 'phone used 10
> >meters)
> >
> >"TVI reduction"? Yeah, right.
>
> That was one of the reasons.
>
> Back in those days, non-Class A hams congregated on 10 meters to use 'phone
> because 160 was all chewed up with LORAN and power restrictions. Plus mobile on
> 10 was very popular.

Ten was the equivalent of 2m today for neighborhood comms and for a
good reason. There wasn't *any* 2m commercial gear available, none,
zip, much less any 2m mobile gear. 2m then was the earlier version of
the 2400 Mhz. band we have today. Roll yer own or fuhgeddit.

Those 10m AM mobile rigs were huge and ya didn't just plug 'em into
the cigarette lighter either. Unless you had a 35ï¿½vdc cigartette
lighter. Mag-mount & lip mount antennas? Ball & spring mount eight
foot whips or fuhgeddit again. Nor were they FM which is mostly
unaffected by ignition noise and the ignition systems of those days
were incredibly leaky noisy *junk* compared with today's. A decent 10m
AM mobile rig involved a lotta work & a lotta expense. I'd love to
know how many nocodes would go thru those pushups today just to get on
the air from the car.

It was one of those lashups which led me to ham radio. I'd been
building small swl rcvrs but didn't have any direct contact with a ham
until the night I grabbed the opportunity to be the Cub Scout message
runner for Gene Reynolds w3ean who was "the mobile ham" for our little
burg during a late '40s CD drill. That cooked it, been uphill ham
reddio for me ever since.

Popular yes, lotta press, but the net result was that there were
actually very few mobiles on the ham bands back then on a percentage
or on any other basis. Helluva lot of home stations parked on 10
though.

Since I'm once again into belaboring fundamentally irrelevant ancient
history I'll also point out that the first ham repeaters also popped
up in that timeframe. The long-defunct Delco Radio Club (w3duu) was
basically a collection of WW2 radio wizards from the Philly Navy Base

comms labs.

Us Novices who belonged to the club were their Field Day boy tools, we did the trees & aerials, the usual. But they did the Repeater from Hell. Input was on 10m AM, mobile or fixed, din matter. The output was on 75m. Which was OK in those days because the mobile rigs were usually separates which could talk 10 and listen 75. Multi-Elmacs, etc. Which was really cool because they could run 75m "skip simplex" with quite distant stations and/or with each other when transmitting on 10m. Full duplex was easy with crossband reversed splits.

Which ya cannot do with the 2m machines today. The 10m machines are a close as ya can get now with what they did 1n 1947 or so. But only when the spots are up. The spots doan mean squat +/- on 75 so thier thing was always "up".

It was the output side of their monstrosity on 75m . . . a bloody 833 100% modulated by a pair 'o 813s . . The 813s alone ran a Kw. Was not in accordnce with an ARRL bandplan or "coordinated" either . .

Could be that hams had repeaters up and running on the air long before the Motorolas of the world finally got the drift. Or were able to sell it. Versus the folk lore about ham repeaters being the result of commercial & emergency services castoffs 2ï¿½ years later.

> >15M being the standard IF freq of the TV
> >rcvrs of those days. Whatta disaster THAT was. Musta been one of
> >Brainiac's precursors who came up with that one.
>
> Was so bad that some mfrs. sold kits to move the IF to 41 MHz.

41-42 Mhz IFs were another masterpice in stupidity . . . 45 Mhz woulda worked. And we got to pay for it. Dumshits.

> >
> >> >> build their own rigs today?
> >> >
> >> >Exactly.
> >>
> >> Well, there's me. Anybody else?
> >
> >Deafening silence . . .
>
> Don't forget Dan Tayloe, N7VE and his revolutionary NorCal 30 transceiver. That
> really is a "red hot radio".

I dumped the whole .pdf in. Red Hot unwanted sideband, direct conversion is for the Tuna Tin types.

> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

2945. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 14:09:07 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DEE1AC7.D2B23632@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57135

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote
>
> : If my son had wanted to take the Tech test, as
> : a youg child, I would make darn sure that he knew the material.
>
> Here's a BFO* for you..... perhaps, in fact most likely, the parents of the
> children in question did exactly the same thing (made darn sure that they
> knew the material).

Why would they do that? If they wanted him to pass the test they'd make sure
he knew the answers to the questions. There *is* a difference,you know.

2946. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 14:15:58 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021204091558.10389.00007194@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 57136

>I guess there aren't any facts.
>

I guess Im not going to give them to you. I dont have to prove anything to you and Im not going to. The only real fact is your to stupid to pass the Extra.

2947. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 15:28:04 UTC
From: kb9rvb@arrl.net
Message-ID: <77f1797e.0212040728.6f38f639@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57137

I am so sorry that this dialogue has degenerated into this.
I'm outta here.

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20021203160901.13823.00001002@mb-fq.aol.com>...
> In article <3DEC0D7E.A5232EA6@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
> writes:
>
> >Carl Zager wrote:
> >
> >> I wonder whether HF provides enough interest in itself to
> >> contribute to General and Extra numbers higher than what we are
> >> seeing.
> >
> > You raise a valid point. Today's "easy DX" makes HF an entirely different
> >animal to
> >beginning hams than it was not that many years ago. Satellites and the space
> >program, instant
> >common worldwide communications via both telephone circuits and the Internet
> >naturally make
> >it so. To lots of us it was an entirely different view when there was no such
> >easy and
> >commonplace, routine long distance comms.
>
> February 1953: No satellites, no troposcatter systems, and only a
> partial worldwide telephone-teleprinter long-distance communications
> system. No personal computers, hardly any transistors useable on
> HF, no integrated circuits yet, frequency synthesizers were still
> being developed in electronic laboratories.
>
> Yet, the US military, a few other militaries and some commercial
> communications service companies had already established a
> worldwide 24/7 communications on HF. Except for a rare solar
> storm, such worldwide communications was COMMONPLACE
> and ROUTINE. On HF. Known.
>
> Where was dickiebird in that month? Not drafted yet, perhaps
> worried about being taken in while still a civilian? Did he already
> know everything about radio and had the stupefying skill level in
> morse code? Did he ever work in any REAL military radio after
> being drafted? Did he ever learn the distinction between manual
> telegraphy and teleprinter telegraphy? Did he have the knowledge
> of Shannon's Laws formulated six years before (he didn't know of
> them 40+ years later)?

2948. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 15:33:38 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212040733.309ead0c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57138

vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) wrote in message
news:<20021203235826.06732.00000176@mb-dd.aol.com>...
> Entire message is in respnse to K4YZ:

I'm going to comment anyway.
>
> In a message dated 12/3/2002 5:01:11 AM Eastern Standard Time, k4yz@aol.com
> writes:
> >Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
> >From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
> >Date: 12/3/02 3:37 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <20021203043718.24190.00000165@mb-cv.aol.com>
> >>Vshah101 wrote:
>
> >>> And, no, I am not a creep or putz as N2EY accuses those that disagree with
> >>him.

Why did you repeat this false accusation - again?

> One other thing, Vipul...You have been told before about getting your
> attributions correct. Jim Miccolis, N2EY, >>NEVER<< called you a "creep" or a
> "putz". I did. And part of the reason I did was your frequent erroneous,
> ill-concieved allegations, just like THIS one.
> You owe Jim Miccolis an apology.
> Steve, K4YZ
>
> I am sorry, Jim Miccolis,N2EY.

Apology accepted.

> I thought you called me creep and putz, when
> actually K4YZ did.

Next time read what was actually written before you accuse somebody.

> By the way, neither putz, nor creep are in the dictionary.

You're not looking in the right dictionary, then.
>
> In a message dated 12/3/2002 4:53:19 AM Eastern Standard Time, k4yz@aol.com
> writes:
> > Amateur Radio is anything BUT "meaningless".
>
> Quote from long time Ham:
> "I have lost interest in ham radio - it has lost it's magic. At first I was
> disturbed by this, questioned it and even tried to make myself interested
> again. As time went on I realized I have perhaps matured as a person and I had

> broken free from those childhood (childish?) feelings and motivations.
>
> I now realize I spent several years engaged in an activity with very little
> tangible return - thousands of dollars and just as many hours in a MEANINGLESS
> pursuit -a grown man playing with substitute toys as it were. If I had realized
> this several years ago I would have lived a much more meaningful and productive
> life I believe. I'm not bitter - just relieved, alive, and hopeful."
>
> Wow.

That's a quote from ONE "long time ham". Here's another:

"I've been a ham for many years, starting out as a teenager. Ham radio
is more fun for me now than ever before. There are more things to do,
more hams to QSO, more contests and operating activities, more bands,
more modes, better equipment, more resources. And it's all less
expensive than ever before."

"My biggest ham radio problem is frustration because I don't have
nearly enough time, space or money to do all the ham radio things I
would like to do. But that's just life. So I do the things I like best
and let the rest wait for another day."

"I do lots of things besides ham radio. But it's a great source of fun
and adventure for me, and has been since I got started. I look forward
to many more years on the ham bands."

> >I have made that sincere effort. Also, I was open to the possibilities. At
> >first, I thought it was just a bad club, so, foolishly, I tried another. Few
> >clubs and Hamfests later, I have begun to understand what "Ham" radio really
> >is. Ham Radio is being part of "something". Ham Radio is now an applicance
> >operator's club.
> So...In only ONE year of licensure as an Amateur Radio operator, you have
> sufficient "evidence" to make such a damning claim? Since the folks at these
> clubs do not like what YOU like, ALL Amateur Radio is "boring"...?"!?!
>
> I went to Hamfest with coworker Ham and joined club before I got my license.
> So, more than one year of Ham Radio.
>
> Also, I have made the effort. I do ask nicely. I say what I'm interested in. I
> don't pretend to be interested in things I am not. (Last time I did that just
> to be friendly, someone kept talking to me about the thing I was not
> interested
> in. So, I learned it is better not to fake interest). A few people have claimed
> interest in my activities, only to be busy with something later. There just is
> not enough interest by Hams to justify the effort.

I think I understand what Vshah's problem is, Steve.

Most of the hams I know are hams because of interest in radio itself.
Usually an interest in actually OPERATING radios, just for the heck of
it. IOW, radio for its own sake. Such interest takes a wide variety of

forms, from contesting to RC to APRS to public service. And while it's nice to be surrounded by local hams who enjoy the same things, such local support is not essential, because they will seek out other hams with similar interests. The Internet makes this easy - if there are no local hams interested in, say, satellites, you can find aplenty of hams interested in satellites in the various newsgroups, reflectors, etc. Plenty of books and other resources, too.

It seems that what Vshah really wants is for other local hams in his area to be interested in the same things he's interested in, and follow HIS guidelines and requests. For example, his local club should do Field Day the way HE envisions it, and the more experienced hams should bend over backwards to show and teach him everything he wants to know. His "effort" consists of going to a local hamfest or two, and a Field Day, and being disappointed because the locals he's met aren't interested in the same things as he. And, for him, it's not worth the effort to do those things on his own. Unless he can be the leader and dictate what is and is not "boring", he doesn't want to play.

Adding to this confusion are Vshah's use of words and phrases like "X is boring and meaningless" without qualifying them ("X is boring and meaningless TO ME") which makes him sound like he is putting down practically everything most hams like to do. Phrases like "appliance operator" and comparing Morse to four year olds' toys sure don't make people want to help him.

--

I know a local ham who was frustrated by the way the local clubs did FD. So he acquired a generator and tent, built a portable antenna system, generator shelter and extension cords. Found a good location, got permission, and proceeded to do a solo FD effort. Had a ton of fun and made more points solo than the local clubs he'd worked with before. A lot of work but well worth the effort because radio was the prime interest.

Then a funny thing happened. The local clubs got wind of this ham's activities and invited him to do FD with them again. They were a lot more open to his ideas and methods than they had been before, because of his proven track record. One year they even used his callsign for the club FD effort.

You catch more flies with honey than with vinegar, Vshah.

N2EY

2949. Re: Just got my new call and got back on HF!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 15:38:19 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212040738.7a8c2e4f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57139

"K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:<3IdH9.2983$kz2.334458@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...

> Like Dave, I think this twit is a simple (as in 'not too bright') troll, but
> just in case she's for real, I sent a quick note to rholling@fcc.gov asking
> him to watch for this application and deal with it.

Although the subject title says that the call has been received, it's
not in the database on QRZ.

Did the Troll-O-Meter move at all for that one, Hans?

73 de Jim, N2EY

This mud is very happy today

2950. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 15:46:20 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212040746.1b2856e8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57140

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20021202051737.14881.00000351@mb-ct.aol.com>...

> >6H6s, all hollow state triodes are 6B6s, etc. I don't place much value
> >on being anal literal, RRAP ain't an "engineering office".
>
> Understood. Point I was making is that one late-'40s invention did not suddenly
> make all of hollowstate electronics obsolete.

We're agreed here.

> >in dead storage. The highest P/S voltage I need today is the 34vdc for
> >the clunker PA "B+". No 866s req'd. Or permitted at this point.
>
> Do none of your computer displays use CRTs?

That's a semantics thing, 'tis questionable to call a 'puter screen
part of a ham station. We can all run phone/cw all day all nite
without a 'puter. I run CAD all day all nite with this CRT and that
sure ain't ham radio. Dual use.

Fact is that CRTs are headed for the bit bucket of electronics history
too. LCD screens are coming down the pike at a huge rate and they're
great. Cometh the the first decent 19" LCD screen for under $500 and
this creaky abominable old 21" CRT lands on the curb on trash day.
I'll give it two more years and I'll be "hollow-state cleansed".

You better squirrel away some NOS CRTs while they're still out there,
ya never know what the tube-huggers will pay for 'em. Someday.

> >they're much more expensive than the equivalent tube amaps. By a
> >factor of two or three so far.
>
> The exception that proves the rule.

When do the exceptions become the rule? That's gonna happen in the
case of solid vs. hollow state high-power amps. For absolute certain.

> >with knobs ya gotta dork with, etc. There are flocks of hams who have
> >taken the big hits in their wallets to buy that capability.
>
> And hordes who have not.

Point is that the option does exist, we takes 'em or we don't, the
technology isn't the problem.

> >I disagree with that. Profusley. Pricey performance & features in all
> >respects obviously sold big back when and still sell big today. You
> >don't think for one minute that I'd buy an MFJ amp just because

> >they're cheap do ya?
>
> That's you. Most hams will diddle a few knobs to get the suds.

Is not the discussion and it ain't just me at all, there are (at least) hundreds of solid state legal limit HF amps out there already. The discussion is solid state devices being able to do anything anywhere in ham radio tubes can do and in general they do it much better.

With the current costs I wouldn't do a solid state amp, I'm not into taking shots at the boxes, would never happen under any condx so yeah I'll stick with the knobs for now.

Beyond the box chasers solid state knobless amps have application for the lazy & inept, I suspect that's a bigger market driver than high-speed spots-pouncing. Imagine Brainiac loose wih a Henry 3K or an Alpha. He'd toast it and prolly himself too in less than five minutes. Which would only leave The Putz around here for comic relief. Ugh.

> >There were a lotta misguided attempts to jump into solid state HF ham
> >rcvrs before the state of the art could be performance-competitive vs.
> >tubes. The HRO-500 was the disaster radio which sank National's boat
> >for instance.
>
> But -but - it had a syntheszier and everyhting! It was state-of-the-art! It was
> electropolitically correct!

So is 20m spread rectum. All the "synthesizer" in that thing did was create wall-to-wall birdies and noise. One of the flat-out worst rcvrs I ever tuned since my daze with the S-40B. But I worked a helluva lotta dx on 80 with the S-40 which I'll just bet I couldn't have copied with the HRO-500.

> >
> >The design of the devices improved.
>
> Not just that. Their applications evolved. Note how the use of active mixers in
> highperformance solidstate receiver designs has all but disappeared.

Normal, orderly evolution.

> >Plus the current programmable chipsets allow quick & easy features to
> >be added which we didn't have in toob days either. 40-bit DSP with
> >6H6s . . RIGHT!
>
> Ahh, but there's a basic factoid here: DSP cannot put back dynamic range that
> is lost in the stages ahead of it.

Amen. DSP ain't gonna "arrive" until it happens somewhere up close to the coax connector. The el cheapo 500Hz xtal cw filter in my lame litle underdash TS-50 xcvr has a far better shape factor than any of the "sharp filters" in my whizzy all-DSP NRD-545.

> >
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

2951. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 16:01:34 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212040801.1f00496f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57141

brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message > >

> > Has that "advice" been acted on in the intervening 5 months? I don't
> > think so. Certainly not for lack of time, as evidenced by the huge
> > number of posts here written by the person requesting the "advice".
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Jim, its up. I just don't have 60M privs yet. Do you? Brian

Operating on 60m is legal in Alaska. MOVE. And we thank you for
cleaning up the neighborhood.

w3rv

2952. Re: WA8ULX: twit filter fodder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 16:22:26 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021204112226.25792.00002507@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 57142

In article <123192412.0023192808@ncvillage.net>, dj2301@nospam.ncvillage.net (Dean Johnson) writes:

>Pontificating morons sit there on their Internet training wheels (AOL)
>and pretend to have technical credibility while they can't even quote
>Usenet posts properly or understand the rudiments of the Internet and
>TCP/IP. Then they compound the problem by posting their drivel over
>and over again to the point where their posts look like spam. Time to
>make an exception and not simply skip over the posts.
>
>Welcome to my kill file, Moron. You are history.

The only pontificating morons I've ever seen on Usenet are those who make disparaging remarks about AOL users and say "welcome to my killfile" -- as if the person so "welcomed" gave a $hit. What a total waste of the time it took for you to compose that compost. If you're gonna killfile someone, just do it. But don't pontificate about it, you moron!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2953. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 16:22:27 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021204112227.25792.00002508@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 57143

In article <20021124163706.17289.00000610@mb-mr.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>>The only mistake made by the ARRL/FCC
>>was not to allow full grandfathering of extant General-class licensees
>>to the Extra class.
>
>FCC was concerned about the knowledge and skills of existing hams, not just
>newcomers. Grandfathering would have set up an uneasy situation where the new
>folks had to meet much tougher requirements than those with existing
>licenses.
>And the desired effects would take a long time to percolate through the ARS
>because only newcomers would have to meet the new requirements.
>
>FCC initially rejected all grandfathering suggestions. In fact, FCC wanted to
>demote Advanceds to General class because all existing Advanceds had taken
>their tests more than 10 years earlier. FCC wanted to replace the Advanced
>with
>a new "Amateur First Class" license that would require 16 wpm code (!), a new
>written, and 1 year experience as a General or Conditional. The initial FCC
>subband restrictions were even more draconian than what was eventually put
>into
>place. It was all the ARRL could do to shoot that down and get existing
>Advanceds grandfathered.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

Jim:

I guess I was addressing the issue from a "20/20 hindsight" point-of-view. You obviously have the best handle on the history of Incentive Licensing of anyone I know. Still, as a Post Incentive-Licensing ham, I don't consider it to have been
a bad thing. If I had been a General or Advanced at the time, I'm sure my outlook would be quite different. However, I like to think that, like yourself, I
would have picked myself up, dusted myself off, and gone out and taken the tests for Extra.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2954. Re: Just got my new call and got back on HF!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 16:24:07 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <H_pH9.4003$hM3.469953@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57144

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

:
: Did the Troll-O-Meter move at all for that one, Hans?
:

Not even a twitch. Apparently Denise has very low field strength.

:
: This mud is very happy today
:

Be careful you do not get caught in pool-pah.

73, de Hans, K0HB

2955. Re: no code debate

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 16:39:40 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021204113940.25792.00002510@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 57145

In article <3DE993BA.84A4F786@mailcity.net>, JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
writes:

(snippage)

>no good to me After I get it and I Donot See why I should get
>Some-
>thing that I do not need Please see what you can do my Distance of
>Use is 25 miles.
> U. S. Hubbell
>QST for June, 1928
> *******
>
>Hey Larry, in reference to spelling, grammar, and punctuation, how
>is that for a long sentence?

That was a pretty bad one, JJ. However, it helped me understand why
this poor fellow couldn't learn the Morse code.

73 de Larry, K3LT

2956. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 16:39:41 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021204113941.25792.00002511@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 57146

In article <gnpG9.8604$yy.1169526@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:

>"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote
>:
>: Hansl:
>:
>: Jim is an educated and intelligent person, who is qualified to make such
>: judgments as "people who disregard proper spelling and grammar
>: just don't care." What I find anal-retentive/condescending is your
>: criticism of someone who is trying to uphold the accepted language
>: standards once universally understood and followed by every high-
>: school graduate, but distressingly absent even from some of today's
>: university students.
>:
>: 73 de Larry, K3LT
>:
>
>What's your badge number?
>
>73, Hansl

Hansl:

I don't know what you're talking about. I do carry a plastic ID badge that I have to swipe in the door-thingy to get into the building where I work -- but I'm not giving out that number here!

73 de Larry, K3LT

2957. Re: Just got my new call and got back on HF!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 17:00:18 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uusdkuev9u7v44@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57147

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:asl0ql8131i@enews1.newsguy.com...
> Good luck! Jeeshh, two TITs here, this is gonna be good. I can see the
> comments now! :)
>
>
> --
> Ryan, KC8PMX
> FF/MFR
> REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
>
>
>
> "Symbolism is for the simple minded....."
>
>

Heh heh...well, if it were true (and it's not) then a new "worked all" class could be started. For Dave Heil's sake, I'll won't mention what "worked all" it would be **GRIN**

Kim W5TIT

2958. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 17:03:45 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uusdrdk5jssrc1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57148

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021204052736.02988.00000233@mb-cq.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Would have been Extra sooner
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 12/3/02 3:09 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <20021203160900.13823.00001001@mb-fq.aol.com>
>
> >Amateur radio is
> > basically a hobby, an avocation involving radio activity, despite
> > all the self-described patriotic bwahaha about being some kind
> > of "service to the nation."
>
> Amateur Radio IS a "service to the nation", and exactly what
"service" the
> FCC expects from us is outlined in 47CFR97.1.
>

It is designated as a Service by the FCC in no more different a way than CB,
or any other radio hobby, is. To the FCC it is defined as a service
(because the gov't. obviously should not endorse or legislate a hobby) but
to many hams it is a hobby. For me, it is a hobby first and a service
second.

Kim W5TIT

2959. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 17:27:48 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <asldu0$ga5$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57149

Brian,

Check your shoes old boy...you just stepped in it...again.

Dan/W4NTI

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0212040454.7d6b2a59@posting.google.com...
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DED8F34.EC34842F@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> >
> > > When I pass the Extra exam, I won't be on RRAP telling unbelievable
> > > stories about how I did it:
> > >
> > > 1. on a Lark,
> > > 2. without Studying,
> > > 3. without any Lucky Guessing,
> > > 4. without Error,
> > > 5. in 8 minutes,
> > > 6. and collecting a $250 Bet from two unnamed CB-Plussers.
> > > 7. Then telling everyone else how stoopid they are.
> >
> > You told us just a couple of weeks ago that you'd already passed the
> > Extra Class exam.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Yes, to both.

2960. Re: Just got my new call and got back on HF!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 17:37:11 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aslefipps1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57150

Perhaps we can get someone to vanity to a W?TAT. Then we could have....Tit for Tat.

Dan/W4NTI

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message news:asl0ql8131i@enews1.newsguy.com...
> Good luck! Jeeshh, two TITs here, this is gonna be good. I can see the
> comments now! :)
>
>
> --
> Ryan, KC8PMX
> FF/MFR
> REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
>
>
>
> "Symbolism is for the simple minded....."
>
>
> "Denise/w3tit" <DeniseD@nospam.pavillage.net> wrote in message
> news:310983734F.3493875AA5@nospam.pavillage.net...
> > After years on 2M fm, I upgraded to Extra, applied for a vanity call,
> > and got back on HF with a brand new Icom 746PRO. Can't wait to meet
> > the rest of you on the HF bands!!
> >
> > 73s,
> > Denise/w3tit
>
>

2961. Re: Mr. Hollingsworth make an show on 3.865khz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 17:39:31 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aslejv$q6c$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57151
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

What a dumb assumption. One does not need to check in to monitor. Look at
you....thats all you do....

Dan/W4NTI

"R-75 MAN" <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com> wrote in message
news:3ded71a3$1_1@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
> At about 7:30pm my time, during a net that operates there, Mr.
Hollingsworth
> checked in. I suppose he is gonna monitor the frequnecy based on
complaints
> made by Criss, W8CH. Criss is the victim of a QRM campaign organized by
> newsgroupie, KR4AJ.
>
> Stay tuned to 3.865 khz the next few nites, sounds like it could get
> interesting!
>
> And as always....
>
> --
> Jesus Christ is coming in your lifetime - R.G. Stair
> "The bulletin service is here to stay"- K1MAN
>
>

2962. Re: Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 17:43:38 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aslerm$2mi$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57152
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

I think it was more like this;

CQ CQ CQ THIS IS W2OY...NO KIDS, NO LIDS, NO WA's NO WB's NO SPACE CADETS
PLEASE....CLASS A OPERATORS ONLY W2OY KAY SOMEONE PLEASE.

He answered me once. Told me I was weak and puny with poor modulation and
to grow some hair the next time I called him. I was 15 or so at the time.
Never did call him again..grin.

Dan/W4NTI

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:uur1da18f4c2f7@corp.supernews.com...
> Back in the 60s, W2OY was very well known. His cq went something like "cq
> cq de W2OY .... no lids, kids, space cadets, or school bus riders. Only
> class A operators on this frequency from W2OY". He was a classic.
> Actually, a very good friend of mine, Tim, WB2KAO, tells me he was a nice
> guy in person ... I think he enjoyed 'trolling' the radio the way some
folks
> troll the newsgroups ;-)
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
> ps - I use Tim's machine quite a bit. Nice bunch on there. We became
> friends in 1963.
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:asg7ksipp1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Back then in the 'heyday' it would have been WA1BWV. John bought his
dead
> > guys call.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
>

2963. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 17:43:49 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212040943.39a5bd20@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57153

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021203042018.24190.00000164@mb-cv.aol.com>...

> Please list the VE sessions that you have atteneded/participated in from
> which to make such a proclimation.

Steve,

Do you really think you will get a list, or any sort of logical
response to that request?

> No one said they had to UNDERSTAND the questions OR the answers

Another BFOO, but it was necessary.

> The FCC, in all it's wisdom, took that away when it "legalized" verbatim Q & A
> manuals.

Actually, it was taken away long before that point. When FCC went to
all-multiple-choice, it became possible to pass the written exams
without demonstrating comprehension. That was about 1960-61.

Some would say that the change happened even earlier, when the essays
disappeared. Diagrams can be memorized without understanding how a
circuit actually functions.

> As pointed out above, you have forgotten the other option...The child
> memorized enough of the questions from rote memorization to be able
> to "answer" the questions. This is NOT cheating under present FCC/VEC rules
> as long as the
> applicant does not use said "study guide" during the test itself.

Another BFOO. But some folks just don't get it, Steve.

Actual memorization is not needed, either - just enough
word-association to pick the right answer out of the four presented.
As was shown in the "far-field/power density/inverse square" example.

Just the other day I watched and listened as a first-grader read the
back of a ketchup bottle, rattling off words like "cholesterol" and
"carbohydrate" without missing a beat. Does the child fully understand
those terms? No, but the child could recognize them and read them. And
if asked, could give the response "something in food" or "something in
ketchup".

> I'd rather see 10,000 under-12 licensees than ONE of
> them out playing with drugs, illegal guns or premarital sex.

YES!! If ham radio keeps even a few kids out of those problems, or
even diminishes their appeal, that's a VERY GOOD THING.

> How dare you "accuse" anyone of ANY kind of "fraud" who took advantage of
> codified regulations to get what they want.

It's called "trolling", Steve.

> Actually, the TEST itself (content, manner of administration) is quite
> appropriate...It's the open selling of "study guides" that give away the
> answers verbatim that is a "sham".

FCC doesn't think so. Here's why: FCC has not had lots of problems
because hams don't have a thorough comprehension of the technical
aspects of the test. In fact, FCC's biggest problem with hams is those
few who violate the rules wrt operating (jamming, not IDing,
obscenity/profanity, uncoordinated repeaters, etc.). Technical
troubles are almost off the FCC radar screen wrt hams.

Do you see ANY examples of problems in the ARS being caused by the
licensing of young children who pass the required tests? I don't, and
those challenged to provide examples have none. That's the most
important fact.

Here's the real "problem", Steve. Here's what motivates somebody who
actually petitioned the FCC for an age requirement of 14 years for a
ham license:

(These are not MY ideas, just observations of another's arguments)

If young children are licensed, they might be hams for a very, very
long time. They might get their friends interested in radio,
increasing the ranks of hamdom still more. They will drag the
median/average age down dramatically. They may become interested in
engineering, radio or electronics as a career, and do well at it
because of an early background in the basics. Their interest in it may
keep them out of trouble, help them with their studies, and promote
responsibility and character development.

And it gets worse:

Young hams may not be satisfied with HTs and entry level licenses.
Many of them will learn more, upgrade, and become proficient and
experienced hams long before they are 14. They will be in control of
powerful radio stations, contacting other hams all over the world.
There could very well be tie-ins with their schools, Scouting, the
ISS, etc.

Still worse:

Young hams may actually learn, use and prefer Morse code for their
amateur radio operations, because it does not immediately reveal the
age and gender of the person using it, only their skill at using it.
Test or no test, if kids think something is cool, they'll move heaven
and earth to learn how to be good at it.

All of this may happen without giving FCC any problems, or requiring a huge influx of mandated programs to "save the children".

These are all VERY GOOD THINGS for amateur radio - which is exactly why at least one nonham is so against them.

Now do you understand what inspires those completely-unsubstantiated allegations, Steve? And why you'll never get any evidence of the allegations, just more trolls from the accuser?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2964. Re: Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 18:30:48 UTC
From: Larry Gauthier <larryg_at_ismi.net>
Message-ID: <uusiempadisabd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57154
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

Jim,

That's how I remember it - or at least how I remember it being told to me by the "old-timers" back in 1969 - when I was first licensed - and was a kid, a lid, and a WB - there was no way I was going to respond to the guy's CQ! ;-)

It's ironic that this discussion thread emerges after all of these years - pretty harmless fluff compared to some of the traffic you hear on the airways today -- but 33 years ago W2OY was a pretty radical dude.

-larry
K8UT

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message news:aslerm$2mi$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> I think it was more like this;
>
> CQ CQ CQ THIS IS W2OY...NO KIDS, NO LIDS, NO WA's NO WB's NO SPACE CADETS
> PLEASE....CLASS A OPERATORS ONLY W2OY KAY SOMEONE PLEASE.
>
>
> He answered me once. Told me I was weak and puny with poor modulation and
> to grow some hair the next time I called him. I was 15 or so at the time.
> Never did call him again..grin.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
...stuff deleted...

2965. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 18:36:43 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212041036.45739742@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57155

"K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:<C0OG9.654$kz2.94300@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...

> *stuppa -- Ask N2EY

Y'know, Hans - nobody's asked.

73 de Jim, N2EY

Tiger got to hunt
Bird got to fly
Man got to wonder "Why, why why?"

Tiger got to sleep
Bird got to land
Man got to think he understand

-Bokonon

2966. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 18:53:02 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021204135302.02653.00000009@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 57156

In article <d22b54c3.0212040746.1b2856e8@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>Amen. DSP ain't gonna "arrive" until it happens somewhere up close to
>the coax connector. The el cheapo 500Hz xtal cw filter in my lame
>litle underdash TS-50 xcvr has a far better shape factor than any of
>the "sharp filters" in my whizzy all-DSP NRD-545.
>
>> >
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>w3rv

Ah, yes, another "informed technical evaluation" based less on physical metrology than on some metaphysical laying-on of hands under the dashboard. :-)

If Kellie only does "CW," why does he have nearly $2K worth of state-of-the-art receiver (nearly another $1K for accessories) that is more suited for exceptional voice and data reception? For show rather than blow?

Does Kellie have so much money that he can afford the test equipment necessary to prove the "far better shape factor" of his TS-50 under-the-dashboard-dust-bag? Or is he simply blowing his usual superheated (non-technical) air? :-)

2967. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 18:53:03 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021204135303.02653.00000010@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 57157

In article <77f1797e.0212040728.6f38f639@posting.google.com>, kb9rvb@arrl.net (Carl Zager) writes:

>I am so sorry that this dialogue has degenerated into this.
>I'm outta here.
>
>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20021203160901.13823.00001002@mb-fq.aol.com>...
>> In article <3DEC0D7E.A5232EA6@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll
><dixie@townsqr.com>
>> writes:
>>
>> >Carl Zager wrote:
>> >
>> >> I wonder whether HF provides enough interest in itself to
>> >> contribute to General and Extra numbers higher than what we are
>> >> seeing.
>> >
>> > You raise a valid point. Today's "easy DX" makes HF an entirely different
>> >animal to
>> >beginning hams than it was not that many years ago. Satellites and the
>space
>> >program, instant
>> >common worldwide communications via both telephone circuits and the
>Internet
>> >naturally make
>> >it so. To lots of us it was an entirely different view when there was no
>such
>> >easy and
>> >commonplace, routine long distance comms.
>>
>> February 1953: No satellites, no troposcatter systems, and only a
>> partial worldwide telephone-teleprinter long-distance communications
>> system. No personal computers, hardly any transistors useable on
>> HF, no integrated circuits yet, frequency synthesizers were still
>> being developed in electronic laboratories.
>>
>> Yet, the US military, a few other militaries and some commercial
>> communications service companies had already established a
>> worldwide 24/7 communications on HF. Except for a rare solar
>> storm, such worldwide communications was COMMONPLACE
>> and ROUTINE. On HF. Known.

Carl Zager thinks factual history in radio is "degenerate?!?"

To those who live a fantasy belief that radio amateurs somehow
accomplished "everything in radio," factual history is heresy.

Perhaps Zager doesn't like the following...

>> Where was dickiebird in that month? Not drafted yet, perhaps
>> worried about being taken in while still a civilian? Did he already
>> know everything about radio and had the stupefying skill level in
>> morse code? Did he ever work in any REAL military radio after
>> being drafted? Did he ever learn the distinction between manual
>> telegraphy and teleprinter telegraphy? Did he have the knowledge
>> of Shannon's Laws formulated six years before (he didn't know of
>> them 40+ years later)?

The above is a simple summary of some of Richard Carroll's
many and insulting mentions in here over the past four years.
A reprise, an "encore" from the audience, the recipients of
some rather DEGENERATE personal insults directed at others
who did not agree with him on subjects.

2968. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 18:53:07 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021204135307.02653.00000011@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 57158

In article <uusdrdk5jssrc1@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>It is designated as a Service by the FCC in no more different a way than CB,
>or any other radio hobby, is. To the FCC it is defined as a service
>(because the gov't. obviously should not endorse or legislate a hobby) but
>to many hams it is a hobby. For me, it is a hobby first and a service
>second.
>
>Kim W5TIT

Throughout Title 47 CFR, "service" is a regulatory term denoting
a type and kind of radio activity.

Too many radio amateurs follow ARRL-implicit "definitions" as
being some kind of "service" to the nation or a "service" to the
community. One is perfectly free to do any sort of service to
the nation or to one's community without any amateur radio
license. The problem to those is that "community service"
doesn't carry the emotional/ego perk of a TITLE, a callsign
"authorized by the government." Self-defined "servicemen"
are apparently play-acting some fantasy game when they try
to align their avocational activity as some sort of paramilitary
or government-service doings.

Does the US government "endorse a hobby?" Not strictly
speaking that way, Part 95 Personal Radio Services, defines
Citizens Band Radio Service, Radio-Control Radio Service,
and Family Radio Service as "for personal use." Something
that is personal is not in the general definition of doing a
"service" (to nation, to community, etc.). The Titleists, the
self-glorificationists, scoff and denigrate such radio use
because those radio services do not require a Test or a
License nor does it require some stepped Guild/Profession/
Union proficiency and tenure to achieve "prominence" in that
activity. Without Titles, Rank, Class, Callsign "approved" or
"authorized" by the government, the unlicensed radio services
aren't attractive to those needing self-glorification.

The US government supports the National Forestry Service,
a rather remarkably large organization having an enormous
budget, staffed by paid and (sometimes) uniformed workers
to maintain and preserve national parks, monuments, and
land preserves. Such do not "serve" any direct need to the
nation but are for all the citizens to enjoy, to visit, to camp
in, to indulge in what some outdoorspeople call a hobby of

being outdoors in nature. Is camping out a hobby in the strict sense of the word? No, yet tens of thousands use that term just as others list sports activities as hobbies (sport is an athletic activity, usually in competition but not necessarily so). National parks serve no direct need to the nation for safety of citizens or communities and take up vast quantities of land that could be used for other more productive purposes.

Some radio amateurs are too focussed on emotional-ego aspects of their hobby activity and cannot handle the term "amateur" even though that is also a regulatory term meaning no pecuniary interest. They want to think of themselves as "professional" on an accomplishment-credential-license level and insist on the guild/union/professional stepping up "through the ranks" for all...as apparently they thought all had to do. Some radio amateurs insist on being called "hams" despite the origin of that appellation being derogatory and applied to early radio amateurs by early radio professionals. The resentment lingered for generations and still continues, some modern-day hams bitterly resenting the fact that hundreds of thousands of radio professionals exist, have existed, and will continue. Hams don't want to consider themselves "amateur" in any way because that is demeaning to their Titles, Statuses, and self-described wonderfulnesses. Those who overstress the use of "hams" are really IN the hobby for the sake of self-glorification, actual radio activity being secondary to that all-important self image. :-)

US amateur radio can be a fun hobby, an avocational recreational activity with social rewards as well as an intellectually-stimulating one (insight to science and technology). Because that activity emits EM waves, it must be regulated by the government in some way so that all RF emitters can co-exist relatively peacefully. The FCC does that regulation partly through licensing. Unfortunately, too many have ascribed too many things to license grants (the "academic credential" substitute) with callsign (title) and class (status)...all out of proportion to their worth...because those same individuals want and even Need self-glorification...or to make US amateur radio a WAY OF LIFE. In doing so, those same Seekers of Personal Glory insist that all do as they did since they are (to themselves) the "model" to emulate.

Those who take up amateur radio avocationally, as just a hobby, a fun thing to do in their spare time, are regarded as "second-class" and probably not fit to cohabit the planet with these self-defined Seekers. One result of that is the fun and games with the Seekers of Personal Glory in here, stoutly and pejoratativly maintaining they are "above" the plebians. They are SERVICEMEN! :-)

2969. Re: Mr. Hollingsworth make an show on 3.865khz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 20:14:44 UTC
From: "Mark S. Holden" <mark@bmas.org>
Message-ID: <3DEE6234.18879161@bmas.org>
Source record: 57159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> What a dumb assumption. One does not need to check in to monitor. Look at
> you....thats all you do....
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

Granted you don't need to check in to monitor, but if Hollingsworth checked in, he undoubtedly did it because he wanted people to know he was monitoring the frequency.

2970. Re: Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 20:28:49 UTC
From: B�gsn�rth th� �npr�d�ct�bl� <bogsnarth23@NOSPAM.THANKS.IMFULL.lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <5AtH9.4361$hM3.500759@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57160
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

"Mike Gallego" <capnmike@heaven.org> wrote in message
news:ENYFUFIC37594.8851041667@Gilgamesh-frog.org...

> Times have changed.

Judie says hi. Bet it's hot down there!

2971. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 20:44:44 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212041244.5c28090@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57161

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0212040746.1b2856e8@posting.google.com>...
> n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20021202051737.14881.00000351@mb-ct.aol.com>...
>
> That's a semantics thing, 'tis questionable to call a 'puter screen
> part of a ham station. We can all run phone/cw all day all nite
> without a 'puter. I run CAD all day all nite with this CRT and that
> sure ain't ham radio. Dual use.

How many FRCers don't have a computer CRT in the shack?

It's getting to the point that most hams who do any half-serious
contesting, homebrewing or dxing have a dedicated shack computer. Not
to mention digital mode types.

> > >they're much more expensive than the equivalent tube amaps. By a
> > >factor of two or three so far.
> >
> > The exception that proves the rule.
>
> When do the exceptions become the rule? That's gonna happen in the
> case of solid vs. hollow state high-power amps. For absolute certain.

First all solid state legal limit HF ham amp I ever saw was cover
story of QST. April, 1976. Expensive monster, but I figgered 3-500Zs
would soon be worthless. More'n a quarter century and they're still
expensive.
>
> > >with knobs ya gotta dork with, etc. There are flocks of hams who have
> > >taken the big hits in their wallets to buy that capability.
> >
> > And hordes who have not.
>
> Point is that the option does exist, we takes 'em or we don't, the
> technology isn't the problem.

Bingo. And it's not just technology that drives the ham market.

> > >I disagree with that. Profusley. Pricey performance & features in all
> > >respects obviously sold big back when and still sell big today. You
> > >don't think for one minute that I'd buy an MFJ amp just because
> > >they're cheap do ya?
> >
> > That's you. Most hams will diddle a few knobs to get the suds.
>

> Is not the discussion and it ain't just me at all, there are (at
> least) hundreds of solid state legal limit HF amps out there already.

Agreed. And tens of thousands of legal limit HF tube amps, some of 'em
30+ years old and still pounding out the RF.

> The discussion is solid state devices being able to do anything
> anywhere in ham radio tubes can do and in general they do it much
> better.

Except for a few niches, it's true that almost all functions can be
solidstated. "Better" depends on the definition.
>
> With the current costs I wouldn't do a solid state amp, I'm not into
> taking shots at the boxes, would never happen under any condx so yeah
> I'll stick with the knobs for now.

'zactly the point.
>
> Beyond the box chasers solid state knobless amps have application for
> the lazy & inept, I suspect that's a bigger market driver than
> high-speed spots-pouncing.

Could be.

> Imagine Brainiac loose wih a Henry 3K or an
> Alpha. He'd toast it and prolly himself too in less than five minutes.

Better yet, a homebrew grid-driven 4-1000 in linear service where ya
hafta watch the screen current or you'll have an expensive table lamp.

> Which would only leave The Putz around here for comic relief. Ugh.

Comic relief requires that the person actually be funny.

> > >There were a lotta misguided attempts to jump into solid state HF ham
> > >rcvrs before the state of the art could be performance-competitive vs.
> > >tubes. The HRO-500 was the disaster radio which sank National's boat
> > >for instance.
> >
> > But -but - it had a syntheszier and everyhting! It was state-of-the-art! It was
> > electropolitically correct!
>
> So is 20m spread rectum.

Etc.

> All the "synthesizer" in that thing did was
> create wall-to-wall birdies and noise. One of the flat-out worst rcvrs
> I ever tuned since my daze with the S-40B. But I worked a helluva
> lotta dx on 80 with the S-40 which I'll just bet I couldn't have
> copied with the HRO-500.

But - but - the '500 was designed by PROFESSIONALS! It was pioneering!
That old S-40 had METAL TUBES, fer gosh sakes! It cost a lot of money
and the professionals said it was "better"!! Most military HF rxs of
that time were closer in design to the '500 than to the S-40. How
could any of us lowly hams dare to argue with that?
>
> > >The design of the devices improved.
> >
> > Not just that. Their applications evolved. Note how the use of active mixers in
> > highperformance solidstate receiver designs has all but disappeared.
>
> Normal, orderly evolution.

Driven by a market that kept demanding better rigs.
>
> > >Plus the current programmable chipsets allow quick & easy features to
> > >be added which we didn't have in toob days either. 40-bit DSP with
> > >6H6s . . RIGHT!
> >
> > Ahh, but there's a basic factoid here: DSP cannot put back dynamic range that
> > is lost in the stages ahead of it.
>
> Amen. DSP ain't gonna "arrive" until it happens somewhere up close to
> the coax connector.

It will always need some sort of roofing filter to limit what the DAC
encounters.

> The el cheapo 500Hz xtal cw filter in my lame
> litle underdash TS-50 xcvr has a far better shape factor than any of
> the "sharp filters" in my whizzy all-DSP NRD-545.

But - but - it's DSP! It's gotta be "better" - the PROFESSIONALS IN
RADIO told us so! How dare we hams question their pronouncements?

73 de Jim, N2EY

2972. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 21:21:08 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212041321.2fc0debd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57162

"B gsn rth th npr d ct bl " <bogsnarth23@NOSPAM.THANKS.IMFULL.lycos.co.uk> wrote in message news:<2ncH9.2839$kz2.319692@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> "N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:c2356669.0212031413.1722dd03@posting.google.com...
>
> : Time for boko-maru!
>
> Not until you wash your damned feet and trim those toenails!

I always do!
>
> 33

73 de Jim "Daffodil-14" N2EY

2973. Re: Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 22:07:12 UTC
From: LRod@removethispartpobox.com
Message-ID: <3dee78e3.838245084@netnews.att.net>
Source record: 57163
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

On 4 Dec 2002 21:16:52 +0100, Mike Gallego <capnmike@heaven.org>
wrote:

>This reminds me of hearing W3EBM, a regular on a 40 meter net back in
>the sixties. He used to spell his call phonetically as w3 Empty Beer
>Mugs, until somebody turned him in to the FCC for not following
>standards.
>
>Times have changed.

No they haven't. There never has been a requirement to use standard
phonetics. Shoot, the ARRL had a different phonetic alphabet in the
'60s (I don't know when they changed) than the ICAO one that was
standard for the FAA and the military. They couldn't possibly have
promoted that if the FCC had any "standard."

LRod

Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite

Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999

http://www.woodbutcher.net

2974. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 22:13:02 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DEE7DEE.F4D032FB@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 57164

>
>
> By the way, it would be "Great Dumb-down" not Grate Dumdown.

Maybe it "grates" on some people's nerves.

How many other hobbies need a government inducement
to do? Well, there's a pilot's license, but you really need to
be tested so you don't crash or such.

2975. Re: Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 22:16:45 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aslurnkiu1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57165
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

How you doing you mullet headed old sailor. How ya been? Still pissed off and Herr Shicklegrroober? Did the Herpster ever pay you back? I guess the rumor of your death was premature, eh?

Dan/W4NTI

"Mike Gallego" <capnmike@heaven.org> wrote in message news:ENYFUFIC37594.8851041667@Gilgamesh-frog.org...
> This reminds me of hearing W3EBM, a regular on a 40 meter net back in
> the sixties. He used to spell his call phonetically as w3 Empty Beer
> Mugs, until somebody turned him in to the FCC for not following
> standards.
>
> Times have changed.
>
>

2976. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 22:31:47 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021204173147.17289.00000854@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 57166

In article <c2356669.0212040943.39a5bd20@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) writes:

>Here's the real "problem", Steve. Here's what motivates somebody who
>actually petitioned the FCC for an age requirement of 14 years for a
>ham license:
>
>(These are not MY ideas, just observations of another's arguments)
>

I forgot to include the worst part, Steve:

If young children are licensed, they might actually wind up having (gasp) FUN with radio! On the air! With actual LICENSES!! While PROFESSIONALS IN RADIO sit on the sidelines!

Now watch out for the pool-pah

73 de Jim, N2EY

2977. Re: Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-04 22:45:14 UTC
From: bh <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DEE857A.19E990A5@mailcity.net>
Source record: 57171
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

Mike Gallego wrote:
>
> This reminds me of hearing W3EBM, a regular on a 40 meter net back in
> the sixties. He used to spell his call phonetically as w3 Empty Beer
> Mugs, until somebody turned him in to the FCC for not following
> standards.
>
> Times have changed.

Most likely an urban legend as the FCC did not require the use of
standard phonetics. We made up all kinds of phonetics for our
calls. The last three letters of a friend's call who drove for
Greyhound Bus was DGD (Drunk Greyhound Driver), another was AGG
(America's Greatest Gabber), ect., ect., ect.
No one was ever cited and the FCC did quite a lot of monitoring of
the ham bands in those days.

2978. Re: Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 00:13:34 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uut6hesipecv11@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57167
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

Dan, I think he used to state "no wet-bottom boys". He'd sometimes speak with a station with a WA prefix, but seldom to a WB. I did chat with him once and had a fairly nice chat. At the end of the chat he said he'd have to go as his grandkids were arriving. He said his grandkids needed a paddling. ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message news:aslerm$2mi$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> I think it was more like this;
>
> CQ CQ CQ THIS IS W2OY...NO KIDS, NO LIDS, NO WA's NO WB's NO SPACE CADETS
> PLEASE....CLASS A OPERATORS ONLY W2OY KAY SOMEONE PLEASE.
>
>
> He answered me once. Told me I was weak and puny with poor modulation and
> to grow some hair the next time I called him. I was 15 or so at the time.
> Never did call him again..grin.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:uur1da18f4c2f7@corp.supernews.com...
> > Back in the 60s, W2OY was very well known. His cq went something like "cq
> > cq de W2OY .... no lids, kids, space cadets, or school bus riders. Only
> > class A operators on this frequency from W2OY". He was a classic.
> > Actually, a very good friend of mine, Tim, WB2KAO, tells me he was a nice
> > guy in person ... I think he enjoyed 'trolling' the radio the way some
> folks
> > troll the newsgroups ;-)
> >
> > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > Jim
> > ps - I use Tim's machine quite a bit. Nice bunch on there. We became
> > friends in 1963.
> >
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:asg7ksipp1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...

> > > Back then in the 'heyday' it would have been WA1BWV. John bought his
> dead
> > > guys call.
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2979. Re: Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 00:16:06 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uut6m73jmb2d5e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57168
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

Right. "adam, baker, charlie, david, edward, frank ..." was the ARRL
phonetic alphabet whilst the military used "alpha, bravo, charlie, delta,
echo, foxtrot ...".

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"LRod" <LRod@removethispartpobox.com> wrote in message
news:3dee78e3.838245084@netnews.att.net...
> On 4 Dec 2002 21:16:52 +0100, Mike Gallego <capnmike@heaven.org>
> wrote:
>
> >This reminds me of hearing W3EBM, a regular on a 40 meter net back in
> >the sixties. He used to spell his call phonetically as w3 Empty Beer
> >Mugs, until somebody turned him in to the FCC for not following
> >standards.
> >
> >Times have changed.
>
> No they haven't. There never has been a requirement to use standard
> phonetics. Shoot, the ARRL had a different phonetic alphabet in the
> '60s (I don't know when they changed) than the ICAO one that was
> standard for the FAA and the military. They couldn't possibly have
> promoted that if the FCC had any "standard."
>
>
> LRod
>
> Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite
>
> Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999
>
> http://www.woodbutcher.net

2980. Re: A christemas poEM

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 00:24:20 UTC
From: "William A. Ward" <waward@aaahawk.com>
Message-ID: <3DEE9CB4.1DE964BC@aaahawk.com>
Source record: 57169

A Redneck Christmas

'Twas the night before Christmas And all through the trailer
Not a creature was stirrin' 'Cept a redneck named Taylor.
His first name was Bubba, Joe was his middle,
And a-runnin' down his chin Was a trickle of spittle.
His socks, they were hung by the chimney with care,
And therefore there was a foul stench in the air.

>From out in the yard There came such a noise
That Bubba got scared And rousted the boys.
There was Rufus, 12; Jim Bob was 11;
Dud goin' on 10; Otis was 7.
John, George and Chucky Were 5,4, and 3:
The twins were both girls So they let them be.

They jumped in their overalls, No need for a shirt,
Threw a hat on each head, Then turned with a jerk.
They ran to the gun rack That hung on the wall.
There were 17 shotguns; They grabbed them all.

Bubba said to the young'uns, "Now hesh up ya'll!
The last thing we wanna do Is wake up yer Maw."
Maw was expecting And needed her sleep,
So out they crept out the door Without making a peep.

They all looked around, and then they all spit.
The young'uns asked Bubba, "Paw, what is it?"
Bubba just stared; He could not say a word.
This was just like all of The stories he'd heard.

It was Santy Claus on the roof, Darn tootin'
But the boys didn't know; They was about to start shootin'!
They aimed their shotguns and nearly made a mistake
That would have resulted in venison steak.
Bubba hollered out, "Don't shoot, boys!"
That's Santy Claus And he's brought us some toys.

The dogs were a-barkin' And a-raisin' cain,
And Bubba whistled, and shouted, And called them by name.
"Down, Spot! Shut up Bullet! Quiet, Pete and Roscoe!
Git, Turnip and Tater and Sam and Bosco!"

"Git down from that porch! Git down off that wall!
Quit shakin the trailer, Or you'll make Santy fall!"
The dogs kept a-barkin' And wouldn't shut up,
And they trampled poor Pete Who was only a pup.

Santy opened his bag, And threw out some toys.
Bubba got most, But left a few for the boys.
>From up on the roof Santa heaved a great sigh.
Since the guns had been dropped He just might not die.

He jumped in his sleigh, Told his reindeer to hurry.
The trailer started to wobble Santa started to worry.
Just as the reindeer Got into the air,
The trailer collapsed, But Bubba didn't care.

He was busy lookin' At all his new toys.
Then a thought hit him, And he said to the boys:
"Go check on yer Maw, Make sure she's all right.
That roof fallin' on her Could-a hurt just a might."

But Maw was OK, And the girls were too.
They fixed up the trailer; It looked good as new.
And as for Bubba, He liked Old St. Nick,
But Santa thought Bubba Was a pure-in-tee hick!

Bubba had a nice Christmas, And the boys did, too.
And the Taylors wish A Merry Christmas to you!

2981. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 00:38:41 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021204193841.14881.00000404@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 57170

In article <3DECC4D6.70908@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:

>Kï¿½HB wrote:
>> "Mike Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote
>>
>> :
>> : Not even taking into account comprehension of the question and possible
>> : answers, (I want to hear a 6YO person explain proportional and square
>> : root and inverse and cube) what person in that age group could read
>> : those words at all?
>> :
>>
>> Maybe they got that question wrong. Sunuvagun!
>
> I was waiting for someone to bring that up. True enough, the kid could
>possibly get that one wrong and still pass. But there are enough
>questions along those lines that the kids could get lots of them wrong -
>to the point of not passing the test.

That all depends on what questions were actually on the test given, and the
child taking the test.
>
> My point is that the kids probably aren't comprehending the test, so
>something else is likely helping them get the ticket.

But again, it's not a test of comprehension. All anyone has to do is get enough
multiple-choices right, and it's license time.

>Although for the
>general and Extra, I contend that it would be harder to memorize the
>tests than to just learn them, it is probably possible to memorize the
>Technician tests.

What really goes on, I think, is a mix of various learning strategies.

Some stuff is simply rote-memorized, with no real understanding. In some cases
(band edges) that's the only way! Some kids are detail-oriented, and capable of
memorizing amazing amounts of data. Many of the detailed stories of young hams
passing the upper-level tests say flat out that the child simply memorized the
Q and correct A for huge parts of the subject matter.

Then there's word-association. The "inverse-square/far-field/power density"
question is an example. Really a form of memorization, in a way.

True comprehension happens at all levels. Even young children can learn that
the FCC is in charge, VEs give the exams, hams can't take money for hamming
unless they are schoolteachers and it's part of the curriculum, etc.

> And before anyone points out the exceptions of genius, I am referring
>to normal kids.

Genius IS normal, it's just not average.

More to the point, the young children getting licenses may indeed be geniuses or prodigies, with exceptional gifts. A picture isn't going to tell you a chuild's developmental level. After all, what makes these stories newsworthy is that they are unusual!

73 de Jim, N2EY

2982. Re: Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 01:09:15 UTC
From: unkadean <dnorris@k7no.com>
Message-ID: <tf9tuuk59ksu0tk159jov2gi0i1br3rl0c@4ax.com>
Source record: 57172
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

On Tue, 3 Dec 2002 23:33:43 -0500, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:

>Back in the 60s, W2OY was very well known. His cq went something like "cq
>cq de W2OY .... no lids, kids, space cadets, or school bus riders. Only
>class A operators on this frequency from W2OY". He was a classic.
>Actually, a very good friend of mine, Tim, WB2KAO, tells me he was a nice
>guy in person ... I think he enjoyed 'trolling' the radio the way some folks
>troll the newsgroups ;-)
>
>73 from Rochester, NY
>Jim

Reminds me of W7TPG, Herman Middleton. His schtick (50's & 60's) was
along the lines of "Calling CQ from W7TPG, W7 Tuned Plate Grid, "from
the beautiful 'valley of the sun', Phoenix, Arizona, where the birds
fly backwards, not because they don't trust each other, but because
they want to keep the sun out of their eyes."

MHRIP

unkadean

--

2983. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 01:11:46 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212041711.2b803071@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57173

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021204091558.10389.00007194@mb-mv.aol.com>...
> >I guess there aren't any facts.
> >
>
> I guess Im not going to give them to you. I dont have to prove anything to you
> and Im not going to. The only real fact is your to stupid to pass the Extra.

Well, so are you. Which is why we need the facts on how you supposedly did it.

2984. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 01:16:11 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <vNxH9.123679$Kk2.10436@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 57174

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021204173147.17289.00000854@mb-mr.aol.com...
> If young children are licensed, they might actually wind up having (gasp)
FUN
> with radio! On the air! With actual LICENSES!! While PROFESSIONALS IN
RADIO sit
> on the sidelines!
>
> Now watch out for the pool-pah
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Well Jim,

I've got a 5 yr. old lass who thinks playing "the beeps game" with Daddy's practice oscillator is loads of fun...and she doesn't even realize that she's got six Morse characters committed to memory. (Or does she?...)

She's in Kindergarten and they must take a book from the school library once a week and have their parents read it to them. Well, Imagine this proud papa's surprise when she brought home a book entitled 'Radio Rescue.' This book was written by Linda Barasch, the daughter of an OT who got his ticket in the 20's. It details how his interest in radio was piqued, how he was Elmered, his persistence in learning the code, (He failed the first time out, but kept on. No B&M'ing back then!) his trip to Cortlandt St. to gather parts to build his first rig, and lots more leading up to the point where he actually violated a reg to effect the radio rescue to which the title refers. I'm going to order a copy for our own personal library. When I asked her if she wanted earn her license to talk on Daddy's radios, I received an enthusiastic "yes!"

Kewl Beans!

Here's the Amazon link.

http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/ASIN/0374361665/bridgebooks/103-2694679-97
18264

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

2985. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 01:16:24 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212041716.441b7d3f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57175

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0212040801.1f00496f@posting.google.com>...
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message > >
>
> > > Has that "advice" been acted on in the intervening 5 months? I don't
> > > think so. Certainly not for lack of time, as evidenced by the huge
> > > number of posts here written by the person requesting the "advice".
> > >
> > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> > Jim, its up. I just don't have 60M privs yet. Do you? Brian
>
> Operating on 60m is legal in Alaska. MOVE. And we thank you for
> cleaning up the neighborhood.
>
> w3rv

Is Kellie still under the delusion that I live in his old house?

Does Kellie also have 60m privs?

Blowhard.

2986. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 01:19:59 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212041719.1f331669@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57176

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0212040500.64578f47@posting.google.com>...
> N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0212030810.2431fba8@posting.google.com>...
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DEB4E35.F5466334@townsqr.com>...
> > > N2EY wrote:
> > >
> > > >Of course some of us can still figure out how to put up a simple wire antenna, feed
it and get on the air.
> > >
> > > But not
> > >
> > > [N0IMD]
> > >
> > > He's gotta have "advice".
> > >
> > Then, as more-experienced and more-knowledgeable amateurs helping the
> > less-experienced and less-knowledgeable, we can provide that advice.
> > >
> > Which I did back on June 27, in the thread "Sixty Meter Band
> > Planning". Gave links to two antenna articles tailored to the
> > conditions described. Both articles described simple, inexpensive,
> > easily-built antennas.
>
> Speaking of . . . there's a new Canadian 60m ham station on the air
> "doing propagation studies" a la the ARRL and he's already worked a
> bunch of U.K. dxcc entities on 60m. The first 60m dxing is a done
> deal. NTIA aside.

Yes, 60m is in vital need of exploration and experimentation. The
ARRL proposed, however, that 60M be a domestic band for USA ops. I
guess we'll do our exploration and experimentation a little closer to
home.

2987. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 01:23:55 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212041723.7ad99d11@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57177

I'm not so old, and "No" to stepping in it. My statements are
factual. Yes, I passed the Extra exam. Yes, I will take it again.
Is there a problem with that?

Perhaps its time you took it again.

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<asldu0$ga5$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>...
> Brian,
>
> Check your shoes old boy...you just stepped in it...again.
>
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:f45722ac.0212040454.7d6b2a59@posting.google.com...
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:<3DED8F34.EC34842F@earthlink.net>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > > When I pass the Extra exam, I won't be on RRAP telling unbelievable
> > > > stories about how I did it:
> > > >
> > > > 1. on a Lark,
> > > > 2. without Studying,
> > > > 3. without any Lucky Guessing,
> > > > 4. without Error,
> > > > 5. in 8 minutes,
> > > > 6. and collecting a $250 Bet from two unnamed CB-Plussers.
> > > > 7. Then telling everyone else how stoopid they are.
> > >
> > > You told us just a couple of weeks ago that you'd already passed the
> > > Extra Class exam.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Yes, to both.

2988. Re: Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 01:26:27 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <asm9vcqic1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57178
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

Never heard that one....but I didn't spend my life listening to him
either...hi.

Dan/W4NTI

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:uut6hesipecv11@corp.supernews.com...
> Dan, I think he used to state "no wet-bottom boys". He'd sometimes speak
> with a station with a WA prefix, but seldom to a WB. I did chat with him
> once and had a fairly nice chat. At the end of the chat he said he'd have
> to go as his grandkids were arriving. He said his grandkids needed a
> paddling. ;)
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:aslerm$2mi$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> > I think it was more like this;
> >
> > CQ CQ CQ THIS IS W2OY...NO KIDS, NO LIDS, NO WA's NO WB's NO SPACE
> CADETS
> > PLEASE....CLASS A OPERATORS ONLY W2OY KAY SOMEONE PLEASE.
> >
> >
> > He answered me once. Told me I was weak and puny with poor modulation
and
> > to grow some hair the next time I called him. I was 15 or so at the
> time.
> > Never did call him again..grin.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> > news:uur1da18f4c2f7@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Back in the 60s, W2OY was very well known. His cq went something like
> "cq
> > > cq de W2OY .... no lids, kids, space cadets, or school bus riders.
Only
> > > class A operators on this frequency from W2OY". He was a classic.
> > > Actually, a very good friend of mine, Tim, WB2KAO, tells me he was a
> nice
> > > guy in person ... I think he enjoyed 'trolling' the radio the way some

> > folks
> > > troll the newsgroups ;-)
> > >
> > > 73 from Rochester, NY
> > > Jim
> > > ps - I use Tim's machine quite a bit. Nice bunch on there. We became
> > > friends in 1963.
> > >
> > > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> > > news:asg7ksipp1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > > Back then in the 'heyday' it would have been WA1BWV. John bought
his
> > dead
> > > > guys call.
> > > >
> > > > Dan/W4NTI
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

2989. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 01:26:58 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212041726.be733a6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57179

N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0212040943.39a5bd20@posting.google.com>...
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021203042018.24190.00000164@mb-cv.aol.com>...
>
> > Please list the VE sessions that you have atteneded/participated in from
> > which to make such a proclimation.
>
> Steve,
>
> Do you really think you will get a list, or any sort of logical
> response to that request?

Jim, in your vast experience of attending VE exam sessions, can you
tell me who is monitoring the Volunteer Examiners?

2990. Re: Just got my new call and got back on HF!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 01:30:10 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212041730.625a8e29@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57180

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<uusdkuev9u7v44@corp.supernews.com>...
> "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
> news:asl0ql8131i@enews1.newsguy.com...
> > Good luck! Jeeshh, two TITs here, this is gonna be good. I can see the
> > comments now! :)
> >
> >
> > --
> > Ryan, KC8PMX
> > FF/MFR
> > REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
> >
> >
> >
> > "Symbolism is for the simple minded....."
> >
> >
>
> Heh heh...well, if it were true (and it's not) then a new "worked all" class
> could be started. For Dave Heil's sake, I'll won't mention what "worked
> all" it would be **GRIN**
>
> Kim W5TIT

Kim, I'm reminded of a Frank Zappa tune. Can we drink beer while
operating the radio?

Brian
(the devil made me do it)

2991. Re: The MUJ is Back!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 01:36:48 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <asmbe3$v89$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57181
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

Yeah Rich is history. His pride overtook his brain and he is history. The Herpster will be back. He shucked and jived his way back into ham radio. Check 14.300in the mornings for Queenie and Herby fun hour.

Welcome back Mike. Hope ya get the tower up and no one comes down to visit ya anymore. Poor Judy has given up on ham radio tho. So who are you going to talk to about masturbation? Maybe you can find David Tolassi to help you out there, eh?

Oh one more thing Captain....grin...we both know thats bull don't we?. Be careful of the 'enforcer'. He talks softly and carries a big stick. They call him Riley......ask Polly about him.

Dan/W4NTI

"Mike Gallego" <capnmike@heaven.org> wrote in message news:5UNBDBTD37595.0807523148@Gilgamesh-frog.org...
> On Wed, 4 Dec 2002, "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote:
> >How you doing you mullet headed old sailor. How ya been? Still pissed off
> >and Herr Shicklegrroober? Did the Herpster ever pay you back? I guess the
> >rumor of your death was premature, eh?
> >
> >Dan/W4NTI
> >
> You're damn right it was premature! I got sick and tired of ham radio,
> and the filthy pigs like raincoat charlie and the stickler and fat
> Rich and the rest of them.
>
> But I'm back, and I have the Captain's chair in place, and the tower
> almost ready to go back up.
>
> I hear that Rich has given up on ham radio - damn good thing for the
> hobby. And Herbie is coming back - more good news.
>
> It's a good time to be back, and you can be damn sure you will hear me
> soon on 14.313!
>
>

2992. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 02:02:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DEEB2AB.2575CC92@earthlink.net>
Source record: 57182

Brian wrote:
>
> I'm not so old, and "No" to stepping in it. My statements are
> factual. Yes, I passed the Extra exam. Yes, I will take it again.
> Is there a problem with that?

The Extra exam which you took consisted of a) the written portion and b) the morse exam. To pass the exam, you'd have had to pass both of them. Since you did not, your claim is factual only under the Bill Clinton interpretation of "factual".

Dave K8MN

2993. Re: Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 02:03:06 UTC
From: LRod@removethispartpobox.com
Message-ID: <3deeb222.852065492@netnews.att.net>
Source record: 57183
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

On Wed, 04 Dec 2002 18:09:15 -0700, unkadean <dnorris@k7no.com> wrote:

>On Tue, 3 Dec 2002 23:33:43 -0500, "Jim Hampton"
><aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:
>
>>Back in the 60s, W2OY was very well known. His cq went something like "cq
>>cq de W2OY .... no lids, kids, space cadets, or school bus riders. Only
>>class A operators on this frequency from W2OY". He was a classic.
>
>Reminds me of W7TPG, Herman Middleton. His schtick (50's & 60's) was
>along the lines of "Calling CQ from W7TPG, W7 Tuned Plate Grid, "from
>the beautiful 'valley of the sun', Phoenix, Arizona, where the birds
>fly backwards, not because they don't trust each other, but because
>they want to keep the sun out of their eyes."

Well, now you're going to get me started on Blackie, W6HLH.

He'd sit on 28.503, or 14.203 (back when the American phone band
stopped at 28.500 and 14.200) and call,

"CQ, CQ, CQ; CQ 10 (20) meters. CQ, CQ, CQ, California calling, dubya
six, hotellll, leema, hotellll, calling CQ on 10 (20) meters. Dubya
six, hotellll, leema, hotellll, listening this frequency or 28.495
(14.195). If you are transceiver equipped, tune your VFO to 495 (195)
and turn your RIT to the far clockwise position. CQ, CQ, CQ; CQ 10
(20) meters. CQ, CQ, CQ, California calling, dubya six, hotellll,
leema, hotellll, calling CQ on 10 (20) meters."

Eventually someone would call him and there wasn't much to listen to
after that. He was a far better CQer than a QSOer.

LRod

Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite

Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999

http://www.woodbutcher.net

2994. Re: Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 02:22:03 UTC
From: Bill M <"exray\\@n-o- .caribe.net>">
Message-ID: <uute17lt7ss3c0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57184
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

LRod wrote:

>
> Well, now you're going to get me started on Blackie, W6HLH.
>
> He'd sit on 28.503, or 14.203 (back when the American phone band
> stopped at 28.500 and 14.200) and call,
>
> "CQ, CQ, CQ; CQ 10 (20) meters. CQ, CQ, CQ, California calling, dubya
> six, hotellll, leema, hotellll, calling CQ on 10 (20) meters. Dubya
> six, hotellll, leema, hotellll, listening this frequency or 28.495
> (14.195). If you are transceiver equipped, tune your VFO to 495 (195)
> and turn your RIT to the far clockwise position. CQ, CQ, CQ; CQ 10
> (20) meters. CQ, CQ, CQ, California calling, dubya six, hotellll,
> leema, hotellll, calling CQ on 10 (20) meters."
>
> Eventually someone would call him and there wasn't much to listen to
> after that. He was a far better CQer than a QSOer.
>
>
> LRod

"Buh-lackey, neeeear the airport...Saaaan Fraaaancisco". I've heard him use "Honolulu-London-Honolulu" to break the monotony of those 10 minute CQs :-) "All Collllllinnngs (sic) equipment"
Funniest part was when he finally unkeyed and there was a great pileup of people razzing him for being such a lid.
I actually had a QSO with him once. It basically consisted of a 10-minute monologue from him of how everybody on the band was an asshole. He went into drunken rambling spells in some of his CQs where he just went on and on muttering about how everybody was.
I'll give the OF his due, he always had one helluva signal!

-BM

2995. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 02:42:33 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021204214233.21163.00008996@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 57185

>You seem to be a very bitter and shallow person, Vipul. You have had
>nothing but BITTER criticism of anything to do with Amateur Radio or Amateur
>Radio Operators since you "joined" this forum. You have not offered one bit
>of
>CONSTRUCTIVE criticism...just criticism.
>
> May I suggest that you consider another hobby? Hang gliding, maybe?
>
>Steve, K4YZ

I'm not bitter. Its just that Ham radio is not what I expected it to be.

I have given constructive ideas. I made a list of some 20+ ways for better amateur radio (in the 7 steps to improve Ham Radio). Okay, some of the items were not useful or just insensitive. However, overall its sincere. My list was well received by those that were willing to consider it. It was more relevant to the topic than what Wouff Hong had to say and what WA8ULX says about "CBplussers"

I even had a few members join my type of radio club. However, I did not have the resources to continue it at the moment. I would encourage others to start a similar club. I would join this type of amateur radio club.

2996. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 03:04:47 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DEEC24F.19B26E95@mailcity.net>
Source record: 57192

WA8ULX wrote:
>
> >Well, so are you. Which is why we need the facts on how you supposedly did
> >it.
> >
>
> What ever, believe what you want to believe. I could care less I know the
> facts and thats all that matters.

The proper phrase is "I could NOT care less". The way you said it
means just as you said, you COULD care less. Oh well, what else
would one expect of an extra light.

2997. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 03:48:46 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021204224846.06308.00000765@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 57186

In article <asiiau037e@enews3.newsguy.com>, tbryant@IHATESPAM.cannet.com (TLB)
writes:

>In article <20021203075144.14881.00000376@mb-ct.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
>says...
>>
>>In article <20021202220407.19670.00000517@mb-ba.aol.com>, wa8ulx@aol.com
>>(WA8ULX) writes:
>>
>>>>There is NO, repeat NO, requirement that a person taking the test actually
>>>>comprehend it. All they have to do is get enough right answers and they
>get
>>>>a
>>>>license.
>>>
>>> Exactly ,and thats what makes the present written, and the whole testing
>>>thing
>>>a joke.
>>>
>>The written tests went all-multiple-choice 40 years ago
>>Dick Bash published his books about 30 years ago
>>The Q&A pools became public almost 20 years ago
>>
>>If it's so bad, what are you doing to fix it?
>>
>>N2EY
>
>There's no doubt that there are a lot of people upset with the current
>testing scheme.

True. But what can be done to make it better? Many of us suggested ways to
improve the system in our comments to the FCC, but instead the FCC reduced the
number of written tests and the number of questions. It's clear FCC doesn't
think the system needs fixing.

>It's so pathically easy, that I remember a story in QST, prior to VE
>testing, in which a 12-year-old walked into an FCC field office with no
>license, and walked out an Extra.

That must have been between 1976 and 1983. What's the problem with a 12 year
old Extra, if he got the license honestly? I don't think he thought it was
easy!

>There is, however, one thing that everyone is
>overlooking, and that is the fact that a license is only an entry level test.

Many of us are not overlooking that at all. The test simply means the folks who passed it have satisfied FCC requirements, not that they are fully qualified to do everything the license allows. Just like a driver's test doesn't usually test a new driver at night, in snow/rain/fog/ice conditions, etc.
>
>It, by no means, indicates that one is an expert in the field of radio
>electronics. Sure, the license will get you on the air, but the learning
>should, I would hope, continue even after the testing is done. This applies
>not only to amateur radio, but to any field of study.

Exactly. And for most hams, that's exactly what happens.
>
>In a sense, this is how I became discouraged with amateur radio. It seemed
>that the hams I met were only concerned with working DX or the next contest
>that came around. They assumed, incorrectly, that I wanted the same thing. I
>asked about various antennas or transceivers, and the response would be, "That

>antenna won't do you no good in a contest."

You obviously fell in with a bunch of dx/contest types. Their continued learning is in the direction of learning what gets more contest points and more DX countries.

There are planty of other hams interested in other things. The 'net makes finding them easier. There are reflectors, newsgroups and email lists for all sorts of interests.

>(Personally, I'm bored after ten minutes of working any contest.)

I love contests - but I also enjoy a good ragchew, or building a new rig. To each his own.

>Working DX today is a joke with the DX packet
>clusters.

Not all of us use them.

>If your station set-up is good enough, it's like shooting fish in a
>barrel.

That's why QRP has such an avid following.

> The bottom line is that no ham I met wanted to grow beyond their own
>egos.

I'm not sure what you mean, Tom. Serious question: What would I have to do to "grow beyond my own ego" in ham radio?
>
>I should point out that my original interest in amateur radio was far from
>technical. I am not a technically-inclined person. I was drawn to it because
>thought the ability to talk around the world and learn about different people
>from different cultures was exciting, and amateur radio looked like a great
>way
>to do this. This notion was quickly put out of my head the first time I
>worked

>DX station - you don't chitchat. You exchange callsign and signal report, let
>the next guy work the station. I felt this to be boring and impersonal.

I've felt that way too, which is why I'm not much of a DXer. But much of the reason for that is things like language barriers and restrictive rules. If I want a rag chew, there are plenty of nonDX hams to chat with. And often some nonrare DX will have a real QSO.

73 de Jim, N2EY

2998. Great Buy For CBplussers and Real Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 03:56:40 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021204225640.19745.00000491@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 57187

Alright guys and TITs, all BS aside, I just acquired 2 Brand New Radios that Im willing to part with. These units are still in the Box Brand new, I took them ought to check to make sure they worked, they worked perfect. Heres the deal, They are Brand New, and I will take Offers on them for 1 Week, then they go on EBAY.

Radio Shack HTX-10 10 Meter SSB and FM Rig 25 Watts, orignal Box and all the Stuff that comes with it.
Radio Shack HTX252 2 Meter FM 25 Watts, unit also has DTMF Mic, this unit is also brand new.

I can be reached at WA8ULX@AOL.COM, as I said before these are Brand New Units, let the bidding start.You have only 1 Week then I put them on E-Bay. All BS aside, I got them at a good price, you can have a nice 10 Meter Rig or a nice 2 meter Rig for a resonable price.. After this sale I will get back to my nasty self.

2999. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 04:00:14 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021204230014.19707.00000546@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 57188

>Well, so are you. Which is why we need the facts on how you supposedly did
>it.
>

What ever, believe what you want to believe. I could care less I know the
facts and thats all that matters.

3000. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 04:07:39 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DEEDF4C.2F9FADC7@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57189

Don't be put off by that dude, Carl. When you hang around here awhile you soon learn he's just a poor old
useless Goober with no life and no ham radio license, and no real interest in ham radio at all other than
to put it down as low as he thinks he can. I just pass by his rantings without opening them at all.

Anything and everything he says always degenerates to just such mushy drivel. Useless to everyone except
him, so that says where he is.

Dick

Carl Zager wrote:

> I am so sorry that this dialogue has degenerated into this.
> I'm outta here.
>
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20021203160901.13823.00001002@mb-fq.aol.com>...
> > In article <3DEC0D7E.A5232EA6@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
> > writes:
> >
> > >Carl Zager wrote:
> > >
> > >> I wonder whether HF provides enough interest in itself to
> > >> contribute to General and Extra numbers higher than what we are
> > >> seeing.
> > >
> > > You raise a valid point. Today's "easy DX" makes HF an entirely different
> > >animal to
> > >beginning hams than it was not that many years ago. Satellites and the space
> > >program, instant
> > >common worldwide communications via both telephone circuits and the Internet
> > >naturally make
> > >it so. To lots of us it was an entirely different view when there was no such
> > >easy and
> > >commonplace, routine long distance comms.
> >
> > February 1953: No satellites, no troposcatter systems, and only a
> > partial worldwide telephone-teleprinter long-distance communications
> > system. No personal computers, hardly any transistors useable on
> > HF, no integrated circuits yet, frequency synthesizers were still
> > being developed in electronic laboratories.
> >

> > Yet, the US military, a few other militaries and some commercial
> > communications service companies had already established a
> > worldwide 24/7 communications on HF. Except for a rare solar
> > storm, such worldwide communications was COMMONPLACE
> > and ROUTINE. On HF. Known.
> >
> > Where was dickiebird in that month? Not drafted yet, perhaps
> > worried about being taken in while still a civilian? Did he already
> > know everything about radio and had the stupefying skill level in
> > morse code? Did he ever work in any REAL military radio after
> > being drafted? Did he ever learn the distinction between manual
> > telegraphy and teleprinter telegraphy? Did he have the knowledge
> > of Shannon's Laws formulated six years before (he didn't know of
> > them 40+ years later)?

3001. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 04:14:47 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3deed1c2.34055198@news.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 57190

On 03 Dec 2002 09:20:18 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote:

>>Subject: Re: Would have been Extra sooner
>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>>Date: 12/2/02 12:07 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20021202130701.22850.00000806@mb-fa.aol.com>
>
>>>Accusing the VECs of falsifying test results is pretty serious stuff, btw.
>>Do
>>>you have any solid evidence?
>>
>> VEC test sessions are NOT monitored by anyone else DURING
>> testing.
>
> Wrong.

Really who does
>
> Please list the VE sessions that you have atteneded/participated in from
>which to make such a proclimation.

lets see i have been to the one that i got my licensed, and i have
seen a number run by various of the nearby clubs, never seen or heard
of anyone supervising them except the VE's themsleves

now it is possible for there to be curuption in the VE groups indeed
it is all but certian that is at least some such coruptiuon after al
the VE's/VEC's are made up of human beings. How much? beats me. not
enough that FCC sees any need to change it

3002. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 04:19:43 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3deed349.34446471@news.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 57191

On 28 Nov 2002 01:50:29 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <_C7F9.3723$yy.512941@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "K&#65533;HB"
><k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:
>
>>"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>>
>>
>>: with the exception of the 1960s, when the US population
>>: boomed but the US ham population virtually stagnated. Most of
>>: the reasons for that low growth had little to do with ham radio itself
>>: (Vietnam, civil rights, baby boom, women's lib, etc).
>>
>>I would expect things like civil rights, baby boom, and women's lib to
>>INCREASE hobby participation, not depress it.
>
>Maybe, but that's not how it worked out.
>
>In the 1960s, ham radio was considered a very "square", "establishment"
>activity, to use the jargon of the day. You didn't see a lot of longhair hippie
>Woodstock types in QST, at hamfests, etc. The close association of ham radio
>with conservative politics and business, MARS, Armed Forces Day and technology
>in general confirmed that charaterization for many young people. Not cool, man.
>In an era when most new hams were teenagers, this was a recipe for decreased
>growth. Civil rights and women's lib were/are movements against the
>"establishment", and ham radio was seen by many as part of that establishment.
>
>A baby boom produces lots of babies and swells the population right away. But
>it takes some years before those babies are old enough to be getting ham
>licenses in significant numbers.
>
>There were other influences, too. To name just one: In 1963, the FCC opened
>more exam points and increased the distance requirement for a Conditional from
>75 to 175 miles "air line". All of a sudden there were very few places in the
>USA that qualified as "Conditional country".
>
>>Now if you wanted to depress
>>participation, you could come up with some DAIOTC like incentive
>>licensing.... that would do it!
>
>You might think so, but you'd be mistaken. Sorry.
>
>The first wave of IL changes were enacted in late 1968 and the second wave in

>late 1969. So for most of the decade of the '60s, IL was a potentiality, not a
>reality. Growth actually sputtered to a halt in 1963, five years before IL
>became effective, and coincident with the Conditional change and other effects.
>
>Incentive licensing was at its height in the 1970s - when there were no code
>waivers, no CSCEs, and most hams had to journey to the FCC office to test.
>Economic times were awful, two energy crises, Watergate, Vietnam's end, etc.
>Yet the number of US hams soared!
>
>If IL was such a bummer, man, why did US hamdom grow so much faster after it
>was enacted?

That is a mystery and has nothingto do with the issue. The current lingering effects are why it is was a bad idea. Today we have the classes conousness and still have folks complaining about how they were ripped off by it, amoug other things.

Have you ever said qualility over quainity?
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

3003. Re: Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 05:26:23 UTC
From: Charles R Fregeau <n5hsr@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <asmo1n$q49$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57193
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

"bh" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DEE857A.19E990A5@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Mike Gallego wrote:
> >
> > This reminds me of hearing W3EBM, a regular on a 40 meter net back in
> > the sixties. He used to spell his call phonetically as w3 Empty Beer
> > Mugs, until somebody turned him in to the FCC for not following
> > standards.
> >
> > Times have changed.
>
> Most likely an urban legend as the FCC did not require the use of
> standard phonetics. We made up all kinds of phonetics for our
> calls. The last three letters of a friend's call who drove for
> Greyhound Bus was DGD (Drunk Greyhound Driver), another was AGG
> (America's Greatest Gabber), ect., ect., ect.
> No one was ever cited and the FCC did quite a lot of monitoring of
> the ham bands in those days.

Even in the 1980's when I first got my license, one of my buddies was
WA5Crazy Red Elephant, another was N5Just a Lot of Junk (Car repair man) and
I was November 5th's Hot Smoking Romance at one point.

Charles in Da Burbs of Chicago
N5HSR/9

3004. Re: Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 05:28:45 UTC
From: Charles R Fregeau <n5hsr@sprynet.com>
Message-ID: <asmoeu$2pm$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57194
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

"bh" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DEE857A.19E990A5@mailcity.net...
>
>
> Mike Gallego wrote:
> >
> > This reminds me of hearing W3EBM, a regular on a 40 meter net back in
> > the sixties. He used to spell his call phonetically as w3 Empty Beer
> > Mugs, until somebody turned him in to the FCC for not following
> > standards.
> >
> > Times have changed.
>
> Most likely an urban legend as the FCC did not require the use of
> standard phonetics. We made up all kinds of phonetics for our
> calls. The last three letters of a friend's call who drove for
> Greyhound Bus was DGD (Drunk Greyhound Driver), another was AGG
> (America's Greatest Gabber), ect., ect., ect.
> No one was ever cited and the FCC did quite a lot of monitoring of
> the ham bands in those days.

PS, they still don't require standard phonetics. One of the hams I know up
here is "Have No Vices".

Charles in Da Burbs
N5HSR/9

3005. Re: 90 Years Ago This Month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 06:02:46 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212042202.6f6e736f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57195

N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0212030936.231c0805@posting.google.com>...
> It was 90 years ago this month, (December, 1912) that the Radio Act of
> 1912
> came into effect, regulating US domestic radio. This was the beginning
> of government licensing of all radio transmitters in the USA.
>
> The original proposal was to license both receivers and transmitters.
> This was opposed by one man - Charlews H. Stewart, pioneer amateur and
> communications lawyer, representative of the Wireless Association of
> Pennsylvania, VP of ARRL until his death in 1936, later licensed as
> 3ZS.

How da hell did he get 3ZS AFTER he croaked?? What kinda vanity
callsigns program was THAT??

> ARRL would not even exist for two more years.
>
> Stewart argued before Congress that receivers should not be licensed.
> He used
> both legal terms regarding interstate commerce and the ownership of
> land, as
> well as simple, clear verbal arguments: "The waves come upon our
> aerials, whether we seek them or not". When the final form of the Act
> was ratified, there was no mention of licensing receivers. All because
> of one amateur.
>
> 3ZS was a local. His house still stands here in Radnor Township. He
> was a
> township supervisor for many years.
>
> Imagine what US radio would have been like over the past 90 years if
> all
> receivers were licensed.

Think pirate receivers.

>
> For more details, see QST for December, 1952, and April, 1936.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> "Live by the foma that make you brave and kind and healthy and happy"
> - Bokonon

w3rv

3006. Circus on 3860/3865 tonite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 06:53:22 UTC
From: R-75 MAN <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com>
Message-ID: <3deef651_1@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 57196
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

About 11:30pm my time, W8CH and his gang and the group from 3860 got into a funny QRM-fest. They went back and forth between 3865 and 3860. Looks like this 75-meter zoo is getting more interesting. Don't get Mr. Hollingsworth involved, this is a comedy-central!

At about midnight or after, WB2CLN (Tommy tube) goes up to 3865 and tells Criss, that the group from 3860 were going to QRM him. This went on for a almost an hour, back and forth, until Criss passed out drunk on 3860.

--
Jesus Christ is coming in your lifetime - R.G. Stair
"The bulletin service is here to stay"- K1MAN

3007. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 08:26:31 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DEF0C41.A4F48370@earthlink.net>
Source record: 57197

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:

> That is a mystery and has nothingto do with the issue. The current
> lingering effects are why it is was a bad idea. Today we have the
> classes conousness...

Is that a bad thing?

> and still have folks complaining about how they
> were ripped off by it, amoug other things.
>
> Have you ever said qualility over quainity?

I'm certain I've never said that and I'm not even sure that I can say
it.

Dave K8MN

3008. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 11:13:12 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0212050313.1cc57fee@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57198

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:<vNxH9.123679$Kk2.10436@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>...
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021204173147.17289.00000854@mb-mr.aol.com...
> > If young children are licensed, they might actually wind up having (gasp)
> FUN
> > with radio! On the air! With actual LICENSES!! While PROFESSIONALS IN
> RADIO sit
> > on the sidelines!
> >
> > Now watch out for the pool-pah
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Well Jim,
>
> I've got a 5 yr. old lass who thinks playing "the beeps game" with Daddy's
> practice oscillator is loads of fun...and she doesn't even realize that
> she's got six Morse characters committed to memory. (Or does she?...)
>
> She's in Kindergarten and they must take a book from the school library once
> a week and have their parents read it to them. Well, Imagine this proud
> papa's surprise when she brought home a book entitled 'Radio Rescue.' This
> book was written by Linda Barasch, the daughter of an OT who got his ticket
> in the 20's. It details how his interest in radio was piqued, how he was
> Elmered, his persistence in learning the code, (He failed the first time
> out, but kept on. No B&M'ing back then!) his trip to Cortlandt St. to gather
> parts to build his first rig, and lots more leading up to the point where he
> actually violated a reg to effect the radio rescue to which the title
> refers. I'm going to order a copy for our own personal library. When I asked
> her if she wanted earn her license to talk on Daddy's radios, I received an
> enthusiastic "yes!"
>
> Kewl Beans!
>
> Here's the Amazon link.
>
> http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/ASIN/0374361665/bridgebooks/103-2694679-97
> 18264

CORRECTION: The author's name is Lynne Barasch and here is a more descriptive site.

http://www.eduplace.com/readingscene/grade3-4/

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

3009. Re: Mr. Hollingsworth make an show on 3.865khz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 11:18:50 UTC
From: Marylou@city.net
Message-ID: <3DEF361A.68584C70@city.net>
Source record: 57199
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Mark S. Holden" wrote:

> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >
> > What a dumb assumption. One does not need to check in to monitor. Look at
> > you....thats all you do....
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> Granted you don't need to check in to monitor, but if Hollingsworth checked in, he
undoubtedly did it because he wanted people to know he was monitoring the frequency.

Now that makes me shake in my boots. NOT.

3010. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 11:57:32 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212050357.7edec4ea@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57200

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021204230014.19707.00000546@mb-ba.aol.com>...
> >Well, so are you. Which is why we need the facts on how you supposedly did
> >it.
> >
>
> What ever, believe what you want to believe. I could care less I know the
> facts and thats all that matters.

Oh, certainly you know the facts. You were there.

I just don't think the facts ever made it to rrap. Just the brag and a BS story.

3011. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 12:03:10 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212050403.5361b218@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57201

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3DEEB2AB.2575CC92@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > I'm not so old, and "No" to stepping in it. My statements are
> > factual. Yes, I passed the Extra exam. Yes, I will take it again.
> > Is there a problem with that?
>
> The Extra exam which you took consisted of a) the written portion and b)
> the morse exam. To pass the exam, you'd have had to pass both of them.
> Since you did not, your claim is factual only under the Bill Clinton
> interpretation of "factual".
>
> Dave K8MN

Dave, I passed the written. Bruce says he could have passed Extra
when he was 4 years old.

Go correct Bruce unless you are a card carrying member of the PCTA
Double Standard.

3012. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 12:45:46 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DEF58BC.169E1826@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57202

Dave Heil wrote:

> kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote:
>
> > That is a mystery and has nothingto do with the issue. The current
> > lingering effects are why it is was a bad idea. Today we have the
> > classes conousness...
>
> Is that a bad thing?

Only if one has none!

>
>
> > and still have folks complaining about how they
> > were ripped off by it, amoug other things.
> >
> > Have you ever said qualility over quainity?
>
> I'm certain I've never said that and I'm not even sure that I can say
> it.

Poor Mark can't even write it. Seems he sorta thinks he knows what he's
gabbing about.

Quality over quaninity? :_)))))))

3013. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 12:49:37 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DEF59A3.BA28143B@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57203

Brian wrote:

> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DEEB2AB.2575CC92@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > I'm not so old, and "No" to stepping in it. My statements are
> > > factual. Yes, I passed the Extra exam. Yes, I will take it again.
> > > Is there a problem with that?
> > >
> > The Extra exam which you took consisted of a) the written portion and b)
> > the morse exam. To pass the exam, you'd have had to pass both of them.
> > Since you did not, your claim is factual only under the Bill Clinton
> > interpretation of "factual".
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Go correct Bruce unless you are a card carrying member of the PCTA
> Double Standard.

As opposed to your Somalia "permission" story and you, a card carrying member of the NCI No Standard?

3014. Re: Just got my new call and got back on HF!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 13:00:09 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uuujuqbjs8rm31@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57205

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0212041730.625a8e29@posting.google.com...
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<uusdkuev9u7v44@corp.supernews.com>...
> > "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
> > news:asl0ql8131i@enews1.newsguy.com...
> > > Good luck! Jeeshh, two TITs here, this is gonna be good. I can see
the
> > > comments now! :)
> > >
> > >
> > > --
> > > Ryan, KC8PMX
> > > FF/MFR
> > > REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > "Symbolism is for the simple minded....."
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Heh heh...well, if it were true (and it's not) then a new "worked all"
class
> > could be started. For Dave Heil's sake, I'll won't mention what "worked
> > all" it would be **GRIN**
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
>
> Kim, I'm reminded of a Frank Zappa tune. Can we drink beer while
> operating the radio?
>
> Brian
> (the devil made me do it)

"...he said, I'm outta sight. ...for a nominal service charge [was that it,
my memory is reaching for this one] we could reach nirvana tonight..."

Wow, Frank Zappa...oh yeah, we can drink beer! (But I'll have a Sour Apple
Martini).

Kim W5TIT

3015. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 13:07:29 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uuukcidjgvdtcc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57204

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021204224846.06308.00000765@mb-fm.aol.com...
> In article <asiiau037e@enews3.newsguy.com>, tbryant@IHATESPAM.cannet.com
(TLB)
> writes:
>
> >
> >There's no doubt that there are a lot of people upset with the current
> >testing scheme.
>
> True. But what can be done to make it better? Many of us suggested ways to
> improve the system in our comments to the FCC, but instead the FCC reduced
the
> number of written tests and the number of questions. It's clear FCC
doesn't
> think the system needs fixing.
>

I think also that the FCC finds the amateur (and probably most other) radio
service too much to administrate.

> >
> >It's so pathically easy, that I remember a story in QST, prior to VE
> >testing, in which a 12-year-old walked into an FCC field office with no
> >license, and walked out an Extra.
>
> That must have been between 1976 and 1983. What's the problem with a 12
year
> old Extra, if he got the license honestly? I don't think he thought it was
> easy!
>

I really am beginning to wonder if there isn't some bit of insecurity on
behalf of folks who just don't want to believe kids can master the material
enough to pass a test for amateur radio.

> >
> >There is, however, one thing that everyone is
> >overlooking, and that is the fact that a license is only an entry level
test.
>
> Many of us are not overlooking that at all. The test simply means the
folks who
> passed it have satisfied FCC requirements, not that they are fully
qualified to

> do everything the license allows. Just like a driver's test doesn't
usually
> test a new driver at night, in snow/rain/fog/ice conditions, etc.
>

Unless it's changed since my youngest son was in school, Texas doesn't even provide road tests any more. If the kid (or person) passes driving school (in my son's case, Driver's Educastion), they take a little piece of paper to the DOT Office and get their license. Seriously...

Kim W5TIT

3016. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 13:28:58 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212050528.10727511@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57206

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021204112227.25792.00002508@mb-fc.aol.com>...
> In article <20021124163706.17289.00000610@mb-mr.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> writes:
>
> >>The only mistake made by the ARRL/FCC
> >>was not to allow full grandfathering of extant General-class licensees
> >>to the Extra class.
> >
> >FCC was concerned about the knowledge and skills of existing hams, not just
> >newcomers. Grandfathering would have set up an uneasy situation where the new
> >folks had to meet much tougher requirements than those with existing
> >licenses.
> >And the desired effects would take a long time to percolate through the ARS
> >because only newcomers would have to meet the new requirements.
> >
> >FCC initially rejected all grandfathering suggestions. In fact, FCC wanted to
> >demote Advanceds to General class because all existing Advanceds had taken
> >their tests more than 10 years earlier. FCC wanted to replace the Advanced
> >with
> >a new "Amateur First Class" license that would require 16 wpm code (!), a new
> >written, and 1 year experience as a General or Conditional. The initial FCC
> >subband restrictions were even more draconian than what was eventually put
> >into
> >place. It was all the ARRL could do to shoot that down and get existing
> >Advanceds grandfathered.
> >
> >73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Jim:
>
> I guess I was addressing the issue from a "20/20 hindsight" point-of-view.

Which is definitely a good idea, so that the mistakes of 34 years ago
are not repeated. (See below for examples of alternatives). Note that
one of the main points of the ARRL restructuring proposal was "nobody
loses", and FCC acted on that part.

> You
> obviously have the best handle on the history of Incentive Licensing of anyone
> I know.

Thanks! That may unleash another pool-pah from one of the resident
stuppas, but no big deal. One thing that was/is frustrating, then and
now, is the large amount of misinformation circulating about the whole

issue.

> Still, as a Post Incentive-Licensing ham, I don't consider it to have
> been a bad thing. If I had been a General or Advanced at the time, I'm sure > my
> outlook would be quite different. However, I like to think that, like
> yourself, I
> would have picked myself up, dusted myself off, and gone out and taken the
> tests for Extra.

The fact of the matter is that there was widespread support for IL
back in those days, among the very hams who would lose privileges if
it were enacted. This is borne out by the comments FCC received on the
issue.

Grandfathering existing Conditional/General hams to full privs would
have created all sorts of problems. For example:

How would the FCC and the amateur community differentiate between,
say, an "old" General and a "new" General?

If the above problem were handled by giving existing
Generals/Conditionals a free upgrade to Extra or Advanced, how would
FCC deal with the ~170,000 applications that would generate?

One of FCC's biggest concerns was the technical and operational level
of already-licensed hams. How could FCC justify raising the standards
for newbies only?

End result is that the only practical solution was one set of rules
for everybody.

But FCC set themselves up for failure by making slivers of spectrum
space the main incentive, and setting up the incentive by removing
privs. Here are some alternatives they could have enacted:

1) Vanity Calls - at the time, you could get a 1x2 by having an Extra,
25 years experience, and paying a $20 fee. Not much of an incentive
for a newbie, and over time this was expanded and made less expensive.
Imagine if, from Day 1 of incentive licensing, Extras could get 1x2
calls and Advanceds could get 1x3 calls for, say, a $10 fee. OTs might
not have been motivated, but those of us pounding out 2x3s on our
J-37s would have gone for it.

2) Wider Phone Bands - The biggest gripes came from 'phone hams, and
rightly so, because they lost the most spectrum. Back in those days
the 'phone bands were narrower - 75 was only 200 kHz wide, 40 was only
100 kHz, etc. Imagine if FCC opened up, say, 3775-3800 'phone, and
corresponding parts of other bands, to Extras only (which they
eventually did anyway) but did it on Day 1.

3) More Power - The old power limit was 1 kW input. Why not allow
higher class ops more power (say 3 kW input) and/or the option of
measuring output instead of input? (FCC eventually did this anyway!)
Sure, it's not an incentive for low-power types like me, but I knew

plenty of hams who would have done whatever was necessary to get a license that let them run more power.

But FCC didn't think that way.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3017. Re: 90 Years Ago This Month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 13:37:20 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212050537.6a805621@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57207

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0212042202.6f6e736f@posting.google.com>...
> N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0212030936.231c0805@posting.google.com>...
> > It was 90 years ago this month, (December, 1912) that the Radio Act of
> > 1912
> > came into effect, regulating US domestic radio. This was the beginning
> > of government licensing of all radio transmitters in the USA.
> >
> > The original proposal was to license both receivers and transmitters.
> > This was opposed by one man - Charlews H. Stewart, pioneer amateur and
> > communications lawyer, representative of the Wireless Association of
> > Pennsylvania, VP of ARRL until his death in 1936, later licensed as
> > 3ZS.
>
> How da hell did he get 3ZS AFTER he croaked?? What kinda vanity
> callsigns program was THAT??

HAW! Somebody got the joke!

I had a By Saam moment when I wrote that. He was 3ZS/W3ZS from the
first days of licensing to his death in 1936. He's in Laurel Hill,
now. He and Maxim died within days of each other, early in 1936.

Trivia question: Where is Maxim buried? Hint: It's not anywhere near
ARRL Hq.

> > ARRL would not even exist for two more years.
> >
> > Stewart argued before Congress that receivers should not be licensed.
> > He used
> > both legal terms regarding interstate commerce and the ownership of
> > land, as
> > well as simple, clear verbal arguments: "The waves come upon our
> > aerials, whether we seek them or not". When the final form of the Act
> > was ratified, there was no mention of licensing receivers. All because
> > of one amateur.
> >
> > 3ZS was a local. His house still stands here in Radnor Township. He
> > was a
> > township supervisor for many years.
> >
> > Imagine what US radio would have been like over the past 90 years if
> > all
> > receivers were licensed.

>
> Think pirate receivers.

BBC used to send out mobile vans with directional antennas to detect unlicensed TVs and radios. They'd listen for the local oscillators. Quite effective, given the density of typical British housing. I think that in some cases they'd impound the TV and charge a hefty fine. Like what Philly is now doing to drivers without all the proper papers.

The practice was parodied in a Monty Python sketch where one of the troupe attempts to get a license for his pet cat.

73 de Jim, N2EY

"Live by the foma that make you brave and kind and healthy and happy"
- Bokonon

3018. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 14:56:11 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212050656.2365391f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57208

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote in message news:<3deed349.34446471@news.one-eleven.net>...
> On 28 Nov 2002 01:50:29 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>
> >In article <_C7F9.3723$yy.512941@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
> ><kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:
> >
> >>"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> >>
> >>
> >>: with the exception of the 1960s, when the US population
> >>: boomed but the US ham population virtually stagnated. Most of
> >>: the reasons for that low growth had little to do with ham radio itself
> >>: (Vietnam, civil rights, baby boom, women's lib, etc).
> >>
> >>I would expect things like civil rights, baby boom, and women's lib to
> >>INCREASE hobby participation, not depress it.
> >
> >Maybe, but that's not how it worked out.
> >
> >In the 1960s, ham radio was considered a very "square", "establishment"
> >activity, to use the jargon of the day. You didn't see a lot of longhair hippie
> >Woodstock types in QST, at hamfests, etc. The close association of ham radio
> >with conservative politics and business, MARS, Armed Forces Day and technology
> >in general confirmed that charaterization for many young people. Not cool, man.
> >In an era when most new hams were teenagers, this was a recipe for decreased
> >growth. Civil rights and women's lib were/are movements against the
> >"establishment", and ham radio was seen by many as part of that establishment.
> >
> >A baby boom produces lots of babies and swells the population right away. But
> >it takes some years before those babies are old enough to be getting ham
> >licenses in significant numbers.
> >
> >There were other influences, too. To name just one: In 1963, the FCC opened
> >more exam points and increased the distance requirement for a Conditional from
> >75 to 175 miles "air line". All of a sudden there were very few places in the
> >USA that qualified as "Conditional country".
> >
> >>Now if you wanted to depress
> >>participation, you could come up with some DAIOTC like incentive
> >>licensing.... that would do it!
> >
> >You might think so, but you'd be mistaken. Sorry.
> >
> >The first wave of IL changes were enacted in late 1968 and the second wave in

> >late 1969. So for most of the decade of the '60s, IL was a potentiality, not a
> >reality. Growth actually sputtered to a halt in 1963, five years before IL
> >became effective, and coincident with the Conditional change and other effects.
> >
> >Incentive licensing was at its height in the 1970s - when there were no code
> >waivers, no CSCEs, and most hams had to journey to the FCC office to test.
> >Economic times were awful, two energy crises, Watergate, Vietnam's end, etc.
> >Yet the number of US hams soared!
> >
> >If IL was such a bummer, man, why did US hamdom grow so much faster after it
> >was enacted?
>
> That is a mystery and has nothingto do with the issue.

It has EVERYTHING to do with the issue of growth or lack of growth in amateur radio. The simple fact is that there was virtually no growth in the US ARS ranks in the '60s, and lots of growth in the '50s and '70s. Claiming that IL killed growth simply doesn't fit with the facts. If anything, the growth similarities of the '50s and '70s indicate that things like license test requirements and license classes have little effect on growth, and that we should be looking elsewhere for more important factors.

> The current
> lingering effects are why it is was a bad idea. Today we have the
> classes conousness and still have folks complaining about how they
> were ripped off by it, amoug other things.

Some hams who had Advanceds and Extras in 1953 felt they were ripped off, too. Some hams feel they were ripped off by the 2000 restructuring. Were those things bad ideas because some folks complain, even today?

Any human activity has a class structure of some kind. The best class structures exist to help and support all of the individuals, regardless of class. The idea that everyone should have equal rights does not mean everyone is guaranteed equal results.

Some folks seem to think that the ~15 years between "old" and "new" incentive licensing were a sort of Golden Age of Universal Ham Equality, but in fact it was not like that. 'Phone vs. CW, SSB vs. AM, transceiver vs. separates, DX/contest vs. ragchew, Conditional vs. General, Collins vs. Drake vs. everything else. Nothing new under the sun in that area.

> Have you ever said qualility over quainity?

Nope.

I may have written "quality over quantity", but google comes up blank on it.

Is quality over quantity a bad thing? Or would you prefer the world of Harrison Bergeron?

--

Here's the main policy question to consider: If/when the code test disappears from US ARS license testing, what other practical changes should be done to the license structure and test requirements to best serve the ARS? ("Practical changes" means things that FCC might actually do, rather than things we'd like but FCC will not do).

73 de Jim, N2EY

3019. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 15:44:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021205104402.07703.00008878@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 57209

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY)
>Date: 12/4/02 9:33 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <c2356669.0212040733.309ead0c@posting.google.com>

>> I am sorry, Jim Miccolis,N2EY.
>
>Apology accepted.

Bravo Vipul. Well done, Jim.

>I think I understand what Vshah's problem is, Steve.

I do too...Do we need a proctologist or a chiropractor to get his head
out?

>It seems that what Vshah really wants is for other local hams in his
>area to be interested in the same things he's interested in, and
>follow HIS guidelines and requests.

Agreed. He want to take his ball and go home becasue the game is not
being played to HIS satisfaction.

>Adding to this confusion are Vshah's use of words and phrases like...(SNIP)

Agreed. As I said, English is obviously a second language to him.

>You catch more flies with honey than with vinegar, Vshah.

I doubt he understands the corrollary.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3020. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 16:02:42 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021205110242.07703.00008884@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 57210

>Subject: Re: Would have been Extra sooner
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 12/4/02 12:53 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021204135307.02653.00000011@mb-cu.aol.com>

>Without Titles, Rank, Class, Callsign "approved" or
> "authorized" by the government, the unlicensed radio services
> aren't attractive to those needing self-glorification.

What, Oh Licenseless One, can someone do in the "unlicensed" services that I can't do in the Amateur Service, only better and LEGALLY...?!?!

My "title" of Amateur Extra Class Operator is shared by thousands of others, and grants me nearly unlimited access to the ether.

My "title" as an Amateur Licensee grants me that which eludes you...permission to operate a radio station without further adieu. Except for your tour in teh Army as a radio station clerk, you have never been a radio OPERATOR, and even that period of time is dubious at best.

At no time in your "career" did you EVER have the unfettered access to so much electromagnetic spectrum as some prepubescent Amateur licensees have today. Your commercial license allowed you to operate someone else's transmitter on whatever discreet channels that his or her station license allowed you to emit RF on...Never at your "whim" or discretion. Too bad for you.

>Some radio amateurs are too focussed on emotional-ego
> aspects of their hobby activity and cannot handle the term
> "amateur" even though that is also a regulatory term meaning
> no pecuniary interest.

At least some of us have the opportunity to be concerned about it. That's one "worry" you don't have, eh Lennie?

>They want to think of themselves as...(SNIP)

Whew, Lennie! What an imagination! You have a grand total of six or seven people you ahve cited by name and callsign as Amateur "friends" or acquaintences, yet you feel you have sufficient experience in matters of practical Amateur practice to make such a demeaning and denigrating slur.

What a PUTZ!

>Those who take up amateur radio avocationally, as just a
> hobby, a fun thing to do in their spare time, are regarded as
> "second-class" and probably not fit to cohabit the planet with
> these self-defined Seekers.

Who told you THIS? From what scientifically conducted poll did you come to this conclusion? Or are you man enough to admit that this is just YOUR opinion, which when combines with $2.50 can buy you an overpriced cup of coffee at Starbucks...?!?!

Well...You've slid back into Putziness. You were doing pretty good, even insightful...You're LENNIE again!

Steve

3021. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 16:13:56 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021205111356.07703.00008886@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 57211

>Subject: Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"
>From: N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY)
>Date: 12/4/02 11:43 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <c2356669.0212040943.39a5bd20@posting.google.com>

>Do you really think you will get a list, or any sort of logical
>response to that request?

No Jim, I didn't expect a(n) (honest) reply. However the question is out there, and Lennie can duck and hide all he wants, but his failure to answer the questions says more than any spin he could add.

>Actually, it was taken away long before that point. When FCC went to
>all-multiple-choice, it became possible to pass the written exams
>without demonstrating comprehension. That was about 1960-61.

I dunno! I tested (Novice) in 72 and I had to do some thinking! Had to do some math, too! And I did a lot more when I moved up in 75.

>> As pointed out above, you have forgotten the other option...The child
>> memorized enough of the questions from rote memorization to be able
>> to "answer" the questions. This is NOT cheating under present FCC/VEC
>rules
>> as long as the
>> applicant does not use said "study guide" during the test itself.
>
>Another BFOO. But some folks just don't get it, Steve

I know...And I hate having to restate the obvious, but when you have a persistent propagandist like Lennie trying to denigrate and demean with his assinine flailings, you have to constantly keep your guard up.

>> I'd rather see 10,000 under-12 licensees than ONE of
>> them out playing with drugs, illegal guns or premarital sex.
>
>YES!! If ham radio keeps even a few kids out of those problems, or
>even diminishes their appeal, that's a VERY GOOD THING.

I am sure they are out there, but so far my experience has been that kids who devote enough energy to doing something as relatively easy as pass an Amateur license generally don't get into thos kind of problems...

>Do you see ANY examples of problems in the ARS being caused by the
>licensing of young children who pass the required tests? I don't, and
>those challenged to provide examples have none. That's the most
>important fact.

Lennie still has yet to present whatever child-rearing experiences he has,
or what practical experience in child development he has experienced.

>Now do you understand what inspires those completely-unsubstantiated
>allegations, Steve? And why you'll never get any evidence of the
>allegations, just more trolls from the accuser?

I agree. And my publically asking the questions directly of the accuser
is my way of keeping his trolling apparent. he DOES have the skill of masking
the troll. Best it be kept aired out.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3022. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 16:25:14 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021205112514.07703.00008887@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 57212

>Subject: Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"
>From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
>Date: 12/4/02 10:14 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3deed1c2.34055198@news.one-eleven.net>

MARKIE! You finally saved up enough money for that $5.00/ISP, or did you finally get your discharge from the U.S.Army Chemical Corps and find a REAL job...?!?!

>>> VEC test sessions are NOT monitored by anyone else DURING
>>> testing.
>>
>> Wrong.
>
>Really who does

Other VE's...that's who. How do you think some of these "audited" sessions are audited, Markie?

>now it is possible for there to be curuption...(SNIP)

Geezis, Markie...You STILL haven't gotten a spellchecker, have you?

>How much? beats me. not
>enough that FCC sees any need to change it

However they DO expect that we will audit and self-police ourselves. This is glaringly apparent from the number of reports in QST, CQ, etc, of audits and investigations of apparently questionable VE sessions.

How do YOU think they did it, Markie? Pin some names of VE's on a dart board and let the snap of the wrist decide who get's investigated?

Steve, K4YZ

3023. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 16:31:10 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021205113110.07703.00008889@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 57213

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 12/4/02 8:42 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021204214233.21163.00008996@mb-fx.aol.com>

>I'm not bitter. Its just that Ham radio is not what I expected it to be.

Yes, you are bitter. If you weren't, you would have just laid down your Amateur gear and license and moved on to some other pursuit. You haven't. You feel compelled to sit in here, anonymous at first, making snide, often rude allegations and insinuations of how bad Amateur Radio in general and Amateur Radio Operators in particular are.

THAT is bitter.

>I have given constructive ideas. I made a list of some 20+ ways for better
>amateur radio (in the 7 steps to improve Ham Radio).

And most of those items I rebutted to you as being already part and parcel of Amateur Radio. You simply ignore the obvious.

>Okay, some of the items were not useful or just insensitive.

MOST were not useful and "insensitive" is a fair adjective.

>I even had a few members join my type of radio club. However, I did not have
>the resources to continue it at the moment. I would encourage others to start
>a
>similar club. I would join this type of amateur radio club.

What "type" of radio club? Bitter novice Amateurs with nothing better to do than complain?

Steve, K4YZ

3024. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 16:33:05 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DEF7FB6.67B3A813@earthlink.net>
Source record: 57214

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DEEB2AB.2575CC92@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > I'm not so old, and "No" to stepping in it. My statements are
> > > factual. Yes, I passed the Extra exam. Yes, I will take it again.
> > > Is there a problem with that?
> >
> > The Extra exam which you took consisted of a) the written portion and b)
> > the morse exam. To pass the exam, you'd have had to pass both of them.
> > Since you did not, your claim is factual only under the Bill Clinton
> > interpretation of "factual".

> Dave, I passed the written. Bruce says he could have passed Extra
> when he was 4 years old.

Fine. Then don't write that you "passed the Extra". You didn't.

> Go correct Bruce unless you are a card carrying member of the PCTA
> Double Standard.

I've already stated here that I think Bruce is crude and a jerk. Do you
want to be lumped with Bruce? That pretty well does away with your
"double standard" accusation.

Dave K8MN

3025. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 16:33:10 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DEF7FC6.9070500@psu.edu>
Source record: 57217

N2EY wrote:
> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0212040746.1b2856e8@posting.google.com>...
>
>>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20021202051737.14881.00000351@mb-ct.aol.com>...
>>
>>That's a semantics thing, 'tis questionable to call a 'puter screen
>>part of a ham station. We can all run phone/cw all day all nite
>>without a 'puter. I run CAD all day all nite with this CRT and that
>>sure ain't ham radio. Dual use.
>
>
> How many FRCers don't have a computer CRT in the shack?
>
> It's getting to the point that most hams who do any half-serious
> contesting, homebrewing or dxing have a dedicated shack computer. Not
> to mention digital mode types.

The computer is a integral part of a ham setup these days. no question.
A person can operate on paper and can operate without a computer, but
that is rapidly becoming the exception, and is an anachronism in any event.

In my own case, I gotta have it, because I run mostly psk31.

>>Beyond the box chasers solid state knobless amps have application for
>>the lazy & inept, I suspect that's a bigger market driver than
>>high-speed spots-pouncing.
>
>
> Could be.

I can hardly wait until all radios only have a volume control, a
frequency readout and a PTT switch. Won't that be fun! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

3026. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 16:33:50 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <OdLH9.5775$hM3.648562@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57215

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

: As I said, English is obviously a second language to him.
:
: >You catch more flies with honey than with vinegar, Vshah.
:
: I doubt he understands the corrollary. (sp?)
:

Corollary? Jim didn't write a corollary. Not even metaphor, simile, allegory, nor analogy. Just a bit of plain old folk wisdom.

If you're going to flash your badge at others for their grasp of the language, then yours should be impeccable. You couldn't spell 'corollary' and your usage of the word betrays the fact that you do not understand its meaning.

Turn in your badge.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

3027. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 16:35:09 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021205113509.07703.00008892@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 57216

>Subject: Re: How Many Hams?
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 12/5/02 2:26 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3DEF0C41.A4F48370@earthlink.net>

In reply to Markie, KB9RQZ:

>> and still have folks complaining about how they
>> were ripped off by it, amoug other things.
>>
>> Have you ever said qualility over quainity?
>
>I'm certain I've never said that and I'm not even sure that I can say
>it.

"Quainity"...Keeee-rist! I threw my Markie-to-English dictionary out 6 months ago...Looks like we need to dig it out again.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3028. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 16:44:05 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021205114405.07723.00008235@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 57218

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: "Kï¿½HB" kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG
>Date: 12/5/02 10:33 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <OdLH9.5775$hM3.648562@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

>If you're going to flash your badge at others...(SNIP)

I see that the weather has gotten pretty cold up there, Hans, so I guess
we can expect you to do more trolling in here than yor favorite trout stream,
eh...?

>You couldn't spell 'corollary'
>and your usage of the word betrays the fact that you do not understand its
>meaning.

Thank-you Dr. Seuss.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3029. HERB S. RETURNS TO HAM RADIO AS NP2MJ !! (HIP-HIP-HOOORAY!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 16:47:26 UTC
From: loose screws <vavaerooom3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3def8317@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 57219
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Herb Schoenbohm returns to ham radio (Dec 4, 2002) -- After nearly two years off the air, Herb Schoenbohm, ex-KV4FZ, has made good on his promise to one day return to the Amateur Radio ranks. The FCC on December 4 issued Schoenbohm a new call sign, NP2MJ, as a General-class licensee and was expected to act quickly on his Amateur Extra-class application. In 1994, the FCC put Schoenbohm's renewal application for KV4FZ up for hearing following his 1992 felony conviction on federal fraud charges. The Commission finally turned down his renewal application in 1998, the US Appeals Court upheld the FCC's decision in 2000, and the US Supreme Court declined to hear the case later that same year. After losing his renewal bid, Schoenbohm applied for a new license in 2001, first passing the General and then the Extra exams. The FCC subsequently designated Schoenbohm's General application for hearing on the basis of character issues stemming from his 1992 conviction as well as his alleged lack of candor during subsequent FCC hearings on the matter. Following a hearing last spring, Administrative Law Judge Arthur I. Steinberg in October cleared the way for Schoenbohm's return to ham radio by declaring that Schoenbohm appeared rehabilitated and qualified to again become a Commission licensee. Schoenbohm has said he may apply for KV4FZ as a vanity call sign.

---------- cut -------------

I DON'T KNOW ABOUT YOU GUYS, BUT I CAN'T WAIT
TILL HERB GETS BACK ON 14.300 AND EXPOSES THE
MARITIME NETS CONTINUED AND NEW VIOLATIONS OF
PART 97.113

WELCOME BACK HERB SCHOENBOHM TO HAM RADIO !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!

HIP-HIP-HOOORAY!!
HIP-HIP-HOOORAY!!
HIP-HIP-HOOORAY!! !!!

3030. Re: Circus on 3860/3865 tonite!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 16:56:59 UTC
From: loose screws <vavaerooom3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3def8555@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 57220
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"R-75 MAN" <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com> wrote in message
news:3deef651_1@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
> About 11:30pm my time, W8CH and his gang and the group from 3860 got into
a
> funny QRM-fest. They went back and forth between 3865 and 3860. Looks
like
> this 75-meter zoo is getting more interesting. Don't get Mr.
Hollingsworth
> involved, this is a comedy-central!
>
> At about midnight or after, WB2CLN (Tommy tube) goes up to 3865 and tells
> Criss, that the group from 3860 were going to QRM him. This went on for a
> almost an hour, back and forth, until Criss passed out drunk on 3860.

It went on till 1:45 AM when Chris finally
passed out "drunk-as-a-skunk-three-sheets-
to-the-wind" in his radio room.

What was all the fuss about CLN and "Chicken Feathers"
however. I heard this late-on and there seemed to be a
complete disentegration of the Motley Group as a fallout
from all of this. What happened???

Truly it was a 5-star evening of radio mayhem on 75 meters.
Was almost as good as the days of the classic 14.313/14.300
net wars.

Will there be a repeat tonight? I just gotta set the VCR Audio
Record Line Channel to SLP this evening with a T-160 Tape
in it on 65 just like I used to do with the Art Bell Show !!

3031. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 16:58:34 UTC
From: K<unk>HB <k<unk>hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <_ALH9.5799$hM3.651883@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57221

--
<unk><unk><unk> 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org
"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

: Were those things bad ideas because some folks
: complain, even today?

No, Jim, you have it backwards. Folks complain because they are bad ideas,
not vice versa.

: Any human activity has a class structure of some kind.

Now there's the kind of statement which stops you dead in your tracks, and
after some consideration you say "This is indeed a dangerous man."

With all kind wishes,

Hans, K0HB

3032. Re: WA8ULX: twit filter fodder

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 17:03:18 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021205120318.14856.00001506@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 57222

In article <123192344.FE23192808@ncvillage.net>, dj2301@nospam.ncvillage.net (Dean Johnson) writes:

>On 04 Dec 2002 16:22:26 GMT, Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote:
>[drivelsnip]
>
>And it takes you 10 days to respond to a post? Verily thou art an AOLer.

All great things happen in their own time.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3033. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 17:04:17 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <IGLH9.5809$hM3.636906@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57223

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote

:
: Unless it's changed since my youngest son was in school, Texas doesn't
even
: provide road tests any more. If the kid (or person) passes driving school
: (in my son's case, Driver's Educastion), they take a little piece of paper
: to the DOT Office and get their license. Seriously...
:

Which explains some things I've seen on 183 and 75 when I visit my
engineering group in Richardson!

73, de Hans, K0HB

3034. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 17:12:11 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <LNLH9.5824$hM3.647292@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57224

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

: do more trolling in here than yor(sp?) favorite trout stream,

Trolling in a trout stream?

Mark down 'fishing' as another thing you're ignorant about.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

3035. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 17:21:29 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212050921.4874400b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57225

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:<vNxH9.123679$Kk2.10436@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>...
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021204173147.17289.00000854@mb-mr.aol.com...
> > If young children are licensed, they might actually wind up having (gasp)
> > FUN
> > with radio! On the air! With actual LICENSES!! While PROFESSIONALS IN
> > RADIO sit
> > on the sidelines!

The above is not my point of view, of course.
> >
> > Now watch out for the pool-pah
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Well Jim,
>
> I've got a 5 yr. old lass who thinks playing "the beeps game" with Daddy's
> practice oscillator is loads of fun...and she doesn't even realize that
> she's got six Morse characters committed to memory. (Or does she?...)

I think she does.
>
> She's in Kindergarten and they must take a book from the school library once
> a week and have their parents read it to them. Well, Imagine this proud
> papa's surprise when she brought home a book entitled 'Radio Rescue.' This
> book was written by Linda Barasch, the daughter of an OT who got his ticket
> in the 20's. It details how his interest in radio was piqued, how he was
> Elmered, his persistence in learning the code, (He failed the first time
> out, but kept on. No B&M'ing back then!) his trip to Cortlandt St. to gather
> parts to build his first rig, and lots more leading up to the point where he
> actually violated a reg to effect the radio rescue to which the title
> refers.

While thebook is categorized as fiction, much of it is not fiction at
all. You know what happened to Cortlandt Street, of course.

You both might also like "Calling CQ", which can be downloaded from
N9AVG's website.

> I'm going to order a copy for our own personal library. When I asked
> her if she wanted earn her license to talk on Daddy's radios, I received an
> enthusiastic "yes!"

Yeah, verily!
>
> Kewl Beans!

Cat's meow.
>
> Here's the Amazon link.
>
> http://www.amazon.com/exec/obidos/ASIN/0374361665/bridgebooks/103-2694679-97
> 18264

Looks good - hmmm, perhaps some stockings around here need stuffing.

--

Just last night I was reading a 1953 QST, and there was a picture of a
7 year old girl who had just passed her Novice test. She was waiting
for the license to arrive. Story said she would enter third grade in
the fall of '53. This was in the days when people who lived where she
did had to take all tests at the FCC office, even the Novice. (This
changed in '54).

73 de Jim, N2EY

3036. Re: Mr. Hollingsworth make an show on 3.865khz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 17:25:16 UTC
From: hikezilla@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <662db5ea.0212050925.6c1542e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57226
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

If Riley did indeed check in, it sent a unspoken message..to anyone
with a functioning brain.

"Mark S. Holden" <mark@bmas.org> wrote in message news:<3DEE6234.18879161@bmas.org>...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >
> > What a dumb assumption. One does not need to check in to monitor. Look at
> > you....thats all you do....
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> Granted you don't need to check in to monitor, but if Hollingsworth checked in, he
undoubtedly did it because he wanted people to know he was monitoring the frequency.

3037. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 17:27:23 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212050927.7f29f238@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57227

wa2si@arrl.net (Bert Craig) wrote in message
news:<b7d1465c.0212050313.1cc57fee@posting.google.com>...

> CORRECTION: The author's name is Lynne Barasch and here is a more descriptive site.
>
> http://www.eduplace.com/readingscene/grade3-4/

WAY COOL!!!

The site says it's a TRUE story, not fiction, and that the young ham
was 10 years old. The rescue happened in 1923. Should be pretty easy
to verify from historical accounts of the day.

73 de Jim, N2EY

imagine somebody telling Sarnoff or Armstrong they couldn't be on the
air until age 14....

3038. Out of the ashes, the B.A.R.F will rise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 17:33:21 UTC
From: R-75 MAN <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com>
Message-ID: <3def8c4f_1@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 57228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

We have won!

Herbie is now back on the air!

I told all of you.

I knew it.

As Chief of Communications, it's my honor to unveil a website in the next
few days........

--
Jesus Christ is coming in your lifetime - R.G. Stair
"The bulletin service is here to stay"- K1MAN

3039. Re: HERB S. RETURNS TO HAM RADIO AS NP2MJ !! (HIP-HIP-HOOORAY!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 17:35:56 UTC
From: R-75 MAN <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com>
Message-ID: <3def8cea_1@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 57229
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"loose screws" <vavaerooom3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3def8317@snipnews.snip.net...
>
> I DON'T KNOW ABOUT YOU GUYS, BUT I CAN'T WAIT
> TILL HERB GETS BACK ON 14.300 AND EXPOSES THE
> MARITIME NETS CONTINUED AND NEW VIOLATIONS OF
> PART 97.113
>
> WELCOME BACK HERB SCHOENBOHM TO HAM RADIO !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> HIP-HIP-HOOORAY!!
> HIP-HIP-HOOORAY!!
> HIP-HIP-HOOORAY!! !!!
>
>
>

Victory through the B.A.R.F.

We beat Roger Wiseman.
We now got Herb back on the air!

Hail Herb!

3040. Re: It's already started on 14.275 USB!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 18:27:49 UTC
From: loose screws <vavaerooom3@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3def9a9f@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 57230
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On another topic:

Looks like parts of 4-land (NC) won't be on 75M
tonight - major ice storm has just about shut down
much of NC

go here: http://abclocal.go.com/wtvd/

"R-75 MAN" <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com> wrote in message
news:3def934b$1_1@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
> Rube and some others are already griping about Herb's great return. Time
> for a Roll Call!
>
> Dont forget also to tune to 3865 and 3860 for the antics of W8CH
>
>
>
> --
> Jesus Christ is coming in your lifetime - R.G. Stair
> "The bulletin service is here to stay"- K1MAN
>
>

3041. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 19:18:11 UTC
From: Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu>
Message-ID: <3DEFA673.1960720D@uci.edu>
Source record: 57231

Len Over 21 wrote:
> Never had to use morse code or morris code or mort's code
> four years before that or in any time afterwards in making a
> career in radio-electronics.

Never had to. Good thing. Were you ever able to?

3042. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 19:21:19 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DEFA72F.4060802@psu.edu>
Source record: 57232

N2EY wrote:
> Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<3DECCE63.8060802@psu.edu>...

> Way back in the late 1940s, a local 9 year old went to the FCC office
> and passed the Class B license on the first go. This was in the days
> of 13 wpm send-and-receive tests, essay questions, draw-a-diagram,
> etc., all in front of the FCC examiner. And years before the Novice.
> This kid had been copying Morse since the age of 6. Still a ham today,
> btw.

Obviously the kid knew his material.

>>>> I think this eventually does a disservice to the ARS. Is there some
>>>>sort of contest to see who produces the youngest Ham?
>>>
>>> Nope. Neither has there ever been an age limit. Nor has the licensing of young
>>>children EVER been shown to be a problem.
>>
>> I'm not against kids having licenses. But I don't support them having
>>licenses if they don't comprehend what they should know.
>
>
> What about ADULTS not comprehending what they should know?

Well yeah, I believe everyone should comprehend the material!

>>What a great thing it would be (not) if the 6yo kid passes the test
>>while not comprehending anything about rf safety.
>
>
> Same is true of adults. There are only a few safety questions on any
> given written test. It's possible to go from zero to Extra and have
> gotten all the safety questions wrong. Been that way since long before
> restructuring.

Scary, scary, scary.

<snippage>

>>There are plenty of
>>ways then to get in trouble.
>>
>> *Most* adults can figure out at least that there can be some danger
>>from even a HT, if only from the rf safety questions.
>
>
> The test alone is no guarantee. In fact, I would say that an adult is

> far more dangerous from an RF safety standpoint. A young child is not
> going to have the resources to assemble a high power station unaided,
> but there's nothing to stop an adult with deep pockets but no real
> understanding of RF safety from putting high power into a poorly sited
> antenna. Look at the descriptions of high powered cb mobiles. They
> aren't built by kids.

The things I am concerned about with kids are dumb stuff like chewing
on antennas, Picking on the family pets with the ht antennas (a cat
finds a rubber ducky antenna irresistible, and it might be fun to see
what happens if we key the thing up. Kids can do the darndest things.

But your point is well taken.

<snippage>

>>But if kids are passing without actually knowing anything,
>>then it is just *WRONG*.
>
>
> What about adults? Kim readily admits she passed by memorizing. Worked
> for her.

It's still wrong.

>
>>If my son had wanted to take the Tech test, as
>>a youg child, I would make darn sure that he knew the material.
>
>
> But isn't it a double standard to hold a child to a different level of
> performance than an adult, based solely on age?

<rant on>

Don't care. Anyone I would coach would know the material when they are
done.

This whole "test" mentality is ingrained in our culture and has been as
long as I know. It's like that in the school system. My kid has a big
thick book with "sample" SAT tests at home.

Don't care. I think that memorizing answers is a low level form of
cheating. People have done it as least as long as I have been around,
so I suspect they did it before that. But it's the entire system that is
that way, and if people do that, then okay, they are allowed to. Mostly
they cheat themselves out of knowledge. Maybe they don't want knowledge.
how does knowledge help you watch Survivor or Jackass - The Movie?
Modern US culture doesn't reward knowledge- it rewards sitting on ones
duff and being entertained.

<a big Homer Simpson like> Booooriiinnng!

I don't blame the FCC any more than I blame the school system or politics or whatever. The material is there, you can learn it or not. The question pools don't bother me, they are just a method of letting you know what is on the test. They could just as well make a book that has all the material in it, and you just read and "memorize" the book. All covered in depth. Thing is, some people will just go through and mark all the things they consider relevant with a hilight pen. Some people won't like that either. After all there are the answers!

Don't care. Fact is, we can't regulate other's behavior. I will learn the material, and anyone who wants to tutor under me will learn the material. But if someone wants to do it otherwise, they are welcome to cheat themselves.

<rant off>

> Funny thing is, most of the newbies I encounter really want to learn
> the material.

There are some weirdos like that around! 8^) I suspect that a lot of people DO want to know what is going on. Maybe the 80 meter ragchewers don't, maybe the repeater rats don't, but most of the crowd I hang with do. But even among the pariahs, I suspect that many are knowledgeable, after all even an old dude with kidney stones can know about electronics. 8^)

.....phew! - Mike KB3EIA -

3043. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 19:26:29 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212051126.381ffb35@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57233

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021204060759.02988.00000235@mb-cq.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Would have been Extra sooner
> >From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
> >Date: 12/3/02 8:01 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <20021203210152.05598.00000095@mb-df.aol.com>
>
> >Its only-coders, who are opposed to learning the material.
>
> Here you are with this "only-coders" thing again, and you are STILL
> ignorant of the fact that while one CAN be an Amateur without knowing the Morse
> Code, one cannot be an Amateur without having passed the written exam.
>
> Ergo, there is no such thing as an "only coder".

Sure there are. They are the guys who cannot remember where they put
their microphones

3044. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 19:34:34 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212051134.2870032c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57234

"Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<uusdrdk5jssrc1@corp.supernews.com>...

> It is designated as a Service by the FCC in no more different a way than CB,
> or any other radio hobby, is. To the FCC it is defined as a service
> (because the gov't. obviously should not endorse or legislate a hobby) but
> to many hams it is a hobby. For me, it is a hobby first and a service
> second.

Hold on a sec there....

"because the gov't. obviously should not endorse or legislate a hobby"

Why not? It's not obvious to me at all.

Doesn't matter if an activity is a hobby, service, business, vocation,
avocation, or some other name. If the activity uses a limited, shared
public resource, and/or is involved with the safety of third parties
and their property, it's definitely within the gov't.'s purview to
regulate that activity. "Endorse" and "legislate" come under
"regulate", I think.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3045. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 19:40:02 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212051140.360090a9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57235

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DEC0D7E.A5232EA6@townsqr.com>...
> Carl Zager wrote:
>
> > I wonder whether HF provides enough interest in itself to
> > contribute to General and Extra numbers higher than what we are
> > seeing.
>
> You raise a valid point. Today's "easy DX" makes HF an entirely different animal to
> beginning hams than it was not that many years ago. Satellites and the space program, instant
> common worldwide communications via both telephone circuits and the Internet naturally make
> it so. To lots of us it was an entirely different view when there was no such easy and
> commonplace, routine long distance comms.

So lets talk about the requirement for a mode that no longer impresses
most people, for which they could care less about once they learn it
simply for the government hurdle.

3046. Re: Mr. Hollingsworth make an show on 3.865khz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 19:46:00 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DEFACF8.1AEEC6D9@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 57236
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Bill wrote:

> If Riley did indeed check in, it sent a unspoken message..to anyone
> with a functioning brain.
>

Assuming someone didn't just bootleg his call when whoever
checked in.....

3047. Re: HERB S. RETURNS TO HAM RADIO AS NP2MJ !! (HIP-HIP-HOOORAY!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 19:59:35 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <asob6d$8bf$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57237
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Uhhhhh....what does/did Roger Wiseass have to do with either Herb or the BARF? He was not involved with Herb or the BARF at all for any reason. Help me out here Lllllloooooyyyyyddddddddd.

And if your infering the B.A.R.F. (Bigoted Ayrian Racist Federation) helped get Herb back on the air....you are seriously confused. If anything it is what kept him off so long.

In anycase.....I sincerly do hope Mr. S. does not return to his antics of before. We all (except you of course Llllooooyyyyddd) know what they were and were not. I am looking forward to working him in the contests. I need VI on 160 this weekend....Get a wire up Herby.

Oh and one more thingy Lllllooooyyyyddddd Herb and I are old friends. I just don't like who glommed on to him.

Dan/W4NTI

"R-75 MAN" <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com> wrote in message news:3def8cea_1@corp-news.newsgroups.com...

>
> Victory through the B.A.R.F.
>
> We beat Roger Wiseman.
> We now got Herb back on the air!
>
> Hail Herb!
>
>
>

3048. Re: Out of the ashes, the B.A.R.F will rise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 20:01:45 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <asobaf$9e6$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57238
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

No Lllllloooooyyyyyddddd Mr. S. has followed the rules as put down by the FCC. Mr. S. actually apoligized for his lack of candor and assured the FCC he would no longer act that way.

There was no winner. The only looooooooser was the US taxpayer and all the money they wasted persuing him for nie on to ten years.

Now Mr. Llllooooyyyddd stick your head back in the sand....Bubba is on his way.

Dan/W4NTI

"R-75 MAN" <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com> wrote in message news:3def8c4f_1@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
> We have won!
>
> Herbie is now back on the air!
>
> I told all of you.
>
> I knew it.
>
> As Chief of Communications, it's my honor to unveil a website in the next
> few days........
>
> --
> Jesus Christ is coming in your lifetime - R.G. Stair
> "The bulletin service is here to stay"- K1MAN
>
>

3049. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 20:17:34 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021205151734.17878.00000871@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 57241

In article <c2356669.0212050927.7f29f238@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) writes:

>wa2si@arrl.net (Bert Craig) wrote in message
>news:<b7d1465c.0212050313.1cc57fee@posting.google.com>...
>
>> CORRECTION: The author's name is Lynne Barasch and here is a more
>descriptive site.
>>
>> http://www.eduplace.com/readingscene/grade3-4/
>
>WAY COOL!!!
>
>The site says it's a TRUE story, not fiction, and that the young ham
>was 10 years old. The rescue happened in 1923. Should be pretty easy
>to verify from historical accounts of the day.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>imagine somebody telling Sarnoff or Armstrong they couldn't be on the
>air until age 14....

Ed Armstrong was a major in the US Army Signal Corps in 1918, stationed in Paris, when he filed for the patent on the superheterodyne. Hardly a "fourteen-year-old!" :-)

Perhaps he needed (as Heil and Carroll claim/imply) that he had to have "permission from the ARRL DX bureau" in WRITING to "get on the air?" :-) :-) :-) :-)

The Sarnoff kid was a junior morse operator at some NYC radio comm station owned by OTHERS when he worked some Titanic rescue emergency traffic in 1912. He would eventually grow up and head up the new RCA Corporation forged out of a GE division...and be the subject of hatred and thievery on radio patents allegedly stolen from Armstrong and others according to someone's past posting in here. :-)

So...how come Elecraft doesn't show a Miccolis as the designer of the K2? ["I only operate ham gear that I DESIGNED and built myself"- Mr. N2EY]

3050. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 20:17:35 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021205151735.17878.00000872@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 57239

In article <OdLH9.5775$hM3.648562@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:

>"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
>: As I said, English is obviously a second language to him.
>:
>: >You catch more flies with honey than with vinegar, Vshah.
>:
>: I doubt he understands the corrollary. (sp?)
>
>Corollary? Jim didn't write a corollary. Not even metaphor, simile,
>allegory, nor analogy. Just a bit of plain old folk wisdom.
>
>If you're going to flash your badge at others for their grasp of the
>language, then yours should be impeccable. You couldn't spell 'corollary'
>and your usage of the word betrays the fact that you do not understand its
>meaning.
>
>Turn in your badge.

Be kind to this icehole, Hans, Doctor Kildare is suffering
from a serious corollary infraction attack! :-)

Beep, beep...

3051. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 20:17:36 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021205151736.17878.00000874@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 57240

In article <uuukcidjgvdtcc@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20021204224846.06308.00000765@mb-fm.aol.com...
>> In article <asiiau037e@enews3.newsguy.com>, tbryant@IHATESPAM.cannet.com
>(TLB)
>> writes:
>>
>> >There's no doubt that there are a lot of people upset with the current
>> >testing scheme.
>>
>> True. But what can be done to make it better? Many of us suggested ways to
>> improve the system in our comments to the FCC, but instead the FCC reduced
>the
>> number of written tests and the number of questions. It's clear FCC
>doesn't
>> think the system needs fixing.
>>
>
>I think also that the FCC finds the amateur (and probably most other) radio
>service too much to administrate.

More likely, the FCC doesn't consider amateur radio as any
"vital, important 'service' to the nation." Horrors! :-)

ALL US radio operator license testing has become privatized.
COLEMS were allowed to administer commercial tests at the
same time that VECs were allowed to administer amateur
radio tests. By implication it would seem that the FCC doesn't
think that radio operator licenses are high on their list for tools
to regulate all US civil radio.

>I really am beginning to wonder if there isn't some bit of insecurity on
>behalf of folks who just don't want to believe kids can master the material
>enough to pass a test for amateur radio.

You are free to believe what you want. However, the "insecurity"
charge is rather artificial to those who have a need to win
message points. :-)

If you wish to use that as a claim that six-year-olds are as
responsible as adults in legally operating a radio transmitter
in the EM spectrum that can reach around to the other side of
the earth, legally so alone and without any adult supervision,
then I'm wondering what exactly are you talking about...?

No one has defined "kids" chronologically in here. Was anyone
(including readers who don't post) ever licensed at age four?
Age three? Age two? By strict legal non-definition of age, VEs
could "pass" infants for FCC-granted amateur licenses.

>Unless it's changed since my youngest son was in school, Texas doesn't even
>provide road tests any more. If the kid (or person) passes driving school
>(in my son's case, Driver's Educastion), they take a little piece of paper
>to the DOT Office and get their license. Seriously...

Whenever VEs in any state do testing for motor vehicle licenses,
that becomes relevant to amateur radio policy discussions.

3052. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 20:17:36 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021205151736.17878.00000873@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 57242

In article <3DEEDF4C.2F9FADC7@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>Don't be put off by that dude, Carl. When you hang around here awhile you
>soon learn he's just a poor old
>useless Goober with no life and no ham radio license, and no real interest in
>ham radio at all other than
>to put it down as low as he thinks he can. I just pass by his rantings
>without opening them at all.

Dickiebird hypocritically twitters again...he just "passes by the
"rantings" while his cuckoo bird imitations QRM the newsgroup.
:-)

>Anything and everything he says always degenerates to just such mushy drivel.
>Useless to everyone except him, so that says where he is.

I'm in Southern California where the predicted high temperature
today is 74 F and clear skies for Los Angeles.

No mushy drivel cascading down from any New Madrid fault line.
Or even from an Old Madrid fault line.

Of course, that leaves everyone here at a disadvantage...hardly
any possibility of trudging barefoot (uphill both ways) through the
snow to any Nobel-laureate-category amateur radio test session!

Tweet, tweet...

3053. Re: Out of the ashes, the B.A.R.F will rise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 20:34:08 UTC
From: R-75 MAN <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com>
Message-ID: <3defb6af_1@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 57243
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:asobaf$9e6$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net...
> No Lllllloooyyyyyddddd Mr. S. has followed the rules as put down by the
> FCC. Mr. S. actually apoligized for his lack of candor and assured the
FCC
> he would no longer act that way.
>
> There was no winner. The only looooooooser was the US taxpayer and all the
> money they wasted persuing him for nie on to ten years.
>
> Now Mr. Llllooooyyyyddd stick your head back in the sand....Bubba is on his
> way.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

That's fine Dan. What explains your behavior over the years? I never heard
Herb threaten to kill someone over the air.

You have.....

--
Jesus Christ is coming in your lifetime - R.G. Stair
"The bulletin service is here to stay"- K1MAN

3054. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 20:42:25 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021205154225.10280.00000296@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 57245

In article <f45722ac.0212051140.360090a9@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>> You raise a valid point. Today's "easy DX" makes HF an entirely different
>animal to
>> beginning hams than it was not that many years ago. Satellites and the
>space program, instant
>> common worldwide communications via both telephone circuits and the
>Internet naturally make
>> it so. To lots of us it was an entirely different view when there was no
>such easy and
>> commonplace, routine long distance comms.
>
>So lets talk about the requirement for a mode that no longer impresses
>most people, for which they could care less about once they learn it
>simply for the government hurdle.

I agree, but that is not "endorsed" in here...all things must
remain as they were in the beginning when all these radio
"experts" became licensed. They define amateur radio as
"working DX on HF with CW."

Not only that, all must trudge miles barefoot through the
snow, uphill both ways, to take an "official" amateur test
which is the equivalent of an academic PhD grant. These
mighty radio experts have said so...in WRITING! :-)

3055. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 20:42:26 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021205154226.10280.00000297@mb-dd.aol.com>
Source record: 57244

In article <c2356669.0212051134.2870032c@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) writes:

>Doesn't matter if an activity is a hobby, service, business, vocation, >avocation, or some other name. If the activity uses a limited, shared >public resource, and/or is involved with the safety of third parties >and their property, it's definitely within the gov't.'s purview to >regulate that activity. "Endorse" and "legislate" come under >"regulate", I think.

Legislation of laws enables regulatory powers by an agency.

The second dictionary definition of endorsement is to "support, sanction, approve" something. ARRL endorses US amateur radio but it doesn't regulate it. Individuals in the US ARS endorse amateur radio but do not regulate it...despite what some of them claim...

3056. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 21:17:20 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <AnPH9.6476$kz2.654005@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57246

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote

:
: I will learn the material, and anyone who wants to tutor
: under me will learn the material.

You keep re-running this same jeremiad as if you were the only one who feels
that way.

Here's a BFO* for you..... perhaps, in fact MOST LIKELY, everyone who gets
a license (or helps tutors others to get a license) feel exactly the same
way.

Sunuvagun!

Get off your high horse.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Most Reverend Sniffer of the Fumes of Solder

*BFO: Blinding Flash of the Obvious

3057. Followup from K4ZDH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 22:23:14 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <mlQH9.6549$kz2.662732@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57247

"K&#65533;HB" <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:3IdH9.2983$kz2.334458@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
: "Denise/w3tit" <DeniseD@nospam.pavillage.net> wrote
:
: > 73s,
: > Denise/w3tit
:
: Like Dave, I think this twit is a simple (as in 'not too bright') troll,
but
: just in case she's for real, I sent a quick note to rholling@fcc.gov
asking
: him to watch for this application and deal with it.
:
: 73, Hans, K0HB
: --
: "The big trouble with dumb bastards is that they are too
: dumb to believe there is such a thing as being smart."
: - Sirens of Titan
:

Here is Riley's response....

----- Original Message -----
From: Riley Hollingsworth
To: k0hb@earthlink.net
Cc: DeniseD@nospam.pavillage.net
Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2002 9:52 PM
Subject: Re: W3TIT?

I brought that up with Wireless, the Bureau that does the licensing; and
asked
why the heck they'd let it through. Their answer was that it they started
screening, there'd be no end to having to block certain call signs. My
answer
is baloney, state hiway departments do it every day with vanity plates. You
sure wouldn't see that on a license plate. It's in very poor taste and I
hate
to see it in the Amateur service. Image is everything, in a service that
uses
billions of dollars of spectrum for free, and Amateurs are going to lose if
they doesn't remain aware of that. Call signs such as that don't help.

K4ZDH

3058. Re: Should have been born later

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 22:32:18 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212051432.3fd9b021@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57248

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021129075754.10561.00000327@mb-cg.aol.com>...
> If they had the same License Testing they do now when I was first licensed, I
> would have Probably have been an Extra at 4 years old. And Brian and krell
> would still be whinnning about how tuff the EXTRA is, and there inability to
> pass the test.

Naw, you should have been born later.

3059. Re: Out of the ashes, the B.A.R.F will rise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 22:32:41 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <duQH9.6558$kz2.663954@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57249
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"R-75 MAN" <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com> wrote in message
news:3def8c4f_1@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
: We have won!
:
: Herbie is now back on the air!
:
: I told all of you.
:
: I knew it.
:
: As Chief of Communications, it's my honor to unveil a website in the next
: few days........
:

Lloyd,

I forwarded your message to my friend NP2MJ. He responded, saying....

Hans,

I plan not to get suckered in to any radio wars. My principle interest is
160 meters and chasing some new ones when they show. I probably won't have
much up until next year. I have so busy with my regular government job, 6
radio station where I have engineering responsibilities, a well as still
participating in the local Republican party leadership.

Let them have their fun. I am going to find enjoyment elsewhere.

73

Herb NP2MJ

In other words, BARF is not on his Christmas list.

With all kind wishes, <chuckle, smirk>

de Hans, K0HB

3060. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 22:37:08 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212051437.3a33b86f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57250

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DEF59A3.BA28143B@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DEEB2AB.2575CC92@earthlink.net>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > > >
> > > > I'm not so old, and "No" to stepping in it. My statements are
> > > > factual. Yes, I passed the Extra exam. Yes, I will take it again.
> > > > Is there a problem with that?
> > >
> > > The Extra exam which you took consisted of a) the written portion and b)
> > > the morse exam. To pass the exam, you'd have had to pass both of them.
> > > Since you did not, your claim is factual only under the Bill Clinton
> > > interpretation of "factual".
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Go correct Bruce unless you are a card carrying member of the PCTA
> > Double Standard.
>
> As opposed to your Somalia "permission" story and you, a card carrying member of the NCI
No
> Standard?

Did you not correct me? Did I not say that if I had to do it over I
would have asked for a letter?

None the less, I asked for permission. Permission was granted.

Now what about Bruce? Isn't it time you corrected him?

3061. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 22:38:16 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212051438.4d1696b6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57251

brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0212031719.15206862@posting.google.com>...
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021203042018.24190.00000164@mb-cv.aol.com>...
> > >Subject: Re: Would have been Extra sooner
> > >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> > >Date: 12/2/02 12:07 PM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <20021202130701.22850.00000806@mb-fa.aol.com>
>
> > >>Accusing the VECs of falsifying test results is pretty serious stuff, btw.
> Do
> > >>you have any solid evidence?
> > >
> > > VEC test sessions are NOT monitored by anyone else DURING
> > > testing.
> >
> > Wrong.
> >
> > Please list the VE sessions that you have atteneded/participated in from
> > which to make such a proclimation.
>
> If the FCC had enough people to monitor VE sessions, then we wouldn't need VEs.
>
> So now I'm curious Steve, who is monitoring the VEs at an exam session?

Wow! Silence from Steve.

3062. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 22:39:03 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212051439.4289d559@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57252

brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0212041726.be733a6@posting.google.com>...
> N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0212040943.39a5bd20@posting.google.com>...
> > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021203042018.24190.00000164@mb-cv.aol.com>...
> >
> > > Please list the VE sessions that you have atteneded/participated in from
> > > which to make such a proclimation.
> >
> > Steve,
> >
> > Do you really think you will get a list, or any sort of logical
> > response to that request?
>
> Jim, in your vast experience of attending VE exam sessions, can you
> tell me who is monitoring the Volunteer Examiners?

Hmmm? Not only is Steve silent, but Jim is as well.

3063. Why should I care????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 23:21:43 UTC
From: Artie DeCoe <Artie@dcoe.net>
Message-ID: <VJKdncV59YuoQnKgXTWcpw@comcast.com>
Source record: 57253
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

The "importance" of this event completely escapes me.

3064. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 23:41:36 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DEFF273.50A7D5B1@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57254

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:

> --
> ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
> http://www.mnyarc.org
> http://www.k0bsa.org
> "N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> : Were those things bad ideas because some folks
> : complain, even today?
>
> No, Jim, you have it backwards. Folks complain because they are bad ideas,
> not vice versa.
>
> : Any human activity has a class structure of some kind.
>
> Now there's the kind of statement which stops you dead in your tracks, and
> after some consideration you say "This is indeed a dangerous man."

So you're ready to cash in your (formerly) seven figure 401K and distribute it EVENLY to every needy soul in ham radio that you can find in order to equalize things? Or do you prefer to remain a member of the financial elite CLASS? There's really no difference, ya know. Your world class high$ ham radio station only proves the case. You run whatever you wish in the way of expensive gear instead of yesterday's castoffs. If that's not the action of one of the elite class what could it possibly be? Act otherwise as you wish, but the truth is there.

Dick

3065. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 23:49:50 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DEFF462.A93B6AC9@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57255

Brian wrote:

> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021204060759.02988.00000235@mb-cq.aol.com>...
> > >Subject: Re: Would have been Extra sooner
> > >From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
> > >Date: 12/3/02 8:01 PM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <20021203210152.05598.00000095@mb-df.aol.com>
> >
> > >Its only-coders, who are opposed to learning the material.
> >
> > Here you are with this "only-coders" thing again, and you are STILL
> > ignorant of the fact that while one CAN be an Amateur without knowing the Morse
> > Code, one cannot be an Amateur without having passed the written exam.
> >
> > Ergo, there is no such thing as an "only coder".
>
> Sure there are. They are the guys who cannot remember where they put
> their microphones

Maybe there's a point in there besides the one on your headgear. After all, ASCII is a code.

3066. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 23:52:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DEFF4E3.565DD372@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57256

Brian wrote:

> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DEC0D7E.A5232EA6@townsqr.com>...
> > Carl Zager wrote:
> >
> > > I wonder whether HF provides enough interest in itself to
> > > contribute to General and Extra numbers higher than what we are
> > > seeing.
> >
> > You raise a valid point. Today's "easy DX" makes HF an entirely different animal to
> > beginning hams than it was not that many years ago. Satellites and the space program,
instant
> > common worldwide communications via both telephone circuits and the Internet naturally
make
> > it so. To lots of us it was an entirely different view when there was no such easy and
> > commonplace, routine long distance comms.
>
> So lets talk about the requirement for a mode that no longer impresses
> most people, for which they could care less about once they learn it
> simply for the government hurdle.

You just won't shut up about your shortcomings, will you?

3067. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-05 23:59:16 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DEFF5F6.CBB2602F@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57257

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:

> "Mike Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote
>
> :
> : I will learn the material, and anyone who wants to tutor
> : under me will learn the material.
>
> You keep re-running this same jeremiad as if you were the only one who feels
> that way.
>
> Here's a BFO* for you..... perhaps, in fact MOST LIKELY, everyone who gets
> a license (or helps tutors others to get a license) feel exactly the same
> way.

Shows what you know. Most people taking that or any test mainly want to pass the test. Some will be glad to learn whatever piques them sooner or later, some not, but all have as their immediate goal making a passing score.

> Get off your high horse.

Get a horse!

3068. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 00:04:34 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DEFE992.31F883CF@mailcity.net>
Source record: 57270

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DEEB2AB.2575CC92@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > I'm not so old, and "No" to stepping in it. My statements are
> > > factual. Yes, I passed the Extra exam. Yes, I will take it again.
> > > Is there a problem with that?
> >
> > The Extra exam which you took consisted of a) the written portion and b)
> > the morse exam. To pass the exam, you'd have had to pass both of them.
> > Since you did not, your claim is factual only under the Bill Clinton
> > interpretation of "factual".
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Dave, I passed the written. Bruce says he could have passed Extra
> when he was 4 years old.

The only thing brucie could pass at 4 years old and even today is
gas.

3069. Re: Mr. Hollingsworth make an show on 3.865khz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 00:09:10 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DEFEAA6.7690ED07@mailcity.net>
Source record: 57271
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Bill wrote:
>
> If Riley did indeed check in, it sent a unspoken message..to anyone
> with a functioning brain.

You just disqualified a lot of hams.

3070. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 00:11:26 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DEFF971.1C681075@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57258

Brian wrote:

> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DEF59A3.BA28143B@townsqr.com>...
> > Brian wrote:
> >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DEEB2AB.2575CC92@earthlink.net>...
> > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > I'm not so old, and "No" to stepping in it. My statements are
> > > > > factual. Yes, I passed the Extra exam. Yes, I will take it again.
> > > > > Is there a problem with that?
> > > > >
> > > > The Extra exam which you took consisted of a) the written portion and b)
> > > > the morse exam. To pass the exam, you'd have had to pass both of them.
> > > > Since you did not, your claim is factual only under the Bill Clinton
> > > > interpretation of "factual".
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > Go correct Bruce unless you are a card carrying member of the PCTA
> > > Double Standard.
> >
> > As opposed to your Somalia "permission" story and you, a card carrying member of the
NCI No
> > Standard?
>
> Did you not correct me? Did I not say that if I had to do it over I
> would have asked for a letter?
>
> None the less, I asked for permission. Permission was granted.
>
> Now what about Bruce? Isn't it time you corrected him?

Yes, we know. And Bruce SAYS he.....

3071. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 00:15:36 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DEFFA6B.6F9260A7@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57259

Brian wrote:

> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0212031719.15206862@posting.google.com>...
> > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021203042018.24190.00000164@mb-cv.aol.com>...
> > > >Subject: Re: Would have been Extra sooner
> > > >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> > > >Date: 12/2/02 12:07 PM Central Standard Time
> > > >Message-id: <20021202130701.22850.00000806@mb-fa.aol.com>
> >
> > > >>Accusing the VECs of falsifying test results is pretty serious stuff, btw.
> > Do
> > > >>you have any solid evidence?
> > > >
> > > > VEC test sessions are NOT monitored by anyone else DURING
> > > > testing.
> > >
> > > Wrong.
> > >
> > > Please list the VE sessions that you have atteneded/participated in from
> > > which to make such a proclimation.
> >
> > If the FCC had enough people to monitor VE sessions, then we wouldn't need VEs.
> >
> > So now I'm curious Steve, who is monitoring the VEs at an exam session?
>
> Wow! Silence from Steve.

As a longtime VE I will be glad to answer that. VE's monitor each other, and for certain the VEC whom they
send all the paperwork to monitors each and everything they did. You would probably be very surprised what the
VEC can learn about the test session in general and the handling of each applicant in particular by
conducting his review of the exam session, which he does EVERY TIME.
Does all that guarantee there will never be a problem? No, nor did testing by the FCC. But you may have
noticed that most apparent problems with tests by VE's are reported to the FCC by the VEC himself.

3072. Re: Out of the ashes, the B.A.R.F will rise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 01:09:58 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <asotcb$912$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57260
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Glad to hear it. I told this to the nitwit N0 ??? what a flippin looser....Oh and Lllloyd I don't know what your talking about. Care to elaborate a bit?

Dan/W4NTI

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message news:duQH9.6558$kz2.663954@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "R-75 MAN" <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com> wrote in message
> news:3def8c4f_1@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
> : We have won!
> :
> : Herbie is now back on the air!
> :
> : I told all of you.
> :
> : I knew it.
> :
> : As Chief of Communications, it's my honor to unveil a website in the next
> : few days.....
> :
>
> Lloyd,
>
> I forwarded your message to my friend NP2MJ. He responded, saying....
>
>
> ----------
>
> Hans,
>
> I plan not to get suckered in to any radio wars. My principle interest is
> 160 meters and chasing some new ones when they show. I probably won't have
> much up until next year. I have so busy with my regular government job, 6
> radio station where I have engineering responsibilities, a well as still
> participating in the local Republican party leadership.
>
> Let them have their fun. I am going to find enjoyment elsewhere.
>
> 73

>
> Herb NP2MJ
>
> --------
>
> In other words, BARF is not on his Christmas list.
>
> With all kind wishes, <chuckle, smirk>
>
> de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>

3073. Re: Out of the ashes, the B.A.R.F will rise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 01:11:46 UTC
From: R-75 MAN <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com>
Message-ID: <3deff7c0_1@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 57261
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:duQH9.6558$kz2.663954@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> In other words, BARF is not on his Christmas list.
>
> With all kind wishes, <chuckle, smirk>
>
> de Hans, K0HB
>
>

Big F'ing deal! The Better Amatuer Radio Federation can still be achievd!

It's for White Nationalists. No jews, no blacks, etc.

We dont need Herb to have a ham club!

And we wont call no-code techs "CB-plussers" either!

--
The Better Amateur Radio Federation
Ham Radio for Straight, White, Americans!

3074. Re: Why should I care????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 01:12:19 UTC
From: "Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org>
Message-ID: <asotv901a7k@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 57264
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Artie DeCoe" <Artie@dcoe.net> wrote in message
news:VJKdncV59YuoQnKgXTWcpw@comcast.com...
> The "importance" of this event completely escapes me.
>
>
Because this event is of no importance to anyone save a few sixth graders
masquerading as adults.

--

Regards,
Joe S.

3075. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 01:31:53 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021205203153.21527.00000576@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 57263

In article <3DEFA673.1960720D@uci.edu>, Jim Kelley <jwkelley@uci.edu> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>> Never had to use morse code or morris code or mort's code
>> four years before that or in any time afterwards in making a
>> career in radio-electronics.
>
>Never had to. Good thing. Were you ever able to?

Totally irrelevant what any one person can or can't do. Laws and regulations are never made that way. Do not fall into the trap of personalizing an issue.

On-off keying codings have become obsolete, defunct for all commercial, government, military communications. Denial looks foolish when long-time amateurs keep insisting on that singular skill's "importance" or "necessity."

The FCC does NOT demand in any way that US radio amateurs use one mode allocated over another. All US radio amateurs have the option to use any allocated mode, any band, any class. The FCC has stated for a dozen years that tested morse code skill does not have any relevance to their task of regulating amateurs through licensing.

If you wish to "challenge" anyone, then challenge the FCC commissioners and their staffers making the regulations that you must obey in amateur radio to remain legal. Ask them "if and when they ever learned morse code."

Good luck on this one...

3076. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 01:36:48 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212051736.73a77680@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57265

N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0212041244.5c28090@posting.google.com>...
> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0212040746.1b2856e8@posting.google.com>...
> > n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20021202051737.14881.00000351@mb-ct.aol.com>...
> >
> > That's a semantics thing, 'tis questionable to call a 'puter screen
> > part of a ham station. We can all run phone/cw all day all nite
> > without a 'puter. I run CAD all day all nite with this CRT and that
> > sure ain't ham radio. Dual use.
>
> How many FRCers don't have a computer CRT in the shack?

Beats me, maybe a few far-end OTs don't have 'puters. In five years
most all of the mob will be using LCDs, not CRTs.

> It's getting to the point that most hams who do any half-serious
> contesting, homebrewing or dxing have a dedicated shack computer.

In the past 6-8 years 'puters have all but reveloutionized the hobby
from top to bottom and it goes far beyond just contest CAT control &
logging. However the things have also revolutionized the workplace and
just about everything else, we ain't alone at all. My 'puter has
changed the way I work out in the smokestacks much more than it has
impacted my ham activities.

The big experiment in this year's WW CW was trial runs of a club
SpiderNet team for spots vs. packet. Filtered full-time high-speed
connections to the Web straight to the logger. Worked. Minus some
easily corrected filter & config glitches.

> First all solid state legal limit HF ham amp I ever saw was cover
> story of QST. April, 1976. Expensive monster, but I figgered 3-500Zs
> would soon be worthless. More'n a quarter century and they're still
> expensive.

They're not free but at $150/pair they're only $30/pair more expensive
than they were 25 years ago - without factoring in the adjusted
dollars. Huge bargain no matter how ya cut it, no way can ya beat 'em
at the 1 Kw input level per jug on any realistic basis.

> > Point is that the option does exist, we takes 'em or we don't, the
> > technology isn't the problem.
>
> Bingo. And it's not just technology that drives the ham market.

The technology is only one little corner of the hobby and in most
cases the avearge ham only gets into it when it's time to go out and
buy a radio. And even then only sometimes.

> > > That's you. Most hams will diddle a few knobs to get the suds.
> >
> > Is not the discussion and it ain't just me at all, there are (at
> > least) hundreds of solid state legal limit HF amps out there already.
>
> Agreed. And tens of thousands of legal limit HF tube amps, some of 'em
> 30+ years old and still pounding out the RF.

Not sure what yer point is.

> > With the current costs I wouldn't do a solid state amp, I'm not into
> > taking shots at the boxes, would never happen under any condx so yeah
> > I'll stick with the knobs for now.
>
> 'zactly the point.

Which is not to say I wouldn't unload a tube amp and jump all over a
solid state amp if the deal was attractive. I poked around a bit more
over the past couple days and it turns out that they're a lot more
cost competitive than I thought, the ratio is more like 1.5:1. Which
with auto band switching, no tubes with finite lives and much less
noise they come up pretty competitive.

> > Imagine Brainiac loose wih a Henry 3K or an
> > Alpha. He'd toast it and prolly himself too in less than five minutes.
>
> Better yet, a homebrew grid-driven 4-1000 in linear service where ya
> hafta watch the screen current or you'll have an expensive table lamp.

No such things out there today but the point stands.

>
> > Which would only leave The Putz around here for comic relief. Ugh.
>
> Comic relief requires that the person actually be funny.

Depends on yer sense of humor. I happen to enjoy watching The Putz
thrash for attention & relevance on those few occasions when I bother
tuning his worn-out drivel.

What the hell is this "SIGNARS" crappola he's locked onto??

> > All the "synthesizer" in that thing did was
> > create wall-to-wall birdies and noise. One of the flat-out worst rcvrs
> > I ever tuned since my daze with the S-40B. But I worked a helluva
> > lotta dx on 80 with the S-40 which I'll just bet I couldn't have
> > copied with the HRO-500.
>
> But - but - the '500 was designed by PROFESSIONALS! It was pioneering!
> That old S-40 had METAL TUBES, fer gosh sakes! It cost a lot of money

> and the professionals said it was "better"!! Most military HF rxs of
> that time were closer in design to the '500 than to the S-40. How
> could any of us lowly hams dare to argue with that?

'Twas the DeLorean of solid state HF rcvrs period. It's been
demonstrated many times that the Pentagon will buy radios hams
wouldn't touch with a ten foot pole. Military gear has to be
idiotproof and built like a cinderblock and not much else. They take
operator skill outta the equation which is the huge difference between
ham ops and military ops. Toss a 100w ham xcvr at the average Signal
Corps grunt in some far off place and tell him to build and hang an
antenna then call home with it and/or establish direct two-way HF
comms with ten countries . . .

> > > Not just that. Their applications evolved. Note how the use of active mixers in
> > > highperformance solidstate receiver designs has all but disappeared.
> >
> > Normal, orderly evolution.
>
> Driven by a market that kept demanding better rigs.

Ummm . . more like driven by the manufacturers competing for market
share.

> > The el cheapo 500Hz xtal cw filter in my lame
> > litle underdash TS-50 xcvr has a far better shape factor than any of
> > the "sharp filters" in my whizzy all-DSP NRD-545.
>
> But - but - it's DSP! It's gotta be "better" - the PROFESSIONALS IN
> RADIO told us so! How dare we hams question their pronouncements?

Horsepucky.

40-bit extended floating-point processor, 18-bit over-sampling A/D
converter, 16-bit D/A converter. Lotta DSP.

A pox on it and them when it comes to hamming with it, especially cw.

Considering all the gelt I poured into the thing I expected it to be a
decent cw rcvr along with being a decent swl rcvr. It AIN'T even a
half-decent cw rcvr. Crank in the 50 Hz (yeah, fifty HERTZ) DSP
"filter" and ya get a weenie little spike maybe a few dB high then the
skirts flare out like the wings of a J-3 Cub . . The goofy old Heath
Q-Multiplier I wired into to my goofy old HQ-129 did a better job in
1960 fer cripes sake.

The AARL lab ran and archived an extensive review of the 545 but
nowhere in that review did they "happen" to get much into this "little
detail". They musta "run outta bandwidth" in QST . . Dump in the
review and check me. It's OK on ssb but no killer. All JRC had to do
to make it a huge winner on all counts was to make provisions for
adding a few optional plug-in ssb & cw analog filters. They didn't do
that. "It's 100% DSP!" Back to the pox.

But it's beyond superb for AM which is the real purpose of the 545 and it's probably the pace-setter in that game, I've never tuned a better swl radio and I've tuned slews of 'em. You'd have to hear it when I bring up a weak, buried AM BC signal to believe it. Has a front end as good as the K2 and the MP.

I don't regret buying the thing at all because I do a lotta SWLing. In the end it's a no-counter for ham ops anyway, separate rcvrs went out with tubes.

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

b.

3077. Re: Great Buy For CBplussers and Real Hams

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 01:52:12 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021205205212.21039.00009846@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 57266

From: wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX)
Re: Great Buy For CBplussers and Real Hams

This is not a policy issue. You start by insulting the buyer (calling them a CBplusser) and then they should buy from you because it may be a great deal?

You should put in the ebay ad. "This equipment is good for both CB plussers and "real" Hams. I do not like non-Morse code using CBplussers. However, I am even willing to sell to CBplussers."

This would be a more honest ad.

3078. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 01:56:14 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021205205614.02893.00000387@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 57267

In article <f45722ac.0212051439.4289d559@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>> Jim, in your vast experience of attending VE exam sessions, can you
>> tell me who is monitoring the Volunteer Examiners?
>
>Hmmm? Not only is Steve silent, but Jim is as well.

Hmmm...maybe both of them are suffering corollary infractions?

3079. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 01:56:14 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021205205614.02893.00000386@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 57268

In article <3DEFFA6B.6F9260A7@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>> > So now I'm curious Steve, who is monitoring the VEs at an exam session?
>>
>> Wow! Silence from Steve.
>
>As a longtime VE I will be glad to answer that.

Why? You WERE a VE. You are NOT one now. That makes you
defunct.

> VE's monitor each other, and
>for certain the VEC whom they
>send all the paperwork to monitors each and everything they did. You would
>probably be very surprised what the
>VEC can learn about the test session in general and the handling of each
>applicant in particular by
>conducting his review of the exam session, which he does EVERY TIME.

"Review?" For what, psychological screening to make sure the
license applicant had the "proper attitude?" :-)

> Does all that guarantee there will never be a problem? No, nor did testing
>by the FCC. But you may have
>noticed that most apparent problems with tests by VE's are reported to the
>FCC by the VEC himself.

"Himself?!?" There's about a dozen VECs. Plural, dickiebird.

You are NOT a VE now. You are DEFUNCT as a VE.

3080. Re: Out of the ashes, the B.A.R.F will rise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 02:00:43 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uv015c3bvk36d2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57269
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"R-75 MAN" <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com> wrote in message
news:3deff7c0_1@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
>
> "K&#65533;HB" <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
> news:duQH9.6558$kz2.663954@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > In other words, BARF is not on his Christmas list.
> >
> > With all kind wishes, <chuckle, smirk>
> >
> > de Hans, K0HB
> >
> >
>
> Big F'ing deal! The Better Amatuer Radio Federation can still be achievd!
>
> It's for White Nationalists. No jews, no blacks, etc.
>
> We dont need Herb to have a ham club!
>
> And we wont call no-code techs "CB-plussers" either!
>
>
> --
> The Better Amateur Radio Federation
> Ham Radio for Straight, White, Americans!

Why don't you take a hike and take your B.A.R.F. with you,
before I start barfing (AKA puking) from all this Neo-Nazi crap.

BTW, Jesus is a Jew, and Bro. R. G. Stair isn't a prophet!
& For the record I am not, a Jew, a black, or gay!

--
'73' From KB9TMP

"Half the world is composed of people who have something to say and can't,
and the other half who have nothing to say and keep on saying it"
-Robert Frost

3081. Re: Out of the ashes, the B.A.R.F will rise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 02:14:17 UTC
From: R-75 MAN <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com>
Message-ID: <3df00667$1_1@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 57272
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message
news:uv015c3bvk36d2@corp.supernews.com...
>
> Why don't you take a hike and take your B.A.R.F. with you,
> before I start barfing (AKA puking) from all this Neo-Nazi crap.

What so "Neo-Nazi" about having a ham radio club for whites? Whites with
like-minded interests? We have a ham radio club for gays, dont we?

>
> BTW, Jesus is a Jew, and Bro. R. G. Stair isn't a prophet!
> & For the record I am not, a Jew, a black, or gay!
>

Stair is true Prophet! You will endure many damnations for what you have
said this day!

> --
> '73' From KB9TMP
>
> "Half the world is composed of people who have something to say and can't,
> and the other half who have nothing to say and keep on saying it"
> -Robert Frost
>
>

--
Jesus Christ is coming in your lifetime - R.G. Stair
"The bulletin service is here to stay"- K1MAN

3082. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 02:16:11 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net>
Message-ID: <LLTH9.6092$4j5.2975743@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 57262

<kb9rqz@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3deed1c2.34055198@news.one-eleven.net...
> On 03 Dec 2002 09:20:18 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote:
>
> >>Subject: Re: Would have been Extra sooner
> >>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >>Date: 12/2/02 12:07 PM Central Standard Time
> >>Message-id: <20021202130701.22850.00000806@mb-fa.aol.com>
> >
> >>>Accusing the VECs of falsifying test results is pretty serious stuff,
btw.
> >>Do
> >>>you have any solid evidence?
> >>
> >> VEC test sessions are NOT monitored by anyone else DURING
> >> testing.
> >
> > Wrong.
>
> Really who does
> >
> > Please list the VE sessions that you have atteneded/participated in
from
> >which to make such a proclimation.
>
> lets see i have been to the one that i got my licensed, and i have
> seen a number run by various of the nearby clubs, never seen or heard
> of anyone supervising them except the VE's themsleves
>
> now it is possible for there to be curuption in the VE groups indeed
> it is all but certian that is at least some such coruptiuon after al
> the VE's/VEC's are made up of human beings. How much? beats me. not
> enough that FCC sees any need to change it

At any VE session there is always a minumum of three examiners. Often there
are more. Most VEs value their licenses and would not stoop to dishonesty.
If they see other VEs doing so, they will report them so as not to
jeapordize their own licenses and credentials. That is why there must be at
least 3 VEs present.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

3083. Re: 90 Years Ago This Month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 02:33:34 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212051833.6fcaab8a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57273

N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0212050537.6a805621@posting.google.com>...
> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0212042202.6f6e736f@posting.google.com>...
> > N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0212030936.231c0805@posting.google.com>...

> > > Pennsylvania, VP of ARRL until his death in 1936, later licensed as
> > > 3ZS.
> >
> > How da hell did he get 3ZS AFTER he croaked?? What kinda vanity
> > callsigns program was THAT??
>
> HAW! Somebody got the joke!

Had to read it three times to make sure it wasn't me.

>
> I had a By Saam moment when I wrote that.

Imagine Byrum and Yogi teaming up on the air after the games for the
post-mortems.

> He was 3ZS/W3ZS from the
> first days of licensing to his death in 1936. He's in Laurel Hill,
> now. He and Maxim died within days of each other, early in 1936.

Hokay . .

>
> Trivia question: Where is Maxim buried? Hint: It's not anywhere near
> ARRL Hq.

Now ya took it TOO far Miccolis!

> > > Imagine what US radio would have been like over the past 90 years if
> > > all
> > > receivers were licensed.
> >
> > Think pirate receivers.
>
> BBC used to send out mobile vans with directional antennas to detect
> unlicensed TVs and radios. They'd listen for the local oscillators.
> Quite effective, given the density of typical British housing. I think
> that in some cases they'd impound the TV and charge a hefty fine. Like
> what Philly is now doing to drivers without all the proper papers.

The Brits have all kindsa wild tales abt beating the fees and the DFers. Shielding, all kinds of homebrewed rcvrs with really oddball IFs, illegal underground "purveyors" of the related goods, DF jammers up high on utility poles, etc.

The Soviets however got *really* nasty about clandestine rcvrs.

> The practice was parodied in a Monty Python sketch where one of the
> troupe attempts to get a license for his pet cat.

Easy parody, the whole basic concept is Monty Python goofy.

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> "Live by the foma that make you brave and kind and healthy and happy"
> - Bokonon

w3rv

3084. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 02:44:53 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <FaUH9.6602$hM3.728340@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57274

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote

: Your world class high$ ham radio station only proves the case.

"World class"????? "High$"????? "Elite class"??????

Damn, but you are one misinformed and ignorant soul!

If only you spake the truth, but, sigh, unfortunately it's but a lot of
flea-market gear, lovingly restored and polished up, but not worth more than
the cost of a weekend "romantic getaway" at the local motel.

Now what "case" is it you've proven?

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

3085. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 02:50:33 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <ZfUH9.6609$hM3.729077@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57275

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote

: Military gear has to be idiotproof and built like a cinderblock
: and not much else. They take operator skill outta the equation
: which is the huge difference between ham ops and military ops.

You musta been in a different nations military than I was for 21 years.

Military ops make amateurs look like just what they are ..... Amateurs with
a capital A.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

3086. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 02:56:18 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DF02015.BF8C7991@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57276

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:

> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote
>
> : Your world class high$ ham radio station only proves the case.
>
> "World class"????? "High$"????? "Elite class"??????
>
> Damn, but you are one misinformed and ignorant soul!
>
> If only you spake the truth, but, sigh, unfortunately it's but a lot of
> flea-market gear, lovingly restored and polished up, but not worth more than
> the cost of a weekend "romantic getaway" at the local motel.
>
> Now what "case" is it you've proven?

I dunno, I suppose that your brag sheet of a couple years ago here was, ahem,
conjured?

3087. Re: Why should I care????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 03:01:06 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DF02134.A9B4AFBB@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57277
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Joe S." wrote:

> "Artie DeCoe" <Artie@dcoe.net> wrote in message
> news:VJKdncV59YuoQnKgXTWcpw@comcast.com...
> > The "importance" of this event completely escapes me.
> >
> >
> Because this event is of no importance to anyone save a few sixth graders
> masquerading as adults.
>

Even they gotta work at it.

3088. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 03:51:48 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3df01d6b.118959795@news.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 57278

On 5 Dec 2002 06:56:11 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote:

>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote in message news:<3deed349.34446471@news.one-eleven.net>...
>> On 28 Nov 2002 01:50:29 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>>
>> >In article <_C7F9.3723$yy.512941@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "K&#65533;HB"
>> ><k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:
>> >
>> >>"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>> >>
>> >>
>> >>: with the exception of the 1960s, when the US population
>> >>: boomed but the US ham population virtually stagnated. Most of
>> >>: the reasons for that low growth had little to do with ham radio itself
>> >>: (Vietnam, civil rights, baby boom, women's lib, etc).
>> >>
>> >>I would expect things like civil rights, baby boom, and women's lib to
>> >>INCREASE hobby participation, not depress it.
>> >
>> >Maybe, but that's not how it worked out.
>> >
>> >In the 1960s, ham radio was considered a very "square", "establishment"
>> >activity, to use the jargon of the day. You didn't see a lot of longhair hippie
>> >Woodstock types in QST, at hamfests, etc. The close association of ham radio
>> >with conservative politics and business, MARS, Armed Forces Day and technology
>> >in general confirmed that charaterization for many young people. Not cool, man.
>> >In an era when most new hams were teenagers, this was a recipe for decreased
>> >growth. Civil rights and women's lib were/are movements against the
>> >"establishment", and ham radio was seen by many as part of that establishment.
>> >
>> >A baby boom produces lots of babies and swells the population right away. But
>> >it takes some years before those babies are old enough to be getting ham
>> >licenses in significant numbers.
>> >
>> >There were other influences, too. To name just one: In 1963, the FCC opened
>> >more exam points and increased the distance requirement for a Conditional from
>> >75 to 175 miles "air line". All of a sudden there were very few places in the
>> >USA that qualified as "Conditional country".
>> >
>> >>Now if you wanted to depress
>> >>participation, you could come up with some DAIOTC like incentive
>> >>licensing.... that would do it!
>> >

>> >You might think so, but you'd be mistaken. Sorry.
>> >
>> >The first wave of IL changes were enacted in late 1968 and the second wave in
>> >late 1969. So for most of the decade of the '60s, IL was a potentiality, not a
>> >reality. Growth actually sputtered to a halt in 1963, five years before IL
>> >became effective, and coincident with the Conditional change and other effects.
>> >
>> >Incentive licensing was at its height in the 1970s - when there were no code
>> >waivers, no CSCEs, and most hams had to journey to the FCC office to test.
>> >Economic times were awful, two energy crises, Watergate, Vietnam's end, etc.
>> >Yet the number of US hams soared!
>> >
>> >If IL was such a bummer, man, why did US hamdom grow so much faster after it
>> >was enacted?
>>
>> That is a mystery and has nothingto do with the issue.
>
>It has EVERYTHING to do with the issue of growth or lack of growth in
>amateur radio. The simple fact is that there was virtually no growth
>in the US ARS ranks in the '60s, and lots of growth in the '50s and
>'70s. Claiming that IL killed growth simply doesn't fit with the
>facts. If anything, the growth similarities of the '50s and '70s
>indicate that things like license test requirements and license
>classes have little effect on growth, and that we should be looking
>elsewhere for more important factors.

one you are putting words in my mouth if not other nocoders. IL has
had bad efects on the ars, that it allowed growth for awhile only
means it was not part of thing with some merit.

indeed IL being a bummer has nothing to do with growth or lack of it
don't change the subject esp when you raise the subject

>
>> The current
>> lingering effects are why it is was a bad idea. Today we have the
>> classes conousness and still have folks complaining about how they
>> were ripped off by it, amoug other things.
>
>Some hams who had Advanceds and Extras in 1953 felt they were ripped
>off, too. Some hams feel they were ripped off by the 2000
>restructuring. Were those things bad ideas because some folks
>complain, even today?

No since no ham was ripped off, the older group i frankly
agree were ripped off and have/had a beef alothough yyou'd think
they'd gotten over it by now.

in the event that their hams complaining in 40 years about 2000
restructing i will be entirely willing to revist that issue

>
>Any human activity has a class structure of some kind. The best class
>structures exist to help and support all of the individuals,
>regardless of class. The idea that everyone should have equal rights
>does not mean everyone is guaranteed equal results.

really that was not the case at various points in the history of ?Ham
radio, frankly i thinkit be the case again
>
>Some folks seem to think that the ~15 years between "old" and "new"
>incentive licensing were a sort of Golden Age of Universal Ham
>Equality, but in fact it was not like that. 'Phone vs. CW, SSB vs. AM,
>transceiver vs. separates, DX/contest vs. ragchew, Conditional vs.
>General, Collins vs. Drake vs. everything else. Nothing new under the
>sun in that area.
>
>> Have you ever said qualility over quainity?
>
>Nope.
>
>I may have written "quality over quantity", but google comes up blank
>on it.
>

sure i don't spell well but obviously you knew what was mean't
cheapshot

>Is quality over quantity a bad thing? Or would you prefer the world of
>Harrison Bergeron?
>
>--
>
>Here's the main policy question to consider: If/when the code test
>disappears from US ARS license testing, what other practical changes
>should be done to the license structure and test requirements to best
>serve the ARS? ("Practical changes" means things that FCC might
>actually do, rather than things we'd like but FCC will not do).

agreed
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

3089. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 03:52:39 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <baVH9.244672$NH2.17038@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 57279

"K&#65533;HB" <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:ZfUH9.6609$hM3.729077@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote
>
> : Military gear has to be idiotproof and built like a cinderblock
> : and not much else. They take operator skill outta the equation
> : which is the huge difference between ham ops and military ops.
>
> You musta been in a different nations military than I was for 21 years.
>
> Military ops make amateurs look like just what they are ..... Amateurs
with
> a capital A.

Hansl (sic),

I am totally ignorant of military radio operations and how that all works in the *post*-WWII military (I *do* happen to know a very little bit about WWII-era radio ops, being that my father was in the military in WWII- though not a radio operator- and he counted a couple of communications guys as close friends), can you briefly describe to me how and why a recruit would become a radio operator in the US military- pick your favorite branch- and what they'd do on an average (read: non-wartime) day insofar as their duties are concerned?

I hope I didn't make my request sound egregiously difficult. I am just curious to know what kinds of duties would constitute the daily routine of a modern day military radio operator. I have NO illusions whatsover about comparisons of these military ops to amateur ops either. I'm just curious, that's all.

Jackie KC0ODY

3090. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 04:01:08 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3df01f0a.119375472@news.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 57280

On 05 Dec 2002 16:35:09 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote:

>>Subject: Re: How Many Hams?
>>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>>Date: 12/5/02 2:26 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <3DEF0C41.A4F48370@earthlink.net>
>
>In reply to Markie, KB9RQZ:
>
>>> and still have folks complaining about how they
>>> were ripped off by it, amoug other things.
>>>
>>> Have you ever said qualility over quainity?
>>
>>I'm certain I've never said that and I'm not even sure that I can say
>>it.
>
> "Quainity"...Keeee-rist! I threw my Markie-to-English dictionary out 6
>months ago...Looks like we need to dig it out again.
>
>73
>
>Steve, K4YZ

one Steve keep it out in public. don't harass me in my private email
as well. i leav you alone there
>
>
>Subject: Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"
>From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
>Date: 12/4/02 10:14 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3deed1c2.34055198@news.one-eleven.net>

MARKIE! You finally saved up enough money for that $5.00/ISP, or

did you
finally get your discharge from the U.S.Army Chemical Corps and find a

REAL
job...?!?!

stevie i know this is hard you to understand, at the time i refering
to a 5/hr ips that was the case, these days i have another choice, in
this case an ISP that is to me free, in large part becuase I am
involed in crateing a local option. given that you seem likely to
change you socks more often than think any thought i can understand

the confusion

>>> VEC test sessions are NOT monitored by anyone else DURING
>>> testing.
>>
>> Wrong.
>
>Really who does

Other VE's...that's who. How do you think some of these
"audited"
sessions are audited, Markie?

which my statement was and remianins correct since the VE's are the
VE's if the VE monitor themselves then they are monitored by anyone
else.

>now it is possible for there to be curuption...(SNIP)

Geezis, Markie...You STILL haven't gotten a spellchecker, have
you?

nope i haven't so what

>How much? beats me. not
>enough that FCC sees any need to change it

However they DO expect that we will audit and self-police
ourselves. This
is glaringly apparent from the number of reports in QST, CQ, etc, of
audits and
investigations of apparently questionable VE sessions.

yeah and your point is. obivoiously the FCC is basicaly satisfied as
the level of corportion but the VE's are not monittored by anyone over
than themselves. an inperfect system but most are such as democracy,
but it works well enough for the need, (ARS licensing)

How do YOU think they did it, Markie? Pin some names of VE's on
a
dart
board and let the snap of the wrist decide who get's investigated?

i believe some of those are random, some are based on complaints and
someare based on questioned resaied by the VEC's that over see their
own VE teams

Steve, K4YZ

3091. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 04:04:16 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3df02131.119926204@news.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 57281

On 05 Dec 2002 16:25:14 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote:

why do you insist on double the time spent on your dreck by emailing
me to it as well posting ti

>>Subject: Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"
>>From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
>>Date: 12/4/02 10:14 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <3deed1c2.34055198@news.one-eleven.net>
>
> MARKIE! You finally saved up enough money for that $5.00/ISP, or did you
>finally get your discharge from the U.S.Army Chemical Corps and find a REAL
>job...?!?!
>
>>>> VEC test sessions are NOT monitored by anyone else DURING
>>>> testing.
>>>
>>> Wrong.
>>
>>Really who does
>
> Other VE's...that's who. How do you think some of these "audited"
>sessions are audited, Markie?

right ve's are are ve's you idoit
>
>>now it is possible for there to be curuption...(SNIP)
>
> Geezis, Markie...You STILL haven't gotten a spellchecker, have you?

right your point
>
>>How much? beats me. not
>>enough that FCC sees any need to change it
>
> However they DO expect that we will audit and self-police ourselves. This
>is glaringly apparent from the number of reports in QST, CQ, etc, of audits and
>investigations of apparently questionable VE sessions.

yeah so
>
> How do YOU think they did it, Markie? Pin some names of VE's on a dart
>board and let the snap of the wrist decide who get's investigated?

in some cases randomly i expect in some cases a ruslt of VECs
reporting in others complaints about the session, in other

>
>Steve, K4YZ
>
>

3092. Re: 90 Years Ago This Month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 04:06:42 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3df0222f.120179779@news.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 57282

On 3 Dec 2002 09:36:16 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote:

>It was 90 years ago this month, (December, 1912) that the Radio Act of
>1912
>came into effect, regulating US domestic radio. This was the beginning
>of government licensing of all radio transmitters in the USA.
>
>The original proposal was to license both receivers and transmitters.
>This was opposed by one man - Charlews H. Stewart, pioneer amateur and
>communications lawyer, representative of the Wireless Association of
>Pennsylvania, VP of ARRL until his death in 1936, later licensed as
>3ZS. ARRL would not even exist for two more years.
>
>Stewart argued before Congress that receivers should not be licensed.
>He used
>both legal terms regarding interstate commerce and the ownership of
>land, as
>well as simple, clear verbal arguments: "The waves come upon our
>aerials, whether we seek them or not". When the final form of the Act
>was ratified, there was no mention of licensing receivers. All because
>of one amateur.

How many classes of license were their then?
>
>3ZS was a local. His house still stands here in Radnor Township. He
>was a
>township supervisor for many years.
>
>Imagine what US radio would have been like over the past 90 years if
>all
>receivers were licensed.
>
>For more details, see QST for December, 1952, and April, 1936.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>"Live by the foma that make you brave and kind and healthy and happy"
>- Bokonon

3093. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 04:18:46 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uv09pan36vji1c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57284

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:lGLH9.5809$hM3.636906@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote
>
> :
> : Unless it's changed since my youngest son was in school, Texas doesn't
> even
> : provide road tests any more. If the kid (or person) passes driving
school
> : (in my son's case, Driver's Educastion), they take a little piece of
paper
> : to the DOT Office and get their license. Seriously...
> :
>
> Which explains some things I've seen on 183 and 75 when I visit my
> engineering group in Richardson!
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>

Are you on 145.43 when you are in town?

Kim W5TIT

3094. Re: It's already started on 14.275 USB!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 04:21:09 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uv09tpt01a0569@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57285

"loose screws" <vavaerooom3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3def9a9f@snipnews.snip.net...
> On another topic:
>
> Looks like parts of 4-land (NC) won't be on 75M
> tonight - major ice storm has just about shut down
> much of NC
>
> go here: http://abclocal.go.com/wtvd/
>

Oh...I am sure there will certainly be some efficient, easily set-up CW
stations on the air...

Kim W5TIT

3095. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 04:22:59 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021205232259.12709.00000963@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 57283

In article <d22b54c3.0212051736.73a77680@posting.google.com>, Googie@dvol.com (Elbow Macaroni) writes:

>N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<c2356669.0212041244.5c28090@posting.google.com>...
>> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
>news:<d22b54c3.0212040746.1b2856e8@posting.google.com>...
>> > n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20021202051737.14881.00000351@mb-ct.aol.com>...
>> >
>> > That's a semantics thing, 'tis questionable to call a 'puter screen
>> > part of a ham station. We can all run phone/cw all day all nite
>> > without a 'puter. I run CAD all day all nite with this CRT and that
>> > sure ain't ham radio. Dual use.
>>
>> How many FRCers don't have a computer CRT in the shack?
>
>Beats me, maybe a few far-end OTs don't have 'puters. In five years
>most all of the mob will be using LCDs, not CRTs.

No TFT or Plasma? Tsk, tsk, tsk...

>In the past 6-8 years 'puters have all but reveloutionized the hobby
>from top to bottom and it goes far beyond just contest CAT control &
>logging. However the things have also revolutionized the workplace and
>just about everything else, we ain't alone at all. My 'puter has
>changed the way I work out in the smokestacks much more than it has
>impacted my ham activities.

You've become a chimney sweep?!?

>The technology is only one little corner of the hobby and in most
>cases the avearge ham only gets into it when it's time to go out and
>buy a radio. And even then only sometimes.

Ever consider a second career in the advertising department
of ARRL?

>> Agreed. And tens of thousands of legal limit HF tube amps, some of 'em
>> 30+ years old and still pounding out the RF.
>
>Not sure what yer point is.

Watch it...you're nastygramming to the Designer of the Elecraft
K2!

>> > Imagine Brainiac loose wih a Henry 3K or an
>> > Alpha. He'd toast it and prolly himself too in less than five minutes.
>>
>> Better yet, a homebrew grid-driven 4-1000 in linear service where ya
>> hafta watch the screen current or you'll have an expensive table lamp.
>
>No such things out there today but the point stands.

What "point?" 49 years and 10 months ago I was QSYing
15 KW Press Wireless transmitters on HF. Lots of knobs,
dials, meters, manual changing of shorting bars on its final
tank coil. Isn't that what you used to like (according to past
messages in here)?

>Depends on yer sense of humor. I happen to enjoy watching The Putz
>thrash for attention & relevance on those few occasions when I bother
>tuning his worn-out drivel.

Tsk, tsk, tsk...SOMEONE has to correct your technology
inadequacy.

>What the hell is this "SIGNARS" crappola he's locked onto??

"Crappola?" Go over to ITT in Fort Wayne and tell them it's
"crappola." 241,000+ built and 239,000+ fielded to the US
military as THE small-unit manpack, vehicle, and aviation
radio.

The name is SINCGARS for SINgle Channel Ground Air
Radio System. It's been around for 14 years now.

Nice troll, ignoramus. It worked. Do you also go trolling
around iceholes?

>... It's been
>demonstrated many times that the Pentagon will buy radios hams
>wouldn't touch with a ten foot pole. Military gear has to be
>idiotproof and built like a cinderblock and not much else.

Another ignorance demonstration by an amateur mafioso wannabe.

>They take
>operator skill outta the equation which is the huge difference between
>ham ops and military ops. Toss a 100w ham xcvr at the average Signal
>Corps grunt in some far off place and tell him to build and hang an
>antenna then call home with it and/or establish direct two-way HF
>comms with ten countries . . .

US military radios aren't designed or built for amateurs to have
wallpaper contests or bragging material at NCO and O-Club bars.

Since before WW2, US Signal Corps radios had antennas that
were integral with the radio itself or packed with it.

If "field expedient" antennas are needed, their construction has
been taught at Signal schools for 39 years. If you need a link to
them in order to make your own antenna, just go to the Fort
Gordon website and search. Or, you can see the same thing in
a book on NVIS techiques...material lifted right out of US
government FMs (no copyright restrictions on US government
published work).

>> But - but - it's DSP! It's gotta be "better" - the PROFESSIONALS IN
>> RADIO told us so! How dare we hams question their pronouncements?
>
>Horsepucky.
>
>40-bit extended floating-point processor, 18-bit over-sampling A/D
>converter, 16-bit D/A converter. Lotta DSP.

Finally got around to reading the JRC advertising flyer, did you?

>A pox on it and them when it comes to hamming with it, especially cw.
>
>Considering all the gelt I poured into the thing I expected it to be a
>decent cw rcvr along with being a decent swl rcvr.

Then why did you waste nearly $3K of "gelt" buying one?

>It AIN'T even a
>half-decent cw rcvr. Crank in the 50 Hz (yeah, fifty HERTZ) DSP
>"filter" and ya get a weenie little spike maybe a few dB high then the
>skirts flare out like the wings of a J-3 Cub . . The goofy old Heath
>Q-Multiplier I wired into to my goofy old HQ-129 did a better job in
>1960 fer cripes sake.

Did you move to Missouri to live next to the dickiebird?

Have you learned how to use all the controls yet? You sound just
like the dickiebird when he was pissing and moaning all about the
"worthless" outboard DSP unit he bought once. Tsk, tsk, tsk.

:-)

>I don't regret buying the thing at all because I do a lotta SWLing.

Of course you do... :-)

Gosh, senior, you ought to do the patriot thing and volunteer all
your smarts to the US military. I'll bet you could really show
those "putzes" at Monmouth what REAL radio is! Yeah, like
using only 100 W to "call home" or "work the world" ANY TIME
they felt like it! Tell them that you are an OF extra amateur
who knows far more than those engineer pukes...tell them you
can expand their patent numbers twenty-six times!

Okay, Googie, how do you get 5 PPM in a TCXO, hmm?
Shout loud at it, call it names? Show it your bank account?

3096. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 04:26:21 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uv0a7gsf3nnkbd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57287

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0212051134.2870032c@posting.google.com...
> "Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<uusdrdk5jssrc1@corp.supernews.com>...
>
> > It is designated as a Service by the FCC in no more different a way than
CB,
> > or any other radio hobby, is. To the FCC it is defined as a service
> > (because the gov't. obviously should not endorse or legislate a hobby)
but
> > to many hams it is a hobby. For me, it is a hobby first and a service
> > second.
>
> Hold on a sec there....
>
> "because the gov't. obviously should not endorse or legislate a hobby"
>
> Why not? It's not obvious to me at all.
>
> Doesn't matter if an activity is a hobby, service, business, vocation,
> avocation, or some other name. If the activity uses a limited, shared
> public resource, and/or is involved with the safety of third parties
> and their property, it's definitely within the gov't.'s purview to
> regulate that activity. "Endorse" and "legislate" come under
> "regulate", I think.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

I, uh, kinda think that's what I was saying, Jim. The gov't. is constrained
to call ham, CB, FRS, etc., a service--*because* it is not in the business
of endorsing or legislating a hobby. And, because of the very reasons you
state, amateur radio--and other radio hobbies, are noted as services by the
gov't. We, out here in the real world, may also see amateur radio as a
hobby.

Kim W5TIT

3097. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 04:32:28 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021205233228.17878.00000891@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 57286

In article <FaUH9.6602$hM3.728340@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Ki¿½HB"
<ki¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:

>"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote
>
>: Your world class high$ ham radio station only proves the case.
>
>"World class"????? "High$"????? "Elite class"??????
>
>Damn, but you are one misinformed and ignorant soul!
>
>If only you spake the truth, but, sigh, unfortunately it's but a lot of
>flea-market gear, lovingly restored and polished up, but not worth more than
>the cost of a weekend "romantic getaway" at the local motel.
>
>Now what "case" is it you've proven?

Jealousy... :-)

3098. Re: Followup from K4ZDH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 04:37:15 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uv0arve3eflta0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57290

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:mlQH9.6549$kz2.662732@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
>
> "K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
> news:3IdH9.2983$kz2.334458@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> : "Denise/w3tit" <DeniseD@nospam.pavillage.net> wrote
> :
> : > 73s,
> : > Denise/w3tit
> :
> : Like Dave, I think this twit is a simple (as in 'not too bright') troll,
> but
> : just in case she's for real, I sent a quick note to rholling@fcc.gov
> asking
> : him to watch for this application and deal with it.
> :
> : 73, Hans, K0HB
> : --
> : "The big trouble with dumb bastards is that they are too
> : dumb to believe there is such a thing as being smart."
> : - Sirens of Titan
> :
>
> Here is Riley's response....
>
> ----- Original Message -----
> From: Riley Hollingsworth
> To: k0hb@earthlink.net
> Cc: DeniseD@nospam.pavillage.net
> Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2002 9:52 PM
> Subject: Re: W3TIT?
>
>
> I brought that up with Wireless, the Bureau that does the licensing; and
> asked
> why the heck they'd let it through. Their answer was that it they started
> screening, there'd be no end to having to block certain call signs. My
> answer
> is baloney, state hiway departments do it every day with vanity plates.
You
> sure wouldn't see that on a license plate. It's in very poor taste and I
> hate

> to see it in the Amateur service. Image is everything, in a service that
> uses
> billions of dollars of spectrum for free, and Amateurs are going to lose
if
> they doesn't remain aware of that. Call signs such as that don't help.
>
> K4ZDH
>
>

And the Bureau and Riley both have a point. On the side of Constitutionality, I'd err on the side of the Bureau. Though, I have heard of some callsigns "they" will not issue. I'm not so sure about Riley's statement that "you sure wouldn't see that on a license plate." I've never applied for my callsign on a license plate--but I'll certainly y'all know what happens if and when I do. It'd be my guess I'll post the picture of my plates on a website. But, maybe Riley's right.

Kim W5TIT

3099. Re: It's already started on 14.275 USB!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 04:41:35 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uv0aitocmsi803@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57288

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:uv09tpt01a0569@corp.supernews.com...
> "loose screws" <vavaerooom3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3def9a9f@snipnews.snip.net...
> > On another topic:
> >
> > Looks like parts of 4-land (NC) won't be on 75M
> > tonight - major ice storm has just about shut down
> > much of NC
> >
> > go here: http://abclocal.go.com/wtvd/
> >
>
> Oh...I am sure there will certainly be some efficient, easily set-up CW
> stations on the air...
>
> Kim W5TIT

I kept waiting to hear the "rim shot" played on a drum but never heard it!
Good elbow in the ribs there kiddo!

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

3100. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 04:44:33 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uv0aof7f1d6b0b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57289

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:uv0a7gsf3nnkbd@corp.supernews.com...
> "N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:c2356669.0212051134.2870032c@posting.google.com...
> > "Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> news:<uusdrdk5jssrc1@corp.supernews.com>...
> >
> > > It is designated as a Service by the FCC in no more different a way
than
> CB,
> > > or any other radio hobby, is. To the FCC it is defined as a service
> > > (because the gov't. obviously should not endorse or legislate a hobby)
> but
> > > to many hams it is a hobby. For me, it is a hobby first and a service
> > > second.
> >
> > Hold on a sec there....
> >
> > "because the gov't. obviously should not endorse or legislate a hobby"
> >
> > Why not? It's not obvious to me at all.
> >
> > Doesn't matter if an activity is a hobby, service, business, vocation,
> > avocation, or some other name. If the activity uses a limited, shared
> > public resource, and/or is involved with the safety of third parties
> > and their property, it's definitely within the gov't.'s purview to
> > regulate that activity. "Endorse" and "legislate" come under
> > "regulate", I think.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> I, uh, kinda think that's what I was saying, Jim. The gov't. is
constrained
> to call ham, CB, FRS, etc., a service--*because* it is not in the business
> of endorsing or legislating a hobby. And, because of the very reasons you
> state, amateur radio--and other radio hobbies, are noted as services by
the
> gov't. We, out here in the real world, may also see amateur radio as a
> hobby.
>
> Kim W5TIT

If I call it black and you call it ebony that doesn't
mean it's (gasp) the same color now does it?

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

3101. "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 04:50:07 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021205235007.00704.00002553@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 57291

In article <mlQH9.6549$kz2.662732@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:

>"Kï¿½HB" <kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
>news:3IdH9.2983$kz2.334458@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>: "Denise/w3tit" <DeniseD@nospam.pavillage.net> wrote
>:
>: > 73s,
>: > Denise/w3tit
>:
>: Like Dave, I think this twit is a simple (as in 'not too bright') troll,
>but
>: just in case she's for real, I sent a quick note to rholling@fcc.gov
>asking
>: him to watch for this application and deal with it.
>:
>: 73, Hans, K0HB
>: --
>: "The big trouble with dumb bastards is that they are too
>: dumb to believe there is such a thing as being smart."
>: - Sirens of Titan
>:
>
>Here is Riley's response....
>
>----- Original Message -----
>From: Riley Hollingsworth
>To: k0hb@earthlink.net
>Cc: DeniseD@nospam.pavillage.net
>Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2002 9:52 PM
>Subject: Re: W3TIT?
>
>
>I brought that up with Wireless, the Bureau that does the licensing; and
>asked
>why the heck they'd let it through. Their answer was that it they started
>screening, there'd be no end to having to block certain call signs. My
>answer
>is baloney, state hiway departments do it every day with vanity plates. You
>sure wouldn't see that on a license plate. It's in very poor taste and I
>hate
>to see it in the Amateur service. Image is everything, in a service that
>uses
>billions of dollars of spectrum for free, and Amateurs are going to lose if

>they doesn't remain aware of that. Call signs such as that don't help.
>
>K4ZDH

Well, once again, no less an authority than K4ZDH condemns a demeaning, sexually-suggestive amateur Vanity call sign, and indeed, cited the very reasons I've used in my arguments with our own resident offender, W5TIT. And the ARS is again taken "one step closer to extinction."

73 de Larry, K3LT

3102. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 04:59:59 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <j9WH9.6832$hM3.747230@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57292

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote : >
: > Which explains some things I've seen on 183 and 75 when I visit my
: > engineering group in Richardson!
: >
: > 73, de Hans, K0HB
: >
: >
:
: Are you on 145.43 when you are in town?
:
: Kim W5TIT
:

I generally don't carry a radio with me on business trips, but I'll try to
remember next time I fly to DFW.

73, de Hans, K0HB

3103. Re: Followup from K4ZDH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 05:59:18 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uv0f4l9d6chpb8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57293

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:uv0arve3eflta0@corp.supernews.com...
<SNIP>

> And the Bureau and Riley both have a point. On the side of
> Constitutionality, I'd err on the side of the Bureau. Though, I have
heard
> of some callsigns "they" will not issue. I'm not so sure about Riley's
> statement that "you sure wouldn't see that on a license plate." I've
never
> applied for my callsign on a license plate--but I'll certainly y'all know
> what happens if and when I do. It'd be my guess I'll post the picture of
my
> plates on a website. But, maybe Riley's right.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

Kim
Check out this webpage it has something on it for you.
No this isn't a joke just go look at it, there is a personal
message and picture for you there. BTW if anyone else
goes there your eyes will burn out of their sockets so
don't try it, this is just for Kim ONLY!!!

http://www.angelfire.com/in3/kb9tmp/ebay.html

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

3104. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 06:40:02 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206014002.27509.00000702@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 57294

In article <baVH9.244672$NH2.17038@sccrnsc01>, "Jackie L."
<buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:

>"Kï¿½HB" <kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
>news:ZfUH9.6609$hM3.729077@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>> "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote
>>
>> : Military gear has to be idiotproof and built like a cinderblock
>> : and not much else. They take operator skill outta the equation
>> : which is the huge difference between ham ops and military ops.
>>
>> You musta been in a different nations military than I was for 21 years.
>>
>> Military ops make amateurs look like just what they are ..... Amateurs
>with
>> a capital A.
>
>Hansl (sic),
>
>I am totally ignorant of military radio operations and how that all works in
>the *post*-WWII military (I *do* happen to know a very little bit about
>WWII-era radio ops, being that my father was in the military in WWII- though
>not a radio operator- and he counted a couple of communications guys as
>close friends), can you briefly describe to me how and why a recruit would
>become a radio operator in the US military- pick your favorite branch- and
>what they'd do on an average (read: non-wartime) day insofar as their duties
>are concerned?
>
>I hope I didn't make my request sound egregiously difficult. I am just
>curious to know what kinds of duties would constitute the daily routine of a
>modern day military radio operator. I have NO illusions whatsover about
>comparisons of these military ops to amateur ops either. I'm just curious,
>that's all.

This website might satisfy your curiosity about the US Army
radio operations of today - http://www.goarmy.com/jobs/

If you search under "science: engineering and technical" you
will turn up many different MOSs (Military Occupation Specialties)
involving radio and electronics. The 31x MOSs directly involve
Army land radio operations somewhat similar to what was done
50 years ago.

To see US Army Signal equipment in a single comprehensive
descriptive public document (Field Manual 24-24, 29 Dec 94),

go to the website - http://155.217.58.58/atdls.htm also known as the General Dennis J. Reimer Training and Doctrine Digital Library. Click on the "Library" button, then click on the title "Official Department Publications" in underlined and in blue That opens up the Library itself. If you select "Field Manuals" on the left and "Signal" on the right, then click the button underneath you will get a listing of "FMs"or Field Manuals for signal doctrine. FM 24-24 has the "catalog" of equipment. Some of the other available documents may be of interest.

The US Army Signal Center is at Fort Gordon, GA, the main Army signal school. A search or link will take you to the Signal Museum on-post with some of its contents on-line. An extended link can take you to Signal, the Army news-letter for signal personnel with many past issues available for viewing.

Fort Monmouth, NJ, had been a Signal School (in my time, having trained there in 1952) but is now CENCOM or Central Electronics Command for planning and contractor interface. Not very informative for "lay" people, only to manufacturers.

The Fort Huachuca, AZ, website is mainly for Military Intelligence and its schools which include radio monitoring and UAV (Unmanned Aerial Vehicle) training. They have a good on-line history section of military intel people and some civilians who worked with the US military.

Military communications of today and even a dozen years ago (at the start of the Gulf War) are FAR removed from what it was 50 years ago...except for the non-signal-specialist communicators carrying one of the quarter-million AN/PRC-119 family radios in small-unit land operations in all "line" units.

It is the same with the US Navy and the US Air Force, although they don't quite have as much information on-line...yet. Give them time. What the US military has in the way of communications and electronics is exciting and rewarding for those who enter into it. The equipment is, in the view of professionals, first-rate and may very well be the best in the world. It's NOT on an amateur scale by any means...

Leonard H. Anderson
Army Serial Number RA16408336 (1952-1960)
MOS 281.6, somewhat equivalent to today's MOS 31P

3105. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was:Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 07:26:39 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021206022639.00704.00002557@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 57295

In article <uv0arve3eflta0@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>And the Bureau and Riley both have a point. On the side of
>Constitutionality, I'd err on the side of the Bureau. Though, I have heard
>of some callsigns "they" will not issue. I'm not so sure about Riley's
>statement that "you sure wouldn't see that on a license plate." I've never
>applied for my callsign on a license plate--but I'll certainly y'all know
>what happens if and when I do. It'd be my guess I'll post the picture of my
>plates on a website. But, maybe Riley's right.
>
>Kim W5TIT

Gee, Kim, d'ya think? And if so, what do you intend to do about it? Would
you actually do the right thing and change your call, or are you going to
continue to take the ARS "...one step closer to extinction" ? As I've stated
before, I believe you have it in you to do the right thing.

BTW, I checked qrz.com, and W3TIT apparently wasn't issued, or just
hasn't showed up on the database yet. It will be interesting to see what
happens.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3106. RRAP Intro to Markie Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 08:13:40 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206031340.21143.00009515@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 57296

For those of you who have "joined" RRAP in the last 12 or so months, I'd like to take this moment and introduce you to Mark C. Morgan, KB9RQZ.

Mark is a unique person. He is obviously very passionate over the "Morse Code test" issue, however the ends to his means are even MORE unique.

When I first entered into this forum, Markie decided that becasue of my retired enlisted U. S. Marine Corps status he could "scare" me into not responding to his posts by insisting that he is a commissioned officer in the United States Army Reserve "chemical corps".

He initially stated that he was a "lieutenant colonel", but then later backed off and "admitted" he was "only" a lieutenant.

Fortunately for me, Markie is almost as ignorant as he is functionally illiterate because 30 minutes on the phone proved that he never served in ANY branch of the Armed Forces, enlisted or commissioned, Reserve, Active OR National Guard. His story then compounded as he insisted that he was "drafted", and that he was being held in reserve duty due to the sensitivity of his duties.

The REALLY colorful part is the part where he states that he is a gay pagan, and that he is kept on active duty anyway, his "CO" having waived the "don't tell don't ask" policy of the Department of Defense just for him.

Later succumbing to his own paranoia, Markie then went on a tirade about being "stalked" by his violent ex-wife who is seeking child support, and that his current "name" is an alias, and none of my findings with the defense personnel agency was valid since he never served under THIS name.

He also insists that the FBI and Secret Service said it was "OK" for him to commit a felony (impersonating a commisioned officer of the Armed Forces) in order to put me and his ex-wife off his trail.

Oh yes...About the "drafted" part....Anyone who is knowlegeable on such matters knows that the last draftees who did not voluntarily re-enist or extend their commissions were mustered out in 1974 or 75. The last one's were inducted in 1972 or 73, which would make Mark only EIGHT years old when he was "commissioned". Markie has yet to explain how this happened.

Furthermore, he later went on to claim that I had stalked him at his residence, taking my comments to "take my best shot" at him in this forum as a threat on his life. A lawyer in his home county was retained and Markie was advised that he was about to find out what civil penalties could be brought against him for such allegations. Seems he got the hint, but maybe he needs to be reminded.

So...it's been a pleasant year as the Illiterate Drafted Gay Pagan Lieutenant of the United States Army Chemical Corps Reserve took a break from this forum, depriving us of his fractured and ill-prepared drivvel, but I guess the "bad penny" is always going to turn up when you least expect it.

For those who care to try an engage Mark in any posts, please not that he has publicly stated that he ahs been told by federal agencies that it's OK for him to lie or mislead ANY person for any reason, and that he will do so at liberty.

A search of "konstans", "konstans@oneleven.net", "mwmorgan@yahoo.com", "kb9rqz@yahoo.com" and others will reveal a plethora of humourous, even entertaining, rants, tirades and blatant lies promulgated by what must be Amateur Radio's most assinine jester of the century.

Welcome back, Markie.

Subject: Re: How Many Hams?
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Date: 12/5/02 10:01 PM Central Standard Time
Message-id: <3df01f0a.119375472@news.one-eleven.net>

>one Steve keep it out in public. don't harass me in my private email
>as well. i leav you alone there

You really do enjoy making a fool of yourself, Mark. I thought it was just a seasonal thing.

>Subject: Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"
>From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
>Date: 12/4/02 10:14 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3deed1c2.34055198@news.one-eleven.net>

>>MARKIE! You finally saved up enough money for that $5.00/ISP, or

>stevie i know this is hard you to understand, at the time i refering
>to a 5/hr ips that was the case, these days i have another choice, in
>this case an ISP that is to me free, in large part becuase I am
>involed in crateing a local option. given that you seem likely to
>change you socks more often than think any thought i can understand
>the confusion

There's no confusion on my part, Mark.

>which my statement was and remianins correct since the VE's are the
>VE's if the VE monitor themselves then they are monitored by anyone
>else.

Not if they are not a part of THAT VE team and part of the testing procedure itself, Mark. We are NOT discussing members of the same VE team who are just not participating in THAT session.

>>now it is possible for there to be curuption...(SNIP)

>>Geezis, Markie...You STILL haven't gotten a spellchecker, have you?

>nope i haven't so what

Your "posts" are just as difficult to read and follow as ever. You wonder why you aren't taken seriously, yet you keep refusing to use the technology available to you to clean the crap up.

Personally, I think it's because you are unable to follow even the simplist of instructions, and the "prompts" the spellchecker would give you would be wasted since you wouldn't know how to correct it anyway.

>yeah and your point is. obivoiously the FCC is basicaly satisfied as
>the level of corportion but the VE's are not monittored by anyone over
>than themselves. an inperfect system but most are such as democracy,
>but it works well enough for the need, (ARS licensing)

Oh...I see...the FCC ALLOWS a "certain amount" of corruption in it's programs!

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! !

FUNNY AS EVER, MARKIE! WhooHoo!

73

Steve, K4YZ

How do YOU think they did it, Markie? Pin some names of VE's on
a
dart
board and let the snap of the wrist decide who get's investigated?

i believe some of those are random, some are based on complaints and someare based on questioned resaied by the VEC's that over see their own VE teams

Steve, K4YZ

3107. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 08:19:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206031928.21143.00009517@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 57297

>Subject: Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"
>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>Date: 12/5/02 6:15 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3DEFFA6B.6F9260A7@townsqr.com

>> > So now I'm curious Steve, who is monitoring the VEs at an exam session?
>>
>> Wow! Silence from Steve.
>
>As a longtime VE I will be glad to answer that...(SNIP)

Thanks, Dick.

Brian, you're in the killfile, along with ULX and a couple others. I only see your stuff when echoed by someone else. If you'd care to get an immediate response from me on any matter, e mail it. There's already too much senseless garbage in this forum for me to unmask you, and with Markie's return, I dare say it's about to be compounded.

73

Steve K4YZ

3108. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 08:25:50 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206032550.21143.00009518@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 57298

>Subject: Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"
>From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
>Date: 12/5/02 10:04 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3df02131.119926204@news.one-eleven.net>

>why do you insist on double the time spent on your dreck by emailing
>me to it as well posting ti

"dreck"...?!?!

>>Other VE's...that's who. How do you think some of these "audited"
>>sessions are audited, Markie?
>
>right ve's are are ve's you idoit

Oh...now I'm an "idoit".

Markie, coming from you that HURTS! (NOT!)

(I love it when an illiterate troll tried to "dis" me, and misspells it in
the process!)

>> Geezis, Markie...You STILL haven't gotten a spellchecker, have you?
>
>right your point

The "point" is you still look stupid calling people names that YOU can't
spell.

>> However they DO expect that we will audit and self-police ourselves.
>This
>>is glaringly apparent from the number of reports in QST, CQ, etc, of audits
>and
>>investigations of apparently questionable VE sessions.
>
>yeah so

Whew....Guess he told ME!

>in some cases randomly i expect in some cases a ruslt of VECs
>reporting in others complaints about the session, in other

WILL YOU PLEASE LEARN HOW TO READ, SPEAK AND SPELL ENGLISH,
MARK...?!?!?!?!?!?!

Sheesh...What a PUTZ!

3109. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 09:56:24 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212060156.470587a6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57299

Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<3DEF7FC6.9070500@psu.edu>...
> N2EY wrote:
> > kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0212040746.1b2856e8@posting.google.com>...
> >
> >>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20021202051737.14881.00000351@mb-ct.aol.com>...
> >>
> >>That's a semantics thing, 'tis questionable to call a 'puter screen
> >>part of a ham station. We can all run phone/cw all day all nite
> >>without a 'puter. I run CAD all day all nite with this CRT and that
> >>sure ain't ham radio. Dual use.
> >
> >
> > How many FRCers don't have a computer CRT in the shack?
> >
> > It's getting to the point that most hams who do any half-serious
> > contesting, homebrewing or dxing have a dedicated shack computer. Not
> > to mention digital mode types.
>
> The computer is a integral part of a ham setup these days. no question.
> A person can operate on paper and can operate without a computer, but
> that is rapidly becoming the exception, and is an anachronism in any event.

There are huge variations in applying 'puters to ham radio. On one
hand there are those who simply yak the machines in which case they
usually could care less about getting a computer involved. The other
side of the coin are the dx contesters who are *required* to submit
their logs as computer files.

>
> In my own case, I gotta have it, because I run mostly psk31.

I gotta try that. Problem is that I'm a s-l-o-w typist . . can ya
copy/paste? Heh.

>
>
> >>Beyond the box chasers solid state knobless amps have application for
> >>the lazy & inept, I suspect that's a bigger market driver than
> >>high-speed spots-pouncing.
> >
> >
> > Could be.
>

> I can hardly wait until all radios only have a volume control, a
> frequency readout and a PTT switch. Won't that be fun! 8^)

That's when I'd let my ticket lapse and not look back.

>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

w3rv

3110. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 12:52:20 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212060452.1eea797@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57300

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DEFF462.A93B6AC9@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021204060759.02988.00000235@mb-cq.aol.com>...
> > > >Subject: Re: Would have been Extra sooner
> > > >From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
> > > >Date: 12/3/02 8:01 PM Central Standard Time
> > > >Message-id: <20021203210152.05598.00000095@mb-df.aol.com>
>
> > > >Its only-coders, who are opposed to learning the material.
> > >
> > > Here you are with this "only-coders" thing again, and you are STILL
> > > ignorant of the fact that while one CAN be an Amateur without knowing the Morse
> > > Code, one cannot be an Amateur without having passed the written exam.
> > >
> > > Ergo, there is no such thing as an "only coder".
> >
> > Sure there are. They are the guys who cannot remember where they put
> > their microphones
>
> Maybe there's a point in there besides the one on your headgear. After all, ASCII is a
code.

So is my password. What about it?

3111. Re: 90 Years Ago This Month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 12:55:26 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212060455.6dc36bdc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57301

N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0212030936.231c0805@posting.google.com>...
> It was 90 years ago this month, (December, 1912) that the Radio Act of
> 1912
> came into effect, regulating US domestic radio. This was the beginning
> of government licensing of all radio transmitters in the USA.

So the original radio amateurs were unlicensed? No Morse Code exams?

3112. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 12:57:22 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212060457.6e667f70@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57302

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20021205205614.02893.00000387@mb-md.aol.com>...
> In article <f45722ac.0212051439.4289d559@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >> Jim, in your vast experience of attending VE exam sessions, can you
> >> tell me who is monitoring the Volunteer Examiners?
> >
> >Hmmm? Not only is Steve silent, but Jim is as well.
>
> Hmmm...maybe both of them are suffering corollary infractions?

They better go see a heart specialist before its too late. ;))

3113. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 13:02:03 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212060502.6f96a9bb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57303

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DEFFA6B.6F9260A7@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0212031719.15206862@posting.google.com>...
> > > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021203042018.24190.00000164@mb-cv.aol.com>...
> > > > >Subject: Re: Would have been Extra sooner
> > > > >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> > > > >Date: 12/2/02 12:07 PM Central Standard Time
> > > > >Message-id: <20021202130701.22850.00000806@mb-fa.aol.com>
>
> > > > >>Accusing the VECs of falsifying test results is pretty serious stuff, btw.
> Do
> > > > >>you have any solid evidence?
> > > > >
> > > > > VEC test sessions are NOT monitored by anyone else DURING
> > > > > testing.
> > > >
> > > > Wrong.
> > > >
> > > > Please list the VE sessions that you have atteneded/participated in from
> > > > which to make such a proclimation.
> > >
> > > If the FCC had enough people to monitor VE sessions, then we wouldn't need VEs.
> > >
> > > So now I'm curious Steve, who is monitoring the VEs at an exam session?
> >
> > Wow! Silence from Steve.
>
> As a longtime VE I will be glad to answer that. VE's monitor each other, and for certain
the VEC whom they
> send all the paperwork to monitors each and everything they did. You would probably be
very surprised what the
> VEC can learn about the test session in general and the handling of each applicant in
particular by
> conducting his review of the exam session, which he does EVERY TIME.
> Does all that guarantee there will never be a problem? No, nor did testing by the FCC.
But you may have
> noticed that most apparent problems with tests by VE's are reported to the FCC by the VEC
himself.

Dick, I'm so glad that aninsider answered that questioned.

So VE's monitor each other, then some guy gets a package in the mail monitors the VE's. Wow.

We could cut prison costs if we let the inmates take turns guarding the prison.

Then the Warden could read about it in a monthly reoprt, verifying that it is legitimate by the postmark on the envelope.

Perhaps I'll fly that suggestion by the Dept. of Justice.

3114. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 13:09:02 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212060509.7646f485@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57304

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3DEF7FB6.67B3A813@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DEEB2AB.2575CC92@earthlink.net>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > > >
> > > > I'm not so old, and "No" to stepping in it. My statements are
> > > > factual. Yes, I passed the Extra exam. Yes, I will take it again.
> > > > Is there a problem with that?
> > >
> > > The Extra exam which you took consisted of a) the written portion and b)
> > > the morse exam. To pass the exam, you'd have had to pass both of them.
> > > Since you did not, your claim is factual only under the Bill Clinton
> > > interpretation of "factual".
>
> > Dave, I passed the written. Bruce says he could have passed Extra
> > when he was 4 years old.
>
> Fine. Then don't write that you "passed the Extra". You didn't.
>
> > Go correct Bruce unless you are a card carrying member of the PCTA
> > Double Standard.
>
> I've already stated here that I think Bruce is crude and a jerk. Do you
> want to be lumped with Bruce? That pretty well does away with your
> "double standard" accusation.
>
> Dave K8MN

Though Bruce is all of that, you haven't addressed his claim that he
could have passed the Extra when he was 4 years old, which included a
20WPM code exam that he couldn't pass at any age.

Yet you don't let go of the fact that I didn't passed the 20wpm,
though I did pass the written as stated.

That is the double standard I refer to.

3115. The best "Dear John" letter ever written.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 13:13:52 UTC
From: dafirebottle@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021206081352.02033.00000002@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 57308

Subject: The best "Dear John" letter ever written.....
From: <A HREF="mailto:dafirebottle@aol.comnojunk ">dafirebottle@aol.comnojunk
</A> (Firebottle)
Date: Fri, Dec 6, 2002 7:11 AM
Message-id: <20021206081117.01893.00000003@mb-cv.aol.com>

The following e-mail was posted on r.r.amateur.misc yesterday by Hans K0HB.

This has to be the ultimate rejection letter ever! Herb basically didn't even
acknowledge our resident moron Lloyd Davies.

Tubby is probably considering slitting his wrists and/or getting professional
counseling.

This is like getting the best Christmas present ever!

Imagine that, Lloyd Davies was a pretender all these years. And, a self
appointed one at that.

Firebottle

Message 5 in threadFrom: Kï¿½HB (k?b@arrl.DOTORG)
Subject: Re: Out of the ashes, the B.A.R.F will rise!
ï¿½
View this article onlyNewsgroups: rec.radio.amateur.misc,
rec.radio.amateur.policy, rec.radio.shortwave
Date: 2002-12-05 14:33:46 PST

"R-75 MAN" <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com> wrote in message
news:3def8c4f_1@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
: We have won!
:
: Herbie is now back on the air!
:
: I told all of you.
:
: I knew it.
:
: As Chief of Communications, it's my honor to unveil a website in the next
: few days........
:

Lloyd,

I forwarded your message to my friend NP2MJ. He responded, saying....

Hans,

I plan not to get suckered in to any radio wars. My principle interest is 160 meters and chasing some new ones when they show. I probably won't have much up until next year. I have so busy with my regular government job, 6 radio station where I have engineering responsibilities, a well as still participating in the local Republican party leadership.

Let them have their fun. I am going to find enjoyment elsewhere.

73

Herb NP2MJ

In other words, BARF is not on his Christmas list.

With all kind wishes, <chuckle, smirk>

de Hans, K0HB

3116. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 13:16:36 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212060516.6b6beb0d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57305

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DEFF971.1C681075@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DEF59A3.BA28143B@townsqr.com>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DEEB2AB.2575CC92@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I'm not so old, and "No" to stepping in it. My statements are
> > > > > > factual. Yes, I passed the Extra exam. Yes, I will take it again.
> > > > > > Is there a problem with that?
> > > > > >
> > > > > The Extra exam which you took consisted of a) the written portion and b)
> > > > > the morse exam. To pass the exam, you'd have had to pass both of them.
> > > > > Since you did not, your claim is factual only under the Bill Clinton
> > > > > interpretation of "factual".
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > > Go correct Bruce unless you are a card carrying member of the PCTA
> > > > Double Standard.
> > >
> > > As opposed to your Somalia "permission" story and you, a card carrying member of the
NCI No
> > > Standard?
> >
> > Did you not correct me? Did I not say that if I had to do it over I
> > would have asked for a letter?
> >
> > None the less, I asked for permission. Permission was granted.
> >
> > Now what about Bruce? Isn't it time you corrected him?
>
>
> Yes, we know. And Bruce SAYS he.....................

You asked for paperwork. I have none. All I have is my "story."

Since you're the only one who ventured forth as a VE expert in another
thread, tell us if Volunteer Examiners maintain paperwork. At least
Bruce has that (maybe).

So when Bruce was 4 years old and there were 20wpm code exams required for Extra, and Bruce cannot pass a 20wpm code exam at any age, how is it that he can claim to be Extra at 4 without a code exam, but when I passed the Extra written exam in 1994 or 1995, without the code, everyone comes on here correcting me that I only passed "a part of the exam."

So which is it? Could he be an Extra in the golden days of inventive licensing without passing a 20wpm code exam? (minus waivers long after Bruce was 4)

Good luck on this one.

3117. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 13:20:04 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212060520.4f300119@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57306

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DEFF4E3.565DD372@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DEC0D7E.A5232EA6@townsqr.com>...
> > > Carl Zager wrote:
> > >
> > > > I wonder whether HF provides enough interest in itself to
> > > > contribute to General and Extra numbers higher than what we are
> > > > seeing.
> > >
> > > You raise a valid point. Today's "easy DX" makes HF an entirely different animal to
> > > beginning hams than it was not that many years ago. Satellites and the space program,
instant
> > > common worldwide communications via both telephone circuits and the Internet
naturally make
> > > it so. To lots of us it was an entirely different view when there was no such easy
and
> > > commonplace, routine long distance comms.
> >
> > So lets talk about the requirement for a mode that no longer impresses
> > most people, for which they could care less about once they learn it
> > simply for the government hurdle.
>
> You just won't shut up about your shortcomings, will you?

You said that long distance communications are commonplace and no
longer impress folks looking at amateur radio from the outside. It
doesn't attract people the way it did in the golden days of inventive
licensing.

Today, Morse code just makes it more so. What is wrong with saying
that?

3118. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 13:23:02 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212060523.1c3a89ad@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57307

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20021205154225.10280.00000296@mb-dd.aol.com>...
> In article <f45722ac.0212051140.360090a9@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >> You raise a valid point. Today's "easy DX" makes HF an entirely different
> animal to
> >> beginning hams than it was not that many years ago. Satellites and the
> space program, instant
> >> common worldwide communications via both telephone circuits and the
> Internet naturally make
> >> it so. To lots of us it was an entirely different view when there was no
> such easy and
> >> commonplace, routine long distance comms.
> >
> >So lets talk about the requirement for a mode that no longer impresses
> >most people, for which they could care less about once they learn it
> >simply for the government hurdle.
>
> I agree, but that is not "endorsed" in here...all things must
> remain as they were in the beginning when all these radio
> "experts" became licensed. They define amateur radio as
> "working DX on HF with CW."
>
> Not only that, all must trudge miles barefoot through the
> snow, uphill both ways, to take an "official" amateur test
> which is the equivalent of an academic PhD grant. These
> mighty radio experts have said so...in WRITING! :-)

I dunno about the PhD grant, but they've said all the other.

Having been trained in land surveying, cartography, GIS,
geomorphology, etc, I'm still looking for that "uphill both ways"
paradox.

3119. Re: 90 Years Ago This Month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 13:28:13 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DF0B42F.EF1B1586@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57309

Brian wrote:

> N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0212030936.231c0805@posting.google.com>...
> > It was 90 years ago this month, (December, 1912) that the Radio Act of
> > 1912
> > came into effect, regulating US domestic radio. This was the beginning
> > of government licensing of all radio transmitters in the USA.
>
> So the original radio amateurs were unlicensed? No Morse Code exams?

Bingo! You finally got one right!

They were self-tested. On the air.

3120. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 13:30:34 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DF0B4BB.7CCE23A2@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57310

Brian wrote:

> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DEFFA6B.6F9260A7@townsqr.com>...
> > Brian wrote:
> >
> > > brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0212031719.15206862@posting.google.com>...
> > > > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021203042018.24190.00000164@mb-cv.aol.com>...
> > > > > >Subject: Re: Would have been Extra sooner
> > > > > >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> > > > > >Date: 12/2/02 12:07 PM Central Standard Time
> > > > > >Message-id: <20021202130701.22850.00000806@mb-fa.aol.com>
> > >
> > > > > >>Accusing the VECs of falsifying test results is pretty serious stuff, btw.
> > Do
> > > > > >>you have any solid evidence?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > VEC test sessions are NOT monitored by anyone else DURING
> > > > > > testing.
> > > > >
> > > > > Wrong.
> > > > >
> > > > > Please list the VE sessions that you have atteneded/participated in from
> > > > > which to make such a proclimation.
> > > >
> > > > If the FCC had enough people to monitor VE sessions, then we wouldn't need VEs.
> > > >
> > > > So now I'm curious Steve, who is monitoring the VEs at an exam session?
> > >
> > > Wow! Silence from Steve.
> >
> > As a longtime VE I will be glad to answer that. VE's monitor each other, and for
certain the VEC whom they
> > send all the paperwork to monitors each and everything they did. You would probably be
very surprised what the
> > VEC can learn about the test session in general and the handling of each applicant in
particular by
> > conducting his review of the exam session, which he does EVERY TIME.
> > Does all that guarantee there will never be a problem? No, nor did testing by the FCC.
But you may have
> > noticed that most apparent problems with tests by VE's are reported to the FCC by the
VEC himself.

>
> Dick, I'm so glad that aninsider answered that questioned.
>
> So VE's monitor each other, then some guy gets a package in the mail
> monitors the VE's. Wow.
>
> We could cut prison costs if we let the inmates take turns guarding
> the prison.
>
> Then the Warden could read about it in a monthly reoprt, verifying
> that it is legitimate by the postmark on the envelope.
>
> Perhaps I'll fly that suggestion by the Dept. of Justice.

That's very typical of your logic and the "power" of your thinking process.
So go ahead and give 'em a laff, pass it on.

3121. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 13:37:26 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DF0B656.AD56C5CC@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57311

Brian wrote:

> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DEFF971.1C681075@townsqr.com>...
> > Brian wrote:
> >
> > > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DEF59A3.BA28143B@townsqr.com>...
> > > > Brian wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DEEB2AB.2575CC92@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > I'm not so old, and "No" to stepping in it. My statements are
> > > > > > > factual. Yes, I passed the Extra exam. Yes, I will take it again.
> > > > > > > Is there a problem with that?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The Extra exam which you took consisted of a) the written portion and b)
> > > > > > the morse exam. To pass the exam, you'd have had to pass both of them.
> > > > > > Since you did not, your claim is factual only under the Bill Clinton
> > > > > > interpretation of "factual".
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > >
> > > > > Go correct Bruce unless you are a card carrying member of the PCTA
> > > > > Double Standard.
> > > >
> > > > As opposed to your Somalia "permission" story and you, a card carrying member of
the NCI No
> > > > Standard?
> > >
> > > Did you not correct me? Did I not say that if I had to do it over I
> > > would have asked for a letter?
> > >
> > > None the less, I asked for permission. Permission was granted.
> > >
> > > Now what about Bruce? Isn't it time you corrected him?
> >
> >
> > Yes, we know. And Bruce SAYS he.....................
>
> You asked for paperwork. I have none. All I have is my "story."
>

Hmmm, looks to me like that's exactly what Bruce has! You're saying we should just accept your story
and no one else's. Got it.

>
> Since you're the only one who ventured forth as a VE expert in another
> thread,

You always have to twist others' statements to assert they said things they didn't say. Nowhere do I
claim to be an expert on anything. I know you claim that, but can't seem to support it with anything
but your "story". Don't attribute that to others.

> tell us if Volunteer Examiners maintain paperwork.

I always maintained extensive paperwork files on everything I did as a VE. I don't see how one can
properly do the job without it.

> At least
> Bruce has that (maybe).
>
> So when Bruce was 4 years old and there were 20wpm code exams required
> for Extra, and Bruce cannot pass a 20wpm code exam at any age, how is
> it that he can claim to be Extra at 4 without a code exam, but when I
> passed the Extra written exam in 1994 or 1995, without the code,
> everyone comes on here correcting me that I only passed "a part of the
> exam."
>
> So which is it? Could he be an Extra in the golden days of inventive
> licensing without passing a 20wpm code exam? (minus waivers long after
> Bruce was 4)
>
> Good luck on this one.

Good luck yourself. You're really concerned about Bruce's claims. I'm not, nor with yours.

3122. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 13:39:01 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DF0B6B6.118FB86E@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57312

Brian wrote:

> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DEFF4E3.565DD372@townsqr.com>...
> > Brian wrote:
> >
> > > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DEC0D7E.A5232EA6@townsqr.com>...
> > > > Carl Zager wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > I wonder whether HF provides enough interest in itself to
> > > > > contribute to General and Extra numbers higher than what we are
> > > > > seeing.
> > > >
> > > > You raise a valid point. Today's "easy DX" makes HF an entirely different animal to
> > > > beginning hams than it was not that many years ago. Satellites and the space
program, instant
> > > > common worldwide communications via both telephone circuits and the Internet
naturally make
> > > > it so. To lots of us it was an entirely different view when there was no such easy
and
> > > > commonplace, routine long distance comms.
> > >
> > > So lets talk about the requirement for a mode that no longer impresses
> > > most people, for which they could care less about once they learn it
> > > simply for the government hurdle.
> >
> > You just won't shut up about your shortcomings, will you?
>
> You said that long distance communications are commonplace and no
> longer impress folks looking at amateur radio from the outside. It
> doesn't attract people the way it did in the golden days of inventive
> licensing.
>
> Today, Morse code just makes it more so. What is wrong with saying
> that?

To most hams it's obvious. Ham radio is not for the masses, and never was.

3123. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 13:43:29 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DF0A981.10509@psu.edu>
Source record: 57314

Brian Kelly wrote:
> Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<3DEF7FC6.9070500@psu.edu>...

<some snippage>

>
>> In my own case, I gotta have it, because I run mostly psk31.
>
>
> I gotta try that. Problem is that I'm a s-l-o-w typist . . can ya
> copy/paste? Heh.
>

Yes! For instance, Hamscope, which I am trying out now, has a number of macros for repetitive functtions such as CQ'ing, answering a CQ, and things like equipment bragfiles.

Say someone is calling CQ. You highlight their callsign in the recieve text window and double click on it. This tells the computer who you want to reply to. Then when they stop transmitting, you click on the "call" button, and your rig starts transmitting <theircall> de <yourcall>. Their are similar macros for tings like BTU, end of QSO, etc. You can also modify macros for your individual purposes, such as multiple callsign, QTH, etc for the occasional weak QSO. I'll use the macros to start, then drop to live typing if we're going to chat a while.

And when that happens, don't worry about being a slow typist. It's not like CW where the listener can sometimes have trouble with a slow sender. It's all in the screen , which acts as a buffer.

Great thing is, you can correct spelling misteaks too!

>>
>>>>Beyond the box chasers solid state knobless amps have application for
>>>>the lazy & inept, I suspect that's a bigger market driver than
>>>>high-speed spots-pouncing.
>>>
>>>
>>>Could be.
>>
>> I can hardly wait until all radios only have a volume control, a
>>frequency readout and a PTT switch. Won't that be fun! 8^)
>
>
> That's when I'd let my ticket lapse and not look back.

I think I would too. I love twiddling knobs. That's why I bought an IC745 for my first rig. 38 knobs and switches! Just what is needed to keep me happy.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3124. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 13:44:48 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212060544.4e56590a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57313

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021205104402.07703.00008878@mb-mn.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
> >From: N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY)
> >Date: 12/4/02 9:33 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <c2356669.0212040733.309ead0c@posting.google.com>
>
> >> I am sorry, Jim Miccolis,N2EY.
> >
> >Apology accepted.
>
> Bravo Vipul. Well done, Jim.

Aw, gee. Shucks, twarn't nuthin'....
>
> >I think I understand what Vshah's problem is, Steve.
>
> I do too...Do we need a proctologist or a chiropractor to get his head
> out?

No reason to be nasty, Steve. Even if Vipul sometimes is.
>
> >It seems that what Vshah really wants is for other local hams in his
> >area to be interested in the same things he's interested in, and
> >follow HIS guidelines and requests.
>
> Agreed. He want to take his ball and go home becasue the game is not
> being played to HIS satisfaction.

Nothing wrong with that, either. He can take his own ball and go home.

But if somebody wants others to play, there has to be some common
ground on what the game is. And the games go on, with or without Vhsah
$ company.
>
> >Adding to this confusion are Vshah's use of words and phrases like...(SNIP)
>
> Agreed. As I said, English is obviously a second language to him.

So we gotta cut the guy a little slack. And also point out when he's
becoming insulting to others.

> >You catch more flies with honey than with vinegar, Vshah.
>
> I doubt he understands the corrollary.
>

Saying, you mean.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3125. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 13:47:05 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DF0AA59.5020708@psu.edu>
Source record: 57315

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Brian wrote:

>>>
>>>If the FCC had enough people to monitor VE sessions, then we wouldn't need VEs.
>>>
>>>So now I'm curious Steve, who is monitoring the VEs at an exam session?
>>
>>Wow! Silence from Steve.
>
>
> As a longtime VE I will be glad to answer that. VE's monitor each other, and for certain the VEC whom they
> send all the paperwork to monitors each and everything they did. You would probably be very surprised what the
> VEC can learn about the test session in general and the handling of each applicant in particular by
> conducting his review of the exam session, which he does EVERY TIME.
> Does all that guarantee there will never be a problem? No, nor did testing by the FCC. But you may have
> noticed that most apparent problems with tests by VE's are reported to the FCC by the VEC himself.

Go back Dick! It's a trap!

Let's say some entity is monitoring the VE's to be sure they are
complying. Then who monitors the monitors. And who monitors the monitor
monitors. On and on.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3126. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 14:01:54 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DF0BC13.5C953F70@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57316

Mike Coslo wrote:

> Brian Kelly wrote:
> > Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<3DEF7FC6.9070500@psu.edu>...
>
> <some snippage>
>
> >
> >> In my own case, I gotta have it, because I run mostly psk31.
> >
> >
> > I gotta try that. Problem is that I'm a s-l-o-w typist . . can ya
> > copy/paste? Heh.
> >
>
> Yes! For instance, Hamscope, which I am trying out now, has a number of
> macros for repetitive functtions such as CQ'ing, answering a CQ, and
> things like equipment bragfiles.

If you're thinking digital HF take a look at MIXWIN. Loaded with various
interesting modes and options.
A quick GOOGLE search will get the download sites.

3127. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 14:04:55 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DF0BCC8.477FCD49@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57317

Mike Coslo wrote:

> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Brian wrote:
>
> >>>
> >>>If the FCC had enough people to monitor VE sessions, then we wouldn't need VEs.
> >>>
> >>>So now I'm curious Steve, who is monitoring the VEs at an exam session?
> >>
> >>Wow! Silence from Steve.
> >
> >
> > As a longtime VE I will be glad to answer that. VE's monitor each other, and for certain the VEC whom they
> > send all the paperwork to monitors each and everything they did. You would probably be very surprised what the
> > VEC can learn about the test session in general and the handling of each applicant in particular by
> > conducting his review of the exam session, which he does EVERY TIME.
> > Does all that guarantee there will never be a problem? No, nor did testing by the FCC. But you may have
> > noticed that most apparent problems with tests by VE's are reported to the FCC by the VEC himself.
>
> Go back Dick! It's a trap!

Yeh, but only if you don't know that in advance.

>
>
> Let's say some entity is monitoring the VE's to be sure they are
> complying. Then who monitors the monitors. And who monitors the monitor
> monitors. On and on.

The point entirely. Poor Brian gotta have something to whine about.

>
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

3128. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 14:05:21 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DF0AEA1.80207@psu.edu>
Source record: 57320

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote
>
> :
> : I will learn the material, and anyone who wants to tutor
> : under me will learn the material.
>
> You keep re-running this same jeremiad as if you were the only one who feels
> that way.

Obviously some do not. I didn't make up this thread and the direction in which it went. Some people have noted that they simply memorized the Technician test material and whatever knowledge they picked up was almost accidental.

And how is what I am posting a bitter lament or a righteous prophecy of doom? Its a statement, like I will climb that mountain, and anyone who wants to come with me will have to climb it too. Sheesh!

> Here's a BFO* for you..... perhaps, in fact MOST LIKELY, everyone who gets
> a license (or helps tutors others to get a license) feel exactly the same
> way.

So you agree with me, but I irritate you for some reason?

> Sunuvagun!

huzzanga!

> Get off your high horse.

Yes, I guess I do irritate you! Sorry about that.

- Mike KB3EIA

3129. Re: Out of the ashes, the B.A.R.F will rise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 14:05:47 UTC
From: R-75 MAN <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com>
Message-ID: <3df0ad2d_1@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 57318
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"K&#65533;HB" <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:duQH9.6558$kz2.663954@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
>
> In other words, BARF is not on his Christmas list.
>
> With all kind wishes, <chuckle, smirk>
>
> de Hans, K0HB
>
>

But BARF is on my list, a-hole! I'll make it the best White-Ham Radio club
that there ever was.....

--
The Better Amateur Radio Federation
Ham Radio for Straight, White, Americans!

3130. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 14:09:03 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212060609.de13a97@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57319

"K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:<ZfUH9.6609$hM3.729077@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote
>
> : Military gear has to be idiotproof and built like a cinderblock
> : and not much else. They take operator skill outta the equation
> : which is the huge difference between ham ops and military ops.
>
> You musta been in a different nations military than I was for 21 years.
>
> Military ops make amateurs look like just what they are ..... Amateurs with
> a capital A.

Yeah, I've heard that from a couple police dispatchers too.

> With all kind wishes,
>
> de Hans, K0HB

w3rv

3131. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 14:17:15 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DF0B16B.905@psu.edu>
Source record: 57321

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> Here's a BFO* for you..... perhaps, in fact MOST LIKELY, everyone who gets
> a license (or helps tutors others to get a license) feel exactly the same
> way.

I forgot to add an observation that If this were universally true, that
Mr. Bash would never have gotten off the ground with his study guides.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3132. Re: Out of the ashes, the B.A.R.F will rise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 14:21:23 UTC
From: dafirebottle@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021206092123.01126.00009589@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 57322

<< Subject: Re: Out of the ashes, the B.A.R.F will rise!
From: "R-75 MAN" lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com
Date: Fri, Dec 6, 2002 8:05 AM
Message-id: <3df0ad2d_1@corp-news.newsgroups.com>

"Kï¿½HB" <kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:duQH9.6558$kz2.663954@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
>
> In other words, BARF is not on his Christmas list.
>
> With all kind wishes, <chuckle, smirk>
>
> de Hans, K0HB
>
>

But BARF is on my list, a-hole! I'll make it the best White-Ham Radio club
that there ever was.....

--
The Better Amateur Radio Federation
Ham Radio for Straight, White, Americans!

>>

LOSER!!!!!

Get professional help Tubby! Everybody knows you are a "Self Appointed" cheef
of V.O.M.I.T. Kommunicashuns!

Firebottle

3133. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 14:24:23 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212060624.510582c1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57323

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0212051736.73a77680@posting.google.com>...
> N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0212041244.5c28090@posting.google.com>...
> > kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0212040746.1b2856e8@posting.google.com>...
> > > n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20021202051737.14881.00000351@mb-ct.aol.com>...
> > >
> > > That's a semantics thing, 'tis questionable to call a 'puter screen
> > > part of a ham station. We can all run phone/cw all day all nite
> > > without a 'puter. I run CAD all day all nite with this CRT and that
> > > sure ain't ham radio. Dual use.
> >
> > How many FRCers don't have a computer CRT in the shack?
>
> Beats me, maybe a few far-end OTs don't have 'puters. In five years
> most all of the mob will be using LCDs, not CRTs.

There ya go.

> > It's getting to the point that most hams who do any half-serious
> > contesting, homebrewing or dxing have a dedicated shack computer.
>
> In the past 6-8 years 'puters have all but reveloutionized the hobby
> from top to bottom and it goes far beyond just contest CAT control &
> logging.

PSK-31, to name just one example. Remember the "Warbler"? Little
one-board rig the size of a Band Aid box, totally controlled by the
attached computer. A watt or so of PSK-31 on 3579 kHz, cost $40 as a
one-evening kit. $40 rig connected to a $1000 lapper - tail wags the
dog.

> However the things have also revolutionized the workplace and
> just about everything else, we ain't alone at all. My 'puter has
> changed the way I work out in the smokestacks much more than it has
> impacted my ham activities.

Same here. Most customers won't accept anything that isn't on CAD. To
their specific CAD standards, too.
>
> The big experiment in this year's WW CW was trial runs of a club
> SpiderNet team for spots vs. packet. Filtered full-time high-speed
> connections to the Web straight to the logger. Worked. Minus some
> easily corrected filter & config glitches.

>
oh mama. Takes SO2R to a whole new level. Etc.

> > First all solid state legal limit HF ham amp I ever saw was cover
> > story of QST. April, 1976. Expensive monster, but I figgered 3-500Zs
> > would soon be worthless. More'n a quarter century and they're still
> > expensive.
>
> They're not free but at $150/pair they're only $30/pair more expensive
> than they were 25 years ago - without factoring in the adjusted
> dollars. Huge bargain no matter how ya cut it, no way can ya beat 'em
> at the 1 Kw input level per jug on any realistic basis.

Not with junctions, anyway.

In fact, some newer bottles are less favored. Take the Heath line -
they SB-220 was/is a pretty nice amp, pair 3-500s, desktop, easily
QSK'ed (if QSKing is ever really easy). Then Heath made the SB-230,
with the conduction-cooled 88-73 or whatever ceramic-metal tube it
was. Turns out that today a pair of 3-500s is much cheaper and more
available than the newer ceramic metal tube in the '230. So much so
that you can pick up a '230 for cheap because the tube costs so much.
>
> > > Point is that the option does exist, we takes 'em or we don't, the
> > > technology isn't the problem.
> >
> > Bingo. And it's not just technology that drives the ham market.
>
> The technology is only one little corner of the hobby and in most
> cases the avearge ham only gets into it when it's time to go out and
> buy a radio. And even then only sometimes.

Means to an end, not the end in itself.
>
> > > > That's you. Most hams will diddle a few knobs to get the suds.
> > > >
> > > Is not the discussion and it ain't just me at all, there are (at
> > > least) hundreds of solid state legal limit HF amps out there already.
> > >
> > Agreed. And tens of thousands of legal limit HF tube amps, some of 'em
> > 30+ years old and still pounding out the RF.
>
> Not sure what yer point is.

That there are far more bottles making big RF in hamshacks than
silicon.
>
> > > With the current costs I wouldn't do a solid state amp, I'm not into
> > > taking shots at the boxes, would never happen under any condx so yeah
> > > I'll stick with the knobs for now.
> >
> > 'zactly the point.

>
> Which is not to say I wouldn't unload a tube amp and jump all over a
> solid state amp if the deal was attractive. I poked around a bit more
> over the past couple days and it turns out that they're a lot more
> cost competitive than I thought, the ratio is more like 1.5:1. Which
> with auto band switching, no tubes with finite lives and much less
> noise they come up pretty competitive.

Of course. Basic engineering economics applied to the hamshack. The
Ancient Ones built racks of monoband finals to get quick bandchange.
Quite a practical solution back when surplus RF parts (and chassis!)
were cheap.
>
> > > Imagine Brainiac loose wih a Henry 3K or an
> > > Alpha. He'd toast it and prolly himself too in less than five minutes.
> >
> > Better yet, a homebrew grid-driven 4-1000 in linear service where ya
> > hafta watch the screen current or you'll have an expensive table lamp.
>
> No such things out there today but the point stands.

No commercial 4-1000s but a few years back yer buddies NL and JJG were
over to the Southgate Radio factory on RadioTelegraph Hill with a
bunch of unmarked Ls and variable Cs. Used my Q meter to determine
exact values and quality. JJG was building a 160 meter 4-1000 amp. I
donated a few small parts to the cause. He won't blow it up, either.

> > > Which would only leave The Putz around here for comic relief. Ugh.

> > Comic relief requires that the person actually be funny.

> Depends on yer sense of humor. I happen to enjoy watching The Putz
> thrash for attention & relevance on those few occasions when I bother
> tuning his worn-out drivel.

Please don't feed the trolls. It only encourages them.
>
> What the hell is this "SIGNARS" crappola he's locked onto??

SINGCARS - Single Channel Grounsd and Airborne Radio System. First
used in Korean DMZ in 1988. Standard VHF shortrange single channel
voice radio for combat net use (and lots of other uses, obviously).
Uses frequency hopping SS to achieve security from interception and
DFing. Current cost in the $3000 range, according to websites I
visited. You don't wanna know what they cost in 1988. AN/WRC/91A is
one model. Why SINGCARS should be of interest to hams is a mystery.
Military did not develop and deploy SINGCARS so folks could have fun
using radio.

> > > All the "synthesizer" in that thing did was
> > > create wall-to-wall birdies and noise. One of the flat-out worst rcvrs
> > > I ever tuned since my daze with the S-40B. But I worked a helluva
> > > lotta dx on 80 with the S-40 which I'll just bet I couldn't have

> > > copied with the HRO-500.
> >
> > But - but - the '500 was designed by PROFESSIONALS! It was pioneering!
> > That old S-40 had METAL TUBES, fer gosh sakes! It cost a lot of money
> > and the professionals said it was "better"!! Most military HF rxs of
> > that time were closer in design to the '500 than to the S-40. How
> > could any of us lowly hams dare to argue with that?
>
> 'Twas the DeLorean of solid state HF rcvrs period.

Lots of other duds from that generation out there, too.

> It's been
> demonstrated many times that the Pentagon will buy radios hams
> wouldn't touch with a ten foot pole. Military gear has to be
> idiotproof and built like a cinderblock and not much else.

Of course - because the mission is completely different. So are the
resources.

> They take
> operator skill outta the equation which is the huge difference between
> ham ops and military ops.

If possible, they eliminate the operator, too. The US Navy no longer
has a specialty called "radio operator" or a similar term. Instead
they are communications specialists. Having a skilled operator
dedicated to radio comms is a weak point in military ops because if
you lose the op you lose the comms. That's a big reason why Morse use
by the military is almost completely gone.

To the military and commercial users, radio is but a means to an end.
To us hams, radio is an end in itself.

> Toss a 100w ham xcvr at the average Signal
> Corps grunt in some far off place and tell him to build and hang an
> antenna then call home with it and/or establish direct two-way HF
> comms with ten countries . . .

Some could certainly do it. There ARE hams in the military, y'know.

> > > > Not just that. Their applications evolved. Note how the use of active mixers in
> > > > highperformance solidstate receiver designs has all but disappeared.
> > >
> > > Normal, orderly evolution.
> >
> > Driven by a market that kept demanding better rigs.
>
> Ummm . . more like driven by the manufacturers competing for market
> share.

Of a market that's willing to buy TS-940s and FT-1000s.
>

> > > The el cheapo 500Hz xtal cw filter in my lame
> > > litle underdash TS-50 xcvr has a far better shape factor than any of
> > > the "sharp filters" in my whizzy all-DSP NRD-545.
> >
> > But - but - it's DSP! It's gotta be "better" - the PROFESSIONALS IN
> > RADIO told us so! How dare we hams question their pronouncements?
>
> Horsepucky.

How can you SAY that!! The long-time PROFESSIONALS IN RADIO say it
MUST be so! You are being electropolitically incorrect!
>
> 40-bit extended floating-point processor, 18-bit over-sampling A/D
> converter, 16-bit D/A converter. Lotta DSP.
>
> A pox on it and them when it comes to hamming with it, especially cw.

'Nudder HRO-500, huh?
>
> Considering all the gelt I poured into the thing I expected it to be a
> decent cw rcvr along with being a decent swl rcvr. It AIN'T even a
> half-decent cw rcvr. Crank in the 50 Hz (yeah, fifty HERTZ) DSP
> "filter" and ya get a weenie little spike maybe a few dB high then the
> skirts flare out like the wings of a J-3 Cub . . The goofy old Heath
> Q-Multiplier I wired into to my goofy old HQ-129 did a better job in
> 1960 fer cripes sake.

oh dear...how could that be?
>
> The ARRL lab ran and archived an extensive review of the 545 but
> nowhere in that review did they "happen" to get much into this "little
> detail". They musta "run outta bandwidth" in QST . . Dump in the
> review and check me. It's OK on ssb but no killer. All JRC had to do
> to make it a huge winner on all counts was to make provisions for
> adding a few optional plug-in ssb & cw analog filters. They didn't do
> that. "It's 100% DSP!" Back to the pox.

DSP is cheaper and electropolitically correct. It's NEW, it must be
BETTER!
PROFESSIONALS IN RADIO told us so!

Note that my K2 has no DSP. Hmmm.
>
> But it's beyond superb for AM which is the real purpose of the 545 and
> it's probably the pace-setter in that game, I've never tuned a better
> swl radio and I've tuned slews of 'em. You'd have to hear it when I
> bring up a weak, buried AM BC signal to believe it. Has a front end as
> good as the K2 and the MP.

I dunno about the front end being THAT good. Synchronous detector?
>
> I don't regret buying the thing at all because I do a lotta SWLing. In

> the end it's a no-counter for ham ops anyway, separate rcvrs went out
> with tubes.

Ain't happened yet!

73 de Jim, N2EY

3134. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 14:27:08 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DF0B3BC.50406@psu.edu>
Source record: 57324

Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote
>
> : As I said, English is obviously a second language to him.
> :
> : >You catch more flies with honey than with vinegar, Vshah.
> :
> : I doubt he understands the corrollary. (sp?)
> :
>
> Corollary? Jim didn't write a corollary. Not even metaphor, simile,
> allegory, nor analogy. Just a bit of plain old folk wisdom.

A Jeremiad perhaps? I'm still having trouble with that one. 8^)

Gosh Hans, now you have me stuck on that word.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3135. Re: RRAP Intro to Markie Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 14:30:22 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212060630.4db5ea24@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57325

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021206031340.21143.00009515@mb-fx.aol.com>...
> For those of you who have "joined" RRAP in the last 12 or so months, I'd
> like to take this moment and introduce you to Mark C. Morgan, KB9RQZ.

. . . .

> A search of "konstans", "konstans@oneleven.net", "mwmorgan@yahoo.com",
> "kb9rqz@yahoo.com" and others will reveal a plethora of humourous, even
> entertaining, rants, tirades and blatant lies promulgated by what must be
> Amateur Radio's most assinine jester of the century.
>
> Welcome back, Markie.

Oh Gawd help us, now all we need to "complete the loop" is to have
Cootie Boy log back in . .

w3rv

3136. Re: Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 15:47:32 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <oE3I9.46114$hK4.4049484@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 57326

Jim Hampton, AA2QA, wrote:

> Right. "adam, baker, charlie, david, edward, frank ..." was the ARRL
> phonetic alphabet whilst the military used "alpha, bravo, charlie, delta,
> echo, foxtrot ...".

Are you sure the military phonetic alphabet at
the time (mid-fifties) wasn't:

Able Baker Charlie Dog Easy Fox George How Item
Jig King Love Mike Nan Oboe Peter Queen Roger
Sugar Tare Uncle Victor William X-ray Yoke Zebra

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb (at) arrl (dot) net
Glendale, Arizona

3137. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 15:48:18 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206104818.28883.00000285@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 57327

> Good luck yourself. You're really concerned about Bruce's claims. I'm not,
>nor with yours.
>

Hes just wishes he could be as good as me. Hes also mad that I can pass the EXTRA and he cant. Come on somebody petiton the FCC on Brians behalf, maybe somebody can get Brian a Waiver.

3138. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 15:51:32 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DF0C786.2C50CE8C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 57328

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DEF7FB6.67B3A813@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DEEB2AB.2575CC92@earthlink.net>...
> > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > I'm not so old, and "No" to stepping in it. My statements are
> > > > > factual. Yes, I passed the Extra exam. Yes, I will take it again.
> > > > > Is there a problem with that?
> > > >
> > > > The Extra exam which you took consisted of a) the written portion and b)
> > > > the morse exam. To pass the exam, you'd have had to pass both of them.
> > > > Since you did not, your claim is factual only under the Bill Clinton
> > > > interpretation of "factual".
> > >
> > > Dave, I passed the written. Bruce says he could have passed Extra
> > > when he was 4 years old.
> >
> > Fine. Then don't write that you "passed the Extra". You didn't.
> >
> > > Go correct Bruce unless you are a card carrying member of the PCTA
> > > Double Standard.
> >
> > I've already stated here that I think Bruce is crude and a jerk. Do you
> > want to be lumped with Bruce? That pretty well does away with your
> > "double standard" accusation.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Though Bruce is all of that, you haven't addressed his claim that he
> could have passed the Extra when he was 4 years old, which included a
> 20WPM code exam that he couldn't pass at any age.
>
> Yet you don't let go of the fact that I didn't passed the 20wpm,
> though I did pass the written as stated.
>
> That is the double standard I refer to.

I'm not addressing "could haves" because, if I did so, I'd have to
address the fact that Bruce didn't pass the Extra at age four and that

you didn't pass it at all. As of this moment, Bruce (as unpolished as he is) passed the Extra exam as evidenced by the FCC's own database. You haven't but you've stated a number of times that you "passed the Extra". I didn't "let go of the fact" because it is a fact.

Dave K8MN

3139. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 16:06:21 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212060806.5942bdf6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57329

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021205111356.07703.00008886@mb-mn.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"
> >From: N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY)
> >Date: 12/4/02 11:43 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <c2356669.0212040943.39a5bd20@posting.google.com>
>
> >Do you really think you will get a list, or any sort of logical
> >response to that request?
>
> No Jim, I didn't expect a(n) (honest) reply.

Then why respond to his trolls? That's all they are.

> However the question is out
> there, and

[Mr. Anderson]

>can duck and hide all he wants, but his failure to answer
> the questions says more than any spin he could add.

Responding to a troll gives the troller what he wants - the illusion
of being taken seriously.
>
> >Actually, it was taken away long before that point. When FCC went to
> >all-multiple-choice, it became possible to pass the written exams
> >without demonstrating comprehension. That was about 1960-61.
>
> I dunno!

I do.

> I tested (Novice) in 72 and I had to do some thinking! Had to do
> some math, too! And I did a lot more when I moved up in 75.

The same was true for me a few years earlier, when I took the tests.
But the main point is that for over 40 years one did not HAVE to
actually "think" or comprehend the material in order to pass - all
that's been required is to get enough of the multiple-choices right.
Respected folks like K2UNK say they memorized the diagrams (with
little comprehension) back in draw-a-diagram times. I believe them -
don't you?

For all any of us knows, we may have gotten questions we thought we
"comprehended" wrong! As long as we got enough right answers, FCC
grants the license.

Now if the exams were essays where we had to generate original material, there'd be some indisputable proof of comprehension. Trouble is, the testing would then become very subjective, because whoever graded the exam would have to pass judgement on the answer. Even if FCC had the time and resources, the subjectivity of such tests would be a big problem.

Take a simple question like this:

"Describe the construction of a dipole for the 40 meter amateur band"

Simple, huh? Two pieces of wire, three insulators, coax and some connections. But how will the grader see it? Do we talk about mechanical construction or just electrical? How accurate must the formulas be, and how many are required? What about a balun? Should there be descriptions of noncoax feedpoints? Does "dipole" automatically mean a halfwave dipole, or can it be some other length? Do spelling and grammar count for points?

See how complex such a simple question can be?

> >> As pointed out above, you have forgotten the other option...The child
> >> memorized enough of the questions from rote memorization to be able
> >> to "answer" the questions. This is NOT cheating under present FCC/VEC
> rules
> >> as long as the
> >> applicant does not use said "study guide" during the test itself.
> >
> >Another BFOO. But some folks just don't get it, Steve
>
> I know...And I hate having to restate the obvious, but when you have a
> persistent propagandist like Lennie trying to denigrate and demean with his
> assinine flailings, you have to constantly keep your guard up.

Best way to do that is to IGNORE THE TROLL. Try it.
>
> >> I'd rather see 10,000 under-12 licensees than ONE of
> >> them out playing with drugs, illegal guns or premarital sex.
> >
> >YES!! If ham radio keeps even a few kids out of those problems, or
> >even diminishes their appeal, that's a VERY GOOD THING.
>
> I am sure they are out there, but so far my experience has been that kids
> who devote enough energy to doing something as relatively easy as pass an
> Amateur license generally don't get into thos kind of problems...

'zactly. Find something safe that a kid is genuinely interested in, give them some resources, and watch 'em go to town with it. The kid who is busy following something he/she finds interesting for its own sake has no time or energy for trouble. Doesn't matter if it's tuba-playing, rock climbing or ham radio, the interest is the thing.

This is not a new problem. 30 years ago, in high school and college, us kids could get all the drugs we wanted. Weed, 'ludes, acid, and

speed were all around and cheap. Easier to get and cheaper than booze! But most of us just weren't interested in them because they essentially incapacitated you for other things for too long a time. As a young ham, I was concerned that getting busted could imperil my ham license. Also, who knows what those substances could do to my code speed?

> >Do you see ANY examples of problems in the ARS being caused by the
> >licensing of young children who pass the required tests? I don't, and
> >those challenged to provide examples have none. That's the most
> >important fact.
>

[Mr. Anderson}

> still has yet to present whatever child-rearing experiences he
> has,
> or what practical experience in child development he has experienced.

Which tells you that there is none to report. Same as ham radio operator experience. Zip, nil, nada.

Ever notice how the people with the most to say about childrearing don't have kids? Check out alt.support.childfree - they make rrap look tame.

> >Now do you understand what inspires those completely-unsubstantiated
> >allegations, Steve? And why you'll never get any evidence of the
> >allegations, just more trolls from the accuser?
>
> I agree. And my publically asking the questions directly of the accuser
> is my way of keeping his trolling apparent.

Try ignoring all trolls. Saves time and exposes them the way responding cannot.

> he DOES have the skill of masking
> the troll.

Not to anyone with any sense. For all we know, he and 'ULX and that "KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM" fool are all the same person. They sure act the same.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3140. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 16:21:58 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212060821.217c1256@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57330

kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote in message news:<3df01d6b.118959795@news.one-eleven.net>...
> On 5 Dec 2002 06:56:11 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote:
>
> >kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote in message news:<3deed349.34446471@news.one-eleven.net>...
> >> On 28 Nov 2002 01:50:29 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
> >>
> >> >In article <_C7F9.3723$yy.512941@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
> >> ><kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:
> >> >
> >> >>"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> >> >>
> >> >>
> >> >>: with the exception of the 1960s, when the US population
> >> >>: boomed but the US ham population virtually stagnated. Most of
> >> >>: the reasons for that low growth had little to do with ham radio itself
> >> >>: (Vietnam, civil rights, baby boom, women's lib, etc).
> >> >>
> >> >>I would expect things like civil rights, baby boom, and women's lib to
> >> >>INCREASE hobby participation, not depress it.
> >> >
> >> >Maybe, but that's not how it worked out.
> >> >
> >> >In the 1960s, ham radio was considered a very "square", "establishment"
> >> >activity, to use the jargon of the day. You didn't see a lot of longhair hippie
> >> >Woodstock types in QST, at hamfests, etc. The close association of ham radio
> >> >with conservative politics and business, MARS, Armed Forces Day and technology
> >> >in general confirmed that charaterization for many young people. Not cool, man.
> >> >In an era when most new hams were teenagers, this was a recipe for decreased
> >> >growth. Civil rights and women's lib were/are movements against the
> >> >"establishment", and ham radio was seen by many as part of that establishment.
> >> >
> >> >A baby boom produces lots of babies and swells the population right away. But
> >> >it takes some years before those babies are old enough to be getting ham
> >> >licenses in significant numbers.
> >> >
> >> >There were other influences, too. To name just one: In 1963, the FCC opened
> >> >more exam points and increased the distance requirement for a Conditional from
> >> >75 to 175 miles "air line". All of a sudden there were very few places in the
> >> >USA that qualified as "Conditional country".
> >> >
> >> >>Now if you wanted to depress
> >> >>participation, you could come up with some DAIOTC like incentive
> >> >>licensing.... that would do it!
> >> >

> >> >You might think so, but you'd be mistaken. Sorry.
> >> >
> >> >The first wave of IL changes were enacted in late 1968 and the second wave in
> >> >late 1969. So for most of the decade of the '60s, IL was a potentiality, not a
> >> >reality. Growth actually sputtered to a halt in 1963, five years before IL
> >> >became effective, and coincident with the Conditional change and other effects.
> >> >
> >> >Incentive licensing was at its height in the 1970s - when there were no code
> >> >waivers, no CSCEs, and most hams had to journey to the FCC office to test.
> >> >Economic times were awful, two energy crises, Watergate, Vietnam's end, etc.
> >> >Yet the number of US hams soared!
> >> >
> >> >If IL was such a bummer, man, why did US hamdom grow so much faster after it
> >> >was enacted?
> >>
> >> That is a mystery and has nothingto do with the issue.
> >
> >It has EVERYTHING to do with the issue of growth or lack of growth in
> >amateur radio. The simple fact is that there was virtually no growth
> >in the US ARS ranks in the '60s, and lots of growth in the '50s and
> >'70s. Claiming that IL killed growth simply doesn't fit with the
> >facts. If anything, the growth similarities of the '50s and '70s
> >indicate that things like license test requirements and license
> >classes have little effect on growth, and that we should be looking
> >elsewhere for more important factors.
>
> one you are putting words in my mouth if not other nocoders.

What words? The discussion is about growth and IL. Policy issues if I
ever saw some.

> IL has
> had bad efects on the ars, that it allowed growth for awhile only
> means it was not part of thing with some merit.

IL had some bad effects and some good effects. Whether it was worth
all the trouble is a matter of opinion.
>
> indeed IL being a bummer has nothing to do with growth or lack of it

Nonsense. If it caused growth, that's a good thing. If it hindered
growth, that's a bad thing.

> don't change the subject esp when you raise the subject

Are you the moderator? Hans will soon ask for your badge number.
>
> >> The current
> >> lingering effects are why it is was a bad idea. Today we have the
> >> classes conousness and still have folks complaining about how they
> >> were ripped off by it, amoug other things.
> >

> >Some hams who had Advanceds and Extras in 1953 felt they were ripped
> >off, too. Some hams feel they were ripped off by the 2000
> >restructuring. Were those things bad ideas because some folks
> >complain, even today?
>
> No since no ham was ripped off, the older group i frankly
> agree were ripped off and have/had a beef alothough yyou'd think
> they'd gotten over it by now.

There are plenty of hams who feel they were ripped off. In 1952, 1968
and 2000. That's a simple fact.

> in the event that their hams complaining in 40 years about 2000
> restructing i will be entirely willing to revist that issue

Right.

> >Any human activity has a class structure of some kind. The best class
> >structures exist to help and support all of the individuals,
> >regardless of class. The idea that everyone should have equal rights
> >does not mean everyone is guaranteed equal results.
>
> really that was not the case at various points in the history of ?Ham
> radio,

When was it not the case? Even during the 1953-1968 times we had
Novices, Techs, and Generals/Conditionals/Advanceds/Extras.

>frankly i thinkit be the case again

Maybe.
> >
> >Some folks seem to think that the ~15 years between "old" and "new"
> >incentive licensing were a sort of Golden Age of Universal Ham
> >Equality, but in fact it was not like that. 'Phone vs. CW, SSB vs. AM,
> >transceiver vs. separates, DX/contest vs. ragchew, Conditional vs.
> >General, Collins vs. Drake vs. everything else. Nothing new under the
> >sun in that area.
> >
> >> Have you ever said qualility over quainity?
> >
> >Nope.
> >
> >I may have written "quality over quantity", but google comes up blank
> >on it.
> >
>
> sure i don't spell well but obviously you knew what was mean't

One word: SPELLCHECKER. Every posting software I've encountered has
one. If you refuse to use it, don't be so thinskinned about an
occasional tweak over your multiple misspellings of common words.

> cheapshot

What's a cheap shot is when someone repeatedly refuses to use a spellchecker and burdens the reader with deciphering various gobbledygook. It's an act of hostility towards the reader.

Hans may call me "spelling kop" and other foma, but the facts remain. Refusing to use simple tools like a spellchecker when a person knows they are a poor speller makes that person look foolish and disrespectful of the reader. And it diverts attention from whatever message the poor speller is trying to convey.

Do you want to convey the same sort of image as 'ULX?

> >Is quality over quantity a bad thing? Or would you prefer the world of
> >Harrison Bergeron?

Well?
> >
> >--
> >
> >Here's the main policy question to consider: If/when the code test
> >disappears from US ARS license testing, what other practical changes
> >should be done to the license structure and test requirements to best
> >serve the ARS? ("Practical changes" means things that FCC might
> >actually do, rather than things we'd like but FCC will not do).
>
> agreed

So what are YOUR ideas on that subject?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3141. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 16:38:02 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206113802.24653.00000491@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 57331

>Hans may call me "spelling kop" and other foma, but the facts remain.
>Refusing to use simple tools like a spellchecker when a person knows
>they are a poor speller makes that person look foolish and
>disrespectful of the reader. And it diverts attention from whatever
>message the poor speller is trying to convey.
>
BS, I use the Spell Checker all the time on Documents that are important, this silly little group is not that Important.

>Do you want to convey the same sort of image as 'ULX?

If he was lucky enough. You guys take this stuff way to serious, this group is nothing more than a place to have fun and agitate each other. Get real guys this is just a game, and you whinners make way to much out of this.
If any of you fools really knew me, you would know that Im just messing with you, you guys are to easy, get a LIFE and enjoy.

3142. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 17:26:19 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <%45I9.327$G4.46451@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57332

"Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote

: can you briefly describe to me how and why a recruit would
: become a radio operator in the US military- pick your favorite branch- and
: what they'd do on an average (read: non-wartime) day insofar as their
: duties are concerned?

Well, that'll keep me busy for awhile!

First, I can only speak from the Navy point of view, since that's where my experience comes from. Some of the things I mention will be familiar to soldiers, airmen, and marines, but because of their differing environments much is also very different in terms of the things they do, the equipment they use to do it, and the basic mission of their communications services.

As to "why" a recruit would chose to be a Navy Radioman, there are as many reasons as there are people who are in the field. Probably number one is the fact that it's a "technical" job, the working conditions are generally comfortable, and it beats being on the deck force pulling lines, standing lookout watches, and similar tasks. Some people get into it because they're genuinely interested in telecommunications, radio, computers, and similar techie-geek kinds of things.

As to the "how" they become a Radioman, there are two paths. (By the way, the name has recently been changed from "Radioman" to "Information Systems Technician" to reflect the broad scope of their duties beyond simply being "radio operators".) The most common path is that they are enrolled (after "boot camp") in training schools which teach them the skills they'll need before being sent to the fleet. The other route is by on-the-job training in the fleet. Some sailors go to the fleet with only "boot camp", usually ending up on the deck force. They can apply to "strike" for a technical rating like Radioman, especially if they have some related skills acquired before entering the Navy. Many hams became Radiomen through this "OJT" path.

The things they do in the fleet are extremely varied, depending on the sort of ship they're assigned to, and their level of training and their rank. Also, the things they do during peacetime are exactly the same things they do during wartime, although perhaps with less "intensity".

For illustration, let's consider a 'mid-sized' warship like a destroyer or cruiser. These kinds of ships will usually have 15-20 different radio-communications circuits in use simultaneously. (Other ship types would have differing requirements and methods -- submarines, for example.) Long distance circuits (back to shore and to distant ships in their battle group) will be via satellite radio, backed up by HF radio. These circuits

are usually low speed data, often multiplexed so that many individual data streams are carried on the same RF link. Occasionally they will "disable" the satellite link to exercise and prove-in the HF path under "real payload" conditions. Unlike a ham who just strokes up on a particular band and works whatever DX may happen to be around at the moment, the Navy Radioman must understand HF propagation well enough to keep 24/7/365 communications up and running from his mobile platform to a specific host terminal ashore.

"Local" communications within the battle group fall into two categories -- "human to human" and "weapon systems/threat sensor/threat evaluation systems". Both are carried on UHF/VHF/microwave frequencies. The "human to human" communications are voice communications between the various ships in the battle group, and there are generally about a half dozen or so different circuits in play. Radiomen don't actually "talk" on these circuits, but are responsible for equipment tuning, patching the right circuits to the right space (bridge, CIC, etc.), having the right encryption schemes in use, and similar tasks. Once up and playing, the radioman has no ongoing involvement, unless troubles develop in which case they tune alternate equipment and put it on line.

The really "whizzy" communications are the communications between the various threat sensors and the weapons systems. Modern warships operate in an environment where threats come from other surface ships, from the air, and from under the sea, sometimes all simultaneously. All the ships in the battle group continuously share this information with each other on very high speed data radio links, in such a fashion that the entire force becomes a single integrated weapons system. For example a distant surface target may be detected and evaluated as hostile by an airborne sensor (aircraft) and the actual reaction selected to deal with the threat may be a missile from an AEGIS cruiser which has never itself even "seen" the target with it's own sensors. Again all of these channels are established by the shipboard Radiomen and are continuously groomed to reflect changes in the force mission and physical disposition.

I'm getting long winded here, but I think you can start to grasp the complexity of modern military communications, and the above is very much oversimplified. Military communications people are not the "police dispatchers" that Brian Kelly mistakenly assumes, but products of extensive training and experience. Amateur radio indeed looks very Amateurish alongside these communications systems managers.

73, de Hans, K0HB
Master Chief Radioman, US Navy

3143. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 17:33:59 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <bc5l9.339$G4.48562@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57333

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

: Having a skilled operator dedicated to radio comms
: is a weak point in military ops because if you lose the
: op you lose the comms.

Jim, you need to stick to topics where you have some knowledge. Obviously you don't understand military communications systems.

By the way, the term "Radioman" was changed to reflect the fact that Navy communicators are responsible for establishing, maintaining, and grooming the entire telecommunications information stream, not just the radio link.

: That's a big reason why Morse use by the military is almost completely gone.

Morse by the military is completely gone because modern multithreat warfare can't be fought at 25WPM! Reactions must be instantaneous.

73, de Hans, K0HB

3144. Re: Just got my new call and got back on HF!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 17:36:28 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212060936.74bc3ed@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57334

"K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:<H_pH9.4003$hM3.469953@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> "N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
> :
> : Did the Troll-O-Meter move at all for that one, Hans?
> :
>
> Not even a twitch. Apparently Denise has very low field strength.

Still not in the FCC database, either. Riley's response was pretty
clear, too.

> : This mud is very happy today
> :
>
> Be careful you do not get caught in pool-pah.

I'm a very dangerous person, remember? Pool-pah is afraid of me, not
the other way around.

Welcome To The Monkey House

73 de Jim, N2EY

3145. Re: 90 Years Ago This Month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 17:41:04 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uv1o8bphc2esd0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57335

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3DF0B42F.EF1B1586@townsqr.com...
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Brian wrote:
>
> > N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0212030936.231c0805@posting.google.com>...
> > > It was 90 years ago this month, (December, 1912) that the Radio Act of
> > > 1912
> > > came into effect, regulating US domestic radio. This was the beginning
> > > of government licensing of all radio transmitters in the USA.
> >
> > So the original radio amateurs were unlicensed? No Morse Code exams?
>
>
>
> Bingo! You finally got one right!
>
> They were self-tested. On the air.
>
>

And got to choose their own callsigns to use! Talk about the first vanity
callsign program. In case anyone missed the link the first time someone
posted it, here is another link to The Wayback Machine written by
William Continelli, W2XOY
http://www.qsl.net/ecara/wayback/main.html

Darned interesting reading !!!
--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

3146. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 17:56:15 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212060956.7b750834@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57336

Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<3DEFA72F.4060802@psu.edu>...
> N2EY wrote:
> > Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<3DECCE63.8060802@psu.edu>...
>
> > Way back in the late 1940s, a local 9 year old went to the FCC office
> > and passed the Class B license on the first go. This was in the days
> > of 13 wpm send-and-receive tests, essay questions, draw-a-diagram,
> > etc., all in front of the FCC examiner. And years before the Novice.
> > This kid had been copying Morse since the age of 6. Still a ham today,
> > btw.

> Obviously the kid knew his material.

She must have known it well enough to convince the FCC examiner.

Point is that down through the years we have solid evidence of young children being able to actually learn enough radio to become licensed hams. With ZERO evidence of any wrongdoing.

Didja check out WA2SI's link to "Radio Rescue"? Fascinating true story.

> >>>> I think this eventually does a disservice to the ARS. Is there some
> >>>>sort of contest to see who produces the youngest Ham?
> >>>
> >>> Nope. Neither has there ever been an age limit. Nor has the licensing of young
> >>>children EVER been shown to be a problem.
> >>
> >> I'm not against kids having licenses. But I don't support them having
> >>licenses if they don't comprehend what they should know.

> > What about ADULTS not comprehending what they should know?
>
> Well yeah, I believe everyone should comprehend the material!

Same here. Point is, folks with ZERO evidence have accused the ARRL, VEs, and the children themselves with "fraud" based solely on the claim that young children cannot possibly have legitimately passed the license tests.
>
> >>What a great thing it would be (not) if the 6yo kid passes the test
> >>while not comprehending anything about rf safety.
> >
> > Same is true of adults. There are only a few safety questions on any
> > given written test. It's possible to go from zero to Extra and have
> > gotten all the safety questions wrong. Been that way since long before

> > restructuring.

> Scary, scary, scary.

FCC thinks it's OK. Who are we poor little broken down Yankee amateurs
to question those PROFESSIONAL REGULATORS??
>
> <snippage>
>
> >>There are plenty of
> >>ways then to get in trouble.
> >>
> >> *Most* adults can figure out at least that there can be some danger
> >>from even a HT, if only from the rf safety questions.
> >
> >
> > The test alone is no guarantee. In fact, I would say that an adult is
> > far more dangerous from an RF safety standpoint. A young child is not
> > going to have the resources to assemble a high power station unaided,
> > but there's nothing to stop an adult with deep pockets but no real
> > understanding of RF safety from putting high power into a poorly sited
> > antenna. Look at the descriptions of high powered cb mobiles. They
> > aren't built by kids.
>
> The things I am concerned about with kids are dumb stuff like chewing
> on antennas, Picking on the family pets with the ht antennas (a cat
> finds a rubber ducky antenna irresistible, and it might be fun to see
> what happens if we key the thing up. Kids can do the darndest things.

Gerbil in the microwave oven. No license needed.
>
> But your point is well taken.

TNX.
>
> <snippage>
>
> >>But if kids are passing without actually knowing anything,
> >>then it is just *WRONG*.
> >
> >
> > What about adults? Kim readily admits she passed by memorizing. Worked
> > for her.
>
> It's still wrong.
>
I agree. Convince the FCC.

> >>If my son had wanted to take the Tech test, as
> >>a youg child, I would make darn sure that he knew the material.

> > But isn't it a double standard to hold a child to a different level of
> > performance than an adult, based solely on age?
>
> <rant on>
>
> Don't care. Anyone I would coach would know the material when they are
> done.

Same here.
>
> This whole "test" mentality is ingrained in our culture and has been as
> long as I know. It's like that in the school system. My kid has a big
> thick book with "sample" SAT tests at home.

Partly because the tests are given so much importance. High SAT =
better chances getting into college of your choice. Low SAT = poorer
chance of same.

You do not want to know what I did on the SATs back in the early
1970s.

> Don't care. I think that memorizing answers is a low level form of
> cheating. People have done it as least as long as I have been around,
> so I suspect they did it before that. But it's the entire system that is
> that way, and if people do that, then okay, they are allowed to. Mostly
> they cheat themselves out of knowledge. Maybe they don't want knowledge.

Or maybe they want the license more than the knowledge. 'Specially the
parts of the knowledge they have no interest in.

> how does knowledge help you watch Survivor or Jackass - The Movie?
> Modern US culture doesn't reward knowledge- it rewards sitting on ones
> duff and being entertained.

Depends what you mean by "rewards".
>
> <a big Homer Simpson like> Booooriiinnng!
>
> I don't blame the FCC any more than I blame the school system or
> politics or whatever. The material is there, you can learn it or not.
> The question pools don't bother me, they are just a method of letting
> you know what is on the test. They could just as well make a book that
> has all the material in it, and you just read and "memorize" the book.
> All covered in depth. Thing is, some people will just go through and
> mark all the things they consider relevant with a hilight pen. Some
> people won't like that either. After all there are the answers!
>
> Don't care. Fact is, we can't regulate other's behavior. I will learn
> the material, and anyone who wants to tutor under me will learn the
> material. But if someone wants to do it otherwise, they are welcome to
> cheat themselves.
>
> <rant off>

>
> > Funny thing is, most of the newbies I encounter really want to learn
> > the material.
>
> There are some weirdos like that around! 8^) I suspect that a lot of
> people DO want to know what is going on.

My experience is that most newcomers really DO want to learn the
stuff.

> Maybe the 80 meter ragchewers
> don't, maybe the repeater rats don't, but most of the crowd I hang with
> do.

I think you mean "75 meter". The folks I meet on 80 are pretty
interested.

> But even among the pariahs, I suspect that many are knowledgeable,
> after all even an old dude with kidney stones can know about
> electronics. 8^)
>
> ......phew!

73 de Jim, N2EY

3147. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 18:02:14 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212061002.2003a250@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57337

Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<3DEF7FC6.9070500@psu.edu>...
>
> The computer is a integral part of a ham setup these days. no question.

Not my ham setup. Not yet, anyway.

> A person can operate on paper and can operate without a computer, but
> that is rapidly becoming the exception, and is an anachronism in any event.

Not at all, Mike. Not even for contesting. Check out my scores in the
CW SS and FD and realize that they were made without a computer.
>
> In my own case, I gotta have it, because I run mostly psk31.

Exception that proves the rule. And there are hams using PSK31 without
a computer.

> >>Beyond the box chasers solid state knobless amps have application for
> >>the lazy & inept, I suspect that's a bigger market driver than
> >>high-speed spots-pouncing.
> >
> >
> > Could be.
>
> I can hardly wait until all radios only have a volume control, a
> frequency readout and a PTT switch.

You'll have to wait until I stop designing and building rigs.

>Won't that be fun! 8^)

Not for me.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3148. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 18:07:21 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212061007.4a1d972@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57338

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021206031928.21143.00009517@mb-fx.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"
> >From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
> >Date: 12/5/02 6:15 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <3DEFFA6B.6F9260A7@townsqr.com
>
> >> > So now I'm curious Steve, who is monitoring the VEs at an exam session?
> >>
> >> Wow! Silence from Steve.
> >
> >As a longtime VE I will be glad to answer that...(SNIP)
>
> Thanks, Dick.
>
> Brian, you're in the killfile, along with ULX and a couple others. I only
> see your stuff when echoed by someone else. If you'd care to get an immediate
> response from me on any matter, e mail it.

I demand to be placed in a separate kill file than Bruce.

There's already too much senseless
> garbage in this forum for me to unmask you, and with Markie's return, I dare
> say it's about to be compounded.

Oh, please. You're drawn to Mark like a moth to a Coleman lantern.

3149. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 18:11:27 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uv1qi2bf692pea@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57339

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021205235007.00704.00002553@mb-ba.aol.com...
> In article <mlQH9.6549$kz2.662732@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"K&#65533;HB"
> <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:
>
> >"K&#65533;HB" <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
> >news:3IdH9.2983$kz2.334458@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> >: "Denise/w3tit" <DeniseD@nospam.pavillage.net> wrote
> >:
> >: > 73s,
> >: > Denise/w3tit
> >:
> >: Like Dave, I think this twit is a simple (as in 'not too bright')
troll,
> >but
> >: just in case she's for real, I sent a quick note to rholling@fcc.gov
> >asking
> >: him to watch for this application and deal with it.
> >:
> >: 73, Hans, K0HB
> >: --
> >: "The big trouble with dumb bastards is that they are too
> >: dumb to believe there is such a thing as being smart."
> >: - Sirens of Titan
> >:
> >
> >Here is Riley's response....
> >
> >----- Original Message -----
> >From: Riley Hollingsworth
> >To: k0hb@earthlink.net
> >Cc: DeniseD@nospam.pavillage.net
> >Sent: Thursday, December 05, 2002 9:52 PM
> >Subject: Re: W3TIT?
> >
> >
> >I brought that up with Wireless, the Bureau that does the licensing; and
> >asked
> >why the heck they'd let it through. Their answer was that it they
started
> >screening, there'd be no end to having to block certain call signs. My
> >answer

> >is baloney, state hiway departments do it every day with vanity plates.
You
> >sure wouldn't see that on a license plate. It's in very poor taste and I
> >hate
> >to see it in the Amateur service. Image is everything, in a service that
> >uses
> >billions of dollars of spectrum for free, and Amateurs are going to lose
if
> >they doesn't remain aware of that. Call signs such as that don't help.
> >
> >K4ZDH
>
> Well, once again, no less an authority than K4ZDH condemns a demeaning,
> sexually-suggestive amateur Vanity call sign, and indeed, cited the very
> reasons I've used in my arguments with our own resident offender, W5TIT.
> And the ARS is again taken "one step closer to extinction."
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

And, once again, I say that Riley has every right to believe and think as he does. However, I disagree with his opinion. That you agree with it, Larry, is no surprise--as you've always proven to be nothing but a follower. Ya know...the Queen was once the "authority" where our forefathers lived. Imagine if they'd let "authority" be the determining factor of their decisions...

Kim W5TIT

3150. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 18:27:29 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uv1rgbgcs7q6b2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57340

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:j9WH9.6832$hM3.747230@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote : >
> : > Which explains some things I've seen on 183 and 75 when I visit my
> : > engineering group in Richardson!
> : >
> : > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> : >
> : >
> :
> : Are you on 145.43 when you are in town?
> :
> : Kim W5TIT
> :
>
> I generally don't carry a radio with me on business trips, but I'll try to
> remember next time I fly to DFW.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

Well, no, no, no. That's not why I asked. There's a great bunch of folks on the TI repeater (TexIns=Texas Instruments), but the last time I was on that repeater--goodness--was over 5-6 years ago. You said "engineering" so I made the connection that you're possibly in town for something to do with TI. There's also a Alcatel repeater...but, goodness, I am not sure of its frequency. I *think* there's a machine for Nokia...but, again, not sure of the frequency.

No, I would most *likely* be on 145.190 ("the Big Machine in Big D). However, I rarely even listen any more, let alone get on the air. My "new" (year old) truck has provided me with listening pleasure that I couldn't avail myself of before--CDs and tapes on a half-way decent stereo. So, I've been "reading" books on my commutes to and from work; a pleasure I was never able to do before.

However, if you'd let me know when you're going to be in town, I'd be happy to get on the air with ya. The best--and I don't say this because I consider my home repeater--repeater in the area is most likely the 145.190 machine...PL 110.9. Well, there's one better and that would be the 145.35 machine (I think they've PL'ed it--and that is probably also 110.9), where you can say hello to a bunch of friends and acquaintances of mine--at least I think they're all still there. Both machines have great range. I think the most used is 146.880, the Dallas Amateur Radio Club machine. It's got a great bunch of folks on it, too; however, there are a few folks whom I don't

wish to even hear let alone deal with so I'd likely not be there.

If you've got 70cm capability, there's the sister to 145.190: 442.625 (PL 110.9). Regardless, do bring your radio if you feel like it--you'd really enjoy the guys on the TI machine. Many, many of the guys on 145.35 are pilots (with personal aircraft) and they're all real friendly. Can't think of his callsign, but Harry is a really great person...he has a very odd sounding voice (warbly?) but he's a really nice guy. And, Henri, N5KA, well, he's just a wonderful person. There's so many of them on that repeater.

Kim W5TIT

3151. Re: Followup from K4ZDH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 18:33:00 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uv1rqitlpltd3d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57341

"KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message
news:uv0f4l9d6chpb8@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> news:uv0arve3eflta0@corp.supernews.com...
> <SNIP>
>
> > And the Bureau and Riley both have a point. On the side of
> > Constitutionality, I'd err on the side of the Bureau. Though, I have
> heard
> > of some callsigns "they" will not issue. I'm not so sure about Riley's
> > statement that "you sure wouldn't see that on a license plate." I've
> never
> > applied for my callsign on a license plate--but I'll certainly y'all
know
> > what happens if and when I do. It'd be my guess I'll post the picture
of
> my
> > plates on a website. But, maybe Riley's right.
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
> >
> >
>
> Kim
> Check out this webpage it has something on it for you.
> No this isn't a joke just go look at it, there is a personal
> message and picture for you there. BTW if anyone else
> goes there your eyes will burn out of their sockets so
> don't try it, this is just for Kim ONLY!!!
>
> http://www.angelfire.com/in3/kb9tmp/ebay.html
>
> --
> '73' From KB9TMP
> "The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
> if you can fake that, you've got it made."
> -Groucho Marx
>
>

LOL...it's great. Hey, there's 11 of me... ;)

Kim W5TIT

3152. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was:Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 18:36:31 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uv1s14b3dkne9c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57342

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021206022639.00704.00002557@mb-ba.aol.com...
> In article <uv0arve3eflta0@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>
> >And the Bureau and Riley both have a point. On the side of
> >Constitutionality, I'd err on the side of the Bureau. Though, I have
heard
> >of some callsigns "they" will not issue. I'm not so sure about Riley's
> >statement that "you sure wouldn't see that on a license plate." I've
never
> >applied for my callsign on a license plate--but I'll certainly y'all know
> >what happens if and when I do. It'd be my guess I'll post the picture of
my
> >plates on a website. But, maybe Riley's right.
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
>
> Gee, Kim, d'ya think? And if so, what do you intend to do about it?
Would
> you actually do the right thing and change your call, or are you going to
> continue to take the ARS "...one step closer to extinction" ? As I've
stated
> before, I believe you have it in you to do the right thing.
>

Yeah, Larry, I think. And, I intend to do nothing about it. I am doing the
right thing: by having the callsign I wish to have. So, you're absolutely
right--I *do* have it in me to do the right thing.

>
> BTW, I checked qrz.com, and W3TIT apparently wasn't issued, or just
> hasn't showed up on the database yet. It will be interesting to see what
> happens.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>

What is supposed to happen, Larry? What do *you* intend to do about it,
except be an armchair critic? You do tend to do things the easy way.

Kim W5TIT

3153. Re: RRAP Intro to Markie Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 18:49:04 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uv1sojo425a90a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57343

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021206031340.21143.00009515@mb-fx.aol.com...
> For those of you who have "joined" RRAP in the last 12 or so months,
I'd
> like to take this moment and introduce you to Mark C. Morgan, KB9RQZ.
>
> Mark is a unique person. He is obviously very passionate over the
"Morse
> Code test" issue, however the ends to his means are even MORE unique.
>
> When I first entered into this forum, Markie decided that becasue of
my
> retired enlisted U. S. Marine Corps status he could "scare" me into not
> responding to his posts by insisting that he is a commissioned officer in
the
> United States Army Reserve "chemical corps".
>
> He initially stated that he was a "lieutenant colonel", but then
later
> backed off and "admitted" he was "only" a lieutenant.
>
> Fortunately for me, Markie is almost as ignorant as he is
functionally
> illiterate because 30 minutes on the phone proved that he never served in
ANY
> branch of the Armed Forces, enlisted or commissioned, Reserve, Active OR
> National Guard. His story then compounded as he insisted that he was
"drafted",
> and that he was being held in reserve duty due to the sensitivity of his
> duties.
>

You GO, Steve!!! Fakes like this need to be exposed more and more. People
are just often too afraid to "take on" the liars and fakes.

http://www.authentiseal.org/

On that website, check out the names Paschall and Yeager. They are our
local crappy folks, and some folks took a lot of heat for exposing them, but
they've were exposed.

Kim W5TIT

3154. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 19:00:27 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3df0f031.398252@news.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 57344

On 6 Dec 2002 08:21:58 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote:

>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote in message news:<3df01d6b.118959795@news.one-eleven.net>...
>> On 5 Dec 2002 06:56:11 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote:
snipp

>> >> >Economic times were awful, two energy crises, Watergate, Vietnam's end, etc.
>> >> >Yet the number of US hams soared!
>> >> >
>> >> >If IL was such a bummer, man, why did US hamdom grow so much faster after it
>> >> >was enacted?
>> >>
>> >> That is a mystery and has nothingto do with the issue.
>> >
>> >It has EVERYTHING to do with the issue of growth or lack of growth in
>> >amateur radio. The simple fact is that there was virtually no growth
>> >in the US ARS ranks in the '60s, and lots of growth in the '50s and
>> >'70s. Claiming that IL killed growth simply doesn't fit with the
>> >facts. If anything, the growth similarities of the '50s and '70s
>> >indicate that things like license test requirements and license
>> >classes have little effect on growth, and that we should be looking
>> >elsewhere for more important factors.
>>
>> one you are putting words in my mouth if not other nocoders.
>
>What words? The discussion is about growth and IL. Policy issues if I
>ever saw some.

growth is a policy issue certianly but growth is not the only policy
issue

but you words said as still preserved above that SINCE IL caused
growth (a statement i am neit adressing it must be good)
>
>> IL has
>> had bad efects on the ars, that it allowed growth for awhile only
>> means it was not part of thing with some merit.
>
>IL had some bad effects and some good effects. Whether it was worth
>all the trouble is a matter of opinion.

which proves my point more or less which that wether or not IL caused
growth is not the be all and end al of wether IL was good

>>
>> indeed IL being a bummer has nothing to do with growth or lack of it

>
>Nonsense. If it caused growth, that's a good thing. If it hindered
>growth, that's a bad thing.

here you are plain wrong no other way of putting it. something could
hinder growth of numbers
>
>> don't change the subject esp when you raise the subject
>
>Are you the moderator? Hans will soon ask for your badge number.

never claimed to be a moderator why do you ask, is intlectual honesty
too much to ask of you

>>
>> >> The current
>> >> lingering effects are why it is was a bad idea. Today we have the
>> >> classes conousness and still have folks complaining about how they
>> >> were ripped off by it, amoug other things.
>> >
>> >Some hams who had Advanceds and Extras in 1953 felt they were ripped
>> >off, too. Some hams feel they were ripped off by the 2000
>> >restructuring. Were those things bad ideas because some folks
>> >complain, even today?
>>
>> No since no ham was ripped off, the older group i frankly
>> agree were ripped off and have/had a beef alothough yyou'd think
>> they'd gotten over it by now.
>
>There are plenty of hams who feel they were ripped off. In 1952, 1968
>and 2000. That's a simple fact.

and their are many peopl amoug the insane, but no ham lost anything in
2000 that is also a simple fact, in eairlier change many hams were
ripped off.

>
>> in the event that their hams complaining in 40 years about 2000
>> restructing i will be entirely willing to revist that issue
>
>Right.

gald you agree
>
>> >Any human activity has a class structure of some kind. The best class
>> >structures exist to help and support all of the individuals,
>> >regardless of class. The idea that everyone should have equal rights
>> >does not mean everyone is guaranteed equal results.
>>
>> really that was not the case at various points in the history of ?Ham
>> radio,
>

>When was it not the case? Even during the 1953-1968 times we had
>Novices, Techs, and Generals/Conditionals/Advanceds/Extras.

when we not licensed at all back in the earliest parts of this
century, as i understand thaere was uonly one type of license at
first.

>
>>frankly i thinkit be the case again
>
>Maybe.
>> >
>> >Some folks seem to think that the ~15 years between "old" and "new"
>> >incentive licensing were a sort of Golden Age of Universal Ham
>> >Equality, but in fact it was not like that. 'Phone vs. CW, SSB vs. AM,
>> >transceiver vs. separates, DX/contest vs. ragchew, Conditional vs.
>> >General, Collins vs. Drake vs. everything else. Nothing new under the
>> >sun in that area.
>> >
>> >> Have you ever said qualility over quainity?
>> >
>> >Nope.
>> >
>> >I may have written "quality over quantity", but google comes up blank
>> >on it.
>> >
>>
>> sure i don't spell well but obviously you knew what was mean't
>
>One word: SPELLCHECKER. Every posting software I've encountered has
>one. If you refuse to use it, don't be so thinskinned about an
>occasional tweak over your multiple misspellings of common words.

not tyhin skined but it was an is a cheapshot proving you lack
anything of substance, something you also prove with your verbage

as to every posting software you expernce is not complete

>
>> cheapshot
>
>What's a cheap shot is when someone repeatedly refuses to use a
>spellchecker and burdens the reader with deciphering various
>gobbledygook. It's an act of hostility towards the reader.

bull since you clearly understood or did you if you understood then
you were not burdened. now you claimed to be burdened,

it iwas and remains a chapshot and hostile towards those les able than
yourself, was in the opinion of some pro's an act of hostility

>
>Hans may call me "spelling kop" and other foma, but the facts remain.

>Refusing to use simple tools like a spellchecker when a person knows
>they are a poor speller makes that person look foolish and
>disrespectful of the reader. And it diverts attention from whatever
>message the poor speller is trying to convey.

i know of no software compatable and easy to use that alows for it i
would nhave to use several different programs and go though quite a
bit of hassle, it may well btw make the pster look follish to you, but
that is a refelction of your smal mind

>
>Do you want to convey the same sort of image as 'ULX?
>
>> >Is quality over quantity a bad thing? Or would you prefer the world of
>> >Harrison Bergeron?
>
>Well?

can't answer the question i don't know who Harrison Bergeron is.

therefore....

>> >
>> >--
>> >
>> >Here's the main policy question to consider: If/when the code test
>> >disappears from US ARS license testing, what other practical changes
>> >should be done to the license structure and test requirements to best
>> >serve the ARS? ("Practical changes" means things that FCC might
>> >actually do, rather than things we'd like but FCC will not do).
>>
>> agreed
>
>So what are YOUR ideas on that subject?

i have sated them often one test one class (prosible since it would
reduce the burden on the FCC) I think it merits thought dicussion
wether we would be beter with more of GMRS license we pay and we
tranmit with the fees resevered for enforcement on our bands, not sure
i agree with the last idea but i think it merits thought,

OTH none of this has anything to do with this thread

>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

3155. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 19:01:25 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3df0f3e7.1347798@news.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 57345

On 06 Dec 2002 16:38:02 GMT, wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote:

>>Hans may call me "spelling kop" and other foma, but the facts remain.
>>Refusing to use simple tools like a spellchecker when a person knows
>>they are a poor speller makes that person look foolish and
>>disrespectful of the reader. And it diverts attention from whatever
>>message the poor speller is trying to convey.
>>
> BS, I use the Spell Checker all the time on Documents that are important, this
>silly little group is not that Important.

i could not have put it better myself
>
>
>>Do you want to convey the same sort of image as 'ULX?
>
> If he was lucky enough. You guys take this stuff way to serious, this group
>is nothing more than a place to have fun and agitate each other. Get real guys
>this is just a game, and you whinners make way to much out of this.
> If any of you fools really knew me, you would know that Im just messing with
>you, you guys are to easy, get a LIFE and enjoy.
>
>

3156. Re: Followup from K4ZDH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 19:03:57 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uv1tkgko291dac@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57348

"KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message
news:uv1t6h5l1pavef@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> news:uv1rqitlpltd3d@corp.supernews.com...
> > "KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:uv0f4l9d6chpb8@corp.supernews.com...
> > >
> > > "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> > > news:uv0arve3eflta0@corp.supernews.com...
> > > <SNIP>
> > >
> > > > And the Bureau and Riley both have a point. On the side of
> > > > Constitutionality, I'd err on the side of the Bureau. Though, I
have
> > > heard
> > > > of some callsigns "they" will not issue. I'm not so sure about
> Riley's
> > > > statement that "you sure wouldn't see that on a license plate."
I've
> > > never
> > > > applied for my callsign on a license plate--but I'll certainly y'all
> > know
> > > > what happens if and when I do. It'd be my guess I'll post the
picture
> > of
> > > my
> > > > plates on a website. But, maybe Riley's right.
> > > >
> > > > Kim W5TIT
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > > Kim
> > > Check out this webpage it has something on it for you.
> > > No this isn't a joke just go look at it, there is a personal
> > > message and picture for you there. BTW if anyone else
> > > goes there your eyes will burn out of their sockets so
> > > don't try it, this is just for Kim ONLY!!!
> > >
> > > http://www.angelfire.com/in3/kb9tmp/ebay.html
> > >
> > > --
> > > '73' From KB9TMP

> > > "The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
> > > if you can fake that, you've got it made."
> > > -Groucho Marx
> > >
> > >
> >
> > LOL...it's great. Hey, there's 11 of me... ;)
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
> >
> >
> I knew that if I put "just for Kim" that there would
> be a whole bunch go just to see what was going on.
> You know how it is when they say "DON'T LOOK"
> that's when everyone does look!
>
> --
> '73' From KB9TMP
> "The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
> if you can fake that, you've got it made."
> -Groucho Marx
>

Oh yeah, I know...kinda like when folks are saying how disgusting and
anti-social my callsign is? But then they're grinning all over inside?

Kim W5TIT

3157. Re: Followup from K4ZDH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 19:05:25 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uv1t6h5l1pavef@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57346

```
"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:uv1rqitlpltd3d@corp.supernews.com...
> "KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:uv0f4l9d6chpb8@corp.supernews.com...
> >
> > "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> > news:uv0arve3eflta0@corp.supernews.com...
> > <SNIP>
> >
> > > And the Bureau and Riley both have a point. On the side of
> > > Constitutionality, I'd err on the side of the Bureau. Though, I have
> > heard
> > > of some callsigns "they" will not issue. I'm not so sure about
Riley's
> > > statement that "you sure wouldn't see that on a license plate." I've
> > never
> > > applied for my callsign on a license plate--but I'll certainly y'all
> know
> > > what happens if and when I do. It'd be my guess I'll post the picture
> of
> > my
> > > plates on a website. But, maybe Riley's right.
> > >
> > > Kim W5TIT
> > >
> > >
> >
> > Kim
> > Check out this webpage it has something on it for you.
> > No this isn't a joke just go look at it, there is a personal
> > message and picture for you there. BTW if anyone else
> > goes there your eyes will burn out of their sockets so
> > don't try it, this is just for Kim ONLY!!!
> >
> > http://www.angelfire.com/in3/kb9tmp/ebay.html
> >
> > --
> > '73' From KB9TMP
> > "The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
> > if you can fake that, you've got it made."
> > -Groucho Marx
> >
> >
>
```

> LOL...it's great. Hey, there's 11 of me... ;)
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>
I knew that if I put "just for Kim" that there would
be a whole bunch go just to see what was going on.
You know how it is when they say "DON'T LOOK"
that's when everyone does look!

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

3158. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 19:07:59 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.cm
Message-ID: <3df0f486.1507227@news.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 57347

On 06 Dec 2002 08:25:50 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote:

>>Subject: Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"
>>From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
>>Date: 12/5/02 10:04 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <3df02131.119926204@news.one-eleven.net>
>
>
>>why do you insist on double the time spent on your dreck by emailing
>>me to it as well posting ti
>
> "dreck"...?!?!

dreck yes too ignorant to knwo that one a synomyn is garbage or crap
or shit or..

>
>>>Other VE's...that's who. How do you think some of these "audited"
>>>sessions are audited, Markie?
>>
>>right ve's are are ve's you idoit
>
> Oh...now I'm an "idoit".

no you have been a long time

i note you ignore the poitn which is typical, VE"s and only VE's
monitor the sessions therefore the original point was correct you just
felt a need to attack me. I can take that would rather not though

>
> Markie, coming from you that HURTS! (NOT!)
>
> (I love it when an illiterate troll tried to "dis" me, and misspells it in
>the process!)
>
>>> Geezis, Markie...You STILL haven't gotten a spellchecker, have you?
>>
>>right your point
>
> The "point" is you still look stupid calling people names that YOU can't
>spell.

so...

>
>>> However they DO expect that we will audit and self-police ourselves.

>>This
>>>is glaringly apparent from the number of reports in QST, CQ, etc, of audits
>>and
>>>investigations of apparently questionable VE sessions.
>>
>>yeah so
>
> Whew....Guess he told ME!
>
>>in some cases randomly i expect in some cases a ruslt of VECs
>>reporting in others complaints about the session, in other
>
> WILL YOU PLEASE LEARN HOW TO READ, SPEAK AND SPELL ENGLISH,
>MARK...?!?!?!?!?!?!

now you know how i speak when you have never spoken to me. and when
you continue to harrass in my private email as well as here.

>
> Sheesh...What a PUTZ!
>
>
>
>

3159. Re: RRAP Intro to Markie Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 19:32:02 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3df0f5dc.1848838@news.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 57349

On 06 Dec 2002 08:13:40 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote:

> For those of you who have "joined" RRAP in the last 12 or so months, I'd
>like to take this moment and introduce you to Mark C. Morgan, KB9RQZ.
>
> Mark is a unique person. He is obviously very passionate over the "Morse
>Code test" issue, however the ends to his means are even MORE unique.
>
> When I first entered into this forum, Markie decided that becasue of my
>retired enlisted U. S. Marine Corps status he could "scare" me into not

nope that is lie. i hoped to deter you from threatening to kill

>responding to his posts by insisting that he is a commissioned officer in the
>United States Army Reserve "chemical corps".
>
> He initially stated that he was a "lieutenant colonel", but then later
>backed off and "admitted" he was "only" a lieutenant.
>
> Fortunately for me, Markie is almost as ignorant as he is functionally
>illiterate because 30 minutes on the phone proved that he never served in ANY
>branch of the Armed Forces, enlisted or commissioned, Reserve, Active OR
>National Guard. His story then compounded as he insisted that he was "drafted",
>and that he was being held in reserve duty due to the sensitivity of his
>duties.
>
> The REALLY colorful part is the part where he states that he is a gay

nope not and never said i was gay an don't ask don'ttell and other
policies do not prevent gays or bi from serving merely affecting their
ability to ACT on something etc

>pagan, and that he is kept on active duty anyway, his "CO" having waived the
>"don't tell don't ask" policy of the Department of Defense just for him.

nope
>
> Later succumbing to his own paranoia, Markie then went on a tirade about
>being "stalked" by his violent ex-wife who is seeking child support, and that

never said anything bout schild support, although she would like to
find for simple violoence posiiblly i chose the safer route

>his current "name" is an alias, and none of my findings with the defense
>personnel agency was valid since he never served under THIS name.

indeed the name i use today is not the one of my birth etc. people can
can their names stevie

>
> He also insists that the FBI and Secret Service said it was "OK" for him to
>commit a felony (impersonating a commisioned officer of the Armed Forces) in
>order to put me and his ex-wife off his trail.

never said the secret service but the FBI did state when asked i could
say about anything including the above to defend myself. it would only
be a crime if i tried to use to obtain something i was not entitled
to. such as perhaps using such a claim to obtain the use of Govt
property
>
> Oh yes...About the "drafted" part....Anyone who is knowlegeable on such
>matters knows that the last draftees who did not voluntarily re-enist or extend
>their commissions were mustered out in 1974 or 75. The last one's were
>inducted in 1972 or 73, which would make Mark only EIGHT years old when he was
>"commissioned". Markie has yet to explain how this happened.

well you still have not explianed that one right but i am still not
going to explain the anomilies the right to speak or not is mine
stevie

>
> Furthermore, he later went on to claim that I had stalked him at his
>residence, taking my comments to "take my best shot" at him in this forum as a
>threat on his life. A lawyer in his home county was retained and Markie was

never said a lawyer in my home county wa retained. I have long
retianed several lawyers in the ciruse of my busness they were
consulted

>advised that he was about to find out what civil penalties could be brought
>against him for such allegations. Seems he got the hint, but maybe he needs to
>be reminded.

i was never so advised never cliamed to be so adivised, and no lwayer
i woudl hire could posiblity know that i was about find out what civil
could be brought. I may have stated that you could have brought a
suit but would be unlikely to prevail, i may not i simply don't
recall.

he did advise i could sue you stevie but that it was likely not worth
it
>
> So...it's been a pleasant year as the Illiterate Drafted Gay Pagan

not gay not that matters

>Lieutenant of the United States Army Chemical Corps Reserve took a break from
>this forum, depriving us of his fractured and ill-prepared drivvel, but I guess
>the "bad penny" is always going to turn up when you least expect it.

gee you just havn't noticed stevie i have been posting less this year,
a marraige and building a new house have been taking up my time
>
> For those who care to try an engage Mark in any posts, please not that he

please not? do you mean please note funny that you take me to task
over spelling can't manage yourself

>has publicly stated that he ahs been told by federal agencies that it's OK for
>him to lie or mislead ANY person for any reason, and that he will do so at
>liberty.

nope i have never said that i have siad i may lie for my safety and
that if i feel it will make me safer i will lie. i point out i am not
under oath here. i will also leave out details at times when they are
irelvant to point. this is not a court room or any officail proceeding
and therefore I or you or anyone else may lie legaly in this forum

>
> A search of "konstans", "konstans@oneleven.net", "mwmorgan@yahoo.com",
>"kb9rqz@yahoo.com" and others will reveal a plethora of humourous, even
>entertaining, rants, tirades and blatant lies promulgated by what must be
>Amateur Radio's most assinine jester of the century.

i realy must decline that honnor, and the mwmorgan@yahho.ocm is not
valid never used it mwmorgan was tagged to some domain can't recall
right off.

Why do you have such a problem with my changing isp from to time as i
try to get away from the situation i started in here a nonlocal isp?

>
> Welcome back, Markie.

never left and why no harass me both in public and private
>
>
>Subject: Re: How Many Hams?
>From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
>Date: 12/5/02 10:01 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3df01f0a.119375472@news.one-eleven.net>
>
>>one Steve keep it out in public. don't harass me in my private email
>>as well. i leav you alone there
>
> You really do enjoy making a fool of yourself, Mark. I thought it was
>just a seasonal thing.
>
>>Subject: Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"
>>From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
>>Date: 12/4/02 10:14 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <3deed1c2.34055198@news.one-eleven.net>
>
>>>MARKIE! You finally saved up enough money for that $5.00/ISP, or

>
>>stevie i know this is hard you to understand, at the time i refering
>>to a 5/hr ips that was the case, these days i have another choice, in
>>this case an ISP that is to me free, in large part becuase I am
>>involed in crateing a local option. given that you seem likely to
>>change you socks more often than think any thought i can understand
>>the confusion
>
> There's no confusion on my part, Mark.
>
>>which my statement was and remianins correct since the VE's are the
>>VE's if the VE monitor themselves then they are monitored by anyone
>>else.
>
> Not if they are not a part of THAT VE team and part of the testing
>procedure itself, Mark. We are NOT discussing members of the same VE team who
>are just not participating in THAT session.
>
>>>now it is possible for there to be curuption...(SNIP)
>
>>>Geezis, Markie...You STILL haven't gotten a spellchecker, have you?
>
>>nope i haven't so what
>
> Your "posts" are just as difficult to read and follow as ever. You wonder
>why you aren't taken seriously, yet you keep refusing to use the technology
>available to you to clean the crap up.
>
> Personally, I think it's because you are unable to follow even the
>simplist of instructions, and the "prompts" the spellchecker would give you
>would be wasted since you wouldn't know how to correct it anyway.
>
>>yeah and your point is. obivoiously the FCC is basicaly satisfied as
>>the level of corportion but the VE's are not monittored by anyone over
>>than themselves. an inperfect system but most are such as democracy,
>>but it works well enough for the need, (ARS licensing)
>
> Oh...I see...the FCC ALLOWS a "certain amount" of corruption in it's
>programs!
>
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! !
>
> FUNNY AS EVER, MARKIE! WhooHoo!
>
>73
>
>Steve, K4YZ
>
> How do YOU think they did it, Markie? Pin some names of VE's on
>a

>dart
>board and let the snap of the wrist decide who get's investigated?
>
>i believe some of those are random, some are based on complaints and
>someare based on questioned resaied by the VEC's that over see their
>own VE teams
>
>Steve, K4YZ
>
>
>
>

3160. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 19:36:49 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206143649.02780.00000119@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 57350

>Subject: Re: How Many Hams?
>From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
>Date: 12/6/02 1:00 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3df0f031.398252@news.one-eleven.net>

>never claimed to be a moderator why do you ask, is intlectual honesty
>too much to ask of you

BABABABABAWWWWAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! !

MARKIE INSISTING ON "INTLECTUAL HONESTY" ! ! ! !

BABABABAWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! ! ! !

OH GEEZIS...STOP IT! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

>gald you agree

I'm galled that your're here too, Markie. (will he get it...?!?!)

>>One word: SPELLCHECKER. Every posting software I've encountered has
>>one. If you refuse to use it, don't be so thinskinned about an
>>occasional tweak over your multiple misspellings of common words.
>
>not tyhin skined but it was an is a cheapshot proving you lack
>anything of substance, something you also prove with your verbage
>
>as to every posting software you expernce is not complete

The lack of "substance" is yours, Markie. If you care so little as to NOT
take the effort to make yourself understood, then you obviously have nothing to
say worthy of consideration...Serious consideration, taht is...Your rantings
and obvious abuse of The King's English provide hours of entertainment!

>it iwas and remains a chapshot and hostile towards those les able than
>yourself, was in the opinion of some pro's an act of hostility

The "problem", Your Jesterishness, is that you seem to revel in being an
idiot in public. You do it so well when the "fix" is so simple.

>i know of no software compatable and easy to use that alows for it i
>would nhave to use several different programs and go though quite a
>bit of hassle, it may well btw make the pster look follish to you, but
>that is a refelction of your smal mind

MS Word and other programs make it almost (since we ARE speaking of YOU)
idiot proof. It underlines every error and provides alternatives.

And Markie, the "smal mind" is yours for thinking that the rest of the world has to accomodate YOU, rather than you overcoming your obvious shortcomings to fit in.

>can't answer the question i don't know who Harrison Bergeron is.

Silly Jim. Why should he expect you to REFER to an encyclopedia when you can't even SPELL encyclopedia?

>>So what are YOUR ideas on that subject?
>
>i have sated them often one test one class (prosible since it would
>reduce the burden on the FCC)

How so? The FCC just verifies the data on the application from the VE, enters it into the database and spits out the license document. It wouldn't matter to them if there was one license class or a dozen.

>OTH none of this has anything to do with this thread

Very little of your stuff has anything to do with any thread.

Steve, K4YZ

3161. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 19:43:00 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206144300.02780.00000120@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 57451

>Subject: Re: How Many Hams?
>From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
>Date: 12/6/02 1:01 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3df0f3e7.1347798@news.one-eleven.net>

>> BS, I use the Spell Checker all the time on Documents that are important,
>this
>>silly little group is not that Important.
>
>i could not have put it better myself

Then you have laid claim to your own assertion of "smal mind" that you claim of others.

ANY forum in which one seeks to be heard AND taken serously deserves one's best effort.

Judging by YOUR posts, Markie, (past and present) it appears that you are quite satisfied with the title of "Court Jester". Nothing you post here deserves any other consideration until you clean the mess up.

In your "post" as an officer of the club in Sangamon County, are you so careless and cavalier about what you write and how you write it?

Steve, K4YZ

3162. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 19:49:14 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3df0fcf5.3666131@news.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 57452

so much for your claim i am in a kill file

On 06 Dec 2002 19:36:49 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote:

>>Subject: Re: How Many Hams?
>>From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
>>Date: 12/6/02 1:00 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <3df0f031.398252@news.one-eleven.net>
>
>>never claimed to be a moderator why do you ask, is intlectual honesty
>>too much to ask of you
>
> BABABABABAWWWWAAAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA
>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! !
>
> MARKIE INSISTING ON "INTLECTUAL HONESTY" ! ! ! !

nope asking for it not even expecting from you stevie nor from jim jim
is simlply blinded by his position not sure what tyour problem. are
you off your meds?
>
>BABABABAWAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA! ! ! !
>
> OH GEEZIS...STOP IT! ! ! ! ! ! ! !

please stop if you can chopthings out of context
>
>>gald you agree
>
> I'm galled that your're here too, Markie. (will he get it...?!?!)

i understand i think wasn't sure you knew the word

>
>>>One word: SPELLCHECKER. Every posting software I've encountered has
>>>one. If you refuse to use it, don't be so thinskinned about an
>>>occasional tweak over your multiple misspellings of common words.
>>
>>not tyhin skined but it was an is a cheapshot proving you lack
>>anything of substance, something you also prove with your verbage
>>
>>as to every posting software you expernce is not complete
>
> The lack of "substance" is yours, Markie. If you care so little as to NOT
>take the effort to make yourself understood, then you obviously have nothing to
>say worthy of consideration...Serious consideration, taht is...Your rantings

>and obvious abuse of The King's English provide hours of entertainment!

well i will never use the KING's english one it is currently the
queens, and i will not worry over hers either i am an american

oth you prove i am worthy of serious attention since you spend so much
time on trying to harasing me off the NG
>
>>it iwas and remains a chapshot and hostile towards those les able than
>>yourself, was in the opinion of some pro's an act of hostility
>
> The "problem", Your Jesterishness, is that you seem to revel in being an
>idiot in public. You do it so well when the "fix" is so simple.

may seem to you i guess but then i think you are nuts nayway so i am
esp bothered by it
>
>>i know of no software compatable and easy to use that alows for it i
>>would nhave to use several different programs and go though quite a
>>bit of hassle, it may well btw make the pster look follish to you, but
>>that is a refelction of your smal mind
>
> MS Word and other programs make it almost (since we ARE speaking of YOU)
>idiot proof. It underlines every error and provides alternatives.

i would have to use it then cut and paste into MS and then back out
off, another problem and hope things worked right. it simply more work
than it is worth to me
>
> And Markie, the "smal mind" is yours for thinking that the rest of the
>world has to accomodate YOU, rather than you overcoming your obvious
>shortcomings to fit in.

nope you could ignore me as you have threatened from time to time
>
>>can't answer the question i don't know who Harrison Bergeron is.
>
> Silly Jim. Why should he expect you to REFER to an encyclopedia when you
>can't even SPELL encyclopedia?

indeed it was silly but not for that reason. it was silly to make the
reference rather than say what he mean't

>
>>>So what are YOUR ideas on that subject?
>>
>>i have sated them often one test one class (prosible since it would
>>reduce the burden on the FCC)
>
> How so? The FCC just verifies the data on the application from the VE,
>enters it into the database and spits out the license document. It wouldn't
>matter to them if there was one license class or a dozen.

did you read the FCC? the FCC considrs reducing the number of classes
to a reduction in thier work. I can seculate as to how since the
processing of the entry requires computer time, in addition one class
one set of rules means enforment is easiler

>
>>OTH none of this has anything to do with this thread
>
> Very little of your stuff has anything to do with any thread.

really? Sevie how would you know when you can't according to you read
my posts
>
>Steve, K4YZ
>
>

3163. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 19:50:50 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206145050.02780.00000121@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 57453

>Subject: Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"
>From: kb9rqz@yahoo.cm
>Date: 12/6/02 1:07 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3df0f486.1507227@news.one-eleven.net>

>dreck yes too ignorant to knwo that one a synomyn is garbage or crap
>or shit or..

Profanity is the crutch of the weak and simple mind, Markie.

>>>right ve's are are ve's you idoit
>>
>> Oh...now I'm an "idoit".
>
>no you have been a long time

You don't get it, do you? (trying to contain the smirking!)

>i note you ignore the poitn which is typical, VE"s and only VE's
>monitor the sessions therefore the original point was correct you just
>felt a need to attack me. I can take that would rather not though

Not "only" VE's monitor these sessions, Markie. If you were anything
other than a Technician and therefore eligible to be a VE, you MIGHT know.

And I have not "attacked" you...made fun of you, humiliated you, teased
you...Yes. But YOU provide the material, and you provide it in reams! Thanks!

>> WILL YOU PLEASE LEARN HOW TO READ, SPEAK AND SPELL ENGLISH,
>>MARK...?!?!?!?!?!?!
>
>now you know how i speak when you have never spoken to me. and when
>you continue to harrass in my private email as well as here.

I am not harassing you, Mark. There is nothing in your e mail that is not
here. I select the courtesy copy feature of AOL so as to be sure that you have
the opportunity to read these thouroughly. I realize it takes a person of your
limited skills a while to assimilate the message, and every chance I can give
you to fully comprehend the message is a courtesy to you.

Please take advantage of it.

Stee, K4YZ

3164. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 19:57:27 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3df0ff51.4270019@news.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 57454

I kneww this was going too good to last

On 06 Dec 2002 19:43:00 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote:

>>Subject: Re: How Many Hams?
>>From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
>>Date: 12/6/02 1:01 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <3df0f3e7.1347798@news.one-eleven.net>
>
>>> BS, I use the Spell Checker all the time on Documents that are important,
>>this
>>>silly little group is not that Important.
>>
>>i could not have put it better myself
>
> Then you have laid claim to your own assertion of "smal mind" that you
>claim of others.
>
> ANY forum in which one seeks to be heard AND taken serously deserves one's
>best effort.

nope it depnds on the import of the forum, perhaps things wil make if
you consider the lack of effort i out in as reflection on the import i
attach to this forum

>
> Judging by YOUR posts, Markie, (past and present) it appears that you are
>quite satisfied with the title of "Court Jester". Nothing you post here
>deserves any other consideration until you clean the mess up.

i have asked before but who died and made you god? i don't have to met
your stanards anymore than you have to met mine.
>
> In your "post" as an officer of the club in Sangamon County, are you so
>careless and cavalier about what you write and how you write it?

what post are you referring to not sure

if you are refering to what i may written to club when VP 2years ago
President last year, and as Past President and general pain in the
rump now well some did in fact contain spelling errors esp a peice
about i felt very strongly after 9/11. i often find that allowing them
makes people think and sometimes even aids in conveying the message,
not always

remember people that will ignore a shout will sometime strain to hear
a wisper.

that and the fact it is easier and more natural to me i have decided
to let things ride.

besides i admit to enjoying piss you off so. i do get tried of it and
spend less time here at times but i have never left
>
>Steve, K4YZ

3165. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 20:04:05 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3df10146.4771070@news.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 57455

yep it seems that stevie and i are both online at once

On 06 Dec 2002 19:50:50 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote:

>>Subject: Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"
>>From: kb9rqz@yahoo.cm
>>Date: 12/6/02 1:07 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <3df0f486.1507227@news.one-eleven.net>
>
>>dreck yes too ignorant to knwo that one a synomyn is garbage or crap
>>or shit or..
>
> Profanity is the crutch of the weak and simple mind, Markie.

nope all language has it place since you did not have or perhaps use
the brains to understandit politely i told in terms you could not miss

>
>>>>right ve's are are ve's you idoit
>>>
>>> Oh...now I'm an "idoit".
>>
>>no you have been a long time
>
> You don't get it, do you? (trying to contain the smirking!)

don't bother, but do explain what you are smirking about if you please
>
>>i note you ignore the poitn which is typical, VE"s and only VE's
>>monitor the sessions therefore the original point was correct you just
>>felt a need to attack me. I can take that would rather not though
>
> Not "only" VE's monitor these sessions, Markie. If you were anything
>other than a Technician and therefore eligible to be a VE, you MIGHT know.

then who a question that has been asked often, iwas just taking you
at you own words. were you lieing?

>
> And I have not "attacked" you...made fun of you, humiliated you, teased
>you...Yes. But YOU provide the material, and you provide it in reams! Thanks!

you harrass me evertime you post by binsiting on sending a personal
copy

>
>>> WILL YOU PLEASE LEARN HOW TO READ, SPEAK AND SPELL ENGLISH,

>>>MARK...?!?!?!?!?!?!
>>
>>now you know how i speak when you have never spoken to me. and when
>>you continue to harrass in my private email as well as here.
>
> I am not harassing you, Mark. There is nothing in your e mail that is not
>here. I select the courtesy copy feature of AOL so as to be sure that you have
>the opportunity to read these thouroughly. I realize it takes a person of your
>limited skills a while to assimilate the message, and every chance I can give
>you to fully comprehend the message is a courtesy to you.

you are harrasing me you continue to send me these copies when i have
asked you not to that is harrasment. it is a violtion of the TOS of
most isp's i can read them quite thoughly here
>
> Please take advantage of it.

advantage of what?

>
>Stee, K4YZ
>
>

3166. Re: RRAP Intro to Markie Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 20:14:31 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3df104de.5690923@news.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 57456

btw i will mention that i have cagught up today and and will off for
several days so nothing should be inferreed by not answering stevie
for awhile

3167. Re: RRAP Intro to Markie Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 20:15:34 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206151534.02780.00000122@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 57457

>Subject: Re: RRAP Intro to Markie Morgan
>From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
>Date: 12/6/02 1:32 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3df0f5dc.1848838@news.one-eleven.net>

>>When I first entered into this forum, Markie decided that becasue of my
>>retired enlisted U. S. Marine Corps status he could "scare" me into not
>
>nope that is lie. i hoped to deter you from threatening to kill

BBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
AHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! !

WHOOOOHOOOOO ! ! ! !

Let's see...you LIE about being an officer in the Armed Forces, and THAT
is supposed to deter someone from trying to kill you.?!?!?!?

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! !
!

>nope not and never said i was gay an don't ask don'ttell and other
>policies do not prevent gays or bi from serving merely affecting their
>ability to ACT on something etc

Yes, Markie, if a bi, gay or lesbian person verbalizes their sexual
preferences or activities, it DOES affect their ability to serve in the Armed
Forces. Had you ever REALLY been in the Armed Forces, you'd know this.

>never said anything bout schild support, although she would like to
>find for simple violoence posiibly i chose the safer route

Oh really, Markie, please don't start making MORE assertions that are so
easily refuted by a person who decides to look your past NG misconduct up in
Google.

>indeed the name i use today is not the one of my birth etc. people can
>can their names stevie

What? Markie, it's bad enough you refuse to use a spellchecker, but you
won't even complete your sentences!

>>He also insists that the FBI and Secret Service said it was "OK" for him
>to
>>commit a felony (impersonating a commisioned officer of the Armed Forces) in
>>order to put me and his ex-wife off his trail.
>
>never said the secret service but the FBI did state when asked i could

>say about anything including the above to defend myself. it would only
>be a crime if i tried to use to obtain something i was not entitled
>to. such as perhaps using such a claim to obtain the use of Govt
>property

Public misrepresentation of one's service as a commissioned officer is a
felony. Period. You blatantly represented yourself here to everyone that you
were a company grade officer in the Armed Forces. You lied. You broke the
law. NO representitive of ANY federal angency will tell ANY citizen that such
conduct is lawful.

>well you still have not explianed that one right but i am still not
>going to explain the anomilies the right to speak or not is mine
>stevie

Lied. Told a tale. Misrepresented the truth. Got caught. YOUR bust,
Markie. You're still a fool.

>never said a lawyer in my home county wa retained. I have long
>retianed several lawyers in the ciruse of my busness they were
>consulted

Still clueless. Still not paying attention. Whew.

>i was never so advised never cliamed to be so adivised, and no lwayer
>i woudl hire could posiblity know that i was about find out what civil
>could be brought. I may have stated that you could have brought a
>suit but would be unlikely to prevail, i may not i simply don't
>recall.

OK...if you say so, Markie! I am sure that there are great gaps in what
you "recall" and don't "recall".

>he did advise i could sue you stevie but that it was likely not worth
>it

BBBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA !
! ! ! ! ! ! !

>gee you just havn't noticed stevie i have been posting less this year,
>a marraige and building a new house have been taking up my time

Again, you didn't pay attention to what I said. You are incapable of
simple comprehension of the written word.

>please not? do you mean please note funny that you take me to task
>over spelling can't manage yourself

Hmmmmm....one "e" versus reams of fractured, misspelled, incomplete and
basically incomprehensible drivvel. Let's see....Hmmmmmm

>nope i have never said that i have siad i may lie for my safety and
>that if i feel it will make me safer i will lie.

Whew...So quickly you forget, Markie...However Google doesn't.

>this is not a court room or any officail proceeding
>and therefore I or you or anyone else may lie legaly in this forum

"lie legaly"...?!?! It's LEGAL to lie? Is it moral? Is it not weakness of character to lie? Nixon lost the White House for lying. Jimmy Swaggaart and Jim Bakker lost ministries and congregations for lying. But it's OK for Mark Morgan to lie in public?

>i realy must decline that honnor, and the mwmorgan@yahho.ocm is not
>valid never used it mwmorgan was tagged to some domain can't recall
>right off.

Forgive me on the incorrect domain with "mwmorgan", however the "honnor" was not offered to you...It was offered to any third parties who are inclined to an evening's research into the mind of a very twisted, confused and incompetent correspondent. That would be YOU, by the way.....

>Why do you have such a problem with my changing isp from to time as i
>try to get away from the situation i started in here a nonlocal isp?

It's just funny watching you juggle your excuses, Mark. When you posted under the "mwmorgan" screen name, you at first denied your true identity, but your fractured use of English made it glaringly apparent to everyone EXCEPT you who was responsible for them.

>> Welcome back, Markie.
>
>never left and why no harass me both in public and private

Yes you did "leave", (over a year) and I am not harrassing you anymore than your abuse of the written word in a public forum is "harrassing" me.

Steve, K4YZ

3168. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 20:27:56 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206152756.02780.00000123@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 57458

>Subject: Re: How Many Hams?
>From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
>Date: 12/6/02 1:49 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3df0fcf5.3666131@news.one-eleven.net>

>> MARKIE INSISTING ON "INTLECTUAL HONESTY" ! ! ! !
>
>nope asking for it not even expecting from you stevie nor from jim jim
>is simlply blinded by his position not sure what tyour problem. are
>you off your meds?

You still don't get it, do you?

>>OH GEEZIS...STOP IT! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>
>please stop if you can chopthings out of context

Nothing is "out of context", Mark.

>>I'm galled that your're here too, Markie. (will he get it...?!?!)
>
>i understand i think wasn't sure you knew the word

I don't think YOU understand what you wrote!

>well i will never use the KING's english one it is currently the
>queens, and i will not worry over hers either i am an american

Regardless of WHOSE English it is, Markie, you are incompetent in it's
use.

>oth you prove i am worthy of serious attention since you spend so much
>time on trying to harasing me off the NG

I am not "harrassing" you "off" the NG, Mark. I have not CC'ed anything to
you that was not in tis forum too.

>>The "problem", Your Jesterishness, is that you seem to revel in being
>an
>>idiot in public. You do it so well when the "fix" is so simple.
>
>may seem to you i guess but then i think you are nuts nayway so i am
>esp bothered by it

Markie, why don't you spend an hour hanging outside an elementary school
and get a third grader to explain to you what's so funny about that
sentence...?!?!

>nope you could ignore me as you have threatened from time to time

And miss all the fun...?!?!

>indeed it was silly but not for that reason. it was silly to make the
>reference rather than say what he mean't

He said EXACTLY "what he mean't". YOUR lack of comprehension defeated it's meaning to YOU. Jim made the "mistake" of assuming that you were sufficiently knowledgeable to understand it.

>> Very little of your stuff has anything to do with any thread.
>
>really? Sevie how would you know when you can't according to you read
>my posts

Geeze, Markie, you can't even abuse my name correctly! Whew!

And most of your "posts" go wanting for comment since you cannot put together a comprehensible sentence.

Steve, K4YZ

3169. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 20:29:52 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206152952.26137.00000534@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 57459

>ANY forum in which one seeks to be heard AND taken serously deserves one's
>best effort.

Theres the problem, you actually think everyone here wants to be taken
serously. To me this is just a place to get Laughs nothing more. Hey Steveie
get a life.

3170. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 20:33:37 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DF117E1.E308D261@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57460

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:

> "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote
>
> : can you briefly describe to me how and why a recruit would
> : become a radio operator in the US military- pick your favorite branch- and
> : what they'd do on an average (read: non-wartime) day insofar as their
> : duties are concerned?
>
> Well, that'll keep me busy for awhile!
>
> First, I can only speak from the Navy point of view, since that's where my
> experience comes from. Some of the things I mention will be familiar to
> soldiers, airmen, and marines, but because of their differing environments
> much is also very different in terms of the things they do, the equipment
> they use to do it, and the basic mission of their communications services.
>
> As to "why" a recruit would chose to be a Navy Radioman, there are as many
> reasons as there are people who are in the field. Probably number one is
> the fact that it's a "technical" job, the working conditions are generally
> comfortable, and it beats being on the deck force pulling lines, standing
> lookout watches, and similar tasks. Some people get into it because they're
> genuinely interested in telecommunications, radio, computers, and similar
> techie-geek kinds of things.
>
> As to the "how" they become a Radioman, there are two paths. (By the way,
> the name has recently been changed from "Radioman" to "Information Systems
> Technician" to reflect the broad scope of their duties beyond simply being
> "radio operators".) The most common path is that they are enrolled (after
> "boot camp") in training schools which teach them the skills they'll need
> before being sent to the fleet. The other route is by on-the-job training
> in the fleet. Some sailors go to the fleet with only "boot camp", usually
> ending up on the deck force. They can apply to "strike" for a technical
> rating like Radioman, especially if they have some related skills acquired
> before entering the Navy. Many hams became Radiomen through this "OJT"
> path.
>
> The things they do in the fleet are extremely varied, depending on the sort
> of ship they're assigned to, and their level of training and their rank.
> Also, the things they do during peacetime are exactly the same things they
> do during wartime, although perhaps with less "intensity".
>
> For illustration, let's consider a 'mid-sized' warship like a destroyer or
> cruiser. These kinds of ships will usually have 15-20 different

> radio-communications circuits in use simultaneously. (Other ship types
> would have differing requirements and methods -- submarines, for example.)
> Long distance circuits (back to shore and to distant ships in their battle
> group) will be via satellite radio, backed up by HF radio. These circuits
> are usually low speed data, often multiplexed so that many individual data
> streams are carried on the same RF link. Occasionally they will "disable"
> the satellite link to exercise and prove-in the HF path under "real payload"
> conditions. Unlike a ham who just strokes up on a particular band and works
> whatever DX may happen to be around at the moment, the Navy Radioman must
> understand HF propagation well enough to keep 24/7/365 communications up and
> running from his mobile platform to a specific host terminal ashore.
>
> "Local" communications within the battle group fall into two categories --
> "human to human" and "weapon systems/threat sensor/threat evaluation
> systems". Both are carried on UHF/VHF/microwave frequencies. The "human to
> human" communications are voice communications between the various ships in
> the battle group, and there are generally about a half dozen or so different
> circuits in play. Radiomen don't actually "talk" on these circuits, but are
> responsible for equipment tuning, patching the right circuits to the right
> space (bridge, CIC, etc.), having the right encryption schemes in use, and
> similar tasks. Once up and playing, the radioman has no ongoing
> involvement, unless troubles develop in which case they tune alternate
> equipment and put it on line.
>
> The really "whizzy" communications are the communications between the
> various threat sensors and the weapons systems. Modern warships operate in
> an environment where threats come from other surface ships, from the air,
> and from under the sea, sometimes all simultaneously. All the ships in the
> battle group continuously share this information with each other on very
> high speed data radio links, in such a fashion that the entire force becomes
> a single integrated weapons system. For example a distant surface target
> may be detected and evaluated as hostile by an airborne sensor (aircraft)
> and the actual reaction selected to deal with the threat may be a missile
> from an AEGIS cruiser which has never itself even "seen" the target with
> it's own sensors. Again all of these channels are established by the
> shipboard Radiomen and are continuously groomed to reflect changes in the
> force mission and physical disposition.
>
> I'm getting long winded here, but I think you can start to grasp the
> complexity of modern military communications, and the above is very much
> oversimplified. Military communications people are not the "police
> dispatchers" that Brian Kelly mistakenly assumes, but products of extensive
> training and experience. Amateur radio indeed looks very Amateurish
> alongside these communications systems managers.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> Master Chief Radioman, US Navy

Ummmm. Hans, the big problem you face with all that lengthy roll is simply
that there no longer exists any such thing as a Navy radio operator......Do you

thus feel any more like a dinosaur?

3171. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 20:35:52 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206153552.02780.00000124@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 57461

>Subject: Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"
>From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
>Date: 12/6/02 2:04 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3df10146.4771070@news.one-eleven.net>

>> Profanity is the crutch of the weak and simple mind, Markie.
>
>nope all language has it place since you did not have or perhaps use
>the brains to understandit politely i told in terms you could not miss

Oh, I understand your USE of profanity, Mark.

>>You don't get it, do you? (trying to contain the smirking!)
>
>don't bother, but do explain what you are smirking about if you please

The "smirking" is your gross lack of awareness of your own abuse of the
language and the humourous situations you set yourself up for.

>> Not "only" VE's monitor these sessions, Markie. If you were anything
>>other than a Technician and therefore eligible to be a VE, you MIGHT know.
>
>then who a question that has been asked often, iwas just taking you
>at you own words. were you lieing?

"lieing" about WHAT, Jesterboy?

>>And I have not "attacked" you...made fun of you, humiliated you, teased
>>you...Yes. But YOU provide the material, and you provide it in reams!
>Thanks!
>
>you harrass me evertime you post by binsiting on sending a personal
>copy

What is "binsiting"...?!?!

>you are harrasing me you continue to send me these copies when i have
>asked you not to that is harrasment. it is a violtion of the TOS of
>most isp's i can read them quite thoughly here

What is "violtion"...?

>>Please take advantage of it.
>
>advantage of what?

See, Markie...you've got me smirking again!

Steve, K4YZ

3172. Re: RRAP Intro to Markie Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 20:43:26 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206154326.01467.00000153@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 57462

>Subject: Re: RRAP Intro to Markie Morgan
>From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
>Date: 12/6/02 2:14 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3df104de.5690923@news.one-eleven.net>

>btw i will mention that i have cagught up today and and will off for
>several days so nothing should be inferreed by not answering stevie
>for awhile

Why would you have anything to "answer" if you provided nothing to respond to?

Sheeesh!

Steve, K4YZ

3173. Re: RRAP Intro to Markie Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 20:46:23 UTC
From: k@k.com
Message-ID: <3df10c91.7661797@news.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 57463

On 06 Dec 2002 20:15:34 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote:

>>Subject: Re: RRAP Intro to Markie Morgan
>>From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
>>Date: 12/6/02 1:32 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <3df0f5dc.1848838@news.one-eleven.net>
>
>>>When I first entered into this forum, Markie decided that becasue of my
>>>retired enlisted U. S. Marine Corps status he could "scare" me into not
>>
>>nope that is lie. i hoped to deter you from threatening to kill
>
>BBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
>AHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! ! !
>
> WHOOOOHOOOOO ! ! ! !
>
> Let's see...you LIE about being an officer in the Armed Forces, and THAT
>is supposed to deter someone from trying to kill you.?!?!?!?

it shut you up for 3-4 days as i recall whcih as i recall gave me time
to get home from the trip i was on

>HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! !
>!
>
>>nope not and never said i was gay an don't ask don'ttell and other
>>policies do not prevent gays or bi from serving merely affecting their
>>ability to ACT on something etc
>
> Yes, Markie, if a bi, gay or lesbian person verbalizes their sexual
>preferences or activities, it DOES affect their ability to serve in the Armed
>Forces. Had you ever REALLY been in the Armed Forces, you'd know this.

you keep geting it half right activities are different from
preferences and where and when you said it. indeed i can also lie
about such interests a solder can walk into a gay bar if he or she
chooses check your facts

>
>>never said anything bout schild support, although she would like to
>>find for simple violoence posiibllly i chose the safer route
>
> Oh really, Markie, please don't start making MORE assertions that are so
>easily refuted by a person who decides to look your past NG misconduct up in

>Google.

look it never said child support
>
>>indeed the name i use today is not the one of my birth etc. people can
>>can their names stevie
>
> What? Markie, it's bad enough you refuse to use a spellchecker, but you
>won't even complete your sentences!

sorry stevei but people can change thier names if they like

>
>>>He also insists that the FBI and Secret Service said it was "OK" for him
>>to
>>>commit a felony (impersonating a commisioned officer of the Armed Forces) in
>>>order to put me and his ex-wife off his trail.
>>
>>never said the secret service but the FBI did state when asked i could
>>say about anything including the above to defend myself. it would only
>>be a crime if i tried to use to obtain something i was not entitled
>>to. such as perhaps using such a claim to obtain the use of Govt
>>property
>
> Public misrepresentation of one's service as a commissioned officer is a
>felony. Period. You blatantly represented yourself here to everyone that you
>were a company grade officer in the Armed Forces. You lied. You broke the
>law. NO representitive of ANY federal angency will tell ANY citizen that such
>conduct is lawful.

not ture Stevie
bedsides a col or ltc is a feild grade officer not company grade,

i lied or will not say i did not but lieing is legal. i am not under
oath i broke no law you did in making the threat to kill me, it was "
on shot one kill" as i recall that is a felony. i can take anyaction
in defense of such a threat if depnds me, just i can't walk up an
shoot you but if you fire a 22 at me, even if you deliberatly miss, i
can reply with a 306 rifle and kill if i feel threatened

>
> >well you still have not explianed that one right but i am still not
>>going to explain the anomilies the right to speak or not is mine
>>stevie
>
> Lied. Told a tale. Misrepresented the truth. Got caught. YOUR bust,
>Markie. You're still a fool.

i have lied and i will it is safer that way since you don't know
exactly what i am or where i am caught never deined it once i was home
and better able to defend my household. indeed if you had carried
though with threat it wasn't even me you would have shoot but my dad

>
>>never said a lawyer in my home county wa retained. I have long
>>retianed several lawyers in the ciruse of my busness they were
>>consulted
>
> Still clueless. Still not paying attention. Whew.

cluesles i gues you are clueless which i suspect is right
>
>>i was never so advised never cliamed to be so adivised, and no lwayer
>>i woudl hire could posiblity know that i was about find out what civil
>>could be brought. I may have stated that you could have brought a
>>suit but would be unlikely to prevail, i may not i simply don't
>>recall.
>
> OK...if you say so, Markie! I am sure that there are great gaps in what
>you "recall" and don't "recall".
>
>>he did advise i could sue you stevie but that it was likely not worth
>>it
>
>BBBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA !
>! ! ! ! ! ! !

gee you take stuff way to seriously which is why btw i took your
threat seriously the effort you going does make me wonder if you were
serious about the threat

>
>>gee you just havn't noticed stevie i have been posting less this year,
>>a marraige and building a new house have been taking up my time
>
> Again, you didn't pay attention to what I said. You are incapable of
>simple comprehension of the written word.

i understood it you said i was not active in the ng i have been i have
been less active over this and told you why i have ben posting less
not not at all you idoit

>
>>please not? do you mean please note funny that you take me to task
>>over spelling can't manage yourself
>
> Hmmmmm....one "e" versus reams of fractured, misspelled, incomplete and
>basically incomprehensible drivvel. Let's see....Hmmmmmm

it is important when it is you that isisting someone else needs to
spell correctly i don't see why you need to either untill you take
others to task for it.

btw you claim to be christian, if memory serves, so it seems you odd
to have remand of the line from christ of "let he who has not sinned

cast the first stone" somehwere in mathew i believe go check it out

>>nope i have never said that i have siad i may lie for my safety and
>>that if i feel it will make me safer i will lie.
>
> Whew...So quickly you forget, Markie...However Google doesn't.

i have never said i could lie for whatever reason i have said i can
lie for sefldefence futher i state now i may well if i see fit if i
feel it makes me safer

>
>>this is not a court room or any officail proceeding
>>and therefore I or you or anyone else may lie legaly in this forum
>
> "lie legaly"...?!?! It's LEGAL to lie? Is it moral? Is it not weakness

it is legal to lie. imo it is also moral to lie at time. indeed it
shows a strengh of charater to lie when called for

>of character to lie? Nixon lost the White House for lying. Jimmy Swaggaart

my memory of nxion is that he lied in an officail proceeding not a ng

>and Jim Bakker lost ministries and congregations for lying. But it's OK for

and Pat robertson lies all the time and keep his. indeed i and many
could say that every chritsian priest and minister lies everytime
he/she delivers a service

>Mark Morgan to lie in public?

sure is ok just as it is ok you for to lie, except for that little bit
about let he who has not sined cast the first stone

i know it hard for you to understand but somke people follow religions
other than yours and that you, alegedly, swore an oath to uphold that
right marine, or rather aleeged marine.

>
>>i realy must decline that honnor, and the mwmorgan@yahho.ocm is not
>>valid never used it mwmorgan was tagged to some domain can't recall
>>right off.
>
> Forgive me on the incorrect domain with "mwmorgan",

it is not for me to forgive but i will point out even you admit to
presenting inaccurate data in your posts in other words lieing, why
should you be eblieved when you alege other things, many people wil
take that as i did furman on the n word in th oj case once i knew he
was lieing once i took nothing he sai under oath seriously

>however the "honnor"
>was not offered to you...It was offered to any third parties who are inclined
>to an evening's research into the mind of a very twisted, confused and

>incompetent correspondent. That would be YOU, by the way.....
>
>>Why do you have such a problem with my changing isp from to time as i
>>try to get away from the situation i started in here a nonlocal isp?
>
> It's just funny watching you juggle your excuses, Mark. When you posted
>under the "mwmorgan" screen name, you at first denied your true identity, but

lie i never diend it i signed it kb9rqz i said i was me when asked
changing isp's mean your email address changes most of the time.
indeed in a few months i will likely be changing isp again when i
move, is that going to make yoiu accuse me again of hiding?

i did deny changing screen names because i don't use them, that is
something of aol and maybe a few other sources. i di not change screen
names i changed isp's

grow up not everyone uses aol

>your fractured use of English made it glaringly apparent to everyone EXCEPT you
>who was responsible for them.
>
>>> Welcome back, Markie.
>>
>>never left and why no harass me both in public and private
>
> Yes you did "leave", (over a year) and I am not harrassing you anymore than
>your abuse of the written word in a public forum is "harrassing" me.

nope i have posted several times over the last year you just did not
notice. i hav eposted less till recently, all of which is my right
stevie. then kill file me as you claim to have done and ignore me

it is your choice yours to double send your messages, twice becoming
harassment. it your choice to post these lie, and mie to reply to them
i may give after awhile perhaps you will then think i have left again

btw this the last post i will to this thread as i will ignore it in
future and i will be not leaving valid emailadesses in my posts to
save space in my email in box

>Steve, K4YZ
>
>

3174. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 20:49:34 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3df10d0d.7786175@news.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 57464

On 06 Dec 2002 20:29:52 GMT, wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote:

>>ANY forum in which one seeks to be heard AND taken serously deserves one's
>>best effort.
>
>Theres the problem, you actually think everyone here wants to be taken
>serously. To me this is just a place to get Laughs nothing more. Hey Steveie
>get a life.

thanks wether you mean't to or notyou have made my point.

i do get some food for thought from the ng but it is not something to
taken seriously

3175. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 20:55:42 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <i98I9.583$G4.73515@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57465

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote

: Ummmm. Hans, the big problem you face with all that lengthy roll is
simply
: that there no longer exists any such thing as a Navy radio operator

Well, Dick, you are just plain flat-assed wrong.

Here's what the Chief of Naval Operations has to say on the topic. If there are no Navy radio operators, then what the hell is paragraph 5 all about? Sunuvagun!

FM CNO WASHINGTON DC//N1//

TO NAVADMIN

UNCLAS file://N01220//
NAVADMIN 293/99

MSGID/GENADMIN/N13//

SUBJ/RADIOMAN (RM) RATING NAME CHANGE TO INFORMATION SYSTEMS
TECHNICIAN (IT)//

REF/A/MSG/CNO WASHINGTON DC/051331ZSEP95//

REF/B/MSG/CNO WASHINGTON DC/191850ZFEB98//

NARR/REF A IS NAVADMIN (215/95) DATA PROCESSING TECHNICIAN AND
RADIOMAN MERGER. REF B ANNOUNCED THE RM-DP MERGER
SURFACE WARFARE TRAINING AND READINESS REVIEW (SWTRR) HELD IN
MAR 98.//

RMKS/1. THIS NAVADMIN ANNOUNCES NAME CHANGE OF THE RADIOMAN (RM)
RATING TO INFORMATION SYSTEMS TECHNICIAN (IT) EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY.

2. ACCELERATION OF NEW TECHNOLOGIES IN TELE-COMMUNICATIONS AND
INFORMATION SYSTEMS DISCIPLINES REVEALED THE NEED FOR A NEW RATING NAME
THAT BEST REFLECTS SKILLS AND ROLES OF SAILORS IN THIS RATING.

3. THIS NAME CHANGE IS CONSISTENT WITH ONGOING NAVY EFFORTS TO
PROPERLY MAN AND TRAIN THE RATING TO HANDLE THE CHANGING ENVIRONMENT OF
THE INFORMATION AGE. NEW RATING NAME ALSO REFLECTS NAVY COMMITMENT TO
IT-21, INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY FOR THE 21ST CENTURY, AND SERVES AS AN
ATTRACTIVE RECRUITING TOOL FOR POTENTIAL RECRUITS INTERESTED IN THESE
SPECIALIZED TECHNICAL SKILLS.

4. PER REF B, AN RM-DP CONFERENCE WAS HELD IN MAR 98. ATTENDEES OVERWHEMINGLY AGREED A NAME CHANGE WAS NECESSARY FOR THE NEW RATING. ATTENDEES CITED FOL JUSTIFICATION:
(1) NEW NAME SHOULD REFLECT CHANGING ROLES AND FUNCTIONS;
(2) NAME SHOULD BE SEPARATE IN NATURE FROM THE HISTORICAL PARADIGMS OF RM AND DP;
(3) NAME NEEDED TO REFLECT RATINGS NEW COMBINED ROLES, EXPERTISE, AND SKILLS; AND
(4) ANY REFERENCE TO COMMUNICATIONS OR COMPUTERS IN THE NEW NAME WOULD NEGATIVELY PORTRAY A DISTINCT AND PREFERRED EXPERTISE.

5. IT'S OPERATE AND MAINTAIN NAVY GLOBAL SATELLITE TELE-COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEMS. THEIR DUTIES INCLUDE DESIGNING, INSTALLING, OPERATING, AND MAINTAINING STATE OF THE ART INFORMATION SYSTEMS. THESE SYSTEMS INCLUDE LOCAL AND WIDE-AREA NETWORKS, MAINFRAME, MINI AND MACRO COMPUTER SYSTEMS, AND ASSOCIATED PERIPHERAL DEVICES. THEY PROVIDE COMMUNICATION LINKS AND CONNECTIVITY TO EVERY SHORE STATION AND SHIP AT SEA ACROSS EVERY BAND OF THE RF SPECTRUM.

6. RESPONSIBILITIES OF THE RATING HAVE EXPANDED WELL BEYOND TRADITIONAL RADIO SETS AND MAINFRAMES. THE IT RATING BETTER REFLECTS THOSE SKILLS AND ROLES ASSOCIATED WITH THE RATING TODAY, AS WELL AS IN THE FUTURE.

7. IN KEEPING WITH THE PROUD TRADITION OF THE RM RATING, THE RATING BADGE OF ELECTRONIC SPARKS ASSOCIATED WITH THE RATING IS BEING RETAINED.

8. RELEASED BY VADM D. T. OLIVER, N1.//

BT
NNNN

3176. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 20:57:42 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3df10d67.7876305@news.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 57466

On 06 Dec 2002 20:27:56 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote:

>>Subject: Re: How Many Hams?
>>From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
>>Date: 12/6/02 1:49 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <3df0fcf5.3666131@news.one-eleven.net>
>
>>> MARKIE INSISTING ON "INTLECTUAL HONESTY" ! ! ! !
>>
>>nope asking for it not even expecting from you stevie nor from jim jim
>>is simlply blinded by his position not sure what tyour problem. are
>>you off your meds?
>
> You still don't get it, do you?

i get it you have no answer to my points you attack me personaly it
obvious and i belive helpful to the nocode side, so i help you do it
by taking the path of leat resistance

>
>>>OH GEEZIS...STOP IT! ! ! ! ! ! ! !
>>
>>please stop if you can chopthings out of context
>
> Nothing is "out of context", Mark.

yes it is when you crop out what subect and everything that froms the
context it is out of context

>
>>>I'm galled that your're here too, Markie. (will he get it...?!?!)
>>
>>i understand i think wasn't sure you knew the word
>
> I don't think YOU understand what you wrote!

then you are an idoit. i know what i wrote. even IF and i say IF no
one esle does

>
>>well i will never use the KING's english one it is currently the
>>queens, and i will not worry over hers either i am an american
>
> Regardless of WHOSE English it is, Markie, you are incompetent in it's
>use.

prehaps perhaps i just don't see this as impotant enough to bother
with

>
>>oth you prove i am worthy of serious attention since you spend so much
>>time on trying to harasing me off the NG
>
> I am not "harrassing" you "off" the NG, Mark. I have not CC'ed anything to
>you that was not in tis forum too.

that is the harrasment you idot it then takes up space in my inbox
that is a service denieal attack

>
>>>The "problem", Your Jesterishness, is that you seem to revel in being
>>an
>>>idiot in public. You do it so well when the "fix" is so simple.
>>
>>may seem to you i guess but then i think you are nuts nayway so i am
>>esp bothered by it
>
> Markie, why don't you spend an hour hanging outside an elementary school
>and get a third grader to explain to you what's so funny about that
>sentence...?!?!

why waste the time btw it is funny to me but humor is a very personal
thing
>
>>nope you could ignore me as you have threatened from time to time
>
> And miss all the fun...?!?!

then you clearing are not being harmed by it if you think it is fun so
you were lieng when you said i was harrasing you by posting it, and so
>
>>indeed it was silly but not for that reason. it was silly to make the
>>reference rather than say what he mean't
>
> He said EXACTLY "what he mean't". YOUR lack of comprehension defeated it's
>meaning to YOU. Jim made the "mistake" of assuming that you were sufficiently
>knowledgeable to understand it.
>
no he was lazy like most of the procode crowd he does not see
the need to spell such thing out, neither have you spelled it out
either lazy bum

>>> Very little of your stuff has anything to do with any thread.
>>
>>really? Sevie how would you know when you can't according to you read
>>my posts
>
> Geeze, Markie, you can't even abuse my name correctly! Whew!

why should you don't use mine right either
>
> And most of your "posts" go wanting for comment since you cannot put
>together a comprehensible sentence.

gee very few of my post go wanting for comment i can rememebr 4 in the
last few years and those as i recall i ended up saying about the same
thing as either carl or bil sohl
>
>Steve, K4YZ
>
>

3177. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 20:59:38 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206155938.01467.00000155@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 57467

>Subject: Re: How Many Hams?
>From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
>Date: 12/6/02 1:57 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3df0ff51.4270019@news.one-eleven.net>

>> ANY forum in which one seeks to be heard AND taken serously deserves
>one's
>>best effort.
>
>nope it depnds on the import of the forum, perhaps things wil make if
>you consider the lack of effort i out in as reflection on the import i
>attach to this forum

Therefore we can derive from your lack of effort to make yourself more legible and comprehensible that you attach NO importance to this forum. That being said, WHY are you here?

>i have asked before but who died and made you god? i don't have to met
>your stanards anymore than you have to met mine.

No one made me God, and it's obvious that YOU don't feel compelled to meet ANYone's standards since you refuse to correct your own inadequacies in spelling and grammar.

And as for me meeting YOUR standards...?!?!

BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! !

I'm not taking a lobotomy for ANYONE, least of all YOU!

>besides i admit to enjoying piss you off so. i do get tried of it and
>spend less time here at times but i have never left

Oh, Markie! You flatter yourself! You don't "piss (me) off". On the contrary! I take great pleasure in poking holes in your rants and showing your lies and misdeeds for what they are!

The only time you have "PO'ed" me was to claim the rank and title of a commisioned officer. You have absolutely NO idea how offensive it is to a veteran to have some puny little nobody try to elevate themselves or gain some advantage by unlawfully and inappropriately hiding behind something you neither earned or were awarded by competent authority. Especially when the claim is so easily and readily refuted.

Kim, W5TIT has provided a link to a list that is maintained to expose those who claim to have been Navy SEALS but never served on the teams...Too bad there's not a similar list for those who falsely claim to have been in the Armed Forces in general. But I imagine that such a list would have to be a

domain unto itself.

Enjoy your "days off". We'll be waiting here for more of your rantings, railings and generally humorous misdeeds.

Steve, K4YZ

3178. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 21:04:31 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3df10f61.8382403@news.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 57468

On 06 Dec 2002 20:35:52 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote:

>>Subject: Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"
>>From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
>>Date: 12/6/02 2:04 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <3df10146.4771070@news.one-eleven.net>
>
>>> Profanity is the crutch of the weak and simple mind, Markie.
>>
>>nope all language has it place since you did not have or perhaps use
>>the brains to understandit politely i told in terms you could not miss
>
> Oh, I understand your USE of profanity, Mark.

then you prove me correct btw you have removed again the context of
the statment but i guess you can't mange to leave anything in context
>
>>>You don't get it, do you? (trying to contain the smirking!)
>>
>>don't bother, but do explain what you are smirking about if you please
>
> The "smirking" is your gross lack of awareness of your own abuse of the
>language and the humourous situations you set yourself up for.

then you should not be smirking i am aware of the spelling and
mistakes i make in an offhand forum
>
>>> Not "only" VE's monitor these sessions, Markie. If you were anything
>>>other than a Technician and therefore eligible to be a VE, you MIGHT know.
>>
>>then who a question that has been asked often, iwas just taking you
>>at you own words. were you lieing?
>
> "lieing" about WHAT, Jesterboy?

you stated in material you removed from the exchange that only the
ve's are monitoring the sessions then you stated that others are one
of those statement is a clearly a lie, i don't know which, and i
hardly care

who else moinitors the sessions, obviously as a mere tech i can't know
that answer,(according to stevie) you claim to know but will not
answer the question.

>
>>>And I have not "attacked" you...made fun of you, humiliated you, teased

>>>you...Yes. But YOU provide the material, and you provide it in reams!
>>Thanks!
>>
>>you harrass me evertime you post by binsiting on sending a personal
>>copy
>
> What is "binsiting"...?!?!

inssiting

>you are harrasing me you continue to send me these copies when i have
>>asked you not to that is harrasment. it is a violtion of the TOS of
>>most isp's i can read them quite thoughly here
>
> What is "violtion"...?

you know you idoit obviously violtion is a word i can't spell

>
>>>Please take advantage of it.
>>
>>advantage of what?
>
> See, Markie...you've got me smirking again!

Am i remembering you claiming that SM is sick if that memory serves
then i will proceed to cal you sick by your own stanards, not by mine
of course but your own

>
>Steve, K4YZ

3179. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 21:07:35 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206160735.10389.00008034@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 57469

>thanks wether you mean't to or notyou have made my point.
>
>i do get some food for thought from the ng but it is not something to
>taken seriously
>

I just use the group for laughs, sure every once awhile someone will say
something worth wild, but not that often

3180. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 21:09:34 UTC
From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <3df110fb.8791992@news.one-eleven.net>
Source record: 57470

On 06 Dec 2002 20:59:38 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote:

>>Subject: Re: How Many Hams?
>>From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
>>Date: 12/6/02 1:57 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <3df0ff51.4270019@news.one-eleven.net>
>
>>> ANY forum in which one seeks to be heard AND taken serously deserves
>>one's
>>>best effort.
>>
>>nope it depnds on the import of the forum, perhaps things wil make if
>>you consider the lack of effort i out in as reflection on the import i
>>attach to this forum
>
> Therefore we can derive from your lack of effort to make yourself more
>legible and comprehensible that you attach NO importance to this forum. That
>being said, WHY are you here?

you just can't read can you? i get food for thought indeed even you
sometimes refine my thought proceses
>
>>i have asked before but who died and made you god? i don't have to met
>>your stanards anymore than you have to met mine.
>
> No one made me God, and it's obvious that YOU don't feel compelled to meet
>ANYone's standards since you refuse to correct your own inadequacies in
>spelling and grammar.

YEESSSS, you got it i don't fell compelled and WILL NEVER FEEL
complelled to meet the standards of others

>
> And as for me meeting YOUR standards...?!?!
>
> BBBWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! ! !
>
> I'm not taking a lobotomy for ANYONE, least of all YOU!
>
>>besides i admit to enjoying piss you off so. i do get tried of it and
>>spend less time here at times but i have never left
>
> Oh, Markie! You flatter yourself! You don't "piss (me) off". On the
>contrary! I take great pleasure in poking holes in your rants and showing your
>lies and misdeeds for what they are!

then you have lied in claiming to harrassed make up your mind or drop
this insistence that others have to tell the truth
>
> The only time you have "PO'ed" me was to claim the rank and title of a
>commisioned officer. You have absolutely NO idea how offensive it is to a
>veteran to have some puny little nobody try to elevate themselves or gain some
>advantage by unlawfully and inappropriately hiding behind something you neither
>earned or were awarded by competent authority. Especially when the claim is so
>easily and readily refuted.

a) you have never refuted it
b) it was disgusting that you would dishoonor the USMC by threatening
to kill a perosn you swore to defend

>
> Kim, W5TIT has provided a link to a list that is maintained to expose
>those who claim to have been Navy SEALS but never served on the teams...Too bad
>there's not a similar list for those who falsely claim to have been in the
>Armed Forces in general. But I imagine that such a list would have to be a
>domain unto itself.
>
> Enjoy your "days off". We'll be waiting here for more of your rantings,
>railings and generally humorous misdeeds.

thank you i do think you migh be tryiong to be gracious there but i
honestly have my doubts
>
>Steve, K4YZ
>
>

3181. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 21:30:15 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <HF8I9.46461$hK4.4075768@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 57471

Too many attributions to follow:

> >>i know of no software compatable and easy to use that alows for it i
> >>would nhave to use several different programs and go though quite a
> >>bit of hassle, it may well btw make the pster look follish to you, but
> >>that is a refelction of your smal mind

> > MS Word and other programs make it almost (since we ARE speaking of YOU)
> >idiot proof. It underlines every error and provides alternatives.

> i would have to use it then cut and paste into MS and then back out
> off, another problem and hope things worked right. it simply more work
> than it is worth to me

I can personally recommend Fornada Software's QuickSpell ver. 3.0.

see: http://www.fornada.com/

This spellchecker acts in real time with ANY Windows application.
It gives you a little red or green light above and to the right
of the word you are typing as you type it.

It can also check and correct blocks of text copied into/out-of
the Clipboard.

It costs $24.95 for a single-user copy. It's shareware and can
be downloaded from their website, or from Simtel.com.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb (at) arrl (dot) net
Glendale, Arizona

3182. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 22:14:07 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206171407.01440.00000171@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 57472

>Subject: Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"
>From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
>Date: 12/6/02 3:04 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3df10f61.8382403@news.one-eleven.net>

>>Oh, I understand your USE of profanity, Mark.
>
>then you prove me correct btw you have removed again the context of
>the statment but i guess you can't mange to leave anything in context

The comment was vis-a-vis your use of profanity. It was perfectly in
context. Unlike you, I do not need to have...

>>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>>
>>>>>>>
>>>>>>
>>>>>
>>>>
>>>
>>
>
.....in order to rememeber what was being said by who. And if I DO need to
research a comment by ANYone, I know how to do it.

>then you should not be smirking i am aware of the spelling and
>mistakes i make in an offhand forum

"Offhand forum"...?!?! So, everything said by everyone else in this NG is
"offhand" and without merit?

And the smirking is not merely at your (poor) spelling. It's the context
in which your comical misspellings occur. You don't seem to grasp your own
foolheartiness.

>you stated in material you removed from the exchange that only the
>ve's are monitoring the sessions then you stated that others are one
>of those statement is a clearly a lie, i don't know which, and i
>hardly care

I never said, nor did I acknowledge, that ONLY VE's are monitoring the
test sessions. It is not a lie. And you could not care since you are
incapable of knowing the difference.

>who else moinitors the sessions, obviously as a mere tech i can't know
>that answer,(according to stevie) you claim to know but will not

>answer the question.

Who else monitors the exams are the FCC and other VE's. I clearly stated
that VE's, NOT a part of the team doing the testing, audit the test sessions.

>>What is "binsiting"...?!?!
>
>inssiting

WHAT is "inssiting"...?!?!

>>What is "violtion"...?
>
>you know you idoit obviously violtion is a word i can't spell

Neither is "idiot", which I have pointed out to you in several posts today
and last night. Of course, had you used a SPELLCHECKER, it would have alerted
you to the errors and deprived me of the opportunity to once again rub your
nose in your stupidity.

However your anxiousness to whip out a verbose retort overwhelmed your
common sense, once again allowing me the opportunity to have fun at your
expense.

Thanks!

>>See, Markie...you've got me smirking again!
>
>Am i remembering you claiming that SM is sick if that memory serves
>then i will proceed to cal you sick by your own stanards, not by mine
>of course but your own

I assume you refer to "sado-masochism", Markie, which is the propensity of
the afflicted to enjoy inflicting pain for the sake of experiencing the pain
itself.

"Smirking" is an attempt by the amused to prevent an overt laugh. Your
ever-deepening fall into your own misdeeds is what amazes me. Laughter is
hardly painful.

Steve, K4YZ

3183. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 22:20:40 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212061420.5d278174@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57473

>
> Leonard H. Anderson
> Army Serial Number RA16408336 (1952-1960)
> MOS 281.6, somewhat equivalent to today's MOS 31P

Read that as "MOSS 281.6. Widget, Rear, Repeater Babysitter", 1 ea.

3184. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 22:31:29 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206173129.21317.00001411@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 57477

In article <%45I9.327$G4.46451@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:

>"Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote
>
>: can you briefly describe to me how and why a recruit would
>: become a radio operator in the US military- pick your favorite branch- and
>: what they'd do on an average (read: non-wartime) day insofar as their
>: duties are concerned?
>
>Well, that'll keep me busy for awhile!
>
>First, I can only speak from the Navy point of view, since that's where my
>experience comes from. Some of the things I mention will be familiar to
>soldiers, airmen, and marines, but because of their differing environments
>much is also very different in terms of the things they do, the equipment
>they use to do it, and the basic mission of their communications services.

I'll add in the Army point of view, the Army of today. First of all
every Army person is a soldier FIRST, a specialist second, that
unchanged since WW2. No exceptions. As with the Navy and
ship crews, Army forces have coordinated "crew" functions for
the entire "crew"...different land "crews" define their overall
function: Infantry, armor, artillery as the "line" units directly
involved with fighting...signal for coordination, transportation for
movement, quartermaster for supplies, ordnance for keeping the
ammunition of warfare on-hand and working, medical for health
and aiding wounded, intelligence for finding out where the enemy
is and as much as possible about them...many other specialties
but, above all, each is a soldier and warfighter first.

Modern land force radio communications at the battalion and lower
level (4 squads of 12 soldiers in a platoon, 4 platoons in a company,
4 companies in a battalion is a general sizing) is relatively simple.
The standard small-unit radio for manpack and vehicular (land and
air) use is the SINCGARS family of 30-88 MHz, 0.1 to 50 Watts,
clear or encrypted voice or data mode. SINCGARS makes a
terrible problem for enemy interception because it hops frequencies
ten times a second. It doesn't have the conventional frequency
selector controls, just an indicating touchpad where the "hopset"
is entered, the "hopset" defining mode of operation as well as the
encryption and frequency pattern. The internal timeclock is better
than 4 seconds a day but may be set precisely by connecting a
military GPS receiver (AN/PSN-11) to the front panel for an exact
time and date. SINCGARS works best in a network but can be

used as well in a linked pair. It is secure and difficult to find yet can be used by non-signal personnel after brief training.

Signal specialists in battalion and lower levels do other things. They establish communications with military aircraft in the 225-400 MHz band, operate radio relay sets that handle multiple voice and data lines to other, larger units or to radio relay aircraft or satellites, handle wire, data, terminal signal interfaces to/from larger organizations, and to assist in field-level maintenance of common radio and electronics. Rarely is there a necessity to operate on HF nowadays but there is still equipment for that job.

Intelligence specialists are charged with numerous other tasks, everything from passive snooping on the enemy trans-missions, operating and maintaining the UAVs (essentially flying electronic systems), to examining captured enemy radio and electronic equipment. ELINT (ELectronic INTelligence) involves a combination of "scanning" in many bands and translation of both language and electronic signal modes...sometimes when language and modes are different than first assumed.

Artillery in the Army depends on both communications and electronics for tracking targets and coordinating multiple battery fire as well as simple "rock-throwing" aiming. There are computer systems for artillery fire control (TACFIRE, one of the oldest as an example)...and that must include defense against enemy aircraft and missles. Armor units require radio networking for coordination and movement, not only of battle vehicles but support vehicles as well...and they rely on GPSS for instant position data which is converted to small computer screen displays in most vehicles. Support trucks must keep up with them even when the battle lines change by over 300 miles a day. Only radio can do that effectively and automatic position data exchanges enable support group leaders to plan their ordnance truck and tanker movements.

Above battalion at brigade, regiment, division levels the message centers look more like data terminal offices in olive and sand camoflauge with a great variety of large commsat dishes, radio relay sets, or troposcatter radios up and working on many bands. Alongside them are trailers containing the entire telephone centrals of small towns to handle the enormity of voice and data channels needed for supporting 4 to 10 thousand in brigade to regiment size forces. Data terminals are high speed and have encryption capability...as do the telephone central trailers. The amount of information transferred is enormous at the division level; during the Gulf War one day's worth of messaging exceeded the amount of messages sent all year on the amateur NTS.

Communications MUST tie into Air Force and Navy networks
for coordination of all branches in every military action now.
Communications links everyone on the digital battlefield and
all must work with the same information to accomplish their
objective. All of that requires specialists with training in not
just small radio but computers, telephone equipment,
landlines, satellite radio, intelligence monitoring, troposcatter
communications, radio relay equipment...all capable of working
in the harshest of environments, not just in weather but from
enemy forces wanting to destroy all friendly communications.
It's an exciting and challenging task and I think the US Army
is up to it wherever it might happen in the future.

3185. Re: Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 22:31:31 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206173131.21317.00001413@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 57475

In article <oE3I9.46114$hK4.4049484@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> writes:

>Jim Hampton, AA2QA, wrote:
>
>> Right. "adam, baker, charlie, david, edward, frank ..." was the ARRL
>> phonetic alphabet whilst the military used "alpha, bravo, charlie, delta,
>> echo, foxtrot ...".
>
>Are you sure the military phonetic alphabet at
>the time (mid-fifties) wasn't:
>
>Able Baker Charlie Dog Easy Fox George How Item
>Jig King Love Mike Nan Oboe Peter Queen Roger
>Sugar Tare Uncle Victor William X-ray Yoke Zebra

It was both. :-)

The NATO standard phonetic alphabet became doctrine
in mid-1955. The ICAO rubber-stamped that for inter-
national civil aviation a few weeks after that.

Us signalpersons in uniform got to self-teach ourselves
from mimeographed copies...no xerocopy machines
then and nobody wanted to scratch on the military
budgets to print it formally until the next year.

3186. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 22:31:31 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206173131.21317.00001412@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 57476

In article <bc5I9.339$G4.48562@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:

>"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>: Having a skilled operator dedicated to radio comms
>: is a weak point in military ops because if you lose the
>: op you lose the comms.
>
>Jim, you need to stick to topics where you have some knowledge. Obviously
>you don't understand military communications systems.

He's never been in any service or gone through either Boot
Camp or Basic Training. He's not even worked on military
electronics for Department of Defense contracts.

>By the way, the term "Radioman" was changed to reflect the fact that Navy
>communicators are responsible for establishing, maintaining, and grooming
>the entire telecommunications information stream, not just the radio link.

The same is true in the Army of 2002. Communications of all
kinds are now firmly set into the integrated environment of
"The Digital Battlefield" on land, coordinated with air and sea
forces.

>: That's a big reason why Morse use by the military is almost completely
>gone.
>
>Morse by the military is completely gone because modern multithreat warfare
>can't be fought at 25WPM! Reactions must be instantaneous.

Hans, I doubt he understands any of that "immediate response"
necessity...despite ICBMs that were only 20 minutes to dooms-
day from the time he was a child...or the 600 Knot strike aircraft
back before that in the Korean War era...or the first appearance
of short-range battlefield missles such as the Army's Honest John
in 1955...or the fact that 16-inch naval rifles were aim-assisted by
millimeter radar...or that incoming Exocets required automatic
tracking and shooting-at by a Phalanx system gatling gun after
the Falklands War (didn't have it then and the Brits sure could
have used it)...or that ASW teams need to talk to one another
to GET that hostile submarine before it can sink a friendly. A
patrol of a dozen out 20 looking for an enemy battery wants to
report its exact existance NOW...that battery doesn't have Volts,
it has HEAT and can kill and maim dozens-plus after a half-
minute flight of a single artillery round. Multi-ton Abrams tanks

traveling 40 MPH over rolling desert terrain don't have the luxury of gentleness to tap out morse on a knee-strap key when the tank crewmen have to hang on to anything inside. Fleets need accurate position reports NOW through GPSS, not the leisurely sextant-ephemeris-lookup method and to accurately coordinate that with other ships...one mistaken number in a position report sent by morse can be deadly to thousands. Aircraft supporting ground troops in 500 MPH planes with 20mm high-rate cannon need support direction NOW by voice, not by slow-speed morse from a specialist weenie in the back whose added weight means less cannon ammunition on board.

The notion of "being behind enemy lines tapping out intel info by morse on an HF radio" is romantic nonsense good only for old movies. HF radio and relatively slow-rate morse is a dead give-away of their position for even the simplest of enemy DF gear. The romantic hero might get one message out, then he is spotted, tagged, triangulated and dead meat a few minutes after that. What is really used behind lines as far back as the Gulf War of '91 was a UHF set sending data text at 1200 WPM to a loitering Joint Stars relay aircraft using a directional antenna (back then an AN/PSC-3, now phased out by the PSC-5). Essentially QRP, the direction-finding is hindered by a directional antenna beam pointed away towards friendly lines...not the omnidirectional HF wire-string antenna pattern.

When the information medium to some is solely from motion pictures and action books without pictures, it is hard to make them understand what military communications really is or how it is used.

3187. Re: 90 Years Ago This Month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 22:31:32 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206173132.21317.00001414@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 57474

In article <3DF0B42F.EF1B1586@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>> So the original radio amateurs were unlicensed? No Morse Code exams?
>
> Bingo! You finally got one right!
>
>They were self-tested. On the air.

"Self-tested?!?" Did they get it in WRITING? :-)

3188. Re: Out of the ashes, the B.A.R.F will rise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 22:44:32 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <asr97r$uv5$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57478

Llllooooyyyyddd is so damn ignorant that doesn't know that the BARF is/has been and always will be associated with neo-nazis.

Why do we care anyway? The BARF is history. It never really existed anyway. Except to some seriously brain-dead goofs that still harbor the same belief. It was created as a JOKE. You know a LARK...something humorous. Same with the stumpers and same with the Goodfellows. As I have heard on the hambands in times past....

WHAT A BUNCH OF LOSERS

Dan/W4NTI

"Firebottle" <dafirebottle@aol.comnojunk> wrote in message
news:20021206092123.01126.00009589@mb-mk.aol.com...
> << Subject: Re: Out of the ashes, the B.A.R.F will rise!
> From: "R-75 MAN" lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com
> Date: Fri, Dec 6, 2002 8:05 AM
> Message-id: <3df0ad2d_1@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
>
>
> "K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
> news:duQH9.6558$kz2.663954@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > >
> > >
> > > In other words, BARF is not on his Christmas list.
> > >
> > > With all kind wishes, <chuckle, smirk>
> > >
> > > de Hans, K0HB
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > But BARF is on my list, a-hole! I'll make it the best White-Ham Radio
> club
> that there ever was.....
>
>
> --
> The Better Amateur Radio Federation
> Ham Radio for Straight, White, Americans!
>
> >>
>
>

> LOSER!!!!!
>
> Get professional help Tubby! Everybody knows you are a "Self Appointed"
cheef
> of V.O.M.I.T. Kommunicashuns!
>
> Firebottle
>

3189. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 22:55:40 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <MV9I9.721$G4.90103@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57479

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote

:
: Ummmm. Hans, the big problem you face with all that lengthy roll is
simply
: that there no longer exists any such thing as a Navy radio operator:

If the Navy has no radio operators, you'd kinda wonder why they have an 82-week training course for radio operators? This is just one of DOZENS of Navy radio operator training courses in the current CNET (Chief of Naval Education and Training) online catalog.

Sunuvagun!

TITLE: TRANSMISSION SYSTEMS TECHNICIAN
STATUS: A
STATUS DATE: 10/10/2001
DATA CURRENT AS OF: 12/5/2002
COURSE LENGTH: 82 WEEKS
COURSE SECURITY: SECRET
TYPE COURSE: C1 ENLISTED NEC
SKILL AWARD: NEC 2379 TRANSMISSION SYSTEM TECHNICIAN EFF DATE: 10-OCT-2001

PURPOSE: To provide the Information Systems Technician (IT) with the knowledge and skills required to operate communication equipment in the High Frequency/Ultra High Frequency Operator (a-260-0059), Extremely High Frequency/Automated Digital Network System Operator (A-260-0058), and Super High Frequency Operator (A-260-0060) courses.

SCOPE: Provide students with the knowledge and skill to perform operations, circuit monitoring, fault isolation and circuit restoration of external communications suites in the HF, VHF, UHF, EHF, and SHF frequency spectrum afloat and ashore. Topics include: RF transmission, Input/Output devices, Cryptographic devices, Interface equipment, Patch Panels, Multiplexers, Modulators/Demodulators (Modems), HF transmitters, receivers, couplers and antennas, VHF, UHF, SHF and EHF transceivers and antennas and ADNS.

PREREQUISITES: OTHER=Must meet minimum physical readiness standards. and

PAYGRADE=E4 and RATE=IT and

SECURITY CLEARANCE=SECRET
TRAINING PROGRAM COORDINATOR (TPC): N7511
RESOURCE SPONSOR: N6
TRAINING PROGRAM MANAGER: N7511

3190. Re: RRAP Intro to Markie Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 22:58:01 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206175801.01440.00000172@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 57480

>Subject: Re: RRAP Intro to Markie Morgan
>From: k@k.com
>Date: 12/6/02 2:46 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3df10c91.7661797@news.one-eleven.net>

>btw this the last post i will to this thread as i will ignore it in
>future and i will be not leaving valid emailadesses in my posts to
>save space in my email in box

Why, Markie? Do you think that because you don't put it there that no one remembers your "kb9rqz@yahoo.com" address...?!?!

>lie i never diend it i signed it kb9rqz i said i was me when asked
>changing isp's mean your email address changes most of the time.
>indeed in a few months i will likely be changing isp again when i
>move, is that going to make yoiu accuse me again of hiding?

You only acknowledged yor identity AFTER you erroneously added your callsign in the signature line. In that very post you stated you were NOT "the" Mark Morgan. You were busted by your own error.

>it is not for me to forgive but i will point out even you admit to
>presenting inaccurate data in your posts in other words lieing, why
>should you be eblieved when you alege other things, many people wil
>take that as i did furman on the n word in th oj case once i knew he
>was lieing once i took nothing he sai under oath seriously

Why does someone need to be "under oath" to be telling the truth? The truth is truth regardless of any "oaths" to verify it. Does an "oath" make a lie the truth?

>i know it hard for you to understand but somke people follow religions
>other than yours and that you, alegedly, swore an oath to uphold that
>right marine, or rather aleeged marine

Markie, when was the last time I tried to physically restrain you from practicing your "faith"? When was the last time I denied you access to articles of faith, be they written or for ceremonial purposes?

And what is an "aleeged marine"...?!?! Maybe you meant "algeed Marine", as in a Marine who stumbled while disembarking from a landing craft and fell in algee? You certainly can't mean "alleged Marine", as you have the same access to the same agencies that disproved your "service" that can verify mine.

>it is legal to lie. imo it is also moral to lie at time. indeed it
>shows a strengh of charater to lie when called for

"Strengh of charater" to lie...?!?! Whoohoo!

>said i can
>lie for sefldefence futher i state now i may well if i see fit if i
>feel it makes me safer

Then considering the amount of mistruths you have generated in this forum alone, you should feel like you are back in the womb.

>i have lied and i will it is safer that way since you don't know
>exactly what i am or where i am caught never deined it once i was home
>and better able to defend my household. indeed if you had carried
>though with threat it wasn't even me you would have shoot but my dad

First of all, Markie, you were never threatened by me, nor were you in any danger from me, other than lawful civil suit.

Secondly, if I WANTED to harm you, I surely wouldn't announce it or partake in any drama in a public forum before carrying it out.

And lastly, the Marine Corps taught me enough about identifying the correct target that your father would have never been in any danger had I wanted to hurt you. I know what you look like, and you foolishly leave a plethora of clues as to your residence, whereabouts and movements as to make it easy for anyone to target you. That's YOUR mistake, Mark, not any effort on MY part!

>i can take anyaction
>in defense of such a threat if depnds me, just i can't walk up an
>shoot you but if you fire a 22 at me, even if you deliberatly miss, i
>can reply with a 306 rifle and kill if i feel threatened

If I "deliberatly" miss...?!?! Why in the world would I do that?

And I seriously doubt that you could get the correct end of the weapon aimed in the correct direction to effect an effective defense.

>not ture Stevie
> bedsides a col or ltc is a feild grade officer not company grade,

Oooops! I let Markie get one right! 2LT through Captain is Company Grade. My bust!

>sorry stevei but people can change thier names if they like

Not if it's for the purpose of evading justice which your child support claim would seem to make you. (Yes, Markie, you HAVE claimed you were avoiding your ex for child support)

>>>never said anything bout schild support, although she would like to
>>>find for simple violoence posiiblly i chose the safer route
>>
>> Oh really, Markie, please don't start making MORE assertions that are
>so
>>easily refuted by a person who decides to look your past NG misconduct up in
>>Google.
>

>look it never said child support

Yes..it did.

>>Yes, Markie, if a bi, gay or lesbian person verbalizes their sexual
>>preferences or activities, it DOES affect their ability to serve in the
>Armed
>>Forces. Had you ever REALLY been in the Armed Forces, you'd know this.
>
>you keep geting it half right activities are different from
>preferences and where and when you said it. indeed i can also lie
>about such interests a solder can walk into a gay bar if he or she
>chooses check your facts

My facts are fine, Markie. YOU keep forgetting that I spent a part of my life with REAL veterans...I am quite aware of the difference between one's "interest" in particular behaviour, "participation" in that behaviour and "avowing" preference in that lifestyle.

No...it is not illegal for a service person to walk into an establishment frequented by or catering to persons who are gay. It IS illegal for them to enter that facility and then engage in same sex dating.

>>Let's see...you LIE about being an officer in the Armed Forces, and
>THAT
>>is supposed to deter someone from trying to kill you.?!?!?!?
>
>it shut you up for 3-4 days as i recall whcih as i recall gave me time
>to get home from the trip i was on

Suuuuuuure it did, Markie! Suuuuuuure it did!

Thanks for the laughs...again!

Steve, K4YZ

3191. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was:Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 23:14:57 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DF12F71.8080303@mailcity.net>
Source record: 57499

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

>
> Gee, Kim, d'ya think? And if so, what do you intend to do about it? Would
> you actually do the right thing and change your call, or are you going to
> continue to take the ARS "...one step closer to extinction" ? As I've stated
> before, I believe you have it in you to do the right thing.

I doubt that kim's callsign will take the ARS any closer to
extinction, it is just that some people have no sense of good
taste and what is appropriate and what isn't.

3192. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 23:17:16 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206181716.01440.00000174@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 57481

>Subject: Re: How Many Hams?
>From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
>Date: 12/6/02 2:57 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3df10d67.7876305@news.one-eleven.net>

>>You still don't get it, do you?
>
>i get it you have no answer to my points you attack me personaly it
>obvious and i belive helpful to the nocode side, so i help you do it
>by taking the path of leat resistance

(Nope...he DOESN'T get it....)

What points, Markie? You haven't made any, save to say that Morse Code testing and use are killing Amateur Radio.

That, however, is unfounded as Amateur Radio continues to thrive.

>>Nothing is "out of context", Mark.
>
>yes it is when you crop out what subect and everything that froms the
>context it is out of context

If I need to "requote" something more than one or two posts back, then YOU are the one with the problem, Markie. I followed it fine. What's YOUR problem?

>>I don't think YOU understand what you wrote!
>
>then you are an idoit. i know what i wrote. even IF and i say IF no
>one esle does

Again with the "idoit" insult by somone to idiotic to spell it right.

>> Regardless of WHOSE English it is, Markie, you are incompetent in it's
>>use.
>
>prehaps perhaps i just don't see this as impotant enough to bother
>with

I wouldn't expect a functionally illiterate person to be concerned with the proper use of English, ergo...NO surprise.

>>I am not "harrassing" you "off" the NG, Mark. I have not CC'ed anything
>to
>>you that was not in tis forum too.
>
>that is the harrasment you idot it then takes up space in my inbox

>that is a service denieal attack

Mark, a FREE Yahoo mailbox allows you 6 MEGAbytes of box space. I seriously doubt that these e mails are sufficient to get Yahoo to block any other e mail coming to you.

No one has denied you anything. YOU deny yourself the opportunity to be taken seriously by not taking the time and effort to use a spellchecker and by expressing yourself honestly.

>>Markie, why don't you spend an hour hanging outside an elementary
>school
>>and get a third grader to explain to you what's so funny about that
>>sentence...?!?!
>
>why waste the time btw it is funny to me but humor is a very personal
>thing

It's funny to you that you need a thrid-grader to read to you?

>>>nope you could ignore me as you have threatened from time to time
>>
>>And miss all the fun...?!?!
>
>then you clearing are not being harmed by it if you think it is fun so
>you were lieng when you said i was harrasing you by posting it, and so

Huh?

>> He said EXACTLY "what he mean't". YOUR lack of comprehension defeated
>it's
>>meaning to YOU. Jim made the "mistake" of assuming that you were
>sufficiently
>>knowledgeable to understand it.
>>
>no he was lazy like most of the procode crowd he does not see
>the need to spell such thing out, neither have you spelled it out
>either lazy bum

LAZY BUM..?!?! HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! ! !

From the creep who won't take the time to proofread his own posts!

And WHAT good would it do to "spell it out", Markie??? You ahv clearly demonstrated your ignorance in comprehension. OUR time would be wasted!

>>>really? Sevie how would you know when you can't according to you read
>>>my posts
>>
>>Geeze, Markie, you can't even abuse my name correctly! Whew!
>
>why should you don't use mine right either

I spelled "Markie" wrong? I don't think so! However YOU misspelled "Stevie" by spelling it "Sevie". Nice shot.

>gee very few of my post go wanting for comment i can rememebr 4 in the >last few years and those as i recall i ended up saying about the same >thing as either carl or bil sohl

Proper names are supposed to be capitalized, Markie.

And I should have more fully delineated that as >meaningful< comment. My bust.

Steve, K4YZ

3193. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 23:27:02 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206182702.03466.00000002@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 57482

In article <3DF117E1.E308D261@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>> Master Chief Radioman, US Navy
>
> Ummmm. Hans, the big problem you face with all that lengthy roll is simply
>that there no longer exists any such thing as a Navy radio operator......Do
>you thus feel any more like a dinosaur?

Nonsense, dickiebird. That title was renamed to fit the times.
The United States Navy STILL has many skilled radio operators
but you've forgotten that "operating a radio" NO LONGER
REQUIRES being skilled in morse code sending-receiving.
The radios are still there and the skilled operators are still
there...they just don't use or need morse code skills.

Please tell us your amazing stories in operating US Army
radios when you were in...did you "work behind enemy lines
tapping out intel info by morse?" Just what DID you do in
the US Army?

3194. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 23:27:02 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206182702.03466.00000001@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 57483

In article <d22b54c3.0212061420.5d278174@posting.google.com>, Googie@dvol.com (Elbow Macaroni) writes:

>> Leonard H. Anderson
>> Army Serial Number RA16408336 (1952-1960)
>> MOS 281.6, somewhat equivalent to today's MOS 31P
>
>Read that as "MOSS 281.6. Widget, Rear, Repeater Babysitter", 1 ea.

Poor baby...all upset at something, are you? :-)

The civilian General Electric radio relay set (dubbed AN/FRC-23) was six racks of seven foot height and used about 360 tubes to carry 24 voice channels. As a maintenance and operations supervisor (the ".6" in the old MOS) I had charge of 9 of them. Four terminals were the only link to the transmitter site at Camp Tomlinson in Kashiwa. No landlines to there in '55-'56.

Prior to that I was a team leader-NCO at the ADA transmitter site where there were 43 HF transmitters ranging from 1 to 40 KW output. "Rear area?" I suppose...the truce period of the Korean War went into effect in July, 1953...and it's still in force, the Korean War NEVER settled to this day.

In the 1950s only one in seven US Army soldiers were ever "in the front lines" in the world. The Vietnam War rather proved that ANYONE in a "rear area" could be involved in combat.

How did you make out "in-country," ace? Oh, that's RIGHT, you never served...I'm so sorry. You only want to shoot at veterans of the US military. Today. Make you feel good and patriotic to do that?

How many HF transmitters were YOU ever responsible for, military, civilian, or otherwise? Answer quick..."the world wonders." :-)

3195. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 23:27:12 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206182712.01440.00000175@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 57484

>Subject: Re: How Many Hams?
>From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
>Date: 12/6/02 3:09 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3df110fb.8791992@news.one-eleven.net>

>you just can't read can you? i get food for thought indeed even you
>sometimes refine my thought proceses

Whew! Now THAT is scary!

>YEESSSS, you got it i don't fell compelled and WILL NEVER FEEL
>complelled to meet the standards of others

Then you will always be an underachiever and an outsider, Markie.

Second place in everything you dare by your own hand. THAT is tragic.

>> The only time you have "PO'ed" me was to claim the rank and title of a
>>commisioned officer. You have absolutely NO idea how offensive it is to a
>>veteran to have some puny little nobody try to elevate themselves or gain
>some
>>advantage by unlawfully and inappropriately hiding behind something you
>neither
>>earned or were awarded by competent authority. Especially when the claim is
>so
>>easily and readily refuted.
>
>a) you have never refuted it
>b) it was disgusting that you would dishoonor the USMC by threatening
>to kill a perosn you swore to defend
>

>>I<< never refuted WHAT..??? The CONTEXT of the statement, Markie, was that YOU made claims of being a commissioned oficer in the Armed Forces. THAT was easily refuted by a 30 minute phone call to Waashington, D.C. You lied, pure and simple.

Furthermore, you were never threatened by me, ergo I could not "dishoonor" any oath I took while in the Marine Corps. And in any case, I was relieved of that oath when I rejoined the civilian community. The only on-going "oath" I have from the Armed Forces were related to written secrecy caveats that specifically bind me, legally, until 2030.

>thank you i do think you migh be tryiong to be gracious there but i
>honestly have my doubts

How can you have doubts when you haven't got a clue as to what was said?

Steve, K4YZ

3196. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 23:28:42 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212061528.64add424@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57485

Hey Steve, you're killfile seems to be malfunctioning.

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021206145050.02780.00000121@mb-cq.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"
> >From: kb9rqz@yahoo.cm
> >Date: 12/6/02 1:07 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <3df0f486.1507227@news.one-eleven.net>
>
> >dreck yes too ignorant to knwo that one a synomyn is garbage or crap
> >or shit or..
>
> Profanity is the crutch of the weak and simple mind, Markie.
>
> >>>right ve's are are ve's you idoit
> >>
> >> Oh...now I'm an "idoit".
> >
> >no you have been a long time
>
> You don't get it, do you? (trying to contain the smirking!)
>
> >i note you ignore the poitn which is typical, VE"s and only VE's
> >monitor the sessions therefore the original point was correct you just
> >felt a need to attack me. I can take that would rather not though
>
> Not "only" VE's monitor these sessions, Markie. If you were anything
> other than a Technician and therefore eligible to be a VE, you MIGHT know.
>
> And I have not "attacked" you...made fun of you, humiliated you, teased
> you...Yes. But YOU provide the material, and you provide it in reams! Thanks!
>
> >> WILL YOU PLEASE LEARN HOW TO READ, SPEAK AND SPELL ENGLISH,
> >>MARK...?!?!?!?!?!?!
> >
> >now you know how i speak when you have never spoken to me. and when
> >you continue to harrass in my private email as well as here.
>
> I am not harassing you, Mark. There is nothing in your e mail that is not
> here. I select the courtesy copy feature of AOL so as to be sure that you have
> the opportunity to read these thouroughly. I realize it takes a person of your
> limited skills a while to assimilate the message, and every chance I can give
> you to fully comprehend the message is a courtesy to you.
>

> Please take advantage of it.
>
> Stee, K4YZ

3197. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 23:32:42 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206183242.01440.00000176@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 57486

>Subject: Re: 34 Years Ago Today
>From: "Kï¿½HB" kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG
>Date: 12/6/02 4:55 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <MV9I9.721$G4.90103@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

>: Ummmm. Hans, the big problem you face with all that lengthy roll is
>simply
>: that there no longer exists any such thing as a Navy radio operator:
>
>If the Navy has no radio operators, you'd kinda wonder why they have an
>82-week training course for radio operators?

Sam-antics! (*)

There ARE "radio operators".

There are no more new "Radiomen", as in Naval Rating "RM" being awarded.

73

The Gunny, K4YZ

(*) "Sam-antics", as in the silly word games my daughter Samantha would
play....

3198. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 23:33:48 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212061533.34806bef@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57487

Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<3DF0AA59.5020708@psu.edu>...
> Dick Carroll wrote:
> >
> > Brian wrote:
>
>
> >>>
> >>>If the FCC had enough people to monitor VE sessions, then we wouldn't need VEs.
> >>>
> >>>So now I'm curious Steve, who is monitoring the VEs at an exam session?
> >>
> >>Wow! Silence from Steve.
> >
> >
> > As a longtime VE I will be glad to answer that. VE's monitor each other, and for
certain the VEC whom they
> > send all the paperwork to monitors each and everything they did. You would probably be
very surprised what the
> > VEC can learn about the test session in general and the handling of each applicant in
particular by
> > conducting his review of the exam session, which he does EVERY TIME.
> > Does all that guarantee there will never be a problem? No, nor did testing by the FCC.
But you may have
> > noticed that most apparent problems with tests by VE's are reported to the FCC by the
VEC himself.
>
> Go back Dick! It's a trap!

No trap, just the reality of the situation.

> Let's say some entity is monitoring the VE's to be sure they are
> complying. Then who monitors the monitors. And who monitors the monitor
> monitors. On and on.

I knew the answer. I just needed an expert to say it so that it could
become "known by all." Had I said it, it would have been wrong out of
hand.

3199. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 23:35:28 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212061535.2c061d53@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57488

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DF0BCC8.477FCD49@townsqr.com>...
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> > Dick Carroll wrote:
> > >
> > > Brian wrote:
>
> > >>>
> > >>>If the FCC had enough people to monitor VE sessions, then we wouldn't need VEs.
> > >>>
> > >>>So now I'm curious Steve, who is monitoring the VEs at an exam session?
> > >>
> > >>Wow! Silence from Steve.
> > >
> > >
> > > As a longtime VE I will be glad to answer that. VE's monitor each other, and for certain the VEC whom they
> > > send all the paperwork to monitors each and everything they did. You would probably be very surprised what the
> > > VEC can learn about the test session in general and the handling of each applicant in particular by
> > > conducting his review of the exam session, which he does EVERY TIME.
> > > Does all that guarantee there will never be a problem? No, nor did testing by the FCC. But you may have
> > > noticed that most apparent problems with tests by VE's are reported to the FCC by the VEC himself.
> >
> > Go back Dick! It's a trap!
>
> Yeh, but only if you don't know that in advance.
>
> >
> >
> > Let's say some entity is monitoring the VE's to be sure they are
> > complying. Then who monitors the monitors. And who monitors the monitor
> > monitors. On and on.
>
> The point entirely. Poor Brian gotta have something to whine about.

In other words, there is no monitoring ocurring at the VE session.

C'mon, you can say it.

3200. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 23:40:10 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212061540.549b8175@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57489

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20021205151734.17878.00000871@mb-cv.aol.com>...
> In article <c2356669.0212050927.7f29f238@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
> (N2EY) writes:
>
> >wa2si@arrl.net (Bert Craig) wrote in message
> >news:<b7d1465c.0212050313.1cc57fee@posting.google.com>...
> >
> >> CORRECTION: The author's name is Lynne Barasch and here is a more
> descriptive site.
> >>
> >> http://www.eduplace.com/readingscene/grade3-4/
> >
> >WAY COOL!!!
> >
> >The site says it's a TRUE story, not fiction, and that the young ham
> >was 10 years old. The rescue happened in 1923. Should be pretty easy
> >to verify from historical accounts of the day.
> >
> >73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> >imagine somebody telling Sarnoff or Armstrong they couldn't be on the
> >air until age 14....
>
> Ed Armstrong was a major in the US Army Signal Corps in
> 1918, stationed in Paris, when he filed for the patent on the
> superheterodyne. Hardly a "fourteen-year-old!" :-)
>
> Perhaps he needed (as Heil and Carroll claim/imply) that he had
> to have "permission from the ARRL DX bureau" in WRITING to
> "get on the air?" :-) :-) :-) :-)
>
> The Sarnoff kid was a junior morse operator at some NYC
> radio comm station owned by OTHERS when he worked some
> Titanic rescue emergency traffic in 1912. He would eventually
> grow up and head up the new RCA Corporation forged out of a
> GE division...and be the subject of hatred and thievery on radio
> patents allegedly stolen from Armstrong and others according
> to someone's past posting in here. :-)
>
> So...how come Elecraft doesn't show a Miccolis as the designer
> of the K2? ["I only operate ham gear that I DESIGNED and built
> myself"- Mr. N2EY]

Len, just stop it with the facts. They're not going to get you anywhere.

Go learn the Morse (/Farnsworth) Code, pick up ice cubes with your buttock cheeks, and when whacked with a Titanic Lifeboat Oar, say, "Thank you, Sir. May I have another."

Or something like that

3201. Re: 90 Years Ago This Month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 23:50:21 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212061550.1c84a684@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57490

"KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message news:<uv1o8bphc2esd0@corp.supernews.com>...
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3DF0B42F.EF1B1586@townsqr.com...
> >
> > Brian wrote:
> >
> > > N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
> news:<c2356669.0212030936.231c0805@posting.google.com>...
> > > > It was 90 years ago this month, (December, 1912) that the Radio Act of
> > > > 1912
> > > > came into effect, regulating US domestic radio. This was the beginning
> > > > of government licensing of all radio transmitters in the USA.
> > >
> > > So the original radio amateurs were unlicensed? No Morse Code exams?
> >
> > Bingo! You finally got one right!
> >
> > They were self-tested. On the air.
>
> And got to choose their own callsigns to use!

Somehow it all sounds like CB radio.

Talk about the first vanity
> callsign program. In case anyone missed the link the first time someone
> posted it, here is another link to The Wayback Machine written by
> William Continelli, W2XOY
> http://www.qsl.net/ecara/wayback/main.html
>
> Darned interesting reading !!!

Welcome back Mark.

Brian/N0iMD

3202. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 23:50:30 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206185030.01467.00000156@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 57491

>Subject: Re: 34 Years Ago Today
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 12/6/02 5:27 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021206182702.03466.00000001@mb-fz.aol.com>

>In article <d22b54c3.0212061420.5d278174@posting.google.com>, Googie@dvol.com
>(Elbow Macaroni) writes:
>
>>> Leonard H. Anderson
>>> Army Serial Number RA16408336 (1952-1960)
>>> MOS 281.6, somewhat equivalent to today's MOS 31P
>>
>>Read that as "MOSS 281.6. Widget, Rear, Repeater Babysitter", 1 ea.
>
> Poor baby...all upset at something, are you? :-)
>
> The civilian General Electric radio relay set...(SNIP)

Uh oh...Someone gave Lennie another excuse to revisit the "There I was,
defending my Rear Area Widget Clerk's Desk" mode again...

>How many HF transmitters were YOU ever responsible for,
> military, civilian, or otherwise? Answer quick..."the world
> wonders."

This forum is for Amateur Radio, Lennie...NOT military...but YOU knew
that!

Steve, K4YZ

3203. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 23:53:51 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212061553.3065cd3d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57492

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3DF0C786.2C50CE8C@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DEF7FB6.67B3A813@earthlink.net>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DEEB2AB.2575CC92@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I'm not so old, and "No" to stepping in it. My statements are
> > > > > > factual. Yes, I passed the Extra exam. Yes, I will take it again.
> > > > > > Is there a problem with that?
> > > > > >
> > > > > The Extra exam which you took consisted of a) the written portion and b)
> > > > > the morse exam. To pass the exam, you'd have had to pass both of them.
> > > > > Since you did not, your claim is factual only under the Bill Clinton
> > > > > interpretation of "factual".
> >
> > > > Dave, I passed the written. Bruce says he could have passed Extra
> > > > when he was 4 years old.
> > >
> > > Fine. Then don't write that you "passed the Extra". You didn't.
> > >
> > > > Go correct Bruce unless you are a card carrying member of the PCTA
> > > > Double Standard.
> > >
> > > I've already stated here that I think Bruce is crude and a jerk. Do you
> > > want to be lumped with Bruce? That pretty well does away with your
> > > "double standard" accusation.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Though Bruce is all of that, you haven't addressed his claim that he
> > could have passed the Extra when he was 4 years old, which included a
> > 20WPM code exam that he couldn't pass at any age.
> >
> > Yet you don't let go of the fact that I didn't passed the 20wpm,
> > though I did pass the written as stated.
> >
> > That is the double standard I refer to.
>
> I'm not addressing "could haves" because,

wiggle wiggle smarmy smirk smug

if I did so, I'd have to
> address the fact that Bruce didn't pass the Extra at age four and that
> you didn't pass it at all. As of this moment, Bruce (as unpolished as
> he is) passed the Extra exam as evidenced by the FCC's own database.
> You haven't but you've stated a number of times that you "passed the
> Extra". I didn't "let go of the fact" because it is a fact.

Yes, I passed the Extra written exam.

3204. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-06 23:57:48 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206185748.26007.00000649@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 57493

>Yes, I passed the Extra written exam.
>
>

prove it

3205. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 00:03:04 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212061603.40c28ca8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57494

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DF0B656.AD56C5CC@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DEFF971.1C681075@townsqr.com>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DEF59A3.BA28143B@townsqr.com>...
> > > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DEEB2AB.2575CC92@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > I'm not so old, and "No" to stepping in it. My statements are
> > > > > > > > factual. Yes, I passed the Extra exam. Yes, I will take it again.
> > > > > > > > Is there a problem with that?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > The Extra exam which you took consisted of a) the written portion and b)
> > > > > > > the morse exam. To pass the exam, you'd have had to pass both of them.
> > > > > > > Since you did not, your claim is factual only under the Bill Clinton
> > > > > > > interpretation of "factual".
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Go correct Bruce unless you are a card carrying member of the PCTA
> > > > > > Double Standard.
> > > > >
> > > > > As opposed to your Somalia "permission" story and you, a card carrying member of
the NCI No
> > > > > Standard?
> > > >
> > > > Did you not correct me? Did I not say that if I had to do it over I
> > > > would have asked for a letter?
> > > >
> > > > None the less, I asked for permission. Permission was granted.
> > > >
> > > > Now what about Bruce? Isn't it time you corrected him?
> > >
> > > Yes, we know. And Bruce SAYS he.....
> >
> > You asked for paperwork. I have none. All I have is my "story."
>

> Hmmm, looks to me like that's exactly what Bruce has! You're saying we should just accept your story and no one else's. Got it.

Ahem, Mr VE Expert, are you saying that Bruce received no CSCE froom the VE's for passing Extra? Are you saying that the VE team didn't pass anything to the FCC?

And, of course, you believe the 1) on a bet, 2) without studying, 3) no lucky guessing, 4) without error, 5) in 8 minutes, 6) took $250 from two CB-Plussers, and now 7) could have done it at age 4.

No doubt about it, the PCTA Double Standard is going strong.

> > Since you're the only one who ventured forth as a VE expert in another
> > thread,
>
> You always have to twist others' statements to assert they said things they didn't say. Nowhere do I
> claim to be an expert on anything. I know you claim that, but can't seem to support it with anything
> but your "story". Don't attribute that to others.

OK Dick, your not an Expert. Your statements bear that out.

> > tell us if Volunteer Examiners maintain paperwork.
>
> I always maintained extensive paperwork files on everything I did as a VE. I don't see how one can
> properly do the job without it.

But you said above that Bruce was in the same boat I was - no paperwork. Which is it?

> > At least
> > Bruce has that (maybe).
> >
> > So when Bruce was 4 years old and there were 20wpm code exams required
> > for Extra, and Bruce cannot pass a 20wpm code exam at any age, how is
> > it that he can claim to be Extra at 4 without a code exam, but when I
> > passed the Extra written exam in 1994 or 1995, without the code,
> > everyone comes on here correcting me that I only passed "a part of the
> > exam."
> >
> > So which is it? Could he be an Extra in the golden days of inventive
> > licensing without passing a 20wpm code exam? (minus waivers long after
> > Bruce was 4)
> >
> > Good luck on this one.
>
> Good luck yourself. You're really concerned about Bruce's claims. I'm not, nor with yours.

Sure you are. I'm the reason you get up in the morning. You've made me your end-of-life project. Ask anybody who reads here.

3206. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 00:04:11 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212061604.4a6e56c4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57495

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021206104818.28883.00000285@mb-fe.aol.com>...
> > Good luck yourself. You're really concerned about Bruce's claims. I'm not,
> >nor with yours.
> >
>
> Hes just wishes he could be as good as me. Hes also mad that I can pass the
> EXTRA and he cant. Come on somebody petiton the FCC on Brians behalf, maybe
> somebody can get Brian a Waiver.

Dimbulb, there are no more waivers.

3207. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 00:07:38 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212061607.4db4c24c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57496

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DF0B6B6.118FB86E@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DEFF4E3.565DD372@townsqr.com>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DEC0D7E.A5232EA6@townsqr.com>...
> > > > > Carl Zager wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > I wonder whether HF provides enough interest in itself to
> > > > > > contribute to General and Extra numbers higher than what we are
> > > > > > seeing.
> > > > >
> > > > > You raise a valid point. Today's "easy DX" makes HF an entirely different animal
to
> > > > > beginning hams than it was not that many years ago. Satellites and the space
program, instant
> > > > > common worldwide communications via both telephone circuits and the Internet
naturally make
> > > > > it so. To lots of us it was an entirely different view when there was no such
easy and
> > > > > commonplace, routine long distance comms.
> > > >
> > > > So lets talk about the requirement for a mode that no longer impresses
> > > > most people, for which they could care less about once they learn it
> > > > simply for the government hurdle.
> > >
> > > You just won't shut up about your shortcomings, will you?
> >
> > You said that long distance communications are commonplace and no
> > longer impress folks looking at amateur radio from the outside. It
> > doesn't attract people the way it did in the golden days of inventive
> > licensing.
> >
> > Today, Morse code just makes it more so. What is wrong with saying
> > that?
>
> To most hams it's obvious. Ham radio is not for the masses, and never was.

Even more so hanging on to the Morse (really Farnsworth) examining requirement.

Why demand to be archaic?

3208. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 00:16:50 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <S5bl9.815$G4.101584@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57497

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

:
: There ARE "radio operators".
:

You know that, and I know that, but Dick doesn't seem to know it.

Sunuvagun!

73, de Hans, K0HB

3209. Re: Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 00:43:33 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uv2h1t5snqrp0f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57498

They change, I'm sure. I was a radioman in the US Navy in the late 60s so it might well have changed from the 50s. A civil service test I took about 8 years ago had the phonetic alphabets as I listed them (scored 100%, no veteran's points). Fortunately, I got back in with my old employer. Unfortunately, now my employer is shipping all manufacturing to China. Fortunately, not all jobs are going at once. Unfortunately, my plant is being closed next year. Fortunately, I am in a position to retire with health care, insurance, and pension. Unfortunately I'm getting dizzy with all of this ...
The reality of this (at least to me) is that if a lot of phonetic spelling is necessary (such as unfamiliar surnames, street names, etc), it would be better to use digital means to transmit the information.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> wrote in message
news:oE3I9.46114$hK4.4049484@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Jim Hampton, AA2QA, wrote:
>
> > Right. "adam, baker, charlie, david, edward, frank ..." was the ARRL
> > phonetic alphabet whilst the military used "alpha, bravo, charlie,
delta,
> > echo, foxtrot ...".
>
> Are you sure the military phonetic alphabet at
> the time (mid-fifties) wasn't:
>
> Able Baker Charlie Dog Easy Fox George How Item
> Jig King Love Mike Nan Oboe Peter Queen Roger
> Sugar Tare Uncle Victor William X-ray Yoke Zebra
>
> --
> Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb (at) arrl (dot) net
> Glendale, Arizona
>

3210. Re: RRAP Intro to Markie Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 01:33:36 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DF14FE0.42EA4F04@earthlink.net>
Source record: 57500

k@k.com wrote:
>
> On 06 Dec 2002 20:15:34 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote:

> you keep geting it half right activities are different from
> preferences and where and when you said it. indeed i can also lie
> about such interests a solder can walk into a gay bar if he or she
> chooses check your facts

I think I've heard that one: A Roll of Kester .032 60/40 walks into a
gay bar. Bartender says, "We don't get many solders in here"...

Dave K8MN

3211. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 01:51:50 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DF16276.A2DE7437@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57401

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:

> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote
>
> : Ummmm. Hans, the big problem you face with all that lengthy roll is
> simply
> : that there no longer exists any such thing as a Navy radio operator
>
> Well, Dick, you are just plain flat-assed wrong.
>
> Here's what the Chief of Naval Operations has to say on the topic. If there
> are no Navy radio operators, then what the hell is paragraph 5 all about?
>

Sure looks to me like they deal with "information systems", not radios.

Same type of thing happened where I spent a career. I hired on as a radio operator, and a part of the job was to actually tune up and service radio systems, as well as operate them in the normal routine of service to the system users. A First Class or Second Class Commercial Radio Operators license was as job requirement.

As time passed and the computer age integrated into the operation, the job changed to where the only radio done by the same category of people was to press a PTT and speak into a microphone. I guess you can call that operating radio if you want. MUCH more of the job deals with computers and their job related applications. Accordingly the job title also changed - most of those people are also known as Telecommunicators, and though a FCC GROL is considered desirable, few employees who hold that license have the skills to actually put it to use. There is a question pool for that test also, you know. Operations and engineering have separated. I doubt the New Navy situation will be substantially different

Dick

3212. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 01:55:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DF154FF.18A6307C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 57402

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: 34 Years Ago Today
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 12/6/02 5:27 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <20021206182702.03466.00000001@mb-fz.aol.com>
>
> >In article <d22b54c3.0212061420.5d278174@posting.google.com>, Googie@dvol.com
> >(Elbow Macaroni) writes:
> >
> >>> Leonard H. Anderson
> >>> Army Serial Number RA16408336 (1952-1960)
> >>> MOS 281.6, somewhat equivalent to today's MOS 31P
> >>
> >>Read that as "MOSS 281.6. Widget, Rear, Repeater Babysitter", 1 ea.
> >
> > Poor baby...all upset at something, are you? :-)
> >
> > The civilian General Electric radio relay set...(SNIP)
>
> Uh oh...Someone gave Lennie another excuse to revisit the "There I was,
> defending my Rear Area Widget Clerk's Desk" mode again...

I knew lots of guys like Len during my time in the Air Force. Usually
they spent their time leaning against one of the racks while
entertaining (with looooong, windy stories) those trying to get some
work done.

Dave K8MN

3213. Re: Why should I care????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 01:56:12 UTC
From: pkgojak <pkgojak@mydeja.com>
Message-ID: <3df1564a$1_10@news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 57404
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3DF02134.A9B4AFBB@townsqr.com...
>
>
> "Joe S." wrote:
>
> > "Artie DeCoe" <Artie@dcoe.net> wrote in message
> > news:VJKdncV59YuoQnKgXTWcpw@comcast.com...
> > > The "importance" of this event completely escapes me.
> > >
> > >
> > Because this event is of no importance to anyone save a few sixth
graders
> > masquerading as adults.
> >
>
> Even they gotta work at it.

I check in here once every 5 years...it's enough. Same old people hashing
the same old thing. -

FWIW, if Herb (KV4FZ) is back on the air, it's good news for those who enjoy
low band DX'ing. The guy's accomplishments on 160 are, by anybody's
standard, remarkable-- especially when you consider that he did it all from
the noisy Caribbean.

>

----------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

3214. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 02:00:02 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DF15602.B3FD7E84@earthlink.net>
Source record: 57403

```
Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DF0C786.2C50CE8C@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DEF7FB6.67B3A813@earthlink.net>...
> > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DEEB2AB.2575CC92@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I'm not so old, and "No" to stepping in it. My statements are
> > > > > > > factual. Yes, I passed the Extra exam. Yes, I will take it again.
> > > > > > > Is there a problem with that?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > The Extra exam which you took consisted of a) the written portion and b)
> > > > > > > the morse exam. To pass the exam, you'd have had to pass both of them.
> > > > > > > Since you did not, your claim is factual only under the Bill Clinton
> > > > > > > interpretation of "factual".
> > >
> > > > > > Dave, I passed the written. Bruce says he could have passed Extra
> > > > > > when he was 4 years old.
> > > > >
> > > > > Fine. Then don't write that you "passed the Extra". You didn't.
> > > > >
> > > > > > Go correct Bruce unless you are a card carrying member of the PCTA
> > > > > > Double Standard.
> > > > >
> > > > > I've already stated here that I think Bruce is crude and a jerk. Do you
> > > > > want to be lumped with Bruce? That pretty well does away with your
> > > > > "double standard" accusation.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > > Though Bruce is all of that, you haven't addressed his claim that he
> > > > could have passed the Extra when he was 4 years old, which included a
> > > > 20WPM code exam that he couldn't pass at any age.
> > > >
> > > > Yet you don't let go of the fact that I didn't passed the 20wpm,
> > > > though I did pass the written as stated.
> > > >
```

> > > That is the double standard I refer to.
> >
> > I'm not addressing "could haves" because,
>
> wiggle wiggle smarmy smirk smug

No wiggle. No "could haves". Could have doesn't cut it.

> > if I did so, I'd have to
> > address the fact that Bruce didn't pass the Extra at age four and that
> > you didn't pass it at all. As of this moment, Bruce (as unpolished as
> > he is) passed the Extra exam as evidenced by the FCC's own database.
> > You haven't but you've stated a number of times that you "passed the
> > Extra". I didn't "let go of the fact" because it is a fact.
>
> Yes, I passed the Extra written exam.

Whoopie. You didn't, as you told us, pass "the Extra". If you did,
you'd be one.

Dave K8MN

3215. NO CODE = NO HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 02:11:41 UTC
From: Real Hams <nocb@njnet.com>
Message-ID: <3DF1591A.4A7046EB@njnet.com>
Source record: 57405

```
NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.
Proven every day.
MOAN CBERS, MOAN.
```

3216. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 02:13:04 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DF16771.158FE260@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57406

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> >How many HF transmitters were YOU ever responsible for,
> > military, civilian, or otherwise? Answer quick..."the world
> > wonders."
>
> This forum is for Amateur Radio, Lennie...NOT military...but YOU knew
> that!

Waah, shucks, I ken answer that one EZ!
For the past continuous 46 years I've been responsible for a long list of "civilian" transmitters, all of which belonged to me and which I kept at my residence, and operated when I decided. As a sideline I also was "responsible" for quite a number of transmitters belonging to various governmental bodies and several broadcast stations of various styles, frequencies and power output levels, some as part of my everyday job, some as a contract engineer and others on an as-needed basis. Poor ol Putz, on the other hand, was left hanging when his military ETS rolled around. He had no more transmitters to babysit, much less operate.

No wonder he hangs out here, the sad result of a budding beginner's career in radio transmitting cut short.

3217. No code? NO HAM!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 02:15:13 UTC
From: Real Hams <nocb@njnet.com>
Message-ID: <3DF159EE.7AADA2C3@njnet.com>
Source record: 57407

NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.

Proven every day.
Mooooooooan CBers, moan.

3218. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 02:22:43 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212061822.46cd02cd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57408

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021206185748.26007.00000649@mb-fi.aol.com>...
> >Yes, I passed the Extra written exam.
>
> prove it

I have the CSCE.

Show me your $250. Name the two CB-Plussers. Have the 3+ VE's mail
me a copy of your exam and scribble sheets.

3219. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 02:23:54 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DF169FB.DCDEC46F@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57409

Brian wrote:

> But you said above that Bruce was in the same boat I was - no
> paperwork. Which is it?

You're obviously STILL cornfused, Confucius. Bruce's Extra shows up in the FCC database, lending credibility to his story, whatever
the details. Yours does not, and remains just as vaporous as your official permission for your alleged Somalia operation.
When it comes to credibility, RAP certainly isn't the only venue that values documentation. But you SHOULD have already known that.

3220. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 02:25:23 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DF16A55.2B291965@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57410

Brian wrote:

>
> Why demand to be archaic?

Why let anyone off without knowing the very most basic radiocommunications method?

3221. Re: 90 Years Ago This Month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 02:26:43 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212061826.116a5652@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57411

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20021206173132.21317.00001414@mb-cf.aol.com>...
> In article <3DF0B42F.EF1B1586@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
> writes:
>
> >> So the original radio amateurs were unlicensed? No Morse Code exams?
> > >
> > > Bingo! You finally got one right!
> > >
> > >They were self-tested. On the air.
>
> "Self-tested?!?" Did they get it in WRITING? :-)

Self-tested? WTF, Over?

Dick, Jim, and Dave need to go give Hiram's grave a good drenching for
operating w/o a license.

3222. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 02:29:09 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212061829.6d5e2773@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57412

That killfile just isn't working. Can you say "moth?" Can you say
"Coleman Lantern?"

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021206171407.01440.00000171@mb-cr.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"
> >From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
> >Date: 12/6/02 3:04 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <3df10f61.8382403@news.one-eleven.net>
>
> >>Oh, I understand your USE of profanity, Mark.
> >
> >then you prove me correct btw you have removed again the context of
> >the statment but i guess you can't mange to leave anything in context
>
> The comment was vis-a-vis your use of profanity. It was perfectly in
> context. Unlike you, I do not need to have...
>
> >>>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>>
> >>>>>>>
> >>>>>>
> >>>>>
> >>>>
> >>>
> >>
> >
> .....in order to rememeber what was being said by who. And if I DO need to
> research a comment by ANYone, I know how to do it.
>
> >then you should not be smirking i am aware of the spelling and
> >mistakes i make in an offhand forum
>
> "Offhand forum"...?!?! So, everything said by everyone else in this NG is
> "offhand" and without merit?
>
> And the smirking is not merely at your (poor) spelling. It's the context
> in which your comical misspellings occur. You don't seem to grasp your own
> foolheartiness.
>
> >you stated in material you removed from the exchange that only the
> >ve's are monitoring the sessions then you stated that others are one
> >of those statement is a clearly a lie, i don't know which, and i

> >hardly care
>
> I never said, nor did I acknowledge, that ONLY VE's are monitoring the
> test sessions. It is not a lie. And you could not care since you are
> incapable of knowing the difference.
>
> >who else moinitors the sessions, obviously as a mere tech i can't know
> >that answer,(according to stevie) you claim to know but will not
> >answer the question.
>
> Who else monitors the exams are the FCC and other VE's. I clearly stated
> that VE's, NOT a part of the team doing the testing, audit the test sessions.
>
> >>What is "binsiting"...?!?!
> >
> >inssiting
>
> WHAT is "inssiting"...?!?!
>
> >>What is "violtion"...?
> >
> >you know you idoit obviously violtion is a word i can't spell
>
> Neither is "idiot", which I have pointed out to you in several posts today
> and last night. Of course, had you used a SPELLCHECKER, it would have alerted
> you to the errors and deprived me of the opportunity to once again rub your
> nose in your stupidity.
>
> However your anxiousness to whip out a verbose retort overwhelmed your
> common sense, once again allowing me the opportunity to have fun at your
> expense.
>
> Thanks!
>
> >>See, Markie...you've got me smirking again!
> >
> >Am i remembering you claiming that SM is sick if that memory serves
> >then i will proceed to cal you sick by your own stanards, not by mine
> >of course but your own
>
> I assume you refer to "sado-masochism", Markie, which is the propensity of
> the afflicted to enjoy inflicting pain for the sake of experiencing the pain
> itself.
>
> "Smirking" is an attempt by the amused to prevent an overt laugh. Your
> ever-deepening fall into your own misdeeds is what amazes me. Laughter is
> hardly painful.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3223. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 02:33:15 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021206213315.15897.00000625@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 57413

In article <uv1qi2bf692pea@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>And, once again, I say that Riley has every right to believe and think as he
>does. However, I disagree with his opinion. That you agree with it, Larry,
>is no surprise--as you've always proven to be nothing but a follower. Ya
>know...the Queen was once the "authority" where our forefathers lived.
>Imagine if they'd let "authority" be the determining factor of their
>decisions...
>
>Kim W5TIT

Kim:

I don't agree with Riley (that's MISTER Hollingsworth to you!) because he's an authority -- I agree with him because he's saying exactly the same things I would be saying under similar circumstances. However, in this case, he IS an authority, and his words carry weight. You are a person who should NEVER disagree with authority, because of your proven track record of making wrong decisions.

BTW, our forefathers, in their disagreement with the Crown of England, came over and started their own country, where they could run things in a manner which was more to their own liking. Please feel free to leave the United States and do likewise. However, while you remain a U.S. citizen and a licensee in the U.S. Amateur Radio Service, I'll thank you not to continue to create a demeaning and unwholesome atmosphere in our family-oriented service with your selfish, provocative, suggestive Vanity call sign.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3224. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 02:33:43 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DF16C47.3A73898F@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57414

Dave Heil wrote:

> I knew lots of guys like Len during my time in the Air Force. Usually
> they spent their time leaning against one of the racks while
> entertaining (with looooong, windy stories) those trying to get some
> work done.

Can you imagine having to put up with such a verbose pugnacious horse's patootie each and every day? 'Nuff to cause a fella to apply for a transfer to ANYWHERE. At least here he's easy to PLONK.

3225. Re: Why should I care????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 02:40:29 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <asrn2b$n65$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57415
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

He probably cheaed on the score submittal. grin....just pulling ur chain.

BTW I heard him a couple of hours back....weak and puny

Dan/W4NTI
"pkgojak" <pkgojak@mydeja.com> wrote in message
news:3df1564a$1_10@news.newsgroups.com...
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3DF02134.A9B4AFBB@townsqr.com...
> >
> >
> > "Joe S." wrote:
> >
> > > "Artie DeCoe" <Artie@dcoe.net> wrote in message
> > > news:VJKdncV59YuoQnKgXTWcpw@comcast.com...
> > > > The "importance" of this event completely escapes me.
> > > >
> > > >
> > > Because this event is of no importance to anyone save a few sixth
> graders
> > > masquerading as adults.
> > >
> >
> > Even they gotta work at it.
>
>
> I check in here once every 5 years...it's enough. Same old people hashing
> the same old thing. -
>
> FWIW, if Herb (KV4FZ) is back on the air, it's good news for those who
enjoy
> low band DX'ing. The guy's accomplishments on 160 are, by anybody's
> standard, remarkable-- especially when you consider that he did it all
from
> the noisy Caribbean.
>
> >
>
>
>
>
> ------------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News
==----------

> http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
> -----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers
=-----

3226. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was:Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 02:45:54 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212061845.2e30ef7c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57416

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021206022639.00704.00002557@mb-ba.aol.com>...
> In article <uv0arve3eflta0@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>
> >And the Bureau and Riley both have a point. On the side of
> >Constitutionality, I'd err on the side of the Bureau. Though, I have heard
> >of some callsigns "they" will not issue. I'm not so sure about Riley's
> >statement that "you sure wouldn't see that on a license plate." I've never
> >applied for my callsign on a license plate--but I'll certainly y'all know
> >what happens if and when I do. It'd be my guess I'll post the picture of my
> >plates on a website. But, maybe Riley's right.
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
>
> Gee, Kim, d'ya think? And if so, what do you intend to do about it? Would
> you actually do the right thing and change your call, or are you going to
> continue to take the ARS "...one step closer to extinction" ? As I've stated
> before, I believe you have it in you to do the right thing.
>
> BTW, I checked qrz.com, and W3TIT apparently wasn't issued, or just
> hasn't showed up on the database yet. It will be interesting to see what
> happens.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

So, Larry, what was Riley's opinion of you wanting to sell off two
meters and 440 to the cordless phone people? Did he think your
rantings about "welfare mothers of color with their hands out" was a
proper representation of the Technician class licensees?

Then there was the "Knuckle dragging 4-lander with a Southern accent"
you so often referred to. I'm thinking Riley has a 4 area call.
Lemme check, K4ZDH. Yep. Is Riley a knuckle dragging 4-lander with a
Southern accent? Would you say it to his face? Would that bring
-you- one step closer to extinction?

Oh well, I'm probably kill-filed.

But before I go, do you recall what you said about your "favorite
Black on the bus?"

It's in the archives.

3227. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 03:37:09 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206223709.06308.00000820@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 57417

In article <bc5I9.339$G4.48562@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:

>"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>: Having a skilled operator dedicated to radio comms
>: is a weak point in military ops because if you lose the
>: op you lose the comms.
>
>Jim, you need to stick to topics where you have some knowledge.

That's good advice for anyone, Hans. But if you start giving that advice here every time it's needed, you won't have time to do much else!

>Obviously
>you don't understand military communications systems.

I don't claim to. What I DO understand is that the concept of a skilled radio operator manually sending and receiving radio messages while using manually-operated radio equipment is pretty much a thing of the past in most modern militaries.

>By the way, the term "Radioman" was changed to reflect the fact that Navy
>communicators are responsible for establishing, maintaining, and grooming
>the entire telecommunications information stream, not just the radio link.

Exactly my point. They are involved in the complete communications system, not just the radio part.
>
>: That's a big reason why Morse use by the military is almost completely
>gone.
>
>Morse by the military is completely gone because modern multithreat warfare
>can't be fought at 25WPM!

That's another big reason.

>Reactions must be instantaneous.

What have I written on the subject that isn't true, or disagrees with what you're saying?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3228. Re: 90 Years Ago This Month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 03:37:13 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206223713.06308.00000821@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 57418

In article <d22b54c3.0212051833.6fcaab8a@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>> > How da hell did he get 3ZS AFTER he croaked?? What kinda vanity
>> > callsigns program was THAT??
>>
>> HAW! Somebody got the joke!
>
>Had to read it three times to make sure it wasn't me.

Imagine how many read it and didn't see it.
>
>> I had a By Saam moment when I wrote that.
>
>Imagine Byrum and Yogi teaming up on the air after the games for the
>post-mortems.

"Hello By Saam, this is everybody"

"It ain't over till it's over"

etc.

>> He was 3ZS/W3ZS from the
>> first days of licensing to his death in 1936. He's in Laurel Hill,
>> now. He and Maxim died within days of each other, early in 1936.
>
>Hokay . .

>> Trivia question: Where is Maxim buried? Hint: It's not anywhere near
>> ARRL Hq.
>
>Now ya took it TOO far Miccolis!

Always good to toss in a nontrivial trivia question.
>
>> > > Imagine what US radio would have been like over the past 90 years if
>> > > all
>> > > receivers were licensed.
>> >
>> > Think pirate receivers.
>>
>> BBC used to send out mobile vans with directional antennas to detect
>> unlicensed TVs and radios. They'd listen for the local oscillators.
>> Quite effective, given the density of typical British housing. I think
>> that in some cases they'd impound the TV and charge a hefty fine. Like
>> what Philly is now doing to drivers without all the proper papers.

>
>The Brits have all kindsa wild tales abt beating the fees and the
>DFers. Shielding, all kinds of homebrewed rcvrs with really oddball
>IFs, illegal underground "purveyors" of the related goods, DF jammers
>up high on utility poles, etc.

Prolly spent more time and money evading the fee than it cost!
>
>The Soviets however got *really* nasty about clandestine rcvrs.

The Third Reich wasn't nice about 'em, either.
>
>> The practice was parodied in a Monty Python sketch where one of the
>> troupe attempts to get a license for his pet cat.
>
>Easy parody, the whole basic concept is Monty Python goofy.
>
'zactly. But the UK had no Charlie Stewart.

At least in the UK, the rx licenses wnet to support the BBC. Sorta like PBS
without the begathons.

Speaking of PBS, I note that it's one of the most (sneakily) conservative
broadcast services around.

73 de Jim, N2EY

"Live by the foma that make you brave and kind and healthy and happy" - Bokonon

3229. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 03:37:52 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DF16D10.6030206@mailcity.net>
Source record: 57436

WA8ULX wrote:
>>Dimbulb, there are no more waivers.
>>
>
>
> Bulbout, I know that, maybe if you go cry to the FCC they will give you one.

Says the Extra Lite.

3230. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 03:49:14 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206224914.26037.00000581@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 57419

>And, of course, you believe the 1) on a bet, 2) without studying, 3)
>no lucky guessing, 4) without error, 5) in 8 minutes, 6) took $250
>from two CB-Plussers, and now 7) could have done it at age 4.
>

And poor little Brians still upset he cant accomplish the same things I have
done.
Show us some Tears little Brian, maybe the Big Bad FCC will give you a Waiver.

3231. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 03:50:38 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206225038.26037.00000582@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 57420

>Dimbulb, there are no more waivers.
>

Bulbout, I know that, maybe if you go cry to the FCC they will give you one.

3232. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 03:52:23 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206225223.26037.00000583@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 57421

>Whoopie. You didn't, as you told us, pass "the Extra". If you did,
>you'd be one.
>
>Dave K8MN

And he cant pass it now, we believe you Brian, sure you passed it.

3233. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 04:15:59 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uv2tvlk37fm32c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57422

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021206213315.15897.00000625@mb-ch.aol.com...
> In article <uv1qi2bf692pea@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>
> >And, once again, I say that Riley has every right to believe and think as
he
> >does. However, I disagree with his opinion. That you agree with it,
Larry,
> >is no surprise--as you've always proven to be nothing but a follower. Ya
> >know...the Queen was once the "authority" where our forefathers lived.
> >Imagine if they'd let "authority" be the determining factor of their
> >decisions...
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
>
> Kim:
>
> I don't agree with Riley (that's MISTER Hollingsworth to you!)
>

No, sorry, it's Riley.

>
> because he's
> an authority -- I agree with him because he's saying exactly the same
things
> I would be saying under similar circumstances. However, in this case, he
> IS an authority, and his words carry weight.
>

With you, they obviously do. I think Riley's quite wrong about any
extinction for the ARS.

>
> You are a person who should
> NEVER disagree with authority, because of your proven track record of
making
> wrong decisions.
>

Your opinion. You are getting confused.

>
> BTW, our forefathers, in their disagreement with the Crown of England,
> came over and started their own country, where they could run things in

> a manner which was more to their own liking. Please feel free to leave
the
> United States and do likewise. However, while you remain a U.S. citizen
> and a licensee in the U.S. Amateur Radio Service, I'll thank you not to
> continue to create a demeaning and unwholesome atmosphere in our
> family-oriented service with your selfish, provocative, suggestive Vanity
> call sign.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Likewise to you, Larry, because I'm not going anywhere. I appreciate the fact that my forefathers gave me the right to choose whatever callsign I wish under the licensure system as administrated by the FCC. Talk to the FCC if you're so shook up--*THAT* is where your whining *might* do you some good.

Kim W5TIT

3234. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was:Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 04:17:56 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uv2u39ngti0i72@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57423

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0212061845.2e30ef7c@posting.google.com...
> yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021206022639.00704.00002557@mb-ba.aol.com>...
> > In article <uv0arve3eflta0@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
> > <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
> >
> > >And the Bureau and Riley both have a point. On the side of
> > >Constitutionality, I'd err on the side of the Bureau. Though, I have
heard
> > >of some callsigns "they" will not issue. I'm not so sure about Riley's
> > >statement that "you sure wouldn't see that on a license plate." I've
never
> > >applied for my callsign on a license plate--but I'll certainly y'all
know
> > >what happens if and when I do. It'd be my guess I'll post the picture
of my
> > >plates on a website. But, maybe Riley's right.
> > >
> > >Kim W5TIT
> >
> > Gee, Kim, d'ya think? And if so, what do you intend to do about it?
Would
> > you actually do the right thing and change your call, or are you going
to
> > continue to take the ARS "...one step closer to extinction" ? As I've
stated
> > before, I believe you have it in you to do the right thing.
> >
> > BTW, I checked qrz.com, and W3TIT apparently wasn't issued, or just
> > hasn't showed up on the database yet. It will be interesting to see
what
> > happens.
> >
> > 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
> So, Larry, what was Riley's opinion of you wanting to sell off two
> meters and 440 to the cordless phone people? Did he think your
> rantings about "welfare mothers of color with their hands out" was a
> proper representation of the Technician class licensees?
>
> Then there was the "Knuckle dragging 4-lander with a Southern accent"
> you so often referred to. I'm thinking Riley has a 4 area call.
> Lemme check, K4ZDH. Yep. Is Riley a knuckle dragging 4-lander with a

> Southern accent? Would you say it to his face? Would that bring
> -you- one step closer to extinction?
>
> Oh well, I'm probably kill-filed.
>
> But before I go, do you recall what you said about your "favorite
> Black on the bus?"
>
> It's in the archives.

Now, now, Brian. We all know what Larry does and says is quite different from what he wishes other people to say and do...ROFLMAO...

Kim W5TIT

3235. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 04:38:42 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206233842.04125.00000040@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 57428

In article <aQOE9.26057$vM1.1992715@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> writes:

>Jim, N2EY, replied:
>
>> It all fits. The early articles point out that the FCC was disappointed by
>how
>> few hams had gotten Extras in the 1953-1963 period.
>
>> But what still remains is the question about why the FCC did the Great
>Giveaway
>> of December 1952 in the first place. Which set the stage for 1963.
>
>More speculation on my part:
>
>Dewey beat Truman in '48......
>
>Oh, wait, he didn't either, but the postwar boom was in
>full swing in '52 and the whole country was becoming more
>conservative, pro-business, anti-communist and, perhaps,
>a little less tolerant of arbitrary government regulation.

Possibly. But the change took place before Ike was inaugurated in January of
53.

>The years during and following WWII saw radiotelephone come
>into technological maturity (as did SSB a decade later, as
>Bill Sohl pointed out) and one no longer had to be a
>"radio genius" to put a 'phone rig on the air on any amateur
>band.

That was true in the late '30s. And it still took quite a bit of knowledge to
put a 'phone rig with a good quality signal on the air in 1952.

>It was at least technically possible to expand the
>privileges. And ham radio had shown itself to be a "Good
>Thing" during WWII and so, perhaps, deserving of government-
>policy encouragement and growth.

Part of that Good Thing, however, was that hams knew code and theory already,
and needed less training than raw recruits.

I think the biggest driving force behind the FCC's sudden change of heart was
the desire to get hams using and working on SSB. The original 1951
restructuring would have reduced the flow of new hams to HF 'phone, because it
would have required post-Jan 1 1953 hams to get Extras in order to use HF phone

on the bands between 2 and 25 MHz. Less crowding, fewer 'phone hams, less need for SSB and fewer hams working on it.

Open up those bands to Generals and Conditionals, and there would be enough crowding to push SSB use by hams.

>
>> I have previously speculated that "Sputnik fever" may have
>> had something to do with it, as well. In those early years
>> of the "space race" (1957-1965 or so) it seemed like the
>> Soviets were always there first.
>
>That was the undeniable impetus behind much of the US govt.
>policy-making in that era. It seems to me that it dovetails
>(to as certain extent) with my Newton Minow theory. Behind
>both is the assumption that technical advancement and
>technical competence and be legislated "top-down".

Exactly.

>
>Ham radio seems to be somewhat like the U.S. Supreme Court.
>It reads the election results... but ten years after they
>happen.
>
If it happens at all.

--

So let's be proactive. Suppose S25.5 goes away next year, and FCC decides to dump Element 1. What else should FCC change in the ham license structure?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3236. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 04:38:43 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206233843.04125.00000042@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 57426

In article <d22b54c3.0212060156.470587a6@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>There are huge variations in applying 'puters to ham radio. On one
>hand there are those who simply yak the machines in which case they
>usually could care less about getting a computer involved. The other
>side of the coin are the dx contesters who are *required* to submit
>their logs as computer files.

ARRL still accepts paper logs for all contests. Just sent my SS logs in a few days ago.

>> In my own case, I gotta have it, because I run mostly psk31.
>
>I gotta try that. Problem is that I'm a s-l-o-w typist . . can ya
>copy/paste? Heh.

Yep.

>> >>Beyond the box chasers solid state knobless amps have application for
>> >>the lazy & inept, I suspect that's a bigger market driver than
>> >>high-speed spots-pouncing.

>> > Could be.
>>
>> I can hardly wait until all radios only have a volume control, a
>> frequency readout and a PTT switch. Won't that be fun! 8^)
>
>That's when I'd let my ticket lapse and not look back.

Won't happen anytime soon, considering what happened to Kachina and Pegasus.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3237. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 04:38:43 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206233843.04125.00000041@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 57427

In article <ig3F9.27173$vM1.2089856@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> writes:

>I originally posted:
>
>>> ..... The latter allowed cheap, reproducible SSB
>>> transceivers to be manufactured (in Japan) and to become
>>> the dominant HF ham rig by the mid-60's.
>
>Dave, K8MN, replied:
>
>> Actually, Japanese rigs did not begin to dominate the market until well
>> into the seventies. The mid-sixties rigs by Drake, Swan, Hallicrafters,
>> National, WRL and Collins (not in order of popularity) were the kings of
>> the hill.
>
>Yeah, I have to confess that my "historical research"
>consisted of shuffling through my personal memories of
>30 to 40 years ago - a process fraught with vagueness
>and inaccuracies. I currently have the somewhat foggy
>impression of being in a "Mom and Pop" ham store off
>the North end of the Boeing Field runway in Seattle
>and (a) overhearing a good deal of grouching about the
>newly instituted incentive licensing, (b) seeing a Yaesu
>transmitter/receiver pair for the first time and (c)
>buying an old Viking Valiant for $50. I make the year
>to be 1972. Then it fades out.....

I remember 1972....
>
>And, I definitely misstated that mid-60's, Japanese thing.

Off by about a decade. But they took over for a whole bunch of reasons.

>But I'll stick with my assertion about pre-packaged 9 MHz
>crystal filters being one of the enabling technologies
>for low-cost SSB transceivers.

Trouble is, the facts disprove it. None of the lowcost SSB transceivers used 9
MHz filters. And the real cheapies (Eico 753, HW12/22/32) used discrete-xtal
filters, not prepackaged ones.

But you've got the basic idea. Phasing really took off with the introduction of
packaged audio phasing networks like the B&W 2Q4/350 (there were others).
Filter took off with the development of lowcost xtal filters in the HF range.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3238. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 04:38:44 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206233844.04125.00000043@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 57424

In article <asde7u02h7r@enews4.newsguy.com>, tbryant@IHATESPAM.cannet.com (TLB) writes:

>While on the subject of Neil Diamond, did you know that he wrote "I'm a
>Believer,"

NOOOOO!!!

>which was made popular by The Monkees, and recenty re-recorded by
>Smash Mouth?

Excellent use of that song in the movie "Shrek".
>
>...of course, he wrote some dreck as well.

SOME?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3239. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 04:38:44 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206233844.04125.00000044@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 57429

In article <_ALH9.5799$hM3.651883@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:

>"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>: Were those things bad ideas because some folks
>: complain, even today?
>
>No, Jim, you have it backwards. Folks complain because they are bad ideas,
>not vice versa.

I'm afraid you have it sideways, Hans. Folks complain about bad ideas. They also complain about good ideas. They also praise bad ideas as well as good ideas.

The mere fact that some folks complain about something doesn;t mean it was a bad idea. Nor a good one.
>
>: Any human activity has a class structure of some kind.
>
>Now there's the kind of statement which stops you dead in your tracks, and
>after some consideration you say "This is indeed a dangerous man."

That's me, all right. Imagine such ideas! Things like "equality of rights does not mean equality of results" and "the Bill of Rights would have been accompanied by a Bill of Responsibilities, but the founders thought the responsibilities were so obvious that they didn't need to be elaborated".

The important question: Is a particular class structure good or bad?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3240. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 04:38:47 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206233847.04125.00000046@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 57425

In article <uuukcidjgvdtcc@corp.supernews.com>, " Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20021204224846.06308.00000765@mb-fm.aol.com...
>> In article <asiiau037e@enews3.newsguy.com>, tbryant@IHATESPAM.cannet.com
>(TLB)
>> writes:

>> >It's so pathically easy, that I remember a story in QST, prior to VE
>> >testing, in which a 12-year-old walked into an FCC field office with no
>> >license, and walked out an Extra.
>>
>> That must have been between 1976 and 1983. What's the problem with a 12
>> year old Extra, if he got the license honestly? I don't think he thought it
was
>> easy!

>I really am beginning to wonder if there isn't some bit of insecurity on
>behalf of folks who just don't want to believe kids can master the material
>enough to pass a test for amateur radio.

BINGO, Kim!

>> >There is, however, one thing that everyone is
>> >overlooking, and that is the fact that a license is only an entry level
>> >test.
>>
>> Many of us are not overlooking that at all. The test simply means the
>>folks who
>> passed it have satisfied FCC requirements, not that they are fully
>>qualified to
>> do everything the license allows. Just like a driver's test doesn't
>>usually
>> test a new driver at night, in snow/rain/fog/ice conditions, etc.

>Unless it's changed since my youngest son was in school, Texas doesn't even
>provide road tests any more. If the kid (or person) passes driving school
>(in my son's case, Driver's Educastion), they take a little piece of paper
>to the DOT Office and get their license. Seriously...

That's scary. Seriously scary.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3241. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 04:38:47 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021206233847.04125.00000045@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 57430

In article <3df0f031.398252@news.one-eleven.net>, kb9rqz@yahoo.com writes:

>On 6 Dec 2002 08:21:58 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote:
>
>>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote in message
>news:<3df01d6b.118959795@news.one-eleven.net>...
>>> On 5 Dec 2002 06:56:11 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote:
>snipp
>
>>> >> >Economic times were awful, two energy crises, Watergate, Vietnam's
>end, etc.
>>> >> >Yet the number of US hams soared!
>>> >> >
>>> >> >If IL was such a bummer, man, why did US hamdom grow so much faster
>after it
>>> >> >was enacted?
>>> >>
>>> >> That is a mystery and has nothingto do with the issue.
>>> >
>>> >It has EVERYTHING to do with the issue of growth or lack of growth in
>>> >amateur radio. The simple fact is that there was virtually no growth
>>> >in the US ARS ranks in the '60s, and lots of growth in the '50s and
>>> >'70s. Claiming that IL killed growth simply doesn't fit with the
>>> >facts. If anything, the growth similarities of the '50s and '70s
>>> >indicate that things like license test requirements and license
>>> >classes have little effect on growth, and that we should be looking
>>> >elsewhere for more important factors.
>>>
>>> one you are putting words in my mouth if not other nocoders.
>>
>>What words? The discussion is about growth and IL. Policy issues if I
>>ever saw some.
>
>growth is a policy issue certianly but growth is not the only policy
>issue

Never said it was the only policy issue. And you haven't answered my question.

Growth or the lack of it has been repeatedly cited as a reason to stop code
testing, abandon IL, and a host of other things.
>
>but you words said as still preserved above that SINCE IL caused
>growth (a statement i am neit adressing it must be good)

Where did I say IL caused growth? All I did was point out that the growth in the '70s (with IL) far exceeded the growth in the '60s (without IL). Also, the no-IL '50s had excellent growth.
>>
>>> IL has
>>> had bad efects on the ars, that it allowed growth for awhile only
>>> means it was not part of thing with some merit.
>>
>>IL had some bad effects and some good effects. Whether it was worth
>>all the trouble is a matter of opinion.
>
>which proves my point more or less which that wether or not IL caused
>growth is not the be all and end al of wether IL was good

Nor bad.
>
>>> indeed IL being a bummer has nothing to do with growth or lack of it
>>
>>Nonsense. If it caused growth, that's a good thing. If it hindered
>>growth, that's a bad thing.
>
>here you are plain wrong no other way of putting it.
>something could
>hinder growth of numbers

I have no idea what this is supposed to mean.
>>
>>> don't change the subject esp when you raise the subject
>>
>>Are you the moderator? Hans will soon ask for your badge number.
>
>never claimed to be a moderator why do you ask, is intlectual honesty
>too much to ask of you

You're telling me not to change the subject. Moderator's job. You're not the moderator.

>>> >> The current
>>> >> lingering effects are why it is was a bad idea. Today we have the
>>> >> classes conousness and still have folks complaining about how they
>>> >> were ripped off by it, amoug other things.
>>> >
>>> >Some hams who had Advanceds and Extras in 1953 felt they were ripped
>>> >off, too. Some hams feel they were ripped off by the 2000
>>> >restructuring. Were those things bad ideas because some folks
>>> >complain, even today?
>>>
>>> No since no ham was ripped off, the older group i frankly
>>> agree were ripped off and have/had a beef alothough yyou'd think
>>> they'd gotten over it by now.
>>
>>There are plenty of hams who feel they were ripped off. In 1952, 1968

>>and 2000. That's a simple fact.
>
>and their are many peopl amoug the insane, but no ham lost anything in
>2000 that is also a simple fact, in eairlier change many hams were
>ripped off.

Nobody was ripped off in the earlier changes. Ham licenses are a privilege, not
a right.

>>> in the event that their hams complaining in 40 years about 2000
>>> restructing i will be entirely willing to revist that issue
>>
>>Right.
>
>gald you agree
>>
>>> >Any human activity has a class structure of some kind. The best class
>>> >structures exist to help and support all of the individuals,
>>> >regardless of class. The idea that everyone should have equal rights
>>> >does not mean everyone is guaranteed equal results.
>>>
>>> really that was not the case at various points in the history of ?Ham
>>> radio,
>>
>>When was it not the case? Even during the 1953-1968 times we had
>>Novices, Techs, and Generals/Conditionals/Advanceds/Extras.
>
>when we not licensed at all back in the earliest parts of this
>century, as i understand thaere was uonly one type of license at
>first.

Before 1912, the rules were very different. There were also only a few thousand
transmitting stations in the whole world.

1912 brought mandatory licensing for all US radio stations, not just hams.
There were maybe 5000 US hams by the time of the 1917 shutdown.

Do you want to go back to the methods and/or standards of those days? You could
not pass any of the tests.
>
>>>frankly i thinkit be the case again
>>
>>Maybe.

I hope not, though.

>>> >Some folks seem to think that the ~15 years between "old" and "new"
>>> >incentive licensing were a sort of Golden Age of Universal Ham
>>> >Equality, but in fact it was not like that. 'Phone vs. CW, SSB vs. AM,
>>> >transceiver vs. separates, DX/contest vs. ragchew, Conditional vs.
>>> >General, Collins vs. Drake vs. everything else. Nothing new under the
>>> >sun in that area.

>>> >
>>> >> Have you ever said qualility over quainity?
>>> >
>>> >Nope.
>>> >
>>> >I may have written "quality over quantity", but google comes up blank
>>> >on it.

>>> sure i don't spell well but obviously you knew what was mean't
>>
>>One word: SPELLCHECKER. Every posting software I've encountered has
>>one. If you refuse to use it, don't be so thinskinned about an
>>occasional tweak over your multiple misspellings of common words.
>
>not tyhin skined but it was an is a cheapshot proving you lack
>anything of substance, something you also prove with your verbage

How? Your spelling is simply awful. Much of what you write is undecipherable.
You could fix it, but you won't, for some reason, choosing to put the burden of
your lack of ability on the reader.

>as to every posting software you expernce is not complete

So get a posting software that works.
>
>>> cheapshot
>>
>>What's a cheap shot is when someone repeatedly refuses to use a
>>spellchecker and burdens the reader with deciphering various
>>gobbledygook. It's an act of hostility towards the reader.
>
>bull since you clearly understood or did you if you understood then
>you were not burdened. now you claimed to be burdened,

>it iwas and remains a chapshot and hostile towards those les able than
>yourself, was in the opinion of some pro's an act of hostility

The only hostility is your refusal to use a spellchecker.
>
>>Hans may call me "spelling kop" and other foma, but the facts remain.
>>Refusing to use simple tools like a spellchecker when a person knows
>>they are a poor speller makes that person look foolish and
>>disrespectful of the reader. And it diverts attention from whatever
>>message the poor speller is trying to convey.
>
>i know of no software compatable and easy to use that alows for it i
>would nhave to use several different programs and go though quite a
>bit of hassle, it may well btw make the pster look follish to you, but
>that is a refelction of your smal mind

It's a reflection of your hostility.

>>Do you want to convey the same sort of image as 'ULX?
>>
>>> >Is quality over quantity a bad thing? Or would you prefer the world of
>>> >Harrison Bergeron?
>>
>>Well?
>
>can't answer the question i don't know who Harrison Bergeron is.

Look it up.

It's quite clear from other posts that you and 'ULX "don't take this seriously". Fine - if you don't take rrap seriosuly enough to figure out how to use a spellchecker, then I don't take you seriously enough to bother to respond to your posts.

N2EY

3242. Re: 90 Years Ago This Month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 05:03:19 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021207000319.02260.00000364@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 57432

In article <f45722ac.0212061826.116a5652@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>> >They were self-tested. On the air.
>>
>> "Self-tested?!?" Did they get it in WRITING? :-)
>
>Self-tested? WTF, Over?
>
>Dick, Jim, and Dave need to go give Hiram's grave a good drenching for
>operating w/o a license.

...they could at least sing kaddish to him...it would be
fitting at this time.

Or get his ashes into a 6H6 tube and give it to Kellie for
DSPing with 40 bits. :-)

3243. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 05:03:20 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021207000320.02260.00000365@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 57431

In article <f45722ac.0212061829.6d5e2773@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>That killfile just isn't working. Can you say "moth?" Can you say
>"Coleman Lantern?"

There isn't a Coleman Lantern bright enough to outshine
the fire in this terminal shadenfreud's eye balls.

:-)

3244. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 05:03:21 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021207000321.02260.00000366@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 57433

In article <f45722ac.0212061540.549b8175@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>> So...how come Elecraft doesn't show a Miccolis as the designer
>> of the K2? ["I only operate ham gear that I DESIGNED and built
>> myself"- Mr. N2EY]
>
>Len, just stop it with the facts. They're not going to get you
>anywhere.

I know that insofar as the regulars in this chatroom are
concerned. They like the cameraderie of fish stories among
the "higher classes" and emulating the standards and
practices of the 1930s in the 2000s.

>Go learn the Morse (/Farnsworth) Code, pick up ice cubes with your
>buttock cheeks, and when whacked with a Titanic Lifeboat Oar, say,
>"Thank you, Sir. May I have another."
>
>Or something like that

Heh heh heh...been there, done that a lonnnnnng time ago.

You can even see where I was billeted in the November 10,
2002 issue of the Pacific Stars and Stripes (Sunday edition).
That's on line at www.estripes.com. Use the search box on
the home page with key words "Hardy Barracks."

Didn't need mort's code then nor later. :-)

3245. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 05:03:22 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021207000322.02260.00000367@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 57434

In article <3DF16771.158FE260@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
>> >How many HF transmitters were YOU ever responsible for,
>> > military, civilian, or otherwise? Answer quick..."the world
>> > wonders."
>>
>> This forum is for Amateur Radio, Lennie...NOT military...but YOU knew
>> that!
>
> Waah, shucks, I ken answer that one EZ!
> For the past continuous 46 years I've been responsible for a long list of
>"civilian" transmitters, all of which belonged to me and which I kept at my
>residence, and operated when I decided.

None over 2 KW output legally...and no broadcasting of any
kind, legally...and only on HF bands which represent less
than 2 percent of the total spectrum allocated to US radio
amateurs.

>As a sideline I also was "responsible" for
>quite a number of transmitters belonging to various governmental bodies and
>several broadcast stations of various styles, frequencies and power output
>levels,
>some as part of my everyday job, some as a contract engineer and others on an
>as-needed basis.

"Various governmental bodies" = State of Missouri.

>Poor ol Putz, on the other hand, was left hanging when his
>military ETS rolled around. He had no more transmitters to babysit, much less
>operate.

What is dickiebird twittering about now? "ETS?" "No more
transmitters?!?" I'm on file with WBEL (5 KW) as working
there in 1956, also WREX-TV (5 KW visual transmitter) the
same year. Two others also, but only 1 KW and 500 Watts
each...all broadcasting...except the VHF remote Tx at WRRR
converted from a military unit (FCC inspected and certified).

> No wonder he hangs out here, the sad result of a budding beginner's career
>in radio transmitting cut short.

Dickiebird twittering off-key again. I wasn't looking to be
forever in broadcasting 46 years ago, just trying to get some

money back then. Got the money, later got a lot more from real, live engineering in radio and electronics from EOS, a division of Xerox, RCA Corporation (maybe you've heard of them once?), Hughes Aircraft Company (they made electronics, Hughes Tool Company made aircraft...helicopters), Teledyne Electronics, and Rockwell International.

Ever communicate with a station ON the moon, dickiebird? I have. Legally. You can't. All you can do is read about how amateurs use the moon as a reflector. Distance to the moon is a quarter million miles, longer than the longest terrestrial DX path. Ever get above the 1200 MHz band, dickie? I've designed, tested, and used microwave equipment well over that, all the way up to 18 GHz. Ever work below MF, dickie? I've done that in design and test. Ever make a 3 KW coaxial oscillator at 500 MHz for some sensitive project? What PLMRS radio bands did you "contract out" to "be responsible for?" I've been co-owner of such a radio. Ever operate VHF, transpond or DME at L-band, get VOR on VHF all at the same time while IN the cockpit of an aircraft in flight?

You've done more than that by keying morse following standards and practices of the 1930s and thus "pioneering HF" by working DX only on HF in the 1980s? Wow, real technical wizard, Mr. EZ.

Read up on all those 60m "pioneers" and their recent "break-throughs," Mr. EZ, you might learn something about raddio and propagation that wasn't pre-canned by the VEC QPC for tests that you once proctored.

Wasn't your REAL Army MOS equivalent to today's "eleven-charlie?"

3246. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 05:17:55 UTC
From: me <me@here.com>
Message-ID: <ass0iu$o51$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57435

Next Troll go ahead....

"Real Hams" <nocb@njnet.com> wrote in message
news:3DF1591A.4A7046EB@njnet.com...
> NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.
> Proven every day.
> MOAN CBERS, MOAN.
>

3247. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 05:45:00 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021207004500.26353.00000013@mb-fv.aol.com>
Source record: 57437

In article <20021206233847.04125.00000045@mb-da.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>In article <3df0f031.398252@news.one-eleven.net>, kb9rqz@yahoo.com writes:
>
>>On 6 Dec 2002 08:21:58 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote:
>>
>>>kb9rqz@yahoo.com wrote in message
>>news:<3df01d6b.118959795@news.one-eleven.net>...
>>>> On 5 Dec 2002 06:56:11 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote:
>>snipp

>>>What words? The discussion is about growth and IL. Policy issues if I
>>>ever saw some.

You live in PA. If you want to discuss policy of IL, go to the
Illinois website or complain to Springfield. Any Almanac or
Census report can tell you about growth of IL.

>I have no idea what this is supposed to mean.

No problem. I doubt the rest of the newsgroup readers care a
fig about Kurt Vonnegut fiction novels, either...

>You're telling me not to change the subject. Moderator's job. You're not the
>moderator.

Oh! And now YOU are the "moderator" in here?

>Before 1912, the rules were very different.

Oh? Were there radio regulation rules in the USA before 1912?

"Difference" implies a comparison with something. You can't
compare a something with a nothing.

>Do you want to go back to the methods and/or standards of those days? You
>could not pass any of the tests.

You can't legally operate spark today or even "lately."

Let's see you "work DX" with a crystal set receiver...

>It's a reflection of your hostility.

You are your own best "reflection of hostility" whenever some-
one doesn't go along with your ARRL party line on US amateur
radio...

>>>> >Is quality over quantity a bad thing? Or would you prefer the world of
>>>> >Harrison Bergeron?
>>>
>>>Well?
>>
>>can't answer the question i don't know who Harrison Bergeron is.
>
>Look it up.

No need. "Harrison Bergeron" is a FICTIONAL creation of Kurt Vonnegut, a fiction novelist NOT in mainstream US science-fiction. Fiction doesn't serve as a baseline for physics or legislated law on radio communications.

Neither does Ayn Rand's fictional works have any bearing on radio, much less amateur radio. Neither does some 60's TV show imported from Britain ("Monty Python") because WGBH needs to fill in their PBS schedules with something. Neither does some late Brit's fantasy FICTION about fish thanks and hitchhiking across space...there is better fiction out there in science fiction land than what a few anglophile critics suckered you into buying.

Electrons, fields, and waves don't much give a snit on FICTIONAL ideas in or about radio. Electrons, fields, and waves don't take to fantasy or some mythical "powers" held by "the first communications mode in radio" or the edicts from Newington or the "supreme skill in hamdom," morse code. You meet physical reality on reality's terms. Using novelists, fiction writers as "defining humans" in anything but fiction publishing is bogus, false, nonsense.

Is US amateur radio some creation of Kurt Vonnegut?!?

Were the sirens on Titan too loud for your comprehension?

3248. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 06:06:45 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <Vdgl9.8903$kz2.912382@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57438

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote

: Operations and engineering have separated. I doubt the New Navy
: situation will be substantially different

No radio engineer, in the sense of a broadcast station engineer, ever went to sea as a Radioman on a navy ship. Navy radio operators always were, and still are, responsible end-to-end for the communications channels, including frequency selection and equipment tuning. What the hell would we need an engineer for?

73, de Hans, K0HB

3249. Re: Out of the ashes, the B.A.R.F will rise!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 06:25:32 UTC
From: signalchaser <williamsmith208@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <uv3536eqt2qa3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57439
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

What A LOSER!

"R-75 MAN" <lloyd_vfp@no.spam.msn.com> wrote in message
news:3df0ad2d_1@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
>
> "K&#65533;HB" <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
> news:duQH9.6558$kz2.663954@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > >
> > >
> > > In other words, BARF is not on his Christmas list.
> > >
> > > With all kind wishes, <chuckle, smirk>
> > >
> > > de Hans, K0HB
> > >
> > >
> >
> But BARF is on my list, a-hole! I'll make it the best White-Ham Radio
club
> that there ever was.....
>
>
> --
> The Better Amateur Radio Federation
> Ham Radio for Straight, White, Americans!
>
>

3250. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 07:06:45 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <96hl9.8977$kz2.918723@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57440

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote
:
: I don't claim to. What I DO understand is that the concept of a skilled
radio
: operator manually sending and receiving radio messages while using
: manually-operated radio equipment is pretty much a thing of the past in
most
: modern militaries.
:

"Manually sending and receiving" (if you mean sending and transcribing Morse code by code clerks) ceased to be viable in modern warfare about 45 years ago. Has nothing to do with economy. It's just simply inadequate in terms of speed.

But skilled radio operators are more important than ever in every military modern military.

73, de Hans, K0HB

3251. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 08:26:48 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212070026.6782a65c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57441

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message >

> How many HF transmitters were YOU ever responsible for,
> military, civilian, or otherwise? Answer quick..."the world
> wonders." :-)

Welp lessee here . . we can start with my $5 24v Jeep 80m ARC-5 beeper
. . . 'course that was outside your "range" to deal with, yew can't
beep and yew ain't ALLOWED to put it on the air like I was. Then or
now. There's one. Ya want the rest of the list of HF transmitters I've
been 100% responsible for putting on the air?

w3rv

3252. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 10:41:39 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021207054139.01314.00009711@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 57442

>Subject: Re: 34 Years Ago Today
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 12/6/02 11:03 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021207000322.02260.00000367@mb-mc.aol.com>

>None over 2 KW output legally...and no broadcasting of any
> kind, legally...and only on HF bands which represent less
> than 2 percent of the total spectrum allocated to US radio
> amateurs.

We can add all sorts of "caveats" as to what is or is not included in teh framework of what we are discussing.

As for 2KW, so what? That's more than enough to boune signals off the moon and to be heard at near broadcast amplitudes all around the Earth.

And as for the "percentage" of HF spectrum allocated to us, it's 100% more spectrum that allocated to YOU!

>What is dickiebird twittering about now?

"Dickiebird"...?!?!

>I'm on file with WBEL (5 KW) as working
> there in 1956, also WREX-TV (5 KW visual transmitter) the
> same year. Two others also, but only 1 KW and 500 Watts
> each...all broadcasting...except the VHF remote Tx at WRRR
> converted from a military unit (FCC inspected and certified).

Oooooh! Lennie had a job at some low power TV stations 46 years ago! I am IMPRESSED!

>I wasn't looking to be
> forever in broadcasting 46 years ago, just trying to get some
> money back then. Got the money, later got a lot more from...(SNIP)

...from jobs that never allowed you to operate on any channels except the discreet channels they were licensed for.

VERY unimpressive.

>Ever communicate with a station ON the moon, dickiebird?
> I have. Legally.

No Lennie, you did not "communicate" with a station on the moon. You may have remotely commanded a telemetery unit there, but you did not "communicate with a station" on the moon.

>Ever operate VHF, transpond or
> DME at L-band, get VOR on VHF all at the same time while IN
> the cockpit of an aircraft in flight?

Have you, Lennie? I do not see you name in the FAA database. I, on the otherhand, have operated UHF-AM mil airband, lo-band VHF, TACAN, IFF, >>AND<< HF-SSB airmobile while airborne...On the same flight...In a HELICOPTER....of course that was as CREW.

I have also operated civil VHF-air, ADF, VHF-VOR, VHF-FM and air-to-ground datalink and video WHILE flying the aircraft with CAP on disaster relief and SAR missions. You WILL find me in the FAA database. Active, by the way. You will find my current flight medical on the database too.

Please, Lennie...You have told us of all your OTHER exploits, please enlighten us as to your prowess as an aviator.

I am waiting with bated breath...

Steve, K4YZ

3253. Re: Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 13:02:50 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021207080250.04214.00001052@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 57443

In article <3DEE857A.19E990A5@mailcity.net>, bh <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
writes:

>Most likely an urban legend as the FCC did not require the use of
>standard phonetics. We made up all kinds of phonetics for our
>calls.

There's a bit of truth in the legend, though. Sometimes hams made up phonetics
that effectively hid the actual call. FCC would sometimes cite for that. But
the use of nonstandard phonetics was OK if the call was still clear.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3254. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 13:08:28 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DF2010E.72A76B76@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57444

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:

>
> But skilled radio operators are more important than ever in every military
> modern military.

The standard battlefield "radio" of today is more the functional equivalent of a cellphone. The commander dials up whatever subordinate with whom he needs to converse. No doubt there are skills involved in using that device, however you do stretch things a bit by regarding him as a skilled radio operator. Skilled cellphone operator, maybe. It does require an engineering/maintenance staff to keep the system running. If you prefer to call that staff "skilled radio operators" that would be your privilege.
At the squad level the communications gear is built into the helmet and operates VOX as needed. I suppose that's skilled, also. No doubt there's an on'/off switch to learn about.
I'm not current on modern Navy technique -shucks, they may still even have some HF gear still that needs a few simple knobs twisted, though the thrust has clearly been toward eliminating the role of radio operator as being another complicating unneeded link in the chain, and toward making the communications system as "transparent" as possible to users, which will significantly reduce the role of any operator. But you did say "military", which covers all branches.

BTW I knew a fella who had been a first class radioman on a submarine who sure was no radio genius. The longer one knew him the more obvious it became that he really hadn't learned much during his service time.

3255. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 13:36:51 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021207083651.13086.00000013@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 57445

In article <3DE2C834.4010901@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>
> <some snippage>
>
>
>
>> It's a "class" distinction however you cut it. Forcing the newbies to
>> take 2x3s while the OTs keep 1x3 or 1x2 calls simply puts the reward
>> on time in grade rather than license test. Heck, in that "heyday", FCC
>> would try to give a ham who moved a similar callsign in the new
>> district.
>
> True enough it is a class distinction.

Not that there's anyhting wrong with it, as long as those with equal
qualifications can join the class.

>I wrestled with the idea of going
>for a 1X2 for a while. It would be a great help in contesting.

Then do it.

>But after seeing the grief some new hams caught for their perfidy, I decided
that
>KB3EIA was just fine - at least for ten years or so.

Huh? Are you gonna let the spoutings of the ignorant control getting what
you've earned?

Should I be ashamed of my license class and callsign because somebody might not
like it?

73 de Jim, N2EY (sequentially issued, 1977)

3256. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 13:36:52 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021207083652.13086.00000014@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 57447

In article <d22b54c3.0212070026.6782a65c@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>> How many HF transmitters were YOU ever responsible for,
>> military, civilian, or otherwise? Answer quick..."the world
>> wonders." :-)
>
>Welp lessee here . . we can start with my $5 24v Jeep 80m ARC-5 beeper
>. . . 'course that was outside your "range" to deal with, yew can't
>beep and yew ain't ALLOWED to put it on the air like I was. Then or
>now. There's one. Ya want the rest of the list of HF transmitters I've
>been 100% responsible for putting on the air?
>
There a big difference between "being responsible" for something that's installed and working, complete with training courses and instruction manuals, and being 100% responsible from inception to operation. Including financing.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3257. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 13:36:53 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021207083653.13086.00000015@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 57446

In article <96hI9.8977$kz2.918723@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote
>:
>: I don't claim to. What I DO understand is that the concept of a skilled
>radio
>: operator manually sending and receiving radio messages while using
>: manually-operated radio equipment is pretty much a thing of the past in
>most
>: modern militaries.
>:
>
>"Manually sending and receiving" (if you mean sending and transcribing Morse
>code by code clerks) ceased to be viable in modern warfare about 45 years
>ago.

That works out to 1957.

What are "code clerks"?

The point I'm trying to make is that dependence on communications systems that require skilled operators actually handle the messages can be a vulnerability and a limitation. Doesn't matter whether they use Morse, Navajo, or ASL, if you lose the operator(s) with the special skills, the communications capability is reduced or eliminated. Most people know how to talk and type.

> Has nothing to do with economy.

Where did I mention economy at all? Perhaps you are comnfusing what I wrote with what others have claimed. For example, I have never claimed to use only ham radio equipment that I designed and built myself.

However, economy is a big factor in commercial operations. And it may be a factor in military comms as well. Simple math: Suppose it costs X dollars to teach Morse code to a radio operator, and Y dollars per hour for that op to handle Z words per hour. And suppose the op is on duty for a total of N hours in his/her military career. Then the cost per word is

C = (Y/Z) + X/(N*Z)

for each end of the communications circuit.

>It's just simply inadequate in terms of speed.

That's ANOTHER big reason....
>
>But skilled radio operators are more important than ever in every military

>modern military.

Are they directly in the path of the messages handled? Or is their job to keep the equipment working so that others can use it?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3258. Re: Mr. Hollingsworth make an show on 3.865khz

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 14:49:02 UTC
From: Terry <tsanford@nf.sympatico.ca>
Message-ID: <3DF20A5E.6C2D30B8@nf.sympatico.ca>
Source record: 57449
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

R-75 MAN wrote:
>
> At about 7:30pm my time, during a net that operates there, Mr. Hollingsworth
> checked in. I suppose he is gonna monitor the frequnecy based on complaints
> made by Criss, W8CH. Criss is the victim of a QRM campaign organized by
> newsgroupie, KR4AJ.
>
> Stay tuned to 3.865 khz the next few nites, sounds like it could get
> interesting!
>
> And as always....
>
> --
> Jesus Christ is coming in your lifetime - R.G. Stair
> "The bulletin service is here to stay"- K1MAN

My radio won't tune down to that low a frequency i.e. 3.865 kHz!
(Three point eight six five kilohertz?)
Maybe it should read 3.865 megahertz? Or perhaps 3865 kilohertz?
After all 3.865 kHz is 3865 hertz and that's in the audible
range; I think?
Just curious?

3259. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 14:55:14 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212070655.5f189510@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57448

N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message

news:<c2356669.0212060624.510582c1@posting.google.com>...
> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0212051736.73a77680@posting.google.com>...

> attached computer. A watt or so of PSK-31 on 3579 kHz, cost $40 as a
> one-evening kit. $40 rig connected to a $1000 lapper - tail wags the
> dog.

Not if ya already have a 'puter which is usually the case.

> In fact, some newer bottles are less favored. Take the Heath line -
> they SB-220 was/is a pretty nice amp, pair 3-500s, desktop, easily
> QSK'ed (if QSKing is ever really easy).

. . . pain in the tush . .

> Then Heath made the SB-230,
> with the conduction-cooled 88-73 or whatever ceramic-metal tube it
> was. Turns out that today a pair of 3-500s is much cheaper and more
> available than the newer ceramic metal tube in the '230. So much so
> that you can pick up a '230 for cheap because the tube costs so much.

And it's not getting any better. The big ceramic-metal tubes do have
one big advantage over 3-500Zs, they will kick out a helluva lot more
output per watt of drive power. Not a trivial consideration.

> No commercial 4-1000s but a few years back yer buddies NL and JJG were
> over to the Southgate Radio factory on RadioTelegraph Hill with a
> bunch of unmarked Ls and variable Cs. Used my Q meter to determine
> exact values and quality. JJG was building a 160 meter 4-1000 amp. I
> donated a few small parts to the cause. He won't blow it up, either.

IF he ever builds the thing he won't blow it up. It's still in the
"807 stage", "Let's get together and do some more 807s and talk about
it again."

> >. . . I happen to enjoy watching The Putz
> > thrash for attention & relevance on those few occasions when I bother
> > tuning his worn-out drivel.
>
> Please don't feed the trolls. It only encourages them.

Yeah, yer right. I'll try harder. Maybe tomorrow.

> SINGCARS - Single Channel Grounsd and Airborne Radio System. First
> used in Korean DMZ in 1988. Standard VHF shortrange single channel

> voice radio for combat net use (and lots of other uses, obviously).
> Uses frequency hopping SS to achieve security from interception and
> DFing.

Since when did SS get to be "single channel"?? Ya mean it doesn't even
have a channel selector huh?

> Current cost in the $3000 range, according to websites I
> visited. You don't wanna know what they cost in 1988.

Wunnerful. Ya can buy a disposable 150 channel Vertex/Yaesu Pro 5
pocketable airband HT from Sporty's for $289. Less than one cannister
of 30mm ammo costs Sam. The Pro 5 is built to Mil specs, tx/rx on the
comms freqs, rx on the nav and wx freqs etc. Somehow I doubt that
deleting 149 channels and adding SS to the Pro 5 would cost Yaesu
anywhere near the additional $2,711 ITT charges for their widget. One
will get ya ten that ITT and the Pentagon done got together and made
yet one more typical "engineering mountain" out of a molehill. This is
the off-the-wall "Golden Fleece Award radio engineering" world The
Putz comes from. He musta worked on the thing.

> AN/WRC/91A is
> one model. Why SINGCARS should be of interest to hams is a mystery.

Like my Yamaha FM stereo rcvr is a hot ham policy topic. Duh?

> Military did not develop and deploy SINGCARS so folks could have fun
> using radio.

But whatta ya bet they do just that? Been there, seen that, done that
myself actually. Jollies comms with deck-edge USN Gonset Communicators
on the CVA 100, the USS Concrete. Tune around 7.4-7.5Mhz some nite and
dial up the ssb CB-type chatter amongst the USN Atlantic fleet shore
stations.

> Having a skilled operator
> dedicated to radio comms is a weak point in military ops because if
> you lose the op you lose the comms. That's a big reason why Morse use
> by the military is almost completely gone.

I dunno how much of a factor that was but what I do know for absolute
certain is that cw was a "victim" of advancing comms technolgies over
many years which did a better job in all respects. Dead discussion
today.

For the sake of perspective Chuck Yeager & Co. sure as hell
"communicated" and they didn't spend much time beeping when they had
Messerschmitts up their asses.

> To the military and commercial users, radio is but a means to an end.
> To us hams, radio is an end in itself.

Dunno about that.

We're not channelized on HF, we operate over a wide range of freqs with several modes with the same hardware, there's often a lotta weak signal ham work done and the purposes of the comms are quite different from military & commercial comms. And much more often than not we do it for investments which are only a small fraction of certainly what the military pays for the same basic technical results.

Gimmee the $3k Sam pays for one of those SINGCARS things and I'll show ya some RADIO!

Again much more often as not hams don't learn to do it on the taxpayer's dime either, we do it outta our own pockets as a side issue to our lives out in the civilain world. Ditto the equipment. There are obviously enormous differences bordering the infinite between ham & military radio but in the end they're both about communicating. Take the technical aspects outta ham radio and it would prolly still survive in some twisted form. Take the communicating out and there is no ham radio.

> > > Driven by a market that kept demanding better rigs.
> >
> > Ummm . . more like driven by the manufacturers competing for market
> > share.
>
> Of a market that's willing to buy TS-940s and FT-1000s.

"Willing" implies that the choice is 100% optional. Which it is. There are no points given for coming up with a ten-dollar ham station except amongst the rest of the ten-dollar station worshippers.

> > Horsepucky.
>
> How can you SAY that!! The long-time PROFESSIONALS IN RADIO say it
> MUST be so! You are being electropolitically incorrect!

Been that way all my life. Tough beans. If there's one thing I've learned about "professionals" in ham radio it's their coefficient of bullshit.

> > 40-bit extended floating-point processor, 18-bit over-sampling A/D
> > converter, 16-bit D/A converter. Lotta DSP.
> >
> > A pox on it and them when it comes to hamming with it, especially cw.
>
> 'Nudder HRO-500, huh?

No way, it just isn't selective enough for weak signal cw ops for my taste and it's a disappointment in this one respect.

> DSP is cheaper and electropolitically correct. It's NEW, it must be
> BETTER!
> PROFESSIONALS IN RADIO told us so!
>
> Note that my K2 has no DSP. Hmmm.

. . . I'm biting my tongue . . !

> > But it's beyond superb for AM which is the real purpose of the 545 and
> > it's probably the pace-setter in that game, I've never tuned a better
> > swl radio and I've tuned slews of 'em. You'd have to hear it when I
> > bring up a weak, buried AM BC signal to believe it. Has a front end as
> > good as the K2 and the MP.
>
> I dunno about the front end being THAT good.

ARRL tests:
3.5 Mhz BDR = 128 dB.
3.5 Mhz IP3 = 92 dB.
Now ya do know.
Not yer father's Zenith Transoceanic.

Been raved about in The Big Three mags, QST, Passport & World Radio.
It's fer serious.

> Synchronous detector?

Silly boy, of course. Will inject it's own "carrier" to stabilize AM
fading, pick the sideband ya wanna listen to. Or do both and listen to
the AM stereo stations with yer 'puter speakers. All of it DSP
tweakable. CW selectivity "issues" aside the DSP in the thing *really*
cooks when ya get into heterodyne & noise suppression.

Anywho I enjoy tuning the 3Mhz tropical band, the 10-11 Mhz swl band
and the AM BC band for the weak overseas types after midnite when the
U.S. pipsqueaks pull their switches. Goes back to my childhood when
Mom thought I was tucked in for the nite. If there was ever a radio
built for these particular pursuits the 545 is *IT*.

> >
> > I don't regret buying the thing at all because I do a lotta SWLing. In
> > the end it's a no-counter for ham ops anyway, separate rcvrs went out
> > with tubes.
>
> Ain't happened yet!

You only wish. And you're telling *me* I shouldn't go trolling around
here??!

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

3260. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 15:11:07 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212070711.73277c95@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57450

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021207054139.01314.00009711@mb-mk.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: 34 Years Ago Today
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 12/6/02 11:03 PM Central Standard Time

. . .

> I have also operated civil VHF-air, ADF, VHF-VOR, VHF-FM and air-to-ground
> datalink and video WHILE flying the aircraft with CAP on disaster relief and
> SAR missions. You WILL find me in the FAA database. Active, by the way. You
> will find my current flight medical on the database too.
>
> Please, Lennie...You have told us of all your OTHER exploits, please
> enlighten us as to your prowess as an aviator.
>
> I am waiting with bated breath...

I'm starting to get whiffs of some real clinical issues on the Putz's
part. No normal person compulsively puts as much effort into
repeatedly shoving his feet in his mouth as he does.

>
> Steve, K4YZ

w3rv

3261. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 15:19:47 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uv44s9grhekiab@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57351

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021206233844.04125.00000044@mb-da.aol.com...
> >
> >No, Jim, you have it backwards. Folks complain because they are bad
ideas,
> >not vice versa.
>
> I'm afraid you have it sideways, Hans. Folks complain about bad ideas.
They
> also complain about good ideas. They also praise bad ideas as well as good
> ideas.
>

Gotta agree with you wholeheartedly on that one. Folks complain about Nagasaki and Hiroshima--but it was still probably a good idea. By the way, I just watched the end of a movie yesterday that I'll have to look back up. It had Paul Newman in it. Anyway, at the end it was giving textual information on what I guess was the real-life characters in the movie. One of them said that Oppenheimer refused to work on the Super-H bomb. Given my first comments: that Hiroshima and Nagasaki were complained about by some folks but that it was probably a good idea; I really wonder if he (Oppenheimer) felt it was definitely a bad idea. What a situation, huh? To be both a hero and villain at the same time?

Kim W5TIT

3262. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 15:26:46 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uv459coifmi14c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57352

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021206233847.04125.00000046@mb-da.aol.com...
> In article <uuukcidjgvdtcc@corp.supernews.com>, " Kim"
> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20021204224846.06308.00000765@mb-fm.aol.com...
> >> In article <asiiau037e@enews3.newsguy.com>,
tbryant@IHATESPAM.cannet.com
> >(TLB)
> >> writes:
>
>
> >> >It's so pathically easy, that I remember a story in QST, prior to VE
> >> >testing, in which a 12-year-old walked into an FCC field office with
no
> >> >license, and walked out an Extra.
> >>
> >> That must have been between 1976 and 1983. What's the problem with a 12
> >> year old Extra, if he got the license honestly? I don't think he
thought it
> was
> >> easy!
>
> >I really am beginning to wonder if there isn't some bit of insecurity on
> >behalf of folks who just don't want to believe kids can master the
material
> >enough to pass a test for amateur radio.
>
> BINGO, Kim!
>
> >> >There is, however, one thing that everyone is
> >> >overlooking, and that is the fact that a license is only an entry
level
> >> >test.
> >>
> >> Many of us are not overlooking that at all. The test simply means the
> >>folks who
> >> passed it have satisfied FCC requirements, not that they are fully
> >>qualified to
> >> do everything the license allows. Just like a driver's test doesn't
> >>usually
> >> test a new driver at night, in snow/rain/fog/ice conditions, etc.
>

> >Unless it's changed since my youngest son was in school, Texas doesn't
even
> >provide road tests any more. If the kid (or person) passes driving
school
> >(in my son's case, Driver's Educastion), they take a little piece of
paper
> >to the DOT Office and get their license. Seriously...
>
> That's scary. Seriously scary.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

Yep, you bet it is. I don't know what the statistics would show--haven't checked it out--but I think I'd be willing to bet that the accident rate has really escalated. I don't know...I just feel a lot better with a DPS cop giving the road test. I mean, he's/she's going to be a lot more sensitive to things that can happen on the road because they are the ones "sending forth" (or not) the driver taking the test.

Yeah, lemmee think--Nick (my youngest) was 16 when all this was going on, so that was around 1992. I haven't been around any teen-agers since then, except for my hubby's kids but we've never talked about it. I'll ask them next time we see them and find out how it was when they were going to school...though that would have been just a few years behind Nick.

Yep, it's definitely scary. Why ya think I turned to driving a nice, high truck? <BIG GRIN> Only thing is, you oughta see a young teen behind the wheel of one of those huge SUVs that Mommy and Daddy have either bought for them or let them borrow!

Kim W5TIT

3263. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 15:37:30 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021207103730.03466.00000015@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 57355

In article <3DEFF273.50A7D5B1@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>"Kï¿½HB" wrote:
>
>> --
>> ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB
>> --
>> Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
>> http://www.mnyarc.org
>> http://www.k0bsa.org
>> "N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>>
>> : Were those things bad ideas because some folks
>> : complain, even today?
>>
>> No, Jim, you have it backwards. Folks complain because they are bad ideas,
>> not vice versa.
>>
>> : Any human activity has a class structure of some kind.
>>
>> Now there's the kind of statement which stops you dead in your tracks, and
>> after some consideration you say "This is indeed a dangerous man."
>
> So you're ready to cash in your (formerly) seven figure 401K and distribute
>it
>EVENLY to every needy soul in ham radio that you can find in order to
>equalize things?

I think you misunderstand, Dick. I don't think Hans is saying class structure
is always a bad thing.

> Or do you prefer to remain a member of the financial elite CLASS?

I dunno what financial class any of us belongs to.

> There's really no difference, ya know. Your world class high$ ham radio
>station only proves the case. You run whatever you wish in the way of
>expensive
>gear instead of yesterday's castoffs.

Even if true, is that a bad thing? And I say that as one who has bought only
two pieces of major ham gear new in 35+ years. Both were kits!

>If that's not the action of one of the
>elite class what could it possibly be? Act otherwise as you wish, but the

>truth is there.
>
What truth?

Take a look at the Kurt Vonnegut story "Harrison Bergeron". It can be found in the short story collection "Welcome To The Monkey House". Your local library should have it. Highly recommended.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3264. Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 15:37:31 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021207103731.03466.00000016@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 57353

From the Elecraft reflector, thanks to N5IB:

Louisiana Naval War Memorial
Baton Rouge LA

USS Kidd, DD-661, was named for Rear Admiral Isaac Campbell Kidd, killed in action on 7 December 1941 aboard his flagship USS Arizona. He was posthumously awarded the Medal of Honor for his gallantry under fire that day.

In commemoration, W5KID, aboard USS Kidd, DD-661, will be on the air with two stations, possibly a third, Saturday Dec 7, from about 1500Z until 2300Z. Probably mostly 20 m ssb, 10 m ssb if that band is open, 15 m ssb, potentially some 40 m and 30 m CW. Just above the lower edge of the general phone bands and near the QRP watering holes for CW. TenTec Jupiter and K2 #1233 should see service.

QSL information on the QRZ.COM listing for W5KID

...lest we forget...

3265. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 15:37:31 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021207103731.03466.00000017@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 57354

In article <HOPF9.35302$GR5.8838@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>, "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20021129133133.12726.00000766@mb-mb.aol.com...
>
>> "Brandy, you're a fine girl, what a gooood wife, you would be"
>
>Hey, yeah, I remember that song came out when I was a wee little girl, and I
>recall liking it a lot... now who did it? Shoot, I can't recall.

That's another twist in the game. Recall a song then ask what year it came out, who sang it, who wrote it, what other versions exist. Drives 'em crazy.

>Another
>song I recalled liking from that same time period was "Horse With No Name"
>by America. I would not even have been in the double-digits in age yet... :)

Dave Barry on that song:

"You're in the DESERT. There's NOTHING to do. NAME THE FREAKIN' HORSE!!!"

Variants of the Bad Song thing:

1) Identify stupid song lyrics

"All the redemption I can offer, girl, is beneath this dirty hood"

2) Various permutations of TV theme songs

--

There's also The Movie Game:

Imagine that someone is interested in making a movie/TV series/documentary/whatever about someone you know. What actors (past or present) would play the various roles?

--

>Back from the local radio store, finally having decided what to buy for
>myself for Christmas.
>
Which is?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3266. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 15:37:44 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uv45ttoa6qfi8a@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57356

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021207103731.03466.00000016@mb-fz.aol.com...
> From the Elecraft reflector, thanks to N5IB:
>
> Louisiana Naval War Memorial
> Baton Rouge LA
>
> USS Kidd, DD-661, was named for Rear Admiral Isaac Campbell Kidd, killed
> in action on 7 December 1941 aboard his flagship USS Arizona. He was
> posthumously awarded the Medal of Honor for his gallantry under fire that
> day.
>
> In commemoration, W5KID, aboard USS Kidd, DD-661, will be on the air with
> two stations, possibly a third, Saturday Dec 7, from about 1500Z until
> 2300Z. Probably mostly 20 m ssb, 10 m ssb if that band is open, 15 m ssb,
> potentially some 40 m and 30 m CW. Just above the lower edge of the
> general phone bands and near the QRP watering holes for CW. TenTec
> Jupiter and K2 #1233 should see service.
>
> QSL information on the QRZ.COM listing for W5KID
>
> ...lest we forget...
>

I wonder why "they"(?) didn't do a Special Event callsign--or is W5KID a Special Event callsign? My slow computer is trying to get to QRZ as I write this, but I ain't waitin' around all mornin'! Anyway, I wonder why the call K5IDD wasn't used. Aw shucks, my firewall and network and all is messing up, so I can't get to QRZ.

Kim W5TIT

3267. k1man is paranoid needs prozac

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 15:45:31 UTC
From: radiofunn <dicinhereman@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3df21836@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 57357
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

To quote k1man in a recent post delivered to
the 14.313 News Page

http://members.aol.com/jcunham/

"Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH, of the FCC, is the most dangerous threat
that the Amateur Radio Service has EVER seen. Beware, he is a wolf
in sheep's clothing. He is systematically building up a case file
of radio amateur bad behavior which he can later use when he retires
from the FCC to become a private consultant to those commercial
interests drooling over our spectrum worth billions upon billions of
dollars.

As for the others, I hope they will some day see the light and cease
and desist from being an international disgrace and severe blemish on
our precious Amateur Radio Service. 73 and good luck, de K1MAN"

(..am I the only one out here who thinks "Baxter-the-Boy-Broadcaster"
is in desperate need of mind-altering drugs and bi-weekly visits to
the local shrink clinic ?)

3268. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 16:07:59 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <z1pI9.273160$1O2.16627@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 57358

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021206173129.21317.00001411@mb-cf.aol.com...
> In article <%45I9.327$G4.46451@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "K&#65533;HB"
> <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:

> I'll add in the Army point of view, the Army of today.

That was very interesting guys. Thanks for posting your answers.

Jackie

3269. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 16:23:36 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <cgpl9.273411$1O2.17299@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 57359

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021207103731.03466.00000017@mb-fz.aol.com...

> Dave Barry on that song:
>
> "You're in the DESERT. There's NOTHING to do. NAME THE FREAKIN' HORSE!!!"

ROTFL. Gotta love Dave Barry!

> >Back from the local radio store, finally having decided what to buy for
> >myself for Christmas.
> >
> Which is?

I bought a 2 meter/70 cm FT-90R, power supply, SWR meter, and an antenna...
I'm putting it all up today. The little radio is only about 5" x 5" square.
Teensy and just perfect for the tiny table I have to put it on.

The HF rig I want (which I have not bought yet) is the IC-718. I plan to
pick one of these up before the end of the year. Hopefully I will have
passed the code exam sometime in January, then I can start using at least
some of the HF bands.

I have a problematic situation in my yard where large antennas are
concerned. Too many trees and powerlines near my house, as well as no
ability to put a large antenna up on my roof, leave me to believe that I'll
need to use a well-tuned long wire that's attached to my roofline somehow. A
friend of mine actually modified his friend's steel gutters and they were
able to use them as an antenna- guess it worked very well too. But that is
something I don't know enough about to attempt myself!

Jackie

3270. Get an Internet Domain Name with Your Callsign in it!!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 16:40:04 UTC
From: Midwest Internet Services <info@midwestiserv.net>
Message-ID: <Evpl9.9423$JE.5075@news1.central.cox.net>
Source record: 57360

Want your own internet domain with your callsign in it? You can register yourcallsign.com online today for under $10 per year at www.midwestiserv.net.

For more info and pricing on other available domain types (.net, .us, .info, .ws, .biz, .org) please visit www.midwestiserv.net or email info@midwestiserv.net.

Midwest Internet Services

3271. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 16:51:51 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021207115151.19601.00000140@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 57361

In article <uv2tvlk37fm32c@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>> >And, once again, I say that Riley has every right to believe and think as
>he
>> >does. However, I disagree with his opinion. That you agree with it,
>Larry,
>> >is no surprise--as you've always proven to be nothing but a follower. Ya
>> >know...the Queen was once the "authority" where our forefathers lived.
>> >Imagine if they'd let "authority" be the determining factor of their
>> >decisions...
>> >
>> >Kim W5TIT
>>
>> Kim:
>>
>> I don't agree with Riley (that's MISTER Hollingsworth to you!)
>>
>
>No, sorry, it's Riley.

Kim:

Listen up. I'm trying to teach you some respect for your betters. You are not
a mature, intelligent adult -- you are a selfish, self-centered child (or at
least,
prone to act like one) and therefore you need to be taught how to show proper
respect for your superiors. Therefore, you must not refer to K4ZDH as anything
but "MISTER Hollingsworth." If you wish to join in those who can share in
the adult prerogative of referring to him by his first name, you must first
prove
your maturity by getting rid of your call sign.

>> because he's
>> an authority -- I agree with him because he's saying exactly the same
>things
>> I would be saying under similar circumstances. However, in this case, he
>> IS an authority, and his words carry weight.
>>
>
>With you, they obviously do. I think Riley's quite wrong about any
>extinction for the ARS.

Well, little Kimmie, you're hardly in any position to be a judge of that, now
are you?

>> You are a person who should
>> NEVER disagree with authority, because of your proven track record of
>>making wrong decisions.
>>

>>Your opinion. You are getting confused.

You are the one who is confused, Kimmie. You're confused into thinking that you're a mature, adult, responsible amateur radio operator. You are not. You prove it every day you possess your stupid call sign.

>> BTW, our forefathers, in their disagreement with the Crown of England,
>> came over and started their own country, where they could run things in
>> a manner which was more to their own liking. Please feel free to leave
>the
>> United States and do likewise. However, while you remain a U.S. citizen
>> and a licensee in the U.S. Amateur Radio Service, I'll thank you not to
>> continue to create a demeaning and unwholesome atmosphere in our
>> family-oriented service with your selfish, provocative, suggestive Vanity
>> call sign.
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>>
>
>Likewise to you, Larry, because I'm not going anywhere. I appreciate the
>fact that my forefathers gave me the right to choose whatever callsign I
>wish under the licensure system as administrated by the FCC. Talk to the
>FCC if you're so shook up--*THAT* is where your whining *might* do you some
>good.
>
>Kim W5TIT

You may have a "legal" right to choose a call sign which is harmful to the image of the ARS, but you have no moral right to do so. One of the natural consequences of living in a country with great personal freedom is that people such as yourself have the freedom to make public spectacles out of yourselves. That's OK, as long as you're willing to accept the consequences of your actions. One of the consequences you're going to have to accept is the condemnation of ham radio operators who do not appreciate your foolish expression of your self-serving imposition of a sexually-suggestive call sign in what is widely regarded as a family-oriented hobbyist radio service. Anyone hearing it would not draw the conclusion that this is a wholesome activity for young people, but rather, just another extension of our permissive popular culture. Don't look now, but the last election has shown that America is rejecting the immaturity and immorality of the '60's liberals. YOU are an anachronism.

I've always said that I thought you had it in you to change, and prove that you're not the idiot we all think you are. I guess I'm wrong about that. Sorry
to have to say it. I'm just glad I'm not you!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3272. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 17:33:27 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uv4cmuq1gvie23@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57363

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021207115151.19601.00000140@mb-fi.aol.com...
> In article <uv2tvlk37fm32c@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>
> >> >And, once again, I say that Riley has every right to believe and think
as
> >he
> >> >does. However, I disagree with his opinion. That you agree with it,
> >Larry,
> >> >is no surprise--as you've always proven to be nothing but a follower.
Ya
> >> >know...the Queen was once the "authority" where our forefathers lived.
> >> >Imagine if they'd let "authority" be the determining factor of their
> >> >decisions...
> >> >
> >> >Kim W5TIT
> >>
> >> Kim:
> >>
> >> I don't agree with Riley (that's MISTER Hollingsworth to you!)
> >>
> >
> >No, sorry, it's Riley.
>
> Kim:
>
> Listen up. I'm trying to teach you some respect for your betters.
>

Larry: first you'll have to find me some "betters."

>
> You are not
> a mature, intelligent adult -- you are a selfish, self-centered child (or
at
> least,
> prone to act like one) and therefore you need to be taught how to show
proper
> respect for your superiors.
>

How 'bout you mention who *you* think is a superior to me, Larry? I think
it'd be cute to see you submit some names.

>
> Therefore, you must not refer to K4ZDH as anything
> but "MISTER Hollingsworth." If you wish to join in those who can share in
> the adult prerogative of referring to him by his first name, you must
first
> prove
> your maturity by getting rid of your call sign.
>

And, what ya gonna do, Larry, if I misbehave? I join in those who can share
in the adult prerogative of referring to Riley as Riley, because I can and
will. Ya see? I haven't "proven" a thing to you, Larry, nor do I have to.
You're so funny.

> >>
> >> because he's
> >> an authority -- I agree with him because he's saying exactly the same
> >things
> >> I would be saying under similar circumstances. However, in this case,
he
> >> IS an authority, and his words carry weight.
> >>
> >
> >With you, they obviously do. I think Riley's quite wrong about any
> >extinction for the ARS.
>
> Well, little Kimmie, you're hardly in any position to be a judge of that,
now
> are you?
>

I just sat here and shrugged my shoulders. Sure I am in a position to have
an opinion on whether the ARS is going to be extinct or not. Everyone is.
And, it's not, in my opinion. In fact, it's doing quite well.

> >>
> >> You are a person who should
> >> NEVER disagree with authority, because of your proven track record of
> >>making wrong decisions.
> >>
>
> >>Your opinion. You are getting confused.
>
> You are the one who is confused, Kimmie. You're confused into thinking
that
> you're a mature, adult, responsible amateur radio operator. You are not.
You
> prove it every day you possess your stupid call sign.
>

ROFLMAO!!!! "Kimmie"!!!??? Woohoo, I am really getting you riled!!
"Stupid callsign"!!!??? LOL...man, you need another trip up to the
Adirondacks, Larry.

> >>
> >> BTW, our forefathers, in their disagreement with the Crown of England,
> >> came over and started their own country, where they could run things in
> >> a manner which was more to their own liking. Please feel free to leave
> >the
> >> United States and do likewise. However, while you remain a U.S.
citizen
> >> and a licensee in the U.S. Amateur Radio Service, I'll thank you not to
> >> continue to create a demeaning and unwholesome atmosphere in our
> >> family-oriented service with your selfish, provocative, suggestive
Vanity
> >> call sign.
> >>
> >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >>
> >
> >Likewise to you, Larry, because I'm not going anywhere. I appreciate the
> >fact that my forefathers gave me the right to choose whatever callsign I
> >wish under the licensure system as administrated by the FCC. Talk to the
> >FCC if you're so shook up--*THAT* is where your whining *might* do you
some
> >good.
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
>
> You may have a "legal" right to choose a call sign which is harmful to the
> image of the ARS, but you have no moral right to do so.
>

Oh wait. Larry Roll, K3LT, wants to lecture on morality. You biggot.

>
> One of the natural
> consequences of living in a country with great personal freedom is that
> people such as yourself have the freedom to make public spectacles out of
> yourselves.
>

At least you recognize that. And, it is your perfect right to believe it is
a public spectacle I make of myself, Larry. However, I'd remind you that it
takes many kinds to make up a world. My kind, your kind, all kinds. I
think that's a concept that you actually have a problem with.

>
> That's OK, as long as you're willing to accept the consequences
> of your actions.
>

Consequences...for a callsign?! LOL

>
> One of the consequences you're going to have to accept
> is the condemnation of ham radio operators who do not appreciate your

> foolish expression of your self-serving imposition of a
sexually-suggestive
> call sign in what is widely regarded as a family-oriented hobbyist radio
> service.
>

Oh, I forgot that you think I have a real problem with your
condemnation...you really seem to like the idea that some opinion you'd have
of me would be something I'd be concerned with. Larry, condemn away...you
have such a good time at it. Is that "acceptance" enough for you? If I
were rich, I'd start you a club and fund it myself...but I'm not that rich.
I support, fully, your condemnation of my callsign!

>
> Anyone hearing it would not draw the conclusion that this is a
> wholesome activity for young people, but rather, just another extension of
> our permissive popular culture.
>

And, perhaps you should realize that if someone sees my callsign as vulgar
it is because of their own propensity for "dirty" things. What *is* so
vulgar about breasts, Larry? And, if there's a kid out there who "has a
problem with" my callsign I daresay it's not because I taught him/her it was
dirty: it was someone like *YOU*. There's nothing vulgar or dirty at all
about the callsign W5TIT. There's nothing dirty or vulgar at all about
tits, or dicks, or asses, Larry. If you wish to portend to be puritanical
about your approach to sex and sexual things, that is--as you like to
say--your prerogative. I, however, do not. And many do not.

>
> Don't look now, but the last election has
> shown that America is rejecting the immaturity and immorality of the
> '60's liberals. YOU are an anachronism.
>

Oh good grief...are you honestly going to want me and others to take you
seriously about this--when you want to hang a hat for morality in
WASHINGTON, DC??????? Puhleeze. Those people in DC are so busy smelling
each others butts that they think sewers are home.
>
> I've always said that I thought you had it in you to change, and prove
that
> you're not the idiot we all think you are. I guess I'm wrong about that.
> Sorry
> to have to say it. I'm just glad I'm not you!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Oh, wait, an idiot calling me an idiot. Not that!! I just don't know how
to respond to that, Larry. Like I've always said, welcome to your own
grandeur because it sure is no one else's. Oh, and, ROFLMAO...I am sure

glad you're not me also.

Hanging a morality hat on Washington, DC. Jeeze, Larry, you can do better than that, can't you?

Kim W5TIT

3273. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 17:34:47 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uv4cpdiq3tn653@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57364

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021207123715.17907.00000899@mb-cs.aol.com...
> In article <uv45ttoa6qfi8a@corp.supernews.com>, "Kim"
> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>
> >> QSL information on the QRZ.COM listing for W5KID
> >>
> >> ...lest we forget...
> >>
> >
> >I wonder why "they"(?) didn't do a Special Event callsign--or is W5KID a
> >Special Event callsign?
>
> I think it is a club/event call.
>
> >My slow computer is trying to get to QRZ as I write
> >this, but I ain't waitin' around all mornin'! Anyway, I wonder why the
call
> >K5IDD wasn't used.
>
> Maybe it's already held. Or maybe they thought splitting the name "Kidd"
made
> it less recognizable than dropping the second D.
>
> I think the best special event call for that station would be K1DD.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

AWWWW!!! Yeah...man, they should have gotten ahold of us, first...<GRIN>

Kim W5TIT

3274. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 17:37:15 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021207123715.17907.00000899@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 57362

In article <uv45ttoa6qfi8a@corp.supernews.com>, "Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>> QSL information on the QRZ.COM listing for W5KID
>>
>> ...lest we forget...
>>
>
>I wonder why "they"(?) didn't do a Special Event callsign--or is W5KID a
>Special Event callsign?

I think it is a club/event call.

>My slow computer is trying to get to QRZ as I write
>this, but I ain't waitin' around all mornin'! Anyway, I wonder why the call
>K5IDD wasn't used.

Maybe it's already held. Or maybe they thought splitting the name "Kidd" made
it less recognizable than dropping the second D.

I think the best special event call for that station would be K1DD.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3275. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 17:47:19 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212070947.764f7c99@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57365

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021206225038.26037.00000582@mb-fi.aol.com>...
> >Dimbulb, there are no more waivers.
> >
>
> Bulbout, I know that, maybe if you go cry to the FCC they will give you one.

If I whined like you, they might even give me $250.

3276. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 17:49:47 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212070949.768f2d24@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57366

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DF169FB.DCDEC46F@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > But you said above that Bruce was in the same boat I was - no
> > paperwork. Which is it?
>
> You're obviously STILL cornfused, Confucius. Bruce's Extra shows up in the FCC database, lending credibility to his story, whatever
> the details. Yours does not, and remains just as vaporous as your official permission for your alleged Somalia operation.
> When it comes to credibility, RAP certainly isn't the only venue that values documentation. But you SHOULD have already known that.

So Bruce did or did not receive paperwork documentation? The VE did
or did not forward documentation to the FCC?

Can't have it both ways, Dick.

3277. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 17:53:06 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212070953.13c1b146@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57367

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3DF15602.B3FD7E84@earthlink.net>...
>
> Whoopie. You didn't, as you told us, pass "the Extra". If you did,
> you'd be one.
>
> Dave K8MN

But I did pass the Extra written. You saying "Whoopie" cannot change history.

3278. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 18:07:47 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212071007.22cc79fe@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57368

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DF16A55.2B291965@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > >
> > > Why demand to be archaic?
>
> Why let anyone off without knowing the very most basic radiocommunications method?

Because they can read about it in the history books.

It comes right after, "Pony Express."

3279. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 18:25:19 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uv4f8hs812235b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57369

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021207115151.19601.00000140@mb-fi.aol.com...
> In article <uv2tvlk37fm32c@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>
> >> >And, once again, I say that Riley has every right to believe and think
as
> >he
> >> >does. However, I disagree with his opinion. That you agree with it,
> >Larry,
> >> >is no surprise--as you've always proven to be nothing but a follower.
Ya
> >> >know...the Queen was once the "authority" where our forefathers lived.
> >> >Imagine if they'd let "authority" be the determining factor of their
> >> >decisions...
> >> >
> >> >Kim W5TIT
> >>
> >> Kim:
> >>
> >> I don't agree with Riley (that's MISTER Hollingsworth to you!)
> >>
> >
> >No, sorry, it's Riley.
>
> Kim:
>
> Listen up. I'm trying to teach you some respect for your betters. You
are not
> a mature, intelligent adult -- you are a selfish, self-centered child (or
at
> least,
> prone to act like one) and therefore you need to be taught how to show
proper
> respect for your superiors. Therefore, you must not refer to K4ZDH as
anything
> but "MISTER Hollingsworth." If you wish to join in those who can share in
> the adult prerogative of referring to him by his first name, you must
first
> prove
> your maturity by getting rid of your call sign.
>
> >> because he's
> >> an authority -- I agree with him because he's saying exactly the same

> >things
> >> I would be saying under similar circumstances. However, in this case,
he
> >> IS an authority, and his words carry weight.
> >>
> >
> >With you, they obviously do. I think Riley's quite wrong about any
> >extinction for the ARS.
>
> Well, little Kimmie, you're hardly in any position to be a judge of that,
now
> are you?
>
> >> You are a person who should
> >> NEVER disagree with authority, because of your proven track record of
> >>making wrong decisions.
> >>
>
> >>Your opinion. You are getting confused.
>
> You are the one who is confused, Kimmie. You're confused into thinking
that
> you're a mature, adult, responsible amateur radio operator. You are not.
You
> prove it every day you possess your stupid call sign.
>
> >> BTW, our forefathers, in their disagreement with the Crown of England,
> >> came over and started their own country, where they could run things in
> >> a manner which was more to their own liking. Please feel free to leave
> >the
> >> United States and do likewise. However, while you remain a U.S.
citizen
> >> and a licensee in the U.S. Amateur Radio Service, I'll thank you not to
> >> continue to create a demeaning and unwholesome atmosphere in our
> >> family-oriented service with your selfish, provocative, suggestive
Vanity
> >> call sign.
> >>
> >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >>
> >
> >Likewise to you, Larry, because I'm not going anywhere. I appreciate the
> >fact that my forefathers gave me the right to choose whatever callsign I
> >wish under the licensure system as administrated by the FCC. Talk to the
> >FCC if you're so shook up--*THAT* is where your whining *might* do you
some
> >good.
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
>

> You may have a "legal" right to choose a call sign which is harmful to the
> image of the ARS, but you have no moral right to do so. One of the
natural
> consequences of living in a country with great personal freedom is that
> people such as yourself have the freedom to make public spectacles out of
> yourselves. That's OK, as long as you're willing to accept the
consequences
> of your actions. One of the consequences you're going to have to accept
> is the condemnation of ham radio operators who do not appreciate your
> foolish expression of your self-serving imposition of a
sexually-suggestive
> call sign in what is widely regarded as a family-oriented hobbyist radio
> service. Anyone hearing it would not draw the conclusion that this is a
> wholesome activity for young people, but rather, just another extension of
> our permissive popular culture. Don't look now, but the last election has
> shown that America is rejecting the immaturity and immorality of the
> '60's liberals. YOU are an anachronism.
>
> I've always said that I thought you had it in you to change, and prove
that
> you're not the idiot we all think you are. I guess I'm wrong about that.
> Sorry
> to have to say it. I'm just glad I'm not you!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Here's the bottom line;
It's her right under our vanity call system to have that call sign if she
wants it. It's also our right under the same system NOT to have a
callsign like her's "ae" the naming of body parts or other risqu&#65533; things.

The thing is if you or I or anyone else doesn't "approve" of her call,
we don't have to call for her on the radio and talk now do we? We
are free just to ignore her or anyone else because that is OUR
freedom as well.

I am a B.A.C. and a church elder locally and I'm not comfortable
with her callsign either but it's her's and I'm not the one who is
going to "judge" whether or not she should have had it or not, HE is!

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"there is no rule against being stupid"
Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH

3280. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 18:29:35 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DF23DE8.C795B8D6@earthlink.net>
Source record: 57370

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DF15602.B3FD7E84@earthlink.net>...
> >
> > Whoopie. You didn't, as you told us, pass "the Extra". If you did,
> > you'd be one.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> But I did pass the Extra written. You saying "Whoopie" cannot change history.

You're right. My writing "Whoopie" makes you an Extra no more than your
writing that you "passed the Extra". You were not awarded an Extra
Class ticket for passing part of the exam.

Look on the bright side though: You got way closer to achieving it than
Leonard H.

Dave K8MN

3281. Re: k1man is paranoid needs prozac

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 18:33:37 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DF23EE9.4564167D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 57371
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

helmsman wrote:
>
> On Sat, 7 Dec 2002 10:45:31 -0500, "radiofunn"
> <dicinhereman@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >To quote k1man in a recent post delivered to
> >the 14.313 News Page
>
> I don't know if he needs meds but the government is full of scum that
> sell out for money or a later job as they know every way to get around
> something. And that is a fact!

And you know this to be fact because 1) you have a highly placed friend
who knows somebody who..., 2) you read it once in "Soldier of Fortune,
3) The guys on 75 meters were talking about it ?

Glenn Baxter peculiar enough to make Wayne Green look normal.

Dave K8MN

3282. Re: k1man is paranoid needs prozac

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 18:39:57 UTC
From: poopsmythe <lazyasshamops@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3df24118@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 57372
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"helmsman" <helmsman@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:iee4vukgpamj3dudn5qhckqqbehs1ieo19@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 7 Dec 2002 10:45:31 -0500, "radiofunn"
> <dicinhereman@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >To quote k1man in a recent post delivered to
> >the 14.313 News Page
>
> I don't know if he needs meds but the government is full of scum that
> sell out for money or a later job as they know every way to get around
> something. And that is a fact!

WTF does that comment have to do with the price-o-tea in China?

3283. Re: Lest We Forget

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 19:07:45 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uv4ho6b89p17f8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57373

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:uv4cpdiq3tn653@corp.supernews.com...
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021207123715.17907.00000899@mb-cs.aol.com...
> > In article <uv45ttoa6qfi8a@corp.supernews.com>, "Kim"
> > <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
> >
> > >> QSL information on the QRZ.COM listing for W5KID
> > >>
> > >> ...lest we forget...
> > >>
> > >
> > >I wonder why "they"(?) didn't do a Special Event callsign--or is W5KID
a
> > >Special Event callsign?
> >
> > I think it is a club/event call.

Call: W5KID

USS KIDD AMATEUR RADIO CLUB

> >
> > >My slow computer is trying to get to QRZ as I write
> > >this, but I ain't waitin' around all mornin'!

My computer can't get to QRZ.com today either, they
must be having problems again.

>Anyway, I wonder why the
> call
> > >K5IDD wasn't used.
> >
> > Maybe it's already held.

Call: K5IDD Class: General

TILLEMAN, WALTER A

> Or maybe they thought splitting the name "Kidd"
> made
> > it less recognizable than dropping the second D.
> >
> > I think the best special event call for that station would be K1DD.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >

Nice thought but;

Call: K1DD Class: Extra

DROST, DANIEL J

>
> AWWWW!!! Yeah...man, they should have gotten ahold of us, first...<GRIN>
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

When all else fails (ae QRZ) there is always WM7D.net at
http://www.wm7d.net/fcc_uls/
lot's of ways to search for callsigns or names.

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

3284. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 19:11:11 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021207141111.10605.00002541@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 57374

In article <20021207083652.13086.00000014@mb-fy.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <d22b54c3.0212070026.6782a65c@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
>(Brian Kelly) writes:
>
>>> How many HF transmitters were YOU ever responsible for,
>>> military, civilian, or otherwise? Answer quick..."the world
>>> wonders." :-)
>>
>>Welp lessee here . . we can start with my $5 24v Jeep 80m ARC-5 beeper
>>. . . 'course that was outside your "range" to deal with, yew can't
>>beep and yew ain't ALLOWED to put it on the air like I was. Then or
>>now. There's one. Ya want the rest of the list of HF transmitters I've
>>been 100% responsible for putting on the air?
>>
>There a big difference between "being responsible" for something that's
>installed and working, complete with training courses and instruction manuals,
>and being 100% responsible from inception to operation. Including financing.

Yes...irresponsibility in military communications can result in death
of yourself and comrades...or failure to destroy an enemy. Are
those small things below the exhalted level of radio amateurism?

Irresponsibility in civilian commercial communications seldom results
in more than a termination of wages (necessary to maintain life)...
although severe irresponsibility can result in imprisonment and fines.
Again, small things below radio amateurism?

Mr. N2EY wants to dismiss/denigrate other radio activities in
attempting to "compare" occupations with avocations. He is so
biased in that operation that there are no letters left in the alphabet
to classify that bias.

3285. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 19:11:27 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021207141127.10605.00002542@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 57375

In article <3DF2010E.72A76B76@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>"Kï¿½HB" wrote:
>
>> But skilled radio operators are more important than ever in every military
>> modern military.
>
> The standard battlefield "radio" of today is more the functional equivalent
of
>a cellphone. The commander dials up whatever subordinate with whom he needs to
>converse. No doubt there are skills involved in using that device, howeveryou
>do stretch things a bit by regarding him as a skilled radio operator. Skilled
>cellphone operator, maybe. It does require an engineering/maintenance staff to
>keep the system running. If you prefer to call that staff "skilled radio
>operators" that would be your privilege.

Hans was talking about NAVY radio operators, dickiebird. He was talking
about radio operators who operate radios, NOT morse keys.

If you want to talk about land battlefield radio operations, go ahead and
download FM 24-24 and see just how many 1994 signal devices are
"functional equivalents of cellphones." [it's a public release, even linked
on at least two ham websites]

Modern battlefield radios (including air and sea battlefields) have built-in
test features and the flexibility to operate in different configurations,
changeable in the field.

Civilian cellular telephones MUST have nearby cell sites to work. Those
don't exist in any battlefield...except when military people go out in the
field and put them there, antennas and all...without getting destroyed in
the process.

> At the squad level the communications gear is built into the helmet and
>operates VOX as needed.

Oh? Is that "standard" in today's Army, dickiebird? Or did you read
of it in Popular Electronics or a Tom Clancy novel and believe it the
"truth?" Go and read some more on the "radio built into a helmet" from
real, actual sources from the people who do military communicating.

The "helmet radio" concept failed field testing two decades ago despite
the Wonder PR press plugging going on in print media. The old H-33
handset fit nicely under the old metal helmet back in the Korean War
era of half a century ago and its offspring (relatively unchanged) work
just fine with the new fritz helmet. The earphone is loud enough (with

present audio output) to hear a call when it is clipped to a pack strap
by a shoulder. Still press-to-talk on the handset, too.

But...you've never been IN any field using small-unit Army radios, have
you? All you've done is read some PR pieces in newsy civilian press.

>I suppose that's skilled, also. No doubt there's an on'/off switch to learn
about.

There's a lot more...but I doubt you know the difference between a
hopset and Hop Sing (of Bonanza) on an AN/PRC-119. You could
download a graphics training aid on the controls...there's two of them,
one from Army signal school at Fort Gordon, another designed by
the USMC, both of them available through the Gordon site for the
last three years. A bit more than an "on/off switch."

> I'm not current on modern Navy technique -shucks, they may still even have
some
>HF gear still that needs a few simple knobs twisted, though the thrust has
>clearly been toward eliminating the role of radio operator as being another
>complicating unneeded link in the chain, and toward making the communications
>system as "transparent" as possible to users, which will significantly reduce
>the role of any operator. But you did say "military", which covers all
branches.

Want to see what a modern radio room on a USN missle submarine
as of 1995? Go to the site of the Federation of American Scientists
and see drawings of the layout with descriptive data, all reproduced
from USN documents. A LOT more than just "on/off swtiches."

No morse keys on Trident boomers. No morse keys on hunter-
killer subs, either. Warcraft aren't designed for hobby use, to have
fun in DX contests, or places to twist knobs without knowing fully
what those knobs do. ALL the military has one primary purpose,
that of destroying an enemy before the enemy destroys us.

There's NO hobby aspect to the military, NO room for casual fun
handling huge warships, supersonic aircraft, or guns/artillery that
can destroy thousands in a single firing. Errors in communications
can kill friendlies so the tools of warfare are designed, doctrined,
implemented to minimize errors as much as possible.

There's NO room for morsemen of the past century in military
communications of today. None. They made too many mistakes
and took too long to communicate in older days, required time-
consuming controls and checks to authenticate, needed too much
training from humans selected for that aptitude.

> BTW I knew a fella who had been a first class radioman on a submarine who
sure
>was no radio genius. The longer one knew him the more obvious it became that
he
>really hadn't learned much during his service time.

I've seen the outpourings of extra super-special class radio amateurs in here who clearly aren't even journey-man class metalities about radio, even after three to four decades as amateurs. They haven't learned much of anything except what ARRL spoon-feeds them in QST along with propaganda and mythology of morse.

My nephew was an electrician rating on a USN hunter-killer sub handling nuke power plant stuff. Not a "genius" but damn smart and a willingness to work, use his brain, and get jobs done. Did he pull snakes through conduit to wire houses? No. Submariners need a lot more skills than replacing light switches or bulbs. Nephew got married, left the USN, became an electrical power broker while living in a very up-scale suburb of Minneapolis. He didn't need to JUST know about "on/off switches" while in the USN...or in civilian life.

3286. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 19:20:22 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uv4ifni4cld598@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57376

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:uv459coifmi14c@corp.supernews.com...

<SNIP>

> Yep, it's definitely scary. Why ya think I turned to driving a nice, high
> truck? <BIG GRIN> Only thing is, you oughta see a young teen behind the
> wheel of one of those huge SUVs that Mommy and Daddy have either bought
for
> them or let them borrow!
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

Now THAT is scary! Think about it, a 16 year old, driving, listening to the
jams on the radio, talking on the cellphone, talking with the others in
there
with them, cruising around looking for guys/girls, AND DRIVING A TANK!

I wonder if any of the Bigfoots are for sale? ;^)

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

3287. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 19:59:12 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212071159.920e6e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57377

"K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:<%45I9.327$G4.46451@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote
>
>
> I'm getting long winded here, but I think you can start to grasp the
> complexity of modern military communications, and the above is very much
> oversimplified. Military communications people are not the "police
> dispatchers" that Brian Kelly mistakenly assumes,

Hogwash, I didn't state any such thing and you know it, that's a Putz
maneuver. No cigar.

> but products of extensive
> training and experience. Amateur radio indeed looks very Amateurish
> alongside these communications systems managers.

You do however get a cigar for the good post.

> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> Master Chief Radioman, US Navy

w3rv

3288. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 21:34:53 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021207163453.02799.00000162@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 57378

>Subject: Re: 34 Years Ago Today
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 12/7/02 1:11 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021207141111.10605.00002541@mb-fe.aol.com>

>Yes...irresponsibility in military communications can result in death
> of yourself and comrades...or failure to destroy an enemy. Are
> those small things below the exhalted level of radio amateurism?

Having never been in combat (by virtue of NOT having been awarded the Combat Infantry badge), how would you know? Rear Arew Widget Warstory Telling 101...???

>Irresponsibility in civilian commercial communications seldom results
> in more than a termination of wages (necessary to maintain life)...(SNIP)

Necessary to maintain lifeSTYLE, perhaps, but NOT necessary to maintain LIFE.

>..(UNSNIP)...although severe irresponsibility can result in imprisonment and fines.
> Again, small things below radio amateurism?

Hardly, since citizens have recently had to face prison for being too stupid to follow the few rules that are imposed on Amateur Radio.

>Mr. N2EY...(SNIP)

Jim Miccolis still continues to refer to Lennie as "Len" or "Leonard", yet Lennie continues to efer to Jim as anything BUT "Jim". Of course Lennie the Liar (excuse me...Lennie the Pathological Liar) has repeatedly said words to the effect that he only treats those who treat him in a certain way with certain responses. Of course "rules" are for everyone EXCEPT the Grand High Exhalted Liar.

One more reason to NOT let your babies grow up to be "proferssional radio engineers".

>...wants to dismiss/denigrate other radio activities in
> attempting to "compare" occupations with avocations.

Of course this is interesting...coming from a guy who tries to make himself look noble by associating himself with Soldiers who died in combat 3 years before he was "in-theater".

>He is so
> biased in that operation that there are no letters left in the alphabet
> to classify that bias.

As opposed to the bias YOU demonstrate against those who have what you covet, yet denigrate due to your OWN incompetence?

Whew, Lennie...Heavy stuff for such a loser.......

Steve, K4YZ

3289. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 21:37:47 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021207163747.02799.00000163@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 57379

>Subject: Re: 34 Years Ago Today
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 12/7/02 1:11 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021207141127.10605.00002542@mb-fe.aol.com>

>But...you've never been IN any field using small-unit Army radios, have
> you? All you've done is read some PR pieces in newsy civilian press.

Whew! Lennie! Right between the "pot/kettle/black" eyes there buddy boy!
If it weren't for click-and-paste and Aviation Week and Space Technology, YOU'D
be LOST!

And please tell us again about how much time YOU spent in the field and
WHEN...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

3290. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 22:32:07 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <HFuI9.9823$kz2.1028347@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57380

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote

: It does require an engineering/maintenance staff to
: keep the system running. If you prefer to call that staff "skilled radio
: operators" that would be your privilege.

Apparently the Navy considers it their privilege also.

See the course description below (current as of a couple days ago) for an
82-week technical course for HF/UHF/EHF/SHF ***OPERATORS***. Do you think
they spend in excess of 19 months, and require a Secret clearance in order
to teach PTT?

Been there, done that, and taught the course. I can assure you we got
significantly past the PTT switch. I could tell you how far past, but then
I'd have to kill you. <BSEG>

Come back when you can muster up at least one fact which proves me wrong.

73, de Hans, K0HB
Master Chief Radioman, US Navy

TITLE: TRANSMISSION SYSTEMS TECHNICIAN
STATUS: A
STATUS DATE: 10/10/2001
DATA CURRENT AS OF: 12/5/2002
COURSE LENGTH: 82 WEEKS
COURSE SECURITY: SECRET
TYPE COURSE: C1 ENLISTED NEC
SKILL AWARD: NEC 2379 TRANSMISSION SYSTEM TECHNICIAN EFF DATE: 10-OCT-2001

PURPOSE: To provide the Information Systems Technician (IT) with the
knowledge and skills required to operate communication equipment in the High
Frequency/Ultra High Frequency Operator (a-260-0059), Extremely High
Frequency/Automated Digital Network System Operator (A-260-0058), and Super
High Frequency Operator (A-260-0060) courses.

3291. Re: k1man is paranoid needs prozac

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 22:58:27 UTC
From: KJ <radiokj@radiokjhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <astuehjtf0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 57381
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

There are thugs in the FCC who take confiscated electronic
gear for their personal use, fraudulently completing paperwork
in the process. The FCC has more of its share of thugs than
they would care to admit, then be forced to prosecute. Very
embarrassing, especially when many of those thugs are in positions
of trust and/or management.

KJ

"helmsman" <helmsman@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:iee4vukgpamj3dudn5qhckqqbehs1ieo19@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 7 Dec 2002 10:45:31 -0500, "radiofunn"
> <dicinhereman@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
> >To quote k1man in a recent post delivered to
> >the 14.313 News Page
>
> I don't know if he needs meds but the government is full of scum that
> sell out for money or a later job as they know every way to get around
> something. And that is a fact!

3292. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 22:58:54 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212071458.6dfee319@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57382

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3DF23DE8.C795B8D6@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DF15602.B3FD7E84@earthlink.net>...
> > >
> > > Whoopie. You didn't, as you told us, pass "the Extra". If you did,
> > > you'd be one.
> > >
> > But I did pass the Extra written. You saying "Whoopie" cannot change history.
>
> You're right. My writing "Whoopie" makes you an Extra no more than your
> writing that you "passed the Extra". You were not awarded an Extra
> Class ticket for passing part of the exam.
>
> Look on the bright side though: You got way closer to achieving it than
> Leonard H.

How would you know? Have you been stalking Len?

3293. Re: k1man is paranoid needs prozac

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 23:32:11 UTC
From: GeorgeF <av8tor@licensed4fun.com>
Message-ID: <3DF284FB.3000902@licensed4fun.com>
Source record: 57383
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

KJ wrote:
> There are thugs in the FCC who take confiscated electronic
> gear for their personal use, fraudulently completing paperwork
> in the process. The FCC has more of its share of thugs than
> they would care to admit, then be forced to prosecute. Very
> embarrassing, especially when many of those thugs are in positions
> of trust and/or management.
>
> KJ
>

mmmh sounds like our local police department (Daytona Beach, FL).

George
http://www.MilAirComms.com <-- HF, VHF, UHF Military Freqs, recorded
audio and MORE!

3294. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-07 23:37:18 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021207183718.06308.00000865@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 57384

In article <cgpI9.273411$1O2.17299@sccrnsc04>, "Jackie L."
<buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20021207103731.03466.00000017@mb-fz.aol.com...
>
>> Dave Barry on that song:
>>
>> "You're in the DESERT. There's NOTHING to do. NAME THE FREAKIN' HORSE!!!"
>
>ROTFL. Gotta love Dave Barry!

I am not making this up.
>
>> >Back from the local radio store, finally having decided what to buy for
>> >myself for Christmas.
>> >
>> Which is?
>
>I bought a 2 meter/70 cm FT-90R, power supply, SWR meter, and an antenna...
>I'm putting it all up today. The little radio is only about 5" x 5" square.
>Teensy and just perfect for the tiny table I have to put it on.

COOL!

Only thing about those "pack of smokes" rigs is ya hafta be careful not to
leave 'em in a shirt pocket. They don't like being run through the wash.
>
>The HF rig I want (which I have not bought yet) is the IC-718. I plan to
>pick one of these up before the end of the year.

Nice lil' rig. I dunno what the price difference is or the size of your radio
piggybank, but you might consider a look at the new FT-897.

> Hopefully I will have
>passed the code exam sometime in January, then I can start using at least
>some of the HF bands.

How's the code learning going, btw?
>
>I have a problematic situation in my yard where large antennas are
>concerned. Too many trees and powerlines near my house, as well as no
>ability to put a large antenna up on my roof, leave me to believe that I'll
>need to use a well-tuned long wire that's attached to my roofline somehow. A
>friend of mine actually modified his friend's steel gutters and they were
>able to use them as an antenna- guess it worked very well too. But that is

>something I don't know enough about to attempt myself!

Possibilities:

- Attic dipole or wire
- Horizontal loop around the house or yard
- Vertical

Hope your house doesn't have aluminum siding...

btw - when you go for the code, try the General written, even if you're "not ready". It's good practice, there's no extra fee and you might surprise yourself.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3295. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 00:56:46 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <iNwI9.279299$WL3.93310@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 57385

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021207183718.06308.00000865@mb-fm.aol.com...
> In article <cgpI9.273411$1O2.17299@sccrnsc04>, "Jackie L."
> <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:

> >ROTFL. Gotta love Dave Barry!

> I am not making this up.

... you SWEAR you are not making this up...?! :-) So what's your favorite Dave Barry book then? I have several; I've never been able to choose between 'em. They are all gut-achingly hilarious. I don't think it's possible for that man to NOT be funny.

> COOL!

Unfortunately, I ran out of daylight before I got the antenna on my roof-- I had some problems with the soldering gun. Had to go to the hardware store and buy a new one. By the time I got home there was only about 45 minutes of daylight left to get everything up there and hooked up. So it'll be tomorrow before I have the whole thing up and running.

> Only thing about those "pack of smokes" rigs is ya hafta be careful not to
> leave 'em in a shirt pocket. They don't like being run through the wash.

Er, yeah!! Good advice.

> Nice lil' rig. I dunno what the price difference is or the size of your
radio
> piggybank, but you might consider a look at the new FT-897.

I have considered that one too, but I've not seen one up close yet. It's not so much the money that's the issue really, the IC-718 has all the goodies I want and it appears to be a good rig for beginners like myself, but one that I can have for a long time. Do you have the FT-897? If so, do you like it?

> How's the code learning going, btw?

I'm actually surprised by how quickly I've picked it up. I have heard that it's easier for people to learn code if they know how to play a musical instrument, which I do. I am at stage now where I'm just building up some speed. I've learned all the letters, #'s and prosigns that were given on the CD's, and now it's just practicing. I think I'll be able to pass the code test in about another few weeks or so, but I've decided to wait until after New Years to take the exam. I still cannot practice daily, but I seem to be retaining what I've learned.

> Possibilities:
>
> - Attic dipole or wire

We just have a crawlspace... a wire strung somewhere around the house or roof might work. I may have to get clever in how I hook it up to the house.

> - Horizontal loop around the house or yard

This is the way I'm leaning.

> - Vertical

An R8 Cushcraft vertical was the first thing I looked at, but there is nowhere in my yard to stick one where it would not fall on a power line if it did fall. We've got a several of them to the rear in my yard, plus my place abuts a park too, so there are lots of kids running around and I'd be afraid that one of them would run into the antenna or mess with it.

> Hope your house doesn't have aluminum siding...

no, thank goodness. It's wood.

> btw - when you go for the code, try the General written, even if you're "not
> ready". It's good practice, there's no extra fee and you might surprise
> yourself.

Ehh... I may wait for that. I've done several of the Java online General quizzes, just using what I know know for answers, and am not even close to passing yet. I know that if I took the General now and didn't pass, it would not be a reflection on my study habits or anything, but I just don't know how good of an idea it is to go into a test, knowing you're not ready, and expecting to fail. Psychologically speaking, it may be discouraging, even though I know I could and will learn the material eventually.

73 de Jackie

"In the old days, it was not called the Holiday Season; the Christians called it 'Christmas' and went to church; the Jews called it 'Hanukkah' and went to synagogue; the atheists went to parties and drank. People passing each other on the street would say 'Merry Christmas!' or 'Happy Hanukkah!' or (to the atheists) 'Look out for the wall!" - Dave Barry

3296. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 03:01:03 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <PByI9.42950$vM1.3420729@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 57386

K7JEB:
>> Dewey beat Truman in '48......

>> Oh, wait, he didn't either, but the
>> postwar boom was in full swing in '52
>> and the whole country was becoming more
>> conservative, pro-business, anti-communist
>> and, perhaps, a little less tolerant of
>> arbitrary government regulation.

N2EY:
> Possibly. But the change took place before
> Ike was inaugurated in January of 53.

K7JEB:
My thinking was along the lines of how
"Republican" the Clinton administration
became in its closing years.

I was all of 10 years old in '52, so it
was just a swirl of "I like Ike" buttons
to me back then.

K7JEB:
>> The years during and following WWII saw
>> radiotelephone come into technological
>> maturity (as did SSB a decade later, as
>> Bill Sohl pointed out) and one no longer
>> had to be a "radio genius" to put a
>> 'phone rig on the air on any amateur
>> band.

N2EY:
> That was true in the late '30s. And it
> still took quite a bit of knowledge to
> put a 'phone rig with a good quality
> signal on the air in 1952.

K7JEB:
It did, but there were a good many WW2
vets back in civvy's then who had cut
their teeth on BC-610's and kept fleets
of ART-13's in (and on) the air. I don't
think AM was quite the mystery it had
been before the war. And then there
was that windfall of surplus command

sets that made quite acceptable AM
right out of the box from Fair Radio.

K7JEB:
>> It was at least technically possible to
>> expand the privileges. And ham radio had
>> shown itself to be a "Good Thing" during
>> WWII and so, perhaps, deserving of government-
>> policy encouragement and growth.

N2EY:
> Part of that Good Thing, however, was that
> hams knew code and theory already, and needed
> less training than raw recruits.

K7JEB:
Very true. Consider the perception of a
gov't policy-maker, though. All the gov't
has to do is throw frequency allocations
at these folks and they train themselves
up in technical and operating skills and
then flood the enlistment centers when
the next Big One comes around. And in
1952, it seemed like Big One #3 was just
around the corner with the Russkies and
the Red Chinese. Definitely going to
need a lot of radio ops for a land war
in Asia.

N2EY:
> I think the biggest driving force behind
> the FCC's sudden change of heart was
> the desire to get hams using and working
> on SSB. The original 1951 restructuring
> would have reduced the flow of new hams to
> HF 'phone, because it would have required
> post-Jan 1 1953 hams to get Extras in order
> to use HF phone on the bands between 2 and
> 25 MHz. Less crowding, fewer 'phone hams,
> less need for SSB and fewer hams working on it.

> Open up those bands to Generals and
> Conditionals, and there would be enough crowding
> to push SSB use by hams.

That's a very interesting idea. That *was* the
ultimate effect of over-populating the 'phone
bands with DSB-AM - encouraging the transition
to SSB. To be honest, though, it strains my
credulity to think that the FCC could be *that*
forward-looking (and that Machiavellian). It
seems much more straightforward to think that

someone saw the regulations as overly restrictive
and passed the word down. It was definitely
a turnaround, though, and on short notice.

N2EY:
> So let's be proactive. Suppose S25.5 goes
> away next year, and FCC decides to dump
> Element 1. What else should FCC change
> in the ham license structure?

K7JEB:
In my opinion, not a thing. There are so
many disincentives to getting into amateur
radio right now that I honestly believe that
the FCC could print ham tickets on Wheaties
box tops and we still wouldn't see growth
in either VHF or HF operation beyond what
we are experiencing now. All operator
licensing should do is make sure amateur
radio operators don't interfere with the
other users of the radio spectrum.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb (at) arrl (dot) net
Glendale, Arizona

3297. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 03:01:04 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <QByI9.42951$vM1.3420730@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 57387

K7JEB:
>> But I'll stick with my assertion about pre-packaged 9 MHz
>> crystal filters being one of the enabling technologies
>> for low-cost SSB transceivers.

N2EY:
> Trouble is, the facts disprove it. None of the lowcost
> SSB transceivers used 9 MHz filters. And the real cheapies
> (Eico 753, HW12/22/32) used discrete-xtal filters, not
> prepackaged ones.

K7JEB:
OK, OK. I'll backpedal some more and say that somehow
the cost of crystal filters, however implemented and
on whatever IF frequency, did come down during this
period and they became a more predominant component
in the architecture of amateur radio SSB transceivers.

> But you've got the basic idea. Phasing really took
> off with the introduction of packaged audio phasing
> networks like the B&W 2Q4/350 (there were others).
> Filter took off with the development of lowcost xtal
> filters in the HF range.

OK..... Whew!

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb (at) arrl (dot) net
Glendale, Arizona

3298. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 03:31:34 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DF2BCFF.EEE2652F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 57388

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DF23DE8.C795B8D6@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DF15602.B3FD7E84@earthlink.net>...
> > > >
> > > > Whoopie. You didn't, as you told us, pass "the Extra". If you did,
> > > > you'd be one.
> > > >
> > > But I did pass the Extra written. You saying "Whoopie" cannot change history.
> > >
> > You're right. My writing "Whoopie" makes you an Extra no more than your
> > writing that you "passed the Extra". You were not awarded an Extra
> > Class ticket for passing part of the exam.
> >
> > Look on the bright side though: You got way closer to achieving it than
> > Leonard H.
>
> How would you know? Have you been stalking Len?

An interesting insight into how your mind works...
Yeah, that's it. I've been stalking Len from several thousand miles
away.

Dave K8MN

3299. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 03:33:46 UTC
From: lbudney-google@nb.net
Message-ID: <417b917a.0212071933.f4dd1af@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57389

"KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote:
>
> It's her right under our vanity call system to have that call sign...
> I am a B.A.C. and a church elder...I'm not the one who is going to
> "judge"...

Am I mistaken, or is obscene and offensive language a violation of FCC regs? Kim has made it plain enough on this forum that she specifically requested the callsign because she is pleased by its potentially obscene interpretation. She has also claimed that "hundreds" of contacts informed her that they "loved" her callsign. Seems like a clear enough case of violation...has anyone lodged their objections with the FCC?

On balance, she certainly isn't single-handedly destroying the hobby. But she's certainly one more hole in the dam. (As are the hams that cuss on the air. How have things gotten this way? Reminds me of CB radio in the mid '70s.)

--Len.

3300. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 03:37:04 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212071937.1ecfc3d5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57390

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20021207000321.02260.00000366@mb-mc.aol.com>...
> In article <f45722ac.0212061540.549b8175@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >> So...how come Elecraft doesn't show a Miccolis as the designer
> >> of the K2? ["I only operate ham gear that I DESIGNED and built
> >> myself"- Mr. N2EY]
> >
> >Len, just stop it with the facts. They're not going to get you
> >anywhere.
>
> I know that insofar as the regulars in this chatroom are
> concerned. They like the cameraderie of fish stories among
> the "higher classes" and emulating the standards and
> practices of the 1930s in the 2000s.

There's nothing like "The Great Depression" to make you want to return
to the bad old days. Maybe I'll go collect some coal by the railroad
track and reminesce. Wait, they're diesel now and its illegal to play
on the tracks.

I guess some things really do change.

> >Go learn the Morse (/Farnsworth) Code, pick up ice cubes with your
> >buttock cheeks, and when whacked with a Titanic Lifeboat Oar, say,
> >"Thank you, Sir. May I have another."
> >
> >Or something like that
>
> Heh heh heh...been there, done that a lonnnnnng time ago.

I rented the movie - Animal House.

> You can even see where I was billeted in the November 10,
> 2002 issue of the Pacific Stars and Stripes (Sunday edition).
> That's on line at www.estripes.com. Use the search box on
> the home page with key words "Hardy Barracks."
>
> Didn't need mort's code then nor later. :-)

Tell me about the Flower sisters.

Tokyo's Hardy Barracks was home away from home for U.S. soldiers in
1950s

By Rick Chernitzer, Stars and Stripes
Stripes Sunday magazine, November 10, 2002

Photos courtesy of Leonard Anderson
The main entrance to Hardy Barracks and the offices of the U.S. Army's central command in 1953, decorated for Christmas.

A soldier, Gerald Brame from Iowa, writes a letter to his family. Only one locker cabinet is visible in the photo, but the soldiers got a second one for civilian clothes. Foot lockers were kept on wooden stands for convenience, and shoes and boots went under the aluminum foot locker.

Soldiers on their way home to Hardy Barracks pause for a photo opportunity.

The Flower Sisters band plays at Hardy Barracks' fourth-floor Service Club.

For a young GI serving his Pacific tour in the '50s, there was one place to be: Hardy Barracks in central Tokyo.

Leonard Anderson has a memory of the installation as vivid as the color photographs he took of the facility when he was stationed there almost 50 years ago.

3301. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 03:37:21 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021207223721.04214.00001073@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 57391

In article <uv459coifmi14c@corp.supernews.com>, "Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

(N2EY wrote):

>> >I really am beginning to wonder if there isn't some bit of insecurity on
>> >behalf of folks who just don't want to believe kids can master the
>> >material
>> >enough to pass a test for amateur radio.
>>
>> BINGO, Kim!

Why else would someone propose a minimum age requirement for a ham license,
even though they have absolutely ZERO evidence that licensing young people
causes problems in the ARS?

>> >Unless it's changed since my youngest son was in school, Texas doesn't
>> >even
>> >provide road tests any more. If the kid (or person) passes driving
>> >school
>> >(in my son's case, Driver's Educastion), they take a little piece of
>> >paper
>> >to the DOT Office and get their license. Seriously...
>>
>> That's scary. Seriously scary.

>Yep, you bet it is.

It's where "Get the government off our backs" leads...

>I don't know what the statistics would show--haven't
>checked it out--but I think I'd be willing to bet that the accident rate has
>really escalated. I don't know...I just feel a lot better with a DPS cop
>giving the road test. I mean, he's/she's going to be a lot more sensitive
>to things that can happen on the road because they are the ones "sending
>forth" (or not) the driver taking the test.

'zactly. Also, said cop has little or no emotional/personal connection to the
person being tested, aiding objectivity in what is obvioulsy a subjective
testing situation..
>
>Yeah, lemmee think--Nick (my youngest) was 16 when all this was going on, so
>that was around 1992. I haven't been around any teen-agers since then,
>except for my hubby's kids but we've never talked about it. I'll ask them
>next time we see them and find out how it was when they were going to
>school...though that would have been just a few years behind Nick.
>

>Yep, it's definitely scary.

I wonder whose idea it was....

> Why ya think I turned to driving a nice, high
>truck?

You told us it was because of the way it looked.

>Only thing is, you oughta see a young teen behind the
>wheel of one of those huge SUVs that Mommy and Daddy have either bought for
>them or let them borrow!
>
Mummy and Daddy do that because they think such things are safe!

--

It would be interesting to see the appropriate stats on that. PA is going in the opposite direction - actually raising the driving age due to the terrible accident records of 16-17 year olds. Unlike amateur radio licensing, younger drivers have a disproportionate share of problems behind the wheel.

Here's another fun stat: Guess which states have the best and worst divorce stats?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3302. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 03:38:29 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212071938.11cdc88@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57392

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20021207000320.02260.00000365@mb-mc.aol.com>...
> In article <f45722ac.0212061829.6d5e2773@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >That killfile just isn't working. Can you say "moth?" Can you say
> >"Coleman Lantern?"
>
> There isn't a Coleman Lantern bright enough to outshine
> the fire in this terminal shadenfreud's eye balls.
>
> :-)

Reminds me of the ArcLight Memorial on Andy AFB, Guam.

3303. Re: 90 Years Ago This Month

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 03:40:43 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212071940.2533339e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57393

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20021207000319.02260.00000364@mb-mc.aol.com>...
> In article <f45722ac.0212061826.116a5652@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >> >They were self-tested. On the air.
> >>
> >> "Self-tested?!?" Did they get it in WRITING? :-)
> >
> >Self-tested? WTF, Over?
> >
> >Dick, Jim, and Dave need to go give Hiram's grave a good drenching for
> >operating w/o a license.
>
> ...they could at least sing kaddish to him...it would be
> fitting at this time.
>
> Or get his ashes into a 6H6 tube and give it to Kellie for
> DSPing with 40 bits. :-)

That would give a whole new meaning to "dead bug" construction.

3304. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was:Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 03:42:19 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212071942.1b2a21a5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57394

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<uv2u39ngti0i72@corp.supernews.com>...
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:f45722ac.0212061845.2e30ef7c@posting.google.com...
> > yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
> news:<20021206022639.00704.00002557@mb-ba.aol.com>...
> > > In article <uv0arve3eflta0@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
> > > <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
> > >
> > > >And the Bureau and Riley both have a point. On the side of
> > > >Constitutionality, I'd err on the side of the Bureau. Though, I have
> heard
> > > >of some callsigns "they" will not issue. I'm not so sure about Riley's
> > > >statement that "you sure wouldn't see that on a license plate." I've
> never
> > > >applied for my callsign on a license plate--but I'll certainly y'all
> know
> > > >what happens if and when I do. It'd be my guess I'll post the picture
> of my
> > > >plates on a website. But, maybe Riley's right.
> > > >
> > > >Kim W5TIT
> > >
> > > Gee, Kim, d'ya think? And if so, what do you intend to do about it?
> Would
> > > you actually do the right thing and change your call, or are you going
> to
> > > continue to take the ARS "...one step closer to extinction" ? As I've
> stated
> > > before, I believe you have it in you to do the right thing.
> > >
> > > BTW, I checked qrz.com, and W3TIT apparently wasn't issued, or just
> > > hasn't showed up on the database yet. It will be interesting to see
> what
> > > happens.
> > >
> > > 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
> > So, Larry, what was Riley's opinion of you wanting to sell off two
> > meters and 440 to the cordless phone people? Did he think your
> > rantings about "welfare mothers of color with their hands out" was a
> > proper representation of the Technician class licensees?
> >
> > Then there was the "Knuckle dragging 4-lander with a Southern accent"

> > you so often referred to. I'm thinking Riley has a 4 area call.
> > Lemme check, K4ZDH. Yep. Is Riley a knuckle dragging 4-lander with a
> > Southern accent? Would you say it to his face? Would that bring
> > -you- one step closer to extinction?
> >
> > Oh well, I'm probably kill-filed.
> >
> > But before I go, do you recall what you said about your "favorite
> > Black on the bus?"
> >
> > It's in the archives.
>
> Now, now, Brian. We all know what Larry does and says is quite different
> from what he wishes other people to say and do...ROFLMAO...
>
> Kim W5TIT

Kim, we long ago coined that the "PCTA Double Standard." It's alive
and well on RRAP.

3305. Re: k1man is paranoid needs prozac

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 03:42:57 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DF2BFC1.9070103@mailcity.net>
Source record: 57504
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

helmsman wrote:
> On Sat, 7 Dec 2002 10:45:31 -0500, "radiofunn"
> <dicinhereman@hotmail.com> wrote:
>
>
>>To quote k1man in a recent post delivered to
>>the 14.313 News Page
>
>
> I don't know if he needs meds but the government is full of scum that
> sell out for money or a later job as they know every way to get around
> something. And that is a fact!

Please post a reliable, verifiable source of that fact.

3306. Re: k1man is paranoid needs prozac

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 03:44:22 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DF2C016.2030500@mailcity.net>
Source record: 57505
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

KJ wrote:
> There are thugs in the FCC who take confiscated electronic
> gear for their personal use, fraudulently completing paperwork
> in the process. The FCC has more of its share of thugs than
> they would care to admit, then be forced to prosecute. Very
> embarrassing, especially when many of those thugs are in positions
> of trust and/or management.
>
> KJ

Can you post a source to back up those statements?

3307. Re: Why should I care????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 03:44:51 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212071944.427efdde@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57395
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"pkgojak" <pkgojak@mydeja.com> wrote in message
news:<3df1564a$1_10@news.newsgroups.com>...

> I check in here once every 5 years...it's enough. Same old people hashing
> the same old thing. -

Never heard of you. Next time leave a calling card.

3308. Re: RRAP Intro to Markie Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 03:47:15 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212071947.41048cec@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57396

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3DF14FE0.42EA4F04@earthlink.net>...
> k@k.com wrote:
> >
> > On 06 Dec 2002 20:15:34 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote:
>
> > you keep geting it half right activities are different from
> > preferences and where and when you said it. indeed i can also lie
> > about such interests a solder can walk into a gay bar if he or she
> > chooses check your facts
>
> I think I've heard that one: A Roll of Kester .032 60/40 walks into a
> gay bar. Bartender says, "We don't get many solders in here"...
>
> Dave K8MN

Then the solder breaks a bottle of the bartender's head. Just before
passing out, the bartender tells the solder to get the flux out of
here.

3309. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 03:51:13 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DF2C1B1.5080004@mailcity.net>
Source record: 57506

W5TIT - Kim wrote:

> At least you recognize that. And, it is your perfect right to believe it is
> a public spectacle I make of myself, Larry. However, I'd remind you that it
> takes many kinds to make up a world. My kind, your kind, all kinds. I
> think that's a concept that you actually have a problem with.

No, it doesn't take many kinds to make up a world, we just happen
to have all kinds.

3310. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 03:53:15 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DF2C22B.606@mailcity.net>
Source record: 57507

KB9TMP wrote:

> "there is no rule against being stupid"
> Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH

And no rules against bad taste.

3311. ARNewsline 1321 - Dec 6 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 04:05:06 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021207230506.05802.00011468@mb-ms.aol.com>
Source record: 57397

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1321 – December 6, 2002

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1321 with a release date of Friday, December 6, 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T. North Korea takes its only ham off the air and the first digital voice Q-S-O across the Atlantic. These stories are first on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1321 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

INTERNATIONAL – N. KOREA: LAST HAM FORCED OFF THE AIR

The Peoples Republic of Korea appears to no longer want Amateur Radio operating from its soil. It made its intention clear when it asked its only ham ito pack his gear and send it out of the country. Jeramy Boot, G4NJH, in Nottingham England has the details:

--
Ed, P5/4L4FN, the only radio amateur operating legally from North Korea, has had to close down. On the evening of the 22nd of November, Ed was called into a meeting with the North Korean Radio Regulation Board. Without any explanation he was politely asked to stop all transmissions and to pack up all his radio equipment.

Ed spent the following day dissembling his antennas and packing boxes. That afternoon government officials sealed all the boxes and Ed has been told to take everything out of the country when he leaves North Korea for a two-week holiday on the 10th of December.

Jeramy Boot, G4NJH
--

With Ed now off the air, ham radio is gone from North Korea and nobody expects it to be resumed anytime in the near future. It also puts North Korea back near the top of D-X'ings most wanted list.
More on this story is at www.amsatnet.com/p5.html. (RSGB)

**

EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: DIGITAL HAM AUDIO SPANS THE ATLANTIC

Two ham radio communications pioneers have achieved a major breakthrough. The first two-way digital voice transmission across the Atlantic via Amateur Radio. Norm Seeley, KI7UP tells us who did it and how it was accomplished.

--
Doug Smith, KF6DX, and Didier Chulot, F5MJN, have successfully transmitted and received HF digital speech signals between Sevierville, Tennessee and Paris, France. It happened on Friday, November 22 nd.

The first historic contact took place on 21.218 MHz in the 15 meter band. Chulot spoke with Smith for several minutes using a high-frequency digital link within a 3-kHz bandwidth. Signal strengths were S-5 to S-7 and readability was solid in both directions.

The feat was accomplished using Ten-Tec transceivers and digital audio systems from Thales Communications.

--
DEMO AUDIO HERE
--

The Q-S-O demonstrated the advantages of digital audio for two way radio. This includes noise-free, FM-like reception and the potential for simultaneous voice and data exchange.

With an eye toward the digital future of Amateur Radio, I'm Norm Seeley, KI7UP.
--

The Ten-Tec/Thales system used in this experiment is based on a new international broadcasting standard for digital radio adopted last year by the International Telecommunications Union. The FCC has approved a similar standard for broadcasting in the United States. An Amateur Radio version is expected to appear on the market sometime in 2003. (KF6DX, Ten-Tec)

**

EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: PPW PROPOSES ELECTRONIC INFRASTRUCTURE TO WARN PUBLIC OF DANGER

A group of U.S. communications security experts has recommended that Americans be alerted to impending danger over their wireless devices. Amateur Radio Newsline's Fred Vobbe, W-8-H-D-U, takes a look at this new concept in emergency notification:

--
The Partnership for Public Warning says that a national wireless system could send alerts to citizens via their pagers, mobile phones or computer screens.

The Partnership for Public Warning is a newly formed thirty-member advisory body that includes representatives of government agencies, relief agencies and even a ham radio operator. And on Monday, November 25th it released a report that says conventional media broadcasts may spread alerts too slowly in instances of pending natural disaster or terrorist threat.

P-P-W says that the newly formed Department for Homeland Security should take responsibility for leading development of a national all-hazard public warning architecture. This, in partnership with other federal agencies, state and local governments, industry, universities and other stakeholders. The technology itself would be produced by private industry with the alert system

operated by governments and selected other organizations following a strict set of alert protocols.

P-P-W says that improved warning systems and procedures will reduce losses from natural and man-made disasters, and will speed recovery. Most important is that it will save lives.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Fred Vobbe, W8HDU

--

President Bush cited quick responses to attacks as a priority of the new Department of Homeland Security which will consolidate parts of 22 departments to better prevent attacks in the wake of the September 11, 2001 terrorist attacks in which some 3,000 people died.

information about the Partnership For Public Warning is on the web at http://www.partnershipforpublicwarning.org/ppw (Published reports)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the KB6JAG repeater serving Hemet California.

(5 sec pause here)

**

HAM RADIO GROWTH: GOOD NUMBERS TO US

The folks on that "other band" might call this “almost good numbers.” This with word that the number of radio amateurs licensed by the Federal Communications Commission is at a five-year high. But there are some caveats. Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, has more:

--
According to the CQ Magazine website, FCC numbersshow a total United States ham population of 684,498 as of October 31st. And breakdowns provided by Joe Speroni, A-H-Zero-A, say that the primary growth has come among Amateur Extra Classand General Class licensees. Extra is now breaking the 100,000 point for the first time.

Technician Class figures have essentially held steady. Also we had 20,000 new hams entering the hobby in the year ending October 31st.

License renewal statistics were also encouraging with the renewal rate climbing from an abysmal 45% two years ago to a better, but still unacceptable, rate of 55% in the past year.

Better numbers, but not the best.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, in Los Angeles.
--

More on licensing figures are on the web at www.speroni.com/fcc (CQ)

**

HAM RADIO IN THE PUBLIC EYE: THE ATLANTC MONTHLY

The November 26th edition of Atlantic Monthly Magazine On-Line has some good words about ham radio and its role in homeland security. In an article titled America's Secret Weapon in the War on Terror, one of the groups singled out for attention is the nations corps of Amateur Radio operators. Its described in the article as an ever-ready line of emergency communications.

The story goes on to say that America boasts 650,000 licensed ham-radio operators. It quotes he ARRL's Mary Hobart as saying that about 1,500 of them are fully certified in emergency communications and are prepared to rush to the scene of a forest fire, flood, or hurricane with a jump bag full of portable radio equipment. And says Hobart, That can be crucial.

Atlantic Monthly notes that when phone lines, radio towers, and even satellites go down, ham operators -- broadcasting each to each other , and operating on batteries or generator -- stay on the air. And says Atlantic Monthly, after the terrorist attacks of 911 when New York's cell phones turned into paperweights hundreds of hams, some from as far away as Texas and California, turned out to provide radio lifelines for emergency workers and relief agencies.

The article is must reading for everyone involved in Amateur Radio public relations. It can be found at the U-R-L listed in this weeks Amateur Radio Newsline print edition.

The complete article is at
http://www.theatlantic.com/politics/nj/rauch2002-11-26.htm (KS2G)

**

ENFORCEMENT: FCC INVESTIGATING INTERFERENCE TO EMERGENCY TRAFFIC IN LOS ANGELES

The FCC is looking into allegations that two Los angeles area hams interfered with a distress call on an area repeater. The FCC's Daryl Duckworth, NN0W explains:

--
"The Enforcement Bureau has opened a case involving allegations of deliberate interference to emergency communications on the N6SAP repeater in the Los Angeles area. Anton W. Johnson, N6OAY, and Ledge Musselman, KC6NCN, are being asked to explain the allegations of the operations on October 2.

Information is also being sought from the repeater licensee. Scott Press.

This mater is being taken very seriously by the Enforcement Bureau since emergency communications is one of the foundations of Amateur Radio."
--

The FCC claims that Johnson and Musselman interfered with attempts to relay emergency traffic on the 147.435 repeater at about 9:45 a.m. on October 2nd.

Press is being asked to explain what action he is taking in this matter. He is also been directed to summarize any conversations he may have had with the licensees against whom the allegations have been made. The FCC says that Press must also provide any written correspondence he may have sent to Johnson and Musselman. All three were given twenty days from he date of the FCC letters to respond.

**

ENFORCEMENT: THE STORY OF THE EX-AA8DP

A follow-up now to last weeks report of the capture of Steve Anderson, the ex AA8DP. Anderson was wanted in connrection with an alleged attack on a police officer. He was arrested in the hills of North Carolina following a manhunt that has lasted over a year. Amateur Radio Newsline's Henry Feinberg, K2SSQ, has the story of how Anderson was caught:

--

A tip to the Fox Television program "America's Most Wanted" and forwarded to federal investigators has led to the arrest of Kentucky fugitive Steve Anderson, the ex-AA8DP. Anderson, an alleged white supremacist who resigned his Amateur Radio license almost two years ago and then operated an unlicensed radio station from his home, had been at large since October 14th of 2001.

According to the Louisville Courier-Journal, Anderson was arrested in the mountains of southwestern North Carolina by the Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco and Firearms and the North Carolina State Bureau of Investigation. The A-T-F's Don York told the newspaper that America's Most Wanted received a tip about Anderson's whereabouts after airing its second segment on him about a month ago. The tip was forwarded to the A-T-F, which worked the case with North Carolina authorities.

Anderson is accused of shooting an automatic weapon at Deputy Scott Elder. This, after Elder had pulled Anderson's truck over north of Middlesboro Kentucky for a traffic violation. Elder was unharmed after Anderson allegedly opened fire, but his police cruiser was struck about two dozen times. Police said Anderson then drove into the mountains, and a search of his property turned up explosives and guns.

Anderson had attracted attention of authorities several years ago by playing host to a small Ku Klux Klan rally during which a cross was burned. He also allegedly operated a shortwave radio station out of his home, where his commentary against minorities, immigrants and the government drew the attention of the Federal Communications Commission. The Anti-Defamation League complained to the FCC about Anderson's broadcasts which were taking place in the Amateur bands. Rather than explain his actions, Anderson sent in his AA8DP license for cancellation. It was accompanied by a rather convoluted message that said he did not recognize the licensing authority of the FCC which he called an agent of a foreign power.

Anderson continued to broadcast but bowing to extensive pressure from the nations ham radio community, he took the transmissions out of the Amateur

service bands. They stopped after he disappeared following the alleged attack on Officer Elder.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, In Henry Feinberg, K2SSQ.
--

As previously reported, the ex-AA8DP had been a member of the Kentucky State Militia until April 2001. That group dismissed Anderson because he refused to shut down his unlicensed radio operation. (ARNewsline(tm) from published reports)

**

THE INTERNET VS. HAM RADIO: HOTMAIL AND JUNO BLOCK ARRL E-MAIL FORWARDING

If you get your e-mail using the American Radio Relay League's @arrl.net vanity address, and use Juno or Hotmail as your account you know that you were cut off for a few days. It wasn't the ARRL's doing. Blame it on the twi internet service providers.

According to an ARRL bulletin the two companies notified the League on November 25th that they had stopped accepting e-mail messages forwarded to users of their services from the arrl.net e-mail server. According to representatives of the two companies, this was part of heir solution to the Internet-wide problem of spam.

The ARRL, through the vendor for the arrl.net server began working with both companies to resolve this matter. The ARRL and Hotmail reached a accord the fllowing day and service was restored. Juno was back late on Monday December 2nd. During the peak of the service outage, forwarded e-mail to nearly 6,000 ARRL members was curtailed. (ARRL)

**

RADIO LAW: NEW CODES DEVELOPED TO HELP STUDY RADIO RELATED HIGHWAY ACCIDENTS

State highway departments will soon get help tracking how many traffic accidents are caused by drivers chatting on their handheld cell phones or C-B sets, using their pagers or checking their personal digital assistants. In January, every state will receive a booklet of revised codes that describes causes of vehicle crashes, including distractions from electronic communication devices. The codes were developed by traffic safety and crash data experts, including the Governors Highway Safety Association and the Federal Highway Administration.

A spokesperson for the Governors Highway Safety Association, says that the updated crash codes will allow the organization to develop data that demonstrates whether electronic distractions in cars are a serious safety problem. A March report by the National Conference of State Legislatures suggests device-related distractions killed an estimated 600 to 1,000 motorists in 2001 and could kill 2,000 a year by 2004. (Published news reports)

**

RADIO EDUCATION: HAM RADIO COURSE OFFERED AT PENN STATE

Amateur Radio is a part of the training being offered this fall to freshmen at the Pennsylvania State University. According to Brad Parola, KB3HQE, Vice President of the Penn State Amateur Radio Club, part of the course during the first half of the Fall semester included exposure to Amateur Radio. Students were shown how to tune radios like the Ten Tec Pegasus, shown how to receive PSK 31 signals, and were given a tour of the world famous Rock Springs Antenna Test Range run by Dr. Jim Breakall WA3FET. Early in October, those students who were interested were given the opportunity to take their Technician class tests. So far 15 students have passed an Amateur service exam. (KB3HQE)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today’s radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org and being relayed by the volunteer services of the following radio amateur:

(5 sec pause here)

**
RESCUE UPDATE: ZL1AMO CONDITION REPORTED UNCHANGED

We have an update in the medical condition of famed D-X'er Ron Wright , ZL1AMO. Jim Meachen, ZL2BHF, has the latest:

--
A bit of good news this week. Wrights daughter Terri says the family visited Ron in the hospital and he was sitting up in a lazy boy chair. This is the first time any of the family had seen seen him out of the bed since he was hospitalized. Terri Wring says that Ron is also trying to communicate with those around him and he looks a lot better than on previous visits.

As reported last week, Wright suffered collapsed lungs while visiting Fiji. He was air lifted by an emergency air ambulance to Auckland New Zealand where he was initially reported to be in critical condition and on life support.

The INDEXA – the International D-X Association -- has established a fund to assist Wright and his family with the cost of air evacuating him from Fiji to Auckland. Donations go to the ZL1AMO Air Ambulance Fund, in care of Bill Jennings, W4UNP, P. O. Box 607, Rock Hill, South Carolina, 29731. Sorry, but INDEXA says that contributions to this fund are not tax deductible.

From Auckland New Zealand – down under – I'm Jim Meachen, ZL2BHF, reporting for the Amateur Radio Newsline
--

Updates on the fund and Wrights condition are being posted to the web at www.papays.com/opdx.html (ARNewsline(tm), INDEXA, AA7UC)

**

THE SCIENCE PAGE: WHALES YES – NAVY NO

A federal judge has tentatively blocked the Navy from deploying a new early warning system because it might harm whales. Amateur Radio Newsline's Brucce Tennant, K6PZW, has the rest of the story:

--
A Federal court has said no to a new high-frequency SONAR system designed to detect enemy submarines at long range because it could further endanger the worlds whale population. But in making his ruing, the judge also said the Navy may use the sonar during wartime and must be allowed to train with it beforehand.

In partially siding with environmentalists, the judge ordered both sides back to court to begin formulating a plan balancing environmental and military concerns. Environmental organizations say the Navy's powerful sonar system can strand, maim or kill marine mammals. The Navy disagreed.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, in Los Angeles.
--

A few days earlier, a different judge ordered the National Science Foundation to stop firing high-intensity sonic blasts into the Gulf of California because they harm whales. So far there has been no comment from either the Navy or the N-S-F. (Published reports)

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: UO-22 IS BACK WITH US – FOR NOW

G7UPN reports that U-O-SAT 22 is back on line and open for business. At least for the time being.

Chris tells the AMSAT News Service that new software has been loaded to try and keep the downlink operating most of the time. But says G7UPN, UO-22 is an older veteran satellite. This means it may give problems in the near future. More information is in cyberspace at www.amsat.org (ANS)

**

INTERNATIONAL – JAPAN: JA SAYS NO TO PLT

Japan has said no to the introduction of Power Line Transmissions or P-L-T in that nation. Following extensive trials, the Ministry of Public Management, Home Affairs, Posts and Telecommunications has decided not to permit their roll-out for fear of interference to other services.

The Japan Amateur Radio League had been actively working with the government, radio astronomers, broadcasters and others to assess the impact of P-L-T systems on the radio spectrum. The results of this P-L-T study in Japan is a real eye-opener. It showed conclusively that Power Line Transmission system emissions are harmful to High Frequency communications operating in the range 2 to 30 MHz. Because of this Japan's telecommunications regulators have refused all requests from P-L-T manufacturers to operate these systems. (JARL, Q-News)

**

INTERNATIONAL: GETTING ON THE AIR DOWN UNDER

Still in that region of the world, were you aware that New Zealand is one of very few countries in the world where licensed amateurs visiting from overseas can immediately operate?

Well it is and a visiting amateur can get off an airplane, walk into the terminal building and start operating on 144 MHz and above by simply signing his own call sign portable Zed-L. This, without the hassle of getting a license and without paying a licence fee.

For more information on operating in New Zealand as a tourist or visitor take your web browser over to www.nzart.org.nz (NZART)

**

ON THE AIR: COMMEMORATING DIANA

Back here in the U-S-A, the first successful moon bounce experiment was conducted by the Army Signal Corps on January 10th 1946. And to commemorate the event, the Ocean Monmouth Amateur Radio Club will operate from the historic Diana radio site on January 10th 2002. The Ocean Monmouth group plans to operate using Morse and SSB in the General and Novice Technician sub bands of the 80, 40, 20, 15, 10 meter bands. For more information on the Diana Project and for QSL info please visit the OMARC web site at www.qsl.net/n2mo (OMARC)

**

DX

In D-X, F6COW is reporteed tobe operating from the 9S1X club station in the Congo. He will reportedly be there until the 9th
of December. (GB2RS)

Also, F6BLQ will participate in the ARRL 10 Meter Contest on the 14th and 15th of December, also as 9S1X. His operation will be on SSB only. (GB2RS)

And ST1MN is a new ham in the Sudan. He will be active on all bands until the end of June 2003. Q-S-L as directed on the air. (GB2RS)

A lot higher in frequency, FT1ZK, is active on 6 meters every afternoon from Amsterdam Island. The operator is named Caroline and she has a beacon transmitter active on 50.086 MHz and running 24 hours a day. She will be on Amsterdam Island until January 16th. QSL via F5JCB. (VHF Reflector)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: THE CANALS OF VENUS - DEBUNKED

And finally this week lets turn our eyes toward the planet Venus. Just our eyes. Not our telescopes. Because if we do use a telescope we might make the very same mistake made by a very famous astronomer regarding canals on that mist shrouded planet. Q-News Graham Kemp, VK4BB, takes us into the cosmos for this one:

--
Turn of the century astronomer Percival Lowell is well known for promoting the incorrect notion that water canals had been built on the surface of Mars. He

also drew a map of the "canals" on Venus; the only problem was that no one else could see anything resembling canals because Venus is always shrouded in clouds.

Turns out that Lowell had narrowed the aperture of his 24" telescope down to about 3" to attenuate the bright light from Venus. That little known fact - recently publicized - caught the attention of optical experts who realized that Lowell had unwittingly turned his telescope into a reverse ophthalmoscope, an instrument used to examine the interior of the eye. Instead of mapping the surface of Venus, Lowell was apparently mapping the shadows of blood vessels and other structures on his own retina!

Sky & Telescope magazine explains the situation in detail, presents Lowell's sketch of the Venusian "canals," and a photograph of retinal blood vessels. The two patterns are strikingly alike.

From Q-News Australia, I'm Graham Kemp, VK4BB, for the Amateur Radio Newsline.
--

You can see the pictures Graham reefers to in the online edition of Sky and Telescope magazine. Just take your web browser over to http//skyandtelescope.com/news/current/article_648_1.asp (Q-News)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I’m Don Wilbanks, KC5MFA, in New Orleans saying 73 and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

3312. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 04:33:45 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212072033.5e0008fc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57398

"K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:<HFuI9.9823$kz2.1028347@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote
>
> : It does require an engineering/maintenance staff to
> : keep the system running. If you prefer to call that staff "skilled radio
> : operators" that would be your privilege.
>
> Apparently the Navy considers it their privilege also.
>
> See the course description below (current as of a couple days ago) for an
> 82-week technical course for HF/UHF/EHF/SHF ***OPERATORS***. Do you think
> they spend in excess of 19 months, and require a Secret clearance in order
> to teach PTT?
>
> Been there, done that, and taught the course. I can assure you we got
> significantly past the PTT switch. I could tell you how far past, but then
> I'd have to kill you. <BSEG>

But just how far past the button? Been a lotta cheap shots by the
assorted "professionals" in this NG over time about "non-technical"
people like myself being "appliance operators". Nowhere in any of your
posts in this sub-thread have you mentioned who *fixes* the radio gear
these days. Do RMs also do the radio bench work? Toss up replacement
antennas? Replace bad cable runs? Back a bunch of years ago when I was
a Naval GSer and earlier Great Lakes churned out ETs who fancied
themselves "the real radio professionals" and RMs were the appliance
operators +/-. Does the ET rate still exist and if so where are the
lines drawn among RMs, ITs and comms ETs?

I ask because 19 months does not strike me as nearly enough time to
turn the average raw gob into a soup-to-nuts analog & digital op *and*
tech particularly considering the huge increases in the variety of
technologies and compelxities involved in the systems used today vs.
in yesteryears.

>
> Come back when you can muster up at least one fact which proves me wrong.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> Master Chief Radioman, US Navy

w3rv

>
>

>
>
> TITLE: TRANSMISSION SYSTEMS TECHNICIAN
> STATUS: A
> STATUS DATE: 10/10/2001
> DATA CURRENT AS OF: 12/5/2002
> COURSE LENGTH: 82 WEEKS
> COURSE SECURITY: SECRET
> TYPE COURSE: C1 ENLISTED NEC
> SKILL AWARD: NEC 2379 TRANSMISSION SYSTEM TECHNICIAN EFF DATE: 10-OCT-2001
>
> PURPOSE: To provide the Information Systems Technician (IT) with the
> knowledge and skills required to operate communication equipment in the High
> Frequency/Ultra High Frequency Operator (a-260-0059), Extremely High
> Frequency/Automated Digital Network System Operator (A-260-0058), and Super
> High Frequency Operator (A-260-0060) courses.

3313. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 04:45:22 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212072045.6d219899@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57399

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20021207183718.06308.00000865@mb-fm.aol.com>...
> In article <cgpI9.273411$1O2.17299@sccrnsc04>, "Jackie L."
> <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20021207103731.03466.00000017@mb-fz.aol.com...
> >
> >> Dave Barry on that song:
> >>
> >> "You're in the DESERT. There's NOTHING to do. NAME THE FREAKIN' HORSE!!!"
> >
> >ROTFL. Gotta love Dave Barry!
>
> I am not making this up.
> >
> >> >Back from the local radio store, finally having decided what to buy for
> >> >myself for Christmas.
> >> >
> >> Which is?
> >
> >I bought a 2 meter/70 cm FT-90R, power supply, SWR meter, and an antenna...
> >I'm putting it all up today. The little radio is only about 5" x 5" square.
> >Teensy and just perfect for the tiny table I have to put it on.
>
> COOL!
>
> Only thing about those "pack of smokes" rigs is ya hafta be careful not to
> leave 'em in a shirt pocket. They don't like being run through the wash.
> >
> >The HF rig I want (which I have not bought yet) is the IC-718. I plan to
> >pick one of these up before the end of the year.
>
> Nice lil' rig. I dunno what the price difference is or the size of your radio
> piggybank, but you might consider a look at the new FT-897.

$580 vs. $1050. Ugg. And the 718 is somewhat more compact.

>
> > Hopefully I will have
> >passed the code exam sometime in January, then I can start using at least
> >some of the HF bands.
>
> How's the code learning going, btw?
> >
> >I have a problematic situation in my yard where large antennas are

> >concerned. Too many trees and powerlines near my house, as well as no
> >ability to put a large antenna up on my roof, leave me to believe that I'll
> >need to use a well-tuned long wire that's attached to my roofline somehow. A
> >friend of mine actually modified his friend's steel gutters and they were
> >able to use them as an antenna- guess it worked very well too. But that is
> >something I don't know enough about to attempt myself!
>
> Possibilities:
>
> - Attic dipole or wire
> - Horizontal loop around the house or yard
> - Vertical
>
> Hope your house doesn't have aluminum siding...
>
> btw - when you go for the code, try the General written, even if you're "not
> ready". It's good practice, there's no extra fee and you might surprise
> yourself.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

3314. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 05:03:59 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uv5l5o7o4ph6a1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57501

"Len Budney" <lbudney-google@nb.net> wrote in message
news:417b917a.0212071933.f4dd1af@posting.google.com...
> "KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote:
> >
> > It's her right under our vanity call system to have that call sign...
> > I am a B.A.C. and a church elder...I'm not the one who is going to
> > "judge"...
>
> Am I mistaken, or is obscene and offensive language a violation of FCC
> regs? Kim has made it plain enough on this forum that she specifically
> requested the callsign because she is pleased by its potentially
> obscene interpretation. She has also claimed that "hundreds" of
> contacts informed her that they "loved" her callsign. Seems like a
> clear enough case of violation...has anyone lodged their objections
> with the FCC?
>

You never had anyone say they like your callsign? What a pity! I'd like to
see where I've said I specifically requested the callsign because of the
reasons you state.

>
> On balance, she certainly isn't single-handedly destroying the hobby.
> But she's certainly one more hole in the dam. (As are the hams that
> cuss on the air. How have things gotten this way? Reminds me of CB
> radio in the mid '70s.)
>
> --Len.

3315. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 05:05:08 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DF2E143.F954EA7A@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57400

K7JEB wrote:

> All operator
> licensing should do is make sure amateur
> radio operators don't interfere with the
> other users of the radio spectrum.

Though it doesn't seem to be causing problems you hear of, licensing really doesn't do that now, and hasn't for a long time. I'm not sure it's possible to keep hams from interfering with other users. Case in point- the organization I used to work for operated a lowband VHF radio system using three frequencies on 42 mHz, running 24/7. As it happens, 42.220mhz, the primary frequency monitored at that time, is the third harmonic of 14.073 mhz and the sixth harmonic of 7.036 mhz. Both of those were and still are very active amateur 20 and 40 meter CW frequencies, as you know.

Given that the squelch sensitivity on those old receivers was well below a tenth of a microvolt, any emission that showed up on that 42 mhz channel would open the squelch and block reception, and most ham radio transmitters, even if clean, can easily transmit enough harmonic energy to do just that. And sometimes did. On a squelched receiver a CW signal that was strong enough to quiet would make a clicking sound, and wasn't copyable, though one could easily tell that it was Morse code. So on several occasions over the years when that occurred I would open the squelch on that receiver to be able to copy the CW as noise quieting thus reading the ham's callsign so I could ring his phone and "suggest" he move a few kc.

So while the ham probably understood the theory behind harmonics the material he studied for his test didn't keep him from interfering with other users of the RF spectrum.

That's only a single example of how such inadvertant interference can occur. Since most persons operating those systems aren't hams nor otherwise qualified in radio technology I've wondered how many times it has happened when the operator had no clue as to the cause. I'm sure the organization where I worked wasn't the unique in that sort of experience.

And the shoe can easily fit the other foot. I once received a phone call from another government radio tech who

lived a half mile from me, a person well qualified and of long experience, telling me that he was coping my ham radio transmitting on a VHF Forestry frequency. I had been talking on a two meter FM repeater, but had experienced no problems of any kind with my rig, and I was rather sure there was some mistake. I asked him a few questions. Turned out he had three VHF scanners turned on in his house at the same time. When I did a little math, it revealed that twice the 10.7mhz IF frequency of either of the scanners plus my 2 meter transmitter output frequency equalled the Forestry frequency he was hearing me on. Obviously what he was hearing was a mixing product.

I called him back and suggested he turn off the other two scanners and listen for me again on the forestry frequency. When he did, I was no longer heard. We tested several times to make sure there was none of my 2meter signal on that frequency, and there was not. A well trained and skilled tech had been fooled by his own equipment.

It can be a snakepit out there, whether or not one is properly tested. I don't believe that a large percentage of hams with no experience other than what they get in testing and operating only on ham radio would be able to sort out such a problem. And surely not on the strength of what they learned for the licensing test. It just doesn't go that far into the subject matter.

Dick

3316. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 05:19:54 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uv5m3jth8i0568@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57503

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021207223721.04214.00001073@mb-cc.aol.com...
> In article <uv459coifmi14c@corp.supernews.com>, "Kim"
> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>
> >Yep, you bet it is.
>
> It's where "Get the government off our backs" leads...
>

'Member that movie "Logan's Run." The line, "there is no sanctuary." Well, there is no "get government off our backs. Each party of choice loves to claim it--and both have very manipulative ways of interpreting it and *increasing* government on our backs.

> >
> >Yeah, lemmee think--Nick (my youngest) was 16 when all this was going on, so
> >that was around 1992. I haven't been around any teen-agers since then,
> >except for my hubby's kids but we've never talked about it. I'll ask them
> >next time we see them and find out how it was when they were going to
> >school...though that would have been just a few years behind Nick.
> >
> >Yep, it's definitely scary.
>
> I wonder whose idea it was....
>

I never heard of it until my son was taking Driver's Education. Get this too...several years later my son told me that he barely went to any of the classes. Still got the certificate of completion.

> >
> > Why ya think I turned to driving a nice, high
> >truck?
>
> You told us it was because of the way it looked.
>

No, I told you I bought the Toyota Tacoma because of the way it looked! I bought "a truck" to get up high.

> >
> >Only thing is, you oughta see a young teen behind the
> >wheel of one of those huge SUVs that Mommy and Daddy have either bought

for
> >them or let them borrow!
> >
> Mummy and Daddy do that because they think such things are safe!
>

Gosh, down here Mummy and Daddy do it because the brat whines incessantly and thinks it's due; and Mummy and Daddy can't think of any other way to get junior or juniorette out of their house.

>
> It would be interesting to see the appropriate stats on that. PA is going
in
> the opposite direction - actually raising the driving age due to the
terrible
> accident records of 16-17 year olds. Unlike amateur radio licensing,
younger
> drivers have a disproportionate share of problems behind the wheel.
>
> Here's another fun stat: Guess which states have the best and worst
divorce
> stats?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Well, let's see. Just off the cuff, and I'm going to be generalizing here something awful, I guess for worst divorce statistics it wouldn't be a Southern state because these women are way too wimpy; it couldn't be a sparsely populated state because country folk really have to count on each other through thick and thin; and it couldn't be too populated a state because those folks are so busy in each other's bedrooms I doubt anyone notices they are getting cheated on...so, let's see. How 'bout Massachusetts, and Washington, DC? And, for best--given the same reasons--how 'bout Wyoming and New Mexico? Maybe Utah?

Kim W5TIT

3317. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 05:22:03 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <%FAI9.10588$kz2.1085358@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57502

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0212072033.5e0008fc@posting.google.com...
: "K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:<HFuI9.9823$kz2.1028347@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
: > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote
: >
: > : It does require an engineering/maintenance staff to
: > : keep the system running. If you prefer to call that staff "skilled
radio
: > : operators" that would be your privilege.
: >
: > Apparently the Navy considers it their privilege also.
: >
: > See the course description below (current as of a couple days ago) for
an
: > 82-week technical course for HF/UHF/EHF/SHF ***OPERATORS***. Do you
think
: > they spend in excess of 19 months, and require a Secret clearance in
order
: > to teach PTT?
: >
: > Been there, done that, and taught the course. I can assure you we got
: > significantly past the PTT switch. I could tell you how far past, but
then
: > I'd have to kill you. <BSEG>
:
: But just how far past the button? Been a lotta cheap shots by the
: assorted "professionals" in this NG over time about "non-technical"
: people like myself being "appliance operators".

I don't think I took any of those shots.

: Nowhere in any of your posts in this sub-thread have you mentioned
: who *fixes* the radio gear these days.

That would be ET's, for the most part. Some few RM's (now IT's) carry
repair NEC's, but as I recall we were talking about OPERATORS in this
thread.

But if you want to talk about repairmen, then Navy ET's also make amateurs
look like Amateurs with a capitol "A".

73, de Hans, K0HB
Master Chief Radioman, US Navy

3318. My vanity callsign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 08:24:38 UTC
From: Unclaimed Mysteries <k4doh@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <asuvo1$u2u$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57508

is in honor of a cartoon character. Does this demean the ARS? Please Obi-K3LT advise me if it offends you. I'd hate to be responsible for the auctioning off of 2 meters over this. You are my oracle.

73 Corry K4DOH

--
It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net

"For every fatal shooting, there were roughly three non-fatal shootings. And folks, this is unacceptable in America. It's just unacceptable. And we're going to do something about it."

G.W. Bush
May 14, 2001

3319. Re: My vanity callsign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 09:40:20 UTC
From: Omar <MuhammedNut@Anon.com>
Message-ID: <asv41k$152$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 57509

>
> "For every fatal shooting, there were roughly three non-fatal
> shootings. And folks, this is unacceptable in America. It's just
> unacceptable. And we're going to do something about it."
>
> G.W. Bush
> May 14, 2001

Perhaps we should send Ted Kennedy forth to sell life vests. You know, the vest that Mary Joe should have been wearing when Teddy left her to drown? Old, Fat Ted continues to be a pig. Typical Kennedy...piggish and selfish. Let em' drown, eh, Fatso?

Hey, Ted. Those red veins on your fat jowls depict alcohol abuse..

Now what are you leftists doing to usurp our freedoms?

3320. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 13:08:15 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DF35280.599F2861@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57510

Brian Kelly wrote:

> "K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:<HFuI9.9823$kz2.1028347@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote
> >
> > : It does require an engineering/maintenance staff to
> > : keep the system running. If you prefer to call that staff "skilled radio
> > : operators" that would be your privilege.
> >
> > Apparently the Navy considers it their privilege also.
> >
> > See the course description below (current as of a couple days ago) for an
> > 82-week technical course for HF/UHF/EHF/SHF ***OPERATORS***. Do you think
> > they spend in excess of 19 months, and require a Secret clearance in order
> > to teach PTT?
> >
> > Been there, done that, and taught the course. I can assure you we got
> > significantly past the PTT switch. I could tell you how far past, but then
> > I'd have to kill you. <BSEG>
>
> But just how far past the button? Been a lotta cheap shots by the
> assorted "professionals" in this NG over time about "non-technical"
> people like myself being "appliance operators". Nowhere in any of your
> posts in this sub-thread have you mentioned who *fixes* the radio gear
> these days. Do RMs also do the radio bench work? Toss up replacement
> antennas? Replace bad cable runs? Back a bunch of years ago when I was
> a Naval GSer and earlier Great Lakes churned out ETs who fancied
> themselves "the real radio professionals" and RMs were the appliance
> operators +/-. Does the ET rate still exist and if so where are the
> lines drawn among RMs, ITs and comms ETs?
>
> I ask because 19 months does not strike me as nearly enough time to
> turn the average raw gob into a soup-to-nuts analog & digital op *and*
> tech particularly considering the huge increases in the variety of
> technologies and compelxities involved in the systems used today vs.
> in yesteryears.
>
> >
> > Come back when you can muster up at least one fact which proves me wrong.
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > Master Chief Radioman, US Navy
>

> w3rv
>

It's a lotta puffery, ie smoke blowing, by Hans. Hey, he's been out of the military almost as long as I, and I
later worked a 30 year career, and have been retired eight years. He knows just as you and I that the goal of
the military, of every service branch, has LONG been seamless, troublefree, anybody-can-do-it communications.
We're getting there, the fewer layers of people to glob up things up and slow things down, the better. Ideally
the head honcho himself picks up the mike and eliminates everyone else but the maintainer, card changer,
circuitboard swapper, call him/her whatever you want. Maybe the navy wants to call their ET's "operators" so as
to pay them less, that seems a good way to go about it. And radios are being made more and more expendable,
disposable, redundant, all for the very same reasons. The less you gotta fix, the less people it takes to make
it all go. And personnel costs are the big bottom line deal these days. Even in the Navy.

Yes, the service schools hurry the kids through a lot of material in short order, always have. Then they often
hire civilian "tech reps" to babysit them so as to keep them with at least nose and eybrows above the surface,
kinda keep them from drowning in their own inexperience and mistakes.

And...I had a Secret clearance way, way back there. As an OPERATOR. When I later went to tech school most of the
positions graduates landed in required NO clearance. Most of those who went to secure positions were those of us
who already had the clearance for it, usually career types. I finished out my second hitch and bailed.
The work operators do is just as likely to be sensitive as that of technical types, more so at times.

Dick

> >
> >
> >
> >
> > TITLE: TRANSMISSION SYSTEMS TECHNICIAN
> > STATUS: A
> > STATUS DATE: 10/10/2001
> > DATA CURRENT AS OF: 12/5/2002
> > COURSE LENGTH: 82 WEEKS
> > COURSE SECURITY: SECRET
> > TYPE COURSE: C1 ENLISTED NEC
> > SKILL AWARD: NEC 2379 TRANSMISSION SYSTEM TECHNICIAN EFF DATE: 10-OCT-2001
> >
> > PURPOSE: To provide the Information Systems Technician (IT) with the

> > knowledge and skills required to operate communication equipment in the High
> > Frequency/Ultra High Frequency Operator (a-260-0059), Extremely High
> > Frequency/Automated Digital Network System Operator (A-260-0058), and Super
> > High Frequency Operator (A-260-0060) courses.

3321. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 13:26:06 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uv6ijaeph2uvdc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57511

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DF2C1B1.5080004@mailcity.net...
>
>
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> > At least you recognize that. And, it is your perfect right to believe
it is
> > a public spectacle I make of myself, Larry. However, I'd remind you
that it
> > takes many kinds to make up a world. My kind, your kind, all kinds. I
> > think that's a concept that you actually have a problem with.
>
> No, it doesn't take many kinds to make up a world, we just happen
> to have all kinds.
>

I can't think of a pleasant world without all kinds in it. So, if we "just
happen" to have all kinds, I sure am glad we do. ;)

Kim W5TIT

3322. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 15:05:32 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212080705.5cd5c14@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57512

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3DF2BCFF.EEE2652F@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DF23DE8.C795B8D6@earthlink.net>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DF15602.B3FD7E84@earthlink.net>...
> > > > >
> > > > > Whoopie. You didn't, as you told us, pass "the Extra". If you did,
> > > > > you'd be one.
> > > > >
> > > > But I did pass the Extra written. You saying "Whoopie" cannot change history.
> > > >
> > > You're right. My writing "Whoopie" makes you an Extra no more than your
> > > writing that you "passed the Extra". You were not awarded an Extra
> > > Class ticket for passing part of the exam.
> > >
> > > Look on the bright side though: You got way closer to achieving it than
> > > Leonard H.
> >
> > How would you know? Have you been stalking Len?
>
> An interesting insight into how your mind works...
> Yeah, that's it. I've been stalking Len from several thousand miles
> away.

You made a statement as if it were fact. How else would you know if
Len had a CSCE but w/o passing the code requirement?

Unless you want to retract your statement or modify it.

3323. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 15:29:48 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <MzJI9.1840$zS2.214556@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57513

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote

: Hey, he's been out of the military almost as long as I, and I
: later worked a 30 year career, and have been retired eight years.

My retirement date was Oct 30, 1989, or about 13 years ago. Somehow that
isn't "almost as long as" 38 years in your case.

Farkled facts again! Sunuvagun!

73, de Hans, K0HB

3324. Re: Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 15:35:06 UTC
From: unkadean <dnorris@k7no.com>
Message-ID: <qgp6vu0rlpv6bp1k2d1ukvstga18ac6scq@4ax.com>
Source record: 57514
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

On Wed, 04 Dec 2002 17:45:14 -0500, bh <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
wrote:

When I had the call W2GPA back in the early 70's, I was tagged with
the phonetics, Dubya two Gnome Pneumatic Aetna!

Thanks to W7ZMD whose call has no phonetics! [8^,

>
>Most likely an urban legend as the FCC did not require the use of
>standard phonetics. We made up all kinds of phonetics for our
>calls. The last three letters of a friend's call who drove for
>Greyhound Bus was DGD (Drunk Greyhound Driver), another was AGG
>(America's Greatest Gabber), ect., ect., ect.
>No one was ever cited and the FCC did quite a lot of monitoring of
>the ham bands in those days.

--

3325. Re: DXer Phonetics (Was Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 15:43:03 UTC
From: AC6V <ac6v@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <bMJI9.16542$r4.880867@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 57515
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

As you know -- DXers use countries as phonetics
Most common usage is at URL:
http://ac6v.com/dxphonetics.htm

--
I Doubt, Therefore I Might Be
73 From AC6V, Rod In Oceanside, CA
http://ac6v.com

"unkadean" <dnorris@k7no.com> wrote in message
news:qgp6vu0rlpv6bp1k2d1ukvstga18ac6scq@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 04 Dec 2002 17:45:14 -0500, bh <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
> wrote:
>
> When I had the call W2GPA back in the early 70's, I was tagged with
> the phonetics, Dubya two Gnome Pneumatic Aetna!
>
> Thanks to W7ZMD whose call has no phonetics! [8^,
>
>
>
>
> >
> >Most likely an urban legend as the FCC did not require the use of
> >standard phonetics. We made up all kinds of phonetics for our
> >calls. The last three letters of a friend's call who drove for
> >Greyhound Bus was DGD (Drunk Greyhound Driver), another was AGG
> >(America's Greatest Gabber), ect., ect., ect.
> >No one was ever cited and the FCC did quite a lot of monitoring of
> >the ham bands in those days.
>
> --
>

3326. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 15:50:54 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DF3692C.BD82A89B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 57516

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DF2BCFF.EEE2652F@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DF23DE8.C795B8D6@earthlink.net>...
> > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DF15602.B3FD7E84@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Whoopie. You didn't, as you told us, pass "the Extra". If you did,
> > > > > > you'd be one.
> > > > > >
> > > > > But I did pass the Extra written. You saying "Whoopie" cannot change history.
> > > > >
> > > > You're right. My writing "Whoopie" makes you an Extra no more than your
> > > > writing that you "passed the Extra". You were not awarded an Extra
> > > > Class ticket for passing part of the exam.
> > > >
> > > > Look on the bright side though: You got way closer to achieving it than
> > > > Leonard H.
> > >
> > > How would you know? Have you been stalking Len?
> >
> > An interesting insight into how your mind works...
> > Yeah, that's it. I've been stalking Len from several thousand miles
> > away.
>
> You made a statement as if it were fact. How else would you know if
> Len had a CSCE but w/o passing the code requirement?
>
> Unless you want to retract your statement or modify it.

I don't wish to do either. Ever read Len's own statements. He not only
doesn't hold an amateur license, he's never tested for one. I do wish
you'd pay attention.

Dave K8MN

3327. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 16:01:58 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212080801.58a9dbfd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57517

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021207163453.02799.00000162@mb-cq.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: 34 Years Ago Today
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 12/7/02 1:11 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <20021207141111.10605.00002541@mb-fe.aol.com>
>
> >Yes...irresponsibility in military communications can result in death
> > of yourself and comrades...or failure to destroy an enemy. Are
> > those small things below the exhalted level of radio amateurism?

Hey Putz, drop back and refocus, you specifically and pointedly asked
me "how many transmitters did I ever put on the air" and I said "Blah,
blah, ARC-5," etc. But yew've mysteriously failed to respond so far.
Get back to me on this good buddy, answer quick, we're all waiting . .
.

>
> Having never been in combat (by virtue of NOT having been awarded the
> Combat Infantry badge), how would you know? Rear Arew Widget Warstory Telling
> 101...???
>
> >Irresponsibility in civilian commercial communications seldom results
> > in more than a termination of wages (necessary to maintain life)...(SNIP)
>
> Necessary to maintain lifeSTYLE, perhaps, but NOT necessary to maintain
> LIFE.
>
> >..(UNSNIP)...although severe irresponsibility can result in imprisonment and
> fines.
> > Again, small things below radio amateurism?
>
> Hardly, since citizens have recently had to face prison for being too
> stupid to follow the few rules that are imposed on Amateur Radio.
>
> >Mr. N2EY...(SNIP)
>
> Jim Miccolis still continues to refer to Lennie as "Len" or "Leonard", yet
> Lennie continues to efer to Jim as anything BUT "Jim". Of course Lennie the
> Liar (excuse me...Lennie the Pathological Liar) has repeatedly said words to
> the effect that he only treats those who treat him in a certain way with
> certain responses. Of course "rules" are for everyone EXCEPT the Grand High
> Exhalted Liar.
>
> One more reason to NOT let your babies grow up to be "proferssional radio

> engineers".

Whoa, let's not get too hasty here Steve and throw the babies out with the washwater. They might grow up to be REAL radio engineers which The Putz is *NOT*.

Lemmee 'splain for the record. There are engineers and there are non-engineers. The difference being whether or not the undergrad wallpaper reads "Bachelor of Science in (many disciplines) Engineering" from an accredited engineering school or not. It's 100% digital, there is no middle ground. Some of the engineers who pop up in this NG include Jim n2ey, Cecil, Rick Tannehill from back when, all electrical engineers. I'm a mechanical engineer.

There are engineering support folk out there who may or may not have formal technician-level educations in the assorted disciplines who conventionally work under the direction of engineers. The Putz is one of those. They are *not* engineering professionals. Not only that but there is no such thing as a "radio engineering" discipline. Bottom line here is that The Putz trying to pass himself off as a "professional" anything let alone a "professional radio engineer" is absolute total bullshit at the oxymoron level.

And beyond that there are state laws which prohibit anybody from advertising themselves as "professional engineers" unless they're first qualified to take then have passed the state professional engineering exams. Yeah, right, like The Putz has a California PE ticket to practice "professional radio engineering" . . Bwaahaha!

So if the baby grows up and decides she wants to do professional radio engineering for a living fer chissake Steve please don't use The Putz as an example of why she shouldn't go for an EE degree, ship her off to a decent engineering school.

The Putz comes outta the old aerospace world I was in for many years. Back when I was plying my trade in that biz and needed a grunt or grunts to crank out the manufacturing drawings of the thingeys & systems I was responsible for coming up with I usta buy widgets like The Putz. To do that I used the same standard Navy purchase requsition form I used to buy pipe flanges. Sometimes I had trouble telling which was which when they were delivered.

>
> >...wants to dismiss/denigrate other radio activities in
> > attempting to "compare" occupations with avocations.
>
> Of course this is interesting...coming from a guy who tries to make
> himself look noble by associating himself with Soldiers who died in combat 3
> years before he was "in-theater".

"in theatre" alrighty. Singlehandedly battled his way thru the joints in Tokyo with his repeater wrench.

>
> >He is so
> > biased in that operation that there are no letters left in the alphabet
> > to classify that bias.
>
> As opposed to the bias YOU demonstrate against those who have what you
> covet, yet denigrate due to your OWN incompetence?
>
> Whew, Lennie...Heavy stuff for such a loser.......
>
> Steve, K4YZ

w3rv

Hey Jim: The answer is Rose Hill Cemetery, Hagerstown, Washington
County, Maryland, USA

3328. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 16:19:53 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <JiKI9.1939$zS2.209707@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57518

"K&#65533;HB" <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:MzJI9.1840$zS2.214556@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
:
:
: "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote
:
: : Hey, he's been out of the military almost as long as I, and I
: : later worked a 30 year career, and have been retired eight years.
:
: My retirement date was Oct 30, 1989, or about 13 years ago. Somehow that
: isn't "almost as long as" 38 years in your case.

Works out to a nice round quarter-century, if you bother to do the heavy
math involved.

Sunuvagun!

73, de Hans, K0HB

3329. Re: HERB S. RETURNS TO HAM RADIO AS NP2MJ !! (HIP-HIP-HOOORAY!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 16:29:32 UTC
From: K<unk>HB <k<unk>hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <MrKI9.1963$zS2.226367@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57519
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote

:
: In anycase.....I sincerly do hope Mr. S. does not return to his antics of
: before. We all (except you of course Llllooooyyyyyddd) know what they were
: and were not. I am looking forward to working him in the contests. I
need
: VI on 160 this weekend....Get a wire up Herby.

Herb was on in the contest last night. Sounded good up here in MN.

Of course Lloyd can't copy CW, and can't get on 160Meters anyway, so the
below wouldn't interest him.

(From the Cluster log)

1834.4 NP2MJ 08-Dec-2002 0629Z KP2 <KT0R>
1834.4 NP2MJ 08-Dec-2002 0543Z V.I Still Going KP2 <W6OAR>
1834.3 NP2MJ 08-Dec-2002 0529Z KP2 <K9GM>
1834.4 NP2MJ 08-Dec-2002 0453Z KP2
<W6OAR>

<unk><unk><unk> 73, de Hans, K0HB

3330. Re: How Many Hams?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 16:38:05 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212080838.225d3d2d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57520

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20021207004500.26353.00000013@mb-fv.aol.com>...

> "Difference" implies a comparison with something. You can't
> compare a something with a nothing.

In your case comparing anything with a nothing is a pizza cake.

3331. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 17:19:15 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212080919.365d8c38@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57521

"K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:<%FAI9.10588$kz2.1085358@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:d22b54c3.0212072033.5e0008fc@posting.google.com...

> : Nowhere in any of your posts in this sub-thread have you mentioned
> : who *fixes* the radio gear these days.
>
> That would be ET's, for the most part. Some few RM's (now IT's) carry
> repair NEC's,

OK, good, thank you for the answer to my question.

> but as I recall we were talking about OPERATORS in this
> thread.

WTF Chief, I gotta get permission from you to ask a question which is
obviously and closely related to "your thread" or what? Hold yer
breath Chief. First we get frequency cops now we have a one up and
three down thread cop. Wunnerful.

> But if you want to talk about repairmen, then Navy ET's also make amateurs
> look like Amateurs with a capitol "A".

All ETs make all hams look like amateurs on the bench. Got it Chief.

Fact is however that out here in commercial reality a kid who does a
hitch or two as an ET is a helluva lot better positioned to turn his
tax-paid education and OJT into a job than is an RM or IT huh?

WTF Chief, go do some Acey-Ducey and chill out.

>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> Master Chief Radioman, US Navy

w3rv
GS-12 Eng.

3332. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 17:47:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DF393D5.D9FA46B3@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57522

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:

> "Kï¿½HB" <kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
> news:MzJI9.1840$zS2.214556@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> :
> :
> : "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote
> :
> : : Hey, he's been out of the military almost as long as I, and I
> : : later worked a 30 year career, and have been retired eight years.
> :
> : My retirement date was Oct 30, 1989, or about 13 years ago. Somehow that
> : isn't "almost as long as" 38 years in your case.
>
> Works out to a nice round quarter-century, if you bother to do the heavy
> math involved.
>
> Sunuvagun!
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Ah, OK! I'd have sworn you once said 1979.(Geez, you're more of a pup than I thought) So I was wrong on that point.

Your lack of countering arguments to the remainder of my post is noted.

:-)))))

Dick

But.....you said you worked CW on a Navy ship, but didn't you recently say that the Navy hasn't used CW since 1956? If you went into the Navy in 1964.....

3333. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 17:48:44 UTC
From: K�HB <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <0CLI9.2154$zS2.238037@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57523

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote

:
: Fact is however that out here in commercial reality a kid who does a
: hitch or two as an ET is a helluva lot better positioned to turn his
: tax-paid education and OJT into a job than is an RM or IT huh?
:

Depends on the job you want, I s'pose. Do you want to be a bench tech, or a telecommunications system manager?

73, de Hans, K0HB

3334. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 17:58:16 UTC
From: lbudney-google@nb.net
Message-ID: <417b917a.0212080958.4b4c1d13@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57524

JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> KB9TMP wrote:
>>
>> "there is no rule against being stupid"
>> Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH
>
> And no rules against bad taste.

But there are. It's in section 113 of part 97; subsection (a), line 4:
"No amateur station shall transmit...obscene or indecent words or
language..."

The "dumbed down" question pool clarifies the intent and scope of this
restriction. The intent is to avoid offending others, and to create an
environment suitable for children to participate (dumbed-down question
T1A15). The scope includes any word you regard as potentially
offensive (dumbed-down question T1I10).

Anyone who knowingly says tasteless things with the expectation of
offending others is in violation of 97.113a4. If you encounter the
violation and feel motivated to take action, you should report the
incident to the FCC. (If the FCC takes no action, there's not much you
can do. Whining TO the person will probably gratify them, since that's
what they were after in the first place.)

--Len.

3335. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 18:00:15 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <PMLI9.2173$zS2.241468@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57525

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote

: Ah, OK! I'd have sworn you once said 1979.(Geez, you're more of a pup
than I
: thought)

Joined in 1958. Transferred to Fleet Reserve in 1979 as RMCM. Retired from
Fleet Reserve in 1989.

73, Hans, K0HB

3336. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 18:00:45 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <hNLI9.2174$zS2.238958@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57526

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote

: Ah, OK! I'd have sworn you once said 1979.(Geez, you're more of a pup
than I
: thought)

Joined in 1958. Transferred to Fleet Reserve in 1979 as RMCM. Retired from
Fleet Reserve in 1989.

73, Hans, K0HB

3337. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 18:03:03 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <rPLI9.2175$zS2.242014@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57527

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote

: Ah, OK! I'd have sworn you once said 1979.(Geez, you're more of a pup
than I
: thought)

Joined in 1958. Transferred to Fleet Reserve in 1979 as RMCM. Retired from
Fleet Reserve in 1989.

73, Hans, K0HB

3338. Re: RRAP Intro to Markie Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 18:25:46 UTC
From: Steamed WonTons <fongvugook@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3df38ea0@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 57528

I think the both of you should visit 3.865 some night
as soon as possible, and let the "All-Knowing
Great White Father and Seer of Seers of
Amateur Radio, the Great W8CH, assess the
situation, then tell both of you to go take a
flyin leap into a pile of horse-poo. (short of
that try 14.275 and get K1MAN's opinion.
Just 5 minutes of his blatering rants are enough
to convince anyone to kis, make up, hop on
another man's shoulders naked and say "I LOVE you" - xoxox

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021206154326.01467.00000153@mb-cr.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: RRAP Intro to Markie Morgan
> >From: kb9rqz@yahoo.com
> >Date: 12/6/02 2:14 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <3df104de.5690923@news.one-eleven.net>
>
> >btw i will mention that i have cagught up today and and will off for
> >several days so nothing should be inferreed by not answering stevie
> >for awhile
>
> Why would you have anything to "answer" if you provided nothing to
respond
> to?
>
> Sheeesh!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3339. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 18:40:04 UTC
From: lbudney-google@nb.net
Message-ID: <417b917a.0212081040.7e59d621@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57529

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote:
>
> I'd like to see where I've said I specifically requested the
> callsign because of the reasons you state.

<http://groups.google.com/groups?hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&oe=UTF-8&safe=off&threadm=3CD51ACFF0A16EEA.08EC55610DF8AFD1.07C5247EA16F5DDA%40lp.airnews.net&rnum=2&prev=/groups%3Fas_q%3Dcallsign%26safe%3Doff%26ie%3DUTF-8%26oe%3DUTF-8%26as_ugroup%3D*amateur*%26as_uauthors%3Dkw5tit%2540hotmail.com%26lr%3D%26as_drrb%3Db%26as_mind%3D12%26as_minm%3D5%26as_miny%3D1981%26as_maxd%3D1%26as_maxm%3D2%26as_maxy%3D2000%26num%3D100%26hl%3Den>

Aaron Jones said, "You wanted the word 'tit' for a suffix of your
callsign because of the reaction you would get using it."

You replied, "DUH!!"

<http://groups.google.com/groups?hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&oe=UTF-8&safe=off&selm=3CD51ACFF0A16EEA.08EC55610DF8AFD1.07C5247EA16F5DDA%40lp.airnews.net&prev=/groups%3Fas_q%3Dcallsign%26safe%3Doff%26ie%3DUTF-8%26oe%3DUTF-8%26as_ugroup%3D*amateur*%26as_uauthors%3Dkw5tit%2540hotmail.com%26lr%3D%26as_drrb%3Db%26as_mind%3D12%26as_minm%3D5%26as_miny%3D1981%26as_maxd%3D1%26as_maxm%3D2%26as_maxy%3D2000%26num%3D100
26hl%3Den>

Quote: "Aaron, Aaron, Aaron...the 'intent' of this callsign has never
been able to even BE doubted? How would it be able to be doubted."

<http://groups.google.com/groups?hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&oe=UTF-8&safe=off&threadm=3CD51ACFF0A16EEA.08EC55610DF8AFD1.07C5247EA16F5DDA%40lp.airnews.net&rnum=2&prev=/groups%3Fas_q%3Dcallsign%26safe%3Doff%26ie%3DUTF-8%26oe%3DUTF-8%26as_ugroup%3D*amateur*%26as_uauthors%3Dkw5tit%2540hotmail.com%26lr%3D%26as_drrb%3Db%26as_mind%3D12%26as_minm%3D5%26as_miny%3D1981%26as_maxd%3D1%26as_maxm%3D2%26as_maxy%3D2000%26num%3D100%26hl%3Den>

Quote: "OH, by the way...you want to know what phonetics I use on the
air for this vulgar callsign? With adults and if I feel they will
find the humor in it, I use 'two in tow'."

3340. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 19:10:10 UTC
From: JoMomma <Ebonix@Anon.com>
Message-ID: <at05ds$7ga$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 57530

"Len Budney" <lbudney-google@nb.net> wrote in message
news:417b917a.0212080958.4b4c1d13@posting.google.com...
> JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote:
> > KB9TMP wrote:
> >>
> >> "there is no rule against being stupid"
> >> Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH
> >
> > And no rules against bad taste.
>
> But there are. It's in section 113 of part 97; subsection (a), line 4:
> "No amateur station shall transmit...obscene or indecent words or
> language..."
>
> The "dumbed down" question pool clarifies the intent and scope of this
> restriction. The intent is to avoid offending others, and to create an
> environment suitable for children to participate (dumbed-down question
> T1A15). The scope includes any word you regard as potentially
> offensive (dumbed-down question T1I10).
>
> Anyone who knowingly says tasteless things with the expectation of
> offending others is in violation of 97.113a4. If you encounter the
> violation and feel motivated to take action, you should report the
> incident to the FCC. (If the FCC takes no action, there's not much you
> can do. Whining TO the person will probably gratify them, since that's
> what they were after in the first place.)
>
> --Len.

So, what's yer point? Other than whining some more....

3341. hams gift? darn funny!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 19:24:58 UTC
From: fatanag <sallermine@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3df39c8a@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 57531
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

go here: http://www.retrocrush.com/archive2/pipe/index.html

(I wonder if the late "auntie" got is from a old fart ham operator - also long gone - grin!!)

3342. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 19:37:06 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021208143706.03466.00000030@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 57532

In article <uv6ijaeph2uvdc@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>I can't think of a pleasant world without all kinds in it.

I can.

> So, if we "just
>happen" to have all kinds, I sure am glad we do. ;)

You're glad we have the Saddam Hussein kind, and the OBL kind, and the kind
that would help those folks and follow them?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3343. Re: HERB S. RETURNS TO HAM RADIO AS NP2MJ !! (HIP-HIP-HOOORAY!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 19:48:34 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <at07n6gsv1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57533
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Yes I heard him a couple of times. But he was so weak here it just was not possible. When I came back and looked he was gone. Oh well. He was the only VI on I reckon. Guess he didn't get his vertical working yet.

Dan/W4NTI

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message news:MrKI9.1963$zS2.226367@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote
>
> :
> : In anycase.....I sincerly do hope Mr. S. does not return to his antics of
> : before. We all (except you of course Llllooooyyyyyddd) know what they were
> : and were not. I am looking forward to working him in the contests. I
> need
> : VI on 160 this weekend....Get a wire up Herby.
>
> Herb was on in the contest last night. Sounded good up here in MN.
>
> Of course Lloyd can't copy CW, and can't get on 160Meters anyway, so the
> below wouldn't interest him.
>
> (From the Cluster log)
>
> 1834.4 NP2MJ 08-Dec-2002 0629Z KP2 <KT0R>
> 1834.4 NP2MJ 08-Dec-2002 0543Z V.I Still Going KP2 <W6OAR>
> 1834.3 NP2MJ 08-Dec-2002 0529Z KP2 <K9GM>
> 1834.4 NP2MJ 08-Dec-2002 0453Z KP2
> <W6OAR>
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>

3344. Re: RRAP Intro to Markie Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 20:08:31 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021208150831.27871.00009393@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 57534

>Subject: Re: RRAP Intro to Markie Morgan
>From: "Steamed WonTons" fongvugook@yahoo.com
>Date: 12/8/02 12:25 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3df38ea0@snipnews.snip.net>

>I think the both of you should visit...(SNIP)

No thanks. I value my licnese too much.

Steve, K4YZ

3345. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 20:13:04 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021208151304.27871.00009395@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 57535

>Subject: Re: 34 Years Ago Today
>From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
>Date: 12/8/02 10:01 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <d22b54c3.0212080801.58a9dbfd@posting.google.com>

>Whoa, let's not get too hasty here Steve and throw the babies out with
>the washwater. They might grow up to be REAL radio engineers which The
>Putz is *NOT*.

Point taken, Brian...I stand corrected!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3346. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 20:33:13 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DF3AC89.9040201@mailcity.net>
Source record: 57542

>
> Yeah, DUH. Doesn't *anyone* get a vanity callsign for the reaction to it?
> DUH!!!!

I doubt that everyone gets a vanity callsign for the reaction. I know several hams that got vainty callsigns with their initials, they don't want or get any particular reaction to them. They are in good taste. You obviously chopse your's to get a reaction, which indicates someone who seeks attention anyway they can get it. Your call shows poor taste, why don't you write in large letters on the side of your car, "I have big tits"? Even though it is tastless it will get you plenty of attention, from all the truck drivers for sure.

3347. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 21:03:34 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uv7dd3ho3vj0eb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57536

"Len Budney" <lbudney-google@nb.net> wrote in message
news:417b917a.0212081040.7e59d621@posting.google.com...
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote:
> >
> > I'd like to see where I've said I specifically requested the
> > callsign because of the reasons you state.
>
>
<http://groups.google.com/groups?hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&oe=UTF-8&safe=off&thread
m=3CD51ACFF0A16EEA.08EC55610DF8AFD1.07C5247EA16F5DDA%40lp.airnews.net&rnum=2
&prev=/groups%3Fas_q%3Dcallsign%26safe%3Doff%26ie%3DUTF-8%26oe%3DUTF-8%26as_
ugroup%3D*amateur*%26as_uauthors%3Dkw5tit%2540hotmail.com%26lr%3D%26as_drrb%
3Db%26as_mind%3D12%26as_minm%3D5%26as_miny%3D1981%26as_maxd%3D1%26as_maxm%3D
2%26as_maxy%3D2000%2
> num%3D100%26hl%3Den>
>
> Aaron Jones said, "You wanted the word 'tit' for a suffix of your
> callsign because of the reaction you would get using it."
>
> You replied, "DUH!!"
>

Yeah, DUH. Doesn't *anyone* get a vanity callsign for the reaction to it?
DUH!!!!

>
>
<http://groups.google.com/groups?hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&oe=UTF-8&safe=off&selm=3
CD51ACFF0A16EEA.08EC55610DF8AFD1.07C5247EA16F5DDA%40lp.airnews.net&prev=/gro
ups%3Fas_q%3Dcallsign%26safe%3Doff%26ie%3DUTF-8%26oe%3DUTF-8%26as_ugroup%3D*
amateur*%26as_uauthors%3Dkw5tit%2540hotmail.com%26lr%3D%26as_drrb%3Db%26as_m
ind%3D12%26as_minm%3D5%26as_miny%3D1981%26as_maxd%3D1%26as_maxm%3D2%26as_max
y%3D2000%26num%3D100
> 26hl%3Den>
>
> Quote: "Aaron, Aaron, Aaron...the 'intent' of this callsign has never
> been able to even BE doubted? How would it be able to be doubted."
>

OK. So tell me, how would it be able to be doubted and what the HELLLLLL is
so obscene or offensive about the phrase "how would it be able to be
doubted"? I gotta see this.

>
>

<http://groups.google.com/groups?hl=en&lr=&ie=UTF-8&oe=UTF-8&safe=off&thread
m=3CD51ACFF0A16EEA.08EC55610DF8AFD1.07C5247EA16F5DDA%40lp.airnews.net&rnum=2
&prev=/groups%3Fas_q%3Dcallsign%26safe%3Doff%26ie%3DUTF-8%26oe%3DUTF-8%26as_
ugroup%3D*amateur*%26as_uauthors%3Dkw5tit%2540hotmail.com%26lr%3D%26as_drrb%
3Db%26as_mind%3D12%26as_minm%3D5%26as_miny%3D1981%26as_maxd%3D1%26as_maxm%3D
2%26as_maxy%3D2000%2
> num%3D100%26hl%3Den>
>
> Quote: "OH, by the way...you want to know what phonetics I use on the
> air for this vulgar callsign? With adults and if I feel they will
> find the humor in it, I use 'two in tow'."
>

OK. What is vulgar, obscene or otherwise indecent about that? I gotta see this, too. Anything I ever say on the air can only be construed as "dirty" if the mind receiving it is "dirty."

Kim W5TIT

3348. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 21:05:06 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uv7dfvi8i4p32e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57537

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021208143706.03466.00000030@mb-fz.aol.com...
> In article <uv6ijaeph2uvdc@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>
> >I can't think of a pleasant world without all kinds in it.
>
> I can.
>
> > So, if we "just
> >happen" to have all kinds, I sure am glad we do. ;)
>
> You're glad we have the Saddam Hussein kind, and the OBL kind, and the
kind
> that would help those folks and follow them?
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

You know what? Philosophically speaking, it takes each and every encounter
to make us who and what we are.

Kim W5TIT

3349. K1MAN is Legal!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 21:47:37 UTC
From: "N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3df3bad7_4@corp-goliath.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 57538
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

http://www.k1man.com/web39/Page_13x.html

--
Jesus Christ is coming in your lifetime - R.G. Stair
"The bulletin service is here to stay"- K1MAN

------------== Posted via Newsfeed.Com - Uncensored Usenet News ==----------
http://www.newsfeed.com The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----= Over 100,000 Newsgroups - Unlimited Fast Downloads - 19 Servers =-----

3350. Re: k1man is paranoid needs prozac

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 21:50:58 UTC
From: KJ <radiokj@radiokjhotmail.com>
Message-ID: <at0erv$s8n$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 57539
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DF2C016.2030500@mailcity.net...
>
>
> KJ wrote:
> > There are thugs in the FCC who take confiscated electronic
> > gear for their personal use, fraudulently completing paperwork
> > in the process. The FCC has more of its share of thugs than
> > they would care to admit, then be forced to prosecute. Very
> > embarrassing, especially when many of those thugs are in positions
> > of trust and/or management.
> >
> > KJ
>
> Can you post a source to back up those statements?
>

Go to www.google.com and do a search on FCC and
Corruption, FCC Corruption, and other similar words/phrases.
It will take you a while to read, but it is quite an eye-opening
experience. You'll find people, places, events, legal actions,
etc. In some cases, people/times/dates, etc. are very well
documented. You can also sense the frustration of trying to
expose criminality and corruption inside the FCC. Apparently,
there is a good ole boys system in place that sweeps dirt under
the carpet quickly and efficiently. One very interesting case
where that system failed was when several FCC employees at
Laurel MD were caught by county law enforcement officials in
the act of running a child pornography ring. They used government
computers and ran their dirty little business while on the public
payroll!!!!! Incredible! You can bet the FCC never published a
press release on that little caper!

KJ

3351. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 22:02:42 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021208170242.12726.00001040@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 57540

In article <uv5m3jth8i0568@corp.supernews.com>, " Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20021207223721.04214.00001073@mb-cc.aol.com...
>> In article <uv459coifmi14c@corp.supernews.com>, "Kim"
>> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>>
>> >Yep, you bet it is.
>>
>> It's where "Get the government off our backs" leads..
>
>'Member that movie "Logan's Run."

"SOYLENT GREEN IS PEOPLE!!!!!" - no, wait that's not it....

"DAMN YOU!!!!....DAMN YOU ALL TO HELL!!!!!!....no no, that's not it, either...

"Open the pod bay doors, Hal..." no, not even the right genre...

>The line, "there is no sanctuary."

Musta missed that one, but your point is quite clear.

>Well,
>there is no "get government off our backs. Each party of choice loves to
>claim it--and both have very manipulative ways of interpreting it and
>*increasing* government on our backs.

Yup. All sorts of twists and turns, too.

Didja notice that other thread where I asked whether keeeping/eliminating code
testing was a conservative or liberal thing? Both sides claimed the
conservative/republican political high ground. Almost funny - and totally
predictable.

>> >Yep, it's definitely scary.
>>
>> I wonder whose idea it was....
>>
>
>I never heard of it until my son was taking Driver's Education. Get this
>too...several years later my son told me that he barely went to any of the
>classes. Still got the certificate of completion.

Just gets worse...The thing was probably sold on the idea that a driving class
instructor would have a better idea of a kid's driving skills, based on having
spent more time with the kid behind the wheel. Etc.

>
>> > Why ya think I turned to driving a nice, high
>> >truck?
>>
>> You told us it was because of the way it looked.
>
>No, I told you I bought the Toyota Tacoma because of the way it looked! I
>bought "a truck" to get up high.

HAW!
>
>> >Only thing is, you oughta see a young teen behind the
>> >wheel of one of those huge SUVs that Mommy and Daddy have either bought
>> >for
>> >them or let them borrow!
>> >
>> Mummy and Daddy do that because they think such things are safe!

>Gosh, down here Mummy and Daddy do it because the brat whines incessantly
>and thinks it's due; and Mummy and Daddy can't think of any other way to get
>junior or juniorette out of their house.

'Round here the trick is to make home just unpleasant enough for a teen that
they want to leave ASAP. Nothing abusive, just little things. Like "you can
borrow the car but you have to bring it back with more gas in it than you found
it" and "while you're out, here's a list of things we need picked up".
>
>> It would be interesting to see the appropriate stats on that. PA is going
>>in
>> the opposite direction - actually raising the driving age due to the
>terrible
>> accident records of 16-17 year olds. Unlike amateur radio licensing,
>younger
>> drivers have a disproportionate share of problems behind the wheel.
>>
>> Here's another fun stat: Guess which states have the best and worst
>>divorce stats?
>
>Well, let's see. Just off the cuff, and I'm going to be generalizing here
>something awful, I guess for worst divorce statistics it wouldn't be a
>Southern state because these women are way too wimpy;

"women are way too wimpy"?I'm sure you have much more data/experience than I.
Expand and explain (this is gonna be GREAT!!!)

>it couldn't be a
>sparsely populated state because country folk really have to count on each
>other through thick and thin; and it couldn't be too populated a state
>because those folks are so busy in each other's bedrooms I doubt anyone
>notices they are getting cheated on...so, let's see. How 'bout
>Massachusetts, and Washington, DC? And, for best--given the same
>reasons--how 'bout Wyoming and New Mexico? Maybe Utah?

That's what I thought, almost exactly. We're both almost totally wrong.

It turns out that four of the five worst states are in the stand-by-your-man Deep South, and that the northeastern liberal rustbelt states are among the best. Utah is the best, though, for obvious reasons.

Two of the very worst are Arkansas and Oklahoma. So much so that their governors "declared war" on divorce. What are they gonna do - take prisoners?

See

http://archives.salon.com/mwt/feature/2000/01/24/divorce

and

http://www.csmonitor.com/durable/2001/06/28/p3s1.htm

and

http://www.religioustolerance.org/chr_dira.htm

(note that atheists and agnostics have the LOWEST rate!)

You can look up the exact stats at leisure, but the trend is pretty clear.

The important stat is "resident divorce rate" because states like Nevada have lots of zoom-in divorces.

Izzat fun or what?

73 de Jim, N2EY

3352. Re: ARNewsline 1321 - Dec 6 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 22:05:24 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0212082204480.2104-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 57541

That's right: Spam away Pasternak. Show us the scum that you are.

3353. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 23:44:17 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021208184417.21527.00000669@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 57543

In article <f45722ac.0212071937.1ecfc3d5@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>Tell me about the Flower sisters.

You mean Lily, Rose, Hyacinth, Daisy, and Violet? :-)

Nice, but couldn't see enough. Some State Department puke
tossed us out of the service club since we didn't have
WRITTEN permission to see them. :-)

3354. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 23:44:19 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021208184419.21527.00000670@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 57546

In article <d22b54c3.0212080801.58a9dbfd@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>Hey Putz, drop back and refocus, you specifically and pointedly asked
>me "how many transmitters did I ever put on the air" and I said "Blah,
>blah, ARC-5," etc. But yew've mysteriously failed to respond so far.
>Get back to me on this good buddy, answer quick, we're all waiting . .

Kellie, all you claimed was an "ARC-5 JEEP radio." :-)

"ARC-5" sets were designed for and used in AIRCRAFT that had 28 VDC electrical systems. Jeeps had 6 VDC electrical systems in WW2. Ten points if you can guess the year that the US military started "harmonizing" ground vehicle electric systems to match aircraft. :-)

Your experience in hamming up radio is restricted to HF bands. The US military operates from ELF to tiny mm wavelengths of microwaves and every decade segment of the EM spectrum in between.

>Lemmee 'splain for the record. There are engineers and there are
>non-engineers. The difference being whether or not the undergrad
>wallpaper reads "Bachelor of Science in (many disciplines)
>Engineering" from an accredited engineering school or not. It's 100%
>digital, there is no middle ground.

Nope. You are hung up on titles and certificates and ultimately wind up hung by the old school tie.

Engineering is what is DONE, sweetums. A sheepskin doesn't do anything by itself. Kearfott Microwave Division, Micro-Radionics Inc., Birtcher Instrument Division, Electro-Optical Systems Inc., RCA Corporation, Teledyne Electronics, Hughes Aircraft Company (Missle Division), Rocketdyne Division of Rockwell International all gave me engineering responsibility and pay commensurate with that. The Department of Defense, the FBI, the CIA, the NSA, the IRS, the California Franchise Tax Board, the counties of Los Angeles and Ventura, the Social Security Adminstration, Defense Contracts Administration Services (DCAS), the IEEE, the ACM all acknowledged that I was, indeed, an electronics engineer. It's all on the records...in writing!

I can add some "informal" acknowledgements such as in trade publications and the US Patent Office (one plus 28 foreign versions of the same, all assigned to RCA Corp.),

some hobby electronics publications, and, lately, the
Pacific Stars and Stripes military newspaper. :-)

>Some of the engineers who pop up
>in this NG include Jim n2ey, Cecil, Rick Tannehill from back when, all
>electrical engineers. I'm a mechanical engineer.

You forgot to add your qualification of Blowhard of (radio) Science,
a BS degree. :-)

>There are engineering support folk out there who may or may not have
>formal technician-level educations in the assorted disciplines who
>conventionally work under the direction of engineers. The Putz is one
>of those. They are *not* engineering professionals. Not only that but
>there is no such thing as a "radio engineering" discipline. Bottom
>line here is that The Putz trying to pass himself off as a
>"professional" anything let alone a "professional radio engineer" is
>absolute total bullshit at the oxymoron level.

"Professional" by itself refers to the acceptance of money for
work performed. US amateur radio is defined as a radio
activity NOT for pecuniary reasons. I've worked all my adult
life and have collected a "good" amount of money. That's a
definition of professional.

There is a confusion among many as to a state's Professional
Licensing of engineering. In California all Professional Engineers
must be passed by a state board of examiners...which is aided
but not exclusive to a degree granted by an academic
institution. California acknowledges an "Engineer In Training"
period of 5 years before applying for a Professional Engineer
License as the equivalent of a graduate degree. A state
Professional License is largely a legal document rather than
an absolute although possession of a Professional License is
considered to be the highest recognition of third-party
acknowledgement of CAPABILITY of doing something.

Graduate degrees are NOT automatically Professional state
things. Academic degrees aren't even a governmentally-
controlled accreditation, but are acknowledged by common
consent and may included in government title definitions.

Nowhere in this or any other newsgroup have I ever claimed
any Professional Engineer License possession. Not even
the EIT. Neither have I mentioned the academic institution
which granted me a degree...which was so late after starting
to DO things in engineering (relative to the status-symbol
engineers) that it wasn't worth a food-fight over school reps.

>And beyond that there are state laws which prohibit anybody from
>advertising themselves as "professional engineers" unless they're
>first qualified to take then have passed the state professional
>engineering exams. Yeah, right, like The Putz has a California PE

>ticket to practice "professional radio engineering" . . Bwaahaha!

Kellie, try to stay focussed on word definitions. You are an amateur in radio because the US government defines amateur radio as an activity "without pecuniary interest." You are still an amateur in the emotional sense of the word because you just don't demonstrate word-one of knowledge of what happens behind your own ham radio front panels.

As to electronic engineering, I've not only "walked the walk and talked the talk," but I've built the walk by DOING, written it up, showed others how to walk and talk, even invented a small part of it...and gotten PAID for it. Hint: Paid, as in pecuniary compensation. Professional work...getting paid for services rendered, things DONE.

There's no fancy status-symbol sheepskin the size of a bill-board obscuring my "walk." I don't use one as camoflage for a very tiny "walk" or as exaggerated propaganda to enlarge a "walk" to highway proportions. [see the "26 patents claim" where kellie magnified ONE patent into 26 from 25 foreign patent duplicate filings] My single patent was on RADIO, not on bearings. Kellie lost his bearings.

>The Putz comes outta the old aerospace world I was in for many years.
>Back when I was plying my trade in that biz and needed a grunt or
>grunts to crank out the manufacturing drawings of the thingeys &
>systems I was responsible for coming up with I usta buy widgets like
>The Putz. To do that I used the same standard Navy purchase requsition
>form I used to buy pipe flanges. Sometimes I had trouble telling which
>was which when they were delivered.

...and you still don't know the difference.

3355. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 23:44:20 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021208184420.21527.00000671@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 57545

In article <3DF35280.599F2861@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>Brian Kelly wrote:
>
>> "K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
>news:<HFuI9.9823$kz2.1028347@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
>> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote

> It's a lotta puffery, ie smoke blowing, by Hans.

No. The "smoke" is coming out of the lower middle west, not the upper.

>Hey, he's been out of the military almost as long as I, and I
>later worked a 30 year career, and have been retired eight years.

Oh, dickiebird was a military lifer? ["30 year man"] I don't think so.

What did dickiebird DO in the military? March around with a TK-30
studio TV camera at port arms?

>He knows just as you and I that the goal of
>the military, of every service branch, has LONG been seamless, troublefree,
>anybody-can-do-it communications.

A minor glimpse of reality from the lower section of the middle west.

Military communications is NOT organized as a hobby or some fantasy
playground or some giant contest venue to collect QSL cards. It isn't
restricted to HF bands and morse code modes nor does it have
"direction" from a member organization in New England.

Military communications is a requirement of all command levels to
coordinate operations, from the lowest (a squad on patrol) to the
highest (a Corps area HQ or a battle fleet). Communications has
to available NOW, always ready, always as reliable as possible,
as secure as possible, and often with multiple channels on the
same comm link. It is done 24/7 or as the need arises, not as
some hobby fun thing done after work or on weekends.

> Yes, the service schools hurry the kids through a lot of material in short
>order, always have. Then they often
>hire civilian "tech reps" to babysit them so as to keep them with at least
>nose and eybrows above the surface,
>kinda keep them from drowning in their own inexperience and mistakes.

...that must have been how you felt?

>And...I had a Secret clearance way, way back there. As an OPERATOR.

Oh? What did the dickiebird "operate?" According to him his
military time at Fort Monmouth was spent in TV and Radio
BROADCAST training. No security clearances required there.
No handling of regular military communications, either.

Wow, a Secret clearance! :-) To get one, it has to first be
authorized for the work involved...which is sending a number
of forms to several government agencies, all of which have to
signify that the individual under scrutiny is not on their list of
nasty persons. DoD then assembles all the forms and issues
a clearance...which is still under the "need to know" and does
NOT automatically give them access to everything. Routine
stuff, done more for civilians designing and making equipment
than military personnel.

The only military communications people currently requiring a
Secret clearance are cryptographic MOSs and some military
intelligence MOSs. Crypto folks sometimes have to be cleared
for a Top Secret clearance, like a Secret but the FBI is tasked
with doing personal interviews of family, friends, neighbors,
former work colleagues (the "background check").

>When I later went to tech school most of the
>positions graduates landed in required NO clearance.

Oh? Dickiebird was an "operator with a secret clearance" for
TV studio broadcast work...THEN became an "operator?"

Between 1952 and 1960, the US Army required crypto MOSs,
some M.I. MOSs, and ASA MOSs to have Secret or Top
Secret clearances. ASA = Army Security Agency, later
folded into the general Military Intelligence grouping.
Clearances were sometimes required in other MOSs by
area/unit commanders for special circumstances.

> Most of those who went
>to secure positions were those of us
>who already had the clearance for it, usually career types. I finished out my
>second hitch and bailed.
> The work operators do is just as likely to be sensitive as that of technical
>types, more so at times.

Well, AFRS and AFRTS had "important" work to do but I
don't recall any pressing need for cleared TV studio people.
AFRTS used to be headquartered two miles down Glenoaks
Blvd from my house until moved out to a discontinued air
base. Was acquainted with some people there...none had
any clearances that they claimed. :-)

3356. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-08 23:44:22 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021208184422.21527.00000672@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 57544

In article <d22b54c3.0212072033.5e0008fc@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>"K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
>news:<HFuI9.9823$kz2.1028347@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
>> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote
>>
>> : It does require an engineering/maintenance staff to
>> : keep the system running. If you prefer to call that staff "skilled radio
>> : operators" that would be your privilege.
>>
>> Apparently the Navy considers it their privilege also.
>>
>> See the course description below (current as of a couple days ago) for an
>> 82-week technical course for HF/UHF/EHF/SHF ***OPERATORS***. Do you think
>> they spend in excess of 19 months, and require a Secret clearance in order
>> to teach PTT?
>>
>> Been there, done that, and taught the course. I can assure you we got
>> significantly past the PTT switch. I could tell you how far past, but then
>> I'd have to kill you. <BSEG>
>
>But just how far past the button? Been a lotta cheap shots by the
>assorted "professionals" in this NG over time about "non-technical"
>people like myself being "appliance operators".

Kellie, all you seem to have done is APPLIANCE operating.
Where is the bs about kit building or homebrewing? If the
title of Appliance Operator fits you like a glove, don't bitch
about the tailoring.

>Nowhere in any of your
>posts in this sub-thread have you mentioned who *fixes* the radio gear
>these days.

Sometimes it MUST be done in the field or on the ship. FedEx
and UPS don't make runs into battle zones, ace. If it takes more
work than can be done on the scene, equipment goes to the
Depot...which is manned by military with the MOSs.

>Do RMs also do the radio bench work?

If necessary, yes. If time allows, yes. The military doesn't
operate in a casual environment where something can be
played with "on weekends." It can come down to the simple
choice of fix it NOW or die NOW.

>Toss up replacement antennas? Replace bad cable runs?

If necessary, yes, absolutely. Warfare may cause partial obliteration of any station or equipment system very suddenly. Can you relate to that or do you need a Sgt. B.D. to run you through his course? [re: Doonesbury comic strip]

In the US Army, field radio MOSs have been given "field expedient" instructions on HF antennas since over 40 years ago at Fort Gordon and elsewhere.

>Back a bunch of years ago when I was
>a Naval GSer and earlier Great Lakes churned out ETs who fancied
>themselves "the real radio professionals" and RMs were the appliance
>operators +/-.

"Naval GSer?!?" :-) A "GS-nn" is just a pay grade for a CIVILIAN employee, ace. You don't get a uniform or rank insignia or do sea duty or have to plod the field with grunts.

Tell us all about the Great Lakes Training Center for the USN, ace, like how was your boot camp like and how many storms did you weather on Lake Michigan while "churning out the ETs?" Did you know that the USN had other training centers?

What IS your "experience" in all that "churning out ETs?" Did your catapult task involve launching carrier pigeons?!? [US Army Signal Corps gave up on carrier pigeons after WW1]

>Does the ET rate still exist and if so where are the
>lines drawn among RMs, ITs and comms ETs?

See your friendly neighborhood recruiter, Kellie. You can get lots of nice brochures to Explain It.

The USN wouldn't take you in on a bet, Kellie. Had you served in ANY branch of the US military you would have found out that ANYONE has to be part of the work needed NOW. There are few "lines" drawn on who does what in emergencies, NO "unions" to "negotiate" tasks or pay.

>I ask because 19 months does not strike me as nearly enough time to
>turn the average raw gob into a soup-to-nuts analog & digital op *and*
>tech particularly considering the huge increases in the variety of
>technologies and compelxities involved in the systems used today vs.
>in yesteryears.

You are speaking from a black hole of ignorance of what makes up military communications systems. You can find out all the course syllabi on Army, Navy, Air Force electronics and communications MOSs for enlisted personnel. Nothing secret about the class lengths or content...except for some of the Military Intelligence courses. Even so, the Fort Huachuca M.I. school has published nearly all of those...and that school is

shared with Navy and Air Force training in M.I.

Had you ever been IN the military as an enlisted person any time from the 1960s to now, you would have found out that one doesn't have to design the equipment, just operate it, and be ready to do "field-level" maintenance as necessary. Such courses can be anywhere from five weeks to a half year. Depot maintenance is more advanced and requires ratings and MOSs commensurate with skill levels involved. Any time one HAS to fix something on board or in the field, it is done. Period. No one has the luxury of calling the manufacturer, waiting for any technical representative to show up, or leafing through old amateur Handbooks for "suggestions." It is fixed NOW or it is not used and a "work-around" system is used. All the ops have to KNOW what can be used in a work-around situation. They GET that training.

On top of all that, military communications people are in an environment where other military groups are striving to KILL them and DESTROY their equipment. They are given training for such contingincies and how to fight back. Now you show us where in your "expert" amateur radio experience someone tried to KILL you and/or destroy your station? Did you fight back with a rolled-up edition of Guns & Ammo magazine?

3357. Re: My vanity callsign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 00:22:32 UTC
From: Unclaimed Mysteries <k4doh@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <at0nm8$8sb$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57547

"Omar" <MuhammedNut@Anon.com> wrote in message
news:asv41k$152$0@pita.alt.net...
>
>
> >
> > "For every fatal shooting, there were roughly three non-fatal
> > shootings. And folks, this is unacceptable in America. It's just
> > unacceptable. And we're going to do something about it."
> >
> > G.W. Bush
> > May 14, 2001
>
> Perhaps we should send Ted Kennedy forth to sell life vests. You know, the
> vest that Mary Joe should have been wearing when Teddy left her to drown?
> Old, Fat Ted continues to be a pig. Typical Kennedy...piggish and selfish.
> Let em' drown, eh, Fatso?
>
> Hey, Ted. Those red veins on your fat jowls depict alcohol abuse..
>
> Now what are you leftists doing to usurp our freedoms?
>

Looks like someone needs a hug. Or maybe a little timeout at CAMP X-RAY.

Corry

--
It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net

"... about 3 million computers get sold every year in China, but people
don't pay for the software. Someday they will, though. As long as they are
going to steal it, we want them to steal ours. They'll get sort of addicted,
and then we'll somehow figure out how to collect sometime in the next
decade." -Bill Gates, pusher, Money Magazine 1998.

3358. Re: k1man is paranoid needs prozac

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 00:36:47 UTC
From: hamaster100@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <31745a89.0212081636.63c8242d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57548
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3DF23EE9.4564167D@earthlink.net>...
> helmsman wrote:
> >
> > On Sat, 7 Dec 2002 10:45:31 -0500, "radiofunn"
> > <dicinhereman@hotmail.com> wrote:
> >
> > >To quote k1man in a recent post delivered to
> > >the 14.313 News Page
> >
> > I don't know if he needs meds but the government is full of scum that
> > sell out for money or a later job as they know every way to get around
> > something. And that is a fact!
>
> And you know this to be fact because 1) you have a highly placed friend
> who knows somebody who..., 2) you read it once in "Soldier of Fortune,
> 3) The guys on 75 meters were talking about it ?
>
> Glenn Baxter peculiar enough to make Wayne Green look normal.
>
> Dave K8MN

With all due respect, I must disagree. Mr. Baxter the one true leader in your ham radio. He fears nobody. He fights for his beliefs and never backs away. He never makes a promise that he does not keep.

The American Amateur Radio Association of which he is President is your one clear choice as a true democratic representative ham radio body. It welcomes all two-way radio people as members and works with all of them regardless of the radio service they represent.

The International Amateur Radio Network which he presides over is always "hours ahead when minutes count." When a communications emergency is declared it is Mr. Baxter's International Amateur Radio Network "Jump Teams" that are always first to arrive. No other organization is as well prepared or as responsive to human suffering!

Clearly, Mr. Baxter is a man amongst men. He desreves your respect and admiration. He has had mine since 1986.

El Buttonor
WPE7FRN since 1962

3359. Re: HERB S. RETURNS TO HAM RADIO AS NP2MJ !! (HIP-HIP-HOOORAY!!)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 01:17:29 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@looneytunes14313.net>
Message-ID: <at0qv7qcc0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 57549
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

What ever became of all the money in the KV4FZ Legal Defense
Fund?

Lloyd

"loose screws" <vavaerooom3@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3def8317@snipnews.snip.net...
> Herb Schoenbohm returns to ham radio (Dec 4, 2002) -- After nearly two
years
> off the air, Herb Schoenbohm, ex-KV4FZ, has made good on his promise to
one
> day
> return to the Amateur Radio ranks. The FCC on December 4 issued Schoenbohm
a
> new
> call sign, NP2MJ, as a General-class licensee and was expected to act
> quickly on
> his Amateur Extra-class application. In 1994, the FCC put Schoenbohm's
> renewal
> application for KV4FZ up for hearing following his 1992 felony conviction
on
> federal fraud charges. The Commission finally turned down his renewal
> application in 1998, the US Appeals Court upheld the FCC's decision in
2000,
> and
> the US Supreme Court declined to hear the case later that same year. After
> losing his renewal bid, Schoenbohm applied for a new license in 2001,
first
> passing the General and then the Extra exams. The FCC subsequently
> designated
> Schoenbohm's General application for hearing on the basis of character
> issues
> stemming from his 1992 conviction as well as his alleged lack of candor
> during
> subsequent FCC hearings on the matter. Following a hearing last spring,
> Administrative Law Judge Arthur I. Steinberg in October cleared the way
for
> Schoenbohm's return to ham radio by declaring that Schoenbohm appeared
> rehabilitated and qualified to again become a Commission licensee.
> Schoenbohm
> has said he may apply for KV4FZ as a vanity call sign.
>
> ----------- cut -------------

>
> I DON'T KNOW ABOUT YOU GUYS, BUT I CAN'T WAIT
> TILL HERB GETS BACK ON 14.300 AND EXPOSES THE
> MARITIME NETS CONTINUED AND NEW VIOLATIONS OF
> PART 97.113
>
> WELCOME BACK HERB SCHOENBOHM TO HAM RADIO !!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!
>
> HIP-HIP-HOOORAY!!
> HIP-HIP-HOOORAY!!
> HIP-HIP-HOOORAY!! !!!
>
>
>

3360. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 01:21:54 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DF3FE71.68DF6309@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57550

Would you get a load of this dipschit ramble and rave? If one knew no better ya might get the impression he actually saw action at least *near* a battle front, and maybe DURING a war, instead of resetting circuitbreakers and replacing fuses and frayed AC cords in a rear area - WAY to the rear. In fact, clear across on the other side of the pond from all the action, it turns out, and even three years after all hostilities had ceased!!!!! What a *joke* HE is!! Funny, funny, funny. Phony, Phony, PHONY!!!!! to the max!!!!!!

And one *might* assume that he at least had some interest in ham radio since this IS a ham radio group, but NO! Not even a spark! He's just a bored, lonesome tragicomical old Geezerputz looking for love...and finding it only in the form of BurkeTheTurkey and Kimshe the TIT.....

Speaking of birds of a feather.........

Len Over 21 wrote:

> In article <d22b54c3.0212072033.5e0008fc@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >"K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
> >news:<HFuI9.9823$kz2.1028347@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> >> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote
> >>
> >> : It does require an engineering/maintenance staff to
> >> : keep the system running. If you prefer to call that staff "skilled radio
> >> : operators" that would be your privilege.
> >>
> >> Apparently the Navy considers it their privilege also.
> >>
> >> See the course description below (current as of a couple days ago) for an
> >> 82-week technical course for HF/UHF/EHF/SHF ***OPERATORS***. Do you think
> >> they spend in excess of 19 months, and require a Secret clearance in order
> >> to teach PTT?
> >>
> >> Been there, done that, and taught the course. I can assure you we got
> >> significantly past the PTT switch. I could tell you how far past, but then
> >> I'd have to kill you. <BSEG>
> >
> >But just how far past the button? Been a lotta cheap shots by the
> >assorted "professionals" in this NG over time about "non-technical"
> >people like myself being "appliance operators".
>
> Kellie, all you seem to have done is APPLIANCE operating.
> Where is the bs about kit building or homebrewing? If the

> title of Appliance Operator fits you like a glove, don't bitch
> about the tailoring.
>
> >Nowhere in any of your
> >posts in this sub-thread have you mentioned who *fixes* the radio gear
> >these days.
>
> Sometimes it MUST be done in the field or on the ship. FedEx
> and UPS don't make runs into battle zones, ace. If it takes more
> work than can be done on the scene, equipment goes to the
> Depot...which is manned by military with the MOSs.
>
> >Do RMs also do the radio bench work?
>
> If necessary, yes. If time allows, yes. The military doesn't
> operate in a casual environment where something can be
> played with "on weekends." It can come down to the simple
> choice of fix it NOW or die NOW.
>
> >Toss up replacement antennas? Replace bad cable runs?
>
> If necessary, yes, absolutely. Warfare may cause partial
> obliteration of any station or equipment system very suddenly.
> Can you relate to that or do you need a Sgt. B.D. to run you
> through his course? [re: Doonesbury comic strip]
>
> In the US Army, field radio MOSs have been given "field
> expedient" instructions on HF antennas since over 40 years
> ago at Fort Gordon and elsewhere.
>
> >Back a bunch of years ago when I was
> >a Naval GSer and earlier Great Lakes churned out ETs who fancied
> >themselves "the real radio professionals" and RMs were the appliance
> >operators +/-.
>
> "Naval GSer?!?" :-) A "GS-nn" is just a pay grade for a
> CIVILIAN employee, ace. You don't get a uniform or rank
> insignia or do sea duty or have to plod the field with grunts.
>
> Tell us all about the Great Lakes Training Center for the USN,
> ace, like how was your boot camp like and how many storms
> did you weather on Lake Michigan while "churning out the ETs?"
> Did you know that the USN had other training centers?
>
> What IS your "experience" in all that "churning out ETs?"
> Did your catapult task involve launching carrier pigeons?!?
> [US Army Signal Corps gave up on carrier pigeons after
> WW1]
>
> >Does the ET rate still exist and if so where are the

> >lines drawn among RMs, ITs and comms ETs?
>
> See your friendly neighborhood recruiter, Kellie. You can get
> lots of nice brochures to Explain It.
>
> The USN wouldn't take you in on a bet, Kellie. Had you served
> in ANY branch of the US military you would have found out that
> ANYONE has to be part of the work needed NOW. There are
> few "lines" drawn on who does what in emergencies, NO "unions"
> to "negotiate" tasks or pay.
>
> >I ask because 19 months does not strike me as nearly enough time to
> >turn the average raw gob into a soup-to-nuts analog & digital op *and*
> >tech particularly considering the huge increases in the variety of
> >technologies and compelxities involved in the systems used today vs.
> >in yesteryears.
>
> You are speaking from a black hole of ignorance of what makes
> up military communications systems. You can find out all the
> course syllabi on Army, Navy, Air Force electronics and
> communications MOSs for enlisted personnel. Nothing secret
> about the class lengths or content...except for some of the
> Military Intelligence courses. Even so, the Fort Huachuca M.I.
> school has published nearly all of those...and that school is
> shared with Navy and Air Force training in M.I.
>
> Had you ever been IN the military as an enlisted person any
> time from the 1960s to now, you would have found out that
> one doesn't have to design the equipment, just operate it, and
> be ready to do "field-level" maintenance as necessary. Such
> courses can be anywhere from five weeks to a half year. Depot
> maintenance is more advanced and requires ratings and MOSs
> commensurate with skill levels involved. Any time one HAS to
> fix something on board or in the field, it is done. Period. No
> one has the luxury of calling the manufacturer, waiting for any
> technical representative to show up, or leafing through old
> amateur Handbooks for "suggestions." It is fixed NOW or it
> is not used and a "work-around" system is used. All the ops
> have to KNOW what can be used in a work-around situation.
> They GET that training.
>
> On top of all that, military communications people are in an
> environment where other military groups are striving to KILL
> them and DESTROY their equipment. They are given training
> for such contingincies and how to fight back. Now you show
> us where in your "expert" amateur radio experience someone
> tried to KILL you and/or destroy your station? Did you fight
> back with a rolled-up edition of Guns & Ammo magazine?

3361. Re: k1man is paranoid needs prozac

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 01:53:23 UTC
From: LRod@removethispartpobox.com
Message-ID: <3df3f5e5.94283132@netnews.att.net>
Source record: 57551
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 8 Dec 2002 16:36:47 -0800, hamaster100@yahoo.com (El Buttonor)
wrote:

>With all due respect, I must disagree. Mr. Baxter the one true leader
>in your ham radio. He fears nobody. He fights for his beliefs and
>never backs away. He never makes a promise that he does not keep.

Give an example of a promise he's made.

>The American Amateur Radio Association of which he is President is
>your one clear choice as a true democratic representative ham radio
>body. It welcomes all two-way radio people as members and works with
>all of them regardless of the radio service they represent.

Demoocratic? Who's the president/CEO? Who elected him? I'm an amateur
and I want an amateur radio association representing me. If the aara
works with other radio services, then they aren't giving me the full
representation I want.

>The International Amateur Radio Network which he presides over is
>always "hours ahead when minutes count.

Nice tag line. Now give one verifiable example of it.

>" When a communications emergency is declared it is Mr. Baxter's
>International Amateur Radio Network "Jump Teams" that are always
>first to arrive. No other organization is as well prepared or as responsive
>to human suffering!

Uh, are we talking about radio communications here? Name one
verifiable instance when a Glenn Baxter "team" of any kind showed up
anywhere and did anything.

>Clearly, Mr. Baxter is a man amongst men. He desreves your respect and
>admiration. He has had mine since 1986.

Then you need to get out more.

>WPE7FRN since 1962

Worked hard for that, didn't you? It only cost you 5 cents (price of
postage back then, plus or minus a penny).

LRod

Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite

Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999

http://www.woodbutcher.net

3362. Re: My vanity callsign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 02:38:39 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021208213839.25792.00002792@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 57552

In article <asuvo1$u2u$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>, "Unclaimed Mysteries"
<k4doh@mindspring.com> writes:

>
>is in honor of a cartoon character. Does this demean the ARS? Please
>Obi-K3LT advise me if it offends you. I'd hate to be responsible for the
>auctioning off of 2 meters over this. You are my oracle.
>
>73 Corry K4DOH

Corry:

Selecting a Vanity Callsign suffix which immortalizes Homer Simpson
is something which will have practically no impact on the ARS. However,
I am not the ultimate judge. After all, it was Riley Hollingsworth who
made the statement that Kim Walker's call sign had the potential to bring
the ARS "...one step closer to extinction."

I will say, however, that selecting a call sign based on Homer Simpson's
favorite saying, and making a point of ensuring that everyone knows why
you selected it, causes me to take you no more seriously than I do Kim.
But I'm not going to ask you to change your call sign, which, in itself,
is not offensive. You're stuck with it.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3363. Re: Titty?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 02:38:55 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DF4023F.5CF81435@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 57553

Omar wrote:

> So goes it..TITty will have a comment for sure....
> Will the real tit stand up and be counted?
>

No picture files in this newsgroup, you lid!

3364. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 02:40:10 UTC
From: lbudney-google@nb.net
Message-ID: <417b917a.0212081840.73f6ca18@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57554

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote:
>
> Doesn't *anyone* get a vanity callsign for the reaction to it? DUH!!!!

No. Most do not.

>> Quote: "Aaron, Aaron, Aaron...the 'intent' of this callsign
>> has never been able to even BE doubted? How would it be
>> able to be doubted."
>
> OK. So tell me...[what is] so obscene or offensive about the
> phrase "how would it be able to be doubted"?

Don't be silly. When you said "how would it be able to be doubted",
you weren't being indecent--you were simply confessing. You were
saying, "My intent in choosing that callsign is perfectly obvious."
Which it is.

> Anything I ever say on the air can only be construed as "dirty"
> if the mind receiving it is "dirty."

Sorry, that doesn't wash. Nor is it original; it is the standard
defence of indecent behavior. The simple fact is that the ARS was
meant in part to provide a hobby I could share with my son, and you,
airing references to your various parts, undermine that purpose. You
know it does, and have confessed that such is in fact your intent.

But I've said all I mean to on this subject, and more than I should
have.

--Len.

3365. Re: My vanity callsign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 02:42:13 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DF40305.D0B539E2@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 57555

>
> "For every fatal shooting, there were roughly three non-fatal
> shootings. And folks, this is unacceptable in America. It's just
> unacceptable. And we're going to do something about it."
>
> G.W. Bush
> May 14, 2001

Yeah, what ever happened to marksmanship in this country?.....
Gun control is using both hands!

3366. Re: Followup from K4ZDH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 02:53:37 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DF405B1.273E16E1@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 57556

>
> Check out this webpage it has something on it for you.
> No this isn't a joke just go look at it, there is a personal
> message and picture for you there. BTW if anyone else
> goes there your eyes will burn out of their sockets so
> don't try it, this is just for Kim ONLY!!!
>
> http://www.angelfire.com/in3/kb9tmp/ebay.html
>

They changed the graphics on the plates in Texas. The above
date back about 5 years.

3367. Re: RRAP Intro to Markie Morgan

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 02:59:30 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021208215930.25792.00002794@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 57557

In article <3DF14FE0.42EA4F04@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>> you keep geting it half right activities are different from
>> preferences and where and when you said it. indeed i can also lie
>> about such interests a solder can walk into a gay bar if he or she
>> chooses check your facts
>
>I think I've heard that one: A Roll of Kester .032 60/40 walks into a
>gay bar. Bartender says, "We don't get many solders in here"...
>
>Dave K8MN

I knew a guy like that. He was rosin to the core.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3368. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 02:59:31 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021208215931.25792.00002795@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 57558

In article <uv7dfvi8i4p32e@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>> > So, if we "just
>> >happen" to have all kinds, I sure am glad we do. ;)
>>
>> You're glad we have the Saddam Hussein kind, and the OBL kind, and the
>>kind that would help those folks and follow them?
>>
>> 73 de Jim, N2EY

>You know what? Philosophically speaking, it takes each and every encounter
>to make us who and what we are.
>
>Kim W5TIT

Kim:

This is the most despicable thing I've seen you post to date.

I'll stick my neck out a bit here and state quite emphatically that I, as one American citizen, could have well done without the events of Sept 11, 2001. And I rather suspect that I can get at least 2,991 "ayes" to that right away. I also believe that Saddam Hussein and Osama Bin Laden are two individuals that this world could very well have done without, for literally thousands of reasons. The fact is, Kim, we definitely DON'T "need all kinds of people to make the world." We can well do without some kinds of people. And, it just so happens, that YOU are one kind of radio amateur that the ARS can very well do without. And if you can even ATTEMPT to "philosophically" justify the existence of S. Hussein or O. B. Laden, I'm going to have to add that you serve no particularly useful purpose as a human being in general.

Why don't you move to Iraq and prove your point? I'm sure you'll be welcomed with open arms.

Larry, K3LT

3369. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 03:03:48 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <at1199rrr1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57559

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3DF16A55.2B291965@townsqr.com...
>
>
> Brian wrote:
>
> >
> > Why demand to be archaic?
>
> Why let anyone off without knowing the very most basic
radiocommunications method?

Because it is NOT, in any way, shape or form, a necessary
"basic" or building block needed by contemporary hams...
nor has it been a basic or building block of non-ham radio
communications for at least a decade or more.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3370. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 03:07:25 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DF408EC.10C3A646@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 57560

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> One of the consequences you're going to have to accept
> is the condemnation of ham radio operators who do not appreciate your
> foolish expression of your self-serving imposition of a sexually-suggestive
> call sign in what is widely regarded as a family-oriented hobbyist radio
> service. Anyone hearing it would not draw the conclusion that this is a
> wholesome activity for young people, but rather, just another extension of
> our permissive popular culture.

Guess that also leaves out bird watching as a wholesome hobby.
"Hey, look, a flock of tits (a small bird)." :-)

3371. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 03:08:58 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021208220858.25792.00002796@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 57561

In case anyone is wondering how this got started, I'm reposting the message where Kim proudly quotes the response she received from Riley Hollingsworth when she attempted to get him to agree that she had a right to choose her callsign. Well, she got more than she bargained for. My original responses to her post are left in as well. Read on:

Subject: Riley sez Kim taking the ARS "One step closer to extinction"
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
Date: 08 Jun 2000 14:37:47 GMT

In article <59B7203A07395FF0.50F2CC864D4ED7FE.54A4CB093D32584C@lp.airnews.net>, "Kim W5TIT" <kw5tit@hotmail.com> writes:

>
>Probably more a thoughtful wish of the prefix I wish I'd been able to get.

>Speaking of callsigns, here's Riley's response after I wrote and told him I
>was sorry for bothering him, since the FCC had already spoken on callsigns
>at the Dayton Hamfest (the rest of the email is also with it):
>
>While that's true, just because a person has a right to do something doesn't
>mean it's right to do it on every occasion. While the call sign may fit the
>constitution, for every instance where a parent or uncle or grandparent
>doesn't want a young person to get involved in Ham Radio because of
>something they hear on the bands, then you have taken the ARS one step
>closer to extinction. Then we can sit around and debate what happened to
>all those Amateur frequencies that industry bought at auction---debating, of
>course, on the internet and cellular because that'll be all we have left.
>The first amendment will still be alive and well, just as it is now, tho, if
>that's any consolation.

Interesting. Sooo, Kim -- are you going to change your call sign voluntarily, or are you going to, as Riley said, continue to take the ARS "one step closer to extinction?" Think about it!

Years ago, I would have fought tooth and nail to preserve every single kiloHertz of ham radio spectrum. However, because of the proliferation of so-called "hams" like you, Kim, I now support the concept of wholesale re-allocation of amateur radio spectrum to commercial broadcasting and utility communications services which can make much more productive, profitable, and socially beneficial use of it! As hams, we've blown it -- big time -- and you, your attitude, and your callsign are the best and most readily available example of every bad thing ham radio has become!

Sooo -- enjoy your callsign. The FCC won't force you to change it --
that is something that can only come from you -- should you decide
to become a Real Ham and stop giving the rest of us a bad image!
I have complete confidence that you can change if you want to -- but
the action to do so must come out of your decision to do what's
right for people other than yourself.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3372. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 03:16:58 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <at121q$520$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57562

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0212071007.22cc79fe@posting.google.com...
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<3DF16A55.2B291965@townsqr.com>...
> > Brian wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > Why demand to be archaic?
> >
> > Why let anyone off without knowing the very most basic
radiocommunications method?
>
> Because they can read about it in the history books.
>
> It comes right after, "Pony Express."

That's a rather extreme viewpoint and one I don't agree
with. Clearly the rational for morse testing existed up
and into the 70s...but began to diminish considerably
after that. Once the need for any possible back-up to
military or civilian morse operators dried up, the rational
for any mandatory code testing evaporated. At that
point, knowledge/skill assessment for morse as a mode
equalled that of any other mode...i.e. no requirement.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3373. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 03:18:13 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021208221813.25792.00002797@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 57563

In article <3DF408EC.10C3A646@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>Larry Roll K3LT wrote:
>
>> One of the consequences you're going to have to accept
>> is the condemnation of ham radio operators who do not appreciate your
>> foolish expression of your self-serving imposition of a sexually-suggestive
>> call sign in what is widely regarded as a family-oriented hobbyist radio
>> service. Anyone hearing it would not draw the conclusion that this is a
>> wholesome activity for young people, but rather, just another extension of
>> our permissive popular culture.
>
>Guess that also leaves out bird watching as a wholesome hobby.
>"Hey, look, a flock of tits (a small bird)." :-)

Robert:

You may have stumbled on to something here. Kim is a bird-brain!
However, a lot of us already suspected that!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3374. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 03:37:14 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021208223714.17289.00000907@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 57564

In article <d22b54c3.0212080801.58a9dbfd@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:
>> >Mr. N2EY...(SNIP)
>>
>> Jim Miccolis still continues to refer to

[Mr. Anderson]

>as "Len" or "Leonard", yet
>> Lennie continues to efer to Jim as anything BUT "Jim". Of course Lennie
>>the
>> Liar (excuse me...Lennie the Pathological Liar) has repeatedly said words
>>to
>> the effect that he only treats those who treat him in a certain way with
>> certain responses. Of course "rules" are for everyone EXCEPT the Grand
>High
>> Exhalted Liar.

Actually, my current practice is to refer to Mr. Anderson as "Mr. Anderson". In the past I have used "Len". I see no point in calling names like "Putz" or various forms of "Liar". A person who posts an untruth here is not necessarily lying - that person could simply be mistaken.

However, one of Mr. Anderson's standard methods of debate is to make fun of others' names ("dickiebird"), callsigns, employment ("Nursie"), and military service ("Master Chief"), in an obvious attempt to get them to reply in kind. Which I have steadfastly refused to do.

Note that Mr. Anderson often exhibits such childish behavior without any provocation other than the expression of disagreement with his opinions, or the pointing out of errors in his postings here.

He also uses a variety of AOL screennames ("nocwtest", "lenof21", "lenover21", "averyfineman") in an attempt to disguise his identity.

Mr. Anderson has never held any class of amateur radio license, and, despite a claim almost three years ago of intending to get an Extra class license "right out of the box" has not yet done so.

Knowing all of this, it is a wonder that anyone bothers to respond to his posts.

>> One more reason to NOT let your babies grow up to be "proferssional
>> radio engineers".

>Whoa, let's not get too hasty here Steve and throw the babies out with
>the washwater. They might grow up to be REAL radio engineers which

[Mr. Anderson]

>is *NOT*.
>
>Lemmee 'splain for the record. There are engineers and there are
>non-engineers. The difference being whether or not the undergrad
>wallpaper reads "Bachelor of Science in (many disciplines)
>Engineering" from an accredited engineering school or not. It's 100%
>digital, there is no middle ground. Some of the engineers who pop up
>in this NG include Jim n2ey, Cecil, Rick Tannehill from back when, all
>electrical engineers. I'm a mechanical engineer.

For the record:

I hold both bachelor's and master's degrees in EE. From (ahem) accredited
schools.

Cecil holds at least a bachelor's degree in EE. His master's degree may be in
EE. He probably has more than one master's. From accredited schools.
>
Rick Tannehill, W7RT, holds at least a bachelor's in EE. He is also a PE
(Professional Engineer). Note the capitalization.

In many states, calling yourself a "Professional Engineer" without a PE is
against the law.

>There are engineering support folk out there who may or may not have
>formal technician-level educations in the assorted disciplines who
>conventionally work under the direction of engineers.

[Mr. Anderson]

> is one
>of those. They are *not* engineering professionals.

Since Mr. Anderson says he is retired, he is not employed by anyone, and by
that definition, is not a professional anything.

> Not only that but
>there is no such thing as a "radio engineering" discipline.

In the first half or so of the previous century, "radio engineering" was a
common term. There existed an organization called the Institute of Radio
Engineers (IRE) and many books were published on "Radio Engineering". "Radio
Engineering" was a subspecialty of electrical engineering, like electrical
power engineering or computer engineering.

But by the 1950s the term "radio engineering" fell into disuse. It was replaced
by the broader term "Electronic Engineering". The IRE became part of the IEEE.
The term "radio engineer" became obsolete, defunct, outdated about a
half-century ago. Talk about living in the past....

>Bottom
>line here is that

[Mr. Anderson]

> trying to pass himself off as a
>"professional" anything let alone a "professional radio engineer" is
>absolute total

[pool-pah]

> at the oxymoron level.
>
Perhaps. If one wants to play dictionary games, "professional" simply means that one is paid money to do something. By that definition, most of us can claim a long list of "professions". Example: Someone once paid me good money to cut some pieces of wood and nail them together, so I'm a "professional carpenter" by that definition. Make up your own list - it's fun!

>And beyond that there are state laws which prohibit anybody from
>advertising themselves as "professional engineers" unless they're
>first qualified to take then have passed the state professional
>engineering exams. Yeah, right, like

[Mr. Anderson]

> has a California PE
>ticket to practice "professional radio engineering" . . Bwaahaha!

I don't think any state even grants PE licenses in "radio engineering"
>
>So if the baby grows up and decides she wants to do professional radio
>engineering for a living fer chissake Steve please don't use

[Mr. Anderson]

>as an example of why she shouldn't go for an EE degree, ship her off
>to a decent engineering school.

Good advice.

>> >...wants to dismiss/denigrate other radio activities in
>> > attempting to "compare" occupations with avocations.
>>
>> Of course this is interesting...coming from a guy who tries to make
>> himself look noble by associating himself with Soldiers who died in combat
>> 3 years before he was "in-theater".

What is being missed here is that it is Mr. Anderson who wants to compare commercial and military radio practices with those of amateurs, while ignoring the blindingly obvious differences between the purposes and resources of various radio services.

>Hey Jim: The answer is Rose Hill Cemetery, Hagerstown, Washington
>County, Maryland, USA
>
Absolutely correct. If I ever get there, I will pay a visit of respect.

Historical fun fact: Early US radio amateurs were unlicensed because the govt. did not require licenses until 1912. There were only a few thousand stations of

all kinds on the air in the entire country in those times, discounting simple spark-coil stations capable of only local communication. The radio laws of the time were simple because the radio law requirements of the time were simple. Not so today!

73 de Jim, N2EY

3375. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 05:00:31 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021209000031.14856.00001690@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 57565

In article <3DF3FE71.68DF6309@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

> Would you get a load of this dipschit ramble and rave? If one knew no better
ya
>might get the impression he actually saw action at least *near* a battle
front,
>and maybe DURING a war, instead of resetting circuitbreakers and replacing
fuses
>and frayed AC cords in a rear area - WAY to the rear. In fact, clear across on
the
>other side of the pond from all the action, it turns out, and even three years
>after all hostilities had ceased!!!!! What a *joke* HE is!! Funny, funny,
funny.
>Phony, Phony, PHONY!!!!! to the max!!!!!!

The Korean War was as much a war as any other war. About 37
thousand Americans lost their lives in that one. It started in June,
1950 and hasn't ceased...a truce was agreed to in July 1953 but
there has never been an ending to the Korean War. Why do you
wish to dishonor 37 thousand Americans who died and the many
more thousands who served in the Far East?

I enlisted on March 13, 1952, and was sent to the Far East in
January, 1953. How much time is there between January and
July, dickiebird? NEGATIVE time from hostilities ceasing.

The distance between Chongjin, North Korea and Tokyo (where
I was assigned) is about 500 air miles. The distance between
Vladivostok, USSR, was about the same distance. That's about
an hour's flight in a Bear (NATO name for a Soviet bomber). Less
time of flight now with jet turbine aircraft.

"The Pond" is a Brit colloquialism for the North Atlantic. That
does not apply to the Sea of Japan, the only body of water
between Japan and the Korean peninsula.

My MOS in 1955-1956 was 281.6 standing for microwave
radio relay operations and maintenance supervisor (the decimal
six in that old MOS coding referring to a supervisor). That is on
record at the National Archives and Records Administration. If
you have a verifiable need to know (instead of slinging shit on
ex-GIs), you can obtain that information. Note: Maintenance
duties include a number of things which include "resetting
circuit breakers" and "changing fuses." However, the actual
duties were far more complex than that. I don't recall any

"frayed power cords" although that might have been a problem
stateside (common problem in Missouri?).

The question which still goes unanswered is WHAT DID
DICKIEBIRD DO IN MILITARY COMMUNICATIONS AND
WHERE DID HE DO IT IF HE DID?

DICKIEBIRD HAS NOT TWITTERED A PEEP ON THE
ABOVE...except once to say he was "at Fort Monmouth
attending a Signal school on TV and Radio production."
Yah, like AFRS (later AFRTS) "needs" Secret clearances
to make broadcast kinescopes! Another ignorant blowhard
puffing away fantasies...that never really happened.

> And one *might* assume that he at least had some interest in ham radio since
>this IS a ham radio group, but NO! Not even a spark! He's just a bored,
lonesome
>tragicomical old Geezerputz looking for love...and finding it only in the form
of
>BurkeTheTurkey and Kimshe the TIT.....

Poor baby! Dickiebird cries and cries, swearing and
puffing because his "arguments" in here aren't logical
or based on any known reality. Dickiebird belongs to
genus of Red-Faced Beeping Puffin, a common noisy
bird endemic to the eastern and middle western USA.
They frequently inhabit chat rooms, trying to imitate
mockingbirds by stealing others' songs as their own,
are angry and quarrelsome, unable to get along with
other birds.

Red-Faced Beeping Puffins are not on the endangered
species list of naturalists but are protected and
nurtured by environmentalists of Newington...and no
one is certain why. "Is a puzzlement."

There is no need to feed Red-Faced Beeping Puffins.
They live on hot air, frequently belching noxious
stomach gas while twittering nonsense. It is amusing
to watch them flap and jump on thin branches just
before the branch breaks sending them to the ground.

3376. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 06:18:25 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <RAWI9.51156$hK4.4424756@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 57566

K7JEB:
>> All operator
>> licensing should do is make sure amateur
>> radio operators don't interfere with the
>> other users of the radio spectrum.

W0EX:
> Though it doesn't seem to be causing problems you hear of,
> licensing really doesn't do that now, and hasn't for a long
> time. I'm not sure it's possible to keep hams from
> interfering with other users.....

> ..... It can be a snakepit out there, whether or not one is
> properly tested. I don't believe that a large percentage of
> hams with no experience other than what they get in testing
> and operating only on ham radio would be able to sort out
> such a problem. And surely not on the strength of what they
> learned for the licensing test. It just doesn't go that far
> into the subject matter.

Well, Jim, N2EY, asked me what other changes
could be made to licensing requirements in
a positive, pro-active manner. I just thought
I would state my feelings about it.

I'm generally in favor of things that encourage
hams to be good RF citizens (whatever those are)
and watch things like harmonics and unintended
mixer products. I do think it's important for
hams to understand these matters before they
crank up the kilowatt-level transmitters they
are licensed to build and operate.

73,

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb (at) arrl (dot) net
Glendale, Arizona

3377. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 07:45:25 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021209024525.01126.00010265@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 57567

>Subject: Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 12/8/02 5:44 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021208184417.21527.00000669@mb-mh.aol.com>
>
>In article <f45722ac.0212071937.1ecfc3d5@posting.google.com>,
>brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

Snipped

Hey Lennie, why have you not answered the questions posed by the post that initiated this thread? You are participating in the thread, but not answering question put directly to you in response to posts made by you.

I repeat YOUR words for reference:

>I accuse ARRL of very mild fraud on the part of condoning such
> such things and hypocrisy on the implication of passing a ham
> written exam as a sort of academic certificate.

Again, you are asked to provide the evidence of fraud.

You very specifically state you make an accusation, yet you offer to evidence of that fraud.

"Where's The Beef?"

Steve, K4YZ

3378. Re: My vanity callsign

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 07:50:08 UTC
From: Unclaimed Mysteries <k4doh@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <at1i6b$2fn$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57568

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in part:

> Selecting a Vanity Callsign suffix which immortalizes Homer Simpson
> is something which will have practically no impact on the ARS. However,
> I am not the ultimate judge.

Damb. Another myth shattered.

> After all, it was Riley Hollingsworth who
> made the statement that Kim Walker's call sign had the potential to bring
> the ARS "...one step closer to extinction."
>
> I will say, however, that selecting a call sign based on Homer Simpson's
> favorite saying, and making a point of ensuring that everyone knows why
> you selected it, causes me to take you no more seriously than I do Kim.

Yet you seem to take Kim very seriously, as you have devoted many posts to
discussions of her callsign, even before invoking Riley.

> But I'm not going to ask you to change your call sign, which, in itself,
> is not offensive. You're stuck with it.

We each have our crosses to bear.

Corry
--
It Came From C. L. Smith's Unclaimed Mysteries.
http://www.unclaimedmysteries.net

"Psych-O-Delic Voodoo Thunder Pig" <jp@attbi.com> wrote in
rec.photo.digital: "Here's my serious reply: You're an idiot."

3379. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 07:57:31 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021209025731.01126.00010266@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 57569

>Subject: Re: 34 Years Ago Today
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 12/8/02 11:00 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021209000031.14856.00001690@mb-mu.aol.com>

>The Korean War was as much a war as any other war.

True.

>Why do you
> wish to dishonor 37 thousand Americans who died and the many
> more thousands who served in the Far East?

Why would YOU wish to dishonor those dead by trying to embellish YOUR Army
"career" by trying to associate yuorself with combat KIA's ?

That's not only dishonorable, it's cowardly.

>I enlisted on March 13, 1952, and was sent to the Far East in
> January, 1953. How much time is there between January and
> July, dickiebird? NEGATIVE time from hostilities ceasing.

When were you in combat?

Were you awarded the Combat Infantry Badge for taht service?

>The distance between Chongjin, North Korea and Tokyo (where
> I was assigned) is about 500 air miles. The distance between
> Vladivostok, USSR, was about the same distance. That's about
> an hour's flight in a Bear (NATO name for a Soviet bomber). Less
> time of flight now with jet turbine aircraft.

And for the amount of "combat" you saw in your Rear Area Widget clerk's
desk, it might as well have been on the Starship Enterprise.

We appreciate your having served, but you were never in combat.

>My MOS in 1955-1956...

Is irrevelevent to an Amateur Radio Newsgroup.

>The question which still goes unanswered is WHAT DID
> DICKIEBIRD DO IN MILITARY COMMUNICATIONS AND
> WHERE DID HE DO IT IF HE DID?

And the even more lingering question is how much combat time did Lennie
see while in the Army?

>Another ignorant blowhard
> puffing away fantasies...that never really happened.

Kinda like the puffing that has occured by one longtime blowhard in this forum who asserted that he'd get "an Extra Lite out of the box".

That never really happened either.

> Poor baby! Dickiebird cries and cries, swearing and
> puffing because his "arguments" in here aren't logical
> or based on any known reality.

And these would be different from YOUR ramblings...HOW...?!?!

>There is no need to feed Red-Faced Beeping Puffins.
> They live on hot air, frequently belching noxious
> stomach gas while twittering nonsense. It is amusing
> to watch them flap and jump on thin branches just
> before the branch breaks sending them to the ground.

From the perspesctive of another falling bird, this is an interesting observation...Perhaps an observation of one's self in the window he just blindly flew into...?!?!

Steve, K4YZ

3380. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 09:00:01 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212090100.78a322b5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57570

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20021208184419.21527.00000670@mb-mh.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0212080801.58a9dbfd@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:

> Your experience in hamming up radio is restricted to HF
> bands. The US military operates from ELF to tiny mm
> wavelengths of microwaves and every decade segment of
> the EM spectrum in between.

Bullshit Putz, I was beeping on the 220Mc. ham band with homebrewed
gear the year you dropped on the Army in 1952. And I didn't have to
hoof thru Monmouth to learn how to do any of it. Which of course you
still haven't learned how to do like you still ain't allowed to do.

> Engineering is what is DONE, sweetums. A sheepskin
> doesn't do anything by itself. Kearfott Microwave Division,
> Micro-Radionics Inc., Birtcher Instrument Division, Electro-
> Optical Systems Inc., RCA Corporation, Teledyne Electronics,
> Hughes Aircraft Company (Missle Division), Rocketdyne
> Division of Rockwell International all gave me engineering
> responsibility and pay commensurate with that.

You've made it quite obvious why you've never managed to spend much
time with any employer. I notice that you "conveniently" forgot to
include yer gig at NADC Johnsville in the above list. Putz. What
"title" did Johnsville bestow on you on yer way in and not much later
and under what condx did you find yourself out pounding the bricks
again looking for yer next gig? Hmmm?

The Back Channel dwellers know . . Go for it Putz . .

> I've worked all my adult
> life and have collected a "good" amount of money. That's a
> definition of professional.

There was a retired trash collector who worked for the Borough of
Clifton Heights PA for thirty who passed away recently and left a half
million bucks to his church.

> A state
> Professional License is largely a legal document

Yew bet it's a "legal document". And if you violate the provisions of
the licensing laws by calling yourself any kind of engineer or
offering to do any kind of "engineering work" or offering to provide
any kind of "engineering services" when you ain't one you can get

busted. You're in violation Putz.

> . . . Academic degrees aren't even a governmentally-
> controlled accreditation, but are acknowledged by common
> consent and may included in government title definitions.

So I reports to my new boss on my first day as a USN snivel service kid graduate engineer with a three-day-old diploma. To the guy who ran the Shipboard Launcher Div. (steam cats) Advanced Development & Design Section. Sez Ken, "Hey, you were's yer diploma?" "Jeez,it's home, why?" "Ah, personnel screwed up again, go home and get it kid. I can't issue yer clock number until I actually see the paper." A buncha year later my new boss at DuPont did the same drill on me when I hired aboard with him. Had a helluva time finding the frigging thing that time . . yeah Putz, every once in awhile them there puffy academic thingeys just might have some draft.

> Neither have I mentioned the academic institution
> which granted me a degree...

Bullshit again Putz, you have any accredited baccalaureate degree in anything like I'm the King of England. You can wiggle, you can squirm, you can toss out chaff by the ton but in the end it doan work. We all know what you is and what you ain't. You're just a worn out old electrical jobbie still trying to be what you never was and never will be.

> which was so late after starting
> to DO things in engineering (relative to the status-symbol
> engineers) that it wasn't worth a food-fight over school reps.

. . . sez it all . .

> >. . . I was responsible for coming up with I usta buy widgets like
> >The Putz. To do that I used the same standard Navy purchase requsition
> >form I used to buy pipe flanges. Sometimes I had trouble telling which
> >was which when they were delivered.
>
> ...and you still don't know the difference.

In your case I sure as hell did.

PUTZ.

3381. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 12:38:48 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212090438.63b5ec4b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57571

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<at121q$520$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>...
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:f45722ac.0212071007.22cc79fe@posting.google.com...
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:<3DF16A55.2B291965@townsqr.com>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > Why demand to be archaic?
> > >
> > > Why let anyone off without knowing the very most basic
> radiocommunications method?
> >
> > Because they can read about it in the history books.
> >
> > It comes right after, "Pony Express."
>
> That's a rather extreme viewpoint and one I don't agree
> with. Clearly the rational for morse testing existed up
> and into the 70s...but began to diminish considerably
> after that. Once the need for any possible back-up to
> military or civilian morse operators dried up, the rational
> for any mandatory code testing evaporated. At that
> point, knowledge/skill assessment for morse as a mode
> equalled that of any other mode...i.e. no requirement.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

Now, Bill, I didn't say when it ended, only when it started. ;^) Brian

3382. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 12:46:58 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212090446.3ec84cd9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57572

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3DF3692C.BD82A89B@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DF2BCFF.EEE2652F@earthlink.net>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DF23DE8.C795B8D6@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DF15602.B3FD7E84@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Whoopie. You didn't, as you told us, pass "the Extra". If you did,
> > > > > > > you'd be one.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > But I did pass the Extra written. You saying "Whoopie" cannot change history.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You're right. My writing "Whoopie" makes you an Extra no more than your
> > > > > > writing that you "passed the Extra". You were not awarded an Extra
> > > > > > Class ticket for passing part of the exam.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Look on the bright side though: You got way closer to achieving it than
> > > > > > Leonard H.
> > > > >
> > > > > How would you know? Have you been stalking Len?
> > > >
> > > > An interesting insight into how your mind works...
> > > > Yeah, that's it. I've been stalking Len from several thousand miles
> > > > away.
> > >
> > > You made a statement as if it were fact. How else would you know if
> > > Len had a CSCE but w/o passing the code requirement?
> > >
> > > Unless you want to retract your statement or modify it.
> >
> > I don't wish to do either. Ever read Len's own statements. He not only
> > doesn't hold an amateur license, he's never tested for one. I do wish
> > you'd pay attention.
> >
> > Dave K8MN

When was the last time he made that statement? Do you know if he's tested since that time? How would you know if you weren't keeping tabs on him?

3383. Re: DXer Phonetics (Was Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 12:50:10 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212090450.7c0a3136@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57573
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

I used "Isuzu, Mitsubishi, Daihatsu" with a JA operator when standard
"fonetics" didn't work.

Brian/N0iMD

"AC6V" <ac6v@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:<bMJI9.16542$r4.880867@news1.west.cox.net>...
> As you know -- DXers use countries as phonetics
> Most common usage is at URL:
> http://ac6v.com/dxphonetics.htm
>
> --
> I Doubt, Therefore I Might Be
> 73 From AC6V, Rod In Oceanside, CA
> http://ac6v.com
>
> "unkadean" <dnorris@k7no.com> wrote in message
> news:qgp6vu0rlpv6bp1k2d1ukvstga18ac6scq@4ax.com...
> > On Wed, 04 Dec 2002 17:45:14 -0500, bh <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
> > wrote:
> >
> > When I had the call W2GPA back in the early 70's, I was tagged with
> > the phonetics, Dubya two Gnome Pneumatic Aetna!
> >
> > Thanks to W7ZMD whose call has no phonetics! [8^,
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > >
> > >Most likely an urban legend as the FCC did not require the use of
> > >standard phonetics. We made up all kinds of phonetics for our
> > >calls. The last three letters of a friend's call who drove for
> > >Greyhound Bus was DGD (Drunk Greyhound Driver), another was AGG
> > >(America's Greatest Gabber), ect., ect., ect.
> > >No one was ever cited and the FCC did quite a lot of monitoring of
> > >the ham bands in those days.
> >
> > --
> >

3384. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 13:09:09 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021209080909.02033.00000038@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 57574

>Subject: Re: Just had to repost this its so true
>From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
>Date: 12/9/02 6:46 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <f45722ac.0212090446.3ec84cd9@posting.google.com>
>
>Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message

>> I don't wish to do either. Ever read Len's own statements. He not only
>> doesn't hold an amateur license, he's never tested for one. I do wish
>> you'd pay attention.
>>
>> Dave K8MN
>
>When was the last time he made that statement? Do you know if he's
>tested since that time? How would you know if you weren't keeping
>tabs on him?

(1) In order to get the Extra, he would have had to complete the
Technician and General class writtens first. Why would he get a CSCE for the
writtens and NOT get an NCT license?

(2) "Checking up" on him is no great feat. Just go to
www.fcc.gov/wtb/uls/loser

Steve, K4YZ

3385. Re: DXer Phonetics (Was Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 13:13:51 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021209081351.02033.00000039@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 57575

>Subject: Re: DXer Phonetics (Was Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?)
>From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
>Date: 12/9/02 6:50 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <f45722ac.0212090450.7c0a3136@posting.google.com>

>I used "Isuzu, Mitsubishi, Daihatsu" with a JA operator when standard
>"fonetics" didn't work.

And he probably went into the next room and kicked his father in the head
for not being able to defeat the "silly a**ed Americans"

Steve, K4YZ

3386. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 14:17:20 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212090617.56a2a4e4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57576

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20021209000031.14856.00001690@mb-mu.aol.com>...

> The distance between Chongjin, North Korea and Tokyo (where
> I was assigned) is about 500 air miles. The distance between
> Vladivostok, USSR, was about the same distance. That's about
> an hour's flight in a Bear (NATO name for a Soviet bomber). Less
> time of flight now with jet turbine aircraft.

Hey Putz - Did you ever actually *see* a Soviet Bear in flight during
a major conflict? Did you ever have one fly 750 feet over yer head?
Have you ever actually seen one in flight under any condx? Of course
not.

I did Putz. From the flight deck of the CVA 63 during the Vietnam
brawl in 1965. Sumbitch flew right down the centerline, I got off a
half-dozen exposures of the thing.

That was the first time. Then there was the time I got to watch a game
of "tin can chicken" played between one of theirs and one of ours a
couple thousand yards off the port quarter of the Sara with MIGs on
the screens in CIC.

"Under the threat" from 500 miles out . . whatta hero you was . .

And I was just a frigging *CIVILIAN*!

PUTZ.

3387. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 14:26:29 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021209092629.01965.00000029@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 57577

>Subject: Re: 34 Years Ago Today
>From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
>Date: 12/9/02 8:17 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <d22b54c3.0212090617.56a2a4e4@posting.google.com>

>"Under the threat" from 500 miles out . . whatta hero you was .

HOOOOOYAAA!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3388. Re: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 14:36:04 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DF4B896.B155D99E@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57578

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> "Where's The Beef?"

With Lennie??? Ha! He's all weenie....chicken and turkey!

3389. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 15:42:17 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DF4B9D9.7070200@psu.edu>
Source record: 57579

N2EY wrote:
> In article <uv5m3jth8i0568@corp.supernews.com>, " Kim"
> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>
>
>>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>>news:20021207223721.04214.00001073@mb-cc.aol.com...
>>
>>>In article <uv459coifmi14c@corp.supernews.com>, "Kim"
>>><seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

<snippage>

>>there is no "get government off our backs. Each party of choice loves to
>>claim it--and both have very manipulative ways of interpreting it and
>>*increasing* government on our backs.
>
>
> Yup. All sorts of twists and turns, too.
>
> Didja notice that other thread where I asked whether keeeping/eliminating code
> testing was a conservative or liberal thing? Both sides claimed the
> conservative/republican political high ground. Almost funny - and totally
> predictable.

Not me! I noted the inconsistency of the conservative mindset that
makes for a contradictory stance where some people argue for continued
guvmint restrictions via the fcc via the Morse code test.

I'm a strict MOR guy myself. (that means I think about the issue at
hand before taking the "party line") Sometimes I seem Republican, and
other times like a Democrat.

<snippage:

>>>It would be interesting to see the appropriate stats on that. PA is going
>>>in
>>>the opposite direction - actually raising the driving age due to the
>>>terrible accident records of 16-17 year olds. Unlike amateur radio licensing,
>>>younger drivers have a disproportionate share of problems behind the wheel.

That is a wonderful way to eliminate totally the accident rate for that
group - save for licensless joyriders.

Of course, we only transfer the high accident rates to older first-time
drivers. Perhaps we should increase that Minimum driving age to say 50
or so, that is when people start to act in a mature manner toward

preserving they own skins! 8^)

>>>Here's another fun stat: Guess which states have the best and worst
>>>divorce stats?

<snippage>

> It turns out that four of the five worst states are in the stand-by-your-man
> Deep South, and that the northeastern liberal rustbelt states are among the
> best. Utah is the best, though, for obvious reasons.
>
> Two of the very worst are Arkansas and Oklahoma. So much so that their
> governors "declared war" on divorce. What are they gonna do - take prisoners?

In today's world, why not? you want a divorce? That's a felony - 15
year minimum sentence with no possibility of parole! ;^)

Not at all surprising. I think it makes them "experts" on the problem.
We live in a world where celibate priests (har!) hand out marriage
advice and counseling. Why would not the more divorce prone people know
more about what it takes to stay married?

> See
>
> http://archives.salon.com/mwt/feature/2000/01/24/divorce
>
> and
>
> http://www.csmonitor.com/durable/2001/06/28/p3s1.htm
>
> and
>
> http://www.religioustolerance.org/chr_dira.htm
>
> (note that atheists and agnostics have the LOWEST rate!)

Atheists and agnostics don't have "instant forgiveness" to salve their
conscience when they do immoral/antisocial acts. So they tend to behave
themselves.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3390. N0VFP 2.0

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 15:58:42 UTC
From: "N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3df4bc0d$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 57580
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I just sent in my renewal to W5YI over the net yesterday.

I also checked out the K1MAN school of ham radio!
http://www.k1man.com/web39/Page_18x.html

They have free morse code software.

LLoyd
--
Jesus Christ is coming in your lifetime - R.G. Stair
"The bulletin service is here to stay"- K1MAN

3391. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 16:12:40 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DF4C0F8.4090409@psu.edu>
Source record: 57581

N2EY wrote:
> In article <3DE2C834.4010901@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
> writes:

<snippage>

>>I wrestled with the idea of going
>>for a 1X2 for a while. It would be a great help in contesting.
>
>
> Then do it.
>
>
>>But after seeing the grief some new hams caught for their perfidy, I decided
>
> that
>
>>KB3EIA was just fine - at least for ten years or so.
>
>
> Huh? Are you gonna let the spoutings of the ignorant control getting what
> you've earned?

Well, when you put it *that* way! 8^)

> Should I be ashamed of my license class and callsign because somebody might not
> like it?

No, it's just one of those "getting along" things.

All this got started with a fellow who made Extra with zilch HF operating experience and it showed. Had a vanity call sign though. At some point an OM got frustrated with him and gave a gentle but not uncertain upbraiding. Whether he should have or not is one thing, but I agreed with him that if you are going to be an extra, you should have some sort of experience in the hobby. Just a matter of expectations.

Which brings me to something I do wish the FCC would require again. That is a minimum time between General and Extra. (Ducking now) Obviously the General won't be a ticket with HF experience, but to make it to Extra when you've never operated an HF rig (along with no associated clue) is ludicrous.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3392. K1MAN SCAM ARTIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 16:45:41 UTC
From: B�gsn�rth th� �npr�d�ct�bl� <bogsnarth23@NOSPAM.THANKS.IMFULL.lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <VM3J9.1087$9p.62079@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57582
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3df4bc0d$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
: I just sent in my renewal to W5YI over the net yesterday.
:

Why did you pay W5YI to do something that the FCC provides for free?

: I also checked out the K1MAN school of ham radio!
: http://www.k1man.com/web39/Page_18x.html

Which is not Baxters work, but rather shameless links to the fine work of
VK2DQ in Australia.

Send K1MAN $300 and he will give you a certificate signed by a real
Professional Engineer. Whooopppeeeeee ding!

K1MAN is a scam artist of the first degree!

3393. Re: K1MAN SCAM ARTIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 17:26:04 UTC
From: "N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3df4d087$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 57583
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"B�gsn�rth th� �npr�d�ct�bl�" <bogsnarth23@NOSPAM.THANKS.IMFULL.lycos.co.uk>
wrote in message
news:VM3J9.1087$9p.62079@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3df4bc0d$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
> : I just sent in my renewal to W5YI over the net yesterday.
> :
>
> Why did you pay W5YI to do something that the FCC provides for free?
>

Because I do not want to use ULS. ULS was too difficult for me to use and
understand.

--
Jesus Christ is coming in your lifetime - R.G. Stair
"The bulletin service is here to stay"- K1MAN

3394. Re: K1MAN SCAM ARTIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 17:48:40 UTC
From: B�gsn�rth th� �npr�d�ct�bl� <bogsnarth23@NOSPAM.THANKS.IMFULL.lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <YH4J9.1148$9p.71276@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57584
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3df4d087$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com...

> ULS was too difficult for me to use and understand.

But, but, but, ... we thought you were a Certified Computer Expurt?

3395. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 19:05:04 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DF4E823.121D0FCE@earthlink.net>
Source record: 57585

```
Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DF3692C.BD82A89B@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DF2BCFF.EEE2652F@earthlink.net>...
> > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DF23DE8.C795B8D6@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DF15602.B3FD7E84@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Whoopie. You didn't, as you told us, pass "the Extra". If you did,
> > > > > > > > you'd be one.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > But I did pass the Extra written. You saying "Whoopie" cannot change history.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > You're right. My writing "Whoopie" makes you an Extra no more than your
> > > > > > > writing that you "passed the Extra". You were not awarded an Extra
> > > > > > > Class ticket for passing part of the exam.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Look on the bright side though: You got way closer to achieving it than
> > > > > > Leonard H.
> > > > >
> > > > > How would you know? Have you been stalking Len?
> > > >
> > > > An interesting insight into how your mind works...
> > > > Yeah, that's it. I've been stalking Len from several thousand miles
> > > > away.
> > >
> > > You made a statement as if it were fact. How else would you know if
> > > Len had a CSCE but w/o passing the code requirement?
> > >
> > > Unless you want to retract your statement or modify it.
> >
> > I don't wish to do either. Ever read Len's own statements. He not only
> > doesn't hold an amateur license, he's never tested for one. I do wish
> > you'd pay attention.
> >
```

> > Dave K8MN
>
> When was the last time he made that statement?

Look it up if you're curious.

> Do you know if he's
> tested since that time?

Yes.

> How would you know if you weren't keeping tabs on him?

"Keeping tabs" is stalking? Your stuff is starting to read like Kim's
expanded definition of "terrorism".

Dave K8MN

3396. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 19:10:11 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DF4E948.DAD2776@earthlink.net>
Source record: 57586

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: 34 Years Ago Today
> >From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
> >Date: 12/9/02 8:17 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <d22b54c3.0212090617.56a2a4e4@posting.google.com>
>
> >"Under the threat" from 500 miles out . . whatta hero you was .
>
> HOOOOOYAAA!
>

Missed him by that much!

It must have been scarey in Tokyo considering all of those bombing runs
by Soviet Bears.

Dave K8MN

3397. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 19:13:07 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DF4F981.AEF06781@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57587

K7JEB wrote:

> K7JEB:
> >> All operator
> >> licensing should do is make sure amateur
> >> radio operators don't interfere with the
> >> other users of the radio spectrum.
>
> W0EX:
> > Though it doesn't seem to be causing problems you hear of,
> > licensing really doesn't do that now, and hasn't for a long
> > time. I'm not sure it's possible to keep hams from
> > interfering with other users.....
>
> > ..... It can be a snakepit out there, whether or not one is
> > properly tested. I don't believe that a large percentage of
> > hams with no experience other than what they get in testing
> > and operating only on ham radio would be able to sort out
> > such a problem. And surely not on the strength of what they
> > learned for the licensing test. It just doesn't go that far
> > into the subject matter.
>
> Well, Jim, N2EY, asked me what other changes
> could be made to licensing requirements in
> a positive, pro-active manner. I just thought
> I would state my feelings about it.
>
> I'm generally in favor of things that encourage
> hams to be good RF citizens (whatever those are)
> and watch things like harmonics and unintended
> mixer products. I do think it's important for
> hams to understand these matters before they
> crank up the kilowatt-level transmitters they
> are licensed to build and operate.

Jim I certainly agree with that as a goal, as surely as the FCC does. But I know that most hams, especially new hams and those with no further technical training than they get for license testing, won't be able to function very effectively at that level without lots of Elmer help, and there's nothing new in that, of course. As noted it doesn't seem to be causing a problem that makes it to our attention.

I learned about harmonics early in my ham career when as a newly minted Novice running a homebrew 30 watt two-tuber on 40 meter CW

I received an "advisory notice" from the FCC monitor station in Brownsville TX that they had heard my second harmonic in the 20 meter ham band where I wasn't authorized. No answer was requested, but it sure got me into learning about too-tight coupling between final and antenna at an early stage.

And of course such things can easily happen when things are "normal". When conditions are good it takes very little RF to make it across the country on HF, and most all rigs will output some level of harmonics even if a very small amount, though probably less today than then.
The QRPP guys learned about all that early on.

73, Dick

3398. Re: DXer Phonetics (Was Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 20:30:16 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212091230.20497c61@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57588

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021209081351.02033.00000039@mb-cv.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: DXer Phonetics (Was Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?)
> >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> >Date: 12/9/02 6:50 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <f45722ac.0212090450.7c0a3136@posting.google.com>
>
> >I used "Isuzu, Mitsubishi, Daihatsu" with a JA operator when standard
> >"fonetics" didn't work.
>
> And he probably went into the next room and kicked his father in the head
> for not being able to defeat the "silly a**ed Americans"
>
>
> Steve, K4YZ

His father was probably KIA. Now what?

3399. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 20:32:05 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212091232.410adb8f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57589

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021209080909.02033.00000038@mb-cv.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Just had to repost this its so true
> >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> >Date: 12/9/02 6:46 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <f45722ac.0212090446.3ec84cd9@posting.google.com>
> >
> >Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>
> >> I don't wish to do either. Ever read Len's own statements. He not only
> >> doesn't hold an amateur license, he's never tested for one. I do wish
> >> you'd pay attention.
> >>
> >> Dave K8MN
> >
> >When was the last time he made that statement? Do you know if he's
> >tested since that time? How would you know if you weren't keeping
> >tabs on him?
>
> (1) In order to get the Extra, he would have had to complete the
> Technician and General class writtens first. Why would he get a CSCE for the
> writtens and NOT get an NCT license?

Steve, valid point. I modify my statement.

> (2) "Checking up" on him is no great feat. Just go to
> www.fcc.gov/wtb/uls/loser

I don't get it. Did Len have a license, then lost it?

3400. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 21:14:52 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021209161452.21527.00000737@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 57590

In article <3DF4C0F8.4090409@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:

> Which brings me to something I do wish the FCC would require again.
>That is a minimum time between General and Extra. (Ducking now)
>Obviously the General won't be a ticket with HF experience, but to make
>it to Extra when you've never operated an HF rig (along with no
>associated clue) is ludicrous.

Sniff...sniff...Ah! A troll from under yonder bridge approaches!

;-)

3401. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 21:14:53 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021209161453.21527.00000738@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 57591

In article <d22b54c3.0212090617.56a2a4e4@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20021209000031.14856.00001690@mb-mu.aol.com>...
>
>
>> The distance between Chongjin, North Korea and Tokyo (where
>> I was assigned) is about 500 air miles. The distance between
>> Vladivostok, USSR, was about the same distance. That's about
>> an hour's flight in a Bear (NATO name for a Soviet bomber). Less
>> time of flight now with jet turbine aircraft.
>
>Hey Putz - Did you ever actually *see* a Soviet Bear in flight during
>a major conflict? Did you ever have one fly 750 feet over yer head?
>Have you ever actually seen one in flight under any condx? Of course
>not.

Never claimed to. I just picked a USSR "Bear" as illustrative
of how short a distance 500 miles is in terms of turbo-prop
speeds.

>I did Putz. From the flight deck of the CVA 63 during the Vietnam
>brawl in 1965. Sumbitch flew right down the centerline, I got off a
>half-dozen exposures of the thing.

Was that when you were breaking in Ensign Harmon Raab, Sr.,
into USN air operations?

>That was the first time. Then there was the time I got to watch a game
>of "tin can chicken" played between one of theirs and one of ours a
>couple thousand yards off the port quarter of the Sara with MIGs on
>the screens in CIC.

Of course you did, Admiral. Civilians are always present in
Combat Information Centers, aren't they? :-)

[Kellie's been glued to certain TV channels too long?]

>"Under the threat" from 500 miles out . . whatta hero you was . .
>
>And I was just a frigging *CIVILIAN*!

"Frigging" is an old term referring to sodomy. That's punishable
under the UCMJ on board a warship in times of war.

It's not a nice thing to admit in an amateur radio chatroom which is supposed to be a "family activity" suitable for children [see sermons by Pastor Budney in here].

Let us know what week your story appears on JAG...

3402. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 21:14:57 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021209161457.21527.00000739@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 57592

In article <d22b54c3.0212090100.78a322b5@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20021208184419.21527.00000670@mb-mh.aol.com>...
>> In article <d22b54c3.0212080801.58a9dbfd@posting.google.com>,
>kelly@dvol.com
>> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
>> Your experience in hamming up radio is restricted to HF
>> bands. The US military operates from ELF to tiny mm
>> wavelengths of microwaves and every decade segment of
>> the EM spectrum in between.
>
>Bullshit Putz, I was beeping on the 220Mc. ham band with homebrewed
>gear the year you dropped on the Army in 1952. And I didn't have to
>hoof thru Monmouth to learn how to do any of it. Which of course you
>still haven't learned how to do like you still ain't allowed to do.

Kellie is invited to look up the frequency range of AN/TRC-8 radio relay receivers and transmitters used in the US Army of the late 1940s through the 1950s...not to mention the US amateur radio regulations in effect 1952. :-) :-) :-)

>You've made it quite obvious why you've never managed to spend much
>time with any employer. I notice that you "conveniently" forgot to
>include yer gig at NADC Johnsville in the above list.

I was a visiting staff engineer for RCA Corporation at the Naval Air Development Center, Warminster, PA, intermittently from 1972 through 1973. NADC was the contracting agency for a DoD sponsored R&D program designed and developed by RCA Corporation. At no time did NADC, the USN, or the Department of Defense ever compensate me personally for that work. My salary and expenses were paid entirely by the RCA Corporation.

>Putz. What
>"title" did Johnsville bestow on you on yer way in and not much later
>and under what condx did you find yourself out pounding the bricks
>again looking for yer next gig? Hmmm?

My employment at RCA Corporation was continuous from 1968 through 1975. 1972 through 1973 was in the middle of that time span.

>There was a retired trash collector who worked for the Borough of
>Clifton Heights PA for thirty who passed away recently and left a half
>million bucks to his church.

Did Kellie put on his religious garb and collect any of that?
:-)
The $2K-plus for that JRD SWL receiver had to come from
somewhere...

>Yew bet it's a "legal document". And if you violate the provisions of
>the licensing laws by calling yourself any kind of engineer or
>offering to do any kind of "engineering work" or offering to provide
>any kind of "engineering services" when you ain't one you can get
>busted. You're in violation Putz.

Incorrect. Kellie is invited to read California Law in regards to
titles of professions and professional license provisions.

I've done nothing illegal in my work in California from 1956
through the present date. The "made-it tuff guy" wannabe
is still spouting incorrect personal insult charges... :-)

>So I reports to my new boss on my first day as a USN snivel service
>kid graduate engineer with a three-day-old diploma. To the guy who ran
>the Shipboard Launcher Div. (steam cats) Advanced Development & Design
>Section. Sez Ken, "Hey, you were's yer diploma?" "Jeez,it's home,
>why?" "Ah, personnel screwed up again, go home and get it kid. I can't
>issue yer clock number until I actually see the paper." A buncha year
>later my new boss at DuPont did the same drill on me when I hired
>aboard with him. Had a helluva time finding the frigging thing that
>time . . yeah Putz, every once in awhile them there puffy academic
>thingeys just might have some draft.

Nice attempt at literary colloquialisms in philly cheese-steak
patois, but don't give up your day job at the bearing factory.

Don't be overly disturbed if the Writer's Guild of America or the
Movie or TV Academies don't nominate you for writing awards
this year.

>Bullshit again Putz, you have any accredited baccalaureate degree in
>anything like I'm the King of England.

Your supreme majesty is most kind, speaking down to one
of the common folk from his white throne.

I do wish His Majesty would go to the bathroom in private...
or at least get one of the newer energy-efficient models
that don't use so much water when flushing.

>... You're just a worn out old
>electrical jobbie still trying to be what you never was and never will
>be.

"Electrical jobbie?!?" :-)

Tsk, tsk, tsk...from the "beeper on 220" who used "ARC-5 JEEP radios [sic]" and was able to magnify his ONE patent (on bearings) into TWENTY-SIX in a few keystrokes! And the one who thought his SWL receiver used all 40 bits for DSP when he didn't know squat from snit about basic DSP, A-D conversion, or resolution.

Yes, Kellie is one of the Royal supreme who prizes morse-manship as the very pinnacle of amateur radio nobility, the mark of excellence in 1930s. Somebody please wake up His Majesty. This is the year 2002.

>PUTZ.

The word "putz" is a Yiddish-ethnic pejorative loosely trans-lated to American-English as "dickhead." Speaking of that, how's your prostate problems? I have no problems there.

3403. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 21:15:01 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021209161501.21527.00000740@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 57593

In article <20021208223714.17289.00000907@mb-mr.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <d22b54c3.0212080801.58a9dbfd@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
>(Brian Kelly) writes:
>>> >Mr. N2EY...(SNIP)
>>>
>>> Jim Miccolis still continues to refer to
>
>[Mr. Anderson]
>
>>as "Len" or "Leonard", yet
>>> Lennie continues to efer to Jim as anything BUT "Jim". Of course Lennie
>>>the
>>> Liar (excuse me...Lennie the Pathological Liar) has repeatedly said words
>>>to
>>> the effect that he only treats those who treat him in a certain way with
>>> certain responses. Of course "rules" are for everyone EXCEPT the Grand
>>High
>>> Exhalted Liar.
>
>Actually, my current practice is to refer to Mr. Anderson as "Mr. Anderson".
>In
>the past I have used "Len". I see no point in calling names like "Putz" or
>various forms of "Liar". A person who posts an untruth here is not
>necessarily
>lying - that person could simply be mistaken.
>
>However, one of Mr. Anderson's standard methods of debate is to make fun of
>others' names ("dickiebird"), callsigns, employment ("Nursie"), and military
>service ("Master Chief"), in an obvious attempt to get them to reply in kind.
>Which I have steadfastly refused to do.

I honor Hans Brakob's USN rank title (Master Chief) when he
uses it in reference to his military exprience and duties in the
USN. I've also used "Super Chief" in reference to his sometimes
CIVILIAN activity of red-balling (railroad term referring to direct,
high-speed train travel) certain comments. Those are different
"title" names.

The original Master Chief versus "Chief" minor dispute (of at
least two years ago) came from my quoting an iconic phrase
popularized by the TV comedy show "Get Smart" that was
"Sorry about that, chief." :-) [the "chief" referring to the
fictional head of a "secret" spy agency] It's rather obvious

that other denizens of this little din of inequity have a limited
capability for humor and cannot abide having their lofty,
exhalted, noble noses tweaked from time to time... :-)

>Note that Mr. Anderson often exhibits such childish behavior without any
>provocation other than the expression of disagreement with his opinions, or
>the pointing out of errors in his postings here.

Tsk, tsk, tsk. What "errors?!?" "Errors of opinions?" Is there
a "correct" opinion mindset that "must" be observed at all times?

Of COURSE there is! It's obvious! A devout, almost Puritan
Belief in the Credo through the encyclicals and edicts handed
down from the Temple of St. Hiram since Time Began! :-)

>He also uses a variety of AOL screennames ("nocwtest", "lenof21",
>"lenover21", "averyfineman") in an attempt to disguise his identity.

"Disguise?!?" :-) :-) :-)

Readers of Ham Radio Magazine have my name and address
printed as the byline of every article I've written for them:

Leonard H. Anderson
10048 Lanark Street
Sun Valley, CA 91352

That's my current USPS mailing address. It also appeared in
both the periodical and the book "Simulations" by BYTE
publications. I make no "secret" of it. :-) :-) :-)

>Mr. Anderson has never held any class of amateur radio license, and, despite a
>claim almost three years ago of intending to get an Extra class license "right
>out of the box" has not yet done so.

Obviously this "critic" (severe in his effort to achieve "the right
attitude" about his beloved amateur radio) cannot differentiate
between the English words of "could" and "will." :-)

This rather anal-retentive "critic" absolutely requires the
imprimateur of a federally-granted radio amateur callsign
in order to "be recognized" by his Holy Order of Amateurs,
loftier than the rest of the radio world. Can he recognize
another English word: Snobbery or Self-Grandeur? :-)

>Knowing all of this, it is a wonder that anyone bothers to respond to his
>posts.

It's a wonder that Mr. N2EY can exist within the confines of
his virtually elite world of amateurs, given the apparent size
of his ego and many virtual wounds received in here... :-)

>For the record:

...an all important requisite considering Mr. N2EY was not under
discussion in this sub-thread. :-)

>I hold both bachelor's and master's degrees in EE. From (ahem) accredited
>schools.

NOT a requirement for a US amateur radio license.

Trolling for a revelation of the university granting mine? :-)

>Cecil holds at least a bachelor's degree in EE. His master's degree may be in
>EE. He probably has more than one master's. From accredited schools.

Cecil Moore is no longer a regular in this chatroom. An academic
degree grant is NOT a requirement for a US amateur radio license.

>Rick Tannehill, W7RT, holds at least a bachelor's in EE. He is also a PE
>(Professional Engineer). Note the capitalization.

Rick Tannehill is not a regular in this chatroom. An academic
degree grant is NOT a requirement for a US amateur radio license.
A Professional Engineer License is not a requirement for a US
amateur radio license.

>In many states, calling yourself a "Professional Engineer" without a PE is
>against the law.

True. California is one of those states. The reference should be
to a Professional Engineer LICENSE granted by a state.

Trying to make an "important" distinction between "professional"
in the generic sense and "Professional" (capitalized) in regards
to Professional Engineer License is just blatant misdirection.

The generic word "professional" refers to service rendered in
exchange for pecuniary compensation. The word "amateur" in
reference to US amateur radio (Title 47 CFR, Part 97) refers to
those who engage in a radio activity NOT for pecuniary interest.

Those who chastise or villify professionals (of the generic sense
as in accepting money for services rendered) are emotionally
confused (as in overmuch self-pride or grandeur fantasies) are
the ones with psychological problems.

There is absolutely nothing in Part 97, Title 47 CFR, prohibiting
professionals in radio-electronics from engaging in commerce
of amateur radio equipment or in services rendered to others
EXCEPT as expressly defined in radio activities codified in
Parts 1, 2, 97 of Title 47 CFR. Nowhere in Part 97 is there any
prohibition for or against professionals (generic sense) from
engaging in defined and allocated amateur radio activities.
Nowhere in Part 97 is there any requirement for or against
professionals as to obtaining any US amateur radio license.

The misdirection on different meanings of "professional" is just
a diversion, a smokescreen to avoid criticsm against the

personal desires of certain individuals.

>Since Mr. Anderson says he is retired, he is not employed by anyone, and by
>that definition, is not a professional anything.

"Retired" is used in a general sense referring to not working for an employer other than self. "Retired" in the sense that "regular hours" (as defined by an employer) are not required. It should be noted that the Social Security Administration accepts self-employment as a legal occupation. So does the IRS, Franchise Tax Board of California, and Los Angeles County and City, all duly informed on the pecuniary compensation received after becoming self-employed, retired from regular hours for an employer.

There is nothing in Part 97, Title 47 CFR, for or against anyone obtaining a license for or engaging in defined amateur radio activity as to employment. Ergo, there is no such distinction.

Mr. N2EY and Mr. w3rv belabor the "professional" word because they have no other weapon in their morse code issue viewpoint. To satisfy their egos they must attempt personal pejoratives against those who do not share their personal views.

>But by the 1950s the term "radio engineering" fell into disuse. It was
replaced
>by the broader term "Electronic Engineering". The IRE became part of the IEEE.
>The term "radio engineer" became obsolete, defunct, outdated about a
>half-century ago. Talk about living in the past....

...and some radio amateurs insist on on-off keying morse code as signifying the elite, the pinnacle of the skilled in amateur radio. Very much a "living in the past" for those who insist on that demonstrated skill in US amateur radio licensing in this new millennium.

>What is being missed here is that it is Mr. Anderson who wants to compare
>commercial and military radio practices with those of amateurs, while
>ignoring the blindingly obvious differences between the purposes and
resources of
>various radio services.

Mr. Anderson has not "compared" so much as trying to educate an obviously ignorant group of hobbyists who had no grasp of the scope, techniques, or skills required in the greater world of commercial and military radio.

There is no "blinding obvious" except in the sense of too many amateurs being blind to most of the rest of the radio services. Those who've had tunnel vision all their lives don't see anything besides the tunnel.

>>Hey Jim: The answer is Rose Hill Cemetery, Hagerstown, Washington
>>County, Maryland, USA
>>
>Absolutely correct. If I ever get there, I will pay a visit of respect.

Feel free to join them at the earliest opportunity. :-)

>... The radio laws of the
>time were simple because the radio law requirements of the time were simple.

Radio laws of 1896 through 1911 were supremely "simple."
They didn't exist. :-)

3404. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-09 21:56:59 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DF511AB.4050509@psu.edu>
Source record: 57594

Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <3DF4C0F8.4090409@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:
>
>
>
>> Which brings me to something I do wish the FCC would require again.
>>That is a minimum time between General and Extra. (Ducking now)
>>Obviously the General won't be a ticket with HF experience, but to make
>>it to Extra when you've never operated an HF rig (along with no
>>associated clue) is ludicrous.
>
>
> Sniff...sniff...Ah! A troll from under yonder bridge approaches!

Hey, I showered today!

- Mike KB3EIA -

3405. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-10 00:34:50 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uvae5amck1daac@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57595

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DF3AC89.9040201@mailcity.net...
>
>
>
> >
> > Yeah, DUH. Doesn't *anyone* get a vanity callsign for the reaction to
it?
> > DUH!!!!
>
> I doubt that everyone gets a vanity callsign for the reaction. I
> know several hams that got vainty callsigns with their initials,
> they don't want or get any particular reaction to them. They are
> in good taste. You obviously chopse your's to get a reaction,
> which indicates someone who seeks attention anyway they can get
> it. Your call shows poor taste, why don't you write in large
> letters on the side of your car, "I have big tits"? Even though it
> is tastless it will get you plenty of attention, from all the
> truck drivers for sure.
>

Well, JJ, attention doesn't come from just truckdrivers and I'm not sure
they or I appreciate the attempt at a negative connotation, there. It
always impresses me that someone wants to call someone else's taste into
question while their own prejudices are showing through with flying colors.

Something else: I don't have to write on the side of my truck "I have big
tits." I have had to deal with them as a reality since I was 11 years old,
thank you very much. I doubt you'd find many large-breasted women who
really like carrying around large breasts (tits--whatever). Let me say that
I have been in a huge variety of situations professionally and personally
and, most people--men and women, contrary to the way you like to
believe--notice about me first, my breasts...tits, whatever. So, I "have
fun" with the situation. To you, it shows poor taste. What is poor taste
about the human body, I can't relate to...unless it were cancerous or
rotting or something like that.

So, for you to attempt to "call me out" on my tits and my callsign fails
miserably in the history I have had with *my* tits. I don't have my
callsign "for attention" as you like to diagnose. My tits do that for me.
I have my callsign for a lot of reasons, chief among them because I jokingly
told my fellow hams one day (all men, of course) that it was silly to get
initials for a vanity callsign--one should put some real punch into a vanity
callsign. They asked me what I would get. I told them (this was on the
air, by the way) that I would get something like KC5TIT. We all laughed and

went on. It was my husband that, a few months later, discovered W5TIT was available. I went ahead and got it. I enjoy it. You don't. I don't enjoy a lot of things I hear on ham radio--or even some of the people who are considered my peers as hams.

Kim W5TIT

3406. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-10 01:28:37 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DF54345.4040906@mailcity.net>
Source record: 57599

W5TIT - Kim wrote:

>
> Something else: I don't have to write on the side of my truck "I have big
> tits." I have had to deal with them as a reality since I was 11 years old,
> thank you very much.

So have a lot of other women, and they don't go out of their way
to bring attention to the fact, and manage to deal with it in good
taste.

I doubt you'd find many large-breasted women who
> really like carrying around large breasts (tits--whatever). Let me say that
> I have been in a huge variety of situations professionally and personally
> and, most people--men and women, contrary to the way you like to
> believe--notice about me first, my breasts...tits, whatever. So, I "have
> fun" with the situation. To you, it shows poor taste. What is poor taste
> about the human body, I can't relate to...unless it were cancerous or
> rotting or something like that.
>
> So, for you to attempt to "call me out" on my tits and my callsign fails
> miserably in the history I have had with *my* tits. I don't have my
> callsign "for attention" as you like to diagnose. My tits do that for me.
> I have my callsign for a lot of reasons, chief among them because I jokingly
> told my fellow hams one day (all men, of course) that it was silly to get
> initials for a vanity callsign--one should put some real punch into a vanity
> callsign. They asked me what I would get. I told them (this was on the
> air, by the way) that I would get something like KC5TIT. We all laughed and
> went on. It was my husband that, a few months later, discovered W5TIT was
> available. I went ahead and got it. I enjoy it. You don't. I don't enjoy
> a lot of things I hear on ham radio--or even some of the people who are
> considered my peers as hams.

The reason you got the callsign is an attention getter, it is in
very poor taste no matter how you attempt to justify it.

3407. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-10 01:32:49 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212091732.ee40096@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57596

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DF4F981.AEF06781@townsqr.com>...

> I learned about harmonics early in my ham career when as a newly
> minted Novice running a homebrew 30 watt two-tuber on 40 meter CW
> I received an "advisory notice" from the FCC monitor station in
> Brownsville TX that they had heard my second harmonic in the 20
> meter ham band where I wasn't authorized. No answer was
> requested, but it sure got me into learning about too-tight
> coupling between final and antenna at an early stage.

Life really got interesting when a Novice on 3.725 pumped his second harmonic out on 7.450 . . A buddy of mine from back then got a long-distance phone call from an FCC guy telling him he had this problem. The FCC guy wasn't nasty or "official" about at all, he was simply trying to be helpful. In my own case the neighborhood FCC examiner lived only 3/4 mile away and let me know I had key clicks and later that my "always on" vfo was leaking to the antenna. But he pointedly did not ream me a new one for using a VFO in the first place. He never brought it up until until I showed up in his office and sat for my General at which point he referred to me several times as "Swisher" with a sneer. Nailed.

This was the same examiner who showed up at a local club meeting and asked if anybody was interested in taking "practice Extra exams for the helluva it" after the meeting. I wasn't there but it's a local legend. Either six or seven took the test and passed, only one did not pass. Then he congratulated them for becoming Extras that night. Snookered 'em good!

I have no idea what the current crop of FCC of "listeners" is like today or if they would handle ham bloopers the way their predecessors did, I've never gotten a "little note" from one myself or heard about any others for years. But I seriously doubt that hams today churn out the gross volumes of RF garbage we did based on the fact that first precious few rigs on the air today have been homebrewed or came out of the surplus channels and second that type acceptance has to have had a huge impact in this repect.

> 73, Dick

w3rv

3408. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-10 02:14:01 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <J5cJ9.1393$cL4.145389@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57597

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote

: and second that type acceptance has to have had a
: huge impact in this repect.

Type acceptance? What type acceptance? Except for HF amplifiers there is no type acceptance in amateur radio (that I know about). I've been wrong before, but I think that the lack of a "type acceptance" requirement (or certification) seems one of the last distinctions between us and all those "unlicensed personal radio services".

Maybe the QCAO is winning after all.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

3409. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-10 03:30:57 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DF56E31.D1773A61@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57598

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:

> "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote
>
> : and second that type acceptance has to have had a
> : huge impact in this repect.
>
> Type acceptance? What type acceptance? Except for HF amplifiers there is
> no type acceptance in amateur radio (that I know about). I've been wrong
> before, but I think that the lack of a "type acceptance" requirement (or
> certification) seems one of the last distinctions between us and all those
> "unlicensed personal radio services".

You'll find that the FCC does indeed require approval of any new ham radio transciever marketed. They don't characterize it type acceptance on the same basis that non-hamradio gear is, or was, approved for marketing.but there is a formal approval or acceptance certification issued. If you watch the ads for soon-to-be-released for market HF rigs sometimes the fine print says "not yet approved for sale by the FCC" or similar language, signifying that the piece hasn't yet been checked out and finally approved. Exactly what that procedure involves I don't know. And of course it doesn't apply to amateur-built equipment.

>
>
> Maybe the QCAO is winning after all.

Quarter Century Appliance Operators? Winning what? That rule probably keeps them out of trouble they'd likely have it it wasn't there. Some manufacturer would cut the wrong corner to save a few cents and the ham wouldn't be able to detect the problem being caused. It would be the same situation that exists where consumer electronics mfgrs refuse to install AC line filters that would eliminate 99% of RFI caused to household electronics by transmitters. The savings amount to pennies but they don't care.

3410. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-10 03:37:25 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021209223725.06308.00000880@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 57600

In article <3DF4C0F8.4090409@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> In article <3DE2C834.4010901@adelphia.net>, Mike Coslo
><mcoslo@adelphia.net>
>> writes:

><snippage>

>>>I wrestled with the idea of going
>>>for a 1X2 for a while. It would be a great help in contesting.

>> Then do it.

>>>But after seeing the grief some new hams caught for their perfidy, I
>>>decided that KB3EIA was just fine - at least for ten years or so.

>> Huh? Are you gonna let the spoutings of the ignorant control getting what
>> you've earned?
>
> Well, when you put it *that* way! 8^)
>
>> Should I be ashamed of my license class and callsign because somebody might
>> not like it?
>
> No, it's just one of those "getting along" things.

Uh-huh. Me and my call have gotten along just fine for 25 years now. Nobody I
respect has a problem with it. I earned the license and the callsign that goes
with it. Somebody doesn't like it, tough noogies.

> All this got started with a fellow who made Extra with zilch HF
>operating experience and it showed. Had a vanity call sign though. At
>some point an OM got frustrated with him and gave a gentle but not
>uncertain upbraiding. Whether he should have or not is one thing, but I
>agreed with him that if you are going to be an extra, you should have
>some sort of experience in the hobby. Just a matter of expectations.

That's their problem, not yours.

How much experience is needed before one gets a 1x2? Why must it be on HF?
>
> Which brings me to something I do wish the FCC would require again.
>That is a minimum time between General and Extra. (Ducking now)

No need to duck.

>Obviously the General won't be a ticket with HF experience, but to make
>it to Extra when you've never operated an HF rig (along with no
>associated clue) is ludicrous.

They dumped the experience requirement over a quarter century ago. Still, I think it's a good idea.

What I'd like to see is three classes of license, but arranged in a more logical manner. Say Basic, Intermediate and Full, or some such names, with increasing power levels, modes and freq privs with the higher classes. But with significant access to HF for all classes. And a year experience requirement for each upgrade.

I say, get your 1x2 or 2x1 now, while there are still some available.

73 de Jim, N2EY

Sequentially issued, 1977.

3411. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-10 03:37:25 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021209223725.06308.00000881@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 57751

In article <d22b54c3.0212090617.56a2a4e4@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>Did you ever actually *see* a Soviet Bear in flight during
>a major conflict? Did you ever have one fly 750 feet over yer head?
>Have you ever actually seen one in flight under any condx? Of course
>not.
>
>I did Putz. From the flight deck of the CVA 63 during the Vietnam
>brawl in 1965. Sumbitch flew right down the centerline, I got off a
>half-dozen exposures of the thing.
>
>That was the first time. Then there was the time I got to watch a game
>of "tin can chicken" played between one of theirs and one of ours a
>couple thousand yards off the port quarter of the Sara with MIGs on
>the screens in CIC.
>
>"Under the threat" from 500 miles out . . whatta hero you was . .
>
>And I was just a frigging *CIVILIAN*!
>

GREAT STORY! Twarn't no air show, neither, I bet.

Not much anyone can add to that, except:

- The airline distance from Chongjin, North Korea to Tokyo is at least 670 statute miles. The distance from Vladivostok to Tokyo is 663 statute miles. (Source: "Esso War Map III, Featuring The Pacific Theater", printed 1944).

- For interesting info on the Tupolev TU-95, and its variants, known to NATO as the "Bear", see:

http://www.fas.org/nuke/guide/russia/bomber/tu-95.htm

- Development of the TU-95/"Bear" began in June, 1951.

- First flight of the first prototype, November, 1952.

- Production began January, 1956.

- First deployment August, 1957.

- Four turboprop engines driving counterrotating propellers. "Turboprop" refers to jet turbine engines driving propellers.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3412. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-10 05:00:53 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021210000053.14977.00000061@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 57752

>Subject: Re: 34 Years Ago Today
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 12/9/02 3:15 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021209161501.21527.00000740@mb-mh.aol.com>

>>I hold both bachelor's and master's degrees in EE. From (ahem) accredited
>>schools.
>
> NOT a requirement for a US amateur radio license.
>
> Trolling for a revelation of the university granting mine?

First of all, I doubt you ever graduated from ANY collegiate-level
institution, and secondly, if you DID, I doubt you'd want us being able to see
what a second-class student you really were.

>>Cecil holds at least a bachelor's degree in EE. His master's degree may be
>in
>>EE. He probably has more than one master's. From accredited schools.
>
> Cecil Moore is no longer a regular in this chatroom.

Now we can discuss Amateurs only by the frequency of their participation
in RRAP...?!?!

BBBBWWWWHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHA ! ! !

YOU'RE REALLY GRASPING FOR STRAWS, OH PUTZY ONE!

>An academic
> degree grant is NOT a requirement for a US amateur radio license.

Good for you that you never applied for one then, eh, Oh Gutless Wonder?

>>Rick Tannehill, W7RT, holds at least a bachelor's in EE. He is also a PE
>>(Professional Engineer). Note the capitalization.
>
> Rick Tannehill is not a regular in this chatroom.

Here we go again!

>Trying to make an "important" distinction between "professional"
> in the generic sense and "Professional" (capitalized) in regards
> to Professional Engineer License is just blatant misdirection.

No it's not...It's the squarely hit nail right on top of your pointed
little head.

>There is absolutely nothing in Part 97, Title 47 CFR, prohibiting
> professionals in radio-electronics from engaging in commerce
> of amateur radio equipment or in services rendered to others
> EXCEPT as expressly defined in radio activities codified in
> Parts 1, 2, 97 of Title 47 CFR.

Talk about misdirection.

>The misdirection on different meanings of "professional" is just
> a diversion, a smokescreen to avoid criticsm against the
> personal desires of certain individuals.

How did you come to THAT assessment? You are the ONLY one making anything
out of it, Oh Spineless Twit.

>There is nothing in Part 97, Title 47 CFR, for or against anyone
> obtaining a license for or engaging in defined amateur radio
> activity as to employment. Ergo, there is no such distinction.

Yes, there is.

>Mr. N2EY and Mr. w3rv belabor the "professional" word because
> they have no other weapon in their morse code issue viewpoint.

Only YOU make an issue of it. They said nothing about it in this
exchange.

>To satisfy their egos they must attempt personal pejoratives
> against those who do not share their personal views.

You haven't said anything new, nor anything we haven't heard before. Why
revisit the meaningless and the boring?

>Mr. Anderson has not "compared" so much as trying to
> educate an obviously ignorant group of hobbyists who had no
> grasp of the scope, techniques, or skills required in the
> greater world of commercial and military radio.

THIS FORUM IS >>NOT<< ABOUT MILITARY OR COMMERCIAL RADIO, MR. ALLEGED
HIGHER EDUCATION ! ! ! !

What an IGNORANT putz! Lennie, were you BORN this stupid, or was it a
product of your upbringing...?!?!

Twerp!

3413. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-10 05:14:03 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212092114.1f158fd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57753

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20021209161453.21527.00000738@mb-mh.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0212090617.56a2a4e4@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>

> >> an hour's flight in a Bear (NATO name for a Soviet bomber). Less
> >> time of flight now with jet turbine aircraft.
> >
> >Hey Putz - Did you ever actually *see* a Soviet Bear in flight during
> >a major conflict? Did you ever have one fly 750 feet over yer head?
> >Have you ever actually seen one in flight under any condx? Of course
> >not.
>
> Never claimed to. I just picked a USSR "Bear" as illustrative
> of how short a distance 500 miles is in terms of turbo-prop
> speeds.
>
> >I did Putz. From the flight deck of the CVA 63 during the Vietnam
> >brawl in 1965. Sumbitch flew right down the centerline, I got off a
> >half-dozen exposures of the thing.
>
> Was that when you were breaking in Ensign Harmon Raab, Sr.,
> into USN air operations?

No Putz actually that was when LCdr Don Hamblin the God of the Flight
Deck Mustangs was breaking *ME* in on USN flight deck operations Putz.

> >That was the first time. Then there was the time I got to watch a game
> >of "tin can chicken" played between one of theirs and one of ours a
> >couple thousand yards off the port quarter of the Sara with MIGs on
> >the screens in CIC.
>
> Civilians are always present in
> Combat Information Centers, aren't they? :-)

WTF . . Civilians are in and out of CICs all the time. They're not
usually open on family days and they ain't geedunks but who the hell
do ya think builds & tech-supports the things? And yes as a matter of
fact I was in a CIC or two. When was the last time you were on a
carrier when it was underway?

Putz.

3414. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-10 05:15:24 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DF586AB.4787741E@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57754

Bill Sohl wrote:

> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3DF16A55.2B291965@townsqr.com...
> >
> >
> > Brian wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > Why demand to be archaic?
> >
> > Why let anyone off without knowing the very most basic
> radiocommunications method?
>
> Because it is NOT, in any way, shape or form, a necessary
> "basic" or building block needed by contemporary hams..

And only the contemporary is thus of any real importance. We see.

Never mind the obvious fundamental functionality of basic OOK keyed Morse code on radio, both to transmit and receive as only the most basic system can be.

What that says is that ham radio is now reduced to a bunch of yakking conversationalists with nothing in mind but their ease. If that's the case our valued spectrum has just became much less worthwhile allocated to us and of much more value to Uncle Sam to spend the proceeds of the auction on an bottomless pit of unfunded projects. It'll happen, as it should.

> .
> nor has it been a basic or building block of non-ham radio
> communications for at least a decade or more.

Which has nothing whatever to do with ham radio.

3415. Re: DXer Phonetics (Was Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-10 05:18:34 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212092118.677d7b6d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57755

brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0212091230.20497c61@posting.google.com>...
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021209081351.02033.00000039@mb-cv.aol.com>...
> > >Subject: Re: DXer Phonetics (Was Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?)
> > >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> > >Date: 12/9/02 6:50 AM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <f45722ac.0212090450.7c0a3136@posting.google.com>
>
> > >I used "Isuzu, Mitsubishi, Daihatsu" with a JA operator when standard
> > >"fonetics" didn't work.
> >
> > And he probably went into the next room and kicked his father in the head
> > for not being able to defeat the "silly a**ed Americans"
> >
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> His father was probably KIA. Now what?

I got a suggestion . . .

3416. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-10 06:21:05 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DF5866F.86099E26@earthlink.net>
Source record: 57756

Brian wrote:
>
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
> > (2) "Checking up" on him is no great feat. Just go to
> > www.fcc.gov/wtb/uls/loser
>
> I don't get it. Did Len have a license, then lost it?

Naw, Len lost it a long time ago and still doesn't have a license.

Dave K8MN

3417. Re: Why should I care????

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-10 07:26:49 UTC
From: Ross Archer <dogbert@mindless.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92DFEE841172Asquifflethedwarf@64.164.98.29>
Source record: 57757
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Artie DeCoe" <Artie@dcoe.net> wrote in
news:VJKdncV59YuoQnKgXTWcpw@comcast.com:

> The "importance" of this event completely escapes me.
>
>
>

Your question was answered way back in 1973 by a guy named Pete Townsend!

http://www.purelyrics.com/index.php?lyrics=xgledozk

:)

3418. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-10 13:19:44 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212100519.4a7527dc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57758

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3DF5866F.86099E26@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
> > > (2) "Checking up" on him is no great feat. Just go to
> > > www.fcc.gov/wtb/uls/loser
> >
> > I don't get it. Did Len have a license, then lost it?
>
> Naw, Len lost it a long time ago and still doesn't have a license.
>
> Dave K8MN

What was it? Was it reissued? Maybe I'll ask for that instead of
Dick's call "W0EX," when it becomes available.

3419. Re: DXer Phonetics (Was Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-10 13:21:23 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212100521.e5acae7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57759

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0212092118.677d7b6d@posting.google.com>...
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0212091230.20497c61@posting.google.com>...
> > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021209081351.02033.00000039@mb-cv.aol.com>...
> > > >Subject: Re: DXer Phonetics (Was Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?)
> > > >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> > > >Date: 12/9/02 6:50 AM Central Standard Time
> > > >Message-id: <f45722ac.0212090450.7c0a3136@posting.google.com>
>
> > > >I used "Isuzu, Mitsubishi, Daihatsu" with a JA operator when standard
> > > >"fonetics" didn't work.
> > >
> > > And he probably went into the next room and kicked his father in the head
> > > for not being able to defeat the "silly a**ed Americans"
> > >
> > >
> > > Steve, K4YZ
> >
> > His father was probably KIA. Now what?
>
> I got a suggestion . . .

I don't see it. Probably just another incomplete thought on your part.

3420. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-10 13:26:51 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212100526.5aea3c13@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57760

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0212092114.1f158fd@posting.google.com>...
> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20021209161453.21527.00000738@mb-mh.aol.com>...
> > In article <d22b54c3.0212090617.56a2a4e4@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> >
>
> > > >> an hour's flight in a Bear (NATO name for a Soviet bomber). Less
> > > >> time of flight now with jet turbine aircraft.
> > > >
> > > >Hey Putz - Did you ever actually *see* a Soviet Bear in flight during
> > > >a major conflict? Did you ever have one fly 750 feet over yer head?
> > > >Have you ever actually seen one in flight under any condx? Of course
> > > >not.
> > >
> > > Never claimed to. I just picked a USSR "Bear" as illustrative
> > > of how short a distance 500 miles is in terms of turbo-prop
> > > speeds.
> > >
> > > >I did Putz. From the flight deck of the CVA 63 during the Vietnam
> > > >brawl in 1965. Sumbitch flew right down the centerline, I got off a
> > > >half-dozen exposures of the thing.
> > >
> > > Was that when you were breaking in Ensign Harmon Raab, Sr.,
> > > into USN air operations?
> >
> > No Putz actually that was when LCdr Don Hamblin the God of the Flight
> > Deck Mustangs was breaking *ME* in on USN flight deck operations Putz.

I didn't know you were a Navy aviator. I thought you said you had no
military service?

> > > >That was the first time. Then there was the time I got to watch a game
> > > >of "tin can chicken" played between one of theirs and one of ours a
> > > >couple thousand yards off the port quarter of the Sara with MIGs on
> > > >the screens in CIC.
> > >
> > > Civilians are always present in
> > > Combat Information Centers, aren't they? :-)
> >
> > WTF . . Civilians are in and out of CICs all the time. They're not
> > usually open on family days and they ain't geedunks but who the hell
> > do ya think builds & tech-supports the things? And yes as a matter of
> > fact I was in a CIC or two. When was the last time you were on a

> carrier when it was underway?
>
> Putz.

You and Tom Hanks otta ask congress to award you the GI Bill.

3421. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-10 13:37:00 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021210083700.17907.00000948@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 57761

In article <d22b54c3.0212091732.ee40096@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>> I learned about harmonics early in my ham career when as a newly
>> minted Novice running a homebrew 30 watt two-tuber on 40 meter CW
>> I received an "advisory notice" from the FCC monitor station in
>> Brownsville TX that they had heard my second harmonic in the 20
>> meter ham band where I wasn't authorized. No answer was
>> requested, but it sure got me into learning about too-tight
>> coupling between final and antenna at an early stage.
>
>Life really got interesting when a Novice on 3.725 pumped his second
>harmonic out on 7.450 . .

Which showed the lack of wisdom of the FCC way back in 1951, putting the 80 meter Novice band where they did.

40 meter second harmonic was a classic Novice problem. Three causes:

1) Poor second-harmonic rejection of rig and antenna
2) Mistakenly tuning up on the second harmonic
3) Using 80 meter crystal on 40

More newbie-proof transmitter designs eliminated 1 and 2, and VFO pretty much eliminated 3.

FCC approval of ham rigs is in large part certification that they meet the spurious emissions requirements. Once upon a time, the requirements simply called for "transmitters of good engineering design" or some such verbiage, with no numbers attached. Today all spurs must be down a certain number of dB (exact number depends on power level and other factors) before a rig can be sold commercially. Homebrew is exempt from certification, but if there's a complaint and your homebrew rig doesn't meet specs, it's off the air.

>But I seriously doubt that hams today churn out
>the gross volumes of RF garbage we did based on the fact that first
>precious few rigs on the air today have been homebrewed or came out of
>the surplus channels and second that type acceptance has to have had a
>huge impact in this respect.

Never a problem with all the homebrew rigs here at N2EY!

73 de Jim, N2EY

3422. Re: K1MAN SCAM ARTIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-10 16:05:58 UTC
From: Dog named Bo <enhancexp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3df61355@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 57763
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"B�gsn�rth th� �npr�d�ct�bl�" <bogsnarth23@NOSPAM.THANKS.IMFULL.lycos.co.uk>
wrote in message
news:VM3J9.1087$9p.62079@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3df4bc0d$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
> : I just sent in my renewal to W5YI over the net yesterday.
>
> Why did you pay W5YI to do something that the FCC provides for free?

.......there's one born every minute. Just like new hams who actually
purchase pre-made "10 db gain" miracle dipole antennas.

3423. Re: N0VFP 2.0

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-10 16:10:28 UTC
From: Dog named Bo <enhancexp@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3df61356@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 57764
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3df4bc0d$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
> I just sent in my renewal to W5YI over the net yesterday.

Dayved: would U please buttfuck this guy for xmas, or at least hire a bum
that looks
like you to do it. it's really sad to watch him thrust his fat ass towards
you
day after lonely day without ever receiving "the gift" he so desperately
craves.

3424. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-10 16:15:28 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DF62161.BEFFFCDC@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57762

Brian wrote:

> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DF5866F.86099E26@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
> > > > (2) "Checking up" on him is no great feat. Just go to
> > > > www.fcc.gov/wtb/uls/loser
> > >
> > > I don't get it. Did Len have a license, then lost it?
> >
> > Naw, Len lost it a long time ago and still doesn't have a license.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> What was it? Was it reissued? Maybe I'll ask for that instead of
> Dick's call "W0EX," when it becomes available.

That pretty much says it all, Putz Jr. If you can't have it for the asking someone is surely mistreating you and setting up "hoops". Gotta live in the world of gimme, gimme. So you just go on and ask for Lennie the Loose's callsign......Mine isn't likely to be on the market for awhile, sorry. Yours will probably be available first since you don't have the good sense to get out of your own way.

3425. Re: DXer Phonetics (Was Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-10 16:16:31 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DF621A1.EA81D889@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57765

Brian wrote:

> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0212092118.677d7b6d@posting.google.com>...
> > brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0212091230.20497c61@posting.google.com>...
> > > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021209081351.02033.00000039@mb-cv.aol.com>...
> > > > >Subject: Re: DXer Phonetics (Was Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?)
> > > > >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> > > > >Date: 12/9/02 6:50 AM Central Standard Time
> > > > >Message-id: <f45722ac.0212090450.7c0a3136@posting.google.com>
> >
> > > > >I used "Isuzu, Mitsubishi, Daihatsu" with a JA operator when standard
> > > > >"fonetics" didn't work.
> > > >
> > > > And he probably went into the next room and kicked his father in the head
> > > > for not being able to defeat the "silly a**ed Americans"
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > >
> > > His father was probably KIA. Now what?
> >
> > I got a suggestion . . .
>
> I don't see it.

They never do.....

> Probably just another incomplete thought on your part.

Oh, it was complete, all right.....

3426. Re: DXer Phonetics (Was Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-10 16:19:22 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021210111922.28026.00002708@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 57766

In article <f45722ac.0212091230.20497c61@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>> >I used "Isuzu, Mitsubishi, Daihatsu" with a JA operator when standard
>> >"fonetics" didn't work.
>>
>> And he probably went into the next room and kicked his father in the
>head
>> for not being able to defeat the "silly a**ed Americans"
>>
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>His father was probably KIA. Now what?

If not, I sure hope his son didn't kick him in the head! However, I rather
doubt that such a thing would ever happen in JA-land!

73 de Larry, K3LT
Iron Chef Delaware

That's right -- I've cooked irons before!

3427. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-10 16:20:38 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DF61497.33CD2C4E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 57768

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DF5866F.86099E26@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
> > > > (2) "Checking up" on him is no great feat. Just go to
> > > > www.fcc.gov/wtb/uls/loser
> > >
> > > I don't get it. Did Len have a license, then lost it?
> >
> > Naw, Len lost it a long time ago and still doesn't have a license.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> What was it?

What was what?

> Was it reissued?

Was what re-issued?

> Maybe I'll ask for that instead of
> Dick's call "W0EX," when it becomes available.

Len's "losing it" has nothing to do with obtaining an amateur radio
callsign.

Dave K8MN

3428. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-10 16:21:04 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DF622B1.BAEA66C@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57767

>
> > > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> > >
> >who the hell
> > > do ya think builds & tech-supports the things?

It's a mistake to assume Geezerputz thinks his way through anything. After all he has an internet
connection that'll get him to the CIA Factbook site. He can rant and spew for hours on any given subject
with not even a modicum of knowledge.

3429. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-10 17:25:53 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212100925.11f423f4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57769

Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<3DF4B9D9.7070200@psu.edu>...

> > Didja notice that other thread where I asked whether keeeping/eliminating code
> > testing was a conservative or liberal thing? Both sides claimed the
> > conservative/republican political high ground. Almost funny - and totally
> > predictable.
>
> Not me! I noted the inconsistency of the conservative mindset that
> makes for a contradictory stance where some people argue for continued
> guvmint restrictions via the fcc via the Morse code test.

Not restrictions. Test requirements. Just like the writtens.
>
> I'm a strict MOR guy myself. (that means I think about the issue at
> hand before taking the "party line") Sometimes I seem Republican, and
> other times like a Democrat.

Brave thing to admit these days, Mike.
>
> >>>It would be interesting to see the appropriate stats on that. PA is going
> >>>in
> >>>the opposite direction - actually raising the driving age due to the
> >>>terrible accident records of 16-17 year olds. Unlike amateur radio licensing,
> >>>younger drivers have a disproportionate share of problems behind the wheel.
>
> That is a wonderful way to eliminate totally the accident rate for that
> group - save for licensless joyriders.
>
> Of course, we only transfer the high accident rates to older first-time
> drivers.

That's only true if the sole reason for high accident rate is
inexperience. Stats say different. That's why the insurance rates for
a new driver who is 18 are so much lower than those for a new driver
who is 16. And it's why teenage girls' auto insurance rates are lower
than boys' of the same age and driving experience.

> Perhaps we should increase that Minimum driving age to say 50
> or so, that is when people start to act in a mature manner toward
> preserving they own skins! 8^)

HAW!

Unlike driving, some of the least mature-acting folks involved in
radio are the oldest ones involved.
>

> >>>Here's another fun stat: Guess which states have the best and worst
> >>>divorce stats?
>
> > It turns out that four of the five worst states are in the stand-by-your-man
> > Deep South, and that the northeastern liberal rustbelt states are among the
> > best. Utah is the best, though, for obvious reasons.
> >
> > Two of the very worst are Arkansas and Oklahoma. So much so that their
> > governors "declared war" on divorce. What are they gonna do - take prisoners?
>
> In today's world, why not? you want a divorce? That's a felony - 15
> year minimum sentence with no possibility of parole! ;^)

Some would say it's better than the life sentence they are already
serving..;-)
>
> Not at all surprising. I think it makes them "experts" on the problem.

Huh?

> We live in a world where celibate priests (har!) hand out marriage
> advice and counseling.

The areas cited don't have a high percentage of Roman Catholics,
though.

Punch line to old joke: (Italian accent): "He's-a no play da game,
he's-a no make-a da rules!"

> Why would not the more divorce prone people know more about what it takes to stay
married?

Knowing what it takes isn't the problem. Practiciang what they preach
is the problem.
>
> > See
> >
> > http://archives.salon.com/mwt/feature/2000/01/24/divorce
> >
> > and
> >
> > http://www.csmonitor.com/durable/2001/06/28/p3s1.htm
> >
> > and
> >
> > http://www.religioustolerance.org/chr_dira.htm
> >
> > (note that atheists and agnostics have the LOWEST rate!)
>
> Atheists and agnostics don't have "instant forgiveness" to salve their
> conscience when they do immoral/antisocial acts. So they tend to behave
> themselves.

Makes a lot of sense - but consider this: Since atheists/agnostics theoretically don't fear divine punishment of a bad afterlife, you'd think they'd be most interested in a happy here-and-now. So they'd be most likely to bail out of a relationship as soon as it goes a little sour. But the stats say exactly the opposite.

Go figure.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3430. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-10 17:44:41 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212100944.58c646e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57770

"Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote in message
news:<iNwI9.279299$WL3.93310@rwcrnsc54>...
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021207183718.06308.00000865@mb-fm.aol.com...
> > In article <cgpI9.273411$1O2.17299@sccrnsc04>, "Jackie L."
> > <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:
>
> > >ROTFL. Gotta love Dave Barry!
>
> > I am not making this up.
>
> ... you SWEAR you are not making this up...?! :-)

Yes. ;-)

> So what's your favorite
> Dave Barry book then? I have several; I've never been able to choose between
> 'em. They are all gut-achingly hilarious. I don't think it's possible for
> that man to NOT be funny.

Don't have a favorite, I'm more familiar with the columns anyway. The
spud-gun column is a classic.
>
> > COOL!
>
> Unfortunately, I ran out of daylight before I got the antenna on my roof-- I
> had some problems with the soldering gun. Had to go to the hardware store
> and buy a new one. By the time I got home there was only about 45 minutes of
> daylight left to get everything up there and hooked up. So it'll be tomorrow
> before I have the whole thing up and running.

Old adage: Antennas put up in the dark, or bad weather, or in a hurry,
always outperform those put up under good conditions. Gotta be cold
enough that you leave chunks of your frozen hide stuck to the metal
here and there.
>
> > Only thing about those "pack of smokes" rigs is ya hafta be careful not to
> > leave 'em in a shirt pocket. They don't like being run through the wash.
>
> Er, yeah!! Good advice.

Fortunately I did not have to learn this the hard way.
>
> > Nice lil' rig. I dunno what the price difference is or the size of your
> radio
> > piggybank, but you might consider a look at the new FT-897.

>
> I have considered that one too, but I've not seen one up close yet. It's not
> so much the money that's the issue really, the IC-718 has all the goodies I
> want and it appears to be a good rig for beginners like myself, but one that
> I can have for a long time. Do you have the FT-897? If so, do you like it?

I don't have either. Price difference alone may be enough to make the
decision for you. If the '718 does what you want, go for it.
>
> > How's the code learning going, btw?
>
> I'm actually surprised by how quickly I've picked it up. I have heard that
> it's easier for people to learn code if they know how to play a musical
> instrument, which I do. I am at stage now where I'm just building up some
> speed. I've learned all the letters, #'s and prosigns that were given on the
> CD's, and now it's just practicing. I think I'll be able to pass the code
> test in about another few weeks or so, but I've decided to wait until after
> New Years to take the exam. I still cannot practice daily, but I seem to be
> retaining what I've learned.

GREAT! And this is after a few weeks of not-even-every-day practice.

I dunno about the musical stuff. I can't carry a tune in the
proverbial bucket.
But perhaps there is a connection in that musicians are used to the
idea of communicaion via modes other than talking and writing. And
they accept and readily use systems that were not invented within the
past two weeks.

The trick now is to practice whenever possible. Play the
tapes/CDs/computer whenever possible, as background music. And the way
to increase speed is to copy code that is going so fast that you only
get about 50-75% copy. When you start to get 80-90%, up the speed to
where you're in the 50-75% range again.

After struggling to copy 13 wpm for 10-15 minutes, 7-8 wpm sounds
incredibly slow. Pretty soon 13 wpm will sound slow. Etc.

> > Possibilities:
> >
> > - Attic dipole or wire
>
> We just have a crawlspace... a wire strung somewhere around the house or
> roof might work. I may have to get clever in how I hook it up to the house.

> > - Horizontal loop around the house or yard
>
> This is the way I'm leaning.

Needs a tuner but can do the job.
>
> > - Vertical
>

> An R8 Cushcraft vertical was the first thing I looked at, but there is
> nowhere in my yard to stick one where it would not fall on a power line if
> it did fall. We've got a several of them to the rear in my yard, plus my
> place abuts a park too, so there are lots of kids running around and I'd be
> afraid that one of them would run into the antenna or mess with it.

Plus the commercial ones are frighteningly expensive new.
>
> > Hope your house doesn't have aluminum siding...
>
> no, thank goodness. It's wood.

whew! dodged that bullet. My next-door neighbor got the house resided,
and it was a nervous moment until it was clear they used vinyl...
>
> > btw - when you go for the code, try the General written, even if you're
> "not
> > ready". It's good practice, there's no extra fee and you might surprise
> > yourself.
>
> Ehh... I may wait for that. I've done several of the Java online General
> quizzes, just using what I know know for answers, and am not even close to
> passing yet. I know that if I took the General now and didn't pass, it would
> not be a reflection on my study habits or anything, but I just don't know
> how good of an idea it is to go into a test, knowing you're not ready, and
> expecting to fail. Psychologically speaking, it may be discouraging, even
> though I know I could and will learn the material eventually.

Only you can answer that one. But by the time you go for the code
test, you may be ready for the written anyway. Remember, too, that a
CSCE is only good for 365 days.

Reason I mention it is that many folks, including myself, have wound
up with higher-class licensessimply because somebody said "try this
while you're here".

> "In the old days, it was not called the Holiday Season; the Christians
> called it 'Christmas' and went to church; the Jews called it 'Hanukkah' and
> went to synagogue; the atheists went to parties and drank. People passing
> each other on the street would say 'Merry Christmas!' or 'Happy Hanukkah!'
> or (to the atheists) 'Look out for the wall!" - Dave Barry

What wall? (THUNK!!) DOH!!

73 de Jim, N2EY

3431. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-10 18:33:35 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uvccrqgme9k5a1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57771

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0212100925.11f423f4@posting.google.com...
> Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:<3DF4B9D9.7070200@psu.edu>...

<SNIP>

> > > http://www.religioustolerance.org/chr_dira.htm
> > >
> > > (note that atheists and agnostics have the LOWEST rate!)
> >
> > Atheists and agnostics don't have "instant forgiveness" to salve their
> > conscience when they do immoral/antisocial acts. So they tend to behave
> > themselves.
>
> Makes a lot of sense - but consider this: Since atheists/agnostics
> theoretically don't fear divine punishment of a bad afterlife, you'd
> think they'd be most interested in a happy here-and-now. So they'd be
> most likely to bail out of a relationship as soon as it goes a little
> sour. But the stats say exactly the opposite.
>
> Go figure.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Could it be because as atheists/agnostics they don't feel the
need to get married in the first place? If you don't marry
you don't have to divorce, just walk away.

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

3432. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-10 18:48:03 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021210134803.21317.00001505@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 57774

In article <20021209223725.06308.00000881@mb-fm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <d22b54c3.0212090617.56a2a4e4@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
>(Brian Kelly) writes:
>
>>Did you ever actually *see* a Soviet Bear in flight during
>>a major conflict? Did you ever have one fly 750 feet over yer head?
>>Have you ever actually seen one in flight under any condx? Of course
>>not.
>>
>>I did Putz. From the flight deck of the CVA 63 during the Vietnam
>>brawl in 1965. Sumbitch flew right down the centerline, I got off a
>>half-dozen exposures of the thing.
>>
>>That was the first time. Then there was the time I got to watch a game
>>of "tin can chicken" played between one of theirs and one of ours a
>>couple thousand yards off the port quarter of the Sara with MIGs on
>>the screens in CIC.
>>
>>"Under the threat" from 500 miles out . . whatta hero you was . .
>>
>>And I was just a frigging *CIVILIAN*!
>>
>GREAT STORY! Twarn't no air show, neither, I bet.

Yes...GREAT STORY! It should be written up in Soldier
of Fortune or Guns & Ammo magazines.

>Not much anyone can add to that, except:

...the designer of the K2 has to butt in...

>- The airline distance from Chongjin, North Korea to Tokyo is at least 670
>statute miles. The distance from Vladivostok to Tokyo is 663 statute miles.
>(Source: "Esso War Map III, Featuring The Pacific Theater", printed 1944).

That's nice...a demonstrated capacity for reading books
about an era before your birth?

What is the distance from Vladivostok to Pennsylvania, any
part during the Cold War? Were you at either end wearing a
uniform, issued dog tags and a sidearm at that time? How
does it feel to be at one end of an hour's flight time from
another country that had weapons of mass destruction?

You weren't there, were you? All you can do is bring up a lot
of picayune details, misdirecting the subject thread and
implying insult to anyone who was a member of the military
forces of the United States...all because your EGO is hurt in
some perceived battle of words in a newsgroup.

>- For interesting info on the Tupolev TU-95, and its variants, known to NATO
>as the "Bear", see:

So, how was your military service as a member of NATO?
Which NATO country's military did you serve?

How does that apply to POLICY of US amateur radio and the
morse code test issue?

>http://www.fas.org/nuke/guide/russia/bomber/tu-95.htm
>
>- Development of the TU-95/"Bear" began in June, 1951.

Is that a factoid necessary in amateur radio in any way?

>- First flight of the first prototype, November, 1952.

Was that highlighted on ARRL news in QST?

>- Production began January, 1956.

Who built it...Hallicrafters? National Radio? Collins?
Pye? Marconi? Elecraft?

>- First deployment August, 1957.

Was it deployed in USSR ham stations? Did morse
operators fly it? If so, what class of amateur radio
license did they have? What was its amateur callsign?
Was it recognized by the IARU? Did it have written
permission from a DX buro to operate in deployment?

Perhaps you can come up with some popular song of
the Cold War era and have a nice discussion on that,
chock full of references to amateur radio and the "most
basic form of amateur communications."

Perhaps you can come up with a discussion of military
railroads on the eastern seaboard, their history and
details as applying to Soviet or other amateur radio and
the beloved and revered morse code test?

Perhaps you could join a protest march to Arlington and
spit on the graves of some of the 33,667 of US military
who died in battle during the Korean War...or hundreds of
other military personnel who died while serving their
country, the United States of America, during the Cold
War? Perhaps you would just like to make fun of anyone
who served in the US military? It doesn't seem to mean
anything to a civilian, does it? Certainly not in the vast

importance of maintenance of old standards and the "right attitude" in US amateur radio...

Thank you for answering all of those questions, comrade.

3433. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-10 18:48:04 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021210134804.21317.00001506@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 57775

In article <3DF586AB.4787741E@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>Bill Sohl wrote:
>
>> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
>> news:3DF16A55.2B291965@townsqr.com...
>> >
>> > Brian wrote:
>> >
>> > > Why demand to be archaic?
>> >
>> > Why let anyone off without knowing the very most basic
>> radiocommunications method?
>>
>> Because it is NOT, in any way, shape or form, a necessary
>> "basic" or building block needed by contemporary hams..
>
> And only the contemporary is thus of any real importance. We
>see.

Dickiebird is lost in a time-travel vortex. His definition of
"contemporary" is anything high-tech in the decade of the
1930s. :-)

> Never mind the obvious fundamental functionality of basic OOK
>keyed Morse code on radio, both to transmit and receive as
>only the most basic system can be.

"Most basic [radio] system" is a crystal set and spark
transmitter.

Oh, yes, I forgot..."spark" was outlawed right after
dickiebird got his sequential extra callsign.

> What that says is that ham radio is now reduced to a bunch of
>yakking conversationalists with nothing in mind but their
>ease. If that's the case our valued spectrum has just became
>much less worthwhile allocated to us and of much more value
>to Uncle Sam to spend the proceeds of the auction on an
>bottomless pit of unfunded projects. It'll happen, as it
>should.

Tsk, tsk, tsk...nihilism in an avocational radio activity?!?

That's NOT the Amateur Way!

>> nor has it been a basic or building block of non-ham radio
>> communications for at least a decade or more.
>
> Which has nothing whatever to do with ham radio.

It doesn't?!? You mean US amateur radio is just a pool of
trained 1930s era morse operators to fulfill a national need
for more 1930s era morse operators?!?

Amazing!

3434. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-10 18:48:05 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021210134805.21317.00001507@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 57772

In article <3DF622B1.BAEA66C@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>> > > (Brian Kelly) writes:
>> > >
>> >who the hell do ya think builds & tech-supports the things?
>
> It's a mistake to assume Geezerputz thinks his way through anything. After
>all he has an internet
>connection that'll get him to the CIA Factbook site. He can rant and spew for
>hours on any given subject
>with not even a modicum of knowledge.

Spoken like a true role model of what happens to old-timers who
beep too long, too loud, imprinting themselves with "knowledge"
of radio technology. :-)

3435. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-10 18:48:05 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021210134805.21317.00001508@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 57773

In article <20021210083700.17907.00000948@mb-cs.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>>But I seriously doubt that hams today churn out
>>the gross volumes of RF garbage we did based on the fact that first
>>precious few rigs on the air today have been homebrewed or came out of
>>the surplus channels and second that type acceptance has to have had a
>>huge impact in this respect.
>
>Never a problem with all the homebrew rigs here at N2EY!

Including that Elecraft K2 designed by yourself?

"I only operate rigs I designed and built myself! - Mr. N2EY"

3436. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-10 18:48:07 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021210134807.21317.00001509@mb-cf.aol.com>
Source record: 57776

In article <f45722ac.0212100526.5aea3c13@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
>news:<d22b54c3.0212092114.1f158fd@posting.google.com>...
>> lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20021209161453.21527.00000738@mb-mh.aol.com>...
>> > In article <d22b54c3.0212090617.56a2a4e4@posting.google.com>,
>kelly@dvol.com
>> > (Brian Kelly) writes:
>>
>> > >> an hour's flight in a Bear (NATO name for a Soviet bomber). Less
>> > >> time of flight now with jet turbine aircraft.
>> > >
>> > >Hey Putz - Did you ever actually *see* a Soviet Bear in flight during
>> > >a major conflict? Did you ever have one fly 750 feet over yer head?
>> > >Have you ever actually seen one in flight under any condx? Of course
>> > >not.
>> >
>> > Never claimed to. I just picked a USSR "Bear" as illustrative
>> > of how short a distance 500 miles is in terms of turbo-prop
>> > speeds.
>> >
>> > >I did Putz. From the flight deck of the CVA 63 during the Vietnam
>> > >brawl in 1965. Sumbitch flew right down the centerline, I got off a
>> > >half-dozen exposures of the thing.
>> >
>> > Was that when you were breaking in Ensign Harmon Raab, Sr.,
>> > into USN air operations?
>>
>> No Putz actually that was when LCdr Don Hamblin the God of the Flight
>> Deck Mustangs was breaking *ME* in on USN flight deck operations Putz.
>
>I didn't know you were a Navy aviator. I thought you said you had no
>military service?

Kellie won the Cold War single-handed. Just ask him...

He beeped the commies into submission with his trusty
ARC-5 JEEP radio and rapid-fire Vibroplex...

After all, he was an elite on Army aircraft carriers!

>> > >That was the first time. Then there was the time I got to watch a game
>> > >of "tin can chicken" played between one of theirs and one of ours a
>> > >couple thousand yards off the port quarter of the Sara with MIGs on

>> > >the screens in CIC.
>> >
>> > Civilians are always present in
>> > Combat Information Centers, aren't they? :-)
>>
>> WTF . . Civilians are in and out of CICs all the time. They're not
>> usually open on family days and they ain't geedunks but who the hell
>> do ya think builds & tech-supports the things? And yes as a matter of
>> fact I was in a CIC or two. When was the last time you were on a
>> carrier when it was underway?
>>
>> Putz.
>
>You and Tom Hanks otta ask congress to award you the GI Bill.

Kellie ought to PAY the GI Bill.

Keep checking the CBS schedules for early Tuesday nights and
his story reprised on "JAG," names changed to protect the
real people.

I've heard rumors out of the Writer's Guild out here that it is a
"sure thing" to be nominated...in a new category of writing about
science fiction...

Who knows...this might be a precursor to a medal of freedom
award given by the President himself! Just think...ARRL news
will feature "Ham-hero awarded medal by Haynie on the
Newington White House lawn!"

3437. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-10 19:49:22 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212101149.49f24581@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57777

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<at121q$520$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>...
> > It comes right after, "Pony Express."
>
> That's a rather extreme viewpoint and one I don't agree
> with.

Nor I!

> Clearly the rational[e] for morse testing existed up
> and into the 70s...but began to diminish considerably
> after that.

That depends on what rationale is considered. But at least we agree
that there was a reason for code testing until at least the 1970s.

> Once the need for any possible back-up to
> military or civilian morse operators dried up, the rational
> for any mandatory code testing evaporated. At that
> point, knowledge/skill assessment for morse as a mode
> equalled that of any other mode...i.e. no requirement.

I disagree! That argument presumes that the one-and-only reason for a
Morse test was/is having hams as a pool of Morse operators for defense
and industry. While that was clearly *a* reason for code testing, it
was not the one-and-only reason. If it were the one-and-only reason,
FCC would have enacted retesting rules (to insure the pool could be
counted on to actually exist) and would have exempted hams who
obviously never be needed in defense or industry (those above a
certain age, or with certain disabilities). But only the disability
exemption occurred, and only as a favor to a foreign King who is now
dead.

The simple fact is that while other radio services have all but
eliminated Morse use, the mode is alive and well and flourishing in
the ARS. In fact, there are probably more hams on the air with Morse
code today than in the 1970s!

Whether that use justifies a stand-alone pass-fail test is just a
matter of opinion, no more, no less. Same as always.

But it does seem odd that one reason often given for eliminating the
code test for a ham license is what non-hams do, rather than what hams
do.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3438. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-10 22:21:46 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DF668D5.45B4076C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 57778

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <20021209223725.06308.00000881@mb-fm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> writes:
>
> >In article <d22b54c3.0212090617.56a2a4e4@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> >(Brian Kelly) writes:

>
> ...the designer of the K2 has to butt in...

Butting in on a newsgroup posting?

> >- The airline distance from Chongjin, North Korea to Tokyo is at least 670
> >statute miles. The distance from Vladivostok to Tokyo is 663 statute miles.
> >(Source: "Esso War Map III, Featuring The Pacific Theater", printed 1944).
>
> That's nice...a demonstrated capacity for reading books
> about an era before your birth?

More like a simple correction to the inaccurate information posted by
you.

> What is the distance from Vladivostok to Pennsylvania, any
> part during the Cold War?

Whazzit matter? He wasn't the guy painting the picture of himself in
harm's way.

> Were you at either end wearing a
> uniform, issued dog tags and a sidearm at that time?

Isn't your question a little silly? That's sort of like me asking you
why you didn't serve your country during WWII.

> How does it feel to be at one end of an hour's flight time from
> another country that had weapons of mass destruction?

Ask any American born before the early '60s.

> You weren't there, were you? All you can do is bring up a lot
> of picayune details, misdirecting the subject thread

Hooooooooooo boy! Misdirecting the subject thread? Len, you're a riot!

> and
> implying insult to anyone who was a member of the military
> forces of the United States...all because your EGO is hurt in

> some perceived battle of words in a newsgroup.

So far, you're the only individual who sees an implication of insult to
any member of the military of the U.S. You're the one lashing out. Is
your ego hurt?

> >- For interesting info on the Tupolev TU-95, and its variants, known to NATO
> >as the "Bear", see:
>
> So, how was your military service as a member of NATO?
> Which NATO country's military did you serve?
>
> How does that apply to POLICY of US amateur radio and the
> morse code test issue?

Uhhhhh, Len? You brought up Bear bombers. What did they have to do
with amateur radio and the morse code test issue?

> >http://www.fas.org/nuke/guide/russia/bomber/tu-95.htm
> >
> >- Development of the TU-95/"Bear" began in June, 1951.
>
> Is that a factoid necessary in amateur radio in any way?
>
> >- First flight of the first prototype, November, 1952.
>
> Was that highlighted on ARRL news in QST?
>
> >- Production began January, 1956.
>
> Who built it...Hallicrafters? National Radio? Collins?
> Pye? Marconi? Elecraft?
>
> >- First deployment August, 1957.
>
> Was it deployed in USSR ham stations? Did morse
> operators fly it? If so, what class of amateur radio
> license did they have? What was its amateur callsign?
> Was it recognized by the IARU? Did it have written
> permission from a DX buro to operate in deployment?

If you weren't interested, why did you bring it up? It didn't relate to
amateur radio at the time you did so any more than it does now.

> Perhaps you could join a protest march to Arlington and
> spit on the graves of some of the 33,667 of US military
> who died in battle during the Korean War...or hundreds of
> other military personnel who died while serving their
> country, the United States of America, during the Cold
> War? Perhaps you would just like to make fun of anyone
> who served in the US military? It doesn't seem to mean
> anything to a civilian, does it? Certainly not in the vast

> importance of maintenance of old standards and the "right
> attitude" in US amateur radio...

I'm not offended by Jim's comments and I'm a veteran. Unlike you, I actually did a tour in the country where a conflict was taking place. I don't see Jim. "spitting on the graves" of those who died in Korea. You weren't stationed in Korea anyway, Len. What is this rant about?

> Thank you for answering all of those questions, comrade.

Now THAT is an interesting comment. You manage to call into question the patriotism of someone who doesn't agree with you. You have no class.

Dave K8MN

3439. Re: NO CODE = NO HAM.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-10 22:29:12 UTC
From: Douglas Harrington <riws@msn.NOSPAM.com>
Message-ID: <3df6665c@post.newsfeed.com>
Source record: 57779

*** post for FREE via your newsreader at post.newsfeed.com ***

||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||||
1 10
oh yes, a troll for sure

"me" <me@here.com> wrote in message
news:ass0iu$o51$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> Next Troll go ahead....
>
>
> "Real Hams" <nocb@njnet.com> wrote in message
> news:3DF1591A.4A7046EB@njnet.com...
> > NO CODE = NO HAM. KNOW CODE = KNOW HAM.
> > Proven every day.
> > MOAN CBERS, MOAN.
> >
>
>
>

-----= Posted via Newsfeed.Com, Uncensored Usenet News =-----
http://www.newsfeed.com - The #1 Newsgroup Service in the World!
-----== 100,000 Groups! - 19 Servers! - Unlimited Download! =-----

3440. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-10 23:07:55 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212101507.5dfb8323@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57780

"KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message news:<uvccrqgme9k5a1@corp.supernews.com>...
> "N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:c2356669.0212100925.11f423f4@posting.google.com...
> > Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:<3DF4B9D9.7070200@psu.edu>...
>
> <SNIP>
>
> > > > http://www.religioustolerance.org/chr_dira.htm
> > > >
> > > > (note that atheists and agnostics have the LOWEST rate!)
> > >
> > > Atheists and agnostics don't have "instant forgiveness" to salve their
> > > conscience when they do immoral/antisocial acts. So they tend to behave
> > > themselves.
> >
> > Makes a lot of sense - but consider this: Since atheists/agnostics
> > theoretically don't fear divine punishment of a bad afterlife, you'd
> > think they'd be most interested in a happy here-and-now. So they'd be
> > most likely to bail out of a relationship as soon as it goes a little
> > sour. But the stats say exactly the opposite.
> >
> > Go figure.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Could it be because as atheists/agnostics they don't feel the
> need to get married in the first place?

Quite possibly.

> If you don't marry you don't have to divorce, just walk away.

Sure. But of those atheists/agnostics who DO decide to tie the knot,
the divorce rate is lower than even Roman Catholics.

Here's another fun fact: The US divorce rate in 1950 was higher than
in 1960. It appears to have peaked in the early 1980s, and is slowly
dropping.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3441. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-10 23:11:11 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212101511.4608d496@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57781

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<at1199rrr1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>...
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:3DF16A55.2B291965@townsqr.com...
> >
> >
> > Brian wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > Why demand to be archaic?
> >
> > Why let anyone off without knowing the very most basic
> radiocommunications method?
>
> Because it is NOT, in any way, shape or form, a necessary
> "basic" or building block needed by contemporary hams...
> nor has it been a basic or building block of non-ham radio
> communications for at least a decade or more.

That's true in a way. But the same thing can be said about almost any radio skill or knowledge. Like Ohm's Law or most of the regs. Of course those things are all lumped together in the written, and don't get their own stand-alone test like Morse does.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3442. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-10 23:52:03 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212101552.11b9e385@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57782

brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0212100526.5aea3c13@posting.google.com>...

> >
> > No Putz actually that was when LCdr Don Hamblin the God of the Flight
> > Deck Mustangs was breaking *ME* in on USN flight deck operations Putz.
>
> I didn't know you were a Navy aviator.

(1) I was not a brownshoe, not even close. (2)You posting "I . . know"
is an ultimate oxymoron (3)I am pilot and flew my own aircraft under
FAR p/103.

> I thought you said you had no
> military service?

At least you finally managed to get something right.

w3rv

3443. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 00:04:31 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uvd0ol2cm1o5eb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57783

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021208215931.25792.00002795@mb-fc.aol.com...
> In article <uv7dfvi8i4p32e@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>
> >> > So, if we "just
> >> >happen" to have all kinds, I sure am glad we do. ;)
> >>
> >> You're glad we have the Saddam Hussein kind, and the OBL kind, and the
> >>kind that would help those folks and follow them?
> >>
> >> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> >You know what? Philosophically speaking, it takes each and every
encounter
> >to make us who and what we are.
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
>
> Kim:
>
> This is the most despicable thing I've seen you post to date.
>

Oh, I think you'll easily come up with the next most-despicable-thing in the
very near future. It generally doesn't take much or long to get you
spewing.

>
> I'll stick my neck out a bit here and state quite emphatically that I, as
one
> American citizen, could have well done without the events of Sept 11,
2001.
>

Maybe so. I'm sure we could have. But, Larry, it happened. And, just as
when other bad things happen in our lives, we must give them purpose and
find the positive in each and everything we encounter. Before Sept. 11,
this country of people weren't as sure as they are about their country, now,
were they? And, that's just one of many positive things we could find--if
we're prone to getting ourselves out of the crap and looking beyond the
horizon. You must not be.

>
> And I rather suspect that I can get at least 2,991 "ayes" to that right

away.
>

How quaint of you to hang your hat on the misery of others.

>
> I also believe that Saddam Hussein and Osama Bin Laden are two
> individuals that this world could very well have done without, for
literally
> thousands of reasons.
>

I'm not as sure as you. I'd *like* to tell myself that we could have done without the likes of Hussein, Saudi Arabian leaders, Hitler, the Pharaoh, and countless other bad, terrible, awful people. But, if they were here and did what they did--then why? And, are we to live in the past and dwell upon it or are we to rise above the likes of them and find more to overcome their ways and thinking? When we dwindle away to their level, then who has won?

>
> The fact is, Kim, we definitely DON'T "need all
> kinds of people to make the world."
>

Well, I fortunately do not hold your view, Larry.

>
> We can well do without some kinds
> of people.
>

See above. Also, you might just want to broaden your horizon enough to consider this: if we don't have bad examples to learn from, then what *do* we learn?

>
> And, it just so happens, that YOU are one kind of radio
> amateur that the ARS can very well do without.
>

Oh, hell...the ARS could do without a whole, whole bunch of us. But, we all make it what it is. The interesting thing is that there are those who like me and those who don't. There are those who like you and those who don't. But we all make up amateur radio.

>
> And if you can even
> ATTEMPT to "philosophically" justify the existence of S. Hussein or
> O. B. Laden, I'm going to have to add that you serve no particularly
useful
> purpose as a human being in general.
>

Oh, but I do. You choose not to believe it. You choose not to want to believe it. But I do.

>
> Why don't you move to Iraq and prove your point? I'm sure you'll be
> welcomed with open arms.
>
> Larry, K3LT
>

Isn't that interesting? One philosophical statement and you wish to oust a fellow US Citizen. What Democratic principle is that, Larry? I don't remember that one.

Kim W5TIT

3444. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 00:14:39 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <PrvJ9.314849$WL3.102086@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 57784

> Old adage: Antennas put up in the dark, or bad weather, or in a hurry,
> always outperform those put up under good conditions. Gotta be cold
> enough that you leave chunks of your frozen hide stuck to the metal
> here and there.

This has certainly been a project in the making for awhile. I did manage to get the thing up there on Sunday, and lo and behold, the high was 15 degrees here on Sunday, so I do believe I left some frozen flesh up there on the roof somewhere. :-) My coax-cutting, soldering and weatherproofing jobs seemed to have worked; I have perfect SWR on both the 2 meter and 70 cm bands. Beginner's luck maybe? One really important thing I learned during this process is, if you get high-quality coax, don't use a semi-sharp kitchen knife to try and cut it...

> I don't have either. Price difference alone may be enough to make the
> decision for you. If the '718 does what you want, go for it.

I probably will- I've had many positive recommendations from friends about that rig. And the price is certainly right.

> But perhaps there is a connection in that musicians are used to the
> *idea* of communicaion via modes other than talking and writing.

Speaking for myself, I kind of likened the code characters to a sound pattern and memorized them that way, which is similar to what I had to do to memorize an entire 20 minute show when I was in drum and bugle corps many years ago. I learned to hear patterns and commit them to memory when I read music. I also write poetry, and I can hear a "cadence" amongst and in certain words sometimes and that's when I know I've found the makings of a good poem. I find code to be very similar to this. At least that's how I made myself learn it.

> Only you can answer that one. But by the time you go for the code
> test, you may be ready for the written anyway. Remember, too, that a
> CSCE is only good for 365 days.

Yep. As soon as I pass the code exam, I'm buying the General manual. Being ADD makes me distractable, so it's best I do one thing at a time.

> Reason I mention it is that many folks, including myself, have wound
> up with higher-class licensessimply because somebody said "try this
> while you're here".

I have heard this too, but as a person who really wants to learn the material (and thus I read every single page and did every single exercise in the intro study guide, many of them more than once) I really want to know what I'm studying for. Personally I would feel as if I were cheating myself

out of some knowledge if I took the General without studying and passed it "by the skin of my teeth", but that's just me.

> What wall? (THUNK!!) DOH!!

I don't believe in walls. :-)

Jackie

3445. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 00:15:31 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <at605l$52g$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57785

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0212101149.49f24581@posting.google.com...
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<at121q$520$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>...
> > > It comes right after, "Pony Express."
> >
> > That's a rather extreme viewpoint and one I don't agree
> > with.
>
> Nor I!
>
> > Clearly the rational[e] for morse testing existed up
> > and into the 70s...but began to diminish considerably
> > after that.
>
> That depends on what rationale is considered. But at least we agree
> that there was a reason for code testing until at least the 1970s.
>
> > Once the need for any possible back-up to
> > military or civilian morse operators dried up, the rational
> > for any mandatory code testing evaporated. At that
> > point, knowledge/skill assessment for morse as a mode
> > equalled that of any other mode...i.e. no requirement.
>
> I disagree!

OK, we'll agree to disagree.

> That argument presumes that the one-and-only reason for a
> Morse test was/is having hams as a pool of Morse operators for defense
> and industry.

I suspect that the ONE argument pretty much sums up the mindset of the
FCC decision makers.

> While that was clearly *a* reason for code testing, it
> was not the one-and-only reason. If it were the one-and-only reason,
> FCC would have enacted retesting rules (to insure the pool could be
> counted on to actually exist) and would have exempted hams who
> obviously never be needed in defense or industry (those above a
> certain age, or with certain disabilities).

Logic failure...there is nothing to support your conclusion from
your premise. Indeed, to the contrary, the FCC has never embarked
on a retesting to obtain or improve on the purpose of amateur radio
in terms of amateur knowledge or skills.

> But only the disability
> exemption occurred, and only as a favor to a foreign King who is now
> dead.

The disability exemption would have happened at some point with or w/out
the King's intervention. The foriegn "help" simply brought it to the
forground quicker. There's no doubt in my mind that without
the foriegn intervention, one or more disabled hams would have
raised the legal arguments associated with the exclusionary impact
of the 13 and 20 wpm testing anyway (IMHO).

> The simple fact is that while other radio services have all but
> eliminated Morse use, the mode is alive and well and flourishing in
> the ARS. In fact, there are probably more hams on the air with Morse
> code today than in the 1970s!

Your point? USE does not constitute an absolute requirement
to learn the skill of sending/receiving. No more than hams
not knowing English or any other major foriegn language.

> Whether that use justifies a stand-alone pass-fail test is just a
> matter of opinion, no more, no less. Same as always.

It certainly hasn't held sway with the FCC...which is the only
entity that matters in my book.

> But it does seem odd that one reason often given for eliminating the
> code test for a ham license is what non-hams do, rather than what hams
> do.

It makes perfect sense when you look at the history of why
hams had to know morse code specifically rather than being
allowed to use any other codings (in the early days of ham
radio that is).

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3446. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 00:17:15 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uvd1gheui81q0d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57788

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021208170242.12726.00001040@mb-mb.aol.com...
> In article <uv5m3jth8i0568@corp.supernews.com>, " Kim"
> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20021207223721.04214.00001073@mb-cc.aol.com...
> >> In article <uv459coifmi14c@corp.supernews.com>, "Kim"
> >> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
> >>
> >> >Yep, you bet it is.
> >>
> >> It's where "Get the government off our backs" leads..
> >
> >'Member that movie "Logan's Run."
>
> "SOYLENT GREEN IS PEOPLE!!!!!" - no, wait that's not it....
>

Do you know I have yet to see that one?

>
> "DAMN YOU!!!!....DAMN YOU ALL TO HELL!!!!!!....no no, that's not it,
either...
>

Hmmmmm, is that one Larry was starring in?

>
> "Open the pod bay doors, Hal..." no, not even the right genre...
>
> >The line, "there is no sanctuary."
>
> Musta missed that one, but your point is quite clear.
>

Wow! Really? I am told it was filmed, partly at least, down here in Ft.
Worth. If you ever get to see it, I think it is at the end where "they" are
coming up from underground and the scene was filmed at the Ft. Worth Water
Gardens...at least according to what I am told.

>
> >Gosh, down here Mummy and Daddy do it because the brat whines incessantly
> >and thinks it's due; and Mummy and Daddy can't think of any other way to
get
> >junior or juniorette out of their house.

>
> 'Round here the trick is to make home just unpleasant enough for a teen
that
> they want to leave ASAP. Nothing abusive, just little things. Like "you
can
> borrow the car but you have to bring it back with more gas in it than you
found
> it" and "while you're out, here's a list of things we need picked up".
> >

Now, that's refreshing. I'll never forget when my youngest literally walked in the door one day and said, "So, Mom, what kind of car you buying me next year?" I laughed hysterically and asked him when he started taking hallucinogenics. He was very put out when he learned that not only would I not be buying him a car, he was also going to have to pay for his own insurance and he would *never*, quote never, drive any of my vehicles! I was very proud of him when he went out and got a job a few weeks later, began saving his money, bought his Volkswagen Beetle the next Summer and covered his own insurance!

> >>
> >> Here's another fun stat: Guess which states have the best and worst
> >>divorce stats?
> >
> >Well, let's see. Just off the cuff, and I'm going to be generalizing
here
> >something awful, I guess for worst divorce statistics it wouldn't be a
> >Southern state because these women are way too wimpy;
>
> "women are way too wimpy"?I'm sure you have much more data/experience than
I.
> Expand and explain (this is gonna be GREAT!!!)
>

I shouldn't have been so general about that. I usually try to keep myself in check. It seems that many women I have met down here are very dependent and minful of their husbands. Lots of us have debated it and the attributable things we can come up with is that it is the Southern Man/Southern Woman way of life, country v. city (I come from the country where ya'd better damn well be able to fend fer yerself), or even that this is the Bible Belt (something about women obeying their husbands). You literally would not believe how many women about fall over when I pull the checkbook out of my purse. They think I stole it and am going to have to say 1000s of Hail Marys when I get back home. Sheesh...

> >
> >it couldn't be a
> >sparsely populated state because country folk really have to count on
each
> >other through thick and thin; and it couldn't be too populated a state
> >because those folks are so busy in each other's bedrooms I doubt anyone

> >notices they are getting cheated on...so, let's see. How 'bout
> >Massachusetts, and Washington, DC? And, for best--given the same
> >reasons--how 'bout Wyoming and New Mexico? Maybe Utah?
>
> That's what I thought, almost exactly. We're both almost totally wrong.
>

Oh, Jim. Puhleeze don't admit to thinking like me. Larry will have two
people he's got to keep up with then :)

>
> It turns out that four of the five worst states are in the
stand-by-your-man
> Deep South,
>

heh heh heh...OK, so maybe I wasn't generalizing so badly...LOL

>
> and that the northeastern liberal rustbelt states are among the
> best. Utah is the best, though, for obvious reasons.
>

Ah. Well, see? WE northeastern women know we don't *need* you men to
survive and that makes us WANT to be around you, not needy of you...

>
> Two of the very worst are Arkansas and Oklahoma. So much so that their
> governors "declared war" on divorce. What are they gonna do - take
prisoners?
>
> See
>
> http://archives.salon.com/mwt/feature/2000/01/24/divorce
>
> and
>
> http://www.csmonitor.com/durable/2001/06/28/p3s1.htm
>
> and
>
> http://www.religioustolerance.org/chr_dira.htm
>
> (note that atheists and agnostics have the LOWEST rate!)
>
> You can look up the exact stats at leisure, but the trend is pretty clear.
>
> The important stat is "resident divorce rate" because states like Nevada
have
> lots of zoom-in divorces.
>
> Izzat fun or what?

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
>

Hey, since yer thinkin' like me. Run out and get the game Cranium. I absolutely guarantee a blast of it, or I'll send you the money it cost ya. It really is a hoot...only if you're going to have a get together of--oh--20 or more people though! It is the most fun game I've ever owned. It also goes a long, long way to pulling in a whole roomful of people to common ground, even if there are those who just want to spectate (dare 'em...they can't do it).

Kim W5TIT

3447. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 00:19:51 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <at60dr$o3t$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57786

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:3DF586AB.4787741E@townsqr.com...
>
>
> Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:3DF16A55.2B291965@townsqr.com...
> > >
> > > Brian wrote:
> > > > Why demand to be archaic?
> > >
> > > Why let anyone off without knowing the very most basic
> > radiocommunications method?
> >
> > Because it is NOT, in any way, shape or form, a necessary
> > "basic" or building block needed by contemporary hams..
>
> And only the contemporary is thus of any real importance. We
> see.

You see....good.

> Never mind the obvious fundamental functionality of basic OOK
> keyed Morse code on radio, both to transmit and receive as
> only the most basic system can be.

If it is so obvious, why has it been abondoned by all other
services and why is it NOT supported, encouraged, or used
(except in very rare occasions) as part of emergency preparations
and in actual emergency comms?

> What that says is that ham radio is now reduced to a bunch of
> yakking conversationalists with nothing in mind but their
> ease. If that's the case our valued spectrum has just became
> much less worthwhile allocated to us and of much more value
> to Uncle Sam to spend the proceeds of the auction on an
> bottomless pit of unfunded projects. It'll happen, as it
> should.

I presume that's your opinion? You are entitled to such,
but it sure ain't fact (IMHO :-)

> > nor has it been a basic or building block of non-ham radio
> > communications for at least a decade or more.
>

> Which has nothing whatever to do with ham radio.

Again, in your opinion.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3448. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 00:21:11 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uvd1nsk05p2p9c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57789

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DF54345.4040906@mailcity.net...
>
>
> W5TIT - Kim wrote:
>
> >
> > Something else: I don't have to write on the side of my truck "I have
big
> > tits." I have had to deal with them as a reality since I was 11 years
old,
> > thank you very much.
>
> So have a lot of other women, and they don't go out of their way
> to bring attention to the fact, and manage to deal with it in good
> taste.
>

I don't like diamonds or rosebushes, either.

>
> I doubt you'd find many large-breasted women who
> > really like carrying around large breasts (tits--whatever). Let me say
that
> > I have been in a huge variety of situations professionally and
personally
> > and, most people--men and women, contrary to the way you like to
> > believe--notice about me first, my breasts...tits, whatever. So, I
"have
> > fun" with the situation. To you, it shows poor taste. What is poor
taste
> > about the human body, I can't relate to...unless it were cancerous or
> > rotting or something like that.
> >
> > So, for you to attempt to "call me out" on my tits and my callsign fails
> > miserably in the history I have had with *my* tits. I don't have my
> > callsign "for attention" as you like to diagnose. My tits do that for
me.
> > I have my callsign for a lot of reasons, chief among them because I
jokingly
> > told my fellow hams one day (all men, of course) that it was silly to
get
> > initials for a vanity callsign--one should put some real punch into a
vanity
> > callsign. They asked me what I would get. I told them (this was on the

> > air, by the way) that I would get something like KC5TIT. We all laughed
and
> > went on. It was my husband that, a few months later, discovered W5TIT
was
> > available. I went ahead and got it. I enjoy it. You don't. I don't
enjoy
> > a lot of things I hear on ham radio--or even some of the people who are
> > considered my peers as hams.
>
> The reason you got the callsign is an attention getter, it is in
> very poor taste no matter how you attempt to justify it.
>

The reason I got my callsign is as I stated. However, it is quite evident
you can't discuss the topic under any other conditions than your own. I
don't wish to justify it...I like it.

Kim W5TIT

3449. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 00:22:20 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <at60id$e5a$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57787

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0212101511.4608d496@posting.google.com...
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<at1199rrr1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>...
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:3DF16A55.2B291965@townsqr.com...
> > >
> > >
> > > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > Why demand to be archaic?
> > >
> > > Why let anyone off without knowing the very most basic
> > radiocommunications method?
> >
> > Because it is NOT, in any way, shape or form, a necessary
> > "basic" or building block needed by contemporary hams...
> > nor has it been a basic or building block of non-ham radio
> > communications for at least a decade or more.
>
> That's true in a way. But the same thing can be said about almost any
> radio skill or knowledge. Like Ohm's Law or most of the regs. Of
> course those things are all lumped together in the written, and don't
> get their own stand-alone test like Morse does.

A point I and others have oft made. Indeed, I'd have (as I've said before)
no problem with knowledge of morse being incorporated into the
overall test pool on a non-exclusive test basis.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3450. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 00:27:48 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uvd249m9l0v396@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57790

```
"KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message
news:uvccrqgme9k5a1@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:c2356669.0212100925.11f423f4@posting.google.com...
> > Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
> news:<3DF4B9D9.7070200@psu.edu>...
>
> <SNIP>
>
> > > > http://www.religioustolerance.org/chr_dira.htm
> > > >
> > > > (note that atheists and agnostics have the LOWEST rate!)
> > >
> > > Atheists and agnostics don't have "instant forgiveness" to salve their
> > > conscience when they do immoral/antisocial acts. So they tend to
behave
> > > themselves.
> >
> > Makes a lot of sense - but consider this: Since atheists/agnostics
> > theoretically don't fear divine punishment of a bad afterlife, you'd
> > think they'd be most interested in a happy here-and-now. So they'd be
> > most likely to bail out of a relationship as soon as it goes a little
> > sour. But the stats say exactly the opposite.
> >
> > Go figure.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Could it be because as atheists/agnostics they don't feel the
> need to get married in the first place? If you don't marry
> you don't have to divorce, just walk away.
>
> --
> '73' From KB9TMP
> "The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
> if you can fake that, you've got it made."
> -Groucho Marx
>
>

I lived with a man for 14 years and we are still very, very good friends.
In fact he and my husband are very good friends. It was very hard for both
of us to walk away. And, for many who are serious about a relationship (not
```

those who hop from bed to bed), a "live-in" relationship is every bit as serious to them as if they'd been married.

Another thing to consider for atheists and agnostics is that, maybe, a disbelief or uncertainty in something bigger than ourselves makes us far more reliant upon the world and those around us. Doesn't it speak to this very thing in the Bible? Something about worldly things?

Kim W5TIT

3451. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 00:47:37 UTC
From: JJ <radioburr0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DF68B29.3020804@mailcity.net>
Source record: 57797

W5TIT - Kim wrote:

>
> The reason I got my callsign is as I stated. However, it is quite evident
> you can't discuss the topic under any other conditions than your own. I
> don't wish to justify it...I like it.
>
> Kim W5TIT

For whatever the reason, it is in poor taste.

3452. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 01:08:43 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212101708.60e4428@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57791

N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0212101149.49f24581@posting.google.com>...

>
> But it does seem odd that one reason often given for eliminating the
> code test for a ham license is what non-hams do, rather than what hams
> do.

There's a new twist which makes huge sense.

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

w3rv

3453. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 01:41:20 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212101741.7668b5d7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57792

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20021210134803.21317.00001505@mb-cf.aol.com>...

> That's nice...a demonstrated capacity for reading books
> about an era before your birth?

Ya mean like everything you (think) you know about ham radio comes out
of websites right? PUTZ.

> So, how was your military service as a member of NATO?
> Which NATO country's military did you serve?
>
> How does that apply to POLICY of US amateur radio and the
> morse code test issue?

How does being a rear widget Army RTTY repeater babysitter apply to
anything in ham radio?

> ..or hundreds of
> other military personnel who died while serving their
> country, the United States of America, during the Cold
> War?

What was the closest you ever came to any member of any Communist
military force during you active duty time? I wuz within 750 feet.

Putz.

3454. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 01:45:50 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021210204550.04125.00000276@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 57793

In article <uv7dfvi8i4p32e@corp.supernews.com>, "Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20021208143706.03466.00000030@mb-fz.aol.com...
>> In article <uv6ijaeph2uvdc@corp.supernews.com>, "Kim"
>> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>>
>> >I can't think of a pleasant world without all kinds in it.
>>
>> I can.
>>
>> > So, if we "just
>> >happen" to have all kinds, I sure am glad we do. ;)
>>
>> You're glad we have the Saddam Hussein kind, and the OBL kind, and the
>>kind
>> that would help those folks and follow them?

>You know what? Philosophically speaking, it takes each and every encounter
>to make us who and what we are.

That's right, it does. And there are some encounters we'd be better off
without.

Your original statement was:

"I can't think of a pleasant world without all kinds in it."

Would the world not be pleasant without the Saddam Hussein kind and the OBL
kind? What would be lost if the terrorists and oppressors and those bent on
destruction simply disappeared?

Ever see the Kurosawa classic, "The Seven Samurai"? Told a basic truth: The
productive people (farmers) don't need the destroyers of production (bandits)
to exist and have happy lives. But the bandits sure need the farmers to exist!

If you want to talk philosophy, tell us what the producers of the world gain
from the moochers and destroyers.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3455. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 01:45:51 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021210204551.04125.00000277@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 57794

In article <uv4f8hs812235b@corp.supernews.com>, "KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com>
writes:

>Here's the bottom line;
>It's her right under our vanity call system to have that call sign if she
>wants it. It's also our right under the same system NOT to have a
>callsign like her's "ae" the naming of body parts or other risquï¿½ things.

Actually, whether it's a "right" or not is unclear. FCC issued the call,
probably because they did not want the hassle of denying it.
>
>The thing is if you or I or anyone else doesn't "approve" of her call,
>we don't have to call for her on the radio and talk now do we? We
>are free just to ignore her or anyone else because that is OUR
>freedom as well.

Exactly.

>I am a B.A.C. and a church elder locally and I'm not comfortable
>with her callsign either but it's her's and I'm not the one who is
>going to "judge" whether or not she should have had it or not, HE is!

But you ARE judging, by saying you're not comfortable with it.

Suppose you were on the air and someone with a vanity callsign you are not
comfortable with calls you. If you don't answer, based on the callsign, that's
a judgement. If you DO answer, that's a judgement, too.

Or suppose somebody petitions FCC to issue 1x4 calls to hams, so there could be
calls like W5KIDD or W1BILL - or W5(expletive deleted). Would you oppose or
support such a petition? Oppose support, or do nothing, they're all judgements.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3456. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 01:53:53 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021210205353.14856.00001797@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 57795

In article <uvae5amck1daac@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

(Two paragraphs of Kim's boring excuses snipped as an act of mercy)

>So, for you to attempt to "call me out" on my tits and my callsign fails
>miserably in the history I have had with *my* tits. I don't have my
>callsign "for attention" as you like to diagnose. My tits do that for me.
>I have my callsign for a lot of reasons, chief among them because I jokingly
>told my fellow hams one day (all men, of course) that it was silly to get
>initials for a vanity callsign--one should put some real punch into a vanity
>callsign. They asked me what I would get. I told them (this was on the
>air, by the way) that I would get something like KC5TIT. We all laughed and
>went on. It was my husband that, a few months later, discovered W5TIT was
>available. I went ahead and got it. I enjoy it. You don't. I don't enjoy
>a lot of things I hear on ham radio--or even some of the people who are
>considered my peers as hams.
>
>Kim W5TIT

Yawn!!! Kim, as far as I'm concerned, you don't have any "peers" in the
ARS -- only superiors. That's because, you see, you are at the bottom of
the pile! You've placed yourself there by selecting a demeaning and sexually
suggestive call sign for your own personal amusement, without regard to
the negative impact it has on the image of amateur radio operators as a
whole, and YL hams in particular.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3457. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 02:31:25 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021210213125.07723.00009480@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 57796

From: "W5TIT - Kim" seventythree@eightyeight.net
>And, for many who are serious about a relationship (not
>those who hop from bed to bed), a "live-in" relationship is every bit as
>serious to them as if they'd been married.

Also, a marriage with a person you met in 1 day, known for one week, married one year later, with the original 1 day "encounter" set up by others, is just as valid.

Would have been Extra sooner: if that dumb Morse Code requirement was lifted.

3458. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 02:53:34 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021210215334.07723.00009488@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 57798

From:k4yz
>> Agreed. As I said, English is obviously a second language to him.
From: N2EY
>So we gotta cut the guy a little slack. And also point out when he's
>becoming insulting to others.

I know. K4YZ knows I know. I sure know what I say. No slack cutting needed.

3459. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 03:37:07 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021210223707.04125.00000278@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 57799

In article <uvd1gheui81q0d@corp.supernews.com>, " Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>> >'Member that movie "Logan's Run."
>>
>> "SOYLENT GREEN IS PEOPLE!!!!!" - no, wait that's not it....

>Do you know I have yet to see that one?

http://www.imdb.com

Great resource. Save ya a bunch of time & money at the video store.
>
>> "DAMN YOU!!!!....DAMN YOU ALL TO HELL!!!!!!....no no, that's not it,
>>either...

>Hmmmmm, is that one Larry was starring in?

In what role?

>> "Open the pod bay doors, Hal..." no, not even the right genre...
>>
>> >The line, "there is no sanctuary."
>>
>> Musta missed that one, but your point is quite clear.

>Wow! Really?

Oh yeah. Simple concept: Create the illusion of an escape/better world
elsewhere so there isn't a general panic or riot.

>I am told it was filmed, partly at least, down here in Ft.
>Worth. If you ever get to see it, I think it is at the end where "they" are
>coming up from underground and the scene was filmed at the Ft. Worth Water
>Gardens...at least according to what I am told.

IMDB should know, under the "trivia" option.

The 1981 movie "Taps" was filmed in my town. Mostly at Valley Forge Military
Academy, north of here about 2-3 miles. General Schwartzkopf is an alumni.
>
>> >Gosh, down here Mummy and Daddy do it because the brat whines incessantly
>> >and thinks it's due; and Mummy and Daddy can't think of any other way to
>> >get
>> >junior or juniorette out of their house.
>>
>> 'Round here the trick is to make home just unpleasant enough for a teen
>> that they want to leave ASAP. Nothing abusive, just little things. Like "you

>> can borrow the car but you have to bring it back with more gas in it than
you
>> found it" and "while you're out, here's a list of things we need picked up".
>
>Now, that's refreshing.

Rule of thumb around here. One reason people choose to live in places where the kids can walk or ride bikes to most things. Of course such a community requires sidewalks, traffic lights, and relatively high density of housing for such a system to work. And you still wind up doing some chauffering, but with enough population density the parents trade carpool duties.

End result is the kid doesn't HAVE to drive or be driven after a certain age, but wants to drive in the absolute worst way. And will do whatever the parents want to get the license, insurance, etc.

>I'll never forget when my youngest literally walked
>in the door one day and said, "So, Mom, what kind of car you buying me next
>year?" I laughed hysterically and asked him when he started taking
>hallucinogenics. He was very put out when he learned that not only would I
>not be buying him a car, he was also going to have to pay for his own
>insurance and he would *never*, quote never, drive any of my vehicles!

Standard practice around here is that the kids drive the family car(s) IF they meet all the qualifications. Like good grades, paying for gas/insurance, driver ed, chores, etc. Sure, some kids get a car as gift and get the free ride on costs, but even those kids often discover it's not a free ride because they are required to do all sorts of things now that they have wheels. ("Here's the shopping list for the supermarket, and the slips for the drycleaning that needs to be picked up. These packages are to be mailed parcel post. And drop off your sister at her friend's house on the way...."

They can't WAIT to leave!

>I was very proud of him when he went out and got a job a few weeks later,
>began saving his money, bought his Volkswagen Beetle the next Summer and
>covered his own insurance!

'round here, most of us grew up knowing that even asking such a question was dumb.

>> "women are way too wimpy"?I'm sure you have much more data/experience than
>>I. Expand and explain (this is gonna be GREAT!!!)
>
>I shouldn't have been so general about that.

You made it clear it was a broad (bad pun intended) generalization.

>I usually try to keep myself in check.

HAW!! That's a GOOD one!! ;-))

>It seems that many women I have met down here are very dependent
>and min[d]ful of their husbands. Lots of us have debated it and the

>attributable things we can come up with is that it is the Southern
>Man/Southern Woman way of life, country v. city (I come from the country
>where ya'd better damn well be able to fend fer yerself), or even that this
>is the Bible Belt (something about women obeying their husbands). You
>literally would not believe how many women about fall over when I pull the
>checkbook out of my purse. They think I stole it and am going to have to
>say 1000s of Hail Marys when I get back home. Sheesh...

You gotta be kidding!!!

But the stats do support such a theory.....The women finally get sick of it and
split?

>> >it couldn't be a
>> >sparsely populated state because country folk really have to count on
>> >each
>> >other through thick and thin; and it couldn't be too populated a state
>> >because those folks are so busy in each other's bedrooms I doubt anyone
>> >notices they are getting cheated on...so, let's see. How 'bout
>> >Massachusetts, and Washington, DC? And, for best--given the same
>> >reasons--how 'bout Wyoming and New Mexico? Maybe Utah?
>>
>> That's what I thought, almost exactly. We're both almost totally wrong.
>
>Oh, Jim. Puhleeze don't admit to thinking like me. Larry will have two
>people he's got to keep up with then :)

I'm just saying the stats show we were both way off base. Massachusetts, for
example, has one of the very lowest divorce rates in the whole USA. New York,
too, though not as low as Mass. Lots of other examples. But Mississippi?
Wyoming? Near the top of the scale.
>
>> It turns out that four of the five worst states are in the
>> stand-by-your-man Deep South,

>heh heh heh...OK, so maybe I wasn't generalizing so badly...LOL

'zactly. Who was it made that song famous? check out how many husbands SHE
had...
>
>> and that the northeastern liberal rustbelt states are among the
>> best. Utah is the best, though, for obvious reasons.
>
>Ah. Well, see? WE northeastern women know we don't *need* you men to
>survive and that makes us WANT to be around you, not needy of you...

Maybe. Or maybe it's the dry Yankee humor. Plus we don't have to endure a
constant barrage of country-and-western music.

What's probably going on is that the social trends that hit the Northeast and
West in the '60s and '70s are finally reaching the South., with predictable
results.

>> Two of the very worst are Arkansas and Oklahoma. So much so that their
>> governors "declared war" on divorce. What are they gonna do - take
>> prisoners?
>>
>> See
>>
>> http://archives.salon.com/mwt/feature/2000/01/24/divorce
>>
>> and
>>
>> http://www.csmonitor.com/durable/2001/06/28/p3s1.htm
>>
>> and
>>
>> http://www.religioustolerance.org/chr_dira.htm
>>
>> (note that atheists and agnostics have the LOWEST rate!)
>>
>> You can look up the exact stats at leisure, but the trend is pretty clear.
>>
>> The important stat is "resident divorce rate" because states like Nevada
>>have lots of zoom-in divorces.

Nevada's rate is dropping fast, too.
>>
>> Izzat fun or what?

>Hey, since yer thinkin' like me.

Now that's REALLY funny!

> Run out and get the game Cranium. I
>absolutely guarantee a blast of it, or I'll send you the money it cost ya.
>It really is a hoot...only if you're going to have a get together of--oh--20
>or more people though! It is the most fun game I've ever owned. It also
>goes a long, long way to pulling in a whole roomful of people to common
>ground, even if there are those who just want to spectate (dare 'em...they
>can't do it).
>
Never heard of it, but I'll take a look. When Trivial Pursuit was big, I was
positively dangerous at it.

Getting 20 people together may be a bit of a job, tho. Only time I see that
many in the same place with a common goal is Sunday mornings, and they're not
playing games.

Thanks for the tip, tho.

73 de Jim, n2EY

3460. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 03:57:22 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021210225722.25792.00002906@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 57601

In article <3DF668D5.45B4076C@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>> >- The airline distance from Chongjin, North Korea to Tokyo is at least 670
>> >statute miles. The distance from Vladivostok to Tokyo is 663 statute
>miles.
>> >(Source: "Esso War Map III, Featuring The Pacific Theater", printed 1944).
>>
>> That's nice...a demonstrated capacity for reading books
>> about an era before your birth?
>
>More like a simple correction to the inaccurate information posted by
>you.

"Inaccurate information?!?" That from an individual who has
not shown his alleged State Department procedure references
of using on-off keying as the "order wire" of an RTTY circuit
in the 1980s?!?

Why a 1944 Esso map? Why not a current aeronautical chart?

What is wrong with an APPROXIMATE distance? Did Heil
read any adjectives that preceded this alled "inaccurate"
distance? Why is that so important? [everyone can guess
that...the exactness is irrelevant...it is the "correction" of
someone with an opposite opinion that is "all important"]

>> What is the distance from Vladivostok to Pennsylvania, any
>> part during the Cold War?
>
>Whazzit matter? He wasn't the guy painting the picture of himself in
>harm's way.

Who was "painting a picture of being in harm's way?"

I was operating HF transmitting facilities in the Far East Command
as part of US Army forces in central Honshu 1953-1956. I've never
said otherwise.

YOU were the one alleging "hero tales" and "in harm's way."

Why is Heil having an argument wtih himself?

>> Were you at either end wearing a
>> uniform, issued dog tags and a sidearm at that time?
>
>Isn't your question a little silly? That's sort of like me asking you

>why you didn't serve your country during WWII.

Why is it "silly?" He used a 1944 map reference to attempt
a diversion of the subject sub-thread...as if he "knew more"
about the subject of military service without ever serving in
the military...and also to "correct" someone on an irrelevant
point just for the sake of diversion.

Oberst Heil is the one stomping his boots about "being IN"
something or shutting up. He should make up his mind,
be consistent in the constant critique.

>If you weren't interested, why did you bring it up? It didn't relate to
>amateur radio at the time you did so any more than it does now.

No one is held answerable to Heil about anything. He has no
authority for that.

So, Herr Oberst, tell us how the following subjects "relate" to
amateur radio...subjects in other message threads in this
newsgroup currently: Religion...Religious Morals...Matrimonial
Relations and Divorce...Popular Songs...Fiction Novels...

If Heil wishes to Control newsgroup content and - especially -
who is "permitted" to post, then he should also correct those
who do not come close to the subject of amateur radio policy.
Heil does not do that. Heil wants to stifle and subdue those
who do not agree with him. That's evident by inspection.

>I'm not offended by Jim's comments and I'm a veteran.

Yes, everyone is aware of your "being in the USAF" and
"working with NASA." Ho hum. When did Heil receive his
Hero Medal from being in Harm's Way while "serving in a
country involved in conflict?"

>Now THAT is an interesting comment. You manage to call into question
>the patriotism of someone who doesn't agree with you. You have no
>class.

Heil has called into question my patriotism, attitude, and other
things because I do not agree with him or his implied "authority"
derived from his amateur class.

Heil allows little latitude in anything in regards to persons with
opposite opinions...yet he allows astronomical inaccuracies on
others who agree with his opinions. No Esso maps needed
for that kind of gas...

3461. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 03:57:23 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021210225723.25792.00002907@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 57800

In article <c2356669.0212101507.5dfb8323@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) writes:

>Sure. But of those atheists/agnostics who DO decide to tie the knot,
>the divorce rate is lower than even Roman Catholics.
>
>Here's another fun fact: The US divorce rate in 1950 was higher than
>in 1960. It appears to have peaked in the early 1980s, and is slowly
>dropping.

How is this related to amateur radio policy?

3462. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 04:05:42 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uvdessoa29ed06@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57602

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021210204550.04125.00000276@mb-da.aol.com...
> In article <uv7dfvi8i4p32e@corp.supernews.com>, "Kim"
> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>
> >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> >news:20021208143706.03466.00000030@mb-fz.aol.com...
> >> In article <uv6ijaeph2uvdc@corp.supernews.com>, "Kim"
> >> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
> >>
> >> >I can't think of a pleasant world without all kinds in it.
> >>
> >> I can.
> >>
> >> > So, if we "just
> >> >happen" to have all kinds, I sure am glad we do. ;)
> >>
> >> You're glad we have the Saddam Hussein kind, and the OBL kind, and the
> >>kind
> >> that would help those folks and follow them?
>
> >You know what? Philosophically speaking, it takes each and every
encounter
> >to make us who and what we are.
>
> That's right, it does. And there are some encounters we'd be better off
> without.
>
> Your original statement was:
>
> "I can't think of a pleasant world without all kinds in it."
>
> Would the world not be pleasant without the Saddam Hussein kind and the
OBL
> kind? What would be lost if the terrorists and oppressors and those bent
on
> destruction simply disappeared?
>

I can't answer that, because I don't know. I don't know that I'm right
about the world needing all kinds, and I don't know that I'm right that we
need all encounters to be what we are. That is what I believe. It is
called faith. I do know there isn't a time in history in which "we"
(people; humans) have not had to endure bitter times. I have to believe

there is a reason, or a purpose, for that--or continue to ask the question why.

> Ever see the Kurosawa classic, "The Seven Samurai"? Told a basic truth: The
> productive people (farmers) don't need the destroyers of production (bandits)
> to exist and have happy lives. But the bandits sure need the farmers to exist!

There is an (Eastern?) philosophical statement that says for every action there is an equal and opposite reaction. It's probably much like Christianity's "to everything there is a turn..."

I have not seen the movie "The Seven Samurai"; however I would have to challenge the tenet as being unprovable. We've never had a world without challenge.

> If you want to talk philosophy, tell us what the producers of the world gain
> from the moochers and destroyers.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

We, at the least, gain knowing that we don't want to be like them, think like them, act like them. They, at the least, offer an example of what can happen if we do.

Kim W5TIT

3463. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 04:16:13 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uvdfgjh1sbab2f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57605

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021210204551.04125.00000277@mb-da.aol.com...
> In article <uv4f8hs812235b@corp.supernews.com>, "KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com>
> writes:
>
> >Here's the bottom line;
> >It's her right under our vanity call system to have that call sign if she
> >wants it. It's also our right under the same system NOT to have a
> >callsign like her's "ae" the naming of body parts or other risqu&#65533; things.
>
> Actually, whether it's a "right" or not is unclear. FCC issued the call,
> probably because they did not want the hassle of denying it.
>

You could be right, Jim. However, the government is "knowing" enough to know that I in no way have the resources or desire to take them on over something as silly as this.

I am also not married to this callsign. I've always thought it will be very interesting to see what happens upon renewal time. Think it will be denied?

Talk about trivia: since I've had this callsign, I have probably been on the air no longer than--oh--maybe 5 hours. How many years? I couldn't even tell you. A) Does that sound like my callsign is going to bring the ARS "one step closer to extinction?" B) look at QRZ. The lookups on my call rival some of the biggest DXers. I'm not bragging...it's just a fact. Know where I've used my callsign most?

Uh huh...right here. Which medium, gentlemen, has the greater influence?

> >
> >The thing is if you or I or anyone else doesn't "approve" of her call,
> >we don't have to call for her on the radio and talk now do we? We
> >are free just to ignore her or anyone else because that is OUR
> >freedom as well.
>
> Exactly.
>
> >I am a B.A.C. and a church elder locally and I'm not comfortable
> >with her callsign either but it's her's and I'm not the one who is
> >going to "judge" whether or not she should have had it or not, HE is!
>
> But you ARE judging, by saying you're not comfortable with it.
>

I don't think that's the kind of "judging" we are talking about here, Jim. To be uncomfortable with something, even to vehemently disagree with it, is not judging. Judging is when someone tells another they are going to Hell, or bringing the ARS "one step closer to extinction." That is judging--something which I doubt many of us are good at.

It's kind of like when I disagree with Larry. I think there have been times I have been judgemental, and I know I've been juddgemental of Dick. However, most of the time I plainly make it clear that I strictly disagree with their interpretation of something, but always try to allow them the dignity to think the way they do. Everyone gets under each other's skin, so we judge. It's not good, but we do.

> Suppose you were on the air and someone with a vanity callsign you are not
> comfortable with calls you. If you don't answer, based on the callsign, that's
> a judgement. If you DO answer, that's a judgement, too.

I disagree. I think a judgement would be more if the person answered back and said something like, "you are going to bring the ARS one step closer to extinction." To ignore the person, based on their callsign, is an acknowledgement--a partnership really, if you will--that the other disagrees with the callsign, but chooses not to "act like an idiot" (to judge -- ;)) about it.

> Or suppose somebody petitions FCC to issue 1x4 calls to hams, so there could be
> calls like W5KIDD or W1BILL - or W5(expletive deleted). Would you oppose or
> support such a petition? Oppose support, or do nothing, they're all judgements.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Those are values. Personal values. Judgements are when we turn our values against other people (incidentally, acting at the level the judger is professing against).

Kim W5TIT

3464. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 04:18:25 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <at6ed5vrc1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57603

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021210204551.04125.00000277@mb-da.aol.com...
> In article <uv4f8hs812235b@corp.supernews.com>, "KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com>
> writes:
>
> >Here's the bottom line;
> >It's her right under our vanity call system to have that call sign if she
> >wants it. It's also our right under the same system NOT to have a
> >callsign like her's "ae" the naming of body parts or other risqu&#65533; things.
>
> Actually, whether it's a "right" or not is unclear. FCC issued the call,
> probably because they did not want the hassle of denying it.

Which defaults to the statement that it is considered OK, legal, a
right or whatever one wants to label it as from a legal perspective.

> >The thing is if you or I or anyone else doesn't "approve" of her call,
> >we don't have to call for her on the radio and talk now do we? We
> >are free just to ignore her or anyone else because that is OUR
> >freedom as well.
>
> Exactly.

Agree completely.

> >I am a B.A.C. and a church elder locally and I'm not comfortable
> >with her callsign either but it's her's and I'm not the one who is
> >going to "judge" whether or not she should have had it or not, HE is!
>
> But you ARE judging, by saying you're not comfortable with it.

His (KB9TMP) "judgement" is strictly his opinion and holds no sway with
anyone or any legal status...or ethical or moral.

> Suppose you were on the air and someone with a vanity callsign you are not
> comfortable with calls you. If you don't answer, based on the callsign,
that's
> a judgement. If you DO answer, that's a judgement, too.

But the judgement is limited to the individual. Now if he were advocating
that everyone else should do the same, then he is attempting to sway
others to his opinion.

> Or suppose somebody petitions FCC to issue 1x4 calls to hams, so there
could be
> calls like W5KIDD or W1BILL - or W5(expletive deleted). Would you oppose

or
> support such a petition? Oppose support, or do nothing, they're all
judgements.

Opposing or supporting constitutes an effort to influnece
per your judgement. Doing nothing signifies nothing as
to ones position and may simply indicate a desire to NOT
extend personal judgement on the possible outcome.

End of my foray into this thread.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3465. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 04:21:52 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <A3zJ9.309989$NH2.21517@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 57604

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:uvd249m9l0v396@corp.supernews.com...

> Another thing to consider for atheists and agnostics is that, maybe, a
> disbelief or uncertainty in something bigger than ourselves makes us far
> more reliant upon the world and those around us. <snip>

Interesting observation. I am not sure whether I am more reliant on the world as a result of being agnostic.

I have just chosen to neither believe nor disbelieve in the reality of a Supreme Being until we can all know for sure what the real point is, if any, of our tenure on this particular planet, in this particular galaxy, in this particular region of the known universe.

Here is how I define "agnostic": I don't feel that I have any reliable, foolproof way of really knowing if there is a Supreme Being or not- and even if there is one, I don't feel that I possess any way of being sure that I could know that being's nature, given my own limited human faculties.

I think that any person, or any group, that claims to have "God on their side" is downright dangerous, because that potentially gives them a personal license to get away with practically anything. So many adherents of various religions have done just that and have gotten away with horrible crimes against their fellow humans, all while "justifying" such actions by saying that their prophets, their gods or their holy writings prescribed such actions. All of which, of course, was subject to their personal or collective interpretations of those writings and prophets.

I need *no* other reason to shun organized religion.

I essentially believe that all of organized religion is humankind's collective way of trying to understand and make sense of what they do not know, and prepare for what they fear the most- which is the unknown, after we die. I wouldn't want to spend an afterlife with a bunch of people who thought that they were justified in belittling the lives of their fellow humans in *this* life.

"Holy books" tend to stress stories and actions which reflect that particular religion's cultural values, morals and societal ethics. To me, it seems ridiculous to try and use any "holy book" to rationalize war, oppression, slavery, and racism, yet many people find certain passages in their "holy books" to try to do just that.

There are enough passages in many "holy books" to justify shunning them as something to model one's life after (unless you happen to be the "cafeteria" type when it comes to adherance of a chosen religion's tenets- picking what

you like about it, and rejecting what you find disagreeable).Yet, if you really read them, most "holy books" tend to reflect nothing more than the same tired old story of humanity, don't they? Sure, there are stories of compassion and love for one's fellow humans, but they are to be found interspersed amongst annals of war, strife, getting ahead of others, persecuting those who believe differently than you do, the hoped-for and eventual triumph of certain people or religions over others, etc. All while trying to somehow fit in the concept of some Supreme Being who will eventually justify it all.

Who knows the truth for sure? I certainly don't. I have come to the conclusion that people choose to do good in spite of religion, not because of it.

I don't know if there is a life after this corporeal one. I don't think I *can* know. That's the essence of being an agnostic. It's not that I *don't* believe in a Supreme Being- but, if there is one, then I very much doubt that he/she/it would fit entirely under the auspices and creeds of one singular religion. Personally, if I were the Supreme Being, and I had created the universe and everything and everyone in it, I'd be mightily pissed off at what some people did in my name and then claimed that I ordered it.

Anyway, since I'm not sure whether or not there is an afterlife, I try to treat others the way I'd want to be treated, for as Jesus said, this "sums up the law and the prophets"-- Matt. 7:12. It just makes sense; that's why so many religions have this "Golden Rule" at their very base (or at least claim to). Living by this principle makes life more pleasant for EVERYONE involved on this planet, irrespective of culture, creed or socioeconomic status. This same principle is also expounded upon in the Buddhist principle of "karma". Do good in your present life and you get rewarded in your next incarnation. It's also to be found in Judaism: "What is hateful to you, do not to your fellow men. That is the entire Law; all the rest is commentary" (the Talmud); "No one of you is a believer until he desires for his brother that which he desires for himself."- a hadith (saying) of Muhammad.

Now, of course, many people who claim to follow a certain religion don't always act in the ways their prophets or their version of "God" told them to, so that makes me think that either these groups don't have a direct line to the Almighty, or maybe the concept of "religion" as a way to understand the unknowable itself is flawed. Either way, to me it means that religion cannot lead us to the Great Answer of who, or what, is "up there"-- or help us to know if there *is* anyone "up there".

I like good old Abe Lincoln's personal definition of religion: "When I do good, I feel good; when I do bad, I feel bad. That's my religion." That's mine too.

I know this doesn't have anything whatsoever to do with amateur radio... however, since the topic was brought up... :-) Sorry. That's all I'll say on this subject.

73,

Jackie
KC0ODY

3466. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 04:27:17 UTC
From: Cult Guy <OhNO!@Anon.com>
Message-ID: <at6eqemtm0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 57606

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021210225723.25792.00002907@mb-fc.aol.com...
> In article <c2356669.0212101507.5dfb8323@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
> (N2EY) writes:
>
> >Sure. But of those atheists/agnostics who DO decide to tie the knot,
> >the divorce rate is lower than even Roman Catholics.
> >
> >Here's another fun fact: The US divorce rate in 1950 was higher than
> >in 1960. It appears to have peaked in the early 1980s, and is slowly
> >dropping.
>
> How is this related to amateur radio policy?

Your words, Len...
"If Heil wishes to Control newsgroup content and - especially -
who is "permitted" to post, then he should also correct those
who do not come close to the subject of amateur radio policy.
Heil does not do that. Heil wants to stifle and subdue those
who do not agree with him. That's evident by inspection."

Evidently you wish to fill a gap here....namely questioning posts that
you disapprove of. And, if I may be so bold, what gives YOU the right, oh
self-appointed one? If you so dislike what you percieve as Heil's spin on
who should or should
not post, why, then, are you doing the very same thing that you so eschew in
Mr. Heil?

3467. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 04:34:09 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <5fzJ9.310026$NH2.21284@sccrnsc01>
Source record: 57607

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021210205353.14856.00001797@mb-mu.aol.com...
> In article <uvae5amck1daac@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>

> Yawn!!! Kim, as far as I'm concerned, you don't have any "peers" in the
> ARS -- only superiors. That's because, you see, you are at the bottom of
> the pile! You've placed yourself there by selecting a demeaning and
sexually
> suggestive call sign for your own personal amusement, without regard to
> the negative impact it has on the image of amateur radio operators as a
> whole, and YL hams in particular.

You aren't speaking for this YL ham Larry. If the FCC allowed this call, then who am I to judge? If I don't like a callsign that a particular person chose, then I don't ever have to strike up a conversation-- Usenet, amateur radio or otherwise-- with them. I'm not defending Kim's choice of callsign or demeaning it. It isn't mine and I don't think it'll have much, if any, impact on amateur radio, no matter what I think of it. If there's a real issue here, then let the FCC deny her a renewal of this callsign when the time comes, for the FCC is the overseer of the appropriateness of such things.

Jackie

3468. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 04:37:58 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uvdgpe4adjr896@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57608

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021210223707.04125.00000278@mb-da.aol.com...
> In article <uvd1gheui81q0d@corp.supernews.com>, " Kim"
> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>
>
> >It seems that many women I have met down here are very dependent
> >and min[d]ful of their husbands. Lots of us have debated it and the
> >attributable things we can come up with is that it is the Southern
> >Man/Southern Woman way of life, country v. city (I come from the country
> >where ya'd better damn well be able to fend fer yerself), or even that
this
> >is the Bible Belt (something about women obeying their husbands). You
> >literally would not believe how many women about fall over when I pull
the
> >checkbook out of my purse. They think I stole it and am going to have to
> >say 1000s of Hail Marys when I get back home. Sheesh...
>
> You gotta be kidding!!!
>

Not at all, Jim, not at all. The irony of all this is that these are women who live in or near the Big D. One would think that city women would be far more independent...wouldn't they? No joke...the first time all the brothers-in-law (3 of 'em) and my father-in-law and my husband were going to go hunting I came up with the idea for all us women to have our big garage sale we'd been talking about.

Now, mind ya...this is a) a Yankee from the north at the table, b) a woman to beat, and c) the only son's wife. She (me) is standing at the kitchen table, men all attired for their great hunt (yeah...*cough* throw some deer corn in the feeder a few weeks before season open and sit in your chair the day it opens to take your deer---I digress) and I mention the garage sale with my mother-in-law's shocked face slightly nuding in the "negative" direction as my shocked--uh, patriarchal--father-in-law states, "like hell you will." I look at him and say, "like hell we won't and why not?" Suffice it to say that moment was a bit more liberating for my mother-in-law than my father-in-law would have intended.

>
> But the stats do support such a theory.....The women finally get sick of
it and
> split?
>

Ya know what, Jim? Sadly, I really think what happens is most of the men end up in extra-marital affairs (usually for a fresh, squishy woman) and leaves for her (the other woman).

You'll giggle at this. My fine, Southern gentleman that I married; when we first were going together--about a few weeks after we'd begun dating--he put his finger up at me one night and said I would not be going to any bars with my girlfriends. I stopped dead in my tracks, nicely turned around and walked back toward him and, very nicely and politely told him that I'd been in bars before without him, handled creeps without him, and live through it without him--and if he intended for us to go any further, he'd start training himself not to have that kind of insecurity. Took 'im about two years but he finally realized and knows that I love only him, admire him and adore him and there isn't a soul or other man who'd pull me away.

Women can take care of themselves. Mind you, I am far from a women's libber. In fact, I can't stand 'em. BUT, I can stand up for myself. And, I can change my own tires.

> >> >
> >> >it couldn't be a
> >> >sparsely populated state because country folk really have to count on
> >> >each
> >> >other through thick and thin; and it couldn't be too populated a state
> >> >because those folks are so busy in each other's bedrooms I doubt
anyone
> >> >notices they are getting cheated on...so, let's see. How 'bout
> >> >Massachusetts, and Washington, DC? And, for best--given the same
> >> >reasons--how 'bout Wyoming and New Mexico? Maybe Utah?
> >>
> >> That's what I thought, almost exactly. We're both almost totally wrong.
> >
> >Oh, Jim. Puhleeze don't admit to thinking like me. Larry will have two
> >people he's got to keep up with then :)
>
> I'm just saying the stats show we were both way off base. Massachusetts,
for
> example, has one of the very lowest divorce rates in the whole USA. New
York,
> too, though not as low as Mass. Lots of other examples. But Mississippi?
> Wyoming? Near the top of the scale.
> >

heh heh heh. Wonder if that's 'cause the guys are crappy at choosing, or the women are?

> >
> >Ah. Well, see? WE northeastern women know we don't *need* you men to
> >survive and that makes us WANT to be around you, not needy of you...
>
> Maybe. Or maybe it's the dry Yankee humor. Plus we don't have to endure a
> constant barrage of country-and-western music.
>

HAW--to use your term!!!!!!! Man, you got that right. Remember that
callsign PATTOEY?

>
> What's probably going on is that the social trends that hit the Northeast
and
> West in the '60s and '70s are finally reaching the South., with
predictable
> results.
>

I am sitting here laughing at what I am going to say: no, it's that more and
more of us Yankees moved in.

>
> >Hey, since yer thinkin' like me.
>
> Now that's REALLY funny!
>
> > Run out and get the game Cranium. I
> >absolutely guarantee a blast of it, or I'll send you the money it cost
ya.
> >It really is a hoot...only if you're going to have a get together
of--oh--20
> >or more people though! It is the most fun game I've ever owned. It also
> >goes a long, long way to pulling in a whole roomful of people to common
> >ground, even if there are those who just want to spectate (dare
'em...they
> >can't do it).
> >
> Never heard of it, but I'll take a look. When Trivial Pursuit was big, I
was
> positively dangerous at it.
>

Hey, Trivial Pursuit is kewl...I thought it was great, too, until I played
Cranium. Cranium is harder to get to play because it does take a large
group of people. But, HEY, guess what? I took it to a party of one of my
rich and influential friends last Christmas. The snuffy teens *AND* the
stuffy adults were all DOWN ON THE FLOOR, sleeves rolled up, and having a
blast. They said they hadn't that much fun in years.

>
> Getting 20 people together may be a bit of a job, tho. Only time I see
that
> many in the same place with a common goal is Sunday mornings, and they're
not
> playing games.
>
> Thanks for the tip, tho.
>
> 73 de Jim, n2EY
>

You should get them to...ya might see way more than you'd ever expected!!
(By the way--and you knew this you just forgot--those folks who all have the common goal? They're always around you...not just on Sundays)

Kim W5TIT

3469. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 04:39:59 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uvdgtclv15dne1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57609

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021210225723.25792.00002907@mb-fc.aol.com...
> In article <c2356669.0212101507.5dfb8323@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
> (N2EY) writes:
>
> >Sure. But of those atheists/agnostics who DO decide to tie the knot,
> >the divorce rate is lower than even Roman Catholics.
> >
> >Here's another fun fact: The US divorce rate in 1950 was higher than
> >in 1960. It appears to have peaked in the early 1980s, and is slowly
> >dropping.
>
> How is this related to amateur radio policy?
>

We're leading up to how poor excuses for conversationalists often find
themselves in a divorce situation.

Kim W5TIT

3470. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 04:58:13 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uvdhvjmf6ksp3f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57611

"Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote in message
news:A3zJ9.309989$NH2.21517@sccrnsc01...
>
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> news:uvd249m9l0v396@corp.supernews.com...
>
> > Another thing to consider for atheists and agnostics is that, maybe, a
> > disbelief or uncertainty in something bigger than ourselves makes us far
> > more reliant upon the world and those around us. <snip>
>
> Interesting observation. I am not sure whether I am more reliant on the
> world as a result of being agnostic.
>

Hmmmmm, let me define reliant as I intended to relay. I think an agnostic
(or atheist) would *have* to be sensitive to something, right? And, as
humans we have our "egos" (call it whatever you will, our needs, our desires
for acceptance, recognition of success, etc.). If someone has what they
believe to be a strong relationship with God--their desires for the most
part are fulfilled. They seek nothing in general from people in general
because they have, from God all the love and attention and approval they
seek.

However, someone without that relationship would either have to be very,
very self-centered (and I don't say that derogatorily--I mean it as a
self-reliant sort of comment) or would have to achieve their desires through
acceptance from animals or humans. Hermits are often very successful with
animals at least trusting them, because they (the person) gain a sense of
accomplishment in having something trust them. And so forth.

>
> I have just chosen to neither believe nor disbelieve in the reality of a
> Supreme Being until we can all know for sure what the real point is, if
any,
> of our tenure on this particular planet, in this particular galaxy, in
this
> particular region of the known universe.
>

I used to be agnostic. But, I had a very profound experience some years ago
and know there is something. I have still never sorted out exactly what
"it" is--but, for me, and I say this in the most respectable way, I *know*
without a doubt there is something.

>
> Here is how I define "agnostic": I don't feel that I have any reliable,

> foolproof way of really knowing if there is a Supreme Being or not- and
even
> if there is one, I don't feel that I possess any way of being sure that I
> could know that being's nature, given my own limited human faculties.
>

Yeah, that's a great way of defining it.

>
> I think that any person, or any group, that claims to have "God on their
> side" is downright dangerous,
>

Oh, buhruther...you got that right. Religious zeal. Scarey. I really
think that's why the religious right concerns me so much...just as much as
any leftist would.

>
> because that potentially gives them a personal
> license to get away with practically anything. So many adherents of
various
> religions have done just that and have gotten away with horrible crimes
> against their fellow humans,
>

Yeah, the nitwits with the WTC, and the nitwits in the Middle East, the
nitwits up in the Upper Northwest of this country...on and on.

>
> all while "justifying" such actions by saying
> that their prophets, their gods or their holy writings prescribed such
> actions. All of which, of course, was subject to their personal or
> collective interpretations of those writings and prophets.
>
> I need *no* other reason to shun organized religion.
>

I agree.

>
> I essentially believe that all of organized religion is humankind's
> collective way of trying to understand and make sense of what they do not
> know, and prepare for what they fear the most- which is the unknown, after
> we die. I wouldn't want to spend an afterlife with a bunch of people who
> thought that they were justified in belittling the lives of their fellow
> humans in *this* life.
>

Bad karma, man. <BIG EVIL GRIN>

>
> "Holy books" tend to stress stories and actions which reflect that
> particular religion's cultural values, morals and societal ethics. To me,

it
> seems ridiculous to try and use any "holy book" to rationalize war,
> oppression, slavery, and racism, yet many people find certain passages in
> their "holy books" to try to do just that.
>

Yes, in another thread, I've made a philosophical statement that kind of
takes off on that very thing. And, you're right, the zealousness flew.
Yet, one can read in any holy book the very same kind of thing. To
everything there is a season; for each action there is an equal and opposite
reaction, etc., etc. But it's not OK for me to speak from that perspective.

>
> There are enough passages in many "holy books" to justify shunning them as
> something to model one's life after (unless you happen to be the
"cafeteria"
> type when it comes to adherance of a chosen religion's tenets- picking
what
> you like about it, and rejecting what you find disagreeable).
>

Isn't that what most religious zealots do?

>
> Yet, if you
> really read them, most "holy books" tend to reflect nothing more than the
> same tired old story of humanity, don't they? Sure, there are stories of
> compassion and love for one's fellow humans, but they are to be found
> interspersed amongst annals of war, strife, getting ahead of others,
> persecuting those who believe differently than you do, the hoped-for and
> eventual triumph of certain people or religions over others, etc. All
while
> trying to somehow fit in the concept of some Supreme Being who will
> eventually justify it all.
>

We cannot have a world without challenge. Nature demonstrates that for us
every day.

>
> Who knows the truth for sure? I certainly don't. I have come to the
> conclusion that people choose to do good in spite of religion, not because
> of it.
>

YES!!!!!! And that is when someone is wonderful!!! Why do I want to do the
right thing because I will go to Heaven? Why do I want to do the right
thing because there will be a reward? I want to do the right thing simply
because it is the right thing to do. And, it's getting harder and harder
every day to do the right thing, also, I might add. More challenges all the
time.

>
> I don't know if there is a life after this corporeal one. I don't think I
> *can* know. That's the essence of being an agnostic. It's not that I
> *don't* believe in a Supreme Being- but, if there is one, then I very much
> doubt that he/she/it would fit entirely under the auspices and creeds of
one
> singular religion.
>

She would not. <GRIN> Seroiusly, you're right. I believe in the capacity
of all people to believe in good--however they wish to relate to it.

>
> Personally, if I were the Supreme Being, and I had
> created the universe and everything and everyone in it, I'd be mightily
> pissed off at what some people did in my name and then claimed that I
> ordered it.
>
> Anyway, since I'm not sure whether or not there is an afterlife, I try to
> treat others the way I'd want to be treated, for as Jesus said, this "sums
> up the law and the prophets"-- Matt. 7:12. It just makes sense; that's
why
> so many religions have this "Golden Rule" at their very base (or at least
> claim to). Living by this principle makes life more pleasant for EVERYONE
> involved on this planet, irrespective of culture, creed or socioeconomic
> status. This same principle is also expounded upon in the Buddhist
principle
> of "karma". Do good in your present life and you get rewarded in your next
> incarnation. It's also to be found in Judaism: "What is hateful to you, do
> not to your fellow men. That is the entire Law; all the rest is
commentary"
> (the Talmud); "No one of you is a believer until he desires for his
brother
> that which he desires for himself."- a hadith (saying) of Muhammad.
>

A knowledgeable Agnostic, too!

>
> Now, of course, many people who claim to follow a certain religion don't
> always act in the ways their prophets or their version of "God" told them
> to, so that makes me think that either these groups don't have a direct
line
> to the Almighty, or maybe the concept of "religion" as a way to
understand
> the unknowable itself is flawed. Either way, to me it means that religion
> cannot lead us to the Great Answer of who, or what, is "up there"-- or
help
> us to know if there *is* anyone "up there".
>
> I like good old Abe Lincoln's personal definition of religion: "When I do
> good, I feel good; when I do bad, I feel bad. That's my religion." That's

> mine too.
>
> I know this doesn't have anything whatsoever to do with amateur radio...
> however, since the topic was brought up... :-) Sorry. That's all I'll say
on
> this subject.
>
> 73,
>
> Jackie
> KC0ODY
>

You should hear some of our on-air discussions on this very topic in the
past! That was the good 'ol days...

Kim W5TIT

3471. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 05:02:17 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uvdi6voker2uf6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57612

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:sGzJ9.1528$eQ3.203389@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote
>
> : I can't answer that, because I don't know. I don't know that I'm right
> : about the world needing all kinds, and I don't know that I'm right that
we
> : need all encounters to be what we are. That is what I believe. It is
> : called faith.
>
> Faith is a non-rational belief in some proposition, without evidence to
> support it, and in fact a belief which is contrary to the sum of evidence
> for that belief. A belief is contrary to the sum of evidence for a belief
if
> there is overwhelming evidence against the belief, e.g., that the earth is
> flat, hollow or is the center of the universe, or that the world needs all
> kinds, when "all kinds" includes O B Laden, Hitler, Saddam Hussein, and
> Rasputin.
>
> As an agnostic, I don't have 'faith' that "the world needs all kinds"
> because such a statement is irrational on it's face. If your god sends
you
> such pestilences to test your 'faith', then I submit that you need to shop
> around for a new god (lower case "g") whose testing methods are less
> destructive.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
http://www.infidels.org/library/historical/robert_ingersoll/why_am_i_agnosti
> c.html
>

So, you've never been tested, Hans? Not by your wife, not by destiny, not
by nature? What *did* you used to believe, for instance, prior to Sep 11?
What do you believe now--any difference?

Kim W5TIT

3472. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 05:03:20 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <sGzJ9.1528$eQ3.203389@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57610

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote

: I can't answer that, because I don't know. I don't know that I'm right
: about the world needing all kinds, and I don't know that I'm right that we
: need all encounters to be what we are. That is what I believe. It is
: called faith.

Faith is a non-rational belief in some proposition, without evidence to support it, and in fact a belief which is contrary to the sum of evidence for that belief. A belief is contrary to the sum of evidence for a belief if there is overwhelming evidence against the belief, e.g., that the earth is flat, hollow or is the center of the universe, or that the world needs all kinds, when "all kinds" includes O B Laden, Hitler, Saddam Hussein, and Rasputin.

As an agnostic, I don't have 'faith' that "the world needs all kinds" because such a statement is irrational on it's face. If your god sends you such pestilences to test your 'faith', then I submit that you need to shop around for a new god (lower case "g") whose testing methods are less destructive.

73, de Hans, K0HB

http://www.infidels.org/library/historical/robert_ingersoll/why_am_i_agnostic.html

3473. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 05:20:18 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <mWzJ9.1551$eQ3.206630@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57613

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote

:
: So, you've never been tested, Hans? Not by your wife, not by destiny, not
: by nature? What *did* you used to believe, for instance, prior to Sep 11?
: What do you believe now--any difference?
:

Before Sep 11 I believed, based on evidence -- not on 'faith', that
terrorism which targets non-combatant civilian populations is abhorent. On
Sep 11 I saw more evidence to validate that rational belief, so there is no
difference in my belief pre-/post-9-11.

73, de Hans, K0HB

3474. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 06:18:16 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DF6D8CF.5D6B23E2@earthlink.net>
Source record: 57614

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3DF668D5.45B4076C@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >> >- The airline distance from Chongjin, North Korea to Tokyo is at least 670
> >> >statute miles. The distance from Vladivostok to Tokyo is 663 statute
> >miles.
> >> >(Source: "Esso War Map III, Featuring The Pacific Theater", printed 1944).
> >>
> >> That's nice...a demonstrated capacity for reading books
> >> about an era before your birth?
> >
> >More like a simple correction to the inaccurate information posted by
> >you.
>
> "Inaccurate information?!?"

Yeah, inaccurate information.

> That from an individual who has
> not shown his alleged State Department procedure references
> of using on-off keying as the "order wire" of an RTTY circuit
> in the 1980s?!?

My "procedure references". Check with someone in the Bureau of
Information Managment at State if your curiousity is getting the best of
you.

> Why a 1944 Esso map? Why not a current aeronautical chart?

Why not a 1944 Esso map? Did Japan drift much between '44 and the early
fifties?

> What is wrong with an APPROXIMATE distance? Did Heil
> read any adjectives that preceded this alled "inaccurate"
> distance? Why is that so important? [everyone can guess
> that...the exactness is irrelevant...it is the "correction" of
> someone with an opposite opinion that is "all important"]

Your whole rant was irrelevant. Your wrapping yourself in the flag and
equating yourself with those killed in action is irrelevant and more
than a little sleazy.

> >> What is the distance from Vladivostok to Pennsylvania, any
> >> part during the Cold War?
> >

> >Whazzit matter? He wasn't the guy painting the picture of himself in
> >harm's way.
>
> Who was "painting a picture of being in harm's way?"

Why, you were, Leonard. There you were, only an hour from obliteration.

> I was operating HF transmitting facilities in the Far East Command
> as part of US Army forces in central Honshu 1953-1956. I've never
> said otherwise.

What was all the talk of Bear bombers being just an hour away. What was all the talk of others insulting the memory of thosed killed in action. After all, you were nowhere near any action.

> YOU were the one alleging "hero tales" and "in harm's way."

I was? When was that, Len?

> Why is Heil having an argument wtih himself?

Huh?

> >> Were you at either end wearing a
> >> uniform, issued dog tags and a sidearm at that time?
> >
> >Isn't your question a little silly? That's sort of like me asking you
> >why you didn't serve your country during WWII.
>
> Why is it "silly?" He used a 1944 map reference to attempt
> a diversion of the subject sub-thread...as if he "knew more"
> about the subject of military service without ever serving in
> the military...and also to "correct" someone on an irrelevant
> point just for the sake of diversion.

It is silly because you know the age of N2EY. It is silly because your latest rant is more proof of the falsity of your claim to only want to civilly discuss the removal of morse code testing. It is even sillier of you to call his comments a diversion.

> Oberst Heil is the one stomping his boots about "being IN"
> something or shutting up. He should make up his mind,
> be consistent in the constant critique.

> >If you weren't interested, why did you bring it up? It didn't relate to
> >amateur radio at the time you did so any more than it does now.
>
> No one is held answerable to Heil about anything. He has no
> authority for that.

Back at ya, Windy. No one is accountable to you over your questions about their military service or lack of it. You have no authority for that. Again, your blather about being within an hour time of a Soviet Bear is irrelevant.

I note that you chose not to answer the question though.

> So, Herr Oberst, tell us how the following subjects "relate" to
> amateur radio...subjects in other message threads in this
> newsgroup currently: Religion...Religious Morals...Matrimonial
> Relations and Divorce...Popular Songs...Fiction Novels...

They're just as irrelevant as your posts but those posting them haven't posted several times that they are "only interested..." as you have.

> If Heil wishes to Control newsgroup content and - especially -
> who is "permitted" to post, then he should also correct those
> who do not come close to the subject of amateur radio policy.
> Heil does not do that. Heil wants to stifle and subdue those
> who do not agree with him. That's evident by inspection.

At ease, old soldier. Inspection is over. You may resume draping yourself in the flag and posing for that statue.

> >I'm not offended by Jim's comments and I'm a veteran.
>
> Yes, everyone is aware of your "being in the USAF" and
> "working with NASA." Ho hum. When did Heil receive his
> Hero Medal from being in Harm's Way while "serving in a
> country involved in conflict?"

Ho hum indeed. I've not claimed to be a hero, Leonard. I was at least in the country where the conflict was taking place, drawing my combat pay and operating radios--for the most part, safely in the rear area. To your tales of danger from Soviet bombers while working in Tokyo during the end of the Korean War, I say ho hum.

> >Now THAT is an interesting comment. You manage to call into question
> >the patriotism of someone who doesn't agree with you. You have no
> >class.
>
> Heil has called into question my patriotism, attitude, and other
> things because I do not agree with him or his implied "authority"
> derived from his amateur class.

I've never called your patriotism into question, Len. I think you come off like Good Old Mister Wilson quite often. At other times you provide us with your Foghorn Leghorn imitation. Your comments do not address the fact that you just questioned the patriotism of another with your "comrade" comment.

> Heil allows little latitude in anything in regards to persons with
> opposite opinions...yet he allows astronomical inaccuracies on
> others who agree with his opinions. No Esso maps needed
> for that kind of gas...

Gee, "Anderson" allows little latitude to those who don't share his opinion and "Anderson" has often engaged personal insult and denigration

while claiming that he only wants civil debate. "Anderson" is great big fibber.

Dave K8MN

3475. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 06:30:29 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DF6DBB0.714CE1D0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 57615

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <c2356669.0212101507.5dfb8323@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
> (N2EY) writes:
>
> >Sure. But of those atheists/agnostics who DO decide to tie the knot,
> >the divorce rate is lower than even Roman Catholics.
> >
> >Here's another fun fact: The US divorce rate in 1950 was higher than
> >in 1960. It appears to have peaked in the early 1980s, and is slowly
> >dropping.
>
> How is this related to amateur radio policy?

Some recent examples of Leonard H. Anderson's civil debate on the
removal of morse code testing in amateur radio licensing are:
Including that Elecraft K2 designed by yourself?

"Kellie won the Cold War single-handed. Just ask him..."

"Nice attempt at literary colloquialisms in philly cheese-steak
patois, but don't give up your day job at the bearing factory."

"Let us know what week your story appears on JAG..."

"Of COURSE there is! It's obvious! A devout, almost Puritan
Belief in the Credo through the encyclicals and edicts handed
down from the Temple of St. Hiram since Time Began! :-)"

"There is no need to feed Red-Faced Beeping Puffins.
They live on hot air, frequently belching noxious
stomach gas while twittering nonsense. It is amusing
to watch them flap and jump on thin branches just
before the branch breaks sending them to the ground."

"The USN wouldn't take you in on a bet, Kellie. Had you served
in ANY branch of the US military you would have found out that
ANYONE has to be part of the work needed NOW. There are
few "lines" drawn on who does what in emergencies, NO "unions"
to "negotiate" tasks or pay."

How are any of these related to amateur radio, Len?

So much for Leonard's claim that he sticks to "the subject" and only
engages in civil debate. You're a humbug, Len.

Dave K8MN

3476. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 08:36:05 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <at7d1o2upk@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 57622

> > Perhaps we should increase that Minimum driving age to say 50
> > or so, that is when people start to act in a mature manner toward
> > preserving they own skins! 8^)
>
> HAW!
>
> Unlike driving, some of the least mature-acting folks involved in
> radio are the oldest ones involved.

Statistically, the older the driver the person is the most dangerous they are on the roadway and I can speak from experience. I have worked a variety of accident scenes where these "old farts" who almost hit us or others at the accident scenes scares the crap out of me. Not to mention the 30+ traffic cones directing the flow of traffic around the scene, as well as the apparatus emergency lighting, they still claimed they didn't see anything. Gee... I guess they were thinking it was Christmas or something....

> >
> > Atheists and agnostics don't have "instant forgiveness" to salve their
> > conscience when they do immoral/antisocial acts. So they tend to behave
> > themselves.
>
> Makes a lot of sense - but consider this: Since atheists/agnostics
> theoretically don't fear divine punishment of a bad afterlife, you'd
> think they'd be most interested in a happy here-and-now. So they'd be
> most likely to bail out of a relationship as soon as it goes a little
> sour. But the stats say exactly the opposite.
>
> Go figure.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Gee, I guess I might be more towards the agnostic but not the athiest extreme. (non-denominational basically) I view the bond of marriage one of the most important contracts a person would have in life. Therefore I believe that is one of the wisest choices to be made, and not made lightly. It should be done for the right reasons, and not because someone or some group declares that a person must get married. Get married when you are truly ready and with the right person is my opinion.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

3477. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 09:14:08 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212110114.637b805b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57616

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20021209223725.06308.00000881@mb-fm.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0212090617.56a2a4e4@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:

> >And I was just a frigging *CIVILIAN*!
> >
> GREAT STORY! Twarn't no air show, neither, I bet.

Having a for real Bear that close up gave me a good dose of heebie-jeebies but in the end it really wasn't much more than an air show. As I found out Soviet overflights of our ships were not particularly unusual including occasional low altitude runs by Bears over carriers and missle frigates. They were supposedly photo-recon missions but that was a flimsy misnomer for intentional aggravation missions. Everybody up top knew well ahead of time that the Bear was on it's way and what it was gonna do. 'Cept me, I was at the front of my learning curve, all I was told was to get set for for some interesting photos. Which I did. I looked around the deck just after his pass and there were guys with cameras everywhere, seamen to scrambled-eggs types. "Photo recon" . . yeah, right, all he got was shots of maybe a hundred of us getting shots of him. Had to be an intelligence goldmine.

It was an inexcusable, childish, stupid and dangerous Soviet game is what it was.

w3rv

3478. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 11:09:07 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212110309.195b202c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57617

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0212101552.11b9e385@posting.google.com>...
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0212100526.5aea3c13@posting.google.com>...
>
> > >
> > > No Putz actually that was when LCdr Don Hamblin the God of the Flight
> > > Deck Mustangs was breaking *ME* in on USN flight deck operations Putz.
> >
> > I didn't know you were a Navy aviator.
>
> (1) I was not a brownshoe, not even close. (2)You posting "I . . know"
> is an ultimate oxymoron (3)I am pilot and flew my own aircraft under
> FAR p/103.
>
> > I thought you said you had no
> > military service?
>
> At least you finally managed to get something right.
>
> w3rv

Perhaps its time to exclude you from all threads military and
soldiering in nature. After all, if you had an interest, you would be
one.

3479. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 11:12:43 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212110312.2273bae8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57618

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0212101708.60e4428@posting.google.com>...
> N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0212101149.49f24581@posting.google.com>...
>
> >
> > But it does seem odd that one reason often given for eliminating the
> > code test for a ham license is what non-hams do, rather than what hams
> > do.
>
> There's a new twist which makes huge sense.
>
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> w3rv

Actually, after the elimination of the code testing requirements, it
will be what BSA Radio Merit Badge scouts do.

3480. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 11:15:11 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212110315.73733944@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57619

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3DF61497.33CD2C4E@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DF5866F.86099E26@earthlink.net>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > > >
> > > > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
> > > > > (2) "Checking up" on him is no great feat. Just go to
> > > > > www.fcc.gov/wtb/uls/loser
> > > >
> > > > I don't get it. Did Len have a license, then lost it?
> > >
> > > Naw, Len lost it a long time ago and still doesn't have a license.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > What was it?
>
> What was what?
>
> > Was it reissued?
>
> Was what re-issued?
>
> > Maybe I'll ask for that instead of
> > Dick's call "W0EX," when it becomes available.
>
> Len's "losing it" has nothing to do with obtaining an amateur radio
> callsign.

Then your reply made no sense at all. It would be called a "nonsense" reply.

3481. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 11:17:20 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212110317.4e1da7e8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57620

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3DF4E823.121D0FCE@earthlink.net>...

> "Keeping tabs" is stalking? Your stuff is starting to read like Kim's
> expanded definition of "terrorism".
>
> Dave K8MN

Then you must be omnicient to know what exams Len has or has not taken.

Which is it?

3482. Re: DXer Phonetics (Was Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 11:18:56 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212110318.52b1373d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57621

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DF621A1.EA81D889@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0212092118.677d7b6d@posting.google.com>...
> > > brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0212091230.20497c61@posting.google.com>...
> > > > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021209081351.02033.00000039@mb-cv.aol.com>...
> > > > > >Subject: Re: DXer Phonetics (Was Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?)
> > > > > >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> > > > > >Date: 12/9/02 6:50 AM Central Standard Time
> > > > > >Message-id: <f45722ac.0212090450.7c0a3136@posting.google.com>
>
> > > > > >I used "Isuzu, Mitsubishi, Daihatsu" with a JA operator when standard
> > > > > >"fonetics" didn't work.
> > > > >
> > > > > And he probably went into the next room and kicked his father in the head
> > > > > for not being able to defeat the "silly a**ed Americans"
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > Steve, K4YZ
> > > >
> > > > His father was probably KIA. Now what?
> > >
> > > I got a suggestion . . .
> >
> > I don't see it.
>
> They never do.....

Who are they?
>
> > Probably just another incomplete thought on your part.
>
> Oh, it was complete, all right.....

And yet another incomplete thought.

3483. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 13:53:52 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212110553.291847e7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57623

"Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<uvdfgjh1sbab2f@corp.supernews.com>...
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021210204551.04125.00000277@mb-da.aol.com...
> > In article <uv4f8hs812235b@corp.supernews.com>, "KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com>
> > writes:
> >
> > >Here's the bottom line;
> > >It's her right under our vanity call system to have that call sign if she
> > >wants it. It's also our right under the same system NOT to have a
> > >callsign like her's "ae" the naming of body parts or other risquï¿½ things.
> >
> > Actually, whether it's a "right" or not is unclear. FCC issued the call,
> > probably because they did not want the hassle of denying it.

> You could be right, Jim.

And I could be mistaken.

> However, the government is "knowing" enough to
> know that I in no way have the resources or desire to take them on over
> something as silly as this.

I don't think FCC knows that at all. Not without expending resources
to find out, and resources are chronically short at FCC.

All FCC really knew back when you applied for that vanity call was
that you had the license, had filled out the paperwork, sent in the
fee, and had no enforcement actions pending or in effect. And you'd
specifically asked for that callsign. It was available in large part
because FCC won't issue calls like that in sequence.

So the FCC clerk who opens the application can do two things: Either
make a fuss or just process the application. If a fuss is made, you
could turn out to have all sorts of resources willing to make the fuss
bigger. Cries of discrimination, censorship, etc.

Worse, there's a precedent. The W6 version of your vanity call has
been assigned since at least 1979 (I used to have a 1979 Callbook, and
looked it up). QRZ.com sez the same person still has it. First name
Michael, btw, which could be either gender. You'd have quite a case if
they denied your app after the W6 version had been around for at least
15 years.
>
> I am also not married to this callsign. I've always thought it will be very
> interesting to see what happens upon renewal time. Think it will be denied?

Nope. That doesn't make it right, just legal.
>
> Talk about trivia: since I've had this callsign, I have probably been on the
> air no longer than--oh--maybe 5 hours. How many years? I couldn't even
> tell you. A) Does that sound like my callsign is going to bring the ARS
> "one step closer to extinction?"

Yes. Just my opinion.

> B) look at QRZ. The lookups on my call
> rival some of the biggest DXers. I'm not bragging...it's just a fact. Know
> where I've used my callsign most?
>
> Uh huh...right here. Which medium, gentlemen, has the greater influence?

Lookup numbers don't mean a thing. Anybody can drive theirs up easily.
>
> > >The thing is if you or I or anyone else doesn't "approve" of her call,
> > >we don't have to call for her on the radio and talk now do we? We
> > >are free just to ignore her or anyone else because that is OUR
> > >freedom as well.
> >
> > Exactly.
> >
> > >I am a B.A.C. and a church elder locally and I'm not comfortable
> > >with her callsign either but it's her's and I'm not the one who is
> > >going to "judge" whether or not she should have had it or not, HE is!
> >
> > But you ARE judging, by saying you're not comfortable with it.
>
> I don't think that's the kind of "judging" we are talking about here, Jim.
> To be uncomfortable with something, even to vehemently disagree with it, is
> not judging.

Then let's use a different word, rather than getting bogged down in
semantics.

> Judging is when someone tells another they are going to Hell,
> or bringing the ARS "one step closer to extinction." That is
> judging--something which I doubt many of us are good at.

A lot of judging comes down to opinions and nothing more. That doesn't
mean all opinions are equally valid.

> It's kind of like when I disagree with Larry. I think there have been times
> I have been judgemental, and I know I've been juddgemental of Dick.
> However, most of the time I plainly make it clear that I strictly disagree
> with their interpretation of something, but always try to allow them the
> dignity to think the way they do. Everyone gets under each other's skin, so
> we judge. It's not good, but we do.

Then let's use a different term. How about "express a value". Or maybe
"approval/disapproval". If 'TMP won't talk to another ham because of a
vanity callsign, that's expressing a value that he thinks the callsign

is inappropriate.

> > Suppose you were on the air and someone with a vanity callsign you are not
> > comfortable with calls you. If you don't answer, based on the callsign,
> that's
> > a judgement. If you DO answer, that's a judgement, too.

> I disagree. I think a judgement would be more if the person answered back
> and said something like, "you are going to bring the ARS one step closer to
> extinction." To ignore the person, based on their callsign, is an
> acknowledgement--a partnership really, if you will--that the other disagrees
> with the callsign, but chooses not to "act like an idiot" (to judge -- ;))
> about it.

One is passive, the other is active, but both are an expression of
disapproval.

And if what you want from that callsign is attention, getting no
answers to your calls on the radio could be very effective.
> >
> > Or suppose somebody petitions FCC to issue 1x4 calls to hams, so there
> could be
> > calls like W5KIDD or W1BILL - or W5(expletive deleted). Would you oppose
> or
> > support such a petition? Oppose support, or do nothing, they're all
> judgements.
> >

> Those are values. Personal values.

OK, then - express a value. Support, oppose, or ignore, they're all
expressions of personal values. Or maybe we should say "choices".

> Judgements are when we turn our values
> against other people (incidentally, acting at the level the judger is
> professing against).

How is that a bad thing? How is it acting at the level the judger is
professing against to say that something is bad - or good - for the
ARS?

Keeping silent about something that we think is not in the best
interest of a community - any community - is essentially "approval by
inaction". Not a good thing.

Personal attacks are a different sort of thing entirely. There's a
world of difference between "That sort of callsign is a bad thing for
the ARS" and "A person who would hold such a callsign is a bad
person".

73 de Jim, N2EY

3484. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 14:25:54 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DF74B25.CCCB1ED2@earthlink.net>
Source record: 57624

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DF61497.33CD2C4E@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DF5866F.86099E26@earthlink.net>...
> > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
> > > > > > (2) "Checking up" on him is no great feat. Just go to
> > > > > > www.fcc.gov/wtb/uls/loser
> > > > >
> > > > > I don't get it. Did Len have a license, then lost it?
> > > >
> > > > Naw, Len lost it a long time ago and still doesn't have a license.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > What was it?
> >
> > What was what?
> >
> > > Was it reissued?
> >
> > Was what re-issued?
> >
> > > Maybe I'll ask for that instead of
> > > Dick's call "W0EX," when it becomes available.
> >
> > Len's "losing it" has nothing to do with obtaining an amateur radio
> > callsign.
>
> Then your reply made no sense at all. It would be called a "nonsense" reply.

Maybe you've lost it too.

Dave K8MN

3485. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 14:28:32 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DF74BBD.190A58E2@earthlink.net>
Source record: 57625

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DF4E823.121D0FCE@earthlink.net>...
>
> > "Keeping tabs" is stalking? Your stuff is starting to read like Kim's
> > expanded definition of "terrorism".
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Then you must be omnicient to know what exams Len has or has not taken.
>
> Which is it?

Which is what? I see a choice of "omnicient" and "omnicient".

Dave K8MN

3486. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 14:39:27 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DF75C61.64FFAE5A@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57626

Brian wrote:

> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0212101552.11b9e385@posting.google.com>...
> > brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0212100526.5aea3c13@posting.google.com>...
> >
> > > >
> > > > No Putz actually that was when LCdr Don Hamblin the God of the Flight
> > > > Deck Mustangs was breaking *ME* in on USN flight deck operations Putz.
> > > >
> > > I didn't know you were a Navy aviator.
> > >
> > (1) I was not a brownshoe, not even close. (2)You posting "I . . know"
> > is an ultimate oxymoron (3)I am pilot and flew my own aircraft under
> > FAR p/103.
> >
> > > I thought you said you had no
> > > military service?
> >
> > At least you finally managed to get something right.
> >
> > w3rv
>
> Perhaps its time to exclude you from all threads military and
> soldiering in nature. After all, if you had an interest, you would be
> one.

Perhaps it's just time to exclude you. After all, if you had an interest you would be one.
PLONK!

3487. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 14:40:05 UTC
From: lbudney-google@nb.net
Message-ID: <417b917a.0212110640.47c950a3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57627

Nothing to do with Kim's statements, but I couldn't pass this up:

"K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote:
>
> Faith is a non-rational belief in some proposition, without evidence to
> support it, and in fact a belief which is contrary to the sum of evidence
> for that belief...

That is a standard charicature used for straw-man arguments against belief in scripture. It has become the "standard" definition, so much so that bible-believers can't escape being tarred with that brush. But it is not the biblical definition of faith, nor the Christian definition.

Scripturally, faith is belief based on evidence, but not based on proof. It is the quality which allows us to treat the best available conclusion as certainty, without waiting forever for the last bit of "smoking gun" evidence. (And here I recognize that the "best conclusion" is a subjective notion--but that's fine: faith is a personal quality.)

> As an agnostic...

ARE you agnostic? An agnostic is someone who says, "I don't know." Many today who call themselves agnostics actually believe that "definite answers to this question CANNOT be known." But the assertion that one can never know, on the basis of any evidence that has been or ever will be assembled, is in fact a non-rational one. You believe that premise (if you do) purely on faith.

--Len.

3488. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 14:51:03 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DF75F18.6735F0A2@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57628

Dave Heil wrote:

> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DF4E823.121D0FCE@earthlink.net>...
> >
> > > "Keeping tabs" is stalking? Your stuff is starting to read like Kim's
> > > expanded definition of "terrorism".
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Then you must be omnicient to know what exams Len has or has not taken.
> >
> > Which is it?
>
> Which is what? I see a choice of "omnicient" and "omnicient".

One can't help noticing that Brian's posts are becoming more and more disjointed as he presses on in his quest. One wonders if the actuarial tables aren't about to catch up with him.

3489. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 14:53:55 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DF75FC4.CE46C46B@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57629

Dave Heil wrote:

> You're a humbug, Len.

You are far, far too kind.

3490. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 15:38:36 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212110738.4f767853@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57630

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20021209161457.21527.00000739@mb-mh.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0212090100.78a322b5@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>

> Kellie is invited to look up the frequency range of AN/TRC-8
> radio relay receivers and transmitters used in the US Army
> of the late 1940s through the 1950s...

One more utterly pointless "WGSP" trivia (WGSP = Who Gives a s--t
Putz?).

> I was a visiting staff engineer for RCA Corporation at the
> Naval Air Development Center, Warminster, PA, intermittently
> from 1972 through 1973. NADC was the contracting agency
> for a DoD sponsored R&D program designed and developed
> by RCA Corporation.

Yo Putz: I've done the whole nine yards in the DoD contracting game.
From the inside as the contract originating engineer with the sign-off
responsibilities to being the corporate officer with two firms who
sold & signed dunno how many DoD contracts for everything from paper
R&D studies to pipe fittings. Two points here WRT to your "visiting
staff engineer" manure: There is no such thing as a "visiting staff
engineer" in the gummint dictionary, it's right outta yer imagination.
You are not an engineer and RCA was not dumb enough to ship a bench
tech to Johnsville and try to pass you off as an engineer.

> At no time did NADC, the USN, or the
> Department of Defense ever compensate me personally for
> that work. My salary and expenses were paid entirely by the
> RCA Corporation.

Of course Putz, what's the discussion here? You were just another RCA
rental unit. Johnsville cut a contract with RCA, one of the line items
in the contract called for RCA to supply some amount of tech labor so
RCA shipped you off to NADC to fulfill that obligation. RCA paid you
for yer labor hours and yer expenses. As was the case then and still
is the case with rental units. I've been one of those too Putz. Big
frigging deal.

>
> >What
> >"title" did Johnsville bestow on you on yer way in and not much later
> >and under what condx did you find yourself out pounding the bricks

> >again looking for yer next gig? Hmmm?
>
> My employment at RCA Corporation was continuous from
> 1968 through 1975. 1972 through 1973 was in the middle
> of that time span.

Don't bother with the circle-jerk Putz. The question on the table remains unanswered, under what conditions did you find yourself no longer working at NADC? 'Fess up Putz, get it off yer chest . . we'll be nice to you. I promise.

> >Bullshit again Putz, you have any accredited baccalaureate degree in
> >anything like I'm the King of England.
>
> Your supreme majesty is most kind, speaking down to one
> of the common folk from his white throne.
>
> I do wish His Majesty would go to the bathroom in private...
> or at least get one of the newer energy-efficient models
> that don't use so much water when flushing.

Putz, you have an accredited baccalaureate degree in anything like I'm the King of England and that's the bottom line period. Yew are a WANNABE engineer and always will be PUTZ. Was it yer lousy SAT scores Putz? I noticed that the Army tossed you into a no-brainer MOS and kept you away from being able to screw the moose, they musta gotten the same drift.

Putz.

3491. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 15:50:54 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uvenmpmsc6sq93@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57631

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:sGzJ9.1528$eQ3.203389@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote
>
> : I can't answer that, because I don't know. I don't know that I'm right
> : about the world needing all kinds, and I don't know that I'm right that
we
> : need all encounters to be what we are. That is what I believe. It is
> : called faith.
>
> Faith is a non-rational belief in some proposition, without evidence to
> support it, and in fact a belief which is contrary to the sum of evidence
> for that belief. A belief is contrary to the sum of evidence for a belief
if
> there is overwhelming evidence against the belief, e.g., that the earth is
> flat, hollow or is the center of the universe, or that the world needs all
> kinds, when "all kinds" includes O B Laden, Hitler, Saddam Hussein, and
> Rasputin.
>
> As an agnostic, I don't have 'faith' that "the world needs all kinds"
> because such a statement is irrational on it's face. If your god sends
you
> such pestilences to test your 'faith', then I submit that you need to shop
> around for a new god (lower case "g") whose testing methods are less
> destructive.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
http://www.infidels.org/library/historical/robert_ingersoll/why_am_i_agnosti
> c.html
>

Religion 101...

One day a 6 year old girl was sitting in a classroom. The teacher was
going to explain evolution to the children.

The teacher asked a little boy: "Tommy do you see the tree outside?"

TOMMY: Yes.

TEACHER: Tommy, do you see the grass outside?

TOMMY: Yes.

TEACHER: Go outside and look up and see if you can see the sky.

TOMMY: Okay. (He returned a few minutes later) Yes, I saw the sky.

TEACHER: Did you see God?

TOMMY: No.

TEACHER: That's my point. We can't see God because he isn't there. He doesn't exist.

A little girl spoke up and wanted to ask the boy some questions. The teacher agreed and the little girl asked the boy: "Tommy, do you see the tree outside?"

TOMMY: Yes.

LITTLE GIRL: Tommy do you see the grass outside?

TOMMY: Yesssss (getting tired of the questions by this time).

LITTLE GIRL: Did you see the sky?

TOMMY: Yesssss

LITTLE GIRL: Tommy, do you see the teacher?

TOMMY: Yes

LITTLE GIRL: Do you see her brain?

TOMMY: No

LITTLE GIRL: Then according to what we were taught today in school, she must not have one!

"FOR WE WALK BY FAITH, NOT BY SIGHT" II CORINTHIANS 4:7

http://www.gospelcom.net/rbc/rtb/1rsn/
http://www.godssimpleplan.org/gsps-english.html

--
'73' From KB9TMP

3492. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 15:58:27 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DF76EE4.E134E408@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57632

Dave Heil wrote:

> At other times you provide
> us with your Foghorn Leghorn imitation.

Uh, Dave..... perhaps you hadn't noticed that it's NOT imitation. He's the blustering
blowhard personified if ever one has shown up on rrap.
Genuuuuuuuine! Certified Grade A!

3493. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 16:19:35 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021211111935.14856.00001810@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 57633

In article <5fzJ9.310026$NH2.21284@sccrnsc01>, "Jackie L."
<buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:

>> Yawn!!! Kim, as far as I'm concerned, you don't have any "peers" in the
>> ARS -- only superiors. That's because, you see, you are at the bottom of
>> the pile! You've placed yourself there by selecting a demeaning and
>sexually
>> suggestive call sign for your own personal amusement, without regard to
>> the negative impact it has on the image of amateur radio operators as a
>> whole, and YL hams in particular.
>
>You aren't speaking for this YL ham Larry. If the FCC allowed this call,
>then who am I to judge?

Jackie:

Well, if you don't want to be the judge of Kim's call sign, allow me. As an
intelligent, educated, and mature person, I'm qualified to make judgments on
such things -- and I'm not afraid to do so.

>If I don't like a callsign that a particular person
>chose, then I don't ever have to strike up a conversation-- Usenet, amateur
>radio or otherwise-- with them. I'm not defending Kim's choice of callsign
>or demeaning it. It isn't mine and I don't think it'll have much, if any,
>impact on amateur radio, no matter what I think of it.

The impact on amateur radio lies not necessarily with one poorly-chosen
Vanity call sign, but the lack of intelligent consideration that went in to the
choice, and the permissive attitude of the federal agency which allowed it
to be issued. This call sign has an immediate effect on anyone who sees
it, and that effect, in what I hope are most cases, is to call the into
question
the judgment and character of the person to whom it belongs.

>If there's a real
>issue here, then let the FCC deny her a renewal of this callsign when the
>time comes, for the FCC is the overseer of the appropriateness of such
>things.

Things are changing in this country, and that could happen. However, I'd
prefer for Kim to see the error of her choice, and make the right decision to
change it on her own accord.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3494. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 16:22:27 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uvephut61qsaaf@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57634

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021210204551.04125.00000277@mb-da.aol.com...
> In article <uv4f8hs812235b@corp.supernews.com>, "KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com>
> writes:
>
> >Here's the bottom line;
> >It's her right under our vanity call system to have that call sign if she
> >wants it. It's also our right under the same system NOT to have a
> >callsign like her's "ae" the naming of body parts or other risqu&#65533; things.
>
> Actually, whether it's a "right" or not is unclear. FCC issued the call,
> probably because they did not want the hassle of denying it.

Ok how about it's her right as a licensed ham to "request" that callsign.
And the FCC has the decision about granting/denying that request.

> >
> >The thing is if you or I or anyone else doesn't "approve" of her call,
> >we don't have to call for her on the radio and talk now do we? We
> >are free just to ignore her or anyone else because that is OUR
> >freedom as well.
>
> Exactly.
>
> >I am a B.A.C. and a church elder locally and I'm not comfortable
> >with her callsign either but it's her's and I'm not the one who is
> >going to "judge" whether or not she should have had it or not, HE is!
>
> But you ARE judging, by saying you're not comfortable with it.

No I said I'm not comfortable with it. I would not want a call like that
(body part as an example) for myself. I would also not feel comfortable
using her call sign to call for her on the air.

>
> Suppose you were on the air and someone with a vanity callsign you are not
> comfortable with calls you. If you don't answer, based on the callsign,
that's
> a judgement. If you DO answer, that's a judgement, too.

That's not the kind of judgement we're talking about here. We make
"decisions"
based on callsigns every time we use the radio. Who wouldn't jump at the
chance on Field Day to get W1AW? Or to shy away from a callsign that we
find distasteful.

>
> Or suppose somebody petitions FCC to issue 1x4 calls to hams, so there could be
> calls like W5KIDD or W1BILL - or W5(expletive deleted). Would you oppose or
> support such a petition? Oppose support, or do nothing, they're all judgements.

If we needed 1x4 callsigns I wouldn't oppose that, but I would hope that the FCC
wouldn't issue a "expletive deleted" callsign or anything like it. I agree with the
compairson between license plates and callsigns, if the state can deny a license
plate for certain reasons the FCC should do the same.

>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Just for the record I don't like Kim's callsign any more than Larry does. But
she choose it, it's her's for as long as she and the FCC wants her to have it,
and nothing we say here is going to change that fact. Telling her how bad
her callsign is for the ARS isn't going to change her mind about it, if anything
it might make her want to keep it longer just to keep the Larry's of this
NG all stirred up.

--
'73' From KB9TMP
Progress does not consist of replacing a theory that
is wrong with one that is right. It consists of replacing
a theory that is wrong with one that is more subtly wrong.
Never attribute to malice that which is adequately
explained by stupidity. -Hawkin's Theory

3495. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 16:48:14 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uver291jq1i7f3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57635

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021210225723.25792.00002907@mb-fc.aol.com...
> In article <c2356669.0212101507.5dfb8323@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
> (N2EY) writes:
>
> >Sure. But of those atheists/agnostics who DO decide to tie the knot,
> >the divorce rate is lower than even Roman Catholics.
> >
> >Here's another fun fact: The US divorce rate in 1950 was higher than
> >in 1960. It appears to have peaked in the early 1980s, and is slowly
> >dropping.
>
> How is this related to amateur radio policy?

It relates just as much as a non-amateur posting about
amateur radio policy! You don't see us hams posting
in rec.NASA.rocket.scientist do you?

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"A child of five would understand this.
Send someone to fetch a child of five."
-Groucho Marx

3496. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 17:14:25 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212110914.7162de44@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57636

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3DF668D5.45B4076C@earthlink.net>...

> > ...the designer of the K2 has to butt in...

Just for the record, Dave:

- I have never claimed to have designed the K2 or any other piece of commercially-available amateur radio equipment

- I have never claimed to use *only* amateur radio equipment that I have designed and built myself.

Those who think differently are hereby challenged to come up with proof to the contrary. (Don't hold your breath, Dave).

> Butting in on a newsgroup posting?

The concept of free speech in a public forum is not grasped by some, Dave.

>
> > >- The airline distance from Chongjin, North Korea to Tokyo is at least 670
> > >statute miles. The distance from Vladivostok to Tokyo is 663 statute miles.
> > >(Source: "Esso War Map III, Featuring The Pacific Theater", printed 1944).

I used the above map because it shows the airline distance from Vladivostok to Tokyo directly. It was also right at hand, and more detailed than most commonly-available maps of the area. The distance to Chongjin had to be calculated from the scale. I have other maps of the area, and they all agree on the distances.

> > How does it feel to be at one end of an hour's flight time from
> > another country that had weapons of mass destruction?
>
> Ask any American born before the early '60s.

I'm not sure what you mean, Dave. Back in Cold War days, how long would it have taken for a Soviet ICBM to reach the eastern US? How about missiles from Soviet submarines? I think it's less than an hour. And there were no significant air defenses against such missiles.

Did you mean that only Americans older than a certain age (say, 40?) can remember when the nuclear threat was more than an hour away?

> > and
> > implying insult to anyone who was a member of the military
> > forces of the United States...all because your EGO is hurt in
> > some perceived battle of words in a newsgroup.
>

> So far, you're the only individual who sees an implication of insult to
> any member of the military of the U.S.

There are quite a few veterans of military service in this NG. Let's see...K4YZ, W4NTI, K0HB, K2UNK, N0IMD and of course K8MN, to name a few. Funny thing is, none of the above see my remarks as implying any sort of insult to any military forces.

> You're the one lashing out. Is your ego hurt?

It's fear, Dave. He's afraid of facts, afraid of new information, afraid of differing opinions and experiences. He's afraid of being proved wrong by someone he considers inferior - which means almost anyone, and certainly anyone who dares to disagree with him. He's terrified of li'l ol' me, and hams like me. This fear is expressed as anger, but it's fear that drives the anger, the false quotes, the name-calling and other games.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3497. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 17:33:53 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212110933.56f2b6e4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57637

"Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote in message
news:<PrvJ9.314849$WL3.102086@rwcrnsc54>...
> > Old adage: Antennas put up in the dark, or bad weather, or in a hurry,
> > always outperform those put up under good conditions. Gotta be cold
> > enough that you leave chunks of your frozen hide stuck to the metal
> > here and there.
>
> This has certainly been a project in the making for awhile. I did manage to
> get the thing up there on Sunday, and lo and behold, the high was 15 degrees
> here on Sunday, so I do believe I left some frozen flesh up there on the
> roof somewhere. :-)

Been there, done that, got the scars to prove it. My callsign is a
reminder of winters in New York State, between Syracuse and Rochester.
Palmyra, to be exact.

(Trivia: What is Palmyra, NY, famous for?)

> My coax-cutting, soldering and weatherproofing jobs
> seemed to have worked; I have perfect SWR on both the 2 meter and 70 cm
> bands. Beginner's luck maybe?

Maybe. The real test is how well it gets out on-the-air. A dummy load
has perfect SWR ;-)

> One really important thing I learned during
> this process is, if you get high-quality coax, don't use a semi-sharp
> kitchen knife to try and cut it...

Or even low quality coax. I prefer single-edge razor blades. Others
swear by utility knives. Have also used professional-grade coax tools,
but they are expensive.

I gave up on soldering irons for outdoor antenna work years ago.
Propane torch with soldering-copper attachment does the trick.
>
> > I don't have either. Price difference alone may be enough to make the
> > decision for you. If the '718 does what you want, go for it.
>
> I probably will- I've had many positive recommendations from friends about
> that rig. And the price is certainly right.

I hear sleigh bells on the roof....
>
> > But perhaps there is a connection in that musicians are used to the
> > *idea* of communicaion via modes other than talking and writing.
>

> Speaking for myself, I kind of likened the code characters to a sound
> pattern and memorized them that way, which is similar to what I had to do to
> memorize an entire 20 minute show when I was in drum and bugle corps many
> years ago. I learned to hear patterns and commit them to memory when I read
> music.

There ya go. I'm told that musicians reach a point where they can just glance at sheet music and "hear" what the piece is supposed to sound like. Or hear a piece and know how to play it on a different instrument with no sheet music at all.

Morse is like that for me, and many others. Just like listening to somebody talk. Easier in some ways. But like music, skills such as those are not learned by reading a book or watching a video. Nor are they developed overnight.

> I also write poetry, and I can hear a "cadence" amongst and in
> certain words sometimes and that's when I know I've found the makings of a
> good poem.

There's a joke in there someplace about "there once was a ham from Nantucket" but it's too obvious and too easy.

>I find code to be very similar to this. At least that's how I
> made myself learn it.

All different versions of the same idea. Whatever works for you. You may very well find you like using Morse on the air.

> > Only you can answer that one. But by the time you go for the code
> > test, you may be ready for the written anyway. Remember, too, that a
> > CSCE is only good for 365 days.
>
> Yep. As soon as I pass the code exam, I'm buying the General manual.

(Montgomery Burns voice on:) Excellent!

> Being
> ADD makes me distractable, so it's best I do one thing at a time.

I hear ya!

> > Reason I mention it is that many folks, including myself, have wound
> > up with higher-class licensessimply because somebody said "try this
> > while you're here".
>
> I have heard this too, but as a person who really wants to learn the
> material (and thus I read every single page and did every single exercise in
> the intro study guide, many of them more than once) I really want to know
> what I'm studying for. Personally I would feel as if I were cheating myself
> out of some knowledge if I took the General without studying and passed it
> "by the skin of my teeth", but that's just me.

I applaud your desire to learn the material itself, and not just the surface info required to pass the test. Please don't hesistate to ask any questions if you run into problems. (Somehow I doubt you'll run into any problems).
>
> > What wall? (THUNK!!) DOH!!
>
> I don't believe in walls. :-)

Oh, I do! Remember Les Nessman, on WKRP, who put masking tape on the floor to indicate where the walls and door of his "office" should have been?

Famous line by Bailey (sigh) Quarters:

"Les can't hear you - his door is closed"

73 de Jim, N2EY

3498. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 17:35:36 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uvetr3dp0tn31c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57638

"Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote in message
news:A3zJ9.309989$NH2.21517@sccrnsc01...
<SNIP>
> I like good old Abe Lincoln's personal definition of religion: "When I do
> good, I feel good; when I do bad, I feel bad. That's my religion." That's
> mine too.
>

Shortly before his death, he was asked by an Illinois minister,
"Do you love Jesus?" Lincoln replied, "When I left Springfield
I asked the people to pray for me. I was not a Christian. When
I buried my son-the severest trial of my life-I was not a
Christian. But when I went to Gettysburg and saw the graves
of thousands of our soldiers, I then and there consecrated myself
to Christ. Yes, I do love Jesus."
(America's Providential History, p.241).

> I know this doesn't have anything whatsoever to do with amateur radio...
> however, since the topic was brought up... :-) Sorry. That's all I'll say
on
> this subject.
>
> 73,
>
> Jackie
> KC0ODY

We should note one of Lincoln's warnings:
"The philosophy of the school room, in one generation,
will be the philosophy of government in the next."

I'd hate to do to amateur radio what we did to the
schools of America back on June 25, 1962!

--
'73' From KB9TMP

3499. CCF Contest/DX Meeting in Finland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 17:50:46 UTC
From: B&#65533;gsn&#65533;rth th&#65533; &#65533;npr&#65533;d&#65533;ct&#65533;bl&#65533; <bogsnarth23@NOSPAM.THANKS.IMFULL.lycos.co.uk>
Message-ID: <WVKJ9.524$MV5.69814@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57639
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The 8th International CCF Contest/DX Meeting in Finland

Contest Club Finland welcomes contesters and DXers to the 8th international
Contest/DX Meeting in Finland!

Date: 17-19 January 2003
QTH: Vantaa, Finland

Presentations with perspective - Agenda (preliminary):

Friday 17.01.2003
19:00 (local time) "Unofficial" Get-Together in Sokos Hotel Vantaa

Saturday 18.01.2003 Sokos Hotel Vantaa
Meeting 12:00 - 19:00:

CQWW DX 2002 CW at EA8ZS &#65533; la OH2U by OH2XX
(Claimed multi/multi winner)
- The story behind
- Setup and technical solutions
- Audio examples

Serious RTTY Contesting by OH2BP

WRTC2002 Analysis by K3NA

ZA1B CQ WW CW Operation by OH5BM

Logistics Behind A Contest/DX Pedition To a Remote Location, Case
C53M/C56R
by OH9MM

Contest Dinner 19:00 -
(please inform when you pre-registrate, if you are taking part in dinner
too
... menu/prices available soon)
WRTC2002 Video Presentation - World Premier by OH2BH

Sunday 19.01.2002
Goodbye Finland (program still open)

Meeting QTH information:
SOKOS HOTEL VANTAA, Hertaksentie 2, 01300 Vantaa
(5km from Helsinki-Vantaa Airport, 15 minutes to Helsinki City Centre
by
train)
Rooms: single 77 euros/night, double 88 euros/night

Reservation: phone +358-20-1234 600 (020 1234 600 from Finland), fax
+358-20-1234 646 (020 1234 600 from Finland), email:
sokos.hotels@sok.fi
Web site: http://www.sokoshotels.fi (choose Vantaa)
MAKE YOUR RESERVATION AS SOON AS POSSIBLE !

Other accommodation in Helsinki area:
A great deal of other accommodation is available in Helsinki for a
variety
of categories and rates.
Here is a good contact point for general accommodation:
http://www.hel.fi/tourism/html/artikkelit/artikkelit/hotelNET.html

Pre-registration to the meeting:

PLEASE PRE-REGISTRATE TO ARI, OH5DX - email: oh5dx@sral.fi before
31.12.2002

More information coming soon. Please check out the CCF web at
www.qsl.net/ccf

WELCOME!

Contest Club Finland ry. board:
oh2ma@sral.fi oh5dx@sral.fi oh1noa@qsl.net oh2jte@sral.fi

3500. Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 19:09:20 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <v83fvu0nm7cumk3eh2h97f2one9fdc0517@4ax.com>
Source record: 57640

Just a test! Sorry, haveing some server probs at home.

- Mike KB3EIA

3501. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 19:15:41 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021211141541.07579.00000943@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 57641

In article <20021210204550.04125.00000276@mb-da.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>Would the world not be pleasant without the Saddam Hussein kind and the OBL
>kind? What would be lost if the terrorists and oppressors and those bent on
>destruction simply disappeared?

S25.5 might finally be rewritten to eliminate the amateur code test?

>Ever see the Kurosawa classic, "The Seven Samurai"? Told a basic truth: The
>productive people (farmers) don't need the destroyers of production (bandits)
>to exist and have happy lives. But the bandits sure need the farmers to
>exist!

Indeed a classic film, one that I've seen in Japanese with English subtitles decades ago...however, what has that to do with amateur radio policy? Other than in fevered imaginations of the already-licensed?

The setting of that film's time period was at least a century before the first radio communication was demonstrated (1896).

Perhaps you should have stayed with the Ayn Rand fiction novels as "models of moral-ethical character" for amateur radio? Or Monty Python skits from UK TV?

>If you want to talk philosophy, tell us what the producers of the world gain
>from the moochers and destroyers.

Tell us how all that applies to amateur radio policy, oh wise sage of the cinema.

3502. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 19:15:42 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021211141542.07579.00000944@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 57642

In article <d22b54c3.0212101741.7668b5d7@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20021210134803.21317.00001505@mb-cf.aol.com>...
>
>> That's nice...a demonstrated capacity for reading books
>> about an era before your birth?
>
>Ya mean like everything you (think) you know about ham radio comes out
>of websites right? PUTZ.

It comes out QST ADVERTISING COPY for Kellie...:-)

>> So, how was your military service as a member of NATO?
>> Which NATO country's military did you serve?
>>
>> How does that apply to POLICY of US amateur radio and the
>> morse code test issue?
>
>How does being a rear widget Army RTTY repeater babysitter apply to
>anything in ham radio?

How do lying braggarts who beep have anything to do
with either amateur radio policy or life in general? :-)

HF radio has the same physics for all radio services using that part of the EM spectrum. In the 1950s HF radio was the main medium for long-distance US military communications.

The US military had multichannel RADIO RELAY equipment since 1944. The US Army ALSO had single-channel radio repeaters as of 1952, a feature designed into manpack military radios as a siting option in the field.

The US military never had "widgets." :-)

>What was the closest you ever came to any member of any Communist
>military force during you active duty time? I wuz within 750 feet.

You were perhaps closer than that to the movie screen, ace.

You weren't ever "within 750 feet" of any USSR aircraft except one on static display at an airshow. :-)

How does all that fish-story-telling relate to amateur radio policy?

In the "5-9" signal reports given to another station? :-)

>Putz.

Tell us how you won the Vietnam War with your 20 WPM
catapult, Kellie. Some of us can't "bear" to wait... :-)

3503. Re: Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 19:16:56 UTC
From: Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch th&#65533; &#65533;nfl&#65533;pp&#65533;bl&#65533; <Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de>
Message-ID: <IaMJ9.717$MV5.79596@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57643

You're fie en en old chap, but some bothersome chirp on ur sigs.

73, BGO

3504. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 19:51:44 UTC
From: kb9rvb@arrl.net
Message-ID: <77f1797e.0212111151.6c688f68@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57644

I have been in education as a public school student for 12 years, as an undergraduate in college (a church school) for 4 years, as a graduate student (at a state school) for 2 years, as a public school teacher in systems in Ohio and Indiana for 34 years (and in those 34 years have taught at the university level (at a state school) for parts of 5 years). I have NEVER, in all those years, with all those colleagues, with all those students, NEVER heard any professional colleague say or do anything even remotely like the following. The only time I have ever heard anything like this was out of the mouths of people trying to make public school teachers look like some kind of driveling idiots. To be this offended I had to read this in a Ham radio forum from someone from my own district. I am ashamed. You can back pedal or you can attack me -- I won't respond -- but the level of discourse among supposedly intelligent adults just took a deep dive.

Carl

"KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message news:<uvenmpmsc6sq93@corp.supernews.com>...
> Religion 101...
>
>
> One day a 6 year old girl was sitting in a classroom. The teacher was
> going to explain evolution to the children.
>
> The teacher asked a little boy: "Tommy do you see the tree outside?"
>
> TOMMY: Yes.
>
> TEACHER: Tommy, do you see the grass outside?
>
> TOMMY: Yes.
>
> TEACHER: Go outside and look up and see if you can see the sky.
>
> TOMMY: Okay. (He returned a few minutes later) Yes, I saw the sky.
>
> TEACHER: Did you see God?
>
> TOMMY: No.
>
> TEACHER: That's my point. We can't see God because he isn't there.
> He doesn't exist.
>
> A little girl spoke up and wanted to ask the boy some questions. The
> teacher agreed and the little girl asked the boy: "Tommy, do you see

> the tree outside?"
>
> TOMMY: Yes.
>
> LITTLE GIRL: Tommy do you see the grass outside?
>
> TOMMY: Yesssss (getting tired of the questions by this time).
>
> LITTLE GIRL: Did you see the sky?
>
> TOMMY: Yesssss
>
> LITTLE GIRL: Tommy, do you see the teacher?
>
> TOMMY: Yes
>
> LITTLE GIRL: Do you see her brain?
>
> TOMMY: No
>
> LITTLE GIRL: Then according to what we were taught today in school,
> she must not have one!
>
> "FOR WE WALK BY FAITH, NOT BY SIGHT" II CORINTHIANS 4:7
>
> http://www.gospelcom.net/rbc/rtb/1rsn/
> http://www.godssimpleplan.org/gsps-english.html

3505. Cool Children's Book <Was: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty">

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 19:52:20 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0212111152.25fa8b7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57645

N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0212050927.7f29f238@posting.google.com>...
> wa2si@arrl.net (Bert Craig) wrote in message
news:<b7d1465c.0212050313.1cc57fee@posting.google.com>...
>
> > CORRECTION: The author's name is Lynne Barasch and here is a more descriptive site.
> >
> > http://www.eduplace.com/readingscene/grade3-4/
>
> WAY COOL!!!

Indeed! Another cool feature of this book is the inside covers. They
feature the picture of Guglielmo Marconi, Samuel Morse, etc. w/comment
bubbles (Like comics.) introducing themselves and outlining <in simple
terms> their contributions to the radio art.

> The site says it's a TRUE story, not fiction, and that the young ham
> was 10 years old. The rescue happened in 1923. Should be pretty easy
> to verify from historical accounts of the day.

BTW, his call sign was W2AZK. Throughout the book, his call is
referred to as 2AZK, but a QSL card up front displays the full call. A
woman from NJ currently holds this call. Great book though! My lass'
eyes lit up last night when I told her that this one is her very own
copy

> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> imagine somebody telling Sarnoff or Armstrong they couldn't be on the
> air until age 14....

After hearing all the rationalizations about how 5-wpm is a "barrier,"
I can believe damn near anything.

73 de Bert
WA2SI

3506. Re: Test

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 20:24:41 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <3m7fvu8tdubuhpq7nfu2ink375o70nfsft@4ax.com>
Source record: 57646

On Wed, 11 Dec 2002 19:16:56 GMT, "Grï¿½mwï¿½tch thï¿½ ï¿½nflï¿½ppï¿½blï¿½" <Grï¿½mwï¿½tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de> wrote:

>You're fie en en old chap, but some bothersome chirp on ur sigs.

Heh heh, tks OM. Good answer!

- Mike KB3EIA -

3507. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 20:26:43 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021211152643.02308.00000059@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 57649

In article <3DF75FC4.CE46C46B@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>Dave Heil wrote:
>
>> You're a humbug, Len.
>
>You are far, far too kind.

Poor baby. Upset? :-)

What did you do in the war, daddy?

3508. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 20:26:44 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021211152644.02308.00000060@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 57647

In article <uvdgtclv15dne1@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>> >Here's another fun fact: The US divorce rate in 1950 was higher than
>> >in 1960. It appears to have peaked in the early 1980s, and is slowly
>> >dropping.
>>
>> How is this related to amateur radio policy?
>
>We're leading up to how poor excuses for conversationalists often find
>themselves in a divorce situation.

Okay...I see what y'all are doing...but that doesn't answer a
question on AMATEUR RADIO POLICY content. :-)

3509. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 20:26:45 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021211152645.02308.00000061@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 57650

In article <c2356669.0212110914.7162de44@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) writes:

>There are quite a few veterans of military service in this NG. Let's
>see...K4YZ, W4NTI, K0HB, K2UNK, N0IMD and of course K8MN, to name a
>few. Funny thing is, none of the above see my remarks as implying any
>sort of insult to any military forces.
>
>> You're the one lashing out. Is your ego hurt?
>
>It's fear, Dave. He's afraid of facts, afraid of new information,
>afraid of differing opinions and experiences.

Good grief...:-)

>He's afraid of being
>proved wrong by someone he considers inferior - which means almost
>anyone, and certainly anyone who dares to disagree with him.

:-)

>He's terrified of li'l ol' me, and hams like me.

Ooooo! Ooooo! :-)

>This fear is expressed as
>anger, but it's fear that drives the anger, the false quotes, the
>name-calling and other games.

Jimmie boy, go see a shrink. You are looking in a mirror.

Tsk, tsk, tsk.

3510. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 20:26:47 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021211152647.02308.00000063@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 57648

In article <3DF75C61.64FFAE5A@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

>Brian wrote:
>
>> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
>news:<d22b54c3.0212101552.11b9e385@posting.google.com>...
>> > brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
>news:<f45722ac.0212100526.5aea3c13@posting.google.com>...
>> >
>> > > >
>> > > > No Putz actually that was when LCdr Don Hamblin the God of the Flight
>> > > > Deck Mustangs was breaking *ME* in on USN flight deck operations
>Putz.
>> > >
>> > > I didn't know you were a Navy aviator.
>> >
>> > (1) I was not a brownshoe, not even close. (2)You posting "I . . know"
>> > is an ultimate oxymoron (3)I am pilot and flew my own aircraft under
>> > FAR p/103.
>> >
>> > > I thought you said you had no
>> > > military service?
>> >
>> > At least you finally managed to get something right.
>> >
>> > w3rv
>>
>> Perhaps its time to exclude you from all threads military and
>> soldiering in nature. After all, if you had an interest, you would be
>> one.
>
>Perhaps it's just time to exclude you. After all, if you had an interest you
>would be one. PLONK!

Brian Burke clearly stated that he served with the US Air Force.

Where and in what capacity did dickiebird serve? What did
he do?

3511. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 20:26:47 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021211152647.02308.00000062@mb-mc.aol.com>
Source record: 57701

In article <d22b54c3.0212110738.4f767853@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20021209161457.21527.00000739@mb-mh.aol.com>...
>> In article <d22b54c3.0212090100.78a322b5@posting.google.com>,
>kelly@dvol.com
>> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>>
>
>> Kellie is invited to look up the frequency range of AN/TRC-8
>> radio relay receivers and transmitters used in the US Army
>> of the late 1940s through the 1950s...
>
>One more utterly pointless "WGSP" trivia (WGSP = Who Gives a s--t
>Putz?).

It's 230 to 250 MHz. Close enough to the present ham band?
:-)

>Yo Putz: I've done the whole nine yards in the DoD contracting game.
>From the inside as the contract originating engineer with the sign-off
>responsibilities to being the corporate officer with two firms who
>sold & signed dunno how many DoD contracts for everything from paper
>R&D studies to pipe fittings.

How long were you in pipe fittings? Could you fit in?

>Two points here WRT to your "visiting
>staff engineer" manure: There is no such thing as a "visiting staff
>engineer" in the gummint dictionary, it's right outta yer imagination.

"Gummint dictionary?" :-) I wasn't working for the US
government (or gummint) in the 1970s.

>You are not an engineer and RCA was not dumb enough to ship a bench
>tech to Johnsville and try to pass you off as an engineer.

Heh, heh. Go tell it to the younger Sarnoff. :-)

>Of course Putz, what's the discussion here? You were just another RCA
>rental unit. Johnsville cut a contract with RCA, one of the line items
>in the contract called for RCA to supply some amount of tech labor so
>RCA shipped you off to NADC to fulfill that obligation.

Why must you rewrite history and facts? RCA Corporation's
contract on SECANT was with the Department of Transportation,

not with any "Johnsville." Naval Air Development Center was
the testing agency, assigned to that task within the government.

>RCA paid you
>for yer labor hours and yer expenses. As was the case then and still
>is the case with rental units. I've been one of those too Putz. Big
>frigging deal.

I didn't get any "yer" expense payment. Weren't no "yers" to be
had except on the last night in December. :-)

I received my regular salary from RCA plus the skimpy per diem
for living in wonderful downtown Bucks County. No time clock
punching. No allowed "frigging" either. :-)

>Don't bother with the circle-jerk Putz. The question on the table
>remains unanswered, under what conditions did you find yourself no
>longer working at NADC?

I didn't work for NADC or "Johnsville" or da gummint.

When the flight test period was over I flew back to Los Angeles
and the single RCA division on the west coast. Do you wish
to review my expense reports from 1972? :-) :-)

> 'Fess up Putz, get it off yer chest . . we'll
>be nice to you. I promise.

Naturally you will be nice. Your endearing names bespeak
tenderness, mercy, and too many reruns of "Sopranos." :-)

>Putz, you have an accredited baccalaureate degree in anything like I'm
>the King of England and that's the bottom line period.

Your majesty, why are you living in the former colonies?

>Yew are a
>WANNABE engineer and always will be PUTZ. Was it yer lousy SAT scores
>Putz? I noticed that the Army tossed you into a no-brainer MOS and
>kept you away from being able to screw the moose, they musta gotten
>the same drift.
>
>Putz.

...definitely too many episodes of the "Sopranos." Does Kellie
have them on DVD? :-)

3512. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 20:52:31 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212111252.372bb43@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57702

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DF75C61.64FFAE5A@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0212101552.11b9e385@posting.google.com>...
> > > brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0212100526.5aea3c13@posting.google.com>...
> > >
> > > > >
> > > > > No Putz actually that was when LCdr Don Hamblin the God of the Flight
> > > > > Deck Mustangs was breaking *ME* in on USN flight deck operations Putz.
> > > >
> > > > I didn't know you were a Navy aviator.
> > >
> > > (1) I was not a brownshoe, not even close. (2)You posting "I . . know"
> > > is an ultimate oxymoron (3)I am pilot and flew my own aircraft under
> > > FAR p/103.
> > >
> > > > I thought you said you had no
> > > > military service?
> > >
> > > At least you finally managed to get something right.
> > >
> > > w3rv
> >
> > Perhaps its time to exclude you from all threads military and
> > soldiering in nature. After all, if you had an interest, you would be
> > one.
>
> Perhaps it's just time to exclude you. After all, if you had an interest you would be
one. PLONK!

Yet another unfinished thought. They're adding up, Dick.

If I had an interest, I might be one what?

3513. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 20:55:15 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212111255.6d1c49fb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57703

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DF75FC4.CE46C46B@townsqr.com>...
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > You're a humbug, Len.
>
> You are far, far too kind.

Perhaps, but it is the season for giving. Try a little giving
yourself, and you could change the world.

73, Brian

3514. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 20:57:39 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212111257.4e1e28b5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57704

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DF75F18.6735F0A2@townsqr.com>...
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DF4E823.121D0FCE@earthlink.net>...
> > >
> > > > "Keeping tabs" is stalking? Your stuff is starting to read like Kim's
> > > > expanded definition of "terrorism".
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > Then you must be omnicient to know what exams Len has or has not taken.
> > >
> > > Which is it?
> >
> > Which is what? I see a choice of "omnicient" and "omnicient".
>
> One can't help noticing that Brian's posts are becoming more and more disjointed as he
> presses on in his quest. One wonders if the actuarial tables aren't about to catch up
with him.

Maybe you're on to something. I'm feeling a little tired, and work is
getting to me. The "holiday" luncheon is tomorrow, and I'm not up to
being cheerful.

3515. Re: Just had to repost this its so true

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 20:59:19 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212111259.535bb795@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57705

```
Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3DF74B25.CCCB1ED2@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DF61497.33CD2C4E@earthlink.net>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DF5866F.86099E26@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
> > > > > > (2) "Checking up" on him is no great feat. Just go to
> > > > > > www.fcc.gov/wtb/uls/loser
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I don't get it. Did Len have a license, then lost it?
> > > > >
> > > > > Naw, Len lost it a long time ago and still doesn't have a license.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > > > What was it?
> > >
> > > What was what?
> > >
> > > > Was it reissued?
> > >
> > > Was what re-issued?
> > >
> > > > Maybe I'll ask for that instead of
> > > > Dick's call "W0EX," when it becomes available.
> > >
> > > Len's "losing it" has nothing to do with obtaining an amateur radio
> > > callsign.
> >
> > Then your reply made no sense at all. It would be called a "nonsense" reply.
>
> Maybe you've lost it too.
>
> Dave K8MN
```

Probably. Thanks for playing.

3516. Re: DXer Phonetics (Was Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 21:00:42 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212111300.6c18fd18@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57706

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021210111922.28026.00002708@mb-fp.aol.com>...
> In article <f45722ac.0212091230.20497c61@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >> >I used "Isuzu, Mitsubishi, Daihatsu" with a JA operator when standard
> >> >"fonetics" didn't work.
> >>
> >> And he probably went into the next room and kicked his father in the
> head
> >> for not being able to defeat the "silly a**ed Americans"
> >>
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >His father was probably KIA. Now what?
>
> If not, I sure hope his son didn't kick him in the head! However, I rather
> doubt that such a thing would ever happen in JA-land!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> Iron Chef Delaware
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
> That's right -- I've cooked irons before!

Awlright, Larry, what have you been up to?

3517. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 21:55:57 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DF7B493.71A69C1E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 57707

Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> Dave Heil wrote:
>
> > At other times you provide
> > us with your Foghorn Leghorn imitation.
>
> Uh, Dave..... perhaps you hadn't noticed that it's NOT imitation. He's the
> blustering
> blowhard personified if ever one has shown up on rrap.
> Genuuuuuuuine! Certified Grade A!

"I say, Son! That ain't no way to tie yer punkin down!" ;-)

Dave K8MN

3518. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 22:09:59 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DF7B7D1.57BD98EA@earthlink.net>
Source record: 57708

N2EY wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DF668D5.45B4076C@earthlink.net>...
>
> > > ...the designer of the K2 has to butt in...
>
> Just for the record, Dave:
>
> - I have never claimed to have designed the K2 or any other piece of
> commercially-available amateur radio equipment
>
> - I have never claimed to use *only* amateur radio equipment that I
> have designed and built myself.

Of course you've not made those claims. I never made the claim that the
CW order wire in use in State Department AFRECONE posts was my idea
either. That doesn't stop Len from utilzing the "Big Lie" over and over
again.

> Those who think differently are hereby challenged to come up with
> proof to the contrary. (Don't hold your breath, Dave).

He's never come up with the proof for the things he says I stated. I
have no reason to believe that he'll do so in your case.

> > Butting in on a newsgroup posting?
>
> The concept of free speech in a public forum is not grasped by some,
> Dave.

Oh, he grasps it. He just believes it is a one-way thing.

> >
> > > >- The airline distance from Chongjin, North Korea to Tokyo is at least 670
> > > >statute miles. The distance from Vladivostok to Tokyo is 663 statute miles.
> > > >(Source: "Esso War Map III, Featuring The Pacific Theater", printed 1944).
>
> I used the above map because it shows the airline distance from
> Vladivostok to Tokyo directly. It was also right at hand, and more
> detailed than most commonly-available maps of the area. The distance
> to Chongjin had to be calculated from the scale. I have other maps of
> the area, and they all agree on the distances.

They agree? I figured that Len had Japan's drift calculated and that
his figures would be proven correct when he was honked that you didn't

use a modern map.

> > > How does it feel to be at one end of an hour's flight time from
> > > another country that had weapons of mass destruction?
> >
> > Ask any American born before the early '60s.
>
> I'm not sure what you mean, Dave. Back in Cold War days, how long
> would it have taken for a Soviet ICBM to reach the eastern US? How
> about missiles from Soviet submarines? I think it's less than an hour.
> And there were no significant air defenses against such missiles.

I was thinking about flight time from Cuba!

> Did you mean that only Americans older than a certain age (say, 40?)
> can remember when the nuclear threat was more than an hour away?

No. Kids stopped doing air raid drills after I reached high school. Up
until that point, they were done on a regular basis in the three states
in which I resided while growing up.

> > > and
> > > implying insult to anyone who was a member of the military
> > > forces of the United States...all because your EGO is hurt in
> > > some perceived battle of words in a newsgroup.
> >
> > So far, you're the only individual who sees an implication of insult to
> > any member of the military of the U.S.
>
> There are quite a few veterans of military service in this NG. Let's
> see...K4YZ, W4NTI, K0HB, K2UNK, N0IMD and of course K8MN, to name a
> few. Funny thing is, none of the above see my remarks as implying any
> sort of insult to any military forces.

And I don't think any others will see the insult. Len is insulted
because you haven't lumped him in with the Korean combat vets.

> > You're the one lashing out. Is your ego hurt?
>
> It's fear, Dave. He's afraid of facts, afraid of new information,
> afraid of differing opinions and experiences. He's afraid of being
> proved wrong by someone he considers inferior - which means almost
> anyone, and certainly anyone who dares to disagree with him. He's
> terrified of li'l ol' me, and hams like me. This fear is expressed as
> anger, but it's fear that drives the anger, the false quotes, the
> name-calling and other games.

He certainly wants to play the expert on most topics here. Any "mere
radio amateur" who has done anything else in life is rudely dismissed.
Len likes to think that he is the only person here who has worked
professionally in radio or electronics. 'Tain't so.

Dave K8MN

3519. Re: Cool Children's Book <Was: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty">

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 22:41:04 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212111441.2efff7ec@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57709

wa2si@arrl.net (Bert Craig) wrote in message
news:<b7d1465c.0212111152.25fa8b7@posting.google.com>...
> N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0212050927.7f29f238@posting.google.com>...
> > wa2si@arrl.net (Bert Craig) wrote in message
news:<b7d1465c.0212050313.1cc57fee@posting.google.com>...
> >
> > > CORRECTION: The author's name is Lynne Barasch and here is a more descriptive site.
> > >
> > > http://www.eduplace.com/readingscene/grade3-4/
> >
> > WAY COOL!!!
>
> Indeed! Another cool feature of this book is the inside covers. They
> feature the picture of Guglielmo Marconi, Samuel Morse, etc. w/comment
> bubbles (Like comics.) introducing themselves and outlining <in simple
> terms> their contributions to the radio art.

And I have a 20% discount coupon aat Borders! ;-)

> > The site says it's a TRUE story, not fiction, and that the young ham
> > was 10 years old. The rescue happened in 1923. Should be pretty easy
> > to verify from historical accounts of the day.
>
> BTW, his call sign was W2AZK. Throughout the book, his call is
> referred to as 2AZK, but a QSL card up front displays the full call.

History time: Amateur calls in the USA started with numbers until the
late 1920s. This was true in most countries that had amateurs, so it
was easy to miss DX. How was 1XAM to know that 8AB was in France and
not western PA?

The temporary solution was to add "informal" prefixes to one's call.
These were shown lower-case. Most Americans used "nu" (North America,
United States) so 1TS night send "nu1TS" when the band was open.

The permanent solution was for each country to have its own defining
prefixes. USA got W, K, N and some of the A's. Before WW2, American
hams in the lower 48 used W, those outside used K.

The callsign districts were also different before WW2, with some split
states and no 0 calls.

In 1923, at the dawn of the shortwave era, he would have used 2AZK. A
few years later, W2AZK.

> A
> woman from NJ currently holds this call. Great book though! My lass'
> eyes lit up last night when I told her that this one is her very own
> copy

I wonder how many copies Borders has?

> > imagine somebody telling Sarnoff or Armstrong they couldn't be on the
> > air until age 14....
>
> After hearing all the rationalizations about how 5-wpm is a "barrier,"
> I can believe damn near anything.

HAW!

73 de Jim, N2EY

3520. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 23:27:24 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021211182724.23141.00000999@mb-mt.aol.com>
Source record: 57710

In article <at6eqemtm0@pita.alt.net>, "Cult Guy" <OhNO!@Anon.com> writes:

Okay, Dave, we've seen you pseudonym... :-) :-)

3521. Re: Cool Children's Book <Was: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty">

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 23:27:24 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021211182724.23141.00001000@mb-mt.aol.com>
Source record: 57711

In article <c2356669.0212111441.2efff7ec@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) writes:

>I wonder how many copies Borders has?

If you can't get it there, try AMAZON.COM !!! :-)

3522. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 23:40:44 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212111540.70f2094d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57712

"KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message news:<uvephut61qsaaf@corp.supernews.com>...
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021210204551.04125.00000277@mb-da.aol.com...
> > In article <uv4f8hs812235b@corp.supernews.com>, "KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com>
> > writes:
> >
> > >Here's the bottom line;
> > >It's her right under our vanity call system to have that call sign if she
> > >wants it. It's also our right under the same system NOT to have a
> > >callsign like her's "ae" the naming of body parts or other risquï¿½ things.
> >
> > Actually, whether it's a "right" or not is unclear. FCC issued the call,
> > probably because they did not want the hassle of denying it.
>
> Ok how about it's her right as a licensed ham to "request" that callsign.
> And the FCC has the decision about granting/denying that request.

Agreed. And anyone who is interested has the right to comment on
whether such a callsign is appropriate, and whether it hurts or helps
the ARS.

> > >The thing is if you or I or anyone else doesn't "approve" of her call,
> > >we don't have to call for her on the radio and talk now do we? We
> > >are free just to ignore her or anyone else because that is OUR
> > >freedom as well.
> >
> > Exactly.
> >
> > >I am a B.A.C. and a church elder locally and I'm not comfortable
> > >with her callsign either but it's her's and I'm not the one who is
> > >going to "judge" whether or not she should have had it or not, HE is!
> >
> > But you ARE judging, by saying you're not comfortable with it.
>
> No I said I'm not comfortable with it. I would not want a call like that
> (body part as an example) for myself. I would also not feel comfortable
> using her call sign to call for her on the air.

Which means you are expressing a value, or making a choice, about that
callsign as opposed to, say, W5TIS.

> > Suppose you were on the air and someone with a vanity callsign you are not
> > comfortable with calls you. If you don't answer, based on the callsign,
> > that's
> > a judgement. If you DO answer, that's a judgement, too.

>
> That's not the kind of judgement we're talking about here. We make
> "decisions"
> based on callsigns every time we use the radio. Who wouldn't jump at the
> chance on Field Day to get W1AW? Or to shy away from a callsign that we
> find distasteful.

OK, fine, let's not bog down on a word like "judgement" vs. "choice"
or "values".

> > Or suppose somebody petitions FCC to issue 1x4 calls to hams, so there
> > could be
> > calls like W5KIDD or W1BILL - or W5(expletive deleted). Would you oppose
> > or
> > support such a petition? Oppose support, or do nothing, they're all
> >judgements.
>
> If we needed 1x4 callsigns I wouldn't oppose that, but I would hope that the
> FCC
> wouldn't issue a "expletive deleted" callsign or anything like it. I agree
> with the
> compairson between license plates and callsigns, if the state can deny a
> license
> plate for certain reasons the FCC should do the same.

Different states have different guidelines, though.
>
> Just for the record I don't like Kim's callsign any more than Larry does.
> But she choose it, it's her's for as long as she and the FCC wants her to have
> it, and nothing we say here is going to change that fact. Telling her how bad
> her callsign is for the ARS isn't going to change her mind about it, if
> anything it might make her want to keep it longer just to keep the Larry's of
> this NG all stirred up.

Exactly!

73 de Jim, N2EY

3523. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 23:46:46 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212111546.acc7bcf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57713

"KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message news:<uvenmpmsc6sq93@corp.supernews.com>...
> Religion 101...
>
> One day a 6 year old girl was sitting in a classroom. The teacher was
> going to explain evolution to the children.
>
> The teacher asked a little boy: "Tommy do you see the tree outside?"
>
> TOMMY: Yes.
>
> TEACHER: Tommy, do you see the grass outside?
>
> TOMMY: Yes.
>
> TEACHER: Go outside and look up and see if you can see the sky.
>
> TOMMY: Okay. (He returned a few minutes later) Yes, I saw the sky.
>
> TEACHER: Did you see God?
>
> TOMMY: No.
>
> TEACHER: That's my point. We can't see God because he isn't there.
> He doesn't exist.

As Carl Zager points out, no sane teacher would talk like this to any
class.
>
> A little girl spoke up and wanted to ask the boy some questions. The
> teacher agreed and the little girl asked the boy: "Tommy, do you see
> the tree outside?"
>
> TOMMY: Yes.
>
> LITTLE GIRL: Tommy do you see the grass outside?
>
> TOMMY: Yesssssss (getting tired of the questions by this time).
>
> LITTLE GIRL: Did you see the sky?
>
> TOMMY: Yesssssss
>
> LITTLE GIRL: Tommy, do you see the teacher?
>

> TOMMY: Yes
>
> LITTLE GIRL: Do you see her brain?
>
> TOMMY: No
>
> LITTLE GIRL: Then according to what we were taught today in school,
> she must not have one!

NONSENSE!

Evolution and God are not contradictory. That which cannot be seen can still be real.

Can you see sound waves?

Religious faith is rational belief in what cannot be proven...yet. Superstition is irrational belief. BIG difference.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3524. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-11 23:59:03 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DF7D117.FDCDD99A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 57714

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <c2356669.0212110914.7162de44@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
> (N2EY) writes:
>
> >There are quite a few veterans of military service in this NG. Let's
> >see...K4YZ, W4NTI, K0HB, K2UNK, N0IMD and of course K8MN, to name a
> >few. Funny thing is, none of the above see my remarks as implying any
> >sort of insult to any military forces.
> >
> >> You're the one lashing out. Is your ego hurt?
> >
> >It's fear, Dave. He's afraid of facts, afraid of new information,
> >afraid of differing opinions and experiences.
>
> Good grief...:-)
>
> >He's afraid of being
> >proved wrong by someone he considers inferior - which means almost
> >anyone, and certainly anyone who dares to disagree with him.
>
> :-)
>
> >He's terrified of li'l ol' me, and hams like me.
>
> Ooooo! Ooooo! :-)
>
> >This fear is expressed as
> >anger, but it's fear that drives the anger, the false quotes, the
> >name-calling and other games.
>
> Jimmie boy, go see a shrink. You are looking in a mirror.

If nothing else, we can count on you for laughs, Leonard. I haven't
observed Jim being angry, using false statements or name-calling. I
have, on the other hand, observed that behavior from you.

Fine thing for a man in his dotage.

Dave K8MN

3525. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 00:04:29 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DF7D26D.137F3A93@earthlink.net>
Source record: 57715

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <at6eqemtm0@pita.alt.net>, "Cult Guy" <OhNO!@Anon.com> writes:
>
> Okay, Dave, we've seen you pseudonym... :-) :-)

Me no have pseudonym, warm-hearted old codger.
What you mean?

Dave K8MN

3526. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 00:37:11 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212111637.53b10be1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57716

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20021211141542.07579.00000944@mb-mn.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0212101741.7668b5d7@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20021210134803.21317.00001505@mb-cf.aol.com>...
> >
> >> That's nice...a demonstrated capacity for reading books
> >> about an era before your birth?
> >
> >Ya mean like everything you (think) you know about ham radio comes out
> >of websites right? PUTZ.
>
> It comes out QST ADVERTISING COPY for Kellie...:-)
>
> >> So, how was your military service as a member of NATO?
> >> Which NATO country's military did you serve?
> >>
> >> How does that apply to POLICY of US amateur radio and the
> >> morse code test issue?
> >
> >How does being a rear widget Army RTTY repeater babysitter apply to
> >anything in ham radio?
>
> How do lying braggarts who beep have anything to do
> with either amateur radio policy or life in general? :-)
>
> HF radio has the same physics for all radio services using that
> part of the EM spectrum. In the 1950s HF radio was the main
> medium for long-distance US military communications.
>
> The US military had multichannel RADIO RELAY equipment
> since 1944. The US Army ALSO had single-channel radio
> repeaters as of 1952, a feature designed into manpack military
> radios as a siting option in the field.

. . . the Putz & the BBC . . .

>
> The US military never had "widgets." :-)
>
> >What was the closest you ever came to any member of any Communist
> >military force during you active duty time? I wuz within 750 feet.
>

> You were perhaps closer than that to the movie screen, ace.
>
> You weren't ever "within 750 feet" of any USSR aircraft except
> one on static display at an airshow. :-)

I have the negatives Putz. End of.

>
> How does all that fish-story-telling relate to amateur radio
> policy?
>
> In the "5-9" signal reports given to another station? :-)
>
> >Putz.
>
> Tell us how you won the Vietnam War with your 20 WPM
> catapult, Kellie. Some of us can't "bear" to wait... :-)

3527. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 00:42:31 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <XXQJ9.204167$GR5.69629@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 57717

> Been there, done that, got the scars to prove it. My callsign is a
> reminder of winters in New York State, between Syracuse and Rochester.
> Palmyra, to be exact.
>
> (Trivia: What is Palmyra, NY, famous for?)

Wasn't that where the Mormon founder, Joe Smith, started having "visions" or
some such thing?

> Maybe. The real test is how well it gets out on-the-air.

It's great- or so everyone I've talked to says. They say they can now hear
me loud and clear!

> Or even low quality coax. I prefer single-edge razor blades. Others
> swear by utility knives. Have also used professional-grade coax tools,
> but they are expensive.

I think they are worth it, though... would save me from lots of frustration,
which is probably worth the cost.

> I gave up on soldering irons for outdoor antenna work years ago.
> Propane torch with soldering-copper attachment does the trick.

I can arc-weld! Like soldering on a larger scale, sort of.

> There ya go. I'm told that musicians reach a point where they can just
> glance at sheet music and "hear" what the piece is supposed to sound
> like. Or hear a piece and know how to play it on a different
> instrument with no sheet music at all.

Yep, takes lots of practice to do that, though some people may have enough
natural talent to learn to do that a lot quicker. My brother is a musician,
and an extremely talented one at that. He can play drums, guitar, keyboards,
as well as a number of wind instruments. It's almost scary how he can just
seem to pick up an instrument he's not familiar with and learns to play it
very well in a very short amount of time.

> Oh, I do! Remember Les Nessman, on WKRP, who put masking tape on the
> floor to indicate where the walls and door of his "office" should have
> been?

Oh yeah! That was a good show... LOL!

Jackie

3528. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 00:52:43 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212111652.2314fbde@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57718

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20021211141542.07579.00000944@mb-mn.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0212101741.7668b5d7@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:

> >What was the closest you ever came to any member of any Communist
> >military force during you active duty time? I wuz within 750 feet.
>
> You were perhaps closer than that to the movie screen, ace.
>
> You weren't ever "within 750 feet" of any USSR aircraft except
> one on static display at an airshow. :-)

Perhaps their boats fueled up at the same port.

FWIW, I climbed up on a derelict mig17 at the mogadishu airfield. We
were warned not to climb inside the cockpit as the ejection seat
hadn't been blown yet.

3529. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 00:55:00 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uvfo3eik803938@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57719

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:mWzJ9.1551$eQ3.206630@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote
>
> :
> : So, you've never been tested, Hans? Not by your wife, not by destiny,
not
> : by nature? What *did* you used to believe, for instance, prior to Sep
11?
> : What do you believe now--any difference?
> :
>
> Before Sep 11 I believed, based on evidence -- not on 'faith', that
> terrorism which targets non-combatant civilian populations is abhorent.
On
> Sep 11 I saw more evidence to validate that rational belief, so there is
no
> difference in my belief pre-/post-9-11.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

Gosh. I think for the first couple of weeks, I thought in great detail
about things and how they were in the world. Oh well...

Kim W5TIT

3530. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 01:10:10 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uvfp08ihf973e3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57720

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0212111540.70f2094d@posting.google.com...
>
> Which means you are expressing a value, or making a choice, about that
> callsign as opposed to, say, W5TIS.
>

Hey, how 'bout the callsing W5LTO. Could mean a callsign for the Lotto.
Wow, ever get into one of those debates? Whew... I mean, just think of the
callsigns that have been/could be/are issued that people could really be
disgusted about.

Kim W5TIT

3531. K1MAN jamming countinues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 01:30:26 UTC
From: "N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3df7e523$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 57721
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

The nuts that dislike K1MAN continue to jam his amateur radio bulletin service. I enjoy the K1MAN service. I wish those that did not like him, would just tune him off and goto another frequency.

This afternoon on 14.275MHZ, K1MAN was QRM'ed with music and a QSO on top of him. I almost picked up the phone called Mr. Hollingsworth, I was so disgusted. Why Hollingsworth allows this to continue, I do not know.

--
Jesus Christ is coming in your lifetime - R.G. Stair
"The bulletin service is here to stay"- K1MAN

3532. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 01:36:48 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021211203648.04214.00001183@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 57722

In article <3DF7B493.71A69C1E@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>"I say, Son! That ain't no way to tie yer punkin down!" ;-)
>
Actual Foghorn Leghorn quotes:

"Nice girl, but about as sharp as a bowlin' ball"

"Dog! I say, Dog! You look like two miles o' bad road!"

"That dog reminds me of Paul Revere's ride - a little light in the belfry"

"No no no, ye' doin' it all wrong!"

"That widder hen reminds me of the road from Fort Worth to Dallas - no curves"

"I better not look - I just might be in there"

Then there's the Tasmanian Devil, and Martin the Martian...

73 de Jim, N2EY

Fan of the Aludium Q36 Pumpkin Modulator

3533. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 01:55:20 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <c0SJ9.1311$MV5.133245@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57723

"Len Budney" <lbudney-google@nb.net> wrote

: ARE you agnostic? An agnostic is someone who says, "I don't know."
: Many today who call themselves agnostics actually believe that
: "definite answers to this question CANNOT be known."

The term "agnostic" was coined by Huxley. He adopted the term, he says, because it was suggestively antithetic to the 'Gnostics' (gnosis "knowledge", gnostikos, "good at knowing") of religious history, who professed to know so much about the very things of which he could find no way of knowing, other than blind acceptance. "The bible is true because the bible says the bible is true." Huxley rejected that circumpolar sort of validation.

Agnosticism is the position of believing that knowledge of the existence or non-existence of God is impossible. It is often put forth as a middle ground between theism and atheism. Understood this way, agnosticism is skepticism regarding all things theological. The agnostic holds that human knowledge is limited to the natural world, that the mind is incapable of knowledge of the supernatural. Understood this way, an agnostic could also be a theist or an atheist. The former is called a fideist, one who believes in God purely on faith. The latter is sometimes accused by theists of having faith in the non-existence of God, but the accusation is absurd and the expression meaningless. The agnostic simply finds no rational reason to believe in God.

If, based on this, you'd call me an atheist (I don't think I am one), then I submit that we are both atheists. I just believe in one fewer god than you do. When you understand why YOU dismiss all the other possible gods out there, you will understand why I dismiss yours.

I won't disparage your faith, or even suggest that you are wrong. I simply don't personally buy into it.

73, de Hans, K0HB

3534. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 01:59:49 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <79rfvugre76u9ofn7b4von3beneerilsr3@4ax.com>
Source record: 57724

On 12 Dec 2002 01:36:48 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <3DF7B493.71A69C1E@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
>writes:
>
>>"I say, Son! That ain't no way to tie yer punkin down!" ;-)
>>
>Actual Foghorn Leghorn quotes:
>
>"Nice girl, but about as sharp as a bowlin' ball"

Jim, you forgot the rrap applicable quote:

"Nice kid, but the fast ones go right over his head"

- Mike KB3EIA -

3535. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 02:14:02 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h6z8ta4.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 57725

Foibd loose in the mail in r.r.a.p. :

>> Or suppose somebody petitions FCC to issue 1x4 calls to hams, so
>> there could be calls like W5KIDD or W1BILL - or W5(expletive
>> deleted). Would you oppose or support such a petition? Oppose
>> support, or do nothing, they're all judgements.

> If we needed 1x4 callsigns I wouldn't oppose that, but I would hope
> that the FCC wouldn't issue a "expletive deleted" callsign or
> anything like it. I agree with the compairson between license plates
> and callsigns, if the state can deny a license plate for certain
> reasons the FCC should do the same.

The International Radio Regs do not provide for amateur calls with
more than three letters in the suffix.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3536. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 02:18:54 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DF8004E.507C4B6F@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57726

N2EY wrote:

> :
> >
> > It's fear, Dave. He's afraid of facts, afraid of new information,
> > afraid of differing opinions and experiences.

You gotta wonder about a dude who is compelled to use a screen name of "A very Fine man" on several usenet groups. Couple that with all the rest of the "stuff" we've seen from him and the question mark looms huge.

Sure looks like there are some "other things" he fears. Maybe he found himself wondering about it at times and decided to answer the question for himself in that way..

3537. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 02:43:47 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021211214347.10597.00003178@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 57727

In article <77f1797e.0212111151.6c688f68@posting.google.com>, kb9rvb@arrl.net (Carl Zager) writes:

>I have been in education as a public school student for 12 years, as
>an undergraduate in college (a church school) for 4 years, as a
>graduate student (at a state school) for 2 years, as a public school
>teacher in systems in Ohio and Indiana for 34 years (and in those 34
>years have taught at the university level (at a state school) for
>parts of 5 years). I have NEVER, in all those years, with all those
>colleagues, with all those students, NEVER heard any professional
>colleague say or do anything even remotely like the following. The
>only time I have ever heard anything like this was out of the mouths
>of people trying to make public school teachers look like some kind of
>driveling idiots. To be this offended I had to read this in a Ham
>radio forum from someone from my own district. I am ashamed. You can
>back pedal or you can attack me -- I won't respond -- but the level of
>discourse among supposedly intelligent adults just took a deep dive.
>
>Carl

Carl:

Just for the record, are you a person with religious beliefs, or not? If so, what is your opinion of children being taught about the concept of God and religion in school? I'm not talking about actual religious instruction (which I would not expect in a public school), just teaching kids about the fact that religions exist, and that they are based on a belief on a supreme being (God). You seem quite worked up about the joke that was posted, and in my experience, that usually denotes some sort of identification with what was said. In which context do you identify with it?

73 de Larry, K3LT

3538. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 02:43:48 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021211214348.10597.00003179@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 57728

In article <uvfp08ihf973e3@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>Hey, how 'bout the callsing W5LTO. Could mean a callsign for the Lotto.
>Wow, ever get into one of those debates? Whew... I mean, just think of the
>callsigns that have been/could be/are issued that people could really be
>disgusted about.
>
>Kim W5TIT

Kim:

If your call sign were W5LTO, we wouldn't be having this discussion.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3539. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 02:54:46 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212111854.4316fde2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57729

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20021211141542.07579.00000944@mb-mn.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0212101741.7668b5d7@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
> >> morse code test issue?
>
> >Putz.
>
> Tell us how you won the Vietnam War with your 20 WPM
> catapult, Kellie. Some of us can't "bear" to wait... :-)

Glad you brought this up Putz. We used monster steel concete ballasted wagons of various weights to calibrate the steam cats. 10,000 to 50,000 pounds, etc. They were just big dumb disposable weldments with salavge yard A/C wheels on all four corners. We hooked 'em the cats with bridles, set the shot pressures and fired 'em off the bow into the drink noting the end speeds as they departed. 0-175 mph with a 275 foot power stroke. For instance. From which data we drew up the catapult calibration curves. If the things managed to float after a shot, fine, a tug dragged 'em back and the Tillie dropped back on deck for reuse. If they sank oh well. There are large underwater areas of the Norfolk Naval Base and what was the Brooklyn Naval Shipyard littered with rusting old deadloads.

We had a moniker we used to to describe people like you Putz, "Deadload Pilot". There is no question in my mind that you would have very quickly been promoted to a flag rank deadload pilot if you were in my biz.

3540. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 03:37:10 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021211223710.01869.00000050@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 57730

In article <3DF7B7D1.57BD98EA@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>>
>> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>news:<3DF668D5.45B4076C@earthlink.net>...
>>
>> > > ...the designer of the K2 has to butt in...
>>
>> Just for the record, Dave:
>>
>> - I have never claimed to have designed the K2 or any other piece of
>> commercially-available amateur radio equipment
>>
>> - I have never claimed to use *only* amateur radio equipment that I
>> have designed and built myself.
>
>Of course you've not made those claims.

> I never made the claim that the
>CW order wire in use in State Department AFRECONE posts was my idea
>either.

But it did exist.

My understanding is that the primary purpose of that CW order wire was to
coordinate RTTY traffic. Is that correct?

>That doesn't stop Len from utilzing the "Big Lie" over and over
>again.

That's really all it is. How far is it from where he lives to Whittier?
>
>> Those who think differently are hereby challenged to come up with
>> proof to the contrary. (Don't hold your breath, Dave).
>
>He's never come up with the proof for the things he says I stated. I
>have no reason to believe that he'll do so in your case.

Nor to any of the challenges to his allegations of dishonesty/fraud by the ARRL
and VEs, etc.

>> > > >- The airline distance from Chongjin, North Korea to Tokyo is at least
>670
>> > > >statute miles. The distance from Vladivostok to Tokyo is 663 statute
>miles.

>> > > >(Source: "Esso War Map III, Featuring The Pacific Theater", printed
>1944).
>>
>> I used the above map because it shows the airline distance from
>> Vladivostok to Tokyo directly. It was also right at hand, and more
>> detailed than most commonly-available maps of the area. The distance
>> to Chongjin had to be calculated from the scale. I have other maps of
>> the area, and they all agree on the distances.
>
>They agree?

Yup. My other maps of the area are of about the same vintage. They are USAAF
maps, given to me by a B-24 navigator who visited Japan over a dozen times
without ever setting foot there.

>I figured that Len had Japan's drift calculated and that
>his figures would be proven correct when he was honked that you didn't
>use a modern map.

160 miles of drift between 1944 and 1952 is about 20 miles per year. At that
rate, Japan would be west of Korea by now.

>> > > How does it feel to be at one end of an hour's flight time from
>> > > another country that had weapons of mass destruction?
>> >
>> > Ask any American born before the early '60s.
>>
>> I'm not sure what you mean, Dave. Back in Cold War days, how long
>> would it have taken for a Soviet ICBM to reach the eastern US? How
>> about missiles from Soviet submarines? I think it's less than an hour.
>> And there were no significant air defenses against such missiles.
>
>I was thinking about flight time from Cuba!

~20 minutes for the type of missiles the Soviets had at the time, as I recall.
Not to worry - that type of missile couldn't reach Southern California from
Cuba. But they could reach Philly.
>
>> Did you mean that only Americans older than a certain age (say, 40?)
>> can remember when the nuclear threat was more than an hour away?
>
>No. Kids stopped doing air raid drills after I reached high school. Up
>until that point, they were done on a regular basis in the three states
>in which I resided while growing up.

We never had 'em around here. Guess they figured that since Philly is halfway
between NYC and DC, we'd be goners.

Point is, folks around my age and younger grew up knowing that the missiles
could be here in under an hour.

>> > > and
>> > > implying insult to anyone who was a member of the military

>> > > forces of the United States...all because your EGO is hurt in
>> > > some perceived battle of words in a newsgroup.
>> >
>> > So far, you're the only individual who sees an implication of insult to
>> > any member of the military of the U.S.
>>
>> There are quite a few veterans of military service in this NG. Let's
>> see...K4YZ, W4NTI, K0HB, K2UNK, N0IMD and of course K8MN, to name a
>> few. Funny thing is, none of the above see my remarks as implying any
>> sort of insult to any military forces.
>
>And I don't think any others will see the insult.

They sure are quiet. Steve? Dan? Hans?

>Len is insulted
>because you haven't lumped him in with the Korean combat vets.

Len is insulted by any disagreement with his opinions. He is particularly peeved by someone like me, who points out that the emperor has no logical clothes.

Would it insult the actual Korean combat vets to include someone who was neither in Korea nor in combat?

>> > You're the one lashing out. Is your ego hurt?
>>
>> It's fear, Dave. He's afraid of facts, afraid of new information,
>> afraid of differing opinions and experiences. He's afraid of being
>> proved wrong by someone he considers inferior - which means almost
>> anyone, and certainly anyone who dares to disagree with him. He's
>> terrified of li'l ol' me, and hams like me. This fear is expressed as
>> anger, but it's fear that drives the anger, the false quotes, the
>> name-calling and other games.
>
>He certainly wants to play the expert on most topics here.

An expert can back up claims and quotations. He can't.

>Any "mere
>radio amateur" who has done anything else in life is rudely dismissed.

Unless they are against code testing.

>Len likes to think that he is the only person here who has worked
>professionally in radio or electronics. 'Tain't so.

Exactly. Remember how hard he tried to find out who I work for and what I do?

--

btw, fired up the homebrew CW transceiver on 40 an hour ago and a DL6 came back to my CQ. Nice 20 minute chat with Gunter.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3541. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 04:02:07 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <3TTJ9.1475$MV5.148954@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57731

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote

:
: Gosh. I think for the first couple of weeks, I thought in great detail
: about things and how they were in the world. Oh well...
:

I'd guess that we all did. I lost two friends who worked at the Pentagon on 9/11. That loss didn't change my mind about anything, but it certainly reinforced my position against "unquestioned beliefs in holy causes".

"Men never do evil so completely and cheerfully as when they do it from a religious conviction." --- BLAISE PASCAL (1632- 1662), Pensees, 1670.

73, de Hans, K0HB

3542. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 05:36:32 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <AfVJ9.1675$MV5.168734@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57732

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h6z8ta4.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
:
: Foibd loose in the mail in r.r.a.p. :
:
: >> Or suppose somebody petitions FCC to issue 1x4 calls to hams, so
: >> there could be calls like W5KIDD or W1BILL - or W5(expletive
: >> deleted). Would you oppose or support such a petition? Oppose
: >> support, or do nothing, they're all judgements.
:
: > If we needed 1x4 callsigns I wouldn't oppose that, but I would hope
: > that the FCC wouldn't issue a "expletive deleted" callsign or
: > anything like it. I agree with the compairson between license plates
: > and callsigns, if the state can deny a license plate for certain
: > reasons the FCC should do the same.
:
: The International Radio Regs do not provide for amateur calls with
: more than three letters in the suffix.
:
: ---
: 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

They also don't allow more than 3 characters in the prefix either, but go
tell that to 3DA0CA and 3DA0AH for example.

Do you think anyone will kick the USA out of ITU if W0EX were issued the
vanity call N0DICK?

73, de Hans, K0HB

3543. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 06:15:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DF82970.1F917FCD@earthlink.net>
Source record: 57733

N2EY wrote:
>
> In article <3DF7B7D1.57BD98EA@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >>
> >> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >news:<3DF668D5.45B4076C@earthlink.net>...
> >>
> >> > > ...the designer of the K2 has to butt in...
> >>
> >> Just for the record, Dave:
> >>
> >> - I have never claimed to have designed the K2 or any other piece of
> >> commercially-available amateur radio equipment
> >>
> >> - I have never claimed to use *only* amateur radio equipment that I
> >> have designed and built myself.
> >>
> >Of course you've not made those claims.
>
> > I never made the claim that the
> >CW order wire in use in State Department AFRECONE posts was my idea
> >either.
>
> But it did exist.

I lived with it for nearly two years. It worked when multipath
distortion was so great that RTTY equipment printed only garbage, even
with link enhancement.

> My understanding is that the primary purpose of that CW order wire was to
> coordinate RTTY traffic. Is that correct?

Yes--openings and closings (with ZIC/ZID), QSYs, exchange of crypto set
info.

> >That doesn't stop Len from utilzing the "Big Lie" over and over
> >again.
>
> That's really all it is. How far is it from where he lives to Whittier?

Hey! I never thought about that.

> >
> >> Those who think differently are hereby challenged to come up with
> >> proof to the contrary. (Don't hold your breath, Dave).
> >
> >He's never come up with the proof for the things he says I stated. I
> >have no reason to believe that he'll do so in your case.
>
> Nor to any of the challenges to his allegations of dishonesty/fraud by the ARRL
> and VEs, etc.

I've asked him and Steve has asked numerous times.

> >> > > >- The airline distance from Chongjin, North Korea to Tokyo is at least
> >670
> >> > > >statute miles. The distance from Vladivostok to Tokyo is 663 statute
> >miles.
> >> > > >(Source: "Esso War Map III, Featuring The Pacific Theater", printed
> >1944).
> >>
> >> I used the above map because it shows the airline distance from
> >> Vladivostok to Tokyo directly. It was also right at hand, and more
> >> detailed than most commonly-available maps of the area. The distance
> >> to Chongjin had to be calculated from the scale. I have other maps of
> >> the area, and they all agree on the distances.
> >
> >They agree?
>
> Yup. My other maps of the area are of about the same vintage. They are USAAF
> maps, given to me by a B-24 navigator who visited Japan over a dozen times
> without ever setting foot there.

Nice keepsakes.

>
> >I figured that Len had Japan's drift calculated and that
> >his figures would be proven correct when he was honked that you didn't
> >use a modern map.
>
> 160 miles of drift between 1944 and 1952 is about 20 miles per year. At that
> rate, Japan would be west of Korea by now.

I just assumed it'd snuggle up and stop when it reached the continent.

> >> > > How does it feel to be at one end of an hour's flight time from
> >> > > another country that had weapons of mass destruction?
> >> >
> >> > Ask any American born before the early '60s.
> >>
> >> I'm not sure what you mean, Dave. Back in Cold War days, how long
> >> would it have taken for a Soviet ICBM to reach the eastern US? How
> >> about missiles from Soviet submarines? I think it's less than an hour.
> >> And there were no significant air defenses against such missiles.
> >

> >I was thinking about flight time from Cuba!
>
> ~20 minutes for the type of missiles the Soviets had at the time, as I recall.
> Not to worry - that type of missile couldn't reach Southern California from
> Cuba. But they could reach Philly.
> >
> >> Did you mean that only Americans older than a certain age (say, 40?)
> >> can remember when the nuclear threat was more than an hour away?
> >
> >No. Kids stopped doing air raid drills after I reached high school. Up
> >until that point, they were done on a regular basis in the three states
> >in which I resided while growing up.
>
> We never had 'em around here. Guess they figured that since Philly is halfway
> between NYC and DC, we'd be goners.

You never had to get on your knees get under your desk? I figured it
was a rite of passage.
>
> Point is, folks around my age and younger grew up knowing that the missiles
> could be here in under an hour.

So most folks must have had the same jitters as say, an Army enlisted
man stationed in the Tokyo R&R area during the last few months of the
Korean War.

> >> > > and
> >> > > implying insult to anyone who was a member of the military
> >> > > forces of the United States...all because your EGO is hurt in
> >> > > some perceived battle of words in a newsgroup.
> >> >
> >> > So far, you're the only individual who sees an implication of insult to
> >> > any member of the military of the U.S.
> >>
> >> There are quite a few veterans of military service in this NG. Let's
> >> see...K4YZ, W4NTI, K0HB, K2UNK, N0IMD and of course K8MN, to name a
> >> few. Funny thing is, none of the above see my remarks as implying any
> >> sort of insult to any military forces.
> >
> >And I don't think any others will see the insult.
>
> They sure are quiet. Steve? Dan? Hans?
>
> >Len is insulted
> >because you haven't lumped him in with the Korean combat vets.
>
> Len is insulted by any disagreement with his opinions. He is particularly
> peeved by someone like me, who points out that the emperor has no logical
> clothes.
>
> Would it insult the actual Korean combat vets to include someone who was

> neither in Korea nor in combat?

That would be my view.

> >> > You're the one lashing out. Is your ego hurt?
> >>
> >> It's fear, Dave. He's afraid of facts, afraid of new information,
> >> afraid of differing opinions and experiences. He's afraid of being
> >> proved wrong by someone he considers inferior - which means almost
> >> anyone, and certainly anyone who dares to disagree with him. He's
> >> terrified of li'l ol' me, and hams like me. This fear is expressed as
> >> anger, but it's fear that drives the anger, the false quotes, the
> >> name-calling and other games.
> >
> >He certainly wants to play the expert on most topics here.
>
> An expert can back up claims and quotations. He can't.

> >Any "mere
> >radio amateur" who has done anything else in life is rudely dismissed.
>
> Unless they are against code testing.
>
> >Len likes to think that he is the only person here who has worked
> >professionally in radio or electronics. 'Tain't so.
>
> Exactly. Remember how hard he tried to find out who I work for and what I do?

You've seen comments he has made regarding my work, Steve's work and
Hans' military career. Now he wants to know Dick Carroll's military
background (but only so he can engage in civil debate only about the
removal of morse testing in amateur licensing).

> --
>
> btw, fired up the homebrew CW transceiver on 40 an hour ago and a DL6 came back
> to my CQ. Nice 20 minute chat with Gunter.

I have to admit to being a "phone man" this evening". I chatted
with--what does Brian call him?--"Millionaire Martti" on 75m. He has
likely swilling Remy Martin while lighting Havana cigars with twenty
Euro banknotes.

Dave K8MN

3544. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 06:40:40 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021212014040.04214.00001185@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 57734

In article <d22b54c3.0212111854.4316fde2@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
(Brian Kelly) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20021211141542.07579.00000944@mb-mn.aol.com>...
>> In article <d22b54c3.0212101741.7668b5d7@posting.google.com>,
>kelly@dvol.com
>> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>> >> morse code test issue?
>>
>> >Putz.
>>
>> Tell us how you won the Vietnam War with your 20 WPM
>> catapult, Kellie. Some of us can't "bear" to wait... :-)
>
>
>Glad you brought this up Putz. We used monster steel concete ballasted
>wagons of various weights to calibrate the steam cats. 10,000 to
>50,000 pounds, etc. They were just big dumb disposable weldments with
>salavge yard A/C wheels on all four corners. We hooked 'em the cats
>with bridles, set the shot pressures and fired 'em off the bow into
>the drink noting the end speeds as they departed. 0-175 mph with a 275
>foot power stroke. For instance. From which data we drew up the
>catapult calibration curves. If the things managed to float after a
>shot, fine, a tug dragged 'em back and the Tillie dropped back on deck
>for reuse. If they sank oh well. There are large underwater areas of
>the Norfolk Naval Base and what was the Brooklyn Naval Shipyard
>littered with rusting old deadloads.

THAT was the extent of your communications experience
outside of amateur radio?!?

You are not some offizial USN photog?

Well, you've certainly demonstrated a propensity of tossing large
gobs of waste off the end of your personal cornputer. :-)

3545. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 06:40:41 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021212014041.04214.00001186@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 57735

In article <3DF8004E.507C4B6F@townsqr.com>, Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
writes:

> You gotta wonder about a dude who is compelled to use a screen name of
>"A very Fine man" on several usenet groups. Couple that with all the rest of
>the "stuff" we've seen from him and the question mark looms huge.

Poor baby...confused are you? :-)

If you can recognize this individual under "all those screennames,"
why are you so concerned about "real identity?" :-)

> Sure looks like there are some "other things" he fears. Maybe he found
>himself wondering about
>it at times and decided to answer the question for himself in that way..

Sure looks like dickiebird is into personality hitting again...that
way he can avoid answering what he REALLY did in the Army.
:-)

3546. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 06:40:42 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021212014042.04214.00001187@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 57736

In article <f45722ac.0212111652.2314fbde@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20021211141542.07579.00000944@mb-mn.aol.com>...
>> In article <d22b54c3.0212101741.7668b5d7@posting.google.com>,
>kelly@dvol.com
>> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
>> >What was the closest you ever came to any member of any Communist
>> >military force during you active duty time? I wuz within 750 feet.
>>
>> You were perhaps closer than that to the movie screen, ace.
>>
>> You weren't ever "within 750 feet" of any USSR aircraft except
>> one on static display at an airshow. :-)
>
>
>Perhaps their boats fueled up at the same port.
>
>FWIW, I climbed up on a derelict mig17 at the mogadishu airfield. We
>were warned not to climb inside the cockpit as the ejection seat
>hadn't been blown yet.

Carefull...Mr. Catapult HAS THE NEGATIVES! :-)

3547. Re: K1MAN jamming countinues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 07:24:59 UTC
From: Technomage <technomage-hawke@cox.net>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.12.12.00.26.07.255.24855@cox.net>
Source record: 57737
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

actually,
if I read this right, he may already be aware of the problem...

Most of the time, it takes time to build a case....

just my 2 cents worth.

Technomage

In article <3df7e523$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com>, "N0VFP 2.0"
<brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote:

> The nuts that dislike K1MAN continue to jam his amateur radio bulletin
> service. I enjoy the K1MAN service. I wish those that did not like
> him, would just tune him off and goto another frequency.
>
> This afternoon on 14.275MHZ, K1MAN was QRM'ed with music and a QSO on
> top of him. I almost picked up the phone called Mr. Hollingsworth, I
> was so disgusted. Why Hollingsworth allows this to continue, I do not
> know.
>
>
> --
> Jesus Christ is coming in your lifetime - R.G. Stair "The bulletin
> service is here to stay"- K1MAN

--
I will not be pushed, filed, stamped, indexed, briefed, debriefed, or numbered!
My life is my own - No. 6

3548. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 07:29:30 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <atau3p114is@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 57663

If after the existing pool of callsigns are issued, that may be a possibility if we ever get a huge inrush of new hams. (and I mean more that what we have now) When they reach Z, ZZ or ZZZ as the suffix in the various classes, and after reusing some of the ones that were changed from upgrades or whatever reason, they may have to look at another format such as a 1x4 or maybe even a 3x3.

Who knows.... guess we will see when we get there...

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

>
> Or suppose somebody petitions FCC to issue 1x4 calls to hams, so there could be
> calls like W5KIDD or W1BILL - or W5(expletive deleted). Would you oppose or
> support such a petition? Oppose support, or do nothing, they're all judgements.
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

3549. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 11:36:19 UTC
From: lbudney-google@nb.net
Message-ID: <417b917a.0212120336.425c8b2b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57738

"K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote:
>
> The term "agnostic" was coined by Huxley..."The bible is true because the
> bible says the bible is true." Huxley rejected that circumpolar sort of
> validation.

Note that there are non-circular arguments as well. Huxley liked to
imply that circular arguments were the only arguments available...

> Agnosticism is the position of believing that knowledge of the existence or
> non-existence of God is impossible...

Note that this is a belief based on blind faith: "knowledge of the
supernatural is impossible, because it is impossible". So you have
something in common with those whose beliefs you reject.

My observation was twofold:

1. The term "faith" does not mean "belief despite lack of evidence",
let alone "belief despite lots of contrary evidence". You were
describing "blind faith", not the sort of faith referred to in
scripture.

2. The belief that "God's existence is fundamentally unknowable" is a
doctrine which must be accepted on blind faith. Specifically, if it
happened to be true that God exists, it must also be true that He
could, if He chose, show Himself to you. Thus the question of God's
existence is, in theory, decidable.

Huxley would argue that if that happened, you could not be sure He was
really God--and no amount of argument, miraculous display, or anything
else would actually constitute "proof". In other words, Huxley's
belief is based on faith, and if hypothetically he was offered
"proof", he has decided in advance that no amount of proof would
suffice. So much for "abandoning circular reasoning"...

> I won't disparage your faith, or even suggest that you are wrong. I simply
> don't personally buy into it.

I don't disparage your views, either. But as a mathematician, I have
to point out when a "more logical" viewpoint is not one.

--Len.

3550. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 12:06:19 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212120406.1157ab7f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57739

"K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:<AfVJ9.1675$MV5.168734@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...

> Do you think anyone will kick the USA out of ITU if W0EX were issued the
> vanity call N0DICK?
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

They might laugh us out.

But if he went for "n0dick," I could get W0EX in two years.

3551. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 12:07:31 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212120407.6deb1545@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57740

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3DF7D26D.137F3A93@earthlink.net>...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > In article <at6eqemtm0@pita.alt.net>, "Cult Guy" <OhNO!@Anon.com> writes:
> >
> > Okay, Dave, we've seen you pseudonym... :-) :-)
>
> Me no have pseudonym, warm-hearted old codger.
> What you mean?
>
> Dave K8MN

OK, Tanto.

3552. Re: Cool Children's Book <Was: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty">

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 12:41:32 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <0u%J9.1623$a8.1056@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 57741

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0212111441.2efff7ec@posting.google.com...
> wa2si@arrl.net (Bert Craig) wrote in message
news:<b7d1465c.0212111152.25fa8b7@posting.google.com>...
> > N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0212050927.7f29f238@posting.google.com>...
> > > wa2si@arrl.net (Bert Craig) wrote in message
news:<b7d1465c.0212050313.1cc57fee@posting.google.com>...
> > >
> > > > CORRECTION: The author's name is Lynne Barasch and here is a more
descriptive site.
> > > >
> > > > http://www.eduplace.com/readingscene/grade3-4/
> > >
> > > WAY COOL!!!
> >
> > Indeed! Another cool feature of this book is the inside covers. They
> > feature the picture of Guglielmo Marconi, Samuel Morse, etc. w/comment
> > bubbles (Like comics.) introducing themselves and outlining <in simple
> > terms> their contributions to the radio art.
>
> And I have a 20% discount coupon aat Borders! ;-)
>
> > > The site says it's a TRUE story, not fiction, and that the young ham
> > > was 10 years old. The rescue happened in 1923. Should be pretty easy
> > > to verify from historical accounts of the day.
> >
> > BTW, his call sign was W2AZK. Throughout the book, his call is
> > referred to as 2AZK, but a QSL card up front displays the full call.
>
> History time: Amateur calls in the USA started with numbers until the
> late 1920s. This was true in most countries that had amateurs, so it
> was easy to miss DX. How was 1XAM to know that 8AB was in France and
> not western PA?
>
> The temporary solution was to add "informal" prefixes to one's call.
> These were shown lower-case. Most Americans used "nu" (North America,
> United States) so 1TS night send "nu1TS" when the band was open.
>
> The permanent solution was for each country to have its own defining
> prefixes. USA got W, K, N and some of the A's. Before WW2, American
> hams in the lower 48 used W, those outside used K.
>

> The callsign districts were also different before WW2, with some split
> states and no 0 calls.
>
> In 1923, at the dawn of the shortwave era, he would have used 2AZK. A
> few years later, W2AZK.

Thanks for the history lesson, Jim. I really do appreciate it. It's
available from Amazon for $9 +/- (Used) to $11 and change. (New)

> > A
> > woman from NJ currently holds this call. Great book though! My lass'
> > eyes lit up last night when I told her that this one is her very own
> > copy
>
> I wonder how many copies Borders has?
>
> > > imagine somebody telling Sarnoff or Armstrong they couldn't be on the
> > > air until age 14....
> >
> > After hearing all the rationalizations about how 5-wpm is a "barrier,"
> > I can believe damn near anything.
>
> HAW!
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

3553. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 13:36:45 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021212083645.07882.00000001@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 57742

In article <3DF82970.1F917FCD@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>> > I never made the claim that the
>> >CW order wire in use in State Department AFRECONE posts was my idea
>> >either.
>>
>> But it did exist.
>
>I lived with it for nearly two years. It worked when multipath
>distortion was so great that RTTY equipment printed only garbage, even
>with link enhancement.

But...but... Dave - that RTTY system is what the PROFESSIONALS use! How could
there have been times when CW got through and RTTY did not?
>
>> My understanding is that the primary purpose of that CW order wire was to
>> coordinate RTTY traffic. Is that correct?
>
>Yes--openings and closings (with ZIC/ZID), QSYs, exchange of crypto set
>info.

Don't forget ZUT...quite appropriate in here sometimes

>> >That doesn't stop Len from utilzing the "Big Lie" over and over
>> >again.
>>
>> That's really all it is. How far is it from where he lives to Whittier?
>
>Hey! I never thought about that.

False claims of being "pinko" were one of RMN's standard ways of attacking an
opponent.
>
>> >> Those who think differently are hereby challenged to come up with
>> >> proof to the contrary. (Don't hold your breath, Dave).
>> >
>> >He's never come up with the proof for the things he says I stated. I
>> >have no reason to believe that he'll do so in your case.
>>
>> Nor to any of the challenges to his allegations of dishonesty/fraud by the
>ARRL
>> and VEs, etc.
>
>I've asked him and Steve has asked numerous times.

Which tells ya that no evidence of any kind exists. Big Lie all over again.
>
>> >> > > >- The airline distance from Chongjin, North Korea to Tokyo is at
>least
>> >670
>> >> > > >statute miles. The distance from Vladivostok to Tokyo is 663
>statute
>> >miles.
>> >> > > >(Source: "Esso War Map III, Featuring The Pacific Theater", printed
>> >1944).
>> >>
>> >> I used the above map because it shows the airline distance from
>> >> Vladivostok to Tokyo directly. It was also right at hand, and more
>> >> detailed than most commonly-available maps of the area. The distance
>> >> to Chongjin had to be calculated from the scale. I have other maps of
>> >> the area, and they all agree on the distances.
>> >
>> >They agree?
>>
>> Yup. My other maps of the area are of about the same vintage. They are
>USAAF
>> maps, given to me by a B-24 navigator who visited Japan over a dozen times
>> without ever setting foot there.
>
>Nice keepsakes.

One of 'em still has missions plotted on it

>> >I figured that Len had Japan's drift calculated and that
>> >his figures would be proven correct when he was honked that you didn't
>> >use a modern map.
>>
>> 160 miles of drift between 1944 and 1952 is about 20 miles per year. At
>that
>> rate, Japan would be west of Korea by now.
>
>I just assumed it'd snuggle up and stop when it reached the continent.

Sea of Japan becomes the Japan River..
>
>> >> > > How does it feel to be at one end of an hour's flight time from
>> >> > > another country that had weapons of mass destruction?
>> >> >
>> >> > Ask any American born before the early '60s.
>> >>
>> >> I'm not sure what you mean, Dave. Back in Cold War days, how long
>> >> would it have taken for a Soviet ICBM to reach the eastern US? How
>> >> about missiles from Soviet submarines? I think it's less than an hour.
>> >> And there were no significant air defenses against such missiles.
>> >
>> >I was thinking about flight time from Cuba!
>>

>> ~20 minutes for the type of missiles the Soviets had at the time, as I
>recall.
>> Not to worry - that type of missile couldn't reach Southern California from
>> Cuba. But they could reach Philly.
>> >
>> >> Did you mean that only Americans older than a certain age (say, 40?)
>> >> can remember when the nuclear threat was more than an hour away?
>> >
>> >No. Kids stopped doing air raid drills after I reached high school. Up
>> >until that point, they were done on a regular basis in the three states
>> >in which I resided while growing up.
>>
>> We never had 'em around here. Guess they figured that since Philly is
>halfway
>> between NYC and DC, we'd be goners.
>
>You never had to get on your knees get under your desk? I figured it
>was a rite of passage.

Nope. I started school in 1960.

Reminds me of the old Robert Klein routine about the drills. ("Child of the
'50s).

"Children, these tags are so we can identify your remains in the event of a
nuclear holocaust. I want two lines, no talking. We're going to have an orderly
nuclear holocaust. I'll be taking names!"
>>
>> Point is, folks around my age and younger grew up knowing that the missiles
>> could be here in under an hour.
>
>So most folks must have had the same jitters as say, an Army enlisted
>man stationed in the Tokyo R&R area during the last few months of the
>Korean War.

I don't know - I've never been to Japan.

But even us kids knew that while hostile airplanes could be shot down or turned
back, there was virtually no defense against incoming ICBMs and SLBMs. If the
keys ever got turned for-real, we were toast. And we knew it.

>> Exactly. Remember how hard he tried to find out who I work for and what I
>>do?
>
>You've seen comments he has made regarding my work, Steve's work and
>Hans' military career.

Also the bad plays on names, ranks, ethnic origins.

Professional question, Dave: In government service today, if someone made a
point of constantly harping on somebody's name/ethnic origin ("Herr Heil",
"jackbooted thugs", etc.) in a hostile manner, would that violate harassment
guidelines? I know that in private industry such behavior would violate most

companies standards of professional behavior, but what about US govt.?

>Now he wants to know Dick Carroll's military
>background (but only so he can engage in civil debate only about the
>removal of morse testing in amateur licensing).

Sun'll rise in the west on that day..
>
>> --
>>
>> btw, fired up the homebrew CW transceiver on 40 an hour ago and a DL6 came
>back
>> to my CQ. Nice 20 minute chat with Gunter.
>
>I have to admit to being a "phone man" this evening". I chatted
>with--what does Brian call him?--"Millionaire Martti" on 75m.

OH, 2 B Him!

> He has
>likely swilling Remy Martin while lighting Havana cigars with twenty
>Euro banknotes.

He's on an economy kick, then.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3554. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 14:03:16 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021212090316.27968.00000008@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 57743

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 12/10/02 12:48 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021210134804.21317.00001506@mb-cf.aol.com>

>Dickiebird is lost in a time-travel vortex. His definition of
> "contemporary" is anything high-tech in the decade of the
> 1930s

As opposed to your "futuristic" 1950's era rants..?!?!

Whooboy, can you dance the rantdance or what...?!?!

PUTZ!

3555. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 14:06:25 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021212090625.27968.00000009@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 57744

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 12/10/02 8:53 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021210215334.07723.00009488@mb-mn.aol.com>

>I know. K4YZ knows I know. I sure know what I say. No slack cutting needed.

Obviously there IS a need, Vipul. You have a demonstrated comprehension problem.

Steve, K4YZ

3556. Re: 7.1 Abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 14:24:37 UTC
From: "William A. Ward" <waward@aaahawk.com>
Message-ID: <3DF89C24.ED7AB25F@aaahawk.com>
Source record: 57745

the problem is that they have been broken , and I cannot see the bands.
I'm wondering if they were like this.

in ->|-ground->|-in any ideas?

3557. Re: K1MAN jamming countinues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 14:59:53 UTC
From: hanavin@ren.eecis.udel.edu
Message-ID: <ata899nda1@dewey.udel.edu>
Source record: 57747
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Definitley not right (and illegal) to Jam his Broadcast. I just wish the FCC would eliminate broadcasting on the amateur bands, including W1AW.

3558. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 15:00:16 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DF8B2C0.AC1CE6A@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57746

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> >Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 12/10/02 12:48 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <20021210134804.21317.00001506@mb-cf.aol.com>
>
> >Dickiebird is lost in a time-travel vortex. His definition of
> > "contemporary" is anything high-tech in the decade of the
> > 1930s
>
> As opposed to your "futuristic" 1950's era rants..?!?!
>
> Whooboy, can you dance the rantdance or what...?!?!

Poor putzie doesn't have a single useful clue, if he did he'd know that anything "contemporary" would include things in widespread use currently. Of course since he knows nothing about CONTEMPORARY ham radio, he can't be aware that Morse code on ham radio at HF is among the most used of modes.

You sure he isn't doing the ratdance?

3559. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 15:08:38 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021212100838.29682.00000014@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 57748

>Subject: Re: ARS License Numbers
>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>Date: 12/12/02 9:00 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3DF8B2C0.AC1CE6A@townsqr.com>

> You sure he isn't doing the ratdance? (IRT Lennie the Liar)

Actually I was guessing he slam-danced one time too many with a rack full
of those 1953 Army repeaters...Seems SOMETHING bashed into that boy's head
somewhere along the line!

No RATIONAL person could be so intentionally ignorant and self-humiliating
in public!

Ya think he knows, or is he "blissfully unaware"...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3560. Re: K1MAN jamming countinues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 15:44:23 UTC
From: radiofun2 <rfun3monkeys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3df8af14@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 57749
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Chuck Hanavin" <hanavin@ren.eecis.udel.edu> wrote in message
news:ata899nda1@dewey.udel.edu...
> Definitley not right (and illegal) to Jam his Broadcast. I just wish the
FCC
> would eliminate broadcasting on the amateur bands, including
> W1AW.

Adleast W1AW does not "borrow" other peoples copyrighted
broadcast material and put out the same redundant old horse crap
5 times a day seven days a week.

3561. Re: 7.1 Abuse

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 15:56:48 UTC
From: radiofun2 <rfun3monkeys@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3df8b1b2@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 57750

"William A. Ward" <waward@aaahawk.com> wrote in message
news:3DF89C24.ED7AB25F@aaahawk.com...
> the problem is that they have been broken , and I cannot see the bands.
> I'm wondering if they were like this.

If this amusingly quote above were
from a certain bulbous ham-op in Alabama or
West Va, I would say the
problem is you need to lose weight
so that when your standin in front
of the porcelan altar at 6:00 AM
you can look down and *see* what
your doing instead of hittin the floor
or the wall..... GRIN

"...making amateure radio -more fun- this is k1man"

(.....every time I hear that I want to go s--t a brick)

3562. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 17:15:42 UTC
From: K�HB <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <2v3K9.2068$j_4.242248@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57651

"KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote

:
: "FOR WE WALK BY FAITH, NOT BY SIGHT" II CORINTHIANS 4:7
:

I'm sure you didn't mean to, but you just made my point.

YMMV.

73, de Hans, K0HB

3563. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 17:29:01 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212120929.83cf0f8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57652

"Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<uvfp08ihf973e3@corp.supernews.com>...
> "N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:c2356669.0212111540.70f2094d@posting.google.com...
> >
> > Which means you are expressing a value, or making a choice, about that
> > callsign as opposed to, say, W5TIS.

> Hey, how 'bout the callsing W5LTO.

How about it?

> Could mean a callsign for the Lotto.

Not obvious to most people. And I don't know anyone who would be
offended by hearing "WOW! Look at the size of the Lotto!" or "Nice
Lotto, huh?"

Are people in Texas offended by the Lotto?

> Wow, ever get into one of those debates?

About the Lotto? Only debate I ever got into was trying to convince a
mathematically-impaired person that the chances of winning were far
worse than he imagined. Guy did not understand permutations.

> Whew... I mean, just think of the
> callsigns that have been/could be/are issued that people could really be
> disgusted about.

There aren't many I can think of that are inappropriate for ham radio.
Besides ones like yours, Kim ;-)

...if Lottie playes the Lotto lots and lots.....

3564. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 17:35:49 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DF8C8A0.68F3B98E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 57653

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DF7D26D.137F3A93@earthlink.net>...
> > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > >
> > > In article <at6eqemtm0@pita.alt.net>, "Cult Guy" <OhNO!@Anon.com> writes:
> > >
> > > Okay, Dave, we've seen you pseudonym... :-) :-)
> >
> > Me no have pseudonym, warm-hearted old codger.
> > What you mean?
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> OK, Tanto.

If you intend to insert a barbed comment, it helps to know how "Tonto"
is spelled. "Tanto" was the sidekick of the "Line Ringer".

Dave K8MN

3565. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 17:38:09 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212120938.12c35ff9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57654

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021211214347.10597.00003178@mb-mq.aol.com>...
> In article <77f1797e.0212111151.6c688f68@posting.google.com>, kb9rvb@arrl.net
> (Carl Zager) writes:
>
> >I have been in education as a public school student for 12 years, as
> >an undergraduate in college (a church school) for 4 years, as a
> >graduate student (at a state school) for 2 years, as a public school
> >teacher in systems in Ohio and Indiana for 34 years (and in those 34
> >years have taught at the university level (at a state school) for
> >parts of 5 years). I have NEVER, in all those years, with all those
> >colleagues, with all those students, NEVER heard any professional
> >colleague say or do anything even remotely like the following. The
> >only time I have ever heard anything like this was out of the mouths
> >of people trying to make public school teachers look like some kind of
> >driveling idiots. To be this offended I had to read this in a Ham
> >radio forum from someone from my own district. I am ashamed. You can
> >back pedal or you can attack me -- I won't respond -- but the level of
> >discourse among supposedly intelligent adults just took a deep dive.
> >
> >Carl
>
> Carl:
>
> Just for the record, are you a person with religious beliefs, or not?

Everyone has religious beliefs. Even atheists. The person who says
"there is no God" has the nonexistence of a Supreme Being as part of
his/her religion.

> If
> so, what is your opinion of children being taught about the concept
> of God and religion in school? I'm not talking about actual religious
> instruction (which I would not expect in a public school), just teaching
> kids about the fact that religions exist, and that they are based on
> a belief on a supreme being (God).

Not all religions are based on belief in a God.

> You seem quite worked up about
> the joke that was posted, and in my experience, that usually denotes
> some sort of identification with what was said. In which context do
> you identify with it?

It wasn't a joke. It portrayed a teacher as stupid, and evolution as
anti-religion. In fact, it hardly portrayed evolution and science at

all.

What makes it really bad is the fact that some people are pushing pseudoscience into the curriculum, at the expense of critical thinking and real science. The Scopes monkey trial is still going on in some parts of the USA.

The *concept* of religion being taught in the public schools is a good idea. The actual teaching of specific religious beliefs as fact isn't.

Separation of church and state is one of the things that defines the USA. We have plenty of examples in the world today of what happens when that separation does not exist.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3566. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 17:46:10 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <CX3K9.2099$j_4.245344@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57655

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

:
: Everyone has religious beliefs. Even atheists. The person who says
: "there is no God" has the nonexistence of a Supreme Being as part of
: his/her religion.
:

Lack of belief in a god does not constitute a religion any more than lack of
belief in UFOs constitutes a space program.

73, de Hans, K0HB

3567. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 18:02:59 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212121002.6823d385@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57656

"Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<uvdessoa29ed06@corp.supernews.com>...
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021210204550.04125.00000276@mb-da.aol.com...
> > In article <uv7dfvi8i4p32e@corp.supernews.com>, "Kim"
> > <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
> >
> > >"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> > >news:20021208143706.03466.00000030@mb-fz.aol.com...
> > >> In article <uv6ijaeph2uvdc@corp.supernews.com>, "Kim"
> > >> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
> > >>
> > >> >I can't think of a pleasant world without all kinds in it.
> > >>
> > >> I can.
> > >>
> > >> > So, if we "just
> > >> >happen" to have all kinds, I sure am glad we do. ;)
> > >>
> > >> You're glad we have the Saddam Hussein kind, and the OBL kind, and the
> > >>kind
> > >> that would help those folks and follow them?
>
> > >You know what? Philosophically speaking, it takes each and every
> encounter
> > >to make us who and what we are.
> >
> > That's right, it does. And there are some encounters we'd be better off
> > without.
> >
> > Your original statement was:
> >
> > "I can't think of a pleasant world without all kinds in it."
> >
> > Would the world not be pleasant without the Saddam Hussein kind and the
> OBL
> > kind? What would be lost if the terrorists and oppressors and those bent
> on
> > destruction simply disappeared?
>
> I can't answer that, because I don't know. I don't know that I'm right
> about the world needing all kinds, and I don't know that I'm right that we
> need all encounters to be what we are. That is what I believe. It is
> called faith.

It's also avoiding the question: Can you not *imagine* a world that
would be pleasant without certain kinds of people in it?

"Faith" is what we a person believes without proof, and it is
necessary because we don't have proof of everything we want to know.
But faith is not an excuse for being illogical or avoiding judgement.

Consider this statement:

"We need thieves, robbers and burglars in our society, because if they
all disappeared, there would be no need for locks, alarms, and certain
kinds of insurance - and the people who make their living in those
industries would lose their jobs".

Logical or not?

> I do know there isn't a time in history in which "we"
> (people; humans) have not had to endure bitter times.

I disagree. What is known is that, for recorded history, we find that
there has always been some group of people who were enduring bad
times. That doesn't mean we must apathetically acccept evil and
suffering as some sort of necessity.

> I have to believe
> there is a reason, or a purpose, for that--or continue to ask the question
> why.

Sure - that's what YOU have to believe.

From the Book of Bokonon:

Tiger got to hunt
Bird got to fly,
Man got to wonder, "Why, why, why?"

Tiger got to sleep
Bird got to land,
Man got think he understand.

> > Ever see the Kurosawa classic, "The Seven Samurai"? Told a basic truth:
> > The
> > productive people (farmers) don't need the destroyers of production
> > (bandits)
> > to exist and have happy lives. But the bandits sure need the farmers to
> > exist!
> >
>
> There is an (Eastern?) philosophical statement that says for every action
> there is an equal and opposite reaction.

That's basic physics. It's how rockets work.

> It's probably much like
> Christianity's "to everything there is a turn..."

"To every thing there is a time, and a purpose under heaven".
Ecclesiastes, as I recall. Made popular in the song "Turn, Turn,
Turn". Best known version is the Byrds', but actually written by Pete
Seeger.

> I have not seen the movie "The Seven Samurai"; however I would have to
> challenge the tenet as being unprovable.

It's easily provable. If there are no bandits, will the farmers stop
raising food? Of course not. If there are no farmers, the bandits will
starve.

Somebody has to produce in order for there to be something to steal.
The producers do not need the moochers and thieves, but the moochers
and thieves need the producers.

> We've never had a world without challenge.

Doesn't mean progress is impossible.

150 years ago, in this very country, you could have gotten into a
serious "philosophical" argument that slavery was an economic
necessity. Millions of people accepted - no PROMOTED - the concept of
owning other innocent humans. Today we see slavery as fundamentally
immoral and illogical. The world is a better place without slavery.
> >
> > If you want to talk philosophy, tell us what the producers of the world
> > gain from the moochers and destroyers.

> We, at the least, gain knowing that we don't want to be like them, think
> like them, act like them. They, at the least, offer an example of what can
> happen if we do.

Doesn't mean we "need" them. We don't need to have people jumping off
of bridges and falling to their deaths to know it's a bad idea.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3568. Re: K1MAN jamming countinues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 19:34:05 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ataojo$77q$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57657
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

W1AW is transmitting legal and authorized bulletins for the Amateur
community.

K1MAN is broadcasting a 'variety' show with plagerized material.

Dan/W4NTI

"Chuck Hanavin" <hanavin@ren.eecis.udel.edu> wrote in message
news:ata899nda1@dewey.udel.edu...
> Definitley not right (and illegal) to Jam his Broadcast. I just wish the
FCC
> would eliminate broadcasting on the amateur bands, including
> W1AW.
>
>

3569. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 19:39:16 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <EB5K9.2280$j_4.256395@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57658

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote

: I do know there isn't a time in history in which "we"
: (people; humans) have not had to endure bitter times. I have to believe
: there is a reason, or a purpose, for that--or continue to ask the question
: why.

"Bad things" (evil persons) do not exist for some heavenly purpose. But if you insist on seeking a divine purpose, then I offer you the following from the First Book of Bokonon.

73, de Hans, K0HB

In the beginning, God created the earth, and he looked upon it in His cosmic loneliness.

And God said, "Let Us make living creatures out of mud, so the mud can see what We have done." And God created every living creature that now moveth, and one was man. Mud as man alone could speak. God leaned close as mud as man sat up, looked around, and spoke. Man blinked. "What is the purpose of all this?" he asked politely.

"Everything must have a purpose?" asked God.

"Certainly," said man.

"Then I leave it to you to think of one for all this," said God.

And He went away.

3570. Re: K1MAN jamming countinues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 19:53:13 UTC
From: "N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3df8e78f$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 57659
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:ataojo$77q$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> W1AW is transmitting legal and authorized bulletins for the Amateur
> community.
>
> K1MAN is broadcasting a 'variety' show with plagerized material.

You got proof of that? Of course you don't. Those ARRL reports are aired on 2 meter repeaters all the time. I bet Mr. Hollingsworth is sitting in his office, just laughing at you. Do you know that Holligsworth once worked with Nextel, to help them win contracts with the FCC. I bet you when he retires, he is gonna use a case file, that he is building with every day, to sell off the ham bands, and put lots of money is his pocket. Not yours or mine. Every day you and ND8V jam K1MAN, it gives Hollingsworth another entry into his file, and another nail in the coffin of Ham Radio.

Think about it.

>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

--
Lloyd Davies, Alabama, USA
Icom R-75, R-2 DX-398
http://www.qsl.net/n0vfp

3571. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 19:56:51 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212121156.5ef148aa@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57660

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20021212083645.07882.00000001@mb-mr.aol.com>...
> In article <3DF82970.1F917FCD@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:

> >> >> > > >- The airline distance from Chongjin, North Korea to Tokyo is at
> least
> 670
> >> >> > > >statute miles. The distance from Vladivostok to Tokyo is 663
> statute
> miles.
> >> >> > > >(Source: "Esso War Map III, Featuring The Pacific Theater", printed
> 1944).

Great, use a gas station map to plot air miles.

With lat/lon of both points you should be able to calculate the
distance w/o a map. Look up, "Great Circle."

> >> >> I used the above map because it shows the airline distance from
> >> >> Vladivostok to Tokyo directly. It was also right at hand, and more
> >> >> detailed than most commonly-available maps of the area. The distance
> >> >> to Chongjin had to be calculated from the scale. I have other maps of
> >> >> the area, and they all agree on the distances.
> >> >
> >> >They agree?
> >>
> >> Yup. My other maps of the area are of about the same vintage. They are
> USAAF
> >> maps, given to me by a B-24 navigator who visited Japan over a dozen times
> >> without ever setting foot there.

> >Nice keepsakes.
>
> One of 'em still has missions plotted on it

The 2ID gave me a computer that still had the order of battle on it.
They only cared about light security, I guess.

> >> >I figured that Len had Japan's drift calculated and that
> >> >his figures would be proven correct when he was honked that you didn't
> >> >use a modern map.
> >>
> >> 160 miles of drift between 1944 and 1952 is about 20 miles per year. At
> that
> >> rate, Japan would be west of Korea by now.
> >

> >I just assumed it'd snuggle up and stop when it reached the continent.
>
> Sea of Japan becomes the Japan River..

When did the asteroid hit?

Reminds me of Dan Fin. What a great over reaction to a nice rounded
number.

> >> Point is, folks around my age and younger grew up knowing that the missiles
> >> could be here in under an hour.
> >
> >So most folks must have had the same jitters as say, an Army enlisted
> >man stationed in the Tokyo R&R area during the last few months of the
> >Korean War.
>
> I don't know - I've never been to Japan.

Korea?

3572. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 20:56:44 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DF8F80C.3050601@psu.edu>
Source record: 57661

N2EY wrote:
> "Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<uvfp08ihf973e3@corp.supernews.com>...

>>Hey, how 'bout the callsing W5LTO.

> How about it?

>>Could mean a callsign for the Lotto.

> Not obvious to most people. And I don't know anyone who would be
> offended by hearing "WOW! Look at the size of the Lotto!" or "Nice
> Lotto, huh?"

> Are people in Texas offended by the Lotto?

I'm not in Texas, but I find the lottory (and all gambling) offensive.
Gambling is either near to a sickness or a sickness. That it is
subsidized and promoted by the states is quite annoying to me.

Besides, it is annoying to stand in line at a store behind of of the
addicted and wait while they put their numbers in or scratch n' lose
their money away.

And just to add insult to insult, the addicted's losses are tax
deductable. Sheesh!

- Mike KB3EIA -

3573. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 21:11:31 UTC
From: kb9rvb@arrl.net
Message-ID: <77f1797e.0212121311.20d5e17@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57662

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021211214347.10597.00003178@mb-mq.aol.com>...
> In article <77f1797e.0212111151.6c688f68@posting.google.com>, kb9rvb@arrl.net
> (Carl Zager) writes:
>
> >I have been in education as a public school student for 12 years, as
> >an undergraduate in college (a church school) for 4 years, as a
> >graduate student (at a state school) for 2 years, as a public school
> >teacher in systems in Ohio and Indiana for 34 years (and in those 34
> >years have taught at the university level (at a state school) for
> >parts of 5 years). I have NEVER, in all those years, with all those
> >colleagues, with all those students, NEVER heard any professional
> >colleague say or do anything even remotely like the following. The
> >only time I have ever heard anything like this was out of the mouths
> >of people trying to make public school teachers look like some kind of
> >driveling idiots. To be this offended I had to read this in a Ham
> >radio forum from someone from my own district. I am ashamed. You can
> >back pedal or you can attack me -- I won't respond -- but the level of
> >discourse among supposedly intelligent adults just took a deep dive.
> >
> >Carl
>
> Carl:
>
> Just for the record, are you a person with religious beliefs, or not? If
> so, what is your opinion of children being taught about the concept
> of God and religion in school? I'm not talking about actual religious
> instruction (which I would not expect in a public school), just teaching
> kids about the fact that religions exist, and that they are based on
> a belief on a supreme being (God). You seem quite worked up about
> the joke that was posted, and in my experience, that usually denotes
> some sort of identification with what was said. In which context do
> you identify with it?
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

I think I am a person with religious beliefs. I wouldn't want those beliefs to have to pass muster with most of the individuals I know who are back-thumpingly sure of WJWD -- but yes.

I don't know how one could not teach that religions exist. How could one teach about the Spanish colonization of the Americas without reference to the role of the Roman Catholic Church? How could one teach about the Crusades without acknowledging the two opposing religious forces? I also know that much of what could be taught

historically is fraught with potential disaster for the teacher because it doesn't say what this group or that group wants it to say. Most, then, would take the safe way out and avoid the topic rather than run afoul. How? Well, there was a colleague of mine who was called on the carpet with a parental complaint because he made the statement that "historically, Jesus was a Jew." That's no joke made up to prove my point. It's an example of the conumdrum in which teachers find themselves

I thought I explained why I was worked up about the joke. I am sick to death of radicals from both sides of the spectrum creating "straw man" arguments and passing that off as "Gospel." (pun intended). "But it's just a joke!" Humor is cruel. There has been no finer (equal, but not superior) public instituion than the American public school system. Every child, no matter what gender, what race, what socio-economic background, has a ride on the carousel and a chance at the silver ring. Every child. Has there been waste? Yes. Have we adopted silly or unfounded practices? Yes. But there is no other educational system in the world so closely related to the principles of democracy as practiced in the US. Are there better systems? For what purpose? To perpetuate a class system? To assure that only the "brightest and the best" get to go on?

3574. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-12 21:30:57 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DF90011.9030808@psu.edu>
Source record: 57664

N2EY wrote:
> yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021211214347.10597.00003178@mb-mq.aol.com>...
>
<snippage>

>>so, what is your opinion of children being taught about the concept
>>of God and religion in school? I'm not talking about actual religious
>>instruction (which I would not expect in a public school), just teaching
>>kids about the fact that religions exist, and that they are based on
>>a belief on a supreme being (God).

>>You seem quite worked up about
>>the joke that was posted, and in my experience, that usually denotes
>>some sort of identification with what was said. In which context do
>>you identify with it?
>
>
> It wasn't a joke. It portrayed a teacher as stupid, and evolution as
> anti-religion. In fact, it hardly portrayed evolution and science at
> all.

And it wasn't funny either.

> What makes it really bad is the fact that some people are pushing
> pseudoscience into the curriculum, at the expense of critical thinking
> and real science. The Scopes monkey trial is still going on in some
> parts of the USA.

Indeed it is. Maybe we should also teach "Flat Earth" in school.

> The *concept* of religion being taught in the public schools is a good
> idea. The actual teaching of specific religious beliefs as fact isn't.

And there can be a thin line there. Often things are just fine until
they start talking about a religion which someone doesn't care for.
(more often someone's parents) "It's all fun and games until someone's
eye is put out"

I went to a school in a community where the hot topic issues were
controlled by the religious zealots. NOt only was evolution not taught,
but most anything not required by the state that was possible to lead
to talking about evolution was nixed. It was amazing that in the '70's
we didn't cover paleontology or the like.

On top of that, I was almost finished with my "sex education" class
before I realized that was what it was. What tipped me off was that they

started talking about VD. We were told to avoid it, but not even how you caught it. That was it. nothing else.

I remember that the students were concerned about this, and a number of us went to a school board meeting where the topic would be discussed. One of the religious zealot parents actually brought in a Penthouse magazine and when it was his turn to talk, he stood and in full zeal, he spoke of the sinful ways of the school, the students, and then in full view of all us kids (probably 30 of us) he whips out the Penthouse and opens the centerfold, and shows it, proclaiming "This is what they are teaching our kids in school these days!" Needless to say, he was admonished, but amazingly, not ejected. Most of the male students who attended were hoping he would come to more meetings!! ;^)

But coming from a school system where the religious ruled the roost, and where nothing got taught unless THEY approved of it, I know firsthand what they have in mind. How would anyone convince me otherwise?

The simultaneous teaching of Evolution and one religion's version of how this place got started is a foot in the door tactic. There is no doubt that that group wants only the religious aspect (theirs, BTW) - taught at all.

> Separation of church and state is one of the things that defines the
> USA.

Thank God!

- Mike KB3EIA -

3575. Re: K1MAN jamming countinues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 00:26:03 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <atb9e8$i9t$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57665
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I don't need to prove a thing...all you have to do is listen to K1MANs bullshit. Anyone with any sense knows what he is doing. Which apparantly elliminates you from the equation.

I dont Jam K1MAN and never have. The rules state and the FCC agrees that IF someone or group is on frequency when K1MAN begins his tapecast...it is LEGAL TO REMAIN ON FREQUENCY AS LONG AS YOU WANT.

Don't belive me? Drop Mr. H a line at RHOLLING@fcc.gov

Have a nice day numbnuts.

Dan/W4NTI

"N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3df8e78f$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:ataojo$77q$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> > W1AW is transmitting legal and authorized bulletins for the Amateur
> > community.
> >
> > K1MAN is broadcasting a 'variety' show with plagerized material.
>
> You got proof of that? Of course you don't. Those ARRL reports are aired
on
> 2 meter repeaters all the time. I bet Mr. Hollingsworth is sitting in
his
> office, just laughing at you. Do you know that Holligsworth once worked
> with Nextel, to help them win contracts with the FCC. I bet you when he
> retires, he is gonna use a case file, that he is building with every day,
to
> sell off the ham bands, and put lots of money is his pocket. Not yours or
> mine. Every day you and ND8V jam K1MAN, it gives Hollingsworth another
> entry into his file, and another nail in the coffin of Ham Radio.
>
> Think about it.
>
>
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
>
> --

> Lloyd Davies, Alabama, USA
> Icom R-75, R-2 DX-398
> http://www.qsl.net/n0vfp
>
>
>

3576. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 00:30:53 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <atb9napbb1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57666

Now that is going to piss someone off....hey bro Llllooyyydddd where are you???

Dan/W4NTI

"K&#65533;HB" <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message news:EB5K9.2280$j_4.256395@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote
>
> : I do know there isn't a time in history in which "we"
> : (people; humans) have not had to endure bitter times. I have to believe
> : there is a reason, or a purpose, for that--or continue to ask the question
> : why.
>
> "Bad things" (evil persons) do not exist for some heavenly purpose. But if
> you insist on seeking a divine purpose, then I offer you the following from
> the First Book of Bokonon.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> -------
>
> In the beginning, God created the earth, and he looked upon it in His cosmic
> loneliness.
>
> And God said, "Let Us make living creatures out of mud, so the mud can see
> what We have done." And God created every living creature that now moveth,
> and one was man. Mud as man alone could speak. God leaned close as mud as
> man sat up, looked around, and spoke. Man blinked. "What is the purpose of
> all this?" he asked politely.
>
> "Everything must have a purpose?" asked God.
>
> "Certainly," said man.
>
> "Then I leave it to you to think of one for all this," said God.
>
> And He went away.
>
> -------

>
>
>

3577. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 01:04:51 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212121704.5b580040@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57667

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20021212014042.04214.00001187@mb-cc.aol.com>...
> In article <f45722ac.0212111652.2314fbde@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20021211141542.07579.00000944@mb-mn.aol.com>...
> >> In article <d22b54c3.0212101741.7668b5d7@posting.google.com>,
> kelly@dvol.com
> >> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >> >What was the closest you ever came to any member of any Communist
> >> >military force during you active duty time? I wuz within 750 feet.
> >>
> >> You were perhaps closer than that to the movie screen, ace.
> >>
> >> You weren't ever "within 750 feet" of any USSR aircraft except
> >> one on static display at an airshow. :-)
> >
> >
> >Perhaps their boats fueled up at the same port.
> >
> >FWIW, I climbed up on a derelict mig17 at the mogadishu airfield. We
> >were warned not to climb inside the cockpit as the ejection seat
> >hadn't been blown yet.
>
> Carefull...Mr. Catapult HAS THE NEGATIVES! :-)

Here's something negative from the ARRL. The ARRL doesn't say if the
examinees only took 8 minutes.

NEWINGTON, CT, Dec 12, 2002--The FCC has completed its review of ARRL
VEC Amateur Radio examination sessions held in 1999 and 2000 in
Cookeville, Tennessee, and in Trumbull, Connecticut in 2002. A probe
of a 2000 ARRL VEC exam session in Pelham, Georgia, continues.

The Cookeville case involved exam sessions on December 14, 1999, and
on March 11, 2000. In a November 18 letter to ARRL VEC Manager Bart
Jahnke, W9JJ, FCC Special Counsel Riley Hollingsworth recommended that
the ARRL VEC no longer accept the volunteer examiner services of five
amateurs involved with the sessions. He named James N. Keaton, W4SOH;
Maria C. Droke, KC4FLW; George S. Droke, W5SD; Bobby A. Raymer, N2BR;
and Steven G. Hunter, KF4FAV.

Hollingsworth said the FCC's decision was based on the fact that members of the VE team participated in the examination of applicants they knew--or should have known--to be related to certain VEs, contrary to ï¿½97.509(d) of the FCC rules. He further noted that the actual examination location and date of one of the tests--for a disabled person--were concealed and represented to be at a different location and date.

"Please emphasize to your VEs that every participating or administering VE shares responsibility for the administration, oversight and integrity of the test session," Hollingsworth told Jahnke, whom he also thanked for his cooperation in the matter. The FCC launched its probe after the ARRL VEC called apparent discrepancies at the Cookeville sessions to the Commission's attention.

In a separate letter November 20 to Gary E. Hunter, KG4FRN, the brother of former VE Stephen G. Hunter, the FCC downgraded Gary Hunter's license from Amateur Extra to Technician--his previous license class prior to the Cookeville session administered in part by his brother. The wife of Bobby Raymer, Kathy J. Raymer, ex-KG4FWO, also had been credited with having passed exam elements at the session. Kathy Raymer agreed earlier this year to forfeit her Technician license.

FCC rule ï¿½97.509(d) prohibits a VE from administering an examination to a close relative. The list includes spouses, children, grandchildren, stepchildren, parents, grandparents, stepparents, siblings and stepsiblings, aunts, uncles, nieces, nephews and in-laws.

Regarding the Trumbull, Connecticut, review, Hollingsworth wrote Jahnke on November 14 that 9 of the 10 VEs administering the session "followed proper testing procedures" and that the FCC has no objection to their being reinstated as VEs. All 10 volunteer examiners had been suspended from the ARRL VEC program in 2001 for the duration of the FCC probe--standard procedure in such instances.

Jahnke says the nine VEs Hollingsworth named in his letter have been returned to the active list. Those reinstated were ARRL VEC VEs Kevin W. Cellini, N1GKM; Glenn J. Krieger, N1HAW; Allen H. Silberstein, N1RWE; Andres A. Rosado, KB1FKJ; Robert E. Moreland, KA1ZMF; Peter J. Keyes, N1GOJ; Donald W. Stowe, N1VNM; Arthur L. Cartier, III, N1VGT; and Kenneth A. Frissora, N1JKA.

Hollingsworth said the 10th VE, Freddy Martin, W1BIQ (ex-KB1FKI), continues to be suspended from the roster of active ARRL VEC VEs, per his June 28, 2001, letter initiating the inquiry. He said he anticipates no further action in the case.

Hollingsworth initially cited Trumbull session documents that he'd said "reflect several alarming discrepancies in testing procedures." The ARRL VEC had referred the test documents to the FCC as part of its responsibilities as a Volunteer Examiner Coordinator.

The probe had focused on discrepancies in documents submitted on behalf of one applicant--Elvis Mendez, KB1GPY--who had attempted to upgrade to Extra at the May 10 session. It was believed that the candidate may have had access to the answer key used by VEs for grading Morse code examinations or that his answer sheet may have been completed prior to the examination. The applicant's answer sheet also had contained "a significant number of erasures" to VE grading marks and to the score of the applicant's Extra class written exam. Mendez' Extra exam was invalidated as a result of the discrepancies.

Hollingsworth also requested in a November 12 letter to Jahnke that the ARRL VEC not accept the services of VEs who had proctored a June 10, 2000, exam session in Pelham, Georgia, at least until questions surrounding the session's administration have been resolved. The ARRL VEC has supplied information in that case to the FCC.

3578. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 01:06:25 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uvid5th7mvg1d2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57670

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:3DF8F80C.3050601@psu.edu...
>
>
> N2EY wrote:
> > "Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<uvfp08ihf973e3@corp.supernews.com>...
>
>
>
> >>Hey, how 'bout the callsing W5LTO.
>
> > How about it?
>
> >>Could mean a callsign for the Lotto.
>
> > > Not obvious to most people. And I don't know anyone who would be
> > > offended by hearing "WOW! Look at the size of the Lotto!" or "Nice
> > > Lotto, huh?"
>
> > > Are people in Texas offended by the Lotto?
>
> I'm not in Texas, but I find the lottory (and all gambling) offensive.
> Gambling is either near to a sickness or a sickness. That it is
> subsidized and promoted by the states is quite annoying to me.
>
> Besides, it is annoying to stand in line at a store behind of of the
> addicted and wait while they put their numbers in or scratch n' lose
> their money away.
>
> And just to add insult to insult, the addicted's losses are tax
> deductable. Sheesh!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

I, uh, rest my case.

Kim W5TIT

3579. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 01:08:21 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212121708.5a40d2e6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57668

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0212110114.637b805b@posting.google.com>...
> n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<20021209223725.06308.00000881@mb-fm.aol.com>...
> > In article <d22b54c3.0212090617.56a2a4e4@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> > (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> > >And I was just a frigging *CIVILIAN*!
> > >
> > GREAT STORY! Twarn't no air show, neither, I bet.
>
> Having a for real Bear that close up gave me a good dose of
> heebie-jeebies but in the end it really wasn't much more than an air
> show. As I found out Soviet overflights of our ships were not
> particularly unusual including occasional low altitude runs by Bears
> over carriers and missle frigates. They were supposedly photo-recon
> missions but that was a flimsy misnomer for intentional aggravation
> missions. Everybody up top knew well ahead of time that the Bear was
> on it's way and what it was gonna do. 'Cept me, I was at the front of
> my learning curve, all I was told was to get set for for some
> interesting photos. Which I did. I looked around the deck just after
> his pass and there were guys with cameras everywhere, seamen to
> scrambled-eggs types. "Photo recon" . . yeah, right, all he got was
> shots of maybe a hundred of us getting shots of him. Had to be an
> intelligence goldmine.
>
> It was an inexcusable, childish, stupid and dangerous Soviet game is
> what it was.
>
> w3rv

They measure our reaction, we measure theirs. That's how the game was played.

They were probably listening. Anything visual would have been gravy.

3580. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 01:11:54 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212121711.6b6d5af0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57669

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3DF8C8A0.68F3B98E@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DF7D26D.137F3A93@earthlink.net>...
> > > Len Over 21 wrote:
> > > >
> > > > In article <at6eqemtm0@pita.alt.net>, "Cult Guy" <OhNO!@Anon.com> writes:
> > > >
> > > > Okay, Dave, we've seen you pseudonym... :-) :-)
> > >
> > > Me no have pseudonym, warm-hearted old codger.
> > > What you mean?
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > OK, Tanto.
>
> If you intend to insert a barbed comment, it helps to know how "Tonto"
> is spelled. "Tanto" was the sidekick of the "Line Ringer".
>
> Dave K8MN

Chief Hayakowa?

3581. Re: K1MAN jamming countinues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 02:03:34 UTC
From: "N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3df93e5f$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 57671
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:atb9e8$i9t$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> I don't need to prove a thing...all you have to do is listen to K1MANs
> bullshit. Anyone with any sense knows what he is doing. Which apparantly
> elliminates you from the equation.
>
> I dont Jam K1MAN and never have. The rules state and the FCC agrees that
IF
> someone or group is on frequency when K1MAN begins his tapecast...it is
> LEGAL TO REMAIN ON FREQUENCY AS LONG AS YOU WANT.

Quote FCC rule. If so, then does it apply to the interCON phone patch net?

Too many double standards coming from Holingsworth.

--
Jesus Christ is coming in your lifetime - R.G. Stair
"The bulletin service is here to stay"- K1MAN

3582. Re: K1MAN jamming countinues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 02:13:57 UTC
From: "N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3df940ce_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 57672
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:atb9e8$i9t$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> I don't need to prove a thing...all you have to do is listen to K1MANs
> bullshit. Anyone with any sense knows what he is doing. Which apparantly
> elliminates you from the equation.
>

RE-read my comments, Dan. It's people like you who put nails in the coffin.
I was not the one who threatened people over the air. I did'nt get on the
air and make certain people "my business"

I'm working on upgrading to General class this next year. I plan on getting
on 17 meters and 15 meters. No contesting, just getting on the air and
having fun. No fights on the air.

and I am....

--
Lloyd Davies, Alabama, USA
Icom R-75, R-2 DX-398
http://www.qsl.net/n0vfp

3583. Re: K1MAN jamming countinues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 02:37:56 UTC
From: K�HB <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <8KbK9.2803$j_4.308516@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57673
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote\

:
: Quote FCC rule. If so, then does it apply to the interCON phone patch
net?
:

�97.101(b) No frequency will be assigned for the exclusive use of any
station.

In other words, if I am using 14.175, then K1MAN has no exclusive right to take over until I leave. He can certainly ask if I'd mind moving, and I might even do so if he was polite about it.

The question will be on your General test. The correct answer is (E).

73, de Hans, K0HB

3584. Re: K1MAN jamming countinues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 02:45:34 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <atbhjqnng1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57674
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

WGAF what you say and do Llllloooyyyddd? Not I. As for quoting the rules. As stated write Mr. H. He is the guy with the big stick.

Dan/W4NTI

"N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:3df940ce_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:atb9e8$i9t$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> > I don't need to prove a thing...all you have to do is listen to K1MANs
> > bullshit. Anyone with any sense knows what he is doing. Which apparantly
> > elliminates you from the equation.
> >
>
> RE-read my comments, Dan. It's people like you who put nails in the coffin.
> I was not the one who threatened people over the air. I did'nt get on the
> air and make certain people "my business"
>
> I'm working on upgrading to General class this next year. I plan on getting
> on 17 meters and 15 meters. No contesting, just getting on the air and
> having fun. No fights on the air.
>
> and I am....
>
>
> --
> Lloyd Davies, Alabama, USA
> Icom R-75, R-2 DX-398
> http://www.qsl.net/n0vfp
>
>

3585. Re: K1MAN jamming countinues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 02:46:21 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <atbhl9$qg0$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57675
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Thanks Hans. Couldn't find my 'FCC Rulebook' off hand.

Dan/W4NTI

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:8KbK9.2803$j_4.308516@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote\
>
> :
> : Quote FCC rule. If so, then does it apply to the interCON phone patch
> net?
> :
>
> �97.101(b) No frequency will be assigned for the exclusive use of any
> station.
>
> In other words, if I am using 14.175, then K1MAN has no exclusive right to
> take over until I leave. He can certainly ask if I'd mind moving, and I
> might even do so if he was polite about it.
>
> The question will be on your General test. The correct answer is (E).
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>
>

3586. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 02:46:26 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uvij12fi1he27f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57676

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021211214348.10597.00003179@mb-mq.aol.com...
> In article <uvfp08ihf973e3@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>
> >Hey, how 'bout the callsing W5LTO. Could mean a callsign for the Lotto.
> >Wow, ever get into one of those debates? Whew... I mean, just think of
the
> >callsigns that have been/could be/are issued that people could really be
> >disgusted about.
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
>
> Kim:
>
> If your call sign were W5LTO, we wouldn't be having this discussion.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

I like this discussion. You don't?

Kim W5TIT

3587. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 02:49:09 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uvij661s8esbe7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57677

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:3TTJ9.1475$MV5.148954@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote
>
> :
> : Gosh. I think for the first couple of weeks, I thought in great detail
> : about things and how they were in the world. Oh well...
> :
>
> I'd guess that we all did. I lost two friends who worked at the Pentagon
on
> 9/11. That loss didn't change my mind about anything, but it certainly
> reinforced my position against "unquestioned beliefs in holy causes".
>
> "Men never do evil so completely and cheerfully as when they do it from a
> religious conviction." --- BLAISE PASCAL (1632- 1662), Pensees, 1670.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

But, Hans. Would you deny those who find their only comfort in faith and
God? I am not one of those. But I would not deny anyone their beliefs.
Nor would I act so poisonous against them.

Kim W5TIT

3588. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 02:55:28 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uviji11pt5q5d6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57682

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:EB5K9.2280$j_4.256395@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote
>
> : I do know there isn't a time in history in which "we"
> : (people; humans) have not had to endure bitter times. I have to believe
> : there is a reason, or a purpose, for that--or continue to ask the
question
> : why.
>
> "Bad things" (evil persons) do not exist for some heavenly purpose. But
if
> you insist on seeking a divine purpose, then I offer you the following
from
> the First Book of Bokonon.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

That is a belief/concept you wish to perpetuate, Hans. I am not certain you are right or wrong about it. But, for me, it doesn't work.

Kim W5TIT

3589. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 02:56:50 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uvijl6prh6ov0e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57683

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0212120938.12c35ff9@posting.google.com...
> yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021211214347.10597.00003178@mb-mq.aol.com>...
> > In article <77f1797e.0212111151.6c688f68@posting.google.com>,
kb9rvb@arrl.net
> > (Carl Zager) writes:
> >
> > >I have been in education as a public school student for 12 years, as
> > >an undergraduate in college (a church school) for 4 years, as a
> > >graduate student (at a state school) for 2 years, as a public school
> > >teacher in systems in Ohio and Indiana for 34 years (and in those 34
> > >years have taught at the university level (at a state school) for
> > >parts of 5 years). I have NEVER, in all those years, with all those
> > >colleagues, with all those students, NEVER heard any professional
> > >colleague say or do anything even remotely like the following. The
> > >only time I have ever heard anything like this was out of the mouths
> > >of people trying to make public school teachers look like some kind of
> > >driveling idiots. To be this offended I had to read this in a Ham
> > >radio forum from someone from my own district. I am ashamed. You can
> > >back pedal or you can attack me -- I won't respond -- but the level of
> > >discourse among supposedly intelligent adults just took a deep dive.
> > >
> > >Carl
> >
> > Carl:
> >
> > Just for the record, are you a person with religious beliefs, or not?
>
> Everyone has religious beliefs. Even atheists. The person who says
> "there is no God" has the nonexistence of a Supreme Being as part of
> his/her religion.
>
> > If
> > so, what is your opinion of children being taught about the concept
> > of God and religion in school? I'm not talking about actual religious
> > instruction (which I would not expect in a public school), just teaching
> > kids about the fact that religions exist, and that they are based on
> > a belief on a supreme being (God).
>
> Not all religions are based on belief in a God.
>
> > You seem quite worked up about
> > the joke that was posted, and in my experience, that usually denotes

> > some sort of identification with what was said. In which context do
> > you identify with it?
>
> It wasn't a joke. It portrayed a teacher as stupid, and evolution as
> anti-religion. In fact, it hardly portrayed evolution and science at
> all.
>
> What makes it really bad is the fact that some people are pushing
> pseudoscience into the curriculum, at the expense of critical thinking
> and real science. The Scopes monkey trial is still going on in some
> parts of the USA.
>
> The *concept* of religion being taught in the public schools is a good
> idea. The actual teaching of specific religious beliefs as fact isn't.
>
> Separation of church and state is one of the things that defines the
> USA. We have plenty of examples in the world today of what happens
> when that separation does not exist.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

We also have plenty of examples why *anything* religious doesn't need to be
in public school curriculums.

Kim W5TIT

3590. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 03:00:31 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021212220031.15917.00000007@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 57678

In article <d22b54c3.0212110114.637b805b@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message
>news:<20021209223725.06308.00000881@mb-fm.aol.com>...
>> In article <d22b54c3.0212090617.56a2a4e4@posting.google.com>,
>kelly@dvol.com
>> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
>> >And I was just a frigging *CIVILIAN*!
>> >
>> GREAT STORY! Twarn't no air show, neither, I bet.
>
>Having a for real Bear that close up gave me a good dose of
>heebie-jeebies but in the end it really wasn't much more than an air
>show. As I found out Soviet overflights of our ships were not
>particularly unusual including occasional low altitude runs by Bears
>over carriers and missle frigates. They were supposedly photo-recon
>missions but that was a flimsy misnomer for intentional aggravation
>missions. Everybody up top knew well ahead of time that the Bear was
>on it's way and what it was gonna do. 'Cept me, I was at the front of
>my learning curve, all I was told was to get set for for some
>interesting photos. Which I did.

Typical "shake up the newbie" setup.

>I looked around the deck just after
>his pass and there were guys with cameras everywhere, seamen to
>scrambled-eggs types. "Photo recon" . . yeah, right, all he got was
>shots of maybe a hundred of us getting shots of him. Had to be an
>intelligence goldmine.

Whole bunch of stuff going on. They take pictures and see who came out on deck. Listen for radars and communications. Note if there have been any changes in the various ships since the last time. Etc.

Plus a lot of it is just "see what the other guy does" and "paybacks" (like for U2 flights and spy satellites).

>It was an inexcusable, childish, stupid and dangerous Soviet game is
>what it was.
>
Both sides played games like that. I oughta lend ya my copy of "Blind Man's Bluff", about the role of submarines in the Cold War. Some of the things done were pretty aggressive, like tapping Soviet underwater military communications cables in the Barents Sea and the Sea of Okhotsk. And following their missile

subs so closely that underwater collisions sometimes resulted.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3591. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 03:00:32 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021212220032.15917.00000009@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 57679

In article <CX3K9.2099$j_4.245344@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:

>"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>:
>: Everyone has religious beliefs. Even atheists. The person who says
>: "there is no God" has the nonexistence of a Supreme Being as part of
>: his/her religion.
>
>Lack of belief in a god does not constitute a religion any more than lack of
>belief in UFOs constitutes a space program.
>
HAW! Great one, Hans!!

But I'll stick with what I wrote. And the person who doesn't believe in God has
the same right to protection of their "religious" beliefs as anyone else.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3592. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 03:00:32 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021212220032.15917.00000008@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 57681

Correction to some typos:

From the Book of Bokonon:

Tiger got to hunt
Bird got to fly,
Man got to wonder, "Why, why, why?"

Tiger got to sleep
Bird got to land,
Man got to think he understand.

It's easily provable. If there are no bandits, will the farmers stop raising food? Of course not. If there are no farmers, the bandits will starve.

Or turn into farmers - and again there will be no bandits.

Somebody has to produce in order for there to be something to steal. The producers do not need the moochers and thieves, but the moochers and thieves need the producers.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3593. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 03:00:34 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021212220034.15917.00000010@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 57680

In article <3DF90011.9030808@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
>news:<20021211214347.10597.00003178@mb-mq.aol.com>...
>>
> <snippage>

>>>so, what is your opinion of children being taught about the concept
>>>of God and religion in school? I'm not talking about actual religious
>>>instruction (which I would not expect in a public school), just teaching
>>>kids about the fact that religions exist, and that they are based on
>>>a belief on a supreme being (God).

>>>You seem quite worked up about
>>>the joke that was posted, and in my experience, that usually denotes
>>>some sort of identification with what was said. In which context do
>>>you identify with it?
>>
>> It wasn't a joke. It portrayed a teacher as stupid, and evolution as
>> anti-religion. In fact, it hardly portrayed evolution and science at
>> all.
>
> And it wasn't funny either.

Which made it clear that it wasn;t meant as a joke at all.

>> What makes it really bad is the fact that some people are pushing
>> pseudoscience into the curriculum, at the expense of critical thinking
>> and real science. The Scopes monkey trial is still going on in some
>> parts of the USA.
>
> Indeed it is. Maybe we should also teach "Flat Earth" in school.

How about spontaneous generation and astrology, while we're at it?
>
>> The *concept* of religion being taught in the public schools is a good
>> idea. The actual teaching of specific religious beliefs as fact isn't.
>
> And there can be a thin line there. Often things are just fine until
>they start talking about a religion which someone doesn't care for.
>(more often someone's parents)

I think the real problem is that almost all organized religions have some
skeletons in their closets. And most "holy" books have some contradictions
built into them.

For example, Genesis has two contradictory creation stories in it. In the first story (Chapter 1 and the first few verses of Chapter 2), God creates the heavens and the earth and all of the animals first. Man (and woman) are the last things created, and given "dominion" over the earth and other creatures.

But in Chapter 2, there's another creation story. It says that after God created the heavens and the earth, but when there was no fiueld shrub nor green grass on the earth, God created man from clay. After that, God created the Garden of Eden, with all the plants. Only after all that was done did God create the animals and birds, intending them to be companions for man. Finally, woman is created from Adam's rib.

Now it's clear that both stories cannot be literally true, because the sequence of events in each one contradicts the other. So the creation stories are obviously not meant to be taken literally. Yet people try to "prove" that the universe is only a little more than 6000 years old....

Or consider the story of Noah and the ark. (The Titanic was built and operated by professionals, but the ark was built and operated by amateurs).

The Bible tells us how big the ark was, and that Noah took two of each animal on board. Yet if we look around and start lining up pairs of animals, it's obvious that a boat the size of the ark isn't nearly big enough to hold even a fraction of the different species, let alone food for them. So either many of the animals we know today only appeared after the flood (proof of evolution?) or they survived the flood somehow without going on the ark at all.

Historic note: There is significant evidence that the Black Sea was once a fresh water lake, isolated from the Mediterranean and much smaller and lower than it is today. It was surrounded by many prehistoric human settlements. The evidence strongly suggests that at the end of the last Ice Age, the glaciers melted and the sea rose to the point where the Med overflowed into the Black Sea via the Bosporus. The level of the water rose quickly (500 feet or more in depth in a few days/weeks) wiping out lakeside settlements and causing widespread devastation. The survivors of such a catastrophe would hand the story down for many generations.

>"It's all fun and games until someone's
>eye is put out"

Tantor the elephant in the animated version of Tarzan (voiced by Wayne Knight)
>
> I went to a school in a community where the hot topic issues were
>controlled by the religious zealots. NOt only was evolution not taught,
>but most anything not required by the state that was possible to lead
>to talking about evolution was nixed. It was amazing that in the '70's
>we didn't cover paleontology or the like.

Where was this?
>
> On top of that, I was almost finished with my "sex education" class
>before I realized that was what it was. What tipped me off was that they
>started talking about VD. We were told to avoid it, but not even how you
>caught it. That was it. nothing else.

They simply avoided the whole issue when I was in high school.
>
> I remember that the students were concerned about this, and a number of

>us went to a school board meeting where the topic would be discussed.
>One of the religious zealot parents actually brought in a Penthouse
>magazine and when it was his turn to talk, he stood and in full zeal, he
>spoke of the sinful ways of the school, the students, and then in full
>view of all us kids (probably 30 of us) he whips out the Penthouse and
>opens the centerfold, and shows it, proclaiming "This is what they are
>teaching our kids in school these days!"

I GOTTA move there!!!

> Needless to say, he was
>admonished, but amazingly, not ejected. Most of the male students who
>attended were hoping he would come to more meetings!! ;^)

If that's what they are teaching, I volunteer to do extra homework.
>
> But coming from a school system where the religious ruled the roost,
>and where nothing got taught unless THEY approved of it, I know
>firsthand what they have in mind. How would anyone convince me otherwise?

By exposing you to religious zealots whose zeal is for other things - like
religious tolerance and diversity.
>
> The simultaneous teaching of Evolution and one religion's version of
>how this place got started is a foot in the door tactic. There is no
>doubt that that group wants only the religious aspect
>(theirs, BTW) - taught at all.

To me, the biggest problem there is applying the term "science" to something
that obviously isn't science at all, but rather an attemot to make the evidence
fit a literal interpretation of a nonscientific book that isn't meant to be
taken literally at all.
>
>> Separation of church and state is one of the things that defines the
>> USA.
>
> Thank God!

Yea, verily!!

Here's another one: The original version of the Pledge of Allegiance did not
have the words "under God" in it. They were added much later.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3594. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 03:12:53 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <VecK9.2857$j_4.313480@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57684

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote

:
: But, Hans. Would you deny those who find their only comfort in faith and
: God? I am not one of those. But I would not deny anyone their beliefs.
: Nor would I act so poisonous against them.
:

Of course I wouldn't deny them that comfort. I'm simply stating my own position. Others certainly should feel free to chase their own hopes and dreams. I don't try to impose my philosophy on others, and only ask that others show me the same consideration.

73, de Hans, K0HB

3595. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 03:31:31 UTC
From: K�HB <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <nwcK9.2884$j_4.316367@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57685

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote

:
: That is a belief/concept you wish to perpetuate, Hans. I am not certain
you
: are right or wrong about it. But, for me, it doesn't work.
:

Actually I'm not trying to "perpetuate" anything. I'm just simply stating
my own cosmology. If it doesn't work for you, then we can still be friends.
There are many gods out there to chose from among the various religions in
the world. You need to go with the one which works for you.

73, de Hans, K0HB

3596. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 03:48:30 UTC
From: JJ <radiobiuffo@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DF9588E.8050200@mailcity.net>
Source record: 57695

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> I'd have to challenge that. I see a lot of mediocrity in our public school
> systems. In my state (Delaware) we have about 38 separate school
> systems, each with their own complete set of high-paid administrators
> and support staff,

And therein lies a lot of the problem, high paid administrators
and low paid teachers. My wife taught 11 years of high school and
often had to purchase teaching aids out of her own pocket, as the
school bean counters said there was no money in the budget for
this or that. However, you can bet if the school superintendent
needed a new high dollar leather chair for his office or the
football coach needed an expensive gizmo for the training room the
money was there.
It is amazing that we have school systems that pays the lowest
wages to those that are attempting to impart the knowledge to
students to make it in the world, the teachers. The same system
that will pay some coach who teaches them how to bash their brains
out on a football field, a skill that only one it a couple hundred
may possibly ever use, the highest wages.

3597. Re: Cool Children's Book <Was: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty">

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 03:56:35 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021212225635.18662.00000009@mb-mt.aol.com>
Source record: 57686

In article <0u%J9.1623$a8.1056@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>, "Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> writes:

>Thanks for the history lesson, Jim. I really do appreciate it. It's
>available from Amazon for $9 +/- (Used) to $11 and change. (New)

How can that be? According to historians, Amazon went
bankrupt a long time ago!

3598. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 03:56:37 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021212225637.18662.00000010@mb-mt.aol.com>
Source record: 57687

In article <3DF8C8A0.68F3B98E@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>> OK, Tanto.
>
>If you intend to insert a barbed comment, it helps to know how "Tonto"
>is spelled. "Tanto" was the sidekick of the "Line Ringer".

Tanto was the illegitimate half-brother of Tonto, overweight and
given to bellowing and bad attitudes...he could only get along
with others who pretended he was "chief."

Brian got it right...

3599. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 03:56:37 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021212225637.18662.00000011@mb-mt.aol.com>
Source record: 57688

In article <CX3K9.2099$j_4.245344@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:

>"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>
>: Everyone has religious beliefs. Even atheists. The person who says
>: "there is no God" has the nonexistence of a Supreme Being as part of
>: his/her religion.
>
>Lack of belief in a god does not constitute a religion any more than lack of
>belief in UFOs constitutes a space program.

...except in chatrooms, er, newsgroups where the messager
declares things to win message points in his/her mind. :-)

Sort of like the "faith" in morse code as an ultimate amateur
skill, license tests as an academic credential, and "on-off
keying CW will always get through when nothing else will..."

Watch out for some of the iceholes in here...those fish might
troll for you. :-)

3600. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 04:32:30 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <bumivuk6blmsqgaadsj7du7o1ugvlend8s@4ax.com>
Source record: 57689

On 13 Dec 2002 03:00:34 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <3DF90011.9030808@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:
>
>>> What makes it really bad is the fact that some people are pushing
>>> pseudoscience into the curriculum, at the expense of critical thinking
>>> and real science. The Scopes monkey trial is still going on in some
>>> parts of the USA.
>>
>> Indeed it is. Maybe we should also teach "Flat Earth" in school.
>
>How about spontaneous generation and astrology, while we're at it?

And crystal power and astralprojection.

>>> The *concept* of religion being taught in the public schools is a good
>>> idea. The actual teaching of specific religious beliefs as fact isn't.
>>
>> And there can be a thin line there. Often things are just fine until
>>they start talking about a religion which someone doesn't care for.
>>(more often someone's parents)

<some snippage>

>Or consider the story of Noah and the ark. (The Titanic was built and operated
>by professionals, but the ark was built and operated by amateurs).
>
>The Bible tells us how big the ark was, and that Noah took two of each animal
>on board. Yet if we look around and start lining up pairs of animals, it's
>obvious that a boat the size of the ark isn't nearly big enough to hold even a
>fraction of the different species, let alone food for them. So either many of
>the animals we know today only appeared after the flood (proof of evolution?)
>or they survived the flood somehow without going on the ark at all.

Heh heh, one of my favorite stories! When a fundy engages me about the
Noah legend, there are a vouple questions that get rid of the
"scientific" argument real quick.

Where did the water come from?

Where did it go to when the flood was done?

After some fumbling replies:

calculate the amount of water to cover the entire earth to
cover and go above Mount Everest?

Then the more silly but important questions:

Did the animals in Australia swim from their homes, across the
Pacific and Indian oceans to get to the Middle East so that they
wouldn't drown?

>Historic note: There is significant evidence that the Black Sea was once a
>fresh water lake, isolated from the Mediterranean and much smaller and lower
>than it is today. It was surrounded by many prehistoric human settlements. The
>evidence strongly suggests that at the end of the last Ice Age, the glaciers
>melted and the sea rose to the point where the Med overflowed into the Black
>Sea via the Bosporus. The level of the water rose quickly (500 feet or more in
>depth in a few days/weeks) wiping out lakeside settlements and causing
>widespread devastation. The survivors of such a catastrophe would hand the
>story down for many generations.

Yes, I've heard of this. A more rational explanation for sure. Many
religions have a flood legend, which indicates that something almost
certainly did happen.

It must have been an incredible event!

But a localized (if very big locale) event nonetheless.

And there is no problem with accepting the biblical account as
a localized event. It wasn't until much much later that world maps
evolved from the old T-O world maps to at least the more advanced
Terra incognita maps that acknowledged that the world was not a small
flat area.

>> I went to a school in a community where the hot topic issues were
>>controlled by the religious zealots. NOt only was evolution not taught,
>>but most anything not required by the state that was possible to lead
>>to talking about evolution was nixed. It was amazing that in the '70's
>>we didn't cover paleontology or the like.
>
>Where was this?

Lovely little town of Bellefonte, PA.

>> I remember that the students were concerned about this, and a number of
>
>>us went to a school board meeting where the topic would be discussed.
>>One of the religious zealot parents actually brought in a Penthouse
>>magazine and when it was his turn to talk, he stood and in full zeal, he
>>spoke of the sinful ways of the school, the students, and then in full
>>view of all us kids (probably 30 of us) he whips out the Penthouse and
>>opens the centerfold, and shows it, proclaiming "This is what they are
>>teaching our kids in school these days!"
>
>I GOTTA move there!!!

Well, they've changed a bit. These were some embarrassing
times for many of the locals, and they eventually wrested control from
the zealots. Now the kids know that babies don't come from the cabbage
patch.

>> Needless to say, he was
>>admonished, but amazingly, not ejected. Most of the male students who
>>attended were hoping he would come to more meetings!! ;^)
>
>If that's what they are teaching, I volunteer to do extra homework.

Oh, those were interesting times in the Adult entertainment
industry! They were just starting to show parts of the anatomy that
weren't shown before, and us kids were being a nuisance, stealing our
parents copies and all.

But before we just sound like a bunch of hedonists, after the
joking tapered off, we were kind of angry. Partly on account of the
fac that this dud is trying to say that we were being taught that in
school while nothing of the sort is happening, and more subtley that
many of the kids attending were young ladies we went to school with,
and all joking aside, we didn't like this jackass showing them that
centerfold. Some of them were our girlfriends and close friends. Yeah,
I know, sounds like a double standard, but I'm talking about teenage
boys and girls.

>> But coming from a school system where the religious ruled the roost,
>>and where nothing got taught unless THEY approved of it, I know
>>firsthand what they have in mind. How would anyone convince me otherwise?
>
>By exposing you to religious zealots whose zeal is for other things - like
>religious tolerance and diversity.

By the time you get to zealotry, tolerance and diversity
pretty much go out the window. These people KNOW EXACTLY what you are
supposed to believe and EXACTLY how you are supposed to behave.

>Here's another one: The original version of the Pledge of Allegiance did not
>have the words "under God" in it. They were added much later.

I don't doubt it.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3601. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 04:35:18 UTC
From: Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92E2EFE1AEA51elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 57690

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h6z8ta4.pminews@netnews.attbi.com:

>
> Foibd loose in the mail in r.r.a.p. :
>
> >> Or suppose somebody petitions FCC to issue 1x4 calls to hams, so
> >> there could be calls like W5KIDD or W1BILL - or W5(expletive
> >> deleted). Would you oppose or support such a petition? Oppose
> >> support, or do nothing, they're all judgements.
>
>> If we needed 1x4 callsigns I wouldn't oppose that, but I would hope
>> that the FCC wouldn't issue a "expletive deleted" callsign or
>> anything like it. I agree with the compairson between license plates
>> and callsigns, if the state can deny a license plate for certain
>> reasons the FCC should do the same.
>
> The International Radio Regs do not provide for amateur calls with
> more than three letters in the suffix.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>
>
>

True, but according to those same rules the calls used for broadcast
stations in the US should belong to the 'fixed service' instead, aircraft
should have calls like ham calls but with a hyphen instead of the number,
etc. I could go on, but the fact is the amateur service is the only
service where the FCC issues the correct series of calls according to ITU
rules, for every other service the FCC either issues calls that rightly
belong to another service or calls in some other series that they thought
up themselves. Many services are not supposed to even be issued calls
atall according to ITU rules, unless they cross international borders.
Apart from ham calls, where they follow the letter of the rules, in every
other case the only thing they get right is that they do at least keep to
only US prefixes!

3602. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 05:12:57 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021213001257.17913.00000070@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 57693

In article <77f1797e.0212121311.20d5e17@posting.google.com>, kb9rvb@arrl.net (Carl Zager) writes:

>I think I am a person with religious beliefs. I wouldn't want those
>beliefs to have to pass muster with most of the individuals I know who
>are back-thumpingly sure of WJWD -- but yes.

Carl:

I know the type, one of my brothers has turned into one in the last few years. I'm a person who has faith, not "religion." I was born and raised Catholic, and there wasn't a beating I didn't get during my parochial school so-called "education." The fact that I haven't been entirely turned off to the concept of faith is only due to the fact that I have seen God work in my life. However, IMHO, my belief doesn't qualify me to tell other people they're going to Hell (I find it difficult to believe that a merciful God would create such a place) if they don't believe the same way I do.

>
>I don't know how one could not teach that religions exist. How could
>one teach about the Spanish colonization of the Americas without
>reference to the role of the Roman Catholic Church? How could one
>teach about the Crusades without acknowledging the two opposing
>religious forces? I also know that much of what could be taught
>historically is fraught with potential disaster for the teacher
>because it doesn't say what this group or that group wants it to say.
>Most, then, would take the safe way out and avoid the topic rather
>than run afoul. How? Well, there was a colleague of mine who was
>called on the carpet with a parental complaint because he made the
>statement that "historically, Jesus was a Jew." That's no joke made up
>to prove my point. It's an example of the conumdrum in which teachers
>find themselves

Good points.

>I thought I explained why I was worked up about the joke. I am sick to
>death of radicals from both sides of the spectrum creating "straw man"
>arguments and passing that off as "Gospel." (pun intended). "But it's
>just a joke!" Humor is cruel. There has been no finer (equal, but not
>superior) public instituion than the American public school system.

I'd have to challenge that. I see a lot of mediocrity in our public school systems. In my state (Delaware) we have about 38 separate school systems, each with their own complete set of high-paid administrators and support staff, but a total statewide student population which would

be only one district in any other big state! I would just love to be the one put in charge of finding out just exactly what each and every one of these so-called "administrators" was doing to justify their salary. And, considering the fact that a lot of them are graduates of Delaware State University, I'd also like to see if they themselves can pass a basic literacy test.

>Every child, no matter what gender, what race, what socio-economic
>background, has a ride on the carousel and a chance at the silver
>ring. Every child. Has there been waste? Yes. Have we adopted silly or
>unfounded practices? Yes.

Answering "yes" to these questions provides no comfort. Our school systems are supposedly being administered by intelligent and educated people, who should possess basic competency in their jobs. In my absolutely free-of-charge opinion, this is a joke. A lot of people running our schools and teaching in the classrooms are from the bottom of the academic ladder -- especially in my state.

>But there is no other educational system in
>the world so closely related to the principles of democracy as
>practiced in the US. Are there better systems? For what purpose? To
>perpetuate a class system? To assure that only the "brightest and the
>best" get to go on?

I say yes, that's right. Private schools can attract teachers with the best academic credentials, and they usually produce the results which do tend to create students destined to occupy the "upper" class in our society. Public schools are limited by the fact that they are forced to apply lot of politically-correct policies which have nothing to do with educating students. Then there is the old saying "Those who can, do; those who can't, teach." I believe this is a basic flaw in our public education system. The teachers are people who have never had to make a living doing anything but teaching. I think this needs to be changed. The college I attended (Wilmington College, Delaware) is a model of the way things should be. I was a "non-traditional" student who attended classes at night, being taught primarily by adjunct faculty who were working professionals in the fields they taught. It made a big difference, and they were able to impart knowledge in a much more effective manner because they really knew what they were talking about. I'd like to see this concept extended to the entire educational system, from the elementary grades up. I believe that older, more mature teachers with "real world" working experience, would be more effective in every way, from maintaining order in the classroom to imparting their knowledge in a manner which better relates to the subject at hand. If only it were possible.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3603. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 05:12:57 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021213001257.17913.00000071@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 57694

In article <atau3p114is@enews4.newsguy.com>, "KC8PMX"
<kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> writes:

>If after the existing pool of callsigns are issued, that may be a
>possibility if we ever get a huge inrush of new hams. (and I mean more that
>what we have now) When they reach Z, ZZ or ZZZ as the suffix in the various
>classes, and after reusing some of the ones that were changed from upgrades
>or whatever reason, they may have to look at another format such as a 1x4 or
>maybe even a 3x3.

Ryan:

We'd go to the 3x3 format, since that's already supported by the ITU
rules. MARS has been using 3x3's for decades.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3604. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 05:12:58 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021213001258.17913.00000072@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 57691

In article <uvij12fi1he27f@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>> Kim:
>>
>> If your call sign were W5LTO, we wouldn't be having this discussion.
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>>
>
>I like this discussion. You don't?
>
>Kim W5TIT

Kim:

As usual, you missed a perfectly obvious point. I imagine that a
demonstration of such a lack of cognitive ability would explain your
choice of Vanity call sign. However, I'm not inclined to let you
off the hook that easily.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3605. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 05:12:58 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021213001258.17913.00000073@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 57692

In article <nwcK9.2884$j_4.316367@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:

>: That is a belief/concept you wish to perpetuate, Hans. I am not certain
>you
>: are right or wrong about it. But, for me, it doesn't work.
>:
>
>Actually I'm not trying to "perpetuate" anything. I'm just simply stating
>my own cosmology. If it doesn't work for you, then we can still be friends.
>There are many gods out there to chose from among the various religions in
>the world. You need to go with the one which works for you.
>
>73, de Hans, K0HB

Hans:

It is difficult for me to think of a man like you as a non-believer.
If I were you, I'd count my blessings and thank God for each and
every one of them! However, I'm not you, and you are free to
think as you please. After all, this is America!

God Bless America!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3606. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 06:16:54 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021213011654.06984.00000077@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 57696

In article <20021212220031.15917.00000007@mb-cs.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>>Having a for real Bear that close up gave me a good dose of
>>heebie-jeebies but in the end it really wasn't much more than an air
>>show. As I found out Soviet overflights of our ships were not
>>particularly unusual including occasional low altitude runs by Bears
>>over carriers and missle frigates. They were supposedly photo-recon
>>missions but that was a flimsy misnomer for intentional aggravation
>>missions. Everybody up top knew well ahead of time that the Bear was
>>on it's way and what it was gonna do. 'Cept me, I was at the front of
>>my learning curve, all I was told was to get set for for some
>>interesting photos. Which I did.
>
>Typical "shake up the newbie" setup.

Is that the straight word, Admiral? "Insider stuff" from the Pentagon?

This all sounds like the "colonel" whose "son was behind enemy lines in Iraq during the Gulf War, sending intel via manual telegraphy." In here about four or so years ago.

Good for alt.sandwich.phony.baloney newsgroup. Bogus. False. Lies which were "rationalized" under some "secrecy" claims and "my son never lied to his father" nonsense. The claimed "colonel" later retracted the story and never showed up again.

Close-up photos of a Bear at low altitude were published in a number of periodicals during the latter part of the Vietnam War such as Aviation Week & Space Technology, TIME, LIFE, etc. It's not any stretch of the imagination to consider that someone impressed with such photos might later claim to have "taken lots of photos," knowing that it was not provable in a newsgroup which doesn't allow binaries of images.

A claim of "having the negatives" is also suspect of being a phony one. Consider that date-stamping negatives didn't come into practice until about a decade ago in cameras which included that feature. Only a very few photographic developing services in the world did date-marking negative film prior to 1980...and those were primarily for commercial photographs. Even if someone "had the negatives," how is that provable as to when the image was taken and how is it

traceable to the claimant's camera?

Answer (for the newsgroup): It is considered "truthful" by
those who agree with the claimant on morse code issues.

Anyplace else it is just a fish story.

An altitude of 750 feet MSL is still relatively high. In order to
show sufficient imagery detail to perceive the aircraft IS the
planform of a Bear, the camera must have a moderate to long
telephoto lens (85mm or longer for the average 35mm camera)
and the common, garden-variety snapshot camera can't get
enough detail to show much of anything that far up.

>>I looked around the deck just after
>>his pass and there were guys with cameras everywhere, seamen to
>>scrambled-eggs types. "Photo recon" . . yeah, right, all he got was
>>shots of maybe a hundred of us getting shots of him. Had to be an
>>intelligence goldmine.
>
>Whole bunch of stuff going on. They take pictures and see who came out on
>deck.
>Listen for radars and communications. Note if there have been any changes in
>the various ships since the last time. Etc.

So...this is more "insider" information on ELINT techniques and
general Military Intelligence operations from someone who has
never served in a military or claimed any government service?

>Plus a lot of it is just "see what the other guy does" and "paybacks" (like
>for U2 flights and spy satellites).

Oh, my, more "insider" information? Why don't you describe the
U-2's old reconnaisance flight recorder? It was a dandy magnetic
tape unit with large nested tape reels. The USSR took nice photos
of that which were in Electronics magazine (the old bi-weekly) and
AW&ST magazine. [they presumably still "have the negatives"]
I happen to know the US company that made it and also the
ground-support equipment that read the tape...and the person who
wrote the final test procedure for that ground-support equipment
and saw the whole black-box system that went on the old U-2.

No, I don't "have the negatives" to prove that, but can describe it
in enough detail to someone else who had seen it to constitute
proof as to the truthfulness of both parties. Neither yourself nor
Kelly are "proof" to anything other than agreeing on fish-story
content.

>>It was an inexcusable, childish, stupid and dangerous Soviet game is
>>what it was.
>>
>Both sides played games like that. I oughta lend ya my copy of "Blind Man's
>Bluff", about the role of submarines in the Cold War. Some of the things done

>were pretty aggressive, like tapping Soviet underwater military
>communications
>cables in the Barents Sea and the Sea of Okhotsk. And following their missile
>subs so closely that underwater collisions sometimes resulted.

James Bamford writes well but is better in "Body of Secrets"
about the history of the NSA. That's his latest work and
available through that "bankrupt" company called Amazon.

If you have some idea (provable) on tapping underwater FIBER
OPTIC cables without being detected, rush immediately to the
US government with that information. Do not post it in here.

If you want to natter about some latest whiz-bangy techno-
thrilling adventure, you can read a Tom Clancy novel (instead
of Kurt Vonnegut) and transfer them in here as something
you "know about." Either author does FICTION well...and
fantasy-fiction is the underlying reason for retaining the morse
code test for a hobby activity license that does not involve
some secret intelligence work.

ALL radio works on the reality of physics, not some imaginings
between the ears (covered or not by headphones). Try not to
confuse the sayings of a Bokkonon as that of a real person.

3607. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 06:46:23 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DF981BE.690D7166@earthlink.net>
Source record: 57697

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <20021212220031.15917.00000007@mb-cs.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> writes:
>
> >>Having a for real Bear that close up gave me a good dose of
> >>heebie-jeebies but in the end it really wasn't much more than an air
> >>show. As I found out Soviet overflights of our ships were not
> >>particularly unusual including occasional low altitude runs by Bears
> >>over carriers and missle frigates. They were supposedly photo-recon
> >>missions but that was a flimsy misnomer for intentional aggravation
> >>missions. Everybody up top knew well ahead of time that the Bear was
> >>on it's way and what it was gonna do. 'Cept me, I was at the front of
> >>my learning curve, all I was told was to get set for for some
> >>interesting photos. Which I did.
> >
> >Typical "shake up the newbie" setup.
>
> Is that the straight word, Admiral? "Insider stuff" from the
> Pentagon?
>
> This all sounds like the "colonel" whose "son was behind
> enemy lines in Iraq during the Gulf War, sending intel via
> manual telegraphy." In here about four or so years ago.
>
> Good for alt.sandwich.phony.baloney newsgroup. Bogus.
> False. Lies which were "rationalized" under some "secrecy"
> claims and "my son never lied to his father" nonsense. The
> claimed "colonel" later retracted the story and never showed
> up again.
>
> Close-up photos of a Bear at low altitude were published in
> a number of periodicals during the latter part of the Vietnam
> War such as Aviation Week & Space Technology, TIME,
> LIFE, etc. It's not any stretch of the imagination to consider
> that someone impressed with such photos might later claim
> to have "taken lots of photos," knowing that it was not
> provable in a newsgroup which doesn't allow binaries of
> images.
>
> A claim of "having the negatives" is also suspect of being a
> phony one. Consider that date-stamping negatives didn't
> come into practice until about a decade ago in cameras
> which included that feature. Only a very few photographic

> developing services in the world did date-marking negative
> film prior to 1980...and those were primarily for commercial
> photographs. Even if someone "had the negatives," how is
> that provable as to when the image was taken and how is it
> traceable to the claimant's camera?
>
> Answer (for the newsgroup): It is considered "truthful" by
> those who agree with the claimant on morse code issues.
>
> Anyplace else it is just a fish story.
>
> An altitude of 750 feet MSL is still relatively high. In order to
> show sufficient imagery detail to perceive the aircraft IS the
> planform of a Bear, the camera must have a moderate to long
> telephoto lens (85mm or longer for the average 35mm camera)
> and the common, garden-variety snapshot camera can't get
> enough detail to show much of anything that far up.

More of your, "If I didn't do it or see it, it can't have happened"
crap.
You're simply pathetic, Leonard.

> >>I looked around the deck just after
> >>his pass and there were guys with cameras everywhere, seamen to
> >>scrambled-eggs types. "Photo recon" . . yeah, right, all he got was
> >>shots of maybe a hundred of us getting shots of him. Had to be an
> >>intelligence goldmine.
> >
> >Whole bunch of stuff going on. They take pictures and see who came out on
> >deck.
> >Listen for radars and communications. Note if there have been any changes in
> >the various ships since the last time. Etc.
>
> So...this is more "insider" information on ELINT techniques and
> general Military Intelligence operations from someone who has
> never served in a military or claimed any government service?

Seeing who comes out on deck falls under ELINT, Len? I expected better
from someone who was actually in the military, even if in the distant
past.

> >Plus a lot of it is just "see what the other guy does" and "paybacks" (like
> >for U2 flights and spy satellites).
>
> Oh, my, more "insider" information? Why don't you describe the
> U-2's old reconnaisance flight recorder? It was a dandy magnetic
> tape unit with large nested tape reels. The USSR took nice photos
> of that which were in Electronics magazine (the old bi-weekly) and
> AW&ST magazine. [they presumably still "have the negatives"]
> I happen to know the US company that made it and also the
> ground-support equipment that read the tape...and the person who

> wrote the final test procedure for that ground-support equipment
> and saw the whole black-box system that went on the old U-2.

We can classify this part as "I know something you don't know".

> No, I don't "have the negatives" to prove that, but can describe it
> in enough detail to someone else who had seen it to constitute
> proof as to the truthfulness of both parties. Neither yourself nor
> Kelly are "proof" to anything other than agreeing on fish-story
> content.

I don't know about the others, Len, but I'm starting to be impressed.
How can we be certain of the truthfulness of the other party who has
"seen it" though?

> >>It was an inexcusable, childish, stupid and dangerous Soviet game is
> >>what it was.
> >>
> >Both sides played games like that. I oughta lend ya my copy of "Blind Man's
> >Bluff", about the role of submarines in the Cold War. Some of the things done
> >were pretty aggressive, like tapping Soviet underwater military
> >communications
> >cables in the Barents Sea and the Sea of Okhotsk. And following their missile
> >subs so closely that underwater collisions sometimes resulted.
>
> James Bamford writes well but is better in "Body of Secrets"
> about the history of the NSA. That's his latest work and
> available through that "bankrupt" company called Amazon.
>
> If you have some idea (provable) on tapping underwater FIBER
> OPTIC cables without being detected, rush immediately to the
> US government with that information. Do not post it in here.
>
> If you want to natter about some latest whiz-bangy techno-
> thrilling adventure, you can read a Tom Clancy novel (instead
> of Kurt Vonnegut) and transfer them in here as something
> you "know about." Either author does FICTION well...and
> fantasy-fiction is the underlying reason for retaining the morse
> code test for a hobby activity license that does not involve
> some secret intelligence work.

Sure is warm and cozy here in the lodge hall, Len. The old timers are
gathered by the fire. Later, there will be a simple ceremony honoring
the recently licensed children. I thought I caught a glimpse of you
watching through a frosted window pane earlier.

> ALL radio works on the reality of physics, not some imaginings
> between the ears (covered or not by headphones). Try not to
> confuse the sayings of a Bokkonon as that of a real person.

I can do that. I practiced by reading some of your stuff.

Dave K8MN

3608. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 12:21:59 UTC
From: lbudney-google@nb.net
Message-ID: <417b917a.0212130421.1941c33a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57698

JJ <radiobiuffo@mailcity.net> wrote:
>
> It is amazing that we have school systems that pays the lowest
> wages to those that are attempting to impart the knowledge to
> students to make it in the world, the teachers.

I sympathize with your feelings here, but it is also worth noting that districts with highly paid teachers do not have proportionally better academic outcomes. It's not clear that doubling teachers' salaries will make the kids any smarter.

--Len.

3609. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 12:30:02 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021213073002.29682.00000029@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 57699

>Subject: Re: 34 Years Ago Today
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 12/13/02 12:16 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021213011654.06984.00000077@mb-mu.aol.com>

>Only a very few photographic
> developing services in the world did date-marking negative
> film prior to 1980...and those were primarily for commercial
> photographs.

Oh?

I have pics of my Novice station from January 1973, and very clearly marked in the margins is "JAN 73".

My dad had some pics of me from 1966, 67, all were date stamped from the developer in 1967, 68.

Just your run-of-the mill rant stampeeded by facts to the contrary...AGAIN.

>So...this is more "insider" information on ELINT techniques and
> general Military Intelligence operations from someone who has
> never served in a military or claimed any government service?

And from a Rear Area Widget radio clerk that never served in combat or was deployed to the field, what would YOU know about "ELINT" that you didn't read in the aforementioned copies of "Aviation Week and Space Technology"...???

More Newsgroup obfuscation and belligerence by someone who places little value on truth or facts...Thanks, Lennie. Always trust you for setting a standard by which silliness can be measured.

Steve

3610. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 12:46:35 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212130446.7347cb87@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57700

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3DF981BE.690D7166@earthlink.net>...

> More of your, "If I didn't do it or see it, it can't have happened"
> crap.
> You're simply pathetic, Leonard.

> Dave K8MN

Yes, I had permission. Brian

3611. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 13:44:04 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DF9E423.5080400@psu.edu>
Source record: 57801

Len Over 21 wrote:
> In article <3DF8C8A0.68F3B98E@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
>
>>>OK, Tanto.
>>
>>If you intend to insert a barbed comment, it helps to know how "Tonto"
>>is spelled. "Tanto" was the sidekick of the "Line Ringer".
>
>
> Tanto was the illegitimate half-brother of Tonto, overweight and
> given to bellowing and bad attitudes...he could only get along
> with others who pretended he was "chief."
>
> Brian got it right...

I would have thought he'd been called Taunt-o.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3612. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 15:02:33 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212130702.73b1d2a3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57802

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021213001257.17913.00000070@mb-mv.aol.com>...
> In article <77f1797e.0212121311.20d5e17@posting.google.com>, kb9rvb@arrl.net
> (Carl Zager) writes:
> >
> >I don't know how one could not teach that religions exist. How could
> >one teach about the Spanish colonization of the Americas without
> >reference to the role of the Roman Catholic Church? How could one
> >teach about the Crusades without acknowledging the two opposing
> >religious forces? I also know that much of what could be taught
> >historically is fraught with potential disaster for the teacher
> >because it doesn't say what this group or that group wants it to say.

And THAT's the real problem. Most of the major "organized" religions,
westerna and eastern, start looking pretty bad from a historical point
of view.

> >Most, then, would take the safe way out and avoid the topic rather
> >than run afoul. How? Well, there was a colleague of mine who was
> >called on the carpet with a parental complaint because he made the
> >statement that "historically, Jesus was a Jew." That's no joke made up
> >to prove my point. It's an example of the conumdrum in which teachers
> >find themselves
>
> Good points.

And it's exactly why the subject of "religion" is avoided in the
public schools.
>
> >I thought I explained why I was worked up about the joke. I am sick to
> >death of radicals from both sides of the spectrum creating "straw man"
> >arguments and passing that off as "Gospel." (pun intended). "But it's
> >just a joke!" Humor is cruel. There has been no finer (equal, but not
> >superior) public instituion than the American public school system.
>
> I'd have to challenge that. I see a lot of mediocrity in our public school
> systems.

Me, too. I also see a lot of excellence. Remember, Carl is talking
about public institutions.

> In my state (Delaware) we have about 38 separate school
> systems, each with their own complete set of high-paid administrators
> and support staff, but a total statewide student population which would
> be only one district in any other big state!

Whoa there - what sort of numbers are we talking about?

Here in PA, school districts are typically equivalent to a township or city. (Radnor Township = Radnor school district - about 3,500 students). In some cases, adjoining small townships have created a joint district. (Tredyffrin and Easttown townships have a joint school district, referred to by the locals as "TE"). The big cities, like Philadelphia, have enormous districts - hundreds of thousands of students. And enormous problems.

The reason for so many districts is simple: People don't want to surrender local control of the public schools. Nor do they want to be responsible for the problems of "other" districts.

Lot of issues tied into it. Folks in high-performing District A don't want the high performance diluted by merging with lower-performing District B. Folks in District B don't want the high taxes and high responsibilities of District A, nor the fact that the folks in District A will tell them how things should be run because, after all, District A is higher-performing.

> I would just love to be the
> one put in charge of finding out just exactly what each and every one
> of these so-called "administrators" was doing to justify their salary.

I can tell you what each administrator in my district does. Also most of the teachers and support personnel.

> And, considering the fact that a lot of them are graduates of Delaware
> State University, I'd also like to see if they themselves can pass a
> basic literacy test.

All of the local school personnel I've come into contact with read and write at least as well as I do. Most have at least as much education (in their fields) as I do, if not more.
>
> >Every child, no matter what gender, what race, what socio-economic
> >background, has a ride on the carousel and a chance at the silver
> >ring. Every child. Has there been waste? Yes. Have we adopted silly or
> >unfounded practices? Yes.
>
> Answering "yes" to these questions provides no comfort. Our school
> systems are supposedly being administered by intelligent and educated
> people, who should possess basic competency in their jobs.

And the ones I have encountered are doing just that.

> In my
> absolutely free-of-charge opinion, this is a joke.

Based on what? How many actual interactions have you had with public school teachers and administrators on a day-to-day basis?

> A lot of people running
> our schools and teaching in the classrooms are from the bottom of the

> academic ladder -- especially in my state.

Poor doesn't mean stupid or lazy.
>
> >But there is no other educational system in
> >the world so closely related to the principles of democracy as
> >practiced in the US. Are there better systems? For what purpose? To
> >perpetuate a class system? To assure that only the "brightest and the
> >best" get to go on?
>
> I say yes, that's right.

??

Public schooling is based on the idea (Jefferson?) that a
representative govt. requires an educated populace. Also based on the
pragmatic idea that money invested in educating the masses is paid
back in productivity many times over. The past 225+ years of US
history kinda back that up.

> Private schools can attract teachers with the best
> academic credentials, and they usually produce the results which do
> tend to create students destined to occupy the "upper" class in our
> society.

SOME private schools can do that - mostly universities.

> Public schools are limited by the fact that they are forced to
> apply lot of politically-correct policies which have nothing to do with
> educating students.

Like what? Give us some examples.

> Then there is the old saying "Those who can, do;
> those who can't, teach."

There are lots of old sayings that are pure bullshit. That's one of
'em.

The main problems with public schooling are:

1) The public schools have to serve every single student in the
district. Private schools can pick and choose who they accept. This
means the private schools cherry-pick the students with lots of
resources. Private schools can also refuse to accept, and expel at
will any student that doesn't meet their requirements. Public schools
can't.

2) The public schools do not have direct control over their funding,
but are directly controlled in their requirements. Public schools have
to answer to at least three levels of mandates (district, state and
federal). But most of their mandates are "bricks without straw" - the
mandating body tells them what they must do, but doesn't give them
resources to do it. Private schools can raise tuition as needed, shut

down unprofitable operations, and not offer some things (like
transportation) that public schools must provide.

> I believe this is a basic flaw in our public
> education system. The teachers are people who have never had to
> make a living doing anything but teaching.

How is that a bad thing? Have you ever taught a class of, say, 30
fourth graders, all with different personalities and needs, meeting
all of the various requirements and requests from all of the governing
bodies? And do it to a tight schedule, with a limited budget, for an
entire school year?

Try it some time and tell me it's not a serious job.

> I think this needs to be
> changed. The college I attended (Wilmington College, Delaware) is
> a model of the way things should be. I was a "non-traditional" student
> who attended classes at night, being taught primarily by adjunct
> faculty who were working professionals in the fields they taught. It
> made a big difference, and they were able to impart knowledge in a
> much more effective manner because they really knew what they
> were talking about.

University level education is an entirely different thing. The classes
are short (three hours a week, typical) and subject-specific. The
university is not required to accept anyone they don't want to accept.
Students have to pay their way, and are expected to bring appropriate
background knowledge and skills to class. If a student doesn't do the
work, they fail, tough noogies.

Are you saying that elementary school should be taught that way?

> I'd like to see this concept extended to the
> entire educational system, from the elementary grades up.

Are you volunteering to teach kindergarteners?

> I believe
> that older, more mature teachers with "real world" working
> experience, would be more effective in every way, from maintaining
> order in the classroom to imparting their knowledge in a manner
> which better relates to the subject at hand. If only it were possible.

Sure it's possible. First, go back to school and get the credits to be
certified as a primary school teacher. Then, get a job as a
kindergarten teacher (most districts only have half-day kindergartens,
so it's only a half-day job, right?). Then spend a school year
teaching 20 or so little kids AND working another job, AND meeting all
the requirements of both jobs.

See you in class.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3613. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 15:05:10 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DF9F697.3546DB85@earthlink.net>
Source record: 57803

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DF981BE.690D7166@earthlink.net>...
>
> > More of your, "If I didn't do it or see it, it can't have happened"
> > crap.
> > You're simply pathetic, Leonard.
>
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Yes, I had permission. Brian

...and I'm sure the teachers are very understanding about this sort of
thing. When you gotta go, you gotta go.

Dave K8MN

3614. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 15:12:54 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DF9F85C.D3B1AE21@earthlink.net>
Source record: 57804

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3DF8C8A0.68F3B98E@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >> OK, Tanto.
> > >
> > >If you intend to insert a barbed comment, it helps to know how "Tonto"
> > >is spelled. "Tanto" was the sidekick of the "Line Ringer".
> >
> > Tanto was the illegitimate half-brother of Tonto, overweight and
> > given to bellowing and bad attitudes...he could only get along
> > with others who pretended he was "chief."

...says paleface who, while never member of tribe, enjoy telling indians
that their traditions silly and that he will not take part in tribal
initiation ceremony until dance over hot coals is eliminated. Paleface
is upset that even young indians can dance on coals.

> Brian got it right...

Brian's post was one word. He still managed to screw it up.

Dave K8MN

3615. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 17:03:56 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DFA213C.AF099556@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57805

Brian wrote:

> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DF981BE.690D7166@earthlink.net>...
>
> > More of your, "If I didn't do it or see it, it can't have happened"
> > crap.
> > You're simply pathetic, Leonard.
>
> > Dave K8MN
>
> Yes, I had permission. Brian

At least you thought you did.

3616. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 17:06:14 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <3DFA21C6.657A0FD4@townsqr.com>
Source record: 57806

Mike Coslo wrote:

> Len Over 21 wrote:
> > In article <3DF8C8A0.68F3B98E@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > writes:
> >
> >
> >>>OK, Tanto.
> >>
> >>If you intend to insert a barbed comment, it helps to know how "Tonto"
> >>is spelled. "Tanto" was the sidekick of the "Line Ringer".
> >
> >
> > Tanto was the illegitimate half-brother of Tonto, overweight and
> > given to bellowing and bad attitudes...he could only get along
> > with others who pretended he was "chief."
> >
> > Brian got it right...
>
> I would have thought he'd been called Taunt-o.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

There was no such individual either in reality nor in fiction. Brian the Eel
attemps to escape reality once again by obfuscation.

3617. Can I use this radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 17:41:55 UTC
From: Runnin Man <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <7pSdnTRLMN9_hmegXTWc3A@comcast.com>
Source record: 57807

A Ham had aksed me a question he wants to use a Galaxy Export radio, you know the one with the rebel flag on it and roger beep and echo, can he use this on 10 meters legally. He picked it up at a truck stop it says it is a 10 meter radio. What is the truth to this. Oh it's true

3618. Re: Can I use this radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 18:10:44 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <EopK9.3594$j_4.405258@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57808

"Runnin Man" <rcranus@hotmailcom> wrote

: A Ham had aksed me a question he wants to use a Galaxy Export radio, you
: know the one with the rebel flag on it and roger beep and echo, can he use
: this on 10 meters legally. He picked it up at a truck stop it says it is a
: 10 meter radio. What is the truth to this. Oh it's true

Presuming that the ham has a license which includes 10M privileges (not all
of them do), then he can use any radio he pleases on 10M. Of course if he
transmits the roger beep and echo, his fellow hams might encourage him to
have the radio crushed.

73, de Hans, K0HB

3619. Re: Can I use this radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 18:16:46 UTC
From: David Robbins <k1ttt@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <8AqdnWqgMoQkuWegXTWc2Q@crocker.com>
Source record: 57809

"Runnin Man" <rcranus@hotmailcom> wrote in message
news:7pSdnTRLMN9_hmegXTWc3A@comcast.com...
> A Ham had aksed me a question he wants to use a Galaxy Export radio, you
> know the one with the rebel flag on it and roger beep and echo, can he use
> this on 10 meters legally. He picked it up at a truck stop it says it is a
> 10 meter radio. What is the truth to this. Oh it's true
>
>

as long as it satisfies the technical requirements for out of band emissions and bandwidths of signals he can use it within the limits of his license in the 10m band. unless he has proper equipment to check these parameters he could be held liable for interference cause by the radio generating spurs or other unwanted emissions.

there may be other questions about the legality of the sale itself and the status of the radio as far as fcc type acceptance for sale as an amateur radio.

3620. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 19:29:56 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212131129.8ad6a7c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57810

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021213001258.17913.00000073@mb-mv.aol.com>...
> In article <nwcK9.2884$j_4.316367@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
> <kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:
>
> >: That is a belief/concept you wish to perpetuate, Hans. I am not certain
> you
> >: are right or wrong about it. But, for me, it doesn't work.
> >:
> >
> >Actually I'm not trying to "perpetuate" anything. I'm just simply stating
> >my own cosmology. If it doesn't work for you, then we can still be friends.
> >There are many gods out there to chose from among the various religions in
> >the world. You need to go with the one which works for you.
> >
> >73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> Hans:
>
> It is difficult for me to think of a man like you as a non-believer.

Hans IS a "believer", Larry. He just doesn't believe the things you
want him to believe.

> If I were you, I'd count my blessings and thank God for each and
> every one of them!

How does one "thank God" for a blessing?

To my way of thinking, the capacity for rational thought is one of
humanity's greatest blessings. Seems to me that the way to "thank God"
for that blessing
is to use that capacity to the fullest extent possible, as often as
possible.

Remember the parable of the talents?

> However, I'm not you, and you are free to
> think as you please.

Some Americans would change that.

>After all, this is America!
>
> God Bless America!
>
Yea, verily.

73 de Jim "I'm a believer!" N2EY

3621. Re: Can I use this radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 19:44:42 UTC
From: radiofuns <fartradio@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3dfa38cc@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 57811

"Runnin Man" <rcranus@hotmailcom> wrote in message
news:7pSdnTRLMN9_hmegXTWc3A@comcast.com...
> A Ham had aksed me a question he wants to use a Galaxy Export radio, you
> know the one with the rebel flag on it and roger beep and echo, can he use
> this on 10 meters legally. He picked it up at a truck stop it says it is a
> 10 meter radio. What is the truth to this. Oh it's true

Don't use it, sell it! Did you know that even
used Galaxy's were going for as much
as $400 on E-Bay and private swap-shop BBS's?

3622. Re: CCF Contest/DX Meeting in Finland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 20:21:31 UTC
From: K�HB <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <fjrK9.4631$MV5.413721@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57812
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"B�gsn�rth th� �npr�d�ct�bl�" <bogsnarth23@NOSPAM.THANKS.IMFULL.lycos.co.uk>
wrote

```
: WRTC2002 Video Presentation - World Premier by OH2BH

I see Martti Laine will be on the podium.

I wonder if his protege, N0IMD/T5 will join him.
```

��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

3623. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 21:51:30 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212131351.6c4e286b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57813

brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message news:<f45722ac.0212121708.5a40d2e6@posting.google.com>. kelly@dvol.com (Brian >>Kelly) wrote in message > > missions but that was a

> >
> > It was an inexcusable, childish, stupid and dangerous Soviet game is
> > what it was.
> >
> > w3rv
>
> They measure our reaction, we measure theirs. That's how the game was played.

The danger was in an over-reaction, accidental or otherwise.

>
> They were probably listening. Anything visual would have been gravy.

3624. Re: K1MAN jamming countinues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 22:07:56 UTC
From: John Siegel <JohnASiegel@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3DFA5A2F.30F463AE@worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 57814
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

What I cannot understand is how the K1MAN content meets the
regulations. Section 97.111 b (6) Transmissions necessary to
disseminate information bulletins;
How is a so called talk show, editorials, etc an information bulletin?
John

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
> Thanks Hans. Couldn't find my 'FCC Rulebook' off hand.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Kï¿½HB" <kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
> news:8KbK9.2803$j_4.308516@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > "N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote\
> >
> > :
> > : Quote FCC rule. If so, then does it apply to the interCON phone patch
> > net?
> > :
> >
> > ï¿½97.101(b) No frequency will be assigned for the exclusive use of any
> > station.
> >
> > In other words, if I am using 14.175, then K1MAN has no exclusive right to
> > take over until I leave. He can certainly ask if I'd mind moving, and I
> > might even do so if he was polite about it.
> >
> > The question will be on your General test. The correct answer is (E).
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >

3625. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 22:38:40 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021213173840.26533.00000045@mb-fg.aol.com>
Source record: 57815

In article <3DF9F85C.D3B1AE21@earthlink.net>, Oberst No-Hair Cusser
<LittleEndian@reservation.net> writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <3DF8C8A0.68F3B98E@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
><k8mn@earthlink.net>
>> writes:
>>
>> >> OK, Tanto.
>> >
>> >If you intend to insert a barbed comment, it helps to know how "Tonto"
>> >is spelled. "Tanto" was the sidekick of the "Line Ringer".
>>
>> Tanto was the illegitimate half-brother of Tonto, overweight and
>> given to bellowing and bad attitudes...he could only get along
>> with others who pretended he was "chief."
>
>...says paleface who, while never member of tribe, enjoy telling indians
>that their traditions silly and that he will not take part in tribal
>initiation ceremony until dance over hot coals is eliminated. Paleface
>is upset that even young indians can dance on coals.

Amateur "indians" live on reservations, restricted to few activities.
While their on-off keyed smoke signals are generated by the
latest word in imported blankets, smoke signals are still the
slowest of all communications, unused by those not forced to
live on reservations.

Yes, the initiation rites (a hazing) are stupid and unneccessary
in this day and age, still practiced on the reservation due to all
the old self-styled "chiefs" waving their greying bird feathers as
if they could "lead" any ignorant young braves into some "battle."

Go and chew some more buffalo jerky. All you are able to do
now is spit and try to jerky others into your little inner circle of
shameless shamanism of "glory in the reservation." There is no
glory there despite you "bureau of indian affairs" (ARRL) who has
led you mighty "chiefs" on a circle jerky inside the reservation.

You are NOT Chief Sitting Bull. You are simply bull.

>> Brian got it right...
>
>Brian's post was one word. He still managed to screw it up.

Brian Burke's post was TWO words. Try to get it right next time, little taunto.

Burke was right.

3626. Re: K1MAN jamming countinues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 23:18:16 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <atdpquphp1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57909
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Its not. Mr Baxter has been trying for years to force a confrontation with the FCC and the ARRL. Up until his recent re-occupation of 14.275 no one really stood and fought him about it.

Now we have a radio war going on. Thanks to K1MAN. Everyone is a loser of course. Have you noticed how few calls he actually gets? Tell you something? Does me....most of the hams know he is nothing but a troublemaker.

Dan/W4NTI

"John Siegel" <JohnASiegel@worldnet.att.net> wrote in message
news:3DFA5A2F.30F463AE@worldnet.att.net...
> What I cannot understand is how the K1MAN content meets the
> regulations. Section 97.111 b (6) Transmissions necessary to
> disseminate information bulletins;
> How is a so called talk show, editorials, etc an information bulletin?
> John
>
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> >
> > Thanks Hans. Couldn't find my 'FCC Rulebook' off hand.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > "K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
> > news:8KbK9.2803$j_4.308516@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > > "N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote\
> > >
> > > :
> > > : Quote FCC rule. If so, then does it apply to the interCON phone
patch
> > > net?
> > > :
> > >
> > > 97.101(b) No frequency will be assigned for the exclusive use of any
> > > station.
> > >
> > > In other words, if I am using 14.175, then K1MAN has no exclusive
right to
> > > take over until I leave. He can certainly ask if I'd mind moving, and
I
> > > might even do so if he was polite about it.

> > >
> > > The question will be on your General test. The correct answer is (E).
> > >
> > > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >

3627. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 23:19:27 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212131519.64e9c99@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57816

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DFA21C6.657A0FD4@townsqr.com>...
> Mike Coslo wrote:
>
> > Len Over 21 wrote:

> > > Tanto was the illegitimate half-brother of Tonto, overweight and
> > > given to bellowing and bad attitudes...he could only get along
> > > with others who pretended he was "chief."
> > >
> > > Brian got it right...
> >
> > I would have thought he'd been called Taunt-o.
> >
> > - Mike KB3EIA -
>
> There was no such individual either in reality nor in fiction. Brian the Eel
> attemps to escape reality once again by obfuscation.

Dick tries to attribute something to me which I did not say. Well, at
least n0dick had a complete thought this time, even if it was wrong.
Par.

3628. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 23:23:31 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212131523.11a01b5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57817

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3DF9F85C.D3B1AE21@earthlink.net>...
> Len Over 21 wrote:
> >
> > In article <3DF8C8A0.68F3B98E@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> > writes:
> >
> > >> OK, Tanto.
> > >
> > >If you intend to insert a barbed comment, it helps to know how "Tonto"
> > >is spelled. "Tanto" was the sidekick of the "Line Ringer".
> >
> > Tanto was the illegitimate half-brother of Tonto, overweight and
> > given to bellowing and bad attitudes...he could only get along
> > with others who pretended he was "chief."
>
> ...says paleface who, while never member of tribe, enjoy telling indians
> that their traditions silly and that he will not take part in tribal
> initiation ceremony until dance over hot coals is eliminated. Paleface
> is upset that even young indians can dance on coals.
>
> > Brian got it right...
>
> Brian's post was one word. He still managed to screw it up.
>
> Dave K8MN

At least it was funny. Loved your "hot coal" analogue.

3629. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 23:32:13 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212131532.24a36ca8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57818

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<3DFA213C.AF099556@townsqr.com>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DF981BE.690D7166@earthlink.net>...
> >
> > > More of your, "If I didn't do it or see it, it can't have happened"
> > > crap.
> > > You're simply pathetic, Leonard.
>
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > Yes, I had permission. Brian
>
> At least you thought you did.

More of your, "If I didn't do it or see it..."

3630. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 23:55:57 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021213185557.27479.00000018@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 57819

>Subject: Re: Would have been Extra sooner
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 12/13/02 4:38 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021213173840.26533.00000045@mb-fg.aol.com>

>Amateur "indians" live on reservations, restricted to few activities.

Spoken like a true outsider! Someone with nary a clue as to what is
possible with an Amateur Radio license.

In other words, showing off what an ignorant putz he REALLY is.

>Yes, the initiation rites (a hazing) are stupid and unneccessary
> in this day and age, still practiced on the reservation due to all
> the old self-styled "chiefs" waving their greying bird feathers as
> if they could "lead" any ignorant young braves into some "battle.

Wrong. The "initiation rite", as refered to by "The Ignorant One", is
part and parcel of a treaty signed by the United States government, and as such
is not unilaterally waiveable by any subordinate agency of that government.

Willful misrepresentation of that fact by a person alleged to be a "radio
professional" can only be categorized as a lie. In this case just one more
overt attempt by a cowardly, sulking old man to try an make an impression on a
world he was unable to manipulate in "his day"...Now pumped up by the "power"
of the Internet, only to be undone by one indelible truth...The Truth IS the
Truth, and no amount of misrepresentation or wordsmithing can make it anything
else.

>Go and chew some more buffalo jerky.

Almost all of the bull here is generated by you, Oh Lying One.

>All you are able to do
> now is spit and try to jerky others into your little inner circle of
> shameless shamanism of "glory in the reservation."

You mean like your "I Am A Professional, Ergo I Am Always Right" attitude,
Lennie...?!?!

>There is no
> glory there despite you "bureau of indian affairs" (ARRL) who has
> led you mighty "chiefs" on a circle jerky inside the reservation.

Ahhhh.... gratuitous homosexual innuendo. Why am I not surprised?

>You are NOT Chief Sitting Bull. You are simply bull.

Pot/kettle/black.

>Burke was right.

When?

Steve

3631. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-13 23:59:12 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212131559.52afba6d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57820

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3DF981BE.690D7166@earthlink.net>...
> Len Over 21 wrote:

> > A claim of "having the negatives" is also suspect of being a
> > phony one. Consider that date-stamping negatives didn't
> > come into practice until about a decade ago in cameras
> > which included that feature. Only a very few photographic
> > developing services in the world did date-marking negative
> > film prior to 1980...and those were primarily for commercial
> > photographs.

The black & white shots I took were processed and cataloged by the
NAEC photo lab and were date-stamped as are all negs & prints which
pass thru gummint photo labs. The color shots were taken with
Agfachrome 50 transparency film and date stamped by the Agfa lab.
Putz.

> > An altitude of 750 feet MSL is still relatively high. In order to
> > show sufficient imagery detail to perceive the aircraft IS the
> > planform of a Bear, the camera must have a moderate to long
> > telephoto lens (85mm or longer for the average 35mm camera)
> > and the common, garden-variety snapshot camera can't get
> > enough detail to show much of anything that far up.
>
> More of your, "If I didn't do it or see it, it can't have happened"
> crap.
> You're simply pathetic, Leonard.

Fer cripes sake . . I used my Honeywell Pentax H3v equipped with a
50mm f/1.8 Takumar lens and loaded with Plus-X film. I took the color
shots with a Honeywell Pentax Spotmatic equipped with an indentical
lens. I got off three shots of the guy with the H3v when he was over
and almost over the ship. The Navy lab souped the film with Microdol
cut 1:1 for max sharpness with min grain. Same recipe I used in my own
darkroom. By the time the lab got done diddling with those negs with
an enlarger ya could count the rivets on the engine shrounds and
flaps. Today I have maybe a dozen cameras including four Nikon bodies
and ten Nikkor lenses. My written orders were to go aboard to "observe
and photograph". And I did. "Snapshooter". Right.

I was actually on a secret mission. Since you're cleared Dave I can
spill the beans. Besides all the visible reasons for taking that jaunt
it was actually a sales trip. I went aboard to dredge up projects for
myself and the rest of my group back in Philly off the orders. Work,
work, money, money. I was a 100% commercial engineering whore back
then and I still am so I was a bit of an oddball as gummint folk go.

My 2" thick trip report with the photos got enough attention up the line to break loose funding for a huge volume of man-hours worth of labor. Mission accomplished. Din hear any bitching from the fleet for that one, we fixed all kinds of awshits for them which would have fallen thru the bureuacrat's cracks in their floors and would never have gotten to our shop floor thru "channels".

> Sure is warm and cozy here in the lodge hall, Len. The old timers are
> gathered by the fire. Later, there will be a simple ceremony honoring
> the recently licensed children. I thought I caught a glimpse of you
> watching through a frosted window pane earlier.

It certainly is, nice & toasty. Pass another hot toddy 'ole bean, how 'bout we go beeping later?

Somebody please close the damned curtains.

> Dave K8MN

w3rv

3632. Re: CCF Contest/DX Meeting in Finland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 00:18:40 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021213191840.22858.00000066@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 57821

In article <fjrK9.4631$MV5.413721@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:

>: WRTC2002 Video Presentation - World Premier by OH2BH
>
>I see Martti Laine will be on the podium.
>
>I wonder if his protege, N0IMD/T5 will join him.

Shame on you, icehole fisher Hans, for trolling like that!

3633. Re: CCF Contest/DX Meeting in Finland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 01:12:42 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021213201242.27442.00000015@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 57822

>Subject: Re: CCF Contest/DX Meeting in Finland
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 12/13/02 6:18 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021213191840.22858.00000066@mb-mf.aol.com>

>Shame on you, icehole fisher Hans, for trolling like that!

Pot/kettle/black.

What a putz.

Steve

3634. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 01:46:17 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uvl3sg7eeul2d1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57823

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0212121002.6823d385@posting.google.com...
> "Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<uvdessoa29ed06@corp.supernews.com>...
> > >
> > > Would the world not be pleasant without the Saddam Hussein kind and
the
> > OBL
> > > kind? What would be lost if the terrorists and oppressors and those
bent
> > on
> > > destruction simply disappeared?
> >
> > I can't answer that, because I don't know. I don't know that I'm right
> > about the world needing all kinds, and I don't know that I'm right that
we
> > need all encounters to be what we are. That is what I believe. It is
> > called faith.
>
> It's also avoiding the question: Can you not *imagine* a world that
> would be pleasant without certain kinds of people in it?
>

Jim, I really wasn't trying to avoid the question...I don't know that I'd do
that. However, your question is fair. I can imagine all kinds of
hypothetical worlds, so yes, I can imagine a world that would be pleasant
even without certain kinds of people--because I suppose at that point my
imagination would make it so. But, imagination and reality are two entirely
different things.

> "Faith" is what we a person believes without proof, and it is
> necessary because we don't have proof of everything we want to know.
> But faith is not an excuse for being illogical or avoiding judgement.
>
> Consider this statement:
>
> "We need thieves, robbers and burglars in our society, because if they
> all disappeared, there would be no need for locks, alarms, and certain
> kinds of insurance - and the people who make their living in those
> industries would lose their jobs".
>
> Logical or not?
>
> > I do know there isn't a time in history in which "we"
> > (people; humans) have not had to endure bitter times.

>
> I disagree. What is known is that, for recorded history, we find that
> there has always been some group of people who were enduring bad
> times. That doesn't mean we must apathetically acccept evil and
> suffering as some sort of necessity.
>

I think you just said the same thing I have. There has always been some group of people who were enduring bad times, is equal to: there isn't a time in history in which we have not had to endure bitter times, isn't it?

> >
> > I have to believe
> > there is a reason, or a purpose, for that--or continue to ask the
question
> > why.
>
> Sure - that's what YOU have to believe.
>

And, that is all I stated.

>
> From the Book of Bokonon:
>
> Tiger got to hunt
> Bird got to fly,
> Man got to wonder, "Why, why, why?"
>
> Tiger got to sleep
> Bird got to land,
> Man got think he understand.
>

I don't know a thing of the Book of Bokonon--don't even know to what you refer. However, I don't ask why, why, why. I really don't. Maybe that's a shortcoming of mine, I don't know.

> >
> > There is an (Eastern?) philosophical statement that says for every
action
> > there is an equal and opposite reaction.
>
> That's basic physics. It's how rockets work.
>

You emphasize my obscurely made point--that it takes two swings of the penulum to complete a cycle.

> > It's probably much like
> > Christianity's "to everything there is a turn..."
>
> "To every thing there is a time, and a purpose under heaven".

> Ecclesiastes, as I recall. Made popular in the song "Turn, Turn,
> Turn". Best known version is the Byrds', but actually written by Pete
> Seeger.
>
> > I have not seen the movie "The Seven Samurai"; however I would have to
> > challenge the tenet as being unprovable.
>
> It's easily provable. If there are no bandits, will the farmers stop
> raising food? Of course not. If there are no farmers, the bandits will
> starve.
>

If there are no farmers, the farmers will starve, i.e., we would *all* be
gone and it all becomes a moot point.

>
> Somebody has to produce in order for there to be something to steal.
> The producers do not need the moochers and thieves, but the moochers
> and thieves need the producers.
>

I rather think we all need each other. Mind you, I wouldn't thank a creep
for being around; but I'd sure be asking myself why I happened to be around
that creep or vice versa, and what it is that destiny has chosen for me to
learn from it.

> >
> > We've never had a world without challenge.
>
> Doesn't mean progress is impossible.
>
> 150 years ago, in this very country, you could have gotten into a
> serious "philosophical" argument that slavery was an economic
> necessity. Millions of people accepted - no PROMOTED - the concept of
> owning other innocent humans. Today we see slavery as fundamentally
> immoral and illogical. The world is a better place without slavery.
> > >

Yet, isn't the history of slavery a part of why we know it is wrong? And,
some even still need to learn that lesson.

> > >
> > > If you want to talk philosophy, tell us what the producers of the
world
> > > gain from the moochers and destroyers.
>
> > We, at the least, gain knowing that we don't want to be like them, think
> > like them, act like them. They, at the least, offer an example of what
can
> > happen if we do.
>
> Doesn't mean we "need" them. We don't need to have people jumping off

> of bridges and falling to their deaths to know it's a bad idea.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

We don't?

Kim W5TIT

3635. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 01:51:03 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uvl45dd5mfi98f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57824

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021212220034.15917.00000010@mb-cs.aol.com...
> In article <3DF90011.9030808@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
> >> yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
> >news:<20021211214347.10597.00003178@mb-mq.aol.com>...
> >>
> > <snippage>
>
> >>>so, what is your opinion of children being taught about the concept
> >>>of God and religion in school? I'm not talking about actual religious
> >>>instruction (which I would not expect in a public school), just
teaching
> >>>kids about the fact that religions exist, and that they are based on
> >>>a belief on a supreme being (God).
>
> >>>You seem quite worked up about
> >>>the joke that was posted, and in my experience, that usually denotes
> >>>some sort of identification with what was said. In which context do
> >>>you identify with it?
> >>
> >> It wasn't a joke. It portrayed a teacher as stupid, and evolution as
> >> anti-religion. In fact, it hardly portrayed evolution and science at
> >> all.
> >
> > And it wasn't funny either.
>
> Which made it clear that it wasn;t meant as a joke at all.
>
> >> What makes it really bad is the fact that some people are pushing
> >> pseudoscience into the curriculum, at the expense of critical thinking
> >> and real science. The Scopes monkey trial is still going on in some
> >> parts of the USA.
> >
> > Indeed it is. Maybe we should also teach "Flat Earth" in school.
>
> How about spontaneous generation and astrology, while we're at it?
> >
> >> The *concept* of religion being taught in the public schools is a good
> >> idea. The actual teaching of specific religious beliefs as fact isn't.
> >
> > And there can be a thin line there. Often things are just fine until
> >they start talking about a religion which someone doesn't care for.

> >(more often someone's parents)
>
> I think the real problem is that almost all organized religions have some
> skeletons in their closets. And most "holy" books have some contradictions
> built into them.
>
> For example, Genesis has two contradictory creation stories in it. In the
first
> story (Chapter 1 and the first few verses of Chapter 2), God creates the
> heavens and the earth and all of the animals first. Man (and woman) are
the
> last things created, and given "dominion" over the earth and other
creatures.
>
> But in Chapter 2, there's another creation story. It says that after God
> created the heavens and the earth, but when there was no fiueld shrub nor
green
> grass on the earth, God created man from clay. After that, God created the
> Garden of Eden, with all the plants. Only after all that was done did God
> create the animals and birds, intending them to be companions for man.
Finally,
> woman is created from Adam's rib.
>
> Now it's clear that both stories cannot be literally true, because the
sequence
> of events in each one contradicts the other. So the creation stories are
> obviously not meant to be taken literally. Yet people try to "prove" that
the
> universe is only a little more than 6000 years old....
>
> Or consider the story of Noah and the ark. (The Titanic was built and
operated
> by professionals, but the ark was built and operated by amateurs).
>
> The Bible tells us how big the ark was, and that Noah took two of each
animal
> on board. Yet if we look around and start lining up pairs of animals, it's
> obvious that a boat the size of the ark isn't nearly big enough to hold
even a
> fraction of the different species, let alone food for them. So either many
of
> the animals we know today only appeared after the flood (proof of
evolution?)
> or they survived the flood somehow without going on the ark at all.
>

You missed another possibility: Noah clearly understood animal husbandry and
genetics--*and* had the capability to collect and store semen and eggs.

Kim W5TIT

3636. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 01:51:49 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uvl46t2t03uvb0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57825

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:VecK9.2857$j_4.313480@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote
>
> :
> : But, Hans. Would you deny those who find their only comfort in faith
and
> : God? I am not one of those. But I would not deny anyone their beliefs.
> : Nor would I act so poisonous against them.
> :
>
> Of course I wouldn't deny them that comfort. I'm simply stating my own
> position. Others certainly should feel free to chase their own hopes and
> dreams. I don't try to impose my philosophy on others, and only ask that
> others show me the same consideration.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

Very well. I wasn't getting that impression so I'm glad you answered.

Kim W5TIT

3637. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 01:52:22 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uvl483888oh9c7@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57826

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:nwcK9.2884$j_4.316367@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote
>
> :
> : That is a belief/concept you wish to perpetuate, Hans. I am not certain
> you
> : are right or wrong about it. But, for me, it doesn't work.
> :
>
> Actually I'm not trying to "perpetuate" anything. I'm just simply stating
> my own cosmology. If it doesn't work for you, then we can still be
friends.
> There are many gods out there to chose from among the various religions in
> the world. You need to go with the one which works for you.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>

Or none at all...

Kim W5TIT

3638. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 01:56:33 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <uvl4fna3thh72@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57827

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021213001258.17913.00000072@mb-mv.aol.com...
> In article <uvij12fi1he27f@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>
> >> Kim:
> >>
> >> If your call sign were W5LTO, we wouldn't be having this discussion.
> >>
> >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >>
> >
> >I like this discussion. You don't?
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
>
> Kim:
>
> As usual, you missed a perfectly obvious point. I imagine that a
> demonstration of such a lack of cognitive ability would explain your
> choice of Vanity call sign. However, I'm not inclined to let you
> off the hook that easily.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

You and your eternal hook. Am I supposed to be feeling this hook, or is
this just another legend in your own mind?

Kim W5TIT

3639. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 02:18:02 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021213211802.09874.00000045@mb-ml.aol.com>
Source record: 57828

In article <d22b54c3.0212131559.52afba6d@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>The black & white shots I took were processed and cataloged by the
>NAEC photo lab and were date-stamped as are all negs & prints which
>pass thru gummint photo labs.

Did you have written permission to have your personal film processed by a "gummint" photo lab? Remember, according to ROI in here, it's "illegal" without written permission.

>The color shots were taken with
>Agfachrome 50 transparency film and date stamped by the Agfa lab.

...then that would be POSITIVES, not negatives. Get it straight.

>Fer cripes sake . . I used my Honeywell Pentax H3v equipped with a
>50mm f/1.8 Takumar lens and loaded with Plus-X film. I took the color
>shots with a Honeywell Pentax Spotmatic equipped with an indentical
>lens. I got off three shots of the guy with the H3v when he was over
>and almost over the ship.

Name-dropping and bullshitting again, senior. A 50mm lens is the "normal" focal length variety used for nice pictures of family and friends, roughly the field of view of the human eye. If you want to get some resolution (with any film variety, any development) you want a 135mm telephoto lens up front to get close to getting a large wingspan in the narrower field of view. You would also need a fast shutter speed...1/500th or 1/1000th second to avoid blur and other motion effects.

>The Navy lab souped the film with Microdol
>cut 1:1 for max sharpness with min grain. Same recipe I used in my own
>darkroom. By the time the lab got done diddling with those negs with
>an enlarger ya could count the rivets on the engine shrounds and
>flaps.

More bullshit. Name-dropping an old developer with Plus X (Eastman Kodak) doesn't prove a damn thing other than being able to read old Eastman publications. Plus X is ASA 50, rather slow as film speeds go.

With a standard 50mm lens up front anyone could tell there were engines on a Bear...but NOWHERE close to "counting the rivits." Try not to snow those that have been doing photography on a more advanced level for 50 years... including developing, printing, and enlarging.

>Today I have maybe a dozen cameras including four Nikon bodies
>and ten Nikkor lenses. My written orders were to go aboard to "observe
>and photograph". And I did. "Snapshooter". Right.

You could have the entire inventory of Ritz Camera and still come
out implausible. Anyone who wanted "intel" (HAW!) on some
non-friendly aircraft sufficient to "count rivits" would have used a
TELEPHOTO up front.

>I was actually on a secret mission.

Of course you were, Mr. Secret Agent Man. So secret that
NO ONE knew it until this sub-thread started. Wonderful how
coincidences happen, isn't it?

>... Besides all the visible reasons for taking that jaunt
>it was actually a sales trip.

...and you are still "selling" in here. Nobody's buying.

>My 2" thick trip report with the photos got enough attention up the
>line to break loose funding for a huge volume of man-hours worth of
>labor. Mission accomplished. Din hear any bitching from the fleet for
>that one, we fixed all kinds of awshits for them which would have
>fallen thru the bureuacrat's cracks in their floors and would never
>have gotten to our shop floor thru "channels".

Ah, yes, the self-made HERO by your own definition.

Sounds suspiciously like Roll's own desk-general "expertise"
where "he knew more than his commanding officer." Yah, yah.

>Somebody please close the damned curtains.

Yes, the hot air is definitely uncomfortable from all the
catapult claims wafted over all the "rental unit" swine in here.

How can Kellie possibly survive among all the ordinary folk
who work for employers in here? ["rental units"]

So, didn't the masterbeeper overhaul the radio comm gear
while "not going through channels?" Geez, that could have
gotten a nice shiny oak leaf cluster on the Hero medal.

3640. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 02:31:35 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021213213135.23988.00000064@mb-cp.aol.com>
Source record: 57829

In article <uvl3sg7eeul2d1@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>I don't know a thing of the Book of Bokonon--don't even know to what you
>refer. However, I don't ask why, why, why. I really don't. Maybe that's a
>shortcoming of mine, I don't know.

The "Book of Bokonon quotations" are a fictious artifact
used by science-fantasy writer Kurt Vonnegut.

It's a literary device to give an author's work some "style"
and enable a stronger escape to a fictious "world" for
readers. It's amusing considering that "Bartlett's Familiar
Quotations" has enough REAL people quotes to make
taglines from here to the middle of the millennium.

Those who use fictitious quotes often could be classified
as "cult followers" such as those believing in myths ("CW
gets through when NOTHING ELSE will").

3641. Re: K1MAN jamming countinues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 03:29:33 UTC
From: Rollo <webmechanic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <oprhdlbjy0uoy5bj@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 57830
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 11 Dec 2002 19:30:26 -0600, N0VFP 2.0 <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote:

> The nuts that dislike K1MAN continue to jam his amateur radio bulletin
> service.

I don't consider myself a "nut", although others may disagree. I certainly don't know enough about K1MAN to like him or dislike him personally. What I DO know is that Part 97 says that nobody owns a frequency. K1MAN himself doesn't listen to a frequency before he starts transmitting; his "Radio Shack Timer" just starts things rolling and QRMs whoever happens to be on "his" frequency at the time. His defense is that he's advertised his schedule, and people should know better.

He doesn't own "his" frequencies, and stomping on people who happen to be using them qualifies as "harmful interference" according to Part 97. Whether I like K1MAN or not is irrelevent. His behavior says he's not a hero, he's just a plain old asshole who thinks he doesn't need to live by the same rules as other amateurs.

3642. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 03:36:36 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021213223636.02209.00000014@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 57831

In article <atau3p114is@enews4.newsguy.com>, "KC8PMX"
<kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> writes:

>f after the existing pool of callsigns are issued, that may be a
>possibility if we ever get a huge inrush of new hams. (and I mean more that
>what we have now) When they reach Z, ZZ or ZZZ as the suffix in the various
>classes, and after reusing some of the ones that were changed from upgrades
>or whatever reason, they may have to look at another format such as a 1x4 or
>maybe even a 3x3.
>
>Who knows.... guess we will see when we get there...
>
Let's do the math...

The number of suffixes from AAA to ZZZ is 17,576. Say 17,500 to allow for
certain calls being "inappropriate".

The number of possible prefixes from WA to WZ is 26. Add in KA to KZ and NA to
NZ and we get 78. Say 70 to allow for some not being issued. 10 call district
gives us 700 possible prefixes.

700 times 17,500 is 12,250,000 possible 2x3 calls.

The derivation of the number of 1x2, 2x1, 2x2 and 1x3 calls is left to the
reader.

73 de Jim, N2EY

70

3643. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 03:36:39 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021213223639.02209.00000015@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 57832

In article <bumivuk6blmsqgaadsj7du7o1ugvlend8s@4ax.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>On 13 Dec 2002 03:00:34 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>
>>In article <3DF90011.9030808@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:
>>
>>>> What makes it really bad is the fact that some people are pushing
>>>> pseudoscience into the curriculum, at the expense of critical thinking
>>>> and real science. The Scopes monkey trial is still going on in some
>>>> parts of the USA.
>>>
>>> Indeed it is. Maybe we should also teach "Flat Earth" in school.
>>
>>How about spontaneous generation and astrology, while we're at it?
>
>And crystal power and astralprojection.

Time to chant....

OMMMMMMMMMMMMMM
>
>>>> The *concept* of religion being taught in the public schools is a good
>>>> idea. The actual teaching of specific religious beliefs as fact isn't.
>>>
>>> And there can be a thin line there. Often things are just fine until
>>>they start talking about a religion which someone doesn't care for.
>>>(more often someone's parents)
>
><some snippage>
>
>>Or consider the story of Noah and the ark. (The Titanic was built and
>>operated
>>by professionals, but the ark was built and operated by amateurs).
>>
>>The Bible tells us how big the ark was, and that Noah took two of each
>>animal
>>on board. Yet if we look around and start lining up pairs of animals, it's
>>obvious that a boat the size of the ark isn't nearly big enough to hold even
>>a
>>fraction of the different species, let alone food for them. So either many
>>of
>>the animals we know today only appeared after the flood (proof of
>>evolution?)
>>or they survived the flood somehow without going on the ark at all.

>
>Heh heh, one of my favorite stories! When a fundy engages me about the
>Noah legend, there are a vouple questions that get rid of the
>"scientific" argument real quick.
>
> Where did the water come from?

Some say the earth is partially hollow, and it came from underground. Of course
there's absolutely no scientific evidence of this.
>
> Where did it go to when the flood was done?

Back underground. Again, no evidence.
>
> After some fumbling replies:
>
> calculate the amount of water to cover the entire earth to
>cover and go above Mount Everest?

Much more than is in all of the oceans and glaciers and ice caps.

Of course, an all-powerful Supreme Being could create the needed water and make
it go away just as fast.
>
> Then the more silly but important questions:
>
> Did the animals in Australia swim from their homes, across the
>Pacific and Indian oceans to get to the Middle East so that they
>wouldn't drown?

Don't forget the Americas and the islands. Note also that many species only
exist in one place on earth - how did they get back?
>
>>Historic note: There is significant evidence that the Black Sea was once a
>>fresh water lake, isolated from the Mediterranean and much smaller and lower
>>than it is today. It was surrounded by many prehistoric human settlements.
>>The
>>evidence strongly suggests that at the end of the last Ice Age, the glaciers
>>melted and the sea rose to the point where the Med overflowed into the Black
>>Sea via the Bosporus. The level of the water rose quickly (500 feet or more
>>in
>>depth in a few days/weeks) wiping out lakeside settlements and causing
>>widespread devastation. The survivors of such a catastrophe would hand the
>>story down for many generations.
>
>Yes, I've heard of this. A more rational explanation for sure. Many
>religions have a flood legend, which indicates that something almost
>certainly did happen.

Australian aborigines have lots of interesting creation legends, all of them
passed down orally. When carefully analyzed, some of them point to the idea
that the aboriginal people got to Australia during the last Ice Age, when the

oceans were much lower than today. They describe places that are now
underwater.
>
> It must have been an incredible event!
>
> But a localized (if very big locale) event nonetheless.

And the theory is that it happened over a few days/weeks, which fits the
Biblical story quite well.

Imagine such an event from the viewpoint of those who experienced it.Remember
that many prehistoric peoples lived in the same places in essentially the same
ways for hundreds or thousands of years. They and their ancestors knew "the
world" as the lake and the land around it, unchanging for countless
generations.

Then all of a sudden the rains start, the lake rises and submerges everything.
A few take to the boats and are saved. Maybe there was one man who had the
vision to build a special-purpose boat ahead of time, and bring animals aboard.

> And there is no problem with accepting the biblical account as
>a localized event. It wasn't until much much later that world maps
>evolved from the old T-O world maps to at least the more advanced
>Terra incognita maps that acknowledged that the world was not a small
>flat area.

The whole concept of a "map" is relatively new, compared to how long humans
have been on earth.
>
>>> I went to a school in a community where the hot topic issues were
>>>controlled by the religious zealots. NOt only was evolution not taught,
>>>but most anything not required by the state that was possible to lead
>>>to talking about evolution was nixed. It was amazing that in the '70's
>>>we didn't cover paleontology or the like.
>>
>>Where was this?
>
> Lovely little town of Bellefonte, PA.

William Penn is turning over in his grave...

>>> I remember that the students were concerned about this, and a number of
>>
>>>us went to a school board meeting where the topic would be discussed.
>>>One of the religious zealot parents actually brought in a Penthouse
>>>magazine and when it was his turn to talk, he stood and in full zeal, he
>>>spoke of the sinful ways of the school, the students, and then in full
>>>view of all us kids (probably 30 of us) he whips out the Penthouse and
>>>opens the centerfold, and shows it, proclaiming "This is what they are
>>>teaching our kids in school these days!"
>>
>>I GOTTA move there!!!

>
> Well, they've changed a bit. These were some embarrassing
>times for many of the locals, and they eventually wrested control from
>the zealots.

Halleluia!

>Now the kids know that babies don't come from the cabbage
>patch.
>
Dern right! Everybody knows it't the stork's job!

>>> Needless to say, he was
>>>admonished, but amazingly, not ejected. Most of the male students who
>>>attended were hoping he would come to more meetings!! ;^)

With handouts!
>>
>>If that's what they are teaching, I volunteer to do extra homework.
>
> Oh, those were interesting times in the Adult entertainment
>industry! They were just starting to show parts of the anatomy that
>weren't shown before, and us kids were being a nuisance, stealing our
>parents copies and all.

Funny how all that has changed. I recall when Rob and Laura Petrie slept in
twin beds with buttoned-to-the-neck pajamas. Now the same station shows
"Temptation Island".
>
> But before we just sound like a bunch of hedonists, after the
>joking tapered off, we were kind of angry. Partly on account of the
>fac that this dud is trying to say that we were being taught that in
>school while nothing of the sort is happening, and more subtley that
>many of the kids attending were young ladies we went to school with,
>and all joking aside, we didn't like this jackass showing them that
>centerfold. Some of them were our girlfriends and close friends.

And sisters!

>Yeah,
>I know, sounds like a double standard, but I'm talking about teenage
>boys and girls.
>
How is it a double standard? If the mag is inappropriate for students, then it
should not have been brought to a meeting where students are present. And if
it's so offensive, how did the guy who waved it around get hold of a copy?

>>> But coming from a school system where the religious ruled the roost,
>>>and where nothing got taught unless THEY approved of it, I know
>>>firsthand what they have in mind. How would anyone convince me otherwise?
>>
>>By exposing you to religious zealots whose zeal is for other things - like
>>religious tolerance and diversity.

>
> By the time you get to zealotry, tolerance and diversity
>pretty much go out the window.

Perhaps zealotry is the wrong word. How about "deeply held values and convictions"?

Pennsylvania was founded by as a "Holy Experiment" - the idea that religion should not be forced on anyone, and practically all religions tolerated on an equal basis. (Obviously a religion that requires, say, the murder of unbelievers cannot be tolerated in a free society).

> These people KNOW EXACTLY what you are
>supposed to believe and EXACTLY how you are supposed to behave.

Ideological absolutism is the problem. Whether it's the Spanish Inquisition or the "Final Solution".
>
>>Here's another one: The original version of the Pledge of Allegiance did not
>>have the words "under God" in it. They were added much later.
>
> I don't doubt it.

Added in 1954, as I recall, in a bout of anti-Communist fervor.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3644. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 03:36:40 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021213223640.02209.00000016@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 57833

In article <3DF8F80C.3050601@psu.edu>, Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> writes:

>N2EY wrote:
>> "Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
>news:<uvfp08ihf973e3@corp.supernews.com>...

>>>Hey, how 'bout the callsing W5LTO.

>> How about it?

>>>Could mean a callsign for the Lotto.

>> Not obvious to most people. And I don't know anyone who would be
>> offended by hearing "WOW! Look at the size of the Lotto!" or "Nice
>> Lotto, huh?"

It's not the size of the Lotto but the chances of winning that interest me.....

>> Are people in Texas offended by the Lotto?

>I'm not in Texas, but I find the lottory (and all gambling) offensive.

So do many folks. Others find it an enjoyable pastime.

>Gambling is either near to a sickness or a sickness.

For some people, it becomes an negative addictive behavior.

Problem is, outlawing gambling entirely does not stop it, same as for alcohol or other addictions. Should we outlaw ethanol beverages because of their destructive effect on society? Wait, that's been tried already....

>That it is
>subsidized and promoted by the states is quite annoying to me.

The sad fact is that state lotteries are a form of "voluntary" taxation that is highly regressive. Their existence is due in large part to the political reality that it's political suicide to raise taxes. Lotteries generate revenue without that negative political fallout.

Just human nature, I guess:

When I was a kid, the local parish made serious money from the Friday night bingo game. Even non-Catholics would show up to play. Then a new pastor took over, and he abolished the game, saying people should just put that money in the collection basket on Sunday. Parish had a deficit for years and years.

I recall reading that about 20 years ago there was a decrepit bridge across the Ohio River that needed demolition. Trouble was, it was such an old bridge that

responsibility for its demolition was unclear. Finally a solution was devised: The least expensive way to demolish the bridge was with explosives - cost, about $1 million total. They ran a lottery, $1 a ticket, winner gets to push the button that blows the bridge. They sold out the tickets in a matter of days, and the whole thing turned into a big party.

Me, I don't gamble. Went to Atlantic City once since they built the big casinos. Was pretty clear to me that they were the result of people going home with a lot less money than they brought.

> Besides, it is annoying to stand in line at a store behind of of the
>addicted and wait while they put their numbers in or scratch n' lose
>their money away.

I avoid those stores.
>
> And just to add insult to insult, the addicted's losses are tax
>deductable. Sheesh!

In some ways, that's the worst, because it rewards a destructive habit.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3645. Re: K1MAN jamming countinues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 04:33:02 UTC
From: "N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3dfab2d8$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 57834
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Rollo" <webmechanic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:oprhdlbjy0uoy5bj@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Wed, 11 Dec 2002 19:30:26 -0600, N0VFP 2.0 <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com>
wrote:
>
> > The nuts that dislike K1MAN continue to jam his amateur radio bulletin
> > service.
>
> I don't consider myself a "nut", although others may disagree. I
certainly
> don't know enough about K1MAN to like him or dislike him personally. What
> I DO know is that Part 97 says that nobody owns a frequency. K1MAN
himself
> doesn't listen to a frequency before he starts transmitting; his "Radio
> Shack Timer" just starts things rolling and QRMs whoever happens to be on
> "his" frequency at the time. His defense is that he's advertised his
> schedule, and people should know better.

For someone who claims to not know much, your sure know the cliams and
slander, dont you?

He announces his net before he comes on

>
> He doesn't own "his" frequencies, and stomping on people who happen to be
> using them qualifies as "harmful interference" according to Part 97.
> Whether I like K1MAN or not is irrelevent. His behavior says he's not a
> hero, he's just a plain old asshole who thinks he doesn't need to live by
> the same rules as other amateurs.

Another un-founded statement.

--
Lloyd Davies, Alabama, USA
Icom R-75, R-2 DX-398
http://www.qsl.net/n0vfp

3646. Re: K1MAN jamming countinues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 05:00:05 UTC
From: K�HB <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <pVyK9.222$jN4.25534@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57835
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote

:
: He announces his net before he comes on
:

That automated announcement gives him no rights whatsoever to hijack a frequency which is already in use by other licensees. Please refer to §97.101(b) which states "No frequency will be assigned for the exclusive use of any station." In plain English that means "K1MAN cannot take over a frequency which is already in use, regardless of how many announcements he makes." Riley is gonna get him .... AGAIN!

73, de Hans, K0HB

3647. Re: K1MAN jamming countinues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 05:11:49 UTC
From: "N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3dfabbf0$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 57836
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:pVyK9.222$jN4.25534@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote
>
> :
> : He announces his net before he comes on
> :
>
> That automated announcement gives him no rights whatsoever to hijack a
> frequency which is already in use by other licensees. Please refer to
> �97.101(b) which states "No frequency will be assigned for the exclusive
use
> of any station." In plain English that means "K1MAN cannot take over a
> frequency which is already in use, regardless of how many announcements he
> makes." Riley is gonna get him .... AGAIN!
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>

Does the above rule also go for W1AW?

3648. Re: K1MAN jamming countinues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 05:22:37 UTC
From: K�HB <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <xezK9.257$jN4.29529@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57837
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote
: > ⌧97.101(b) which states "No frequency will be assigned for the exclusive
: > use of any station."

:
: Does the above rule also go for W1AW?
:

Yes.

73, de Hans, K0HB

3649. Re: Can I use this radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 06:18:12 UTC
From: Runnin Man <rcranus@hotmailcom>
Message-ID: <0QKdnQN4js-5UGegXTWcow@comcast.com>
Source record: 57838

"David Robbins" <k1ttt@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:8AqdnWqgMoQkuWegXTWc2Q@crocker.com...

> there may be other questions about the legality of the sale itself and the
> status of the radio as far as fcc type acceptance for sale as an amateur
> radio.

Thats what I want to know If it is illegal to buy, is it not illegal to use?
How did you get it ? How come Yaseu etc have to wait for type certification
before they can sell radios to hams? Why are these radios different if they
are?

3650. Re: K1MAN jamming countinues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 08:06:13 UTC
From: Darn! Dude! <TooBad@jacpy.com>
Message-ID: <ateoor$c2g$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 57839
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Rollo" <webmechanic@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:oprhdlbjy0uoy5bj@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Wed, 11 Dec 2002 19:30:26 -0600, N0VFP 2.0 <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com>
wrote:
>
> > The nuts that dislike K1MAN continue to jam his amateur radio bulletin
> > service.
>
> I don't consider myself a "nut", although others may disagree. I
certainly
> don't know enough about K1MAN to like him or dislike him personally. What
> I DO know is that Part 97 says that nobody owns a frequency. K1MAN
himself
> doesn't listen to a frequency before he starts transmitting; his "Radio
> Shack Timer" just starts things rolling and QRMs whoever happens to be on
> "his" frequency at the time. His defense is that he's advertised his
> schedule, and people should know better.
>
> He doesn't own "his" frequencies, and stomping on people who happen to be
> using them qualifies as "harmful interference" according to Part 97.
> Whether I like K1MAN or not is irrelevent. His behavior says he's not a
> hero, he's just a plain old asshole who thinks he doesn't need to live by
> the same rules as other amateurs.

Agreed. So, what are you gonna do about it? Since Riley seems to be giving
asshole MAN a free ride, what are you gonna do?
K1MAN is in violation of many rules. He is an arrogant anal aperture...but
what can we do about him? Nothing, simple as that. Nothing.
If you or I jam him we are violating the terms of our Ham licenses. It
stinks, does it not? Butthole Glenn Baxter can jam us and not look backwards
but we better not do as he does?
Riley seems to be staying at arm's length on this one....why? When Riley has
the audacity to ask certain Hams to cease txmitting on certain freqs. why
then can he not also ask K1MAN to do the same?
Something stinks in Mudville and Riley ain't telling the whole truth....

3651. Re: K1MAN jamming countinues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 08:19:34 UTC
From: Grouch <NoJamming@jHammers.com>
Message-ID: <atephrdvj0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 57840
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3dfabbf0$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
>
> "K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
> news:pVyK9.222$jN4.25534@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > "N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote
> >
> > :
> > : He announces his net before he comes on
> > :
> >
> > That automated announcement gives him no rights whatsoever to hijack a
> > frequency which is already in use by other licensees. Please refer to
> > §97.101(b) which states "No frequency will be assigned for the exclusive
> use
> > of any station." In plain English that means "K1MAN cannot take over a
> > frequency which is already in use, regardless of how many announcements
> he
> > makes." Riley is gonna get him .... AGAIN!
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> >
> >
> >
>
> Does the above rule also go for W1AW?
>
The above rule SHOULD apply to W1AW also. Their broadcasting falls within
the same parameters that K1MAN falls into. Like it or not, guys, you either
accept both broadcasting stations or you accept neither. Baxter, despite
being hateful, is doing nothing more than what the ARRL is doing.
In all fairness if you wish to ban one, you should ban both.
The bottom line is, who cares?
When only 20% or less of licensed Hams belong to the ARRL....well, why
bother? The ARRL has nobody to blame but themselves.
Maybe if they raise their rates again they will get more members.....DUH!

3652. Re: K1MAN jamming countinues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 08:53:46 UTC
From: Satan <NOGod@otman.com>
Message-ID: <ateri0$hr9$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 57841
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:xezK9.257$jN4.29529@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
>
> "N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote
> : > �97.101(b) which states "No frequency will be assigned for the
exclusive
> : > use of any station."
>
> :
> : Does the above rule also go for W1AW?
> :
>
> Yes.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
Yes. W1AW is just as much in violation as K1MAN. What is the REAL
difference? Think about it...there is none. Be honest. Be realistic and look
it from both sides.
Me? Heck. I do not care one bit for either...one is as bad as the other. I
could not care less for the ARRL agenda and I could care even less for
K1MAN' s stupidity.
Should I join? Join what?
Sorry guys, but I am gonna pass all of you up. I have better things to
do with my time.

3653. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 09:37:07 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021214043707.03091.00000020@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 57842

In article <uvl45dd5mfi98f@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>You missed another possibility: Noah clearly understood animal husbandry and
>genetics--*and* had the capability to collect and store semen and eggs.

Without refrigeration, they'd quickly become useless. And the book clearly says
"two of each kind of animal".

73 de Jim, N2EY

3654. Re: Hal Turner mentioned on the Liberty Net!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 10:33:19 UTC
From: gasbag <yippieyiaye@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3dfb08ec$1@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 57843
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_69@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3dfaaf0b$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
> Alot of the Liberty Netters are big fans of Hal. Especailly N2SAG
>
> Dont forget, that the Liberty Net is on tomorrow nite
>
> 3947.5 KHZ LSB, 10pm Eastern time

Wonder if it will be another sandbox
QRMfest between them and 3.945
like last week? Reminded me of a group
of 5 year olds who all ate too much chocolate
playing bad in the sandbox. Perhaps we'll
get lucky and even KJI or ART will make an
appearance? Sheesh.

3655. Re: Hal Turner mentioned on the Liberty Net!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 10:45:51 UTC
From: gasbag <yippieyiaye@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3dfb0c0a@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 57844
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3dfaaf0b$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
> Alot of the Liberty Netters are big fans of Hal. Especailly N2SAG
>
> Dont forget, that the Liberty Net is on tomorrow nite
>
> 3947.5 KHZ LSB, 10pm Eastern time

...while y'all waiting in the meantime, take this
amusing quiz: "Can you tell your ass from your elbow?"

Really! it's here--> http://www.assotron.com/arse-or-elbow/

3656. Re: Can I use this radio

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 11:23:48 UTC
From: David Robbins <k1ttt@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <i3Kdne5b4J1YiWagXTWc2Q@crocker.com>
Source record: 57845

"Runnin Man" <rcranus@hotmailcom> wrote in message
news:0QKdnQN4js-5UGegXTWcow@comcast.com...
>
> "David Robbins" <k1ttt@arrl.net> wrote in message
> news:8AqdnWqgMoQkuWegXTWc2Q@crocker.com...
>
> > there may be other questions about the legality of the sale itself and
the
> > status of the radio as far as fcc type acceptance for sale as an amateur
> > radio.
>
>
> Thats what I want to know If it is illegal to buy, is it not illegal to
use?
> How did you get it ? How come Yaseu etc have to wait for type
certification
> before they can sell radios to hams? Why are these radios different if
they
> are?
>
>
ah, but that is the real odd quirk. it may not be illegal for a ham to buy
it, but it is illegal for a dealer to sell it. hams buy and sell non type
accepted radios all the time, and in fact can construct their own radios
with no fcc authorization, as long as they take responsibility for them
meeting the technical requirements for power and bandwidths. you could
legally buy any type of radio, even if it has capabilities for transmitting
outside amateur bands, and as long as you operate it within the limits of
your license you are legal. other radio services are all limited to type
accepted radios, so a radio sold for use as a CB must meet the requirements
for that service, land mobile radios must meet those requirements, etc.
personally i wouldn't trust that radio without having it checked out closely
for spurs and signal quality, in many of those modified cb's they are
pushing the output transistors well beyond what they were originally
designed for, and they have broadened the tuning of the output so it covers
the wider bandwidth. but when they do it they pay no attention to how clean
the signal is. i regularly hear some cb'er on 27025 or 27085 out of the new
orleans area splattering all the way up above 28500, and i'm in
massachussetts... of course he's probably running one of those 35kw cw
amplifiers on top of his junky radio.

3657. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 11:54:04 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212140354.56b3526@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57846

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20021213211802.09874.00000045@mb-ml.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0212131559.52afba6d@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >The black & white shots I took were processed and cataloged by the
> >NAEC photo lab and were date-stamped as are all negs & prints which
> >pass thru gummint photo labs.
>
> Did you have written permission to have your personal film
> processed by a "gummint" photo lab? Remember, according
> to ROI in here, it's "illegal" without written permission.

Written permission for what? I was a Navy civilian professional on
Navy business on a Navy ship. I dropped off the film, filled out the
sheet, gave 'em the charge number and asked the lab to make contact
sheets and it went from there. WTF??

> >Fer cripes sake . . I used my Honeywell Pentax H3v equipped with a
> >50mm f/1.8 Takumar lens and loaded with Plus-X film. I took the color
> >shots with a Honeywell Pentax Spotmatic equipped with an indentical
> >lens. I got off three shots of the guy with the H3v when he was over
> >and almost over the ship.
>
> Name-dropping and bullshitting again, senior. A 50mm lens is the
> "normal" focal length variety used for nice pictures of family and
> friends, roughly the field of view of the human eye. If you want to
> get some resolution (with any film variety, any development) you
> want a 135mm telephoto lens up front to get close to getting a
> large wingspan in the narrower field of view. You would also need
> a fast shutter speed...1/500th or 1/1000th second to avoid blur and
> other motion effects.

See below Putz.

>
> >The Navy lab souped the film with Microdol
> >cut 1:1 for max sharpness with min grain. Same recipe I used in my own
> >darkroom. By the time the lab got done diddling with those negs with
> >an enlarger ya could count the rivets on the engine shrounds and
> >flaps.
>
> More bullshit. Name-dropping an old developer with Plus X
> (Eastman Kodak) doesn't prove a damn thing other than being
> able to read old Eastman publications. Plus X is ASA 50,

> rather slow as film speeds go.
>
> With a standard 50mm lens up front anyone could tell there
> were engines on a Bear...but NOWHERE close to "counting
> the rivits." Try not to snow those that have been doing
> photography on a more advanced level for 50 years...
> including developing, printing, and enlarging.

50 years at the "advanced level" in the photo game and you say 35mm Plus-X is an ASA 50 film eh Putz? Plus-X is today and was back then a common drugstore-available ASA 125 film. Anybody who screws up something that dirt-simple fundamental to anything above snapshot photography, which you just did, clearly demonstrates that they don't know squat about photogarphy. Hell, you didn't even get yer Web search for Plus-X right.

You add new meanings to the terms "bullshit artist" and "wannabe".

All this being the case any further "dialog" anybody has with you on the subjects of lens choices, enlarger operations and resolutions down to the rivet levels is like a dialog with a box of rocks.

50 years in a darkroom . . 50 years of flogging yer log is more like it . . .

>
> >Today I have maybe a dozen cameras including four Nikon bodies
> >and ten Nikkor lenses. My written orders were to go aboard to "observe
> >and photograph". And I did. "Snapshooter". Right.
>
> You could have the entire inventory of Ritz Camera and still come
> out implausible. Anyone who wanted "intel" (HAW!) on some
> non-friendly aircraft sufficient to "count rivits" would have used a
> TELEPHOTO up front.

See above Putz. I know what lenses to use when. Which you obviously don't.

>
> >I was actually on a secret mission.
>
> Of course you were, Mr. Secret Agent Man. So secret that
> NO ONE knew it until this sub-thread started. Wonderful how
>
> coincidences happen, isn't it?

Nah, no big secret at all. Neither are the circumstances surrounding your abrupt mid-project departure from NADC Johnsville.

>
> >... Besides all the visible reasons for taking that jaunt
> >it was actually a sales trip.
>

> ...and you are still "selling" in here. Nobody's buying.
>
>
> >My 2" thick trip report with the photos got enough attention up the
> >line to break loose funding for a huge volume of man-hours worth of
> >labor. Mission accomplished. Din hear any bitching from the fleet for
> >that one, we fixed all kinds of awshits for them which would have
> >fallen thru the bureuacrat's cracks in their floors and would never
> >have gotten to our shop floor thru "channels".
>
> Ah, yes, the self-made HERO by your own definition.
>
> Sounds suspiciously like Roll's own desk-general "expertise"
> where "he knew more than his commanding officer." Yah, yah.
>
>
> >Somebody please close the damned curtains.
>
> Yes, the hot air is definitely uncomfortable from all the
> catapult claims wafted over all the "rental unit" swine in here.
>
> How can Kellie possibly survive among all the ordinary folk
> who work for employers in here? ["rental units"]

You know better than that Putz, cut the crap. "Rental units" are
temps, "contractors", disposibles. Like you were. Not direct
employees.

>
> So, didn't the masterbeeper overhaul the radio comm gear
> while "not going through channels?" Geez, that could have
> gotten a nice shiny oak leaf cluster on the Hero medal.

Nah Putz, I simply brought back a stack of snaps which in the end
triggered a helluva lot more work than the usual Telexes did over the
same timeframes.

3658. Re: CCF Contest/DX Meeting in Finland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 12:04:56 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212140404.1a91a038@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57847
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:<fjrK9.4631$MV5.413721@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> "Bï¿½gsnï¿½rth thï¿½ ï¿½nprï¿½dï¿½ctï¿½blï¿½"
<bogsnarth23@NOSPAM.THANKS.IMFULL.lycos.co.uk>
> wrote
>
> : WRTC2002 Video Presentation - World Premier by OH2BH
>
> I see Martti Laine will be on the podium.

I'm allowed to dream . . a series of speakers all at the same podium .
. Gus Browning, Danny Weil, Martti . .

I'll pass on Miller!

>
> I wonder if his protege, N0IMD/T5 will join him.

Nah, he's still too busy trying to find out what the T5 outgoing
bureau did with his stacks of cards.

>
> ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB

w3rv

3659. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 13:50:27 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021214085027.18776.00000015@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 57848

>Subject: Re: 34 Years Ago Today
>From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
>Date: 12/14/02 5:54 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <d22b54c3.0212140354.56b3526@posting.google.com>

>50 years in a darkroom . . 50 years of flogging yer log is more like
>it . .

Can you get two fingers around something that small and not crush it?

No wonder he was in a dark room.

Steve

3660. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 14:01:07 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DFB39E3.C929D842@earthlink.net>
Source record: 57849

Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3DF981BE.690D7166@earthlink.net>...
> > Len Over 21 wrote:

> > > Sure is warm and cozy here in the lodge hall, Len. The old timers are
> > > gathered by the fire. Later, there will be a simple ceremony honoring
> > > the recently licensed children. I thought I caught a glimpse of you
> > > watching through a frosted window pane earlier.
>
> It certainly is, nice & toasty. Pass another hot toddy 'ole bean, how
> 'bout we go beeping later?

I'm for a round of beeping. Afterward, we can sing the club song: "She
was a CW Operator's Daughter (and she didit 'cuz her dahdah didit).
>
> Somebody please close the damned curtains.

I wonder what the unskilled are doing for the holidays...

Dave K8MN

3661. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 14:09:54 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DFB3BF3.9F3DA832@earthlink.net>
Source record: 57850

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3DF9F85C.D3B1AE21@earthlink.net>, Oberst No-Hair Cusser
> <LittleEndian@reservation.net> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <3DF8C8A0.68F3B98E@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil
> ><k8mn@earthlink.net>
> >> writes:
> >>
> >> >> OK, Tanto.
> >> >
> >> >If you intend to insert a barbed comment, it helps to know how "Tonto"
> >> >is spelled. "Tanto" was the sidekick of the "Line Ringer".
> >>
> >> Tanto was the illegitimate half-brother of Tonto, overweight and
> >> given to bellowing and bad attitudes...he could only get along
> >> with others who pretended he was "chief."
> >
> >...says paleface who, while never member of tribe, enjoy telling indians
> >that their traditions silly and that he will not take part in tribal
> >initiation ceremony until dance over hot coals is eliminated. Paleface
> >is upset that even young indians can dance on coals.
>
> Amateur "indians" live on reservations, restricted to few activities.

Really? Pretty big reservations, I'd say. What are these restrictions,
Leonard? Why haven't you attempted to meet the qualifications for
induction into the tribe?

> While their on-off keyed smoke signals are generated by the
> latest word in imported blankets, smoke signals are still the
> slowest of all communications, unused by those not forced to
> live on reservations.

Let's see...I have a variety of operating modes available to me in the
amateur service and I use many of them. You can do what in the amateur
service?

> Yes, the initiation rites (a hazing) are stupid and unneccessary
> in this day and age, still practiced on the reservation due to all
> the old self-styled "chiefs" waving their greying bird feathers as
> if they could "lead" any ignorant young braves into some "battle."

I'll bet you say that to all those who are permitted to do something denied to you. Everything is stupid and unnecessary to those who aren't able to meet the qualifications.

> Go and chew some more buffalo jerky. All you are able to do
> now is spit and try to jerky others into your little inner circle of
> shameless shamanism of "glory in the reservation." There is no
> glory there despite you "bureau of indian affairs" (ARRL) who has
> led you mighty "chiefs" on a circle jerky inside the reservation.

My little inner circle consists of 600,000+ in the U.S. Does the name "Custer" mean anything to you?

> You are NOT Chief Sitting Bull. You are simply bull.

I love it when you go into your "Fox and Grapes" routine.

> >> Brian got it right...
> >
> >Brian's post was one word. He still managed to screw it up.
>
> Brian Burke's post was TWO words. Try to get it right next
> time, little taunto.

I gave him the "OK" and the period. He even got ten points for spelling his name correctly.

> Burke was right.

Sure, Len. If "OK, Tanto" is being right, he's right *chortle*. I think you have your telephoto lens in backward.

Dave K8MN

3662. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 14:22:12 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212140622.1004f3d2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57901

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20021213211802.09874.00000045@mb-ml.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0212131559.52afba6d@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >The black & white shots I took were processed and cataloged by the
> >NAEC photo lab and were date-stamped as are all negs & prints which
> >pass thru gummint photo labs.
>
> Did you have written permission to have your personal film
> processed by a "gummint" photo lab? Remember, according
> to ROI in here, it's "illegal" without written permission.

Security must have been pretty lax in those days. A civilian on a
military vessel taking photographs while on a "secret mission."

No wonder n0dick won't share with us his military experience. In
comparison to Secret Agent Kelly, what would be the point?

3663. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 14:23:51 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212140623.15908116@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57902

"Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<uvl3sg7eeul2d1@corp.supernews.com>...
From the Book of Bokonon:

Tiger got to hunt
Bird got to fly,
Man got to wonder, "Why, why, why?"

Tiger got to sleep
Bird got to land,
Man got to think he understand.

>
> I don't know a thing of the Book of Bokonon--don't even know to what you
> refer.

See:

http://www.cs.uni.edu/~wallingf/personal/bokonon.html

for a good explanatiaon, and excerpts from the Book of Bokonon.

Some stuppas/granfalloons will unleash a pool-pah of foma about how
Bokonism is "fiction" or "science-fantasy". Ignore them. They miss the
simple fact that a thing does not have to have happened in the
physical world in order to be true.

73 de Jim, N2EY

when my time comes, I want the Last Rites of Bokonism to be part of
whatever service is conducted. No joke.

3664. Re: CCF Contest/DX Meeting in Finland

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 14:37:37 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212140637.212fa634@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57903
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:<fjrK9.4631$MV5.413721@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> "Bï¿½gsnï¿½rth thï¿½ ï¿½nprï¿½dï¿½ctï¿½blï¿½"
<bogsnarth23@NOSPAM.THANKS.IMFULL.lycos.co.uk>
> wrote
>
> : WRTC2002 Video Presentation - World Premier by OH2BH
>
> I see Martti Laine will be on the podium.
>
> I wonder if his protege, N0IMD/T5 will join him.
>
> ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB

I think Martti can get this one done without me, but it's Dave who is
his protege. Maybe the State Department can get Dave over there on a
secret mission.

But I do wonder if the video presentation will be via amateur
frequencies?

And it's just plain N0iMD now. I could be portable 8 if there were a
requirement to claim "portable," and if I had written permission.

Thanks for the thoughts, though.

73, Brian

3665. Re: K1MAN jamming countinues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 14:47:19 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212140647.8852d37@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57904

"K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:<8KbK9.2803$j_4.308516@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> "N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote\
>
> :
> : Quote FCC rule. If so, then does it apply to the interCON phone patch
> net?
> :
>
> ï¿½97.101(b) No frequency will be assigned for the exclusive use of any
> station.
>
> In other words, if I am using 14.175, then K1MAN has no exclusive right to
> take over until I leave. He can certainly ask if I'd mind moving, and I
> might even do so if he was polite about it.
>
> The question will be on your General test. The correct answer is (E).
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Hans, you should realize that the order of the exact answers to the exact questions are scrambled, and if you were to actually take the exam, answering "E" would be right only about 25% of the time.

Thus, on his General test, he might actually want to read the questions and answers before selecting the correct answer. That might slow him down and the whole thing might end up taking more than 8 minutes. He has to weigh the risks of getting too many wrong and not collecting $250.

3666. Re: K1MAN jamming countinues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 15:49:24 UTC
From: K�HB <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <8qIK9.596$qA3.90138@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57905

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote

:
: Hans, you should realize that the order of the exact answers to the
: exact questions are scrambled, and if you were to actually take the
: exam, answering "E" would be right only about 25% of the time.
:

Wrong, Brian.

Answering "E" would be right only about 0% of the time.

Sunuvagun!

��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

3667. Re: Cool Children's Book <Was: More Unfounded Allegations of "Dishonesty">

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 17:55:44 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <AgKK9.22289$a8.15481@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 57906

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021212225635.18662.00000009@mb-mt.aol.com...
> In article <0u%J9.1623$a8.1056@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>, "Bert Craig"
> <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> writes:
>
> >Thanks for the history lesson, Jim. I really do appreciate it. It's
> >available from Amazon for $9 +/- (Used) to $11 and change. (New)
>
> How can that be? According to historians, Amazon went
> bankrupt a long time ago!

LOL!

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

3668. k1man jamming again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 18:33:47 UTC
From: size 46 pants <fatasshamop69@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3dfb7980@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 57907
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Who's those unconsiderate hams jamming k1man
on 14.275 as I type this! How ineffective, hey guys
you'se gots to crank up the power more, ole Baxter
Boy's obsolete transmitter is splatterin 3 kc's either
side and sounds like he's got his Henry runnin illegal power.
You just ain't cuttin it boys, TURN UP THE POWER
VOLUME and you'll obscure the RF from Maine
a little bit better. This barefoot jap crap just ain't doin
the job O-Tay? :) :) :) :)

3669. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 19:00:36 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uvmvu8qkknuh95@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57908

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021214043707.03091.00000020@mb-cc.aol.com...
> In article <uvl45dd5mfi98f@corp.supernews.com>, "W5TIT - Kim"
> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>
> >You missed another possibility: Noah clearly understood animal husbandry
and
> >genetics--*and* had the capability to collect and store semen and eggs.
>
> Without refrigeration, they'd quickly become useless. And the book clearly
says
> "two of each kind of animal".
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

2 each of the "unclean" animals 7 each of the "clean" ones.

Of every clean beast thou shalt take to thee by sevens, the male and his
female: and of beasts that are not clean by two, the male and his female.
Genesis 7:2

--
'73' & Merry Christmas From KB9TMP

"JESUS is the REASON for the SEASON!"

3670. Re: k1man jamming again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 20:07:00 UTC
From: "N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3dfb8dc3$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 57910
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Yes, you are very pitiful. Right now, ND8V is on 14.275, just complaining
about K1MAN.

"size 46 pants" <fatasshamop69@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3dfb7980@snipnews.snip.net...
> Who's those unconsiderate hams jamming k1man
> on 14.275 as I type this! How ineffective, hey guys
> you'se gots to crank up the power more, ole Baxter
> Boy's obsolete transmitter is splatterin 3 kc's either
> side and sounds like he's got his Henry runnin illegal power.
> You just ain't cuttin it boys, TURN UP THE POWER
> VOLUME and you'll obscure the RF from Maine
> a little bit better. This barefoot jap crap just ain't doin
> the job O-Tay? :) :) :) :)
>
>
>

--
Lloyd Davies, Alabama, USA
Icom R-75, R-2 DX-398
http://www.qsl.net/n0vfp

3671. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 21:48:05 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021214164805.13161.00000073@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 57912

In article <3DFB3BF3.9F3DA832@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>Len Over 21 wrote:
>>
>> In article <3DF9F85C.D3B1AE21@earthlink.net>, Oberst No-Hair Cusser
>> <LittleEndian@reservation.net> writes:
>>
>> >Len Over 21 wrote:

>> Amateur "indians" live on reservations, restricted to few activities.
>
>Really? Pretty big reservations, I'd say. What are these restrictions,
>Leonard? Why haven't you attempted to meet the qualifications for
>induction into the tribe?

Why does Heil continually insist that the only "qualification"
for talking about "the tribe" is to be a "tribal member?!?"

He is no "chief," merely an elder who has been puffing on
his own unpeaceful pipe too long, counting coup in his mind.

The "reservation" is relatively small considering the big,
wide world of radio surrounding it where the overwhelming
majority of humans (of many "nations") live.

>Let's see...I have a variety of operating modes available to me in the
>amateur service and I use many of them. You can do what in the amateur
>service?

Irrelevant...that is trying to force everything back into the
Heilian fantasy of "one cannot talk about getting into amateur
radio without being already in it." Bogus. False. Elitism.
Something of an ultimate snobbery in regards to "turf."

All of the laws and regulations regarding amateur radio are as
open to commentary and change inducement as every other
radio service in the USA...yet you attempt some form of control
and censorship (however slight) by intimidation that "one must
be already in to talk about getting in" or the elitist "no citizen
has any right to talk about something they are not in."

>I'll bet you say that to all those who are permitted to do something
>denied to you. Everything is stupid and unnecessary to those who aren't
>able to meet the qualifications.

Laws and regulations regarding US radio regulation do not pertain solely to individuals either in or out of that radio service. The FCC's task is to regulate ALL US civil radio for the benefit of ALL citizens.

Heil wishes to create the artificial environment where only those who met PAST "qualifications" are "authorized" to remark on the laws and regulations of the PRESENT and the FUTURE. That's an artificiality based largely on his self-defined "qualifications." The FCC grants amateur licenses as part of its regulatory task.

FCC amateur license grants are NOT an academic certificate that "qualifies" any licensee as any sort of knowledgeable radio operator or radio station controller. It is merely a grant of permission to operate only in certain allocated frequency bands, using certain allocated transmission modes and modulations. That grant does not come close to the possible frequencies, modes, or modulations available to the rest of the radio world.

>My little inner circle consists of 600,000+ in the U.S.

Heil imagines himself of some importance in an "inner circle." There are approximately 300 million citizens of the USA and the FCC regulates ALL US civil radio for ALL the citizens. All the rest of the USA radio services way outnumber the radio amateurs in quantity...and most often in quality although some self-styled, self-important amateurs insist they are both "more important" and "more qualified" than professionals in radio.

>Does the name "Custer" mean anything to you?

In reference to Lt. Col. George Armstrong Custer, commander of the US 7th Cavalry in 1876, it means a totally inept tactician who graduated LAST in his West Point class, whose "expertise" as an Army commander was based largely on personality, not capability or qualifications...other than being able to survive the US Civil War. He could be described as a salesman disguised as an Army officer.

On June 25th, 1876 (approximately 20 years before the first demonstration of radio communications in Italy and Russia), Custer was baited into a trap in a corner of what is now Montana, was outmanuevered, outgunned, outfought by native Americans. Custer's ineptitude as a supposedly "qualified" leader was responsible for the needless deaths of 210 soldiers of five companies of the 7th in addition to himself.

>> You are NOT Chief Sitting Bull. You are simply bull.

That still applies.

>> >Brian's post was one word. He still managed to screw it up.
>>
>> Brian Burke's post was TWO words. Try to get it right next
>> time, little taunto.

>
>I gave him the "OK" and the period. He even got ten points for spelling
>his name correctly.

Heil is not qualified to "award points" or much of anything else,
including trying to take credit in a newsgroup for some inane
"use of CW as an order-wire on a government RTTY circuit" that
was later rationalized as "State Department procedure, to which
non-department personnel were not 'authorized' to know."

>> Burke was right.

He still is...and "big chief" Heil is just a "little endian" in a
computer, a floating point wrong end to...

3672. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 21:48:06 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021214164806.13161.00000074@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 57913

In article <f45722ac.0212140622.1004f3d2@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20021213211802.09874.00000045@mb-ml.aol.com>...
>> In article <d22b54c3.0212131559.52afba6d@posting.google.com>,
>kelly@dvol.com
>> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>>
>> >The black & white shots I took were processed and cataloged by the
>> >NAEC photo lab and were date-stamped as are all negs & prints which
>> >pass thru gummint photo labs.
>>
>> Did you have written permission to have your personal film
>> processed by a "gummint" photo lab? Remember, according
>> to ROI in here, it's "illegal" without written permission.
>
>Security must have been pretty lax in those days. A civilian on a
>military vessel taking photographs while on a "secret mission."

It has been "revealed" that a self-described "civilian whore" was
acting under "official orders" in taking "authorized, government-
developed photographs" yet being able to "keep the negatives"
to later impress and astound younger folk...by legendary words.

Kellie must be so impressed with himself that he has "forgotten"
some very, very basic field-of-view rules of optics in lenses that
were known before his existance and still apply today. Yet, all
must pay homage to this "veteran" of the "gummint" because of
unverified and unverifiable claims of extracurricular "intel" duties.

Oddly, all the "claims" have nothing to do with radio, not even
touching amateur radio. All must believe the beeperistic BS as
"truth" because a long-time amateur licensee supposedly dit it.

>No wonder n0dick won't share with us his military experience. In
>comparison to Secret Agent Kelly, what would be the point?

N-zero-dick supposedly "served two hitches" in the US Army,
was "trained in the Army as a broadcast studio technician (at
Fort Monmouth)" and hasn't said word one on any Army duty
outside of CONUS during any officially-stated war period. In
addition to that, he stated that "the FCC came to him and others
at Fort Monmouth so that they could be tested for both amateur
and commercial licenses" while in the military service. Even
though N-zero-dick couldn't make it through the fourth commercial

test element to pass a First Radiotelephone...he is still a self-described "expert" in all of radio, "always ready for an emergency to the point of carrying a manual telegraph key in his car."

Do you really think that ANY government would take such individuals seriously for ANY sort of intelligence work?!? :-)

3673. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 21:48:13 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021214164813.13161.00000075@mb-fr.aol.com>
Source record: 57911

In article <d22b54c3.0212140354.56b3526@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
(Brian Kelly) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20021213211802.09874.00000045@mb-ml.aol.com>...
>> In article <d22b54c3.0212131559.52afba6d@posting.google.com>,
>kelly@dvol.com
>> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>>
>> >The black & white shots I took were processed and cataloged by the
>> >NAEC photo lab and were date-stamped as are all negs & prints which
>> >pass thru gummint photo labs.
>>
>> Did you have written permission to have your personal film
>> processed by a "gummint" photo lab? Remember, according
>> to ROI in here, it's "illegal" without written permission.
>
>Written permission for what? I was a Navy civilian professional on
>Navy business on a Navy ship. I dropped off the film, filled out the
>sheet, gave 'em the charge number and asked the lab to make contact
>sheets and it went from there. WTF??

Sorry, you MUST have WRITTEN PERMISSION to do anything
outside US territorial waters in accordance with the Rules of
Engagement in this newsgroup as established by former
member of the US Department of State.

Official photography for the US military does NOT result in the
official photographer "keeping the negatives."

"Contact sheets" in reference to photography refers to 1:1 ratio
prints on photo print paper, nearly always done by placing
developed negatives (dried) directly on slow-speed photo print
paper, exposing it and developing the print paper. This is
useful for reference of which 35mm frame is what on a roll of
20 or 36 frames. With 1:1 contact prints the image size is
very small and it takes magnification to tell the number of
engines of a bomber image and IMPOSSIBLE to resolve detail
enough to "count rivets."

>50 years at the "advanced level" in the photo game and you say 35mm
>Plus-X is an ASA 50 film eh Putz? Plus-X is today and was back then a
>common drugstore-available ASA 125 film.

Sorry, "Mr. Eastman," you don't know your own product, certainly
not the 5-roll bulk 35mm available in 1951.

That's a very minor technical point on film. Nearly ANY black-and-white film can be "pushed" to a higher speed rating (more sensitive to light than manufacturer's ratings) with a number of developing solutions. All the black-and-white films were re-rated higher on the market later. The same with color print and transparency film.

MUCH MORE BASIC is optics and field of view...that of getting an image ONTO the film. With longer focal length lenses, the image on the film plane is larger. If the film plane image is larger, it can resolve more detail of the image.

With 35mm film cameras, a 50mm focal length lens approximates the field of width of the human eye. In still photography (as opposed to motion pictures) the focal length must be longer than 50mm to gather image information comparable to the human eye. The reason for that is head-eye movement while viewing brings more eye sensors into play for the brain's image resolution. The brain can somehow "resolve" better by some yet-unknown mental process.

To resolve more detail on an object "750 feet" away, a 35mm camera needs at least a 135mm focal length lens to capture enough detail on an object such as a large bomber "to count the rivets." One can determine that trigonometrically or simply get longer focal length lenses and do a photographic comparison of any object.

> Anybody who screws up
>something that dirt-simple fundamental to anything above snapshot
>photography, which you just did, clearly demonstrates that they don't
>know squat about photogarphy. Hell, you didn't even get yer Web search
>for Plus-X right.

I don't know anything about "photogarphy," just ordinary PHOTOGRAPHY. Simple stuff, really, it's what a high school kid can learn. It's what I learned beginning in 1949, something fun as well as an addition to tools of the artist-illustrator.

The "ASA speeds" of film were lower way back then and still only the recommended light ratings. I quit with black-and-white film around 1968 since more color was shot (mostly positives, commonly called "slides").

Trouble here is that Kellie wants to belabor ancient history (a common newsgroup tactic of beepers, by observation) in only certain things/mentions. Much more basic is lens optics which Kellie has (conveniently?) overlooked.

>You add new meanings to the terms "bullshit artist" and "wannabe".

No. I have ample evidence of photographic ability as well as that of an artist-illustrator. Being visual mediums they can be readily compared by sighted persons. Not only that, I've sold both photographs and art work...although that was not an object of "interest" it can classify me as a professional (work done for pecuniary interest) there part of the time. Six examples of my

photography were printed by the Pacific Stars and Stripes on
November 10th this year (only 5 are included in the web archive).
Stars and Stripes had a much larger choice (over 600) to chose
from 1953-1956 and over a month to select and verify them.

>All this being the case any further "dialog" anybody has with you on
>the subjects of lens choices, enlarger operations and resolutions down
>to the rivet levels is like a dialog with a box of rocks.

Optics is basic to photography...just as radio physics is basic
to knowledge of radio. Someone can bullshit their way through
a DX claim when no one has heard the distant station. Similarly,
and more noticeably, that same someone can bullshit their way
about some "photographic ability/genius/collection" without
presenting some proof of such ability.

Kellie's "I've got the negatives!" claim is fraught with suspicion.
First of all, "gummint developing" had a procedure for keeping
truly official negative (and positive masters) for archival purposes.
Black and white prints are another thing and it is not unusual to
give official copies of prints to individuals in such photographs.
Intelligence operatives do NOT get to keep their officially-
authorized photographic "negatives." There is NO copyright
protection for US government text or photos for non-restricted
material. Anyone, including non-USA citizens, can reproduce
such work as they wish. As an example, Hasselblad (corporate
headquarters in Goteborg, Sweden) has been able to reproduce
hundreds of NASA images in advertising/promotional literature
without cost to them. Several US radio amateurs are able to
offer reproductions of many older, unrestricted US radio
technical manuals without paying any fees for such reproduction.

>50 years in a darkroom . . 50 years of flogging yer log is more like
>it . . .

My "log" has been in many forests, has never had any problems
in its "trunk." Sorry to hear of your "trunk" problems...get well
soon.

>See above Putz. I know what lenses to use when. Which you obviously
>don't.

...then you've not demonstrated such knowledge by claiming to
have achieved high-resolution imagery using a 50mm focal length
lens in a 35mm film camera. You should have claimed at least an
80mm focal length lens (commonly called a "portrait lens") or a
medium telephoto 135mm focal length. Film "grain" is finite and
no amount of even special developing can bring out detail in small
images that is smaller than the "grain" size.

This is similar to the alleged "26 patents" Kellie said he had some
while ago. A search of US patents revealed only ONE patent with
Kellie as co-inventor. My patent (sole inventor) is on a radio

system, not a machinery bearing.

>Nah, no big secret at all. Neither are the circumstances surrounding
>your abrupt mid-project departure from NADC Johnsville.

Tsk, tsk, tsk, Kellie still trying to belabor a falsity. To repeat, I must re-state old, verifiable material:

1. Naval Air Development Center was located in Warminster, PA, 1971 through 1975. NADC had previously been known as "Johnsville" in reference to a nearby locality.

2. I was employed by RCA Corporation 1967-1975, Electromagnetic and Aviation Systems Division (EASD), Van Nuys, CA.

3. RCA had an L-Band collision avoidance R&D program called SECANT with three separate phases of development. I worked on all three phases in design of the IF and RF sections. Funding came from the Department of Transportation, US government, final flight testing done by NADC, arranged within government.

4. I was tasked as the on-site RCA representative/field-engineer on the first phase of SECANT flight tests, late 1971 through early 1972. The first "abrupt departure" was a break for Christmas and New Year's holiday period. The second was due to finishing first-phase flight testing by NADC. At no time was my employment ever terminated at RCA until November 1975 and the entire R&D team disbanded along with most of the rest of the division.

3674. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 21:58:17 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DFBA979.CBE2B256@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 57919

JJ wrote:

> And therein lies a lot of the problem, high paid administrators
> and low paid teachers. My wife taught 11 years of high school and
> often had to purchase teaching aids out of her own pocket, as the
> school bean counters said there was no money in the budget for
> this or that.

In my grammar school, they made the kids buy the stuff.

> It is amazing that we have school systems that pays the lowest
> wages to those that are attempting to impart the knowledge to
> students to make it in the world, the teachers.

A lot of towns and cities see the schools as a cost they try
to minimize. Especially if a lot of the taxpayers don't have
kids in the system. Towns prefer to get office buildings
over housing developments, as the former doesn't generate
kids, and is easy to police.

And if teaching doesn't pay that well, a lot of the smarter
people won't go into it. I had a lot of dumb teachers.
One in grammar school was a science teacher that didn't
know crap about science. And for algebra 2 I had a teacher
who specialized in history and wasn't that good at math.
Someone good at math probably goes into computer
science and gets 4 times more pay writing software.

3675. Re: k1man jamming again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 22:04:34 UTC
From: Chili <IslamSucks@damnIsaidThat.com>
Message-ID: <atg9sq$8ss$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 57914
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3dfb8dc3$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
> Yes, you are very pitiful. Right now, ND8V is on 14.275, just complaining
> about K1MAN.
>
>
>
>
> "size 46 pants" <fatasshamop69@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3dfb7980@snipnews.snip.net...
> > Who's those unconsiderate hams jamming k1man
> > on 14.275 as I type this! How ineffective, hey guys
> > you'se gots to crank up the power more, ole Baxter
> > Boy's obsolete transmitter is splatterin 3 kc's either
> > side and sounds like he's got his Henry runnin illegal power.
> > You just ain't cuttin it boys, TURN UP THE POWER
> > VOLUME and you'll obscure the RF from Maine
> > a little bit better. This barefoot jap crap just ain't doin
> > the job O-Tay? :) :) :) :)
> >
> >

Big-headed Baxter is jamming again. The trouble is he uses his "broadcast"
to make personal attacks upon Riley and other Hams who have displeased him.
This fat head rectal cavity should have his license pulled for malicious
interference as has been done to several others.
Why has this not happened? Riley? Huh?

3676. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 22:46:30 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DFBB4C5.463EC06B@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 57920

N2EY wrote:

>
> > A lot of people running
> > our schools and teaching in the classrooms are from the bottom of the
> > academic ladder -- especially in my state.
>
> Poor doesn't mean stupid or lazy.

Not "poor" meaning "low income", but poor grades.

>
>
> Public schooling is based on the idea (Jefferson?) that a
> representative govt. requires an educated populace. Also based on the
> pragmatic idea that money invested in educating the masses is paid
> back in productivity many times over. The past 225+ years of US
> history kinda back that up.

I was talking to a co-worker's teenage kid at the company picnic
once. He said school wasn't worth the hassle. I said that if he
sticks with it, he can get a better paying job later on. "You'll
get your payoff, I get paid about 8 times what I'd get flipping
burgers, and I stuck thru the school and college thing. The
government funds the schools because when you're grown up
you'll get better paying jobs and pay more income tax. You win,
and they win." That's easier to understand and buy than saying
that knowing about Shakespeare and the arts is good for you.

>
>
> > Private schools can attract teachers with the best
> > academic credentials, and they usually produce the results which do
> > tend to create students destined to occupy the "upper" class in our
> > society.
>
> SOME private schools can do that - mostly universities.

And don't forget that college profs don't really care if the students
pass or not. It doesn't show in their yearly review (or equivalent).
What is reviewed is their research projects and papers published
and how much NSF funding they fetch for the college. Thus most
profs see teaching undergraduates as a big waste of their time, like
taking out the garbage. And when they get tenure....

>
>
>
> The main problems with public schooling are:
>
> 1) The public schools have to serve every single student in the
> district. Private schools can pick and choose who they accept. This
> means the private schools cherry-pick the students with lots of
> resources. Private schools can also refuse to accept, and expel at
> will any student that doesn't meet their requirements. Public schools
> can't.

School vouchers are little more than a scam. There's only so many
seats in a school. And the private schools are full anyway. Vouchers
will just make it cheaper for the parents of kids already there, or
allow the private schools to raise their rates. And that just drains
off money from the public schools. So low income parents get
shafted as their kids get even crappier schooling.

>
>
> 2) The public schools do not have direct control over their funding,
> but are directly controlled in their requirements. Public schools have
> to answer to at least three levels of mandates (district, state and
> federal). But most of their mandates are "bricks without straw" - the
> mandating body tells them what they must do, but doesn't give them
> resources to do it.

Unfunded mandates....

>
>
> > I believe this is a basic flaw in our public
> > education system. The teachers are people who have never had to
> > make a living doing anything but teaching.
>
> How is that a bad thing? Have you ever taught a class of, say, 30
> fourth graders, all with different personalities and needs, meeting
> all of the various requirements and requests from all of the governing
> bodies? And do it to a tight schedule, with a limited budget, for an
> entire school year?

30 is too many kids. That happens because that is how many kids
will physically fit in a standard size classroom without the fire
marshal freaking out.

>
>
> Try it some time and tell me it's not a serious job.
>
> > I think this needs to be
> > changed. The college I attended (Wilmington College, Delaware) is
> > a model of the way things should be. I was a "non-traditional" student

> > who attended classes at night, being taught primarily by adjunct
> > faculty who were working professionals in the fields they taught. It
> > made a big difference, and they were able to impart knowledge in a
> > much more effective manner because they really knew what they
> > were talking about.
>
> University level education is an entirely different thing. The classes
> are short (three hours a week, typical) and subject-specific. The
> university is not required to accept anyone they don't want to accept.
> Students have to pay their way, and are expected to bring appropriate
> background knowledge and skills to class. If a student doesn't do the
> work, they fail, tough noogies.

And such students know why they are taking those classes, and thus
motivated. But in grammar school kids don't see the point of it, especially
stuff like knowing trivia about King Louie the 6th or memorizing poems
about trees. I ran across a poem I had to memorize in grammar school,
and as an adult it didn't make anymore sense now than it did in school.
Okay, I'm not an english major, but a good friend who graduated as
an english major at Notre Dame said it didn't make sense to him
either. The teacher said "memorize this poem, you are required to
parrot it back in class tomorrow." We parroted the thing, and then
moved on to something else. No analyzing the poem, what it meant,
or such. What's the point of memorizing the damn thing, then?

>
>
> Are you saying that elementary school should be taught that way?

Ideally in early grammar school you'd want to get the kids to
want to learn stuff. And somewhere in high school it's more
up to the kids to study, and if they don't, they look forward to
a career flipping burgers. In grammar school I had no idea what
my father did at work other than that he caught the bus in the
morning, did "something" in an "office", and returned home for
dinner. School was little more than doing stuff that teachers
wanted because if you didn't they'd beat the crap out of you.
A 4th grade teacher assigned so much homework you wouldn't
get done until 10PM. Lots of written work and reading the
textbooks. I did the written because that produced hard
copy to prove you did it, but she couldn't prove that I didn't
do the reading (I read it but forgot...). Once I had to get
the spelling class list of words and I called the teacher at home.
Next day she was pissed that I bothered her. But she didn't
mind bothering me with all that homework..... (I reciprocate
I see no reason to be polite to you if you're rude to me.)

3677. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 22:55:04 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DFBB6C8.5CECFCB3@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 57921

> Jim, I really wasn't trying to avoid the question...I don't know that I'd do
> that. However, your question is fair. I can imagine all kinds of
> hypothetical worlds, so yes, I can imagine a world that would be pleasant
> even without certain kinds of people--because I suppose at that point my
> imagination would make it so. But, imagination and reality are two entirely
> different things.
>

I'd like a world without certain kinds of people, i.e., terrorists, criminals,
corrupt dictators, and other forms of assholes. But I like to have all
the various races and ethnic groups around.

I'm an ethnicless generic white American.

3678. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 23:19:13 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021214181913.27983.00000036@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 57915

>Subject: Re: Would have been Extra sooner
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 12/14/02 3:48 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021214164805.13161.00000073@mb-fr.aol.com>

>Why does Heil continually insist that the only "qualification"
> for talking about "the tribe" is to be a "tribal member?!?

One cannot be a Marine without going to boot camp. Nor can one be a car driver without a drivers license. Yet Lennie wants to be an Amateur Radio operator without taking the Amateur Radio examinations.

What a bliterhing IDIOT!

>He is no "chief," merely an elder who has been puffing on
> his own unpeaceful pipe too long, counting coup in his mind

He doesn't need to be a "Chief" in order to know the law.

>The "reservation" is relatively small considering the big,
> wide world of radio surrounding it where the overwhelming
> majority of humans (of many "nations") live

The "reservation" of Amateur Radio is wide open. It's "inhabitants" can roam freely and do more things wthout further adieu that "Mr. Commercial Phone" cannot do. Mr Commercial Phone requires an employer with a specific frequency allocation on a station license ion order to be able to "operate".

Mr. Commerical Phone cannot pick and choose his operating mode nor can he choose the hours that he operates. Nor can he select what "programming" that is sent.

Considering the liberties it grants, the Amateur Radio license grants a world of freedom Mr. Commercial Phone can only read about. He's on the outside looking in. What a pitiful loser...pug nose pressed to the window, whining about being excluded, all-the-while an open door is only a couple steps to the side...

>Irrelevant...that is trying to force everything back into the
> Heilian fantasy of "one cannot talk about getting into amateur
> radio without being already in it." Bogus. False. Elitism.
> Something of an ultimate snobbery in regards to "turf.

Dave said NOT ONE WORD about "talking about gettign into" Amatuer Radio. He's talking about being IN Amateur Radio and the privileges that a license conveys.

Nice try to meld the apples and oranges, Lennie.

>All of the laws and regulations regarding amateur radio are as
> open to commentary and change inducement as every other
> radio service in the USA...yet you attempt some form of control
> and censorship (however slight) by intimidation that "one must
> be already in to talk about getting in" or the elitist "no citizen
> has any right to talk about something they are not in."

Nice try here, too...That's not what he said, although I am sure the
accusation of "censorship" makes you feel as though you are justified in being
stupid.

Sorry. In your case "stupid" is forever.

>>I'll bet you say that to all those who are permitted to do something
>>denied to you. Everything is stupid and unnecessary to those who aren't
>>able to meet the qualifications.
>
> Laws and regulations regarding US radio regulation do not pertain
> solely to individuals either in or out of that radio service. The FCC's
> task is to regulate ALL US civil radio for the benefit of ALL citizens

Ahhhh...But Dave...Amateur Radio is not "denied" to him...HE denies
himself the opportunity to participate! There IS a difference!

>Heil wishes to create the artificial environment where only those
> who met PAST "qualifications" are "authorized" to remark on the
> laws and regulations of the PRESENT and the FUTURE.

Nope. There are a great many folks in this forum who have met "PRESENT"
qualifications. YOU are NOT one of them.

>FCC amateur license grants are NOT an academic certificate
> that "qualifies" any licensee as any sort of knowledgeable radio
> operator or radio station controller.

Here goes Mr Fifteen Years Of Night School back into his rant about what
the FCC license is or isn't.

>It is merely...(SNIP)

Easy to "minimize" when you don't have one and you spend countelss hours
harasssing those who do.......

>That grant does not come close to the possible frequencies,
> modes, or modulations available to the rest of the radio world.

PLEASE, Lennie...PLEASE cite for me what other license in the United
States grants as much privilege and access to teh spectrum as an Amateur Radio
license in one grant...?!?!?

>>Does the name "Custer" mean anything to you?
>
> In reference to Lt. Col. George Armstrong Custer...(SNIP)

Oh geeze, Dave, I will SPANK you! Gave Lennie the Limp a foot in the door
to go on a two paragraph cut-and-paste rant on non-Amateur Radio policy stuff

again!

Steve

3679. Another Question For Lennie to Ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 23:32:31 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021214183231.27983.00000037@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 57916

Subject: Re: Would have been Extra sooner
From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
Date: 12/14/02 3:48 PM Central Standard Time
Message-id: <20021214164805.13161.00000073@mb-fr.aol.com>

>FCC amateur license grants are NOT an academic certificate
>that "qualifies" any licensee as any sort of knowledgeable radio
>operator or radio station controller. It is merely a grant of
>permission to operate only in certain allocated frequency bands,
>using certain allocated transmission modes and modulations.
>That grant does not come close to the possible frequencies,
>modes, or modulations available to the rest of the radio world.

Lennie...WHAT other operator/station license issued by the FCC grants the
privileges or the freedom of choice that the Amateur License grants?

The GROL, the only license you possess, specifically states that you
cannot operate a transmitter that does not have a valid station license issued
to it by the FCC. Per present FCC database, YOU do not posses any such grant.

The ONLY transmitter(s) you may operate (Part 15 and 95 not withstanding)
MUST have a specific operating frequency, mode and various other technial
parameters spelled out in the grant.

On the otherhand an Amateur licensee may operate QRP SSB on 160 meters at
one moment, but then then turn around and bounce over a kilowatt of power off
the moon on the opposite end of the electromagnetic spectrum.

PLEASE, Lennie...PLEASE cite the license grant available to anyone that
grants THAT kind of freedom.

We'll be watching you for your response, Lennie. We are ALL watching.

Steve Robeson, K4YZ

3680. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-14 23:40:14 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021214184014.27983.00000038@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 57917

>Subject: Re: 34 Years Ago Today
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 12/14/02 3:48 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021214164813.13161.00000075@mb-fr.aol.com>

>Sorry, you MUST have WRITTEN PERMISSION to do anything
> outside US territorial waters in accordance with the Rules of
> Engagement in this newsgroup as established by former
> member of the US Department of State.

Ooooops! BIG ERROR ! ! !

You must have written permssion INSIDE someone ELSE'S territorial waters, Lennie. THAT has been specifically stated by all persons (except Brian) in this forum.

FCC regulations SPECIFICALLY allow operation OUTSIDE U.S. territorial waters. Part 97 (and others) refer...

Your ignorance of current events and FCC rules and regulations is glaring. Perhaps if you were licensed in the Amateur service and PARTICIPATING you might have known this...but I doubt it...

> "Contact sheets" in reference to photography refers...(SNIPPED)

Hey PUTZ....WHAT does this have to do with Amateur Radio POLICY...?!?!

You chastise others for it, then perpetuate non-relevent rants of your own on non-related topics.

Of course it's one you THINK you know something about, so I guess you think THAT is OK...

Two-faced lying punk.

3681. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 00:22:07 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h74ojx2.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 57918

On 14 Dec 2002 03:36:40 GMT, N2EY wrote:

>>And just to add insult to insult, the addicted's losses are tax
>>deductable. Sheesh!
>
>In some ways, that's the worst, because it rewards a destructive habit.

IIRC gambling losses are deductable only to the limit of declared
gambling winnings.

And it's called "gaming" in "polite" circles. <ggg>

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3682. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 02:14:54 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212141814.2c7a1346@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57922

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20021214164806.13161.00000074@mb-fr.aol.com>...
> In article <f45722ac.0212140622.1004f3d2@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
> >news:<20021213211802.09874.00000045@mb-ml.aol.com>...
> >> In article <d22b54c3.0212131559.52afba6d@posting.google.com>,
> kelly@dvol.com
> >> (Brian Kelly) writes:
> >>
> >> >The black & white shots I took were processed and cataloged by the
> >> >NAEC photo lab and were date-stamped as are all negs & prints which
> >> >pass thru gummint photo labs.
> >>
> >> Did you have written permission to have your personal film
> >> processed by a "gummint" photo lab? Remember, according
> >> to ROI in here, it's "illegal" without written permission.
> >
> >Security must have been pretty lax in those days. A civilian on a
> >military vessel taking photographs while on a "secret mission."
>
> It has been "revealed" that a self-described "civilian whore" was
> acting under "official orders" in taking "authorized, government-
> developed photographs" yet being able to "keep the negatives"
> to later impress and astound younger folk...by legendary words.
>
> Kellie must be so impressed with himself that he has "forgotten"
> some very, very basic field-of-view rules of optics in lenses that
> were known before his existance and still apply today. Yet, all
> must pay homage to this "veteran" of the "gummint" because of
> unverified and unverifiable claims of extracurricular "intel" duties.
>
> Oddly, all the "claims" have nothing to do with radio, not even
> touching amateur radio. All must believe the beeperistic BS as
> "truth" because a long-time amateur licensee supposedly dit it.

Nope. Definitely off topic.

> >No wonder n0dick won't share with us his military experience. In
> >comparison to Secret Agent Kelly, what would be the point?
>
> N-zero-dick supposedly "served two hitches" in the US Army,
> was "trained in the Army as a broadcast studio technician (at
> Fort Monmouth)" and hasn't said word one on any Army duty

> outside of CONUS during any officially-stated war period.

I recall one old timer on here, could have been N0dICK - maybe it was GrayJL, making CW testing related to Chicoms overrunning his position. Sounds like Korea time frame.

In
> addition to that, he stated that "the FCC came to him and others
> at Fort Monmouth so that they could be tested for both amateur
> and commercial licenses" while in the military service. Even
> though N-zero-dick couldn't make it through the fourth commercial
> test element to pass a First Radiotelephone...he is still a self-
> described "expert" in all of radio, "always ready for an emergency
> to the point of carrying a manual telegraph key in his car."

Ahem, except the time Hans invited him for a CW qso. "...too far away from the big house radio and commercial power." He must have one of those forklift radios with a vibrator power supply.

> Do you really think that ANY government would take such
> individuals seriously for ANY sort of intelligence work?!? :-)

You'd be amazed. The police use runny nosed drug addicts as informants all the time.

3683. Another New K4YZ Thread to Ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 02:19:46 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212141819.3b01f19b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57923

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021214183231.27983.00000037@mb-mk.aol.com>...

> We'll be watching you for your response, Lennie. We are ALL watching.
>
> Steve Robeson, K4YZ

No we won't.

3684. Re: K1MAN jamming countinues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 02:23:14 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212141823.147ee705@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57924

"K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:<8qIK9.596$qA3.90138@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote
>
> :
> : Hans, you should realize that the order of the exact answers to the
> : exact questions are scrambled, and if you were to actually take the
> : exam, answering "E" would be right only about 25% of the time.
> :
>
> Wrong, Brian.
>
> Answering "E" would be right only about 0% of the time.
>
> Sunuvagun!
>
> ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB

Doh! E doesn't stand a snowballs chance in hell.

3685. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 03:36:45 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021214223645.10367.00000083@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 57925

In article <uvl3sg7eeul2d1@corp.supernews.com>, "Kim"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
>news:c2356669.0212121002.6823d385@posting.google.com...
>> "Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
>news:<uvdessoa29ed06@corp.supernews.com>...

>> > > Would the world not be pleasant without the Saddam Hussein kind and
>> > >the OBL
>> > > kind? What would be lost if the terrorists and oppressors and those
>> > >bent on destruction simply disappeared?

>> > I can't answer that, because I don't know. I don't know that I'm right
>> > about the world needing all kinds, and I don't know that I'm right that
>> > we
>> > need all encounters to be what we are. That is what I believe. It is
>> > called faith.

>> It's also avoiding the question: Can you not *imagine* a world that
>> would be pleasant without certain kinds of people in it?
>
>Jim, I really wasn't trying to avoid the question...I don't know that I'd do
>that.

I don't think you intended to avoid the question, Kim.

>However, your question is fair. I can imagine all kinds of
>hypothetical worlds, so yes, I can imagine a world that would be pleasant
>even without certain kinds of people--because I suppose at that point my
>imagination would make it so.

Exactly!

The question was whether I/we/you can imagine a pleasant world without certain
kinds of people (terrorists, bandits, those bent on destruction). You've just
confirmed the idea that you as well as I can, indeed, imagine such a world.
That contradicts your earlier statement, but that's no big deal at all. There
are plenty pf things I could not imagine in the past that I can easily imagine
now.

> But, imagination and reality are two entirely different things.

Of course! But the reality starts with imagining it.
>
>> "Faith" is what we a person believes without proof, and it is
>> necessary because we don't have proof of everything we want to know.

>> But faith is not an excuse for being illogical or avoiding judgement.
>>
>> Consider this statement:
>>
>> "We need thieves, robbers and burglars in our society, because if they
>> all disappeared, there would be no need for locks, alarms, and certain
>> kinds of insurance - and the people who make their living in those
>> industries would lose their jobs".
>>
>> Logical or not?
>>
I should have written:

Valid or not?

>> > I do know there isn't a time in history in which "we"
>> > (people; humans) have not had to endure bitter times.
>>
>> I disagree. What is known is that, for recorded history, we find that
>> there has always been some group of people who were enduring bad
>> times. That doesn't mean we must apathetically acccept evil and
>> suffering as some sort of necessity.

>I think you just said the same thing I have. There has always been some
>group of people who were enduring bad times, is equal to: there isn't a time
>in history in which we have not had to endure bitter times, isn't it?

Perhaps. My statement needs clarification: What I'm saying is that the bad
times can be mnade less bad, and the number of people suffering can be reduced.
Example: WW2 was a very bad time for much of the world. The years that followed
were better.

>> > I have to believe
>> > there is a reason, or a purpose, for that--or continue to ask the
>> >question why.

>> Sure - that's what YOU have to believe.

>And, that is all I stated.

Exactly!

>> From the Book of Bokonon:
>>
>> Tiger got to hunt
>> Bird got to fly,
>> Man got to wonder, "Why, why, why?"
>>
>> Tiger got to sleep
>> Bird got to land,
>> Man got to think he understand.
>>
>

>I don't know a thing of the Book of Bokonon--don't even know to what you
>refer.

Link provided in other post.

>However, I don't ask why, why, why. I really don't. Maybe that's a
>shortcoming of mine, I don't know.

Actually, you DO ask why, why, why. hat's evident from your statement

"I have to believe there is a reason, or a purpose, for that--or continue to
ask the
question why."

The thing is, you have found answers to the "why" question that satisfy you.
>
>> > There is an (Eastern?) philosophical statement that says for every
>> >action there is an equal and opposite reaction.
>>
>> That's basic physics. It's how rockets work.

>You emphasize my obscurely made point--that it takes two swings of the
>pen[d]ulum to complete a cycle.

Sure - if what is happening is truly cyclical.

Now consider a spiral. From one perspective, someone following a spiral appears
to be going around in a circle. From a different prospective, the same someone
appears to be moving from one point to another, in a sort of regularly
wandering way.

>> > I have not seen the movie "The Seven Samurai"; however I would have to
>> > challenge the tenet as being unprovable.
>>
>> It's easily provable. If there are no bandits, will the farmers stop
>> raising food? Of course not. If there are no farmers, the bandits will
>> starve.

>If there are no farmers, the farmers will starve, i.e., we would *all* be
>gone and it all becomes a moot point.

Not at all. The point is that food producers (farmers, hunters, gatherers,
whatever) have just been logically proven to be needed in all societies. If
they don't exist, everyone starves. But the same cannot be said for
bandits/thieves/robbers/terrorists. Societies CAN exist without those people -
in fact, societies are better without them.
>
>> Somebody has to produce in order for there to be something to steal.
>> The producers do not need the moochers and thieves, but the moochers
>> and thieves need the producers.

>I rather think we all need each other.

I disagree. We don't need bandits/thieves/robbers/terrorists at all.

>Mind you, I wouldn't thank a creep
>for being around; but I'd sure be asking myself why I happened to be around
>that creep or vice versa, and what it is that destiny has chosen for me to
>learn from it.

All beside the point of whether all sorts are needed (necessary) to make a
world.
>
>> > We've never had a world without challenge.

>> Doesn't mean progress is impossible.
>>
>> 150 years ago, in this very country, you could have gotten into a
>> serious "philosophical" argument that slavery was an economic
>> necessity. Millions of people accepted - no PROMOTED - the concept of
>> owning other innocent humans. Today we see slavery as fundamentally
>> immoral and illogical. The world is a better place without slavery.
>
>Yet, isn't the history of slavery a part of why we know it is wrong?

Nope. It's clear that slavery is morally wrong, based on simple logic:

Once the concept of property is accepted, it logically follows that involuntary
slavery can be considered a form of property theft. The "property" is the
enslaved person's body, life, and work. There is no need to enslave anyone in
order for that simple logic to be true, and clear to anyone who approaches the
subject with an open mind. And the stolen property argument is only one of many
logical proofs of the absolute wrongness of slavery.

>And, some even still need to learn that lesson.
>
Sure - but far fewer than 150 years ago. That's progress.

>> > > If you want to talk philosophy, tell us what the producers of the
>> > > world gain from the moochers and destroyers.

>> > We, at the least, gain knowing that we don't want to be like them, think
>> > like them, act like them. They, at the least, offer an example of what
>> > can happen if we do.

>> Doesn't mean we "need" them. We don't need to have people jumping off
>> of bridges and falling to their deaths to know it's a bad idea.

>We don't?

Well, I don't. Most rational people I have encountered don't, either. Just
imagining is enough for most of us.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3686. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 04:01:56 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <U8TK9.1794$jN4.186316@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57926

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote

: ....and what it is that destiny has chosen for me to learn from it.

I think right here is the crux of the difference in our philosophies.

I don't think "destiny has chosen for me". I feel that I make choices, and that those choices result in consequences (good, bad, or indifferent). This makes ME responsible for what happens to me, and accepting this responsibility hopefully lead me to learn to make wise choices. But in my model of the world, there is no "overseer" called destiny planning lessons for me to learn.

73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Most Reverend Liberator of the Electric Smoke

3687. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 04:36:47 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <vr0ovuose1hqhhh7j8g5o3ml1pcml867o9@4ax.com>
Source record: 57927

On Sat, 14 Dec 2002 17:46:30 -0500, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote:

>N2EY wrote:

>I was talking to a co-worker's teenage kid at the company picnic
>once. He said school wasn't worth the hassle. I said that if he
>sticks with it, he can get a better paying job later on. "You'll
>get your payoff, I get paid about 8 times what I'd get flipping
>burgers, and I stuck thru the school and college thing. The
>government funds the schools because when you're grown up
>you'll get better paying jobs and pay more income tax. You win,
>and they win." That's easier to understand and buy than saying
>that knowing about Shakespeare and the arts is good for you.

True enough, but there is the money we need and desire, and
there is the real need for a rounded education. There are way too many
MBA's who would sell their parents if they thought they could make a
few bucks, and techno-geeks who fume at having to take those
unnecessary English, art, and phys-ed calsses that have "no bearing"
on their field. Part of the problems we have now is the monolithinc
thinking brought about by only looking at things from that
perspective.
<some snippage>

>> The main problems with public schooling are:
>>
>> 1) The public schools have to serve every single student in the
>> district. Private schools can pick and choose who they accept. This
>> means the private schools cherry-pick the students with lots of
>> resources. Private schools can also refuse to accept, and expel at
>> will any student that doesn't meet their requirements. Public schools
>> can't.
>
>School vouchers are little more than a scam. There's only so many
>seats in a school. And the private schools are full anyway. Vouchers
>will just make it cheaper for the parents of kids already there, or
>allow the private schools to raise their rates. And that just drains
>off money from the public schools. So low income parents get
>shafted as their kids get even crappier schooling.

Yup, vouchers are stealth welfare. I'm amused how the "pay as
you go crowd" stumbles on this one. Ya want's a private school, you
should pay as you go to it.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3688. Re: Another Question For Lennie to Ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 05:06:38 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212142106.15fc9077@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57928

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021214183231.27983.00000037@mb-mk.aol.com>...
> Subject: Re: Would have been Extra sooner
> From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> Date: 12/14/02 3:48 PM Central Standard Time
> Message-id: <20021214164805.13161.00000073@mb-fr.aol.com>
>
> >FCC amateur license grants are NOT an academic certificate
> >that "qualifies" any licensee as any sort of knowledgeable radio
> >operator or radio station controller. It is merely a grant of
> >permission to operate only in certain allocated frequency bands,
> >using certain allocated transmission modes and modulations.
> >That grant does not come close to the possible frequencies,
> >modes, or modulations available to the rest of the radio world.
>
> Lennie...WHAT other operator/station license issued by the FCC grants the
> privileges or the freedom of choice that the Amateur License grants?
>
> The GROL, the only license you possess,

FORMERLY "possssed". Last I heard he even dropped his lameass nocode
GROL.

> specifically states that you
> cannot operate a transmitter that does not have a valid station license issued
> to it by the FCC. Per present FCC database, YOU do not posses any such grant.
>
>
> The ONLY transmitter(s) you may operate (Part 15 and 95 not withstanding)
> MUST have a specific operating frequency, mode and various other technial
> parameters spelled out in the grant.
>
> On the otherhand an Amateur licensee may operate QRP SSB on 160 meters at
> one moment, but then then turn around and bounce over a kilowatt of power off
> the moon on the opposite end of the electromagnetic spectrum.
>
> PLEASE, Lennie...PLEASE cite the license grant available to anyone that
> grants THAT kind of freedom.
>
> We'll be watching you for your response, Lennie. We are ALL watching.

You prolly won't hear nothin' from him, he's all twisted around his
axle still trying to remember how to load 1951 Plus-X into his Kodak
Brownie Hawkeye 135mm Proper Bear shooter camera.

>
> Steve Robeson, K4YZ

w3rv

3689. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 05:07:19 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <493363CC6EAF957D.A39A27869BBED325.563F76E062DB0854@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 57936

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:U8TK9.1794$jN4.186316@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote
>
> : ....and what it is that destiny has chosen for me to learn from it.
>
> I think right here is the crux of the difference in our philosophies.
>
> I don't think "destiny has chosen for me". I feel that I make choices,
and
> that those choices result in consequences (good, bad, or indifferent).
This
> makes ME responsible for what happens to me, and accepting this
> responsibility hopefully lead me to learn to make wise choices. But in my
> model of the world, there is no "overseer" called destiny planning lessons
> for me to learn.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Most Reverend Liberator of the Electric Smoke
>
>

True, and I agree. I don't believe destiny is a predetermined set of
pathways that are presented during a lifetime. I do believe that destiny
is, for lack of better interpretation, much like nature: it's there for us
to learn from. I think destiny weaves in and out of our lives *BASED UPON*
the choices we make for ourselves--not that destiny predetermines what
choices we make. Destiny, to me, is the IF-THEN-ELSE statement in life...
:)

Kim W5TIT

3690. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 05:22:49 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DFC11D3.A1AEF703@earthlink.net>
Source record: 57929

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3DFB3BF3.9F3DA832@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >Len Over 21 wrote:
> >>
> >> In article <3DF9F85C.D3B1AE21@earthlink.net>, Oberst No-Hair Cusser
> >> <LittleEndian@reservation.net> writes:
> >>
> >> >Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> >> Amateur "indians" live on reservations, restricted to few activities.
> >>
> >Really? Pretty big reservations, I'd say. What are these restrictions,
> >Leonard? Why haven't you attempted to meet the qualifications for
> >induction into the tribe?
>
> Why does Heil continually insist that the only "qualification"
> for talking about "the tribe" is to be a "tribal member?!?"

I didn't insist anything of the kind. I asked you why you have not
attempted to meet the qualifications. Do try and get these things
right.

> He is no "chief," merely an elder who has been puffing on
> his own unpeaceful pipe too long, counting coup in his mind.

"Unpeaceful pipe"? Naw, I'm not a chief but I'm a member of the tribe.
I don't sit outside the circle of the council fire grousing 'cuz I'm
excluded.

> The "reservation" is relatively small considering the big,
> wide world of radio surrounding it where the overwhelming
> majority of humans (of many "nations") live.

I'm not concerned about the "big, wide world of radio".

> >Let's see...I have a variety of operating modes available to me in the
> >amateur service and I use many of them. You can do what in the amateur
> >service?
>
> Irrelevant...that is trying to force everything back into the
> Heilian fantasy of "one cannot talk about getting into amateur
> radio without being already in it." Bogus. False. Elitism.
> Something of an ultimate snobbery in regards to "turf."

Irrelevant? That's absurd. My comment was a direct response to your
post.
Elitism seems to be anything of which you are not a qualified
participant.
Get used to it or get on board.

> All of the laws and regulations regarding amateur radio are as
> open to commentary and change inducement as every other
> radio service in the USA...yet you attempt some form of control
> and censorship (however slight) by intimidation that "one must
> be already in to talk about getting in" or the elitist "no citizen
> has any right to talk about something they are not in."

It is becoming quite monotonous re-explaining periodically that you have
had input to the FCC and you have input here. It is just that no one is
forced to give credence to your views. Further, no one is required to
venerate you for your "professional" activity in radio or for your
military service performed long ago.

[For additional historical material, see:
http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k8mn/Tokyo_53a.wav]

> >I'll bet you say that to all those who are permitted to do something
> >denied to you. Everything is stupid and unnecessary to those who aren't
> >able to meet the qualifications.
>
> Laws and regulations regarding US radio regulation do not pertain
> solely to individuals either in or out of that radio service. The FCC's
> task is to regulate ALL US civil radio for the benefit of ALL citizens.

And? Everything is stupid and unnecessary to those who aren't
able to meet the qualifications.

> Heil wishes to create the artificial environment where only those
> who met PAST "qualifications" are "authorized" to remark on the
> laws and regulations of the PRESENT and the FUTURE.

You've remarked, "Anderson".

> That's an
> artificiality based largely on his self-defined "qualifications." The
> FCC grants amateur licenses as part of its regulatory task.

The qualifications for obtaining an amateur radio license are not
self-defined, Len. They're published. You've not met any of them.

> FCC amateur license grants are NOT an academic certificate
> that "qualifies" any licensee as any sort of knowledgeable radio
> operator or radio station controller. It is merely a grant of
> permission to operate only in certain allocated frequency bands,
> using certain allocated transmission modes and modulations.
> That grant does not come close to the possible frequencies,
> modes, or modulations available to the rest of the radio world.

Then again, radio amateurs are not pursuing the frequencies, modes or
modulcations available to the rest of the radio world. They obtain
licenses to access the frequencies, modes and modulations open to them.
Do try to keep up.

> >My little inner circle consists of 600,000+ in the U.S.
>
> Heil imagines himself of some importance in an "inner circle."
> There are approximately 300 million citizens of the USA and
> the FCC regulates ALL US civil radio for ALL the citizens. All
> the rest of the USA radio services way outnumber the radio
> amateurs in quantity...

C'mon, Len. Try and keep up. You have no idea what I imagine myself nor
have I stated membership in any inner circle. I'm a licensed radio
amateur. That is something which has been available to you for you
decades. That you have not become licensed has nothing to do with me.
Blame yourself.

> and most often in quality

and this information can be found where?

> although some
> self-styled, self-important amateurs insist they are both "more
> important" and "more qualified" than professionals in radio.

Naw, Len. They've pointed out that this newsgroup concerns itself with
amateur radio.

> >Does the name "Custer" mean anything to you?
>
> In reference to Lt. Col. George Armstrong Custer, commander
> of the US 7th Cavalry in 1876, it means a totally inept
> tactician who graduated LAST in his West Point class, whose
> "expertise" as an Army commander was based largely on
> personality, not capability or qualifications...other than being
> able to survive the US Civil War. He could be described as a
> salesman disguised as an Army officer.

I recommend: http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k8mn/Tokyo_53a.wav

> On June 25th, 1876 (approximately 20 years before the first
> demonstration of radio communications in Italy and Russia),
> Custer was baited into a trap in a corner of what is now Montana,
> was outmanuevered, outgunned, outfought by native Americans.
> Custer's ineptitude as a supposedly "qualified" leader was
> responsible for the needless deaths of 210 soldiers of five
> companies of the 7th in addition to himself.

I can certainly count on you to be true to form, Foghorn.

> >> You are NOT Chief Sitting Bull. You are simply bull.
>
> That still applies.

>
> >> >Brian's post was one word. He still managed to screw it up.
> >>
> >> Brian Burke's post was TWO words. Try to get it right next
> >> time, little taunto.
> >
> >I gave him the "OK" and the period. He even got ten points for spelling
> >his name correctly.
>
> Heil is not qualified to "award points" or much of anything else,
> including trying to take credit in a newsgroup for some inane
> "use of CW as an order-wire on a government RTTY circuit" that
> was later rationalized as "State Department procedure, to which
> non-department personnel were not 'authorized' to know."

Anderson is not qualified to disqualify Heil.

Are you ever going to get the CW order wire story correct?

> >> Burke was right.
>
> He still is...and "big chief" Heil is just a "little endian" in a
> computer, a floating point wrong end to...

Wow, Len! Do you think I'm in your computer?

Check out http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k8mn/Tokyo_53a.wav

Dave K8MN

3691. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 05:31:09 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3DFC13D8.FA54FEF5@earthlink.net>
Source record: 57930

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Would have been Extra sooner
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)

> > In reference to Lt. Col. George Armstrong Custer...(SNIP)
>
> Oh geeze, Dave, I will SPANK you! Gave Lennie the Limp a foot in the door
> to go on a two paragraph cut-and-paste rant on non-Amateur Radio policy stuff
> again!

It was as predictable as tomorrow's sunrise.

See: http://www.home.earthlink.net/~k8mn/Tokyo_53a.wav

Dave K8MN

3692. ARNewsline 1322 - Dec 13 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 10:40:20 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021215054020.06373.00000115@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 57931

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1322 – December 13, 2002

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1322 with a release date of Friday, December 13, 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T. Ham radio responds as a winter blizzard sweeps the Carolinas and the FCC asks a V-E team to explain. Find out what on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1322 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**
RESCUE RADIO: HAMS RESPOND TO CAROLINA BLIZZARD

Weathecasters described it as a clash of titans. A large cold mass of air from the North collided with a warm wet airmass from the Gulf of Mexico. The result was blizzard-like conditions in many areas of the Mid-West and along the Eastern Seaboard.

It all happened late Wednesday December 4th and all day on Thursday December 5 th. Hardest hit was North Carolina. Gary Pearce, KN4AQ, lived through the storm in Raleigh and has this first hand report:

--

The storm began moving across North Carolina as snow and sleet on Wednesday afternoon, and turned to ice overnight. With temperatures hovering just below freezing, a half-inch or more of ice coated trees and power lines. Power failed to several million homes across a wide belt in the center of the state. Telephone service had fewer outright failures, but both wired and cellular service was sluggish due to the high volume of calls.

The amateur radio story began Wednesday afternoon, as SKYWARN spotters tracked the storm for the National Weather Service. As night fell and power failed, the Red Cross and county emergency management's began opening shelters to give people a warm place to stay. Amateurs in several dozen counties staffed EOCs, shelters and Red Cross offices to assure continued communications. There was no statewide communications emergency, but ARES operators kept watch on the statewide ARES HF nets, and the state EOC in Raleigh remained on the air.

On Friday, with millions of people heading into the second night without power out and temperatures predicted to dip to 18 degrees, North Carolina Governor Mike Easley declared a state of emergency and decided to send the National Guard out to do house-to-house canvassing in 22 counties, to make sure people without phones, radio and TV knew there were shelters available.

The communications plan for the Guard units was to use cell phones to call into the State EOC and make their reports. North Carolina Emergency Management asked if Amateur Radio could provide a backup if the cell phones didn't come through. But, they couldn't pin down when or where amateurs might be needed.

John Guerriero, KG4HDT, the Emergency Coordinator assigned to cover the State EOC, arranged for the Tarheel net -- NC's statewide ARES -- Net to operate all day Saturday. He announced on Friday's nets that operators may be needed at any time in the 22 counties covered. He was especially interested in hams with HF mobile capability.

Operators from many of the affected counties maintained watch Saturday, and Guerriero demonstrated the HF and VHF Amateur Radio operation to the National Guard coordinator at the EOC. Ultimately, however, the hams received no requests for Amateur Radio communication.

One county did work directly with the Guard. In Alamance County, ARES operators who already on duty providing communications between the EOC, the Red Cross and shelters offered to accompany the Guard, but were told that civilians could not ride in military vehicles. Instead, the county provided VHF radios left over from a recent move to 800 MHz, and the Amateurs at the EOC acted as dispatchers. Alamance County EC Dwayne Ayers, N4MIO, reported that this arrangement wasn't nearly as good as the coverage the hams could have provided, but it was all the Guard would accept.

ARES operation in some counties continued through Monday, though with much power restored and shelters closing, most Amateur Radio activity secured by Tuesday.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, this is Gary Pearce KN4AQ in Raleigh, North Carolina.

--

As we go to air almost everyone in North Carolina have had their power restored. And the hams of North Carolina are standing by -- just in case Mother Nature has more winter storms up her sleeve. (KN4AQ, ARNewsline(tm))

**

HAM RAIO IN SPACE: ARISS MEETS IN WASHINGTON

It may have been snowing outside, but that did not stop ham radio delegations from descending on the Washinton D-C area for the Winter 2002 meeting to plan the future of ARISS and manned ham radio on board the International Space Station. Roy Neal, K6DUE, was there and filed back this report:

--

THE DELEGATES AND REPRESENTATIVES OF ARISS…AMATEUR RADIO ON THE INTERNATIONAL SPACE STATION... MET AT THE GODDARD SPACE FLIGHT CENTER, NEAR THE NATION’S CAPITOL.THEY DECIDED THAT 2 THOUSAND AND TWO WAS A GOOD YEAR FOR THE SPACE STATION. IT GREW RAPIDLY AND IS WORKING WELL. THE SAME IS TRUE OF ARISS.

THERE WERE MANY EXCELLENT CONTACTS WITH SCHOOLS ALL OVER THE WORLD, INCLUDING EUROPE, SOUTH AFRICA AND JAPAN. GROUND STATIONS IN SOUTH AFRICA, AUSTRALIA AND HAWAII WORKED VERY WELL IN MAKING THOSE CONTACTS .

PACKET HAS BEEN BROUGHT ON LINE AND SEVERAL THOUSAND VERY SATISFIED USERS ARE MAKING THE MOST OF IT.

FOUR EXTERNAL ANTENNAS WERE INSTALLED LAST YEAR. A MONUMENTAL ACHIEVEMENT THAT GAVE ARISS THE CAPABILITY OF OPERATING ALMOST ANY FREQUENCY FROM 20 METERS UP INTO THE GIGAHERTZ RANGE.

AMONG ITS PROBLEMS, THE SPACE STATION HAS A CREW OF ONLY THREE ASTRONAUTS AND COSMONAUTS., INSTEAD OF SEVEN AS ORIGINALLY PLANNED. THAT SEVERELY LIMITS OPERATING TIME FOR AMATEUR RADIO. THE ARISS DELEGATES WERE TOLD THAT THE INTERNATIONAL PARTNERS HOPE TO INCREASE CREW SIZE IN THE NEAR FUTURE. HOPEFULLY A LOT MORE AIR TIME WILL BE AVAILABLE.

HAM RADIO ON THE SPACE STATION PLANS FOR THE NEXT PHASE CALL FOR EXPANSION TO 70 CENTIMETER OPERATION AND A SECOND STATION ON THE AIR. THIS WOULD OPEN THE DOOR TO FULL DUPLEX OPERATION. AT A FUTURE DATE IT MIGHT EVEN ALLOW STUDENTS TO RECEIVE PICTURES ON 70 CM WHILE TALKING ON 2 METERS!

WORK WILL CONTINUE, TESTING SLOW SCAN TELEVISION AND SPACE CAM HARDWARE, HOPEFUL OF GETTING BOTH THESE PROJECTS ON THE AIR PERHAPS BY THIS TIME NEXT YEAR.

MANY OTHER EXCITING, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS WERE DISCUSSED. THEY WILL BE REPORTED HERE ON NEWSLINE DURING THE COMING YEAR AS THE ARISS TEAM CONTINUES BUILDING ITS HAM RADIO STATION IN ORBIT.

ROY NEAL, K6DUE, FOR AMATEUR RADIO NEWSLINE

--

Thanks Roy. (K6DUEE, ARNewsline(tm))

**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: RS-20 IN ORBIT

Russia has a new ham satellite in space. Well, kind of.
According to the AMSAT News Service, the new ham radio bird is actually an Amateur Radio telemetry beacon operating as a secondary payload of a new Russian navigational and scientific satellite. It was launched on November 28th, is at an altitude of 720 kilometers and circles the Earth every 99 minutes. Its beacons can be heard transmitting telemetary on 145.828 and 435.319 MHz. The new satellite has been officially named RS-20. (AMSAT NA)

**

SPECTRUM ISSUES: 40 METER REALLIGNMENT DISCUSSED
The just-ended preparatory meeting for next years World Radiocommunication Conference heard five ways to fix the problems plaguing 40 meter. It also heard a sixth presentatoion that would essentially leave things as they are.

Amateur Radio Newsline's Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, has more:

--
Without going into minute detail, the five concepts differ markedly from one anotgher. League Executive Vice President Dave Sumner, K1ZZ, says in the ARRL Letter that all five options do represent improvements for Amateurs operatng in the 40 meter band, although two fall short of fulfilling the 300-kHz worldwide requirement.

The International Amateur Radio Union is on record as supporting a 300-kHz worldwide amateur allocation in the vicinity of 7 MHz. Sumner says that achieving this will require the fixed and mobile services to make room for broadcasters and for the broadcasters to change their operating frequencies.

The five proposed methods for change include a variety of transition schedules to ease the impact on these other services. Even with this Dave Sumner says that there is no guarantee that proposals will be limited to the six methods described in the report.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, in Los Angeles.

--

More on this story is on the web at www.arrl.org (ARRL)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, we are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the W4VRB repeater serving warm Vero Beach Florida.

(5 sec pause here)

**

ENFORCEMENT: VE'S ASKED TO ANSWER STRANGE PASSING GRADE

The FCC's Enforcement Bureau has begun an audit an ARRL VEC sponsored test session held in Pelham Georgia on June 10th 2000. Letters have been sent to the three V-E's who supervised the test. They ask some key questions:

--
Duckworth" “The bureau seeks information as to how a candidate who has seriously failed seven tests passed the Pelham test with a perfect score on Element 2 and only one wrong answer on Element 3.”

--

The reason for the suspicion? Again the FCC's Daryl Duckworth, NN0W:

--
Duckworth: “The candidate got a license but was recalled for testing. He did not appear and his license was canceled.”

--

The three V-E's were given an appropriate amount of time to respond. In the meantime the FCC has also recommended to the ARRL VEC that it not not accept their services while the matter is under review. (FCC, RAIN)

**

ENFORCEMENT: FCC SUGGESTS THAT FIVE VE'S ARE OUT

Meantime, the FCC has closed the books on its audit of a pair of ARRL sponsored ham radio exams in Cookville Tennessee. The sessions were held back on December 14th 1999 and March 11th, 2000. And as the FCC's Daryl Duckworth, NN0W, tells us, the Enforcement Bureau has recommended that five Volunteer Examiners not be used again:

--
Duckworth: “It recommended that the services of five V-E's be no longer accepted because they knew or should have known that one of the test candidates was related to a V-E. Also, the location of one of the test sessions was not revealed in the documentation sent to the ARRL.”
--

A final decision on the future of the five V-E's is now in the hands of the ARRL VEC. (FCC, RAIN)

**

HAM RADIO TESTING: NEW TECHNICIAN CLASS QUESTIONS NOW AVAILABLE

Scotty Neustadter, W4WW, says that the new question pool for Technician class exams is out. Neustadter heads the Question Pool Committee of the National Conference of Volunteer Examiner Coordinators. And, in an e-mail, W4WW says that the committee has released a revised and expanded Amateur Technician class question pool into the public domain.

The new question pool becomes effective July 1, 2003. It must be used for all Technician written examinations given on or after that date. The complete set of questions can be downloaded in text or Portable Document File format from www.arrl.org/arrlvec/pools.html. You will need a free Adobe Acrobat reader program to view and print the P-D-F version. It can be downloaded at www.adobe.com. (W4WW)

**

ENFORCEMENT: FCC EXPECTED TO OK MITNICK RENEWAL

The FCC is expected to approve the license renewal of former computer hacker Kevin Mitnick, N6NHG, if it has not done so already. The W5YI Report says that an Administrative Law Judge says that Mitnick has paid his debt to society and has been adaquitey rehabilitated to where he now holds the requisite character requirements to continue as an FCC license holder.

In rendering his decision the Judge added that Mitnick has been using his radio gear since his release from prison and it is significant that there have been no complaints filed regarding his radio operations. Also, that Mitnick's post

prison conduct demonstrates that his rehabilitation can be relied upon to deal honestly with the Commission and to comply with all rules and regulations.

Mitnick's ham radio license renewal had been on hold based on his conviction for computer hacking which the FCC alluded brought with it questions regarding his fitness to remain a Commission licensee. (W5YI, ARNewsline(tm))

**

ENFORCEMENT: FCC RE-LICENSES HERB SCHOENBOHM

The former KV4FZ is back on the air but not under that call sign. Herb Schoenbohm who used tohold that call sign showed up on the air during last weekends 160 metrer D-X contest sporting the call NP2MJ.

FCC records show that Schoenbohm’s new call was issued to him on December 7th. The action to grant a new ham license comes about two months after an FCC Administrative Law Judge ruled that he now holds the requisite requirements to again become a Commission license holder.

Two years ago Schoenohm was forced off the air after the FCC refused to renew his ham ticket based on an earlier fraud conviction and the U.S. Supreme Court refused to hear his license renewal appeal. Its not known if Schoenbohm will apply for his old KV4FZ call under the vanity progam -- or -- if the FCC would grant such a request. (Numerous listener reports)

**

ENFORCEMENT: VK CB'ERS IN TROUBLE

Still with enforcement news, word from down-under that a pair of Australian C-B operators are in trouble with communications regulators. VK7HG-O reports that the two U-H-F C-B operators have been charged with breaches Australia's Radiocommunications Act for operating where they were not supposed to be.

On November 22nd, the two C-Bers were talking on the input channel to an emergency repeater. They refused to relinquish the frequency and would not move away. They were tracked from the town of Reinna to Richmond and back to Honbart by a ham radio direction finding team and the Australian Communications Authority. The regulatory agancy has already spoken with the two V-K Citizens Radio operators and is reportedly considering further action. (Q-News)

**

RADIO POLITICS: HAM ELECTED MAYOR OF CALIFORNIA CITY

The New Mayor of Agoura Hills California is a ham. Jeff Reinhardt, AA6JR was sworn in as the city's new leader on December 3rd. He says his theme for 2003 is “The Year of Discovery.”

Reinhardt, a public relations specialist, is best known nationally as he author of his “Magic in the Sky” column at appears regularly in C-Q Magazine. He will be starting a new quarterly column on mobiling also for C-Q.

Among those attending the swearing-in ceremony were Reinhardt's wife Melissa KD6BIT, daughter Jessica KD6ARA and son Steven K6SJR. Also present were Jim Fortney K6IYK, Doug Wynn WY6NN and a number of other local Southern California hams.

2003 marks the 20th year of cityhood for Agoura Hills but only the first time a licensed radio amateur has sat in the Mayor's seat. (WY6NN)

**

CONFERENCES: THE SOUTHEASTERN VHF SOCIETY

Turning to conventions and hamfests, a first call is out for papers to be presented at the 2003 Southeastern VHF Society Conference. This gathering is slated for Huntsville, Alabama, on April 25 and 26. The deadline for submitting papers to Dick Hanson, K5AND, is March 11. Dick's e-mail address is k5and@adelphia.net. Contact him directly with any questions. (VHF Reflector)

**

HAM RADIO MEMORIBILIA: COLLINS REPRODUCTIONS AVAILABLE ON-LINE

Collins reproduction items, including a new antenna switch unit are now being marketed by the Collins Radio Association. This, through its Collins Radio Center location, near Johnstown, Pennsylvania. Items can be picked up in person at the center or shipped via U-P-S. More information on what's available is in cyberspace at www.collinsra.com. (Press release)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today’s radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org and being relayed by the volunteer services of the following radio amateur:

(5 sec pause here)

**

RADIO LAW: NEW STUDY SAYS MOBILE PHONES CAUSE ACCIDENTS

Researchers say increased cell phone use has led to more crashes caused by drivers on the phone. According to a December 2nd news report, researchers at Harvard's Center for Risk Analysis, drawing on previous research involving cell phones and government figures for auto accidents, say there is a growing public health risk from the reliance on cell phones in cars.

Data on the number of crashes caused by cell phones is incomplete but it suggested that drivers talking on their phones are responsible for about 6 percent of U.S. auto accidents each year. Translated into a human toll it means that an estimated 2,600 people are killed and another 330,000 are injured.

Researchers say that the figure was reached using current cell phone usage estimates to update a 1997 study. That study looked at phone records of Canadian drivers involved in crashes to see if they were making calls at the time.

The number of cell phone subscribers has grown from 94 million in 2000 to more than 128 million today. Opponents of banning mobile phone usage by drivers say that the benefit of car calls outweigh the possible dangers that they may pose. (Published reports)

**

EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: EMERGENCY RADIO CAN INTERFERE WITH PACEMAKERS

If you wear a pacemaker or know someone who does, listen up. A new emergency radio system could affect you. Q-News Graham Kemp, VK4BB, has the details:

--
United Kingdom and setting the pace –makers: New Scientist 23 November carry an article warning that TETRA, the two-way radio system being adopted by many of the worlds emergency services can interfere with the operation of medical devices like heart-pacemakers.

TETRA operates at 400 megs and uses pulse-rates of 17.6 Hz. Rex VK4LR suggests that anyone playing with PCM at VHF or even HF should be very careful in case someone nearby suddenly has unanticipated ticker problems!
--

The TETRA system is a European developed standard that being adopted by emergency services in 50 countries. In addition to Europe, TETRA radios are in Africa, Asia and Latin America. Great Britains' Devices Agency found that TETRA radios can upset heart pacemakers, confuse defibrillators, and stop ventilators from working. (Q-News)

**

INTRUDER WATCH: AIR ACTS ON INTERFERENCE TO 10 MHZ

A shortwave broadcaster has acted to eliminate interference to a ham band. Following a report that All India Radio was radiating spurious signals from it's 9.950 Mhz channel the broadcaster has taken the offending transmitter off the air.

All India Radio's Deputy Director said that the company decided to suspend the transmission on November 21st to investigate the cause of spurious emissions. The unwanted spurs were being heard in 50 kHz increments and included 10.1 and 10.150 MHz . (Q-News)

**

INTERNATIONAL - KENYA: NEW US-KENYA RECIP AGREEMENT
According to ZS6AKV, Kenya has new reciprocal licensing agreement between it and the United States. Under it, U-S citizens with F-C-C issued licenses may apply for and be granted Kenyan licenses.
ZS6AKV notes that it has taken the IARU close to ten years to achieve this

agreement. The application form to operate in Kenya can be downloaded a www.cck.go.ke. (SARL)
**
INTERNATIONAL – UK: NEW UK-THAILAND RECIP AGREEMENT

The United Kingdom's Radiocommunications Agency has signed a reciprocal licensing agreement with Thailand. Visitors to Thailand may apply for a Thai license with an H-S-Zero prefix and long-term residents of Thailand receive a full Thai callsign. (RSGB)

**

DX

In D-X, HG4I reports that he will be on from Hungary between the 20th of December and 12th January with the special event call sign H-G-Zero 3-H-N-Y. This call celebrates the new year with the numerals Zero 3 representing 2003 and the H-N-Y suffix is abbreviation of phrase Happy New Year. Look for him on all of the H-F bands running CW, RTTY, BPSK, SSB, and FM on 29 MHz.. QSL directly with a self addressed stamped envelope to HG4I at the call book address. (HG4I)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: THE PAPER SAID WHAT?

And finally this week, an update to a simple story that has now taken a rather bizarre twist. As in -- a reporter made part of it up and nobody caught it. Fred Vobbe, W8HDU, is back with the rest of the story:

--
Last week we at Amateur Radio Newsline brought you an item about a call by the Partnership for Public Warning to create a national wireless emergency alert system. One that could send warnings to citizens via their pagers, mobile phones or computer screens.

It sounds like a good idea, only thats not what P-P-W had in mind when it released a report based on a workshop that the group conducted last June.

You see, one of the worlds largest news services picked up the story and put it out on the wires. Several news organizations including many newspapers picked up wire service story and ran their own versions of it. We were sent a number of copies by our own listeners that appeared in their local papers. And who ever questions a newspaper article? Well in this case we all should have.

Richard Rudman, W6TIA, is a Director of the Partnership for Public Warning. He ways that when his organization learned of the story its Press Relations Director contacted the news agency. Rudman says they admitted to P-P-W that their reporter made up the lead sentence about using pagers to contact the public. And as a result, the news agency actually issued a very rare retraction, but many news organizations that ran the original story did not pick up the corrected release.

For the record, Rudman says that the Partnership for Public Warning does not endorse any one vendor or technology over another. Its goal is to promote what it terms as a level playing field. One where all warning marketplace players can be more certain of rules and standards. This says W6TIA will permit them to develop on a level playing field which does not now exist. It will also promote development of common warning terminology to better serve the publics need.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Fred Vobbe, W8HDU.

--

That full report of last June's P-P-W workshop was released on November 25th. You can read it on-line at www.ppw.us.org. (PPW via W6TIA, W6VR)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

Before we go this note. We have been advised that last weeks story on the Canals of Venus credited to Q-News actually originated in Bob Gonsett's CGC Communicator. There was no credit line in the Q-News audio report and we thought it was theirs. Turns out it was actually written by Bob Gonsett, W6VR.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I’m Mert Garlick, N6AWE, saying 73 and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

3693. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 13:36:52 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021215083652.02538.00000092@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 57932

In article <PByI9.42950$vM1.3420729@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>,
"K7JEB" <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net> writes:

>K7JEB:
>>> Dewey beat Truman in '48......
>
>>> Oh, wait, he didn't either, but the
>>> postwar boom was in full swing in '52
>>> and the whole country was becoming more
>>> conservative, pro-business, anti-communist
>>> and, perhaps, a little less tolerant of
>>> arbitrary government regulation.

Let's ask Trent Lott about that....
>
>N2EY:
>> Possibly. But the change took place before
>> Ike was inaugurated in January of 53.
>
>K7JEB:
>My thinking was along the lines of how
>"Republican" the Clinton administration
>became in its closing years.

>I was all of 10 years old in '52, so it
>was just a swirl of "I like Ike" buttons
>to me back then.

That makes you 12 years older'n me.

>K7JEB:
>>> The years during and following WWII saw
>>> radiotelephone come into technological
>>> maturity (as did SSB a decade later, as
>>> Bill Sohl pointed out) and one no longer
>>> had to be a "radio genius" to put a
>>> 'phone rig on the air on any amateur
>>> band.
>
>N2EY:
>> That was true in the late '30s. And it
>> still took quite a bit of knowledge to
>> put a 'phone rig with a good quality
>> signal on the air in 1952.

Particularly a home-brew SSB rig. Which most ham SSB rigs were, in those days.
>
>K7JEB:
>It did, but there were a good many WW2
>vets back in civvy's then who had cut
>their teeth on BC-610's and kept fleets
>of ART-13's in (and on) the air. I don't
>think AM was quite the mystery it had
>been before the war.

I don't think AM was any sort of mystery for hams in the '30s. Or even the '20s. Main problem was the high cost of a transmitter and the large amount of spectrum it took up, compared to CW.

> And then there
>was that windfall of surplus command
>sets that made quite acceptable AM
>right out of the box from Fair Radio.

Not really. Most popular surplus transmitters were TVI generators of the worst sort unless modified heavily. And almost all of them (BC-610 is a rare exception) needed a power supply, and reworking of the control circuits. That's why they were so inexpensive.

>K7JEB:
>>> It was at least technically possible to
>>> expand the privileges. And ham radio had
>>> shown itself to be a "Good Thing" during
>>> WWII and so, perhaps, deserving of government-
>>> policy encouragement and growth.
>
>N2EY:
>> Part of that Good Thing, however, was that
>> hams knew code and theory already, and needed
>> less training than raw recruits.
>
>K7JEB:
>Very true. Consider the perception of a
>gov't policy-maker, though. All the gov't
>has to do is throw frequency allocations
>at these folks and they train themselves
>up in technical and operating skills and
>then flood the enlistment centers when
>the next Big One comes around. And in
>1952, it seemed like Big One #3 was just
>around the corner with the Russkies and
>the Red Chinese. Definitely going to
>need a lot of radio ops for a land war
>in Asia.

Maybe. More likely, the need was seen as a need for more CD/RACES ops (RACES appeared right around that time), more mobile units, and more hams using SSB.

>N2EY:
>> I think the biggest driving force behind
>> the FCC's sudden change of heart was
>> the desire to get hams using and working
>> on SSB. The original 1951 restructuring
>> would have reduced the flow of new hams to
>> HF 'phone, because it would have required
>> post-Jan 1 1953 hams to get Extras in order
>> to use HF phone on the bands between 2 and
>> 25 MHz. Less crowding, fewer 'phone hams,
>> less need for SSB and fewer hams working on it.
>
>> Open up those bands to Generals and
>> Conditionals, and there would be enough crowding
>> to push SSB use by hams.
>
>That's a very interesting idea. That *was* the
>ultimate effect of over-populating the 'phone
>bands with DSB-AM - encouraging the transition
>to SSB.

There's also the 10 meter TVI issue.

>To be honest, though, it strains my
>credulity to think that the FCC could be *that*
>forward-looking (and that Machiavellian).

Why? We're talking about the FCC of 50 years ago, not the FCC of today or the past couple decades. The 1951 restructuring was done as a sort of social engineering exercise, with the following expected goals:

- Make it easier to get started in ham radio (Novice)
- Promote experimentation on VHF/UHF/microwaves (Technician)
- Increase operating and technical requirements of the full-privs license (replacement of Advanced by Extra)

Why wouldn't the FCC change its mind if the perception change, and try to move in a different direction?

>It
>seems much more straightforward to think that
>someone saw the regulations as overly restrictive
>and passed the word down. It was definitely
>a turnaround, though, and on short notice.

Maybe. Seems more likely that the SSB/TVI issues were driving it.
>
>N2EY:
>> So let's be proactive. Suppose S25.5 goes
>> away next year, and FCC decides to dump
>> Element 1. What else should FCC change
>> in the ham license structure?
>

>K7JEB:
>In my opinion, not a thing.

So even without a code test, Techs should be limited to tiny CW-only slices of 80/40/15, and SSB/CW on 10? We can do better than that!

>There are so
>many disincentives to getting into amateur
>radio right now that I honestly believe that
>the FCC could print ham tickets on Wheaties
>box tops and we still wouldn't see growth
>in either VHF or HF operation beyond what
>we are experiencing now.

I'm talking about privileges as well as test requirements.

> All operator
>licensing should do is make sure amateur
>radio operators don't interfere with the
>other users of the radio spectrum.
>
Including each other.

But I disagree that interference prevention is the only goal of licensing.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3694. Re: Another Question For Lennie to Ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 13:41:48 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021215084148.12383.00000064@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 57933

>Subject: Re: Another Question For Lennie to Ignore
>From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
>Date: 12/14/02 11:06 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <d22b54c3.0212142106.15fc9077@posting.google.com>

>You prolly won't hear nothin' from him, he's all twisted around his
>axle still trying to remember how to load 1951 Plus-X into his Kodak
>Brownie Hawkeye 135mm Proper Bear shooter camera.

Oh, I KNOW we won't hear anything...at least until he has a chance to
wallow through Google to find SOMEthing that he can use as an excuse.

Of course anyone with any knowledge of present FCC licenses and grants
KNOWS that there is no such license that grants the breadth and freedom that an
Amatuer license grants, and no, THEY don't have to have an Amateur license to
kow that.

Pity the fool of Larnark Drive
Who rants and raves but takes a dive
That never could make himself understand
There was fun and friends waiting on the Amateur Bands

He bumbled his way through a career unfulfilled
Though taking credit for others he was suitably skilled
Too snobby and haughty was Lennie the Putz
To take an Amateur Exam and join the rest of us.

So now his days wane and his end draws near
And all he can do is listen on non-radiating old gear
As the radio world moves on without his consent
We're all please to "C-Q" while Lennie gets bent.

Good thing my career in Nursing is secure, eh...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3695. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 14:38:09 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212150638.79ce91b5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57934

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20021214164813.13161.00000075@mb-fr.aol.com>...
> In article <d22b54c3.0212140354.56b3526@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>

> >Written permission for what? I was a Navy civilian professional on
> >Navy business on a Navy ship. I dropped off the film, filled out the
> >sheet, gave 'em the charge number and asked the lab to make contact
> >sheets and it went from there. WTF??
>
> Sorry, you MUST have WRITTEN PERMISSION to do anything
> outside US territorial waters in accordance with the Rules of
> Engagement in this newsgroup as established by former
> member of the US Department of State.

I guess I had the same permission slips to take shots of the Bear in
international waters and airspace that the Bear had to take his shots
of me. Been awhile see so I forget if it was worked out by State or
the Pentagon. Maybe the Swiss . . ? Maybe Khrushev sed it was OK? I
dunno, had to be somebody 'way up there. I guess. Or just maybe nobody
gave a damn one way or another. Which of course is the real answer.

>
> Official photography for the US military does NOT result in the
> official photographer "keeping the negatives."
>
> "Contact sheets" in reference to photography refers to 1:1 ratio
> prints on photo print paper, nearly always done by placing
> developed negatives (dried) directly on slow-speed photo print
> paper, exposing it and developing the print paper. This is
> useful for reference of which 35mm frame is what on a roll of
> 20 or 36 frames. With 1:1 contact prints the image size is
> very small and it takes magnification to tell the number of
> engines of a bomber image and IMPOSSIBLE to resolve detail
> enough to "count rivets."
>
>
> >50 years at the "advanced level" in the photo game and you say 35mm
> >Plus-X is an ASA 50 film eh Putz? Plus-X is today and was back then a
> >common drugstore-available ASA 125 film.
>
> Sorry, "Mr. Eastman," you don't know your own product, certainly
> not the 5-roll bulk 35mm available in 1951.
>

> That's a very minor technical point on film.

Usual Putz duck the point & try to divert maneuver. "Minor technical point". Yeah, it's a "minor technical point" Putz. Because anybody who knows diddly squat about photography knows that from long before the CVA 66 America was launched in 1965 until right now the PX135 series of Plus-X films have been ASA 125 films. Any time somebody points out another one of your screwups which expose your ignorance of the subjects you compulsively rattle on about at boring lengths are "minor technical points". What else could they be? PUTZ!

And by the way those antique bulk packs were junk 35mm movie film, not professional still camera film. Explains why I could get rivet-level resolutions and you couldn't. Putz.

The good news is that the more "expertise" you claim the deeper the hole you dig for yourself.

> Nearly ANY black-and-
> white film can be "pushed" to a higher speed rating (more sensitive
> to light than manufacturer's ratings) with a number of developing
> solutions. All the black-and-white films were re-rated higher on the
> market later. The same with color print and transparency film.
>
> MUCH MORE BASIC is optics and field of view...that of getting an
> image ONTO the film. With longer focal length lenses, the image
> on the film plane is larger. If the film plane image is larger, it can
> resolve more detail of the image.
>
> With 35mm film cameras, a 50mm focal length lens approximates
> the field of width of the human eye. In still photography (as opposed
> to motion pictures) the focal length must be longer than 50mm to
> gather image information comparable to the human eye. The reason
> for that is head-eye movement while viewing brings more eye sensors
> into play for the brain's image resolution. The brain can somehow
> "resolve" better by some yet-unknown mental process.
>
> To resolve more detail on an object "750 feet" away, a 35mm camera
> needs at least a 135mm focal length lens to capture enough detail
> on an object such as a large bomber "to count the rivets." One can
> determine that trigonometrically or simply get longer focal length
> lenses and do a photographic comparison of any object.
>
> > Anybody who screws up
> >something that dirt-simple fundamental to anything above snapshot
> >photography, which you just did, clearly demonstrates that they don't
> >know squat about photogarphy. Hell, you didn't even get yer Web search
> >for Plus-X right.
>
> I don't know anything about "photogarphy," just ordinary
> PHOTOGRAPHY. Simple stuff, really, it's what a high school

> kid can learn. It's what I learned beginning in 1949, something
> fun as well as an addition to tools of the artist-illustrator.
>
> The "ASA speeds" of film were lower way back then and still only
> the recommended light ratings. I quit with black-and-white film
> around 1968 since more color was shot (mostly positives,
> commonly called "slides").
>
> Trouble here is that Kellie wants to belabor ancient history

Ya mean like yer 1951 bulk pack Plus-X bullshit?? How ancient is
THAT??

> common newsgroup tactic of beepers, by observation) in only
> certain things/mentions. Much more basic is lens optics which
> Kellie has (conveniently?) overlooked.
>
> >You add new meanings to the terms "bullshit artist" and "wannabe".
>
> No. I have ample evidence of photographic ability as well as
> that of an artist-illustrator. Being visual mediums they can be
> readily compared by sighted persons. Not only that, I've sold
> both photographs and art work...although that was not an object
> of "interest" it can classify me as a professional (work done for
> pecuniary interest) there part of the time. Six examples of my
> photography were printed by the Pacific Stars and Stripes on
> November 10th this year (only 5 are included in the web archive).
> Stars and Stripes had a much larger choice (over 600) to chose
> from 1953-1956 and over a month to select and verify them.

Whew . . whatta rant . . will everybody who gives a rat's patooie
about ANY of the above please raise yer hands?

Umm, no hands Putz.

>
> >All this being the case any further "dialog" anybody has with you on
> >the subjects of lens choices, enlarger operations and resolutions down
> >to the rivet levels is like a dialog with a box of rocks.
>
> Optics is basic to photography...just as radio physics is basic
> to knowledge of radio. Someone can bullshit their way through
> a DX claim when no one has heard the distant station.

Problem with that one Putz is that I have the stacks of cards and the
plaques and certificates.

> Similarly,
> and more noticeably, that same someone can bullshit their way
> about some "photographic ability/genius/collection" without
> presenting some proof of such ability.
>

> Kellie's "I've got the negatives!" claim is fraught with suspicion.
> First of all, "gummint developing" had a procedure for keeping
> truly official negative (and positive masters) for archival purposes.
> Black and white prints are another thing and it is not unusual to
> give official copies of prints to individuals in such photographs.
> Intelligence operatives do NOT get to keep their officially-
> authorized photographic "negatives." There is NO copyright
> protection for US government text or photos for non-restricted
> material. Anyone, including non-USA citizens, can reproduce
> such work as they wish. As an example, Hasselblad (corporate
> headquarters in Goteborg, Sweden) has been able to reproduce
> hundreds of NASA images in advertising/promotional literature
> without cost to them. Several US radio amateurs are able to
> offer reproductions of many older, unrestricted US radio
> technical manuals without paying any fees for such reproduction.
>
> >50 years in a darkroom . . 50 years of flogging yer log is more like
> >it . . .
>
> My "log" has been in many forests, has never had any problems
> in its "trunk." Sorry to hear of your "trunk" problems...get well
> soon.
>
>
> >See above Putz. I know what lenses to use when. Which you obviously
> >don't.
>
> ...then you've not demonstrated such knowledge by claiming to
> have achieved high-resolution imagery using a 50mm focal length
> lens in a 35mm film camera. You should have claimed at least an
> 80mm focal length lens (commonly called a "portrait lens") or a
> medium telephoto 135mm focal length. Film "grain" is finite and
> no amount of even special developing can bring out detail in small
> images that is smaller than the "grain" size.
>
> This is similar to the alleged "26 patents" Kellie said he had some
> while ago. A search of US patents revealed only ONE patent with
> Kellie as co-inventor. My patent (sole inventor) is on a radio
> system, not a machinery bearing.
>
>
> >Nah, no big secret at all. Neither are the circumstances surrounding
> >your abrupt mid-project departure from NADC Johnsville.
>
> Tsk, tsk, tsk, Kellie still trying to belabor a falsity. To repeat, I
> must re-state old, verifiable material:

The RRAP Back Channel has done some "verifying" too, believe it Putz.
Go ahead, stick yer foot in it. This oughta be good.

>
> 1. Naval Air Development Center was located in Warminster, PA,
> 1971 through 1975. NADC had previously been known as
> "Johnsville" in reference to a nearby locality.
>
> 2. I was employed by RCA Corporation 1967-1975, Electromagnetic
> and Aviation Systems Division (EASD), Van Nuys, CA.
>
> 3. RCA had an L-Band collision avoidance R&D program called
> SECANT with three separate phases of development. I worked
> on all three phases in design of the IF and RF sections. Funding
> came from the Department of Transportation, US government,
> final flight testing done by NADC, arranged within government.
>
> 4. I was tasked as the on-site RCA representative/field-engineer
> on the first phase of SECANT flight tests, late 1971 through
> early 1972. The first "abrupt departure" was a break for
> Christmas and New Year's holiday period. The second was
> due to finishing first-phase flight testing by NADC.

Uh-huh. Got it Putz. You were involved in the design work of all three phases. System design work obviously doesn't happen at test facilities. Understood. So the RCA hardware fell outta the design work and RCA shipped you to NADC to be an RCA rep for the SECANT job. The usual. Now kindly explain why you abrubtly departed NADC after the first phase and did not participate in the following two phases.

Don't try to bullshit us by coming off with anything like "the testing of the RF and IF sections was completed in the first phase". We know better than that Putz.

> At no time
> was my employment ever terminated at RCA until November
> 1975 and the entire R&D team disbanded along with most of
> the rest of the division.

I've been "disbanded" several time Putz, but never in the middle of a test series for hardware I designed or helped design. Come clean Putz, why weren't you at NADC for Phases 2 & 3?

3696. Re: ARNewsline 1322 - Dec 13 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 14:38:54 UTC
From: Pasternak SPAM <SpamHQ@PasternakSPAMmoreSPAM.org>
Message-ID: <ati45vjsu0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 57935

Get a life Pasternak and stop Spaming Usenet!
WA6ITF Pasternak SPAM follows:

"Radionews" <radionews@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021215054020.06373.00000115@mb-fp.aol.com...
> Amateur Radio NewslineT Report 1322 - December 13, 2002
>
> Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1322 with a release date of Friday,
> December 13, 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.
>
> The following is a Q-S-T. Ham radio responds as a winter blizzard sweeps
the
> Carolinas and the FCC asks a V-E team to explain. Find out what on
Amateur
> Radio Newsline report number 1322 coming your way right now.
>
>
> (Billboard Cart Here)
>
> **
> RESCUE RADIO: HAMS RESPOND TO CAROLINA BLIZZARD
>
> Weathecasters described it as a clash of titans. A large cold mass of air
from
> the North collided with a warm wet airmass from the Gulf of Mexico. The
result
> was blizzard-like conditions in many areas of the Mid-West and along the
> Eastern Seaboard.
>
> It all happened late Wednesday December 4th and all day on Thursday
December 5
> th. Hardest hit was North Carolina. Gary Pearce, KN4AQ, lived through
the
> storm in Raleigh and has this first hand report:
>
> --
>
> The storm began moving across North Carolina as snow and sleet on
Wednesday
> afternoon, and turned to ice overnight. With temperatures hovering just
below
> freezing, a half-inch or more of ice coated trees and power lines. Power
> failed to several million homes across a wide belt in the center of the
state.

> Telephone service had fewer outright failures, but both wired and cellular
> service was sluggish due to the high volume of calls.
>
> The amateur radio story began Wednesday afternoon, as SKYWARN spotters
> tracked the storm for the National Weather Service. As night fell and
power
> failed, the Red Cross and county emergency management's began opening
shelters
> to give people a warm place to stay. Amateurs in several dozen counties
> staffed EOCs, shelters and Red Cross offices to assure continued
> communications. There was no statewide communications emergency, but ARES
> operators kept watch on the statewide ARES HF nets, and the state EOC in
> Raleigh remained on the air.
>
> On Friday, with millions of people heading into the second night without
> power out and temperatures predicted to dip to 18 degrees, North Carolina
> Governor Mike Easley declared a state of emergency and decided to send the
> National Guard out to do house-to-house canvassing in 22 counties, to make
sure
> people without phones, radio and TV knew there were shelters available.
>
> The communications plan for the Guard units was to use cell phones to call
> into the State EOC and make their reports. North Carolina Emergency
> Management asked if Amateur Radio could provide a backup if the cell
phones
> didn't come through. But, they couldn't pin down when or where amateurs
might
> be needed.
>
> John Guerriero, KG4HDT, the Emergency Coordinator assigned to cover the
State
> EOC, arranged for the Tarheel net -- NC's statewide ARES -- Net to operate
all
> day Saturday. He announced on Friday's nets that operators may be needed
at
> any time in the 22 counties covered. He was especially interested in hams
with
> HF mobile capability.
>
> Operators from many of the affected counties maintained watch Saturday,
and
> Guerriero demonstrated the HF and VHF Amateur Radio operation to the
National
> Guard coordinator at the EOC. Ultimately, however, the hams received no
> requests for Amateur Radio communication.
>
> One county did work directly with the Guard. In Alamance County, ARES
> operators who already on duty providing communications between the EOC,
the
> Red Cross and shelters offered to accompany the Guard, but were told that

> civilians could not ride in military vehicles. Instead, the county
provided
> VHF radios left over from a recent move to 800 MHz, and the Amateurs at
the EOC
> acted as dispatchers. Alamance County EC Dwayne Ayers, N4MIO, reported
that
> this arrangement wasn't nearly as good as the coverage the hams could have
> provided, but it was all the Guard would accept.
>
> ARES operation in some counties continued through Monday, though with
much
> power restored and shelters closing, most Amateur Radio activity secured
by
> Tuesday.
>
> For the Amateur Radio Newsline, this is Gary Pearce KN4AQ in Raleigh,
North
> Carolina.
>
> --
>
> As we go to air almost everyone in North Carolina have had their power
> restored. And the hams of North Carolina are standing by -- just in case
> Mother Nature has more winter storms up her sleeve. (KN4AQ,
ARNewsline(tm))
>
> **
>
> HAM RAIO IN SPACE: ARISS MEETS IN WASHINGTON
>
> It may have been snowing outside, but that did not stop ham radio
delegations
> from descending on the Washinton D-C area for the Winter 2002 meeting to
plan
> the future of ARISS and manned ham radio on board the International Space
> Station. Roy Neal, K6DUE, was there and filed back this report:
>
> --
>
> THE DELEGATES AND REPRESENTATIVES OF ARISS.AMATEUR RADIO ON THE
> INTERNATIONAL SPACE STATION... MET AT THE GODDARD SPACE FLIGHT CENTER,
NEAR THE
> NATION'S CAPITOL.THEY DECIDED THAT 2 THOUSAND AND TWO WAS A GOOD YEAR FOR
THE
> SPACE STATION. IT GREW RAPIDLY AND IS WORKING WELL. THE SAME IS TRUE OF
> ARISS.
>
> THERE WERE MANY EXCELLENT CONTACTS WITH SCHOOLS ALL OVER THE WORLD,
INCLUDING
> EUROPE, SOUTH AFRICA AND JAPAN. GROUND STATIONS IN SOUTH AFRICA,

AUSTRALIA AND
> HAWAII WORKED VERY WELL IN MAKING THOSE CONTACTS .
>
> PACKET HAS BEEN BROUGHT ON LINE AND SEVERAL THOUSAND VERY
> SATISFIED USERS ARE MAKING THE MOST OF IT.
>
> FOUR EXTERNAL ANTENNAS WERE INSTALLED LAST YEAR. A
> MONUMENTAL ACHIEVEMENT THAT GAVE ARISS THE CAPABILITY OF
> OPERATING ALMOST ANY FREQUENCY FROM 20 METERS UP INTO THE
> GIGAHERTZ RANGE.
>
> AMONG ITS PROBLEMS, THE SPACE STATION HAS A CREW OF ONLY
> THREE ASTRONAUTS AND COSMONAUTS., INSTEAD OF SEVEN AS
> ORIGINALLY PLANNED. THAT SEVERELY LIMITS OPERATING TIME FOR AMATEUR
RADIO.
> THE ARISS DELEGATES WERE TOLD THAT THE INTERNATIONAL PARTNERS HOPE TO
INCREASE
> CREW SIZE IN THE NEAR FUTURE. HOPEFULLY A LOT MORE AIR TIME WILL BE
> AVAILABLE.
>
> HAM RADIO ON THE SPACE STATION PLANS FOR THE NEXT PHASE CALL FOR
EXPANSION TO
> 70 CENTIMETER OPERATION AND A SECOND STATION ON THE AIR. THIS WOULD OPEN
THE
> DOOR TO FULL DUPLEX OPERATION. AT A FUTURE DATE IT MIGHT EVEN ALLOW
STUDENTS
> TO RECEIVE PICTURES ON 70 CM WHILE TALKING ON 2 METERS!
>
> WORK WILL CONTINUE, TESTING SLOW SCAN TELEVISION AND SPACE
> CAM HARDWARE, HOPEFUL OF GETTING BOTH THESE PROJECTS ON THE AIR PERHAPS
BY
> THIS TIME NEXT YEAR.
>
> MANY OTHER EXCITING, ADVANCED TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS WERE
> DISCUSSED. THEY WILL BE REPORTED HERE ON NEWSLINE DURING THE COMING
YEAR AS
> THE ARISS TEAM CONTINUES BUILDING ITS HAM RADIO STATION IN ORBIT.
>
> ROY NEAL, K6DUE, FOR AMATEUR RADIO NEWSLINE
>
> --
>
> Thanks Roy. (K6DUEE, ARNewsline(tm))
>
> **
>
> HAM RADIO IN SPACE: RS-20 IN ORBIT
>
> Russia has a new ham satellite in space. Well, kind of.
> According to the AMSAT News Service, the new ham radio bird is actually an

> Amateur Radio telemetry beacon operating as a secondary payload of a new
> Russian navigational and scientific satellite. It was launched on
November
> 28th, is at an altitude of 720 kilometers and circles the Earth every 99
> minutes. Its beacons can be heard transmitting telemetary on 145.828 and
> 435.319 MHz. The new satellite has been officially named RS-20. (AMSAT
NA)
>
> **
>
> SPECTRUM ISSUES: 40 METER REALLIGNMENT DISCUSSED
> The just-ended preparatory meeting for next years World Radiocommunication
> Conference heard five ways to fix the problems plaguing 40 meter. It also
> heard a sixth presentatoion that would essentially leave things as they
are.
> Amateur Radio Newsline's Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, has more:
>
> --
> Without going into minute detail, the five concepts differ markedly from
one
> anotgher. League Executive Vice President Dave Sumner, K1ZZ, says in the
ARRL
> Letter that all five options do represent improvements for Amateurs
operatng in
> the 40 meter band, although two fall short of fulfilling the 300-kHz
worldwide
> requirement.
>
> The International Amateur Radio Union is on record as supporting a 300-kHz
> worldwide amateur allocation in the vicinity of 7 MHz. Sumner says that
> achieving this will require the fixed and mobile services to make room for
> broadcasters and for the broadcasters to change their operating
frequencies.
>
> The five proposed methods for change include a variety of transition
schedules
> to ease the impact on these other services. Even with this Dave Sumner
says
> that there is no guarantee that proposals will be limited to the six
methods
> described in the report.
>
> For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, in Los Angeles.
>
> --
>
> More on this story is on the web at www.arrl.org (ARRL)
>
> **
>

> Break 1
>
> From the United States of America, we are the Amateur Radio Newsline,
heard on
> bulletin stations around the world including the W4VRB repeater serving
warm
> Vero Beach Florida.
>
> (5 sec pause here)
>
> **
>
> ENFORCEMENT: VE'S ASKED TO ANSWER STRANGE PASSING GRADE
>
> The FCC's Enforcement Bureau has begun an audit an ARRL VEC sponsored test
> session held in Pelham Georgia on June 10th 2000. Letters have been sent
to
> the three V-E's who supervised the test. They ask some key questions:
>
> --
> Duckworth" "The bureau seeks information as to how a candidate who has
> seriously failed seven tests passed the Pelham test with a perfect score
on
> Element 2 and only one wrong answer on Element 3."
>
>
> --
>
> The reason for the suspicion? Again the FCC's Daryl Duckworth, NN0W:
>
> --
> Duckworth: "The candidate got a license but was recalled for testing. He
> did not appear and his license was canceled."
>
>
> --
>
> The three V-E's were given an appropriate amount of time to respond. In
the
> meantime the FCC has also recommended to the ARRL VEC that it not not
accept
> their services while the matter is under review. (FCC, RAIN)
>
> **
>
> ENFORCEMENT: FCC SUGGESTS THAT FIVE VE'S ARE OUT
>
>
> Meantime, the FCC has closed the books on its audit of a pair of ARRL
sponsored

> ham radio exams in Cookville Tennessee. The sessions were held back on
> December 14th 1999 and March 11th, 2000. And as the FCC's Daryl
Duckworth,
> NN0W, tells us, the Enforcement Bureau has recommended that five Volunteer
> Examiners not be used again:
>
> --
> Duckworth: "It recommended that the services of five V-E's be no longer
> accepted because they knew or should have known that one of the test
candidates
> was related to a V-E. Also, the location of one of the test sessions was
not
> revealed in the documentation sent to the ARRL."
> --
>
> A final decision on the future of the five V-E's is now in the hands of
the
> ARRL VEC. (FCC, RAIN)
>
> **
>
> HAM RADIO TESTING: NEW TECHNICIAN CLASS QUESTIONS NOW AVAILABLE
>
> Scotty Neustadter, W4WW, says that the new question pool for Technician
class
> exams is out. Neustadter heads the Question Pool Committee of the
National
> Conference of Volunteer Examiner Coordinators. And, in an e-mail, W4WW
says
> that the committee has released a revised and expanded Amateur Technician
class
> question pool into the public domain.
>
> The new question pool becomes effective July 1, 2003. It must be used for
all
> Technician written examinations given on or after that date. The complete
set
> of questions can be downloaded in text or Portable Document File format
from
> www.arrl.org/arrlvec/pools.html. You will need a free Adobe Acrobat
reader
> program to view and print the P-D-F version. It can be downloaded at
> www.adobe.com. (W4WW)
>
> **
>
> ENFORCEMENT: FCC EXPECTED TO OK MITNICK RENEWAL
>
> The FCC is expected to approve the license renewal of former computer
hacker

> Kevin Mitnick, N6NHG, if it has not done so already. The W5YI Report says
that
> an Administrative Law Judge says that Mitnick has paid his debt to society
and
> has been adaquitey rehabilitated to where he now holds the requisite
character
> requirements to continue as an FCC license holder.
>
> In rendering his decision the Judge added that Mitnick has been using his
radio
> gear since his release from prison and it is significant that there have
been
> no complaints filed regarding his radio operations. Also, that Mitnick's
post
> prison conduct demonstrates that his rehabilitation can be relied upon to
deal
> honestly with the Commission and to comply with all rules and regulations.
>
> Mitnick's ham radio license renewal had been on hold based on his
conviction
> for computer hacking which the FCC alluded brought with it questions
regarding
> his fitness to remain a Commission licensee. (W5YI, ARNewsline(tm))
>
> **
>
> ENFORCEMENT: FCC RE-LICENSES HERB SCHOENBOHM
>
> The former KV4FZ is back on the air but not under that call sign. Herb
> Schoenbohm who used tohold that call sign showed up on the air during last
> weekends 160 metrer D-X contest sporting the call NP2MJ.
>
> FCC records show that Schoenbohm's new call was issued to him on December
> 7th. The action to grant a new ham license comes about two months after
an FCC
> Administrative Law Judge ruled that he now holds the requisite
requirements to
> again become a Commission license holder.
>
> Two years ago Schoenohm was forced off the air after the FCC refused to
renew
> his ham ticket based on an earlier fraud conviction and the U.S. Supreme
Court
> refused to hear his license renewal appeal. Its not known if Schoenbohm
will
> apply for his old KV4FZ call under the vanity progam -- or -- if the FCC
would
> grant such a request. (Numerous listener reports)
>
> **

>
> ENFORCEMENT: VK CB'ERS IN TROUBLE
>
> Still with enforcement news, word from down-under that a pair of
Australian C-B
> operators are in trouble with communications regulators. VK7HG-O reports
that
> the two U-H-F C-B operators have been charged with breaches Australia's
> Radiocommunications Act for operating where they were not supposed to be.
>
> On November 22nd, the two C-Bers were talking on the input channel to an
> emergency repeater. They refused to relinquish the frequency and would
not
> move away. They were tracked from the town of Reinna to Richmond and
back to
> Honbart by a ham radio direction finding team and the Australian
Communications
> Authority. The regulatory agancy has already spoken with the two V-K
Citizens
> Radio operators and is reportedly considering further action. (Q-News)
>
> **
>
> RADIO POLITICS: HAM ELECTED MAYOR OF CALIFORNIA CITY
>
> The New Mayor of Agoura Hills California is a ham. Jeff Reinhardt, AA6JR
was
> sworn in as the city's new leader on December 3rd. He says his theme for
2003
> is "The Year of Discovery."
>
> Reinhardt, a public relations specialist, is best known nationally as he
author
> of his "Magic in the Sky" column at appears regularly in C-Q Magazine. He
> will be starting a new quarterly column on mobiling also for C-Q.
>
> Among those attending the swearing-in ceremony were Reinhardt's wife
Melissa
> KD6BIT, daughter Jessica KD6ARA and son Steven K6SJR. Also present were
Jim
> Fortney K6IYK, Doug Wynn WY6NN and a number of other local Southern
California
> hams.
>
> 2003 marks the 20th year of cityhood for Agoura Hills but only the first
time a
> licensed radio amateur has sat in the Mayor's seat. (WY6NN)
>
> **
>

> CONFERENCES: THE SOUTHEASTERN VHF SOCIETY
>
> Turning to conventions and hamfests, a first call is out for papers to be
> presented at the 2003 Southeastern VHF Society Conference. This gathering
is
> slated for Huntsville, Alabama, on April 25 and 26. The deadline for
submitting
> papers to Dick Hanson, K5AND, is March 11. Dick's e-mail address is
> k5and@adelphia.net. Contact him directly with any questions. (VHF
Reflector)
>
> **
>
> HAM RADIO MEMORIBILIA: COLLINS REPRODUCTIONS AVAILABLE ON-LINE
>
> Collins reproduction items, including a new antenna switch unit are now
being
> marketed by the Collins Radio Association. This, through its Collins
Radio
> Center location, near Johnstown, Pennsylvania. Items can be picked up
in
> person at the center or shipped via U-P-S. More information on what's
> available is in cyberspace at www.collinsra.com. (Press release)
>
> **
>
> BREAK 2
>
> This is ham radio news for today's radio amateur. From the United States
of
> America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from
our
> only official website at www.arnewsline.org and being relayed by the
volunteer
> services of the following radio amateur:
>
> (5 sec pause here)
>
> **
>
> RADIO LAW: NEW STUDY SAYS MOBILE PHONES CAUSE ACCIDENTS
>
> Researchers say increased cell phone use has led to more crashes caused by
> drivers on the phone. According to a December 2nd news report,
researchers at
> Harvard's Center for Risk Analysis, drawing on previous research involving
cell
> phones and government figures for auto accidents, say there is a growing
public
> health risk from the reliance on cell phones in cars.

>
> Data on the number of crashes caused by cell phones is incomplete but it
> suggested that drivers talking on their phones are responsible for about 6
> percent of U.S. auto accidents each year. Translated into a human toll it
> means that an estimated 2,600 people are killed and another 330,000 are
> injured.
>
> Researchers say that the figure was reached using current cell phone
usage
> estimates to update a 1997 study. That study looked at phone records of
> Canadian drivers involved in crashes to see if they were making calls at
the
> time.
>
> The number of cell phone subscribers has grown from 94 million in 2000 to
more
> than 128 million today. Opponents of banning mobile phone usage by
drivers say
> that the benefit of car calls outweigh the possible dangers that they may
pose.
> (Published reports)
>
> **
>
> EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: EMERGENCY RADIO CAN INTERFERE WITH PACEMAKERS
>
> If you wear a pacemaker or know someone who does, listen up. A new
emergency
> radio system could affect you. Q-News Graham Kemp, VK4BB, has the
details:
>
> --
> United Kingdom and setting the pace -makers: New Scientist 23 November
> carry an article warning that TETRA, the two-way radio system being
adopted by
> many of the worlds emergency services can interfere with the operation of
> medical devices like heart-pacemakers.
>
> TETRA operates at 400 megs and uses pulse-rates of 17.6 Hz. Rex VK4LR
> suggests that anyone playing with PCM at VHF or even HF should be very
careful
> in case someone nearby suddenly has unanticipated ticker problems!
> --
>
> The TETRA system is a European developed standard that being adopted by
> emergency services in 50 countries. In addition to Europe, TETRA radios
are in
> Africa, Asia and Latin America. Great Britains' Devices Agency found
that
> TETRA radios can upset heart pacemakers, confuse defibrillators, and

stop
> ventilators from working. (Q-News)
>
> **
>
> INTRUDER WATCH: AIR ACTS ON INTERFERENCE TO 10 MHZ
>
> A shortwave broadcaster has acted to eliminate interference to a ham band.
> Following a report that All India Radio was radiating spurious signals
from
> it's 9.950 Mhz channel the broadcaster has taken the offending transmitter
off
> the air.
>
> All India Radio's Deputy Director said that the company decided to suspend
the
> transmission on November 21st to investigate the cause of spurious
emissions.
> The unwanted spurs were being heard in 50 kHz increments and included
10.1 and
> 10.150 MHz . (Q-News)
>
> **
>
> INTERNATIONAL - KENYA: NEW US-KENYA RECIP AGREEMENT
> According to ZS6AKV, Kenya has new reciprocal licensing agreement between
it
> and the United States. Under it, U-S citizens with F-C-C issued licenses
may
> apply for and be granted Kenyan licenses.
> ZS6AKV notes that it has taken the IARU close to ten years to achieve this
> agreement. The application form to operate in Kenya can be downloaded a
> www.cck.go.ke. (SARL)
> **
> INTERNATIONAL - UK: NEW UK-THAILAND RECIP AGREEMENT
>
> The United Kingdom's Radiocommunications Agency has signed a reciprocal
> licensing agreement with Thailand. Visitors to Thailand may apply for a
Thai
> license with an H-S-Zero prefix and long-term residents of Thailand
receive a
> full Thai callsign. (RSGB)
>
> **
>
> DX
>
> In D-X, HG4I reports that he will be on from Hungary between the 20th of
> December and 12th January with the special event call sign H-G-Zero
3-H-N-Y.

> This call celebrates the new year with the numerals Zero 3 representing
2003
> and the H-N-Y suffix is abbreviation of phrase Happy New Year. Look for
him on
> all of the H-F bands running CW, RTTY, BPSK, SSB, and FM on 29 MHz.. QSL
> directly with a self addressed stamped envelope to HG4I at the call book
> address. (HG4I)
>
> **
>
> THAT FINAL ITEM: THE PAPER SAID WHAT?
>
> And finally this week, an update to a simple story that has now taken a
rather
> bizarre twist. As in -- a reporter made part of it up and nobody caught
it.
> Fred Vobbe, W8HDU, is back with the rest of the story:
>
> --
> Last week we at Amateur Radio Newsline brought you an item about a call by
the
> Partnership for Public Warning to create a national wireless emergency
alert
> system. One that could send warnings to citizens via their pagers, mobile
> phones or computer screens.
>
> It sounds like a good idea, only thats not what P-P-W had in mind when it
> released a report based on a workshop that the group conducted last June.
>
> You see, one of the worlds largest news services picked up the story and
put it
> out on the wires. Several news organizations including many newspapers
picked
> up wire service story and ran their own versions of it. We were sent a
number
> of copies by our own listeners that appeared in their local papers. And
who
> ever questions a newspaper article? Well in this case we all should have.
>
> Richard Rudman, W6TIA, is a Director of the Partnership for Public
Warning. He
> ways that when his organization learned of the story its Press Relations
> Director contacted the news agency. Rudman says they admitted to P-P-W
that
> their reporter made up the lead sentence about using pagers to contact the
> public. And as a result, the news agency actually issued a very rare
> retraction, but many news organizations that ran the original story did
not
> pick up the corrected release.
>

> For the record, Rudman says that the Partnership for Public Warning does
not
> endorse any one vendor or technology over another. Its goal is to
promote
> what it terms as a level playing field. One where all warning marketplace
> players can be more certain of rules and standards. This says W6TIA will
> permit them to develop on a level playing field which does not now exist.
It
> will also promote development of common warning terminology to better
serve
> the publics need.
>
> For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Fred Vobbe, W8HDU.
>
>
> --
>
> That full report of last June's P-P-W workshop was released on November
25th.
> You can read it on-line at www.ppw.us.org. (PPW via W6TIA, W6VR)
>
> **
>
> NEWSCAST CLOSE
>
> With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC
> Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio
> Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the
Amateur
> Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More
> information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official
website
> located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at
> Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.
>
> Before we go this note. We have been advised that last weeks story on the
> Canals of Venus credited to Q-News actually originated in Bob Gonsett's
CGC
> Communicator. There was no credit line in the Q-News audio report and we
> thought it was theirs. Turns out it was actually written by Bob Gonsett,
W6VR.
>
>
> For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I'm Mert
Garlick,
> N6AWE, saying 73 and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio
Newsline(tm)
> is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.
>
>

3697. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 14:58:56 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <1BB9E4D55F13FA32.9E70FDF578737DAC.0C945E5878E3B1A7@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 57937

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021214223645.10367.00000083@mb-cb.aol.com...
> In article <uvl3sg7eeul2d1@corp.supernews.com>, "Kim"
> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>
>
> The question was whether I/we/you can imagine a pleasant world without
certain
> kinds of people (terrorists, bandits, those bent on destruction). You've
just
> confirmed the idea that you as well as I can, indeed, imagine such a
world.
> That contradicts your earlier statement, but that's no big deal at all.
There
> are plenty pf things I could not imagine in the past that I can easily
imagine
> now.
>
> > But, imagination and reality are two entirely different things.
>

True enough. It is also said that we can make our own reality. I think we do so all based upon prior experiences. One could not be set down in the middle of a cornfield and know that it's easier to walk with the rows rather than across the rows. It would be a short lesson in this case, but it would be learned from experience to walk with the row.

The experience of see corn sucked up and shot through a corn-chopper would show us that the same would *probably* happen to us. So, it would be the summation we learn from the experience of seeing the corn chopper in action that we would stay away from it.

Both of those realities had more to do with experience than imagination (other than the imagination of ourselves getting sucked up into one of those machines!!)

>
> >I think you just said the same thing I have. There has always been some
> >group of people who were enduring bad times, is equal to: there isn't a
time
> >in history in which we have not had to endure bitter times, isn't it?
>
> Perhaps. My statement needs clarification: What I'm saying is that the bad
> times can be mnade less bad, and the number of people suffering can be
reduced.

> Example: WW2 was a very bad time for much of the world. The years that
followed
> were better.
>

All true...but my perspective tells me that it would from prior experience
that we learn to make things less bad, learn to more quickly recognize evil
intent...etc.

> >
> >However, I don't ask why, why, why. I really don't. Maybe that's a
> >shortcoming of mine, I don't know.
>
> Actually, you DO ask why, why, why. hat's evident from your statement
>
> "I have to believe there is a reason, or a purpose, for that--or continue
to
> ask the
> question why."
>

You're right about my statement, but see the beginning of that statement. I
believe there is a reason, or a purpose for everything, or I *would* ask
why. I don't ask why very often, Jim, I really don't. I accept and go on.
I *do*, however, believe that fate/destiny/nature/God--whatever--brings me
experiences to learn from and that it is something for me to learn from my
perspective and something for someone else to learn from *their*
perspective.

>
> The thing is, you have found answers to the "why" question that satisfy
you.
> >

Hmmmmm, yeah, I did used to ask why...when I was a kid. And, you're right,
I found the answer to the why when my belief system showed me the above (and
a whole lot more, of course). It's easier not to ask why than it is to just
accept. My questions are not going to change that it happened or may happen
again. But, my learning may help in a future scenario.

>
> >You emphasize my obscurely made point--that it takes two swings of the
> >pen[d]ulum to complete a cycle.
>
> Sure - if what is happening is truly cyclical.
>

I tend to believe that it all things are cyclical--my perspective, mind you.

>
> Now consider a spiral. From one perspective, someone following a spiral
appears
> to be going around in a circle. From a different prospective, the same

someone
> appears to be moving from one point to another, in a sort of regularly
> wandering way.
>

Same with a cycle. You are either nearing the beginning, center, or end of
a cycle and the decision would all be made from perspective.

>
> >If there are no farmers, the farmers will starve, i.e., we would *all* be
> >gone and it all becomes a moot point.
>
> Not at all. The point is that food producers (farmers, hunters, gatherers,
> whatever) have just been logically proven to be needed in all societies.
If
> they don't exist, everyone starves. But the same cannot be said for
> bandits/thieves/robbers/terrorists. Societies CAN exist without those
people -
> in fact, societies are better without them.
> >

I'd like to believe that. But I am not convinced. A baby needs to fall to
know that they need not do that again. Unless we are predisposed to
something, and I don't think we are, then we need a little of everything to
be formative about who and what we are and how we "like" our world.

> >>
> >> Somebody has to produce in order for there to be something to steal.
> >> The producers do not need the moochers and thieves, but the moochers
> >> and thieves need the producers.
>
> >I rather think we all need each other.
>
> I disagree. We don't need bandits/thieves/robbers/terrorists at all.
>

OK. We may not *need* them, but they are here for a purpose. Otherwise,
they would not be here.

> >
> >> > We've never had a world without challenge.
>
> >> Doesn't mean progress is impossible.
> >>

Or setback.

> >
> >Yet, isn't the history of slavery a part of why we know it is wrong?
>
> Nope. It's clear that slavery is morally wrong, based on simple logic:
>
> Once the concept of property is accepted, it logically follows that

involuntary
> slavery can be considered a form of property theft. The "property" is the
> enslaved person's body, life, and work.
>

It is for the reason that you understand that principle that you disagree with slavery. It is for the reason that principle is *not* understood that there are those who think we should still be there. It is up to us, who know and understand, to try to convince those who do not. That is the premise destiny hands to us--to provide for a testing ground for our own belief system (how much do we really believe and defend it) and to try and make it better by changing the minds of those who don't see. Destiny or fate doesn't *put* us in that situation...we determine whether we got there or not. But, once there, there are all kinds of ways for us to challenge ourselves to more and stronger committment and to challenge others to the same committment.

>
> There is no need to enslave anyone in
> order for that simple logic to be true, and clear to anyone who approaches
the
> subject with an open mind.
>

Well, in the logical argument phase, you just shot your argument in the foot. Note the last two words, "open mind." There are those who aren't even close to having an open mind. Why? Because their belief system (their principles, etc.) haven't been challenged. If I am to believe that I am not just simply here to live life, then I believe there is a purpose for everything. If I tell myself that I am committed and strong in opposition to treating other people like possesions, then isn't a natural part of that affirmation process, a challenge to it--to be learned from? Perhaps I don't believe in a Heaven or Hell--in the vernacular--...but I do believe there is more than "this." And, I do believe that "each lifetime" (each realized experience) gets us closer to whatever is supposed to be that "perfect world." (Nirvana, Heaven, etc.) Whether that is a continuation of life again, but better and better each time, or a spot in Heaven doesn't matter. We all work toward a common goal.

>
> >And, some even still need to learn that lesson.
> >
> Sure - but far fewer than 150 years ago. That's progress.
>

You choose to believe that is because of "just knowing" it is wrong. I choose to believe it is because our forefathers were handed examples of its being wrong to begin a process by which we have arrived, historically, today--*knowing* it is wrong because of the strife and horrid conditions under which people live/d in those days.

> >> > > [Jim said]:
> >> > > If you want to talk philosophy, tell us what the producers of the
> >> > > world gain from the moochers and destroyers.
>
> >> > We, at the least, gain knowing that we don't want to be like them,
think
> >> > like them, act like them. They, at the least, offer an example of
what
> >> > can happen if we do.
>
> >> Doesn't mean we "need" them. We don't need to have people jumping off
> >> of bridges and falling to their deaths to know it's a bad idea.
>

What *IF* we'd never seen what happens when someone does that? What *IF* we were told that we could float to the bottom, unharmed? What is it that tells us we shouldn't do it? Is it having seen the prior experiences of other things or people falling from heights, or is it because we "just know" it would be wrong?

> >
> >We don't?
>
> Well, I don't. Most rational people I have encountered don't, either. Just
> imagining is enough for most of us.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

I believe I am quite rational and I believe you are. I believe you have a different way of seeing things than I do. I believe it is up to both of us to allow each other the dignity of our own beliefs, rather than expecting each other to think in the same manner. And, it seems rather condescending to go through such a good exchange of ideas then end a post with that, Jim. I don't belie you your principles, beliefs, premises. It seems you made a judgement about mine (going back to another thread/discussion--*that* is what I call judgement).

Kim W5TIT

3698. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 15:25:53 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021215102553.01882.00000028@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 57938

>Subject: Re: 34 Years Ago Today
>From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
>Date: 12/15/02 8:38 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <d22b54c3.0212150638.79ce91b5@posting.google.com>

>Come clean Putz,
>why weren't you at NADC for Phases 2 & 3?

I know the answer to that one....They wanted the danged thing to WORK!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3699. Re: ARNewsline 1322 - Dec 13 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 15:31:21 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021215103121.01882.00000029@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 57939

>Subject: Re: ARNewsline 1322 - Dec 13 2002
>From: "Pasternak SPAM" SpamHQ@PasternakSPAMmoreSPAM.org
>Date: 12/15/02 8:38 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <ati45vjsu0@pita.alt.net>
>
> Get a life Pasternak and stop Spaming Usenet!
> WA6ITF Pasternak SPAM follows:

This is cute...An Anonymous Cowardly PUTZ who accuses a well known and respected Amateur Radio personality of "spamming", but then itself "spams" by requoting the ENTIRE Newsline press release inorder to add ONE sentence of slovenly commentary.

What a complete and blithering IDIOT. One more reason that incest should be punished by the death penalty. It might have prevented THIS jerk from seeing puberty.

Steve, K4YZ

3700. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 15:32:50 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021215103250.01868.00000031@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 57940

>Subject: Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)
>From: Robert Casey wa2ise@ix.netcom.com
>Date: 12/14/02 4:55 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3DFBB6C8.5CECFCB3@ix.netcom.com>

>I'm an ethnicless generic white American.

Why the adjectives, Robert? Why not just "American"...?

73

Steve, K4YZ

3701. Re: ARNewsline 1322 - Dec 13 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 15:48:01 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ati86k$6a4$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57941

Well said. Personally Id like a time machine. That way we could go back
and throw water on the old man and stop it in its tracks...so to speak.

Dan/W4NTI

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021215103121.01882.00000029@mb-fx.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: ARNewsline 1322 - Dec 13 2002
> >From: "Pasternak SPAM" SpamHQ@PasternakSPAMmoreSPAM.org
> >Date: 12/15/02 8:38 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <ati45vjsu0@pita.alt.net>
> >
> > Get a life Pasternak and stop Spaming Usenet!
> > WA6ITF Pasternak SPAM follows:
>
> This is cute...An Anonymous Cowardly PUTZ who accuses a well known
and
> respected Amateur Radio personality of "spamming", but then itself "spams"
by
> requoting the ENTIRE Newsline press release inorder to add ONE sentence of
> slovenly commentary.
>
> What a complete and blithering IDIOT. One more reason that incest
should
> be punished by the death penalty. It might have prevented THIS jerk from
> seeing puberty.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>

3702. Re: ARNewsline 1322 - Dec 13 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 15:55:49 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@LibNetBARF.net>
Message-ID: <ati8m1ufb0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 57942

Oh, but all the better that it got a response from you dick-breath!
Kick your dog this morning yet?

Lloyd

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021215103121.01882.00000029@mb-fx.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: ARNewsline 1322 - Dec 13 2002
> >From: "Pasternak SPAM" SpamHQ@PasternakSPAMmoreSPAM.org
> >Date: 12/15/02 8:38 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <ati45vjsu0@pita.alt.net>
> >
> > Get a life Pasternak and stop Spaming Usenet!
> > WA6ITF Pasternak SPAM follows:
>
> This is cute...An Anonymous Cowardly PUTZ who accuses a well known
and
> respected Amateur Radio personality of "spamming", but then itself "spams"
by
> requoting the ENTIRE Newsline press release inorder to add ONE sentence of
> slovenly commentary.
>
> What a complete and blithering IDIOT. One more reason that incest
should
> be punished by the death penalty. It might have prevented THIS jerk from
> seeing puberty.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>
>

3703. clueless newbie question.. US nation wide emegency freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 16:06:56 UTC
From: ClydesdaleMTB <ClydesdaleMTB@netscape.net>
Message-ID: <3DFCA8A0.8030907@netscape.net>
Source record: 57943
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Cross posted to : rec.radio.amateur.misc and rec.radio.amateur.policy

Greetings,
I am preparing for an extended trip around the US with my family.
I have a 2 channel iCom 5 Watt radio that I can take with me.

I would like one chanel progrmmed to a weather band and the other
prgrammed for use to contact Police/Fire/EMS in case of life threatening
emergency.

Are there established nationwide frequncies I can have this unit
programmed for the presribed purpose?

Thank you for your time.

--
John Gunterman NMBP # 57285
NH Mountain Bike Patrol Coordinator
Seacoast-NEMBA http://www.snemba.org
mailto:NH_MTB_Patrol@attbi.com
KPLT262 151.625MHz. CTCSS 107.2Hz

3704. Re: clueless newbie question.. US nation wide emegency freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 16:53:32 UTC
From: David Robbins <k1ttt@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <tBmdnR5STqAJLmGgXTWc2Q@crocker.com>
Source record: 57944
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"ClydesdaleMTB" <ClydesdaleMTB@netscape.net> wrote in message
news:3DFCA8A0.8030907@netscape.net...
> Cross posted to : rec.radio.amateur.misc and rec.radio.amateur.policy
>
> Greetings,
> I am preparing for an extended trip around the US with my family.
> I have a 2 channel iCom 5 Watt radio that I can take with me.

what kind of radio? i assume from your questions below that it is probably
a vhf high band type.

>
> I would like one chanel progrmmed to a weather band and the other
> prgrammed for use to contact Police/Fire/EMS in case of life threatening
> emergency.
>
> Are there established nationwide frequncies I can have this unit
> programmed for the presribed purpose?

there are at least 4 and i think a few more frequencies in the 162mhz band
that are used by nws broadcasts. they are spread geographically so they
don't interfere with each other. if you want to monitor those pick up a
radio at radio shack that includes alert features.

i know of no nationwide access frequency for police/fire/ems. there are a
variety of frequencies from 30mhz up through 900mhz and probably some higher
now that are used by those services. which ones are used are determined
locally by geographic considerations, licensing, and in many cases budgets.
it is hard enough to make sure that adjacent areas can talk to each other
without coordinating a nationwide system. as far as allowing the general
public to have direct access to those frequencies you won't find that
anywhere, any non authorized use on those public service frequencies is
subject to heavy fines and jail time.

your best bet is a cell phone if you are on major highways or near cities.
beyond that get a satellite phone or subscribe to on-star if you are really
worried about getting out of touch.

>
>
> Thank you for your time.
>
>
> --
> John Gunterman NMBP # 57285

> NH Mountain Bike Patrol Coordinator
> Seacoast-NEMBA http://www.snemba.org
> mailto:NH_MTB_Patrol@attbi.com
> KPLT262 151.625MHz. CTCSS 107.2Hz
>

3705. K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED021215

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 17:10:45 UTC
From: "N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3dfcb5f4$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 57945
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED021215

THE HIDDEN AGENDA OF RILEY HOLLINGSWORTH, K4ZDH

The United States Attorney can indict you AT ANY TIME within the seven year statute of limitations for a felony committed as charged and documented by a Felony Complaint Affidavit, and then he can prosecute you in federal court. He must prove to the jury, beyond a reasonable doubt that: 1) You did interfere with a K1MAN bulletin and 2) that it was your INTENT to interfere with a K1MAN bulletin. Your first witness, FCC Special Counsel Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH, will be of little help when he admits that he encouraged you to commit the felony, since this is ALSO a felony under Sections 333 and 501 of the 1934 Communications act. You can read these statutes at www.K1MAN.com. Scroll to the bottom and click on "Legality of K1MAN Bulletins."

Ask yourself why? Why does Hollingsworth encourage the scum of 14.313 to talk over K1MAN bulletins? In addition to being a felony, this is also against all notions of good amateur practice isn't it? Is He a Moron? Perhaps Riley Hollingsworth was sick on the day they taught law at law school, hi. What is REALLY going on here?

The answer is quite simple. FCC Special Counsel Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH, has a hidden agenda. The immediate effect of his open advice to talk over K1MAN bulletins to the scum of 14.313 is significantly increased bad radio amateur behavior on 20 meters, in front of the whole world. Riley is steadily building up a huge file of amateur radio bad behavior. When he retires from the FCC to face huge college tuition payments for his grand children, he will take this huge amateur radio bad behavior file to the large Washington communications law firm of "Do We Cheat Em and How." "Do We Cheat Em," of course, specializes in delivering valuable amateur radio spectrum (and our collective amateur radio heads) to the wealthy commercial interests on a silver platter. Don't be duped by this corrupt and cheap politician, Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH.

Beware, my fellow Radio Amateurs, Riley Hollingsworth is a wolf in Sheep's clothing. If you are one of those who thinks it's cool to talk over K1MAN bulletins, think again. You are playing into the hands of Rot Riley the Ripper. He wants your spectrum. Don't be a fool and let him continue to insult your intelligence. Just say no to Amateur Radio Ignorance. 73 and good luck, de K1MAN.

--
"The bulletin service is here to stay"- K1MAN

3706. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 17:13:47 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <fL2L9.2116$qA3.279689@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57946

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote

:
: Doesn't mean we "need" them. We don't need to have people jumping off
: of bridges and falling to their deaths to know it's a bad idea.
:

"We have to continually be jumping off cliffs and developing our wings on
the way down."
--KVG

3707. Re: ARNewsline 1322 - Dec 13 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 17:24:59 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <LV2L9.2125$qA3.281555@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57947

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

: a well known and respected Amateur Radio personality

I'll go along with the "well known" part, but "respected" would not be my
personal choice of terms.

YMMV.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

3708. Re: K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED021215

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 17:57:36 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <ko3L9.2147$qA3.286571@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57948
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote

: The United States Attorney can indict you AT ANY TIME within the seven
: year statute of limitations for a felony committed as charged and
: documented by a Felony Complaint Affidavit, and then he can prosecute
: you in federal court. He must prove to the jury, beyond a reasonable
: doubt that: 1) You did interfere with a K1MAN bulletin and 2) that it
: was your INTENT to interfere with a K1MAN bulletin.

There is a lot less to K1MAN than meets the eye!

Amateur-to-amateur radio interference, whether deliberate, accidental, or incidental, doesn't even rise to the level of misdemeanor (let alone a FELONY). At best, it a family squabble.

K1MAN (as usual) is pandering to the ignorant in hopes of impressing them and developing a following of groupies who will then pay $299.00 for a certificate signed by a "Registered Professional Engineer", or maybe apply for one of Baxter's affinity credit cards, or (insert whatever money-grubbing deal he's currently running which strikes your fancy).

Sunuvagun!

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

3709. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 18:21:58 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212151021.7ea2227b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57949

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<vr0ovuose1hqhhh7j8g5o3ml1pcml867o9@4ax.com>...
> On Sat, 14 Dec 2002 17:46:30 -0500, Robert Casey
> <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>
> >N2EY wrote:
>
Actually, WA2ISE wrote the > > stuff

> >I was talking to a co-worker's teenage kid at the company picnic
> >once. He said school wasn't worth the hassle. I said that if he
> >sticks with it, he can get a better paying job later on. "You'll
> >get your payoff, I get paid about 8 times what I'd get flipping
> >burgers, and I stuck thru the school and college thing. The
> >government funds the schools because when you're grown up
> >you'll get better paying jobs and pay more income tax. You win,
> >and they win." That's easier to understand and buy than saying
> >that knowing about Shakespeare and the arts is good for you.

I understood the above from about the third grade.

> True enough, but there is the money we need and desire, and
> there is the real need for a rounded education.

SHOW ME THE MONEY!!!!

> There are way too many
> MBA's who would sell their parents

Heck, some have already done so, indirectly.

> if they thought they could make a
> few bucks, and techno-geeks who fume at having to take those
> unnecessary English, art, and phys-ed calsses that have "no bearing"
> on their field.

Those "no bearing" things are the difference between training and education.

> Part of the problems we have now is the monolithinc
> thinking brought about by only looking at things from that
> perspective.

Like wanting to distill everyhting down to one or two test scores.
> <some snippage>
>
> >> The main problems with public schooling are:
> >>

> >> 1) The public schools have to serve every single student in the
> >> district. Private schools can pick and choose who they accept. This
> >> means the private schools cherry-pick the students with lots of
> >> resources. Private schools can also refuse to accept, and expel at
> >> will any student that doesn't meet their requirements. Public schools
> >> can't.
> >
> >School vouchers are little more than a scam. There's only so many
> >seats in a school. And the private schools are full anyway.

Not all of them, by any means.

> >Vouchers
> >will just make it cheaper for the parents of kids already there, or
> >allow the private schools to raise their rates.

Vouchers will allow some children to have a choice - but only some, not all.

> >And that just drains
> >off money from the public schools. So low income parents get
> >shafted as their kids get even crappier schooling.

Exactly.
>
> Yup, vouchers are stealth welfare.

But not for the neediest.

> I'm amused how the "pay as
> you go crowd" stumbles on this one. Ya want's a private school, you
> should pay as you go to it.

Ayup.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3710. Re: ARNewsline 1322 - Dec 13 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 18:22:19 UTC
From: Ken H <khildbrdt4000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3dfcc84a@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 57950

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:ati86k$6a4$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> Well said. Personally Id like a time machine. That way we could go back
> and throw water on the old man and stop it in its tracks...so to speak.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Good point Dan!

Sorta like when Dorthy threw a bucket of
water on the Wicked Witch of The
West - Ha Ha Ha Ha!

DAN (with bucket in hand: "Take this Baxter....SPLOOOSH!!!"
K1MAN: "..I'mmmm Mellll...tingggg.....but is my transmitter timer still
workinggggg.....????"

3711. Re: K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED021215

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 18:24:47 UTC
From: Ken H <khildbrdt4000@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3dfcc8df@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 58001
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3dfcb5f4$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
> K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED021215
>
> THE HIDDEN AGENDA OF RILEY HOLLINGSWORTH, K4ZDH

There's nothing hidden at all.
Someday R.H. is going to pay
Glen a visit with an Invoice
from the FCC in hand and that will
be the end of his bullshit once and for all.

Case closed.

PFHBLTTTT!!!!

3712. Re: K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED021215

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 18:54:24 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <atij44$2i8$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58002
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Why wait? A brick of C4 does wonders.

Dan/W4NTI

"Ken H" <khildbrdt4000@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3dfcc8df@snipnews.snip.net...
>
> "N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3dfcb5f4$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
> > K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED021215
> >
> > THE HIDDEN AGENDA OF RILEY HOLLINGSWORTH, K4ZDH
>
> There's nothing hidden at all.
> Someday R.H. is going to pay
> Glen a visit with an Invoice
> from the FCC in hand and that will
> be the end of his bullshit once and for all.
>
> Case closed.
>
> PFHBLTTTT!!!!
>
>
>

3713. Re: ARNewsline 1322 - Dec 13 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 18:58:39 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <atijl0qdm1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58003

LOL

Dan/W4NTI

"Ken H" <khildbrdt4000@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3dfcc84a@snipnews.snip.net...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:ati86k$6a4$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Well said. Personally Id like a time machine. That way we could go
back
> > and throw water on the old man and stop it in its tracks...so to speak.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
>
> Good point Dan!
>
> Sorta like when Dorthy threw a bucket of
> water on the Wicked Witch of The
> West - Ha Ha Ha Ha!
>
> DAN (with bucket in hand: "Take this Baxter....SPLOOOSH!!!"
> K1MAN: "..I'mmmm Mellll...tingggg.......but is my transmitter timer still
> workinggggg.......????"
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3714. K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 19:06:39 UTC
From: "N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3dfcd120$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 58005
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I am a little baffled. W1AW has CW and RTTY and SSB buliitens and starts up WITHOUT ever asking if the frequency is in use! I hear NOONE in this newsgroup complain about W1AW or an organized effort to QRM W1AW.

If you look at the K1MAN website - www.k1man.com You will find a statement by Robert H Mc Namara - They fall within the SAME category as W1AW!

The same rules have to applied to both partys. When when did we get wishy-washy and live a life of double standards? I think Bill Clinton taught us that, very well indeed. Mr. Hollingsworth must take his tactics from Clinton. Heck he was appointed under Clinton!

--
Jesus Christ is coming in your lifetime - R.G. Stair
"The bulletin service is here to stay"- K1MAN

3715. Re: K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED021215

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 19:07:17 UTC
From: Racist <"Old Dude"@NoSpam.com>
Message-ID: <atijsb$ri8$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58004
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3dfcb5f4$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
> K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED021215
>
> THE HIDDEN AGENDA OF RILEY HOLLINGSWORTH, K4ZDH
>
>
> The United States Attorney can indict you AT ANY TIME within the seven
> year statute of limitations for a felony committed as charged and
> documented by a Felony Complaint Affidavit, and then he can prosecute
> you in federal court. He must prove to the jury, beyond a reasonable
> doubt that: 1) You did interfere with a K1MAN bulletin and 2) that it
> was your INTENT to interfere with a K1MAN bulletin. Your first
> witness, FCC Special Counsel Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH, will be of
> little help when he admits that he encouraged you to commit the
> felony, since this is ALSO a felony under Sections 333 and 501 of the
> 1934 Communications act. You can read these statutes at
> www.K1MAN.com. Scroll to the bottom and click on "Legality of K1MAN
> Bulletins."
>
> Ask yourself why? Why does Hollingsworth encourage the scum of
> 14.313 to talk over K1MAN bulletins? In addition to being a felony,
> this is also against all notions of good amateur practice isn't it?
> Is He a Moron? Perhaps Riley Hollingsworth was sick on the day they
> taught law at law school, hi. What is REALLY going on here?
>
> The answer is quite simple. FCC Special Counsel Riley Hollingsworth,
> K4ZDH, has a hidden agenda. The immediate effect of his open advice
> to talk over K1MAN bulletins to the scum of 14.313 is significantly
> increased bad radio amateur behavior on 20 meters, in front of the
> whole world. Riley is steadily building up a huge file of amateur
> radio bad behavior. When he retires from the FCC to face huge
> college tuition payments for his grand children, he will take this
> huge amateur radio bad behavior file to the large Washington
> communications law firm of "Do We Cheat Em and How." "Do We Cheat
> Em," of course, specializes in delivering valuable amateur radio
> spectrum (and our collective amateur radio heads) to the wealthy
> commercial interests on a silver platter. Don't be duped by this
> corrupt and cheap politician, Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH.
>
> Beware, my fellow Radio Amateurs, Riley Hollingsworth is a wolf in
> Sheep's clothing. If you are one of those who thinks it's cool to
> talk over K1MAN bulletins, think again. You are playing into the

> hands of Rot Riley the Ripper. He wants your spectrum. Don't be a
> fool and let him continue to insult your intelligence. Just say no
> to Amateur Radio Ignorance. 73 and good luck, de K1MAN.
>
>
> --
> "The bulletin service is here to stay"- K1MAN

Same old Glenn...delusional and full of himself as always. What have you been smoking, Glenn? Getting a tad bit paranoid, are you?
No, Glenn. Riley is not a threat to Ham Radio but goons such as yourself are. You, Glenn, are irresponsible and selfish. You purposely jam ongoing conversations of others with what you consider to be a "broadcast" of some worth.
Here's hoping you lose your Ham ticket in the very near future and your insipid "bulletin service" goes down the toilet where it so richly belongs.

3716. Re: K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED021215

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 19:31:04 UTC
From: Dude <Dude@NoSpam.com>
Message-ID: <atil8tbn0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58006
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:atij44$2i8$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> Why wait? A brick of C4 does wonders.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Ken H" <khildbrdt4000@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3dfcc8df@snipnews.snip.net...
> >
> > "N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:3dfcb5f4$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
> > > K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED021215
> > >
> > > THE HIDDEN AGENDA OF RILEY HOLLINGSWORTH, K4ZDH
> >
> > There's nothing hidden at all.
> > Someday R.H. is going to pay
> > Glen a visit with an Invoice
> > from the FCC in hand and that will
> > be the end of his bullshit once and for all.
> >
> > Case closed.
> >
> > PFHBLTTTT!!!!

Now, now. You boys better stop making fun of Donny's new superhero this way!
It's been a long time since Donny has had somebody to look up to and he gets
upset when you make fun of Glenn.

3717. Re: K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 19:32:34 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3DFCD8D2.5@mailcity.net>
Source record: 58017
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

N0VFP 2.0 wrote:
> I am a little baffled. W1AW has CW and RTTY and SSB buliitens and starts up
> WITHOUT ever asking if the frequency is in use! I hear NOONE in this
> newsgroup complain about W1AW or an organized effort to QRM W1AW.
>
> If you look at the K1MAN website - www.k1man.com You will find a statement
> by Robert H Mc Namara - They fall within the SAME category as W1AW!
>
> The same rules have to applied to both partys. When when did we get
> wishy-washy and live a life of double standards? I think Bill Clinton
> taught us that, very well indeed. Mr. Hollingsworth must take his tactics
> from Clinton. Heck he was appointed under Clinton!

Get a clue stupid, W1AW transmits amateur bulletins that pertain
to amateur radio, all K1MAN has is a talk show no one is
interested in. He is a total ass.

3718. Re: Another Question For Lennie to Ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 19:45:52 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7650w7.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58007

On 14 Dec 2002 21:06:38 -0800, Brian Kelly wrote:

>> The GROL, the only license you possess,
>
>FORMERLY "possssed". Last I heard he even dropped his lameass nocode
>GROL.

All GROLs issued or renewed after 1984 were lifetime licenses. In
1985, all outstanding GROLs with an expiration date were replaced
with a lifetime license and became non-renewable after the one year
trade-in period.

The only way that one "drops" a GROL under that scheme would have been
to not renew a license expiring between 1984 and 1985 or to not have
traded the license expiring after 1984 for a lifetime license in 1985.

Or to mail it back to the Commission saying "here. take it back, I
don't want it". Few, if any, people have taken the latter route.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3719. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 19:45:52 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7660a8.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58008

On 15 Dec 2002 13:36:52 GMT, N2EY wrote:

>>To be honest, though, it strains my credulity to think that the FCC
>>could be *that* forward-looking (and that Machiavellian).
>
>Why? We're talking about the FCC of 50 years ago, not the FCC of
>today or the past couple decades. The 1951 restructuring was done as a
>sort of social engineering exercise....

Remember also that the senior staffers who were involved (Bureau and
Division Chiefs, just below the Commissioner level) were all
old-time hams, well-versed in CW and AM, and who gave a damn about
what ham radio was and its future. IIRC there was one Commissioner
(George Sterling) who was a ham - the last Commissioner who was.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3720. Re: K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED021215

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 19:50:48 UTC
From: Chuck/KE4ETH <chuck_hawks@hotmailNOSPAMORAMA.com>
Message-ID: <Fd6L9.18256$6z5.11450@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 58016
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

You did. And I also

--
73,
Chuck
KE4ETH
USA-NA
Remove the obvious from Email.

"Lloyd Braxilwartenoski" <Lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
news:6275237FF.349782465A@taranews.net...
> On Sun, 15 Dec 2002 11:10:45 -0600, N0VFP 2.0 <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com>
wrote:
> > K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED021215
> >
> > THE HIDDEN AGENDA OF RILEY HOLLINGSWORTH, K4ZDH
>
> Nice try at a troll. I wonder who will bite?

3721. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 20:06:27 UTC
From: lbudney-google@nb.net
Message-ID: <417b917a.0212151206.181bc713@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58009

Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote:
>
> And if teaching doesn't pay that well, a lot of the smarter
> people won't go into it. I had a lot of dumb teachers.

Me too. Me too.

Worse, while finishing my PhD in Math, I taught the teachers. Most of them certainly were dumb. We administered a test which Elementary Ed majors need to pass before getting their teaching certificate. I've been through the test: a bright 6th grader should have no problem with it. It was typical to pass the test on the 4th or 5th try. The teaching students generally described it as "unbelievably hard".

Note, though, that if we suddenly decided to triple teacher pay, we would have a serious problem: the dumb teachers would not be fired; instead they would get triple pay for the same poor job. I'd favor raising their salaries way high, but only if the bar for job entry were also raised way high (and tenure were eliminated). It's sorta like the airport security situation: now they are federal employees, with big pay raises--the same dumb people, that is--and the main effect of federalizing them is that now it's almost impossible to fire one.

Len.

3722. Re: K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 20:49:52 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <atipsktom1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58010
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Are you really as dumb as you sound? Or are you just trying too hard?

Dan/W4NTI

"N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3dfcd120$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
> I am a little baffled. W1AW has CW and RTTY and SSB buliitens and starts
up
> WITHOUT ever asking if the frequency is in use! I hear NOONE in this
> newsgroup complain about W1AW or an organized effort to QRM W1AW.
>
> If you look at the K1MAN website - www.k1man.com You will find a
statement
> by Robert H Mc Namara - They fall within the SAME category as W1AW!
>
> The same rules have to applied to both partys. When when did we get
> wishy-washy and live a life of double standards? I think Bill Clinton
> taught us that, very well indeed. Mr. Hollingsworth must take his
tactics
> from Clinton. Heck he was appointed under Clinton!
>
>
>
>
> --
> Jesus Christ is coming in your lifetime - R.G. Stair
> "The bulletin service is here to stay"- K1MAN
>
>

3723. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 20:51:46 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h769jc9.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58011

On 15 Dec 2002 12:06:27 -0800, Len Budney wrote:

>It's sorta
>like the airport security situation: now they are federal employees,
>with big pay raises--the same dumb people, that is--and the main
>effect of federalizing them is that now it's almost impossible to fire
>one.

As a long-term Federal supervisor (22 years) who had to discharge several prople, I learned first-hand that it is not impossible to discharge an employee for failure to perform. All it takes is LOTS of work on the part of the supervisor - but that's exactly what the supervisor is paid to do. If the supervisor is lazy, s/he is not performning and it becomes his/her manager's problem....and so up the line.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3724. Re: K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED021215

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 20:52:45 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <atiq22$aa8$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58012
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Yeah your right. Poor Donny has so little in his life anymore. Since he
can't 'chat' on 313 anymore he has decided to make GB his 'new' love object.

I guess it is the same for GB too. I heard him say if you want to hear some
technical stuff go listen to Donnie.

Yeah buddy....be right there with note pad in hand.

Dan/W4NTI

"Dude" <Dude@NoSpam.com> wrote in message news:atil8tbn0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:atij44$2i8$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Why wait? A brick of C4 does wonders.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > "Ken H" <khildbrdt4000@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:3dfcc8df@snipnews.snip.net...
> > >
> > > "N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > > news:3dfcb5f4$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
> > > > K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED021215
> > > >
> > > > THE HIDDEN AGENDA OF RILEY HOLLINGSWORTH, K4ZDH
> > >
> > > There's nothing hidden at all.
> > > Someday R.H. is going to pay
> > > Glen a visit with an Invoice
> > > from the FCC in hand and that will
> > > be the end of his bullshit once and for all.
> > >
> > > Case closed.
> > >
> > > PFHBLTTTT!!!!
>
>
> Now, now. You boys better stop making fun of Donny's new superhero this
way!
> It's been a long time since Donny has had somebody to look up to and he
gets
> upset when you make fun of Glenn.
>

>
>
>

3725. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 21:07:49 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021215160749.22260.00000259@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 58014

In article <493363CC6EAF957D.A39A27869BBED325.563F76E062DB0854@lp.airnews.net>,
"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>True, and I agree. I don't believe destiny is a predetermined set of
>pathways that are presented during a lifetime. I do believe that destiny
>is, for lack of better interpretation, much like nature: it's there for us
>to learn from. I think destiny weaves in and out of our lives *BASED UPON*
>the choices we make for ourselves--not that destiny predetermines what
>choices we make. Destiny, to me, is the IF-THEN-ELSE statement in life...

That's a bit too metaphysical, but the logical statement is
relatable to extinction:

IF you don't choose to agree with old conservatives in radio THEN
you will be damned and dishonored one way or another ELSE you
are considered unfit to cohabit the same universe with them...

A logical statement in this newsgroup when up against the ultra-
conservative hard-liners in here. :-)

3726. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 21:07:55 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021215160755.22260.00000260@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 58015

In article <d22b54c3.0212150638.79ce91b5@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
(Brian Kelly) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20021214164813.13161.00000075@mb-fr.aol.com>...
>> In article <d22b54c3.0212140354.56b3526@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com
>> (Brian Kelly) writes:

>I guess I had the same permission slips to take shots of the Bear in
>international waters and airspace that the Bear had to take his shots
>of me. Been awhile see so I forget if it was worked out by State or
>the Pentagon. Maybe the Swiss . . ? Maybe Khrushev sed it was OK? I
>dunno, had to be somebody 'way up there. I guess. Or just maybe nobody
>gave a damn one way or another. Which of course is the real answer.

Mr. Catapult (or is that "catafalque?") gives a mighty large
damn since he is determined to Have His Way despite being
wrong on film and photography. Way too large an EQ
(Exageration Quotient) such as patents, jeep electrical
system voltage, knee keys as standard P-51 pilot comm
gear, and numerous other little gaffes taller than a giraffe.

>Usual Putz duck the point & try to divert maneuver. "Minor technical
>point". Yeah, it's a "minor technical point" Putz. Because anybody who
>knows diddly squat about photography knows that from long before the
>CVA 66 America was launched in 1965 until right now the PX135 series
>of Plus-X films have been ASA 125 films.

Strange that Eastman Kodak would then go to the trouble of
printing film speeds for years on their packages of film and in
their helpful photography books and pamphlets...such as Plus-
X originally rated at 50.

>Any time somebody points out
>another one of your screwups which expose your ignorance of the
>subjects you compulsively rattle on about at boring lengths are "minor
>technical points". What else could they be? PUTZ!

Tsk, tsk, tsk. State the truth as a correction and the
cataphalque tossers come all unglued...

>And by the way those antique bulk packs were junk 35mm movie film, not
>professional still camera film. Explains why I could get rivet-level
>resolutions and you couldn't. Putz.

Eastman Kodak packed "bulk" 35mm as continuous, notched
for leader and tail, as five 36-exposure strips. Edge markings

were also exposed for individual frames, visible after developing. That was not "junk movie film." They came packed in nice metal cans which were also handy as storage cans for many things or shield enclosures for L-C filters and the like.

I've never "been officially assigned as a Bear photographer" so I can't reply to "rivet-level resolutions" at 750 feet. :-) On the radio-electronics side, my photographs printed with a two-part article on a universal regulated power supply (Popular Electronics magazine, 1968) showed the panel meter scales in full detail on 8 x 10 enlargements on DuPont Varigam, using an Exacta VX and 50mm with close-up bellows, Plus-X loaded and tank developed with FR Monobath. Note: DuPont had quit making Varigam by then but enough paper was on hand to print the enlargements; Varigam filters were entirely compatible with Kodak Polycontrast paper on the market to replace Varigam. A little Durst 35mm enlarger was used...it now sits on the garage shelf rather unused due to technology providing digital imagery with far greater ease in use.

>The good news is that the more "expertise" you claim the deeper the
>hole you dig for yourself.

Not at all...except in some spit-shooting contest with a beeper trying to justify some definite non-radio-related BS.

>> Trouble here is that Kellie wants to belabor ancient history
>
>Ya mean like yer 1951 bulk pack Plus-X bullshit?? How ancient is
>THAT??

Five-roll bulk pack 35mm film was available from Eastman in camera stores on up to 1972, both Plus-X and Super-XX.

>Whew . . whatta rant . . will everybody who gives a rat's patooie
>about ANY of the above please raise yer hands?

While 1972 is 30 years ago, November 10th, 2002 is only a month ago. My photo work was published in the Stars & Stripes a month ago, six images (supplied on CD-ROM with many more on the CD) printed in a two-page spread. Has Kellie gotten his "Bear" pictures published anywhere? :-)

Do CATAPULTS have any application to amateur radio? Does "official authorized photography of USSR aircraft" have anything to do with amateur radio policy? Does arguing over film speeds (despite light ratings published widely by manufacturer) have anything to do with amateur radio policy? Answer to all: NO.

Does bragging or exaggeration have anything to do with amateur radio policy? Obviously YES since long-time beepers still insist on the mythology of morse code skill as a "necessary" part of amateur radio...despite the FCC's own words of 12

years ago and today that it is NOT necessary to prove anything
to them in regards to amateur license grants.

>Problem with that one Putz is that I have the stacks of cards and the
>plaques and certificates.

Goodie. Build a shrine to them and worship regularly. Publish
them for all to admire and envy. Or, get a lot of epoxy and glue
them to outside walls of a house...excellent "R" insulation rating
with properly-treated paper and cardboard.

>The RRAP Back Channel has done some "verifying" too, believe it Putz.
>Go ahead, stick yer foot in it. This oughta be good.

Is that something like the Liars Club? :-)

>Uh-huh. Got it Putz. You were involved in the design work of all three
>phases. System design work obviously doesn't happen at test
>facilities. Understood. So the RCA hardware fell outta the design work
>and RCA shipped you to NADC to be an RCA rep for the SECANT job. The
>usual. Now kindly explain why you abrubtly departed NADC after the
>first phase and did not participate in the following two phases.

The "abrupt departure" was explained: A stand-down for year-
end holidays '71-'72; ending the flight tests in '72. Scheduled.
Sorry, Mr. Catafalque, I was indeed in the second and third
phases of SECANT design, doing the RF design. Another
staffer got to go east for the second phase testing...I was also
doing RF design on the RIHANS program and did the entire
design of the AN/ASM-416 test set. The third phase of
SECANT was the pre-production package that used SAW
matched filters for constant-bandwidth, constant time-delay,
made in another RCA division, an 8-channel IF strip and
detector assembly in a very thin planar assembly...front-end
circuitry was fitted wherever possible in a 3/8 ATR case.

>Don't try to bullshit us by coming off with anything like "the testing
>of the RF and IF sections was completed in the first phase". We know
>better than that Putz.

Mr. Catapulting Cataphalque knows absolutely nothing of the
kind. SECANT was technologically controversial to old-time
radio hardliners and required them to review modulation
fundamentals to see how it worked (not unlike the SSB un-
believers in amateurism of the '50s). The physics of it was
solid but the extreme resistance of ultra-conservatives had to
be dispelled by actual hardware and flight tests. There were
two flight tests on SECANT: RCA-funded flight tests over the
Mojave in mid-summer (contracted with a Long Beach company)
and the government-funded flight tests done by NADC. NADC
was also tasked with flight testing of a competitive Minneapolis-
Honeywell system for collision avoidance based on their
existing helicopter station-keeping system. Flight test aircraft

involved an RA-2, C-117, and S-2; the RA-2 was time-shared
with NADC's testing of NAVSTAR, the prototype for today's
GPSS. Flight tests were done within the Philadelphia control
center, Patuxent River Theodolite Range, and one week at
Key West NAS due to inclement weather in PA.

In mid-1975 the DoT abruptly removed all funding for further
flight testing of both RCA and Minneapolis-Honeywell systems,
including hardware support. The reason came out later, that
of internal political, not scientific, government support for ATC
collision avoidance systems to adopt the MIT scheme which
had been flight-tested in two Piper Cherokees. Minny-Honey
and RCA systems had been proven at jet speeds of 450 Kts.
The present-day ATCRBS is a derivative of that original MIT
system and suitable only for air carrier aircraft for detecting
possible collisions with other air carrier aircraft.

>I've been "disbanded" several time Putz, but never in the middle of a
>test series for hardware I designed or helped design. Come clean Putz,
>why weren't you at NADC for Phases 2 & 3?

Those reasons have been explained. I was not an employee of the
government then nor later. NADC was tasked only with flight test
and evaluation of testing...of both corporations' systems...as directed
by the US government agencies involved. There was NO design
done at NADC for either corporations' systems. Phase two involved
some redesign for different data recording (magnetic tape in-flight
recording instead of Phase one's photo theater), data to be
processed by the CDC 60-bit-word mainframe. Phase three was
a package improvement to reduce size and optimize general system
performance with several new technological features (special digital
ICs, SAW filters, etc.) that would be available for a general aviation
version. SECANT worked very well in actual practice, as did the
Minneapolis-Honeywell system; it could come down to a coin-toss
technologically as to picking either one. Other parties within the
US government grabbed the coin and forbade any more testing.

There was exensive documentation on the system, in detail, each
phase. None of that was classified since the testing involved
government funding for all aviation. Documentation is available
from General Electric Company (which bought out RCA), the FAA,
several electronics industry publications. SECANT was not a
little bearing not needing lubrication idea, ace, it had bigger
implications and applications. The same with RIHANS (River
Inland Harbor Area Navigation System). The exception for RIHANS
was that NAVSTAR was still being worked out '73-'74 when
RIHANS was put into hardware and tested in two harbors. Since
NAVSTAR evolved into GPSS and the civilian market provided
GPS receivers, low-cost and near accuracy to military GPS,
RIHANS was aced out at the system level. RIHANS was a bit
too accurate relative to GPS with an error of only a yard all the

way out to the radio horizon (proved in the multipath-rich environment of Galveston harbor). USCG was the site testing coordinator for RIHANS. Again, an unclassified R&D program, extensively documented in detail.

It would be interesting to the technology-interested to discuss some of the other programs I was involved in at RCA, but those are either under corporate trade-secret classification or might still be under government security classification. I can say that my working group evolved a viable all solid-state aircraft radar concept using already-proven sub-systems. Mostly the brain-child of Jim Hall, KD6JG, it would not need a high peak-power magnetron yet would have the same range as the longest-range civil aviation radar, would not need a wide bandwidth in the receiver nor any CRT (replaced with an LCD)...in 1975. All of those features have been adopted, but much much later.

The bottom line is that technological advancements are still happening and that long-time fish-story retellers are still highly resistant to change and demanding of respect for skills that peaked in radio way back in pre-WW2 times.

Film manufacturers have upped their light sensitivity ratings, only to find themselves in close competition with digital cameras and no need at all for any developing darkroom. Long ago manual telegraphy skills were outclassed, outmoded by faster, better, more accurate text transmission systems over radio, wireline, and nearly every other medium of communications. Just the same, some stubborn old men insist on retention of old standards for no logical reason other than their insufferable pride and ego...and insistence on damning all those who won't worship their "prowess."

3727. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 21:07:59 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021215160759.22260.00000261@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 58013

In article <f45722ac.0212141814.2c7a1346@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20021214164806.13161.00000074@mb-fr.aol.com>...
>> In article <f45722ac.0212140622.1004f3d2@posting.google.com>,
>> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>>
>> >lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>> >news:<20021213211802.09874.00000045@mb-ml.aol.com>...
>> >> In article <d22b54c3.0212131559.52afba6d@posting.google.com>,
>> kelly@dvol.com
>> >> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>> >>
>> >> >The black & white shots I took were processed and cataloged by the
>> >> >NAEC photo lab and were date-stamped as are all negs & prints which
>> >> >pass thru gummint photo labs.
>> >>
>> >> Did you have written permission to have your personal film
>> >> processed by a "gummint" photo lab? Remember, according
>> >> to ROI in here, it's "illegal" without written permission.
>> >
>> >Security must have been pretty lax in those days. A civilian on a
>> >military vessel taking photographs while on a "secret mission."
>>
>> It has been "revealed" that a self-described "civilian whore" was
>> acting under "official orders" in taking "authorized, government-
>> developed photographs" yet being able to "keep the negatives"
>> to later impress and astound younger folk...by legendary words.
>>
>> Kellie must be so impressed with himself that he has "forgotten"
>> some very, very basic field-of-view rules of optics in lenses that
>> were known before his existance and still apply today. Yet, all
>> must pay homage to this "veteran" of the "gummint" because of
>> unverified and unverifiable claims of extracurricular "intel" duties.
>>
>> Oddly, all the "claims" have nothing to do with radio, not even
>> touching amateur radio. All must believe the beeperistic BS as
>> "truth" because a long-time amateur licensee supposedly dit it.
>
>Nope. Definitely off topic.

...so was the story of "leaving his hormone-crazed prom date
on her front porch so that he could rush home to operate his
ham rig under the license received earlier." :-)

One has to wonder about his priorities then and now.

>> >No wonder n0dick won't share with us his military experience. In
>> >comparison to Secret Agent Kelly, what would be the point?
>>
>> N-zero-dick supposedly "served two hitches" in the US Army,
>> was "trained in the Army as a broadcast studio technician (at
>> Fort Monmouth)" and hasn't said word one on any Army duty
>> outside of CONUS during any officially-stated war period.
>
>I recall one old timer on here, could have been N0dICK - maybe it was
>GrayJL, making CW testing related to Chicoms overrunning his position.
> Sounds like Korea time frame.

Early Korean War prior to somewhere around 1960 or whenever
the Chinese officers stopped coming to Panmunjom regular
truce meetings. The Korean War truce "talks" have been going
on since July 1953. The Korean War has NOT been "over" for
49 years.

This newsgroup does have some other niceties such as the
not-yet-amateurized Missourian "saving an entire brigade in
Vietnam" apparently solo with a single PRC-25 transceiver.
Neither the AN/PRC-25 nor the PRC-77 replacement have any
"CW" (on-off keyed) capability. They are VHF radios. A
brigade is approximately four battalions and each battalion is
approximately four companies and each company has about
four platoons of 40 each...roughly 2500 men (give or take) in
a brigade...but each platoon would have had a '25 or '77 since
it was a standard radio in the field at that time.

There's still the wondrous tale of the "ex-colonel" whose "son
was behind enemy lines in the Gulf War sending intelligence
data by 'CW'" to prove the machismo of morse. That was
rebutted entirely and the "colonel" sort-of admitted a faux pas
and never showed up in here agan.

>> addition to that, he stated that "the FCC came to him and others
>> at Fort Monmouth so that they could be tested for both amateur
>> and commercial licenses" while in the military service. Even
>> though N-zero-dick couldn't make it through the fourth commercial
>> test element to pass a First Radiotelephone...he is still a self-
>> described "expert" in all of radio, "always ready for an emergency
>> to the point of carrying a manual telegraph key in his car."
>
>Ahem, except the time Hans invited him for a CW qso. "...too far away
>from the big house radio and commercial power." He must have one of
>those forklift radios with a vibrator power supply.

We may have to cut him some slack...he might have been hauling
away that massive Missouri CW net equipment used with the
MO highway patrol, now declared surplus. There was a lot of it
according to this champion of the "most basic skill in all of radio."

"CW was used in national police networks on up to 1960s!"

>> Do you really think that ANY government would take such
>> individuals seriously for ANY sort of intelligence work?!? :-)
>
>You'd be amazed. The police use runny nosed drug addicts as
>informants all the time.

True. I stand corrected. Mr. Catapult (catafalque?) was
accepted as authorized official Bear photographer by the USN.
According to him, that is. "He has the negatives!" :-)

3728. Re: K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED021215

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 21:41:46 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021215164146.25738.00000043@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 58019

In article <ko3L9.2147$qA3.286571@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:

>K1MAN (as usual) is pandering to the ignorant in hopes of impressing them
>and developing a following of groupies who will then pay $299.00 for a
>certificate signed by a "Registered Professional Engineer", or maybe apply
>for one of Baxter's affinity credit cards, or (insert whatever
>money-grubbing deal he's currently running which strikes your fancy).

...is that anything like a "diamond club?" :-)

3729. Re: Another Question For Lennie to Ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 21:41:52 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021215164152.25738.00000044@mb-bh.aol.com>
Source record: 58018

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.h7650w7.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>On 14 Dec 2002 21:06:38 -0800, Brian Kelly wrote:
>
>>> The GROL, the only license you possess,
>>
>>FORMERLY "possssed". Last I heard he even dropped his lameass nocode
>>GROL.
>
> All GROLs issued or renewed after 1984 were lifetime licenses. In
> 1985, all outstanding GROLs with an expiration date were replaced
> with a lifetime license and became non-renewable after the one year
> trade-in period.
>
> The only way that one "drops" a GROL under that scheme would have been
> to not renew a license expiring between 1984 and 1985 or to not have
> traded the license expiring after 1984 for a lifetime license in 1985.
>
> Or to mail it back to the Commission saying "here. take it back, I
> don't want it". Few, if any, people have taken the latter route.

Sigh...some righteous, ultra-conservative, ego-triumphant
long-timers just can't read English as she are wrote.

I never tested for any "GROL." My First Class Radiotelephone
(Commercial) Operator License was tested for and granted in
1956. It was renewed regularly, then the FCC dropped the
'phone classes to a single General Radiotelephone. I still keep
mine in a safety-deposit box, taking it out only to scan it so
that some cry-baby long-timers can refuse to accept it in
e-mail. :-)

Keeping a certificate in a safety deposit box is VIRTUALLY
the same as RETIRING it. Radiotelephone licenses are nice
keepsakes for some, I suppose, but times change and they
aren't much needed in the larger world of today's radio.

In retrospect, I should have worn just my shower clogs in
early March when I rode 90 miles on a train into Chicago and
the nearest FCC field office to me in 1956. There wasn't any
snow that day and the northern Illinois land is rather flat. It
wasn't the same as walking barefoot uphill both ways in the
snow to take an amateur test...but then Chicago streets and
sidewalks weren't all that sanitary in those days.

I just wore shoes and socks plus normal winter clothing. I
just don't measure up to mighty macho morsemen amateurs.
:-)

3730. Re: K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 22:08:14 UTC
From: "Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org>
Message-ID: <atiudi01lbk@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 58023
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Could it be . . . . could it be . . . now this is just a guess . . . but . . . could it be that W1AW is broadcasting bulletins and code practice that are of benefit and interest to radio amateurs in general and W1MAN is broadcasting personal bullshit.

Once upon a time the USofA was a nation in which mutual respect and decency were the rule. Now, mutual respect and decency are the exception. This is why such dolts as W1MAN are given a free ride -- that is, given a free ride by people just like him. He performs no useful purpose, W1AW does.

But, then, this nation has turned to an ethic that says "I'll do my thing and the rest of you can kiss my ass."

Sad.

--

Regards,
Joe S.

"N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3dfcd120$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
> I am a little baffled. W1AW has CW and RTTY and SSB buliitens and starts
up
> WITHOUT ever asking if the frequency is in use! I hear NOONE in this
> newsgroup complain about W1AW or an organized effort to QRM W1AW.
>
> If you look at the K1MAN website - www.k1man.com You will find a
statement
> by Robert H Mc Namara - They fall within the SAME category as W1AW!
>
> The same rules have to applied to both partys. When when did we get
> wishy-washy and live a life of double standards? I think Bill Clinton
> taught us that, very well indeed. Mr. Hollingsworth must take his
tactics
> from Clinton. Heck he was appointed under Clinton!
>
>
>
>
> --
> Jesus Christ is coming in your lifetime - R.G. Stair
> "The bulletin service is here to stay"- K1MAN
>

>

3731. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 22:08:56 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <74vpvukb4icm1tmg79vk3rt0u0jaesfgth@4ax.com>
Source record: 58020

On 15 Dec 2002 10:21:58 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote:

>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<vr0ovuose1hqhhh7j8g5o3ml1pcml867o9@4ax.com>...

>SHOW ME THE MONEY!!!!
>
>> There are way too many
>> MBA's who would sell their parents
>
>Heck, some have already done so, indirectly.

Had to think about that for a second, Jim. Yup. AAMOF, the
recent tax cuts were a form of just that. Where do people think they
came from?

>> Part of the problems we have now is the monolithinc
>> thinking brought about by only looking at things from that
>> perspective.
>
>Like wanting to distill everyhting down to one or two test scores.

Tyranny of the test-takers! heh, heh.

>>
>> Yup, vouchers are stealth welfare.
>
>But not for the neediest.

Now we get around to why public schooling was invented in the
first place.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3732. CORRECTION: Re: K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 22:19:53 UTC
From: "Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org>
Message-ID: <ativ3g01mmd@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 58024
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Of course, my references to W1MAN should be K1MAN - - - my apologies to
W1MAN, who is likely a fine gentleman.

Something that K1MAN will never be.

--

Regards,
Joe S.

"Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org> wrote in message
news:atiudi01lbk@enews2.newsguy.com...
> Could it be . . . . could it be . . . now this is just a guess . . . but
.
> . . could it be that W1AW is broadcasting bulletins and code practice that
> are of benefit and interest to radio amateurs in general and W1MAN is
> broadcasting personal bullshit.
>
> Once upon a time the USofA was a nation in which mutual respect and
decency
> were the rule. Now, mutual respect and decency are the exception. This
is
> why such dolts as W1MAN are given a free ride -- that is, given a free
ride
> by people just like him. He performs no useful purpose, W1AW does.
>
> But, then, this nation has turned to an ethic that says "I'll do my thing
> and the rest of you can kiss my ass."
>
> Sad.
>
> --
>
> ------
> Regards,
> Joe S.
>
>
> "N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3dfcd120$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
> > I am a little baffled. W1AW has CW and RTTY and SSB buliitens and
starts
> up

> > WITHOUT ever asking if the frequency is in use! I hear NOONE in this
> > newsgroup complain about W1AW or an organized effort to QRM W1AW.
> >
> > If you look at the K1MAN website - www.k1man.com You will find a
> statement
> > by Robert H Mc Namara - They fall within the SAME category as W1AW!
> >
> > The same rules have to applied to both partys. When when did we get
> > wishy-washy and live a life of double standards? I think Bill Clinton
> > taught us that, very well indeed. Mr. Hollingsworth must take his
> tactics
> > from Clinton. Heck he was appointed under Clinton!
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> > Jesus Christ is coming in your lifetime - R.G. Stair
> > "The bulletin service is here to stay"- K1MAN
> >
> >
>
>

3733. Re: K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 22:33:36 UTC
From: "N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3dfd01a1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 58021
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:atipsktom1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> Are you really as dumb as you sound? Or are you just trying too hard?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>

You've never embraced Logic, have you?

--
Jesus Christ is coming in your lifetime - R.G. Stair
"The bulletin service is here to stay"- K1MAN

3734. Re: K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 22:34:37 UTC
From: "N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3dfd01de_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 58022
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
news:3DFCD8D2.5@mailcity.net...
>
>> Get a clue stupid, W1AW transmits amateur bulletins that pertain
> to amateur radio, all K1MAN has is a talk show no one is
> interested in. He is a total ass.
>

You get a clue, dummy. Robert H Mc Namara has stated that Glenn has equal
status.

--
Jesus Christ is coming in your lifetime - R.G. Stair
"The bulletin service is here to stay"- K1MAN

3735. Re: Another Question For Lennie to Ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 22:36:03 UTC
From: "N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3dfd0234$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 58025

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021215084148.12383.00000064@mb-df.aol.com...
>
> Good thing my career in Nursing is secure, eh...?!?!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

Your not one of the limp-wristed ones, are you?

--
Jesus Christ is coming in your lifetime - R.G. Stair
"The bulletin service is here to stay"- K1MAN

3736. Re: CORRECTION: Re: K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 22:38:52 UTC
From: "N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3dfd02de_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com>
Source record: 58026
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org> wrote in message
news:ativ3g01mmd@enews2.newsguy.com...
> Of course, my references to W1MAN should be K1MAN - - - my apologies to
> W1MAN, who is likely a fine gentleman.
>
> Something that K1MAN will never be.
>
> --
>
> ------
> Regards,
> Joe S.
>
>
>

FOAD!

--
The Better Amateur Radio Federation
Ham Radio for Straight, White, Americans!

3737. Re: Another Question For Lennie to Ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 22:47:14 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021215174714.27765.00000065@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 58027

>Subject: Re: Another Question For Lennie to Ignore
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 12/15/02 3:41 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021215164152.25738.00000044@mb-bh.aol.com>

>> The only way that one "drops" a GROL under that scheme would have been
>> to not renew a license expiring between 1984 and 1985 or to not have
>> traded the license expiring after 1984 for a lifetime license in 1985.
>>
>> Or to mail it back to the Commission saying "here. take it back, I
>> don't want it". Few, if any, people have taken the latter route.
>
> Sigh...some righteous, ultra-conservative, ego-triumphant
> long-timers just can't read English as she are wrote.

Phil missed the first go at this and Lennie is doing his best to make sure
he doesn't see it.

Phil, Lennie announce to the Newsgroup some two Octobers ago that he was
allowing his GROL to "expire", thereby divesting himself of all FCC licenses.

He got his nose rubbed in his own errors (again) and he still seems to be
embarrassed over tripping himself up (again).

>I still keep
> mine in a safety-deposit box, taking it out only to scan it so
> that some cry-baby long-timers can refuse to accept it in
> e-mail.

You lost your chance to be respected and trusted when you violated that
trust by using the opportunity to mail an obscene gesture in lieu of what you
promised to send.

You allege to be an "engineering" professional. You demonstrated
otherwise.

>Keeping a certificate in a safety deposit box is VIRTUALLY
> the same as RETIRING it.

No, it's not. It's good for life (YOUR life) except under the
circumstances that I, Jim Miccolis, Phil Kane and others have pointed out.
Revocation or suspension for cause or submission for cancellation are the only
ways to "retire" a license. As far as the FCC's concerned, if you still have a
pulse, that license is "valid".

>Radiotelephone licenses are nice
> keepsakes for some, I suppose, but times change and they

> aren't much needed in the larger world of today's radio.

Then get a big red marker, write "CANCEL" across the front and send it to Gettysburg.

>I just wore shoes and socks plus normal winter clothing. I
> just don't measure up to mighty macho morsemen amateurs.

I doubt you measure up to anyone, Your Lyingness.

>In retrospect, I should have worn just my shower clogs in
> early March when I rode 90 miles on a train into Chicago and
> the nearest FCC field office to me in 1956.

That's a scary picture, but not unexpected.

Now, Lennie, how about answering the question that started the thread...WHAT radio license issued by the Federal Communications Commission offers the same access to the wide breadth and scope of electromagnetic spectrum as an Amateur Radio license?

Hmmmmmmmmm....?!?!?!?!

3738. Re: Another Question For Lennie to Ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 22:49:16 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h76e4wb.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58028

On 15 Dec 2002 21:41:52 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:

> It [P1 license] was renewed regularly, then the FCC dropped the
> 'phone classes to a single General Radiotelephone.

Not really - only the First and Second Class Radiotelephone Operator Licenses were concatenated into the single GROL. The "Third" was renamed the Marine Radio Operator Permit (required on certain small passenger-carrying vessels) and the "Third with a Broadcast Endorsement" was dropped entirely. The Restricted Radiotelephone Operator Permit still exists - required for international aircraft radio and certain marine radio operation. The Commission added two other licenses for the required Global Marine Distress and Safety System radio equipment (a digital data and SSB voice system replacing the old MF/CW 500 kHz distress system with its venerable _SOS_) on ocean-going vessels - the GMDSS Operator Permit, counterpart of the Marine Radio Operator Permit, and the GMDSS Maintainer License, counterpart of the GROL.

> Keeping a certificate in a safety deposit box is VIRTUALLY
> the same as RETIRING it.

No different from hanging it on the office wall.....

> Radiotelephone licenses are nice
> keepsakes for some, I suppose, but times change and they
> aren't much needed in the larger world of today's radio.

Unless you are servicing marine or aircraft radios. The State of California Radio Shop still requires the GROL for their service techs because the job includes assignability to service such transmitters licensed to the State.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3739. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 22:51:41 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021215175141.27765.00000066@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 58029

>Subject: Re: 34 Years Ago Today
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 12/15/02 3:07 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021215160759.22260.00000261@mb-md.aol.com>

>One has to wonder about his priorities then and now.

Coming from a person who spends countless hours in a Newsgroup dedicated
to an avocation that he has no vested interest in, this is FUNNY!

>This newsgroup does have some other niceties such as the
> not-yet-amateurized Missourian...(SNIP)

Ahhhh...but he IS licensed. He came in unlicensed, asked questions,
participated AND got his license...UNLIKE some people we know!

Time to "put up", Lennie, since you won't "shut up", and get your license.

3740. Re: Another Question For Lennie to Ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 23:15:45 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212151515.1429fd2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58030

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:<cuvyxnansvbet.h7650w7.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>...
> On 14 Dec 2002 21:06:38 -0800, Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> >> The GROL, the only license you possess,
> > >
> > >FORMERLY "possssed". Last I heard he even dropped his lameass nocode
> > >GROL.
>
> All GROLs issued or renewed after 1984 were lifetime licenses. In
> 1985, all outstanding GROLs with an expiration date were replaced
> with a lifetime license and became non-renewable after the one year
> trade-in period.
>
> The only way that one "drops" a GROL under that scheme would have been
> to not renew a license expiring between 1984 and 1985 or to not have
> traded the license expiring after 1984 for a lifetime license in 1985.
>
> Or to mail it back to the Commission saying "here. take it back, I
> don't want it". Few, if any, people have taken the latter route.

Ya get lost in his "dazzling" diatribes . . he apparently had an old
First Phone 'way back, I'm clueless wrt to how those were converted
into GROLs but he probably had an early version then renewals which no
doubt did have expiration dates. Many many moons ago he stated that he
dropped it. Beats me. No doubt he'll pop up here and dump in another
half-megabyte dazzler and straighten us all out. Again.

>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

b.

3741. Re: K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 23:36:10 UTC
From: Oris <orisnospam@dirtball.com>
Message-ID: <3DFD11B4.5070706@dirtball.com>
Source record: 58031
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I agree. I might not like K1MAN taking up space in the voice part of the
spectrum. However I do believe that he has the same rights as the ARRL.
So if he has to stop then the ARRL has to stop too. They used to use
the argument that it was for code practace. Which is fine but they use
other digtal modes too. With code becoming a thing of the past the FCC
needs to open up that part of the spectrum for voice operation and this
would make more room for the K1MAN newcasts.
73 and
Happy Holidays

Oris
http://www.dirtball.com

N0VFP 2.0 wrote:

>I am a little baffled. W1AW has CW and RTTY and SSB buliitens and starts up
>WITHOUT ever asking if the frequency is in use! I hear NOONE in this
>newsgroup complain about W1AW or an organized effort to QRM W1AW.
>
>If you look at the K1MAN website - www.k1man.com You will find a statement
>by Robert H Mc Namara - They fall within the SAME category as W1AW!
>
>The same rules have to applied to both partys. When when did we get
>wishy-washy and live a life of double standards? I think Bill Clinton
>taught us that, very well indeed. Mr. Hollingsworth must take his tactics
>from Clinton. Heck he was appointed under Clinton!
>
>
>
>
>--
>Jesus Christ is coming in your lifetime - R.G. Stair
>"The bulletin service is here to stay"- K1MAN
>
>
>
>

3742. Re: k1man jamming again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 23:38:45 UTC
From: Oris <orisnospam@dirtball.com>
Message-ID: <3DFD124E.70404@dirtball.com>
Source record: 58032
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

The reason why they don't stop K1MAN is the fact that if they where to
stop him they would have to stop the ARRL too!
73 and happy holidays
Oris
http://www.dirtball.com

Chili wrote:

>"N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:3dfb8dc3$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
>
>
>>Yes, you are very pitiful. Right now, ND8V is on 14.275, just complaining
>>about K1MAN.
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>"size 46 pants" <fatasshamop69@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>>news:3dfb7980@snipnews.snip.net...
>>
>>
>>>Who's those unconsiderate hams jamming k1man
>>>on 14.275 as I type this! How ineffective, hey guys
>>>you'se gots to crank up the power more, ole Baxter
>>>Boy's obsolete transmitter is splatterin 3 kc's either
>>>side and sounds like he's got his Henry runnin illegal power.
>>>You just ain't cuttin it boys, TURN UP THE POWER
>>>VOLUME and you'll obscure the RF from Maine
>>>a little bit better. This barefoot jap crap just ain't doin
>>>the job O-Tay? :) :) :) :)
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>
>Big-headed Baxter is jamming again. The trouble is he uses his "broadcast"
>to make personal attacks upon Riley and other Hams who have displeased him.
>This fat head rectal cavity should have his license pulled for malicious
>interference as has been done to several others.
>Why has this not happened? Riley? Huh?
>
>
>

>
>
>
>
>
>
>

3743. Re: K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED021215

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 23:44:14 UTC
From: Oris <orisnospam@dirtball.com>
Message-ID: <3DFD1398.4080603@dirtball.com>
Source record: 58033
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Well the first thing that is said here is wrong There is no statute of limitations for a felony. The only thing that can save a person from a felony charge other then being innocent is a governors amunity or after conviction a pardon.
73 Oris
http://www.dirtball.com

N0VFP 2.0 wrote:

>K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED021215
>
>THE HIDDEN AGENDA OF RILEY HOLLINGSWORTH, K4ZDH
>
>
>The United States Attorney can indict you AT ANY TIME within the seven
>year statute of limitations for a felony committed as charged and
>documented by a Felony Complaint Affidavit, and then he can prosecute
>you in federal court. He must prove to the jury, beyond a reasonable
>doubt that: 1) You did interfere with a K1MAN bulletin and 2) that it
>was your INTENT to interfere with a K1MAN bulletin. Your first
>witness, FCC Special Counsel Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH, will be of
>little help when he admits that he encouraged you to commit the
>felony, since this is ALSO a felony under Sections 333 and 501 of the
>1934 Communications act. You can read these statutes at
>www.K1MAN.com. Scroll to the bottom and click on "Legality of K1MAN
>Bulletins."
>
>Ask yourself why? Why does Hollingsworth encourage the scum of
>14.313 to talk over K1MAN bulletins? In addition to being a felony,
>this is also against all notions of good amateur practice isn't it?
>Is He a Moron? Perhaps Riley Hollingsworth was sick on the day they
>taught law at law school, hi. What is REALLY going on here?
>
>The answer is quite simple. FCC Special Counsel Riley Hollingsworth,
>K4ZDH, has a hidden agenda. The immediate effect of his open advice
>to talk over K1MAN bulletins to the scum of 14.313 is significantly
>increased bad radio amateur behavior on 20 meters, in front of the
>whole world. Riley is steadily building up a huge file of amateur
>radio bad behavior. When he retires from the FCC to face huge
>college tuition payments for his grand children, he will take this
>huge amateur radio bad behavior file to the large Washington
>communications law firm of "Do We Cheat Em and How." "Do We Cheat
>Em," of course, specializes in delivering valuable amateur radio
>spectrum (and our collective amateur radio heads) to the wealthy

>commercial interests on a silver platter. Don't be duped by this
>corrupt and cheap politician, Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH.
>
>Beware, my fellow Radio Amateurs, Riley Hollingsworth is a wolf in
>Sheep's clothing. If you are one of those who thinks it's cool to
>talk over K1MAN bulletins, think again. You are playing into the
>hands of Rot Riley the Ripper. He wants your spectrum. Don't be a
>fool and let him continue to insult your intelligence. Just say no
>to Amateur Radio Ignorance. 73 and good luck, de K1MAN.
>
>
>--
>"The bulletin service is here to stay"- K1MAN
>
>
>
>

3744. Re: K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED021215

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-15 23:58:30 UTC
From: K�HB <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <GG8L9.2944$jN4.335722@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58034

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote

:
: ...is that anything like a "diamond club?"
:

No.

73, de Hans, K0HB

3745. Serious crisis in the URE (Spanish RadioHam Society)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 00:01:00 UTC
From: otromas@lared.zzn.com
Message-ID: <bc08f00c.0212151601.5d1dfcdd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58035
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur,es.rec.radio.amateur

Last week the Chairman-EA1RF and the ViceChairman-EA2NO of the URE (Union de Radioaficionados Espaï¿½oles ~ Spanish Radioamateur Society) have resigned.

This contribute to aggravate the chaotic economic situation of this Society.

A great majority of members demanded a long time ago responsibilities to these Board of Chairman and studying to do a censorship motion to substitut the Chairman.

Before that censorship motion, the President has taken the door and has left fleeing, anticipating his probable defeat. Simultaneously, the Vice-Chairman has done the same. Of temporary form, the functions will have to be made by the Secretary-EA1QF, who is known as a non-operative man in the management of any type of activity.

If the URE had a very doubtful future, now it has it still more complicated:
-A load of debts with banks (more than 90.000 euro).
-An important reduction of members (at the moment only about 10,000 members).
-An high increase of the quotas (20 % for the 2003)

In addition to all that, last summer, the Board of Chairman eliminated diverse basic services for the members, decision very badly welcomed by the social mass.

According to some comments in the spanish radio forums, a great number of members is not going to pay the annual quota, because they doubt that the collected money can reach to cover the ordinary necessities of the association, and it is probable that shortly the New Board of Chairman decides to put an extraordinary quota to be able to support the numerous expenses of an association that maintains infrastructures to take care of about 25,000 members.

It is possible that we be attending to the final of a founded Association more than 50 years ago with a great illusion by a group of radiohams, and how in the last 10 years has seen how by a bad management she is destroying itself.

3746. Re: Another Question For Lennie to Ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 00:37:19 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212151637.76e41fcf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58036

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021215084148.12383.00000064@mb-df.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Another Question For Lennie to Ignore
> >From: kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly)
> >Date: 12/14/02 11:06 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <d22b54c3.0212142106.15fc9077@posting.google.com>
>
> >You prolly won't hear nothin' from him, he's all twisted around his
> >axle still trying to remember how to load 1951 Plus-X into his Kodak
> >Brownie Hawkeye 135mm Proper Bear shooter camera.
>
> Oh, I KNOW we won't hear anything...at least until he has a chance to
> wallow through Google to find SOMEthing that he can use as an excuse.
>
> Of course anyone with any knowledge of present FCC licenses and grants
> KNOWS that there is no such license that grants the breadth and freedom that an
> Amatuer license grants, and no, THEY don't have to have an Amateur license to
> know that.

I submit that we've been working in the wrong frame of reference
around here. WE'RE the pros in this biz and without a ham license HE'S
the amateur.

>
> Pity the fool of Larnark Drive
> Who rants and raves but takes a dive
> That never could make himself understand
> There was fun and friends waiting on the Amateur Bands
>
> He bumbled his way through a career unfulfilled
> Though taking credit for others he was suitably skilled
> Too snobby and haughty was Lennie the Putz
> To take an Amateur Exam and join the rest of us.
>
> So now his days wane and his end draws near
> And all he can do is listen on non-radiating old gear
> As the radio world moves on without his consent
> We're all please to "C-Q" while Lennie gets bent.

Magnificent, simply magnificent! RRAP now has it's Poet Laureate!

Bwaaaahahahahaha!

>
> Good thing my career in Nursing is secure, eh...?!?!

Actually . . . . yeah.

I'm a poet.
My feet show it.
They're longfellows.

Ug.

>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

w3rv

3747. Re: K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 00:51:52 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <atj83dhma1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58037
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

You know something Joe? I think you have a grip on the situation. Wonder when the rest of them are gonna figure it out?

Dan/W4NTI

"Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org> wrote in message news:atiudi01lbk@enews2.newsguy.com...
> Could it be . . . . could it be . . . now this is just a guess . . . but .
> . . could it be that W1AW is broadcasting bulletins and code practice that
> are of benefit and interest to radio amateurs in general and W1MAN is
> broadcasting personal bullshit.
>
> Once upon a time the USofA was a nation in which mutual respect and decency
> were the rule. Now, mutual respect and decency are the exception. This is
> why such dolts as W1MAN are given a free ride -- that is, given a free ride
> by people just like him. He performs no useful purpose, W1AW does.
>
> But, then, this nation has turned to an ethic that says "I'll do my thing
> and the rest of you can kiss my ass."
>
> Sad.
>
> --
>
> ------
> Regards,
> Joe S.
>
>
> "N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3dfcd120$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
> > I am a little baffled. W1AW has CW and RTTY and SSB buliitens and starts
> up
> > WITHOUT ever asking if the frequency is in use! I hear NOONE in this
> > newsgroup complain about W1AW or an organized effort to QRM W1AW.
> >
> > If you look at the K1MAN website - www.k1man.com You will find a
> statement
> > by Robert H Mc Namara - They fall within the SAME category as W1AW!

> >
> > The same rules have to applied to both partys. When when did we get
> > wishy-washy and live a life of double standards? I think Bill Clinton
> > taught us that, very well indeed. Mr. Hollingsworth must take his
> tactics
> > from Clinton. Heck he was appointed under Clinton!
> >
> >
> >
> >
> > --
> > Jesus Christ is coming in your lifetime - R.G. Stair
> > "The bulletin service is here to stay"- K1MAN
> >
> >
>
>

3748. Re: CORRECTION: Re: K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 00:52:25 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <atj84e$d9$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58038
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

We knew that....at least those with a brain did.

Dan/W4NTI

"Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org> wrote in message
news:ativ3g01mmd@enews2.newsguy.com...
> Of course, my references to W1MAN should be K1MAN - - - my apologies to
> W1MAN, who is likely a fine gentleman.
>
> Something that K1MAN will never be.
>
> --
>
> ------
> Regards,
> Joe S.
>
>
> "Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org> wrote in message
> news:atiudi01lbk@enews2.newsguy.com...
> > Could it be . . . . could it be . . . now this is just a guess . . . but
> .
> > . . could it be that W1AW is broadcasting bulletins and code practice
that
> > are of benefit and interest to radio amateurs in general and W1MAN is
> > broadcasting personal bullshit.
> >
> > Once upon a time the USofA was a nation in which mutual respect and
> decency
> > were the rule. Now, mutual respect and decency are the exception. This
> is
> > why such dolts as W1MAN are given a free ride -- that is, given a free
> ride
> > by people just like him. He performs no useful purpose, W1AW does.
> >
> > But, then, this nation has turned to an ethic that says "I'll do my
thing
> > and the rest of you can kiss my ass."
> >
> > Sad.
> >
> > --
> >
> > ------

> > Regards,
> > Joe S.
> >
> >
> > "N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:3dfcd120$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
> > > I am a little baffled. W1AW has CW and RTTY and SSB buliitens and
> starts
> > up
> > > WITHOUT ever asking if the frequency is in use! I hear NOONE in this
> > > newsgroup complain about W1AW or an organized effort to QRM W1AW.
> > >
> > > If you look at the K1MAN website - www.k1man.com You will find a
> > statement
> > > by Robert H Mc Namara - They fall within the SAME category as W1AW!
> > >
> > > The same rules have to applied to both partys. When when did we get
> > > wishy-washy and live a life of double standards? I think Bill Clinton
> > > taught us that, very well indeed. Mr. Hollingsworth must take his
> > tactics
> > > from Clinton. Heck he was appointed under Clinton!
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > --
> > > Jesus Christ is coming in your lifetime - R.G. Stair
> > > "The bulletin service is here to stay"- K1MAN
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
>
>

3749. Re: K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 00:53:22 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <atj8f4$4m5$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58039
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Of course I have....you obviously have difficulty recognizing it tho.

Dan/W4NTI

"N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3dfd01a1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:atipsktom1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Are you really as dumb as you sound? Or are you just trying too hard?
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> You've never embraced Logic, have you?
>
>
> --
> Jesus Christ is coming in your lifetime - R.G. Stair
> "The bulletin service is here to stay"- K1MAN
>
>
>
>

3750. Re: K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 00:54:17 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <atj87t$94b$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58040
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Robert H. McNamara is history...long gone. We are dealing with the
'present' FCC. Pay attention.

Dan/W4NTI

"N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3dfd01de_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
>
> "JJ" <radiobuff0@mailcity.net> wrote in message
> news:3DFCD8D2.5@mailcity.net...
> >
> >> Get a clue stupid, W1AW transmits amateur bulletins that pertain
> > to amateur radio, all K1MAN has is a talk show no one is
> > interested in. He is a total ass.
> >
>
> You get a clue, dummy. Robert H Mc Namara has stated that Glenn has
equal
> status.
>
>
> --
> Jesus Christ is coming in your lifetime - R.G. Stair
> "The bulletin service is here to stay"- K1MAN
>
>

3751. Re: K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 00:55:10 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <atj8ig$k2n$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58041
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

One thing about ole Oris....he never allows common sense to bother him a
bit.

Dan/W4NTI

"Oris" <orisnospam@dirtball.com> wrote in message
news:3DFD11B4.5070706@dirtball.com...
> I agree. I might not like K1MAN taking up space in the voice part of the
> spectrum. However I do believe that he has the same rights as the ARRL.
> So if he has to stop then the ARRL has to stop too. They used to use
> the argument that it was for code practace. Which is fine but they use
> other digtal modes too. With code becoming a thing of the past the FCC
> needs to open up that part of the spectrum for voice operation and this
> would make more room for the K1MAN newcasts.
> 73 and
> Happy Holidays
>
> Oris
> http://www.dirtball.com
>
>
> N0VFP 2.0 wrote:
>
> >I am a little baffled. W1AW has CW and RTTY and SSB buliitens and starts
up
> >WITHOUT ever asking if the frequency is in use! I hear NOONE in this
> >newsgroup complain about W1AW or an organized effort to QRM W1AW.
> >
> >If you look at the K1MAN website - www.k1man.com You will find a
statement
> >by Robert H Mc Namara - They fall within the SAME category as W1AW!
> >
> >The same rules have to applied to both partys. When when did we get
> >wishy-washy and live a life of double standards? I think Bill Clinton
> >taught us that, very well indeed. Mr. Hollingsworth must take his
tactics
> >from Clinton. Heck he was appointed under Clinton!
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >--
> >Jesus Christ is coming in your lifetime - R.G. Stair
> >"The bulletin service is here to stay"- K1MAN

> >
> >
> >
> >
>

3752. Re: K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED021215

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 00:56:31 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <atj8c4gjg1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58042
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Oris....

Perhaps it would be better if you stick with what you know....nothing.

Dan/W4NTI

"Oris" <orisnospam@dirtball.com> wrote in message
news:3DFD1398.4080603@dirtball.com...
> Well the first thing that is said here is wrong There is no statute of
> limitations for a felony. The only thing that can save a person from a
> felony charge other then being innocent is a governors amunity or after
> conviction a pardon.
> 73 Oris
> http://www.dirtball.com
>
> N0VFP 2.0 wrote:
>
> >K1MAN EDITORIAL - ED021215
> >
> >THE HIDDEN AGENDA OF RILEY HOLLINGSWORTH, K4ZDH
> >
> >
> >The United States Attorney can indict you AT ANY TIME within the seven
> >year statute of limitations for a felony committed as charged and
> >documented by a Felony Complaint Affidavit, and then he can prosecute
> >you in federal court. He must prove to the jury, beyond a reasonable
> >doubt that: 1) You did interfere with a K1MAN bulletin and 2) that it
> >was your INTENT to interfere with a K1MAN bulletin. Your first
> >witness, FCC Special Counsel Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH, will be of
> >little help when he admits that he encouraged you to commit the
> >felony, since this is ALSO a felony under Sections 333 and 501 of the
> >1934 Communications act. You can read these statutes at
> >www.K1MAN.com. Scroll to the bottom and click on "Legality of K1MAN
> >Bulletins."
> >
> >Ask yourself why? Why does Hollingsworth encourage the scum of
> >14.313 to talk over K1MAN bulletins? In addition to being a felony,
> >this is also against all notions of good amateur practice isn't it?
> >Is He a Moron? Perhaps Riley Hollingsworth was sick on the day they
> >taught law at law school, hi. What is REALLY going on here?
> >
> >The answer is quite simple. FCC Special Counsel Riley Hollingsworth,
> >K4ZDH, has a hidden agenda. The immediate effect of his open advice
> >to talk over K1MAN bulletins to the scum of 14.313 is significantly

> >increased bad radio amateur behavior on 20 meters, in front of the
> >whole world. Riley is steadily building up a huge file of amateur
> >radio bad behavior. When he retires from the FCC to face huge
> >college tuition payments for his grand children, he will take this
> >huge amateur radio bad behavior file to the large Washington
> >communications law firm of "Do We Cheat Em and How." "Do We Cheat
> >Em," of course, specializes in delivering valuable amateur radio
> >spectrum (and our collective amateur radio heads) to the wealthy
> >commercial interests on a silver platter. Don't be duped by this
> >corrupt and cheap politician, Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH.
> >
> >Beware, my fellow Radio Amateurs, Riley Hollingsworth is a wolf in
> >Sheep's clothing. If you are one of those who thinks it's cool to
> >talk over K1MAN bulletins, think again. You are playing into the
> >hands of Rot Riley the Ripper. He wants your spectrum. Don't be a
> >fool and let him continue to insult your intelligence. Just say no
> >to Amateur Radio Ignorance. 73 and good luck, de K1MAN.
> >
> >
> >--
> >"The bulletin service is here to stay"- K1MAN
> >
> >
> >
> >
>

3753. Re: k1man jamming again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 01:08:15 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <atj924$b0p$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58043
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Don't bet on it goofball.

Dan/W4NTI

"Oris" <orisnospam@dirtball.com> wrote in message news:3DFD124E.70404@dirtball.com...
The reason why they don't stop K1MAN is the fact that if they where to stop him they would have to stop the ARRL too!
73 and happy holidays
Oris
http://www.dirtball.com

Chili wrote:

"N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3dfb8dc3$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
Yes, you are very pitiful. Right now, ND8V is on 14.275, just complaining
about K1MAN.

"size 46 pants" <fatasshamop69@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:3dfb7980@snipnews.snip.net...
Who's those unconsiderate hams jamming k1man
on 14.275 as I type this! How ineffective, hey guys
you'se gots to crank up the power more, ole Baxter
Boy's obsolete transmitter is splatterin 3 kc's either
side and sounds like he's got his Henry runnin illegal power.
You just ain't cuttin it boys, TURN UP THE POWER
VOLUME and you'll obscure the RF from Maine
a little bit better. This barefoot jap crap just ain't doin
the job O-Tay? :) :) :) :)

Big-headed Baxter is jamming again. The trouble is he uses his "broadcast"
to make personal attacks upon Riley and other Hams who have displeased him.
This fat head rectal cavity should have his license pulled for malicious
interference as has been done to several others.
Why has this not happened? Riley? Huh?

3754. Re: ARNewsline 1322 - Dec 13 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 01:33:17 UTC
From: "D. Stussy" <kd6lvw@bde-arc.ampr.org>
Message-ID: <Pine.LNX.4.44.0212160118390.2878-100000@exp.bde-arc.ampr.org>
Source record: 58044

On 15 Dec 2002, Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>>Subject: Re: ARNewsline 1322 - Dec 13 2002
>>From: "Pasternak SPAM" SpamHQ@PasternakSPAMmoreSPAM.org
>>Date: 12/15/02 8:38 AM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <ati45vjsu0@pita.alt.net>
>>
>> Get a life Pasternak and stop Spaming Usenet!
>> WA6ITF Pasternak SPAM follows:
>
> This is cute...An Anonymous Cowardly PUTZ who accuses a well known and
>respected Amateur Radio personality of "spamming", but then itself "spams" by
>requoting the ENTIRE Newsline press release inorder to add ONE sentence of
>slovenly commentary.

Actually, you will find that he is NOT that well respected in his home area of Southern California.

He had blatent lies and misinformation when he reported on the Southern California conflict over 145.460 MHz and repeater usage there. I personally knew the owners of the equipment on the Los Angeles side (and did not personally know the Tijuana side). The L.A. side was NEVER asked for their side of the story, nor were many of the facts of this conflict even accurate as reported.

More recently, he screwed the pooch on reporting about "indian code talkers" during WWII, reporting that they were Comanche, not Navajo.

There's also an issue of why he reports that it costs about $1,000.00/month to put his "Newsline" show together, especially when the the Albany, NY based service, "This Week in Amateur Radio" does it for 10% of Pasternak's cost. Simply put, they do not pay themselves a salary like WA6ITF does. I don't know what the ARRL's cost is for their "weekly newsletter" - the next closest comparable service.

Lastly, there's always the matter of the stories he WON'T report, such as one several years ago when some amateurs were challenging a local So. CA repeater coordination group with not doing its job. These amateurs even went as far as seeking for such documents as requests for non-profit status of the coordinator before the IRS (which ARE public record - 26 USC 6104 - unlike the tax records of individuals and for-profit corporations), authority to exist from the State Controller's Office (where corporations and other non-individuals must file tob e granted legal existance), etc.... I heard about this, and even met two such "alternative coordination" groups that had popped up. However, ITF was silent. The extent of such a challenge was clearly news.

[I do agree that the prior negative comment should not have quoted the entire ITF-spam.]

PS: You don't see me hiding.

3755. Re: K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 01:44:27 UTC
From: Rollo <webmechanic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <oprhg5sfa4uoy5bj@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58045
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 15 Dec 2002 23:36:10 GMT, Oris <orisnospam@dirtball.com> wrote:

> I agree. I might not like K1MAN taking up space in the voice part of the spectrum.
> However I do believe that he has the same rights as the ARRL.

Not quite sure he does. Read Part 97.

3756. Re: K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 02:19:13 UTC
From: pin-medic <ff-paramedic@attbiSPAMSUX.com>
Message-ID: <BKaL9.243090$GR5.83130@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 58046
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Having never listened to K1MAN, I am not sure what his "broadcasts" are about, but as I recall, the only "broadcasting" allowed by FCC rules are bulletins and such related to amateur radio, not personal viewpoints, etc. W1AW definitely fits that criteria, I am not so sure about some of these other guys that I keep reading about here.

JT
K6JBT

"N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote in message news:3dfcd120$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
> I am a little baffled. W1AW has CW and RTTY and SSB buliitens and starts up
> WITHOUT ever asking if the frequency is in use! I hear NOONE in this
> newsgroup complain about W1AW or an organized effort to QRM W1AW.
>
> If you look at the K1MAN website - www.k1man.com You will find a statement
> by Robert H Mc Namara - They fall within the SAME category as W1AW!
>
> The same rules have to applied to both partys. When when did we get
> wishy-washy and live a life of double standards? I think Bill Clinton
> taught us that, very well indeed. Mr. Hollingsworth must take his tactics
> from Clinton. Heck he was appointed under Clinton!
>
>
>
>
> --
> Jesus Christ is coming in your lifetime - R.G. Stair
> "The bulletin service is here to stay"- K1MAN
>
>

3757. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 03:07:58 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021215220758.21031.00000086@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 58050

In article <A3zJ9.309989$NH2.21517@sccrnsc01>, "Jackie L."
<buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:

>I have just chosen to neither believe nor disbelieve in the reality of a
>Supreme Being until we can all know for sure what the real point is, if any,
>of our tenure on this particular planet, in this particular galaxy, in this
>particular region of the known universe.

Must there be a point to it? Isn't our existence its own justification?
>
>Here is how I define "agnostic": I don't feel that I have any reliable,
>foolproof way of really knowing if there is a Supreme Being or not- and even
>if there is one, I don't feel that I possess any way of being sure that I
>could know that being's nature, given my own limited human faculties.

OK.

I thought that way for many years, and still lean that way at times. But in
recent years I've thought that the whole situation is actually simpler than
most religions make it out to be. For example, to decide whether or not there
is a God, first you have to define just what you mean by "God", and most
religions don't even get that far.
>
>I think that any person, or any group, that claims to have "God on their
>side" is downright dangerous, because that potentially gives them a personal
>license to get away with practically anything. So many adherents of various
>religions have done just that and have gotten away with horrible crimes
>against their fellow humans, all while "justifying" such actions by saying
>that their prophets, their gods or their holy writings prescribed such
>actions. All of which, of course, was subject to their personal or
>collective interpretations of those writings and prophets.

I agree 100%. But not all religions use the "God is on our side" angle to
justify crimes against nonbelievers.
>
>I need *no* other reason to shun organized religion.

I used to think that way, too.
>
>I essentially believe that all of organized religion is humankind's
>collective way of trying to understand and make sense of what they do not
>know, and prepare for what they fear the most- which is the unknown, after
>we die.

That's one reason for the existence of religions. Here's another:

Some religions exist to help form a more just and moral society. The promise of eternal reward or punishment in an afterlife, based on actions committed in this life, is one way to convince people to behave better.

>"Holy books" tend to stress stories and actions which reflect that
>particular religion's cultural values, morals and societal ethics. To me, it
>seems ridiculous to try and use any "holy book" to rationalize war,
>oppression, slavery, and racism, yet many people find certain passages in
>their "holy books" to try to do just that.
>
>There are enough passages in many "holy books" to justify shunning them as
>something to model one's life after (unless you happen to be the "cafeteria"
>type when it comes to adherance of a chosen religion's tenets- picking what
>you like about it, and rejecting what you find disagreeable).

Seems to me that such a method is preferable to blind obedience to an unquestioned dogma.

>Yet, if you
>really read them, most "holy books" tend to reflect nothing more than the
>same tired old story of humanity, don't they? Sure, there are stories of
>compassion and love for one's fellow humans, but they are to be found
>interspersed amongst annals of war, strife, getting ahead of others,
>persecuting those who believe differently than you do, the hoped-for and
>eventual triumph of certain people or religions over others, etc. All while
>trying to somehow fit in the concept of some Supreme Being who will
>eventually justify it all.

I think the real problems with most "holy books" are:

1) We don't have the cultural background to understand all the nuances and implied meanings.

2) They are way too long and sometimes self-contradictory. (See my rant on the two creation stories in Genesis, in another thread).

3) Some people take them literally, even when not meant to be. Others are willing to kill each other over interpretations of minor points.

4) (This is the biggie) Most are frozen in time, unable to be revised as new information comes to light.

>Who knows the truth for sure?

God. Or rather, God IS the truth.

So for any human to claim to know the truth for sure is a form of blasphemy, really.

> I certainly don't.

Me neither. The person to watch out for is the one who claims to know the truth "for sure", and who wants to force it on others.

>I have come to the
>conclusion that people choose to do good in spite of religion, not because

>of it.

Some people, anyway.

>I don't know if there is a life after this corporeal one. I don't think I
>*can* know. That's the essence of being an agnostic. It's not that I
>*don't* believe in a Supreme Being- but, if there is one, then I very much
>doubt that he/she/it would fit entirely under the auspices and creeds of one
>singular religion. Personally, if I were the Supreme Being, and I had
>created the universe and everything and everyone in it, I'd be mightily
>pissed off at what some people did in my name and then claimed that I
>ordered it.

One of the probelms I see in many religions is the tendency to define God as a "person" - just sort of a magnified, more powerful image of ourselves. Another is the division of the universe into "natural" and "supernatural", as if there were some sort of difference.
>
>Anyway, since I'm not sure whether or not there is an afterlife, I try to
>treat others the way I'd want to be treated, for as Jesus said, this "sums
>up the law and the prophets"-- Matt. 7:12. It just makes sense; that's why
>so many religions have this "Golden Rule" at their very base (or at least
>claim to). Living by this principle makes life more pleasant for EVERYONE
>involved on this planet, irrespective of culture, creed or socioeconomic
>status. This same principle is also expounded upon in the Buddhist principle
>of "karma". Do good in your present life and you get rewarded in your next
>incarnation. It's also to be found in Judaism: "What is hateful to you, do
>not to your fellow men. That is the entire Law; all the rest is commentary"
>(the Talmud); "No one of you is a believer until he desires for his brother
>that which he desires for himself."- a hadith (saying) of Muhammad.

Also logically proven by Hobbes - a society without this rule will not do as well as one with the rule. If a society without it can survive at all.
>
>Now, of course, many people who claim to follow a certain religion don't
>always act in the ways their prophets or their version of "God" told them
>to, so that makes me think that either these groups don't have a direct line
>to the Almighty, or maybe the concept of "religion" as a way to understand
>the unknowable itself is flawed.

No, it's just that people aren't perfect. They make mistakes and have weaknesses.

>Either way, to me it means that religion
>cannot lead us to the Great Answer of who, or what, is "up there"-- or help
>us to know if there *is* anyone "up there".

All depends how you define God. I don't think of God as being "up there" or separate from the physical world at all. For example, think of all the laws of physics, chemistry, mathematics. To me, these rules are a part of God - a tiny part of God, but still a part, or perhaps an expression of God.
>

>I like good old Abe Lincoln's personal definition of religion: "When I do
>good, I feel good; when I do bad, I feel bad. That's my religion." That's
>mine too.

If it makes you strong and kind and healthy and happy, I say live by it.

>I know this doesn't have anything whatsoever to do with amateur radio...
>however, since the topic was brought up... :-) Sorry. That's all I'll say on
>this subject.

Actually, there is a connection:

For thousands of years, people had no idea that such a thing as electromagnetic fields existed, or could exist. Nor relativity. Everyone "knew" that Time was a uniform, unidirectional dimension that was the same for the entire universe.

People accepted the explanations of Aristotle/Ptolemy/Kepler/Newton in their turn. All were blindingly obvious once revealed, particularly Newtonian physics. Everything appeared to be knowable and straightforward.

Then about 150 years ago, folks like Maxwell, Hertz, Einstein and many others began to discover all sorts of odd phenomena. Fields of energy that could not be directly detected by any of our senses were shown to exist all around us. Time was shown to be dependent on the observer's frame of reference. The speed of light was shown to be not only tremendously high, but also the same for all observers - as Time was once thought to be. All of these things were shown to be true through ingenious experiments. None of them were predicted by any religion I know of, nor described in any "holy book".

In short, the Universe was shown to be a far stranger place than anyone had previously guessed. Even so, humans learned to harness the new phenomena and use them.

For most of human history, if someone claimed to be able to instantly communicate over vast distances using only some strange apparatus, they would have either been locked up as insane, burned as a witch or revered as a god or prophet. Yet today, and for almost a century, we radio folks do such things without a second thought.

The possibility of radio was there all along, of course. Who knows what other undiscovered phenomena exist, waiting to be discovered?

Perhaps our "purpose" here is to make the discoveries, so the Universe can know itself.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3758. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 03:07:59 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021215220759.21031.00000087@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 58049

In article <XXQJ9.204167$GR5.69629@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>, "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:

>> Been there, done that, got the scars to prove it. My callsign is a
>> reminder of winters in New York State, between Syracuse and Rochester.
>> Palmyra, to be exact.
>>
>> (Trivia: What is Palmyra, NY, famous for?)
>
>Wasn't that where the Mormon founder, Joe Smith, started having "visions" or
>some such thing?

oh man, she's GOOD at this!!

Basically, that's the story. He was visited by an angel on a hill south of the Village of Palmyra. The hill is now in the Town of Manchester.

>
>> Maybe. The real test is how well it gets out on-the-air.
>
>It's great- or so everyone I've talked to says. They say they can now hear
>me loud and clear!

Sweet!

>> Or even low quality coax. I prefer single-edge razor blades. Others
>> swear by utility knives. Have also used professional-grade coax tools,
>> but they are expensive.
>
>I think they are worth it, though... would save me from lots of frustration,
>which is probably worth the cost.

All depends how much of that kind of work you're going to do, and how big the piggybank is.

>
>> I gave up on soldering irons for outdoor antenna work years ago.
>> Propane torch with soldering-copper attachment does the trick.
>
>I can arc-weld!

Now THAT is COOL!!

I've done some spotwelding and lotsa thermite, but arcwelding is a real skill.

>Like soldering on a larger scale, sort of.
>
HAW!

>> There ya go. I'm told that musicians reach a point where they can just
>> glance at sheet music and "hear" what the piece is supposed to sound
>> like. Or hear a piece and know how to play it on a different
>> instrument with no sheet music at all.
>
>Yep, takes lots of practice to do that, though some people may have enough
>natural talent to learn to do that a lot quicker. My brother is a musician,
>and an extremely talented one at that. He can play drums, guitar, keyboards,
>as well as a number of wind instruments. It's almost scary how he can just
>seem to pick up an instrument he's not familiar with and learns to play it
>very well in a very short amount of time.

There are all kids of genius, not just what IQ tests measure.

>> Oh, I do! Remember Les Nessman, on WKRP, who put masking tape on the
>> floor to indicate where the walls and door of his "office" should have
>> been?
>
>Oh yeah! That was a good show... LOL!

I actually did something similar at a former employer. I had an office with a window and six-foot walls, but no door. So I put tape on the floor where the door should have been, and on the ceiling where the tops of the walls should have been. The boss loved it.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3759. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 03:08:00 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021215220800.21031.00000088@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 58047

In article <fL2L9.2116$qA3.279689@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:

>"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>:
>: Doesn't mean we "need" them. We don't need to have people jumping off
>: of bridges and falling to their deaths to know it's a bad idea.
>:
>
>"We have to continually be jumping off cliffs and developing our wings on
>the way down."
> --KVG

Thanks, Hans. You just demonstrated the diference between a half-decent writer (me) and a great one (KVG). Both saying essentially the same thing - but the latter says it so much better.

Hi ho.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3760. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 03:08:01 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021215220801.21031.00000089@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 58048

In article <74vpvukb4icm1tmg79vk3rt0u0jaesfgth@4ax.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>On 15 Dec 2002 10:21:58 -0800, N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote:
>
>>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>news:<vr0ovuose1hqhhh7j8g5o3ml1pcml867o9@4ax.com>...
>
>>SHOW ME THE MONEY!!!!
>>
>>> There are way too many
>>> MBA's who would sell their parents
>>
>>Heck, some have already done so, indirectly.
>
> Had to think about that for a second, Jim. Yup. AAMOF, the
>recent tax cuts were a form of just that. Where do people think they
>came from?

Ya broke da code.

Here's another one:

For many decades, married couples where both people work have paid a "marriage
tax" or "marriage penalty" to the IRS. And it's not small change for most
middle-class folks, either.

This was partially offset by a refund/credit program insituted under the Carter
administration. It was promptly removed under Reagan as part of the "tax
simplification" that "got government off our backs". When Papa Bush campaigned
for "family values", d'ya think the marriage tax was considered? Nope.

>>> Part of the problems we have now is the monolithinc
>>> thinking brought about by only looking at things from that
>>> perspective.
>>
>>Like wanting to distill everyhting down to one or two test scores.
>
> Tyranny of the test-takers! heh, heh.

PSSA, SAT, ACT, etc. So the schools teach the test.

>>> Yup, vouchers are stealth welfare.
>>
>>But not for the neediest.
>
> Now we get around to why public schooling was invented in the

>first place.

And child labor laws, and why school is mandatory for kids between certain ages.

If I had my druthers, public school would extend from preschool through Ph.D level - all free. But above the high school level, you'd have to make the grade to stay in school.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3761. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 03:25:56 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <8JbL9.74842$hw3.10972@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 57951

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021215220758.21031.00000086@mb-fm.aol.com...
> In article <A3zJ9.309989$NH2.21517@sccrnsc01>, "Jackie L."
> <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:

> In short, the Universe was shown to be a far stranger place than anyone
had
> previously guessed. Even so, humans learned to harness the new phenomena
and
> use them.
>
> For most of human history, if someone claimed to be able to instantly
> communicate over vast distances using only some strange apparatus, they
would
> have either been locked up as insane, burned as a witch or revered as a
god or
> prophet. Yet today, and for almost a century, we radio folks do such
things
> without a second thought.
>
> The possibility of radio was there all along, of course. Who knows what
other
> undiscovered phenomena exist, waiting to be discovered?

Excellent and thoughtful post, Jim. I like posts that make me think, as this
one did.

Jackie

3762. Re: Direct Questions for "Vshah"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 03:27:34 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <GKbL9.74852$hw3.10979@sccrnsc04>
Source record: 57952

> I actually did something similar at a former employer. I had an office
with a
> window and six-foot walls, but no door. So I put tape on the floor where
the
> door should have been, and on the ceiling where the tops of the walls
should
> have been. The boss loved it.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Heheheh... maybe I should do that, since I don't have a door either. But we are soon moving out of our present office space, and I've heard this rumor that I might get a door- finally. Been working there almost five years, it's about time!!

jackie

3763. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 03:38:04 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <wUbL9.3306$jN4.366065@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57953

:
: That's one reason for the existence of religions. Here's another:
:
: Some religions exist to help form a more just and moral society. The
promise of
: eternal reward or punishment in an afterlife, based on actions committed
in
: this life, is one way to convince people to behave better.
:

I tend to see religion as the mother of all chain letters. It promises great
things, but if you break the chain horrible misfortune will fall upon you.
Most people won't risk breaking the chain.

73, de Hans, K0HB

3764. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 04:13:28 UTC
From: lbudney-google@nb.net
Message-ID: <417b917a.0212152013.20a330d1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57954

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote:
>
> As a long-term Federal supervisor (22 years) who had to discharge
> several prople, I learned first-hand that it is not impossible to
> discharge an employee for failure to perform. All it takes is LOTS
> of work on the part of the supervisor...

I'll buy that! But in practice, raising the cost lowers the demand: if it takes "lots of work" to fire anyone, then firings will become much rarer. You're morally right to charge negligence when a supervisor fails to do so, but it's still a fact of life that even a highly motivated supervisor will fire many fewer people when the bar is raised so high.

Another side effect is that there's a long time delay before firings take effect. So the baggage inspector who can't spot a pistol on an X-ray, even lying flat, will be checking bags for months and months before he loses his job.

--Len.

3765. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 04:36:16 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DFD5840.488B1A4F@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 57955

Mike Coslo wrote:

>
> True enough, but there is the money we need and desire, and
> there is the real need for a rounded education. There are way too many
> MBA's who would sell their parents if they thought they could make a
> few bucks, and techno-geeks who fume at having to take those
> unnecessary English, art, and phys-ed calsses that have "no bearing"
> on their field.

I hated those classes in college. But it turns out you need to be
able to write well enough to get your boss and his boss to
understand what you have accomplished for the company.
Something like chem lab reports. So English class is as
valuable as that digital circuits class. The subject material in
English class may be lame, but that material is just what the
English prof is familiar with.

>

3766. Re: K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 05:24:44 UTC
From: wf3h@ptnospam.com
Message-ID: <3dfd6173.46436124@news.ptdprolog.net>
Source record: 57956
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 15 Dec 2002 13:06:39 -0600, "N0VFP 2.0"
<brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote:

>I am a little baffled. W1AW has CW and RTTY and SSB buliitens and starts up
>WITHOUT ever asking if the frequency is in use! I hear NOONE in this
>newsgroup complain about W1AW or an organized effort to QRM W1AW.

you know, this bullshit comment has been floating around for years.

the fact is, it's rope a dope by purists who try to operate on freqs
w1aw has been using for decades, then get 'offended' when their point
is 'proven' by w1aw broadcasts

get a life. there are 130K members of the arrl. if you bullshitters
cant accept it, trade playing cards, or get another hobby.

>
----
check my blog at:
http://www.bobview.blogspot.com/

3767. Re: K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 05:27:55 UTC
From: wf3h@ptnospam.com
Message-ID: <3dfd6254.46660231@news.ptdprolog.net>
Source record: 57957
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 16 Dec 2002 01:56:57 GMT, Lloyd@no.spammmmm (Lloyd
Braxilwartenoski) wrote:

>Since you top posted, I'll top post too and let you see just how that
>messes up any attempt to incrementally quote responses.
>
>And N0VFP is quite correct. You can't have a system under which some
>stations are "more equal" than others. Whatever you think of K1MAN,
>he is indeed shining a glaring light on W1AW and its favored status
>with the FCC.
>
>Just as we Americans hold that separation of church and state is our
>ideal, we should also hold that separation of the ARRL and the state
>is also a Pretty Damned Good Idea.
>
>But that isn't the way it really is, and we all know that. Time to
>knock that minority organization -- the ARRL -- back down to its real
>importance. It is not a Federal organization, and should be treated
>as the club that it really is, rather than some kind of auxiliary
>FCC.
>
>

the difference is that, in a pack of wolves known as lobbyists, the
ARRL defends the interests of ham radio...we know that because its
leaders are elected by 130K ham radio members of ARRL.

k1man represents no one but himself. he doesnt represent me in the
slightest. not one bit.
----
check my blog at:
http://www.bobview.blogspot.com/

3768. Re: K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 05:28:55 UTC
From: wf3h@ptnospam.com
Message-ID: <3dfd62a7.46743739@news.ptdprolog.net>
Source record: 57958
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 16 Dec 2002 02:23:29 GMT, Lloyd@no.spammmmm (Lloyd
Braxilwartenoski) wrote:

>Could it be ... could it be ... now this is just a guess, but "Joe S" is
>claiming that equality under the law doesn't apply here if you're
>transmitting unpopular speech.
>
>Joe, in his omniscience, can see that W1AW is "useful" whereas K1MAN
>is not and therefore the latter station should be banned, because ...
>well ... Joe is the supreme judge of usefulness and gets to decide
>what is useful for us all.

i've actually listened to k1man. it's a waste of time. he performs no
useful function for the benefit of ham radio. the ARRL leadership is
elected by its 130,000 members.
----
check my blog at:
http://www.bobview.blogspot.com/

3769. Re: K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 05:30:54 UTC
From: wf3h@ptnospam.com
Message-ID: <3dfd62db.46795285@news.ptdprolog.net>
Source record: 57959
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sun, 15 Dec 2002 23:36:10 GMT, Oris <orisnospam@dirtball.com>
wrote:

>I agree. I might not like K1MAN taking up space in the voice part of the
>spectrum. However I do believe that he has the same rights as the ARRL.
>So if he has to stop then the ARRL has to stop too. They used to use
>the argument that it was for code practace. Which is fine but they use
>other digtal modes too. With code becoming a thing of the past the FCC
>needs to open up that part of the spectrum for voice operation and this
>would make more room for the K1MAN newcasts.
> 73 and
>Happy Holidays
>

the 'newscasts' are a waste of time. k1man represents no one but
himself. the ARRL does speak for me because i'm a member, and vote.
k1man never solicits anyone's opinions but his own.

---
check my blog at:
http://www.bobview.blogspot.com/

3770. Re: Another Question For Lennie to Ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 06:21:24 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021216012124.23446.00000075@mb-mg.aol.com>
Source record: 57960

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.h76e4wb.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>On 15 Dec 2002 21:41:52 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:
>
>> It [P1 license] was renewed regularly, then the FCC dropped the
>> 'phone classes to a single General Radiotelephone.
>
> Not really - only the First and Second Class Radiotelephone
> Operator Licenses were concatenated into the single GROL. The
> "Third" was renamed the Marine Radio Operator Permit (required
> on certain small passenger-carrying vessels) and the "Third
> with a Broadcast Endorsement" was dropped entirely. The
> Restricted Radiotelephone Operator Permit still exists -
> required for international aircraft radio and certain marine
> radio operation.

OK, I'll stand corrected on fine detail explanations.

I don't remember that a Restricted 'Phone ever needed any
test. It was a Permit, not a license, yes? Or is that going
to evolve into some Roaring Rant of a new sub-thread?

> The Commission added two other licenses for
> the required Global Marine Distress and Safety System radio
> equipment (a digital data and SSB voice system replacing the old
> MF/CW 500 kHz distress system with its venerable _SOS_) on ocean-
> going vessels - the GMDSS Operator Permit, counterpart of the Marine
> Radio Operator Permit, and the GMDSS Maintainer License, counterpart
> of the GROL.

Thank you again, Phil, but didn't you leave out the orbital
characteristics on the Inmarsat for GMDSS? :-)

Note word "permit." Is that newly defined as a "license" which
requires a TEST? :-)

TESTS seem to be the ultimate thing in AMATEUR radio...
right behind that "necessity" to be an expert 1930s style
morseman. Must be the very biggest hurdle in any ham's
life, yes?

>> Keeping a certificate in a safety deposit box is VIRTUALLY
>> the same as RETIRING it.
>
> No different from hanging it on the office wall.....

In general, true. Wouldn't you say that wall hanging attracts the
eye more than a building housing safety deposit boxes?

Fancy looking certificates and licenses on a wall announce
something: The person who hung them expects to be admired
for achieving same, praised, and generally getting sucked up to.
In the case of professions of law and medicine, those are there
to inspire confidence that clients can be separated from money
painlessly, yes?

There's some gorgeous certificate making programs available
in larger software stores. Anyone can have a neato certificate
in their choice of fancy frame. Ought to impress the hell out of
neighbors and casual friends. If the wall is an outside-facing
one, hangings can add a fraction to the insulation from cold.
Otherwise the fancy certificates don't DO anything, do they?

>> Radiotelephone licenses are nice
>> keepsakes for some, I suppose, but times change and they
>> aren't much needed in the larger world of today's radio.
>
> Unless you are servicing marine or aircraft radios. The State of
> California Radio Shop still requires the GROL for their service techs
> because the job includes assignability to service such transmitters
> licensed to the State.

Hello? Can anyone read "aren't much needed?" That's not an
absolute statement, is it? If it were, how many "California Radio
Shops" are there doing handling State radios? Compare that to
all the OTHER radio shops handling PRIVATE or BUSINESS
radios. How about radio manufacturers that do repair?

How does a certificate fix or adjust a radio? Answer specifically.

Well, if push comes to shove, no problem. I just go to the bank
and yank out that commercial license. However, I don't see any
immediate need to do that nor change black, grey, or beige
radio boxes in very official state police cars or bikes. How do
amateur radio licenses stack up to allowing lawful fiddling with
official state police radio boxes? :-)

Your witness, counselor...

3771. Re: Another Question For Lennie to Ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 06:48:07 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021216014807.22957.00000059@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 57961

>Subject: Re: Another Question For Lennie to Ignore
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 12/16/02 12:21 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021216012124.23446.00000075@mb-mg.aol.com>

>> Restricted Radiotelephone Operator Permit still exists -
>> required for international aircraft radio and certain marine
>> radio operation.
>
> OK, I'll stand corrected on fine detail explanations.
>
> I don't remember that a Restricted 'Phone ever needed any
> test. It was a Permit, not a license, yes?

Yes, you are coorect taht it's a permit...not a license.

>Or is that going
> to evolve into some Roaring Rant of a new sub-thread?

Not unless youa re about to create yet another "see...people CAN communicate on HF without Morse Code" rant. THTA'S where the winding, twsiting threads come from.

>TESTS seem to be the ultimate thing in AMATEUR radio...(SNIP)

Only because you are an idiot and HAVE NOT PAID ATTENTION!

The RADIOS are the ultimate thing in AMATEUR Radio, Lennie...The RADIOS!

(UNSNIP) >Must be the very biggest hurdle in any ham's
> life, yes?

No.

>Fancy looking certificates and licenses on a wall announce
> something: The person who hung them expects to be admired
> for achieving same, praised, and generally getting sucked up to.

From what you present here, Lennie, it's the only way you'll EVER get "sucked"...up, down or laterally.

As for me, it's a reminder of whjat I can achieve when I put my mind to it, and I am alsways motivated to do better/bigger/faster, etc.

That you brag in here continuously about who or what you think you are is YOUR certificate, looking to be admired and sucked up to.

The problem is you have never leared how to "play nice", and if everyone doesn't suck up to you, then SCREW everybody.

>How does a certificate fix or adjust a radio? Answer specifically.

YOU answer specifically, Lennie. This thread was addressd to you. YOU have yet to answer the question, ergo YOU ahve absolutely NO right to make any demands of anyone else in this thread until the challenge is met.

Still waiting...your credibility still ZERO, Herr Putzmann.

Steve, K4YZ

3772. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 09:24:10 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <atliqd19pn@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 57978

I understand that. But my original statement would also require a HUGE
inrush of new hams to use up all of the existing callsigns available under
current callsign structures. But I don't see that happening all too soon.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

> Ryan:
>
> We'd go to the 3x3 format, since that's already supported by the ITU
> rules. MARS has been using 3x3's for decades.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

3773. Re: Serious crisis in the URE (Spanish RadioHam Society)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 10:28:53 UTC
From: citizensband <citizensband@ntlworld.com>
Message-ID: <VYhL9.343$rN1.78069@newsfep1-win.server.ntli.net>
Source record: 57962
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,uk.radio.amateur,es.rec.radio.amateur

"Otilio Rodriguez" <otromas@lared.zzn.com> wrote in message
news:bc08f00c.0212151601.5d1dfcdd@posting.google.com...
>
> It is possible that we be attending to the final of a founded
> Association more than 50 years ago with a great illusion by a group of
> radiohams, and how in the last 10 years has seen how by a bad
> management she is destroying itself.

Initiate the equivalent of the British M3 licence...financial problems
solved :-)

Regards
tox

3774. County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 14:07:52 UTC
From: Wheeler <cwheeler@zipmailsvc.net>
Message-ID: <atkmnj$d1t$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 57963
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Apparently, Davidson County in Tennessee is issuing some sort
"radio license." I saw one this weekend, and they look official.
It matters not they are legal, since the "licensees" believe they are.
Got in a heated discussion with one guy. Told him it wasn't worth
the paper it was printed on. He assured me it was and he is running
a low power FM station, using his "license" to broadcast.
Watching how far this "license" thing will go should prove to be
interesting.
And for the cynics, no it is not a troll, just an item of interest to
people interested in radio things.

73 from Wheeler

3775. Re: K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 16:49:17 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <atl079$d09$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57964
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Lloyd Braxilwartenoski" <Lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
news:3dfd6254.46660231@taranews.net...
> On Mon, 16 Dec 2002 05:27:55 GMT, wf3h@ptnospam.com <wf3h@ptnospam.com>
wrote:
> > the difference is that, in a pack of wolves known as lobbyists, the
> > ARRL defends the interests of ham radio...we know that because its
> > leaders are elected by 130K ham radio members of ARRL.
>
> According to the ARRL's own figures, that leaves 685,610 - 130,000 =
> 555,610 hams who *aren't* members of the ARRL that are being "spoken
> for." (See http://www.arrl.org/fcc/stats.html)
>
> But that isn't really the point. The point is that W1AW is given
> special status by the FCC. There are many of us who think that is
> just plain wrong.
>
> Let the ARRL register as a lobbying orginization, and also make sure
> that it is not treated as the FCC Auxiliary in its relationships with
> the federal government.
>
> Then, severely restrict anyone who would broadcast on the ham bands.

A bulletin is not a broadcast. Broadcasting is defined as a transmission to
the general public. The entire term 'broadcasting' in in-correct in any
aspect of Amateur Radio...since it is illegal...period.

K1MAN and W1AW share one and only one thing in common concer ing the
transmissions. They both use radio waves.

W1AW has a special place with the FCC because it has earned that privlidge.
Did you know that during WW2 when ALL HAMS were shut down that W1AW was
allowed to transmit its bulletins?

You see the ARRL has earned the respect of the FCC by helping the FCC
'control' amateur radio. Some of this is good and some is bad. But my dear
sir...the ARRL is ALL WE HAVE.

If you don't like the ARRL thats fine....Im sure it is tearing them apart
that you dont. I don't support a lot of things they do or have done. But
it beats the alternative...no representation at all.

Dan/W4NTI

3776. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 16:50:19 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021216115019.28245.00000218@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 57965

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.h769jc9.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>>It's sorta
>>like the airport security situation: now they are federal employees,
>>with big pay raises--the same dumb people, that is--and the main
>>effect of federalizing them is that now it's almost impossible to fire
>>one.
>
> As a long-term Federal supervisor (22 years) who had to discharge
> several prople, I learned first-hand that it is not impossible to
> discharge an employee for failure to perform. All it takes is LOTS
> of work on the part of the supervisor - but that's exactly what the
> supervisor is paid to do. If the supervisor is lazy, s/he is not
> performning and it becomes his/her manager's problem....and so up the
> line.
>
>---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>

Phil:

In my experience as a supervisor in the Air Force, I will affirm the above statement. While stationed in Germany, I even managed to get a British national civil service employee fired -- something that was, at the time, considered to be metaphysically impossible. All it took was over a dozen well-documented and witnessed infractions, and he was out. You're correct. Most supervisors put up with poor employees because they're too lazy to get rid of them, but it is eminently doable.

A YL friend of mine is now working as a TSA Security Screener at the Indianapolis, IN airport. She has already told me of several cases where screeners were fired virtually on-the-spot. Of course, 100% of their workforce is still within their 6-month probationary period, so it may just be easier now due to that fact. However, I would hope that there are special work rules pertaining to the screeners in order for their supervisors not to have to be saddled with the lazy, unmotivated ghetto and trailer trash that I am used to seeing at airport security checkpoints -- usually more preoccupied with raucously shucking and jiving with each other, filing their 3" fake fingernails, or talking on cell phones than in attending to their checkpoints.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3777. Re: K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 16:51:33 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <atl0bh$1q1$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57966
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

With all due respect 'Lloyd Braxilwartenoski'......take your opinion and
shove it where it is dark.

Have a nice day

Dan/W4NTI

"Lloyd Braxilwartenoski" <Lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
news:PKB.dannie.boy@taranews.net...
> On Sun, 15 Dec 2002 18:55:10 -0600, Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
wrote:
> > One thing about ole Oris....he never allows common sense to bother him a
> > bit.
>
> Pot. Kettle. Black.

3778. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 17:12:34 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021216121234.22997.00000085@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 57967

>Subject: County Issuing Radio Licenses
>From: "Wheeler" cwheeler@zipmailsvc.net
>Date: 12/16/02 8:07 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <atkmnj$d1t$0@pita.alt.net>

>Apparently, Davidson County in Tennessee is issuing some sort
>"radio license."

Was this from an offical county office? I wonder who would think that
they could issue these and why?

Steve Robeson, K4YZ
Winchester, TN

3779. Re: Another Question For Lennie to Ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 18:00:30 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021216130030.22997.00000086@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 57968

>Subject: Re: Would have been Extra sooner
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 12/14/02 3:48 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021214164805.13161.00000073@mb-fr.aol.com>

>>That grant does not come close to the possible frequencies,
>>modes, or modulations available to the rest of the radio world.

>Lennie...WHAT other operator/station license issued by the FCC grants the
>privileges or the freedom of choice that the Amateur License grants?

>On the otherhand an Amateur licensee may operate QRP SSB on 160 meters at
>one moment, but then then turn around and bounce over a kilowatt of power off
>the moon on the opposite end of the electromagnetic spectrum.
>
> PLEASE, Lennie...PLEASE cite the license grant available to anyone that
>grants THAT kind of freedom.

Lennie, you've responded to two other posts in this thread without
answering the initiating question.

The question was raised in response to YOUR assertion that an Amateur
Radio license "does not come close to the possible frequencies, modes or
modulations available to the rest of the radio world".

You made an assertion. I am asking for you to substantiate it. YOU are
the alleged professional, so I am ASSUMING that you had SOME facts with which
to make it. True professionals do NOT make such public assertions unless there
is something to back it up.

Shall I type it slower?

Would you like for me to have a "Hooked On Phonics" kit delivered to you?

Was this just an emotional outburst, foolishly uttered in the midst of
newsgroup combat?

Still waiting. Where's the answer?

Steve, K4YZ

3780. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 18:07:18 UTC
From: Bob Stevens <ganderatc@yahoo.ca>
Message-ID: <pan.2002.12.16.18.07.12.131819@yahoo.ca>
Source record: 57969
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

On the ham bands? I know that certain locales are assigned certain UHF frequencies which they can do whatever they want to with, but for the ham bands? There's no question in my mind as to the legality (in this case, the lack thereof) of that.

Bob

On Mon, 16 Dec 2002 09:07:52 +0000, Wheeler wrote:

> Apparently, Davidson County in Tennessee is issuing some sort
> "radio license." I saw one this weekend, and they look official.
> It matters not they are legal, since the "licensees" believe they are.
> Got in a heated discussion with one guy. Told him it wasn't worth
> the paper it was printed on. He assured me it was and he is running
> a low power FM station, using his "license" to broadcast.
> Watching how far this "license" thing will go should prove to be
> interesting.
> And for the cynics, no it is not a troll, just an item of interest to
> people interested in radio things.
>
> 73 from Wheeler

3781. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 18:35:04 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212161035.d113111@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57970

Here are the number of unexpired FCC ARS licenses held by individuals on the dates listed:

As of May 14, 2000:

Novice - 49,329
Tech - 205,394
Tech Plus - 128,860
General - 112,677
Advanced - 99,782
Extra - 78,750
Total - 674,792

As of December 15, 2002:

Novice - 36,211 (decrease of 13,118)
Tech - 248,639 (increase of 42,645)
Tech Plus - 73,926 (decrease of 54,934)
General - 139,802 (increase of 27,125)
Advanced - 84,409 (decrease of 15,373)
Extra - 103,223 (increase of 24,473)
Total - 685,610 (increase of 10,818)(New all-time high)

73 de Jim, N2EY

3782. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 18:59:50 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021216135950.27479.00000056@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 57971

>Subject: Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses
>From: "Bob Stevens" ganderatc@yahoo.ca
>Date: 12/16/02 12:07 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <pan.2002.12.16.18.07.12.131819@yahoo.ca>
>
>On the ham bands? I know that certain locales are assigned certain UHF
>frequencies which they can do whatever they want to with, but for the ham
>bands? There's no question in my mind as to the legality (in this case,
>the lack thereof) of that.

That was my thought. The original poster said something about "low power FM", so it does not appear to be an Amateur band impact, but it certainly could be if not brought under scrutiny.

CAP is an example of an oranization that issues it's own "callsigns". We are under NTIA, and as a whole authorized to operate on assigned federal frequencies. There is no "license" per se issued to us, only "authorizations" by appropriate officials. CAP uses tactical callsigns, not traditional "K" or "W" prefixed calls like FCC issued calls would.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3783. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 19:21:22 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h77zswc.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 57972

On 16 Dec 2002 03:08:01 GMT, N2EY wrote:

>If I had my druthers, public school would extend from preschool
>through Ph.D level - all free. But above the high school level, you'd
>have to make the grade to stay in school.

New York City had that when I went to school there in the Dark Ages.
There was no tuition at City College of New Uork and its sister
schools (Brooklyn College, Hunter College, Queens College) for any
resident of NYC who graduated from a NYC school with an 85% or
better GPA. My two brothers and lots of friends took advanatage of
that as would have I had I not snagged a full-tuition scholarship to
a much smaller and tougher engineering school in NY. Grad school at
CCNY et al was also free, but for the three of us, grad school(s) at
private institutions came out of our own pocket.

Sadly, that free tuition aspect of CCNY et al (now all lumped into
a "City University of New York") is all gone.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3784. Re: ARS License Numbers

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 19:42:47 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DFE2CB7.2090308@psu.edu>
Source record: 57973

N2EY wrote:
> Here are the number of unexpired FCC ARS licenses held by individuals on the
> dates listed:

<snippage>

> Total - 685,610 (increase of 10,818)(New all-time high)

Once again, proof that less and less people are hams! ;*)

- mike KB3EIA -

3785. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 21:02:05 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212161302.15262c0f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57974

"Wheeler" <cwheeler@zipmailsvc.net> wrote in message news:<atkmnj$d1t$0@pita.alt.net>...
> Apparently, Davidson County in Tennessee is issuing some sort
> "radio license." I saw one this weekend, and they look official.
> It matters not they are legal, since the "licensees" believe they are.
> Got in a heated discussion with one guy. Told him it wasn't worth
> the paper it was printed on. He assured me it was and he is running
> a low power FM station, using his "license" to broadcast.
> Watching how far this "license" thing will go should prove to be
> interesting.
> And for the cynics, no it is not a troll, just an item of interest to
> people interested in radio things.
>
> 73 from Wheeler

I thnk k4yz lives in TN. He should be able to answer this question.

3786. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 21:12:37 UTC
From: Wheeler <cwheeler@zipmailsvc.net>
Message-ID: <atlfk0$d2t$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 57975

The type of operation I observed was a low power (50 watt)
FM broadcasting station (one-way transmissions). The guy was
operating on what he said was an unused frequency in the commercial
FM broadcasting band.

73,

Wheeler

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021216135950.27479.00000056@mb-bk.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses
> >From: "Bob Stevens" ganderatc@yahoo.ca
> >Date: 12/16/02 12:07 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <pan.2002.12.16.18.07.12.131819@yahoo.ca>
> >
> >On the ham bands? I know that certain locales are assigned certain UHF
> >frequencies which they can do whatever they want to with, but for the ham
> >bands? There's no question in my mind as to the legality (in this case,
> >the lack thereof) of that.
>
> That was my thought. The original poster said something about "low
power
> FM", so it does not appear to be an Amateur band impact, but it certainly
could
> be if not brought under scrutiny.
>
> CAP is an example of an oranization that issues it's own "callsigns".
We
> are under NTIA, and as a whole authorized to operate on assigned federal
> frequencies. There is no "license" per se issued to us, only
"authorizations"
> by appropriate officials. CAP uses tactical callsigns, not traditional
"K" or
> "W" prefixed calls like FCC issued calls would.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3787. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 21:16:06 UTC
From: Wheeler <cwheeler@zipmailsvc.net>
Message-ID: <atlfqhdfa0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 57976
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

No, this was not in the ham bands. The frequency(s) in use was
in the commercial FM broadcast band.

73 de Wheeler

"Bob Stevens" <ganderatc@yahoo.ca> wrote in message
news:pan.2002.12.16.18.07.12.131819@yahoo.ca...
> On the ham bands? I know that certain locales are assigned certain UHF
> frequencies which they can do whatever they want to with, but for the ham
> bands? There's no question in my mind as to the legality (in this case,
> the lack thereof) of that.
>
> Bob
>
> On Mon, 16 Dec 2002 09:07:52 +0000, Wheeler wrote:
>
> > Apparently, Davidson County in Tennessee is issuing some sort
> > "radio license." I saw one this weekend, and they look official.
> > It matters not they are legal, since the "licensees" believe they are.
> > Got in a heated discussion with one guy. Told him it wasn't worth
> > the paper it was printed on. He assured me it was and he is running
> > a low power FM station, using his "license" to broadcast.
> > Watching how far this "license" thing will go should prove to be
> > interesting.
> > And for the cynics, no it is not a troll, just an item of interest to
> > people interested in radio things.
> >
> > 73 from Wheeler
>

3788. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-16 22:34:09 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3DFE54E1.FADE2B67@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 57977
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Bob Stevens wrote:

> On the ham bands? I know that certain locales are assigned certain UHF
> frequencies which they can do whatever they want to with, but for the ham
> bands? There's no question in my mind as to the legality (in this case,
> the lack thereof) of that.

Don't suppose it would be good for DXCC credit? :-)

3789. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 00:34:17 UTC
From: radiofun XP <silverpro8@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3dfe7119@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 57979
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Wheeler" <cwheeler@zipmailsvc.net> wrote in message
news:atkmnj$d1t$0@pita.alt.net...

> And for the cynics, no it is not a troll, just an item of interest to
> people interested in radio things.

Sure.

3790. Re: Another Question For Lennie to Ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 01:11:04 UTC
From: Reality Check <me@myaddress.net>
Message-ID: <eetsvuc8aaon38a1erb3qherf3ajoi446e@4ax.com>
Source record: 57980

Hey Steve, Lennie doesn't love you any more so why don't
you stick your head back up your ass and shut up? We are all
tired of your crap.

On 16 Dec 2002 18:00:30 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote:

>>Subject: Re: Would have been Extra sooner
>>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>>Date: 12/14/02 3:48 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20021214164805.13161.00000073@mb-fr.aol.com>
>
>>>That grant does not come close to the possible frequencies,
>>>modes, or modulations available to the rest of the radio world.
>
>>Lennie...WHAT other operator/station license issued by the FCC grants the
>>privileges or the freedom of choice that the Amateur License grants?
>
>>On the otherhand an Amateur licensee may operate QRP SSB on 160 meters at
>>one moment, but then then turn around and bounce over a kilowatt of power off
>>the moon on the opposite end of the electromagnetic spectrum.
>>
>> PLEASE, Lennie...PLEASE cite the license grant available to anyone that
>>grants THAT kind of freedom.
>
> Lennie, you've responded to two other posts in this thread without
>answering the initiating question.
>
> The question was raised in response to YOUR assertion that an Amateur
>Radio license "does not come close to the possible frequencies, modes or
>modulations available to the rest of the radio world".
>
> You made an assertion. I am asking for you to substantiate it. YOU are
>the alleged professional, so I am ASSUMING that you had SOME facts with which
>to make it. True professionals do NOT make such public assertions unless there
>is something to back it up.
>
> Shall I type it slower?
>
> Would you like for me to have a "Hooked On Phonics" kit delivered to you?
>
> Was this just an emotional outburst, foolishly uttered in the midst of
>newsgroup combat?
>
> Still waiting. Where's the answer?
>

>Steve, K4YZ
>
>

3791. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 01:36:15 UTC
From: W5TIT - Kim <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <A2C1B15E14A881A2.D86365D3191EE560.D9B40CAFE53166AE@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 57991

"Wheeler" <cwheeler@zipmailsvc.net> wrote in message
news:atkmnj$d1t$0@pita.alt.net...
> Apparently, Davidson County in Tennessee is issuing some sort
> "radio license." I saw one this weekend, and they look official.
> It matters not they are legal, since the "licensees" believe they are.
> Got in a heated discussion with one guy. Told him it wasn't worth
> the paper it was printed on. He assured me it was and he is running
> a low power FM station, using his "license" to broadcast.
> Watching how far this "license" thing will go should prove to be
> interesting.
> And for the cynics, no it is not a troll, just an item of interest to
> people interested in radio things.
>
> 73 from Wheeler
>

An excerpt from the FCC webpage (http://www.fcc.gov/lpfm/):

Low Power FM Broadcast Radio Stations
This page contains information about the Low Power FM (LPFM) radio service, which was created by the Commission in January 2000. These stations are authorized for noncommercial educational broadcasting only (no commercial operation) and operate with an effective radiated power (ERP) of 100 watts (0.1 kilowatts) or less, with maximum facilities of 100 watts ERP at 30 meters (100 feet) antenna height above average terrain (HAAT). The approximate service range of a 100 watt LPFM station is 5.6 kilometers (3.5 miles radius). LPFM stations are not protected from interference that may be received from other classes of FM stations. A construction permit is required before a LPFM station can be constructed or operated.

END OF EXCERPT

I don't know if this is what you're posting about, above, but I suspect so.

Kim W5TIT

3792. A TASTE OF REALITY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 01:43:02 UTC
From: Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch th&#65533; &#65533;nfl&#65533;pp&#65533;bl&#65533; <Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de>
Message-ID: <GivL9.775$c52.77093@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57981

"Reality Check" <me@myaddress.net> wrote in message
news:eetsvuc8aaon38a1erb3qherf3ajoi446e@4ax.com...

> Hey Steve, Lennie doesn't love you any more so why don't
> you stick your head back up your ass and shut up? We are all
> tired of your crap.

Dear Reality,

You are so correct in your posting. Lurking I have been here for two years almost, and 80% of the traffic is Steve baiting Len, or Dave baiting Len, or Brian posted trite non-intelligence, Lloyd posting purely ignorant drivel, or Dave baiting Len, or Hans baiting Len, or Len acting confused, or other nonsense. Where is the radio policy?

To all of the above I think your proctologist called and your head is ready for insertion.

BGO

3793. Re: K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 02:06:25 UTC
From: wf3h@ptnospam.com
Message-ID: <3dfe8467.120904025@news.ptdprolog.net>
Source record: 57982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 16 Dec 2002 10:25:15 GMT, Lloyd@no.spammmmm (Lloyd
Braxilwartenoski) wrote:

>On Mon, 16 Dec 2002 05:27:55 GMT, wf3h@ptnospam.com <wf3h@ptnospam.com> wrote:
>> the difference is that, in a pack of wolves known as lobbyists, the
>> ARRL defends the interests of ham radio...we know that because its
>> leaders are elected by 130K ham radio members of ARRL.
>
>According to the ARRL's own figures, that leaves 685,610 - 130,000 =
>555,610 hams who *aren't* members of the ARRL that are being "spoken
>for." (See http://www.arrl.org/fcc/stats.html)

just 'cuz someone doesnt join does not mean they do/dont support
anything. the fact is, 130K members in ARRL makes them more a
representative than any other organization.
>
>But that isn't really the point. The point is that W1AW is given
>special status by the FCC. There are many of us who think that is
>just plain wrong.

they arent given anything. and you need to get a life. w1aw has been,
is, and will be a public service.

>
>Let the ARRL register as a lobbying orginization, and also make sure
>that it is not treated as the FCC Auxiliary in its relationships with
>the federal government.

since it's routinely denied requests it makes to the FCC, such a
characterization is, to put it mildly, a fantasy.

3794. Re: K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 02:06:51 UTC
From: wf3h@ptnospam.com
Message-ID: <3dfe84e4.121028667@news.ptdprolog.net>
Source record: 57983
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 16 Dec 2002 10:25:16 GMT, Lloyd@no.spammmmm (Lloyd
Braxilwartenoski) wrote:

>On Mon, 16 Dec 2002 05:28:55 GMT, wf3h@ptnospam.com <wf3h@ptnospam.com> wrote:
>>
>> i've actually listened to k1man. it's a waste of time. he performs no
>> useful function for the benefit of ham radio. the ARRL leadership is
>> elected by its 130,000 members.
>
>And there are 556,000 hams who *aren't* ARRL members.

so what?

>

3795. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 02:25:12 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021216212512.02209.00000064@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 57985

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.h7660a8.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>On 15 Dec 2002 13:36:52 GMT, N2EY wrote:

(K7JEB wrote:)
>
>>>To be honest, though, it strains my credulity to think that the FCC
>>>could be *that* forward-looking (and that Machiavellian).
>>
>>Why? We're talking about the FCC of 50 years ago, not the FCC of
>>today or the past couple decades. The 1951 restructuring was done as a
>>sort of social engineering exercise....
>
> Remember also that the senior staffers who were involved (Bureau and
> Division Chiefs, just below the Commissioner level) were all
> old-time hams, well-versed in CW and AM, and who gave a damn about
> what ham radio was and its future. IIRC there was one Commissioner
> (George Sterling) who was a ham - the last Commissioner who was.

I did not know that! Thanks, Phil!

So it is quite possible that the FCC was indeed that forward looking - 50 years ago, almost to the day.

btw, the 1951 restructuring was due in part to petitions and proposals from two amateur groups. Neither was the ARRL. Anybody else know who they were? ;-)

73 de Jim, N2EY

73 de Jim, N2EY

3796. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 02:25:13 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021216212513.02209.00000065@mb-fz.aol.com>
Source record: 57984

In article <8JbL9.74842$hw3.10972@sccrnsc04>, "Jackie L."
<buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:

>Excellent and thoughtful post, Jim.

TNX

>I like posts that make me think, as this one did.

Now you know why Hans calls me a very dangerous man!

btw, if you like that, you might like a discussion that ran here about 2 years ago. You can find the thread in google under the title "Proud to be a Centurian". Note the misspelling of "Centurian" - makes the thread easy to find.

Also saves me a lot of typing. Enjoy!

73 de Jim, N2EY

3797. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 02:35:56 UTC
From: CW <clinton.magers@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <g4wL9.374195$WL3.114071@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 57986
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

```
The FCC tends to "tell" people in a big way.
"Chaos" <chaos@davesucks.com> wrote in message news:3dfe1d3d_5@server....
> Guess they can do what ever they want, until someone tells them different!
>
> "Wheeler" <cwheeler@zipmailsvc.net> wrote in message
> news:atkmnj$d1t$0@pita.alt.net...
> > Apparently, Davidson County in Tennessee is issuing some sort
> > "radio license." I saw one this weekend, and they look official.
> > It matters not they are legal, since the "licensees" believe they are.
> > Got in a heated discussion with one guy. Told him it wasn't worth
> > the paper it was printed on. He assured me it was and he is running
> > a low power FM station, using his "license" to broadcast.
> > Watching how far this "license" thing will go should prove to be
> > interesting.
> > And for the cynics, no it is not a troll, just an item of interest to
> > people interested in radio things.
> >
> > 73 from Wheeler
> >
> >
> >
>
>
```

3798. Re: CORRECTION: Re: K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 02:36:33 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021216213633.10292.00000369@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 57989

In article <ativ3g01mmd@enews2.newsguy.com>, "Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org>
writes:

>Of course, my references to W1MAN should be K1MAN - - - my apologies to
>W1MAN, who is likely a fine gentleman.

I'm sure he is, but I'll bet that Wï¿½MAN isn't!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3799. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 02:36:34 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021216213634.10292.00000371@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 57988

In article <20021215220800.21031.00000088@mb-fm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>>"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
>>:
>>: Doesn't mean we "need" them. We don't need to have people jumping off
>>: of bridges and falling to their deaths to know it's a bad idea.
>>:
>>
>>"We have to continually be jumping off cliffs and developing our wings on
>>the way down."
>> --KVG
>
>Thanks, Hans. You just demonstrated the diference between a half-decent
>writer
>(me) and a great one (KVG). Both saying essentially the same thing - but the
>latter says it so much better.
>
>Hi ho.
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

Jim:

I sure hope that was sarcasm, because I thought your statement was
more to the point than Hans' quote!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3800. Re: clueless newbie question.. US nation wide emegency freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 02:36:34 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021216213634.10292.00000370@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 57990

In article <3DFCA8A0.8030907@netscape.net>, ClydesdaleMTB
<ClydesdaleMTB@netscape.net> writes:

>Greetings,
>I am preparing for an extended trip around the US with my family.
>I have a 2 channel iCom 5 Watt radio that I can take with me.
>
>I would like one chanel progrmmed to a weather band and the other
>prgrammed for use to contact Police/Fire/EMS in case of life threatening
>emergency.
>
>Are there established nationwide frequncies I can have this unit
>programmed for the presribed purpose?
>
>
>Thank you for your time.

If you want to contact Police/Fire/EMS in event of an emergency, from
anywhere in the US, use the following procedure:

1. Go to nearest telephone (cell, payphone, home phone, etc.)
2. Pick up receiver, place over which ear suits you
3. Dial "911"
4. When dispatcher answers, state the nature of your emergency.
5. Follow any instructions given.

There, that's all there is to it. There is no stinking "National
Police/Fire/EMS
emergency frequency" because if there was, it would be jammed 24/7 by
Radio Hero Wannabes like yourself. For the sake of the efficiency of our
existing emergency services, and for the particular inconvenience of the
Radio Hero Wannabes like yourself, I hope there will NEVER be any kind
of "national emergency frequency" directly linked to public emergency
service providers.

BTW -- to all you Ham Hero Wannabes out there -- you have a golden
opportunity to provide something like the Clydesdale is talking about simply
by setting up a nationwide monitoring network on .52 simplex -- and
exercising it on a regular basis. Just a thought...

73 de Larry, K3LT

3801. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 02:36:35 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021216213635.10292.00000372@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 57987

In article <20021215103250.01868.00000031@mb-fx.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>
>>I'm an ethnicless generic white American.
>
> Why the adjectives, Robert? Why not just "American"...?
>
>73
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Steve:

I'm a Caucasian, Male, Middle-class, Native American (I wasn't born anywhere else) of Austro-German descent. If you don't like my adjectives, try beating yourself over the head with a 2x4 for 10 minutes or so. I'm sure it'll make you feel a lot better!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3802. Re: K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 02:47:17 UTC
From: "Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org>
Message-ID: <atm34n01tjf@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 57993
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Lloyd Braxilwartenoski" <Lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
news:857285720.1246667840@taranews.net...
> On Mon, 16 Dec 2002 05:28:55 GMT, wf3h@ptnospam.com <wf3h@ptnospam.com>
wrote:
> >
> > i've actually listened to k1man. it's a waste of time. he performs no
> > useful function for the benefit of ham radio. the ARRL leadership is
> > elected by its 130,000 members.
>
> And there are 556,000 hams who *aren't* ARRL members.

Then, by your reasoning, because 60 percent of registered voters in the US
did not vote in the 2000 presidential election -- GWBush is not president.

--

Regards,
Joe S.

>
> And I've listened to K1MAN too. So what does that have to do with
> equal treatment under the law?

3803. Re: K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 03:02:13 UTC
From: Oris <orisnospam@dirtball.com>
Message-ID: <3DFE935D.2040209@dirtball.com>
Source record: 57992
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Its just a matter of time and we will all be pirates. Keep on Jamming the airways OLD MAN. You have all ready got you hand slapped once for that kind of activity. One question what is the differance between a bulletin and a broadcast? Its been my experace is that a bulletin is on paper and it becomes a broadcast when it is put out over the air. What W1AW does is broadcast CW for the public to improve there morose code ablity. THAT IS A BROADCAST FOR THE PUBLIC!! Even by your definition of a broadcast. Right or wrong I call it the way I see it. I doesn't mean I like it but a spade is a spade. Just because something is right or wrong dosen't mean that I have to like it. Face the fact if K1MAN has to go so does W1AW. Don't get me wrong I think that W1AW needs to keep on broadcasting ARRL bullitens so new hams can get their code speed up to par. I also think that K1MAN needs to obtain a SW license and broadcast his stuff somewhere on the 41 meter band. We just don't have the room for his broadcasts in the genral portion of the phone bands. But right now as long as the FCC has not done anything to put K1MAN off the air you and anyone else jamming his broadcasts are breaking law. If I where you I would just pick my OLD (Yes your old becareful you might break a hip) ass up and move to another frequency to hold your QSOs there. I think that your not smart enough to see the simple way out. Like it or not those are the facts! You always get personal with people that don't agree with your old ways. I won't be long and we will all be pirates
MARK MY WORDS!
73 and Happy Holidays
Oris
N4CND
http://www.dirtball.com
Dan/W4NTI wrote:

>With all due respect 'Lloyd Braxilwartenoski'......take your opinion and
>shove it where it is dark.
>
>Have a nice day
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>
>"Lloyd Braxilwartenoski" <Lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
>news:PKB.dannie.boy@taranews.net...
>
>
>>On Sun, 15 Dec 2002 18:55:10 -0600, Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
>>
>>

>wrote:
>
>
>>>One thing about ole Oris....he never allows common sense to bother him a
>>>bit.
>>>
>>>
>>Pot. Kettle. Black.
>>
>>
>
>
>
>

3804. Re: Another Question For Lennie to Ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 03:14:11 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021216221411.27765.00000085@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 57994

>Subject: Re: Another Question For Lennie to Ignore
>From: Reality Check me@myaddress.net
>Date: 12/16/02 7:11 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <eetsvuc8aaon38a1erb3qherf3ajoi446e@4ax.com>

>Hey Steve, Lennie doesn't love you any more so why don't
>you stick your head back up your ass and shut up? We are all
>tired of your crap.

Dear Anonymous Troll,

And I am concerned about the opinion of someone who doesn't have the intestinal fortitude to put a name to his/her comments...WHY..?!?!?

Ergo.....KaaaPLOP

Steve, K4YZ

3805. Re: A TASTE OF REALITY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 03:17:27 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021216221727.27765.00000086@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 57995

>Subject: A TASTE OF REALITY
>From: "Gri¿½mwï¿½tch thï¿½ ï¿½nflï¿½ppï¿½blï¿½"
Gri¿½mwï¿½tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de
>
>Date: 12/16/02 7:43 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <GivL9.775$c52.77093@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

>You are so correct in your posting.

Please read what was said to the other Anonymous troll.

If you haven't got the guts to put your name to your comments, then you are summarily dismissed. Unworthy of any more than this.

>BGO

"(B)asically (G)onadless (O)ddball

KeeeeeeeeerPLOP!

Steve, K4YZ

3806. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 03:21:56 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <6p5tvu4hfkeoauouesrsu00rapk4u41qrj@4ax.com>
Source record: 57996

On 15 Dec 2002 20:13:28 -0800, lbudney-google@nb.net (Len Budney)
wrote:

>"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote:
>>
>> As a long-term Federal supervisor (22 years) who had to discharge
>> several prople, I learned first-hand that it is not impossible to
>> discharge an employee for failure to perform. All it takes is LOTS
>> of work on the part of the supervisor...
>
>I'll buy that! But in practice, raising the cost lowers the demand: if
>it takes "lots of work" to fire anyone, then firings will become much
>rarer. You're morally right to charge negligence when a supervisor
>fails to do so, but it's still a fact of life that even a highly
>motivated supervisor will fire many fewer people when the bar is
>raised so high.

It should take some effort to fire someone! We're talking
about livelihoods here. As long as there can be incompetent employees,
there can be incompetent bosses, or worse - vindictive ones. I've
worked for a few people in the dim dark past who based your worth as
an employee on your political party, the neighborhood you lived in,
and what side of the tracks you came from. If it were easy to fire
someone, many many people would be fired for some very trivial
reasons.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3807. Re: K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 03:29:48 UTC
From: LRod@removethispartpobox.com
Message-ID: <3dfe9879.791368619@netnews.att.net>
Source record: 57997
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 16 Dec 2002 21:47:17 -0500, "Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org>
wrote:

>Then, by your reasoning, because 60 percent of registered voters in the US
>did not vote in the 2000 presidential election -- GWBush is not president.

Shoot, he couldn't even win a majority of those who did vote.

LRod

Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite

Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999

http://www.woodbutcher.net

3808. Re: Another Question For Lennie to Ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 03:33:52 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <9l6tvugcsglcsjuvsbdsjeuam80of3n9a4@4ax.com>
Source record: 57998

On Tue, 17 Dec 2002 01:11:04 GMT, Reality Check <me@myaddress.net>
wrote:

>
>Hey Steve, Lennie doesn't love you any more so why don't
>you stick your head back up your ass and shut up? We are all
>tired of your crap.

Cool name, Reality. Too bad tho'. I bet a lot of people get
you mixed up with anonymous posters.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3809. Re: Another Question For Lennie to Ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 03:36:20 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021216223620.27765.00000087@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 57999

>Subject: Re: Another Question For Lennie to Ignore
>From: Reality Check me@myaddress.net
>Date: 12/16/02 7:11 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <eetsvuc8aaon38a1erb3qherf3ajoi446e@4ax.com>

>Hey Steve, Lennie doesn't love you any more so why don't
>you stick your head back up your (explitive deleted) and shut up? We are all
>tired of your crap.

I should have noted it before, but once again someone comes to Lennie's "rescue" that is (1) anonymous and (2) profane (3) has nothing "positive" to offer to the exchange except the aforementioned profanities and insults.

What's with Lennie that he can't find one, single tenured Amateur Radio operator to have the guts to stand up with him in public and use their real name and skip the profanities? Of course there IS Brian, who doesn't have a clue about the regulations he comments on, and Mark Morgan, who is a documented pathological liar and functionally illiterate. And of course we can't forget "MegHz" and "W2DNE", neither of which have ever owned up to being anybody, ergo they are nobody.

The ironic thing is that two Anonymous Trolls show up at once which leads me to believe it's probably just Lennie under ficticious ID's anyway since he refuses to answer the question vis-a-vis his assertions. They also make it a point of suggesting what's "proper" for the NG, a favorite tactic of The Licenseless One.

Two more nobodys with nothing constructive to offer...Just insults.
Again, very Lenniesque.

Why am not surprised?

Steve, K4YZ

3810. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 04:02:15 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uvt8em6nlthbc0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 58000

Should prove interesting. Commercial FM broadcasters really get their panties in a knot over unlicensed FM broadcast stations. This invariably brings down the FCC and a hefty fine (the FCC does enforce the broadcast bands as that is where the money is). I wonder if the county is thinking they can do this or if someone is running a scam and issuing 'county' licenses?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Wheeler" <cwheeler@zipmailsvc.net> wrote in message
news:atlfk0$d2t$0@pita.alt.net...
> The type of operation I observed was a low power (50 watt)
> FM broadcasting station (one-way transmissions). The guy was
> operating on what he said was an unused frequency in the commercial
> FM broadcasting band.
>
> 73,
>
> Wheeler
>
> "Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021216135950.27479.00000056@mb-bk.aol.com...
> > >Subject: Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses
> > >From: "Bob Stevens" ganderatc@yahoo.ca
> > >Date: 12/16/02 12:07 PM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <pan.2002.12.16.18.07.12.131819@yahoo.ca>
> > >
> > >On the ham bands? I know that certain locales are assigned certain UHF
> > >frequencies which they can do whatever they want to with, but for the
ham
> > >bands? There's no question in my mind as to the legality (in this case,
> > >the lack thereof) of that.
> >
> > That was my thought. The original poster said something about "low
> power
> > FM", so it does not appear to be an Amateur band impact, but it
certainly
> could
> > be if not brought under scrutiny.
> >
> > CAP is an example of an oranization that issues it's own
"callsigns".
> We
> > are under NTIA, and as a whole authorized to operate on assigned federal

> > frequencies. There is no "license" per se issued to us, only
> "authorizations"
> > by appropriate officials. CAP uses tactical callsigns, not traditional
> "K" or
> > "W" prefixed calls like FCC issued calls would.
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
>

3811. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 04:10:44 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uvt8uh7gv650ec@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57851
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

I'm more curious now. I just visited the Nashville and Davidson County website. Maybe the idiot paid for a 'business' license. You certainly may have a radio station and pay for a business license, but you'll need the FCC license to legally broadcast. Nothing on the website about a 'broadcasting' license.

73 from Rochester, N.Y.
Jim

"Wheeler" <cwheeler@zipmailsvc.net> wrote in message
news:atkmnj$d1t$0@pita.alt.net...
> Apparently, Davidson County in Tennessee is issuing some sort
> "radio license." I saw one this weekend, and they look official.
> It matters not they are legal, since the "licensees" believe they are.
> Got in a heated discussion with one guy. Told him it wasn't worth
> the paper it was printed on. He assured me it was and he is running
> a low power FM station, using his "license" to broadcast.
> Watching how far this "license" thing will go should prove to be
> interesting.
> And for the cynics, no it is not a troll, just an item of interest to
> people interested in radio things.
>
> 73 from Wheeler
>
>
>

3812. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 04:31:44 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <QMxL9.1064$c52.105601@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57852

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote

: btw, the 1951 restructuring was due in part to petitions and proposals
from two
: amateur groups. Neither was the ARRL. Anybody else know who they were? ;-)

K1MAN and BARF.

3813. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 04:49:41 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <uvtb7j9nnfh442@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57853

Kim, it's been a while since I read about the low power FM stations; I
believe they are still licensed by the FCC.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:A2C1B15E14A881A2.D86365D3191EE560.D9B40CAFE53166AE@lp.airnews.net...
>
> An excerpt from the FCC webpage (http://www.fcc.gov/lpfm/):
>
> Low Power FM Broadcast Radio Stations
> This page contains information about the Low Power FM (LPFM) radio
service,
> which was created by the Commission in January 2000. These stations are
> authorized for noncommercial educational broadcasting only (no commercial
> operation) and operate with an effective radiated power (ERP) of 100 watts
> (0.1 kilowatts) or less, with maximum facilities of 100 watts ERP at 30
> meters (100 feet) antenna height above average terrain (HAAT). The
> approximate service range of a 100 watt LPFM station is 5.6 kilometers
(3.5
> miles radius). LPFM stations are not protected from interference that may
be
> received from other classes of FM stations. A construction permit is
> required before a LPFM station can be constructed or operated.
>
> END OF EXCERPT
>
> I don't know if this is what you're posting about, above, but I suspect
so.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
>

3814. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 04:56:38 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <a8yL9.1087$c52.109649@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57854

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote

:
: Now you know why Hans calls me a very dangerous man!
:

Don't flatter yourself, Jim.

I called you a dangerous man, for the same reason that I consider Trent Lott
a dangerous man, based on your characterization of the nature of our
society.

Here is your quote:

"Any human activity has a class structure of some kind."

That statement, particularly because you chose to defend it, sets you aside
with a category of people who I consider to be in opposition of the "self
evident truths" such as "all men are created equal".

de Hans, K0HB
--
If you make people think they're thinking, they'll love you;
but if you really make them think, they'll hate you.

3815. Re: A TASTE OF REALITY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 05:46:24 UTC
From: Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch th&#65533; &#65533;nfl&#65533;pp&#65533;bl&#65533; <Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de>
Message-ID: <QSyL9.1174$c52.115823@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57855

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021216221727.27765.00000086@mb-mk.aol.com...

> If you haven't got the guts to put your name to your comments, then
you are
> summarily dismissed. Unworthy of any more than this.

It implore you, Lenard, Hans, Dick, Dave, and the rest of the eedjits to go flog each other in private and return this frequency to regular amateur radio useage. We don't have care about your p&#65533;ssing contests.

Barnabas Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch Overbyte, DL7BGO

3816. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 06:37:33 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <atmgndted0@208.207.71.169>
Source record: 57856

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:

> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote
>
> :
> : Now you know why Hans calls me a very dangerous man!
> :
>
> Don't flatter yourself, Jim.
>
> I called you a dangerous man, for the same reason that I consider Trent Lott
> a dangerous man, based on your characterization of the nature of our
> society.
>
> Here is your quote:
>
> "Any human activity has a class structure of some kind."
>
> That statement, particularly because you chose to defend it, sets you aside
> with a category of people who I consider to be in opposition of the "self
> evident truths" such as "all men are created equal".

Jim's statment makes you think he's a dangerous man. Yours makes me think
you're a fool for not ralizing that fact already.

3817. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 11:36:59 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021217063659.15917.00000103@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 57858

In article <a8yL9.1087$c52.109649@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote
>:
>: Now you know why Hans calls me a very dangerous man!
>:
>Don't flatter yourself, Jim.

Dirty job, but somebody's gotta do it.
>
>I called you a dangerous man, for the same reason that I consider Trent Lott
>a dangerous man, based on your characterization of the nature of our
>society.

OW!
>
>Here is your quote:
>
> "Any human activity has a class structure of some kind."

Is that not true?
>
>That statement, particularly because you chose to defend it, sets you aside
>with a category of people who I consider to be in opposition of the "self
>evident truths" such as "all men are created equal".

Out of context, Hans, on two counts. Some class structures are good, some are bad.

Example of a good class structure: Dividing education into grade levels based on the developmental level of the students, so that the class matches the educational readiness of the students.

Example of a bad class structure: Arbitrarily dividing/categorizing people by race, ethnicity, gender, or other charateristics (code speed?) that have nothing to do with the situation at hand.

"All men are created equal" refers to the basic rights of humans, and was meant as a direct rebuttal to the concept of nobles and commoners having different rights under law due solely to who their papa was, and whether papa and mama were married.

Guess I should have bought a copy of "Welcome To The Monkey House" when I was in Borders' last night.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3818. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 11:37:00 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021217063700.15917.00000104@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 57857

In article <QMxL9.1064$c52.105601@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote
>
>: btw, the 1951 restructuring was due in part to petitions and proposals
>from two
>: amateur groups. Neither was the ARRL. Anybody else know who they were? ;-)
>
>K1MAN and BARF.

BZZZZZT - WRONG!

All your base are belong to us.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3819. Re: Another Question For Lennie to Ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 11:38:50 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212170338.41edc548@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57859

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021216223620.27765.00000087@mb-mk.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Another Question For Lennie to Ignore
> >From: Reality Check me@myaddress.net
> >Date: 12/16/02 7:11 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <eetsvuc8aaon38a1erb3qherf3ajoi446e@4ax.com>
>
> >Hey Steve, Lennie doesn't love you any more so why don't
> >you stick your head back up your (explitive deleted) and shut up? We are all
> >tired of your crap.
>
> I should have noted it before, but once again someone comes to Lennie's
> "rescue" that is (1) anonymous and (2) profane (3) has nothing "positive" to
> offer to the exchange except the aforementioned profanities and insults.

I should have noted it before, but once again someone comes to
Lennie's attack that is (1) Steve, (2) Robeson, and (3) K4YZ. Who has
nothing "positive" to offer to the exchange except the aforementioned
rants and insults.

Nothing new there.

Brian/N0iMD

3820. Re: A TASTE OF REALITY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 12:23:19 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021217072319.12070.00000075@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 57860

>Subject: Re: A TASTE OF REALITY
>From: "Gri¿½mwï¿½tch thï¿½ ï¿½nflï¿½ppï¿½blï¿½"
Gri¿½mwï¿½tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de
>
>Date: 12/16/02 11:46 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <QSyL9.1174$c52.115823@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

>It implore you, Lenard, Hans, Dick, Dave, and the rest of the eedjits to go
>flog each other in private and return this frequency to regular amateur
>radio useage.

This not BEING a radio "frequency", it's not available for "regular amateur
radio usage".

>We don't have care about your pï¿½ssing contests.

Then ignore them.

>Barnabas Gri¿½mwï¿½tch Overbyte, DL7BGO

An anonymous putz AND a bootlegger. Whew...Glad I am not being "dissed" by
any old regular punk.

3821. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 12:25:29 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021217072529.12070.00000076@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 57861

>Subject: Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses
>From: "Jim Hampton" aa2qa@frontiernet.net
>Date: 12/16/02 10:10 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <uvt8uh7gv650ec@corp.supernews.com>

>
>I'm more curious now. I just visited the Nashville and Davidson County
>website. Maybe the idiot paid for a 'business' license. You certainly may
>have a radio station and pay for a business license, but you'll need the FCC
>license to legally broadcast. Nothing on the website about a 'broadcasting'
>license.

That's a good point, Jim...I didn't think of that. But the original
poster did say he tried to tell the "broadcaster" that his "license" was not
sufficient for what he was using it for.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3822. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 12:37:23 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021217073723.12070.00000077@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 57862

>Subject: Re: Would have been Extra sooner
>From: "Kï¿½HB" kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG
>Date: 12/16/02 10:56 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <a8yL9.1087$c52.109649@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
>
>
>
>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote
>
>:
>: Now you know why Hans calls me a very dangerous man!
>:
>
>Don't flatter yourself, Jim.
>
>I called you a dangerous man, for the same reason that I consider Trent Lott
>a dangerous man, based on your characterization of the nature of our
>society.
>
>Here is your quote:
>
> "Any human activity has a class structure of some kind."
>
>That statement, particularly because you chose to defend it, sets you aside
>with a category of people who I consider to be in opposition of the "self
>evident truths" such as "all men are created equal".

Your problem here, Hans, is that you do not understand human development
or the need for humankind to seek a support structure of it's own.

Even among ants there are different "classes" (castes, divisions, what
ever you care to use as a defining term), and there is the same in almost all
species of mammal. There is a leader, a "queen", followers, workers, soldiers,
foragers, etc.

Can you honestly say that there is NO "class structure" in human activity?
There is NO "society", even tribal, where these patterns do not manifest?
There are eople living in a truely chaotic, unorganized fashion?

The difference between us and the animals is that we can conciously
overcome some of this propensity to "classify" people by race/gender/age, etc,
and allow them the opportunity to rise or fall to rise or fall on thier own
merits or misdeeds.

There was nothing "dangerous" in Jim's comments. It was quite accurate.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3823. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 12:53:24 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212170453.5daceb73@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57863

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021216135950.27479.00000056@mb-bk.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses
> >From: "Bob Stevens" ganderatc@yahoo.ca
> >Date: 12/16/02 12:07 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <pan.2002.12.16.18.07.12.131819@yahoo.ca>
> >
> >On the ham bands? I know that certain locales are assigned certain UHF
> >frequencies which they can do whatever they want to with, but for the ham
> >bands? There's no question in my mind as to the legality (in this case,
> >the lack thereof) of that.
>
> That was my thought. The original poster said something about "low power
> FM", so it does not appear to be an Amateur band impact, but it certainly could
> be if not brought under scrutiny.
>
> CAP is an example of an oranization that issues it's own "callsigns". We
> are under NTIA, and as a whole authorized to operate on assigned federal
> frequencies. There is no "license" per se issued to us, only "authorizations"
> by appropriate officials. CAP uses tactical callsigns, not traditional "K" or
> "W" prefixed calls like FCC issued calls would.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, he could be TN militia. You know something about that, don't you?

3824. Re: 34 Years Ago Today

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 12:57:01 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212170457.51000dc7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57864

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021215175141.27765.00000066@mb-mk.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: 34 Years Ago Today
> >From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
> >Date: 12/15/02 3:07 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <20021215160759.22260.00000261@mb-md.aol.com>
>
> >One has to wonder about his priorities then and now.
>
> Coming from a person who spends countless hours in a Newsgroup dedicated
> to an avocation that he has no vested interest in, this is FUNNY!
>
> >This newsgroup does have some other niceties such as the
> > not-yet-amateurized Missourian...(SNIP)
>
> Ahhhh...but he IS licensed. He came in unlicensed, asked questions,
> participated AND got his license...UNLIKE some people we know!
>
> Time to "put up", Lennie, since you won't "shut up", and get your license.

I wonder how Val is doing on his Morse/Farnsworth practice?

It's been a long time since he's given us an update. He sure was a
sweetheart for a while there, saying all the right things.

3825. Steve Robeson ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 13:14:21 UTC
From: NewGuy <me@myaddress.net>
Message-ID: <5n6uvuk3eim2h7b7fomjhj3grs46jgqdk0@4ax.com>
Source record: 57865

What is this fellow's obsession with this Lenny?

Is this Steve fellow a rejected lover, a stalker or
just your garden variety psychopath?

Does this abhorrent behavior have something
to do with amateur radio?

Why has Robeson lived so many places, is it
that he can't hold a job?

Inquiring minds want to know.

3826. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 13:50:12 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212170550.20fb7c12@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57866

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021216213634.10292.00000371@mb-ba.aol.com>...
> In article <20021215220800.21031.00000088@mb-fm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> writes:
>
> >>"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> >>:
> >>: Doesn't mean we "need" them. We don't need to have people jumping off
> >>: of bridges and falling to their deaths to know it's a bad idea.
> >>:
> >>
> >>"We have to continually be jumping off cliffs and developing our wings on
> >>the way down."
> >> --KVG
> >
> >Thanks, Hans. You just demonstrated the diference between a half-decent
> >writer
> >(me) and a great one (KVG). Both saying essentially the same thing - but the
> >latter says it so much better.
> >
> >Hi ho.
> >
> >73 de Jim, N2EY
>
> Jim:
>
> I sure hope that was sarcasm, because I thought your statement was
> more to the point than Hans' quote!

No sarcasm intended, Larry. The KVG quote is simply better writing
than mine.

Kurt Vonnegut and I were "created equal", and we're both writers. But
there's no way in the world I'm in the same class as he in terms of
writing.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3827. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 14:34:47 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212170634.17cee01e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57867

"W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<A2C1B15E14A881A2.D86365D3191EE560.D9B40CAFE53166AE@lp.airnews.net>...
> "Wheeler" <cwheeler@zipmailsvc.net> wrote in message
> news:atkmnj$d1t$0@pita.alt.net...
> > Apparently, Davidson County in Tennessee is issuing some sort
> > "radio license." I saw one this weekend, and they look official.
> > It matters not they are legal, since the "licensees" believe they are.
> > Got in a heated discussion with one guy. Told him it wasn't worth
> > the paper it was printed on. He assured me it was and he is running
> > a low power FM station, using his "license" to broadcast.
> > Watching how far this "license" thing will go should prove to be
> > interesting.
> > And for the cynics, no it is not a troll, just an item of interest to
> > people interested in radio things.
> >
> > 73 from Wheeler
> >
>
> An excerpt from the FCC webpage (http://www.fcc.gov/lpfm/):
>
> Low Power FM Broadcast Radio Stations
> This page contains information about the Low Power FM (LPFM) radio service,
> which was created by the Commission in January 2000. These stations are
> authorized for noncommercial educational broadcasting only (no commercial
> operation) and operate with an effective radiated power (ERP) of 100 watts
> (0.1 kilowatts) or less, with maximum facilities of 100 watts ERP at 30
> meters (100 feet) antenna height above average terrain (HAAT). The
> approximate service range of a 100 watt LPFM station is 5.6 kilometers (3.5
> miles radius). LPFM stations are not protected from interference that may be
> received from other classes of FM stations. A construction permit is
> required before a LPFM station can be constructed or operated.
>
> END OF EXCERPT
>
> I don't know if this is what you're posting about, above, but I suspect so.
>
> Kim W5TIT

Right. I dunno if it can be called a "service" or a "sub-service" or
what but from what I've read the FCC intent for the authorization of
these stations involved, for one, to provide low-power broadcasts for
urban ethnic groups in their ghettos which the "majors" do not serve.
"Gettos" taken in it's historic connotation, a concentration of
immigrants with English as their second language. If at all. Dunno

about a county getting into the act, that sounds very fishy.

w3rv

3828. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 14:53:37 UTC
From: lbudney-google@nb.net
Message-ID: <417b917a.0212170653.48238876@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57868

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
>>
>> I'll buy that! But in practice, raising the cost lowers the
>> demand: if it takes "lots of work" to fire anyone, then
>> firings will become much rarer.
>
> It should take some effort to fire someone! We're talking about livelihoods
> here.

In the case of baggage inspectors, you're wrong: we're talking *lives*
here. But even in general, your statement is highly debatable: you are
suggesting that you, as an employer, are obligated to provide a living
to a person who cannot execute the work required of them. That is, you
are obligated to pay people for NOT working. Is this morally, let
alone legally, true?

Most people should be responsible for their own livelihood; if they
can't perform one job, they should go find another that they CAN
perform. As for those people who truly cannot in any way support
themselves, I believe in giving them charity--but why must it take the
form of putting them on the payroll and pretending that they are
performing a job that they aren't? I can think of much more
efficient--not to mention honest--ways of delivering charity.

> As long as there can be incompetent employees, there can be incompetent
> bosses, or worse - vindictive ones...

Sure--I've worked with such people too. But that doesn't really
provide a good argument that bosses should not be empowered to fire;
the incompetent and/or vindictive boss should simply be fired by HIS
boss. And if he's the owner, then the employees have many options:
find another job--which, if all employees agree, will drive him out of
business; strike, picket and otherwise engage in collective
bargaining; seek tort relief, if applicable; etc.

--Len.

3829. Re: Steve Robeson ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 14:56:06 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021217095606.13962.00000067@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 57869

>From: NewGuy me@myaddress.net
>Date: 12/17/02 7:14 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <5n6uvuk3eim2h7b7fomjhj3grs46jgqdk0@4ax.com>

>What is this fellow's obsession with this Lenny?

Perhaps if you'd been paying attention, you'd know. But I get the feeling
you HAVE been paying attention, and this anonymous screen name is simply your
way of dodging questions already put to you.

>Is this Steve fellow a rejected lover, a stalker or
>just your garden variety psychopath?

Yep...Sure sounds like Lennie. Makes stupid errors, get's "called" on
them, then tries to redirect the challenge awya from his own misdeeds.

>Does this abhorrent behavior have something
>to do with amateur radio?

It does when dealing with Lennie the Liar.

>Why has Robeson lived so many places, is it
>that he can't hold a job?
>
>Inquiring minds want to know.

Know >>!<< know...Come out come out where ever you are, LENNIE!

Sheeesh. What a PUTZ.

Steve, K4YZ

3830. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 16:30:24 UTC
From: Doug Smith <me@invalid.invalid>
Message-ID: <3DFF5120.FEA3370B@invalid.invalid>
Source record: 57870
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Bob Stevens wrote:
> On the ham bands? I know that certain locales are assigned certain UHF
> frequencies which they can do whatever they want to with, but for the ham
> bands? There's no question in my mind as to the legality (in this case,
> the lack thereof) of that.

I don't think they're allowed to reassign those frequencies to anyone
else. They're strictly for use for community business. (garbage
trucks, landscapers, overflow spectrum for police, whatever)
--
Doug Smith W9WI
Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
http://www.w9wi.com

3831. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 16:31:32 UTC
From: Doug Smith <me@invalid.invalid>
Message-ID: <3DFF5164.13F3BCF7@invalid.invalid>
Source record: 57871
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Wheeler wrote:
> Apparently, Davidson County in Tennessee is issuing some sort
> "radio license." I saw one this weekend, and they look official.
> It matters not they are legal, since the "licensees" believe they are.
> Got in a heated discussion with one guy. Told him it wasn't worth
> the paper it was printed on. He assured me it was and he is running
> a low power FM station, using his "license" to broadcast.
> Watching how far this "license" thing will go should prove to be
> interesting.
> And for the cynics, no it is not a troll, just an item of interest to
> people interested in radio things.

Got any idea what frequency he thinks he's licensed for? Been awhile
since I've logged a FM pirate...

(what division of Metro Government does he think issued this?)
--
Doug Smith W9WI
Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
http://www.w9wi.com

3832. Re: K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 17:10:50 UTC
From: jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu
Message-ID: <atnlqq$4ga$1@news.hawaii.edu>
Source record: 57872
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Lloyd Braxilwartenoski <Lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote:
>According to the ARRL's own figures, that leaves 685,610 - 130,000 =
>555,610 hams who *aren't* members of the ARRL that are being "spoken
>for." (See http://www.arrl.org/fcc/stats.html)

"... There is no such thing as organization if each one of us starts out
to be thoroughly selfish. If all our efforts are to be directed solely
for the benefit of self, we are purely individual and able to take
about as much form as the individual sands of the sea. We are an
incoherent, uncontrolled crowd. On the other hand, if just a little
of our efforts is devoted to the common cause, we automatically
establish organization and efficiency and protection, and everything
else that is elevating, improving and worth the having... When
an amateur ask that old-time question, "What do I get out of joining
the ARRL?" the answer should be, "Protection." He cannot have it
unless somebody joins an organization and does the work. Unless he
joins and does his bit, he must not complain when his fellows place
him in the list of unenviables who are not willing to do any work
themselves..."

Hiram Percy Maxim, in QST for November, 1919.

Jeff KH6O

--
Operations Specialist Mathematics Lecturer
U.S. Coast Guard Kapiolani College
Dept. of Homeland Security University of Hawaii System

3833. Re: clueless newbie question.. US nation wide emegency freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 18:04:50 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <uvupphji5502b4@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57873

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021216213634.10292.00000370@mb-ba.aol.com...
> In article <3DFCA8A0.8030907@netscape.net>, ClydesdaleMTB
> <ClydesdaleMTB@netscape.net> writes:
>
> >Greetings,
> >I am preparing for an extended trip around the US with my family.
> >I have a 2 channel iCom 5 Watt radio that I can take with me.
> >
> >I would like one chanel progrmmed to a weather band and the other
> >prgrammed for use to contact Police/Fire/EMS in case of life threatening
> >emergency.
> >
> >Are there established nationwide frequncies I can have this unit
> >programmed for the presribed purpose?
> >
> >
> >Thank you for your time.
>
> If you want to contact Police/Fire/EMS in event of an emergency, from
> anywhere in the US, use the following procedure:
>
> 1. Go to nearest telephone (cell, payphone, home phone, etc.)
> 2. Pick up receiver, place over which ear suits you
> 3. Dial "911"
> 4. When dispatcher answers, state the nature of your emergency.
> 5. Follow any instructions given.
>

That's good advice for ALL amateur operators.

> There, that's all there is to it. There is no stinking "National
> Police/Fire/EMS
> emergency frequency" because if there was, it would be jammed 24/7 by
> Radio Hero Wannabes like yourself.

Ain't that the truth. BTW 155.475 MHz is a "nation wide"
emergency frequency for POLICE USE ONLY.

> For the sake of the efficiency of our
> existing emergency services, and for the particular inconvenience of the
> Radio Hero Wannabes like yourself, I hope there will NEVER be any kind
> of "national emergency frequency" directly linked to public emergency
> service providers.

Agree 110%

>
> BTW -- to all you Ham Hero Wannabes out there -- you have a golden
> opportunity to provide something like the Clydesdale is talking about
simply
> by setting up a nationwide monitoring network on .52 simplex -- and
> exercising it on a regular basis. Just a thought...

And a darn good one to. If hams monitored .52 and made sure they had
or were near a phone to summon the proper authority VIA TELEPHONE,
the public safety workers would have a lot easier time in their jobs.

>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

You can legally use any frequency to summon help during a emergency,
but you had better have a REAL good reason for using a police, fire,
or military frequency when you do or else say good bye to that ham
license for out of band transmissions. That is if the authorities will even
answer you on their frequency, most likely they won't! Around here the
dispatchers ask for your unit number when you call in. If you don't
provide one that they recognize as being a valid number they won't
do anything for you at all.

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

3834. Re: K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 18:38:39 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021217133839.29415.00000118@mb-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 57874

In article <atnlqq$4ga$1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey Herman) writes:

>else that is elevating, improving and worth the having... When
>an amateur ask that old-time question, "What do I get out of joining
>the ARRL?" the answer should be, "Protection." He cannot have it
>unless somebody joins an organization and does the work. Unless he
>joins and does his bit, he must not complain when his fellows place
>him in the list of unenviables who are not willing to do any work
>themselves..."
>
>Hiram Percy Maxim, in QST for November, 1919.

...so, it was all a "Protection" racket started 88 years ago? :-)

Jeffie, this isn't 1919, it is the year 2002. I would suggest contacting QST fulfillment for faster delivery...

3835. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 18:38:39 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021217133839.29415.00000117@mb-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 57875

In article <20021217063659.15917.00000103@mb-cs.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <a8yL9.1087$c52.109649@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
><kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:
>
>>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote
>>:
>>: Now you know why Hans calls me a very dangerous man!
>>:
>>Don't flatter yourself, Jim.
>
>Dirty job, but somebody's gotta do it.

Don't get your cape caught in the telephone booth door
again, OK?

>>I called you a dangerous man, for the same reason that I consider Trent Lott
>>a dangerous man, based on your characterization of the nature of our
>>society.
>
>OW!

By years of photographic evidence published nationally, US
amateur radio is predominently made up of WHITE males.

>>Here is your quote:
>>
>> "Any human activity has a class structure of some kind."
>
>Is that not true?

Of course...humankind is made up of novices, technicians,
generals, advanceds, and extras...

>>That statement, particularly because you chose to defend it, sets you aside
>>with a category of people who I consider to be in opposition of the "self
>>evident truths" such as "all men are created equal".
>
>Out of context, Hans, on two counts. Some class structures are good, some are
>bad.

Class is dismissed...go home.

>Example of a good class structure: Dividing education into grade levels based
>on the developmental level of the students, so that the class matches the
>educational readiness of the students.

Class is dismissed...school is over, Kurt Wannagut.

>Example of a bad class structure: Arbitrarily dividing/categorizing people by
>race, ethnicity, gender, or other charateristics (code speed?) that have
>nothing to do with the situation at hand.

By years of photographic evidence published nationally, US
amateur radio is predominently made up of WHITE males.

"Real hams" are those who are true-blue morsemen, forever
and ever. All the rest are inferior class CBplusserss.
Everyone gets the class picture.

>"All men are created equal" refers to the basic rights of humans, and was
meant
>as a direct rebuttal to the concept of nobles and commoners having different
>rights under law due solely to who their papa was, and whether papa and mama
>were married.

This is getting confusing...first, Kurt Wannagut and Kurt Vonnegut
are "both writers," but now the first is also Thomas Jefferson?!?

>Guess I should have bought a copy of "Welcome To The Monkey House" when I was
>in Borders' last night.

Borders is aligned for business with Amazon.com, Inc. Of course,
Amazon.com went bankrupt a long time ago, didn't it?

3836. Re: A TASTE OF REALITY

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 18:56:37 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3DFF7365.9060403@psu.edu>
Source record: 57876

Grï¿½mwï¿½tch thï¿½ ï¿½nflï¿½ppï¿½blï¿½ wrote:

> You are so correct in your posting. Lurking I have been here for two years
> almost, <some snippage>

AHA! I thought you were posting under an alias, Grï¿½mwï¿½tch. Through your distinctive communication patterns, it is obvious you are the famous Jedi Knight, Yoda! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

3837. Re: K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 19:49:57 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <atnv55$ho4$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57877
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I had a bit of difficulty understanding all this incoherent blabber, but I must address some of the more readable areas.

A. His position on pirates is from one of experience. You see he was BUSTED by the FCC for being a pirate broadcaster. This by his own admission.

B. His claim of my jamming is interesting, and a total fabrication. I'll just add this ...it is a FELONY to LIE to a FEDERAL OFFICIAL. 'MARK MY WORDS'.

He know what I mean.

C. I have already defined 'Broadcasting' . No one else has a problem with it. Why is that Orfis does? Remember he is suffering from brain damage.

D. If you don't care for me getting personal. Then stay the hell out of my way.

E. As for 'breaking a hip'.. Could be Orfis...but it wont be mine.

Your punt fool.

Dan/W4NTI

"Oris" <orisnospam@dirtball.com> wrote in message news:3DFE935D.2040209@dirtball.com...
Its just a matter of time and we will all be pirates. Keep on Jamming the airways OLD MAN. You have all ready got you hand slapped once for that kind of activity. One question what is the differance between a bulletin and a broadcast? Its been my experace is that a bulletin is on paper and it becomes a broadcast when it is put out over the air. What W1AW does is broadcast CW for the public to improve there morose code ablity. THAT IS A BROADCAST FOR THE PUBLIC!! Even by your definition of a broadcast. Right or wrong I call it the way I see it. I doesn't mean I like it but a spade is a spade. Just because something is right or wrong dosen't mean that I have to like it. Face the fact if K1MAN has to go so does W1AW. Don't get me wrong I think that W1AW needs to keep on broadcasting ARRL bullitens so new hams can get their code speed up to par. I also think that K1MAN needs to obtain a SW license and broadcast his stuff somewhere on the 41 meter band. We just don't have the room for his broadcasts in the genral portion of the phone bands. But right now as long as the FCC has not done anything to put K1MAN off the air you and anyone else jamming his broadcasts are breaking law. If I where you I would just pick my OLD (Yes your old becareful you might break a hip) ass up and move to another frequency to hold your QSOs there. I think that your not smart enough to see the simple way out. Like it or not those are the facts! You always get personal with people that don't agree with your old ways. I won't be long and we will all be pirates MARK MY WORDS!
73 and Happy Holidays
Oris
N4CND
http://www.dirtball.com
Dan/W4NTI wrote:

With all due respect 'Lloyd Braxilwartenoski'......take your opinion and shove it where it is dark.

Have a nice day

Dan/W4NTI

"Lloyd Braxilwartenoski" <Lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message news:PKB.dannie.boy@taranews.net...
On Sun, 15 Dec 2002 18:55:10 -0600, Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote:
One thing about ole Oris....he never allows common sense to bother him a bit.
Pot. Kettle. Black.

3838. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 20:18:46 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ato0r3$db0$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57878

Go out and find a book called "The Peters Principle" by a Doctor Peters
(Imagine that!!). It came out several years ago.

It will explain it all to you both.

Dan/W4NTI

"Len Budney" <lbudney-google@nb.net> wrote in message
news:417b917a.0212170653.48238876@posting.google.com...
> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
> >>
> >> I'll buy that! But in practice, raising the cost lowers the
> >> demand: if it takes "lots of work" to fire anyone, then
> >> firings will become much rarer.
> >
> > It should take some effort to fire someone! We're talking about
livelihoods
> > here.
>
> In the case of baggage inspectors, you're wrong: we're talking *lives*
> here. But even in general, your statement is highly debatable: you are
> suggesting that you, as an employer, are obligated to provide a living
> to a person who cannot execute the work required of them. That is, you
> are obligated to pay people for NOT working. Is this morally, let
> alone legally, true?
>
> Most people should be responsible for their own livelihood; if they
> can't perform one job, they should go find another that they CAN
> perform. As for those people who truly cannot in any way support
> themselves, I believe in giving them charity--but why must it take the
> form of putting them on the payroll and pretending that they are
> performing a job that they aren't? I can think of much more
> efficient--not to mention honest--ways of delivering charity.
>
> > As long as there can be incompetent employees, there can be incompetent
> > bosses, or worse - vindictive ones...
>
> Sure--I've worked with such people too. But that doesn't really
> provide a good argument that bosses should not be empowered to fire;
> the incompetent and/or vindictive boss should simply be fired by HIS
> boss. And if he's the owner, then the employees have many options:
> find another job--which, if all employees agree, will drive him out of
> business; strike, picket and otherwise engage in collective
> bargaining; seek tort relief, if applicable; etc.
>

> --Len.

3839. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 20:20:19 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ato16uhdl1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57879

Dang Larry...that sure is a load to carry around. I don't think I could remember all of those things. When asked I just say Im a American. And sometimes I mention mongrol too. hi.

Dan/W4NTI

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message news:20021216213635.10292.00000372@mb-ba.aol.com...
> In article <20021215103250.01868.00000031@mb-fx.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve
> Robeson K4YZ) writes:
>
> >
> >>I'm an ethnicless generic white American.
> >
> > Why the adjectives, Robert? Why not just "American"...?
> >
> >73
> >
> >Steve, K4YZ
>
> Steve:
>
> I'm a Caucasian, Male, Middle-class, Native American (I wasn't born
> anywhere else) of Austro-German descent. If you don't like my
> adjectives, try beating yourself over the head with a 2x4 for 10 minutes
> or so. I'm sure it'll make you feel a lot better!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

3840. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 20:21:19 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ato0vr$4ji$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57880

There ain't no such thing as "created equal". Was a lie that is.

Dan/W4NTI

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0212170550.20fb7c12@posting.google.com...
> yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021216213634.10292.00000371@mb-ba.aol.com>...
> > In article <20021215220800.21031.00000088@mb-fm.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
(N2EY)
> > writes:
> >
> > >>"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote
> > >>:
> > >>: Doesn't mean we "need" them. We don't need to have people jumping
off
> > >>: of bridges and falling to their deaths to know it's a bad idea.
> > >>:
> > >>
> > >>"We have to continually be jumping off cliffs and developing our wings
on
> > >>the way down."
> > >> --KVG
> > >
> > >Thanks, Hans. You just demonstrated the diference between a half-decent
> > >writer
> > >(me) and a great one (KVG). Both saying essentially the same thing -
but the
> > >latter says it so much better.
> > >
> > >Hi ho.
> > >
> > >73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
> > Jim:
> >
> > I sure hope that was sarcasm, because I thought your statement was
> > more to the point than Hans' quote!
>
> No sarcasm intended, Larry. The KVG quote is simply better writing
> than mine.
>
> Kurt Vonnegut and I were "created equal", and we're both writers. But
> there's no way in the world I'm in the same class as he in terms of
> writing.

>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

3841. Re: K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 20:22:19 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <ato11n$2s1$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57881

No Lenny it was/is a "Cover OUR ASS" thingy. Pay attention.

Dan/W4NTI

"Len Over 21" <lenover21@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021217133839.29415.00000118@mb-ma.aol.com...
> In article <atnlqq$4ga$1@news.hawaii.edu>, jeffreyh@Hawaii.Edu (Jeffrey
Herman)
> writes:
>
> >else that is elevating, improving and worth the having... When
> >an amateur ask that old-time question, "What do I get out of joining
> >the ARRL?" the answer should be, "Protection." He cannot have it
> >unless somebody joins an organization and does the work. Unless he
> >joins and does his bit, he must not complain when his fellows place
> >him in the list of unenviables who are not willing to do any work
> >themselves..."
> >
> >Hiram Percy Maxim, in QST for November, 1919.
>
> ...so, it was all a "Protection" racket started 88 years ago? :-)
>
> Jeffie, this isn't 1919, it is the year 2002. I would suggest
> contacting QST fulfillment for faster delivery...
>
>

3842. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 21:24:52 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <ECML9.2028$1a1.210958@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 57882
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"Doug Smith" <me@invalid.invalid> wrote

:
: Got any idea what frequency he thinks he's licensed for? Been awhile
: since I've logged a FM pirate...
:

Tks for the ARL10 QSO Doug. Strange conditions, with lots of MS and
sporadic E. Hope you did well.

73, Hans, K0HB

3843. Re: K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-17 22:37:29 UTC
From: signalchaser <williamsmith208@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <uvv9ph8pr3s635@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 57883
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

You know Lloyd, for all of your claims of being a Christian, you sure are doing a fine job in discrediting the faith in others eyes through your actions

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message news:atj8f4$4m5$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> Of course I have....you obviously have difficulty recognizing it tho.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> news:3dfd01a1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
> >
> > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> > news:atipsktom1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > Are you really as dumb as you sound? Or are you just trying too hard?
> > >
> > > Dan/W4NTI
> > >
> >
> > You've never embraced Logic, have you?
> >
> >
> > --
> > Jesus Christ is coming in your lifetime - R.G. Stair
> > "The bulletin service is here to stay"- K1MAN
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3844. Re: K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-18 00:20:09 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <atoevj$3m5$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57884
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
Lloyd is just another example of why Christians get bad press.

Dan/W4NTI

"signalchaser" <williamsmith208@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:uvv9ph8pr3s635@corp.supernews.com...
> You know Lloyd, for all of your claims of being a Christian, you sure are
> doing a fine job in discrediting the faith in others eyes through your
> actions
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:atj8f4$4m5$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Of course I have....you obviously have difficulty recognizing it tho.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > "N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote in message
> > news:3dfd01a1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
> > >
> > > "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> > > news:atipsk$tom$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net...
> > > > Are you really as dumb as you sound? Or are you just trying too
hard?
> > > >
> > > > Dan/W4NTI
> > > >
> > >
> > > You've never embraced Logic, have you?
> > >
> > >
> > > --
> > > Jesus Christ is coming in your lifetime - R.G. Stair
> > > "The bulletin service is here to stay"- K1MAN
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>
```

3845. Re: DXer Phonetics (Was Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-18 01:59:08 UTC
From: Extra ClassY <extra_classy@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <qhlvvuk4krn7e1ngfnf5ekqclgma3gr427@4ax.com>
Source record: 57885
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

On 9 Dec 2002 04:50:10 -0800, brianburke@juno.com (Brian) was like:

>I used "Isuzu, Mitsubishi, Daihatsu" with a JA operator when standard
>"fonetics" didn't work.
>
>Brian/N0iMD

And the JA operator replied, "WOW, HE SPOKE JAPANESE!!"

3846. Re: K1MAN SCAM ARTIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-18 02:00:24 UTC
From: Extra ClassY <extra_classy@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <5llvvukcqqvipotcs4u8rrn9j83gmhb49e@4ax.com>
Source record: 57886
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Mon, 09 Dec 2002 16:45:41 GMT, "Bï¿½gsnï¿½rth thï¿½ ï¿½nprï¿½dï¿½ctï¿½blï¿½" <bogsnarth23@NOSPAM.THANKS.IMFULL.lycos.co.uk> was like:

>"N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>news:3df4bc0d$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
>: I just sent in my renewal to W5YI over the net yesterday.
>:
>
>Why did you pay W5YI to do something that the FCC provides for free?

Yeah seems silly - how do you do it the regular way?

3847. Re: K1MAN SCAM ARTIST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-18 02:01:46 UTC
From: Extra ClassY <extra_classy@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <1nlvvus2q41tmr8m8q16gue6m7rmmicbl2@4ax.com>
Source record: 57887
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 10 Dec 2002 01:13:26 GMT, Lloyd@nospammmmm (Lloyd Braxilwartenoski)
was like:

>On Mon, 9 Dec 2002 11:26:04 -0600, N0VFP 2.0 <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote:
>>
>> "Bï¿½gsnï¿½rth thï¿½ ï¿½nprï¿½dï¿½ctï¿½blï¿½"
<bogsnarth23@NOSPAM.THANKS.IMFULL.lycos.co.uk>
>> wrote in message
>> news:VM3J9.1087$9p.62079@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>> > "N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> wrote in message
>> > news:3df4bc0d$1_2@corp-news.newsgroups.com...
>> > : I just sent in my renewal to W5YI over the net yesterday.
>> > :
>> >
>> > Why did you pay W5YI to do something that the FCC provides for free?
>> >
>>
>> Because I do not want to use ULS. ULS was too difficult for me to use and
>> understand.
>
>You don't have to use ULS. You can use the old fashioned method and
>fill out form 605 and MAIL it to the Gettysburg office. The form is
>available on the web, and you can print it out yourself and pay only
>the price of first class postage. See http://www.arrl.org/fcc/forms.html
>for the links to the forms.

But don't you have to have some doggone secret ULS number that they
have assigned to everyone?

What do you do if you have lost your number?

3848. Re: K1MAN jamming countinues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-18 02:11:00 UTC
From: Extra ClassY <extra_classy@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <o8mvvuc5rrrr32on1h3ookirtb41sjvgff@4ax.com>
Source record: 57888
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 11 Dec 2002 19:30:26 -0600, "N0VFP 2.0"
<brother_lloyd_99@yahoo.com> was like:

>The nuts that dislike K1MAN continue to jam his amateur radio bulletin
>service. I enjoy the K1MAN service. I wish those that did not like him,
>would just tune him off and goto another frequency.
>
>This afternoon on 14.275MHZ, K1MAN was QRM'ed with music and a QSO on top of
>him. I almost picked up the phone called Mr. Hollingsworth, I was so
>disgusted. Why Hollingsworth allows this to continue, I do not know.

Because he gets to look good by attempting to bully K1MAN like a lot
of other big shots that have nothing better to do.

3849. Re: K1MAN jamming countinues

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-18 02:14:27 UTC
From: Extra ClassY <extra_classy@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <sfmvvugkanpoaj0k34okp668c6v9ejf9v6@4ax.com>
Source record: 57889
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 14 Dec 2002 03:19:34 -0500, "Grouch" <NoJamming@jHammers.com>
was like:

>The ARRL has nobody to blame but themselves.
>Maybe if they raise their rates again they will get more members

LOL! Good one!

3850. Re: k1man jamming again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-18 02:25:37 UTC
From: Extra ClassY <extra_classy@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <k1nvvugh22fdqtfjggidns0eqfabb46rpp@4ax.com>
Source record: 57890
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Sat, 14 Dec 2002 17:04:34 -0500, "Chili"
<IslamSucks@damnIsaidThat.com> was like:

>Big-headed Baxter is jamming again. The trouble is he uses his "broadcast"
>to make personal attacks upon Riley and other Hams who have displeased him.
>This fat head rectal cavity should have his license pulled for malicious
>interference as has been done to several others.
>Why has this not happened? Riley? Huh?

Because, o thick headed one, K1MAN is a lawyer and a darn god one, and
all fo the clueless 27 MHz wieners like you that whine and jam and
complain, do not realize that we are a nation under LAW.

You want to have mob rul as evaluated by the LOUD SCREAMING little mob
of babies with nothing better to do than whine about K1MAN, about ARRL
etc. etc.

Sit down. You're rocking the boat!

Now just go back under the rock, please!

3851. Re: Steve Robeson ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-18 02:42:39 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212171842.5a851731@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57891

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021217095606.13962.00000067@mb-cr.aol.com>...
> >From: NewGuy me@myaddress.net
> >Date: 12/17/02 7:14 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <5n6uvuk3eim2h7b7fomjhj3grs46jgqdk0@4ax.com>
>
> >What is this fellow's obsession with this Lenny?
>
> Perhaps if you'd been paying attention, you'd know. But I get the feeling
> you HAVE been paying attention, and this anonymous screen name is simply your
> way of dodging questions already put to you.
>
> >Is this Steve fellow a rejected lover, a stalker or
> >just your garden variety psychopath?
>
> Yep...Sure sounds like Lennie. Makes stupid errors, get's "called" on
> them, then tries to redirect the challenge awya from his own misdeeds.
>
> >Does this abhorrent behavior have something
> >to do with amateur radio?
>
> It does when dealing with Lennie the Liar.
>
> >Why has Robeson lived so many places, is it
> >that he can't hold a job?
> >
> >Inquiring minds want to know.
>
> Know >>!<< know...Come out come out where ever you are, LENNIE!
>
> Sheeesh. What a PUTZ.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, you've said many things, but you never answered the question.

"What is this fellow's obsession with this Lenny?"

3852. Re: K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-18 02:51:21 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <atonu1mkd1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57892
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Lloyd Braxilwartenoski" <Lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
news:3dfd6254.46660231@taranews.net...
> On Mon, 16 Dec 2002 05:27:55 GMT, wf3h@ptnospam.com <wf3h@ptnospam.com>
wrote:
> > the difference is that, in a pack of wolves known as lobbyists, the
> > ARRL defends the interests of ham radio...we know that because its
> > leaders are elected by 130K ham radio members of ARRL.
>
> According to the ARRL's own figures, that leaves 685,610 - 130,000 =
> 555,610 hams who *aren't* members of the ARRL that are being "spoken
> for." (See http://www.arrl.org/fcc/stats.html)
>
> But that isn't really the point. The point is that W1AW is given
> special status by the FCC.

What special status? If you mean that because the ARRL has, over
some 70+ years, cultivated a relationship and respect from the FCC
because of the ARRL's being a positive and supportive organization, then
I see nothing for anyone to complain about. The ability to start an
organization,
gain members, cultivate a relationship with the FCC has always been
an option for anyone or any group...and some have indeed done so.

> There are many of us who think that is just plain wrong.

You would propose what to make it right then?

> Let the ARRL register as a lobbying orginization,

I would ask why...if the ARRL isn't violating any "lobbying" laws now,
should ARRL have to register any more than myself or other
organizations?

> ...and also make sure that it is not treated as the FCC
> Auxiliary in its relationships with the federal government.

An "auxiliary of the FCC"! Now I've heard everything.

> Then, severely restrict anyone who would broadcast
> on the ham bands.

Who's broadcasting now on the ham bands?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3853. Re: K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-18 03:10:25 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <atopmdqt1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 57893
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Lloyd Braxilwartenoski" <Lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
news:857285720.1246667840@taranews.net...
> On Mon, 16 Dec 2002 05:28:55 GMT, wf3h@ptnospam.com <wf3h@ptnospam.com>
wrote:
> >
> > i've actually listened to k1man. it's a waste of time. he performs no
> > useful function for the benefit of ham radio. the ARRL leadership is
> > elected by its 130,000 members.
>
> And there are 556,000 hams who *aren't* ARRL members.

Your point? Odds are most of the 556K of non-ARRL hams
are just not interested enough to join and probably
wouldn't join any "alternative" type of ARRL organization
if you started one.

> And I've listened to K1MAN too. So what does that have to do with
> equal treatment under the law?

The ham bands are NOT for broadcast of just any political garbage
and clearly ARRL does not transmit any. What the ARRL transmits is
100% ham information for those that might need it. The distinction
is pretty clear to most people...but apparently not all.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3854. Re: Another Question For Lennie to Ignore

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-18 05:31:55 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7ad9td.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 57895

On 16 Dec 2002 06:21:24 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:

> I don't remember that a Restricted 'Phone ever needed any
> test. It was a Permit, not a license, yes? Or is that going
> to evolve into some Roaring Rant of a new sub-thread?

No test for the RP, and it is issued only because (mostly international) the law requires same. A number of years ago, the Commish' dropped the RP requirement for operators of VHF marine equipment on pleasure boats on domestic waters and operators of VHF aircraft radios in domestic airspace.

To short-circuit the "rant" on a "license" versus a "permit", the ITU Radio Regulations and various other treaties which require radio for safety purposes refer to three classes of "commercial" radiotelegraph operator certificates (which will not be discussed here) and two classes of "commercial" radiotelephone operator certificates:

Radiotelephone Operator's Restricted Certificate - requiring practical knowledge of radiotelephone operating procedure, ability to send and receive messages correctly by telephone (i.e. spoken words) and general knowledge of the Regulations applicable to radiotelephone communication, especially safety communications.

Radiotelephone Operator's General Certificate - requiring the above plus knowledge of the elementary principles of radiotelephony, detailed knowledge of practical operation and adjustment of radiotelephone "apparatus" (sic), and detailed knowledge of the applicable Regulations.

Note that the Radio Regs do not make a distinction between "license" and "permit" or about detailed examination versus applicant's statement of qualification. That is left up to each Administration.

In the U.S., there are NINE different "commercial" operator certificates at present. See Section 13.7(b) of the FCC Rules for the gory details.

The FCC decided to call the Restricted Certificate a "Permit" - both the Restricted Radiotelephone Operator Permit issued by declaration of qualification and need, and the Marine Operator Permit issued by examination. The exception to this is the GMDSS Operator License, which is a Radiotelephone Operator Restricted Certificate for international purposes. In later years, a

"Broadcast Endorsement" was added to what was then the Radiotelephone Third Class Operator Permit, allowing operation (but not adjustment or maintenance) of certain classes of AM and FM broadcast stations.

Historically, the FCC decided to make two classes of Radiotelephone Operator's General Certificate - the Radiotelephone Second Class Operator License, which was oriented to land mobile, marine, and aviation station operation and maintenance, and the Radiotelephone First Class Operator License, which allowed operation, adjustment and maintenance of all classes of radio stations not employing manual Morse code (with the exception of stations in the amateur radio services, of course). These "Licenses" carried the legend "Generral Radiotelephone Certificate" under the name of the license.

On the 1980s, the Commission declared that with the advent of more stable and reliable transmitters (and for other political reasons), a radio operator license or permit was no longer required for the operator of a domestic broadcast station, placing the obligation for ensuring rule compliance squarely on the shoulders (or as some people said at the time, another part of the anatomy) of the station licensee.

At that time, the distinction between the two classes of Radiotelephone Operator Licenses was abolished, and the resultant "uni-class" license was named (after some agonizing) the General Radiotelephone Operator License. Several years after that, the certificate was replaced by a wallet-card.

>> The Commission added two other licenses for
>> the required Global Marine Distress and Safety System radio
>> equipment (a digital data and SSB voice system replacing the old
>> MF/CW 500 kHz distress system with its venerable _SOS_) on ocean-
>> going vessels - the GMDSS Operator Permit, counterpart of the Marine
>> Radio Operator Permit, and the GMDSS Maintainer License, counterpart
>> of the GROL.
>
> Thank you again, Phil, but didn't you leave out the orbital
> characteristics on the Inmarsat for GMDSS? :-)

In practice iNMARSAT is used as an integral part of the GMDSS because the otherwise horrific charges for a call are waived for genuine distress traffic, but the 2 MHz and HF SSB and SITOR GMDSS sub-systems can be used instead of INMARSAT, as not all ships in the system are required to have INMARSAT capability.

Don't forget NAVTEX, a SITOR/FEC bulletin system on 518 kHz. I'm one of those nuts who keeps a receiver and TNC on NAVTEX 24/7 and gets solid copy from the Astoria, OR station (100+ miles away) as well as spotty copy from Northern California (Pt.Reyes), Southern California (Cambria), Western Canada. and Alaska (Anchorage). Now

if I had a 300 foot broadcast tower to tie my receiver onto.....

> Note word "permit." Is that newly defined as a "license" which
> requires a TEST? :-)

The real distinction between a "license" and a "permit" is that the
latter does not permit the holder to make adjustments and repairs
of the transmitter, exclusive of operator-enabled design functions
such as preset channel changing.

In FCC practice the "license" and "permit" have no other
distinction. All licenses and permits require exams with the
exception of the Restricted Radiotelephone Operator Permit.

>>> Keeping a certificate in a safety deposit box is VIRTUALLY
>>> the same as RETIRING it.
>>
>> No different from hanging it on the office wall.....
>
> In general, true. Wouldn't you say that wall hanging attracts the
> eye more than a building housing safety deposit boxes?

If the building housing safety deposit boxes is a bank, that
sounds pretty attractive to me. As Willie Sutton, a noted bank
robber of a half century ago said when asked why he robs banks:
"Because that's where the money is".

> In the case of professions of law and medicine, those [certificates]
> are there to inspire confidence that clients can be separated from
> money painlessly, yes?

That didn't make much of a difference to several deadbeat former
clients. I learned the hard way that I had to do business "on the
CUFF" - Cash Up Front First.
>
> There's some gorgeous certificate making programs available
> in larger software stores. Anyone can have a neato certificate
> in their choice of fancy frame.

That describes my membership certificate in the Order of the Iron
Test Pattern. That and $1.10 plus tax will get one a "dollar
meal" at a fast food emporium of choice. <Burp...>

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

3855. Re: Steve Robeson ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-18 05:43:12 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021218004312.27493.00000050@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 57896

Someone (I don't know who) said:
>Steve, you've said many things, but you never answered the question.
>
>"What is this fellow's obsession with this Lenny?"

Then K4YZ said:
>> Know >>!<< know...Come out come out where ever you are, LENNIE!
>>
>> Sheeesh. What a PUTZ.

There are "enemies" among us. K4YZ is the defender of Ham Radio and all good things.

However, he takes words out of context and then "demands" an answer. Or, he will accuse the person of lying.He feels he has to attack those that disagree. His style is to call those that disagree a "PUTZ" or "creep". Two words that are not in the dictionary. He often, without foundation4, accuses those he disagrees with, with a lack of knowledge of the subject. This after the person has presented alot of supporting arguments.

K4YZ has appointed himself the defender of the noble cause of Ham Radio.

3856. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-18 07:11:02 UTC
From: Trent Creekmore <tcreek@gt.rr.com>
Message-ID: <acVL9.5408$KW2.346559@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 57894
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Those liscenses are pefectly legal:

Dear Mr. Hunt:

I notice that you personally introduce your agency as being charged with regulating interstate and international communications consisting of almost all electronic methods. This leads me to ask you, does your agency, the Federal Communications Commission, have any jurisdiction over intrastate radio communications, meaning "within the state"?

If you do have jurisdiction, where may I find the implementing regulation in the Federal Register, and specifically, what section and paragraphs would pertain to radio transmissions that do not cross state borders?

Thank you very much in advance for your answer to me.

The answer was as follows:

March 3, 1997

I've been asked to respond to your letter regarding intrastate radio communications, meaning "within the state." The FCC only regulates interstate and foreign commerce in radio communications. For your reference, I have enclosed a copy of Title 1, Section 2, 47 United States Code 152. Also enclosed are copies of other sections and titles referred to in Title 1. Respectively, they are Sections 223 through 227, Section 332, Section 301 Title 6, and Sections 201 through 205 of the Communications Act of 1934 as amended.

Intrastate, meaning "within the state," radio communications may be regulated by individual states, and I would recommend contacting your state utility commission for further information.

Signed,

Martha E. Conti

Chief, Public Service Division

Office of Public Affairs

"Wheeler" <cwheeler@zipmailsvc.net> wrote in message
news:atkmnj$d1t$0@pita.alt.net...
> Apparently, Davidson County in Tennessee is issuing some sort
> "radio license." I saw one this weekend, and they look official.
> It matters not they are legal, since the "licensees" believe they are.
> Got in a heated discussion with one guy. Told him it wasn't worth

> the paper it was printed on. He assured me it was and he is running
> a low power FM station, using his "license" to broadcast.
> Watching how far this "license" thing will go should prove to be
> interesting.
> And for the cynics, no it is not a troll, just an item of interest to
> people interested in radio things.
>
> 73 from Wheeler
>
>
>

3857. Re: Steve Robeson ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-18 07:23:53 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021218022353.12112.00000092@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 57897

>Subject: Re: Steve Robeson ?
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 12/17/02 11:43 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021218004312.27493.00000050@mb-bk.aol.com>

>However, he takes words out of context and then "demands" an answer.

No, Vipul...The questions are in direct response to specific assertions. Those assertions are made by persons (and specifically Lennie Anderson) who have no practical experience in Amateur Radio, yet a made with the air of superiority OVER Aamteur Radio.

Lennie the Liar specifically stated that Amateur Radio licenses do not grant the same access to other modes and frequencies that the "rest of the radio world" grants.

I have asked him to state WHICH radio license grants the degree of access to the ether that Amateur Radio licenses grant. He has yet to answer that question. He cannot. There is NO other radio service that allows one to eperienc as much as radio has to offer as Amateur Radio.

Just like I specifically asked YOU to please tell us why Amateur Radio is any different from any other sport, hobby or pasttime in regards to it's own "jargon". YOU have ignored that request. The reason for that is that you can NOT answer it without looking foolish. Your assertions were silly and poorly concieved in the first place and it took little effort on my part to show you why.

>Or, he will accuse the person of lying.

Vipul, I disagree with a LOT of people in this forum, however the one's who get labled a "liar" are the one's caught doing it.

>His style is to call those that disagree a "PUTZ" or "creep".

Only when you act like a putz or a creep, or hide behind anonymous screen names. YOU seem to beleive that is't perfectly OK to slur and denigrate people, both specifically and in general, and then NOT be called on it.

>Two words that are not in the dictionary.

It's STUPID assertions like this that get you labled AS stupid, Vipul. Since when are "creep" and putz" NOT in the Webster's dictionary? (Webster's, by the way, is the standard used in most US primary, secondary and collegiate educational systems, Vipul)

>He often, without foundation, accuses those he
>disagrees with, with a lack of knowledge of the subject. This after the

>person
>has presented alot of supporting arguments.

For months we tried to get you to tell us what your "circumstances" were, and from what background in Amateur Radio you came. You refused, yet continued to make assertions and proclaimations that were themselves unfounded and without merit.

You have provided NO "supporting arguments". You have only supplied your OPINION as to why you think Amateur Radio is boring and itself without merit. You speak so as to make it appear to the uninformed that ALL of Amateur Radio is such when such is NOT the truth.

>K4YZ has appointed himself the defender of the noble cause of Ham Radio.

Against such as yourself and Lennie the Loser...?!?! You bet! It doesn't take much!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3858. Re: Steve Robeson ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-18 07:56:36 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021218025636.12126.00000103@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 57898

>Subject: Re: Steve Robeson ?
>From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
>Date: 12/17/02 8:42 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <f45722ac.0212171842.5a851731@posting.google.com>

>"What is this fellow's obsession with this Lenny?"

My "obsession", Braniac, is that I do not tolerate liars, bullies and fools, all three of which seem to be summed up in the personna of Leonard H Anderson. lennie seems to think it's OK to "attack" me, ergo he's found an opponent who will not allow his ranting to go unchallenged.

Lennie seems to be determiend to undermine the very same Amateur Radio in which you are licensed by making slurs, denigrations and outright mistruths in order to make it appear as though it is less than what it is. Lennie would have the uninformed think Amateur Radio is nothing more than CB with a code test.

Lennie portrays the average Ham (read that YOU) as a mindless tot playing with a toy he has no practical knowledge of. Indeed, his suggestion that an arbitrary age limit be placed on an Amateur license was a manifestation of that misconception.

Lennie makes it claer that he and ONLY he truly represent that which OUGHT to be allowed to "operate" a radio. He is unable to differeniate between "commercial" radio and "Amateur" radio, it's purposes, history or applications.

Unfortunately Lennie has a very visable soap box from which to mount his crusade, and to the uninformed, if allowed to go unchallenged, Lennie's rants would appear to be valid.

Did that answer the question to YOUR satisfaction, Brian?

Now...where are your T5 logs?

Steve, K4YZ

3859. Re: clueless newbie question.. US nation wide emegency freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-18 09:01:18 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <atpvi027hr@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 58054

>
> Ain't that the truth. BTW 155.475 MHz is a "nation wide"
> emergency frequency for POLICE USE ONLY.

155.865 is Michigans state-wide law enforcement freq, as well as 154.295
being the statewide fire frequency.

>
> > For the sake of the efficiency of our
> > existing emergency services, and for the particular inconvenience of the
> > Radio Hero Wannabes like yourself, I hope there will NEVER be any kind
> > of "national emergency frequency" directly linked to public emergency
> > service providers.
>
> Agree 110%

Same here..... as being in the form of an emergency services myself, I would
definitely not want to see that either. Now a monitoring network would
sound like a great idea in concept.

>
> You can legally use any frequency to summon help during a emergency,
> but you had better have a REAL good reason for using a police, fire,
> or military frequency when you do or else say good bye to that ham
> license for out of band transmissions. That is if the authorities will
even
> answer you on their frequency, most likely they won't! Around here the
> dispatchers ask for your unit number when you call in. If you don't
> provide one that they recognize as being a valid number they won't
> do anything for you at all.

Basically the law is written to the effect that a person must have exhausted
all other options such as CB, GMRS, FRS, MURS, Cellphones, Smoke Signals,
Drumbeating or any other method of attracting attention to the emergency
situation, and be able to prove that was the only option left. There is no
law that says you cannot own a transciever capable of transmitting on any
frequency. What is against the law is transmitting on unauthorized
frequencies.

I will say however, you will definitely be "run through the mill" of
questions/interrogation if you were to transmit on the emergency (as well as
others) services frequencies. Best solution I have is to keep a couple of
different communications options with you in your vehicle. Even a cellphone
with no normal service, still is good for 911 in most areas. I had a
situation where I came across a vehicle that the driver was drunk as hell,
and was swerving from both sides of the roadway (2 lane 1 lane in each

direction) and running people off of the road. Unfortunately I could not raise anyone on either a 2m or 70cm repeater in that area (16 of them within reach) nor any simplex freq. in those bands, and had to resort to the cellphone. Had I had my fire dept. HT, I may have been able to get some help there.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

3860. Re: Steve Robeson ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-18 11:29:49 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212180329.55c9be4c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57899

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021218025636.12126.00000103@mb-df.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Steve Robeson ?
> >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> >Date: 12/17/02 8:42 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <f45722ac.0212171842.5a851731@posting.google.com>
>
>
> >"What is this fellow's obsession with this Lenny?"
>
> My "obsession", Braniac,

It's just "Brian."

is that I do not tolerate liars, bullies and
> fools, all three of which seem to be summed up in the personna of Leonard H
> Anderson. lennie seems to think it's OK to "attack" me, ergo he's found an
> opponent who will not allow his ranting to go unchallenged.

Unchallenged, or over the deep end? Can you tell the difference?

> Lennie seems to be determiend to undermine the very same Amateur Radio in
> which you are licensed by making slurs, denigrations and outright mistruths in
> order to make it appear as though it is less than what it is. Lennie would
> have the uninformed think Amateur Radio is nothing more than CB with a code
> test.

I don't believe that, and I don't see where Len has said that.

> Lennie portrays the average Ham (read that YOU) as a mindless tot playing
> with a toy he has no practical knowledge of. Indeed, his suggestion that an
> arbitrary age limit be placed on an Amateur license was a manifestation of that
> misconception.

I think you might overstate your knowledge of radio, which has
prompted the remarks. I have no illusions about the amateur radio
license, or my abilities as an electronics genius. I take no offense
if I don't think his remarks apply to me.

> Lennie makes it claer that he and ONLY he truly represent that which OUGHT
> to be allowed to "operate" a radio. He is unable to differeniate between
> "commercial" radio and "Amateur" radio, it's purposes, history or applications.

CW, cw, and more cw?

> Unfortunately Lennie has a very visable soap box from which to mount his
> crusade, and to the uninformed, if allowed to go unchallenged, Lennie's rants

> would appear to be valid.

So ignore him. But since you are obsessed, you cannot.

> Did that answer the question to YOUR satisfaction, Brian?

Was anything revealed to you as you answered the question? Did a
little grain of wheat light turn on above your head?

> Now...where are your T5 logs?

In storage.

But I can assure you that you are not in my T5 logs.

73, Brian

3861. Re: DXer Phonetics (Was Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-18 11:37:04 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212180337.1058e647@posting.google.com>
Source record: 57900
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

Extra ClassY <extra_classy@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:<qhlvvuk4krn7e1ngfnf5ekqclgma3gr427@4ax.com>...
> On 9 Dec 2002 04:50:10 -0800, brianburke@juno.com (Brian) was like:
>
> >I used "Isuzu, Mitsubishi, Daihatsu" with a JA operator when standard
> >"fonetics" didn't work.
> >
> >Brian/N0iMD
>
> And the JA operator replied, "WOW, HE SPOKE JAPANESE!!"

If he said that I didn't recognize it as such.

What would you have done? Kept drilling him with standard phonetics?

3862. Re: Steve Robeson ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-18 13:52:26 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021218085226.12126.00000105@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 58051

>Subject: Re: Steve Robeson ?
>From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
>Date: 12/18/02 5:29 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <f45722ac.0212180329.55c9be4c@posting.google.com>

>Unchallenged, or over the deep end? Can you tell the difference?

Can you? Can you tell the difference between your opinion and a hole in
the ground? Can you see the tears of concern in my eye?

>I don't believe that, and I don't see where Len has said that.

Then you need glasses and a good proctologist.

>I think you might overstate your knowledge of radio, which has
>prompted the remarks. I have no illusions about the amateur radio
>license, or my abilities as an electronics genius. I take no offense
>if I don't think his remarks apply to me.

Where did I STATE my knowledge of radio? My remarks have been over the
general basis and purpose of Amateur Radio. I have stated, in very clear,
unmistakeable English that I defer to Lennie's apparent knowledge of
electronics (more likely his ability to paste-and-cut)

And if you take no offense, then perhaps your head is a bit too deep in
the sand.

>> Lennie makes it claer that he and ONLY he truly represent that which
>OUGHT
>> to be allowed to "operate" a radio. He is unable to differeniate between
>> "commercial" radio and "Amateur" radio, it's purposes, history or
>applications.
>
>CW, cw, and more cw?

If you think that that's all it is about, then you DO have your head a bit
too deep and have NOT been paying attention.

Lennie thinks that's all it's about, but that's only because that's all he
wants to THINK it's about. Lennie's a fool, though.

>So ignore him. But since you are obsessed, you cannot.

History has repetitively shown what happens when you let braggards, liars
and thugs go unchallenged.

>Was anything revealed to you as you answered the question? Did a
>little grain of wheat light turn on above your head?

For what? That you disagree with my dogged challenging of someone who insists on insulting me and a whole subset of American society?

>> Now...where are your T5 logs?
>
>In storage.
>
>But I can assure you that you are not in my T5 logs

I know I am not. You were never an item in DX circles. You were never "legal" as a T5 station, and I doubt there are any T5 logs from you.

Steve

3863. Re: DXer Phonetics (Was Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-18 13:54:49 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021218085449.12126.00000106@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 58052

>Subject: Re: DXer Phonetics (Was Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?)
>From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
>Date: 12/18/02 5:37 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <f45722ac.0212180337.1058e647@posting.google.com>

>What would you have done? Kept drilling him with standard phonetics?

Since English is the standard communications language and the ICAO
phonetics are the international standard, why not?

Steve, K4YZ

3864. Re: K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-18 14:27:11 UTC
From: "Paul W. Schleck" <pschleck@novia.net>
Message-ID: <3e008539$0$206$45beb828@newscene.com>
Source record: 58053
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In <atonu1mkd1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> writes:

>"Lloyd Braxilwartenoski" <Lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
>news:3dfd6254.46660231@taranews.net...

>> Let the ARRL register as a lobbying orginization,

>I would ask why...if the ARRL isn't violating any "lobbying" laws now,
>should ARRL have to register any more than myself or other
>organizations?

The ARRL *does* have registered lobbyists. I was in the library reference section one day and came across a thick book entitled something like "Registered Lobbyists to the U.S. Government." Out of curiosity, I looked up "American Radio Relay League" and found, sure enough, that it had several lobbyists registered, including Paul Rinaldo, W4RI.

Now, I don't think that's a bad thing. It's a good thing that we have lobbyists for our interests in Washington, and all the better that we be up front about it, especially if such registration is required by law. But it's a lot of semantic hair-splitting, to no good end, about whether the ARRL does any "lobbying" or not..

--
73, Paul W. Schleck, K3FU
pschleck@novia.net
http://www.novia.net/~pschleck/
Finger pschleck@novia.net for PGP Public Key

3865. Re: Steve Robeson ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-18 14:41:42 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212180641.5a7e8043@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58055

brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0212180329.55c9be4c@posting.google.com>...
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021218025636.12126.00000103@mb-df.aol.com>...
> > >Subject: Re: Steve Robeson ?
> > >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> > >Date: 12/17/02 8:42 PM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <f45722ac.0212171842.5a851731@posting.google.com>
> >
> >
> > >"What is this fellow's obsession with this Lenny?"
> >
> > My "obsession", Braniac,
>
> It's just "Brian."
>
> is that I do not tolerate liars, bullies and
> > fools, all three of which seem to be summed up in the personna of Leonard H
> > Anderson. lennie seems to think it's OK to "attack" me, ergo he's found an
> > opponent who will not allow his ranting to go unchallenged.
>
> Unchallenged, or over the deep end? Can you tell the difference?
>
> > Lennie seems to be determiend to undermine the very same Amateur Radio in
> > which you are licensed by making slurs, denigrations and outright mistruths in
> > order to make it appear as though it is less than what it is. Lennie would
> > have the uninformed think Amateur Radio is nothing more than CB with a code
> > test.
>
> I don't believe that, and I don't see where Len has said that.

You don't "see" a lotta things, that's why you're known as "Brainiac".

>
> > Lennie portrays the average Ham (read that YOU) as a mindless tot playing
> > with a toy he has no practical knowledge of. Indeed, his suggestion that an
> > arbitrary age limit be placed on an Amateur license was a manifestation of that
> > misconception.
>
> I think you might overstate your knowledge of radio, which has
> prompted the remarks. I have no illusions about the amateur radio
> license, or my abilities as an electronics genius. I take no offense
> if I don't think his remarks apply to me.
>
> > Lennie makes it claer that he and ONLY he truly represent that which OUGHT

> > to be allowed to "operate" a radio. He is unable to differeniate between
> > "commercial" radio and "Amateur" radio, it's purposes, history or applications.
>
> CW, cw, and more cw?
>
> > Unfortunately Lennie has a very visable soap box from which to mount his
> > crusade, and to the uninformed, if allowed to go unchallenged, Lennie's rants
> > would appear to be valid.
>
> So ignore him. But since you are obsessed, you cannot.
>
> > Did that answer the question to YOUR satisfaction, Brian?
>
> Was anything revealed to you as you answered the question? Did a
> little grain of wheat light turn on above your head?
>
> > Now...where are your T5 logs?
>
> In storage.
>
> But I can assure you that you are not in my T5 logs.

Neither is anybody else.

>
> 73, Brian

w3rv

3866. Re: DXer Phonetics (Was Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-18 16:16:59 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <%b1M9.399$wb3.44115@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58056

"Gunny Sgt Putz K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote]

: >What would you have done? Kept drilling him with standard phonetics?
:
: Since English is the standard communications language and the ICAO
: phonetics are the international standard, why not?

How about because he didn't understand English? Is there a "Robeson Rule"
now that all must understand English and ICAO ebonics or else stay off ham
radio? Talk about Lennie-esque arrogance! Are you SURE you're not related?

dit dit

K0HB

3867. Seasoned greeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-18 16:30:29 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <Fo1M9.418$wb3.45670@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58057

Dear Friends,

It becomes my painful duty to write you a letter of apology instead of sending you the holiday remembrance that I had intended for you.

Knowing so well the appetites, likes and dislikes of my close friends, I made up a list of twelve of my best friends and went down and bought several quarts of "Royal Canadian" 18-year-old whiskey. Nothing but the very finest for my friends. I took this home and put it in my cellar and intended to put it up in nice Holiday wrappings and send it out to you just before the New Year.

My wife got to fumbling around in the cellar and found the liquor and didn't understand just what I intended to do with it. So she came up and told me to empty the contents of every bottle down the sink, or else. So I proceeded with the unpleasant task.

I withdrew the cork from the first bottle and poured the contents down the sink, with the exception of one glass which I drank. I extracted the cork of the second bottle and likewise poured the contents down the sink, with the exception of the glass which I drank. I pulled the sink from the next bottle from the cork of the next and drank one sink out of it and threw the rest down the glass. I pulled the sink out of the next glass and poured the cork from the bottle. Then I corked the sink with the glass, bottled the drink and drank the pour.

When I had everything emptied, I steadied the house with one hand and counted the bottles, corks, glasses, and sinks with the other, which were twenty-nine. And, as the houses came by, I counted them again and finally had all the houses and bottles and corks and glasses and sinks counted, except one house which I drank.

So this accounts, dear friend, for your not receiving a more stimulating present than this letter.

73, de Hans, K0HB

3868. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-18 17:06:52 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3E00AB2C.5020804@psu.edu>
Source record: 58058

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> Go out and find a book called "The Peters Principle" by a Doctor Peters
> (Imagine that!!). It came out several years ago.
>
> It will explain it all to you both.

I've read it (I think you meant Peter Principle) It seems to me that to argue that a Supervisor doesn't need to actually justify their reasons for firing someone, as Len Budney seems to advocate, really leaves a wide open field.

There are people out there who have very "exacting" standards. How would you like to get a new supervisor who decides to fire you on account of your ethnicity, or religion? Or your hair color, or just how attractive you are, or whether or not you know Morse code. Maybe you've been there for a long time. Maybe the new supervisor just doesn't like you. Those should be grounds for your termination?

All I'm saying is that if you document it, document it correctly, and present it properly, the system will work. I've used it, my wife used it in a harrassment case, and it just works. you have to have some rules and guidelines.

If the person deserves it, sure - fire their backside. But remember, all the lazy, incompetent, self centered and sometimes just plain evil people are more than just underlings.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3869. Re: DXer Phonetics (Was Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-18 17:52:09 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021218125209.27384.00000105@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 58059

>Subject: Re: DXer Phonetics (Was Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?)
>From: "Kï¿½HB" kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG
>Date: 12/18/02 10:16 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <%b1M9.399$wb3.44115@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

>How about because he didn't understand English?

More Japanese in Japan understand English than some Americans understand English in America.

>Is there a "Robeson Rule"
>now that all must understand English and ICAO ebonics or else stay off ham
>radio?

Did I say there was? can you proide a cite where I suggested there is? I pointed out that English IS the international communications language. This is a fact. The ICAO alphabet is the internationally accepted phonetic alphabet that has been agreed upon by signatoris of the ITU. This is also a fact.

Are YOU having a problem with facts today, Hans? Speaking of "Lennie-esque" behaviour. You're trolling a bit too shallow today.

>Talk about Lennie-esque arrogance! Are you SURE you're not related?

Dead sure. Are you related to Liberace? Because I know what YOU are qualified for, Hans!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3870. Re: Seasoned greeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-18 17:54:54 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021218125454.27384.00000106@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 58060

>Subject: Seasoned greeting
>From: "Kï¿½HB" kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG
>Date: 12/18/02 10:30 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <Fo1M9.418$wb3.45670@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

>So this accounts, dear friend, for your not receiving a more stimulating
>present than this letter.

.....which was plagerized from a decades old "letter" that's circulated
since I was a kid.

But it was cute none the less.

Bah...Humbug

Steve, K4YZ

3871. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-18 17:55:59 UTC
From: Rich Mooreland <Y-96FM@sanduskynetmailer.net>
Message-ID: <atqcr9$rn1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58061
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Well heck, that's the answer then! And at least one county
(Davidson County TN) has taken advantage of the authority to
issue radio licenses and make some revenue in the process.

Rich

"Trent Creekmore" <tcreek@gt.rr.com> wrote in message
news:acVL9.5408$KW2.346559@twister.austin.rr.com...
> Those liscenses are pefectly legal:
>
>
> Dear Mr. Hunt:
>
> I notice that you personally introduce your agency as being charged with
> regulating interstate and international communications consisting of
almost
> all electronic methods. This leads me to ask you, does your agency, the
> Federal Communications Commission, have any jurisdiction over intrastate
> radio communications, meaning "within the state"?
>
> If you do have jurisdiction, where may I find the implementing regulation
in
> the Federal Register, and specifically, what section and paragraphs would
> pertain to radio transmissions that do not cross state borders?
>
> Thank you very much in advance for your answer to me.
>
>
>
> The answer was as follows:
>
>
>
> March 3, 1997
>
> I've been asked to respond to your letter regarding intrastate radio
> communications, meaning "within the state." The FCC only regulates
> interstate and foreign commerce in radio communications. For your
reference,
> I have enclosed a copy of Title 1, Section 2, 47 United States Code 152.
> Also enclosed are copies of other sections and titles referred to in Title
> 1. Respectively, they are Sections 223 through 227, Section 332, Section
301
> Title 6, and Sections 201 through 205 of the Communications Act of 1934 as

> amended.
>
> Intrastate, meaning "within the state," radio communications may be
> regulated by individual states, and I would recommend contacting your
state
> utility commission for further information.
>
> Signed,
>
> Martha E. Conti
>
> Chief, Public Service Division
>
> Office of Public Affairs
>
> "Wheeler" <cwheeler@zipmailsvc.net> wrote in message
> news:atkmnj$d1t$0@pita.alt.net...
> > Apparently, Davidson County in Tennessee is issuing some sort
> > "radio license." I saw one this weekend, and they look official.
> > It matters not they are legal, since the "licensees" believe they are.
> > Got in a heated discussion with one guy. Told him it wasn't worth
> > the paper it was printed on. He assured me it was and he is running
> > a low power FM station, using his "license" to broadcast.
> > Watching how far this "license" thing will go should prove to be
> > interesting.
> > And for the cynics, no it is not a troll, just an item of interest to
> > people interested in radio things.
> >
> > 73 from Wheeler
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3872. Re: DXer Phonetics (Was Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-18 17:58:47 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <atqdab$40h$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58063
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

I did that once a few years ago. But I used Bataan and Coregador.

Dan/W4NTI

"Extra ClassY" <extra_classy@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:qhlvvuk4krn7e1ngfnf5ekqclgma3gr427@4ax.com...
> On 9 Dec 2002 04:50:10 -0800, brianburke@juno.com (Brian) was like:
>
> >I used "Isuzu, Mitsubishi, Daihatsu" with a JA operator when standard
> >"fonetics" didn't work.
> >
> >Brian/N0iMD
>
> And the JA operator replied, "WOW, HE SPOKE JAPANESE!!"
>
>

3873. Re: DXer Phonetics (Was Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-18 18:01:41 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <9K2M9.495$X17.57070@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58062

"Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

:
: More Japanese in Japan understand English than some Americans
understand
: English in America.

By golly you're correct, as evidenced by the fractured grammatical
construction of the sentence above.

Sunuvagun!

dit dit

K0HB

3874. Re: k1man jamming again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-18 18:04:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <atqdac$40h$2@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58064
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

How do you know he is a lawyer? Because he says so?

Dan/W4NTI

"Extra ClassY" <extra_classy@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:k1nvvugh22fdqtfjggidns0eqfabb46rpp@4ax.com...
>
> Because, o thick headed one, K1MAN is a lawyer and a darn god one, and
> all fo the clueless 27 MHz wieners like you that whine and jam and
> complain, do not realize that we are a nation under LAW.
>
> You want to have mob rul as evaluated by the LOUD SCREAMING little mob
> of babies with nothing better to do than whine about K1MAN, about ARRL
> etc. etc.
>
> Sit down. You're rocking the boat!
>
> Now just go back under the rock, please!
>

3875. Re: DXer Phonetics (Was Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-18 18:28:41 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <atqeopdc0@208.206.142.88>
Source record: 58065

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

> . Are you related to Liberace?

Hans plays the piano?

>
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

3876. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-18 18:35:27 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <atqf5f$2gp$0@208.206.142.88>
Source record: 58066
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

It'll never fly. The answerer was just another bureaucrat who really didn't know what it was they were putting out.
Federal courts long ago ruled that since radio has the capacity to cross state lines with no regard for jurisdictions it will be regulated on the Federal level. A single letter from any functionary won't change that.
Somewhere along the way someone heavy from DC will get wind of it all and will grab them and straghten them out.

Dick

Rich Mooreland wrote:

> Well heck, that's the answer then! And at least one county
> (Davidson County TN) has taken advantage of the authority to
> issue radio licenses and make some revenue in the process.
>
> Rich
>
> "Trent Creekmore" <tcreek@gt.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:acVL9.5408$KW2.346559@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > Those liscenses are pefectly legal:
> >
> >
> > Dear Mr. Hunt:
> >
> > I notice that you personally introduce your agency as being charged with
> > regulating interstate and international communications consisting of
> almost
> > all electronic methods. This leads me to ask you, does your agency, the
> > Federal Communications Commission, have any jurisdiction over intrastate
> > radio communications, meaning "within the state"?
> >
> > If you do have jurisdiction, where may I find the implementing regulation
> in
> > the Federal Register, and specifically, what section and paragraphs would
> > pertain to radio transmissions that do not cross state borders?
> >
> > Thank you very much in advance for your answer to me.
> >
> >
> >
> > The answer was as follows:
> >
> >

> >
> > March 3, 1997
> >
> > I've been asked to respond to your letter regarding intrastate radio
> > communications, meaning "within the state." The FCC only regulates
> > interstate and foreign commerce in radio communications. For your
> reference,
> > I have enclosed a copy of Title 1, Section 2, 47 United States Code 152.
> > Also enclosed are copies of other sections and titles referred to in Title
> > 1. Respectively, they are Sections 223 through 227, Section 332, Section
> 301
> > Title 6, and Sections 201 through 205 of the Communications Act of 1934 as
> > amended.
> >
> > Intrastate, meaning "within the state," radio communications may be
> > regulated by individual states, and I would recommend contacting your
> state
> > utility commission for further information.
> >
> > Signed,
> >
> > Martha E. Conti
> >
> > Chief, Public Service Division
> >
> > Office of Public Affairs
> >
> > "Wheeler" <cwheeler@zipmailsvc.net> wrote in message
> > news:atkmnj$d1t$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > Apparently, Davidson County in Tennessee is issuing some sort
> > > "radio license." I saw one this weekend, and they look official.
> > > It matters not they are legal, since the "licensees" believe they are.
> > > Got in a heated discussion with one guy. Told him it wasn't worth
> > > the paper it was printed on. He assured me it was and he is running
> > > a low power FM station, using his "license" to broadcast.
> > > Watching how far this "license" thing will go should prove to be
> > > interesting.
> > > And for the cynics, no it is not a troll, just an item of interest to
> > > people interested in radio things.
> > >
> > > 73 from Wheeler
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >

3877. Re: DXer Phonetics (Was Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-18 20:09:58 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <qC4M9.805$wb3.71387@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58067

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote

:
: Hans plays the piano?
:

Yes, and damned well, I might add. CW ops generally have a talent for music
and rhythm (or is that vice versa?).

dit dit

Hans, K0HB

3878. Re: DXer Phonetics (Was Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-18 20:21:16 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <0N4M9.828$wb3.72856@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58068

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

: You're trolling a bit too shallow today.

All the better to catch the shallow ones like you.

dit dit

K0HB

3879. Re: Seasoned greeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-18 21:09:21 UTC
From: Barry Bignose <berniebagleman@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <3e00e3ff@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 58070

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021218125454.27384.00000106@mb-bk.aol.com...
> >Subject: Seasoned greeting
> >From: "K&#65533;HB" k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG
> >Date: 12/18/02 10:30 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <Fo1M9.418$wb3.45670@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
>
> >So this accounts, dear friend, for your not receiving a more stimulating
> >present than this letter.
>
> .....which was plagerized from a decades old "letter" that's
circulated
> since I was a kid.
>
> But it was cute none the less.
>
> Bah...Humbug
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Agreed. Just be sure to not share that fine
scotch whiskey with some of the fruits down
on 75 & 20 Meters. They'll drink it down like
cheap Thunderbird and provide us with nights
of amusement on HF"

"..shuuush I yam nooot a druuunkardon the radio dammmmit!"
- from the play: "The Apotheosis of Tommy Toobs 3.860"

3880. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-18 21:29:28 UTC
From: Brian Running <brunning@XXameritechXX.net>
Message-ID: <YM5M9.2159$qU5.1308280@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 58069
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

> It'll never fly. The answerer was just another bureaucrat who really
didn't
> know what it was they were putting out.
> Federal courts long ago ruled that since radio has the capacity to cross
state
> lines with no regard for jurisdictions it will be regulated on the Federal
> level. A single letter from any functionary won't change that.
> Somewhere along the way someone heavy from DC will get wind of it all and
will
> grab them and straghten them out.

But you know, Dick, it's absolutely true that 47 USC 152 says that the FCC has no jurisdiction over intrastate radio transmissions. Notwithstanding any court decisions, if your transmissions do not cross state lines, then the FCC can't regulate you. Of course, this is a problem of proof, but the burden is on the FCC to prove their jurisdiction, not the other way around. Telephones are instruments of interstate commerce, even if you only call across town, but telephones are all interconnected, worldwide. Radios aren't. Looks like a little recreational legal research project comin' up.

3881. Re: k1man jamming again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-18 22:10:21 UTC
From: Oris <orisnospam@dirtball.com>
Message-ID: <3E00F159.4010409@dirtball.com>
Source record: 58071
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I agree 100% You hit that one on the nail HAHAHAHAHA
You should of been a carpanter.
73 From Oris
http://www.dirtball.com

Extra ClassY wrote:

>On Sat, 14 Dec 2002 17:04:34 -0500, "Chili"
><IslamSucks@damnIsaidThat.com> was like:
>
>
>
>>Big-headed Baxter is jamming again. The trouble is he uses his "broadcast"
>>to make personal attacks upon Riley and other Hams who have displeased him.
>>This fat head rectal cavity should have his license pulled for malicious
>>interference as has been done to several others.
>>Why has this not happened? Riley? Huh?
>>
>>
>
>Because, o thick headed one, K1MAN is a lawyer and a darn god one, and
>all fo the clueless 27 MHz wieners like you that whine and jam and
>complain, do not realize that we are a nation under LAW.
>
>You want to have mob rul as evaluated by the LOUD SCREAMING little mob
>of babies with nothing better to do than whine about K1MAN, about ARRL
>etc. etc.
>
>Sit down. You're rocking the boat!
>
>Now just go back under the rock, please!
>
>
>

3882. Re: K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-18 23:38:08 UTC
From: "Paul W. Schleck" <pschleck@novia.net>
Message-ID: <3e010630$0$196$45beb828@newscene.com>
Source record: 58072
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In <atj87t$94b$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> writes:

>Robert H. McNamara is history...long gone. We are dealing with the
>'present' FCC. Pay attention.

>Dan/W4NTI

Indeed.

Furthermore, has anyone besides me actually read the last two letters sent by the FCC to Glenn Baxter, K1MAN? I ask, because most of the posters in this thread seem to be oblivious to the information contained in them. You can find them at the ARRL web site:

http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/1999/07/02/1/
http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/2002/0209.html

The only issue that's confusing for me is why the FCC is taking so bloody long to take care of the matter. Perhaps Mr. Hollingsworth, like Bush and Iraq, is making sure that the FCC dots every "i" and crosses every "t" to make sure that whatever hammer falls on K1MAN will find its mark and stay there.

Individuals who continue to blather on about "K1MAN is doing the same as W1AW" are assigned the FCC letters above as remedial reading. You won't find the FCC writing to the ARRL with such a detailed listing of multiple violations of FCC rules, including illegal broadcasting, absence of a control operator, interference, broadcasting recordings of telephone conversations, and threats. You won't find ARRL officials responding to the FCC with such pathetic, almost comical, baiting of authority that Mr. Baxter has been engaging in over the past few years.

--
73, Paul W. Schleck, K3FU
pschleck@novia.net
http://www.novia.net/~pschleck/
Finger pschleck@novia.net for PGP Public Key

3883. Re: K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 00:14:38 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <atr3bh$i90$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58073
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Paul W. Schleck" <pschleck@novia.net> wrote in message
news:3e010630$0$196$45beb828@newscene.com...
> In <atj87t$94b$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net> "Dan/W4NTI"
<w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> writes:
>
> >Robert H. McNamara is history...long gone. We are dealing with the
> >'present' FCC. Pay attention.
>
> >Dan/W4NTI
>
> Indeed.
>
> Furthermore, has anyone besides me actually read the last two letters
> sent by the FCC to Glenn Baxter, K1MAN? I ask, because most of the
> posters in this thread seem to be oblivious to the information contained
> in them. You can find them at the ARRL web site:
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/1999/07/02/1/
> http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/2002/0209.html

Paul...thanks for posting these references. The details
of the FCC position is pretty clear and well summarized
in the 2002/0209 letter.

> The only issue that's confusing for me is why the FCC is taking so
> bloody long to take care of the matter. Perhaps Mr. Hollingsworth, like
> Bush and Iraq, is making sure that the FCC dots every "i" and crosses
> every "t" to make sure that whatever hammer falls on K1MAN will find its
> mark and stay there.

I too hope that's the case.

> Individuals who continue to blather on about "K1MAN is doing the same as
> W1AW" are assigned the FCC letters above as remedial reading. You won't
> find the FCC writing to the ARRL with such a detailed listing of
> multiple violations of FCC rules, including illegal broadcasting,
> absence of a control operator, interference, broadcasting recordings of
> telephone conversations, and threats. You won't find ARRL officials
> responding to the FCC with such pathetic, almost comical, baiting of
> authority that Mr. Baxter has been engaging in over the past few years.

Well said!

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3884. Re: Seasoned greeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 00:20:01 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <i3420vc5oauc709s6iuq2emr9brkd3jf76@4ax.com>
Source record: 58074

On Wed, 18 Dec 2002 16:30:29 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote:

>Dear Friends,

>So this accounts, dear friend, for your not receiving a more stimulating
>present than this letter.

Next time you don't get me a gift, make it Bourbon you don't
get me. 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

3885. Re: DXer Phonetics (Was Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 00:22:56 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021218192256.27751.00000098@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 58075

>Subject: Re: DXer Phonetics (Was Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?)
>From: Dick Carroll dixie@townsqr.com
>Date: 12/18/02 12:28 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <atqeopdc0@208.206.142.88>

>>Are you related to Liberace?
>
> Hans plays the piano?

Judging by teh way he diddles his fingers on THIS keyboard, I figgered he must do SOMETHING with them besides troll newsgroups.

73

Steve, K4YZ

3886. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 00:30:53 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <atr42kvri1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58076
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"Brian Running" <brunning@XXameritechXX.net> wrote in message
news:YM5M9.2159$qU5.1308280@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> > It'll never fly. The answerer was just another bureaucrat who really
> didn't
> > know what it was they were putting out.
> > Federal courts long ago ruled that since radio has the capacity to
cross
> state
> > lines with no regard for jurisdictions it will be regulated on the
Federal
> > level. A single letter from any functionary won't change that.
> > Somewhere along the way someone heavy from DC will get wind of it all
and
> will
> > grab them and straghten them out.
>
> But you know, Dick, it's absolutely true that 47 USC 152 says that the FCC
> has no jurisdiction over intrastate radio transmissions. Notwithstanding
> any court decisions, if your transmissions do not cross state lines, then
> the FCC can't regulate you.

As you state...notwithstanding the courts...the FCC has long ago been
acknowledged/granted exclusive jurisdiction for both inter and intra-state
radio transmissions (i.e. federal preemption being the applicable phrase
I believe.

If such was not the case, then the only time part 15
might apply would be for those cases that were very close to a state line
and
might, therefore, be interstate in nature. Can you imagine the mess that
we'd
have with things like locally generated interference, etc.

> Of course, this is a problem of proof,

Which is exactly why the FCC has the full jurisdiction in radio matters.

> but the
> burden is on the FCC to prove their jurisdiction, not the other way
around.

Not so, again, because the feds got full jurisdiction years ago.

> Telephones are instruments of interstate commerce, even if you only call
> across town, but telephones are all interconnected, worldwide. Radios

> aren't. Looks like a little recreational legal research project comin'
up.

Let us know what you find in case law.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3887. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 01:06:12 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <atr624$pj2$0@208.206.142.95>
Source record: 58077
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Brian Running wrote:

> > It'll never fly. The answerer was just another bureaucrat who really
> didn't
> > know what it was they were putting out.
> > Federal courts long ago ruled that since radio has the capacity to cross
> state
> > lines with no regard for jurisdictions it will be regulated on the Federal
> > level. A single letter from any functionary won't change that.
> > Somewhere along the way someone heavy from DC will get wind of it all and
> will
> > grab them and straghten them out.
>
> But you know, Dick, it's absolutely true that 47 USC 152 says that the FCC
> has no jurisdiction over intrastate radio transmissions. Notwithstanding
> any court decisions, if your transmissions do not cross state lines, then
> the FCC can't regulate you. Of course, this is a problem of proof, but the
> burden is on the FCC to prove their jurisdiction, not the other way around.
> Telephones are instruments of interstate commerce, even if you only call
> across town, but telephones are all interconnected, worldwide. Radios
> aren't. Looks like a little recreational legal research project comin' up.

So how do you propose to prevent any radio wave from crossing state lines?
Even UHF/SHF will do it. There is nothing magic in a state line, they're only
visible when deliberately marked by some human.

It's a smoke screen. Nothing has changed. If it has, then GMRS away from a
state line doesn't require a license, as does not a UHF TV broadcast station in
the center of a state. But occasional propagation vagaries make it just possible
for even that RF to cross state lines, thus the court ruling. NOTHING has
changed, but I imagine Phil Kane might be in better position to comment.

Dick

3888. Re: K1MAN and W1AW?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 01:22:36 UTC
From: LRod@removethispartpobox.com
Message-ID: <3e011cbf.102803971@netnews.att.net>
Source record: 58078
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 18 Dec 2002 17:38:08 -0600, Paul W. Schleck <pschleck@novia.net>
wrote:

> You can find them at the ARRL web site:
>
>http://www.arrl.org/news/stories/1999/07/02/1/
>http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/2002/0209.html

Thanks for the links. I read them in real time when they were first
published but their content had faded in my memory. It was fun to
review them.

>The only issue that's confusing for me is why the FCC is taking so
>bloody long to take care of the matter. Perhaps Mr. Hollingsworth, like
>Bush and Iraq, is making sure that the FCC dots every "i" and crosses
>every "t" to make sure that whatever hammer falls on K1MAN will find its
>mark and stay there.

I suspect that with license renewal in October of 2005, he will be
designated for a hearing and the voluminous evidence will be heaped
upon him with the burden of proving he's worthy falling on him.

You're right about the idiots. Listen to any of the ARRL sessions
(they'll have to listen to the phone transmissions, because I doubt a
one of them can copy CW), and it is clear that it is an amateur radio
bulletin or code practice. There's no nonsense like call-ins, breaks
for "commercials," editorials, or anything other than either news or
(in the case of code practice) technical information.

Listen to a Baxter broadcast. It's formatted like a broadcast, it's
paced like a broadcast, it sounds like a broadcast. It fails the duck
test; it's a broadcast, and it's illegal.

Moreover, ARRL has been providing their services for 75 years or more,
and there is every reasonable expectation that they will continue to
provide them for the distantly forseeable future.

Baxter is 60, and barring his hoped for removal, will likely only have
the energy to carry this charade on for, at most, 15 years. That
should put the lie to any judgement of "value" he is providing to
Amateur Radio. He's not here for the long run. Just a short burst of
easily forgotten self-aggrandizement.

Oh, and someone said he was a lawyer ("and a fine one"); check his
bio, he's an engineer. Not a single mention of law anywhere. I've yet
to meet a lawyer who doesn't manage to bring it up somewhere in a

conversation.

LRod

Master Woodbutcher and seasoned termite

Shamelessly whoring my website since 1999

http://www.woodbutcher.net

3889. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 01:28:56 UTC
From: Brian Running <brunning@XXtdsXX.net>
Message-ID: <sh9M9.65056$Hs2.8055338@kent.svc.tds.net>
Source record: 58079
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

> Which is exactly why the FCC has the full jurisdiction in radio matters.
>
> > but the
> > burden is on the FCC to prove their jurisdiction, not the other way
> around.
>
> Not so, again, because the feds got full jurisdiction years ago.

But that's the point, Bill -- jurisdiction is granted by 47 USC 152, that's the jurisdiction statute. And the statute says very specifically that there is no jurisdiction over intrastate radio communications. Here is the pertinent section:

(b)

Except as provided in sections 223 through 227 of this title, inclusive, and section 332 of this title, and subject to the provisions of section 301 of this title and subchapter V-A of this chapter, nothing in this chapter shall be construed to apply or to give the Commission jurisdiction with respect to

(1)

charges, classifications, practices, services, facilities, or regulations for or in connection with intrastate communication service by wire or radio of any carrier,

Federal courts cannot override statutes with their decisions, unless they find them unconstitutional. I think this is interesting.

> > Telephones are instruments of interstate commerce, even if you only call
> > across town, but telephones are all interconnected, worldwide. Radios
> > aren't. Looks like a little recreational legal research project comin'
> up.
>
> Let us know what you find in case law.

If and when I get the time to do it, I'll let you know.

3890. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 01:31:51 UTC
From: Brian Running <brunning@XXtdsXX.net>
Message-ID: <bk9M9.65057$Hs2.8055614@kent.svc.tds.net>
Source record: 58080
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

> So how do you propose to prevent any radio wave from crossing state
lines?
> Even UHF/SHF will do it. There is nothing magic in a state line, they're
only
> visible when deliberately marked by some human.

I don't propose to do anything. I'm not taking any position on this -- I just think it's interesting that the statute that grants the FCC its jurisdiction over radio specifically excludes intrastate communications, particularly when, as you state, almost any radio transmission can be interstate.

> It's a smoke screen. Nothing has changed. If it has, then GMRS away from
a
> state line doesn't require a license, as does not a UHF TV broadcast
station in
> the center of a state. But occasional propagation vagaries make it just
possible
> for even that RF to cross state lines, thus the court ruling. NOTHING has
> changed, but I imagine Phil Kane might be in better position to comment.

You can't call federal legislation a smoke screen. Like Richard Pryor said about cancer, you can't laugh that shit off. I'll be interested to see how the court decisions have handled this.

3891. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 01:48:59 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <atr8l4psr1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58081
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

So how come the FCC doesn't "operate" on the principal you site below?

Additionally, why isn't there any "radio regulations" on an "intra-state"
basis in each of the 50 states?

Methinks there's a misreading or misapplication of 47 USC 152
in your analysis.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

"Brian Running" <brunning@XXtdsXX.net> wrote in message
news:sh9M9.65056$Hs2.8055338@kent.svc.tds.net...
> > Which is exactly why the FCC has the full jurisdiction in radio matters.
> >
> > > but the
> > > burden is on the FCC to prove their jurisdiction, not the other way
> > around.
> >
> > Not so, again, because the feds got full jurisdiction years ago.
>
> But that's the point, Bill -- jurisdiction is granted by 47 USC 152,
that's
> the jurisdiction statute. And the statute says very specifically that
there
> is no jurisdiction over intrastate radio communications. Here is the
> pertinent section:
>
> (b)
>
> Except as provided in sections 223 through 227 of this title, inclusive,
and
> section 332 of this title, and subject to the provisions of section 301 of
> this title and subchapter V-A of this chapter, nothing in this chapter
shall
> be construed to apply or to give the Commission jurisdiction with respect
to
>
> (1)
>
> charges, classifications, practices, services, facilities, or regulations
> for or in connection with intrastate communication service by wire or
radio
> of any carrier,

>
> Federal courts cannot override statutes with their decisions, unless they
> find them unconstitutional. I think this is interesting.
>
> > > Telephones are instruments of interstate commerce, even if you only
call
> > > across town, but telephones are all interconnected, worldwide. Radios
> > > aren't. Looks like a little recreational legal research project
comin'
> > up.
> >
> > Let us know what you find in case law.
>
> If and when I get the time to do it, I'll let you know.
>
>

3892. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 02:02:31 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <atr9ek$f1a$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58082
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"Brian Running" <brunning@XXtdsXX.net> wrote in message
news:sh9M9.65056$Hs2.8055338@kent.svc.tds.net...
> > Which is exactly why the FCC has the full jurisdiction in radio matters.
> >
> > > but the
> > > burden is on the FCC to prove their jurisdiction, not the other way
> > around.
> >
> > Not so, again, because the feds got full jurisdiction years ago.
>
> But that's the point, Bill -- jurisdiction is granted by 47 USC 152,
that's
> the jurisdiction statute. And the statute says very specifically that
there
> is no jurisdiction over intrastate radio communications. Here is the
> pertinent section:
>
> (b)
>
> Except as provided in sections 223 through 227 of this title, inclusive,
and
> section 332 of this title, and subject to the provisions of section 301 of
> this title and subchapter V-A of this chapter, nothing in this chapter
shall
> be construed to apply or to give the Commission jurisdiction with respect
to
>
> (1)
>
> charges, classifications, practices, services, facilities, or regulations
> for or in connection with intrastate communication service by wire or
radio
> of any carrier,
>
> Federal courts cannot override statutes with their decisions, unless they
> find them unconstitutional. I think this is interesting.

On second thought...
Here's your analysis failure....
There is NO presumption that any radio service can be truly
intrastate only in nature. The courts long ago recognized the interstate
(as well as inter-country) nature of all radio propogation
regardless of specific content or intended limitation to intra-state

only communications. We have long ago seen that nothing
can guarantee limiting propogation of a radio signal to
only an intra-state geographic boundary 100% of the time.

Indeed, folks have done amazing distances with
verrryyy low power at all sorts of different frequencies.

Soooo... without an actual case to the contrary, the court record of
radio regulation clearly is on the side of FCC jurisdiction of all
radio station transmissions within the USA.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3893. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 02:08:51 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <atr9qc$8fr$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58084
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"Brian Running" <brunning@XXtdsXX.net> wrote in message
news:bk9M9.65057$Hs2.8055614@kent.svc.tds.net...
> > So how do you propose to prevent any radio wave from crossing state
> lines?
> > Even UHF/SHF will do it. There is nothing magic in a state line, they're
> only
> > visible when deliberately marked by some human.
>
> I don't propose to do anything. I'm not taking any position on this -- I
> just think it's interesting that the statute that grants the FCC its
> jurisdiction over radio specifically excludes intrastate communications,
> particularly when, as you state, almost any radio transmission can be
> interstate.

That's exactly why there is no conflict and there is no
state level regulation of radio. See prior posting on this
point. Dick has it 100% right as to all radio being interstate
in nature at some point...and since the originator can't control
or limit the interstate nature of any RF signal, the issue
is always under FCC regulation.

> > It's a smoke screen. Nothing has changed. If it has, then GMRS away
from
> a
> > state line doesn't require a license, as does not a UHF TV broadcast
> station in
> > the center of a state. But occasional propagation vagaries make it just
> possible
> > for even that RF to cross state lines, thus the court ruling. NOTHING
has
> > changed, but I imagine Phil Kane might be in better position to comment.
>
> You can't call federal legislation a smoke screen. Like Richard Pryor
said
> about cancer, you can't laugh that shit off. I'll be interested to see
how
> the court decisions have handled this.

The courts have not, to my knowledge, ever sided with your
viewpoint that radio can ever truly be 100% intrastate only
100% of the time.

Works for me.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3894. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 02:09:36 UTC
From: Trent Creekmore <tcreek@gt.rr.com>
Message-ID: <AT9M9.14577$Nz5.391795@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 58083
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"> So how do you propose to prevent any radio wave from crossing state lines?
> Even UHF/SHF will do it. There is nothing magic in a state line, they're only
> visible when deliberately marked by some human.
>

The people who represent the govrnment like to use interstate commerce and crossing state line synonymously. Commerce and crossing state lines are two distinict things.

Article I, Section 8 states:

"The Congress shall have Power.....

To regulate Commerce with foreign Nations, and among the several States, and with the Indian Tribes; "

> It's a smoke screen. Nothing has changed. If it has, then GMRS away from a
> state line doesn't require a license, as does not a UHF TV broadcast station in
> the center of a state. But occasional propagation vagaries make it just possible
> for even that RF to cross state lines, thus the court ruling. NOTHING has
> changed, but I imagine Phil Kane might be in better position to comment.
>

However I am sure that if the transmission affects another transmitter engaging in interstate commerce then they could do something about it.

3895. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 02:19:13 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpm3@morisseau.org>
Message-ID: <3E012C9E.FD59344A@morisseau.org>
Source record: 58085
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll wrote:

>
> Somewhere along the way someone heavy from DC will get wind of it all and will
> grab them and straghten them out.

And they will leave their erstwhile "licensee" to twist in the wind when the
Bureau of Enforcement comes down on them.

--
Dan Morisseau - N 38ï¿½34'53", W 90ï¿½22'32", 680'
Cardinal Nation: On the sunrise side of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
"ï¿½Y tu madre tambien!"

3896. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 02:21:26 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212181821.267c324b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58086
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<atqf5f$2gp$0@208.206.142.88>...
> It'll never fly. The answerer was just another bureaucrat who really didn't
> know what it was they were putting out.
> Federal courts long ago ruled that since radio has the capacity to cross state
> lines with no regard for jurisdictions it will be regulated on the Federal
> level. A single letter from any functionary won't change that.

It's a good thing that automobiles cannot cross state lines as the
states would have to give up licensing authority to the Feds.

3897. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 02:25:14 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpm3@morisseau.org>
Message-ID: <3E012DFA.31D25C90@morisseau.org>
Source record: 58087
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Trent Creekmore wrote:

> Those liscenses are pefectly legal:
>
> Dear Mr. Hunt:
>
> I notice that you personally introduce your agency as being charged with
> regulating interstate and international communications consisting of almost
> all electronic methods. This leads me to ask you, does your agency, the
> Federal Communications Commission, have any jurisdiction over intrastate
> radio communications, meaning "within the state"?
>
> If you do have jurisdiction, where may I find the implementing regulation in
> the Federal Register, and specifically, what section and paragraphs would
> pertain to radio transmissions that do not cross state borders?
>
> Thank you very much in advance for your answer to me.
>
> The answer was as follows:
>
> March 3, 1997
>
> I've been asked to respond to your letter regarding intrastate radio
> communications, meaning "within the state." The FCC only regulates
> interstate and foreign commerce in radio communications. For your reference,
> I have enclosed a copy of Title 1, Section 2, 47 United States Code 152.
> Also enclosed are copies of other sections and titles referred to in Title
> 1. Respectively, they are Sections 223 through 227, Section 332, Section 301
> Title 6, and Sections 201 through 205 of the Communications Act of 1934 as
> amended.
>
> Intrastate, meaning "within the state," radio communications may be
> regulated by individual states, and I would recommend contacting your state
> utility commission for further information.
>
> Signed,
>
> Martha E. Conti
>
> Chief, Public Service Division
>
> Office of Public Affairs

Is this public trough feeding bureaucratic bimbo brain damaged!!? Anyone with even a smattering of understanding in the area of federal pre-emption and communication law knows that this nonsense is laughably wrong! Someone get hold of these clowns and remind them that issues of this type was effectively settled over at Appomatox Courthouse in Virginia about 137 years ago. I'm sure they must have heard of it - it was in ALL the papers.

--
Dan Morisseau - N 38ï¿½34'53", W 90ï¿½22'32", 680'
Cardinal Nation: On the sunrise side of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
"ï¿½Y tu madre tambien!"

3898. Re: DXer Phonetics (Was Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 02:26:50 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212181826.56305c37@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58088
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.dx

That would have been an interesting one to eavesdrop on. Did he get it?

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<atqdab$40h$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>...
> I did that once a few years ago. But I used Bataan and Coregador.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Extra ClassY" <extra_classy@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:qhlvvuk4krn7e1ngfnf5ekqclgma3gr427@4ax.com...
> > On 9 Dec 2002 04:50:10 -0800, brianburke@juno.com (Brian) was like:
> >
> > >I used "Isuzu, Mitsubishi, Daihatsu" with a JA operator when standard
> > >"fonetics" didn't work.
> > >
> > >Brian/N0iMD
> >
> > And the JA operator replied, "WOW, HE SPOKE JAPANESE!!"
> >
> >

3899. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 02:29:07 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212181829.27e1428c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58089

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:<ato0r3$db0$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>...
> Go out and find a book called "The Peters Principle" by a Doctor Peters
> (Imagine that!!). It came out several years ago.
>
> It will explain it all to you both.
>
> Dan/W4NTI

I refer you to the case of Mr. P. Green.

3900. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 02:36:25 UTC
From: Trent Creekmore <tcreek@gt.rr.com>
Message-ID: <JgaM9.14652$Nz5.395767@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 58090
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0212181821.267c324b@posting.google.com...
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<atqf5f$2gp$0@208.206.142.88>...
> > It'll never fly. The answerer was just another bureaucrat who really
didn't
> > know what it was they were putting out.
> > Federal courts long ago ruled that since radio has the capacity to
cross state
> > lines with no regard for jurisdictions it will be regulated on the
Federal
> > level. A single letter from any functionary won't change that.
>
> It's a good thing that automobiles cannot cross state lines as the
> states would have to give up licensing authority to the Feds.

Touch!

It is a very valid point.

3901. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 02:41:38 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <C66317CEB4BB2361.9313A0930493C661.35A05B9F83946D98@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58093

Yeah, Jim. That's what I think is going on with this station that the original poster was posting about. It's probably a low-power FM station licensed by the FCC if it meets the qualifications.

Kim W5TIT

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message news:uvtb7j9nnfh442@corp.supernews.com...
> Kim, it's been a while since I read about the low power FM stations; I
> believe they are still licensed by the FCC.
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
> "W5TIT - Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> news:A2C1B15E14A881A2.D86365D3191EE560.D9B40CAFE53166AE@lp.airnews.net...
> >
> > An excerpt from the FCC webpage (http://www.fcc.gov/lpfm/):
> >
> > Low Power FM Broadcast Radio Stations
> > This page contains information about the Low Power FM (LPFM) radio
> service,
> > which was created by the Commission in January 2000. These stations are
> > authorized for noncommercial educational broadcasting only (no
commercial
> > operation) and operate with an effective radiated power (ERP) of 100
watts
> > (0.1 kilowatts) or less, with maximum facilities of 100 watts ERP at 30
> > meters (100 feet) antenna height above average terrain (HAAT). The
> > approximate service range of a 100 watt LPFM station is 5.6 kilometers
> (3.5
> > miles radius). LPFM stations are not protected from interference that
may
> be
> > received from other classes of FM stations. A construction permit is
> > required before a LPFM station can be constructed or operated.
> >
> > END OF EXCERPT
> >
> > I don't know if this is what you're posting about, above, but I suspect
> so.
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
> >

> >
>
>

3902. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 02:44:36 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <20B7C8C9F3A65995.2E30210EA407C0D3.A123A86B72ADB91F@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58094

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021217072529.12070.00000076@mb-df.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses
> >From: "Jim Hampton" aa2qa@frontiernet.net
> >Date: 12/16/02 10:10 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <uvt8uh7gv650ec@corp.supernews.com>
>
> >
> >I'm more curious now. I just visited the Nashville and Davidson County
> >website. Maybe the idiot paid for a 'business' license. You certainly
may
> >have a radio station and pay for a business license, but you'll need the
FCC
> >license to legally broadcast. Nothing on the website about a
'broadcasting'
> >license.
>
> That's a good point, Jim...I didn't think of that. But the original
> poster did say he tried to tell the "broadcaster" that his "license" was
not
> sufficient for what he was using it for.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

I have a feeling the original poster was just under an assumption that there
was no licensing for LPFMs.

Kim W5TIT

3903. Re: Steve Robeson ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 02:45:06 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212181845.3dec14c5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58091

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0212180641.5a7e8043@posting.google.com>...
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0212180329.55c9be4c@posting.google.com>...
> > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021218025636.12126.00000103@mb-df.aol.com>...
> > > >Subject: Re: Steve Robeson ?
> > > >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> > > >Date: 12/17/02 8:42 PM Central Standard Time
> > > >Message-id: <f45722ac.0212171842.5a851731@posting.google.com>
> > >
> > >
> > > >"What is this fellow's obsession with this Lenny?"
> > >
> > > My "obsession", Braniac,
> >
> > It's just "Brian."
> >
> > is that I do not tolerate liars, bullies and
> > > fools, all three of which seem to be summed up in the personna of Leonard H
> > > Anderson. lennie seems to think it's OK to "attack" me, ergo he's found an
> > > opponent who will not allow his ranting to go unchallenged.
> >
> > Unchallenged, or over the deep end? Can you tell the difference?
> >
> > > Lennie seems to be determiend to undermine the very same Amateur Radio in
> > > which you are licensed by making slurs, denigrations and outright mistruths in
> > > order to make it appear as though it is less than what it is. Lennie would
> > > have the uninformed think Amateur Radio is nothing more than CB with a code
> > > test.
> >
> > I don't believe that, and I don't see where Len has said that.
>
> You don't "see" a lotta things, that's why you're known as "Brainiac".

You're welcome to back that claim up with a google search, if you know
how.

It shouldn't take an engineering degree to accomplish, but since
you've got one, why waste it?

> > > Lennie portrays the average Ham (read that YOU) as a mindless tot playing
> > > with a toy he has no practical knowledge of. Indeed, his suggestion that an
> > > arbitrary age limit be placed on an Amateur license was a manifestation of that
> > > misconception.

> >
> > I think you might overstate your knowledge of radio, which has
> > prompted the remarks. I have no illusions about the amateur radio
> > license, or my abilities as an electronics genius. I take no offense
> > if I don't think his remarks apply to me.
> >
> > > Lennie makes it claer that he and ONLY he truly represent that which OUGHT
> > > to be allowed to "operate" a radio. He is unable to differeniate between
> > > "commercial" radio and "Amateur" radio, it's purposes, history or applications.
> >
> > CW, cw, and more cw?
> >
> > > Unfortunately Lennie has a very visable soap box from which to mount his
> > > crusade, and to the uninformed, if allowed to go unchallenged, Lennie's rants
> > > would appear to be valid.
> >
> > So ignore him. But since you are obsessed, you cannot.
> >
> > > Did that answer the question to YOUR satisfaction, Brian?
> >
> > Was anything revealed to you as you answered the question? Did a
> > little grain of wheat light turn on above your head?
> >
> > > Now...where are your T5 logs?
> >
> > In storage.
> >
> > But I can assure you that you are not in my T5 logs.
>
> Neither is anybody else.

Neither are you.

And I thought I was in your killfile?

Put me back in there, you're as annoying as ever.

3904. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 02:48:32 UTC
From: David Eduardo <amdavid@pacbell.net>
Message-ID: <4saM9.702$P02.386@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 58092
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"Trent Creekmore" <tcreek@gt.rr.com> wrote in message
news:JgaM9.14652$Nz5.395767@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:f45722ac.0212181821.267c324b@posting.google.com...
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:<atqf5f$2gp$0@208.206.142.88>...
> > > It'll never fly. The answerer was just another bureaucrat who really
> didn't
> > > know what it was they were putting out.
> > > Federal courts long ago ruled that since radio has the capacity to
> cross state
> > > lines with no regard for jurisdictions it will be regulated on the
> Federal
> > > level. A single letter from any functionary won't change that.
> >
> > It's a good thing that automobiles cannot cross state lines as the
> > states would have to give up licensing authority to the Feds.
>
> Touch!
>
> It is a very valid point.

The keyword is "commerce." Private vehicles don't pay to travel outside either home state; commercial vehicles have a complicated set of apportionment rules that allow them to engage in interstate commerce. Ma and Pa on vacation are not part of the commercial aspects that are in play.

3905. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 02:52:42 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <839B406271C7CB23.8AA3FBD73B59E841.4DE5ACDC30373542@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58098

Jeeze, Dickie...go to the FCC website and grab a little education... No one's gonna grab anyone or straighten anyone out. I'd be willing to be this is a station operating under the LPFM rules.

Kim W5TIT

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:atqf5f$2gp$0@208.206.142.88...
> It'll never fly. The answerer was just another bureaucrat who really didn't
> know what it was they were putting out.
> Federal courts long ago ruled that since radio has the capacity to cross state
> lines with no regard for jurisdictions it will be regulated on the Federal
> level. A single letter from any functionary won't change that.
> Somewhere along the way someone heavy from DC will get wind of it all and will
> grab them and straghten them out.
>
> Dick
>
> Rich Mooreland wrote:
>
> > Well heck, that's the answer then! And at least one county
> > (Davidson County TN) has taken advantage of the authority to
> > issue radio licenses and make some revenue in the process.
> >
> > Rich
> >
> > "Trent Creekmore" <tcreek@gt.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:acVL9.5408$KW2.346559@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > > Those liscenses are pefectly legal:
> > >
> > >
> > > Dear Mr. Hunt:
> > >
> > > I notice that you personally introduce your agency as being charged with
> > > regulating interstate and international communications consisting of
> > almost
> > > all electronic methods. This leads me to ask you, does your agency, the
> > > Federal Communications Commission, have any jurisdiction over intrastate
> > > radio communications, meaning "within the state"?

> > >
> > > If you do have jurisdiction, where may I find the implementing
regulation
> > in
> > > the Federal Register, and specifically, what section and paragraphs
would
> > > pertain to radio transmissions that do not cross state borders?
> > >
> > > Thank you very much in advance for your answer to me.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > The answer was as follows:
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > March 3, 1997
> > >
> > > I've been asked to respond to your letter regarding intrastate radio
> > > communications, meaning "within the state." The FCC only regulates
> > > interstate and foreign commerce in radio communications. For your
> > reference,
> > > I have enclosed a copy of Title 1, Section 2, 47 United States Code
152.
> > > Also enclosed are copies of other sections and titles referred to in
Title
> > > 1. Respectively, they are Sections 223 through 227, Section 332,
Section
> > 301
> > > Title 6, and Sections 201 through 205 of the Communications Act of
1934 as
> > > amended.
> > >
> > > Intrastate, meaning "within the state," radio communications may be
> > > regulated by individual states, and I would recommend contacting your
> > state
> > > utility commission for further information.
> > >
> > > Signed,
> > >
> > > Martha E. Conti
> > >
> > > Chief, Public Service Division
> > >
> > > Office of Public Affairs
> > >
> > > "Wheeler" <cwheeler@zipmailsvc.net> wrote in message
> > > news:atkmnj$d1t$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > > Apparently, Davidson County in Tennessee is issuing some sort
> > > > "radio license." I saw one this weekend, and they look official.

> > > > It matters not they are legal, since the "licensees" believe they
are.
> > > > Got in a heated discussion with one guy. Told him it wasn't worth
> > > > the paper it was printed on. He assured me it was and he is running
> > > > a low power FM station, using his "license" to broadcast.
> > > > Watching how far this "license" thing will go should prove to be
> > > > interesting.
> > > > And for the cynics, no it is not a troll, just an item of
interest to
> > > > people interested in radio things.
> > > >
> > > > 73 from Wheeler
> > > >
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
>

3906. Re: Steve Robeson ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 02:59:55 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212181859.1119b3ae@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58095

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021218085226.12126.00000105@mb-df.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Steve Robeson ?
> >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> >Date: 12/18/02 5:29 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <f45722ac.0212180329.55c9be4c@posting.google.com>
>
> >Unchallenged, or over the deep end? Can you tell the difference?
>
> Can you? Can you tell the difference between your opinion and a hole in
> the ground? Can you see the tears of concern in my eye?

No, just blood red hatred.

> >I don't believe that, and I don't see where Len has said that.
>
> Then you need glasses and a good proctologist.
>
> >I think you might overstate your knowledge of radio, which has
> >prompted the remarks. I have no illusions about the amateur radio
> >license, or my abilities as an electronics genius. I take no offense
> >if I don't think his remarks apply to me.
>
> Where did I STATE my knowledge of radio? My remarks have been over the
> general basis and purpose of Amateur Radio. I have stated, in very clear,
> unmistakeable English that I defer to Lennie's apparent knowledge of
> electronics (more likely his ability to paste-and-cut)

Fine, then you should realize that his remarks don't apply to you. Be
a big boy and move on.

> And if you take no offense, then perhaps your head is a bit too deep in
> the sand.

Which is it? In the sand or do I need a proctologist? There is a
difference, you know?

> >> Lennie makes it claer that he and ONLY he truly represent that which
> OUGHT
> >> to be allowed to "operate" a radio. He is unable to differeniate between
> >> "commercial" radio and "Amateur" radio, it's purposes, history or
> >applications.
> >
> >CW, cw, and more cw?
>
> If you think that that's all it is about, then you DO have your head a bit

> too deep and have NOT been paying attention.

> Lennie thinks that's all it's about, but that's only because that's all he
> wants to THINK it's about. Lennie's a fool, though.

I refer you to the January 2003 issue of QST. Its mostly about
retro-radio and CW.

> >So ignore him. But since you are obsessed, you cannot.
>
> History has repetitively shown what happens when you let braggards, liars
> and thugs go unchallenged.

If Len is as crazy as you want us to believe, then all the smart
people will ignore him. Then what happens?

> >Was anything revealed to you as you answered the question? Did a
> >little grain of wheat light turn on above your head?
>
> For what? That you disagree with my dogged challenging of someone who
> insists on insulting me and a whole subset of American society?

I'm not offended. I'm a ham, yet I don't think he is talking about
me. I'm so tired of eveyone being a victim. Get over it.

> >> Now...where are your T5 logs?
> >
> >In storage.
> >
> >But I can assure you that you are not in my T5 logs
>
> I know I am not.

I know it, too.

> You were never an item in DX circles.

I'm certain you weren't, never will be.

You were never
> "legal" as a T5 station, and I doubt there are any T5 logs from you.

Believe what you will. I think you you'll have your day of judgement
and this will all come forth. As the heat becomes unbearable, you'll
claim to be yet another victim of the evil Saint Peter.

Better get over it now, while you can. didit?

3907. Re: Steve Robeson ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 03:02:06 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021218220206.10292.00000508@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 58097

In article <5n6uvuk3eim2h7b7fomjhj3grs46jgqdk0@4ax.com>, NewGuy
<me@myaddress.net> writes:

>What is this fellow's obsession with this Lenny?

Dunno. I don't like Lenny much myself, but I generally just ignore
him.

>Is this Steve fellow a rejected lover, a stalker or
>just your garden variety psychopath?

I think he's mainly just stressed-out, and gets some kind of
release by beating up on poor 'ole Lenny.

>Does this abhorrent behavior have something
>to do with amateur radio?

None that I'm aware of.

>Why has Robeson lived so many places, is it
>that he can't hold a job?

He served a career in the Marine Corps, so he moved around
a lot.

>Inquiring minds want to know.

Ask him, then.

73 de Larry, K3LT

3908. Re: clueless newbie question.. US nation wide emegency freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 03:02:07 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021218220207.10292.00000509@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 58096

In article <uvupphji5502b4@corp.supernews.com>, "KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com>
writes:

>> There, that's all there is to it. There is no stinking "National
>> Police/Fire/EMS
>> emergency frequency" because if there was, it would be jammed 24/7 by
>> Radio Hero Wannabes like yourself.
>
>Ain't that the truth. BTW 155.475 MHz is a "nation wide"
>emergency frequency for POLICE USE ONLY.

Bill:

Oooops. It just got plugged into a memory channel on the "opened transmit"
HT's of several Ham Hero™ wannabes! You shoulda kept it to yourself!

>> For the sake of the efficiency of our
>> existing emergency services, and for the particular inconvenience of the
>> Radio Hero Wannabes like yourself, I hope there will NEVER be any kind
>> of "national emergency frequency" directly linked to public emergency
>> service providers.
>
>Agree 110%

Thang kew!

>> BTW -- to all you Ham Hero Wannabes out there -- you have a golden
>> opportunity to provide something like the Clydesdale is talking about
>simply
>> by setting up a nationwide monitoring network on .52 simplex -- and
>> exercising it on a regular basis. Just a thought...
>
>And a darn good one to. If hams monitored .52 and made sure they had
>or were near a phone to summon the proper authority VIA TELEPHONE,
>the public safety workers would have a lot easier time in their jobs.

Well, I've posted the same idea many times before on Packet BBS's,
Fidonet, and here. I've also seen similar ideas in the Letters to the Editor
of all the ham magazines from time to time. Problem is, as simple and
obvious an idea as it is, it would take a modicum of initiative and
motivation to implement it on a local level, such as a club project; and
then to take it to a regional level, state level, and ultimately national
level. At least all the major ham population areas should be reasonably
well covered -- but they aren't -- not even close! At the most basic
level, we really don't have anything like a readily accessible ham-radio
emergency communications system!

>You can legally use any frequency to summon help during a emergency,
>but you had better have a REAL good reason for using a police, fire,
>or military frequency when you do or else say good bye to that ham
>license for out of band transmissions.

There are a few infamous incidents where this has been done, and the hams involved received a first-class proctological examination as a result, but were provisionally cleared with the understanding that this was not to ever happen to them again in their lifetimes. One incident California was somewhat controversial because there was an appearance that the ham involved actually caused a personal injury accident for the express purpose of allowing him to be the one to "call it in" to the authorities on his HT.

Personally, I can't imagine any situation where this would ever be necessary, and I believe the very fact that a ham was prepared to transmit out-of-band on a public-service frequency implies that he was "looking" for an opportunity to be a Ham Hero™.

>That is if the authorities will even
>answer you on their frequency, most likely they won't! Around here the
>dispatchers ask for your unit number when you call in. If you don't
>provide one that they recognize as being a valid number they won't
>do anything for you at all.

I believe that's a good policy. You seem to have a good head on your shoulders, Bill. We'll have to get together for a game of "horseshoes" some time!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3909. Re: k1man jamming again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 03:16:59 UTC
From: No 2 Baxter <JamDaddy@NoSpam.com>
Message-ID: <atrdmc$b6d$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58099
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:atqdac$40h$2@slb9.atl.mindspring.net...
> How do you know he is a lawyer? Because he says so?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Extra ClassY" <extra_classy@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:k1nvvugh22fdqtfjggidns0eqfabb46rpp@4ax.com...
> >
> > Because, o thick headed one, K1MAN is a lawyer and a darn god one, and
> > all fo the clueless 27 MHz wieners like you that whine and jam and
> > complain, do not realize that we are a nation under LAW.

Baxter a lawyer? Now, that's a good one! Prove it. Where did he go to
school?
This claim can be verified by searching for attorneys in Baxter's home
state...by law
attorneys are registered, unless they have been disbarred.

3910. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 03:24:50 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <6_aM9.1514$X17.136378@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58101

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

: Was this from an offical county office? I wonder who would think
that
: they could issue these and why?

APPLICATION for TENNESSEE HAM LICENSE

NAME: _____ CB HANDLE: _____

ADDRESS: (R.F.D. - H.C.R.) _____

DADDY (If unknown, attach list of 3 suspects): _____

MAMA: _____

NECK SHADE: ( ) LIGHT RED ( ) MEDIUM RED ( ) DARK RED

NUMBER OF TEETH EXPOSED IN FULL GRIN: _____ UPPER: _____ LOWER: _____

MAKE OF PICKUP OWNED: _____ SIZE OF TIRES: _____

NUMBER OF EMPTY BEER CANS ON FLOOR OF PICKUP: _____

TRUCK EQUIPPED WITH:
( ) GUN RACK ( ) MUD FLAPS ( ) CAMPER TOP ( ) AIR HORN
( ) 8 TRACK ( ) 2 METER RADIO ( ) AMERICAN FLAG ( ) RUST
( ) FUZZ BUSTER ( ) LOAD OF WOOD ( ) HIJACK SHOCKS ( ) MUD TIRES
( ) SPITTOON ( ) RUNNING BOARDS ( ) C.B. ANTENNAS ( ) ROLL BAR

BUMPER STICKERS:
( ) HONK IF YOU HAVE A LEENYER ( ) HONK IF YOU LOVE MORRIS KOAD
( ) ALMOST HEAVEN, NO-CODE ( ) I (HEART) THE STUMPJUMPERS
( ) OLD FART ( ) I LOVE HINEY WINE
( ) RTTY HAPPENS ( ) REDMAN CHEWING TOBACCO
( ) WB2OTK FOR PRESIDENT ( ) SAVE THE BLACK FLY
( ) ALL YOU ECOLOGICAL BASTARDS CAN FREEZE IN THE DARK

FAVORITE BEVERAGE:
( ) BUSCH ( ) STAG ( ) OLD STYLE ( ) FALSTAFF
( ) BUCKHORN ( ) RED WHITE & BLUE ( ) BUDWEISER ( ) OLD MILWAUKEE
( ) CRICK WATER

FAVORITE VOCALIST:
( ) ELVIS ( ) CONWAY TWITTY ( ) LORETTA LYNN
( ) SLIM WHITMAN ( ) JOHNNY CASH ( ) WILLIE NELSON
( ) DICK CURTIS ( ) GEORGE JONES ( ) YOURSELF IN THE SHOWER
( ) DREW DURRIGAN

FAVORITE RECREATION:
( ) LISTENING TO 3865 ( ) COON HUNTING ( ) JAMMING K1MAN
( ) DRINKING ( ) BLUE GRASS FEST ( ) FISHING ALONE
( ) RACE TRACK ( ) FLOATING ( ) DEER HUNTING
( ) APPLE BUTTER FEST ( ) THREE WHEELING ( ) COLLECTING 10-10 #'s

HOW MANY CARS DO YOU HAVE UP ON BLOCKS IN YOUR FRONT YARD? _____

HOW MANY KITCHEN APPLIANCES ARE ON YOUR FRONT PORCH? ____ HOW MANY WORK? __

NUMBER OF HOUNDS: _____ TYPE: ( ) BLUE TICK ( ) BEAGLE ( ) BLACK/TAN

MEMBERSHIPS:
( ) NRA ( ) VFW ( ) PTL CLUB ( ) FISTS ( ) BARF
( ) FISH & GAME CLUB ( ) KKK ( ) WACKY WARRIORS ( ) ELKS
( ) NCI ( ) STUMPJUMPERS

BASEBALL CAP EMBLEM:
( ) JOHN DEERE ( ) HAMMARLUND ( ) SWAN ( ) CAT
( ) PREVIOUS CALL SIGN ( ) CHEVY ( ) BASS PRO ( )
COLLINS
( ) SMARTASS WHITE BOY

ARE YOU MARRIED TO ANY OF THE FOLLOWING? (Check all that apply)
( ) SISTER ( ) COUSIN ( ) COUSIN'S SISTER ( ) SOW
( ) COUSIN'S AUNT ( ) K4YZ's COUSIN

DOES YOUR SPOUSE WEIGH MORE THAN YOUR PICKUP? ( ) YES ( ) NO

DO YOU WEAR MOSTLY POLYESTER PANTS WITH SNAGS? ( ) YES ( ) NO

DO YOU OWN ANY SHOES (NOT COUNTING BOOTS)? IF SO, HOW MANY? _____

LENGTH OF RIGHT LEG: _____ LENGTH OF LEFT LEG: _____

CAN YOU SIGN YOUR NAME AND SPELL IT CORRECTLY EVERY TIME? ( ) YES ( ) NO

HAVE YOU EVER HAD MORE THAN ONE BATH A WEEK? ( ) YES ( ) NO

ARE YOU A REGISTERED VOTER? _____ DO YOU KNOW WHERE TO DO IT? _____

DO YOU WONDER IF YOU NEED TO FILE A TAX RETURN? ( ) YES ( ) NO

DO YOU WORRY THAT YOUR HOUSE COULD BLOW AWAY IN HIGH WINDS? ( ) YES ( ) NO

WHEN WAS YOUR LAST UFO SIGHTING? _____ ELVIS SIGHTING? _____

MEDICAL INFORMATION (DO YOU HAVE AT LEAST TWO OF THE FOLLOWING?):
( ) B.O. ( ) CRABS ( ) HEAD LICE ( ) BAD BREATH
( ) SCABIES ( ) YELLOW TEETH ( ) GREEN TEETH ( ) FLEAS
( ) RUNNY NOSE ( ) TATTOOS ( ) CROSSED EYES ( ) STUTTER

SIGNATURE (ONE `X' WILL DO) _____ DATED: _____

3911. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 03:37:00 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021218223700.02538.00000323@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 58102

In article <20021217073723.12070.00000077@mb-df.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>Subject: Re: Would have been Extra sooner
>From: k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ)
>Date: 17 Dec 2002 12:37:23 GMT
>
>>Subject: Re: Would have been Extra sooner
>>From: "Kï¿½HB" kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG
>>Date: 12/16/02 10:56 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <a8yL9.1087$c52.109649@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

>>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote
>>:
>>: Now you know why Hans calls me a very dangerous man!
>>:
>>Don't flatter yourself, Jim.
>>
>>I called you a dangerous man, for the same reason that I consider Trent Lott
>>a dangerous man, based on your characterization of the nature of our
>>society.
>>
>>Here is your quote:
>>
>> "Any human activity has a class structure of some kind."
>>
>>That statement, particularly because you chose to defend it, sets you aside
>>with a category of people who I consider to be in opposition of the "self
>>evident truths" such as "all men are created equal".

Let me state unequivocally that I am not in any way in opposition to the "self evident truths" such as "all men are created equal".

"Created equal" does not mean that all are equal in every way for their entire existence. It means that they are "endowed by their Creator with certain inalienable rights".

It means that no individual gets special rights or lack of rights under the law, based on the accident of birth. Unfortunately, it took almost a century for that
idea to apply (in theory) to nonwhites and almost a century and a half for it to apply (in theory) to women.
>
> Your problem here, Hans, is that you do not understand human development
>or the need for humankind to seek a support structure of it's own.

?? I'm not sure what you mean, Steve.
>
> Even among ants there are different "classes" (castes, divisions, what
>ever you care to use as a defining term), and there is the same in almost all
>species of mammal. There is a leader, a "queen", followers, workers,
>soldiers, foragers, etc.

But we're not ants. And those ants do jobs that are anatomically determined.
>
> Can you honestly say that there is NO "class structure" in human
>activity?
> There is NO "society", even tribal, where these patterns do not manifest?

Perhaps my statement would have been clearer if it read:

"Almost all human activities have a class structure of some kind."

>There are people living in a truely chaotic, unorganized fashion?

Oh yes! All over the place!

> The difference between us and the animals is that we can conciously
>overcome some of this propensity to "classify" people by race/gender/age,
>etc,
>and allow them the opportunity to rise or fall to rise or fall on thier own
>merits or misdeeds.

Actually, I'd say it was the other way around! Animals of the same species
usually build their "class structures" around things that are functional,
rather than arbitrary. Humans are the ones who make all sort of bad class
distinctions based on arbitrary and irrelevant characteristics.
>
> There was nothing "dangerous" in Jim's comments. It was quite accurate.
>
Just call me Danger Man.
>
73 de Jim, N2EY

3912. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 04:39:47 UTC
From: Tom Fidmore <UserABC@yurkaie.org>
Message-ID: <atriim$m38$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58103
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Pirate radio enthusiasts have been closely watching the goings
on in Tennessee for some time now. The county radio licenses
are quite legal and the federal bureaucrats would do well to keep
their obnoxious collective noses out of local affairs, lest they have
their noses clipped off and handed to them. Then again, look at
K1MAN. The FCC is leaving him alone, with good reason.
If the commission bothers Baxter anymore, he will slap the FCC
from one end of the federal court system to the other, and
Hollingsworth will be lucky to leave the commission with his
pension still intact.

Tom

"Dan Morisseau" <dpm3@morisseau.org> wrote in message
news:3E012C9E.FD59344A@morisseau.org...
>
>
> Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> >
> > Somewhere along the way someone heavy from DC will get wind of it all
and will
> > grab them and straghten them out.
>
> And they will leave their erstwhile "licensee" to twist in the wind
when the
> Bureau of Enforcement comes down on them.
>
>
> --
> Dan Morisseau - N 38°34'53", W 90°22'32", 680'
> Cardinal Nation: On the sunrise side of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
> "°Y tu madre tambien!"
>
>

3913. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 04:42:41 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <atriqo$6ap$1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58104
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"Trent Creekmore" <tcreek@gt.rr.com> wrote in message
news:qocM9.11187$KW2.492699@twister.austin.rr.com...
> Exactly...you you had your own little station as a hobby or even within
the
> state doing commerce thn no federal regualtion

Wrong... No such "your own little (radio) station...can exist on a purely
intrastate basis 100% of the time. The nature of RF propogation
can't be limited to only intra-state 100% of the time.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.net> wrote in message
> news:4saM9.702$P02.386@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
> >
> > "Trent Creekmore" <tcreek@gt.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:JgaM9.14652$Nz5.395767@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > >
> > > "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> > > news:f45722ac.0212181821.267c324b@posting.google.com...
> > > > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > > news:<atqf5f$2gp$0@208.206.142.88>...
> > > > > It'll never fly. The answerer was just another bureaucrat who
really
> > > didn't
> > > > > know what it was they were putting out.
> > > > > Federal courts long ago ruled that since radio has the capacity
to
> > > cross state
> > > > > lines with no regard for jurisdictions it will be regulated on the
> > > Federal
> > > > > level. A single letter from any functionary won't change that.
> > > >
> > > > It's a good thing that automobiles cannot cross state lines as the
> > > > states would have to give up licensing authority to the Feds.
> > >
> > > Touch!
> > >
> > > It is a very valid point.
> >
> > The keyword is "commerce." Private vehicles don't pay to travel outside
> > either home state; commercial vehicles have a complicated set of

> > apportionment rules that allow them to engage in interstate commerce.
Ma
> > and Pa on vacation are not part of the commercial aspects that are in
> play.
> >
> >
>
>

3914. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 04:51:13 UTC
From: lbudney-google@nb.net
Message-ID: <417b917a.0212182051.9536058@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58105

Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote:
>
> I've read it (I think you meant Peter Principle) It seems to me that to
> argue that a Supervisor doesn't need to actually justify their reasons
> for firing someone, as Len Budney seems to advocate, really leaves a
> wide open field.

There is some room for abuse. I didn't advocate the idea that a supervisor can fire anyone for any reason without ever being questioned.

> There are people out there who have very "exacting" standards. How
> would you like to get a new supervisor who decides to fire you on
> account of your ethnicity, or religion?

Those things do happen. Note that the problem does have a self-correcting quality (in the longer run, not the very short run). For example, if a supervisor is bigotted but his boss is not, then his boss will fire him. Eliminating the bigot is hampered if the bar to dismissal is raised too high, just as eliminating incompetent employees is hampered.

On the other hand, if the bigotry runs all the way to the top, then in the long run the company will not survive. For example, a restaurant which turns away minorities is turning away money, and is dooming itself in the long run. Nobody will want to eat there unless he is comfortable being recognized as a bigot by everyone who sees him enter, etc.

Finally, there are options for more direct action: don't forget that I mentioned picketting, collective bargaining, walkouts and lawsuits as possible remedies.

So your question, while valid, is not necessarily THE question. There are answers to the bigotted supervisor OTHER than requiring a papal dispensation before anyone can be fired. The question is, do the benefits from freedom to hire and fire outway the costs from arbitrary firings, given the remedies that exist? One can cogently argue that the answer is yes.

By contrast, the cost of firing under the present system can be extremely high. I've known supervisors who wouldn't DARE to reprimand--let alone fire--any minority employees under them, for fear of lawsuits. I've also seen supervisors administer the reprimands, and get a lawsuit for their troubles. This is one of the downsides of affirmative action, the ADA, etc. Is it, on balance, worth it? One can

argue yes--but usually people seem to assume that there is no way to address bigotry and prejudice other than legal force, and I don't think it's fair to assume that without question.

> Maybe the new supervisor just doesn't like you. Those should be grounds for
> your termination?

Put the shoe on the other foot. If a total obnoxious donkey is working for you, how would you feel knowing he was basically unfirable? Remember, from his perspective, you "just don't like him". If I hate my boss, I'll quit (and I've done so before). If he hates me, I recognize in principle his right to get rid of me (though I'd of course be quite ticked if it happened to me). Freedom of association is meaningless without freedom of non-association.

---Len.

3915. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 05:01:10 UTC
From: Trent Creekmore <tcreek@gt.rr.com>
Message-ID: <qocM9.11187$KW2.492699@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 58100
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Exactly...you you had your own little station as a hobby or even within the state doing commerce thn no federal regualtion

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.net> wrote in message news:4saM9.702$P02.386@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Trent Creekmore" <tcreek@gt.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:JgaM9.14652$Nz5.395767@twister.austin.rr.com...
> >
> > "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:f45722ac.0212181821.267c324b@posting.google.com...
> > > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:<atqf5f$2gp$0@208.206.142.88>...
> > > > It'll never fly. The answerer was just another bureaucrat who really
> > didn't
> > > > know what it was they were putting out.
> > > > Federal courts long ago ruled that since radio has the capacity to
> > cross state
> > > > lines with no regard for jurisdictions it will be regulated on the
> > Federal
> > > > level. A single letter from any functionary won't change that.
> > >
> > > It's a good thing that automobiles cannot cross state lines as the
> > > states would have to give up licensing authority to the Feds.
> >
> > Touch!
> >
> > It is a very valid point.
>
> The keyword is "commerce." Private vehicles don't pay to travel outside
> either home state; commercial vehicles have a complicated set of
> apportionment rules that allow them to engage in interstate commerce. Ma
> and Pa on vacation are not part of the commercial aspects that are in
play.
>
>

3916. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 05:21:46 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <atrl1arai0@208.207.71.133>
Source record: 58106
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Brian wrote:

> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<atqf5f$2gp$0@208.206.142.88>...
> > It'll never fly. The answerer was just another bureaucrat who really didn't
> > know what it was they were putting out.
> > Federal courts long ago ruled that since radio has the capacity to cross state
> > lines with no regard for jurisdictions it will be regulated on the Federal
> > level. A single letter from any functionary won't change that.
>
> It's a good thing that automobiles cannot cross state lines as the
> states would have to give up licensing authority to the Feds.

Why am I not surprised to find that you don't know the difference?

3917. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 05:43:08 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212182143.5a685fa9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58107
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"Brian Running" <brunning@XXameritechXX.net> wrote in message
news:<YM5M9.2159$qU5.1308280@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>...
> > It'll never fly. The answerer was just another bureaucrat who really
> didn't
> > know what it was they were putting out.
> > Federal courts long ago ruled that since radio has the capacity to cross
> state
> > lines with no regard for jurisdictions it will be regulated on the Federal
> > level. A single letter from any functionary won't change that.
> > Somewhere along the way someone heavy from DC will get wind of it all and
> will
> > grab them and straghten them out.
>
> But you know, Dick, it's absolutely true that 47 USC 152 says that the FCC
> has no jurisdiction over intrastate radio transmissions. Notwithstanding
> any court decisions, if your transmissions do not cross state lines, then
> the FCC can't regulate you. Of course, this is a problem of proof, but the
> burden is on the FCC to prove their jurisdiction, not the other way around.
> Telephones are instruments of interstate commerce, even if you only call
> across town, but telephones are all interconnected, worldwide. Radios
> aren't. Looks like a little recreational legal research project comin' up.

With Phil floating around here it's gonna be a very short project.
Methinks the Feds prempted jurisdiction in all matters radio with the
Communications Act of 1934. At least to the extent that no civilian or
civilian entity can put a legal 100w transmitter of any type in any
service without an FCC license. Anywhere. Maybe not counting the old
lowfer induction radiophones used by the railroads back when.

w3rv

3918. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 05:47:21 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <atrmh9vjq0@208.207.71.133>
Source record: 58108
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Tom Fidmore wrote:

> Pirate radio enthusiasts have been closely watching the goings
> on in Tennessee for some time now. The county radio licenses
> are quite legal and the federal bureaucrats would do well to keep
> their obnoxious collective noses out of local affairs, lest they have
> their noses clipped off and handed to them. Then again, look at
> K1MAN. The FCC is leaving him alone, with good reason.
> If the commission bothers Baxter anymore, he will slap the FCC
> from one end of the federal court system to the other, and
> Hollingsworth will be lucky to leave the commission with his
> pension still intact.

More from the peanut gallery? FCC hasn't busted Baxter because he's another nutcase and when he goes dpown it'll probably have to be the hard way. So far he just isn't worth the trouble. The evidence is still a-building, and when the time comes the evidence will be complete. It WILL come.
And the Tennessee "license" situation will be resolved as well. Such actions aren't taken until ALL the background work is done, evidence collected and everything is in place. That's all the result of lessons learned from the past.

3919. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 07:35:31 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <atrss3$e8q$0@208.206.142.114>
Source record: 58109
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Brian Kelly wrote:.

>
> With Phil floating around here it's gonna be a very short project.

I'd certainly think so.

>
> Methinks the Feds prempted jurisdiction in all matters radio with the
> Communications Act of 1934.

I'm not sure but it may have began with the original Radio Act of 1912. Much modified and updated with tje Act amd the
formation of the Commission in '34.

> At least to the extent that no civilian or
> civilian entity can put a legal 100w transmitter of any type in any
> service without an FCC license. Anywhere. Maybe not counting the old
> lowfer induction radiophones used by the railroads back when.
>

Yep, even the unlicensed low power radiators and services are "authorized" by FCC action.

Dick

3920. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 09:44:39 UTC
From: Linktech <peterrw@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3e0194ff$0$7813$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 58110
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

I would take the FCC on over this...

Low power transmission can be calculated to be below X microvolts at X
distance,
the amount of energy past the point of being equal to interference from the
local oscillators in
domestic equipment.

Let them argue about tropo ducting, sporadic E and all the rest of their
arsenal of propaganda.

Current licenced stations transmit further than their service area anyway
and that can cause interference to other
paid up broadcasters.

I think that if the jusisdiction issue was raised with states and counties
and that they were made aware of licence income
then you may have a winner.

You lot need to group together and push this as an interest group, go take
the battle to the FCC..

Get a smart lawyer.....

Peter

--

"Are you still wasting your time with spam?...
There is a solution!"

Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
The most powerful anti-spam software available.
http://www.giantcompany.com

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:atrl1arai0@208.207.71.133...
>
>
> Brian wrote:
>
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<atqf5f$2gp$0@208.206.142.88>...
> > > It'll never fly. The answerer was just another bureaucrat who really
didn't
> > > know what it was they were putting out.

> > > Federal courts long ago ruled that since radio has the capacity to
cross state
> > > lines with no regard for jurisdictions it will be regulated on the
Federal
> > > level. A single letter from any functionary won't change that.
> >
> > It's a good thing that automobiles cannot cross state lines as the
> > states would have to give up licensing authority to the Feds.
>
> Why am I not surprised to find that you don't know the difference?
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.431 / Virus Database: 242 - Release Date: 17/12/2002

3921. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 10:04:17 UTC
From: Trent Creekmore <tcreek@gt.rr.com>
Message-ID: <BQgM9.14449$6H6.501438@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 58111
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

hear hear!

Lots of the people here just let the Feds run right over them and let them
to continue to think they are the ones with absolute power.

>
> You lot need to group together and push this as an interest group, go take
> the battle to the FCC..
>
> Get a smart lawyer.....................
>
> Peter
>
> --
>
>
> ---
> "Are you still wasting your time with spam?...
> There is a solution!"
>
> Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
> The most powerful anti-spam software available.
> http://www.giantcompany.com
>
>
> "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:atrl1arai0@208.207.71.133...
> >
> >
> > Brian wrote:
> >
> > > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:<atqf5f$2gp$0@208.206.142.88>...
> > > > It'll never fly. The answerer was just another bureaucrat who really
> didn't
> > > > know what it was they were putting out.
> > > > Federal courts long ago ruled that since radio has the capacity to
> cross state
> > > > lines with no regard for jurisdictions it will be regulated on the
> Federal
> > > > level. A single letter from any functionary won't change that.
> > >
> > > It's a good thing that automobiles cannot cross state lines as the
> > > states would have to give up licensing authority to the Feds.

> >
> > Why am I not surprised to find that you don't know the difference?
> >
>
>
> ---
> Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> Version: 6.0.431 / Virus Database: 242 - Release Date: 17/12/2002
>
>

3922. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 12:37:11 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212190437.6c7c3c92@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58112
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<atrss3$e8q$0@208.206.142.114>...
> Brian Kelly wrote:.
>
> >
> > With Phil floating around here it's gonna be a very short project.
>
> I'd certainly think so.
>
>
> >
> > Methinks the Feds prempted jurisdiction in all matters radio with the
> > Communications Act of 1934.
>
> I'm not sure but it may have began with the original Radio Act of 1912. Much modified and
updated with tje Act amd the
> formation of the Commission in '34.
>
>
>
> > At least to the extent that no civilian or
> > civilian entity can put a legal 100w transmitter of any type in any
> > service without an FCC license. Anywhere. Maybe not counting the old
> > lowfer induction radiophones used by the railroads back when.
> >
>
> Yep, even the unlicensed low power radiators and services are "authorized" by FCC action.
>
> Dick

My guess is that the county has a license, and they are issuing a
piece of paper or a card, being called a "license," to those that they
have authorized to use the frequency.

Brian

3923. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 12:38:57 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212190438.e15642d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58113
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:<4saM9.702$P02.386@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>...
> "Trent Creekmore" <tcreek@gt.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:JgaM9.14652$Nz5.395767@twister.austin.rr.com...
> >
> > "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:f45722ac.0212181821.267c324b@posting.google.com...
> > > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:<atqf5f$2gp$0@208.206.142.88>...
> > > > It'll never fly. The answerer was just another bureaucrat who really
> didn't
> > > > know what it was they were putting out.
> > > > Federal courts long ago ruled that since radio has the capacity to
> cross state
> > > > lines with no regard for jurisdictions it will be regulated on the
> Federal
> > > > level. A single letter from any functionary won't change that.
> > >
> > > It's a good thing that automobiles cannot cross state lines as the
> > > states would have to give up licensing authority to the Feds.
> >
> > Touchï¿½!
> >
> > It is a very valid point.
>
> The keyword is "commerce." Private vehicles don't pay to travel outside
> either home state; commercial vehicles have a complicated set of
> apportionment rules that allow them to engage in interstate commerce. Ma
> and Pa on vacation are not part of the commercial aspects that are in play.

Tell that to Mickey Mouse and the State of Florida. Bed tax is used
for revenue instead of state income tax.

3924. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 12:40:22 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212190440.323c7dc6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58114
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<atrl1arai0@208.207.71.133>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<atqf5f$2gp$0@208.206.142.88>...
> > > It'll never fly. The answerer was just another bureaucrat who really didn't
> > > know what it was they were putting out.
> > > Federal courts long ago ruled that since radio has the capacity to cross state
> > > lines with no regard for jurisdictions it will be regulated on the Federal
> > > level. A single letter from any functionary won't change that.
> >
> > It's a good thing that automobiles cannot cross state lines as the
> > states would have to give up licensing authority to the Feds.
>
> Why am I not surprised to find that you don't know the difference?

The difference between what? Try finishing a thought once in a while.

3925. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 12:43:49 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212190443.7383bc40@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58115
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Dan Morisseau <dpm3@morisseau.org> wrote in message
news:<3E012DFA.31D25C90@morisseau.org>...

> Is this public trough feeding bureaucratic bimbo brain damaged!!? Anyone
> with even a smattering of understanding in the area of federal pre-emption and
> communication law knows that this nonsense is laughably wrong! Someone get hold
> of these clowns and remind them that issues of this type was effectively settled
> over at Appomatox Courthouse in Virginia about 137 years ago. I'm sure they must
> have heard of it - it was in ALL the papers.

Dick was there. He broke the news using a knee key hooked up to the
telegraph wires. Wasn't no "wireless" in those days.

3926. Re: Seasoned greeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 12:50:18 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212190450.273eb876@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58116

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<i3420vc5oauc709s6iuq2emr9brkd3jf76@4ax.com>...
> On Wed, 18 Dec 2002 16:30:29 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote:
>
> >Dear Friends,
>
> >So this accounts, dear friend, for your not receiving a more stimulating
> >present than this letter.
>
>
> Next time you don't get me a gift, make it Bourbon you don't
> get me. 8^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

I don't want any Sam Adams Cherry Wheat, either. Really. It's just awful.

3927. Ward's Editorial in Jan03 QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 12:53:05 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212190453.54be3437@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58117

Dick, Larry, Bruce, Kelly, please read the QST editorial during your holiday break. Make a resolution. Merry Christmas, Happy New Year.
Brian/N0iMD

3928. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 13:05:11 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212190505.4a56f79b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58118

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<atmgndted0@208.207.71.169>...

> Jim's statment makes you think he's a dangerous man. Yours makes me think
> you're a fool for not ralizing that fact already.

So you "ralized" long ago that Jim was a dangerous man?

3929. Re: Steve Robeson ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 13:22:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021219082228.29705.00000145@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 58119

>Subject: Re: Steve Robeson ?
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 12/18/02 9:02 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021218220206.10292.00000508@mb-ba.aol.com>

>In article <5n6uvuk3eim2h7b7fomjhj3grs46jgqdk0@4ax.com>, NewGuy
><me@myaddress.net> writes:
>
>>What is this fellow's obsession with this Lenny?
>
>Dunno. I don't like Lenny much myself, but I generally just ignore
>him.

Larry, don't you know Lennie when you see him...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

3930. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 13:41:29 UTC
From: Tom Fidmore <UserABC@yurkaie.org>
Message-ID: <atsiae$7mf$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58120
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Your childish comments aside, what is the point of your
"remarks"?

Tom

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:atrmh9vjq0@208.207.71.133...
>
>
> Tom Fidmore wrote:
>
> > Pirate radio enthusiasts have been closely watching the goings
> > on in Tennessee for some time now. The county radio licenses
> > are quite legal and the federal bureaucrats would do well to keep
> > their obnoxious collective noses out of local affairs, lest they have
> > their noses clipped off and handed to them. Then again, look at
> > K1MAN. The FCC is leaving him alone, with good reason.
> > If the commission bothers Baxter anymore, he will slap the FCC
> > from one end of the federal court system to the other, and
> > Hollingsworth will be lucky to leave the commission with his
> > pension still intact.
>
> More from the peanut gallery? FCC hasn't busted Baxter because he's
another
> nutcase and when he goes dpown it'll probably have to be the hard way. So
far
> he just isn't worth the trouble. The evidence is still a-building, and
when
> the time comes the evidence will be complete. It WILL come.
> And the Tennessee "license" situation will be resolved as well. Such
actions
> aren't taken until ALL the background work is done, evidence collected and
> everything is in place. That's all the result of lessons learned from the
> past.
>

3931. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 13:59:38 UTC
From: Tom Fidmore <UserABC@yurkaie.org>
Message-ID: <atsjcfago0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58121
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

The dominant liberal media intentionally ignored the story of
Hillsdale College's battle with federal bureaucrats in Michigan.
For 20 years, Hillsdale College battled the federal department
of education for the right to be just left alone. Hillsdale College
fought for and eventually won the right to enjoy rights which were
already granted Americans in the U.S. Constitution. If more
Americans educated themselves, the federal monster could be
brought to heel, using powers the people already have in the U.S.
Constitution. The "sheeple" would rather drink beer and watch
TV football, and that is why criminal tin horn federal bureaucrats
are drunk on illegal power. The principle is simple and was
conceived a long time ago by that politically incorrect crowd in
Philadelphia known as "The Founding Fathers." THE POWER
FLOWS FROM THE PEOPLE TO THE GOVERNMENT.
How much simpler can it be said people???????????

Tom

"Trent Creekmore" <tcreek@gt.rr.com> wrote in message
news:BQgM9.14449$6H6.501438@twister.austin.rr.com...
> hear hear!
>
> Lots of the people here just let the Feds run right over them and let them
> to continue to think they are the ones with absolute power.
>
>
>
>
> >
> > You lot need to group together and push this as an interest group, go
take
> > the battle to the FCC..
> >
> > Get a smart lawyer.....................
> >
> > Peter
> >
> > --
> >
> >
> > ---
> > "Are you still wasting your time with spam?...
> > There is a solution!"
> >

> > Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
> > The most powerful anti-spam software available.
> > http://www.giantcompany.com
> >
> >
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:atrl1arai0@208.207.71.133...
> > >
> > >
> > > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:<atqf5f$2gp$0@208.206.142.88>...
> > > > > It'll never fly. The answerer was just another bureaucrat who
really
> > didn't
> > > > > know what it was they were putting out.
> > > > > Federal courts long ago ruled that since radio has the capacity
to
> > cross state
> > > > > lines with no regard for jurisdictions it will be regulated on the
> > Federal
> > > > > level. A single letter from any functionary won't change that.
> > > > >
> > > > It's a good thing that automobiles cannot cross state lines as the
> > > > states would have to give up licensing authority to the Feds.
> > > >
> > > Why am I not surprised to find that you don't know the difference?
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > ---
> > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > Version: 6.0.431 / Virus Database: 242 - Release Date: 17/12/2002
> >
> >
>
>

3932. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 14:48:00 UTC
From: Brian Running <brunning@XXtdsXX.net>
Message-ID: <A_kM9.66550$Hs2.8146182@kent.svc.tds.net>
Source record: 58122
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

> Methinks there's a misreading or misapplication of 47 USC 152
> in your analysis.

Bill, you're misunderstanding me -- I'm not making an analysis, taking a position, staking out turf, etc., etc. -- I am merely saying that this is an interesting point of law, and I have acknowledged several times here that I don't have an answer and am going to do some further research on it. Relax, guys, there's nothing to jump on.

3933. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 14:48:54 UTC
From: Brian Running <brunning@XXtdsXX.net>
Message-ID: <q%kM9.66551$Hs2.8146654@kent.svc.tds.net>
Source record: 58123
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

> The courts have not, to my knowledge, ever sided with your
> viewpoint that radio can ever truly be 100% intrastate only
> 100% of the time.

That is not my view... Oh, never mind.

3934. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 14:51:29 UTC
From: Brian Running <brunning@XXtdsXX.net>
Message-ID: <R1IM9.66553$Hs2.8146826@kent.svc.tds.net>
Source record: 58124
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

> It's a good thing that automobiles cannot cross state lines as the
> states would have to give up licensing authority to the Feds.

There has to be "commerce" involved for the federal government to have
jurisdiction. Remember the ICC?

3935. Re: Seasoned greeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 14:53:08 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3E01DD54.6020000@psu.edu>
Source record: 58125

Brian wrote:
> Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<i3420vc5oauc709s6iuq2emr9brkd3jf76@4ax.com>...
>
>>On Wed, 18 Dec 2002 16:30:29 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote:
>>
>>
>>>Dear Friends,
>>
>>
>>
>>>So this accounts, dear friend, for your not receiving a more stimulating
>>>present than this letter.
>>
>>
>> Next time you don't get me a gift, make it Bourbon you don't
>>get me. 8^)
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>
> I don't want any Sam Adams Cherry Wheat, either. Really. It's just awful.

Shudder.... there are some things that don't belong in Beer. Cherry is
one of them.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3936. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 16:16:34 UTC
From: Trent Creekmore <tcreek@gt.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ChmM9.19426$Nz5.493501@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 58127
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"Brian Running" <brunning@XXtdsXX.net> wrote in message
news:R1lM9.66553$Hs2.8146826@kent.svc.tds.net...
> > It's a good thing that automobiles cannot cross state lines as the
> > states would have to give up licensing authority to the Feds.
>
> There has to be "commerce" involved for the federal government to have
> jurisdiction. Remember the ICC?
>
>

Well...

Since the US Supreme court was threaten from the Congress in the 1930s that it would stack the court with democrats ,it has upheld every Interstate Commerce claim the Federal government put forth. There is nothing in the US Constitution that indicates there has to be twelve justices. Shortly after democrats took control , democrat Justices were appointed to the court replacing the exiting one. This change is what made the US government grow in leaps and bounds. Shortly after though, democrat Justices were appointed to the court replacing the exiting one. It was not till the Regan years that the court finally saw many Republicans. Before WWII the US tax was only about 7%. During the war the is when the tax grew. It was not till the Regan years that the court finally saw many Republicans. 1995 is the first time since the 1930s the US Supreme court reversed the congress on an interstate commerce in the case of US vs. Lopez.

The problem is that the courts have no real authority. They can only claim a law unconstitutional. They cannot get rid of a law because they don't like it. The district courts were created by congress and could be easily dismantled. What if the president and congress decided to gang on the US Supreme court? The court could do nothing. They only have a few bailiffs. Their pay comes from congress.

So just because a court decides a case does not make it right. They have to appease in many cases and hold on to what power they have.

3937. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 16:31:52 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <atss9o$8de$0@208.206.142.111>
Source record: 58128
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Linktech wrote:

> I would take the FCC on over this...
>
> Low power transmission can be calculated to be below X microvolts at X
> distance,
> the amount of energy past the point of being equal to interference from the
> local oscillators in
> domestic equipment.
>
> Let them argue about tropo ducting, sporadic E and all the rest of their
> arsenal of propaganda.
>
> Current licenced stations transmit further than their service area anyway
> and that can cause interference to other
> paid up broadcasters.
>
> I think that if the jusisdiction issue was raised with states and counties
> and that they were made aware of licence income
> then you may have a winner.
>
> You lot need to group together and push this as an interest group, go take
> the battle to the FCC..
>
> Get a smart lawyer.....................

So you then believe that each and every state needs a local version of the FCC to administer all this? Which would have to coordinate with all adjoining states for frequency coordination and non-interference issues? Or to decide who is and isn't too close to the borders to use "intrstate" radio? When most states are beyond broke and in debt already? Are you serious? Why do you think FCC got the job in the first place?

3938. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 16:36:02 UTC
From: Trent Creekmore <tcreek@gt.rr.com>
Message-ID: <SzmM9.19517$Nz5.495561@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 58129
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"
> issues have some interest to me. I just thought I'd do a Lexis search
some
> time when I had a free moment, and see if the courts have interpreted the
> use of radio similarly to the use of the telephone -- even if a call is
not
> across state lines, a telephone is an instrumentality of interstate
> commerce, and is thus subject to federal regulation. That's all. Around
> here, a little bit of knowledge is a dangerous thing, I guess.
>
>

But just because it was decided that in the past does not mean it will be decided that way in the future.

Maybe the lawyer against the regulation did not present his case very well, or the judge was 100% pro government. It could be any number of reasons.

Things change.

What about the prohibition of alcohol in the 20s?
The biggest reason the was changed because of the people. juries were no longer convicting people on the cases.
But now days the government lies and tells juries they must make decision of the law only.
So many people in this country now days are ignorant sheep. They don't take the time to look up things to learn and accept what they are told. As long as they get their weekends off and watch their cable TV they don't care about anything else.

I have called many government offices and ask them about their particular area they enforce.
Their response is 100% of the time...well according to document..publication..etc etc.
I have to stop them and say..I don't care about that, I want to know the law. That is when they become stupor .

Here is a good example. 2 years ago I apply for a passport. On the application it mentions about according Interal Revenue code requiring your social security number on the application or else there could be a $500 fine

I took the time to look up this US code. It says nothing about SSN. It does mention about tax payer identification number. SSN and TIN are not the same thing. I called both the state department and IRS and they went into that same stupor when I ask them about this. They said they would call me when

they could find out something. I am still waiting. Good thing I did not wait on their response.

I just left reponse area blank. I got the passport 10 days later.

3939. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 16:37:22 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <atssk2$90a$0@208.206.142.111>
Source record: 58130
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Brian wrote:

> "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:<4saM9.702$P02.386@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>...
> > "Trent Creekmore" <tcreek@gt.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:JgaM9.14652$Nz5.395767@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > >
> > > "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> > > news:f45722ac.0212181821.267c324b@posting.google.com...
> > > > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:<atqf5f$2gp$0@208.206.142.88>...
> > > > > It'll never fly. The answerer was just another bureaucrat who really
> > didn't
> > > > > know what it was they were putting out.
> > > > > Federal courts long ago ruled that since radio has the capacity to
> > cross state
> > > > > lines with no regard for jurisdictions it will be regulated on the
> > Federal
> > > > > level. A single letter from any functionary won't change that.
> > > >
> > > > It's a good thing that automobiles cannot cross state lines as the
> > > > states would have to give up licensing authority to the Feds.
> > >
> > > Touchï¿½!
> > >
> > > It is a very valid point.
> >
> > The keyword is "commerce." Private vehicles don't pay to travel outside
> > either home state; commercial vehicles have a complicated set of
> > apportionment rules that allow them to engage in interstate commerce. Ma
> > and Pa on vacation are not part of the commercial aspects that are in play.
>
> Tell that to Mickey Mouse and the State of Florida. Bed tax is used
> for revenue instead of state income tax.

It's all very simple - the state, which ever state it is, is going to raise so much revenue to conduct it's
affairs. The rest is a matter of detail. In my state we pay a state income tax, and the tax on our housing is
quite moderate by comparison with Texas, where your real estate tax on your home easily runs into the thousands
of dollars. But Texas has no state income tax.

They gotcha coming or going, whichever way you happen to be headed.

3940. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 16:39:48 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <atssok$90a$1@208.206.142.111>
Source record: 58131
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Brian wrote:

> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<atrl1arai0@208.207.71.133>...
> > Brian wrote:
> >
> > > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<atqf5f$2gp$0@208.206.142.88>...
> > > > It'll never fly. The answerer was just another bureaucrat who really didn't
> > > > know what it was they were putting out.
> > > > Federal courts long ago ruled that since radio has the capacity to cross state
> > > > lines with no regard for jurisdictions it will be regulated on the Federal
> > > > level. A single letter from any functionary won't change that.
> > >
> > > It's a good thing that automobiles cannot cross state lines as the
> > > states would have to give up licensing authority to the Feds.
> >
> > Why am I not surprised to find that you don't know the difference?
>
> The difference between what? Try finishing a thought once in a while.

Why don't you try starting one occasionally? Try the difference between RF which goes every
which way with no regard to where it is, and vehicles which don't.
Unless you happen to be behind the wheel, maybe?

3941. Re: Ward's Editorial in Jan03 QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 16:42:33 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <atsstp$90a$2@208.206.142.111>
Source record: 58132

Already read it and was planning a response.

Note: YOU are no newcomer, if you're to be believed, so you don't count. Never did. Newcomers are always treated well unless they show up with an attitude problem, in which case they are handled on a case by case basis. Your case is a shame.

Brian wrote:

> Dick, Larry, Bruce, Kelly, please read the QST editorial during your
> holiday break. Make a resolution. Merry Christmas, Happy New Year.
> Brian/N0iMD

3942. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 16:50:02 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <atstbq$90a$3@208.206.142.111>
Source record: 58133
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Brian Running wrote:

> Around
> here, a little bit of knowledge is a dangerous thing, I guess.

Sorry to say, you're right, there. Seems on usenet one is expected to explain completely his creed and position on any given subject with each and every post.

3943. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 16:50:50 UTC
From: Brian Running <brunning@XXameritechXX.net>
Message-ID: <KNmM9.2282$qU5.1435108@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 58126
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

> Additionally, why isn't there any "radio regulations" on an "intra-state"
> basis in each of the 50 states?
>
> Methinks there's a misreading or misapplication of 47 USC 152
> in your analysis.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK

Okay, Bill, now after doing a little bit of research on this (not legal yet, just scanning a Google search) I see that this topic is pretty hotly debated by the black-helicopter kooks and separatist-militia types. I can see why this is a hot-button issue for some of you folks -- I walked right into this one. I assure you, I am not advocating any position on this topic at all, but being both somewhat of a radio enthusiast and a lawyer, these legal issues have some interest to me. I just thought I'd do a Lexis search some time when I had a free moment, and see if the courts have interpreted the use of radio similarly to the use of the telephone -- even if a call is not across state lines, a telephone is an instrumentality of interstate commerce, and is thus subject to federal regulation. That's all. Around here, a little bit of knowledge is a dangerous thing, I guess.

3944. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 16:53:20 UTC
From: Patriot <NoBureaucrats@NoFederalBureaucrats.com>
Message-ID: <atsti7$c75$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58134
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

The FCC and most other federal bureaucratic agencies are outlaw agencies, with no legal justification for their existence. They have no basis in the law and are not authorized by the U.S. Constitution. Don't believe it? Take 20 minutes and read your Constitution. I suggest you start with a strict interpretation of the Tenth Amendment. Then again, forget it and go back to your beer guzzling and football games while your kids are being taught to hate the Founding Fathers in their government controlled schools.

Patriot

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:atss9o$8de$0@208.206.142.111...
>
>
> Linktech wrote:
>
> > I would take the FCC on over this...
> >
> > Low power transmission can be calculated to be below X microvolts at X
> > distance,
> > the amount of energy past the point of being equal to interference from the
> > local oscillators in
> > domestic equipment.
> >
> > Let them argue about tropo ducting, sporadic E and all the rest of their
> > arsenal of propaganda.
> >
> > Current licenced stations transmit further than their service area anyway
> > and that can cause interference to other
> > paid up broadcasters.
> >
> > I think that if the jusisdiction issue was raised with states and counties
> > and that they were made aware of licence income
> > then you may have a winner.
> >
> > You lot need to group together and push this as an interest group, go take
> > the battle to the FCC..
> >
> > Get a smart lawyer.....

>
> So you then believe that each and every state needs a local version of
the
> FCC to administer all this? Which would have to coordinate with all
adjoining
> states for frequency coordination and non-interference issues? Or to
decide who
> is and isn't too close to the borders to use "intrstate" radio? When most
> states are beyond broke and in debt already? Are you serious? Why do you
think
> FCC got the job in the first place?
>

3945. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 17:08:46 UTC
From: k0hb@earthlink.net
Message-ID: <4f903e52.0212190908.c10b10e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58135
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"Linktech" <peterrw@optushome.com.au> wrote

: I would take the FCC on over this...

: I think that if the jusisdiction issue was raised with states
: and counties and that they were made aware of licence income
: then you may have a winner.

G'day, ya ignorant mate down there. (Damn, you Aussies spell
"license" funny.)

Since almost all counties (and even a couple of states) over here are
only a few square miles, you would have something over 3,000
jurisdictions "regulating" radio, and trying to gain some financial
reward.

Sheeeeeeeeet, and you thought CB was a mess? This would be a cluster
phuque of magnificent and spectacular magnitude!

73, de Hans, K0HB

3946. Re: Ward's Editorial in Jan03 QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 17:25:50 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v0409bc4k512f3@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 58137

An excellent editorial. Also there is a nice letter in correspondence on page 25 about consideration and courtesy.

73 and hope all have a merry Christmas and happy New Year!

Jim AA2QA

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message news:f45722ac.0212190453.54be3437@posting.google.com...
> Dick, Larry, Bruce, Kelly, please read the QST editorial during your
> holiday break. Make a resolution. Merry Christmas, Happy New Year.
> Brian/N0iMD

3947. Re: k1man jamming again

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 17:34:16 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <atsvvaneo1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58138
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Don't waste your time. He is no lawyer. He claims to be a P.E.
(Professional Engineer ??) He made a comment towards Riley about needing to
turn in his law degree. If Baxter is a Engineer, and he has claimed to have
been a Collins engineer, I wonder why his signal sounds so crappy? Nuff
said.

Dan/W4NTI

"No 2 Baxter" <JamDaddy@NoSpam.com> wrote in message
news:atrdmc$b6d$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:atqdac$40h$2@slb9.atl.mindspring.net...
> > How do you know he is a lawyer? Because he says so?
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > "Extra ClassY" <extra_classy@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> > news:k1nvvugh22fdqtfjggidns0eqfabb46rpp@4ax.com...
> > >
> > > Because, o thick headed one, K1MAN is a lawyer and a darn god one, and
> > > all fo the clueless 27 MHz wieners like you that whine and jam and
> > > complain, do not realize that we are a nation under LAW.
>
>
> Baxter a lawyer? Now, that's a good one! Prove it. Where did he go to
> school?
> This claim can be verified by searching for attorneys in Baxter's home
> state...by law
> attorneys are registered, unless they have been disbarred.
>
>
>

3948. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 18:19:44 UTC
From: Brian Running <brunning@XXameritechXX.net>
Message-ID: <45oM9.2311$qU5.1446393@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 58136
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

> > Around
> > here, a little bit of knowledge is a dangerous thing, I guess.
>
> Sorry to say, you're right, there. Seems on usenet one is expected to
explain
> completely his creed and position on any given subject with each and
every
> post.

Plus, I didn't notice until now that this was cross-posted to a several
groups. Oy.

3949. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 18:36:43 UTC
From: John Worthington <JJ@wowwaz.net>
Message-ID: <att3k9$p1l$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58139
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"Kooks" and "Militia Types" you say? Oh yes, I forgot,
whenever you liberal big government types cannot debate an
issue on its substance, you resort to name calling.

73 de John Worthington

"Brian Running" <brunning@XXameritechXX.net> wrote in message
news:KNmM9.2282$qU5.1435108@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com...
> > Additionally, why isn't there any "radio regulations" on an
"intra-state"
> > basis in each of the 50 states?
> >
> > Methinks there's a misreading or misapplication of 47 USC 152
> > in your analysis.
> >
> > Cheers,
> > Bill K2UNK
>
> Okay, Bill, now after doing a little bit of research on this (not legal
yet,
> just scanning a Google search) I see that this topic is pretty hotly
debated
> by the black-helicopter kooks and separatist-militia types. I can see why
> this is a hot-button issue for some of you folks -- I walked right into
this
> one. I assure you, I am not advocating any position on this topic at all,
> but being both somewhat of a radio enthusiast and a lawyer, these legal
> issues have some interest to me. I just thought I'd do a Lexis search
some
> time when I had a free moment, and see if the courts have interpreted the
> use of radio similarly to the use of the telephone -- even if a call is
not
> across state lines, a telephone is an instrumentality of interstate
> commerce, and is thus subject to federal regulation. That's all. Around
> here, a little bit of knowledge is a dangerous thing, I guess.
>
>

3950. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 20:11:43 UTC
From: k0hb@arrl.net
Message-ID: <e79dbd9b.0212191211.361d7eb9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58141

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote

>
> Your problem here, Hans, is that you do not understand human development
>

Your problem here, Steve, is that you have not participated in human development. You're stuck somewhere back in cro-magnon days saying "him disagree with me -- I throw rock at him". Take a pill.

>
> Can you honestly say that there is NO "class structure" in human activity?
>

Of course I can't honestly say that, and I *NEVER DID* say it except in your imagination. What I *DID* do was to challenge Jim's assertion that "Any human activity has a class structure of some kind." Even to you, it should be obvious that there are multitudes of human activities which have no "class structure" associated with them.

> It was quite accurate.

It was inaccurate, over-generalized, and sloppy. He has since modified it.

dit dit

K0HB

3951. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 20:32:50 UTC
From: Crash Knorr <crashknorr@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <19122002163250773 2%crashknorr@yahoo.com>
Source record: 58144
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <R1lM9.66553$Hs2.8146826@kent.svc.tds.net>, Brian Running <brunning@XXtdsXX.net> wrote:

> There has to be "commerce" involved for the federal government to have
> jurisdiction. Remember the ICC?

Brian, I hope you will do some research into the history of this matter and share your findings with us. The COmmunications Act, case law, etc. A lot of pirate broadcasters have tried a lot of legal tactics over the past 8 decades, surely this matter has been ruled on several times by now???

3952. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 20:52:36 UTC
From: Brian Running <brunning@XXameritechXX.net>
Message-ID: <okqM9.2332$qU5.1465608@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 58140
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

> "Kooks" and "Militia Types" you say? Oh yes, I forgot,
> whenever you liberal big government types cannot debate an
> issue on its substance, you resort to name calling.
>
> 73 de John Worthington

John, I don't know which of the three cross-posted groups you're from, but let me tell you, in the month or so since I've begun visiting rec.radio.shortwave, it's been easy to see that this topic attracts some, uh, "interesting" people. You are apparently one of those "interesting" people.

3953. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 21:11:30 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E023456.423324D4@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58142
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Patriot wrote:
>
> The FCC and most other federal bureaucratic agencies are outlaw
> agencies, with no legal justification for their existence. They have no
> basis in the law and are not authorized by the U.S. Constitution.
> Don't believe it? Take 20 minutes and read your Constitution. I
> suggest you start with a strict interpretation of the Tenth Amendment.
> Then again, forget it and go back to your beer guzzling and football
> games while your kids are being taught to hate the Founding Fathers in
> their government controlled schools.
>
> Patriot

Guffaw Whew! Pardon me while I wipe the tears of laughter from my
face.
There are a lot of smart boys like you who have done time or paid large
fines (or both) as a result of their attempts at becoming homemade
lawyers.

Should you decide to test your peculiar theory, I wish you what you have
coming.

Dave K8MN

3954. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 21:42:58 UTC
From: Trent Creekmore <tcreek@gt.rr.com>
Message-ID: <C3rM9.15233$6H6.583858@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 58143
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

>
> *Guffaw* Whew! Pardon me while I wipe the tears of laughter from my
> face.
> There are a lot of smart boys like you who have done time or paid large
> fines (or both) as a result of their attempts at becoming homemade
> lawyers.
>
> Should you decide to test your peculiar theory, I wish you what you have
> coming.
>
> Dave K8MN

It is people like you that think the people who represent the US government are gods and always honest and what they say goes.

I read about a married couple who became homemade biologist after their son came up with a rare diesease with no cure. They sure did find one.

3955. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 22:46:10 UTC
From: "Paul W. Schleck" <pschleck@novia.net>
Message-ID: <3e024bad$0$206$45beb828@newscene.com>
Source record: 58145
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

In <C3rM9.15233$6H6.583858@twister.austin.rr.com> "Trent Creekmore" <tcreek@gt.rr.com> writes:

>>
>> *Guffaw* Whew! Pardon me while I wipe the tears of laughter from my
>> face.
>> There are a lot of smart boys like you who have done time or paid large
>> fines (or both) as a result of their attempts at becoming homemade
>> lawyers.
>>
>> Should you decide to test your peculiar theory, I wish you what you have
>> coming.
>>
>> Dave K8MN

>It is people like you that think the people who represent the US government
>are gods and always honest and what they say goes.

No, I think what he is saying is that anyone trying to go against well-established legal precedent, and without competent legal advice, is in for a long, hard road. Seek qualified legal counsel with expertise in both the area of law you seek advice, and in the jurisdiction in which you reside.

A classic example of misguided "resistance," and associated kooky legal theories, is the tax avoidance movement. The IRS actually has a detailed FAQ document on so-called "Frivolous Tax Arguments":

http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-utl/friv_tax.pdf

According to this document, not only will these frivolous arguments be summarily dismissed by the IRS and the U.S Courts, the courts may even assess substantial penalties just for wasting their time.

You are free to "disagree" with the IRS or the FCC, the courts that will uphold their regulations (through multiple levels of appeal all the way up the Supreme Court), and the U.S. Marshals that will sieze your property and your person to enforce the law. However, that is really beyond the scope of judicial review of the legislative and executive branches of government. Resistance at that point falls into the realm of armed revolution. I'll leave that discussion to the conspiracy nuts who form "militias" because they see black helicopters wherever they go.

>I read about a married couple who became homemade biologist after their son
>came up with a rare diesease with no cure. They sure did find one.

Non-sequitur.

Law != Medicine

Furthermore, while neither field is perfect, there's much less
uncertainty in established case law about common legal questions than
there is in medical knowledge about rare diseases.

Next?

--
73, Paul W. Schleck, K3FU
pschleck@novia.net
http://www.novia.net/~pschleck/
Finger pschleck@novia.net for PGP Public Key

3956. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-19 23:13:30 UTC
From: John Worthington <JJ@wowwaz.net>
Message-ID: <attjqk$2af$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58146
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Your entire argument is trumped by the proper (and legal)
application of the Tenth Amendment. Perhaps you should take
a high school government class, then come back here and launch
a logical debate.

Good Luck,

John Worthington

"Paul W. Schleck" <pschleck@novia.net> wrote in message
news:3e024bad$0$206$45beb828@newscene.com...
> In <C3rM9.15233$6H6.583858@twister.austin.rr.com> "Trent Creekmore"
<tcreek@gt.rr.com> writes:
>
> >>
> >> *Guffaw* Whew! Pardon me while I wipe the tears of laughter from my
> >> face.
> >> There are a lot of smart boys like you who have done time or paid large
> >> fines (or both) as a result of their attempts at becoming homemade
> >> lawyers.
> >>
> >> Should you decide to test your peculiar theory, I wish you what you
have
> >> coming.
> >>
> >> Dave K8MN
>
>
> >It is people like you that think the people who represent the US
government
> >are gods and always honest and what they say goes.
>
> No, I think what he is saying is that anyone trying to go against
> well-established legal precedent, and without competent legal advice, is
> in for a long, hard road. Seek qualified legal counsel with expertise
> in both the area of law you seek advice, and in the jurisdiction in
> which you reside.
>
> A classic example of misguided "resistance," and associated kooky legal
> theories, is the tax avoidance movement. The IRS actually has a
> detailed FAQ document on so-called "Frivolous Tax Arguments":
>
> http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-utl/friv_tax.pdf
>

> According to this document, not only will these frivolous arguments be
> summarily dismissed by the IRS and the U.S Courts, the courts may even
> assess substantial penalties just for wasting their time.
>
> You are free to "disagree" with the IRS or the FCC, the courts that will
> uphold their regulations (through multiple levels of appeal all the way
> up the Supreme Court), and the U.S. Marshals that will sieze your
> property and your person to enforce the law. However, that is really
> beyond the scope of judicial review of the legislative and executive
> branches of government. Resistance at that point falls into the realm
> of armed revolution. I'll leave that discussion to the conspiracy nuts
> who form "militias" because they see black helicopters wherever they go.
>
> >I read about a married couple who became homemade biologist after their
son
> >came up with a rare diesease with no cure. They sure did find one.
>
> Non-sequitur.
>
> Law != Medicine
>
> Furthermore, while neither field is perfect, there's much less
> uncertainty in established case law about common legal questions than
> there is in medical knowledge about rare diseases.
>
> Next?
>
> --
> 73, Paul W. Schleck, K3FU
> pschleck@novia.net
> http://www.novia.net/~pschleck/
> Finger pschleck@novia.net for PGP Public Key
>
>

3957. Re: Seasoned greeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 00:31:10 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <ixtM9.399115$WL3.117619@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 58147

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:3E01DD54.6020000@psu.edu...
> Brian wrote:

Off topic, I know...

> > I don't want any Sam Adams Cherry Wheat, either. Really. It's just
awful.
>
> Shudder.... there are some things that don't belong in Beer. Cherry is
> one of them.

So do you guys like Sam's Winter Lager? I had one of those last night and I
thought it was pretty good.

Cheers for (good) beers!

Jackie

3958. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 01:37:38 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212191737.240a83e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58148

brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0212190437.6c7c3c92@posting.google.com>...
> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<atrss3$e8q$0@208.206.142.114>...
> > Brian Kelly wrote:.
> >
> > >
> > > With Phil floating around here it's gonna be a very short project.
> >
> > I'd certainly think so.
> >
> >
> > >
> > > Methinks the Feds prempted jurisdiction in all matters radio with the
> > > Communications Act of 1934.
> >
> > I'm not sure but it may have began with the original Radio Act of 1912. Much modified
and updated with tje Act amd the
> > formation of the Commission in '34.
> >
> >
> >
> > > At least to the extent that no civilian or
> > > civilian entity can put a legal 100w transmitter of any type in any
> > > service without an FCC license. Anywhere. Maybe not counting the old
> > > lowfer induction radiophones used by the railroads back when.
> > >
> >
> > Yep, even the unlicensed low power radiators and services are "authorized" by FCC
action.
> >
> > Dick
>
> My guess is that the county has a license, and they are issuing a
> piece of paper or a card, being called a "license," to those that they
> have authorized to use the frequency.

That can apply in the case of the county itself holding a land mobile
service license which permits the operation of some number of public
service base stations & mobile units under the same license. County
non-profit emergency services organizations, police, fire, etc. This
guy is apparently tossing signals out on the FM broadcast band for
commercial purposes. Pirates is pirates whether via stupidity or
intent. In either case he's inviting a knock on his door.

And this "intrasate only" crappola is just that. I can routinely copy low-power FM BC stations in four states from here with a decent consumer-level FM rcvr. I've worked six states on 2m FM with 25 watts and a gain whip.

>
> Brian

w3rv

3959. Re: Ward's Editorial in Jan03 QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 02:07:38 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <attu1a$oo8$0@208.207.71.163>
Source record: 58149

Jim Hampton wrote:

> An excellent editorial.

As far as it goes. But it doesn't address the problem it purports to address, and merely continues the slide into no-code, no-theory no-license.....

> Also there is a nice letter in correspondence on
> page 25 about consideration and courtesy.
>
> 73 and hope all have a merry Christmas and happy New Year!
>
> Jim AA2QA
>
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:f45722ac.0212190453.54be3437@posting.google.com...
> > Dick, Larry, Bruce, Kelly, please read the QST editorial during your
> > holiday break. Make a resolution. Merry Christmas, Happy New Year.
> > Brian/N0iMD

3960. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 02:10:36 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <attu6s$oo8$1@208.207.71.163>
Source record: 58150
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Trent Creekmore wrote:

> >
> > *Guffaw* Whew! Pardon me while I wipe the tears of laughter from my
> > face.
> > There are a lot of smart boys like you who have done time or paid large
> > fines (or both) as a result of their attempts at becoming homemade
> > lawyers.
> >
> > Should you decide to test your peculiar theory, I wish you what you have
> > coming.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> It is people like you that think the people who represent the US government
> are gods and always honest and what they say goes.
>
> I read about a married couple who became homemade biologist after their son
> came up with a rare diesease with no cure. They sure did find one.

Keep reading. You're sure to learn something.

3961. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 02:18:00 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <attuko$qf7$0@208.207.71.163>
Source record: 58201
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

John Worthington wrote:

> Your entire argument is trumped by the proper (and legal)
> application of the Tenth Amendment.

So whose interpretation and application of the 10th and any other amendment do you really believe will trump all others? Yours or the US Supreme court's?

3962. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 02:20:37 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <attupl$qf7$1@208.207.71.163>
Source record: 58202

Brian Kelly wrote:

> r.
>
> And this "intrasate only" crappola is just that.

More wishful thinking.

3963. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 02:56:10 UTC
From: John Worthington <JJ@wowwaz.net>
Message-ID: <atu0s6vnn0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58203
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

My good man, your basic problem is you cannot comprehend
standard English.

73 de John Worthington

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:attuko$qf7$0@208.207.71.163...
>
>
> John Worthington wrote:
>
> > Your entire argument is trumped by the proper (and legal)
> > application of the Tenth Amendment.
>
> So whose interpretation and application of the 10th and any other
amendment do
> you really believe will trump all others? Yours or the US Supreme court's?
>

3964. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 03:09:34 UTC
From: Trent Creekmore <tcreek@gt.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ORvM9.17376$6H6.650598@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 58204
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"Paul W. Schleck" <pschleck@novia.net> wrote in message
news:3e024bad$0$206$45beb828@newscene.com...
> In <C3rM9.15233$6H6.583858@twister.austin.rr.com> "Trent Creekmore"
<tcreek@gt.rr.com> writes:
>
> >>
> >> *Guffaw* Whew! Pardon me while I wipe the tears of laughter from my
> >> face.
> >> There are a lot of smart boys like you who have done time or paid large
> >> fines (or both) as a result of their attempts at becoming homemade
> >> lawyers.
> >>
> >> Should you decide to test your peculiar theory, I wish you what you
have
> >> coming.
> >>
> >> Dave K8MN
>
>
> >It is people like you that think the people who represent the US
government
> >are gods and always honest and what they say goes.
>
> No, I think what he is saying is that anyone trying to go against
> well-established legal precedent, and without competent legal advice, is
> in for a long, hard road. Seek qualified legal counsel with expertise
> in both the area of law you seek advice, and in the jurisdiction in
> which you reside.
>
> A classic example of misguided "resistance," and associated kooky legal
> theories, is the tax avoidance movement. The IRS actually has a
> detailed FAQ document on so-called "Frivolous Tax Arguments":
>
> http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-utl/friv_tax.pdf
>
> According to this document, not only will these frivolous arguments be
> summarily dismissed by the IRS and the U.S Courts, the courts may even
> assess substantial penalties just for wasting their time.
>
> You are free to "disagree" with the IRS or the FCC, the courts that will
> uphold their regulations (through multiple levels of appeal all the way
> up the Supreme Court), and the U.S. Marshals that will sieze your
> property and your person to enforce the law. However, that is really

> beyond the scope of judicial review of the legislative and executive
> branches of government. Resistance at that point falls into the realm
> of armed revolution. I'll leave that discussion to the conspiracy nuts
> who form "militias" because they see black helicopters wherever they go.

That is a bad example you gave. It makes me also believe that you fall into that US Govt = fact/truth.
That document is written by the people whos job it is enforce the US tax laws and is one sided and biased.
It is their job to enforce tax laws, not interpret them. This is the same thing with the FCC. I probably could go through that document and pick it apart. I am sure they have listed only the cases where persons have lost. Wwhat about the ones who won a court case? The government is also known to let it go and drop everything when a person comes up with a valid point that could very well win in the courts. It would be better to have that one go than go have the law changed in a way they do not want. I am sure there are lots of people the IRS let go.

That instance happened to me when I challenged the Texas automobile liability insurance law. the state attorney requested it be dismissed for "certain reasons."

The "kooks" just seem to make up their own ideas. If you take the time to actaully read those laws then you will see problems with them. They are not written in chinese and do not have to be interpreted.
The US Constitution says that the Supreme court is the interpreter of the Consitution, but that only means the government.

The people are the real final interpreters via juries. This is one of the major reasons why prohibition was finally reversed. Juries finally stopped convicting people of alcohol crimes.

>
> >I read about a married couple who became homemade biologist after their
son
> >came up with a rare diesease with no cure. They sure did find one.
>
> Non-sequitur.
>
> Law != Medicine
>
> Furthermore, while neither field is perfect, there's much less
> uncertainty in established case law about common legal questions than
> there is in medical knowledge about rare diseases.

Huh??? The cure for AIDS draws closer but still there is no knowlege to even begin where to find a cure for the common cold. That sounds like much more uncertianty than law.

>
> Next?
>

> --
> 73, Paul W. Schleck, K3FU
> pschleck@novia.net
> http://www.novia.net/~pschleck/
> Finger pschleck@novia.net for PGP Public Key
>
>

3965. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 03:09:59 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021219220959.06208.00000415@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 58205

Paging Mr. Kane! Paging Mr. Kane!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3966. Re: Seasoned greeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 03:10:00 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021219221000.06208.00000416@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 58206

In article <Fo1M9.418$wb3.45670@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:

>So this accounts, dear friend, for your not receiving a more stimulating
>present than this letter.
>
>73, de Hans, K0HB

Hansl:

Don't worry about it. I had a similar problem with the Chivas Regal
I was going to send to you!

73 de Larry, K3LT

3967. Re: Doug's Email

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 03:44:52 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v054i0q0lt4k3c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 58207
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

The sad thing is that there are reasonable hams. A few twits ruin it for everyone.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message news:20021219220648.678$C8@newsreader.com...
> N8WWM doug (Anti Keyclown Control Center) wrote:
> > dougadairbuster@aol.com (DougAdairBuster) wrote in message
> > news:<20021219182907.18151.00000276@mb-fc.aol.com>... dweebo dweebo
> > dweebo...is that the best you can do?
> >
> Dogie is a moron. A fine example of ham gone putrid.
>
> Merry Christmas.
>
> --
> ------------------http://NewsReader.Com/-----------------
> 50GB/Month(nntp/web)

3968. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 03:52:12 UTC
From: John Anderson <phlegm@diymedia.net>
Message-ID: <phlegm-54FAEC.21541319122002@news.tds.net>
Source record: 58208
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Definitely third this one. That makes three of us ;-)

-John-

In article <BQgM9.14449$6H6.501438@twister.austin.rr.com>, "Trent
Creekmore" <tcreek@gt.rr.com> wrote:

> hear hear!
>
> Lots of the people here just let the Feds run right over them and let
> them
> to continue to think they are the ones with absolute power.
>
>
>
>
> >
> > You lot need to group together and push this as an interest group, go
> > take
> > the battle to the FCC..
> >
> > Get a smart lawyer.....................
> >
> > Peter
> >
> > --
> >
> >
> > ---
> > "Are you still wasting your time with spam?...
> > There is a solution!"
> >
> > Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
> > The most powerful anti-spam software available.
> > http://www.giantcompany.com
> >
> >
> > "Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> > news:atrl1arai0@208.207.71.133...
> > >
> > >
> > > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message

> > news:<atqf5f$2gp$0@208.206.142.88>...
> > > > > It'll never fly. The answerer was just another bureaucrat who
> > > > > really
> > didn't
> > > > > know what it was they were putting out.
> > > > > Federal courts long ago ruled that since radio has the capacity
> > > > > to
> > cross state
> > > > > lines with no regard for jurisdictions it will be regulated on
> > > > > the
> > Federal
> > > > > level. A single letter from any functionary won't change that.
> > > >
> > > > It's a good thing that automobiles cannot cross state lines as the
> > > > states would have to give up licensing authority to the Feds.
> > >
> > > Why am I not surprised to find that you don't know the difference?
> > >
> >
> >
> > ---
> > Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
> > Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
> > Version: 6.0.431 / Virus Database: 242 - Release Date: 17/12/2002
> >
> >
>
>

--
....
John Anderson
DIYmedia
http://www.diymedia.net
....

3969. Re: Seasoned greeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 03:54:33 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <8p450v8j748va78i0eke4q8sfgtlddel77@4ax.com>
Source record: 58209

On Fri, 20 Dec 2002 00:31:10 GMT, "Jackie L."
<buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote:

>
>"Mike Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
>news:3E01DD54.6020000@psu.edu...
>> Brian wrote:
>
>Off topic, I know...
>
>> > I don't want any Sam Adams Cherry Wheat, either. Really. It's just
>awful.
>>
>> Shudder.... there are some things that don't belong in Beer. Cherry is
>> one of them.
>
>So do you guys like Sam's Winter Lager? I had one of those last night and I
>thought it was pretty good.
>
>Cheers for (good) beers!

I like the Sams beers that don't get too odd. Regular is good,
Winter lager is good, and there is one they put something called
grains of paradise in that is pretty good too. Not that I don't like
odd beers, I just don't like the way thay do odd.

Speaking of odd beers, I love Franziskaner wheat with a slice
of lemon, Hops Infusion, Unibroue Maudite, and (oddly enough) Genesee
Red.

- Mike KB3EIA -

3970. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 04:04:08 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <atu4ro$7pm$0@208.207.71.163>
Source record: 58210
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

John Worthington wrote:

> My good man, your basic problem is you cannot comprehend
> standard English.

Try using some.

3971. Re: Seasoned greeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 04:07:12 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <QHwM9.400381$WL3.117309@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 58211

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:8p450v8j748va78i0eke4q8sfgtlddel77@4ax.com...

> I like the Sams beers that don't get too odd. Regular is good,
> Winter lager is good, and there is one they put something called
> grains of paradise in that is pretty good too.

Oh yeah, I believe that is the Summer Ale.

> Speaking of odd beers, I love Franziskaner wheat with a slice
> of lemon, Hops Infusion, Unibroue Maudite, and (oddly enough) Genesee
> Red.

Are those from a local microbrewery?

J.

3972. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 04:24:09 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <atu642$et3$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58212
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:atss9o$8de$0@208.206.142.111...
>
> Linktech wrote:
> > I would take the FCC on over this...
> >
> > Low power transmission can be calculated to be below X microvolts at X
> > distance,
> > the amount of energy past the point of being equal to interference from
the
> > local oscillators in
> > domestic equipment.
> >
> > Let them argue about tropo ducting, sporadic E and all the rest of their
> > arsenal of propaganda.
> >
> > Current licenced stations transmit further than their service area
anyway
> > and that can cause interference to other
> > paid up broadcasters.
> >
> > I think that if the jusisdiction issue was raised with states and
counties
> > and that they were made aware of licence income
> > then you may have a winner.

I'd love to see the engineering/scientific arguments that would support
this intra-state only silliness. Like it or not, there is NO way to
limit radio propogation.

> > You lot need to group together and push this as an interest group, go
take
> > the battle to the FCC..
> >
> > Get a smart lawyer.....................

Any smart lawyer will avoid this unless s/he is getting paid directly
and not on a contingency.

> So you then believe that each and every state needs a local version of
the
> FCC to administer all this? Which would have to coordinate with all
adjoining
> states for frequency coordination and non-interference issues? Or to

decide who
> is and isn't too close to the borders to use "intrstate" radio? When most
> states are beyond broke and in debt already? Are you serious? Why do you
think
> FCC got the job in the first place?

It is so comical it's almost pathetic.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

3973. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 04:29:16 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <atu7ga$m06$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58215
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"John Worthington" <JJ@wowwaz.net> wrote in message
news:attjqk$2af$0@pita.alt.net...
> Your entire argument is trumped by the proper (and legal)
> application of the Tenth Amendment. Perhaps you should take
> a high school government class, then come back here and launch
> a logical debate.
> John Worthington

Isn't it amazing, therefore, that you claim such clarity
of legal analysis and attribute same to High School government classes...yet
the 9 justices of the Supreme Court apparently can't?

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

> "Paul W. Schleck" <pschleck@novia.net> wrote in message
> news:3e024bad$0$206$45beb828@newscene.com...
> > In <C3rM9.15233$6H6.583858@twister.austin.rr.com> "Trent Creekmore"
> <tcreek@gt.rr.com> writes:
> >
> > >>
> > >> *Guffaw* Whew! Pardon me while I wipe the tears of laughter from my
> > >> face.
> > >> There are a lot of smart boys like you who have done time or paid
large
> > >> fines (or both) as a result of their attempts at becoming homemade
> > >> lawyers.
> > >>
> > >> Should you decide to test your peculiar theory, I wish you what you
> have
> > >> coming.
> > >>
> > >> Dave K8MN
> >
> >
> > >It is people like you that think the people who represent the US
> government
> > >are gods and always honest and what they say goes.
> >
> > No, I think what he is saying is that anyone trying to go against
> > well-established legal precedent, and without competent legal advice, is
> > in for a long, hard road. Seek qualified legal counsel with expertise
> > in both the area of law you seek advice, and in the jurisdiction in
> > which you reside.

> >
> > A classic example of misguided "resistance," and associated kooky legal
> > theories, is the tax avoidance movement. The IRS actually has a
> > detailed FAQ document on so-called "Frivolous Tax Arguments":
> >
> > http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-utl/friv_tax.pdf
> >
> > According to this document, not only will these frivolous arguments be
> > summarily dismissed by the IRS and the U.S Courts, the courts may even
> > assess substantial penalties just for wasting their time.
> >
> > You are free to "disagree" with the IRS or the FCC, the courts that will
> > uphold their regulations (through multiple levels of appeal all the way
> > up the Supreme Court), and the U.S. Marshals that will sieze your
> > property and your person to enforce the law. However, that is really
> > beyond the scope of judicial review of the legislative and executive
> > branches of government. Resistance at that point falls into the realm
> > of armed revolution. I'll leave that discussion to the conspiracy nuts
> > who form "militias" because they see black helicopters wherever they go.
> >
> > >I read about a married couple who became homemade biologist after their
> son
> > >came up with a rare diesease with no cure. They sure did find one.
> >
> > Non-sequitur.
> >
> > Law != Medicine
> >
> > Furthermore, while neither field is perfect, there's much less
> > uncertainty in established case law about common legal questions than
> > there is in medical knowledge about rare diseases.
> >
> > Next?
> >
> > --
> > 73, Paul W. Schleck, K3FU
> > pschleck@novia.net
> > http://www.novia.net/~pschleck/
> > Finger pschleck@novia.net for PGP Public Key
> >
> >
>
>

3974. Re: Doug's Email

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 04:30:24 UTC
From: Landshark
Message-ID: <A1xM9.1344$ZA.1167@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 58220
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

<Moparholic@hotmailnospam.com> wrote in message
news:20021219220648.678$C8@newsreader.com...
> N8WWM doug (Anti Keyclown Control Center) wrote:
> > dougadairbuster@aol.com (DougAdairBuster) wrote in message
> > news:<20021219182907.18151.00000276@mb-fc.aol.com>... dweebo dweebo
> > dweebo...is that the best you can do?
> >
> Dogie is a moron. A fine example of ham gone putrid.
>
> Merry Christmas.

You forgot someone else Mopar.....

Landshark

--
Merry Christmas & Happy New Year to All

3975. Re: Seasoned greeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 04:31:55 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <atv9qf014v2@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 58252

This time of year Baileys Irish Creme is my favorite, but overall during the rest of the year, Cuervo Gold is my choice.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:i3420vc5oauc709s6iuq2emr9brkd3jf76@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 18 Dec 2002 16:30:29 GMT, "K&#65533;HB" <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote:
>
> >Dear Friends,
>
> >So this accounts, dear friend, for your not receiving a more stimulating
> >present than this letter.
>
>
> Next time you don't get me a gift, make it Bourbon you don't
> get me. 8^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

3976. Re: Ward's Editorial in Jan03 QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 04:33:39 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <atv9qg114v2@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 58250

What does it say Brian?? Unfortunately the only place to purchase QST or ANY ham mag is over 50 miles away from here so I don't get to see many ham mags anymore, unless it is a "hand-me-down."

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0212190453.54be3437@posting.google.com...
> Dick, Larry, Bruce, Kelly, please read the QST editorial during your
> holiday break. Make a resolution. Merry Christmas, Happy New Year.
> Brian/N0iMD

3977. Re: Seasoned greeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 04:35:41 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <x6xM9.1784$n97.166017@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58214

"Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote :
: "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
: news:8p450v8j748va78i0eke4q8sfgtlddel77@4ax.com...
:
: > I like the Sams beers that don't get too odd. Regular is good,
: > Winter lager is good, and there is one they put something called
: > grains of paradise in that is pretty good too.
:
: Oh yeah, I believe that is the Summer Ale.
:
: > Speaking of odd beers, I love Franziskaner wheat with a slice
: > of lemon, Hops Infusion, Unibroue Maudite, and (oddly enough) Genesee
: > Red.

Friend of mine and I had a big argument about which was the worst beer,
Carling Black Label or Genesee. To settle the argument we put a sample of
each in separate un-labeled fruit jars and sent them to the University of
Minnesota to be analyzed. The report came back, saying both horses were
going to die.

dit dit

Hans, K0HB

3978. Goals for 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 04:46:46 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <atv9qh214v2@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 58249

Since the new year is approaching, and those thoughts of what we all might achieve usually are centered around that, what are your goals for the 2003? Apply this in general or specifically to amateur radio if you wish.

Happy Holidays!
--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

3979. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 04:47:44 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <atu7gb$m06$2@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58216
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"Trent Creekmore" <tcreek@gt.rr.com> wrote in message
news:hDyM9.15973$KW2.706080@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> In theory radio waves travel indefinitely.
>
> But~~
>
> Use a little common sense.
> if Some hobbiest is using a 5 watt transmitter in the center Kansas, will
a
> person in New York pick up that transmission on their auto's FM radio?
> You have to consider the effect range of the transmitter.

And in the above "strawman" it isn't NY, but rather it is ANY
state other than Kansas that might be affected. And there is
no way to guarantee that even a 5w FM transmitter in the middle
of Kansas can be limited to ONLY the state of Kansas.
That's not simply common sense, it is also scientific fact.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

> > I'd love to see the engineering/scientific arguments that would support
> > this intra-state only silliness. Like it or not, there is NO way to
> > limit radio propogation.
> >
> > > > You lot need to group together and push this as an interest group,
go
> > take
> > > > the battle to the FCC..
> > > >
> > > > Get a smart lawyer.....................
> >
> > Any smart lawyer will avoid this unless s/he is getting paid directly
> > and not on a contingency.
> >
> > > So you then believe that each and every state needs a local version
of
> > the
> > > FCC to administer all this? Which would have to coordinate with all
> > adjoining
> > > states for frequency coordination and non-interference issues? Or to
> > decide who
> > > is and isn't too close to the borders to use "intrstate" radio? When

> most
> > > states are beyond broke and in debt already? Are you serious? Why do
> you
> > think
> > > FCC got the job in the first place?
> >
> > It is so comical it's almost pathetic.
> >
> > Cheers,
> > Bill K2UNK
> >
> >
> >
>
>

3980. Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 04:52:05 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <atv9qi314v2@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 58251

This is similar, but different to the other post, but what are your equipment goals for 2003? Are you going to purchase any new gear of any kind related to amateur radio or radio in general? Are you planning on constructing any new gear?

Happy Holidays!
--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

3981. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 04:54:45 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <poxM9.1811$n97.168183@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58217
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"Trent Creekmore" <tcreek@gt.rr.com> wrote

: In theory radio waves travel indefinitely.
:
: But~~
:
: Use a little common sense.
: if Some hobbiest is using a 5 watt transmitter in the center Kansas, will a
: person in New York pick up that transmission on their auto's FM radio?
:
: You have to consider the effect range of the transmitter.
:

If every county can issue licenses, then use a little common sense! A 5-watt transmitter FM transmitter in flat-assed central Kansas is likely to be heard in several surrounding counties who might also be licensing transmitters on the same frequency.

Better yet, a 5-watt FM transmitter in the high country around Denver might be heard in 3 or 4 states!

Now are you getting a clue why the Feds (FCC) has pre-empted the management of radio station licensing?

Like you say, use a little common sense!

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

3982. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 05:04:36 UTC
From: Trent Creekmore <tcreek@gt.rr.com>
Message-ID: <ExxM9.23891$Nz5.606766@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 58218
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"> And in the above "strawman" it isn't NY, but rather it is ANY
> state other than Kansas that might be affected. And there is
> no way to guarantee that even a 5w FM transmitter in the middle
> of Kansas can be limited to ONLY the state of Kansas.
> That's not simply common sense, it is also scientific fact.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>

It does not matter if the signal goes into another state.

So I guess you are saying that a 5 watt transmistter in kansas is going to affect a 100,000 watt transmitter on the same frequency in Misouri??

You better study some more

3983. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 05:12:42 UTC
From: Trent Creekmore <tcreek@gt.rr.com>
Message-ID: <eFxM9.19543$6H6.676521@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 58219
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

```
>
> If every county can issue licenses, then use a little common sense! A
> 5-watt transmitter FM transmitter in flat-assed central Kansas is likely
to
> be heard in several surrounding counties who might also be licensing
> transmitters on the same frequency.
>
> Better yet, a 5-watt FM transmitter in the high country around Denver
might
> be heard in 3 or 4 states!
>
> Now are you getting a clue why the Feds (FCC) has pre-empted the
management
> of radio station licensing?
>
> Like you say, use a little common sense!

I do use common sense, it is a document that sets up the US Government and
their powers. And one of them is ro regulate commerce among the several
states. Not in a state

>
> With all kind wishes,
>
> de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>
>
```

3984. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 05:17:09 UTC
From: BIAS COMMS <biascomms@NOSPAMblueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <pJxM9.16994$sd1.132126744@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 58221
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:atu7ga$m06$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...

> Isn't it amazing, therefore, that you claim such clarity
> of legal analysis and attribute same to High School government
classes...yet
> the 9 justices of the Supreme Court apparently can't?

Perhaps they don't want to - American Law and American Business are both
enormously affected by the "vested interest" syndrome.

--
BIAS COMMS

Everything gets easier with practice except getting up in the morning

3985. Re: Doug's Email

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 05:23:59 UTC
From: WMaC <not@listed.here>
Message-ID: <3E02A96F.CEE23B13@listed.here>
Source record: 58222
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.cb,rec.radio.amateur.policy,misc.transport.trucking,alt.radio.pirate

Landshark wrote:

> > Merry Christmas.
>
> You forgot someone else Mopar.....

Maybe it was intentional...

3986. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 05:27:47 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <atu9oj$j1f$0@208.206.142.68>
Source record: 58223
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Trent Creekmore wrote:

> "> And in the above "strawman" it isn't NY, but rather it is ANY
> > state other than Kansas that might be affected. And there is
> > no way to guarantee that even a 5w FM transmitter in the middle
> > of Kansas can be limited to ONLY the state of Kansas.
> > That's not simply common sense, it is also scientific fact.
> >
> > Cheers,
> > Bill K2UNK
> >
>
> It does not matter if the signal goes into another state.
>
> So I guess you are saying that a 5 watt transmistter in kansas is going to
> affect a 100,000 watt transmitter on the same frequency in Misouri??
>
> You better study some more

I'd reccomend that you just study *some*.

3987. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 05:31:53 UTC
From: BIAS COMMS <biascomms@NOSPAMblueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <dXxM9.16996$Rk2.132416624@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 58224
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"K&#65533;HB" <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:poxM9.1811$n97.168183@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...

> Better yet, a 5-watt FM transmitter in the high country around Denver
might
> be heard in 3 or 4 states!

With sensible frequency planning, that simply won't happen. Capture Effect allows the use of many transmitters on the same frequency if they are geographically spread in a sensible way. The "5 Watts in Denver" example is a nonsense - we're talking about broadcasting in the FM broadcast band, not NBFM amateur operation. You're talking about receivers with poor sensitivity and selectivity. (You're also talking about listeners who refuse to use a proper receiving antenna, but that's a different issue).

> Now are you getting a clue why the Feds (FCC) has pre-empted the
management
> of radio station licensing?

The FCC has a poor reputation for broadcast planning - they are, frankly, inept. They also have a poor reputation for enforcement of their rules, particularly when it's one of the big commercial radio concerns - there isn't a single FM station in any major American city that isn't operating outside the rules. They are almost invariably overdeviated, carrying "unlicenced" additional services, and putting out substantial amounts of harmonic and spurious rubbish. Many of the problems have been caused by downgrading the engineering jobs (particularly by Clear Channel) to the point where janitors actually earn more (and are often better qualified) than the "engineers"!

--
BIAS COMMS

Everything gets easier with practice except getting up in the morning

3988. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 05:50:14 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <qcyM9.1899$n97.175628@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58225
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"BIAS COMMS" <biascomms@NOSPAMblueyonder.co.uk> wrote

: With sensible frequency planning, that simply won't happen.

Sensible frequency planning? If each county is in charge of their own licensing (there are upwards of 3,000 counties over here in the colonies) how do you coordinate that? Then how do you coordinate between the 48 contiguous states? Damn, maybe this IS a job best left to the Feds, sorta like the FAA keeps airplanes sorted out, etc.

: The FCC has a poor reputation for broadcast planning - they are, frankly,
: inept. They also have a poor reputation for enforcement of their rules,
: particularly when it's one of the big commercial radio concerns - there
: isn't a single FM station in any major American city that isn't operating
: outside the rules. They are almost invariably overdeviated, carrying
: "unlicenced" additional services, and putting out substantial amounts of
: harmonic and spurious rubbish. Many of the problems have been caused by
: downgrading the engineering jobs (particularly by Clear Channel) to the
: point where janitors actually earn more (and are often better qualified)
: than the "engineers"!
:

Given that jeremiad of problems by a well funded federal agency, am I to believe that the situation would be better if instead of a single regulator, there were something like 3,000 of them, one in each county across the country, with 1/3000th of the talent available to FCC?

Did someone mention common sense?

With all kind wishes,

Hans, K0HB

3989. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 05:54:37 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <xgyM9.1901$n97.176056@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58226
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"BIAS COMMS" <biascomms@NOSPAMblueyonder.co.uk> wrote

:
: Perhaps they don't want to - American Law and American Business are both
: enormously affected by the "vested interest" syndrome.
:

As opposed to your British Law and British Business over there?

With all kind wishes,

Hans, K0HB

3990. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 06:09:48 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <MuyM9.1911$n97.178988@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58227
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"BIAS COMMS" <biascomms@NOSPAMblueyonder.co.uk> wrote

:
: Perhaps they don't want to - American Law and American Business are both
: enormously affected by the "vested interest" syndrome.
:

Of course Bias Comms, selling your cheap and dirty little transmitters out
of the UK, wouldn't have a "vested interest" in deregulating FM broadcasting
on these shores, now would you?

Sunuvagun!

With all kind wishes,

Hans, K0HB

3991. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 06:17:32 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E02B3CC.C3E6D35D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58228
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Trent Creekmore wrote:
>
> >
> > *Guffaw* Whew! Pardon me while I wipe the tears of laughter from my
> > face.
> > There are a lot of smart boys like you who have done time or paid large
> > fines (or both) as a result of their attempts at becoming homemade
> > lawyers.
> >
> > Should you decide to test your peculiar theory, I wish you what you have
> > coming.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> It is people like you that think the people who represent the US government
> are gods and always honest and what they say goes.

You must have me mixed up with somone else. People like me are amused
by people like you. Be sure to wrap yourself in aluminum foil before
going outdoors.

> I read about a married couple who became homemade biologist after their son
> came up with a rare diesease with no cure. They sure did find one.

I don't believe you.

Dave K8MN

3992. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 06:18:53 UTC
From: Trent Creekmore <tcreek@gt.rr.com>
Message-ID: <hDyM9.15973$KW2.706080@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 58213
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

In theory radio waves travel indefinitely.

But~~

Use a little common sense.
if Some hobbiest is using a 5 watt transmitter in the center Kansas, will a person in New York pick up that transmission on their auto's FM radio?

You have to consider the effect range of the transmitter.

> I'd love to see the engineering/scientific arguments that would support
> this intra-state only silliness. Like it or not, there is NO way to
> limit radio propogation.
>
> > > You lot need to group together and push this as an interest group, go
> take
> > > the battle to the FCC..
> > >
> > > Get a smart lawyer.....................
>
> Any smart lawyer will avoid this unless s/he is getting paid directly
> and not on a contingency.
>
> > So you then believe that each and every state needs a local version of
> the
> > FCC to administer all this? Which would have to coordinate with all
> adjoining
> > states for frequency coordination and non-interference issues? Or to
> decide who
> > is and isn't too close to the borders to use "intrstate" radio? When
most
> > states are beyond broke and in debt already? Are you serious? Why do
you
> think
> > FCC got the job in the first place?
>
> It is so comical it's almost pathetic.
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
>
>

3993. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 06:31:59 UTC
From: Trent Creekmore <tcreek@gt.rr.com>
Message-ID: <zPyM9.20728$6H6.690796@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 58229
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Okay you can stay home and learn nothing like a sheep and allow they US government rape you of your right.

Happy beer drinking and watsign away

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:3E02B3CC.C3E6D35D@earthlink.net...
> Trent Creekmore wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > *Guffaw* Whew! Pardon me while I wipe the tears of laughter from my
> > > face.
> > > There are a lot of smart boys like you who have done time or paid large
> > > fines (or both) as a result of their attempts at becoming homemade
> > > lawyers.
> > >
> > > Should you decide to test your peculiar theory, I wish you what you have
> > > coming.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > It is people like you that think the people who represent the US government
> > are gods and always honest and what they say goes.
>
> You must have me mixed up with somone else. People like me are amused
> by people like you. Be sure to wrap yourself in aluminum foil before
> going outdoors.
>
> > I read about a married couple who became homemade biologist after their son
> > came up with a rare diesease with no cure. They sure did find one.
>
> I don't believe you.
>
> Dave K8MN

3994. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 08:18:39 UTC
From: Trent Creekmore <tcreek@gt.rr.com>
Message-ID: <znAM9.17347$KW2.730787@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 58230
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

I have studied and learned.

I suggest you do the same and stop being a mindless sheep

>
> I'd reccomend that you just study *some*.
>

3995. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 08:50:34 UTC
From: Linktech <peterrw@optushome.com.au>
Message-ID: <3e02d9d2$0$7815$afc38c87@news.optusnet.com.au>
Source record: 58231
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

--

"Are you still wasting your time with spam?...
There is a solution!"

Protected by GIANT Company's Spam Inspector
The most powerful anti-spam software available.
http://www.giantcompany.com

"K0HB" <k0hb@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:4f903e52.0212190908.c10b10e@posting.google.com...
> "Linktech" <peterrw@optushome.com.au> wrote
>
> : I would take the FCC on over this...
>
> : I think that if the jusisdiction issue was raised with states
> : and counties and that they were made aware of licence income
> : then you may have a winner.
>
> G'day, ya ignorant mate down there. (Damn, you Aussies spell
> "license" funny.)

Gee you Yanks have Strange Call Signs (Grow a brain)

First I am not your mate , Secondly what point of reference are you using
to determine that I am "down there" , Jupiter or Pluto?

Maybe its that Gold sinks to the bottom while the worthless material goes to
the top..

Boy you Yanks must have a joke of an education system, well maybe its just
you Hans.

10-4 Good Budgie.....

Regards,

Peter

VK3 KCG

> Since almost all counties (and even a couple of states) over here are
> only a few square miles, you would have something over 3,000
> jurisdictions "regulating" radio, and trying to gain some financial
> reward.
>

> Sheeeeeeeeet, and you thought CB was a mess? This would be a cluster
> phuque of magnificent and spectacular magnitude!
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.431 / Virus Database: 242 - Release Date: 17/12/2002

3996. Re: Seasoned greeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 11:36:57 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021220063657.03091.00000211@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 58233

In article <x6xM9.1784$n97.166017@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:

>Friend of mine and I had a big argument about which was the worst beer,
>Carling Black Label or Genesee. To settle the argument we put a sample of
>each in separate un-labeled fruit jars and sent them to the University of
>Minnesota to be analyzed. The report came back, saying both horses were
>going to die.
>
HAW!

Nonham friend of mine has an "Oktoberfest" party every year. Price of admission is two six packs of your favorite beer, registered with him ahead of time to avoid duplicates. "Blind test" methods are used so the drinkers don't know what they are drinking, and all drinkers rate each beer on a 1-5 scale. Odd to see how some cheap brews score highly while some highly touted stuff gets dissed.

73 de Jim, N2EY

3997. Re: Seasoned greeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 11:36:58 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021220063658.03091.00000212@mb-cc.aol.com>
Source record: 58232

In article <8p450v8j748va78i0eke4q8sfgtlddel77@4ax.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>I like the Sams beers that don't get too odd. Regular is good,
>Winter lager is good, and there is one they put something called
>grains of paradise in that is pretty good too. Not that I don't like
>odd beers, I just don't like the way thay do odd.

Nor I.
>
> Speaking of odd beers, I love Franziskaner wheat with a slice
>of lemon, Hops Infusion, Unibroue Maudite,

"Una Brow" beer? Shagadelic, baby!

>and (oddly enough) Genesee Red.
>
Not odd at all. Genny is among the best. Of course my time in Palmyra may have
had some influence on the judgement....

Other favorites:

Yuengling Black & Tan (the original)
Guinness Stout (drink your beer before it gets cold)
Foster's Lager (any place that puts beer in 25 oz. cans is serious about it,
mate!)

73 de (hic) Jim, N2EY

3998. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 13:08:44 UTC
From: John Worthington <JJ@wowwaz.net>
Message-ID: <atv4oqoce0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58234
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Tsk Tsk Tsk, you are becoming very entertaining.
Wipe the spittle off your face and shirt and do post
more. We are finding your posts quite amusing! Do
you also do stand up? hahahahahahahahahahahahah

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:atu4ro$7pm$0@208.207.71.163...
>
>
> John Worthington wrote:
>
> > My good man, your basic problem is you cannot comprehend
> > standard English.
>
> Try using some.
>

3999. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 13:11:44 UTC
From: John Worthington <JJ@wowwaz.net>
Message-ID: <atv4ue$oq8$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58235
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

My, another one who just can't seem to comprehend
standard English. But do continue your comical blatherings.
You are very funny and entertaining.

"Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
news:atu7ga$m06$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
>
> "John Worthington" <JJ@wowwaz.net> wrote in message
> news:attjqk$2af$0@pita.alt.net...
> > Your entire argument is trumped by the proper (and legal)
> > application of the Tenth Amendment. Perhaps you should take
> > a high school government class, then come back here and launch
> > a logical debate.
> > John Worthington
>
> Isn't it amazing, therefore, that you claim such clarity
> of legal analysis and attribute same to High School government
classes...yet
> the 9 justices of the Supreme Court apparently can't?
>
> Cheers,
> Bill K2UNK
>
> > "Paul W. Schleck" <pschleck@novia.net> wrote in message
> > news:3e024bad$0$206$45beb828@newscene.com...
> > > In <C3rM9.15233$6H6.583858@twister.austin.rr.com> "Trent Creekmore"
> > <tcreek@gt.rr.com> writes:
> > >
> > > >>
> > > >> *Guffaw* Whew! Pardon me while I wipe the tears of laughter from
my
> > > >> face.
> > > >> There are a lot of smart boys like you who have done time or paid
> large
> > > >> fines (or both) as a result of their attempts at becoming homemade
> > > >> lawyers.
> > > >>
> > > >> Should you decide to test your peculiar theory, I wish you what you
> > have
> > > >> coming.
> > > >>
> > > >> Dave K8MN
> > >

> > >
> > > >It is people like you that think the people who represent the US
> > government
> > > > >are gods and always honest and what they say goes.
> > > >
> > > > No, I think what he is saying is that anyone trying to go against
> > > > well-established legal precedent, and without competent legal advice,
is
> > > > in for a long, hard road. Seek qualified legal counsel with expertise
> > > > in both the area of law you seek advice, and in the jurisdiction in
> > > > which you reside.
> > > >
> > > > A classic example of misguided "resistance," and associated kooky
legal
> > > > theories, is the tax avoidance movement. The IRS actually has a
> > > > detailed FAQ document on so-called "Frivolous Tax Arguments":
> > > >
> > > > http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-utl/friv_tax.pdf
> > > >
> > > > According to this document, not only will these frivolous arguments be
> > > > summarily dismissed by the IRS and the U.S Courts, the courts may even
> > > > assess substantial penalties just for wasting their time.
> > > >
> > > > You are free to "disagree" with the IRS or the FCC, the courts that
will
> > > > uphold their regulations (through multiple levels of appeal all the
way
> > > > up the Supreme Court), and the U.S. Marshals that will sieze your
> > > > property and your person to enforce the law. However, that is really
> > > > beyond the scope of judicial review of the legislative and executive
> > > > branches of government. Resistance at that point falls into the realm
> > > > of armed revolution. I'll leave that discussion to the conspiracy
nuts
> > > > who form "militias" because they see black helicopters wherever they
go.
> > > >
> > > > >I read about a married couple who became homemade biologist after
their
> > > son
> > > > >came up with a rare diesease with no cure. They sure did find one.
> > > >
> > > > Non-sequitur.
> > > >
> > > > Law != Medicine
> > > >
> > > > Furthermore, while neither field is perfect, there's much less
> > > > uncertainty in established case law about common legal questions than
> > > > there is in medical knowledge about rare diseases.
> > > >
> > > > Next?

> > >
> > > --
> > > 73, Paul W. Schleck, K3FU
> > > pschleck@novia.net
> > > http://www.novia.net/~pschleck/
> > > Finger pschleck@novia.net for PGP Public Key
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

4000. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 13:27:47 UTC
From: Highway King <HighwayKing@Freeband27880.net>
Message-ID: <atv5sh$r9h$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58236
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

President Lincoln all mode CB radio For Sale, modified
to operate up to 30 mhz.

Also have several linear amps for sale, 300 watts to 2000 watts.

Contact Highway King, I-80 truck stop, west of U.S. 24 just over
the Iowa state line. Stand by channel 17.

Highway King
27880 lower side

4001. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 13:44:35 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212200544.6e1d7a6b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58237
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<atssok$90a$1@208.206.142.111>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<atrl1arai0@208.207.71.133>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<atqf5f$2gp$0@208.206.142.88>...
> > > > > It'll never fly. The answerer was just another bureaucrat who really didn't
> > > > > know what it was they were putting out.
> > > > > Federal courts long ago ruled that since radio has the capacity to cross state
> > > > > lines with no regard for jurisdictions it will be regulated on the Federal
> > > > > level. A single letter from any functionary won't change that.
> > > >
> > > > It's a good thing that automobiles cannot cross state lines as the
> > > > states would have to give up licensing authority to the Feds.
> > >
> > > Why am I not surprised to find that you don't know the difference?
> >
> > The difference between what? Try finishing a thought once in a while.
>
> Why don't you try starting one occasionally? Try the difference between RF which goes
every
> which way with no regard to where it is, and vehicles which don't.
> Unless you happen to be behind the wheel, maybe?

I guess Mr. Yagi Uda was wasting his time?

Hey everyone, Dick says we cannot harness the direction of RF!

Hihihihihihihihihi -hah

4002. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 13:51:39 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212200551.37d64bf4@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58238

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0212191737.240a83e@posting.google.com>...
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0212190437.6c7c3c92@posting.google.com>...
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<atrss3$e8q$0@208.206.142.114>...
> > > Brian Kelly wrote:.
> > >
> > > >
> > > > With Phil floating around here it's gonna be a very short project.
> > >
> > > I'd certainly think so.
> > >
> > >
> > > >
> > > > Methinks the Feds prempted jurisdiction in all matters radio with the
> > > > Communications Act of 1934.
> > >
> > > I'm not sure but it may have began with the original Radio Act of 1912. Much modified
and updated with tje Act amd the
> > > formation of the Commission in '34.
> > >
> > >
> > >
> > > > At least to the extent that no civilian or
> > > > civilian entity can put a legal 100w transmitter of any type in any
> > > > service without an FCC license. Anywhere. Maybe not counting the old
> > > > lowfer induction radiophones used by the railroads back when.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Yep, even the unlicensed low power radiators and services are "authorized" by FCC
action.
> > >
> > > Dick
> >
> > My guess is that the county has a license, and they are issuing a
> > piece of paper or a card, being called a "license," to those that they
> > have authorized to use the frequency.
>
> That can apply in the case of the county itself holding a land mobile
> service license which permits the operation of some number of public
> service base stations & mobile units under the same license. County
> non-profit emergency services organizations, police, fire, etc.

Yep, that's how they do it.

This
> guy is apparently tossing signals out on the FM broadcast band for
> commercial purposes. Pirates is pirates whether via stupidity or
> intent. In either case he's inviting a knock on his door.

You're right.

I hadn't inferred that from the original post. I went back and read
it, then I read his second post stating that it was in the FM
Broadcast Band, and he reiterated "one way."

> And this "intrasate only" crappola is just that. I can routinely copy
> low-power FM BC stations in four states from here with a decent
> consumer-level FM rcvr. I've worked six states on 2m FM with 25 watts
> and a gain whip.

Lotsa fun. I do my broadcast band "dxing" on AM. I use a portable
panasonic sw 500-1600khz. I try to give it 15 or 20 minutes each
evening before my eyes close.

Brian

4003. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 13:58:08 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212200558.6598d029@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58239
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<atssk2$90a$0@208.206.142.111>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > "David Eduardo" <amdavid@pacbell.net> wrote in message
news:<4saM9.702$P02.386@newssvr19.news.prodigy.com>...
> > > "Trent Creekmore" <tcreek@gt.rr.com> wrote in message
> > > news:JgaM9.14652$Nz5.395767@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > > >
> > > > "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:f45722ac.0212181821.267c324b@posting.google.com...
> > > > > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
> news:<atqf5f$2gp$0@208.206.142.88>...
> > > > > > It'll never fly. The answerer was just another bureaucrat who really
> didn't
> > > > > > know what it was they were putting out.
> > > > > > Federal courts long ago ruled that since radio has the capacity to
> cross state
> > > > > > lines with no regard for jurisdictions it will be regulated on the
> Federal
> > > > > > level. A single letter from any functionary won't change that.
> > > > >
> > > > > It's a good thing that automobiles cannot cross state lines as the
> > > > > states would have to give up licensing authority to the Feds.
> > > >
> > > > Touchï¿½!
> > > >
> > > > It is a very valid point.
> > >
> > > The keyword is "commerce." Private vehicles don't pay to travel outside
> > > either home state; commercial vehicles have a complicated set of
> > > apportionment rules that allow them to engage in interstate commerce. Ma
> > > and Pa on vacation are not part of the commercial aspects that are in play.
> >
> > Tell that to Mickey Mouse and the State of Florida. Bed tax is used
> > for revenue instead of state income tax.
>
>
>
> It's all very simple - the state, which ever state it is, is going to raise so much
revenue to conduct it's
> affairs. The rest is a matter of detail. In my state we pay a state income tax, and the
tax on our housing is
> quite moderate by comparison with Texas, where your real estate tax on your home easily

runs into the thousands
> of dollars. But Texas has no state income tax.
>
> They gotcha coming or going, whichever way you happen to be headed.

Yep, when working for the St Louis Corps of Engineers, I lived in Illinois. MO got the bulk of my tax money, IL got the rest. Illinois was a low cost of living area (unlike St Louis), and I had base priveleges which also helped.

In Ohio, I'm paying more taxes on less money, mortgage is $35,000 higher on a 400sqft less home with a 1% higher mortgage. I don't like it.

I'm ready to move to the land of Mickey Mouse.

4004. Re: Ward's Editorial in Jan03 QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 13:59:39 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212200559.1b4aaf8f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58240

Merry Christmas, Dick.

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<atsstp$90a$2@208.206.142.111>...
> Already read it and was planning a response.
>
> Note: YOU are no newcomer, if you're to be believed, so you don't count.
> Never did. Newcomers are always treated well unless they show up with an
> attitude problem, in which case they are handled on a case by case
> basis. Your case is a shame.
>
>
> Brian wrote:
>
> > Dick, Larry, Bruce, Kelly, please read the QST editorial during your
> > holiday break. Make a resolution. Merry Christmas, Happy New Year.
> > Brian/N0iMD

4005. Re: Ward's Editorial in Jan03 QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 14:00:10 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212200600.563a4614@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58241

Merry Christmas, Jim

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:<v0409bc4k512f3@corp.supernews.com>...
> An excellent editorial. Also there is a nice letter in correspondence on
> page 25 about consideration and courtesy.
>
> 73 and hope all have a merry Christmas and happy New Year!
>
> Jim AA2QA
>
>
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:f45722ac.0212190453.54be3437@posting.google.com...
> > Dick, Larry, Bruce, Kelly, please read the QST editorial during your
> > holiday break. Make a resolution. Merry Christmas, Happy New Year.
> > Brian/N0iMD

4006. Re: Ward's Editorial in Jan03 QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 14:04:51 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212200604.1b52ed10@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58242

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<attu1a$oo8$0@208.207.71.163>...
> Jim Hampton wrote:
>
> > An excellent editorial.
>
> As far as it goes. But it doesn't address the problem it purports to
> address, and merely continues the slide into no-code, no-theory
> no-license.....

Now, now, Dick, you know that's false. I support licensing (one full license, one beginners license), I support theory and even history on the exams, along with rules, safety, prop, etc., and I support code.

I do not support code as a stand alone exam, however. Embed code knowledge in the written as is it is with any other mode, and we'll be in complete agreement.

See, we're closer on these issues than you thought.

Merry Christmas.

> > Also there is a nice letter in correspondence on
> > page 25 about consideration and courtesy.
> >
> > 73 and hope all have a merry Christmas and happy New Year!
> >
> > Jim AA2QA
> >
> > "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:f45722ac.0212190453.54be3437@posting.google.com...
> > > Dick, Larry, Bruce, Kelly, please read the QST editorial during your
> > > holiday break. Make a resolution. Merry Christmas, Happy New Year.
> > > Brian/N0iMD

4007. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 14:08:22 UTC
From: Trent Creekmore <tcreek@gt.rr.com>
Message-ID: <qvFM9.25395$6H6.724812@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 58243
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

> > The difference between what? Try finishing a thought once in a while.
>
> Why don't you try starting one occasionally? Try the difference between
RF which goes every
> which way with no regard to where it is, and vehicles which don't.
> Unless you happen to be behind the wheel, maybe?
>

Gee, I know when I was in the Air Force I set up some dishes that send out
some Microwaves in ONE direction only.

4008. Re: Seasoned greeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 14:08:49 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212200608.71a5439c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58244

"K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:<x6xM9.1784$n97.166017@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote :
> : "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> : news:8p450v8j748va78i0eke4q8sfgtlddel77@4ax.com...
> :
> : > I like the Sams beers that don't get too odd. Regular is good,
> : > Winter lager is good, and there is one they put something called
> : > grains of paradise in that is pretty good too.
> :
> : Oh yeah, I believe that is the Summer Ale.
> :
> : > Speaking of odd beers, I love Franziskaner wheat with a slice
> : > of lemon, Hops Infusion, Unibroue Maudite, and (oddly enough) Genesee
> : > Red.
>
> Friend of mine and I had a big argument about which was the worst beer,
> Carling Black Label or Genesee. To settle the argument we put a sample of
> each in separate un-labeled fruit jars and sent them to the University of
> Minnesota to be analyzed. The report came back, saying both horses were
> going to die.
>
> dit dit
>
> Hans, K0HB

I plan on making an Imperial Stout on Sunday, from a Rasputin clone
recipe in BYO. Wish me luck.

Brian

4009. Re: Seasoned greeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 14:10:04 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212200610.2b6e12e9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58245

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021219221000.06208.00000416@mb-fp.aol.com>...
> In article <Fo1M9.418$wb3.45670@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
> <kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:
>
> >So this accounts, dear friend, for your not receiving a more stimulating
> >present than this letter.
> >
> >73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> Hansl:
>
> Don't worry about it. I had a similar problem with the Chivas Regal
> I was going to send to you!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Ha! Good one Larry.

4010. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 14:16:12 UTC
From: "Paul W. Schleck" <pschleck@novia.net>
Message-ID: <3e0325a2$0$308$45beb828@newscene.com>
Source record: 58246
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

In <ORvM9.17376$6H6.650598@twister.austin.rr.com> "Trent Creekmore" <tcreek@gt.rr.com>
writes:

>"Paul W. Schleck" <pschleck@novia.net> wrote in message
>news:3e024bad$0$206$45beb828@newscene.com...
>> In <C3rM9.15233$6H6.583858@twister.austin.rr.com> "Trent Creekmore"
><tcreek@gt.rr.com> writes:
>>
>> >>
>> >> *Guffaw* Whew! Pardon me while I wipe the tears of laughter from my
>> >> face.
>> >> There are a lot of smart boys like you who have done time or paid large
>> >> fines (or both) as a result of their attempts at becoming homemade
>> >> lawyers.
>> >>
>> >> Should you decide to test your peculiar theory, I wish you what you
>have
>> >> coming.
>> >>
>> >> Dave K8MN
>>
>>
>> >It is people like you that think the people who represent the US
>government
>> >are gods and always honest and what they say goes.
>>
>> No, I think what he is saying is that anyone trying to go against
>> well-established legal precedent, and without competent legal advice, is
>> in for a long, hard road. Seek qualified legal counsel with expertise
>> in both the area of law you seek advice, and in the jurisdiction in
>> which you reside.
>>
>> A classic example of misguided "resistance," and associated kooky legal
>> theories, is the tax avoidance movement. The IRS actually has a
>> detailed FAQ document on so-called "Frivolous Tax Arguments":
>>
>> http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-utl/friv_tax.pdf
>>
>> According to this document, not only will these frivolous arguments be
>> summarily dismissed by the IRS and the U.S Courts, the courts may even
>> assess substantial penalties just for wasting their time.

[snip!]

>That is a bad example you gave. It makes me also believe that you fall into
>that US Govt = fact/truth.
>That document is written by the people whos job it is enforce the US tax
>laws and is one sided and biased.
>It is their job to enforce tax laws, not interpret them. This is the same
>thing with the FCC. I probably could go through that document and pick it
>apart.

Please, go right ahead. I (and others) eagerly await your detailed
legal analysis. Be sure to quote verifiable legal opinion and
precedent, or at least cases that were dismissed before a precedent was
set.

>I am sure they have listed only the cases where persons have lost.
>Wwhat about the ones who won a court case? The government is also known to
>let it go and drop everything when a person comes up with a valid point that
>could very well win in the courts. It would be better to have that one go
>than go have the law changed in a way they do not want. I am sure there are
>lots of people the IRS let go.

"I am sure there are" is quite different from "Here's who they are."
Handwaving arguments without supporting evidence are fairly worthless.
As I noted above, please be sure to cite legal opinion and precedent in
your analysis. The IRS document enumerates cases in which they have
won. Please give examples where they have lost (or dropped the case)
based on the arguments that the IRS characterizes as "frivolous" in its
document above.

Also, please clarify one point so that we are clear on this. Do you
feel that the IRS document above is a falsehood in its entirety, or that
there is some truthful elements in it, and some untruthful elements?
Which, specifically, are truthful, and which are untruthful?

Do you feel that your position is strong enough that you yourself could
avoid paying federal income taxes, using any of the tax arguments that
the IRS characterizes as "frivolous?" Have you actually done so? Do
you feel that you would have a good chance of the IRS backing down and
letting you go if you used some of these arguments as justification for
not paying your federal income taxes?

[snip!]

>>
>> >I read about a married couple who became homemade biologist after their
>son
>> >came up with a rare diesease with no cure. They sure did find one.
>>
>> Non-sequitur.
>>
>> Law != Medicine
>>
>> Furthermore, while neither field is perfect, there's much less
>> uncertainty in established case law about common legal questions than

>> there is in medical knowledge about rare diseases.

>Huh??? The cure for AIDS draws closer but still there is no knowlege to even
>begin where to find a cure for the common cold. That sounds like much more
>uncertianty than law.

If you actually read and understood what I wrote above, you will find
that you agreed with me that the field of medicine has more uncertainty
than most aspects of established case law.

--
Paul W. Schleck, K3FU
pschleck@novia.net
http://www.novia.net/~pschleck/
Finger pschleck@novia.net for PGP Public Key

4011. Re: Ward's Editorial in Jan03 QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 14:31:02 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021220093102.18095.00000325@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 58247

>Brian wrote:
>>
>> > Dick, Larry, Bruce, Kelly, please read the QST editorial during your
>> > holiday break. Make a resolution. Merry Christmas, Happy New Year.
>> > Brian/N0iMD

I just read it, it confirms what I have been saying. Ham Radio is becoming nothing more than CB, with ARRLs approval. My question is an easy one, since CW testing is History, or soon to be, when are we going to get rid of the Stupid Written Test?
For that matter why are we still testing at all? Why not legally just turn it into CB, that way the FCC is out of it, we can then make up are own Calls and use what ever Freq we wont. Thats the direction were headed, so why delay it, lets just get it over with 1 sweep and be done with it.

4012. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 14:44:33 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021220094433.12383.00000218@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 58248

>Subject: Re: Would have been Extra sooner
>From: k0hb@arrl.net (K0HB)
>Date: 12/19/02 2:11 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <e79dbd9b.0212191211.361d7eb9@posting.google.com>

>Your problem here, Steve....(SNIP)

Comments noted. Not very witty, but then when are they?

>It was inaccurate, over-generalized, and sloppy. He has since
>modified it.

I'm glad it now meets YOUR standards.

>dit dit

For Lennie's benefit?

73

Steve, K4YZ

4013. Re: Ward's Editorial in Jan03 QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 15:07:24 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021220100724.18139.00000272@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 58253

>ANY ham mag is over 50 miles away from here so I don't get to see many ham
>mags anymore, unless it is a "hand-me-down."

Your not missing much.

4014. Re: Goals for 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 15:09:29 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021220100929.18139.00000273@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 58254

>Since the new year is approaching, and those thoughts of what we all might
>achieve usually are centered around that, what are your goals for the 2003?
>Apply this in general or specifically to amateur radio if you wish.
>
>Happy Holidays!
>--

My biggest goal is to get rid of all my CB gear, oh sorry my mistake my Ham
Radio gear, its really hard to tell the difference anymore.

4015. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 15:10:25 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021220101025.18139.00000274@mb-fc.aol.com>
Source record: 58255

>Are you going to purchase any new gear of any
>kind related to amateur radio or radio in general?

NO

> Are you planning on
>constructing any new gear?
>

NO

4016. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 15:12:20 UTC
From: Trent Creekmore <tcreek@gt.rr.com>
Message-ID: <orGM9.26006$6H6.731395@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 58256
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

>
> Please, go right ahead. I (and others) eagerly await your detailed
> legal analysis. Be sure to quote verifiable legal opinion and
> precedent, or at least cases that were dismissed before a precedent was
> set.

This is radio forums, not tax. i was giving an example. If you do want a
detailed aynalisys then I will happy to give one, but it will take time.

>
> "I am sure there are" is quite different from "Here's who they are."
> Handwaving arguments without supporting evidence are fairly worthless.
> As I noted above, please be sure to cite legal opinion and precedent in
> your analysis. The IRS document enumerates cases in which they have
> won. Please give examples where they have lost (or dropped the case)
> based on the arguments that the IRS characterizes as "frivolous" in its
> document above.

As for dropped cases it is going to be more difficult to find. These type of
cases are dropped for reasons. They will not be recorded in a published
record such as case law. In order to find these cases one would have to go
through every single court document in every court house. I have the time
nor resources just to satisfy a few people who don't care to study for
themselves.

> Also, please clarify one point so that we are clear on this. Do you
> feel that the IRS document above is a falsehood in its entirety, or that
> there is some truthful elements in it, and some untruthful elements?
> Which, specifically, are truthful, and which are untruthful?

You will notice the title of the document. They only describe "frivilous"
claims.
I am sure none of it is false, but it is portrayed one-sided to favor the
IRS.
The last thing they want to do is be giving people legal advie on how to
make valid claims and making the IRS defunct.

>
> Do you feel that your position is strong enough that you yourself could
> avoid paying federal income taxes, using any of the tax arguments that
> the IRS characterizes as "frivolous?" Have you actually done so? Do
> you feel that you would have a good chance of the IRS backing down and
> letting you go if you used some of these arguments as justification for
> not paying your federal income taxes?
>

I am sure I could make a valid claim to avoid paying taxes. If you look over the frivilous claims, most of those people are the ones who are "kooks."

Just off the top of my head i can come up with one which could be a valid claim to at least hold off paying taxs for a while. It is in the Constitution:

as you know, the 16th Amendment allows for collection of taxes. Just because that amendment is in there does not cancel other rights guaranteed.
The 5th Amendment in part states:

"....nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of law."

You may not own that US currency per se, but you do own that value that you gained from employment, business dealings, etc.

When was the last time you were given due process when IRS deprived you of your money?

Stop being sheep

4017. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 16:12:37 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <VjHM9.2416$_V5.229065@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58257
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"Linktech" <peterrw@optushome.com.au> wrote

:
:
: Secondly what point of reference are you using
: to determine that I am "down there" , Jupiter or Pluto?
:

I may have been referring to our apparent relative positions on the IQ
scale.

dit dit

de Hans, K0HB

4018. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 16:14:04 UTC
From: David Robbins <k1ttt@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <U_qdncR0rJpO3J6jXTWc2Q@crocker.com>
Source record: 58258
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"Trent Creekmore" <tcreek@gt.rr.com> wrote in message
news:orGM9.26006$6H6.731395@twister.austin.rr.com...
> >
> > Please, go right ahead. I (and others) eagerly await your detailed
> > legal analysis. Be sure to quote verifiable legal opinion and
> > precedent, or at least cases that were dismissed before a precedent was
> > set.
>
> This is radio forums, not tax. i was giving an example. If you do want a
> detailed aynalisys then I will happy to give one, but it will take time.

we have plenty of time... analyze away.

>
> I am sure I could make a valid claim to avoid paying taxes. If you look
over
> the frivilous claims, most of those people are the ones who are "kooks."

you are sure, but have you done it?

>
> When was the last time you were given due process when IRS deprived you of
> your money?
>

when was the last time you argued that you hadn't been given due process?
what was the outcome?

> Stop being sheep
>

stop feeding us bs, cite cases and specifics not off the cuff arguments
based on generalities and unproven assumptions based on grade school reading
of the constitution.

4019. Re: Ward's Editorial in Jan03 QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 16:17:35 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021220111735.03151.00000495@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 58259

In article <f45722ac.0212190453.54be3437@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>Dick, Larry, Bruce, Kelly, please read the QST editorial during your
>holiday break. Make a resolution. Merry Christmas, Happy New Year.
>Brian/N0iMD

Brian:

I've read Silver's editorial. Unfortunately, to me it seemed to have been plagiarized from many other editorials I've seen which had the same basic message. Well, N0AX got this two columns in QST, but I've not been moved toward any "resolution."

Without quoting directly, he basically states that interest in electronics is no longer what drives people to become ham radio operators. I, OTOH, think that it is necessary for hams to have a basic interest in electronics at the nuts-and-bolts (or transistors and IC's) level in order for our hobby to serve one of it's primary purposes -- to be an entry-level hands-on learning experience for future electronics experts who will ultimately advance the technology upon which the world has come to depend. We also need to serve the purpose of being an alternative, backup emergency communications service -- and this means that we need to have retained and mastered proven communications skills which can keep people in contact with each other over various distances under the most extreme conditions. And, yes, this means learning, mastering, and using the Morse/CW mode at a useful proficiency level!

I strongly believe that basic electronics knowledge and Morse Code are two aspects of ham radio which are essential to our mission. Each requires something that is becoming more difficult to find with each passing year: the willingness to make an effort to learn something useful. My experience with ham radio newcomers these days is that they are more interested in the instant gratification of powerful, globe-spanning personal communications than in learning the basics. They want to put the cart in front of the horse. Many claim that they'll learn CW or try some homebrewing "later" -- but "later" never comes. They buy their station off-the-shelf, get someone to help them get it working, and proceed to -- well, not proceed. "Elmers" now have to help newcomers do things that they could easily learn on their own simply by making an effort at individual study. The newcomers don't want to learn how something works, they want to be shown which button to push. And when the button needs to be pushed again, they're stuck with a non-functional whatever-it-is until they can get their "Elmer" involved again.

I'm the kind of ham who learned everything I know by opening books and reading what was inside. Sometimes over and over again until it finally sunk in. When I encountered something I didn't understand, and there wasn't anyone else around to explain it to me, I did some basic research and looked up the answer. I had an "Elmer" (a YL, actually) for a while, but she provided mainly motivation and encouragement. The knowledge I gained on my own. In fact, at that point, as a result of being a non-ham electronics hobbyist for years, I already had most of the technical knowledge I needed to pass my exams all the way through Extra class; I just needed to learn that which was specific to ham radio. I taught myself how to solder. I taught myself how to put a PL-259, or a male BNC connector, or an "N" connector on a piece of coaxial cable. I taught myself the Morse Code -- several times, in fact. I built dozens of kits and home-brew projects, starting with a "breadboard" AM receiver which won my eighth-grade Science Fair.

My point is that new hams these days aren't getting anything like the true Ham Radio experience, mainly because they're so used to instant access to technology that they take it for granted. They don't think there is any need to learn how it got there in the first place. I guess I understand where they're coming from, but I don't' agree that they should be allowed to get away with creating that new paradigm in the ARS. I think that older, "pre-Restructuring" hams, whenever approached to "Elmer" a newcomer ham, should practice "Tough Love." If they want to know how to do something, throw the book at them. Literally. Make them read, study, learn. If they encounter a term they don't understand, then throw *another* book at them. Of course, I don't actually advocate making missiles out of your books -- just tell them what's available and where to buy it.

I've said it before, and I'll say it again -- if it were in my power, I'd do away with Restructuring and retroactively cancel all licenses issued after April 15, 2000. Then I would re-institute the previous licensing requirements and go on from there. We had a great set of licensing requirements, and our system wasn't "broken" -- but those who wanted to get ham radio privileges were. They were broken by having been brought up in a society with instant answers and instant gratification in almost any conceivable endeavor. And when they encounter someone like myself, who was brought into ham radio under a more challenging and comprehensive set of licensing requirements, they accuse us of being backward in our thinking if we don't take the politically-correct stance toward the changes that have been made in recent years. That's you, Brian -- in case you hadn't noticed!

73 de Larry, K3LT

4020. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 16:35:22 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <eFHM9.2429$_V5.230994@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58260
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote

:
: I guess Mr. Yagi Uda was wasting his time?
:

Pssst..... pssst..... uh, hey Brian..... don't look now, but "Mr.
Yagi Uda" was two guys..... Professor Hidetsugu Yagi and his assistant,
Shintaro Uda, at Tohoku Imperial University. They were in all the papers
back in the 1920's.

dit dit

Hans, K0HB

4021. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 17:09:21 UTC
From: BIAS COMMS <biascomms@NOSPAMblueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <59IM9.17624$2e7.136564950@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 58261
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:xgyM9.1901$n97.176056@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...

> As opposed to your British Law and British Business over there?

We're only slightly better!!!

--
BIAS COMMS

Everything gets easier with practice except getting up in the morning

4022. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 17:14:56 UTC
From: BIAS COMMS <biascomms@NOSPAMblueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <keIM9.17642$AM6.136051854@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 58262
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:MuyM9.1911$n97.178988@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...

> Of course Bias Comms, selling your cheap and dirty little transmitters out
> of the UK, wouldn't have a "vested interest" in deregulating FM
broadcasting
> on these shores, now would you?

Pardon? We don't sell ANY "cheap and dirty little transmitters" to anyone, anywhere! Any equipment we ever sell is fully type-approved for the country in which it's going to be used. Type Approval in the USA is usually just a question of providing a suitably fat brown envelope, however.

Deregulation of broadcasting is usually a bad idea - the problems it caused in Italy (for example) are well documented. However - conglomerate ownership of virtually all media outlets is a MUCH worse idea!

--
BIAS COMMS

Everything gets easier with practice except getting up in the morning

4023. Re: Goals for 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 17:16:02 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3E035052.4030109@psu.edu>
Source record: 58263

KC8PMX wrote:
> Since the new year is approaching, and those thoughts of what we all might
> achieve usually are centered around that, what are your goals for the 2003?
> Apply this in general or specifically to amateur radio if you wish.

I want to finish my Magloop antenna, and get my hockey team back on the
winning track.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4024. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 17:22:11 UTC
From: BIAS COMMS <biascomms@NOSPAMblueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7IIM9.17659$Ej7.136673373@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 58264
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:qcyM9.1899$n97.175628@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "BIAS COMMS" <biascomms@NOSPAMblueyonder.co.uk> wrote
>
> : With sensible frequency planning, that simply won't happen.
>
> Sensible frequency planning? If each county is in charge of their own
> licensing (there are upwards of 3,000 counties over here in the colonies)
> how do you coordinate that? Then how do you coordinate between the 48
> contiguous states? Damn, maybe this IS a job best left to the Feds, sorta
> like the FAA keeps airplanes sorted out, etc.

Take a look at Europe! We're a much smaller area than the USA, but actually have MORE FM stations than you do! We also suffer fewer interference problems than you do! It's just down to sensible planning (even across Country borders), and good engineering practice.

> : The FCC has a poor reputation for broadcast planning - they are,
frankly,
> : inept. They also have a poor reputation for enforcement of their rules,
> : particularly when it's one of the big commercial radio concerns - there
> : isn't a single FM station in any major American city that isn't
operating
> : outside the rules. They are almost invariably overdeviated, carrying
> : "unlicenced" additional services, and putting out substantial amounts of
> : harmonic and spurious rubbish. Many of the problems have been caused by
> : downgrading the engineering jobs (particularly by Clear Channel) to the
> : point where janitors actually earn more (and are often better qualified)
> : than the "engineers"!
> :
>
> Given that jeremiad of problems by a well funded federal agency, am I to
> believe that the situation would be better if instead of a single
regulator,
> there were something like 3,000 of them, one in each county across the
> country, with 1/3000th of the talent available to FCC?

No. We have the EBU, which (by and large) does a pretty good job. This is an international agency. Each country has their own equivalent of your FCC, and these agencies work together through the EBU.

--
BIAS COMMS

Everything gets easier with practice except getting up in the morning

4025. Re: Goals for 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 17:38:33 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021220123833.03151.00000503@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 58265

In article <atv9qh214v2@enews2.newsguy.com>, "KC8PMX"
<kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> writes:

>Since the new year is approaching, and those thoughts of what we all might
>achieve usually are centered around that, what are your goals for the 2003?
>Apply this in general or specifically to amateur radio if you wish.
>
>Happy Holidays!

One goal I want to work on is to finally get my Worked All States award,
after over 20 years as a ham! To that end, I'm working on improving my
indoor dipole antenna farm in my third-floor apartment.

73 de Larry, K3LT

4026. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 17:38:34 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021220123834.03151.00000504@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 58266

In article <atv9qi314v2@enews2.newsguy.com>, "KC8PMX"
<kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> writes:

>This is similar, but different to the other post, but what are your
>equipment goals for 2003? Are you going to purchase any new gear of any
>kind related to amateur radio or radio in general? Are you planning on
>constructing any new gear?
>
>Happy Holidays!
>--
>Ryan, KC8PMX

The equipment purchases I'm contemplating for 2003 would be either a
Yaesu FT8900 10/6/2/440 FM mobile rig, or an Icom IC-706 and some
sort of "screwdriver" HF mobile antenna to set up a mobile HF station.
However, considering the fact that I just don't spend any great amount
of time in my car (no long commute to work -- only 4.5 miles one way!)
it would be difficult to justify either.

I'm probably going to increase the capability of my indoor dipole antenna
farm in my apartment by adding something reasonably resonant on 40
meters -- so I could be purchasing some wire, insulators, coax, the
usual antenna stuff.

73 de Larry, K3LT

4027. Re: Steve Robeson ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 17:48:12 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212200948.1e0974d5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58267

brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0212181845.3dec14c5@posting.google.com>...
> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0212180641.5a7e8043@posting.google.com>...
> > brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0212180329.55c9be4c@posting.google.com>...
> > > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021218025636.12126.00000103@mb-df.aol.com>...
> > > > >Subject: Re: Steve Robeson ?
> > > > >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> > > > >Date: 12/17/02 8:42 PM Central Standard Time
> > > > >Message-id: <f45722ac.0212171842.5a851731@posting.google.com>
> > > >
> > > >
> > > > >"What is this fellow's obsession with this Lenny?"
> > > >
> > > > My "obsession", Braniac,
> > >
> > > It's just "Brian."
> > >
> > > is that I do not tolerate liars, bullies and
> > > > fools, all three of which seem to be summed up in the personna of Leonard H
> > > > Anderson. lennie seems to think it's OK to "attack" me, ergo he's found an
> > > > opponent who will not allow his ranting to go unchallenged.
> > >
> > > Unchallenged, or over the deep end? Can you tell the difference?
> > >
> > > > Lennie seems to be determiend to undermine the very same Amateur Radio in
> > > > which you are licensed by making slurs, denigrations and outright mistruths in
> > > > order to make it appear as though it is less than what it is. Lennie would
> > > > have the uninformed think Amateur Radio is nothing more than CB with a code
> > > > test.
> > >
> > > I don't believe that, and I don't see where Len has said that.
> >
> > You don't "see" a lotta things, that's why you're known as "Brainiac".
>
> You're welcome to back that claim up with a google search, if you know
> how.
>
> It shouldn't take an engineering degree to accomplish, but since
> you've got one, why waste it?

Brian, I apologize for these remarks. Its not in keeping with my post about Ward's QST editorial, nor in keeping with the season.

Merry Christmas, Brian/N0iMD

4028. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses - look at the newsgroups in the address line please

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 18:02:00 UTC
From: Your Name <your@email.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92EA668DE2014Youremailcom@216.148.227.77>
Source record: 58268
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Every time you respond to a cross-posted troll, the terrorists win

4029. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 18:15:23 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3E035E3B.7040005@psu.edu>
Source record: 58269

KC8PMX wrote:
> This is similar, but different to the other post, but what are your
> equipment goals for 2003? Are you going to purchase any new gear of any
> kind related to amateur radio or radio in general? Are you planning on
> constructing any new gear?

I'm Thinking of a new IC746 or if I'm a real good boy, a 756ProII

- Mike KB3EIA -

4030. Re: Seasoned greeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 18:17:43 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3E035EC7.6000106@psu.edu>
Source record: 58270

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> Friend of mine and I had a big argument about which was the worst beer,
> Carling Black Label or Genesee. To settle the argument we put a sample of
> each in separate un-labeled fruit jars and sent them to the University of
> Minnesota to be analyzed. The report came back, saying both horses were
> going to die.

Genessee and Genesee Red are two entirely different animals! As for
Carling, well.....

- Mike KB3EIA -

4031. Re: Seasoned greeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 18:26:38 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3E0360DE.60309@psu.edu>
Source record: 58272

Jackie L. wrote:
> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message

>>Speaking of odd beers, I love Franziskaner wheat with a slice
>>of lemon, Hops Infusion, Unibroue Maudite, and (oddly enough) Genesee
>>Red.
>
>
> Are those from a local microbrewery?

Franziskaner is an import (I think). It's a classic wheat beer with suspended yeast, the wheat tang and most budcoormiller drinkers won't touch the stuff, since it's cloudy - oh and it has taste too. 8^)

Hops infusion is microbrew. They are serious about the hops. It's very bitter. So you need to like hops - a lot!

Maudite is made in Quebec, and costs horribly down here in PA. The last time I went to Canada I got a fair amount of that. It's a very malty strong beer, very dark. It isn't anything you would drink for refreshment, but more like a beer to have after dinner.

- mike KB3EIA -

4032. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 18:27:52 UTC
From: K�HB <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <IiJM9.2598$_V5.245752@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58271
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"BIAS COMMS" <biascomms@NOSPAMblueyonder.co.uk> wrote

:
: No. We have the EBU, which (by and large) does a pretty good job. This
is
: an international agency. Each country has their own equivalent of your
FCC,
: and these agencies work together through the EBU.
:

Centralized coordination sounds like an excellent arrangement, which is what we have here. But some whacko "patriot" dufus's here are suggesting it should be decentralized, down to the county level.

dit dit

Hans, K0HB

4033. Re: Goals for 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 18:38:32 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <IsJM9.2623$_V5.246231@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58273

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote

: Since the new year is approaching, and those thoughts of what we all might
: achieve usually are centered around that, what are your goals for the
2003?
: Apply this in general or specifically to amateur radio if you wish.

Marvel at how bright my harmonics and sub-harmonics are.

Repower my walleye boat.

Cure the 20M RFI which modulates the A/C in our RV.

Building an array of seven 800-foot beverages out at my daughters farm.

Avoid assuming room temperature.

dit dit

Hans, K0HB

4034. Re: Seasoned greeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 18:40:55 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3E036437.9040305@psu.edu>
Source record: 58274

N2EY wrote:
> In article <8p450v8j748va78i0eke4q8sfgtlddel77@4ax.com>, Mike Coslo
> <mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>>and (oddly enough) Genesee Red.
>>
>
> Not odd at all. Genny is among the best. Of course my time in Palmyra may have
> had some influence on the judgement....
>
> Other favorites:
>
> Yuengling Black & Tan (the original)

oops, I forgot to add Yeungling!

> Guinness Stout (drink your beer before it gets cold)

Fun to look at too!

> Foster's Lager (any place that puts beer in 25 oz. cans is serious about it,
> mate!)

Reminds me of the old company picnics I used to go to. I'd bring along
a couple of the Foster Oil Cans and told everyone that my wife made me
promise to only drink two beers!

- Mike KB3EIA -

4035. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 19:03:53 UTC
From: Trent Creekmore <tcreek@gt.rr.com>
Message-ID: <tQJM9.26563$Nz5.678687@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 58276
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

> > This is radio forums, not tax. i was giving an example. If you do want a
> > detailed aynalisys then I will happy to give one, but it will take time.
>
> we have plenty of time... analyze away.
>
> >
> > I am sure I could make a valid claim to avoid paying taxes. If you look
> over
> > the frivilous claims, most of those people are the ones who are "kooks."
>
> you are sure, but have you done it?
>
> >
> > When was the last time you were given due process when IRS deprived you
of
> > your money?
> >
>
> when was the last time you argued that you hadn't been given due process?
> what was the outcome?

3 years ago in a divorce case I was in. I appealed it to the appeals court
and the court sided with me and was remanded to the trial court.

got one in my pocket. what do you have?

>
> > Stop being sheep
> >
>
> stop feeding us bs, cite cases and specifics not off the cuff arguments
> based on generalities and unproven assumptions based on grade school
reading
> of the constitution.

Grade school reading? If you cannot even understand its standard english
language you need not be here.

More to coem on taxes later

4036. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 19:10:49 UTC
From: K�HB <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <ZWJM9.2689$_V5.250386@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58277
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"Trent Creekmore" <tcreek@gt.rr.com> wrote

: Hmmm..almost sounds like CB radio that has gone unlicensed and regulation
: not enforced. There has been no breakdown of our society because of it.

But there sure has been a breakdown ("meltdown" is probably a better
descriptive word) in the usefulness of CB radio!

dit dit

de Hans, K0HB

4037. Re: Seasoned greeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 19:12:53 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3E036BB5.1090406@psu.edu>
Source record: 58279

Brian wrote:

> I plan on making an Imperial Stout on Sunday, from a Rasputin clone
> recipe in BYO. Wish me luck.

The lovely lady who owns the local homebrew shop talked me into making a chocolate porter a while back. Yummy stuff. Tho' the person drinking better like chocolate. In addition to the regular porter ingredients, you add a whole 8 oz can of chocolate malt extract. (real homebrewing stuff-not the grocery store Chocolat malt)

- Mike KB3EIA -

4038. Re: Ward's Editorial in Jan03 QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 19:16:00 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <atvq9e$in7$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58278

Sounds like the same approach the liberals used to destroy our education system.

Dan/W4NTI

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message news:20021220111735.03151.00000495@mb-mq.aol.com...
> In article <f45722ac.0212190453.54be3437@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >Dick, Larry, Bruce, Kelly, please read the QST editorial during your
> >holiday break. Make a resolution. Merry Christmas, Happy New Year.
> >Brian/N0iMD
>
> Brian:
>
> I've read Silver's editorial. Unfortunately, to me it seemed to have been
> plagiarized from many other editorials I've seen which had the same
> basic message. Well, N0AX got this two columns in QST, but I've not
> been moved toward any "resolution."
>
> Without quoting directly, he basically states that interest in electronics
> is no longer what drives people to become ham radio operators. I, OTOH,
> think that it is necessary for hams to have a basic interest in electronics
> at the nuts-and-bolts (or transistors and IC's) level in order for our hobby
> to serve one of it's primary purposes -- to be an entry-level hands-on
> learning experience for future electronics experts who will ultimately
> advance the technology upon which the world has come to depend. We
> also need to serve the purpose of being an alternative, backup emergency
> communications service -- and this means that we need to have retained
> and mastered proven communications skills which can keep people in
> contact with each other over various distances under the most extreme
> conditions. And, yes, this means learning, mastering, and using the
> Morse/CW mode at a useful proficiency level!
>
> I strongly believe that basic electronics knowledge and Morse Code are
> two aspects of ham radio which are essential to our mission. Each
> requires something that is becoming more difficult to find with each
> passing year: the willingness to make an effort to learn something
> useful. My experience with ham radio newcomers these days is that
> they are more interested in the instant gratification of powerful, globe-
> spanning personal communications than in learning the basics. They
> want to put the cart in front of the horse. Many claim that they'll learn

> CW or try some homebrewing "later" -- but "later" never comes. They
> buy their station off-the-shelf, get someone to help them get it working,
> and proceed to -- well, not proceed. "Elmers" now have to help
> newcomers do things that they could easily learn on their own simply
> by making an effort at individual study. The newcomers don't want to
> learn how something works, they want to be shown which button to
> push. And when the button needs to be pushed again, they're stuck
> with a non-functional whatever-it-is until they can get their "Elmer"
> involved again.
>
> I'm the kind of ham who learned everything I know by opening books and
> reading what was inside. Sometimes over and over again until it finally
> sunk in. When I encountered something I didn't understand, and there
> wasn't anyone else around to explain it to me, I did some basic research
> and looked up the answer. I had an "Elmer" (a YL, actually) for a while,
> but she provided mainly motivation and encouragement. The knowledge
> I gained on my own. In fact, at that point, as a result of being a
non-ham
> electronics hobbyist for years, I already had most of the technical
> knowledge I needed to pass my exams all the way through Extra class;
> I just needed to learn that which was specific to ham radio. I taught
> myself how to solder. I taught myself how to put a PL-259, or a male
> BNC connector, or an "N" connector on a piece of coaxial cable. I taught
> myself the Morse Code -- several times, in fact. I built dozens of kits
> and home-brew projects, starting with a "breadboard" AM receiver which
> won my eighth-grade Science Fair.
>
> My point is that new hams these days aren't getting anything like the true
> Ham Radio experience, mainly because they're so used to instant access
> to technology that they take it for granted. They don't think there is
any
> need to learn how it got there in the first place. I guess I understand
> where they're coming from, but I don't' agree that they should be allowed
> to get away with creating that new paradigm in the ARS. I think that
older,
> "pre-Restructuring" hams, whenever approached to "Elmer" a newcomer
> ham, should practice "Tough Love." If they want to know how to do
> something, throw the book at them. Literally. Make them read, study,
> learn. If they encounter a term they don't understand, then throw
> *another* book at them. Of course, I don't actually advocate making
> missiles out of your books -- just tell them what's available and where
> to buy it.
>
> I've said it before, and I'll say it again -- if it were in my power, I'd
> do away with Restructuring and retroactively cancel all licenses issued
> after April 15, 2000. Then I would re-institute the previous licensing
> requirements and go on from there. We had a great set of licensing
> requirements, and our system wasn't "broken" -- but those who
> wanted to get ham radio privileges were. They were broken by having
> been brought up in a society with instant answers and instant

> gratification in almost any conceivable endeavor. And when they
> encounter someone like myself, who was brought into ham radio
> under a more challenging and comprehensive set of licensing
> requirements, they accuse us of being backward in our thinking if
> we don't take the politically-correct stance toward the changes that
> have been made in recent years. That's you, Brian -- in case you
> hadn't noticed!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

4039. Re: Seasoned greeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 19:17:52 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu>
Message-ID: <3E036CE0.50301@psu.edu>
Source record: 58280

KC8PMX wrote:
> This time of year Baileys Irish Creme is my favorite, but overall during the
> rest of the year, Cuervo Gold is my choice.

NO on could accuse you of not having diverse tastes!! 8^) I'll settle
for the Cuervo.

- mike KB3EIA -

4040. Re: Ward's Editorial in Jan03 QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 19:39:17 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021220143917.06208.00000446@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 58281

In article <20021220111735.03151.00000495@mb-mq.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>In article <f45722ac.0212190453.54be3437@posting.google.com>,
>brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
>>Dick, Larry, Bruce, Kelly, please read the QST editorial during your
>>holiday break. Make a resolution. Merry Christmas, Happy New Year.
>>Brian/N0iMD

>Without quoting directly, he basically states that interest in electronics
>is no longer what drives people to become ham radio operators. I, OTOH,
>think that it is necessary for hams to have a basic interest in electronics
>at the nuts-and-bolts (or transistors and IC's) level in order for our hobby
>to serve one of it's primary purposes -- to be an entry-level hands-on
>learning experience for future electronics experts who will ultimately
>advance the technology upon which the world has come to depend.

You have consistently railed against those mythical techs who
were in a (supposed) "entry-level" class for NOT advancing the
state of the amateur art...not to mention the "shack on a belt"
demi-pejoratives levelled against same. Tsk, tsk.

There are at least 300K+ morse-tested US radio amateurs who
have been around long enough to advance the state of the
amateur radio art. Where are they, what are they doing? Do
you know? You are one of those.

Why haven't you done more? You've had the time.

>We
>also need to serve the purpose of being an alternative, backup emergency
>communications service -- and this means that we need to have retained
>and mastered proven communications skills which can keep people in
>contact with each other over various distances under the most extreme
>conditions.

That's known as "Field Day," an annual contest where all
faithful "emergency communicators" can get out of the
house and practice their "preparedness" (usually in a park)
on a nice summer day while engaged in friendly contest
points gathering while chewing hot dogs and sipping beer.

Or...one could contact a local civil emergency group and act
WITH them as part of a civic team rather than play-acting
some "ham-hero" in order to rationalize the Field Day fun.

>And, yes, this means learning, mastering, and using the
>Morse/CW mode at a useful proficiency level!

Yes, one never knows when the next Titanic will sink and
"ham-heros" will have to "work traffic" by CW to aid victims.
Ho hum.

>I strongly believe that basic electronics knowledge and Morse Code are
>two aspects of ham radio which are essential to our mission. Each
>requires something that is becoming more difficult to find with each
>passing year: the willingness to make an effort to learn something
>useful.

Please do not model others on yourself.

"Basic electronics" has been covered in all the popular ham
publications for years...and is periodically reviewed in basic
theory articles in ham periodicals. The true basics of semi-
conductor circuits have remained essentially the same for
the last 30 years and the "legacy" transistors and ICs of
1972 are still available today at much lower relative prices
than vacuum tubes ever were. Others younger than Roll
seem to know that subject, yet Roll has never attempted any
discussion on any details of "basic electronics." Why?

>My experience with ham radio newcomers these days is that
>they are more interested in the instant gratification of powerful, globe-
>spanning personal communications than in learning the basics.

That theme has been around worldwide amateur radio since
they were forced into HF and above in the 1920s. That's 80
some years of such "instant gratification" and the addiction
of DXing and collecting pasteboard evidence from far away.

>They
>want to put the cart in front of the horse. Many claim that they'll learn
>CW or try some homebrewing "later" -- but "later" never comes. They
>buy their station off-the-shelf, get someone to help them get it working,
>and proceed to -- well, not proceed.

Snide bullshit, Roll. Look at the "shack" pictures of hams world-
wide in amateur periodicals. Lots and lots and lots of "off-the-
shelf" station equipment owned by LONG-TIME amateurs...like
yourself.

>"Elmers" now have to help
>newcomers do things that they could easily learn on their own simply
>by making an effort at individual study.

Oh? The "Elmer" concept seems to be more smoke and the
mirroring of a fantasy of personal knowledge capability...sort
of like Field Day being one of "emergency preparedness
training."

>The newcomers don't want to
>learn how something works, they want to be shown which button to
>push. And when the button needs to be pushed again, they're stuck
>with a non-functional whatever-it-is until they can get their "Elmer"
>involved again.

I'll ask you again to - please - not model others on yourself.

>I'm the kind of ham who learned everything I know by opening books and
>reading what was inside. Sometimes over and over again until it finally
>sunk in. When I encountered something I didn't understand, and there
>wasn't anyone else around to explain it to me, I did some basic research
>and looked up the answer.

Of course you did. All your "basic electronics" knowledge
has been demonstrated to back that up. My question is
where? It certainly hasn't been shown on any rec.radio.
amateur newsgroup...

>I had an "Elmer" (a YL, actually) for a while,
>but she provided mainly motivation and encouragement.

Of course you did. Sigh...readers have had a periodic
revelation of Roll's personal sexual fastasies for years.

>My point is that new hams these days aren't getting anything like the true
>Ham Radio experience, mainly because they're so used to instant access
>to technology that they take it for granted.

Define "true Ham Radio experience." [I'm pessimistic enough
to believe that Roll's definition is going to be that Roll's
personal experience is going to be the model for that...]

"Instant access to technology:" Personal computers in the
home faster and more powerful than any mainframe computer
of 1972; international telephone and television and facsimile
communications has been around for a quarter century;
personal portable cellular telephones that have exploded in
quantity in just ten years so that one in three Americans is
now a cell phone subscriber; portable CD players that let
anyone take hours of favorite music with them anywhere,
on the market for less than $100; evil legal unlicensed two-
way radios in the millions on highways and homes (called
"CB") purchaseable in department stores; cordless telephone
sets let anyone use the telephone system for hours from
anywhere in the house or yard, no long cords needed; autos
with keyless secure RF entry locks; remote control garage
door openers, secure and in-use for the last three decades;
a myriad of various electronics on the consumer market,
everything from wireless remote temperature gauges to TV
security cameras (wired or wireless) to digital personal
thermometers and blood sugar measuring instruments.

Thirtysomething US citizens have grown up always having
high technology devices all around them for as long as
they can remember. Why should they go retro-1930s
with one-tube regeneratives and rock-bound two-tube
transmitters?

>They don't think there is any
>need to learn how it got there in the first place. I guess I understand
>where they're coming from, but I don't' agree that they should be allowed
>to get away with creating that new paradigm in the ARS.

Hell no...you da Boss and "your generation" rules! :-)

>I think that older,
>"pre-Restructuring" hams, whenever approached to "Elmer" a newcomer
>ham, should practice "Tough Love." If they want to know how to do
>something, throw the book at them. Literally. Make them read, study,
>learn. If they encounter a term they don't understand, then throw
>*another* book at them. Of course, I don't actually advocate making
>missiles out of your books -- just tell them what's available and where
>to buy it.

Didn't you forget close-order drill and falling out for reveille in
the uniform of the day, Mr. Dill Sergeant?

>I've said it before, and I'll say it again -- if it were in my power, I'd
>do away with Restructuring and retroactively cancel all licenses issued
>after April 15, 2000. Then I would re-institute the previous licensing
>requirements and go on from there.

Yes, dammit, make everyone do just as the Dill Sergeant
had to do!

>We had a great set of licensing
>requirements, and our system wasn't "broken" -- but those who
>wanted to get ham radio privileges were. They were broken by having
>been brought up in a society with instant answers and instant
>gratification in almost any conceivable endeavor.

Oooooo! Getting angry and frustrated? Hmmm...I would too if
I'd bragged in public all about the terrific grades in Human
Resources undergraduate study and wound up as a bus driver
instead of in a personnel department.

>And when they
>encounter someone like myself, who was brought into ham radio
>under a more challenging and comprehensive set of licensing
>requirements, they accuse us of being backward in our thinking if
>we don't take the politically-correct stance toward the changes that
>have been made in recent years.

Poor baby...no one honoring or respecting your mighty and
triumphal accomplishments in amateur radio? Tsk, tsk.

Please try to remember that the amateur "service" uniform of the 1930s has changed style. Several times. That hair shirt is no longer the uniform of the day.

Harf, harf, and a Merry Christmas in amateur fantasyland.

4041. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 20:25:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E037A6D.8085D71@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58282
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Trent Creekmore wrote:
>
> Okay you can stay home and learn nothing like a sheep and allow they US
> government rape you of your right.
>
> Happy beer drinking and watsign away

Oh stop it! My sides are hurting from laughing. I'll bet that when you
get
a haircut, the barber just asks if you'd like the lunatic fringe
trimmed.

Dave K8MN

4042. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 20:42:01 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E037E34.47030E19@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58283
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Trent Creekmore wrote:

> Grade school reading? If you cannot even understand its standard english
> language you need not be here.
>
> More to coem on taxes later

It just gets better and better!

You're certainly amusing the sheep.

Dave K8MN

4043. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 20:43:16 UTC
From: Trent Creekmore <tcreek@gt.rr.com>
Message-ID: <EhLM9.21519$KW2.799988@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 58275
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"> :
>
> Centralized coordination sounds like an excellent arrangement, which is
what
> we have here. But some whacko "patriot" dufus's here are suggesting it
> should be decentralized, down to the county level.
>
> dit dit
>
> Hans, K0HB
>
>
Hmmm..almost sounds like CB radio that has gone unlicensed and regulation
not enforced. There has been no breakdown of our society because of it.

4044. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 21:08:21 UTC
From: K�HB <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <9FLM9.2919$_V5.264619@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58284
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"Trent Creekmore" <tcreek@gt.rr.com> wrote

: Okay you can stay home and learn nothing like a sheep and allow they US
: government rape you of your right.
:
: Happy beer drinking and watsign away

Trent, your saving grace is your vastly entertaining way of farkling up the
English language.

dit dit

Hans, K0HB

4045. Re: Ward's Editorial in Jan03 QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 21:54:21 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3E03918D.2DBAFAB7@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 58285

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> In article <f45722ac.0212190453.54be3437@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >Dick, Larry, Bruce, Kelly, please read the QST editorial during your
> >holiday break. Make a resolution. Merry Christmas, Happy New Year.
> >Brian/N0iMD
>
> Brian:
>
> I've read Silver's editorial. Unfortunately, to me it seemed to have been
> plagiarized from many other editorials I've seen which had the same
> basic message. Well, N0AX got this two columns in QST, but I've not
> been moved toward any "resolution."
>
> Without quoting directly, he basically states that interest in electronics
> is no longer what drives people to become ham radio operators. I, OTOH,
> think that it is necessary for hams to have a basic interest in electronics
> at the nuts-and-bolts (or transistors and IC's) level in order for our hobby
> to serve one of it's primary purposes -- to be an entry-level hands-on
> learning experience for future electronics experts who will ultimately
> advance the technology upon which the world has come to depend. We
> also need to serve the purpose of being an alternative, backup emergency
> communications service -- and this means that we need to have retained
> and mastered proven communications skills which can keep people in
> contact with each other over various distances under the most extreme
> conditions. And, yes, this means learning, mastering, and using the
> Morse/CW mode at a useful proficiency level!
>

This was a valid argument back 50 years ago during the vacuum tube
era. When equipment was somewhat unreliable. And CW rigs were
more reliable because of less tubes needed vs voice modes. But today's rigs
are such that the voice portions are on one or a few ICs and no more
prone to fail than the rest of the rig. And voice is essentially universal,
you can hand the mic to say a doctor so he can give medical advice to
aid a distant victim without the code/decode delays. Also faster to
talk. As for health and welfare traffic, the various digital packet modes
handle that quickly and without tying up people needed for other stuff.

>
>
>
> My point is that new hams these days aren't getting anything like the true

> Ham Radio experience, mainly because they're so used to instant access
> to technology that they take it for granted. They don't think there is any
> need to learn how it got there in the first place. I guess I understand
> where they're coming from, but I don't' agree that they should be allowed
> to get away with creating that new paradigm in the ARS. I think that older,
> "pre-Restructuring" hams, whenever approached to "Elmer" a newcomer
> ham, should practice "Tough Love." If they want to know how to do
> something, throw the book at them. Literally. Make them read, study,
> learn. If they encounter a term they don't understand, then throw
> *another* book at them. Of course, I don't actually advocate making
> missiles out of your books -- just tell them what's available and where
> to buy it.

Most likely they'll just abandon ham radio and find something else to
do. Internet or CB....

>
>
> I've said it before, and I'll say it again -- if it were in my power, I'd
> do away with Restructuring and retroactively cancel all licenses issued
> after April 15, 2000. Then I would re-institute the previous licensing
> requirements and go on from there. We had a great set of licensing
> requirements, and our system wasn't "broken" -- but those who
> wanted to get ham radio privileges were.

All they did was reduce the code speed required. The written tests
stayed the same as before. Oh, they may have changed the number
of questions for the extra test (I took the advanced and then the old
extra writtens, 70 questions IIRC total. Now about 50) but the same
material is tested. Reducing the number of questions doesn't necessarily
make it easier. How many "hobbies" require government certifications
anyway? Model railroading doesn't require licensing, nor does
computer enthusiasts.

4046. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 22:25:34 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <au05cu$1te$0@208.207.71.145>
Source record: 58286
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Dave Heil wrote:

> Trent Creekmore wrote:
>
> > Grade school reading? If you cannot even understand its standard english
> > language you need not be here.
> >
> > More to coem on taxes later
>
> It just gets better and better!
>
> You're certainly amusing the sheep.

You suppose he regards himself as a wolf?

4047. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 22:39:23 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <au066r$6bp$0@208.207.71.145>
Source record: 58287
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

So you studied and studied........and learned nothing useful. Next!

Trent Creekmore wrote:

> I have studied and learned.
>
> I suggest you do the same and stop being a mindless sheep
>
> >
> > I'd reccomend that you just study *some*.
> >

4048. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 22:42:01 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <au06bp$6bp$1@208.207.71.145>
Source record: 58288
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Brian wrote:

> Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<atssok$90a$1@208.206.142.111>...
> > Brian wrote:
> >
> > > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<atrl1arai0@208.207.71.133>...
> > > > Brian wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<atqf5f$2gp$0@208.206.142.88>...
> > > > > > It'll never fly. The answerer was just another bureaucrat who really didn't
> > > > > > know what it was they were putting out.
> > > > > > Federal courts long ago ruled that since radio has the capacity to cross state
> > > > > > lines with no regard for jurisdictions it will be regulated on the Federal
> > > > > > level. A single letter from any functionary won't change that.
> > > > >
> > > > > It's a good thing that automobiles cannot cross state lines as the
> > > > > states would have to give up licensing authority to the Feds.
> > > >
> > > > Why am I not surprised to find that you don't know the difference?
> > >
> > > The difference between what? Try finishing a thought once in a while.
> >
> > Why don't you try starting one occasionally? Try the difference between RF which goes
every
> > which way with no regard to where it is, and vehicles which don't.
> > Unless you happen to be behind the wheel, maybe?
>
> I guess Mr. Yagi Uda was wasting his time?
>
> Hey everyone, Dick says we cannot harness the direction of RF!

Merry Christmas, you dolt. What Yagi did was take from one direction and
simply add to the other. So then maybe the 'other' becomes strong enough
to cross the state line the other way. or maybe some mobile who
NEVER goes close to a state line suddenly finds it necessary to do so.
The potential for violation is obviously far beyond your comprehension,
which fact we clearly understand. But for your enlightenment that
is why the FCC alone has jurisdiction over RF radiators.
The day when the 'deregulators' in congress change that is the day they
introduce total chaos into the RF spectrum. I think enough of them
already know that.
And stranger things HAVE happened.

4049. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 22:51:05 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0212201451.7317182e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58289

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:<atv9qi314v2@enews2.newsguy.com>...
> This is similar, but different to the other post, but what are your
> equipment goals for 2003? Are you going to purchase any new gear of any
> kind related to amateur radio or radio in general?

1. Ten-Tec/Vibroplex Special Edition Iampic Paddle (Already ordered.)

2. Ten-Tec Jupiter (Still beggin' my XYL's permission.)

> Are you planning on
> constructing any new gear?

1. Wilderness 30m SST

2. Elecraft K2/100 w/all the trimmin's

3. 80m Windom

> Happy Holidays!

Same to you, OM. :-)

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

4050. Re: Goals for 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 22:58:23 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0212201458.605cbb94@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58290

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:<atv9qh214v2@enews2.newsguy.com>...
> Since the new year is approaching, and those thoughts of what we all might
> achieve usually are centered around that, what are your goals for the 2003?
> Apply this in general or specifically to amateur radio if you wish.
>
> Happy Holidays!

Heck, I'm still happily working on 2002's goals. I would like to build
an Elecraft K2/100 though. So much so that I've already downloaded the
entire manual along with the errata sheets to study and have begun to
assemble all the required tools. The Elecraft reflector is also a
great way to learn from other's experiences and perhaps avoid a
misstep or two. (Thanks Jim N2EY.)

4051. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-20 23:04:08 UTC
From: "Paul W. Schleck" <pschleck@novia.net>
Message-ID: <3e03a19c$0$307$45beb828@newscene.com>
Source record: 58291
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

In <orGM9.26006$6H6.731395@twister.austin.rr.com> "Trent Creekmore" <tcreek@gt.rr.com> writes:

>>
>> Please, go right ahead. I (and others) eagerly await your detailed
>> legal analysis. Be sure to quote verifiable legal opinion and
>> precedent, or at least cases that were dismissed before a precedent was
>> set.

>This is radio forums, not tax.

It's a little late to start claiming that a debate is off-topic after you've engaged in it. I'll admit that I brought up the subject of tax law. Tenth Ammendment (and other Constitutional) arguments against the existence of the FCC made by "Patriot," et al, apply (or fail to apply) to the IRS as well. Both the IRS and the FCC are administrative agencies of the federal government, created and funded by Congress, who take general laws passed by Congress and develop detailed regulations, then enforce them, all via administrative law proceedings. The "Frivolous Tax Arguments" document ranks up there with the FCC fact sheets about Madalyn Murray O'Hair:

http://www.fcc.gov/mb/audio/decdoc/letter/1975--08--13--religious.html

and the "modem tax:"

http://www.fcc.gov/Bureaus/Common_Carrier/Factsheets/ispfact.html

as entertaining reading.

Since you've engaged the topic and attempted to debate it (rather than stating up front that it's off topic), it's a bit suspicious to back out of the debate now with the "off-topic" argument. Especially now that it's come down to a request that you actually back up your position with more evidence than hand-waving, particularly if you assert that your position is so obviously right.

>i was giving an example. If you do want a
>detailed aynalisys then I will happy to give one, but it will take time.

Go ahead and take all the time you need. I've been on Usenet for over 11 years. Let me know when you're done, and I'll read it and rebut it. I found the evidence for my position quite easily at irs.gov. Surely if your position is so well-established and obvious, you can easily find respectable opinions on the subject of the IRS and tax law that support *your* position.

>>
>> "I am sure there are" is quite different from "Here's who they are."
>> Handwaving arguments without supporting evidence are fairly worthless.
>> As I noted above, please be sure to cite legal opinion and precedent in
>> your analysis. The IRS document enumerates cases in which they have
>> won. Please give examples where they have lost (or dropped the case)
>> based on the arguments that the IRS characterizes as "frivolous" in its
>> document above.

>As for dropped cases it is going to be more difficult to find. These type of
>cases are dropped for reasons. They will not be recorded in a published
>record such as case law. In order to find these cases one would have to go
>through every single court document in every court house. I have the time
>nor resources just to satisfy a few people who don't care to study for
>themselves.

I guess you meant to write "I have *NEITHER* the time nor resources..."

You remind me of another person who used to participate on
rec.radio.amateur.policy. I don't want to mention his name because,
like Clive Barker's "Candyman," mentioning his name too often might make
him reappear. He would often make pompous pronouncements about how he
was right, the FCC was wrong, and it was up to his opposition in any
debate to find the evidence that backs up his position, because he
didn't have the time to suffer fools by doing so himself.

>> Also, please clarify one point so that we are clear on this. Do you
>> feel that the IRS document above is a falsehood in its entirety, or that
>> there is some truthful elements in it, and some untruthful elements?
>> Which, specifically, are truthful, and which are untruthful?

>You will notice the title of the document. They only describe "frivilous"
>claims.
>I am sure none of it is false

I'm glad you said that, because if you had said that the document was
untruthful in its entirety, I could ask you whether or not you would
recommend that someone seek a tax deduction as "reparations for slavery"
(see page 25), something that clearly does not exist in any law or
regulation.

>, but it is portrayed one-sided to favor the
>IRS.
>The last thing they want to do is be giving people legal advie on how to
>make valid claims and making the IRS defunct.

So where are the published documents by non-IRS groups that give people
legal advice on "how to make valid claims and making the IRS defunct?"
Where are the case histories of people who have succeeded in these
claims? You assert that such cases are obscure, and thus very hard to
find. I find it hard to believe that anyone who succeeded in avoiding
paying federal income tax wouldn't shout about it to the world, or at
least have people lining up to pay for information about how to do the
same for themselves.

>>
>> Do you feel that your position is strong enough that you yourself could
>> avoid paying federal income taxes, using any of the tax arguments that
>> the IRS characterizes as "frivolous?" Have you actually done so? Do
>> you feel that you would have a good chance of the IRS backing down and
>> letting you go if you used some of these arguments as justification for
>> not paying your federal income taxes?
>>

>I am sure I could make a valid claim to avoid paying taxes. If you look over
>the frivilous claims, most of those people are the ones who are "kooks."

>Just off the top of my head i can come up with one which could be a valid
>claim to at least hold off paying taxs for a while. It is in the
>Constitution:

>as you know, the 16th Amendment allows for collection of taxes. Just because
>that amendment is in there does not cancel other rights guaranteed.
>The 5th Amendment in part states:

> "....nor be deprived of life, liberty, or property, without due process of
>law."

Did you actually read the IRS document?:

http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-utl/friv_tax.pdf

It specifically addresses the Fifth Amendment argument (starting on page
16), and dismisses it as frivolous. It cites about a half-dozen court
cases, with at least one case where the claim was not only dismissed, a
$4,000 penalty was assessed against the claimant for wasting the court's
time with such a frivolous argument.

Let me make this easier for you, and save some time in this debate (as
well as give you the opportunity to prune out claims that even you admit
are made by "kooks"). You assert that a Fifth Amendment protection
exists against having to pay federal income tax. Please take the IRS
document and "pick it apart" over this issue only, as this is the one
issue you wish to contend. Better yet, why not make yourself a test
case by refusing to pay taxes based on this argument, and let us know
how you fare in the courts? If the case is decided in your favor, or
even if the government drops the case against you, that would go a long
way towards supporting your position.

--
Paul W. Schleck, K3FU
pschleck@novia.net
http://www.novia.net/~pschleck/
Finger pschleck@novia.net for PGP Public Key

4052. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 00:05:32 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7fnrie.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58292

On Mon, 16 Dec 2002 16:12:37 -0500, Wheeler wrote:

> The type of operation I observed was a low power (50 watt)
> FM broadcasting station (one-way transmissions). The guy was
>operating on what he said was an unused frequency in the commercial
> FM broadcasting band.

We have a name for them. It's called "pirate". We have another
name for them - it's called "criminal".

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4053. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 00:05:33 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7foqlf.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58293

On 17 Dec 2002 06:34:47 -0800, Brian Kelly wrote:

>Right. I dunno if it can be called a "service" or a "sub-service" or
>what but from what I've read the FCC intent for the authorization of
>these stations involved, for one, to provide low-power broadcasts for

[snip]

The intent for the establishment of the LPFM service was to avoid
Judge Claudia Wilken (Federal District of Northern California)
ruling in favor of My Favorite Pirate, better known as Stephen
Dunifer (Free Radio Berkeley) whose major defense in operating a
pirate radio station was that there was no class of FM station that
his operation could meet (he neglected to tell the Judge that he
wouldn't have applied for the license had there been one).

The Good Judge wanted to know why there wasn't, and we did a lot of
wheel-spinning to get the program under way to avoid her dumping the
case on that point. Even then, she sat on the decision for more
than two years, but finally did rule in our favor.

>urban ethnic groups in their ghettos which the "majors" do not serve.
>"Gettos" taken in it's historic connotation, a concentration of
>immigrants with English as their second language. If at all.

These other so-called "goals" are strictly frosting on the cake.

> Dunno about a county getting into the act, that sounds very fishy.

Very.....

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4054. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 00:05:34 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7fpy5i.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58294

On Wed, 18 Dec 2002 20:52:42 -0600, W5TIT wrote:

>Jeeze, Dickie...go to the FCC website and grab a little education... No
>one's gonna grab anyone or straighten anyone out. I'd be willing to be this
>is a station operating under the LPFM rules.

LPFMs still need an FCC license.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4055. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 00:05:34 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7fpfgh.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58295

On 18 Dec 2002 18:35:27 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:

> It'll never fly. The answerer was just another bureaucrat who really didn't
>know what it was they were putting out.

My 1997 FCC Telephone Directory does not list a Martha E. Conti nor a
position such as appears in the "letter".

We've seen such "creative writing" all over the place for years.

> Federal courts long ago ruled that since radio has the capacity to
> cross state lines with no regard for jurisdictions it will be
> regulated on the Federal level. A single letter from any functionary
> won't change that.

Section 301 of the Comm Act. which gives the FCC authority to
regulate radio communication, specifically INCLUDES intra-state
transmissions.

End of case.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4056. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 00:05:35 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7fq2nj.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58297
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Wed, 18 Dec 2002 21:02:31 -0500, Bill Sohl wrote:

>There is NO presumption that any radio service can be truly
>intrastate only in nature. The courts long ago recognized the interstate
>(as well as inter-country) nature of all radio propogation
>regardless of specific content or intended limitation to intra-state
>only communications. We have long ago seen that nothing
>can guarantee limiting propogation of a radio signal to
>only an intra-state geographic boundary 100% of the time.
>
>Indeed, folks have done amazing distances with
>verrryyy low power at all sorts of different frequencies.
>
>Soooo... without an actual case to the contrary, the court record of
>radio regulation clearly is on the side of FCC jurisdiction of all
>radio station transmissions within the USA.

And The Congress specifically gave the FCC absolute juristdiction
over intra-state radio transmissions. It's there in black and white
in Section 301.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4057. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 00:05:36 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7fri1k.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58296
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Thu, 19 Dec 2002 01:28:56 GMT, Brian Running wrote:

>> Not so, again, because the feds got full jurisdiction years ago.
>
>But that's the point, Bill -- jurisdiction is granted by 47 USC 152, that's
>the jurisdiction statute. And the statute says very specifically that there
>is no jurisdiction over intrastate radio communications. Here is the
>pertinent section:
>
>(b)
>
>Except as provided in sections 223 through 227 of this title, inclusive, and
>section 332 of this title, and subject to the provisions of section 301 of
>this title and subchapter V-A of this chapter, nothing in this chapter shall
>be construed to apply or to give the Commission jurisdiction with respect to
>
>(1)
>
>charges, classifications, practices, services, facilities, or regulations
>for or in connection with intrastate communication service by wire or radio
>of any carrier,

RWAD SECTION 301. It specifically grants jurisdiction over
intra-state radio communication. Sec. 152 is modified by Sec.
301 with the words "subject to the provisions of section 301 of
this title and subchapter V-A of this chapter".
>
>Federal courts cannot override statutes with their decisions, unless they
>find them unconstitutional. I think this is interesting.

They do that every day. It's called "interpretation".

>> Let us know what you find in case law.
>
>If and when I get the time to do it, I'll let you know.

Look at _Betteridge_ in 1942 and _Brown_ in 1980 or so. These
pre-date The Congress amending the Comm Act to specifically grant
the FCC jurisdiction over intra-state radio in 1982. That's the
extent that I'm going to do your research for you.

Where did you get your law degree from?

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4058. Re: Ward's Editorial in Jan03 QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 00:20:18 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021220192018.18716.00000098@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 58298

>Subject: Re: Ward's Editorial in Jan03 QST
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 12/20/02 1:39 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021220143917.06208.00000446@mb-fp.aol.com>

Hey Lennie! Poasting under your "usual" screen name again!

>Where are they, what are they doing? Do
> you know? You are one of those.
>

Where are YOU? Wahta are YOU doing? Do YOU know? YOU could have been one
of us!

>Why haven't you done more? You've had the time

Pot/kettle/black.

>Please do not model others on yourself.

Why not? YOU certainly do, Lennie. Every scurrilous post you make asks
the radio world "why aren't more of you like me"...?

Nothing new...same old "Pot/kettle/Black" Lennie.

At least THIS time in the light of day.

Steve, K4YZ

4059. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 01:23:08 UTC
From: Brian Running <brunning@XXtdsXX.net>
Message-ID: <0oPM9.70581$Hs2.8400751@kent.svc.tds.net>
Source record: 58299
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

> >Federal courts cannot override statutes with their decisions, unless they
> >find them unconstitutional. I think this is interesting.
>
> They do that every day. It's called "interpretation".
>
> >> Let us know what you find in case law.
> >
> >If and when I get the time to do it, I'll let you know.
>
> Look at _Betteridge_ in 1942 and _Brown_ in 1980 or so. These
> pre-date The Congress amending the Comm Act to specifically grant
> the FCC jurisdiction over intra-state radio in 1982. That's the
> extent that I'm going to do your research for you.
>
> Where did you get your law degree from?

I'll assume you're not being sarcastic -- Marquette University Law School, Milwaukee, Wisconsin. I don't claim to have any particular expertise in this area at all, as you can tell. It strikes me as being a possibly interesting legal research project, and that's all. I will look at Bettridge and Brown, thanks for the leads. I can't afford to sit down and do Lexis searches during the work week, I had thought to do a little browsing this weekend.

I get the impression that every passing comment is taken as "loaded" around these newsgroups.

4060. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 01:34:03 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <au0h4bmfe1@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58151
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Better to be funny, entertaining and right rather
than funny, entertaining and wrong.
Cheers
Bill K2UNK

"John Worthington" <JJ@wowwaz.net> wrote in message
news:atv4ue$oq8$0@pita.alt.net...
> My, another one who just can't seem to comprehend
> standard English. But do continue your comical blatherings.
> You are very funny and entertaining.
>
>
> "Bill Sohl" <billsohl@mindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:atu7ga$m06$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> >
> > "John Worthington" <JJ@wowwaz.net> wrote in message
> > news:attjqk$2af$0@pita.alt.net...
> > > Your entire argument is trumped by the proper (and legal)
> > > application of the Tenth Amendment. Perhaps you should take
> > > a high school government class, then come back here and launch
> > > a logical debate.
> > > John Worthington
> >
> > Isn't it amazing, therefore, that you claim such clarity
> > of legal analysis and attribute same to High School government
> classes...yet
> > the 9 justices of the Supreme Court apparently can't?
> >
> > Cheers,
> > Bill K2UNK
> >
> > > "Paul W. Schleck" <pschleck@novia.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3e024bad$0$206$45beb828@newscene.com...
> > > > In <C3rM9.15233$6H6.583858@twister.austin.rr.com> "Trent Creekmore"
> > > <tcreek@gt.rr.com> writes:
> > > >
> > > > >>
> > > > >> *Guffaw* Whew! Pardon me while I wipe the tears of laughter
from
> my
> > > > >> face.
> > > > >> There are a lot of smart boys like you who have done time or paid
> > large

> > > > >> fines (or both) as a result of their attempts at becoming homemade
> > > > >> lawyers.
> > > > >>
> > > > >> Should you decide to test your peculiar theory, I wish you what you
> > > have
> > > > >> coming.
> > > > >>
> > > > >> Dave K8MN
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > >It is people like you that think the people who represent the US
> > > government
> > > > >are gods and always honest and what they say goes.
> > > > >
> > > > No, I think what he is saying is that anyone trying to go against
> > > > well-established legal precedent, and without competent legal advice,
> is
> > > > in for a long, hard road. Seek qualified legal counsel with expertise
> > > > in both the area of law you seek advice, and in the jurisdiction in
> > > > which you reside.
> > > > >
> > > > A classic example of misguided "resistance," and associated kooky
> legal
> > > > theories, is the tax avoidance movement. The IRS actually has a
> > > > detailed FAQ document on so-called "Frivolous Tax Arguments":
> > > > >
> > > > http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-utl/friv_tax.pdf
> > > > >
> > > > According to this document, not only will these frivolous arguments be
> > > > summarily dismissed by the IRS and the U.S Courts, the courts may even
> > > > assess substantial penalties just for wasting their time.
> > > > >
> > > > You are free to "disagree" with the IRS or the FCC, the courts that
> will
> > > > uphold their regulations (through multiple levels of appeal all the
> way
> > > > up the Supreme Court), and the U.S. Marshals that will sieze your
> > > > property and your person to enforce the law. However, that is really
> > > > beyond the scope of judicial review of the legislative and executive
> > > > branches of government. Resistance at that point falls into the realm
> > > > of armed revolution. I'll leave that discussion to the conspiracy
> nuts

> > > > who form "militias" because they see black helicopters wherever they
> go.
> > > >
> > > > >I read about a married couple who became homemade biologist after
> their
> > > son
> > > > >came up with a rare diesease with no cure. They sure did find one.
> > > >
> > > > Non-sequitur.
> > > >
> > > > Law != Medicine
> > > >
> > > > Furthermore, while neither field is perfect, there's much less
> > > > uncertainty in established case law about common legal questions
than
> > > > there is in medical knowledge about rare diseases.
> > > >
> > > > Next?
> > > >
> > > > --
> > > > 73, Paul W. Schleck, K3FU
> > > > pschleck@novia.net
> > > > http://www.novia.net/~pschleck/
> > > > Finger pschleck@novia.net for PGP Public Key
> > > >
> > > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

4061. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 01:36:41 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021220203641.07882.00000221@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 58300

In article <atv9qi314v2@enews2.newsguy.com>, "KC8PMX"
<kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> writes:

>This is similar, but different to the other post, but what are your
>equipment goals for 2003? Are you going to purchase any new gear of any
>kind related to amateur radio or radio in general? Are you planning on
>constructing any new gear?
>
1) Reduce parts inventory to manageable level.

2) Modify homebrew trap dipole system for better SWR on 20 and 15 meters.

2) A few upgrades for my Elecraft K2 (noise blanker, various mod kits)

3) Homebrew 100W class external amplifier of my own design for the K2. Thinking
about a single grid-driven 811. 1000 volts on the plate, zero bias Class B
looks about right..

4) New "Southgate Type 8" homebrew station.

All of the above "if time permits".

73 de Jim, N2EY

4062. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 01:44:18 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <au0h4cmfe2@slb0.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58152
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"Trent Creekmore" <tcreek@gt.rr.com> wrote in message
news:ExxM9.23891$Nz5.606766@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "> And in the above "strawman" it isn't NY, but rather it is ANY
> > state other than Kansas that might be affected. And there is
> > no way to guarantee that even a 5w FM transmitter in the middle
> > of Kansas can be limited to ONLY the state of Kansas.
> > That's not simply common sense, it is also scientific fact.
> >
> > Cheers,
> > Bill K2UNK

> It does not matter if the signal goes into another state.

The FCCwill find that an interesting point of view.

> So I guess you are saying that a 5 watt transmistter in kansas is going to
> affect a 100,000 watt transmitter on the same frequency in Misouri??

I didn't say that at all.

> You better study some more

ROTFLMAO

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

4063. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 01:52:49 UTC
From: Bill Sohl <billsohl@mindspring.com>
Message-ID: <au0hk7$86p$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58153
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"BIAS COMMS" <biascomms@NOSPAMblueyonder.co.uk> wrote in message
news:dXxM9.16996$Rk2.132416624@news-text.cableinet.net...
>
> "K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
> news:poxM9.1811$n97.168183@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
> > Better yet, a 5-watt FM transmitter in the high country around Denver
> might
> > be heard in 3 or 4 states!
>
> With sensible frequency planning, that simply won't happen.

Sensible frequency planning between counties within a state?
That's good for a few laughs.

> Capture Effect
> allows the use of many transmitters on the same frequency if they are
> geographically spread in a sensible way. The "5 Watts in Denver" example
is
> a nonsense - we're talking about broadcasting in the FM broadcast band,
not
> NBFM amateur operation. You're talking about receivers with poor
> sensitivity and selectivity. (You're also talking about listeners who
> refuse to use a proper receiving antenna, but that's a different issue).

Sensible planning...seems that's exactly why it was given exclusively
to the FCC. Can you imagine the lunacy of a county level effort
to manage such coordination.

> > Now are you getting a clue why the Feds (FCC) has pre-empted the
> management
> > of radio station licensing?
>
> The FCC has a poor reputation for broadcast planning - they are, frankly,
> inept. They also have a poor reputation for enforcement of their rules,
> particularly when it's one of the big commercial radio concerns - there
> isn't a single FM station in any major American city that isn't operating
> outside the rules. They are almost invariably overdeviated, carrying
> "unlicenced" additional services, and putting out substantial amounts of
> harmonic and spurious rubbish. Many of the problems have been caused by
> downgrading the engineering jobs (particularly by Clear Channel) to the
> point where janitors actually earn more (and are often better qualified)
> than the "engineers"!

Perhaps you should share those observations with your congressman.

Cheers,
Bill K2UNK

4064. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 02:23:10 UTC
From: Trent Creekmore <tcreek@gt.rr.com>
Message-ID: <igQM9.31560$6H6.813210@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 58155
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

>
> And The Congress specifically gave the FCC absolute juristdiction
> over intra-state radio transmissions. It's there in black and white
> in Section 301.

YOU ARE WRONG

(d) within any State when the effects of such
use extend beyond the borders of said State, or when interference
is caused by such use or operation with the transmission of such
energy, communications, or signals from within said State to any
place beyond its borders, or from any place beyond its borders to
any place within said State, or with the transmission or reception
of such energy, communications, or signals from and/or to places
beyond the borders of said State

(

4065. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 03:10:29 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212201910.72fdbe21@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58156
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:<eFHM9.2429$_V5.230994@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote
>
> :
> : I guess Mr. Yagi Uda was wasting his time?
> :
>
> Pssst..... pssst..... uh, hey Brian..... don't look now, but "Mr.
> Yagi Uda" was two guys..... Professor Hidetsugu Yagi and his assistant,
> Shintaro Uda, at Tohoku Imperial University. They were in all the papers
> back in the 1920's.
>
> dit dit
>
> Hans, K0HB

Psst. Hey Hans, I'm not into reading old crumbly newsprint. So does
that mean we cannot harness the direction of RF? Gosh, RF disobeys
old newsprint because I wasn't alive to read it? Am I God? If I
didn't read it, physics changes? Is that it? didit?

Should I apologize for slavery while I'm at it?

WHY ARE WE WISPERING?

4066. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 03:16:53 UTC
From: Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com>
Message-ID: <au0mf5$ao1$0@208.206.142.113>
Source record: 58157
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Trent Creekmore wrote:

> > >(1)
> > >
> > >charges, classifications, practices, services, facilities, or regulations
> > >for or in connection with intrastate communication service by wire or
> radio
> > >of any carrier,
> >
> > RWAD SECTION 301. It specifically grants jurisdiction over
> > intra-state radio communication. Sec. 152 is modified by Sec.
> > 301 with the words "subject to the provisions of section 301 of
> > this title and subchapter V-A of this chapter".
> > >
> > >Federal courts cannot override statutes with their decisions, unless they
> > >find them unconstitutional. I think this is interesting.
> >
>
> > They do that every day. It's called "interpretation".
>
> There is no interpretation of laws by any court including the U.S. Supreme
> Court. The laws simply mean what the words in them say.
>

Uhhuh. And the Supremes have the very final authority over what they say. If you don't read (or interpret) what they say the same as the Supremes, guess whose opinion it is that carry the day?

> Thus "[a]bsent a clearly expressed legislative intention to the contrary,
> that language must ordinarily be regarded as conclusive." Consumer Product
> Safety Comm'n v GTE Sylvania, Inc., 447 US 102, 108, 64 L Ed 2d 766, 100 S
> Ct. 2051 (1980). (remarks of Sen. Dirksen).
>
> "In a statutory construction case, the beginning point must be the language
> of the statute, and when a statute speaks with clarity to an issue, judicial
> inquiry into the statute's meaning--in all but the most extraordinary
> circumstance--is finished; courts must give effect to the clear meaning of
> statutes as written." Estate of Cowart v. Nicklos Drilling Co., 505 US 120 L
> Ed 2d 379, 112 S Ct. 2589 (1992)
>
> "In deciding a question of statutory construction, we begin of course with
> the language of the statute." Demarest v. Manspeaker, 498 US 184, 112 L Ed
> 2d 608, 111 S Ct. 599, (1991)
>

> "The United States Supreme Court cannot supply what Congress has studiously
> omitted in a statute." FEDERAL TRADE COM. v SIMPLICITY PATTERN CO., 360 US
> 55, p. 55, 475042/56451
>
> "The starting point in any endeavor to construe a Statute is always the
> words of the Statute itself; unless Congress has clearly indicated that its
> intentions are contrary to the words it employed in the Statute, this is the
> ending point of interpretation." Fuller v. United States 615 F. Supp. 1054
> (D.C. Cal 1985) , West's Key 188 quoting Richards v. United States 369 US 1,
> 9, 82 S. Ct. 585, 590, 7 L.Ed. 2d 492 (1962)
>
> Shall I go on???

O please do! The humor is just getting to be really sideslapping, and I'm sure you have more where that came from.

BTW, where did you get YOUR law degree? Post Toasties box?

4067. Re: Steve Robeson ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 03:22:17 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212201922.50f5bd80@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58158

brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0212200948.1e0974d5@posting.google.com>...
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0212181845.3dec14c5@posting.google.com>...
> > kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0212180641.5a7e8043@posting.google.com>...
> > > brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0212180329.55c9be4c@posting.google.com>...
> > > > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021218025636.12126.00000103@mb-df.aol.com>...
> > > > > >Subject: Re: Steve Robeson ?
> > > > > >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> > > > > >Date: 12/17/02 8:42 PM Central Standard Time
> > > > > >Message-id: <f45722ac.0212171842.5a851731@posting.google.com>
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > > >"What is this fellow's obsession with this Lenny?"
> > > > >
> > > > > My "obsession", Braniac,
> > > >
> > > > It's just "Brian."
> > > >
> > > > is that I do not tolerate liars, bullies and
> > > > > fools, all three of which seem to be summed up in the personna of Leonard H
> > > > > Anderson. lennie seems to think it's OK to "attack" me, ergo he's found an
> > > > > opponent who will not allow his ranting to go unchallenged.
> > > >
> > > > Unchallenged, or over the deep end? Can you tell the difference?
> > > >
> > > > > Lennie seems to be determiend to undermine the very same Amateur Radio in
> > > > > which you are licensed by making slurs, denigrations and outright mistruths in
> > > > > order to make it appear as though it is less than what it is. Lennie would
> > > > > have the uninformed think Amateur Radio is nothing more than CB with a code
> > > > > test.
> > > >
> > > > I don't believe that, and I don't see where Len has said that.
> > >
> > > You don't "see" a lotta things, that's why you're known as "Brainiac".
> >
> > You're welcome to back that claim up with a google search, if you know
> > how.
> >
> > It shouldn't take an engineering degree to accomplish, but since
> > you've got one, why waste it?

>
> Brian, I apologize for these remarks. Its not in keeping with my post
> about Ward's QST editorial, nor in keeping with the season.
>
> Merry Christmas, Brian/N0iMD

Awcrap, and I wuz about to beast on ya for this one . .

Have a gooder.

b.

4068. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 03:23:15 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <D8RM9.3525$_V5.314357@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58159
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote

:
: Psst. Hey Hans, I'm not into reading old crumbly newsprint.
:

If you're going to call somebody down, it helps to have your facts in order,
or you just end up looking ignorant. You didn't have your facts in order,
so your post about "Mr. Yagi Uda" was a flop. Just thought you'd like to
know, and I whispered so the other guys wouldn't notice what you'd done.

With all kind wishes,

Hans, K0HB

4069. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 03:27:29 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212201927.36b81417@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58160
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message news:<au06bp$6bp$1@208.207.71.145>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<atssok$90a$1@208.206.142.111>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<atrl1arai0@208.207.71.133>...
> > > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > Dick Carroll <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote in message
news:<atqf5f$2gp$0@208.206.142.88>...
> > > > > > > It'll never fly. The answerer was just another bureaucrat who really didn't
> > > > > > > know what it was they were putting out.
> > > > > > > Federal courts long ago ruled that since radio has the capacity to cross
state
> > > > > > > lines with no regard for jurisdictions it will be regulated on the Federal
> > > > > > > level. A single letter from any functionary won't change that.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It's a good thing that automobiles cannot cross state lines as the
> > > > > > states would have to give up licensing authority to the Feds.
> > > > >
> > > > > Why am I not surprised to find that you don't know the difference?
> > > >
> > > > The difference between what? Try finishing a thought once in a while.
> > >
> > > Why don't you try starting one occasionally? Try the difference between RF which goes
every
> > > which way with no regard to where it is, and vehicles which don't.
> > > Unless you happen to be behind the wheel, maybe?
> >
> > I guess Mr. Yagi Uda was wasting his time?
> >
> > Hey everyone, Dick says we cannot harness the direction of RF!
>
> Merry Christmas, you dolt. What Yagi did was take from one direction and
> simply add to the other. So then maybe the 'other' becomes strong enough
> to cross the state line the other way.

Maybe it isn't. The signal decays with distance. Engineers calculate
that all the time. That's part of the theory with Part 15 devices.
Low amplitude signal, decay with distance. No harm, no foul.

or maybe some mobile who
> NEVER goes close to a state line suddenly finds it necessary to do so.

People find all sorts of unnecessary things necessary. Like CW
testing.

> The potential for violation is obviously far beyond your comprehension,
> which fact we clearly understand.

Prove the damage caused by Part 15 devices.

But for your enlightenment that
> is why the FCC alone has jurisdiction over RF radiators.

Nope. Locals constables may now imprison CBers. It mad all the
papers during your lifetime.

> The day when the 'deregulators' in congress change that is the day they
> introduce total chaos into the RF spectrum. I think enough of them
> already know that.

Who introduced Part 15 devices? Please describe the (total) chaos.

> And stranger things HAVE happened.

Yeh Dick, but those hams on the east coast are trying so hard to to
have a Two Way On Two (twot) QSO with the UK or Spain, but it just
isn't happening. Perhaps your brand of RF won't obey interstate
commerce rules, but it sure is rejecting the international free trade
rules.

If Arkansas doesn't want to hear Missouri RF, they can build a faraday
cage.

Meanwhile, my body becomes a guinea pig for cell phone rf that I'm not
legally allowed to listen to. Who thought that stupid crap up? Your
all knowing deregulators?

4070. Re: Goals for 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 03:30:33 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212201930.3b39b076@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58161

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021220100929.18139.00000273@mb-fc.aol.com>...
> >Since the new year is approaching, and those thoughts of what we all might
> >achieve usually are centered around that, what are your goals for the 2003?
> >Apply this in general or specifically to amateur radio if you wish.
> >
> >Happy Holidays!
> >--
>
> My biggest goal is to get rid of all my CB gear, oh sorry my mistake my Ham
> Radio gear, its really hard to tell the difference anymore.

List it. Maybe someone wants nicotine stained, solder blobbed equipment cheap.

Merry Christmas.

4071. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 03:30:54 UTC
From: Dan Morisseau <dpm3@morisseau.org>
Message-ID: <3E03E0B4.851C485F@morisseau.org>
Source record: 58162
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

John Worthington wrote:

> My good man, your basic problem is you cannot comprehend
> standard English.

YOUR problem, sir; is that YOU do not understand the LAW!

--
Dan Morisseau - N 38ï¿½34'53", W 90ï¿½22'32", 680'
Cardinal Nation: On the sunrise side of Mo-Pac's famous Kirkwood Hill!
"ï¿½Y tu madre tambien!"

4072. Re: Goals for 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 03:32:44 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212201932.643c5d37@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58163

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021220123833.03151.00000503@mb-mq.aol.com>...
> In article <atv9qh214v2@enews2.newsguy.com>, "KC8PMX"
> <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> writes:
>
> >Since the new year is approaching, and those thoughts of what we all might
> >achieve usually are centered around that, what are your goals for the 2003?
> >Apply this in general or specifically to amateur radio if you wish.
> >
> >Happy Holidays!
>
> One goal I want to work on is to finally get my Worked All States award,
> after over 20 years as a ham! To that end, I'm working on improving my
> indoor dipole antenna farm in my third-floor apartment.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Larry, get an ARRL WAS map. Color them in as you get them. Good luck, Brian

4073. Re: Ward's Editorial in Jan03 QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 03:36:33 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212201936.373b3d0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58164

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021220093102.18095.00000325@mb-fc.aol.com>...
> >Brian wrote:
> >>
> >> > Dick, Larry, Bruce, Kelly, please read the QST editorial during your
> >> > holiday break. Make a resolution. Merry Christmas, Happy New Year.
> >> > Brian/N0iMD
> >
> > I just read it, it confirms what I have been saying. Ham Radio is becoming
> > nothing more than CB, with ARRLs approval. My question is an easy one, since CW
> > testing is History, or soon to be, when are we going to get rid of the Stupid
> > Written Test?

It would be better if we got rid of the stupid hams. Just write
"VOID" across your license and mail it back to the FCC.

Merry Christmas.

4074. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 03:42:26 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212201942.2203c930@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58165

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021219220959.06208.00000415@mb-fp.aol.com>...
> Paging Mr. Kane! Paging Mr. Kane!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Phil is no doubt watching every word of this idiotic thrash Larry and
is prolly rolling on the floor laughing his ass off. He's letting us
stew in our own juices. As is usually in line with his exqisitely
droll style. I've learned more nitty-gritty radio law from him in this
NG than I ever bothered with in my previous half century in this game.
RRAP ain't all useless.

w3rv

4075. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 03:50:24 UTC
From: Trent Creekmore <tcreek@gt.rr.com>
Message-ID: <4yRM9.22204$KW2.867291@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 58154
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

> >(1)
> >
> >charges, classifications, practices, services, facilities, or regulations
> >for or in connection with intrastate communication service by wire or
radio
> >of any carrier,
>
> RWAD SECTION 301. It specifically grants jurisdiction over
> intra-state radio communication. Sec. 152 is modified by Sec.
> 301 with the words "subject to the provisions of section 301 of
> this title and subchapter V-A of this chapter".
> >
> >Federal courts cannot override statutes with their decisions, unless they
> >find them unconstitutional. I think this is interesting.
>

> They do that every day. It's called "interpretation".

There is no interpretation of laws by any court including the U.S. Supreme Court. The laws simply mean what the words in them say.

Thus "[a]bsent a clearly expressed legislative intention to the contrary, that language must ordinarily be regarded as conclusive." Consumer Product Safety Comm'n v GTE Sylvania, Inc., 447 US 102, 108, 64 L Ed 2d 766, 100 S Ct. 2051 (1980). (remarks of Sen. Dirksen).

"In a statutory construction case, the beginning point must be the language of the statute, and when a statute speaks with clarity to an issue, judicial inquiry into the statute's meaning--in all but the most extraordinary circumstance--is finished; courts must give effect to the clear meaning of statutes as written." Estate of Cowart v. Nicklos Drilling Co., 505 US 120 L Ed 2d 379, 112 S Ct. 2589 (1992)

"In deciding a question of statutory construction, we begin of course with the language of the statute." Demarest v. Manspeaker, 498 US 184, 112 L Ed 2d 608, 111 S Ct. 599, (1991)

"The United States Supreme Court cannot supply what Congress has studiously omitted in a statute." FEDERAL TRADE COM. v SIMPLICITY PATTERN CO., 360 US 55, p. 55, 475042/56451

"The starting point in any endeavor to construe a Statute is always the words of the Statute itself; unless Congress has clearly indicated that its intentions are contrary to the words it employed in the Statute, this is the ending point of interpretation." Fuller v. United States 615 F. Supp. 1054

(D.C. Cal 1985) , West's Key 188 quoting Richards v. United States 369 US 1, 9, 82 S. Ct. 585, 590, 7 L.Ed. 2d 492 (1962)

Shall I go on???

>
> >> Let us know what you find in case law.
> >
> >If and when I get the time to do it, I'll let you know.
>
> Look at _Betteridge_ in 1942 and _Brown_ in 1980 or so. These
> pre-date The Congress amending the Comm Act to specifically grant
> the FCC jurisdiction over intra-state radio in 1982. That's the
> extent that I'm going to do your research for you.
>
> Where did you get your law degree from?
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

4076. Re: Seasoned greeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 03:52:58 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <tARM9.291554$GR5.96125@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 58166

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:3E0360DE.60309@psu.edu...

> Hops infusion is microbrew. They are serious about the hops. It's very
> bitter. So you need to like hops - a lot!

Me like hops. A LOT more than the wheat!

> Maudite is made in Quebec, and costs horribly down here in PA. The last
> time I went to Canada I got a fair amount of that. It's a very malty
> strong beer, very dark. It isn't anything you would drink for
> refreshment, but more like a beer to have after dinner.

Ah yes; the "beer you can chew". :-o

J.

4077. Re: clueless newbie question.. US nation wide emegency freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 03:55:17 UTC
From: Ranger Matt <rangermatt@triad.rr.om>
Message-ID: <MPG.186db0356b587ae3989680@news-server.triad.rr.com>
Source record: 58169

> >> BTW -- to all you Ham Hero Wannabes out there -- you have a golden
> >> opportunity to provide something like the Clydesdale is talking about
> >simply
> >> by setting up a nationwide monitoring network on .52 simplex -- and
> >> exercising it on a regular basis. Just a thought...
Wasn't there a plan for this a while back call Wilderness Protocol, or
did it go the way of the LiTZ alert? Monitoring 146.520 ten minute prior
to and ten minutes after the hour? I know a lot of places never caught
on, but I've tried to keep following it (Actually got someone one time
who need some help finding a trail)

Matt
KG4WCU

4078. Re: Goals for 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 03:57:30 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <KERM9.412889$WL3.118062@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 58167

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:atv9qh214v2@enews2.newsguy.com...
> Since the new year is approaching, and those thoughts of what we all might
> achieve usually are centered around that, what are your goals for the
2003?
> Apply this in general or specifically to amateur radio if you wish.

For simplicity's (and brevity's) sake, I'll just stick to Amateur Radio
goals, since that list's a lot shorter:

1- to pass the CW test (actually, I feel quite ready to pass it now, but
there are no VEC exams scheduled around here until the first week of 2003);

2- to upgrade to General; and

3- to make a decision on, and actually purchase, an HF rig and antenna (will
it be HF + 6 meters?? Two antennas, or one? Decisions, decisions)

Happy holidays, everyone!!

Jackie KC0ODY

4079. Re: Goals for 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 03:59:55 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <%GRM9.412719$%m4.127516@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 58168

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message news:lsJM9.2623

> Repower my walleye boat.

Hans,

Where do you catch your walleyes? Mille Lacs? I'm a largemouth bass person myself, though I have not fished at all for a few years.

J.

4080. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 04:06:37 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <hNRM9.291669$GR5.96554@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 58170

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:atv9qi314v2@enews2.newsguy.com...
> This is similar, but different to the other post, but what are your
> equipment goals for 2003? Are you going to purchase any new gear of any
> kind related to amateur radio or radio in general? Are you planning on
> constructing any new gear?

I'm planning on buying either an HF rig, or an HF + 6 meter rig. Which one, I'm not sure yet. I'd also like to educate myself on antennas and RF propagation to the point where I could feel confident in building my own antenna, simple though it might be. I'd like to end up with two antennas, actually; a vertical (which I'd probably just buy from a commercial source like Cushcraft or Butternut), and then maybe try to build a dipole of some sort.

Jackie KC0ODY

4081. Re: Ward's Editorial in Jan03 QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 04:09:43 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212202009.745684a9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58171

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021220111735.03151.00000495@mb-mq.aol.com>...
> In article <f45722ac.0212190453.54be3437@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >Dick, Larry, Bruce, Kelly, please read the QST editorial during your
> >holiday break. Make a resolution. Merry Christmas, Happy New Year.
> >Brian/N0iMD
>
> Brian:
>
> I've read Silver's editorial. Unfortunately, to me it seemed to have been
> plagiarized from many other editorials I've seen which had the same
> basic message. Well, N0AX got this two columns in QST, but I've not
> been moved toward any "resolution."

Oh Boy. Here we go.

> Without quoting directly, he basically states that interest in electronics
> is no longer what drives people to become ham radio operators.

He says newcomers who have a technical leaning are "systems" oriented.

I, OTOH,
> think that it is necessary for hams to have a basic interest in electronics
> at the nuts-and-bolts (or transistors and IC's) level in order for our hobby
> to serve one of it's primary purposes -- to be an entry-level hands-on
> learning experience for future electronics experts who will ultimately
> advance the technology upon which the world has come to depend.

He said his son and his son's friends were building computers.

We
> also need to serve the purpose of being an alternative, backup emergency
> communications service -- and this means that we need to have retained
> and mastered proven communications skills which can keep people in
> contact with each other over various distances under the most extreme
> conditions. And, yes, this means learning, mastering, and using the
> Morse/CW mode at a useful proficiency level!

Well, Larry, I'm a member of the American Radio Relay League. That
means I can relay messages. That means I don't have to rely on my
signal to always be the signal that always gets through to the very
end of the circuit. My signal only has to go so far, then the next
guy takes his turn with it. At least that's what cooperating amateurs
do. Are you a cooperating amateur or just an official bulletin

station?

Does that sound like a good plan?

> I strongly believe that basic electronics knowledge and Morse Code are
> two aspects of ham radio which are essential to our mission.

Mission essential? Sounds military. In the service, did the guy that
used the radio have to know how to fix it?

What was the radio fixer called?
What was teh radio user called?

What kind of license does the FCC issue? A user license or a fixer
license?

Each
> requires something that is becoming more difficult to find with each
> passing year: the willingness to make an effort to learn something
> useful. My experience with ham radio newcomers these days is that
> they are more interested in the instant gratification of powerful, globe-
> spanning personal communications than in learning the basics. They
> want to put the cart in front of the horse. Many claim that they'll learn
> CW or try some homebrewing "later" -- but "later" never comes.

Welp, everyone was fawning over Val when he was saying the right
things. I guess you guys are into all that feel good stuff.
Symbolism over substance.

They
> buy their station off-the-shelf, get someone to help them get it working,
> and proceed to -- well, not proceed. "Elmers" now have to help
> newcomers do things that they could easily learn on their own simply
> by making an effort at individual study. The newcomers don't want to
> learn how something works, they want to be shown which button to
> push. And when the button needs to be pushed again, they're stuck
> with a non-functional whatever-it-is until they can get their "Elmer"
> involved again.

Larry, "Elmer" is an idea from long ago. They aren't there anymore,
much like the mythical Extra that cruises the Novice Subbands giving
out CW contacts. In todays language, they would be Urban Legends.

> I'm the kind of ham who learned everything I know by opening books and
> reading what was inside. Sometimes over and over again until it finally
> sunk in. When I encountered something I didn't understand, and there
> wasn't anyone else around to explain it to me, I did some basic research
> and looked up the answer. I had an "Elmer" (a YL, actually) for a while,
> but she provided mainly motivation and encouragement. The knowledge
> I gained on my own. In fact, at that point, as a result of being a non-ham
> electronics hobbyist for years, I already had most of the technical
> knowledge I needed to pass my exams all the way through Extra class;
> I just needed to learn that which was specific to ham radio. I taught

> myself how to solder. I taught myself how to put a PL-259, or a male
> BNC connector, or an "N" connector on a piece of coaxial cable. I taught
> myself the Morse Code -- several times, in fact. I built dozens of kits
> and home-brew projects, starting with a "breadboard" AM receiver which
> won my eighth-grade Science Fair.

Larry, go see what the kids are doing in science fairs these days. I
judged a very YL who studied chicken embryos that went up on a Shuttle
Mission.

Another who wrote basic code to calculate the Southern Oscillation
Index.

> My point is that new hams these days aren't getting anything like the true
> Ham Radio experience, mainly because they're so used to instant access
> to technology that they take it for granted. They don't think there is any
> need to learn how it got there in the first place.

How about a field trip to the science museum. You'll find tesla coils
and tty's there.

I guess I understand
> where they're coming from, but I don't' agree that they should be allowed
> to get away with creating that new paradigm in the ARS. I think that older,
> "pre-Restructuring" hams, whenever approached to "Elmer" a newcomer
> ham, should practice "Tough Love." If they want to know how to do
> something, throw the book at them. Literally. Make them read, study,
> learn. If they encounter a term they don't understand, then throw
> *another* book at them. Of course, I don't actually advocate making
> missiles out of your books -- just tell them what's available and where
> to buy it.

Depends on their age.

> I've said it before, and I'll say it again -- if it were in my power, I'd
> do away with Restructuring and retroactively cancel all licenses issued
> after April 15, 2000. Then I would re-institute the previous licensing
> requirements and go on from there. We had a great set of licensing
> requirements, and our system wasn't "broken" -- but those who
> wanted to get ham radio privileges were. They were broken by having
> been brought up in a society with instant answers and instant
> gratification in almost any conceivable endeavor. And when they
> encounter someone like myself, who was brought into ham radio
> under a more challenging and comprehensive set of licensing
> requirements, they accuse us of being backward in our thinking if
> we don't take the politically-correct stance toward the changes that
> have been made in recent years. That's you, Brian -- in case you
> hadn't noticed!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Larry, I earned my WAS back in the 80's. On 10m. What did I learn?
The state across the river was much harder to get than Alaska or

Hawaii. Hmmm. Why was that? I worked most of them during daylight. Hmmm. Why was that?

The Bellevue ARC was astounded at the log sheets I kept handing to them at field day.

Yep, I'm a "bad" ham. Sumptin fer nuttin. No contributions to the state of the art from me. At least not yet.

Nor from you. Hmmm. What does that make you?

You want tough love? Why haven't you completed WAS? Are you some kind of slacker?

Merry Christmas anyway.

4082. Re: Seasoned greeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 04:17:10 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212202017.4addc2fc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58172

Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<3E036BB5.1090406@psu.edu>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > I plan on making an Imperial Stout on Sunday, from a Rasputin clone
> > recipe in BYO. Wish me luck.
>
> The lovely lady who owns the local homebrew shop talked me into making
> a chocolate porter a while back. Yummy stuff. Tho' the person drinking
> better like chocolate. In addition to the regular porter ingredients,
> you add a whole 8 oz can of chocolate malt extract. (real homebrewing
> stuff-not the grocery store Chocolat malt)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Sounds -real- good. There's a pound of choc malted barley in the
recipe, along with loads of roasted barley and some black patent.
I'll use White Labs WLP007 yeast to keep it dry - I don't like sweet
beers. I keep playing with ProMash, trying to get the OG up over
1.100, but I'm stuck at 1.097.

Have you tried the rec.crafts.brewing newsgroup?

Brian

4083. Re: Goals for 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 04:35:18 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <acSM9.3605$_V5.325629@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58173

"Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote in message
news:%GRM9.412719$%m4.127516@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net...
:
: "K&#65533;HB" <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message news:IsJM9.2623
:
: > Repower my walleye boat.
:
: Hans,
:
: Where do you catch your walleyes? Mille Lacs? I'm a largemouth bass person
: myself, though I have not fished at all for a few years.
:

Primarily on Lake Vermilion, up by BWCA. We park our RV there all summer, and get up there often. Also fish the metro lakes a little, but Vermilion is my "home water". Favorite target is walleye, but there are some spectacular smallmouth and northern on that lake too. Point your browser to http://www.whiteeagleresort.com/. The guy holding the big northern on the left side of the page is me.

73, de Hans, K0HB

4084. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 05:17:42 UTC
From: Trent Creekmore <tcreek@gt.rr.com>
Message-ID: <WPSM9.31947$6H6.844499@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 58174
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

> O please do! The humor is just getting to be really sideslapping, and
I'm sure
> you have more where that came from.
>
> BTW, where did you get YOUR law degree? Post Toasties box?

What a moron. It doesn't take a GED to read english you foolish sheep. Go back to your beer drinking and football game. Also letting the government rape you you of your rights because you did nothing .

4085. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 05:24:32 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <kWSM9.3658$_V5.328565@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58175
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Dick Carroll" <dixie@townsqr.com> wrote

:
: BTW, where did you get YOUR law degree? Post Toasties box?
:

Cut'n'Paste University

4086. Re: Ward's Editorial in Jan03 QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 08:29:18 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <yDVM9.414533$%m4.127486@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 58176

Attributions are not indicated, because I'm addressing individual comments.

> You have consistently railed against those mythical techs who
> were in a (supposed) "entry-level" class for NOT advancing the
> state of the amateur art...not to mention the "shack on a belt"
> demi-pejoratives levelled against same. Tsk, tsk.

What is a neophyte to the world of amateur radio supposed to do then? How can I, or anyone, precognitively advance an art whose inner workings we are not yet familiar with? We learn, then we advance, then we pass it on. Isn't that generally how learning any skill goes? "Shacks on the hip" are a good and reasonably priced way of getting into the VHF/UHF aspects of the hobby; there has got to be a place to start.

Do we garner a modicum of respect from the elder hams because we've showed our willingness to learn enough about amateur radio to pass the entry level exam, or should we hesitate long enough to do so in order to study for not just the entry level (element 2) exam, but ALSO the elements 1, 3 and 4, and then pass them all at one sitting, just to show our devotion to the craft? I'm sure that some have done this, but I certainly couldn't. After all, I work and raise a kid on my own. It's the order of priorities, you know.

Then again... the hams I've talked to on the air are very different from some of those that post to this forum. So far, on the air, I've experienced nothing of the kind of treatment that is being implied here. I have talked with amateurs who hold Advanced, General and Extra licenses, and they are all quite helpful. Of course, I take everything I read here with a grain of NaCL, because this is, after all, a newsgroup. Usenet brings out the blowhard in all of us. Or at least all of us who use our fingers to show the thinking, which then removes all doubt. On BOTH sides of ANY given issue, mind you.

> >I strongly believe that basic electronics knowledge and Morse Code are
> >two aspects of ham radio which are essential to our mission. Each
> >requires something that is becoming more difficult to find with each
> >passing year: the willingness to make an effort to learn something
> >useful.

I feel that I've learned a lot of useful things since studying for the entry level license, and now again on the path to my next upgrade. Code study has been a part of it, but no more so than has the study of RF propagation patterns via different kinds of antennas, or what rigs work best on HF, or the whys and hows of conducting one's self on the HF bands (and I'm not on them yet). Some people will pass the written tests as they are given today, as well as the CW test at 5 WPM, only to find themselves abused by some people on Usenet for riding in on the tails of the lowered expectations of

the FCC for licensure in the hobby. Funny how I've not found this to be the case on the air.

Guess what? It really does not matter what you think of the qualifications of licensure nowadays. A proven 5 WPM CW speed proficiency and the present test pools are what we newbies need to pass for entry into the hobby. Don't like that? Then don't talk to us on the air. Or here, for that matter. In fact, whenever a new person comes into this group and publicly announces their callsign, why don't you look it up on a callsign database to determine if they are a "No Code Tech", and just killfile them to start out? It'd save you a lot of frustration. No risk of potential contamination by rubbing elbows with the great unwashed masses of us inferiors.

> >My experience with ham radio newcomers these days is that
> >they are more interested in the instant gratification of powerful, globe-
> >spanning personal communications than in learning the basics.

With all due respect, that is a load of pure bullpucky. Anyone can get into "powerful, globe-spanning personal communications" just with what you and I are using right now- the Internet. You can download any number of 'instant messenger' type programs for nothing. I feel that if someone wants to accept the greater challenge of learning how to communicate globally without the benefit of an Internet connection (by maybe by only using a length of random wire and a very basic transceiver), then you and every other experienced ham ought not to be judging them via your own jaded standards.

You aren't familiar with the intentions of every single newcomer to the hobby. Unless you have *personally* talked to every single newcomer to the hobby since you've been in it, then do consider YOUR opinions to be but your own personal summation of "ham radio newcomers", and be careful not to base the future prognostication of the entire hobby on your small cross-section of experience.

> That theme has been around worldwide amateur radio since
> they were forced into HF and above in the 1920s. That's 80
> some years of such "instant gratification" and the addiction
> of DXing and collecting pasteboard evidence from far away.

Ahem. I don't see anyone "forcing" anyone into anything in this hobby. Oh, I understand that some people may feel "forced" into passing the CW test (at whatever speed) so that they can get privileges to use HF, but as far as I'm concerned, dollars are *much* more of a factor in getting onto the HF bands successfully than is the code certification.

> >They
> >want to put the cart in front of the horse. Many claim that they'll
learn
> >CW or try some homebrewing "later" -- but "later" never comes. They
> >buy their station off-the-shelf, get someone to help them get it working,
> >and proceed to -- well, not proceed.

This scenario cannot describe all newcomers, because I am a living refutation of your pat contention. I am very interested in (and actively

learning) how to build a radio, and am doing hands-on practice of soldering techniques, as well as studying basic electronic theory apart from AR. And, I thought this hobby had room for a number of people who were interested in it enough to obtain a license and explore the various modes it has to offer, even if that means that they buy a commercial rig that someone else put together.

Problems arise when some experienced hams think that the newcomers SHOULD know this-or-that in order to further the hobby, and the problem lies solely in the fact that "this-or-that" ALWAYS has to do with living up to the judging party's subjective expectations. As long as there are no laws that say that hams MUST get onto certain bands, or learn certain modes of operation, or learn how to homebrew radios or antennas, then lay off already. I don't think you would take kindly to another ham telling you how to enjoy your hobby.

What initially attracted me to this hobby was the fact that there are so many aspects of it to explore. No ONE aspect of this hobby should be used to exemplify standards and hold others to an unreasonable standard of operation if it is not required for the license or an upgrade.

> >"Elmers" now have to help
> >newcomers do things that they could easily learn on their own simply
> >by making an effort at individual study.

Did you ever know how to build an HF rig without help from anyone? Now, everyone could check out a how-to book from the library, but not everyone has the background or experience to intuitively know what it was saying. Do you really advocate solitary study as the best way to learning this hobby? What's wrong with Elmering anyway? I think it's an appealing aspect to this, or really any, hobby. If you are telling us that you learned EVERY single thing you know about amateur radio on your own, and you didn't consult anyone but a book to gain your knowledge, then I am led to think that you either possess an impressive amount of retention of what you read, or you are just posturing like a peacock for the newsgroupies.

> >The newcomers don't want to
> >learn how something works, they want to be shown which button to
> >push. And when the button needs to be pushed again, they're stuck
> >with a non-functional whatever-it-is until they can get their "Elmer"
> >involved again.

That describes me for sure, Larry. Fact is, you don't know me, or most new amateurs. You are prejudiced and sour. I am sorry for whatever experiences came into your life to make you this way.

> >My point is that new hams these days aren't getting anything like the
true
> >Ham Radio experience, mainly because they're so used to instant access
> >to technology that they take it for granted.

What's that? The answer would be (reading between the lines, of course) "my subjective opinion of what the ham radio experience is".

> "Instant access to technology:"

You are beginning to sound like a neo-Luddite.

> Thirtysomething US citizens have grown up always having
> high technology devices all around them for as long as
> they can remember.

Right, but is that our fault? Wow, what did I miss by being born in the 60's? Let's see...geez, I really feel that I missed something by not ever having washed a load of clothes on a washboard. What I would have given to have known what it was like to visit a doctor for a raging infection, only to be given some folk herbal remedy that didn't work because antibiotics hadn't been invented yet? And I never had to crank up the engine to start my Model "T" on a sub-zero morning either. Damn, the character-building experiences that could've been, if only I had been born in Ought-Six.

I've said it many times: these ARE the "good old days" and I consider myself lucky to have been born when I was. Can't help that.

> >They don't think there is any
> >need to learn how it got there in the first place.

News flash: the fact that there may be no NEED for us to learn how it got there in the first place doesn't keep some of us from wanting to know how things work and how things got there, anyhow... surprise!! Some of us newbies may be threatening to breach your hull of prejudice. All hands on deck!!

> >I think that older,
> >"pre-Restructuring" hams, whenever approached to "Elmer" a newcomer
> >ham, should practice "Tough Love." If they want to know how to do
> >something, throw the book at them. Literally.

Heck, yeah. The19th edition ARRL Antenna Manual could really leave a mark if someone threw it upside one's head.

> >I've said it before, and I'll say it again -- if it were in my power, I'd
> >do away with Restructuring and retroactively cancel all licenses issued
> >after April 15, 2000. Then I would re-institute the previous licensing
> >requirements and go on from there.

Thank you, Sergeant Roll. May I call you Sarge? I really appreciate your vote of confidence and am sincerely thankful that it's not in your power to implement your "vision" of Amateur Radio Hobby Heaven.

Merry Christmas. I hope that Santa brings you the gift of perspective.

Bye now.

Jackie

4087. Re: Goals for 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 08:32:20 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <oGVM9.415798$P31.148687@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 58177

> Primarily on Lake Vermilion, up by BWCA. We park our RV there all summer,
> and get up there often. Also fish the metro lakes a little, but Vermilion
> is my "home water". Favorite target is walleye, but there are some
> spectacular smallmouth and northern on that lake too. Point your browser
> to http://www.whiteeagleresort.com/. The guy holding the big northern on
> the left side of the page is me.

I like it! Vermillion's a beauty, for sure... can't say that I ever fished it though. The BWCA is heaven in the summertime, as long as you have the 1000% Deet handy. Also, the stargazing is better there than anywhere else in the state. Can't wait to get up there again next summer.

J.

4088. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 13:23:42 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212210523.7881009f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58178

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:<cuvyxnansvbet.h7fpfgh.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>...
> On 18 Dec 2002 18:35:27 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > It'll never fly. The answerer was just another bureaucrat who really didn't
> >know what it was they were putting out.
>
> My 1997 FCC Telephone Directory does not list a Martha E. Conti nor a
> position such as appears in the "letter".
>
> We've seen such "creative writing" all over the place for years.
>
> > Federal courts long ago ruled that since radio has the capacity to
> > cross state lines with no regard for jurisdictions it will be
> > regulated on the Federal level. A single letter from any functionary
> > won't change that.
>
> Section 301 of the Comm Act. which gives the FCC authority to
> regulate radio communication, specifically INCLUDES intra-state
> transmissions.
>
> End of case.

End of thread. SK. Dit-it.

>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

w3rv

4089. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 14:29:33 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <A93411EE32E289F5.8CD3722687DB1774.84F6F1B923C4294C@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58179

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E02B3CC.C3E6D35D@earthlink.net...
> Trent Creekmore wrote:
> >
> > >
> > > *Guffaw* Whew! Pardon me while I wipe the tears of laughter from my
> > > face.
> > > There are a lot of smart boys like you who have done time or paid
large
> > > fines (or both) as a result of their attempts at becoming homemade
> > > lawyers.
> > >
> > > Should you decide to test your peculiar theory, I wish you what you
have
> > > coming.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > It is people like you that think the people who represent the US
government
> > are gods and always honest and what they say goes.
>
> You must have me mixed up with somone else. People like me are amused
> by people like you. Be sure to wrap yourself in aluminum foil before
> going outdoors.
>
> > I read about a married couple who became homemade biologist after their
son
> > came up with a rare diesease with no cure. They sure did find one.
>
> I don't believe you.
>
> Dave K8MN

You shouldn't think that all-things-not-Dave-thought are untrue. Trent is
probably speaking of the true story from which a movie was inspired, called
Lorenzo's Oil. From http://us.imdb.com/:

Date: 14 October 2002
Summary: Really,really great!

Wow!,what a film.Based on a true story about little boy Lorenzo who gets an
incurable disease called ALG.Parents never gives up to get a cure for the
disease.And a cure there is,in form of an oil.This is an
heartwarming,interesting and very important movie.Great performances all

around.Especially Nick Nolte who did a great job with his italian accent.Definately one of his best performances. Sarandon and Ustinov are also great.Not to mention the little boy who played Lorenzo,fantastic. However this movie is not so well known.For us who have seen it,we all agree this is one outstanding movie,that sticks to your mind for a long time...

It's also not exclusive--there are folks who've pioneered their way past what was told to them by whomever. I've won two cases in court by myself. There's no movie of them, though--there's no movie of a lot of great folks who think outside the box.

But, you live by the book...

Kim W5TIT

4090. Re: Seasoned greeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 14:44:22 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <971CFB6A6D5E6EC9.0E776A4DB6C7EC49.59712CFD11D4F8EF@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58180

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:3E0360DE.60309@psu.edu...
>
>
> Jackie L. wrote:
> > "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>
> >>Speaking of odd beers, I love Franziskaner wheat with a slice
> >>of lemon, Hops Infusion, Unibroue Maudite, and (oddly enough) Genesee
> >>Red.
> >
> >
> > Are those from a local microbrewery?
>
>
> Franziskaner is an import (I think). It's a classic wheat beer with
> suspended yeast, the wheat tang and most budcoormiller drinkers won't
> touch the stuff, since it's cloudy - oh and it has taste too. 8^)
>
> Hops infusion is microbrew. They are serious about the hops. It's very
> bitter. So you need to like hops - a lot!
>
> Maudite is made in Quebec, and costs horribly down here in PA. The last
> time I went to Canada I got a fair amount of that. It's a very malty
> strong beer, very dark. It isn't anything you would drink for
> refreshment, but more like a beer to have after dinner.
>
>
>
> - mike KB3EIA -
>

Only Canadian beer I've ever had was Badoors (sp?) and, rrrrwhewwww, what a head that'll give ya! hiccup

Kim W5TIT

4091. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 14:46:14 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <3AEBA18982725550.FC850F6177CD5D09.C91D399E33FA751A@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58181

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:IiJM9.2598$_V5.245752@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "BIAS COMMS" <biascomms@NOSPAMblueyonder.co.uk> wrote
>
> :
> : No. We have the EBU, which (by and large) does a pretty good job. This
> is
> : an international agency. Each country has their own equivalent of your
> FCC,
> : and these agencies work together through the EBU.
> :
>
> Centralized coordination sounds like an excellent arrangement, which is
what
> we have here. But some whacko "patriot" dufus's here are suggesting it
> should be decentralized, down to the county level.
>
> dit dit
>
> Hans, K0HB
>
>

Frequency coordination (for amateur radio, anyway) is purely political--I'm told. I never have gotten involved with the concepts of it at all for all the politics it sounds like are involved, i.e., it's just one of those things to stay constantly pissed off about--and I don't want to be constantly pissed off.

Kim W5TIT

4092. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 14:58:10 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <A8E4627EE5A7D051.9AFB8FC88D27155B.9FDA420EC941F13F@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58183

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h7fpy5i.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Wed, 18 Dec 2002 20:52:42 -0600, W5TIT wrote:
>
> >Jeeze, Dickie...go to the FCC website and grab a little education... No
> >one's gonna grab anyone or straighten anyone out. I'd be willing to be
this
> >is a station operating under the LPFM rules.
>
> LPFMs still need an FCC license.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

Ah...OK. See, I thought the LPFM was supposed to have been a free-for-all for anyone under certain power limits and under certain categories of operating (educational, etc.). It seems to me that the foundation of the LPFM can be challenged in that way? Maybe that is what these counties are doing...or maybe they don't know/believe they are doing anything wrong.

Devil's Advocate,

Kim W5TIT

4093. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 15:00:48 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <C3F97B1378306403.702CA00FAA5641D8.848D864DAA89783B@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58185

"Brian Running" <brunning@XXtdsXX.net> wrote in message
news:0oPM9.70581$Hs2.8400751@kent.svc.tds.net...
> > >Federal courts cannot override statutes with their decisions, unless
they
> > >find them unconstitutional. I think this is interesting.
> >
> > They do that every day. It's called "interpretation".
> >
> > >> Let us know what you find in case law.
> > >
> > >If and when I get the time to do it, I'll let you know.
> >
> > Look at _Betteridge_ in 1942 and _Brown_ in 1980 or so. These
> > pre-date The Congress amending the Comm Act to specifically grant
> > the FCC jurisdiction over intra-state radio in 1982. That's the
> > extent that I'm going to do your research for you.
> >
> > Where did you get your law degree from?
>
> I'll assume you're not being sarcastic -- Marquette University Law School,
> Milwaukee, Wisconsin. I don't claim to have any particular expertise in
> this area at all, as you can tell. It strikes me as being a possibly
> interesting legal research project, and that's all. I will look at
> Bettridge and Brown, thanks for the leads. I can't afford to sit down and
> do Lexis searches during the work week, I had thought to do a little
> browsing this weekend.
>
> I get the impression that every passing comment is taken as "loaded"
around
> these newsgroups.
>
>

Very astute....<big grin>

Kim W5TIT

4094. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 15:06:19 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212210706.650e63da@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58182
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:<D8RM9.3525$_V5.314357@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote
>
> :
> : Psst. Hey Hans, I'm not into reading old crumbly newsprint.
> :
>
> If you're going to call somebody down, it helps to have your facts in order,
> or you just end up looking ignorant. You didn't have your facts in order,
> so your post about "Mr. Yagi Uda" was a flop. Just thought you'd like to
> know, and I whispered so the other guys wouldn't notice what you'd done.
>
> With all kind wishes,
>
> Hans, K0HB

And so physics is changed forever? RF no longer obeys physical laws?
RF cannot be controlled and always crosses state lines.

You and Dick must agree.

4095. Re: Ward's Editorial in Jan03 QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 15:06:39 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <65AA4636361F6046.CF59B478AD3DE892.F5C8A1AAE5E1451F@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58186

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0212201936.373b3d0@posting.google.com...
> wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021220093102.18095.00000325@mb-fc.aol.com>...
> > >Brian wrote:
> > >>
> > >> > Dick, Larry, Bruce, Kelly, please read the QST editorial during
your
> > >> > holiday break. Make a resolution. Merry Christmas, Happy New
Year.
> > >> > Brian/N0iMD
> > >
> > I just read it, it confirms what I have been saying. Ham Radio is
becoming
> > nothing more than CB, with ARRLs approval. My question is an easy one,
since CW
> > testing is History, or soon to be, when are we going to get rid of the
Stupid
> > Written Test?
>
> It would be better if we got rid of the stupid hams. Just write
> "VOID" across your license and mail it back to the FCC.
>
> Merry Christmas.

Triple ROFLMAO!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! Oh, man. Brian--the best of the year, I
think!

Kim W5TIT

4096. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 15:10:07 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212210710.60188f6d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58184
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"Trent Creekmore" <tcreek@gt.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<qvFM9.25395$6H6.724812@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> > > The difference between what? Try finishing a thought once in a while.
> > >
> > > Why don't you try starting one occasionally? Try the difference between
> RF which goes every
> > > which way with no regard to where it is, and vehicles which don't.
> > > Unless you happen to be behind the wheel, maybe?
> > >
> >
> > Gee, I know when I was in the Air Force I set up some dishes that send out
> > some Microwaves in ONE direction only.

Yep. There was one at the Mogadishu airfield. It linked our
telephone network to the former Embassy. Whenever a C-5 parked in
front of the dish, contrary to Dick's belief, RF did not cross that
boundary.

4097. Re: Goals for 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 15:47:35 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021221104735.07882.00000235@mb-mr.aol.com>
Source record: 58187

In article <b7d1465c.0212201458.605cbb94@posting.google.com>, wa2si@arrl.net (Bert Craig) writes:

>I would like to build
>an Elecraft K2/100 though. So much so that I've already downloaded the
>entire manual along with the errata sheets to study and have begun to
>assemble all the required tools.

You'll also get a Rev. B model, too!

From my perspective, the "ultimate" implementation is to put the 100W amp and tuner in a separate EC2 enclosure. Requires a bit of homebrewing because of unnecessary restrictions forced on law-abiding hams by the violations of cbers and freebanders.

A neat thing about those kits is you can build the basic one and add features as time/money allow.

>The Elecraft reflector is also a
>great way to learn from other's experiences and perhaps avoid a
>misstep or two.

Yep. Also a lot about how the rigs work, and the thinking that went into the design. The PLL system is particularly interesting.

> (Thanks Jim N2EY.)

You're welcome!

73 de Jim, N2EY

4098. Re: Ward's Editorial in Jan03 QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 16:33:35 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <zJ0N9.437$Me7.80097@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58188

"Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote
:
: What is a neophyte to the world of amateur radio supposed to do then? How
: can I, or anyone, precognitively advance an art whose inner workings we
are
: not yet familiar with? We learn, then we advance, then we pass it on.
Isn't
: that generally how learning any skill goes?
:

Damn, finally a cogent voice rises above the din!

Thanks, Jackie. You've represented the newcomers proudly with that post.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

4099. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 16:58:46 UTC
From: John Doty <jpd@w-d.org>
Message-ID: <MrOdnWCUAeL7t5ijXTWc3Q@speakeasy.net>
Source record: 58318
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

In article <YM5M9.2159$qU5.1308280@newssrv26.news.prodigy.com>, "Brian Running" <brunning@xxameritechxx.net> wrote:

> Notwithstanding any court decisions, if your transmissions do not cross
> state lines, then the FCC can't regulate you.

Consider a research grant recipient from Massachusetts at a federal installation in Texas attempting to send radio commands to an instrument package on a balloon in the stratosphere over New Mexico. It seems to me that interference from an unlicensed transmitter in New Mexico is a federal matter, since interstate commerce is certainly involved, even if the receiver and the interfering transmitter are in the same state.

This isn't a hypothetical situation: I was the guy repeatedly pushing the buttons on the uplink encoder...

--
| John Doty "You can't confuse me, that's my job."
| Home: jpd@w-d.org
| Work: jpd@space.mit.edu

4100. Re: Ward's Editorial in Jan03 QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 20:26:16 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021221152616.10367.00000242@mb-cb.aol.com>
Source record: 58189

In article <yDVM9.414533$%m4.127486@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>, "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:

>Attributions are not indicated, because I'm addressing individual comments.

Good format! I'll just add to it... :-)

>> You have consistently railed against those mythical techs who
>> were in a (supposed) "entry-level" class for NOT advancing the
>> state of the amateur art...not to mention the "shack on a belt"
>> demi-pejoratives levelled against same. Tsk, tsk.
>
>What is a neophyte to the world of amateur radio supposed to do then? How
>can I, or anyone, precognitively advance an art whose inner workings we are
>not yet familiar with? We learn, then we advance, then we pass it on. Isn't
>that generally how learning any skill goes? "Shacks on the hip" are a good
>and reasonably priced way of getting into the VHF/UHF aspects of the hobby;
>there has got to be a place to start.

"The place to start" according to the commentary by renowned
1x2s of long tenure in here is to respect, revere, and never (ever)
say anything nasty (however slight) to them. They are Da Boss
In Da Hood. :-)

Other than that, everyone begins at the beginning... :-)

>Do we garner a modicum of respect from the elder hams because we've showed
>our willingness to learn enough about amateur radio to pass the entry level
>exam, or should we hesitate long enough to do so in order to study for not
>just the entry level (element 2) exam, but ALSO the elements 1, 3 and 4, and
>then pass them all at one sitting, just to show our devotion to the craft?
>I'm sure that some have done this, but I certainly couldn't. After all, I
>work and raise a kid on my own. It's the order of priorities, you know.

I think it's a fine thing you are doing and you have priorities
in order. Those same renowned (or was that 'reused'?)
long-tenured 1x2s seem to rate the hobby of amateur radio
as a mythic "career" which takes priority over and above all
other aspects in life...at least from what they write in here.

>Then again... the hams I've talked to on the air are very different from
>some of those that post to this forum. So far, on the air, I've experienced
>nothing of the kind of treatment that is being implied here.

With the advantage (or disadvantage) of a few more years on
this planet than most, I can report that there definitely are
those amateurs who are indeed just like those who try to be

Da Boss in here. That's not an inducement to "join their
service" and march to their commands (and commandments).

>I have talked
>with amateurs who hold Advanced, General and Extra licenses, and they are
>all quite helpful. Of course, I take everything I read here with a grain of
>NaCL, because this is, after all, a newsgroup. Usenet brings out the
>blowhard in all of us.

I've worked (in the alleged evil of professional radio-electronics)
with and for those who had been granted amateur radio licenses.
Those are good people...but they (unlike the ARRL labels) were
not "hams first, professionals last" folks since they had their
priorities in order.

>Or at least all of us who use our fingers to show the
>thinking, which then removes all doubt. On BOTH sides of ANY given issue,
>mind you.

There is only one way, that of the Da Boss. No "sides," no
"issues." Never correct Da Boss for they are always right.
They have turf and own Da Hood through long tenure and the
beloved 1x2 call demanding of respect and admiration and
(in some cases) worship. :-)

>Guess what? It really does not matter what you think of the qualifications
>of licensure nowadays. A proven 5 WPM CW speed proficiency and the present
>test pools are what we newbies need to pass for entry into the hobby. Don't
>like that? Then don't talk to us on the air. Or here, for that matter. In
>fact, whenever a new person comes into this group and publicly announces
>their callsign, why don't you look it up on a callsign database to determine
>if they are a "No Code Tech", and just killfile them to start out? It'd save
>you a lot of frustration. No risk of potential contamination by rubbing
>elbows with the great unwashed masses of us inferiors.

It seems to be a Thing of rank in a "service," a mythical
construct of Brotherhood (a male thing, unfortunately) in
an elite, exclusive Organization. A fraternal order surrogate
with turf and trappings. To some it is no longer a hobby
(they will always argue "it's a service!" with a predominant
challenging exclamation point) but their main preoccupation
and perhaps obsession in their life. Wrong priorities.

Amateur radio remains a Hobby, an avocation, a radio
activity done for personal pleasure and recreation. It
requires licensing as part of the regulation of all radio
to mitigate interference for all due to the physics of EM
radiation the same as for all radio services.

<some snippage>

>> >My experience with ham radio newcomers these days is that
>> >they are more interested in the instant gratification of powerful, globe-

>> >spanning personal communications than in learning the basics.
>
>With all due respect, that is a load of pure bullpucky. Anyone can get into
>"powerful, globe-spanning personal communications" just with what you and I
>are using right now- the Internet. You can download any number of 'instant
>messenger' type programs for nothing.

As I was "reading the mail" here, a telephone call came in from my sister-in-law. She was calling from her cell phone in down-town Tacoma, a thousand miles north of here. A cell phone is a little two-way radio. Earlier this month I've carried on written communications with a UK radio amateur via the Internet which included several images attached to e-mail. We can all see international TV news imagery, hear sound accompanying from anywhere in the world, daily.

The argument trotted out to counter that is that "ham radio is free, not needing all the infrastructure of commercial comms." First of all, an "infrastructure" exists called the FCC whose task it is to regulate all US civil radio (not just amateurs). Second, amateur radio is not free...it costs money to own manufactured equipment or it costs time to make one's own equipment...and it costs a minor amount for electrical power however derived to operate the amateur radio.

>I feel that if someone wants to
>accept the greater challenge of learning how to communicate globally without
>the benefit of an Internet connection (by maybe by only using a length of
>random wire and a very basic transceiver), then you and every other
>experienced ham ought not to be judging them via your own jaded standards.

I don't think the judgemental types have "jaded standards," but are confused as to their priorities and involvement in some mystique of following traditions...in addition to making them appear to be some wondrous wizard of radio worthy of respect and admiration (and worship). They've lost the Fun aspect, the personal pleasure of communicating in trying to twist this Accomplishment into something that it isn't.

In a couple of months from now I'll mark my 50th anniversary of first QSY on HF during military service, done on a 1 KW RTTY transmitter in Tokyo to a receiver in Anchorage. One hour of on-the-job instruction on how-to-do-it-properly including changing the crystals in the exciter and setting the Mark and Space frequencies. I wasn't trained for that in the service...my MOS was microwave radio relay, equipment to arrive in the next year. Meanwhile the station was busy transmitting military comm from Tokyo to elsewhere in Asia and to the continental USA 24/7.

The point is to illustrate that HF communications is well known and has been since before WW2 to the military and commercial world. It isn't some recent breakthrough in any state of the art,

certainly not by radio amateurs. It doesn't require any terrific set of smarts to do that on a smaller scale as an avocation. All it takes is some personal knowledge acquisition and a personal willingness to go ahead and do it. No one, repeat No One, is obligated in amateur radio to obey any tradition or mythical, mysterious "standards of conduct, jargon, morals or ethics," just following established regulations for radio amateurs.

Where Roll and other self-renowned 1x2s fall off the truck is in their terrible insistence that the regulations that they had to meet in their early amateur time MUST remain intact for all time and that regulations MUST revert to that even after being changed. Such insistence is simply trying to set themselves up as some model of amateurism, a god-hood complex of ego and self-delusion. All because they received a license grant in the past and have elevated that certificate as the equivalent of being a Nobel Laureate.

Regulations on US amateur radio are not carved in armor-cased granite or on some mountain in South Dakota. They were enacted by humans and they can be changed by humans.

>> That theme has been around worldwide amateur radio since
>> they were forced into HF and above in the 1920s. That's 80
>> some years of such "instant gratification" and the addiction
>> of DXing and collecting pasteboard evidence from far away.
>
>Ahem. I don't see anyone "forcing" anyone into anything in this hobby. Oh, I
>understand that some people may feel "forced" into passing the CW test (at
>whatever speed) so that they can get privileges to use HF, but as far as I'm
>concerned, dollars are *much* more of a factor in getting onto the HF bands
>successfully than is the code certification.

The terrible insistence to keep the Code Test is a result of many things, predominant being that the PCTAs passed their test and feel oh, so superior because of it. The FCC has said publicly that it did not consider any code test to be worthwhile for its license granting purposes a dozen years ago and again three years ago. WRC-03 is coming up soon and we will all see what becomes of ITU-R Recommendation S25.5.

>This scenario cannot describe all newcomers, because I am a living
>refutation of your pat contention. I am very interested in (and actively
>learning) how to build a radio, and am doing hands-on practice of soldering
>techniques, as well as studying basic electronic theory apart from AR.

Everyone should be able to do what interests them, fascinates them, provides recreation as well as personal discovery. I see nothing wrong with that. Radio and electronics proved so personally fascinating and interesting that I made a career in that field and remain active in learning more, experimenting, and

trying out new things. That is not The Way according to one
self-renowned 1x2 Boss in here who Insists on achieving an
amateur license grant as the Only Way to demonstrate interest.
:-)

>Problems arise when some experienced hams think that the newcomers SHOULD
>know this-or-that in order to further the hobby, and the problem lies solely
>in the fact that "this-or-that" ALWAYS has to do with living up to the
>judging party's subjective expectations. As long as there are no laws that
>say that hams MUST get onto certain bands, or learn certain modes of
>operation, or learn how to homebrew radios or antennas, then lay off
>already. I don't think you would take kindly to another ham telling you how
>to enjoy your hobby.

It's "Da Boss" complex demonstrated. Do as they command or
be forever condemned to a lifetime of personal pejoratives
uttered from their lofty pedestal. Failure to achieve Their
Personal Standards is a "threat and insult" to 680 thousand
other radio amateurs. :-)

>What initially attracted me to this hobby was the fact that there are so
>many aspects of it to explore. No ONE aspect of this hobby should be used to
>exemplify standards and hold others to an unreasonable standard of operation
>if it is not required for the license or an upgrade.

Absolutely!

As I've said, I've personally found radio and electronics to be a
fascinating and interesting field of technology that continues to
amaze me with the increasing inventions and innovations done
by hundreds of thousands of professionals in the world in just
about a century of time.

>> Thirtysomething US citizens have grown up always having
>> high technology devices all around them for as long as
>> they can remember.
>
>Right, but is that our fault? Wow, what did I miss by being born in the
>60's? Let's see...geez, I really feel that I missed something by not ever
>having washed a load of clothes on a washboard.

:-) I've done that. You didn't miss anything.

>What I would have given to
>have known what it was like to visit a doctor for a raging infection, only
>to be given some folk herbal remedy that didn't work because antibiotics
>hadn't been invented yet? And I never had to crank up the engine to start my
>Model "T" on a sub-zero morning either.

Does a Model A count? [not mine, a high-school buddy's back in
the prehistory of the '50s] :-)

>Damn, the character-building
>experiences that could've been, if only I had been born in Ought-Six.

:-)

In a half-century of hands-on experience in radio communications including interplanetary and terrestrial distances, I've never had to know or use or test for morse code skill in any professional work.

However, I am supposed to believe that morsemanship is a necessary skill for amateurs because "it gets through when nothing else will." Of course. That's why all those other radio services either never considered the mode in the first place or have dropped its use altogether. Morsemanship is alive and unwell in amateur radio for one major reason: Da Bosses had to do it (when they were young) and all the (ptui) newcomers better damn well do so, too! Laws have to remain on the books because They are Da Boss.

>Merry Christmas. I hope that Santa brings you the gift of perspective.

As a former pro artist-illustrator, I have to remark that "perspective" has a thing called the vanishing point.
Roll's apparent view has already reached that point. :-)

Happy Holidays.

4101. Re: Goals for 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 21:32:56 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021221163256.18019.00000394@mb-mv.aol.com>
Source record: 58190

>List it. Maybe someone wants nicotine stained, solder blobbed equipment
>cheap.
>
>Merry Christmas.

Sounds like something you would be selling

4102. Re: Seasoned greeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 22:32:37 UTC
From: Muggle <Anon@Anon.com>
Message-ID: <au2q57$s7l$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58191

"W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:971CFB6A6D5E6EC9.0E776A4DB6C7EC49.59712CFD11D4F8EF@lp.airnews.net...
> "Mike Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:3E0360DE.60309@psu.edu...
> >
> >
> > Jackie L. wrote:
> > > "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> >
> > >>Speaking of odd beers, I love Franziskaner wheat with a slice
> > >>of lemon, Hops Infusion, Unibroue Maudite, and (oddly enough) Genesee
> > >>Red.
> > >
> > >
> > > Are those from a local microbrewery?
> >
> >
> > Franziskaner is an import (I think). It's a classic wheat beer with
> > suspended yeast, the wheat tang and most budcoormiller drinkers won't
> > touch the stuff, since it's cloudy - oh and it has taste too. 8^)
> >
> > Hops infusion is microbrew. They are serious about the hops. It's very
> > bitter. So you need to like hops - a lot!
> >
> > Maudite is made in Quebec, and costs horribly down here in PA. The last
> > time I went to Canada I got a fair amount of that. It's a very malty
> > strong beer, very dark. It isn't anything you would drink for
> > refreshment, but more like a beer to have after dinner.
> >
> >
> >
> > - mike KB3EIA -
> >
>
> Only Canadian beer I've ever had was Badoors (sp?) and, rrrrwhewwww, what
a
> head that'll give ya! hiccup
>
> Kim W5TIT

You give head? Never woulda thought so......

4103. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 23:20:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E04F763.9356B5D8@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58192

W5TIT wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3E02B3CC.C3E6D35D@earthlink.net...
> > Trent Creekmore wrote:
> > >
> > > >
> > > > *Guffaw* Whew! Pardon me while I wipe the tears of laughter from my
> > > > face.
> > > > There are a lot of smart boys like you who have done time or paid
> large
> > > > fines (or both) as a result of their attempts at becoming homemade
> > > > lawyers.
> > > >
> > > > Should you decide to test your peculiar theory, I wish you what you
> have
> > > > coming.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > It is people like you that think the people who represent the US
> government
> > > are gods and always honest and what they say goes.
> >
> > You must have me mixed up with somone else. People like me are amused
> > by people like you. Be sure to wrap yourself in aluminum foil before
> > going outdoors.
> >
> > > I read about a married couple who became homemade biologist after their
> son
> > > came up with a rare diesease with no cure. They sure did find one.
> >
> > I don't believe you.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> You shouldn't think that all-things-not-Dave-thought are untrue. Trent is
> probably speaking of the true story from which a movie was inspired, called
> Lorenzo's Oil. From http://us.imdb.com/:

Super. Be sure to tell us about how the parents became biologists.
I suppose the alternative was for them to be sheep--being raped by their
government. Maybe you could tie their ordeal into your definition of
terrorism.

> It's also not exclusive--there are folks who've pioneered their way past
> what was told to them by whomever. I've won two cases in court by myself.
> There's no movie of them, though--there's no movie of a lot of great folks
> who think outside the box.

So you think a movie should be made about you winning two court cases?
You must think yourself one of those "great folks".

> But, you live by the book...

...and you're a ditz. Maybe you and Dash Riprock or whatever his name
is can get a quantity discount on foil.

Dave K8MN

4104. Re: Remember: Most trusted man in America backs K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 23:26:34 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E04F8EA.4C7E50A3@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58193

FT-847 Man wrote:

> For those not aware, Walter Cronkite holds a Novice class license and
> the call sign KB2GSD. Why he chose to record for K1MAN is not clear.

...even to Cronkite.

Dave K8MN

4105. Re: Ward's Editorial in Jan03 QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 23:36:43 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E04FB40.6A8041A6@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58195

Len Over 21 wrote:

> In a couple of months from now I'll mark my 50th anniversary of
> first QSY on HF during military service, done on a 1 KW RTTY
> transmitter in Tokyo to a receiver in Anchorage. One hour of
> on-the-job instruction on how-to-do-it-properly including changing
> the crystals in the exciter and setting the Mark and Space
> frequencies. I wasn't trained for that in the service...my MOS
> was microwave radio relay, equipment to arrive in the next year.
> Meanwhile the station was busy transmitting military comm from
> Tokyo to elsewhere in Asia and to the continental USA 24/7.

http:www.home.earthlink.net/~k8mn/Tokyo_53a.wav

Dave K8MN

4106. Re: Ward's Editorial in Jan03 QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 23:36:52 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021221183652.00222.00000282@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 58194

In article <yDVM9.414533$%m4.127486@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>, "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:

>What is a neophyte to the world of amateur radio supposed to do then? How
>can I, or anyone, precognitively advance an art whose inner workings we are
>not yet familiar with?

Good question!

>We learn, then we advance, then we pass it on. Isn't
>that generally how learning any skill goes?

'zactly. Skill, knowledge, whatever you want to call it.

>"Shacks on the hip" are a good
>and reasonably priced way of getting into the VHF/UHF aspects of the hobby;
>there has got to be a place to start.

They are also useful in all sorts of situations, including public service and emergencies.
>
>Do we garner a modicum of respect from the elder hams because we've showed
>our willingness to learn enough about amateur radio to pass the entry level
>exam,

From most "elder hams", the answer is a resounding YES. Hasn't that been your experience so far, Jackie?

>or should we hesitate long enough to do so in order to study for not
>just the entry level (element 2) exam, but ALSO the elements 1, 3 and 4, and
>then pass them all at one sitting, just to show our devotion to the craft?
>I'm sure that some have done this, but I certainly couldn't.

Which is why there are several license classes and corresponding tests. Permits newcomers to get started with the basics, then progress to more advanced stuff at their own pace. Been that way for decades. Not a bad system, either.

>Then again... the hams I've talked to on the air are very different from
>some of those that post to this forum. So far, on the air, I've experienced
>nothing of the kind of treatment that is being implied here. I have talked
>with amateurs who hold Advanced, General and Extra licenses, and they are
>all quite helpful.

That kinda says it all, doesn't it?

>> >I strongly believe that basic electronics knowledge and Morse Code are
>> >two aspects of ham radio which are essential to our mission. Each
>> >requires something that is becoming more difficult to find with each

>> >passing year: the willingness to make an effort to learn something
>> >useful.
>
>I feel that I've learned a lot of useful things since studying for the entry
>level license, and now again on the path to my next upgrade. Code study has
>been a part of it, but no more so than has the study of RF propagation
>patterns via different kinds of antennas, or what rigs work best on HF, or
>the whys and hows of conducting one's self on the HF bands (and I'm not on
>them yet). Some people will pass the written tests as they are given today,
>as well as the CW test at 5 WPM, only to find themselves abused by some
>people on Usenet for riding in on the tails of the lowered expectations of
>the FCC for licensure in the hobby. Funny how I've not found this to be the
>case on the air.

Also consider how few people actually post here with any regularity, even
though rrap is wide open to anyone with the appropriate access.

>I thought this hobby had room for a number of people who were interested in
>it enough to obtain a license and explore the various modes it has to offer,
>even if that means that they buy a commercial rig that someone else put
>together.

Of course it has room for people like that.
>
>Problems arise when some experienced hams think that the newcomers >SHOULD
>know this-or-that in order to further the hobby, and the problem lies solely
>in the fact that "this-or-that" ALWAYS has to do with living up to the
>judging party's subjective expectations. As long as there are no laws that
>say that hams MUST get onto certain bands, or learn certain modes of
>operation, or learn how to homebrew radios or antennas, then lay off
>already. I don't think you would take kindly to another ham telling you how
>to enjoy your hobby.

Well said! But you'd be amazed how many times what I do as a ham has been the
subject of ridicule and put-downs here. Only here, too.
>
>What initially attracted me to this hobby was the fact that there are so
>many aspects of it to explore. No ONE aspect of this hobby should be used to
>exemplify standards and hold others to an unreasonable standard of operation
>if it is not required for the license or an upgrade.

I would say that there should be a body of "core" knowledge that is required of
all hams as a foundation. Then each ham can go in whatever direction attracts
them.

Of course what's in that "core" will be the source of endless debate...
>
>> >"Elmers" now have to help
>> >newcomers do things that they could easily learn on their own simply
>> >by making an effort at individual study.
>
>Did you ever know how to build an HF rig without help from anyone?

Actually, yes, unless you count the books I read as help.

> Now,
>everyone could check out a how-to book from the library, but not everyone
>has the background or experience to intuitively know what it was saying. Do
>you really advocate solitary study as the best way to learning this hobby?

Nope. In fact, having done it that way myself (including building my first rig), I'd say that solitary study is simply one way out of many.

And even I had "Elmers" in the form of those who wrote the books at a level I could understand. Once I got started and met other hams, I could no longer calim "solitary study", anyway.

And today we have one of the most powerful Elemering tools ever imagined - why shouldn't we use it?

--

The simple fact is that the folks who are attracted to ham radio are, and have always been, mostly those who are interested in radio for its own sake. Whether that interest was technical, operational, or just wanting to talk to somebody via radio. Licenses and tests for them exist to keep order and promote the general structure of the service. All the rest is details.

See you on the air!

73 de Jim, N2EY

4107. Re: Remember: Most trusted man in America backs K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 23:49:36 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v09vh2ni47u352@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 58196

I wonder why he doesn't enlist the help of the Clintons?
;-)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
ps - I just can't wait until everyone jumps on this and starts their own bulletin service on the air. Heck, what's good for the goose ..... can't have it both ways ... either it's good for all or good for none ....

"FT-847 Man" <Lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message news:8376275FF.54683280@taranews.net...
> ===(begin quote)===
> Glen Baxter, K1MAN, has scored a major public relations coup for his
> high frequency bulletin service. Baxter has managed to get the man
> known as the nations most trusted voice in America to record
> identification breaks for his International Amateur Radio Network
> bulletin service. And according to Baxter, famed CBS anchorman Walter
> Cronkite endorses the work of Baxters groups:
>
> "Walter Cronkite, KB2GSD, keeps informed about Amateur Radio events by
> listening to the International Amateur Radio Network and supports
> ARRS, the American Amateur Radio Association, Walter:" Baxter
>
> "You are listening to the International Amateur Radio Network. This
> is K1MAN." Cronkite
>
> For those not aware, Walter Cronkite holds a Novice class license and
> the call sign KB2GSD. Why he chose to record for K1MAN is not clear.
>
> What is apparent is that this is a major public relations victory for
> Glenn Baxter and one that his detractors will have a hard time
> brushing off as trivial. Cronkite has been quoted many times as
> saying he never lends his name or his voice to anything that he does
> not fully support.
> ===(end quote)===
>
> ==== From ARNewsline 1/17/97

4108. Re: Seasoned greeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-21 23:55:00 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021221185500.06300.00000353@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 58197

>You give head? Never woulda thought so......
>
>

That doesnt surprise anyone.

4109. k1man QRMing = new 14.313 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 00:06:44 UTC
From: dirty stankin hams <cqcqfatass@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3e050230@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 58198
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Looks like recent events by k1man have not
helped things that much. In fact it seems
to have taken the channel down Cuckoo's Nest Lane.
[perhaps it was there all along?]
14.275 all afternoon.has been a 3-ring circus.
While Maine is transmitting with peanut-butter in ears,
there were ten stations talking over him all at once. This
was accompanied by various potty-mouth and generally
retarded commentary, broccoli fart noises, ginger ale
gaseous-grade belching, SpongeBob Squarepants .wav's,
some guy playing a "mouth organ" (that's a harmonica
for the uneducated) taped music, a kid making "goo-goo
baby" noises (95% probability "goo-goo baby" tape is transmitted
from the Flushing NY area) some old farts talking about
how to make potato soup, the F-word sent in CW over
and over, and a perennial station down South who's
chastising everyone who's talking over Maine's Broadcast.
You want it, they got it and a everything in between folks, it sounds
like the old 14.313 War all over again. Amazing that
grown men an act like this, sounds like a bunch of 8 year
olds in a sandbox that just found out the stray cats been using it
as their litter box at night! All in all a quite an amusing time on the
ham ray-deeoh. Makes me comfortable in the knowledge that ham radio
is still the best screwball magnet we have going. Looks like
14.313 is baaaaaack my SWL's !! (sound of toilet flushing)

Merry XXX-mas (from Suzie da "kiebassa queen")
Happy Hanukkah bush (you all messhuga!)
Happy Quanzaa (KFC & Wateymelon from Trent Lott to all)

http://www.lolfun.com/flash_1202/got_run_over.cfm

4110. Re: k1man QRMing = new 14.313 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 00:26:12 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v0a1lmku9kj8af@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 58199
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

And another CBer adds his/her comments. Myself, I'm happy with 2 meters and 440 FM although my license allows more. Australia - all rf path - has been no problem. Had a chat with friends in British Columbia today (although this was supported, in part, by internet linking). Who cares? I haven't received qrm in quite some time (like ... um ... 35 years). Life is too short to live with your panties tied up in knots. HF is best experienced with digital modes. The lids haven't gravitated there yet. The repeater? Well, we had a couple of problems. Only two. Neither lasted for more than a couple of minutes (in any case, I didn't suffer - the one guy had to suffer 40 words per minute CW from me, however ;) The other had the rug pulled out from under him. For every frequency with a problem (allowing 3 KHz bandwidth) there are thousands without problems (and that would be HF plus, perhaps, 6 meters - a lot more if you allow those frequencies above 6). If you enjoy listening to problems, go ahead but don't complain. If you don't, QSY. End problem. I think I'll see if Bill, VE7DTM, is back on the air.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"dirty stankin hams" <cqcqfatass@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3e050230@snipnews.snip.net...
> Looks like recent events by k1man have not
> helped things that much. In fact it seems
> to have taken the channel down Cuckoo's Nest Lane.
> [perhaps it was there all along?]
> 14.275 all afternoon.has been a 3-ring circus.
> While Maine is transmitting with peanut-butter in ears,
> there were ten stations talking over him all at once. This
> was accompanied by various potty-mouth and generally
> retarded commentary, broccoli fart noises, ginger ale
> gaseous-grade belching, SpongeBob Squarepants .wav's,
> some guy playing a "mouth organ" (that's a harmonica
> for the uneducated) taped music, a kid making "goo-goo
> baby" noises (95% probability "goo-goo baby" tape is transmitted
> from the Flushing NY area) some old farts talking about
> how to make potato soup, the F-word sent in CW over
> and over, and a perennial station down South who's
> chastising everyone who's talking over Maine's Broadcast.
> You want it, they got it and a everything in between folks, it sounds
> like the old 14.313 War all over again. Amazing that
> grown men an act like this, sounds like a bunch of 8 year
> olds in a sandbox that just found out the stray cats been using it
> as their litter box at night! All in all a quite an amusing time on the

> ham ray-deeoh. Makes me comfortable in the knowledge that ham radio
> is still the best screwball magnet we have going. Looks like
> 14.313 is baaaaaack my SWL's !! (sound of toilet flushing)
>
> Merry XXX-mas (from Suzie da "kiebassa queen")
> Happy Hanukkah bush (you all messhuga!)
> Happy Quanzaa (KFC & Wateymelon from Trent Lott to all)
>
>
> http://www.lolfun.com/flash_1202/got_run_over.cfm
>
>
>
>

4111. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 00:31:19 UTC
From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net
Message-ID: <au314n$188d$1@heap.juniper.net>
Source record: 58301
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Brian Running <brunning@XXtdsXX.net> wrote:
>> Which is exactly why the FCC has the full jurisdiction in radio matters.
>>
>> > but the
>> > burden is on the FCC to prove their jurisdiction, not the other way
>> around.
>>
>> Not so, again, because the feds got full jurisdiction years ago.
>
>But that's the point, Bill -- jurisdiction is granted by 47 USC 152, that's
>the jurisdiction statute. And the statute says very specifically that there
>is no jurisdiction over intrastate radio communications. Here is the
>pertinent section:
>
>(b)
>
>Except as provided in sections 223 through 227 of this title, inclusive, and
>section 332 of this title, and subject to the provisions of section 301 of
>this title and subchapter V-A of this chapter, nothing in this chapter shall
>be construed to apply or to give the Commission jurisdiction with respect to
>
>(1)
>
>charges, classifications, practices, services, facilities, or regulations
>for or in connection with intrastate communication service by wire or radio
>of any carrier,
>
>Federal courts cannot override statutes with their decisions, unless they
>find them unconstitutional. I think this is interesting.

To be clear on what the statues actually say, note the "subject to the
provisions of section 301 of this title" included as an exception.
Section 301, in part, says this:

Sec. 301. License for radio communication or transmission of energy

It is the purpose of this chapter, among other things, to maintain
the control of the United States over all the channels of radio
transmission; and to provide for the use of such channels, but not the
ownership thereof, by persons for limited periods of time, under
licenses granted by Federal authority, and no such license shall be
construed to create any right, beyond the terms, conditions, and periods
of the license. No person shall use or operate any apparatus for the
transmission of energy or communications or signals by radio (a) from

one place in any State, Territory, or possession of the United States
or in the District of Columbia to another place in the same State,
Territory, possession, or District;
[...]
except under and in accordance with this chapter and with a license
in that behalf granted under the provisions of this chapter.

This seems pretty clear. States regulate the intra-state business activities
of carriers which may be radio licensees (along with wireline carriers; the
state PUC usually does this) but only the FCC has the authority to grant
the licenses.

The two instances of "State," in 301(a) quoted above were only added in
1982, so before then the requirement for federal licensing may have relied
on the more ambiguous 301(d) concerning inter-state interference. There
is now no ambiguity at all, however.

Dennis Ferguson

4112. Re: Ward's Editorial in Jan03 QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 00:34:59 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021221193459.29677.00000200@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 58200

>Subject: Re: Ward's Editorial in Jan03 QST
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 12/21/02 2:26 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021221152616.10367.00000242@mb-cb.aol.com>

>"The place to start" according to the commentary by renowned
> 1x2s of long tenure in here is to respect, revere, and never (ever)
> say anything nasty (however slight) to them.

You are once again challenged to produce even ONE quote that supports an assertion of yours...this one in particular, Your Supreme Lyiningness.

>Other than that, everyone begins at the beginning.

That being true, perhaps you'll haul your waning lifeforce down to a VE session and take the test and BEGIN to really enjoy Amatuer Radio rather than spend all this time trying to denigrate it?

>I think it's a fine thing you are doing and you have priorities
> in order. Those same renowned (or was that 'reused'?)
> long-tenured 1x2s seem to rate the hobby of amateur radio
> as a mythic "career" which takes priority over and above all
> other aspects in life...at least from what they write in here.

Another opportunity to prove yourself right and provide a quote from one of those "1x2's" that supports yet another silly assertion of yours...?!?!

>With the advantage (or disadvantage) of a few more years on
> this planet than most,...(SNIP)

And thankfully more behind you than ahead...

>...(UNSNIP)...I can report that there definitely are
> those amateurs who are indeed just like those who try to be
> Da Boss in here. That's not an inducement to "join their
> service" and march to their commands (and commandments).

As opposed to those WITHOUT a license who try to be "Da Boss" in here, Lennie...?!?!

>There is only one way, that of the Da Boss. No "sides," no
> "issues."

WHEW...! ! ! SERIOUS "pot/kettle/black" syndrome, Lennie! You must BATHE in this stuff!

>Never correct Da Boss for they are always right.
> They have turf and own Da Hood through long tenure and the

> beloved 1x2 call demanding of respect and admiration and
> (in some cases) worship.

Or in THIS case NEVER "correct" the "professional radio engineer" because
HE has HIS priorities correct!

>It seems to be a Thing of rank in a "service," a mythical
> construct of Brotherhood (a male thing, unfortunately) in
> an elite, exclusive Organization.

Another liberal-socialist rushing to do the "politically correct" thing
and participate in a little public self-abuse. Getting in touch with the
feminine side, Lennie?

>To some it is no longer a hobby
> (they will always argue "it's a service!" with a predominant
> challenging exclamation point) but their main preoccupation
> and perhaps obsession in their life. Wrong priorities.

Thankfully it's NOT your place, in ANY circumstance, to decide what's the
appropriate "priority" for any other persons life or lifestyle, Lennie.

>Amateur radio remains a Hobby, an avocation, a radio
> activity done for personal pleasure and recreation. It
> requires licensing as part of the regulation of all radio
> to mitigate interference for all due to the physics of EM
> radiation the same as for all radio services

Amateur Radio MAY be "just" a hobby to many. For others it IS a lifestyle
and IS a vocation. That YOU deem it "just" a hobby is your OPINION, NOT a
"fact". It is not for yo9r to decide what "priority" that it should occupy in
thier life.

>The argument trotted out to counter that is that "ham radio is
> free, not needing all the infrastructure of commercial comms."...

Amateur Radio....Cell Phones...Apples and oranges, until the cellphones
don't work, and then Amatuer Radio is a great alternative until the phone
system is restored.

This is a fact, documented since the creation of both the telephone AND
Amateur Radio.

Sorry you disagree.

>I don't think the judgemental types...

Your Putziness, YOU are the posterboy of "judgemental type(s)".

>They've lost the Fun aspect, the
> personal pleasure of communicating in trying to twist this
> Accomplishment into something that it isn't.

Not BEING a licensed Amateur, nor having any investment in it save for a
few dubiously original bylines in a magazine 20 years ago, your basis for

making an INFORMED opinion on this subject is based on...WHAT...?!?!

>In a couple of months from now I'll mark my 50th anniversary of
> first QSY...(SNIP)

Can't even get the "Q" signals correct for Amatuer application. Besides,
"Q" signals are NOT used in MILITARY applications, either...

>The point is to illustrate that HF communications is well known
> and has been since before WW2 to the military and commercial
> world.

Amateur PRACTICE, Lennie...This forum is about AMATEUR RADIO, not 1950's
era military communications nor how you percieve it OUGHT to be from your
alleged profesional career.

>Where Roll and other self-renowned 1x2s fall off the truck is in
> their terrible insistence that the regulations that they had to
> meet in their early amateur time MUST remain intact for all
> time and that regulations MUST revert to that even after being
> changed.

No, Lennie, Larry, myself and others HAVE insisted that others adhere to
PRESENT regulations...Like taking the PRESENT test.

Something YOU said you were going to do two years ago and have yet to do.
Something you LIED about, obviously.

>The terrible insistence to keep the Code Test is a result of
> many things, predominant being that the PCTAs passed their
> test and feel oh, so superior because of it.

Actually it's because the United States is signatory to a treaty that
requires it, and since the United States makes a point of being proper about
it's treaties, I'd prefer that we NOT unilaterally ignore ANY treaty we sign,
whether it's about missles or Ham Radio.

Summation...Another "Amateur Radio is not real radio" rant by a miserable
little old man who has nothing better to do with his life...A miserable old man
who would rather sit on the sidelines cursing the team for not making the goal
ather than get out there an play the game himself.

A loser....

G'nite, Lennie.

4113. Re: Ward's Editorial in Jan03 QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 00:59:39 UTC
From: Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch th&#65533; &#65533;nfl&#65533;pp&#65533;bl&#65533; <Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de>
Message-ID: <%78N9.188$ka5.11955@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58302

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021221193459.29677.00000200@mb-cv.aol.com...

> Amateur Radio MAY be "just" a hobby to many. For others it IS a lifestyle
> and IS a vocation.

K1MAN
W5YI
N0VFP
K4YZ
Masataka Noda
Drew Durrigan
KB9RQZ

Bloody loverly crowd!

BGO

4114. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 01:07:20 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7fvnjm.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58304
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 19 Dec 2002 16:31:52 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:

> So you then believe that each and every state needs a local version
> of the FCC to administer all this? Which would have to coordinate with
> all adjoining states for frequency coordination and non-interference
> issues? Or to decide who is and isn't too close to the borders to use
> "intrstate" radio? When most states are beyond broke and in debt
> already? Are you serious? Why do you think FCC got the job in the
> first place?

I can just see the ITU and its nearly 200 member Administrations
dealing with 50 State ACdministrations...

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4115. Re: DXer Phonetics (Was Have the Wise Ones annointed YOU?)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 01:07:21 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7hjq9p.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58305
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Wed, 18 Dec 2002 11:58:47 -0600, Dan/W4NTI wrote:

>I did that once a few years ago. But I used Bataan and Coregador.

Were you communicating with a DU ? And "Corregador" is a good
Spanish title loosly meaning "small town mayor".

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4116. Re: "one step closer to extinction"

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 01:07:22 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7hltzq.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58308

On Thu, 12 Dec 2002 05:36:32 GMT, K0HB wrote:

>: The International Radio Regs do not provide for amateur calls with
>: more than three letters in the suffix.

>They also don't allow more than 3 characters in the prefix either, but go
>tell that to 3DA0CA and 3DA0AH for example.

IIRC the ITU ran out of two character country prefixes and went to three
character prefixes.

>Do you think anyone will kick the USA out of ITU if W0EX were issued the
>vanity call N0DICK?

In that case, of course not. <ggg>

But seriously, the folks that I knew in the FCC's Treaty Branch (and
their successors) respected the rules and were not about to do such
things on their own. If I could have stood living in Washington
D.C. on a permanent basis (I had lived there when I worked at the
Naval Research Lab in the '50s) I would have gone into that Branch
because liaison with the ITU was what I was experienced in and did
when I was working at the Israeli Ministry of Communications in the
'60s. Instead I went into Field Enforcement and the rest is history.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4117. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 01:07:23 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7hmn2r.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58309

On Tue, 17 Dec 2002 14:18:46 -0600, Dan/W4NTI wrote:

>Go out and find a book called "The Peters Principle" by a Doctor Peters
>(Imagine that!!). It came out several years ago.

It can't be found that way because it's called "The Peter Principle",
not "Peters". The authors are Laurence J. Peter and Raymond Hull.
It came out DECADES ago, but it's still available from Amazon.com.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4118. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 01:07:24 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7fv68l.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58303
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 19 Dec 2002 05:47:21 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:

> And the Tennessee "license" situation will be resolved as well.
>Such actions aren't taken until ALL the background work is done,
>evidence collected and everything is in place. That's all the result
>of lessons learned from the past.

We useed to say "catch 'em with the hand in the cookie jar and bring
the cookie j ar in with the hand still in it". Very few cases get
lost.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4119. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 01:07:26 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7fxe3o.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58306
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 20 Dec 2002 02:18:00 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:

>> Your entire argument is trumped by the proper (and legal)
>> application of the Tenth Amendment.
>
>So whose interpretation and application of the 10th and any other
>amendment do you really believe will trump all others? Yours or the US
>Supreme court's?

Any lawyer doing Federal practice knows that the Tenth Amendment is
dead. Whether s/he admits it or not is another story.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4120. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 01:07:26 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7fwh2n.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58307
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 19 Dec 2002 16:46:10 -0600, Paul W. Schleck wrote:

>A classic example of misguided "resistance," and associated kooky legal
>theories, is the tax avoidance movement. The IRS actually has a
>detailed FAQ document on so-called "Frivolous Tax Arguments":
>
>http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-utl/friv_tax.pdf
>
>According to this document, not only will these frivolous arguments be
>summarily dismissed by the IRS and the U.S Courts, the courts may even
>assess substantial penalties just for wasting their time.

I will never forget the look on the faces of two defendants who
were appearing in a Rule 20 (sentencing) hearing bnefore a case
that I was handling was heard. They had been convicted of failure
to report income and and pay Federal income tax. They were a
middle-aged couple with obvious education and means who decidied
that they were going to use one of the popular schemes to "beat
the IRS". When the Judge stripped them of all their assets and
sentenced them to incarceration, all they could do was to hold
hands and cry silently.

I've handled enough seizure and forfeiture cases to know that the
folks who sing loudly about their rights and the Founding Fathers
don't sing the same song when the handcuffs go "click" or when the
steel door goes "clang".

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4121. Re: Remember: Most trusted man in America backs K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 01:07:50 UTC
From: Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch th&#65533; &#65533;nfl&#65533;pp&#65533;bl&#65533; <Gr&#65533;mw&#65533;tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de>
Message-ID: <Gf8N9.203$ka5.23303@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58310

"FT-847 Man" <Lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
news:8376275FF.54683280@taranews.net...
: ===(begin quote)===
: Glen Baxter, K1MAN, has scored a major public relations coup for his
: high frequency bulletin service. Baxter has managed to get the man
: known as the nations most trusted voice in America to record

Elderly news, llloyyyyyyyyyyyddddddd! At least 5 years old, and Walter has
since sued baxter in court to remove the announcements.

You are several years behind the rest of us.

BGO

4122. Re: Goals for 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 01:25:11 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212211725.4ad445a9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58311

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:<atv9qh214v2@enews2.newsguy.com>...
> Since the new year is approaching, and those thoughts of what we all might
> achieve usually are centered around that, what are your goals for the 2003?
> Apply this in general or specifically to amateur radio if you wish.
>
> Happy Holidays!

Yeah, good idea . .

1. I'm gonna gettum one of them there new FT-897 13-band ultimate
portable xcvrs with Collins CW filters and use it to kickum N2EY's
butt with it cometh Field Day 2003.

2. Now that I bought the thing I really do have to cut the nonsense
and learn how to run this antenna modeler.

3. Also gotta finally get into computer logging . . more geekery . .

4. Maybe I'll even break down and have some QSL cards printed too.

w3rv

4123. Re: Ward's Editorial in Jan03 QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 01:26:49 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021221202649.20988.00000286@mb-fh.aol.com>
Source record: 58312

In article <3E04FB40.6A8041A6@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
writes:

>http:www.home.earthlink.net/~k8mn/Tokyo_53a.wav
>
>Dave K8MN

Da Boss ha' spoken! All hail Da Boss. Pfui.

Merry Christmas, Scrooge. Keep watching for the Ghost
of Christmas Yet To Come. After WRC-03.

...and watch out for typos on web addresses...you have
to "look good" for the "good of the Service!"

4124. Re: Ward's Editorial in Jan03 QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 01:26:49 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021221202649.20988.00000285@mb-fh.aol.com>
Source record: 58313

In article <20021221183652.00222.00000282@mb-mb.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>In article <yDVM9.414533$%m4.127486@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>, "Jackie L."
><buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:
>
>>What is a neophyte to the world of amateur radio supposed to do then? How
>>can I, or anyone, precognitively advance an art whose inner workings we are
>>not yet familiar with?
>
>Good question!

...then why can't you answer it?

Roll's original posting repeated his continual castigation of
newcomers "who were supposed to flood the airwaves and
advance the state of the amateur art through their expertise."
That was an unsupported, bogus supposition...and was
repeated many times by Roll in here, perhaps in hopes that
some would believe it if told often enough.

Try to work within the framework of the subject thread and
flow rather than making null commentary.

>>Do we garner a modicum of respect from the elder hams because we've showed
>>our willingness to learn enough about amateur radio to pass the entry level
>>exam,
>
>From most "elder hams", the answer is a resounding YES. Hasn't that been your
>experience so far, Jackie?

In this newsgroup that is so much Nonsense and the
"respect" has only been extant on the condition of
honor-respect-worship of the "elder hams" (i.e., long-
timers, especially those with 1x2 calls). Those who
quote the PC Credo are "accepted" by the self-
renowned 1x2s, all others "rejected" and usually villified.

>Which is why there are several license classes and corresponding tests.
>Permits
>newcomers to get started with the basics, then progress to more advanced
>stuff
>at their own pace. Been that way for decades. Not a bad system, either.

It may be a "nice system" if amateur radio was a craft,
guild, union, or other pecuniary activity. It isn't a pecuniary
activity. That's why it is called "amateur" to distinguish it

from "professional."

Many classes along with rank-status-privilege has created
the class distinction in the amateur radio hobby...and the
quaint notion that amateurs are somehow "superior" to the
professionals.

>>Then again... the hams I've talked to on the air are very different from
>>some of those that post to this forum. So far, on the air, I've experienced
>>nothing of the kind of treatment that is being implied here. I have talked
>>with amateurs who hold Advanced, General and Extra licenses, and they are
>>all quite helpful.
>
>That kinda says it all, doesn't it?

"That" is the Politically Correct phrasing as espoused by
the "my ham radio is okay as it is" group of status
quo-ists. Morsemanship above all else with the secondary
of "real hams" are those who work DX on HF with CW.

>Also consider how few people actually post here with any regularity, even
>though rrap is wide open to anyone with the appropriate access.

"Posting" is no indicator. There is NO way that Miccolis
can actually know the true number of those accessing
the newsgroup and just reading.

>Well said! But you'd be amazed how many times what I do as a ham has been the
>subject of ridicule and put-downs here. Only here, too.

Poor baby...is "what you do as a ham" consisting of
innumerable postings allegedly "correcting" others'
"mistakes" for having viewpoints opposite to Miccolis'?

>The simple fact is that the folks who are attracted to ham radio are, and
>have
>always been, mostly those who are interested in radio for its own sake.

Absolutely not according to some of Da Bosses in here.
One MUST be granted a license if there is the slightest
amount of "interest" in radio.

>Whether
>that interest was technical, operational, or just wanting to talk to somebody
>via radio. Licenses and tests for them exist to keep order and promote the
>general structure of the service. All the rest is details.

"Keeping order" in US civil radio is the lawful task of the FCC.

A radio operator license in the USA is just a grant from the
FCC giving permission to transmit RF energy according to
regulations stated by the FCC. The FCC does NOT "promote
the general structure of the (radio) service." It just sets down
the regulations.

The "keeping order" part seems to have been usurped by the self-renowned 1x2s into forcing some traditional politcally-correct fraternalism about the "one true way" in amateur radio...oddly enough mirroring the sayings of ARRL for years. It cannot be a hobby, it is some mystical "service" to all.

Good grief, get a grip...amateur radio is basically a HOBBY, not some LOTR fantasy of a "service" in this new millennium. It might have been so in the 1930s...but that vanished long ago.

Just what in the world is all this nonsense about "showing dedication" for amateurism by continually mirroring what was a mythical credo long ago? Who established their "qualifications" as Those Who Would Judge Dedication?

Morsemanship? Pasteboard collections on the walls? Anal-retentive arguments for strict obediance to standards and practices of the 1930s? Long tenure as a licensee? Or All Of The Above because "you know what is 'good' for amateur radio?"

>See you on the air!

Slow-scan or fast-scan ATV? Be careful that your shiny hambadge doesn't bloom the image orthicon...don't show a bad image "for the good of the Service."

Merry Christmas, Ghost of Christmas Past...

4125. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 01:35:46 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212211735.827fe99@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58314

brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0212200551.37d64bf4@posting.google.com>...
> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0212191737.240a83e@posting.google.com>...
>

> > And this "intrasate only" crappola is just that. I can routinely copy
> > low-power FM BC stations in four states from here with a decent
> > consumer-level FM rcvr. I've worked six states on 2m FM with 25 watts
> > and a gain whip.

>
> Lotsa fun. I do my broadcast band "dxing" on AM. I use a portable
> panasonic sw 500-1600khz. I try to give it 15 or 20 minutes each
> evening before my eyes close.

Ayup, I've spent a lotta time DXing the high end of the AM BC band, it
was one of the pastimes which led me into ham radio back when we rode
dinosaurs to get to the FCC office to take the code tests. There are
hams with well over 100 confirmed on 160, the DX is out there in that
freq range but ya really gotta dig for it. Good stuff.

I haven't spent much time DXing the FM band but it's surprising what
ya can hear. I've used my Yamaha stereo rcvr and my Yaesu FRG-9600
rcvr but I've never have had a decent FM antenna & rotator.

>
> Brian

w3rv

4126. Re: Remember: Most trusted man in America backs K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 01:38:08 UTC
From: dafirebottle@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021221203808.18733.00000120@mb-mt.aol.com>
Source record: 58315

<< Subject: Remember: Most trusted man in America backs K1MAN
From: Lloyd@no.spammmmm (FT-847 Man)
Date: Sat, Dec 21, 2002 2:09 PM
Message-id: <8376275FF.54683280@taranews.net>

===(begin quote)===
Glen Baxter, K1MAN, has scored a major public relations coup for his high frequency bulletin service. Baxter has managed to get the man known as the nations most trusted voice in America to record identification breaks for his International Amateur Radio Network bulletin service. And according to Baxter, famed CBS anchorman Walter Cronkite endorses the work of Baxters groups:

"Walter Cronkite, KB2GSD, keeps informed about Amateur Radio events by listening to the International Amateur Radio Network and supports ARRS, the American Amateur Radio Association, Walter:" Baxter

"You are listening to the International Amateur Radio Network. This is K1MAN." Cronkite

For those not aware, Walter Cronkite holds a Novice class license and the call sign KB2GSD. Why he chose to record for K1MAN is not clear.

What is apparent is that this is a major public relations victory for Glenn Baxter and one that his detractors will have a hard time brushing off as trivial. Cronkite has been quoted many times as saying he never lends his name or his voice to anything that he does not fully support.
===(end quote)===

==== From ARNewsline 1/17/97

>>

In this world, four things are certain...

1. Death

2. Taxes

3. lloyd davies is the dumbest asshole in the world.

4. lloyd will never win a spelling bee

Firebottle

4127. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 01:41:16 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021221204116.29415.00000285@mb-ma.aol.com>
Source record: 58316

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.h7hmn2r.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>On Tue, 17 Dec 2002 14:18:46 -0600, Dan/W4NTI wrote:
>
>>Go out and find a book called "The Peters Principle" by a Doctor Peters
>>(Imagine that!!). It came out several years ago.
>
> It can't be found that way because it's called "The Peter Principle",
> not "Peters". The authors are Laurence J. Peter and Raymond Hull.
> It came out DECADES ago, but it's still available from Amazon.com.

Didn't you hear? Amazon.com "went bankrupt" a long time
ago! We were all told that in this very newsgroup... :-)

4128. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 02:17:41 UTC
From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net
Message-ID: <au37c5$1dbs$1@heap.juniper.net>
Source record: 58317
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote:
>On 19 Dec 2002 16:31:52 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:
>
>> So you then believe that each and every state needs a local version
>> of the FCC to administer all this? Which would have to coordinate with
>> all adjoining states for frequency coordination and non-interference
>> issues? Or to decide who is and isn't too close to the borders to use
>> "intrstate" radio? When most states are beyond broke and in debt
>> already? Are you serious? Why do you think FCC got the job in the
>> first place?
>
> I can just see the ITU and its nearly 200 member Administrations
> dealing with 50 State ACdministrations...

They already deal with two Federal administrations, the FCC and
the US government users, via the State Department proxy, often with
unhappy results.

For example, this time the FCC really, really did its best to do
the right thing and get the new 3G mobile phone band (unlike the
cellular and PCS bands) aligned with the rest of the world, but while
no actual use of the band in question could be detected by measurement,
the DoD said the radar (or whatever) equipment they had which could
operate in that band might be interfered with by the mobile phones and
declined to either move their equipment elsewhere or permit the mobile
phones to coexist.

Since most wars are fought outside the country one wonders whether,
when the DoD finds they have a real use for the equipment, they'll be
asking the enemy to please turn their mobile phones off before they
arrive.

Dennis Ferguson

4129. Re: Seasoned greeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 03:07:18 UTC
From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net
Message-ID: <au3a96$1ga1$1@heap.juniper.net>
Source record: 58323

W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote:
>"Mike Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:3E0360DE.60309@psu.edu...
>>
>>
>> Jackie L. wrote:
>> > "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>>
>> >>Speaking of odd beers, I love Franziskaner wheat with a slice
>> >>of lemon, Hops Infusion, Unibroue Maudite, and (oddly enough) Genesee
>> >>Red.
>> >
>> >
>> > Are those from a local microbrewery?
>>
>>
>> Franziskaner is an import (I think). It's a classic wheat beer with
>> suspended yeast, the wheat tang and most budcoormiller drinkers won't
>> touch the stuff, since it's cloudy - oh and it has taste too. 8^)
>>
>> Hops infusion is microbrew. They are serious about the hops. It's very
>> bitter. So you need to like hops - a lot!
>>
>> Maudite is made in Quebec, and costs horribly down here in PA. The last
>> time I went to Canada I got a fair amount of that. It's a very malty
>> strong beer, very dark. It isn't anything you would drink for
>> refreshment, but more like a beer to have after dinner.
>>
>>
>>
>> - mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
>Only Canadian beer I've ever had was Badoors (sp?) and, rrrrwhewwww, what a
>head that'll give ya! hiccup

You may mean Molson Brador. In Canada most people I knew who drank that
didn't do so for pleasure, it was reserved for occasions when you just wanted
to get really drunk.

Dennis Ferguson

4130. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 03:24:21 UTC
From: "J. Keenan" <abckeenan@abczkeenan.org>
Message-ID: <au3b97$9ec$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58319

Here we go again. Whenever a liberal big government type
starts losing a debate, they resort to name calling.
BTW, aside from your childish name calling, you are wrong.

73 de J. Keenan

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h7fnrie.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Mon, 16 Dec 2002 16:12:37 -0500, Wheeler wrote:
>
> > The type of operation I observed was a low power (50 watt)
> > FM broadcasting station (one-way transmissions). The guy was
> >operating on what he said was an unused frequency in the commercial
> > FM broadcasting band.
>
> We have a name for them. It's called "pirate". We have another
> name for them - it's called "criminal".
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

4131. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 03:26:11 UTC
From: "J. Keenan" <abckeenan@abczkeenan.org>
Message-ID: <au3bcl$9n1$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58320

Nothing "fishy" about it at all. However, you must first have a
basic grasp on the law.

73 de J. Keenan

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h7foqlf.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On 17 Dec 2002 06:34:47 -0800, Brian Kelly wrote:
>
> >Right. I dunno if it can be called a "service" or a "sub-service" or
> >what but from what I've read the FCC intent for the authorization of
> >these stations involved, for one, to provide low-power broadcasts for
>
> [snip]
>
> The intent for the establishment of the LPFM service was to avoid
> Judge Claudia Wilken (Federal District of Northern California)
> ruling in favor of My Favorite Pirate, better known as Stephen
> Dunifer (Free Radio Berkeley) whose major defense in operating a
> pirate radio station was that there was no class of FM station that
> his operation could meet (he neglected to tell the Judge that he
> wouldn't have applied for the license had there been one).
>
> The Good Judge wanted to know why there wasn't, and we did a lot of
> wheel-spinning to get the program under way to avoid her dumping the
> case on that point. Even then, she sat on the decision for more
> than two years, but finally did rule in our favor.
>
> >urban ethnic groups in their ghettos which the "majors" do not serve.
> >"Gettos" taken in it's historic connotation, a concentration of
> >immigrants with English as their second language. If at all.
>
> These other so-called "goals" are strictly frosting on the cake.
>
> > Dunno about a county getting into the act, that sounds very fishy.
>
> Very.....
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

4132. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 03:28:20 UTC
From: "J. Keenan" <abckeenan@abczkeenan.org>
Message-ID: <au3bgl$a02$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58321
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

If it's there in "black & white," you sure have a strange way
of interpreting Section 301. Perhaps your own "private way"?

73 de J. Keenan

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h7fq2nj.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Wed, 18 Dec 2002 21:02:31 -0500, Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> >There is NO presumption that any radio service can be truly
> >intrastate only in nature. The courts long ago recognized the interstate
> >(as well as inter-country) nature of all radio propogation
> >regardless of specific content or intended limitation to intra-state
> >only communications. We have long ago seen that nothing
> >can guarantee limiting propogation of a radio signal to
> >only an intra-state geographic boundary 100% of the time.
> >
> >Indeed, folks have done amazing distances with
> >verrryyy low power at all sorts of different frequencies.
> >
> >Soooo... without an actual case to the contrary, the court record of
> >radio regulation clearly is on the side of FCC jurisdiction of all
> >radio station transmissions within the USA.
>
> And The Congress specifically gave the FCC absolute juristdiction
> over intra-state radio transmissions. It's there in black and white
> in Section 301.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

4133. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 03:29:47 UTC
From: "J. Keenan" <abckeenan@abczkeenan.org>
Message-ID: <au3bjc$a53$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58322
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

That's no longer considered a valid reference.

73 de J. Keenan

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h7fri1k.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Thu, 19 Dec 2002 01:28:56 GMT, Brian Running wrote:
>
> >> Not so, again, because the feds got full jurisdiction years ago.
> >
> >But that's the point, Bill -- jurisdiction is granted by 47 USC 152,
that's
> >the jurisdiction statute. And the statute says very specifically that
there
> >is no jurisdiction over intrastate radio communications. Here is the
> >pertinent section:
> >
> >(b)
> >
> >Except as provided in sections 223 through 227 of this title, inclusive,
and
> >section 332 of this title, and subject to the provisions of section 301
of
> >this title and subchapter V-A of this chapter, nothing in this chapter
shall
> >be construed to apply or to give the Commission jurisdiction with respect
to
> >
> >(1)
> >
> >charges, classifications, practices, services, facilities, or regulations
> >for or in connection with intrastate communication service by wire or
radio
> >of any carrier,
>
> RWAD SECTION 301. It specifically grants jurisdiction over
> intra-state radio communication. Sec. 152 is modified by Sec.
> 301 with the words "subject to the provisions of section 301 of
> this title and subchapter V-A of this chapter".
> >
> >Federal courts cannot override statutes with their decisions, unless they
> >find them unconstitutional. I think this is interesting.
>
> They do that every day. It's called "interpretation".

>
> >> Let us know what you find in case law.
> >
> >If and when I get the time to do it, I'll let you know.
>
> Look at _Betteridge_ in 1942 and _Brown_ in 1980 or so. These
> pre-date The Congress amending the Comm Act to specifically grant
> the FCC jurisdiction over intra-state radio in 1982. That's the
> extent that I'm going to do your research for you.
>
> Where did you get your law degree from?
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

4134. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 03:31:56 UTC
From: "J. Keenan" <abckeenan@abczkeenan.org>
Message-ID: <au3bndafb0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58324
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

What you really are trying to say is the government only publishes
the cases they win. The ones they lose are buried, very deep away
from public scrutiny.

73 de J. Keenan

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h7fv68l.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On 19 Dec 2002 05:47:21 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > And the Tennessee "license" situation will be resolved as well.
> >Such actions aren't taken until ALL the background work is done,
> >evidence collected and everything is in place. That's all the result
> >of lessons learned from the past.
>
> We useed to say "catch 'em with the hand in the cookie jar and bring
> the cookie j ar in with the hand still in it". Very few cases get
> lost.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

4135. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 03:34:11 UTC
From: "J. Keenan" <abckeenan@abczkeenan.org>
Message-ID: <au3brk$aq9$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58325
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Really? Please quote the exact date of the death. Moreover,
please quote by what or whose authority the Tenth Amendment
was killed?

73 de J. Keenan

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h7fxe3o.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On 20 Dec 2002 02:18:00 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> >> Your entire argument is trumped by the proper (and legal)
> >> application of the Tenth Amendment.
> >
> >So whose interpretation and application of the 10th and any other
> >amendment do you really believe will trump all others? Yours or the US
> >Supreme court's?
>
> Any lawyer doing Federal practice knows that the Tenth Amendment is
> dead. Whether s/he admits it or not is another story.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

4136. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 03:35:31 UTC
From: "J. Keenan" <abckeenan@abczkeenan.org>
Message-ID: <au3bu4$b02$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58326
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

And your point is?

73 de J. Keenan

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h7fwh2n.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On 19 Dec 2002 16:46:10 -0600, Paul W. Schleck wrote:
>
> >A classic example of misguided "resistance," and associated kooky legal
> >theories, is the tax avoidance movement. The IRS actually has a
> >detailed FAQ document on so-called "Frivolous Tax Arguments":
> >
> >http://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-utl/friv_tax.pdf
> >
> >According to this document, not only will these frivolous arguments be
> >summarily dismissed by the IRS and the U.S Courts, the courts may even
> >assess substantial penalties just for wasting their time.
>
> I will never forget the look on the faces of two defendants who
> were appearing in a Rule 20 (sentencing) hearing bnefore a case
> that I was handling was heard. They had been convicted of failure
> to report income and and pay Federal income tax. They were a
> middle-aged couple with obvious education and means who decidied
> that they were going to use one of the popular schemes to "beat
> the IRS". When the Judge stripped them of all their assets and
> sentenced them to incarceration, all they could do was to hold
> hands and cry silently.
>
> I've handled enough seizure and forfeiture cases to know that the
> folks who sing loudly about their rights and the Founding Fathers
> don't sing the same song when the handcuffs go "click" or when the
> steel door goes "clang".
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

4137. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 03:37:19 UTC
From: "J. Keenan" <abckeenan@abczkeenan.org>
Message-ID: <au3c1g$b7p$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58327
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

You're a believer in "bigger and more government is better," so
the concept should be a simple one for you to comprehend.

73 de J. Keenan

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h7fvnjm.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On 19 Dec 2002 16:31:52 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > So you then believe that each and every state needs a local version
> > of the FCC to administer all this? Which would have to coordinate with
> > all adjoining states for frequency coordination and non-interference
> > issues? Or to decide who is and isn't too close to the borders to use
> > "intrstate" radio? When most states are beyond broke and in debt
> > already? Are you serious? Why do you think FCC got the job in the
> > first place?
>
> I can just see the ITU and its nearly 200 member Administrations
> dealing with 50 State ACdministrations...
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

4138. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 03:38:51 UTC
From: "J. Keenan" <abckeenan@abczkeenan.org>
Message-ID: <au3c4cbcn0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58328

No, that is not correct. In many cases LPFMs don't need a
license.

73 de J. Keenan

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h7fpy5i.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Wed, 18 Dec 2002 20:52:42 -0600, W5TIT wrote:
>
> >Jeeze, Dickie...go to the FCC website and grab a little education... No
> >one's gonna grab anyone or straighten anyone out. I'd be willing to be
this
> >is a station operating under the LPFM rules.
>
> LPFMs still need an FCC license.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

4139. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 03:40:46 UTC
From: "J. Keenan" <abckeenan@abczkeenan.org>
Message-ID: <au3c7vblt0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58329

I asked you before, by what method are you reading
Section 301? That observation is not there.

73 de J. Keenan

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h7fpfgh.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On 18 Dec 2002 18:35:27 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > It'll never fly. The answerer was just another bureaucrat who really
didn't
> >know what it was they were putting out.
>
> My 1997 FCC Telephone Directory does not list a Martha E. Conti nor a
> position such as appears in the "letter".
>
> We've seen such "creative writing" all over the place for years.
>
> > Federal courts long ago ruled that since radio has the capacity to
> > cross state lines with no regard for jurisdictions it will be
> > regulated on the Federal level. A single letter from any functionary
> > won't change that.
>
> Section 301 of the Comm Act. which gives the FCC authority to
> regulate radio communication, specifically INCLUDES intra-state
> transmissions.
>
> End of case.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>
>

4140. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 03:43:19 UTC
From: K<unk>HB <k<unk>hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <rxaN9.405$ka5.43425@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58330
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"J. Keenan" <abckeenan@abczkeenan.org> wrote

: And your point is?
:
: 73 de J. Keenan

If you missed the point, then it's probably best for you to surface and head for port. You're obviously in way over your test depth, and that noise you hear is rivets popping.

<unk><unk><unk> 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

4141. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 04:24:53 UTC
From: "Thomas W. Jackson" <twj@kansasbestisp.net>
Message-ID: <au3eqogvr0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58331

I thought government phone books are classified. Why do you
have one?

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h7fpfgh.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On 18 Dec 2002 18:35:27 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> > It'll never fly. The answerer was just another bureaucrat who really
didn't
> >know what it was they were putting out.
>
> My 1997 FCC Telephone Directory does not list a Martha E. Conti nor a
> position such as appears in the "letter".
>
> We've seen such "creative writing" all over the place for years.
>
> > Federal courts long ago ruled that since radio has the capacity to
> > cross state lines with no regard for jurisdictions it will be
> > regulated on the Federal level. A single letter from any functionary
> > won't change that.
>
> Section 301 of the Comm Act. which gives the FCC authority to
> regulate radio communication, specifically INCLUDES intra-state
> transmissions.
>
> End of case.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>
>

4142. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 04:28:35 UTC
From: "Thomas W. Jackson" <twj@kansasbestisp.net>
Message-ID: <au3f1khdt0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58332
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Geee, that is interesting theory certain parts of
Constitution are dead.
What is opinion of the Bush Administration?

Tom (Thomas)

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h7fxe3o.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On 20 Dec 2002 02:18:00 GMT, Dick Carroll wrote:
>
> >> Your entire argument is trumped by the proper (and legal)
> >> application of the Tenth Amendment.
> >
> >So whose interpretation and application of the 10th and any other
> >amendment do you really believe will trump all others? Yours or the US
> >Supreme court's?
>
> Any lawyer doing Federal practice knows that the Tenth Amendment is
> dead. Whether s/he admits it or not is another story.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

4143. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 04:32:28 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7huotw.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58333
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On Fri, 20 Dec 2002 20:52:49 -0500, Bill Sohl wrote:

>> The FCC has a poor reputation for broadcast planning - they are, frankly,
>> inept. They also have a poor reputation for enforcement of their rules,
>> particularly when it's one of the big commercial radio concerns - there
>> isn't a single FM station in any major American city that isn't operating
>> outside the rules. They are almost invariably overdeviated, carrying
>> "unlicenced" additional services, and putting out substantial amounts of
>> harmonic and spurious rubbish. Many of the problems have been caused by
>> downgrading the engineering jobs (particularly by Clear Channel) to the
>> point where janitors actually earn more (and are often better qualified)
>> than the "engineers"!
>
>Perhaps you should share those observations with your congressman.

Perhaps we should ask Mister BIAS COMMS what his membership number
in the Society of Broadcast Engineers is.....

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
SBE Chap. 124 Vice Chair

4144. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 04:32:34 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7hw59x.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58334

On Sat, 21 Dec 2002 01:23:08 GMT, Brian Running wrote:

>> Where did you get your law degree from?
>
>I'll assume you're not being sarcastic -- Marquette University Law School,
>Milwaukee, Wisconsin. I don't claim to have any particular expertise in
>this area at all, as you can tell.

No, I was asking seriously - very few law schools teach anything in
communications law - mine didn't (San Francisco Law School) but 28
years on the FCC enforcement staff and lots of Federal Communications
Bar Association seminars taught me a great deal to the point where I
now co-present an annual seminar in the field. Right now I'm the
regulatory counsel to a major microwave consulting engineering firm.

>It strikes me as being a possibly interesting legal research project,
>and that's all. I will look at Bettridge and Brown, thanks for the leads.

If you have access to the older West's Federal Practice Digests,
look at the cases under 47 USC s 301. Most of the annotated codes
don't go back that far, and I agree that Westlaw/Lexis is not for
the casual browser which is why I still go to "the books".

There have been lots of attenpts to invoke "intra-state immunity"
but none were ever successful. The nearest that ever happened was
an early cell-phone jurisdiction case where the California PUC got
the Court to agree that they could have jurisdiction over rates and
service conditions, but the FCC retained jurisdiction over who was
permitted to erect radio facilities and where, and offer service.

>I get the impression that every passing comment is taken as "loaded" around
>these newsgroups.

I post in the rec.radio.amateur.policy newsgroup which, although
"loaded", is far less "loaded" than the other groups to which this
thread was cross-posted. Being composed mainly of licensees, we
do know where the jurisdiction lies.

The rest is all chain-pulling.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4145. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 05:00:18 UTC
From: Ken Simons <U731A@anonsnetz.org>
Message-ID: <au3gt3lev0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58335
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

The confusion and misinformation in this thread have gone on too long. Too much incorrect information is being posted by so called "experts." I hold 24 Ph.D. degrees in 116 different subject specialties.
Four of the Ph.D's were earned before I was 14 years old. I sit on the board of 46 major corporations, simultaneously. I worked in the special all information commission of the government for 28 years. Have practical experience in 17 specialized industrial, commerce, and international politics areas. Thank you for calling me here to help out in this thread. I will now answer questions and comment on matters at hand and what's been going on here. You may call me "Ken."

4146. Re: Seasoned greeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 05:03:35 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <ctga0vk8lal4snfld8o5dgt2rm4mj2dsq4@4ax.com>
Source record: 58336

On 20 Dec 2002 20:17:10 -0800, brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote:

>Mike Coslo <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:<3E036BB5.1090406@psu.edu>...
>> Brian wrote:
>>
>> > I plan on making an Imperial Stout on Sunday, from a Rasputin clone
>> > recipe in BYO. Wish me luck.
>>
>> The lovely lady who owns the local homebrew shop talked me into making
>> a chocolate porter a while back. Yummy stuff. Tho' the person drinking
>> better like chocolate. In addition to the regular porter ingredients,
>> you add a whole 8 oz can of chocolate malt extract. (real homebrewing
>> stuff-not the grocery store Chocolat malt)
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>Sounds -real- good. There's a pound of choc malted barley in the
>recipe, along with loads of roasted barley and some black patent.
>I'll use White Labs WLP007 yeast to keep it dry - I don't like sweet
>beers.

I drool! (what's the emoticon for licking ones lips?) 8^4
maybe?

> I keep playing with ProMash, trying to get the OG up over
>1.100, but I'm stuck at 1.097.

>Have you tried the rec.crafts.brewing newsgroup?

Yup, I haven't posted there for a while though. I'm new to
all-grain, having done my first batch a while back, then getting away
from the hobby for while. I seem to do that in a hobby - taking what I
learn then sitting back for a bit before I get involved again. This
thread is getting me thinking I'm ready.. As soon as the cold weather
breaks, I think I'm going to do another batch.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4147. Re: Seasoned greeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 05:06:12 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <lvha0vce9tnu4mjc18osev9rccvdonunu0@4ax.com>
Source record: 58337

On Sat, 21 Dec 2002 08:44:22 -0600, "W5TIT"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote:

>"Mike Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:3E0360DE.60309@psu.edu...
>>

>> Maudite is made in Quebec, and costs horribly down here in PA. The last
>> time I went to Canada I got a fair amount of that. It's a very malty
>> strong beer, very dark. It isn't anything you would drink for
>> refreshment, but more like a beer to have after dinner.
>>
>> - mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
>Only Canadian beer I've ever had was Badoors (sp?) and, rrrrwhewwww, what a
>head that'll give ya! hiccup

Now that's one I'm lost on, Kim!

- Mike KB3EIA -

4148. Re: Seasoned greeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 05:10:00 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <a3ia0vc1m3dp8v1aboqmk9no5hefqv734h@4ax.com>
Source record: 58338

On 22 Dec 2002 03:07:18 GMT, dennis@wawa.juniper.net (Dennis Ferguson)
wrote:

>W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote:

>>Only Canadian beer I've ever had was Badoors (sp?) and, rrrrwhewwww, what a
>>head that'll give ya! hiccup
>
>You may mean Molson Brador. In Canada most people I knew who drank that
>didn't do so for pleasure, it was reserved for occasions when you just wanted
>to get really drunk.

Hmm, is it just a strong beer? Or is it strong and crummy?
Maybe Kim's spelling is more appropriate.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4149. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 05:27:15 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7i1dcz.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58340

(Cross-posting removed)

On 22 Dec 2002 02:17:41 GMT, Dennis Ferguson wrote:

>> I can just see the ITU and its nearly 200 member Administrations
>> dealing with 50 State ACdministrations...
>
>They already deal with two Federal administrations, the FCC and
>the US government users, via the State Department proxy, often with
>unhappy results.

In actuality they have no problem in that there is only one "official" delegation to the ITU, headed up by a State Department appointee with ambassadorial rank. The IFRB does recognize the two contact addresses for registration and interference-resolution matters, but the one delegation still speaks for the member nation.

I'm not too sure that the Convention permits political subdivisions of nations to become members, and from my experience dealing with the ITU on a daily basis a while back (mostly the IFRB), I got the strong feeling that they want to deal with nations, not political subdivisions of nations.

>For example, this time the FCC really, really did its best to do
>the right thing and get the new 3G mobile phone band (unlike the
>cellular and PCS bands) aligned with the rest of the world, but while
>no actual use of the band in question could be detected by measurement,
>the DoD said the radar (or whatever) equipment they had which could
>operate in that band might be interfered with by the mobile phones and
>declined to either move their equipment elsewhere or permit the mobile
>phones to coexist.

>Since most wars are fought outside the country one wonders whether,
>when the DoD finds they have a real use for the equipment, they'll be
>asking the enemy to please turn their mobile phones off before they
>arrive.

Since we don't know where the may-exist radars are and what they do, we don't know if it's CONUS operation or not. right ?? We know for a fact that the military gets what it wants. Don't get me started ranting about Pave Paws..... <ggg>

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4150. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 05:27:19 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7i048y.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58339

On 22 Dec 2002 01:41:16 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:

>> It can't be found that way because it's called "The Peter Principle",
>> not "Peters". The authors are Laurence J. Peter and Raymond Hull.
>> It came out DECADES ago, but it's still available from Amazon.com.
>
> Didn't you hear? Amazon.com "went bankrupt" a long time
> ago! We were all told that in this very newsgroup... :-)

Bankrupt.com just delivered birthday presents to my wife and
daughter..... <ggg>

I remember a cartoon decades ago where a man and a boy were standing
in the doorway of a storefront with signs "Fire Sale" and "Going Out
of Business" plastered on the windows on each side of the door. The
caption was "Someday, my son, this business will be yours"...

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4151. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 05:57:00 UTC
From: Ken Simons <U731A@anonsnetz.org>
Message-ID: <au3k9ftca0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58341

"Wheeler" <cwheeler@zipmailsvc.net> wrote in message
news:atlfk0$d2t$0@pita.alt.net...
> The type of operation I observed was a low power (50 watt)
> FM broadcasting station (one-way transmissions). The guy was
> operating on what he said was an unused frequency in the commercial
> FM broadcasting band.
>
> 73,
>
> Wheeler

Oh yes, we have found this type of station to be very practical. We encourage their establishment. I earned my 4th Ph. D. in Macro and International micro economics, and we found this type of operation to contribute in a bull market of the mid-macro international trade economy. I sit on the board of only 28 corporations now, but we still promote this. I also found my 29 years with the All Information Agency of the government provided me with the practical experience to dedicate this type of round rexis establishment. But don't get me started <ggg> on the Ervin Slam operations.

73 de Ken

4152. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 06:36:07 UTC
From: Trent Creekmore <tcreek@gt.rr.com>
Message-ID: <r3dN9.37312$Nz5.928188@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 58343
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

. I will now answer questions and comment on
> matters at hand and what's been going on here. You may call me

> "Ken."

How many times a day is it okay to use "Viagra"?

4153. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 08:18:02 UTC
From: Trent Creekmore <tcreek@gt.rr.com>
Message-ID: <_yeN9.37412$KW2.1119154@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 58342
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

>
> Since most wars are fought outside the country one wonders whether,
> when the DoD finds they have a real use for the equipment, they'll be
> asking the enemy to please turn their mobile phones off before they
> arrive.
>
> Dennis Ferguson

I can sure tell you have NEVER been around anything near resembling a
military.

Most wars maybe fought out of the country, but most training is done in the
country.

4154. Re: Goals for 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 08:49:58 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212220049.6311587@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58344

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021220123833.03151.00000503@mb-mq.aol.com>...
> In article <atv9qh214v2@enews2.newsguy.com>, "KC8PMX"
> <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> writes:
>
> >Since the new year is approaching, and those thoughts of what we all might
> >achieve usually are centered around that, what are your goals for the 2003?
> >Apply this in general or specifically to amateur radio if you wish.
> >
> >Happy Holidays!
>
> One goal I want to work on is to finally get my Worked All States award,
> after over 20 years as a ham! To that end, I'm working on improving my
> indoor dipole antenna farm in my third-floor apartment.

Use #8 wire for the antennas and one of these puppies:

http://www.command1.com/hf-2500e.htm

>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

w3rv

4155. Re: K1MAN files criminel charges against ND8V and K4ZDH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 09:23:25 UTC
From: Muggle <Anon@Anon.com>
Message-ID: <au409d$5bl$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58345
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org> wrote in message
news:au3j8m0l3c@enews2.newsguy.com...
> "FT-847 Man" <Lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
> news:57751FEA.73241547@taranews.net...
>
> [nothing of value snipped]
>
> >
> > Be it known that:
> >
> > Any amateur radio station licensee or other person who obviously knows
> > that a scheduled amateur radio bulletin station is about to come on a
> > particular frequency per that published schedule,
>
> Let's see if I understand this.
>
> I can publish on my website a schedule that says I will be broadcasting
> bulletins.
>
> I'll broadcast my bulletins on the same freq used by K1MAN for his
nonsense
> but my bulletins will start five minutes before his. Then, when he opens
up
> on my bulletin transmission, I can bring charges against him?
>
> Just wondering.
>
> By the way, were you born stupid or did you get that way after years of
> study?
>
> --
>
> ------
> Regards,
> Joe S.

Using Baxter's mindset, what if ALL of us were to decide to each select a frequency, make announcements that we were going to be broadcasting a bulletin in three minus one, etc. Then we do what Baxter is doing...we proceed to make one-way transmissions and call them "broadcasts"? Gee, guys. Following Baxter's logic each and every one of us could pick a frequency between ten and 160 and do what Glenn is doing no matter who is on the frequency or how long they have been there. If Baxter can do it, why not the

rest of us?
This is, of course, just a theoretical query but just the same...what if?

What if, for instance, someone else would like to offer a bulletin service on 14.275 and what it they were to begin the service ten minutes before Baxters? Poor old Baxter has his shorts tied in enough knots as it is and the controversy is obviously getting to him. Baxter's "announcements" are fast becoming personal attacks on other Hams and as such he is cheapening himself even further.

Insofar as Baxter allegedly filing charges against other Hams I say "Bunk". If Baxter is stupid enough to even attempt same, and I doubt he has the money or the testosterone, then Baxter is wide open for countersuits for frivolous lawsuits. If Baxter has indeed filed such lawsuits I challenge him to submit the lawyer's name and the case number from the Court in which he filed .
I think you will all agree that Baxter filed no such suits and I challenge him to post proof or shut the Hell up.

Baxter has no credibilty as evidenced by a letter to him from a U.S. attorney informing him that his unauthorized "warnings" of felony violations to other Hams was illegal.
Glenn Baxter is, in short, a sham. They guy is a fraud, a liar, and a charlatan. And those are his GOOD points.

Hey, Glenn. You reading this? I just slapped you in the face and dropped the glove. Maybe you should file charges against me, too. Want to duel?

4156. TIT Revisited

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 09:47:51 UTC
From: Rotund <Anon@Anon.com>
Message-ID: <au41n9$93c$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58346

You don't suppose that TIT is overweight for her age and height, do you? I kind of envision her as a stately matron with greying hair and hips not unlike Oprah Winfrey.
TIT seems to take no small amount of smug pleasure in irritating others and perhaps I am reading between her lines, but I get the distinct impression that she/he enjoys being an irritant.
That is all well and good as her genetic makeup predetermined her wide hips and wrinkles. It happens to the best of us. Her condescending attitude is, however, a learned trait born of a false sense of superiority. She/He could do well by looking in the mirror every morning and taking stock of reality. If the mirror is not wide enough, well, so be it.

4157. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 11:49:50 UTC
From: BIAS COMMS <biascomms@NOSPAMblueyonder.co.uk>
Message-ID: <yFhN9.902$8f2.6062145@news-text.cableinet.net>
Source record: 58347
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h7huotw.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...

> Perhaps we should ask Mister BIAS COMMS what his membership number
> in the Society of Broadcast Engineers is.....
>
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> SBE Chap. 124 Vice Chair

Speaking as Europeans, we don't want membership of your "SBE". We have had dealings with various American broadcast companies for many years, and have seen a continual decline in technical standards and abilities in most of the engineering staff, even amongst members of the SBE.

In the UK we have various "professional" organisations, just as you do - membership is seldom any kind of recommendation.

--
BIAS COMMS

Everything gets easier with practice except getting up in the morning

4158. Re: Seasoned greeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 13:10:28 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212220510.6b4ba2e3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58348

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<ctga0vk8lal4snfld8o5dgt2rm4mj2dsq4@4ax.com>...

> Yup, I haven't posted there for a while though. I'm new to
> all-grain, having done my first batch a while back, then getting away
> from the hobby for while. I seem to do that in a hobby - taking what I
> learn then sitting back for a bit before I get involved again. This
> thread is getting me thinking I'm ready.. As soon as the cold weather
> breaks, I think I'm going to do another batch.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

I got the WLP007 going last night, its perking along nicely. Today I
brew my 2nd all-grain batch ever. I've been doing extract with
mini-mashes for the past year, after a long inactive period. I
started brewing with the help of Ed Swingle back in the early 80's.
The military pushed us our separate ways.

The nice thing about a beer this dark is it will be hard to screw up.

Brian

4159. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 13:38:33 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@BARF14313QRM.org>
Message-ID: <au4f8v$gn7$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58349

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021219220959.06208.00000415@mb-fp.aol.com...
> Paging Mr. Kane! Paging Mr. Kane!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Mommy! Mommy! I started a fight on the playground,
now the kids are picking on me. Tell the Sanhedrin to come out
and protect me.
BWAAAHHHHHHHH BWAHHHHHHHHHHHH BWAHHHHHH!!!!

4160. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 13:53:12 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@BARF14313QRM.org>
Message-ID: <au4g4djgn0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58350
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message >
> Any lawyer doing Federal practice knows that the Tenth Amendment is
> dead. Whether s/he admits it or not is another story.
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
Take a look folks, an excellent example of the drivel liberals are
teaching kids out on the left coast in the Socialist Republic of
California's government schools. Meanwhile, one of their left wing
heroes, Bill Clinton, spews out, "...that depends on what the meaning of
is is." Brings to mind a case I was once on. When the judge was
asked if a certain amendment to the Constitution was still valid, his
honor, who had three tours of combat duty in Korea and Viet Nam,
said, "Son, when you know for certain a Constitutional Convention was
convened and said action was lawfully taken at said convention, call
me, because I don't want to live in this country anymore."

Case closed, AR dit dit

Lloyd

4161. Re: Ward's Editorial in Jan03 QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 14:04:14 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021222090414.01793.00000158@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 58451

>Subject: Re: Ward's Editorial in Jan03 QST
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 12/21/02 7:26 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021221202649.20988.00000285@mb-fh.aol.com>

>Try to work within the framework of the subject thread and
> flow rather than making null commentary.

From the king of the unanswered question, THIS is funny.

>In this newsgroup that is so much Nonsense and the
> "respect" has only been extant on the condition of
> honor-respect-worship of the "elder hams" (i.e., long-
> timers, especially those with 1x2 calls). Those who
> quote the PC Credo are "accepted" by the self-
> renowned 1x2s, all others "rejected" and usually villified.

Uh huh...right.

>Many classes along with rank-status-privilege has created
> the class distinction in the amateur radio hobby...and the
> quaint notion that amateurs are somehow "superior" to the
> professionals.

Under LENNIE'S system, we would revert to a Marxist-Socialist "one size fit's all" license. Stifle the incentive to learn, and learning stops. This will fit Lennie well then he can cast his own form of Stalinistic fervor over the now-unlearned masses.

Nice guy.

>"That" is the Politically Correct phrasing as espoused by
> the "my ham radio is okay as it is" group of status
> quo-ists.

None of whom is in this NG, that I know of. Lennie insists that these "do-nothings" are here, but that's an obfuscation designed only to elevate himself.

So far LENNIE is the only do-nothing here. He doesn't participate in Amateur activites, he doesn't support Amateur Radio, and he doesn't participate in the licensing program by GETTING one.

Very impressive. Astronauts, news anchors, politicians and "common" men, women and children can do it, but it is above Lennie's station to do so.

What a creep.

>>Also consider how few people actually post here with any regularity, even
>>though rrap is wide open to anyone with the appropriate access.
>
> "Posting" is no indicator. There is NO way that Miccolis
> can actually know the true number of those accessing
> the newsgroup and just reading.

If they are sufficiently motivated to comment, they will. If they are not,
they will not.

>>Well said! But you'd be amazed how many times what I do as a ham has been
>the
>>subject of ridicule and put-downs here. Only here, too.
>
> Poor baby...is "what you do as a ham" consisting of
> innumerable postings allegedly "correcting" others'
> "mistakes" for having viewpoints opposite to Miccolis'?

You mean correcting YOUR numerous lies and deceit?

>>The simple fact is that the folks who are attracted to ham radio are, and
>>have
>>always been, mostly those who are interested in radio for its own sake.
>
> Absolutely not according to some of Da Bosses in here.
> One MUST be granted a license if there is the slightest
> amount of "interest" in radio.

No...What has been said is that those who seek to change Amateur Radio
should have some vested interest in it's future. Those who participate in
other venues of the radiocommunications hobby that do not require a license and
do not wish to participate in Amateur Radio are not the subject of that
suggestion.

You take a proactive role in suggesting what is or is not appropriate for
Amateur Radio. You do not participate in Amateur Radio activities, are not
licensed in Amateur Radio, and no regulatroy chanes made based upon your
sugggestions would impact you.

You remind me of people who are "registered" to vote in a given precinct
who do not live there, yet vote to enact taxes to which they will not be
subjected.

>The "keeping order" part seems to have been usurped by the
> self-renowned 1x2s into forcing some traditional politcally-
> correct fraternalism about the "one true way" in amateur
> radio...oddly enough mirroring the sayings of ARRL for years.
> It cannot be a hobby, it is some mystical "service" to all.

Whew...more flailing about which he knows little or nothing.

>Good grief, get a grip...amateur radio is basically a HOBBY,
> not some LOTR fantasy of a "service" in this new millennium.
> It might have been so in the 1930s...but that vanished long

> ago.

Oh?

The American Red Cross doesn't seem to think so.

Neither does the Savation Army, The Federal Emergency Management Agency or a whole host of other State, Federal and Local agencies that annually renew MOU's (Memorandum of Understanding) with the American Radio Relay League.

Guess they haven't been reading your rhetoric, Lennie.

>Just what in the world is all this nonsense about "showing
> dedication" for amateurism by continually mirroring what
> was a mythical credo long ago? Who established their
> "qualifications" as Those Who Would Judge Dedication?

And who are you to assert that any such thing exists? From what INFORMED participation in the Amateur Radio Service do you form such a vile and confrontational opinion?

>Morsemanship? Pasteboard collections on the walls?
> Anal-retentive arguments for strict obediance to standards
> and practices of the 1930s?

YOU are the ONLY person who refers to anything related to teh 1930's, Your Cowardliness. ONLY you.

>Merry Christmas, Ghost of Christmas Past.

And here's hopes for your quick cranialrectumectomy, Lennie.

What a lying, deceitful Putz.

4162. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 14:27:39 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212220627.3bc3bea1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58452
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Trent Creekmore" <tcreek@gt.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<_yeN9.37412$KW2.1119154@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> >
> > Since most wars are fought outside the country one wonders whether,
> > when the DoD finds they have a real use for the equipment, they'll be
> > asking the enemy to please turn their mobile phones off before they
> > arrive.
> >
> > Dennis Ferguson
>
>
>
> I can sure tell you have NEVER been around anything near resembling a
> military.
>
> Most wars maybe fought out of the country, but most training is done in the
> country.

Right, "Idaho Militia", etc. . . . .

w3rv

4163. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 14:32:39 UTC
From: Ken Simons <Ken@SelfProclaimedExpert.org>
Message-ID: <au4ied$qj9$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58453
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"Trent Creekmore" <tcreek@gt.rr.com> wrote in message
news:r3dN9.37312$Nz5.928188@twister.austin.rr.com...
> . I will now answer questions and comment on
> > matters at hand and what's been going on here. You may call me

> > "Ken."
>
> How many times a day is it okay to use "Viagra"?
>

Ok if you are taking nothing else it reminds me of when I was on the
Board of Viagra which was part of the Israel Ministry of Communications.
That was after I worked 27 years and gained practical Viagra
experience with the All Information Commission of the U.S. government.
One of the commissioners in Israel was a friend I played golf with once
a week at an exclusive country club where we would discuss the The
Steps to Becoming a Self Proclaimed Expert in r.r.a.p. I coached his
son on how to earn 17 Ph. D. degrees. Don't take much of what
you hear here as fact, unless I pontificate on it, as I am a legend in my
own mind.

73 de Ken Simons

4164. Re: TIT Revisited

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 14:41:02 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021222094102.12383.00000256@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 58454

> That is all well and good as her genetic makeup predetermined her wide
>hips and wrinkles. It happens to the best of us. Her condescending attitude
>is, however, a learned trait born of a false sense of superiority.

I fail to see the relevance of one's weight towards one's attitude.

>She/He
>could do well by looking in the mirror every morning and taking stock of
>reality.

I think you should look in the mirror. You might see your ugly attitude. Since you have shown a bad attitude and I haven't seen you, lets suppose you are a fat, beer drinking, donut eating, wrinkly older man with posture problems. Perhaps thats why you posted anonymously.

4165. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 14:50:09 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <4B2DA974AF2D30A5.F2D94821D592492B.1145B2D426100E13@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58455

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E04F763.9356B5D8@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > You shouldn't think that all-things-not-Dave-thought are untrue. Trent
is
> > probably speaking of the true story from which a movie was inspired,
called
> > Lorenzo's Oil. From http://us.imdb.com/:
>
> Super. Be sure to tell us about how the parents became biologists.
> I suppose the alternative was for them to be sheep--being raped by their
> government. Maybe you could tie their ordeal into your definition of
> terrorism.
>

I won't tell you a thing about the movie. If you don't want to watch it,
then don't. I offered evidence that the story this person was relaying was
true...since you're such a doubter.

> > It's also not exclusive--there are folks who've pioneered their way past
> > what was told to them by whomever. I've won two cases in court by
myself.
> > There's no movie of them, though--there's no movie of a lot of great
folks
> > who think outside the box.
>
> So you think a movie should be made about you winning two court cases?
> You must think yourself one of those "great folks".
>

No, Stupid. I don't think there should *have* to be such things in order
for other people to believe each other. You would need such proof; I was
telling you I don't have it to offer. You are such a freak.

> > But, you live by the book...
>
> ...and you're a ditz. Maybe you and Dash Riprock or whatever his name
> is can get a quantity discount on foil.
>
> Dave K8MN

I don't need foil, Dave. You may, though. I think it's funny you got so
pissed because the truth was in the details.

Kim W5TIT

4166. Re: Remember: Most trusted man in America backs K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 14:51:55 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <494219395E92499C.5F55FC6A6B97AE85.AF8E86B78E816018@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58456

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:v09vh2ni47u352@corp.supernews.com...
> I wonder why he doesn't enlist the help of the Clintons?
> ;-)
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
> ps - I just can't wait until everyone jumps on this and starts their own
> bulletin service on the air. Heck, what's good for the goose ..... can't
> have it both ways ... either it's good for all or good for none ....
>
>

Now you're talking! That would be the way to fight it... But, few people
would be willing to do it.

Kim W5TIT

4167. Re: Seasoned greeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 15:03:49 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <D62ECEE18BC340B7.5D07D6E8A2E67D74.F1FA0F4284014E43@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58457

"Dennis Ferguson" <dennis@wawa.juniper.net> wrote in message
news:au3a96$1ga1$1@heap.juniper.net...
> W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote:
> >>
> >
> >Only Canadian beer I've ever had was Badoors (sp?) and, rrrrwhewwww, what
a
> >head that'll give ya! hiccup
>
> You may mean Molson Brador. In Canada most people I knew who drank that
> didn't do so for pleasure, it was reserved for occasions when you just
wanted
> to get really drunk.
>
> Dennis Ferguson

That must've been it. A group of friends and I (friends who'd been there before--I had not) took an afternoon roadtrip up to Montreal. While there, the only thing they bought was "Badoor" (probably Brador)--and I think they bought four bottles. That evening, back in Plattsburgh, NY on campus, I drank probably about or a little over a half bottle. It must be potent stuff...though I really don't remember what it tasted like (I didn't much care for the taste of beer back then, so they all tasted bad anyway).

There's an ale that used to be available down here in Texas...called Mickey's? I think. Anyway, came in a big mouth green bottle. Couple of those (yucky tasting, for sure) at a drive-in movie and you'd laugh at any Grade B comedy. Back up in NY, there was (Jim/N2EY, is there still?) an ale from Genessee, think it was called Genessee 10-horse ale or something like that. When I was visiting one time, it had only been on the market a short time. This stuff actually tasted pretty good, and it would kick butt, too.

I am no beer conosseiur. I've never really found one I like. I really don't like alcohol that much at all. However, I've recently been introduced to a drink called a Sour Apple Martini. Wow, I like 'dat!! But, I'll only drink one--I'd hate to get drunk on a drink like that.

Kim W5TIT

4168. Re: Seasoned greeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 15:08:58 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <2473929F26A85E02.BDC63BE7FE15CCFE.ADC45E68F5E21D7E@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58458

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:lvha0vce9tnu4mjc18osev9rccvdonunu0@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 21 Dec 2002 08:44:22 -0600, "W5TIT"
> <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote:
>
> >"Mike Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message
news:3E0360DE.60309@psu.edu...
> >>
>
> >> Maudite is made in Quebec, and costs horribly down here in PA. The last
> >> time I went to Canada I got a fair amount of that. It's a very malty
> >> strong beer, very dark. It isn't anything you would drink for
> >> refreshment, but more like a beer to have after dinner.
> >>
> >> - mike KB3EIA -
> >>
> >
> >Only Canadian beer I've ever had was Badoors (sp?) and, rrrrwhewwww, what
a
> >head that'll give ya! hiccup
>
> Now that's one I'm lost on, Kim!
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

I think "Dennis" (I think that's who it was) in another post said that was
probably Brador?

Kim W5TIT

4169. Re: Seasoned greeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 15:10:14 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <B6793138F15C9649.547A7F7D3B61B86D.27EA898361B63A10@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58459

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:a3ia0vc1m3dp8v1aboqmk9no5hefqv734h@4ax.com...
> On 22 Dec 2002 03:07:18 GMT, dennis@wawa.juniper.net (Dennis Ferguson)
> wrote:
>
> >W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote:
>
> >>Only Canadian beer I've ever had was Badoors (sp?) and, rrrrwhewwww,
what a
> >>head that'll give ya! hiccup
> >
> >You may mean Molson Brador. In Canada most people I knew who drank that
> >didn't do so for pleasure, it was reserved for occasions when you just
wanted
> >to get really drunk.
>
>
> Hmm, is it just a strong beer? Or is it strong and crummy?
> Maybe Kim's spelling is more appropriate.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

It is a strong beer, at least to me it was. And, crummy? Well, aren't all
beers crummy (ducking).

Seriously, I've seen a couple of posts on brewing beer and that chocolate
beer sounded cool...maybe someday.

Kim W5TIT

4170. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 15:43:48 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@nobodyknows14313.net>
Message-ID: <au4mjr$5s4$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58460
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

For all you big government liberals from the left coast, we would like to invite you to the soverign state of Alabama for a free vacation. To show our hospitality, we will meet you at the airport and give you the use of a free automobile during yor stay in our great state. The car is a solar-powered pink Volvo, with a large bumper sticker on the back that reads, "I'm gay, I voted for Al Gore, I'm an atheist and a federal bureaucrat, and I'm here to take away your pick up trucks and guns." Y'all come now and be sure to let us know if you make it ok to your hotel.

Lloyd

4171. Re: Ward's Editorial in Jan03 QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 17:07:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E05F17E.AC24334C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58461

Len Over 21 wrote:
>
> In article <3E04FB40.6A8041A6@earthlink.net>, Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
> writes:
>
> >http:www.home.earthlink.net/~k8mn/Tokyo_53a.wav
> >
> >Dave K8MN
>
> Da Boss ha' spoken! All hail Da Boss. Pfui.
>
> Merry Christmas, Scrooge. Keep watching for the Ghost
> of Christmas Yet To Come. After WRC-03.
>
> ...and watch out for typos on web addresses...you have
> to "look good" for the "good of the Service!"

Thanks for yet another entertaining account of your tales of Tokyo
teletype past, Foghorn. Maybe the first gift you open will be that
"Extra right out of the box".

Dave K8MN

4172. Re: k1man QRMing = new 14.313 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 17:37:50 UTC
From: Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92EC806683678elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 58462
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in
news:v0a1lmku9kj8af@corp.supernews.com:

> And another CBer adds his/her comments. Myself, I'm happy with 2
> meters and 440 FM although my license allows more. Australia - all rf
> path - has been no problem. Had a chat with friends in British
> Columbia today (although this was supported, in part, by internet
> linking). Who cares? I haven't received qrm in quite some time (like
> ... um ... 35 years). Life is too short to live with your panties tied
> up in knots. HF is best experienced with digital modes. The lids
> haven't gravitated there yet. The repeater? Well, we had a couple of
> problems. Only two. Neither lasted for more than a couple of minutes
> (in any case, I didn't suffer - the one guy had to suffer 40 words per
> minute CW from me, however ;) The other had the rug pulled out from
> under him. For every frequency with a problem (allowing 3 KHz
> bandwidth) there are thousands without problems (and that would be HF
> plus, perhaps, 6 meters - a lot more if you allow those frequencies
> above 6). If you enjoy listening to problems, go ahead but don't
> complain. If you don't, QSY. End problem. I think I'll see if Bill,
> VE7DTM, is back on the air.
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>
> "dirty stankin hams" <cqcqfatass@hotmail.com> wrote in message
> news:3e050230@snipnews.snip.net...
>> Looks like recent events by k1man have not
>> helped things that much. In fact it seems
>> to have taken the channel down Cuckoo's Nest Lane.
>> [perhaps it was there all along?]
>> 14.275 all afternoon.has been a 3-ring circus.
>> While Maine is transmitting with peanut-butter in ears, there were ten
>> stations talking over him all at once. This was accompanied by various
>> potty-mouth and generally retarded commentary, broccoli fart noises,
>> ginger ale gaseous-grade belching, SpongeBob Squarepants .wav's, some
>> guy playing a "mouth organ" (that's a harmonica for the uneducated)
>> taped music, a kid making "goo-goo baby" noises (95% probability
>> "goo-goo baby" tape is transmitted from the Flushing NY area) some old
>> farts talking about how to make potato soup, the F-word sent in CW
>> over and over, and a perennial station down South who's
>> chastising everyone who's talking over Maine's Broadcast.

>> You want it, they got it and a everything in between folks, it sounds
>> like the old 14.313 War all over again. Amazing that
>> grown men an act like this, sounds like a bunch of 8 year
>> olds in a sandbox that just found out the stray cats been using it
>> as their litter box at night! All in all a quite an amusing time on
>> the ham ray-deeoh. Makes me comfortable in the knowledge that ham
>> radio is still the best screwball magnet we have going. Looks like
>> 14.313 is baaaaaack my SWL's !! (sound of toilet flushing)
>>
>> Merry XXX-mas (from Suzie da "kiebassa queen")
>> Happy Hanukkah bush (you all messhuga!)
>> Happy Quanzaa (KFC & Wateymelon from Trent Lott to all)
>>
>>
>> http://www.lolfun.com/flash_1202/got_run_over.cfm
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>

I listened to K1MAN the other day. No-one was jamming it in my area, and I found it worthwhile. Jammers are the modern equivalent of horse theives. Nowadays we are more humane, though, we just fine them instead of stringing them up.

73 es Merry Yuletide de N3KIP

4173. Re: K1MAN files criminel charges against ND8V and K4ZDH

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 18:08:49 UTC
From: signalchaser <williamsmith208@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <v0bvtms5t6k6e2@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 58463
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Another thing that would be interesting is to find out where the idea that this is a criminal charge anyway. A criminal charge can only be brought by the state or the governing authorities. However, he may bring a civil suit against various characters. Crininal charges are only brought by the appropriate governing authortiies such as the U.S. Department of Justice in coordination with a U.S. Attorney or through various state authorities. Therefore, despite Lloyds attempts at blowing off his mouth, there is a major difference between criminal law and civil suits being that government brings about criminal charges while individuals bring about civil charges. Of course, the all knowing Lloyd/ft-847 man etc. is all knowing and all seeing

"Muggle" <Anon@Anon.com> wrote in message news:au409d$5bl$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org> wrote in message
> news:au3j8m0l3c@enews2.newsguy.com...
> > "FT-847 Man" <Lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
> > news:57751FEA.73241547@taranews.net...
> >
> > [nothing of value snipped]
> >
> > >
> > > Be it known that:
> > >
> > > Any amateur radio station licensee or other person who obviously knows
> > > that a scheduled amateur radio bulletin station is about to come on a
> > > particular frequency per that published schedule,
> >
> > Let's see if I understand this.
> >
> > I can publish on my website a schedule that says I will be broadcasting
> > bulletins.
> >
> > I'll broadcast my bulletins on the same freq used by K1MAN for his
> nonsense
> > but my bulletins will start five minutes before his. Then, when he
opens
> up
> > on my bulletin transmission, I can bring charges against him?
> >
> > Just wondering.
> >
> > By the way, were you born stupid or did you get that way after years of

> > study?
> >
> > --
> >
> > ------
> > Regards,
> > Joe S.
>
> Using Baxter's mindset, what if ALL of us were to decide to each select a
> frequency, make announcements that we were going to be broadcasting a
> bulletin in three minus one, etc. Then we do what Baxter is doing...we
> proceed to make one-way transmissions and call them "broadcasts"? Gee,
guys.
> Following Baxter's logic each and every one of us could pick a frequency
> between ten and 160 and do what Glenn is doing no matter who is on the
> frequency or how long they have been there. If Baxter can do it, why not
the
> rest of us?
> This is, of course, just a theoretical query but just the same...what if?
>
> What if, for instance, someone else would like to offer a bulletin service
> on 14.275 and what it they were to begin the service ten minutes before
> Baxters? Poor old Baxter has his shorts tied in enough knots as it is and
> the controversy is obviously getting to him. Baxter's "announcements" are
> fast becoming personal attacks on other Hams and as such he is cheapening
> himself even further.
>
> Insofar as Baxter allegedly filing charges against other Hams I say
"Bunk".
> If Baxter is stupid enough to even attempt same, and I doubt he has the
> money or the testosterone, then Baxter is wide open for countersuits for
> frivolous lawsuits. If Baxter has indeed filed such lawsuits I challenge
> him to submit the lawyer's name and the case number from the Court in
which
> he filed .
> I think you will all agree that Baxter filed no such suits and I challenge
> him to post proof or shut the Hell up.
>
> Baxter has no credibilty as evidenced by a letter to him from a U.S.
> attorney informing him that his unauthorized "warnings" of felony
violations
> to other Hams was illegal.
> Glenn Baxter is, in short, a sham. They guy is a fraud, a liar, and a
> charlatan. And those are his GOOD points.
>
> Hey, Glenn. You reading this? I just slapped you in the face and dropped
the
> glove. Maybe you should file charges against me, too. Want to duel?
>
>

>
>

4174. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 19:15:20 UTC
From: Philip de Cadenet <Philstheboss@thebbc.fslife.co.uk>
Message-ID: <7JsNDOMI9gB+Ewu1@fslife.co.uk>
Source record: 58464
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Chris,

>Speaking as Europeans, we don't want membership of your "SBE". We have had
>dealings with various American broadcast companies for many years, and have
>seen a continual decline in technical standards and abilities in most of the
>engineering staff, even amongst members of the SBE.
>
>In the UK we have various "professional" organisations, just as you do -
>membership is seldom any kind of recommendation.
I wonder how long it will be until Queer Channel becomes the major
station owner here in the UK.

I'd heard they had just purchased Capital Group PLC, true?
--
Philip de Cadenet
Transmitters 'R' Us
http://www.transmittersrus.com

4175. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 20:54:48 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@nobodyknows14313.net>
Message-ID: <au58qf$l25$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58466
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0212220627.3bc3bea1@posting.google.com...
>
> Right, "Idaho Militia", etc. . . . .
>
> w3rv

And not that I expect you to tell the truth, but what is your
military background?

And who is the character in this thread who claims he served
in the military forces of Israel, even worked for one of their
"ministries" Minisistry of Communications or other such nonsense.
Then he turns around and claims he was in the U.S. military where
he held all sorts of high security clearances, even more nonsense,
says he held higher security clearances as a civilian government
contractor. Was on the President's staff, commanded a submarine
and an Air Force base. Wake up Sheeple! Get informed! These
liberal big government bullshitters are just that, bullshitters. Making it
up
as they go along. No one, repeat, no one can hold a U.S. Government
security clearance, after having had extensive service and allegiance to a
foreign government. There are rare exceptions, but they don't apply to
any of the liberal big government bureaucratic bullshitters you encounter
around here.

Lloyd
Lloyd

4176. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 21:15:00 UTC
From: Trent Creekmore <tcreek@gt.rr.com>
Message-ID: <oXpN9.38372$KW2.1213479@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 58465
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

> > Most wars maybe fought out of the country, but most training is done in
the
> > country.
>
> Right, "Idaho Militia", etc. . . . .

>
> w3rv

It is amazing so many sheep here do not even bother to study for themselves
and accept what they hear without even bothering to at least check it out
for themselves.
I can tell you do not even kow what a military is. Study before you put your
foot in your mouth again.

Fort, Irwin, CA This place is dedicated to desert training.
http://www.irwin.army.mil/
Twentynine Palms, CA
Fort Bragg, NC
Fort Sill, OK
Fort Lewis, WA
Fort Hood, TX

Heck to save time...
here is a link for all army Forts in this country

http://www.army.mil/installations/forts.htm

And to save even more time, here is the DOD website and you can find out
every military installion in the world.

http://www.defenselink.mil/

4177. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 21:31:06 UTC
From: dennis@wawa.juniper.net
Message-ID: <au5auq$na0$1@heap.juniper.net>
Source record: 58469
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Trent Creekmore <tcreek@gt.rr.com> wrote:
>> Since most wars are fought outside the country one wonders whether,
>> when the DoD finds they have a real use for the equipment, they'll be
>> asking the enemy to please turn their mobile phones off before they
>> arrive.
>
>I can sure tell you have NEVER been around anything near resembling a
>military.
>
>Most wars maybe fought out of the country, but most training is done in the
>country.

Ah, thanks for straightening me out on that bit of military intelligence.

Because the band will be full of cell phones in the countries in which
they'll be fighting wars, they just can't have any cell phones in the band
in the country in which they'll be training for those wars. Makes perfect
sense, I should have seen that.

Thanks,
Dennis Ferguson

4178. Re: Ward's Editorial in Jan03 QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 21:38:27 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3E0630D3.9C76DCF0@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 58467

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> I think that older,
> "pre-Restructuring" hams, whenever approached to "Elmer" a newcomer
> ham, should practice "Tough Love."

Tough love is only effective if the person has no other options
available to them. "Tough Love" a newcomer ham and he'll
just abandon it and take up some other hobby. It's not like
"Stay off drugs or it's jail".

4179. Re: Ward's Editorial in Jan03 QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 21:43:43 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3E06320F.69FF5D38@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 58468

>
>
> > In a couple of months from now I'll mark my 50th anniversary of
> > first QSY on HF during military service, done on a 1 KW RTTY
> > transmitter in Tokyo to a receiver in Anchorage. One hour of
> > on-the-job instruction on how-to-do-it-properly including changing
> > the crystals in the exciter and setting the Mark and Space
> > frequencies. I wasn't trained for that in the service

You probably had the complete set of documentation for that
equipment. The military usually insists on full docs for the
stuff they procure. I had to write stuff like that when I worked
in the defense industry.

4180. Re: k1man QRMing = new 14.313 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 22:25:42 UTC
From: FT-847 She Male <suxchoade@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3e063c0d@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 58470
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"N0VFP 2.0" <brother_lloyd_69@pumpmymeat.com.spma> wrote in message
news:3e054b38$1_2@server....
>
> It looks like Glenn will be busy
> sending everyone a nice Christmas present,
> a lawsuit!
>
> LOL!

He allegedly tried similar tactics a few years ago
against some of the YaaM'ers up North. What happened
then? He's being jammed again today also, just
like yesterday (same old shit different fukin day)

4181. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 23:50:35 UTC
From: Brenda Ann <brendad@shinbiro.com>
Message-ID: <au5hhb$q6q$1@news1.kornet.net>
Source record: 58472
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

The reference was to some mil. radar frequencies...

And to Lloyd..

I don't see much, if any, difference between the liberal bullshitters and the conservative ones. Every one of them talks out both sides of their mouth, and all either of them want is your $$$. Only difference being that the liberals want it for big government and the conservatives want it for big business. Either way, it's yours, and they want it.

"Trent Creekmore" <tcreek@gt.rr.com> wrote in message news:XXsN9.38487$KW2.1249077@twister.austin.rr.com...
> .
> >
> > Because the band will be full of cell phones in the countries in which
> > they'll be fighting wars, they just can't have any cell phones in the band
> > in the country in which they'll be training for those wars. Makes perfect
> > sense, I should have seen that.
> >
> > Thanks,
> > Dennis Ferguson
>
>
> What are you talking about??
>
> For the Army, most freqs are in the 34-74 Mhz range.
>
>

4182. K1MAN Interviews Rush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-22 23:59:30 UTC
From: J <anon@janonnone.com>
Message-ID: <au5jkqmub0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58473

They said on 20 meters today k1man will interview Rush Limbaugh. Does anybody know when?

Tnx 73,

J

4183. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 00:07:33 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212221607.7cd6ccba@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58474
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Trent Creekmore" <tcreek@gt.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<oXpN9.38372$KW2.1213479@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> > > Most wars maybe fought out of the country, but most training is done in
> the
> > > country.
> >
> > Right, "Idaho Militia", etc. . . . .
>
> >
> > w3rv
>
> It is amazing so many sheep here do not even bother to study for themselves
> and accept what they hear without even bothering to at least check it out
> for themselves.
> I can tell you do not even kow what a military is. Study before you put your
> foot in your mouth again.

Giggle.

>
> Fort, Irwin, CA This place is dedicated to desert training.
> http://www.irwin.army.mil/
> Twentynine Palms, CA
> Fort Bragg, NC
> Fort Sill, OK
> Fort Lewis, WA
> Fort Hood, TX
>
> Heck to save time...
> here is a link for all army Forts in this country
>
> http://www.army.mil/installations/forts.htm
>
>
> And to save even more time, here is the DOD website and you can find out
> every military installion in the world.
>
> http://www.defenselink.mil/

Gimmeee a break, I've spent 10-12 years of my engineering career
directly involved with the U.S. military, all three branches in fact
from Basic at Dix & Belvoir to being a direct DoD employee to doing
contract R&D work. I've been on more military bases and on more Navy
ships than I can even start to recollect.

This is a HAM RADIO dot POLICY newsgroup. You and your inane posts have nothing to do with either. Go back to whatever dot rant NG you fell out of.

w3rv

4184. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 00:18:32 UTC
From: hhc314@yahoo.com
Message-ID: <7ce4e226.0212221618.121a5ca8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58475
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

"Lloyd" <Lloyd@nobodyknows14313.net> wrote in message news:<au4mjr$5s4$0@pita.alt.net>...
> For all you big government liberals from the left coast, we would
> like to invite you to the soverign state of Alabama for a free
> vacation. To show our hospitality, we will meet you at the airport
> and give you the use of a free automobile during yor stay in our
> great state. The car is a solar-powered pink Volvo, with a large
> bumper sticker on the back that reads, "I'm gay, I voted for Al Gore,
> I'm an atheist and a federal bureaucrat, and I'm here to take away
> your pick up trucks and guns." Y'all come now and be sure to let
> us know if you make it ok to your hotel.
>
> Lloyd

ROFL! Still, the last time I recall seeing an Al Gore caravan, I
believe they were all driving Ford Expedition SUVs, not Volvos.

Al likes SUVs for himself, preferably the largest available (although
I haven't yet seen him in a Hummer), but mini-Japmobiles for peasants
like the rest of us.

Thank God that Al Gore is now part of our national history. My
greatest fear is like Frankenstein's Monster, someday a lunatic
politico could revive him to a semblance of life. :-)

Harry C.

p.s. Al Gore was really funny on Saturday Night Live, largely because
most of the viewers know who he is and what he stands for. Were he not
a well known political figure however, he would have come across as
just another untalented dork! Think about it and see if you don't
agree.

4185. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 00:29:45 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E06593A.20520D35@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58476

"J. Keenan" wrote:

"Here we go again. Whenever a liberal big government type
starts losing a debate, they resort to name calling.
BTW, aside from your childish name calling, you are wrong."

and

"Nothing "fishy" about it at all. However, you must first have a
basic grasp on the law."

and

"If it's there in "black & white," you sure have a strange way
of interpreting Section 301. Perhaps your own "private way"?"

and

"That's no longer considered a valid reference."

and

"And your point is?"

and

"No, that is not correct. In many cases LPFMs don't need a
license."

and

"I asked you before, by what method are you reading
Section 301? That observation is not there."

It takes a special guy to reveal his stupidity in attempting to argue
these points with a former FCC attorney. You must feel like a fool.

Dave K8MN

4186. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 00:33:19 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E065A10.81A964F1@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58478

"Thomas W. Jackson" wrote:
>
> I thought government phone books are classified. Why do you
> have one?

Every embassy has ten, twenty, thirty or more copies of the U.S. Department of State phone book listing the numbers for officials in Washington and elsewhere in the United States along with telephone numbers (including STU-III and classified FAX lines) for every embassy and consulate in the world. These books are routinely issued to Foreign Service Nationals.

Dave K8MN

4187. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 00:33:44 UTC
From: Trent Creekmore <tcreek@gt.rr.com>
Message-ID: <IRsN9.37740$6H6.1166170@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 58477
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

> The reference was to some mil. radar frequencies...

I think it was cell phones, not radar

>
> And to Lloyd..
>
> I don't see much, if any, difference between the liberal bullshitters and
> the conservative ones. Every one of them talks out both sides of their
> mouth, and all either of them want is your $$$. Only difference being that
> the liberals want it for big government and the conservatives want it for
> big business. Either way, it's yours, and they want it.
>
>
> "Trent Creekmore" <tcreek@gt.rr.com> wrote in message
> news:XXsN9.38487$KW2.1249077@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > .
> > >
> > > Because the band will be full of cell phones in the countries in which
> > > they'll be fighting wars, they just can't have any cell phones in the
> band
> > > in the country in which they'll be training for those wars. Makes
> perfect
> > > sense, I should have seen that.
> > >
> > > Thanks,
> > > Dennis Ferguson
> >
> >
> > What are you talking about??
> >
> > For the Army, most freqs are in the 34-74 Mhz range.
> >
> >
>
>

4188. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 00:40:23 UTC
From: Trent Creekmore <tcreek@gt.rr.com>
Message-ID: <XXsN9.38487$KW2.1249077@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 58471
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
.
>
> Because the band will be full of cell phones in the countries in which
> they'll be fighting wars, they just can't have any cell phones in the band
> in the country in which they'll be training for those wars. Makes perfect
> sense, I should have seen that.
>
> Thanks,
> Dennis Ferguson
```

What are you talking about??

For the Army, most freqs are in the 34-74 Mhz range.

4189. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 00:45:38 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E065CAA.9AC8977D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58480

W5TIT wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3E04F763.9356B5D8@earthlink.net...
> > W5TIT wrote:
> > >
> > > You shouldn't think that all-things-not-Dave-thought are untrue. Trent
> is
> > > probably speaking of the true story from which a movie was inspired,
> called
> > > Lorenzo's Oil. From http://us.imdb.com/:
> >
> > Super. Be sure to tell us about how the parents became biologists.
> > I suppose the alternative was for them to be sheep--being raped by their
> > government. Maybe you could tie their ordeal into your definition of
> > terrorism.
> >
>
> I won't tell you a thing about the movie. If you don't want to watch it,
> then don't. I offered evidence that the story this person was relaying was
> true...since you're such a doubter.

Did you have any input about being a sheep being raped by your
government?

> > > It's also not exclusive--there are folks who've pioneered their way past
> > > what was told to them by whomever. I've won two cases in court by
> myself.
> > > There's no movie of them, though--there's no movie of a lot of great
> folks
> > > who think outside the box.
> >
> > So you think a movie should be made about you winning two court cases?
> > You must think yourself one of those "great folks".
> >
>
> No, Stupid. I don't think there should *have* to be such things in order
> for other people to believe each other. You would need such proof; I was
> telling you I don't have it to offer. You are such a freak.

I based my comment on your statement:

"I've won two cases in court by myself. There's no movie of
them, though--there's no movie of a lot of great
folks who think outside the box."

What is one who reads it to think, Kim? To me it says that you view yourself as a great person who "thinks outside the box", whatever that is.

> > > But, you live by the book...
> >
> > ...and you're a ditz. Maybe you and Dash Riprock or whatever his name
> > is can get a quantity discount on foil.

> I don't need foil, Dave. You may, though. I think it's funny you got so
> pissed because the truth was in the details.

Izzit? Are you a sheep being raped by your government?

Dave K8MN

4190. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 00:53:15 UTC
From: Trent Creekmore <tcreek@gt.rr.com>
Message-ID: <%7tN9.42200$Nz5.1043453@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 58481
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"> Thank God that Al Gore is now part of our national history. My
> greatest fear is like Frankenstein's Monster, someday a lunatic
> politico could revive him to a semblance of life. :-)
>
> Harry C.
>
> p.s. Al Gore was really funny on Saturday Night Live, largely because
> most of the viewers know who he is and what he stands for. Were he not
> a well known political figure however, he would have come across as
> just another untalented dork! Think about it and see if you don't
> agree.

He is just another version of Dan Quayle
```

4191. Re: k1man QRMing = new 14.313 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 01:28:18 UTC
From: Oris <orisnospam@dirtball.com>
Message-ID: <3E06667A.7040500@dirtball.com>
Source record: 58482
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I wouldn't be afraid to say that some of that trash isn't coming out of
Alabama and Flordia!
73 and Merry Christmas
Oris
Trent Lott is just being honest and probly right and they want to
crusafy him!
http://www.dirtball.com

Jim Hampton wrote:

>And another CBer adds his/her comments. Myself, I'm happy with 2 meters and
>440 FM although my license allows more. Australia - all rf path - has been
>no problem. Had a chat with friends in British Columbia today (although
>this was supported, in part, by internet linking). Who cares? I haven't
>received qrm in quite some time (like ... um ... 35 years). Life is too
>short to live with your panties tied up in knots. HF is best experienced
>with digital modes. The lids haven't gravitated there yet. The repeater?
>Well, we had a couple of problems. Only two. Neither lasted for more than
>a couple of minutes (in any case, I didn't suffer - the one guy had to
>suffer 40 words per minute CW from me, however ;) The other had the rug
>pulled out from under him. For every frequency with a problem (allowing 3
>KHz bandwidth) there are thousands without problems (and that would be HF
>plus, perhaps, 6 meters - a lot more if you allow those frequencies above
>6). If you enjoy listening to problems, go ahead but don't complain. If
>you don't, QSY. End problem. I think I'll see if Bill, VE7DTM, is back on
>the air.
>
>
>73 from Rochester, NY
>Jim
>
>
>"dirty stankin hams" <cqcqfatass@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:3e050230@snipnews.snip.net...
>
>
>>Looks like recent events by k1man have not
>>helped things that much. In fact it seems
>>to have taken the channel down Cuckoo's Nest Lane.
>>[perhaps it was there all along?]
>>14.275 all afternoon.has been a 3-ring circus.
>>While Maine is transmitting with peanut-butter in ears,
>>there were ten stations talking over him all at once. This
>>was accompanied by various potty-mouth and generally

>>retarded commentary, broccoli fart noises, ginger ale
>>gaseous-grade belching, SpongeBob Squarepants .wav's,
>>some guy playing a "mouth organ" (that's a harmonica
>>for the uneducated) taped music, a kid making "goo-goo
>>baby" noises (95% probability "goo-goo baby" tape is transmitted
>>from the Flushing NY area) some old farts talking about
>>how to make potato soup, the F-word sent in CW over
>>and over, and a perennial station down South who's
>>chastising everyone who's talking over Maine's Broadcast.
>>You want it, they got it and a everything in between folks, it sounds
>>like the old 14.313 War all over again. Amazing that
>>grown men an act like this, sounds like a bunch of 8 year
>>olds in a sandbox that just found out the stray cats been using it
>>as their litter box at night! All in all a quite an amusing time on the
>>ham ray-deeoh. Makes me comfortable in the knowledge that ham radio
>>is still the best screwball magnet we have going. Looks like
>>14.313 is baaaaaack my SWL's !! (sound of toilet flushing)
>>
>>Merry XXX-mas (from Suzie da "kiebassa queen")
>>Happy Hanukkah bush (you all messhuga!)
>>Happy Quanzaa (KFC & Wateymelon from Trent Lott to all)
>>
>>
>>http://www.lolfun.com/flash_1202/got_run_over.cfm
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>

4192. Re: k1man QRMing = new 14.313 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 01:28:56 UTC
From: Oris <orisnospam@dirtball.com>
Message-ID: <3E0666B0.2030003@dirtball.com>
Source record: 58483
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

I wouldn't be afraid to say that some of that trash isn't coming out of
Alabama and Florida!
73 and Merry Christmas
Oris
Trent Lott is just being honest and probably right and they want to
crucify him!
http://www.dirtball.com

Jim Hampton wrote:

>And another CBer adds his/her comments. Myself, I'm happy with 2 meters and
>440 FM although my license allows more. Australia - all rf path - has been
>no problem. Had a chat with friends in British Columbia today (although
>this was supported, in part, by internet linking). Who cares? I haven't
>received qrm in quite some time (like ... um ... 35 years). Life is too
>short to live with your panties tied up in knots. HF is best experienced
>with digital modes. The lids haven't gravitated there yet. The repeater?
>Well, we had a couple of problems. Only two. Neither lasted for more than
>a couple of minutes (in any case, I didn't suffer - the one guy had to
>suffer 40 words per minute CW from me, however ;) The other had the rug
>pulled out from under him. For every frequency with a problem (allowing 3
>KHz bandwidth) there are thousands without problems (and that would be HF
>plus, perhaps, 6 meters - a lot more if you allow those frequencies above
>6). If you enjoy listening to problems, go ahead but don't complain. If
>you don't, QSY. End problem. I think I'll see if Bill, VE7DTM, is back on
>the air.
>
>
>73 from Rochester, NY
>Jim
>
>
>"dirty stankin hams" <cqcqfatass@hotmail.com> wrote in message
>news:3e050230@snipnews.snip.net...
>
>
>>Looks like recent events by k1man have not
>>helped things that much. In fact it seems
>>to have taken the channel down Cuckoo's Nest Lane.
>>[perhaps it was there all along?]
>>14.275 all afternoon.has been a 3-ring circus.
>>While Maine is transmitting with peanut-butter in ears,
>>there were ten stations talking over him all at once. This
>>was accompanied by various potty-mouth and generally

>>retarded commentary, broccoli fart noises, ginger ale
>>gaseous-grade belching, SpongeBob Squarepants .wav's,
>>some guy playing a "mouth organ" (that's a harmonica
>>for the uneducated) taped music, a kid making "goo-goo
>>baby" noises (95% probability "goo-goo baby" tape is transmitted
>>from the Flushing NY area) some old farts talking about
>>how to make potato soup, the F-word sent in CW over
>>and over, and a perennial station down South who's
>>chastising everyone who's talking over Maine's Broadcast.
>>You want it, they got it and a everything in between folks, it sounds
>>like the old 14.313 War all over again. Amazing that
>>grown men an act like this, sounds like a bunch of 8 year
>>olds in a sandbox that just found out the stray cats been using it
>>as their litter box at night! All in all a quite an amusing time on the
>>ham ray-deeoh. Makes me comfortable in the knowledge that ham radio
>>is still the best screwball magnet we have going. Looks like
>>14.313 is baaaaaack my SWL's !! (sound of toilet flushing)
>>
>>Merry XXX-mas (from Suzie da "kiebassa queen")
>>Happy Hanukkah bush (you all messhuga!)
>>Happy Quanzaa (KFC & Wateymelon from Trent Lott to all)
>>
>>
>>http://www.lolfun.com/flash_1202/got_run_over.cfm
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>>
>
>
>
>

4193. Re: Seasoned greeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 01:36:49 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021222203649.00222.00000302@mb-mb.aol.com>
Source record: 58484

In article <D62ECEE18BC340B7.5D07D6E8A2E67D74.F1FA0F4284014E43@lp.airnews.net>, <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>Back up in NY, there was (Jim/N2EY, is there still?) an ale
>from Genessee, think it was called Genessee 10-horse ale or something like
>that.

Still there, far as I know.

>When I was visiting one time, it had only been on the market a short
>time. This stuff actually tasted pretty good, and it would kick butt, too.

Genessee Cream Ale (usually known as "Genny Cream" was my hands-down favoirte up there. Went perfect with red hots/white hots, beef on a weck or (sigh) wings. Makes me homesick for up there just to think of it. (Can one be homesick for a place that was "home" for less than 3 years?)

Next time you're in downtown Rochester, don't miss Dinosaur Barbecue. In the old LVRR passenger station, built 1904. Real barbecue in an extremely unlikely place.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4194. Re: Seasoned greeting

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 01:59:24 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <au75mi414iq@enews3.newsguy.com>
Source record: 58352

Yeah... I just do not drink as much anymore..... I may go through a 5th of Baileys during the Xmas season, but I still have a few shots worth left of the last 5th of Cuervo I bought last January. Went through a whole case of beer within the last twelve months too! I just don't like waking up the next morning and trying to figure out what the hell I did the night before. On a good note, it is a hell of a lot cheaper to be a "lightwieght" when it comes to drinking! :)

Happy Holidays!
--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

"Mike Coslo" <mjc5@psu.edu> wrote in message news:3E036CE0.50301@psu.edu...
> KC8PMX wrote:
> > This time of year Baileys Irish Creme is my favorite, but overall during
the
> > rest of the year, Cuervo Gold is my choice.
>
> NO on could accuse you of not having diverse tastes!! 8^) I'll settle
> for the Cuervo.
>
> - mike KB3EIA -
>

4195. Re: k1man QRMing = new 14.313 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 02:00:02 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <au5r00$c7o$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58485
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

Don't surprise me a bit you supporting trash like MAN. You being a professional pirate radio operator and all. You know...birds of a feather...eh Orfis?

And no I don't qrm anyone. Why bother....people like you have enough qrmers to jump on them.

Makes for interesting listening tho.

Orfis hope your family has a fine Christmas. But for you thats another subject.

Dan/W4NTI

"Oris" <orisnospam@dirtball.com> wrote in message news:3E0666B0.2030003@dirtball.com...
I wouldn't be afraid to say that some of that trash isn't coming out of Alabama and Florida!
73 and Merry Christmas
Oris
Trent Lott is just being honest and probably right and they want to crucify him!
http://www.dirtball.com

Jim Hampton wrote:

And another CBer adds his/her comments. Myself, I'm happy with 2 meters and 440 FM although my license allows more. Australia - all rf path - has been no problem. Had a chat with friends in British Columbia today (although this was supported, in part, by internet linking). Who cares? I haven't received qrm in quite some time (like ... um ... 35 years). Life is too short to live with your panties tied up in knots. HF is best experienced with digital modes. The lids haven't gravitated there yet. The repeater? Well, we had a couple of problems. Only two. Neither lasted for more than a couple of minutes (in any case, I didn't suffer - the one guy had to suffer 40 words per minute CW from me, however ;) The other had the rug pulled out from under him. For every frequency with a problem (allowing 3 KHz bandwidth) there are thousands without problems (and that would be HF plus, perhaps, 6 meters - a lot more if you allow those frequencies above 6). If you enjoy listening to problems, go ahead but don't complain. If you don't, QSY. End problem. I think I'll see if Bill, VE7DTM, is back on the air.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"dirty stankin hams" <cqcqfatass@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:3e050230@snipnews.snip.net...
Looks like recent events by k1man have not
helped things that much. In fact it seems

to have taken the channel down Cuckoo's Nest Lane.
[perhaps it was there all along?]
14.275 all afternoon.has been a 3-ring circus.
While Maine is transmitting with peanut-butter in ears,
there were ten stations talking over him all at once. This
was accompanied by various potty-mouth and generally
retarded commentary, broccoli fart noises, ginger ale
gaseous-grade belching, SpongeBob Squarepants .wav's,
some guy playing a "mouth organ" (that's a harmonica
for the uneducated) taped music, a kid making "goo-goo
baby" noises (95% probability "goo-goo baby" tape is transmitted
from the Flushing NY area) some old farts talking about
how to make potato soup, the F-word sent in CW over
and over, and a perennial station down South who's
chastising everyone who's talking over Maine's Broadcast.
You want it, they got it and a everything in between folks, it sounds
like the old 14.313 War all over again. Amazing that
grown men an act like this, sounds like a bunch of 8 year
olds in a sandbox that just found out the stray cats been using it
as their litter box at night! All in all a quite an amusing time on the
ham ray-deeoh. Makes me comfortable in the knowledge that ham radio
is still the best screwball magnet we have going. Looks like
14.313 is baaaaaack my SWL's !! (sound of toilet flushing)

Merry XXX-mas (from Suzie da "kiebassa queen")
Happy Hanukkah bush (you all messhuga!)
Happy Quanzaa (KFC & Wateymelon from Trent Lott to all)

http://www.lolfun.com/flash_1202/got_run_over.cfm

4196. Re: K1MAN Interviews Rush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 02:03:45 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <au5r6s$nm9$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58486

When you know what freezes over.

Dan/W4NTI

"J" <anon@janonnone.com> wrote in message news:au5jkqmub0@pita.alt.net...
> They said on 20 meters today k1man will interview Rush
> Limbaugh. Does anybody know when?
>
> Tnx 73,
>
> J
>
>
>

4197. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 02:21:30 UTC
From: Trent Creekmore <tcreek@gt.rr.com>
Message-ID: <KquN9.38514$KW2.1268057@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 58479
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

> Gimmeee a break, I've spent 10-12 years of my engineering career
> directly involved with the U.S. military, all three branches in fact
> from Basic at Dix & Belvoir to being a direct DoD employee to doing
> contract R&D work. I've been on more military bases and on more Navy
> ships than I can even start to recollect.
>

All books and no common sense

> This is a HAM RADIO dot POLICY newsgroup. You and your inane posts
> have nothing to do with either. Go back to whatever dot rant NG you
> fell out of.
>
>
> w3rv

This is also a RADIO dot PIRATE newgroup

4198. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 03:07:54 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021222220754.28245.00000585@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 58489

In article <3AEBA18982725550.FC850F6177CD5D09.C91D399E33FA751A@lp.airnews.net>,
"W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>
>Frequency coordination (for amateur radio, anyway) is purely political--I'm
>told. I never have gotten involved with the concepts of it at all for all
>the politics it sounds like are involved, i.e., it's just one of those
>things to stay constantly pissed off about--and I don't want to be
>constantly pissed off.
>
>Kim W5TIT

Kim:

However, you apparently have no problem with other people being pissed off,
or you wouldn't post such inane, pointless bilge such as this!

73 de Larry, K3LT

4199. Re: Remember: Most trusted man in America backs K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 03:07:54 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021222220754.28245.00000586@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 58491

In article <Gf8N9.203$ka5.23303@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Grï¿½mwï¿½tch thï¿½ ï¿½nflï¿½ppï¿½blï¿½" <Grï¿½mwï¿½tch@NOSPAM.THANKS.IM.FULL.lycos.co.de> writes:

>: Glen Baxter, K1MAN, has scored a major public relations coup for his
>: high frequency bulletin service. Baxter has managed to get the man
>: known as the nations most trusted voice in America to record
>
>Elderly news, llloyyyyyyyyyyyddddddd! At least 5 years old, and Walter has
>since sued baxter in court to remove the announcements.

If this is true, why did Cronkite record the announcements in the
first place? Or are they bootlegged?

73 de Larry, K3LT

4200. Re: Goals for 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 03:07:55 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021222220755.28245.00000587@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 58488

In article <f45722ac.0212201932.643c5d37@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>> One goal I want to work on is to finally get my Worked All States award,
>> after over 20 years as a ham! To that end, I'm working on improving my
>> indoor dipole antenna farm in my third-floor apartment.
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>Larry, get an ARRL WAS map. Color them in as you get them. Good luck, Brian

Brian:

Gee, that was fairly reasonable advice, coming from you! However, I've
been tracking my WAS/DXCC progress in my QSL card file, so I've got
a pretty good idea of where I am. Only 7 states short as of this time
from my present location. My log says I've got DXCC knocked, but I
don't have all the cards yet. I don't do e-Qsl's.

73 de Larry, K3LT

4201. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 03:07:56 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021222220756.28245.00000588@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 58487

In article <20021220203641.07882.00000221@mb-mr.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>>This is similar, but different to the other post, but what are your
>>equipment goals for 2003? Are you going to purchase any new gear of any
>>kind related to amateur radio or radio in general? Are you planning on
>>constructing any new gear?
>>
>1) Reduce parts inventory to manageable level.
>
>2) Modify homebrew trap dipole system for better SWR on 20 and 15 meters.

Jim:

My absolutely free advice: Get rid of the traps and use an "all-band"
dipole fed with ladder line (preferably the "real" homebrewed ladder line).
They work better and the SWR is always good because you are using
an antenna tuner to stuff the RF into the wire.

>2) A few upgrades for my Elecraft K2 (noise blanker, various mod kits)
>
>3) Homebrew 100W class external amplifier of my own design for the K2.
>Thinking
>about a single grid-driven 811. 1000 volts on the plate, zero bias Class B
>looks about right..
>
>4) New "Southgate Type 8" homebrew station.

'splain, Lucy!

73 de Larry, K3LT

4202. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 03:07:56 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021222220756.28245.00000589@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 58490

In article <hNRM9.291669$GR5.96554@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>, "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:

>
>"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
>news:atv9qi314v2@enews2.newsguy.com...
>> This is similar, but different to the other post, but what are your
>> equipment goals for 2003? Are you going to purchase any new gear of any
>> kind related to amateur radio or radio in general? Are you planning on
>> constructing any new gear?
>
>I'm planning on buying either an HF rig, or an HF + 6 meter rig. Which one,
>I'm not sure yet. I'd also like to educate myself on antennas and RF
>propagation to the point where I could feel confident in building my own
>antenna, simple though it might be. I'd like to end up with two antennas,
>actually; a vertical (which I'd probably just buy from a commercial source
>like Cushcraft or Butternut), and then maybe try to build a dipole of some
>sort.
>
>Jackie KC0ODY

Jackie:

Build the dipole first. They don't get much simpler, and few work any better! Do yourself a favor and invest in The ARRL Antenna Book. It will answer all your questions about antennas, and give you enough ideas to keep you busy for a long time to come!

73 de Larry, K3LT

4203. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 03:56:55 UTC
From: "J. Keenan" <keenan@geee57.org>
Message-ID: <au61hv$8qt$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58492

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E06593A.20520D35@earthlink.net...
>
> It takes a special guy to reveal his stupidity in
attempting..... //snip//

YAAAAAAAAAWWWWWWNNNN

4204. Ham Running For Office - Orange County, California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 04:22:42 UTC
From: "William A. Wetzel" <n6rky@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <mcwN9.1903$ka5.218079@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58493

Greetings,

This message is to announce that I am running for public office in Orange County, California. I am running for Orange County Supervisor (3rd District) to be held this January 28th., 2003. As a ham radio operator, I will help to assist hams in my area regarding public policy involving Amateur Radio.

Thank you for your support!

--
William A. Wetzel
http://www.qsl.net/n6rky
mailto:n6rky@earthlink.net

4205. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 04:25:51 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <733649803C164EB6.26E461FC02C2EDD4.213B72239E92546F@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58494

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E065CAA.9AC8977D@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT wrote:
>
> > I don't need foil, Dave. You may, though. I think it's funny you got
so
> > pissed because the truth was in the details.
>
> Izzit? Are you a sheep being raped by your government?
>
> Dave K8MN

You're getting quite boring, Dave. You're an oaf. I wasn't having that
conversation with you, someone else was.

Kim W5TIT

4206. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 04:29:54 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <A6759BD164E39D78.541D6095BE30AED2.3CE8F87435BBF448@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58495

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021222220754.28245.00000585@mb-fo.aol.com...
> In article
<3AEBA18982725550.FC850F6177CD5D09.C91D399E33FA751A@lp.airnews.net>,
> "W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>
> >
> >Frequency coordination (for amateur radio, anyway) is purely
political--I'm
> >told. I never have gotten involved with the concepts of it at all for
all
> >the politics it sounds like are involved, i.e., it's just one of those
> >things to stay constantly pissed off about--and I don't want to be
> >constantly pissed off.
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
>
> Kim:
>
> However, you apparently have no problem with other people being pissed
off,
> or you wouldn't post such inane, pointless bilge such as this!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>

If you're pissed off, Larry, why would I have a problem with that? A) If
you're pissed off at me--like I care; B) if you're pissed off at something I
posted--like I care; and C) if you're just generally pissed off--like I
care.

So, stay pissed off if you'd like. You do seem to like being pissed off. I
do not.

Kim W5TIT

4207. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 07:41:08 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212222341.30028afd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58496
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Lloyd" <Lloyd@nobodyknows14313.net> wrote in message news:<au58qf$l25$0@pita.alt.net>...
> "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:d22b54c3.0212220627.3bc3bea1@posting.google.com...
> >
> > Right, "Idaho Militia", etc. . . . .
> >
> > w3rv
>
> And not that I expect you to tell the truth, but what is your
> military background?

One will get you ten it's longer & deeper than yours. How 'bout we
latch up on 7.015 some nite and compare resumes?

w3rv

4208. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 07:47:25 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212222347.20847a83@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58497

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021222220756.28245.00000588@mb-fo.aol.com>...
> In article <20021220203641.07882.00000221@mb-mr.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> writes:
>
> >>This is similar, but different to the other post, but what are your
> >>equipment goals for 2003? Are you going to purchase any new gear of any
> >>kind related to amateur radio or radio in general? Are you planning on
> >>constructing any new gear?
> >>
> >1) Reduce parts inventory to manageable level.
> >
> >2) Modify homebrew trap dipole system for better SWR on 20 and 15 meters.
>
> Jim:
>
> My absolutely free advice: Get rid of the traps and use an "all-band"
> dipole fed with ladder line (preferably the "real" homebrewed ladder line).
> They work better and the SWR is always good because you are using
> an antenna tuner to stuff the RF into the wire.
>
> >2) A few upgrades for my Elecraft K2 (noise blanker, various mod kits)
> >
> >3) Homebrew 100W class external amplifier of my own design for the K2.
> >Thinking
> >about a single grid-driven 811. 1000 volts on the plate, zero bias Class B
> >looks about right..
> >
> >4) New "Southgate Type 8" homebrew station.
>
> 'splain, Lucy!

OhMiGod . . ! DON'T go there Larry . . ahhh, too late . . .

>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

w3rv

4209. Re: Goals for 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 12:07:51 UTC
From: wa2si@arrl.net
Message-ID: <b7d1465c.0212230407.5eaf4d8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58498

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote in message news:<20021221104735.07882.00000235@mb-mr.aol.com>...
> In article <b7d1465c.0212201458.605cbb94@posting.google.com>, wa2si@arrl.net
> (Bert Craig) writes:
>
> >I would like to build
> >an Elecraft K2/100 though. So much so that I've already downloaded the
> >entire manual along with the errata sheets to study and have begun to
> >assemble all the required tools.
>
> You'll also get a Rev. B model, too!

The latest and the greatest, kewl!

> From my perspective, the "ultimate" implementation is to put the 100W amp and
> tuner in a separate EC2 enclosure. Requires a bit of homebrewing because of
> unnecessary restrictions forced on law-abiding hams by the violations of
> <illegal> cbers and freebanders.

There's a thought. I kinda like the compact package though.

> A neat thing about those kits is you can build the basic one and add features
> as time/money allow.

A sub-$600 starter price for a rig that's right up there with the
"triple kilobuck" rigs in terms of receive performace is a-ok in my
book. Two thumbs up!

> >The Elecraft reflector is also a
> >great way to learn from other's experiences and perhaps avoid a
> >misstep or two.
>
> Yep. Also a lot about how the rigs work, and the thinking that went into the
> design. The PLL system is particularly interesting.
>
> > (Thanks Jim N2EY.)
>
> You're welcome!
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

4210. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 13:19:58 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212230519.26848f44@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58499
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0212222341.30028afd@posting.google.com>...
> "Lloyd" <Lloyd@nobodyknows14313.net> wrote in message
news:<au58qf$l25$0@pita.alt.net>...
> > "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> > news:d22b54c3.0212220627.3bc3bea1@posting.google.com...
> > >
> > > Right, "Idaho Militia", etc. . . . .
> > >
> > > w3rv
> >
> > And not that I expect you to tell the truth, but what is your
> > military background?
>
> One will get you ten it's longer & deeper than yours. How 'bout we
> latch up on 7.015 some nite and compare resumes?
>
>
> w3rv

Brian, I thought you didn't have any military service? Brian

4211. Re: Goals for 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 13:22:40 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212230522.6e70f580@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58500

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021222220755.28245.00000587@mb-fo.aol.com>...
> In article <f45722ac.0212201932.643c5d37@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >> One goal I want to work on is to finally get my Worked All States award,
> >> after over 20 years as a ham! To that end, I'm working on improving my
> >> indoor dipole antenna farm in my third-floor apartment.
> >>
> >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
> >Larry, get an ARRL WAS map. Color them in as you get them. Good luck, Brian
>
> Brian:
>
> Gee, that was fairly reasonable advice, coming from you! However, I've
> been tracking my WAS/DXCC progress in my QSL card file, so I've got
> a pretty good idea of where I am. Only 7 states short as of this time
> from my present location. My log says I've got DXCC knocked, but I
> don't have all the cards yet. I don't do e-Qsl's.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Yep, its getting those cards that's harder than working the countries.

4212. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 13:27:50 UTC
From: Chief Op Randy <randallw@technetisp.net>
Message-ID: <au730e$9rq$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58351

drove down to Davidson county yesterday. Found one
station in FM broadcast band who would only ID using
"DV-97" monitored several hours, never heard him use any
other call but that. Weak sig compared to other stations in
band. Sig was stronger in east & north part of
city-county. much political talk, little entertainment. Anyone
else hearing him? sig unstable at times.

Randy

"Wheeler" <cwheeler@zipmailsvc.net> wrote in message
news:atkmnj$d1t$0@pita.alt.net...
> Apparently, Davidson County in Tennessee is issuing some sort
> "radio license." I saw one this weekend, and they look official.
> It matters not they are legal, since the "licensees" believe they are.
> Got in a heated discussion with one guy. Told him it wasn't worth
> the paper it was printed on. He assured me it was and he is running
> a low power FM station, using his "license" to broadcast.
> Watching how far this "license" thing will go should prove to be
> interesting.
> And for the cynics, no it is not a troll, just an item of interest to
> people interested in radio things.
>
> 73 from Wheeler
>
>
>

4213. Re: Ward's Editorial in Jan03 QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 16:46:33 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021223114633.18662.00000120@mb-mt.aol.com>
Source record: 58353

In article <3E06320F.69FF5D38@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>> > In a couple of months from now I'll mark my 50th anniversary of
>> > first QSY on HF during military service, done on a 1 KW RTTY
>> > transmitter in Tokyo to a receiver in Anchorage. One hour of
>> > on-the-job instruction on how-to-do-it-properly including changing
>> > the crystals in the exciter and setting the Mark and Space
>> > frequencies. I wasn't trained for that in the service
>
>You probably had the complete set of documentation for that
>equipment. The military usually insists on full docs for the
>stuff they procure. I had to write stuff like that when I worked
>in the defense industry.

"Complete?" :-) From pre-WW2 designed tube transmitters?

The BC-339 1 KW transmitter dated from around 1938 or so
even if Lewyt Vacuum Cleaner Co. made most of them during
WW2. The same with the BC-340 10 KW power amplifier
(driven by a BC-339). The Press Wireless PW-15 (15 KW)
was commercial grade, another pre-WW2 design. The only
other "new" transmitter was the Western Electric LD-T2,
designed after WW2, preset-tuned to 10 frequencies so that
a QSY required only a push-button selection to get the servo-
motors going to reach the preset positions. The pre-WW2
SSB transmitter, a Western Electric thing from around 1935,
was the only cranky transmitter to QSY. Despite their age
and oldness, all the transmitters went a year or more before
needing any maintenance, even if running 24/7.

There was liternally NO smarts needed to operate any of the
transmitters...the same as it is now, a half century later. All
got on-the-job training by being shown how to do it. Those on
maintenance would sometimes need to look at schematics
to replace a part or whatever...schematics from pre-1940
format. NONE of that equipment was taught in Signal School
at Fort Monmouth. The closest to that was field radio taught
at Gordon, principally on the ancient BC-610 and an FSK
exciter that was part of the AN/GRC-26.

Signal Depots handled the extensive repair jobs, those limited
to overhauling the one-ton power transformers for the BC-340,
filled with PCB oil I might add. :-)

For the six-rack, 360+ tube microwave radio relay terminals, all the microwave MOS types got a 10-day "school" run by two General Electric tech reps using one of the first of the FRC-23s installed in Honshu. The "manual" was a stock GE commercial tome and I really don't know if any "official military manual" was ever written up on it. We all (in two battalions) got to glue on "official" labels on each rack cabinet to designate it as part of the "AN/FRC-23." :-)

As to documentation, the familiar military style for all military electronics manuals was firmly set after WW2, not before, although the Army set the style for all branches during WW2. Anyone can see that from perusing the "boat anchor" manuals available several places. I'll recommend downloading the manuals for the T-195/GRC-19 from August Johnson's website (www.kg7bz.com) for anyone wanting to know the guts of an HF tube transmitter and How It Works...originally written some time around 1953, probably at Collins Radio, the designer.

Yes, I'm familiar with military documentation. As the only designer for the AN/ASM-416 (made by RCA), I had to not only look over the tech writer's shoulder while he was writing it but also explain the draft to the visiting government type from CENCOM (Monmouth), sometime around 1973.

4214. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 17:11:26 UTC
From: Vic Thompson <userlist@chicagouserlistb12.com>
Message-ID: <au7g3m$f44$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58354
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h7huotw.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Fri, 20 Dec 2002 20:52:49 -0500, Bill Sohl wrote:
>
> Perhaps we should ask Mister BIAS COMMS what his membership number
> in the Society of Broadcast Engineers is.....
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> SBE Chap. 124 Vice Chair
>
>
Membership in professional clubs has never inferred professional
competence or confirmed a certain education level. However, said
membership often serves to provide the members with an ego boost.
Some of the best engineers I ever employed were neither members of
any professional clubs nor had any interest in them.

Vic Thompson
Northeast Engineering Research

4215. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 17:18:07 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021223121807.20512.00000381@mb-mh.aol.com>
Source record: 58355

In article <f45722ac.0212230519.26848f44@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
>news:<d22b54c3.0212222341.30028afd@posting.google.com>...
>> "Lloyd" <Lloyd@nobodyknows14313.net> wrote in message
>news:<au58qf$l25$0@pita.alt.net>...
>> > "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
>> > news:d22b54c3.0212220627.3bc3bea1@posting.google.com...
>> > >
>> > > Right, "Idaho Militia", etc. . . . .
>> > >
>> > > w3rv
>> >
>> > And not that I expect you to tell the truth, but what is your
>> > military background?
>>
>> One will get you ten it's longer & deeper than yours. How 'bout we
>> latch up on 7.015 some nite and compare resumes?
>>
>>
>> w3rv
>
>Brian, I thought you didn't have any military service? Brian

Kellie NEVER took the oath, NEVER served as a military
member.

His "military background" is more like standing at the front
gate of some post having his picture taken with the post sign
showing in the background... :-)

ex-RA16408336

4216. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 17:22:20 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212230922.6022af70@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58356

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021222220756.28245.00000588@mb-fo.aol.com>...
> In article <20021220203641.07882.00000221@mb-mr.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
> writes:

> >1) Reduce parts inventory to manageable level.
> >
> >2) Modify homebrew trap dipole system for better SWR on 20 and 15 meters.
>
> Jim:
>
> My absolutely free advice: Get rid of the traps and use an "all-band"
> dipole fed with ladder line (preferably the "real" homebrewed ladder line).
> They work better and the SWR is always good because you are using
> an antenna tuner to stuff the RF into the wire.

OK, here's a challenge for ya, Larry:

The trap dipole is mounted with the feedpoint/apex at 35 feet and the ends at an average of 15 feet. Traps are resonant at 7.05 MHz and have Q of 150 on 3.5 MHz. Equivalent L of each trap is 13 uH. Overall length of antenna is about 106 feet. Feed is 40 feet of RG-8X. No balun or tuner is used on the two design bands (80 and 40 CW, of course) because the SWR is under 2:1 over the CW parts of those bands. On 20 and 15, a homebrew tuner is used because the SWR is a little high.

Compare that setup to a similar no-trap antenna with ladder line and tuner. Be sure to include losses due to high SWR on the ladder line. Calculate the feedpoint Z at the shack end of 40 feet of the ladder line and describe a tuner and balun arrangement that will handle the complex impedances presented. Also calculate losses of same.

The feedpoint of this antenna is held aloft by metal TV mast held by a wall mount. Said mast is grounded with #6 solid wire for lightning protection. The coax simply drops from the feedpoint down the side of the house to the basement window, and is unaffected by the ground wire or metal mast. Antenna can be raised or lowered from the ground by means of a halyard arranegment.

Describe a mechanical support for the ladder line to keep it away from the mast on windy days, yet allow lowering the antenna without dragging out the big ladder each time.

Also describe how many more QSOs/sections will result in next CW SS due to all the work required.

> >2) A few upgrades for my Elecraft K2 (noise blanker, various mod kits)
> >
> >3) Homebrew 100W class external amplifier of my own design for the K2.
> >Thinking
> >about a single grid-driven 811. 1000 volts on the plate, zero bias Class B
> >looks about right..
> >
> >4) New "Southgate Type 8" homebrew station.
>
> 'splain, Lucy!

Like W3RV sez, you don't wanna go there.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4217. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 18:10:54 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212231010.4dddd832@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58357
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0212230519.26848f44@posting.google.com>...
> kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0212222341.30028afd@posting.google.com>...
> > "Lloyd" <Lloyd@nobodyknows14313.net> wrote in message
news:<au58qf$l25$0@pita.alt.net>...
> > > "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:d22b54c3.0212220627.3bc3bea1@posting.google.com...
> > > >
> > > > Right, "Idaho Militia", etc. . . . .
> > > >
> > > > w3rv
> > >
> > > And not that I expect you to tell the truth, but what is your
> > > military background?
> >
> > One will get you ten it's longer & deeper than yours. How 'bout we
> > latch up on 7.015 some nite and compare resumes?
> >
> >
> > w3rv
>
> Brian, I thought you didn't have any military service?

He challenged my military background, not my service time.

> Brian

w3rv

4218. Re: Foolishness Revisited

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 19:28:56 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212231128.2fc5896@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58358

"Rotund" <Anon@Anon.com> wrote in message news:<au41n9$93c$0@pita.alt.net>...
> You don't suppose that...

Amateur Radio Operators used to be known as gentlemen. Thankfully,
you don't have a callsign.

4219. Re: Goals for 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 19:43:08 UTC
From: Light Bar <StreetHawk@Anon.com>
Message-ID: <au7ov9$624$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58359

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0212230522.6e70f580@posting.google.com...
> yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021222220755.28245.00000587@mb-fo.aol.com>...
> > In article <f45722ac.0212201932.643c5d37@posting.google.com>,
> > brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
> >
> > >> One goal I want to work on is to finally get my Worked All States
award,
> > >> after over 20 years as a ham! To that end, I'm working on improving
my
> > >> indoor dipole antenna farm in my third-floor apartment.

My goal will be to see Glenn Baxter taken off the air.

4220. Re: K1MAN Interviews Rush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 20:11:16 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3E076DE4.40609@mailcity.net>
Source record: 58364

J wrote:
> They said on 20 meters today k1man will interview Rush
> Limbaugh. Does anybody know when?
>
> Tnx 73,
>
> J

And just what does Limbaugh have to do with ham radio? If K1MAN
does this interview it would prove agaiin he is just broadcasting
a talk program and is of no service to amateur radio.

4221. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 20:35:05 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0773BE.9BEE343A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58360

"J. Keenan" wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3E06593A.20520D35@earthlink.net...
> >
> > It takes a special guy to reveal his stupidity in
> attempting..... //snip//
>
> YAAAAAAAAAWWWWWWNNNN

My error. I meant "special and bored guy to reveal his stupidity..."

Dave K8MN

4222. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 20:37:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E077443.C344857A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58361

W5TIT wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3E065CAA.9AC8977D@earthlink.net...
> > W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > > I don't need foil, Dave. You may, though. I think it's funny you got
> so
> > > pissed because the truth was in the details.
> >
> > Izzit? Are you a sheep being raped by your government?

> You're getting quite boring, Dave. You're an oaf. I wasn't having that
> conversation with you, someone else was.

That's right. I was. You came along and tried to take it in a
different direction altogether.

Dave K8MN

4223. Re: Ham Running For Office - Orange County, California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 20:47:02 UTC
From: Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com>
Message-ID: <8ate0vkn35mv3ot0c13rmjcv4rtuq0b8ft@4ax.com>
Source record: 58362

I served as Third District Nevada County (CA) Supervisor for two terms -- 85 to 93. I hope you do well.

A story for your amusement as to how politicians should conduct themselves:

I went down a street and saw a red light burning on a front porch. I went inside and found a choice of two doors, "Over 40" and "Under 40". Being an honest type, I went through the "Over 40" door and found another two doors. One said "Over 8 inches" and the other one said "Under 8 inches". Again, being the honest type, I chose the "Under..." door. Again two doors, "Once an night" and "All night long". Honesty made me go with "Once...", whereupon I found myself back out on the street.

The point? Be honest, tell the truth, and you'll never get screwed.

{;-)

Jim

"William A. Wetzel" <n6rky@earthlink.net>
shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:

->Greetings,
->
->This message is to announce that I am running for public office in Orange
->County, California. I am running for Orange County Supervisor (3rd District)
->to be held this January 28th., 2003. As a ham radio operator, I will help to
->assist hams in my area regarding public policy involving Amateur Radio.
->
->Thank you for your support!

Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng. WX6RST
A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

4224. Re: K1MAN Interviews Rush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 21:51:26 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net>
Message-ID: <yzLN9.9779$4j5.5675878@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 58363

This seems unlikely. I would think that this would definitely fall outside
the limited amateur broadcast privileges.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

"J" <anon@janonnone.com> wrote in message news:au5jkqmub0@pita.alt.net...
> They said on 20 meters today k1man will interview Rush
> Limbaugh. Does anybody know when?
>
> Tnx 73,
>
> J
>
>
>

4225. Re: K1MAN Interviews Rush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-23 22:52:49 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v0f4us4ri9h986@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 58365

I don't know, Dan. Yesterday VE7WNE, Wayne, said he may be mowing his lawn on Christmas (!) ... For some funny reason, whenever someone tells me what is good for this country (or whatever ... fill in the blank), it is usually good for the person telling me what to do (not for me, however). Some idiot a couple of years back was suggesting that the answer to high energy costs in my area was conservation. He and his neighbors all had heat pumps. Yep, he was in Fairport - a municipal utility that buys all cheap hydroelectric and he was paying about 3 1/2 cents per kilowatt hour. When it gets much below 40 degrees, heat pumps are just about worthless and the back up (electric heat) takes over. If he had to pay what I do (12 to 15 cents per kilowatt hour), I'd guarantee he'd switch to natural gas - but, of course, he knew how to solve everyone else's problems ;) The Rush Limbaughs of this world simply spout what is good for them. We had an interesting letter to the editor last week in the newspaper. It was from a gentleman who works in our local school system. Our schools are facing huge deficits. Seems a lot of business donate old computers. Yep, take a write off. Problem is, some of these old clunkers are 386 processors or even older. They have to be junked by the school at a cost of $17.00 each. Nice country. Big business gets a write-off for letting the school district pay to scrap the junk! Better give the CEO another couple million dollar bonus for figuring out that legal loophole.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:au5r6s$nm9$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> When you know what freezes over.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "J" <anon@janonnone.com> wrote in message
news:au5jkqmub0@pita.alt.net...
> > They said on 20 meters today k1man will interview Rush
> > Limbaugh. Does anybody know when?
> >
> > Tnx 73,
> >
> > J
> >
> >
> >
>
>

4226. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 00:22:01 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7kzpi0.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58366

On Mon, 23 Dec 2002 00:33:19 GMT, Dave Heil wrote:

>"Thomas W. Jackson" wrote:
>>
>> I thought government phone books are classified. Why do you
>> have one?
>
>Every embassy has ten, twenty, thirty or more copies of the U.S.
>Department of State phone book listing the numbers for officials in
>Washington and elsewhere in the United States along with telephone
>numbers (including STU-III and classified FAX lines) for every embassy
>and consulate in the world. These books are routinely issued to Foreign
>Service Nationals.

The current FCC Phone Book (database and reader) have been an
integral part of a commercial Commuications Regulation rules
service of Pike & Fischer, Inc. Thirty-six hundred bucks a
year. The booklet itself may still be available from the FCC's
Document Contractor as it was in the past and at a much cheaper
price.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4227. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 00:22:02 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7l0m32.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58368

(Cross-posting removed)

On Sun, 22 Dec 2002 15:54:48 -0500, Lloyd wrote:

>
> And who is the character in this thread who claims he served
>in the military forces of Israel, even worked for one of their
>"ministries" Minisistry of Communications or other such nonsense.
> Then he turns around and claims he was in the U.S. military where
> he held all sorts of high security clearances, even more nonsense,
> says he held higher security clearances as a civilian government
> contractor. Was on the President's staff, commanded a submarine
>and an Air Force base.

Lloyd thinks that he can do creative writing but he should not
quit his day job = he can't create a fantasy character based
on a real person as well as Tom Clancy does.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4228. Re: Ham Running For Office - Orange County, California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 00:22:08 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7l04z1.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58367

On Mon, 23 Dec 2002 04:22:42 GMT, William A. Wetzel wrote:

>Greetings,
>
>This message is to announce that I am running for public office in Orange
>County, California. I am running for Orange County Supervisor (3rd District)
>to be held this January 28th., 2003. As a ham radio operator, I will help to
>assist hams in my area regarding public policy involving Amateur Radio.
>
>Thank you for your support!

I'd vote for you if you were in the right (i.e. my) party, except
that I'm registered to vote elsewhere.

Sorry, OM.....

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From a Clearing in the Silicon Forest
Beaverton (Washington County) Oregon

4229. Re: Ham Running For Office - Orange County, California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 00:46:22 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021223194622.12070.00000181@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 58369

>Subject: Re: Ham Running For Office - Orange County, California
>From: "Phil Kane" Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop
>Date: 12/23/02 6:22 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7l04z1.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>

>On Mon, 23 Dec 2002 04:22:42 GMT, William A. Wetzel wrote:
>
>>Greetings,
>>
>>This message is to announce that I am running for public office in Orange
>>County, California.

>I'd vote for you if you were in the right (i.e. my) party, except
> that I'm registered to vote elsewhere.

I know William. He's a man of good character and firm convictions.

Too bad some folks still vote just on the basis of party lines. That's an incredibly stupid thing to do. I'm a registered Republican, but crossed that line many-a-time when the other candidate presented a better platform.

73

Steve, K4YZ

4230. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 00:51:57 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021223195157.12070.00000182@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 58370

>Subject: Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses
>From: lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21)
>Date: 12/23/02 11:18 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021223121807.20512.00000381@mb-mh.aol.com>

>His "military background" is more like standing at the front
> gate of some post having his picture taken with the post sign
> showing in the background

Regardless of what it was or wasn't...I have yet to see Brian Kelly try to make himself look heroic with the memory of soldiers who died when he was no where to be found.

>ex-RA16408336

You used to be a Russian-registered helicopter?

4231. Re: K1MAN Interviews Rush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 01:15:51 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <au8cfv$g1l$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58371

Yep...it amazes me also. This Llllloooyyyydddd loser is a prime example of the need for retroactive birth control.

Dan/W4NTI

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message news:v0f4us4ri9h986@corp.supernews.com...
> I don't know, Dan. Yesterday VE7WNE, Wayne, said he may be mowing his lawn
> on Christmas (!) ... For some funny reason, whenever someone tells me what
> is good for this country (or whatever ... fill in the blank), it is usually
> good for the person telling me what to do (not for me, however). Some idiot
> a couple of years back was suggesting that the answer to high energy costs
> in my area was conservation. He and his neighbors all had heat pumps. Yep,
> he was in Fairport - a municipal utility that buys all cheap hydroelectric
> and he was paying about 3 1/2 cents per kilowatt hour. When it gets much
> below 40 degrees, heat pumps are just about worthless and the back up
> (electric heat) takes over. If he had to pay what I do (12 to 15 cents per
> kilowatt hour), I'd guarantee he'd switch to natural gas - but, of course,
> he knew how to solve everyone else's problems ;) The Rush Limbaughs of this
> world simply spout what is good for them. We had an interesting letter to
> the editor last week in the newspaper. It was from a gentleman who works in
> our local school system. Our schools are facing huge deficits. Seems a lot
> of business donate old computers. Yep, take a write off. Problem is, some
> of these old clunkers are 386 processors or even older. They have to be
> junked by the school at a cost of $17.00 each. Nice country. Big business
> gets a write-off for letting the school district pay to scrap the junk!
> Better give the CEO another couple million dollar bonus for figuring out
> that legal loophole.
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message

> news:au5r6s$nm9$1@slb5.atl.mindspring.net...
> > When you know what freezes over.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > "J" <anon@janonnone.com> wrote in message
> news:au5jkqmub0@pita.alt.net...
> > > They said on 20 meters today k1man will interview Rush
> > > Limbaugh. Does anybody know when?
> > >
> > > Tnx 73,
> > >
> > > J
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

4232. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 01:18:59 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212231718.4092d3f6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58372
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0212231010.4dddd832@posting.google.com>...
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0212230519.26848f44@posting.google.com>...
> > kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0212222341.30028afd@posting.google.com>...
> > > "Lloyd" <Lloyd@nobodyknows14313.net> wrote in message
news:<au58qf$l25$0@pita.alt.net>...
> > > > "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:d22b54c3.0212220627.3bc3bea1@posting.google.com...
> > > > >
> > > > > Right, "Idaho Militia", etc. . . . .
> > > > >
> > > > > w3rv
> > > >
> > > > And not that I expect you to tell the truth, but what is your
> > > > military background?
> > >
> > > One will get you ten it's longer & deeper than yours. How 'bout we
> > > latch up on 7.015 some nite and compare resumes?
> > >
> > >
> > > w3rv
> >
> > Brian, I thought you didn't have any military service?
>
> He challenged my military background, not my service time.

Is that anything like being a "Sons of the Legion?"

4233. Re: Ward's Editorial in Jan03 QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 01:29:20 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212231729.5a6421eb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58373

lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
news:<20021223114633.18662.00000120@mb-mt.aol.com>...

> For the six-rack, 360+ tube microwave radio relay terminals,
> all the microwave MOS types got a 10-day "school" run by
> two General Electric tech reps using one of the first of the
> FRC-23s installed in Honshu.

Where was the Fuchu Switch? That's who I called when the tty's went
into garble mode.

4234. Re: Ham Running For Office - Orange County, California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 01:45:52 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <k%ON9.3521$b97.357486@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58374

"Sgt Putz" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

:
: I know William. He's a man of good character and firm convictions.
:

I know lot's to people of good character and firm convictions whom I
wouldn't vote for ANY office. I'm sure you're of good character and you
obviously have firm convictions, but I wouldn't vote your name on any
ballot. You just don't seem very bright.

: Too bad some folks still vote just on the basis of party lines. That's an
: incredibly stupid thing to do.

Only an incredibly stupid person would make such an incredibly stupid
statement.

> I'm a registered Republican....

I'll bet that the democrats are happy to have THAT confirmed!

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

4235. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 03:00:17 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021223220017.07939.00000469@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 58375

In article <cuvyxnansvbet.h7kzpi0.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>, "Phil Kane"
<Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> writes:

>>"Thomas W. Jackson" wrote:
>>>
>>> I thought government phone books are classified. Why do you
>>> have one?
>>
>>Every embassy has ten, twenty, thirty or more copies of the U.S.
>>Department of State phone book listing the numbers for officials in
>>Washington and elsewhere in the United States along with telephone
>>numbers (including STU-III and classified FAX lines) for every embassy
>>and consulate in the world. These books are routinely issued to Foreign
>>Service Nationals.
>
> The current FCC Phone Book (database and reader) have been an
> integral part of a commercial Commuications Regulation rules
> service of Pike & Fischer, Inc. Thirty-six hundred bucks a
> year. The booklet itself may still be available from the FCC's
> Document Contractor as it was in the past and at a much cheaper
> price.

Phil, if you (or anyone else) needs FCC phone numbers, they
are on the FCC website. The US State Department also lists
many embassy and other numbers on their website.

There are many copies of DSN listings for the US government
telephones of both civilian and military agencies on the Internet.
Just do a simple search for "DSN" or "Defense Switched
Network." Be prepared for a lot of hits...

No $3600 annually, just access time fees. :-)

4236. Re: Ward's Editorial in Jan03 QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 03:00:18 UTC
From: lenover21@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021223220018.07939.00000470@mb-fw.aol.com>
Source record: 58376

In article <f45722ac.0212231729.5a6421eb@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>lenover21@aol.com (Len Over 21) wrote in message
>news:<20021223114633.18662.00000120@mb-mt.aol.com>...
>
>> For the six-rack, 360+ tube microwave radio relay terminals,
>> all the microwave MOS types got a 10-day "school" run by
>> two General Electric tech reps using one of the first of the
>> FRC-23s installed in Honshu.
>
>Where was the Fuchu Switch? That's who I called when the tty's went
>into garble mode.

At the Fuchu location. :-) The USAF took over all the HF comm
tape relay, microwave from the US Army in 1963, the Army down-
sizing its forces in Japan beginning that year. The transmitter site
at Kashiwa (CampTomlinson) was downsized compeletly in 1978
when it was turned back to the Japanese SDF. So much for
mongo RF and an airfield full of antennas for HF.

"Fuchu" was in the western part of Tokyo (?). I know a USAF
type who knows that better, he having been at Camp Tomlinson
'64-'67. I'll give you his website in private e-mail...

4237. Re: K1MAN Interviews Rush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 03:17:59 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021223221759.28245.00000631@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 58377

>"J" <anon@janonnone.com> wrote in message news:au5jkqmub0@pita.alt.net...
>> They said on 20 meters today k1man will interview Rush
>> Limbaugh. Does anybody know when?
>>
>> Tnx 73,
>>
>> J

>When you know what freezes over.
>
>Dan/W4NTI

At the very least! What possible interest could Rush Limbaugh have in
giving an interview to K1MAN?

73 de Larry, K3LT

4238. Re: Goals for 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 03:18:00 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021223221800.28245.00000632@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 58378

In article <d22b54c3.0212220049.6311587@posting.google.com>, kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) writes:

>> One goal I want to work on is to finally get my Worked All States award,
>> after over 20 years as a ham! To that end, I'm working on improving my
>> indoor dipole antenna farm in my third-floor apartment.
>
>Use #8 wire for the antennas and one of these puppies:
>
>http://www.command1.com/hf-2500e.htm
>
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>w3rv

Brian:

Pretty cool! In my 20 years as a ham, I've only once used more than "barefoot" power. But that's out of necessity, not choice! Maybe someday I'll be a "big gun," but for now, I'm still having fun with my low-power "peanut whistle" station! Even as an apartment dweller, I put something in my logbook every day -- which is a lot more than I can say for a lot of hams I know that live on acres of property!

73 de Larry, K3LT

4239. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 04:26:25 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7lnftc.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58379

On 24 Dec 2002 03:00:17 GMT, Len Over 21 wrote:

> Phil, if you (or anyone else) needs FCC phone numbers, they
> are on the FCC website. The US State Department also lists
> many embassy and other numbers on their website.

I thought so.
>
> No $3600 annually, just access time fees. :-)

The 3600 bucks is for the Rules Service which includes CDs with Folio-searchable and updated-monthly FCC Rules, statutes, and treaties, full case/order/notice texts going back to late December 1946, case digests (case/order/notice summaries sorted by rule or statute section), monthly newsletters (current and archived to November 1994), texts of open NPRMs and NOIs, and the case citator (cross-listing of where a case/order/notice appears cited in a later case/order/notice). These are all tools that a communications attorney needs to do the job right. The phone book and a dictionary of acronyms are freebies with the Rules Service.

Some of these are available on the FCC web site but not all, especially the newsletters and digests which are published and copyrighted by P&F. I don't know whether the FCC web listings are searchable by phrase.

3600 bucks - 300/month - is about what West charges for Westlaw which has state and federal statute and case material but doesn't have the FCC material that P&F has. Practically every law office has Westlaw or its competitor, Lexis.

All it takes is money.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4240. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 04:50:36 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <07A3D4158F919694.019560E327DDC315.8F4A60C41925F205@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58382

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0212230922.6022af70@posting.google.com...
> yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021222220756.28245.00000588@mb-fo.aol.com>...
> > In article <20021220203641.07882.00000221@mb-mr.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com
(N2EY)
> > writes:
>
> > >1) Reduce parts inventory to manageable level.
> > >
> > >2) Modify homebrew trap dipole system for better SWR on 20 and 15
meters.
> >
> > Jim:
> >
> > My absolutely free advice: Get rid of the traps and use an "all-band"
> > dipole fed with ladder line (preferably the "real" homebrewed ladder
line).
> > They work better and the SWR is always good because you are using
> > an antenna tuner to stuff the RF into the wire.
>
> OK, here's a challenge for ya, Larry:
>
> The trap dipole is mounted with the feedpoint/apex at 35 feet and the
> ends at an average of 15 feet. Traps are resonant at 7.05 MHz and have
> Q of 150 on 3.5 MHz. Equivalent L of each trap is 13 uH. Overall
> length of antenna is about 106 feet. Feed is 40 feet of RG-8X. No
> balun or tuner is used on the two design bands (80 and 40 CW, of
> course) because the SWR is under 2:1 over the CW parts of those bands.
> On 20 and 15, a homebrew tuner is used because the SWR is a little
> high.
>
> Compare that setup to a similar no-trap antenna with ladder line and
> tuner. Be sure to include losses due to high SWR on the ladder line.
> Calculate the feedpoint Z at the shack end of 40 feet of the ladder
> line and describe a tuner and balun arrangement that will handle the
> complex impedances presented. Also calculate losses of same.
>
> The feedpoint of this antenna is held aloft by metal TV mast held by a
> wall mount. Said mast is grounded with #6 solid wire for lightning
> protection. The coax simply drops from the feedpoint down the side of
> the house to the basement window, and is unaffected by the ground wire
> or metal mast. Antenna can be raised or lowered from the ground by
> means of a halyard arranegment.

>
> Describe a mechanical support for the ladder line to keep it away from
> the mast on windy days, yet allow lowering the antenna without
> dragging out the big ladder each time.
>
> Also describe how many more QSOs/sections will result in next CW SS
> due to all the work required.
>
> > >2) A few upgrades for my Elecraft K2 (noise blanker, various mod kits)
> > >
> > >3) Homebrew 100W class external amplifier of my own design for the K2.
> > >Thinking
> > >about a single grid-driven 811. 1000 volts on the plate, zero bias
Class B
> > >looks about right..
> > >
> > >4) New "Southgate Type 8" homebrew station.
> >
> > 'splain, Lucy!
>
> Like W3RV sez, you don't wanna go there.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

Psssst. I may be wrong, but I 'spect yer over 'is 'ead.

Kim W5TIT

4241. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 04:54:58 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <7827A2EA67E84F0E.DEFEDDBEF6C6F09D.992F5BA240E35F20@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58380

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E077443.C344857A@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3E065CAA.9AC8977D@earthlink.net...
> > > W5TIT wrote:
> > >
> > > > I don't need foil, Dave. You may, though. I think it's funny you
got
> > so
> > > > pissed because the truth was in the details.
> > >
> > > Izzit? Are you a sheep being raped by your government?
> >
> > You're getting quite boring, Dave. You're an oaf. I wasn't having that
> > conversation with you, someone else was.
>
> That's right. I was. You came along and tried to take it in a
> different direction altogether.
>
> Dave K8MN

No, Dave. I did not. You may choose and wish to believe I did to satisfy your own ego. But, when it was submitted that someone had heard of a story of some parents "going out on their own" (paraphrasing the post) to find a cure for an incurable disease the medical community had diagnosed their son with, you said, "I don't believe you." I submitted to you the movie title that relays that very story--and that is all I did.

Different direction, indeed.

Kim W5TIT

4242. Re: Goals for 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 05:00:00 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <6431E65264E44BD0.2BC4AA10F8406422.3C20A7ADDB1CD697@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58381

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021223221800.28245.00000632@mb-fo.aol.com...
> In article <d22b54c3.0212220049.6311587@posting.google.com>,
kelly@dvol.com
> (Brian Kelly) writes:
>
> >> One goal I want to work on is to finally get my Worked All States
award,
> >> after over 20 years as a ham! To that end, I'm working on improving my
> >> indoor dipole antenna farm in my third-floor apartment.
> >
> >Use #8 wire for the antennas and one of these puppies:
> >
> >http://www.command1.com/hf-2500e.htm
> >
> >>
> >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
> >w3rv
>
> Brian:
>
> Pretty cool! In my 20 years as a ham, I've only once used more than
> "barefoot" power. But that's out of necessity, not choice! Maybe
> someday I'll be a "big gun," but for now, I'm still having fun with my
> low-power "peanut whistle" station! Even as an apartment dweller,
> I put something in my logbook every day -- which is a lot more than
> I can say for a lot of hams I know that live on acres of property!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Ya lost me on that one, Larry. So, you put these contacts in your logbook
to satisfy to yourself that you are doing more than other hams? Or, are you
simply having a good time on radio? Or, was it a defensive posture for
living in an apartment. You always seem so apologetic about your living and
working conditions...

Kim W5TIT

4243. Re: Ham Running For Office - Orange County, California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 05:51:26 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021224005126.13948.00000238@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 58384

>Subject: Re: Ham Running For Office - Orange County, California
>From: "Kï¿½HB" kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG
>Date: 12/23/02 7:45 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <k%ON9.3521$b97.357486@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

>
>: Too bad some folks still vote just on the basis of party lines. That's an
>: incredibly stupid thing to do.
>
>Only an incredibly stupid person would make such an incredibly stupid
>statement.

What's stupid? That I find it idiotic to vote for a persons simply based
on thier pary affiliation, or that I would say so?

So, you SUPPORT voting for a person based solely upon party affiliation,
NOT upon thier qualifications, character or convictions?

>I know lot's to people of good character and firm convictions whom I
>wouldn't vote for ANY office. I'm sure you're of good character and you
>obviously have firm convictions, but I wouldn't vote your name on any
>ballot. You just don't seem very bright.

I am sure you think so...It's probably from having poked some holes in
YOUR rants in a public forum, Hans, when you thought YOU were invincible.

>> I'm a registered Republican....
>
>I'll bet that the democrats are happy to have THAT confirmed!

Suits me fine.

Steve, K4YZ

4244. Re: Goals for 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 06:05:24 UTC
From: Wannabe <OldSalt@Anon.com>
Message-ID: <au8ted$df7$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58385

"W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:6431E65264E44BD0.2BC4AA10F8406422.3C20A7ADDB1CD697@lp.airnews.net...
> "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> news:20021223221800.28245.00000632@mb-fo.aol.com...
> > In article <d22b54c3.0212220049.6311587@posting.google.com>,
> kelly@dvol.com
> > (Brian Kelly) writes:
> >
> > >> One goal I want to work on is to finally get my Worked All States
> award,
> > >> after over 20 years as a ham! To that end, I'm working on improving
my
> > >> indoor dipole antenna farm in my third-floor apartment.
> > >
> > >Use #8 wire for the antennas and one of these puppies:
> > >
> > >http://www.command1.com/hf-2500e.htm
> > >
> > >>
> > >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> > >
> > >w3rv
> >
> > Brian:
> >
> > Pretty cool! In my 20 years as a ham, I've only once used more than
> > "barefoot" power. But that's out of necessity, not choice! Maybe
> > someday I'll be a "big gun," but for now, I'm still having fun with my
> > low-power "peanut whistle" station! Even as an apartment dweller,
> > I put something in my logbook every day -- which is a lot more than
> > I can say for a lot of hams I know that live on acres of property!
> >
> > 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
>
> Ya lost me on that one, Larry. So, you put these contacts in your logbook
> to satisfy to yourself that you are doing more than other hams? Or, are
you
> simply having a good time on radio? Or, was it a defensive posture for
> living in an apartment. You always seem so apologetic about your living
and
> working conditions...
>
> Kim W5TIT

>
Oh, my. TIT's condescension is right out front for all to see.
Would that the rest of us be as intellectually talented....

When I grow up I want to be just like TIT....
Vanity call sign....
Wannabe college graduate...
Insufferable....

4245. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 06:20:49 UTC
From: Wannabe <OldSalt@Anon.com>
Message-ID: <au8ub1$i2e$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58386

> No, Dave. I did not. You may choose and wish to believe I did to satisfy
> your own ego. But, when it was submitted that someone had heard of a
story
> of some parents "going out on their own" (paraphrasing the post) to find a
> cure for an incurable disease the medical community had diagnosed their
son
> with, you said, "I don't believe you." I submitted to you the movie title
> that relays that very story--and that is all I did.
>
> Different direction, indeed.
>
> Kim W5TIT

And the saga of TIT vs. Dave Heil marches inexorably on...fomented, for the most part, by a rotund TIT who simply cannot leave well-enough alone.
Tomorrow is another day, TIT. You would likely be better received were you not wearing a tampon on your sleeve.
You are, if little else, entertaining.

4246. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 06:58:11 UTC
From: Trent Creekmore <tcreek@gt.rr.com>
Message-ID: <7ATN9.40897$KW2.1518730@twister.austin.rr.com>
Source record: 58383
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

```
"> > > >
> > > > w3rv
> > >
> > > Brian, I thought you didn't have any military service?
> >
> > He challenged my military background, not my service time.
>
> Is that anything like being a "Sons of the Legion?"

or the the infamous Montana Militia?
```

4247. Re: Ham Running For Office - Orange County, California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 07:39:22 UTC
From: "William A. Wetzel" <n6rky@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <KaUN9.3504$ka5.403902@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58387

Greetings everyone,

To answer a question on party affiliation... I am a registered Republican. The seat that I am running for is nonpartisan though. Steve (whom I had known for years) is correct that lines have been crossed to favor a better candidate. This is a unique American phenomena that has made our country great.

Thank you Steve for your kind words... :)

Best Wishes,
--
William A. Wetzel
http://www.qsl.net/n6rky
mailto:n6rky@earthlink.net

Steve Robeson K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021224005126.13948.00000238@mb-cr.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Ham Running For Office - Orange County, California
> >From: "K&#65533;HB" k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG
> >Date: 12/23/02 7:45 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <k%ON9.3521$b97.357486@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
>
> >
> >: Too bad some folks still vote just on the basis of party lines. That's
an
> >: incredibly stupid thing to do.
> >
> >Only an incredibly stupid person would make such an incredibly stupid
> >statement.
>
> What's stupid? That I find it idiotic to vote for a persons simply
based
> on thier pary affiliation, or that I would say so?
>
> So, you SUPPORT voting for a person based solely upon party
affiliation,
> NOT upon thier qualifications, character or convictions?
>
> >I know lot's to people of good character and firm convictions whom I
> >wouldn't vote for ANY office. I'm sure you're of good character and you
> >obviously have firm convictions, but I wouldn't vote your name on any
> >ballot. You just don't seem very bright.
>
> I am sure you think so...It's probably from having poked some holes

in
> YOUR rants in a public forum, Hans, when you thought YOU were invincible.
>
> >> I'm a registered Republican....
> >
> >I'll bet that the democrats are happy to have THAT confirmed!
>
> Suits me fine.
>
> Steve, K4YZ
>

4248. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 07:42:05 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E080FC3.93DFDEE4@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58388

W5TIT wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3E077443.C344857A@earthlink.net...
> > W5TIT wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3E065CAA.9AC8977D@earthlink.net...
> > > > W5TIT wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > I don't need foil, Dave. You may, though. I think it's funny you
> got
> > > so
> > > > > pissed because the truth was in the details.
> > > >
> > > > Izzit? Are you a sheep being raped by your government?
> > >
> > > You're getting quite boring, Dave. You're an oaf. I wasn't having that
> > > conversation with you, someone else was.
> >
> > That's right. I was. You came along and tried to take it in a
> > different direction altogether.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> No, Dave. I did not. You may choose and wish to believe I did to satisfy
> your own ego. But, when it was submitted that someone had heard of a story
> of some parents "going out on their own" (paraphrasing the post) to find a
> cure for an incurable disease the medical community had diagnosed their son
> with, you said, "I don't believe you." I submitted to you the movie title
> that relays that very story--and that is all I did.
>
> Different direction, indeed.

Yes, Kim. You did. The other fellow's diatribe about "homemade
biologists" was itself a misdirection from his own black helicopter
theories. I don't care about the homemade biologists as they aren't
part of what was being discussed.
My four-word dismissal of his crap was just that: a dismissal.

See if you can find someone else interested in discussing movies with
you.

Dave K8MN

4249. Re: Goals for 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 09:36:39 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021224043639.21031.00000291@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 58389

In article <b7d1465c.0212230407.5eaf4d8@posting.google.com>, wa2si@arrl.net (Bert Craig) writes:

>> You'll also get a Rev. B model, too!
>
>The latest and the greatest, kewl!

Yep - started with s/n 3000.

>
>> From my perspective, the "ultimate" implementation is to put the 100W amp
>> and
>> tuner in a separate EC2 enclosure. Requires a bit of homebrewing because of
>> unnecessary restrictions forced on law-abiding hams by the violations of
>> <illegal> cbers and freebanders.
>
>There's a thought. I kinda like the compact package though.
>
It's not that compact if you have to carry a 20 amp power supply and the tuner as separate items. Plus the 100W option is not compatible with the internal battery.

All depends what you want to do with the rig - portable or home or both.

>> A neat thing about those kits is you can build the basic one and add
>>features
>> as time/money allow.
>
>A sub-$600 starter price for a rig that's right up there with the
>"triple kilobuck" rigs in terms of receive performace is a-ok in my
>book. Two thumbs up!

Some things it simply doesn't have, like general coverage receive or passband tuning. But it does dig out the weak ones, and push aside the QRM.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4250. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 11:20:59 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212240320.7b48533d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58390

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3E080FC3.93DFDEE4@earthlink.net>...
> W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3E077443.C344857A@earthlink.net...
> > > W5TIT wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3E065CAA.9AC8977D@earthlink.net...
> > > > > W5TIT wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I don't need foil, Dave. You may, though. I think it's funny you
> got
> so
> > > > > > pissed because the truth was in the details.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Izzit? Are you a sheep being raped by your government?
>
> > > > You're getting quite boring, Dave. You're an oaf. I wasn't having that
> > > > conversation with you, someone else was.
> > > >
> > > That's right. I was. You came along and tried to take it in a
> > > different direction altogether.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > No, Dave. I did not. You may choose and wish to believe I did to satisfy
> > your own ego. But, when it was submitted that someone had heard of a story
> > of some parents "going out on their own" (paraphrasing the post) to find a
> > cure for an incurable disease the medical community had diagnosed their son
> > with, you said, "I don't believe you." I submitted to you the movie title
> > that relays that very story--and that is all I did.
> >
> > Different direction, indeed.
>
> Yes, Kim. You did. The other fellow's diatribe about "homemade
> biologists" was itself a misdirection from his own black helicopter
> theories. I don't care about the homemade biologists as they aren't
> part of what was being discussed.
> My four-word dismissal of his crap was just that: a dismissal.
>
> See if you can find someone else interested in discussing movies with
> you.
>

> Dave K8MN

I always liked "Animal House."

4251. Re: Ward's Editorial in Jan03 QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 11:32:17 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212240332.77b64fea@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58391

"W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<65AA4636361F6046.CF59B478AD3DE892.F5C8A1AAE5E1451F@lp.airnews.net>...
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:f45722ac.0212201936.373b3d0@posting.google.com...
> > wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
> news:<20021220093102.18095.00000325@mb-fc.aol.com>...
> > > >Brian wrote:
> > > >>
> > > >> Dick, Larry, Bruce, Kelly, please read the QST editorial during
> your
> > > >> holiday break. Make a resolution. Merry Christmas, Happy New
> Year.
> > > >> Brian/N0iMD
> > > >
> > > I just read it, it confirms what I have been saying. Ham Radio is
> becoming
> > > nothing more than CB, with ARRLs approval. My question is an easy one,
> since CW
> > > testing is History, or soon to be, when are we going to get rid of the
> Stupid
> > > Written Test?
> > >
> > It would be better if we got rid of the stupid hams. Just write
> > "VOID" across your license and mail it back to the FCC.
> >
> > Merry Christmas.
>
> Triple ROFLMAO!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! Oh, man. Brian--the best of the year, I
> think!
>
> Kim W5TIT

Bruce is a living "Far Side" comic. If Far Side were ever to become a theme park...

4252. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 11:37:52 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212240337.22ab41b0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58392
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Trent Creekmore" <tcreek@gt.rr.com> wrote in message
news:<7ATN9.40897$KW2.1518730@twister.austin.rr.com>...
> "> > > >
> > > > > w3rv
> > > > >
> > > > Brian, I thought you didn't have any military service?
> > >
> > > He challenged my military background, not my service time.
> >
> > Is that anything like being a "Sons of the Legion?"
>
> or the the infamous Montana Militia?

It would be more like me saying I had "marathon" experience because I
provided HT comm support to a race. That's just not how it works.

4253. Re: Ham Running For Office - Orange County, California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 12:07:59 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021224070759.29667.00000147@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 58393

>Subject: Re: Ham Running For Office - Orange County, California
>From: "William A. Wetzel" n6rky@earthlink.net
>Date: 12/24/02 1:39 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <KaUN9.3504$ka5.403902@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

>Thank you Steve for your kind words

Any time, my friend. This crowd is a tough sell on any issue, Amatuer Rado related or otherwise.

Good luck! Keep me informed!

73

Steve, K4YZ

4254. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 13:42:42 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <B90EA8C7CB14F5BA.D1F1E2630ACB0A12.120C4BD4C3F00D21@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58394

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E080FC3.93DFDEE4@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3E077443.C344857A@earthlink.net...
> > > W5TIT wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > news:3E065CAA.9AC8977D@earthlink.net...
> > > > > W5TIT wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I don't need foil, Dave. You may, though. I think it's funny
you
> > got
> > > > > so
> > > > > > pissed because the truth was in the details.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Izzit? Are you a sheep being raped by your government?
> > > >
> > > You're getting quite boring, Dave. You're an oaf. I wasn't having
that
> > > conversation with you, someone else was.
> > >
> > That's right. I was. You came along and tried to take it in a
> > different direction altogether.
> > >
> > Dave K8MN
> >
> No, Dave. I did not. You may choose and wish to believe I did to
satisfy
> your own ego. But, when it was submitted that someone had heard of a
story
> of some parents "going out on their own" (paraphrasing the post) to find
a
> cure for an incurable disease the medical community had diagnosed their
son
> with, you said, "I don't believe you." I submitted to you the movie
title
> that relays that very story--and that is all I did.
> >
> Different direction, indeed.
>
> Yes, Kim. You did. The other fellow's diatribe about "homemade

> biologists" was itself a misdirection from his own black helicopter
> theories. I don't care about the homemade biologists as they aren't
> part of what was being discussed.
> My four-word dismissal of his crap was just that: a dismissal.
>
> See if you can find someone else interested in discussing movies with
> you.
>
> Dave K8MN
>

I'm not interested in discussing movies, Dave. However, when you say you "don't believe someone" that opens the subject (whatever it is you don't believe) for discussion. I offered you evidence--which obviously pissed you off... :)

Kim W5TIT

4255. Re: Ward's Editorial in Jan03 QST

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 13:44:33 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <A9C8E2768ED828D5.D159514038A0A2D1.1864742FACA4E6BC@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58395

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0212240332.77b64fea@posting.google.com...
> "W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<65AA4636361F6046.CF59B478AD3DE892.F5C8A1AAE5E1451F@lp.airnews.net>...
> > "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:f45722ac.0212201936.373b3d0@posting.google.com...
> > > wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
> > news:<20021220093102.18095.00000325@mb-fc.aol.com>...
> > > > >Brian wrote:
> > > > >>
> > > > >> Dick, Larry, Bruce, Kelly, please read the QST editorial during
> > your
> > > > >> holiday break. Make a resolution. Merry Christmas, Happy New
> > Year.
> > > > >> Brian/N0iMD
> > > > >
> > > > I just read it, it confirms what I have been saying. Ham Radio is
> > becoming
> > > > nothing more than CB, with ARRLs approval. My question is an easy
one,
> > since CW
> > > > testing is History, or soon to be, when are we going to get rid of
the
> > Stupid
> > > > Written Test?
> > >
> > > It would be better if we got rid of the stupid hams. Just write
> > > "VOID" across your license and mail it back to the FCC.
> > >
> > > Merry Christmas.
> >
> > Triple ROFLMAO!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!!! Oh, man. Brian--the best of the
year, I
> > think!
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
>
> Bruce is a living "Far Side" comic. If Far Side were ever to become a
theme park...
>

heh heh; he could be the "Big Tex" at the gate... Like I said, Best 'O The
Year!

Kim W5TIT

4256. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 14:44:43 UTC
From: Big Al <BigAl@38945stumpjumpers.net>
Message-ID: <au9rsidvu0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58396
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0212222341.30028afd@posting.google.com...
>
> One will get you ten it's longer & deeper than yours. How 'bout we
> latch up on 7.015 some nite and compare resumes?
>
>
> w3rv

Oh oh boys, I think we got us a live one here, served two years
stateside in the peacetime Air Force mowing grass, now he thinks
he's a "combat war hero." HO HO

Big Al

4257. Merry Christmas, Happy Holidays Everyone.

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 15:21:01 UTC
From: "Vince Fiscus, KB7ADL" <vlfiscus@mcn.net>
Message-ID: <xX_N9.4563$b97.467800@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58397

The hope for the best in ham radio for 2003!

73 de KB7ADL

4258. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 15:37:42 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E087F89.AE97B1CF@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58398

W5TIT wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3E080FC3.93DFDEE4@earthlink.net...
> > W5TIT wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3E077443.C344857A@earthlink.net...
> > > > W5TIT wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > > > news:3E065CAA.9AC8977D@earthlink.net...
> > > > > > W5TIT wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > I don't need foil, Dave. You may, though. I think it's funny
> you
> > > got
> > > > > > > so
> > > > > > > > pissed because the truth was in the details.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Izzit? Are you a sheep being raped by your government?
> > > >
> > > > You're getting quite boring, Dave. You're an oaf. I wasn't having
> that
> > > > conversation with you, someone else was.
> > > >
> > > That's right. I was. You came along and tried to take it in a
> > > different direction altogether.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > No, Dave. I did not. You may choose and wish to believe I did to
> satisfy
> > your own ego. But, when it was submitted that someone had heard of a
> story
> > of some parents "going out on their own" (paraphrasing the post) to find
> a
> > cure for an incurable disease the medical community had diagnosed their
> son
> > with, you said, "I don't believe you." I submitted to you the movie
> title
> > that relays that very story--and that is all I did.
> >
> > Different direction, indeed.

> >
> > Yes, Kim. You did. The other fellow's diatribe about "homemade
> > biologists" was itself a misdirection from his own black helicopter
> > theories. I don't care about the homemade biologists as they aren't
> > part of what was being discussed.
> > My four-word dismissal of his crap was just that: a dismissal.
> >
> > See if you can find someone else interested in discussing movies with
> > you.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
> >
>
> I'm not interested in discussing movies, Dave.

Good. That makes two of us.

> However, when you say you
> "don't believe someone" that opens the subject (whatever it is you don't
> believe) for discussion.

Did it open a door for discussion? The other guy's introduction of some
story of homemade biologists was irrelevant. Your introduction of some
movie which you claim offers proof is even less relevant. Your tale of
winning two court cases and telling us that there are no movies made
about a lot of "great folks"
is total fluff.

I offered you evidence--which obviously pissed you
> off... :)

You offered no evidence at all. You offered a non-documentary movie.
You still haven't told us: Do you feel like a sheep being raped of your
rights by your government? Do you embrace the views of a homemade
lawyer over those of a former attorney for the FCC?

Dave K8MN

4259. Anual KV4FZ Christmas Message

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 15:43:53 UTC
From: Herb <Herb@BARF14313.org>
Message-ID: <au9vbk$ob5$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58399

I will give my annual Christmas message on 14275 this
year, versus 14313. Title of this year's message,
"My Final Victory Over The FCC."

73s

Herb, KV4FZ

4260. Re: Anual KV4FZ Christmas Message

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 16:34:12 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <800O9.4668$b97.477526@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58400

"Herb" <Herb@BARF14313.org> wrote

: I will give my annual Christmas message on 14275 this
: year, versus 14313. Title of this year's message,
: "My Final Victory Over The FCC."

Trollin' again, Lloyd?

4261. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 16:50:43 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212240850.723e11b5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58401

"W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<07A3D4158F919694.019560E327DDC315.8F4A60C41925F205@lp.airnews.net>...
> > > >about a single grid-driven 811. 1000 volts on the plate, zero bias
> Class B
> > > >looks about right..
> > > >
> > > >4) New "Southgate Type 8" homebrew station.
> > >
> > > 'splain, Lucy!
> >
> > Like W3RV sez, you don't wanna go there.
> >
> > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> >
>
> Psssst. I may be wrong, but I 'spect yer over 'is 'ead.

Bwaaaahaha! Talk abt no-clues spouting off.

> Kim W5TIT

w3rv

4262. Re: Goals for 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 18:07:30 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212241007.69ae85ed@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58402

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:<atv9qh214v2@enews2.newsguy.com>...
> Since the new year is approaching, and those thoughts of what we all might
> achieve usually are centered around that, what are your goals for the 2003?
> Apply this in general or specifically to amateur radio if you wish.
>
> Happy Holidays!

Ryan, goals for 2003? Thought you'd never ask.

1. Back to grad school again.
2. Find a new job with a pay raise attached.
3. Do more stuff, like fishing, with the kids.
4. Have enough money in July to take the family on a vacation.

4263. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 18:07:57 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <D13EAC6A196D16E0.8488BBD40A199F1A.C2665F8A6121EFFE@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58404

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E087F89.AE97B1CF@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT wrote:
> >
>
> I offered you evidence--which obviously pissed you
> > off... :)
>
> You offered no evidence at all. You offered a non-documentary movie.
> You still haven't told us: Do you feel like a sheep being raped of your
> rights by your government? Do you embrace the views of a homemade
> lawyer over those of a former attorney for the FCC?
>
>
> Dave K8MN
>

That's just simply choosing to ignore the evidence, Dave--it does not
invalidate it.

I will leave the part of the discussion about sheep up to you. As to my
embracing the thoughts of anyone--it depends upon their point of view,
REGARDLESS of their avocation or profession.

Kim W5TIT

4264. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 18:09:02 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212241009.152a9fde@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58403

"KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:<atv9qi314v2@enews2.newsguy.com>...
> This is similar, but different to the other post, but what are your
> equipment goals for 2003? Are you going to purchase any new gear of any
> kind related to amateur radio or radio in general? Are you planning on
> constructing any new gear?
>
> Happy Holidays!

A 12-pack of 1n34a's. Brian

4265. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 18:09:49 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <E690515A57C17F05.98B729D854A1281E.201F02B8E7BBC73D@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58405

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0212240850.723e11b5@posting.google.com...
> "W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<07A3D4158F919694.019560E327DDC315.8F4A60C41925F205@lp.airnews.net>...
> > > > >about a single grid-driven 811. 1000 volts on the plate, zero bias
> > Class B
> > > > >looks about right..
> > > > > >
> > > > > >4) New "Southgate Type 8" homebrew station.
> > > > >
> > > > > 'splain, Lucy!
> > > >
> > > Like W3RV sez, you don't wanna go there.
> > >
> > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > >
> >
> > Psssst. I may be wrong, but I 'spect yer over 'is 'ead.
>
> Bwaaaahaha! Talk abt no-clues spouting off.
>
> > Kim W5TIT
>
> w3rv
>

Brian, you're an absolute idiot. Anyone on this newsgroup who "knows" me,
already knows it was way over my head. But, I digress--we are observing
your intelligence by that post, aren't we?!

Kim W5TIT

4266. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 19:52:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E08BAF5.43856A70@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58406

W5TIT wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3E087F89.AE97B1CF@earthlink.net...
> > W5TIT wrote:
> > >
> >
> > I offered you evidence--which obviously pissed you
> > > off... :)
> >
> > You offered no evidence at all. You offered a non-documentary movie.
> > You still haven't told us: Do you feel like a sheep being raped of your
> > rights by your government? Do you embrace the views of a homemade
> > lawyer over those of a former attorney for the FCC?

>
> That's just simply choosing to ignore the evidence, Dave--it does not
> invalidate it.

A "based on a true story" movie is not evidence, Kim.

> I will leave the part of the discussion about sheep up to you.

So as usual, you jump in with nothing to add to what's actually being
discussed or debated.

> As to my
> embracing the thoughts of anyone--it depends upon their point of view,
> *REGARDLESS* of their avocation or profession.

Again, you haven't commented at all upon what was being discussed, you
chose to give us a movie review.

Dave K8MN

4267. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 19:53:13 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E08BB32.9C353F53@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58407

Brian wrote:
>
> "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:<atv9qi314v2@enews2.newsguy.com>...
> > This is similar, but different to the other post, but what are your
> > equipment goals for 2003? Are you going to purchase any new gear of any
> > kind related to amateur radio or radio in general? Are you planning on
> > constructing any new gear?
> >
> > Happy Holidays!
>
> A 12-pack of 1n34a's. Brian

Constructing those should provide a real challenge for you.

Dave K8MN

4268. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 20:04:04 UTC
From: Telamon <telamon_spamshield@pacbell.net.is.invalid>
Message-ID: <telamon_spamshield-3753B2.12043524122002@newssvr17-ext.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 58408

In article <IRsN9.37740$6H6.1166170@twister.austin.rr.com>,
"Trent Creekmore" <tcreek@gt.rr.com> wrote:

> > The reference was to some mil. radar frequencies...
>
> I think it was cell phones, not radar
>
>
> > And to Lloyd..
> >
> > I don't see much, if any, difference between the liberal bullshitters and
> > the conservative ones. Every one of them talks out both sides of their
> > mouth, and all either of them want is your $$$. Only difference being that
> > the liberals want it for big government and the conservatives want it for
> > big business. Either way, it's yours, and they want it.
> >
> >
> > "Trent Creekmore" <tcreek@gt.rr.com> wrote in message
> > news:XXsN9.38487$KW2.1249077@twister.austin.rr.com...
> > > .
> > > >
> > > > Because the band will be full of cell phones in the countries in which
> > > > they'll be fighting wars, they just can't have any cell phones in the
> > band
> > > > in the country in which they'll be training for those wars. Makes
> > perfect
> > > > sense, I should have seen that.
> > > >
> > > > Thanks,
> > > > Dennis Ferguson
> > >
> > >
> > > What are you talking about??
> > >
> > > For the Army, most freqs are in the 34-74 Mhz range.
> > >

Why don't you hams keep your boring policy issues in
rec.radio.amateur.policy group?

Learn to trim the Newsgroup header.

--
Telamon

4269. Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 21:09:20 UTC
From: oneangryman@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8c61e9d6.0212241309.388376f9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58409

Here is a Christmas wish for all the regulars on rec.radio.amateur.policy:
http://www.profree.com/oneangryman/
Merry Christmas

One Angry Man
President and only member
No Code Liberation Army (NCLA)

Answer to everyone's first question.
YES I AM LICENSED!

Answer to everyone's second question.
NO I DON'T WANT TO PUBLISH MY CALLSIGN HERE!!

Answer to everyone's third question.
YES I AM PROUD OF IT, I'M JUST NOT STUPID
ENOUGH TO USE IT ON THE INTERNET, DUH!!!

4270. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 22:26:01 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021224172601.03207.00000591@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 58410

>Answer to everyone's third question.
>YES I AM PROUD OF IT, I'M JUST NOT STUPID
>ENOUGH TO USE IT ON THE INTERNET, DUH!!!
>

Yea right, your not smart enough to be a Dumped Down CBplusser

4271. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-24 23:56:59 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021224185659.12369.00000316@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 58411

>Subject: Christmas Wish
>From: oneangryman@hotmail.com (One Angry Man)
>Date: 12/24/02 3:09 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <8c61e9d6.0212241309.388376f9@posting.google.com>

>Here is a Christmas wish for all the regulars on rec.radio.amateur.policy:

I'll skip it, no thanks.

>Answer to everyone's first question.
>YES I AM LICENSED!

Not according to what you have posted here.

>Answer to everyone's second question.
>NO I DON'T WANT TO PUBLISH MY CALLSIGN HERE!!

Then until posted otherwise, you don't have one.

>Answer to everyone's third question.
>YES I AM PROUD OF IT, I'M JUST NOT STUPID
>ENOUGH TO USE IT ON THE INTERNET, DUH!!!

But you ARE stupid enough to start a whole new troll on the Internet,
huh...?!?!?

Another cowardly, goandless, anonymous troll.

Putz.

4272. BAH HUMBUG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 00:04:28 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021224190428.21652.00000391@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 58412

My Christmas wish is that the FCC sends all you No-Code CBplussers back to CB where you belong.

4273. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 00:22:21 UTC
From: Tex Grambino <Doug@texastradersnet7251.org>
Message-ID: <auatnv$8r9$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58413
Cross-posted to: alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message >

> I will never forget the look on the faces of two defendants who
> were appearing in a Rule 20 (sentencing) hearing bnefore a case
> that I was handling was heard. They had been convicted of failure
> to report income and and pay Federal income tax. They were a
> middle-aged couple with obvious education and means who decidied
> that they were going to use one of the popular schemes to "beat
> the IRS". When the Judge stripped them of all their assets and
> sentenced them to incarceration, all they could do was to hold
> hands and cry silently.
>
> I've handled enough seizure and forfeiture cases to know that the
> folks who sing loudly about their rights and the Founding Fathers
> don't sing the same song when the handcuffs go "click" or when the
> steel door goes "clang".
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Great Story! You missed your calling as a fiction writer!

73s,

Tex

4274. Re: Anual KV4FZ Christmas Message

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 00:31:19 UTC
From: dafirebottle@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021224193119.23352.00000191@mb-ff.aol.com>
Source record: 58414

```
<< Subject: Re: Anual KV4FZ Christmas Message
From: "Kï¿½HB" kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG
Date: Tue, Dec 24, 2002 10:34 AM
Message-id: <80009.4668$b97.477526@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

"Herb" <Herb@BARF14313.org> wrote

: I will give my annual Christmas message on 14275 this
: year, versus 14313. Title of this year's message,
: "My Final Victory Over The FCC."

Trollin' again, Lloyd?

>>

lloyd is busy tonight Hans. He must haave had too much egg nog.

Damn I miss those Tom & Jerrys in Duluth this time of the year!!

Merry Christmas!
Firebottle
```

4275. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 01:14:51 UTC
From: Moses <RedSea@Anon.com>
Message-ID: <aub0p9$h6a$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58415

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021224185659.12369.00000316@mb-df.aol.com...
> >Subject: Christmas Wish
> >From: oneangryman@hotmail.com (One Angry Man)
> >Date: 12/24/02 3:09 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <8c61e9d6.0212241309.388376f9@posting.google.com>
>
> >Here is a Christmas wish for all the regulars on
rec.radio.amateur.policy:
>
> I'll skip it, no thanks.
>
> >Answer to everyone's first question.
> >YES I AM LICENSED!
>
> Not according to what you have posted here.
>
> >Answer to everyone's second question.
> >NO I DON'T WANT TO PUBLISH MY CALLSIGN HERE!!
>
> Then until posted otherwise, you don't have one.
>
> >Answer to everyone's third question.
> >YES I AM PROUD OF IT, I'M JUST NOT STUPID
> >ENOUGH TO USE IT ON THE INTERNET, DUH!!!
>
> But you ARE stupid enough to start a whole new troll on the Internet,
> huh...?!?!?
>
> Another cowardly, goandless, anonymous troll.
>
> Putz.

What is "goandless" anyway?
You spell just like Roger Wiseman.
Are you him? Is he you?
He always wanted guys to post their callsigns, too.

Then he'd spam them.

It is not cowardly to not post a call...
It is false bravado.

You sure you are not Roger Wiseman?
You spell just like him. Sound like him, too.

4276. Re: K1MAN Interviews Rush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 01:32:04 UTC
From: "William H. O'Hara, III" <whoohara@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92EED0FFED3FFAlphaCentauriAAAHAWK@65.100.3.61>
Source record: 58416

> computers. Yep, take a write off. Problem is, some of these old
> clunkers are 386 processors or even older. They have to be junked by
> the school at a cost of $17.00 each. Nice country. Big business gets
> a write-off for letting the school district pay to scrap the junk!
> Better give the CEO another couple million dollar bonus for figuring

What write-off? There is no write off for fully depreciated equipment.
If they tried to deduct the fmv, they will get zip. Plus the contribution
limits carryforward anything big. They are not getting any tax benefit.
Someone was trying to do a nice thing. You should make do with what
you get. Another individual shouldn't make generalizations about stuff
that he no idea.

bill
kb1iub

4277. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 02:43:53 UTC
From: oneangryman@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8c61e9d6.0212241843.1302f4bb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58417

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021224172601.03207.00000591@mb-mq.aol.com>...
> >Answer to everyone's third question.
> >YES I AM PROUD OF IT, I'M JUST NOT STUPID
> >ENOUGH TO USE IT ON THE INTERNET, DUH!!!
> >
>
> Yea right, your not smart enough to be a Dumped Down CBplusser

Yea right, and you made my top 20 list of JERKS also!
Why don't you just go and sell some more of your
business radios set up with MURS radio frequencies to
the truckers. You bitch about the CB radio types then
you go and sell the same guys more illegal radios to
play with. You need to check out this web site that's
there just for jerks like you.

http://www.amishrakefight.org/gfy/

One Angry Man
President and only member
No Code Liberation Army (NCLA)

Answer to everyone's first question.
YES I AM LICENSED!

Answer to everyone's second question.
NO I DON'T WANT TO PUBLISH MY CALLSIGN HERE!!

Answer to everyone's third question.
YES I AM PROUD OF IT, I'M JUST NOT STUPID
ENOUGH TO USE IT ON THE INTERNET, DUH!!!

4278. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 02:44:28 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212241844.2939957e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58418

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3E08BB32.9C353F53@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:<atv9qi314v2@enews2.newsguy.com>...
> > > This is similar, but different to the other post, but what are your
> > > equipment goals for 2003? Are you going to purchase any new gear of any
> > > kind related to amateur radio or radio in general? Are you planning on
> > > constructing any new gear?
> > >
> > > Happy Holidays!
> >
> > A 12-pack of 1n34a's. Brian
>
> Constructing those should provide a real challenge for you.
>
> Dave K8MN

It should provide a challenge for some 8 year old boys. Its called scouting.

You got a problem with that?

4279. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 02:48:29 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212241848.16251bb2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58419

fornewspost@aol.com (ForNewsPost) wrote in message
news:<20021224130605.11931.00000198@mb-fy.aol.com>...
> This has probably come up in the past, and I just never noticed it, but I have
> always felt that there should be some kind of simple indicator of the
> intentions of a ham sending CQ. This would be in order to specify whether the
> CQ'er wants to ragchew a while, or just wants to have a short RST, QTH, Name,
> Rig, Antenna type of QSO. It seems to me that this would help both the CQ'er
> and the responding ham get exactly what they want out of a contact. For
> instance, something like "CQ CQ CQ DE KJ6XX KJ6XX RC K" would indicate the
> CQ'er wants to RagChew, and "CQ CQ CQ DE KJ6XX KJ6XX SQ K" might indicate the
> desire for only a Short Qso. Any thoughts? Has this been proposed in the
> past? Or is this a non-problem?
>
> -Cotter (KJ6EB)

Ask Dave/K8MN. A DXer's not allowed any "RC" QSOs.

4280. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 03:08:31 UTC
From: oneangryman@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8c61e9d6.0212241908.70919993@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58420

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021224185659.12369.00000316@mb-df.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Christmas Wish
> >From: oneangryman@hotmail.com (One Angry Man)
> >Date: 12/24/02 3:09 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <8c61e9d6.0212241309.388376f9@posting.google.com>
>
> >Here is a Christmas wish for all the regulars on rec.radio.amateur.policy:
>
> I'll skip it, no thanks.
>

YOur loss!

> >Answer to everyone's first question.
> >YES I AM LICENSED!
>
> Not according to what you have posted here.
>

Other than a callsign what kind of evidence would
you like to see? Because in these times of identity
theft on the internet there is NO F***ING way I'm
going to post it here or anywhere else that starts
with a http or a www, GOT THAT Stevey boy?

> >Answer to everyone's second question.
> >NO I DON'T WANT TO PUBLISH MY CALLSIGN HERE!!
>
> Then until posted otherwise, you don't have one.
>

Show me your brain, until I see you pop that head
of yours open and show it you don't have one!!!

> >Answer to everyone's third question.
> >YES I AM PROUD OF IT, I'M JUST NOT STUPID
> >ENOUGH TO USE IT ON THE INTERNET, DUH!!!
>
> But you ARE stupid enough to start a whole new troll on the Internet,
> huh...?!?!?
>

Why not you do it all the time? Of course that
don't prove me to be any smarter than you now does it?

> Another cowardly,
Nope not cowardly at all.

>goandless,
Ah Mr. Einstein the word goandless is spelled
g o n a d l e s s. If your going to answer my Trolls
the least you could do is correctly spell your insults
to me so I don't have to try to figure them out.

>anonymous troll.

Sorry, I'm not anonymous I know exactly who I am,
I'm just not publishing it here. I'm sure not going
to do it for you or anyone else that reads your crap!

>
> Putz.

Are you and Bruce butthole brothers?
Your whine is similar to his.

One Angry Man
President and only member
No Code Liberation Army (NCLA)

Answer to everyone's first question.
YES I AM LICENSED!

Answer to everyone's second question.
NO I DON'T WANT TO PUBLISH MY CALLSIGN HERE!!

Answer to everyone's third question.
YES I AM PROUD OF IT, I'M JUST NOT STUPID
ENOUGH TO USE IT ON THE INTERNET, DUH!!!

4281. Re: TIT Revisited

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 03:11:44 UTC
From: oneangryman@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8c61e9d6.0212241911.58549243@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58421

vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) wrote in message
news:<20021222094102.12383.00000256@mb-df.aol.com>...
> > That is all well and good as her genetic makeup predetermined her wide
> >hips and wrinkles. It happens to the best of us. Her condescending attitude
> >is, however, a learned trait born of a false sense of superiority.
>
> I fail to see the relevance of one's weight towards one's attitude.
>
> >She/He
> >could do well by looking in the mirror every morning and taking stock of
> >reality.
>
> I think you should look in the mirror. You might see your ugly attitude. Since
> you have shown a bad attitude and I haven't seen you, lets suppose you are a
> fat, beer drinking, donut eating, wrinkly older man with posture problems.
> Perhaps thats why you posted anonymously.

Like you use to do until they figured out who you are!

4282. Season's Greetings!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 03:17:09 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021224221709.01544.00000893@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 58422

I would like to wish all participants in this newsgroup, all our lurkers, and all of your families a very Blessed and Merry Christmas and a very Happy New Year!

73 de Larry, K3LT

4283. Re: Ham Running For Office - Orange County, California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 03:17:10 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021224221710.01544.00000894@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 58423

In article <mcwN9.1903$ka5.218079@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "William A. Wetzel" <n6rky@earthlink.net> writes:

>Greetings,
>
>This message is to announce that I am running for public office in Orange
>County, California. I am running for Orange County Supervisor (3rd District)
>to be held this January 28th., 2003. As a ham radio operator, I will help to
>assist hams in my area regarding public policy involving Amateur Radio.
>
>Thank you for your support!

Bill:

You've got my vote! All you've gotta do is figure out how to qualify me for an absentee ballot!

73 de Larry, K3LT
Dover, DE

4284. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 03:17:11 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021224221711.01544.00000895@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 58424

In article <c2356669.0212230922.6022af70@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) writes:

>The trap dipole is mounted with the feedpoint/apex at 35 feet and the
>ends at an average of 15 feet. Traps are resonant at 7.05 MHz and have
>Q of 150 on 3.5 MHz. Equivalent L of each trap is 13 uH. Overall
>length of antenna is about 106 feet. Feed is 40 feet of RG-8X. No
>balun or tuner is used on the two design bands (80 and 40 CW, of
>course) because the SWR is under 2:1 over the CW parts of those bands.
>On 20 and 15, a homebrew tuner is used because the SWR is a little
>high.
>
>Compare that setup to a similar no-trap antenna with ladder line and
>tuner. Be sure to include losses due to high SWR on the ladder line.
>Calculate the feedpoint Z at the shack end of 40 feet of the ladder
>line and describe a tuner and balun arrangement that will handle the
>complex impedances presented. Also calculate losses of same.
>
>The feedpoint of this antenna is held aloft by metal TV mast held by a
>wall mount. Said mast is grounded with #6 solid wire for lightning
>protection. The coax simply drops from the feedpoint down the side of
>the house to the basement window, and is unaffected by the ground wire
>or metal mast. Antenna can be raised or lowered from the ground by
>means of a halyard arranegment.
>
>Describe a mechanical support for the ladder line to keep it away from
>the mast on windy days, yet allow lowering the antenna without
>dragging out the big ladder each time.
>
>Also describe how many more QSOs/sections will result in next CW SS
>due to all the work required.
>
>> >2) A few upgrades for my Elecraft K2 (noise blanker, various mod kits)
>> >
>> >3) Homebrew 100W class external amplifier of my own design for the K2.
>> >Thinking
>> >about a single grid-driven 811. 1000 volts on the plate, zero bias Class B
>> >looks about right..
>> >
>> >4) New "Southgate Type 8" homebrew station.
>>
>> 'splain, Lucy!
>
>Like W3RV sez, you don't wanna go there.

>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

Jim:

I get the pernt. Keep yer steenkin' trap dipole! Sorry I spoke! <BSEG>

However, I would suggest swapping the RG-8X with some nice LMR-400!
Kinda pricey, but very low loss! On HF, even the LMR-240 (same O.D.
as the 8X) would be a big improvement!

73 de Larry, K3LT

4285. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 03:27:45 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021224222745.10362.00000432@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 58425

>Yea right, and you made my top 20 list of JERKS also!

Big Deal

>Why don't you just go and sell some more of your
>business radios set up with MURS radio frequencies to
>the truckers.

Not a bad Idea, they went well last time, you sure you didnt buy one?

>You bitch about the CB radio types then
>you go and sell the same guys more illegal radios to
>play with.

Why not, your just mad you hadnt thought of it

>You need to check out this web site that's
>there just for jerks like you.

Get real, Im not interested in your Silly Ass WEB Sites.

4286. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 03:31:19 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021224223119.10362.00000433@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 58426

>Are you and Bruce butthole brothers?

Your Mama never called us that

>One Angry Man
>President and only member
>No Code Liberation Army (NCLA)
>

Thats because no one else wants to belong to little retarded cb group

>YES I AM LICENSED!

As what?

4287. Something for everyone...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 03:33:13 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212241933.2b748222@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58427

Merry Christmas.

From the ARRL website:

Amateur Radio News

In Brief (Dec 24, 2002)

1. Amateur Radio to get holiday exposure via country music broadcast.

Larry's 4-land dream come true.

2. FCC seeks comments on Amateur Radio-related petitions.

Hopefully only amateurs have petitioned their government on amateur related concerns. All others need not apply.

3. German Amateur Radio payload reaches orbit.

4. Ham Radio University 2003 set.

5. Nine-year-old makes Extra.

Probably reads and writes at a higher grade level than Bruce.

6. Rohn founder dies.

7. Spanish-language NCVEC question pools now available on the Web.

?Hoy?

8. World Scout Jamboree to include Amateur Radio activity.

Perhaps a couple dozen 1n34a's will lead a few scouts to a lifetime of amateur radio fun. Perhaps one will become an engineer. Unless Dave has a problem with it.

4288. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 03:51:33 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212241951.6698b2b2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58428

"W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<E690515A57C17F05.98B729D854A1281E.201F02B8E7BBC73D@lp.airnews.net>...
> "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:d22b54c3.0212240850.723e11b5@posting.google.com...
> > "W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> news:<07A3D4158F919694.019560E327DDC315.8F4A60C41925F205@lp.airnews.net>...
> > > > > > >about a single grid-driven 811. 1000 volts on the plate, zero bias
> Class B
> > > > > > >looks about right..
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >4) New "Southgate Type 8" homebrew station.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > 'splain, Lucy!
> > > > >
> > > > > Like W3RV sez, you don't wanna go there.
> > > > >
> > > > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > Psssst. I may be wrong, but I 'spect yer over 'is 'ead.
> > >
> > Bwaaaahaha! Talk abt no-clues spouting off.
> >
> > > Kim W5TIT
> > >
> > w3rv
> > >
>
> Brian, you're an absolute idiot. Anyone on this newsgroup who "knows" me,
> already knows it was way over my head. But, I digress--we are observing
> *your* intelligence by that post, aren't we?!
>
> Kim W5TIT

Did he say "no-clues?" Hoo-boy!

Kim, just tell him, "Merry Christmas," and move on. Or else he might whip out his impressive "military background" on you. I'm still puzzling over that one. Maybe he has a field jacket or something with some old chevrons on it.

There was a good article in the Legionaire, or was it the VFW magazine, about veterans that actively hunt down people who falsely claim to be medal winners, etc, especially the Congressional Medal of Honor. They also exposed people who claimed to be Navy S.E.A.L.s and

weren't.

But you won't see Steve go after him like he has Mark Morgan...

4289. Re: Season's Greetings!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 04:20:59 UTC
From: K<unk>HB <k<unk>hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <LmaO9.4680$ka5.539123@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58429

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote

: ....a very Blessed and Merry Christmas and a very Happy New
: Year!

Same to you, Larry. I hope Santa brings you a gleaming new set of Kent
paddles, with K3LT artfully engraved in the brass trunion.

dit dit

--
<unk><unk><unk> 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

4290. What I asked Santa for.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 04:41:35 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <3GaO9.4714$ka5.541383@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58430

I didn't ask anything for myself.

For K3LT, I asked for an engraved Kent TP-1.
For N2EY, the latest and greatest fully integrated soldering station.
For N0IMD, the return of his lost T5 logs.
For K4YZ, a 24-pack of KIWI boot polish, and 30 days of R&R to fix his sour attitude.
For W5TIT, a sense of humor.
For LHA, a life membership in AFCEA.
For K8MN, a sense of humor.
For N0VFP, a girl friend or a personally autographed picture of K4ZDH.
For WA8ULX, a new CB rig.
For KC0ODY, a "newcomer of the year" plaque.
For W4NTI, a top-10 win in ARRL SS.

For everyone else I forgot, 20 new countries on their DXCC totals.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

4291. Re: Season's Greetings!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 04:59:10 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <v0ieousmb9284d@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 58431

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:LmaO9.4680$ka5.539123@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote
>
> : ....a very Blessed and Merry Christmas and a very Happy New
> : Year!
>
> Same to you, Larry. I hope Santa brings you a gleaming new set of Kent
> paddles, with K3LT artfully engraved in the brass trunion.

I'd like that myself only with KB9TMP on the brass trunion.

>
> dit dit
>
> --
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
> http://www.mnyarc.org
> http://www.k0bsa.org
>
>
>

Here is something a KM9T sent me in an email
and I think it will go over very nicely here.

Merry Christmas to all and thanks to Mike for the poem.

'73' From KB9TMP

"JESUS is the REASON for the SEASON!"

----- Original Message -----

Radio Operator's Night before Christmas...

Twas the night before Christmas,
And all through two-meters,
Not a signal was keying up
Any repeaters.

The antennas reached up
From the tower, quite high,
To catch the weak signals
That bounced from the sky.

The children, Tech-Pluses,
Took their HT's to bed,
And dreamed of the day
They'd be Extras, instead.

Mom put on her headphones,
I plugged in the key,
And we tuned 40 meters
For that rare ZK3.

When the meter was pegged
by a signal with power.
It smoked a small diode,
and, I swear, shook the tower.

Mom yanked off her phones,
And with all she could muster
Logged a spot of the signal
On the DX PacketCluster,

While I ran to the window
And peered up at the sky,
To see what could generate
RF that high.

It was way in the distance,
But the moon made it gleam -
A flying sleigh, with an
Eight element beam,

And a little old driver
who looked slightly mean.
So I thought for a moment,
That it might be Wayne Green.

But no, it was Santa,
The Santa of Hams.
On a mission, this Christmas
To clean up the bands.

He circled the tower,
Then stopped in his track,
And he slid down the coax
Right into the shack.

While Mom and I hid
Behind stacks of CQ,
This Santa of hamming
Knew just what to do.

He cleared off the shack desk
Of paper and parts,
And filled out all my late QSLs
For a start.

He ran copper braid,
Took a steel rod and pounded
It into the earth, till
The station was grounded.

He tightened loose fittings,
Resoldered connections,
Cranked down modulation,
Installed lightning protection.

He neutralized tubes
In my linear amp...
(Never worked right before --
Now it works like a champ).

A new, low-pass filter
Cleaned up the TV.
He corrected the settings
In my TNC.

He repaired the computer
That would not compute,
And he backed up the hard drive
And got it to boot.

Then, he reached really deep
In the bag that he brought,
And he pulled out a big box.
"A new rig?" I thought!

"A new Kenwood? An Icom?
A Yaesu, for me?!"
(If he thought I'd been bad
it might be QRP!)

Yes! The Ultimate Station!
How could I deserve this?
Could it be all those hours
that I worked Public Service?

He hooked it all up
And in record time, quickly
Worked 100 countries,
All down on 160.

I should have been happy,
It was my call he sent.
But the cards and the postage
Will cost two month's rent!

He made final adjustments,
And left a card by the key:
"To Gary, from Santa Claus.
Seventy-Three."

Then he grabbed his HT,
Looked me straight in the eye,
Punched a code on the pad,
And was gone - no good bye.

I ran back to the station,
And the pile-up was big,
But a card from St. Nick
Would be worth my new rig.

Oh, too late, for his final
came over the air.
It was copied all over.
It was heard everywhere.

The Ham's Santa exclaimed
What a ham might expect,
"Merry Christmas to all,
And to all, good DX."

(Author Unknown)

4292. Re: Something for everyone...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 05:01:08 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021225000108.15150.00000736@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 58432

>5. Nine-year-old makes Extra.
>
>Probably reads and writes at a higher grade level than Bruce.

Maybe so, but the 9 year old and I have the Extra, which is something you can
do

.

4293. Re: What I asked Santa for.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 05:04:09 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021225000409.15150.00000737@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 58433

>For WA8ULX, a new CB rig.

Thanks I just got one, its a Brand New Yaesu Mark V.

4294. Re: BAH HUMBUG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 05:34:58 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021225003458.18639.00000183@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 58434

> My Christmas wish is that the FCC sends all you No-Code CBplussers back to
>CB
>where you belong.

Plus(plusser) means more, so how is this an insult?

Those that focus at code at the expense of other aspects help dumb down themselves and Amateur Radio. Code is a waste of time. Those that do not focus on code are more free to focus on the technical aspects. From what I have seen, there is dumbing down in the clubs, and it is accompanied with pro-coders.

Finally, some CBers like to homebrew. Here is a quote from a CBer.

From: piper tweet nospamatthistimethanks@yahoo.com
>A variable capacitor can be made by reverse bias voltage across a diode. As
>you vary the voltage,
>the capacitance changes.
>You can see them in many vfo circuits, nowadays varicap diodes are common
>place.
>
>Super Diode is a slang CB world description for a diode with much larger
>capacitance range over
>a similar (to "normal") varicap diode. The thought would be to replace a
>standard range
>varicap diode in your ssb radio clarifier circuit with the Super Diode.
>
>The range of an example clarifier would extend from the original few kc's
>(KHz) of
>operation up to some much larger value like plus or minus 15 KHz. You would
>be able
>to move into the next frequency "channel" or "hole slot". For the most
>part, only
>receive operation is changed with the clarifier, but mods are often done
>which tie
>both the transmit and receive functions to the clarifier knob.

Seems this CBer is more technical than most Hams at my local clubs. Some CBer's homebrew (or make modifications). Most Hams at my local clubs do not homebrew and even dislike it. This shows that not all CBers are dumb, as some Hams may like to claim.

It is now Christmas.

4295. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 05:40:28 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <iogi0v84f8ajhoigvds2690mb2b4bg69kq@4ax.com>
Source record: 58435

On 24 Dec 2002 13:09:20 -0800, oneangryman@hotmail.com (One Angry Man)
wrote:

>Here is a Christmas wish for all the regulars on rec.radio.amateur.policy:
>http://www.profree.com/oneangryman/
>Merry Christmas
>--------------------------------
>One Angry Man
>President and only member
>No Code Liberation Army (NCLA)
>
>Answer to everyone's first question.
>YES I AM LICENSED!
>
>
>Answer to everyone's second question.
>NO I DON'T WANT TO PUBLISH MY CALLSIGN HERE!!
>
>
>Answer to everyone's third question.
>YES I AM PROUD OF IT, I'M JUST NOT STUPID
>ENOUGH TO USE IT ON THE INTERNET, DUH!!!

umm, don't look now Mr oneangryman, but you say you're a ham,
but you are worried about identity theft on the internet?

You are aware that you are in databases that are freely
distributed around the entire world, I hope. Your name, addy, zip.
lots of good stuff.

If you are worried about identity theft, spam, etc. all you
have to do is learn how to avoid it. Bellowing (yes, all caps is
bellowing) about how you don't use your Callsign in this ng only
serves to make you look bad.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4296. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 05:47:23 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021225004723.18639.00000184@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 58436

I would go in the opposite direction. Remove the CQ. How about just using ordinary conversation instead of unneeded codewords?

4297. Re: Ham Running For Office - Orange County, California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 05:50:17 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <hehi0vcugugvrnjsihauc1fsl3fa22fqic@4ax.com>
Source record: 58437

On 24 Dec 2002 05:51:26 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote:

>>Subject: Re: Ham Running For Office - Orange County, California
>>From: "Kï¿½HB" kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG
>>Date: 12/23/02 7:45 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <k%ON9.3521$b97.357486@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
>
>>
>>: Too bad some folks still vote just on the basis of party lines. That's an
>>: incredibly stupid thing to do.
>>
>>Only an incredibly stupid person would make such an incredibly stupid
>>statement.
>
> What's stupid? That I find it idiotic to vote for a persons simply based
>on thier pary affiliation, or that I would say so?

I'm confused too, Steve. Hans, give an explanation for us
please?

> So, you SUPPORT voting for a person based solely upon party affiliation,
>NOT upon thier qualifications, character or convictions?

There is a thing called Yellow dog Democrats, and second
coming Republicans.

The Yellow dog Democrats would vote for a yellow dog over any
Republican, and the Second Coming Republicans wouldn't fot for a
Democrat if he came down from heaven announced by angels blowing a
trumpet fanfare.

Proud to be an independant, but fascinated that neither party
will allow me to vote in the primaries.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4298. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 06:05:03 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <v0iikftcnhr2fb@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 58438

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021225004723.18639.00000184@mb-cq.aol.com...
> I would go in the opposite direction. Remove the CQ. How about just using
> ordinary conversation instead of unneeded codewords?

Like what "Hello, is anybody out there?"
But OTOH we are talking about code so
you don't ever have to worry about it!

I will agree with you "somewhat" on the
codewords used in voice comms. It is
kind of silly to "QSY" when we can just
say "I'm switching over to xxx.xxx MHz".

--

'73' & Merry Christmas From KB9TMP

"JESUS is the REASON for the SEASON!"

4299. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 06:29:56 UTC
From: oneangryman@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8c61e9d6.0212242229.7f3a5d78@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58439

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021224222745.10362.00000432@mb-ba.aol.com>...
> >Yea right, and you made my top 20 list of JERKS also!
>
> Big Deal
>
> >Why don't you just go and sell some more of your
> >business radios set up with MURS radio frequencies to
> >the truckers.
>
> Not a bad Idea, they went well last time, you sure you didnt buy one?

No I'd rather deal with Satan himself!

>
> >You bitch about the CB radio types then
> >you go and sell the same guys more illegal radios to
> >play with.
>
> Why not, your just mad you hadnt thought of it

Nope I try to stay away from the illegal stuff since
I was released from jail.

>
> >You need to check out this web site that's
> >there just for jerks like you.
>
> Get real, Im not interested in your Silly Ass WEB Sites.

It's not my site but I do send jerks like you their
all the time. Just for grins of course!
http://www.amishrakefight.org/gfy/

One Angry Man
President and only member
No Code Liberation Army (NCLA)

Answer to everyone's first question.
YES I AM LICENSED!

Answer to everyone's second question.
NO I DON'T WANT TO PUBLISH MY CALLSIGN HERE!!

Answer to everyone's third question.
YES I AM PROUD OF IT, I'M JUST NOT STUPID
ENOUGH TO USE IT ON THE INTERNET, DUH!!!

4300. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 06:36:06 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E095224.CF5A7D55@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58440

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E08BB32.9C353F53@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:<atv9qi314v2@enews2.newsguy.com>...
> > > > This is similar, but different to the other post, but what are your
> > > > equipment goals for 2003? Are you going to purchase any new gear of any
> > > > kind related to amateur radio or radio in general? Are you planning on
> > > > constructing any new gear?
> > > >
> > > > Happy Holidays!
> > >
> > > A 12-pack of 1n34a's. Brian
> >
> > Constructing those should provide a real challenge for you.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> It should provide a challenge for some 8 year old boys. Its called scouting.

> You got a problem with that?

Constructing a 12-pack of 1N34A's is called scouting? 'tis a different
world in which you dwell.

Dave K8MN

4301. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 06:41:57 UTC
From: oneangryman@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8c61e9d6.0212242241.5ed64068@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58441

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021224223119.10362.00000433@mb-ba.aol.com>...
> >Are you and Bruce butthole brothers?
>
> Your Mama never called us that

That's because Mama knew better than to
deal with white trash like you Bruce.

>
> >One Angry Man
> >President and only member
> >No Code Liberation Army (NCLA)
> >
>
> Thats because no one else wants to belong to little retarded cb group

You should be part of it, you could be president
REAL EASY. The NCLA stuff is just for grins just
like your CBplusser crap. It don't mean anything
except to piss people off. Did it work for you,
the King of the CBplussers? I sure hope so!

>
> >YES I AM LICENSED!
>
> As what?

Let's just say it involves rubber gloves,
and "anal orifices" like yourself Bruce.
Can you say Proctology? I thought you could!

One Angry Man
President and only member
No Code Liberation Army (NCLA)

Answer to everyone's first question.
YES I AM LICENSED!

Answer to everyone's second question.
NO I DON'T WANT TO PUBLISH MY CALLSIGN HERE!!

Answer to everyone's third question.
YES I AM PROUD OF IT, I'M JUST NOT STUPID
ENOUGH TO USE IT ON THE INTERNET, DUH!!!

4302. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 06:42:52 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0953A1.1550A39F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58442

Brian wrote:
>
> fornewspost@aol.com (ForNewsPost) wrote in message
news:<20021224130605.11931.00000198@mb-fy.aol.com>...
> > This has probably come up in the past, and I just never noticed it, but I have
> > always felt that there should be some kind of simple indicator of the
> > intentions of a ham sending CQ. This would be in order to specify whether the
> > CQ'er wants to ragchew a while, or just wants to have a short RST, QTH, Name,
> > Rig, Antenna type of QSO. It seems to me that this would help both the CQ'er
> > and the responding ham get exactly what they want out of a contact. For
> > instance, something like "CQ CQ CQ DE KJ6XX KJ6XX RC K" would indicate the
> > CQ'er wants to RagChew, and "CQ CQ CQ DE KJ6XX KJ6XX SQ K" might indicate the
> > desire for only a Short Qso. Any thoughts? Has this been proposed in the
> > past? Or is this a non-problem?
> >
> > -Cotter (KJ6EB)
>
> Ask Dave/K8MN. A DXer's not allowed any "RC" QSOs.

You live in a state of perpetual haze. A DXer can rag chew anytime he
likes. It is just that rag chewing is not DXing. You can sit and talk
fishing with a "G" all afternoon. That's rag chewing at distance. It
isn't DXing. Keep pondering the mysteries, Brian.

Dave K8MN

4303. Re: Something for everyone...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 06:49:20 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E095527.4E083F22@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58443

Brian wrote:

> 5. Nine-year-old makes Extra.

Much to Leonard H. Anderson's chagrin.

> 8. World Scout Jamboree to include Amateur Radio activity.

> Perhaps a couple dozen 1n34a's will lead a few scouts to a lifetime of
> amateur radio fun. Perhaps one will become an engineer. Unless Dave
> has a problem with it.

I think it'd be neat if you take lots of photos documenting the young fellows constructing that 12-pack of 1N34A's. That'll certainly keep 'em out of trouble and off the streets for a while.

Dave K8MN

4304. Re: What I asked Santa for.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 06:56:13 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0956D5.43137195@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58444

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:
>
> I didn't ask anything for myself.

You should have something, Hans. How about a set of brogan anchors to keep you from getting knocked off your pedestal?

Dave K8MN

4305. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 07:29:49 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v0ink7ij1hab04@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 58445

Your post didn't make a lot of sense. If you meant "you are", the proper contraction would be "you're". I don't worry about the code one way or the other - and it doesn't bother me to post my call (heck, I've had 4 different call signs as an extra class since the 60s and had my commercial telegraph ticket too). I just like to see some common sense in folks being licensed. Safety (power and rf), regulations, and knowing the difference between "your" and "you're" would help too ... ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"One Angry Man" <oneangryman@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:8c61e9d6.0212241309.388376f9@posting.google.com...
> Here is a Christmas wish for all the regulars on rec.radio.amateur.policy:
> http://www.profree.com/oneangryman/
> Merry Christmas
> --------------------------------
> One Angry Man
> President and only member
> No Code Liberation Army (NCLA)
>
> Answer to everyone's first question.
> YES I AM LICENSED!
>
>
> Answer to everyone's second question.
> NO I DON'T WANT TO PUBLISH MY CALLSIGN HERE!!
>
>
> Answer to everyone's third question.
> YES I AM PROUD OF IT, I'M JUST NOT STUPID
> ENOUGH TO USE IT ON THE INTERNET, DUH!!!
>
> ----------------------------------

4306. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 07:50:18 UTC
From: Santa <Rudie@NorthPole.com>
Message-ID: <aubnup$e9j$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58446

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:iogi0v84f8ajhoigvds2690mb2b4bg69kq@4ax.com...
> On 24 Dec 2002 13:09:20 -0800, oneangryman@hotmail.com (One Angry Man)
> wrote:
>
> >Here is a Christmas wish for all the regulars on
rec.radio.amateur.policy:
> >http://www.profree.com/oneangryman/
> >Merry Christmas
> >--------------------------------
> >One Angry Man
> >President and only member
> >No Code Liberation Army (NCLA)
> >
> >Answer to everyone's first question.
> >YES I AM LICENSED!
> >
> >
> >Answer to everyone's second question.
> >NO I DON'T WANT TO PUBLISH MY CALLSIGN HERE!!
> >
> >
> >Answer to everyone's third question.
> >YES I AM PROUD OF IT, I'M JUST NOT STUPID
> >ENOUGH TO USE IT ON THE INTERNET, DUH!!!
>
> umm, don't look now Mr oneangryman, but you say you're a ham,
> but you are worried about identity theft on the internet?
>
> You are aware that you are in databases that are freely
> distributed around the entire world, I hope. Your name, addy, zip.
> lots of good stuff.
>
> If you are worried about identity theft, spam, etc. all you
> have to do is learn how to avoid it. Bellowing (yes, all caps is
> bellowing) about how you don't use your Callsign in this ng only
> serves to make you look bad.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

OH? And Mike, does posting your call make you look good? As in "macho"?
Good thing that you have never been the victim of a stalker/spammer as has
happened to some users hereabouts.
Posting your call may be bravado to you but it is pure folly otherwise.

Your "challenge" is empty. Note, if you will, that the more intelligent newsgroupers keep their callsigns off the posts...something that I hope you learn to do before it is too late.
Let's see...if some misfit were to go to QRZ and look up your call, well, no telling what kind of spam or junk mail or magazine subscriptions you may get.
I know because it happened to me. I have been down that road. Mike..open your eyes!

4307. Re: BAH HUMBUG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 07:57:52 UTC
From: Toid <Fecal@anon.com>
Message-ID: <aubocv$fc7$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58447

```
.
> >
> >The range of an example clarifier would extend from the original few
kc's
> >(KHz) of
> >operation up to some much larger value like plus or minus 15 KHz. You
would
> >be able
> >to move into the next frequency "channel" or "hole slot". For the most
> >part, only
> >receive operation is changed with the clarifier, but mods are often done
> >which tie
> >both the transmit and receive functions to the clarifier knob.
>
> Seems this CBer is more technical than most Hams at my local clubs. Some
CBer's
> homebrew (or make modifications). Most Hams at my local clubs do not
homebrew
> and even dislike it. This shows that not all CBers are dumb, as some Hams
may
> like to claim.

Sure thing. Trouble is that most CB'ers make "mods" to illegally operate
outside their bands and do so with illegal power outputs.
This makes you and yours "dumb" from the get-go.
Ten-Four, Good Buddy?
```

4308. Re: Ham Running For Office - Orange County, California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 09:02:26 UTC
From: "William A. Wetzel" <n6rky@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <CueO9.4911$ka5.568278@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58448

Larry,

I appreciate your kind words!
Thank you for your support & quick wit :)

Best wishes,
--
William A. Wetzel
http://www.qsl.net/n6rky
mailto:n6rky@earthlink.net

Larry Roll K3LT <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021224221710.01544.00000894@mb-cu.aol.com...
> In article <mcwN9.1903$ka5.218079@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>,
"William
> A. Wetzel" <n6rky@earthlink.net> writes:
>
> >Greetings,
> >
> >This message is to announce that I am running for public office in Orange
> >County, California. I am running for Orange County Supervisor (3rd
District)
> >to be held this January 28th., 2003. As a ham radio operator, I will help
to
> >assist hams in my area regarding public policy involving Amateur Radio.
> >
> >Thank you for your support!
>
> Bill:
>
> You've got my vote! All you've gotta do is figure out how to qualify me
> for an absentee ballot!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> Dover, DE
>
>
>

4309. Re: K1MAN Interviews Rush

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 12:39:31 UTC
From: David Robbins <k1ttt@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <w9SdnbfjYLmdOpSjXTWcog@crocker.com>
Source record: 58449

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:v0f4us4ri9h986@corp.supernews.com...
> I don't know, Dan. Yesterday VE7WNE, Wayne, said he may be mowing his
lawn
> We had an interesting letter to
> the editor last week in the newspaper. It was from a gentleman who works
in
> our local school system. Our schools are facing huge deficits. Seems a
lot
> of business donate old computers. Yep, take a write off. Problem is,
some
> of these old clunkers are 386 processors or even older. They have to be
> junked by the school at a cost of $17.00 each. Nice country. Big
business
> gets a write-off for letting the school district pay to scrap the junk!
> Better give the CEO another couple million dollar bonus for figuring out
> that legal loophole.
>
First, there is no law that says you must accept a donation just because someone wants to give it to you. So if the school took them but didn't have a use for them its their own fault.

Second, there are lots of good uses for old 386's. If they work they are excellent platforms for learning basic programming, building into science fair projects, teaching computer testing and repair, etc. heck, i still run 6 of them here for contest logging. If they don't work they can still be used as training props for teaching computer assembly and repair, and the bonus is that you don't care if the students zap them with static or drop a screwdriver in the power supply.

4310. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 13:40:31 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021225084031.22930.00000187@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 58450

>Subject: Re: Christmas Wish
>From: "Moses" RedSea@Anon.com
>Date: 12/24/02 7:14 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <aub0p9$h6a$0@pita.alt.net>

>What is "goandless" anyway?

Excuse me..."gonadless"

>It is not cowardly to not post a call

Yes it is.

>It is false bravado.

False bravado is to make an assertion of bravery or intent when the intent is to not back it up with action.

>Sound like him, too.

How do you kow what I sound like? Have we ever had a voice QSO? I doubt it.

Steve, K4YZ

4311. Lloyd is right for once.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 13:46:08 UTC
From: dafirebottle@aol.comnojunk
Message-ID: <20021225084608.18780.00000226@mb-mt.aol.com>
Source record: 58501

For once in his miserable existence, lloyd davies was right when he told the Athens, Alabama Police Dept.

"I don't know nothing"

Firebottle

4312. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 13:53:24 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021225085324.22930.00000188@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 58502

>Subject: Re: Christmas Wish
>From: oneangryman@hotmail.com (One Angry Man)
>Date: 12/24/02 9:08 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <8c61e9d6.0212241908.70919993@posting.google.com

>Other than a callsign what kind of evidence would
>you like to see? Because in these times of identity
>theft on the internet there is NO F***ING way I'm
>going to post it here or anywhere else that starts
>with a http or a www, GOT THAT Stevey boy?

Sure I got it, you cowardly, spineless creep. Now, crawl back into bed with your mama like a good spineles coward you are.

>Show me your brain, until I see you pop that head
>of yours open and show it you don't have one!!!

If you have the disk space I'll be glad to send your the MRI films.

>Sorry, I'm not anonymous I know exactly who I am,
>I'm just not publishing it here. I'm sure not going
>to do it for you or anyone else that reads your crap!

You are anonymous to me, which makes you anonymous.

You refuse to identify yourself to anyone else on this forum which makes you anonymous.

And if you don't want "anyone else" that "reads (my) crap" , then I suggest you find another forum to post in, Cowardly Putz. While I don't doubt taht a great many of the posts are just glanced over (since they usually are directed at another Amateur Radio Newsgroup Antagonist), not all are.

So...Here you are...Another whining, nightcrawling creep who thinks that by hiding in the darkness of newsgroup lofts and lashing out like a bully who slaps then run you are "somebody".

No name...no call...No repsect.

Just another Putz.

Steve, K4YZ

4313. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 13:56:01 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021225085601.22930.00000189@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 58503

>Subject: Re: Christmas Wish
>From: oneangryman@hotmail.com (One Angry Man)
>Date: 12/25/02 12:29 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <8c61e9d6.0212242229.7f3a5d78@posting.google.com>

>No I'd rather deal with Satan himself!

Until you put a name with that screen name, you may very well BE Satan
himself.

>Nope I try to stay away from the illegal stuff since
>I was released from jail.

Why am I not surprised. Child molesting, domestic abuse or drugs?

4314. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 14:04:32 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021225090432.22930.00000190@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 58504

>Subject: Re: Christmas Wish
>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>Date: 12/24/02 11:40 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <iogi0v84f8ajhoigvds2690mb2b4bg69kq@4ax.com>

>If you are worried about identity theft, spam, etc. all you
>have to do is learn how to avoid it. Bellowing (yes, all caps is
>bellowing) about how you don't use your Callsign in this ng only
>serves to make you look bad.

I have used my full name an callsign here for over four years now and have never once gotten any piece of spam that I can attribute to this newsgroup.

I also DID receive several generous donations from members of this NG (a couple I know, a couple I don't) who donated to my (now deceased) daughter's wheelchair fund.

I HAVE, however, gotten spam from chat rooms, magazine subscriptions and "registering" for other sites (ie: AOL, Yahoo, etc)

Simple facts are usually not comprehended well by the simple minded, Mike.

73

Steve, K4YZ

4315. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 14:11:39 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021225091139.22930.00000191@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 58505

>Subject: Re: Christmas Wish
>From: "Santa" Rudie@NorthPole.com
>Date: 12/25/02 1:50 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <aubnup$e9j$0@pita.alt.net>

>OH? And Mike, does posting your call make you look good? As in "macho"?

It may not make a person look "macho", but it DOES put some respect behind the comments.

If you haven't got the intestinal fortitiude to put your name to it, then it's probably not something you'd have the guts to say to someone under other circumstances. In otherwords, meaningless.

>Note, if you will, that the more intelligent
>newsgroupers keep their callsigns off the posts...something that I hope you
>learn to do before it is too late.

Oh? Several of the posters here have baccalaureat education AND post thier calls here. Lennie doesn't ahve a call, but at least he's man enough to put his name to his rants. Even posts his complete address, and I am not aware of any misdeeds at his residence (at least not one's that weren't of his doing!)

>Let's see...if some misfit were to go to QRZ and look up your call, well, no
>telling what kind of spam or junk mail or magazine subscriptions you may
>get.

Uh huh...

>I know because it happened to me. I have been down that road. Mike..open
>your eyes!

Where I come from, it's impolite to address a man by his given name when you don't first introduce yourself.

Just another Internet Loser. Putz.

4316. Re: What I asked Santa for.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 14:18:01 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021225091801.22930.00000192@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 58506

>Subject: What I asked Santa for.....
>From: "Kï¿½HB" kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG
>Date: 12/24/02 10:41 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3GaO9.4714$ka5.541383@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

>For K4YZ, a 24-pack of KIWI boot polish, and 30 days of R&R to fix his sour
>attitude.

Geeze, Hans...! All I got for you was a wish of Christmas Cheer.

Guess I shudda stuck with "Bah-Humbug", huh...?!?!

73

Steve, K4YZ

4317. Re: What I asked Santa for.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 14:19:06 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021225091906.22930.00000193@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 58507

>Subject: Re: What I asked Santa for.....
>From: Dave Heil k8mn@earthlink.net
>Date: 12/25/02 12:56 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <3E0956D5.43137195@earthlink.net>

>You should have something, Hans. How about a set of brogan anchors to
>keep you from getting knocked off your pedestal?

(rim-shot) ta-da-dump

Nice one, Dave!

73

Steve, K4YZ

4318. Re: BAH HUMBUG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 14:27:06 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021225092706.22930.00000194@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 58508

>Subject: Re: BAH HUMBUG
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 12/24/02 11:34 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021225003458.18639.00000183@mb-cq.aol.com>

Clips from CBers modifying thier rigs to operate illegally snipped.

Vipul, do you know how COMPLETELY silly you just made yourself look? You play right into the hands of ULX and others when you cite such gobbledy-gook as "evidence" of technical competence of CBers!

>Seems this CBer is more technical than most Hams at my local clubs.

If you like sounding like a fool, I guess.

>Some CBer's homebrew (or make modifications).

Sure they do, Vipul. In direct violation of Part 95.

>Most Hams at my local clubs do not homebrew
>and even dislike it.

I know some people who dislike Baywatch but love sex. So what?

>This shows that not all CBers are dumb, as some Hams may
>like to claim.

It does if you know the difference between facts and fiction.

73

Steve, K4YZ

4319. MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 14:39:04 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021225093904.22930.00000195@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 58509

>Subject: Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 12/24/02 11:47 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021225004723.18639.00000184@mb-cq.aol.com>
>
>I would go in the opposite direction. Remove the CQ. How about just using
>ordinary conversation instead of unneeded codewords?

Here you go again with this silly rant about "uneeded codewords".

WHAT "codewords", Vipul?

There are NO "codewords" here. Every "Q" signal and procedural signal used by Amateur Radio operators is internationally understood. In SOME cases it is those very abbreviations that MAKE international communication possible.

Now, you did this rant a few weeks ago about "codewords" and other hobby related slang, and you were asked WHY Amateur Radio should be any different than any other sport, avocation or occupation.

You never answered the question.

Since YOU again raise the rant, I will again ask the question of you directly: WHAT CODEWORDS?

HOW could Amateur Radio change how it refers to Amateur Radio-specific practice in any other terms?

HOW would you implement these changes into a "hobby" that spans almost every country of the globe, hundreds of languages and all 24 time zones?

Steve, K4YZ

4320. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 14:42:21 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021225094221.22930.00000196@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 58510

>Subject: Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?
>From: "KB9TMP" kb9tmp@juno.com
>Date: 12/25/02 12:05 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <v0iikftcnhr2fb@corp.supernews.com>

>"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20021225004723.18639.00000184@mb-cq.aol.com...
>> I would go in the opposite direction. Remove the CQ. How about just using
>> ordinary conversation instead of unneeded codewords?
>
>Like what "Hello, is anybody out there?"
>But OTOH we are talking about code so
>you don't ever have to worry about it!

I am instantly reminded of Andy Williams in "No Time For Sergeants"
spitting into the back of an aircraft radio calling "Haaalllooo? Kin ya heere
me...?!?!"

>I will agree with you "somewhat" on the
>codewords used in voice comms. It is
>kind of silly to "QSY" when we can just
>say "I'm switching over to xxx.xxx MHz"

On the local repeater, maybe. On 14.195 where the bands are wavering and
the language poorly understood to start with, not a good idea!

73

Steve, K4YZ

4321. Re: BAH HUMBUG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 14:50:29 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021225095029.28328.00000559@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 58511

>Plus(plusser) means more, so how is this an insult?

Who said it was an Insult? All it means is the person is one step above a CBer.
Even though it is a very very Tiny step, almost a trip.

>Those that focus at code at the expense of other aspects help dumb down
>themselves and Amateur Radio.

As well as the present written, which is the Big Joke.

>Code is a waste of time.

The written is a waste of time

>Those that do not focus
>on code are more free to focus on the technical aspects.

What technical aspects?

4322. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 14:57:18 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021225095718.28328.00000560@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 58512

>Nope I try to stay away from the illegal stuff since
>I was released from jail.
>
>>

You must have committed some crime against nature

>It's not my site but I do send jerks like you their
>all the time. Just for grins of course!
>http://www.amishrakefight.org/gfy/
>--------------------------------

Well good

4323. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 15:03:44 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021225100344.28328.00000561@mb-fo.aol.com>
Source record: 58513

>That's because Mama knew better than to
>deal with white trash like you Bruce.
>

Thats not true, if it wasnt for your MaMa and me you wouldnt be here.

>The NCLA stuff is just for grins just
>like your CBplusser crap. It don't mean anything
>except to piss people off. Did it work for you,

No, it didnt work for me.

>Let's just say it involves rubber gloves,
>and "anal orifices" like yourself Bruce.
>Can you say Proctology? I thought you could!

Oh so you like playing with anal orifices, are you one of them AC DC Boys or
are you just plain DC?

4324. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 15:31:00 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212250731.373a030@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58514

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3E0953A1.1550A39F@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > fornewspost@aol.com (ForNewsPost) wrote in message
news:<20021224130605.11931.00000198@mb-fy.aol.com>...
> > > This has probably come up in the past, and I just never noticed it, but I have
> > > always felt that there should be some kind of simple indicator of the
> > > intentions of a ham sending CQ. This would be in order to specify whether the
> > > CQ'er wants to ragchew a while, or just wants to have a short RST, QTH, Name,
> > > Rig, Antenna type of QSO. It seems to me that this would help both the CQ'er
> > > and the responding ham get exactly what they want out of a contact. For
> > > instance, something like "CQ CQ CQ DE KJ6XX KJ6XX RC K" would indicate the
> > > CQ'er wants to RagChew, and "CQ CQ CQ DE KJ6XX KJ6XX SQ K" might indicate the
> > > desire for only a Short Qso. Any thoughts? Has this been proposed in the
> > > past? Or is this a non-problem?
> > >
> > > -Cotter (KJ6EB)
> >
> > Ask Dave/K8MN. A DXer's not allowed any "RC" QSOs.
>
> You live in a state of perpetual haze. A DXer can rag chew anytime he
> likes. It is just that rag chewing is not DXing. You can sit and talk
> fishing with a "G" all afternoon. That's rag chewing at distance. It
> isn't DXing. Keep pondering the mysteries, Brian.
>
> Dave K8MN

No mystery, Dave. You live in a tiny, little, confined world. You
can break those bonds of self-enslavement and enjoy life a little.
Other hams really can be interesting enough to spent 10 or 15 minutes
with. Good luck in the new year.

4325. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 15:36:49 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021225103649.08991.00000233@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 58515

In article <20021224221711.01544.00000895@mb-cu.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>In article <c2356669.0212230922.6022af70@posting.google.com>, N2EY@AOL.COM
>(N2EY) writes:
>
>>The trap dipole

(snipped to avoid further boredom)
>
>I get the pernt.

Finally!

> Keep yer steenkin' trap dipole!

"Steenkin"? That design has worked for me at two QTH's and several Field Days. Cost me maybe $10, mostly for the coax. Look up my SS and FD results over the past several years.

Have you done better with a similar low-cost antenna?

>Sorry I spoke! <BSEG>
>
>
>However, I would suggest swapping the RG-8X with some nice LMR-400!
>Kinda pricey, but very low loss! On HF, even the LMR-240 (same O.D.
>as the 8X) would be a big improvement!

How much less loss (in dB) will those coaxes have on 80, 40 and 20 meters, assuming a line length of 40 feet and SWR of 3:1 or less, compared to good quality (not RS) RG-8X? How much more weight on the antenna support?

73 de Jim, N2EY

4326. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 15:40:48 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212250740.4fa7b980@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58516

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3E095224.CF5A7D55@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E08BB32.9C353F53@earthlink.net>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:<atv9qi314v2@enews2.newsguy.com>...
> > > > > This is similar, but different to the other post, but what are your
> > > > > equipment goals for 2003? Are you going to purchase any new gear of any
> > > > > kind related to amateur radio or radio in general? Are you planning on
> > > > > constructing any new gear?
> > > > >
> > > > > Happy Holidays!
> > > > >
> > > > A 12-pack of 1n34a's. Brian
> > > >
> > > Constructing those should provide a real challenge for you.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > It should provide a challenge for some 8 year old boys. Its called scouting.
> >
> > You got a problem with that?
> >
> Constructing a 12-pack of 1N34A's is called scouting? 'tis a different
> world in which you dwell.

Yes, very different from your world, Dave. I am a leader. I freely
give my time to help keep these boys pointed in the right direction.
They learn about many things in scouting, and 1n34a are just a part of
it. They'll learn what they can do, and they'll learn what a 1n34a
can do. If you're interested in changing the curriculum, you'll have
to get involved yourself.

But it might take you away from those out of band Frenchmen.

4327. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 15:52:57 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021225105257.10348.00000455@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 58517

>Yes, very different from your world, Dave. I am a leader.

What a Joke, im still laughing

4328. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 16:19:55 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3E09DAAB.7060007@mailcity.net>
Source record: 58524

WA8ULX wrote:
>>Answer to everyone's third question.
>>YES I AM PROUD OF IT, I'M JUST NOT STUPID
>>ENOUGH TO USE IT ON THE INTERNET, DUH!!!
>>
>
>
> Yea right, your not smart enough to be a Dumped Down CBplusser

Yea, he is about on your level, maybe a few steps higher. What is
a "dumped down" cbplusser?

4329. Re: BAH HUMBUG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 16:22:14 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3E09DB36.5070808@mailcity.net>
Source record: 58525

WA8ULX wrote:
> My Christmas wish is that the FCC sends all you No-Code CBplussers back to CB
> where you belong.

You don't need the FCC to send you there, you can go back to cb
all by yourself.

4330. Re: BAH HUMBUG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 16:24:30 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.net>
Message-ID: <3E09DBBE.4020407@mailcity.net>
Source record: 58526

WA8ULX wrote:
>>Plus(plusser) means more, so how is this an insult?
>
>
> Who said it was an Insult? All it means is the person is one step above a CBer.
> Even though it is a very very Tiny step, almost a trip.

A step higher than you are.

>
>
>>Those that focus at code at the expense of other aspects help dumb down
>>themselves and Amateur Radio.
>
>
> As well as the present written, which is the Big Joke.

So you got your license on a joke.

>
>
>>Code is a waste of time.
>
>
> The written is a waste of time
>
>
>>Those that do not focus
>>on code are more free to focus on the technical aspects.
>
>
> What technical aspects?

If there were any technical aspects, you would never have been
licensed. They dumbed it down so your kind could get in.

>
>

4331. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 16:28:38 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <v0jn5j4c5sid26@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 58518

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021225094221.22930.00000196@mb-mf.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?
> >From: "KB9TMP" kb9tmp@juno.com
> >Date: 12/25/02 12:05 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <v0iikftcnhr2fb@corp.supernews.com>
>
<SNIP>

> >I will agree with you "somewhat" on the
> >codewords used in voice comms. It is
> >kind of silly to "QSY" when we can just
> >say "I'm switching over to xxx.xxx MHz"
>
> On the local repeater, maybe. On 14.195 where the bands are wavering
and
> the language poorly understood to start with, not a good idea!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve
That's what I had in mind when I wrote it, I just didn't think to add
it into the thought when it left my head and went through the keyboard.
;^)

--

'73' & Merry Christmas From KB9TMP

"JESUS is the REASON for the SEASON!"

4332. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 16:57:22 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <SrlO9.6137$b97.639597@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58519

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote

: I would go in the opposite direction. Remove the CQ. How about just using
: ordinary conversation instead of unneeded codewords?

You're right that "Codewords" as you call them aren't always needed (as in
MUST have), but they really do serve a number of useful purposes which
probably aren't immediately obvious to a newcomer.

In some cases they serve as a convenient shorthand, even on voice, for
longer phrases and concepts. For example, "CQ" is universally understood as
an invitation to communications, and "SOS" or "MAYDAY" as a call for help.

In other cases, such as "Q-signals", they serve to encapsulate common
communications situations into a package that seamlessly crosses over
language differences. Thus "QSL" means "acknowledge" to a person who speaks
English, "anerkennen" if you speak German, "reconocer" in Spanish, or
"reconnaitre" in French. (My knowledge of those languages is very rusty,
but I think those are reasonably equivalent words -- however if they are
not it doesn't matter and I don't have to worry about a precise translation,
because the person I'm talking to will automatically translate "QSL" into
the precise meaning in their language.)

Perhaps less important but still valuable, many vocations and avocations
have a "lingo" which, while it may strike outsiders as "quaint" or
"affected", is part of the fraternity and serves to identify aficionados to
each other. Auto racers say "skins" instead of "tires", bikers talk about
"Hogs", not Harley Davidsons, old time technicians say "mickey-mikes"
instead of "pico farads", fishermen say "he's a stick" instead of "he's a
skilled angler", and hams say "XYL" instead of "wife". I say, who cares....
my grammar teacher isn't a ham, so she won't catch me, and a Russian,
American, or Brazilian ham will all understand "TKS nice QSO" but they may
not understand "I appreciate the delightful conversation we've had".

73 OM ES MERRY XMAS,

Hans, K0HB

4333. Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 17:02:16 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <swlO9.6147$b97.640312@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58520

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

: >Subject: Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?
: >From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
: >Date: 12/24/02 11:47 PM Central Standard Time
: >Message-id: <20021225004723.18639.00000184@mb-cq.aol.com>
: >
: >I would go in the opposite direction. Remove the CQ. How about just using
: >ordinary conversation instead of unneeded codewords?
:
: Here you go again with this silly rant about "uneeded codewords".
:
: WHAT "codewords", Vipul?
:
: There are NO "codewords" here. Every "Q" signal and procedural
signal
: used by Amateur Radio operators is internationally understood. In SOME
cases
: it is those very abbreviations that MAKE international communication
possible.
:
: Now, you did this rant a few weeks ago about "codewords" and other
hobby
: related slang, and you were asked WHY Amateur Radio should be any
different
: than any other sport, avocation or occupation.
:
: You never answered the question.
:
: Since YOU again raise the rant, I will again ask the question of you
: directly: WHAT CODEWORDS?
:
: HOW could Amateur Radio change how it refers to Amateur
Radio-specific
: practice in any other terms?
:
: HOW would you implement these changes into a "hobby" that spans
almost
: every country of the globe, hundreds of languages and all 24 time zones?
:
: Steve, K4YZ
:
Speaking of RANTS! Sheesh!

Vshah asked a reasonable question for a newcomer. You supplied the rant!

Merry Christmas. Where are you going on R&R?

73, de Hans, K0HB

4334. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 17:47:07 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <vamO9.6213$b97.645290@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58521

"ForNewsPost" <fornewspost@aol.com> wrote

: This has probably come up in the past, and I just never noticed it, but I
have
: always felt that there should be some kind of simple indicator of the
: intentions of a ham sending CQ.

Hi Cotter,

Actually there are all sorts of qualifiers which get tacked onto "CQ", some more widely known than others, and there even is one which is specifically intended for what you suggest -- it is "CQ RCC" where "RCC" stands for "Rag Chewers Club".

Others like "CQ DX" or "CQ __ (insert continent)" are used by DXers. Members of certain clubs or awards or interests are also often heard, as "CQ IOTA", "CQ FISTS", or "CQ YL".

During contest weekends you'll hear things like "CQ TEST", "CQ CONTEST", "CQ SS", or the plaintive "CQ VY1"!

73, de Hans, K0HB

4335. Re: What I asked Santa for.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 17:51:39 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <LemO9.6215$b97.646402@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58522

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote

: "K&#65533;HB" wrote:
: >
: > I didn't ask anything for myself.
:
: You should have something, Hans. How about a set of brogan anchors to
: keep you from getting knocked off your pedestal?

Very GOOD, Dave! See, Santa *did* listen, and brought you just what I'd
hoped he would.

73, de Hans, K0HB

4336. Re: What I asked Santa for.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 18:06:59 UTC
From: aara bronx cheer <winniereevus@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <3e09f3ad@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 58523

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:3GaO9.4714$ka5.541383@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I didn't ask anything for myself.
>
> For K3LT, I asked for an engraved Kent TP-1.
> For N2EY, the latest and greatest fully integrated soldering station.
> For N0IMD, the return of his lost T5 logs.
> For K4YZ, a 24-pack of KIWI boot polish, and 30 days of R&R to fix his
sour
> attitude.
> For W5TIT, a sense of humor.
> For LHA, a life membership in AFCEA.
> For K8MN, a sense of humor.
> For N0VFP, a girl friend or a personally autographed picture of K4ZDH.
> For WA8ULX, a new CB rig.
> For KC0ODY, a "newcomer of the year" plaque.
> For W4NTI, a top-10 win in ARRL SS.
>
> For everyone else I forgot, 20 new countries on their DXCC totals.

.....how about also:

"For K1MAN, a Collins Instruction Manual showing how
to properly operate saidsame equipment"

For ND8V, a xmas gift of spanish speaking hams south of
the border with WIDE signals on14.275 all day long for a
week.

For WA9KJI, a bottle of Prozac & a 5th of booze (taken together)

For KD0LA, a new outhouse for his backyard, a 2-holer!

For KB4MXJ, a "Nicest Ham on 313" award!

4337. Re: What I asked Santa for.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 18:14:50 UTC
From: aara bronx cheer <winniereevus@comcast.net>
Message-ID: <3e0a0493@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 58529

"aara bronx cheer" <winniereevus@comcast.net> wrote in message
news:3e09f3ad@snipnews.snip.net...

> For KB4MXJ, a "Nicest Ham on 313" award!

CORRECTION - that's for KB4MXL in Fla. (one of the nicest guys
I've ever met and an exceptional golfer too !)

4338. Re: What I asked Santa for.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 19:10:48 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <YonO9.458783$P31.154674@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 58527

"> For KC0ODY, a "newcomer of the year" plaque.

Thanks Hans... have yourself a very Merry one and a great 2003, too!

Jackie

4339. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 19:18:47 UTC
From: oneangryman@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8c61e9d6.0212251118.46512bef@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58528

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021225100344.28328.00000561@mb-fo.aol.com>...
> >That's because Mama knew better than to
> >deal with white trash like you Bruce.
> >
>
> Thats not true, if it wasnt for your MaMa and me you wouldnt be here.

Darth Vader in the flesh. Now it makes sense why
your such a butt head. Bad news for you Bruce
the good side of "The Force" always wins.

>
> >The NCLA stuff is just for grins just
> >like your CBplusser crap. It don't mean anything
> >except to piss people off. Did it work for you,
>
> No, it didnt work for me.

Sorry to hear that. Maybe I can buy the house
next door to yours and wave every time I go by
with my CB radio mike in my hand! That aught to
do it, 10:4 there good buddy.

>
>
> >Let's just say it involves rubber gloves,
> >and "anal orifices" like yourself Bruce.
> >Can you say Proctology? I thought you could!
>
> Oh so you like playing with anal orifices, are you one of them AC DC Boys or
> are you just plain DC?

No, I just enjoy posting messages to anal
orifices like you. You seem to know a lot
about those AC/DC type boys is you one honey?

http://www.amishrakefight.org/gfy/

One Angry Man

4340. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 19:39:59 UTC
From: oneangryman@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8c61e9d6.0212251139.635ec58f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58530

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021225085324.22930.00000188@mb-mf.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Christmas Wish
> >From: oneangryman@hotmail.com (One Angry Man)
> >Date: 12/24/02 9:08 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <8c61e9d6.0212241908.70919993@posting.google.com
>
> >Other than a callsign what kind of evidence would
> >you like to see? Because in these times of identity
> >theft on the internet there is NO F***ING way I'm
> >going to post it here or anywhere else that starts
> >with a http or a www, GOT THAT Stevey boy?
>
> Sure I got it, you cowardly, spineless creep. Now, crawl back into bed with
> your mama like a good spineles coward you are.
>
> >Show me your brain, until I see you pop that head
> >of yours open and show it you don't have one!!!
>
> If you have the disk space I'll be glad to send your the MRI films.
>
> >Sorry, I'm not anonymous I know exactly who I am,
> >I'm just not publishing it here. I'm sure not going
> >to do it for you or anyone else that reads your crap!
>
> You are anonymous to me, which makes you anonymous.
>
> You refuse to identify yourself to anyone else on this forum which makes
> you anonymous.
>
> And if you don't want "anyone else" that "reads (my) crap" , then I
> suggest you find another forum to post in, Cowardly Putz. While I don't doubt
> taht a great many of the posts are just glanced over (since they usually are
> directed at another Amateur Radio Newsgroup Antagonist), not all are.
>
> So...Here you are...Another whining, nightcrawling creep who thinks that
> by hiding in the darkness of newsgroup lofts and lashing out like a bully who
> slaps then run you are "somebody".
>
> No name...no call...No repsect.
>
> Just another Putz.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

And how do I know that you are "Steve, K4YZ"?
You could be anyone just taking over the name
and callsign of the real K4YZ. Just like I could
grab one of the local hams name and callsign that
don't have internet access and start posting as
them. How do I or anyone else KNOW that you
are who YOU say YOU are?

The only way I could know for sure who you are
would be to look you up on QRZ then call directory
assistance and get your phone number then give you
a call and ask a question that only someone using
this news group could answer, then I might have
some assurance that you are who you say you are.

I could post my name and my callsign and you
would still claim that I was not who I said I was.
I'm still not going to put my call or name
anywhere that starts with http or www or ftp for
you or anyone else.

One Angry Man

4341. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 19:51:52 UTC
From: oneangryman@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8c61e9d6.0212251151.45c81286@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58531

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<iogi0v84f8ajhoigvds2690mb2b4bg69kq@4ax.com>...
> On 24 Dec 2002 13:09:20 -0800, oneangryman@hotmail.com (One Angry Man)
> wrote:
>
> >Here is a Christmas wish for all the regulars on rec.radio.amateur.policy:
> >http://www.profree.com/oneangryman/
> >Merry Christmas
> >-------------------------------
> >One Angry Man
> >President and only member
> >No Code Liberation Army (NCLA)
> >
> >Answer to everyone's first question.
> >YES I AM LICENSED!
> >
> >
> >Answer to everyone's second question.
> >NO I DON'T WANT TO PUBLISH MY CALLSIGN HERE!!
> >
> >
> >Answer to everyone's third question.
> >YES I AM PROUD OF IT, I'M JUST NOT STUPID
> >ENOUGH TO USE IT ON THE INTERNET, DUH!!!
>
> umm, don't look now Mr oneangryman, but you say you're a ham,
> but you are worried about identity theft on the internet?
>
> You are aware that you are in databases that are freely
> distributed around the entire world, I hope. Your name, addy, zip.
> lots of good stuff.
>

Yes I know that my name and callsign are on the FCC website and
any call server on the internet that you want to try. Also it shows
up on the Buckmaster and QRZ CDs that are sold all over the world.
The point is this, my posting here cannot be connected with my name
or my callsign so that keeps me somewhat safer from identity theft
than if I did post my name and callsign.

Just like not walking down a poorly lit big city alley at night will
keep you somewhat safer from being mugged. It could happen to anyone
at any time, but if you use a little common sense you can reduce
the odds of it happening to you.

> If you are worried about identity theft, spam, etc. all you
> have to do is learn how to avoid it. Bellowing (yes, all caps is
> bellowing) about how you don't use your Callsign in this ng only
> serves to make you look bad.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

I did the signature a while back for a different reason, and
just kept on using it. Sorry to have shouted when I didn't
need to. See if this is any better;

One Angry Man

Answer to everyone's first question.
Yes, I have a Technician class license!

Answer to everyone's second question.
No I don't want to publish my callsign here!!

Answer to everyone's third question.
Yes I am proud of it, I'm just not stupid
enough to use it on the internet, Duh!!!

4342. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 20:52:14 UTC
From: oneangryman@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8c61e9d6.0212251252.e906329@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58532

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:<v0ink7ij1hab04@corp.supernews.com>...
> Your post didn't make a lot of sense. If you meant "you are", the proper
> contraction would be "you're".

Thanks I've always had trouble with that one.
The changes have been made on the webpage.

> I don't worry about the code one way or the
> other - and it doesn't bother me to post my call (heck, I've had 4 different
> call signs as an extra class since the 60s and had my commercial telegraph
> ticket too).

Cool Beans!

>I just like to see some common sense in folks being licensed.

That pretty much leaves Bruce out then don't it?

> Safety (power and rf), regulations, and knowing the difference between
> "your" and "you're" would help too ... ;)
>
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim AA2QA
>
>

Thanks you've been a big help to me with your grammer
lesson, you're one heck of a swell guy.

I don't care what Bruce says about you, you're OK by me!

One Angry Man

Answer to everyone's first question.
Yes, I have a Technician class license!

Answer to everyone's second question.
No I don't want to publish my callsign here!!

Answer to everyone's third question.
Yes I am proud of it, I'm just not stupid
enough to use it on the internet, Duh!!!

4343. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 20:57:01 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v0k6tmlahllbc6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 58533

Cotter, the idea isn't all that bad; the problem remains of definitions. Most of the group won't agree on what constitutes a rag chew - or dx either. DX, at least in theory, stands (or stood for) distant or distance. Today it appears to mean 'rare'. I'd opt for 'difficult' as I would consider 24 GHz moonbounce DX regardless. Also, there are some instances where 'code' might alleviate some embarrassment as there are a lot of ops that **should** ZBM-2 (put a qualified op on their end).

;)

73 and hope all had a Merry Christmas
Jim AA2QA
(ps - over 9 inches received since early this am and now another 6 to 8 on the way. Anyone need some snow? Free. Just come and haul it away LOL)

"ForNewsPost" <fornewspost@aol.com> wrote in message news:20021224130605.11931.00000198@mb-fy.aol.com...
>
> This has probably come up in the past, and I just never noticed it, but I have
> always felt that there should be some kind of simple indicator of the
> intentions of a ham sending CQ. This would be in order to specify whether the
> CQ'er wants to ragchew a while, or just wants to have a short RST, QTH, Name,
> Rig, Antenna type of QSO. It seems to me that this would help both the CQ'er
> and the responding ham get exactly what they want out of a contact. For
> instance, something like "CQ CQ CQ DE KJ6XX KJ6XX RC K" would indicate the
> CQ'er wants to RagChew, and "CQ CQ CQ DE KJ6XX KJ6XX SQ K" might indicate the
> desire for only a Short Qso. Any thoughts? Has this been proposed in the
> past? Or is this a non-problem?
>
> -Cotter (KJ6EB)

4344. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 21:00:41 UTC
From: oneangryman@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8c61e9d6.0212251300.4896f3a0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58534

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021225085601.22930.00000189@mb-mf.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Christmas Wish
> >From: oneangryman@hotmail.com (One Angry Man)
> >Date: 12/25/02 12:29 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <8c61e9d6.0212242229.7f3a5d78@posting.google.com>
>
> >No I'd rather deal with Satan himself!
>
> Until you put a name with that screen name, you may very well BE Satan
> himself.
>

No I'm not Satan. He posts over on alt.religion.false.hells-bells :)

> >Nope I try to stay away from the illegal stuff since
> >I was released from jail.
>
> Why am I not surprised. Child molesting, domestic abuse or drugs?

One count of felony assault, and one count of attemted murder.
Why do you ask about child molesting, domestic abuse, and drugs? Would
they happen to be YOUR favorite forms of illegal activity?

One Angry Man

Answer to everyone's first question.
Yes, I have a Technician class license!

Answer to everyone's second question.
No I don't want to publish my callsign here!!

Answer to everyone's third question.
Yes I am proud of it, I'm just not stupid
enough to use it on the internet, Duh!!!

4345. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 21:09:49 UTC
From: oneangryman@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8c61e9d6.0212251309.ee77c31@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58535

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021225095718.28328.00000560@mb-fo.aol.com>...
> >Nope I try to stay away from the illegal stuff since
> >I was released from jail.
> >
> >>
>
> You must have committed some crime against nature

Unlike the crime against nature your mother commited
when she gave birth to you?

>
> >It's not my site but I do send jerks like you their
> >all the time. Just for grins of course!
> >http://www.amishrakefight.org/gfy/
> >--------------------------------
>
> Well good

Tell us Bruce, have you ever seen a sheep that
you wouldn't have sex with? Baaaaby
Bwaahaahahahahaahahahahaha

One Angry Man

Answer to everyone's first question.
Yes, I have a Technician class license!

Answer to everyone's second question.
No I don't want to publish my callsign here!!

Answer to everyone's third question.
Yes I am proud of it, I'm just not stupid
enough to use it on the internet, Duh!!!

4346. Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 21:29:20 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021225162920.12126.00000211@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 58536

From K4YZ:
> HOW could Amateur Radio change how it refers to Amateur Radio-specific
>practice in any other terms?
Its good to have words and procedures that are effective. I can see how it
would be useful in a contest, for example.

From K4YZ:
> Now, you did this rant a few weeks ago about "codewords" and other hobby
>related slang, and you were asked WHY Amateur Radio should be any different
>than any other sport, avocation or occupation.
> You never answered the question.

I do not object to codewords and procedures (see above). However, I do object
to it in ordinary conversation, when it is not needed.

One example is saying "73" to end a voice conversation or even a non radio
conversation (such as saying 73 at end of Ham Radio meeting).

4347. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 21:31:48 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <v0k8u17fouf3ee@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 58537

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:v0k6tmlahllbc6@corp.supernews.com...
<SNIP>
> 73 and hope all had a Merry Christmas
> Jim AA2QA
> (ps - over 9 inches received since early this am and now another 6 to 8 on
> the way. Anyone need some snow? Free. Just come and haul it away LOL)
>
No thanks Jim, we've got enough snow of our own, but thanks for the offer.
;^)

--

'73' & Merry Christmas From KB9TMP

"JESUS is the REASON for the SEASON!"

4348. Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 21:55:08 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <v0ka9pa1o46n11@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 58538

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021225162920.12126.00000211@mb-df.aol.com...
> From K4YZ:
> > HOW could Amateur Radio change how it refers to Amateur
Radio-specific
> >practice in any other terms?
> Its good to have words and procedures that are effective. I can see how it
> would be useful in a contest, for example.

And any other time that the reception of the other persons signal is poor.
When your
just rag-chewing you don't always have a "pipeline" connection with the
other party.
When in doubt it's best to maximize the readability of the spoken voice by
using the
most familiar words that another amateur would know. That's where the Q
codes
come into play, cause everyone "should" know them.

>
> From K4YZ:
> > Now, you did this rant a few weeks ago about "codewords" and other
hobby
> >related slang, and you were asked WHY Amateur Radio should be any
different
> >than any other sport, avocation or occupation.
> > You never answered the question.
>
> I do not object to codewords and procedures (see above). However, I do
object
> to it in ordinary conversation, when it is not needed.
>
> One example is saying "73" to end a voice conversation or even a non radio
> conversation (such as saying 73 at end of Ham Radio meeting).

That's never happened at any of the club meetings I've ever been to.
Saying "73" to someone who your talking to in person IS dumb!

--

'73' & Merry Christmas From KB9TMP

"JESUS is the REASON for the SEASON!"

4349. article on using worldwide atv repeaters and internet linking for talk back

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 22:00:19 UTC
From: g3zhi@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <3e0a29a8.4653722@news.btinternet.com>
Source record: 58539

http://www.qsl.net/g3zhi/atv.doc

http://www.qsl.net/g3zhi - many ham radio links

United Kingdom Internet Radio Linking Project

http://www.ukirlp.co.uk http://www.irlp.co.uk http://www.irlp.net

I usually monitor G4NJI node 520 simplex 145.2875mhz and GB3DV node
513 repeater 433.025mhz

Ian Abel G3ZHI
52 Hollytree Ave
Maltby
Rotherham
Yorkshire
S66 8DY

Tel: 01709 799911
Mobile 0776 481 3363

4350. Re: BAH HUMBUG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 22:00:45 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021225170045.12126.00000212@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 58540

Entire post is response to (WA8ULX)

>> My Christmas wish is that the FCC sends all you No-Code CBplussers back to
>CB
>> where you belong.
You are assuming all no-coders came from CB. How would you know that? There
are no-coders that were not CBers before they got their amateur radio license.

>Who said it was an Insult? All it means is the person is one step above a
>CBer.
>Even though it is a very very Tiny step, almost a trip.

You are assuming all (no-code) Amateurs are "CBplussers", which may or may not
be true. How does code influence one's technical skills?

>>Those that focus at code at the expense of other aspects help dumb down
>>themselves and Amateur Radio.
>
>As well as the present written, which is the Big Joke.
True, the written test is easy. The subject material is worthwhile, but the
test is easy.

I feel the bigger problem is that all people want to do is operate, put up
antennas, and contest. My local club got some donation. They took suggestions
on what to do with it. I put in a suggestion to my club's Yahoo newsgroup to do
something technical. Other club members showed no interest. The club finally
decided on some new "loaner rigs".

>>Code is a waste of time.
>
>The written is a waste of time
How would you put up an antenna without understanding the material?

>>Those that do not focus
>>on code are more free to focus on the technical aspects.
>
>What technical aspects?
Homebrewing, kit building, antenna setups, troubleshooting equipment, using
test equipment, radio direction finding. Seems like technical aspects to me.

Seems antenna setup is the only thing practiced.

4351. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 22:22:31 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021225172231.06330.00000379@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 58541

>Maybe I can buy the house
>next door to yours

You couldnt afford it, besides there no mobile homes alloyed here.

4352. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 22:24:47 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021225172447.06330.00000381@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 58542

>Tell us Bruce, have you ever seen a sheep that
>you wouldn't have sex with?

Just your Wife

4353. Re: BAH HUMBUG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 22:28:23 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021225172823.06330.00000384@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 58543

>A step higher than you are.

You just wish you could be as good as me.

>So you got your license on a joke

No I got mine on a BET

4354. Re: BAH HUMBUG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 22:29:48 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021225172948.06330.00000385@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 58544

>You don't need the FCC to send you there, you can go back to cb
>all by yourself.

Spoken like another Idiot CBplusser

4355. Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 23:19:33 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <92rO9.6519$b97.680150@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58545

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote

: I do not object to codewords and procedures (see above). However, I do
object
: to it in ordinary conversation, when it is not needed.

Do you object (as in "complain") when someone else does it, or do you simply
avoid doing it yourself?

73, Hans, K0HB

4356. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 23:35:38 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <audfc1vng1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58546

How bout this for a 'CQ' call.....

CQ CQ CQ NO KIDS....NO LIDS...NO SPACE CADETS PLEASE. CLASS A OPERATORS
ONLY ... THIS IS W2OY LISTENING CAREFULLY AND TUNING THE BAND KAY SOMEONE
PLEASE.....

Not original...but effective.

Dan/W4NTI

"KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message
news:v0k8u17fouf3ee@corp.supernews.com...
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:v0k6tmlahllbc6@corp.supernews.com...
> <SNIP>
> > 73 and hope all had a Merry Christmas
> > Jim AA2QA
> > (ps - over 9 inches received since early this am and now another 6 to 8
on
> > the way. Anyone need some snow? Free. Just come and haul it away LOL)
> >
> No thanks Jim, we've got enough snow of our own, but thanks for the offer.
> ;^)
>
> --
>
> '73' & Merry Christmas From KB9TMP
>
> "JESUS is the REASON for the SEASON!"
>
>

4357. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-25 23:53:54 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v0kh9dg86021b8@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 58547

I actually had a qso with him back in the 60s ... go figure!

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:audfc1vng1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net...
> How bout this for a 'CQ' call.....
>
> CQ CQ CQ NO KIDS....NO LIDS...NO SPACE CADETS PLEASE. CLASS A OPERATORS
> ONLY ... THIS IS W2OY LISTENING CAREFULLY AND TUNING THE BAND KAY SOMEONE
> PLEASE.....
>
> Not original...but effective.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:v0k8u17fouf3ee@corp.supernews.com...
> >
> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> > news:v0k6tmlahllbc6@corp.supernews.com...
> > <SNIP>
> > > 73 and hope all had a Merry Christmas
> > > Jim AA2QA
> > > (ps - over 9 inches received since early this am and now another 6 to
8
> on
> > > the way. Anyone need some snow? Free. Just come and haul it away
LOL)
> > >
> > No thanks Jim, we've got enough snow of our own, but thanks for the
offer.
> > ;^)
> >
> > --
> >
> > '73' & Merry Christmas From KB9TMP
> >
> > "JESUS is the REASON for the SEASON!"
> >
> >
>
>

4358. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 00:05:52 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021225190552.29583.00000266@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 58548

>Subject: Re: Christmas Wish
>From: oneangryman@hotmail.com (One Angry Man)
>Date: 12/25/02 1:39 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <8c61e9d6.0212251139.635ec58f@posting.google.com>

>How do I or anyone else KNOW that you
>are who YOU say YOU are?

You mean OTHER than drop me a post card to my QSL address on QRZ or look me up in the phone book?

>The only way I could know for sure who you are
>would be to look you up on QRZ then call directory
>assistance and get your phone number then give you
>a call and ask a question that only someone using
>this news group could answer, then I might have
>some assurance that you are who you say you are.

And the propblem with THAT is?

>I could post my name and my callsign and you
>would still claim that I was not who I said I was

Why? I have the same opportunity to "verify" who you are.

Ask Vipul, KB1HMW. He tried to hide. I "outed" him, but he's not taken any strange phone calls from me. Do I need to "out" you too? It can be done.

>I'm still not going to put my call or name
>anywhere that starts with http or www or ftp for
>you or anyone else.

Then you're still a loser and a putz. A nobody.

>One Angry Man

One puny man is more like it.

Steve, K4YZ

4359. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 00:08:55 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021225190855.29583.00000267@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 58549

>Subject: Re: Christmas Wish
>From: oneangryman@hotmail.com (One Angry Man)
>Date: 12/25/02 1:51 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <8c61e9d6.0212251151.45c81286@posting.google.com>

>The point is this, my posting here cannot be connected with my name
>or my callsign so that keeps me somewhat safer from identity theft
>than if I did post my name and callsign.

Bravo Sierra. You are still posting from a service that can be traced. If someone wanted to know who you were bad enough, your name and address will appear here for all to see.

Steve, K4YZ

4360. Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 00:10:59 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v0ki9bd1cepc1e@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 58550

Hans, I'm happy for the small pleasures in life - like at least no one signed 88 ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message news:92rO9.6519$b97.680150@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote
>
> : I do not object to codewords and procedures (see above). However, I do
> object
> : to it in ordinary conversation, when it is not needed.
>
> Do you object (as in "complain") when someone else does it, or do you
simply
> avoid doing it yourself?
>
> 73, Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

4361. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 00:13:36 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021225191336.29583.00000268@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 58551

>Subject: Re: Christmas Wish
>From: oneangryman@hotmail.com (One Angry Man)
>Date: 12/25/02 3:00 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <8c61e9d6.0212251300.4896f3a0@posting.google.com>

>Would
>they happen to be YOUR favorite forms of illegal activity?

Thankfully, between hang gliding, flying, Amateur Radio and a half dozen other things I like to do, I never found anything "illegal" worth doing to fill the void.

I also found was to deal with anger management that negated my need to resort to assault, etc. Sorry you haven't been as lucky. Perhaps if yuo sought counselling?

Steve, K4YZ

4362. Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 00:19:13 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021225191913.29583.00000269@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 58552

>Subject: Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...
>From: "Kï¿½HB" kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG
>Date: 12/25/02 11:02 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <swlO9.6147$b97.640312@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

>Speaking of RANTS! Sheesh!
>
>Vshah asked a reasonable question for a newcomer. You supplied the rant!

Hans, was there some need to re-post the entire post in order to retort
with three sentences?

He has asked the question. It was answered by me and at least two others,
and a reply question asked. He continues to go on about these "codewords"
which he refuses to define.

>Where are you going on R&R?

I was contemplating icehole fishing in Minnesota, but seems I found a
couple right here in RRAP.

73

Steve, K4YZ

4363. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 00:24:16 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212251624.5b93ce86@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58553

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021225105257.10348.00000455@mb-ba.aol.com>...
> >Yes, very different from your world, Dave. I am a leader.
>
> What a Joke, im still laughing

I guess you've never done anything to earn the respect of about 70
little boys. Too bad for you.

4364. Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 00:25:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021225192528.29583.00000270@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 58554

>Subject: Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 12/25/02 3:29 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021225162920.12126.00000211@mb-df.aol.com>

>From K4YZ:
>> HOW could Amateur Radio change how it refers to Amateur Radio-specific
>>practice in any other terms?
>Its good to have words and procedures that are effective. I can see how it
>would be useful in a contest, for example.

Vipul, you really need to try and put some space between taht which you are quoting and your reply. You like to run things together.

Again, WHAT codewords?

>From K4YZ:
>> Now, you did this rant a few weeks ago about "codewords" and other
>hobby
>>related slang, and you were asked WHY Amateur Radio should be any different
>>than any other sport, avocation or occupation.
>> You never answered the question.
>
>I do not object to codewords and procedures (see above). However, I do
>object
>to it in ordinary conversation, when it is not needed.

Then YOU don't need to use it. Set the example by doing. Otherwise there are about 2 million people around the world in Amateur Radio alone (and God knows how many others in CB and other radio services) that are not going to change for your benefit.

>One example is saying "73" to end a voice conversation or even a non radio
>conversation (such as saying 73 at end of Ham Radio meeting).

"73" means "Best Regards". It is unique to Amateur and CB Radio. WHY, Vipul, do you seem to be against just about every tradition of Amateur Radio?

You still have not answered my question as to WHY should Amateur Radio be different from any other hobby, avocation or occupation in it's use of such specific slang, jargon, etc?

Steve, K4YZ

4365. Re: BAH HUMBUG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 01:03:26 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021225200326.29884.00000250@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 58555

WA8ULX never addresses points - only throws insults.

4366. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 01:10:47 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <audkue$mi2$1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58556

So did I....must of been about late 61 or 62 with my homebrew modulator on
my single 807.

Dan/W4NTI

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:v0kh9dg86021b8@corp.supernews.com...
> I actually had a qso with him back in the 60s ... go figure!
>
> 73 from Rochester, NY
> Jim
>
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:audfc1vng1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net...
> > How bout this for a 'CQ' call.......
> >
> > CQ CQ CQ NO KIDS....NO LIDS...NO SPACE CADETS PLEASE. CLASS A OPERATORS
> > ONLY ... THIS IS W2OY LISTENING CAREFULLY AND TUNING THE BAND KAY
SOMEONE
> > PLEASE.....
> >
> > Not original...but effective.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> > "KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:v0k8u17fouf3ee@corp.supernews.com...
> > >
> > > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> > > news:v0k6tmlahllbc6@corp.supernews.com...
> > > <SNIP>
> > > > 73 and hope all had a Merry Christmas
> > > > Jim AA2QA
> > > > (ps - over 9 inches received since early this am and now another 6
to
> 8
> > on
> > > > the way. Anyone need some snow? Free. Just come and haul it away
> LOL)
> > > >
> > > No thanks Jim, we've got enough snow of our own, but thanks for the
> offer.
> > > ;^)
> > >

> > > --
> > >
> > > '73' & Merry Christmas From KB9TMP
> > >
> > > "JESUS is the REASON for the SEASON!"
> > >
> > >
> >
> >
>
>

4367. Re: This radio is a RIOT!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 01:13:45 UTC
From: Louie <sinerogww@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3e0a57d5@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 58557
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

This sounds like a GREAT TOY to place
right next to the HF rig speaker when the
14.313 or 14.275 Radio Circus is
running or the Liberty Net is in in session
or perhaps even when Chris is drunk-on-his-ass
on 3.865 !!!!!

(Can you just imagine for one minute a "Chatter Pal"
lip-syncing to Dumbass Donnie or Glen Baxter???)

ROTFLMAO

http://www.promo-novelty.com/chatterpals.shtml

"Mike Roe Farad" <DipoleDude@webtv.net> wrote in message
news:7329-3E0A07F5-534@storefull-2351.public.lawson.webtv.net...
> Bought my kid a little novelty radio for Christmas. They're called
> "Chatter Pals" and their mouths mimic the song or talk coming out of the
> speaker. I bought the huge pair of lips model for my boy.
>
> This is hilarious! They first song we were entertained with was Elvis
> and "Blue Christmas" It was an absolute riot to watch this huge pair of
> lips "lip sync" to the Elvi!
>
> I don't have a website, but I know several novelty mail order stores
> carry them. They have five other models: A singing frog, monkey, pig,
> cow and penguin. The name of the main company is Pro-Motions Novelty
> Company.
>
> 73 and Merry Christmas from Mike
>

4368. Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 01:16:32 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021225201632.29884.00000251@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 58558

From: "Kï¿½HB"
>Do you object (as in "complain") when someone else does it, or do you simply
>avoid doing it yourself?

I object when others use unneeded codewords. It keeps others out of the conversation. I have learned many of the codewords. I don't use it myself. I say low power, not "QRP".

From K4YZ:
> Again, WHAT codewords?
CQ, QSO,73, etc. I already admitted they are useful under certain situations.

> You still have not answered my question as to WHY should Amateur Radio
>be
>different from any other hobby, avocation or occupation in it's use of such
>specific slang, jargon, etc?

Ham radio is different because the jargon is substitutions (not even abbreviations) for words and phases, not actual words and phrases, like other hobbies. Abbreviations are common - substitutions are not.

4369. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 01:21:22 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021225202122.03792.00000027@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 58559

>I guess you've never done anything to earn the respect of about 70
>little boys.

Thats ashame, all you can get respect from is 70 LITTLE BOYS

4370. Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 01:24:40 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021225202440.03792.00000028@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 58560

>I object when others use unneeded codewords.

Maybe they do it just to piss you off

>It keeps others out of the
>conversation.

Maybe thats there reason for doing.

>I have learned many of the codewords.

WOW, maybe you can qualify for some special award.

4371. Re: BAH HUMBUG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 01:25:09 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021225202509.03792.00000029@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 58561

>WA8ULX never addresses points - only throws insults.

So whats your point

4372. Re: Adding something extra to a CQâ?¦

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 01:34:25 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021225203425.03792.00000030@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 58562

>How bout this for a 'CQ' call.......
>
>CQ CQ CQ NO KIDS....NO LIDS...NO SPACE CADETS PLEASE. CLASS A OPERATORS
>ONLY ... THIS IS W2OY LISTENING CAREFULLY AND TUNING THE BAND KAY SOMEONE
>PLEASE.....
>
>Not original...but effective.
>
>Dan/W4NTI
>

I like it, the only thing missing is No CBlussers

4373. Re: Goals for 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 02:21:48 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021225212148.22260.00000701@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 58563

In article <6431E65264E44BD0.2BC4AA10F8406422.3C20A7ADDB1CD697@lp.airnews.net>,
"W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>> Brian:
>>
>> Pretty cool! In my 20 years as a ham, I've only once used more than
>> "barefoot" power. But that's out of necessity, not choice! Maybe
>> someday I'll be a "big gun," but for now, I'm still having fun with my
>> low-power "peanut whistle" station! Even as an apartment dweller,
>> I put something in my logbook every day -- which is a lot more than
>> I can say for a lot of hams I know that live on acres of property!
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>>
>
>Ya lost me on that one, Larry. So, you put these contacts in your logbook
>to satisfy to yourself that you are doing more than other hams? Or, are you
>simply having a good time on radio? Or, was it a defensive posture for
>living in an apartment. You always seem so apologetic about your living and
>working conditions...
>
>Kim W5TIT

Kim, dear, just what IS your problem??? I wasn't "apologizing" about
a damn thing! I merely made an observation that even as an apartment
dweller, I have not been severely limited as an amateur radio operator!
In fact, I'm rather proud of what I have achieved! Some people (like myself)
simply prefer the relative simplicity of apartment living -- which is not to
say I plan to do it for the rest of my life, by any means! However, if I do --
would you PUHLEEZE mind if I make my own choices?

Why must you always read the totally wrong things into simply everything
that everyone says in this newsgroup? Has it ever occurred to just once
let something go without one your typically puerile remarks?

BTW I wish you and your family a very Merry Christmas and a Happy
New Year!

73 de Larry, K3LT

4374. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 02:39:30 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <audq4p$vh1$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58564

Not really Bruce. I wouldn't call CQ on a CBplusser band anyway....Merry
and a Happy.

Dan/W4NTI

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021225203425.03792.00000030@mb-cg.aol.com...
> >How bout this for a 'CQ' call.......
> >
> >CQ CQ CQ NO KIDS....NO LIDS...NO SPACE CADETS PLEASE. CLASS A OPERATORS
> >ONLY ... THIS IS W2OY LISTENING CAREFULLY AND TUNING THE BAND KAY
SOMEONE
> >PLEASE.....
> >
> >Not original...but effective.
> >
> >Dan/W4NTI
> >
>
> I like it, the only thing missing is No CBlussers

4375. Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 02:42:12 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <audq9rngr1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58565

Perhaps you should 'learn ham radio language' and you wouldn't feel so left out....eh?

Or are you of the 'new left school of idiocy' that says we need to change because it infringes on you to actually learn something?

Have a nice day.

Flame suit on.

Dan/W4NTI

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message news:20021225201632.29884.00000251@mb-mn.aol.com...
> From: "K&#65533;HB"
> >Do you object (as in "complain") when someone else does it, or do you simply
> >avoid doing it yourself?
>
> I object when others use unneeded codewords. It keeps others out of the
> conversation. I have learned many of the codewords. I don't use it myself. I
> say low power, not "QRP".
>
> From K4YZ:
> > Again, WHAT codewords?
> CQ, QSO,73, etc. I already admitted they are useful under certain situations.
>
> > You still have not answered my question as to WHY should Amateur Radio
> >be
> >different from any other hobby, avocation or occupation in it's use of such
> >specific slang, jargon, etc?
>
> Ham radio is different because the jargon is substitutions (not even
> abbreviations) for words and phases, not actual words and phrases, like other
> hobbies. Abbreviations are common - substitutions are not.
>

4376. Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 03:09:06 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <mpuO9.6714$b97.711524@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58566

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote

: Ham radio is different because the jargon is substitutions (not even
: abbreviations) for words and phases, not actual words and phrases, like
other
: hobbies. Abbreviations are common - substitutions are not.

Here are a few terms from other areas, all of which are substitutions (not
abbreviations).

"Hog" from motorcycling jargon. Substitute for "Harley Davidson" .
"Stick" from fishing jargon. Substitute term which means "expert angler".
"Bogey" from military jargon. Substitute for unknown (possibly hostile)
airborne radar contact or visual sighting.
"Skunk" from military jargon. Substitute for unknown (possible hostile)
surface search radar contact.
"Stinkpot" from sailing jargon. Substitute for powered watercraft.
"Fox" from lexicon of young (and not so young) American males. Substitute
for "wow, check out that babe!"
"Flick" from entertainment. Substitute for motion picture.

Hitting a little closer to home, the internet and the computer industry in
general are rife with codewords and jargon with terms like "troll", "flame",
"surf", "cookie", "404", "grok", "spam", "urchin" (munchkin), and so forth.
None of these are abbreviations, but rather substitutions which serve to
quickly communicate common concepts and ideas among "those in the know".

We could go on and on, but hopefully you will identify with the notion of an
"insiders" language which many professions/age groups or other special
interest groups adopt as a sort of "fraternal handshake" by which they
identify themselves to other members of their "insiders group". Amateur
radio is no different. Kick back and enjoy.

73, de Hans, K0HB

4377. Re: Adding something extra to a CQâ?¦

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 03:15:03 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021225221503.21652.00000423@mb-fi.aol.com>
Source record: 58567

>Not really Bruce. I wouldn't call CQ on a CBplusser band anyway....Merry
>and a Happy.
>
>Dan/W4NTI

Thats true Dan, hope you also had a nice Christmas

4378. Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 03:53:40 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021225225340.27709.00000202@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 58568

From: wa8ulx@aol.com
>>I have learned many of the codewords.
>
>WOW, maybe you can qualify for some special award.

Perhaps some award already exists for this. I would not be surprised. This is probably a part of the A1 operator's award.

4379. Re: Something for everyone...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 03:58:17 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212251958.5c82c21d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58569

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3E095527.4E083F22@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
>
> > 5. Nine-year-old makes Extra.
>
> Much to Leonard H. Anderson's chagrin.

So?

> > 8. World Scout Jamboree to include Amateur Radio activity.
>
> > Perhaps a couple dozen 1n34a's will lead a few scouts to a lifetime of
> > amateur radio fun. Perhaps one will become an engineer. Unless Dave
> > has a problem with it.
>
> I think it'd be neat if you take lots of photos documenting the young
> fellows constructing that 12-pack of 1N34A's. That'll certainly keep
> 'em out of trouble and off the streets for a while.
>
> Dave K8MN

4380. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 04:21:00 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3E0A83AC.3010008@mailcity.com>
Source record: 58572

WA8ULX wrote:
>>Maybe I can buy the house
>>next door to yours
>
>
> You couldnt afford it, besides there no mobile homes alloyed here.

How do you "alloy" a mobile home? Is that some kind of process you
do to the aluminum?

4381. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 05:09:12 UTC
From: Lloyd <BrotherLloyd@BARF14313herb.net>
Message-ID: <aue2tgvgp0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58570

The big government liberal bureaucrats having been thoroughly spanked, I declare this thread officially closed.

Hail Herb!

Lloyd

4382. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 06:25:05 UTC
From: Toid <Fecal@anon.com>
Message-ID: <aue7aq$a11$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58571

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021225191336.29583.00000268@mb-mn.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: Christmas Wish
> >From: oneangryman@hotmail.com (One Angry Man)
> >Date: 12/25/02 3:00 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <8c61e9d6.0212251300.4896f3a0@posting.google.com>
>
> >Would
> >they happen to be YOUR favorite forms of illegal activity?
>
> Thankfully, between hang gliding, flying, Amateur Radio and a half
dozen
> other things I like to do, I never found anything "illegal" worth doing to
fill
> the void.
>
> I also found was to deal with anger management that negated my need
to
> resort to assault, etc. Sorry you haven't been as lucky. Perhaps if yuo
> sought counselling?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve. While you seem to be a busy man between your many posts do you think you could possibly take the time to look into obtaining a spell check program? Your veracity is tainted by the fact that you cannot or will not learn to spell correctly. I guess you could always claim that your keyboard has a couple keys missing.....

4383. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 06:41:16 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212252241.75dcf44f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58573

brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0212250731.373a030@posting.google.com>...
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0953A1.1550A39F@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > fornewspost@aol.com (ForNewsPost) wrote in message
news:<20021224130605.11931.00000198@mb-fy.aol.com>...
> > > > This has probably come up in the past, and I just never noticed it, but I have
> > > > always felt that there should be some kind of simple indicator of the
> > > > intentions of a ham sending CQ. This would be in order to specify whether the
> > > > CQ'er wants to ragchew a while, or just wants to have a short RST, QTH, Name,
> > > > Rig, Antenna type of QSO. It seems to me that this would help both the CQ'er
> > > > and the responding ham get exactly what they want out of a contact. For
> > > > instance, something like "CQ CQ CQ DE KJ6XX KJ6XX RC K" would indicate the
> > > > CQ'er wants to RagChew, and "CQ CQ CQ DE KJ6XX KJ6XX SQ K" might indicate the
> > > > desire for only a Short Qso. Any thoughts? Has this been proposed in the
> > > > past? Or is this a non-problem?
> > > >
> > > > -Cotter (KJ6EB)
> > >
> > > Ask Dave/K8MN. A DXer's not allowed any "RC" QSOs.
> >
> > You live in a state of perpetual haze. A DXer can rag chew anytime he
> > likes. It is just that rag chewing is not DXing. You can sit and talk
> > fishing with a "G" all afternoon. That's rag chewing at distance. It
> > isn't DXing. Keep pondering the mysteries, Brian.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
>
> No mystery, Dave. You live in a tiny, little, confined world. You
> can break those bonds of self-enslavement and enjoy life a little.
> Other hams really can be interesting enough to spent 10 or 15 minutes
> with. Good luck in the new year.

You're a good example of why short q's are a much better idea, i.e.,
get away from an overseas Brainiac like YOU before they can get wound
up. Also you might note that The Bureau did not "lose" his "little
tiny world" cards from OJï¿½, OHï¿½, 5H3 and a bunch of others like The
Bureau "lost" your T5 cards.

Yer whole non-point is moot Brainiac, you can't yak for 10
milliseconds on HF let alone 15 minutes because you have yet to figger
out how to move RF out of yer reddio and into an antenna which doesn't

come shrink-wrapped from HRO.

w3rv

4384. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 07:10:43 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212252310.3ccebdbf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58574

brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0212241951.6698b2b2@posting.google.com>...
> "W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<E690515A57C17F05.98B729D854A1281E.201F02B8E7BBC73D@lp.airnews.net>...
> > "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> > news:d22b54c3.0212240850.723e11b5@posting.google.com...
> > > "W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> news:<07A3D4158F919694.019560E327DDC315.8F4A60C41925F205@lp.airnews.net>...
> > > > > > >about a single grid-driven 811. 1000 volts on the plate, zero bias
> Class B
> > > > > > >looks about right..
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > >4) New "Southgate Type 8" homebrew station.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > 'splain, Lucy!
> > > > >
> > > > > Like W3RV sez, you don't wanna go there.
> > > > >
> > > > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > Psssst. I may be wrong, but I 'spect yer over 'is 'ead.
> > >
> > > Bwaaaahaha! Talk abt no-clues spouting off.
> > >
> > > Kim W5TIT
> > >
> > > w3rv
> > >
> >
> > Brian, you're an absolute idiot. Anyone on this newsgroup who "knows" me,
> > already knows it was way over my head. But, I digress--we are observing
> > *your* intelligence by that post, aren't we?!
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
>
> Did he say "no-clues?" Hoo-boy!
>
> Kim, just tell him, "Merry Christmas," and move on. Or else he might
> whip out his impressive "military background" on you. I'm still
> puzzling over that one.

I can clear that up.

> Maybe he has a field jacket or something with
> some old chevrons on it.
>
> There was a good article in the Legionaire, or was it the VFW
> magazine, about veterans that actively hunt down people who falsely
> claim to be medal winners, etc, especially the Congressional Medal of
> Honor. They also exposed people who claimed to be Navy S.E.A.L.s and
> weren't.
>
> But you won't see Steve go after him like he has Mark Morgan...

There are reasons for that, Steve is a member of the RRAP Back Channel, he knows where I been.

I guess it's time to 'fess up, it's declassified. I humped thru U.S. Army Corps of Injuneers officer training see but via a series of odd events shortly after completion of that drill I found myself in command of a U.S. Mavy LDB. Another short time later an SMD was added to my command. The SMD didn't last long, I had my eye on bigger things so they dumped the SMD and gave me command of an LMD in addition to the LDB.

w3rv

4385. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 09:04:54 UTC
From: "Garsh, Muhammed!" <Pop@anon.com>
Message-ID: <auegmi$1c5$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58575

"Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
news:d22b54c3.0212252310.3ccebdbf@posting.google.com...
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0212241951.6698b2b2@posting.google.com>...
> > "W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<E690515A57C17F05.98B729D854A1281E.201F02B8E7BBC73D@lp.airnews.net>...
> > > "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:d22b54c3.0212240850.723e11b5@posting.google.com...
> > > > "W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> >
news:<07A3D4158F919694.019560E327DDC315.8F4A60C41925F205@lp.airnews.net>...
> > > > > > > > >about a single grid-driven 811. 1000 volts on the plate, zero
bias
> > Class B
> > > > > > > > >looks about right..
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > >4) New "Southgate Type 8" homebrew station.
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > 'splain, Lucy!
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Like W3RV sez, you don't wanna go there.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Pssssst. I may be wrong, but I 'spect yer over 'is 'ead.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Bwaaaahaha! Talk abt no-clues spouting off.
> > > > >
> > > > > Kim W5TIT
> > > > >
> > > > w3rv
> > > >
> > >
> > Brian, you're an absolute idiot. Anyone on this newsgroup who "knows"
me,
> > already knows it was way over my head. But, I digress--we are
observing
> > *your* intelligence by that post, aren't we?!
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
> >
> > Did he say "no-clues?" Hoo-boy!
> >

> > Kim, just tell him, "Merry Christmas," and move on. Or else he might
> > whip out his impressive "military background" on you. I'm still
> > puzzling over that one.
>
> I can clear that up.
>
> > Maybe he has a field jacket or something with
> > some old chevrons on it.
> >
> > There was a good article in the Legionaire, or was it the VFW
> > magazine, about veterans that actively hunt down people who falsely
> > claim to be medal winners, etc, especially the Congressional Medal of
> > Honor. They also exposed people who claimed to be Navy S.E.A.L.s and
> > weren't.
> >
> > But you won't see Steve go after him like he has Mark Morgan...
>
> There are reasons for that, Steve is a member of the RRAP Back
> Channel, he knows where I been.
>
> I guess it's time to 'fess up, it's declassified. I humped thru U.S.
> Army Corps of Injuneers officer training see but via a series of odd
> events shortly after completion of that drill I found myself in
> command of a U.S. Mavy LDB. Another short time later an SMD was added
> to my command. The SMD didn't last long, I had my eye on bigger things
> so they dumped the SMD and gave me command of an LMD in addition to
> the LDB.
>
> w3rv

And for this you posted a 4B message?
Gee.Your social life needs revamping.

4386. Re: BAH HUMBUG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 09:06:14 UTC
From: "Garsh, Muhammed!" <Pop@anon.com>
Message-ID: <auegp0$1ha$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58576

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021225202509.03792.00000029@mb-cg.aol.com...
> >WA8ULX never addresses points - only throws insults.
>
> So whats your point

You suck. How's that?

4387. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 09:07:18 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aug76b02tvr@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 58690

>
> I also DID receive several generous donations from members of this NG
(a
> couple I know, a couple I don't) who donated to my (now deceased)
daughter's
> wheelchair fund.
>

I saw the page linked to your Qrz.com callsign lookup page and saw the story about your daughter. My condolences, as I was not aware of that circumstance. It is hard to lose someone you love.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

4388. Re: Ham Running For Office - Orange County, California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 09:15:32 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aug76c12tvr@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 58692

Hey Mike,

Don't know how we agree on other stuff, but I would agree with your statement below. Anyone who votes democrat or republican is nuts.... It is a no-win situation on either side of that political coin. Look at this last presidential election, neither partie's candidate was worth a damn, except for being a puppet on a string for their respective parties. "Vote Independant; Think For Yourself, Not What People Want You To Think" is my motto. "Free Thinking" is the one thing that the republican party cannot take away from me.

Ryan KC8PMX

>
> Proud to be an independant, but fascinated that neither party
> will allow me to vote in the primaries.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

4389. Re: Season's Greetings!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 09:16:41 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aug76d22tvr@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 58693

Back atcha with many sucesses in the upcoming year.

Ryan KC8PMX

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021224221709.01544.00000893@mb-cu.aol.com...
> I would like to wish all participants in this newsgroup, all our lurkers,
and
> all of your families a very Blessed and Merry Christmas and a very Happy
New
> Year!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

4390. Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 09:20:07 UTC
From: Parabellum <NineMikeMike@anon.com>
Message-ID: <auehj1$3oo$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58577

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:audq9rngr1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> Perhaps you should 'learn ham radio language' and you wouldn't feel so
left
> out....eh?
>
> Or are you of the 'new left school of idiocy' that says we need to change
> because it infringes on you to actually learn something?
>
> Have a nice day.
>
> Flame suit on.
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
So. Dan. Threatened to shoot anybody lately?
Careful, Dan. You were Air Force and they didn't let
you guys carry loaded weapons without authorization.

Flame on but watch out for EVE. He LIKES you!

4391. Re: BAH HUMBUG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 09:20:47 UTC
From: Parabellum <NineMikeMike@anon.com>
Message-ID: <auehk8$3u5$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58578

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021225200326.29884.00000250@mb-mn.aol.com...
> WA8ULX never addresses points - only throws insults.

What else is new?

4392. Re: What I asked Santa for.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 09:25:12 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aug76e32tvr@enews4.newsguy.com>
Source record: 58691

Hans,

I think I will take a prayer for many safe returns from the rescue runs I go on.... :)

Although an Whelen Edge strobe lightbar would be awesome too!

Ryan KC8PMX

> For everyone else I forgot, 20 new countries on their DXCC totals.
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
> http://www.mnyarc.org
> http://www.k0bsa.org
>
>

4393. Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 09:32:16 UTC
From: Parabellum <NineMikeMike@anon.com>
Message-ID: <auei9q$608$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58579

>
> "73" means "Best Regards". It is unique to Amateur and CB Radio.
WHY,
> Vipul, do you seem to be against just about every tradition of Amateur
Radio?
>
> You still have not answered my question as to WHY should Amateur
Radio be
> different from any other hobby, avocation or occupation in it's use of
such
> specific slang, jargon, etc?
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Hey...bottom line here is that old Stevie is NOT owed an answer by anybody.
If you do not like his questions, tell him to blow it out his lower cavity.
Who is HE anyway? For all we know this guy is using somebody elses call
....pay him no heed and let him blow his wind skyward.
Sorry, Steve, but you rank near the bottom on my importance scale. I hope
your ego doesn't suffer more than it already has, but you, Steve, are
relatively unimportant in the scheme of things.

4394. Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 13:30:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021226083028.29733.00000220@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 58581

>Subject: Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 12/25/02 7:16 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021225201632.29884.00000251@mb-mn.aol.com>

>I object when others use unneeded codewords. It keeps others out of the
>conversation. I have learned many of the codewords. I don't use it myself. I
>say low power, not "QRP".

Good for you, except thqat "QRP" is as much a subset of Amateur Radio as is
"EME", "PACKET", or a dozen other specific disciplines.

Again, why should Amateur Radio change just for Vipul Shaw?

>From K4YZ:
>> Again, WHAT codewords?
>CQ, QSO,73, etc. I already admitted they are useful under certain situations.

Still running things together I see.

Then stop whining about "codewords". They are NOT "codes".
>>You still have not answered my question as to WHY should Amateur Radio
>>be
>>different from any other hobby, avocation or occupation in it's use of such
>>specific slang, jargon, etc?
>
>Ham radio is different because the jargon is substitutions (not even
>abbreviations) for words and phases, not actual words and phrases, like other
>hobbies. Abbreviations are common - substitutions are not.

Absolutely ludicrous, Vipul. I can start dumping tons of other
"substitutions", "jargon", "slang", etc etc from not only Amateur Radio, but at
least a dozen other hobbies or sports I have participated in. In thier own
applications they have very specific meaning.

You have not made any valid point here. I think you are confused.

73

Steve, K4YZ

4395. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 13:31:08 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0B04B7.5781ECF0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58580

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0953A1.1550A39F@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > fornewspost@aol.com (ForNewsPost) wrote in message
news:<20021224130605.11931.00000198@mb-fy.aol.com>...
> > > > This has probably come up in the past, and I just never noticed it, but I have
> > > > always felt that there should be some kind of simple indicator of the
> > > > intentions of a ham sending CQ. This would be in order to specify whether the
> > > > CQ'er wants to ragchew a while, or just wants to have a short RST, QTH, Name,
> > > > Rig, Antenna type of QSO. It seems to me that this would help both the CQ'er
> > > > and the responding ham get exactly what they want out of a contact. For
> > > > instance, something like "CQ CQ CQ DE KJ6XX KJ6XX RC K" would indicate the
> > > > CQ'er wants to RagChew, and "CQ CQ CQ DE KJ6XX KJ6XX SQ K" might indicate the
> > > > desire for only a Short Qso. Any thoughts? Has this been proposed in the
> > > > past? Or is this a non-problem?
> > > >
> > > > -Cotter (KJ6EB)
> > >
> > > Ask Dave/K8MN. A DXer's not allowed any "RC" QSOs.
> >
> > You live in a state of perpetual haze. A DXer can rag chew anytime he
> > likes. It is just that rag chewing is not DXing. You can sit and talk
> > fishing with a "G" all afternoon. That's rag chewing at distance. It
> > isn't DXing. Keep pondering the mysteries, Brian.

> No mystery, Dave. You live in a tiny, little, confined world. You
> can break those bonds of self-enslavement and enjoy life a little.
> Other hams really can be interesting enough to spent 10 or 15 minutes
> with. Good luck in the new year.

Next time, try reading my response before replying. It can save you
from further embarrassment.

Dave K8MN

4396. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 13:38:17 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0B065F.7C9D78E8@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58582

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E095224.CF5A7D55@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E08BB32.9C353F53@earthlink.net>...
> > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:<atv9qi314v2@enews2.newsguy.com>...
> > > > > > This is similar, but different to the other post, but what are your
> > > > > > equipment goals for 2003? Are you going to purchase any new gear of any
> > > > > > kind related to amateur radio or radio in general? Are you planning on
> > > > > > constructing any new gear?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Happy Holidays!
> > > > > >
> > > > > A 12-pack of 1n34a's. Brian
> > > > >
> > > > Constructing those should provide a real challenge for you.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> > > It should provide a challenge for some 8 year old boys. Its called scouting.
> >
> > You got a problem with that?
> >
> > Constructing a 12-pack of 1N34A's is called scouting? 'tis a different
> > world in which you dwell.
>
> Yes, very different from your world, Dave. I am a leader.

Ah, yes. I've often heard others say, "That Brian is a real leader
because he has told us so". I can picture a group of young fellows
following you, mostly out of a sense of mild curiousity as to what
you'll do or say next.

> I freely
> give my time to help keep these boys pointed in the right direction.

That's nice. Some of us have given of our time in an effort to get you
pointed in the right direction, so far to no avail.

> They learn about many things in scouting, and 1n34a are just a part of
> it.

There's a monumental understatement for you.

> They'll learn what they can do, and they'll learn what a 1n34a
> can do. If you're interested in changing the curriculum, you'll have
> to get involved yourself.

I'm working on an idea for actually manufacturing 1N34As to save the
young fellows from having to roll their own.

> But it might take you away from those out of band Frenchmen.

Wanna play a game of "bootlegging from Somalia"?

Dave K8MN

4397. Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 13:38:59 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021226083859.29733.00000221@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 58583

>Subject: Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...
>From: "Parabellum" NineMikeMike@anon.com
>Date: 12/26/02 3:32 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <auei9q$608$0@pita.alt.net>

>Sorry, Steve, but you rank near the bottom on my importance scale.

Owww. Yet another anonymous unlicensed creep without the manhood to put his name on a post. Gee...I may lose 0.005 seconds of sleep over THIS revelation.

>I hope
>your ego doesn't suffer more than it already has, but you, Steve, are
>relatively unimportant in the scheme of things.

That I don't rate in YOUR scheme of things is obvious and in and of itself as unimportant to me as your own dignity is to you.

>Hey...bottom line here is that old Stevie is NOT owed an answer by anybody.
>If you do not like his questions, tell him to blow it out his lower cavity.

Obviously yet another screen name for Lennie to hide behind. If not, they sure do like to use the same phrases Lennie does to ignore questions put to him.

No matter. Putz.

4398. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 13:39:10 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0B0698.BB4DF64D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58584

Brian wrote:
>
> wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021225105257.10348.00000455@mb-ba.aol.com>...
> > >Yes, very different from your world, Dave. I am a leader.
> >
> > What a Joke, im still laughing
>
> I guess you've never done anything to earn the respect of about 70
> little boys. Too bad for you.

Here's a sentence in search of a punch line if ever I saw one.

Dave K8MN

4399. Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 13:40:23 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021226084023.29733.00000222@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 58585

>Subject: Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 12/25/02 9:53 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021225225340.27709.00000202@mb-mk.aol.com>

>Perhaps some award already exists for this. I would not be surprised. This is
>probably a part of the A1 operator's award.

Perhaps if you spent as much time BEING an Amateur operator as you do
whining about how you don't like "this or that", you might qualify to be one.

But I doubt it.

Steve, K4YZ

4400. Re: Something for everyone...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 13:41:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0B071C.EFE82B90@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58586

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E095527.4E083F22@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> >
> > > 5. Nine-year-old makes Extra.
> >
> > Much to Leonard H. Anderson's chagrin.
>
> So?

So we can't have mere children operating radio equipment without adult
supervision according to Leonard H.

Dave K8MN

4401. K1MAN

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 13:42:46 UTC
From: Lloyd <BroLloyd@14313HERBlives.org>
Message-ID: <auf10c$i71$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58587

Love or hate the guy, ya gotta admit K1MAN is kicking the FCC's ass all over the radio spectrum and making the ARRL have egg all over its face.

Hmmmm, FCC suffer greatly from foot-in-mouth disease after telling everyone for years k1man is legal. Now try to reverse position? hahahahahahahah should be great interest, watch k1man kick more FCC ass.

Lloyd

4402. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 13:45:47 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021226084547.29733.00000223@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 58588

>Subject: Re: Christmas Wish
>From: "Toid" Fecal@anon.com
>Date: 12/26/02 12:25 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <aue7aq$a11$0@pita.alt.net>
>
>
>"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20021225191336.29583.00000268@mb-mn.aol.com...
>> >Subject: Re: Christmas Wish
>> >From: oneangryman@hotmail.com (One Angry Man)
>> >Date: 12/25/02 3:00 PM Central Standard Time
>> >Message-id: <8c61e9d6.0212251300.4896f3a0@posting.google.com>
>>
>> >Would
>> >they happen to be YOUR favorite forms of illegal activity?
>>
>> Thankfully, between hang gliding, flying, Amateur Radio and a half
>dozen
>> other things I like to do, I never found anything "illegal" worth doing to
>fill
>> the void.
>>
>> I also found was to deal with anger management that negated my need
>to
>> resort to assault, etc. Sorry you haven't been as lucky. Perhaps if yuo
>> sought counselling?
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>Steve. While you seem to be a busy man between your many posts do you think
>you could possibly take the time to look into obtaining a spell check
>program? Your veracity is tainted by the fact that you cannot or will not
>learn to spell correctly. I guess you could always claim that your keyboard
>has a couple keys missing.....
>

Hmmmm....I transposed the "o" and "u" in "you". Yeah...I can see how an
anonymous putz could get confused by one erroneous word.

Another gonadless wonder. Guess the propagation on 11 meters has waned for
the season?

4403. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 13:52:06 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021226085206.29733.00000224@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 58589

>Subject: Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?
>From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
>Date: 12/25/02 9:31 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <f45722ac.0212250731.373a030@posting.google.com>

>No mystery, Dave. You live in a tiny, little, confined world. You
>can break those bonds of self-enslavement and enjoy life a little.
>Other hams really can be interesting enough to spent 10 or 15 minutes
>with. Good luck in the new year.

Bwwwwhahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahaha ! ! ! ! !

Yeah, Brian...I can see how you'd consider a guy with a successful Foreign
Service career overseas as being "confined".

Bwwwwwhahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahaha ! ! ! !

RIGHT!

Steve, K4YZ

4404. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 14:23:40 UTC
From: oneangryman@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8c61e9d6.0212260623.57ee91c8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58590

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021225172231.06330.00000379@mb-fp.aol.com>...
> >Maybe I can buy the house
> >next door to yours
>
> You couldnt afford it, besides there no mobile homes alloyed here.

Then you MUST have your's there because it was grandfathered in.
Your nothing but poor white trailer trash, and everything you
post here proves it.

I know you'll come back with some other retarded answer so let it rip!

One Angry Man

Answer to everyone's first question.
Yes, I have a Technician class license!

Answer to everyone's second question.
No I don't want to publish my callsign here!!

Answer to everyone's third question.
Yes I am proud of it, I'm just not stupid
enough to use it on the internet, Duh!!!

4405. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 14:28:01 UTC
From: oneangryman@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8c61e9d6.0212260628.7c42d292@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58591

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021225190552.29583.00000266@mb-mn.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Christmas Wish
> >From: oneangryman@hotmail.com (One Angry Man)
> >Date: 12/25/02 1:39 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <8c61e9d6.0212251139.635ec58f@posting.google.com>
>
> >How do I or anyone else KNOW that you
> >are who YOU say YOU are?
>
> You mean OTHER than drop me a post card to my QSL address on QRZ or look
> me up in the phone book?
>
> >The only way I could know for sure who you are
> >would be to look you up on QRZ then call directory
> >assistance and get your phone number then give you
> >a call and ask a question that only someone using
> >this news group could answer, then I might have
> >some assurance that you are who you say you are.
>
> And the propblem with THAT is?

This is as close to you as I want to get!

>
> >I could post my name and my callsign and you
> >would still claim that I was not who I said I was
>
> Why? I have the same opportunity to "verify" who you are.
>
> Ask Vipul, KB1HMW. He tried to hide. I "outed" him, but he's not taken
> any strange phone calls from me. Do I need to "out" you too? It can be done.
>

Vipul didn't do a very good job of covering his tracks.
He did use his aol address, not very smart.

>
> >I'm still not going to put my call or name
> >anywhere that starts with http or www or ftp for
> >you or anyone else.
>
> Then you're still a loser and a putz. A nobody.

And I intend to STAY a nobody, by my choice. If I
wanted to be a sombody I'd post my callsign.

>
> >One Angry Man
>
> One puny man is more like it.
>

That's just your opinion, that and $2.50 will
get you a cup of coffee at Starbucks!

> Steve, K4YZ

One Angry Man

Answer to everyone's first question.
Yes, I have a Technician class license!

Answer to everyone's second question.
No I don't want to publish my callsign here!!

Answer to everyone's third question.
Yes I am proud of it, I'm just not stupid
enough to use it on the internet, Duh!!!

4406. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 14:29:01 UTC
From: oneangryman@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8c61e9d6.0212260629.5d167858@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58592

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021225190855.29583.00000267@mb-mn.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Christmas Wish
> >From: oneangryman@hotmail.com (One Angry Man)
> >Date: 12/25/02 1:51 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <8c61e9d6.0212251151.45c81286@posting.google.com>
>
> >The point is this, my posting here cannot be connected with my name
> >or my callsign so that keeps me somewhat safer from identity theft
> >than if I did post my name and callsign.
>
> Bravo Sierra. You are still posting from a service that can be traced. If
> someone wanted to know who you were bad enough, your name and address will
> appear here for all to see.
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Not without a court order.

One Angry Man

Answer to everyone's first question.
Yes, I have a Technician class license!

Answer to everyone's second question.
No I don't want to publish my callsign here!!

Answer to everyone's third question.
Yes I am proud of it, I'm just not stupid
enough to use it on the internet, Duh!!!

4407. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 14:31:20 UTC
From: oneangryman@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8c61e9d6.0212260631.2634d0f3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58593

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021225172447.06330.00000381@mb-fp.aol.com>...
> >Tell us Bruce, have you ever seen a sheep that
> >you wouldn't have sex with?
>
> Just your Wife

Sorry not married.
Come on sheep breath you can do better than that.

One Angry Man

Answer to everyone's first question.
Yes, I have a Technician class license!

Answer to everyone's second question.
No I don't want to publish my callsign here!!

Answer to everyone's third question.
Yes I am proud of it, I'm just not stupid
enough to use it on the internet, Duh!!!

4408. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 14:37:22 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021226093722.03896.00000034@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 58594

>Is that some kind of process you
>do to the aluminum?

Your MaMa licks the whole thing and it becomes an alloy

4409. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 14:44:04 UTC
From: oneangryman@hotmail.com
Message-ID: <8c61e9d6.0212260644.b3b4391@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58595

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021225191336.29583.00000268@mb-mn.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Christmas Wish
> >From: oneangryman@hotmail.com (One Angry Man)
> >Date: 12/25/02 3:00 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <8c61e9d6.0212251300.4896f3a0@posting.google.com>
>
> >Would
> >they happen to be YOUR favorite forms of illegal activity?
>
> Thankfully, between hang gliding, flying, Amateur Radio and a half dozen
> other things I like to do, I never found anything "illegal" worth doing to fill
> the void.
>
> I also found was to deal with anger management that negated my need to
> resort to assault, etc. Sorry you haven't been as lucky. Perhaps if yuo
> sought counselling?

The only thing that makes me angry is
know-it-alls that don't know jack.
More educated idiots running around this
world that don't have enough sense to
come in out of the rain. But because
mommy and daddy had enough money to send
their braindead children to school they
make megabucks. I was poor and didn't get
to go to college and have had to work for
everything I get. When some little whiner
fresh out of school tells you how you don't
know how to do the job you've done for the
last 18 years and he knows the right way
to do it, after a few days of this you just
loose it and smash his little head.

Just wait until you get old and have an idiot
boss half your age that knows twice what
you do, you'll see how well that anger
management course works then.

>
> Steve, K4YZ

One Angry Man

Answer to everyone's first question.
Yes, I have a Technician class license!

Answer to everyone's second question.
No I don't want to publish my callsign here!!

Answer to everyone's third question.
Yes I am proud of it, I'm just not stupid
enough to use it on the internet, Duh!!!

4410. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 14:44:23 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021226094423.03896.00000035@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 58596

>I know you'll come back with some other retarded answer so let it rip!

A retarded answer is all you can deal with

>Yes, I have a Technician class license!

There it is another CBplusser, but I think everyone knew that

4411. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 14:51:08 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021226095108.03896.00000036@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 58597

> Just your Wife
>
>Sorry not married.

Most people allready knew that,you probably couldnt even get a date withTIT,
Ring Ring you better answer the phone its probably your BOY FREIND calling.

4412. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 14:55:00 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021226095500.03896.00000037@mb-cg.aol.com>
Source record: 58598

>I was poor and didn't get
>to go to college

College hell, you probably never made it out of grade school, you were to busy with the Sheep.

4413. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 16:01:13 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212260801.4b82fc60@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58599

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0212252310.3ccebdbf@posting.google.com>...
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0212241951.6698b2b2@posting.google.com>...
> > "W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<E690515A57C17F05.98B729D854A1281E.201F02B8E7BBC73D@lp.airnews.net>...
> > > "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:d22b54c3.0212240850.723e11b5@posting.google.com...
> > > > "W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> news:<07A3D4158F919694.019560E327DDC315.8F4A60C41925F205@lp.airnews.net>...
> > > > > > > > >about a single grid-driven 811. 1000 volts on the plate, zero bias
> Class B
> > > > > > > > >looks about right..
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > >4) New "Southgate Type 8" homebrew station.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > 'splain, Lucy!
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Like W3RV sez, you don't wanna go there.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > 73 de Jim, N2EY
> > > > > > >
> > > > > >
> > > > > Psssst. I may be wrong, but I 'spect yer over 'is 'ead.
> > > > >
> > > > Bwaaaahaha! Talk abt no-clues spouting off.
> > > > >
> > > > Kim W5TIT
> > > >
> > > > w3rv
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > Brian, you're an absolute idiot. Anyone on this newsgroup who "knows" me,
> > > already knows it was way over my head. But, I digress--we are observing
> > > *your* intelligence by that post, aren't we?!
> > >
> > > Kim W5TIT
> >
> > Did he say "no-clues?" Hoo-boy!
> >
> > Kim, just tell him, "Merry Christmas," and move on. Or else he might
> > whip out his impressive "military background" on you. I'm still
> > puzzling over that one.
>

> I can clear that up.
>
> > Maybe he has a field jacket or something with
> > some old chevrons on it.
> >
> > There was a good article in the Legionaire, or was it the VFW
> > magazine, about veterans that actively hunt down people who falsely
> > claim to be medal winners, etc, especially the Congressional Medal of
> > Honor. They also exposed people who claimed to be Navy S.E.A.L.s and
> > weren't.
> >
> > But you won't see Steve go after him like he has Mark Morgan...
>
> There are reasons for that, Steve is a member of the RRAP Back
> Channel, he knows where I been.

RRAP Back Channel? Is that some kind of secret society?

> I guess it's time to 'fess up, it's declassified.

Of course it is.

I humped thru U.S.
> Army Corps of Injuneers officer training see but via a series of odd
> events shortly after completion of that drill I found myself in
> command of a U.S. Mavy LDB.

Thats all very interesting. US Army training for a US Navy command?

Two words: Bovine Excrement.

Another short time later an SMD was added
> to my command. The SMD didn't last long, I had my eye on bigger things
> so they dumped the SMD and gave me command of an LMD in addition to
> the LDB.

Steve, where are you on all of this? It's time for you to go into "RANT" mode.

4414. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 16:06:26 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212260806.628e90a1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58600

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021225202122.03792.00000027@mb-cg.aol.com>...
> >I guess you've never done anything to earn the respect of about 70
> >little boys.
>
> Thats ashame, all you can get respect from is 70 LITTLE BOYS

Bruce, I would rather have 70 boys respect me than disrespect me.
What have you done for your community lately?

4415. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 16:14:39 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <PVFO9.200$Oc.21781@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58651

"Lloyd" <BrotherLloyd@BARF14313herb.net> wrote

: I declare this thread officially closed.

Lloyd,

Here is another declaration for you.

http://www.amishrakefight.org/gfy

Enjoy.

4416. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 16:24:03 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021226112403.03207.00000627@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 58652

>Bruce, I would rather have 70 boys respect me than disrespect me.
>What have you done for your community lately?
>

Besides causing all kinds of TVI for the neighbors, and Blaming it on some Dumb No-Code, nothing, and I like it that way

4417. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 16:25:52 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212260825.7bfa4eb2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58653

kelly@dvol.com (Brian Kelly) wrote in message
news:<d22b54c3.0212252241.75dcf44f@posting.google.com>...
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0212250731.373a030@posting.google.com>...
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0953A1.1550A39F@earthlink.net>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > > >
> > > > fornewspost@aol.com (ForNewsPost) wrote in message
news:<20021224130605.11931.00000198@mb-fy.aol.com>...
> > > > > This has probably come up in the past, and I just never noticed it, but I have
> > > > > always felt that there should be some kind of simple indicator of the
> > > > > intentions of a ham sending CQ. This would be in order to specify whether the
> > > > > CQ'er wants to ragchew a while, or just wants to have a short RST, QTH, Name,
> > > > > Rig, Antenna type of QSO. It seems to me that this would help both the CQ'er
> > > > > and the responding ham get exactly what they want out of a contact. For
> > > > > instance, something like "CQ CQ CQ DE KJ6XX KJ6XX RC K" would indicate the
> > > > > CQ'er wants to RagChew, and "CQ CQ CQ DE KJ6XX KJ6XX SQ K" might indicate the
> > > > > desire for only a Short Qso. Any thoughts? Has this been proposed in the
> > > > > past? Or is this a non-problem?
> > > > >
> > > > > -Cotter (KJ6EB)
> > > >
> > > > Ask Dave/K8MN. A DXer's not allowed any "RC" QSOs.
> > >
> > > You live in a state of perpetual haze. A DXer can rag chew anytime he
> > > likes. It is just that rag chewing is not DXing. You can sit and talk
> > > fishing with a "G" all afternoon. That's rag chewing at distance. It
> > > isn't DXing. Keep pondering the mysteries, Brian.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> >
> > No mystery, Dave. You live in a tiny, little, confined world. You
> > can break those bonds of self-enslavement and enjoy life a little.
> > Other hams really can be interesting enough to spent 10 or 15 minutes
> > with. Good luck in the new year.
>
>
> You're a good example of why short q's are a much better idea, i.e.,
> get away from an overseas Brainiac like YOU before they can get wound
> up. Also you might note that The Bureau did not "lose" his "little
> tiny world" cards from OJï¿½, OHï¿½, 5H3 and a bunch of others like The
> Bureau "lost" your T5 cards.

I can have more cards printed. But you're still not in my logs and so you're still not gettting one of my T5 cards. Quit asking about my cards, there's none for you.

> Yer whole non-point is moot Brainiac, you can't yak for 10
> milliseconds on HF let alone 15 minutes because you have yet to figger
> out how to move RF out of yer reddio and into an antenna which doesn't
> come shrink-wrapped from HRO.

Brian, that's never been a problem in the past.

And I certainly won't be talking to you on the air, unless its to work you to get you out of the way, like those disruptive 10KW IT stations calling on top of a QSO in-progress.

Basically, a jerk on RRAP = A jerk on the air, no matter how hard you and Larry try to say it isn't so. No wonder you're such a big backer of the 11.8 second QSO. At about 12 seconds people catch on that you're a blow hard jerk, then they QSY. I really wish you could have good amateur radio experiences like I've had, but the ball's in your court. I hope you figure it out before SK time.

73, Brian

4418. Re: What I asked Santa for.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 16:31:33 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212260831.a07f4b8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58654

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021225000409.15150.00000737@mb-fe.aol.com>...
> >For WA8ULX, a new CB rig.
>
> Thanks I just got one, its a Brand New Yaesu Mark V.

Did you have to lift a diode, or buy a new eprom to get it on 27.555?

4419. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 16:54:55 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aufc8g$1th$1@slb4.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58656

Same to you Bruce. Got me a new telescope...now I can look at all those
'nekid' women... hi.

Dan/W4NTI

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021225221503.21652.00000423@mb-fi.aol.com...
> >Not really Bruce. I wouldn't call CQ on a CBplusser band anyway....Merry
> >and a Happy.
> >
> >Dan/W4NTI
>
> Thats true Dan, hope you also had a nice Christmas

4420. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 16:57:46 UTC
From: kelly@dvol.com
Message-ID: <d22b54c3.0212260857.1eabf5b0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58655

"Garsh, Muhammed!" <Pop@anon.com> wrote in message news:<auegmi$1c5$0@pita.alt.net>...
> "Brian Kelly" <kelly@dvol.com> wrote in message
> news:d22b54c3.0212252310.3ccebdbf@posting.google.com...
> > brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
> > >
> > > But you won't see Steve go after him like he has Mark Morgan...
> > >
> > There are reasons for that, Steve is a member of the RRAP Back
> > Channel, he knows where I been.
> > >
> > I guess it's time to 'fess up, it's declassified. I humped thru U.S.
> > Army Corps of Injuneers officer training see but via a series of odd
> > events shortly after completion of that drill I found myself in
> > command of a U.S. Mavy LDB. Another short time later an SMD was added
> > to my command. The SMD didn't last long, I had my eye on bigger things
> > so they dumped the SMD and gave me command of an LMD in addition to
> > the LDB.
> > >
> > w3rv
> >
> And for this you posted a 4B message?

I had an HB in mind. Sorry.

Over.

> Gee.Your social life needs revamping.

I need more "socializing" like I need a torpedo up my . .

Over.

w3rv

4421. Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 17:01:02 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aufcjv$vp4$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58658

No I havent, lately. Got a name I'll be glad to send you one if you want.

4 years AF, 8 years ARMY.

Next.

Dan/W4NTI

"Parabellum" <NineMikeMike@anon.com> wrote in message
news:auehj1$3oo$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
> news:audq9rngr1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net...
> > Perhaps you should 'learn ham radio language' and you wouldn't feel so
> left
> > out....eh?
> >
> > Or are you of the 'new left school of idiocy' that says we need to
change
> > because it infringes on you to actually learn something?
> >
> > Have a nice day.
> >
> > Flame suit on.
> >
> > Dan/W4NTI
> >
> So. Dan. Threatened to shoot anybody lately?
> Careful, Dan. You were Air Force and they didn't let
> you guys carry loaded weapons without authorization.
>
> Flame on but watch out for EVE. He LIKES you!
>
>
>
>

4422. Re: What I asked Santa for.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 17:10:47 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021226121047.10433.00000625@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 58657

>Did you have to lift a diode, or buy a new eprom to get it on 27.555?
>

No, I just use it on the regular Ham CB Bands

4423. Re: What I asked Santa for.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 17:48:59 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <v0mg872ca5m8dd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 58659

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021226121047.10433.00000625@mb-ba.aol.com...
> >Did you have to lift a diode, or buy a new eprom to get it on 27.555?
> >
>
> No, I just use it on the regular Ham CB Bands

144 - 148 MHz the new CB band!
Bruce I thought you avoided the 2 meter CBers

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

4424. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 17:55:20 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212260955.5fed2357@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58660

"Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<uvdgpe4adjr896@corp.supernews.com>...
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021210223707.04125.00000278@mb-da.aol.com...
> > In article <uvd1gheui81q0d@corp.supernews.com>, " Kim"
> > <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

(Sorry I dropped this, Kim - I thought I'd replied already!)
> >
> > >It seems that many women I have met down here are very dependent
> > >and min[d]ful of their husbands. Lots of us have debated it and the
> > >attributable things we can come up with is that it is the Southern
> > >Man/Southern Woman way of life, country v. city (I come from the country
> > >where ya'd better damn well be able to fend fer yerself), or even that
> > > this
> > >is the Bible Belt (something about women obeying their husbands). You
> > >literally would not believe how many women about fall over when I pull
> > >the
> > >checkbook out of my purse. They think I stole it and am going to have to
> > >say 1000s of Hail Marys when I get back home. Sheesh...
> >
> > You gotta be kidding!!!
>
> Not at all, Jim, not at all.

Boggles my mind.

> The irony of all this is that these are women
> who live in or near the Big D. One would think that city women would be far
> more independent...wouldn't they?

All depends on where and how they grew up, perhaps. Where they live
now may not be an accurate indicator of where they're from.

> No joke...the first time all the
> brothers-in-law (3 of 'em) and my father-in-law and my husband were going to
> go hunting I came up with the idea for all us women to have our big garage
> sale we'd been talking about.

Lessee - the guys go off and have fun for a couple days while the
wimmenfolk do a bunch of work and turn some unneeded items into cash.
Where's the downside?

> Now, mind ya...this is

> a) a Yankee from the north at the table,

Isn't "Yankee from the north" redundant? ;-) (Some would say "damn
Yankee" is redundant, too)

> b) a woman to beat,

Ummm, perhaps you meant to write "to boot"?

> and c) the only son's wife.

Ah got da pitcher.

> She (me) is standing at the kitchen
> table, men all attired for their great hunt (yeah...*cough* throw some deer
> corn in the feeder a few weeks before season open and sit in your chair the
> day it opens to take your deer---I digress) and I mention the garage sale
> with my mother-in-law's shocked face slightly nuding in the "negative"
> direction as my shocked--uh, patriarchal--father-in-law states, "like hell
> you will." I look at him and say, "like hell we won't and why not?"

HAWW! The times ya need a videocam, it's never around!!

> Suffice it to say that moment was a bit more liberating for my mother-in-law
> than my father-in-law would have intended.

What was his problem? Was he afraid you'd sell off things he wanted to
keep? Or afraid you'd have some independent $$?

If you weren't going to sell off things he wanted to keep, what's the
problem?
>
> > But the stats do support such a theory.....The women finally get sick of
> > it and split?
>
> Ya know what, Jim? Sadly, I really think what happens is most of the men
> end up in extra-marital affairs (usually for a fresh, squishy woman) and
> leaves for her (the other woman).

Still a mystery to me. Not that I doubt what you're saying, just that
it makes so little sense.
>
> You'll giggle at this. My fine, Southern gentleman that I married; when we
> first were going together--about a few weeks after we'd begun dating--he put
> his finger up at me one night and said I would not be going to any bars with
> my girlfriends.

OK. Did he say why not?

> I stopped dead in my tracks, nicely turned around and
> walked back toward him and, very nicely and politely told him that I'd been
> in bars before without him, handled creeps without him, and live through it
> without him--and if he intended for us to go any further, he'd start
> training himself not to have that kind of insecurity. Took 'im about two
> years but he finally realized and knows that I love only him, admire him and
> adore him and there isn't a soul or other man who'd pull me away.

Seems to me there's nothing wrong with negotiating certain things with one's main squeeze, as long as it's not a double standard. Double standard as in "she doesn't go to bars with her girlfriends but he goes to bars with his buddies."

Was he ready to stop going to bars wtih his buddies if you'd give up doing the same with your girlfriends?

> Women can take care of themselves.

Some PEOPLE can take care of themselves. Others can't.

> Mind you, I am far from a women's
> libber. In fact, I can't stand 'em.

Ya gotta define what you mean by "women's libber". Most of what you've described in this discussion is the very essence of "women's lib":

- Financial independence for women
- Equality in relationships
- Skills/behaviors/roles determined by interests and abilities, not predetermined gender images

> BUT, I can stand up for myself.

There ya go - pure "libber" talk

> And,I can change my own tires.

More of the same! That's not a bad thing.
>
> > >> >it couldn't be a
> > >> >sparsely populated state because country folk really have to count on
> > >> >each
> > >> >other through thick and thin; and it couldn't be too populated a state
> > >> >because those folks are so busy in each other's bedrooms I doubt
> anyone
> > >> >notices they are getting cheated on...so, let's see. How 'bout
> > >> >Massachusetts, and Washington, DC? And, for best--given the same
> > >> >reasons--how 'bout Wyoming and New Mexico? Maybe Utah?
> > >>
> > >> That's what I thought, almost exactly. We're both almost totally wrong.
> > >
> > >Oh, Jim. Puhleeze don't admit to thinking like me. Larry will have two
> > >people he's got to keep up with then :)
> >
> > I'm just saying the stats show we were both way off base. Massachusetts,
> > for
> > example, has one of the very lowest divorce rates in the whole USA. New
> > York,
> > too, though not as low as Mass. Lots of other examples. But Mississippi?
> > Wyoming? Near the top of the scale.
>
> heh heh heh. Wonder if that's 'cause the guys are crappy at choosing, or

> the women are?

Perhaps. Or maybe it's a bunch of other factors:

- getting hitched too young
- mismatched expectations of what the other person is supposed to do
- availability of cheaters

Or maybe here's the big one: Often the very thing that makes someone attractive when being pursued makes them insufferable once caught. Example: Some women like a man who "takes charge" and "knows what he wants" and is "independent" and "charming". But when they actually GET such a man, they discover that "takes charge" translates into "wants to be the boss all the time" and "knows what he wants" includes "acts like a spoiled child when he doesn't get it". "Independent" and "charming" are fun until she realizes they equate to "undependable" and "flirts with any female that will listen to his drivel".

And of course there are plenty of examples in the opposite gender direction.

> > > >Ah. Well, see? WE northeastern women know we don't *need* you men to
> > > >survive and that makes us WANT to be around you, not needy of you...
> > >
> > > Maybe. Or maybe it's the dry Yankee humor. Plus we don't have to endure a
> > > constant barrage of country-and-western music.
>
> HAW--to use your term!!!!!!! Man, you got that right. Remember that
> callsign PATTOEY?

Yup. But think about it seriously for a sec - if one is barraged by descriptions of cheatin' sweethearts, might it not become somewhat more acceptable? Or at least seem more normal?

> > What's probably going on is that the social trends that hit the Northeast
> > and
> > West in the '60s and '70s are finally reaching the South., with
> > predictable
> > results.
>
> I am sitting here laughing at what I am going to say: no, it's that more and
> more of us Yankees moved in.

But it's the Yankees that have the low divorce rate!

Or maybe it's the language barrier. After all, men and women speak different languages, though they use the same words. Example of word definitions:

"Navy" Men: Military organization involving ships and related facilities
Women: Dark blue

"Clutch" Men: Mechanical device for controlled transmission of rotary
motion
Women: Purse without a strap

"Pump" Men: Device for moving or compressing liquids or gases
Women: Shoe with a defined heel

"Blazer" Men: Type of vehicle made by Chevrolet
Women: Jacket that can be coordinated with pants or a skirt

"Pick up" Men: To raise by grasping
Women: Buy

"Hose" Men: Flexible tube for handling liquids or gases
Women: Socks or stockings

There are lots more.

> > Getting 20 people together may be a bit of a job, tho. Only time I see
> > that
> > many in the same place with a common goal is Sunday mornings, and they're
> > not
> > playing games.
> >
> > Thanks for the tip, tho.
>
> You should get them to...ya might see way more than you'd ever expected!!

Maybe..

> (By the way--and you knew this you just forgot--those folks who all have the
> common goal? They're always around you...not just on Sundays)
>
Oh yes! More than ya might imagine.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4425. Re: What I asked Santa for.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 19:10:25 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021226141025.07096.00000430@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 58661

>144 - 148 MHz the new CB band!
>Bruce I thought you avoided the 2 meter CBers
>
>--
>'73' From KB9TMP

I do, the MarkV doesnt operate on 2 Meter CB

4426. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 19:13:29 UTC
From: Lister Bag <OliveDrab@anon.com>
Message-ID: <aufkbm$3og$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58662

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021226095108.03896.00000036@mb-cg.aol.com...
> > Just your Wife
> >
> >Sorry not married.
>
> Most people allready knew that,you probably couldnt even get a date
withTIT,
> Ring Ring you better answer the phone its probably your BOY FREIND
calling.
>
Groan. Spoken like a 12 year old.

4427. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 19:15:25 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021226141525.07096.00000431@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 58663

>Groan. Spoken like a 12 year old.
>

Seems to be the only language you CBers understand

4428. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 19:33:23 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212261133.74d1dbba@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58664

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021226085206.29733.00000224@mb-cv.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?
> >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> >Date: 12/25/02 9:31 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <f45722ac.0212250731.373a030@posting.google.com>
>
> >No mystery, Dave. You live in a tiny, little, confined world. You
> >can break those bonds of self-enslavement and enjoy life a little.
> >Other hams really can be interesting enough to spent 10 or 15 minutes
> >with. Good luck in the new year.
>
> Bwwwwhahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahaha ! ! ! ! !
>
> Yeah, Brian...I can see how you'd consider a guy with a successful Foreign
> Service career overseas as being "confined".
>
> Bwwwwwhahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahahaha ! ! ! !
>
> RIGHT!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, I was referring to his limited view of amateur radio, not his career.

Do you limit your amateur radio operating strictly to 11.8 second QSO's?

4429. Re: Something for everyone...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 19:35:33 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212261135.445beef3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58665

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3E0B071C.EFE82B90@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E095527.4E083F22@earthlink.net>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > > 5. Nine-year-old makes Extra.
> > >
> > > Much to Leonard H. Anderson's chagrin.
> >
> > So?
>
> So we can't have mere children operating radio equipment without adult
> supervision according to Leonard H.

I think todays youth needs more adult supervision. Don't you?

4430. Re: BAH HUMBUG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 20:30:43 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v0mpoe34nqu5a1@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 58666

Oh no. For what it is worth, that is how I ended up with my 1st class radiotelephone license back in 1966. A bet at college. Ouch, I was sooooo hung over the next day (when they gave the test; I think it was the 1st and 3rd Friday of the month) - they FCC guys in Buffalo, NY, took one look at me and asked if I really felt up to taking the test .. ;) A couple of trips and I had my 1st phone, extra, and commercial telegraph ticket.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021225172823.06330.00000384@mb-fp.aol.com...
> >A step higher than you are.
>
> You just wish you could be as good as me.
>
> >So you got your license on a joke
>
> No I got mine on a BET
>
>

4431. Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 20:34:34 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v0mpvk725v8u06@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 58667

Bogey - isn't that over par in golf? ;) I swear that the newsgroups are more fun than reruns of the 3 stooges. Go quaff a tall cool 807.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"K&#65533;HB" <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message news:mpuO9.6714$b97.711524@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote
>
>
> : Ham radio is different because the jargon is substitutions (not even
> : abbreviations) for words and phases, not actual words and phrases, like
> other
> : hobbies. Abbreviations are common - substitutions are not.
>
> Here are a few terms from other areas, all of which are substitutions (not
> abbreviations).
>
> "Hog" from motorcycling jargon. Substitute for "Harley Davidson" .
> "Stick" from fishing jargon. Substitute term which means "expert angler".
> "Bogey" from military jargon. Substitute for unknown (possibly hostile)
> airborne radar contact or visual sighting.
> "Skunk" from military jargon. Substitute for unknown (possible hostile)
> surface search radar contact.
> "Stinkpot" from sailing jargon. Substitute for powered watercraft.
> "Fox" from lexicon of young (and not so young) American males. Substitute
> for "wow, check out that babe!"
> "Flick" from entertainment. Substitute for motion picture.
>
> Hitting a little closer to home, the internet and the computer industry in
> general are rife with codewords and jargon with terms like "troll",
"flame",
> "surf", "cookie", "404", "grok", "spam", "urchin" (munchkin), and so
forth.
> None of these are abbreviations, but rather substitutions which serve to
> quickly communicate common concepts and ideas among "those in the know".
>
> We could go on and on, but hopefully you will identify with the notion of
an
> "insiders" language which many professions/age groups or other special
> interest groups adopt as a sort of "fraternal handshake" by which they
> identify themselves to other members of their "insiders group". Amateur
> radio is no different. Kick back and enjoy.

>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>

4432. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 20:54:07 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <sppm0vonrjcg838nnm7b9vn5e1vd2ep8t9@4ax.com>
Source record: 58668

On Wed, 25 Dec 2002 02:50:18 -0500, "Santa" <Rudie@NorthPole.com>
wrote:

>
>"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message

>> umm, don't look now Mr oneangryman, but you say you're a ham,
>> but you are worried about identity theft on the internet?
>>
>> You are aware that you are in databases that are freely
>> distributed around the entire world, I hope. Your name, addy, zip.
>> lots of good stuff.
>>
>> If you are worried about identity theft, spam, etc. all you
>> have to do is learn how to avoid it. Bellowing (yes, all caps is
>> bellowing) about how you don't use your Callsign in this ng only
>> serves to make you look bad.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>OH? And Mike, does posting your call make you look good? As in "macho"?

It lets people know that I stand behind what I post.
>Good thing that you have never been the victim of a stalker/spammer as has
>happened to some users hereabouts.
>Posting your call may be bravado to you but it is pure folly otherwise.

Bravado? I have never done otherwise, and see no reason to do
so.

>Your "challenge" is empty. Note, if you will, that the more intelligent
>newsgroupers keep their callsigns off the posts...something that I hope you
>learn to do before it is too late.

Some of the people I respect most in ham radio post with not
only thier callsign, but I know their full names without even looking
ther call up. We don't hide it.

>Let's see...if some misfit were to go to QRZ and look up your call, well, no
>telling what kind of spam or junk mail or magazine subscriptions you may
>get.

A point of fact:

You are not anonmyous when you post on the net. Not putting
your name on the post is not going to keep your identity away from
someone who really wants to know.

You can avoid the bad guys in a few simple ways. I assume
that you are behind a good firewall and a good proxy server? Also a
really good idea to steer clear of some of the internet neighborhoods
you go to. Chat rooms are bad, bad, bad. Instant messengers are really
bad. Downloading mp3s can be inviting baddies into your machine.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4433. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 21:38:25 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <mhsm0v05s48pr23ohog6tr7j1ku903qqdd@4ax.com>
Source record: 58669

On 25 Dec 2002 14:04:32 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote:

>>Subject: Re: Christmas Wish
>>From: Mike Coslo mcoslo@adelphia.net
>>Date: 12/24/02 11:40 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <iogi0v84f8ajhoigvds2690mb2b4bg69kq@4ax.com>
>
>>If you are worried about identity theft, spam, etc. all you
>>have to do is learn how to avoid it. Bellowing (yes, all caps is
>>bellowing) about how you don't use your Callsign in this ng only
>>serves to make you look bad.
>
> I have used my full name an callsign here for over four years now and have
>never once gotten any piece of spam that I can attribute to this newsgroup.

> I also DID receive several generous donations from members of this NG (a
>couple I know, a couple I don't) who donated to my (now deceased) daughter's
>wheelchair fund.

That must have been before my time here, Steve. I'm really
sorry to hear that. There *are* good people here. And funny how most
of them use their own names.

> I HAVE, however, gotten spam from chat rooms, magazine subscriptions and
>"registering" for other sites (ie: AOL, Yahoo, etc)

And HOW! Before my kid got his own computer, He used A*L's
instant messenger, and it ended up lousing my computer up, I was doing
some psk31 one day, and suddenly my browswer pops up with an ad.
Problem was, my browser wasn't running at the time. So if the spyware
they put on your machine can do that, it's humorous and a little sad
that someone thinks they can avoid that by making up some cute screen
handle. Speaking of magazine subscription snailmail spam, I had a
subscription to a mag in 1981. My name was misspelled as Mihal instead
of the correct way. Well a week ago, I got a solicitation under that
name! We must all be in so many databases, it isn't funny.

> Simple facts are usually not comprehended well by the simple minded, Mike.

Yup. Yet that is what I have trouble comprehending.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4434. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 21:54:38 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <1dum0v42udrufioof1lpsrc902sm8jt5t1@4ax.com>
Source record: 58670

On 25 Dec 2002 05:47:23 GMT, vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) wrote:

>I would go in the opposite direction. Remove the CQ. How about just using
>ordinary conversation instead of unneeded codewords?

While we're at it, why don't they remove those annoying codes
on resistors. None of that dumb color code stuff. Or even worse, that
confusing number scheme where three on the end of the imprint doesn't
mean three, it means 3 zero's. Sheesh, the resistor people must be
trying to confuse people and keep then out of the resistor reading
club! 8^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

4435. Re: BAH HUMBUG

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 22:04:14 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021226170414.03278.00000465@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 58671

>Oh no. For what it is worth, that is how I ended up with my 1st class
>radiotelephone license back in 1966. A bet at college. Ouch, I was sooooo
>hung over the next day (when they gave the test; I think it was the 1st and
>3rd Friday of the month) - they FCC guys in Buffalo, NY, took one look at me
>and asked if I really felt up to taking the test .. ;) A couple of trips
>and I had my 1st phone, extra, and commercial telegraph ticket.
>
>
>73 from Rochester, NY
>Jim
>
>"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20021225172823.06330.00000384@mb-fp.aol.com...
>> >A step higher than you are.
>>
>> You just wish you could be as good as me.
>>
>> >So you got your license on a joke
>>
>> No I got mine on a BET
>>

That is cool, today you could be on a 3 Month binge, have no Idea what your name was, and still come away with an EXTRA. Well thats not exactly true, if your name is Brian, you still wouldnt be able to pass it, but rest assured he would have 10 Million Excusses

4436. Re: Something for everyone...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 22:38:53 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212261438.6054b9cb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58672

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3E0B071C.EFE82B90@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E095527.4E083F22@earthlink.net>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > > 5. Nine-year-old makes Extra.
> > >
> > > Much to Leonard H. Anderson's chagrin.
> >
> > So?
>
> So we can't have mere children operating radio equipment without adult
> supervision according to Leonard H.

So? He doesn't make the rules - the FCC does.

There has never been any sort of age requirement for a US ham license,
and FCC is obviously convinced there's no need for such a requirement.
Mr. Anderson has not presented any evidence as to why there needs to
be an age requirement.

btw, an 8 year old passed the "old" Extra back about six years. All 5
writtens and 20 wpm code.

Kids do the darndest things.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4437. Re: Something for everyone...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 22:42:52 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021226174252.03249.00000654@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 58673

>btw, an 8 year old passed the "old" Extra back about six years. All 5
>writtens and 20 wpm code.

And Brian cant pass the Extra. What does that say about 8 year olds and Brian

4438. Re: What I asked Santa for.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 22:44:32 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212261444.5838fb3c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58674

"K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:<3GaO9.4714$ka5.541383@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> I didn't ask anything for myself.

Class act, that Hans.
>
> For N2EY, the latest and greatest fully integrated soldering station.

Thanks, but with all the soldering tools I have already it's a bit
redundant. I always like good tools, tho.

Let's see:

- Propane torch w/soldering iron attachment for heavy antenna work
- Old 100 W classic iron
- 100/140 W Weller gun
- Two small pencil-type irons for little stuff
- Milsurp WCTPK kit with various tips and desoldering attachment, plus
grounding strap

> ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB

MRI XMAS ES HNY, HANS

73 de Jim, N2EY

4439. Re: Kevin Mitnick gets his ham license back!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 22:50:15 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3E0B87A7.BA24E830@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 58675
Cross-posted to: alt.2600,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Doug Thompson wrote:

> The great hacker Kevin Mitnick (N6NHG) got his ham license renewed by
> the FCC on 12/23/02.

It still shows as expired at:
<http://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/cgi-bin/ws.exe/genmen/uls/call_res.hts?db_id=19&rows=&callsign=N6NHG>

4440. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 23:00:20 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aug1ljkre1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58676

Sounds like the boy in the bubble bs to me. My opinion is real simple...NO
CALL NO BALLS.

Fake or hidden name/ID/callsigns get zero consideration.

Dan/W4NTI

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:sppm0vonrjcg838nnm7b9vn5e1vd2ep8t9@4ax.com...
> On Wed, 25 Dec 2002 02:50:18 -0500, "Santa" <Rudie@NorthPole.com>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>
> >> umm, don't look now Mr oneangryman, but you say you're a ham,
> >> but you are worried about identity theft on the internet?
> >>
> >> You are aware that you are in databases that are freely
> >> distributed around the entire world, I hope. Your name, addy, zip.
> >> lots of good stuff.
> >>
> >> If you are worried about identity theft, spam, etc. all you
> >> have to do is learn how to avoid it. Bellowing (yes, all caps is
> >> bellowing) about how you don't use your Callsign in this ng only
> >> serves to make you look bad.
> >>
> >> - Mike KB3EIA -
> >
> >OH? And Mike, does posting your call make you look good? As in "macho"?
>
> It lets people know that I stand behind what I post.
> >Good thing that you have never been the victim of a stalker/spammer as
has
> >happened to some users hereabouts.
> >Posting your call may be bravado to you but it is pure folly otherwise.
>
> Bravado? I have never done otherwise, and see no reason to do
> so.
>
> >Your "challenge" is empty. Note, if you will, that the more intelligent
> >newsgroupers keep their callsigns off the posts...something that I hope
you
> >learn to do before it is too late.
>

> Some of the people I respect most in ham radio post with not
> only thier callsign, but I know their full names without even looking
> ther call up. We don't hide it.
>
> >Let's see...if some misfit were to go to QRZ and look up your call, well,
no
> >telling what kind of spam or junk mail or magazine subscriptions you may
> >get.
>
> A point of fact:
>
> You are not anonmyous when you post on the net. Not putting
> your name on the post is not going to keep your identity away from
> someone who really wants to know.
>
> You can avoid the bad guys in a few simple ways. I assume
> that you are behind a good firewall and a good proxy server? Also a
> really good idea to steer clear of some of the internet neighborhoods
> you go to. Chat rooms are bad, bad, bad. Instant messengers are really
> bad. Downloading mp3s can be inviting baddies into your machine.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>

4441. eHam.net Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 23:01:22 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <6TLO9.160889$a8.46631@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 58677

eHam.net Survey

This summer at the ITU World Administrative Radio Confernce (WARC) to be
held in Geneva Switzerland one topic will be the elimination of the CW test
as a requirement for HF operation. Do you agree that the CW requirement
should be removed?
Posted: Dec 21, 2002 (1912 votes, 112 comments) by K9PO

Survey Results
Yes 32% (619)
No 62% (1186)
Don't Care 6% (107)

Hmm... (It'd sure be nice if it were to be decided by a vote.)

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

4442. Re: Kevin Mitnick gets his ham license back!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 23:02:55 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aug1qe$bt7$1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58678
Cross-posted to: alt.2600,rec.radio.amateur.policy

SO? This is something to be proud about?

Dan/W4NTI

"Doug Thompson" <dmt822@nospam.ncvillage.net> wrote in message
news:285203555.20385F03A1@taranews.net...
> The great hacker Kevin Mitnick (N6NHG) got his ham license renewed by
> the FCC on 12/23/02. As usual, qrz.com has no idea about Mitnick yet.
> Cnet says that Kevin paid $16,000 for his license. The story is at
>
> http://news.com.com/2100-1023-978805.html?tag=fd_lede2_hed

4443. Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 23:09:02 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021226180902.29663.00000370@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 58679

From: "Kï¿½HB" kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG
>"Hog" from motorcycling jargon. Substitute for "Harley Davidson" .
>"Stick" from fishing jargon. Substitute term which means "expert angler".
>"Bogey" from military jargon. Substitute for unknown (possibly hostile)
>airborne radar contact or visual sighting.
>"Skunk" from military jargon. Substitute for unknown (possible hostile)
>surface search radar contact.
>"Stinkpot" from sailing jargon. Substitute for powered watercraft.
>"Fox" from lexicon of young (and not so young) American males. Substitute
>for "wow, check out that babe!"
>"Flick" from entertainment. Substitute for motion picture.

Good point. These substitutions are words, while QSO, is not. I'm sure you can find other examples that are not words.

>None of these are abbreviations, but rather substitutions which serve to
>quickly communicate common concepts and ideas among "those in the know".

Even if you know the codeword, you may still not "understand" it. Those that understand Ham radio's true purposes are part of the cult. I'm not saying every Ham is in a cult, just the majority of them.

4444. Re: TIT Revisited

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 23:17:57 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021226181757.29663.00000371@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 58680

From: oneangryman@hotmail.com

>> Perhaps thats why you posted anonymously.
>
>Like you use to do until they figured out who you are!

I am not anonymous. My name is with my posts. Anon@Anon.com did not use his name, unless it is Anon. I don't feel I have to identify myself or give a call sign with my posts.

Also, my opinions did not change.

4445. Re: eHam.net Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 23:23:09 UTC
From: David Robbins <k1ttt@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <WpudnT1NfJ_DEpajXTWc2Q@crocker.com>
Source record: 58681

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:6TLO9.160889$a8.46631@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> eHam.net Survey
>
> This summer at the ITU World Administrative Radio Confernce (WARC) to be
> held in Geneva Switzerland one topic will be the elimination of the CW
test
> as a requirement for HF operation. Do you agree that the CW requirement
> should be removed?
> Posted: Dec 21, 2002 (1912 votes, 112 comments) by K9PO
>
>
> Survey Results
> Yes 32% (619)
> No 62% (1186)
> Don't Care 6% (107)
>
> Hmm... (It'd sure be nice if it were to be decided by a vote.)

my vote didn't get counted! i demand a recount! there must have been
hanging chads, or the polling places were closed too early, or maybe they
told me the wrong day to go vote, or i was confused by the ballot and
thought i was voting to eliminate the requirement to copy rtty by ear, oh
hell, its all political anyway and they'll do what they damn well please so
who cares about this poll anyway.

4446. Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 23:36:57 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021226183657.15952.00000342@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 58682

In article <v0mpvk725v8u06@corp.supernews.com>, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@frontiernet.net> writes:

>Bogey - isn't that over par in golf? ;)

No, no, no. Bogey was an actor, made lots of great classic films. Married to
Lauren Bacall.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4447. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-26 23:55:02 UTC
From: Bill Newkirk <wnewkirk@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <8qMO9.131267$0v3.118876@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 58683

color codes?

where's there room on surface mount resistors for color codes? They just put the value on the part.

and the 1% parts usually had a value printed on 'em.

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:1dum0v42udrufioof1lpsrc902sm8jt5t1@4ax.com...
> On 25 Dec 2002 05:47:23 GMT, vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) wrote:
>
> >I would go in the opposite direction. Remove the CQ. How about just using
> >ordinary conversation instead of unneeded codewords?
>
> While we're at it, why don't they remove those annoying codes
> on resistors. None of that dumb color code stuff. Or even worse, that
> confusing number scheme where three on the end of the imprint doesn't
> mean three, it means 3 zero's. Sheesh, the resistor people must be
> trying to confuse people and keep then out of the resistor reading
> club! 8^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

4448. Re: Kevin Mitnick gets his ham license back!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 00:14:53 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021226191453.10433.00000653@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 58684

>SO? This is something to be proud about?
>
>Dan/W4NTI

Dan it just provides more evidence that the FCC doesnt have a clue

4449. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 00:15:07 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021226191507.14241.00000358@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 58685

>Subject: Re: Christmas Wish
>From: oneangryman@hotmail.com (One Angry Man)
>Date: 12/26/02 8:28 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <8c61e9d6.0212260628.7c42d292@posting.google.com>

>This is as close to you as I want to get!

Why? You're the convicted felon, not me.

>Vipul didn't do a very good job of covering his tracks.
>He did use his aol address, not very smart.

You think you're that "hidden"...?

>And I intend to STAY a nobody, by my choice. If I
>wanted to be a sombody I'd post my callsign.

Sez' it all right there.

>That's just your opinion, that and $2.50 will
>get you a cup of coffee at Starbucks!

I brew my own, Convict. Thanks.

Steve, K4YZ

4450. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 00:15:46 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021226191546.14241.00000359@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 58686

>Subject: Re: Christmas Wish
>From: oneangryman@hotmail.com (One Angry Man)
>Date: 12/26/02 8:29 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <8c61e9d6.0212260629.5d167858@posting.google.com>

>Not without a court order.

=)

Steve, K4YZ

4451. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 00:22:04 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021226192204.14241.00000360@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 58687

>Subject: Re: Christmas Wish
>From: oneangryman@hotmail.com (One Angry Man)
>Date: 12/26/02 8:44 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <8c61e9d6.0212260644.b3b4391@posting.google.com>

>But because
>mommy and daddy had enough money to send
>their braindead children to school they
>make megabucks. I was poor and didn't get
>to go to college and have had to work for
>everything I get. When some little whiner
>fresh out of school tells you how you don't
>know how to do the job you've done for the
>last 18 years and he knows the right way
>to do it, after a few days of this you just
>loose it and smash his little head.

Whine whine whine. Excuses for a punk who didn't have the maturity or common sense to keep his fists in his pockets.

Hot flash, ya nameless twit...My parents didn't have the money to send ME to college either. I made it out of White Trash Hell, so could have you. The big difference between you and I is that I took the initiative and you are wallowing in it.

>Just wait until you get old and have an idiot
>boss half your age that knows twice what
>you do, you'll see how well that anger
>management course works then.

Been there...done that...I am 47 and have to work with nurses with "advanced degrees" all the time who are yonger than I. I usually wind up being the one precepting them to the department...I am also the one THEY come to when they don't understand something or ahve a question about procedure.

Of course I don't view myself as the "one angry man". I take that energy and invest it in professionalism...NOT anger.

Perhaps you need a new profession?

Steve, K4YZ

4452. Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 00:27:39 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021226192739.14241.00000361@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 58688

>Subject: Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 12/26/02 5:09 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021226180902.29663.00000370@mb-cv.aol.com>

>Even if you know the codeword, you may still not "understand" it. Those that
>understand Ham radio's true purposes are part of the cult. I'm not saying
>every
>Ham is in a cult, just the majority of them.

Vipul, I think you need to take out your license, write "CANCEL" on it and
mail it back to Gettysburg. Sell your equipment and forget you ever heard
about Amateur Radio.

You obviously have no understanding nor acceptance of anything save for
the way you envision it. I think it will continue to be a point of bitterness
to you, so better now to be done with it than to continue to irritate yourself
with a whole world of radio operators who refuse to change for YOUR benefit.

Steve, K4YZ

4453. Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 00:42:40 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <4mNO9.715$aD3.96280@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58689

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

: You obviously have no understanding nor acceptance of anything save
for
: the way you envision it.

Coming from you Steve, that is absolutely CLASSIC! Nice job! Pot, meet
kettle!

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

4454. Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 00:53:05 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <RvNO9.719$aD3.97607@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58695

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

:
: You have not made any valid point here. I think you are confused.
:

He's expressing an opinion, Steve, not trying to change your religion. I don't share his opinion either, but he and I have found it easy to discuss this without vituperative and confrontational posturing.

With all kind wishes for a better outlook on life in 2003,

de Hans, K0HB

~~~
We pass the word around; we ponder how the case is put by different people, we read the poetry; we meditate over the literature; we play the music; we change our minds; we reach an understanding. Society evolves this way, not by shouting each other down, but by the unique capacity of unique, individual human beings to comprehend each other.
--Lewis Thomas, The Medusa and the Snail (1979)
~~~

4455. Re: Something for everyone...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 00:53:51 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0BA4B9.AA45E4CA@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58694

```
Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0B071C.EFE82B90@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E095527.4E083F22@earthlink.net>...
> > > > Brian wrote:
> > > >
> > > > > 5. Nine-year-old makes Extra.
> > > >
> > > > Much to Leonard H. Anderson's chagrin.
> > >
> > > So?
> >
> > So we can't have mere children operating radio equipment without adult
> > supervision according to Leonard H.
>
> I think todays youth needs more adult supervision. Don't you?

That's probably what your grandfather said.

Dave K8MN
```

4456. Re: Kevin Mitnick gets his ham license back!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 01:22:38 UTC
From: news <krpdm2112NOSPAM@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <yXNO9.1203$NZ7.730687655@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 58696
Cross-posted to: alt.2600,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Doug Thompson" <dmt822@nospam.ncvillage.net> wrote in message
news:285203555.20385F03A1@taranews.net...
> The great hacker Kevin Mitnick (N6NHG)

I don't see what was so great about him, he was messing around where he shouldn't have been, got caught, spent time in jail, and hasn't legally been able to touch a computer for what? about 10 years? What makes him so great?

Now the bumbling idiot is getting rich off of a book, about lying and deceiving people. Great Guy.

4457. Re: Kevin Mitnick gets his ham license back!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 01:30:04 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <augae9oer1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58697

Amazing aint it?

Dan/W4NTI

"WA8ULX" <wa8ulx@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021226191453.10433.00000653@mb-ba.aol.com...
> >SO? This is something to be proud about?
> >
> >Dan/W4NTI
>
> Dan it just provides more evidence that the FCC doesnt have a clue

4458. Re: eHam.net Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 01:32:43 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <augaj8ufn1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58698

Dang.....I thought the no-code liberal elite had this all locked up.
Wasupwidthat?

Dan/W4NTI

"Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
news:6TLO9.160889$a8.46631@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> eHam.net Survey
>
> This summer at the ITU World Administrative Radio Confernce (WARC) to be
> held in Geneva Switzerland one topic will be the elimination of the CW
test
> as a requirement for HF operation. Do you agree that the CW requirement
> should be removed?
> Posted: Dec 21, 2002 (1912 votes, 112 comments) by K9PO
>
>
> Survey Results
> Yes 32% (619)
> No 62% (1186)
> Don't Care 6% (107)
>
> Hmm... (It'd sure be nice if it were to be decided by a vote.)
>
> --
> 73 de Bert
> WA2SI
>
>

4459. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 01:37:05 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021226203705.21031.00000326@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 58699

In article <3DFBB4C5.463EC06B@ix.netcom.com>, Robert Casey
<wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>N2EY wrote:

>> > A lot of people running
>> > our schools and teaching in the classrooms are from the bottom of the
>> > academic ladder -- especially in my state.
>>
>> Poor doesn't mean stupid or lazy.
>
>Not "poor" meaning "low income", but poor grades.
>
Ahhh - now I understand!

>> Public schooling is based on the idea (Jefferson?) that a
>> representative govt. requires an educated populace. Also based on the
>> pragmatic idea that money invested in educating the masses is paid
>> back in productivity many times over. The past 225+ years of US
>> history kinda back that up.
>
>I was talking to a co-worker's teenage kid at the company picnic
>once. He said school wasn't worth the hassle. I said that if he
>sticks with it, he can get a better paying job later on. "You'll
>get your payoff, I get paid about 8 times what I'd get flipping
>burgers, and I stuck thru the school and college thing. The
>government funds the schools because when you're grown up
>you'll get better paying jobs and pay more income tax. You win,
>and they win." That's easier to understand and buy than saying
>that knowing about Shakespeare and the arts is good for you.

Eggzactly! Add to that generally lower unemployment for grads, and generally
better benefits.

>> > Private schools can attract teachers with the best
>> > academic credentials, and they usually produce the results which do
>> > tend to create students destined to occupy the "upper" class in our
>> > society.
>>
>> SOME private schools can do that - mostly universities.
>
>And don't forget that college profs don't really care if the students
>pass or not. It doesn't show in their yearly review (or equivalent).
>What is reviewed is their research projects and papers published
>and how much NSF funding they fetch for the college. Thus most
>profs see teaching undergraduates as a big waste of their time, like

>taking out the garbage. And when they get tenure....
>
Too true!

But when we are talking about public education, private schools are often held
up as some sort of paradise that the public schools should copy. What's
forgotten is how the private schools don't play the same game, let alone obey
the same rules.
>>
>> The main problems with public schooling are:
>>
>> 1) The public schools have to serve every single student in the
>> district. Private schools can pick and choose who they accept. This
>> means the private schools cherry-pick the students with lots of
>> resources. Private schools can also refuse to accept, and expel at
>> will any student that doesn't meet their requirements. Public schools
>> can't.
>
>School vouchers are little more than a scam. There's only so many
>seats in a school. And the private schools are full anyway. Vouchers
>will just make it cheaper for the parents of kids already there, or
>allow the private schools to raise their rates. And that just drains
>off money from the public schools. So low income parents get
>shafted as their kids get even crappier schooling.
>
Yup. I'd be all for vouchers if the private schools had to play by the same
rules as the public - for example, having to take any kid that wants in,
regardless of special needs.

>> 2) The public schools do not have direct control over their funding,
>> but are directly controlled in their requirements. Public schools have
>> to answer to at least three levels of mandates (district, state and
>> federal). But most of their mandates are "bricks without straw" - the
>> mandating body tells them what they must do, but doesn't give them
>> resources to do it.
>
>Unfunded mandates....
>
Almost a redundant phrase, isn't it? Note also that high real estate taxes
don't necessarily mean great schools. Example: Township A has lots of
high-value real estate and relatively few homes with public school kids in
them. Even a low school tax rate results in tons of revenue. Township B has
lots of houses, most of them full of kids, and much less commercial real
estate. Same tax rate as Township A results in much less $$ per kid.
>>
>> > I believe this is a basic flaw in our public
>> > education system. The teachers are people who have never had to
>> > make a living doing anything but teaching.
>>
>> How is that a bad thing? Have you ever taught a class of, say, 30
>> fourth graders, all with different personalities and needs, meeting

>> all of the various requirements and requests from all of the governing
>> bodies? And do it to a tight schedule, with a limited budget, for an
>> entire school year?
>
>30 is too many kids. That happens because that is how many kids
>will physically fit in a standard size classroom without the fire
>marshal freaking out.

I agree it's too many, but it all comes down to a $$ thing. Again.
>
>> Try it some time and tell me it's not a serious job.
>>
>> > I think this needs to be
>> > changed. The college I attended (Wilmington College, Delaware) is
>> > a model of the way things should be. I was a "non-traditional" student
>> > who attended classes at night, being taught primarily by adjunct
>> > faculty who were working professionals in the fields they taught. It
>> > made a big difference, and they were able to impart knowledge in a
>> > much more effective manner because they really knew what they
>> > were talking about.
>>
>> University level education is an entirely different thing. The classes
>> are short (three hours a week, typical) and subject-specific. The
>> university is not required to accept anyone they don't want to accept.
>> Students have to pay their way, and are expected to bring appropriate
>> background knowledge and skills to class. If a student doesn't do the
>> work, they fail, tough noogies.
>
>And such students know why they are taking those classes, and thus
>motivated.

Often they are paying their own way, too.

>But in grammar school kids don't see the point of it, especially
>stuff like knowing trivia about King Louie the 6th or memorizing poems
>about trees. I ran across a poem I had to memorize in grammar school,
>and as an adult it didn't make anymore sense now than it did in school.
>Okay, I'm not an english major, but a good friend who graduated as
>an english major at Notre Dame said it didn't make sense to him
>either. The teacher said "memorize this poem, you are required to
>parrot it back in class tomorrow." We parroted the thing, and then
>moved on to something else. No analyzing the poem, what it meant,
>or such. What's the point of memorizing the damn thing, then?

Nothing at all. We had to do the same thing in school. They made us memorize
them because it was easy for the nuns to check our progress that way.
>
>> Are you saying that elementary school should be taught that way?
>
>Ideally in early grammar school you'd want to get the kids to
>want to learn stuff.

'Round here, the kids LOVE school. I'm not kidding - they're motivated. The little kids cry on the last day of school because the school year is over and they have to wait three months for it to start again. When I was a little kid we cried on the first day of school....

>And somewhere in high school it's more
>up to the kids to study, and if they don't, they look forward to
>a career flipping burgers. In grammar school I had no idea what
>my father did at work other than that he caught the bus in the
>morning, did "something" in an "office", and returned home for
>dinner.

My dad had his own business for 30+ years, and took me to work with him on Saturdays, some Sundays and some vacation days. Typical day was at least 12 hours work. Boy did I learn some stuff (not many 12 years olds could spot weld, but I could). Convinced me early on that education was important....

>School was little more than doing stuff that teachers
>wanted because if you didn't they'd beat the crap out of you.

Yup.

>A 4th grade teacher assigned so much homework you wouldn't
>get done until 10PM. Lots of written work and reading the
>textbooks. I did the written because that produced hard
>copy to prove you did it, but she couldn't prove that I didn't
>do the reading (I read it but forgot...).

Ya broke da code!

>Once I had to get
>the spelling class list of words and I called the teacher at home.
>Next day she was pissed that I bothered her. But she didn't
>mind bothering me with all that homework..... (I reciprocate
>I see no reason to be polite to you if you're rude to me.)

GAWD, that took big ones! We'd never have called a teacher at home fofr any reason - the paybacks would be ten times worse than not doing the work! We kids would just call each other and get the list.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4460. Re: k1man QRMing = new 14.313 ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 01:39:49 UTC
From: Rollo <webmechanic@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <oprh1ixwzauoy5bj@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58700
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

On 22 Dec 2002 17:37:50 GMT, Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote:
nd
> Jammers are the modern equivalent of horse theives.

And K1MAN is the modern-day equivalent of the village idiot.

Doesn't make any of them right.

4461. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 01:57:59 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <637081BFB9A89847.D634B5723F0B7938.4B4444B06ADD2688@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58603

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E08BAF5.43856A70@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3E087F89.AE97B1CF@earthlink.net...
> > > W5TIT wrote:
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > I offered you evidence--which obviously pissed you
> > > > off... :)
> > >
> > > You offered no evidence at all. You offered a non-documentary movie.
> > > You still haven't told us: Do you feel like a sheep being raped of
your
> > > rights by your government? Do you embrace the views of a homemade
> > > lawyer over those of a former attorney for the FCC?
>
> >
> > That's just simply choosing to ignore the evidence, Dave--it does not
> > invalidate it.
>
> A "based on a true story" movie is not evidence, Kim.
>

Yes it is, Dave. For me, it is. You're statement "I don't believe you"
prompted the submission and you simply choose to ignore it. Maybe this will
be "real" enough for you:

http://carbon.cudenver.edu/~bstith/loren.htm

> >
> > I will leave the part of the discussion about sheep up to you.
>
> So as usual, you jump in with nothing to add to what's actually being
> discussed or debated.
>

Hey, you're the one who validated the comment about the story by saying "I
don't believe you." If you don't want to validate a particular portion of a
post, then don't comment on it. It is the *only* part of the post I was
interested in getting involved with. Whether you choose to see that as
"adding" to what's actually being discussed, is incidental. You discussed
it by making your rhetorical comment about it.
```

> >
> > As to my
> > embracing the thoughts of anyone--it depends upon their point of view,
> > *REGARDLESS* of their avocation or profession.
>
> Again, you haven't commented at all upon what was being discussed, you
> chose to give us a movie review.
>
> Dave K8MN
>

Once again, you're wrong. I haven't reviewed the movie at all.

Kim W5TIT

4462. Re: What I asked Santa for.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 02:03:08 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <AC1E96838277C2C8.CDAD0A0B5EB1C669.742998CA8070637F@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58602

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:3GaO9.4714$ka5.541383@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> I didn't ask anything for myself.
>
> For K3LT, I asked for an engraved Kent TP-1.
> For N2EY, the latest and greatest fully integrated soldering station.
> For N0IMD, the return of his lost T5 logs.
> For K4YZ, a 24-pack of KIWI boot polish, and 30 days of R&R to fix his
sour
> attitude.
> For W5TIT, a sense of humor.
>

Hans, you have got to be kidding. I dare you to say to anyone who knows me (and I mean here in town who really know me) that I don't have a sense of humor! You're the first person who's ever said anything like that...LOL But, thank you.

>
> For LHA, a life membership in AFCEA.
> For K8MN, a sense of humor.
>

HA!!! Now, that's more like it.

>
> For N0VFP, a girl friend or a personally autographed picture of K4ZDH.
> For WA8ULX, a new CB rig.
> For KC0ODY, a "newcomer of the year" plaque.
> For W4NTI, a top-10 win in ARRL SS.
>
> For everyone else I forgot, 20 new countries on their DXCC totals.
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
> http://www.mnyarc.org
> http://www.k0bsa.org
>
>

4463. Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 02:13:20 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <F5E6318EC00A9E6D.227154C178F3AC93.B7F12FBAF103DD0C@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58601

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021225191913.29583.00000269@mb-mn.aol.com...
> >Subject: Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...
> >From: "K&#65533;HB" k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG
> >Date: 12/25/02 11:02 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <swlO9.6147$b97.640312@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
>
> >Speaking of RANTS! Sheesh!
> >
> >Vshah asked a reasonable question for a newcomer. You supplied the rant!
>
> Hans, was there some need to re-post the entire post in order to
retort
> with three sentences?
>

Steve, gads...do you realize how really cantankerous you're getting?

Kim W5TIT

4464. Re: Goals for 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 02:15:16 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <93355F86B68C74F9.B0DB0041664AEE03.AB883E798869F28D@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58604

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021225212148.22260.00000701@mb-md.aol.com...
> In article
<6431E65264E44BD0.2BC4AA10F8406422.3C20A7ADDB1CD697@lp.airnews.net>,
> "W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>
> >> Brian:
> >>
> >> Pretty cool! In my 20 years as a ham, I've only once used more than
> >> "barefoot" power. But that's out of necessity, not choice! Maybe
> >> someday I'll be a "big gun," but for now, I'm still having fun with my
> >> low-power "peanut whistle" station! Even as an apartment dweller,
> >> I put something in my logbook every day -- which is a lot more than
> >> I can say for a lot of hams I know that live on acres of property!
> >>
> >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >>
> >
> >Ya lost me on that one, Larry. So, you put these contacts in your
logbook
> >to satisfy to yourself that you are doing more than other hams? Or, are
you
> >simply having a good time on radio? Or, was it a defensive posture for
> >living in an apartment. You always seem so apologetic about your living
and
> >working conditions...
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
>
> Kim, dear, just what IS your problem??? I wasn't "apologizing" about
> a damn thing! I merely made an observation that even as an apartment
> dweller, I have not been severely limited as an amateur radio operator!
> In fact, I'm rather proud of what I have achieved! Some people (like
myself)
> simply prefer the relative simplicity of apartment living -- which is not
to
> say I plan to do it for the rest of my life, by any means! However, if I
do --
> would you PUHLEEZE mind if I make my own choices?
>
> Why must you always read the totally wrong things into simply everything
> that everyone says in this newsgroup? Has it ever occurred to just once
> let something go without one your typically puerile remarks?
>

> BTW I wish you and your family a very Merry Christmas and a Happy
> New Year!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>

Is that anything like cursing all week and then going to Communion? You're a fake, Larry.

Kim W5TIT

4465. Re: Ham Running For Office - Orange County, California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 02:33:50 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021226213350.03151.00000935@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 58605

In article <hehi0vcugugvrnjsihauc1fsl3fa22fqic@4ax.com>, Mike Coslo
<mcoslo@adelphia.net> writes:

>> So, you SUPPORT voting for a person based solely upon party
>affiliation,
>>NOT upon thier qualifications, character or convictions?
>
> There is a thing called Yellow dog Democrats, and second
>coming Republicans.
>
> The Yellow dog Democrats would vote for a yellow dog over any
>Republican, and the Second Coming Republicans wouldn't fot for a
>Democrat if he came down from heaven announced by angels blowing a
>trumpet fanfare.
>
> Proud to be an independant, but fascinated that neither party
>will allow me to vote in the primaries.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Hey, watch it! I resemble that remark about "Second Coming" Republicans!
However, I'd vote for a Democrat as long as he/she was Pro Life, Pro-
Second Amendment, anti-big government, Pro-Military, and generally
conservative on social issues. I wouldn't care if he/she were a bit of
an Environmentalist, because I'm one as well -- but I wouldn't let a few
Spotted Owls or some Snail Darters get in the way of legitimate progress
or cause land owners to lose the use of their property! I have voted for
such Democrats in the past, but not lately (the last couple of decades).

73 de Larry, K3LT

4466. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 02:33:50 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021226213350.03151.00000936@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 58606

In article <20021225103649.08991.00000233@mb-ct.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) writes:

>
>(snipped to avoid further boredom)
>>
>>I get the pernt.
>
>Finally!
>
>> Keep yer steenkin' trap dipole!
>
>"Steenkin"? That design has worked for me at two QTH's and several Field
>Days.
>Cost me maybe $10, mostly for the coax. Look up my SS and FD results over the
>past several years.

Jim:

That was a JOKE, son! Don't go Steve/Brian on me now!

>Have you done better with a similar low-cost antenna?

My primary antenna is a "dual band" 20/10 meter parallel dipole built from approximately 35 cents worth of scrap wire purchased at a radio club auction almost ten years ago. I've used it to make thousands of CW and digital QSO's. I can't honestly say that counts as "doing better" than you've done with your trap dipole, but there you have it.

>>Sorry I spoke! <BSEG>
>>
>>
>>However, I would suggest swapping the RG-8X with some nice LMR-400!
>>Kinda pricey, but very low loss! On HF, even the LMR-240 (same O.D.
>>as the 8X) would be a big improvement!
>
>How much less loss (in dB) will those coaxes have on 80, 40 and 20 meters,
>assuming a line length of 40 feet and SWR of 3:1 or less, compared to good
>quality (not RS) RG-8X? How much more weight on the antenna support?
>
>73 de Jim, N2EY

I think the Times Microwave web site has a loss calculator, if I remember correctly. I don't remember the URL, but I'm sure a search on Times Microwave LMR coaxial cable would dig it up for you. Yes, the LMR 240 will weigh significantly more than RG-8X. However, the lower loss may make

a difference, particularly on receive when trying to pull in a QRP station.
As always, your mileage may vary.

Merry Christmas!

73 de Larry, K3LT

4467. Re: Kevin Mitnick gets his ham license back!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 02:35:05 UTC
From: Irreligious <fire896@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <t%OO9.273247$gB.52755110@twister.nyc.rr.com>
Source record: 58607
Cross-posted to: alt.2600,rec.radio.amateur.policy

haha, and that free kevin shit was so gay also.
"news" <krpdm2112NOSPAM@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:yXNO9.1203$NZ7.730687655@newssvr11.news.prodigy.com...
>
> "Doug Thompson" <dmt822@nospam.ncvillage.net> wrote in message
> news:285203555.20385F03A1@taranews.net...
> > The great hacker Kevin Mitnick (N6NHG)
>
> I don't see what was so great about him, he was messing around where he
> shouldn't have been, got caught, spent time in jail, and hasn't legally
been
> able to touch a computer for what? about 10 years? What makes him so
great?
>
> Now the bumbling idiot is getting rich off of a book, about lying and
> deceiving people. Great Guy.
>
>
>

4468. Re: Something for everyone...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 02:39:18 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212261839.1691bd2f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58608

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021226174252.03249.00000654@mb-mq.aol.com>...
> >btw, an 8 year old passed the "old" Extra back about six years. All 5
> >writtens and 20 wpm code.
>
> And Brian cant pass the Extra. What does that say about 8 year olds and Brian

It says that the 8 year old can out-do Bruce, and Bruce had to ride
the coattails of NCI to get Extra.

4469. Re: Something for everyone...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 02:42:18 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212261842.3c2a1fd3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58609

N2EY@AOL.COM (N2EY) wrote in message
news:<c2356669.0212261438.6054b9cb@posting.google.com>...
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0B071C.EFE82B90@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E095527.4E083F22@earthlink.net>...
> > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > > 5. Nine-year-old makes Extra.
> > > > >
> > > > > Much to Leonard H. Anderson's chagrin.
> > > >
> > > So?
> > >
> > So we can't have mere children operating radio equipment without adult
> > supervision according to Leonard H.
> >
> So? He doesn't make the rules - the FCC does.

Was there ever any doubt? Any at all?

Can you come up with a list of other folks posting on here who don't
make up the rules?

4470. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 02:54:39 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <61D736DA87E2D2C2.AC3DBDEC00FBA672.136E639AE83298B3@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58612

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0212260955.5fed2357@posting.google.com...
> "Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<uvdgpe4adjr896@corp.supernews.com>...
> > "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> > news:20021210223707.04125.00000278@mb-da.aol.com...
> > > In article <uvd1gheui81q0d@corp.supernews.com>, " Kim"
> > > <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>
> (Sorry I dropped this, Kim - I thought I'd replied already!)
>

heh heh heh...I hadn't even missed it if you had or hadn't. I'm going to
try to snip stuff, if I can...this is so long *I* can hardly keep up!

[SNIP]
> > > >
> > > >You literally would not believe how many women about fall over when I
pull
> > > >the checkbook out of my purse. They think I stole it and am going to
have to
> > > >say 1000s of Hail Marys when I get back home. Sheesh...
> > >
> > > You gotta be kidding!!!
> >
> > Not at all, Jim, not at all.
>
> Boggles my mind.
>

Well, there's even men like that (that hand over their paychecks each week
to the little woman *snarf*). But, my experience sees a lot of women who
have to ask if they can spend money.

[SNIP]
> >
> > The irony of all this is that these are women
> > who live in or near the Big D. One would think that city women would be
far
> > more independent...wouldn't they?
>
> All depends on where and how they grew up, perhaps. Where they live
> now may not be an accurate indicator of where they're from.
>

Yeah, that's true. That's why I've never been able to figure out if it's a Southern vs. Northern, country vs. city, or whatever. It's kind of hard to ask someone where they were raised without them asking why--and I don't really feel like getting into a debate or discussion with them about this whole thing. Heck, I'm certain there's some folks whose husbands would have me as public enemy number one.

> >
> > No joke...the first time all the
> > brothers-in-law (3 of 'em) and my father-in-law and my husband were going to
> > go hunting I came up with the idea for all us women to have our big garage
> > sale we'd been talking about.
>
> Lessee - the guys go off and have fun for a couple days while the
> wimmenfolk do a bunch of work and turn some unneeded items into cash.
> Where's the downside?
>

Well, Hell, Jim! We women might get robbed, raped, beat, might fall in love with some fine looking man who wants to buy our wares, the list (and imagination) goes on!

[SNIP]
> > b) a woman to beat,
>
> Ummm, perhaps you meant to write "to boot"?
>

heh heh, yeah probably.

[SNIP]
> > Suffice it to say that moment was a bit more liberating for my mother-in-law
> > than my father-in-law would have intended.
>
> What was his problem? Was he afraid you'd sell off things he wanted to
> keep? Or afraid you'd have some independent $$?
>

See the list above, and add to whatever you can come up with--because I am sure each of those men has a list as long as from their fingertips to their elbows!

[SNIP]
> > > But the stats do support such a theory.....The women finally get sick of
> > > it and split?
> >
> > Ya know what, Jim? Sadly, I really think what happens is most of the men
> > end up in extra-marital affairs (usually for a fresh, squishy woman) and

> > leaves for her (the other woman).
>
> Still a mystery to me. Not that I doubt what you're saying, just that
> it makes so little sense.
> >

I agree.

> >
> > You'll giggle at this. My fine, Southern gentleman that I married; when
we
> > first were going together--about a few weeks after we'd begun dating--he
put
> > his finger up at me one night and said I would not be going to any bars
with
> > my girlfriends.
>
> OK. Did he say why not?
>

Oh, yeah. The diatribe was always perfunctory and practiced. Women need
men--for protection and all kinds of other things.

> >
> > I stopped dead in my tracks, nicely turned around and
> > walked back toward him and, very nicely and politely told him that I'd
been
> > in bars before without him, handled creeps without him, and live through
it
> > without him--and if he intended for us to go any further, he'd start
> > training himself not to have that kind of insecurity. Took 'im about
two
> > years but he finally realized and knows that I love only him, admire him
and
> > adore him and there isn't a soul or other man who'd pull me away.
>
> Seems to me there's nothing wrong with negotiating certain things with
> one's main squeeze, as long as it's not a double standard. Double
> standard as in "she doesn't go to bars with her girlfriends but he
> goes to bars with his buddies."
>

Well, true on negotiating...or not agreeing to be someone's soulmate if they
are contrary to the lifestyle of the one they say they love. For instance,
if something really, really means that much to me--no matter what it is--I
don't want to have to negotiate. I want my husband to have the faith and
understanding that I am compassionate, understanding, and respectful enough
to make the right decision--but understand that sometimes that right
decision is for me first and us second. Same way for him. Now, before you
go off thinking I am being really ridiculous on that, here's an example:

I have always, always wanted to go to space. It has never been considered by me as within the realm of possible. My husband completely loses it at the thought of me going to space (I gave him the option, in my theoretical example to go with me; he did not want to). So, the question is--do I go? He must have enough understanding, compassion, and respect for me to understand that I cannot let "us" stand in the way of going to space. I understand that he may not like it, but it is his fear of my not coming back that he doesn't want me to go. To me, the risk of not coming back does not weigh much against the absolute once in a lifetime chance of gazing down on this Earth from out there. I could not let "us" be the weighting factor...I would go--*with* the perfect understanding that he may not want to be married when I get back.

Hmmmm, that's a really weird example, but I hope it's illustrative.

>
> Was he ready to stop going to bars wtih his buddies if you'd give up
> doing the same with your girlfriends?
>
> > Women can take care of themselves.
>
> Some PEOPLE can take care of themselves. Others can't.
>
> > Mind you, I am far from a women's
> > libber. In fact, I can't stand 'em.
>
> Ya gotta define what you mean by "women's libber". Most of what you've
> described in this discussion is the very essence of "women's lib":
>

An oppressive bitch. I am not an oppressive bitch, I don't want to wear the pants in the family, I like the door opened for me, I like a man buying my meal, etc., etc. I am not demeaned in any way by a man treating me like a woman. I am angry at *anyone* who thinks they should rule.

>
> - Financial independence for women
> - Equality in relationships
> - Skills/behaviors/roles determined by interests and abilities, not
> predetermined gender images
>

Yeah, weird, huh, but every women's libber I've really ever been exposed to is just on this "woman" kick--and won't shut up about it.

> >
> > BUT, I can stand up for myself.
>
> There ya go - pure "libber" talk
>
> > And,I can change my own tires.
>
> More of the same! That's not a bad thing.

> >

No, I don't think it is, either. But, I don't make a show of it, either. I just get out of the car, open the trunk and change my tire. I don't whine about it all day once I've done it...LOL

[SNIP]
> >
> > heh heh heh. Wonder if that's 'cause the guys are crappy at choosing, or
> > the women are?
>
> Perhaps. Or maybe it's a bunch of other factors:
>
> - getting hitched too young
> - mismatched expectations of what the other person is supposed to do
> - availability of cheaters
>
> Or maybe here's the big one: Often the very thing that makes someone
> attractive when being pursued makes them insufferable once caught.
> Example: Some women like a man who "takes charge" and "knows what he
> wants" and is "independent" and "charming". But when they actually GET
> such a man, they discover that "takes charge" translates into "wants
> to be the boss all the time" and "knows what he wants" includes "acts
> like a spoiled child when he doesn't get it". "Independent" and
> "charming" are fun until she realizes they equate to "undependable"
> and "flirts with any female that will listen to his drivel".
>

Yep, yep, and triple yep. All the things I found attractive in my husband and father of my two children--only to realize I was in an insufferable marriage six months into it. I stayed for three years, waiting for him to change, or for me to get better at accepting how he was.

[SNIP]
> >
> > HAW--to use your term!!!!!!! Man, you got that right. Remember that
> > callsign PATTOEY?
>
> Yup. But think about it seriously for a sec - if one is barraged by
> descriptions of cheatin' sweethearts, might it not become somewhat
> more acceptable? Or at least seem more normal?
> >

Laughing hysterically!!!! A bunch of us Yankee women used to have that very conversation years ago when we were all first down here.

[SNIP]
> > I am sitting here laughing at what I am going to say: no, it's that more and
> > more of us Yankees moved in.
>

> But it's the Yankees that have the low divorce rate!
>

Well, derned it all. I bet the divorce rate lowers, then!!!

>
> Or maybe it's the language barrier. After all, men and women speak
> different languages, though they use the same words. Example of word
> definitions:
>
> "Navy" Men: Military organization involving ships and related
> facilities
> Women: Dark blue
>
> "Clutch" Men: Mechanical device for controlled transmission of rotary
> motion
> Women: Purse without a strap
>
> "Pump" Men: Device for moving or compressing liquids or gases
> Women: Shoe with a defined heel
>
> "Blazer" Men: Type of vehicle made by Chevrolet
> Women: Jacket that can be coordinated with pants or a skirt
>
> "Pick up" Men: To raise by grasping
> Women: Buy
>
> "Hose" Men: Flexible tube for handling liquids or gases
> Women: Socks or stockings
>
> There are lots more.
>

Yeah, but see...my take on that is that those people who think like the
above haven't had a discussion with each other. Once I know a man thinks
like _______ and once he understands that I think like _______, all that's
left for us to do is be aware and sensitive to those differences. Dave/K8MN
will roll his eyes back at that one, but it's true, as far as I'm concerned.

[SNIP]
>
> > (By the way--and you knew this you just forgot--those folks who all have
the
> > common goal? They're always around you...not just on Sundays)
> >
> Oh yes! More than ya might imagine.
>
>
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

Oh, I doubt it's bigger than my imagination...<BEG>

Kim W5TIT

4471. Re: Ham Running For Office - Orange County, California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 02:54:44 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3E0BC0F4.563177FF@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 58610

>
> So, you SUPPORT voting for a person based solely upon party affiliation,
> NOT upon thier qualifications, character or convictions?

In some parts of New Jersey, a candidate with convictions can
still get elected. Convictions for bribery, racketeering, etc.... :-)

4472. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 02:59:03 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212261859.7978f912@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58611

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3E0B065F.7C9D78E8@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E095224.CF5A7D55@earthlink.net>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E08BB32.9C353F53@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:<atv9qi314v2@enews2.newsguy.com>...
> > > > > > > This is similar, but different to the other post, but what are your
> > > > > > > equipment goals for 2003? Are you going to purchase any new gear of any
> > > > > > > kind related to amateur radio or radio in general? Are you planning on
> > > > > > > constructing any new gear?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Happy Holidays!
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > A 12-pack of 1n34a's. Brian
> > > > > >
> > > > > Constructing those should provide a real challenge for you.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > >
> > > > It should provide a challenge for some 8 year old boys. Its called scouting.
> >
> > > > You got a problem with that?
> > > >
> > > Constructing a 12-pack of 1N34A's is called scouting? 'tis a different
> > > world in which you dwell.
> > >
> > Yes, very different from your world, Dave. I am a leader.
> >
> Ah, yes. I've often heard others say, "That Brian is a real leader
> because he has told us so". I can picture a group of young fellows
> following you, mostly out of a sense of mild curiousity as to what
> you'll do or say next.

Sometimes we do skits, where they make hand motions or noises when a
certain word or name in the story is said, other times we conduct
relay races or other feats of skill, and other times we mess with
stuff like maps and compasses.

> > I freely
> > give my time to help keep these boys pointed in the right direction.
>
> That's nice.

Yes it is. Thank you.

Some of us have given of our time in an effort to get you
> pointed in the right direction, so far to no avail.

I know my path. It's different than your path. The path you follow
is all about you. Mine is not that way.

> > They learn about many things in scouting, and 1n34a are just a part of
> > it.
>
> There's a monumental understatement for you.

Or you, for that matter.

> > They'll learn what they can do, and they'll learn what a 1n34a
> > can do. If you're interested in changing the curriculum, you'll have
> > to get involved yourself.
>
> I'm working on an idea for actually manufacturing 1N34As to save the
> young fellows from having to roll their own.

I haven't found them locally. I'm open to suggestions.

> > But it might take you away from those out of band Frenchmen.
>
> Wanna play a game of "bootlegging from Somalia"?
>
> Dave K8MN

Sure. Call me when you get there.

4473. Re: Something for everyone...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 03:16:46 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021226221646.06330.00000428@mb-fp.aol.com>
Source record: 58613

>It says that the 8 year old can out-do Bruce,

You are so full of it, what it says is Brian is to dumb to do what an 8 Year old did.It also says Brian would still be a No-Code CBer if the FCC hadnt given him a Free Handout.

Bruce had to ride
>the coattails of NCI to get Extra.
>
>
And what this says is, Brian is to Dumb to take advantage of the give away Extra. It also shows how stupid you really are. Its amazing that you are stupid enough to believe NCI was the reason for Dumbing Down. Wake up it was the FCC who did what they wanted to do along. The only thing NCI did for you, was take your money, and brain wash you into believing Karl the looser was something special.

4474. Re: Season's Greetings!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 03:34:15 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021226223415.03151.00000940@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 58615

In article <LmaO9.4680$ka5.539123@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:

>
>"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote
>
>: ....a very Blessed and Merry Christmas and a very Happy New
>: Year!
>
>Same to you, Larry. I hope Santa brings you a gleaming new set of Kent
>paddles, with K3LT artfully engraved in the brass trunion.
>
>dit dit

Hansl:

Thanks! Santa already gave me a new car this year, so it's too late for the Kent paddles! I'll just have to keep going with my 20-year old standard model Bencher BY-1! I hope Santa was generous to you and your XYL!

BTW how did you do in the 10-meter contest? Just saw your line in the "Logs received" listing while checking for my own and Kï¿½UWO's. I managed 169 CW QSO's and 51 mults for 34,680 -- which was about a 33,000 point increase from my score last year! All done with a dipole on my apartment balcony (just for Kim's sake!).

73 de Larry, K3LT

4475. Re: What I asked Santa for.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 03:34:15 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021226223415.03151.00000939@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 58617

In article <3GaO9.4714$ka5.541383@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB"
<kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:

>
>I didn't ask anything for myself.
>
>For K3LT, I asked for an engraved Kent TP-1.
>For N2EY, the latest and greatest fully integrated soldering station.
>For N0IMD, the return of his lost T5 logs.
>For K4YZ, a 24-pack of KIWI boot polish, and 30 days of R&R to fix his sour
>attitude.
>For W5TIT, a sense of humor.
>For LHA, a life membership in AFCEA.
>For K8MN, a sense of humor.
>For N0VFP, a girl friend or a personally autographed picture of K4ZDH.
>For WA8ULX, a new CB rig.
>For KC0ODY, a "newcomer of the year" plaque.
>For W4NTI, a top-10 win in ARRL SS.
>
>For everyone else I forgot, 20 new countries on their DXCC totals.
>
>ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB

Hansl:

Thank you for your most generous and thoughtful request on my
behalf. However, can I trade the 20 new (and confirmed!) DXCC
countries for the TP-1? My trusty 'ole standard grade Bencher
BY-1 is more than adequate!

73 de Larry, K3LT

4476. Re: Goals for 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 03:34:16 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021226223416.03151.00000942@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 58614

In article <93355F86B68C74F9.B0DB0041664AEE03.AB883E798869F28D@lp.airnews.net>,
"W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>> Why must you always read the totally wrong things into simply everything
>> that everyone says in this newsgroup? Has it ever occurred to just once
>> let something go without one your typically puerile remarks?
>>
>> BTW I wish you and your family a very Merry Christmas and a Happy
>> New Year!
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>>
>>
>
>Is that anything like cursing all week and then going to Communion? You're
>a fake, Larry.
>
>Kim W5TIT

Kim:

Ahhhhhh, yet ANOTHER puerile remark! Well, you're nothing if not
consistent!

Happy New Year!

73 de Larry, K3LT

4477. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 03:34:16 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021226223416.03151.00000941@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 58616

In article <f45722ac.0212260825.7bfa4eb2@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>Basically, a jerk on RRAP = A jerk on the air, no matter how hard you
>and Larry try to say it isn't so. No wonder you're such a big backer
>of the 11.8 second QSO. At about 12 seconds people catch on that
>you're a blow hard jerk, then they QSY. I really wish you could have
>good amateur radio experiences like I've had, but the ball's in your
>court. I hope you figure it out before SK time.
>
>73, Brian

Brian:

I'm sure it's entirely possible that you're not a jerk on the air! However,
if there's ever a case that could prove that what you say is right, I'm
sure you must be it!

Happy New Year!

73 de Larry, K3LT

4478. Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 03:56:42 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <d6jn0vssdr2fkchoapm407ro7oa8tgj0p4@4ax.com>
Source record: 58618

On 25 Dec 2002 14:39:04 GMT, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote:

>>Subject: Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?
>>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>>Date: 12/24/02 11:47 PM Central Standard Time
>>Message-id: <20021225004723.18639.00000184@mb-cq.aol.com>
>>
>>I would go in the opposite direction. Remove the CQ. How about just using
>>ordinary conversation instead of unneeded codewords?
>
> Here you go again with this silly rant about "uneeded codewords".
>
> WHAT "codewords", Vipul?
>
> There are NO "codewords" here. Every "Q" signal and procedural signal
>used by Amateur Radio operators is internationally understood. In SOME cases
>it is those very abbreviations that MAKE international communication possible.

Right. Not everyone speaks "proper" English. A ham who speaks
almost no english can carry on a QSO (oops- make that a two-way
conversation sorry for the codeword 8^)) with just about any other
ham. The reverse is also true.

I suppose you could call them "codeword". But it is one that
is designed to be understandable to as many people as possible.
Perhaps anti codewords is more accurate.

The words are not needed on repeaters, where the bulk of Techs
spend the bulk of their time (a bit of conjecture on my part)

And it isn't considered "best practice", but I think hams can
be forgiven that - with the exception of saying Hi Hi instead of
actually laughing, which I have to admit, gets on my nerves.

I think Vipul just needs to broaden his outlook.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4479. Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 04:10:41 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <44kn0v88mogll3sfjkev9s2p29tlhd88cd@4ax.com>
Source record: 58619

On 26 Dec 2002 01:16:32 GMT, vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) wrote:

>From: "Kï¿½HB"
>>Do you object (as in "complain") when someone else does it, or do you simply
>>avoid doing it yourself?
>
>I object when others use unneeded codewords. It keeps others out of the
>conversation. I have learned many of the codewords. I don't use it myself. I
>say low power, not "QRP".

You could try asking "what does QRP mean?" That usually works
for me.

All these "Q" signs are published in many places. They are in
the back of the ARRL amateur handbook.

Some web sources are:

http://www.plexoft.com/SBF/q-signs.html

http://larc.unl.edu/qsign.html

http://home.earthlink.net/~k7bfl/intqsig.html

- Mike KB3EIA -

4480. Re: Christmas Wish

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 04:28:35 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <v9ln0v0e039sqpf10e0oirldqdper5k4du@4ax.com>
Source record: 58620

On 25 Dec 2002 11:39:59 -0800, oneangryman@hotmail.com (One Angry Man) wrote:

>And how do I know that you are "Steve, K4YZ"?
>You could be anyone just taking over the name
>and callsign of the real K4YZ. Just like I could
>grab one of the local hams name and callsign that
>don't have internet access and start posting as
>them. How do I or anyone else KNOW that you
>are who YOU say YOU are?

Hey, Philosophy! 8^) Now we're getting some where.

I'm pretty sure he is Steve because I can do a lookup, (though I haven't) I can and have sent him personal email. And he answers. People who steal identities usually do it in a hit and run manner.

>I could post my name and my callsign and you
>would still claim that I was not who I said I was.
>I'm still not going to put my call or name
>anywhere that starts with http or www or ftp for
>you or anyone else.

NO one is forcing you to. It's just that your posts will recieve a lot less credance. If that's okay with you, its fine with me.

Just like when people complain about politics but don't vote.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4481. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 04:33:58 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <2vln0v40r5eh2ktnjsh459gtm9htme3q7q@4ax.com>
Source record: 58621

On Thu, 26 Dec 2002 18:55:02 -0500, "Bill Newkirk"
<wnewkirk@bellsouth.net> wrote:

>color codes?
>
>where's there room on surface mount resistors for color codes? They just put
>the value on the part.
>
>and the 1% parts usually had a value printed on 'em.

You mean kind of like what I said in the third sentence of my
post? *^)

- Mike -

>
>"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>news:1dum0v42udrufioof1lpsrc902sm8jt5t1@4ax.com...
>> On 25 Dec 2002 05:47:23 GMT, vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) wrote:
>>
>> >I would go in the opposite direction. Remove the CQ. How about just using
>> >ordinary conversation instead of unneeded codewords?
>>
>> While we're at it, why don't they remove those annoying codes
>> on resistors. None of that dumb color code stuff. Or even worse, that
>> confusing number scheme where three on the end of the imprint doesn't
>> mean three, it means 3 zero's. Sheesh, the resistor people must be
>> trying to confuse people and keep then out of the resistor reading
>> club! 8^)
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>

4482. Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 04:36:00 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <o3mn0v8idduqk3gf8iie52son5rg8o1h9f@4ax.com>
Source record: 58622

On Thu, 26 Dec 2002 15:34:34 -0500, "Jim Hampton"
<aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote:

>Bogey - isn't that over par in golf? ;) I swear that the newsgroups are
>more fun than reruns of the 3 stooges. Go quaff a tall cool 807.

It's a little thingy that sometimes hangs out of a persons
nose, I think. ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

4483. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 04:42:39 UTC
From: george craig <geocraig@worldnet.att.net>
Message-ID: <3TQO9.80235$hK4.6590303@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 58623
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,alt.radio.pirate,rec.radio.shortwave

Check out this page www.barefootsworld.net/usfraud.html

Trent Creekmore <tcreek@gt.rr.com> wrote in message
news:%7tN9.42200$Nz5.1043453@twister.austin.rr.com...
>
> "> Thank God that Al Gore is now part of our national history. My
> > greatest fear is like Frankenstein's Monster, someday a lunatic
> > politico could revive him to a semblance of life. :-)
> >
> > Harry C.
> >
> > p.s. Al Gore was really funny on Saturday Night Live, largely because
> > most of the viewers know who he is and what he stands for. Were he not
> > a well known political figure however, he would have come across as
> > just another untalented dork! Think about it and see if you don't
> > agree.
>
>
> He is just another version of Dan Quayle
>
>

4484. Re: Ham Running For Office - Orange County, California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 05:37:48 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7rb50w.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58624

On Thu, 26 Dec 2002 04:15:32 -0500, KC8PMX wrote:

>Anyone who votes democrat or republican is nuts.... It is
>a no-win situation on either side of that political coin. Look at this last
>presidential election, neither partie's candidate was worth a damn, except
>for being a puppet on a string for their respective parties.

"None of the above" was not an option.

>"Vote Independant; Think For Yourself, Not What People Want You To Think"

The last "independents" in Presidemtial elections were Ross Perot
and Ralph Nader, both of whom were scarier than the Demo-Rep
candidates.

The first and last independent that I voted for was John Anderson in
1980, because neither Carter nor Reagan were acceptable.

None of the above were hams....

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4485. What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 06:53:29 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <WA7AA@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <3E0BF8C8.8050602@attbi.com>
Source record: 58625
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

US VIRGIN ISLANDS, KP2. Joe, W8GEX and John, WZ8D will be active as
N8Z from St. Croix, IOTA NA-106, from December 30 to January 8.

==========

Why do we even bother with callsigns anymore when it seems that anything
goes? K1B is Baker & Howland, N8Z is KP2...

So, are we now going to have a revised DXCC list:

K SMOM
K Monaco
K Agalega & St. Brandon
K Mauritius
K Rodrigues I.
K Equatorial Guinea
...
K USA
...
K South Africa
K Prince Edward & Marion Is.

Why don't just give everyone everywhere callsigns they want, no
questions asked? Hell, we're already half way to CB anyway.

73 .... WA7AA

4486. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 06:53:56 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0BF914.F38E96E8@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58626

W5TIT wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3E08BAF5.43856A70@earthlink.net...
> > W5TIT wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3E087F89.AE97B1CF@earthlink.net...
> > > > W5TIT wrote:
> > > > >
> > > >
> > > > I offered you evidence--which obviously pissed you
> > > > > off... :)
> > > >
> > > > You offered no evidence at all. You offered a non-documentary movie.
> > > > You still haven't told us: Do you feel like a sheep being raped of
> your
> > > > rights by your government? Do you embrace the views of a homemade
> > > > lawyer over those of a former attorney for the FCC?
> > >
> > >
> > > That's just simply choosing to ignore the evidence, Dave--it does not
> > > invalidate it.
> > >
> > A "based on a true story" movie is not evidence, Kim.
> >
>
> Yes it is, Dave. For me, it is. You're statement "I don't believe you"
> prompted the submission and you simply choose to ignore it. Maybe this will
> be "real" enough for you:

Your stating "Yes it is" doesn't make it so. I choose to ignore it
because it isn't remotely relevant to what was under discussion: the
claims by a member of the lunatic fringe that we are sheep being raped
by our government.

> http://carbon.cudenver.edu/~bstith/loren.htm

What I learned about the homemade biologists' efforts from the above
link:

"One Problem: Lorenzo's Oil May Not Work

Is the ending of the movie, showing many kids that have been
successfully treated, a bit of propaganda or not? Most researchers have
concluded that the kids never would have gotten the disease and that

Lorenzo's Oil is not effective (Uziel et al., 1991; Rizzo, 1993;
Aubourg et al., 1993; Odone and Odone, 1994; see also Time, Sept. 20,
1993, page 76). Dr. Moser suggests that that Lorenzo's Oil has no
effect on ALD kids showing symptoms (e.g., Lorenzo) probably because the
erucic acid does not enter the brain (thus, VLCSFAs still
build up in the brain) (Moser, 1995). These results suggest that
Lorenzo's survival is due to viability in the disease and the intense
effort
put forth by both Lorenzo and his parents (not the use of Lorenzo's
oil)."

Indications are that they have no interest in radio.

> > >
> > > I will leave the part of the discussion about sheep up to you.
> >
> > So as usual, you jump in with nothing to add to what's actually being
> > discussed or debated.
> >
>
> Hey, you're the one who validated the comment about the story by saying "I
> don't believe you." If you don't want to validate a particular portion of a
> post, then don't comment on it.

Do I need to check in with you now?

> It is the *only* part of the post I was
> interested in getting involved with.

So the only part which interested you is the small bit which had nothing
to do with the matter at hand. Wundebar!

> Whether you choose to see that as
> "adding" to what's actually being discussed, is incidental. You discussed
> it by making your rhetorical comment about it.

What rhetorical comment? "I don't believe you" is hardly rhetorical.

> > >
> > > As to my
> > > embracing the thoughts of anyone--it depends upon their point of view,
> > > *REGARDLESS* of their avocation or profession.
> >
> > Again, you haven't commented at all upon what was being discussed, you
> > chose to give us a movie review.

> Once again, you're wrong. I haven't reviewed the movie at all.

...not very well at any rate.

Dave K8MN

4487. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 07:06:38 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0BFC01.5F49FFA6@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58627

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0B065F.7C9D78E8@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E095224.CF5A7D55@earthlink.net>...
> > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E08BB32.9C353F53@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:<atv9qi314v2@enews2.newsguy.com>...
> > > > > > > > This is similar, but different to the other post, but what are your
> > > > > > > > equipment goals for 2003? Are you going to purchase any new gear of any
> > > > > > > > kind related to amateur radio or radio in general? Are you planning on
> > > > > > > > constructing any new gear?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Happy Holidays!
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > A 12-pack of 1n34a's. Brian
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Constructing those should provide a real challenge for you.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > >
> > > > > > It should provide a challenge for some 8 year old boys. Its called scouting.
> > > >
> > > > You got a problem with that?
> > > >
> > > Constructing a 12-pack of 1N34A's is called scouting? 'tis a different
> > > world in which you dwell.
> > >
> > Yes, very different from your world, Dave. I am a leader.
> >
> > Ah, yes. I've often heard others say, "That Brian is a real leader
> > because he has told us so". I can picture a group of young fellows
> > following you, mostly out of a sense of mild curiousity as to what
> > you'll do or say next.
>
> Sometimes we do skits, where they make hand motions or noises when a

> certain word or name in the story is said, other times we conduct
> relay races or other feats of skill, and other times we mess with
> stuff like maps and compasses.

It sounds a lot like basic training. Where does the leadership part
come in?

> > > I freely
> > > give my time to help keep these boys pointed in the right direction.
> >
> > That's nice.
>
> Yes it is. Thank you.
>
> Some of us have given of our time in an effort to get you
> > pointed in the right direction, so far to no avail.
>
> I know my path. It's different than your path. The path you follow
> is all about you. Mine is not that way.

If that is what you believe, you still don't get it and we have much
work to do.

> > > They learn about many things in scouting, and 1n34a are just a part of
> > > it.
> >
> > There's a monumental understatement for you.
>
> Or you, for that matter.

I suppose automotive exhaust systems are just a small part of scouting
too.

> > > They'll learn what they can do, and they'll learn what a 1n34a
> > > can do. If you're interested in changing the curriculum, you'll have
> > > to get involved yourself.
> >
> > I'm working on an idea for actually manufacturing 1N34As to save the
> > young fellows from having to roll their own.
>
> I haven't found them locally. I'm open to suggestions.

When asked about contstruction projects for the new year, you indicated
that you were going to make a 12-pack of them. Maybe you could just
unearth a hunk of Galena and look for some phospher-bronze wire.

> > > But it might take you away from those out of band Frenchmen.
> >
> > Wanna play a game of "bootlegging from Somalia"?

> Sure. Call me when you get there.

Never been. Never going. I know of only one "Mogadishu Slim" in our midst.

Dave K8MN

4488. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 07:21:35 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <auk9ob022e@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 58773

Yeah, but you can look up (i.e research) the resistor codes in some chart or whatever. Knowledge of how to apply the values assigned is the difference.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message news:1dum0v42udrufioof1lpsrc902sm8jt5t1@4ax.com...
> On 25 Dec 2002 05:47:23 GMT, vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) wrote:
>
> >I would go in the opposite direction. Remove the CQ. How about just using
> >ordinary conversation instead of unneeded codewords?
>
> While we're at it, why don't they remove those annoying codes
> on resistors. None of that dumb color code stuff. Or even worse, that
> confusing number scheme where three on the end of the imprint doesn't
> mean three, it means 3 zero's. Sheesh, the resistor people must be
> trying to confuse people and keep then out of the resistor reading
> club! 8^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

4489. Re: Kevin Mitnick gets his ham license back!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 13:39:18 UTC
From: hambone <hbonelondong@aol.com>
Message-ID: <3e0c57ea$1@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 58628

"Doug Thompson" <dmt822@nospam.ncvillage.net> wrote in message
news:285203555.20385F03A1@taranews.net...
> The great hacker Kevin Mitnick (N6NHG) got his ham license renewed by
> the FCC on 12/23/02.

.....like if anyone really gives a flying shit.

4490. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 14:01:36 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021227090136.02011.00000001@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 58630

>Subject: Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?
>From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
>Date: 12/26/02 10:25 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <f45722ac.0212260825.7bfa4eb2@posting.google.com>

>Basically, a jerk on RRAP = A jerk on the air, no matter how hard you
>and Larry try to say it isn't so.

OK, Brian...You said it, now let's see just how many RRAP'ers are in your log book? One...?!?! Two...?!?! More? I am betting LESS!

>I really wish you could have
>good amateur radio experiences like I've had...(SNIP)

You mean like operating from an unadministered entity without proper written authorization?

(UNSNIP>...but the ball's in your
>court. I hope you figure it out before SK time.

The ball's in YOUR court, Brian. How many RRAP'er QSO's are in your log to back up your assertion as quoted above...???

We're watching...

73

Steve, K4YZ

4491. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 14:06:51 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021227090651.02011.00000002@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 58631

>Subject: Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?
>From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
>Date: 12/26/02 1:33 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <f45722ac.0212261133.74d1dbba@posting.google.com>

>Steve, I was referring to his limited view of amateur radio, not his career.

It doesn't matter, Brian. I'd take Dave's word over any one else's in this forum when it comes to operating from a DX location, and I have BEEN the "DX" before.

>Do you limit your amateur radio operating strictly to 11.8 second QSO's?

Only in the contests or pile-ups. I know enough about "good Amateur practice to know when 11.8 seconds is my "fifteen minutes of fame" and when I can take the liberty of carrying on a conversation.. I have a (sponsored) RCC award. (Ooops, there's another one of those "codewords", but since it's an Amateur Radio institution, I'll let Vipul do some research and figger this out...maybe he'll learn something)

73

Steve, K4YZ

4492. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 14:23:29 UTC
From: 20 Meter No Call <20meters@NoCallOn20meters.net>
Message-ID: <auhnon$m84$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58632
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Zoran Brlecic" <WA7AA@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:3E0BF8C8.8050602@attbi.com...
>
> Why don't just give everyone everywhere callsigns they want, no
> questions asked? Hell, we're already half way to CB anyway.
>
> 73 .... WA7AA

Exactly! Do as many are now doing. Go to qrz.com, look up
a vacant call sign or one some old guy has who is no longer active
and then operate inside the ham bands. The word has been out
for a long time, do not operate outside the ham bands, that draws
attention. Operate inside the ham bands with a call sign. No one
ever questions it. I know from experience. If a pesky ham happens
by, ignore him, qsy, or if you really want to talk to someone, tell
them you just got the call and it must not yet be listed on qrz.
So simple, why would anyone even bother getting a license!
Change freqs. often, don't stay on one particular freq. learn ham
slang, avoid CB jargon.

20 meters

4493. Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 14:23:39 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021227092339.10348.00000532@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 58633

>In addition, most of the study guides for licensing also include not only a
>list of the Q signals and their meanings but also a list of the commonly
>used abbreviations and their meanings plus other ham terms such as OM, YL,
>etc.

Theres the problem, your expecting these new Cbers to actually learn something about the material. All they do is memorize the Q&As, they could care less what is on the TEST.

4494. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 14:43:54 UTC
From: "Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org>
Message-ID: <auhosd01mdn@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 58634
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You scheme worked well for a wannabe in Northern Virginia until I happened to be mobiling around one day in 1994 and heard a familiar call sign that belonged to an old-timer who helped get me started in ham radio. I called him, he came back. I told him that I knew the original owner of the call sign and wondered how long he had had the call. I got a bit suspicious when he did not come back -- this was on a 2-meter repeater.

Next chance I had, I looked up the call only to find that the old timer was still very much alive. I gave him a phone call, we chatted a bit, and he confirmed that, yes, he was still alive and, yes, he was still (call sign).

I contacted a very active ham in the area who asked a few questions, located the bootlegger, and busted him with the FCC.

Why go to all the trouble and risk when a license is simple enough to earn?

Oh -- silly me -- I forgot that we live in the age of
I'll-do-my-own-thing-and-screw-your-stupid-outdated-rules.

--

Regards,
Joe S.
W4HH

"20 Meter No Call" <20meters@NoCallOn20meters.net> wrote in message
news:auhnon$m84$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Zoran Brlecic" <WA7AA@attbi.com> wrote in message
> news:3E0BF8C8.8050602@attbi.com...
> >
> > Why don't just give everyone everywhere callsigns they want, no
> > questions asked? Hell, we're already half way to CB anyway.
> >
> > 73 .... WA7AA
>
> Exactly! Do as many are now doing. Go to qrz.com, look up
> a vacant call sign or one some old guy has who is no longer active
> and then operate inside the ham bands. The word has been out
> for a long time, do not operate outside the ham bands, that draws
> attention. Operate inside the ham bands with a call sign. No one
> ever questions it. I know from experience. If a pesky ham happens
> by, ignore him, qsy, or if you really want to talk to someone, tell
> them you just got the call and it must not yet be listed on qrz.

> So simple, why would anyone even bother getting a license!
> Change freqs. often, don't stay on one particular freq. learn ham
> slang, avoid CB jargon.
>
> 20 meters
>
>
>

4495. Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 14:48:04 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net>
Message-ID: <EKZO9.10322$4j5.6178854@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 58629

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:44kn0v88mogll3sfjkev9s2p29tlhd88cd@4ax.com...
> On 26 Dec 2002 01:16:32 GMT, vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) wrote:
>
> All these "Q" signs are published in many places. They are in
> the back of the ARRL amateur handbook.
>
> Some web sources are:
>
> http://www.plexoft.com/SBF/q-signs.html
>
> http://larc.unl.edu/qsign.html
>
> http://home.earthlink.net/~k7bfl/intqsig.html

In addition, most of the study guides for licensing also include not only a list of the Q signals and their meanings but also a list of the commonly used abbreviations and their meanings plus other ham terms such as OM, YL, etc.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

4496. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 15:21:25 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <Vd_O9.1722$Oc.216681@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58635
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Zoran Brlecic" <WA7AA@attbi.com> wrote

:
: Why don't just give everyone everywhere callsigns they want, no
: questions asked? Hell, we're already half way to CB anyway.
:
:
: 73 .... WA7AA

Looks like you got the call YOU wanted. Why all the fuss about someone else doing the same?

73, de Hans, K0HB

4497. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 15:35:33 UTC
From: 20 Meter No Call <20meters@nocallhaha.net>
Message-ID: <auhrvt$37m$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58636
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org> wrote in message
news:auhosd01mdn@enews2.newsguy.com...
> You scheme worked ///drivel snipped here///

Blah, blah, blah, more fairy tales. You should have been a
fiction writer.
HAR HAR HAR HAR HAR HAR HAR HAR HAR HAR

20 meters

4498. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 15:52:38 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212270752.17e38844@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58637

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3E0BFC01.5F49FFA6@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0B065F.7C9D78E8@earthlink.net>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E095224.CF5A7D55@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E08BB32.9C353F53@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:<atv9qi314v2@enews2.newsguy.com>...
> > > > > > > > > This is similar, but different to the other post, but what are your
> > > > > > > > > equipment goals for 2003? Are you going to purchase any new gear of any
> > > > > > > > > kind related to amateur radio or radio in general? Are you planning on
> > > > > > > > > constructing any new gear?
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Happy Holidays!
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > A 12-pack of 1n34a's. Brian
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Constructing those should provide a real challenge for you.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > It should provide a challenge for some 8 year old boys. Its called scouting.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > You got a problem with that?
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Constructing a 12-pack of 1N34A's is called scouting? 'tis a different
> > > > > > > > world in which you dwell.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Yes, very different from your world, Dave. I am a leader.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Ah, yes. I've often heard others say, "That Brian is a real leader
> > > > > > because he has told us so". I can picture a group of young fellows
> > > > > > following you, mostly out of a sense of mild curiousity as to what
> > > > > > you'll do or say next.
> > > >

> > Sometimes we do skits, where they make hand motions or noises when a
> > certain word or name in the story is said, other times we conduct
> > relay races or other feats of skill, and other times we mess with
> > stuff like maps and compasses.
>
> It sounds a lot like basic training. Where does the leadership part
> come in?

Basic training? You did skits in basic training?

> > > > I freely
> > > > give my time to help keep these boys pointed in the right direction.
> > > >
> > > That's nice.
> > >
> > Yes it is. Thank you.
> > >
> > Some of us have given of our time in an effort to get you
> > > pointed in the right direction, so far to no avail.
> > >
> > I know my path. It's different than your path. The path you follow
> > is all about you. Mine is not that way.
>
> If that is what you believe, you still don't get it and we have much
> work to do.

I have enough, thank you. Why not devote some of your time to a
volunteer organization rather than impress us with your dx total.

> > > > They learn about many things in scouting, and 1n34a are just a part of
> > > > it.
> > > >
> > > There's a monumental understatement for you.
> > >
> > Or you, for that matter.
>
> I suppose automotive exhaust systems are just a small part of scouting
> too.

Try to stay on-topic.

> > > > They'll learn what they can do, and they'll learn what a 1n34a
> > > > can do. If you're interested in changing the curriculum, you'll have
> > > > to get involved yourself.
> > > >
> > > I'm working on an idea for actually manufacturing 1N34As to save the
> > > young fellows from having to roll their own.
> > >
> > I haven't found them locally. I'm open to suggestions.
>
> When asked about contstruction projects for the new year, you indicated
> that you were going to make a 12-pack of them. Maybe you could just

> unearth a hunk of Galena and look for some phospher-bronze wire.

Is that what I said?

> > > > But it might take you away from those out of band Frenchmen.
> > >
> > > Wanna play a game of "bootlegging from Somalia"?
>
> > Sure. Call me when you get there.
>
> Never been. Never going.

Then how do you play "bootlegging from Somalia" if you can't go?

I know of only one "Mogadishu Slim" in our
> midst.

I had permission from my commander.

I'm not even sure there is anyone left there to give you permission.
Perhaps the State Dept. can give you the name of some in-country UNer.

4499. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 15:53:50 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212270753.27db46b7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58638

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021226112403.03207.00000627@mb-mq.aol.com>...
> >Bruce, I would rather have 70 boys respect me than disrespect me.
> >What have you done for your community lately?
> >
>
> Besides causing all kinds of TVI for the neighbors, and Blaming it on some Dumb
> No-Code, nothing, and I like it that way

I thought as a VCR repairman you'd be able to figure out TVI.

4500. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 15:58:39 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212270758.4014ff4c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58639

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021226223416.03151.00000941@mb-mq.aol.com>...
> In article <f45722ac.0212260825.7bfa4eb2@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >Basically, a jerk on RRAP = A jerk on the air, no matter how hard you
> >and Larry try to say it isn't so. No wonder you're such a big backer
> >of the 11.8 second QSO. At about 12 seconds people catch on that
> >you're a blow hard jerk, then they QSY. I really wish you could have
> >good amateur radio experiences like I've had, but the ball's in your
> >court. I hope you figure it out before SK time.
> >
> >73, Brian
>
> Brian:
>
> I'm sure it's entirely possible that you're not a jerk on the air!

Yes, correct.

However,
> if there's ever a case that could prove that what you say is right, I'm
> sure you must be it!

Sorry. It doesn't work that way. First you have to be a jerk.

> Happy New Year!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Yes, Happy New Year to you.

4501. Re: Something for everyone...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 16:10:38 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212270810.1fe1189@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58640

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021226221646.06330.00000428@mb-fp.aol.com>...
> >It says that the 8 year old can out-do Bruce,
>
> You are so full of it, what it says is Brian is to dumb to do what an 8 Year
> old did.It also says Brian would still be a No-Code CBer if the FCC hadnt given
> him a Free Handout.

Sorry, Bruce, the 8 year old did it honestly. You're the one who got
your Extra after the big "handout."

> Bruce had to ride
> >the coattails of NCI to get Extra.
> >
> >
> And what this says is, Brian is to Dumb to take advantage of the give away
> Extra. It also shows how stupid you really are. Its amazing that you are stupid
> enough to believe NCI was the reason for Dumbing Down. Wake up it was the FCC
> who did what they wanted to do along. The only thing NCI did for you, was take
> your money, and brain wash you into believing Karl the looser was something
> special.

Sorry again, Bruce. I'm waiting for the standards to go up again. I
don't like how it turned out. Idiots like you getting Extra? That
wasn't the plan. I'm sure Karl is really sorry about all of this, and
will ask for another restructuring right after the FCC offices open on
Jan 2nd; no grandfathering, everyone retests.

We'll include your posts on RRAP concerning your 2000 testing results
as evidence.

4502. Re: Something for everyone...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 16:11:56 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212270811.4abe25ea@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58641

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3E0BA4B9.AA45E4CA@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0B071C.EFE82B90@earthlink.net>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E095527.4E083F22@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > 5. Nine-year-old makes Extra.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Much to Leonard H. Anderson's chagrin.
> > > > >
> > > > > So?
> > > >
> > > So we can't have mere children operating radio equipment without adult
> > > supervision according to Leonard H.
> > >
> > I think todays youth needs more adult supervision. Don't you?
> >
> That's probably what your grandfather said.
>
> Dave K8MN

My grandfather died in 1959. He hasn't said much since then.

4503. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 16:12:50 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021227111250.01833.00000004@mb-mq.aol.com>
Source record: 58642

>I thought as a VCR repairman you'd be able to figure out TVI.
>

Oh I can figure it out, but I like causing it, and blaming it on CBplussers

4504. Re: Ham Running For Office - Orange County, California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 16:22:47 UTC
From: Jim Weir <jim@rst-engr.com>
Message-ID: <lhvo0v0vh3b5bq5d4dkesko44anavml4a5@4ax.com>
Source record: 58643

I thought that was a PREREQUISITE to run in New Jersey.

{;-)

Jim

Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
shared these priceless pearls of wisdom:

->>
->> So, you SUPPORT voting for a person based solely upon party
affiliation,
->> NOT upon thier qualifications, character or convictions?
->
->In some parts of New Jersey, a candidate with convictions can
->still get elected. Convictions for bribery, racketeering, etc.... :-)

Jim Weir, VP Eng. RST Eng. WX6RST
A&P, CFI, and other good alphabet soup

4505. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 16:25:02 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <WA7AA@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <3E0C7EDC.5000204@attbi.com>
Source record: 58644
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> : Why don't just give everyone everywhere callsigns they want, no
> : questions asked? Hell, we're already half way to CB anyway.
>
> Looks like you got the call YOU wanted. Why all the fuss about someone else
> doing the same?

You missed the point. I'm not bitching about vanity calls; it's using
the (continental) US calls from other DXCC countries without signing
that bugs me. How did N8 get to be KP2 all of a sudden? Should we
discard the DXCC prefix system in favor of "anything goes" just because
it's legal?

There are reasons DXCC countries were assigned different prefixes: so we
can tell who's operating from where. Using N8 from KP2 and K1 from KH1
kinda defeats the purpose, especially when the same calls can and will
be reissued and used from somewhere else.

73 .... Zoran WA7AA

4506. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 16:45:54 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0C83D7.E1552F89@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58645

Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
>
> >Subject: Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?
> >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> >Date: 12/26/02 1:33 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <f45722ac.0212261133.74d1dbba@posting.google.com>
>
> >Steve, I was referring to his limited view of amateur radio, not his career.
>
> It doesn't matter, Brian. I'd take Dave's word over any one else's in this
> forum when it comes to operating from a DX location, and I have BEEN the "DX"
> before.
>
> >Do you limit your amateur radio operating strictly to 11.8 second QSO's?
>
> Only in the contests or pile-ups. I know enough about "good Amateur
> practice to know when 11.8 seconds is my "fifteen minutes of fame" and when I
> can take the liberty of carrying on a conversation..

Brian goes on a rant without bothering to attempt reading and
comprehending what the other fellow has said. To a DXer, any country
you haven't worked and confirmed is "DX". I've chewed the rag with
plenty of "G" stations on 20 or 15 or 10m. I've had the country
confirmed for decades for my overall DXCC. I did not attempt to chew
the rag with "G" stations running pileups on 6m or 160m since they were
busy handing out a new band country to many.

> I have a (sponsored) RCC
> award.

You didn't have to pay ten bucks for it either. That has all changed
with the "new ARRL".

Dave K8MN

4507. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 16:53:06 UTC
From: AC6V <ac6v@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <Sz%O9.169008$6k.3619861@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 58646
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

As you probably know --- 1x1 calls are special event calls issued
temporily -- see URL: http://www.arrl.org/w1aw/1997-arlb048.html

At URL: http://ncvec.spindle.net/html/show_all.cfm
N8Z was issued as a New Years eve call
"1x1" Call Sign N8Z
Begin Date 12/30/02
End Date 01/08/03
Special Event NEW YEARS DAY CELEBRATION

One will seldom be in doubt as to what they are by using NG3K's page
http://www.ng3k.com/Misc/adxo.html

But I agree that DXpeditions and IOTA's should not use 1x1's
Maybe e-mail the grantors of same ---
http://ncvec.spindle.net/html/coordinators.cfm

--
I Doubt, Therefore I Might Be
73 From AC6V, Rod In Oceanside, CA
http://ac6v.com
"Zoran Brlecic" <WA7AA@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:3E0BF8C8.8050602@attbi.com...
> US VIRGIN ISLANDS, KP2. Joe, W8GEX and John, WZ8D will be active as
> N8Z from St. Croix, IOTA NA-106, from December 30 to January 8.
>
> ==========
>
>
> Why do we even bother with callsigns anymore when it seems that anything
> goes? K1B is Baker & Howland, N8Z is KP2...
>
> So, are we now going to have a revised DXCC list:
>
> K SMOM
> K Monaco
> K Agalega & St. Brandon
> K Mauritius
> K Rodrigues I.
> K Equatorial Guinea
> ...
> K USA
> ...
> K South Africa

> K Prince Edward & Marion Is.
>
>
>
> Why don't just give everyone everywhere callsigns they want, no
> questions asked? Hell, we're already half way to CB anyway.
>
>
> 73 .... WA7AA
>

4508. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 17:02:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0C879E.D884D8F0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58647

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0BFC01.5F49FFA6@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0B065F.7C9D78E8@earthlink.net>...
> > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E095224.CF5A7D55@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E08BB32.9C353F53@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:<atv9qi314v2@enews2.newsguy.com>...
> > > > > > > > > > This is similar, but different to the other post, but what are your
> > > > > > > > > > equipment goals for 2003? Are you going to purchase any new gear of any
> > > > > > > > > > kind related to amateur radio or radio in general? Are you planning on
> > > > > > > > > > constructing any new gear?
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > Happy Holidays!
> > > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > > A 12-pack of 1n34a's. Brian
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Constructing those should provide a real challenge for you.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > > Dave K8MN
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > It should provide a challenge for some 8 year old boys. Its called scouting.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > You got a problem with that?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Constructing a 12-pack of 1N34A's is called scouting? 'tis a different
> > > > > > world in which you dwell.
> > > > >
> > > > > Yes, very different from your world, Dave. I am a leader.
> > > >
> > > > Ah, yes. I've often heard others say, "That Brian is a real leader
> > > > because he has told us so". I can picture a group of young fellows

> > > > following you, mostly out of a sense of mild curiousity as to what
> > > > you'll do or say next.
> > >
> > > Sometimes we do skits, where they make hand motions or noises when a
> > > certain word or name in the story is said, other times we conduct
> > > relay races or other feats of skill, and other times we mess with
> > > stuff like maps and compasses.
> >
> > It sounds a lot like basic training. Where does the leadership part
> > come in?
>
> Basic training? You did skits in basic training?

For the entire six weeks we did skits, jumped through unnecessary and
irrelevant hoops (according to some) and we were forced to participate
in numerous archaic rituals and traditions. We did relay races and
other feats of skill. We got to mess with maps and compasses and even
rifles. We had to responds to hand motions come up with certain noises
or phrases when a certain word was said.

> > > > > I freely
> > > > > give my time to help keep these boys pointed in the right direction.
> > > > >
> > > > That's nice.
> > > >
> > > Yes it is. Thank you.
> > >
> > > Some of us have given of our time in an effort to get you
> > > > pointed in the right direction, so far to no avail.
> > >
> > > I know my path. It's different than your path. The path you follow
> > > is all about you. Mine is not that way.
> >
> > If that is what you believe, you still don't get it and we have much
> > work to do.
>
> I have enough, thank you. Why not devote some of your time to a
> volunteer organization rather than impress us with your dx total.

I haven't tried to impress you with my DX totals. I was out of the
country for over fifteen years, Brian. I haven't even tried to impress
you with my operating abilities. I already devote some of my time to
various organizations though that isn't a necessity. I've even
particpated in past Jamborees On The Air from Sierra Leone and Botswana
putting local Scouts in touch with U.S. and European Scouts.

> > > > > They learn about many things in scouting, and 1n34a are just a part of
> > > > > it.
> > > > >
> > > > There's a monumental understatement for you.
> > > >

> > > Or you, for that matter.
> >
> > I suppose automotive exhaust systems are just a small part of scouting
> > too.
>
> Try to stay on-topic.

I thought I was. You were listing things which were only a small part
of scouting.

> > > > > They'll learn what they can do, and they'll learn what a 1n34a
> > > > > can do. If you're interested in changing the curriculum, you'll have
> > > > > to get involved yourself.
> > > > >
> > > > > I'm working on an idea for actually manufacturing 1N34As to save the
> > > > > young fellows from having to roll their own.
> > > >
> > > > I haven't found them locally. I'm open to suggestions.
> > >
> > > When asked about contstruction projects for the new year, you indicated
> > > that you were going to make a 12-pack of them. Maybe you could just
> > > unearth a hunk of Galena and look for some phospher-bronze wire.
> >
> > Is that what I said?

If you go back and read the thread, you'll find that is the case. I can
almost see you and the impressionable lads crouched by a campfire,
melting glass beads for the cases. Good leadership is key in these
situations.

> > > > > But it might take you away from those out of band Frenchmen.
> > > > >
> > > > > Wanna play a game of "bootlegging from Somalia"?
> > >
> > > Sure. Call me when you get there.
> > >
> > > Never been. Never going.
> >
> > Then how do you play "bootlegging from Somalia" if you can't go?

You're "it". Last tag.

> I know of only one "Mogadishu Slim" in our
> > midst.
> >
> > I had permission from my commander.

Sure you did. Prove it.

> I'm not even sure there is anyone left there to give you permission.
> Perhaps the State Dept. can give you the name of some in-country UNer.

You must have missed the part where I'm not going.

Dave K8MN

4509. Re: Something for everyone...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 17:04:03 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0C8825.F2A3345E@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58648

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0BA4B9.AA45E4CA@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0B071C.EFE82B90@earthlink.net>...
> > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E095527.4E083F22@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > > 5. Nine-year-old makes Extra.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Much to Leonard H. Anderson's chagrin.
> > > > > >
> > > > > So?
> > > > >
> > > > So we can't have mere children operating radio equipment without adult
> > > > supervision according to Leonard H.
> > > >
> > > I think todays youth needs more adult supervision. Don't you?
> > >
> > That's probably what your grandfather said.

>
> My grandfather died in 1959. He hasn't said much since then.

Must be a family tradition. You haven't said much either.

Dave K8MN

4510. Re: Something for everyone...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 17:05:45 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021227120545.02729.00000493@mb-ch.aol.com>
Source record: 58649

> I'm waiting for the standards to go up again.

Who are you kidding your waiting for me FREE HANDOUTS

>I
>don't like how it turned out. Idiots like you getting Extra?

And Bigger Idiots like you got the General

> I'm sure Karl is really sorry about all of this,

Karls a losser just like you

>no grandfathering, everyone retests.

You better hope this doesnt happen, it will put you back to CB Status

Your just mad that you cant take the bet and make $250

4511. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 17:16:00 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <kV%O9.1862$Oc.231260@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58650
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Zoran Brlecic" <WA7AA@attbi.com> wrote

:
: You missed the point. I'm not bitching about vanity calls; it's using
: the (continental) US calls from other DXCC countries without signing
: that bugs me. How did N8 get to be KP2 all of a sudden? Should we
: discard the DXCC prefix system in favor of "anything goes" just because
: it's legal?

If I go on vacation to KP2, should I change my call? That's the only way I could comply with your mythical "DXCC prefix system". Just as easy to glom on to a temporary 1x1 special event callsign like these guys have done. What's the harm?

The FCC could care less about DXCC, and there are several call prefixes that will probably NEVER be issued under the present system, like KP1, KP5, KH3, KH4, KH7K, KH9. On a more global scale, what's the need for reserved prefixes like KP1 when no operator will ever be issued such a call? But who knows..... many decades ago I was assigned the call KG6AQI when I lived on Guam --- now the call is assigned in sequence to a fella in California.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

4512. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 18:08:53 UTC
From: Landrover <xfactor@landxfactoring.com>
Message-ID: <aui4ve$n96$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58701
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org> wrote in message
news:auhosd01mdn@enews2.newsguy.com...
> I contacted a very active ham in the area who asked a few questions,
located
> the bootlegger, and busted him with the FCC.
> Regards,
> Joe S.
> W4HH
>
Lets see now, you contacted a "very active ham." So was he 83 or 93?
and spends most of his morning on 2 meter repeaters with his other ham
buddies in their 80s, discussing what medications they are on. So much
for the "very active ham." And you say he asked a few "questions"?
Yup, probably went like, "Uhhhh Joe uhhhhhhhh, you been a hearing any
strange stations on the air 'round here," And Joe said, "Uhhhhhhh no Mike,
uhhhhh uhhhhh there been a problem"? So much for the "questions."
And now this gem, "Located the bootlegger." Oh my yes!!! Instantly
he was located!!!!! Won't even address that, just flush it! And here's
the best one, "Busted him with the FCC." So please share with us, did
the FCC make him a deputy FCC junior ranger or just kind of let him tag
along? And of course, we all know the FCC has a Hot Line, on which
it takes calls from ham radio operators about bootleggers and upon
receiving a call, the FCC Special Reaction Team swings into action with
lights and sirens blazing as they speed to the location of this latest
Public
Enemy Number One, called "Bootlegger." The media interviews
witnesses and of course the story is all over the 6pm news and tomorrow's
papers!

GET A LIFE! You people post this drivel and expect people to
believe it?

HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAAHAHAHAHHA
HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH

4513. Re: Kevin Mitnick gets his ham license back!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 19:20:34 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v0pa0vnsvuff02@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 58702

After how many years of almost total non-enforcement, how amazing can it be?
;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim
ps warming up. Snow's starting to melt. Some areas got over 40 inches!

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:augae9oer1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net...
> Amazing aint it?
>
> Dan/W4NTI

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.434 / Virus Database: 243 - Release Date: 12/25/02

4514. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 19:23:42 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v0pa6q92uu6v6c@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 58703
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Sounds good; I had a guy call me one time on 160 meters. Unfortunately, he chose the callsign of a friend who lived only 2 miles from me. Quick land-line. Hopefully, the FCC will start enforcement (but I doubt it). I may not only have to switch to CW, I may have to brush up on my land-line (American) Morse as I haven't seen any pc programs for that ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"20 Meter No Call" <20meters@NoCallOn20meters.net> wrote in message
news:auhnon$m84$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Zoran Brlecic" <WA7AA@attbi.com> wrote in message
> news:3E0BF8C8.8050602@attbi.com...
> >
> > Why don't just give everyone everywhere callsigns they want, no
> > questions asked? Hell, we're already half way to CB anyway.
> >
> > 73 .... WA7AA
>
> Exactly! Do as many are now doing. Go to qrz.com, look up
> a vacant call sign or one some old guy has who is no longer active
> and then operate inside the ham bands. The word has been out
> for a long time, do not operate outside the ham bands, that draws
> attention. Operate inside the ham bands with a call sign. No one
> ever questions it. I know from experience. If a pesky ham happens
> by, ignore him, qsy, or if you really want to talk to someone, tell
> them you just got the call and it must not yet be listed on qrz.
> So simple, why would anyone even bother getting a license!
> Change freqs. often, don't stay on one particular freq. learn ham
> slang, avoid CB jargon.
>
> 20 meters
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.434 / Virus Database: 243 - Release Date: 12/25/02

4515. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 19:26:12 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v0pabgnda3lebd@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 58704
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Read my other post. Ignorance is curable; stupidity isn't. The dope on 160 happened to choose the callsign of a friend of mine who lived only a mile or two away. First, his signal shouldn't have been down at S-2, second, perhaps more importantly, my friend had no antenna for 160 meters - he operated on 75 and down (in wavelength).

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"20 Meter No Call" <20meters@nocallhaha.net> wrote in message news:auhrvt$37m$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org> wrote in message
> news:auhosd01mdn@enews2.newsguy.com...
> > You scheme worked ///drivel snipped here///
>
> Blah, blah, blah, more fairy tales. You should have been a
> fiction writer.
> HAR HAR HAR HAR HAR HAR HAR HAR HAR HAR
>
> 20 meters
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.434 / Virus Database: 243 - Release Date: 12/25/02

4516. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 19:50:05 UTC
From: kh2d@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <3e0cae37.343652596@newsgroups.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 58705
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 27 Dec 2002 13:08:53 -0500, "Landrover"
<xfactor@landxfactoring.com> wrote:

> GET A LIFE! You people post this drivel and expect people to
> believe it?

And when you get a life, maybe you'll stop reading it..... What
are you, a professional drivel critic ?

73, Jim KH2D

4517. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 20:16:59 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7scx93.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58707
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 27 Dec 2002 16:25:02 GMT, Zoran Brlecic wrote:

>There are reasons DXCC countries were assigned different prefixes: so we
>can tell who's operating from where. Using N8 from KP2 and K1 from KH1
>kinda defeats the purpose, especially when the same calls can and will
>be reissued and used from somewhere else.

N8QRZ goes to the US Virgin Islands on vacations and operates from
there. What call woulk you expect him/her to use?

S/he likes it so much that s/he and the family move there. The FCC
does not require a call sign change. What is so wrong about using
the existing legal call sign?

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From a Clearing in the Silicon Forest
Beaverton (Washington County) Oregon

(Never had a "7" call and never will)

4518. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 20:17:06 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7sdax4.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58706
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 27 Dec 2002 17:16:00 GMT, KØHB wrote:

>many decades ago I was assigned the call KG6AQI when I lived
>on Guam --- now the call is assigned in sequence to a fella in California.

Surprisingly, the W6 1x3 that I held from 1957 until I turned it in
for a 1x2 in 1977 has never been reissued or claimed as a vanity
call.

So much for the shortage of 1x3 calls.

(The 1x2 that I turned in to reclaim my original K2 call was snapped
up immediately by a club the day that it became available, just
before MY club could reclaim it.)

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From a Clearing in the Silicon Forest
Beaverton (Washington County) Oregon

4519. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 20:23:23 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v0pdmnodehd1dc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 58708
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Phil,

I, too, lost my call when I let my ticket expire (N2JH). I've been thinking ... as long as we are on a vanity callsign search, could you help me to get a special callsign? A 1X1 would be nice, but it has to be a special event. How about simply "W2"? Or maybe just "W"? I'm really enjoying the repeaters ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message news:cuvyxnansvbet.h7sdax4.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
On Fri, 27 Dec 2002 17:16:00 GMT, K&#65533;HB wrote:

>many decades ago I was assigned the call KG6AQI when I lived
>on Guam --- now the call is assigned in sequence to a fella in California.

Surprisingly, the W6 1x3 that I held from 1957 until I turned it in for a 1x2 in 1977 has never been reissued or claimed as a vanity call.

So much for the shortage of 1x3 calls.

(The 1x2 that I turned in to reclaim my original K2 call was snapped up immediately by a club the day that it became available, just before MY club could reclaim it.)

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From a Clearing in the Silicon Forest
Beaverton (Washington County) Oregon

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.434 / Virus Database: 243 - Release Date: 12/25/02

4520. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 22:06:21 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net>
Message-ID: <x94P9.10351$4j5.6236596@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 58709
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h7sdax4.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
On Fri, 27 Dec 2002 17:16:00 GMT, K&#65533;HB wrote:

"Surprisingly, the W6 1x3 that I held from 1957 until I turned it in
for a 1x2 in 1977 has never been reissued or claimed as a vanity
call.

So much for the shortage of 1x3 calls."

It's not so much a shortage as that they can no longer be sequentially
assigned to hams. The sequences have been run to the end. However there
are a fair number of expired calls that are available but they won't be
assigned unless requested as vanity calls.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

4521. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 22:12:44 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7skzq5.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58710
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 27 Dec 2002 15:23:23 -0500, Jim Hampton wrote:

>I, too, lost my call when I let my ticket expire (N2JH). I've been thinking
>.... as long as we are on a vanity callsign search, could you help me to get
>a special callsign? A 1X1 would be nice, but it has to be a special event.
>How about simply "W2"? Or maybe just "W"? I'm really enjoying the
>repeaters ;)

"Wouldn't that be loverly....."

My main contact for those things in Gettysburg, Darlene Reeder, the
processing guru of Amateur Radio Service applications, is retiring
at the end of the month after 40 years of service there. She kept
things flowing smoothly and solved the problems when they didn't.

Look for processing there to be a vaudeville fire drill until a
competent replacement is found.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4522. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 22:51:52 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v0pmd44lgndk53@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 58711
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Phil - your prayers are answered. I can provide a letter from my boss. The FCC needs a competent employee? I'll get a letter from my boss. He says I'm really IN competent (I assume if one is in, it is good; if you're out, you're no good). Not only that, he'll tell you I really try. He told me I was the most trying employee he has! ;) Everyone forgets at times that it is a matter of budgets. I'm sure a lot of folks are really getting overload at various government jobs as the dollars are rapidly disappearing. My gut feeling is that almost everyone does what they can with the cards they are dealt. I only wish I could remember the folks at the Buffalo office (assuming they are still around). Believe it or not, I did have some fun on those treks to Buffalo (I'll never forget going to Buffalo from college on a bet - I was soooo .... hung over). They took one look at me and asked if I really wanted to take the test (I got the 2nd radiotelephone license with radar endorsement on that one - I had to go back one more time for the 1st). It was crazy, but I actually enjoyed seeing those folks after a number of times (general, extra, 2nd phone, 1st phone, 2nd telegraph - well, the extra and 2nd telegraph were done in one visit. I don't know if I did the cipher groups as it is so confusing as I did a lot of that for that for the US Navy) ... despite what many think, I believe they are dedicated folks just playing the hand that is dealt to them. BTW, thanks for your time, too. ;)

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h7skzq5.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Fri, 27 Dec 2002 15:23:23 -0500, Jim Hampton wrote:
>
> >I, too, lost my call when I let my ticket expire (N2JH). I've been
thinking
> >.... as long as we are on a vanity callsign search, could you help me to
get
> >a special callsign? A 1X1 would be nice, but it has to be a special
event.
> >How about simply "W2"? Or maybe just "W"? I'm really enjoying the
> >repeaters ;)
>
> "Wouldn't that be loverly....."
>
> My main contact for those things in Gettysburg, Darlene Reeder, the
> processing guru of Amateur Radio Service applications, is retiring
> at the end of the month after 40 years of service there. She kept
> things flowing smoothly and solved the problems when they didn't.
>

> Look for processing there to be a vaudeville fire drill until a
> competent replacement is found.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.434 / Virus Database: 243 - Release Date: 12/25/02

4523. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 23:08:22 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7snw17.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58712
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 27 Dec 2002 21:52:52 +0000, Walt Davidson wrote:

>I would expect him to use KP2/N8QRZ. The US Virgin Islands are not a
>part of the USA, and therefore it makes no sense to use a plain USA
>prefix from there.

For radio regulatory purposes, the US Virgin Islands are "part of
the United States" and amateur radio is regulated by the Federal
Communications Commission (FCC) there.
>
>When I go to Gibraltar on vacation, I use ZB2/G3NYY. It's a very
>similar situation. Gibraltar is a British territory, but not part of
>Great Britain.

Does UK radio regulatory law specify or allow use of a "regular" UK
call sign issued to the operator when s/he is in Gibraltar or must
the operator append the location indicator?

US regulatory law allows use of a "plain" US call sign in the US
Virgin Islands - without a "location indicator" - if that is the
call sign assigned to the operator. I was one of those folks who
fought long and hard several decades ago to get the FCC to change
the rules which formerly required detailed logging as well as such
indicators and the words "portable" and "mobile" when operating away
from the station's "authorized location", which is another concept
that no longer exists in American amateur regulations.

You are advocating use of what the FCC rules call a a self-assigned
indicator which is allowed before or after the call sign or not used
sat all at the option of the operator. It is ALLOWED. but not
REQUIRED.

In the U S of A, what is not required by the rules cannot be
required - it's optional on the part of the operator. I choose not to
use it.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4524. Re: eHam.net Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 23:41:07 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v0pp9f7inp753b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 58713

Dan, they take an average. I voted for 1,000 words per minute ;)

That should take care of at least 200 votes for no code.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:augaj8ufn1@slb6.atl.mindspring.net...
> Dang.....I thought the no-code liberal elite had this all locked up.
> Wasupwidthat?
>
> Dan/W4NTI
>
> "Bert Craig" <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM> wrote in message
> news:6TLO9.160889$a8.46631@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net...
> > eHam.net Survey
> >
> > This summer at the ITU World Administrative Radio Confernce (WARC) to be
> > held in Geneva Switzerland one topic will be the elimination of the CW
> test
> > as a requirement for HF operation. Do you agree that the CW requirement
> > should be removed?
> > Posted: Dec 21, 2002 (1912 votes, 112 comments) by K9PO
> >
> >
> > Survey Results
> > Yes 32% (619)
> > No 62% (1186)
> > Don't Care 6% (107)
> >
> > Hmm... (It'd sure be nice if it were to be decided by a vote.)
> >
> > --
> > 73 de Bert
> > WA2SI
> >
> >
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.434 / Virus Database: 243 - Release Date: 12/25/02

4525. Re: Something for everyone...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 23:44:13 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v0ppf951es82ab@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 58715

Maybe more adults need the supervision of children?

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E0C8825.F2A3345E@earthlink.net...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0BA4B9.AA45E4CA@earthlink.net>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0B071C.EFE82B90@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E095527.4E083F22@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > 5. Nine-year-old makes Extra.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Much to Leonard H. Anderson's chagrin.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > So?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > So we can't have mere children operating radio equipment without
adult
> > > > > > supervision according to Leonard H.
> > > > >
> > > > > I think todays youth needs more adult supervision. Don't you?
> > > >
> > > > That's probably what your grandfather said.
> >
> > My grandfather died in 1959. He hasn't said much since then.
>
> Must be a family tradition. You haven't said much either.
>
> Dave K8MN

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).

Version: 6.0.434 / Virus Database: 243 - Release Date: 12/25/02

4526. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-27 23:44:39 UTC
From: N2EY@AOL.COM
Message-ID: <c2356669.0212271544.2850b1f3@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58714

"Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<61D736DA87E2D2C2.AC3DBDEC00FBA672.136E639AE83298B3@lp.airnews.net>...
> "N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> news:c2356669.0212260955.5fed2357@posting.google.com...
> > "Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> news:<uvdgpe4adjr896@corp.supernews.com>...
> > > "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > news:20021210223707.04125.00000278@mb-da.aol.com...
> > > > In article <uvd1gheui81q0d@corp.supernews.com>, " Kim"
> > > > <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
> > >
> > (Sorry I dropped this, Kim - I thought I'd replied already!)

> heh heh heh...I hadn't even missed it if you had or hadn't. I'm going to
> try to snip stuff, if I can...this is so long *I* can hardly keep up!

> > Boggles my mind.
>
> Well, there's even men like that (that hand over their paychecks each week
> to the little woman *snarf*).

Doesn't always mean what it may appear. Example: He gets her to do all
the paperwork and handle all the budget headaches, while he hits the
ATM for spending cash.

> But, my experience sees a lot of women who
> have to ask if they can spend money.

That door swings both ways!

> > > The irony of all this is that these are women
> > > who live in or near the Big D. One would think that city women would be
> > > far
> > > more independent...wouldn't they?
> >
> > All depends on where and how they grew up, perhaps. Where they live
> > now may not be an accurate indicator of where they're from.
>
> Yeah, that's true.

We are such a mobile society that things get all mixed up. Betcha you
could "pass" for a native Texan to some unsuspecting visitors from
other parts of the country.

> That's why I've never been able to figure out if it's a
> Southern vs. Northern, country vs. city, or whatever. It's kind of hard to
> ask someone where they were raised without them asking why--and I don't

> really feel like getting into a debate or discussion with them about this
> whole thing.

Careful listening will often ferret out the info. Like getting into a
discussion about where people went to school.

> Heck, I'm certain there's some folks whose husbands would have
> me as public enemy number one.

Probably with good reason...;-)
>
> > > No joke...the first time all the
> > > brothers-in-law (3 of 'em) and my father-in-law and my husband were
> > > going to
> > > go hunting I came up with the idea for all us women to have our big
> > > garage sale we'd been talking about.
> >
> > Lessee - the guys go off and have fun for a couple days while the
> > wimmenfolk do a bunch of work and turn some unneeded items into cash.
> > Where's the downside?
>
> Well,

[expletive deleted]

> Jim! We women might get robbed, raped, beat, might fall in love
> with some fine looking man who wants to buy our wares, the list (and
> imagination) goes on!

If it's that dangerous a place to live, and the ladies need that much
protectin', then maybe the menfolk shouldn't be going off huntin' so
much.

I think the real issue is ya might make some money at it...
>
> > > b) a woman to beat,
> >
> > Ummm, perhaps you meant to write "to boot"?
>
> heh heh, yeah probably.

Maybe not......

> > What was his problem? Was he afraid you'd sell off things he wanted to
> > keep? Or afraid you'd have some independent $$?
>
> See the list above, and add to whatever you can come up with--because I am
> sure each of those men has a list as long as from their fingertips to their
> elbows!

Would be really intersting to just ask 'em and see what the thought
process was. I really do suspect the money issue.

> > > You'll giggle at this. My fine, Southern gentleman that I married; when
> > > we
> > > first were going together--about a few weeks after we'd begun dating--he
> > > put
> > > his finger up at me one night and said I would not be going to any bars
> > > with
> > > my girlfriends.
> >
> > OK. Did he say why not?
>
> Oh, yeah. The diatribe was always perfunctory and practiced. Women need
> men--for protection and all kinds of other things.

If you'd been going out that way before you met him, what suddenly
made it so much more dangerous?

Perhaps he was afraid you'd meet somebody else, and it would be his
manly duty to rassle the guy fer ya.

> > > I stopped dead in my tracks, nicely turned around and
> > > walked back toward him and, very nicely and politely told him that I'd
> > > been
> > > in bars before without him, handled creeps without him, and live through
> > > it
> > > without him--and if he intended for us to go any further, he'd start
> > > training himself not to have that kind of insecurity. Took 'im about
> > > two
> > > years but he finally realized and knows that I love only him, admire him
> > > and
> > > adore him and there isn't a soul or other man who'd pull me away.
> >
> > Seems to me there's nothing wrong with negotiating certain things with
> > one's main squeeze, as long as it's not a double standard. Double
> > standard as in "she doesn't go to bars with her girlfriends but he
> > goes to bars with his buddies."
>
> Well, true on negotiating...or not agreeing to be someone's soulmate if they
> are contrary to the lifestyle of the one they say they love.

Which is waht "dating" is supposed to be all about, I think.

> For instance,
> if something really, really means that much to me--no matter what it is--I
> don't want to have to negotiate.

Too bad, because negotiating is part of any relationship. Even if you
get 100% of what you want.

> I want my husband to have the faith and
> understanding that I am compassionate, understanding, and respectful enough
> to make the right decision--but understand that sometimes that right
> decision is for me first and us second. Same way for him.

Which just means there are things you value more than the
relationship.

> Now, before you
> go off thinking I am being really ridiculous on that, here's an example:
>
> I have always, always wanted to go to space.

Me, too.

> It has never been considered
> by me as within the realm of possible. My husband completely loses it at
> the thought of me going to space (I gave him the option, in my theoretical
> example to go with me; he did not want to). So, the question is--do I go?
> He must have enough understanding, compassion, and respect for me to
> understand that I cannot let "us" stand in the way of going to space. I
> understand that he may not like it, but it is his fear of my not coming back
> that he doesn't want me to go.

Ah - let's hold it right there.

What he's opposed to, then, is not your taking a space trip, but
rather the risk involved.

Looking at the history of Americans in space, the risk is pretty
binary - either you come back alive or you don't. In fact, either the
whole crew comes back alive or nobody does. Not much middle ground,
huh?

> To me, the risk of not coming back does not
> weigh much against the absolute once in a lifetime chance of gazing down on
> this Earth from out there.

Understood!

I'd toss in some other factors, though. If you had little kids or
others who were relying on you, it could be argued that taking
avoidable risks is irresponsible. But once the kids are grown and
gone, it's a different game.

> I could not let "us" be the weighting factor...I
> would go--*with* the perfect understanding that he may not want to be
> married when I get back.

But if you DO get back, the risk is over, because you said it was a
once in a lifetime thing. And if you don't get back, it's rather a
moot point.

Still, your basic point is well taken: Not everything is negotiable.
Some things are deal-breakers (or relationship-breakers, if you will).
>
> Hmmmm, that's a really weird example, but I hope it's illustrative.
>
Actually quite illustrative. Lots of other scenarios.

> > Was he ready to stop going to bars wtih his buddies if you'd give up
> > doing the same with your girlfriends?

??

I suppose not!
> >
> > > Women can take care of themselves.
> >
> > Some PEOPLE can take care of themselves. Others can't.
> >
> > > Mind you, I am far from a women's
> > > libber. In fact, I can't stand 'em.
> >
> > Ya gotta define what you mean by "women's libber". Most of what you've
> > described in this discussion is the very essence of "women's lib":
>
> An oppressive bitch.

Clarify, please. I'm sure you know what you mean, but my definition
might not match yours.

> I am not an oppressive bitch, I don't want to wear the
> pants in the family, I like the door opened for me, I like a man buying my
> meal, etc., etc. I am not demeaned in any way by a man treating me like a
> woman.

No offense, but to some people it might sound like you want to have
your equality and special perks too. Example: Seems like you want
equal pay for equal work, but at the same time "he" is expected to pay
for things like dates.

See the apparent contradiction?

>I am angry at *anyone* who thinks they should rule.

That I can agree with!
>
> > - Financial independence for women
> > - Equality in relationships
> > - Skills/behaviors/roles determined by interests and abilities, not
> > predetermined gender images
>
> Yeah, weird, huh, but every women's libber I've really ever been exposed to
> is just on this "woman" kick--and won't shut up about it.

Expand and explain, please.
>
> > > BUT, I can stand up for myself.
> >
> > There ya go - pure "libber" talk
> >
> > > And,I can change my own tires.
> >

> > More of the same! That's not a bad thing.
>
> No, I don't think it is, either. But, I don't make a show of it, either. I
> just get out of the car, open the trunk and change my tire. I don't whine
> about it all day once I've done it...LOL

That's a good thing, as Martha would say...
>
> > >
> > > heh heh heh. Wonder if that's 'cause the guys are crappy at choosing,
> > > or
> > > the women are?
> >
> > Perhaps. Or maybe it's a bunch of other factors:
> >
> > - getting hitched too young
> > - mismatched expectations of what the other person is supposed to do
> > - availability of cheaters
> >
> > Or maybe here's the big one: Often the very thing that makes someone
> > attractive when being pursued makes them insufferable once caught.
> > Example: Some women like a man who "takes charge" and "knows what he
> > wants" and is "independent" and "charming". But when they actually GET
> > such a man, they discover that "takes charge" translates into "wants
> > to be the boss all the time" and "knows what he wants" includes "acts
> > like a spoiled child when he doesn't get it". "Independent" and
> > "charming" are fun until she realizes they equate to "undependable"
> > and "flirts with any female that will listen to his drivel".
> >
>
> Yep, yep, and triple yep. All the things I found attractive in my husband
> and father of my two children--only to realize I was in an insufferable
> marriage six months into it. I stayed for three years, waiting for him to
> change, or for me to get better at accepting how he was.

There ya go.

Here's a big generalization for ya:

Many men get married thinking nothing's gonna change, and are
disappointed when things do change. Many women get married thinking
they're gonna change things, and are disappointed when things don't
change.
>
> > > HAW--to use your term!!!!!!! Man, you got that right. Remember that
> > > callsign PATTOEY?
> >
> > Yup. But think about it seriously for a sec - if one is barraged by
> > descriptions of cheatin' sweethearts, might it not become somewhat
> > more acceptable? Or at least seem more normal?
>

> Laughing hysterically!!!! A bunch of us Yankee women used to have that very
> conversation years ago when we were all first down here.

I do pick up on some things...

> > Or maybe it's the language barrier. After all, men and women speak
> > different languages, though they use the same words. Example of word
> > definitions:

(examples snipped)

> > There are lots more.
> >
>
> Yeah, but see...my take on that is that those people who think like the
> above haven't had a discussion with each other. Once I know a man thinks
> like _______ and once he understands that I think like _______, all that's
> left for us to do is be aware and sensitive to those differences.

True - but that means keeping at least two sets of definitions clear
in one's head.

> Dave/K8MN
> will roll his eyes back at that one, but it's true, as far as I'm concerned.

But wouldn't it be so much easier to use one set of definitions?

> > > (By the way--and you knew this you just forgot--those folks who all have
> > > the
> > > common goal? They're always around you...not just on Sundays)
> > >
> > Oh yes! More than ya might imagine.

> Oh, I doubt it's bigger than my imagination...<BEG>

You might be surprised...

73 de Jim, N2EY

4527. Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 00:48:46 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3E0CF4EE.8010105@mailcity.com>
Source record: 58725

WA8ULX wrote:
>>In addition, most of the study guides for licensing also include not only a
>>list of the Q signals and their meanings but also a list of the commonly
>>used abbreviations and their meanings plus other ham terms such as OM, YL,
>>etc.
>
>
> Theres the problem, your expecting these new Cbers to actually learn something
> about the material. All they do is memorize the Q&As, they could care less what
> is on the TEST.

Just like you huh brucie.

4528. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 00:52:39 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <WA7AA@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <3E0CF5D4.6060705@attbi.com>
Source record: 58716
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> If I go on vacation to KP2, should I change my call?

No, just have a courtesy to sign /KP2. Is that so difficult?

> That's the only way I
> could comply with your mythical "DXCC prefix system".

??? My mythical "DXCC prefix system"? You're joking, right? I mean, the DXCC list with its separate prefix entries for KH1, KH2, KP2, ZS8, ZC4, ZD8, VK9X and dozens (if not hundreds) of others has been used for decades. Now all of a sudden there's a mysterious tendency to ignore it. Why?

> Just as easy to glom
> on to a temporary 1x1 special event callsign like these guys have done.
> What's the harm?

The "harm" is in the fact that if every ham radio operator in the world followed the same principle just because it was legal, very soon we would be flooded with nothing but generic callsigns, unable to tell who's working from where, and the only thing we would be able to tell from the callsign would be which country's administration issued the call. That's the harm. I don't see anything wrong with the DXCC assigned prefixes, they work nicely, so why change for the sake of change? Just because everybody's on the Internet and is able to look up the N8Z's location, this makes it OK?

The US is comprised of 18 DXCC countries, France and United Kingdom over 20 each, Australia nine, and so on. Then there's the EU which is likely to eventually adopt a concept similar to the FCC's. Now, if we have in place a system that enables us to tell a DXCC entity from a callsign, why flood the bands with generic nonsense that doesn't mean squat?

There's already a band with a similar concept and it's called CB.

73 .... Zoran WA7AA

4529. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 00:59:13 UTC
From: "Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org>
Message-ID: <auisub02d0p@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 58719
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h7snw17.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Fri, 27 Dec 2002 21:52:52 +0000, Walt Davidson wrote:
>
> >I would expect him to use KP2/N8QRZ. The US Virgin Islands are not a
> >part of the USA, and therefore it makes no sense to use a plain USA
> >prefix from there.
>
> For radio regulatory purposes, the US Virgin Islands are "part of
> the United States" and amateur radio is regulated by the Federal
> Communications Commission (FCC) there.
> >
> >When I go to Gibraltar on vacation, I use ZB2/G3NYY. It's a very
> >similar situation. Gibraltar is a British territory, but not part of
> >Great Britain.
>
> Does UK radio regulatory law specify or allow use of a "regular" UK
> call sign issued to the operator when s/he is in Gibraltar or must
> the operator append the location indicator?
>
> US regulatory law allows use of a "plain" US call sign in the US
> Virgin Islands - without a "location indicator" - if that is the
> call sign assigned to the operator. I was one of those folks who
> fought long and hard several decades ago to get the FCC to change
> the rules which formerly required detailed logging as well as such
> indicators and the words "portable" and "mobile" when operating away
> from the station's "authorized location", which is another concept
> that no longer exists in American amateur regulations.
>
> You are advocating use of what the FCC rules call a a self-assigned
> indicator which is allowed before or after the call sign or not used
> sat all at the option of the operator. It is ALLOWED. but not
> REQUIRED.
>
> In the U S of A, what is not required by the rules cannot be
> required - it's optional on the part of the operator. I choose not to
> use it.
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>
At the risk of starting a flame war or some equally useless waste of time, I

suggest that not using the location indicator is poor operating practice at worst and bad manners at best, regardless of what the regulations permit.

I am a very QRP CW operator living in NE TN. It's a bit challenging for me to work the western states on 300 milliwatts and it's damned frustrating to spend an hour or more chasing what I think is a western station only to find that he's local. Two cases in point: A 6 answered my CQ and I figured I had CA in the bag -- only to find the #&^$#@! is located in West Virginia. Then there was the 7 who answered me after I spent over an hour digging around listening for a 7 -- I caught him signing with another station and called him. He was in OH. No, I said nothing to either of them about it -- just had a normal QSO and kept on looking.

Of course, I still keep an ARRL paper logbook and drive a 30 year old VW Beetle.

--

Regards,
Joe S.

4530. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 01:02:44 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <UK6P9.2395$Oc.292341@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58717
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Zoran Brlecic" <WA7AA@attbi.com> wrote

:
: ??? My mythical "DXCC prefix system"? You're joking, right?

You might be new around here. DXCC doesn't issue prefixes. Never has.
Never will.

And there are only three countries called USA, not 18. In alphabetical
order they are --

Union of South Africa
United States of America
United States of Argentina

73, de Hans, K0HB

4531. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 01:08:41 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <tQ6P9.2402$Oc.294287@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58718
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Walt Davidson" <walt99@tiscali.co.uk> wrote

: The US Virgin Islands are not a part of the USA,

The FCC will be surprised to learn that!

My call is K0HB. I can use that call anywhere that the FCC has jurisdiction
over amateur radio without any "attachments". Your rules may be different
in the UK.

73, de Hans, K0HB

4532. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 01:24:59 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <5E105F142C411BFA.B8ED75C80B35089D.24A905FF0CFF41A3@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58720

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E0BF914.F38E96E8@earthlink.net...
>
> W5TIT wrote:
> >
> >
> > Yes it is, Dave. For me, it is. You're statement "I don't believe you"
> > prompted the submission and you simply choose to ignore it. Maybe this
will
> > be "real" enough for you:
>
> Your stating "Yes it is" doesn't make it so. I choose to ignore it
> because it isn't remotely relevant to what was under discussion: the
> claims by a member of the lunatic fringe that we are sheep being raped
> by our government.
>

Dave, from the beginning of this exchange between you and I, my premise has
been that you stated "I don't believe you" to someone else about a comment
they made. I submitted the movie of a true story about [probably] the story
this person was relaying to you. I didn't endorse the movie; I didn't
critique it; I didn't state a thing other than the fact that it
existed--which is evidence enough that the story exists--but you've gone and
proved it even further with your research below. That is *all* this is
about, and you cannot make it more than that for me, because it isn't any
more than that for me.

> >
> > http://carbon.cudenver.edu/~bstith/loren.htm
>
> What I learned about the homemade biologists' efforts from the above
> link:
>
> "One Problem: Lorenzo's Oil May Not Work
>

The thread and exchanges between us aside, you *would* be the type to hang
your hat on that "may."

>
> Is the ending of the movie, showing many kids that have been
> successfully treated, a bit of propaganda or not?
>

Hell, Dave, I don't even remember much of the movie. If you want to
research it and see if it's worthy, then by all means have fun. My

submissions to you have been nothing more than the fact that your "I don't believe you" is wrong because there is a true story about which the poster was relaying.

> Most researchers have
> concluded that the kids never would have gotten the disease and that
> Lorenzo's Oil is not effective (Uziel et al., 1991; Rizzo, 1993;
> Aubourg et al., 1993; Odone and Odone, 1994; see also Time, Sept. 20,
> 1993, page 76). Dr. Moser suggests that that Lorenzo's Oil has no
> effect on ALD kids showing symptoms (e.g., Lorenzo) probably because the
> erucic acid does not enter the brain (thus, VLCSFAs still
> build up in the brain) (Moser, 1995). These results suggest that
> Lorenzo's survival is due to viability in the disease and the intense
> effort
> put forth by both Lorenzo and his parents (not the use of Lorenzo's
> oil)."

Who cares, Dave? Why are you going off on some stupid-ass tangent about whether the research done in the story is valid or not? I simply submitted to you that it is factual that there were parents who did the research that the poster said he'd heard about in a story.

> Indications are that they have no interest in radio.

And God knows that's about all you've got, Dave. You've gone stir-crazy. Cabin Fever, probably.

> > Hey, you're the one who validated the comment about the story by saying "I
> > don't believe you." If you don't want to validate a particular portion of a
> > post, then don't comment on it.
>
> Do I need to check in with you now?

Not if I don't have to check in with you--referring to your admonishment about my posting anything in this thread. I think it just really pisses you off that you opened mouth and inserted foot.

> > It is the *only* part of the post I was
> > interested in getting involved with.
>
> So the only part which interested you is the small bit which had nothing
> to do with the matter at hand. Wundebar!

I'm not so sure that you telling someone you "don't believe them" *had*
nothing to do with the matter at hand, Dave.

> >
> > Whether you choose to see that as
> > "adding" to what's actually being discussed, is incidental. You
discussed
> > it by making your rhetorical comment about it.
>
> What rhetorical comment? "I don't believe you" is hardly rhetorical.
>

With you, life is rhetorical, Dave.

>
>
> > > >
> > > > As to my
> > > > embracing the thoughts of anyone--it depends upon their point of
view,
> > > > *REGARDLESS* of their avocation or profession.
> > >
> > > Again, you haven't commented at all upon what was being discussed, you
> > > chose to give us a movie review.
>

I don't think I've reviewed the movie at all, Dave. I gave you its title
and website where you could look it up. Oh, did you think that was *my*
review on the website?

> >
> > Once again, you're wrong. I haven't reviewed the movie at all.
>
> ...not very well at any rate.
>
> Dave K8MN
>

Not at all, Dave, and I don't think you can submit anything that shows I
did. In fact, on 12/22/02, I stated the following in a post: "I won't tell
you a thing about the movie. If you don't want to watch it, then don't. I
offered evidence that the story this person was relaying was true...since
you're such a doubter."

So, uh, Dave, why don't you just take a few moments and tell us all where it
is that I have reviewed the movie, substantiated it, or even said whether
the whole thing was worth bothering with, huh? You charlatan.

Kim W5TIT

4533. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 01:36:26 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021227203626.08991.00000295@mb-ct.aol.com>
Source record: 58721

In article <20021227090136.02011.00000001@mb-mk.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>now let's see just how many RRAP'ers are in your
>log book? One...?!?! Two...?!?! More? I am betting LESS!
>
Hmm, lemme see, who have I worked on the ham bands who post/have posted here?

Just off the top o' me noggin:

K3LT
W3RV
W4NTI
K4YZ
W5DXP (as W6RCA)
AC6XG
K0HB

(apologies to anyone left out)

73 de Jim, N2EY

4534. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 01:47:21 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7sv7s9.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58722
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 27 Dec 2002 17:51:52 -0500, Jim Hampton wrote:

>I only wish I could remember the folks at the Buffalo office
>(assuming they are still around).

Buffler has been "regraded" to a "Resident Agency" with two agents (and no support staff) - Dave Viglione (an experienced engineer whom I've met a couple of times) and Gene Stambro, whon I do not recall meeting.

IIRC John Theimer was an engineer there - he may have been the chief - but he transferred to Philadelphia in 1980 and retired when I did.

I wish sometimes that I should have made a copy of a field roster that my former boss had from the mid-1960s when I joined the agency. It listed all the old-timers. One day in the late 80s we sat and went down the list, noting the few who were still "aboard" and where they were at the time.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4535. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 01:55:51 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <95579549DE5AB486.65E864E8423D4B86.D2574C65AB956424@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58723

Last bit, on this, Jim. My fingers just aren't ready for this at home after programming all day.

"N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
news:c2356669.0212271544.2850b1f3@posting.google.com...
> "Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<61D736DA87E2D2C2.AC3DBDEC00FBA672.136E639AE83298B3@lp.airnews.net>...
> > "N2EY" <N2EY@AOL.COM> wrote in message
> > news:c2356669.0212260955.5fed2357@posting.google.com...
> > > "Kim" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> > news:<uvdgpe4adjr896@corp.supernews.com>...
> > > > "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> > > > news:20021210223707.04125.00000278@mb-da.aol.com...
> > > > > In article <uvd1gheui81q0d@corp.supernews.com>, " Kim"
> > > > > <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
> > > >
> > > (Sorry I dropped this, Kim - I thought I'd replied already!)
>
> > heh heh heh...I hadn't even missed it if you had or hadn't. I'm going
to
> > try to snip stuff, if I can...this is so long *I* can hardly keep up!
>
> > > Boggles my mind.
> >
> > Well, there's even men like that (that hand over their paychecks each
week
> > to the little woman *snarf*).
>
> Doesn't always mean what it may appear. Example: He gets her to do all
> the paperwork and handle all the budget headaches, while he hits the
> ATM for spending cash.
>

My stated observations go further than just passing. These are friends of mine who live life like the above. Now, don't get me wrong, if it's good for them, far beit from me to make them change. BUT, I just don't get it.

>
> We are such a mobile society that things get all mixed up. Betcha you
> could "pass" for a native Texan to some unsuspecting visitors from
> other parts of the country.
>

I may be able to, but it'd be a challenge.

> >
> > That's why I've never been able to figure out if it's a
> > Southern vs. Northern, country vs. city, or whatever. It's kind of hard
to
> > ask someone where they were raised without them asking why--and I don't
> > really feel like getting into a debate or discussion with them about
this
> > whole thing.
>
> Careful listening will often ferret out the info. Like getting into a
> discussion about where people went to school.
>

Yeah, but it'd be such a small sampling of people that I'd wonder if it was
truly representative enough to form some solid opinion.

> >
> > Heck, I'm certain there's some folks whose husbands would have
> > me as public enemy number one.
>
> Probably with good reason...;-)
> >

Definitely.

> >
> > Well,
>
> [expletive deleted]
>
> > Jim! We women might get robbed, raped, beat, might fall in love
> > with some fine looking man who wants to buy our wares, the list (and
> > imagination) goes on!
>
> If it's that dangerous a place to live, and the ladies need that much
> protectin', then maybe the menfolk shouldn't be going off huntin' so
> much.
>

Naw, it's not a dangerous place to live. These guys (I'm speaking of these
family members) are the type who like to strut and that's all it's about.
They like to imagine that their little ladies need them every step of the
way. My husband used to have a problem with me saying "I don't need you in
my life--I want you in my life." But, I'm a communicator and we've talked
and talked and spent hours getting to know each other, so he knows what I
mean by that now. He was *willing* to learn what I meant. Some spouse says
that to one of brothers-in-law and it's all over, "we're going home." LOL

> >
> > See the list above, and add to whatever you can come up with--because I
am
> > sure each of those men has a list as long as from their fingertips to
their

> > elbows!
>
> Would be really intersting to just ask 'em and see what the thought
> process was. I really do suspect the money issue.
>

I don't know. I think it's a male issue. I have noticed, and maybe I'm wrong that men are more insecure about being men down here. I mean, they'll play with the kids, change the diapers, etc. But, my husband often brings home a "chic flick" (by the way that's a term I just learned in the last bit of time) for us to watch. I've heard women at work and socially complain that their husbands would never bring home a love story.

> >
> > Oh, yeah. The diatribe was always perfunctory and practiced. Women need
> > men--for protection and all kinds of other things.
>
> If you'd been going out that way before you met him, what suddenly
> made it so much more dangerous?
>

<BIG GRIN> That was my first approach with him.

>
> Perhaps he was afraid you'd meet somebody else, and it would be his
> manly duty to rassle the guy fer ya.
> >

Yep, that's exactly what I told him. Jealousy is a control issue, and is founded in fear. I told my husband that if he could not feel as loved as he is, then we had a much bigger problem than me going out with the girls--or anyone else for that matter.

>
> > For instance,
> > if something really, really means that much to me--no matter what it is--I
> > don't want to have to negotiate.
>
> Too bad, because negotiating is part of any relationship. Even if you
> get 100% of what you want.
>

Absolutely. But there may be things--mind you I haven't found them, that are not to be negotiated.

> >
> > I want my husband to have the faith and
> > understanding that I am compassionate, understanding, and respectful enough
> > to make the right decision--but understand that sometimes that right
> > decision is for me first and us second. Same way for him.

>
> Which just means there are things you value more than the
> relationship.
>

I'm not sure it's that, Jim. With me, it's more that I have every faith in
our relationship that he could go do whatever he needs to do--if it's really
that important to him. I might not like it and I might be miserable while
he's doing it, but I'd respect his desire and I would not want to be
responsible for keeping him from doing something that important.

> > Now, before you
> > go off thinking I am being really ridiculous on that, here's an example:
> >
> > I have always, always wanted to go to space.
>
> Me, too.
>
> > It has never been considered
> > by me as within the realm of possible. My husband completely loses it
at
> > the thought of me going to space (I gave him the option, in my
theoretical
> > example to go with me; he did not want to). So, the question is--do I
go?
> > He must have enough understanding, compassion, and respect for me to
> > understand that I cannot let "us" stand in the way of going to space. I
> > understand that he may not like it, but it is his fear of my not coming
back
> > that he doesn't want me to go.
>
> Ah - let's hold it right there.
>
> What he's opposed to, then, is not your taking a space trip, but
> rather the risk involved.
>

Yes, I suppose.

>
> Looking at the history of Americans in space, the risk is pretty
> binary - either you come back alive or you don't. In fact, either the
> whole crew comes back alive or nobody does. Not much middle ground,
> huh?
>
> > To me, the risk of not coming back does not
> > weigh much against the absolute once in a lifetime chance of gazing down
on
> > this Earth from out there.
>
> Understood!

>
> I'd toss in some other factors, though. If you had little kids or
> others who were relying on you, it could be argued that taking
> avoidable risks is irresponsible. But once the kids are grown and
> gone, it's a different game.
>

Yeah, that's where it would be difficult. One should not have kids if they
cannot suspend a deep desire presenting itself as opportunity.

> >
> > I could not let "us" be the weighting factor...I
> > would go--*with* the perfect understanding that he may not want to be
> > married when I get back.
>
> But if you DO get back, the risk is over, because you said it was a
> once in a lifetime thing. And if you don't get back, it's rather a
> moot point.
>
> Still, your basic point is well taken: Not everything is negotiable.
> Some things are deal-breakers (or relationship-breakers, if you will).
> >

Right.

> >
> > Hmmmm, that's a really weird example, but I hope it's illustrative.
> >
> Actually quite illustrative. Lots of other scenarios.
>
> > > Was he ready to stop going to bars wtih his buddies if you'd give up
> > > doing the same with your girlfriends?
>
> ??
>
> I suppose not!
>

Oh, sorry. Well, "going to bars" isn't all that great an example, but I am
more the person who goes out (shopping, meeting friends at Starbucks, going
to movies by myself or with other people, etc.) than he is. He runs around
town all week while he's working and I am cooped up in an office. So,
weekend comes and I just ready to charge. He stays home, I go out. BUT,
that's only after a few years of learning each other.

> > >
> > > > Women can take care of themselves.
> > >
> > > Some PEOPLE can take care of themselves. Others can't.
> > >
> > > > Mind you, I am far from a women's
> > > > libber. In fact, I can't stand 'em.

> > >
> > > Ya gotta define what you mean by "women's libber". Most of what you've
> > > described in this discussion is the very essence of "women's lib":
> > >
> > > An oppressive bitch.
> >
> > Clarify, please. I'm sure you know what you mean, but my definition
> > might not match yours.
> >
> > > I am not an oppressive bitch, I don't want to wear the
> > > pants in the family, I like the door opened for me, I like a man buying
> my
> > > meal, etc., etc. I am not demeaned in any way by a man treating me like
> a
> > > woman.
> >
> > No offense, but to some people it might sound like you want to have
> > your equality and special perks too. Example: Seems like you want
> > equal pay for equal work, but at the same time "he" is expected to pay
> > for things like dates.
> >

No, not really. In fact, I wish the economy would allow for me to have a traditional relationship--one I prefer. I would love to have dinner on the table, get up in the morning and fix my husband dinner, etc., you know, the way it used to be. And, on dates, nope. I have a separate little account that I put a very insignificant amount of money into with each payroll period. If I choose to save up, I do and if I choose to spend, I do. I often pay for our dates, dinner, etc. Heh heh, often it's the only way I can coax him out....LOL

> > See the apparent contradiction?
> >

I didn't realize I was presenting a contradiction. Bottom line, for me, is: women who "make it" life are often prone to have extreme ego about the fact that they made it because they are a woman. I have never had that kind of feeling. I make my way in life because I work at it and don't think I am at any more a risk of not making it than a man. I thnk women who concentrate on that as a barrier often make their own paths, but you'd never hear them admit that.

> > >
> > >I am angry at *anyone* who thinks they should rule.
> >
> > That I can agree with!
> > >
> > > > - Financial independence for women
> > > > - Equality in relationships
> > > > - Skills/behaviors/roles determined by interests and abilities, not

> > > predetermined gender images
> >
> > Yeah, weird, huh, but every women's libber I've really ever been exposed
to
> > is just on this "woman" kick--and won't shut up about it.
>
> Expand and explain, please.
>

Jim, I promise if you'll remind me, we may be able to pick this up again.
This is one of those kind of discussions that are so neat to have, but at a
coffee shop...LOL

[Submissions of differences in the way men and women think and interpret
things SNIPPED]
> > >
> > > There are lots more.
> > >
> >
> > Yeah, but see...my take on that is that those people who think like the
> > above haven't had a discussion with each other. Once I know a man
thinks
> > like _______ and once he understands that I think like _______, all
that's
> > left for us to do is be aware and sensitive to those differences.
>
> True - but that means keeping at least two sets of definitions clear
> in one's head.
>

I think it's easy enough done. Each man really doesn't think that
differently from the next. Nor women.

> >
> > Dave/K8MN
> > will roll his eyes back at that one, but it's true, as far as I'm
concerned.
>
> But wouldn't it be so much easier to use one set of definitions?
>

Absolutely!!! But that's not going to happen.

> > > >
> > > > (By the way--and you knew this you just forgot--those folks who all
have
> > > > the
> > > > common goal? They're always around you...not just on Sundays)
> > > >
> > > Oh yes! More than ya might imagine.
>
> > Oh, I doubt it's bigger than my imagination...<BEG>

>
> You might be surprised...
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY
>

I wouldn't even be surprised to hear you are a monastic(?) monk. By the way, my favorite place as a teen-ager, and one where I really learned a foundation of things I believe today, was at New Skete Monastery in Cambridge, NY. The Brothers and Sisters were incredible people; and I was always allowed my questions.

Kim W5TIT

4536. Re: eHam.net Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 02:10:11 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021227211011.01967.00000022@mb-df.aol.com>
Source record: 58724

From: "Jim Hampton" aa2qa@frontiernet.net
>Subject: Re: eHam.net Survey

This is the same (Morse code) debate. Nothing new here.

From WA2SI
>> > Hmm... (It'd sure be nice if it were to be decided by a vote.)
>> > 73 de Bert
>> > WA2SI

No, voting on this is improper and inherently unfair.

4537. Season's Greetings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 03:09:29 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021227220929.10292.00000984@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 58727

I have been remiss in my offer of Season's Greetings! I would like to wish all my fellow amateurs worldwide a very happy and fulfilling Kwanza!

73 de Larry, K3LT

4538. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 03:09:30 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021227220930.10292.00000985@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 58726

In article <f45722ac.0212270758.4014ff4c@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>> In article <f45722ac.0212260825.7bfa4eb2@posting.google.com>,
>> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>>
>> >Basically, a jerk on RRAP = A jerk on the air, no matter how hard you
>> >and Larry try to say it isn't so. No wonder you're such a big backer
>> >of the 11.8 second QSO. At about 12 seconds people catch on that
>> >you're a blow hard jerk, then they QSY. I really wish you could have
>> >good amateur radio experiences like I've had, but the ball's in your
>> >court. I hope you figure it out before SK time.
>> >
>> >73, Brian
>>
>> Brian:
>>
>> I'm sure it's entirely possible that you're not a jerk on the air!
>
>Yes, correct.

Brian:

I'm glad that at least YOU think so!

> However,
>> if there's ever a case that could prove that what you say is right, I'm
>> sure you must be it!
>
>Sorry. It doesn't work that way. First you have to be a jerk.

Brian, all the evidence presented in this newsgripe would suggest that
you are, indeed, a jerk! One of the things that jerks do is make blind
judgments about people! To claim that I'm a jerk on the air, without
ever having had a QSO with me, in itself causes you to be suspected of
being a jerk -- if not providing indisputable of same! Where do you get
off making such gratuitously disparaging remarks? Oh, never mind --
I remember now -- you're a no-coder. I should have expected this.

73 de Larry, K3LT

4539. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 03:15:14 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021227221514.21031.00000341@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 58728

In article <auisub02d0p@enews1.newsguy.com>, "Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org>
writes:

>frustrating to
>spend an hour or more chasing what I think is a western station only to find
>that he's local. Two cases in point: A 6 answered my CQ and I figured I had
>CA in the bag -- only to find the #&^$#@! is located in West Virginia. Then
>there was the 7 who answered me after I spent over an hour digging around
>listening for a 7 -- I caught him signing with another station and called
>him. He was in OH. No, I said nothing to either of them about it -- just
>had a normal QSO and kept on looking.

Once upon a time, FCC required that one's call match the call district, and you had to sign with portable or mobile identifiers if you were away from the station location on your license. Some hams had a whole slew of callsigns in a short span of time because they moved around a lot.

Should we go back to that system? It was abandoned (in part) so that hams who moved wouldn't have to change their callsigns. And it was abandoned at least 25 years ago.

73 de Jim, N2EY

(I kept my 2-land call when I moved from NY back to PA in 1979. Reason: Back then, if I'd given it up, it would not have been reassigned to another ham).

>
>Of course, I still keep an ARRL paper logbook and drive a 30 year old VW
>Beetle.

4540. Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 03:17:48 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3E0D17DC.6030305@mailcity.com>
Source record: 58749

WA8ULX wrote:
>>Just like you huh brucie.
>>
>
>
> Andy kiss off, you stupid renta cop.

Who is Andy? No wonder you had to wait for the extra light, you
don't even know who you are talking to.

4541. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 03:32:24 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <cX8P9.16709$p_6.1290404@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 58729
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Zoran Brlecic" <WA7AA@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:3E0CF5D4.6060705@attbi.com...
> K�HB wrote:
>
> > If I go on vacation to KP2, should I change my call?
>
> No, just have a courtesy to sign /KP2. Is that so difficult?
>
> > That's the only way I
> > could comply with your mythical "DXCC prefix system".
>
> ??? My mythical "DXCC prefix system"? You're joking, right? I mean, the
> DXCC list with its separate prefix entries for KH1, KH2, KP2, ZS8, ZC4,
> ZD8, VK9X and dozens (if not hundreds) of others has been used for
> decades. Now all of a sudden there's a mysterious tendency to ignore it.
> Why?

Quick perusal of QSL boxes yield the following:

KB6 (Baker), KJ6 (Johnston), 9S4 (Saar), I1 (Trieste), 1Z4 (Norhday Island).
Hmmm ... here is another: KA2SK ("King Arthurs Two Small Kids") (Japan).
KA6IJ (No, it's not California)
VP6 (Jamaica). Won't even bother with all the other Carribean changes :-)
UQ2 (et al)
DL (The entity changed, prefix did not).
KS4 ... three of them, all different DX entities at different times.

(Babble mode on)

There never has been any "DXCC prefix system".
Just a long list of Prefix - Start date - End date - Entity Name

Don't get me started on Zones ... CQ and IARU e.g.

States, provinces, prefectures, regions, et al. Same problem.

(I'm in the process of creating a database schema to represent the
relationships between a geographic place, the prefixes used for
ham radio licenses in that place, the dates those prefixes were valid,
whether the prefix was authorized by local authority, the DXCC
entity assigned to that geographic place at that time, etc. etc.
Is a major worm can.)

Now where did I put that VS1 (I mean VR2) QSL?
Oh, never mind. VR2 used to be somewhere else.

ZE? Or is that Z2? or is it one of the tribal-lands prefixes in use
for only a short time? Or were those parts of South Africa?

At least the I1BNU card is quite clear - it ain't Italy :-)

(Babble mode off)

--

... Hank

Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

4542. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 03:35:07 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <LZ8P9.16714$p_6.1288043@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 58730
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org> wrote in message
news:auisub02d0p@enews1.newsguy.com...
>
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> news:cuvyxnansvbet.h7snw17.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> > On Fri, 27 Dec 2002 21:52:52 +0000, Walt Davidson wrote:
> >
> > >I would expect him to use KP2/N8QRZ. The US Virgin Islands are not a
> > >part of the USA, and therefore it makes no sense to use a plain USA
> > >prefix from there.
> >
> > For radio regulatory purposes, the US Virgin Islands are "part of
> > the United States" and amateur radio is regulated by the Federal
> > Communications Commission (FCC) there.
> > >
> > >When I go to Gibraltar on vacation, I use ZB2/G3NYY. It's a very
> > >similar situation. Gibraltar is a British territory, but not part of
> > >Great Britain.
> >
> > Does UK radio regulatory law specify or allow use of a "regular" UK
> > call sign issued to the operator when s/he is in Gibraltar or must
> > the operator append the location indicator?
> >
> > US regulatory law allows use of a "plain" US call sign in the US
> > Virgin Islands - without a "location indicator" - if that is the
> > call sign assigned to the operator. I was one of those folks who
> > fought long and hard several decades ago to get the FCC to change
> > the rules which formerly required detailed logging as well as such
> > indicators and the words "portable" and "mobile" when operating away
> > from the station's "authorized location", which is another concept
> > that no longer exists in American amateur regulations.
> >
> > You are advocating use of what the FCC rules call a a self-assigned
> > indicator which is allowed before or after the call sign or not used
> > sat all at the option of the operator. It is ALLOWED. but not
> > REQUIRED.
> >
> > In the U S of A, what is not required by the rules cannot be
> > required - it's optional on the part of the operator. I choose not to
> > use it.
> >
> > ---
> > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

> >
> >
> At the risk of starting a flame war or some equally useless waste of time, I
> suggest that not using the location indicator is poor operating practice at
> worst and bad manners at best, regardless of what the regulations permit.
>
> I am a very QRP CW operator living in NE TN. It's a bit challenging for me
> to work the western states on 300 milliwatts and it's damned frustrating to
> spend an hour or more chasing what I think is a western station only to find
> that he's local. Two cases in point: A 6 answered my CQ and I figured I had
> CA in the bag -- only to find the #&^$#@! is located in West Virginia. Then
> there was the 7 who answered me after I spent over an hour digging around
> listening for a 7 -- I caught him signing with another station and called
> him. He was in OH. No, I said nothing to either of them about it -- just
> had a normal QSO and kept on looking.
>
> Of course, I still keep an ARRL paper logbook and drive a 30 year old VW
> Beetle.

Use a callsign database.

If you need Oregon, let me know what bands and I'll be
glad to give you a sked and (probably) a contact :-)

--

... Hank

Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

4543. Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 03:44:36 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021227224436.06302.00000074@mb-dh.aol.com>
Source record: 58731

>Just like you huh brucie.
>

Andy kiss off, you stupid renta cop.

4544. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 03:53:20 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7sw0ua.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58732
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 28 Dec 2002 00:52:39 GMT, Zoran Brlecic wrote:

>and the only thing we would be able to tell
>from the callsign would be which country's administration issued the
>call.

That's what a call sign is meant to be by international regulatory practice. Neither the ITU nor the FCC give a flying fig for the arbitrary "DXCC countries". We are darned lucky that the FCC will issue 1x1 special events call signs at all.

On the US of A at least nothing prevents adding the optional self-assigned indcators by those who want to play the DXCC game.

>The US is comprised of 18 DXCC countries,

EX-CUSE ME? The US is one country. DXers may consider it to have 10 different places for DXCC credit, but it is ONE country for radio regulatory purposes.

>There's already a band with a similar concept and it's called CB.

Wash your mouth out with laundry soap.
---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
(Licensed 50 years)

From a Clearing in the Silicon Forest
Beaverton (Washington County) Oregon

4545. Re: eHam.net Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 03:58:22 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <yj9P9.476017$WL3.125461@rwcrnsc54>
Source record: 58733

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021227211011.01967.00000022@mb-df.aol.com...

> No, voting on this is improper and inherently unfair.

It's just a survey put out by some bored people anddesigned to yank some people's chains. I swear, hasn't everyone in this hobby weighed in on this subject by now? I put in my 2 cents on this survey- I'm one of the odd ones out. I don't take a stand on this subject either way, because I don't know enough about using CW yet to judge its usefulness one way or the other.

I can say that I now know CW... at least, I can copy/send very well at 7.5 WPM. I'm sure there are some readers here who're chuckling under their breath about that. They are obviously too old and/or brain dead to remember when they were beginners. No names or callsigns- you know who you are, trollers.

Jackie

4546. Re: Something for everyone...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 04:06:49 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212272006.6c0a61c8@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58734

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3E0C8825.F2A3345E@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0BA4B9.AA45E4CA@earthlink.net>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0B071C.EFE82B90@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E095527.4E083F22@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > 5. Nine-year-old makes Extra.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Much to Leonard H. Anderson's chagrin.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > So?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > So we can't have mere children operating radio equipment without adult
> > > > > > > supervision according to Leonard H.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I think todays youth needs more adult supervision. Don't you?
> > > > >
> > > > > That's probably what your grandfather said.
> >
> > >
> > > My grandfather died in 1959. He hasn't said much since then.
> >
> Must be a family tradition. You haven't said much either.
>
> Dave K8MN

Hey Dave, go rub up against a fence post.

4547. Re: Something for everyone...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 04:09:22 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212272009.4107dea0@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58735

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:<v0ppf951es82ab@corp.supernews.com>...
> Maybe more adults need the supervision of children?

Jim, thanks for trying to salvage a bad situation.

Dave just needs a life.

4548. Re: Something for everyone...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 04:20:40 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212272020.6446d21a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58736

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021227120545.02729.00000493@mb-ch.aol.com>...
> > I'm waiting for the standards to go up again.
>
> Who are you kidding your waiting for me FREE HANDOUTS

No, that was never me. I don't mind working for what I get.

> >I
> >don't like how it turned out. Idiots like you getting Extra?
>
> And Bigger Idiots like you got the General

Idiots like you getting Extra???

> > I'm sure Karl is really sorry about all of this,
>
> Karls a losser just like you

A "losser" just like me? What is a "losser?"

> >no grandfathering, everyone retests.
>
> You better hope this doesnt happen, it will put you back to CB Status
>
> Your just mad that you cant take the bet and make $250

When idiots like you play the lottery, one in a million get lucky.

You were born a moron. You'll die a moron. If Bill Gates spent every
red cent he has on tutoring you, you'd still be a moron. You're a
universal constant. Your picture is in the back of my calc book
under, "Universal Constant."

$250 won't fix you.

4549. Re: eHam.net Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 04:23:31 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212272023.4363a858@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58737

"Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:<v0pp9f7inp753b@corp.supernews.com>...
> Dan, they take an average. I voted for 1,000 words per minute ;)
>
> That should take care of at least 200 votes for no code.

I voted for Bruce words per minute. Only problem is that no one can
figure out what Bruce words are.

4550. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 04:27:01 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212272027.336ad1e5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58738
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message news:<kV%O9.1862
>
> The FCC could care less about DXCC

Dave confuses DXCC with FCC. Ditto his minions. You five need a one on one.

4551. This is a good one

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 04:41:32 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <skaq0v01p5jhp1iqqbigm89o5i4dn22lam@4ax.com>
Source record: 58740

Check out this enforcement action link. Particularly the VE related one.

http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/2002/1214.html

looks like we have some VE's that were a little too helpful. Then again, maybe the guy just really really really studied hard before his seventh test! ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

4552. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 04:41:42 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212272041.5897abef@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58739

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3E0C879E.D884D8F0@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> > Basic training? You did skits in basic training?
>
> For the entire six weeks we did skits, jumped through unnecessary and
> irrelevant hoops (according to some) and we were forced to participate
> in numerous archaic rituals and traditions. We did relay races and
> other feats of skill. We got to mess with maps and compasses and even
> rifles. We had to responds to hand motions come up with certain noises
> or phrases when a certain word was said.

You don't suprise me much. Sounds like an entire career in the foreign service.

> > I have enough, thank you. Why not devote some of your time to a
> > volunteer organization rather than impress us with your dx total.
>
> I haven't tried to impress you with my DX totals. I was out of the
> country for over fifteen years, Brian. I haven't even tried to impress
> you with my operating abilities.

You're a smart man, sometimes. Why try to impress me when you know it won't?

I already devote some of my time to
> various organizations though that isn't a necessity.

Scouting isn't a necessity, either.

I've even
> particpated in past Jamborees On The Air from Sierra Leone and Botswana
> putting local Scouts in touch with U.S. and European Scouts.

Ditto JOTA from kh2, although the international date line was a bit of a problem.

> > Try to stay on-topic.
>
> I thought I was. You were listing things which were only a small part
> of scouting.

Mufflers?

> > Is that what I said?
>
> If you go back and read the thread, you'll find that is the case.

Wow. Such a smart guy like you andyou come to such stupid conclusions.

> > Then how do you play "bootlegging from Somalia" if you can't go?
>

> You're "it". Last tag.

Sorry, haven't been there in a decade. You're still it.

> >
> > I had permission from my commander.
>
> Sure you did. Prove it.

Ask him.

> > I'm not even sure there is anyone left there to give you permission.
> > Perhaps the State Dept. can give you the name of some in-country UNer.
>
> You must have missed the part where I'm not going.

Then you lied about playing. But that's not surprising.

"CQ French Hams on 6M...+2kc"

Brian

4553. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 04:43:36 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212272043.2006a297@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58741

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021227111250.01833.00000004@mb-mq.aol.com>...
> >I thought as a VCR repairman you'd be able to figure out TVI.
>
> Oh I can figure it out, but I like causing it, and blaming it on CBplussers

Sure you do. You're clueless.

4554. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 04:48:35 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <WA7AA@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <3E0D2D1D.3010301@attbi.com>
Source record: 58742
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> : ??? My mythical "DXCC prefix system"? You're joking, right?
>
> You might be new around here. DXCC doesn't issue prefixes. Never has.
> Never will.

[Sigh] OK, you win. This is like talking to a wall...

> And there are only three countries called USA, not 18. In alphabetical
> order they are --
>
> Union of South Africa
> United States of America
> United States of Argentina

... because clearly, the above "argument" is a pathetic strawman. I say "the US is comprised of 18 DXCC countries" and you reply with the nonsense above.

73 .... Zoran WA7AA

4555. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 04:55:01 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <WA7AA@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <3E0D2E9E.4090303@attbi.com>
Source record: 58743
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hank Oredson wrote:

> There never has been any "DXCC prefix system".
> Just a long list of Prefix - Start date - End date - Entity Name

Exactly - this "long list of [criteria]" is what makes a system.
Therefore, if there has been "a long list of [criteria]", that means
that there has been a DXCC system in place that has worked fairly well
so far.

> (I'm in the process of creating a database schema to represent the
> relationships between a geographic place, the prefixes used for
> ham radio licenses in that place, the dates those prefixes were valid,
> whether the prefix was authorized by local authority, the DXCC
> entity assigned to that geographic place at that time, etc. etc.
> Is a major worm can.)
>
> Now where did I put that VS1 (I mean VR2) QSL?
> Oh, never mind. VR2 used to be somewhere else.

So you agree that adding unnecessary confusion (N8 = KP2) into the
already slightly convoluted system is absurd?

Ah, forget it...

73 .... WA7AA

4556. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 04:56:24 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212272056.282eaddb@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58744

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3E0C83D7.E1552F89@earthlink.net>...
> Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> >
> > >Subject: Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?
> > >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> > >Date: 12/26/02 1:33 PM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <f45722ac.0212261133.74d1dbba@posting.google.com>
>
> > >Steve, I was referring to his limited view of amateur radio, not his career.
> >
> > It doesn't matter, Brian. I'd take Dave's word over any one else's in this
> > forum when it comes to operating from a DX location, and I have BEEN the "DX"
> > before.

Steve, even when he didn't know what was required to operate in a
country w/o a government? But whatever it was, I was wrong - till I
quoted the ARRL web site?

You'd be pathetic to to take his word. Might as well work Frenchmen
on 6M out of band.

> > >Do you limit your amateur radio operating strictly to 11.8 second QSO's?
> >
> > Only in the contests or pile-ups. I know enough about "good Amateur
> > practice to know when 11.8 seconds is my "fifteen minutes of fame" and when I
> > can take the liberty of carrying on a conversation..
>
> Brian goes on a rant without bothering to attempt reading and
> comprehending what the other fellow has said. To a DXer, any country
> you haven't worked and confirmed is "DX". I've chewed the rag with
> plenty of "G" stations on 20 or 15 or 10m. I've had the country
> confirmed for decades for my overall DXCC. I did not attempt to chew
> the rag with "G" stations running pileups on 6m or 160m since they were
> busy handing out a new band country to many.

I'm quite aware that I've worked only 170 countries on 10M SSB. And
I'm quite aware that I've ragchewed with what you would call rare
ones. The only problem is that they were in the neighborhood, they
had the time, I had the time.

So what's you're problem? Do you intend to dictate to Mongolia and
Malaysia your DX paradigm? Knock yourself out. Pffft.

> > I have a (sponsored) RCC
> > award.
>

> You didn't have to pay ten bucks for it either. That has all changed
> with the "new ARRL".

You must still think that the ARRL owns DX. How ethnocentric of you.

4557. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 04:58:37 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212272058.39ce0c53@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58745

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021227090651.02011.00000002@mb-mk.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?
> >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> >Date: 12/26/02 1:33 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <f45722ac.0212261133.74d1dbba@posting.google.com>
>
> >Steve, I was referring to his limited view of amateur radio, not his career.
>
> It doesn't matter, Brian.

It does matter. You're in over your head. Start swimming for shore
or a nearby buoy. Try not to make too many splashing noises while
you're at it.

4558. Re: What I asked Santa for.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 05:02:52 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212272102.115f90ef@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58746

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021226141025.07096.00000430@mb-mu.aol.com>...
> >144 - 148 MHz the new CB band!
> >Bruce I thought you avoided the 2 meter CBers
> >
> >--
> >'73' From KB9TMP
>
> I do, the MarkV doesnt operate on 2 Meter CB

Only because you're too stupid to get a Ten-Tec transverter.

4559. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 05:04:19 UTC
From: Zoran Brlecic <WA7AA@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <3E0D30CD.3000902@attbi.com>
Source record: 58747
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Phil Kane wrote:

>>and the only thing we would be able to tell
>>from the callsign would be which country's administration issued the
>>call.

> That's what a call sign is meant to be by international regulatory
> practice. Neither the ITU nor the FCC give a flying fig for the
> arbitrary "DXCC countries".

[Sigh again] I see, so you guys reply to my posts with a notion that I am a feeble-minded three-year-old cretin who doesn't know anything, eh?

> We are darned lucky that the FCC will
> issue 1x1 special events call signs at all.

I don't know why we're "lucky" for this, but my complaint addresses the indiscriminate usage of such calls from the DXCC entities whose prefixes do not correspond to the calls in question.

> On the US of A at least nothing prevents adding the optional
> self-assigned indcators by those who want to play the DXCC game.

Bingo.

>>The US is comprised of 18 DXCC countries,

> EX-CUSE ME? The US is one country. DXers may consider it to have
> 10 different places for DXCC credit, but it is ONE country for radio
> regulatory purposes.

The plaintiff will kindly re-read the statement and notice that the defendant clearly stated "18 ***DXCC*** countries". OK, perhaps I should have said 18 DXCC entities. Again: my name is not George W. Bush. I do have a brain.

> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
> (Licensed 50 years)

You beat me by 25 years. Not that it means anything.

73 .... WA7AA

4560. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 05:09:24 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <8maP9.2690$Oc.330248@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58748

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote

: Once upon a time, FCC required that one's call match the call district,
and you
: had to sign with portable or mobile identifiers if you were away from the
: station location on your license.

Worse than that was the infamous FCC rule &#65533;12.91(a) which required us to send written notification of any mobile or portable operation in excess of 48 hours away from our "fixed transmitter location". Such notification had to include the date/duration of portable/mobile operation, the contemplated location for portable operation, or the detailed mobile itinerary. The notification had to be renewed in writing each additional month of such operation.

Many of us in the military, to preserve our original "home" call sign, just applied for "additional station" licenses for our current location. This allowed us to keep our home call and avoid the tedium of sending a 12.91(a) letter each month (or get cited for no 12.91(a) notification on file).

Yes, Virginia, it was Part 12 back then, not Part 97.

Thankfully all that rigmarole is long gone, and my callsign is legal anywhere in the USA and possessions without tacking on awkward suffixes/prefixes, notification letters, and other anal retentive folderol.

73, de Hans, K0HB

4561. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 05:38:52 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <MNaP9.2719$Oc.334628@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58750

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote
: Hmm, lemme see, who have I worked on the ham bands who post/have posted
here?
:
: Just off the top o' me noggin:

Here I count......

N2EY
K3LT (@ W3DOV)
W4NTI
W6RCA
AC6XG
W9WI
K4YZ
KH2D
KV4FZ
W1RFI
KE3Z

Others I can't recall.

73, de Hans, K0HB/4ID

4562. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 05:47:47 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <7WaP9.82367$hK4.6707323@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 58751
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Zoran Brlecic" <WA7AA@attbi.com> wrote in message
news:3E0D2E9E.4090303@attbi.com...
> Hank Oredson wrote:
>
> > There never has been any "DXCC prefix system".
> > Just a long list of Prefix - Start date - End date - Entity Name
>
> Exactly - this "long list of [criteria]" is what makes a system.
> Therefore, if there has been "a long list of [criteria]", that means
> that there has been a DXCC system in place that has worked fairly well
> so far.
>
> > (I'm in the process of creating a database schema to represent the
> > relationships between a geographic place, the prefixes used for
> > ham radio licenses in that place, the dates those prefixes were valid,
> > whether the prefix was authorized by local authority, the DXCC
> > entity assigned to that geographic place at that time, etc. etc.
> > Is a major worm can.)
> >
> > Now where did I put that VS1 (I mean VR2) QSL?
> > Oh, never mind. VR2 used to be somewhere else.
>
>
> So you agree that adding unnecessary confusion (N8 = KP2) into the
> already slightly convoluted system is absurd?
>
> Ah, forget it...

Um ... yes ... I just agreed with you, but also pointed out that
things are not simple now, have not been simple in the past,
and will not be simple in the future. The reason is obvious:
DXCC entities (or WAE countries or CQ zones) are not something
the licensing authorities care about, or usually even know about.

"Real DXers" (TM) work 'em first and sort 'em out later.

Been that way for the 49 years I've been chasing DX, don't
expect it will change.

--

... Hank

Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

4563. MHz to Mc Conversion Table

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 06:21:54 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <v0qgo0tch73qe0@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 58752

Just found this over at Eham.net, now I'm beginning to
wonder if Bruce WA8ULX isn't right after all!

The MHz to Mc Conversion Tables by
George, "Geo" (K0FF)
http://www.eham.net/articles/4323

--
'73' From KB9TMP
"The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
if you can fake that, you've got it made."
-Groucho Marx

4564. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 06:30:14 UTC
From: Hisashi T Fujinaka <htodd@spiretech.com>
Message-ID: <htodd-2D0804.22301327122002@gaspra.spiretech.com>
Source record: 58753
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

In article <auisub02d0p@enews1.newsguy.com>,
"Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org> wrote:

> At the risk of starting a flame war or some equally useless waste of time, I
> suggest that not using the location indicator is poor operating practice at
> worst and bad manners at best, regardless of what the regulations permit.
>
> I am a very QRP CW operator living in NE TN. It's a bit challenging for me
> to work the western states on 300 milliwatts and it's damned frustrating to
> spend an hour or more chasing what I think is a western station only to find
> that he's local. Two cases in point: A 6 answered my CQ and I figured I had
> CA in the bag -- only to find the #&^$#@! is located in West Virginia. Then
> there was the 7 who answered me after I spent over an hour digging around
> listening for a 7 -- I caught him signing with another station and called
> him. He was in OH. No, I said nothing to either of them about it -- just
> had a normal QSO and kept on looking.
>
> Of course, I still keep an ARRL paper logbook and drive a 30 year old VW
> Beetle.

I hate when people keep their callsigns when they move outside of their
call area, and I curse them under my breath every time I mistakenly work
them. :) But the rules are the rules and people like Phil are just
making it more interesting by keeping me on my toes.

Like it wasn't hard enough already. But if it was too easy, I'd get
bored as well. Oh, I am so conflicted. :)

4565. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 06:33:56 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021228013356.10447.00000522@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 58754

>Sure you do. You're clueless.

Your Mama is clueless

4566. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 06:34:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0D45FA.8F953690@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58755

W5TIT wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3E0BF914.F38E96E8@earthlink.net...
> >
> > W5TIT wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > Yes it is, Dave. For me, it is. You're statement "I don't believe you"
> > > prompted the submission and you simply choose to ignore it. Maybe this
> will
> > > be "real" enough for you:
> >
> > Your stating "Yes it is" doesn't make it so. I choose to ignore it
> > because it isn't remotely relevant to what was under discussion: the
> > claims by a member of the lunatic fringe that we are sheep being raped
> > by our government.
> >
>
> Dave, from the beginning of this exchange between you and I, my premise has
> been that you stated "I don't believe you" to someone else about a comment
> they made. I submitted the movie of a true story about [probably] the story
> this person was relaying to you. I didn't endorse the movie; I didn't
> critique it; I didn't state a thing other than the fact that it
> existed--which is evidence enough that the story exists--but you've gone and
> proved it even further with your research below. That is *all* this is
> about, and you cannot make it more than that for me, because it isn't any
> more than that for me.

I've proved what, Kim? I followed a link provided by you and quoted
from same indicating that the parents may not have been very good at
becoming homemade biologists. None of this, by the way, has anything
whatever to do with whether we are sheep being raped by our government.

> > >
> > > http://carbon.cudenver.edu/~bstith/loren.htm
> >
> > What I learned about the homemade biologists' efforts from the above
> > link:
> >
> > "One Problem: Lorenzo's Oil May Not Work
> >
>
> The thread and exchanges between us aside, you *would* be the type to hang
> your hat on that "may."

I quoted a rather lengthy bit of information from the link provided by
you.
That information indicates that the homemade biologists who have nothing
to do with whether we are sheep being raped by our government, weren't
very good at what they did.

> >
> > Is the ending of the movie, showing many kids that have been
> > successfully treated, a bit of propaganda or not?
> >
>
> Hell, Dave, I don't even remember much of the movie. If you want to
> research it and see if it's worthy, then by all means have fun. My
> submissions to you have been nothing more than the fact that your "I don't
> believe you" is wrong because there is a true story about which the poster
> was relaying.

Kim, you aren't even responding to me. You're responding to the quote
from the link provided by you. Go argue with it.

> >
> > Most researchers have
> > concluded that the kids never would have gotten the disease and that
> > Lorenzo's Oil is not effective (Uziel et al., 1991; Rizzo, 1993;
> > Aubourg et al., 1993; Odone and Odone, 1994; see also Time, Sept. 20,
> > 1993, page 76). Dr. Moser suggests that that Lorenzo's Oil has no
> > effect on ALD kids showing symptoms (e.g., Lorenzo) probably because the
> > erucic acid does not enter the brain (thus, VLCSFAs still
> > build up in the brain) (Moser, 1995). These results suggest that
> > Lorenzo's survival is due to viability in the disease and the intense
> > effort
> > put forth by both Lorenzo and his parents (not the use of Lorenzo's
> > oil)."
> >
>
> Who cares, Dave? Why are you going off on some stupid-ass tangent about
> whether the research done in the story is valid or not? I simply submitted
> to you that it is factual that there were parents who did the research that
> the poster said he'd heard about in a story.

You're arguing with a quote from the web site.

> >
> > Indications are that they have no interest in radio.
> >
>
> And God knows that's about all you've got, Dave. You've gone stir-crazy.
> Cabin Fever, probably.

God knows. You don't.

> > >
> > > Hey, you're the one who validated the comment about the story by saying

> "I
> > > don't believe you." If you don't want to validate a particular portion
> of a
> > > post, then don't comment on it.
> >
> > Do I need to check in with you now?
> >
>
> Not if I don't have to check in with you--referring to your admonishment
> about my posting anything in this thread. I think it just really pisses you
> off that you opened mouth and inserted foot.

Naw. You only bother me when you grab onto something which is a mere
aside to what is under debate and try to make it an issue unto itself as
you've done here.

> > >
> > > It is the *only* part of the post I was
> > > interested in getting involved with.
> >
> > So the only part which interested you is the small bit which had nothing
> > to do with the matter at hand. Wundebar!
> >
>
> I'm not so sure that you telling someone you "don't believe them" *had*
> nothing to do with the matter at hand, Dave.

The link provided by you is pretty dismissive of the biological training
of the parents.

> > >
> > > Whether you choose to see that as
> > > "adding" to what's actually being discussed, is incidental. You
> discussed
> > > it by making your rhetorical comment about it.
> >
> > What rhetorical comment? "I don't believe you" is hardly rhetorical.
> >
>
> With you, life is rhetorical, Dave.

You'd have no way of knowing. I guess I don't believe you.

> >
> >
> > > > >
> > > > > As to my
> > > > > embracing the thoughts of anyone--it depends upon their point of
> view,
> > > > > *REGARDLESS* of their avocation or profession.
> > > >
> > > > Again, you haven't commented at all upon what was being discussed, you

> > > > chose to give us a movie review.
> >
>
> I don't think I've reviewed the movie at all, Dave. I gave you its title
> and website where you could look it up. Oh, did you think that was *my*
> review on the website?

The one with which you are now arguing? Not much chance of that.

> > >
> > > Once again, you're wrong. I haven't reviewed the movie at all.
> >
> > ...not very well at any rate.

> Not at all, Dave, and I don't think you can submit anything that shows I
> did. In fact, on 12/22/02, I stated the following in a post: "I won't tell
> you a thing about the movie. If you don't want to watch it, then don't. I
> offered evidence that the story this person was relaying was true...since
> you're such a doubter."

"This person" never said anything about a movie at all. We still don't
know anything factual about successful home schooling of the parents in
biology.

> So, uh, Dave, why don't you just take a few moments and tell us all where it
> is that I have reviewed the movie, substantiated it, or even said whether
> the whole thing was worth bothering with, huh? You charlatan.

Substantiated it? You've never ever substantiated anything here.

Dave K8MN

4567. Re: What I asked Santa for.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 06:38:45 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021228013845.10447.00000523@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 58756

>Only because you're too stupid to get a Ten-Tec transverter.

Hey Briam FUCK YOU, you Wimp Bastard

4568. Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 06:39:32 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021228013932.10447.00000524@mb-ba.aol.com>
Source record: 58757

>Who is Andy? No wonder you had to wait for the extra light, you
>don't even know who you are talking to.
>
>

Hes your Daddy Dumb ASS

4569. Re: Something for everyone...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 06:44:03 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0D4835.4188D29A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58758

Brian wrote:
>
> "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:<v0ppf951es82ab@corp.supernews.com>...
> > Maybe more adults need the supervision of children?
>
> Jim, thanks for trying to salvage a bad situation.
>
> Dave just needs a life.

Dave isn't the one traipsing about in the woods showing the young lads
how to make radio by rubbing a couple of 1N34As together.

Dave K8MN

4570. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 06:47:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0D48F8.E243C02B@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58759
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian wrote:
>
> "K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message news:<kV%O9.1862
> >
> > The FCC could care less about DXCC
>
> Dave confuses DXCC with FCC. Ditto his minions. You five need a one on one.

Do you have a single reference of such a comment from me? I didn't
think so.
By the way, I don't have any minions. We dried them and gave to the
neighbors for use in soups.

Dave K8MN

4571. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 06:53:21 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0D4A55.22C8E3D8@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58760

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0C879E.D884D8F0@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > > Basic training? You did skits in basic training?
> >
> > For the entire six weeks we did skits, jumped through unnecessary and
> > irrelevant hoops (according to some) and we were forced to participate
> > in numerous archaic rituals and traditions. We did relay races and
> > other feats of skill. We got to mess with maps and compasses and even
> > rifles. We had to responds to hand motions come up with certain noises
> > or phrases when a certain word was said.
>
> You don't suprise me much. Sounds like an entire career in the foreign service.

You do get confused easily. I wrote "basic training". Foreign Service
members don't go though boot camp.

> > > I have enough, thank you. Why not devote some of your time to a
> > > volunteer organization rather than impress us with your dx total.
> >
> > I haven't tried to impress you with my DX totals. I was out of the
> > country for over fifteen years, Brian. I haven't even tried to impress
> > you with my operating abilities.
>
> You're a smart man, sometimes. Why try to impress me when you know it won't?

When I know it won't what?

> I already devote some of my time to
> > various organizations though that isn't a necessity.
>
> Scouting isn't a necessity, either.

No kidding.

> I've even
> > particpated in past Jamborees On The Air from Sierra Leone and Botswana
> > putting local Scouts in touch with U.S. and European Scouts.
>
> Ditto JOTA from kh2, although the international date line was a bit of a problem.

Signals stop there?

> > > Try to stay on-topic.
> >

> > I thought I was. You were listing things which were only a small part
> > of scouting.
>
> Mufflers?

1N34A's?

> > > Is that what I said?
> >
> > If you go back and read the thread, you'll find that is the case.
>
> Wow. Such a smart guy like you andyou come to such stupid conclusions.

I'm not the one who responded to a question about construction projects
with a reply about a 12-pack of 1N34A's.

Dave K8MN

4572. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 06:54:31 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <HUbP9.2763$Oc.344612@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58761
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Hisashi T Fujinaka" <htodd@spiretech.com> wrote

:
: I hate when people keep their callsigns when they move outside of their
: call area, and I curse them under my breath every time I mistakenly work
: them.

Hi there,

(Are you related to Hashifisti Scratchi, a famous ham radio columnist?)

My original callsign was KG6AQI which I lost when I left Guam for "the world". I wonder if the current holder (in California) gets "hated" when folks find out he doesn't live in North Tipalao which was my town when I held the call.

Sunuvagun!

73, de Hans, K0HB

4573. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 07:03:13 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0D4CA6.110326FE@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58762

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0C83D7.E1552F89@earthlink.net>...
> > Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> > >
> > > >Subject: Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?
> > > >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> > > >Date: 12/26/02 1:33 PM Central Standard Time
> > > >Message-id: <f45722ac.0212261133.74d1dbba@posting.google.com>
> > >
> > > >Steve, I was referring to his limited view of amateur radio, not his career.
> > >
> > > It doesn't matter, Brian. I'd take Dave's word over any one else's in this
> > > forum when it comes to operating from a DX location, and I have BEEN the "DX"
> > > before.
>
> Steve, even when he didn't know what was required to operate in a
> country w/o a government? But whatever it was, I was wrong - till I
> quoted the ARRL web site?

...and still didn't have your ducks in a row.

> You'd be pathetic to to take his word. Might as well work Frenchmen
> on 6M out of band.

I was never out of band on 6m from anywhere.

> > > >Do you limit your amateur radio operating strictly to 11.8 second QSO's?
> > >
> > > Only in the contests or pile-ups. I know enough about "good Amateur
> > > practice to know when 11.8 seconds is my "fifteen minutes of fame" and when I
> > > can take the liberty of carrying on a conversation..
> >
> > Brian goes on a rant without bothering to attempt reading and
> > comprehending what the other fellow has said. To a DXer, any country
> > you haven't worked and confirmed is "DX". I've chewed the rag with
> > plenty of "G" stations on 20 or 15 or 10m. I've had the country
> > confirmed for decades for my overall DXCC. I did not attempt to chew
> > the rag with "G" stations running pileups on 6m or 160m since they were
> > busy handing out a new band country to many.
>
> I'm quite aware that I've worked only 170 countries on 10M SSB. And
> I'm quite aware that I've ragchewed with what you would call rare
> ones. The only problem is that they were in the neighborhood, they

> had the time, I had the time.

If a rare DX station wants to rag chew, he'll rag chew. It is the rare DX station's ball game--always.

> So what's you're problem? Do you intend to dictate to Mongolia and
> Malaysia your DX paradigm? Knock yourself out. Pffft.

You are making a "Len error". I did not establish DXing. I've been a long time participant from both ends of the pileup.

> > > I have a (sponsored) RCC
> > > award.
> >
> > You didn't have to pay ten bucks for it either. That has all changed
> > with the "new ARRL".
>
> You must still think that the ARRL owns DX. How ethnocentric of you.

That might be ethnocentric if the ARRL were an ethnic group. It isn't. For me to "still think" that ARRL owns DX, I'd have to have once thought it. I haven't. The ARRL owns DXCC. Don't like it? Start your own awards program which will be accepted by radio amateurs worldwide as the standard.

Maybe we can get you and Vipul straightened out over time.

Dave K8MN

4574. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 07:05:14 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0D4D2C.17A035DA@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58763

Brian wrote:
>
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021227090651.02011.00000002@mb-mk.aol.com>...
> > >Subject: Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?
> > >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> > >Date: 12/26/02 1:33 PM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <f45722ac.0212261133.74d1dbba@posting.google.com>
> >
> > >Steve, I was referring to his limited view of amateur radio, not his career.
> >
> > It doesn't matter, Brian.
>
> It does matter. You're in over your head. Start swimming for shore
> or a nearby buoy. Try not to make too many splashing noises while
> you're at it.

With your own limited experience in amateur radio, how would you know
the difference?

Dave K8MN

4575. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 07:06:56 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <k4cP9.2771$Oc.348270@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58764

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote

:
: Maybe we can get you and Vipul straightened out over time.
:

Santa DID bring you a sense of humor!

Sunuvagun!

73, de Hans, K0HB

4576. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 07:07:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0D4DC5.A92B7032@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58765

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:
>
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote
> : Hmm, lemme see, who have I worked on the ham bands who post/have posted
> here?
> :
> : Just off the top o' me noggin:
>
> Here I count......
>
> N2EY
> K3LT (@ W3DOV)
> W4NTI
> W6RCA
> AC6XG
> W9WI
> K4YZ
> KH2D
> KV4FZ
> W1RFI
> KE3Z
>
> Others I can't recall.

I seem to recall working you from several places.

Dave K8MN

4577. Re: MHz to Mc Conversion Table

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 07:09:02 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <i6cP9.2772$Oc.348581@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58766

"KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote

: Just found this over at Eham.net, now I'm beginning to
: wonder if Bruce WA8ULX isn't right after all!
:
: The MHz to Mc Conversion Tables by
: George, "Geo" (K0FF)
: http://www.eham.net/articles/4323

What part of "tongue in cheek" don't you understand? My congratulations to
K0FF for his excellent chart. Most useful indeed!

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

4578. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 07:11:09 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0D4E8F.75F2BB19@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58767

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>
> :
> : Maybe we can get you and Vipul straightened out over time.
> :
>
> Santa DID bring you a sense of humor!
>
> Sunuvagun!

It has been there all along on a number of levels.

Dave K8MN

4579. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 09:22:45 UTC
From: No Call Listed <MakesYouMad@anon.com>
Message-ID: <aujqfv$a6c$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58768

"W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:95579549DE5AB486.65E864E8423D4B86.D2574C65AB956424@lp.airnews.net...
> Last bit, on this, Jim. My fingers just aren't ready for this at home
after
> programming all day.
>

Gee, Kim. Don't you have something more useful to do? Such as laundry,
cooking or mopping floors? Now that your child-bearing days are over you
should have ample time for such mundane chores.

4580. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 10:59:43 UTC
From: Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92F23CDFCFFE5elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 58769
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in
news:f45722ac.0212272027.336ad1e5@posting.google.com:

> "K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message news:<kV%O9.1862
>>
>> The FCC could care less about DXCC
>
> Dave confuses DXCC with FCC. Ditto his minions. You five need a one
> on one.

Actually, I don't think USVI is part of the USA, it's a US territory, which isn't the same thing. The USA is only the 50 states plus DC. Then there is Puerto Rico, which has 'commonwealth' status, where it is etiher a state or a territory. Nobody is saying that they are not under FCC jurisdiction, or that you have to use /KP2 when in USVI, only that it is good operating practice to do so, but apparently even that is too contentious for some. For the record, I did sign N3KIP/KP2 when I visited USVI.

Someone in the thread said (a couple of times) maybe things are different in the UK. Well, they are, very different. The UK callsign system uses transferrable prefixes, which involves a lot of redundancy between callsigns. For example, G8VUK in England becomes GW8VUK in Wales and GJ8VUK on Jersey, as only one of these calls is issued. Most people get confused when talking about England, Britain and the UK, each of which is different. This even confuses some British people, but just remember that England is only a part of Britain and that in turn is only the mainland of the UK. The country (not for DXCC, but politically) is called the UK or United Kingdom. The mainland is called Britain or Great Britain, and is divided up into England (G, M, 2E), Scotland (GM, MM, 2M) and Wales (GW, MW, 2W), each of which is still referred to as a 'country' (not just for DXCC), because they used to be separate countries. The other parts of the UK (which are not part of Britain, although the people are still British) are Northern Ireland (GI, MI, 2I), The Isle of Man (GD, MD, 2D) and the Channel Islands, which for ham radio purposes are divided up into Jersey (GJ, MJ, 2J) and Guernsey (GU, MU, 2U), although there are two other jurisdictions in CI, called Alderney and Sark, but they come under Guernsey as far as the prefix goes, and also for DXCC. Each UK prefix is a separate DXCC entity, but this is because they were grandfathered, not because they meet the criteria.

The UK also has territories, but they have separate prefixes, and radio is not administered in them by the RA, so even someone with a UK licence needs a reciprocal licence. In the Carribean there are Anguilla (VP2E),

Montserrat (VP2M), the British Virgin Islands (VP2V), the Turks and Caicos Islands (VP5) and the Cayman Islands (ZF). Then you have Bermuda (VP9) and you also have on that side of the atlantic VP8, which covers both the Falklands and the British Antarctic, which itself also includes islands that are separate DXCC entities (South Sandwich, South Georgia, South Orkney and South Shetland, I think, all uninhabited), but there's no way to separate the different VP8 DXCC entities from their calls. On the other side of the Atlantic there are St Helena and Tristan da Cunha, which use the ZD prefix. You can separate them as DXCC entities, because they use different numbers in the call, although I always forget which is 7 and which is 8. The British base at Akrotiri in Cyprus uses the ZB prefix. There's also Chagos/Diego Garcia (VQ) in the Indian Ocean (a US base, but a British territory). I think that's all that remains of the British Empire, that I can remember.

4581. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 13:16:27 UTC
From: "Dave Glow, AA1VX" <dglow@glowtrain.com>
Message-ID: <LuhP9.1450$XH5.515553@monger.newsread.com>
Source record: 58770
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

I just got a QSL from KA2MBR who resides in Puerto. Perfectly legal call, and he announces his location whenever he makes QSO's.

What about those special event prefixes in the UK (ie GB2, GQ3, etc.)?...there's no clue as to where they are...could be GM, GW, G, etc.

Dave AA1VX

4582. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 13:36:41 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021228083641.21031.00000342@mb-fm.aol.com>
Source record: 58771

In article <8maP9.2690$Oc.330248@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote
>
>: Once upon a time, FCC required that one's call match the call district,
>and you
>: had to sign with portable or mobile identifiers if you were away from the
>: station location on your license.
>
>Worse than that was the infamous FCC rule ï¿½12.91(a) which required us to
>send written notification of any mobile or portable operation in excess of
>48 hours away from our "fixed transmitter location". Such notification had
>to include the date/duration of portable/mobile operation, the contemplated
>location for portable operation, or the detailed mobile itinerary. The
>notification had to be renewed in writing each additional month of such
>operation.

Yup. And they had to be sent to the district offices. Even, a long weekend at the lake or shore required written notification.
>
>Many of us in the military, to preserve our original "home" call sign, just
>applied for "additional station" licenses for our current location. This
>allowed us to keep our home call and avoid the tedium of sending a 12.91(a)
>letter each month (or get cited for no 12.91(a) notification on file).

Yup. Also done by folks with vacation homes, relatives' places they visited frequently, etc.
>
>Yes, Virginia, it was Part 12 back then, not Part 97.
>
>Thankfully all that rigmarole is long gone, and my callsign is legal
>anywhere in the USA and possessions without tacking on awkward
>suffixes/prefixes, notification letters, and other anal retentive folderol.
>
Whole system is a bunch of tradeoffs. Under the old system, a ham who retired to a different call district had to give up the callsign he/she'd held for decades. Was that a good thing?

The UK system works for them because they have fewer than 50K hams.

Here's another thing to rant over, folks:

In the bad old days, the suffix of a ham call was always longer than the prefix. Only real OTs had 1x2s, etc. Shall we go back to that system?

73 de Jim, N2EY

4583. Re: Season's Greetings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 14:02:32 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021228090232.01976.00000038@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 58772

>Subject: Season's Greetings
>From: yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT)
>Date: 12/27/02 9:09 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021227220929.10292.00000984@mb-ba.aol.com>
>
>I have been remiss in my offer of Season's Greetings! I would like to wish
>all
>my fellow amateurs worldwide a very happy and fulfilling Kwanza!

...allow me to pick myself up off the floor from laughing so hard! ! ! !

73

Steve, K4YZ

4584. Re: eHam.net Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 14:11:21 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021228091121.01976.00000039@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 58775

>Subject: Re: eHam.net Survey
>From: "Jackie L." buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com
>Date: 12/27/02 9:58 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <yj9P9.476017$WL3.125461@rwcrnsc54>

>I can say that I now know CW... at least, I can copy/send very well at 7.5
>WPM. I'm sure there are some readers here who're chuckling under their
>breath about that.

Not here! Everyone (with a coded license) was at 5WPM once upon a time.

Congrats! Would be glad to join you for a QSO (oops...a CODEWORD!) some
time.

Steve, K4YZ

4585. Re: eHam.net Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 14:13:15 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <%jiP9.179836$a8.50369@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 58776

"Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021227211011.01967.00000022@mb-df.aol.com...
> From: "Jim Hampton" aa2qa@frontiernet.net
> >Subject: Re: eHam.net Survey
>
> This is the same (Morse code) debate. Nothing new here.
>
> From WA2SI
> >> > Hmm... (It'd sure be nice if it were to be decided by a vote.)
> >> > 73 de Bert
> >> > WA2SI
>
> No, voting on this is improper and inherently unfair.

That's interesting. I always thought the democratic process to be the ultimate instrument of "fairness." I've heard some argue that it shouldn't be limited to already licensed ARO's. Fine, open it up to EVERYBODY. Those "interested" can cast their vote, those who are not interested need not apply. That way the, "potential" hams aren't left out. Either way, upon tallying up the ballots...the conceding proponents (No-code OR Pro-code) finally shut up for good.

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

4586. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 14:16:44 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021228091644.01976.00000040@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 58777

>Subject: Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?
>From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
>Date: 12/27/02 10:58 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <f45722ac.0212272058.39ce0c53@posting.google.com>

>It does matter. You're in over your head.

Not if we're swimming in YOUR gene pool, Brian.

It might aggitate my athlete's foot again, but I doubt much more.

Steve, K4YZ

4587. Re: eHam.net Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 14:24:21 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <puiP9.179849$a8.88558@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 58778

"Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote in message
news:yj9P9.476017$WL3.125461@rwcrnsc54...
>
> "Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021227211011.01967.00000022@mb-df.aol.com...
>
> > No, voting on this is improper and inherently unfair.
>
> It's just a survey put out by some bored people anddesigned to yank some
> people's chains.

I think it's allot more than that. I think it's some folks frustrated that
they cannot get a "federal bureau" to listen to the will of the people. BTW,
that's "inherently" unconstitutional. Remember, there's already a no-code
ticket so the code is no longer a "barrier" to AR. Hasn't been for years.
Folks are just angry and frustrated that an (Not THE!) important part of
AR's rich tradition and a "rite of passage" is being eroded away in the name
of "making it easier."

> I swear, hasn't everyone in this hobby weighed in on this
> subject by now? I put in my 2 cents on this survey- I'm one of the odd
ones
> out. I don't take a stand on this subject either way, because I don't know
> enough about using CW yet to judge its usefulness one way or the other.
>
> I can say that I now know CW... at least, I can copy/send very well at 7.5
> WPM. I'm sure there are some readers here who're chuckling under their
> breath about that.

There nothing wrong with that and no reason for anyone to chuckle.

> They are obviously too old and/or brain dead to remember
> when they were beginners. No names or callsigns- you know who you are,
> trollers.
>
> Jackie

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

4588. ARNewsline 1323 - Dec 20 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 14:36:05 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021228093605.01841.00000036@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 58779

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1323 – December 20, 2002

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1323 with a release date of Friday, December 20, 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T. Newfoundland joins the 5 megs experiment and Guam's ham radio community is reported safe following a super typhoon. Find out more on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1323 coming your way right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

HAM RADIO TECHNOLOGY: NEWFOUNDLAND JOINS 5 MEGS EXPERIMENT

The 5 megs experiment is growing once again. This, as hams in Newfoundland come on board to try their hand at propagation on what may wind up as a future ham radio band. Jeramy Boot, G4NJH, reports from Nottingham in the U-K:

--
VO1MRC, the Marconi Radio Club of Newfoundland club station, has received experimental authorization to transmit CW and upper sideband on seven spot frequencies around 5.3MHz for three four-day periods.

The next session is from the 20th to 23rd of December inclusive and the frequencies that VO1MRC can use are 5260, 5269, 5280, 5290, 5319, 5329 and 5400kHz. The experiment is to look at the differences in ground wave and sky wave propagation on 3.5, 5.3 and 7mhz.

Jeramy Boot, G4NJH.
--

The original 5 megs experiment began several months ago in the UK. It required British hams to get special temporary authority to use the band. The experiment proved so popular and so many hams applied that British telecommunications regulators had to put further permits on hold until they could reassess the impact to the band. (GB2RS)

**

RESCUE RADIO: SUPER-TYPHOON HITS GUAM - HAMS AFE AND OPERATING

Reports over a popular ham radio website that the territory of Guam was hit by a "Super Typhoon" on Sunday December 8th. And while no stations from Guam have been heard here in the United States, reports over the Internet say that everyone is O-K. We have more in this report:

--
Duncan Campbell, KF6ILA portable KH2 lives on Guam. He says over the QRZ

website that the typhoon packed sustained winds of 160 miles per hour with gusts topping 190 miles per hour.

News reports pick up from there. They say that the storm damaged much of the island's infrastructure including electrical power and knocked out wired and cellular telephone service. And Campbell’s posting adds that along with the loss of electricity and water, the port of Guam was the scene of a major fire that destroyed the reserve tanks of fuel used across the island. The blaze started during the storm and the island is now low on gasoline. He says that the sale of the remaining fuel is restricted to emergency vehicles only.

With the clean up now underway the good news is that all the Amateur radio operators on Guam are believed to be safe. Campbell says that several hams are known to have lost their antenna systems and the islands only repeater was also blown off the air. This, the result of the collapse of a nearby cellular telephone tower striking it as it fell.

KF6ILA says that some hams are already back on the air handling post storm related communications. All operation is on the high frequency bands centered near 14.310, 21.375 and 28.520 MHz. Another frequency -- 7.085 MHz is supposed to be used for emergencies but so far no stations have been heard.

By Sunday December 15th, Andersen Air Force Base and part of hotel row in the town of Tumon had power restored. But news reports say that other parts of Guam could remain blacked out for a fairly long time. And even though its almost two weeks since the storm hit, if you hear ongoing emergency or storm related communications please give it priority over any other use you might have for the frequency.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Graham Kemp, VK4BB, of Q-News Australia.

--

A little more information comes from the Guam Pacific Daily News. It says that that the Red Cross and Salvation Army are on Guam providing post typhoon relief. Also, that the Red Cross service center has already processed over 200 requests for disaster assistance.

Meantime, another poster to QRZed says that he arrived in port at Guam on December 14th. N6HPX says that the repeater is back up and operating on 146.91 MHz, but there is nobody on the air using it. (QRZ, Q-News, others)

**

RESCUE RADIO: NEW SALVATION ARMY STATION

Speaking about the Salvation Army, word that it and the Oklahoma City Autopatch Association hosted the grand opening of the Salvation Army Emergency Communication Center. It took place in Oklahoma on Saturday, December 14th. The new center is located at the Salvation Army Citadel on SE 44th Street in Oklahoma City.

Frank McCollum, N5FM, the station trustee, held the first net from the new facility at 9 am. McCollum helped to establish the first station in 1986, at

The Salvation Army's Arkansas Oklahoma and Divisional Headquarters where it remained until two years ago.

The new station features very modern gear. It is designed to allow multiple High Frequency, VHF and UHF stations to provide ongoing communications services at the same time. (WA6LBU)

**

ENFORCEMENT: FCC CLEARS CT TESTING

Turning to enforcement news, the FCC has closed the books on its audit of a Connecticut VE testing session. The good news is that no violations of any rules were uncovered. The FCC’s Daryl Duckworth, NN0W, explains:

--
Duckworth: “The Enforcement Bureau has informed the ARRL that it has concluded its audit of the Trumbull Connecticut test session of May 10 2001 and found no wrong doing by the VE’s. The Bureau cautioned the ARRL however, that when the number of VE’s exceeds the number of test candidates, control and supervision of a test session is more complicated.”
--

The FCC says that all ten V-E’s did follow proper procedures while administering the exam. It also thanked them for their cooperation in resolving the matter. (FCC, RAIN)

**

Break 1

From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the WA6BAI repeater serving Visalia California.

(5 sec pause here)

**

SPECTRUM ISSUES: REVISED 6 METER BANDPLAN IN SERA TERRITORY

The South Eastern Repeater Association’s Repeater Journal says that there is a revised 6 meter bandplan in place for repeater operation in states that it oversees. Under the new plan the 15 repeater pairs using 2.51 MHz offset have been eliminated. This is because the outputs of the 2.51 MHz spaced repeater pairs fell on the 20 Kilohertz spaced channels from 53.71 to 53.99 MHz.

The South East Repeater Association says its goal is to simplify the bandplan for 6 meters. The revised plan calls for 15 repeater pairs using 500 kHz offset and 20 kHz spacing with outputs from 51.70 to 52.92 MHz and 39 channel pairs using 1 MHz offsets and 20 kHz spacing outputting between 52.01 to 53.99 MHz. The few repeaters originally coordinated using the 2.51 MHz offset are being grandfathered and allowed to continue operation under the old system.

The South Eastern Repeater Association is one of the nations largest all-volunteer repeater councils. It provides coordination services for repeaters in Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, North Carolina, South Carolina, Tennessee, Virginia and West Virginia. (SERA Journal)

**

SPECTRUM ISSUES: ADDITIONAL BANDS FOR UNLICENSED DEVICES

The FCC says that it is seeking comments on the possibility of permitting unlicensed transmitters to operate in additional parts of the electromagnetic spectrum. According to Bob Gonsett’s CGC Communicator, the regulatory agency specifically wants comments on the feasibility of allowing unlicensed devices to operate in the TV broadcast spectrum.

But that’s not all. CGC says that the Commission is also looking for comments on the feasibility of permitting unlicensed devices to operate in other bands and at power levels higher than other unlicensed transmitters. The FCC believes that advances in computer technology make it possible to design equipment that could monitor the spectrum to detect frequencies already in use. This says the FCC would ensure that transmissions only occur on open frequencies. So far, no Amateur Radio service frequencies have been specifically targeted by the proposal.

More on this issue is on the FCC website at http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-229400A1.doc (CGC Communicator)

**

SPECTRUM ISSUES: NEW BANDS FOR EMERGING TECHNOLOGY

Also from the CGC Communicator comes word that the FCC has allocated 90 MHz of spectrum for advanced wireless services. The bands in question are from 1710 to 1755 MHz and from 2110 to 2155 MHz.

The FCC indicates that this spectrum could be used by current cellular and PCS licensees to expand their capacity by offering wireless voice and data services such as 3 G. Or it might be used by others to support the development of entirely new applications that are distinct from existing offerings. Either way, the FCC is seeking comments on licensing, technical, and operational rules.

More is on the web at http://hraunfoss.fcc.gov/edocs_public/attachmatch/DOC-228237A1.doc (CGC Communicator)

**

NAMES IN THE NEWS: ROCK STAR GIVES MAJOR DONATION TO ARRL BIG PROJECT

Some good news on the ham radio education front. The ARRL Letter says that rock entertainer Joe Walsh, WB6ACU, has made a major contribution to ARRL's Education and Technology Fund.

According to the Leagues Chief Development Officer Mary Hobart, K1MMH, the significant gift through the Joseph F. Walsh Foundation. Hobart says that it will fund an additional eight pilot schools in the ARRL Education and Technology Program.

Walsh is best-known as a guitarist, vocalist and songwriter with The Eagles and The James Gang. He has been an active Amateur Radio operator for more than 37 years, is an avid collector of vintage radio gear and a longtime friend of Heil Sound's Bob Heil, K9EID.

The amount of Walsh's donation was not made public. An up-close and personal profile of WB6ACU appeared in the November issue of C-Q Magazine. (ARRL)

**

NAMES IN THE NEWS: REPEATER COORDINATION PIONEER NAMED NEVADA SM

A ham who helped to pioneer repeater frequency coordination in Southern California has been named as the new ARRL Nevada Section Manager. Amateur Radio Newsline has a look at Dick Flanagan, W6OLD.

--
Dick Flanagan, W6OLD, is replacing Jan Welsh, NK7N as Nevada Section Manager. According to an ARRL release, Flanagan, a resident of Minden Nevada was the recipient of the ARRL 2000 Excellence in Recruiting Award and was active in the successful effort to secure an Amateur Radio antenna bill in Nevada. But long before that -- back in the early 1970's, W6OLD started his ham radio political career while still a resident of Los Angeles California.

It was in 1971 that W6OLD helped to create the famed Southern California Repeater Association or SCRA. He also served several terms of office with that group. And it was during his tenure that SCRA pioneered many innovations in the area of repeater coordination and dealing with the FCC on repeater related issues. And under Flanagan's leadership the SCRA worked out a frequency sharing scheme with Baja California Mexico that still remains in force -- essentially as negotiated -- more than two decades later.

Since moving to Nevada W6OLD has held a number of positions in the ARRL Field Organization. This includes ARRL Official Observer, Official Emergency Station and ARRL VEC volunteer examiner. Most recently he has also been serving as an assistant Section Manager.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, in Los Angeles.

--

The ARRL says that Welsh is stepping down at the end of the year for personal reasons. (ARRL, SCRA Archives)

**

RADIO PUBLICATIONS: WRTH 2003 NOW AVAILABLE

The new 2003 edition of the World Radio and T-Handbook is now available. Better known as WRTH, the latest edition contains the details of most broadcast entities plus details of transmissions made in the English, French, German,

Spanish and Portuguese languages. To find out more, take your web browser to www.wrth.org (WRTH)

**

RADIO REMEMBERS: STAMP COLLECTING OPERATORS

The Radio Stamp Operators Group reminds us that a year ago, on November 15, 2001, the Japanese Postal Service released an 80-yen postage stamp honoring the 50th anniversary of commercial radio and TV in that country. The stamps depict the images of the microphone used when commercial radio broadcasting began, the first monochrome television camera used for commercial television broadcasting, and at television set up for street viewing. More information on radio stamp collecting is on yahoogroups at radiostamps@yahoogroups.com (RSG)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today’s radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org and being relayed by the volunteer services of the following radio amateur:

(5 sec pause here)

**

THE ARNEWSLINE SUPPORT FUND REPORT WITH N6TCQ

Ladies and gentlemen, the administrator of the Amateur Radio Newsline support fund, Andy Jarema, N6TCQ:

--
With the holiday season upon us, I need to ask you to add one more name to your gift list. Of course I'm referring to Amateur Radio Newsline.

Without going into a bunch of numbers that will bore you, let's just say that we will end the year with a deficit and it will grow in months to come. That is unless you, the Amateur Radio Newsline listener step up to help.

What we need is immediate support so we can pay the back bills and ongoing support to prevent any back bills. And only you can make it so.

Remember, Amateur Radio Newsline is a federal 501 (C)(3) not for profit orporation and donations to it are tax deductable. This is an excellent way to do some end-of-year "window dressing" on your personal or club's taxable income.

The address is the Amateur Radio Newsline Support Fund, Post Office Box 660937, Arcadia California. The zipcode is 91066.

If you missed that address don't worry. It will be repeated in the close of this weeks report.

Andy Jarema, N6TCQ

--

Thanks Andy. (ARNewsline Support Fund)

**

EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: BOSTON TV STATION DISRUPTS POLICE SYSTEM

A Boston-area TV station's new 24-hour-a-day digital transmitter is disrupting Camden County New Jersey police communications over 100 miles away. Amateur Radio Newsline's Ken Locke, N8PJN, fills us in:
--
The South Jersey Courier-Post newspaper says that twice last summer, the new digital transmitter used by WCVB in Boston Massachusettes interfered with field communications to Camden County's communications headquarters in the town of Lindenwold. The town's leaders want the problem to go away.

By way of background, WCVB began broadcasting its Federally mandated digital television signal on Channel 20 in 1998. But the problem in the currently shared 506 through 512 megahertz band did not show up in Southern New Jersey until this year. In January WCVB began full time, round-the-clock digital programming. A summertime conditon hams call tropospheric ducting is being tabed as the cause of the interference.

A duct acts kind of like an R-F tunnel from one geographic area to another. This one is putting South Jersey in Boston's back yard and vice versa during the warmer months of the year.

Engineers seem to agree that the police or the television station will have to move frequency. The big question is which one will make the change. New Jersey Congressman Rob Andrews met with county representatives and is now working to arrange a meeting between them and the FCC in Washington. They want the station to move.

But some consultants and communications lawyers feel it will be the county thats told to change frequency. They say that broadcasting is mandated the spectrum on a primay basis.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Ken Locke, N8PJN.
--

The bottom line. This one looks as if it could become a political football for the FCC. (South Jersey Courier-Post , Portland SBE, KB4KCH, others)
**

HAM RADIO IN SPACE: SPACE STATION ACTION PLAN APPROVED

The leaders of the five space agencies that are partners in the International Space Station have approved a new operating plan for the orbital outpost. Meeting in Tokyo Japan, the group has approved. Among the more important items to Amateur Radio is increasing the number of permanent crew members so that research projects can proceed more swiftly. More people on-board the ISS means an increased chance or QSO's.

Right now, with limited crew resources of three Astronauts research takes a back seat to construction aimed at completing the orbital station. By 2006 that number is expected to at least double if a method of emergency evacuation can first be worked out. The current escape vehicle which is mandatory to ISS crew deployment can carry only three. (Adapted from published news reports)

**

INTERNATIONAL - CANADA: ONTARIO SM RE-ELECTED

On the International scene, Radio Amateurs of Canada reports that Robert McKenzie, V-E-3-S-J-Q has been re-elected Section Manage of the Canadian Province of Ontario. His next two-year term begins on March 1st.. McKenzie ran unopposed eliminating the need for a balloted election. (RAC)

**

INTERNATIONAL-CANADA: LICENSING RECORD UPDATE

Records maintained by Industry Canada and Radio Amateurs of Canada show that the Canadian callsign database is becoming corrupted by inaccurate information. Radio Amateurs of Canada says that one of the prime reasons for this is the failure of some Canadian Radio Amateurs to advise the licensing authority of address changes.

The rules in Canada that the holder of an Amateur Radio Operator Certificate shall notify the Department within thirty days with respect to a change of mailing address. Unfortunately, this does not appear to be happening in all cases. (RAC)

**

INTERNATIONAL - SOUTH KOREA: INVESTING IN THE WWW

South Korean telephone companies plan to invest the equivalent of $10.9 billion in U-S dollars in broadband networks by 2005. This, as a growing number of people in that nation demand access to high-speed Internet services.

In making the announcement South Korea's Ministry of Information and Communication did not provide breakdowns of the investment plans. It did note that South Korea boasts having the world's highest Internet penetration with more than one fifth of the population having access to high-speed Internet services. (News release)

**

HAM TESTING - SOUTH AFRICA: ZS EXAM SUCCESS

And talk about high exam scores. The South African Radio League has announced that during the October 2002 Radio Amateur Examinations that four candidates achieved the first place in the test. SARL says that each of these applicants emerged with an average of 99% in the overall exam. (SARL)

**

INTERNATIONAL – UK: FOUNDATION LICENSE PARTY

The United Kingdom plans to celebrate the first year of its new Foundation Class license with a big on-the-air QSO Party. The Foundation License for 'QSO Party' for M-3 prefix licensees and their friends on the 1st of January 2003 from 10:00 and 15:00UTC. Operations will be on 40 meters around 7.070 MHz, plus or minus any QRM. (RSGB)

**

DX

In DX, word of a new station on from the Sudan. His name is Marco. His call sign is ST1MN, and he is reportedly will be active on all of the High Frequency bands through the end of June 2003. (RSGB)

Also, VK8AN will be active as 4W6AN from Dili, East Timor. This, from around the 19th of December through the end of the year. Due to limited notice he will only be running about 15 watts to a dipole or groundplane antenna. QSL only via VK4AAR. (Via e-mail)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: THAT FINAL ITEM: STAR TREK -- HERE WE COME

And finally this week a story about the ultimate form of communication. About sending yourself electronically to anyplace you might want to go. Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, has the far out story of science fiction on its way to becoming science fact:

--
As incredible as it may seem, a Star Trek like transporter may be a step closer to reality. This, after physicists in Denmark make two samples of trillions of atoms interact at some distance.

The experiment involved a science called quantum entanglement. This is a mysterious theory of a controlled spiraling of two or more particles without any physical contact. Scientists say that these entangled states are needed for quantum computing and for teleportation.

Before the team at the University of Aarhus made its breakthrough other scientists had successfully developed entangled states of a few atoms. But the scientists in Denmark have now done it with very large numbers.

At the moment nobody is about to teleport anyone, anywhere. But the research, which was reported in the science magazine Nature makes the idea of instantly transporting an object from one place to another less far fetched.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF.
--

We know you are waiting for the obvious tag line, so we won’t disappoint you. If all goes well, maybe the term “Beam me up - Scotty” will be reality in our great, great, great grandchildrens lifetime. The only question is whether or not a 5 word per minute code test will be required to “communicate” using that rather exotic mode. (Future Technology)

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

With thanks to Alan Labs, Amateur News Weekly, AMSAT, the ARRL, the CGC Communicator, CQ Magazine, the FCC, the Ohio Penn DX Bulletin, Radio Netherlands, Rain, the RSGB and Australia's Q-News, that's all from the Amateur Radio Newsline(tm). Our e-mail address is newsline @arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the editors desk, I’m Keb Butler, W1NNR, saying Merry Christmas, 73 and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002. All rights reserved.

4589. ARNewsline 1324 - Dec 27 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 14:38:59 UTC
From: radionews@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021228093859.01841.00000041@mb-cu.aol.com>
Source record: 58780

Amateur Radio Newsline™ Report 1324 – December 27, 2002

Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1324 with a release date of Friday, December 27, 2002 to follow in 5-4-3-2-1.

The following is a Q-S-T. Ham radio responds to a Southern California gas leak and interference issues are front and center with the FCC. These stories and more come your way on Amateur Radio Newsline report number 1324 beginning right now.

(Billboard Cart Here)

**

RESCUE RADIO: HAMS RESPOND TO SOCAL GAS LEAK

Ham radio is there to provide communications as a gas leak hits Huntington Beach California. Amateur Radio Newsline's Bruce Tennant, K6PZW, has the rest:

--
Glorria Morrison, KE6ATG, is the Huntington Beach California Fire Department Emergency Services Coordinator. She was in the process of briefing the new Police Chief, Kenneth Small, on routine procedures on Wednesday, December 11th when the meeting was interrupted by a report of a natural gas leak. Nine fire companies were assigned to the incident. Public Works and Police Department personnel were also sent to the scene.

First order of business -- a near-by 55-unit apartment complex had to be evacuated. Fire Battalion Chief Jacques Pelletier requested that a Care and Reception Center be set up for displaced resident. Huntington Beach RACES was soon activated. It established a net and began logging-in availability of members for the response. 20 RACES made themselves available.

Meanwhile, a call was made to the Community Emergency Response Team Shelter Leader to activate five volunteers for service at the Care and Reception Center location. Tim Sawyer, WD6AWP, acted as net control operator. Huntington Beach RACES Chief Radio Officer Steven Graboff, W6GOS, responded to provide Amateur Radio, cell phone, Red Cross and fire radio communications. Other RACES operators were deployed to the Emergency Operations Center and the Fire Incident Command post at the gas leak scene.

Within 90 minutes the incident was over. The shelter was closed down and the residents went home. No injuries to the firefighters or the RACES volunteers were reported.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm Bruce Tennant., K6PZW, in Los Angeles.

--

RACES communications was utilized exclusively throughout this event because the city’s cellular telephone system was not working at the time. (KH6WZ)

**

RESCUE RADIO: ARES ASSISTS FOLLOWING MISSISSIPPI TWISTER

The ARRL Letter says that Amateur Radio Emergency Service teams from Jackson and Meridian Mississippi converged on the tiny community of Newton last week. This, to provide emergency communication following a devastating tornado on Thursday, December 19th.

The mid-day twister struck a Wal-Mart filled with holiday shoppers, blowing out the front windows and collapsing part of the roof. The tornado also damaged a furniture factory. According to news accounts, some 70 people were hurt, but no one was killed. Property damage in the town was said to have been widespread, and Governor Ronnie Musgrove has declared a state of emergency in Newton.

According to Mississippi Section Manager Malcolm Keown, W5XX, about a dozen amateurs from the Metro Jackson ARES and the Lauderdale County ARES groups turned out. They took turns supporting relief agencies in Newton which has no ARES organization of its own.

Keown said the ARES teams helped the Red Cross with damage assessment and with shelter communication. Hams also assisted the Salvation Army and the Southern Baptist Men's Kitchen canteen operations as well as making themselves available to local emergency management officials.

In the tornado's immediate aftermath, telephone service and electrical power were out in much of the town of nearly 4000 and in surrounding Newton County. (ARRL)

**
RESCUE RADIO: GUAM SUPERTYPHON - THE AFTERMATH
An update on the recovery effort on Guam following a typhoon that hit the island on December 8th. As reported last week, the giant storm packed sustained winds of 160 miles per hour. It damaged much of the island's infrastructure knocking out electrical power and all telephone service. Now the inhabitants of Guam are slowly digging out. We have this report:
--
By far the best source of what happened during and after the typhoon has been Duncan Campbell, KF6ILA portable KH2. He lives on Guam and survived the storm. He has also been posting regular updates to the QRZ.com website.
Campbell gives a reason why one key Guam station was off the air. He says that on Wednesday December 11th the high frequency ham station on the Naval Station from where he was operating was ordered to shut down because fuel to run generators that supply electricity was running low. The supply of fuel returned to normal on December 17th. Also, water and power is now back on at his home and there is some telephone service but long distance is still spotty, but life is stating to get back to normal.
One thing KF6ILA does not cover in his QRZ.com posts is why most other Guam

based stations have been off the air since the typhoon hit. But news video from the island pretty well covers that. The pictures show that the devastation was very wide spread. Its more than likely that antennas simply got blown away.
As far as medical services Campbell says that a military Field hospital from San Diego has been set up outside of Guam Memorial Hospital. He says its here to relieve the strain on both of Guam's regular hospitals. Both were damaged in the typhoon.
Finally, Campbell says that that he is now back at work and that there is a lot of post typhoon restoration taking place. That added to the times when the high frequency bands are open to the U-S mainland means that he has not been able to keep scheduled contacts.

Reporting from Auckland New Zealand, I'm Jim Meachen, ZL2BHF, for the Amateur Radio Newsline.
--

Another ham on Guam -- Don Palko, N7GZA -- adds to the story. He says that he was with KF6ILA when he was trying to maintain communications with the mainland U-S. He says hat it was Campbell hat brought Guam's one and only 2 meter repeater back on the air. He adds that the American Red Cross is on scene to help with the recovery and they have brought ham radio operators with them. (KF6LIA, N7GZA via QRZ.COM)

**

Break 1

Wishing you the very best of seasons greetings from the United States of America. We are the Amateur Radio Newsline, heard on bulletin stations around the world including the 145.600 MHz repeater serving the city of Durban South Africa.

(5 sec pause here)

**

ENFORCEMENT: OLD PROBLEM - NEW SOLUTION?

The FCC is using a new diplomacy to deal with an old problem. Its telling a repeater owner that he will be held responsible if an unlicensed individual is relayed over his system. Amateur Radio Newsline's David Black, KB4KCH, has the details:

--
Wayne Curley, WA6NRB, of Montrose, California finds himself receiving an interesting warning letter from the FCC. Curley operates a popular repeater serving the Los Angeles area. It's on that repeater where the Commission alleges that Richard Burton, the former WB6JAC, has been appearing.

Burton and the FCC have a long history. Burton is a former ham who had his license revoked back in 19-81. The following year, he was convicted on four counts of transmitting without a license and two counts of making obscene transmissions.

That conviction got him sentenced to 8 years in a federal prison. But the judge then suspended all but the first six moths. Burton appealed. The Ninth District Court of Appeals threw out his obscenity convictions but let stand the charges of operating without a license. But it doesn't end there.

The Commission says that Burton transmitted without a license while on probation in 1984, 1990 and again in 1992. After a second conviction, he was fined $2000 and received a year's probation. On his third unlicensed operation conviction, he was sentenced to serve 7 months in prison and do a year on probation.

In 1992, Burton decides to try and get his ham license reinstated. The FCC says no. So he waits four years. In 1996, he passes a Technician class exam. Burton is issued the call sign KG6GKS but that license grant is short lived. As soon as the Commission realizes that the new license has gone to Burton, it sets the license aside, putting Burton off the air again, at least as a Commission licensee.

In may of 2,000, another federal grand jury indicts Burton for operating without a license. He's arrested that August. In January of 2001, Burton agrees to serve three months in jail. He does his prison time at a federal detention center near Fort Worth, Texas. Burton is also placed on one year's probation following his release -- and he's ordered to undergo psychological counseling.

Now, the FCC says that Burton is back on the air again, this time, using Wayne Curley's Los Angeles repeater. And it appears that--this time--the FCC has decided to simply give him no place to operate by holding Curley, as repeater operator, responsible for letting Burton's unlicensed signal to be relayed.

On December 4th, the FCC issued a Warning Notice to Curley. The letter reminds Curley that a repeater licensee is responsible for continuing violations of Commission rules. And it tells Curley that enforcement action against him may result if he fails to prevent violations from occurring over his repeater. The FCC says this could include fines, license suspension or even revocation if Curley fails to act.

But the FCC also gives Curley some advice. It tells him he has several options available to prevent recurring and deliberate violations of FCC rules from taking place on his repeater. This includes locking rules violators out...or using access tones...or even limiting the repeater's operation.

But the Commission also adds a warning. If he is not able to do this, the FCC says, Curley must take his repeater off the air.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I'm David Black, KB4KCH.

--

As we go to air its not known what enforcement action the FCC might be planning to take directly against the ex-WB6JAC. (FCC with background from ARNewsline and Westlink Radio Archive)

**

ENFORCEMENT: YOU CANT OPERATE - YOU AGREED TO A SUSPENSION

The FCC’s Enforcement Bureau has issued a warning notice to Cornwall Chan, W3CI, of Dresher Pennsylvania regarding operation on a 2 meter repeater back in November. The reason: Chan is not supposed to be on the air.

You may recall that W3CI had forfeited his license back to the Commission for a period of three years. This, in settlement of an earlier enforcement action regarding repeater interference.

Chan is supposed to stay off the air until September of 2003. The FCC says that if he shows up again before that date it will take further enforcement action against him. The FCC adds that in view of the alleged violation of its agreement with Chan that it has now dismissed his request to have his suspension period shortened. (FCC)

**

ENFORCEMENT: SORRY -- THATS NOT REPEATER INTERFERENCE

The FCC says that the signal being heard by another Ohio ham cannot be considered as interference because the ham is listening from far to high of a location. Commission spokesman Daryl Duckworth, NN0W, explains the situation and why the agency declined to get involved:

--
Duckworth: “The complaint of Kim Lozier, N8ZW, of Portsmith Ohio was dismissed. Lozier complained about what he perceived as interference from the KF8HL repeater. Our letter pointed out that Lozier was listening from an 800 foot altitude and that merely hearing another repeater with no disabling interference was sharing -- not interference, and that frequency coordination is not necessarily exclusive use.”

--

In a related matter a petition for reconsideration from the KF8HL repeater group in West Virginia was also dismissed as untimely. That petition had sought reversal of an earlier Enforcement Bureau ruling that KF8HL was an uncoordinated repeater and had the primary responsibility -- but not the sole responsibility to prevent interference to the KC8FKP system located near Portsmith Ohio. (FCC, RAIN)

**

CONVENTIONS AND HAMFESTS: RILEY AT FROSTFEST 2003

The FCC’s chief ham radio rules enforcer, Riley Hollingsworth, K4ZDH, will be the keynote speaker at the Richmond Virginia FrostFest 2003. The FrostFest is February 9, 2003 in Richmond, Virginia. The venue is the Showplace center. For information please visit www.frostfest.com on the World Wide Web. (W4PW)

**

AWARDS: WILL THERE BE A WAS A-M?

Amateur Radio Newsline’s Paul Courson, WA3VJB, reports that the ARRL has promised to consider a proposal for special recognition of full carrier Amplitude Modulation. This, as part of efforts by promoters of the mode to earn the popular Worked All States or WAS award.

The proposal for a WAS AM was jointly developed by Pete Markavage WA2CWA and Mark Bell, K3ZX. League staffers have told the two that the idea will be considered at an ARRL Membership Services Committee meeting in January.

Several stateside hams have indicated they have achieved or are close to achieving WAS AM by working stations on popular AM gathering points on 160, 75 and 40 meters. More information is on the web at www.amwindow.org (WA3VJB)

**

SPECIAL EVENT STATION: A CELEBRATION OF KIDS DAY

Its a special event station to help celebrate one of the most important events in the ham radio calendar. K1D will be on the air through January 5th to celebrate the January 4th Kid's Day operating event. That’s the twice a year outing where hams are encouraged to open their hearts and their stations to youngsters nationwide.

As to K1D, that station is special in several ways. Even the call signs of the people operating are in the spirit of the event -- W1DAD and K1MOM who in real life Peter and Jeanne Schipelliti.

So keep an ear open for K1D and if you work Peter or Jeanne, QSL to 7 Dearborn Ridge Rd, Atkinson, New Hampshire 03811. More on Kids Day is on line at www.arrl.org. (News release)

**

BREAK 2

This is ham radio news for today’s radio amateur. From the United States of America, We are the Amateur Radio Newsline with links to the world from our only official website at www.arnewsline.org and being relayed by the volunteer services of the following radio amateur:

(5 sec pause here)

**

NAMES IN THE NEWS: ZL1AMO CONTINUES HIS RECOVERY

I’m Ken Locke, N8PJN, with an update on the recovery of famed D-X’er Ron Wriight, ZL1AMO.

Judy Roush, AA7UC of the International DX Association has some positive news this week. While Wright is still in medical isolation and continues to fight the E-S-B-L infection, he is improving. Intravenous feeding has been discontinued and ZL1AMO is now eating solid food.

Rousch says that Wright is still weak but he is able to communicate. In fact, he’s doing so with his family using a mobile phone. And Roush says that

Wright is anxious to resume his ham radio activities as soon as he has recovered. In fact, he continues to ask the family if they are receiving e-mails from the amateur radio community.

Meantime, the International DX Association reports that the ZL1AMO Air Ambulance Fund is within $5,000 of reaching its $20,000 goal. The fund was started to assist Wright in paying the fee to bring him home from Fiji where he was when he took ill. Funds received so far have been forwarded to the air ambulance account in New Zealand.

For the Amateur Radio Newsline, I’m Ken Locke, N8PJN. (INDEXA)

**

RADIO LAW: HACKER PHONE FREEKS PALO ALTO

The city of East Palo Alto California is stuck with a thirty thousand dollar telephone bill thanks to an alleged hacker. ATT says that the intruders were able to infiltrate the city's phone system last July. Over a five day period numerous long distance calls were placed to the Philippines.

East Palo Alto's typical monthly phone bill is about ten thousand dollars. In July, it was 40-thousand. ATT offered to reduce the total amount by about $10,000 but said that East Palo Alto was responsible for the rest. The city says it has no intention to pay the additional charges. (Published news report)

**

EMERGING TECHNOLOGY: MORE PEOPLE CARRYING CELLPHONES THAN EVER BEFORE

A survey by a telecommunications firm reports that over half of the overall nations population living in major metropolitan areas now own mobile phones and subscribe to service. This, according to ongoing measurements performed by Telephia Incorporated in 44 markets.

Telephia says that while the average penetration rate was at 51 percent, a handful of cities had penetration rates in excess of 60 percent. Some of the cities mentioned are in Greenville, South Cariolina with 68 percent, Atlanta with 67% and 63 percent in Orlando, Florida.

Telephia adds that recent results from an Attitude and Behavior Survey found the percentage of frequent wireless callers increased from 38 percent last year to 48 percent this year. Also that overall wireless service satisfaction increased significantly over 2002. (Telephia release)

**

INTERNATIONAL-AUSTRALIA: NEW SHORTWAVE CENTER OPENED

A new $6 million dollar radio Voice of International Asia broadcast center has been officially opened on Australia's Sunshine Coast. This, by the man behind the project - multi millionaire Bob Edmiston - of the U-K. The studio originates mainly programming for transmission on shortwave. It uses the former Radio Australia transmitters near Darwin in VK8 and the footprint covers

one-third of the globe. (Q-News)

**

INTERNATIONAL - THE AMERICAS: ANOTHER LOOK AT BROADBAND

Proposed U.S. government policies could harm competition in the broadband Internet and affect international e-commerce. This says the Consumer Federation of America could result in reducing market choices and driving up monthly fees for users who want high-speed Internet access.

The FCC ruled in March that cable providers do not have to share their systems or provide open access for competing Internet services. The agency is currently reassessing its line-sharing rules for local-phone giants like Verizon Communications and SBC. (Published reports)

**

DX

In D-X, five Chillian stations will be active as CE6M from Mocha Island from January 15th to the 18th. They plan to operate 160 through 10 meters on SSB and CW. Q-S-L to P.O.Box 591, Temuco, Chile. (425 DX)

QRZ-DX reports that someone pirated the call sign 9S1X and used it to operate 80 metres on December 13th. No other information is available at this time. (QRZ-DX)

And, W8GEX and WZ8D will be active as N8Z from St. Croix, in the Virgin Islands through January 8th. They will be at the home of WP2Z and will operate on 6 to 160 meters. QSL direct to WZ8D. (GB2RS)

Lastly, Q-News reports that the P29BPL, the six meter beacon in Papua New Guinea is on the air, but with reduced power and a lower antenna location. This is reported to be a preliminary test to make sure there is no interference to TV receivers located nearby. (Q-News)

**

THAT FINAL ITEM: IN MEMORY OF ROGER MC CARTHY KD6CC

And for our last item of the year we have a tribute. A special remembrance of one ham for another. It was our Support Fund Administrator Andy Jarema, N6TCQ, who brought this very special remembrance to our attention. We feel it is fitting that he share it with you as well.

--

Normally when you hear my voice its to ask for donations. Not this time. This time I want to share something with you. Actually, its the note that accompanied a donation that we received last June. It was only a few lines but it really tugged at our hearts. See what it does to yours.

The note came from Rob Sweet, KG6DKW, not far from my home in Arcadia California. Here’s what it said:

“Thank you for providing Amateur Radio Newsline. Enclosed you will find a donation in the amount of $50. I would like to make this donation in memory of Silent Key KD6CC, Roger McCarthy, who was killed in a motorcycle accident on May 31st 2002. I never met Roger in real-life, but enjoyed talking with him on the 2 meter band, and exchanging pictures with him on slow-scan TV.”

How do you respond to a note like that? After you wipe away the tears, what do you say?

We talked about it for several months and finally realized that what you do is to help keep Roger McCarthy’s ham radio spirit alive. That’s what Rob Sweet, KG6DKW, wants to do.

So Roger -- this one is for you. This week we dedicate the final Amateur Radio Newsline report of 2002 to the spirit of the ham radio fellowship that you created while you were here among us.

Like Rob, we never met KD6CC, but we wish we had. He sure sound like the kind of ham radio neighbor any of us would be proud to have living next door. May he rest in peace on the other side of the great Ethereal Abyss and may the light of Amateur Radio friendship that he kindled -- live on in 2003 -- and forever.

In Arcadia California, I’m Andy Jarema, N6TCQ.

--

Thank you Andy.

**

NEWSCAST CLOSE

And for this week, and this year, that’s all from the Amateur Radio Newsline. Our e-mail address is newsline@arnewsline.org. More information is available at Amateur Radio Newsline's(tm) only official website located at www.arnewsline.org. You can also write to us or support us at Amateur Radio Newsline(tm), P.O. Box 660937, Arcadia, California 91066.

For now, with Bill Pasternak, WA6ITF, at the Editors desk, I’m Norm Seeley, KI7UP, saying 73 and we thank you for listening." Amateur Radio Newsline(tm) is Copyright 2002 and 2003. All rights are reserved.

4590. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 14:51:34 UTC
From: Doug Smith <me@invalid.invalid>
Message-ID: <3E0DBA76.7CDD248F@invalid.invalid>
Source record: 58781
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hisashi T Fujinaka wrote:
> I hate when people keep their callsigns when they move outside of their
> call area, and I curse them under my breath every time I mistakenly work
> them. :) But the rules are the rules and people like Phil are just
> making it more interesting by keeping me on my toes.

Over the 14 years I held it while living in Wisconsin, W9WI became my identity. Hams around the world know me by this call. Being forced to change it to AC4XX-something when I moved to Tennessee would be like forcing me to change my name from Doug Smith to Bubba Davis. The idea of getting a 4th-district 2x2 never even crossed my mind.

==========

The issue being discussed is to a considerable degree a result of USA callsign policy. The *only* provisions for temporary calls are for 1x1 calls - K1B, N8O, W2L, etc.. You can't get a 2x1 temporary call appropriate for Alaska/Virgin Islands/Hawaii/etc..

So you have really three choices:
- Use the inappropriate 1x1.
- Arrange to use the call of a ham already resident on the island.
- Sign your mainland call /KP2.

#2 is probably the best idea but if you don't know anyone there, it really isn't an option.
--
Doug Smith W9WI
Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
http://www.w9wi.com

4591. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 14:53:33 UTC
From: Doug Smith <me@invalid.invalid>
Message-ID: <3E0DBAED.A2906B0@invalid.invalid>
Source record: 58782
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Walt Davidson wrote:
> UK Radio Regulatory Law does not allow use of a regular UK callsign
> anywhere outside the UK proper. The Law has more sense than to allow
> that!

So what happens if you visit another CEPT country?
--
Doug Smith W9WI
Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
http://www.w9wi.com

4592. Re: eHam.net Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 15:03:00 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net>
Message-ID: <E2jP9.10406$4j5.6358898@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 58774

"Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote in message
news:yj9P9.476017$WL3.125461@rwcrnsc54...
>
> "Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021227211011.01967.00000022@mb-df.aol.com...
>
> > No, voting on this is improper and inherently unfair.
>
> It's just a survey put out by some bored people anddesigned to yank some
> people's chains. I swear, hasn't everyone in this hobby weighed in on this
> subject by now? I put in my 2 cents on this survey- I'm one of the odd
ones
> out. I don't take a stand on this subject either way, because I don't know
> enough about using CW yet to judge its usefulness one way or the other.
>

Jackie, I applaud your good sense. There are very few people who realize
that you need to know something about a subject before judging it.

>
> I can say that I now know CW... at least, I can copy/send very well at 7.5
> WPM. I'm sure there are some readers here who're chuckling under their
> breath about that. They are obviously too old and/or brain dead to
remember
> when they were beginners. No names or callsigns- you know who you are,
> trollers.
>
> Jackie
>

Oh boy do I ever remember.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

4593. Re: ARNewsline 1323 - Dec 20 2002

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 15:08:29 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021228100829.01976.00000041@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 58783

>Subject: ARNewsline 1323 - Dec 20 2002
>From: radionews@aol.com (Radionews)
>Date: 12/28/02 8:36 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021228093605.01841.00000036@mb-cu.aol.com>

QUOTE

With the clean up now underway the good news is that all the Amateur radio operators on Guam are believed to be safe. Campbell says that several hams are known to have lost their antenna systems and the islands only repeater was also blown off the air. This, the result of the collapse of a nearby cellular telephone tower striking it as it fell.

UNQUOTE

No doubt the result of some "professional engineer" designing the tower to fall that way.

Steve, K4YZ

4594. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 15:14:44 UTC
From: Doug Smith <me@invalid.invalid>
Message-ID: <3E0DBFE3.C0704A@invalid.invalid>
Source record: 58784
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Walt Davidson wrote:
> The worst mistake the FCC ever made was to discontinue the mandatory
> use of call area prefixes to indicate the area from which a station is
> operating.

This decision was probably inevitable when the decision was made back in the 1970s to allow Extra Class licensees to request any unassigned call in their district. People who'd been saddled with bad calls like WB9NME could now get something the other guy had some chance of being able to copy. To then face the prospect of having to switch again - to who knows what - when moving to another district would be nearly unthinkable. (I was on the verge of telling the FCC I'd moved in with my aunt in Boston when they decided to open up vanity calls to Extras in 1977. If they'd not done that, I'd be a WA1 today. Unless they'd assigned me WA1NME in which case I'd have probably given up ham radio<grin>!)

There are plenty of countries in which the callsign doesn't give you any idea of where the station is. (G3NYY: is that Dover, London, Plymouth, or Carlisle?) In others, how many of us have any idea how the assignment works? I know you can tell from a Brazilian call where in the country the guy is, but I have no idea which prefix is where. Likewise for UA9's and UA0's - all I know is UA0Y-something is Tannu Tuva, and I'll bet 95% of HF operators don't even know that. BY, VU, XE, F, DL all have either no call areas, call areas too large to be meaningful, or call areas that nobody outside the country understands. VY0 is larger than most European countries. Is VY0AA in Iqaluit, Qausuittuq, or Ikaluktutiak? (pronounce all three correctly and I'll concede the argument<grin>!)

Even if everyone *does* sign with their call area, how much is accomplished? You need Wyoming badly for WAS; you hear WB7NME calling CQ. Sorry, but it's FAR more likely he's in Seattle than Cheyenne. W0WI - probably in Minnesota. Probably *not* in North Dakota.

How do you handle the growing number of mobiles? People who have a vacation home in a different district? People who've just moved? What good does it do to force me to change from W9WI to KX4XX if tomorrow, K8ABC goes mobiling through the state on his way from Michigan to Florida?
--
Doug Smith W9WI
Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
http://www.w9wi.com

4595. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 15:19:40 UTC
From: Stahr <w6nycthetrash@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <gijP9.174$9N5.43247@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58785
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Thanks Joe.....

You are right of course... This is the age of the lost generation that takes what they want. No need to know anything any more, just pay for it and it's yours, and if you can't pay for it or earn it...JUST TAKE IT....

God I'm glad I'm getting old and cynical!

--

Best 73 de:
Dennis Stahr - W6NYC

http://www.qsl.net/w6nyc/
Take out "the trash" when return emailing

"Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org> wrote in message
news:auhosd01mdn@enews2.newsguy.com...
> You scheme worked well for a wannabe in Northern Virginia until I happened
> to be mobiling around one day in 1994 and heard a familiar call sign that
> belonged to an old-timer who helped get me started in ham radio. I called
> him, he came back. I told him that I knew the original owner of the call
> sign and wondered how long he had had the call. I got a bit suspicious
when
> he did not come back -- this was on a 2-meter repeater.
>
> Next chance I had, I looked up the call only to find that the old timer
was
> still very much alive. I gave him a phone call, we chatted a bit, and he
> confirmed that, yes, he was still alive and, yes, he was still (call
sign).
>
> I contacted a very active ham in the area who asked a few questions,
located
> the bootlegger, and busted him with the FCC.
>
> Why go to all the trouble and risk when a license is simple enough to
earn?
>
> Oh -- silly me -- I forgot that we live in the age of
> I'll-do-my-own-thing-and-screw-your-stupid-outdated-rules.
>
> --
>
> ------

> Regards,
> Joe S.
> W4HH
>
>
> "20 Meter No Call" <20meters@NoCallOn20meters.net> wrote in message
> news:auhnon$m84$0@pita.alt.net...
> >
> > "Zoran Brlecic" <WA7AA@attbi.com> wrote in message
> > news:3E0BF8C8.8050602@attbi.com...
> > >
> > > Why don't just give everyone everywhere callsigns they want, no
> > > questions asked? Hell, we're already half way to CB anyway.
> > >
> > > 73 .... WA7AA
> >
> > Exactly! Do as many are now doing. Go to qrz.com, look up
> > a vacant call sign or one some old guy has who is no longer active
> > and then operate inside the ham bands. The word has been out
> > for a long time, do not operate outside the ham bands, that draws
> > attention. Operate inside the ham bands with a call sign. No one
> > ever questions it. I know from experience. If a pesky ham happens
> > by, ignore him, qsy, or if you really want to talk to someone, tell
> > them you just got the call and it must not yet be listed on qrz.
> > So simple, why would anyone even bother getting a license!
> > Change freqs. often, don't stay on one particular freq. learn ham
> > slang, avoid CB jargon.
> >
> > 20 meters
> >
> >
> >
>
>
>

4596. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 15:31:25 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212280731.7c03aeda@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58786

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3E0D4D2C.17A035DA@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021227090651.02011.00000002@mb-mk.aol.com>...
> > > >Subject: Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?
> > > >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> > > >Date: 12/26/02 1:33 PM Central Standard Time
> > > >Message-id: <f45722ac.0212261133.74d1dbba@posting.google.com>
>
> > > >Steve, I was referring to his limited view of amateur radio, not his career.
> > >
> > > It doesn't matter, Brian.
> >
> > It does matter. You're in over your head. Start swimming for shore
> > or a nearby buoy. Try not to make too many splashing noises while
> > you're at it.
>
> With your own limited experience in amateur radio, how would you know
> the difference?

Just like you knew what I was saying about Somalia was wrong, wrong,
wrong, until I dug it out of the ARRL web site.

4597. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 15:34:01 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <JvjP9.157$134.35802@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58787
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Walt Davidson" <walt99@tiscali.co.uk> wrote

: It doesn't matter who regulates radio there, the US Virgin Islands ....

But of course it matters who regulates radio there. Since the FCC regulates
radio there, they've come up with a plan which irritates some pompous fella
in G3-land. Suits me!

(Just exactly where IS G3 by the way, as opposed to G4 or G0 or
G-whatever?)

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

4598. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 15:40:51 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212280740.27cf87bf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58788

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021227220930.10292.00000985@mb-ba.aol.com>...
> In article <f45722ac.0212270758.4014ff4c@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >> In article <f45722ac.0212260825.7bfa4eb2@posting.google.com>,
> >> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
> >>
> >> >Basically, a jerk on RRAP = A jerk on the air, no matter how hard you
> >> >and Larry try to say it isn't so. No wonder you're such a big backer
> >> >of the 11.8 second QSO. At about 12 seconds people catch on that
> >> >you're a blow hard jerk, then they QSY. I really wish you could have
> >> >good amateur radio experiences like I've had, but the ball's in your
> >> >court. I hope you figure it out before SK time.
> >> >
> >> >73, Brian
> >>
> >> Brian:
> >>
> >> I'm sure it's entirely possible that you're not a jerk on the air!
> >
> >Yes, correct.
>
> Brian:
>
> I'm glad that at least YOU think so!
>
> > However,
> >> if there's ever a case that could prove that what you say is right, I'm
> >> sure you must be it!
> >
> >Sorry. It doesn't work that way. First you have to be a jerk.
>
> Brian, all the evidence presented in this newsgripe would suggest that
> you are, indeed, a jerk!

Incorrect.

One of the things that jerks do is make blind
> judgments about people!

Do you mean "knuckle-dragging 4-landers with a Southern accent?

Or perhaps you meant "welfare mothers of color with their hands out?"

You know I could go on and on. Its all in the archives.

Doesn't Riley have a 4 call?

To claim that I'm a jerk on the air, without
> ever having had a QSO with me, in itself causes you to be suspected of
> being a jerk -- if not providing indisputable of same! Where do you get
> off making such gratuitously disparaging remarks? Oh, never mind --
> I remember now -- you're a no-coder. I should have expected this.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Ding ding ding.

Now you're making blanket judgements about no-coders. Where does it
stop, Larry?

And it was you who said that you were perfectly capable of being a
jerk on RRAP without it coming out in your QSO's on the air. But then
you cited several instances where no one would come back to your calls
and plea's, re: Larry's personal SET.

I wonder why that was? Maybe they have jerk detectors wired into
their emergency radios.

4599. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 15:45:36 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212280745.207cbfbf@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58789

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021227090136.02011.00000001@mb-mk.aol.com>...

> The ball's in YOUR court, Brian. How many RRAP'er QSO's are in your log
> to back up your assertion as quoted above...???
>
> We're watching...
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

K8MN in various costume, and KH2D.

4600. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 15:54:25 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212280754.258d78c@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58790

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3E0D4A55.22C8E3D8@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0C879E.D884D8F0@earthlink.net>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > > > Basic training? You did skits in basic training?
> > >
> > > For the entire six weeks we did skits, jumped through unnecessary and
> > > irrelevant hoops (according to some) and we were forced to participate
> > > in numerous archaic rituals and traditions. We did relay races and
> > > other feats of skill. We got to mess with maps and compasses and even
> > > rifles. We had to responds to hand motions come up with certain noises
> > > or phrases when a certain word was said.
> >
> > You don't suprise me much. Sounds like an entire career in the foreign service.
>
> You do get confused easily. I wrote "basic training". Foreign Service
> members don't go though boot camp.

No, apparently I'm ignorant of the training that the foreign service
receives. What training did you receive as a foreign service agent?

> > > > I have enough, thank you. Why not devote some of your time to a
> > > > volunteer organization rather than impress us with your dx total.
> > >
> > > I haven't tried to impress you with my DX totals. I was out of the
> > > country for over fifteen years, Brian. I haven't even tried to impress
> > > you with my operating abilities.
> >
> > You're a smart man, sometimes. Why try to impress me when you know it won't?
>
> When I know it won't what?

...impress me.

> > I already devote some of my time to
> > > various organizations though that isn't a necessity.
> >
> > Scouting isn't a necessity, either.
>
> No kidding.
>
> > I've even
> > > particpated in past Jamborees On The Air from Sierra Leone and Botswana

> > > putting local Scouts in touch with U.S. and European Scouts.
> >
> > Ditto JOTA from kh2, although the international date line was a bit of a problem.
>
> Signals stop there?

Scout activity is synchronized by local time, not international time.
You should understand that.

> > > > Try to stay on-topic.
> > >
> > > I thought I was. You were listing things which were only a small part
> > > of scouting.
> >
> > Mufflers?
>
> 1N34A's?

?

> > > > Is that what I said?
> > >
> > > If you go back and read the thread, you'll find that is the case.
> >
> > Wow. Such a smart guy like you andyou come to such stupid conclusions.
>
> I'm not the one who responded to a question about construction projects
> with a reply about a 12-pack of 1N34A's.

No, that is correct. You're the guy who mistook construction projects
-using- 1n34a's with a construction project making 1n34a's. That is
all.

It's just all the little things that trip you up, Dave. Don't try so
hard to get your commo wire wrapped around the axle next time.

4601. Re: Something for everyone...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 15:56:59 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212280756.4e7c5fd5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58791

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3E0D4835.4188D29A@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:<v0ppf951es82ab@corp.supernews.com>...
> > > Maybe more adults need the supervision of children?
> >
> > Jim, thanks for trying to salvage a bad situation.
> >
> > Dave just needs a life.
>
> Dave isn't the one traipsing about in the woods showing the young lads
> how to make radio by rubbing a couple of 1N34As together.

See? You got it wrong again.

We were traipsing around looking for Galena crystals for that 1n34a
construction project, remember?

4602. Re: What I asked Santa for.....

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 16:01:28 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212280801.1a19db7e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58792

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021228013845.10447.00000523@mb-ba.aol.com>...
> >Only because you're too stupid to get a Ten-Tec transverter.
>
> Hey Briam FUCK YOU, you Wimp Bastard

Now, now, Bruce. You're letting your emotions control you.

I'll give you the link for Ten-Tec and you can get that rig up on 2M
in no time flat.

www.tentec.com

4603. Re: This is a good one

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 16:04:19 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212280804.16936a1b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58793

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<skaq0v01p5jhp1iqqbigm89o5i4dn22lam@4ax.com>...
> Check out this enforcement action link. Particularly the VE related
> one.
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/2002/1214.html
>
> looks like we have some VE's that were a little too helpful. Then
> again, maybe the guy just really really really studied hard before his
> seventh test! ;^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Did they mention if he did it all in 8 minutes?

4604. Re: Something for everyone...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 16:09:32 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <480D5C06CC735B18.8C6792438E0BE8AD.75210AA7CF5E9350@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58794

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0212272020.6446d21a@posting.google.com...
> wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021227120545.02729.00000493@mb-ch.aol.com>...
> >
> > Karls a losser just like you
>
> A "losser" just like me? What is a "losser?"
>

Hey, that's one of those folks with a really weak voice. Their voices are
losser voices...

Kim W5TIT

4605. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 16:14:56 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <06DBE5F91C3EAD6C.06F0C31AB7A7684A.A90E94AD24F3DF96@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58795

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0212272056.282eaddb@posting.google.com...
>
> You must still think that the ARRL owns DX. How ethnocentric of you.
>

Oh, goodness, Dave will be all upset. I am picking up on just one part of this post, Brian, so you'll have to forgive me my transgression.

I remember when I thought about trying out some DX/QSL stuff when I first got started in ham radio. Then, I kept hearing about this "via the Bureau" stuff. Some won't do anything but the Bureau. It sounded like a lot more problem than it's worth.

Kim W5TIT

4606. Re: MHz to Mc Conversion Table

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 16:22:32 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <183D75C433A22BCD.CA81DF7DE670423A.B0084B0FFB23B7D7@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58797

"KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message
news:v0qgo0tch73qe0@corp.supernews.com...
> Just found this over at Eham.net, now I'm beginning to
> wonder if Bruce WA8ULX isn't right after all!
>
> The MHz to Mc Conversion Tables by
> George, "Geo" (K0FF)
> http://www.eham.net/articles/4323
>
> --
> '73' From KB9TMP
> "The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
> if you can fake that, you've got it made."
> -Groucho Marx
>
>
>

ROFLMAO!!! Did you notice the callsign? It's a vanity call, wonder if he
means "Kiss Off" with it!

Kim W5TIT

4607. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 16:28:28 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <8FD3549C6281ABA3.740E8255B1AE07A3.E39A93967B000029@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58798

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E0D45FA.8F953690@earthlink.net...
>
> Naw. You only bother me when you grab onto something which is a mere
> aside to what is under debate and try to make it an issue unto itself as
> you've done here.
>

Bother you?! You're hilarious, Dave. I don't see any intellectualism coming from you on this newsgroup, ever. And yet, you pontificate as if it is a normal mode of operation for you.

You were provided evidence and you chose to ignore it. It's as simple as that, nothing more. Whether I was responding to the quoted material, or not--and I was, doesn't do a thing to negate the things I said.

Bubbye, Dave...

4608. Re: Something for everyone...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 16:29:30 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <8C32CCC819F53915.0ADF23887DE344BB.D978F65CAB6477DD@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58799

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E0D4835.4188D29A@earthlink.net...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
news:<v0ppf951es82ab@corp.supernews.com>...
> > > Maybe more adults need the supervision of children?
> >
> > Jim, thanks for trying to salvage a bad situation.
> >
> > Dave just needs a life.
>
> Dave isn't the one traipsing about in the woods showing the young lads
> how to make radio by rubbing a couple of 1N34As together.
>
> Dave K8MN

Maybe if you *did* move about once in a while, Dave, you'd be a lot better
off.

Kim W5TIT

4609. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 16:31:51 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021228113151.01976.00000042@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 58796

>Subject: Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?
>From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
>Date: 12/28/02 9:45 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <f45722ac.0212280745.207cbfbf@posting.google.com>

>> The ball's in YOUR court, Brian. How many RRAP'er QSO's are in your
>log
>> to back up your assertion as quoted above...???
>>
>> We're watching...
>>
>> 73
>>
>> Steve, K4YZ
>
>K8MN in various costume, and KH2D.

OH MY!

TWO RRAP'ers! Wow! And from THIS you make the absolutely ludicrous
assertion about poor operators-vs-RRAP participation from THAT...?!?!?

Just EXACTLY what did they do to you on the air to allow you to formulate
such a scathing rebuke, Brian?

Sheesh!

Steve, K4YZ

4610. Re: Would have been Extra sooner

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 16:34:22 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <54FBC2177CF30F95.C4B28D855380A379.EC82262F482FFCAD@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58800

"No Call Listed" <MakesYouMad@anon.com> wrote in message
news:aujqfv$a6c$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> news:95579549DE5AB486.65E864E8423D4B86.D2574C65AB956424@lp.airnews.net...
> > Last bit, on this, Jim. My fingers just aren't ready for this at home
> after
> > programming all day.
> >
>
> Gee, Kim. Don't you have something more useful to do? Such as laundry,
> cooking or mopping floors? Now that your child-bearing days are over you
> should have ample time for such mundane chores.
>
>

Heard from Buddy lately?

Kim W5TIT

4611. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 16:39:31 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <6E6A22A921466842.2CEB5C89D59FA9B5.8B80C520EF08B639@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58801

```
"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0212280740.27cf87bf@posting.google.com...
> yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021227220930.10292.00000985@mb-ba.aol.com>...
> > In article <f45722ac.0212270758.4014ff4c@posting.google.com>,
> > brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
> >
> > >> In article <f45722ac.0212260825.7bfa4eb2@posting.google.com>,
> > >> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
> > >>
> > >> >Basically, a jerk on RRAP = A jerk on the air, no matter how hard
you
> > >> >and Larry try to say it isn't so. No wonder you're such a big
backer
> > >> >of the 11.8 second QSO. At about 12 seconds people catch on that
> > >> >you're a blow hard jerk, then they QSY. I really wish you could
have
> > >> >good amateur radio experiences like I've had, but the ball's in your
> > >> >court. I hope you figure it out before SK time.
> > >> >
> > >> >73, Brian
> > >>
> > >> Brian:
> > >>
> > >> I'm sure it's entirely possible that you're not a jerk on the air!
> > >
> > >Yes, correct.
> >
> > Brian:
> >
> > I'm glad that at least YOU think so!
> >
> > > However,
> > >> if there's ever a case that could prove that what you say is right,
I'm
> > >> sure you must be it!
> > >
> > >Sorry. It doesn't work that way. First you have to be a jerk.
> >
> > Brian, all the evidence presented in this newsgripe would suggest that
> > you are, indeed, a jerk!
>
> Incorrect.
>
```

> One of the things that jerks do is make blind
> > judgments about people!
>
> Do you mean "knuckle-dragging 4-landers with a Southern accent?
>
> Or perhaps you meant "welfare mothers of color with their hands out?"
>
> You know I could go on and on. Its all in the archives.
>
> Doesn't Riley have a 4 call?
>
> To claim that I'm a jerk on the air, without
> > ever having had a QSO with me, in itself causes you to be suspected of
> > being a jerk -- if not providing indisputable of same! Where do you get
> > off making such gratuitously disparaging remarks? Oh, never mind --
> > I remember now -- you're a no-coder. I should have expected this.
> >
> > 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
> Ding ding ding.
>
> Now you're making blanket judgements about no-coders. Where does it
> stop, Larry?
>
> And it was you who said that you were perfectly capable of being a
> jerk on RRAP without it coming out in your QSO's on the air. But then
> you cited several instances where no one would come back to your calls
> and plea's, re: Larry's personal SET.
>
> I wonder why that was? Maybe they have jerk detectors wired into
> their emergency radios.
>

Brian, Brian, Brian. You know that Larry sets himself apart from the entire human organization when he makes such statements!!

Kim W5TIT

4612. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 17:09:47 UTC
From: K<unk>HB <k<unk>hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <vVkP9.274$134.50050@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58802
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx

"W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote

: Then, I kept hearing about this "via the Bureau"
: stuff. Some won't do anything but the Bureau.
: It sounded like a lot more problem than it's worth.

Actually, "Via the Buro" is the easiest and least expensive (but not the fastest) way to QSL. Except for the "new-new" and "new-band" cards I need, it's the way I handle all my DX QSL'ing. I just wish we could convince ARRL to allow QSLing to the domestic buro also.

<unk><unk><unk> 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

4613. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 17:13:45 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <50F23CC505CC7745.AC8AAF4381FED648.F32F2EFA8DC480C5@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58805

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:vVkP9.274$134.50050@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote
>
> : Then, I kept hearing about this "via the Bureau"
> : stuff. Some won't do anything but the Bureau.
> : It sounded like a lot more problem than it's worth.
>
> Actually, "Via the Buro" is the easiest and least expensive (but not the
> fastest) way to QSL. Except for the "new-new" and "new-band" cards I
need,
> it's the way I handle all my DX QSL'ing. I just wish we could convince
ARRL
> to allow QSLing to the domestic buro also.
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
> http://www.mnyarc.org
> http://www.k0bsa.org
>
>

Yeah, it's the lack of "fastest" that wouldn't do for me. One thing I am not is a patient person, and I reserve patience for instances wherein it is absolutely required--not for amateur radio. I favor QSL direct and getting a card ASAP--although I'm not sure I'd be interested in paper chasing. My husband recently received a package from the "Buro" and he can't even remember the contacts he made now. And, he hasn't been on the air (he's a General Class) in--gosh--more than a year.

How come you cross-posted to the DX group? I don't think they'd appreciate that.

Kim W5TIT

4614. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 17:15:03 UTC
From: Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com>
Message-ID: <Xns92F27C83A4B22elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>
Source record: 58803
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in
news:Xns92F23CDFCFFE5elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4:

> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in
> news:f45722ac.0212272027.336ad1e5@posting.google.com:
>
>> "K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message news:<kV%O9.1862
>>>
>>> The FCC could care less about DXCC
>>
>> Dave confuses DXCC with FCC. Ditto his minions. You five need a one
>> on one.
>
> Actually, I don't think USVI is part of the USA, it's a US territory,
> which isn't the same thing. The USA is only the 50 states plus DC. Then
> there is Puerto Rico, which has 'commonwealth' status, where it is
> etiher a state or a territory. Nobody is saying that they are not under
> FCC jurisdiction, or that you have to use /KP2 when in USVI, only that
> it is good operating practice to do so, but apparently even that is too
> contentious for some. For the record, I did sign N3KIP/KP2 when I
> visited USVI.
>
> Someone in the thread said (a couple of times) maybe things are
> different in the UK. Well, they are, very different. The UK callsign
> system uses transferrable prefixes, which involves a lot of redundancy
> between callsigns. For example, G8VUK in England becomes GW8VUK in
> Wales and GJ8VUK on Jersey, as only one of these calls is issued. Most
> people get confused when talking about England, Britain and the UK,
> each of which is different. This even confuses some British people, but
> just remember that England is only a part of Britain and that in turn
> is only the mainland of the UK. The country (not for DXCC, but
> politically) is called the UK or United Kingdom. The mainland is called
> Britain or Great Britain, and is divided up into England (G, M, 2E),
> Scotland (GM, MM, 2M) and Wales (GW, MW, 2W), each of which is still
> referred to as a 'country' (not just for DXCC), because they used to be
> separate countries. The other parts of the UK (which are not part of
> Britain, although the people are still British) are Northern Ireland
> (GI, MI, 2I), The Isle of Man (GD, MD, 2D) and the Channel Islands,
> which for ham radio purposes are divided up into Jersey (GJ, MJ, 2J)
> and Guernsey (GU, MU, 2U), although there are two other jurisdictions
> in CI, called Alderney and Sark, but they come under Guernsey as far as
> the prefix goes, and also for DXCC. Each UK prefix is a separate DXCC
> entity, but this is because they were grandfathered, not because they

> meet the criteria.
>
> The UK also has territories, but they have separate prefixes, and radio
> is not administered in them by the RA, so even someone with a UK
> licence needs a reciprocal licence. In the Carribean there are Anguilla
> (VP2E), Montserrat (VP2M), the British Virgin Islands (VP2V), the Turks
> and Caicos Islands (VP5) and the Cayman Islands (ZF). Then you have
> Bermuda (VP9) and you also have on that side of the atlantic VP8, which
> covers both the Falklands and the British Antarctic, which itself also
> includes islands that are separate DXCC entities (South Sandwich, South
> Georgia, South Orkney and South Shetland, I think, all uninhabited),
> but there's no way to separate the different VP8 DXCC entities from
> their calls. On the other side of the Atlantic there are St Helena and
> Tristan da Cunha, which use the ZD prefix. You can separate them as
> DXCC entities, because they use different numbers in the call, although
> I always forget which is 7 and which is 8. The British base at Akrotiri
> in Cyprus uses the ZB prefix. There's also Chagos/Diego Garcia (VQ) in
> the Indian Ocean (a US base, but a British territory). I think that's
> all that remains of the British Empire, that I can remember.
>

Apologies to everyone in Gibraltar (ZB2) for missing it out. British Forces Cyprus is ZB4.

4615. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 17:23:02 UTC
From: Bill M <don'tspamme-exray@caribe.net>
Message-ID: <v0rnboha9pa87b@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 58804
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Walt Davidson wrote:

>
> It doesn't matter who regulates radio there, the US Virgin Islands are
> not, repeat NOT, part of the United States.

Don't try explaining that to US Virgin Islanders. You'll get some
strange looks.

-Bill M
WX4A/KP4

4616. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 17:35:48 UTC
From: AC6V <ac6v@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <UhlP9.170902$6k.3978511@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 58806
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.dx

By the bureau means: Lots less trouble and it is of worth
Not addressing umpteen envelopes -- just one box to Connecticut
Not $1.00 to $2.00 or IRC's for return postage for each card -- just 10
cards or less for $1.00 or $4.00 for the first pound of cards or portion
thereof--approximately 75 cards.
A fairly long wait
You must be an ARRL Member to use the OUTGOING Bureau.
http://www.arrl.org/qsl/qslout.html

And the Incoming Bureau does not require ARRL membership.
http://www.arrl.org/qsl/qslin.html
Many of your contacts will send to the "Burro" and you probably have some
there now but you must send them a check so they can set up envelopes and
postage. Sending your address labels will help.

I use the bureau for all "common DX".
Rare or new ones - direct or by manager.
Stateside QSL Managers - yes with an SASE.
I tip all QSL managers - helps with print costs, etc.

--
I Doubt, Therefore I Might Be
73 From AC6V, Rod In Oceanside, CA
http://ac6v.com

> "W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote
>
> : Then, I kept hearing about this "via the Bureau"
> : stuff. Some won't do anything but the Bureau.
> : It sounded like a lot more problem than it's worth.
>
>
>
>

4617. Re: This is a good one

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 17:59:44 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <ugor0v01oe38ra1vaqoh45m5ea5hrhe6tj@4ax.com>
Source record: 58807

On 28 Dec 2002 08:04:19 -0800, brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote:

>Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:<skaq0v01p5jhp1iqqbigm89o5i4dn22lam@4ax.com>...
>> Check out this enforcement action link. Particularly the VE related
>> one.
>>
>> http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/2002/1214.html
>>
>> looks like we have some VE's that were a little too helpful. Then
>> again, maybe the guy just really really really studied hard before his
>> seventh test! ;^)
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>
>Did they mention if he did it all in 8 minutes?

heh, heh! No they didn't. I'm going to stay tuned on this one, though.

4618. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 19:14:18 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <eKmP9.83440$hK4.6766125@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 58808
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

"Hisashi T Fujinaka" <htodd@spiretech.com> wrote in message
news:htodd-2D0804.22301327122002@gaspra.spiretech.com...
> In article <auisub02d0p@enews1.newsguy.com>,
> "Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org> wrote:
>
> > At the risk of starting a flame war or some equally useless waste of time,
I
> > suggest that not using the location indicator is poor operating practice at
> > worst and bad manners at best, regardless of what the regulations permit.
> >
> > I am a very QRP CW operator living in NE TN. It's a bit challenging for me
> > to work the western states on 300 milliwatts and it's damned frustrating to
> > spend an hour or more chasing what I think is a western station only to
find
> > that he's local. Two cases in point: A 6 answered my CQ and I figured I
had
> > CA in the bag -- only to find the #&^$#@! is located in West Virginia.
Then
> > there was the 7 who answered me after I spent over an hour digging around
> > listening for a 7 -- I caught him signing with another station and called
> > him. He was in OH. No, I said nothing to either of them about it -- just
> > had a normal QSO and kept on looking.
> >
> > Of course, I still keep an ARRL paper logbook and drive a 30 year old VW
> > Beetle.
>
>
> I hate when people keep their callsigns when they move outside of their
> call area, and I curse them under my breath every time I mistakenly work
> them. :) But the rules are the rules and people like Phil are just
> making it more interesting by keeping me on my toes.
>
> Like it wasn't hard enough already. But if it was too easy, I'd get
> bored as well. Oh, I am so conflicted. :)

Oh yes, it is a horrible situation :-)

During the WAE contest I usually sign my call as W0RLI/7 or W7/W0RLI
so the Europeans will not be confused about where I am located.

Maybe I should do that all the time :-)

--

... Hank

Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.

4619. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 19:34:36 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <g1nP9.436$134.70171@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58809
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Walt Davidson" <walt99@tiscali.co.uk> wrote

: We also have a KH2 who frequents this newsgroup and operates from Florida.

He came by his call honestly, and is well known by that call. Why should he change it?

73, de Hans, ex-KG6AQI

4620. Re: Season's Greetings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 20:02:09 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3E0E0341.67A80D9C@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 58810

Larry Roll K3LT wrote:

> I have been remiss in my offer of Season's Greetings! I would like to wish all
> my fellow amateurs worldwide a very happy and fulfilling Kwanza!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

I have no idea what Kwanza is about, but have a good one anyway.

73 de Whiskey Alpha Two India Sierra Echo

4621. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 20:07:25 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0E04C9.D3D32C01@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58811

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0D4D2C.17A035DA@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021227090651.02011.00000002@mb-mk.aol.com>...
> > > > >Subject: Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?
> > > > >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> > > > >Date: 12/26/02 1:33 PM Central Standard Time
> > > > >Message-id: <f45722ac.0212261133.74d1dbba@posting.google.com>
> > >
> > > > > >Steve, I was referring to his limited view of amateur radio, not his career.
> > > > >
> > > > > It doesn't matter, Brian.
> > >
> > > It does matter. You're in over your head. Start swimming for shore
> > > or a nearby buoy. Try not to make too many splashing noises while
> > > you're at it.
> >
> > With your own limited experience in amateur radio, how would you know
> > the difference?
>
> Just like you knew what I was saying about Somalia was wrong, wrong,
> wrong, until I dug it out of the ARRL web site.

It is apparent that only you, of all individuals operating from Somalia
during that time period, neglected to get permission in writing from
someone authorized to grant it. That it never dawned on you that this
would be the thing to do, marks you as a rube.

Dave K8MN

4622. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 20:15:10 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen <nielsen@remove_this.oz.net>
Message-ID: <aul0oe$1g8$0@216.39.144.81>
Source record: 58812
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On 28 Dec 2002 17:15:03 GMT, Alun Palmer wrote:
> Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in
> news:Xns92F23CDFCFFE5elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4:
>
>> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in
>> news:f45722ac.0212272027.336ad1e5@posting.google.com:
>>
>>> "K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message news:<kV%O9.1862
>>>>
>>>> The FCC could care less about DXCC
>>>
>>> Dave confuses DXCC with FCC. Ditto his minions. You five need a one
>>> on one.
>>
>> Actually, I don't think USVI is part of the USA, it's a US territory,
>> which isn't the same thing. The USA is only the 50 states plus DC. Then
>> there is Puerto Rico, which has 'commonwealth' status, where it is
>> etiher a state or a territory. Nobody is saying that they are not under
>> FCC jurisdiction, or that you have to use /KP2 when in USVI, only that
>> it is good operating practice to do so, but apparently even that is too
>> contentious for some. For the record, I did sign N3KIP/KP2 when I
>> visited USVI.
>>
>> Someone in the thread said (a couple of times) maybe things are
>> different in the UK. Well, they are, very different. The UK callsign
>> system uses transferrable prefixes, which involves a lot of redundancy
>> between callsigns. For example, G8VUK in England becomes GW8VUK in
>> Wales and GJ8VUK on Jersey, as only one of these calls is issued. Most
>> people get confused when talking about England, Britain and the UK,
>> each of which is different. This even confuses some British people, but
>> just remember that England is only a part of Britain and that in turn
>> is only the mainland of the UK. The country (not for DXCC, but
>> politically) is called the UK or United Kingdom. The mainland is called
>> Britain or Great Britain, and is divided up into England (G, M, 2E),
>> Scotland (GM, MM, 2M) and Wales (GW, MW, 2W), each of which is still
>> referred to as a 'country' (not just for DXCC), because they used to be
>> separate countries. The other parts of the UK (which are not part of
>> Britain, although the people are still British) are Northern Ireland
>> (GI, MI, 2I), The Isle of Man (GD, MD, 2D) and the Channel Islands,
>> which for ham radio purposes are divided up into Jersey (GJ, MJ, 2J)
>> and Guernsey (GU, MU, 2U), although there are two other jurisdictions
>> in CI, called Alderney and Sark, but they come under Guernsey as far as
>> the prefix goes, and also for DXCC. Each UK prefix is a separate DXCC

>> entity, but this is because they were grandfathered, not because they
>> meet the criteria.
>>
>> The UK also has territories, but they have separate prefixes, and radio
>> is not administered in them by the RA, so even someone with a UK
>> licence needs a reciprocal licence. In the Carribean there are Anguilla
>> (VP2E), Montserrat (VP2M), the British Virgin Islands (VP2V), the Turks
>> and Caicos Islands (VP5) and the Cayman Islands (ZF). Then you have
>> Bermuda (VP9) and you also have on that side of the atlantic VP8, which
>> covers both the Falklands and the British Antarctic, which itself also
>> includes islands that are separate DXCC entities (South Sandwich, South
>> Georgia, South Orkney and South Shetland, I think, all uninhabited),
>> but there's no way to separate the different VP8 DXCC entities from
>> their calls. On the other side of the Atlantic there are St Helena and
>> Tristan da Cunha, which use the ZD prefix. You can separate them as
>> DXCC entities, because they use different numbers in the call, although
>> I always forget which is 7 and which is 8. The British base at Akrotiri
>> in Cyprus uses the ZB prefix. There's also Chagos/Diego Garcia (VQ) in
>> the Indian Ocean (a US base, but a British territory). I think that's
>> all that remains of the British Empire, that I can remember.
>>
>
> Apologies to everyone in Gibraltar (ZB2) for missing it out. British
> Forces Cyprus is ZB4.

Wrong again, try ZC4.

ZD7 - St. Helena
ZD8 - Ascension I.
ZD9 - Tristan da Cunha and Gough I.

73, Bob N7XY

4623. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 20:15:11 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen <nielsen@remove_this.oz.net>
Message-ID: <aul0of$1g8$1@216.39.144.81>
Source record: 58813
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 28 Dec 2002 06:54:31 GMT, Kï¿½HB wrote:
> "Hisashi T Fujinaka" <htodd@spiretech.com> wrote
>
>:
>: I hate when people keep their callsigns when they move outside of their
>: call area, and I curse them under my breath every time I mistakenly work
>: them.
>
> Hi there,
>
> (Are you related to Hashifisti Scratchi, a famous ham radio columnist?)
>
> My original callsign was KG6AQI which I lost when I left Guam for "the
> world". I wonder if the current holder (in California) gets "hated" when
> folks find out he doesn't live in North Tipalao which was my town when I
> held the call.

I remember when the FCC started giving out 2X2 KG6 calls to California
hams hearing an exchange to a ham got singled out during a pileup to a
DXpedition in a fairly rare entity: "59 and thanks for the Guam
contact. That's a new country for us".

73,
Bob, N7XY

4624. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 20:15:11 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen <nielsen@remove_this.oz.net>
Message-ID: <aul0of$1g8$2@216.39.144.81>
Source record: 58814
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 28 Dec 2002 10:28:40 +0000, Walt Davidson wrote:
> On Sat, 28 Dec 2002 06:54:31 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote:
>
>>My original callsign was KG6AQI which I lost when I left Guam for "the
>>world". I wonder if the current holder (in California) gets "hated" when
>>folks find out he doesn't live in North Tipalao which was my town when I
>>held the call.
>
> Even worse are people who apply for an AH0 vanity call, or something
> similar, then use it from New Jersey! We also have a KH2 who
> frequents this newsgroup and operates from Florida. [You're very
> quiet this week, Jim! ;-)]
>
> 73 de G3NYY
>

At least in that case they had to actually have an address in the
"country" at the time the callsign was issued.

Actually I lived in the 7th area for 16 years before getting a "proper"
callsign.

Bob, N7XY

4625. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 20:17:39 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0E0722.4FB3D306@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58816

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0D4A55.22C8E3D8@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0C879E.D884D8F0@earthlink.net>...
> > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > > Basic training? You did skits in basic training?
> > > >
> > > > For the entire six weeks we did skits, jumped through unnecessary and
> > > > irrelevant hoops (according to some) and we were forced to participate
> > > > in numerous archaic rituals and traditions. We did relay races and
> > > > other feats of skill. We got to mess with maps and compasses and even
> > > > rifles. We had to responds to hand motions come up with certain noises
> > > > or phrases when a certain word was said.
> > >
> > > You don't suprise me much. Sounds like an entire career in the foreign service.
> >
> > You do get confused easily. I wrote "basic training". Foreign Service
> > members don't go though boot camp.
>
> No, apparently I'm ignorant of the training that the foreign service
> receives.

Really?

> What training did you receive as a foreign service agent?

There aren't any "foreign service" agents.

> > > > > I have enough, thank you. Why not devote some of your time to a
> > > > > volunteer organization rather than impress us with your dx total.
> > > >
> > > > I haven't tried to impress you with my DX totals. I was out of the
> > > > country for over fifteen years, Brian. I haven't even tried to impress
> > > > you with my operating abilities.
> > >
> > > You're a smart man, sometimes. Why try to impress me when you know it won't?
> >
> > When I know it won't what?
>
> ...impress me.

Oh, I was guessing "...won't be difficult". I'd no more attempt
impressing you than I would attempt carrying on a conversation with my
amplifier's power supply.

> > > I already devote some of my time to
> > > > various organizations though that isn't a necessity.
> > >
> > > Scouting isn't a necessity, either.
> >
> > No kidding.
> >
> > > I've even
> > > > particpated in past Jamborees On The Air from Sierra Leone and Botswana
> > > > putting local Scouts in touch with U.S. and European Scouts.
> > >
> > > Ditto JOTA from kh2, although the international date line was a bit of a problem.
> >
> > Signals stop there?
>
> Scout activity is synchronized by local time, not international time.
> You should understand that.

Hams are "synchronized" to local time too. They simply use
international time.
You should understand that. I guess you need to use your leadership
qualities to stress the importance of being flexible in order to achieve
specific goals.

> > > > > Try to stay on-topic.
> > > >
> > > > I thought I was. You were listing things which were only a small part
> > > > of scouting.
> > >
> > > Mufflers?
> >
> > 1N34A's?
>
> ?
>
> > > > > Is that what I said?
> > > >
> > > > If you go back and read the thread, you'll find that is the case.
> > >
> > > Wow. Such a smart guy like you andyou come to such stupid conclusions.
> >
> > I'm not the one who responded to a question about construction projects
> > with a reply about a 12-pack of 1N34A's.
>
> No, that is correct. You're the guy who mistook construction projects
> -using- 1n34a's with a construction project making 1n34a's. That is
> all.

No, I didn't mistake anything. I simply read what was asked and what you replied.

> It's just all the little things that trip you up, Dave. Don't try so
> hard to get your commo wire wrapped around the axle next time.

I get it now. You write something foolish and it becomes my fault.

Dave K8MN

4626. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 20:17:55 UTC
From: Bill M <don'tspamme-exray@caribe.net>
Message-ID: <v0s1jkomv43h82@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 58815
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Walt Davidson wrote:
> On Sat, 28 Dec 2002 13:23:02 -0400, Bill M
> <don'tspamme-exray@caribe.net> wrote:
>
>
>>Walt Davidson wrote:
>>
>>
>>>It doesn't matter who regulates radio there, the US Virgin Islands are
>>>not, repeat NOT, part of the United States.
>>
>>Don't try explaining that to US Virgin Islanders. You'll get some
>>strange looks.
>
>
> Oh, so the US Virgin Islands ar the 51st State now? You'll be telling
> us next that PR is the 52nd. Ha ha ha .... they are just colonies by
> another name.

Exactly. Part of the USA.

-BM

4627. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 20:22:19 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0E083A.553F8ED5@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58817

W5TIT wrote:
>
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:f45722ac.0212272056.282eaddb@posting.google.com...
> >
> > You must still think that the ARRL owns DX. How ethnocentric of you.
> >
>
> Oh, goodness, Dave will be all upset. I am picking up on just one part of
> this post, Brian, so you'll have to forgive me my transgression.

Dave is never upset when Brian steps in up to his neck. Dave grins like
the Cheshire cat when you follow him.

> I remember when I thought about trying out some DX/QSL stuff when I first
> got started in ham radio. Then, I kept hearing about this "via the Bureau"
> stuff. Some won't do anything but the Bureau. It sounded like a lot more
> problem than it's worth.

[signed - Lucy Van Pelt]

You're a riot, Kim.

Dave K8MN

4628. Re: MHz to Mc Conversion Table

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 20:23:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0E08A1.AD0BFF15@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58818

W5TIT wrote:
>
> "KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:v0qgo0tch73qe0@corp.supernews.com...
> > Just found this over at Eham.net, now I'm beginning to
> > wonder if Bruce WA8ULX isn't right after all!
> >
> > The MHz to Mc Conversion Tables by
> > George, "Geo" (K0FF)
> > http://www.eham.net/articles/4323
> >
> > --
> > '73' From KB9TMP
> > "The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
> > if you can fake that, you've got it made."
> > -Groucho Marx
> >
> >
> >
>
> ROFLMAO!!! Did you notice the callsign? It's a vanity call, wonder if he
> means "Kiss Off" with it!

You aren't laughing as hard as I am. It is not a vanity call.

Dave K8MN

4629. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 20:29:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0E09FA.358439B0@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58819

W5TIT wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3E0D45FA.8F953690@earthlink.net...
> >
> > Naw. You only bother me when you grab onto something which is a mere
> > aside to what is under debate and try to make it an issue unto itself as
> > you've done here.
> >
>
> Bother you?! You're hilarious, Dave. I don't see any intellectualism
> coming from you on this newsgroup, ever. And yet, you pontificate as if it
> is a normal mode of operation for you.

Allow me to point out that you likely wouldn't recognize intellect if it
whapped you in your ample backside.

> You were provided evidence and you chose to ignore it. It's as simple as
> that, nothing more. Whether I was responding to the quoted material, or
> not--and I was, doesn't do a thing to negate the things I said.

What you brought to the discussion was not relevant to what was being
discussed. It wasn't relevant at the time and it is no more relevant
now.
You offered no input whatever on whether we are or aren't sheep being
raped by our government. You wanted to discuss a movie which you offer
as "proof" of something or other.

> Bubbye, Dave...

Seeya, Ditz.

Dave K8MN

4630. Re: Something for everyone...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 20:32:38 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0E0AB4.9A5C472F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58820

W5TIT wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3E0D4835.4188D29A@earthlink.net...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> news:<v0ppf951es82ab@corp.supernews.com>...
> > > > Maybe more adults need the supervision of children?
> > >
> > > Jim, thanks for trying to salvage a bad situation.
> > >
> > > Dave just needs a life.
> >
> > Dave isn't the one traipsing about in the woods showing the young lads
> > how to make radio by rubbing a couple of 1N34As together.

> Maybe if you *did* move about once in a while, Dave, you'd be a lot better
> off.

I've lived in six U.S. states and travled Europe and Africa for fifteen
years, all while you were laughing your ample a.o. In all that time
"rotf", you've still not managed to laugh your a.o.

Dave K8MN

4631. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 20:33:58 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <WUnP9.500$134.76671@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58821
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Bob Nielsen" <nielsen@remove_this.oz.net> wrote

: I remember when the FCC started giving out 2X2 KG6 calls to California
: hams hearing an exchange to a ham got singled out during a pileup to a
: DXpedition in a fairly rare entity: "59 and thanks for the Guam
: contact. That's a new country for us".

Actually, the Guam calls were KG6xxx 2x3's. KG6xx 2x2 were much better DX,
like Saipan (KG6Sx), Tinian (KG6Tx), Rota (KG6Rx), Iwo Jima (KG6IJ), Chi-chi
Jima (KG6IC), and Marcus Island (KG6IF).

Now who can tell me the difference between a KR6 and a KR8 licensee?.

And what would WB6AA count for in DXCC, circa 1960's?

73, de Hans, ex-KG6AQI

4632. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 20:44:29 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0E0D6C.26817DCF@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58822
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:

> Now who can tell me the difference between a KR6 and a KR8 licensee?.

KR6 was Ryukyu. I don't recall a KR8.

> And what would WB6AA count for in DXCC, circa 1960's?

I'm guessing a Novice on Baker, Canton, Howland or American Phoenix
Island

Dave K8MN

4633. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 20:52:54 UTC
From: AC6V <ac6v@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <GaoP9.171671$6k.4054409@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 58823
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
Hans -- Season's Greetings
I think they were

KR6 Okinawa (Ryukyu)

KR8, JR6, KA6 KS4 -- Swan Is
```

WB6AA was a Novice call for Canton Island.
--
I Doubt, Therefore I Might Be
73 From AC6V, Rod In Oceanside, CA
http://ac6v.com
"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:WUnP9.500$134.76671@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "Bob Nielsen" <nielsen@remove_this.oz.net> wrote
>
> : I remember when the FCC started giving out 2X2 KG6 calls to California
> : hams hearing an exchange to a ham got singled out during a pileup to a
> : DXpedition in a fairly rare entity: "59 and thanks for the Guam
> : contact. That's a new country for us".
>
> Actually, the Guam calls were KG6xxx 2x3's. KG6xx 2x2 were much better
DX,
> like Saipan (KG6Sx), Tinian (KG6Tx), Rota (KG6Rx), Iwo Jima (KG6IJ),
Chi-chi
> Jima (KG6IC), and Marcus Island (KG6IF).
>
> Now who can tell me the difference between a KR6 and a KR8 licensee?.
>
> And what would WB6AA count for in DXCC, circa 1960's?
>
> 73, de Hans, ex-KG6AQI
>
>
>
>
>

4634. Re: eHam.net Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 20:56:04 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v0s400tni18vde@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 58824

Jackie, the first time I fired up as a novice, I had a buddy who could copy code a lot better than I sitting there as a back-up ;) The first couple of times **was** unnerving! Too bad my friend (the backup) never went for a higher class license (back then, novice licenses were issued for 1 year and were **not** renewable. You could never have held an amateur license before to be eligible for a novice ticket).

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

"Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote in message
news:yj9P9.476017$WL3.125461@rwcrnsc54...
>
> "Vshah101" <vshah101@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021227211011.01967.00000022@mb-df.aol.com...
>
> > No, voting on this is improper and inherently unfair.
>
> It's just a survey put out by some bored people anddesigned to yank some
> people's chains. I swear, hasn't everyone in this hobby weighed in on this
> subject by now? I put in my 2 cents on this survey- I'm one of the odd
ones
> out. I don't take a stand on this subject either way, because I don't know
> enough about using CW yet to judge its usefulness one way or the other.
>
> I can say that I now know CW... at least, I can copy/send very well at 7.5
> WPM. I'm sure there are some readers here who're chuckling under their
> breath about that. They are obviously too old and/or brain dead to
remember
> when they were beginners. No names or callsigns- you know who you are,
> trollers.
>
> Jackie
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.434 / Virus Database: 243 - Release Date: 12/25/02

4635. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 20:57:26 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021228155726.02538.00000512@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 58827

In article <vstq0vkodovql7n2he2s1aj3kuiu8nej22@4ax.com>, Walt Davidson <walt99@tiscali.co.uk> writes:

>The worst mistake the FCC ever made was to discontinue the mandatory
>use of call area prefixes to indicate the area from which a station is
>operating.

Really?

That was worse than the whole "incentive licensing" mess in the 1960s?

73 de Jim, N2EY

4636. Re: eHam.net Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 20:57:27 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021228155727.02538.00000513@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 58825

In article <yj9P9.476017$WL3.125461@rwcrnsc54>, "Jackie L."
<buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:

>hasn't everyone in this hobby weighed in on this
>subject by now?

Not really.

Consider a simple fact:

Back in 1998 there were about 675,000 US hams. FCC proposed a sweping
restructuring, and asked for comments from all interested parties (not just
licensed hams). They got about 2200 replies, mostly from individuals, and
overwhelmingly from licensed hams. (You can view them online at the FCC website

http://www.fcc.gov

using the ECFS system.

IOW, not even 1% of licensed US hams bothered to respond to the FCC's request
for input.

>I put in my 2 cents on this survey- I'm one of the odd ones
>out. I don't take a stand on this subject either way, because I don't know
>enough about using CW yet to judge its usefulness one way or the other.

Fair enough. When you get your HF rig set up, take a listen on the CW/data
parts of the ham bands and judge for yourself.
>
>I can say that I now know CW... at least, I can copy/send very well at 7.5
>WPM.

GREAT!

Was it really all that hard to learn?

>I'm sure there are some readers here who're chuckling under their
>breath about that.

Not this one.

>They are obviously too old and/or brain dead to remember
>when they were beginners.

I remember those days well. Lots of fun - then and now.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4637. Re: eHam.net Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 20:57:27 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021228155727.02538.00000514@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 58826

In article <20021227211011.01967.00000022@mb-df.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101) writes:

>Subject: Re: eHam.net Survey
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 28 Dec 2002 02:10:11 GMT
>
>From: "Jim Hampton" aa2qa@frontiernet.net
>>Subject: Re: eHam.net Survey
>
>This is the same (Morse code) debate. Nothing new here.
>
>From WA2SI
>>> > Hmm... (It'd sure be nice if it were to be decided by a vote.)
>>> > 73 de Bert
>>> > WA2SI
>
>No, voting on this is improper and inherently unfair.

Why is voting on such an issue "improper and inherently unfair" as long as all interested parties get a vote? Is there something wrong with democracy?

Far as I can tell, the eHam survey doesn't require a license, so it's open to all interested parties.

I very much doubt you would say it was "improper and inherently unfair" if the vote was going the other way.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4638. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 21:26:30 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <aGoP9.554$134.83686@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58828
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"AC6V" <ac6v@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:GaoP9.171671$6k.4054409@news1.west.cox.net...
: Hans -- Season's Greetings
: I think they were
:
: KR6 Okinawa (Ryukyu)

Correct, but lacks detail.

: KR8 -- Swan Is

Incorrect.

: WB6AA was a Novice call for Canton Island.

Correct. I had a friend who was WB6AC. Rare DX, even back when the
tracking station was there. He called CQ incessantly without answer in the
Novice band, and was immediately bypassed when people heard "WB6....".

73, de Hans, K0HB

4639. Re: eHam.net Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 21:37:07 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <0QoP9.484322$%m4.135333@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 58829

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021228155727.02538.00000513@mb-da.aol.com...

> Fair enough. When you get your HF rig set up, take a listen on the CW/data
> parts of the ham bands and judge for yourself.

I meant more along the lines of, if I would find it useful, or more of a pain to use than it's worth. I'm sure many people still use CW and find it useful. We'll see if I do.

> Was it really all that hard to learn?

I didn't have trouble with it at all, but then again I learn other languages very easily, so I don't propose to speak for everyone when I say it was easy to learn.

> >I'm sure there are some readers here who're chuckling under their
> >breath about that.
>
> Not this one.

I was thinking of one in particular, who I killfiled long ago. He Without Error, who claims to have passed Extra in 8 minutes and calls some of us "CBPlussers". Even though he spells worse than a six year old and has no obvious command of English grammar, he feels he can judge people who are now taking the CW exam at 5 WPM and the "dumbed-down" writtens. You know who I'm talking about. He'd almost be a good troller if he weren't so patently obtuse.

Ah well. I've got to wait until 1/11 to take the CW and General exams. Then I've gotta wait until I can afford the IC-718 (or some similar HF rig) before I can get in on the fun.

Jackie

4640. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 21:44:47 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021228164447.18791.00000254@mb-cq.aol.com>
Source record: 58830

>Subject: Re: What country?
>From: n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
>Date: 12/28/02 2:57 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021228155726.02538.00000512@mb-da.aol.com>
>
>In article <vstq0vkodovql7n2he2s1aj3kuiu8nej22@4ax.com>, Walt Davidson
><walt99@tiscali.co.uk> writes:
>
>>The worst mistake the FCC ever made was to discontinue the mandatory
>>use of call area prefixes to indicate the area from which a station is
>>operating.
>
>Really?
>
>That was worse than the whole "incentive licensing" mess in the 1960s?

I think so! Nothing upsets me more on the air (other than "frequency kops" and profanity) than calling a W1 knowing I need a "1" area station for Sweepstakes multipliers and having him tell me he's in LAX.

If the FCC's not going to differentiate anymore, they might as well quit trying to figure out where the applicant resides and issue a corresponding call. Rather just start at KA1AAA and work thier way through to WZ0ZZZ for everyone unless they opt for a vanity call.

73

Steve, K4YZ

4641. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 22:24:23 UTC
From: Bill M <don'tspamme-exray@caribe.net>
Message-ID: <v0s90qgvhkr880@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 58831
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Walt Davidson wrote:
> On Sat, 28 Dec 2002 16:17:55 -0400, Bill M
> <don'tspamme-exray@caribe.net> wrote:
>
>
>>>Oh, so the US Virgin Islands ar the 51st State now? You'll be telling
>>>us next that PR is the 52nd. Ha ha ha .... they are just colonies by
>>>another name.
>>
>>Exactly. Part of the USA.
>
>
> LOL! Does that mean you were part of the United Kingdom when you were
> one of our colonies?
>
> :-)
> 73 de G3NYY
>
Clearly the UK is/was organized differently. The US colonies are all
directly governed by Washington and they have, at least the major ones,
have some degree of congressional representation.
As far as I'm concerned, I live in the USA. The US Immigration officials
at our entry points would seem to agree. If not the USA, then what
country is it???
-Bill in KP4

4642. Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 22:48:00 UTC
From: JJ <radiobuff0@mailcity.com>
Message-ID: <3E0E2A20.1090402@mailcity.com>
Source record: 58846

WA8ULX wrote:
>>Who is Andy? No wonder you had to wait for the extra light, you
>>don't even know who you are talking to.
>>
>>
>
>
> Hes your Daddy Dumb ASS

Then he has been dead 26 years.

4643. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 22:51:44 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <4WpP9.643$134.93052@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58832
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Walt Davidson" <walt99@tiscali.co.uk> wrote

:
: LOL! Does that mean you were part of the United Kingdom when you were
: one of our colonies?
:

Yes, but we got over it by kicking your ass on the field of battle.

If the USVI wishes not to be part of the USA, perhaps they can prevail on
your friendship to help free them of the yoke of FCC tyranny. Or maybe not.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

4644. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 22:59:32 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aulac1$88r$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58833
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

It is a pain in the butt actually not knowing by call district where the
station is. We didn't have that problem when we
had to sign 'portable' I.E. W4NTI/8

Dan/W4NTI

"Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net> wrote in message
news:eKmP9.83440$hK4.6766125@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net...
> Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> > During the WAE contest I usually sign my call as W0RLI/7 or W7/W0RLI
> so the Europeans will not be confused about where I am located.
>
> Maybe I should do that all the time :-)
>
> --
>
> ... Hank
>
> Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.
>
>

4645. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 23:02:06 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aulags$oe2$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58834
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Because thats the way it was....hi. When I moved down to 4 land in the mid 70s I was required to change my call. No problem BHH sucked on CW.

Dan/W4NTI ex KX6AA (Kwajelien Atol) ex DA2LJ (West Germany) ex HS1BH (Thailand) and finally ex KN>K8BHH

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message news:g1nP9.436$134.70171@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "Walt Davidson" <walt99@tiscali.co.uk> wrote
>
> : We also have a KH2 who frequents this newsgroup and operates from Florida.
>
> He came by his call honestly, and is well known by that call. Why should he
> change it?
>
> 73, de Hans, ex-KG6AQI
>
>
>
>
>

4646. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 23:04:33 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aulale$69p$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58835
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Yeah.....along those same lines I was KX6AA in the Marshall Islands in the early 80s. I can remember trying to break a QSO and being told they were looking for DX....

Dan/W4NTI

"Bob Nielsen" <nielsen@remove_this.oz.net> wrote in message
news:aul0of$1g8$1@216.39.144.81...
> On Sat, 28 Dec 2002 06:54:31 GMT, K&#65533;HB wrote:
> > "Hisashi T Fujinaka" <htodd@spiretech.com> wrote
> >
> >:
> >: I hate when people keep their callsigns when they move outside of their
> >: call area, and I curse them under my breath every time I mistakenly
work
> >: them.
> >
> > Hi there,
> >
> > (Are you related to Hashifisti Scratchi, a famous ham radio columnist?)
> >
> > My original callsign was KG6AQI which I lost when I left Guam for "the
> > world". I wonder if the current holder (in California) gets "hated"
when
> > folks find out he doesn't live in North Tipalao which was my town when I
> > held the call.
>
> I remember when the FCC started giving out 2X2 KG6 calls to California
> hams hearing an exchange to a ham got singled out during a pileup to a
> DXpedition in a fairly rare entity: "59 and thanks for the Guam
> contact. That's a new country for us".
>
> 73,
> Bob, N7XY

4647. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-28 23:09:32 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <aulaup$2s9$1@slb2.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58836
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The only reason we keep the US VI is to tick off the British.

Oh and we kicked their butts twice, you forget 1812. Course they did get some licks in...But no matter.....we got friendlier later on...hi...

Dan/W4NTI

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message news:4WpP9.643$134.93052@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "Walt Davidson" <walt99@tiscali.co.uk> wrote
>
> :
> : LOL! Does that mean you were part of the United Kingdom when you were
> : one of our colonies?
> :
>
> Yes, but we got over it by kicking your ass on the field of battle.
>
> If the USVI wishes not to be part of the USA, perhaps they can prevail on
> your friendship to help free them of the yoke of FCC tyranny. Or maybe not.
>
> With all kind wishes,
>
> de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>

4648. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 00:00:53 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212281600.5db56ac2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58837
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3E0D48F8.E243C02B@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > "K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message news:<kV%O9.1862
> > >
> > > The FCC could care less about DXCC
> >
> > Dave confuses DXCC with FCC. Ditto his minions. You five need a one on one.
>
> Do you have a single reference of such a comment from me?

Yes.

4649. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 00:04:46 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212281604.5b22322e@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58838

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021228091644.01976.00000040@mb-mk.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?
> >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> >Date: 12/27/02 10:58 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <f45722ac.0212272058.39ce0c53@posting.google.com>
>
> >It does matter. You're in over your head.
>
> Not if we're swimming in YOUR gene pool, Brian.
>
> It might aggitate my athlete's foot again, but I doubt much more.

Steve, back to "witty" school for you. You only got half way through it.

4650. Re: This is a good one

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 00:09:39 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <73rP9.485915$P31.157636@rwcrnsc53>
Source record: 58839

Ahh com'on guys. The guy just had a lucky day. I even remember Gary Hart winning one match back in the 80's....so that is proof enough for me.

73 and Happy 03 all KI3R Tom Port Vue Pa. nr Pittsburgh
"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:skaq0v01p5jhp1iqqbigm89o5i4dn22lam@4ax.com...
> Check out this enforcement action link. Particularly the VE related
> one.
>
> http://www.arrl.org/news/enforcement_logs/2002/1214.html
>
> looks like we have some VE's that were a little too helpful. Then
> again, maybe the guy just really really really studied hard before his
> seventh test! ;^)
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

4651. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 00:15:13 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212281615.32085e96@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58840

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3E0D4CA6.110326FE@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0C83D7.E1552F89@earthlink.net>...
> > > Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> > > >
> > > > >Subject: Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?
> > > > >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> > > > >Date: 12/26/02 1:33 PM Central Standard Time
> > > > >Message-id: <f45722ac.0212261133.74d1dbba@posting.google.com>
>
> > > > >Steve, I was referring to his limited view of amateur radio, not his career.
> > > >
> > > > It doesn't matter, Brian. I'd take Dave's word over any one else's in this
> > > > forum when it comes to operating from a DX location, and I have BEEN the "DX"
> > > > before.
> >
> > Steve, even when he didn't know what was required to operate in a
> > country w/o a government? But whatever it was, I was wrong - till I
> > quoted the ARRL web site?
>
> ...and still didn't have your ducks in a row.

I wasn't there duck hunting.

> > You'd be pathetic to to take his word. Might as well work Frenchmen
> > on 6M out of band.
>
> I was never out of band on 6m from anywhere.

The Frenchmen were. You just didn't care.

> > > > >Do you limit your amateur radio operating strictly to 11.8 second QSO's?
> > > >
> > > > Only in the contests or pile-ups. I know enough about "good Amateur
> > > > practice to know when 11.8 seconds is my "fifteen minutes of fame" and when I
> > > > can take the liberty of carrying on a conversation..
> > >
> > > Brian goes on a rant without bothering to attempt reading and
> > > comprehending what the other fellow has said. To a DXer, any country
> > > you haven't worked and confirmed is "DX". I've chewed the rag with
> > > plenty of "G" stations on 20 or 15 or 10m. I've had the country
> > > confirmed for decades for my overall DXCC. I did not attempt to chew
> > > the rag with "G" stations running pileups on 6m or 160m since they were

> > > busy handing out a new band country to many.
> >
> > I'm quite aware that I've worked only 170 countries on 10M SSB. And
> > I'm quite aware that I've ragchewed with what you would call rare
> > ones. The only problem is that they were in the neighborhood, they
> > had the time, I had the time.
>
> If a rare DX station wants to rag chew, he'll rag chew. It is the rare
> DX station's ball game--always.

I'm glad you've finally come around to my way of thinking. I knew you
would, eventually.

> > So what's you're problem? Do you intend to dictate to Mongolia and
> > Malaysia your DX paradigm? Knock yourself out. Pffft.
>
> You are making a "Len error". I did not establish DXing. I've been a
> long time participant from both ends of the pileup.

Then you should know better than to tell me how to run my DX.

> > > > I have a (sponsored) RCC
> > > > award.
> > >
> > > You didn't have to pay ten bucks for it either. That has all changed
> > > with the "new ARRL".
> >
> > You must still think that the ARRL owns DX. How ethnocentric of you.
>
> That might be ethnocentric if the ARRL were an ethnic group. It isn't.

True, the USA isn't an ethnic group.

> For me to "still think" that ARRL owns DX, I'd have to have once thought
> it. I haven't. The ARRL owns DXCC. Don't like it? Start your own
> awards program which will be accepted by radio amateurs worldwide as the
> standard.

What a sweeping statement. Are you saying that nearly all, or even
most, DX stations accept the ARRL DXCC as a worldwide standard? The
ARRL can't even get half of the US hams to join, let alone
participate. I'd wager that most DX hams have never submitted a thing
to the DXCC desk.

> Maybe we can get you and Vipul straightened out over time.

Why? I've finally got you pointed in the right direction on the "DX
station as Ragchewer" problem.

4652. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 00:17:57 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212281617.296d1657@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58841

"W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<6E6A22A921466842.2CEB5C89D59FA9B5.8B80C520EF08B639@lp.airnews.net>...

> Brian, Brian, Brian. You know that Larry sets himself apart from the entire
> human organization when he makes such statements!!
>
> Kim W5TIT

Yes, he certainly does.

4653. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 00:22:35 UTC
From: Sivac <sivac@sivac.com>
Message-ID: <3E0E404B.2080609@sivac.com>
Source record: 58842
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

This is the best comment of all, I couldn't agree more.

Mike VK4DX

>
> The worst mistake the FCC ever made was to discontinue the mandatory
> use of call area prefixes to indicate the area from which a station is
> operating.
>
> 73 de G3NYY
>

4654. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 00:27:50 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212281627.438cd514@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58843

"K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:<8maP9.2690$Oc.330248@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote
>
> : Once upon a time, FCC required that one's call match the call district,
> and you
> : had to sign with portable or mobile identifiers if you were away from the
> : station location on your license.
>
> Worse than that was the infamous FCC rule ï¿½12.91(a) which required us to
> send written notification of any mobile or portable operation in excess of
> 48 hours away from our "fixed transmitter location". Such notification had
> to include the date/duration of portable/mobile operation, the contemplated
> location for portable operation, or the detailed mobile itinerary. The
> notification had to be renewed in writing each additional month of such
> operation.

Perhaps that's why Dick thought he couldn't accept your invitation to
operate his favorite mode. He hadn't sent in the paperwork.

4655. Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 00:29:16 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212281629.6da294b6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58844

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021228013932.10447.00000524@mb-ba.aol.com>...
> >Who is Andy? No wonder you had to wait for the extra light, you
> >don't even know who you are talking to.
>
> Hes your Daddy Dumb ASS

Do you eat with that mouth?

4656. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 00:40:49 UTC
From: Sivac <sivac@sivac.com>
Message-ID: <3E0E4491.6020705@sivac.com>
Source record: 58845
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Zoran, I think you should let it go. There are about 4-5 OM's here who just don't get it. If they're happy to spend two hours on internet after every QSO just to find out who they just worked in contest - so be it. :-)

I would like to wish you all a very happy new year and especially to my friends; Bakir K9R in Kuwait, Heinz K0D in Frankfurt, Yoshi N1T in Yokohama, Bill W6O in South Africa, Wong N7Y in Thailand and not to forget David KBA9TYWER in the USA. (David, congrats on the new vanity call, I guess that old call KBA886TYWMM/65 was real pain in contest)

I'll meet you in the ARRL SSB contest next year, I'll be M3E, QTH Brisbane - East coast of Australia (Just in case you'd require QTH)

Ah, before I forget, I have to announce the next years DX pedition to Heard Island, we'll be using the callsign "VK" (We fought for months to get rid of that "0", can you imagine that, bloody idiots wanted to give as the call VK0 ... unbelievable, too long ...boy oh boy!)

Mike VK .... just VK (Don't tell anyone I'm on Norfolk)

(Zorane, pozdrav - Mirza VK4DX)

Zoran Brlecic wrote:
> US VIRGIN ISLANDS, KP2. Joe, W8GEX and John, WZ8D will be active as
> N8Z from St. Croix, IOTA NA-106, from December 30 to January 8.
>
> ==========
>
>
> Why do we even bother with callsigns anymore when it seems that anything
> goes? K1B is Baker & Howland, N8Z is KP2...
>
> So, are we now going to have a revised DXCC list:
>
> K SMOM
> K Monaco
> K Agalega & St. Brandon
> K Mauritius
> K Rodrigues I.
> K Equatorial Guinea
> ...
> K USA
> ...
> K South Africa
> K Prince Edward & Marion Is.

>
>
>
> Why don't just give everyone everywhere callsigns they want, no
> questions asked? Hell, we're already half way to CB anyway.
>
>
> 73 .... WA7AA
>

4657. Re: MHz to Mc Conversion Table

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 00:53:55 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <B86F02181F54BF57.9A76EBDBE7708B0A.610D33D0127BA5BD@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58849

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E0E08A1.AD0BFF15@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > "KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:v0qgo0tch73qe0@corp.supernews.com...
> > > Just found this over at Eham.net, now I'm beginning to
> > > wonder if Bruce WA8ULX isn't right after all!
> > >
> > > The MHz to Mc Conversion Tables by
> > > George, "Geo" (K0FF)
> > > http://www.eham.net/articles/4323
> > >
> > > --
> > > '73' From KB9TMP
> > > "The secret of life is honesty and fair dealing,
> > > if you can fake that, you've got it made."
> > > -Groucho Marx
> > >
> > >
> > >
> >
> > ROFLMAO!!! Did you notice the callsign? It's a vanity call, wonder if
he
> > means "Kiss Off" with it!
>
> You aren't laughing as hard as I am. It is not a vanity call.
>
> Dave K8MN

Ah. My bad. I thought HAI was vanity. It is apparently HVIE. Well, Dave,
you were right for once. I would have kept that call, too, though.

Kim W5TIT

4658. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 00:57:13 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <DB0E1FE6CC5D655E.EB2DF39279A5BA94.966B854ADEF2A48D@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58851

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E0E09FA.358439B0@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3E0D45FA.8F953690@earthlink.net...
> > >
> > > Naw. You only bother me when you grab onto something which is a mere
> > > aside to what is under debate and try to make it an issue unto itself
as
> > > you've done here.
> > >
> >
> > Bother you?! You're hilarious, Dave. I don't see any intellectualism
> > coming from you on this newsgroup, ever. And yet, you pontificate as if
it
> > is a normal mode of operation for you.
>
> Allow me to point out that you likely wouldn't recognize intellect if it
> whapped you in your ample backside.
>

My backside that you affectionately refer is hardly as ample as you've been
led *LED* to believe, Dave.

> >
> > You were provided evidence and you chose to ignore it. It's as simple
as
> > that, nothing more. Whether I was responding to the quoted material, or
> > not--and I was, doesn't do a thing to negate the things I said.
>
> What you brought to the discussion was not relevant to what was being
> discussed.
>

You made it relevant, Dave, by responding to the original comment.

>
> It wasn't relevant at the time and it is no more relevant
> now.
> You offered no input whatever on whether we are or aren't sheep being
> raped by our government. You wanted to discuss a movie which you offer
> as "proof" of something or other.
>

I don't want to discuss the movie, Dave--you've been doing that all by yourself. I want to discuss why you told someone you didn't believe them, then, when presented with the evidence that they *are* referring to a true story, you've never once even attempted to tell that person you are sorry--unless you've done it through private email.

> >
> > Bubbye, Dave...
>
> Seeya, Ditz.
>
> Dave K8MN
>

heh heh, I love it when I get under your skin...

Kim W5TIT

4659. Re: Something for everyone...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 00:58:26 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <3AC80C88FF56E834.82D0093FF30CAD2E.BE60164CE274C057@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58850

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E0E0AB4.9A5C472F@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3E0D4835.4188D29A@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> > news:<v0ppf951es82ab@corp.supernews.com>...
> > > > > > Maybe more adults need the supervision of children?
> > > > >
> > > > > Jim, thanks for trying to salvage a bad situation.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave just needs a life.
> > > >
> > > Dave isn't the one traipsing about in the woods showing the young lads
> > > how to make radio by rubbing a couple of 1N34As together.
> >
> > Maybe if you *did* move about once in a while, Dave, you'd be a lot
better
> > off.
>
> I've lived in six U.S. states and travled Europe and Africa for fifteen
> years, all while you were laughing your ample a.o. In all that time
> "rotf", you've still not managed to laugh your a.o.
>
> Dave K8MN
>

I'd rather laugh my ass off than sit on it all day, Dave.

Kim W5TIT
```

4660. Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 01:00:54 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021228200054.01982.00000056@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 58847

>Do you eat with that mouth?
>

Yea what do you eat with your ASS?

4661. Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 01:01:44 UTC
From: wa8ulx@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021228200144.01982.00000057@mb-mu.aol.com>
Source record: 58848

>Then he has been dead 26 years.
>

I think Brian has pretty much proved that all ready

4662. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 02:14:32 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3E0E5A87.C21992A8@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 58852
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Joe S." wrote:

> I am a very QRP CW operator living in NE TN. It's a bit challenging for me
> to work the western states on 300 milliwatts and it's damned frustrating to
> spend an hour or more chasing what I think is a western station only to find
> that he's local. Two cases in point: A 6 answered my CQ and I figured I had
> CA in the bag -- only to find the #&^$#@! is located in West Virginia. Then
> there was the 7 who answered me after I spent over an hour digging around
> listening for a 7 -- I caught him signing with another station and called
> him. He was in OH. No, I said nothing to either of them about it -- just
> had a normal QSO and kept on looking.

When I was in Texas last year I called CQ on 50.525 MHz. But I forgot
to add a location to my callsign. The guy in the ham club at some local
college was excited until I told him that I was just on the other end of
town.....

4663. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 02:22:15 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3E0E5C56.80278C11@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 58853
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hank Oredson wrote:

> Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
>
>
> Oh yes, it is a horrible situation :-)
>
> During the WAE contest I usually sign my call as W0RLI/7 or W7/W0RLI
> so the Europeans will not be confused about where I am located.
>
> Maybe I should do that all the time :-)

Back in '94 when I was in Beaverton Oregon, my home packet BBS
was on a machine with the call W0RLI. But I haven't done packet
in years, 1200 baud is dreadfully slow even if the channel is not
busy.

4664. Re: MHz to Mc Conversion Table

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 02:24:22 UTC
From: KB9TMP <kb9tmp@juno.com>
Message-ID: <v0sn6d7lhmgpad@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 58854

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:i6cP9.2772$Oc.348581@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
>
> "KB9TMP" <kb9tmp@juno.com> wrote
>
> : Just found this over at Eham.net, now I'm beginning to
> : wonder if Bruce WA8ULX isn't right after all!
> :
> : The MHz to Mc Conversion Tables by
> : George, "Geo" (K0FF)
> : http://www.eham.net/articles/4323
>
> What part of "tongue in cheek" don't you understand? My congratulations
to
> K0FF for his excellent chart. Most useful indeed!
>

I understand "tongue in cheek" very well don't you. I just
didn't add the little ;^) sign afterwords I thought everyone
would know I ment it in fun. Sorry my mistake :^(

> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
> http://www.mnyarc.org
> http://www.k0bsa.org
>
>

4665. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 02:25:21 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3E0E5D10.98DCDA59@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 58855
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Walt Davidson wrote:

> On Fri, 27 Dec 2002 23:08:22 GMT, "Phil Kane"
> <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote:
>
> >On Fri, 27 Dec 2002 21:52:52 +0000, Walt Davidson wrote:
> >
> >>I would expect him to use KP2/N8QRZ. The US Virgin Islands are not a
> >>part of the USA, and therefore it makes no sense to use a plain USA
> >>prefix from there.
> >
> > For radio regulatory purposes, the US Virgin Islands are "part of
> > the United States" and amateur radio is regulated by the Federal
> > Communications Commission (FCC) there.
>
> It doesn't matter who regulates radio there, the US Virgin Islands are
> not, repeat NOT, part of the United States.

It's not in one of our 50 states, but we still own it. Along with a few
other places like Puerto Rico and Guam. They get 1st Amendment
rights under our constitution and stuff.

4666. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 02:27:19 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3E0E5D86.C14FD37D@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 58856
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Walt Davidson wrote:

>
>
> UK Radio Regulatory Law does not allow use of a regular UK callsign
> anywhere outside the UK proper. The Law has more sense than to allow
> that!

That's your "FCC"'s rules. Our FCC has different rules.....

4667. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 02:31:33 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3E0E5E85.2D7315FC@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 58857
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Walt Davidson wrote:

>
>
> Oh, so the US Virgin Islands ar the 51st State now? You'll be telling
> us next that PR is the 52nd. Ha ha ha .... they are just colonies by
> another name.
>

But these "colonies" are not telling a king to stick it where the
Sun don't shine, like some 13 colonies did 226 years ago.....

:-)

4668. Re: eHam.net Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 02:46:22 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021228214622.11569.00000349@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 58859

In article <0QoP9.484322$%m4.135333@rwcrnsc52.ops.asp.att.net>, "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
>news:20021228155727.02538.00000513@mb-da.aol.com...
>
>> Fair enough. When you get your HF rig set up, take a listen on the CW/data
>> parts of the ham bands and judge for yourself.
>
>I meant more along the lines of, if I would find it useful, or more of a
>pain to use than it's worth. I'm sure many people still use CW and find it
>useful. We'll see if I do.

Good to see someone with an open mind on the subject for once, Jackie.

>
>> Was it really all that hard to learn?
>
>I didn't have trouble with it at all, but then again I learn other languages
>very easily, so I don't propose to speak for everyone when I say it was easy
>to learn.

Point is, you up and did it.

>> >I'm sure there are some readers here who're chuckling under their
>> >breath about that.
>>
>> Not this one.
>
>I was thinking of one in particular, who I killfiled long ago. He Without
>Error, who claims to have passed Extra in 8 minutes and calls some of us
>"CBPlussers".

Oh yeah, that one. All noise and bluster, signifying nothing.

>Even though he spells worse than a six year old and has no
>obvious command of English grammar, he feels he can judge people who are >now
taking the CW exam at 5 WPM and the "dumbed-down" writtens.

Of course he can "judge" them. It's a judgement based on ignorance. Also illogical, since he did not pass the "old" tests anyway.

>You know who I'm
>talking about. He'd almost be a good troller if he weren't so patently
>obtuse.

Which is the best part - his very ignorance proves him wrong!
>

>Ah well. I've got to wait until 1/11 to take the CW and General exams.

Dang. My money's on an easy pass for ya.

>Then
>I've gotta wait until I can afford the IC-718 (or some similar HF rig)
>before I can get in on the fun.

Lotsa good used stuff out there, but you may prefer new just for the warranty card. Let us know when you're set up and how you like it.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4669. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 02:46:23 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021228214623.11569.00000350@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 58858

In article <WUnP9.500$134.76671@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:

>Actually, the Guam calls were KG6xxx 2x3's. KG6xx 2x2 were much better DX,
>like Saipan (KG6Sx), Tinian (KG6Tx), Rota (KG6Rx), Iwo Jima (KG6IJ), Chi-chi
>Jima (KG6IC), and Marcus Island (KG6IF).
>
Then there was KG6GJX. Unassigned now, as I recall. Wonder if anyone remembers him and what he did?

73 de Jim, N2EY

4670. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 02:46:24 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021228214624.11569.00000351@mb-fy.aol.com>
Source record: 58860

In article <20021228164447.18791.00000254@mb-cq.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>>The worst mistake the FCC ever made was to discontinue the mandatory
>>>use of call area prefixes to indicate the area from which a station is
>>>operating.
>>
>>Really?
>>
>>That was worse than the whole "incentive licensing" mess in the 1960s?
>
> I think so! Nothing upsets me more on the air (other than "frequency
>kops" and profanity) than calling a W1 knowing I need a "1" area station for
>Sweepstakes multipliers and having him tell me he's in LAX.

That's the downside of the present system. Been there, done that. In fact I was doing SS back when a 1 land call meant New England and nowhere else.
>
> If the FCC's not going to differentiate anymore, they might as well quit
>trying to figure out where the applicant resides and issue a corresponding
>call.

They need a mailing address.

>Rather just start at KA1AAA and work thier way through to WZ0ZZZ for
>everyone unless they opt for a vanity call.

Uh huh.

Now here's the upside:

Back in the bad old days of gotta-match-the-call-district, some districts were maxed out on vanity calls while others weren't. Somebody with a nice 1x2 or 1x3 who moved to a new district might find they couldn't get a similar call in the new district, and couldn't keep the old one. You willing to trade in K4YZ for a 2x3 mouthful just because you moved?

73 de Jim, N2EY

4671. Re: eHam.net Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 03:18:39 UTC
From: "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com>
Message-ID: <jQtP9.366121$GR5.110325@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>
Source record: 58861

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021228214622.11569.00000349@mb-fy.aol.com...

> Lotsa good used stuff out there, but you may prefer new just for the
warranty
> card. Let us know when you're set up and how you like it.

I'm in the process of educating myself on all of the various HF rigs out there... the eham.net product reviews are helpful indeed. If I knew a bit more about these rigs and what to look out for, or if I could buy one from a local ham and try it out before buying it, I might consider buying a used one, but the warranty is indeed a big selling point for me. I have to admit that I don't know much about HF rigs and their various problems, yet, so buying used might present problems for one as uninitiated as me.

Also, the rigs I'm considering buying aren't all that expensive new (the IC-718 and the Icom MKIIG). Now, the antenna/tuner systems I am considering might actually end up costing more than the rigs themselves, which is okay if they perform the way I hope they will. I'll keep the 'group posted on my purchases. It's my goal to make General on 1/11 and have a rig/antenna system bought and set up by February 1st (if not sooner).

I'm headed to visit family in Arizona tomorrow and will be on a much-needed vacation for the next week, and thus away from the 'puter.

Hope everyone has a happy (and SAFE!!!) New Year, too!

Jackie

4672. Re: eHam.net Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 03:45:04 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <dhrs0vgi2nkc2v8klq4nmao76e4qvqke3v@4ax.com>
Source record: 58862

On 28 Dec 2002 20:57:27 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <20021227211011.01967.00000022@mb-df.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com
>(Vshah101) writes:
>
>>Subject: Re: eHam.net Survey
>>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>>Date: 28 Dec 2002 02:10:11 GMT
>>
>>From: "Jim Hampton" aa2qa@frontiernet.net
>>>Subject: Re: eHam.net Survey
>>
>>This is the same (Morse code) debate. Nothing new here.
>>
>>From WA2SI
>>>> > Hmm... (It'd sure be nice if it were to be decided by a vote.)
>>>> > 73 de Bert
>>>> > WA2SI
>>
>>No, voting on this is improper and inherently unfair.
>
>Why is voting on such an issue "improper and inherently unfair" as long as all
>interested parties get a vote?

Because some people don't like it when it interferes with
their positions! 8^) We've already seen that this one doesn't like
much about ham radio, and wants to change it, even though most of it's
practiciners don't want it the way he does. Democracy for people lke
that is a bad thing

>Is there something wrong with democracy?

Winston Churchill had something to say about that.

I'm happy with it as long as it doesn't take a democratic vote
to abolish itself! ;^)

- Mike KB3EIA -

4673. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 03:59:57 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <1ruP9.18127$p_6.1414193@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 58863
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Robert Casey" <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> wrote in message
news:3E0E5C56.80278C11@ix.netcom.com...
> Hank Oredson wrote:
>
> > Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
> > W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net
> >
> >
> > Oh yes, it is a horrible situation :-)
> >
> > During the WAE contest I usually sign my call as W0RLI/7 or W7/W0RLI
> > so the Europeans will not be confused about where I am located.
> >
> > Maybe I should do that all the time :-)
>
> Back in '94 when I was in Beaverton Oregon, my home packet BBS
> was on a machine with the call W0RLI. But I haven't done packet
> in years, 1200 baud is dreadfully slow even if the channel is not
> busy.

Lot of changes to the packet network here since '94.
Many 9600 baud ports all around the Metro area.
Lots of TCP/IP operation.

I moved from West Linn to Parrett Mountain in '97, and retired a couple years
later :-)

Getting back into DXing ... 239 entities since March 1.
Ameritron AL-80BQ arriving shortly, thank you Santa Claus.
Second tower sitting in bits outside the shack, waiting better weather.

Working on a log archiving program. Thus my interest in this thread.

Good to hear from you!

--

... Hank

Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

4674. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 04:07:31 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <7yuP9.18138$p_6.1412725@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 58864
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Sivac" <sivac@sivac.com> wrote in message news:3E0E4491.6020705@sivac.com...
> Zoran, I think you should let it go. There are about 4-5 OM's here who
> just don't get it. If they're happy to spend two hours on internet after
> every QSO just to find out who they just worked in contest - so be it. :-)

I suspect they "get it" just fine, but have the perspective to understand
that we will fail in any attempt to legislate such a thing, and thus you
should get back on the air even though conditions are terrible, and
work whatever you can hear and perhaps ASK them where they are
located or as you suggest spend 10 or 15 seconds to look up the information
about the callsign sometime later on, because during a contest one knows
where a given callsign is located from the exchange they send or from
the various spots found on the packet cluster and if you can parse this
sentence you probably had as much fun reading it as I had writing it.

> I would like to wish you all a very happy new year and especially to my
> friends; Bakir K9R in Kuwait, Heinz K0D in Frankfurt, Yoshi N1T in
> Yokohama, Bill W6O in South Africa, Wong N7Y in Thailand and not to
> forget David KBA9TYWER in the USA. (David, congrats on the new vanity
> call, I guess that old call KBA886TYWMM/65 was real pain in contest)

All ok by me. Do they QSL?

> I'll meet you in the ARRL SSB contest next year, I'll be M3E, QTH
> Brisbane - East coast of Australia (Just in case you'd require QTH)

Fine by me.

> Ah, before I forget, I have to announce the next years DX pedition to
> Heard Island, we'll be using the callsign "VK" (We fought for months to
> get rid of that "0", can you imagine that, bloody idiots wanted to give
> as the call VK0 ... unbelievable, too long ...boy oh boy!)

Works for me.

> Mike VK .... just VK (Don't tell anyone I'm on Norfolk)

Ah, but that's the point.
I will find out you are not on Norfolk before you first transmit!

> (Zorane, pozdrav - Mirza VK4DX)

Gotta get some wire in the air for 160/80 because everything else
is dead right now and I'm bored listening to noise on 40 and 30.

--

... Hank

Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

4675. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 04:43:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0E7DB8.2728433A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58865
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0D48F8.E243C02B@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > "K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message news:<kV%O9.1862
> > > >
> > > > The FCC could care less about DXCC
> > >
> > > Dave confuses DXCC with FCC. Ditto his minions. You five need a one on one.
> >
> > Do you have a single reference of such a comment from me?
>
> Yes.

Then put up or shut up.

Dave K8MN

4676. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 04:50:08 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7uvx6e.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58867
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 28 Dec 2002 10:28:40 +0000, Walt Davidson wrote:

>> For radio regulatory purposes, the US Virgin Islands are "part of
>> the United States" and amateur radio is regulated by the Federal
>> Communications Commission (FCC) there.
>
>It doesn't matter who regulates radio there, the US Virgin Islands are
>not, repeat NOT, part of the United States.

And your reference in US law for this statement is...?

>> US regulatory law allows use of a "plain" US call sign in the US
>> Virgin Islands - without a "location indicator" - if that is the
>> call sign assigned to the operator. I was one of those folks who
>> fought long and hard several decades ago to get the FCC to change
>> the rules which formerly required detailed logging as well as such
>> indicators and the words "portable" and "mobile" when operating away
>> from the station's "authorized location", which is another concept
>> that no longer exists in American amateur regulations.
>
>Then you fought for a stupid system to replace a sensible and
>well-ordered one.

In those days, those of us in that group spent several hours a day
commuting in heavy traffic working mobile. Logging was darned near
impossible to do correctly. It was also determined that if the
FCC didn't care - and we made sure that it didn't - it was no one's
business whether we were at our "fixed station location" or elsewhere.

Not every ham is a DX chaser or contester....in fact I would
venture to say that the majority of US hams are neither.

>> In the U S of A, what is not required by the rules cannot be
>> required - it's optional on the part of the operator. I choose not to
>> use it.
>
>I am not disputing that you are entitled to act in this way. You have
>that prerogative. It serves to demonstrate how stupid you are!

And your professional experience in radio regulation is...?

>The worst mistake the FCC ever made was to discontinue the mandatory
>use of call area prefixes to indicate the area from which a station is
>operating.

If that was "The worst mistake the FCC ever made" you have a lot to learn about communications regulation in the USA. How long have you been involved in FCC matters professionally?

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4677. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 04:50:08 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7ux17f.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58868
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sat, 28 Dec 2002 18:16:16 +0000, Walt Davidson wrote:

>Oh, so the US Virgin Islands ar the 51st State now? You'll be telling
>us next that PR is the 52nd. Ha ha ha .... they are just colonies by
>another name.

We Yanks learned that the way to keep "colonies" close is to make
them part of the US of A whether they are called that or not, and
give them all sorts of perks.

OTOH, you Brits went the other way in the latter half of the 18th
century and we all know how THAT turned out.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From a Clearing in the Silicon Forest
Beaverton (Washington County) Oregon

4678. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 04:50:08 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7uxmtg.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58869

On 28 Dec 2002 21:44:47 GMT, Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:

>If the FCC's not going to differentiate anymore, they might as well quit
>trying to figure out where the applicant resides and issue a corresponding
>call.

The FCC doesn't care where the applicant resides. Initial issues
are made corresponding to the mailing address specifed on the
application. As long as the U S Postal Service delivers (or
forwards military) mail there, and the applicant certifies that s/he
can receive mail at that address, it might as well be in East
Timbuktu.

All of this came about in the aftermath of the call sign selling
scandal in the late 1970s.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4679. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 04:50:14 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7urpsd.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58866

On Sat, 28 Dec 2002 05:09:24 GMT, KØHB wrote:

>Worse than that was the infamous FCC rule §12.91(a) which required us to
>send written notification of any mobile or portable operation in excess of
>48 hours away from our "fixed transmitter location". Such notification had
>to include the date/duration of portable/mobile operation, the contemplated
>location for portable operation, or the detailed mobile itinerary. The
>notification had to be renewed in writing each additional month of such
>operation.

Mow it can be told - wanna know what we did with those notices?

They were placed in a file cabinet drawer in chronological
order and never touched again until five years later when they
were trashed.

Having dutifully submitted such over the years I was shocked to
find that out when I joined the agency, and when I had enough
"stripes" lobbied "the powers that be" to get the rule changed.

Took quite a while but we did it.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4680. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 04:55:08 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <2dvs0vcn2i8dd4ems73voq02lcilmc85m1@4ax.com>
Source record: 58870
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 29 Dec 2002 04:07:31 GMT, "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net>
wrote:

>
>"Sivac" <sivac@sivac.com> wrote in message news:3E0E4491.6020705@sivac.com...
>> Zoran, I think you should let it go. There are about 4-5 OM's here who
>> just don't get it. If they're happy to spend two hours on internet after
>> every QSO just to find out who they just worked in contest - so be it. :-)
>
>I suspect they "get it" just fine, but have the perspective to understand
>that we will fail in any attempt to legislate such a thing, and thus you
>should get back on the air even though conditions are terrible, and
>work whatever you can hear and perhaps ASK them where they are
>located

Zounds! there's an idea. 8^)

People are much more mobile than they were at one time. There are some
who would probably have to change callsigns on a yearly basis if we
followed the old rules.

There are aso a lot more hams now. Some places would end up
with interesting callsigns being assigned, while others would loaf
along.

If dx hunters are "owed" a specific callsign identity for the
location of the person they are hunting for, perhaps we should get
more specific for the county hunters, and everyone's callsign be
county specific. This would be a great help for them.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4681. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 04:55:22 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0E806D.BC4FE12@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58871

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0D4CA6.110326FE@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0C83D7.E1552F89@earthlink.net>...
> > > > Steve Robeson K4YZ wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > >Subject: Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?
> > > > > >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> > > > > >Date: 12/26/02 1:33 PM Central Standard Time
> > > > > >Message-id: <f45722ac.0212261133.74d1dbba@posting.google.com>
> > >
> > > > > >Steve, I was referring to his limited view of amateur radio, not his career.
> > > > >
> > > > > It doesn't matter, Brian. I'd take Dave's word over any one else's in this
> > > > > forum when it comes to operating from a DX location, and I have BEEN the "DX"
> > > > > before.
> > > >
> > > > Steve, even when he didn't know what was required to operate in a
> > > > country w/o a government? But whatever it was, I was wrong - till I
> > > > quoted the ARRL web site?
> > >
> > > ...and still didn't have your ducks in a row.
> >
> > I wasn't there duck hunting.

You're lucky. You likely wouldn't have had an idea of how to carry it
off and would have depended upon verbal permission.
>
> > > You'd be pathetic to to take his word. Might as well work Frenchmen
> > > on 6M out of band.
> > >
> > I was never out of band on 6m from anywhere.
> >
> > The Frenchmen were. You just didn't care.

I still don't care. It is up to the French ops to observe their regs
and for me to observe mine. I don't see you in there anywhere.

> > > > > >Do you limit your amateur radio operating strictly to 11.8 second QSO's?
> > > > >
> > > > > Only in the contests or pile-ups. I know enough about "good Amateur

> > > > > practice to know when 11.8 seconds is my "fifteen minutes of fame" and when I
> > > > > can take the liberty of carrying on a conversation..
> > > > >
> > > > Brian goes on a rant without bothering to attempt reading and
> > > > comprehending what the other fellow has said. To a DXer, any country
> > > > you haven't worked and confirmed is "DX". I've chewed the rag with
> > > > plenty of "G" stations on 20 or 15 or 10m. I've had the country
> > > > confirmed for decades for my overall DXCC. I did not attempt to chew
> > > > the rag with "G" stations running pileups on 6m or 160m since they were
> > > > busy handing out a new band country to many.
> > > >
> > > I'm quite aware that I've worked only 170 countries on 10M SSB. And
> > > I'm quite aware that I've ragchewed with what you would call rare
> > > ones. The only problem is that they were in the neighborhood, they
> > > had the time, I had the time.
> > >
> > If a rare DX station wants to rag chew, he'll rag chew. It is the rare
> > DX station's ball game--always.
> >
> I'm glad you've finally come around to my way of thinking. I knew you
> would, eventually.

If that is what you got from what I wrote, you still have a
comprehension problem.

> > > So what's you're problem? Do you intend to dictate to Mongolia and
> > > Malaysia your DX paradigm? Knock yourself out. Pffft.
> > >
> > You are making a "Len error". I did not establish DXing. I've been a
> > long time participant from both ends of the pileup.
> >
> Then you should know better than to tell me how to run my DX.

Have you worked some?

> > > > > I have a (sponsored) RCC
> > > > > award.
> > > > >
> > > > You didn't have to pay ten bucks for it either. That has all changed
> > > > with the "new ARRL".
> > > >
> > > You must still think that the ARRL owns DX. How ethnocentric of you.
> > >
> > That might be ethnocentric if the ARRL were an ethnic group. It isn't.
> >
> True, the USA isn't an ethnic group.

I wrote "ARRL" in response to your claim. The ARRL isn't an ethnic
group.
The USA doesn't own DX either.

> > For me to "still think" that ARRL owns DX, I'd have to have once thought
> > it. I haven't. The ARRL owns DXCC. Don't like it? Start your own
> > awards program which will be accepted by radio amateurs worldwide as the
> > standard.
>
> What a sweeping statement.

No, that's called an accurate statement.

> Are you saying that nearly all, or even
> most, DX stations accept the ARRL DXCC as a worldwide standard?

I'm saying that the world's DXers accept DXCC as a worldwide standard.

> The
> ARRL can't even get half of the US hams to join, let alone
> participate. I'd wager that most DX hams have never submitted a thing
> to the DXCC desk.

The world's DXers have certainly submitted their cards for DXCC.

> > Maybe we can get you and Vipul straightened out over time.
>
> Why? I've finally got you pointed in the right direction on the "DX
> station as Ragchewer" problem.

You don't even have yourself pointed in the right direction.

Dave K8MN

4682. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 05:06:59 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0E8322.F478F4CE@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58872

W5TIT wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3E0E09FA.358439B0@earthlink.net...
> > W5TIT wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3E0D45FA.8F953690@earthlink.net...
> > > >
> > > > Naw. You only bother me when you grab onto something which is a mere
> > > > aside to what is under debate and try to make it an issue unto itself
> as
> > > > you've done here.
> > > >
> > >
> > > Bother you?! You're hilarious, Dave. I don't see any intellectualism
> > > coming from you on this newsgroup, ever. And yet, you pontificate as if
> it
> > > is a normal mode of operation for you.
> >
> > Allow me to point out that you likely wouldn't recognize intellect if it
> > whapped you in your ample backside.
> >
>
> My backside that you affectionately refer is hardly as ample as you've been
> led *LED* to believe, Dave.

I have your description of your height/weight. I've seen the photo.
Unless you have some really strange, out of proportion bod, you're a
fibber.

> > >
> > > You were provided evidence and you chose to ignore it. It's as simple
> as
> > > that, nothing more. Whether I was responding to the quoted material, or
> > > not--and I was, doesn't do a thing to negate the things I said.
> >
> > What you brought to the discussion was not relevant to what was being
> > discussed.
> >
>
> You made it relevant, Dave, by responding to the original comment.

I disagree totally.

> >
> > It wasn't relevant at the time and it is no more relevant
> > now.
> > You offered no input whatever on whether we are or aren't sheep being
> > raped by our government. You wanted to discuss a movie which you offer
> > as "proof" of something or other.
> >
>
> I don't want to discuss the movie, Dave--you've been doing that all by
> yourself. I want to discuss why you told someone you didn't believe them,
> then, when presented with the evidence that they *are* referring to a true
> story, you've never once even attempted to tell that person you are
> sorry--unless you've done it through private email.

First, I'd have to be sorry.

I did like the part where you were arguing with the quote I posted from
the url provided by you.

> > >
> > > Bubbye, Dave...
> >
> > Seeya, Ditz.

>
> heh heh, I love it when I get under your skin...

...much like the ring worm I got as a kid in Florida.

Dave K8MN

4683. Re: Something for everyone...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 05:09:56 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0E83F4.5308FB67@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58873

W5TIT wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3E0E0AB4.9A5C472F@earthlink.net...
> > W5TIT wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3E0D4835.4188D29A@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> > > news:<v0ppf951es82ab@corp.supernews.com>...
> > > > > > Maybe more adults need the supervision of children?
> > > > >
> > > > > Jim, thanks for trying to salvage a bad situation.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave just needs a life.
> > > >
> > > > Dave isn't the one traipsing about in the woods showing the young lads
> > > > how to make radio by rubbing a couple of 1N34As together.
> > >
> > > Maybe if you *did* move about once in a while, Dave, you'd be a lot
> better
> > > off.
> > >
> > I've lived in six U.S. states and travled Europe and Africa for fifteen
> > years, all while you were laughing your ample a.o. In all that time
> > "rotf", you've still not managed to laugh your a.o.

>
> I'd rather laugh my ass off than sit on it all day, Dave.

Well, you haven't completed the job yet. Keep laughing.

Dave K8MN

4684. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 05:18:40 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <QAvP9.1002$134.140279@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58874

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote

: Then there was KG6GJX. Unassigned now, as I recall. Wonder if anyone remembers
: him and what he did?

I somewhere saw that call attributed to RM1 George Tweed, USN, a straggler who was left on Guam during the Japanese occupation, and about whom a book and movie were done ("No Man is an Island").

While George Tweed was a real person, but I don't know if he was a ham. In any case, since KG6xxx call signs weren't assigned until well after WW2, that story is a certifiable legend.

The book and movie set him up as a heroic sort of fellow who risked his life for the people of Guam, but a much different story was told by the Guamanians I've talked to. In their search for him, the Japanese commanders tortured and executed several Guamanians including a Roman Catholic priest. After the island was liberated, he took on the cloak of a great hero and did not even acknowledge the great debt he owed the native people. He was not well regarded there.

73, de Hans, K0HB

4685. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 06:55:58 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <20xP9.18452$p_6.1433058@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 58875
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:2dvs0vcn2i8dd4ems73voq02lcilmc85m1@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 29 Dec 2002 04:07:31 GMT, "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net>
> wrote:
>
> >
> >"Sivac" <sivac@sivac.com> wrote in message
news:3E0E4491.6020705@sivac.com...
> >> Zoran, I think you should let it go. There are about 4-5 OM's here who
> >> just don't get it. If they're happy to spend two hours on internet after
> >> every QSO just to find out who they just worked in contest - so be it. :-)
> >
> >I suspect they "get it" just fine, but have the perspective to understand
> >that we will fail in any attempt to legislate such a thing, and thus you
> >should get back on the air even though conditions are terrible, and
> >work whatever you can hear and perhaps ASK them where they are
> >located
>
> Zounds! there's an idea. 8^)
>
> People are much more mobile than they were at one time. There are some
> who would probably have to change callsigns on a yearly basis if we
> followed the old rules.

We have lived only a few places: W0 then W1 then W6 and now W7.

> There are aso a lot more hams now. Some places would end up
> with interesting callsigns being assigned, while others would loaf
> along.
>
> If dx hunters are "owed" a specific callsign identity for the
> location of the person they are hunting for, perhaps we should get
> more specific for the county hunters, and everyone's callsign be
> county specific. This would be a great help for them.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Indeed!

CQ Zones, IARU Zones, Counties, WAE countries, States / Provinces /
Prefectures / Oblasts / Cantons / Etc. ... grid squares (VUCC award),
telephone area codes, zip codes (WAZ anyone?).

So I figure it is best to just work on my WAS (Worked All Stations)
and WAC (Worked All Callsigns) awards :-) The rest will follow ...

--

... Hank

Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

4686. Give Me A Mexican Lady Any Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 09:45:41 UTC
From: Pancho <RioGrande@anon.com>
Message-ID: <aumg71uf0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58876
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

You Gringos make us laugh. Why? Because you will hire a Mexican to wash your car but you don't want him livng next to you.
You Gringos also drool when you see a beautiful Latina woman in your neighborhood and some of you even say, "Those young Mexican women are good looking when they are young but they grow up to be fat.".
You Gringos said the same thing about Italian women, too.
Most of you middle aged Gringos are fat and you have bellies that hang down over your belts so far that you cannot see your zippers.
Still want to make fun of the beaufitul Latinas you would like to get your dirty hands on?
You Gringos should go on a diet...no beautiful woman wants a fat old man with breasts bigger than hers.

Jacinta Morales

4687. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 11:47:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021229064728.27384.00000274@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 58877

>Subject: Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?
>From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
>Date: 12/28/02 6:15 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <f45722ac.0212281615.32085e96@posting.google.com>

>> ...and still didn't have your ducks in a row.
>
>I wasn't there duck hunting.

That you can spell it and know that they are hunted is a start.

>What a sweeping statement. Are you saying that nearly all, or even
>most, DX stations accept the ARRL DXCC as a worldwide standard? The
>ARRL can't even get half of the US hams to join, let alone
>participate. I'd wager that most DX hams have never submitted a thing
>to the DXCC desk.

As a matter of fact, they do. Whether it is in direct participation of
DXCC or use of it's standards list of accpetable countries, it is.

Why American Amateurs join or not join ARRL is irrelevent.

>Why? I've finally got you pointed in the right direction on the "DX
>station as Ragchewer" problem.

Then perhaps we can get YOU straightened out on what constitutes a valid
DXCC contact.

Steve, K4YZ

4688. Re: eHam.net Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 12:10:50 UTC
From: David Robbins <k1ttt@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <9zadnSjkdfmie5OjXTWc2Q@crocker.com>
Source record: 58878

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021228155727.02538.00000514@mb-da.aol.com...
> In article <20021227211011.01967.00000022@mb-df.aol.com>, vshah101@aol.com
> (Vshah101) writes:
>
> >Subject: Re: eHam.net Survey
> >From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
> >Date: 28 Dec 2002 02:10:11 GMT
> >
> >From: "Jim Hampton" aa2qa@frontiernet.net
> >>Subject: Re: eHam.net Survey
> >
> >This is the same (Morse code) debate. Nothing new here.
> >
> >From WA2SI
> >>> > Hmm... (It'd sure be nice if it were to be decided by a vote.)
> >>> > 73 de Bert
> >>> > WA2SI
> >
> >No, voting on this is improper and inherently unfair.
>
> Why is voting on such an issue "improper and inherently unfair" as long as
all
> interested parties get a vote? Is there something wrong with democracy?
>
> Far as I can tell, the eHam survey doesn't require a license, so it's open
to
> all interested parties.
>
> I very much doubt you would say it was "improper and inherently unfair" if
the
> vote was going the other way.
>
> 73 de Jim, N2EY

voting, as in an election is good. polling, done by an independent
organization with a randomly chosen sample is next best but can still be
biased if the questions are written poorly. call-in or internet polling is
nothing but a way to generate interest in the media outlet who runs it,
there is no scientific or social validity to it... it is best described as
the media making the news.

4689. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 12:34:46 UTC
From: Sivac <sivac@sivac.com>
Message-ID: <3E0EEBE6.3060704@sivac.com>
Source record: 58879
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Yep! Even CB-ers are joining, slowly but surely.

73 de VK < that's the whole callsign. Special one, you know. :-)

Walt Davidson wrote:
> Well, that turned out to be a popular thread!
>
> Heh heh!
> 73 de FR7/T/G3NYY/P/QRP
>

4690. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 13:36:44 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021229083644.15952.00000408@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 58880

In article <QAvP9.1002$134.140279@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote
>
>: Then there was KG6GJX. Unassigned now, as I recall. Wonder if anyone
>remembers
>: him and what he did?

My mistake - call was KB6GJX
>
>I somewhere saw that call attributed to RM1 George Tweed, USN, a straggler
>who was left on Guam during the Japanese occupation, and about whom a book
>and movie were done ("No Man is an Island").

W/O George Ray Tweed, USN, hid from the Japanese for 31 months on Guam. With extensive help from the locals. He was able to receive news broadcasts from the USA and even "published" a paper with war news that was far more accurate than Japanese propaganda.
>
>While George Tweed was a real person, but I don't know if he was a ham. In
>any case, since KG6xxx call signs weren't assigned until well after WW2,
>that story is a certifiable legend.

It's a true story, once I get the call correct. See QST for March, 1945.
>
>The book and movie set him up as a heroic sort of fellow who risked his life
>for the people of Guam, but a much different story was told by the
>Guamanians I've talked to. In their search for him, the Japanese commanders
>tortured and executed several Guamanians including a Roman Catholic priest.
>After the island was liberated, he took on the cloak of a great hero and did
>not even acknowledge the great debt he owed the native people. He was not
>well regarded there.

In the QST stories he credits "the natives" with helping him in many ways, but there is little acknowledgement of the terrible price paid by some of those who did so.

Perhaps that's why the call is still unassigned.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4691. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 13:46:14 UTC
From: Bill M <don'tspamme-exray@caribe.net>
Message-ID: <v0tv17q7foukb5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 58881
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Walt Davidson wrote:

> By definition, if it is not a "State" it cannot be part of the "United
> States".
>
> 73 de G3NYY

Thats like saying since you have no King then the United Kingdom doesn't exist.
-Bill M

4692. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 14:33:26 UTC
From: Doug Smith <me@invalid.invalid>
Message-ID: <3E0F07B6.B5EF6B38@invalid.invalid>
Source record: 58884
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> Dan/W4NTI ex KX6AA (Kwajelien Atol) ex DA2LJ (West Germany) ex HS1BH
> (Thailand) and finally ex KN>K8BHH

Yikes. I didn't think there was a worse CW call than WB9NME. You've
proven me wrong<grin>!
--
Doug Smith W9WI
Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
http://www.w9wi.com

4693. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 14:44:35 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212290644.5e64cfa7@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58885

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021229064728.27384.00000274@mb-bk.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?
> >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> >Date: 12/28/02 6:15 PM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <f45722ac.0212281615.32085e96@posting.google.com>
>
> >> ...and still didn't have your ducks in a row.
> >
> >I wasn't there duck hunting.
>
> That you can spell it and know that they are hunted is a start.

Not hardly.

> >What a sweeping statement. Are you saying that nearly all, or even
> >most, DX stations accept the ARRL DXCC as a worldwide standard? The
> >ARRL can't even get half of the US hams to join, let alone
> >participate. I'd wager that most DX hams have never submitted a thing
> >to the DXCC desk.
>
> As a matter of fact, they do. Whether it is in direct participation of
> DXCC or use of it's standards list of accpetable countries, it is.

So you claim that a mojority of international amateurs have submitted
for DXCC?

> Why American Amateurs join or not join ARRL is irrelevent.

Only to you. It is quite relavent to US amateur operations.

> >Why? I've finally got you pointed in the right direction on the "DX
> >station as Ragchewer" problem.
>
> Then perhaps we can get YOU straightened out on what constitutes a valid
> DXCC contact.

Don't characterize my Somalia amateur operating based upon DXCC
acceptance. You're making the same mistake Dave and Ed did.

Brian

4694. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 14:46:42 UTC
From: Doug Smith <me@invalid.invalid>
Message-ID: <3E0F0AD2.82C735B1@invalid.invalid>
Source record: 58886
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Walt Davidson wrote:
> > If not the USA, then what
> >country is it???
> >-Bill in KP4
>
> By definition, if it is not a "State" it cannot be part of the "United
> States".

You're getting down to semantic differences between "United States" as a concept and "United States" as the name of a country.

True enough, the U.S. Virgin Islands (don't forget, someone else owns the other half<grin>) are not a state - are not part of the united states of America. (lower case intentional) They are, however, under the jurisdiction of the Washington government - they are part of a nation that calls itself the United States of America.

I can think of several other areas that are under control of a large central government but not necessarily on the same terms as the rest of that country. VK8 is not a state, but nobody would claim it isn't part of Australia. VE8/VY1/VY0 aren't provinces but are most assuredly part of Canada.

The *people* of the U.S. overseas possessions are certainly USA citizens. Unlike those of most U.K. overseas possessions, Puerto Ricans/USVI citizens/etc. have full U.S. citizenship and the right to move to the mainland.
--
Doug Smith W9WI
Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
http://www.w9wi.com

4695. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 14:51:24 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212290651.16dcf3db@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58887

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3E0E806D.BC4FE12@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0D4CA6.110326FE@earthlink.net>...

> > > If a rare DX station wants to rag chew, he'll rag chew. It is the rare
> > > DX station's ball game--always.
> >
> > I'm glad you've finally come around to my way of thinking. I knew you
> > would, eventually.
>
> If that is what you got from what I wrote, you still have a
> comprehension problem.

You said, "If a rare DX station wants to rag chew, he'll rag chew. It
is the rare DX station's ball game--always."

What part of that did you get wrong?

4696. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 14:58:31 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212290658.5f3020ca@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58888

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3E0E04C9.D3D32C01@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:

> > Just like you knew what I was saying about Somalia was wrong, wrong,
> > wrong, until I dug it out of the ARRL web site.
>
> It is apparent that only you, of all individuals operating from Somalia
> during that time period, neglected to get permission in writing from
> someone authorized to grant it. That it never dawned on you that this
> would be the thing to do, marks you as a rube.
>
> Dave K8MN

That you said I was "wrong, wrong, wrong" then I pulled it out of a
readily available ARRL resource marks you, with all your vast overseas
operating experience, not even a novice, but merely a non-player in
operations from countries without a government.

You had no experience in such operations, yet you pass judgement on
others who have. You and Ed.

4697. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 15:02:58 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212290702.679d6fa9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58889
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3E0E7DB8.2728433A@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0D48F8.E243C02B@earthlink.net>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message news:<kV%O9.1862
> > > > >
> > > > > The FCC could care less about DXCC
> > > >
> > > Dave confuses DXCC with FCC. Ditto his minions. You five need a one on one.
> > >
> > > Do you have a single reference of such a comment from me?
> >
> > Yes.
>
> Then put up or shut up.
>
You claimed my Somalia operation was illegal based upon ARRL DXCC rules.

4698. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 15:08:34 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212290708.7d8d9278@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58890

"K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:<QAvP9.1002$134.140279@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote
>
> : Then there was KG6GJX. Unassigned now, as I recall. Wonder if anyone
> remembers
> : him and what he did?
>
> I somewhere saw that call attributed to RM1 George Tweed, USN, a straggler
> who was left on Guam during the Japanese occupation, and about whom a book
> and movie were done ("No Man is an Island").
>
> While George Tweed was a real person, but I don't know if he was a ham. In
> any case, since KG6xxx call signs weren't assigned until well after WW2,
> that story is a certifiable legend.
>
> The book and movie set him up as a heroic sort of fellow who risked his life
> for the people of Guam, but a much different story was told by the
> Guamanians I've talked to. In their search for him, the Japanese commanders
> tortured and executed several Guamanians including a Roman Catholic priest.
> After the island was liberated, he took on the cloak of a great hero and did
> not even acknowledge the great debt he owed the native people. He was not
> well regarded there.
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

What would you have had George do? What would the USN have George do?

4699. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 15:10:17 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <lt3u0vsgfasqheos0a0htmtfee7p1eaee3@4ax.com>
Source record: 58891
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 29 Dec 2002 09:45:29 +0000, Walt Davidson
<walt99@tiscali.co.uk> wrote:

>On Sat, 28 Dec 2002 18:24:23 -0400, Bill M
><don'tspamme-exray@caribe.net> wrote:
>
>> If not the USA, then what
>>country is it???
>>-Bill in KP4
>
>By definition, if it is not a "State" it cannot be part of the "United
>States".

Good grief, Walt. Unfortunately, you're going to have trouble
getting people to agree with your definition.

By that definition, Pennsylvania isn't part of the USA
because it is a "commonwealth". How does that fit in with your theory?

- Mike KB3EIA -

4700. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 15:11:06 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net>
Message-ID: <egEP9.10501$4j5.6539521@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 58882
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Sivac" <sivac@sivac.com> wrote in message
news:3E0E4491.6020705@sivac.com...
> Zoran, I think you should let it go. There are about 4-5 OM's here who
> just don't get it. If they're happy to spend two hours on internet after
> every QSO just to find out who they just worked in contest - so be it. :-)

I don't know anyone so computer/internet illiterate that it would take them two hours to find out the call sign info. Two seconds is more realistic (if one has the internet pulled up already).

Dee Flint, N8UZE

4701. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 15:12:36 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <u54u0vcg3mflujn1bi84g0b6d7n5g90crv@4ax.com>
Source record: 58892
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 29 Dec 2002 09:45:30 +0000, Walt Davidson
<walt99@tiscali.co.uk> wrote:

>On Sat, 28 Dec 2002 01:08:41 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote:
>
>>"Walt Davidson" <walt99@tiscali.co.uk> wrote
>>
>>: The US Virgin Islands are not a part of the USA,
>>
>>The FCC will be surprised to learn that!
>>
>>My call is K0HB. I can use that call anywhere that the FCC has jurisdiction
>>over amateur radio without any "attachments". Your rules may be different
>>in the UK.
>
>I am not disputing that. However, it still doesn't make the USVI
>"part of the United States".

Or the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania either, eh?

- Mike KB3EIA -

4702. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 15:16:38 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021229101638.27399.00000278@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 58893

>Subject: Re: What country?
>From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
>Date: 12/29/02 9:02 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <f45722ac.0212290702.679d6fa9@posting.google.com>

>You claimed my Somalia operation was illegal based upon ARRL DXCC rules.

And for DXCC purposes, it was!

Steve, K4YZ

4703. Re: MORE Direct Questions for VShah...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 15:17:57 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212290717.20a95c80@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58894

wa8ulx@aol.com (WA8ULX) wrote in message
news:<20021228200054.01982.00000056@mb-mu.aol.com>...
> >Do you eat with that mouth?
> >
>
> Yea what do you eat with your ASS?

CBer.

4704. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 15:18:49 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <bc4u0vc8dh71qu20bseac1naoian4coknr@4ax.com>
Source record: 58895
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 29 Dec 2002 15:11:06 GMT, "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net>
wrote:

>
>"Sivac" <sivac@sivac.com> wrote in message
>news:3E0E4491.6020705@sivac.com...
>> Zoran, I think you should let it go. There are about 4-5 OM's here who
>> just don't get it. If they're happy to spend two hours on internet after
>> every QSO just to find out who they just worked in contest - so be it. :-)
>
>
>I don't know anyone so computer/internet illiterate that it would take them
>two hours to find out the call sign info. Two seconds is more realistic (if
>one has the internet pulled up already).

And even faster is a callsign directory that can be resident
on your computer. Granted, they have to be updated every so often, but
even operating PSK-31, I can look up the callsign during a persons CQ,
and have their name and QTH in my log before I answer them. I'm
surprised anyone finds a problem here.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4705. Re: eHam.net Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 15:19:38 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net>
Message-ID: <eoEP9.10504$4j5.6540971@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 58883

"Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> wrote in message
news:jQtP9.366121$GR5.110325@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net...
>
> "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote in message
> news:20021228214622.11569.00000349@mb-fy.aol.com...
>
> > Lotsa good used stuff out there, but you may prefer new just for the
> warranty
> > card. Let us know when you're set up and how you like it.
>
> I'm in the process of educating myself on all of the various HF rigs out
> there... the eham.net product reviews are helpful indeed. If I knew a bit
> more about these rigs and what to look out for, or if I could buy one from
a
> local ham and try it out before buying it, I might consider buying a used
> one, but the warranty is indeed a big selling point for me. I have to
admit
> that I don't know much about HF rigs and their various problems, yet, so
> buying used might present problems for one as uninitiated as me.
>
> Also, the rigs I'm considering buying aren't all that expensive new (the
> IC-718 and the Icom MKIIG). Now, the antenna/tuner systems I am
considering
> might actually end up costing more than the rigs themselves, which is okay
> if they perform the way I hope they will. I'll keep the 'group posted on
my
> purchases. It's my goal to make General on 1/11 and have a rig/antenna
> system bought and set up by February 1st (if not sooner).
>
> I'm headed to visit family in Arizona tomorrow and will be on a
much-needed
> vacation for the next week, and thus away from the 'puter.
>
> Hope everyone has a happy (and SAFE!!!) New Year, too!
>
> Jackie
>
>

You might want to try to get hold of members of a local club and visit their
shacks and see how they are set up. This could give you an opportunity
perhaps for some hands on trials.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

4706. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 15:21:42 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021229102142.27399.00000280@mb-bk.aol.com>
Source record: 58896

>Subject: Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?
>From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
>Date: 12/29/02 8:44 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <f45722ac.0212290644.5e64cfa7@posting.google.com>

>> >What a sweeping statement. Are you saying that nearly all, or even
>> >most, DX stations accept the ARRL DXCC as a worldwide standard? The
>> >ARRL can't even get half of the US hams to join, let alone
>> >participate. I'd wager that most DX hams have never submitted a thing
>> >to the DXCC desk.
>>
>> As a matter of fact, they do. Whether it is in direct participation
>of
>> DXCC or use of it's standards list of accpetable countries, it is.
>
>So you claim that a mojority of international amateurs have submitted
>for DXCC?

WHERE, in that ENTIRE QUOTE, did I say ANYTHING about "SUBMITTED" for DXCC,
Brainiac?

>> Why American Amateurs join or not join ARRL is irrelevent.
>
>Only to you. It is quite relavent to US amateur operations.

It's not the LEAST bit relevent to "US amateur operations". I can operate
24/7 and not be the least bit concerned about who is and isn't a League member.

>>Then perhaps we can get YOU straightened out on what constitutes a
>valid
>> DXCC contact.
>
>Don't characterize my Somalia amateur operating based upon DXCC
>acceptance. You're making the same mistake Dave and Ed did.

You cannot produce any documentation of authorization to operate there,
and it's not accepted for DXCC credit. I think YOU characterized it all by
yourself, Brian.

Steve, K4YZ

4707. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 15:27:40 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212290727.2cdf3952@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58897

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3E0E0722.4FB3D306@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:

> > > > I've even
> > > > > particpated in past Jamborees On The Air from Sierra Leone and Botswana
> > > > > putting local Scouts in touch with U.S. and European Scouts.
> > > >
> > > > Ditto JOTA from kh2, although the international date line was a bit of a problem.
> > >
> > > Signals stop there?
> >
> > Scout activity is synchronized by local time, not international time.
> > You should understand that.
>
> Hams are "synchronized" to local time too. They simply use
> international time.
> You should understand that. I guess you need to use your leadership
> qualities to stress the importance of being flexible in order to achieve
> specific goals.

Not at the expense of all other scouting camporee activities.

> > > > > Try to stay on-topic.
> > > > >
> > > > > I thought I was. You were listing things which were only a small part
> > > > > of scouting.
> > > >
> > > > Mufflers?
> > >
> > > 1N34A's?
> >
> > ?
> >
> > > > > Is that what I said?
> > > > >
> > > > > If you go back and read the thread, you'll find that is the case.
> > > >
> > > > Wow. Such a smart guy like you andyou come to such stupid conclusions.
> > >
> > > I'm not the one who responded to a question about construction projects
> > > with a reply about a 12-pack of 1N34A's.
> >
> > No, that is correct. You're the guy who mistook construction projects
> > -using- 1n34a's with a construction project making 1n34a's. That is
> > all.

>
> No, I didn't mistake anything. I simply read what was asked and what
> you replied.

Then you're obviously wrong.

> > It's just all the little things that trip you up, Dave. Don't try so
> > hard to get your commo wire wrapped around the axle next time.
>
> I get it now. You write something foolish and it becomes my fault.

No, Dave, you simply trip over the smallest things. Perhaps your boot
camp taught you to shuffle along. Someone elses fault.

4708. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 15:31:00 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212290731.6008ac7d@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58898

brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0212241009.152a9fde@posting.google.com>...
> "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:<atv9qi314v2@enews2.newsguy.com>...
> > This is similar, but different to the other post, but what are your
> > equipment goals for 2003? Are you going to purchase any new gear of any
> > kind related to amateur radio or radio in general? Are you planning on
> > constructing any new gear?
> >
> > Happy Holidays!
>
> A 12-pack of 1n34a's. Brian

Dave reads the above 3 questions and my reply.

He concludes that I will manufacture a dozen 1n34a's.

4709. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 15:38:19 UTC
From: Bill M <don'tspamme-exray@caribe.net>
Message-ID: <v0u5jbc9fnqn26@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 58899
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Mike Coslo wrote:

>>I am not disputing that. However, it still doesn't make the USVI
>>"part of the United States".
>
>
>
> Or the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania either, eh?
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -

Since I live here, I'll speak for PR as an example. There is a segment of independentistas here (about 4% at voting time) that also insist that Puerto Rico is not part of the US. Their claim is that the official political status of "Associated Free State" granted in 1952 by the US Congress is the workable equivalent to independence. (but oh!, there's the 'state' word if the argument is to be based solely on words and titles!) However, this nut fringe has attempted things like National passports and has been soundly rounded off by both the local government and the folks in Washington who will very clearly tell you that PR is indeed part of the US and must play by US rules. Most of our Federal entities (like the courts for example) are part of the hierachy of the NY/NJ district.
What Walt doesn't realize is that the American colonies are organized much different than the British ones. No visas or work permits are required of residents that might travel between the mainland and/or between the various entities. Our 'colonial' passports are the same as the mainland...not always so in the case of the UK 'colonies'.
So, if the local government says so, and the Feds say so, and the zillions of court cases disputing pro or con being 'part of the US" have all agreed...I think Walt might be wrong.

-Bill/KP4

4710. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 16:06:16 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <9D642E863185BBE9.BCE792188BB91DBF.16643A91ACBDC479@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58951

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E0E7DB8.2728433A@earthlink.net...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0D48F8.E243C02B@earthlink.net>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message news:<kV%O9.1862
> > > > >
> > > > > The FCC could care less about DXCC
> > > >
> > > Dave confuses DXCC with FCC. Ditto his minions. You five need a
one on one.
> > >
> > Do you have a single reference of such a comment from me?
> >
> Yes.
>
> Then put up or shut up.
>
> Dave K8MN
>

Then, why don't you put up or shut up about my giving the movie "Lorenzo's Oil" a review? You accused me of reviewing the movie, Dave, and I have already once asked you provide proof. You haven't. You're, as usual, a mountebank.

Kim W5TIT

4711. Re: Give Me A Mexican Lady Any Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 16:13:34 UTC
From: NoName <user@computer.net>
Message-ID: <of7u0vgpne31mv3p634pmjae76p9ishs18@4ax.com>
Source record: 58900
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

No me gusta mujeres tontas con bocas grandes
y cerebros chicos.

Adios pendeja.

On Sun, 29 Dec 2002 04:45:41 -0500, "Pancho" <RioGrande@anon.com>
wrote:

>You Gringos make us laugh. Why? Because you will hire a Mexican to wash your
>car but you don't want him livng next to you.
>You Gringos also drool when you see a beautiful Latina woman in your
>neighborhood and some of you even say, "Those young Mexican women are good
>looking when they are young but they grow up to be fat.".
>You Gringos said the same thing about Italian women, too.
>Most of you middle aged Gringos are fat and you have bellies that hang down
>over your belts so far that you cannot see your zippers.
>Still want to make fun of the beaufitul Latinas you would like to get your
>dirty hands on?
>You Gringos should go on a diet...no beautiful woman wants a fat old man
>with breasts bigger than hers.
>
>Jacinta Morales
>
>
>
>
>

4712. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 16:21:03 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <PhFP9.84777$hK4.6873812@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 58952
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Walt Davidson" <walt99@tiscali.co.uk> wrote in message
news:ctgt0vopdv2fp0bd2avnkce5n90kaajsi2@4ax.com...
> Well, that turned out to be a popular thread!
>
> Heh heh!
> 73 de FR7/T/G3NYY/P/QRP

And on CW until you actually step off the boat:
FR7/T/G3NYY/P/QRP/FISTS/MM

--

... Hank

Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

4713. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 16:23:57 UTC
From: K<unk>HB <k<unk>hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <xkFP9.1632$9N5.241675@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58953
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Walt Davidson" <walt99@tiscali.co.uk> wrote

:
: By definition, if it is not a "State" it cannot be part of the "United
: States".
:

Since the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania and the Commonwealth of Virginia are
not states, are they also therefore not part of the United States of
America?

Your exercise in semantics has become tenuous indeed!

--
<unk><unk><unk> 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

4714. Re: Give Me A Mexican Lady Any Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 16:26:13 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212290826.2171c0fc@posting.google.com>
Source record: 58954
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Pancho" <RioGrande@anon.com> wrote in message news:<aumg71uf0@pita.alt.net>...
> You Gringos make us laugh. Why? Because you will hire a Mexican to wash your
> car but you don't want him livng next to you.
> You Gringos also drool when you see a beautiful Latina woman in your
> neighborhood and some of you even say, "Those young Mexican women are good
> looking when they are young but they grow up to be fat.".
> You Gringos said the same thing about Italian women, too.
> Most of you middle aged Gringos are fat and you have bellies that hang down
> over your belts so far that you cannot see your zippers.
> Still want to make fun of the beaufitul Latinas you would like to get your
> dirty hands on?
> You Gringos should go on a diet...no beautiful woman wants a fat old man
> with breasts bigger than hers.
>
> Jacinta Morales

Have you been talking to Bruce again?

4715. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 16:46:38 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0F26F9.D7EDA0DF@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58955

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3E0E806D.BC4FE12@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0D4CA6.110326FE@earthlink.net>...
>
> > > > If a rare DX station wants to rag chew, he'll rag chew. It is the rare
> > > > DX station's ball game--always.
> > >
> > > I'm glad you've finally come around to my way of thinking. I knew you
> > > would, eventually.
> >
> > If that is what you got from what I wrote, you still have a
> > comprehension problem.
>
> You said, "If a rare DX station wants to rag chew, he'll rag chew. It
> is the rare DX station's ball game--always."

We know what I wrote. What is at issue is how it is in agreement with
anything you've posted in the past.

Dave K8MN

4716. Re: Season's Greetings

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 16:48:19 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021229114819.08320.00000081@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 58956

In article <20021228090232.01976.00000038@mb-mk.aol.com>, k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) writes:

>>I have been remiss in my offer of Season's Greetings! I would like to wish
>>all
>>my fellow amateurs worldwide a very happy and fulfilling Kwanza!
>
> ...allow me to pick myself up off the floor from laughing so hard! ! ! !
>
>73
>
>Steve, K4YZ

Steve:

Bless you, my son! I'm glad you appreciated it, but that posting was actually intended for Brian's benefit!

73 de Larry, K3LT

4717. Re: MHz to Mc Conversion Table

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 16:48:19 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021229114819.08320.00000080@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 58959

In article <183D75C433A22BCD.CA81DF7DE670423A.B0084B0FFB23B7D7@lp.airnews.net>,
"W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:

>
>ROFLMAO!!! Did you notice the callsign? It's a vanity call, wonder if he
>means "Kiss Off" with it!
>
>Kim W5TIT

Maybe Kï¿½FF asked someone to suggest a Vanity call sign that sounded
like him, and he had a touch of bronchitis that day.

At least his call isn't taking the ARS "...one step closer to extinction."

73 de Larry, K3LT

4718. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 16:48:20 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021229114820.08320.00000082@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 58958

In article <f45722ac.0212280740.27cf87bf@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>> >> >Basically, a jerk on RRAP = A jerk on the air, no matter how hard you
>> >> >and Larry try to say it isn't so. No wonder you're such a big backer
>> >> >of the 11.8 second QSO. At about 12 seconds people catch on that
>> >> >you're a blow hard jerk, then they QSY. I really wish you could have
>> >> >good amateur radio experiences like I've had, but the ball's in your
>> >> >court. I hope you figure it out before SK time.
>> >> >
>> >> >73, Brian
>> >>
>> >> Brian:
>> >>
>> >> I'm sure it's entirely possible that you're not a jerk on the air!
>> >
>> >Yes, correct.
>>
>> Brian:
>>
>> I'm glad that at least YOU think so!

Brian:

On second thought, I've changed my mind. I *do* think it's not only
possible, but entirely likely, that you're a jerk on the air -- you surely
ARE one here on RRAP, and by your own standard, you'd have to be
one on-the-air as well!

> One of the things that jerks do is make blind
>> judgments about people!
>
>Do you mean "knuckle-dragging 4-landers with a Southern accent?
>
>Or perhaps you meant "welfare mothers of color with their hands out?"

Those were not blind judgments. Those were enlightened, thoughtfully-
considered judgments based on years of observation. And BTW -- my
"judgments" of the 'welfare mothers of color,' are shared by two
noted commentators by the names of Dr. Thomas Sowell and
Dr. Walter Williams. Obtain one of their books, check out the photo
on the book jacket, and tell me if you notice anything unique about
them!

>You know I could go on and on. Its all in the archives.

As are thoughtful apologies made for both of the above comments.
Of course, that doesn't cut any ice with you, doesn't it?

>Doesn't Riley have a 4 call?

Yup. K4ZDH.

>To claim that I'm a jerk on the air, without
>> ever having had a QSO with me, in itself causes you to be suspected of
>> being a jerk -- if not providing indisputable of same! Where do you get
>> off making such gratuitously disparaging remarks? Oh, never mind --
>> I remember now -- you're a no-coder. I should have expected this.
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>Ding ding ding.
>
>Now you're making blanket judgements about no-coders. Where does it
>stop, Larry?

Don't look now, Brian, but this is RRAP. "It" isn't ever going to stop!

>And it was you who said that you were perfectly capable of being a
>jerk on RRAP without it coming out in your QSO's on the air. But then
>you cited several instances where no one would come back to your calls
>and plea's, re: Larry's personal SET.

No one responded to my "simulated emergency" calls on .52 simplex
because there weren't any receivers tuned to that frequency! BTW --
please provide ANY documentary proof that what I did that day was in
any way a violation of the FCC Part 97 Rules and Regulations, or in
any way a violation of the concept of "good amateur practice." I will
accept a ruling from K4ZDH, in spite of his 4-land call sign!

Put up or shut up -- BOY!

>I wonder why that was? Maybe they have jerk detectors wired into
>their emergency radios.

I'm sure the one on my radio would ring whenever you broke squelch!

73 de Larry, K3LT

4719. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 16:48:21 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021229114821.08320.00000083@mb-md.aol.com>
Source record: 58957

In article <f45722ac.0212281617.296d1657@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>
>"W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
>news:<6E6A22A921466842.2CEB5C89D59FA9B5.8B80C520EF08B639@lp.airnews.net>...
>
>> Brian, Brian, Brian. You know that Larry sets himself apart from the
>entire
>> human organization when he makes such statements!!
>>
>> Kim W5TIT
>
>Yes, he certainly does.

Kim:

Which statement would that be? You didn't bother to quote anything,
not that that mattered to Brian...

73 de Larry, K3LT

4720. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 16:50:07 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0F27BC.F4840B05@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58960

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0E04C9.D3D32C01@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
>
> > > Just like you knew what I was saying about Somalia was wrong, wrong,
> > > wrong, until I dug it out of the ARRL web site.
> >
> > It is apparent that only you, of all individuals operating from Somalia
> > during that time period, neglected to get permission in writing from
> > someone authorized to grant it. That it never dawned on you that this
> > would be the thing to do, marks you as a rube.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
>
> That you said I was "wrong, wrong, wrong" then I pulled it out of a
> readily available ARRL resource marks you, with all your vast overseas
> operating experience, not even a novice, but merely a non-player in
> operations from countries without a government.

What you gathered from the League's web site in no way supports your
supposed operation from Somalia. In fact, you have nothing which
supports your operation from Somalia.

> You had no experience in such operations, yet you pass judgement on
> others who have. You and Ed.

...and you have yet to provide any evidence that your operation was
legal, accepted for DXCC credit or that it ever took place at all.

Dave K8MN

4721. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 16:51:44 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0F282B.4DACAF4D@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58961
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0E7DB8.2728433A@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0D48F8.E243C02B@earthlink.net>...
> > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message news:<kV%O9.1862
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The FCC could care less about DXCC
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave confuses DXCC with FCC. Ditto his minions. You five need a one on one.
> > > >
> > > > Do you have a single reference of such a comment from me?
> > >
> > > Yes.
> >
> > Then put up or shut up.
> >
> You claimed my Somalia operation was illegal based upon ARRL DXCC rules.

No, I claimed and still do, that your operation was not acceptable for
DXCC credit. Without supporting documentation, you can never make it
so.

Dave K8MN

4722. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 16:53:09 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0F2880.2754E0A1@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58962

Brian wrote:
>
> "K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:<QAvP9.1002$134.140279@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> > "N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote
> >
> > : Then there was KG6GJX. Unassigned now, as I recall. Wonder if anyone
> > remembers
> > : him and what he did?
> >
> > I somewhere saw that call attributed to RM1 George Tweed, USN, a straggler
> > who was left on Guam during the Japanese occupation, and about whom a book
> > and movie were done ("No Man is an Island").
> >
> > While George Tweed was a real person, but I don't know if he was a ham. In
> > any case, since KG6xxx call signs weren't assigned until well after WW2,
> > that story is a certifiable legend.
> >
> > The book and movie set him up as a heroic sort of fellow who risked his life
> > for the people of Guam, but a much different story was told by the
> > Guamanians I've talked to. In their search for him, the Japanese commanders
> > tortured and executed several Guamanians including a Roman Catholic priest.
> > After the island was liberated, he took on the cloak of a great hero and did
> > not even acknowledge the great debt he owed the native people. He was not
> > well regarded there.
> >
> > 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
> What would you have had George do? What would the USN have George do?

What would Jesus have driven?

Dave K8MN

4723. Re: eHam.net Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 16:58:09 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021229115809.01854.00000334@mb-fx.aol.com>
Source record: 58963

From WA2SI
>>>> > Hmm... (It'd sure be nice if it were to be decided by a vote.)
>>>> > 73 de Bert
>>>> > WA2SI
>>
>>No, voting on this is improper and inherently unfair.
>
>Why is voting on such an issue "improper and inherently unfair" as long as all
>interested parties get a vote?

Because those who disagree often leave/don't join out of disinterest. This
tends to reinforce in the voting those that prefer Morse.

4724. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 17:01:24 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0F2A61.B6CC43BA@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58964

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0E0722.4FB3D306@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
>
> > > > > I've even
> > > > > > particpated in past Jamborees On The Air from Sierra Leone and Botswana
> > > > > > putting local Scouts in touch with U.S. and European Scouts.
> > > > >
> > > > > Ditto JOTA from kh2, although the international date line was a bit of a problem.
> > > >
> > > > Signals stop there?
> > >
> > > Scout activity is synchronized by local time, not international time.
> > > You should understand that.
> >
> > Hams are "synchronized" to local time too. They simply use
> > international time.
> > You should understand that. I guess you need to use your leadership
> > qualities to stress the importance of being flexible in order to achieve
> > specific goals.
>
> Not at the expense of all other scouting camporee activities.

I understand if you and the young lads are too busy making lanyards.

> > > > > > Try to stay on-topic.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > I thought I was. You were listing things which were only a small part
> > > > > > of scouting.
> > > > >
> > > > > Mufflers?
> > > >
> > > > 1N34A's?
> > >
> > > ?
> > >
> > > > > > Is that what I said?
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If you go back and read the thread, you'll find that is the case.
> > > > >
> > > > > Wow. Such a smart guy like you andyou come to such stupid conclusions.
> > > >
> > > > I'm not the one who responded to a question about construction projects

> > > > with a reply about a 12-pack of 1N34A's.
> > >
> > > No, that is correct. You're the guy who mistook construction projects
> > > -using- 1n34a's with a construction project making 1n34a's. That is
> > > all.
> >
> > No, I didn't mistake anything. I simply read what was asked and what
> > you replied.
>
> Then you're obviously wrong.

That's the response of one still in the dark of what was asked and what
he replied.

> > > It's just all the little things that trip you up, Dave. Don't try so
> > > hard to get your commo wire wrapped around the axle next time.
> >
> > I get it now. You write something foolish and it becomes my fault.
>
> No, Dave, you simply trip over the smallest things. Perhaps your boot
> camp taught you to shuffle along. Someone elses fault.

I think they call that problem you have "transference". You screw up
and it somehow becomes my screw up. I'm not the guy setting out to make
my diodes.
I think Vipul has an edge on you.

Dave K8MN

4725. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 17:06:46 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0F2BA4.687BB9A9@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58965

Brian wrote:
>
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) wrote in message
news:<f45722ac.0212241009.152a9fde@posting.google.com>...
> > "KC8PMX" <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> wrote in message
news:<atv9qi314v2@enews2.newsguy.com>...
> > > This is similar, but different to the other post, but what are your
> > > equipment goals for 2003? Are you going to purchase any new gear of any
> > > kind related to amateur radio or radio in general? Are you planning on
> > > constructing any new gear?
> > >
> > > Happy Holidays!
> >
> > A 12-pack of 1n34a's. Brian
>
> Dave reads the above 3 questions and my reply.
>
> He concludes that I will manufacture a dozen 1n34a's.

We can interpret your response in a several ways. What is the last
question posed to you? We can assume that your non-sentence reply is in
response to it.

Since the other questions have to do with equipment or gear, we can
assume that you believe that diodes are equipment or gear. I think the
rest of us would agree that they are components or parts.

Since you place your response below the "Happy Holidays!" wish, we could
assume that "A 12-pack of 1N34A's" is a seasonal greeting used by your
family.

Dave K8MN

4726. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 17:09:32 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0F2C57.23F62B52@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58966

W5TIT wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3E0E7DB8.2728433A@earthlink.net...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:<3E0D48F8.E243C02B@earthlink.net>...
> > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message news:<kV%O9.1862
> > > > > >
> > > > > > The FCC could care less about DXCC
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave confuses DXCC with FCC. Ditto his minions. You five need a
> one on one.
> > > >
> > > > Do you have a single reference of such a comment from me?
> > >
> > > Yes.
> >
> > Then put up or shut up.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
> >
>
> Then, why don't you put up or shut up about my giving the movie "Lorenzo's
> Oil" a review? You accused me of reviewing the movie, Dave, and I have
> already once asked you provide proof. You haven't. You're, as usual, a
> mountebank.

Anyone can look at what you wrote and what I wrote. I'm not interested
in the movie or Dash Riprock's introduction of the story. Are we sheep
being raped of our rights by our government, Kim.

No, I'm not a mountain bike.

Dave K8MN

4727. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 17:20:35 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <D9GP9.1701$9N5.232155@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58967

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote

:
: What would Jesus have driven?
:

A big honkin' Chev Suburban 8.1L, to haul all those disciples around and to
pull a boat for his fishin' trips.

73, de Hans, K0HB

4728. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 17:21:43 UTC
From: David Robbins <k1ttt@arrl.net>
Message-ID: <_IScnf1eH7KDspKjXTWc2Q@crocker.com>
Source record: 58968
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:u54u0vcg3mflujn1bi84g0b6d7n5g90crv@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 29 Dec 2002 09:45:30 +0000, Walt Davidson
> <walt99@tiscali.co.uk> wrote:
>
> >On Sat, 28 Dec 2002 01:08:41 GMT, "K&#65533;HB" <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote:
> > >
> > >>"Walt Davidson" <walt99@tiscali.co.uk> wrote
> > >>
> > >>: The US Virgin Islands are not a part of the USA,
> > >>
> > >>The FCC will be surprised to learn that!
> > >>
> > >>My call is K0HB. I can use that call anywhere that the FCC has
> jurisdiction
> > >>over amateur radio without any "attachments". Your rules may be
> different
> > >>in the UK.
> > >
> > >I am not disputing that. However, it still doesn't make the USVI
> > >"part of the United States".
>
> Or the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania either, eh?
>
or the state of alaska or hawaii for that matter.

you know, the basic problem here is that the ARRL has designated all these
different types of things as 'countries' for their DXCC award and lots of
hams believe them. just because someone convinced a committee that
something warrented being called a 'country' for DXCC doesn't make it so.
there are many islands that are called countries only because they are some
arbitrary distance from somewhere else, or are run by a different department
within the U.S. government... but the fcc has jurisdiction over all of them
and as long as they do none of us are required to sign any kind of portable
designator under the present rules. the ARRL confuses things even more by
counting some of them as states in some contests and awards and then as
countries in others... and then lumps some of them together into sections
for other things.

4729. Re: eHam.net Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 17:27:28 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021229122728.22957.00000297@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 58969

>Subject: Re: eHam.net Survey
>From: vshah101@aol.com (Vshah101)
>Date: 12/29/02 10:58 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <20021229115809.01854.00000334@mb-fx.aol.com>

>Because those who disagree often leave/don't join out of disinterest. This
>tends to reinforce in the voting those that prefer Morse

Voting is a moral responsibility of every eligible citizen, and unfortunately ONLY an moral one. If voting was a MANDATED function of citizenship, then perhaps things might seem "fairer" to some, but that will never happen.

That someone chooses to NOT "vote" on something for whatever silly personal excuses they have, then the outcome of the voting usually IS "sleighted". How could it be otherwise?

For those who think that "one vote" doesn't count, one need but reflect on the 2000 presidential election. The percentages of votes that seperated Algore from the White House were miniscule.

I am sure everyone (that is in the United States) in this forum knows more than one peson who whines and cries about the inequities of the political system, yet when asked how THEY voted, they "proudly" state they didn't vote. They assert they are "protesting" the "system", yet cannot explain how NOT voting makes any kind of a statement other than to allow those who would exploit that weakness to prosper.

Voting is, in and of itself, fair. It is the apathy and foolishness of those who elect to not exercise that privilege that skew the system. If one "leaves" because they disagree, then they just vacated the very chance they had to change things to thier liking. If they are "disintrested", who cares about what they want anyway?

73

Steve, K4YZ

4730. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 17:46:09 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <BxGP9.18958$p_6.1479558@bgtnsc04-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 58970
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"David Robbins" <k1ttt@arrl.net> wrote in message
news:_IScnf1eH7KDspKjXTWc2Q@crocker.com...
>
> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:u54u0vcg3mflujn1bi84g0b6d7n5g90crv@4ax.com...
> > On Sun, 29 Dec 2002 09:45:30 +0000, Walt Davidson
> > <walt99@tiscali.co.uk> wrote:
> >
> > >On Sat, 28 Dec 2002 01:08:41 GMT, "K&#65533;HB" <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote:
> > >
> > >>"Walt Davidson" <walt99@tiscali.co.uk> wrote
> > >>
> > >>: The US Virgin Islands are not a part of the USA,
> > >>
> > >>The FCC will be surprised to learn that!
> > >>
> > >>My call is K0HB. I can use that call anywhere that the FCC has
> jurisdiction
> > >>over amateur radio without any "attachments". Your rules may be
> different
> > >>in the UK.
> > >
> > >I am not disputing that. However, it still doesn't make the USVI
> > >"part of the United States".
> >
> > Or the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania either, eh?
> >
> or the state of alaska or hawaii for that matter.
>
> you know, the basic problem here is that the ARRL has designated all these
> different types of things as 'countries' for their DXCC award and lots of
> hams believe them. just because someone convinced a committee that
> something warrented being called a 'country' for DXCC doesn't make it so.
> there are many islands that are called countries only because they are some
> arbitrary distance from somewhere else, or are run by a different department
> within the U.S. government... but the fcc has jurisdiction over all of them
> and as long as they do none of us are required to sign any kind of portable
> designator under the present rules. the ARRL confuses things even more by
> counting some of them as states in some contests and awards and then as
> countries in others... and then lumps some of them together into sections
> for other things.

The ARRL DXCC award is for working ENTITIES not COUNTRIES.
Many entities are also countries, but many are not.

See also the WAE "countries" list, which includes Bear Island
apart from Svalbard for example, but both count the same for DXCC.

So it is really quite simple: the entity (confusion intended) that runs the
contest or gives the award gets to set the rules any way they like.

So follow the simple rule of "Hear 'em, Work 'em, Sort 'em out later."

--

... Hank

Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

4731. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 18:15:12 UTC
From: Bob Nielsen <nielsen@remove_this.oz.net>
Message-ID: <aune3gmfv0@216.39.144.81>
Source record: 58971
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 29 Dec 2002 17:21:43 -0000, David Robbins wrote:
>
> "Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
> news:u54u0vcg3mflujn1bi84g0b6d7n5g90crv@4ax.com...
>> On Sun, 29 Dec 2002 09:45:30 +0000, Walt Davidson
>> <walt99@tiscali.co.uk> wrote:
>>
>> >On Sat, 28 Dec 2002 01:08:41 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote:
>> >
>> >>"Walt Davidson" <walt99@tiscali.co.uk> wrote
>> >>
>> >>: The US Virgin Islands are not a part of the USA,
>> >>
>> >>The FCC will be surprised to learn that!
>> >>
>> >>My call is K0HB. I can use that call anywhere that the FCC has
> jurisdiction
>> >>over amateur radio without any "attachments". Your rules may be
> different
>> >>in the UK.
>> >
>> >I am not disputing that. However, it still doesn't make the USVI
>> >"part of the United States".
>>
>>
>> Or the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania either, eh?
>>
> or the state of alaska or hawaii for that matter.
>
> you know, the basic problem here is that the ARRL has designated all these
> different types of things as 'countries' for their DXCC award and lots of
> hams believe them. just because someone convinced a committee that
> something warrented being called a 'country' for DXCC doesn't make it so.
> there are many islands that are called countries only because they are some
> arbitrary distance from somewhere else, or are run by a different department
> within the U.S. government... but the fcc has jurisdiction over all of them
> and as long as they do none of us are required to sign any kind of portable
> designator under the present rules. the ARRL confuses things even more by
> counting some of them as states in some contests and awards and then as
> countries in others... and then lumps some of them together into sections
> for other things.

Which is essentially why the ARRL changed the term "country" to
"entity" in the DXCC rules (but let's not start that thread again).

4732. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 18:38:56 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E0F4186.6FD68E41@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58972

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote
>
> :
> : What would Jesus have driven?
> :
>
> A big honkin' Chev Suburban 8.1L, to haul all those disciples around and to
> pull a boat for his fishin' trips.

I'm inclined to agree. I think we have the makings of a whole new
series of ad campaigns.

What would Jesus have drunk? - For new Gallo "Gallolee"

Where would Jesus have eaten? - For Long John Silver's new Mega-feast

Where would Jesus have stayed? - For Hampton Inn's Heavenly Rest suites.

Dave K8MN

4733. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 18:57:51 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7vzrhk.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58974
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 29 Dec 2002 09:45:29 +0000, Walt Davidson wrote:

>> If not the USA, then what country is it???
>
>By definition, if it is not a "State" it cannot be part of the "United
>States".

You obviously did not have the U S Civics class in 6th Grade under
Mrs. J.C. Sandberg where the above statement would not be tolerated.

Walt, stick to British civics stuff of which few folks in the US are
knowledgeable, and leave the US civics stuff to those of us here who
do know how our country is soldered together..

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4734. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 18:57:52 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7vzvcl.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58973
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 29 Dec 2002 09:45:29 +0000, Walt Davidson wrote:

>Ah, but we have the British Virgin Islands .... which is the nicer
>part! Ever been to Tortola?

I've been to the Tortola Restaurant in San Francisco (a class place
now long closed) - the closest I care to get to the VI.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4735. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 18:57:52 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7w0jhm.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58975
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 29 Dec 2002 09:45:30 +0000, Walt Davidson wrote:

>UK Post Office Telecommunications, 1968 - 1979. That was the UK Radio
>Regulatory body before the Radiocommunications Agency was formed.

The you should know better. We used to respect the BPO folks.
>
>> How long have you
>> been involved in FCC matters professionally?
>
>How long have you?

Just about forty years.

Three years as a regulatory engineer on the staff of the Israeli
Ministry of Communications (I was 4X4UQ in those days) where I was
the liaison with the FCC and ITU.

Twenty-eight years on the staff of the FCC doing both engineering
and legal enforcement and policy work - retired as District Director
of the San Francisco District.

Eight years as a communications attorney dealing exclusively in FCC
regulatory matters for amateur radio and public safety licemsees.

Keeps me off the dole, and permits me to enjoy ham radio daily.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From a Clearing in the Silicon Forest
Beaverton (Washington County) Oregon

4736. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 18:57:53 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7w0zkn.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58976
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 29 Dec 2002 15:18:49 GMT, Mike Coslo wrote:

> And even faster is a callsign directory that can be resident
>on your computer. Granted, they have to be updated every so often, but
>even operating PSK-31, I can look up the callsign during a persons CQ,
>and have their name and QTH in my log before I answer them. I'm
>surprised anyone finds a problem here.

And that will give you the mailing address on file with the FCC as
of the last time that the licensee submitted one. That still
doesn't give the actual location of the "target" station at the
time of the QSO.

"Gotta' ask..."
---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4737. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 19:18:14 UTC
From: K7JEB <k7jeb@arrl.no_spam.net>
Message-ID: <WTHP9.84960$hK4.6891924@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 58977

Phil Kane, K2ASP, wrote:

> Now it can be told - wanna know what we did with those notices?

> They were placed in a file cabinet drawer in chronological
> order and never touched again until five years later when they
> were trashed.

> Having dutifully submitted such over the years I was shocked to
> find that out when I joined the agency, and when I had enough
> "stripes" lobbied "the powers that be" to get the rule changed.

> Took quite a while but we did it.

And I very much appreciated your doing it. I was in
the "aerospace gypsy" phase of my career at the time
and it was a major hassle keeping track of mobile/
fixed-portable/second-station authorizations. Restored
my faith in the rationality of the FCC after the
incentive-licensing and repeater-licensing debacles.

--
Jim Bromley, K7JEB k7jeb(at)arrl(dot)net
Glendale, Arizona

4738. Re: MHz to Mc Conversion Table

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 19:42:16 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <12F38BBE1360EAA3.4517BFD7266F4034.8592B644C0680B94@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58978

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021229114819.08320.00000080@mb-md.aol.com...
> In article
<183D75C433A22BCD.CA81DF7DE670423A.B0084B0FFB23B7D7@lp.airnews.net>,
> "W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
>
> >
> >ROFLMAO!!! Did you notice the callsign? It's a vanity call, wonder if
he
> >means "Kiss Off" with it!
> >
> >Kim W5TIT
>
> Maybe K�FF asked someone to suggest a Vanity call sign that sounded
> like him, and he had a touch of bronchitis that day.
>
> At least his call isn't taking the ARS "...one step closer to extinction."
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Actually, someone pointed out that it isn't a vanity call at all...that was my bad. I thought HAI was vanity. There's a lot more codes than I remember, but that is beside the point.

Oh, and there aren't *any* callsigns that take the ARS "one step closer to extinction." The ARS isn't close to extinction at all, unless you want to believe it, Larry.

Kim W5TIT

4739. Re: MHz to Mc Conversion Table

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 20:19:50 UTC
From: Cascade <Opus@anon.com>
Message-ID: <aunlc1$a72$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58979

"W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:12F38BBE1360EAA3.4517BFD7266F4034.8592B644C0680B94@lp.airnews.net...
> "Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
> news:20021229114819.08320.00000080@mb-md.aol.com...
> > In article
> <183D75C433A22BCD.CA81DF7DE670423A.B0084B0FFB23B7D7@lp.airnews.net>,
> > "W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> writes:
> >
> > >
> > > >ROFLMAO!!! Did you notice the callsign? It's a vanity call, wonder if
> he
> > > >means "Kiss Off" with it!
> > > >
> > > >Kim W5TIT
> >
> > Maybe K�FF asked someone to suggest a Vanity call sign that sounded
> > like him, and he had a touch of bronchitis that day.
> >
> > At least his call isn't taking the ARS "...one step closer to
extinction."
> >
> > 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
>
> Actually, someone pointed out that it isn't a vanity call at all...that
was
> my bad. I thought HAI was vanity. There's a lot more codes than I
> remember, but that is beside the point.
>
> Oh, and there aren't *any* callsigns that take the ARS "one step closer to
> extinction." The ARS isn't close to extinction at all, unless you want to
> believe it, Larry.
>
> Kim W5TIT
>
Speaking of vanity.....

4740. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 20:26:51 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <28515D713BC47D59.C40FBA9064720B34.FDFA0BAA54A55E18@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58980

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021229114820.08320.00000082@mb-md.aol.com...
> In article <f45722ac.0212280740.27cf87bf@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >> >> >Basically, a jerk on RRAP = A jerk on the air, no matter how hard
you
> >> >> >and Larry try to say it isn't so. No wonder you're such a big
backer
> >> >> >of the 11.8 second QSO. At about 12 seconds people catch on that
> >> >> >you're a blow hard jerk, then they QSY. I really wish you could
have
> >> >> >good amateur radio experiences like I've had, but the ball's in
your
> >> >> >court. I hope you figure it out before SK time.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >73, Brian
> >> >>
> >> >> Brian:
> >> >>
> >> >> I'm sure it's entirely possible that you're not a jerk on the air!
> >> >
> >> >Yes, correct.
> >>
> >> Brian:
> >>
> >> I'm glad that at least YOU think so!
>
> Brian:
>
> On second thought, I've changed my mind. I *do* think it's not only
> possible, but entirely likely, that you're a jerk on the air -- you surely
> ARE one here on RRAP, and by your own standard, you'd have to be
> one on-the-air as well!
>

ROFLMAO....Oh, Larry. You're so hilarious. Actually, no, you're so very,
very pitiful.

> >
> > One of the things that jerks do is make blind
> >> judgments about people!
> >
> >Do you mean "knuckle-dragging 4-landers with a Southern accent?
> >

> >Or perhaps you meant "welfare mothers of color with their hands out?"
>
> Those were not blind judgments. Those were enlightened, thoughtfully-
> considered judgments based on years of observation.
>

Yeah, and groups like the KKK and Aryan Nations would have us all believe the same thing, Larry. You are a disgrace to human kind.

>
> And BTW -- my
> "judgments" of the 'welfare mothers of color,' are shared by two
> noted commentators by the names of Dr. Thomas Sowell and
> Dr. Walter Williams. Obtain one of their books, check out the photo
> on the book jacket, and tell me if you notice anything unique about
> them!
>

Your kind will always find ways to support your twisted, dimented, disgraceful thoughts, Larry. *If* you are telling us that these men are black, that makes no difference as to their opinions. If you are telling us that these men are black and believe the same way you do, then that doesn't make them any more right than you are, and you are very wrong.

There are abusers of every system. I suspect it was some of my parents' and my tax dollars that went to funding your college education you *say* you have, only to have you turn around and waste that money on never doing anything professional with your education. That's abuse. So, unless you wrap yourself up in your disgusting judgement calls of other people, then *YOU* put up or shut up.

> >
> >You know I could go on and on. Its all in the archives.
>
> As are thoughtful apologies made for both of the above comments.
> Of course, that doesn't cut any ice with you, doesn't it?
>

I don't know about for Brian. But, why would you state that there are apologies for comments that you made in the same post in which you defend them?!!!!? God, you sound more and more like a KKKer every day. Are they still active up there? Well, guess it doesn't matter--you are.

> >
> >Doesn't Riley have a 4 call?
>
> Yup. K4ZDH.
>
> >To claim that I'm a jerk on the air, without
> >> ever having had a QSO with me, in itself causes you to be suspected of
> >> being a jerk -- if not providing indisputable of same! Where do you
get

> >> off making such gratuitously disparaging remarks? Oh, never mind --
> >> I remember now -- you're a no-coder. I should have expected this.
> >>
> >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
> >Ding ding ding.
> >
> >Now you're making blanket judgements about no-coders. Where does it
> >stop, Larry?
>
> Don't look now, Brian, but this is RRAP. "It" isn't ever going to stop!
>

I have a feeling that you're a lonely, single, miserable man because you
don't even stop in real life, Larry.

> >
> >And it was you who said that you were perfectly capable of being a
> >jerk on RRAP without it coming out in your QSO's on the air. But then
> >you cited several instances where no one would come back to your calls
> >and plea's, re: Larry's personal SET.
>
> No one responded to my "simulated emergency" calls on .52 simplex
> because there weren't any receivers tuned to that frequency!
>

And there barely ever is. There are not very many areas where 146.520 is
monitored, Larry. If I recall correctly the only mission your personal SET
was to accomplish was so that you could then chide and sneer at those who
find emergency communication a true asset to the communities in which they
live. It's the *only* mission you ever have in life, Larry: to attempt to
make other people miserable, just like you.

>
> BTW --
> please provide ANY documentary proof that what I did that day was in
> any way a violation of the FCC Part 97 Rules and Regulations, or in
> any way a violation of the concept of "good amateur practice." I will
> accept a ruling from K4ZDH, in spite of his 4-land call sign!
>

Yeah, typical idol worship from you. If Riley Hollingworth said his shit
was green and nutritional to the ARS you'd believe every word of it.

>
> Put up or shut up -- BOY!
>

KKK talk again?

> >
> >I wonder why that was? Maybe they have jerk detectors wired into
> >their emergency radios.

>
> I'm sure the one on my radio would ring whenever you broke squelch!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>

Thought you didn't "do" VHF/UHF phone, Larry.

It is always disheartening to be confronted with a reality that people like you exist. But, the positive thing is that there are many more of us who *don't* think like your type and who won't let you just say whatever you think is right and popular without saying something back.

Kim W5TIT

4741. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 20:31:12 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <3E975E88B88731BA.3296E7E527C44AC1.7D0B1E8676994782@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58981

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E0F2C57.23F62B52@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3E0E7DB8.2728433A@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:<3E0D48F8.E243C02B@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > "K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message news:<kV%O9.1862
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > The FCC could care less about DXCC
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave confuses DXCC with FCC. Ditto his minions. You five need
a
> > one on one.
> > > > > >
> > > > > Do you have a single reference of such a comment from me?
> > > > >
> > > > Yes.
> > > >
> > > Then put up or shut up.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> > >
> >
> > Then, why don't you put up or shut up about my giving the movie
"Lorenzo's
> > Oil" a review? You accused me of reviewing the movie, Dave, and I have
> > already once asked you provide proof. You haven't. You're, as usual, a
> > mountebank.
>
> Anyone can look at what you wrote and what I wrote. I'm not interested
> in the movie or Dash Riprock's introduction of the story. Are we sheep
> being raped of our rights by our government, Kim.
>
> No, I'm not a mountain bike.
>
> Dave K8MN
>
```

Dave, I think anyone who reads these posts knows what an idiot you are.

Kim W5TIT

4742. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 20:45:54 UTC
From: Bullshit Artist <HereComes@TheBullshitAgain.org>
Message-ID: <aunmtmfsi0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58982
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message . I was one of those folks who

> And your professional experience in radio regulation is...?
>
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Oh Oh, looks like you got Kane on the ropes. Whenever
Phil starts losing a debate, this is one the standard "lines" he
resorts to. Either this or name calling, typical big government
liberal bureaucrat. You should really get some new
material Kane.

73 de Big Al

4743. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 21:03:55 UTC
From: Bullshit Artist <HereComes@TheBullshitAgain.org>
Message-ID: <aunnvf$it7$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58983
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message > >
> >
> And your professional experience in radio regulation is...?
>
> If that was "The worst mistake the FCC ever made" you have a lot to
> learn about communications regulation in the USA. How long have you
> been involved in FCC matters professionally?

> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>

Oh my Oh my! Look everyone, Phil boy is getting really
fwustwated now! He's losing the debate and resorting to his
standard retreat position, demanding your legal qualifications,
background, etc. etc. etc. etc. etc. As if we're impressed
with that kind of shit!

73 de Big Al

4744. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 21:06:49 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021229160649.01833.00000031@mb-mk.aol.com>
Source record: 58984

>Subject: Re: What country?
>From: "Bullshit Artist" HereComes@TheBullshitAgain.org
>Date: 12/29/02 3:03 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <aunnvf$it7$0@pita.alt.net>

>Oh my Oh my! Look everyone, Phil boy is getting really
>fwustwated now! He's losing the debate and resorting to his
>standard retreat position, demanding your legal qualifications,
>background, etc. etc. etc. etc. etc. As if we're impressed
>with that kind of s***!

I'm far far more impressed with Phil's credentials than the opinion of an anonymous putz who makes scathing insults from the peanut gallery.

Loser.

4745. Re: eHam.net Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 21:37:24 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021229163724.01838.00000025@mb-fk.aol.com>
Source record: 58985

In article <jQtP9.366121$GR5.110325@rwcrnsc51.ops.asp.att.net>, "Jackie L." <buzzygirl@REMOVE.attbi.com> writes:

>I'm in the process of educating myself on all of the various HF rigs out >there... the eham.net product reviews are helpful indeed.

Yep, but remember one thing: They are user impressions. What one user loves, another may hate, and a rig that's good for some things (10 meter SSB) may not be so hot for something completely different (40 meter CW).

>If I knew a bit
>more about these rigs and what to look out for, or if I could buy one from a >local ham and try it out before buying it, I might consider buying a used >one, but the warranty is indeed a big selling point for me.

Last thing ya need is somebody else's headache.

> I have to admit
>that I don't know much about HF rigs and their various problems, yet, so >buying used might present problems for one as uninitiated as me.

Here's a blatant plug for ARRL membership from a longtime member:

Consider spending the $39 to join ARRL. Almost all of the products they have tested in the past 15-20 years are viewable on their website, but you gotta be a member to access the Product Reviews. (There are some exceptions). They actually buy the rigs anonymously and test them in the Lab, verifying performance and looking for problems. In some cases they do an expanded test report that appears on the website. I don;t know if they have tested the IC-718 yet.

If you have a friend with a pile of old QSTs, or membership, the Product Reviews can be a big help.

The way I figure it, if membership keeps me from making even one Big Mistake every 5-10 years, it was worth the money. QST's a good mag, too.
>
>Also, the rigs I'm considering buying aren't all that expensive new (the >IC-718 and the Icom MKIIG). Now, the antenna/tuner systems I am considering >might actually end up costing more than the rigs themselves, which is okay >if they perform the way I hope they will.

What antennas are you considering that cost so much?

>I'll keep the 'group posted on my
>purchases. It's my goal to make General on 1/11 and have a rig/antenna >system bought and set up by February 1st (if not sooner).

YES!!
>
>I'm headed to visit family in Arizona tomorrow and will be on a much-needed
>vacation for the next week, and thus away from the 'puter.

Enjoy.

>Hope everyone has a happy (and SAFE!!!) New Year, too!

You too.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4746. Re: Give Me A Mexican Lady Any Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 22:02:40 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v0us8usnv4rhbc@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 58986
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dang! Broke my troll-o-meter! Needle hit the peg at 40 over, spun around
twice, and fell off. LMAO

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim

"Pancho" <RioGrande@anon.com> wrote in message
news:aumg71uf0@pita.alt.net...
> You Gringos make us laugh.

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.434 / Virus Database: 243 - Release Date: 12/25/02

4747. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 22:46:33 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <auntvpbju1@slb3.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 58987
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

No...never have. Last Island I was on was Enilabagon in the Marshalls. Not exactly a tourist trap...grin.

Dan/W4NTI

"Walt Davidson" <walt99@tiscali.co.uk> wrote in message news:7dgt0vg3akidf5e9mh3d7borc3de19bqlj@4ax.com...
> On Sat, 28 Dec 2002 17:09:32 -0600, "Dan/W4NTI"
> <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote:
>
> >The only reason we keep the US VI is to tick off the British.
>
> Ah, but we have the British Virgin Islands .... which is the nicer
> part! Ever been to Tortola?
> :-))
>
> 73 de G3NYY
>
> --
> Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @freeuk.com

4748. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 22:54:30 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <G2LP9.2084$9N5.298008@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58988
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Bullshit Artist" <HereComes@TheBullshitAgain.org> wrote

: As if we're impressed with that kind of shit!

It's called validating your credibility. You ain't got none, Artist. Deal
with it.

dit dit

de Hans, K0HB

4749. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 23:24:11 UTC
From: Bullshit Artist <HereComes@TheBullshitAgain.org>
Message-ID: <auo06g$8cm$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58989
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

What? Only two sycophants popped up out of the sewer
so far? Do I hear a third? Geeeeeeze I'm slipping!
Either that or they don't make little puppets on a string the
way they used to. Dance dance dance little sycophants!

73 de Big Al

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:G2LP9.2084$9N5.298008@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> "Bullshit Artist" <HereComes@TheBullshitAgain.org> wrote
>
> : As if we're impressed with that kind of shit!
>
> It's called validating your credibility. You ain't got none, Artist.
Deal
> with it.
>
> dit dit
>
> de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>

4750. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 23:27:50 UTC
From: "Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org>
Message-ID: <auo0ah0l2q@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 58991
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

The lovely and talented, but geographically and politically challenged,
"Walt Davidson" <walt99@tiscali.co.uk> wrote in message
news:vstq0vkodovql7n2he2s1aj3kuiu8nej22@4ax.com...
>
> It doesn't matter who regulates radio there, the US Virgin Islands are
> not, repeat NOT, part of the United States.
>

Oh, really?

Perhaps you need to rush over to their web site and send the governor an
e-mail advising him. Here's their web site: http://www.usvi.org/

Now here's a really strange coincidence: The "chief of state" of the US
Virgin Islands is President George W. BUSH, with Vice President Richard B.
CHENEY. Do you suppose these two -- Bush and Cheney -- are related to US
President and Vice-President of the same names? Consult:
http://www.cia.gov/cia/publications/factbook/geos/vq.html

And while you are at it, tell these folks that they are in no way associated
with Great Britian:
http://www.britishvirginislands.com/

--

Regards,
Joe S.
W4HH

> 73 de G3NYY
>
> --
> Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @freeuk.com

4751. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-29 23:38:15 UTC
From: Bullshit Artist <HereComes@TheBullshitAgain.org>
Message-ID: <auo10samk0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 58990
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Walt Davidson" <walt99@tiscali.co.uk> wrote in message
news:v9vu0v874mrha931rk39geiceje2fprd7r@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 29 Dec 2002 18:57:52 GMT, "Phil Kane"
> <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote:
>
> > > Three years as a regulatory engineer on the staff of the Israeli
> > > Ministry of Communications
>
> I just knew there had to be an explanation for your pomposity!
> :-)))))
>
> 73 de G3NYY
>
> --
> Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @freeuk.com

Phil fancies himself as an expert on any and all subjects.
Beyond that, he's not a bad guy, just likes to pontificate,
then demand your qualifications if you dare to disagree with
him.

73 de Big Al

4752. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 00:11:27 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <fn3v0vko036p0d4khdujqk8trl58bv223u@4ax.com>
Source record: 58992
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 29 Dec 2002 17:46:09 GMT, "Hank Oredson" <horedson@att.net>
wrote:

>So follow the simple rule of "Hear 'em, Work 'em, Sort 'em out later."

Works for me. A person shouldn't get so caught up in the chase
that they forget to take in the scenery along the way.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4753. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 00:17:17 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7webqp.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58993
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 29 Dec 2002 15:45:54 -0500, Bullshit Artist wrote:

>
>73 de Big Al

Another unregistered country heard from.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4754. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 00:17:18 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7wespq.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58994
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Sun, 29 Dec 2002 23:16:58 +0000, Walt Davidson wrote:

>> Three years as a regulatory engineer on the staff of the Israeli
>> Ministry of Communications
>
>I just knew there had to be an explanation for your pomposity!
>:-)))))
>

What's the explanation for yours? <ggg>

We takes 'em as we finds 'em. Still mad about being forced out of
the area in 1948?

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4755. Re: eHam.net Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 00:27:38 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <_pMP9.2211$9N5.312324@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58995

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

: Voting is a moral responsibility of every eligible citizen, and
: unfortunately ONLY an moral one.

Well I must one champion immoral sunuvabitch then, because I have never
voted in a single eHam.net survey.

Get a grip, Steve! Your pompous puffery and posturing is reaching unheard
of intensity. Anderson taught you well.

With all kind wishes for a pleasant R&R,

Hans, K0HB

4756. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 00:54:55 UTC
From: Bill M <don'tspamme-exray@caribe.net>
Message-ID: <v0v66vtpekehd5@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 58996
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Joe S. wrote:

> And while you are at it, tell these folks that they are in no way associated
> with Great Britian:
> http://www.britishvirginislands.com/
>
You were doing good up until that statement.

-Bill/KP4

4757. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 01:22:03 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <599460A940DE7201.E3371A22B825B6F4.BF4310FB1306BE69@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58997

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E0E8322.F478F4CE@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT wrote:
> >
> >
> > My backside that you affectionately refer is hardly as ample as you've
been
> > led *LED* to believe, Dave.
>
> I have your description of your height/weight. I've seen the photo.
> Unless you have some really strange, out of proportion bod, you're a
> fibber.
>

What photo? If you mean the one that used to be on QRZ, how can you tell how big an ass is with only a picture of my turned to the passenger (my husband) when I was driving?

Somehow, though, it strikes me as ironic that if I thought like you, agreed with you, said things you like to hear, etc., that my looks wouldn't be an issue, Dave. After all, we'll never date, never marry, never have sex, never work together, etc., so why would you worry about the way I look?

Why *would* you say anything about someone's ass, Dave? And mention whapping in the same post? Now that I think about it, that was really weird--even for you.

Kim W5TIT

4758. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 01:26:24 UTC
From: Jerry Oxendine <joxendi@bellsouth.net>
Message-ID: <JiNP9.20004$nc7.18835@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 58998
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Landrover <xfactor@landxfactoring.com> wrote in message
news:aui4ve$n96$0@pita.alt.net...
>
> "Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org> wrote in message
> news:auhosd01mdn@enews2.newsguy.com...
> > I contacted a very active ham in the area who asked a few questions,
> located
> > the bootlegger, and busted him with the FCC.
> > Regards,
> > Joe S.
> > W4HH
> >
> Lets see now, you contacted a "very active ham." So was he 83 or 93?
> and spends most of his morning on 2 meter repeaters with his other ham
> buddies in their 80s, discussing what medications they are on. So much
> for the "very active ham." And you say he asked a few "questions"?
> Yup, probably went like, "Uhhhh Joe uhhhhhhhh, you been a hearing any
> strange stations on the air 'round here," And Joe said, "Uhhhhhhh no
Mike,
> uhhhhh uhhhhh there been a problem"? So much for the "questions."
> And now this gem, "Located the bootlegger." Oh my yes!!! Instantly
> he was located!!!!! Won't even address that, just flush it! And
here's
> the best one, "Busted him with the FCC." So please share with us, did
> the FCC make him a deputy FCC junior ranger or just kind of let him tag
> along? And of course, we all know the FCC has a Hot Line, on which
> it takes calls from ham radio operators about bootleggers and upon
> receiving a call, the FCC Special Reaction Team swings into action with
> lights and sirens blazing as they speed to the location of this latest
> Public
> Enemy Number One, called "Bootlegger." The media interviews
> witnesses and of course the story is all over the 6pm news and tomorrow's
> papers!
>
> GET A LIFE! You people post this drivel and expect people to
> believe it?
>
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAHAAHAHAHAHHAHAHAHAHAHAHAH
> HAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHAHHAAHAHAHAHHA
>
We don't even CARE what you believe! The scenario that Jim Hampton and
others describe happens pretty often. Hams often know the original holders
of callsigns and the sounds of their

voices--even if they have been inactive for years. It just isn't easy to bootleg a call and get away with it. I've pinpointed unlicensed operators with the most unsophistocated equipment and, then again, I have access to some pretty darned accurate Doppler DF equipment that is used by USAF to locate downed aircraft. With that, I can drive right up to YOUR front door and say with 99.99% accuracy that the offending signal is originating from your residence if, indeed, it is.
We (USAF Auxiliary) have even determined that an ELT (emergency locator transmitter) is moving
down the highway, have isolated it, and stopped the offending UPS truck that was shipping/carrying
the accidentally activated unit to get it turned off.

You are trolling, of course, and are incredibly stupid if you truly believe that you would not be punished for operating an unlicensed ham station. But then, that is the reason that I see CB antennas on run-down houses with chipped bricks and plywood in the windows, and the requisite
'74 Buick with flapping fenders in the yard. Oh, there's that bad smell that seems to permeate the
entire area..... You stereotype hams as ALL old men, but CB has its stereo-
types as well. Me? I'd rather be stereotyped as an old man (I'm not) who made a good living in the railroad industry than as a bad-smelling, rotten-toothed cotton mill hand that never got much above minimum wage. At least, I can afford a decent radio shack. Go to Google Search and type in W4CLM. Click on the web address that it gives you. You will be taken to a website of a lady
whose radio SHACK is worth more than most Southern CBers entire houses!! The shelves upon
which her equipment resides are CUSTOM OAK built-ins and cost over $20,000. The equipment
adds another $40,000---and I'm just guessing~!!!!!!!!!
.....then there's her husband's model train room!!!!! So you see, those stereotypes can be promoted and/or defended against.

There are well-educated CB operators, operators that live in $150,000 houses--and there are those who live as described above. Everytime I have DFed a bootleg operator, it led me into one of those poor neighborhoods with the dreadful stench. Like Rabbit Ears in Fla who is serving time
for such misdeeds, it is those hopeless, dead-end job losers that have ONLY a CB "reddio" and a
6-pack of beer to look forward to that usually get themselves into trouble because of an inflated sense of self-worth and bravado that somehow gets magnified by his looking down into a mike that doesn't reflect who or what he is talking to..

Honestly, it has been tried many times (the bootlegging calls), and it doesn't work. They DO get caught and, yes, it is because the hams simply do not put up with shenanigans as are tolerated on CB.

Jerry

\

>
>
>
>
>
>
>
>

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.423 / Virus Database: 238 - Release Date: 11/25/02

4759. Re: Something for everyone...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 01:27:41 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <9EBFC42A2B31C842.CC343DF9F72B776D.3448C9F3BA840F13@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58999

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E0E0AB4.9A5C472F@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3E0D4835.4188D29A@earthlink.net...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > > >
> > > > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> > news:<v0ppf951es82ab@corp.supernews.com>...
> > > > > > Maybe more adults need the supervision of children?
> > > > >
> > > > > Jim, thanks for trying to salvage a bad situation.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave just needs a life.
> > > >
> > > > Dave isn't the one traipsing about in the woods showing the young lads
> > > > how to make radio by rubbing a couple of 1N34As together.
> >
> > Maybe if you *did* move about once in a while, Dave, you'd be a lot
better
> > off.
>
> I've lived in six U.S. states and travled Europe and Africa for fifteen
> years, all while you were laughing your ample a.o. In all that time
> "rotf", you've still not managed to laugh your a.o.
>
> Dave K8MN
>

Oh, <giggle> I went back and looked some stuff up. You thought that I was
talking about physical dimensions when I told you it would help if you moved
about. Nope. I don't care what you look like, Dave. What I was referring
to is that, perhaps if you forced yourself to get out more, you'd begin to
experience real life...not the one that's in the book, or demanded of you by
protocol. Although, maybe you like predetermined things.

Kim W5TIT
```

4760. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 01:46:41 UTC
From: "Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org>
Message-ID: <auo92t0164e@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 59001
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

As I recall, at that time I had finished my first and was well into my second ration of single malt.

And am now on #3.

--

Regards,
Joe S.

"Bill M" <don'tspamme-exray@caribe.net> wrote in message news:v0v66vtpekehd5@corp.supernews.com...
> Joe S. wrote:
>
> > And while you are at it, tell these folks that they are in no way associated
> > with Great Britian:
> > http://www.britishvirginislands.com/
> >
> You were doing good up until that statement.
>
> -Bill/KP4
>

4761. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 01:55:27 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021229205527.15952.00000426@mb-mj.aol.com>
Source record: 59000

In article <20021226213350.03151.00000936@mb-mq.aol.com>, yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) writes:

>>(snipped to avoid further boredom)
>>>
>>>I get the pernt.
>>
>>Finally!
>>
>>> Keep yer steenkin' trap dipole!
>>
>>"Steenkin"? That design has worked for me at two QTH's and several Field
>>Days.
>>Cost me maybe $10, mostly for the coax. Look up my SS and FD results over
>the
>>past several years.
>
>Jim:
>
>That was a JOKE, son! Don't go Steve/Brian on me now!

HAW!!
>
>>Have you done better with a similar low-cost antenna?
>
>My primary antenna is a "dual band" 20/10 meter parallel dipole built from
>approximately 35 cents worth of scrap wire purchased at a radio club
>auction almost ten years ago. I've used it to make thousands of CW and
>digital QSO's. I can't honestly say that counts as "doing better" than
>you've done with your trap dipole, but there you have it.

No tuner nor open line, huh?

>>>However, I would suggest swapping the RG-8X with some nice LMR-400!
>>>Kinda pricey, but very low loss! On HF, even the LMR-240 (same O.D.
>>>as the 8X) would be a big improvement!
>>
>>How much less loss (in dB) will those coaxes have on 80, 40 and 20 meters,
>>assuming a line length of 40 feet and SWR of 3:1 or less, compared to good
>>quality (not RS) RG-8X? How much more weight on the antenna support?

>I think the Times Microwave web site has a loss calculator, if I remember
>correctly.

That's not what I asked.

>I don't remember the URL, but I'm sure a search on Times
>Microwave LMR coaxial cable would dig it up for you. Yes, the LMR 240
>will weigh significantly more than RG-8X.

240 weighs more. 400 weighs a lot more.

>However, the lower loss may make
>a difference, particularly on receive when trying to pull in a QRP station.

Just the opposite.

The difference is less than 1 dB on the bands in question. Not enough to make "a big improvement" in radiated strength.

On receive, the limiting factor here when receiving weak signals is atmospheric noise. Said noise is easily heard on any of my receivers. Reducing the feedline loss in the way you propose will simply make the noise a little louder, but won't affect S/N in any real-world manner. To hear weaker signals on the bands described requires a better antenna, not a lower loss feedline.

73 de Jim, N2EY

4762. Annual 75M New Year's Eve Awards Freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 02:40:24 UTC
From: cornchip <monkeymens@hotmail.com>
Message-ID: <3e0fb235@snipnews.snip.net>
Source record: 59002
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

In year's past there used to be one or two
frequencies on 75 Meters which handed out
awards to various 75 (and 20) Meter operators
and frequencies which stood head-and-shoulders
above the rest. Awards were given for the
widest signal, worst audio, biggest RF suckup,
most likely to blow up another amplifier in 24 hours
ettc etc.... What freq is this held on?
Is this still held on New Year's eve as in Year's
past? What is the Frequency ?

4763. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 04:51:42 UTC
From: lbudney-google@nb.net
Message-ID: <417b917a.0212292051.2b9eb8a1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59003

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
> Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>>
>> School vouchers are little more than a scam. There's
>> only so many seats in a school.

What does the number of seats have to do with anything?

>> And the private schools are full anyway.

(1) How do you figure that? And (2) what about the schools that open in response to availability of vouchers?

>> Vouchers will just make it cheaper for the parents of
>> kids already there, or allow the private schools to raise
>> their rates.

Vouchers will have no discernable impact on "elite" private schools. A voucher for $3K or so still won't get your kids into Choate Rosemary Hall, which probably charges $15-$20K per year these days. Some schools proably would raise tuition, both to capitalize on available money and to inhibit enrollment from folks they don't want. So what? Parents who can afford Choate are not the targets of vouchers--it's the African American parents with children stuck in hopeless schools who will benefit.

Note also that rich parents getting a $3K voucher are getting only a minor refund of their tax dollars; they're more than paying for their own vouchers. Those African American parents I've mentioned, who pay litter or nothing in taxes, are getting a positive benefit which is coming from the pockets of those with higher incomes. (Indeed, some conservative types object to voucher proposals for exactly that reason.)

>> And that just drains off money from the public schools.
`
No, it won't: if the same number of students attend as last year, then the money will be the same. If half leave, the money will be halved--but so will the student body. Available dollars per student will be unchanged.

>> So low income parents get shafted as their kids get even crappier
>> schooling.

Crappier schooling than that already provided to inner city kids is pretty hard to imagine. Will teachers start administering electroshock therapy? Hanging truants from the yardarm? How exactly could it get

worse?

> Yup. I'd be all for vouchers if the private schools had to play by the same
> rules as the public - for example, having to take any kid that wants in,
> regardless of special needs.

Excellent plan. Let's stack the deck so that private schools will become as rotten as public schools, and then let kids go to any school they choose. Bwahahaha! (But not the schools that REALLY rich kids go to. Senators' sons still need a good education, if they're to inherit their papas' dynasties!)

You both seem not to get it. The purpose of vouchers isn't to drive people wholesale from public schools. You're neglecting to consider how terrifying a SMALL flight from public school will be; administrators will react to prevent more flight. So GOOD public schools will see negligible impact from vouchers, while a very tiny movement of students can have a dramatic impact on BAD schools.

What impact? It's hard to say! Maybe changes in class size. Maybe increased willingness to fire incompetent teachers. Maybe larger classes, with higher pay for fewer (stringently chosen) teachers. Maybe single-sex classrooms, or reduced tendency to put problem students into mainstream classes (which actually harms the problem students as much as it does their classmates). Maybe any number of things I can't think of.

In addition, there is great potential for entrepreneurship to lead to genuine innovation. Small schools formed purely to collect those vouchers are not a bad thing: if they don't get the job done, then parents will withdraw the kids and send them somewhere else. Who knows what classroom models will be followed in those schools? But that's a good thing, you see: experimentation leads to innovation, which leads to improvement. And the profit motive can lead to lower cost methods of achieving equal or better results. A motive which is lacking in administrators who can always give the screw one more turn on the taxpayers.

And the kids can hardly be harmed by such experimentation more than they are already harmed by consistent application of methods we know full well don't work.

--Len.

4764. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 07:08:57 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aupff41adl@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 59006

Jeesh Larry, I am a no-coder (with CSCE's waiting) and I do not think that same way as you are saying about him. As far as I am concerned, (with on exception) my opinions of people here are not swayed too much by what I see here, but actually "working them" on the air or a face-to-face contact is more where I start judging. I think that your statement below is too all-encompassing, and not true, unless you have personally met every single codeless tech. Then that kind of judgement would be more believeable.....

Happy New Years!
--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

> Brian, all the evidence presented in this newsgripe would suggest that
> you are, indeed, a jerk! One of the things that jerks do is make blind
> judgments about people! To claim that I'm a jerk on the air, without
> ever having had a QSO with me, in itself causes you to be suspected of
> being a jerk -- if not providing indisputable of same! Where do you get
> off making such gratuitously disparaging remarks? Oh, never mind --
> I remember now -- you're a no-coder. I should have expected this.
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>
>

4765. Re: eHam.net Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 07:14:30 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aupff52adl@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 59009

I know CW also..... but do you know morse code??? Morse code is a language
or coding scheme, where as CW is a method of transmission of such language
or coding system.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

>
> I can say that I now know CW... at least, I can copy/send very well at 7.5
> WPM. I'm sure there are some readers here who're chuckling under their
> breath about that. They are obviously too old and/or brain dead to
remember
> when they were beginners. No names or callsigns- you know who you are,
> trollers.
>
> Jackie
>
>

4766. Re: eHam.net Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 07:20:54 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aupff63adl@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 59012

I have some 70's/80's issues of QST that are headed for the dumpster soon, unless someone wants them. Postage/shipping paid by the recipient of these mags, but otherwise free....

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

> If you have a friend with a pile of old QSTs, or membership, the Product
> Reviews can be a big help.
>

4767. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 07:25:36 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aupff74adl@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 59013

My response to this thread since I posted the question anyways...

Looking to set up my vehicle eventually as a multiband mobile (as that is all I can do until I move from where I am living now.)

The dream setup would be two rigs..... one 160-10m mobile all-mode, and one 6m/220/2m/70cm/33cm/23cm all-mode mobile. But that is dreaming at this point.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

4768. Re: Ham Running For Office - Orange County, California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 07:32:03 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aupff85adl@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 59014

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h7rb50w.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Thu, 26 Dec 2002 04:15:32 -0500, KC8PMX wrote:
>
> >Anyone who votes democrat or republican is nuts.... It is
> >a no-win situation on either side of that political coin. Look at this
last
> >presidential election, neither partie's candidate was worth a damn,
except
> >for being a puppet on a string for their respective parties.
>
> "None of the above" was not an option.

There were other candidates other that the Big 2 parties...

>
> >"Vote Independant; Think For Yourself, Not What People Want You To
Think"
>
> The last "independents" in Presidemtial elections were Ross Perot
> and Ralph Nader, both of whom were scarier than the Demo-Rep
> candidates.

Maybe I should have cleared that one up a bit.... Independant meaning any
party other than the republican and democrat parties.

>
> The first and last independent that I voted for was John Anderson in
> 1980, because neither Carter nor Reagan were acceptable.
>
> None of the above were hams....
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

Maybe that would be the ultimate goal for the ARRL? After all, aren't these
the people (any elected officials that is) that we are going to look to for
defense, and support? Me thinks they would understand the hobby better if
they knew more about it if they were licensed also. I would almost join the
ARRL just to get a motion made to get that to happen.... :)

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

4769. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 07:45:52 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aupff96adl@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 59007
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

A K8 call? Which state?

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote in message
news:aulags$oe2$1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net...
> Because thats the way it was....hi. When I moved down to 4 land in the
mid
> 70s I was required to change my call. No problem BHH sucked on CW.
>
> Dan/W4NTI ex KX6AA (Kwajelien Atol) ex DA2LJ (West Germany) ex HS1BH
> (Thailand) and finally ex KN>K8BHH
>
>
> "K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
> news:g1nP9.436$134.70171@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> > "Walt Davidson" <walt99@tiscali.co.uk> wrote
> >
> > : We also have a KH2 who frequents this newsgroup and operates from
> Florida.
> >
> > He came by his call honestly, and is well known by that call. Why
should
> he
> > change it?
> >
> > 73, de Hans, ex-KG6AQI
> >
> >
> >
> >
> >
>
>

4770. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 07:46:39 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aupffa7adl@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 59008
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

How about N5HHH? (in morse that is!)

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

"Doug Smith" <me@invalid.invalid> wrote in message
news:3E0F07B6.B5EF6B38@invalid.invalid...
> Dan/W4NTI wrote:
> > Dan/W4NTI ex KX6AA (Kwajelien Atol) ex DA2LJ (West Germany) ex
HS1BH
> > (Thailand) and finally ex KN>K8BHH
>
> Yikes. I didn't think there was a worse CW call than WB9NME. You've
> proven me wrong<grin>!
> --
> Doug Smith W9WI
> Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
> http://www.w9wi.com
>

4771. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 07:48:44 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aupffb8adl@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 59010

No beds provided..... only three nails.

> Where would Jesus have stayed? - For Hampton Inn's Heavenly Rest suites.
>
> Dave K8MN

4772. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 07:53:06 UTC
From: KC8PMX <kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net>
Message-ID: <aupffd9adl@enews2.newsguy.com>
Source record: 59011
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Very simple in morse.... KC8***/call district. On voice modes, callsign and the two words "mobile" and the number of the call district you are in. Ex: KC8PMX/4 or KC8PMX mobile 4. Short, sweet and gets the point across.

--
Ryan, KC8PMX
FF/MFR
REMOVE "NOSPAM" in email address to reply.

"Symbolism is for the simple minded....."

>
> How do you handle the growing number of mobiles? People who have a
> vacation home in a different district? People who've just moved? What
> good does it do to force me to change from W9WI to KX4XX if tomorrow,
> K8ABC goes mobiling through the state on his way from Michigan to
> Florida?
> --
> Doug Smith W9WI
> Pleasant View (Nashville), TN EM66
> http://www.w9wi.com
>

4773. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 09:12:37 UTC
From: Sivac <sivac@sivac.com>
Message-ID: <3E100E05.5070605@sivac.com>
Source record: 59004
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Why on Earth you Americans always take for granted that all hams
worldwide have computer and internet right next to their radio?
I am sure that at any given time, of all amateurs currently opearting on
HF bands not more than 10% are connected to internet. And many many have
not internet access at all.

If I am in the contest and I hear station K2G CQing, if I am multiplier
station I'll just walk over him, just another USA - Zone 5, no thanks I
have it. And poor guy is on Guam, a new multiplier indeed. How would I
know ? Internet ? Give me a break.

The other point, I'm located in XE, K2G as I would assume being NY or NJ
state station would be some 30ï¿½ (NE) the real K2G is on Guam, some 100ï¿½
to the left of NY state.

Mike

Phil Kane wrote:
> On Sun, 29 Dec 2002 15:18:49 GMT, Mike Coslo wrote:
>
>
>> And even faster is a callsign directory that can be resident
>>on your computer. Granted, they have to be updated every so often, but
>>even operating PSK-31, I can look up the callsign during a persons CQ,
>>and have their name and QTH in my log before I answer them. I'm
>>surprised anyone finds a problem here.
>
>
> And that will give you the mailing address on file with the FCC as
> of the last time that the licensee submitted one. That still
> doesn't give the actual location of the "target" station at the
> time of the QSO.
>
> "Gotta' ask..."
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

4774. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 12:15:06 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021230071506.02538.00000542@mb-da.aol.com>
Source record: 59005

In article <417b917a.0212292051.2b9eb8a1@posting.google.com>,
lbudney-google@nb.net (Len Budney) writes:

>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>> Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:
>>>
>>> School vouchers are little more than a scam. There's
>>> only so many seats in a school.
>
>What does the number of seats have to do with anything?

Once a private school decides that it is "full", there is no requirement to
admit any more students, nor to expand. Public schools have to accept everyone.
>
>>> And the private schools are full anyway.
>
>(1) How do you figure that?

There is competition to get into most private schools.

>And (2) what about the schools that open
>in response to availability of vouchers?

Compare the amount of most proposed vouchers to the typical tuition of a decent
private school.

>>> Vouchers will just make it cheaper for the parents of
>>> kids already there, or allow the private schools to raise
>>> their rates.
>
>Vouchers will have no discernable impact on "elite" private schools.

Exactly! They're just a discount for those already attending.

>A
>voucher for $3K or so still won't get your kids into Choate Rosemary
>Hall, which probably charges $15-$20K per year these days.

Which means the poor won't be able to use those vouchers anyway.

>Some
>schools proably would raise tuition, both to capitalize on available
>money and to inhibit enrollment from folks they don't want. So what?

Vouchers are paid for with tax dollars, that's what.

>Parents who can afford Choate are not the targets of vouchers--it's
>the African American parents with children stuck in hopeless schools

>who will benefit.

And what schools will those kids go to? How many private schools exist for them, and how many of those schools charge the voucher amount?

>Note also that rich parents getting a $3K voucher are getting only a
>minor refund of their tax dollars; they're more than paying for their
>own vouchers. Those African American parents I've mentioned, who pay
>litter or nothing in taxes, are getting a positive benefit which is
>coming from the pockets of those with higher incomes. (Indeed, some
>conservative types object to voucher proposals for exactly that
>reason.)

The whole idea of public education is to guarantee a free, appropriate public education to all citizens, not just the rich. This is done because it's good for the society, not just the individuals.

>>> And that just drains off money from the public schools.
>`
>No, it won't: if the same number of students attend as last year, then
>the money will be the same. If half leave, the money will be
>halved--but so will the student body. Available dollars per student
>will be unchanged.

What if nobody leaves the public schools but all the kids now in private schools get vouchers? Where's all that voucher money supposed to come from?
>
>>> So low income parents get shafted as their kids get even crappier
>>> schooling.
>
>Crappier schooling than that already provided to inner city kids is
>pretty hard to imagine.

We're not just talking about the inner city.

>> Yup. I'd be all for vouchers if the private schools had to play by the same
>> rules as the public - for example, having to take any kid that wants in,
>> regardless of special needs.
>
>Excellent plan. Let's stack the deck so that private schools will
>become as rotten as public schools, and then let kids go to any school
>they choose.

Are you saying that kids with special needs make a school "rotten"? Sure looks like it!

The point is that if even one kid in a school has special needs (say, is in a wheelchair), the public school HAS TO acomodate the kid. The private school doesn't.

>Bwahahaha! (But not the schools that REALLY rich kids go
>to. Senators' sons still need a good education, if they're to inherit
>their papas' dynasties!)

>
>You both seem not to get it. The purpose of vouchers isn't to drive
>people wholesale from public schools. You're neglecting to consider
>how terrifying a SMALL flight from public school will be;
>administrators will react to prevent more flight. So GOOD public
>schools will see negligible impact from vouchers, while a very tiny
>movement of students can have a dramatic impact on BAD schools.

Nope.
>
>What impact? It's hard to say! Maybe changes in class size. Maybe
>increased willingness to fire incompetent teachers. Maybe larger
>classes, with higher pay for fewer (stringently chosen) teachers.
>Maybe single-sex classrooms, or reduced tendency to put problem
>students into mainstream classes (which actually harms the problem
>students as much as it does their classmates). Maybe any number of
>things I can't think of.

Maybe just less money to spend on necessities.
>
>In addition, there is great potential for entrepreneurship to lead to
>genuine innovation. Small schools formed purely to collect those
>vouchers are not a bad thing: if they don't get the job done, then
>parents will withdraw the kids and send them somewhere else.

If there IS a "somewhere else".

>Who knows
>what classroom models will be followed in those schools? But that's a
>good thing, you see: experimentation leads to innovation, which leads
>to improvement. And the profit motive can lead to lower cost methods
>of achieving equal or better results. A motive which is lacking in
>administrators who can always give the screw one more turn on the
>taxpayers.
>
Do you think the Edison experiment has worked?

>And the kids can hardly be harmed by such experimentation more than
>they are already harmed by consistent application of methods we know
>full well don't work.
>
Which methods?

73 de Jim, N2EY

4775. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 14:03:40 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E105288.5865DB78@earthlink.net>
Source record: 59015

W5TIT wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3E0F2C57.23F62B52@earthlink.net...

> > > Then, why don't you put up or shut up about my giving the movie
> "Lorenzo's
> > > Oil" a review? You accused me of reviewing the movie, Dave, and I have
> > > already once asked you provide proof. You haven't. You're, as usual, a
> > > mountebank.
> >
> > Anyone can look at what you wrote and what I wrote. I'm not interested
> > in the movie or Dash Riprock's introduction of the story. Are we sheep
> > being raped of our rights by our government, Kim.
> >
> > No, I'm not a mountain bike.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
> >
>
> Dave, I think anyone who reads these posts knows what an idiot you are.

It entertains me to know that is what you believe. It is evident that
you form many of your opinions based on what you feel. You have little
knowledge of ham radio or how it is regulated but you have endless
opinions.

Dave K8MN

4776. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 14:14:10 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E1054B6.A352C7CA@earthlink.net>
Source record: 59016

W5TIT wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3E0E8322.F478F4CE@earthlink.net...
> > W5TIT wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > My backside that you affectionately refer is hardly as ample as you've
> been
> > > led *LED* to believe, Dave.
> >
> > I have your description of your height/weight. I've seen the photo.
> > Unless you have some really strange, out of proportion bod, you're a
> > fibber.
> >
>
> What photo? If you mean the one that used to be on QRZ, how can you tell
> how big an ass is with only a picture of my turned to the passenger (my
> husband) when I was driving?

Yep, that photo. How can I tell? Proportionality, Kim.
Proportionality.
Don't forget that you've told us your height and weight.

> Somehow, though, it strikes me as ironic that if I thought like you, agreed
> with you, said things you like to hear, etc., that my looks wouldn't be an
> issue, Dave. After all, we'll never date, never marry, never have sex,
> never work together, etc.,

You may bank on all of the above. I certainly do.

> so why would you worry about the way I look?

Your looks do not worry me. They might worry you. After all, you
certainly removed that photo in a flash.

> Why *would* you say anything about someone's ass, Dave? And mention
> whapping in the same post? Now that I think about it, that was really
> weird--even for you.

Then you need to read my comment again.

Dave K8MN

4777. Re: Something for everyone...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 14:19:17 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E1055E9.C79FDC7F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 59017

W5TIT wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3E0E0AB4.9A5C472F@earthlink.net...
> > W5TIT wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > > news:3E0D4835.4188D29A@earthlink.net...
> > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > "Jim Hampton" <aa2qa@frontiernet.net> wrote in message
> > > news:<v0ppf951es82ab@corp.supernews.com>...
> > > > > > Maybe more adults need the supervision of children?
> > > > >
> > > > > Jim, thanks for trying to salvage a bad situation.
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave just needs a life.
> > > >
> > > > Dave isn't the one traipsing about in the woods showing the young lads
> > > > how to make radio by rubbing a couple of 1N34As together.
> > >
> > > Maybe if you *did* move about once in a while, Dave, you'd be a lot
> better
> > > off.
> > >
> > I've lived in six U.S. states and travled Europe and Africa for fifteen
> > years, all while you were laughing your ample a.o. In all that time
> > "rotf", you've still not managed to laugh your a.o.

>
> Oh, <giggle> I went back and looked some stuff up. You thought that I was
> talking about physical dimensions when I told you it would help if you moved
> about. Nope.

"Nope" is right...as in "Nope--that isn't what I thought".

> I don't care what you look like, Dave. What I was referring
> to is that, perhaps if you forced yourself to get out more, you'd begin to
> experience real life...not the one that's in the book, or demanded of you by
> protocol. Although, maybe you like predetermined things.

You, on the other hand, assume that one who has traveled the world
extensively can't have experienced life. It is as silly as many of your
other opinions.

Dave K8MN

4778. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 14:20:03 UTC
From: Lloyd <Lloyd@TruckStopDinerAndFuel.com>
Message-ID: <aupkm8evd0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 59018
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Jerry Oxendine" <joxendi@bellsouth.net> wrote in message

> We don't even CARE what you believe! The scenario that Jim Hampton and
> the accidentally activated ///// fairy tale FLUSHED//////

> Jerry

We don't even CARE if you post fairy tales! and here's our
response to your fairy tale:

HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA
HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA
HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA
HA HA HA AH HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA
HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA

see you on the high end of 20 meters Good Buddy!

73, (I'm on the high end of 20 meters every day)

4779. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 14:37:36 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212300637.57f835b9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59019
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Sivac <sivac@sivac.com> wrote in message news:<3E100E05.5070605@sivac.com>...
> Why on Earth you Americans always take for granted that all hams
> worldwide have computer and internet right next to their radio?

Mike, we don't. When operating any event where our location is a
multiplier, we sign portable. For example, on Guam, I would sign
"N0iMD portable KH2."

For everyday use from Ohio, I don't sign, "portable 8," and am guilty
as charged.

Brian

4780. Re: Annual 75M New Year's Eve Awards Freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 14:42:14 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212300642.23a335c9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59020
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

"cornchip" <monkeymens@hotmail.com> wrote in message news:<3e0fb235@snipnews.snip.net>...
> In year's past there used to be one or two
> frequencies on 75 Meters which handed out
> awards to various 75 (and 20) Meter operators
> and frequencies which stood head-and-shoulders
> above the rest. Awards were given for the
> widest signal, worst audio, biggest RF suckup,
> most likely to blow up another amplifier in 24 hours
> ettc etc.... What freq is this held on?
> Is this still held on New Year's eve as in Year's
> past? What is the Frequency ?

That doesn't sound very "Amatuer-Like." Why would you want to listen?

4781. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 14:49:03 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212300649.1f887982@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59021

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3E0F2A61.B6CC43BA@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0E0722.4FB3D306@earthlink.net>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> >
> > > > > > > > I've even
> > > > > > > > > particpated in past Jamborees On The Air from Sierra Leone and Botswana
> > > > > > > > > putting local Scouts in touch with U.S. and European Scouts.
> > > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Ditto JOTA from kh2, although the international date line was a bit of a
problem.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Signals stop there?
> > > > > >
> > > > > Scout activity is synchronized by local time, not international time.
> > > > > You should understand that.
> > > >
> > > Hams are "synchronized" to local time too. They simply use
> > > international time.
> > > You should understand that. I guess you need to use your leadership
> > > qualities to stress the importance of being flexible in order to achieve
> > > specific goals.
> >
> > Not at the expense of all other scouting camporee activities.
> >
> I understand if you and the young lads are too busy making lanyards.

No, not at all. We did find plenty of accomodating amateurs to
provide the boys with some interesting perspectives on their world. I
recall one who was on a cruise through the Islands and spoke to the
boys for about 45 minutes. He answered every crazy question teh boys
came up with. He was a good sport.

Only there were no other BSA stations on when we were on. That would
have been sweet.

> > > > It's just all the little things that trip you up, Dave. Don't try so
> > > > hard to get your commo wire wrapped around the axle next time.
> > > >
> > > I get it now. You write something foolish and it becomes my fault.
> >
> > No, Dave, you simply trip over the smallest things. Perhaps your boot
> > camp taught you to shuffle along. Someone elses fault.

>
> I think they call that problem you have "transference". You screw up
> and it somehow becomes my screw up. I'm not the guy setting out to make
> my diodes.
> I think Vipul has an edge on you.
>
> Dave K8MN

Yes, Dave, according to you everyone has an edge on me.

4782. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 14:53:29 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212300653.6b155565@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59022

"W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<DB0E1FE6CC5D655E.EB2DF39279A5BA94.966B854ADEF2A48D@lp.airnews.net>...

>
> My backside that you affectionately refer is hardly as ample as you've been
> led *LED* to believe, Dave.

Careful, Kim. He might accuse you of wanting to build LED's from scratch.

4783. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 15:02:47 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212300702.720ac905@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59023

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021229114821.08320.00000083@mb-md.aol.com>...
> In article <f45722ac.0212281617.296d1657@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >
> >"W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> >news:<6E6A22A921466842.2CEB5C89D59FA9B5.8B80C520EF08B639@lp.airnews.net>...
> >
> >> Brian, Brian, Brian. You know that Larry sets himself apart from the
> entire
> >> human organization when he makes such statements!!
> >>
> >> Kim W5TIT
> >
> >Yes, he certainly does.
>
> Kim:
>
> Which statement would that be? You didn't bother to quote anything,
> not that that mattered to Brian...
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

Larry, it doesn't matter. You have too much history on here for any
one of your statements above to be defended. That is the legacy that
you have built for yourself.

4784. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 15:19:06 UTC
From: Bill M <don'tspamme-exray@caribe.net>
Message-ID: <v10or51fl3epb6@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 59024
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Lloyd wrote:

>
> 73, (I'm on the high end of 20 meters every day)

Does that mean you finally upgraded from tech, Lloyd?

-Bill M

4785. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 15:21:35 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212300721.21c33581@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59025

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021229114820.08320.00000082@mb-md.aol.com>...
> In article <f45722ac.0212280740.27cf87bf@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >> >> >Basically, a jerk on RRAP = A jerk on the air, no matter how hard you
> >> >> >and Larry try to say it isn't so. No wonder you're such a big backer
> >> >> >of the 11.8 second QSO. At about 12 seconds people catch on that
> >> >> >you're a blow hard jerk, then they QSY. I really wish you could have
> >> >> >good amateur radio experiences like I've had, but the ball's in your
> >> >> >court. I hope you figure it out before SK time.
> >> >> >
> >> >> >73, Brian
> >> >>
> >> >> Brian:
> >> >>
> >> >> I'm sure it's entirely possible that you're not a jerk on the air!
> >> >
> >> >Yes, correct.
> >>
> >> Brian:
> >>
> >> I'm glad that at least YOU think so!
>
> Brian:
>
> On second thought, I've changed my mind. I *do* think it's not only
> possible, but entirely likely, that you're a jerk on the air -- you surely
> ARE one here on RRAP, and by your own standard, you'd have to be
> one on-the-air as well!

You guys sure hate it when I act like you.

> > One of the things that jerks do is make blind
> >> judgments about people!
> >
> >Do you mean "knuckle-dragging 4-landers with a Southern accent?
> >
> >Or perhaps you meant "welfare mothers of color with their hands out?"
>
> Those were not blind judgments. Those were enlightened, thoughtfully-
> considered judgments based on years of observation. And BTW -- my
> "judgments" of the 'welfare mothers of color,' are shared by two
> noted commentators by the names of Dr. Thomas Sowell and
> Dr. Walter Williams. Obtain one of their books, check out the photo

> on the book jacket, and tell me if you notice anything unique about
> them!

OK. Is Williams the one who stood in for Rusch during the Christmas
break?

> >You know I could go on and on. Its all in the archives.
>
> As are thoughtful apologies made for both of the above comments.
> Of course, that doesn't cut any ice with you, doesn't it?

Apologies for wordsw which you just defended above? Which spots are
you wearing today?

> >Doesn't Riley have a 4 call?
>
> Yup. K4ZDH.

Would you characterize Riley as a "knuckle-dragging 4-lander?" Why or
why not?

> >To claim that I'm a jerk on the air, without
> >> ever having had a QSO with me, in itself causes you to be suspected of
> >> being a jerk -- if not providing indisputable of same! Where do you get
> >> off making such gratuitously disparaging remarks? Oh, never mind --
> >> I remember now -- you're a no-coder. I should have expected this.
> >>
> >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
> >Ding ding ding.
> >
> >Now you're making blanket judgements about no-coders. Where does it
> >stop, Larry?
>
> Don't look now, Brian, but this is RRAP. "It" isn't ever going to stop!

It's will at least slow down when you stop.

> >And it was you who said that you were perfectly capable of being a
> >jerk on RRAP without it coming out in your QSO's on the air. But then
> >you cited several instances where no one would come back to your calls
> >and plea's, re: Larry's personal SET.
>
> No one responded to my "simulated emergency" calls on .52 simplex
> because there weren't any receivers tuned to that frequency!

Another blanket statement. How do you know that there weren't any
receivers tuned to that frequency?

BTW --
> please provide ANY documentary proof that what I did that day was in
> any way a violation of the FCC Part 97 Rules and Regulations, or in
> any way a violation of the concept of "good amateur practice." I will

> accept a ruling from K4ZDH, in spite of his 4-land call sign!
>
> Put up or shut up -- BOY!

You shut up. I've never had a problem with your self-conducted SET.

> >I wonder why that was? Maybe they have jerk detectors wired into
> >their emergency radios.
>
> I'm sure the one on my radio would ring whenever you broke squelch!
>
> 73 de Larry, K3LT

I'm sure the bell has been long out of service with all of your WAS
activity. It works as an input dectector as well.

4786. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 15:27:38 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212300727.778660a6@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59026

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3E0F26F9.D7EDA0DF@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0E806D.BC4FE12@earthlink.net>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0D4CA6.110326FE@earthlink.net>...
>
> > > > > If a rare DX station wants to rag chew, he'll rag chew. It is the rare
> > > > > DX station's ball game--always.
> > > >
> > > > I'm glad you've finally come around to my way of thinking. I knew you
> > > > would, eventually.
> > >
> > > If that is what you got from what I wrote, you still have a
> > > comprehension problem.
> >
> > You said, "If a rare DX station wants to rag chew, he'll rag chew. It
> > is the rare DX station's ball game--always."
>
> We know what I wrote. What is at issue is how it is in agreement with
> anything you've posted in the past.
>
> Dave K8MN

You said that as a DXer, that I wasn't allowed to ragchew. You were
wrong. Now you're making more sense with the above statement.

4787. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 15:33:08 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212300733.2cfc6652@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59027

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021229102142.27399.00000280@mb-bk.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?
> >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> >Date: 12/29/02 8:44 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <f45722ac.0212290644.5e64cfa7@posting.google.com>
>
> >> >What a sweeping statement. Are you saying that nearly all, or even
> >> >most, DX stations accept the ARRL DXCC as a worldwide standard? The
> >> >ARRL can't even get half of the US hams to join, let alone
> >> >participate. I'd wager that most DX hams have never submitted a thing
> >> >to the DXCC desk.
> >>
> >> As a matter of fact, they do. Whether it is in direct participation
> of
> >> DXCC or use of it's standards list of accpetable countries, it is.
> >
> >So you claim that a mojority of international amateurs have submitted
> >for DXCC?
>
> WHERE, in that ENTIRE QUOTE, did I say ANYTHING about "SUBMITTED" for DXCC,
> Brainiac?

Then how do you measure it? You've got to measure something for you
to say that a majority are for it.

> >> Why American Amateurs join or not join ARRL is irrelevent.
> >
> >Only to you. It is quite relavent to US amateur operations.
>
> It's not the LEAST bit relevent to "US amateur operations". I can operate
> 24/7 and not be the least bit concerned about who is and isn't a League member.

But they represent you to the FCC.

And if you didn't give them money, they couldn't be DXCC for the
world, as you claim.

> >>Then perhaps we can get YOU straightened out on what constitutes a
> valid
> >> DXCC contact.
> >
> >Don't characterize my Somalia amateur operating based upon DXCC
> >acceptance. You're making the same mistake Dave and Ed did.
>
> You cannot produce any documentation of authorization to operate there,

> and it's not accepted for DXCC credit. I think YOU characterized it all by
> yourself, Brian.

Yet I was authorized to operate there, by my commander, just as the
requirements on the ARRL web site state. If DXCC doesn't accept it,
so be it.

I wasn't there for DXCC or Duck Hunting.

4788. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 15:38:22 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212300738.3fa20859@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59029

"W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<06DBE5F91C3EAD6C.06F0C31AB7A7684A.A90E94AD24F3DF96@lp.airnews.net>...
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:f45722ac.0212272056.282eaddb@posting.google.com...
> >
> > You must still think that the ARRL owns DX. How ethnocentric of you.
> >
>
> Oh, goodness, Dave will be all upset. I am picking up on just one part of
> this post, Brian, so you'll have to forgive me my transgression.
>
> I remember when I thought about trying out some DX/QSL stuff when I first
> got started in ham radio. Then, I kept hearing about this "via the Bureau"
> stuff. Some won't do anything but the Bureau. It sounded like a lot more
> problem than it's worth.
>
> Kim W5TIT

Kim, actually, the Buro is the easy part. Trying to mail direct to
some foreign countries takes quite a few dollars in postage, and if
you sweeten it with a green stamp or two, the mail is subject to
pilferage.

Buro is the way to go. But some countries don't have a Buro, and if
they do, the amateur must be a member of the countries amateur radio
society. So in the USA, less than a third of amateurs could get cards
- if the ARRL ran it that way. France does it that way, I think.

Personally, I don't put anything in the log book that I didn't work,
and my log books are good enough for me.

4789. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 15:40:44 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212300740.5ffba5bd@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59030

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021228113151.01976.00000042@mb-mk.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?
> >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> >Date: 12/28/02 9:45 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <f45722ac.0212280745.207cbfbf@posting.google.com>
>
> >> The ball's in YOUR court, Brian. How many RRAP'er QSO's are in your
> log
> >> to back up your assertion as quoted above...???
> >>
> >> We're watching...
> >>
> >> 73
> >>
> >> Steve, K4YZ
> >
> >K8MN in various costume, and KH2D.
>
> OH MY!
>
> TWO RRAP'ers! Wow! And from THIS you make the absolutely ludicrous
> assertion about poor operators-vs-RRAP participation from THAT...?!?!?
>
> Just EXACTLY what did they do to you on the air to allow you to formulate
> such a scathing rebuke, Brian?
>
> Sheesh!
>
> Steve, K4YZ

I knew it was a trap.

Dave was a minuteman, and Jim and I rag chewed. Both were gentlemen.

4790. Re: eHam.net Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 15:43:35 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021230104335.01948.00000077@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 59031

>Subject: Re: eHam.net Survey
>From: "Kï¿½HB" kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG
>Date: 12/29/02 6:27 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <_pMP9.2211$9N5.312324@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

>
>Well I must one champion immoral sunuvabitch then, because I have never
>voted in a single eHam.net survey.

One does not "vote" in a survey, one "participates" in it, Hans. One
"votes" in an "election"

>Get a grip, Steve! Your pompous puffery and posturing is reaching unheard
>of intensity. Anderson taught you well.

Unlike Anderson, I am not petending to be something I am not.

Voter apathy IS one of the most devastating problems with maintaining a
tryly democratic society, Hans. Sorry you s[pent too much time in that radio
room while you were in the Navy to participate in Citizenship 101, Hans.

>With all kind wishes for a pleasant R&R,

With all kind wishes for your reassimilation to reality,

Steve, K4YZ

4791. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 15:52:26 UTC
From: jimwatt@talk21.com
Message-ID: <cecbbf66.0212300752.79534791@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59032
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Alun Palmer <elektros@yahoo.com> wrote in message
news:<Xns92F27C83A4B22elektrosmdonet@130.133.1.4>...

> Apologies to everyone in Gibraltar (ZB2) for missing it out. British
> Forces Cyprus is ZB4.

We are listening :)

Be aware that Gibraltar also uses

ZB0 (VHF Only)
ZB3 (Foundation licences)
ZG2 National day special ops

And for the 40th aniversary of amateur radio here
we went out with ZB40ANV

see: http://www.gibnet.com/gars

73

4792. Re: Kevin Mitnick gets his ham license back!

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 15:59:11 UTC
From: Henrik Persson <nix@socialism.nu>
Message-ID: <20021230165911.5f06b122.nix@socialism.nu>
Source record: 59241
Cross-posted to: alt.2600,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Fri, 27 Dec 2002 02:35:05 GMT
"Irreligious" <fire896@hotmail.com> wrote:

I>[...] and hasn't legally been able to touch a computer for what? about 10 years?

Nah.. More like eight years. And that doesn't change shit. The UNIX-systems are still pretty much the same by design.. But Mitnick wasn't so damn great.. As far as I know he didn't wrote any tools himself.. A little scriptkiddie pherhaps? :)

Anyhow.. The repression from the state in this case is horrible, as in most cases when both hackers and US.gov is involved.. And the US state talks about their freedom.. Pff.. ;)

--
Henrik Persson
e-mail: nix@socialism.nu WWW: http://nix.badanka.com
ICQ: 26019058 PGP/GPG: http://nix.badanka.com/pgp

4793. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 15:59:58 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021230105958.01948.00000078@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 59033

>Subject: Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?
>From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
>Date: 12/30/02 9:33 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <f45722ac.0212300733.2cfc6652@posting.google.com>

>Then how do you measure it? You've got to measure something for you
>to say that a majority are for it.

If you participated in "paper chasing" at all, Brian, you'd know that most awards have similar "general qualifications", most of which ae modelled on DXCC.

>> It's not the LEAST bit relevent to "US amateur operations". I can
>operate
>> 24/7 and not be the least bit concerned about who is and isn't a League
>member.
>
>But they represent you to the FCC.

And >>!<< represent me to the FCC also.

>And if you didn't give them money, they couldn't be DXCC for the
>world, as you claim.

Huh?

>Yet I was authorized to operate there, by my commander, just as the
>requirements on the ARRL web site state. If DXCC doesn't accept it,
>so be it.

The where's the authorization?

>I wasn't there for DXCC or Duck Hunting.

Glad you remembered that.

Steve, K4YZ

4794. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 16:10:11 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021230111011.01948.00000080@mb-mn.aol.com>
Source record: 59034

>Subject: Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?
>From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
>Date: 12/30/02 9:40 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <f45722ac.0212300740.5ffba5bd@posting.google.com>

>I knew it was a trap.

What trap? You succumbed to your own rant. If there was a trap, you set
it!

>Dave was a minuteman, and Jim and I rag chewed. Both were gentlemen.

See...!

73

Steve, K4YZ

4795. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 16:12:47 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E1070C6.6C62F648@earthlink.net>
Source record: 59036

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message

> > > No, Dave, you simply trip over the smallest things. Perhaps your boot
> > > camp taught you to shuffle along. Someone elses fault.
> >
> > I think they call that problem you have "transference". You screw up
> > and it somehow becomes my screw up. I'm not the guy setting out to make
> > my diodes.

> > I think Vipul has an edge on you.

> Yes, Dave, according to you everyone has an edge on me.

It isn't according to me since I have never stated that or anything like
it.

You are several steps up the ladder from Kim or Len for example. You
leave Bruce in the dust. You're heads and tails over any of the
anonymous trolls.
Keep working.

Dave K8MN

4796. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 16:13:56 UTC
From: kh2d@my-deja.com
Message-ID: <3e106b92.588721136@newsgroups.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 59035
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 30 Dec 2002 19:12:37 +1000, Sivac <sivac@sivac.com> wrote:

>And poor guy is on Guam........

The 'poor guy' using K2G for a contest in Guam deserves to be ignored.
If he's using K2G, he specifically asked for K2G. And if he asked for
K2G for a contest in Guam, he doesn't know much about contesting.

K2G is a 'special' call sign that should be used by the Girl Scouts in
New Jersey, not a contester in Guam. I doubt the intentions of the
three letter calls were to provide people with short calls for CQWW.

The call sign dilema currently being discussed is a result of the
Zero/Hero syndrome we have developed in amateur radio. Nobody
wants to be a zero. Everybody wants to be a hero. Thats why we
sign our email:

K0HAM, ex 9M2HAM, ex E21HAM, bla bla bla....... which in hamspeak
says:

I am now a Zero, but don't forget, I used to be a Hero........

The Zero/Hero system is a direct result of the fact that we aren't
interested in talking to other hams anymore, we are only interested in
obtaining post cards for DXCC clicks.

When I talk to you on my radio, I don't care WHO you are, I don't
care how interesting talking to you may be, I don't care if we have
anything in common, and I don't care to learn anything about you.
I only care if I need a post card from WHERE you are........

Anyway, if you intend to use K2G for a contest from Guam, don't
forget that appropriate phonetics would be Kilowatt Two Goomba.

Happy Holidays Walter.

73, Jim KH2D

4797. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 16:14:48 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E10714C.4DF31B39@earthlink.net>
Source record: 59037

Brian wrote:
>
> "W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<DB0E1FE6CC5D655E.EB2DF39279A5BA94.966B854ADEF2A48D@lp.airnews.net>...
>
> >
> > My backside that you affectionately refer is hardly as ample as you've been
> > led *LED* to believe, Dave.
>
> Careful, Kim. He might accuse you of wanting to build LED's from scratch.

Maybe I rated you higher than you are. Kim didn't make any statements
about homebrewing components. She doesn't need help from you in putting
her hoof in her yap.

Dave K8MN

4798. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 16:17:59 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E10720A.EC9B2A72@earthlink.net>
Source record: 59038

```
Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0F26F9.D7EDA0DF@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0E806D.BC4FE12@earthlink.net>...
> > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0D4CA6.110326FE@earthlink.net>...
> >
> > > > > > If a rare DX station wants to rag chew, he'll rag chew. It is the rare
> > > > > > DX station's ball game--always.
> > > > > >
> > > > > I'm glad you've finally come around to my way of thinking. I knew you
> > > > > would, eventually.
> > > > >
> > > > If that is what you got from what I wrote, you still have a
> > > > comprehension problem.
> > > >
> > > You said, "If a rare DX station wants to rag chew, he'll rag chew. It
> > > is the rare DX station's ball game--always."
> >
> > We know what I wrote. What is at issue is how it is in agreement with
> > anything you've posted in the past.

> You said that as a DXer, that I wasn't allowed to ragchew. You were
> wrong. Now you're making more sense with the above statement.

Go back to "Start". You did not comprehend what I wrote since I've
never made the statement you attribute to me. Keep working.

Dave K8MN
```

4799. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 16:23:30 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E107344.F26C8343@earthlink.net>
Source record: 59039

Brian wrote:
>
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021229102142.27399.00000280@mb-bk.aol.com>...
> > >Subject: Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?
> > >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> > >Date: 12/29/02 8:44 AM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <f45722ac.0212290644.5e64cfa7@posting.google.com>
> >
> > >> >What a sweeping statement. Are you saying that nearly all, or even
> > >> >most, DX stations accept the ARRL DXCC as a worldwide standard? The
> > >> >ARRL can't even get half of the US hams to join, let alone
> > >> >participate. I'd wager that most DX hams have never submitted a thing
> > >> >to the DXCC desk.
> > >>
> > >> As a matter of fact, they do. Whether it is in direct participation
> > of
> > >> DXCC or use of it's standards list of accpetable countries, it is.
> > >
> > >So you claim that a mojority of international amateurs have submitted
> > >for DXCC?
> >
> > WHERE, in that ENTIRE QUOTE, did I say ANYTHING about "SUBMITTED" for DXCC,
> > Brainiac?
>
> Then how do you measure it? You've got to measure something for you
> to say that a majority are for it.

Try plugging in "the overwhelming majority of DXers worldwide". That's
how you measure it.

> > >Don't characterize my Somalia amateur operating based upon DXCC
> > >acceptance. You're making the same mistake Dave and Ed did.
> >
> > You cannot produce any documentation of authorization to operate there,
> > and it's not accepted for DXCC credit. I think YOU characterized it all by
> > yourself, Brian.
>
> Yet I was authorized to operate there, by my commander, just as the
> requirements on the ARRL web site state. If DXCC doesn't accept it,
> so be it.

Maybe the DXCC desk will just take your word for it.

Dave K8MN

4800. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 16:34:33 UTC
From: "Dee D. Flint" <deehays@qix.net>
Message-ID: <tA_P9.10632$4j5.6730971@newssvr28.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 59028
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Sivac" <sivac@sivac.com> wrote in message
news:3E100E05.5070605@sivac.com...
> Why on Earth you Americans always take for granted that all hams
> worldwide have computer and internet right next to their radio?
> I am sure that at any given time, of all amateurs currently opearting on
> HF bands not more than 10% are connected to internet. And many many have
> not internet access at all.
>

The lack of sales of the printed callbooks support the premise that the majority of hams who care about QSLing and getting awards do have access to computers so can use either a CD ROM callbook or access the Internet. If they don't have one of their own, they spend time at the library or other publicly available facilities using the computers there. You are, of course, aware that the printed callbooks have been discontinued due to lack of sales. The callbooks are only available on CD ROM and even that looks to be phased out as so many people simply access the data online.

It's like anything else in life. At some point, it proves to be necessary to go with the flow like it or not.

Dee Flint, N8UZE

4801. Re: eHam.net Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 17:07:05 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <Z2%P9.4459$9N5.442786@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 59040

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

:
: >
: >Well I must one champion immoral sunuvabitch then, because I have never
: >voted in a single eHam.net survey.
:
: One does not "vote" in a survey, one "participates" in it, Hans. One
: "votes" in an "election"

...

: Voter apathy IS one of the most devastating problems with maintaining a
: tryly democratic society

"HELLO Steve.

This is Earth calling.

Please come in Steve. OVER OVER"

We were talking about the eHam.net Survey (note the subject line), not
"maintaining a tryly democratic society".

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

4802. Thanks GAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 18:45:28 UTC
From: K�HB <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <cv0Q9.4570$9N5.457866@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 59041
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

During the recent ARRL 160M contest the SWR on my several-year-old GAP antenna suddenly went screwy. Turned out I'd scorched the capacitive compensating module at the top of the antenna. GAP sent a replacement FREE (including shipping) in time for me to compete in the Stew Perry Topband Challenge.

Thanks, GAP.

��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

4803. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 19:26:19 UTC
From: oo7@astro.as.utexas.edu
Message-ID: <auq6krfc1@geraldo.cc.utexas.edu>
Source record: 59044
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

> HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA
>HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA
>HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA
>HA HA HA AH HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA
>HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA HA
>
>see you on the high end of 20 meters Good Buddy!
>
>73, (I'm on the high end of 20 meters every day)

You're certainly high on something.....

Derek aa5bt

4804. Re: eHam.net Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 19:31:03 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021230143103.22957.00000331@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 59042

>Subject: Re: eHam.net Survey
>From: "Kï¿½HB" kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG
>Date: 12/30/02 11:07 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <Z2%P9.4459$9N5.442786@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

>"HELLO Steve.
>This is Earth calling.
>Please come in Steve. OVER OVER"

"Hello Hans...Push the button before trying to talk, OVER"

>We were talking about the eHam.net Survey (note the subject line), not
>"maintaining a tryly democratic society".

Substitution of the "y" for a "u" noted.

Doesn't change a thing, however.

>With all kind wishes,

Cynicism or lying, Hans?

Steve

4805. Re: Thanks GAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 19:32:26 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021230143226.22957.00000332@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 59043

>Subject: Thanks GAP
>From: "Kï¿½HB" kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG
>Date: 12/30/02 12:45 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <cv0Q9.4570$9N5.457866@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>

>Thanks, GAP.

From a guy who can't find anything nice to say about anyone except his wife
and himself, this is quite an endorsement.

Steve, K4YZ

4806. Re: Thanks GAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 19:56:57 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <dy1Q9.4649$9N5.467692@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 59045

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote

:
: From a guy who can't find anything nice to say about anyone except his
wife
: and himself, this is quite an endorsement.
:

The last time I worked K4YZ he had a very nice signal, and he promptly
responded to a QSL request.

There, I said some nice things about Steve.

With all kind wishes,

de Hans, K0HB

4807. Re: Thanks GAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 22:05:16 UTC
From: Dan/W4NTI <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com>
Message-ID: <auqfudqlj1@slb9.atl.mindspring.net>
Source record: 59046
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

I have one of those GAPs....I assume your talking about the 45 foot GAP Voyager DX...?? I didn't really like the way it was working on 160. How does yours do Hans?

Dan/W4NTI

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message news:cv0Q9.4570$9N5.457866@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
> During the recent ARRL 160M contest the SWR on my several-year-old GAP
> antenna suddenly went screwy. Turned out I'd scorched the capacitive
> compensating module at the top of the antenna. GAP sent a replacement
FREE
> (including shipping) in time for me to compete in the Stew Perry Topband
> Challenge.
>
> Thanks, GAP.
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
> http://www.mnyarc.org
> http://www.k0bsa.org
>
>

4808. Re: Thanks GAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 22:38:06 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <iV3Q9.4919$9N5.489585@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 59047
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.amateur.antenna

"Dan/W4NTI" <w4nti@NOSPAMmindspring.com> wrote

: I have one of those GAPs....I assume your talking about the 45 foot GAP
: Voyager DX...?? I didn't really like the way it was working on 160. How
: does yours do Hans?

It's a reasonably good transmitting antenna given its footprint, but noisy
on receive (the curse of verticals!). To receive I use a horizontal loop
and during contests string some temporary beverages.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

4809. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 23:30:33 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <tG4Q9.5008$9N5.495935@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 59048
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"K&#65533;HB" <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote
: :
: : KR6 Okinawa (Ryukyu)
:
: Correct, but lacks detail.
:
:
: : KR8 -- Swan Is
:
: Incorrect.
:

No takers on "KR8xx" callsign back in the 60's?

73, de Hans, K0HB

4810. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 23:42:52 UTC
From: lbudney-google@nb.net
Message-ID: <417b917a.0212301542.6735c16b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59049

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
> lbudney-google@nb.net (Len Budney) writes:
>>
>> What does the number of seats have to do with anything?
>
> Once a private school decides that it is "full", there is no requirement to
> admit any more students, nor to expand.

So what?

>>>> And the private schools are full anyway.
>>
>> (1) How do you figure that?
>
> There is competition to get into most private schools.

So what? You seem to be laboring under the illusion that vouchers are intended to enable any student in the country to go to any school in the country. That's absurd, and it's also irrelevant to the actual intent of vouchers.

>> And (2) what about the schools that open
>> in response to availability of vouchers?
>
> Compare the amount of most proposed vouchers to the typical tuition of a
> decent private school.

Fairly glib answer. There are plenty of private schools with tuition in the <5K range, including most charter and Christian schools. And don't forget the parents who could cough up a grand or two, but not five. Finally, don't forget that a school catering to parents with vouchers will charge accordingly: 20 students times $3K is $60K per year--enough to pay a teacher $40K, cover expenses, and net $10K per class, even without larger class sizes or creative cost containment measures.

So you seem to be arguing that (1) vouchers won't enable anyone to afford any private school unles they could also afford it without vouchers, and (2) even if they could afford it, there's nowhere for them to go. Neither statement is self-evidently true--in fact both are wildly false. You are essentially attacking the straw man position that with vouchers, all kids would go to Deerfield, Stoneleigh-Burnham and Choate.

>> Vouchers will have no discernable impact on "elite"
>> private schools.

>
> Exactly! They're just a discount for those already attending.

Right--which is irrelevant to the voucher discussion.

>> A voucher for $3K or so still won't get your kids into
>> Choate Rosemary Hall, which probably charges $15-$20K
>> per year these days.
>
> Which means the poor won't be able to use those vouchers anyway.

AT CHOATE. So what?

>> Some schools proably would raise tuition, both to
>> capitalize on available money and to inhibit enrollment
>> from folks they don't want. So what?
>
> Vouchers are paid for with tax dollars, that's what.

So what? Those same rich parents are paying for their vouchers 100
times over in their disproportionate tax burden. The real
beneficiaries of the program are folks whose vouchers exceed their
total tax liability.

> The whole idea of public education is to guarantee a free, appropriate public
> education to all citizens, not just the rich. This is done because it's good
> for the society, not just the individuals.

And it fails miserably. We are churning out illiterates (and corpses)
at a frightening rate, and we're not even doing it cost-effectively.
Producing an illiterate graduate can be done for considerably less
than $30,000 per child. Interestingly, you are defending an expensive
monopoly which is an abject failure at its stated intent. If your goal
was to keep minorities down, you couldn't be approaching it more
effectively than you are.

> What if nobody leaves the public schools but all the kids now in private
> schools get vouchers? Where's all that voucher money supposed to come from?

You raise an interesting question here. This question is really an
admission that rich people are paying for others' education, while
deriving no benefit whatsoever from the tax dollars they pay into the
public school system. For example, I pay property tax to the school
district, but have no child in the public school--while apartment
dwellers, however rich or poor, get a free ride. It's an interesting
issue indeed that you raise, but I don't think we can easily address
the inequities in school funding in this thread.

>> Crappier schooling than that already provided to inner city kids is
>> pretty hard to imagine.
>
> We're not just talking about the inner city.

We aren't? I was.

> Are you saying that kids with special needs make a school "rotten"? Sure looks
> like it!

Oops...I wish I'd read this far before replying to any of your other
stuff. I didn't mean to waste my time on an idiot who likes throwing
ad homina around. Have a nice day.

--Len.

4811. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-30 23:43:20 UTC
From: AC6V <ac6v@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <sS4Q9.5283$L61.619232@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 59050
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

KR6 KR8, JR6, KA6 Okinawa (Ryukyu)

For Okinawa while under US jurisdiction, the FCC issued U.S. Nationals KR6 calls, and Japanese Nationals had KR8 calls.

May 15, 1972 -- reverting back to Japanese administration, U.S. Nationals have KA prefixes, while the Japanese Nationals got JR6 prefixes.

Swan Island was KS4 Swan Is
An interesting story about Swan Isle at URL:
http://www.qsl.net/sidxa/history.html

--
I Doubt, Therefore I Might Be
73 From AC6V, Rod In Oceanside, CA
http://ac6v.com
"K&#65533;HB" <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:tG4Q9.5008$9N5.495935@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
>
> "K&#65533;HB" <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote
> : :
> : : KR6 Okinawa (Ryukyu)
> :
> : Correct, but lacks detail.
> :
> :
> : : KR8 -- Swan Is
> :
> : Incorrect.
> :
>
> No takers on "KR8xx" callsign back in the 60's?
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB
>
>
>
>
>
>

4812. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 00:13:26 UTC
From: "Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org>
Message-ID: <auqn9d08of@enews1.newsguy.com>
Source record: 58901
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

One of the most exciting evenings I spent in a bar was in Hong Kong back in 1976 (or was it 77 ?). It was a topless place -- was featured in a James Bond movie.

Anyway, there was a crowd of South Africans, a bunch of Aussies, some Kiwis, and a few stodgy Brits. The fun began when one of the assholes -- excuse me, I meant to say "when one of the Brits" -- announced that they were all part of the same Commonwealth and it was time for a toast to the dear old Queen.

At which point one of the South Africans -- obviously a student of the Rev. Wm. Archibald Spooner -- stood up and toasted the "queer old dean" and announced that the Commonwealth could kiss his South African ass (I did not realize he had his donkey with him).

This led one of the gents from the former American colonies to ask an Aussie why they played football in shorts.

At that point the place erupted into one big family squabble that was finally decided by the appearance of the Hong Kong police.

God, those were good days . . . . then the Chinese commies took over.

--

Regards,
Joe S.

"Walt Davidson" <walt99@tiscali.co.uk> wrote in message
news:4b801vs94eodllp9tesd77e2s6bsqbeklt@4ax.com...
> On Sun, 29 Dec 2002 18:27:50 -0500, "Joe S." <joe@schlatter.org>
> wrote:
>
> >Now here's a really strange coincidence: The "chief of state" of the US
> >Virgin Islands is President George W. BUSH
>
> The Chief of State of both Australia and Canada is Her Majesty Queen
> Elizabeth II. However, I don't think you would find an Australian or
> a Canadian who would say their countries are "part of Great Britain".
>
> Your point is ....?
>
> 73 de G3NYY
>
> --

> Walt Davidson Email: g3nyy @freeuk.com

4813. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 01:36:32 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <Aw6Q9.5258$9N5.517106@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58902
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"AC6V" <ac6v@amsat.org> wrote in message
news:sS4Q9.5283$L61.619232@news1.west.cox.net...

: For Okinawa while under US jurisdiction, the FCC issued U.S. Nationals KR6
: calls, and Japanese Nationals had KR8 calls.

Give that man a cigar! "KR8" was the only exception to the "K$6" scheme of
Pacific possession/protectorate call signs.

We know that KG4xx was (and still is) the Navy-assigned prefix for amateurs
at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba. The Navy also used the KG1xx series of calls.
Where were "KG1xx" sailors stationed?

The KA#xx, like KA6AA, calls were assigned by US military to servicemen
stationed where? There license grant prohibited them from communications
with what country?

73, de Hans, K0HB

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

4814. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 02:28:27 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <A10F19BAF87B2F9E.6E339BACB3E7C788.A3EA45A280A0D7E9@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58906

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E105288.5865DB78@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3E0F2C57.23F62B52@earthlink.net...
>
> > > > > Then, why don't you put up or shut up about my giving the movie
> > "Lorenzo's
> > > > > Oil" a review? You accused me of reviewing the movie, Dave, and I
have
> > > > > already once asked you provide proof. You haven't. You're, as
usual, a
> > > > > mountebank.
> > > >
> > > > Anyone can look at what you wrote and what I wrote. I'm not
interested
> > > > in the movie or Dash Riprock's introduction of the story. Are we
sheep
> > > > being raped of our rights by our government, Kim.
> > > >
> > > > No, I'm not a mountain bike.
> > > >
> > > > Dave K8MN
> > > >
> > >
> > > Dave, I think anyone who reads these posts knows what an idiot you are.
> >
> > It entertains me to know that is what you believe. It is evident that
> > you form many of your opinions based on what you feel. You have little
> > knowledge of ham radio or how it is regulated but you have endless
> > opinions.
> >
> > Dave K8MN
> >

And that's all they are, Dave, opinions. You would take away someone's
ability to give opinions, would you?

Kim W5TIT

4815. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 02:36:41 UTC
From: Bill M <don'tspamme-exray@caribe.net>
Message-ID: <v120hleo6t59f@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 58903
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Kï¿½HB wrote:

> No takers on "KR8xx" callsign back in the 60's?
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

Gawd, I remember that but just can't remember !!! Seems like it was some ichi-gawa enclave related to Ryukus (sp).

Silly me, I still light up at KG1s hoping they are Greenland.

As for those zero calls like K0HB, wasn't the zero call area something stolen from the REAL 1-9 hams?

And as for this idiot 1x1 call that some goober requested for his USVI "DXoperation"...a guy that unattuned to DXing. You probably won't hear such a measly guy anyway and its not likely that you'll suffer for missing this contact.

-Bill
WX4A/KP4

4816. Re: Something for everyone...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 02:38:09 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <E6EE15A663390547.4C533E6967535B9B.72EF76F3AE622E30@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58907

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E1055E9.C79FDC7F@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT wrote:
> >
> >
> > Oh, <giggle> I went back and looked some stuff up. You thought that I
was
> > talking about physical dimensions when I told you it would help if you
moved
> > about. Nope.
>
> "Nope" is right...as in "Nope--that isn't what I thought".
>
> > I don't care what you look like, Dave. What I was referring
> > to is that, perhaps if you forced yourself to get out more, you'd begin
to
> > experience real life...not the one that's in the book, or demanded of
you by
> > protocol. Although, maybe you like predetermined things.
>
> You, on the other hand, assume that one who has traveled the world
> extensively can't have experienced life. It is as silly as many of your
> other opinions.
>
> Dave K8MN
>

Dave, world travel--in and of itself--does not mean that one has experienced life. I suspect your "world travel" as you call it was as much in a vacuum as it could possibly be; since I gather that much of your world travel was as an employee of the US Govt. Not sure what freedoms you allowed yourself to really get out and meet and greet the countries you were in. I know many military folks who would have loved to get to know the *real* people and places they were--rather than only an enemy and a war and their results.

You strike me, and always have, as a very arrogant elitist. You don't allow others much thought different from your own; feel compelled to call them into question; set their mistakes as examples while setting yourself apart from your own. You seem to be a very selfish individual with only an agenda of superiority over anyone whom you view to be "in your way." You constantly bring up things such as that *you* are a DXer and *you* have traveled the world, blah, blah, blah. That means nothing to many people, and why should it?

Truth lies somewhere in the middle of extremes, Dave, and you are definitely an extreme. Much of what I post to you is done so as much--if not more--to be as contrary as I can possibly be to you. Because it bugs you. And, because I suspect people like you need shocking once in a while.

Kim W5TIT

4817. Re: eHam.net Survey

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 02:42:46 UTC
From: vshah101@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021230214246.29747.00000286@mb-cv.aol.com>
Source record: 58904

>I have some 70's/80's issues of QST that are headed for the dumpster soon,
>unless someone wants them. Postage/shipping paid by the recipient of these
>mags, but otherwise free....

The current QSTs are junk, not worth the postage. I doubt the 70's/80's issues
are any better.

However, if you have any issues of "ham radio" magazine or "Communications
Quarterly" magazine, I would be interested.

4818. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 02:44:10 UTC
From: n2ey@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021230214410.15917.00000390@mb-cs.aol.com>
Source record: 58905

In article <417b917a.0212301542.6735c16b@posting.google.com>,
lbudney-google@nb.net (Len Budney) writes:

>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>> lbudney-google@nb.net (Len Budney) writes:
>>>
>>> What does the number of seats have to do with anything?
>>
>> Once a private school decides that it is "full", there is no requirement to
>> admit any more students, nor to expand.
>
>So what?

So vouchers are no good if there's no place to use them.
>
>>>>> And the private schools are full anyway.
>>>
>>> (1) How do you figure that?
>>
>> There is competition to get into most private schools.
>
>So what? You seem to be laboring under the illusion that vouchers are
>intended to enable any student in the country to go to any school in
>the country. That's absurd, and it's also irrelevant to the actual
>intent of vouchers.

Then what IS the intent of vouchers? To allow some students to have a
tax-funded choice of public or private schools?
>
>>> And (2) what about the schools that open
>>> in response to availability of vouchers?
>>
>> Compare the amount of most proposed vouchers to the typical tuition of a
>> decent private school.
>
>Fairly glib answer.

It's a straight answer.

>There are plenty of private schools with tuition
>in the <5K range, including most charter and Christian schools. And
>don't forget the parents who could cough up a grand or two, but not
>five. Finally, don't forget that a school catering to parents with
>vouchers will charge accordingly: 20 students times $3K is $60K per
>year--enough to pay a teacher $40K, cover expenses, and net $10K per
>class, even without larger class sizes or creative cost containment

>measures.

That assumes you can get a decent teacher to work for $40K including all
benefits. And that you can cover ALL expenses for $10K per classroom.
>
>So you seem to be arguing that (1) vouchers won't enable anyone to
>afford any private school unles they could also afford it without
>vouchers,

Nope.

I'm arguing that vouchers won't create a viable alternative to public schools
where such alternatives are most needed.

> and (2) even if they could afford it, there's nowhere for
>them to go.

Isn't that true in many cases?

>Neither statement is self-evidently true--in fact both are
>wildly false.

How? Are there quality private schools all over the country just waiting for
voucher students?

>You are essentially attacking the straw man position
>that with vouchers, all kids would go to Deerfield, Stoneleigh-Burnham
>and Choate.
>
Nope. I don't know any of those schools.

>>> Vouchers will have no discernable impact on "elite"
>>> private schools.
>>
>> Exactly! They're just a discount for those already attending.
>
>Right--which is irrelevant to the voucher discussion.
>
>>> A voucher for $3K or so still won't get your kids into
>>> Choate Rosemary Hall, which probably charges $15-$20K
>>> per year these days.
>>
>> Which means the poor won't be able to use those vouchers anyway.
>
>AT CHOATE. So what?

So you seem awfully fixated on some school called Choate.
>
>>> Some schools proably would raise tuition, both to
>>> capitalize on available money and to inhibit enrollment
>>> from folks they don't want. So what?
>>
>> Vouchers are paid for with tax dollars, that's what.

>
>So what?

So the taxpayers - all of them - should have a say, don't you think?

>Those same rich parents are paying for their vouchers 100
>times over in their disproportionate tax burden.

How? Quote us some figures to show how rich folks are paying "100 times over".

>The real
>beneficiaries of the program are folks whose vouchers exceed their
>total tax liability.
>
If and onbly if they can actually use the vouchers.

>> The whole idea of public education is to guarantee a free, appropriate
>> public
>> education to all citizens, not just the rich. This is done because it's
>> good
>> for the society, not just the individuals.
>
>And it fails miserably.

I disagree. While some public schools are awful, most are not.

And the fact remains that private schools can pick and choose, while public
schools cannot.

Tell ya what - give any public school system the right to expel or not admit
students at their sole discretion, and watch the quality of those public
schools soar. They'll cherry-pick the good students just like the private
schools do.

>We are churning out illiterates (and corpses)
>at a frightening rate, and we're not even doing it cost-effectively.

Who is "we"? The school district I live in churns out very well educated
students from its public schools. No illiterates that I know of, and no
corpses. We take pride in our schools - all of them.

>Producing an illiterate graduate can be done for considerably less
>than $30,000 per child.

We spend a lot less per year to produce very literate ones.

>Interestingly, you are defending an expensive
>monopoly which is an abject failure at its stated intent.

No, I'm showing the inherent unfairness of comparing public and private schools
as if they were playing on a level field. They aren't.

> If your goal
>was to keep minorities down, you couldn't be approaching it more
>effectively than you are.

Let's cut to the chase: Do you support the idea that every child deserves a
free, appropriate public education, regardless of economic status, minority
status, or disability, or not?
>
>> What if nobody leaves the public schools but all the kids now in private
>> schools get vouchers? Where's all that voucher money supposed to come
>>from?
>
>You raise an interesting question here.

Then answer it.

>This question is really an
>admission that rich people are paying for others' education, while
>deriving no benefit whatsoever from the tax dollars they pay into the
>public school system.

Untrue.

> For example, I pay property tax to the school
>district, but have no child in the public school--while apartment
>dwellers, however rich or poor, get a free ride.

No, they do not. Apartment dwellers pay property tax indirectly. The landlord
pays the property tax, which money is derived from apartment dwellers' rents.
The apartment dwellers don't get an income tax deduction for the property tax,
but homeowners do.

>It's an interesting
>issue indeed that you raise, but I don't think we can easily address
>the inequities in school funding in this thread.

School funding is the entire issue. With enough funding, every child could be
given a full-costs voucher for whatever school they wanted to attend - public,
private, religious, or whatever.
>
>>> Crappier schooling than that already provided to inner city kids is
>>> pretty hard to imagine.
>>
>> We're not just talking about the inner city.
>
>We aren't? I was.

I wasn't.
>
>> Are you saying that kids with special needs make a school "rotten"? Sure
>> looks like it!
>
>Oops...I wish I'd read this far before replying to any of your other
>stuff. I didn't mean to waste my time on an idiot who likes throwing
>ad homina around. Have a nice day.

You're avoiding a question that is central to the whole problem. Who deals with
the special-needs kids? The private schools can ignore them, while the public

schools can't.

I'm not throwing ad homina at anyone - just pointing out what your quote looked like. Shall I dig up the exact paragraph from Google?

73 de Jim, N2EY

4819. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 02:46:56 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <5A99169F1027259A.06D3D4A7BA1DD85B.F1E1445E71943216@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58909

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E1054B6.A352C7CA@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT wrote:
> >
> >
> > What photo? If you mean the one that used to be on QRZ, how can you
tell
> > how big an ass is with only a picture of my turned to the passenger (my
> > husband) when I was driving?
>
> Yep, that photo. How can I tell? Proportionality, Kim.
> Proportionality.
> Don't forget that you've told us your height and weight.
>

Then you let your imagination run wild with you, Dave. When that photo was taken, I was around 155-160 lbs., still the same height, and--as ever--beautiful. I have gained weight since then. I eat a lot more, and don't play as hard as I used to. I now weigh 220 lbs., down from 235 lbs., and hope to lose even more. What do you look like, Dave, and should it matter to me? Would it make a bit of difference to me about your posts and how I think of them? I suspect that, between the two of us, you are the one stuck on how someone looks.

> >
> > Somehow, though, it strikes me as ironic that if I thought like you,
agreed
> > with you, said things you like to hear, etc., that my looks wouldn't be
an
> > issue, Dave. After all, we'll never date, never marry, never have sex,
> > never work together, etc.,
>
> You may bank on all of the above. I certainly do.
>
> > so why would you worry about the way I look?
>
> Your looks do not worry me. They might worry you. After all, you
> certainly removed that photo in a flash.
>

I removed the photo because there are enough crazies on this newsgroup that knowing what I look like concerns me, Dave. Is that OK with you? I am a very opinionated person, and saw people threatening each others' jobs and personal safety back then. Is it quite all right with you that I have it off, Dave?

> >
> > Why *would* you say anything about someone's ass, Dave? And mention
> > whapping in the same post? Now that I think about it, that was really
> > weird--even for you.
>
> Then you need to read my comment again.
>
> Dave K8MN
>

I don't think rereading a comment from you would explain anything about why looks matter and why you would comment on them. But, have fun thinking my ass anyway.

Kim W5TIT

4820. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 02:48:05 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <3CF348899D40C461.F9B5429FEB90C45E.4E5B0072F30658C2@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58908

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0212300653.6b155565@posting.google.com...
> "W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<DB0E1FE6CC5D655E.EB2DF39279A5BA94.966B854ADEF2A48D@lp.airnews.net>...
>
> >
> > My backside that you affectionately refer is hardly as ample as you've
been
> > led *LED* to believe, Dave.
>
> Careful, Kim. He might accuse you of wanting to build LED's from scratch.
>

Scratching my ass???? ;)

Kim W5TIT

4821. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 02:50:53 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <15DFB3A4FFA2AAC3.ACB7D88A87F6FCBE.5156D1F68B01975C@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58910

"Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
news:f45722ac.0212300738.3fa20859@posting.google.com...
> "W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<06DBE5F91C3EAD6C.06F0C31AB7A7684A.A90E94AD24F3DF96@lp.airnews.net>...
> > "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> > news:f45722ac.0212272056.282eaddb@posting.google.com...
> > >
> > > You must still think that the ARRL owns DX. How ethnocentric of you.
> > >
> >
> > Oh, goodness, Dave will be all upset. I am picking up on just one part
of
> > this post, Brian, so you'll have to forgive me my transgression.
> >
> > I remember when I thought about trying out some DX/QSL stuff when I
first
> > got started in ham radio. Then, I kept hearing about this "via the
Bureau"
> > stuff. Some won't do anything but the Bureau. It sounded like a lot
more
> > problem than it's worth.
> >
> > Kim W5TIT
>
> Kim, actually, the Buro is the easy part. Trying to mail direct to
> some foreign countries takes quite a few dollars in postage, and if
> you sweeten it with a green stamp or two, the mail is subject to
> pilferage.
>
> Buro is the way to go. But some countries don't have a Buro, and if
> they do, the amateur must be a member of the countries amateur radio
> society. So in the USA, less than a third of amateurs could get cards
> - if the ARRL ran it that way. France does it that way, I think.
>
> Personally, I don't put anything in the log book that I didn't work,
> and my log books are good enough for me.
>

Yeah, that's what I think, too. I used to log every contact. All I needed
was to open that book and run down the list and be quite happy.

Kim W5TIT

4822. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 03:10:20 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E110AD1.7252A50A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58911
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:
>
> "Kï¿½HB" <kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote
> : :
> : : KR6 Okinawa (Ryukyu)
> :
> : Correct, but lacks detail.
> :
> :
> : : KR8 -- Swan Is
> :
> : Incorrect.
> :
>
> No takers on "KR8xx" callsign back in the 60's?
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

You ignored my last on the WB6XX. I'm going to say that both KR6 and KR8 were Ryukyu (Okinawa) but that the KR8 callsign indicated that the holder was a Japanese citizen of the islands while the KR6's were the Americans. How'd I do?

Dave K8MN

4823. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 03:14:34 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E110BE0.23F41C85@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58912
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Kï¿½HB" wrote:

>
> We know that KG4xx was (and still is) the Navy-assigned prefix for amateurs
> at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba. The Navy also used the KG1xx series of calls.
> Where were "KG1xx" sailors stationed?

Too easy, Hans. U.S. personnel on Greenland.

> The KA#xx, like KA6AA, calls were assigned by US military to servicemen
> stationed where? There license grant prohibited them from communications
> with what country?

Those were U.S. personnel in Japan. They were likely not to communicate
with....Japan.

Dave K8MN

4824. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 03:17:18 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E110C83.57417E29@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58913

W5TIT wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
>
> > > Dave, I think anyone who reads these posts knows what an idiot you are.
> >
> > It entertains me to know that is what you believe. It is evident that
> > you form many of your opinions based on what you feel. You have little
> > knowledge of ham radio or how it is regulated but you have endless
> > opinions.

>
> And that's all they are, Dave, opinions. You would take away someone's
> ability to give opinions, would you?

Kim, I think you should post every opinion, every feeling, every
emotion. It then becomes apparent just who and what we are dealing
with.

Dave K8MN

4825. Re: What country? KG1 and KA#xx

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 03:24:07 UTC
From: AC6V <ac6v@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <r58Q9.5569$L61.712089@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 58914
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

From old callbooks, Logbooks, old DX buddies:
For KG1 -- KG1 US Forces in Greenland

For KA#xx KA# - US Forces in Japan Now JA (Foreigners now 7J)
KA1 was Phillipines
KA2,3,4,5, and 8 for Honshu.
KA6 for Shikoku
KA7 for island of Kyusho
KA9 for Hokkaido
KA6 Ryukyu Islands, Okinawa
KA0 Iwo Jima. Now JD1
I believe that Japanese law forbade JA's to QSO KA's and vice versa

How about NY4 -- issued way back before US hams routinely got N calls
--
I Doubt, Therefore I Might Be
73 From AC6V, Rod In Oceanside, CA
http://ac6v.com

"K�HB" <k�hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:Aw6Q9.5258$9N5.517106@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net...
>
>
> "AC6V" <ac6v@amsat.org> wrote in message
> news:sS4Q9.5283$L61.619232@news1.west.cox.net...
>
> : For Okinawa while under US jurisdiction, the FCC issued U.S. Nationals
KR6
> : calls, and Japanese Nationals had KR8 calls.
>
> Give that man a cigar! "KR8" was the only exception to the "K$6" scheme
of
> Pacific possession/protectorate call signs.
>
> We know that KG4xx was (and still is) the Navy-assigned prefix for
amateurs
> at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba. The Navy also used the KG1xx series of calls.
> Where were "KG1xx" sailors stationed?
>
> The KA#xx, like KA6AA, calls were assigned by US military to servicemen
> stationed where? There license grant prohibited them from communications
> with what country?
>
> 73, de Hans, K0HB

>
>
>
>
>
>
> ��� 73, de Hans, K0HB
> --
> Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
> http://www.mnyarc.org
> http://www.k0bsa.org
>
>

4826. Re: Thanks GAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 03:27:50 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021230222750.04603.00000667@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 58916

In article <cv0Q9.4570$9N5.457866@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>, "Kï¿½HB" <kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> writes:

>During the recent ARRL 160M contest the SWR on my several-year-old GAP
>antenna suddenly went screwy. Turned out I'd scorched the capacitive
>compensating module at the top of the antenna. GAP sent a replacement FREE
>(including shipping) in time for me to compete in the Stew Perry Topband
>Challenge.
>
>Thanks, GAP.
>
>ï¿½ï¿½ï¿½ 73, de Hans, K0HB

Hansl:

Hmmm. Maybe they'll replace those jeans that fell apart after I washed them!

73 de Larry, K3LT

4827. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 03:27:50 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021230222750.04603.00000668@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 58918

In article <aupff41adl@enews2.newsguy.com>, "KC8PMX"
<kc8pmx@NOSPAMdiamondcs.net> writes:

>Jeesh Larry, I am a no-coder (with CSCE's waiting) and I do not think that
>same way as you are saying about him. As far as I am concerned, (with on
>exception) my opinions of people here are not swayed too much by what I see
>here, but actually "working them" on the air or a face-to-face contact is
>more where I start judging. I think that your statement below is too
>all-encompassing, and not true, unless you have personally met every single
>codeless tech. Then that kind of judgement would be more believeable.....
>
>Happy New Years!
>--
>Ryan, KC8PMX

Ryan:

A "no-coder" is a very specific thing. It is a person who doesn't simply
dislike and not use the Morse code and has a personal opinion against
code testing. A "no-coder" for the purposes of this newsgroup is someone
who considers the Morse code and the CW mode, and those who support
same, to be patently evil. Is this what you think? If not, then the post in
question didn't apply to you. In fact, the total number of "no-coders" that
it would apply to would be a very small number of the total ham
population. However, since they are also a highly vocal minority, they
take the heat they deserve in this newsgroup and elsewhere.

73 de Larry, K3LT

4828. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 03:27:52 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021230222752.04603.00000669@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 58915

In article <f45722ac.0212300721.21c33581@posting.google.com>,
brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:

>> >Or perhaps you meant "welfare mothers of color with their hands out?"
>>
>> Those were not blind judgments. Those were enlightened, thoughtfully-
>> considered judgments based on years of observation. And BTW -- my
>> "judgments" of the 'welfare mothers of color,' are shared by two
>> noted commentators by the names of Dr. Thomas Sowell and
>> Dr. Walter Williams. Obtain one of their books, check out the photo
>> on the book jacket, and tell me if you notice anything unique about
>> them!
>
>OK. Is Williams the one who stood in for Rusch during the Christmas
>break?

Brian:

He filled in for Rush Limbaugh, if that's who you mean.

>> >You know I could go on and on. Its all in the archives.
>>
>> As are thoughtful apologies made for both of the above comments.
>> Of course, that doesn't cut any ice with you, doesn't it?
>
>Apologies for wordsw which you just defended above? Which spots are
>you wearing today?

Hmmm, good point. Perhaps I shouldn't have apoligized! We've all seen
the problems Trent Lott caused by too many apologies. However, I don't
agree with his racist policies, and I have a conscience about saying things
that hurt people, so therefore I apologized.

>> >Doesn't Riley have a 4 call?
>>
>> Yup. K4ZDH.
>
>Would you characterize Riley as a "knuckle-dragging 4-lander?" Why or
>why not?

Because he's a 4-lander who's knuckles aren't dragging! Remember, I've
made the same accusation of 8-landers as well!

[SNIPPAGE]

>> >Ding ding ding.
>> >

>> >Now you're making blanket judgements about no-coders. Where does it
>> >stop, Larry?
>>
>> Don't look now, Brian, but this is RRAP. "It" isn't ever going to stop!
>
>It's will at least slow down when you stop.

Well, unless they dis-invent this thing, I'm not planning to stop!

>> >And it was you who said that you were perfectly capable of being a
>> >jerk on RRAP without it coming out in your QSO's on the air. But then
>> >you cited several instances where no one would come back to your calls
>> >and plea's, re: Larry's personal SET.
>>
>> No one responded to my "simulated emergency" calls on .52 simplex
>> because there weren't any receivers tuned to that frequency!
>
>Another blanket statement. How do you know that there weren't any
>receivers tuned to that frequency?

Because, in subsequent trips through the same areas, I've made
calls on .52 simplex which WERE answered. However, this was at
times of the day when people were commuting or already home from
work, etc.

>BTW --
>> please provide ANY documentary proof that what I did that day was in
>> any way a violation of the FCC Part 97 Rules and Regulations, or in
>> any way a violation of the concept of "good amateur practice." I will
>> accept a ruling from K4ZDH, in spite of his 4-land call sign!
>>
>> Put up or shut up -- BOY!
>
>You shut up. I've never had a problem with your self-conducted SET.

Really? Your whining about it sure had ME confused!

>> >I wonder why that was? Maybe they have jerk detectors wired into
>> >their emergency radios.
>>
>> I'm sure the one on my radio would ring whenever you broke squelch!
>>
>> 73 de Larry, K3LT
>
>I'm sure the bell has been long out of service with all of your WAS
>activity. It works as an input dectector as well.

My bell is working just fine -- I replace it every coupla years. I need to
be notified whenever a signal from you or Kim reaches my receiver.

73 de Larry, K3LT

4829. Re: Equipment Purchases for the year 2003?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 03:27:52 UTC
From: yodoc@aol.comnospam
Message-ID: <20021230222752.04603.00000670@mb-fe.aol.com>
Source record: 58917

In article <20021229205527.15952.00000426@mb-mj.aol.com>, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY)
writes:

>>My primary antenna is a "dual band" 20/10 meter parallel dipole built from
>>approximately 35 cents worth of scrap wire purchased at a radio club
>>auction almost ten years ago. I've used it to make thousands of CW and
>>digital QSO's. I can't honestly say that counts as "doing better" than
>>you've done with your trap dipole, but there you have it.
>
>No tuner nor open line, huh?

Jim:

Tuner, yes -- an MFJ 949E. The antenna will already work OK on 20 or 10 as
it is, but I use the tuner just to take it down to a dead-flat match or to get
it to
serve as a semi-radiating dummy-load on 40-meters.

[SNIPPAGE]

>>I think the Times Microwave web site has a loss calculator, if I remember
>>correctly.
>
>That's not what I asked.
>
>>I don't remember the URL, but I'm sure a search on Times
>>Microwave LMR coaxial cable would dig it up for you. Yes, the LMR 240
>>will weigh significantly more than RG-8X.
>
>240 weighs more. 400 weighs a lot more.

Don't look now, but I think I already said that!

>>However, the lower loss may make
>>a difference, particularly on receive when trying to pull in a QRP station.
>
>Just the opposite.
>
>The difference is less than 1 dB on the bands in question. Not enough to make
>"a big improvement" in radiated strength.
>
>On receive, the limiting factor here when receiving weak signals is
>atmospheric
>noise. Said noise is easily heard on any of my receivers. Reducing the
>feedline
>loss in the way you propose will simply make the noise a little louder, but

>won't affect S/N in any real-world manner. To hear weaker signals on the
>bands
>described requires a better antenna, not a lower loss feedline.

Hmmm. Hadn't thought about from the noise angle. OK, Jim -- you win!
Shall I send the Cupie doll to your callbook address?

BTW -- I've thought of trying some 450-ohm ladder line to feed my dipole, but the total length would be around 15 feet. Is this good or bad? Am I going to have a "radiating" feedline? Currently the antenna is fed by a scrap piece of RG-58 about 12 feet long. I also have heavy-duty 300-ohm twinlead -- would that be just as good? I'm also thinking about creating a new dipole which would be a half-wave on 40 meters, but it would have to be folded quite drastically. What are the issues here?

73 de Larry, K3LT

4830. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 03:36:56 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <8142C9D950E2A8D8.65338F1A1E8F8FDD.248C21977480B4BF@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58923

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E110C83.57417E29@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> >
> > > > Dave, I think anyone who reads these posts knows what an idiot you
are.
> > >
> > > It entertains me to know that is what you believe. It is evident that
> > > you form many of your opinions based on what you feel. You have
little
> > > knowledge of ham radio or how it is regulated but you have endless
> > > opinions.
>
> >
> > And that's all they are, Dave, opinions. You would take away someone's
> > ability to give opinions, would you?
>
> Kim, I think you should post every opinion, every feeling, every
> emotion. It then becomes apparent just who and what we are dealing
> with.
>
> Dave K8MN
>

You're as un-smooth as Larry at trying to get out of something stupid you
implied, Dave. But, hey, you're the know-all, see-all, done-all, worldly
kind of person. We peons should feel graced by your willingness to
participate in this venue...since you probably have other much more
important things to be doing with your time. *cough*

Kim W5TIT

4831. Re: Ham Running For Office - Orange County, California

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 03:43:10 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7ycoa6.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58919

On Mon, 30 Dec 2002 02:32:03 -0500, KC8PMX wrote:

>> "None of the above" was not an option.
>
>There were other candidates other that the Big 2 parties...

They were part of the "none of the above" too...

In this past November election, Oregonians soundly defeated an initiative proposal that would have provided a "none of the above" choice in judicial elections. Most of us saw that it and its proponents and supporters were pretty stupid.

>Maybe that would be the ultimate goal for the ARRL? After all,
>aren't these the people (any elected officials that is) that we are
>going to look to for defense, and support? Me thinks they would
>understand the hobby better if they knew more about it if they were
>licensed also. I would almost join the ARRL just to get a motion made
>to get that to happen.

One of the five Oregon congressional representatives is a ham. Other than his support for the CC&R preemption bill, I don't respect his political stands on other vital issues. Fortunately I'm not in his district and I do respect and agree with most of the positions that my district congressrep takes.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

From a Clearing in the Silicon Forest
Beaverton (Washington County) Oregon

4832. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 03:43:10 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7ycze7.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58920
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 30 Dec 2002 02:46:39 -0500, KC8PMX wrote:

>How about N5HHH? (in morse that is!)

You can do better th that...how about WB5HHH ??

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4833. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 03:43:10 UTC
From: Phil Kane <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop>
Message-ID: <cuvyxnansvbet.h7yd308.pminews@netnews.attbi.com>
Source record: 58921
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

On Mon, 30 Dec 2002 02:53:06 -0500, KC8PMX wrote:

>Very simple in morse.... KC8***/call district. On voice modes, callsign and
>the two words "mobile" and the number of the call district you are in. Ex:
>KC8PMX/4 or KC8PMX mobile 4. Short, sweet and gets the point across.

Except for California, it doesn't tell "others" what state you're in.

---
73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

4834. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 03:43:31 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <9E722AD19AA0C5EB.730EB6F8AA4D69CA.CD175074B25A3270@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58924

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote in message
news:20021230222752.04603.00000669@mb-fe.aol.com...
> In article <f45722ac.0212300721.21c33581@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >> >Or perhaps you meant "welfare mothers of color with their hands out?"
> >>
> >> Those were not blind judgments. Those were enlightened, thoughtfully-
> >> considered judgments based on years of observation. And BTW -- my
> >> "judgments" of the 'welfare mothers of color,' are shared by two
> >> noted commentators by the names of Dr. Thomas Sowell and
> >> Dr. Walter Williams. Obtain one of their books, check out the photo
> >> on the book jacket, and tell me if you notice anything unique about
> >> them!
> >
> >OK. Is Williams the one who stood in for Rusch during the Christmas
> >break?
>
> Brian:
>
> He filled in for Rush Limbaugh, if that's who you mean.
>
> >> >You know I could go on and on. Its all in the archives.
> >>
> >> As are thoughtful apologies made for both of the above comments.
> >> Of course, that doesn't cut any ice with you, doesn't it?
> >
> >Apologies for wordsw which you just defended above? Which spots are
> >you wearing today?
>
> Hmmm, good point. Perhaps I shouldn't have apoligized! We've all seen
> the problems Trent Lott caused by too many apologies.
>

Trent Lott caused no problems because of apologies, Larry, and how keen of you to miss that entirely. Trent Lott opened mouth when he shouldn't have--for him. Which reveals a lot about the abilities of people like Trent Lott and you. Other than someone's feelings about something, what they say and when they say it says a lot for just how regarded they *should* have been all along. Trent--for him--was pretty damned stupid to say what he said and I am sure he kicked himself in his ass the minute he did so. If he was pretty stupid at that moment, has he just been lucky all the other moments in his career? I suspect so.

>
> However, I don't
> agree with his racist policies, and I have a conscience about saying
things
> that hurt people, so therefore I apologized.
>

You with a conscience? You gotta be kidding. Do you drink heavily?

Kim W5TIT

4835. Re: Something for everyone...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 03:47:44 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <6605DBFF4F395E80.037D5CA201D1F46D.5DFA35839F33F1C1@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58925

```
"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E11135E.CD85F013@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT wrote:
> >
> > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > news:3E1055E9.C79FDC7F@earthlink.net...
> > > W5TIT wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > >
> > > > Oh, <giggle> I went back and looked some stuff up. You thought that
I
> > was
> > > > talking about physical dimensions when I told you it would help if
you
> > moved
> > > > about. Nope.
> > > >
> > > "Nope" is right...as in "Nope--that isn't what I thought".
> > >
> > > > I don't care what you look like, Dave. What I was referring
> > > > to is that, perhaps if you forced yourself to get out more, you'd
begin
> > to
> > > > experience real life...not the one that's in the book, or demanded
of
> > you by
> > > > protocol. Although, maybe you like predetermined things.
> > >
> > > You, on the other hand, assume that one who has traveled the world
> > > extensively can't have experienced life. It is as silly as many of
your
> > > other opinions.
>
> > Dave, world travel--in and of itself--does not mean that one has
experienced
> > life. I suspect your "world travel" as you call it was as much in a
vacuum
> > as it could possibly be; since I gather that much of your world travel
was
> > as an employee of the US Govt.
>
> That goes down as one of the dumbest things you've ever written. I
> wasn't on a "See Europe in Ten Days" trip, Kim. I lived in a country
> for two to three years at a time. I didn't shop in a PX, I bought on
```

> the local economy. I'v travled the countries to which I've been
> assigned, traveled the adjacent countries, mingled, talked, eaten and
> slept.
>
> > Not sure what freedoms you allowed yourself
> > to really get out and meet and greet the countries you were in.
>
> You'll likely never be sure.
>
> > I know many
> > military folks who would have loved to get to know the *real* people and
> > places they were--rather than only an enemy and a war and their results.
>
> Good for them.
>
> > You strike me, and always have, as a very arrogant elitist.
>
> That is something you'll have to deal with, isn't it? This would seem
> to be another time when you feel something and are wrong about it.
>
> > You don't allow
> > others much thought different from your own;
>
> I don't control the thoughts of others.
>
> > feel compelled to call them into question;
>
> ...only if I see them as incredibly stupid.
>
> > set their mistakes as examples
>
> ...that depends entirely upon the attitude of the other individual.
>
> > while setting yourself apart
> > from your own.
>
> Let's see. There was my prior marriage and a few typos here. I'll
> admit to both.
>
> > You seem to be a very selfish individual with only an agenda
> > of superiority over anyone whom you view to be "in your way."
>
> Do you consider yourself to be "in my way"? I don't. I do find that
> you often shoot from the hip without thinking things through. I've
> noted that you often form opinions based on little or no knowledge of
> the subject. I find that you are the type to choose a tacky callsign
> and then bray to the world that you don't care what anyone else thinks
> about it. I find that you can be coarse or vulgar in any venue. Which
> one of us exhibits selfish traits?
>

> > You
> > constantly bring up things such as that *you* are a DXer and *you* have
> > traveled the world, blah, blah, blah. That means nothing to many
people,
> > and why should it?
>
> Constantly? I don't think so. Both of the things cited by you happen
> to be true. I am a DXer. I've been a DXer for decades from both sides
> of the pileup. That can't be disputed.
>
> I have traveled the world. It is a fact. I have four diplomatic
> passports and two tourist passports filled with entry and exit stamps
> and visas for various countries. If it bothers you, learn to deal with
> it.
>
> > Truth lies somewhere in the middle of extremes, Dave, and you are
definitely
> > an extreme.
>
> As determined by you? There's probably a homeless guy somewhere feeling
> sorry for me too.
>
> > Much of what I post to you is done so as much--if not more--to
> > be as contrary as I can possibly be to you. Because it bugs you.
>
> It does? Shouldn't I begin to feel bugged? Why then do I usually feel
> that you are loopy?
>
> > And,
> > because I suspect people like you need shocking once in a while.
>
> ...sort of like what you told us you wanted to say to some women at
> cocktail parties, Kim? You do a lot of things for shock value, don't
> you? Your callsign is an example.
>
> Old Arrogant Dave K8MN
> sitting up on top of the hill eating from the fine china using the best
> sterling ware while swilling expensive bordeaux from the Belarussian
> lead crystal we bought in Tallinn.
>
> "I wonder what the poor did for Christmas?"
>

I rest my case.

Kim W5TIT

4836. Re: Something for everyone...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 03:48:00 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E11135E.CD85F013@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58922

W5TIT wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3E1055E9.C79FDC7F@earthlink.net...
> > W5TIT wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > Oh, <giggle> I went back and looked some stuff up. You thought that I
> was
> > > talking about physical dimensions when I told you it would help if you
> moved
> > > about. Nope.
> >
> > "Nope" is right...as in "Nope--that isn't what I thought".
> >
> > > I don't care what you look like, Dave. What I was referring
> > > to is that, perhaps if you forced yourself to get out more, you'd begin
> to
> > > experience real life...not the one that's in the book, or demanded of
> you by
> > > protocol. Although, maybe you like predetermined things.
> >
> > You, on the other hand, assume that one who has traveled the world
> > extensively can't have experienced life. It is as silly as many of your
> > other opinions.

> Dave, world travel--in and of itself--does not mean that one has experienced
> life. I suspect your "world travel" as you call it was as much in a vacuum
> as it could possibly be; since I gather that much of your world travel was
> as an employee of the US Govt.

That goes down as one of the dumbest things you've ever written. I
wasn't on a "See Europe in Ten Days" trip, Kim. I lived in a country
for two to three years at a time. I didn't shop in a PX, I bought on
the local economy. I'v travled the countries to which I've been
assigned, traveled the adjacent countries, mingled, talked, eaten and
slept.

> Not sure what freedoms you allowed yourself
> to really get out and meet and greet the countries you were in.

You'll likely never be sure.

> I know many
> military folks who would have loved to get to know the *real* people and
> places they were--rather than only an enemy and a war and their results.

Good for them.

> You strike me, and always have, as a very arrogant elitist.

That is something you'll have to deal with, isn't it? This would seem
to be another time when you feel something and are wrong about it.

> You don't allow
> others much thought different from your own;

I don't control the thoughts of others.

> feel compelled to call them into question;

...only if I see them as incredibly stupid.

> set their mistakes as examples

...that depends entirely upon the attitude of the other individual.

> while setting yourself apart
> from your own.

Let's see. There was my prior marriage and a few typos here. I'll
admit to both.

> You seem to be a very selfish individual with only an agenda
> of superiority over anyone whom you view to be "in your way."

Do you consider yourself to be "in my way"? I don't. I do find that
you often shoot from the hip without thinking things through. I've
noted that you often form opinions based on little or no knowledge of
the subject. I find that you are the type to choose a tacky callsign
and then bray to the world that you don't care what anyone else thinks
about it. I find that you can be coarse or vulgar in any venue. Which
one of us exhibits selfish traits?

> You
> constantly bring up things such as that *you* are a DXer and *you* have
> traveled the world, blah, blah, blah. That means nothing to many people,
> and why should it?

Constantly? I don't think so. Both of the things cited by you happen
to be true. I am a DXer. I've been a DXer for decades from both sides
of the pileup. That can't be disputed.

I have traveled the world. It is a fact. I have four diplomatic
passports and two tourist passports filled with entry and exit stamps
and visas for various countries. If it bothers you, learn to deal with
it.

> Truth lies somewhere in the middle of extremes, Dave, and you are definitely
> an extreme.

As determined by you? There's probably a homeless guy somewhere feeling
sorry for me too.

> Much of what I post to you is done so as much--if not more--to
> be as contrary as I can possibly be to you. Because it bugs you.

It does? Shouldn't I begin to feel bugged? Why then do I usually feel
that you are loopy?

> And,
> because I suspect people like you need shocking once in a while.

...sort of like what you told us you wanted to say to some women at
cocktail parties, Kim? You do a lot of things for shock value, don't
you? Your callsign is an example.

Old Arrogant Dave K8MN
sitting up on top of the hill eating from the fine china using the best
sterling ware while swilling expensive bordeaux from the Belarussian
lead crystal we bought in Tallinn.

"I wonder what the poor did for Christmas?"

4837. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 04:00:12 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E111637.CFC67D93@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58926

W5TIT wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3E1054B6.A352C7CA@earthlink.net...
> > W5TIT wrote:
> > >
> > >
> > > What photo? If you mean the one that used to be on QRZ, how can you
> tell
> > > how big an ass is with only a picture of my turned to the passenger (my
> > > husband) when I was driving?
> >
> > Yep, that photo. How can I tell? Proportionality, Kim.
> > Proportionality.
> > Don't forget that you've told us your height and weight.
> >
>
> Then you let your imagination run wild with you, Dave. When that photo was
> taken, I was around 155-160 lbs., still the same height, and--as
> ever--beautiful. I have gained weight since then. I eat a lot more, and
> don't play as hard as I used to. I now weigh 220 lbs., down from 235 lbs.,
> and hope to lose even more. What do you look like, Dave, and should it
> matter to me? Would it make a bit of difference to me about your posts and
> how I think of them? I suspect that, between the two of us, you are the one
> stuck on how someone looks.

I have plenty of friends who are overweight though I've never heard any
describe themselves as "as ever--beautiful". I carry some extra pounds
and have never described myself as beautiful. I'm about fifteen pounds
heavier than you but I'm nearly a foot taller.

> > >
> > > Somehow, though, it strikes me as ironic that if I thought like you,
> agreed
> > > with you, said things you like to hear, etc., that my looks wouldn't be
> an
> > > issue, Dave. After all, we'll never date, never marry, never have sex,
> > > never work together, etc.,
> >
> > You may bank on all of the above. I certainly do.
> >
> > > so why would you worry about the way I look?
> >
> > Your looks do not worry me. They might worry you. After all, you
> > certainly removed that photo in a flash.

> >
>
> I removed the photo because there are enough crazies on this newsgroup that
> knowing what I look like concerns me, Dave. Is that OK with you? I am a
> very opinionated person, and saw people threatening each others' jobs and
> personal safety back then. Is it quite all right with you that I have it
> off, Dave?

So people here were threatening your job over what--how you look? And
there were other people here stalking you and threatening your personal
safety?

Believe me, Kim. It is fine with me that you have removed the photo.

> > >
> > > Why *would* you say anything about someone's ass, Dave? And mention
> > > whapping in the same post? Now that I think about it, that was really
> > > weird--even for you.
> >
> > Then you need to read my comment again.
>
> I don't think rereading a comment from you would explain anything about why
> looks matter and why you would comment on them.

My comment had nothing to do with looks mattering. See how you tend to
expand things because you "feel" them? My comment had to do with you
not being able to understand something even if it whacked you on your
stern.

> But, have fun thinking my ass anyway.

Oh I am, Kim--though probably not in the way you meant.

Dave K8MN

4838. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 04:00:45 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E11166A.6190755F@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58927

W5TIT wrote:
>
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:f45722ac.0212300653.6b155565@posting.google.com...
> > "W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
> news:<DB0E1FE6CC5D655E.EB2DF39279A5BA94.966B854ADEF2A48D@lp.airnews.net>...
> >
> > > >
> > > My backside that you affectionately refer is hardly as ample as you've
> been
> > > led *LED* to believe, Dave.
> >
> > Careful, Kim. He might accuse you of wanting to build LED's from scratch.
> >
>
> Scratching my ass???? ;)

I don't have THAT kind of time.

Dave K8MN

4839. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 04:07:49 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E111802.FC6FE2FB@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58928

W5TIT wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3E110C83.57417E29@earthlink.net...
> > W5TIT wrote:
> > >
> > > "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> > >
> > > > > > > Dave, I think anyone who reads these posts knows what an idiot you
> are.
> > > >
> > > > > > It entertains me to know that is what you believe. It is evident that
> > > > > you form many of your opinions based on what you feel. You have
> little
> > > > > knowledge of ham radio or how it is regulated but you have endless
> > > > > opinions.
> > >
> > > >
> > > > And that's all they are, Dave, opinions. You would take away someone's
> > > > ability to give opinions, would you?
> > >
> > > Kim, I think you should post every opinion, every feeling, every
> > > emotion. It then becomes apparent just who and what we are dealing
> > > with.

> You're as un-smooth as Larry at trying to get out of something stupid you
> implied, Dave. But, hey, you're the know-all, see-all, done-all, worldly
> kind of person. We peons should feel graced by your willingness to
> participate in this venue...since you probably have other much more
> important things to be doing with your time.

See--that's yet another example of things I've never stated but that you
"felt" from my postings. Maybe you are the type who gets honks because
someone knows much, has seen much and has done much. Those who know,
have seen and have done should clam up because any allusion to those
things are apt to upset you.

> *cough*

Got a hair ball?

Dave K8MN

4840. Re: Annual 75M New Year's Eve Awards Freq?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 04:10:14 UTC
From: SCREWDRIVER <he20c@swbell.net>
Message-ID: <GM8Q9.5504$m97.2573790393@newssvr12.news.prodigy.com>
Source record: 58930
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy,rec.radio.shortwave

3.853 on 75 meters LSB new years eve
"cornchip" <monkeymens@hotmail.com> wrote in message
news:3e0fb235@snipnews.snip.net...
> In year's past there used to be one or two
> frequencies on 75 Meters which handed out
> awards to various 75 (and 20) Meter operators
> and frequencies which stood head-and-shoulders
> above the rest. Awards were given for the
> widest signal, worst audio, biggest RF suckup,
> most likely to blow up another amplifier in 24 hours
> ettc etc.... What freq is this held on?
> Is this still held on New Year's eve as in Year's
> past? What is the Frequency ?
>
>

4841. Re: Something for everyone...

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 04:10:53 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E1118CA.266F57E7@earthlink.net>
Source record: 58929

W5TIT wrote:
>
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message

> > Old Arrogant Dave K8MN
> > sitting up on top of the hill eating from the fine china using the best
> > sterling ware while swilling expensive bordeaux from the Belarussian
> > lead crystal we bought in Tallinn.
> >
> > "I wonder what the poor did for Christmas?"
> >
>
> I rest my case.
>
> Kim W5TIT

Don't look know, Kim. You never had a case.

Dave K8MN

4842. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 04:36:04 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <U89Q9.5476$9N5.548995@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58931
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E110AD1.7252A50A@earthlink.net...
: "K&#65533;HB" wrote:
: >
: > "K&#65533;HB" <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote
: > : :
: > : : KR6 Okinawa (Ryukyu)
: > :
: > : Correct, but lacks detail.
: > :
: > :
: > : : KR8 -- Swan Is
: > :
: > : Incorrect.
: > :
: >
: > No takers on "KR8xx" callsign back in the 60's?
: >
: > 73, de Hans, K0HB
:
:
: You ignored my last on the WB6XX. I'm going to say that both KR6 and
: KR8 were Ryukyu (Okinawa) but that the KR8 callsign indicated that the
: holder was a Japanese citizen of the islands while the KR6's were the
: Americans. How'd I do?

You done good!

And yes, WB6XX was Baker/Canton Novice license.

dit dit

K0HB

4843. Re: Give Me A Mexican Lady Any Day

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 04:42:57 UTC
From: Bob Johnson <screwu@screwu.org>
Message-ID: <fa9Q9.23510$nc7.22523@news.bellsouth.net>
Source record: 58932
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.misc,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Pancho" <RioGrande@anon.com> wrote in message
news:aumg71uf0@pita.alt.net...
>
> You Gringos should go on a diet...no beautiful woman wants a fat old man
> with breasts bigger than hers.
>
> Jacinta Morales

Jacinta, I must beg to differ with you. There are NO self-respecting, unmarried Mexican babes, in shape or out (mostly out...short and squat... in these parts) who wouldn't marry a fat, drunken, slovenly, rich or poor American man IF (like Sid, the New Mexico ham with the Mexican squaw) it meant they could get a Green Card to give themselves and their extended families a legal "GO PAST GO" card to legally cross the border and escape their hopelessly depressing 3rd world poor and corrupt surroundings. It doesn't matter what these babes personally prefer in terms of looks, it's the chance to make the big USA bucks that matters most. They're all gold miners, just like USA women. Good taste is not the issue. Once in a great while I see a nice looking Latina (usually NOT from Mexico or Guatemala, however!). No, I would much prefer a smart, petite and pretty Asian women any time of the day or night, and guess what..the majority of them are smart, petite and pretty, unlike your basic Mexicana/Guatemalan women.

4844. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 04:54:23 UTC
From: Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com>
Message-ID: <3E1122FF.A9712308@ix.netcom.com>
Source record: 58933
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hank Oredson wrote:

> Lot of changes to the packet network here since '94.
> Many 9600 baud ports all around the Metro area.
> Lots of TCP/IP operation.
>
> I moved from West Linn to Parrett Mountain in '97, and retired a couple years
> later :-)
>
> Getting back into DXing ... 239 entities since March 1.
> Ameritron AL-80BQ arriving shortly, thank you Santa Claus.
> Second tower sitting in bits outside the shack, waiting better weather.
>
> Working on a log archiving program. Thus my interest in this thread.
>
> Good to hear from you!
>

Now that I think back on it, I used to post via a program called
"7plus" very small picture files on packet for world wide distribution.
A comet hit Jupiter in 94, and I got many images of that off the Internet.
Many were blurry, so I could shrink the images without losing
visual information. Then they became jpgs a few K large. Then
the 7plus program would split the jpg file into several pieces and
also prepare them to go thru a 7 bit ASCII channel. I'd get lots
of error reports, almost all were identical. Which would lead me
to believe that a machine nearby yours tended to corrupt the
data before it got to most of the rest of the world. I used to
download the posts I just uploaded to be sure they got into
your machine correctly.

And my machine was a 486 running windows3.11, a Kantronics
TNC, a modified Motorola HT220 and a Jpole antenna hanging
on the wall in my apartment.

4845. Re: What country? KG1 and KA#xx

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 05:14:35 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <%I9Q9.5505$9N5.555317@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58934
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"AC6V" <ac6v@amsat.org> wrote

: From old callbooks, Logbooks, old DX buddies:
: For KG1 -- KG1 US Forces in Greenland

Roger.

:
: For KA#xx KA# - US Forces in Japan Now JA (Foreigners now 7J)

Partial credit. KA# calls were issued by the US Armed Forces. 7J calls are
issued by the Japanese PTT.

: KA1 was Phillipines

Maybe, but never heard of that.

: KA2,3,4,5, and 8 for Honshu.
: KA6 for Shikoku
: KA7 for island of Kyusho
: KA9 for Hokkaido
: KA6 Ryukyu Islands, Okinawa

: KA0 Iwo Jima. Now JD1

Iwo Jima/Chi-chi Jima (Bonins & Volcano) were KG6Ix

: I believe that Japanese law forbade JA's to QSO KA's and vice versa

Correct.

Actually it wasn't JA law, but a provision of the SOFA (Status of Forces
Agreement).

: How about NY4 -- issued way back before US hams routinely got N calls

Never heard of that one.

4846. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 05:14:43 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <7J9Q9.5506$9N5.555317@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58935

"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote

: The apartment dwellers don't get an income tax deduction for the property
tax,
: but homeowners do.

Speak for yourself, white man. In Minnesota renters get a tax credit based
on the real estate taxes paid by their landlord.

73, Hans, K0HB

4847. Re: Thanks GAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 06:10:23 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <jxaQ9.4359$134.502485@newsread1.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 58936

"Larry Roll K3LT" <yodoc@aol.comnospam> wrote

:
: Hmmm. Maybe they'll replace those jeans that fell apart after I washed
: them!
:

Only if the failure occurred within legal emission ratings.

dit dit

de Hans, K0HB

4848. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 06:11:45 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <ruc21vsutu8rst0doeeheffhk0ppea0o26@4ax.com>
Source record: 58937

On 29 Dec 2002 20:51:42 -0800, lbudney-google@nb.net (Len Budney)
wrote:

<A hole bunch of stuff snipped>

At the bottom level, vouchers are simply welfare that happens
to be backed by many Republicans.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4849. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 06:24:14 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <iKaQ9.87381$hK4.7097701@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 58938
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
news:cuvyxnansvbet.h7ycze7.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> On Mon, 30 Dec 2002 02:46:39 -0500, KC8PMX wrote:
>
> >How about N5HHH? (in morse that is!)
>
> You can do better th that...how about WB5HHH ??
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane

KC0QYQ

Try working a string of JAs with my callsign, on SSB.
W, L, R are hard to tell apart ...
The zero confuses to a four ...
Still looking for phonetics that work well.
Heard as W4LRI way too often.

--

... Hank

Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

4850. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 06:27:54 UTC
From: Hank Oredson <horedson@att.net>
Message-ID: <KNaQ9.87386$hK4.7098791@bgtnsc05-news.ops.worldnet.att.net>
Source record: 58939
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E110BE0.23F41C85@earthlink.net...
> "K&#65533;HB" wrote:
>
> >
> > We know that KG4xx was (and still is) the Navy-assigned prefix for amateurs
> > at Guantanamo Bay, Cuba. The Navy also used the KG1xx series of calls.
> > Where were "KG1xx" sailors stationed?
>
> Too easy, Hans. U.S. personnel on Greenland.
>
> > The KA#xx, like KA6AA, calls were assigned by US military to servicemen
> > stationed where? There license grant prohibited them from communications
> > with what country?
>
> Those were U.S. personnel in Japan. They were likely not to communicate
> with....Japan.
>
> Dave K8MN

How about Fletchers Ice Island ... KF1AB ... as I recall.

--

... Hank

Chaos, panic, and disorder - my work here is done.

Hank: http://horedson.home.att.net
W0RLI: http://w0rli.home.att.net

4851. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 06:35:36 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <9ed21v8kaq1flvk7d1cv593pon2gqee7io@4ax.com>
Source record: 58940

On 30 Dec 2002 12:15:06 GMT, n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:

>In article <417b917a.0212292051.2b9eb8a1@posting.google.com>,
>lbudney-google@nb.net (Len Budney) writes:
>
>>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>>> Robert Casey <wa2ise@ix.netcom.com> writes:

>>A
>>voucher for $3K or so still won't get your kids into Choate Rosemary
>>Hall, which probably charges $15-$20K per year these days.
>
>Which means the poor won't be able to use those vouchers anyway.

How many poor people send their children to private schools?
Here is wher I think voucherfare falls flat on it's face.

>>Some
>>schools proably would raise tuition, both to capitalize on available
>>money and to inhibit enrollment from folks they don't want. So what?
>
>Vouchers are paid for with tax dollars, that's what.

ANd what exactly is the idea of the government taking money
from people and then giving it back? As soon as that happens there are
strings attached.

>>Parents who can afford Choate are not the targets of vouchers--it's
>>the African American parents with children stuck in hopeless schools
>>who will benefit.
>
>And what schools will those kids go to? How many private schools exist for
>them, and how many of those schools charge the voucher amount?

Some guy named Lott is opening a school just for them!

>>Note also that rich parents getting a $3K voucher are getting only a
>>minor refund of their tax dollars; they're more than paying for their
>>own vouchers. Those African American parents I've mentioned, who pay
>>litter or nothing in taxes, are getting a positive benefit which is
>>coming from the pockets of those with higher incomes. (Indeed, some
>>conservative types object to voucher proposals for exactly that
>>reason.)
>
>The whole idea of public education is to guarantee a free, appropriate public
>education to all citizens, not just the rich. This is done because it's good
>for the society, not just the individuals.

>
>>>> And that just drains off money from the public schools.
>>`
>>No, it won't: if the same number of students attend as last year, then
>>the money will be the same. If half leave, the money will be
>>halved--but so will the student body. Available dollars per student
>>will be unchanged.
>
>What if nobody leaves the public schools but all the kids now in private
>schools get vouchers? Where's all that voucher money supposed to come from?

Jim, at least in my area, "Charter" schools have (had) been
springing up like weeds for a while now. They are a hoot. They run a
gamut from schools with superior children of superior parents, to
schools which mysteriously neglect to teach certain controversial
aspects of science. They really want the vouchers. They take the
school district to court. They use the bus service. Oh by the way, on
the required testing, they have NOT been doing as well as the other
children in the area. Fortunately, they are now dwindling a bit.

Oh, and yes, our taxes are going up quite nicely to support
all this.

>>>> So low income parents get shafted as their kids get even crappier
>>>> schooling.
>>
>>Crappier schooling than that already provided to inner city kids is
>>pretty hard to imagine.
>
>We're not just talking about the inner city.
>
>>> Yup. I'd be all for vouchers if the private schools had to play by the same
>>> rules as the public - for example, having to take any kid that wants in,
>>> regardless of special needs.
>>
>>Excellent plan. Let's stack the deck so that private schools will
>>become as rotten as public schools, and then let kids go to any school
>>they choose.
>
>Are you saying that kids with special needs make a school "rotten"? Sure looks
>like it!

>The point is that if even one kid in a school has special needs (say, is in a
>wheelchair), the public school HAS TO acomodate the kid. The private school
>doesn't.
>
>>Bwahahaha! (But not the schools that REALLY rich kids go
>>to. Senators' sons still need a good education, if they're to inherit
>>their papas' dynasties!)
>>
>>You both seem not to get it. The purpose of vouchers isn't to drive

>>people wholesale from public schools. You're neglecting to consider
>>how terrifying a SMALL flight from public school will be;
>>administrators will react to prevent more flight. So GOOD public
>>schools will see negligible impact from vouchers, while a very tiny
>>movement of students can have a dramatic impact on BAD schools.
>
>Nope.
>>
>>What impact? It's hard to say! Maybe changes in class size. Maybe
>>increased willingness to fire incompetent teachers. Maybe larger
>>classes, with higher pay for fewer (stringently chosen) teachers.
>>Maybe single-sex classrooms, or reduced tendency to put problem
>>students into mainstream classes (which actually harms the problem
>>students as much as it does their classmates). Maybe any number of
>>things I can't think of.
>
>Maybe just less money to spend on necessities.

And what exactly is this "problem" Student?

>>In addition, there is great potential for entrepreneurship to lead to
>>genuine innovation. Small schools formed purely to collect those
>>vouchers are not a bad thing: if they don't get the job done, then
>>parents will withdraw the kids and send them somewhere else.
>
>If there IS a "somewhere else".

Let's see, we have a poor Black family in a small town (the
people this program is supposed to benefit IIRC) they don't like the
school their children are going to. So with Dad and Mom both working
for say - minimum wage - they are going to send the kids where? 3000
dollars is going to pay for what school? Where?

4852. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 06:37:42 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <ane21v0rbdqpdcudnt5mlcbeui0bmd4u8g@4ax.com>
Source record: 58941

On 30 Dec 2002 15:42:52 -0800, lbudney-google@nb.net (Len Budney)
wrote:

>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>> lbudney-google@nb.net (Len Budney) writes:
>>>
>>> What does the number of seats have to do with anything?
>>
>> Once a private school decides that it is "full", there is no requirement to
>> admit any more students, nor to expand.
>
>So what?

I don't want my tax dollars going to welfare, no matter what
it's wrapping is.

That's what.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4853. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 06:46:24 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <gte21vonbgcsn28b7vms1rupq6knalmdvq@4ax.com>
Source record: 58942

On 30 Dec 2002 15:42:52 -0800, lbudney-google@nb.net (Len Budney)
wrote:

>n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>> lbudney-google@nb.net (Len Budney) writes:

>So what? Those same rich parents are paying for their vouchers 100
>times over in their disproportionate tax burden. The real
>beneficiaries of the program are folks whose vouchers exceed their
>total tax liability.

Wow, talk about irrelevant statements! You take Jim to task
with several "so what's" and then and then throw in this? Lets see, if
the amount of taxation is relevant to the voucher issue, then perhaps
the people who pay the most in taxes should get their shildren's
entire education paid for? Ridiculous? you bet.

>Oops...I wish I'd read this far before replying to any of your other
>stuff. I didn't mean to waste my time on an idiot who likes throwing
>ad homina around. Have a nice day.

Jim an idiot? I think not. He's one of the more thoughtful
people here. And he doen't resort to calling people names when losing
an argument.

- Mike KB3EIA -

4854. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 06:50:34 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <faf21vs8q9n5oi6qou2g5b4tk72jvprqd1@4ax.com>
Source record: 58943

On Tue, 31 Dec 2002 05:14:43 GMT, "Kï¿½HB" <kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote:

>"N2EY" <n2ey@aol.com> wrote
>
>: The apartment dwellers don't get an income tax deduction for the property
>tax,
>: but homeowners do.
>
>Speak for yourself, white man. In Minnesota renters get a tax credit based
>on the real estate taxes paid by their landlord.

Yup, here in Pennsylvania too. All those costs are in the
rent.

BTW, that wasn't Jims quote, it was from Mr Budney. :^)

Tanstaffl!

- Mike KB3EIA -

4855. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 08:51:31 UTC
From: Sivac <sivac@sivac.com>
Message-ID: <3E115A93.4030309@sivac.com>
Source record: 58944
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

TE5T on CW in Contest

TEST TE5T TE5T TEST, not even easy to read

or UR5NN in pile up

MIke

Phil Kane wrote:
> On Mon, 30 Dec 2002 02:46:39 -0500, KC8PMX wrote:
>
>
>>How about N5HHH? (in morse that is!)
>
>
> You can do better th that...how about WB5HHH ??
>
> ---
> 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
>

4856. Mini-HOWTO: Killing Dave Heil / W5TIT ego battles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 11:19:04 UTC
From: Lloyd@no.spammmmm
Message-ID: <dave.n.kim.lovetohate@taranews.net>
Source record: 58945

Dear Reader,

You may be getting tired of the endless Heil - W5TIT nattering, but
you may not know how to kill all such threads with a news reader which
supports regular expressions in its filtering rules. The answer is
simple: Filter on the references header, and filter on the sequence
of references. A useful regular expression pair is

References: .*airnews\.net> <.*earthlink\.net>

and

References: .*earthlink\.net> <.*airnews\.net>

with your filters set to kill all posts for which either condition is
true. The pair of rules also has the added advantage that any
followups to a Heil - W5TIT ego battle thread are also killed.

Extension of these rules to include other ego battling pairs of users
should be obvious.

Happy nattering-free New Year!

4857. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 12:18:31 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <61A607BA026BFB4B.5FDE546876F57D99.BCCAE402421DCBCA@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58947

"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
news:ane21v0rbdqpdcudnt5mlcbeui0bmd4u8g@4ax.com...
> On 30 Dec 2002 15:42:52 -0800, lbudney-google@nb.net (Len Budney)
> wrote:
>
> >n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
> >> lbudney-google@nb.net (Len Budney) writes:
> >>>
> >>> What does the number of seats have to do with anything?
> >>
> >> Once a private school decides that it is "full", there is no
requirement to
> >> admit any more students, nor to expand.
> >
> >So what?
>
>
> I don't want my tax dollars going to welfare, no matter what
> it's wrapping is.
>
> That's what.
>
> - Mike KB3EIA -
>

HERE HERE. I don't want the gov't. to keep up with that screwy idea of
supporting "charity organizations," either.

Kim W5TIT

4858. Re: Mini-HOWTO: Killing Dave Heil / W5TIT ego battles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 12:20:46 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <6EDDCA6F97268CFB.99A0A9F420A66C47.7617E25B6C5C2D50@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58949

"Lloyd Braxilwartenoski" <Lloyd@no.spammmmm> wrote in message
news:dave.n.kim.lovetohate@taranews.net...
> Dear Reader,
>
> You may be getting tired of the endless Heil - W5TIT nattering, but
> you may not know how to kill all such threads with a news reader which
> supports regular expressions in its filtering rules. The answer is
> simple: Filter on the references header, and filter on the sequence
> of references. A useful regular expression pair is
>
> References: .*airnews\.net> <.*earthlink\.net>
>
> and
>
> References: .*earthlink\.net> <.*airnews\.net>
>
> with your filters set to kill all posts for which either condition is
> true. The pair of rules also has the added advantage that any
> followups to a Heil - W5TIT ego battle thread are also killed.
>
> Extension of these rules to include other ego battling pairs of users
> should be obvious.
>
> Happy nattering-free New Year!
>

Is this something you're going to get around to doing? You obviously enjoy
the posts.

Kim W5TIT

4859. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 12:25:58 UTC
From: W5TIT <seventythree@eightyeight.net>
Message-ID: <3A6EA68F9936C5C8.03BFBB3024B0F89C.4EE6A3D5FC6199D8@lp.airnews.net>
Source record: 58950

"Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:3E111637.CFC67D93@earthlink.net...
> W5TIT wrote:
> >
> >
> > I removed the photo because there are enough crazies on this newsgroup
that
> > knowing what I look like concerns me, Dave. Is that OK with you? I am
a
> > very opinionated person, and saw people threatening each others' jobs
and
> > personal safety back then. Is it quite all right with you that I have
it
> > off, Dave?
>
> So people here were threatening your job over what--how you look? And
> there were other people here stalking you and threatening your personal
> safety?
>

Yeah, one guy said something about getting a gun after me...which sparked an
entire 'round of posts on gun control--imagine that. As to the general
overtones, no, I wasn't threatened that much. But, then, people who are
serious about threat don't generally make an announcement about it. I have
no doubt that there are a number of unbalanced people here on this
newsgroup. One of them likes to use the expression "CBPlussers."
>
> > But, have fun thinking my ass anyway.
>
> Oh I am, Kim--though probably not in the way you meant.
>
> Dave K8MN
>

Yeah, you're right. I hadn't imagined that.

Kim W5TIT

4860. Re: Mini-HOWTO: Killing Dave Heil / W5TIT ego battles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 12:28:09 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021231072809.22930.00000293@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 58946

>Subject: Mini-HOWTO: Killing Dave Heil / W5TIT ego battles
>From: Lloyd@no.spammmmm (Lloyd Braxilwartenoski)
>Date: 12/31/02 5:19 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <dave.n.kim.lovetohate@taranews.net>

>You may be getting tired of the endless...(SNIP)

....posts from anonymous putzii who feel compelled to make such gratuitous posts.

Another coward, cowering behind an obviously ficticious "screen name", daring to express what they consider right or wrong postings but without the gonads to back it up.

If you don't like what Kim or Dave have to say to each other, just skip over thier posts. It's that simple.

If you haven't got the intestinal fortitude to say what it is you have to say and back it up with your real name, then why waste everyone else's time? It's completely witout merit if you can't back it up with your name.

Happy Freakin' New Year.

Steve, K4YZ

4861. Happy New Year RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 12:29:03 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021231072903.22930.00000294@mb-mf.aol.com>
Source record: 58948

A very happy New Year to one and all. Stay safe.

73

Steve, K4YZ

4862. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 12:44:15 UTC
From: lbudney-google@nb.net
Message-ID: <417b917a.0212310444.6a1c515f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59051

n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
> lbudney-google@nb.net (Len Budney) writes:
>> n2ey@aol.com (N2EY) wrote:
>>> lbudney-google@nb.net (Len Budney) writes:
>
> Then what IS the intent of vouchers? To allow some students to have a
> tax-funded choice of public or private schools?

To put competitive pressure on public schools to change. That doesn't require many students to exercise the option: its existence is one form of pressure. And its exercise on a smallish scale is another form of pressure: parents with some gumption can drive their kids to a better school district and use the vouchers there; parents with some money can add the voucher and pay a private tuition; many Christian and charter schools can absorb students at the value of the vouchers; parents can threaten the school board with the exercise of their new prerogative; other options exist besides.

Indeed, schools are already feeling pressure from the home-schoolers, and they're yelping like they were being tortured. That pressure may have a strong positive effect on schools, though it's a bit early to tell--but it's telling how amazingly angry educators are about it! The sad thing is, the pressure is not being brought to bear on minority school districts, where parents don't have the resources to home-school.

> I'm arguing that vouchers won't create a viable alternative to public schools
> where such alternatives are most needed.

You've said it, but you haven't begun to make a cogent argument why it's true. You're just pretending that the world is all full up.

What's tedious about this conversation is that you aren't really introducting a logical argument for your position. If you tried to prove logically that there is no place for anyone to go except public schools, you'd realize (I hope) how ridiculous that is and desist. But instead you resort to jibes instead of argument, like "If there's anywhere to go," or "If anyone gives a darn," or "As long as handicapped children are euthenized, maybe," etc.

> Are there quality private schools all over the country just waiting for
> voucher students?

Are you insane? There are thousands and thousands of charter and private schools out there--almost a dozen in the nondescript town I grew up in. Each can absorb a few, and a few is enough to terrify a

school board that was complacent in its monopoly power over its
powerless students.

If ONE classroom's worth is depopulated in a rotten school--just 30
kids--what do you think its administration will do? It's hard to
justify a $128K superintendant's salary in the face of such flight...

>> You are essentially attacking the straw man position
>> that with vouchers, all kids would go to Deerfield,
>> Stoneleigh-Burnham and Choate.
>>
> Nope. I don't know any of those schools.

Agreed--you don't seem to know much about what's out there, but from
your position of ignorance you're pretty sure that what's available is
"nothing".

>>>> Some schools proably would raise tuition, both to
>>>> capitalize on available money and to inhibit enrollment
>>>> from folks they don't want. So what?
>>>
>>> Vouchers are paid for with tax dollars, that's what.
>>
>> So what?
>
> So the taxpayers - all of them - should have a say, don't you think?

In what? The administration of every private school in the country? In
who gets to go to school where? You sound like you'd be more
comfortable in Cuba.

By the way, as ill-articulated as this point of yours is, it's why I'm
dead against vouchers. Too many Americans, like you, can't distinguish
socialism from freedom, and would use vouchers as a lever to impose
their ideas on private schools--and the result will be a rotten
education for everyone, pulling the good schools down to the level of
the public schools. A smart private school would refuse vouchers
outright--but ironically, it's YOU who would argue, under the
circumstances, that schools may not refuse vouchers because it is
discriminatory.

>> Those same rich parents are paying for their vouchers 100
>> times over in their disproportionate tax burden.
>
> How? Quote us some figures to show how rich folks are paying "100 times over".

Define "rich", first. There are plenty of folks a few towns over from
me whose PROPERTY taxes are over $10,000, and whose INCOME taxes are
over $100K--plus a township tax of $10K, and a state tax of about
$28K. I'm guessing that you have very little clue what the
distribution of the tax burden looks like in this country.

>> The real beneficiaries of the program are folks whose
>> vouchers exceed their total tax liability.
>
> If and onbly if they can actually use the vouchers.

No. Those parents win when the administration begs them not to use
their vouchers, and listens respectfully to their demands.

> I disagree. While some public schools are awful, most are not.

Except, ironically, that the "some" include essentially ALL inner city
and minority districts. You can only call that a partial victory if
you don't mind seeing ALMOST ALL minorities deprived of a decent
education, "since after all there aren't that many of them."

> Tell ya what - give any public school system the right to expel or not admit
> students at their sole discretion, and watch the quality of those public
> schools soar. They'll cherry-pick the good students just like the private
> schools do.

There are also many private schools specifically for problem students.
Why does it surprise me that you're ignorant of that fact?

> Let's cut to the chase: Do you support the idea that every child deserves a
> free, appropriate public education, regardless of economic status, minority
> status, or disability, or not?

This question is irrelevant to your central claim, which is that
public schooling is the only way on earth to achieve this goal.

>>> What if nobody leaves the public schools but all the
>>> kids now in private schools get vouchers? Where's all
>>> that voucher money supposed to come from?
>>
>> You raise an interesting question here.
>
> Then answer it.

Couln't begin to, under the circumstances: you are laboring under
ignorance along every important dimension: you think that the world is
chock full of students; that all possible non-public education spots
already exist and are already taken; have no knowledge of the relative
tax burdens of various citizens; have no idea of the relative
distribution of children among various classes; and don't know the
first thing about what it actually costs to educate somebody.

In my college days I enjoyed the way kids would chew the fat in coffee
shops, solving the world's problems--a feat made all the easier by
their complete ignorance of the nature of the problems themselves. I
no longer enjoy the useless habit of coming together to pool
everyone's ignorance and hope that an answer will pop out. And I'm
tired of addressing your knee-jerk answers to serious, non-trivial
questions.

> You're avoiding a question that is central to the whole problem. Who deals
> with the special-needs kids?

I'm not ignoring them. It's possible that the public schools will continue to deal with them--but will be forced to provide appropriate specialized care, if parents are no longer forced to tolerate the hindrance of their childrens' education that results from mainstreaming children who can't handle the academics.

On the other hand, private arrangements are possible. In one elementary school I attended, the care of the mentally retarded was semi-privatized. The Datahr program had the use of classroom facilities, but kept special needs kids separate and provided appropriate care, with extensive support from charities, volunteers and other sources. Worked fairly well, actually.

That's the fundamental question you're ignoring here. When people are free to come up with answers, they come up with answers you didn't anticipate. The instinct of a socialist is to say, "I can't think of another way. There IS no other way. Anyone trying another way will be severely punished."

--Len.

4863. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 12:47:26 UTC
From: lbudney-google@nb.net
Message-ID: <417b917a.0212310447.6115d3a9@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59052

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
> lbudney-google@nb.net (Len Budney) wrote:
>>
>>So what?
>
> I don't want my tax dollars going to welfare, no matter what
> it's wrapping is.

That's basically why many conservatives oppose vouchers: it's a redistribution of wealth.

I oppose vouchers because they will give the federal government a whip to crack over private schools. It's always the same story: "Here's some money. Like it? Good! Now...HERE are some conditions, if you want to keep getting it..."

--Len.

4864. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 12:54:01 UTC
From: lbudney-google@nb.net
Message-ID: <417b917a.0212310454.16f9252f@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59053

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
>>
>> The real beneficiaries of the program are folks
>> whose vouchers exceed their total tax liability.
>
> Wow, talk about irrelevant statements! You take Jim to task
> with several "so what's" and then and then throw in this? Lets see, if
> the amount of taxation is relevant to the voucher issue, then perhaps
> the people who pay the most in taxes should get their shildren's
> entire education paid for? Ridiculous? you bet.

Um, Mike, the question "Who is paying for it?" is indeed relevant.
It's why many argue (accurately) that vouchers are unconstitutional:
they are a redistribution of wealth. What makes it seem so irrelevant
is the fact that we have so much redistribution already, that
protesting against more of it sounds ridiculous.

> Jim an idiot? I think not.

Maybe not. I have no idea why he can't frame a cogent argument on this
subje

> He's one of the more thoughtful people here.

That's sure saying a lot!

> And he doen't resort to calling people names when losing
> an argument.

Losing? Under the circumstances, it wouldn't be fair to say we're
HAVING an argument. The point to be affirmed or denied has never been
clearly specified, and Jim is not making any arguments--only some
"observations" which lack context. If he tried to affirm, "That there
is no room for any more children in private schools, and never will be
under any circumstances," then we could see what his argument is
exactly--at the moment, we only have this bare assertion of his, whose
absurdity is evident on its face.

--Len.

4865. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 13:07:20 UTC
From: lbudney-google@nb.net
Message-ID: <417b917a.0212310507.19fbcad2@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59054

Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote:
>
> How many poor people send their children to private schools?
> Here is wher I think voucherfare falls flat on it's face.

The question is asinine on its face. How many poor people drive ferraris? They don't because they can't.

The relevant question is, "How many WOULD, if they had a check for $3K in their hands?" Remember, though, that "private school" is a red herring: most voucher proposals include the option of sending their child to a public school in another district.

I wonder if that's the reason for the opposition from Democrats? "Oh no! Carpools full of black kids coming to school with MY JOHNNIE! We'd better do something!"

>> Vouchers are paid for with tax dollars, that's what.
>
> ANd what exactly is the idea of the government taking money
> from people and then giving it back? As soon as that happens there are
> strings attached.

Yes, those are the two problems! Taking it and then giving it back--to people who didn't pay it in the first place: that's "redistribution of wealth", which is explicitly forbidden in the Constitution. And, as you notice, they give it back with strings attached. Those are both cogent reasons why many conservatives oppose vouchers.

> Jim, at least in my area, "Charter" schools have (had) been
> springing up like weeds for a while now.

Impossible! Jim already demonstrated with inescapable logic that the private schools are all full, and there will never be another one!

> They are a hoot. They run a gamut...

Human endeavors usually run the gamut...

> Let's see, we have a poor Black family in a small town (the
> people this program is supposed to benefit IIRC) they don't like the
> school their children are going to.

Right...a majority of African Americans favor vouchers for that reason--despite Jesse Jackson's efforts to tell them it's a Bad Thing.

> So with Dad and Mom both working for say - minimum wage...

You are already off base here. About 70% of black kids are not raised in two-parent families. So erase the Dad if you want a more representative description here.

> - they are going to send the kids where? 3000 dollars is going
> to pay for what school? Where?

"In my area, 'Charter' schools have been springing up like weeds for a while now..." --Mike Coslo

But don't forget parents who care enough to carpool to a better school district--meaning, usually, a "white" school district. *Your* school district, maybe.

--Len.

4866. Re: Happy New Year RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 13:59:44 UTC
From: Bert Craig <wa2si@arrl.netNOSPAM>
Message-ID: <kphQ9.205613$a8.82421@news4.srv.hcvlny.cv.net>
Source record: 59055

"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021231072903.22930.00000294@mb-mf.aol.com...
> A very happy New Year to one and all. Stay safe.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

The same to you, Steve...and to the entire R.R.A.P. "crew" and their
families.

--
73 de Bert
WA2SI

4867. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 14:22:58 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212310622.912ed08@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59056
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3E0F282B.4DACAF4D@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0E7DB8.2728433A@earthlink.net>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0D48F8.E243C02B@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > "K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message news:<kV%O9.1862
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > The FCC could care less about DXCC
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Dave confuses DXCC with FCC. Ditto his minions. You five need a one on one.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Do you have a single reference of such a comment from me?
> > > > >
> > > > > Yes.
> > > >
> > > > Then put up or shut up.
> > >
> > > You claimed my Somalia operation was illegal based upon ARRL DXCC rules.
> >
> > No, I claimed and still do, that your operation was not acceptable for
> > DXCC credit. Without supporting documentation, you can never make it
> > so.

How do you characterize your use of the term "bootlegging?"

4868. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 14:51:26 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212310651.788bebc1@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59057

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021230111011.01948.00000080@mb-mn.aol.com>...
> >Subject: Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?
> >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> >Date: 12/30/02 9:40 AM Central Standard Time
> >Message-id: <f45722ac.0212300740.5ffba5bd@posting.google.com>
>
> >I knew it was a trap.
>
> What trap? You succumbed to your own rant. If there was a trap, you set
> it!
>
> >Dave was a minuteman, and Jim and I rag chewed. Both were gentlemen.
>
> See...!
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

That was all prior to my participation on rrap.

4869. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 15:05:06 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212310705.44e0846b@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59058

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3E0F27BC.F4840B05@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0E04C9.D3D32C01@earthlink.net>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> >
> > > > Just like you knew what I was saying about Somalia was wrong, wrong,
> > > > wrong, until I dug it out of the ARRL web site.
> > >
> > > It is apparent that only you, of all individuals operating from Somalia
> > > during that time period, neglected to get permission in writing from
> > > someone authorized to grant it. That it never dawned on you that this
> > > would be the thing to do, marks you as a rube.
> > >
> > > Dave K8MN
> >
> > That you said I was "wrong, wrong, wrong" then I pulled it out of a
> > readily available ARRL resource marks you, with all your vast overseas
> > operating experience, not even a novice, but merely a non-player in
> > operations from countries without a government.
>
> What you gathered from the League's web site in no way supports your
> supposed operation from Somalia.

I operated exactly to the ARRL recommendation.

In fact, you have nothing which
> supports your operation from Somalia.

Then you have nothing to bitch about.

> > You had no experience in such operations, yet you pass judgement on
> > others who have. You and Ed.
>
> ...and you have yet to provide any evidence that your operation was
> legal, accepted for DXCC credit or that it ever took place at all.

Then you have nothing to bitch about.

4870. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 16:40:33 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E11C8BE.2E61B0A6@earthlink.net>
Source record: 59059
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Hank Oredson wrote:
>
> "Phil Kane" <Phil.Kane@nov.shmovz.ka.pop> wrote in message
> news:cuvyxnansvbet.h7ycze7.pminews@netnews.attbi.com...
> > On Mon, 30 Dec 2002 02:46:39 -0500, KC8PMX wrote:
> >
> > >How about N5HHH? (in morse that is!)
> >
> > You can do better th that...how about WB5HHH ??
> >
> > ---
> > 73 de K2ASP - Phil Kane
>
> KC0QYQ
>
> Try working a string of JAs with my callsign, on SSB.
> W, L, R are hard to tell apart ...
> The zero confuses to a four ...
> Still looking for phonetics that work well.
> Heard as W4LRI way too often.

I remember my late friend Len Bauer, WB8LUA working a string of JA's on
10m SSB a couple of decades back. One of them said something like,
"Double-yoo Bee Eight Errr Yoo Ayeee--Preased to meet you, Wren". I
like to picture the fellow sipping tea--probabry Ripton.

Dave K8MN

4871. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 16:48:45 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E11CAB5.AEDE954C@earthlink.net>
Source record: 59060
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0F282B.4DACAF4D@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0E7DB8.2728433A@earthlink.net>...
> > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > >
> > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0D48F8.E243C02B@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > "K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message news:<kV%O9.1862
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > The FCC could care less about DXCC
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Dave confuses DXCC with FCC. Ditto his minions. You five need a one on one.
> > > > > > > >
> > > > > > > > Do you have a single reference of such a comment from me?
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > Yes.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Then put up or shut up.
> > > > > >
> > > > > You claimed my Somalia operation was illegal based upon ARRL DXCC rules.
> > >
> > No, I claimed and still do, that your operation was not acceptable for
> > DXCC credit. Without supporting documentation, you can never make it
> > so.
>
> How do you characterize your use of the term "bootlegging?"

I do not and did not claim that you were a bootlegger based upon
violation of DXCC rules. You were a bootlegger because you have no
documentation to support your claim that you had permission to
operate. You have no military or UN issued paperwork? Too bad.

Dave K8MN

4872. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 16:49:42 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E11CAEE.B68AA5E6@earthlink.net>
Source record: 59061

Brian wrote:
>
> k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021230111011.01948.00000080@mb-mn.aol.com>...
> > >Subject: Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?
> > >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> > >Date: 12/30/02 9:40 AM Central Standard Time
> > >Message-id: <f45722ac.0212300740.5ffba5bd@posting.google.com>
> >
> > >I knew it was a trap.
> >
> > What trap? You succumbed to your own rant. If there was a trap, you set
> > it!
> >
> > >Dave was a minuteman, and Jim and I rag chewed. Both were gentlemen.
> >
> > See...!
> >
> > 73
> >
> > Steve, K4YZ
>
> That was all prior to my participation on rrap.

Looks like a chicken/egg scenario.

Dave K8MN

4873. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 16:55:09 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E11CC29.7EC262A1@earthlink.net>
Source record: 59062

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0F27BC.F4840B05@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0E04C9.D3D32C01@earthlink.net>...
> > > > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > > > Just like you knew what I was saying about Somalia was wrong, wrong,
> > > > > wrong, until I dug it out of the ARRL web site.
> > > >
> > > > It is apparent that only you, of all individuals operating from Somalia
> > > > during that time period, neglected to get permission in writing from
> > > > someone authorized to grant it. That it never dawned on you that this
> > > > would be the thing to do, marks you as a rube.

> > > That you said I was "wrong, wrong, wrong" then I pulled it out of a
> > > readily available ARRL resource marks you, with all your vast overseas
> > > operating experience, not even a novice, but merely a non-player in
> > > operations from countries without a government.
> > >
> > What you gathered from the League's web site in no way supports your
> > supposed operation from Somalia.
>
> I operated exactly to the ARRL recommendation.

You continue to theorize that the couple of lines offered on the ARRL
web site is the sum total of information on the subject.

> In fact, you have nothing which
> > supports your operation from Somalia.
>
> Then you have nothing to bitch about.

...other than your bootlegging from T5 as described by you.

> > > You had no experience in such operations, yet you pass judgement on
> > > others who have. You and Ed.
> > >
> > ...and you have yet to provide any evidence that your operation was
> > legal, accepted for DXCC credit or that it ever took place at all.
>
> Then you have nothing to bitch about.

...other than your claim of operating from Somalia without written permission from someone authorized to do so.

To summarize:

It is apparent that only you, of all individuals operating from Somalia during that time period, neglected to get permission in writing from someone authorized to grant it. That it never dawned on you that this would be the thing to do, marks you as a rube.

Dave K8MN

4874. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 17:33:08 UTC
From: Jay Listrom <Jay804@inovatronics.org>
Message-ID: <auskcd$l8p$0@pita.alt.net>
Source record: 59063
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

How many or you, or should I say does anyone know the
full story behind U.S. amateur radio operations in Iceland? This
question applies to the "Agreed Area" only. "Agreed Area" is the
term the U.S. and Icelandic governments use when referring to
the NATO base at Keflavik. It is an interesting story and
illustrates how ham radio bickering and envy exists even at the
international level. HINT: The dispute involved call sign
usage.

Jay Listrom
Retired Merchant Marine

4875. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 17:41:46 UTC
From: AC6V <ac6v@amsat.org>
Message-ID: <uFkQ9.11550$L61.974134@news1.west.cox.net>
Source record: 59064

Back To The Subject Title
First there is no reason to do away with CQ -- it is traditional and
understood by the Amateur Radio Community world wide. Learn the protocol
before trying to change it and then it will be a lost cause. Hams are going
to use Handle (name) no matter how much you object.

Second -- say want you are seeking (HF)
CQ - invites any Ham Station Anywhere for a contact and possible ragchew
CQ DX (outside USA) Or CQ DX Asia
CQ Alabama for Worked all States
CQ Boston MA and Vicinity (for whatever reason maybe Ham Stores)
CQ for a short QSO- Testing Station
CQ DX -- No QSL's Only A QSO (should generate a real pileup)
CQ East Coast USA - Checking Propagation
CQ 10/10
ETC

CQ Dog Lovers -- is stretching it

CQ is NOT used on local VHF - its FM channelized and no need for it. 6M -yes
2M tropo or meteor yes.

--
I Doubt, Therefore I Might Be
73 From AC6V, Rod In Oceanside, CA
http://ac6v.com

4876. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 17:52:59 UTC
From: K&#65533;HB <k&#65533;hb@arrl.DOTORG>
Message-ID: <%PkQ9.6120$9N5.643474@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>
Source record: 59065
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

"Jay Listrom" <Jay804@inovatronics.org> wrote

: How many or you, or should I say does anyone know the
: full story behind U.S. amateur radio operations in Iceland? This
: question applies to the "Agreed Area" only. "Agreed Area" is the
: term the U.S. and Icelandic governments use when referring to
: the NATO base at Keflavik. It is an interesting story and
: illustrates how ham radio bickering and envy exists even at the
: international level. HINT: The dispute involved call sign
: usage.

I know that we used the prefix "KG1" there for a number of years just as we use "KG4" in Cuba, and this was probably written into the SOFA, but beyond that I don't know a "full story".

We had a similar SOFA in Japan which assigned the "KA#" prefixes, and I dimly recall that "KG3xx" was also briefly used by US forces, perhaps in Greenland?

Our SOFA with Germany was different in that it assigned calls which came out of the host country prefix block.

&#65533;&#65533;&#65533; 73, de Hans, K0HB
--
Help support youth involved in Amateur Radio.
http://www.mnyarc.org
http://www.k0bsa.org

4877. Re: Mini-HOWTO: Killing Dave Heil / W5TIT ego battles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 18:30:09 UTC
From: Lloyd@no.spammmmm
Message-ID: <1072809.2293021@taranews.net>
Source record: 59066

On 31 Dec 2002 12:28:09 GMT, Steve Robeson K4YZ <k4yz@aol.com> wrote:

> If you don't like what Kim or Dave have to say to each other, just skip
> over their posts. It's that simple.

I prefer to automate the process of removing their battles, while leaving other posts of theirs in place. It's that simple. I don't tell them or anyone else what to post -- the way you do -- but instead use a competent news reader to pre-filter bandwidth wasting posts and spam.

And you should realize that a man like yourself who uses an AOL news reader is hobbling on crutches and lacks the necessary experience to intelligently critique anyone else's Usenet methodology.

> If you haven't got the intestinal fortitude to say what it is you have to
> say and back it up with your real name, then why waste everyone else's time?

Uh huh.

What a net-fool you are: You are so very foolish that you are clearly incapable of understanding the realities of the net. It would be an exercise in futility to try and explain them to you.

Instead, welcome to my kill file.

<plonk>

4878. Re: Happy New Year RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 19:11:51 UTC
From: Jim Hampton <aa2qa@frontiernet.net>
Message-ID: <v13r0h4ha4ntce@corp.supernews.com>
Source record: 59067

Thanks Steve and I'll add my best wishes for a safe and happy new year to rrap also. BTW, we are **not** going anywhere on New Year's Eve! Too many drunks.

73 from Rochester, NY
Jim AA2QA

Outgoing mail is certified Virus Free.
Checked by AVG anti-virus system (http://www.grisoft.com).
Version: 6.0.435 / Virus Database: 244 - Release Date: 12/30/02

4879. Re: Mini-HOWTO: Killing Dave Heil / W5TIT ego battles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 20:44:00 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021231154400.14241.00000454@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 59068

>Subject: Re: Mini-HOWTO: Killing Dave Heil / W5TIT ego battles
>From: Lloyd@no.spammmmm (Lloyd Braxilwartenoski)
>Date: 12/31/02 12:30 PM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <1072809.2293021@taranews.net>

>> If you don't like what Kim or Dave have to say to each other, just skip
>> over their posts. It's that simple.
>
>I prefer to automate the process...(SNIP)

Fine. That's your preference. Still leaves you as an anonymous coward. A punk. A putz.

>And you should realize that a man like yourself who uses an AOL news
>reader is hobbling on crutches and lacks the necessary experience to
>intelligently critique anyone else's Usenet methodology.

Oh..I see..You're so "net-savvy" so it makes it OK for YOU to suggest that everyone else cut out Dave and Kim's exchanges, but I suggest you just ignore them and I am "on crutches".

Beats having the crutches where a proctologist dares to tread, such as yourself, Anonymous Putz.

>What a net-fool you are: You are so very foolish that you are clearly
>incapable of understanding the realities of the net. It would be an
>exercise in futility to try and explain them to you.

Sounds like yet another Lennie-ghost. Never fails...Lennie "disappears" and the anonymous putzii flourish...Lennie "reappears" and the ghost disappear...Hmmmmmmmmmmmmm........

>Instead, welcome to my kill file.

I'll run right out to my Doc's office and get a script to help me with all the sleep I wuill lose over being in some Anaonymous Coward's killfile.

Steve, K4YZ

4880. Re: Mini-HOWTO: Killing Dave Heil / W5TIT ego battles

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 20:46:37 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021231154637.14241.00000455@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 59069

>Subject: Re: Mini-HOWTO: Killing Dave Heil / W5TIT ego battles
>From: "W5TIT" seventythree@eightyeight.net
>Date: 12/31/02 6:20 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id:
><6EDDCA6F97268CFB.99A0A9F420A66C47.7617E25B6C5C2D50@lp.airnews.net>

>Is this something you're going to get around to doing? You obviously enjoy
>the posts.

I love how these testicleless creeps pop out from the woodwork with
anonymous addresses, suggesting that "real" people be killfiled.

This guy is in the same category with those who mislead people into
thinking they served in the Armed Forces or were "Special Forces" when all they
did was peel potatoes for three years.

Loser with a LARGE "L".

73

Steve,K4YZ

4881. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 20:52:49 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021231155249.14241.00000456@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 59070

>Subject: Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?
>From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
>Date: 12/31/02 8:51 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <f45722ac.0212310651.788bebc1@posting.google.com>

>That was all prior to my participation on rrap.

So what? There is a time limit on when you can be "a gentleman"?

Have you worked then SINCE you came to RRAP to determine if they have
become less qualified or gentlemanly operators?

Steve, K4YZ

4882. Re: "one step closer to extinction" (Was: Re: Followup from K4ZDH)

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 20:55:40 UTC
From: Mike Coslo <mcoslo@adelphia.net>
Message-ID: <7o041v82eqb7b6n4ckpagiasc4ooh6g7td@4ax.com>
Source record: 59071

On Tue, 31 Dec 2002 06:18:31 -0600, "W5TIT"
<seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote:

>"Mike Coslo" <mcoslo@adelphia.net> wrote in message
>
>> I don't want my tax dollars going to welfare, no matter what
>> it's wrapping is.
>>
>> That's what.
>>
>> - Mike KB3EIA -
>>
>
>HERE HERE. I don't want the gov't. to keep up with that screwy idea of
>supporting "charity organizations," either.
>
>Kim W5TIT

A nasty trend going here!

- Mike KB3EIA -

4883. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 20:57:16 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212311257.39e3e875@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59072

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3E10714C.4DF31B39@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > "W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<DB0E1FE6CC5D655E.EB2DF39279A5BA94.966B854ADEF2A48D@lp.airnews.net>...
> >
> > >
> > > My backside that you affectionately refer is hardly as ample as you've been
> > > led *LED* to believe, Dave.
> >
> > Careful, Kim. He might accuse you of wanting to build LED's from scratch.
>
> Maybe I rated you higher than you are. Kim didn't make any statements
> about homebrewing components. She doesn't need help from you in putting
> her hoof in her yap.
>
> Dave K8MN

And you certainly don't need any help from me coming to the wrong conclusion.

So Sorry.

4884. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 21:00:37 UTC
From: k4yz@aol.com
Message-ID: <20021231160037.14241.00000457@mb-cr.aol.com>
Source record: 59073

>Subject: Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?
>From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
>Date: 12/31/02 9:05 AM Central Standard Time
>Message-id: <f45722ac.0212310705.44e0846b@posting.google.com>

>> What you gathered from the League's web site in no way supports your
>> supposed operation from Somalia.
>
>I operated exactly to the ARRL recommendation.

Except that you do not have ONE shred of evidence that you had SOME
authority to operate except "my commander said I could".

In a "nation" where street murders, kidnapping and bribery are all daily
fare, I am sure your Amateur Radio activites went unnoticed. However in the
eyes of the International community, you were unlicensed and operated without
authority.

>> In fact, you have nothing which supports your operation from Somalia.

>Then you have nothing to bitch about.

Sure he (we) do...everytime you suggest that your operation was "legal" but
cannot produce any evidence otherwise you prove yourself untrustworthy.

>> ...and you have yet to provide any evidence that your operation was
>> legal, accepted for DXCC credit or that it ever took place at all.
>
>Then you have nothing to bitch about.

Sure he (we) do...everytime you suggest that your operation was "legal" but
cannot produce any evidence otherwise you prove yourself untrustworthy.

Is the message not getting through, Brian? My German and Spanish are
rough (besides not having the special characters set on the keyboard) but I can
give another language a try if it would help you gain awareness of your error.

Steve, K4YZ

4885. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 21:04:02 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212311304.64e91f88@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59074

"W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<15DFB3A4FFA2AAC3.ACB7D88A87F6FCBE.5156D1F68B01975C@lp.airnews.net>...
> "Brian" <brianburke@juno.com> wrote in message
> news:f45722ac.0212300738.3fa20859@posting.google.com...

> > Personally, I don't put anything in the log book that I didn't work,
> > and my log books are good enough for me.

And I'd rather have maps and a few QSL cards on the wall than a bunch
of stuff with my name on it. I already know who I am; don't need an
"I Love Me" wall.

> Yeah, that's what I think, too. I used to log every contact. All I needed
> was to open that book and run down the list and be quite happy.

Yep, I'm quite happy with my DX activities as well. I only wish I
knew why the other DXers on here get so upset about everything all the
time. Perhaps its something as simple as a lack of fiber in their
diet.

Happy New Year.

4886. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 21:09:18 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212311309.5bc78da@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59075

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3E107344.F26C8343@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021229102142.27399.00000280@mb-bk.aol.com>...
> > > >Subject: Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?
> > > >From: brianburke@juno.com (Brian)
> > > >Date: 12/29/02 8:44 AM Central Standard Time
> > > >Message-id: <f45722ac.0212290644.5e64cfa7@posting.google.com>
>
> > > >> >What a sweeping statement. Are you saying that nearly all, or even
> > > >> >most, DX stations accept the ARRL DXCC as a worldwide standard? The
> > > >> >ARRL can't even get half of the US hams to join, let alone
> > > >> >participate. I'd wager that most DX hams have never submitted a thing
> > > >> >to the DXCC desk.
> > > >>
> > > >> As a matter of fact, they do. Whether it is in direct participation
> of
> > > >> DXCC or use of it's standards list of accpetable countries, it is.
> > > >
> > > >So you claim that a mojority of international amateurs have submitted
> > > >for DXCC?
> > >
> > > WHERE, in that ENTIRE QUOTE, did I say ANYTHING about "SUBMITTED" for DXCC,
> > > Brainiac?
> >
> > Then how do you measure it? You've got to measure something for you
> > to say that a majority are for it.
>
> Try plugging in "the overwhelming majority of DXers worldwide". That's
> how you measure it.

Now, Dave, you should know as well as anyone that you have to have a
piece of paper with someone's signature on it to back up such claims.

I think that an application for DXCC is that piece of paper.

So, of all the amateurs worldwide, how many have submitted for DXCC?

Of all the DXers worldwide, how many have submitted for DXCC?

Or do you have some other way to measure it?

> > > >Don't characterize my Somalia amateur operating based upon DXCC
> > > >acceptance. You're making the same mistake Dave and Ed did.
> > >

> > > You cannot produce any documentation of authorization to operate there,
> > > and it's not accepted for DXCC credit. I think YOU characterized it all by
> > > yourself, Brian.
> >
> > Yet I was authorized to operate there, by my commander, just as the
> > requirements on the ARRL web site state. If DXCC doesn't accept it,
> > so be it.
>
> Maybe the DXCC desk will just take your word for it.

Why would they do that? I haven't asked them to.

4887. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 21:11:01 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212311311.2ce3628a@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59076

Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message news:<3E10720A.EC9B2A72@earthlink.net>...
> Brian wrote:
> >
> > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0F26F9.D7EDA0DF@earthlink.net>...
> > > Brian wrote:
> > > >
> > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0E806D.BC4FE12@earthlink.net>...
> > > > > Brian wrote:
> > > > > >
> > > > > > Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E0D4CA6.110326FE@earthlink.net>...
>
> > > > > > > If a rare DX station wants to rag chew, he'll rag chew. It is the rare
> > > > > > > DX station's ball game--always.
> > > > > > >
> > > > > > > I'm glad you've finally come around to my way of thinking. I knew you
> > > > > > > would, eventually.
> > > > > >
> > > > > > If that is what you got from what I wrote, you still have a
> > > > > > comprehension problem.
> > > > >
> > > > > You said, "If a rare DX station wants to rag chew, he'll rag chew. It
> > > > > is the rare DX station's ball game--always."
> > > >
> > > We know what I wrote. What is at issue is how it is in agreement with
> > > anything you've posted in the past.
>
> > You said that as a DXer, that I wasn't allowed to ragchew. You were
> > wrong. Now you're making more sense with the above statement.
>
> Go back to "Start".

Sorry, can't do that.

You did not comprehend what I wrote since I've
> never made the statement you attribute to me. Keep working.

You're welcome to clarify your statement at any time.

4888. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 21:31:16 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212311331.26961f15@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59077

yodoc@aol.comnospam (Larry Roll K3LT) wrote in message
news:<20021230222752.04603.00000669@mb-fe.aol.com>...
> In article <f45722ac.0212300721.21c33581@posting.google.com>,
> brianburke@juno.com (Brian) writes:
>
> >> >Or perhaps you meant "welfare mothers of color with their hands out?"
> >>
> >> Those were not blind judgments. Those were enlightened, thoughtfully-
> >> considered judgments based on years of observation. And BTW -- my
> >> "judgments" of the 'welfare mothers of color,' are shared by two
> >> noted commentators by the names of Dr. Thomas Sowell and
> >> Dr. Walter Williams. Obtain one of their books, check out the photo
> >> on the book jacket, and tell me if you notice anything unique about
> >> them!
> >
> >OK. Is Williams the one who stood in for Rusch during the Christmas
> >break?
>
> Brian:
>
> He filled in for Rush Limbaugh, if that's who you mean.

I listened to Rush one day. A woman called in to complain that Rush
was always blaming welfare on blacks. He denied saying any such
thing, and reminded the woman that far more whites are on welfare than
are blacks.

So what was your point about "welfare mothers of clolor with their
hands out?"

> >> >You know I could go on and on. Its all in the archives.
> >>
> >> As are thoughtful apologies made for both of the above comments.
> >> Of course, that doesn't cut any ice with you, doesn't it?
> >
> >Apologies for wordsw which you just defended above? Which spots are
> >you wearing today?
>
> Hmmm, good point. Perhaps I shouldn't have apoligized!

Perhaps not.

We've all seen
> the problems Trent Lott caused by too many apologies. However, I don't
> agree with his racist policies, and I have a conscience about saying things
> that hurt people, so therefore I apologized.

Do you say things to hurt me? Do you say things to hurt no-coders?
Do you say things to hurt Kim?

> >> >Doesn't Riley have a 4 call?
> >>
> >> Yup. K4ZDH.
> >
> >Would you characterize Riley as a "knuckle-dragging 4-lander?" Why or
> >why not?
>
> Because he's a 4-lander who's knuckles aren't dragging! Remember, I've
> made the same accusation of 8-landers as well!

Bruce?

Anyway, be careful of your generalizations.

> [SNIPPAGE]
>
> >> >Ding ding ding.
> >> >
> >> >Now you're making blanket judgements about no-coders. Where does it
> >> >stop, Larry?
> >>
> >> Don't look now, Brian, but this is RRAP. "It" isn't ever going to stop!
> >
> >It's will at least slow down when you stop.
>
> Well, unless they dis-invent this thing, I'm not planning to stop!

So be it.

> >> >And it was you who said that you were perfectly capable of being a
> >> >jerk on RRAP without it coming out in your QSO's on the air. But then
> >> >you cited several instances where no one would come back to your calls
> >> >and plea's, re: Larry's personal SET.
> >>
> >> No one responded to my "simulated emergency" calls on .52 simplex
> >> because there weren't any receivers tuned to that frequency!
> >
> >Another blanket statement. How do you know that there weren't any
> >receivers tuned to that frequency?
>
> Because, in subsequent trips through the same areas, I've made
> calls on .52 simplex which WERE answered. However, this was at
> times of the day when people were commuting or already home from
> work, etc.

Then I could be wrong. If some people are willing to talk to you some
of the time, then perhaps you're not always a jerk as you typify on
here.

> >BTW --
> >> please provide ANY documentary proof that what I did that day was in

> >> any way a violation of the FCC Part 97 Rules and Regulations, or in
> >> any way a violation of the concept of "good amateur practice." I will
> >> accept a ruling from K4ZDH, in spite of his 4-land call sign!
> >>
> >> Put up or shut up -- BOY!
> >
> >You shut up. I've never had a problem with your self-conducted SET.
>
> Really? Your whining about it sure had ME confused!

I think the real measure of an SET is that it occur unannounced, then
let the cards fall where they may.

Reminds me of the ORI's I've inspected.

> >> >I wonder why that was? Maybe they have jerk detectors wired into
> >> >their emergency radios.
> >>
> >> I'm sure the one on my radio would ring whenever you broke squelch!
> >>
> >> 73 de Larry, K3LT
> >
> >I'm sure the bell has been long out of service with all of your WAS
> >activity. It works as an input dectector as well.
>
> My bell is working just fine -- I replace it every coupla years. I need to
> be notified whenever a signal from you or Kim reaches my receiver.

You're HF rig is on SSB? Who wudda thunk.

4889. Re: What country?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 21:37:05 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212311337.5357fea5@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59078
Cross-posted to: rec.radio.amateur.dx,rec.radio.amateur.policy

```
"K HB" <k hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote in message
news:<U89Q9.5476$9N5.548995@newsread2.prod.itd.earthlink.net>...
> "Dave Heil" <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
> news:3E110AD1.7252A50A@earthlink.net...
> : "Kï¿½HB" wrote:
> : >
> : > "Kï¿½HB" <kï¿½hb@arrl.DOTORG> wrote
> : > : :
> : > : : KR6 Okinawa (Ryukyu)
> : > :
> : > : Correct, but lacks detail.
> : > :
> : > :
> : > : : KR8 -- Swan Is
> : > :
> : > : Incorrect.
> : > :
> : >
> : > No takers on "KR8xx" callsign back in the 60's?
> : >
> : > 73, de Hans, K0HB
> :
> :
> : You ignored my last on the WB6XX. I'm going to say that both KR6 and
> : KR8 were Ryukyu (Okinawa) but that the KR8 callsign indicated that the
> : holder was a Japanese citizen of the islands while the KR6's were the
> : Americans. How'd I do?
>
> You done good!
>
> And yes, WB6XX was Baker/Canton Novice license.
>
> dit dit
>
> K0HB

Ther's a hydro job open on Saipan. The missus doesn't want to live on
an island anymore.

What should I do?
```

4890. Re: Happy New Year RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 21:40:09 UTC
From: brianburke@juno.com
Message-ID: <f45722ac.0212311340.26147e88@posting.google.com>
Source record: 59079

k4yz@aol.com (Steve Robeson K4YZ) wrote in message
news:<20021231072903.22930.00000294@mb-mf.aol.com>...
> A very happy New Year to one and all. Stay safe.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

Steve, Happy New Year to you and all the RRAPers.

And I'm staying home.

Brian/N0iMD

4891. Re: Happy New Year RRAP

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 22:32:51 UTC
From: garigue <garigue@attbi.com>
Message-ID: <nWoQ9.379971$pN3.43273@sccrnsc03>
Source record: 59080

Have a good 03 guys & gals ...73 KI3R Tom nr Pittsburgh
"Steve Robeson K4YZ" <k4yz@aol.com> wrote in message
news:20021231072903.22930.00000294@mb-mf.aol.com...
> A very happy New Year to one and all. Stay safe.
>
> 73
>
> Steve, K4YZ

4892. Re: Adding something extra to a CQ?

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 23:52:50 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E122DBD.AD783681@earthlink.net>
Source record: 59081

AC6V wrote:
> CQ is NOT used on local VHF - its FM channelized and no need for it.

I disagree. There is nothing at all wrong with "CQ from K8MN" on 2m or 70cm FM, especially on a simplex calling frequency. Beats the heck out of "monitoring" or "listening". Everyone is doing that whether they announce it or not.

Dave K8MN

4893. Re: County Issuing Radio Licenses

Newsgroup: rec.radio.amateur.policy
Date: 2002-12-31 23:56:49 UTC
From: Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net>
Message-ID: <3E122EBC.627EF63A@earthlink.net>
Source record: 59082

Brian wrote:
>
> Dave Heil <k8mn@earthlink.net> wrote in message
news:<3E10714C.4DF31B39@earthlink.net>...
> > Brian wrote:
> > >
> > > "W5TIT" <seventythree@eightyeight.net> wrote in message
news:<DB0E1FE6CC5D655E.EB2DF39279A5BA94.966B854ADEF2A48D@lp.airnews.net>...
> > >
> > > >
> > > > My backside that you affectionately refer is hardly as ample as you've been
> > > > led *LED* to believe, Dave.
> > >
> > > Careful, Kim. He might accuse you of wanting to build LED's from scratch.
> >
> > Maybe I rated you higher than you are. Kim didn't make any statements
> > about homebrewing components. She doesn't need help from you in putting
> > her hoof in her yap.

> And you certainly don't need any help from me coming to the wrong conclusion.
>
> So Sorry.

You usually seem a step or two behind the rest. There was no wrong
conclusion on my part. There was a misstatement on your part after you
misread a post.

Dave K8MN